TELECOM ITALIA S P A
Form 6-K
February 21, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER

PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-16 OR 15D-16
UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE MONTH OF FEBRUARY 2014

TELECOM ITALIA S.p.A.
(Translation of registrant's name into English)

Piazza degli Affari 2
20123 Milan, Italy
(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files
or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F:

FORM 20-F [X]   FORM 40-F [ ]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant
is submitting the Form 6-K in paper
as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): [ ]

Indicate by check mark if the registrant
is submitting the Form 6-K in paper
as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7): [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether by furnishing the information
contained in this Form, the registrant is also thereby furnishing
the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b)
under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

YES [ ]   NO [X]

If "Yes" is marked, indicate below the file number assigned
to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b): 82- _______

Interim Report at September 30, 2013

Contents

Interim Management Report

Interim Management Report 3

The Telecom Italia Group 3

Key Operating and Financial Data - Telecom Italia Group 5

Highlights First Nine Months of 2013 5

Consolidated Operating Performance 6

Consolidated Operating Performance for the Third Quarter of 2013 13

Key Operating and Financial Data The Business Units of the Telecom Italia Group 14

Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Telecom Italia Group 39

Events Subsequent to September 30, 2013 51

Business Outlook for the Year 2013 51

Key commercial developments in the Group s Business Units

53

Principal changes in the regulatory framework

58

Corporate Boards at September 30, 2013

64

Macro-Organization Chart Telecom Italia Group at September 30, 2013

67

Information for Investors

68

Significant Non Recurring Events and Transactions

72

Positions or transactions resulting from atypical and/or unusual operations

72

Alternative Performance Measures

73

Telecom Italia Group Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements at September 30, 2013 74

Contents

75

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position

76

Separate Consolidated Income Statements

78

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

79

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity 80

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows 82

Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements 84

Auditors Report on the Review of the Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements 130

Declaration by the Manager Responsible for Preparing the Corporate Financial Reports 131

This document has been translated into English solely for the convenience of the readers. In the event of discrepancy, the Italian language version prevails.

Interim Management Report

The Telecom Italia Group

The Business Units

DOMESTIC

The Domestic Business Unit operates as the consolidated market leader in the sphere of voice and data services on fixed and mobile networks for final retail customers and other wholesale operators. In the international field, the Business Unit develops fiber optic networks for wholesale customers (in Europe, the Mediterranean and South America).

CORE DOMESTIC

- Consumer
- Business
- National Wholesale
- Other (Support Structures)

INTERNATIONAL WHOLESALE

Telecom Italia Sparkle group

- Telecom Italia Sparkle S.p.A.
- Lan Med Nautilus group

BRAZIL

The Brazil Business Unit (Tim Brasil group) offers services using UMTS and GSM technologies. Moreover, with the acquisitions and subsequent integrations into the group of Intelig Telecomunicações, Tim Fiber RJ and Tim Fiber SP, the services portfolio has been extended by offering fiber optic data transmission using full IP technology such as DWDM and MPLS and by offering residential broadband services.

Tim Brasil Serviços e Participações S.A.

- Tim Participações S.A.
  - Intelig Telecomunicações Ltda

	– Tim Celular S.A.
ARGENTINA The Argentina Business Unit (Sofora - Telecom Argentina group) operates in Argentina and Paraguay. Specifically, in Argentina it operates in fixed telecommunications through the company Telecom Argentina and in mobile telecommunications through the company Telecom Personal (with the Personal brand), and in Paraguay it operates in mobile telecommunications through the company Núcleo.	Sofora Telecomunicaciones S.A. (Sofora) • Nortel Inversora S.A. – Telecom Argentina S.A. – Telecom Argentina USA Inc. – Telecom Personal S.A. – Núcleo S.A. (Paraguay)
MEDIA Media operates in the management of analog and digital broadcasting networks and accessory services of television broadcasting platforms.	Telecom Italia Media S.p.A. • TI Media Broadcasting S.r.l. (network operator)
OLIVETTI Olivetti operates in the sector of office products and services for Information Technology. It carries out Solution Provider activities to automate processes and business activities for small and medium-size enterprises, large corporations and vertical markets. Its reference market is focused mainly in Europe, Asia and South America.	Olivetti S.p.A. • Advalso S.p.A. • Olivetti I-Jet S.p.A. •

European Affiliates

Board of Directors

Deputy Chairman	Aldo Minucci
Chief Executive Officer	Marco Patuano
Directors	César Alierta Izuel
	Tarak Ben Ammar
	Lucia Calvosa (independent)
	Massimo Egidi (independent)
	Jean Paul Fitoussi (independent)
	Gabriele Galateri di Genola
	Julio Linares López
	Gaetano Micciché
	Renato Pagliaro
	Angelo Provasoli (independent)
	Mauro Sentinelli (independent)
	Luigi Zingales (independent)
Secretary to the Board	Antonino Cusimano

Board of Statutory Auditors

Chairman	Enrico Maria Bignami
Acting Auditors	Roberto Capone
	Gianluca Ponzellini
	Salvatore Spiniello
	Ferdinando Superti Furga
Alternate Auditors	Ugo Rock
	Vittorio Mariani
	Franco Patti
	Fabrizio Riccardo Di Giusto

Key Operating and Financial Data - Telecom Italia Group

Highlights First Nine Months of 2013

As previously noted in the first half of 2013, the third quarter also continued to be affected by the fragility of the domestic economic framework and by a reduction in economic growth in Latin American countries. Along with the unfavorable economic scenario, in Italy the competitive scenario worsened (with sharp pressure on prices), particularly in the Mobile Consumer market, which showed initial signs of cooling off only in the last period. In that period, also to defend its customer base, the Company positioned itself on the market with highly competitive deals, investing a portion of profits to clear the way for defense and net acquisition of customers, also using innovative convergent fixed-mobile deals. Results were also affected by the adverse impact of several regulatory trends and factors, specifically concerning rates for wholesale access to the network.

Although the overall conditions for the remainder of the year continue to appear challenging, the Company expects a gradual easing of competitive pressure, particularly on Mobile prices, and a more stable regulatory framework. In this context, Telecom Italia is implementing significant actions, including long-term actions, to increase operating efficiency and safeguard the deleverage objective, without, however, impacting the level of innovative capital expenditure, both in the fixed and mobile markets. This will also be achieved by developing an industrial plan which, as a result of careful selection of investment projects and structural actions on the Group's organization, will lead the Group towards greater economic-financial stability. In this context, the business outlook for the entire year 2013 is confirmed as previously announced in the Half-Year Financial Report at June 30, 2013.

Specifically, in terms of the final results of the first nine months of 2013, the following is noted:

- Consolidated revenues dropped year-on-year (-2.1% in organic terms) to 20.4 billion euros, while EBITDA fell to 7.9 billion euros, down 10.5% (-6.9% in organic terms). Organic revenues for the third quarter 2013 decreased by 1.1% compared to the same period of 2012.

- In organic terms, Operating Profit (EBIT) decreased by 12.8% compared to the first nine months of 2012. In reported terms, EBIT in the first nine months of 2013 came to 1.8 billion euros, also as a result of a goodwill impairment loss for Core Domestic totaling 2.2 billion euros, already recognized in the Half-Year Financial Report at June 30, 2013. In organic terms, operating profit for the third quarter 2013 decreased by 12.5% compared to the same period of 2012.

- Profit (loss) for the period attributable to Owners of the Parent showed a loss of 0.9 billion euros. Excluding the impact of the aforementioned goodwill impairment loss, profit for the period would have been 1.3 billion euros (profit of 1.9 billion euros in the first nine months of 2012).

- Adjusted Net Financial Debt came to 28.2 billion euros at the end of September 2013, substantially in line with the figure at the end of 2012, and down by 584 million euros in the third quarter of 2013 alone and by 1.26 billion euros

compared to September 30, 2012.

Financial Highlights

(millions of euros)		3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1 - 9/30 2013 (a)	1/1 - 9/30 2012 (b)	% Change Reported (a/b)	% Change Organic
Revenues		6,629	7,268	20,389	22,061	(7.6)	(2.1)
EBITDA	(1)	2,697	3,001	7,933	8,860	(10.5)	(6.9)
EBITDA Margin		*40.7%*	*41.3%*	*38.9%*	*40.2%*	*(1.3) pp*	
Organic EBITDA Margin		*40.7%*	*43.3%*	*39.5%*	*41.5%*	*(2.0) pp*	
EBIT before goodwill impairment loss		1,481	1,691	4,021	4,890	(17.8)	
Goodwill impairment loss		–	–	*(2,187)*	–	-	
EBIT	(1)	1,481	1,691	1,834	4,890	(62.5)	(12.8)
EBIT Margin		*22.3%*	*23.3%*	*9.0%*	*22.2%*	*(13.2) pp*	
Organic EBIT Margin		*22.3%*	*25.2%*	*20.8%*	*23.3%*	*(2.5) pp*	
Profit (loss) for the period attributable to owners of the Parent		505	696	(902)	1,938		
Capital expenditures (CAPEX)		1,260	1,111	3,453	3,380	2.2	
				9/30/2013	9/30/2012	12/31/2012	
Adjusted net financial debt	(1)			28,229	29,485	28,274	
Change on 9/30/2013					(1,256)	(45)	

(1)

Details are provided under Alternative Performance Measures .

Consolidated Operating Performance

Revenues

Revenues amounted to 20,389 million euros for the first nine months of 2013, down by 7.6% on the first nine months of 2012 (22,061 million euros). The drop of 1,672 million euros was substantially attributable to the Domestic (-1,344 million euros) and Brazil (-315 million euros) Business Units, whereas the Argentina Business Unit recorded growth (+48 million euros). The Latin American Business Units were particularly impacted by the weakness in exchange rates, which resulted in a reduction in terms of average rates of approximately 14% for the Brazilian real and approximately 22% for the Argentine peso over 12 months. The organic change in consolidated revenues showed a decrease of 2.1% (-446 million euros).

Specifically, the organic change in revenues is calculated by excluding:

- the effect of the change in exchange rates[1], totaling -1,178 million euros, mainly relating to the Brazil Business Unit (-673 million euros) and the Argentina Business Unit (-499 million euros);

- the effect of the change in the scope of consolidation (-57 million euros) resulting from the sale of the company Matrix S.p.A. (Other Operations) on October 31, 2012, of La7 S.r.l. (Media) on April 30, 2013 and of MTV Italia S.r.l. with its wholly-owned subsidiary MTV Pubblicità S.r.l. (Media) on September 12, 2013;

•

the effect of lower revenues, down by 9 million euros, recorded in the first nine months of 2012, following the closing of commercial disputes with other operators.

The breakdown of revenues by operating segment is the following:

(millions of euros)	1/1 - 9/30/2013		1/1 - 9/30/2012		Change		
		% of total		% of total	amount	%	% organic
Domestic	12,069	59.2	13,413	60.8	(1,344)	(10.0)	(10.0)
Core Domestic	*11,403*	*55.9*	*12,701*	*57.6*	*(1,298)*	*(10.2)*	*(10.3)*
International Wholesale	*935*	*4.6*	*1,050*	*4.8*	*(115)*	*(11.0)*	*(10.4)*
Brazil	5,280	25.9	5,595	25.4	(315)	(5.6)	7.3
Argentina	2,852	14.0	2,804	12.7	48	1.7	23.7
Media, Olivetti and Other Operations	282	1.4	402	1.8	(120)		
Adjustments and Eliminations	*(94)*	*(0.5)*	*(153)*	*(0.7)*	*59*		
Consolidated Total	20,389	100.0	22,061	100.0	(1,672)	(7.6)	(2.1)

The Domestic Business Unit (divided into Core Domestic and International Wholesale) recorded a decline of 1,347 million euros (-10.0%) in organic Revenues for the first nine months of 2013, compared to the corresponding period of 2012.

This performance was impacted by several significant regulatory factors, such as the entry into force of the new mobile termination rates (MTR), which, from July 2013, entail an additional 61% reduction to 0.98 euro cents from the 2.5 euro cents in force in the same period of the previous year. This impact, added to the decrease in the first half of 2013 (1.5 euro cents compared to 5.3 euro cents in the same period of 2012), generated a negative impact on the income statement of -303 million euros (-358 million euros just on Mobile revenues). Furthermore, the decisions of AGCom in July 2013 regarding copper network access rates resulted in an additional negative impact of -85 million euros compared to the same period of 2012. Indeed, Telecom Italia, with retroactive effect as of January 1, 2013, has applied the values contained in the two tables in the measures on rates for 2013 relating to wholesale access fees for the copper network (Local Loop Unbundling, naked bitstream and shared bitstream services). Telecom Italia believes that those decisions on 2013 rates have aspects that conflict with the European regulatory framework, and has

provided the European Commission with its comments. If these decisions are confirmed, Telecom Italia will lodge an appeal with the competent legal forums.

The performance and results of the domestic market were also affected by the worsening of the macroeconomic scenario and a much more competitive scenario, especially in Mobile services.

In detail:

- Organic revenues from services amounted to 11,612 million euros, down 10.2% compared to the corresponding period of 2012. Specifically, revenues from services in the Mobile business came to 3,884 million euros (4,681 million euros in the same period of 2012) a decrease of 797 million euros (-17.0% compared to 2012). Revenues from services in the Fixed-line business came to 8,684 million euros (9,399 million euros in the same period of 2012), and were down 715 million euros (-7.6% compared to 2012);

- Products recorded revenues of 457 million euros, in decline compared to the same period of 2012 (486 million euros). This negative trend is mainly attributable to Fixed-line products (corded phones, PCs, routers, etc.), as a result of a contraction in the market, as well as a more selective commercial strategy to defend the profit base.

With regard to the Brazil Business Unit, revenues grew 7.3% in the first nine months of 2013 (in organic terms) compared to the corresponding period of the prior year. Revenues from services continued the positive trend (+2.1% compared to the first nine months of 2012), driven by the growth of the customer base (reaching approximately 72.9 million lines at September 30, 2013, an increase of 3.6% compared to December 31, 2012). Handset revenues also showed a positive trend (+45.2% compared to the first nine months of 2012).

As for the Argentina Business Unit, organic revenues gained 23.7% compared to the first nine months of 2012 (+547 million euros). In particular, mobile business revenues recorded growth of 26.7%, while the fixed-line segment, which is coming out of a decade of partially blocked regulated rates, grew by 15.8% year-on-year.

A detailed analysis of revenue performance by individual Business Unit is provided in the section Financial and Operating Highlights - The Business Units of the Telecom Italia Group .

EBITDA

EBITDA came to 7,933 million euros, down 927 million euros (-10.5%) compared to the first nine months of 2012, with an EBITDA margin of 38.9% (40.2% in the first nine months of 2012). In organic terms, EBITDA fell by 596 million euros (-6.9%) year-on-year, while the EBITDA margin was down 2 percentage points, from 41.5% in the first nine months of 2012 to 39.5% in the first nine months of 2013. The drop in the margin was due to a higher percentage of revenues from South America, where margins are lower than those of the Domestic Business Unit.

Details of EBITDA and EBITDA margins by operating segment are as follows:

(millions of euros)	1/1 - 9/30/2013		1/1 - 9/30/2012		Change		
		% of total		% of total	amount	%	% organic
Domestic	5,861	73.9	6,696	75.6	(835)	(12.5)	(10.9)
EBITDA Margin	*48.6*		*49.9*			*(1.3) pp*	*(0.4) pp*
Brazil	1,326	16.7	1,460	16.5	(134)	(9.2)	2.0
EBITDA Margin	*25.1*		*26.1*			*(1.0) pp*	*(1.3) pp*
Argentina	796	10,0	825	9.3	(29)	(3.5)	17.5
EBITDA Margin	*27.9*		*29.4*			*(1.5) pp*	*(1.5) pp*
Media, Olivetti and Other Operations	(43)	(0.5)	(118)	(1.4)	75		
Adjustments and Eliminations	*(7)*	*(0.1)*	*(3)*	*–*	*(4)*		
Consolidated Total	7,933	100.0	8,860	100.0	(927)	(10.5)	(6.9)
EBITDA Margin	*38.9*		*40.2*			*(1.3) pp*	*(2.0) pp*

EBITDA was particularly impacted by the change in the line items analyzed below:

•

Acquisition of goods and services (9,080 million euros; 9,676 million euros in the first nine months of 2012). The reduction of 596 million euros was mainly due to the Domestic Business Unit, which saw a decrease of 488 million euros compared to the first nine months of 2012, largely attributable to lower amounts payable to other operators and to the Brazil Business Unit (-85 million euros, including a negative exchange rate effect of 410 million euros), while the Argentina Business Unit grew (+26 million euros, including a negative exchange rate effect of 230 million euros).

•

Employee benefits expenses (2,769 million euros; 2,901 million euros in the first nine months of 2012).

These decreased by 132 million euros. The change was influenced by:

–

a 149 million euros decrease in employee benefits expenses in Italy, primarily due to lower ordinary personnel costs and charges, which fell by 114 million euros, and the exit of Matrix, La7, MTV Italia and MTV Pubblicità from the Group's scope of consolidation, which resulted in a decrease of 40 million euros in costs.

This decrease was offset by higher restructuring expenses for a total of 5 million euros. At September 30, 2013 these expenses amounted to a total of 21 million euros, and were recognized as a result of the framework agreement signed by the Parent with the trade unions on March 27, 2013. Of these expenses, 18 million euros pertain to the Parent, 2 million euros to TI Information Technology and 1 million euros to TI Sparkle. At September 30, 2012 these expenses amounted to 16 million euros and were recognized following the agreements signed with the trade unions of Olivetti I-Jet and its subsidiary Olivetti Engineering SA aimed at managing excess staff of the company placed in liquidation;

–

a 17 million euros increase in employee benefits expenses in our businesses outside Italy, connected with the growth in the average workforce, which rose by 685 employees mainly due to the Brazil and Argentina Business Units.

•

Other operating expenses (1,359 million euros; 1,339 million euros in the first nine months of 2012).

These increased by 20 million euros compared to the first nine months of 2012.

This increase was primarily attributable to the Domestic Business Unit (+54 million euros, including 84 million euros relating to the estimate of the charges connected with the fine imposed by the Italian Antitrust Authority (AGCM) challenged by Telecom Italia relating to the A428 proceedings) and to the Argentina Business Unit (+63 million euros, including a negative exchange rate effect of 54 million euros), only partly offset by the reduction in other operating expenses of the Brazil Business Unit (-70 million euros, including a negative exchange rate effect of 67 million euros).

In detail:

–

writedowns and expenses in connection with credit management (324 million euros; 393 million euros in the first nine months of 2012) include 215 million euros relating to the Domestic Business Unit (249 million euros in the first nine months of 2012), 70 million euros to the Brazil Business Unit (81 million euros for the first nine months of 2012) and 32 million euros to the Argentina Business Unit (38 million euros in the first nine months of 2012);

–

provision charges (100 million euros; 107 million euros in the first nine months of 2012) include 61 million euros relating to the Brazil Business Unit (67 million euros in the first nine months of 2012), 27 million euros to the Argentina Business Unit (13 million euros in the first nine months of 2012) and 11 million euros to the Domestic Business Unit (15 million euros in the first nine months of 2012);

–

telecommunications operating fees and charges (427 million euros; 480 million euros in the first nine months of 2012) include 326 million euros relating to the Brazil Business Unit (380 million euros for the first nine months of 2012), 55 million euros to the Argentina Business Unit (54 million euros in the first nine months of 2012) and 44 million euros relating to the Domestic Business Unit (45 million euros in the first nine months of 2012);

–

sundry expenses, amounting to 143 million euros (34 million euros in the first nine months of 2012) mainly relate to the Domestic Business Unit and include 84 million euros relating to the estimate of the charges for the aforementioned fine imposed by the Italian Antitrust Authority (AGCM) on conclusion of the A428 proceedings; Telecom Italia has lodged an appeal against the fine before the Administrative Court (TAR) of Lazio.

Depreciation and amortization

Details are as follows:

(millions of euros)	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 - 9/30 2012	Change

Amortization of intangible assets with a finite useful life	1,663	1,611	52
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment owned and leased	2,150	2,366	(216)
Total	3,813	3,977	(164)

The reduction in overall depreciation and amortization was attributable to the Domestic Business Unit (-49 million euros) as a result of the decrease in depreciation of tangible assets, which was offset by the increase in amortization of intangible assets, primarily due to the entry into force from January 1, 2013 of the user rights on the LTE frequencies (+50 million euros). The overall reduction was also impacted by the Brazil (-56 million euros) and Argentina (-27 million euros) Business Units, which, however, included negative exchange rate effects of 94 million euros and 80 million euros respectively. Net of this exchange rate effect, depreciation and amortization would have increased by +38 million euros for the Brazil Business Unit and +53 million euros for the Argentina Business Unit.

Gains (losses) on disposals of non-current assets

This item shows a loss of 74 million euros, mainly consisting of the realized loss, including incidental costs, of 100 million euros from the sale of La7 S.r.l. to the Cairo Communication group on April 30, 2013, after authorization for the sale was received, as required by law.

The overall impact of the sale, considering the performance of La7 S.r.l. up until the transaction date, is approximately -125 million euros for 2013, inclusive of non-controlling interests. This amount already considers the post closing price adjustment of 4.8 million euros, paid to Telecom Italia Media by the Cairo Communication group on October 25, 2013.

This charge was offset by net capital gains on non-current assets totaling 26 million euros, mainly relating to the sale of a property by the Brazilian company Telecom Italia Latam Participações e Gestão Administrativa Ltda for 48 million reais (approximately 17 million euros) and 3 million euros (including incidental costs) relating to the finalization of the sale of the controlling stake (51%) held in MTV Italia S.r.l to Viacom International Media Networks (VIMN) on September 12, 2013.

In the first nine months of 2012 the item amounted to a positive 10 million euros and was represented by net gains on disposals of non-current assets, principally referring to the Domestic Business Unit.

Impairment reversals (losses) on non-current assets

In the first nine months of 2013, these amounted to 2,212 million euros, and mainly referred to the impairment loss of 2,187 million euros on goodwill allocated to the Core Domestic Cash-Generating Unit (CGU) in the Domestic Business Unit.

During the third quarter of 2013, there were no events, circumstances or changes in key variables such as to require updating of the impairment test. Moreover, in the first half of 2013 the Group performed a goodwill impairment test and the results of that test are reflected in the above-mentioned goodwill impairment loss allocated to the Core Domestic CGU.

In the third quarter of 2013 the negative difference between the stock market capitalization and equity did not constitute a new indicator for prompting an impairment test. This was also the case for the other internal indicators, consisting of performance of the Telecom Italia Group's ordinary operations for the third quarter of 2013, which was substantially in line with the targets announced to the market and used as the basis for the impairment test at June 30, 2013.

The impairment test will be conducted for the annual financial statements at December 31, 2013, on the basis of the flows anticipated from the new 2014 - 2016 Industrial Plan and the information available from the market.

This item also includes impairment losses on non-current assets of 172 million pesos (approximately 25 million euros) for the Argentina Business Unit, relating to tangible assets and connected IT systems in several business projects and IT platforms that the company decided to abandon.

In the first nine months of 2012 this item amounted to 3 million euros and was mainly attributable to the Olivetti Business Unit.

EBIT

EBIT amounted to 1,834 million euros (4,890 million euros in the first nine months of 2012) and was impacted by the above-mentioned goodwill impairment loss of 2,187 million euros on the Domestic business.

Organic EBIT came to 4,238 million euros, down 623 million euros (-12.8%) compared to the first nine months of 2012, with an EBIT margin of 20.8% (23.3% in the first nine months of 2012).

Finance income (expenses)

Finance income (expenses) shows net expenses of 1,461 million euros (net expenses of 1,400 million euros in the first nine months of 2012), an increase of 61 million euros year-on-year.

The increase in expenses is linked to the trend in the valuations of several hedging derivatives, attributable to market fluctuations linked to currency translation (unrealized accounting changes which do not result in any actual monetary settlement), which were offset by the positive effect, of approximately 45 million euros, resulting from the application of the new accounting standard IFRS 13. As this standard requires the reflection of the risk of failure by Telecom Italia and its bank counterparts in measuring certain financial items at fair value, its introduction generated a positive effect on the Group, as the debt positions in the derivatives portfolio, that are higher than the credit positions, are reduced in order to reflect this risk.

Lastly, from January 1, 2013, finance expenses incurred through the acquisition, by the Domestic Business Unit, of user licenses for LTE mobile frequencies have no longer been capitalized as the assets to which they refer have entered into use during the period.

Income tax expense

The item totaled 980 million euros, down 241 million euros on the first nine months of 2012, largely due to the smaller taxable base of the Parent Telecom Italia**.**

Profit (loss) from discontinued operations/non-current assets held for sale

This item reported a loss of 6 million euros, referring to net charges for transactions in prior years.

Profit (loss) for the period

Profit (loss) for the period breaks down as follows:

(millions of euros)	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 - 9/30 2012
Profit (loss) for the period	(611)	2,263
Attributable to:		
Owners of the Parent:		
Profit (loss) from continuing operations	(896)	1,938
Profit (loss) from discontinued operations/non-current assets held for sale	(6)	–
Profit (loss) for the period attributable to owners of the Parent	(902)	1,938
Non-controlling interests:		
Profit (loss) from continuing operations	291	325
Profit (loss) from discontinued operations/non-current assets held for sale	–	–
Profit (loss) for the period attributable to non-controlling interests	291	325

Consolidated Operating Performance for the Third Quarter of 2013

(millions of euros)	3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	Change (a-b)		
	(a)	(b)	amount	%	% organic
Revenues	6,629	7,268	(639)	(8.8)	(1.1)
EBITDA	2,697	3,001	(304)	(10.1)	(7.1)
EBITDA Margin	40.7%	41.3%	(0.6) pp		
Organic EBITDA Margin	40.7%	43.3%	(2.6) pp		
EBIT	1,481	1,691	(210)	(12.4)	(12.5)
EBIT margin	22.3%	23.3%	(1.0) pp		
Organic EBIT margin	22.3%	25.2%	(2.9) pp		
Profit (loss) before tax from continuing operations	969	1,206	(237)	(19.7)	
Profit (loss) from continuing operations	622	807	(185)	(22.9)	
Profit (loss) from discontinued operations/non-current assets held for sale	(9)	–	(9)		
Profit (loss) for the period	613	807	(194)	(24.0)	
Profit (loss) for the period attributable to owners of the Parent	505	696	(191)	(27.4)	

Revenues

Consolidated revenues for the third quarter of 2013 decreased by 639 million euros compared with the third quarter of 2012 (-8.8%). In organic terms, the decrease was 1.1%. This change was the result of the shrinkage in the domestic area (-9.1% in organic terms compared to the same period of the prior year), only partly offset by the positive performance of the Brazil and Argentina Business Units, which generated increases in organic terms of 7.6% and 26% respectively.

EBITDA

Consolidated EBITDA for the third quarter of 2013 was down 304 million euros (-10.1%) year-on-year. In organic terms, the decrease was 7.1%, essentially attributable to the Domestic Business Unit. The Reported EBITDA margin was 40.7%, 0.6 percentage points lower than the third quarter of 2012 (41.3%). The organic EBITDA margin, instead,

was down 2.6 percentage points to 40.7% (43.3% in the third quarter of 2012).

EBIT

Consolidated EBIT for the third quarter of 2013 came to 1,481 million euros, down 210 million euros compared with the same three months in the prior year (-12.4%). Organic Consolidated EBIT decreased 12.5%. The Reported EBIT margin was 22.3% in the third quarter of 2013, one percentage point lower than the third quarter of 2012 (23.3%). The organic EBIT margin, amounting to 22.3% (25.2% in the third quarter of 2012), instead, was 2.9 percentage points lower.

Profit (loss) for the period attributable to owners of the Parent

The profit for the third quarter of 2013 attributable to owners of the Parent was 505 million euros, down 191 million euros (-27.4%) compared with the third quarter of 2012.

Key Operating and Financial Data
The Business Units of the Telecom Italia Group

Domestic

(millions of euros)	3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1 9/30 2013	1/1 9/30 2012	% Change		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(a/b)	(c/d)	Organic (c/d)
Revenues	3,965	4,365	12,069	13,413	(9.2)	(10.0)	(10.0)
EBITDA	2,037	2,290	5,861	6,696	(11.0)	(12.5)	(10.9)
EBITDA Margin	*51.4*	*52.5*	*48.6*	*49.9*	*(1.1)pp*	*(1.3)pp*	*(0.4)pp*
EBIT	1,179	1,407	1,032	4,012	(16.2)	(74.3)	(16.6)
EBIT Margin	*29.7*	*32.2*	*8.6*	*29.9*	*(2.5)pp*	*(21.3)pp*	*(2.2)pp*
Headcount at period-end (number)			52,903	(*) 53,224		(0.6)	

(*) Headcount at December 31, 2012.

Fixed

	9/30/2013	12/31/2012	9/30/2012
Physical accesses at period-end (thousands)[(1)]	20,536	21,153	21,195
of which Retail physical accesses at period-end (thousands)	*13,372*	*13,978*	*14,133*
Domestic BU broadband accesses at period-end (thousands) [(2)]	8,732	8,967	8,992
of which Retail broadband accesses at period-end (thousands)	*6,892*	*7,020*	*7,030*
Network infrastructure in Italy:			
copper access network (millions of km pair, distribution and connection)	114.8	114.5	112.6
access and carrier network in optical fiber (millions of km - fiber)	6.3	5.7	4.9
Total traffic:			
Minutes of traffic on fixed-line network (billions)	67.1	101.8	76.4
Domestic traffic	57.0	85.9	64.5
International traffic	10.1	15.9	11.9
DownStream and UpStream traffic volumes (PBytes)	1,842	2,202	1,598

(1)

Excludes full-infrastructured OLOs and WIMAX.

(2)

Excludes LLU and NAKED, satellite and full-infrastructured OLOs, and WIMAX.

Mobile [1]

	9/30/2013	12/31/2012	9/30/2012
Number of lines at period-end (thousands)	31,554	32,159	32,123
Change in lines (%, compared with 12/31 prev. year)	(1.9)	(0.2)	(0.3)
Churn rate (%) [2]	23.1	26.6	19.5
Total average outgoing traffic per month (millions of minutes)	3,549	3,664	3,667
Total average outgoing and incoming traffic per month (millions of minutes)	5,003	4,921	4,904
Mobile browsing volumes (PBytes) [3]	72.3	93.1	69.2
Average monthly revenues per line (in euros) [4]	13.1	15.5	15.7

(1)

As announced in the Half-Year Financial Report at June 30, 2013, the Company set up a specific working group to verify and update the framework of rules (Guidelines) that govern the reasons for rechargeable SIM card extensions, with specific regard to additional reasons with respect to the top-up. The working group established that the only general criterion that could result in the extension of the life of SIM cards concern sales or after-sales marketing cases, explicitly requested by the customer (free of charge or for-pay), or events resulting in charges to the cards. In application of this criterion, the Guidelines and internal procedures relating to the SIM card extensions were updated.

Based on this general criterion, approximately 470,000 rechargeable SIM cards were identified that were still valid at September 30, 2013 because they had been extended as a result of cases not compliant with the new Guidelines. The Company defined the methods and timeframes for the regularization of these cards (including deactivation), which will be completed by the end of the first quarter of 2014.

Specific monitoring activities will also be set up to check if there are additional rechargeable SIM cards that may be subject to automatic extensions that do not comply with the new Guidelines.

(2)

The data refers to total lines. The churn rate represents the number of mobile customers who discontinued service during the period expressed as a percentage of the average number of customers.

(3)

National traffic excluding roaming.

(4)

The values are calculated on the basis of revenues from services (including revenues from prepaid cards) as a percentage of the average number of lines.

The financial and operating highlights of the Domestic Business Unit are reported according to two Cash Generating Units (CGU):

•

Core Domestic: includes all telecommunications activities inherent to the Italian market. Revenues are broken down in the following tables according to the net contribution of each market segment to the CGU s results, excluding intrasegment transactions. The sales market segments defined on the basis of the customer centric organizational model are as follows:

–

Consumer: comprises the aggregate of voice and Internet services and products managed and developed for persons and families in the Fixed and Mobile telecommunications markets and also public telephony;

–

Business: expanded as of the beginning of 2013 to include Top customers, the segment consists of voice, data, and Internet services and products, and ICT solutions managed and developed for small and medium-size enterprises (SMEs), Small Offices/Home Offices (SOHOs), Top customers, the Public Sector, Large Accounts, and Enterprises in the Fixed and Mobile telecommunications markets;

–

National Wholesale: consists of the management and development of the portfolio of regulated and unregulated wholesale services for Fixed and Mobile telecommunications operators in the domestic market;

–

Other (Support Structures): includes:

–

Technology & IT: constitutes services related to the development, building and operation of network infrastructures, real estate properties and plant engineering, delivery processes and assurance regarding customer services in addition to the development and operation of information services;

–

Staff & Other: services carried out by Staff functions and other support activities performed by minor companies of the Group also offered to the market and other Business Units.

•

International Wholesale: includes the activities of the Telecom Italia Sparkle group which operates in the international voice, data and Internet services market aimed at fixed and mobile telecommunications operators, ISPs/ASPs (Wholesale market) and multinational companies through its own networks in the European, Mediterranean and South American markets.

Main financial data

Key results of the Domestic Business Unit for the third quarter and first nine months of 2013, overall and by customer segment/business area, compared with the corresponding periods of 2012 are shown in the following tables.

Core Domestic

(millions of euros)	3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1 9/30 2013	1/1 9/30 2012	% Change		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(a/b)	(c/d)	Organic (c/d)
Revenues	3,716	4,131	11,403	12,701	(10.0)	(10.2)	(10.3)
Consumer	*1,948*	*2,153*	*5,960*	*6,585*	*(9.5)*	*(9.5)*	*(9.5)*
Business (1)	*1,258*	*1,408*	*3,885*	*4,421*	*(10.7)*	*(12.1)*	*(12.1)*
National Wholesale	*467*	*521*	*1,430*	*1,556*	*(10.4)*	*(8.1)*	*(8.6)*
Other	*43*	*49*	*128*	*139*	*(12.2)*	*(7.9)*	*(7.9)*
EBITDA	1,984	2,235	5,715	6,544	(11.2)	(12.7)	(11.1)
EBITDA Margin	*53.4*	*54.1*	*50.1*	*51.5*	*(0.7) pp*	*(1.4) pp*	*(0.4) pp*
EBIT	1,148	1,376	955	3,932	(16.6)	(75.7)	(17.0)
EBIT Margin	*30.9*	*33.3*	*8.4*	*31.0*	*(2.4) pp*	*(22.6) pp*	*(2.3) pp*
Headcount at period-end (number)			52,148	(*) 52,289		(0.3)	

(*)

Headcount at December 31, 2012.

(1)

Includes Top customers revenues as of January 1, 2013. Figures for the periods under comparison have been restated accordingly.

International Wholesale

(millions of euros)	3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 9/30 2012	% Change		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(a/b)	(c/d)	Organic (c/d)
Revenues	339	341	935	1,050	(0.6)	(11.0)	(10.4)
of which third party	*254*	*241*	*679*	*741*	*5.4*	*(8.4)*	*(7.6)*
EBITDA	55	58	151	161	(5.2)	(6.2)	(3.2)
EBITDA Margin	*16.2*	*17.0*	*16.1*	*15.3*	*(0.8) pp*	*0.8 pp*	*1.3 pp*

EBIT	29	31	74	81	(6.5)	(8.6)	(3.8)
EBIT Margin	*8.6*	*9.1*	*7.9*	*7.7*	*(0.5) pp*	*0.2 pp*	*0.5 pp*
Headcount at period-end (number)			755	(*) 935		(19.3)	

(*)

Headcount at December 31, 2012.

Revenues

In a negative economic scenario worse than expectations, which forecast a recovery during the year and a market environment, especially in the first few months of the year, of stiff competition with accelerating price reductions (particularly in the Mobile business and traditional services), the decline in revenues was also significantly influenced by several additional regulatory changes.

Specifically, revenues were affected by the entry into force as of July 1, 2013 of new mobile termination rates (MTR), which are 35% lower than the rates applicable in the first half of 2013 and 61% lower than those applicable in the same period of 2012 (0.98 euro cents per minute versus 2.5 euro cents in the second half of 2012, and 1.5 euro cents in the first half of 2013), with an overall negative impact of 303 million euros (-358 million euros in the Mobile business). Furthermore, the recent decisions of AGCom regarding copper network access rates resulted in an additional negative impact of 85 million euros compared to the first nine months of 2012. In the actual figures of the first nine months of 2013, Telecom Italia applied, with retroactive effect as of January 1, 2013, the values set forth in the two tables of the measures on rates for 2013 (published in July 2013) to wholesale access rates for the copper network (Local Loop Unbundling, naked bitstream and shared bitstream services). Telecom Italia also believes that those decisions on 2013 rates have aspects that conflict with the European regulatory framework, and has provided the European Commission with its comments. If these decisions are confirmed, Telecom Italia will lodge an appeal with the competent legal forums.

Excluding the aforementioned impact of the reduction in mobile termination rates and the change in rates for wholesale access to the network, the performance would have been -7.1% on the first nine months of 2012, with a more or less stable trend in the third quarter (-7.2% compared with -7.3 % in the first half of 2013).

In this context, the organic change in the first nine months of 2013 on the same period of the prior year saw a decrease of 10.0%, with a slight improvement in the last quarter (-9.1% in the third quarter compared with -10.5% in the first half of 2013), mainly attributable to the lessening of the impact of the above-mentioned reduction in termination rates (MTR).

The trend of falling revenues was primarily due to the decline in revenues from traditional services, which were only marginally offset by the growth in innovative services, particularly Fixed-line Broadband, ICT and Mobile Internet in the Consumer segment.

In detail:

•

Consumer: revenues for the Consumer segment for the first nine months of 2013 amounted to a total of 5,960 million euros, decreasing 625 million euros compared with the same period of 2012 (-9.5%). Nonetheless, the decline compared with the previous periods slowed in the third quarter (-8.9% in the first quarter of 2013, -10.1% in the second quarter of 2013), mainly due to the weaker impact of the reduction in mobile termination rates revenues (MTR) and - to a lesser extent - the improvement in the commercial and competitive performance in the Mobile segment. However, the latter still showed a sharp decline in revenues due to strong competition and the resulting pressure on prices and churn rates, which were particularly strong in the first half of 2013. The decrease in revenues for the first nine months of the year was mainly attributable to revenues from Mobile services (-546 million euros, -16.8%), particularly traditional voice services (-503 million euros, comprising 266 million euros also attributable to the reduction in MTR) and Messaging services (-67 million euros), only partially offset by the development of Mobile Internet revenues (+43 million euros). The Fixed-line business also decreased, by -123 million euros (-3.9%) on the first nine months of 2012, again due to traditional voice services (-147 million euros), as a result of the decline in the number of accesses and the reduction in traffic usage, only slightly offset by the growth in Broadband services (+25 million euros);

•

Business: revenues for the Business segment in the first nine months of 2013 totaled 3,885 million euros, representing a fall of 536 million euros (-12.1%) compared to the corresponding period of 2012. The decline was largely due to revenues from services (-517 million euros, -12.3%), which fell by -266 million euros in the Mobile segment (-20.4%) and by -273 million euros (-9.2%) in the Fixed-line segment. Specifically, in the Mobile segment this decline was attributable to the downturn in revenues from voice traffic, as a result of the dilution of ARPU revenues, of the above-mentioned reduction in mobile termination rates (-93 million euros) and, only very slightly, of the loss of human customer base (-0.2% compared with the same period of 2012). On the other hand, the Fixed-line business continued to feel the effects of the cooling of demand, due to the economic recession and the contraction in prices on the more traditional voice and data services. Both in the Mobile and Fixed-line segments, this trend showed initial signs of easing in the last quarter, which saw a recovery (-10.7% compared with -12.8% in the first half of 2013);

•

National Wholesale: revenues for the Wholesale segment in the first nine months of 2013 totaled 1,430 million euros, down 126 million euros (-8.1%) compared to the same period of 2012, entirely attributable to the regulatory price reductions on LLU, Bitstream, Wholesale Line Rental access and termination.

International Wholesale Revenues

International Wholesale revenues in the first nine months of 2013 totaled 935 million euros, down 115 million euros (-11%) year-on-year. The decline involved Voice services in particular (-84 million euros, -11%), following the annual review of bilateral accords and transit arrangements, which resulted in the decision to focus on renewing agreements offering higher margins. Revenues from IP/Data services were down (-18 million euros, -8%) mainly in the captive market segment. Despite the overall increase in total bandwidth sold, the market also suffered from an increasingly competitive scenario and the resulting fall in prices. Also down, particularly in the Domestic component, was the Multinational Companies business segment (-16 million euros, -26%). However, it should be noted that, compared to previous periods, revenues for the third quarter of 2013 showed a significant recovery over the corresponding period of 2012 (-0.6% compared with -13.5% in the second quarter of 2013 and -18.4% in the first quarter of 2013).

The continuous attention to traffic margins, as well as the cost-cutting measures generated an EBITDA in the first nine months of 2013 of 151 million euros. Though this figure was down in absolute value (-10 million euros), it showed an increase in profitability of 0.8 percentage points compared with the first nine months of 2012.

EBITDA

EBITDA of the Domestic Business Unit was 5,861 million euros for the first nine months of 2013, down 835 million euros compared with the first nine months of 2012 (-12.5%). The EBITDA margin came to 48.6%, down slightly by -1.3 percentage points year-on-year. EBITDA was impacted by the contraction in revenues from services (-1,315 million euros, -394 million euros in the third quarter) and by the Antitrust penalty under the A428 proceedings (84 million euros), offset only in part by the reduction in the portion of revenues due to other operators (primarily attributable to the reduction in termination rates) and efficiency improvements achieved by selective control and containment of operating expenses.

Organic EBITDA in the first nine months of 2013 amounted to 5,982 million euros (-729 million euros or -10.9% compared with the first nine months of 2012), with an organic EBITDA margin of 49.6%, substantially in line with the same period of the previous year (-0.4 percentage points). Without the reduction in rates for wholesale access to the network, EBITDA would have been down 9.6% (-9.7% in the third quarter).

EBITDA for the third quarter of 2013 was 2,037 million euros, down 253 million euros compared with the corresponding period of 2012 (-11%). In organic terms, the reduction was 249 million euros (-10.9%).

With regard to the change in the main costs, the following is noted:

(millions of euros)	1/1 9/30/2013	1/1 - 9/30/2012	Change
Acquisition of goods and services	4,250	4,739	(489)
Employee benefits expenses	2,016	2,103	(87)
Other operating expenses	486	431	55

In particular:

- acquisition of goods and services fell by 489 million euros (-10.3%) compared to the first nine months of 2012. This reduction was mainly due to a decline in revenues due to other TLC operators, owing principally to the reduction in Mobile termination rates, but also to efficiency measures and cost containment;

- employee benefits expenses decreased by 87 million euros, from 2,103 million euros in the first nine months of 2012 to 2,016 million euros in the first nine months of 2013. The drop was mainly due to lower ordinary personnel costs, which were offset by expenses for mobility under Law 223/91 totaling 21 million euros, recognized after a framework agreement was reached by the Parent Telecom Italia with trade unions on March 27, 2013;

- other operating expenses increased by 55 million euros compared to the same period of 2012. These included 84 million euros relating to the estimate of the charges for the fine imposed by the

Italian Antitrust Authority (AGCM) on conclusion of the A428 proceedings; Telecom Italia has lodged an appeal against the fine before the Administrative Court (TAR) of Lazio. This effect was however partly offset by the reduction in expenses in connection with credit management (-34 million euros compared to the first nine months of 2012), mainly attributable to the reduction in credits sold.

Details of other operating expenses are shown in the table below:

(millions of euros)	1/1 9/30/2013	1/1 - 9/30/2012	Change
Write-downs and expenses in connection with credit management	215	249	(34)
Provision charges	11	15	(4)
Telecommunications operating fees and charges	44	45	(1)
Indirect duties and taxes	80	76	4
Sundry expenses	136	46	90
Total	486	431	55

EBIT

EBIT amounted to 1,032 million euros in the first nine months of 2013, down 2,980 million euros compared to the same period of 2012 (4,012 million euros). This figure was driven down in particular by the goodwill impairment loss on the Domestic Cash Generating Unit of 2,187 million euros, recognized in the Half-Year Financial Report at June 30, 2013, recognized on the basis of the impairment test results.

In organic terms, calculated excluding in particular the aforementioned goodwill impairment loss, EBIT came to 3,340 million euros in the first nine months of 2013, down 667 million euros (-16.6%) compared to the same period of 2012 (4,007 million euros). The EBIT margin decreased from 29.9% in the first nine months of 2012 to 27.7% in the first nine months of 2013.

EBIT for the third quarter of 2013 was 1,179 million euros, down 228 million euros compared with the corresponding period of 2012 (-16.2%). In organic terms, the reduction was 224 million euros (-15.9%).

Brazil

	(millions of euros)				(millions of Brazilian reais)				% Change		
	3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1-9/30 2013	1/1 9/30 2012	3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 - 9/30 2012			
					(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(a/b)	(c/d)	Organic (c/d)
Revenues	1,660	1,862	5,280	5,595	5,083	4,722	14,738	13,738	7.6	7.3	7.3
EBITDA	407	473	1,326	1,460	1,249	1,201	3,701	3,586	4.0	3.2	2.0
EBITDA Margin	*24.6*	*25.4*	*25.1*	*26.1*	*24.6*	*25.4*	*25.1*	*26.1*	*(0.8) pp*	*(1.0) pp*	*(1.3) pp*
EBIT	183	220	603	680	561	560	1,682	1,670	0.2	0.7	(1.8)
EBIT Margin	*11.0*	*11.9*	*11.4*	*12.2*	*11.0*	*11.9*	*11.4*	*12.2*	*(0.9) pp*	*(0.8) pp*	*(1.1) pp*
Headcount at period-end (number)							11,796 (*)	11,622		1.5	

(*)

Headcount at December 31, 2012.

	9/30/2013	9/30/2012
Number of lines at period-end (thousands)	72,878	(1) 70,362
MOU (minutes/month)(2)	147.4	130.8
ARPU (reais)	18.4	18.8

(1) Number at December 31, 2012.

(2) Net of visitors.

Main financial data

Revenues

Revenues for the first nine months of 2013 amounted to 14,738 million reais, up 1,000 million reais on the same period of 2012 (+7.3%). Revenues from services totaled 12,359 million reais, up 2.1% on 12,100 million reais for the same period of 2012. Revenues from product sales were up from 1,638 million reais in the first nine months of 2012 to 2,379 million reais in the first nine months of 2013 (+45.2%), reflecting the Company s market penetration with high-end handsets (smartphones/web phones) and tablets as an important lever for the expansion of revenues from data services.

Average Revenues Per User (ARPU) for the first nine months of 2013 fell to 18.4 reais, compared with 18.8 reais in the same period of 2012 (-2.1%). The performance of ARPU and revenues from services not only reflects competitive pressures that have led to a decline in revenue per user in the voice business, but also the lower mobile operator network interconnection rate.

The total number of lines at September 30, 2013 was 72.9 million, an increase of 3.6% compared with December 31, 2012.

Revenues for the third quarter of 2013 amounted to 5,083 million reais, up 361 million reais on the same period of the prior year (+7.6%). Services grew by 107 million reais (+2.6%) compared with the third quarter of 2012, and growth in revenues from the sale of handsets was 254 million reais (+40.8%) compared with the third quarter of 2012.

EBITDA

EBITDA in the first nine months of 2013 amounted to 3,701 million reais, an improvement of 115 million reais (+3.2%) year-on-year. The increase in EBITDA was driven by higher revenues, mainly relating to VAS, partially offset by higher costs for the acquisition of goods and services and employee benefits expenses. The EBITDA margin was 25.1%, down 1 percentage point compared with the first nine months of 2012. The first nine months of 2012 included non-organic expenses of 42 million reais. Organic EBITDA in the first nine months of 2013 was up 73 million reais on the same period of 2012 (+2.0%). The organic EBITDA margin was 25.1%, down 1.3 percentage points compared to the same period of 2012.

EBITDA in the third quarter of 2013 came to 1,249 million reais, up 48 million reais compared to the same period of 2012 (+4.0%). Organic EBITDA increased by 6 million reais (+0.5%).

With regard to the change in the main costs, the following is noted:

	(millions of euros)		(millions of Brazilian reais)		
	1/1 9/30/2013	1/1 - 9/30/2012	1/1 - 9/30/2013	1/1 - 9/30/2012	Change
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(c-d)
Acquisition of goods and services	3,321	3,405	9,269	8,362	907
Employee benefits expenses	263	257	734	630	104
Other operating expenses	490	560	1,367	1,375	(8)
Change in inventories	(46)	(21)	(127)	(52)	(75)

•

acquisition of goods and services: totaled 9,269 million reais (8,362 million reais for the first nine months of 2012). The 10.8% increase year-on-year (+907 million reais) breaks down as follows:

+687 million reais for purchases referring primarily to product cost;
+181 million reais for external service costs;
+105 million reais for rent and lease costs;
- 66 million reais for the revenues due to other TLC operators;

•

employee benefits expenses: amounted to 734 million reais, increasing 104 million reais compared with the first nine months of 2012 (+16.5%). The average workforce grew from 9,917 employees in the first nine months of 2012 to 10,561 employees in the first nine months of 2013. The percentage of employee benefits expenses to revenues was 5.0%, increasing 0.4 percentage points compared with the first nine months of 2012;

•

other operating expenses amounted to 1,367 million reais, decreasing 0.6% (1,375 million reais over the first nine months of 2012). These expenses consisted of the following:

(millions of Brazilian reais)	1/1 - 9/30/2013	1/1 - 9/30/2012	Change
Write-downs and expenses in connection with credit management	196	199	(3)
Provision charges	170	166	4
Telecommunications operating fees and charges	910	933	(23)
Indirect duties and taxes	44	22	22
Sundry expenses	47	55	(8)
Total	1,367	1,375	(8)

EBIT

EBIT was 1,682 million reais, increasing 12 million reais compared with the first nine months of 2012. This increase was due to a higher contribution by EBITDA partially offset by higher depreciation and amortization charges of 104 million reais (2,017 million reais in the first nine months of 2013, compared with 1,913 million reais in the first nine months of 2012).

Organic EBIT in the first nine months of 2013 was 1,682 million reais, down 30 million reais on the same period of 2012 (-1.8%).

EBIT for the third quarter of 2013 was 561 million reais, up 1 million reais compared with the corresponding period of 2012 (+0.2%). Organic Consolidated EBIT decreased by 6.8%.

Argentina

	(millions of euros)				(millions of Argentine pesos)					
	3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 9/30 2012	3rd Quarter 2013 (a)	3rd Quarter 2012 (b)	1/1 - 9/30 2013 (c)	1/1 9/30 2012 (d)	% Change (a/b)	(c/d)
Revenues	962	981	2,852	2,804	7,114	5,645	19,826	16,024	26.0	23.7
EBITDA	259	275	796	825	1,922	1,583	5,537	4,714	21.4	17.5
EBITDA Margin	*27.0*	*28.0*	*27.9*	*29.4*	*27.0*	*28.0*	*27.9*	*29.4*	*(1.0) pp*	*(1.5) pp*
EBIT	127	123	353	378	928	710	2,452	2,162	30.7	13.4
EBIT Margin	*13.0*	*12.6*	*12.4*	*13.5*	*13.0*	*12.6*	*12.4*	*13.5*	*0.4 pp*	*(1.1) pp*
Headcount at period-end (number)(*)							16,654 (**)	16,803		(0.9)

(*) Includes employees with temp work contracts: 1 at September 30, 2013, and 3 at December 31, 2012.

(**) Headcount at December 31, 2012.

	9/30/2013	12/31/2012	Change amount	%
Fixed-line				
Lines at period-end (thousands)	4,124	4,128	(4)	(0.1)
ARBU (Average Revenue Billed per User) (Argentine pesos)	51.8	47.7 (1)	4.1	8.6
Mobile				
Lines at period-end (thousands)	22,262	21,276	986	4.6
Telecom Personal lines (thousands)	19,855	18,975	880	4.6
% postpaid lines (2)	*32%*	*33%*	*(1) pp*	
MOU Telecom Personal (minutes/month)	95	98 (1)	(3)	(3.1)
ARPU Telecom Personal (Argentine pesos)	66.1	55.8 (1)	10.3	18.5
Núcleo mobile lines (thousands) (3)	2,407	2,301	106	4.6
% postpaid lines (2)	*20%*	*19%*	*1 pp*	
Broadband				
Broadband accesses at period-end (thousands)	1,669	1,629	40	2.5
ARPU (Argentine pesos)	121.4	99.2 (1)	22.2	22.4

(1)

Data relating to the first nine months of 2012.

(2)

Includes lines with a ceiling invoiced at the end of the month which can be topped-up with prepaid refills.

(3)

Includes WiMAX lines.

Revenues

Revenues for the first nine months of 2013 amounted to 19,826 million pesos, increasing 3,802 million pesos (+23.7%) compared with the corresponding period of 2012 (16,024 million pesos), mainly thanks to the growth of the mobile customer base and the increase in the relative average revenue per user (ARPU). The main source of revenues was mobile telephony, which accounted for 74% of the consolidated revenues of the Business Unit, an increase of approximately 26.7% year-on-year.

Revenues for the third quarter of 2013 totaled 7,114 million pesos, increasing 1,469 million pesos compared with the corresponding period of 2012 (5,645 million pesos).

Fixed-line telephony service**:** the number of fixed lines at September 30, 2013 decreased slightly compared to the end of 2012. Even though regulated fixed-line services in Argentina continued to be influenced by the rate freeze imposed by the Emergency Economic Law of January 2002, ARBU rose by 8.6% compared to the first nine months of 2012, thanks to the sale of additional services and the spread of traffic plans.

Mobile telephony service**:** Telecom Personal mobile lines in Argentina increased by 880 thousand compared to the end of 2012, arriving at a total of 19,855 thousand lines, 32% of which were postpaid. At the same time, thanks to high-value customer acquisitions and leadership in the smartphone segment, ARPU grew by 18.5% to 66.1 pesos (55.8 pesos in the first nine months of 2012). A large part of this growth was attributable to value-added services (including SMS messaging and Internet) which together accounted for 58% of revenues from mobile telephony services in the first nine months of 2013.

In Paraguay, the Núcleo customer base grew about 4.6% compared to December 31, 2012, reaching 2,407 thousand lines, 20% of which are postpaid.

Broadband**:** Telecom Argentina s portfolio of broadband lines totaled 1,669 thousand accesses at September 30, 2013, an increase of 40,000 on the end 2012 figure. ARPU rose by 22.4% to 121.4 pesos (99.2 pesos in the first nine months of 2012), largely thanks to up-selling strategies and price adjustments.

EBITDA

EBITDA showed an increase of 823 million pesos (+17.5%) on the first nine months of 2012, reaching 5,537 million pesos. The EBITDA margin came to 27.9%, down 1.5 percentage points compared to the same period of 2012, mainly due to higher employee benefits expenses, and other operating expenses, particularly as a result of the increased tax on gross revenues and higher provision charges for regulatory risks. EBITDA for the third quarter of 2013 was 1,922 million pesos, up 339 million pesos compared with the corresponding period of 2012 (1,583 million pesos).

With regard to the change in the main costs, the following is noted:

(millions of euros)

	(millions of Argentine pesos)				
	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 9/30 2012	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 9/30 2012	Change
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(c-d)
Acquisition of goods and services	1,320	1,294	9,176	7,396	1,780
Employee benefits expenses	436	421	3,028	2,408	620
Other operating expenses	368	306	2,561	1,746	815
Change in inventories	(64)	(39)	(450)	(224)	(226)

- acquisition of goods and services: totaled 9,176 million pesos (7,396 million pesos for the first nine months of 2012). The increase of 24.1% compared to the same period of the prior year (+1,780 million pesos) was mainly due to higher external service costs for 598 million pesos and greater purchases of goods of 995 million pesos;

- employee benefits expenses amounted to 3,028 million pesos, up 620 million pesos compared with the first nine months of 2012 (+25.7%). The change was due to salary increases as a result of periodic revisions in union agreements, primarily linked to inflation. The percentage of employee benefits expenses to revenues was 15.3%, up 0.3 percentage points compared with the first nine months of 2012;

- other operating expenses amounted to 2,561 million pesos, up 46.7% (1,746 million pesos over the first nine months of 2012). These expenses consisted of the following:

(millions of Argentine pesos)	1/1 9/30 2013	1/1 9/30 2012	Change
Write-downs and expenses in connection with credit management	221	218	3
Provision charges	187	76	111
Telecommunications operating fees and charges	380	306	74
Indirect duties and taxes	1,572	1,144	428
Sundry expenses	201	2	199
Total	2,561	1,746	815

Note that the change in Sundry expenses was essentially due to the presence of costs previously classified under acquisition of goods and services.

EBIT

EBIT for the first nine months of 2013 came to 2,452 million pesos compared with 2,162 million pesos recorded for the same period of last year. The increase of 290 million pesos was substantially due to the improvement in EBITDA, partly offset by increased amortization and depreciation of 368 million pesos, also resulting from the reduction in the useful lives of Customer Relationships at the end of 2012, and impairment losses on non-current assets of 172 million pesos, mainly relating to several business projects and IT platforms that the company decided to abandon.

The EBIT margin was 12.4% (-1.1 percentage points compared to the same period of the prior year). EBIT for the third quarter of 2013 was 928 million pesos, up 218 million pesos compared with the corresponding period of 2012.

Media

(millions of euros)	3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 9/30 2012	% Change		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(a/b)	(c/d)	Organic (c/d)
Revenues	20	41	108	161	(51.2)	(32.9)	(12.9)
EBITDA	5	(10)	(6)	(26)		76.9	
EBITDA Margin	*25.0*	(24.9)	*(5.6)*	*(16.4)*		*10.8 pp*	*(5.6) pp*
EBIT[(1)]	5	(26)	(129)	(72)		(79.2)	0.0
EBIT Margin	*25.0*	*(63.4)*		(44.8)			*(3.8) pp*
Headcount at period-end (number) [(°)]			84	[(*)] 735		(88.6)	

(1)

EBIT of the Media Business Unit was driven down by 100 million euros deriving from the loss realized on the sale of La7 S.r.l. on April 30, 2013 and driven up by 3 million euros from the gain realized on the sale of MTV Italia on September 12, 2013.

(°) The figure includes personnel with temp work contracts: 0 at September 30, 2013 and 36 at December 31, 2012.

(*)

Headcount at December 31, 2012.

At September 30, 2013, Telecom Italia Media Broadcasting's three Digital Multiplexes cover 95.2% of the Italian population.

Sale of La7 S.r.l.

On April 30, 2013, after authorization for the sale was received, as required by law, Telecom Italia Media completed the sale of La7 S.r.l. to Cairo Communication, on the terms and conditions announced to the market in March 2013.

The agreement followed the transfer, effective as of September 1, 2012, of a business area consisting of television assets held by Telecom Italia Media S.p.A. to La7 S.r.l., which at the time was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Telecom Italia Media S.p.A.

The broadcaster was sold at a price of approximately 1 million euros. Prior to the transfer of the investment, La7 S.r.l. was recapitalized by Telecom Italia Media S.p.A. in order to ensure that at the date of the sale the company had a positive net financial position of no less than 88 million euros. The recapitalization also contributed to giving La7 S.r.l. an agreed equity of 138 million euros.

As a result of the transaction, Telecom Italia S.p.A. has waived financial receivables due from Telecom Italia Media S.p.A. for a total amount of 100 million euros.

The review of the Statement of Accounts on the Execution Date was concluded on October 25, 2013. As a result of this, considering that the equity of La7 recognized at that date was higher than the value provided in the agreement, Telecom Italia Media and Cairo Communication agreed that the Cairo Communication group will pay Telecom Italia Media a price adjustment of 4.8 million euros.

Based on the agreements entered into and also taking account of the expected performance of La7 S.r.l. up to the date of disposal, negative income statement impacts have been recognized for the entire year 2013, including the profit (loss) for the period of La7 of around 125 million euros, before amounts due to non-controlling interests.

Sale of the MTV group

Telecom Italia Media's sale of the entire stake in the MTV group to Viacom was finalized on September 12, 2013. This stake was composed of the 51% investment held in MTV Italia S.r.l. and its subsidiary, MTV Pubblicità S.r.l. The consideration for the sale was 13.4 million euros, an amount that also includes the adjustment made based on the changes in working capital. As a result of this transaction, Telecom Italia Media waived financial receivables of approximately 9 million euros, due from MTV Italia at the signing date of the agreement.

Lastly, the parties agreed on the long-term renewal of the supply of transmission capacity and services by Telecom Italia Media Broadcasting S.r.l. to MTV Italia S.r.l.

The transaction had a positive impact of approximately 3 million euros on the consolidated income statement. Including the losses realized in the period by the MTV group, the total effect was negative by over 8 million euros.

In light of the above transactions, the table below shows figures for the third quarter and the first nine months of 2013 and of 2012, restated to exclude the results of both companies that have been sold.

(millions of euros)	3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 9/30 2012	% Change	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(a/b)	(c/d)
Revenues	18	19	56	56	(5.3)	-
EBITDA	8	9	26	29	(11.1)	(10.3)
EBITDA Margin	*44.4*	*47.4*	*46.4*	*51.8*	*(3) pp*	*(5.4) pp*
EBIT	1	2	5	9	(50)	(44.4)
EBIT Margin	*5.6*	*10.5*	*8.9*	*16.1*	*(4.9) pp*	*(7.2) pp*
Headcount at period-end (number)			84	87(*)		(3.4)

(*)

Headcount at December 31, 2012.

Revenues

Revenues amounted to 56 million euros for the first nine months of 2013, substantially in line with the same period of 2012.

EBITDA

EBITDA was positive by 26 million euros in the first nine months of 2013, down about 3 million euros compared to the same period of 2012. This result mainly reflects the overall increase in other operating expenses of Telecom Italia Media Broadcasting by 4 million euros, relating to several provision charges for trade receivables and future expenses, only partially offset by the above-mentioned increase in revenues and a reduction in costs for acquisition of goods and services and in employee benefits expenses. Higher net costs were also recorded for Telecom Italia Media S.p.A. of 1 million euro (including costs incurred for the sales of La7 and MTV Italia).

EBIT

EBIT was positive by 5 million euros, compared to 9 million euros in the first nine months of 2012, representing a drop of 4 million euros. The figure was driven down essentially by lower EBITDA, as described above, and higher depreciation and amortization charges of the network operator (TIMB).

Events Subsequent to September 30, 2013

On October 7, 2013, the Company signed a non-binding term sheet, following a resolution passed by the Board of Directors, which agreed its contents and approved continuation to formulate a definitive agreement, for the possible integration between the subsidiary Telecom Italia Media Broadcasting (TIMB) and the operations of the network operator Rete A (a subsidiary of Gruppo Editoriale L Espresso), with a view to enhancing the value of the assets of both companies also through industrial synergies.

As a result of the integration of five multiplexes with national coverage (three from TIMB and two from Rete A) into a single digital technological platform, the transaction subject to the authorizations required under the applicable regulations would create the leading independent network operator in Italy, under the control of Telecom Italia Media.

The Board of Directors of Telecom Italia Media also took note, as a partial improvement of the Company s financial position (which remains in the conditions envisaged in article 2446 of the Italian Civil Code), of Telecom Italia s waiver of financial receivables due from Telecom Italia Media in the amount of 10 million euros.

Olivetti

On June 13, 2012 the shareholders meeting of the subsidiary Olivetti I-Jet S.p.A. approved the wind-up of the company. Moreover, on July 2, 2013 the start of the winding up of the Swiss subsidiary Olivetti Engineering S.A. was approved.

(millions of euros)	3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 9/30 2012	% Change		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(a/b)	(c/d)	Organic (c/d)
Revenues	50	55	174	185	(9.1)	(5.9)	(5.9)
EBITDA	(5)	(20)	(28)	(58)	75.0	51.7	0.0
EBITDA Margin	*(10.0)*	*(36.4)*	*(16.1)*	*(31.4)*			*(1.0) pp*
EBIT	(7)	(23)	(32)	(64)	69.6	50.0	0.0
EBIT Margin	*(14.0)*	*(41.8)*	*(18.4)*	*(34.6)*			*(1.1) pp*
Headcount at period-end (number)			724	(*) 778		(6.9)	

(*) Headcount at December 31, 2012.

Revenues

Revenues amounted to 174 million euros in the first nine months of 2013, a decrease of 11 million euros year-on-year (185 million euros; -5.9%).

The decrease in revenues was largely linked to the drop of 11 million euros in sales of copying and printing, including 10 million euros in the Italian market, where customers of SMEs and independent professionals are more exposed to the current market crisis, with falls in sales of photocopiers and related consumables and in equipment rental, as well as a reduction of 3 million euros from lower supplies of products to Telecom Italia. This downturn was offset by the increase of approximately 2 million euros in revenues from new cloud services and solutions (particularly in the Italian market), while the performance of systems and specialized applications remained substantially stable in the period in question.

For the third quarter of 2013, revenues amounted to 50 million euros (55 million euros for the third quarter of 2012), a decline of 5 million euros from the third quarter of 2012 (-9.1% quarter over quarter).

EBITDA

EBITDA was negative by 28 million euros, an improvement on the first nine months of 2012 by 30 million euros. Specifically, in the first nine months of 2012, EBITDA was driven down by provisions for restructuring expenses of

30 million euros, made following the start of the winding up of Olivetti I-Jet. Excluding those provisions, the organic change would have been zero. The figure for the first nine months of 2013 was also affected by costs totaling 9 million euros, resulting from a fire that completely destroyed the spare parts warehouse on March 19, 2013. The overall damage suffered by the group as a result of the fire was covered by adequate insurance and on October 31, 2013 the Olivetti group and the pool of insurance companies definitively agreed the settlement of the entire claim at 19 million euros; the related income and financial effects will arise in the forth quarter of 2013.

Excluding the costs resulting from the destruction of the spare parts warehouse, EBITDA would have been positive by 9 million euros (+32.1%), thanks to substantially stable margins in terms of percentage sales and lower fixed costs. These two factors more than offset the lower absolute margins resulting from the decline in sales.

For the third quarter of 2013, reported EBITDA was negative by 5 million euros (negative 20 million euros in the third quarter of 2012), an improvement of 15 million euros on the third quarter of 2012.

EBIT

EBIT was negative by 32 million euros, an improvement of 32 million euros compared to the first nine months of 2012, when it was negative by 64 million euros. The figure was essentially affected by the same factors driving the change in EBITDA, described above. If the figures had been calculated excluding the aforementioned provisions for restructuring expenses in the first nine months of 2012 the organic change would have been zero. Excluding the losses for the first nine months of 2013, deriving from the destruction of the spare parts warehouse, EBIT would have improved by 9 million euros (+28.1%).

For the third quarter of 2013, reported EBIT was negative by 7 million euros (negative 23 million euros in the third quarter of 2012), an improvement of 16 million euros on the third quarter of 2012.

Consolidated Financial Position and Cash Flows Performance

Non-current assets

- **Goodwill**: fell by 2,371 million euros, from 32,410 million euros at the end of 2012 to 30,039 million euros at September 30, 2013, as a result of the goodwill impairment loss referred to above of 2,187 million euros for the Domestic-Core Domestic Business Unit, previously recognized in the Half-Year Financial Report at June 30, 2013, and the exchange rate effect of the Brazilian companies.

- **Other intangible assets**: decreased by 581 million euros, from 7,927 million euros at the end of 2012 to 7,346 million euros at September 30, 2013, as the balance of the following:

  – additions (+1,468 million euros);

  – amortization charge for the period (-1,663 million euros);

  – disposals, exchange differences, reclassifications and other movements (for a net negative balance of 386 million euros).

At September 30, 2013, all the user licenses to LTE frequencies acquired by Telecom Italia S.p.A. at the end of 2011 were in use and subject to amortization. Accordingly, no finance expenses remain to be capitalized.

- **Tangible assets**: decreased by 812 million euros, from 15,479 million euros at the end of 2012 to 14,667 million euros at September 30, 2013, as the balance of the following:

  – additions (+1,985 million euros);

  –

depreciation charge for the period (-2,150 million euros);

–

disposals, impairment losses, exchange differences, reclassifications and other movements (for a net negative balance of 647 million euros).

Consolidated equity

Consolidated equity amounted to 20,597 million euros (23,012 million euros at December 31, 2012), of which 17,237 million euros was attributable to owners of the Parent (19,378 million euros at December 31, 2012) and 3,360 million euros was attributable to non-controlling interests (3,634 million euros at December 31, 2012).

In greater detail, the changes in equity were the following:

(millions of euros)	9/30/2013	12/31/2012
At the beginning of the period	23,012	26,694
Total comprehensive income (loss) for the period	(1,848)	(2,649)
Dividends approved by:	(507)	(1,038)
Telecom Italia S.p.A.	*(452)*	*(895)*
Other Group companies	*(55)*	*(143)*
Issue of equity instruments	–	2
Telecom Argentina group buy-back of treasury shares	(45)	–
Other changes	(15)	3
At the end of the period	20,597	23,012

Cash flows

Adjusted net financial debt came to 28,229 million euros, down 45 million euros compared to the end of 2012. Operating cash generation enabled the offset of payments of dividends and taxes made in the first nine months of 2013, for a total of 1.1 billion euros.

The main transactions which had an impact on the change in adjusted net financial debt during the first nine months of 2013 are the following:

Change in adjusted net financial debt

(millions of euros)	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 - 9/30 2012	Change
EBITDA	7,933	8,860	(927)
Capital expenditures on an accrual basis	(3,453)	(3,380)	(73)
Change in net operating working capital:	(1,645)	(1,332)	(313)
Change in inventories	*(140)*	*(94)*	*(46)*
Change in trade receivables and net amounts due from customers on construction contracts	*487*	*674*	*(187)*
Change in trade payables (*)	*(1,447)*	*(1,460)*	*13*
Other changes in operating receivables/payables	*(545)*	*(452)*	*(93)*
Change in provisions for employees benefits	(13)	(14)	1
Change in operating provisions and Other changes	(45)	7	(52)
Net operating free cash flow	2,777	4,141	(1,364)
% on Revenues	*13.6*	*18.8*	*(5.2) pp*
Sale of investments and other disposals flow	(30)	41	(71)
Increases/decreases in share capital and other changes in equity, incidental costs	9	–	9
Financial investments flow	(53)	(9)	(44)
Dividend payment	(540)	(1,027)	487
Finance expenses, income taxes and other net non-operating requirements flow	(2,118)	(2,217)	99
Reduction/(Increase) in adjusted net financial debt	45	929	(884)

(*)

Includes the change in trade payables for amounts due to fixed asset suppliers.

In addition to what has already been described with reference to EBITDA, the change in adjusted net financial debt during the first nine months of 2013 has been particularly impacted by the following:

Capital expenditures on an accrual basis

The breakdown of capital expenditures by operating segment is as follows:

(millions of euros)	1/1 - 9/30/2013	% of total	1/1 - 9/30/2012	% of total	Change
Domestic	2,022	58.6	1,982	58.6	40
Brazil	992	28.7	966	28.6	26
Argentina	417	12.1	383	11.3	34
Media, Olivetti and Other Operations	22	0.6	49	1.5	(27)
Adjustments and Eliminations	–	–	–	–	–
Consolidated Total	3,453	100.0	3,380	100.0	73
% on Revenues	*16.9*		15.3		*1.6 pp*

Capital expenditures in the first nine months of 2013 total 3,453 million euros, an increase of 73 million euros compared with the first nine months of 2012. In particular:

•

the **Domestic Business Unit** reported substantially no change in capex year-on-year; the increase related to the progress of the plans for the creation of next generation networks (LTE and fiber) was offset by less demand for deliveries of new installations due to a slowdown in Fixed-line access sales;

•

the **Brazil Business Unit** recorded an increase in capex of 26 million euros compared to the same period of 2012 (inclusive of a negative exchange rate effect of 116 million euros). This increase was mainly attributable to the trend in new network investments;

•

the **Argentina Business Unit** reported an increase of 34 million euros in capital expenditures compared with the first nine months of 2012, already including a negative exchange rate effect of 68 million euros. In addition to customer acquisition costs, capital expenditure was aimed at enlarging and upgrading broadband services on the fixed-line network, and at backhauling, to support mobile access growth. Telecom Personal also invested primarily in increased capacity and enlargement of the 3G network to support Mobile Internet growth.

Change in net operating working capital

The change over the period was -1,645 million euros. In particular:

•

the change in trade payables was a negative 1,447 million euros. Specifically, in May 2013, the Brazil Business Unit made a payment of approximately 146 million euros, for the user licenses for fourth generation (4G) mobile telephony frequency bands, purchased at the end of 2012, and for second generation (2G) frequency bands. In addition, in the last quarter of 2012 payments to suppliers slowed down temporarily, by an estimated 300 million euros, due to compliance requirements of new Italian regulations introduced in the second half of 2012;

•

the management of trade receivables generated an inflow of 487 million euros in the first nine months of 2013, whereas inventory management produced a net outflow of 140 million euros, primarily attributable to the Domestic, Argentina and Brazil Business Units, as a result of mobile internet handset procurement policies designed to sustain revenues from their sale.

Sale of investments and other disposals flow

This generated a net requirement of 30 million euros in the first nine months of 2013, mainly relating to the sale of La7 S.r.l. to Cairo Communication on April 30, 2013, which generated a net requirement of approximately 114 million euros. This impact was partially offset by the proceeds deriving from the sale of the MTV Group to Viacom International Media Networks (VIMN) on September 12, 2013 for an amount of 11 million euros, by the proceeds from the sale of the EtecSA Cuba investment, at the end of January 2011, and by the proceeds from other sales of tangible and intangible assets.

In the first nine months of 2012 the item showed net inflows of 41 million euros and consisted primarily of the collection of installments on the sale of the EtecSA Cuba investment.

Financial investments flow

This mainly refers to the buy-back of treasury shares by Telecom Argentina S.A. for an amount of 45 million euros. As a result, the Telecom Italia Group's economic interest in Telecom Argentina is now 22.97%.

In the first nine months of 2012 the item consisted mainly of the payment of incidental costs and other payables in connection with the acquisition of investments during the last part of 2011.

Finance expenses, income taxes and other net non-operating requirements flow

Finance expenses, income taxes and other net non-operating requirements flow mainly include the payment, during the first nine months of 2013, of net finance expenses (1,318 million euros) and income taxes (609 million euros), as well as the change in non-operating receivables and payables.

Net financial debt

Net financial debt is composed as follows:

(millions of euros)	9/30/2013 (a)	12/31/2012 (b)	Change (a-b)
Non-current financial liabilities			
Bonds	22,194	23,956	(1,762)
Amounts due to banks, other financial payables and liabilities	6,891	8,976	(2,085)
Finance lease liabilities	1,125	1,159	(34)
	30,210	34,091	(3,881)
Current financial liabilities (*)			
Bonds	3,976	3,593	383
Amounts due to banks, other financial payables and liabilities	3,521	2,338	1,183
Finance lease liabilities	194	219	(25)
	7,691	6,150	1,541
Financial liabilities directly associated with discontinued operations/non-current assets held for sale	–	–	–
Total Gross financial debt	37,901	40,241	(2,340)
Non-current financial assets			
Securities other than investments	(20)	(22)	2
Financial receivables and other current financial assets	(1,365)	(2,474)	1,109
	(1,385)	(2,496)	1,111
Current financial assets			
Securities other than investments	(1,297)	(754)	(543)
Financial receivables and other current financial assets	(576)	(502)	(74)
Cash and cash equivalents	(5,456)	(7,436)	1,980
	(7,329)	(8,692)	1,363
Financial assets relating to discontinued operations/non-current assets held for sale	–	–	–
Total financial assets	(8,714)	(11,188)	2,474
Net financial debt carrying amount	29,187	29,053	134
Reversal of fair value measurement of derivatives and related financial assets/liabilities	*(958)*	*(779)*	*(179)*
Adjusted net financial debt	28,229	28,274	(45)
Breakdown as follows:			

Total adjusted gross financial debt	36,066	37,681	(1,615)
Total adjusted financial assets	(7,837)	(9,407)	1,570
(*) of which current portion of medium/long-term debt:			
Bonds	*3,976*	*3,593*	*383*
Amounts due to banks, other financial payables and liabilities	*3,012*	*1,681*	*1,331*
Finance lease liabilities	*194*	*219*	*(25)*

The financial risk management policies of the Telecom Italia Group are directed towards diversifying market risks, hedging exchange rate risk in full and optimizing interest rate exposure through appropriate diversification of the portfolio, which is also achieved by using carefully selected derivative financial instruments. Such instruments, it should be stressed, are not used for speculative purposes and all have an underlying, which is hedged.

Furthermore, in order to determine its exposure to interest rates, the Group defines an optimum composition for the fixed-rate and variable-rate debt structure and uses derivative financial instruments to achieve that composition. Taking into account the Group s operating activities, the optimum mix of medium/long-term non-current financial liabilities has been established, on the basis of the nominal amount, at a range of 65% - 75% for the fixed-rate component and 25% - 35% for the variable-rate component.

In managing market risks, the Group has adopted Guidelines for the Management and control of financial risk and mainly uses IRS and CCIRS derivative financial instruments.

The volatility of interest rates and exchange rates, which has been a prominent feature in financial markets since the fourth quarter of 2008, has significantly impacted the fair value measurement of derivative positions and the related financial assets and liabilities. In view of this and in order to present a more realistic analysis of net financial debt, starting from the Half-Year Financial Report at June 30, 2009, in addition to the usual indicator (renamed Net financial debt carrying amount), a new indicator has also been presented called Adjusted net financial debt , which excludes purely accounting and non-monetary effects deriving from the fair value measurement of derivatives (also including the effects of the introduction of IFRS 13 from January 1, 2013) and related financial assets and liabilities. The measurement of derivative financial instruments, which also has the objective of pre-setting the exchange rate and the interest rate of future variable contractual flows, does not, in fact, require an actual cash settlement.

Sales of receivables to factoring companies

The sales of receivables to factoring companies finalized during the first nine months of 2013 resulted in a positive effect on net financial debt at September 30, 2013 of 885 million euros (1,233 million euros at December 31, 2012).

Gross financial debt

Bonds

Bonds at September 30, 2013 were recognized for 26,170 million euros (27,549 million euros at December 31, 2012). Their nominal repayment amount was 25,202 million euros, down 1,121 million euros compared to December 31, 2012 (26,323 million euros).

The change in bonds during the first nine months of 2013 was as follows:

(millions of original currency)	Currency	Amount	Issue date
New issues			
Telecom Italia S.p.A. subordinated bonds, 750 million euros at 7.750%, maturing 3/20/2073 [1]	Euro	750	3/20/2013
Telecom Italia S.p.A. 1,000 million euros 4.875% maturing 09/25/2020	Euro	1,000	9/25/2013

(1)

The hybrid debt securities are Telecom Italia s first subordinated issue on the euro market. The bond has a tenor of 60 years, with final maturity in 2073 and a first call date for the issuer in 2018. The call schedule begins on March 20,

2018 at par, and then continues every five years thereafter. The coupon will step up by 25 bps in 2023, and by a further 75 bps in 2038. The effective yield at the first call date will be 7.875%. The notes are listed on the Luxembourg Stock Exchange.

(millions of original currency)	Currency	Amount	Repayment date
Repayments			
Telecom Italia Finance S.A. 678 million euros 6.875% [1]	Euro	678	1/24/2013
Telecom Italia S.p.A. 432 million euros at 6.750% [2]	Euro	432	3/21/2013
Telecom Italia S.p.A. 268 million euros, variable-rate [3]	Euro	268	7/19/2013

(1)

Net of buybacks by the Company for 172 million euros during 2011 and 2012.

(2)

Net of buybacks by the Company for 218 million euros during 2011 and 2012.

(3)

Net of buybacks by the Company for 232 million euros during 2012.

On June 3, 2013 Telecom Italia S.p.A. successfully concluded the buyback offer on three bond issues of Telecom Italia Capital S.A. in USD, maturing in June 2014, September 2014 and October 2015, buying back a total nominal amount of USD 1,577 million (equal to approximately 1.2 billion euros). The repurchased bonds are recognized in the financial statements in the portfolio of the buyer Telecom Italia S.p.A., while in the consolidated financial statements those bonds have been eliminated from the liabilities.

Details of the bond issues of Telecom Italia Capital S.A. bought back by Telecom Italia S.p.A. are provided below:

Bond Name	Outstanding nominal amount prior to the purchase offer	Repurchased nominal amount	Buyback price
Telecom Italia Capital S.A. USD 1,000 million 6.175%	USD 1,000,000,000	USD 220,528,000	105.382%
Telecom Italia Capital S.A. USD 1,250 million 4.950%	USD 1,250,000,000	USD 721,695,000	105.462%
Telecom Italia Capital S.A. USD 1,400 million 5.250%	USD 1,400,000,000	USD 634,797,000	108.523%

In reference to the Telecom Italia S.p.A. 2002-2022 bonds, reserved for subscription by employees of the Group, the amount at September 30, 2013 was 206 million euros (nominal amount), 24 million euros lower than on December 31, 2012 (230 million euros).

Revolving Credit Facility and term loan

The following table shows the composition and the drawdown of the committed credit lines available at September 30, 2013:

(billions of euros)	9/30/2013		12/31/2012	
	Agreed	Drawn down	Agreed	Drawn down
Revolving Credit Facility expiring February 2013	-	-	1.25	-
Revolving Credit Facility expiring August 2014	8.0	1.5	8.0	1.5
Revolving Credit Facility expiring December 2013	0.2	-	0.2	-
Total	8.2	1.5	9.45	1.5

On May 24, 2012, Telecom Italia entered into an agreement for a Forward Start Facility of 4 billion euros, extending half the Revolving Credit Facility (RCF) of 8 billion euros expiring August 2014. The new facility will come into

effect as of August 2014 (or at an earlier date should Telecom Italia extinguish its commitments under the current RCF 2014 in advance) and expire in May 2017.

On March 25, 2013, Telecom Italia signed a new agreement to extend the Revolving Credit Facility (RCF) expiring August 2014, which had already been extended in part in 2012, by an additional 3 billion euros. The extension was obtained through a Forward Start Facility of 3 billion euros which will come into effect in August 2014 (or at an earlier date should Telecom Italia extinguish its commitments under the current RCF 2014 in advance) and will expire in March 2018.

Telecom Italia also has a bilateral stand-by credit line expiring August 3, 2016 for 100 million euros from Banca Regionale Europea, drawn down for the full amount.

Maturities of financial liabilities and average cost of debt

The average maturity of non-current financial liabilities (including the current portion of medium/long-term financial liabilities due within 12 months) was 7.03 years.

The average cost of the Group s debt, considered as the annualized cost for the period and resulting from the ratio of debt-related expenses to average exposure, was approximately 5.4%.

For details of the maturities of financial liabilities in terms of expected nominal repayment amounts, as contractually agreed, see the Notes Financial liabilities (non-current and current) in the condensed consolidated financial statements at September 30, 2013 of the Telecom Italia Group.

Current financial assets and liquidity margin

The Telecom Italia Group s available liquidity margin amounted to 13,453 million euros at September 30, 2013, corresponding to the sum of cash and cash equivalents and current securities other than investments, totaling 6,753 million euros (8,190 million euros at December 31, 2012), and the committed credit lines, mentioned above, of which a total of 6,700 million euros has not been drawn down. This margin will cover Group Financial Liabilities due beyond the next 24 months. As already noted, the reduction in Cash and cash equivalents compared to December 31, 2012 reflected the use of liquidity to repurchase Group obligations.

In particular:

Cash and cash equivalents amounted to 5,456 million euros (7,436 million euros at December 31, 2012). The different technical forms of investing available cash at September 30, 2013, which include Euro Commercial Papers for 100 million euros, can be analyzed as follows:

•

Maturities: investments have a maximum maturity of three months;

•

Counterpart risk: investments by the European companies are made with leading banking, financial and industrial institutions with high-credit-quality. Investments by the companies in South America are made with leading local counterparts;

•

Country risk: deposits have been made mainly in major European financial markets.

Securities other than investments amounted to 1,297 million euros (754 million euros at December 31, 2012). Such forms of investment represent alternatives to the investment of liquidity with the aim of raising the return. They consist of: Italian treasury bonds (BTPs) purchased by Telecom Italia S.p.A. and Telecom Italia Finance S.A., amounting respectively to 360 million euros and 701 million euros; 5 million euros of Italian Treasury Certificates (CCTs) (assigned to Telecom Italia S.p.A. as the holder of trade receivables, as per Italian Ministry of the Economy and Finance Decree of December 12/03/2012); and 221 million euros of bonds purchased by Telecom Italia Finance S.A. with different maturities, all with an active market and consequently readily convertible into cash. The purchases of BTPs and CCTs, which, pursuant to Consob Communication DEM/11070007 of August 5, 2011, represent investments in Sovereign debt securities , have been made in accordance with the Guidelines for the Management and control of financial risk adopted by the Telecom Italia Group in August 2012, in replacement of the previous policy in force since July 2009.

In the third quarter of 2013 adjusted net financial debt decreased by 584 million euros compared to June 30, 2013. Operating cash inflows in the quarter (1.5 billion euros) guaranteed coverage of the requirements for the payment of finance expenses and taxes.

(millions of euros)	9/30/2013	6/30/2013	**Change**
Net financial debt carrying amount	29,187	29,786	(599)
Reversal of fair value measurement of derivatives and related financial assets/liabilities	*(958)*	*(973)*	15
Adjusted net financial debt	28,229	28,813	(584)
Breakdown as follows:			
Total adjusted gross financial debt	36,066	36,007	59
Total adjusted financial assets	(7,837)	(7,194)	(643)

Interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Telecom Italia Group

The Interim Report at September 30, 2013 of the Telecom Italia Group has been prepared in accordance with article 154 ter (Financial Reports) of Legislative Decree no. 58/1998 (Consolidated Law on Finance - TUF) as amended. This document also includes the condensed consolidated financial statements at September 30, 2013, prepared in compliance with the international accounting standards issued by the International Accounting Standards Board and endorsed by the European Union (defined as the IFRS), and with reference to the Consob Communication DEM/8041082 dated April 30, 2008 (Quarterly Corporate Reports issued by Companies whose Shares are Listed in Italy as the Original Member State).

The accounting policies and consolidation principles adopted in the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements at September 30, 2013 are the same as those adopted in the Telecom Italia Group annual consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2012, to which reference can be made, except for:

- *the use of the new standards and interpretations adopted by the Group since January 1, 2013, whose effects are described in the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements at September 30, 2013, to which the reader is referred;*

- *the measurement of goodwill for which it was not considered necessary to perform an update of the verification of its recoverability, already performed as of June 30, 2013, and which will be performed again for the annual report as of December 31, 2013, on the basis of the flows envisaged in the new Industrial Plan and information available from the market.*

The condensed consolidated financial statements at September 30, 2013 have undergone a limited scope audit, on a voluntary basis.

The Telecom Italia Group, in addition to the conventional financial performance measures established by IFRS, uses certain alternative performance measures in order to present a better understanding of the trend of operations and financial condition. Specifically, these alternative performance measures refer to: EBITDA; EBIT; the organic change in revenues, EBITDA and EBIT; net financial debt carrying amount and adjusted net financial debt. Further details on such measures are presented under Alternative performance measures .

Moreover, the part entitled Business Outlook for the Year 2013 contains forward-looking statements in relation to the Group s intentions, beliefs or current expectations regarding financial performance and other aspects of the Group's operations and strategies. Readers of the present Interim Report are reminded not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements; actual results may differ significantly from forecasts owing to numerous factors, the majority of which are beyond the scope of the Group s control.

The reclassified Separate Consolidated Income Statement, Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income, Consolidated Statement of Financial Position and Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows of the Telecom Italia Group, set forth below, are consistent with those included in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements at September

30, 2013 of the Telecom Italia Group.

Principal changes in the scope of consolidation

In the first nine months of 2013, the following changes occurred in the scope of consolidation:

•

MTV Group - Media: on September 12, 2013 Telecom Italia Media and Viacom International Media Networks (VIMN) finalized the sale of 51% of MTV Italia S.r.l. and of its wholly-owned subsidiary MTV Pubblicità S.r.l. As a result, these companies are no longer consolidated;

La7 S.r.l. - Media: on April 30, 2013, after authorization for the sale was received, as required by law, Telecom Italia Media completed the sale of La7 S.r.l. to Cairo Communication. As a result, the company was excluded from the scope of consolidation.

The following changes occurred during 2012:

•

Matrix Other Operations: the company was sold on October 31, 2012, and consequently excluded from the scope of consolidation.

Separate Consolidated Income Statements

(millions of euros)	3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 - 9/30 2012	Change (a-b)	
			(a)	(b)	amount	%
Revenues	6,629	7,268	20,389	22,061	(1,672)	(7.6)
Other income	58	61	168	169	(1)	(0.6)
Total operating revenues and other income	6,687	7,329	20,557	22,230	(1,673)	(7.5)
Acquisition of goods and services	(2,926)	(3,176)	(9,080)	(9,676)	596	6.2
Employee benefits expenses	(838)	(895)	(2,769)	(2,901)	132	4.6
Other operating expenses	(418)	(442)	(1,359)	(1,339)	(20)	(1.5)
Change in inventories	60	50	174	112	62	55.4
Internally generated assets	132	135	410	434	(24)	(5.5)
Operating profit before depreciation and amortization, capital gains (losses) and impairment reversals (losses) on non-current assets (EBITDA)	2,697	3,001	7,933	8,860	(927)	(10.5)
Depreciation and amortization	(1,223)	(1,301)	(3,813)	(3,977)	164	4.1
Gains (losses) on disposals of non-current assets	7	(6)	(74)	10	(84)	
Impairment reversals (losses) on non-current assets	–	(3)	(2,212)	(3)	(2,209)	-
Operating profit (loss) (EBIT)	1,481	1,691	1,834	4,890	(3,056)	(62.5)
Share of losses (profits) of associates and joint ventures accounted for using the equity method	–	–	–	(4)	4	-
Other income (expenses) from investments	–	(2)	2	(2)	4	
Finance income	200	203	1,687	1,475	212	14.4
Finance expenses	(712)	(686)	(3,148)	(2,875)	(273)	(9.5)
Profit (loss) before tax from continuing operations	969	1,206	375	3,484	(3,109)	
Income tax expense	(347)	(399)	(980)	(1,221)	241	19.7
Profit (loss) from continuing operations	622	807	(605)	2,263	(2,868)	
Profit (loss) from discontinued operations/non-current assets held for sale	(9)	–	(6)	–	(6)	-
Profit (loss) for the period	613	807	(611)	2,263	(2,874)	
Attributable to:						
Owners of the Parent	505	696	(902)	1,938	(2,840)	
Non-controlling interests	108	111	291	325	(34)	(10.5)

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

In accordance with IAS 1 *(Presentation of Financial Statements)*, the following consolidated statements of comprehensive income include the profit (loss) for the period as shown in the separate consolidated income statements and all non-owner changes in equity.

(millions of euros)		3rd Quarter 2013	3rd Quarter 2012	1/1 - 9/30 2013	1/1 - 9/30 2012
Profit (loss) for the period	(a)	613	807	(611)	2,263
Other components of the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income:					
Other components that subsequently will not be reclassified in the separate consolidated income statements					
Remeasurements of employee defined benefit plans (IAS 19):					
Actuarial gains (losses)		–	–	3	4
Net fiscal impact		–	–	(2)	(1)
	(b)	–	–	1	3
Share of other profits (losses) of associates and joint ventures accounted for using the equity method:					
Profit (loss)		–	–	–	–
Net fiscal impact		–	–	–	–
	(c)	–	–	–	–
Total other components that subsequently will not be reclassified in the separate consolidated income statements	(d=b+c)	–	–	1	3
Other components that subsequently will be reclassified in the separate consolidated income statements					
Available-for-sale financial assets:					
Profit (loss) from fair value adjustments		10	15	(21)	46
Loss (profit) transferred to the Separate Consolidated Income Statement		(9)	–	(8)	1
Net fiscal impact		2	(3)	8	(10)
	(e)	3	12	(21)	37
Hedging instruments:					
Profit (loss) from fair value adjustments		(56)	36	(528)	(40)
		41	(138)	318	(99)

Loss (profit) transferred to the Separate Consolidated Income Statement					
Net fiscal impact		5	30	60	40
	(f)	(10)	(72)	(150)	(99)
Exchange differences on translating foreign operations:					
Profit (loss) on translating foreign operations		(448)	(407)	(1,068)	(744)
Loss (profit) on translating foreign operations transferred to the Separate Consolidated Income Statement		–	–	–	–
Net fiscal impact		–	–	–	–
	(g)	(448)	(407)	(1,068)	(744)
Share of other profits (losses) of associates and joint ventures accounted for using the equity method:					
Profit (loss)		–	–	1	–
Loss (profit) transferred to the Separate Consolidated Income Statement		–	–	–	–
Net fiscal impact		–	–	–	–
	(h)	–	–	1	–
Total other components that subsequently will be reclassified in the separate consolidated income statements	(i=e+f+g+h)	(455)	(467)	(1,238)	(806)
Total other components of the consolidated statements of comprehensive income	(k=d+i)	(455)	(467)	(1,237)	(803)
Total comprehensive income (loss) for the period	(a+k)	158	340	(1,848)	1,460
Attributable to:					
Owners of the Parent		304	433	(1,621)	1,451
Non-controlling interests		(146)	(93)	(227)	9

Consolidated Statements of Financial Position

(millions of euros)		9/30/2013 (a)	12/31/2012 (b)	Change (a-b)
Assets				
Non-current assets				
Intangible assets				
Goodwill		30,039	32,410	(2,371)
Other intangible assets		7,346	7,927	(581)
		37,385	40,337	(2,952)
Tangible assets				
Property, plant and equipment owned		13,730	14,465	(735)
Assets held under finance leases		937	1,014	(77)
		14,667	15,479	(812)
Other non-current assets				
Investments in associates and joint ventures accounted for using the equity method		65	65	–
Other investments		44	39	5
Non-current financial assets		1,385	2,496	(1,111)
Miscellaneous receivables and other non-current assets		1,504	1,496	8
Deferred tax assets		961	1,432	(471)
		3,959	5,528	(1,569)
Total Non-current assets	(a)	56,011	61,344	(5,333)
Current assets				
Inventories		580	436	144
Trade and miscellaneous receivables and other current assets		6,628	7,006	(378)
Current income tax receivables		28	77	(49)
Current financial assets				
Securities other than investments, financial receivables and other current financial assets		*1,873*	*1,256*	*617*
Cash and cash equivalents		*5,456*	*7,436*	*(1,980)*
		7,329	8,692	(1,363)
Current assets sub-total		14,565	16,211	(1,646)
Discontinued operations/Non-current assets held for sale				
of a financial nature		–	–	–
of a non-financial nature		–	–	–
		–	–	–
Total Current assets	(b)	14,565	16,211	(1,646)
Total Assets	(a+b)	70,576	77,555	(6,979)

(millions of euros)		9/30/2013 (a)	12/31/2012 (b)	Change (a-b)
Equity and Liabilities				
Equity				
Equity attributable to owners of the Parent		17,237	19,378	(2,141)
Equity attributable to non-controlling interests		3,360	3,634	(274)
Total Equity	(c)	20,597	23,012	(2,415)
Non-current liabilities				
Non-current financial liabilities		30,210	34,091	(3,881)
Employee benefits		867	872	(5)
Deferred tax liabilities		576	848	(272)
Provisions		848	863	(15)
Miscellaneous payables and other non-current liabilities		843	1,053	(210)
Total Non-current liabilities	(d)	33,344	37,727	(4,383)
Current liabilities				
Current financial liabilities		7,691	6,150	1,541
Trade and miscellaneous payables and other current liabilities		8,827	10,542	(1,715)
Current income tax payables		117	124	(7)
Current liabilities sub-total		16,635	16,816	(181)
Liabilities directly associated with discontinued operations/non-current assets held for sale				
of a financial nature		–	–	–
of a non-financial nature		–	–	–
		–	–	–
Total Current Liabilities	(e)	16,635	16,816	(181)
Total Liabilities	(f=d+e)	49,979	54,543	(4,564)
Total Equity and Liabilities	(c+f)	70,576	77,555	(6,979)

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	(47	)	(24)	0	24	48
Decrease by 1%	(70	)	(47)	(24)	0	24
Decrease by 2%	(92	)	(70)	(47)	(23)	0

Other policy liabilities, which accounted for 18% of total policy liabilities as of December 31, 2013, consisted primarily of discounted advance premiums on deposit from policyholders in conjunction with their purchase of certain Aflac Japan insurance products. These advanced premiums are deferred upon collection and recognized as premium revenue over the contractual premium payment period. Advanced premiums represented 53% and 56% of the December 31, 2013 and 2012 other policy liabilities balances, respectively. See the Aflac Japan segment subsection of this MD&A for further information.

Income Taxes

Income tax provisions are generally based on pretax earnings reported for financial statement purposes, which differ from those amounts used in preparing our income tax returns. Deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and income tax basis of assets and liabilities, based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which we expect the temporary differences to reverse. The evaluation of a tax position in accordance with GAAP is a two-step process. Under the first step, the enterprise determines whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. The second step is measurement, whereby a tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. A valuation allowance is established for deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that an amount will not be realized. The determination of a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets requires management to make certain judgments and assumptions.

In evaluating the ability to recover deferred tax assets, our management considers all available evidence, including taxable income in open carry back years, the existence of cumulative losses in the most recent years, forecasted earnings, future taxable income exclusive of reversing temporary differences and carryforwards, future taxable temporary difference reversals, and prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. In the event we determine it is not more likely than not that we will be able to realize all or part of our deferred tax assets in the future, a valuation allowance would be charged to earnings in the period such determination is made. Likewise, if it is later determined that it is more likely than not that those deferred tax assets would be realized, the previously provided valuation allowance would be reversed. Future economic conditions and market volatility, including increases in interest rates or widening credit spreads, can adversely impact the Company’s tax planning strategies and in particular the Company’s ability to utilize tax benefits on previously recognized capital losses. Our judgments and assumptions are subject to change given the inherent uncertainty in predicting future performance and specific industry and investment market conditions.

Interest rates and credit spreads in both the United States and Japan are not the only factors that impact the Company’s unrealized gain/loss position and the evaluation of a need for a valuation allowance on the Company’s deferred tax asset, but they do have a direct and significant effect on both. In the second quarter of 2013, we recorded a valuation allowance of $237 million related to the deferred tax assets associated with our unrealized investment losses recorded in other comprehensive income. The rise in interest rates in both the United States and Japan in the second quarter was a significant factor that contributed to the need for the valuation allowance at that time. We released the $237 million valuation allowance in the third quarter of 2013 because it was more likely than not that the deferred tax assets related

to unrealized investment losses would be realized in the future. In the third quarter, the decline in interest rates in Japan and narrowing of credit spreads in the United States were able to offset continued increases in interest rates in the United States resulting in the release of the valuation allowance in the third quarter. Based on our methodology described above for evaluating the need for a valuation allowance, we have determined that it is more likely than not that our deferred tax assets will be realized in the future, therefore we have not recorded a valuation allowance as of December 31, 2013.

See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

New Accounting Pronouncements

During the last three years, various accounting standard-setting bodies have been active in soliciting comments and issuing statements, interpretations and exposure drafts. For information on new accounting pronouncements and the impact, if any, on our financial position or results of operations, see Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion includes references to our performance measures, operating earnings and operating earnings per diluted share, that are not based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Operating earnings is the measure of segment profit or loss we use to evaluate segment performance and allocate resources. Consistent with GAAP accounting guidance for segment reporting, operating earnings is our measure of segment performance. Aflac believes that an analysis of operating earnings is vitally important to an understanding of our underlying profitability drivers and trends of our insurance business. Furthermore, because a significant portion of our business is conducted in Japan, we believe it is equally important to understand the impact of translating Japanese yen into U.S. dollars.

Aflac defines operating earnings (a non-GAAP financial measure) as the profits derived from operations. Operating earnings includes interest cash flows associated with notes payable but excludes items that cannot be predicted or that are outside of management's control, such as realized investment gains and losses (securities transactions, impairments, and derivative and hedging activities), nonrecurring items, and other non-operating income (loss) from net earnings. Aflac's derivative activities include: foreign currency, interest rate and credit default swaps in variable interest entities that are consolidated; foreign currency swaps associated with certain senior notes and our subordinated debentures; foreign currency forwards used in hedging foreign exchange risk and options on interest rate swaps (or interest rate swaptions) used in hedging interest rate risk on U.S. dollar-denominated securities in Aflac Japan's portfolio; and foreign currency forwards and options used to hedge certain portions of forecasted cash flows denominated in yen. Our management uses operating earnings to evaluate the financial performance of Aflac's insurance operations because realized investment gains and losses and other and nonrecurring items tend to be driven by general economic conditions and events or related to infrequent activities not directly associated with our insurance operations, and therefore may obscure the underlying fundamentals and trends in Aflac's insurance operations.

The following table is a reconciliation of items impacting operating and net earnings and operating and net earnings per diluted share for the years ended December 31.

Reconciliation of Operating Earnings to Net Earnings

	In Millions			Per Diluted Share		
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
Operating earnings	$2,887	$3,097	$2,946	$6.18	$6.60	$6.27
Items impacting net earnings, net of tax:						
Realized investment gains (losses):						
Securities transactions and impairments	41	(326)	(850)	.09	(.69)	(1.81)
Impact of derivative and hedging activities:						
Hedge costs related to foreign currency investments	(17)	(5)	0	(.04)	(.01)	.00
Other derivative and hedging activities	229 [1]	105	(159)	.49	.22	(.34)
Other and non-recurring income (loss)	18	(5)	0	.04	(.01)	.00
Net earnings	$3,158	$2,866	$1,937	$6.76	$6.11	$4.12

[1] Excludes a gain of $6, after tax, in 2013 related to the interest rate component of the change in fair value of foreign currency swaps on notes payable which is classified as an operating gain when analyzing segment operations

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

Realized Investment Gains and Losses

Our investment strategy is to invest in fixed-income securities to provide a reliable stream of investment income, which is one of the drivers of the Company's profitability. This investment strategy incorporates asset-liability matching

38

(ALM) to align the expected cash flows of the portfolio to the needs of the Company's liability structure. We do not purchase securities with the intent of generating capital gains or losses. However, investment gains and losses may be realized as a result of changes in the financial markets and the creditworthiness of specific issuers, tax planning strategies, and/or general portfolio management and rebalancing. The realization of investment gains and losses is independent of the underwriting and administration of our insurance products, which are the principal drivers of our profitability.

Securities Transactions and Impairments

During 2013, we realized pretax investment gains, net of losses, of $262 million ($170 million after-tax) from sales and redemptions of securities. These net gains primarily resulted from sales of Japanese Government Bonds (JGBs) as part of a portfolio repositioning exercise. We also realized modest gains from bond tender offers of several of our holdings. We realized pretax investment losses of $199 million ($129 million after-tax) as a result of the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment losses on certain securities.

During 2012, we realized pretax investment gains, net of losses, of $474 million ($309 million after-tax) from sales and redemptions of securities. These net gains primarily resulted from sales of JGBs in a bond-swap program in the third quarter of 2012 and sales resulting from our efforts to reduce risk exposure in our investment portfolio. We realized pretax investment losses of $997 million ($635 million after-tax) as a result of the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment losses on certain securities.

During 2011, we realized pretax investment gains, net of losses, of $594 million ($386 million after-tax) from the sale of securities. We realized pretax investment losses of $1.9 billion ($1.2 billion after-tax) as a result of the recognition of other-than-temporary impairment losses on certain securities. The impairments and many of the sales were the result of an implemented plan to reduce the risk exposure in our investment portfolio, coupled with the continued decline in the creditworthiness of certain issuers. The sales gains were primarily driven by the sale of U.S. Treasury strips and JGBs that were part of a bond-swap program.

See Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more details on these investment activities.

The following table details our pretax impairment losses by investment category for the years ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Perpetual securities	$70	$243	$565
Corporate bonds	102	345	1,316
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	0	3	17
Municipalities	0	0	2
Sovereign and supranational	26	386	0
Equity securities	1	0	1
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses realized [1]	$199	$977	$1,901

[1] Includes $45, $597 and $1,286 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for credit-related impairments;
$26 and $27 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, for impairments due to severity and duration of decline
in fair value; and $128, $353 and $615 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, from change in intent to
sell securities

Impact of Derivative and Hedging Activities

Our derivative activities include foreign currency swaps, credit default swaps and interest rate swaps in VIEs that are consolidated; foreign currency forwards and interest rate swaptions on certain fixed-maturity securities; foreign

currency forwards and options that hedge certain portions of forecasted cash flows denominated in yen; foreign currency interest rate swaps associated with certain senior notes and our subordinated debentures; and an interest rate swap associated with our variable interest rate yen-denominated debt. During 2013, we realized pretax investment gains, net of losses, of $336 million ($218 million after-tax), compared with pretax investment gains, net of losses, of $154 million ($100 million after-tax) in 2012 and pretax investment losses, net of gains, of $245 million ($159 million after-tax) in 2011 as a result of valuing these derivatives, net of the effects of hedge accounting. For a description of other items that could be included in the Impact of Derivative and Hedging Activities, see the Hedging Activities subsection of MD&A and Note 4 of the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

For additional information regarding realized investment gains and losses, see Notes 3 and 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Foreign Currency Translation

Aflac Japan's premiums and most of its investment income are received in yen. Claims and expenses are paid in yen, and we have yen-denominated assets that support yen-denominated policy liabilities. These and other yen-denominated financial statement items are translated into dollars for financial reporting purposes. We translate Aflac Japan's yen-denominated income statement into dollars using an average exchange rate for the reporting period, and we translate its yen-denominated balance sheet using the exchange rate at the end of the period.

Due to the size of Aflac Japan, where our functional currency is the Japanese yen, fluctuations in the yen/dollar exchange rate can have a significant effect on our reported results. In periods when the yen weakens, translating yen into dollars results in fewer dollars being reported. When the yen strengthens, translating yen into dollars results in more dollars being reported. Consequently, yen weakening has the effect of suppressing current period results in relation to the comparable prior period, while yen strengthening has the effect of magnifying current period results in relation to the comparable prior period. As a result, we view foreign currency translation as a financial reporting issue for Aflac and not an economic event to our Company or shareholders. Because changes in exchange rates distort the growth rates of our operations, management evaluates Aflac's financial performance excluding the impact of foreign currency translation.

Income Taxes

Our combined U.S. and Japanese effective income tax rate on pretax earnings was 34.4% in 2013, 33.4% in 2012 and 34.3% in 2011. The lower effective income tax rate for 2012 reflected the favorable outcome of a routine tax exam for the years 2008 and 2009, which reduced income tax expense by $29.5 million. Total income taxes were $1.7 billion in 2013, compared with $1.4 billion in 2012 and $1.0 billion in 2011. Japanese income taxes on Aflac Japan's results account for most of our consolidated income tax expense. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Earnings Guidance

Our objective for 2013 was to increase operating earnings per diluted share in the range of 4% to 7% over 2012, and we announced in mid-2013 that we expected to achieve a 5% increase, excluding the effect of foreign currency translation. We reported 2013 net earnings per diluted share of $6.76. Adjusting that number for after-tax realized investment gains ($.54 per diluted share), other non-operating income ($.04 per diluted share), and foreign currency translation (an expense of $.76 per diluted share), we finished the year slightly above our expectation with a 5.2% increase in operating earnings per diluted share.

Our objective for 2014 is to increase operating earnings per diluted share by 2% to 5% over 2013, excluding the effect of foreign currency translation. Our 2014 earnings per diluted share objective will benefit significantly from increased share repurchase activities, but will also be challenged by sizeable expenditures in both Japan and the U.S. to enhance our operational infrastructure and an increase in Japan's consumption tax, which rises from 5% to 8% starting in April 2014. Additionally, we estimate the reinsurance agreement entered into at the end of third quarter 2013 will reduce 2014 operating earnings per diluted share by approximately $.05. If we achieve our objective for 2014, the following table shows the likely results for operating earnings per diluted share, including the impact of foreign currency translation using various yen/dollar exchange rate scenarios.

2014 Operating Earnings Per Diluted Share Scenarios[1]

Weighted-Average Yen/Dollar Exchange Rate	Operating Earnings Per Diluted Share	% Growth Over 2013	Yen Impact
95	$6.40 - 6.58	3.6 - 6.5%	$ .09
97.54[2]	6.31 - 6.49	2.1 - 5.0	.00
100	6.22 - 6.40	.6 - 3.6	(.09)

105	6.06 - 6.24	(1.9) - 1.0	(.25)
110	5.91 - 6.09	(4.4) - (1.5)	(.40)

[1]Excludes realized investment gains/losses (securities transactions, impairments, and the impact of derivative and hedging activities),
nonrecurring items, and other non-operating income (loss) in 2014 and 2013
[2]Actual 2013 weighted-average exchange rate

40

INSURANCE OPERATIONS

Aflac's insurance business consists of two segments: Aflac Japan and Aflac U.S. Aflac Japan, which operates as a branch of Aflac, is the principal contributor to consolidated earnings. GAAP financial reporting requires that a company report financial and descriptive information about operating segments in its annual and interim period financial statements. Furthermore, we are required to report a measure of segment profit or loss, certain revenue and expense items, and segment assets.

We evaluate our sales efforts using new annualized premium sales, an industry operating measure. New annualized premium sales, which include both new sales and the incremental increase in premiums due to conversions, represent the premiums that we would collect over a 12-month period, assuming the policies remain in force. For Aflac Japan, new annualized premium sales are determined by applications submitted during the reporting period. For Aflac U.S., new annualized premium sales are determined by applications that are issued during the reporting period. Premium income, or earned premiums, is a financial performance measure that reflects collected or due premiums that have been earned ratably on policies in force during the reporting period.

AFLAC JAPAN SEGMENT

Aflac Japan Pretax Operating Earnings

Changes in Aflac Japan's pretax operating earnings and profit margins are primarily affected by morbidity, mortality, expenses, persistency and investment yields. The following table presents a summary of operating results for Aflac Japan for the years ended December 31.

Aflac Japan Summary of Operating Results

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Net premium income	$14,982	$17,151	$15,619
Net investment income:			
Yen-denominated investment income	1,497	1,902	1,799
Dollar-denominated investment income	1,154	943	889
Net investment income	2,651	2,845	2,688
Other income (loss)	55	57	46
Total operating revenues	17,688	20,053	18,353
Benefits and claims, net	10,924	12,496	11,037
Operating expenses:			
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	641	716	650
Insurance commissions	944	1,174	1,179
Insurance and other expenses	1,551	1,763	1,658
Total operating expenses	3,136	3,653	3,487
Total benefits and expenses	14,060	16,149	14,524
Pretax operating earnings[1]	$3,628	$3,904	$3,829
Weighted-average yen/dollar exchange rate	97.54	79.81	79.75

	In Dollars			In Yen		
Percentage change over previous period:	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
Net premium income	(12.7)%	9.8 %	15.8 %	6.8 %	9.9 %	5.4 %
Net investment income	(6.8)	5.8	9.6	13.9	6.1	(.4)
Total operating revenues	(11.8)	9.3	14.9	7.8	9.4	4.5
Pretax operating earnings[1]	(7.1)	2.0	17.5	13.6	2.0	6.8

[1]See the Insurance Operations section of this MD&A for our definition of segment operating earnings.

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

41

The percentage increases in premium income in yen reflect the growth of premiums in force. The increases in annualized premiums in force in yen of 5.0% in 2013, 11.1% in 2012 and 7.0% in 2011 reflect the sales of new policies combined with the high persistency of Aflac Japan's business. Annualized premiums in force at December 31, 2013, were 1.57 trillion yen, compared with 1.49 trillion yen in 2012 and 1.34 trillion yen in 2011. Annualized premiums in force, translated into dollars at respective year-end exchange rates, were $14.9 billion in 2013, $17.2 billion in 2012, and $17.3 billion in 2011.

Aflac Japan's investment portfolios include dollar-denominated securities and reverse-dual currency securities (yen-denominated debt securities with dollar coupon payments). Dollar-denominated investment income from these assets accounted for approximately 44% of Aflac Japan's investment income in 2013, compared with 33% in 2012 and 2011. This percentage increase is due to our higher allocation to U.S. dollar-denominated investments. In years when the yen strengthens in relation to the dollar, translating Aflac Japan's dollar-denominated investment income into yen lowers growth rates for net investment income, total operating revenues, and pretax operating earnings in yen terms. In years when the yen weakens, translating dollar-denominated investment income into yen magnifies growth rates for net investment income, total operating revenues, and pretax operating earnings in yen terms. Excluding foreign currency changes from the respective prior year, dollar-denominated investment income accounted for approximately 39% of Aflac Japan's investment income during 2013, compared with 33% in 2012 and 35% in 2011.

The following table illustrates the effect of translating Aflac Japan's dollar-denominated investment income and related items into yen by comparing certain segment results with those that would have been reported had yen/dollar exchange rates remained unchanged from the prior year.

Aflac Japan Percentage Changes Over Prior Year
(Yen Operating Results)

	Including Foreign Currency Changes			Excluding Foreign Currency Changes[2]		
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
Net investment income	13.9 %	6.1 %	(.4)%	4.7 %	5.9 %	3.0 %
Total operating revenues	7.8	9.4	4.5	6.4	9.3	5.1
Pretax operating earnings[1]	13.6	2.0	6.8	7.0	1.7	9.2

[1]See the Insurance Operations section of this MD&A for our definition of segment operating earnings.
[2]Amounts excluding foreign currency changes on dollar-denominated items were determined using the same yen/dollar exchange rate for the current year as each respective prior year.
Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

The following table presents a summary of operating ratios in yen terms for Aflac Japan for the years ended December 31.

Ratios to total revenues:	2013	2012	2011
Benefits and claims, net	61.7 %	62.3 %	60.1 %
Operating expenses:			
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	3.6	3.6	3.5
Insurance commissions	5.3	5.9	6.4
Insurance and other expenses	8.9	8.7	9.1
Total operating expenses	17.8	18.2	19.0
Pretax operating earnings[1]	20.5	19.5	20.9

[1]See the Insurance Operations section of this MD&A for our definition of segment operating earnings.
Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

For many years, the ratio of benefits and claims to total revenues (benefit ratio) for our health products has been positively impacted by favorable claim trends, primarily in our cancer product line. While we expect this downward

claim trend to continue, the rate of decline in Aflac Japan's total benefit ratio has moderated, due in part to strong sales results in our ordinary products, including WAYS and child endowment. These products have higher benefit ratios and lower expense ratios than our health products. The benefit ratio has also been impacted by the effect of low investment yields and portfolio derisking, both of which impact our profit margin by reducing the spread between investment yields and

---

required interest on policy reserves (see table and discussion in the Interest Rate Risk subsection of this MD&A). In 2013, the benefit ratio and the operating expense ratio decreased, resulting in a higher pretax operating profit margin, compared with 2012. These ratio changes were influenced by the impact of the stronger dollar on our revenues reported in yen. The benefit ratio and pretax operating profit margin were also modestly impacted by a reinsurance transaction that we entered into in the third quarter of 2013. For 2014, we anticipate the pretax operating profit margin to decline somewhat compared with 2013 as we see the impacts of challenges as discussed in the Earnings Guidance subsection of this MD&A.

Aflac Japan Sales

The following table presents Aflac Japan's new annualized premium sales for the years ended December 31.

	In Dollars			In Yen		
(In millions of dollars and billions of yen)	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
New annualized premium sales	$1,539	$2,641	$2,027	149.3	210.6	161.0
Increase (decrease) over prior year	(41.7)%	30.3 %	30.5 %	(29.1)%	30.8 %	18.6 %

The following table details the contributions to new annualized premium sales by major insurance product for the years ended December 31.

	2013	2012	2011
Medical	27.9 %	17.5 %	22.3 %
Cancer	17.0	13.1	19.6
Ordinary life:			
Child endowment	11.7	11.6	17.0
WAYS	27.5	44.9	26.2
Other ordinary life	10.3	8.5	10.3
Other	5.6	4.4	4.6
Total	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

As anticipated, Aflac Japan's overall sales declined in 2013 compared with 2012. This decline was primarily the result of two factors: the repricing of WAYS and other first sector life products, reflecting lower assumed interest rates; and improved investment returns for equities and fixed-income investments, which caused customers at banks to shift their focus from WAYS-type insurance products to investment trusts. Going forward into 2014, we expect this declining trend to continue.

The foundation of Aflac Japan's portfolio has been, and continues to be, our cancer and medical products. Sales of cancer and medical products combined were at the high end of our sales target range, increasing 4.0% during 2013, compared with 2012, primarily reflecting a favorable response to our new EVER medical product that was launched in August 2013 and the advertising we created to promote it. We have been focusing more on the development of our cancer and medical products following the repricing of our first sector life products in April 2013. With continued cost pressure on Japan's health care system, we expect the need for cancer and medical products will continue to rise in the future, and we remain convinced that the medical and cancer products Aflac Japan provides will continue to be an important part of our product portfolio.
At December 31, 2013, we had agreements to sell our products at 372 banks, or more than 90% of the total number of banks in Japan. We believe we have significantly more banks selling our supplemental health insurance products than any other insurer operating in Japan. As expected, sales of the WAYS product declined sharply in 2013, leading to a 51.3% decline in bank channel sales, compared with 2012. Bank channel sales accounted for 31.3% of new annualized premium sales in 2013 for Aflac Japan, compared with 45.6% in 2012.

We remain committed to selling through our traditional channels. These channels, consisting of affiliated corporate agencies, independent corporate agencies and individual agencies, accounted for 66.9% of total new annualized premium sales for Aflac Japan in 2013. In 2013, we recruited more than 1,600 new sales agencies. At December 31, 2013, Aflac Japan was represented by more than 15,900 sales agencies and more than 126,500 licensed sales associates employed by those agencies.

Aflac Japan and Japan Post Holdings entered into a new agreement in July 2013, further expanding their partnership that was initially established in 2008 (see Japanese Regulatory Environment). Through this alliance, Japan Post intends to expand the number of post offices that offer Aflac's cancer products, gradually increasing from 1,000 postal outlets to eventually 20,000 outlets. Subject to regulatory approval, Japan Post Insurance (Kampo) will enter into an agency contract with Aflac Japan to begin distributing Aflac Japan's cancer insurance products at all of Kampo's 79 directly managed sales offices. Also subject to regulatory approval, Aflac Japan will work in consultation with Japan Post to develop a unique Aflac-branded cancer product for Japan Post and Kampo. Additionally, Aflac Japan has formed a business partnership with Daido Life Insurance Company (Daido). Daido will sell Aflac's cancer insurance policies to members of Hojinkai, a non-profit organization associated with 900,000 small and mid-sized member firms across Japan.

We believe that there is still a continued need for our products in Japan. Our sales target and focus in 2014 will continue to be centered around the sale of Aflac Japan's third sector products, including cancer and medical. We expect Aflac Japan's sales of third sector cancer and medical products to be up 2% to 7% for 2014.

Japanese Economy

The Bank of Japan's January 2014 Monthly Report of Recent Economic and Financial Developments stated that Japan's economy continues to recover moderately. Both public investment and housing investment have continued to increase while private consumption has remained resilient. The report projected that Japan's economy is expected to recover moderately, while it will be affected by the front-loaded increase in demand prior to, and subsequent decline after, the consumption tax hike. Exports are expected to increase moderately due to the improving overseas economies. As for domestic demand, public investment is expected to trend upward in the near future and then become flat at a high level. Private consumption and housing investment are expected to remain resilient, while industrial production is expected to continue increasing moderately.

Japanese Regulatory Environment

In 2005, legislation aimed at privatizing Japan's postal system (Japan Post) was enacted into law. The privatization laws split Japan Post into four entities that began operating in October 2007. In 2007, one of these entities selected Aflac Japan as its provider of cancer insurance to be sold through its post offices, and, in 2008, we began selling cancer insurance through these post offices. Japan Post has historically been a popular place for consumers to purchase insurance products. Legislation to reform the postal system passed the Diet in April 2012 and resulted in the merger of two of the postal operating entities (the one that delivers the mail and the one that runs the post offices) on October 1, 2012. In July 2013, Aflac Japan entered into a new agreement with Japan Post Holdings to further expand a partnership that was initially established in 2008 (see Aflac Japan Sales).

On January 16, 2014, Japan’s FSA issued a reporting order pursuant to Article 200, Paragraph 1 of the Insurance Business Law to all insurance companies, including Aflac Japan, entitled “Regarding the Rectification, etc. of Insurance Agency Employees.” Companies have been ordered to ascertain conditions on the ground regarding sales agent subcontracting (i.e., the use of non-employee contractors to sell insurance on behalf of insurance agencies), facilitate the discontinuation of the practice and report to the FSA no later than April 30, 2015. In light of the Company's current mix of distribution channels, the use of non-employee contractors is not a major channel for the Company in Japan.

In June 2013, a revision to the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act established a post-funded Orderly Resolution Regime for financial institutions to prevent a financial crisis in the event of a financial institution’s failure. This regime is expected to come into effect in March 2014, but is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's operations in Japan.

Aflac Japan Investments

The level of investment income in yen is affected by available cash flow from operations, the timing of investing the cash flow, yields on new investments, and the effect of yen/dollar exchange rates on dollar-denominated investment income. Aflac Japan has historically invested primarily in JGBs and privately issued securities. Privately issued securities generally have higher yields than those available on JGBs and other publicly traded debt instruments. All of the privately issued securities we purchase were rated investment grade at the time of purchase. These securities were generally issued with documentation consistent with standard medium-term note programs. In addition, many of these investments have protective covenants appropriate to the specific issuer, industry and country. These covenants often require the issuer to adhere to specific financial ratios and give priority to repayment of our investment under certain circumstances.

All of the privately issued securities we purchased were rated investment grade at the time of purchase. These securities were generally either privately negotiated arrangements or were issued with documentation consistent with standard medium-term note programs. Many of these investments have protective covenants appropriate to the specific investment. These may include a prohibition of certain activities by the borrower, maintenance of certain financial measures, and specific conditions impacting the payment of our notes.

In order to address our challenge of investing in Japan's low-interest-rate environment and reduce the amount of privately issued securities in our overall portfolio, in the third quarter of 2012, we began investing in higher-yielding U.S. dollar-denominated publicly-traded investment grade corporate fixed-maturity securities, and have entered into foreign currency forwards to hedge the currency risk on the fair value of the U.S. dollar securities. We started this program as part of our strategic review of portfolio allocation, maintain it as part of our on-going portfolio allocation, and will allocate new money into the program based on multiple factors including market conditions, overall portfolio make-up, investment alternatives, needs of the business, and other factors.

Funds available for investment include cash flows from operations, investment income, and funds generated from bond swaps, maturities, redemptions and securities lending. Aflac Japan purchased debt security investments at an aggregate acquisition cost of approximately 2.5 trillion yen in 2013 (approximately $25.4 billion), 2.7 trillion yen in 2012 (approximately $34.4 billion) and 2.0 trillion yen in 2011 (approximately $25.5 billion). During the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, there were no purchases of perpetual securities, and equity security purchases were immaterial.

The following table presents the composition of debt security purchases for Aflac Japan by sector, as a percentage of acquisition cost, for the years ended December 31.

Composition of Purchases by Sector

	2013		2012		2011	
Debt security purchases, at cost:						
Banks/financial institutions	.4	%	2.3	%	3.9	%
Government and agencies	76.2		73.8		83.7	
Municipalities	.0		.0		.7	
Public utilities	3.3		3.4		2.4	
Sovereign and supranational	.0		.1		.5	
Other corporate	20.1		20.4		8.8	
Total	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%

The change in allocation of purchases from year to year is based on broad business and portfolio management objectives and the relative value and availability of investment opportunities. Given the volatility in the U.S. interest rate environment, Aflac Japan did not purchase any additional U.S. dollar-denominated fixed maturities as part of the program discussed above during the second half of 2013. The majority of new money purchases were allocated to JGBs in the second half of 2013. The decrease in purchases of securities in the government and agencies sector in 2012, compared with 2011, was directly related to our purchase of U.S. dollar-denominated publicly traded investment grade debt as mentioned above. The increase in purchases of securities in the government and agencies sector in 2011 was due to increased investment in JGBs as part of bond-swap programs and the reinvestment of proceeds from sales of other securities.

We use specific criteria to judge the credit quality of both existing and prospective investments. Furthermore, we use several methods to monitor these criteria, including credit rating services and internal credit analysis. The ratings referenced in the two tables below are based on the ratings designations provided by the major credit rating agencies (Moody's Investors Service (Moody's), Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (S&P), and Fitch Ratings (Fitch)) or, if not rated, are determined based on our internal credit analysis of such securities. For investment-grade securities where the ratings assigned by the major credit agencies are not equivalent, we use the second lowest rating that is assigned. For a description of the ratings methodology that we use when a security is split-rated (one rating agency rates the

security as investment grade while another rating agency rates the same security as below investment grade), see "Market Risks of Financial Instruments - Below-Investment-Grade and Split-Rated Securities" in the Analysis of Financial Condition section of this MD&A.

The distributions by credit rating of Aflac Japan's purchases of debt securities for the years ended December 31, based on acquisition cost, were as follows:

Composition of Purchases by Credit Rating

	2013	2012	2011
AAA	.3 %	.3 %	6.9 %
AA	77.7	74.9	79.3
A	10.9	8.5	7.5
BBB	9.4	15.1	5.7
BB or Lower	1.7	1.2	.6
Total	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

Our purchases of securities are determined through an evaluation of multiple factors including credit risk, relative pricing and return potential of the security, liquidity of the instrument, broad business and portfolio considerations, and other market based and company specific factors. Higher purchases of AA rated securities in 2013 compared with 2012 were primarily due to additional purchases of JGBs. The increase in purchases of AAA rated securities during 2011 was due to purchases of U.S. Treasury strips that were subsequently sold prior to the end of the year. The increase in purchases of A rated securities in 2013 and BBB rated securities in 2012 was related primarily to the purchase of U.S. dollar-denominated corporate fixed-income publicly traded securities for the Aflac Japan portfolio as discussed above. The purchases of BB or lower rated securities during 2013, 2012 and 2011 were related to a limited program that we initiated in 2011 to invest in senior secured bank loans to U.S. and Canadian corporate borrowers, most of which have below-investment-grade ratings. For more information on this program, see the Credit Risk subsection of this MD&A.

The following table presents the results of Aflac Japan's investment yields for the years ended and as of December 31.

	2013[(1)]	2012[(1)]	2011
New money yield	2.48 %	2.40 %	2.48 %
Return on average invested assets, net of investment expenses	2.86	2.89	3.18
Portfolio yield, including dollar-denominated investments, end of period	2.80	2.87	3.29

[(1)] Yields are reported before the cost of the foreign currency forwards that hedge foreign exchange risk of U.S. dollar-denominated
publicly-traded corporate bonds.

The increase in the Aflac Japan new money yield is primarily due to the increase in U.S. interest rates experienced throughout the year, partially offset by a decrease in Japan interest rates, declining credit spreads and the allocation of new money to JGBs in the second half of 2013.

The following table presents the composition of total investments by sector, at amortized cost, and cash for Aflac Japan ($93.6 billion in 2013 and $102.6 billion in 2012) as of December 31.

Composition of Portfolio by Sector

	2013	2012
Debt and perpetual securities, at amortized cost:		
Banks/financial institutions[(1)]	14.3 %	17.8 %
Government and agencies	45.3	43.5
Municipalities	.7	.8
Public utilities	9.7	10.5
Sovereign and supranational	4.4	4.7
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	.7	1.0
Other corporate[(2)]	24.1	21.0
Total debt and perpetual securities	99.2	99.3
Equity securities and other	.2	.2
Cash and cash equivalents	.6	.5

Total investments and cash	100.0 %	100.0 %

[1]Includes 2.9% and 3.6% of perpetual securities at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.
[2]Includes .2% and .3% of perpetual securities at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

46

Our highest sector concentration is in government and agencies, with investments consisting primarily of JGBs. See Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Market Risks of Financial Instruments - Credit Risk subsection of MD&A for more information regarding the sector concentrations of our investments.

Yen-denominated debt and perpetual securities accounted for 78.3% of Aflac Japan's total debt and perpetual securities at December 31, 2013, compared with 84.2% at December 31, 2012, at amortized cost.

The distributions of debt and perpetual securities owned by Aflac Japan, by credit rating, as of December 31 were as follows:

Composition of Portfolio by Credit Rating

	2013				2012			
	Amortized Cost		Fair Value		Amortized Cost		Fair Value	
AAA	1.4	%	1.4	%	1.6	%	1.7	%
AA	51.3		52.2		49.8		49.6	
A	20.7		20.9		20.6		21.2	
BBB	22.5		21.6		23.3		23.0	
BB or lower	4.1		3.9		4.7		4.5	
Total	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%

The overall credit quality of Aflac Japan's investments remained high. At the end of 2013, 95.9% of Aflac Japan's debt and perpetual securities were rated investment grade, on an amortized cost basis.

See Notes 3 and 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Analysis of Financial Condition section of this MD&A for additional information on our investments and hedging strategies.

AFLAC U.S. SEGMENT

Aflac U.S. Pretax Operating Earnings

Changes in Aflac U.S. pretax operating earnings and profit margins are primarily affected by morbidity, mortality, expenses, persistency and investment yields. The following table presents a summary of operating results for Aflac U.S. for the years ended December 31.

47

Aflac U.S. Summary of Operating Results

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Premium income	$5,153	$4,996	$4,743
Net investment income	632	613	588
Other income	6	19	10
Total operating revenues	5,791	5,628	5,341
Benefits and claims	2,889	2,834	2,713
Operating expenses:			
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	433	400	383
Insurance commissions	583	570	546
Insurance and other expenses	848	827	795
Total operating expenses	1,864	1,797	1,724
Total benefits and expenses	4,753	4,631	4,437
Pretax operating earnings[1]	$1,038	$997	$904
Percentage change over previous period:			
Premium income	3.1 %	5.4 %	3.4 %
Net investment income	3.2	4.2	7.1
Total operating revenues	2.9	5.4	3.8
Pretax operating earnings[1]	4.1	10.3	(1.9)

[1]See the Insurance Operations section of this MD&A for our definition of segment operating earnings.
Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

Annualized premiums in force increased 2.2% in 2013, 5.1% in 2012 and 4.3% in 2011. Annualized premiums in force at December 31 were $5.6 billion in 2013, compared with $5.5 billion in 2012 and $5.2 billion in 2011.

The following table presents a summary of operating ratios for Aflac U.S. for the years ended December 31.

Ratios to total revenues:	2013	2012	2011
Benefits and claims	49.9 %	50.3 %	50.8 %
Operating expenses:			
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	7.5	7.1	7.2
Insurance commissions	10.1	10.1	10.2
Insurance and other expenses	14.6	14.8	14.9
Total operating expenses	32.2	32.0	32.3
Pretax operating earnings[1]	17.9	17.7	16.9

[1]See the Insurance Operations section of this MD&A for our definition of segment operating earnings.
Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

In 2013, the benefit ratio slightly decreased and the expense ratio slightly increased, resulting in an overall expansion of the pretax operating profit margin, compared with 2012. In 2014, we expect the benefit and expense ratios to remain stable.

Aflac U.S. Sales

The following table presents Aflac's U.S. new annualized premium sales for the years ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
New annualized premium sales	$1,424	$1,488	$1,476
Increase (decrease) over prior year	(4.3)%	.8 %	6.8 %

48

The following table details the contributions to new annualized premium sales by major insurance product category for the years ended December 31.

	2013	2012	2011
Income-loss protection:			
Short-term disability	21.2 %	20.3 %	18.0 %
Life	5.3	5.4	5.7
Asset-loss protection:			
Accident	27.3	29.5	30.0
Critical care [(1)]	20.8	23.1	24.1
Supplemental medical:			
Hospital indemnity	16.9	15.3	15.7
Dental/vision	6.2	6.1	6.5
Other	2.3	.3	.0
Total	100.0 %	100.0%	100.0 %

(1) Includes cancer, critical illness and hospital intensive care products

New annualized premium sales for accident insurance, our leading product category, decreased 11.5%, short-term disability sales increased .2%, critical care insurance sales (including cancer insurance) decreased 13.9%, and hospital indemnity insurance sales increased 5.6% in 2013, compared with 2012.

As part of our U.S. sales strategy, we continue to focus on growing and enhancing the effectiveness of our U.S. sales force. As of December 31, 2013, our distribution network was made up of more than 76,300 licensed sales associates and brokers. Beyond expanding the size and capabilities of our traditional sales force, we remain encouraged about establishing and developing relationships with insurance brokers that typically handle the larger-case market.

The addition of group products has expanded our reach and enabled us to generate more sales opportunities with larger employers, brokers, and our traditional sales agents. We anticipate that the appeal of our group products will continue to enhance our opportunities to connect with larger businesses and their employees. Our portfolio of group and individual products offers businesses the opportunity to give their employees a more valuable and comprehensive selection of benefit options.

The unemployment rate in the United States has shown some signs of improvement; however, we continue to see hiring remain weak, especially at smaller employers where 90% of our business is written. We believe the need for our products remains very strong and are taking measures to better reach potential customers. We continue to work on enhancing distribution capabilities, including initiatives that benefit our field force and the broker community. At the same time, we seek opportunities to leverage our strong brand and relevant product portfolio in the evolving health care environment.

Although we remain somewhat cautious in the short-term sales outlook for Aflac U.S. due to the economic environment and uncertainty created by the introduction of health care reform, our longer-term view has not changed. With the evolving business market and the coverage standardization that will result from health care reform in the United States, we believe Aflac voluntary products will become more relevant than ever. Our products provide cash benefits that can be used to help with increasing out-of-pocket medical expenses, help cover household costs, or protect against income and asset loss. We are regularly evaluating the marketplace to identify opportunities to bring the most relevant, cost-effective products to our customers. We believe the need for the products we sell remains strong, and that the United States provides a vast and accessible market for our products. For 2014, our objective is for Aflac U.S. new annualized premium sales to be in the range of flat to up 5%.

U.S. Economy

Operating in the U.S. economy continues to be challenging. Our group products and relationships with insurance brokers that handle the larger-case market are helping us as we expand our reach selling to larger businesses. However, more than 90% of our products are sold in the small business segment, consisting of accounts with fewer than 100 employees. Continued low levels of optimism have prompted small employers to remain guarded in their hiring outlook, which limits our universe of potential new policyholders. Additionally, ongoing uncertainties around

health care reform implementation have prompted many businesses and consumers to defer decisions related to health care coverage.

Although we believe that the weakened U.S. economy has constrained our sales growth, we also believe that the need for our products remains strong, and that the United States remains a sizeable and attractive market for our products.

U.S. Regulatory Environment

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) is intended to give Americans of all ages and income levels access to comprehensive major medical health insurance. The major elements of the bill became effective on January 1, 2014. The primary subject of the legislation is major medical insurance; as enacted, the ACA does not materially affect the design of our insurance products. However, indirect consequences of the legislation and regulations, including short-term uncertainty related to implementation, could present challenges and/or opportunities that could potentially have an impact on our sales model, financial condition and results of operations. Our experience with Japan’s national health care environment leads us to believe that the need for our products will only increase over the coming years.
The Dodd-Frank Act created, among other things, a Financial Stability Oversight Council (the Council). In April 2012, the Council released a final rule describing the general process it will follow in determining whether to designate a nonbank financial company for supervision by the Board of Governors of the U.S. Federal Reserve System (the Board). The Council may designate by a two-thirds vote whether certain nonbank financial companies, including certain insurance companies and insurance holding companies, could pose a threat to the financial stability of the United States, in which case such nonbank financial companies would become subject to prudential regulation by the Board. On April 3, 2013, the Board published a final rule that establishes the requirements for determining when a nonbank financial company is "predominantly engaged in financial activities" - a prerequisite for designation by the Council. Prudential regulation by the Board includes supervision of capital requirements, leverage limits, liquidity requirements and examinations. The Board may limit such company’s ability to enter into merger transactions, restrict its ability to offer financial products, require it to terminate one or more activities, or impose conditions on the manner in which it conducts activities. The Council designated two insurers and advanced a third insurer to the final stage of the designation process for supervision by the Board in 2013. Although Aflac is a nonbank financial company predominantly engaged in financial activities as defined in the Dodd-Frank Act, we do not believe Aflac will be considered a company that poses a threat to the financial stability of the United States.
Title VII of the Dodd-Frank Act and regulations issued thereunder may have an impact on Aflac's derivative activity, including activity on behalf of Aflac Japan, in particular rules and rule proposals to require central clearing and collateral for certain types of derivatives.
The Dodd-Frank Act also established a Federal Insurance Office (FIO) under the U.S. Treasury Department to monitor all aspects of the insurance industry and of lines of business other than certain health insurance, certain long-term care insurance and crop insurance. Traditionally, U.S. insurance companies have been regulated primarily by state insurance departments. In December 2013, the FIO released a report entitled "How To Modernize And Improve The System Of Insurance Regulation In The United States." The report was required by the Dodd-Frank Act, and included 18 recommended areas of near-term reform for the states, including addressing capital adequacy and safety/soundness issues, reform of insurer resolution practices, and reform of marketplace regulation. The report also listed nine recommended areas for direct federal involvement in insurance regulation.

On December 10, 2013, five U.S. financial regulators adopted a final rule implementing the "Volcker Rule," which was created by Section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Volcker Rule generally prohibits "banking entities" from engaging in "proprietary trading" and making investments and conducting certain other activities with "private equity funds and hedge funds." The final rule becomes effective April 1, 2014; however, at the time the agencies released the final Volcker Rule, the Federal Reserve announced an extension of the conformance period for all banking entities until July 21, 2015. In response to industry questions regarding the final Volcker Rule, the five U.S. financial regulators, which included the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC); the Federal Reserve; the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC); the SEC and the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC), issued a clarifying interim final rule on January 14, 2014 that permits banking entities to retain interests in certain collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) backed by trust preferred securities if the CDO meets certain requirements.

Nonbank financial companies such as Aflac that are not affiliated with an insured depository institution or otherwise brought within the definition of "banking entity" generally will not be subject to the Volcker Rule's prohibitions. However, the prohibitions of the Volcker Rule could impact financial markets generally, for example, through reduced liquidity in certain markets or the exiting of positions by banking entities as the end of the conformance period approaches.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires extensive rule-making and other future regulatory action, which in some cases will take a period of years to implement. However, at the current time, it is not possible to predict with any degree of certainty what impact, if any, the Dodd-Frank Act will have on our U.S. business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Aflac U.S. Investments

The level of investment income is affected by available cash flow from operations, the timing of investing the cash flow, yields on new investments, and other factors. Aflac U.S. has invested primarily in investment grade corporate bonds.

Funds available for investment include cash flows from operations, investment income, and funds generated from bond swaps, maturities and redemptions. Aflac U.S. purchased debt security investments at an aggregate acquisition cost of approximately $1.4 billion in 2013, compared with $1.5 billion in 2012 and 2011. We did not purchase any perpetual or equity securities during the three-year period ended December 31, 2013. The following table presents the composition of debt security purchases for Aflac U.S. by sector, as a percentage of acquisition cost, for the years ended December 31.

Composition of Purchases by Sector

	2013		2012		2011	
Debt security purchases, at cost:						
Banks/financial institutions	4.8	%	8.5	%	4.5	%
Government and agencies	.1		4.7		.0	
Municipalities	.0		.8		12.8	
Public utilities	11.9		23.5		16.6	
Sovereign and supranational	.0		.9		.0	
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	4.5		.0		.0	
Other corporate	78.7		61.6		66.1	
Total	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%

The change in allocation of purchases from year to year is based on broad business and portfolio management objectives and the relative value and availability of investment opportunities.

We use specific criteria to judge the credit quality of both existing and prospective investments. Furthermore, we use several methods to monitor these criteria, including credit rating services and internal credit analysis. The ratings referenced in the two tables below are based on the ratings designations provided by the major credit rating agencies (Moody's, S&P, and Fitch) or, if not rated, are determined based on our internal credit analysis of such securities. For investment-grade securities where the ratings assigned by the major credit agencies are not equivalent, we use the second lowest rating that is assigned. For a description of the ratings methodology that we use when a security is split-rated (one rating agency rates the security as investment grade while another rating agency rates the same security as below investment grade), see “Market Risks of Financial Instruments - Below-Investment-Grade and Split-Rated Securities” in the Analysis of Financial Condition section of this MD&A.

The distributions by credit rating of Aflac's U.S. purchases of debt securities for the years ended December 31, based on acquisition cost, were as follows:

Composition of Purchases by Credit Rating

	2013		2012		2011	
AAA	.6	%	4.3	%	.1	%
AA	5.1		9.1		8.6	
A	46.2		51.4		47.1	
BBB	48.1		35.2		44.2	
Total	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%

Our purchases of securities are determined through an evaluation of multiple factors including credit risk, relative pricing and return potential of the security, liquidity of the instrument, broad business and portfolio considerations, and other market based and company specific factors.

The following table presents the results of Aflac's U.S. investment yields for the years ended and as of December 31.

	2013	2012	2011
New money yield	4.06 %	3.96 %	5.67 %
Return on average invested assets, net of investment expenses	5.70	6.25	6.41
Portfolio yield, end of year	6.01	6.28	6.67

The increase in the Aflac U.S. new money yield is primarily due to the increase in interest rates experienced throughout the year, partially offset by declining credit spreads.

The following table presents the composition of total investments by sector, at amortized cost, and cash for Aflac U.S. ($12.0 billion in 2013 and $10.6 billion in 2012) as of December 31.

Composition of Portfolio by Sector

	2013	2012
Debt and perpetual securities, at amortized cost:		
Banks/financial institutions[1]	14.2 %	18.3 %
Government and agencies	.7	.8
Municipalities	5.9	6.9
Public utilities	16.7	17.8
Sovereign and supranational	1.8	2.2
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	.3	.4
Other corporate	53.6	47.8
Total debt and perpetual securities	93.2	94.2
Cash and cash equivalents	6.8	5.8
Total investments and cash	100.0 %	100.0 %

[1]Includes .9% and 1.5% of perpetual securities at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

See Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Market Risks of Financial Instruments - Credit Risk subsection of MD&A for more information regarding the sector concentrations of our investments.

The distributions of debt and perpetual securities owned by Aflac U.S., by credit rating, as of December 31 were as follows:

Composition of Portfolio by Credit Rating

	2013		2012	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
AAA	1.0 %	1.0 %	1.1 %	1.0 %
AA	8.4	8.9	9.6	10.1
A	45.9	46.4	44.3	45.2
BBB	40.7	39.9	40.0	39.1
BB or lower	4.0	3.8	5.0	4.6
Total	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

The overall credit quality of Aflac U.S. investments remained high. At the end of 2013, 96.0% of Aflac U.S. debt and perpetual securities were rated investment grade, on an amortized cost basis. See Notes 3 and 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Analysis of Financial Condition section of this MD&A for additional information on our investments.

OTHER OPERATIONS

Corporate operating expenses consist primarily of personnel compensation, benefits, and facilities expenses. Corporate expenses, excluding investment income, were $79 million in 2013, $76 million in 2012 and $74 million in 2011. Investment income included in reported corporate expenses was $11 million in 2013, $20 million in 2012 and $10 million in 2011.

ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

Our financial condition has remained strong in the functional currencies of our operations. The yen/dollar exchange rate at the end of each period is used to translate yen-denominated balance sheet items to U.S. dollars for reporting purposes.

The following table demonstrates the effect of the change in the yen/dollar exchange rate by comparing select balance sheet items as reported at December 31, 2013, with the amounts that would have been reported had the exchange rate remained unchanged from December 31, 2012.

Impact of Foreign Exchange on Balance Sheet Items

(In millions)	As Reported	Exchange Effect	Net of Exchange Effect
Yen/dollar exchange rate[1]	105.39		86.58
Investments and cash	$108,459	$(16,013)	$ 124,472
Deferred policy acquisition costs	8,798	(1,264)	10,062
Total assets	121,307	(17,836)	139,143
Policy liabilities	89,402	(17,446)	106,848
Total liabilities	106,687	(19,806)	126,493

[1]The exchange rate at December 31, 2013, was 105.39 yen to one dollar, or 17.8% weaker than the December 31, 2012, exchange rate of 86.58.

Market Risks of Financial Instruments

Our investment philosophy is to fulfill our fiduciary responsibility to invest assets in a prudent manner to meet the present and future needs of our policyholders' contractual obligations while maximizing the long-term financial return on assets consistent with the company goal of maximizing long-term shareholder value with defined risk appetites, limits, and maintaining adequate liquidity.

The following table details investment securities by segment as of December 31.

Investment Securities by Segment

	Aflac Japan		Aflac U.S.	
(In millions)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Securities available for sale, at fair value:				
Fixed maturities	$46,448	$45,472	$11,290 [1]	$11,625 [1]
Perpetual securities	2,839	4,127	108	175
Equity securities	21	23	0	0
Total available for sale	49,308	49,622	11,398	11,800
Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost:				
Fixed maturities	44,415	54,426	0	0
Total held to maturity	44,415	54,426	0	0
Total investment securities	$93,723	$104,048	$11,398	$11,800

[1]Excludes investment-grade, available-for-sale fixed-maturity securities held by the Parent Company of $332 in 2013 and $156 in 2012.

Because we invest in fixed-income securities, our financial instruments are exposed primarily to three types of market risks: currency risk, interest rate risk, and credit risk.

Currency Risk
The functional currency of Aflac Japan's insurance operations is the Japanese yen. All of Aflac Japan's premiums, claims and commissions are received or paid in yen, as are most of its other expenses. Most of Aflac Japan's cash and liabilities are yen-denominated. Aflac Japan's investments consisted primarily of yen-denominated securities of $72.7 billion, at amortized cost, at December 31, 2013. However, Aflac Japan also owns dollar-denominated securities of $12.1 billion, at amortized cost, whose fair value is hedged against currency risk as well as $8.0 billion of securities, at amortized cost, that are not hedged as of December 31, 2013. Due to this investment allocation, yen-denominated investment income accounted for 56% of Aflac Japan's investment income in 2013, with the remainder denominated in U.S. dollars. In addition, Aflac Incorporated has yen-denominated debt obligations.
We are exposed to economic currency risk only when yen funds are actually converted into dollars. This occurs when we repatriate yen-denominated funds from Aflac Japan to Aflac U.S., which is generally done annually. The exchange rates prevailing at the time of repatriation will differ from the exchange rates prevailing at the time the yen profits were earned. A portion of the yen repatriation may be used to service Aflac Incorporated's yen-denominated notes payable with the remainder converted into dollars. In order to hedge foreign exchange risk for a portion of the profit repatriation received in yen from Aflac Japan in July 2013, we entered into foreign exchange forwards and options in the first six months of 2013 as part of a hedging strategy on 65 billion yen. Aflac further hedged foreign exchange risk for a portion of the expected profit repatriation in yen from Aflac Japan scheduled to occur in July 2014 using foreign exchange forwards and options as part of a hedging strategy on 47.5 billion yen. In January 2014, we restructured this hedging strategy with a new 52.5 billion yen foreign exchange forward contract.
In addition to profit repatriation, certain investment activities for Aflac Japan expose us to economic currency risk when yen are converted into dollars. As noted above, we invest a portion of our yen cash flows in dollar-denominated assets. This requires that we convert the yen cash flows to U.S. dollars before investing. As previously discussed, for certain of our U.S. dollar-denominated securities, we enter into a foreign currency forward contract to hedge the currency risk on the fair value of the securities. The dollar coupon payments received on these investments are not hedged and are subject to foreign exchange fluctuations, which are realized in earnings. Also, Aflac Japan has invested in reverse-dual currency securities (RDCs, or yen-denominated debt securities with dollar coupon payments), which exposes Aflac to changes in foreign exchange rates. The foreign currency effect on the yen-denominated securities is accounted for as a component of unrealized gains or losses on available-for-sale securities in accumulated other comprehensive income, while the foreign currency effect on the dollar coupons is realized in earnings. The RDCs provided a higher yield at the time of purchase than those available on Japanese government or other public corporate bonds, while still adhering to our investment standards at the time of the transaction. The yen/dollar exchange rate would have to strengthen to approximately 42 before the yield on these instruments would equal that of a comparable yen-denominated instrument.
Aside from the activities discussed above, we generally do not convert yen into dollars; however, we do translate financial statement amounts from yen into dollars for financial reporting purposes. Therefore, reported amounts are affected by foreign currency fluctuations. We report unrealized foreign currency translation gains and losses in accumulated other comprehensive income. In periods when the yen weakens against the dollar, translating yen into dollars causes fewer dollars to be reported. When the yen strengthens, translating yen into dollars causes more dollars to be reported. The weakening of the yen relative to the dollar will generally adversely affect the value of our yen-denominated investments in dollar terms. We attempt to minimize the exposure of shareholders' equity to foreign currency. We accomplish this by investing a portion of Aflac Japan's investment portfolio in dollar-denominated securities and by the Parent Company's issuance of yen-denominated debt (for additional information, see the discussion under the Hedging Activities subsection of MD&A). As a result, the effect of currency fluctuations on our net assets is reduced.
The following table demonstrates the effect of foreign currency fluctuations by presenting the dollar values of our yen-denominated assets and liabilities, and our consolidated yen-denominated net asset exposure at selected exchange rates as of December 31.

Dollar Value of Yen-Denominated Assets and Liabilities at Selected Exchange Rates						
(In millions)	2013			2012		
Yen/dollar exchange rates	90.39	105.39[1]	120.39	71.58	86.58[1]	101.58
Yen-denominated financial instruments:						
Assets:						
Securities available for sale:						
Fixed maturities[2]	$27,893	$23,923	$20,942	$30,649	$25,339	$21,597
Fixed maturities - consolidated variable interest entities[3]	2,419	2,075	1,816	3,272	2,705	2,306
Perpetual securities	2,734	2,345	2,053	4,270	3,530	3,009
Perpetual securities - consolidated variable interest entities[3]	443	380	333	592	489	417
Equity securities	20	17	15	21	18	15
Securities held to maturity:						
Fixed maturities	51,509	44,178	38,673	65,481	54,137	46,143
Fixed maturities - consolidated variable interest entities[3]	277	237	208	349	289	246
Cash and cash equivalents	479	411	360	463	383	326
Derivatives	1,467	488	737	960	345	538
Other financial instruments	166	143	125	186	153	131
Subtotal	87,407	74,197	65,262	106,243	87,388	74,728
Liabilities:						
Notes payable	814	699	611	1,030	852	726
Japanese policyholder protection corporation	0	0	0	28	23	20
Derivatives	489	837	2,504	567	934	1,829
Subtotal	1,303	1,536	3,115	1,625	1,809	2,575
Net yen-denominated financial instruments	86,104	72,661	62,147	104,618	85,579	72,153
Other yen-denominated assets	9,327	8,000	7,003	10,189	8,423	7,179
Other yen-denominated liabilities	104,704	89,801	78,613	119,778	99,026	84,403
Consolidated yen-denominated net assets (liabilities) subject to foreign currency fluctuation[2]	$(9,273)	$(9,140)	$(9,463)	$(4,971)	$(5,024)	$(5,071)

[1] Actual period-end exchange rate

[2] Does not include the U.S. dollar-denominated corporate bonds for which we have entered into foreign currency forwards as
discussed in the Aflac Japan Investment subsection of MD&A

[3] Does not include U.S. dollar-denominated bonds that have corresponding cross-currency swaps in consolidated VIEs

We are required to consolidate certain variable interest entities (VIEs). Some of the consolidated VIEs in Aflac Japan's portfolio use foreign currency swaps to convert foreign denominated cash flows to yen, the functional currency of Aflac Japan, in order to minimize cash flow fluctuations. Foreign currency swaps exchange an initial principal amount in two currencies, agreeing to re-exchange the currencies at a future date, at an agreed upon exchange rate. There may also be periodic exchanges of payments at specified intervals based on the agreed upon rates and notional amounts. Prior to consolidation, our beneficial interest in these VIEs was a yen-denominated available-for-sale fixed maturity security. Upon consolidation, the original yen-denominated investment was derecognized and the underlying U.S. dollar-denominated fixed-maturity or perpetual securities and cross-currency swaps were recognized. The combination of a U.S. dollar-denominated investment and cross-currency swap economically creates a yen-denominated investment and has no impact on our net investment hedge position.

Similarly, the combination of the U.S. corporate bonds and the foreign currency forwards that we have entered into, as discussed in the Aflac Japan Investment subsection of MD&A, economically creates a yen-denominated investment that qualifies for inclusion as a component of our investment in Aflac Japan for net investment hedge purposes.

For additional information regarding our Aflac Japan net investment hedge, see the Hedging Activities subsection of MD&A.

Interest Rate Risk

Our primary interest rate exposure is to the impact of changes in interest rates on the fair value of our investments in debt and perpetual securities. We use a modified duration analysis modeling approach, which measures price percentage volatility, to estimate the sensitivity of the fair values of our investments to interest rate changes on the debt and perpetual securities we own. For example, if the current duration of a debt security or perpetual security is 10, then the fair value of that security will increase by approximately 10% if market interest rates decrease by 100 basis points, assuming all other factors remain constant. Likewise, the fair value of the debt security or perpetual security will decrease by approximately 10% if market interest rates increase by 100 basis points, assuming all other factors remain constant.

The estimated effect of potential increases in interest rates on the fair values of debt and perpetual securities we own; derivatives, excluding credit default swaps; notes payable; and our obligation to the Japanese policyholder protection corporation as of December 31 follows:

Sensitivity of Fair Values of Financial Instruments
to Interest Rate Changes

	2013		2012	
(In millions)	Fair Value	+100 Basis Points	Fair Value	+100 Basis Points
Assets:				
Debt and perpetual securities:				
Fixed-maturity securities:				
Yen-denominated	$71,844	$62,708	$82,885	$72,617
Dollar-denominated	32,072	29,061	29,209	26,319
Perpetual securities:				
Yen-denominated	2,725	2,524	4,019	3,728
Dollar-denominated	222	212	283	264
Total debt and perpetual securities	$106,863	$94,505	$116,396	$102,928
Derivatives	$487	$809	$343	$306
Liabilities:				
Notes payable[(1)]	$5,241	$4,908	$4,992	$4,658
Derivatives	833	800	867	970
Japanese policyholder protection corporation	0	0	23	23

[(1)]Excludes capitalized lease obligations

There are various factors that affect the fair value of our investment in debt and perpetual securities. Included in those factors are changes in the prevailing interest rate environment, which directly affect the balance of unrealized gains or losses for a given period in relation to a prior period. Decreases in market yields generally improve the fair value of debt and perpetual securities, while increases in market yields generally have a negative impact on the fair value of our debt and perpetual securities. However, we do not expect to realize a majority of any unrealized gains or losses because we generally have the intent and ability to hold such securities until a recovery of value, which may be maturity. For additional information on unrealized losses on debt and perpetual securities, see Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

We attempt to match the duration of our assets with the duration of our liabilities. The following table presents the approximate duration of Aflac Japan's yen-denominated assets and liabilities, along with premiums, as of

December 31. (In years)	2013	2012
Yen-denominated debt and perpetual securities	13	13
Policy benefits and related expenses to be paid in future years	14	14
Premiums to be received in future years on policies in force	10	10

56

The following table presents the approximate duration of Aflac U.S. dollar-denominated assets and liabilities, along with premiums, as of December 31.

(In years)	2013	2012
Dollar-denominated debt and perpetual securities	10	11
Policy benefits and related expenses to be paid in future years	8	7
Premiums to be received in future years on policies in force	6	6

The following table shows a comparison of average required interest rates for future policy benefits and investment yields, based on amortized cost, for the years ended December 31.

Comparison of Interest Rates for Future Policy Benefits
and Investment Yields
(Net of Investment Expenses)

	2013		2012		2011	
	U.S.	Japan[1]	U.S.	Japan[1]	U.S.	Japan[1]
Policies issued during year:						
Required interest on policy reserves	3.50 %	2.00 %	3.75 %	2.00 %	4.75 %	2.25 %
New money yield on investments	3.93	2.40	3.90	2.24	5.64	2.42
Policies in force at year-end:						
Required interest on policy reserves	5.84	3.91	5.95	4.00	5.99	4.02
Return on average invested assets	5.70	2.86	6.25	2.89	6.41	3.18

[1]Represents investments for Aflac Japan that support policy obligations and therefore excludes Aflac Japan’s annuity products and investment income from the dollar-denominated investment portfolio that Aflac Japan maintains on behalf of Aflac U.S.

We continue to monitor the spread between our new money yield and the required interest assumption for newly issued products in both the United States and Japan and will re-evaluate those assumptions as necessary. Over the next two years, we have yen-denominated securities that will mature with yields in excess of Aflac Japan's current net investment yield of 2.77%. These securities total $1.4 billion at amortized cost and have an average yield of 4.21%. Currently, when debt and perpetual securities we own mature, the proceeds may be reinvested at a yield below that of the interest required for the accretion of policy benefit liabilities on policies issued in earlier years. However, adding riders to our older policies has helped offset negative investment spreads on these policies. Overall, adequate profit margins exist in Aflac Japan's aggregate block of business because of changes in the mix of business and favorable experience from mortality, morbidity and expenses.

We entered into an interest rate swap agreement related to the 5.5 billion yen variable interest rate Samurai notes that we issued in July 2011. This agreement effectively converted the variable interest rate notes to fixed rate notes to eliminate the volatility in our interest expense. We also have interest rate swaps related to some of our consolidated VIEs. These interest rate swaps are primarily used to convert interest receipts on floating-rate fixed-maturity securities contracts to fixed rates.

Interest rate swaptions are options on interest rate swaps. Interest rate collars, combinations of two swaption positions, were executed in the third quarter of 2013 in order to hedge certain dollar-denominated available-for-sale securities that are held in the Aflac Japan segment. We use collars to protect against significant changes in the fair value associated with interest rate changes of our dollar-denominated available-for-sale securities. In order to maximize the efficiency of the collars while minimizing cost, we set the strike price on each collar so that the premium paid for the ‘payer leg’ is offset by the premium received for having sold the ‘receiver leg’.

For further information on our interest rate derivatives, see Notes 4 and 9 of the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Credit Risk
A significant portion of our investment portfolio consists of fixed income or perpetual securities that expose us to the credit risk of the underlying issuer. We carefully evaluate this risk on every new investment and closely monitor the credit

57

---

risk of our existing investment portfolio. We incorporate the needs of our products and liabilities, the overall requirements of the business, and other factors in addition to our underwriting of the credit risk for each investment in the portfolio.

Evaluating the underlying risks in our credit portfolio involves a multitude of factors including but not limited to our assessment of the issuers business activities, assets, products, market position, financial condition, and future prospects. We also must incorporate the assessment of the Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (NRSROs) in assigning credit ratings to our specific portfolio holdings. We employ a team of experienced credit investment professionals to perform extensive internal assessments of the credit risks for all our portfolio holdings and potential new investments.

The ratings of our securities referenced in the two tables below are based on the ratings designations provided by major NRSROs (Moody's, S&P and Fitch) or, if not rated, are determined based on our internal analysis of such securities. For investment-grade securities where the ratings assigned by the major credit agencies are not equivalent, we use the second lowest rating that is assigned. For a description of the ratings methodology that we use when a security is split-rated, see "Market Risks of Financial Instruments - Below-Investment-Grade and Split-Rated Securities" in the Analysis of Financial Condition section of this MD&A.

The distributions by credit rating of our purchases of debt securities for the years ended December 31, based on acquisition cost, were as follows:

Composition of Purchases by Credit Rating

	2013		2012		2011	
AAA	.6	%	.5	%	6.6	%
AA	74.2		72.1		75.4	
A	12.6		10.3		9.7	
BBB	11.0		15.9		7.8	
BB or lower	1.6		1.2		.5	
Total	100.0	%	100.0	%	100.0	%

Purchases of securities from period to period are determined based on multiple objectives including appropriate portfolio diversification, the relative value of a potential investment and availability of investment opportunities, liquidity, credit and other risk factors while adhering to our investment policy guidelines. We did not purchase any perpetual securities during the periods presented in the table above. The level of purchases of AAA rated securities during 2011 was due to an increase in purchases of U.S. Treasury strips that were subsequently sold prior to the end of the year to generate investment gains. The increase in purchases of AA rated securities in 2013 was primarily due to the purchase of JGBs. The increase in purchases of A rated securities in 2013 and BBB rated securities in 2012 was related primarily to the purchase of U.S. dollar-denominated corporate fixed-income publicly traded securities for the Aflac Japan portfolio as discussed further in the Results of Operations - Aflac Japan Segment section of this MD&A. The purchases of BB or lower rated securities in 2013, 2012 and 2011 were due to a program that was initiated in 2011 to invest in senior secured bank loans to U.S. and Canadian corporate borrowers, most of which have below-investment-grade ratings. The program is managed externally by third party firms specializing in this asset class. This mandate requires a minimum average credit quality of BB-/Ba3, prohibits loans rated below B/B2, and restricts exposure to any individual credit to less than 3% of the program’s assets. The objectives of this program include enhancing the yield on invested assets, achieving further diversification of credit risk, and mitigating the risk of rising interest rates through the acquisition of floating rate assets.

The distributions of debt and perpetual securities we own, by credit rating, as of December 31 were as follows:

Composition of Portfolio by Credit Rating

	2013				2012			
	Amortized Cost		Fair Value		Amortized Cost		Fair Value	
AAA	1.4	%	1.4	%	1.5	%	1.6	%
AA	46.7		47.5		46.2		45.6	

A	23.4	23.7	22.8	23.7
BBB	24.4	23.6	24.8	24.6
BB or lower	4.1	3.8	4.7	4.5
Total	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

As of December 31, 2013, our direct and indirect exposure to securities in our investment portfolio that were guaranteed by third parties was immaterial both individually and in the aggregate.

Subordination Distribution

The majority of our total investments in debt and perpetual securities was senior debt at December 31, 2013 and 2012. We also maintained investments in subordinated financial instruments that primarily consisted of Lower Tier II, Upper Tier II, and Tier I securities, listed in order of seniority. The Lower Tier II (LTII) securities are debt instruments with fixed maturities. Our Upper Tier II (UTII) and Tier I investments consisted of debt instruments with fixed maturities and perpetual securities, which have an economic maturity as opposed to a stated maturity.

The following table shows the subordination distribution of our debt and perpetual securities as of December 31.
Subordination Distribution of Debt and Perpetual Securities

(In millions)	2013 Amortized Cost	Percentage of Total	2012 Amortized Cost	Percentage of Total
Senior notes	$97,165	93.5 %	$102,978	91.9 %
Subordinated securities:				
Fixed maturities (stated maturity date):				
Lower Tier II	3,156	3.1	3,985	3.6
Tier I[(1)]	139	.1	405	.3
Surplus notes	330	.3	335	.3
Trust preferred - non-banks	85	.1	85	.1
Other subordinated - non-banks	51	.0	51	.0
Total fixed maturities	3,761	3.6	4,861	4.3
Perpetual securities (economic maturity date):				
Upper Tier II	1,920	1.9	2,825	2.5
Tier I	858	.8	1,079	1.0
Other subordinated - non-banks	209	.2	309	.3
Total perpetual securities	2,987	2.9	4,213	3.8
Total debt and perpetual securities	$103,913	100.0 %	$112,052	100.0 %

[(1)]Includes trust preferred securities

Portfolio Composition

For information regarding the amortized cost for our investments in debt and perpetual securities, the cost for equity securities and the fair values of these investments, refer to Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Investment Concentrations

One of our largest sector concentrations as of December 31, 2013, was banks and financial institutions. Approximately 15% and 18% of our total portfolio of debt and perpetual securities, on an amortized cost basis, was in the bank and financial institution sector at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Within the countries we approve for investment opportunities, we primarily invest in financial institutions that are strategically crucial to each approved country's economy. The bank and financial institution sector is a highly regulated industry and plays a strategic role in the global economy. Within this sector, our credit risk by geographic region or country of issuer at December 31, 2013, based on amortized cost, was: Europe, excluding the United Kingdom (30%); United States (27%); Australia (8%); Japan (7%); United Kingdom (8%); and other (20%).

Our 20 largest global investment exposures as of December 31, 2013, were as follows:

Largest Global Investment Positions

(In millions)	Amortized Cost	% of Total	Seniority	Ratings Moody's	S&P	Fitch
Japan National Government[1]	$41,924	40.35 %	Senior	Aa3	AA-	A+
Republic of South Africa	577	.56	Senior	Baa1	BBB	BBB
Bank of America Corp. (includes Merrill Lynch)	429	.41				
Merrill Lynch & Co. Inc.	237	.23	Senior	Baa2	A-	A
Bank of America Corp.	192	.18	Lower Tier II	Baa3	BBB+	BBB+
Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ Ltd.	427	.41				
Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ Ltd. (BTMU Curacao Holdings NV)	427	.41	Lower Tier II	A1	A	A-
Investcorp SA	401	.39				
Investcorp Capital Limited	401	.39	Senior	Ba2	—	BB
Metlife Inc.	388	.37				
Metropolitan Life Global Funding I	240	.23	Senior	Aa3	AA-	A+
Metlife Inc	148	.14	Senior	A3	A-	A-
JP Morgan Chase & Co. (including Bear Stearns)	381	.37				
JPMorgan Chase & Co. (including Bear Stearns Companies Inc.)	335	.32	Senior	A3	A	A+
JPMorgan Chase & Co. (FNBC)	18	.02	Senior	Aa1	A+	—
JPMorgan Chase & Co. (Bank One Corp.)	17	.02	Lower Tier II	Baa1	A-	A
JPMorgan Chase & Co. (NBD Bank)	11	.01	Lower Tier II	A2	A	A
Deutsche Bank AG	380	.37				
Deutsche Postbank AG	228	.22	Lower Tier II	Baa3	—	A-
Deutsche Bank Capital Trust II	137	.13	Tier I	Ba2	BBB-	BBB-
Deutsche BK CAP FDG Capital Trust I	15	.02	Tier I	Ba2	BBB-	BBB-
National Grid PLC	380	.37				
National Grid Gas PLC	190	.19	Senior	A3	A-	A
National Grid Electricity Transmission PLC	190	.18	Senior	A3	A-	A
Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group Inc.	380	.37				
Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation (includes SMBC International Finance)	237	.23	Upper Tier II	A2	BBB+	—
Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation	95	.09	Lower Tier II	A1	A	—
Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation	48	.05	Upper Tier II	A2	BBB+	—
Telecom Italia SpA	380	.37				
Telecom Italia Finance SA	190	.19	Senior	Ba1	BB+	BBB-
Olivetti Finance NV	190	.18	Senior	Ba1	BB+	BBB-
Citigroup Inc.	355	.34				
Citigroup Inc. (includes Citigroup Global Markets Holdings Inc.)	284	.27	Senior	Baa2	A-	A
Citigroup Inc. (Citicorp)	70	.07	Senior	Baa2	A-	A
Citigroup Inc. (Citicorp)	1	.00		Baa3	BBB+	BBB+

Banobras	351	.34	Lower Tier II Senior	Baa1	BBB+	BBB+
Deutsche Telekom AG	336	.32				
Deutsche Telekom AG	285	.27	Senior	Baa1	BBB+	BBB+
Deutsche Telekom International Finance	51	.05	Senior	Baa1	BBB+	BBB+
Petroleos Mexicanos (Pemex)	336	.32				
Pemex Proj FDG Master TR	285	.27	Senior	Baa1	BBB+	BBB+
Pemex Finance LTD	51	.05	Senior	Baa1	A-	A
Sultanate of Oman	332	.32	Senior	A1	A	—
Koninklijke Ahold NV	328	.32				
Koninklijke Ahold NV	313	.31	Senior	Baa3	BBB	BBB
Ahold USA Lease	15	.01	Senior	Baa3	BBB	BBB
Nordea Bank AB	320	.31				
Nordea Bank AB	244	.24	Tier I	Baa3	BBB+	BBB+
Nordea Bank Finland	75	.07	Upper Tier II	Baa2	A-	A-
Nordea Bank AB	1	.00	Senior	Aa3	AA-	AA-
Israel Electric Corporation Limited	316	.30	Senior	Baa3	BB+	—
SLM Corp (Sallie Mae)	314	.30	Senior	Ba1	BBB-	BB+
Subtotal	$49,035	47.21 %				
Total debt and perpetual securities	$103,913	100.00 %				

(1)JGBs or JGB-backed securities

60

As previously disclosed, we own long-dated debt instruments in support of our long-dated policyholder obligations. Some of our largest global investment holdings are positions that were purchased many years ago and increased in size due to merger and consolidation activity among the issuing entities. In addition, many of our largest holdings are yen-denominated, therefore strengthening of the yen can increase our position in dollars, and weakening of the yen can decrease our position in dollars. Our global investment guidelines establish concentration limits for our investment portfolios.

Geographical Exposure

The following table indicates the geographic exposure of our investment portfolio as of December 31.

(In millions)	2013 Amortized Cost	2013 % of Total	2012 Amortized Cost	2012 % of Total
Japan	$45,224	43.5 %	$48,598	43.4 %
United States and Canada	28,167	27.1	24,512	22.0
United Kingdom	3,385	3.3	4,025	3.6
Germany	3,070	2.9	3,965	3.5
France	2,085	2.0	2,500	2.2
Peripheral Eurozone	3,365	3.2	4,550	4.1
Portugal	230	.2	272	.3
Italy	1,914	1.8	2,327	2.1
Ireland	410	.4	492	.4
Spain	811	.8	1,459	1.3
Nordic Region	2,564	2.5	3,407	3.0
Sweden	1,109	1.1	1,513	1.3
Norway	641	.6	814	.7
Denmark	380	.4	551	.5
Finland	434	.4	529	.5
Other Europe	3,313	3.2	4,441	3.9
Netherlands	1,838	1.8	2,259	2.0
Switzerland	236	.2	688	.6
Czech Republic	474	.5	577	.5
Austria	315	.3	386	.3
Belgium	254	.2	293	.3
Poland	196	.2	238	.2
Asia excluding Japan	4,163	4.0	5,397	4.8
Africa and Middle East	2,579	2.5	3,611	3.2
Latin America	2,911	2.8	3,381	3.0
Australia	2,594	2.5	2,982	2.7
All Others	493	.5	683	.6
Total debt and perpetual securities	$103,913	100.0 %	$112,052	100.0 %

61

Investments in Certain European Countries

Since 2008, many countries in Europe, and specifically Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, and Spain (collectively the "peripheral Eurozone" countries), have been experiencing a debt crisis. In 2013, Cyprus joined the list of European sovereigns requiring official assistance to address that country's banking crisis. Collective action by multiple parties including the European Central Bank (ECB), International Monetary Fund (IMF), European Council, and individual member states' governments has improved market perception of the situation. Although risks ranging from individual country downgrades to dissolution of the entire union appear to have been reduced and recent economic indicators suggest some improvement, overall economic activity remains subdued throughout the region. Despite the improvement, investments in European issuers continue to have an elevated level of inherent risk and volatility.
The primary factor considered when determining the domicile of investment exposure is the legal domicile of the issuer. However, other factors such as the location of the parent guarantor, the location of the company's headquarters or major business operations (including location of major assets), location of primary market (including location of revenue generation) and specific country risk publicly recognized by rating agencies can influence the assignment of the country (or geographic) risk location. When the issuer is a special financing vehicle or a branch or subsidiary of a global company, then we consider any guarantees and/or legal, regulatory and corporate relationships of the issuer relative to its ultimate parent in determining the proper assignment of country risk.
Due largely to their high debt loads and weakened economies, the peripheral Eurozone countries quickly became the epicenter of the crisis. Greece, Ireland, and Portugal required external aid from the IMF and European Union to fund their governments, and while Italy and Spain were able to avoid such outside help, they were under intense pressure to improve their situation. Throughout the crisis we took steps to improve the risk profile of our portfolio by selling certain holdings throughout Europe, including the periphery countries.

We had no direct exposure to Greece or Cyprus as of December 31, 2013 and 2012. Our direct investment exposures to Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain and the related maturities of those investments as of December 31 were as follows:

2013

	One to Five Years		Five to Ten Years		After Ten Years		Total	
(In millions)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Available-for-sale securities:								
Ireland:								
Banks/financial institutions	$0	$0	$0	$0	$220	$164	$220	$164
Italy:								
Public utilities	0	0	0	0	14	17	14	17
Other corporate	0	0	0	0	487	434	487	434
Portugal:								
Public utilities	7	8	128	134	95	89	230	231
Spain:								
Sovereign	0	0	0	0	62	113	62	113
Banks/financial institutions	35	38	0	0	0	0	35	38
Other corporate	0	0	0	0	192	181	192	181
Held-to-maturity securities:								
Ireland:								
Banks/financial institutions	0	0	0	0	190	141	190	141
Italy:								
Sovereign	0	0	0	0	237	199	237	199
Banks/financial institutions	0	0	0	0	142	121	142	121
Public utilities	0	0	0	0	702	682	702	682
Other corporate	0	0	0	0	332	319	332	319
Spain:								
Public utilities	0	0	0	0	332	298	332	298
Other corporate	0	0	0	0	190	188	190	188
Total gross and net funded exposure	$42	$46	$128	$134	$3,195	$2,946	$3,365	$3,126

2012

(In millions)	One to Five Years Amortized Cost	One to Five Years Fair Value	Five to Ten Years Amortized Cost	Five to Ten Years Fair Value	After Ten Years Amortized Cost	After Ten Years Fair Value	Total Amortized Cost	Total Fair Value
Available-for-sale securities:								
Ireland:								
Banks/financial institutions	$0	$0	$0	$0	$261	$183	$261	$183
Italy:								
Public utilities	0	0	0	0	15	17	15	17
Other corporate	0	0	0	0	360	387	360	387
Portugal:								
Public utilities	0	0	156	155	116	100	272	255
Spain:								
Sovereign	0	0	0	0	76	91	76	91
Banks/financial institutions	34	36	0	0	64	66	98	102
Public utilities	0	0	0	0	427	420	427	420
Other corporate	0	0	0	0	223	217	223	217
Held-to-maturity securities:								
Ireland:								
Banks/financial institutions	0	0	0	0	231	197	231	197
Italy:								
Sovereign	0	0	0	0	289	263	289	263
Banks/financial institutions	0	0	0	0	173	157	173	157
Public utilities	0	0	0	0	855	845	855	845
Other corporate	0	0	0	0	635	594	635	594
Spain:								
Public utilities	0	0	0	0	404	380	404	380
Other corporate	0	0	0	0	231	224	231	224
Total gross and net funded exposure	$34	$36	$156	$155	$4,360	$4,141	$4,550	$4,332

We do not have any unfunded exposure in the European countries shown in the preceding table, and we have not entered into any hedges to mitigate credit risk for our funded exposure. The banks and financial institutions investments in Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain represented 4% of total investments in the banks and financial institutions sector at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and 1% of total investments in debt and perpetual securities at December 31, 2013 and 2012.

European sovereign debt crisis - monitoring and mitigating exposure

During most of 2011, we saw the European sovereign crisis persist and escalate. Throughout 2012 and continuing into 2013, our internal team of experienced credit professionals continued to monitor the impact of the crisis on our individual investment holdings' overall credit quality. Our analysis includes factors beyond a baseline assessment of a company's assets, operations, financial statements, and credit metrics that may provide support for the instruments we own. Specifically, for our investments in European banks and financial institutions, we monitor the importance of the

issuer to its local financial system, the likelihood of government support, and our investment's position in the capital structure of the issuer. For our investments in European utilities, we monitor the role of the issuer in its local economy as a provider of necessary infrastructure, and we monitor the value of the underlying assets owned by the issuer. For our investment in

---

European corporates, industrials, and other commercial entities, we monitor the general credit quality of the issuer, the geographical mix of the issuer's customers (i.e. domestic vs. foreign), the geographical breakdown of the issuer's assets (i.e. domestic versus foreign), the value of the underlying assets owned by the issuer, capitalization of the issuer, and overall profitability and cash generation ability of the issuer. We monitor NRSRO actions and the likely actions for our investment exposures, as well as overall market conditions. By performing these analyses, we make a determination on the probability of timely payment of principal and interest of the issuers of our investments.

Some of our peripheral Eurozone fixed income investments contain covenants that we believe mitigate our risk to the issuer. These covenants could include put options that allow us to put our holdings at a predetermined price, usually par, should the issuer be downgraded to below investment grade by a rating agency, plus restrictions on the ability to incur additional debt, sell assets, or provide collateral for indebtedness. As of December 31, 2013, all of the issuers of our holdings from peripheral Eurozone countries were current on their obligations to us, and we believe they have the ability to meet their obligations to us.

Apart from our direct investments in peripheral Eurozone sovereign debt, our other exposure as of December 31, 2013 to the European sovereign debt crisis was investments in peripheral Eurozone banks and financial institutions of $587 million, peripheral Eurozone non-banks (excluding sovereigns) of $2.5 billion, core Eurozone[1] banks and financial institutions of $2.6 billion, core Eurozone non-banks (excluding sovereigns) of $4.8 billion, core Eurozone sovereigns of $556 million, and non-Eurozone[2] holdings throughout the balance of Europe of $6.4 billion, all at amortized cost. Other exposures to the European sovereign debt crisis that are not possible to measure include the impact of slower economic activity throughout Europe and its impact on global economic growth and market disruption including illiquidity and impaired valuations due to heightened concerns and lack of investor confidence.
Although by most measures the crisis in Europe has stabilized and is showing signs of improvement, we continue to monitor the situation closely. Among the areas that we believe warrant continued attention include the heightened interrelationship between political, monetary, fiscal, and economic forces; the possibility of continued contagion to additional sovereigns and other entities; further stress on the banking systems throughout the region; and the impact on the underlying economic fundamentals throughout the Euro region. See the following discussion regarding steps that management has taken in the past several years to reduce our investment exposure to Europe.
Derisking

Since the financial crisis of 2008, we have had a consistent strategy of prudently reducing the overall risk profile of our investment portfolio. On an amortized cost basis, at the start of 2008, sovereign and financial investments in peripheral Eurozone countries of $3.3 billion comprised 5.9% of total investments and cash, declining to $886 million, or .8% of total investments and cash by the end of 2013. Investments in perpetual securities were $8.3 billion at the beginning of 2008, or 14.7% of total investments and cash, declining to $3.0 billion, or 2.8% of total investments and cash by the end of 2013. During 2013, we continued to identify investment opportunities to further reduce European and financial holdings and improve the quality of our investment portfolio. Our total exposure to European holdings has declined from 20.0% of total investments and cash at December 31, 2012 to 16.6% at December 31, 2013, due in part to the sale of four investments which totaled $511 million at amortized cost. Through our derisking activities over the past several years, we have significantly reduced our exposure to European issuers and to banks and financial institutions.

During 2013, we also significantly reduced our exposure to two of our largest global investment holdings. In 2013, we sold a portion of our investments in Israel Electric and the Republic of Tunisia which totaled $239 million and $132 million, respectively, at amortized cost. Both of these issuers had been among our largest portfolio exposures, and we have continued to reduce our exposure to each of them in 2014. As of December 31, 2013, these issuers were current on their obligations to us, and we believe they have the ability to meet their obligations to us. We will continue to be vigilant in monitoring our holdings and evaluating opportunities that may arise to further and appropriately reduce, reposition, and manage the risks in our portfolio.

Securities by Type of Issuance

We have investments in both publicly and privately issued securities. Our ability to sell either type of security is a function of overall market liquidity which is impacted by, among other things, the amount of outstanding securities of a particular issuer or issuance, trading history of the issue or issuer, overall market conditions, and idiosyncratic events affecting the specific issue or issuer.

[1]Core Eurozone includes Germany, France, Netherlands, Austria, Belgium and Finland.
[2]Non-Eurozone Europe includes the United Kingdom, Switzerland, Sweden, Norway, Denmark, Czech Republic and Poland.

The following table details investment securities by type of issuance as of December 31.

Investment Securities by Type of Issuance

(In millions)	2013 Amortized Cost	2013 Fair Value	2012 Amortized Cost	2012 Fair Value
Publicly issued securities:				
Fixed maturities	$69,934	$72,179	$67,116	$70,026
Perpetual securities	117	150	128	146
Equity securities	9	14	11	13
Total publicly issued	70,060	72,343	67,255	70,185
Privately issued securities:				
Fixed maturities	30,992	31,737	40,723	42,068
Perpetual securities	2,870	2,797	4,085	4,156
Equity securities	8	7	9	10
Total privately issued	33,870	34,541	44,817	46,234
Total investment securities	$103,930	$106,884	$112,072	$116,419

The following table details our privately issued investment securities as of December 31.

Privately Issued Securities

(Amortized cost, in millions)	2013	2012
Privately issued securities as a percentage of total debt and perpetual securities	32.6 %	40.0 %
Privately issued securities held by Aflac Japan	$31,040	$41,624
Privately issued securities held by Aflac Japan as a percentage of total debt and perpetual securities	29.9 %	37.1 %

Reverse-Dual Currency Securities[1]

(Amortized cost, in millions)	2013	2012
Privately issued reverse-dual currency securities	$7,087	$9,916
Publicly issued collateral structured as reverse-dual currency securities	2,348	2,781
Total reverse-dual currency securities	$9,435	$12,697
Reverse-dual currency securities as a percentage of total debt and perpetual securities	9.1 %	11.3 %

[1]Principal payments in yen and interest payments in dollars

The decrease in privately issued securities as a percentage of total debt and perpetual securities was due primarily to the weakening of the yen, sales and impairments of investments, and the allocation of new investments to JGBs and other publicly issued investments during 2013.

Aflac Japan has invested in privately issued securities to better match liability characteristics and secure higher yields than those available on Japanese government or other public corporate bonds. Aflac Japan's investments in yen-denominated privately issued securities consist primarily of non-Japanese issuers and have longer maturities, thereby allowing us to improve our asset/liability matching and our overall investment returns. Most of our privately issued securities were issued under medium-term note programs and have standard documentation commensurate with credit ratings of the issuer, except when internal credit analysis indicates that additional protective and/or event-risk covenants were required.

Below-Investment-Grade and Split-Rated Securities

We use specific criteria to judge the credit quality of both existing and prospective investments. The ratings referenced in the tables below are based on the ratings designations provided by the major credit rating agencies (Moody's, S&P, and Fitch) or, if not rated, are determined based on our internal credit analysis of such securities. When the ratings issued by the rating agencies differ, we utilize the second lowest rating, regardless of how many of the three rating agencies actually rated the instrument. Split-rated securities are those where the ratings are not equivalent and one or more of the ratings is investment grade and one or more is below investment grade. For these split-rated securities, if there are only two ratings assigned by the credit rating agencies, we take the lower below-investment-grade rating. If there are three ratings assigned, and two of the three are below investment grade, we consider it a below-investment-grade security. If there are three ratings and two are investment grade, we consider it an investment grade security unless our evaluation and assessment shows a below-investment-grade rating is warranted despite two of the three rating agencies rating it investment grade.

The following table shows those holdings rated below-investment-grade securities at December 31 using the above described methodology.

Below-Investment-Grade Securities[(1)]

(In millions)	2013 Par Value	2013 Amortized Cost	2013 Fair Value	2013 Unrealized Gain (Loss)	2012 Par Value	2012 Amortized Cost	2012 Fair Value	2012 Unrealized Gain(Loss)
Republic of Tunisia	$446	$275	$284	$9	$739	$496	$496	$0
Israel Electric Corporation Limited	417	316	316	0	797	748	716	(32)
Investcorp Capital Limited	401	401	327	(74)	477	477	418	(59)
Telecom Italia SpA	380	380	328	(52)	*	*	*	*
Commerzbank AG (includes Dresdner Bank)	380	244	336	92	462	297	394	97
SLM Corp (Sallie Mae)	314	314	227	(87)	*	*	*	*
UPM-Kymmene	294	294	233	(61)	358	358	263	(95)
KLM Royal Dutch Airlines	285	209	209	0	*	*	*	*
Societe Generale [(2)]	237	212	198	(14)	289	288	302	14
Bank of Ireland	190	190	134	(56)	231	231	153	(78)
Generalitat de Catalunya	171	63	113	50	208	76	91	15
Tokyo Electric Power Co., Inc.	163	164	166	2	199	201	203	2
Energias de Portugal SA (EDP)	137	135	142	7	158	156	155	(1)
IKB Deutsche Industriebank AG	123	55	55	0	150	78	96	18
Redes Energeticas Nacionais SGPS,S.A. (REN)	95	95	89	(6)	116	116	100	(16)
Barclays Bank PLC [(2)]	64	47	62	15	65	48	62	14
Sparebanken Vest [(2)]	60	60	52	(8)	60	60	60	0
Unicredit Bank AG (HVB Funding Trust I, III, & VI)	0	0	0	0	341	257	257	0
Lloyds Banking Group PLC	*	*	*	*	328	292	351	59
CSAV (Tollo Shipping Co. S.A.)	0	0	0	0	277	117	145	28
Bankia SA (Bancaja Emisiones SA Unipersonal)	0	0	0	0	173	64	66	2
Finance For Danish Industry	0	0	0	0	116	90	100	10

(FIH)										
Other Issuers (below $50 million in par value) [3]	367	359	354	(5	)	448	419	429	10	
Total	$4,524	$3,813	$3,625	$(188	)	$5,992	$4,869	$4,857	$(12	)

* Investment grade at respective reporting date

[1] Does not include senior secured bank loans in an externally managed portfolio that were below investment grade when initially purchased

[2] Includes perpetual security

[3] Includes 15 issuers in 2013 and 14 issuers in 2012

67

The following table shows the 10 largest holdings with a split rating, and includes the determination between investment grade and below investment grade based on the above methodology.
Split-Rated

(In millions)	Amortized Cost	Investment-Grade Status
Telecom Italia SpA	$380	Below Investment Grade
Israel Electric Corporation Limited	316	Below Investment Grade
SLM Corp. (Sallie Mae)	314	Below Investment Grade
Lloyds Banking Group PLC	274	Investment Grade
Societe Generale[1]	212	Below Investment Grade
Bank of Ireland	190	Below Investment Grade
Barclays Bank PLC[1][2]	164	Below Investment Grade/ Investment Grade
Deutsche Bank Capital Trust II & Capital Funding Trust I[1]	152	Investment Grade
Energias de Portugal SA (EDP)	135	Below Investment Grade
Goldman Sachs Capital I	112	Investment Grade

[1] Includes perpetual security
[2] Barclays is listed as "Below Investment Grade (BIG)/ Investment Grade (IG)" since the Upper Tier II holdings ($117 million
amortized cost) are IG and the Tier I holdings ($47 million amortized cost) are BIG

We invest in senior secured bank loans to U.S. and Canadian corporate borrowers, most of which have below-investment-grade ratings. The program is managed externally by third party firms specializing in this asset class. This mandate requires a minimum average credit quality of BB-/Ba3, prohibits loans rated below B/B2, and prohibits exposure to any individual credit greater than 3% of the program’s assets. The objectives of this program include enhancing the yield on invested assets, achieving further diversification of credit risk, and mitigating the risk of rising interest rates through the acquisition of floating rate assets. Our investments in this program totaled $451 million at December 31, 2013, compared with $414 million at December 31, 2012, on an amortized cost basis.

Excluding the senior secured bank loans discussed above that were rated below investment grade when initially purchased, below-investment-grade debt and perpetual securities represented 3.7% of total debt and perpetual securities at December 31, 2013, compared with 4.3% at December 31, 2012, on an amortized cost basis. Debt and perpetual securities classified as below investment grade at December 31, 2013 and 2012 were generally reported as available for sale and carried at fair value.

Split-rated securities, excluding the senior secured bank loan investments discussed above, totaled $2.7 billion as of December 31, 2013, compared with $3.8 billion as of December 31, 2012, and represented 2.6% of total debt and perpetual securities, at amortized cost, at December 31, 2013, compared with 3.4% at December 31, 2012.

For the interest rate, foreign currency, and credit default swaps associated with our VIE investments for which we are the primary beneficiary, we bear the risk of foreign exchange or interest rate loss due to counterparty default even though we are not a direct counterparty to those contracts. We are a direct counterparty to the interest rate and foreign currency swaps that we have on certain of our senior notes, subordinated debentures, and Samurai notes; foreign currency forwards; and foreign currency options, therefore we are exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties in those contracts. The risk of counterparty default for our VIE and senior note and subordinated debenture swaps is mitigated by collateral posting requirements the counterparty must meet. The counterparty risk associated with the foreign currency forwards and foreign currency options is the risk that at expiry of the contract, the counterparty is unable to deliver the agreed upon amount of yen at the agreed upon price or delivery date, thus exposing the Company to additional unhedged exposure to U.S. dollars in the Aflac Japan investment portfolio. See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information.

Other-than-temporary Impairment

See Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of our impairment policy.

Unrealized Investment Gains and Losses

The following table provides details on amortized cost, fair value and unrealized gains and losses for our investments in debt and perpetual securities by investment-grade status as of December 31, 2013.

(In millions)	Total Amortized Cost	Total Fair Value	Percentage of Total Fair Value		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses
Available-for-sale securities:						
Investment-grade securities	$55,257	$56,912	53.3	%	$3,405	$ 1,750
Below-investment-grade securities	4,241	4,105	3.8		249	385
Held-to-maturity securities:						
Investment-grade securities	44,415	45,846	42.9		2,041	610
Total	$103,913	$106,863	100.0	%	$5,695	$ 2,745

The following table presents an aging of debt and perpetual securities in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2013.

Aging of Unrealized Losses

(In millions)	Total Amortized Cost	Total Unrealized Loss	Less than Six Months		Six Months to Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer	
			Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss
Available-for-sale securities:								
Investment-grade securities	$23,919	$1,750	$5,464	$90	$13,593	$1,019	$4,862	$641
Below-investment-grade securities	2,010	385	27	2	615	80	1,368	303
Held-to-maturity securities:								
Investment-grade securities	13,025	610	6,341	34	3,121	150	3,563	426
Total	$38,954	$2,745	$11,832	$126	$17,329	$1,249	$9,793	$1,370

The following table presents a distribution of unrealized losses on debt and perpetual securities by magnitude as of December 31, 2013.

Percentage Decline From Amortized Cost

(In millions)	Total Amortized Cost	Total Unrealized Loss	Less than 20%		20% to 50%		Greater than 50%	
			Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Loss
Available-for-sale securities:								
Investment-grade securities	$23,919	$1,750	$23,410	$1,619	$509	$131	$0	$0
Below-investment-grade securities	2,010	385	751	72	1,259	313	0	0
Held-to-maturity securities:								
Investment-grade securities	13,025	610	12,741	540	284	70	0	0
Total	$38,954	$2,745	$36,902	$2,231	$2,052	$514	$0	$0

The following table presents the 10 largest unrealized loss positions in our portfolio as of December 31, 2013.

(In millions)	Credit Rating	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss
SLM Corp (Sallie Mae)	BB	$314	$227	$(87)
Investcorp Capital Limited	BB	401	327	(74)
UPM-Kymmene	BB	294	233	(61)
Bank of Ireland	BB	190	134	(56)
Kommunal Landspankasse (KLP) [1]	BBB	232	179	(53)
Telecom Italia SpA	BB	380	328	(52)
DEPFA Bank PLC	BBB	220	171	(49)
AXA [1]	BBB	307	264	(43)
Republic of Italy	BBB	237	199	(38)
Svenska Handelsbanken AB	BBB	172	134	(38)

[1] Includes perpetual security

The declines in the fair values noted above were a result of an increase in interest rates, movement in the yen/dollar exchange rate, and changes in credit spreads driven by the issuer’s underlying credit quality. As we view these changes in fair value to be temporary, we do not believe it is necessary to impair the carrying value of these securities. See the Unrealized Investment Gains and Losses section in Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussions of unrealized losses related to financial institutions, including perpetual securities, and other corporate investments.

Investment Valuation and Cash

We estimate the fair values of our securities on a monthly basis. We monitor the estimated fair values obtained from our custodian, pricing vendors and brokers for consistency from month to month, while considering current market conditions. We also periodically discuss with our custodian and pricing brokers and vendors the pricing techniques they use to monitor the consistency of their approach and periodically assess the appropriateness of the valuation level assigned to the values obtained from them. If a fair value appears unreasonable, we will re-examine the inputs and assess the reasonableness of the pricing data with the vendor. Additionally, we may compare the inputs to relevant market indices and other performance measurements. The output of this analysis is presented to the Company's Valuations and Classifications Subcommittee, or VCS. Based on the analysis provided to the VCS, the valuation is confirmed or may be revised if there is evidence of a more appropriate estimate of fair value based on available market data. With the implementation in the first quarter of 2013 of the change in pricing methodology associated with privately issued securities as discussed in the Critical Accounting Estimates section of this MD&A, we have performed verification of the inputs and calculations in the models to confirm that the valuations represent reasonable estimates of fair value.

Cash and cash equivalents totaled $2.5 billion, or 2.3% of total investments and cash, as of December 31, 2013, compared with $2.0 billion, or 1.7%, at December 31, 2012. For a discussion of the factors affecting our cash balance, see the Operating Activities, Investing Activities and Financing Activities subsections of this MD&A.

For additional information concerning our investments, see Notes 3, 4, and 5 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

The following table presents deferred policy acquisition costs by segment for the years ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013	2012	% Change
Aflac Japan	$5,819	$6,801	(14.4)% [1]
Aflac U.S.	2,979	2,857	4.3

Total	$8,798	$9,658	(8.9)%

[1]Aflac Japan's deferred policy acquisition costs increased 4.1% in yen during the year ended December 31, 2013.

70

See Note 1 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of changes to the accounting policy for DAC effective January 1, 2012.

Policy Liabilities

The following table presents policy liabilities by segment for the years ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013	2012	% Change	
Aflac Japan	$80,302	$89,183	(10.0	)% [1]
Aflac U.S.	9,098	8,534	6.6	
Other	2	3	(33.3	)
Total	$89,402	$97,720	(8.5	)%

[1]Aflac Japan's policy liabilities increased 9.6% in yen during the year ended December 31, 2013.

See Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on our policy liabilities.

Notes Payable

Notes payable totaled $4.9 billion at December 31, 2013, compared with $4.4 billion at December 31, 2012. The ratio of adjusted debt to total capitalization was 24.3% as of December 31, 2013, compared with 23.4% as of December 31, 2012. Adjusted debt is the sum of gross notes payable, less 50% of our subordinated debentures and the portion of our senior notes designated as pre-funding of our 2014 maturities. Total capitalization is the sum of adjusted debt plus shareholders' equity, excluding the unrealized gains and losses on investment securities and derivatives. See Note 9 of the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on our notes payable.

Benefit Plans

Aflac Japan and Aflac U.S. have various benefit plans. For additional information on our Japanese and U.S. plans, see Note 14 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Policyholder Protection

The Japanese insurance industry has a policyholder protection system that provides funds for the policyholders of insolvent insurers. Legislation enacted regarding the framework of the Life Insurance Policyholder Protection Corporation (LIPPC) included government fiscal measures supporting the LIPPC. On December 27, 2011, Japan's FSA announced the plans to enhance the stability of the LIPPC by extending the government's fiscal support of the LIPPC through March 2017. Accordingly, the FSA submitted legislation to the Diet on January 27, 2012 to extend the government's fiscal support framework, and the legislation was approved on March 30, 2012. Also, in June 2013 a revision to the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act established a post-funded Orderly Resolution Regime for financial institutions to prevent a financial crisis in the event of a financial institution's failure. This regime is expected to come into effect in March 2014, but is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's operations in Japan.

Hedging Activities

Net Investment Hedge

Our primary exposure to be hedged is our investment in Aflac Japan, which is affected by changes in the yen/dollar exchange rate. To mitigate this exposure, we have taken the following courses of action. First, Aflac Japan maintains certain dollar-denominated securities, which serve as an economic currency hedge of a portion of our investment in Aflac Japan. Second, we have designated the majority of the Parent Company's yen-denominated liabilities (Samurai and Uridashi notes and yen-denominated loans) as non-derivative hedging instruments and certain foreign currency forwards and options as derivative hedges of our net investment in Aflac Japan. We make our net investment hedge designation at the beginning of each quarter. If the total of the designated Parent Company non-derivative and

derivatives notional is equal to or less than our net investment in Aflac Japan, the hedge is deemed to be effective, and the exchange effect on the yen-denominated liabilities and the change in estimated fair value of the derivatives are reported in the unrealized foreign currency component of other comprehensive income. We estimate that if the designated net investment hedge positions exceeded our net investment in Aflac Japan by 10 billion yen, we would report a foreign exchange gain/loss of approximately $1 million for every 1% yen weakening/strengthening in the end-of-period yen/dollar exchange rate. Our net investment hedge was effective during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

The yen net asset figure calculated for hedging purposes differs from the yen-denominated net asset position as discussed in the Currency Risk subsection of MD&A. As disclosed in that subsection, the consolidation of the underlying assets in certain VIEs requires that we derecognize our yen-denominated investment in the VIE and recognize the underlying fixed-maturity or perpetual securities and cross-currency swaps. While these U.S. dollar investments will create foreign currency fluctuations, the combination of the U.S. dollar-denominated investment and the cross-currency swap economically creates a yen-denominated investment that qualifies for inclusion as a component of our investment in Aflac Japan. Similarly, the combination of the U.S. corporate bonds and the foreign currency forwards that we have entered into, as discussed in the Aflac Japan Investment subsection of MD&A, economically creates a yen-denominated investment that qualifies for inclusion as a component of our investment in Aflac Japan.

The dollar values of our Japan net assets are summarized as follows (translated at end-of-period exchange rates) for the years ended December 31:

(In millions)	2013	2012
Aflac Japan net assets	$12,315	$13,580
Aflac Japan dollar-denominated net assets	(7,621)	(8,317)
Consolidated yen-denominated net assets (liabilities)	$4,694	$5,263

For the hedge of our net investment in Aflac Japan, we have designated certain of the Parent Company's yen-denominated liabilities and foreign currency forwards and options as a hedge of our net investment in Aflac Japan. Our consolidated yen-denominated net assets position was partially hedged at $1.1 billion as of December 31, 2013 and partially hedged at $850 million as of December 31, 2012.

Cash Flow Hedges

We have freestanding derivative instruments related to our consolidated VIE investments that are reported in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value within other assets and other liabilities. As of December 31, 2013, two of the freestanding swaps that are used within VIEs to hedge the risk arising from changes in foreign currency exchange rates qualified for hedge accounting.

We have an interest rate swap agreement related to the 5.5 billion yen variable interest rate Samurai notes that we issued in July 2011. By entering into this contract, we swapped the variable interest rate to a fixed interest rate of 1.475%. We have designated this interest rate swap as a hedge of the variability in our interest cash flows associated with the variable interest rate Samurai notes. This hedge was effective during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Fair Value Hedges

In the third quarter of 2012, we began entering into foreign currency forwards to mitigate the foreign exchange risk associated with new investments in U.S. dollar-denominated fixed-maturities that support yen-denominated liabilities within our Aflac Japan segment.

In the third quarter of 2013, we began entering into interest rate swaptions to mitigate the interest rate risk associated with our U.S. dollar-denominated fixed-maturities that support yen-denominated liabilities within our Aflac Japan segment.

See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on our hedging activities.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2013, we had no material letters of credit, standby letters of credit, guarantees or standby repurchase obligations. See Note 15 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information on material unconditional purchase obligations that are not recorded on our balance sheet.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

Aflac provides the primary sources of liquidity to the Parent Company through dividends and management fees. The following table presents the amounts provided for the years ended December 31.

Liquidity Provided by Aflac to Parent Company

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Dividends declared or paid by Aflac	$962	$0	$282
Management fees paid by Aflac	292	249	230

The primary uses of cash by the Parent Company are shareholder dividends, the repurchase of its common stock and interest on its outstanding indebtedness. The Parent Company's sources and uses of cash are reasonably predictable and are not expected to change materially in the future. For additional information, see the Financing Activities subsection of this MD&A.

The Parent Company also accesses debt security markets to provide additional sources of capital. We filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC in May 2012 that allows us to issue an indefinite amount of senior and subordinated debt, in one or more series, from time to time until May 2015. In June 2013, the Parent Company issued $700 million of senior notes under this registration statement. We believe outside sources for additional debt and equity capital, if needed, will continue to be available. For additional information, see Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The principal sources of cash for our insurance operations are premiums and investment income. The primary uses of cash by our insurance operations are investments, policy claims, commissions, operating expenses, income taxes and payments to the Parent Company for management fees and dividends. Both the sources and uses of cash are reasonably predictable.

When making an investment decision, our first consideration is based on product needs. Our investment objectives provide for liquidity through the purchase of investment-grade debt securities. These objectives also take into account duration matching, and because of the long-term nature of our business, we have adequate time to react to changing cash flow needs.

As a result of policyholder aging, claims payments are expected to gradually increase over the life of a policy. Therefore, future policy benefit reserves are accumulated in the early years of a policy and are designed to help fund future claims payments. We expect our future cash flows from premiums and our investment portfolio to be sufficient to meet our cash needs for benefits and expenses.

In June 2012, the Parent Company and Aflac entered into a 364-day senior unsecured revolving credit facility agreement in the amount of 50 billion yen with a syndicate of financial institutions. This credit agreement provided for borrowings in Japanese yen or the equivalent of Japanese yen in U.S. dollars on a revolving basis. Borrowings under this agreement would have borne interest at LIBOR plus the applicable margin of 1.025%. We terminated this agreement in March 2013, and the Parent Company and Aflac entered into a new five-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility agreement with a syndicate of financial institutions in the amount of 50 billion yen. This credit agreement provides for borrowings in Japanese yen or the equivalent of Japanese yen in U.S. dollars on a revolving basis. Borrowings will bear interest at LIBOR plus the applicable margin of 1.125%. In addition, the Parent Company and Aflac are required to pay a facility fee of .125% on the commitments. Borrowings under the credit agreement may be used for general corporate purposes, including a capital contingency plan for our Japanese operations. Borrowings under the financing agreement mature at the termination date of the credit agreement. The agreement requires compliance with certain financial covenants on a quarterly basis. This credit agreement will expire on the earlier of (a) March 29, 2018, or (b) the date of termination of the commitments upon an event of default as defined in the agreement. As of December 31, 2013, we did not have any borrowings outstanding under our 50 billion yen revolving credit agreement.

Our financial statements convey our financing arrangements during the periods presented. We have not engaged in material intra-period short-term financings during the periods presented that are not otherwise reported in our balance sheet. We were in compliance with all of the covenants of our notes payable at December 31, 2013. We have not entered into transactions involving the transfer of financial assets with an obligation to repurchase financial assets that have been accounted for as a sale under applicable accounting standards, including securities lending transactions. See Notes 1 and

3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on our securities lending activity. We do not have a known trend, demand, commitment, event or uncertainty that would reasonably result in our liquidity increasing or decreasing by a material amount. Our cash and cash equivalents include unrestricted cash on hand, money market instruments, and other debt instruments with a maturity of 90 days or less when purchased, all of which has minimal market, settlement or other risk exposure.

The following table presents the estimated payments by period of our major contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013. We translated our yen-denominated obligations using the December 31, 2013, exchange rate. Actual future payments as reported in dollars will fluctuate with changes in the yen/dollar exchange rate.
Distribution of Payments by Period

(In millions)	Total Liability[1]	Total Payments	Less Than One Year	One to Three Years	Four to Five Years	After Five Years
Future policy benefits liability (Note 7)[2]	$69,136	$269,229	$8,625	$16,884	$16,743	$226,977
Unpaid policy claims liability (Note 7)[3]	3,763	3,763	2,792	588	217	166
Long-term debt – principal (Note 9)	4,891	4,893	324	669	650	3,250
Long-term debt – interest (Note 9)	45	3,391	235	443	394	2,319
Cash collateral on loaned securities (Note 3)	5,820	5,820	5,820	0	0	0
Operating service agreements (Note 15)	N/A [4]	305	133	165	7	0
Operating lease obligations (Note 15)	N/A [4]	121	51	53	16	1
Capitalized lease obligations (Note 9)	6	6	2	3	1	0
Total contractual obligations	$83,661	$287,528	$17,982	$18,805	$18,028	$232,713

Liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits in the amount of $18 have been excluded from the tabular disclosure above because the timing of cash payment is not reasonably estimable.
[1]Liability amounts are those reported on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013.
[2]The estimated payments due by period reflect future estimated cash payments to be made to policyholders and others for future policy benefits. These projected cash outflows are based on assumptions for future policy persistency, mortality, morbidity, and other assumptions comparable with our experience, consider future premium receipts on current policies in force, and assume market growth and interest crediting consistent with assumptions used in amortizing deferred acquisition costs. These cash outflows are undiscounted with respect to interest and, as a result, the sum of the cash outflows shown for all years in the table of $269,229 exceeds the corresponding liability amount of $69,136. We have made significant assumptions to determine the future estimated cash outflows related to the underlying policies and contracts. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, actual cash outflow amounts and timing will differ, possibly materially, from these estimates.
[3]Includes assumptions as to the timing of policyholders reporting claims for prior periods and the amount of those claims. Actual amounts and timing of unpaid policy claims payments may differ significantly from the estimates above.
[4]Not applicable

For more information on our major contractual obligations, see the applicable Note in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements as indicated in the line items in the table above.

Consolidated Cash Flows

We translate cash flows for Aflac Japan's yen-denominated items into U.S. dollars using weighted-average exchange rates. In years when the yen weakens, translating yen into dollars causes fewer dollars to be reported. When the yen strengthens, translating yen into dollars causes more dollars to be reported.

The following table summarizes consolidated cash flows by activity for the years ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Operating activities	$10,547	$14,952	$10,842
Investing activities	(11,091)	(16,952)	(10,829)
Financing activities	1,136	1,945	64
Exchange effect on cash and cash equivalents	(90)	(153)	51
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	$502	$(208)	$128

74

Operating Activities

Consolidated cash flow from operations decreased 29.5% in 2013, compared with 2012. The following table summarizes operating cash flows by source for the years ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Aflac Japan	$9,410	$13,949	$10,246
Aflac U.S. and other operations	1,137	1,003	596
Total	$10,547	$14,952	$10,842

The decrease in Aflac Japan operating cash flows during 2013 was largely due to a decline in the sales of the WAYS product which resulted in a reduced amount of cash received from discounted advance premiums.

Investing Activities

Operating cash flow is primarily used to purchase debt securities to meet future policy obligations. The following table summarizes investing cash flows by source for the years ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Aflac Japan	$(10,293)	$(15,823)	$(10,246)
Aflac U.S. and other operations	(798)	(1,129)	(583)
Total	$(11,091)	$(16,952)	$(10,829)

Prudent portfolio management dictates that we attempt to match the duration of our assets with the duration of our liabilities. Currently, when our fixed-maturity securities and perpetual securities mature, the proceeds may be reinvested at a yield below that required for the accretion of policy benefit liabilities on policies issued in earlier years. However, the long-term nature of our business and our strong cash flows provide us with the ability to minimize the effect of mismatched durations and/or yields identified by various asset adequacy analyses. When market opportunities arise, we dispose of selected fixed-maturity and perpetual securities that are available for sale to improve the duration matching of our assets and liabilities, improve future investment yields, and/or re-balance our portfolio. As a result, dispositions before maturity can vary significantly from year to year. Dispositions before maturity were approximately 16% of the annual average investment portfolio of fixed maturities and perpetual securities available for sale during the year ended December 31, 2013, compared with 15% in 2012 and 27% in 2011. The relatively high dispositions before maturity in the past three years were due to bond-swap programs that generated investment gains and were also the result of asset liability management strategies.

Financing Activities

Consolidated cash provided by financing activities was $1.1 billion in 2013, $1.9 billion in 2012 and $64 million in 2011.

In June 2013, the Parent Company issued $700 million of senior notes through a U.S. public debt offering. The Parent Company intends to use the net proceeds from the offering to repay, redeem or repurchase, in whole or in part, one or more of the Company's (i) 28.7 billion yen fixed interest rate Samurai notes due July 2014, (ii) 5.5 billion yen variable interest rate Samurai notes due July 2014, and (iii) $300 million senior notes due August 2015. The balance of the net proceeds is expected to be used for general corporate purposes, including capital contributions to subsidiaries, if needed.

In February 2012, the Parent Company issued $750 million of senior notes through a U.S. public debt offering. In June 2012, we paid $337 million to redeem 26.6 billion yen of Samurai notes using proceeds from the February debt offering. In July 2012, the Parent Company issued $250 million of senior notes through a U.S. public debt offering. In September 2012, the Parent Company issued $450 million of subordinated debentures through a U.S. public debt

offering, and in October 2012, the underwriters exercised their option, pursuant to the underwriting agreement, to purchase an additional $50 million principal amount of these subordinated debentures.

In September 2011, we paid $459 million to redeem 35 billion yen of our Uridashi notes upon their maturity. In July 2011, the Parent Company issued 50 billion yen of yen-denominated Samurai notes (approximately $474 million using the December 31, 2013, exchange rate).

See Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on the debt issuances discussed above.

Cash returned to shareholders through dividends and treasury stock purchases was $1.4 billion in 2013, compared with $721 million in 2012 and $860 million in 2011.

See our preceding discussion in this Capital Resources and Liquidity section of MD&A regarding the five-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility agreement entered into by the Parent Company and Aflac in March 2013 in the amount of 50 billion yen. As of December 31, 2013, no borrowings were outstanding under our 50 billion yen revolving credit agreement.

We were in compliance with all of the covenants of our notes payable and line of credit at December 31, 2013.

The following tables present a summary of treasury stock activity during the years ended December 31.

Treasury Stock Purchased

(In millions of dollars and thousands of shares)	2013	2012	2011
Treasury stock purchases	$813	$118	$308
Number of shares purchased:			
Open market	13,212	1,948	6,000
Other	222	360	182
Total shares purchased	13,434	2,308	6,182

Treasury Stock Issued

(In millions of dollars and thousands of shares)	2013	2012	2011
Stock issued from treasury:			
Cash financing	$88	$32	$26
Noncash financing	65	63	57
Total stock issued from treasury	$153	$95	$83
Number of shares issued	3,254	2,184	1,852

Under share repurchase authorizations from our board of directors, we purchased 13.2 million shares of our common stock in the open market in 2013, compared with 1.9 million shares in 2012 and 6.0 million shares in 2011. In November 2013, our board of directors authorized the purchase of an additional 40 million shares of our common stock. As of December 31, 2013, a remaining balance of 49.2 million shares of our common stock was available for purchase under share repurchase authorizations by our board of directors in 2008 and 2013. We currently plan to purchase $800 million to $1 billion of our common stock in 2014. See Note 11 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Cash dividends paid to shareholders in 2013 of $1.42 per share increased 6.0% over 2012. The 2012 dividend paid of $1.34 per share increased 8.9% over 2011. The following table presents the dividend activity for the years ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Dividends paid in cash	$635	$603	$552
Dividends through issuance of treasury shares	25	24	23
Total dividends to shareholders	$660	$627	$575

In February 2014, the board of directors declared the first quarter 2014 cash dividend of $.37 per share. The dividend is payable on March 3, 2014, to shareholders of record at the close of business on February 14, 2014.

Regulatory Restrictions

Aflac is domiciled in Nebraska and is subject to its regulations. The Nebraska insurance department imposes certain limitations and restrictions on payments of dividends, management fees, loans and advances by Aflac to the Parent Company. The Nebraska insurance statutes require prior approval for dividend distributions that exceed the greater of the net income from operations, which excludes net realized investment gains, for the previous year determined under statutory accounting principles, or 10% of statutory capital and surplus as of the previous year-end. In addition, the Nebraska insurance department must approve service arrangements and other transactions within the affiliated group of companies. These regulatory limitations are not expected to affect the level of management fees or dividends paid by Aflac to the Parent Company. A life insurance company's statutory capital and surplus is determined according to rules prescribed by the NAIC, as modified by the insurance department in the insurance company's state of domicile. Statutory accounting rules are different from GAAP and are intended to emphasize policyholder protection and company solvency.

The continued long-term growth of our business may require increases in the statutory capital and surplus of our insurance operations. Aflac's insurance operations may secure additional statutory capital through various sources, such as internally generated statutory earnings or equity contributions by the Parent Company from funds generated through debt or equity offerings. The NAIC's risk-based capital (RBC) formula is used by insurance regulators to help identify inadequately capitalized insurance companies. The RBC formula quantifies insurance risk, business risk, asset risk and interest rate risk by weighing the types and mixtures of risks inherent in the insurer's operations. Aflac's company action level RBC ratio was 786% as of December 31, 2013. Aflac's RBC ratio remains high and reflects a strong capital and surplus position. As of December 31, 2013, Aflac's total adjusted capital of $9.8 billion exceeded the company action level required capital and surplus of $1.3 billion by $8.5 billion. The maximum amount of dividends that can be paid to the Parent Company by Aflac without prior approval of Nebraska's director of insurance is the greater of the net income from operations, which excludes net realized investment gains, for the previous year determined under statutory accounting principles, or 10% of statutory capital and surplus as of the previous year-end. Dividends declared by Aflac during 2014 in excess of $2.4 billion would require such approval. See Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding the impact of permitted practices by the Nebraska Department of Insurance on our statutory capital and surplus. The NAIC considers its Solvency Modernization Initiative (SMI) process relating to updating the U.S. insurance solvency regulation framework to be ongoing. The SMI has focused on key issues such as capital requirements, governance and risk management, group supervision, reinsurance, statutory accounting and financial reporting matters. Many of these key issues have been finalized and/or are near completion; however, the NAIC still has some ongoing initiatives related to SMI, such as monitoring the international efforts on group capital requirements.

In addition to limitations and restrictions imposed by U.S. insurance regulators, Japan's FSA may not allow profit repatriations from Aflac Japan if the transfers would cause Aflac Japan to lack sufficient financial strength for the protection of policyholders. The FSA maintains its own solvency standard which is quantified through the solvency margin ratio (SMR). Aflac Japan's SMR is sensitive to interest rate and foreign exchange rate changes, therefore we continue to evaluate alternatives for reducing this sensitivity. We have a senior unsecured revolving credit facility in the amount of 50 billion yen as a capital contingency plan in the event of a rapid change in interest rates. During the third quarter of 2013, we undertook various measures to increase the level of Aflac Japan's SMR and to mitigate its sensitivity. We entered into a quota share arrangement effective as of September 30, 2013 to cede a portion of hospital benefits of one of our closed products. This type of reinsurance is coinsurance indemnity, in which Aflac Japan will obtain a credit to FSA reserves. We implemented policy reserve matching (PRM) investment strategies, which is a Japan-specific accounting treatment that reduces SMR sensitivity since PRM-designated investments are carried at amortized cost consistent with corresponding liabilities. For U.S. GAAP, PRM investments are categorized as available-for-sale. We also entered into interest rate swaptions to mitigate increases in U.S. interest rates and the related impact to the available-for-sale investment portfolio in Japan. (See Notes 3, 4, and 8 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on our investment strategies, hedging activities and

reinsurance, respectively.) As of December 31, 2013, Aflac Japan's SMR had increased to 777%, compared with 669% at December 31, 2012, primarily reflecting an increase in Japan's capital from the new reinsurance agreement.

Aflac is subject to the NAIC's Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA), effective January 1, 2015. Through the ORSA requirements, Aflac is expected to regularly, no less than annually, conduct an ORSA to assess the adequacy of its risk management framework, and its current and estimated projected future solvency position; internally document the process and results of the assessment; and provide a confidential high-level ORSA Summary Report annually to the lead state commissioner if the insurer is a member of an insurance group. Aflac has developed a roadmap of key decisions, activities, and enhancements that will allow us to deliver an ORSA Summary Report ready for regulatory submission by end of 2015.

Payments are made from Aflac Japan to the Parent Company for management fees and to Aflac U.S. for allocated expenses and remittances of earnings. The following table details Aflac Japan remittances for the years ended December 31.

Aflac Japan Remittances

(In millions of dollars and billions of yen)	2013	2012		2011
Aflac Japan management fees paid to Parent Company	$37	$30		$28
Expenses allocated to Aflac Japan	74	58		43
Aflac Japan profit remittances to Aflac U.S. in dollars	771	422	(1)	143
Aflac Japan profit remittances to Aflac U.S. in yen	76.8	33.1	(1)	11.0

(1)Includes U.S. dollar-denominated securities which were $209 million at amortized cost and had accrued interest of $4 million (totaling approximately 16.8 billion yen) as of the remittance date

We had entered into foreign exchange forwards and options as part of an economic hedge of foreign exchange risk on 65.0 billion yen of the 2013 profit repatriation, resulting in $24 million of additional funds received when the yen was exchanged into dollars in July 2013. The total amount of profit remittances in 2012 and 2011 was lower than that in 2013 due to realized investment losses.

For additional information on regulatory restrictions on dividends, profit repatriations and other transfers, see Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other

For information regarding commitments and contingent liabilities, see Note 15 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information required by Item 7A is incorporated by reference from the Market Risks of Financial Instruments section of MD&A in Part II, Item 7, of this report.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in 1992. Based on our evaluation under this framework, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, which is included herein.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
The Board of Directors and Shareholders
Aflac Incorporated:

We have audited Aflac Incorporated's (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Aflac Incorporated's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Aflac Incorporated maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Aflac Incorporated and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, and our report dated February 27, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

Atlanta, Georgia
February 27, 2014

79

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
The Board of Directors and Shareholders
Aflac Incorporated:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Aflac Incorporated and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Aflac Incorporated and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2012, the Company retrospectively adopted guidance related to a change in accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Aflac Incorporated's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 27, 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Atlanta, Georgia
February 27, 2014

Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Earnings
Years Ended December 31,

(In millions, except for share and per-share amounts)	2013	2012	2011
Revenues:			
Net premiums, principally supplemental health insurance	$20,135	$22,148	$20,362
Net investment income	3,293	3,473	3,280
Realized investment gains (losses):			
Other-than-temporary impairment losses realized	(199)	(977)	(1,901)
Sales and redemptions	262	474	594
Derivative and other gains (losses)	336	154	(245)
Total realized investment gains (losses)	399	(349)	(1,552)
Other income	112	92	81
Total revenues	23,939	25,364	22,171
Benefits and expenses:			
Benefits and claims, net	13,813	15,330	13,749
Acquisition and operating expenses:			
Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs	1,074	1,117	1,033
Insurance commissions	1,528	1,744	1,725
Insurance expenses	2,222	2,415	2,336
Interest expense	293	261	196
Other operating expenses	193	195	182
Total acquisition and operating expenses	5,310	5,732	5,472
Total benefits and expenses	19,123	21,062	19,221
Earnings before income taxes	4,816	4,302	2,950
Income tax expense:			
Current	1,236	816	891
Deferred	422	620	122
Total income taxes	1,658	1,436	1,013
Net earnings	$3,158	$2,866	$1,937
Net earnings per share:			
Basic	$6.80	$6.14	$4.16
Diluted	6.76	6.11	4.12
Weighted-average outstanding common shares used in computing earnings per share (In thousands):			
Basic	464,502	466,868	466,519
Diluted	467,408	469,287	469,370

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.
See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Years Ended December 31,

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Net earnings	$3,158	$2,866	$1,937
Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes:			
Unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) during period	(1,588)	(287)	(18)
Unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on investment securities during period	(2,362)	1,660	566
Reclassification adjustment for realized (gains) losses on investment securities included in net earnings	(56)	497	1,154
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives during period	(10)	(22)	(33)
Pension liability adjustment during period	157	(20)	(65)
Total other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes	(3,859)	1,828	1,604
Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of other comprehensive income (loss)	(581)	1,078	392
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes	(3,278)	750	1,212
Total comprehensive income (loss)	$(120)	$3,616	$3,149

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.
See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets
December 31,

(In millions)	2013	2012
Assets:		
Investments and cash:		
Securities available for sale, at fair value:		
Fixed maturities (amortized cost $52,402 in 2013 and $48,355 in 2012)	$53,227	$51,466
Fixed maturities - consolidated variable interest entities (amortized cost $4,109 in 2013 and $5,058 in 2012)	4,843	5,787
Perpetual securities (amortized cost $2,524 in 2013 and $3,654 in 2012)	2,479	3,728
Perpetual securities - consolidated variable interest entities (amortized cost $463 in 2013 and $559 in 2012)	468	574
Equity securities (cost $17 in 2013 and $20 in 2012)	21	23
Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost:		
Fixed maturities (fair value $45,610 in 2013 and $54,554 in 2012)	44,178	54,137
Fixed maturities - consolidated variable interest entities (fair value $236 in 2013 and $287 in 2012)	237	289
Other investments	463	174
Cash and cash equivalents	2,543	2,041
Total investments and cash	108,459	118,219
Receivables	1,165	976
Accrued investment income	798	842
Deferred policy acquisition costs	8,798	9,658
Property and equipment, at cost less accumulated depreciation	481	564
Other	1,606 [1]	835 [1]
Total assets	$121,307	$131,094

[1] Includes $106 in 2013 and $191 in 2012 of derivatives from consolidated variable interest entities
See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(continued)

Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets (continued)
December 31,

(In millions, except for share and per-share amounts)	2013	2012
Liabilities and shareholders' equity:		
Liabilities:		
Policy liabilities:		
Future policy benefits	$69,136	$76,463
Unpaid policy claims	3,763	4,034
Unearned premiums	10,642	11,904
Other policyholders' funds	5,861	5,319
Total policy liabilities	89,402	97,720
Income taxes	3,718	3,858
Payables for return of cash collateral on loaned securities	5,820	6,277
Notes payable	4,897	4,352
Other	2,850 [2]	2,909 [2]
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 15)		
Total liabilities	106,687	115,116
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock of $.10 par value. In thousands: authorized 1,900,000 shares in 2013 and 2012; issued 667,046 shares in 2013 and 665,239 shares in 2012	67	67
Additional paid-in capital	1,644	1,505
Retained earnings	19,885	17,387
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses)	(1,505)	333
Unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities	1,035	2,570
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives	(12)	(5)
Pension liability adjustment	(81)	(183)
Treasury stock, at average cost	(6,413)	(5,696)
Total shareholders' equity	14,620	15,978
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	$121,307	$131,094

[2] Includes $207 in 2013 and $399 in 2012 of derivatives from consolidated variable interest entities
See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

84

Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity
Years Ended December 31,

(In millions, except for per-share amounts)	2013	2012	2011
Common stock:			
Balance, beginning of period	$67	$66	$66
Exercise of stock options	0	1	0
Balance, end of period	67	67	66
Additional paid-in capital:			
Balance, beginning of period	1,505	1,408	1,320
Exercise of stock options	50	31	21
Share-based compensation	32	34	37
Gain (loss) on treasury stock reissued	57	32	30
Balance, end of period	1,644	1,505	1,408
Retained earnings:			
Balance, beginning of period	17,387	15,148	13,787
Net earnings	3,158	2,866	1,937
Dividends to shareholders ($1.42 per share in 2013, $1.34 per share in 2012, and $1.23 per share in 2011)	(660)	(627)	(576)
Balance, end of period	19,885	17,387	15,148
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):			
Balance, beginning of period	2,715	1,965	753
Unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) during period, net of income taxes	(1,838)	(651)	167
Unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities during period, net of income taxes and reclassification adjustments:			
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities not other-than-temporarily impaired, net of income taxes	(1,535)	1,427	1,107
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on other-than-temporarily impaired investment securities, net of income taxes	0	0	3
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives during period, net of income taxes	(7)	(14)	(22)
Pension liability adjustment during period, net of income taxes	102	(12)	(43)
Balance, end of period	(563)	2,715	1,965
Treasury stock:			
Balance, beginning of period	(5,696)	(5,641)	(5,386)
Purchases of treasury stock	(813)	(118)	(308)
Cost of shares issued	96	63	53
Balance, end of period	(6,413)	(5,696)	(5,641)
Total shareholders' equity	$14,620	$15,978	$12,946

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.
See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Years Ended December 31,

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net earnings	$3,158	$2,866	$1,937
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Change in receivables and advance premiums	(8)	(199)	25
Increase in deferred policy acquisition costs	(404)	(643)	(505)
Increase in policy liabilities	6,806	12,005	7,402
Change in income tax liabilities	993	712	266
Realized investment (gains) losses	(399)	349	1,552
Other, net	401	(138)	165
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	10,547	14,952	10,842
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from investments sold or matured:			
Securities available for sale:			
Fixed maturities sold	9,631	7,385	14,385
Fixed maturities matured or called	2,907	1,959	170
Perpetual securities sold	264	1,599	690
Perpetual securities matured or called	256	376	0
Securities held to maturity:			
Fixed maturities matured or called	6,515	1,859	728
Costs of investments acquired:			
Available-for-sale fixed maturities acquired	(22,967)	(19,533)	(8,392)
Held-to-maturity fixed maturities acquired	(6,756)	(16,550)	(18,995)
Settlement of derivatives, net	(1,624)	0	0
Cash received as collateral, net	1,037	5,439	647
Other, net	(354)	514	(62)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	(11,091)	(16,952)	(10,829)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Purchases of treasury stock	(813)	(118)	(308)
Proceeds from borrowings	700	1,506	620
Principal payments under debt obligations	0	(341)	(462)
Dividends paid to shareholders	(635)	(603)	(552)
Change in investment-type contracts, net	1,790	1,457	733
Treasury stock reissued	88	32	26
Other, net	6	12	7
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	1,136	1,945	64
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(90)	(153)	51
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	502	(208)	128
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	2,041	2,249	2,121
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	$2,543	$2,041	$2,249
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Income taxes paid	$754	$788	$828
Interest paid	210	178	164
Noncash interest	82 [1]	83 [1]	32 [1]
Impairment losses included in realized investment losses	199	977	1,901
Noncash financing activities:			

Capitalized lease obligations	0	4	6
Treasury stock issued for:			
Associate stock bonus	36	35	32
Shareholder dividend reinvestment	25	24	23
Share-based compensation grants	4	4	2

[1] Consists primarily of accreted interest on discounted advance premiums

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

See the accompanying Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
Description of Business
Aflac Incorporated (the Parent Company) and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company) primarily sell supplemental health and life insurance in the United States and Japan. The Company's insurance business is marketed and administered through American Family Life Assurance Company of Columbus (Aflac), which operates in the United States (Aflac U.S.) and as a branch in Japan (Aflac Japan). American Family Life Assurance Company of New York (Aflac New York) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Aflac. Most of Aflac's policies are individually underwritten and marketed through independent agents. Additionally, Aflac U.S. markets and administers group products through Continental American Insurance Company (CAIC), branded as Aflac Group Insurance. Our insurance operations in the United States and our branch in Japan service the two markets for our insurance business. Aflac Japan's revenues, including realized gains and losses on its investment portfolio, accounted for 74% of the Company's total revenues in 2013, compared with 77% in 2012 and 75% in 2011. The percentage of the Company's total assets attributable to Aflac Japan was 85% at December 31, 2013, compared with 87% at December 31, 2012.

Basis of Presentation
We prepare our financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). These principles are established primarily by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB). In these Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, references to GAAP issued by the FASB are derived from the FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ (ASC). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates when recording transactions resulting from business operations based on currently available information. The most significant items on our balance sheet that involve a greater degree of accounting estimates and actuarial determinations subject to changes in the future are the valuation of investments, deferred policy acquisition costs, liabilities for future policy benefits and unpaid policy claims, and income taxes. These accounting estimates and actuarial determinations are sensitive to market conditions, investment yields, mortality, morbidity, commission and other acquisition expenses, and terminations by policyholders. As additional information becomes available, or actual amounts are determinable, the recorded estimates will be revised and reflected in operating results. Although some variability is inherent in these estimates, we believe the amounts provided are adequate.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Parent Company, its subsidiaries and those entities required to be consolidated under applicable accounting standards. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

Significant Accounting Policies

Translation of Foreign Currencies: The functional currency of Aflac Japan's insurance operations is the Japanese yen. We translate our yen-denominated financial statement accounts into U.S. dollars as follows. Assets and liabilities are translated at end-of-period exchange rates. Realized gains and losses on security transactions are translated at the exchange rate on the trade date of each transaction. Other revenues, expenses and cash flows are translated using average exchange rates for the period. The resulting currency translation adjustments are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. We include in earnings the realized currency exchange gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions.

Prior to October 1, 2013, Aflac Japan maintained an investment portfolio of dollar-denominated securities on behalf of Aflac U.S., which served as an economic currency hedge of a portion of our investment in Aflac Japan. The functional currency for these investments was the U.S. dollar. The related investment income and realized/unrealized investment gains and losses were denominated in U.S. dollars. Since the functional currency of this portfolio was the U.S. dollar, there was no translation adjustment to record in other comprehensive income for these investments when

the yen/dollar exchange rate changed. However, the foreign exchange gains and losses related to this portfolio are taxable in Japan and the U.S. when the securities matured or were sold. Until maturity or sale, deferred tax expense or benefit associated with the foreign exchange gains or losses is recognized in income tax expense on other comprehensive income. As of October 1, 2013, these investments were transferred into the Aflac Japan investment portfolio. These investments began to have translation effects recorded in other comprehensive income in the fourth quarter of 2013.

We have designated a majority of the Parent Company's yen-denominated liabilities (Samurai and Uridashi notes and yen-denominated loans) as non-derivative hedges and designated foreign currency forwards and options as derivative hedges of the foreign currency exposure of our investment in Aflac Japan. Outstanding principal and related accrued interest on these Parent Company liabilities and the fair value of these derivatives are translated into U.S. dollars at end-of-period exchange rates. Currency translation adjustments and changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recorded as unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) in other comprehensive income and are included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Insurance Revenue and Expense Recognition: The supplemental health and life insurance policies we issue are classified as long-duration contracts. The contract provisions generally cannot be changed or canceled during the contract period; however, we may adjust premiums for supplemental health policies issued in the United States within prescribed guidelines and with the approval of state insurance regulatory authorities.

Insurance premiums for most of the Company's health and life policies are recognized ratably as earned income over the premium payment periods of the policies. When revenues are reported, the related amounts of benefits and expenses are charged against such revenues, so that profits are recognized in proportion to premium revenues during the period the policies are expected to remain in force. This association is accomplished by means of annual additions to the liability for future policy benefits and the deferral and subsequent amortization of policy acquisition costs.

Premiums from the Company's products with limited-pay features are collected over a significantly shorter period than the period over which benefits are provided. Premiums for these products are recognized ratably over the scheduled premium payment period. At the policyholder's option, customers can also pay discounted advanced premiums for certain of these products. Advanced premiums are deferred and recognized ratably over the regularly scheduled premium payment period. For the Company's limited-pay products, any gross premium in excess of the net premium is deferred during the scheduled premium payment period and recognized into benefits in a constant relationship with insurance in force. Benefits are recorded as an expense when they are incurred. A liability for future policy benefits is recorded when premiums are recognized using the net premium method.

The calculation of deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC) and the liability for future policy benefits requires the use of estimates based on sound actuarial valuation techniques. For new policy issues, we review our actuarial assumptions and deferrable acquisition costs each year and revise them when necessary to more closely reflect recent experience and studies of actual acquisition costs. For policies in force, we evaluate DAC by major product groupings to determine that they are recoverable from future revenues, and any amounts determined not to be recoverable are charged against net earnings. We have not had any material charges to earnings for DAC that was determined not to be recoverable in any of the years presented in this Form 10-K.

Advertising expense is reported as incurred in insurance expenses in the consolidated statements of earnings.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, money market instruments and other debt instruments with a maturity of 90 days or less when purchased.

Investments: Our debt securities consist of fixed-maturity securities, which are classified as either held to maturity or available for sale. Securities classified as held to maturity are securities that we have the ability and intent to hold to maturity or redemption and are carried at amortized cost. All other fixed-maturity debt securities, our perpetual securities and our equity securities are classified as available for sale and are carried at fair value. If the fair value is higher than the amortized cost for debt and perpetual securities, or the purchase cost for equity securities, the excess is an unrealized gain, and if lower than cost, the difference is an unrealized loss. The net unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale, plus the unamortized unrealized gains and losses on debt securities transferred to the held-to-maturity portfolio, less related deferred income taxes, are recorded through other comprehensive income and included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Amortized cost of debt and perpetual securities is based on our purchase price adjusted for accrual of discount, or amortization of premium, and recognition of impairment charges, if any. The amortized cost of debt and perpetual securities we purchase at a discount or premium will equal the face or par value at maturity or the call date, if applicable. Interest is reported as income when earned and is adjusted for amortization of any premium or discount.

We have investments in variable interest entities (VIEs). Criteria for evaluating VIEs for consolidation focuses on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. We are the primary beneficiary of certain VIEs. While the VIEs generally operate within a defined set of

88

documents, there are certain powers that are retained by us that are considered significant in our conclusion that we are the primary beneficiary. These powers vary by structure but generally include the initial selection of the underlying collateral or, for collateralized debt obligations (CDOs), the reference credits to include in the structure; the ability to obtain the underlying collateral in the event of default; and the ability to appoint or dismiss key parties in the structure. In particular, our powers surrounding the underlying collateral were the most significant powers since those most significantly impact the economics of the VIE. We have no obligation to provide any continuing financial support to any of the entities in which we are the primary beneficiary. Our maximum loss is limited to our original investment. Neither we nor any of our creditors have the ability to obtain the underlying collateral, nor do we have control over the instruments in the VIEs, unless there is an event of default. For those entities where we are the primary beneficiary, the assets consolidated are fixed-maturity securities, perpetual securities and derivative instruments; collateral is reported separately under the captions fixed maturities- and perpetual securities- consolidated variable interest entities on our balance sheet.

For the collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) held in our fixed-maturity securities portfolio, we recognize income using a constant effective yield, which is based on anticipated prepayments and the estimated economic life of the securities. When estimates of prepayments change, the effective yield is recalculated to reflect actual payments to date and anticipated future payments. The net investment in CMO securities is adjusted to the amount that would have existed had the new effective yield been applied at the time of acquisition. This adjustment is reflected in net investment income.

We use the specific identification method to determine the gain or loss from securities transactions and report the realized gain or loss in the consolidated statements of earnings. Securities transactions are accounted for based on values as of the trade date of the transaction.

An investment in a fixed maturity or perpetual security is impaired if the fair value falls below book value. We regularly review our entire investment portfolio for declines in value. The majority of our investments are evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment using our debt impairment model. Our debt impairment model focuses on the ultimate collection of the cash flows from our investments. The determination of the amount of impairments under this model is based upon our periodic evaluation and assessment of known and inherent risks associated with the respective securities. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available.

The determination of whether an impairment in value is other than temporary is based largely on our evaluation of the issuer's creditworthiness. Our team of experienced credit professionals must apply considerable judgment in determining the likelihood of the security recovering in value while we own it. Factors that may influence this include the overall level of interest rates, credit spreads, the credit quality of the underlying issuer, and other factors. This process requires consideration of risks which can be controlled to a certain extent, such as credit risk, and risks which cannot be controlled, such as interest rate risk.

If, after monitoring and analyses, management believes that fair value will not recover to amortized cost prior to the disposal of the security, we recognize an other-than-temporary impairment of the security. Once a security is considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired, the impairment loss is separated into two separate components: the portion of the impairment related to credit and the portion of the impairment related to factors other than credit. We automatically recognize a charge to earnings for the credit-related portion of other-than-temporary impairments. Impairments related to factors other than credit are charged to earnings in the event we intend to sell the security prior to the recovery of its amortized cost or if it is more likely than not that we would be required to dispose of the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost; otherwise, non-credit-related other-than-temporary impairments are charged to other comprehensive income.

Our investments in perpetual securities that are rated below investment grade are evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment under our equity impairment model. Our equity impairment model focuses on the severity of a security's decline in fair value coupled with the length of time the fair value of the security has been below amortized cost and

the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer.

We lend fixed-maturity securities to financial institutions in short-term security lending transactions. These securities continue to be carried as investment assets on our balance sheet during the terms of the loans and are not reported as sales. We receive cash or other securities as collateral for such loans. For loans involving unrestricted cash or securities as collateral, the collateral is reported as an asset with a corresponding liability for the return of the collateral.

For further information regarding our investments, see Note 3.

Derivatives and Hedging: Freestanding derivative instruments are reported in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value and are reported in other assets and other liabilities, with changes in value reported in earnings and/or other comprehensive income. These freestanding derivatives are interest rate swaps, foreign currency swaps, credit default swaps (CDSs), foreign currency forwards, foreign currency options, and options on interest rate swaps (or interest rate swaptions). Interest rate and foreign currency swaps are used within VIEs to hedge the risk arising from interest rate and currency exchange risk, while the CDSs are used to increase the yield and improve the diversification of the portfolio. Foreign currency forward contracts are used in hedging foreign exchange risk on U.S. dollar-denominated securities in Aflac Japan's portfolio. Foreign currency forwards and options are used to hedge certain portions of forecasted cash flows denominated in yen. Interest rate swaps are used to hedge the variability of interest cash flows associated with our variable interest rate notes, and cross-currency interest rate swaps, also referred to as foreign currency swaps, are used to economically convert certain dollar-denominated note obligations into yen-denominated principal and interest obligations. Interest rate swaptions are used to hedge interest rate risk for certain U.S. dollar-denominated available-for-sale securities. We do not use derivatives for trading purposes, nor do we engage in leveraged derivative transactions.

From time to time, we purchase certain investments that contain an embedded derivative. We assess whether this embedded derivative is clearly and closely related to the asset that serves as its host contract. If we deem that the embedded derivative's terms are not clearly and closely related to the host contract, and a separate instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the derivative is separated from that contract, held at fair value and reported with the host instrument in the consolidated balance sheet, with changes in fair value reported in earnings. If we have elected the fair value option, the embedded derivative is not bifurcated, and the entire investment is held at fair value with changes in fair value reported in earnings.

For those relationships where we seek hedge accounting, we formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as our risk-management objectives and strategies for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking derivatives and nonderivatives that are designated as hedges to specific assets or liabilities on the balance sheet. We also assess, both at inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives and nonderivatives used in hedging activities are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of the hedged items. The assessment of hedge effectiveness determines the accounting treatment of noncash changes in fair value.

Changes in the fair value of any of our derivatives that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges are recorded in other comprehensive income as long as they are deemed effective. Any hedge ineffectiveness is recorded immediately in current period earnings within derivative and other gains (losses). Periodic derivative net coupon settlements are recorded in the line item of the consolidated statements of earnings in which the cash flows of the hedged item are recorded.
Changes in the estimated fair value of derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, including amounts measured as ineffectiveness, and changes in the estimated fair value of the hedged item related to the designated risk being hedged, are reported in current earnings within derivative and other gains (losses).

We have designated the majority of the Parent Company's yen-denominated liabilities (Samurai and Uridashi notes and yen-denominated loans) as nonderivative hedges and designated derivatives as hedges of the foreign currency exposure to our investment in Aflac Japan. At the beginning of each quarter, we make our net investment hedge designation. If the total of the designated Parent Company non-derivative and derivatives notional is equal to or less than our net investment in Aflac Japan, the hedge is deemed to be effective, and the exchange effect on the yen-denominated liabilities and the change in estimated fair value of the derivatives are reported in the unrealized foreign currency component of other comprehensive income. Should these designated net investment hedge positions exceed our net investment in Aflac Japan, the foreign exchange effect on the portion that exceeds our investment in Aflac Japan would be recognized in current earnings within derivative and other gains (losses).

Derivatives that are not designated as hedges are carried at fair value with all changes in fair value recorded in current period earnings within derivative and other gains (losses). We include the fair value of all freestanding derivatives in either other assets or other liabilities on the balance sheet.

For further information regarding derivatives and hedging, see Note 4.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs: See the Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements section of this Note 1 for a discussion of the change in accounting policy for DAC that we adopted retrospectively as of January 1, 2012.

Certain direct and incremental costs of acquiring new business are deferred and amortized with interest over the premium payment periods in proportion to the ratio of annual premium income to total anticipated premium income. Anticipated premium income is estimated by using the same mortality, persistency and interest assumptions used in computing liabilities for future policy benefits. In this manner, the related acquisition expenses are matched with revenues. Deferred costs include the excess of current-year commissions over ultimate renewal-year commissions and certain incremental direct policy issue, underwriting and sales expenses. All of these incremental costs are directly related to successful policy acquisition.

For some products, policyholders can elect to modify product benefits, features, rights or coverages by exchanging a contract for a new contract or by amendment, endorsement, or rider to a contract, or by the election of a feature or coverage within a contract. These transactions are known as internal replacements. For internal replacement transactions where the resulting contract is substantially unchanged, the policy is accounted for as a continuation of the replaced contract. Unamortized deferred acquisition costs from the original policy continue to be amortized over the expected life of the new policy, and the costs of replacing the policy are accounted for as policy maintenance costs and expensed as incurred. Internal replacement transactions that result in a policy that is not substantially unchanged are accounted for as an extinguishment of the original policy and the issuance of a new policy. Unamortized deferred acquisition costs on the original policy that was replaced are immediately expensed, and the costs of acquiring the new policy are capitalized and amortized in accordance with our accounting policies for deferred acquisition costs.
We measure the recoverability of DAC and the adequacy of our policy reserves annually by performing gross premium valuations on our business. Our testing indicates that our DAC is recoverable and our policy liabilities are adequate. (See the following discussion for further information regarding policy liabilities.)

Policy Liabilities: Future policy benefits represent claims that are expected to occur in the future and are computed by a net level premium method using estimated future investment yields, persistency and recognized morbidity and mortality tables modified to reflect our experience, including a provision for adverse deviation. These assumptions are generally established at the time a policy is issued.
Unpaid policy claims are estimates computed on an undiscounted basis using statistical analyses of historical claims experience adjusted for current trends and changed conditions. The ultimate liability may vary significantly from such estimates. We regularly adjust these estimates as new claims experience emerges and reflect the changes in operating results in the year such adjustments are made.

Other policy liabilities consist primarily of discounted advance premiums on deposit from policyholders in conjunction with their purchase of certain Aflac Japan limited-pay insurance products. These advanced premiums are deferred upon collection and recognized as premium revenue over the contractual premium payment period.

For internal replacements that are determined to not be substantially unchanged, policy liabilities related to the original policy that was replaced are immediately released, and policy liabilities are established for the new insurance contract.

Reinsurance: We enter into reinsurance agreements with other companies in the normal course of business. For each of our reinsurance agreements, we determine if the agreement provides indemnification against loss or liability relating to insurance risk in accordance with applicable accounting standards. Reinsurance premiums and benefits paid or provided are accounted for on bases consistent with those used in accounting for the original policies issued and the terms of the reinsurance contracts. Premiums, benefits and DAC are reported net of insurance ceded. See Note 8 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Income Taxes: Income tax provisions are generally based on pretax earnings reported for financial statement purposes, which differ from those amounts used in preparing our income tax returns. Deferred income taxes are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and income tax basis of assets and liabilities, based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which we expect the

temporary differences to reverse. We record deferred tax assets for tax positions taken based on our assessment of whether the tax position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. A valuation allowance is established for deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that an amount will not be realized.

As discussed in the Translation of Foreign Currencies section above, Aflac Japan maintains certain dollar-denominated investments that, prior to October 1, 2013, did not have any foreign currency translation adjustments recognized in other comprehensive income. However, the deferred tax expense or benefit associated with foreign exchange gains or losses on these investments is recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) until the securities

91

---

mature or are sold. Total income tax expense (benefit) related to items of other comprehensive income (loss) included a deferred tax expense of $614 million in 2013, compared with a deferred tax expense of $492 million in 2012 and a deferred tax benefit of $152 million in 2011 for these dollar-denominated investments. Excluding these amounts from total taxes on other comprehensive income would result in an effective income tax rate on pretax other comprehensive income (loss) of 31% in 2013, 32% in 2012, and 34% in 2011.

Policyholder Protection Corporation and State Guaranty Association Assessments: In Japan, the government has required the insurance industry to contribute to a policyholder protection corporation. We recognize a charge for our estimated share of the industry's obligation once it is determinable. We review the estimated liability for policyholder protection corporation contributions on an annual basis and report any adjustments in Aflac Japan's expenses.

In the United States, each state has a guaranty association that supports insolvent insurers operating in those states. To date, our state guaranty association assessments have not been material.

Treasury Stock: Treasury stock is reflected as a reduction of shareholders' equity at cost. We use the weighted-average purchase cost to determine the cost of treasury stock that is reissued. We include any gains and losses in additional paid-in capital when treasury stock is reissued.

Share-Based Compensation: We measure compensation cost related to our share-based payment transactions at fair value on the grant date, and we recognize those costs in the financial statements over the vesting period during which the employee provides service in exchange for the award.

Earnings Per Share: We compute basic earnings per share (EPS) by dividing net earnings by the weighted-average number of unrestricted shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding for the period plus the shares representing the dilutive effect of share-based awards.

Reclassifications: Certain reclassifications have been made to prior-year amounts to conform to current-year reporting classifications. These reclassifications had no impact on net earnings or total shareholders' equity.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

Derivatives and hedging: In July 2013, the FASB issued an update which allows entities to use the Federal Funds Effective Swap Rate, also referred to as the Overnight Index Swap Rate (OIS), as a benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes. Previously the only acceptable benchmark rates for hedge accounting purposes under GAAP were U.S. Treasury rates and the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) swap rate. This update reflects the evolution of market hedging practices and is intended to provide more flexibility for hedge accounting purposes. We adopted this guidance in the third quarter of 2013 on a prospective basis for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after the effective date of July 17, 2013. The adoption of the guidance had no impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Reporting of amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income: In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance that requires reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income to net income to be presented in statements where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented or in the footnotes to the financial statements. Additionally, the amendment requires cross-referencing to other disclosures currently required for other reclassification items. We adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2013. The adoption of this guidance impacted our financial statement disclosures, but it did not have an impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities: In December 2011, the FASB issued guidance to amend the disclosure requirements about offsetting assets and liabilities. The new guidance essentially clarifies the FASB's intent concerning the application of existing offsetting disclosure requirements. Entities are required to disclose gross and net information about both instruments and transactions eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and instruments and transactions when those activities are subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. The scope of this guidance was clarified and revised in January 2013 to apply to derivatives, repurchase agreements, reverse repurchase agreements, securities borrowing and securities lending arrangements. The objective of this disclosure is to move toward consistency between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS).

We adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2013. The adoption of this guidance impacted our financial statement disclosures, but it did not have an impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Presentation of comprehensive income: In June 2011, the FASB issued guidance to amend the presentation of comprehensive income. The amendment requires that all non-owner changes in shareholders' equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. We adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2012 and elected the option to report comprehensive income in two separate but consecutive statements. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Fair value measurements and disclosures: In May 2011, the FASB issued guidance to amend the fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. Most of the amendments are clarifications of the FASB's intent about the application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements. Other amendments change a particular principle or requirement for measuring fair value or disclosing information about fair value measurements. The new fair value measurement disclosures include additional quantitative and qualitative disclosures for Level 3 measurements, including a qualitative sensitivity analysis of fair value to changes in unobservable inputs, and categorization by fair value hierarchy level for items for which the fair value is only disclosed. We adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2012. The adoption of this guidance impacted our financial statement disclosures, but it did not affect our financial position or results of operations.

Accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts: In October 2010, the FASB issued amended accounting guidance on accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. Under the previous guidance, costs that varied with and were primarily related to the acquisition of a policy were deferrable. Under the amended guidance, only incremental direct costs associated with the successful acquisition of a new or renewal contract may be capitalized, and direct-response advertising costs may be capitalized only if they meet certain criteria. This guidance is effective on a prospective or retrospective basis for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We retrospectively adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2012. The retrospective adoption of this accounting standard resulted in an after-tax cumulative reduction to retained earnings of $391 million and an after-tax cumulative reduction to unrealized foreign currency translation gains in accumulated other comprehensive income of $67 million, resulting in a total reduction to shareholders' equity of $458 million as of December 31, 2009, the opening balance sheet date in the period of adoption. The adoption of this accounting standard had an immaterial impact on net income in 2011 and all preceding years.

Accounting Pronouncements Pending Adoption

Presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists: In July 2013, the FASB issued guidance to amend the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The new guidance essentially states that an unrecognized tax benefit, or a portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward. However, to the extent a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward is not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction to settle any additional income taxes that would result from the disallowance of a tax position or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require the entity to use, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a liability and should not be combined with deferred tax assets. This accounting standard applies to all entities that have unrecognized tax benefits when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists at the reporting date. This guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2013, and interim periods within those annual periods and requires prospective presentation for all comparative periods presented. The adoption of this guidance will not have a significant impact on our financial statements.

Fees paid to the federal government by health insurers: In July 2011, the FASB issued guidance on the accounting for fees owed by health insurers as mandated by the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (the Acts). The Acts impose an annual fee on health insurers for each calendar year beginning on or after January 1, 2014. A health insurer's portion of the annual fee is payable by September 30 of the applicable calendar year once the entity provides health insurance for any U.S. health risk in that year. The annual fee for the health insurance industry will be allocated to individual health insurers based on the ratio of the amount of an entity's net premiums written during the preceding calendar year to the amount of health insurance for any U.S. health risk that is written during the preceding calendar year. The accounting guidance specifies that the liability for the fee should be estimated and recorded in full in the applicable calendar year in which the fee is payable with a

---

corresponding deferred cost that is amortized to expense using a straight-line method of allocation unless another method better allocates the fee over the calendar year that it is payable. This guidance is effective for calendar years beginning after December 31, 2013. The adoption of this guidance will not have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations.

Recent accounting guidance not discussed above is not applicable, did not have, or is not expected to have a material impact to our business.

2. BUSINESS SEGMENT AND FOREIGN INFORMATION

The Company consists of two reportable insurance business segments: Aflac Japan and Aflac U.S., both of which sell supplemental health and life insurance. Operating business segments that are not individually reportable and business activities not included in Aflac Japan or Aflac U.S. are included in the "Other business segments" category.

We do not allocate corporate overhead expenses to business segments. We evaluate and manage our business segments using a financial performance measure called pretax operating earnings. Our definition of operating earnings includes interest cash flows associated with notes payable and excludes the following items from net earnings on an after-tax basis: realized investment gains/losses (securities transactions, impairments, and the impact of derivative and hedging activities), nonrecurring items, and other non-operating income (loss). We then exclude income taxes related to operations to arrive at pretax operating earnings. Information regarding operations by segment for the years ended December 31 follows:

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Revenues:			
Aflac Japan:			
Net earned premiums:			
Cancer	$6,123	$7,537	$7,541
Medical and other health	4,282	5,244	5,158
Life insurance	4,577	4,370	2,920
Net investment income	2,651	2,845	2,688
Other income	55	57	46
Total Aflac Japan	17,688	20,053	18,353
Aflac U.S.:			
Earned premiums:			
Accident/disability	2,284	2,213	2,194
Cancer	1,283	1,282	1,258
Other health	1,334	1,259	1,061
Life insurance	252	242	230
Net investment income	632	613	588
Other income	6	19	10
Total Aflac U.S.	5,791	5,628	5,341
Other business segments	49	46	54
Total business segment revenues	23,528	25,727	23,748
Realized investment gains (losses)	389 [1]	(349)	(1,552)
Corporate	302	262	241
Intercompany eliminations	(308)	(276)	(266)
Other non-operating income (loss)	28	0	0
Total revenues	$23,939	$25,364	$22,171

[1] Excluding a gain of $10 in 2013 related to the interest rate component of the change in fair value of foreign currency swaps on notes payable which is classified as an operating gain when analyzing segment operations

(In millions)	2013		2012		2011	
Pretax earnings:						
Aflac Japan	$3,628		$3,904		$3,829	
Aflac U.S.	1,038		997		904	
Other business segments	(1	)	(3	)	1	
Total business segment pretax operating earnings	4,665		4,898		4,734	
Interest expense, noninsurance operations	(198	)	(184	)	(168	)
Corporate and eliminations	(68	)	(56	)	(64	)
Pretax operating earnings	4,399		4,658		4,502	
Realized investment gains (losses)	389	[1]	(349	)	(1,552	)
Other non-operating income (loss)	28		(7	)	0	
Total earnings before income taxes	$4,816		$4,302		$2,950	
Income taxes applicable to pretax operating earnings	$1,512		$1,561		$1,556	
Effect of foreign currency translation on operating earnings	(357	)	8		169	

[1] Excluding a gain of $10 in 2013 related to the interest rate component of the change in fair value of foreign currency swaps on notes payable which is classified as an operating gain when analyzing segment operations
Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

Assets as of December 31 were as follows:

(In millions)	2013		2012		2011	
Assets:						
Aflac Japan	$102,973		$113,678		$101,692	
Aflac U.S.	16,112		16,122		13,942	
Other business segments	155		154		160	
Total business segment assets	119,240		129,954		115,794	
Corporate	19,909		20,318		16,182	
Intercompany eliminations	(17,842	)	(19,178	)	(15,739	)
Total assets	$121,307		$131,094		$116,237	

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

Yen-Translation Effects: The following table shows the yen/dollar exchange rates used for or during the periods ended December 31. Exchange effects were calculated using the same yen/dollar exchange rate for the current year as for each respective prior year.

	2013		2012		2011	
Statements of Earnings:						
Weighted-average yen/dollar exchange rate	97.54		79.81		79.75	
Yen percent strengthening (weakening)	(18.2	)%	(.1	)%	10.0	%
Exchange effect on net earnings (in millions)	$(312	)	$38		$160	

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

	2013		2012	
Balance Sheets:				
Yen/dollar exchange rate at December 31	105.39		86.58	
Yen percent strengthening (weakening)	(17.8	)%	(10.2	)%
Exchange effect on total assets (in millions)	$(17,836	)	$(10,861	)
Exchange effect on total liabilities (in millions)	(19,806	)	(11,441	)

Transfers of funds from Aflac Japan: Aflac Japan makes payments to the Parent Company for management fees and to Aflac U.S. for allocated expenses and profit repatriations. Information on transfers for each of the years ended December 31 is shown below. See Note 13 for information concerning restrictions on transfers from Aflac Japan.

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Management fees	$37	$30	$28
Allocated expenses	74	58	43
Profit repatriation	771	422	143
Total transfers from Aflac Japan	$882	$510	$214

Property and Equipment: The costs of buildings, furniture and equipment are depreciated principally on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives (maximum of 50 years for buildings and 20 years for furniture and equipment). Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred; expenditures for betterments are capitalized and depreciated. Classes of property and equipment as of December 31 were as follows:

(In millions)	2013	2012
Property and equipment:		
Land	$168	$161
Buildings	444	535
Equipment and furniture	329	326
Total property and equipment	941	1,022
Less accumulated depreciation	460	458
Net property and equipment	$481	$564

Receivables: Receivables consist primarily of monthly insurance premiums due from individual policyholders or their employers for payroll deduction of premiums, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. At December 31, 2013, $731 million, or 82.9% of total receivables, were related to Aflac Japan's operations, compared with $566 million, or 58.2%, at December 31, 2012.

3. INVESTMENTS

Net Investment Income

The components of net investment income for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Fixed-maturity securities	$3,210	$3,248	$3,026
Perpetual securities	153	253	274
Equity securities and other	7	17	5
Short-term investments and cash equivalents	1	2	4
Gross investment income	3,371	3,520	3,309
Less investment expenses	78	47	29
Net investment income	$3,293	$3,473	$3,280

Investment Holdings

The amortized cost for our investments in debt and perpetual securities, the cost for equity securities and the fair values of these investments at December 31 are shown in the following tables.

(In millions)	2013 Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Securities available for sale, carried at fair value:				
Fixed maturities:				
Yen-denominated:				
Japan government and agencies	$14,936	$431	$33	$15,334
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	558	29	0	587
Public utilities	2,261	100	18	2,343
Sovereign and supranational	978	85	28	1,035
Banks/financial institutions	2,799	220	242	2,777
Other corporate	3,956	151	185	3,922
Total yen-denominated	25,488	1,016	506	25,998
Dollar-denominated:				
U.S. government and agencies	92	10	4	98
Municipalities	992	71	12	1,051
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	163	21	0	184
Public utilities	4,931	471	183	5,219
Sovereign and supranational	404	85	1	488
Banks/financial institutions	3,318	447	33	3,732
Other corporate	21,123	1,347	1,170	21,300
Total dollar-denominated	31,023	2,452	1,403	32,072
Total fixed maturities	56,511	3,468	1,909	58,070
Perpetual securities:				
Yen-denominated:				
Banks/financial institutions	2,582	151	217	2,516
Other corporate	209	0	0	209
Dollar-denominated:				
Banks/financial institutions	196	35	9	222
Total perpetual securities	2,987	186	226	2,947
Equity securities	17	5	1	21
Total securities available for sale	$59,515	$3,659	$2,136	$61,038

97

(In millions)	2013 Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Securities held to maturity, carried at amortized cost:				
Fixed maturities:				
Yen-denominated:				
Japan government and agencies	$27,362	$1,347	$1	$28,708
Municipalities	399	41	0	440
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	58	3	0	61
Public utilities	3,900	150	122	3,928
Sovereign and supranational	2,941	171	72	3,040
Banks/financial institutions	6,310	146	328	6,128
Other corporate	3,445	183	87	3,541
Total yen-denominated	44,415	2,041	610	45,846
Total securities held to maturity	$44,415	$2,041	$610	$45,846

(In millions)	2012 Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Securities available for sale, carried at fair value:				
Fixed maturities:				
Yen-denominated:				
Japan government and agencies	$12,612	$349	$81	$12,880
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	746	40	1	785
Public utilities	3,608	116	72	3,652
Sovereign and supranational	1,404	71	0	1,475
Banks/financial institutions	3,455	233	180	3,508
Other corporate	5,656	241	153	5,744
Total yen-denominated	27,481	1,050	487	28,044
Dollar-denominated:				
U.S. government and agencies	93	24	0	117
Municipalities	1,045	156	6	1,195
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	188	58	0	246
Public utilities	4,204	658	17	4,845
Sovereign and supranational	476	123	2	597
Banks/financial institutions	3,626	506	6	4,126
Other corporate	16,300	1,878	95	18,083
Total dollar-denominated	25,932	3,403	126	29,209
Total fixed maturities	53,413	4,453	613	57,253
Perpetual securities:				
Yen-denominated:				
Banks/financial institutions	3,635	193	161	3,667
Other corporate	309	43	0	352
Dollar-denominated:				
Banks/financial institutions	269	23	9	283
Total perpetual securities	4,213	259	170	4,302
Equity securities	20	4	1	23
Total securities available for sale	$57,646	$4,716	$784	$61,578

(In millions)	2012 Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Securities held to maturity, carried at amortized cost:				
Fixed maturities:				
Yen-denominated:				
Japan government and agencies	$32,043	$356	$67	$32,332
Municipalities	492	30	2	520
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	90	4	0	94
Public utilities	4,924	233	106	5,051
Sovereign and supranational	3,209	192	84	3,317
Banks/financial institutions	9,211	211	431	8,991
Other corporate	4,457	187	108	4,536
Total yen-denominated	54,426	1,213	798	54,841
Total securities held to maturity	$54,426	$1,213	$798	$54,841

The methods of determining the fair values of our investments in fixed-maturity securities, perpetual securities and equity securities, including a change in the valuation methodology for determining fair value of privately issued securities as of the first quarter of 2013, are described in Note 5.

During 2013, we reclassified two investments from the held-to-maturity portfolio to the available-for-sale portfolio as a result of the issuer being downgraded to below investment grade. At the time of the transfer, the securities had an aggregate amortized cost of $492 million and an aggregate unrealized loss of $153 million. During 2012, we reclassified seven investments from the held-to-maturity portfolio to the available-for-sale portfolio as a result of the issuers being downgraded to below investment grade. At the time of the transfer, the securities had an aggregate amortized cost of $1.2 billion and an aggregate unrealized loss of $290 million. During 2011, we reclassified 13 investments from the held-to-maturity portfolio to the available-for-sale portfolio as a result of the issuers being downgraded to below investment grade. At the time of the transfer, the securities had an aggregate amortized cost of $2.5 billion and an aggregate unrealized loss of $334 million.

Contractual and Economic Maturities

The contractual maturities of our investments in fixed maturities at December 31, 2013, were as follows:

(In millions)	Aflac Japan Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Aflac U.S. Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Available for sale:				
Due in one year or less	$646	$653	$50	$52
Due after one year through five years	1,820	1,960	474	552
Due after five years through 10 years	9,713	9,657	1,557	1,624
Due after 10 years	32,752	33,514	8,517	9,016
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	622	664	38	46
Total fixed maturities available for sale	$45,553	$46,448	$10,636	$11,290
Held to maturity:				
Due in one year or less	$4,547	$4,547	$0	$0
Due after one year through five years	1,473	1,598	0	0
Due after five years through 10 years	1,967	2,062	0	0
Due after 10 years	36,370	37,578	0	0
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	58	61	0	0

Total fixed maturities held to maturity	$44,415	$45,846	$0	$0

100

At December 31, 2013, the Parent Company had a portfolio of available-for-sale fixed-maturity securities totaling $322 million at amortized cost and $332 million at fair value, which is not included in the table above.

Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because some issuers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

The majority of our perpetual securities are subordinated to other debt obligations of the issuer, but rank higher than the issuer's equity securities. Perpetual securities have characteristics of both debt and equity investments, along with unique features that create economic maturity dates for the securities. Although perpetual securities have no contractual maturity date, they have stated interest coupons that were fixed at their issuance and subsequently change to a floating short-term interest rate of 125 to more than 300 basis points above an appropriate market index, generally by the 25th year after issuance, thereby creating an economic maturity date. The economic maturities of our investments in perpetual securities, which were all reported as available for sale at December 31, 2013, were as follows:

(In millions)	Aflac Japan Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Aflac U.S. Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	$142	$128	$0	$0
Due after one year through five years	855	829	5	5
Due after five years through 10 years	209	209	0	0
Due after 10 years	1,677	1,673	99	103
Total perpetual securities available for sale	$2,883	$2,839	$104	$108

Investment Concentrations

Our investment process begins with an independent approach to underwriting each issuer's fundamental credit quality. We evaluate independently those factors which we believe could influence an issuer's ability to make payments under the contractual terms of our instruments. This includes a thorough analysis of a variety of items including the issuer's country of domicile (including political, legal, and financial considerations); the industry in which the issuer competes (with an analysis of industry structure, end-market dynamics, and regulation); company specific issues (such as management, assets, earnings, cash generation, and capital needs); and contractual provisions of the instrument (such as financial covenants and position in the capital structure). We further evaluate the investment considering broad business and portfolio management objectives, including asset/liability needs, portfolio diversification, and expected income.

Investment exposures that individually exceeded 10% of shareholders' equity as of December 31 were as follows:

(In millions)	2013 Credit Rating	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	2012 Credit Rating	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Japan National Government[(1)]	AA	$41,924	$43,619	AA	$44,081	$44,580

[(1)]JGBs or JGB-backed securities

Banks and Financial Institutions

One of our largest investment sector concentrations as of December 31, 2013, was banks and financial institutions. Within the countries we approve for investment opportunities, we primarily invest in financial institutions that are strategically crucial to each approved country's economy. The bank and financial institution sector is a highly regulated industry and plays a strategic role in the global economy.

Our total investments in the bank and financial institution sector as of December 31, including those classified as perpetual securities, were as follows:

	2013 Total Investments in Banks and Financial Institutions Sector (in millions)	Percentage of Total Investment Portfolio		2012 Total Investments in Banks and Financial Institutions Sector (in millions)	Percentage of Total Investment Portfolio	
Fixed maturities:						
Amortized cost	$12,427	12	%	$16,292	14	%
Fair value	12,637	12		16,625	14	
Perpetual securities:						
Upper Tier II:						
Amortized cost	$1,920	2	%	$2,825	3	%
Fair value	1,913	2		2,919	3	
Tier I:						
Amortized cost	858	1		1,079	1	
Fair value	825	1		1,031	1	
Total:						
Amortized cost	$15,205	15	%	$20,196	18	%
Fair value	15,375	15		20,575	18	

Realized Investment Gains and Losses

Information regarding pretax realized gains and losses from investments for the years ended December 31 follows:

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Realized investment gains (losses) on securities:			
Fixed maturities:			
Available for sale:			
Gross gains from sales	$316	$427	$992
Gross losses from sales	(87)	(48)	(465)
Net gains (losses) from redemptions	34	2	69
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	(128)	(734)	(1,335)
Held to maturity:			
Net gains (losses) from redemptions	0	4	0
Total fixed maturities	135	(349)	(739)
Perpetual securities:			
Available for sale:			
Gross gains from sales	0	127	102
Gross losses from sales	(1)	(98)	(109)
Net gains (losses) from redemptions	0	60	0
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	(70)	(243)	(565)
Total perpetual securities	(71)	(154)	(572)
Equity securities:			
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	(1)	0	(1)
Total equity securities	(1)	0	(1)
Derivatives and other:			
Derivative gains (losses)	326	151	(257)
Other	10	3	17
Total derivatives and other	336	154	(240)
Total realized investment gains (losses)	$399	$(349)	$(1,552)

Other-than-temporary Impairment

The fair values of our debt and perpetual security investments fluctuate based on changes in interest rates, foreign exchange, and credit spreads in the global financial markets. Fair values can also be heavily influenced by the values of the assets of the issuer and expected ultimate recovery values upon a default, bankruptcy or other financial restructuring. Credit spreads are most impacted by the general credit environment and global market liquidity. Interest rates are driven by numerous factors including, but not limited to, supply and demand, governmental monetary actions, expectations of inflation and economic growth. We believe that fluctuations in the fair values of our investment securities related to general changes in the level of credit spreads or interest rates have little bearing on underlying credit quality of the issuer, and whether our investment is ultimately recoverable. Generally, we consider such declines in fair values to be temporary even in situations where an investment remains in an unrealized loss position for a year or more.

However, in the course of our credit review process, we may determine that it is unlikely that we will recover our investment in an issuer due to factors specific to an individual issuer, as opposed to general changes in global credit spreads or interest rates. In this event, we consider such a decline in the investment's fair value, to the extent it is below the investment's cost or amortized cost, to be an other-than-temporary impairment of the investment and reduce the book value of the investment to its fair value.

In addition to the usual investment risk associated with a debt instrument, our perpetual security holdings are largely issued by banks that are integral to the financial markets of the sovereign country of the issuer. As a result of the issuer's position within the economy of the sovereign country, our perpetual securities may be subject to a higher risk of nationalization of their issuers in connection with capital injections from an issuer's sovereign government. We

cannot be assured that such capital support will extend to all levels of an issuer's capital structure. In addition, certain governments or regulators may consider imposing interest and principal payment restrictions on issuers of hybrid securities to preserve cash and preserve the issuer's capital. Beyond the cash flow impact that additional deferrals would have on our portfolio, such deferrals could result in ratings downgrades of the affected securities, which in turn could result in a reduction of fair

---

value of the securities and increase our regulatory capital requirements. We consider these factors in our credit review process.

When determining our intention to sell a security prior to recovery of its fair value to amortized cost, we evaluate facts and circumstances such as, but not limited to, future cash flow needs, decisions to reposition our security portfolio, and risk profile of individual investment holdings. We perform ongoing analyses of our liquidity needs, which includes cash flow testing of our policy liabilities, debt maturities, projected dividend payments and other cash flow and liquidity needs. Our cash flow testing includes extensive duration analysis of our investment portfolio and policy liabilities. Based on our analyses, we have concluded that we have sufficient excess cash flows to meet our liquidity needs without selling any of our investments prior to their maturity.

The following table details our pretax other-than-temporary impairment losses by investment category that resulted from our impairment evaluation process for the years ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Perpetual securities	$70	$243	$565
Corporate bonds	102	345	1,316
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	0	3	17
Municipalities	0	0	2
Sovereign and supranational	26	386	0
Equity securities	1	0	1
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses realized [1]	$199	$977	$1,901

[1] Includes $45, $597 and $1,286 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for credit-related impairments;
$26 and $27 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, for impairments due to severity and duration of decline
in fair value; and $128, $353 and $615 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, from change in intent to
sell securities

Unrealized Investment Gains and Losses

Information regarding changes in unrealized gains and losses from investments for the years ended December 31 follows:

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Changes in unrealized gains (losses):			
Fixed maturities:			
Available for sale	$(2,281)	$1,624	$1,963
Transferred to held to maturity	(9)	(14)	(101)
Perpetual securities:			
Available for sale	(129)	547	(143)
Equity securities	1	0	2
Total change in unrealized gains (losses)	$(2,418)	$2,157	$1,721

Effect on Shareholders' Equity

The net effect on shareholders' equity of unrealized gains and losses from investment securities at December 31 was as follows:

(In millions)	2013	2012
Unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale	$1,523	$3,932

Unamortized unrealized gains on securities transferred to held to maturity	11	20
Deferred income taxes	(499)	(1,382)
Shareholders' equity, unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities	$1,035	$2,570

104

Gross Unrealized Loss Aging

The following tables show the fair values and gross unrealized losses of our available-for-sale and held-to-maturity investments that were in an unrealized loss position, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31.

(In millions)	2013 Total Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Less than 12 months Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	12 months or longer Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Fixed Maturities:						
Japan government and agencies:						
Yen-denominated	$8,869	$34	$8,869	$34	$0	$0
Municipalities:						
Dollar-denominated	177	12	145	8	32	4
Public utilities:						
Dollar-denominated	2,023	183	1,740	143	283	40
Yen-denominated	2,519	140	1,816	54	703	86
Sovereign and supranational:						
Dollar-denominated	12	1	12	1	0	0
Yen-denominated	1,152	100	791	34	361	66
Banks/financial institutions:						
Dollar-denominated	547	33	454	23	93	10
Yen-denominated	4,533	570	2,322	107	2,211	463
Other corporate:						
Dollar-denominated	11,588	1,170	8,504	733	3,084	437
Yen-denominated	3,372	272	2,296	152	1,076	120
U.S. government and agencies:						
Dollar-denominated	36	4	36	4	0	0
Total fixed maturities	34,828	2,519	26,985	1,293	7,843	1,226
Perpetual securities:						
Dollar-denominated	59	9	52	8	7	1
Yen-denominated	1,322	217	748	74	574	143
Total perpetual securities	1,381	226	800	82	581	144
Equity securities	5	1	5	1	0	0
Total	$36,214	$2,746	$27,790	$1,376	$8,424	$1,370

(In millions)	2012 Total Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Less than 12 months Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	12 months or longer Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Fixed Maturities:						
Japan government and agencies:						
Yen-denominated	$17,342	$148	$17,342	$148	$0	$0
Municipalities:						
Dollar-denominated	34	6	1	0	33	6
Yen-denominated	56	2	56	2	0	0
Mortgage- and asset- backed securities:						
Yen-denominated	136	1	0	0	136	1
Public utilities:						
Dollar-denominated	736	17	736	17	0	0
Yen-denominated	3,920	178	1,339	31	2,581	147
Sovereign and supranational:						
Dollar-denominated	31	2	0	0	31	2
Yen-denominated	1,244	84	507	13	737	71
Banks/financial institutions:						
Dollar-denominated	276	6	180	3	96	3
Yen-denominated	6,918	611	1,935	28	4,983	583
Other corporate:						
Dollar-denominated	4,534	95	4,404	86	130	9
Yen-denominated	4,013	261	1,635	40	2,378	221
Total fixed maturities	39,240	1,411	28,135	368	11,105	1,043
Perpetual securities:						
Dollar-denominated	136	9	120	0	16	9
Yen-denominated	1,315	161	0	0	1,315	161
Total perpetual securities	1,451	170	120	0	1,331	170
Equity securities	6	1	3	0	3	1
Total	$40,697	$1,582	$28,258	$368	$12,439	$1,214

Analysis of Securities in Unrealized Loss Positions

The unrealized losses on our investments have been primarily related to general market changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and/or the levels of credit spreads rather than specific concerns with the issuer's ability to pay interest and repay principal. In addition, in the first quarter of 2013, we refined our methodology for valuing certain privately issued securities (see Note 5).

For any significant declines in fair value, we perform a more focused review of the related issuers' credit profile. For corporate issuers, we evaluate their assets, business profile including industry dynamics and competitive positioning, financial statements and other available financial data. For non-corporate issuers, we analyze all sources of credit support, including issuer-specific factors. We utilize information available in the public domain and, for certain private placement issuers, from consultations with the issuers directly. We also consider ratings from Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organizations (NRSROs), as well as the specific characteristics of the security we own including seniority in the issuer's capital structure, covenant predictions, or other relevant features. From these reviews, we evaluate the issuers' continued ability to service our investment through payment of interest and principal.

The following table provides more information on our unrealized loss position as of December 31.

	2013			2012		
(In millions)	Percentage of Total Investments in an Unrealized Loss Position	Percentage of Gross Unrealized Losses	Percentage of Gross Unrealized Losses for Investment Grade Securities	Percentage of Total Investments in an Unrealized Loss Position	Percentage of Gross Unrealized Losses	Percentage of Gross Unrealized Losses for Investment Grade Securities
Fixed Maturities:						
Japan government and agencies	25 %	1 %	100 %	43 %	9 %	100 %
Public utilities	13	12	98	11	12	69
Sovereign and supranational	3	4	100	3	6	96
Banks/financial institutions	14	22	64	18	39	76
Other corporate	41	53	91	21	23	72
Total fixed maturities	96 %	92 %		96 %	89 %	
Perpetual securities	4	8	90	4	11	100
Total	100 %	100 %		100 %	100 %	

The decline in the percentage of banks and financial securities in an unrealized loss position that are investment grade is due primarily to a downgrade of a yen-denominated security. The decline in the percentage of perpetual securities in an unrealized loss position that are investment grade is due primarily to a refinement in our methodology for valuing privately issued securities, including perpetual securities, that was implemented in the first quarter of 2013 and was not indicative of credit-related changes or downgrades. The refinement, as discussed further in Note 5, resulted in lower valuations for some of our perpetual investments.

Assuming no credit-related factors, as investments near maturity, the unrealized gains and losses can be expected to diminish. Based on our credit analysis, we believe that the issuers of our investments in the sectors shown in the table above have the ability to service their obligations to us.

Perpetual Securities

The majority of our investments in Upper Tier II and Tier I perpetual securities are in highly-rated global financial institutions. Upper Tier II securities have more debt-like characteristics than Tier I securities and are senior to Tier I securities, preferred stock, and common equity of the issuer. Conversely, Tier I securities have more equity-like characteristics, but are senior to the common equity of the issuer, and they may also be senior to certain preferred shares; depending on the individual security; the issuer's capital structure and the regulatory jurisdiction of the issuer.

Details of our holdings of perpetual securities as of December 31 were as follows:

Perpetual Securities

(In millions)	Credit Rating	2013 Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Gain (Loss)	2012 Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Unrealized Gain (Loss)
Upper Tier II:							
	A	$145	$183	$38	$460	$488	$28
	BBB	1,563	1,532	(31)	2,077	2,129	52
	BB or lower	212	198	(14)	288	302	14
Total Upper Tier II		1,920	1,913	(7)	2,825	2,919	94
Tier I:							
	BBB	746	706	(40)	966	904	(62)
	BB or lower	112	119	7	113	127	14
Total Tier I		858	825	(33)	1,079	1,031	(48)
Other subordinated - non-banks:							
	BBB	0	0	0	309	352	43
	BB or lower	209	209	0	0	0	0
Total other subordinated - non-banks		209	209	0	309	352	43
Total		$2,987	$2,947	$(40)	$4,213	$4,302	$89

During 2013, our aggregate holdings in perpetual securities moved from a unrealized gain of $89 million to an unrealized loss of $40 million. This change is primarily due to a refinement in our methodology for valuing privately issued securities, including perpetual securities, that was implemented in the first quarter of 2013 (see Note 5).

Assuming no credit-related factors develop, as investments near maturity, the unrealized gains or losses can be expected to diminish. Based on our credit analysis, we believe that the issuers of our investments in these sectors have the ability to service their obligations to us.

Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)

As a condition to our involvement or investment in a VIE, we enter into certain protective rights and covenants that preclude changes in the structure of the VIE that would alter the creditworthiness of our investment or our beneficial interest in the VIE.

Our involvement with all of the VIEs in which we have an interest is passive in nature, and we are not the arranger of these entities. We have not been involved in establishing these entities, except as it relates to our review and evaluation of the structure of these VIEs in the normal course of our investment decision-making process. Further, we are not, nor have we been, required to purchase any securities issued in the future by these VIEs.

Our ownership interest in the VIEs is limited to holding the obligations issued by them. All of the VIEs in which we invest are static with respect to funding and have no ongoing forms of funding after the initial funding date. We have no direct or contingent obligations to fund the limited activities of these VIEs, nor do we have any direct or indirect financial guarantees related to the limited activities of these VIEs. We have not provided any assistance or any other type of financing support to any of the VIEs we invest in, nor do we have any intention to do so in the future. The weighted-average lives of our notes are very similar to the underlying collateral held by these VIEs where applicable.

Our risk of loss related to our interests in any of our VIEs is limited to our investment in the debt securities issued by them.

VIEs - Consolidated

The following table presents the amortized cost, fair value and balance sheet caption in which the assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs are reported as of December 31.

108

Investments in Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

(In millions)	2013 Amortized Cost	Fair Value	2012 Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Assets:				
Fixed maturities, available for sale	$4,109	$4,843	$5,058	$5,787
Perpetual securities, available for sale	463	468	559	574
Fixed maturities, held to maturity	237	236	289	287
Other assets	106	106	191	191
Total assets of consolidated VIEs	$4,915	$5,653	$6,097	$6,839
Liabilities:				
Other liabilities	$207	$207	$399	$399
Total liabilities of consolidated VIEs	$207	$207	$399	$399

We are substantively the only investor in the consolidated VIEs listed in the table above. As the sole investor in these VIEs, we have the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and are therefore considered to be the primary beneficiary of the VIEs that we consolidate. We also participate in substantially all of the variability created by these VIEs. The activities of these VIEs are limited to holding debt and perpetual securities and interest rate, foreign currency, and/or CDSs, as appropriate, and utilizing the cash flows from these securities to service our investment. Neither we nor any of our creditors are able to obtain the underlying collateral of the VIEs unless there is an event of default or other specified event. For those VIEs that contain a swap, we are not a direct counterparty to the swap contracts and have no control over them. Our loss exposure to these VIEs is limited to our original investment. Our consolidated VIEs do not rely on outside or ongoing sources of funding to support their activities beyond the underlying collateral and swap contracts, if applicable. With the exception of our investment in senior secured bank loans through unit trust structures, the underlying collateral assets and funding of our consolidated VIEs are generally static in nature and the underlying collateral and the reference corporate entities covered by any CDS contracts were all investment grade at the time of issuance.

We are exposed to credit losses within any consolidated CDOs that could result in principal losses to our investments. We have mitigated our risk of credit loss through the structure of the VIE, which contractually requires the subordinated tranches within these VIEs to absorb the majority of the expected losses from the underlying credit default swaps. We currently own only senior mezzanine CDO tranches. Based on our statistical analysis models and the current subordination levels in our CDOs, each of these VIEs can sustain a reasonable number of defaults in the underlying reference entities in the CDSs with no loss to our investment.

VIEs - Not Consolidated

The table below reflects the amortized cost, fair value and balance sheet caption in which our investment in VIEs not consolidated are reported as of December 31.

Investments in Variable Interest Entities Not Consolidated

(In millions)	2013 Amortized Cost	Fair Value	2012 Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Assets:				
Fixed maturities, available for sale	$6,724	$6,916	$7,738	$8,350
Perpetual securities, available for sale	370	378	736	751
Fixed maturities, held to maturity	2,949	3,039	3,829	3,922
Total investments in VIEs not consolidated	$10,043	$10,333	$12,303	$13,023

The VIEs that we are not required to consolidate are investments that are in the form of debt obligations from the VIEs that are irrevocably and unconditionally guaranteed by their corporate parents or sponsors. These VIEs are the primary financing vehicles used by their corporate sponsors to raise financing in the international capital markets. The variable interests created by these VIEs are principally or solely a result of the debt instruments issued by them. We do not have

109

---

the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, nor do we have (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. As such, we are not the primary beneficiary of these VIEs and are therefore not required to consolidate them. These VIE investments comprise securities from 173 separate issuers with an average credit rating of BBB.

Securities Lending and Pledged Securities

We lend fixed-maturity securities to financial institutions in short-term security-lending transactions. These short-term security-lending arrangements increase investment income with minimal risk. Our security lending policy requires that the fair value of the securities and/or unrestricted cash received as collateral be 102% or more of the fair value of the loaned securities. The following table presents our security loans outstanding and the corresponding collateral held as of December 31:

(In millions)	2013	2012
Security loans outstanding, fair value	$5,656	$6,122
Cash collateral on loaned securities	5,820	6,277

At December 31, 2013, debt securities with a fair value of $15 million were on deposit with regulatory authorities in the United States and Japan. We retain ownership of all securities on deposit and receive the related investment income.

For general information regarding our investment accounting policies, see Note 1.

4. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

Our freestanding derivative financial instruments consist of: (1) foreign currency swaps, credit default swaps, and interest rate swaps that are associated with investments in special-purpose entities, including VIEs where we are the primary beneficiary; (2) foreign currency forward contracts used in hedging foreign exchange risk on U.S. dollar-denominated securities in Aflac Japan's portfolio; (3) foreign currency forwards and options used to hedge certain portions of forecasted cash flows denominated in yen; (4) swaps associated with our notes payable, consisting of an interest rate swap for our variable interest rate yen-denominated debt and cross-currency interest rate swaps, also referred to as foreign currency swaps, associated with certain senior notes and our subordinated debentures; and (5) options on interest rate swaps (or interest rate swaptions) used to hedge interest rate risk for certain U.S. dollar-denominated available-for-sale securities. We do not use derivative financial instruments for trading purposes, nor do we engage in leveraged derivative transactions. Some of our derivatives are designated as cash flow hedges, fair value hedges or net investment hedges; however, other derivatives do not qualify for hedge accounting. We utilize a net investment hedge to mitigate foreign exchange exposure resulting from our net investment in Aflac Japan. In addition to designating derivatives as hedging instruments, we have designated the majority of our yen-denominated Samurai and Uridashi notes and yen-denominated loans as nonderivative hedging instruments for this net investment hedge.

Derivative Types

We enter into foreign currency swaps pursuant to which we exchange an initial principal amount in one currency for an initial principal amount of another currency, with an agreement to re-exchange the currencies at a future date at an agreed upon exchange rate. There may also be periodic exchanges of payments at specified intervals based on the agreed upon rates and notional amounts. Foreign currency swaps are used primarily in the consolidated VIEs in our Aflac Japan portfolio to convert foreign-denominated cash flows to yen, the functional currency of Aflac Japan, in order to minimize cash flow fluctuations. We also use foreign currency swaps to economically convert certain of our dollar-denominated senior note and subordinated debenture principal and interest obligations into yen-denominated obligations.

Foreign currency forwards with short-term maturities are executed for the Aflac Japan segment in order to hedge the currency risk on the fair value of certain fixed-maturity dollar-denominated securities. In these transactions, Aflac Japan agrees with another party to buy a fixed amount of yen and sell a corresponding amount of U.S. dollars at a specified future date. The foreign currency forwards are used in fair value hedging relationships to mitigate the foreign exchange risk associated with dollar-denominated investments supporting yen-denominated liabilities. Aflac also utilizes foreign currency forwards to hedge the currency risk associated with the net investment in Aflac Japan. In these transactions, Aflac agrees with another party to buy a fixed amount of U.S. dollars and sell a corresponding amount of yen at a specified future date.

Foreign currency options are executed in order to hedge certain portions of forecasted cash flows that are denominated in yen, i.e. primarily profit repatriation from Aflac Japan. We use a combination of options to protect expected future cash flows by simultaneously purchasing call options (options that limit exposure to increasing foreign exchange rates) and selling put options (options that limit exposure to decreasing foreign exchange rates). The combination of these two actions results in no net premium being paid (i.e. a costless or zero-cost collar). Aflac also enters into foreign currency options that give it the right, but not the obligation, to sell yen and buy U.S. dollars at specified future dates at contracted prices.

Our CDSs are used to assume credit risk related to an individual security or an index. The only CDS derivatives that we have entered into relate to components of certain of our investments in VIEs. These CDS contracts entitle the consolidated VIE to receive periodic fees in exchange for an obligation to compensate the derivative counterparties should the reference security issuers experience a credit event, as defined in the contract.

Interest rate swaps involve the periodic exchange of cash flows with other parties, at specified intervals, calculated using agreed upon rates or other financial variables and notional principal amounts. Typically, at the time a swap is entered into, the cash flow streams exchanged by the counterparties are equal in value. No cash or principal payments are exchanged at the inception of the contract. Interest rate swaps are primarily used to convert interest receipts on floating-rate fixed-maturity securities contracts to fixed rates. These derivatives are predominantly used to better match cash receipts from assets with cash disbursements required to fund liabilities.

Interest rate swaptions are options on interest rate swaps. Interest rate collars are combinations of two swaption positions and are executed in order to hedge certain dollar-denominated available-for-sale securities that are held in the Aflac Japan segment. We use collars to protect against significant changes in the fair value associated with interest rate changes of our dollar-denominated available-for-sale securities. In order to maximize the efficiency of the collars while minimizing cost, we set the strike price on each collar so that the premium paid for the ‘payer leg’ is offset by the premium received for having sold the ‘receiver leg’.

Credit Risk Assumed through Derivatives

For the interest rate, foreign currency, and credit default swaps associated with our VIE investments for which we are the primary beneficiary, we bear the risk of foreign exchange or interest rate loss due to counterparty default even though we are not a direct counterparty to those contracts. We are a direct counterparty to the interest rate and foreign currency swaps that we have on certain of our senior notes, subordinated debentures, and Samurai notes; foreign currency forwards; foreign currency options; and interest rate swaptions, therefore we are exposed to credit risk in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties in those contracts. The risk of counterparty default for our VIE swaps, foreign currency swaps, certain foreign currency forwards, foreign currency options, and interest rate swaptions is mitigated by collateral posting requirements the counterparty must meet. As of December 31, 2013, there were 11 counterparties to our derivative agreements, with five comprising 86% of the aggregate notional amount. The counterparties to these derivatives are financial institutions with the following credit ratings as of December 31:

	2013			2012		
(In millions)	Notional Amount of Derivatives	Asset Derivatives Fair Value	Liability Derivatives Fair Value	Notional Amount of Derivatives	Asset Derivatives Fair Value	Liability Derivatives Fair Value
Counterparties' credit rating:						
AA	$161	$1	$(7)	$161	$6	$(7)
A	22,314	487	(830)	13,209	339	(927)
Total	$22,475	$488	$(837)	$13,370	$345	$(934)

We engage in derivative transactions directly with unaffiliated third parties under International Swaps and Derivative Association, Inc. (ISDA) agreements and other documentation. Most of the ISDA agreements also include Credit Support Annex (CSA) provisions, which generally provide for two-way collateral postings, in certain cases at the first dollar of exposure and in other cases once various rating and exposure threshold levels are triggered. We mitigate the risk that counterparties to transactions might be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations by monitoring counterparty credit exposure and collateral value while generally requiring that collateral be posted at the outset of the transaction or that additional collateral be posted upon the occurrence of certain events or circumstances. In addition, a significant portion of the derivative transactions have provisions that require collateral to be posted upon a downgrade of our long-term debt ratings or give the counterparty the right to terminate the transaction upon a downgrade of Aflac's financial strength rating. The actual amount of collateral required

---

to be posted to counterparties in the event of such downgrades, or the aggregate amount of payments that we could be required to make, depends on market conditions, the fair value of outstanding affected transactions, and other factors prevailing at and after the time of the downgrade.

Collateral posted by us to third parties for derivative transactions was $8 million at December 31, 2013, which consisted of $7 million of pledged JGBs and $1 million of cash. There was no collateral posted to third parties for derivative transactions at December 31, 2012. This collateral can generally be repledged or resold by the counterparties. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a net liability position by counterparty was $18 million as of December 31, 2013. There were no derivative instruments with credit-risk related contingent features in a net liability position by counterparty as of December 31, 2012. If the credit-risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements had been triggered on December 31, 2013, we estimate that we would be required to post a maximum of $10 million of additional collateral to these derivative counterparties. Collateral obtained by us from third parties for derivative transactions was $295 million at December 31, 2013. There was no collateral obtained from third parties at December 31, 2012. We generally can repledge or resell collateral obtained by us, although we do not typically exercise such rights.

Certain of our consolidated VIEs have credit default swap contracts that require them to assume credit risk from an asset pool. Those consolidated VIEs will receive periodic payments based on an agreed upon rate and notional amount and will only make a payment by delivery of associated collateral, which consists of highly rated asset-backed securities, if there is a credit event. A credit event payment will typically be equal to the notional value of the swap contract less the value of the referenced obligations. A credit event is generally defined as a default on contractually obligated interest or principal payments or bankruptcy of the referenced entity. The diversified portfolios of corporate issuers are established within sector concentration limits.

The following tables present the maximum potential risk, fair value, weighted-average years to maturity, and underlying referenced credit obligation type for credit default swaps within consolidated VIE structures as of December 31.

2013

(In millions)	Credit Rating	Less than one year		One to three years		Three to five years		Five to ten years		Total	
		Maximum potential risk	Estimated fair value	Maximum potential risk	Estimated fair value	Maximum potential risk	Estimated fair value	Maximum potential risk	Estimated fair value	Maximum potential risk	Estimated fair value
Index exposure:											
Corporate bonds:											
	A	$0	$ 0	$(112)	$ 1	$0	$ 0	$0	$ 0	$(112)	$ 1
	BBB	0	0	0	0	0	0	(95)	(4)	(95)	(4)
Total		$0	$ 0	$(112)	$ 1	$0	$ 0	$(95)	$ (4)	$(207)	$ (3)

2012

(In millions)	Credit Rating	Less than one year		One to three years		Three to five years		Five to ten years		Total	
		Maximum potential risk	Estimated fair value	Maximum potential risk	Estimated fair value	Maximum potential risk	Estimated fair value	Maximum potential risk	Estimated fair value	Maximum potential risk	Estimated fair value
Index exposure:											
Corporate bonds:											
	A	$0	$ 0	$(133)	$ 2	$0	$ 0	$0	$ 0	$(133)	$ 2

BB or lower	0	0	0	0	(106)	(47)	(116)	(20)	(222)	(67)
Total	$0	$ 0	$(133)	$ 2	$(106)	$ (47)	$(116)	$ (20)	$(355)	$ (65)

Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments

Freestanding derivatives are carried in our consolidated balance sheets either as assets within other assets or as liabilities within other liabilities at estimated fair value. See Note 5 for a discussion on how we determine the fair value of our derivatives. Accruals on derivatives are recorded in accrued investment income or within other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

If a derivative is not designated as an accounting hedge or its use in managing risk does not qualify for hedge accounting, changes in the estimated fair value of the derivative are generally reported within derivative and other gains

112

(losses), which is a component of realized investment gains (losses). The fluctuations in estimated fair value of derivatives that have not been designated for hedge accounting can result in volatility in net earnings.

Hedge Documentation and Effectiveness Testing

To qualify for hedge accounting treatment, a derivative must be highly effective in mitigating the designated risk of the hedged item. At the inception of the hedging relationship, we formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as our risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking each hedge transaction. We document the designation of each hedge as either (i) a hedge of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability or the hedge of a forecasted transaction ("cash flow hedge"); (ii) a hedge of the estimated fair value of a recognized asset or liability ("fair value hedge"); or (iii) a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation. The documentation process includes linking derivatives and nonderivatives that are designated as hedges to specific assets or groups of assets or liabilities on the statement of financial position or to specific forecasted transactions and defining the effectiveness and ineffectiveness testing methods to be used. At the hedge's inception and on an ongoing quarterly basis, we also formally assess whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions have been, and are expected to continue to be, highly effective in offsetting their designated risk. Hedge effectiveness is assessed using qualitative and quantitative methods.

For assessing hedge effectiveness of cash flow hedges, qualitative methods may include the comparison of critical terms of the derivative to the hedged item, and quantitative methods include regression or other statistical analysis of changes in cash flows associated with the hedge relationship. Hedge ineffectiveness of the hedge relationships is measured each reporting period using the “Hypothetical Derivative Method.” For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss) and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on the derivative representing hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in current earnings within derivative and other gains (losses). All components of each derivative's gain or loss are included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

For assessing hedge effectiveness of fair value hedges, qualitative methods may include the comparison of critical terms of the derivative to the hedged item, and quantitative methods include regression or other statistical analysis of changes in cash flows associated with the hedge relationship. Hedge ineffectiveness of the hedge relationships is measured each reporting period using the dollar offset method. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, changes in the estimated fair value of the derivative, including amounts measured as ineffectiveness, and changes in the estimated fair value of the hedged item related to the designated risk being hedged, are reported in current earnings within derivative and other gains (losses).

For the hedge of our net investment in Aflac Japan, we have designated Parent Company yen-denominated liabilities as non-derivative hedging instruments and have designated certain foreign currency forwards and options as derivative hedging instruments. We make our net investment hedge designation at the beginning of each quarter. For assessing hedge effectiveness of net investment hedges, if the total of the designated Parent Company non-derivative and derivatives notional is equal to or less than our net investment in Aflac Japan, the hedge is deemed to be effective. If the hedge is effective, the related exchange effect on the yen-denominated liabilities is reported in the unrealized foreign currency component of other comprehensive income. For derivatives designated as net investment hedges, Aflac follows the forward-rate method. According to that method, all changes in fair value, including changes related to the forward-rate component of foreign currency forward contracts and the time value of foreign currency options, are reported in the unrealized foreign currency component of other comprehensive income. Should these designated net investment hedge positions exceed our net investment in Aflac Japan, the foreign exchange effect on the portion that exceeds our investment in Aflac Japan would be recognized in current earnings within derivative and other gains (losses).

Discontinuance of Hedge Accounting

We discontinue hedge accounting prospectively when (1) it is determined that the derivative is no longer highly effective in offsetting changes in the estimated cash flows or fair value of a hedged item; (2) the derivative is de-designated as a hedging instrument; or (3) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised.

When hedge accounting is discontinued on a cash flow hedge or fair value hedge, the derivative is carried in the consolidated balance sheets at its estimated fair value, with changes in estimated fair value recognized in current

period earnings. For discontinued cash flow hedges, including those where the derivative is sold, terminated or exercised, amounts previously deferred in other comprehensive income (loss) are reclassified into earnings when earnings are impacted by the cash flow of the hedged item.

Derivative Balance Sheet Classification

The tables below summarize the balance sheet classification of our derivative fair value amounts, as well as the gross asset and liability fair value amounts, at December 31. The fair value amounts presented do not include income accruals. The notional amount of derivative contracts represents the basis upon which pay or receive amounts are calculated. Notional amounts are not reflective of credit risk.

	2013			
(In millions)	Net Derivatives		Asset Derivatives	Liability Derivatives
Hedge Designation/ Derivative Type	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value
Cash flow hedges:				
Foreign currency swaps	$75	$3	$3	$0
Interest rate swaps	52	0	0	0
Total cash flow hedges	127	3	3	0
Fair value hedges:				
Foreign currency forwards	11,249	(582)	0	(582)
Interest rate swaptions	4,500	(12)	20	(32)
Total fair value hedges	15,749	(594)	20	(614)
Net investment hedge:				
Foreign currency forwards	356	17	17	0
Foreign currency options	95	3	4	(1)
Total net investment hedge	451	20	21	(1)
Non-qualifying strategies:				
Foreign currency swaps	5,829	224	442	(218)
Credit default swaps	207	(3)	1	(4)
Interest rate swaps	112	1	1	0
Total non-qualifying strategies	6,148	222	444	(222)
Total derivatives	$22,475	$(349)	$488	$(837)
Balance Sheet Location				
Other assets	$5,308	$488	$488	$0
Other liabilities	17,167	(837)	0	(837)
Total derivatives	$22,475	$(349)	$488	$(837)

114

(In millions)	2012 Net Derivatives		Asset Derivatives	Liability Derivatives
Hedge Designation/ Derivative Type	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value
Cash flow hedges:				
Foreign currency swaps	$75	$14	$14	$0
Interest rate swaps	64	0	0	0
Total cash flow hedges	139	14	14	0
Fair value hedges:				
Foreign currency forwards	6,944	(535)	0	(535)
Total fair value hedges	6,944	(535)	0	(535)
Non-qualifying strategies:				
Foreign currency swaps	5,577	(32)	297	(329)
Credit default swaps	355	(65)	2	(67)
Interest rate swaps	355	29	32	(3)
Total non-qualifying strategies	6,287	(68)	331	(399)
Total derivatives	$13,370	$(589)	$345	$(934)
Balance Sheet Location				
Other assets	$2,585	$345	$345	$0
Other liabilities	10,785	(934)	0	(934)
Total derivatives	$13,370	$(589)	$345	$(934)

Cash Flow Hedges

Certain of our consolidated VIEs have foreign currency swaps that qualify for hedge accounting treatment. For those that have qualified, we have designated the derivative as a hedge of the variability in cash flows of a forecasted transaction or of amounts to be received or paid related to a recognized asset ("cash flow" hedge). We expect to continue this hedging activity for a weighted-average period of approximately 12 years. The remaining derivatives in our consolidated VIEs that have not qualified for hedge accounting have been designated as held for other investment purposes ("non-qualifying strategies").

We have an interest rate swap agreement related to 5.5 billion yen variable interest rate Samurai notes that we issued in July 2011 (see Note 9). By entering into this contract, we swapped the variable interest rate to a fixed interest rate of 1.475%. We have designated this interest rate swap as a hedge of the variability in our interest cash flows associated with the variable interest rate Samurai notes. The notional amount and terms of the swap match the principal amount and terms of the variable interest rate Samurai notes, and the swap had no value at inception. Changes in the fair value of the swap contract are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) as long as the hedge is deemed effective. Should any portion of the hedge be deemed ineffective, that ineffective portion would be reported in net earnings.

Fair Value Hedges

We designate and account for certain foreign currency forwards as fair value hedges when they meet the requirements for hedge accounting. These foreign currency forwards hedge the foreign currency exposure of certain dollar-denominated fixed maturity securities within the investment portfolio of our Aflac Japan segment. We recognize gains and losses on these derivatives and the related hedged items in current earnings within derivative and other gains (losses). The change in the fair value of the foreign currency forwards related to the changes in the difference between the spot rate and the forward price is excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness.

We designate and account for interest rate swaptions as fair value hedges when they meet the requirements for hedge accounting. These interest rate swaptions hedge the interest rate exposure of certain dollar-denominated fixed maturity securities within the investment portfolio of our Aflac Japan segment. We recognize gains and losses on these derivatives and the related hedged items in current earnings within derivative and other gains (losses). The change in the fair value of the interest rate swaptions related to time to expiry is excluded from the assessment of hedge

effectiveness.

The following table presents the gains and losses on derivatives and the related hedged items in fair value hedges for the year ended December 31.
Fair Value Hedging Relationships

(In millions)		Hedging Derivatives			Hedged Items	
Hedging Derivatives	Hedged Items	Total Gains (Losses)	Gains (Losses) Excluded from Effectiveness Testing	Gains (Losses) Included in Effectiveness Testing	Gains (Losses)	Ineffectiveness Recognized for Fair Value Hedge
2013:						
Foreign currency forwards	Fixed-maturity securities	$(1,735)	$(25)	$(1,710)	$1,700	$(10)
Interest rate swaptions	Fixed-maturity securities	17	17	0	0	0
2012:						
Foreign currency forwards	Fixed-maturity securities	$(535)	$(8)	$(527)	$528	$1

Net Investment Hedge

Our primary exposure to be hedged is our net investment in Aflac Japan, which is affected by changes in the yen/dollar exchange rate. To mitigate this exposure, we have designated a majority of the Parent Company's yen-denominated liabilities (Samurai and Uridashi notes and yen-denominated loans - see Note 9) as nonderivative hedges and designated foreign currency forwards and options, as described below, as derivative hedges of the foreign currency exposure of our net investment in Aflac Japan.

We had foreign exchange forwards and options to economically hedge foreign exchange risk on 65 billion yen of the 2013 repatriation received from Aflac Japan in July 2013. As of December 31, 2013, we had foreign exchange forwards and options as part of an economic hedge on 47.5 billion yen of the profit repatriation expected to be received in July 2014. In January 2014, we restructured this hedge with a new 52.5 billion yen foreign exchange forward contract.

Our net investment hedge was effective for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.
Non-qualifying Strategies
For our derivative instruments in consolidated VIEs that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment, all changes in their fair value are reported in current period earnings within derivative and other gains (losses). The amount of gain or loss recognized in earnings for our VIEs is attributable to the derivatives in those investment structures. While the change in value of the swaps is recorded through current period earnings, the change in value of the available-for-sale fixed income or perpetual securities associated with these swaps is recorded through other comprehensive income.
We have cross-currency interest rate swap agreements related to our $700 million senior notes due June 2023, $400 million senior notes due February 2017, $350 million senior notes due February 2022, and $500 million subordinated debentures due September 2052. Changes in the values of these swaps are recorded through current period earnings. For additional information regarding these swaps, see Note 9.
Impact of Derivatives and Hedging Instruments

The following table summarizes the impact to realized investment gains (losses) and other comprehensive income (loss) from all derivatives and hedging instruments for the years ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013 Realized Investment Gains (Losses)	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)(1)	2012 Realized Investment Gains (Losses)	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)(1)	2011 Realized Investment Gains (Losses)	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)(1)
Qualifying hedges:						
Cash flow hedges:						
Foreign currency swaps	$(2)	$(10)	$(3)	$(22)	$0	$(35)
Interest rate swaps	0	0	0	0	0	2
Total cash flow hedges	(2)	(10)	(3)	(22)	0	(33)
Fair value hedges:						
Foreign currency forwards(2)	(35)	0	(7)	0	0	0
Interest rate swaptions	17	0	0	0	0	0
Total fair value hedges	(18)	0	(7)	0	0	0
Net investment hedge:						
Non-derivative hedging instruments	0	155	0	96	0	(54)
Foreign currency swaps	0	(104)	0	0	0	0
Foreign currency forwards	0	24	0	0	0	0
Foreign currency options	0	4	0	0	0	0
Total net investment hedge	0	79	0	96	0	(54)
Non-qualifying strategies:						
Foreign currency swaps	346	0	111	0	(160)	0
Foreign currency options	11	0	0	0	0	0

Credit default swaps	31	0	64	0	(64)	0
Interest rate swaps	(8)	0	(14)	0	(33)	0
Interest rate swaptions	(29)	0	0	0	0	0
Other	(5)	0	0	0	0	0
Total non-qualifying strategies	346	0	161	0	(257)	0
Total	$326	$69	$151	$74	$(257)	$(87)

(1) Cash flow hedge items are recorded as unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives and net investment hedge items are recorded in the unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) line in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income (loss).
(2) Impact shown net of effect of hedged items (see Fair Value Hedges section of this Note 4 for further detail)

There was no gain or loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) into earnings related to our designated cash flow hedges and net investment hedge for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. As of December 31, 2013, deferred gains and losses on derivative instruments recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income that are expected to be reclassified to earnings during the next twelve months are immaterial.

117

Offsetting of Financial Instruments and Derivatives

Certain of the Company's derivative instruments are subject to enforceable master netting arrangements that provide for the net settlement of all derivative contracts between the Company and a counterparty in the event of default or upon the occurrence of certain termination events. Collateral support agreements with certain of the master netting arrangements provide that the Company will receive or pledge financial collateral in the event either minimum thresholds, or in certain cases ratings levels, have been reached.

We have securities lending agreements with unaffiliated financial institutions that post collateral to us in return for the use of our fixed maturity securities (see Note 3). When we have entered into securities lending agreements with the same counterparty, the agreements generally provide for net settlement in the event of default by the counterparty. This right of set-off would allow us to keep and apply collateral received if the counterparty failed to return the securities borrowed from us as contractually agreed. For additional information on the Company's accounting policy for securities lending, see
Note 1.

The tables below summarize our derivatives and securities lending transactions as of December 31, and as reflected in the tables, in accordance with GAAP, our policy is to not offset these financial instruments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Offsetting of Financial Assets and Derivative Assets
2013

				Gross Amounts Not Offset in Balance Sheet		
(in millions)	Gross Amount of Recognized Assets	Gross Amount Offset in Balance Sheet	Net Amount of Assets Presented in Balance Sheet	Carrying Value of Financial Instruments	Collateral Received	Net Amount
Derivative assets:						
Foreign currency swaps	$445	$0	$445	$0	$(276)	$169
Foreign currency forwards	17	0	17	0	(16)	1
Foreign currency options	4	0	4	0	(3)	1
Credit default swaps	1	0	1	0	0	1
Interest rate swaps	1	0	1	0	0	1
Interest rate swaptions	20	0	20	0	0	20
Total derivative assets, subject to a master netting arrangement or offsetting arrangement	488	0	488	0	(295)[1]	193
Securities lending and similar arrangements	5,656	0	5,656	0	(5,656)	0
Total	$6,144	$0	$6,144	$0	$(5,951)	$193

[1] Consists entirely of cash.

118

2012

(In millions)	Gross Amount of Recognized Assets	Gross Amount Offset in Balance Sheet	Net Amount of Assets Presented in Balance Sheet	Gross Amounts Not Offset in Balance Sheet: Carrying Value of Financial Instruments	Gross Amounts Not Offset in Balance Sheet: Collateral Received	Net Amount
Derivative assets:						
Foreign currency swaps	$311	$0	$311	$0	$0	$311
Credit default swaps	2	0	2	0	0	2
Interest rate swaps	32	0	32	0	0	32
Total derivative assets, subject to a master netting arrangement or offsetting arrangement	345	0	345	0	0	345
Securities lending and similar arrangements	6,122	0	6,122	0	(6,122)	0
Total	$6,467	$0	$6,467	$0	$(6,122)	$345

Offsetting of Financial Liabilities and Derivative Liabilities
2013

(In millions)	Gross Amount of Recognized Liabilities	Gross Amount Offset in Balance Sheet	Net Amount of Liabilities Presented in Balance Sheet	Gross Amounts Not Offset in Balance Sheet: Carrying Value of Financial Instruments	Gross Amounts Not Offset in Balance Sheet: Collateral Pledged	Net Amount
Derivative liabilities:						
Foreign currency swaps	$(218)	$0	$(218)	$0	$1	$(217)
Foreign currency forwards	(582)	0	(582)	0	0	(582)
Foreign currency options	(1)	0	(1)	0	0	(1)
Credit default swaps	(4)	0	(4)	0	0	(4)
Interest rate swaptions	(32)	0	(32)	0	7	(25)
Total derivative liabilities, subject to a master netting arrangement or offsetting arrangement	(837)	0	(837)	0	8 [1]	(829)
Securities lending and similar arrangements	(5,820)	0	(5,820)	5,656	0	(164)
Total	$(6,657)	$0	$(6,657)	$5,656	$8	$(993)

[1] Consists of $7 of pledged JGBs and $1 of cash.

119

2012

(In millions)	Gross Amount of Recognized Liabilities	Gross Amount Offset in Balance Sheet	Net Amount of Liabilities Presented in Balance Sheet	Gross Amounts Not Offset in Balance Sheet		Net Amount
				Carrying Value of Financial Instruments	Collateral Pledged	
Derivative liabilities:						
Foreign currency swaps	$(329)	$0	$(329)	$0	$0	$(329)
Foreign currency forwards	(535)	0	(535)	0	0	(535)
Credit default swaps	(67)	0	(67)	0	0	(67)
Interest rate swaps	(3)	0	(3)	0	0	(3)
Total derivative liabilities, subject to a master netting arrangement or offsetting arrangement	(934)	0	(934)	0	0	(934)
Securities lending and similar arrangements	(6,277)	0	(6,277)	6,122	0	(155)
Total	$(7,211)	$0	$(7,211)	$6,122	$0	$(1,089)

For additional information on our financial instruments, see the accompanying Notes 1, 3 and 5.

5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair Value Hierarchy

GAAP specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. These two types of inputs create three valuation hierarchy levels. Level 1 valuations reflect quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets. Level 2 valuations reflect quoted market prices for similar assets or liabilities in an active market, quoted market prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in non-active markets or model-derived valuations in which all significant valuation inputs are observable in active markets. Level 3 valuations reflect valuations in which one or more of the significant inputs are not observable in an active market.

The following tables present the fair value hierarchy levels of the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured and carried at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31.

(In millions)	2013 Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
Assets:				
Securities available for sale, carried at fair value:				
Fixed maturities:				
Government and agencies	$14,928	$504	$0	$15,432
Municipalities	0	1,051	0	1,051
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	0	402	369	771
Public utilities	0	7,562	0	7,562
Sovereign and supranational	0	1,523	0	1,523
Banks/financial institutions	0	6,486	23	6,509
Other corporate	0	25,222	0	25,222
Total fixed maturities	14,928	42,750	392	58,070
Perpetual securities:				
Banks/financial institutions	0	2,686	52	2,738
Other corporate	0	209	0	209
Total perpetual securities	0	2,895	52	2,947
Equity securities	14	4	3	21
Other assets:				
Foreign currency swaps	0	341	104	445
Foreign currency forwards	0	17	0	17
Foreign currency options	0	4	0	4
Credit default swaps	0	0	1	1
Interest rate swaps	0	0	1	1
Interest rate swaptions	0	20	0	20
Total other assets	0	382	106	488
Cash and cash equivalents	2,543	0	0	2,543
Total assets	$17,485	$46,031	$553	$64,069
Liabilities:				
Foreign currency swaps	$0	$15	$203	$218
Foreign currency forwards	0	582	0	582
Foreign currency options	0	1	0	1
Credit default swaps	0	0	4	4
Interest rate swaptions	0	32	0	32
Total liabilities	$0	$630	$207	$837

(In millions)	2012 Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
Assets:				
Securities available for sale, carried at fair value:				
Fixed maturities:				
Government and agencies	$12,265	$732	$0	$12,997
Municipalities	0	1,195	0	1,195
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	0	693	338	1,031
Public utilities	0	8,077	420	8,497
Sovereign and supranational	0	1,654	418	2,072
Banks/financial institutions	0	6,610	1,024	7,634
Other corporate	0	22,841	986	23,827
Total fixed maturities	12,265	41,802	3,186	57,253
Perpetual securities:				
Banks/financial institutions	0	3,735	215	3,950
Other corporate	0	352	0	352
Total perpetual securities	0	4,087	215	4,302
Equity securities	13	6	4	23
Other assets:				
Foreign currency swaps	0	154	157	311
Credit default swaps	0	0	2	2
Interest rate swaps	0	0	32	32
Total other assets	0	154	191	345
Cash and cash equivalents	2,041	0	0	2,041
Total assets	$14,319	$46,049	$3,596	$63,964
Liabilities:				
Foreign currency swaps	$0	$0	$329	$329
Foreign currency forwards	0	535	0	535
Credit default swaps	0	0	67	67
Interest rate swaps	0	0	3	3
Total liabilities	$0	$535	$399	$934

The following tables present the carrying amount and fair value categorized by fair value hierarchy level for the Company's financial instruments that are not carried at fair value as of December 31.

	2013				
(In millions)	Carrying Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
Assets:					
Securities held to maturity, carried at amortized cost:					
Fixed maturities:					
Government and agencies	$27,362	$28,708	$0	$0	$28,708
Municipalities	399	0	440	0	440
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	58	0	20	41	61
Public utilities	3,900	0	3,928	0	3,928
Sovereign and supranational	2,941	0	3,040	0	3,040
Banks/financial institutions	6,310	0	6,128	0	6,128
Other corporate	3,445	0	3,541	0	3,541
Total assets	$44,415	$28,708	$17,097	$41	$45,846
Liabilities:					
Other policyholders' funds	$5,861	$0	$0	$5,715	$5,715
Notes payable (excluding capital leases)	4,891	0	0	5,241	5,241
Total liabilities	$10,752	$0	$0	$10,956	$10,956

(In millions)	Carrying Value	2012 Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
Assets:					
Securities held to maturity, carried at amortized cost:					
Fixed maturities:					
Government and agencies	$32,043	$32,332	$0	$0	$32,332
Municipalities	492	0	520	0	520
Mortgage and asset-backed securities	90	0	30	64	94
Public utilities	4,924	0	5,051	0	5,051
Sovereign and supranational	3,209	0	3,317	0	3,317
Banks/financial institutions	9,211	0	8,991	0	8,991
Other corporate	4,457	0	4,536	0	4,536
Total assets	$54,426	$32,332	$22,445	$64	$54,841
Liabilities:					
Other policyholders' funds	$5,319	$0	$0	$5,151	$5,151
Notes payable (excluding capital leases)	4,343	0	0	4,992	4,992
Obligation to Japanese policyholder protection corporation	23	0	0	23	23
Total liabilities	$9,685	$0	$0	$10,166	$10,166

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

U.S. GAAP requires disclosure of the fair value of certain financial instruments including those that are not carried at fair value. The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accrued investment income, accounts payable, cash collateral and payables for security transactions approximated their fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments. Liabilities for future policy benefits and unpaid policy claims are not financial instruments as defined by GAAP.

Fixed maturities, perpetual securities, and equity securities

We determine the fair values of our fixed maturity securities, perpetual securities, and privately issued equity securities using the following approaches or techniques: price quotes and valuations from third party pricing vendors (including quoted market prices readily available from public exchange markets) and non-binding price quotes we obtain from outside brokers.

Prior to March 31, 2013, we had used a discounted cash flow (DCF) pricing model to value certain of our privately issued securities. Our DCF pricing model incorporated an option adjusted spread and utilized various market inputs we obtained from both active and inactive markets. The estimated fair values developed by the DCF pricing model were most sensitive to prevailing credit spreads, the level of interest rates (yields) and interest rate volatility. Credit spreads were derived from a widely used global bond index to create a credit matrix which took into account the current credit spread, ratings and remaining time to maturity, and subordination levels for securities that were included

in the bond index. The index provided a broad-based measure of the global fixed-income bond market. Beginning March 31, 2013, we engaged a third party pricing vendor to develop valuation models to determine fair values of these securities to reflect the impact of the persistent economic environment and the changing regulatory framework. These models are also DCF models, but also use information from related markets, specifically the CDS market to estimate expected cash flows. These models take into consideration any unique characteristics of the securities and make various adjustments to arrive at an appropriate issuer-specific loss adjusted credit curve. This credit curve is then used with the relevant recovery rates to estimate expected cash flows and modeling of additional features, including illiquidity adjustments, if necessary, to price

---

the security by discounting those loss adjusted cash flows. In cases where a credit curve cannot be developed from the specific security features, the valuation methodology takes into consideration other market observable inputs, including: 1) the most appropriate comparable security(ies) of the issuer; 2) issuer-specific CDS spreads; 3) bonds or CDS spreads of comparable issuers with similar characteristics such as rating, geography, or sector; or 4) bond indices that are comparative in rating, industry, maturity and region.

The pricing data and market quotes we obtain from outside sources, including third party pricing services, are reviewed internally for reasonableness. If a fair value appears unreasonable, we will re-examine the inputs and assess the reasonableness of the pricing data with the vendor. Additionally, we may compare the inputs to relevant market indices and other performance measurements. The output of this analysis is presented to the Company's Valuations and Classifications Subcommittee, or VCS. Based on the analysis provided to the VCS, the valuation is confirmed or may be revised if there is evidence of a more appropriate estimate of fair value based on available market data. With the implementation of the change in pricing methodology associated with privately issued securities previously noted, we have performed verification of the inputs and calculations in the models to confirm that the valuations represent reasonable estimates of fair value.

The fixed maturities classified as Level 3 consist of securities for which there are limited or no observable valuation inputs. For Level 3 securities that are investment grade, we estimate the fair value of these securities by obtaining non-binding broker quotes from a limited number of brokers. These brokers base their quotes on a combination of their knowledge of the current pricing environment and market conditions. We consider these inputs to be unobservable. For Level 3 investments that are below-investment-grade securities, we consider a variety of significant valuation inputs in the valuation process, including forward exchange rates, yen swap rates, dollar swap rates, interest rate volatilities, credit spread data on specific issuers, assumed default and default recovery rates, and certain probability assumptions. In obtaining these valuation inputs, we have determined that certain pricing assumptions and data used by our pricing sources are difficult to validate or corroborate by the market and/or appear to be internally developed rather than observed in or corroborated by the market. The use of these unobservable valuation inputs causes more subjectivity in the valuation process for these securities.

Historically, we have not adjusted the quotes or prices we obtain from the pricing services and brokers we use.

The following tables present the pricing sources for the fair values of our fixed maturities, perpetual securities, and equity securities as of December 31.

(In millions)	2013 Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
Securities available for sale, carried at fair value:				
Fixed maturities:				
Government and agencies:				
Third party pricing vendor	$14,928	$504	$0	$15,432
Total government and agencies	14,928	504	0	15,432
Municipalities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	1,051	0	1,051
Total municipalities	0	1,051	0	1,051
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	402	0	402
Broker/other	0	0	369	369
Total mortgage- and asset-backed securities	0	402	369	771
Public utilities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	7,562	0	7,562
Total public utilities	0	7,562	0	7,562
Sovereign and supranational:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	1,523	0	1,523
Total sovereign and supranational	0	1,523	0	1,523
Banks/financial institutions:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	6,486	0	6,486
Broker/other	0	0	23	23
Total banks/financial institutions	0	6,486	23	6,509
Other corporate:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	25,220	0	25,220
Broker/other	0	2	0	2
Total other corporate	0	25,222	0	25,222
Total fixed maturities	14,928	42,750	392	58,070
Perpetual securities:				
Banks/financial institutions:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	2,686	52	2,738
Total banks/financial institutions	0	2,686	52	2,738
Other corporate:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	209	0	209
Total other corporate	0	209	0	209
Total perpetual securities	0	2,895	52	2,947
Equity securities:				
Third party pricing vendor	14	4	0	18
Broker/other	0	0	3	3
Total equity securities	14	4	3	21
	$14,942	$45,649	$447	$61,038

Total securities available for sale

126

(In millions)	2013 Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
Securities held to maturity, carried at amortized cost:				
Fixed maturities:				
Government and agencies:				
Third party pricing vendor	$28,708	$0	$0	$28,708
Total government and agencies	28,708	0	0	28,708
Municipalities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	440	0	440
Total municipalities	0	440	0	440
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	20	0	20
Broker/other	0	0	41	41
Total mortgage- and asset-backed securities	0	20	41	61
Public utilities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	3,928	0	3,928
Total public utilities	0	3,928	0	3,928
Sovereign and supranational:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	3,040	0	3,040
Total sovereign and supranational	0	3,040	0	3,040
Banks/financial institutions:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	6,128	0	6,128
Total banks/financial institutions	0	6,128	0	6,128
Other corporate:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	3,509	0	3,509
Broker/other	0	32	0	32
Total other corporate	0	3,541	0	3,541
Total securities held to maturity	$28,708	$17,097	$41	$45,846

(In millions)	2012 Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
Securities available for sale, carried at fair value:				
Fixed maturities:				
Government and agencies:				
Third party pricing vendor	$12,265	$685	$0	$12,950
DCF pricing model	0	47	0	47
Total government and agencies	12,265	732	0	12,997
Municipalities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	1,177	0	1,177
DCF pricing model	0	18	0	18
Total municipalities	0	1,195	0	1,195
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	682	0	682
DCF pricing model	0	11	0	11
Broker/other	0	0	338	338
Total mortgage- and asset-backed securities	0	693	338	1,031
Public utilities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	5,169	0	5,169
DCF pricing model	0	2,908	420	3,328
Total public utilities	0	8,077	420	8,497
Sovereign and supranational:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	540	0	540
DCF pricing model	0	619	418	1,037
Broker/other	0	495	0	495
Total sovereign and supranational	0	1,654	418	2,072
Banks/financial institutions:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	4,257	0	4,257
DCF pricing model	0	2,136	444	2,580
Broker/other	0	217	580	797
Total banks/financial institutions	0	6,610	1,024	7,634
Other corporate:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	18,093	0	18,093
DCF pricing model	0	4,747	575	5,322
Broker/other	0	1	411	412
Total other corporate	0	22,841	986	23,827
Total fixed maturities	12,265	41,802	3,186	57,253
Perpetual securities:				
Banks/financial institutions:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	283	0	283
DCF pricing model	0	3,452	215	3,667
Total banks/financial institutions	0	3,735	215	3,950

(In millions)	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
Other corporate:				
DCF pricing model	0	352	0	352
Total other corporate	0	352	0	352
Total perpetual securities	0	4,087	215	4,302
Equity securities:				
Third party pricing vendor	13	0	0	13
DCF pricing model	0	6	0	6
Broker/other	0	0	4	4
Total equity securities	13	6	4	23
Total securities available for sale	$12,278	$45,895	$3,405	$61,578

	2012			
(In millions)	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total Fair Value
Securities held to maturity, carried at amortized cost:				
Fixed maturities:				
Government and agencies:				
Third party pricing vendor	$32,332	$0	$0	$32,332
Total government and agencies	32,332	0	0	32,332
Municipalities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	464	0	464
DCF pricing model	0	56	0	56
Total municipalities	0	520	0	520
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	30	0	30
Broker/other	0	0	64	64
Total mortgage- and asset-backed securities	0	30	64	94
Public utilities:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	58	0	58
DCF pricing model	0	4,993	0	4,993
Total public utilities	0	5,051	0	5,051
Sovereign and supranational:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	370	0	370
DCF pricing model	0	2,947	0	2,947
Total sovereign and supranational	0	3,317	0	3,317
Banks/financial institutions:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	254	0	254
DCF pricing model	0	8,737	0	8,737

Total banks/financial institutions	0	8,991	0	8,991
Other corporate:				
Third party pricing vendor	0	122	0	122
DCF pricing model	0	4,414	0	4,414
Total other corporate	0	4,536	0	4,536
Total securities held to maturity	$32,332	$22,445	$64	$54,841

129

The following is a discussion of the determination of fair value of our remaining financial instruments.

Derivatives

We use derivative instruments to manage the risk associated with certain assets. However, the derivative instrument may not be classified in the same fair value hierarchy level as the associated asset. Inputs used to value derivatives include, but are not limited to, interest rates, credit spreads, foreign currency forward and spot rates, and interest volatility.

The fair values of the foreign currency forwards and interest rate swaptions associated with certain fixed-maturity securities, the foreign currency options, the foreign currency swaps associated with our senior notes and subordinated debentures, and the interest rate swap associated with our yen-denominated notes are based on the amounts we would expect to receive or pay. The determination of the fair value of these derivatives is based on observable market inputs, therefore they are classified as Level 2.

For derivatives associated with VIEs where we are the primary beneficiary, we are not the direct counterparty to the swap contracts. As a result, the fair value measurements incorporate the credit risk of the collateral associated with the VIE. We receive valuations from a third party pricing vendor for these derivatives. Based on an analysis of these derivatives and a review of the methodology employed by the pricing vendor, we determined that due to the long duration of these swaps and the need to extrapolate from short-term observable data to derive and measure long-term inputs, certain inputs, assumptions and judgments are required to value future cash flows that cannot be corroborated by current inputs or current observable market data. As a result, the derivatives associated with our consolidated VIEs are classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Other policyholders' funds

The largest component of the other policyholders' funds liability is our annuity line of business in Aflac Japan. Our annuities have fixed benefits and premiums, with short payouts that are almost all annuity-certain. For this product, we estimated the fair value to be equal to the cash surrender value. This is analogous to the value paid to policyholders on the valuation date if they were to surrender their policy. We periodically check the cash value against discounted cash flow projections for reasonableness. We consider our inputs for this valuation to be unobservable and have accordingly classified this valuation as Level 3.

Notes payable

The fair values of our publicly issued notes payable classified as Level 3 were obtained from a limited number of independent brokers. These brokers base their quotes on a combination of their knowledge of the current pricing environment and market conditions. We consider these inputs to be unobservable. The fair values of our yen-denominated loans approximate their carrying values.

Obligation to Japanese policyholder protection corporation

The fair value of the obligation to the Japanese policyholder protection corporation classified as Level 3 is our estimated share of the industry's obligation calculated on a pro rata basis by projecting our percentage of the industry's premiums and reserves and applying that percentage to the total industry obligation payable in future years. We consider our inputs for this valuation to be unobservable. As of December 31, 2013, we did not have an accrued obligation to the Japanese policyholder protection corporation.

130

Level 3 Rollforward and Transfers between Hierarchy Levels

The following tables present the changes in fair value of our available-for-sale investments and derivatives classified as Level 3 as of December 31.

2013

	Fixed Maturities					Perpetual Securities	Equity Securities	Derivatives[1]			
(In millions)	Mortgage- and Asset-Backed Securities	Public Utilities	Sovereign and Supranational	Banks/ Financial Institutions	Other Corporate	Banks/ Financial Institutions		Interest Rate Swaps	Foreign Currency Swaps	Credit Default Swaps	Total
Balance, beginning of period	$338	$420	$418	$1,024	$986	$215	$4	$29	$(172)	$(65)	$3,197
Realized investment gains (losses) included in earnings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(8)	84	29	105
Unrealized gains (losses) included in other comprehensive income (loss)	(72)	(20)	0	(4)	0	3	(1)	0	(11)	0	(105)
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements:											
Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Issuances	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sales	0	(400)	0	0	0	0	0	(20)	0	33	(387)
Settlements	(13)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(13)
Transfers into Level 3[2]	125	0	0	0	0	49	0	0	0	0	174
Transfers out of Level 3[3]	(9)	0	(418)	(997)	(986)	(215)	0	0	0	0	(2,625)
Balance, end of period	$369	$0	$0	$23	$0	$52	$3	$1	$(99)	$(3)	$346
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) relating to Level 3 assets and liabilities still held at the end of the period included in realized investment gains (losses)	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$0	$(8)	$84	$29	$105

[1] Derivative assets and liabilities are presented net

[2] Due to a lack of visibility to observe significant inputs to price

[3] A result of changing our pricing methodology to a valuation method that uses observable market data as significant inputs to estimate fair value

131

2012

(In millions)	Fixed Maturities					Perpetual Securities	Equity Securities	Derivatives[1]			
	Mortgage- and Asset-Backed Securities	Public Utilities	Sovereign and Supranational	Banks/ Financial Institutions	Other Corporate	Banks/ Financial Institutions		Interest Rate Swaps	Foreign Currency Swaps	Credit Default Swaps	Total
Balance, beginning of period	$ 394	$ 422	$ 434	$ 1,074	$ 1,105	$ 526	$ 4	$ 30	$ (56)	$ (130)	$ 3,803
Realized investment gains (losses) included in earnings	(3)	0	0	0	2	49	0	(1)	(61)	65	51
Unrealized gains (losses) included in other comprehensive income (loss)	(33)	(2)	(16)	70	(87)	33	0	0	(22)	0	(57)
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements:											
Purchases	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Issuances	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sales	0	0	0	(326)	(34)	(393)	0	0	0	0	(753)
Settlements	(20)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(33)	0	(53)
Transfers into Level 3	0	0	0	206 [2]	0	0	0	0	0	0	206
Transfers out of Level 3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Balance, end of period	$ 338	$ 420	$ 418	$ 1,024	$ 986	$ 215	$ 4	$ 29	$ (172)	$ (65)	$ 3,197
Changes in unrealized gains (losses) relating to Level 3 assets and liabilities still held at the end of the period included in realized investment gains (losses)	$ (3)	$ 0	$ 0	$ 0	$ 0	$ 0	$ 0	$ (1)	$ (61)	$ 65	$ 0

[1] Derivative assets and liabilities are presented net
[2] Due to a lack of visibility to observe significant inputs to price

132

Transfers into and/or out of Level 3 are attributable to a change in the observability of inputs. The most significant transfer out of Level 3 into Level 2 during the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2013 related to our callable reverse dual-currency bonds (RDCs). RDCs are securities that have principal denominated in yen while paying U.S. dollar (USD) coupons. The market standard approach is to use implied volatility to value options or instruments with optionality because historical volatility may not represent current market participants' expectations about future volatility. Under our previous valuation approach, we used historical foreign exchange volatility as an input for valuing these investments. Given the importance of this input to the overall valuation of these RDCs and the determination of this input to be unobservable, we made the decision at December 31, 2011 to move these holdings to Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. During the first quarter of 2013, we implemented a new valuation methodology for these securities that relies on comparable securities in the market, the observable forward foreign exchange curve and other market inputs. Given that the significant inputs to the valuation of these items are now based on observable data, in the first quarter of 2013, we transferred these bonds from Level 3 to Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

In addition to the callable RDCs, we transferred certain other corporate securities from Level 3 to Level 2 in the first quarter of 2013. Prices for these securities were previously obtained from brokers and/or arrangers with minimal transparency around how the valuation was determined. Similar to the RDCs, these securities are now valued using the same methodology described above for our other privately issued securities.

There were no transfers between Level 1 and 2 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Fair Value Sensitivity

Level 3 Significant Unobservable Input Sensitivity

The following tables summarize the significant unobservable inputs used in the valuation of our Level 3 available-for-sale investments and derivatives for the years ended December 31. Included in the tables are the inputs or range of possible inputs that have an effect on the overall valuation of the financial instruments.
2013

(In millions)	Fair Value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)	
Assets:					
Securities available for sale, carried at fair value:					
Fixed maturities:					
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	$369	Consensus pricing	Offered quotes	N/A	(e)
Banks/financial institutions	23	Consensus pricing	Offered quotes	N/A	(e)
Perpetual securities:					
Banks/financial institutions	52	Consensus pricing	Offered quotes	N/A	(e)
Equity securities	3	Net asset value	Offered quotes	$1-$774 ($7)	
Other assets:					
Foreign currency swaps	30	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	3.09% - 3.96%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.93% - 2.02%	(c)
			CDS spreads	16 - 141 bps	
			Foreign exchange rates	21.16%	(d)
	9	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	3.09% - 3.96%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.93% - 2.02%	(c)
			CDS spreads	17 - 149 bps	
	65	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	3.09% - 3.96%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.93% - 2.02%	(c)
			Foreign exchange rates	21.16%	(d)
Credit default swaps	1	Discounted cash flow	Base correlation	65% - 76% (72%)	(a)
			CDS spreads	65 - 106 (92) bps	
			Recovery rate	37.00%	
Interest rate swaps	1	Discounted cash flow	Base correlation	65% - 76% (72%)	(a)
			CDS spreads	65 - 106 (92) bps	
			Recovery rate	37.00%	

Total assets	$553

(a) Weighted-average range of base correlations for our bespoke tranches for attachment and detachment points corresponding to market indices
(b) Inputs derived from U.S. long-term rates to accommodate long maturity nature of our swaps
(c) Inputs derived from Japan long-term rates to accommodate long maturity nature of our swaps
(d) Based on 10 year volatility of JPY/USD exchange rate
(e) N/A represents securities where we receive unadjusted broker quotes and for which there is no transparency into the providers' valuation techniques or unobservable inputs.

134

2013

(In millions)	Fair Value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)	
Liabilities:					
Foreign currency swaps	$99	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	3.09% - 3.96%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.93% - 2.02%	(c)
			CDS spreads	16 - 141 bps	
			Foreign exchange rates	21.16%	(d)
	24	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	3.09% - 3.96%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.93% - 2.02%	(c)
			CDS spreads	11 - 189 bps	
	80	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	3.09% - 3.96%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.93% - 2.02%	(c)
			Foreign exchange rates	21.16%	(d)
Credit default swaps	4	Discounted cash flow	Base correlations	65% - 76% (72%)	(a)
			CDS spreads	65 - 106 (92) bps	
			Recovery rate	37.00%	
Total liabilities	$207				

(a) Weighted-average range of base correlations for our bespoke tranches for attachment and detachment points corresponding to market indices
(b) Inputs derived from U.S. long-term rates to accommodate long maturity nature of our swaps
(c) Inputs derived from Japan long-term rates to accommodate long maturity nature of our swaps
(d) Based on 10 year volatility of JPY/USD exchange rate

2012

(In millions)	Fair Value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)	
Assets:					
Securities available for sale, carried at fair value:					
Fixed maturities:					
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	$338	Consensus pricing	Offered quotes	N/A	(e)
Public utilities	420	Discounted cash flow	Historical volatility	7.36%	
Sovereign and supranational	418	Discounted cash flow	Historical volatility	7.36%	
Banks/financial institutions	444	Discounted cash flow	Historical volatility	7.36%	
	580	Consensus pricing	Offered quotes	N/A	(e)
Other corporate	575	Discounted cash flow	Historical volatility	7.36%	
	411	Consensus pricing	Offered quotes	N/A	(e)
Perpetual securities:					
Banks/financial institutions	215	Discounted cash flow	Historical volatility	7.36%	
Equity securities	4	Net asset value	Offered quotes	$2-$943 ($8)	
Other assets:					
Foreign currency swaps	51	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	1.84% - 2.84%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.84% - 2.05%	(c)
			CDS spreads	12 - 117 bps	
			Foreign exchange rates	20.65%	(d)
	4	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	1.84% - 2.84%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.84% - 2.05%	(c)
			CDS spreads	12 - 126 bps	
	102	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	1.84% - 2.84%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.84% - 2.05%	(c)
			Foreign exchange rates	20.65%	(d)
Credit default swaps	2	Discounted cash flow	Base correlation	49% - 50%	(a)
			CDS spreads	91 - 152 bps	
			Recovery rate	37.00%	
Interest rate swaps	32		Base correlation	49% - 50%	(a)

		Discounted cash flow		
			CDS spreads	91 - 152 bps
			Recovery rate	37.00%
Total assets	$3,596			

(a) Weighted-average range of base correlations for our bespoke tranches for attachment and detachment points corresponding to market indices
(b) Inputs derived from U.S. long-term rates to accommodate long maturity nature of our swaps
(c) Inputs derived from Japan long-term rates to accommodate long maturity nature of our swaps
(d) Based on 10 year volatility of JPY/USD exchange rate
(e) N/A represents securities where we receive unadjusted broker quotes and for which there is no transparency into the providers' valuation techniques or unobservable inputs.

136

2012

(In millions)	Fair Value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)	
Liabilities:					
Foreign currency swaps	$118	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	1.84% - 2.84%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.84% - 2.05%	(c)
			CDS spreads	22 - 141 bps	
			Foreign exchange rates	20.65%	(d)
	60	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	1.84% - 2.84%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.84% - 2.05%	(c)
			CDS spreads	25 - 186 bps	
	151	Discounted cash flow	Interest rates (USD)	1.84% - 2.84%	(b)
			Interest rates (JPY)	.84% - 2.05%	(c)
			Foreign exchange rates	20.65%	(d)
Credit default swaps	67	Discounted cash flow	Base correlations	49% - 50%	(a)
			CDS spreads	91 - 152 bps	
			Recovery rate	37.00%	
Interest rate swaps	3	Discounted cash flow	Base correlation	49% - 50%	(a)
			CDS spreads	91 - 152 bps	
			Recovery rate	37.00%	
Total liabilities	$399				

(a) Weighted-average range of base correlations for our bespoke tranches for attachment and detachment points corresponding to market indices
(b) Inputs derived from U.S. long-term rates to accommodate long maturity nature of our swaps
(c) Inputs derived from Japan long-term rates to accommodate long maturity nature of our swaps
(d) Based on 10 year volatility of JPY/USD exchange rate

137

The following is a discussion of the significant unobservable inputs or valuation technique used in determining the fair value of securities and derivatives classified as Level 3.

Annualized Historical Foreign Exchange Volatility

We own a portfolio of callable RDCs. RDCs are securities that have principal denominated in yen while paying U.S. dollar (USD) coupons. The market standard approach is to use implied volatility to value options or instruments with optionality because historical volatility may not represent current market participants' expectations about future volatility. Our use of historical foreign exchange volatility as an input for valuing these investments could result in a significant increase or decrease in fair value measurement, given the importance of this input to the overall valuation. Prior to the first quarter of 2013, historical volatility was an unobservable input in the determination of fair value of public utilities, sovereign and supranational, certain banks/financial institutions, and certain other corporate investments. As of the first quarter of 2013, we are no longer using this input in the valuation of these securities due to a change in valuation methodology as discussed previously.

Net Asset Value

We hold certain unlisted equity securities whose fair value is derived based on the financial statements published by the investee. These securities do not trade on an active market and the valuations derived are dependent on the availability of timely financial reporting of the investee. Net asset value is an unobservable input in the determination of fair value of equity securities.

Offered Quotes

In circumstances where our valuation model price is overridden because it implies a value that is not consistent with current market conditions, we will solicit bids from a limited number of brokers. We also receive unadjusted prices from brokers for our mortgage and asset-backed securities. These quotes are non-binding but are reflective of valuation best estimates at that particular point in time. Offered quotes are an unobservable input in the determination of fair value of mortgage- and asset-backed securities, certain banks/financial institutions, certain other corporate, and equity securities investments.

Interest Rates, CDS Spreads, Foreign Exchange Rates

The significant drivers of the valuation of the interest and foreign exchange swaps are interest rates, foreign exchange rates and CDS spreads. Our swaps have long maturities that increase the sensitivity of the swaps to interest rate fluctuations. Since most of our yen-denominated cross currency swaps are in a net liability position, an increase in interest rates will decrease the liabilities and increase the value of the swap.
Foreign exchange swaps also have a lump-sum final settlement of foreign exchange principal receivables at the termination of the swap. An increase in yen interest rates will decrease the value of the final settlement foreign exchange receivables and decrease the value of the swap, and an increase in USD interest rates increase the swap value.
A similar sensitivity pattern is observed for the foreign exchange rates. When the spot U.S. dollar/Japanese yen (USD/JPY) foreign exchange rate decreases and the swap is receiving a final exchange payment in JPY, the swap value will increase due to the appreciation of the JPY. Most of our swaps are designed to receive payments in JPY at the termination and will thus be impacted by the USD/JPY foreign exchange rate in this way. In cases where there is no final foreign exchange receivable in JPY and we are paying JPY as interest payments and receiving USD, a decrease in the foreign exchange rate will lead to a decrease in the swap value.

The extinguisher feature in most of our swaps results in a cessation of cash flows and no further payments between the parties to the swap in the event of a default on the referenced or underlying collateral. To price this feature, we apply

the survival probability of the referenced entity to the projected cash flows. The survival probability uses the CDS spreads and recovery rates to adjust the present value of the cash flows. For extinguisher swaps with positive values, an increase in CDS spreads decreases the likelihood of receiving the final exchange payments and reduces the value of the swap.

Due to the long duration of these swaps and the need to extrapolate from short-term observable data to derive and measure long-term inputs, certain inputs, assumptions and judgments are required to value future cash flows that cannot be corroborated by current inputs or current observable market data.

Interest rates, CDS spreads, and foreign exchange rates are unobservable inputs in the determination of fair value of foreign currency swaps.

Base Correlations, CDS Spreads, Recovery Rates

Our CDOs are tranches on baskets of single-name credit default swaps. The risks in these types of synthetic CDOs come from the single-name CDS risk and the correlations between the single names. The valuation of synthetic CDOs is dependent on the calibration of market prices for interest rates, single name CDS default probabilities and base correlation using financial modeling tools. Since there is limited or no observable data available for these tranches, these base correlations must be obtained from commonly traded market tranches such as the CDX and iTraxx indices. From the historical prices of these indices, base correlations can be obtained to develop a pricing curve of CDOs with different seniorities. Since the reference entities of the market indices do not match those in our portfolio underlying the synthetic CDO to be valued, several processing steps are taken to map the securities in our portfolio to the indices. With the base correlation determined and the appropriate spreads selected, a valuation is calculated. An increase in the CDS spreads in the underlying portfolio leads to a decrease in the value due to higher probability of defaults and losses. The impact on the valuation due to base correlation depends on a number of factors, including the riskiness between market tranches and the modeled tranche based on our portfolio and the equivalence between detachment points in these tranches. Generally speaking, an increase in base correlation will decrease the value of the senior tranches while increasing the value of junior tranches. This may result in a positive or negative value change.
The CDO tranches in our portfolio are junior tranches and, due to the low level of credit support for these tranches, exhibit equity-like behavior. As a result, an increase in recovery rates tends to cause their values to decrease.
Our interest rate swaps are linked to the underlying synthetic CDOs. The valuation of these swaps is performed using a similar approach to that of the synthetic CDOs themselves; that is, the base correlation model is used to ensure consistency between the synthetic CDOs and the swaps.

Base correlations, CDS spreads, and recovery rates are unobservable inputs in the determination of fair value of credit default swaps and interest rate swaps.

For additional information on our investments and financial instruments, see the accompanying Notes 1, 3 and 4.

6. DEFERRED POLICY ACQUISITION COSTS AND INSURANCE EXPENSES

As discussed in Note 1, effective January 1, 2012 we retrospectively adopted amended accounting guidance on accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. As a result, amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of this new accounting guidance for deferred policy acquisition costs.

Consolidated policy acquisition costs deferred during the year were $1.4 billion in 2013, compared with $1.7 billion in 2012 and $1.6 billion in 2011. The following table presents a rollforward of deferred policy acquisition costs by segment for the years ended December 31.

	2013		2012	
(In millions)	Japan	U.S.	Japan	U.S.
Deferred policy acquisition costs:				
Balance, beginning of year	$6,801	$2,857	$7,102	$2,687
Capitalization	893	555	1,177	570
Amortization	(641)	(433)	(716)	(400)
Foreign currency translation and other	(1,234)	0	(762)	0
Balance, end of year	$5,819	$2,979	$6,801	$2,857

Commissions deferred as a percentage of total acquisition costs deferred were 81% in 2013, compared with 84% in 2012 and 82% in 2011.

Personnel, compensation and benefit expenses as a percentage of insurance expenses were 51% in 2013 and 2012 and 49% in 2011. Advertising expense, which is included in insurance expenses in the consolidated statements of earnings, was as follows for the years ended December 31:

139

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Advertising expense:			
Aflac Japan	$112	$127	$128
Aflac U.S.	128	127	130
Total advertising expense	$240	$254	$258

Depreciation and other amortization expenses, which are included in insurance expenses in the consolidated statements of earnings, were as follows for the years ended December 31:

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Depreciation expense	$56	$60	$59
Other amortization expense	13	7	5
Total depreciation and other amortization expense	$69	$67	$64

Lease and rental expense, which are included in insurance expenses in the consolidated statements of earnings, were as follows for the years ended December 31:

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Lease and rental expense:			
Aflac Japan	$55	$71	$73
Aflac U.S.	10	9	8
Other	1	1	1
Total lease and rental expense	$66	$81	$82

Advertising, lease and rental expense decreased for Aflac Japan in 2013 due to the weakening of the yen relative to the U.S. dollar.

7. POLICY LIABILITIES

Policy liabilities consist of future policy benefits, unpaid policy claims, unearned premiums, and other policyholders' funds, which accounted for 77%, 4%, 12% and 7% of total policy liabilities at December 31, 2013, respectively. We regularly review the adequacy of our policy liabilities in total and by component.

The liability for future policy benefits as of December 31 consisted of the following:

(In millions)	Policy Issue Year	Liability Amounts 2013	2012	Interest Rates Year of Issue	In 20 Years
Health insurance:					
Japan:	2002 - 2013	$3,370	$2,518	1.25 - 2.5 %	1.25 - 2.5 %
	1974 - 2013	3,889	4,624	2.7 - 2.75	2.25 - 2.75
	1998 - 2013	11,763	13,685	3.0	3.0
	1997 - 1999	2,842	3,482	3.5	3.5
	1994 - 1996	3,483	4,261	4.0 - 4.5	4.0 - 4.5
	1987 - 1994	16,727	20,635	5.5	5.5
	1985 - 1991	2,262	2,824	5.25 - 6.75	5.25 - 5.5
	1978 - 1984	2,699	3,416	6.5	5.5
U.S.:	2013	124	0	3.0 - 3.5	3.0 - 3.5
	2012	221	127	3.75	3.75
	2011	243	202	4.75	4.75
	2005 - 2010	2,897	2,775	5.5	5.5
	1988 - 2004	725	748	8.0	6.0
	1986 - 2004	1,301	1,317	6.0	6.0
	1981 - 1986	190	198	6.5 - 7.0	5.5 - 6.5
	1998 - 2004	1,237	1,200	7.0	7.0
	Other	22	23		
Life insurance:					
Japan:	2013	59	0	1.5 - 1.75	1.5 - 1.75
	2001 - 2013	3,009	2,238	1.65 - 1.85	1.65 - 1.85
	2011 - 2013	1,584	733	2.0	2.0
	2009 - 2011	1,648	1,374	2.25	2.25
	2005 - 2011	1,211	1,231	2.5	2.5
	1985 - 2006	2,303	2,791	2.7	2.25
	2007 - 2011	1,025	1,049	2.75	2.75
	1999 - 2011	2,164	2,547	3.0	3.0
	1996 - 2009	721	872	3.5	3.5
	1994 - 1996	1,021	1,250	4.0 - 4.5	4.0 - 4.5
U.S.:	1956 - 2013	396	343	4.0 - 6.0	4.0 - 6.0
Total		$69,136	$76,463		

The weighted-average interest rates reflected in the consolidated statements of earnings for future policy benefits for Japanese policies were 3.9% in 2013, compared with 4.0% in 2012 and 2011; and for U.S. policies, 5.8% in 2013, compared with 6.0% in 2012 and 2011.

141

Changes in the liability for unpaid policy claims were as follows for the years ended December 31:

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Unpaid supplemental health claims, beginning of year	$3,781	$3,749	$3,524
Less reinsurance recoverables	10	0	0
Net balance, beginning of year	3,771	3,749	3,524
Add claims incurred during the year related to:			
Current year	7,215	8,013	7,703
Prior years	(236)	(173)	(256)
Total incurred	6,979	7,840	7,447
Less claims paid during the year on claims incurred during:			
Current year	4,834	5,453	5,401
Prior years	1,931	2,082	1,944
Total paid	6,765	7,535	7,345
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on unpaid claims	(457)	(283)	123
Net balance, end of year	3,528	3,771	3,749
Add reinsurance recoverables	9	10	0
Unpaid supplemental health claims, end of year	3,537	3,781	3,749
Unpaid life claims, end of year	226	253	232
Total liability for unpaid policy claims	$3,763	$4,034	$3,981

The incurred claims development related to prior years reflects favorable development in the unpaid policy claims liability. This favorable development is primarily in our lines of business in Japan.

On January 1, 2013, discounted advanced premiums were reclassified, retrospectively, from the other policyholders' funds line item to the unearned premiums line item in our balance sheet. Discounted advance premiums are premiums on deposit from policyholders in conjunction with their purchase of certain Aflac Japan limited-pay insurance products. These advanced premiums are deferred upon collection and recognized as premium revenue over the contractual premium payment period. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, unearned premiums consisted primarily of discounted advance premiums on deposit. These advanced premiums represented 82% of the December 31, 2013 and 2012 unearned premiums balances, respectively.

8. REINSURANCE

Effective as of September 30, 2013, we entered into a coinsurance reinsurance transaction whereby we ceded 33.3% of the hospital benefit of one of Aflac Japan's closed medical in-force blocks of business. We recorded the gain related to the transaction as a deferred profit liability on business sold through reinsurance on our consolidated balance sheets. The deferred profit liability of $607 million, as of December 31, 2013, included in future policy benefits in the consolidated balance sheet, is being amortized into income over the expected lives of the policies. The corresponding reinsurance recoverable is included in other assets in the consolidated balance sheet and totaled $612 million as of December 31, 2013.

The following table outlines the effect of reinsurance on premiums written and earned and on benefits and claims for the year ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013
Direct premium income	$20,211
Reinsurance ceded	(76)
Net premium income	$20,135
Direct benefits and claims	$13,880
Ceded benefits and change in reserves for future benefits	(67)
Benefits and claims, net	$13,813

Reinsurance does not relieve us from our obligations to policyholders. In the event that the reinsurer is unable to meet their obligations, we remain liable for the reinsured claims.

9. NOTES PAYABLE

A summary of notes payable as of December 31 follows:

(In millions)	2013		2012	
3.45% senior notes due August 2015	$300		$300	
2.65% senior notes due February 2017	655	(1)	657	(1)
8.50% senior notes due May 2019	850		850	
4.00% senior notes due February 2022	349	(2)	349	(2)
3.625% senior notes due June 2023	700		0	
6.90% senior notes due December 2039	396	(2)	396	(2)
6.45% senior notes due August 2040	448	(2)	448	(2)
5.50% subordinated debentures due September 2052	500		500	
Yen-denominated Uridashi notes:				
2.26% notes due September 2016 (principal amount 8 billion yen)	76		92	
Yen-denominated Samurai notes:				
1.47% notes due July 2014 (principal amount 28.7 billion yen)	272		331	
1.84% notes due July 2016 (principal amount 15.8 billion yen)	150		182	
Variable interest rate notes due July 2014 (1.30% in 2013 and 1.34% in 2012, principal amount 5.5 billion yen)	52		64	
Yen-denominated loans:				
3.60% loan due July 2015 (principal amount 10 billion yen)	95		116	
3.00% loan due August 2015 (principal amount 5 billion yen)	48		58	
Capitalized lease obligations payable through 2022	6		9	
Total notes payable	$4,897		$4,352	

(1) Principal amount plus an issuance premium that is being amortized over the life of the notes
(2) Principal amount net of an issuance discount that is being amortized over the life of the notes

In June 2013, the Parent Company issued $700 million of senior notes through a U.S. public debt offering. The notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 3.625% per annum, payable semi-annually, and have a ten-year maturity. These notes are redeemable at our option in whole at any time or in part from time to time at a redemption price equal to the greater of: (i) the aggregate principal amount of the notes to be redeemed or (ii) the amount equal to the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments for principal of and interest on the notes to be redeemed, not including any portion of the payments of interest accrued as of such redemption date, discounted to such redemption date on a semiannual basis at the treasury rate plus 20 basis points, plus in each case, accrued and unpaid interest on the principal amount of the notes to be redeemed to, but excluding, such redemption date. We entered into cross-currency interest rate swaps to reduce interest expense by converting the dollar-denominated principal and interest on the senior notes we issued into yen-denominated obligations. By entering into these swaps, we economically converted our $700 million liability into a 69.8 billion yen liability and reduced the interest rate on this debt from 3.625% in dollars to 1.50% in yen.

In September 2012, the Parent Company issued $450 million of subordinated debentures through a U.S. public debt offering. The debentures bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.50% per annum, payable quarterly, and have a 40-year maturity. In five years, on or after September 26, 2017, we may redeem the debentures, in whole or in part, at their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the date of redemption; provided that if the debentures are not redeemed in whole, at least $25 million aggregate principal amount of the debentures must remain outstanding after giving effect to such redemption. The debentures may only be redeemed prior to September 26, 2017, in whole but not in part, upon the occurrence of certain tax events or certain rating agency events, as specified in the indenture governing the terms of the debentures. We entered into cross-currency interest rate swaps to convert the dollar-denominated principal and interest on the subordinated debentures we issued into yen-denominated obligations. By entering into these swaps, we economically converted our $450 million liability into a 35.3 billion yen liability and reduced the interest rate on this debt from 5.50% in dollars to 4.41% in yen. The swaps will expire after the initial five-year non-callable period for the debentures. In October 2012, the underwriters exercised their option, pursuant to the underwriting agreement, to purchase an additional $50 million principal amount of the debentures discussed above. We entered into a cross-currency interest rate swap to economically convert this $50 million liability into a 3.9 billion yen liability and reduce the interest rate from 5.50% in dollars to 4.42% in yen. The swap will expire after the initial five-year non-callable period for the debentures.

In February 2012, the Parent Company issued two series of senior notes totaling $750 million through a U.S. public debt offering. The first series, which totaled $400 million, bears interest at a fixed rate of 2.65% per annum, payable semiannually, and has a five-year maturity. The second series, which totaled $350 million, bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.00% per annum, payable semiannually, and has a 10-year maturity. These notes are redeemable at our option in whole at any time or in part from time to time at a redemption price equal to the greater of: (i) the principal amount of the notes or (ii) the present value of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest to be redeemed, discounted to the redemption date, plus accrued and unpaid interest. We entered into cross-currency interest rate swaps to reduce interest expense by converting the dollar-denominated principal and interest on the senior notes we issued into yen-denominated obligations. By entering into these swaps, we economically converted our $400 million liability into a 30.9 billion yen liability and reduced the interest rate on this debt from 2.65% in dollars to 1.22% in yen. We also economically converted our $350 million liability into a 27.0 billion yen liability and reduced the interest rate on this debt from 4.00% in dollars to 2.07% in yen. In July 2012, the Parent Company issued $250 million of senior notes that are an addition to the original first series of senior notes issued in February 2012. These notes have a five-year maturity and a fixed rate of 2.65% per annum, payable semiannually.

In July 2011, the Parent Company issued three series of Samurai notes totaling 50 billion yen through a public debt offering. The first series, which totaled 28.7 billion yen, bears interest at a fixed rate of 1.47% per annum, payable semiannually, and has a three-year maturity. The second series, which totaled 15.8 billion yen, bears interest at a fixed rate of 1.84% per annum, payable semiannually, and has a five-year maturity. The third series, which totaled 5.5

billion yen, bears interest at a variable rate of three-month yen LIBOR plus a spread, payable quarterly, and has a three-year maturity. We have entered into an interest rate swap related to the 5.5 billion yen variable interest rate notes to swap the variable interest rate to a fixed interest rate of 1.475% (see Note 4). These Samurai notes are not available to U.S. persons.

In 2010 and 2009, we issued senior notes through U.S. public debt offerings; the details of these notes are as follows. In August 2010, we issued $450 million and $300 million of senior notes that have 30-year and five-year maturities, respectively. In December 2009, we issued $400 million of senior notes that have a 30-year maturity. In May 2009, we issued $850 million of senior notes that have a 10-year maturity. These senior notes pay interest semiannually and are redeemable at our option in whole at any time or in part from time to time at a redemption price equal to the greater of: (i)

---

the principal amount of the notes or (ii) the present value of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest to be redeemed, discounted to the redemption date, plus accrued and unpaid interest.

In September 2006, the Parent Company issued a tranche of Uridashi notes totaling 10 billion yen with a 10-year maturity. These Uridashi notes pay interest semiannually, may only be redeemed prior to maturity upon the occurrence of a tax event as specified in the respective bond agreement and are not available to U.S. persons. During 2009, we extinguished 2.0 billion yen (par value) of these Uridashi notes by buying the notes on the open market at a cost of 1.4 billion yen, yielding a gain of .6 billion yen.

In June 2007, the Parent Company issued yen-denominated Samurai notes totaling 30 billion yen. These Samurai notes had a five-year maturity, paid interest semiannually, could only be redeemed prior to maturity upon the occurrence of a tax event as specified in the respective bond agreement and were not available to U.S. persons. During 2009, we extinguished 3.4 billion yen (par value) of these Samurai notes by buying the notes on the open market at a cost of 2.5 billion yen, yielding a gain of .9 billion yen. In June 2012, we paid $337 million to redeem the remaining 26.6 billion yen of our Samurai notes upon their maturity.

For our yen-denominated notes and loans, the principal amount as stated in dollar terms will fluctuate from period to period due to changes in the yen/dollar exchange rate. We have designated the majority of our yen-denominated notes payable as a nonderivative hedge of the foreign currency exposure of our investment in Aflac Japan. We have also designated the interest rate swap on our variable interest rate Samurai notes as a hedge of the variability in our interest cash flows associated with these notes.

The aggregate contractual maturities of notes payable during each of the years after December 31, 2013, are as follows:

(In millions)	Long-term Debt	Capitalized Lease Obligations	Total Notes Payable
2014	$324	$2	$326
2015	443	2	445
2016	226	1	227
2017	650	1	651
2018	0	0	0
Thereafter	3,250	0	3,250
Total	$4,893	$6	$4,899

In March 2013, we terminated our senior unsecured revolving credit facility that was due to expire in June 2013, and the Parent Company and Aflac entered into a 5-year senior unsecured revolving credit facility agreement with a syndicate of financial institutions that provides for borrowings in the amount of 50 billion yen. This credit agreement provides for borrowings in Japanese yen or the equivalent of Japanese yen in U.S. dollars on a revolving basis. Borrowings will bear interest at LIBOR plus the applicable margin of 1.125%. In addition, the Parent Company and Aflac are required to pay a facility fee of .125% on the commitments. As of December 31, 2013, we did not have any borrowings outstanding under our 50 billion yen revolving credit agreement. Borrowings under the credit agreement may be used for general corporate purposes, including a capital contingency plan for our Japanese operations. Borrowings under the financing agreement mature at the termination date of the credit agreement. The agreement requires compliance with certain financial covenants on a quarterly basis. This credit agreement will expire on the earlier of (a) March 29, 2018, or (b) the date of termination of the commitments upon an event of default as defined in the agreement.

We were in compliance with all of the covenants of our notes payable and line of credit at December 31, 2013. No events of default or defaults occurred during 2013 and 2012.

145

10. INCOME TAXES

The components of income tax expense (benefit) applicable to pretax earnings for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

(In millions)	Foreign	U.S.	Total
2013:			
Current	$934	$302	$1,236
Deferred	299	123	422
Total income tax expense	$1,233	$425	$1,658
2012:			
Current	$513	$303	$816
Deferred	950	(330)	620
Total income tax expense	$1,463	$(27)	$1,436
2011:			
Current	$358	$533	$891
Deferred	(301)	423	122
Total income tax expense	$57	$956	$1,013

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

Japan has enacted an income tax rate reduction effective for fiscal years beginning after March 31, 2012. The rate was reduced to 33.3% effective April 1, 2012, and an additional reduction to 30.8% will be effective April 1, 2015. The estimated reversal of the temporary differences resulted in a decrease to deferred taxes in Japan of $744 million and a corresponding increase in U.S. deferred taxes, due to the loss of foreign tax credits, of $744 million as of December 31, 2011. Based on the actual reversal pattern of these temporary differences, we revised our estimate of the impact of the tax rate reduction, resulting in an increase to deferred taxes in Japan of $374 million and a corresponding decrease in U.S. deferred taxes of $374 million as of December 31, 2012.

Income tax expense in the accompanying statements of earnings varies from the amount computed by applying the expected U.S. tax rate of 35% to pretax earnings. The principal reasons for the differences and the related tax effects for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Income taxes based on U.S. statutory rates	$1,685	$1,506	$1,032
Utilization of foreign tax credit	(37)	(53)	(36)
Nondeductible expenses	6	8	10
Other, net	4	(25)	7
Income tax expense	$1,658	$1,436	$1,013

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

Total income tax expense for the years ended December 31 was allocated as follows:

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Statements of earnings	$1,658	$1,436	$1,013
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) during period	253	363	(185)
Unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on investment securities during period	(904)	904	1,016
Reclassification adjustment for realized (gains) losses on investment securities included in net earnings	19	(174)	(404)
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives during period	(4)	(8)	(12)
Pension liability adjustment during period	55	(7)	(23)
Total income tax expense (benefit) related to items of other comprehensive income (loss)	(581)	1,078	392
Additional paid-in capital (exercise of stock options)	(8)	(12)	(7)
Total income taxes	$1,069	$2,502	$1,398

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

The tax effect on other comprehensive income (loss) shown in the table above included a deferred income tax expense of $614 million in 2013 and $492 million in 2012, compared with a deferred income tax benefit of $152 million in 2011, related to certain dollar-denominated investments that Aflac Japan maintained on behalf of Aflac U.S. As discussed in Note 1, prior to October 1, 2013, there was no translation adjustment to record in pretax other comprehensive income for the portfolio when the yen/dollar exchange rate changed, however deferred tax expense or benefit associated with the foreign exchange translation gains or losses on these dollar-denominated investments is recognized in total income tax expense on other comprehensive income until the securities mature or are sold. Excluding the tax amounts for these dollar-denominated investments from total taxes on other comprehensive income would result in an effective income tax rate on pretax other comprehensive income (loss) of 31% in 2013, 32% in 2012, and 34% in 2011.

The income tax effects of the temporary differences that gave rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities as of December 31 were as follows:

(In millions)	2013	2012
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Deferred policy acquisition costs	$2,484	$2,731
Unrealized gains on investment securities	1,034	1,522
Policyholder protection corporation obligation	15	10
Difference in tax basis of investment in Aflac Japan	188	288
Premiums receivable	153	164
Policy benefit reserves	1,859	1,995
Total deferred income tax liabilities	5,733	6,710
Deferred income tax assets:		
Depreciation	85	124
Other basis differences in investment securities	1,629	1,471
Unfunded retirement benefits	42	45
Other accrued expenses	65	60
Policy and contract claims	73	67
Unrealized exchange loss on yen-denominated notes payable	41	36
Deferred compensation	149	203
Capital loss carryforwards	502	716
Other	383	438
Total deferred income tax assets	2,969	3,160
Net deferred income tax liability	2,764	3,550
Current income tax liability	954	308
Total income tax liability	$3,718	$3,858

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

Under U.S. income tax rules, only 35% of non-life operating losses can be offset against life insurance taxable income each year. For current U.S. income tax purposes, there were non-life operating loss carryforwards of $50 million and $54 million expiring in 2031 and 2032, respectively, and no tax credit carryforwards available at December 31, 2013. The Company has capital loss carryforwards of $1.4 billion available to offset capital gains, of which $123 million expires in 2015 and $1.3 billion expires in 2016.

We file federal income tax returns in the United States and Japan as well as state or prefecture income tax returns in various jurisdictions in the two countries. U.S. federal income tax returns for years before 2010 are no longer subject to examination. In Japan, the National Tax Agency (NTA) has completed exams through tax year 2011.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows for the years ended December 31:

(In millions)	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of year	$21 [1]	$31 [1]
Additions for tax positions of prior years	7	7
Settlements	0	(3)
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	0	(14)
Balance, end of year	$28 [1]	$21 [1]

[1] Amounts do not include tax deductions of $10 at December 31, 2013, $7 at December 31, 2012, and $10 at January 1, 2012.

Included in the balance of the liability for unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2013, are $28 million of tax positions for which the ultimate deductibility is highly certain, but for which there is uncertainty about the timing of such deductibility, compared with $21 million at December 31, 2012. Because of the impact of deferred tax

accounting, other than interest and penalties, the disallowance of the shorter deductibility period would not affect the annual effective tax rate, but would accelerate the payment of cash to the taxing authority to an earlier period. The Company has accrued approximately $3 million as of December 31, 2013, for permanent uncertainties, which if reversed would not have a material effect on the annual effective rate.

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. We recognized approximately $4 million in interest and penalties in 2013, compared with $7 million in 2012 and $5 million in 2011. The Company has accrued approximately $16 million for the payment of interest and penalties as of December 31, 2013, compared with $12 million a year ago.

As of December 31, 2013, there were no material uncertain tax positions for which the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months.

11. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

The following table is a reconciliation of the number of shares of the Company's common stock for the years ended December 31.

(In thousands of shares)	2013	2012	2011
Common stock - issued:			
Balance, beginning of period	665,239	663,639	662,660
Exercise of stock options and issuance of restricted shares	1,807	1,600	979
Balance, end of period	667,046	665,239	663,639
Treasury stock:			
Balance, beginning of period	197,453	197,329	192,999
Purchases of treasury stock:			
Open market	13,212	1,948	6,000
Other	222	360	182
Dispositions of treasury stock:			
Shares issued to AFL Stock Plan	(1,365)	(1,670)	(1,690)
Exercise of stock options	(1,734)	(387)	(88)
Other	(155)	(127)	(74)
Balance, end of period	207,633	197,453	197,329
Shares outstanding, end of period	459,413	467,786	466,310

Outstanding share-based awards are excluded from the calculation of weighted-average shares used in the computation of basic earnings per share (EPS). The following table presents the approximate number of share-based awards to purchase shares, on a weighted-average basis, that were considered to be anti-dilutive and were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share at December 31:

(In thousands)	2013	2012	2011
Anti-dilutive share-based awards	2,198	5,880	6,145

The weighted-average shares used in calculating earnings per share for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

(In thousands of shares)	2013	2012	2011
Weighted-average outstanding shares used for calculating basic EPS	464,502	466,868	466,519
Dilutive effect of share-based awards	2,906	2,419	2,851
Weighted-average outstanding shares used for calculating diluted EPS	467,408	469,287	469,370

Share Repurchase Program: During 2013, we purchased 13.2 million shares of our common stock in the open market, compared with 1.9 million shares in 2012 and 6.0 million shares in 2011.

In November 2013, our board of directors authorized the purchase of an additional 40 million shares of our common stock. As of December 31, 2013, a remaining balance of 49.2 million shares of our common stock was available for purchase under share repurchase authorizations by our board of directors.

Voting Rights: In accordance with the Parent Company's articles of incorporation, shares of common stock are generally entitled to one vote per share until they have been held by the same beneficial owner for a continuous period of 48 months, at which time they become entitled to 10 votes per share.

Reclassifications from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The table below is a reconciliation of accumulated other comprehensive income by component for the year ended December 31.

Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

2013

(In millions)	Unrealized Foreign Currency Translation Gains (Losses)	Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investment Securities	Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Derivatives	Pension Liability Adjustment	Total
Balance, beginning of period	$333	$2,570	$(5)	$(183)	$2,715
Other comprehensive income before reclassification	(1,833)	(1,499)	(7)	92	(3,247)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	(5)	(36)	0	10	(31)
Net current-period other comprehensive income	(1,838)	(1,535)	(7)	102	(3,278)
Balance, end of period	$(1,505)	$1,035	$(12)	$(81)	$(563)

All amounts in the table above are net of tax. Amounts in parentheses indicate debits.

The table below summarizes the amounts reclassified from each component of accumulated other comprehensive income based on source for the year ended December 31.

150

Reclassifications Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
(In millions)

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components	2013 Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income[1]	Affected Line Item in the Statements of Earnings
Unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses)	$7	Sales and redemptions
	(2)	Tax (expense) or benefit[2]
	$5	Net of tax
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	$255	Sales and redemptions
	(199)	Other-than-temporary impairment losses realized
	56	Total before tax
	(20)	Tax (expense) or benefit[2]
	$36	Net of tax
Amortization of defined benefit pension items:		
Actuarial gains (losses)	$(19)	Acquisition and operating expenses[3]
Prior service cost	4	Acquisition and operating expenses[3]
	5	Tax (expense) or benefit[2]
	$(10)	Net of tax
Total reclassifications for the period	$31	Net of tax

(1) Amounts in parentheses indicate debits.
(2) Based on 35% tax rate
(3) These accumulated other comprehensive income components are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost (see Note 14 for additional details).

12. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has outstanding share-based awards under two long-term incentive compensation plans.

The first plan, which expired in February 2007, is a stock option plan which allowed grants for incentive stock options (ISOs) to employees and non-qualifying stock options (NQSOs) to employees and non-employee directors. The options have a term of 10 years. The exercise price of options granted under this plan is equal to the fair market value of a share of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. Options granted before the plan's expiration date remain outstanding in accordance with their terms.

The second long-term incentive compensation plan allows awards to Company employees for ISOs, NQSOs, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and stock appreciation rights. Non-employee directors are eligible for grants of NQSOs, restricted stock, and stock appreciation rights. The ISOs and NQSOs have a term of 10 years, and the share-based awards generally vest upon time-based conditions or time- and performance-based conditions.

Time-based vesting generally occurs after three years. Performance-based vesting conditions generally include the attainment of goals related to Company financial performance. As of December 31, 2013, approximately 12.4 million shares were available for future grants under this plan, and the only performance-based awards issued and outstanding were restricted stock awards.

Share-based awards granted to U.S.-based grantees are settled with authorized but unissued Company stock, while those issued to Japan-based grantees are settled with treasury shares.

The following table presents the impact of the expense recognized in connection with share-based awards for the periods ended December 31.

151

(In millions, except for per-share amounts)	2013	2012	2011
Impact on earnings from continuing operations	$37	$37	$39
Impact on earnings before income taxes	37	37	39
Impact on net earnings	25	26	27
Impact on net earnings per share:			
Basic	$.05	$.06	$.06
Diluted	.05	.06	.06

We estimate the fair value of each stock option granted using the Black-Scholes-Merton multiple option approach. Expected volatility is based on historical periods generally commensurate with the estimated terms of the options. We use historical data to estimate option exercise and termination patterns within the model. Separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise patterns are stratified and considered separately for valuation purposes. The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of our option model and represents the weighted-average period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. We base the risk-free interest rate on the Treasury note rate with a term comparable to that of the estimated term of the options. The weighted-average fair value of options at their grant date was $14.25 per share for 2013, compared with $16.84 for 2012 and $17.02 in 2011. The following table presents the assumptions used in valuing options granted during the years ended December 31.

	2013	2012	2011
Expected term (years)	6.6	6.5	6.9
Expected volatility	34.0 %	38.0 %	30.0 %
Annual forfeiture rate	1.6	1.6	1.6
Risk-free interest rate	1.8	2.1	3.4
Dividend yield	2.6	1.3	1.3

The following table summarizes stock option activity.

(In thousands of shares)	Stock Option Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share
Outstanding at December 31, 2010	14,506	$41.06
Granted in 2011	1,216	52.32
Canceled in 2011	(151)	41.43
Exercised in 2011	(1,008)	30.00
Outstanding at December 31, 2011	14,563	42.76
Granted in 2012	784	47.25
Canceled in 2012	(134)	48.59
Exercised in 2012	(2,476)	32.27
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	12,737	45.00
Granted in 2013	703	52.86
Canceled in 2013	(179)	44.79
Exercised in 2013	(3,281)	40.52
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	9,980	$47.03

(In thousands of shares)	2013	2012	2011
Shares exercisable, end of year	8,042	10,635	11,246

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2013.

(In thousands of shares)	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
Range of Exercise Prices Per Share	Stock Option Shares Outstanding	Wgtd.-Avg. Remaining Contractual Life (Yrs.)	Wgtd.-Avg. Exercise Price Per Share	Stock Option Shares Exercisable	Wgtd.-Avg. Exercise Price Per Share
$14.99 - $40.23	2,053	4.3	$32.69	2,017	$32.61
40.30 - 47.06	2,655	4.0	44.39	2,336	44.42
47.23 - 49.50	2,189	5.1	48.15	1,368	47.62
49.57 - 61.81	2,848	5.5	57.56	2,225	57.83
61.84 - 67.67	235	7.5	64.05	96	65.09
$14.99 - $67.67	9,980	4.8	$47.03	8,042	$45.96

The aggregate intrinsic value represents the difference between the exercise price of the stock options and the quoted closing common stock price of $66.80 as of December 31, 2013, for those awards that have an exercise price currently below the closing price. As of December 31, 2013, the aggregate intrinsic value of stock options outstanding was $197 million, with a weighted-average remaining term of 4.8 years. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options exercisable at that same date was $168 million, with a weighted-average remaining term of 4.0 years.

The following table summarizes stock option activity during the years ended December 31.

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Total intrinsic value of options exercised	$66	$41	$23
Cash received from options exercised	113	35	18
Tax benefit realized as a result of options exercised and restricted stock releases	30	24	14

The value of restricted stock awards is based on the fair market value of our common stock at the date of grant. The following table summarizes restricted stock activity during the years ended December 31.

(In thousands of shares)	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share
Restricted stock at December 31, 2010	1,274	$40.26
Granted in 2011	405	56.22
Canceled in 2011	(35)	42.44
Vested in 2011	(294)	59.02
Restricted stock at December 31, 2011	1,350	40.92
Granted in 2012	637	48.18
Canceled in 2012	(56)	48.22
Vested in 2012	(568)	26.13
Restricted stock at December 31, 2012	1,363	50.19
Granted in 2013	782	52.77
Canceled in 2013	(56)	48.63
Vested in 2013	(418)	47.49
Restricted stock at December 31, 2013	1,671	$52.12

As of December 31, 2013, total compensation cost not yet recognized in our financial statements related to restricted stock awards was $42 million, of which $23 million (887 thousand shares) was related to restricted stock awards with a performance-based vesting condition. We expect to recognize these amounts over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.5 years. There are no other contractual terms covering restricted stock awards once vested.

13. STATUTORY ACCOUNTING AND DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

Our insurance subsidiaries are required to report their results of operations and financial position to state insurance regulatory authorities on the basis of statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by such authorities. Statutory accounting practices primarily differ from U.S. GAAP by charging policy acquisition costs to expense as incurred, establishing future policy benefit liabilities using different actuarial assumptions as well as valuing investments and certain assets and accounting for deferred taxes on a different basis.

Aflac, the Company's most significant insurance subsidiary, reports statutory financial statements that are prepared on the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Nebraska Department of Insurance (NEDOI). The NEDOI recognizes statutory accounting principles and practices prescribed or permitted by the state of Nebraska for determining and reporting the financial condition and results of operations of an insurance company, and for determining a company's solvency under Nebraska insurance law. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners' (NAIC) Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual (SAP) has been adopted by the state of Nebraska as a component of those prescribed or permitted practices. Additionally, the Director of the NEDOI has the right to permit other specific practices which deviate from prescribed practices. Aflac has been given explicit permission by the Director of the NEDOI for two such permitted practices. These permitted practices, which do not impact the calculation of net income on a statutory basis or prevent the triggering of a regulatory event in the Company's risk-based capital calculation, are as follows:

- Aflac has reported as admitted assets the refundable lease deposits on the leases of commercial office space which house Aflac Japan's sales operations. These lease deposits are unique and part of the ordinary course of doing business in the country of Japan; these assets would be non-admitted under SAP.

- Aflac utilized book value accounting for certain guaranteed separate account funding agreements instead of fair value accounting as required by SAP. The underlying separate account assets had an unrealized gain of $35 million as of December 31, 2013, compared with an unrealized gain of $46 million as of December 31, 2012.

A reconciliation of Aflac's capital and surplus between SAP and practices permitted by the state of Nebraska is shown below:

(In millions)	2013	2012
Capital and surplus, Nebraska state basis	$9,630	$8,892
State Permitted Practice:		
Refundable lease deposits – Japan	(41)	(49)
Separate Account Funding Agreements	35	46
Capital and surplus, NAIC basis	$9,624	$8,889

As of December 31, 2013, Aflac's capital and surplus significantly exceeded the required company action level capital and surplus of $1.3 billion. As determined on a U.S. statutory accounting basis, Aflac's net income was $2.4 billion in 2013, $2.3 billion in 2012 and $444 million in 2011.

Aflac Japan must report its results of operations and financial position to the Japanese Financial Services Agency (FSA) on a Japanese regulatory accounting basis as prescribed by the FSA. Capital and surplus of the Japan branch, based on Japanese regulatory accounting practices, was $4.2 billion at December 31, 2013, compared with $3.9 billion at December 31, 2012. Japanese regulatory accounting practices differ in many respects from U.S. GAAP. Under Japanese regulatory accounting practices, policy acquisition costs are expensed immediately; deferred income tax liabilities are recognized on a different basis; policy benefit and claim reserving methods and assumptions are different; the carrying value of securities transferred to held to maturity is different; policyholder protection corporation obligations are not accrued; premium income is recognized on a cash basis; reinsurance is recognized on a different basis; and investments can have a separate accounting classification and treatment referred to as “policy reserve matching bonds,” or “PRM.”

The Parent Company depends on its subsidiaries for cash flow, primarily in the form of dividends and management fees. Consolidated retained earnings in the accompanying financial statements largely represent the undistributed earnings of our insurance subsidiary. Amounts available for dividends, management fees and other payments to the Parent Company by its insurance subsidiary may fluctuate due to different accounting methods required by regulatory authorities. These payments are also subject to various regulatory restrictions and approvals related to safeguarding the interests of insurance policyholders. Our insurance subsidiary must maintain adequate risk-based capital for U.S. regulatory authorities and our Japan branch must maintain adequate solvency margins for Japanese regulatory

authorities. Additionally, the maximum amount of dividends that can be paid to the Parent Company by Aflac without prior approval of Nebraska's director of insurance is the greater of the net income from operations, which excludes net realized investment gains, for the previous year determined under statutory accounting principles, or 10% of statutory capital and surplus as of the previous year-end. Dividends declared by Aflac during 2014 in excess of $2.4 billion would require such approval. Aflac declared dividends of $962 million during 2013.

A portion of Aflac Japan earnings, as determined on a Japanese regulatory accounting basis, can be repatriated each year to Aflac U.S. after complying with solvency margin provisions and satisfying various conditions imposed by Japanese regulatory authorities for protecting policyholders. Profit repatriations to the United States can fluctuate due to changes in the amounts of Japanese regulatory earnings. Among other items, factors affecting regulatory earnings include Japanese regulatory accounting practices and fluctuations in currency translation of Aflac Japan's dollar-denominated investments and related investment income into yen. Profits repatriated by Aflac Japan to Aflac U.S. were as follows for the years ended December 31:

	In Dollars			In Yen		
(In millions of dollars and billions of yen)	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
Profit repatriation	$771	$422	$143	76.8	33.1	11.0

We had entered into foreign exchange forwards and options as part of an economic hedge on 65.0 billion yen of the 2013 repatriation, resulting in $24 million of additional funds received when the yen was exchanged into dollars in July 2013. As of December 31, 2013, we had foreign exchange forwards and options as part of a hedging strategy on 47.5 billion yen of the profit repatriation expected to be received in July 2014. In January 2014, we restructured this hedging strategy with a new 52.5 billion yen foreign exchange forward contract.

14. BENEFIT PLANS

Pension and Other Postretirement Plans

We have funded defined benefit plans in Japan and the United States, which cover substantially all of our full-time employees. Additionally, we maintain non-qualified, unfunded supplemental retirement plans that provide defined pension benefits in excess of limits imposed by federal tax law for certain Japanese, U.S. and former employees. Effective October 1, 2013, the U.S. defined benefit plan was frozen to new employees hired on or after October 1, 2013 and to employees rehired on or after October 1, 2013. During the fourth quarter of 2013, active participants in the U.S. defined benefit plan were given the option to exit the benefit plan and receive a nonelective 401(k) contribution. The active participants who selected this opt out election had an immaterial impact on our accumulated benefit obligation. For further information see the 401(k) plan section below.

We provide certain health care benefits for eligible U.S. retired employees, their beneficiaries and covered dependents ("other postretirement benefits"). The health care plan is contributory and unfunded. Substantially all of our U.S. employees may become eligible to receive other postretirement benefits if they retire at age 55 or older with at least 15 years of service or if they retire when their age plus service, in years, equals or exceeds 80 (rule of 80). At retirement, an employee is given an opportunity to elect continuation of coverage under our medical plan until age 65. For certain employees and former employees, additional coverage is provided for all medical expenses for life.

On October 1, 2013, a change was made to postretirement medical benefits to limit the eligibility for the benefits beginning January 1, 2014 to include the following: (1) active employees who have met the rule of 80; (2) active employees who are age 55 or older and have met the 15 years of service requirement; (3) active employees who will meet the rule of 80 in the next five; (4) active employees who will be age 55 or older and who will meet the 15 years of service requirement with the next five; and (5) current retirees. Effective October 1, 2013, this change was accounted for as a negative plan amendment and resulted in a reduction to the postretirement benefit obligation of $51 million, with an offset to accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI). This reduction will be amortized as a reduction to net periodic benefit cost over three years beginning in the fourth quarter of 2013. The postretirement plan obligation was remeasured using a discount rate of 4.75% as of October 1, 2013.

Information with respect to our benefit plans' assets and obligations as of December 31 was as follows:

155

	Pension Benefits				Other	
	Japan		U.S.		Postretirement Benefits	
(In millions)	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Projected benefit obligation:						
Benefit obligation, beginning of year	$313	$329	$613	$525	$98	$83
Service cost	16	18	22	18	5	6
Interest cost	10	7	23	24	3	4
Plan amendments	0	0	(4)	0	(51)	2
Actuarial (gain) loss	(3)	3	(37)	60	(7)	5
Benefits and expenses paid	(8)	(8)	(16)	(14)	(2)	(2)
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes	(58)	(36)	0	0	0	0
Benefit obligation, end of year	270	313	601	613	46	98
Plan assets:						
Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year	187	171	261	224	0	0
Actual return on plan assets	13	17	39	31	0	0
Employer contributions	26	27	29	20	2	2
Benefits and expenses paid	(8)	(8)	(16)	(14)	(2)	(2)
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes	(36)	(20)	0	0	0	0
Fair value of plan assets, end of year	182	187	313	261	0	0
Funded status of the plans[1]	$(88)	$(126)	$(288)	$(352)	$(46)	$(98)
Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income:						
Net actuarial (gain) loss	$33	$57	$111	$185	$25	$34
Prior service (credit) cost	(2)	(3)	(4)	0	(45)	2
Transition obligation	1	1	0	0	0	0
Total included in accumulated other comprehensive income	$32	$55	$107	$185	$(20)	$36
Accumulated benefit obligation	$239	$276	$514	$516	N/A [2]	N/A [2]

[1] Recognized in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets

[2] Not applicable

	Pension Benefits						Other		
	Japan			U.S.			Postretirement Benefits		
	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
Weighted-average actuarial assumptions:									
Discount rate - net periodic benefit cost	2.25 %	2.25 %	2.25 %	4.25 %	4.75 %	5.50 %	4.25 %	4.75 %	5.50 %
Discount rate - benefit obligations	2.25	2.25	2.25	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.25	4.75
Expected long-term return on plan assets	2.00	2.50	2.50	7.50	7.50	7.50	N/A [1]	N/A [1]	N/A [1]

Rate of compensation increase	N/A [1]	N/A [1]	N/A [1]	4.00	4.00	4.00	N/A [1]	N/A [1]	N/A [1]
Health care cost trend rates	N/A [1]	N/A [1]	N/A [1]	N/A [1]	N/A [1]	N/A [1]	6.40 [2]	5.70 [2]	7.30 [2]

[1] Not applicable

[2] For the years 2013, 2012 and 2011, the health care cost trend rates are expected to trend down to 4.6% in 78 years, 4.7% in 79 years, and 4.2% in 75 years, respectively.

156

We determine our discount rate assumption for our pension retirement obligations based on indices for AA corporate bonds with an average duration of approximately 20 years for the Japan pension plans and 17 years for the U.S. pension plans, and determination of the U.S. pension plans discount rate utilizes the 85-year extrapolated yield curve. In Japan, participant salary and future salary increases are not factors in determining pension benefit cost or the related pension benefit obligation.

We base our assumption for the long-term rate of return on assets on historical trends (10-year or longer historical rates of return for the Japanese plan assets and 15-year historical rates of return for the U.S. plan assets), expected future market movement, as well as the portfolio mix of securities in the asset portfolio including, but not limited to, style, class and equity and fixed income allocations. In addition, our consulting actuaries evaluate our assumptions for long-term rates of return under Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOP). Under the ASOP, the actual portfolio type, mix and class is modeled to determine a range of long-term rates of return. We in turn use those results to further validate our own assumptions.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plan. A one-percentage point increase and decrease in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects as of December 31, 2013:

(In millions)	
One percentage point increase:	
Increase in total service and interest costs	$0
Increase in postretirement benefit obligation	3
One percentage point decrease:	
Decrease in total service and interest costs	$0
Decrease in postretirement benefit obligation	3

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

Pension and other postretirement benefit expenses, included in acquisition and operating expenses in the consolidated statements of earnings for the years ended December 31, included the following components:

	Pension Benefits						Other Postretirement Benefits		
	Japan			U.S.					
(In millions)	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
Service cost	$16	$18	$17	$22	$18	$14	$5	$6	$4
Interest cost	10	7	12	23	24	28	3	4	3
Expected return on plan assets	(3)	(4)	(4)	(17)	(16)	(14)	0	0	0
Amortization of net actuarial loss	2	3	3	15	11	6	2	1	1
Amortization of prior service cost	0	0	0	0	0	0	(4)	0	0
Net periodic (benefit) cost	$25	$24	$28	$43	$37	$34	$6	$11	$8

Changes in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The following table summarizes the amounts recognized in other comprehensive loss (income) for the years ended December 31:

157

	Pension Benefits						Other		
	Japan			U.S.			Postretirement Benefits		
(In millions)	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011	2013	2012	2011
Net actuarial loss (gain)	$(14)	$(10)	$5	$(59)	$45	$57	$(7)	$5	$10
Amortization of net actuarial loss	(2)	(3)	(3)	(15)	(11)	(6)	(2)	(1)	(1)
Prior service cost (credit)	0	0	0	(4)	(1)	0	(51)	2	0
Amortization of prior service cost	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
Total	$(16)	$(13)	$2	$(78)	$33	$51	$(56)	$6	$9

Prior service credits of $51 million were incurred in 2013 for the plan amendment related to the change in eligibility for postretirement medical benefits mentioned above. No transition obligations arose during 2013, and the transition obligations amortized to expense were immaterial for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Amortization of actuarial losses to expense in 2014 is estimated to be $1 million for the Japanese plans, $10 million for the U.S. plans and $3 million for the other postretirement benefits plan. Amortization of prior service credits in 2014 is estimated to be $17 million for the other postretirement benefits plan due to the negative plan amendment in 2013. The amortization of prior service costs and credits for other plans and transition obligations for all plans is expected to be negligible.

Benefit Payments

The following table provides expected benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate.

	Pension Benefits		Other
(In millions)	Japan	U.S.	Postretirement Benefits
2014	$10	$21	$2
2015	8	22	2
2016	7	23	3
2017	10	30	3
2018	8	31	4
2019-2023	66	184	22

Funding

We plan to make contributions of $22 million to the Japanese funded defined benefit plan and $10 million to the U.S. funded defined benefit plan in 2014. The funding policy for our non-qualified supplemental defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefits plan is to contribute the amount of the benefit payments made during the year.

Plan Assets

The investment objective of our Japanese and U.S. funded defined benefit plans is to preserve the purchasing power of the plan's assets and earn a reasonable inflation-adjusted rate of return over the long term. Furthermore, we seek to accomplish these objectives in a manner that allows for the adequate funding of plan benefits and expenses. In order to achieve these objectives, our goal is to maintain a conservative, well-diversified and balanced portfolio of high-quality equity, fixed-income and money market securities. As a part of our strategy, we have established strict policies covering quality, type and concentration of investment securities. For our Japanese plan, these policies include limitations on investments in derivatives including futures, options and swaps, and low-liquidity investments such as real estate, venture capital investments, and privately issued securities. For our U.S. plan, these policies prohibit investments in precious metals, limited partnerships, venture capital, and direct investments in real estate. We are also prohibited from trading on margin.

The plan fiduciaries for our funded defined benefit plans have developed guidelines for asset allocations reflecting a percentage of total assets by asset class, which are reviewed on an annual basis. Asset allocation targets as of December 31, 2013 were as follows:

	Japan Pension	U.S. Pension
Domestic equities	0 %	43 %
International equities	15	22
Fixed income securities	59	35
Mutual funds	11	0
Other	15	0
Total	100 %	100 %

The following table presents the fair value of Aflac Japan's pension plan assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31. All of these assets are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

(In millions)	2013	2012
Japan pension plan assets:		
Equities:		
Japanese equity securities	$0	$15
International equity securities	34	42
Fixed income securities:		
Japanese bonds	60	62
International bonds	43	44
Mutual funds	21	0
Insurance contracts	24	24
Total	$182	$187

The following table presents the fair value of Aflac U.S.'s pension plan assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31. All of these assets are classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.

(In millions)	2013	2012
U.S. pension plan assets:		
Mutual funds:		
Large cap equity funds	$110	$87
Mid cap equity funds	19	16
Real estate equity funds	9	8
International equity funds	68	59
Fixed income bond funds	103	88
Aflac Incorporated common stock	4	3
Total	$313	$261

The fair values of our pension plan investments categorized as Level 1, consisting of mutual funds and common stock, are based on quoted market prices for identical securities traded in active markets that are readily and regularly available to us. The fair values of our pension plan investments classified as Level 2 are based on quoted prices for similar assets in markets that are not active, other inputs that are observable, such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks, and default rates, or other market-corroborated inputs.

401(k) Plan

The Company sponsors a 401(k) plan in which we match a portion of U.S. employees' contributions. The plan provides for salary reduction contributions by employees and, in 2013, 2012, and 2011, provided matching contributions by the Company of 50% of each employee's contributions which were not in excess of 6% of the employee's annual cash compensation. The matching contributions by the Company, included in acquisition and operating expenses in the consolidated statements of earnings, were $5 million in 2013, $5 million in 2012 and $4 million in 2011. The plan trustee held approximately two million shares of our common stock for plan participants at

December 31, 2013.

Beginning on January 1, 2014, the Company will provide a nonelective contribution to the 401(k) plan of 2% of annual cash compensation for employees who elected to opt out of the future benefits of the U.S. defined benefit plan during the election period provided during the fourth quarter of 2013 and for new U.S. employees who started working for the Company after September 30, 2013.

Stock Bonus Plan

Aflac U.S. maintains a stock bonus plan for eligible U.S. sales associates. Plan participants receive shares of Aflac Incorporated common stock based on their new annualized premium sales and their first-year persistency of substantially all new insurance policies. The cost of this plan, which was capitalized as deferred policy acquisition costs, amounted to $38 million in 2013 and 2012, compared with $35 million in 2011.

15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

We have three outsourcing agreements with a technology and consulting corporation. The first agreement provides mainframe computer operations and support for Aflac Japan. It has a remaining term of two years and an aggregate remaining cost of 8.8 billion yen ($84 million using the December 31, 2013, exchange rate). The second agreement provides distributed mid-range server computer operations and support for Aflac Japan. It has a remaining term of two years and an aggregate remaining cost of 7.4 billion yen ($71 million using the December 31, 2013, exchange rate). The third agreement provides application maintenance and development services for Aflac Japan. It has a remaining term of four years and an aggregate remaining cost of 6.5 billion yen ($62 million using the December 31, 2013, exchange rate).

We have an outsourcing agreement with a management consulting and technology services company to provide application maintenance and development services for our Japanese operation. The agreement has a remaining term of four years with an aggregate remaining cost of 5.4 billion yen ($51 million using the December 31, 2013, exchange rate).

We have an outsourcing agreement with an information technology and data services company to provide application maintenance and development services for our Japanese operation. The agreement has a remaining term of three years with an aggregate remaining cost of 3.9 billion yen ($37 million using the December 31, 2013, exchange rate).

We lease office space and equipment under agreements that expire in various years through 2019. Future minimum lease payments due under non-cancelable operating leases at December 31, 2013, were as follows:

(In millions)	
2014	$51
2015	37
2016	16
2017	12
2018	4
Thereafter	1
Total future minimum lease payments	$121

We are a defendant in various lawsuits considered to be in the normal course of business. Members of our senior legal and financial management teams review litigation on a quarterly and annual basis. The final results of any litigation cannot be predicted with certainty. Although some of this litigation is pending in states where large punitive damages, bearing little relation to the actual damages sustained by plaintiffs, have been awarded in recent years, we believe the outcome of pending litigation will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

16. UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

In management's opinion, the following quarterly financial information fairly presents the results of operations for such periods and is prepared on a basis consistent with our annual audited financial statements.

(In millions, except for per-share amounts)	March 31, 2013	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2013
Net premium income	$5,184	$5,013	$5,028	$4,910
Net investment income	833	813	821	826
Realized investment gains (losses)	156	201	22	20
Other income	35	17	15	45
Total revenues	6,208	6,044	5,886	5,801
Total benefits and expenses, net	4,847	4,686	4,817	4,773
Earnings before income taxes	1,361	1,358	1,069	1,028
Total income tax	469	469	367	353
Net earnings	$892	$889	$702	$675
Net earnings per basic share	$1.91	$1.91	$1.51	$1.46
Net earnings per diluted share	1.90	1.90	1.50	1.45

Quarterly amounts may not agree in total to the corresponding annual amounts due to rounding.

(In millions, except for per-share amounts)	March 31, 2012	June 30, 2012	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2012
Premium income	$5,378	$5,467	$5,660	$5,643
Net investment income	882	845	869	876
Realized investment gains (losses)	(45)	(418)	286	(171)
Other income	25	8	32	27
Total revenues	6,240	5,902	6,847	6,375
Total benefits and expenses	5,038	5,161	5,367	5,495
Earnings before income taxes	1,202	741	1,480	880
Total income tax	417	258	463	299
Net earnings	$785	$483	$1,017	$581
Net earnings per basic share	$1.68	$1.04	$2.17	$1.24
Net earnings per diluted share	1.68	1.03	2.16	1.24

Quarterly amounts may not agree in total to the corresponding annual amounts due to rounding.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There have been no changes in, or disagreements with, accountants on accounting and financial disclosure matters during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this annual report (the "Evaluation Date"). Based on such evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

(a) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting is incorporated herein by reference from Part II, Item 8 of this report.

(b) Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm on the Company's internal control over financial reporting is incorporated herein by reference from Part II, Item 8 of this report.

(c) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the last fiscal quarter of 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

PART III

Pursuant to General Instruction G to Form 10-K, Items 10 through 14 are incorporated by reference from the Company's definitive Notice and Proxy Statement relating to the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or about March 20, 2014, pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act. The Audit Committee Report and Compensation Committee Report to be included in such proxy statement shall be deemed to be furnished in this report and shall not be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 as a result of such furnishing in Items 10 and 11, respectively.

		Refer to the Information Contained in the Proxy Statement under Captions (filed electronically)
ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE Executive Officers - see Part I, Item 1 herein	1. Election of Directors; Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance; The Audit Committee; Audit Committee Report; Director Nominating Process; and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	Director Compensation; The Compensation Committee; Compensation Committee Report; Compensation Discussion and Analysis; 2013 Summary Compensation Table; 2013 Grants of Plan-Based Awards; 2013 Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End; 2013 Option Exercises and Stock Vested; Pension Benefits; Nonqualified Deferred Compensation; Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-In-Control; and Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	Principal Shareholders; Election of Directors (Proposal 1); Security Ownership of Management; and Equity Compensation Plan Information
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	Related Person Transactions; and Director Independence
ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Proposal 3); and The Audit Committee

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page(s)
Included in Part II, Item 8, of this report:	
Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries:	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	79
Consolidated Statements of Earnings for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013	81
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013	82
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012	83
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013	85
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013	86
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	87
Unaudited Consolidated Quarterly Financial Data	161

2. FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Included in Part IV of this report:		
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Financial Statement Schedules		169
Schedule II -	Condensed Financial Information of Registrant as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013	170
Schedule III -	Supplementary Insurance Information as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013	176
Schedule IV-	Reinsurance for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013	177

3. EXHIBIT INDEX

An “Exhibit Index” has been filed as part of this Report beginning on the following page and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Schedules other than those listed above are omitted because they are not required, are not material, are not applicable, or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

In reviewing the agreements included as exhibits to this annual report, please remember they are included to provide you with information regarding their terms and are not intended to provide any other factual or disclosure information about the Company or the other parties to the agreements. The agreements contain representations and warranties by each of the parties to the applicable agreement. These representations and warranties have been made solely for the benefit of the other parties to the applicable agreement and:

- should not in all instances be treated as categorical statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk to one of the parties if those statements prove to be inaccurate;
- have been qualified by disclosures that were made to the other party in connection with the negotiation of the applicable agreement, which disclosures are not necessarily reflected in the agreement;

- may apply standards of materiality in a way that is different from what may be viewed as material to you or other investors; and
- were made only as of the date of the applicable agreement or such other date or dates as may be specified in the agreement and are subject to more recent developments.

Accordingly, these representations and warranties may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time.

164

(b) EXHIBIT INDEX[1]

3.0	-	Articles of Incorporation, as amended – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2008, Exhibit 3.0 (File No. 001-07434).
3.1	-	Bylaws of the Corporation, as amended – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for March 31, 2010, Exhibit 3.1 (File No. 001-07434).
4.0	-	There are no instruments with respect to long-term debt not being registered in which the total amount of securities authorized exceeds 10% of the total assets of Aflac Incorporated and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. We agree to furnish a copy of any long-term debt instrument to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.
4.1	-	Indenture, dated as of May 21, 2009, between Aflac Incorporated and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee – incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated May 21, 2009, Exhibit 4.1 (File No. 001-07434).
4.2	-	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 21, 2009, between Aflac Incorporated and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (including the form of 8.500% Senior Note due 2019) – incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated May 21, 2009, Exhibit 4.2 (File No. 001-07434).
4.3	-	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of December 17, 2009, between Aflac Incorporated and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (including the form of 6.900% Senior Note due 2039) – incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated December 14, 2009, Exhibit 4.1 (File No. 001-07434).
4.4	-	Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 9, 2010, between Aflac Incorporated and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (including the form of 6.45% Senior Note due 2040) - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated August 4, 2010, Exhibit 4.1 (File No. 001-07434).
4.5	-	Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 9, 2010, between Aflac Incorporated and The Bank of New York and Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (including the form of 3.45% Senior Note due 2015) – incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated August 4, 2010, Exhibit 4.2 (File No. 001-07434).
4.6	-	Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 10, 2012, between Aflac Incorporated and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (including the form of 2.65% Senior Note due 2017) - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 8, 2012, Exhibit 4.1 (File No. 001-07434).
4.7	-	Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 10, 2012, between Aflac Incorporated and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (including the form of 4.00% Senior Note due 2022) - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 8, 2012, Exhibit 4.2 (File No. 001-07434).
4.8	-	Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 31, 2012, between Aflac Incorporated and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (including the form of 2.65% Senior Note due 2017) - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated July 27, 2012, Exhibit 4.1 (File No. 001-07434).
4.9	-	Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 10, 2013, between Aflac Incorporated and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (including the form of 3.625% Senior Note due 2023) - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated June 10, 2013, Exhibit 4.1 (File No. 001-07434).
4.10	-	Subordinated Indenture, dated as of September 26, 2012, between Aflac Incorporated and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated October 1, 2012, Exhibit 4.1 (File No. 001-07434).
4.11	-	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of September 26, 2012, between Aflac Incorporated and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as trustee (including the form of 5.50% Subordinated Debenture due 2052) - incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated October 1,

		2012, Exhibit 4.2 (File No. 001-07434).
10.0*	-	American Family Corporation Retirement Plan for Senior Officers, as amended and restated October 1, 1989 – incorporated by reference from 1993 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.2 (File No. 001-07434).
10.1*	-	Amendment to American Family Corporation Retirement Plan for Senior Officers, dated December 8, 2008 – incorporated by reference from 2008 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.1 (File No. 001-07434).
10.2*	-	Aflac Incorporated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated January 1, 2009 – incorporated by reference from 2008 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.5 (File No. 001-07434).
10.3*	-	First Amendment to the Aflac Incorporated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, as amended and restated January 1, 2009 – incorporated by reference from 2012 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.3 (File No. 001-07434).

165

10.4*	-	Aflac Incorporated Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated, effective January 1, 2009 – incorporated by reference from 2008 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.9 (File No. 001-07434).
10.5*	-	First Amendment to the Aflac Incorporated Executive Deferred Compensation Plan dated June 1, 2009 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2009, Exhibit 10.4 (File No. 001-07434).
10.6*	-	Aflac Incorporated Amended and Restated 2009 Management Incentive Plan – incorporated by reference from the 2008 Shareholders' Proxy Statement, Appendix B (File No. 001-07434).
10.7*	-	First Amendment to the Aflac Incorporated Amended and Restated 2009 Management Incentive Plan, dated December 19, 2008 – incorporated by reference from 2008 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.11 (File No. 001-07434).
10.8*	-	Aflac Incorporated 2013 Management Incentive Plan - incorporated by reference from the 2012 Proxy Statement, Appendix B (File No. 001-07434).
10.9*	-	Aflac Incorporated Sales Incentive Plan – incorporated by reference from 2007 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.8 (File No. 001-07434).
10.10*	-	1999 Aflac Associate Stock Bonus Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2013 - incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for March 31, 2013, Exhibit 10.10 (File No. 001-07434).
10.11*	-	Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan – incorporated by reference from the 1997 Shareholders' Proxy Statement, Appendix B (File No. 001-07434).
10.12*	-	Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Non-Qualifying Stock Option) under the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan – incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.5 (File No. 001-07434).
10.13*	-	Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Incentive Stock Option) under the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan – incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.6 (File No. 001-07434).
10.14*	-	Notice of grant of stock options and stock option agreement to officers under the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan – incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated January 28, 2005, Exhibit 10.7 (File No. 001-07434).
10.15*	-	2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from the 2012 Proxy Statement, Appendix A (File No. 001-07434).
10.16*	-	Form of Non-Employee Director Stock Option Agreement (NQSO) under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.16 (File No. 001-07434).
10.17*	-	Notice of grant of stock options to non-employee director under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.17 (File No. 001-07434).
10.18*	-	Form of Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.18 (File No. 001-07434).
10.19*	-	Notice of restricted stock award to non-employee director under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.19 (File No. 001-07434).
10.20*	-	U.S. Form of Officer Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.20 (File No. 001-07434).
10.21*	-	Japan Form of Officer Restricted Stock Award Agreement under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.21 (File No. 001-07434).
10.22*	-	

		Notice of time based restricted stock award to officers under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.22 (File No. 001-07434).
10.23*	-	Notice of performance based restricted stock award to officers under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.23 (File No. 001-07434).
10.24*	-	U.S. Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Non-Qualifying Stock Option) under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.24 (File No. 001-07434).
10.25*	-	Japan Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Non-Qualifying Stock Option) under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.25 (File No. 001-07434).

166

10.26*	-	U.S. Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Incentive Stock Option) under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.26 (File No. 001-07434).
10.27*	-	Japan Form of Officer Stock Option Agreement (Incentive Stock Option) under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.27 (File No. 001-07434).
10.28*	-	U.S. Notice of grant of stock options to officers under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.28 (File No. 001-07434).
10.29*	-	Japan Notice of grant of stock options to officers under the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated March 14, 2012 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for June 30, 2013, Exhibit 10.29 (File No. 001-07434).
10.30*	-	Aflac Incorporated Retirement Plan for Directors Emeritus, as amended and restated, dated February 9, 2010 – incorporated by reference from 2009 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.26 (File No. 001-07434).
10.31*	-	Amendment to Aflac Incorporated Retirement Plan for Directors Emeritus, as amended and restated, dated August 10, 2010 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for September 30, 2010, Exhibit 10.27 (File No. 001-07434).
10.32*	-	Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Daniel P. Amos, dated August 1, 1993 – incorporated by reference from 1993 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.4 (File No. 001-07434).
10.33*	-	Amendment to Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Daniel P. Amos, dated December 8, 2008 – incorporated by reference from 2008 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.32 (File No. 001-07434).
10.34*	-	Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Kriss Cloninger III, dated February 14, 1992, and as amended November 12, 1993 – incorporated by reference from 1993 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.6 (File No. 001-07434).
10.35*	-	Amendment to Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Kriss Cloninger III, dated November 3, 2008 – incorporated by reference from 2008 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.34 (File No. 001-07434).
10.36*	-	Amendment to Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Kriss Cloninger III, dated December 19, 2008 – incorporated by reference from 2008 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.35 (File No. 001-07434).
10.37*	-	Amendment to Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Kriss Cloninger III, dated March 15, 2011 – incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for March 31, 2011, Exhibit 10.33 (File No. 001-07434).
10.38*	-	Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Paul S. Amos II, dated January 1, 2005 – incorporated by reference from Form 8-K dated February 7, 2005, Exhibit 10.2 (File No. 001-07434).
10.39*	-	Amendment to Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Paul S. Amos II, dated December 19, 2008 – incorporated by reference from 2008 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.39 (File No. 001-07434).
10.40*	-	Amendment to Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Paul S. Amos II, dated March 7, 2012 - incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for March 31, 2012, Exhibit 10.36 (File No. 001-07434).
10.41*	-	Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Joey Loudermilk, dated September 12, 1994 and as amended December 10, 2008 – incorporated by reference from 2008 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.40 (File No. 001-07434).
10.42*	-	Amendment to Aflac Incorporated Employee Agreement with Joey Loudermilk, dated December 14, 2011 - incorporated by reference from 2011 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.37 (File No. 001-07434).
10.43*	-	

		Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Tohru Tonoike, effective February 1, 2007 – incorporated by reference from 2008 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.41 (File No. 001-07434).
10.44*	-	Amendment to Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Tohru Tonoike, dated February 9, 2010 – incorporated by reference from 2009 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.36 (File No. 001-07434).
10.45*	-	Amendment to Aflac Incorporated Employment Agreement with Tohru Tonoike, dated October 8, 2012 – incorporated by reference from 2012 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.40 (File No. 001-07434).
10.46*	-	Aflac Retirement Agreement with E. Stephen Purdom, dated February 15, 2000 – incorporated by reference from 2000 Form 10-K, Exhibit 10.13 (File No. 001-07434).
11	-	Statement regarding the computation of per-share earnings for the Registrant.
12	-	Statement regarding the computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the Registrant.
21	-	Subsidiaries.

23	-	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-158969 with respect to the Aflac Incorporated 401(k) Savings and Profit Sharing Plan.
	-	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-27883 with respect to the Aflac Incorporated 1997 Stock Option Plan.
	-	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement Nos. 333-135327 and 333-161269 with respect to the Aflac Incorporated Executive Deferred Compensation Plan.
	-	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-8 Registration Statement No. 333-115105 with respect to the 2004 Aflac Incorporated Long-Term Incentive Plan.
	-	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-3 Registration Statement No. 333-176178 with respect to the AFL Stock Plan.
	-	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm, KPMG LLP, to Form S-3 Registration Statement No. 333-181089 with respect to the Aflac Incorporated shelf registration statement.
31.1	-	Certification of CEO dated February 27, 2014, required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	-	Certification of CFO dated February 27, 2014, required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32	-	Certification of CEO and CFO dated February 27, 2014, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1	-	Senior unsecured revolving credit facility agreement, dated March 29, 2013 - incorporated by reference from Form 10-Q for March 31, 2013, Exhibit 99.1 (File No. 001-07434).
101.INS	-	XBRL Instance Document.[(2)]
101.SCH	-	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
101.CAL	-	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
101.DEF	-	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
101.LAB	-	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
101.PRE	-	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

(1) Copies of any exhibit are available upon request by calling our Investor Relations Department at 800.235.2667 - option 3

(2) Includes the following materials contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Statements of Earnings, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss), (iii) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, (vii) Financial Statement Schedules.

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit pursuant to Item 15(b) of this report.

(c) FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders
Aflac Incorporated:

Under date of February 27, 2014, we reported on the consolidated balance sheets of Aflac Incorporated and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income (loss), shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2013, which are included herein. In connection with our audits of the aforementioned consolidated financial statements, we also audited the related consolidated financial statement schedules as listed in Item 15. These financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statement schedules based on our audits.

In our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2012, the Company retrospectively adopted guidance related to a change in accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts.

Atlanta, Georgia
February 27, 2014

SCHEDULE II
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT

Aflac Incorporated (Parent Only)
Condensed Statements of Earnings

	Years ended December 31,		
(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Revenues:			
Dividends from subsidiaries[1]	$962	$0	$282
Management and service fees from subsidiaries[1]	292	249	230
Net investment income	11	20	10
Interest from subsidiaries[1]	7	7	8
Realized investment gains (losses)	10	1	(1)
Change in fair value of the cross-currency interest rate swaps	274	154	0
Other income (loss)	1	(7)	1
Total revenues	1,557	424	530
Operating expenses:			
Interest expense	208	184	168
Other operating expenses	79	72	69
Total operating expenses	287	256	237
Earnings before income taxes and equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries	1,270	168	293
Income tax expense (benefit):			
Current	0	1	0
Deferred	98	50	(2)
Total income taxes	98	51	(2)
Earnings before equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries	1,172	117	295
Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries[1]	1,986	2,749	1,642
Net earnings	$3,158	$2,866	$1,937

[1]Eliminated in consolidation

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.

See the accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.

See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

SCHEDULE II
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT
Aflac Incorporated (Parent Only)
Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)

	Years ended December 31,		
(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Net earnings	$3,158	$2,866	$1,937
Other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments:			
Unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) during period - parent only	48	95	(54)
Equity in unrealized foreign currency translation gains (losses) of subsidiaries during period	(1,636)	(382)	36
Unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities:			
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on investment securities during period - parent only	(12)	15	11
Equity in unrealized holding gains (losses) on investment securities held by subsidiaries during period	(2,350)	1,645	555
Equity in reclassification adjustment for realized (gains) losses of subsidiaries included in net earnings	(56)	497	1,154
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives during period	(10)	(22)	(33)
Pension liability adjustment during period	157	(20)	(65)
Total other comprehensive income (loss) before income taxes	(3,859)	1,828	1,604
Income tax expense (benefit) related to items of other comprehensive income (loss)	(581)	1,078	392
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes	(3,278)	750	1,212
Total comprehensive income (loss)	$(120)	$3,616	$3,149

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.
See the accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.
See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

SCHEDULE II
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT
Aflac Incorporated (Parent Only)
Condensed Balance Sheets

(In millions, except for share and per-share amounts)	December 31, 2013	2012
Assets:		
Investments and cash:		
Fixed maturity securities available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost $322 in 2013 and $131 in 2012)	$332	$156
Investments in subsidiaries[(1)]	17,678	19,001
Other investments	313	14
Cash and cash equivalents	1,081	830
Total investments and cash	19,404	20,001
Due from subsidiaries[(1)]	128	156
Other assets	464	257
Total assets	$19,996	$20,414
Liabilities and shareholders' equity:		
Liabilities:		
Income taxes	$(120)	$(232)
Employee benefit plans	246	255
Notes payable	4,910	4,367
Other liabilities	340	46
Total liabilities	5,376	4,436
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock of $.10 par value. In thousands: authorized 1,900,000 shares in 2013 and 2012; issued 667,046 shares in 2013 and 665,239 shares in 2012	67	67
Additional paid-in capital	1,644	1,505
Retained earnings	19,885	17,387
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unrealized foreign currency translation gains	(1,505)	333
Unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities	1,035	2,570
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives	(12)	(5)
Pension liability adjustment	(81)	(183)
Treasury stock, at average cost	(6,413)	(5,696)
Total shareholders' equity	14,620	15,978
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	$19,996	$20,414

[(1)]Eliminated in consolidation
See the accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.
See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

SCHEDULE II
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT
Aflac Incorporated (Parent Only)
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

	Years ended December 31,		
(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net earnings	$3,158	$2,866	$1,937
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided from operating activities:			
Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries[1]	(1,986)	(2,749)	(1,642)
Change in income tax liabilities	155	111	(52)
Other, net	11	(242)	145
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	1,338	(14)	388
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Fixed maturity securities sold	8	13	4
Fixed maturity securities purchased	(206)	(26)	(10)
Other investments sold (purchased)	(298)	(3)	0
Additional capitalization of subsidiaries[1]	0	0	(40)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	(496)	(16)	(46)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Purchases of treasury stock	(813)	(118)	(308)
Proceeds from borrowings	700	1,506	620
Principal payments under debt obligations	0	(380)	(459)
Dividends paid to shareholders	(635)	(603)	(552)
Treasury stock reissued	88	70	26
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	41	21	14
Net change in amount due to/from subsidiaries[1]	28	(21)	9
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	(591)	475	(650)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	251	445	(308)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	830	385	693
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	$1,081	$830	$385

[1]Eliminated in consolidation
Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.
See the accompanying Notes to Condensed Financial Statements.
See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

SCHEDULE II
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT
Aflac Incorporated (Parent Only)
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements

The accompanying condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto of Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries included in Part II, Item 8 of this report.

(A) Notes Payable

A summary of notes payable as of December 31 follows:

(In millions)	2013		2012	
3.45% senior notes due August 2015	$300		$300	
2.65% senior notes due February 2017	655	(1)	657	(1)
8.50% senior notes due May 2019	850		850	
4.00% senior notes due February 2022	349	(2)	349	(2)
3.625% senior notes due June 2023	700		0	
6.90% senior notes due December 2039	396	(2)	396	(2)
6.45% senior notes due August 2040	448	(2)	448	(2)
5.50% subordinated debentures due September 2052	500		500	
Yen-denominated Uridashi notes:				
2.26% notes due September 2016 (principal amount 10 billion yen)	95		116	
Yen-denominated Samurai notes:				
1.47% notes due July 2014 (principal amount 28.7 billion yen)	272		331	
1.84% notes due July 2016 (principal amount 15.8 billion yen)	150		182	
Variable interest rate notes due July 2014 (1.30% in 2013 and 1.34% in 2012, principal amount 5.5 billion yen)	52		64	
Yen-denominated loans:				
3.60% loan due July 2015 (principal amount 10 billion yen)	95		116	
3.00% loan due August 2015 (principal amount 5 billion yen)	48		58	
Total notes payable	$4,910		$4,367	

(1) Principal amount plus an issuance premium that is being amortized over the life of the notes
(2) Principal amount net of an issuance discount that is being amortized over the life of the notes

During 2009, Aflac Japan bought on the open market 2.0 billion yen of yen-denominated Uridashi notes issued by the Parent Company which are outstanding as of December 31, 2013. In consolidation, those notes have been extinguished; however, they remain an outstanding liability for the Parent Company until their maturity date.

The aggregate contractual maturities of notes payable during each of the years after December 31, 2013, are as follows:

(In millions)	
2014	$324
2015	443
2016	245
2017	650
2018	0
Thereafter	3,250
Total	$4,912

For further information regarding notes payable, see Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(B) Derivatives

At December 31, 2013, the Parent Company's outstanding freestanding derivative contracts were swaps associated with our notes payable, consisting of an interest rate swap for our variable interest rate yen-denominated debt and cross-currency interest rate swaps, also referred to as foreign currency swaps, associated with our senior notes due in June 2023, February 2017 and February 2022 and subordinated debentures due in September 2052. We do not use derivative financial instruments for trading purposes, nor do we engage in leveraged derivative transactions. For further information regarding these derivatives, see Notes 1, 4 and 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(C) Income Taxes

The Parent Company and its eligible U.S. subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return. Income tax liabilities or benefits are recorded by each principal subsidiary based upon separate return calculations, and any difference between the consolidated provision and the aggregate amounts recorded by the subsidiaries is reflected in the Parent Company financial statements. For further information on income taxes, see Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

(D) Dividend Restrictions

See Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding dividend restrictions.

(E) Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information

(In millions)	2013	2012	2011
Interest paid	$205	$181	$163
Noncash financing activities:			
Treasury stock issued for shareholder dividend reinvestment	25	25	23

SCHEDULE III
SUPPLEMENTARY INSURANCE INFORMATION
Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Years ended December 31,

(In millions)	Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Future Policy Benefits & Unpaid Policy Claims	Unearned Premiums	Other Policyholders' Funds
2013:				
Aflac Japan	$5,819	$64,122	$10,520	$5,660
Aflac U.S.	2,979	8,775	122	201
All other	0	2	0	0
Total	$8,798	$72,899	$10,642	$5,861
2012:				
Aflac Japan	$6,801	$72,286	$11,779	$5,118
Aflac U.S.	2,857	8,209	125	201
All other	0	2	0	0
Total	$9,658	$80,497	$11,904	$5,319

Segment amounts may not agree in total to the corresponding consolidated amounts due to rounding.
Years Ended December 31,

(In millions)	Net Premium Revenue	Net Investment Income	Benefits and Claims, net	Amortization of Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Other Operating Expenses	Premiums Written
2013:						
Aflac Japan	$14,982	$2,651	$10,924	$641	$2,495	$15,960
Aflac U.S.	5,153	632	2,889	433	1,431	5,144
All other	0	10	0	0	310	0
Total	$20,135	$3,293	$13,813	$1,074	$4,236	$21,104
2012:						
Aflac Japan	$17,151	$2,845	$12,496	$716	$2,937	$23,662
Aflac U.S.	4,996	613	2,834	400	1,397	4,988
All other	1	15	0	1	281	0
Total	$22,148	$3,473	$15,330	$1,117	$4,615	$28,650
2011:						
Aflac Japan	$15,619	$2,688	$11,037	$650	$2,837	$19,034
Aflac U.S.	4,743	588	2,713	383	1,341	4,733
All other	0	4	(1)	0	261	0
Total	$20,362	$3,280	$13,749	$1,033	$4,439	$23,767

Amounts prior to 2012 have been adjusted for the adoption of accounting guidance on January 1, 2012 related to deferred policy acquisition costs.
Segment amounts may not agree in total to the corresponding consolidated amounts due to rounding.

See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

SCHEDULE IV
REINSURANCE
Aflac Incorporated and Subsidiaries
Years Ended December 31,

(In millions)	Gross Amount	Ceded to Other Companies	Assumed from Other companies	Net Amount	Percentage of Amount Assumed to Net	
2013:						
Life insurance in force	$157,022	$3,245	$0	$153,777	0	%
Premiums:						
Health insurance	$15,393	$98	$12	$15,307	0	%
Life insurance	4,840	12	0	4,828	0	
Total earned premiums	$20,233	$110	$12	$20,135	0	%
2012:						
Life insurance in force	$173,791	$3,867	$0	$169,924	0	%
Premiums:						
Health insurance	$17,541	$19	$14	$17,536	0	%
Life insurance	4,626	14	0	4,612	0	
Total earned premiums	$22,167	$33	$14	$22,148	0	%
2011:						
Life insurance in force	$168,355	$4,159	$3	$164,199	0	%
Premiums:						
Health insurance	$17,210	$14	$12	$17,208	0	%
Life insurance	3,163	13	4	3,154	0	
Total earned premiums	$20,373	$27	$16	$20,362	0	%

Premiums by type may not agree in total to the corresponding consolidated amounts due to rounding.
See the accompanying Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Aflac Incorporated

By:	/s/ Daniel P. Amos (Daniel P. Amos) Chief Executive Officer, Chairman of the Board of Directors	February 27, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Daniel P. Amos (Daniel P. Amos)	Chief Executive Officer, Chairman of the Board of Directors	February 27, 2014
/s/ Kriss Cloninger III (Kriss Cloninger III)	President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ June Howard (June Howard)	Senior Vice President, Financial Services; Chief Accounting Officer	February 27, 2014

178

/s/ J. Shelby Amos II (J. Shelby Amos II)	Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ Paul S. Amos II (Paul S. Amos II)	Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ W. Paul Bowers (W. Paul Bowers)	Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ Elizabeth J. Hudson (Elizabeth J. Hudson)	Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ Douglas W. Johnson (Douglas W. Johnson)	Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ Robert B. Johnson (Robert B. Johnson)	Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ Charles B. Knapp (Charles B. Knapp)	Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ E. Stephen Purdom (E. Stephen Purdom)	Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ Barbara K. Rimer (Barbara K. Rimer)	Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ Melvin T. Stith (Melvin T. Stith)	Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ David G. Thompson (David G. Thompson)	Director	February 27, 2014
/s/ Takuro Yoshida (Takuro Yoshida)	Director	February 27, 2014