RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC Form 10-Q November 14, 2012 UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012	
OR	
[]TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 1934	13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
For the transition period from to	
Commission File Number: 0-22957	
RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC.	
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)	
Washington	91-1838969
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer I.D. Number)
900 Washington St., Ste. 900, Vancouver,	98660
Washington (Address of principal executive offices)	(Zin Codo)
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code:	(360) 693-6650

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: Common Stock, \$.01 par value per share, 22,471,890 shares outstanding as of November 12, 2012.

Form 10-Q

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY INDEX

Part I.	Financial Information	Page
Item 1:	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012	2
	Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	3
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) Three and Six Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	4
	Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011	6
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7-22
Item 2:	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23-38
Item 3:	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	39
Item 4:	Controls and Procedures	39
Part II.	Other Information	40-41
Item 1:	Legal Proceedings	
Item 1A:	Risk Factors	
Item 2:	Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	
Item 3:	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	
Item 4:	Mine Safety Disclosures	
Item 5:	Other Information	

Item 6: Exhibits

SIGNATURES 42

Certifications

Exhibit 31.1 Exhibit 31.2 Exhibit 32

Forward Looking Statements

As used in this Form 10-Q, the terms "we," "our" and "Company" refer to Riverview Bancorp, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise. When we refer to "Bank" in this Form 10-Q, we are referring to Riverview Community Bank, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Riverview Bancorp, Inc.

"Safe Harbor" statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995: When used in this Form 10-Q the words "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "forecasts," "intends," "plans," "targets," "potentially," "probab "outlook," or similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as "may," "will," "should," "would," and "could." or si expression are intended to identify "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements include statements with respect to our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, assumptions and statements about future performance. These forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results anticipated, including, but not limited to: the credit risks of lending activities, including changes in the level and trend of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in the Company's allowance for loan losses and provision for loan losses that may be impacted by deterioration in the housing and commercial real estate markets; changes in general economic conditions, either nationally or in the Company's market areas; changes in the levels of general interest rates, and the relative differences between short and long term interest rates, deposit interest rates, the Company's net interest margin and funding sources; fluctuations in the demand for loans, the number of unsold homes, land and other properties and fluctuations in real estate values in the Company's market areas; secondary market conditions for loans and the Company's ability to sell loans in the secondary market; results of examinations of our bank subsidiary, Riverview Community Bank by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and of the Company by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or other regulatory authorities, including the possibility that any such regulatory authority may, among other things, require the Company to increase its reserve for loan losses, write-down assets, reclassify its assets, change Riverview Community Bank's regulatory capital position or affect the Company's ability to borrow funds or maintain or increase deposits, which could adversely affect its liquidity and earnings; the Company's compliance with regulatory enforcement actions entered into with its banking regulators and the possibility that noncompliance could result in the imposition of additional enforcement actions and additional requirements or restrictions on its operations; legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect the Company's business including changes in regulatory policies and principles, or the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules, including as a result of Basel III; the Company's ability to attract and retain deposits; further increases in premiums for deposit insurance; the Company's ability to control operating costs and expenses; the use of estimates in determining fair value of certain of the Company's assets, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant declines in valuation; difficulties in reducing risks associated with the loans on the Company's balance sheet; staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect the Company's workforce and potential associated charges; computer systems on which the Company depends could fail or experience a security breach; the Company's ability to retain key members of its senior management team; costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments; the Company's ability to implement its business strategies; the Company's ability to successfully integrate any assets, liabilities, customers, systems, and management personnel it may acquire into its operations and the Company's ability to realize related revenue synergies and cost savings within expected time frames and any goodwill charges related thereto; increased competitive pressures among financial services companies; changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules, or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions; the Company's ability to pay dividends on its common stock and interest or principal payments on its junior subordinated debentures; adverse changes in the securities markets; inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us; changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards Board, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting the Company's operations, pricing, products and services

and the other risks described from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Company cautions readers not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. Moreover, you should treat these statements as speaking only as of the date they are made and based only on information then actually known to the Company. The Company does not undertake to revise any forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements. These risks could cause our actual results for fiscal 2013 and beyond to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements by, or on behalf of, us, and could negatively affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations as well as its stock price performance.

.

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND MARCH 31, 2012

	September 30,	March 31,
(In thousands, except share and per share data) (Unaudited) ASSETS	2012	2012
Cash (including interest-earning accounts of \$83,642 and \$33,437)	\$98,367	\$46,393
Certificates of deposit held for investment	41,797	41,473
Loans held for sale	1,289	480
Investment securities held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value of \$0 and \$542)	_	493
Investment securities available for sale, at fair value		7/3
(amortized cost of \$8,116 and \$8,123)	6,278	6,314
Mortgage-backed securities held to maturity, at amortized	0,270	0,514
cost (fair value of \$170 and \$177)	164	171
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale, at fair value	10.	1,1
(amortized cost of \$653 and \$940)	679	974
Loans receivable (net of allowance for loan losses of \$20,140 and \$19,921)	562,058	664,888
Real estate and other personal property owned	24,481	18,731
Prepaid expenses and other assets	3,894	6,362
Accrued interest receivable	1,958	2,158
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost	7,285	7,350
Premises and equipment, net	17,745	17,068
Deferred income taxes, net	616	603
Mortgage servicing rights, net	420	278
Goodwill	25,572	25,572
Core deposit intangible, net	100	137
Bank owned life insurance	16,850	16,553
TOTAL ASSETS	\$809,553	\$855,998
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
LIABILITIES:		
Deposit accounts	\$699,227	\$744,455
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	7,926	9,398
Advanced payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance	1,060	800
Junior subordinated debentures	22,681	22,681
Capital lease obligations	2,477	2,513
Total liabilities	733,371	779,847
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (See Note 14)		
EQUITY:		
Shareholders' equity		
Serial preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 250,000 authorized, issued and outstanding: none	-	-
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 50,000,000 authorized		

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

September 30, 2012 – 22,471,890 issued and outstanding	225	225	
March 31, 2012 – 22,471,890 issued and outstanding			
Additional paid-in capital	65,576	65,610	
Retained earnings	11,543	11,536	
Unearned shares issued to employee stock ownership trust	(541) (593)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,196) (1,171)
Total shareholders' equity	75,607	75,607	
Noncontrolling interest	575	544	
Total equity	76,182	76,151	
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$809,553	\$855,998	

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011 (In thousands, except share and per share	Three Months Ended September 30,		Six Months Ended September 30,		
data) (Unaudited) INTEREST INCOME:	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Interest and fees on loans receivable \$	8,468	\$ 9,815	\$ 17,513	\$ 20,095	
Interest on investment securities – taxable	38	36	91	81	
Interest on investment securities –					
nontaxable	7	12	15	24	
Interest on mortgage-backed securities	7	13	15	29	
Other interest and dividends	128	89	257	164	
Total interest and dividend income	8,648	9,965	17,891	20,393	
INTEREST EXPENSE:					
Interest on deposits	699	1,158	1,522	2,388	
Interest on borrowings	162	372	511	740	
Total interest expense	861	1,530	2,033	3,128	
Net interest income	7,787	8,435	15,858	17,265	
Less provision for loan losses	500	2,200	4,500	3,750	
Net interest income after provision for					
loan losses	7,287	6,235	11,358	13,515	
NON-INTEREST INCOME:	4 224	4.050	• • • •	0.100	
Fees and service charges	1,331	1,078	2,388	2,120	
Asset management fees	504	570	1,108	1,195	
Net gain on sale of loans held for sale	152	21	879	44	
Bank owned life insurance	148	153	297	304	
Other	179	10	82	73	
Total non-interest income	2,314	1,832	4,754	3,736	
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE:					
Salaries and employee benefits	3,609	3,514	7,402	8,025	
Occupancy and depreciation	1,236	1,166	2,470	2,329	
Data processing	292	542	606	830	
Amortization of core deposit intangible	18	20	37	42	
Advertising and marketing expense	269	283	488	528	
FDIC insurance premium	394	286	681	559	
State and local taxes	137	81	285	260	
Telecommunications	116	108	237	215	
Professional fees	281	298	702	637	
Real estate owned expenses	891	756	1,830	1,186	
Other	569	791	1,350	1,391	
		. , -	,	,	

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total non-interest expense	7,812	7,845	16,088	16,002
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	1,789	222	24	1,249
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	2	41	17	354
NET INCOME	\$ 1,787	\$ 181	\$ 7	\$ 895
Earnings per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.04
Diluted	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.04
Weighted average number of shares				
outstanding:				
Basic	22,339,487	22,314,854	22,336,425	22,311,792
Diluted	22,339,487	22,314,854	22,336,425	22,311,792

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Months Ended September 30,
(Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)	2012	2011	2012	2 2011
Net income	\$1,787	\$ 181	\$7	\$ 895
Other comprehensive income (loss): Unrealized holding gain (loss) on securities, net of tax effect of \$4,				
(\$67), \$13 and (\$138)	(9) 132	(25) 271
Noncontrolling interest	13	26	31	42
Total comprehensive income	\$1,791	\$ 339	\$13	\$ 1,208

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011

	Common	Stock			Unearned Shares Issued to			
(In thousands,					Employee	Accumulated		
except share			Additional Paid In	Datained	Stock	Other	Noncontrolling	
data) (Unaudited)	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Ownership Trust	Comprehensive Loss	Noncontrolling Interest	Total
(Chauditeu)	Shares	Amount	Сарпаі	Lamings	Trust	Loss	interest	Total
Balance April								
1, 2011	22,471,890 \$	\$ 225 \$	65,639 \$	43,193 \$	(696)	\$ (1,417)	\$ 465.5	\$ 107,409
N T				00.5				00.5
Net income	-	-	-	895	-	-	-	895
Stock based compensation								
expense	_	_	5	_	_	_	_	5
Earned ESOP			J					
shares	-	-	(18)	-	52	-	-	34
Unrealized								
holding gain								
on securities								
available								
for sale, net of tax \$138						271		271
Noncontrolling		-	-	-	-	2/1	-	2/1
interest	_	_	_	_	_	-	42	42
Balance))		
September 30,								
2011	22,471,890 \$	\$ 225 \$	65,626 \$	44,088 \$	644 5	\$ (1,146)	\$ 507 8	\$ 108,656
Dalanaa Anril								
Balance April 1, 2012	22,471,890 \$	§ 225 \$	65,610 \$	5 11,536 \$	5 (593)	\$ (1,171)	\$ 544.9	76,151
1, 2012	22,471,070	<i>, 223</i> φ	05,010 4	7 11,550 9	(3)3)	ψ (1,1/1)	φ 511 0	p 70,131
Net income	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	7
Stock based								
compensation								
expense	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1
Earned ESOP			(25)		52			17
shares Unrealized	-	-	(35)	-	52	-	-	17
holding loss								
on securities								
available								
for sale, net								
of tax of \$13	-	-	-	-	-	(25)	-	(25)

Noncontrolli	ng						
interest	-	-	-	-	-	-	31 31
Balance))	
September 3	0,						
2012	22,471,890 \$	225 \$	65,576 \$	11,543 \$	(541 \$	(1,196 \$	575 \$ 76,182

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS				
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 AND 2011	Six M	onth	s Ended	
	September 30			
(In thousands) (Unaudited)	2012		2011	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net income	\$7		\$895	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	918		966	
Provision for loan losses	4,500		3,750	
Noncash expense related to ESOP	17		34	
Decrease in deferred loan origination fees, net of amortization	(181)	(48)
Origination of loans held for sale	(9,527)	(1,529)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	9,073		1,455	
Stock based compensation expense	1		5	
Writedown of real estate owned, net	1,512		785	
Net (gain) loss on loans held for sale, sale of real estate owned,				
mortgage-backed securities, investment securities and premises and equipment	(778)	18	
Income from bank owned life insurance	(297)	(304)
Changes in assets and liabilities:	,			
Prepaid expenses and other assets	2,268		(234)
Accrued interest receivable	200		121	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	(1,346)	180	
Net cash provided by operating activities	6,367		6,094	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Loan (originations) repayments, net	56,870		(13,118)
Proceeds from sale of loans	31,394		-	
Principal repayments on investment securities available for sale	7		21	
Principal repayments on investment securities held to maturity	493		7	
Principal repayments on mortgage-backed securities available for sale	287		436	
Principal repayments on mortgage-backed securities held to maturity	7		9	
Purchase of certificates of deposit held for investment	(324)	(8,947)
Proceeds from redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	65		-	
Purchase of premises and equipment and capitalized software	(1,418)	(1,297)
Capitalized improvements related to real estate owned	(72)	(207)
Proceeds from sale of real estate owned and premises and equipment	3,302		2,575	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	90,611		(20,521)
CACHELOWCEDOM EINANGING ACTIVITIES				
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(45.229	\	12 720	
Net increase (decrease) in deposit accounts	(45,228)	12,729	
Proceeds from borrowings	3,000	\	3,000	\
Repayment of borrowings	(3,000)	(3,000)
Principal payments under capital lease obligation	(36)	(23)
Net increase in advance payments by borrowers	260	\	117	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(45,004)	12,823	

NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	51,974	(1,604)
CASH, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	46,393	51,752	
CASH, END OF PERIOD	\$98,367	\$50,148	
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$1,543	\$2,397	
Income taxes	-	830	
NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Transfer of loans to real estate owned	\$12,711	\$1,202	
Transfer of real estate owned to loans	2,104	214	
Fair value adjustment to securities available for sale	(38) 409	
Income tax effect related to fair value adjustment	13	(138)

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with instructions for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and, therefore, do not include all disclosures necessary for a complete presentation of financial condition, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). However, all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the interim unaudited financial statements have been included. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements included in the Riverview Bancorp, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2012 ("2012 Form 10-K"). The results of operations for the six months ended September 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results, which may be expected for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2013. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Riverview Bancorp, Inc. ("Bancorp" or the "Company"); its wholly-owned subsidiary, Riverview Community Bank ("Bank"); the Bank's wholly-owned subsidiary, Riverview Services, Inc.; and the Bank's majority-owned subsidiary, Riverview Asset Management Corp. ("RAMCorp.") All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

3. STOCK PLANS AND STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

In July 1998, shareholders of the Company approved the adoption of the 1998 Stock Option Plan ("1998 Plan"). The 1998 Plan was effective October 1, 1998 and expired on October 1, 2008. Accordingly, no further option awards may be granted under the 1998 Plan; however, any awards granted prior to its expiration remain outstanding subject to their terms.

In July 2003, shareholders of the Company approved the adoption of the 2003 Stock Option Plan ("2003 Plan"). The 2003 Plan was effective July 2003 and will expire on the tenth anniversary of the effective date, unless terminated sooner by the Company's Board of Directors ("Board"). Under the 2003 Plan, the Company may grant both incentive and non-qualified stock options to purchase up to 458,554 shares of its common stock to officers, directors and employees. Each option granted under the 2003 Plan has an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant, a maximum term of ten years and a vesting period from zero to five years. At September 30, 2012, there were options for 102,154 shares of the Company's common stock available for future grant under the 2003 Plan.

The following table presents information on stock options outstanding for the period shown.

Six Months Ended September 30, 2012

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

		Weighted Average
	Number of	Exercise
	Shares	Price
Balance, beginning of period	440,500	\$ 8.87
Grants	-	-
Options exercised	-	-
Forfeited	-	-
Expired	(20,000)	6.76
Balance, end of period	420,500	\$ 8.97

The following table presents information on stock options outstanding for the periods shown, less estimated forfeitures.

Stock options fully vested and expected to vest:	Six Mo Endo Septemb 201	ed oer 30,	Six Months Ended September 30, 2011
Number		419,950	450,275
Weighted average exercise price	\$	8.97	\$ 8.97
Aggregate intrinsic value (1)	\$	-	\$ -
Weighted average contractual term of options			
(years)		4.75	5.48
Stock options fully vested and currently			
exercisable:			
Number		414,900	433,000
Weighted average exercise price	\$	9.06	\$ 9.21
Aggregate intrinsic value (1)	\$	-	\$ -
Weighted average contractual term of options			
(years)		4.71	5.34

(1) The aggregate intrinsic value of a stock options represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the amount by which the current market value of the underlying stock exceeds the exercise price) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised. This amount changes based on changes in the market value of the Company's common stock.

Stock-based compensation expense related to stock options for the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$1,000 and \$5,000, respectively. As of September 30, 2012, there was \$4,000 of unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock options, which will be recognized over the remaining vesting periods of the underlying stock options through December 2014.

The fair value of each stock option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes based stock option valuation model. There were no stock options granted during the six months ended September 30, 2012.

4. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income applicable to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, without considering any dilutive items. Diluted EPS is computed by dividing net income applicable to common stock by the weighted average number of common shares and common stock equivalents for items that are dilutive, net of shares assumed to be repurchased using the treasury stock method at the average share price for the Company's common stock during the period. Common stock equivalents arise from assumed conversion of outstanding stock options. Shares owned by the Company's Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") that have not been allocated are not considered to be outstanding for the purpose of computing earnings per share. For the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, stock options for 421,000 and 424,000 shares, respectively, of common stock were excluded in computing diluted EPS because they were antidilutive. For the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, stock options for 455,000 and 462,000 shares, respectively, of common stock were excluded in computing diluted EPS because they were antidilutive.

		nths Ended nber 30,	Six Months Ended September 30,		
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Basic EPS computation:					
Numerator-net income	\$1,787,000	\$181,000	\$7,000	\$895,000	
Denominator-weighted average common					
shares outstanding	22,339,487	22,314,854	22,336,425	22,311,792	
Basic EPS	\$0.08	\$0.01	\$0.00	\$0.04	
Diluted EPS computation:					
Numerator-net income	\$1,787,000	\$181,000	\$7,000	\$895,000	
Denominator-weighted average common					
shares outstanding	22,339,487	22,314,854	22,336,425	22,311,792	
Effect of dilutive stock options	-	-	-	-	
Weighted average common shares					
and common stock equivalents	22,339,487	22,314,854	22,336,425	22,311,792	
Diluted EPS	\$0.08	\$0.01	\$0.00	\$0.04	

INVESTMENT SECURITIES

5.

The Company did not have any investment securities held to maturity at September 30, 2012. At March 31, 2012, investment securities held to maturity consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
March 31, 2012				
Municipal bonds	\$ 493	\$ 49	\$ -	\$ 542

The amortized cost and fair value of investment securities available for sale consisted of the following at the dates indicated (in thousands):

September 30, 2012	A	amortized Cost	U	Gross nrealized Gains	Ü	Gross Inrealized Losses		Estimated Fair Value
Trust preferred	\$	2,974	\$	-	\$	(1,855) \$	1,119
Agency securities		5,000		17		-		5,017
Municipal bonds		142		-		-		142
Total	\$	8,116	\$	17	\$	(1,855) \$	6,278
March 31, 2012								
Trust preferred	\$	2,974	\$	-	\$	(1,808) \$	1,166
Agency securities		5,000		-		(1)	4,999
Municipal bonds		149		-		-		149
Total	\$	8,123	\$	-	\$	(1,809) \$	6,314

The contractual maturities of investment securities available for sale at September 30, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

	A	mortized	E	Estimated
September 30, 2012		Cost	F	air Value
Due in one year or less	\$	-	\$	-
Due after one year through five years		5,000		5,017
Due after five years through ten years		-		-
Due after ten years		3,116		1,261
Total	\$	8,116	\$	6,278

The fair value of temporarily impaired securities, the amount of unrealized losses and the length of time these unrealized losses existed are as follows at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Less than	n 12 months	12 mont	hs or longer	Total		
	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	
September 30, 2012							
Trust preferred	\$-	\$-	\$1,119	\$(1,855)	\$1,119	\$(1,855)	

March 31, 2012

Trust preferred	\$-	\$-	\$1,166	\$(1,808) \$1,166	\$(1,808)
Agency securities	4,999	(1) -	-	4,999	(1)
Total	\$4,999	\$(1) \$1,166	\$(1,808) \$6,165	\$(1,809)

At September 30, 2012, the Company had a single collateralized debt obligation which is secured by trust preferred securities issued by 19 other holding companies. The Company holds the mezzanine tranche of this security. Four of the issuers in this pool have defaulted (representing 38% of the remaining collateral), and six other issuers are currently in deferral (27% of the remaining collateral). Subsequent to September 30, 2012, two issuers cured their deferral reducing the number of issuers in deferral to four (10% of remaining collateral). The Company has estimated an expected default rate of 44% for this security. The expected default rate was estimated based primarily on an analysis of the financial condition of the underlying issuers. The Company estimates that a default rate of 49% would trigger additional other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") of this security. The Company utilized a discount rate of 22% to estimate the fair value of this security. There was no excess subordination on this security.

During the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, the Company determined that there was no additional OTTI charge on the above collateralized debt obligation. The Company does not intend to sell this security and it is not more

likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of the remaining amortized cost basis.

To determine the component of gross OTTI related to credit losses, the Company compared the amortized cost basis of the OTTI security to the present value of the revised expected cash flows, discounted using the current pre-impairment yield. The revised expected cash flow estimates are based primarily on an analysis of default rates, prepayment speeds and third-party analytical reports. Significant judgment of management is required in this analysis that includes, but is not limited to, assumptions regarding the ultimate collectibility of principal and interest on the underlying collateral.

The Company realized no gains or losses on sales of investment securities for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. There were no investment securities pledged as collateral by the Company at September 30, 2012 or March 31, 2012.

6. MORTGAGE-BACKED SECURITIES

Mortgage-backed securities held to maturity consisted of the following at the dates indicated (in thousands):

September 30, 2012	A	mortized Cost	U	Gross nrealized Gains	-	Gross nrealized Losses	Е	stimated Fair Value
FHLMC mortgage-backed securities (1)	\$	66	\$	4	\$	_	\$	70
FNMA mortgage-backed securities (2)	Ψ	98	Ψ	2	Ψ	-	Ψ	100
Total	\$	164	\$	6	\$	-	\$	170
March 31, 2012								
FHLMC mortgage-backed securities	\$	69	\$	4	\$	-	\$	73
FNMA mortgage-backed securities		102		2		-		104
Total	\$	171	\$	6	\$	-	\$	177

(1) Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC)

(2) Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA)

The contractual maturities of mortgage-backed securities classified as held to maturity at September 30, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

	Α	mortized	F	Estimated
September 30, 2012		Cost	F	air Value
Due in one year or less	\$	-	\$	-
Due after one year through five years		3		3
Due after five years through ten years		43		46
Due after ten years		118		121
Total	\$	164	\$	170

Mortgage-backed securities held to maturity with an amortized cost of \$65,000 and \$69,000 and a fair value of \$68,000 and \$71,000 at September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively, were pledged as collateral for governmental public funds held by the Company.

Mortgage-backed securities available for sale consisted of the following at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	A	mortized	IJ	Gross nrealized	IJ	Gross nrealized	Е	stimated Fair
September 30, 2012		Cost	C	Gains	C	Losses		Value
Real estate mortgage investment conduits	\$	275	\$	8	\$	-	\$	283
FHLMC mortgage-backed securities		373		17		-		390
FNMA mortgage-backed securities		5		1		-		6
Total	\$	653	\$	26	\$	-	\$	679
March 31, 2012								
Real estate mortgage investment conduits	\$	319	\$	10	\$	-	\$	329
FHLMC mortgage-backed securities		613		23		-		636
FNMA mortgage-backed securities		8		1		-		9
Total	\$	940	\$	34	\$	_	\$	974

The contractual maturities of mortgage-backed securities available for sale at September 30, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

	A	mortized	E	stimated
September 30, 2012		Cost	F	air Value
Due in one year or less	\$	-	\$	-
Due after one year through five years		452		474
Due after five years through ten years		-		-
Due after ten years		201		205
Total	\$	653	\$	679

Expected maturities of mortgage-backed securities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

Mortgage-backed securities available for sale with an amortized cost of \$544,000 and \$744,000 and a fair value of \$569,000 and \$776,000 at September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively, were pledged as collateral for government public funds held by the Bank. The real estate mortgage investment conduits consist of FHLMC and FNMA securities.

7. LOANS RECEIVABLE

Loans receivable, excluding loans held for sale, consisted of the following at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	September 30, 2012	March 31, 2012
Commercial and construction	2012	2012
Commercial business	\$74,953	\$87,238
Other real estate mortgage (1)	385,715	434,763
Real estate construction	16,920	25,791
Total commercial and construction	477,588	547,792
Consumer		
Real estate one-to-four family	102,473	134,975
Other installment	2,137	2,042
Total consumer	104,610	137,017
Total loans	582,198	684,809
Less: Allowance for loan losses	20,140	19,921
Loans receivable, net	\$562,058	\$664,888

⁽¹⁾ Other real estate mortgage consists of commercial real estate, land and multi-family loans

The Company's loan portfolio has very little exposure to sub-prime mortgage loans since the Company has not historically engaged in this type of lending. At September 30, 2012, loans carried at \$410.3 million were pledged as collateral to the Federal Home Loan Bank of Seattle ("FHLB") and Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco ("FRB") for borrowing agreements.

Most of the Bank's business activity is with customers located in the states of Washington and Oregon. Loans and extensions of credit outstanding at one time to one borrower or a group of related borrowers are generally limited by federal regulation to 15% of the Bank's shareholders' equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive loss. As of September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012, the Bank had no loans to any one borrower in excess of the regulatory limit.

8. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Allowance for loan loss: The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level sufficient to provide for probable loan losses based on evaluating known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. The allowance is provided based upon the Company's ongoing quarterly assessment of the pertinent factors underlying the quality of the loan portfolio. These factors include changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio, delinquency levels, actual loan loss experience, current economic conditions and detailed analysis of individual loans for which full collectability may not be assured. The detailed analysis includes techniques to estimate the fair value of loan collateral and the existence of potential alternative sources of repayment. The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are considered impaired. For loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows, or collateral value or observable market price, of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers non-impaired loans based on the Company's risk rating system and historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that the Company believes have resulted in losses that have not yet been allocated to specific elements of the general component. Such factors include uncertainties in economic conditions and in identifying triggering events that directly correlate to

subsequent loss rates, changes in appraised value of underlying collateral, risk factors that have not yet manifested themselves in loss allocation factors and historical loss experience data that may not precisely correspond to the current portfolio or economic conditions. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio. The appropriate allowance level is estimated based upon factors and trends identified by the Company at the time the consolidated financial statements are prepared.

Commercial business, commercial real estate, multi-family, construction and land acquisition and development loans are considered to have a higher degree of credit risk than one-to-four family residential loans, and tend to be more vulnerable to adverse conditions in the real estate market and deteriorating economic conditions. While the Company believes the estimates and assumptions used in its determination of the allowance are reasonable, there can be no assurance that such estimates and assumptions will not be proven incorrect in the future, that the actual amount of future provisions will not exceed the amount of past provisions, or that any increased provisions that may be required will not adversely impact our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, bank regulators periodically review the Company's allowance and may require the Company to increase its provision for loan losses or recognize additional loan charge-offs. An increase in the Company's allowance for loan losses or loan charge-offs as required by these regulatory authorities may have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Management's evaluation of the allowance for loan losses is based on ongoing, quarterly assessments of the known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. Loss factors are based on the Company's historical loss experience with additional consideration and adjustments made for changes in economic conditions, changes in the amount and composition of the loan portfolio, delinquency rates, changes in collateral values, seasoning of the loan portfolio, duration of current business cycle, a detailed analysis of impaired loans and other factors as deemed appropriate. These factors are evaluated on a quarterly basis. Loss rates used by the Company are affected as changes in these factors increase or decrease from quarter to quarter. The Company also considers bank regulatory examination results and findings of internal credit examiners in its quarterly evaluation of the allowance for loan losses. Management's recent analysis of the allowance has placed greater emphasis on the Company's construction and land development loan portfolios and the effect of various factors such as geographic and loan type concentrations. The Company has focused on managing these portfolios in an attempt to minimize the effects of declining home values and slower home sales in its market areas.

The following tables present a reconciliation of the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated (in thousands):

Three months ended September 30, 2012	Commercial Business	Commerci Real Estate	al Land	Multi- Family	Real Estate Construction	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Beginning balance	\$ 2,576	\$ 7,622	\$3,958	\$945	\$ 480	\$3,353	\$ 2,038	\$20,972
Provision for loan								
losses	20	(99) (103) 4	64	617	(3)	500
Charge-offs	(367	(147) (180) -	(41)	(679)	-	(1,414)
Recoveries	54	-	-	1	3	24	-	82
Ending balance	\$ 2,283	\$ 7,376	\$3,675	\$950	\$ 506	\$3,315	\$ 2,035	\$20,140
Six months ended September 30, 2012								
Beginning balance	\$2,688	\$5,599	\$4,906	\$1,121	\$412	\$3,274	\$1,921	\$19,921

Provision for loan															
losses	500		2,859		(208)	212		206		817		114		4,500
Charge-offs	(991)	(1,082)	(1,054)	(384)	(116)	(834)	-		(4,461)
Recoveries	86		-		31		1		4		58		-		180
Ending balance	\$2,283		\$7,376		\$3,675		\$950		\$506		\$3,315		\$2,035		\$20,140
Three months ended September 30, 2011															
Beginning balance	\$1,841		\$4,572		\$3,807		\$2,163		\$799		\$1,547		\$1,330		\$16,059
Provision for loan															
losses	190		(33)	558		480		261		417		327		2,200
Charge-offs	(357)	(107)	(1,879)	(858)	-		(395)	-		(3,596)
Recoveries	1		-		-		-		-		8		-		9
Ending balance	\$1,675		\$4,432		\$2,486		\$1,785		\$1,060		\$1,577		\$1,657		\$14,672
Six months ended September 30, 2011															
Beginning balance	\$1,822		\$4,744		\$2,003		\$2,172		\$820		\$1,339		\$2,068		\$14,968
Provision for loan															
losses	654		(205)	2,362		471		240		639		(411)	3,750
Charge-offs	(810)	(107)	(1,879)	(858))	-		(410)	-		(4,064)
Recoveries	9		-		-		-		-		9		-		18
Ending balance	\$1,675		\$4,432		\$2,486		\$1,785		\$1,060		\$1,577		\$1,657		\$14,672

The following tables present an analysis of loans receivable and allowance for loan losses, which were evaluated individually and collectively for impairment at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Allov	vance for loan	losses	Recorded investment in loans				
	Individually	Collectively		Individually	Collectively			
	Evaluated	Evaluated		Evaluated	Evaluated			
	for	for		for	for			
September 30, 2012	Impairment	Impairment	Total	Impairment	Impairment	Total		
Commercial business	\$1	\$ 2,282	\$2,283	\$3,407	\$71,546	\$74,953		
Commercial real estate	295	7,081	7,376	18,880	303,201	322,081		
Land	17	3,658	3,675	5,549	21,713	27,262		
Multi-family	24	926	950	9,273	27,099	36,372		
Real estate construction	-	506	506	1,476	15,444	16,920		
Consumer	129	3,186	3,315	4,820	99,790	104,610		
Unallocated	-	2,035	2,035	-	-	-		
Total	\$466	\$19,674	\$20,140	\$43,405	\$538,793	\$582,198		
March 31, 2012								
Commercial business	\$73	\$2,615	\$2,688	\$7,818	\$79,420	\$87,238		
Commercial real estate	686	4,913	5,599	22,824	330,256	353,080		
Land	624	4,282	4,906	14,226	24,662	38,888		
Multi-family	4	1,117	1,121	8,265	34,530	42,795		
Real estate construction	18	394	412	7,613	18,178	25,791		
Consumer	197	3,077	3,274	4,967	132,050	137,017		
Unallocated	-	1,921	1,921	-	-	-		
Total	\$1,602	\$18,319	\$19,921	\$65,713	\$619,096	\$684,809		

Non-accrual loans: Loans are reviewed regularly and it is the Company's general policy that a loan is past due when it is 30 days to 89 days delinquent. In general, when a loan is 90 days delinquent or when collection of principal or interest appears doubtful, it is placed on non-accrual status, at which time the accrual of interest ceases and a reserve for unrecoverable accrued interest is established and charged against operations. Payments received on non-accrual loans are applied to reduce the outstanding principal balance on a cash-basis method. As a general practice, a loan is not removed from non-accrual status until all delinquent principal, interest and late fees have been brought current and the borrower has demonstrated a history of performance based upon the contractual terms of the note. Interest income foregone on non-accrual loans was \$840,000 and \$993,000 during the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following tables present an analysis of past due loans at the dates indicated (in thousands):

September 30, 2012	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days and Greater (Non- Accrual)	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable	Recorded Investment > 90 Days and Accruing
Commercial business	\$734	\$1,945	\$2,679	\$72,274	\$74,953	\$-
Commercial real estate	1,045	11,334	12,379	309,702	322,081	-

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Land	-	3,744	3,744	23,518	27,262	-	
Multi-family	-	6,062	6,062	30,310	36,372	-	
Real estate construction	-	1,483	1,483	15,437	16,920	-	
Consumer	1,970	3,463	5,433	99,177	104,610	-	
Total	\$3,749	\$28,031	\$31,780	\$550,418	\$582,198	\$-	

March 31, 2012

Commercial business	\$535	\$3,930	\$4,465	\$82,773	\$87,238	\$-
Commercial real estate	5,733	13,950	19,683	333,397	353,080	-
Land	128	12,985	13,113	25,775	38,888	-
Multi-family	-	1,627	1,627	41,168	42,795	-
Real estate construction	-	7,756	7,756	18,035	25,791	-
Consumer	2,453	3,915	6,368	130,649	137,017	-
Total	\$8,849	\$44,163	\$53,012	\$631,797	\$684,809	\$-

Credit quality indicators: The Company monitors credit risk in its loan portfolio using a risk rating system for all commercial (non-consumer) loans. The risk rating system is a measure of the credit risk of the borrower based on their historical, current and anticipated financial characteristics. The Company assigns a risk rating to each commercial loan at

origination and subsequently updates these ratings, as necessary, so the risk rating continues to reflect the appropriate risk characteristics of the loan. Application of appropriate risk ratings is key to management of the loan portfolio risk. In arriving at the rating, the Company considers the following factors: delinquency, payment history, quality of management, liquidity, leverage, earning trends, alternative funding sources, geographic risk, industry risk, cash flow adequacy, account practices, asset protection and extraordinary risks. Consumer loans, including custom construction loans, are not assigned a risk rating but rather are grouped into homogeneous pools with similar risk characteristics unless the loan is placed on non-accrual status in which case it is assigned a substandard risk rating. Loss factors are assigned to each risk rating and homogeneous pool based on historical loss experience for similar loans. This historical loss experience is adjusted for qualitative factors that are likely to cause the estimated credit losses to differ from the Company's historical loss experience. The Company uses these loss factors to estimate the general component of its allowance for loan losses.

Pass - These loans have risk rating between 1 and 4 and are to borrowers that meet normal credit standards. Any deficiencies in satisfactory asset quality, liquidity, debt servicing capacity and coverage are offset by strengths in other areas. The borrower currently has the capacity to perform according to the loan terms. Any concerns about risk factors such as stability of margins, stability of cash flows, liquidity, dependence on a single product/supplier/customer, depth of management, etc., are offset by strength in other areas. Typically, the operating assets of the company and/or real estate will secure these loans. Management of borrowers of loans with this rating is considered competent and the borrower has the ability to repay the debt in the normal course of business.

Watch – These loans have a risk rating of 5 and would typically have many of the attributes of loans in the pass rating. However, there would typically be some reason for additional management oversight, such as recent financial setbacks, deteriorating financial position, industry concerns and failure to perform on other borrowing obligations. Loans with this rating are to be monitored closely in an effort to correct deficiencies.

Special mention – These loans have a risk rating of 6 and are currently protected but have the potential to deteriorate to a "substandard" rating. The borrower's financial performance may be inconsistent or below forecast, creating the possibility of liquidity problems and shrinking debt service coverage. The borrower may have a short track record and little depth of management. Other typical characteristics include inadequate current financial information, marginal capitalization, and susceptibility to negative industry trends. The primary source of repayment is still viable but there is increasing reliance on collateral or guarantor support.

Substandard – These loans have a risk rating of 7 and are rated in accordance with regulatory guidelines, for which the accrual of interest may or may not be discontinued. By definition, a "substandard" loan has defined weaknesses which make payment default or principal exposure likely, but not yet certain. Such loans are apt to be dependent upon collateral liquidation, a secondary source of repayment, or an event outside of the normal course of business.

Doubtful - These loans have a risk rating of 8 and are rated in accordance with regulatory guidelines. Such loans are placed on nonaccrual status and may be dependent upon collateral having a value that is difficult to determine or upon some near-term event which lacks certainty.

Loss - These loans have a risk rating of 9 and are rated in accordance with regulatory guidelines. Such loans are to be charged-off or charged-down when payment is acknowledged to be uncertain or when the timing or value of payments cannot be determined. "Loss" is not intended to imply that the loan or some portion of it will never be paid, nor does it in any way imply that there has been a forgiveness of debt.

The following tables present an analysis of credit quality indicators at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

September 30, 2012

March 31, 2012

Weighted-

Classified

Weighted-

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

	Average Risk Grade	Loans(2)	Average Risk Grade	Classified Loans(2)
Commercial business	3.81	\$ 8,443	3.97	\$ 13,456
Commercial real estate	4.07	51,465	3.88	35,077
Land	4.73	6,443	5.60	17,560
Multi-family	4.13	9,274	4.06	8,265
Real estate construction	3.57	1,483	4.51	7,756
Consumer (1)	7.00	3,462	7.00	3,915
Total	4.08	\$ 80,570	4.08	\$ 86,029
Total loans risk rated	\$ 480,901		\$ 550,174	

⁽¹⁾ Consumer loans are primarily evaluated on a homogenous pool level and generally not individually risk rated unless certain factors are met.

⁽²⁾ Classified loans consist of substandard, doubtful and loss loans.

Impaired loans: A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts (principal and interest) due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Typically, factors used in determining if a loan is impaired are, but not limited to, whether the loan is 90 days or more delinquent, internally designated as substandard, on non-accrual status or a troubled debt restructuring ("TDRs"). The majority of the Company's impaired loans are considered collateral dependent. When a loan is considered collateral dependent impairment is measured using the estimated value of the underlying collateral, less any prior liens, and when applicable, less estimated selling costs. For impaired loans that are not collateral dependent impairment is measured using the present value of expected future cash flows, discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. When the net realizable value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan (including accrued interest, net deferred loan fees or costs, and unamortized premium or discount), an impairment is recognized by adjusting an allocation of the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to the initial allocation of allowance to the individual loan, the Company may conclude that it is appropriate to record a charge-off of the impaired portion of the loan. When a charge-off is recorded the loan balance is reduced and the specific allowance is eliminated. Generally, when a collateral dependent loan is initially measured for impairment and has not had an appraisal performed in the last three months, the Company obtains an updated market valuation. Thereafter, the Company obtains an updated market valuation of the impaired loan on an annual basis. The valuation may occur more frequently if the Company determines that there is an indication that the market value of impaired loans may have declined.

The following tables present an analysis of impaired loans at the dates indicated (in thousands):

September 30, 2012	Recorded Investment with No Specific Valuation Allowance	Recorded Investment with Specific Valuation Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Specific Valuation Allowance	Quarterly Average Recorded Investment	Year-to- Date Average Recorded Investment
Commercial							
business	\$3,117	\$290	\$3,407	\$3,561	\$1	\$4,212	\$5,414
Commercial real estate	16,653	2,227	18,880	20,068	295	21,022	21,623
Land	4,391	1,158	5,549	6,163	17	5,804	8,611
Multi-family	8,836	437	9,273	10,231	24	9,855	9,325
Real estate							
construction	1,476	-	1,476	4,918	-	1,674	3,653
Consumer	3,600	1,220	4,820	5,757	129	4,981	4,977
Total	\$38,073	\$5,332	\$43,405	\$50,698	\$466	\$47,548	\$53,603
March 31, 2012	Recorded Investment with No Specific Valuation Allowance	Recorded Investment with Specific Valuation Allowance	Total Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Specific Valuation Allowance	Year-to- Date Average Recorded Investment	
Commercial							
business	\$4,790	\$3,028	\$7,818	\$10,477	\$73	\$6,400	
Commercial real estate	12,704	10,120	22,824	25,359	686	17,102	
Land	10,365	3,861	14,226	17,989	624	13,339	

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Multi-family	7,825	440	8,265	9,189	4	8,254
Real estate						
construction	7,009	604	7,613	13,796	18	6,700
Consumer	2,842	2,125	4,967	6,880	197	1,584
Total	\$45,535	\$20,178	\$65,713	\$83,690	\$1,602	\$53,379

The related amount of interest income recognized on loans that were impaired was \$469,000 and \$655,000 for the six months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

TDRs are loans where the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial condition, has granted a concession to the borrower that it would otherwise not consider. A TDR typically involves a modification of terms such as a reduction of the stated interest rate or face amount of the loan, a reduction of accrued interest, or an extension of the maturity date(s) at a stated interest rate lower than the current market rate for a new loan with similar risk.

TDRs are considered impaired loans and as such, when a loan is deemed to be impaired, the amount of the impairment is measured using discounted cash flows using the original note rate, except when the loan is collateral dependent. In these cases, the current fair value of the collateral, less selling costs is used. Impairment is recognized as a specific component within the allowance for loan losses if the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan. When the amount of the impairment represents a confirmed loss, it is charged off against the allowance for loan losses.

The following table presents new TDRs at the dates indicated:

	Six Months	Ended Septem	nber 30, 2012	Six Months Ended September 30, 2011			
		Pre-	Post-		Pre-	Post-	
		Modification	Modification		Modification	Modification	
		Outstanding	Outstanding		Outstanding	Outstanding	
	Number of	Recorded	Recorded	Number of	Recorded	Recorded	
(Dollars in Thousands)	Contracts	Investment	Investment	Contracts	Investment	Investment	
Commercial business	2	\$ 449	\$ 430	10	\$ 3,362	\$ 3,230	
Commercial real estate (1)	5	9,022	8,671	-	-	-	
Land (1)	3	2,340	1,957	-	-	-	
Multi-family (1)	1	3,277	3,081	2	3,322	2,441	
Consumer	2	1,971	1,702	1	355	308	
Total	13	\$ 17,059	\$ 15,841	13	\$ 7,039	\$ 5,979	

⁽¹⁾ Original loan was a \$5.0 million real estate construction loan restructured into one \$3.3 million multi-family, one \$875,000 commercial real estate and one \$800,000 land loan based upon collateral securing the restructured loans.

There was one TDR loan for a one-to-four family home that was recorded in the twelve months prior to September 30, 2012 that defaulted in the six months ended September 30, 2012. The pre-modification outstanding recorded investment was \$453,000 and the amount of the defaulted loan totaled \$450,000 at September 30, 2012. There were no TDRs that were recorded in the twelve months prior to September 30, 2011 that subsequently defaulted in the six months ended September 30, 2011.

In accordance with the Company's policy guidelines, unsecured loans are generally charged-off when no payments have been received for three consecutive months unless an alternative action plan is in effect. Consumer installment loans delinquent six months or more that have not received at least 75% of their required monthly payment in the last 90 days are charged-off. In addition, loans discharged in bankruptcy proceedings are charged-off. Loans under bankruptcy protection with no payments received for four consecutive months will be charged-off. The outstanding balance of a secured loan that is in excess of the net realizable value is generally charged-off if no payments are received for four to five consecutive months. However, charge-offs are postponed if alternative proposals to restructure, obtain additional guarantors, obtain additional assets as collateral or a potential sale would result in full repayment of the outstanding loan balance. Once any of these or other repayment potentials are considered exhausted the impaired portion of the loan is charged-off, unless an updated valuation of the collateral reveals no impairment. Regardless of whether a loan is unsecured or collateralized, once an amount is determined to be a confirmed loan loss it is promptly charged off.

9. GOODWILL

Goodwill and intangibles generally arise from business combinations accounted for under the purchase method. Goodwill and other intangibles deemed to have indefinite lives generated from purchase business combinations are not subject to amortization and are instead tested for impairment no less than annually. The Company has one reporting unit, the Bank, for purposes of computing goodwill.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2012, the Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test to determine whether an impairment of its goodwill asset exists. The goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. The first step is a comparison of the reporting unit's fair value to its carrying value. If the reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying value, the Company would be required to progress to the second step. In the second step the

Company calculates the implied fair value of goodwill. The GAAP standards with respect to goodwill require that the Company compare the implied fair value of goodwill to the carrying amount of goodwill on the Company's balance sheet. If the carrying amount of the goodwill is greater than the implied fair value of that goodwill, an impairment loss must be recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as goodwill recognized in a business combination. The estimated fair value of the Company is allocated to all of the Company's individual assets and liabilities, including any unrecognized identifiable intangible assets, as if the Company had been acquired in a business combination and the estimated fair value of the Company is the price paid to acquire it. The allocation process is performed only for purposes of determining the amount of goodwill impairment, as no assets or liabilities are written up or down, nor are any additional unrecognized identifiable intangible assets recorded as a part of this process. The results of the Company's step one test indicated that the reporting unit's fair value was less than its carrying value and therefore the Company performed a step two analysis. After the step two analysis was completed, the Company determined the implied fair value of goodwill was greater than the carrying value on the Company's balance sheet and no goodwill impairment existed; however, no assurance can be given that the Company's goodwill will not be written down in future periods.

The Company determined an interim impairment test was necessary as of June 30, 2012 based upon the sustained decline in the Company's stock price. The Company's step one test indicated that the reporting unit's fair value was less than its carrying value. After the step two analysis was completed, the Company determined the implied fair value of goodwill was

greater than the carrying value on the Company's balance sheet and no goodwill impairment existed at June 30, 2012; however, no assurance can be given that the Company's goodwill will not be written down in future periods.

10. JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBENTURE

At September 30, 2012, the Company had two wholly-owned subsidiary grantor trusts that were established for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities and common securities. The trust preferred securities accrue and pay distributions periodically at specified annual rates as provided in each trust agreement. The trusts used the net proceeds from each of the offerings to purchase a like amount of junior subordinated debentures (the "Debentures") of the Company. The Debentures are the sole assets of the trusts. The Company's obligations under the Debentures and related documents, taken together, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of the obligations of the trusts. The trust preferred securities are mandatorily redeemable upon maturity of the Debentures, or upon earlier redemption as provided in the indentures. The Company has the right to redeem the Debentures in whole or in part on or after specific dates, at a redemption price specified in the indentures governing the Debentures plus any accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date. The Company also has the right to defer the payment of interest on each of the Debentures for a period not to exceed 20 consecutive quarters, provided that the deferral period does not extend beyond the stated maturity. During such deferral period, distributions on the corresponding trust preferred securities will also be deferred and the Company may not pay cash dividends to the holders of shares of our common stock. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company elected to defer regularly scheduled interest payments on its outstanding \$22.7 million aggregate principal amount of the Debentures. The Company continued with the interest deferral through September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012, the Company has deferred a total of \$3.0 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, of interest payments. During the deferral period, the Company is restricted from paying dividends on its common stock.

The Debentures issued by the Company to the grantor trusts, totaling \$22.7 million, are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in the liabilities section, under the caption "junior subordinated debentures." The common securities issued by the grantor trusts were purchased by the Company, and the Company's investment in the common securities of \$681,000 at September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012, is included in prepaid expenses and other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company records interest expense on the Debentures in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table is a summary of the terms of the current Debentures at September 30, 2012 (in thousands):

Issuance Trust	Issuance Date	Amount Outstanding	Rate Type	Initial Rate	Rate	Maturing Date
Riverview Bancorp			Variable			
Statutory Trust I	12/2005	\$ 7,217	(1)	5.88%	1.75%	3/2036
Riverview Bancorp			Variable			
Statutory Trust II	06/2007	15,464	(2)	7.03%	1.74%	9/2037
		\$ 22,681				

- (1) The trust preferred securities reprice quarterly based on the three-month LIBOR plus 1.36%
- (2) The trust preferred securities reprice quarterly based on the three-month LIBOR plus 1.35%

11. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

Accounting guidance regarding fair value measurements defines fair value and establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The following definitions describe the categories used in the tables presented under fair value measurement.

Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1): Inputs that are quoted unadjusted prices in active markets for identical assets that the Company has the ability to access at the measurement date. An active market for the asset is a market in which transactions for the asset or liability occur with sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.

Other observable inputs (Level 2): Inputs that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity including quoted prices for similar assets, quoted prices for securities in inactive markets and inputs derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3): Inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances.

Financial instruments are broken down in the tables that follow by recurring or nonrecurring measurement status. Recurring assets are initially measured at fair value and are required to be remeasured at fair value in the financial statements at each reporting date. Assets measured on a nonrecurring basis are assets that, as a result of an event or circumstance, were required to be remeasured at fair value after initial recognition in the financial statements at some time during the reporting period.

The following table presents assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at the dates indicated (in thousands):

			1	raii v	arue	measuren	ients	at	
			,	Septe	mb	er 30, 2012	, usin	g	
		(Quoted						
		p	rices in						
		•	active						
	Fair value	ma	rkets for			Other		Si	gnificant
	September	i	dentical		oł	servable			bservable
	30,		assets			inputs			inputs
	2012	a	Level 1)			Level 2)		C	Level 3)
Investment securities available for sale		(-							
Trust preferred	\$ 1,119	\$	-		\$	-		\$	1,119
Agency securities	5,017		-			5,017			-
Municipal bonds	142		-			142			-
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale									
Real estate mortgage investment conduits	283		-			283			-
FHLMC mortgage-backed securities	390		_			390			-
FNMA mortgage-backed securities	6		-			6			-
Total recurring assets measured at fair value	\$ 6,957	\$	-		\$	5,838		\$	1,119

March 31, 2012, using Ouoted prices in active markets for Other Significant Fair value identical observable unobservable March 31. assets inputs inputs 2012 (Level 2) (Level 3) (Level 1) Investment securities available for sale Trust preferred 1,166 1,166 Agency securities 4,999 4,999 Municipal bonds 149 149 Mortgage-backed securities available for sale Real estate mortgage investment conduits 329 329 FHLMC mortgage-backed securities 636 636 FNMA mortgage-backed securities 9 7,288 Total recurring assets measured at fair value 6,122 1,166

The following tables present a reconciliation of assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the periods indicated (in thousands). There were no transfers of assets in to or out of Level 3 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012.

For the Six
Months Ended
September 30,
2012

For the Six
Months Ended
September 30,
2011

Fair value measurements at

Fair value measurements at

	 vailable for e securities		vailable for e securities
Beginning balance	\$ 1,166	\$	916
Transfers in to Level 3	-		-
Included in earnings (1)	-		-
Included in other comprehensive income	(47)	263
Ending balance	\$ 1,119	\$	1,179
, and the second			
(1) Included in other non-interest income			

The following method was used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument above:

Investments and Mortgage-Backed Securities – Investment securities available-for-sale are included within Level 1 of the hierarchy when quoted prices in an active market for identical assets are available. The Company uses a third party pricing service to assist the Company in determining the fair value of its Level 2 securities, which incorporates pricing models and/or quoted prices of investment securities with similar characteristics. The Company's Level 3 assets consist of a collateralized debt obligation secured by trust preferred securities.

For Level 2 securities, the Company uses an independent pricing service to assist management in determining fair values of investment securities available-for-sale. This service provides pricing information by utilizing evaluated pricing models supported with market data information. Standard inputs include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes, issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data from market research publications.

Investments securities that are deemed to have been trading in illiquid or inactive markets may require the use of significant unobservable inputs. The Company's third-party pricing service has established processes for us to submit inquiries regarding quoted prices. The Company's third-party pricing service will review the inputs to the evaluation in light of any new market data presented by us. The Company's third-party pricing service may then affirm the original quoted price or may update the evaluation on a going forward basis.

Management reviews the pricing information received from the third party-pricing service through a combination of procedures that include an evaluation of methodologies used by the pricing service, analytical reviews and performance analysis of the prices against statistics and trends and maintenance of an investment watch list. Based on this review, management determines whether the current placement of the security in the fair value hierarchy is appropriate or whether transfers may be warranted. As necessary, the Company compares prices received from the pricing service to discounted cash flow models or through performing independent valuations of inputs and assumptions similar to those used by the pricing service in order to ensure prices represent a reasonable estimate of fair value.

The Company has determined that the market for its single trust preferred pooled security was inactive. This determination was made by the Company after considering the last known trade date for this specific security, the low number of transactions for similar types of securities, the low number of new issuances for similar securities, the significant increase in the implied liquidity risk premium for similar securities, the lack of information that is released publicly and discussions with third-party industry analysts. Due to the inactivity in the market, observable market data was not readily available for all significant inputs for this security. Accordingly, the trust preferred pooled security was classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The Company utilized observable inputs where available, unobservable data and modeled the cash flows adjusted by an appropriate liquidity and credit risk adjusted discount rate using an income approach valuation technique in order to measure the fair value of the security. Significant unobservable inputs were used that reflect the Company's assumptions of what a market participant would use to price the security. Significant unobservable inputs included selecting an appropriate discount rate, default rate and repayment assumptions. The Company estimated the discount rate by comparing rates for similarly rated corporate bonds, with additional consideration given to market liquidity. The default rates and repayment assumptions were estimated based on the individual issuer's financial conditions, historical repayment information, as well as the Company's future expectations of the capital markets.

The following table represents certain loans and real estate owned ("REO") which were marked down to their fair value using fair value measures for the six months ended September 30, 2012. The following are assets that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis (in thousands).

Fair value measurements at

			Sept	ember 30, 2012,	using
			Quoted prices		
			in		
			active markets	Other	Significant
	Fa	ir value	for identical	observable	unobservable
	Sept	ember 30,	assets	inputs	inputs
		2012	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
Impaired loans	\$	11,405	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,405
Real estate owned		25,324	-	-	25,324
Total nonrecurring assets measured at fair					
value	\$	36,729	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 36,729

The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 inputs for financial instruments measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at September 30, 2012 (in thousands):

	Valuation technique	Significant unobservable inputs	Range
Impaired loans	Appraised value	Adjustment for market conditions	0% – 14 %
Real estate owned	Appraised value	Adjustment for market conditions	0% – 14 %

The following method was used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument above:

Impaired loans – A loan is considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due (both interest and principal) according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. For information regarding the Company's method for estimating the fair value of impaired loans, see Note 8 – Allowance For Loan Losses.

In determining the net realizable value of the underlying collateral, the Company primarily relies on third party appraisals which may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available and include consideration for variations in location, size, condition and income production capacity of the property. Additionally, the appraisals are periodically further adjusted by the Company in consideration of charges that may be incurred in the event of foreclosure and are based on management's historical

knowledge, changes in business factors and changes in market conditions. There were no additional adjustments made by the Company to impaired loans measured at fair value at September 30, 2012.

Impaired loans are reviewed and evaluated quarterly for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly, based on the same factors identified above. Because of the high degree of judgment required in estimating the fair value of collateral underlying impaired loans and because of the relationship between fair value and general economic conditions, the Company considers the fair value of impaired loans to be highly sensitive to changes in market conditions.

Real estate owned – REO is real property that the Bank has taken ownership of in partial or full satisfaction of a loan or loans. REO is recorded at the lower of the carrying amount of the loan or fair value less estimated costs to sell. This amount becomes the property's new basis. Any write downs based on the property's fair value less estimated costs to sell at the date of acquisition are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Management periodically reviews REO in an effort to ensure the property is carried at the lower of its new basis or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell.

Management considers third party appraisals in determining the fair value of particular properties. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available and include consideration for variations in location, size, and income production capacity of the property. Additionally, the appraisals are periodically further adjusted by the Company based on management's historical knowledge, changes in business factors and changes in market conditions.

Management periodically reviews REO to ensure the property is carried at the lower of its new basis or fair value, net of estimated costs to sell. Any valuation allowance based on re-evaluation of the property's fair value is charged to non-interest expense. Because of the high degree of judgment required in estimating the fair value of REO and because of the relationship between fair value and general economic conditions, we consider the fair value of REO to be highly sensitive to changes in market conditions.

12. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2011-12 "Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05", which temporarily defers the effective date for disclosures related to reclassification adjustments within accumulated other comprehensive income and should continue to report reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income consistent within the presentation requirements in effect before ASU No. 2011-05. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In July 2012, the FASB issued ASU No. 2012-02 "Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment", regarding goodwill which will allow an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. Under this ASU, an entity would not be required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit unless the entity determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. The ASU includes a number of events and circumstances for an entity to consider in conducting the qualitative assessment. The guidance is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. Early adoption is permitted, including for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed as of a date before July 27, 2012, if an entity's financial statements for the most recent annual or interim period have not yet been issued or, for nonpublic entities, have not yet been made available for issuance. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

13. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following disclosure of the estimated fair value of financial instruments is made in accordance with accounting guidance on the requirements of disclosures about fair value of financial instruments. The Company, using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies, has determined the estimated fair value amounts. However, considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data in the development of the estimates of fair value. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

The estimated fair value of financial instruments is as follows at the dates indicated (in thousands):

G 4 1 20 2012		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets	Other observable inputs	Significant unobservable inputs	F : 1
September 30, 2012 Assets:	Carry value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Fair value
Cash	\$98,367	\$98,367	\$-	\$ -	\$98,367
Certificates of deposit held for investment	41,797	-	42,121	_	42,121
Investment securities available for sale	6,278	-	5,159	1,119	6,278
Mortgage-backed securities held to maturity	164	-	170	_	170
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale	679	-	679	-	679
Loans receivable, net	562,058	-	-	522,619	522,619
Loans held for sale	1,289	1,289	-	-	1,289
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	7,285	-	7,285	-	7,285
Liabilities:					
Demand – savings deposits	495,344	-	495,344	-	495,344
Time deposits	203,883	-	205,673	-	205,673
Junior subordinated debentures	22,681	-	-	8,735	8,735
March 31, 2012					
Assets:					
Cash	\$46,393	\$46,393	\$-	\$ -	\$46,393
Certificates of deposit held for investment	41,473	-	41,767	-	41,767
Investment securities held to maturity	493	-	542	-	542
Investment securities available for sale	6,314	-	5,148	1,166	6,314
Mortgage-backed securities held to maturity	171	-	177	-	177
Mortgage-backed securities available for sale		-	974	-	974
Loans receivable, net	664,888	-	-	596,552	596,552
Loans held for sale	480	480	-	-	480
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	7,350	-	7,350	-	7,350
Liabilities:					
Demand – savings deposits	514,446	-	514,446	-	514,446
Time deposits	230,009	-	231,631	-	231,631
Junior subordinated debentures	22,681	-	-	9,831	9,831

Fair value estimates were based on existing financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business. The fair value has not been estimated for assets and liabilities that were not considered financial instruments.

Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions are set forth below.

Cash – Fair value approximates the carrying amount.

Certificates of Deposit held for investment – The fair value of certificates of deposit with stated maturity was based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate was estimated using rates currently available in the local market.

Investments and Mortgage-Backed Securities – Fair values were based on quoted market rates and dealer quotes, where available. The fair value of the pooled trust preferred security was determined using a discounted cash flow method (see also Note 11 – Fair Value Measurement).

Loans Receivable and Loans Held for Sale – At September 30, 2012, the entire loan portfolio was priced using a discounted cash flow analysis. At March 31, 2012, nonperforming and criticized loans were priced using comparable market statistics. The nonperforming and criticized loan portfolio was segregated into various categories and a weighted average valuation discount that approximated similar loan sales was applied to each of these categories. The remaining loans within the portfolio were priced using a discounted cash flow analysis.

The fair value of loans held for sale was based on the loans carrying value as the agreements to sell these loans are short term fixed rate commitments and no material difference between the carrying value is likely.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock – The carrying amount approximates the estimated fair value of this investment.

Deposits – The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity such as non-interest-bearing demand deposits, interest checking, money market and savings accounts was equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of time deposits with stated maturity was based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate was estimated using rates currently available in the local market.

Junior Subordinated Debentures – The fair value of the Debentures was based on the discounted cash flow method. The discount rate was estimated using rates currently available for the Debentures.

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments – The estimated fair value of loan commitments approximates fees recorded associated with such commitments. Since the majority of the Company's off-balance-sheet instruments consist of non-fee producing, variable rate commitments, the Bank has determined they do not have a distinguishable fair value.

14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Off-balance sheet arrangements. The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments generally include commitments to originate mortgage, commercial and consumer loans. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. The Company's maximum exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the borrower is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. Commitments to extend credit are conditional, and are honored for up to 45 days subject to the Company's usual terms and conditions. Collateral is not required to support commitments.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily used to support public and private borrowing arrangements. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. Collateral held varies and is required in instances where the Company deems necessary.

Significant off-balance sheet commitments at September 30, 2012 are listed below (in thousands):

Commitments to originate loans:	1	ontract or Notional Amount
Adjustable-rate	\$	797
Fixed-rate		3,201
Standby letters of credit		902
Undisbursed loan funds, and unused lines of credit		63,640
Total	\$	68,540

At September 30, 2012, the Company had firm commitments to sell \$3.3 million of residential loans to the FHLMC. Typically, these agreements are short term fixed rate commitments and no material gain or loss is likely.

Other Contractual Obligations. In connection with certain asset sales, the Company typically makes representations and warranties about the underlying assets conforming to specified guidelines. If the underlying assets do not conform to the specifications, the Company may have an obligation to repurchase the assets or indemnify the purchaser against loss. At September 30, 2012, loans under warranty totaled \$117.0 million, which substantially represents the unpaid principal balance of the Company's loans serviced for FHLMC. The Company believes that the potential for loss under these arrangements is remote. Accordingly, no contingent liability is recorded in the consolidated financial statements.

The Company is a party to litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, these actions will not have a material adverse effect, on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This report contains certain financial information determined by methods other than in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). These measures include net interest income on a fully tax equivalent basis and net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis. Management uses these non-GAAP measures in its analysis of the Company's performance. The tax equivalent adjustment to net interest income recognizes the income tax savings when comparing taxable and tax-exempt assets and assumes a 34% tax rate. Management believes that it is a standard practice in the banking industry to present net interest income and net interest margin on a fully tax equivalent basis, and accordingly believes that providing these measures may be useful for peer comparison purposes. These disclosures should not be viewed as substitutes for the results determined to be in accordance with GAAP, nor are they necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures that may be presented by other companies.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies and estimates are discussed in our 2012 Form 10-K under Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation – Critical Accounting Policies." That discussion highlights estimates the Company makes that involve uncertainty or potential for substantial change. There have not been any material changes in the Company's critical accounting policies and estimates as compared to the disclosure contained in the Company's 2012 Form 10-K.

Regulatory Developments and Significant Events

In January 2009, the Bank entered into a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") with the Office of Thrift Supervision ("OTS"), at the time the Bank's primary regulator. Following the transfer of the responsibilities and authority of the OTS to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") on July 21, 2011, the MOU was enforced by the OCC. On January 25, 2012, the Bank entered into a formal written agreement ("Agreement") with the OCC. Upon effectiveness of the Agreement, the MOU was terminated by the OCC. The Agreement will remain in effect and is enforceable until modified, waived or terminated in writing by the OCC.

Entry into the Agreement does not change the Bank's "well capitalized" status. The Agreement is based on the findings of the OCC during its on-site examination of the Bank as of June 30, 2011 ("OCC Exam"). Since the completion of the OCC Exam, the Bank's Board of Directors ("Board") and its management have successfully implemented initiatives and strategies to address and, we believe, resolve a number of the issues noted in the Agreement. The Bank continues to work in cooperation with its regulators to bring its policies and procedures into conformity with the requirements contained in the Agreement.

Under the Agreement, the Bank is required to take the following actions: (a) refrain from paying dividends without prior OCC non-objection; (b) adopt, implement and adhere to a three year capital plan, including objectives, projections and implementation strategies for the Bank's overall risk profile, dividend policy, capital requirements, primary capital structure sources and alternatives, various balance sheet items, as well as systems to monitor the Bank's progress in meeting the plans, goals and objectives of the plan; (c) add a credit risk management function and appoint a Chief Lending Officer that is independent from the credit risk management function; (d) update the Bank's credit policy and not grant, extend, renew or alter any loan over \$250,000 without meeting certain requirements set forth in the Agreement; (e) adopt, implement and adhere to a program to ensure that risk associated with the Bank's loans and other assets is properly reflected on the Bank's books and records; (f) adopt, implement and adhere to a program to reduce the Bank's criticized assets; (g) retain a consultant to perform semi-annual asset quality reviews of the Bank's loan portfolio; (h) adopt, implement and adhere to policies related to asset diversification and reducing concentrations of credit; and (i) submit quarterly progress reports to the OCC regarding various aspects of the

foregoing actions.

The Bank's Board must ensure that the Bank has the processes, personnel and control systems in place to ensure implementation of, and adherence to, the requirements of the Agreement. In connection with this requirement, the Bank's Board has appointed a compliance committee to submit reports to the OCC and to monitor and coordinate the Bank's performance under the Agreement. The Bank believes it is currently in compliance with all of the requirements of the Agreement through its normal business operations. These requirements will remain in effect until modified or terminated by the OCC.

The Bank has also separately agreed to the OCC establishing higher minimum capital ratios for the Bank, specifically that the Bank maintain a Tier 1 capital (leverage) ratio of not less than 9.00% and a total risk-based capital ratio of not less than 12.00%. As of September 30, 2012, the Bank's Tier 1 capital (leverage) ratio was 9.09% and its total risk-based capital ratio was 13.41%.

The Company also entered into a separate MOU agreement with the OTS which is now enforced by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve"). The Federal Reserve became the Company's regulator following the OTS's merger into the OCC. This MOU requires the Company to: (a) provide notice to and obtain written non-objection from the Federal Reserve prior to the Company declaring a dividend or redeeming any capital stock or receiving dividends

or other payments from the Bank; (b) provide notice to and obtain written non-objection from the Federal Reserve prior to the Company incurring, issuing, renewing or repurchasing any new debt; and (c) submit quarterly updates to its written operations plan and consolidated capital plan.

The Company believes it is currently in compliance with all of the requirements of the MOU through its normal business operations. These requirements will remain in effect until modified or terminated by the Federal Reserve.

Certain limitations and regulatory requirements also apply to the Company and the Bank with respect to future changes in senior executive management and directors and payment of, or the agreement to pay, certain severance payments to officers, directors, and employees.

Executive Overview

As a progressive, community-oriented financial services company, the Company emphasizes local, personal service to residents of its primary market area. The Company considers Clark, Cowlitz, Klickitat and Skamania counties of Washington and Multnomah and Marion counties of Oregon as its primary market area. The Counties of Multnomah, Clark and Skamania are part of the Portland metropolitan area as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau. The Company is engaged predominantly in the business of attracting deposits from the general public and using such funds in its primary market area to originate commercial business, commercial real estate, multi-family real estate, real estate construction, residential real estate and other consumer loans, Commercial business, commercial real estate and real estate construction loans have increased to 82.0% of the loan portfolio at September 30, 2012 from 80.0% at March 31, 2012. The Company's strategy over the past several years has been to control balance sheet growth, including the targeted reduction of residential construction related loans, in order to improve its regulatory capital ratios. Speculative construction loans, consisting of unsold properties under construction, represented \$4.2 million, or 96.5% of the residential construction portfolio at September 30, 2012, a balance decrease of 61.1% from March 31, 2012 and a decrease of 71.6% from September 30, 2011. Total real estate construction loans at September 30, 2012 declined to \$16.9 million, which represents a decrease of 34.4% from March 31, 2012 and a decrease of 44.0% from September 30, 2011. Land acquisition and development loans were \$27.3 million at September 30, 2012, a decrease of 29.9% from March 31, 2012 and a decrease of 47.4% from September 30, 2011. Most recently, the Company's primary focus has been on increasing commercial business loans, owner occupied commercial real estate loans and high-quality one-to-four family mortgage loans. Although the Company has focused on increasing high-quality one-to-four family mortgage loans, the Company executed a planned bulk sale of \$31.4 million in single-family mortgage loans to the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC") during June 2012 which decreased the overall balance of single-family mortgage loans for the six months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same prior year period. The Company plans to continue to sell conforming, newly originated one-to-four family mortgage loans to the FHLMC.

Through the Bank's subsidiary, Riverview Asset Management Corp. ("RAMCorp"), located in downtown Vancouver, Washington, the Company provides full-service brokerage activities, trust and asset management services. The Bank's Business and Professional Banking Division, with two lending offices in Vancouver and two in Portland, offers commercial and business banking services.

Vancouver is located in Clark County, Washington, which is just north of Portland, Oregon. Many businesses are located in the Vancouver area because of the favorable tax structure and lower energy costs in Washington as compared to Oregon. Companies located in the Vancouver area include Sharp Microelectronics, Hewlett Packard, Georgia Pacific, Underwriters Laboratory, Wafer Tech, Nautilus, Barrett Business Service and Fisher Investments, as well as several support industries. In addition to this industry base, the Columbia River Gorge Scenic Area is a source of tourism, which has helped to transform the area from its past dependence on the timber industry.

The Company's strategic plan includes targeting the commercial banking customer base in its primary market area for both loan and deposit growth, specifically small and medium size businesses, professionals and wealth building individuals. In pursuit of these goals, the Company manages the size of its loan portfolio while striving to include a significant amount of commercial and commercial real estate loans in its portfolio. A significant portion of these commercial and commercial real estate loans have adjustable rates, higher yields or shorter terms and higher credit risk than traditional fixed-rate mortgages. A related goal is to increase the proportion of personal and business checking account deposits used to fund these new loans. At September 30, 2012, checking accounts totaled \$217.3 million, or 31.1% of our total deposit mix compared to \$208.7 million or 28.6% a year ago. The strategic plan also stresses increased emphasis on non-interest income, including increased fees for asset management and deposit service charges. The strategic plan is designed to enhance earnings, reduce interest rate risk and provide a more complete range of financial services to customers and the local communities the Company serves. The Company believes it is well positioned to attract new customers and to increase its market share with 18 branches, including ten in Clark County and three in the Portland metropolitan area, and three lending centers. On June 29, 2012, the Company opened a new full-service branch in Gresham, Oregon.

During 2008, the national and regional residential lending market experienced a notable slowdown. This downturn, which has continued into 2012, has negatively affected the economy in the Company's primary market area. As a result, the

Company experienced a decline in the values of real estate collateral supporting its loans, and experienced increased loan delinquencies and defaults. These declines were initially concentrated primarily in its residential construction and land development loans portfolios. However, subsequently the Company has also experienced deterioration in its commercial business and commercial real estate loan performance and underlying collateral values. Throughout fiscal 2008 and continuing to the present, higher than historical provision for loan losses has been the most significant factor affecting the Company's operating results. Although economic conditions appear to have stabilized, a prolonged weak economy in our primary market area could result in additional increases in nonperforming assets, further increases in the provision for loan losses and loan charge-offs in the future. As a result, like most financial institutions, our future operating results and financial performance will be significantly affected by the course of recovery in our primary market area from the recent recessionary downturn. In response to these financial challenges, the Company has taken, and is continuing to take, a number of actions aimed at preserving existing capital, reducing lending concentrations and associated capital requirements, and increasing liquidity. The tactical actions taken include, but are not limited to: focusing on reducing the amount of nonperforming assets, adjusting the balance sheet by reducing and or selling loan receivables, selling real estate owned, reducing controllable operating costs, increasing retail deposits while maintaining available secured borrowing facilities to improve liquidity and eliminating dividends to shareholders.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, unemployment in the Company's market increased in both Clark County, Washington and Portland, Oregon. According to the Washington State Employment Security Department, unemployment in Clark County increased to 11.3% at August 31, 2012 compared to 10.9% at June 30, 2012 and decreased compared to 11.8% at September 30, 2011. According to the Oregon Employment Department, unemployment in Portland increased to 7.7% at September 30, 2012 compared to 7.4% at June 30, 2012 and decreased compared to 8.5% at September 30, 2011. Home values at September 2012 in the Company's market area have increased slightly compared to home values a year ago, however, they remain lower compared to 2010 and 2009, due in large part to an increase in volume of foreclosures and short sales. According to the Regional Multiple Listing Services ("RMLS"), inventory levels in Portland, Oregon have increased to 4.6 months at September 30, 2012 compared to 3.9 months at June 30, 2012 and have decreased compared to 6.7 months at September 30, 2011. Inventory levels in Clark County have increased to 5.6 months at September 30, 2012 compared to 5.4 months at June 30, 2012 and have decreased compared to 6.8 months at September 30, 2011. According to the RMLS, closed home sales in Clark County decreased 10.6% and 2.3% at September 30, 2012 compared to June 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. Closed home sales in Portland decreased 15.6% at September 30, 2012 compared to June 30, 2012 and increased 19.4% compared to September 30, 2011. Commercial real estate leasing activity in the Portland/Vancouver area has performed better than the residential real estate market, however, it is generally affected by a slow economy later than other indicators. According to Norris Beggs Simpson, commercial vacancy rates in Clark County and Portland, Oregon were approximately 16.1% and 21.4%, respectively, as of September 30, 2012 compared to 14.4% and 23.2%, respectively, at September 30, 2011. The Company believes there are indications that increased loan delinquencies and defaults may remain elevated for the foreseeable future.

Operating Strategy

The Company's goal is to deliver returns to shareholders by managing problem assets, increasing higher-yielding assets (in particular commercial real estate and commercial business loans), increasing core deposit balances, reducing expenses, hiring experienced employees with a commercial lending focus and exploring expansion opportunities. The Company seeks to achieve these results by focusing on the following objectives:

Focusing on Asset Quality. The Company is focused on monitoring existing performing loans, resolving nonperforming loans and selling foreclosed assets. The Company has aggressively sought to reduce its level of nonperforming assets through write-downs, collections, modifications and sales of nonperforming loans and real estate owned. The Company has taken proactive steps to resolve its nonperforming loans, including negotiating repayment plans, forbearances, loan modifications and loan extensions with borrowers when appropriate, and accepting short payoffs on delinquent loans, particularly when such payoffs result in a smaller loss than foreclosure. In

connection with the downturn in real estate markets, the Company applied more conservative and stringent underwriting practices to new loans, including, among other things, increasing the amount of required collateral or equity requirements, reducing loan-to-value ratios and increasing debt service coverage ratios. Nonperforming assets decreased \$10.4 million to \$52.5 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$62.9 million at March 31, 2012. The Company has continued to reduce its exposure to land development and speculative construction loans. The total land development and speculative construction loan portfolios declined to \$31.4 million at September 30, 2012 as compared to \$49.6 million at March 31, 2012. However, there can be no assurance that the ongoing economic conditions affecting our borrowers will not result in future increases in nonperforming and classified loans. In recent months, statistics reflect an increase in demand and sales of building lots in the Company's primary market area resulting in an increase in the number of closed sales for land and building lots. For the six months ended September 30, 2012, the Company has sold \$4.1 million in land and lot REO properties.

Improving Earnings by Expanding Product Offerings. The Company intends to prudently increase the percentage of its assets consisting of higher-yielding commercial real estate and commercial business loans, which offer higher risk-adjusted returns, shorter maturities and sensitivity to interest rate fluctuations. The Company also intends to selectively add

additional products to further diversify revenue sources and to capture more of each customer's banking relationship by cross selling loan and deposit products and additional services to Bank customers, including services provided through RAMCorp to increase its fee income. Assets under management by RAMCorp totaled \$311.8 million and \$339.5 million at September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company continuously reviews new products and services to provide its customers more financial options. All new technology and services are generally reviewed for business development and cost saving purposes. The Bank has implemented remote check capture at all of its branches and for selected customers of the Bank. The Company continues to experience growth in customer use of its online banking services, which allows customers to conduct a full range of services on a real-time basis, including balance inquiries, transfers and electronic bill paying. The Company also upgraded its online banking product for consumer customers, providing consumer customers greater flexibility and convenience in conducting their online banking. The Company's online service has also enhanced the delivery of cash management services to business customers. The Company also introduced its mobile banking application during the second fiscal quarter of 2012 to further allow flexibility and convenience to its customers related to their banking needs. Further, the Company participates in an Internet deposit listing service which allows the Company to post time deposit rates on an Internet site where institutional investors have the ability to deposit funds with the Company. Furthermore, the Company may utilize the Internet deposit listing service to purchase certificates of deposit at other financial institutions. The Company also offers Insured Cash Sweep (ICSTM), a reciprocal money market product, to its customers along with the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service (CDARSTM) program which allows customers access to FDIC insurance on deposits exceeding the \$250,000 FDIC insurance limit.

Attracting Core Deposits and Other Deposit Products. The Company's strategic focus is to emphasize total relationship banking with its customers to internally fund its loan growth. The Company has reduced its reliance on other wholesale funding sources, including FHLB and FRB advances, by focusing on the continued growth of core customer deposits. The Company believes that a continued focus on customer relationships will help to increase the level of core deposits and locally-based retail certificates of deposit. In addition to its retail branches, the Company maintains technology-based products, such as personal financial management, business cash management, and business remote deposit products, that enable it to compete effectively with banks of all sizes. Core branch deposits (comprised of all demand, savings, interest checking accounts and all time deposits but excludes wholesale-brokered deposits, trust account deposits, Interest on Lawyer Trust Accounts ("IOLTA"), public funds and Internet based deposits) decreased \$19.6 million during the six months ended September 30, 2012. This decrease was primarily a result of a decision by the Company to reduce a deposit concentration it had with its largest depositor by \$16.6 million. The Company had no outstanding advances from the FHLB or the FRB at September 30, 2012.

Continued Expense Control. Since fiscal 2009, management has undertaken several initiatives to reduce non-interest expense and will continue to make it a priority to identify cost savings opportunities throughout all aspects of the Company's operations. The Company has instituted expense control measures such as cancelling certain projects and capital purchases, and reducing travel and entertainment expenditures. During October 2009, a branch and a loan origination office were closed as a result of their failure to meet the Company's required growth standards. The Company has formed a cost saving committee whose mission is to find additional cost saving opportunities at the Company. The Company also completed an evaluation of its staffing levels in light of the continued weak prospects for loan growth.

Recruiting and Retaining Highly Competent Personnel With a Focus on Commercial Lending. The Company's ability to continue to attract and retain banking professionals with strong community relationships and significant knowledge of its markets will be a key to its success. The Company believes that it enhances its market position and adds profitable growth opportunities by focusing on hiring and retaining experienced bankers focused on owner occupied commercial real estate and commercial lending, and the deposit balances that accompany these relationships. The Company emphasizes to its employees the importance of delivering exemplary customer service and seeking

opportunities to build further relationships with its customers. The goal is to compete with other financial service providers by relying on the strength of the Company's customer service and relationship banking approach. The Company believes that one of its strengths is that its employees are also significant shareholders through the Company's employee stock ownership ("ESOP") and 401(k) plans.

Disciplined Franchise Expansion. The Company believes opportunities currently exist within its market area to grow its franchise. The Company anticipates organic growth as the local economy and loan demand strengthens, through its marketing efforts and as a result of the opportunities being created as a result of the consolidation of financial institutions occurring in its market area. The Company expects to gradually expand its operations further in the Portland, Oregon metropolitan area which has a population of approximately two million people. The Company will continue to be disciplined as it pertains to future expansion focusing on the Pacific Northwest markets it knows and understands. As part of its expansion strategy, on June 29, 2012, the Company opened a new branch in Gresham, Oregon.

Loan Composition

The following table sets forth the composition of the Company's commercial and construction loan portfolios based on loan purpose at the dates indicated.

September 30, 2012	_	ommercial Business	other Real Estate Mortgage (in th	 eal Estate enstruction s)	ommercial & onstruction Total
Commercial business	\$	74,953	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 74,953
Commercial construction		-	-	12,585	12,585
Office buildings		-	87,692	-	87,692
Warehouse/industrial		-	46,837	-	46,837
Retail/shopping centers/strip malls		-	73,771	-	73,771
Assisted living facilities		-	23,213	-	23,213
Single purpose facilities		-	90,568	-	90,568
Land		-	27,262	-	27,262
Multi-family		-	36,372	-	36,372
One-to-four family construction		-	-	4,335	4,335
Total	\$	74,953	\$ 385,715	\$ 16,920	\$ 477,588

March 31, 2012

Commercial business	\$87,238	\$-	\$-	\$87,238
Commercial construction	-	-	13,496	13,496
Office buildings	-	94,541	-	94,541
Warehouse/industrial	-	48,605	-	48,605
Retail/shopping centers/strip malls	-	80,595	-	80,595
Assisted living facilities	-	35,866	-	35,866
Single purpose facilities	-	93,473	-	93,473
Land	-	38,888	-	38,888
Multi-family	-	42,795	-	42,795
One-to-four family construction	-	-	12,295	12,295
Total	\$87,238	\$434,763	\$25,791	\$547,792

Comparison of Financial Condition at September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012

Cash, including interest-earning accounts, totaled \$98.4 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$46.4 million at March 31, 2012. The increase in cash was attributable to principal repayments on loans receivable and proceeds received from the \$31.4 million bulk sale of one-to-four family mortgages to the FHLMC during June 2012. The Company has been maintaining a higher liquidity position as compared to historical levels for regulatory and asset-liability matching purposes.

As a part of the Company's liquidity strategy, the Company invests a portion of its excess cash in short-term certificates of deposit at a higher yield than cash held in interest-earning accounts in order to maximize earnings. All of the certificates of deposit held for investment are fully insured under the FDIC. At September 30, 2012, certificates of deposits held for investments totaled \$41.8 million compared to \$41.5 million at March 31, 2012.

Investment securities available for sale totaled \$6.3 million at both September 30, 2012 and at March 31, 2012. For the quarter ended September 30, 2012, the Company determined that none of its investment securities required an other than temporary impairment charge. For additional information on our Level 3 fair value measurements see "Fair Value of Level 3 Assets" included below.

REO totaled \$24.5 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$18.7 million at March 31, 2012. The \$5.8 million increase was a result of the transfers of loans to REO totaling \$12.7 million which was partially offset by REO sales of \$5.5 million and REO valuations totaling \$1.5 million.

Loans receivable, net, totaled \$562.1 million at September 30, 2012, compared to \$664.9 million at March 31, 2012, a decrease of \$102.8 million. The decrease was due to principal repayments on existing loans in its commercial business, commercial real estate and multi-family portfolios as well as the planned \$31.4 million bulk sale of one-to-four family mortgage loans to the FHLMC during June 2012. Consistent with its focus of reducing speculative construction and land development loans, these loan portfolios decreased \$6.6 million and \$11.6 million, respectively, from March 31, 2012 to September 30, 2012. A substantial portion of the loan portfolio is secured by real estate, either as primary or secondary collateral, located in the Company's primary market areas. Risks associated with loans secured by real estate include decreasing land and property values, increases in interest rates, deterioration in local economic conditions, tightening credit or refinancing markets, and a concentration of loans within any one area. The Company has no option adjustable-rate mortgage (ARM), or teaser residential real estate loans in its portfolio.

Deposit accounts decreased \$45.2 million to \$699.2 million at September 30, 2012, compared to \$744.5 million at March 31, 2012. Deposits decreased as a result of the Company's targeted efforts to reduce its higher costing deposits and to control balance sheet growth as part of its overall capital and liquidity strategy. These decreases included a \$12.1 million reduction in trust account deposits, a \$14.0 million reduction in internet based deposits and a \$16.6 million reduction in a deposit concentration with its largest depositor. The Company had no wholesale-brokered deposits as of September 30, 2012 or March 31, 2012. Core branch deposits (comprised of all demand, savings and interest checking accounts, plus all time deposits and excludes wholesale-brokered deposits, trust account deposits, Interest on Lawyer Trust Accounts ("IOLTA"), Interest on Real Estate Trust Accounts ("IRETA") public funds and Internet based deposits) accounted for 95.7% of total deposits at September 30, 2012, compared to 92.5% at March 31, 2012. The Company plans to continue its focus on core deposits and on building customer relationships as opposed to obtaining deposits through the wholesale markets.

Shareholders' Equity and Capital Resources

Shareholders' equity remained unchanged at \$75.6 million at September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012. The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the OCC. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Bank's financial statements. As of September 30, 2012, the Bank was "well capitalized" as defined under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as "well capitalized," the Bank must maintain the minimum capital ratios set forth in the table below.

The Bank's actual and required minimum capital amounts and ratios are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Actı	ıal	"Adequ Capitali	-	"Well Cap	nitalized"
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
September 30, 2012						
Total Capital:						
(To Risk-Weighted Assets)	\$ 78,085	13.41%	\$ 46,582	8.0%	\$ 69,873	12.0%(1)
Tier 1 Capital:						
(To Risk-Weighted Assets)	70,645	12.13	23,291	4.0	34,937	6.0
Tier 1 Capital (Leverage):						
(To Adjusted Tangible Assets)	70,645	9.09	31,087	4.0	69,947	9.0 (1)
Tangible Capital:						
(To Tangible Assets)	70,645	9.09	11,658	1.5	N/A	N/A
			"Adequ	ately		
	Actı	ıal	"Adequ Capitali	-	"Well Cap	oitalized"
	Actu Amount	ıal Ratio	_	-	"Well Cap Amount	pitalized" Ratio
March 31, 2012			Capitali	zed"	•	
March 31, 2012 Total Capital:			Capitali	zed"	•	
			Capitali	zed"	•	
Total Capital:	Amount	Ratio 12.11%	Capitali Amount	zed" Ratio	Amount \$ 80,099	Ratio 12.0%(1)
Total Capital: (To Risk-Weighted Assets) Tier 1 Capital: (To Risk-Weighted Assets)	Amount	Ratio	Capitali Amount	zed" Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Total Capital: (To Risk-Weighted Assets) Tier 1 Capital: (To Risk-Weighted Assets) Tier 1 Capital (Leverage):	Amount \$ 80,834 72,354	Ratio 12.11% 10.84	Capitali Amount \$ 53,399 26,700	zed" Ratio 8.0% 4.0	Amount \$ 80,099 40,049	12.0%(1) 6.0
Total Capital: (To Risk-Weighted Assets) Tier 1 Capital: (To Risk-Weighted Assets) Tier 1 Capital (Leverage): (To Adjusted Tangible Assets)	Amount \$ 80,834 72,354	Ratio 12.11%	Capitali Amount \$ 53,399	zed" Ratio 8.0%	Amount \$ 80,099	Ratio 12.0%(1)
Total Capital: (To Risk-Weighted Assets) Tier 1 Capital: (To Risk-Weighted Assets) Tier 1 Capital (Leverage):	Amount \$ 80,834 72,354	Ratio 12.11% 10.84	Capitali Amount \$ 53,399 26,700	zed" Ratio 8.0% 4.0	Amount \$ 80,099 40,049	12.0%(1) 6.0

(1) The Bank agreed to establishing higher minimum capital ratios and must maintain a Tier 1 capital (leverage) ratio of not less than 9.0% and a total risk-based capital ratio of not less than 12.0% in order to be deemed "well capitalized".

Liquidity

Liquidity is essential to our business. The objective of the Bank's liquidity management is to maintain ample cash flows to meet obligations for depositor withdrawals, to fund the borrowing needs of loan customers, and to fund ongoing operations. Core relationship deposits are the primary source of the Bank's liquidity. As such, the Bank focuses on deposit relationships with local consumer and business clients who maintain multiple accounts and services at the Bank.

In response to the adverse economic conditions, the Company has been, and will continue to work toward reducing the amount of nonperforming assets, controlling balance sheet growth, reducing controllable operating costs, and augmenting deposits while striving to maximize secured borrowing facilities to improve liquidity and preserve capital over the coming fiscal year. However, the Company's inability to successfully implement its plans or further deterioration in economic conditions and real estate prices could have a material adverse effect on the Company's liquidity.

Liquidity management is both a short- and long-term responsibility of the Company's management. The Company adjusts its investments in liquid assets based upon management's assessment of (i) expected loan demand, (ii) projected loan sales, (iii) expected deposit flows, (iv) yields available on interest-bearing deposits and (v) its asset/liability management program objectives. Excess liquidity is invested generally in interest-bearing overnight deposits and other short-term government

and agency obligations. If the Company requires funds beyond its ability to generate them internally, it has additional diversified and reliable sources of funds with the FHLB, the FRB and other wholesale facilities. These sources of funds may be used on a long or short-term basis to compensate for reduction in other sources of funds or on a long-term basis to support lending activities. Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company elected to defer regularly scheduled interest payments on its outstanding \$22.7 million aggregate principal amount of junior subordinated debentures issued in connection with the sale of trust preferred securities through statutory business trusts. The Company continued with the interest deferral at September 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had deferred a total of \$3.0 million of interest payments. The accrual for these payments is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Consolidated Balances Sheets and interest expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income. This deferral may adversely affect our ability to access wholesale funding facilities or obtain debt financing on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

The Company's primary sources of funds are customer deposits, proceeds from principal and interest payments on loans, proceeds from the sale of loans, maturing securities, FHLB advances and FRB borrowings. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and prepayment of mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition. Management believes that its focus on core relationship deposits coupled with access to borrowing through reliable counterparties provides reasonable and prudent assurance that ample liquidity is available. However, depositor or counterparty behavior could change in response to competition, economic or market situations or other unforeseen circumstances, which could have liquidity implications that may require different strategic or operational actions.

The Company must maintain an adequate level of liquidity to ensure the availability of sufficient funds for loan originations, deposit withdrawals and continuing operations, satisfy other financial commitments and take advantage of investment opportunities. During the six months ended September 30, 2012, the Bank used its sources of funds primarily to fund loan commitments and to pay deposit withdrawals. At September 30, 2012, cash totaled \$98.4 million, or 12.2% of total assets. The Bank generally maintains sufficient cash and short-term investments to meet short-term liquidity needs; however, its primary liquidity management practice is to increase or decrease short-term borrowings, including FRB borrowings and FHLB advances. At September 30, 2012, the Bank had no advances from the FRB. The Bank has a borrowing capacity of \$81.0 million from the FRB, subject to sufficient collateral. At September 30, 2012, there were no advances from the FHLB and the Bank has an available credit facility of \$171.4 million, limited to sufficient collateral and stock investment. At September 30, 2012, the Bank had sufficient unpledged collateral to allow it to utilize its available borrowing capacity from the FRB and the FHLB. Borrowing capacity may, however, fluctuate based on acceptability and risk rating of loan collateral and counterparties could adjust discount rates applied to such collateral at their discretion.

An additional source of wholesale funding includes brokered certificate of deposits. While the Bank has utilized brokered deposits from time to time, the Bank historically has not extensively relied on brokered deposits to fund its operations. At September 30, 2012, the Company had no wholesale-brokered deposits. The Bank also participates in the CDARS and ICS deposit products, which allows the Bank to accept deposits in excess of the FDIC insurance limit for that depositor and obtain "pass-through" insurance for the total deposit. The Bank's reciprocal CDARS and ICS balances were \$35.4 million, or 5.1% of total deposits, and \$37.2 million, or 5.0% of total deposits, at September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively. With news of bank failures and increased levels of distress in the financial services industry and customer concern with FDIC insurance limits, customer interest in and demand for CDARS and ICS deposits has remained strong with continued renewals of existing CDARS deposits and the opening of new accounts. The Bank's brokered deposits (which include CDARS and ICS) are restricted to 20% of total deposits based on a supervisory imposed limit. The combination of all the Bank's funding sources, gives the Bank available liquidity of \$496.9 million, or 60.1% of total assets at September 30, 2012.

The Bank's deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC. On July 21, 2010, the FDIC deposit insurance coverage was permanently raised to \$250,000. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, since January 1, 2011, all non-interest bearing transaction accounts and IOLTA accounts qualify for unlimited deposit insurance by the FDIC through December 31, 2012. NOW accounts, which were previously fully insured under the Transaction Account Guarantee Program, are no longer eligible for an unlimited guarantee due to the expiration of this program on December 31, 2010. NOW accounts, along with all other deposits maintained at the Bank are now insured by the FDIC up to \$250,000 per account owner.

At September 30, 2012, the Company had total commitments of \$68.5 million, which includes commitments to extend credit of \$4.0 million, unused lines of credit and undisbursed balances of \$63.6 million and standby letters of credit totaling \$902,000. The Company anticipates that it will have sufficient funds available to meet current loan commitments. Certificates of deposits that are scheduled to mature in less than one year totaled \$141.1 million. Historically, the Bank has been able to retain a significant amount of its deposits as they mature. Offsetting these cash outflows are scheduled loan maturities of less than one year totaling \$81.9 million.

Sources of capital and liquidity for the Company include distributions from the Bank and the issuance of debt or equity securities. Dividends and other capital distributions from the Bank are subject to regulatory restrictions and approval. The

Company elected to defer regularly scheduled interest payments on its junior subordinated debentures during the first quarter of fiscal 2011, which in turn, restricts the Company's ability to pay dividends on its common stock.

Asset Quality

Nonperforming assets, consisting of nonperforming loans and REO, totaled \$52.5 million or 6.49% of total assets at September 30, 2012 compared to \$62.9 million or 7.35% of total assets at March 31, 2012. Nonperforming loans were \$28.0 million or 4.81% of total loans at September 30, 2012 compared to \$44.2 million or 6.45% of total loans at March 31, 2012. The decline in nonperforming loans was a result of a transfer of \$12.7 million in loans to REO and the paydown of principal on several loans. This transfer of loans to REO was partially offset by REO sales of \$5.5 million for the six months ending September 30, 2012 and REO valuations totaling \$1.5 million. The \$28.0 million balance of nonperforming loans consisted of forty six loans to thirty four borrowers, which includes nine commercial business loans totaling \$1.9 million, six commercial real estate loans totaling \$11.3 million, six land acquisition and development loans totaling \$3.7 million (the largest of which was \$928,000), two multi-family real estate loans totaling \$6.1 million (the largest of which was \$3.1 million), four real estate construction loans totaling \$1.5 million and nineteen residential real estate loans totaling \$3.5 million. All of these loans are to borrowers located in Oregon and Washington.

The Company has continued to focus on managing the residential construction and land development portfolios. At September 30, 2012, the Company's residential construction and land acquisition and development loan portfolios were \$4.3 million and \$27.3 million, respectively compared to \$12.3 million and \$38.9 million, respectively at March 31, 2012 and \$17.6 million and \$51.9 million, respectively at September 30, 2011. The percentage of nonperforming loans in the residential construction and land acquisition and development portfolios at September 30, 2012 was 34.21% and 13.73%, respectively as compared 63.08% and 33.39%, respectively at March 31, 2012 and 20.05% and 25.58%, respectively, at September 30, 2011. For the six months ended September 30, 2012, net charge-offs for the residential construction and land development portfolios were \$112,000 and \$1.0 million, respectively. The commercial real estate loan portfolio has been affected more in recent quarters by the continued weak economy. Nonperforming commercial real estate loans to total nonperforming loans has increased to 40.43% at September 30, 2012 compared to 31.6% at March 31, 2012. Classified commercial real estate loans totaled \$51.5 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$35.1 million at March 31, 2012.

REO totaled \$24.5 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$18.7 million at March 31, 2012. The \$24.5 million balance of REO is comprised of single-family homes totaling \$2.4 million, residential building lots totaling \$1.5 million, land development property totaling \$10.3 million, industrial and commercial real estate property totaling \$9.7 million, and multi-family real estate totaling \$562,000. All of these properties are located in Washington and Oregon with the exception of one commercial real estate property located in Idaho.

The allowance for loan losses was \$20.1 million or 3.46% of total loans at September 30, 2012 compared to \$19.9 million or 2.91% of total loans at March 31, 2012. The balance of the allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2012 reflects the elevated levels of delinquent and classified loans, charge-offs as well as declines in real estate values as compared to historical levels. The coverage ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans was 71.85% at September 30, 2012 compared to 45.11% at March 31, 2012. The increase in the coverage ratio was a result of the increase in the allowance for loan losses coupled with a decrease in nonperforming loans. At September 30, 2012, the Company identified \$26.1 million, or 92.94% of its nonperforming loans, as impaired and performed a specific valuation analysis on each loan resulting in a specific reserve of \$168,000, or 0.64% of the nonperforming loans on which a specific analysis was performed. In general, the Company charges off the calculated specific valuation allowance on its collateral dependent loans resulting in the Company's nonperforming loans being carried at their calculated fair value with no associated specific reserve. Based on its comprehensive analysis, management deemed the allowance for loan losses of at September 30, 2012 adequate to cover probable losses inherent in the loan

portfolio. However, a further decline in local economic conditions, results of examinations by the Company's regulators, or other factors could result in a material increase in the allowance for loan losses and may adversely affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations. In addition, because future events affecting borrowers and collateral cannot be predicted with certainty, there can be no assurance that the existing allowance for loan losses will be adequate or that substantial increases will not be necessary should the quality of any loans deteriorate or should collateral values further decline as a result of the factors discussed elsewhere in the document. For further information regarding the Company's impaired loans and allowance for loan losses, see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 of this Form 10-Q.

Troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") are loans where the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial condition, has granted a concession to the borrower that it would otherwise not consider. A TDR typically involves a modification of terms such as a reduction of the stated interest rate or face amount of the loan, a reduction of accrued interest, or an extension of the maturity date(s) at a stated interest rate lower than the current market rate for a new loan with similar risk.

TDRs are considered impaired loans and as such, when a loan is deemed to be impaired, the amount of the impairment is measured using discounted cash flows using the original note rate, except when the loan is collateral dependent. In these cases, the estimated fair value of the collateral and when applicable, less selling costs, are used. Impairment is recognized

as a specific component within the allowance for loan losses if the value of the impaired loan is less than the recorded investment in the loan. When the amount of the impairment represents a confirmed loss, it is charged off against the allowance for loan losses. At September 30, 2012 the Company had TDRs totaling \$25.5 million of which \$14.5 million were on accrual status. However, all of the Company's TDRs are paying as agreed except for one loan for \$450,000 that defaulted in August 2012. The related amount of interest income recognized on these TDRs was \$297,000 for the six months ended September 30, 2012.

The Company has determined that, in certain circumstances, it is appropriate to split a loan into multiple notes. This typically includes a non-performing charged-off loan that is not supported by the cash flow of the relationship and a performing loan that is supported by the cash flow. These may also be split into multiple notes to align portions of the loan balance with the various sources of repayment when more than one exists. Generally the new loans are restructured based on customary underwriting standards. In situations where they were not, the policy exception qualifies as a concession, and the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, the loans are accounted for as TDRs.

The Company's general policy related to TDRs is to perform a credit evaluation of the borrower's financial condition and prospects for repayment under the revised terms. This evaluation includes consideration of the borrower's sustained historical repayment performance for a reasonable period of time. A sustained period of repayment performance generally would be a minimum of six months, and may include repayments made prior to the restructuring date. If repayment of principal and interest appears doubtful, it is placed on non-accrual status.

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's nonperforming assets.

	Sep	tember 30, 2012	,	N	Iarch 31, 2012	
		(Dollar	s in tho	usan		
Loans accounted for on a non-accrual basis:						
Commercial business	\$	1,945		\$	3,930	
Other real estate mortgage		21,140			28,562	
Real estate construction		1,483			7,756	
Real estate one-to-four family		3,463			3,915	
Total		28,031			44,163	
Accruing loans which are contractually						
past due 90 days or more		-			-	
Total nonperforming loans		28,031			44,163	
REO		24,481			18,731	
Total nonperforming assets	\$	52,512		\$	62,894	
Total nonperforming loans to total loans		4.81	%		6.45	%
Total nonperforming loans to total assets		3.46			5.16	
Total nonperforming assets to total assets		6.49			7.35	

The composition of the Company's nonperforming assets by loan type and geographical area is as follows:

September 30, 2012	Northwest Oregon	Other Oregon	Southwest Washington (Dollars in	Other Washington thousands)	Other	Total
Commercial business	\$88	\$182	\$ 1,675	\$-	\$-	\$1,945
Commercial real estate	2,322	-	8,714	298	-	11,334
Land	-	800	2,944	-	-	3,744

Edgar Filing: RIVERVIEW BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Multi-family	-	3,081	2,981	-	-	6,062
One-to-four family						
construction	904	562	17	-	-	1,483
Real estate one-to-four family	349	413	2,411	290	-	3,463
Total nonperforming loans	3,663	5,038	18,742	588	-	28,031
REO	4,227	6,729	9,625	2,745	1,155	24,481
Total nonperforming assets	\$7,890	\$11,767	\$ 28,367	\$3,333	\$1,155	\$52,512
March 31, 2012						
Commercial business	\$194	\$746	\$2,990	\$-	\$-	\$3,930
Commercial business Commercial real estate	\$194 1,867	\$746 -	\$2,990 9,735	\$- -	\$- 2,348	\$3,930 13,950
	•	\$746 - 1,902	·			·
Commercial real estate	•	-	9,735		2,348	13,950
Commercial real estate Land	1,867	1,902	9,735 6,383	-	2,348	13,950 12,985
Commercial real estate Land Multi-family	1,867	1,902	9,735 6,383	-	2,348	13,950 12,985
Commercial real estate Land Multi-family One-to-four family	1,867 - 627	1,902 1,000	9,735 6,383	- -	2,348	13,950 12,985 1,627
Commercial real estate Land Multi-family One-to-four family construction	1,867 - 627 1,246	1,902 1,000 6,117	9,735 6,383 - 393	- - -	2,348	13,950 12,985 1,627 7,756
Commercial real estate Land Multi-family One-to-four family construction Real estate one-to-four family	1,867 - 627 1,246 678	1,902 1,000 6,117 189	9,735 6,383 - 393 3,048	- - -	2,348 4,700 - -	13,950 12,985 1,627 7,756 3,915

The composition of the speculative construction and land development loan portfolios by geographical area is as follows:

September 30, 2012	Northwest Oregon	Other Oregon (D	Southwest Washington ollars in thousa	Other Washington nds)	Other	Total
Land development	\$4,960	\$2,413	\$ 19,889	\$-	\$-	\$27,262
Speculative construction	904	563	2,474	244	-	4,185
Total land and speculative						
construction	\$5,864	\$2,976	\$ 22,363	\$244	\$-	\$31,447
March 31, 2012						
Land development	\$6,044	\$3,672	\$24,472	\$-	\$4,700	\$38,888
Speculative construction	1,246	6,117	3,006	392	-	10,761
Total land and speculative						
construction	\$7.290	\$9.789	\$27.478	\$392	\$4.700	\$49.649

Other loans of concern totaled \$52.5 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$41.9 million at March 31, 2012. Included in other loans of concern at September 30, 2012 were twenty three commercial loans totaling \$6.5 million (the largest of which was \$1.9 million), thirty commercial real estate loans totaling \$40.1 million (the largest of which was \$4.9 million), four multi-family loans totaling \$3.2 million and six land acquisition and development loans totaling \$2.7 million. Other loans of concern consist of loans where the borrowers have cash flow problems, or the collateral securing the respective loans may be inadequate. In either or both of these situations, the borrowers may be unable to comply with the present loan repayment terms, and the loans may subsequently be included in the non-accrual category. Management considers the allowance for loan losses to be adequate to cover the probable losses inherent in these and other loans.

At September 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012, loans delinquent 30 - 89 days were -----0.64% and 1.29%, respectively, of total loans. At September 30, 2012, the 30 - 89 days delinquency rate in the commercial business portfolio was 0.98% while the delinquency rate in the commercial real estate loan portfolio was 0.32%, comprised of one loan for \$1.0 million. At that date, commercial real estate loans represented the largest portion of the loan portfolio at 55.32% of total loans and commercial business loans represented 12.87% of total loans. At September 30, 2012, the 30-89 days delinquency rate in the real estate one-to-four family loan portfolio was 1.91%.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Other Contractual Obligations

Through the normal course of operations, the Company enters into certain contractual obligations and other commitments. Obligations generally relate to funding of operations through deposits and borrowings as well as leases for premises. Commitments generally relate to lending operations.

The Company has obligations under long-term operating leases, principally for building space and land. Lease terms generally cover a five-year period, with options to extend, and are not subject to cancellation.

The Company has commitments to originate fixed and variable rate mortgage loans to customers. Because some commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Undisbursed loan funds and unused lines of credit include funds not disbursed, but committed to

construction projects and home equity and commercial lines of credit. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party.

For further information regarding the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements and other contractual obligations, see Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 of this Form 10-O.

Goodwill Valuation

Goodwill is initially recorded when the purchase price paid for an acquisition exceeds the estimated fair value of the net identified tangible and intangible assets acquired. Goodwill is presumed to have an indefinite useful life and is tested, at least annually, for impairment at the reporting unit level. The Company has one reporting unit, the Bank, for purposes of computing goodwill. All of the Company's goodwill has been allocated to this single reporting unit. The Company performs an annual review in the third quarter of each fiscal year, or more frequently if indications of potential impairment exist, to determine if the recorded goodwill is impaired. If the fair value exceeds the carrying value, goodwill at the reporting unit level is not considered impaired and no additional analysis is necessary. If the carrying value of the reporting unit is higher than its fair value, there is an indication that impairment may exist and additional analysis must be performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The amount of impairment is determined by comparing the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill to the carrying value of the goodwill in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. Specifically, the Company would allocate the fair value to all of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit, including unrecognized intangible assets, in a hypothetical analysis that would calculate the

implied fair value of goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill is less than the recorded goodwill, the Company would record an impairment charge for the difference.

A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator of impairment has occurred. Such indicators may include, among others; a significant decline in expected future cash flows; a sustained, significant decline in the Company's stock price and market capitalization; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate; adverse assessment or action by a regulator; and unanticipated competition. Any adverse change in these factors could have a significant impact on the recoverability of such assets and could have a material impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company performed an interim goodwill impairment test during the quarter-ended June 30, 2012. The goodwill impairment test involves a two-step process. Step one of the goodwill impairment test estimates the fair value of the reporting unit utilizing the allocation of corporate value approach, the income approach and the market approach in order to derive an enterprise value of the Company. The allocation of corporate value approach applies the aggregate market value of the Company and divides it among the reporting units. A key assumption in this approach is the control premium applied to the aggregate market value. A control premium is utilized as the value of a company from the perspective of a controlling interest and is generally higher than the widely quoted market price per share. The Company used an expected control premium of 40%, which was based on comparable transactional history. The income approach uses a reporting unit's projection of estimated operating results and cash flows that is discounted using a rate that reflects current market conditions. The projection uses management's best estimates of economic and market conditions over the projected period including growth rates in loans and deposits, estimates of future expected changes in net interest margins and cash expenditures. Assumptions used by the Company in its discounted cash flow model (income approach) included an annual revenue growth rate that approximated 2%, a net interest margin that approximated 4.4% and a return on assets that ranged from (0.35)% to 0.73% (average of 0.33%). In addition to utilizing the above projections of estimated operating results, key assumptions used to determine the fair value estimate under the income approach was the discount rate of 15.1% utilized for our cash flow estimates and a terminal value estimated at 1.3 times the ending book value of the reporting unit. The Company used a build-up approach in developing the discount rate that included: an assessment of the risk free interest rate, the rate of return expected from publicly traded stocks, the industry the Company operates in and the size of the Company. The market approach estimates fair value by applying tangible book value multiples to the reporting unit's operating performance. The multiples are derived from comparable publicly traded companies with similar operating and investment characteristics of the reporting unit. In applying the market approach method, the Company selected eight publicly traded comparable institutions based on a variety of financial metrics (tangible equity, leverage ratio, return on assets, return on equity, net interest margin, nonperforming assets, net charge-offs, and reserves for loan losses) and other relevant qualitative factors (geographical location, lines of business, business model, risk profile, availability of financial information, etc.). After selecting comparable institutions, the Company derived the fair value of the reporting unit by completing a comparative analysis of the relationship between their financial metrics listed above and their market values utilizing a market multiple of 0.91 times tangible book. The Company calculated a fair value of its reporting unit of \$55 million using the corporate value approach, \$66 million using the income approach and \$65 million using the market approach, with a final concluded value of \$65 million. The results of the Company's step one test indicated that the reporting unit's fair value was less than its carrying value and therefore the Company performed a step two analysis.

The Company calculated the implied fair value of its reporting unit under step two of the goodwill impairment test. Under this approach, the Company calculated the fair value for its unrecognized deposit intangible, as well as the remaining assets and liabilities of the reporting unit. The calculated implied fair value of the Company's goodwill exceeded the carrying value by \$10.0 million. Significant adjustments were made to the fair value of the Company's loans receivable compared to its recorded value. The Company used two separate methods to determine the fair value of its loans receivable. For performing and noncriticized loans, the Company utilized a discounted cash flow

approach. For nonperforming and criticized loans, the Company utilized a comparable transaction approach using comparable loan sales. A key assumption used by the Company under each method was determining an appropriate discount rate. For the discounted cash flow approach the Company started with its contractual cash flows and its current lending rate for comparable loans and adjusted these for both credit and liquidity premiums. For the comparable transaction approach a weighted average discount rate was used that approximated the discount for similar loan sales by the FDIC. Based on results of the step two impairment test, the Company determined no impairment charge of goodwill was required.

An interim impairment test was not deemed necessary as of September 30, 2012, due to there not being a significant change in the reporting unit's assets and liabilities, the amount that the fair value of the reporting unit exceeded the carrying value as of the most recent valuation, and because the Company determined that, based on an analysis of events that have occurred and circumstances that have changed since the most recent valuation date, the likelihood that a current fair value determination would be less than the current carrying amount of the reporting unit is remote.

Even though the Company determined that there was no goodwill impairment during the interim impairment test at June 30, 2012 impairment test, continued declines in the value of its stock price as well as values of other financial institutions,

declines in revenue for the Company beyond our current forecasts and significant adverse changes in the operating environment for the financial industry may result in a future impairment charge.

It is possible that changes in circumstances existing at the measurement date or at other times in the future, or in the numerous estimates associated with management's judgments, assumptions and estimates made in assessing the fair value of our goodwill, could result in an impairment charge of a portion or all of our goodwill. If the Company recorded an impairment charge, its financial position and results of operations would be adversely affected, however, such an impairment charge would have no impact on our liquidity, operations or regulatory capital.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Three and Six Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011

Net Interest Income. The Company's profitability depends primarily on its net interest income, which is the difference between the income it receives on interest-earning assets and the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. When interest-earning assets equal or exceed interest-bearing liabilities, any positive interest rate spread will generate net interest income. The Company's results of operations are also significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions, particularly changes in market interest rates, government legislation and regulation, and monetary and fiscal policies.

Net interest income for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012 was \$7.8 million and \$15.9 million, respectively, representing a \$648,000 and \$1.4 million decrease, respectively, compared to the same three and six months ended September 30, 2011. Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities increased to 121.21% and 120.98% for the three and six month periods ended September 30, 2012 compared to 120.31% and 119.92% in the same prior year period. The net interest margin for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012 was 4.31% and 4.26%, respectively, compared to 4.35% and 4.50%, respectively, for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011.

The Company generally achieves better net interest margins in a stable or increasing interest rate environment as a result of the balance sheet being slightly asset interest rate sensitive. Approximately 10.04% of our loan portfolio was adjustable (floating) at September 30, 2012. At September 30, 2012, approximately \$43.7 million, or 74.81% of our adjustable (floating) loan portfolio contained interest rate floors, below which the loans' contractual interest rate may not adjust. The inability of these loans to adjust downward has contributed to increased income in the currently low interest rate environment; however, net interest income will be reduced in a rising interest rate environment until such time as the current rate exceeds these interest rate floors. At September 30, 2012, \$42.8 million or 7.35% of the loans in the Company's loan portfolio were at the floor interest rate of which \$27.4 million or 64.12% had yields that would begin floating again once the Prime Rate increases at least 150 basis points. Generally, interest rates on the Company's interest-earning assets reprice faster than interest rates on the Company's interest-bearing liabilities. In a decreasing interest rate environment, the Company requires time to reduce deposit interest rates to recover the decline in the net interest margin. While the Company does not anticipate further significant reductions in market interest rates, further modest reductions in its deposit costs are expected due to its deposit rate offerings and as existing long-term deposits renew upon maturity and reprice at a lower rate. The amount and timing of these reductions is dependent on competitive pricing pressures, yield curve shape and changes in interest rate spreads.

Interest Income. Interest income for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, was \$8.6 million and \$17.9 million, respectively, compared to \$10.0 million and \$20.4 million, respectively, for the same periods in the prior year. This represents a decrease of \$1.3 million and \$2.5 million for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods. These decreases were due primarily to a decrease in average loan balances, and to a lesser extent, the impact of loans repricing down to the current low interest rates.

The average balance of net loans decreased \$90.6 million and \$55.3 million to \$605.4 million and \$638.4 million for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, from \$695.9 million and \$693.7 million for the same prior year periods, respectively. The decrease in average loan balances was due to the Company's effort in the past fiscal year to restructure its balance sheet and reduce its overall loans receivable as part of the Company's capital and liquidity strategies. The decrease was also due to an increase in principal repayments and to the sale of \$31.4 million in one-to-four family mortgages loans to FHLMC during the quarter-ended June 30, 2012. The yield on net loans was 5.55% and 5.47% for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to 5.60% and 5.78% for the same three and six months in the prior year. During the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, the Company also reversed \$35,000 and \$105,000, respectively, of interest income on nonperforming loans.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$669,000 and \$1.1 million to \$861,000 and \$2.0 million for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$1.5 million and \$3.1 million for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011. These decreases in interest expense were the result of declining deposit costs, primarily due to the low interest rate environment. The weighted average interest rate on interest-bearing deposits decreased to 0.49% and 0.52% for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively from 0.75% and 0.78% for the same respective periods in the prior year. The decrease in interest expense was also due the Company's its junior subordinated debentures changing from a fixed to floating interest rate. The weighted average interest rate on other interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 2.57% and 4.05% for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively from 5.84% for both the same respective periods in the prior year.

The following tables set forth, for the periods indicated, information regarding average balances of assets and liabilities as well as the total dollar amounts of interest earned on average interest-earning assets and interest paid on average interest-bearing liabilities, resultant yields, interest rate spread, ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities and net interest margin.

	Three Months Ended September 30,									
	Average Balance	2012 Interest and Dividends	Yield/Cost	Average	2011 Interest and Dividends	Yield/C	ost			
	(Dollars in thousands)									
Interest-earning assets:										
Mortgage loans	\$526,070	\$7,447	5.62	% \$608,815	\$8,625	5.62	%			
Non-mortgage loans	79,312	1,021	5.11	87,126	1,190	5.42				
Total net loans (1)	605,382	8,468	5.55	695,941	9,815	5.60				
Mortgage-backed securities (2)	888	7	3.13	1,580	13	3.26				
Investment securities (2)(3)	8,275	49	2.30	8,993	54	2.38				
Daily interest-bearing assets	1,124	-	-	3,955	-	-				
Other earning assets	101,263	128	0.51	60,250	89	0.59				
Total interest-earning assets	716,932	8,652	4.79	770,719	9,971	5.13				
Non-interest-earning assets:										
Office properties and										
equipment, net	17,767			16,293						
Other non-interest-earning										
assets	74,596			82,177						
Total assets	\$809,295			\$869,189						
Interest-bearing liabilities:										
Regular savings accounts	\$48,539	24	0.20	\$39,297	32	0.32				
Interest checking accounts	77,837	30	0.15	90,853	68	0.30				
Money market deposit accounts	229,565	156	0.27	231,168	282	0.48				
Certificates of deposit	210,317	489	0.92	254,023	776	1.21				
Total interest-bearing deposits	566,258	699	0.49	615,341	1,158	0.75				
Other interest-bearing liabilities	25,202	162	2.57	25,264	372	5.84				
Total interest-bearing liabilities	591,460	861	0.58	640,605	1,530	0.95				
Non-interest-bearing liabilities:										
Non-interest-bearing deposits	132,985			109,132						
Other liabilities	8,842			9,723						
Total liabilities	733,287			759,460						
Shareholders' equity	76,008			109,729						
Total liabilities and										
shareholders' equity	\$809,295			\$869,189						
Net interest income		\$7,791			\$8,441					
Interest rate spread			4.21	%		4.18	%			
Net interest margin			4.31	%		4.35	%			

Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities 121.21 % 120.31 % Tax equivalent adjustment (3) \$4 \$6

- (1) Includes non-accrual loans.
- (2) For purposes of the computation of average yield on investments available for sale, historical cost balances were utilized;

therefore, the yield information does not give effect to changes in fair value that are reflected as a component of shareholders' equity.

(3) Tax-equivalent adjustment relates to non-taxable investment interest income. Interest and rates are presented on a fully taxable –equivalent basis using a tax rate of 34%.

Average Balance Interest and Dividends Average (Dollars in thousands) Interest and Dividends Vield/Cost Balance (Dollars in thousands) Interest and Dividends Yield/Cost Interest-earning assets: Mortgage loans \$557,528 \$15,432 5.52 % \$607,307 \$17,727 5.82 % Non-mortgage loans 80,880 2,081 5.13 86,373 2,368 5.47 Total net loans (1) 638,408 17,513 5.47 693,680 20,095 5.78 Mortgage-backed securities (2) 959 15 3.12 1,692 29 3.42 Investment securities (2)(3) 8,442 114 2.69 9,002 117 2.59 Daily interest-bearing assets 1,571 - - 4,110 - - Other earning assets 93,023 257 0.55 57,499 164 0.57 Total interest-earning assets 742,403 17,899 4.81 765,983 20,405 5.31			Six 2012	x Months E	Ended September	30, 2011		
Balance Dividends Yield/Cost (Dollars in thousands) Balance (Dollars in thousands) Dividends Yield/Cost (Dollars in thousands) Interest-earning assets: Mortgage loans \$557,528 \$15,432 5.52 % \$607,307 \$17,727 5.82 % Non-mortgage loans 80,880 2,081 5.13 86,373 2,368 5.47 Total net loans (1) 638,408 17,513 5.47 693,680 20,095 5.78 Mortgage-backed securities (2) 959 15 3.12 1,692 29 3.42 Investment securities (2)(3) 8,442 114 2.69 9,002 117 2.59 Daily interest-bearing assets 1,571 - - 4,110 - - Other earning assets 93,023 257 0.55 57,499 164 0.57 Total interest-earning assets 742,403 17,899 4.81 765,983 20,405 5.31		Avaraga			Avaraga			
Interest-earning assets: Mortgage loans \$557,528 \$15,432 5.52 % \$607,307 \$17,727 5.82 % Non-mortgage loans 80,880 2,081 5.13 86,373 2,368 5.47 Total net loans (1) 638,408 17,513 5.47 693,680 20,095 5.78 Mortgage-backed securities (2) 959 15 3.12 1,692 29 3.42 Investment securities (2)(3) 8,442 114 2.69 9,002 117 2.59 Daily interest-bearing assets 1,571 - 4,110 - Cher earning assets 93,023 257 0.55 57,499 164 0.57 Total interest-earning assets 742,403 17,899 4.81 765,983 20,405 5.31 Non-interest-earning assets:		•			st Balance		Yield/Co	st
Mortgage loans \$557,528 \$15,432 5.52 % \$607,307 \$17,727 5.82 % Non-mortgage loans 80,880 2,081 5.13 86,373 2,368 5.47 Total net loans (1) 638,408 17,513 5.47 693,680 20,095 5.78 Mortgage-backed securities (2) 959 15 3.12 1,692 29 3.42 Investment securities (2)(3) 8,442 114 2.69 9,002 117 2.59 Daily interest-bearing assets 1,571 - - 4,110 - - Other earning assets 93,023 257 0.55 57,499 164 0.57 Total interest-earning assets 742,403 17,899 4.81 765,983 20,405 5.31 Non-interest-earning assets:	Interest-earning assets:							
Non-mortgage loans 80,880 2,081 5.13 86,373 2,368 5.47 Total net loans (1) 638,408 17,513 5.47 693,680 20,095 5.78 Mortgage-backed securities (2) 959 15 3.12 1,692 29 3.42 Investment securities (2)(3) 8,442 114 2.69 9,002 117 2.59 Daily interest-bearing assets 1,571 - - 4,110 - - Other earning assets 93,023 257 0.55 57,499 164 0.57 Total interest-earning assets 742,403 17,899 4.81 765,983 20,405 5.31 Non-interest-earning assets:	_	\$557,528	\$15,432	5.52	% \$607,307	\$17,727	5.82	%
Total net loans (1) 638,408 17,513 5.47 693,680 20,095 5.78 Mortgage-backed securities (2) 959 15 3.12 1,692 29 3.42 Investment securities (2)(3) 8,442 114 2.69 9,002 117 2.59 Daily interest-bearing assets 1,571 - - 4,110 - - Other earning assets 93,023 257 0.55 57,499 164 0.57 Total interest-earning assets 742,403 17,899 4.81 765,983 20,405 5.31 Non-interest-earning assets:	6 6	·	·	5.13	·	•	5.47	
Investment securities (2)(3) 8,442 114 2.69 9,002 117 2.59 Daily interest-bearing assets 1,571 4,110 Other earning assets 93,023 257 0.55 57,499 164 0.57 Total interest-earning assets 742,403 17,899 4.81 765,983 20,405 5.31 Non-interest-earning assets:	0 0	638,408	17,513	5.47	693,680		5.78	
Investment securities (2)(3) 8,442 114 2.69 9,002 117 2.59 Daily interest-bearing assets 1,571 4,110 Other earning assets 93,023 257 0.55 57,499 164 0.57 Total interest-earning assets 742,403 17,899 4.81 765,983 20,405 5.31 Non-interest-earning assets:	, ,							
Daily interest-bearing assets 1,571 - - 4,110 - - Other earning assets 93,023 257 0.55 57,499 164 0.57 Total interest-earning assets 742,403 17,899 4.81 765,983 20,405 5.31 Non-interest-earning assets:	Mortgage-backed securities (2)	959	15	3.12	1,692	29	3.42	
Other earning assets 93,023 257 0.55 57,499 164 0.57 Total interest-earning assets 742,403 17,899 4.81 765,983 20,405 5.31 Non-interest-earning assets:	Investment securities (2)(3)	8,442	114	2.69	9,002	117	2.59	
Total interest-earning assets 742,403 17,899 4.81 765,983 20,405 5.31 Non-interest-earning assets:	Daily interest-bearing assets	1,571	-	-	4,110	-	-	
Non-interest-earning assets:	Other earning assets	93,023	257	0.55	57,499	164	0.57	
	Total interest-earning assets	742,403	17,899	4.81	765,983	20,405	5.31	
	·							
Office properties and	Non-interest-earning assets:							
	Office properties and							
equipment, net 17,613 16,157	equipment, net	17,613			16,157			
Other non-interest-earning	Other non-interest-earning							
assets 66,330 82,106	assets	66,330			82,106			
Total assets \$826,346 \$864,246	Total assets	\$826,346			\$864,246			
Interest-bearing liabilities:	_							
Regular savings accounts \$47,588 53 0.22 \$38,155 65 0.34		\$47,588		0.22	\$38,155	65	0.34	
Interest checking accounts 88,592 87 0.20 90,601 142 0.31	<u> </u>	88,592		0.20	90,601		0.31	
Money market deposit accounts 234,893 356 0.30 229,393 589 0.51	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	356		·	589		
Certificates of deposit 217,406 1,026 0.94 255,351 1,592 1.24	•						1.24	
Total interest-bearing deposits 588,479 1,522 0.52 613,500 2,388 0.78	Total interest-bearing deposits	588,479	1,522	0.52	613,500	2,388	0.78	
Other interest-bearing liabilities 25,195 511 4.05 25,254 740 5.84		·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Total interest-bearing liabilities 613,674 2,033 0.66 638,754 3,128 0.98	Total interest-bearing liabilities	613,674	2,033	0.66	638,754	3,128	0.98	
Non-interest-bearing liabilities:								
Non-interest-bearing deposits 127,457 106,566		·			•			
Other liabilities 8,971 9,473								
Total liabilities 750,102 754,793		·			·			
Shareholders' equity 76,244 109,453		76,244			109,453			
Total liabilities and		0000016			0001010			
shareholders' equity \$826,346 \$864,246	• •	\$826,346	** ** ** ** **		\$864,246	4.5.25		
Net interest income \$15,866 \$17,277			\$15,866		~	\$17,277		~
1	-							%
Net interest margin 4.26 % 4.50 %	Net interest margin			4.26	%		4.50	%
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to	interest-earning assets to							
average interest-bearing	——————————————————————————————————————							
liabilities 120.98 % 119.92 %	liabilities			120.98	%		119.92	%

Tax equivalent adjustment (3) \$8 \$12

- (1) Includes non-accrual loans.
- (2) For purposes of the computation of average yield on investments available for sale, historical cost balances were utilized:

therefore, the yield information does not give effect to changes in fair value that are reflected as a component of shareholders' equity.

(3) Tax-equivalent adjustment relates to non-taxable investment interest income. Interest and rates are presented on a fully taxable –equivalent basis using a tax rate of 34%.

The following table sets forth the effects of changing rates and volumes on net interest income of the Company for the periods-ended September 30, 2012 compared to the periods ended September 30, 2011. Variances that were insignificant have been allocated based upon the percentage relationship of changes in volume and changes in rate to the total net change.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 vs. 2011					Six Months Ended September 30, 2012 vs. 2011						
	Increase (I)eci	rease) Due				Increase (De	crease) Du	ie		
	to							to)			
					Total						Total	
					Increase						Increase	;
(in thousands)	Volume		Rate		(Decrease	:)	Volume		Rate		(Decrease	e)
Interest Income:												
Mortgage loans	\$(1,178)	\$-		\$(1,178)	\$(1,409)	\$(886)	\$(2,295	1
	(103)		`	(169) \	•)	(142)	(287)
Non-mortgage loans)	(66))	(145))	`)
Mortgage-backed securities	(5)	(1)	(6)	(11)	(3)	(14)
Investment securities (1)	(4)	(1)	(5)	(8)	5		(3)
Daily interest-bearing	-		-		-		1		(1)	-	
Other earning assets	53		(14)	39		99		(6)	93	
Total interest income	(1,237)	(82)	(1,319)	(1,473)	(1,033)	(2,506)
Interest Expense:												
Regular savings accounts	6		(14)	(8)	14		(26)	(12)
Interest checking accounts	(9)	(29)	(38)	(3)	(52)	(55)
Money market deposit accounts	(2)	(124)	(126)	14		(247)	(233)
Certificates of deposit	(120)	(167)	(287)	(215)	(351)	(566)
Other interest-bearing liabilities	(1)	(209)	(210)	(2)	(227)	(229)
Total interest expense	(126)	(543)	(669)	(192)	(903)	(1,095)
Net interest income	\$(1,111)	\$461		\$(650)	\$(1,281)	\$(130)	\$(1,411)

⁽¹⁾ Interest is presented on a fully tax-equivalent basis using a tax rate of 34%

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012 was \$500,000 and \$4.5 million, respectively, compared to \$2.2 million and \$3.8 million, respectively for the same periods in the prior year. The increase in the provision for loan losses for the six months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily a result of an increase in the level of delinquent and classified loans compared to prior year, which have remained at higher levels compared to historical trends. These conditions are primarily the result of the slowdown in the economy and decline in real estate values which significantly affected homebuilders and developers' liquidity and ability to repay loans. The slowdown in the economy has also adversely affected the Bank's commercial business and commercial real estate customers in recent quarters. Classified commercial real estate loans increased to \$51.5 million at September 30, 2012 compared to \$35.1 million at March 31, 2012. Economic factors impacting these borrowers typically lag that of non-commercial business and non-commercial real estate borrowers. The ratio of allowance for loan losses to total loans was 3.46% at September 30, 2012, compared to 2.11% at September 30, 2011.

Net charge-offs for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012 were \$1.3 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, compared to \$3.6 million and \$4.0 million for the same periods last year. Annualized net charge-offs to

average net loans for the six-month period ended September 30, 2012 was 1.34% compared to 1.16% for the same period in the prior year. Charge-offs decreased during the second fiscal quarter of 2012 primarily as a result of valuation write-downs recognized in the prior year on several loans that were specifically reserved for in previous quarters. Charge-offs exceeded the provision for loan losses for the three months ended September 30, 2012 due to the reduction in the overall loan portfolio balance in addition to the charge-off of \$938,000 of specific reserves that were reserved for in prior quarters. The ratio of allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans was 71.85% at September 30, 2012 compared to 45.11% at March 31, 2012. See "Asset Quality" set forth above for additional information related to asset quality that management considers in determining the provision for loan losses.

Impaired loans are subjected to an impairment analysis to determine an appropriate reserve amount to be held against each loan. As of September 30, 2012, the Company had identified \$43.4 million of impaired loans. Because the significant majority of the impaired loans are collateral dependent, nearly all of the specific allowances are calculated based on the fair value of the collateral. Of those impaired loans, \$38.1 million have no specific valuation allowance as their estimated collateral value is equal to or exceeds the carrying costs, which in some cases is the result of previous loan charge-offs.

Charge-offs on these impaired loans totaled \$5.0 million from their original loan balance. The remaining \$5.3 million have specific valuation allowances totaling \$466,000.

Non-Interest Income. Non-interest income increased \$482,000 and \$1.0 million to \$2.3 million and \$4.8 million for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$1.8 million and \$3.7 million for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011. The increase between the periods resulted from an increase in the gain on the sale and related loan fees of one-to-four family mortgages sold to FHLMC totaling \$131,000 and \$835,000 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The \$835,000 increase during the six months ended June 30, 2012 was due to a \$704,000 gain on sale resulting from the planned bulk sale of one-to-four family mortgages sold to FHLMC in June 2012. Fees and service charges increased \$253,000 and \$268,000 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods as a result of an increase in loan prepayment fees and increase in brokered mortgage loan fees. These increases were partially offset by decreases in asset management fees of \$66,000 and \$87,000 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods due to a decrease in assets under management.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expense decreased \$33,000 and increased \$86,000 to \$7.8 million and \$16.1 million for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$7.8 million and \$16.0 million for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011. Management continues to focus on managing controllable costs as the Company proactively adjusts to a lower level of real estate loan originations. Certain expenses remain, however, out of the Company's control such as REO expenses and REO valuation adjustments and FDIC insurance premiums.

REO expenses increased \$135,000 and \$644,000 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods. The increase in REO expenses was primarily the result of an increase in the valuation allowances on existing REO properties. The Company also had an increase in FDIC insurance premiums of \$108,000 and \$122,000 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to the same prior year periods as a result of an increase in the Bank's FDIC's assessment rate.

These increases were offset by a decrease in salaries and employee benefits of \$623,000 for the six months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same prior year period. However, salaries and employee benefits increased \$95,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the same prior year period due to a \$571,000 reversal of employee incentive program costs that occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2011. Furthermore, data processing expenses decreased due to an early contract termination fee of \$277,000 incurred during September 2011 related to the Company's Internet banking conversion in the prior year.

Income Taxes. The provision for income taxes was \$2,000 and \$17,000 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared to \$41,000 and \$354,000 for the three and six months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. In accordance with current accounting guidance, a valuation allowance is required to be recognized if it is "more likely than not" that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. "More likely than not" is defined as greater than 50% probability of occurrence. A determination as to the ultimate realization of the deferred tax assets is dependent upon management's judgment and evaluation of both positive and negative evidence, forecasts of future taxable income, applicable tax planning strategies, and an assessment of current and future economic and business conditions.

As of September 30, 2012, the Company determined that it was appropriate to carry a deferred tax asset valuation allowance of \$17.1 million, reducing its deferred tax asset to \$616,000 which is the amount related to the Company's unrealized losses on its available for sale debt securities. Any future reversals of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance as a result of changes in the factors considered by management in establishing the allowance, including any return to profitability, would decrease the Company's income tax expense and increase its after tax net income in the periods in which a reversal is recorded. At September 30, 2012, the Company had \$6.1 million in deferred tax asset

for federal and state, net operating loss carryforwards which will expire in 2032.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There has not been any material change in the market risk disclosures contained in the 2012 Form 10-K.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

An evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13(a) - 15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of September 30, 2012 was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and several other members of the Company's senior management. The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as in effect on September 30, 2012 were effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

In the quarter-ended September 30, 2012, the Company did not make any changes in its internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect these controls.

While the Company believes the present design of its disclosure controls and procedures is effective to achieve its goal, future events affecting its business may cause the Company to modify its disclosure controls and procedures. The Company does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and fraud. A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control procedure are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns in controls or procedures can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any control procedure is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control procedure, misstatements attributable to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is party to litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, these actions will not have a material adverse effect, on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or liquidity.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth in Part I. Item 1A of the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2012.

Item 2. Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable

Item 5. Other Information

Not applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

3.1

(a) Exhibits:

Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant (1)

3.2	Bylaws of the Registrant (1)
4	Form of Certificate of Common Stock of the Registrant (1)
10.1	Form of Employment Agreement between the Bank and each Patrick Sheaffer, Ronald A. Wysaske,
10.1	David A. Dahlstrom and John A. Karas(2)
10.2	Form of Change in Control Agreement between the Bank and Kevin J. Lycklama (2)
10.3	Employee Severance Compensation Plan (3)
10.4	Employee Stock Ownership Plan (4)
10.5	1998 Stock Option Plan (5)
10.6	2003 Stock Option Plan (6)
10.7	Form of Incentive Stock Option Award Pursuant to 2003 Stock Option Plan (7)
10.8	Form of Non-qualified Stock Option Award Pursuant to 2003 Stock Option Plan (7)
10.9	Deferred Compensation Plan (8)
10.10	Agreement among Riverview Community Bank and the OCC entered into on January 25, 2012 (9)
11	Statement recomputation of per share earnings (See Note 4 of Notes to Consolidated Financial
11	Statements contained herein.)
31.1	Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
31.2	Certifications of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
32	Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the
	Sarbanes-Oxley Act
101	The following materials from Riverview Bancorp Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter
	ended September 30, 2012, formatted on Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) (a)
	Consolidated Balance Sheets; (b) Consolidated Statements of Income; (c) Consolidated Statements of
	Comprehensive Income (Loss); (d) Consolidated Statements of Equity (e) Consolidated Statements of
	Cash Flows; and (f) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (10)

- (1) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-30203), and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 18, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (3) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter-ended September 30, 1997, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (4) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 1998, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (5) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-66049), and incorporated herein by reference.
- (6) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Definitive Annual Meeting Proxy Statement (000-22957), filed with the Commission on June 5, 2003, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (7) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter-ended December 31, 2005, and incorporated herein by reference.
- (8) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (9) Filed as an exhibit to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December, 31, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.
- (10) Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise not subject to liability under those sections.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

RIVERVIEW BANCORP, INC.

(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /S/ Patrick Sheaffer By: /S/ Kevin J. Lycklama
Patrick Sheaffer Kevin J. Lycklama
Chairman of the Board Executive Vice President
Chief Executive Officer Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 12, 2012 Date: November 12, 2012

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 31.1 Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 31.2 Certifications of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 32 Certifications of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
- 101*The following materials from Riverview Bancorp Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, formatted on Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL) (a) Consolidated Balance Sheets; (b) Consolidated Statements of Income; (c) Consolidated Statements of Equity (d) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (e) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
- *Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise not subject to liability under those sections.