WISCONSIN ENERGY CORP Form DEF 14A March 29, 2011 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material Pursuant to (S) 240.14a-11(c) or (S) 240.14a-12

Wisconsin Energy Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

- (5) Total fee paid:
- " Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- " Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders	Page P-3
Proxy Statement	P-5
General Information Frequently Asked Questions	P-5
Corporate Governance Frequently Asked Questions	P-8
Proposal 1: Election of Directors Terms Expiring in 2012	P-13
Committees of the Board of Directors	P-17
Proposal 2: Vote to Initiate the Process to Elect Directors by Majority Vote in Uncontested Elections	P-18
Proposal 3: Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Independent Auditors for 2011	P-18
Independent Auditors Fees and Services	P-19
Audit and Oversight Committee Report	P-20
Proposal 4: Approval of Amendments to the Wisconsin Energy Corporation 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as Amended and Restated	P-21
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	P-25
Compensation Committee Report	P-35
Executive Officers Compensation	P-36
Proposal 5: Advisory Vote on Compensation of the Named Executive Officers	P-53
Proposal 6: Advisory Vote to Establish the Frequency of the Say-On-Pay Advisory Vote	P-53
Director Compensation	P-54
Risk Analysis of Compensation Policies and Practices	P-55
WEC Common Stock Ownership	P-56
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	P-57
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	P-57
Availability of Form 10-K	P-57
Appendix A: 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as Amended and Restated	A-1

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

March 29, 2011

To the Stockholders of Wisconsin Energy Corporation:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. **An admission ticket will be required to enter the meeting**. Your admission ticket, which also includes a map to the meeting, is located on your proxy card. Instructions on how to obtain an admission ticket if you received your proxy materials electronically are provided on page P-7 of the proxy statement. If you are not able to attend the Annual Meeting, you may listen to a live webcast available on the Wisconsin Energy Website at: <u>www.wisconsinenergy.com/invest/annualmtg.htm</u>. An archive of the webcast will be available on the site for approximately 12 months following the meeting. **Regardless of whether you plan to attend, please take a moment to vote your proxy**. The meeting will be held as follows:

WHEN:	Thursday, May 5, 2011
	10:00 a.m., Central time
WHERE:	Concordia University Wisconsin
	R. John Buuck Field House
	12800 North Lake Shore Drive
	Mequon, Wisconsin 53097
ITEMS OF BUSINESS:	Election of nine directors for terms expiring in 2012.
	Vote to initiate the process to elect directors by majority vote in uncontested elections.
	Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditors for 2011.
	Approval of amendments to the Wisconsin Energy Corporation 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated.
	Advisory vote on compensation of the named executive officers.
	Advisory vote to establish the frequency of the advisory vote on the compensation of the named executive
	officers.

Consideration of any other matters that may properly come before the meeting.

RECORD DATE: March 10, 2011

VOTING BY PROXY: <u>Your vote is important</u>. You may vote:

using the Internet;

by telephone; or

by returning the proxy card in the envelope provided.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held on May 5, 2011 The Proxy Statement and 2010 Annual Report are available at:

http://www.wisconsinenergy.com/proxystatement

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Susan H. Martin

Vice President, Corporate Secretary and Associate General Counsel

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

PROXY STATEMENT

This proxy statement is being furnished to stockholders beginning on or about March 29, 2011, in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Wisconsin Energy Corporation (WEC or the Company) Board of Directors (the Board) to be used at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Thursday, May 5, 2011 (the Meeting) at 10:00 a.m., Central time, in the R. John Buuck Field House on the campus of Concordia University Wisconsin located at 12800 North Lake Shore Drive, Mequon, Wisconsin 53097, and at all adjournments or postponements of the Meeting, for the purposes listed in the preceding Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

GENERAL INFORMATION FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

What am I voting on?	Proposal 1: Election of nine directors for terms expiring in 2012.	
	Proposal 2: Initiating the process to elect directors by majority vote in uncontested elections.	
	Proposal 3: Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditors for 2011.	
	Proposal 4: Approval of amendments to the Wisconsin Energy Corporation 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated.	
	Proposal 5: Advisory vote on compensation of the named executive officers, commonly referred to as a Say on Pay vote.	
	Proposal 6: Advisory vote to establish the frequency of the advisory say on pay vote.	
	The Company is not aware of any other matters that will be voted on. If a matter does properly come before the Meeting, the persons named as the proxies in the accompanying form of proxy will vote the proxy at their discretion.	
What are the Board s voting recommendations?	The Board of Directors recommends a vote:	
	FOR each of the nine nominated directors;	
	FOR the initiation of the process to elect directors by majority vote in uncontested elections;	

FOR ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditors for 2011;

FOR the amendments to the Wisconsin Energy Corporation 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated;

FOR approval of the compensation of the Company s named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement; and

For a frequency of every THREE YEARS for future non-binding say on pay advisory votes.

What is the vote required for each proposal?

Proposal 1: The nine individuals receiving the largest number of votes will be elected as directors.

Proposal 2: Approval of the proposal to initiate the process to elect directors by majority vote in uncontested elections requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at this meeting. Although your vote is advisory and will not be binding on the Board or the Company, if this advisory vote obtains the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast, the Board intends to initiate the process to elect directors by majority vote in uncontested elections.

Proposal 3: Ratification of the independent auditors requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Meeting.

Proposal 4: Approval of the amendments to Wisconsin Energy Corporation s 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated, requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at the Meeting.

Proposal 5: Approval, on a non-binding, advisory basis, of the compensation of the named executive officers requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast in person or by proxy at this meeting. Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding on the Board or the Company. However, the Board will review the voting results and take them into

consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation.

Proposal 6: For purposes of determining the frequency of future advisory say on pay votes, the frequency receiving the greatest number of votes every three years, every two years or every one

	year will be considered the frequency approved by stockholders. Because your vote is advisory, it will not be binding on the Board or the Company. However, the Board will review the voting results and take them into consideration when making future decisions regarding the frequency of the advisory say on pay vote.
	Presuming a quorum is present, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of any of these proposals.
Who can vote?	Common stockholders as of the close of business on the record date, March 10, 2011, can vote. Each outstanding share of WEC common stock is entitled to one vote upon each matter presented. A list of stockholders entitled to vote will be available for inspection by stockholders at WEC s principal business office, 231 West Michigan Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203, prior to the Meeting. The list also will be available at the Meeting.
How do I vote?	There are several ways to vote:
	By Internet. The Company encourages you to vote this way.
	By toll-free touch-tone telephone.
	By completing and mailing the enclosed proxy card.
	By written ballot at the Meeting.
	Instructions to vote through the Internet or by telephone are listed on your proxy card or the information forwarded to you by your bank or broker. The Internet and telephone voting facilities will close at 10:59 p.m., Central time, on Wednesday, May 4, 2011.
	If you are a participant in WEC s Stock Plus Investment Plan (Stock Plus) or own shares through investments in the WEC Common Stock Fund or WEC Common Stock ESOP Fund in WEC s 401(k) plan, your proxy will serve as voting instructions for your shares held in those plans. The administrator for Stock Plus and the trustee for the 401(k) plan will vote your shares as you direct. If a proxy is not returned for shares held in Stock Plus, the administrator will not vote those shares. If a proxy is not returned for shares held in the 401(k) plan, the trustee will vote those shares in the same proportion that all shares in the WEC Common Stock Fund or WEC Common Stock ESOP Fund, as the case may be, for which voting instructions have been received, are voted.
	If you are a beneficial owner and your bank or broker holds your shares in its name, they are permitted to vote your shares in the ratification of the independent auditors even if the broker does not receive voting instructions from you. However, for matters considered non-routine, which includes proposals 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6, your broker or other record holder of your shares <u>will not</u> be permitted to vote your shares unless you provide voting instructions. If your shares are held in the name of a broker, bank or other holder of record, you are invited to attend the Meeting, but may not vote at the Meeting unless you have first obtained a proxy executed in your favor from the holder of record.
What does it mean if I	It means your shares are held in more than one account. Please vote all proxies to ensure all of your shares are counted.
get more than one proxy?	
What constitutes a quorum?	As of the record date, there were 233,746,331 shares of WEC common stock outstanding, which include the shares distributed as a result of the March 1, 2011 two-for-one stock split. In order to conduct the Meeting, a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote must be represented in person or by proxy. This is known as a quorum. Abstentions and shares which are the subject of broker non-votes will count toward establishing a quorum.
Can I change my vote?	You may change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time prior to the closing of the polls, by:
Table of Contents	10

entering a new vote by Internet or phone;

returning a later-dated proxy card;

voting in person at the Meeting; or

notifying WEC s Corporate Secretary by written revocation letter.

The Corporate Secretary is Susan H. Martin. Any revocation should be filed with her at WEC s principal business office, 231 West Michigan Street, P. O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201.

	Attendance at the Meeting will not, in itself, constitute revocation of a proxy. All shares entitled to vote and represented by properly completed proxies timely received and not revoked will be voted as you direct. If no direction is given in a properly completed proxy, the proxy will be voted as the Board recommends.	
Who conducts the proxy solicitation?	The WEC Board is soliciting these proxies. WEC will bear the cost of the solicitation of proxies. WEC contemplates that proxies will be solicited principally through the use of the mail, but employees of WEC or its subsidiaries may solicit proxies by telephone, personally or by other communications, without compensation apart from their normal salaries. It is not anticipated that any other persons will be engaged to solicit proxies or that compensation will be paid for that purpose. However, WEC may seek the services of an outside proxy solicitor in the event that such services become necessary.	
Who will count the votes?	BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, which also will serve as Inspector of Election, will tabulate voted proxies.	
How can I attend the Meeting?	The Meeting is open to all stockholders of WEC. You must bring an admission ticket or other evidence of your stock ownership to enter the Meeting. If you received proxy materials by mail, your admission ticket is included on your proxy card. The admission ticket admits the stockholder and one guest. If your shares are jointly owned and you need an additional ticket, or if you need directions to the Meeting or have questions regarding this process, contact Stockholder Services, 231 West Michigan Street, P.O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201 or call 800-881-5882. A map to the Meeting is included on the admission ticket.	
What information is available via the Internet?	The following documents can be found at <u>http://www.wisconsinenergy.com/proxystatement:</u>	
	Notice of Annual Meeting;	
	Proxy Statement;	
	2010 Annual Report; and	
	Form of Proxy.	
How do I obtain an admission ticket if I received my proxy materials electronically?	If your shares are registered in your name, you can print an admission ticket by following the instructions provided in the e-mail which transmitted your proxy materials. If you hold your shares through a bank, brokerage firm, or other nominee, call 800-881-5882 or write to Stockholder Services at the above address to request an admission ticket. We will send you an admission ticket upon verification of your ownership. You may also bring a copy of your account statement or other evidence of your ownership as of the record date to the Meeting. That document will serve as your admission ticket.	
What steps has WEC taken to reduce the cost of proxy solicitation?	WEC has implemented several practices that reduce printing and postage costs and are friendly to the environment. WEC encourages you to choose MLink SM for fast and secure 24/7 online access to proxy materials, investment plan statements, tax documents and more. Simply log on at http:// <u>www.bnymellon.com/shareowner/equityaccess</u> where step-by-step instructions will prompt you on how to enroll in MLink SM .	
	The Company also has:	

encouraged Internet and telephone voting of your proxies; and

implemented householding whereby stockholders sharing a single address receive a single annual report and proxy statement, unless the Company received instructions to the contrary from one or more of the stockholders.

If you received multiple copies of the annual report and proxy statement, you may wish to contact the Company s transfer agent, BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, at 800-558-9663, to request householding, or you may provide written instructions to Wisconsin Energy Corporation, c/o BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, P.O. Box 358035, Pittsburgh, PA 15252-8035. If you wish to receive separate copies of the annual report and proxy statement now or in the future, or to discontinue householding entirely, you may contact the Company s transfer agent using the contact information provide above. Upon request, the Company will promptly send a separate copy of either document. Whether or not a stockholder is householding, each stockholder will continue to receive a proxy card. If your shares are held through a bank, broker or other holder of record, you may request householding by contacting the holder of record.

Who do I contact if I have questions about the Meeting or my account?	If you need more information about the Meeting, write to Stockholder Services, 231 West Michigan Street, P.O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201, or call us at 800-881-5882. For information about shares registered in your name or your Stock Plus account, call our transfer agent, BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, at 800-558-9663, or access your account via the Internet at http://www.bnymellon.com/shareowner/equityaccess . CORPORATE GOVERNANCE FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS
Does WEC have Corporate Governance Guidelines?	Yes, since 1996 the Board has maintained Corporate Governance Guidelines that provide a framework under which it conducts business. The Corporate Governance Committee reviews the Guidelines annually to ensure that the Board is providing effective governance over the affairs of the Company. The Guidelines are available in the Governance section of the Company s Website at www.wisconsinenergy.com and are available in print to any stockholder who requests them in writing from the Corporate Secretary.
How are directors determined to be independent?	No director qualifies as independent unless the Board affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with the Company. The Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board should consist of at least a two-thirds majority of independent directors.
What are the Board s standards of independence?	The guidelines the Board uses in determining director independence are located in Appendix A of the Corporate Governance Guidelines. These standards of independence, which are summarized below, include those established by the New York Stock Exchange as well as a series of standards that are more comprehensive than New York Stock Exchange requirements. A director will be considered independent by the Board if the director:
	has not been an employee of the Company for the last five years;
	has not received, in the past three years, more than \$120,000 per year in direct compensation from the Company, other than director fees or deferred compensation for prior service;
	is not a current partner or employee of a firm that is the Company s internal or external auditor, was not within the last three years a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on the Company s audit within that time, or has no immediate family member who is a current employee of such a firm and personally works on the Company s audit;
	has not been an executive officer, in the past three years, of another company where any of the Company s present executives at the same time serves or served on that other company s compensation committee;
	in the past three years, has not been an employee of a company that makes payments to, or receives payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which in any single fiscal year is the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such other company s consolidated gross revenues;
	has not received, in the past three years, remuneration, other than <i>de minimus</i> remuneration, as a result of services as, or being affiliated with an entity that serves as, an advisor, consultant, or legal counsel to the Company or to a member of the Company s senior management, or a significant supplier of the Company;
	has no personal service contract(s) with the Company or any member of the Company s senior management;
	is not an employee or officer with a not-for-profit entity that receives 5% or more of its total annual charitable awards from the Company;
	has not had any business relationship with the Company, in the past three years, for which the Company has been required to make disclosure under certain rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission;
	is not employed by a public company at which an executive officer of the Company serves as a director; and
	does not have any beneficial ownership interest of 5% or more in an entity that has received remuneration, other than <i>de minimus</i> remuneration, from the Company, its subsidiaries or affiliates.

The Board also considers whether a director s immediate family members meet the above criteria, as well as whether a director has any relationships with WEC s affiliates for certain of the above criteria, when determining the director s independence.

Who are the independent directors?	The Board has affirmatively determined that Directors Bergstrom, Bowles, Chadwick, Cornog, Culver, Fischer, Payne and Stratton have no relationships within the Board s standards of independence noted above and otherwise have no material relationships with WEC and are independent. This represents 89% of the Board. Director Klappa is not independent due to his present employment with WEC.
What are the committees of the Board?	The Board of Directors has the following committees: Audit and Oversight, Compensation, Corporate Governance, Finance, and Executive.
	All committees, except the Executive Committee, operate under a charter approved by the Board. A copy of each committee charter is posted in the Governance section of the Company s Website at <u>www.wisconsinenergy.com</u> and is available in print to any stockholder who requests it in writing from the Corporate Secretary. The members and the responsibilities of each committee are listed later in this proxy statement under the heading Committees of the Board of Directors.
Are the Audit and Oversight, Corporate Governance and Compensation Committees comprised solely of	Yes, these committees are comprised solely of independent directors, as determined under New York Stock Exchange rules and the Board s Corporate Governance Guidelines.
independent directors?	In addition, the Board has determined that each member of the Audit and Oversight Committee is independent under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange applicable to audit committee members. The Audit and Oversight Committee is a separately designated committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
Is the office of CEO combined with the office of Chairman of the Board?	Consistent with WEC s Bylaws and its Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board retains the right to exercise its discretion in combining or separating the offices of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board. Given the uniqueness and complexity of the Company s industry, operations and regulatory environment, the Board believes that having a combined CEO and Chairman is the appropriate structure for the Company. This combined structure provides the Company with clear leadership and a single voice in implementation of its strategy and in leading discussions at the Board level.
	The Board currently does not appoint a lead independent director; however, Director Bowles, the chair of the Corporate Governance Committee, acts as presiding director whenever the independent directors meet in executive session without any management present. The Board believes that such leadership evolves naturally and may vary depending upon the issue under consideration. Therefore, the appointment of a designated lead independent director is not necessary.
Do the non-management directors meet separately from management?	Yes, at every regularly scheduled Board meeting non-management (non-employee) directors meet in executive session without any management present. All non-management directors are independent. The chair of the Corporate Governance Committee, currently Director Bowles, presides at these sessions.
What is the Board s role in risk oversight?	The Board oversees our risk environment and has delegated specific risk monitoring responsibilities to the Audit and Oversight Committee and the Finance Committee as described in each committee s charter. Both of these committees routinely report back to the Board. The Board and its committees also periodically receive briefings from management on specific areas of risk as well as emerging risks to the enterprise. The Board s role in risk oversight had no effect on the Board s decision to combine the roles of Chairman and CEO.
	The Audit and Oversight Committee periodically hears reports from management on the Company s major risk exposures in such areas as compliance, environmental, legal/litigation and ethical conduct and steps taken

to monitor and control such exposures. This committee also devotes at least one meeting annually to risk oversight. The Finance Committee discusses the Company s risk assessment and risk management policies, and provides oversight of insurance matters to ensure that its risk management program is functioning properly. Both committees have direct access to, and meet as needed with, Company representatives without other management present to discuss matters related to risk management.

The CEO, who is ultimately responsible for managing risk, routinely reports to the Board on risk-related matters. The Company has implemented a quarterly process in which business unit leaders are to identify existing, new or emerging issues or changes within their business area that could have enterprise implications and report them to the Enterprise Risk Management Committee. This

Tuble of Contents	
	committee is comprised of management employees responsible for specific business units and is tasked with ensuring that these risks and opportunities are appropriately addressed. In addition, the Company has established a Compliance Risk Steering Committee, comprised of senior level management employees, whose purpose is to foster an enterprise-wide approach to managing compliance. The results of each of these risk-management efforts are reported to the CEO and to the Board or its appropriate committee.
How can interested parties contact the members of the Board?	Correspondence may be sent to the directors, including the non-management directors, in care of the Corporate Secretary, Susan H. Martin, at the Company s principal business office, 231 West Michigan Street, P.O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201.
	All communication received as set forth above will be opened by the Corporate Secretary for the sole purpose of confirming the contents represent a message to the Company s directors. Pursuant to instructions from the Board of Directors, all communication, other than advertising, promotion of a product or service, or patently offensive material, will be forwarded promptly to the addressee.
Does the Company have a written code of ethics?	Yes, all WEC directors, executive officers and employees, including the principal executive, financial and accounting officers, have a responsibility to comply with WEC s Code of Business Conduct, to seek advice in doubtful situations and to report suspected violations.
	WEC s Code of Business Conduct addresses, among other things: conflicts of interest; confidentiality; fair dealing; protection and proper use of Company assets; and compliance with laws, rules and regulations (including insider trading laws). The Company has not provided any waiver to the Code for any director, executive officer or other employee.
	The Code of Business Conduct is posted in the Governance section of the Company s Website at <u>www.wisconsinenergy.com</u> . It is also available in print to any stockholder upon request in writing to the Corporate Secretary.
	The Company has several ways employees can raise questions concerning WEC s Code of Business Conduct and other Company policies. As one reporting mechanism, the Company has contracted with an independent call center for employees to confidentially report suspected violations of the Code of Business Conduct or other concerns, including those regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters.
Does the Company have policies and procedures in place to review and approve related party transactions?	All employees of WEC, including executive officers, and members of the Board are required to comply with WEC s Code of Business Conduct. The Code addresses, among other things, what actions are required when potential conflicts of interest may arise, including those from related party transactions. Specifically, executive officers and members of the Board are required to obtain approval of the Audit and Oversight Committee chair (1) before obtaining any financial interest in or participating in any business relationship with any company, individual or concern doing business with WEC or any of its subsidiaries, (2) before participating in any joint venture, partnership or other business relationship with WEC or any of its subsidiaries, and (3) before serving as an officer or member of the board of any substantial outside for-profit organization (except the Chief Executive Officer must obtain the approval of the full Board before doing so and members of the Board of Directors must obtain the prior approval of the Corporate Governance Committee). Executive officers must obtain the prior approval of the Board must notify the Compliance Officer when joining the board of a substantial non-profit organization, but do not need to obtain prior approval.
	In addition, WEC s Code of Business Conduct requires employees and directors to notify the Compliance Officer of situations where family members are a supplier or significant customer of WEC or employed by one. To the extent the Compliance Officer deems it appropriate, she will consult with the Audit and Oversight Committee chair in situations involving executive officers and members of the Board.

Does the Board evaluate CEO performance?

Yes, the Compensation Committee, on behalf of the Board, annually evaluates the performance of the CEO and reports the results to the Board. As part of this practice, the Compensation Committee obtains from each non-employee director his or her opinion and input on the CEO s performance.

The CEO is evaluated in a number of areas including leadership, vision, financial stewardship, strategy development, management development, effective communication with constituencies,

	demonstrated integrity and effective representation of the Company in community and industry affairs. The chair of the Compensation Committee shares the evaluation results with the CEO. The process is also used by the Committee to determine appropriate compensation for the CEO. This procedure allows the Board to evaluate the CEO and to communicate the Board s expectations.
Does the Board evaluate its own performance?	Yes, the Board annually evaluates its own collective performance. Each director is asked to consider the performance of the Board on such things as: the establishment of appropriate corporate governance practices; providing appropriate oversight for key affairs of the Company (including its strategic plans, long-range goals, financial and operating performance, risks to the enterprise and customer satisfaction initiatives); communicating the Board s expectations and concerns to the CEO; overseeing opportunities critical to the Company; and operating in a manner that ensures open communication, candid and constructive dialogue as well as critical questioning. The Corporate Governance Committee uses the results of this process as part of its annual review of the Corporate Governance Guidelines and to foster continuous improvement of the Board s activities.
Is Board committee performance evaluated?	Yes, each committee, except the Executive Committee, conducts an annual performance evaluation of its own activities and reports the results to the Board. The evaluation compares the performance of each committee with the requirements of its charter. The results of the annual evaluations are used by each committee to identify both its strengths and areas where its governance practices can be improved. Each committee may adjust its charter, with Board approval, based on the evaluation results.
Are all the members of the Audit Committee financially literate and does the committee have an audit committee financial expert ?	Yes, the Board has determined that all of the members of the Audit and Oversight Committee are financially literate as required by New York Stock Exchange rules and qualify as audit committee financial experts within the meaning of Securities and Exchange Commission rules. Director Fischer serves on the audit committee of three other public companies. The Board determined that his service on these other audit committees will not impair Director Fischer s ability to effectively serve on the Audit and Oversight Committee. No other member of the Audit and Oversight Committee serves as an audit committee member of more than three public companies. For this purpose, the Company considers service on the audit committees of Wisconsin Energy Corporation and Wisconsin Electric Power Company to be service on the audit committees.
What are the principal processes and procedures used by the Compensation Committee to determine executive and director compensation?	One of the principal responsibilities of the Compensation Committee is to provide a competitive, performance-based executive and director compensation program. This includes: (1) determining and periodically reviewing the Committee's compensation philosophy; (2) determining and reviewing the compensation paid to executive officers (including base salaries, incentive compensation and benefits); (3) overseeing the compensation and benefits to be paid to other officers and key employees; and (4) establishing and administering the Chief Executive Officer compensation package. The Compensation Committee is also charged with administering the compensation package of WEC s non-employee directors. Although it has not chosen to do so, the Committee may delegate all or a portion of its duties and responsibilities to a subcommittee of the Committee.
	WEC engaged (outside of the Compensation Committee) Towers Watson, a compensation consulting firm, to provide the Compensation Committee and Chief Executive Officer with compensation data regarding general industry and the energy services industry. Although the Compensation Committee relies on this compensation data, Towers Watson does not recommend the amount or form of executive or director compensation. While Towers Watson was not engaged directly by the Compensation Committee, the Committee has unrestricted access to Towers Watson and may retain its own compensation consultant at its discretion.
	The Chief Executive Officer, after reviewing the compensation data compiled by Towers Watson and each executive officer s individual experience, performance, responsibility and contribution to the results of the Company s operations, makes compensation recommendations to the Committee for all executive officers other than himself. The Compensation Committee is free to make adjustments to such recommendations as it deems appropriate. For more information regarding our executive compensation processes and procedures, please refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis later in this proxy statement.

Does the Board have Yes, the Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for, among other things, identifying and evaluating a nominating committee? director nominees. The chair of the Committee coordinates this effort. Candidates for director nomination may be proposed by stockholders, the Corporate Governance Committee What is the process used to identify director nominees and other members of the Board. The Committee may pay a third party to identify qualified candidates; and how do I recommend a however, no such firm was engaged with respect to the nominees listed in this proxy statement. No nominee to the Corporate stockholder nominations or recommendations for director candidates were received. Governance Committee? Stockholders wishing to propose director candidates for consideration and recommendation by the Corporate Governance Committee for election at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must submit the candidates names and qualifications to the Corporate Governance Committee no later than November 1, 2011, via the Corporate Secretary, Susan H. Martin, at the Company s principal business office, 231 West Michigan Street, P.O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201. What are the criteria and The Corporate Governance Committee has established criteria for evaluating all director candidates, which processes used to evaluate are reviewed annually. As set forth in the Company s Corporate Governance Guidelines, these include: proven director nominees? integrity; mature and independent judgment; vision and imagination; ability to objectively appraise problems; ability to evaluate strategic options and risks; sound business experience and acumen; relevant technological, political, economic or social/cultural expertise; social consciousness; achievement of prominence in career; familiarity with national and international issues affecting the Company s businesses; contribution to the Board s desired diversity and balance; and availability to serve for five years before reaching the directors retirement age of 72. The Committee does not have a specific policy with regards to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees. However, the Committee strives to recommend candidates who each bring a unique perspective to the Board in order to contribute to the collective diversity of the Board. As part of its process in connection with the nomination of new directors to the Board, the Committee considers several factors to ensure the entire Board collectively embraces a wide variety of characteristics, including professional background, experience, skills and knowledge as well as the criteria listed above. Each candidate will generally exhibit different and varying degrees of these characteristics. In evaluating director candidates, the Corporate Governance Committee reviews potential conflicts of interest, including interlocking directorships and substantial business, civic and/or social relationships with other members of the Board that could impair the prospective Board member s ability to act independently from the other Board members and management. The Bylaws state that directors shall be stockholders of WEC. Once a person has been identified by the Corporate Governance Committee as a potential candidate, the Committee may collect and review publicly available information regarding the person to assess whether the person should be considered further. If the Committee determines that the candidate warrants further consideration, the chair or another member of the Committee contacts the person. Generally, if the person expresses a willingness to be considered and to serve on the Board, the Committee requests information from the candidate, reviews the person s accomplishments and qualifications and conducts one or more interviews with the candidate. In certain instances, Committee members may contact one or more references provided by the candidate or may contact other members of the business community or other persons who may have greater firsthand knowledge of the candidate s accomplishments. The Committee evaluates all candidates, including those proposed by stockholders, using the criteria and process described above. The process is designed to provide the Board with a diversity of experience and stability to allow it to effectively meet the many challenges WEC faces in today s changing business environment.

What is the deadline for stockholders to submit proposals for the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders? Stockholders who intend to have a proposal considered for inclusion in the Company s proxy materials for presentation at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must submit the proposal to the Company no later than November 30, 2011.

Stockholders who intend to present a proposal at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders without inclusion of such proposal in the Company s proxy materials, or who propose to nominate a person for election as a director at the 2012 Annual Meeting, are required to provide notice of such proposal or nomination, containing the information required by the Company s Bylaws, to the

	Company at least 70 days and not more than 100 days prior to the scheduled date of the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is tentatively scheduled for May 3, 2012.
	Correspondence in this regard should be directed to the Corporate Secretary, Susan H. Martin, at the Company s principal business office, 231 West Michigan Street, P.O. Box 1331, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201.
What is WEC s policy regarding director attendance at annual meetings?	Generally all directors are expected to attend the Company s annual meetings of stockholders. Other than Mr. Culver, all directors attended the 2010 Annual Meeting.
Where can I find more information about WEC corporate governance?	The Company s Website. www.wisconsinenergy.com, contains information on the Company s governance activities. The site includes the Code of Business Conduct, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Board committee charters and other useful information. As policies are continually evolving, the Company encourages you to visit the Website periodically. Copies of these documents may also be requested in writing from the Corporate Secretary.

WEC s Bylaws require each director to be elected annually to hold office for a one-year term. Directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast by the shares entitled to vote, as long as a quorum is present. Plurality means that the individuals who receive the largest number of votes are elected as directors up to the maximum number of directors to be chosen. Therefore, presuming a quorum is present, shares not voted, whether by withheld authority or otherwise, have no effect in the election of directors.

The Board s nominees for election are:

John F. Bergstrom

Barbara L. Bowles

Patricia W. Chadwick

Robert A. Cornog

Curt S. Culver

Thomas J. Fischer

Gale E. Klappa

Ulice Payne, Jr.

Frederick P. Stratton, Jr.

Proxies may not be voted for more than nine persons in the election of directors.

Each nominee has consented to being nominated and to serve if elected. In the unlikely event that any nominee becomes unable to serve for any reason, the proxies will be voted for a substitute nominee selected by the Board upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee of the Board. Information regarding each nominee is included on the next pages.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR all of the director nominees.

Information about Nominees for Election to the Board of Directors. The Corporate Governance Committee evaluates each individual director nominee in the context of the Board as a whole with the goal of recommending nominees with diverse backgrounds and experience that, together, can best perpetuate the success of WEC s business and represent shareholder interests. In addition to the unique experiences and skills identified below, the Corporate Governance Committee believes that each of the director nominees should possess the following characteristics and skills: proven integrity, mature and independent judgment, vision and imagination, ability to objectively appraise problems, strong leadership and communication skills, ability to evaluate strategic options and risks, sound business experience and acumen, social consciousness, and familiarity with issues affecting the Company s businesses.

Wisconsin Electric Power Company (WE) and Wisconsin Gas LLC (WG) do business as We Energies and are subsidiaries of Wisconsin Energy Corporation. Ages and biographical information for each of the director nominees are as of March 1, 2011.

John F. Bergstrom. Age 64.

Bergstrom Corporation Chairman since 1982 and Chief Executive Officer since 1974. Bergstrom Corporation owns and operates numerous automobile sales and leasing companies.

Director of Advance Auto Parts Inc. since 2008; Director of Associated Banc-Corp since December 2010; and Director of Kimberly-Clark Corporation since 1987.

Director of Banta Corporation from 1998 to 2007; Director of Midwest Air Group, Inc. from 1993 to 2007 and again from 2008 to 2009; and Director of Sensient Technologies Corporation from 1994 to 2006.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation since 1987, Wisconsin Electric Power Company since 1985, and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2000.

Mr. Bergstrom has over 25 years of experience as CEO of Bergstrom Corporation, one of the Top 50 automotive dealership groups in America, with dealerships across eastern Wisconsin, including several in We Energies utility service territories. Therefore, Mr. Bergstrom provides the Board experience and insight with respect to understanding the needs of the Company s retail customers, as well as Wisconsin s regulatory and political environment. As the CEO of a large, diverse retailer, Mr. Bergstrom has a deep understanding of executive compensation issues and challenges, as well as a unique perspective on customer focus and satisfaction which continues to be a primary focus of the Company. Mr. Bergstrom also provides the Board with insight gained from his over 25 years of service as a director on WEC s and its subsidiaries Boards, over 50 years of combined experience as a director on the boards of several other publicly traded U.S. corporations, and past or present directorships on the boards of several regional non-profit entities, including the Green Bay Packers, Inc.

Barbara L. Bowles. Age 63.

Profit Investment Management Retired Vice Chair. Served as Vice Chair from January 2006 until retirement in December 2007. Profit Investment Management is an investment advisory firm.

The Kenwood Group, Inc. Retired Chairman. Served as Chairman from 2000 until June 2006 when The Kenwood Group, Inc. merged into Profit Investment Management. Chief Executive Officer from 1989 to December 2005.

Director of Hospira, Inc. since 2008.

Director of Black & Decker Corporation from 1993 to July 2010; Director of Dollar General Corporation from 2000 to 2007; and Director of Georgia Pacific Corporation from 2000 to 2005.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation and Wisconsin Electric Power Company since 1998, and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2000.

As founder, president and CEO of The Kenwood Group, Inc., a Chicago-based investment advisory firm that managed pension funds for corporations, public institutions and endowments, Ms. Bowles has over 19 years of investment advisory experience. Before founding The Kenwood Group, Ms. Bowles, who is a Chartered Financial Analyst, was a chief investor relations officer for two Fortune 50 companies. Prior to that, she served as a portfolio manager and utility analyst for more than 10 years. With this combined experience, Ms. Bowles is uniquely qualified to provide perspective to the Board as to what issues are important to large investors, particularly what is important to analysts covering the Company s industry. Ms. Bowles also served as chief compliance officer for the mid-cap portfolios following the Kenwood Group s merger with Profit Investment Management, through which she gained a deep understanding of corporate governance issues and concerns. This experience is invaluable for Ms. Bowles positions as chair of the WEC Corporate Governance Committee and presiding independent director. Ms. Bowles past and present service as a director of other public companies, including service on several audit and finance committees, provides a resource to the Board in discussions of issues facing the Company.

Patricia W. Chadwick. Age 62.

Ravengate Partners, LLC President since 1999. Ravengate Partners, LLC provides businesses and not-for-profit institutions with advice about the financial markets.

Director of AMICA Mutual Insurance Company since 1992; Director of ING Mutual Funds since 2006; and Director of The Royce Funds since December 2009.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, Wisconsin Electric Power Company, and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2006.

Ms. Chadwick, who is a Chartered Financial Analyst, was an investment professional/portfolio manager or principal for 30 years, and served as a director of research for four of those years. Since 1999, Ms. Chadwick has been president of Ravengate Partners, LLC, a firm that provides businesses and not-for-profit institutions with advice about the economy and the financial markets. As indicated above, Ms. Chadwick currently serves as a director on the boards of two registered investment companies. She has served as the Chair of multiple committees at AMICA Mutual Insurance Company, including the Audit and Nominating and Governance Committees (which she currently chairs). She is also the Chair of the Domestic Investment Review Committee at ING Mutual Funds and serves on the Audit Committees for ING Mutual Funds and The Royce Funds and the Finance Committee for AMICA. Ms. Chadwick s career and experience allow her to provide needed advice and insight to the Board on the capital markets. This perspective is valuable to WEC and its subsidiaries, which operate in a capital-intensive industry and must consistently access the capital markets. In addition, Ms. Chadwick s service on the Board of AMICA has provided her with experience in dealing with insurance risk management issues.

Robert A. Cornog. Age 70.

Snap-on Incorporated Retired Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer. Served as President and Chief Executive Officer from 1991 until 2001 and as Chairman from 1991 until 2002. Snap-on Incorporated is a developer, manufacturer and distributor of professional hand and power tools, diagnostic and shop equipment, and tool storage products.

Director of Johnson Controls, Inc. since 1992.

Director of Oshkosh Corporation from 2005 to 2009.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation since 1993, Wisconsin Electric Power Company since 1994, and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2000.

Mr. Cornog served as president and CEO of Snap-on Incorporated for 10 years. Snap-on is a Wisconsin-based manufacturer with significant operations in We Energies utility service territories. Therefore, Mr. Cornog provides perspective as to the issues facing the Company s large commercial and industrial retail customers, as well as experience in navigating Wisconsin s regulatory and political environment. Mr. Cornog served for five years as a member of the Risk Committee while at Snap-on Incorporated where he identified, assessed and managed company risk. Mr. Cornog brings this experience to the Board and the Audit and Oversight Committee on which he serves. Mr. Cornog also has more than 18 years of service as a director on WEC s Board, including over 13 years of service on WEC s Audit and Oversight Committee, and over 20 years of combined experience as a director on the boards of two other publicly traded U.S. corporations headquartered in Wisconsin.

Curt S. Culver. Age 58.

MGIC Investment Corporation Chairman since 2005, Chief Executive Officer since 2000, and President from 1999 to January 2006. MGIC Investment Corporation is the parent of Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation.

Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation Chairman since 2005, Chief Executive Officer since 1999, and President from 1996 to January 2006. Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Corporation is a private mortgage insurance company.

Director of MGIC Investment Corporation since 1999.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, Wisconsin Electric Power Company, and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2004.

Mr. Culver s experience as Chairman and CEO of MGIC, which is headquartered in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, not only provides the Board with expertise in the financial markets and risk assessment and management, but also knowledge of the challenges and issues facing a public company headquartered in the same city as the Company. In addition, with his experience in the insurance industry, Mr. Culver is in a position to advise the Finance Committee on the Company s insurance program and its effect on overall risk management. Mr. Culver also has past and present experience serving on the boards of numerous Milwaukee-area non-profit and two private, regional for-profit entities.

Thomas J. Fischer. Age 63.

Fischer Financial Consulting LLC Principal since 2002. Fischer Financial Consulting LLC provides consulting on corporate financial, accounting, and governance matters.

Arthur Andersen LLP Retired as Managing Partner of the Milwaukee office and Deputy Managing Partner for the Great Plains Region in 2002. Served as Managing Partner from 1993 and as Partner from 1980. Arthur Andersen LLP was an independent public accounting firm.

Director of Actuant Corporation since 2003; Director of Badger Meter, Inc. since 2003; and Director of Regal-Beloit Corporation since 2004.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, Wisconsin Electric Power Company, and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2005.

As Principal of Fischer Financial Consulting LLC, Mr. Fischer has provided consulting services to companies in the areas of corporate financial, accounting and governance matters since 2002. Prior to this, Mr. Fischer, who is a Certified Public Accountant, worked for Arthur Andersen, which was a large, international independent public accounting firm, for 33 years, the last 20 as a partner responsible for services provided to large, complex public and private companies and several public utility audits. Combined with Mr. Fischer s service as a director and member of the audit committee of three other Wisconsin-based public companies, Mr. Fischer provides the Board with a deep understanding of corporate governance issues, accounting and auditing matters, including financial reporting and regulatory compliance, and risk assessment and management. In light of this extensive experience, he is chair of the Audit and Oversight Committee.

Gale E. Klappa. Age 60.

Wisconsin Energy Corporation Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer since May 2004. President since April 2003.

Wisconsin Electric Power Company Chairman of the Board since May 2004. President and Chief Executive Officer since August 2003.

Wisconsin Gas LLC Chairman of the Board since May 2004. President and Chief Executive Officer since August 2003.

Director of Badger Meter, Inc. since February 2010; and Director of Joy Global Inc. since 2006.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, Wisconsin Electric Power Company, and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2003.

As Chief Executive Officer and President of WEC and its two principal utility subsidiaries, Mr. Klappa represents and communicates management s perspective to the Board. Mr. Klappa provides the Board with an understanding of the day-to-day operations of the Company, and, in turn, communicates the Board s vision and direction for the Company to the other officers and management. Mr. Klappa has more than 36 years of experience working in the public utility industry, the last 18 at a senior executive level. Immediately prior to joining WEC in 2003, Mr. Klappa served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer at The Southern Company, a public utility holding company serving

the southeastern United States. Mr. Klappa also served in various other positions during his tenure at Southern, including Treasurer and Chief Strategic Officer. Mr. Klappa currently serves on the boards of Edison Electric Institute, an association of U.S. shareholder-owned electric companies, and Electric Power Research Institute, an independent, non-profit research company performing research, development and demonstration in the electricity sector.

Ulice Payne, Jr. Age 55.

Addison-Clifton, LLC Managing Member since 2004. Addison-Clifton, LLC provides global trade compliance advisory services.

Director of Manpower Inc. since 2007; and Trustee of The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company since 2005.

Director of Badger Meter, Inc. from 2000 to April 2010; Director of Midwest Air Group, Inc. from 1998 to 2008; and Director of State Financial Services Corporation from 1998 to 2005.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation, Wisconsin Electric Power Company, and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2003.

Mr. Payne has extensive leadership experience within the local community and the State of Wisconsin, previously serving as president and CEO of the Milwaukee Brewers Baseball Club, Inc., as managing partner of the Milwaukee office of Foley & Lardner, a Milwaukee-based law firm, and as Securities Commissioner for the State of Wisconsin. In addition, Mr. Payne is and has been involved in numerous Milwaukee-area non-profit entities, including serving as past chair of the Bradley Center Sports and Entertainment Corporation. Therefore, Mr. Payne is able to provide the Board with a unique perspective on the issues and challenges affecting the local Milwaukee community as a whole as well as a broad spectrum of the Company s customers. As a result of these positions, Mr. Payne also has experience in operating in the same regulatory and political environment as the Company. Mr. Payne presently advises on global trade compliance as Managing Member of Addison-Clifton, LLC, where Mr. Payne consistently deals with public policy and compliance matters, experience he brings to the Board. In addition, Mr. Payne s past and present directorship experience includes service as a member of either the audit or finance committee at each of these companies, which is beneficial to the Board.

Frederick P. Stratton, Jr. Age 71.

Briggs & Stratton Corporation Chairman Emeritus since 2003. Chairman of the Board from 2001 to 2003. Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from 1986 until 2001. Chief Executive Officer from 1977 until 1986. Briggs & Stratton Corporation is a manufacturer of small gasoline engines.

Director of Baird Funds, Inc. since 2004; and Director of Weyco Group, Inc. since 1976.

Director of Midwest Air Group, Inc. from 1986 to 2007.

Director of Wisconsin Energy Corporation since 1987, Wisconsin Electric Power Company since 1986, and Wisconsin Gas LLC since 2000.

Mr. Stratton has held leadership positions, including 24 years as CEO, in Briggs & Stratton Corporation, a manufacturer headquartered in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and with significant operations in We Energies utility service territories. As a result, Mr. Stratton provides the Board with perspective as to the issues facing the Company s large commercial and industrial retail customers, as well as experience working in Wisconsin s regulatory and political environment. As the former CEO of a large public corporation, Mr. Stratton has a deep understanding of the executive compensation issues and challenges the Company faces, as well as the challenges a public corporation can face raising capital. Mr. Stratton also brings to the Board his 25 years of service as a director on WEC s and its subsidiaries Boards, and over 60 years of combined experience as a director on the boards of three other publicly traded U.S. corporations headquartered in Wisconsin, including service on the audit committee for two of those companies.

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Members Audit and Oversight	Principal Responsibilities; Meetings Oversee the integrity of the financial statements.
Thomas J. Fischer, Chair	
John F. Bergstrom	Oversee management compliance with legal and regulatory requirements.
Barbara L. Bowles	
Patricia W. Chadwick	Review, approve and evaluate the independent auditors services.
Robert A. Cornog	
	Oversee the performance of the internal audit function and independent auditors.

Review the Company s risk exposure in such areas as compliance, environmental, legal/litigation and ethical conduct.

Prepare the report required by the SEC for inclusion in the proxy statement.

Establish procedures for the submission of complaints and concerns regarding WEC s accounting or auditing matters.

The Committee conducted six meetings in 2010. Compensation Identify through succession planning potential executive officers. John F. Bergstrom, Chair Ulice Payne, Jr. Provide a competitive, performance-based executive and director compensation program. Frederick P. Stratton, Jr. Set goals for the CEO, annually evaluate the CEO s performance against such goals and determine compensation adjustments based on whether these goals have been achieved. The Committee conducted seven meetings in 2010, including one joint meeting with the Corporate Governance Committee, and executed two signed, written unanimous consents. **Corporate Governance** Establish and annually review the Corporate Governance Guidelines to ensure the Board is effectively performing its fiduciary responsibilities to stockholders. Barbara L. Bowles, Chair Robert A. Cornog Establish and annually review director candidate selection criteria. Curt S. Culver Frederick P. Stratton, Jr. Identify and recommend candidates to be named as nominees of the Board for election as directors. Lead the Board in its annual review of the Board s performance. The Committee conducted three meetings in 2010, including one joint meeting with the Compensation Committee. Finance Review and monitor the Company s current and long-range financial policies and strategies, including its capital structure and dividend policy. Curt S. Culver, Chair Patricia W. Chadwick Authorize the issuance of corporate debt within limits set by the Board. Ulice Payne, Jr.

Frederick P. Stratton, Jr.

Discuss policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management.

Review, approve and monitor the Company s capital and operating budgets.

The Committee conducted three meetings in 2010.

The Board also has an Executive Committee which may exercise all powers vested in the Board except action regarding dividends or other distributions to stockholders, filling Board vacancies and other powers which by law may not be delegated to a committee or actions reserved for a committee comprised of independent directors. The members of the Executive Committee are Gale E. Klappa (Chair), John F. Bergstrom, Barbara L. Bowles, Robert A. Cornog and Frederick P. Stratton, Jr. The Executive Committee did not meet in 2010.

In addition to the number of committee meetings listed in the preceding table, the Board met six times in 2010. The average meeting attendance during the year was 96.9%. No director attended fewer than 83.3% of the total number of meetings of the Board and Board committees on which he or she served.

PROPOSAL 2: VOTE TO INITIATE THE PROCESS TO ELECT DIRECTORS

BY MAJORITY VOTE IN UNCONTESTED ELECTIONS

The Company seeks your advisory vote to initiate the process to allow for a majority voting standard for uncontested elections of directors. Directors are currently elected by a plurality of the votes cast, meaning that the nominees who receive the largest number of votes are elected as directors up to the maximum number of directors to be chosen. The Board of Directors has, for some time, been considering implementing a majority voting standard for uncontested elections to replace the current plurality standard, and the Company has communicated with several shareholders regarding this topic, the most recent being the Sheet Metal Workers National Pension Fund. After discussions with the Fund, the Board agreed, if stockholders approve this proposal, to have the Company initiate the process to amend the Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws to adopt a majority voting standard for uncontested elections of directors.

The Board believes that a majority vote standard is becoming the more prevalent voting standard among public companies. In fact, over 70% of the companies in the S&P 500 have adopted a majority vote standard for uncontested elections of directors. However, the Board s nominees for director have received an overwhelming majority of stockholder votes over the past several years. At the 2010 annual meeting no director received less than 96.72% of the votes cast. Therefore, before starting the process to formally amend the Articles and Bylaws to implement this significant change, the Company wants to determine whether its stockholders believe a majority vote standard is in their best interest.

If this proposal is approved, the Board intends to recommend to stockholders at the 2012 annual meeting amendments to WEC s Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws to provide for a majority voting standard in uncontested elections of directors. If approved, the first stockholder meeting at which the majority vote standard would apply would be the 2013 annual meeting, assuming such election is uncontested.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR

approval to initiate the process to elect directors

by majority vote in uncontested elections.

PROPOSAL 3: RATIFICATION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS INDEPENDENT AUDITORS FOR 2011

The Audit and Oversight Committee of the Board of Directors has sole authority to select, evaluate and, where appropriate, terminate and replace the independent auditors. The Audit and Oversight Committee has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company s independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. The Committee believes that stockholder ratification of this matter is important considering the critical role the independent auditors play in maintaining the integrity of the Company s financial statements. If stockholders do not ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP, the Audit and Oversight Committee will reconsider the selection.

Deloitte & Touche LLP also served as the independent auditors for the Company for the last nine fiscal years beginning with the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002.

Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to be present at the Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and are expected to respond to appropriate questions that may be directed to them.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR

the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as independent auditors for 2011.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS FEES AND SERVICES

Pre-Approval Policy. The Audit and Oversight Committee has a formal policy delineating its responsibilities for reviewing and approving, in advance, all audit, audit-related, tax and other services of the independent auditors. The Committee is committed to ensuring the independence of the auditors, both in appearance as well as in fact.

Under the pre-approval policy, before engagement of the independent auditors for the next year s audit, the independent auditors will submit a description of services anticipated to be rendered for the Committee to approve. Annual pre-approval will be deemed effective for a period of twelve months from the date of pre-approval, unless the Committee specifically provides for a different period. A fee level will be established for all permissible non-audit services. Any proposed non-audit services exceeding this level will require additional approval by the Committee.

The Audit and Oversight Committee delegated pre-approval authority to the Committee s Chair. The Committee Chair is required to report any pre-approval decisions at the next scheduled Committee meeting. Under the pre-approval policy, the Committee may not delegate to management its responsibilities to pre-approve services performed by the independent auditors.

Under the pre-approval policy, prohibited non-audit services are services prohibited by the Securities and Exchange Commission or by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board to be performed by the Company s independent auditors. These services include bookkeeping or other services related to the accounting records or financial statements of the Company, financial information systems design and implementation, appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinions or contribution-in-kind reports, actuarial services, internal audit outsourcing services, management functions or human resources, broker-dealer, investment advisor or investment banking services, legal services and expert services unrelated to the audit, services provided for a contingent fee or commission and services related to planning, marketing or opining in favor of the tax treatment of a confidential transaction or an aggressive tax position transaction that was initially recommended, directly or indirectly, by the independent auditors. In addition, the Committee has determined that the independent auditors may not provide any services, including personal financial counseling and tax services, to any officer or other employee of the Company who serves in a financial reporting oversight role or to the chair of the Audit and Oversight Committee or to an immediate family member of these individuals, including spouses, spousal equivalents and dependents.

Fee Table. The following table shows the fees, all of which were pre-approved by the Audit and Oversight Committee, for professional audit services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP for the audit of the annual financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries for fiscal years 2010 and 2009 and fees for other services rendered during those periods. No fees were paid to Deloitte & Touche LLP pursuant to the de minimus exception to the pre-approval policy permitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

	2010	2009
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,684,795	\$ 1,654,525
Audit-Related Fees ⁽²⁾		32,000
Tax Fees ⁽³⁾	48,616	37,439
All Other Fees ⁽⁴⁾	10,085	1,750
Total	\$ 1,743,496	\$ 1,725,714

(1) Audit Fees consist of fees for professional services rendered in connection with the audits of (1) the annual financial statements of the Company and its subsidiaries, and (2) the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. This category also includes reviews of financial statements included in Form 10-Q filings of the Company and its subsidiaries and services normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

⁽²⁾ Audit-Related Fees consist of fees for professional services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company s financial statements and are not reported under Audit Fees. These services normally include consultations regarding implementation of accounting standards.

- ⁽³⁾ *Tax Fees* consist of fees for professional services rendered with respect to federal and state tax compliance and tax advice.
- ⁽⁴⁾ All Other Fees consist of costs for certain employees to attend accounting/tax seminars hosted by Deloitte & Touche LLP.

AUDIT AND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit and Oversight Committee, which is comprised solely of independent directors, oversees the integrity of the financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors of Wisconsin Energy Corporation. In addition, the Committee oversees compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. The Committee operates under a written charter approved by the Board of Directors, which can be found in the Governance section of the Company s Website a<u>t www.wisconsinenergy.com</u>.

The Committee is also responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the Company s independent auditors, as well as the oversight of the Company s internal audit function. The Committee selected Deloitte & Touche LLP to remain as the Company s independent auditors for 2011, subject to stockholder ratification.

Management is responsible for the Company s financial reporting process, the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and the system of internal controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. The Company s independent auditors are responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company s consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and issuing a report thereon.

The Committee held six meetings during 2010. Meetings are designed to facilitate and encourage open communication among the members of the Committee, management, the internal auditors and the Company s independent auditors, Deloitte & Touche LLP. During these meetings, we reviewed and discussed with management, among other items, the Company s unaudited quarterly and audited annual financial statements and the system of internal controls designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws. We reviewed the financial statements and the system of internal controls with the Company s independent auditors, both with and without management present, and we discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP matters required by Statement of Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1. AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T.

In addition, we received the written disclosures and the letter relative to the auditors independence from Deloitte & Touche LLP, as required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Deloitte & Touche LLP s communications with the Committee concerning independence. The Committee discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP its independence and also considered the compatibility of non-audit services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP with maintaining its independence.

Based on these reviews and discussions, the Audit and Oversight Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in Wisconsin Energy Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Respectfully submitted to Wisconsin Energy Corporation stockholders by the Audit and Oversight Committee of the Board of Directors.

Thomas J. Fischer, Committee Chair John F. Bergstrom Barbara L. Bowles Patricia W. Chadwick Robert A. Cornog

PROPOSAL 4: APPROVAL OF AMENDMENTS TO THE WISCONSIN ENERGY CORPORATION

1993 OMNIBUS STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN, AS AMENDED AND RESTATED

The Wisconsin Energy Corporation 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (the OSIP), a long-term incentive plan, was last approved by WEC s stockholders at the 2001 annual meeting. Awards may not be made under the OSIP more than 10 years after the receipt of such approval, or May 2, 2011. Therefore, we need stockholder approval to extend the plan.

The OSIP is designed to link the interests of executives and other key employees to long-term shareholder value. The purpose of the OSIP is to enable the Company to offer directors, officers and key employees of WEC and its subsidiaries performance-based incentives and other equity interests in the Company to attract, retain and reward such individuals, and to strengthen the mutuality of interest between these individuals and WEC s stockholders.

The OSIP is designed to allow for the grant of certain types of awards that conform to the requirements for tax deductible performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, which allows for compensation of executive officers that meets certain conditions to be excluded from the \$1,000,000 limit on deductible compensation. The OSIP, as proposed to be amended, allows for the award of incentive and non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, performance units, restricted stock units and dividend equivalents, as the Compensation Committee in its discretion determines. The OSIP is being submitted to stockholders for approval in order to comply with the applicable requirements of the New York Stock Exchange and to qualify certain awards to certain executive officers as deductible for federal income tax purposes under Section 162(m). Stockholder approval is also necessary under the federal income tax rules with respect to the qualification of incentive stock options.

In order to allow the Company to continue to effectively utilize long-term equity incentive compensation, the Board of Directors is asking stockholders to vote on and approve amendments to the OSIP. The Board believes linking the interests of management and stockholders is in the best interest of the Company s stockholders. In addition, the Board believes a sufficient reserve of shares is critical to provide the Company the flexibility to attract key employees. If stockholder approval is not obtained, WEC will not be able to award long-term equity incentive compensation to management and will need to reward management for achieving the Company s goals using other forms of compensation.

Proposed Amendments

The Compensation Committee approved the amendments to the OSIP at its meeting on January 20, 2011, and subsequently increased the number of new shares reserved for issuance under the OSIP to account for the March 1, 2011 two-for-one stock split by a unanimous consent dated February 10, 2011. The principal changes to the OSIP are set forth below.

Shares Available. Shares reserved for issuance under the OSIP are running out. Taking into account the two-for-one stock split, it is proposed that the number of shares reserved for issuance be increased by 33,000,000 shares plus the 1,319,272 shares remaining from the shares previously authorized by stockholders at the 2001 annual meeting. Shares reserved for issuance may be authorized but unissued, treasury or repurchased shares.

Establishing a Multiplier. When issuing any full share awards such as restricted stock, each full share award will decrease the shares available for issuance under the OSIP by 4.23 shares, and each stock option or stand-alone stock appreciation right will decrease the available shares by one share.

Extension of OSIP. Because awards may not be made under the OSIP after May 2, 2011, it is proposed that the OSIP be amended to extend the expiration date to ten years after the approval of these proposed amendments, or May 5, 2021.

Adding Restricted Stock Units and Dividend Equivalents as Forms of Awards. It is proposed that the OSIP be amended to specifically allow for the award of restricted stock units and dividend equivalents. Restricted stock units would consist of the grant to a participant of the right to receive a specified number of shares of WEC common stock upon the satisfaction of certain conditions specified by the Compensation Committee.

Dividend equivalents would consist of the award to a participant, in connection with the award of a stock option, stand-alone stock appreciation right, performance unit or restricted stock unit, of a cash payment equal to all or a portion of the dividends that the participant would have received had he or she owned the number of shares of stock subject to the award on the record date for dividends paid by WEC. Dividend equivalents may be granted either at the same time as the underlying award or at any time while the award is outstanding, and will be subject to such terms and conditions as the Compensation Committee may determine. If the underlying award is conditioned upon the achievement of

Table of Contents

performance goals, receipt of the dividend equivalent payments must also be conditioned upon achievement of a performance goal (which does not need to be the same goal as for the underlying award).

Expansion of the Performance Criteria. Provisions of the OSIP related to performance criteria have been expanded to help ensure compliance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Vesting or settlement of any award may be conditioned upon the achievement of such performance goals as the Compensation Committee may determine, which may include any of the following:

Earnings per share;	Sales;
Net earnings;	Operating margin;
Operating earnings;	Pre-tax margins;
Measures of return on shareholder equity, including total shareholder return;	Pre-tax or after-tax return on invested capital;
Return on assets;	Pre-tax or after-tax return on equity;
Cash flow;	Gross profit margin; or
Pre-tax earnings;	Stock price.

In the case of an award (other than a stock option or stand-alone stock appreciation right) that is intended to satisfy the requirements for the exception to the limitation of deductibility under Section 162(m), the following will apply:

The performance goal will be based upon one or more of the criteria set forth above and will be established by the Compensation Committee not later than the 90th day of the performance period, or within the first 25% of a performance period of less than one year;

Any adjustments to the performance goals will be provided for in the terms of the original award agreement and based upon objective criteria so that a third party with knowledge of the relevant performance results could calculate the amount of the benefit;

The Compensation Committee must certify that the applicable performance goal has been satisfied before any benefit may be paid; and

The Compensation Committee will not have any authority to increase the amount of the benefit. No awards may be granted that are intended to qualify under Section 162(m) after the fifth annual stockholder meeting that occurs after the 2011 annual meeting, or the 2016 annual meeting, unless, prior to such date, the performance criteria are again approved by WEC s stockholders.

Compliance with Section 409A. The OSIP incorporates provisions to ensure that the plan and any award made thereunder is in compliance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, including all related Treasury regulations.

Summary of Remaining Terms of the OSIP

The information about the OSIP which follows is not complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, the full text of the OSIP, which is attached to this proxy statement as Appendix A. We encourage you to carefully read the full text of the OSIP in its entirety.

Administration of Plan. The OSIP provides for administration by a committee (the Committee), to be comprised of either the Compensation Committee of the Board or another committee designated by the Board consisting of directors who are not employees of the Company. The WEC Compensation Committee currently administers the plan. Among the Committee s powers are the authority to interpret the plan, establish rules and regulations for its operation, select directors, officers and other key employees of WEC and its subsidiaries to receive awards, and determine the form, amount and other terms and conditions of awards. The Committee also has the power, with the participant s consent as to benefits already granted, to modify awards.

Eligibility for Participation. Directors, officers and other key employees of WEC or any of its subsidiaries are eligible to participate in the OSIP. The selection of participants is within the discretion of the Committee. Approximately 145 individuals currently participate in the plan.

Limitation on Number of Awards. A participant may not receive awards in any calendar year that exceed (i) in the case of stock options and stand-alone stock appreciation rights, 1,500,000 shares, and (ii) in the case of stock awards, performance units and restricted stock units, 1,500,000 shares (determined prior to the 4.23 multiplier). Each of these limits is applied separately.

Stock Options. Under the OSIP, the Committee may grant awards in the form of options to purchase shares of WEC common stock, which may be either incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options. The Committee will, with regard to each such stock option, determine the number of shares subject to the option, the manner and time of the option s exercisability and vesting, and the exercise price per share of stock subject to the option. The exercise price of a stock option will not be less than 100 percent of the fair market value of the common stock on the date the option is granted. No option will be exercisable prior to six months after the option grant date, unless accelerated upon a change in control as defined in the OSIP and the maximum term will be ten years. The exercise

price may be paid in cash or, in the discretion of the Committee, by delivering shares of common stock owned by the participant, by reducing the number of shares delivered upon exercise, by broker-assisted cashless exercise, or by any combination of these methods.

Stock Appreciation Rights. The OSIP authorizes the Committee to grant a stock appreciation right in tandem with any stock option granted under the plan or to a participant separate from any stock options granted to such participant. A stock appreciation right granted in tandem with a stock option permits the participant to receive, upon surrender of the related exercisable option, a payment equal to the spread between the exercise price and the current market value of the shares covered by the surrendered option.

Stand-alone stock appreciation rights awarded under the OSIP will specify the exercise price, which will not be less than the fair market value of a share of WEC common stock on the grant date, the time of exercisability and such other terms and conditions as the Committee may determine. No stand-alone stock appreciation right will be exercisable prior to six months after the date of grant, unless accelerated upon a change in control as defined in the OSIP, and the maximum term will be ten years. Upon exercise of all or a portion of a stand-alone stock appreciation right, the participant will receive a payment equal to the spread between the exercise price and the current market value of the shares covered by the surrendered stock appreciation right.

The Committee may, in its discretion, deliver shares of WEC common stock in lieu of cash to satisfy the exercise of a stock appreciation right. No stock appreciation rights have been granted to date under the OSIP.

Stock Awards. The OSIP authorizes the Committee to grant awards in the form of restricted or unrestricted shares of common stock. Such awards will be subject to such terms, conditions and restrictions, if any, as the Committee deems appropriate including, but not limited to, continued employment and achievement of performance goals established by the Committee over a designated period of time.

Performance Units. The OSIP allows for the award of performance units consisting of monetary units granted to participants which may be earned in whole or in part if WEC achieves certain performance goals established by the Committee over a designated period of time. No performance units previously granted under the OSIP remain outstanding.

Non-transferability. Awards granted under the OSIP are not transferable for value or consideration other than by will or the law of descent and distribution, and each stock option and stock appreciation right is only exercisable during the participant s lifetime by the participant or the participant s legal guardian.

Change in Control. In the event of a change in control of WEC as defined in the OSIP, all outstanding stock options and stock appreciation rights shall become immediately exercisable and all other benefits shall immediately vest with all performance goals deemed fully achieved.

Adjustment Provisions. The OSIP contains provisions for equitable adjustment of awards without new consideration to WEC in the event of merger, consolidation, reorganization or recapitalization, stock dividend, stock split, share conversion or any other similar type of event as the Committee may determine in its sole discretion.

Term and Amendment. No award shall be made more than ten years after the date of approval of the proposed amendments to the plan by WEC stockholders. The Board reserves the right to amend, suspend or discontinue the plan at any time, subject to the rights of participants with respect to any outstanding awards and to the requirements of any securities laws or exchange requirements to obtain stockholder approval for certain amendments. In addition, without stockholder approval WEC may not reduce the exercise price of outstanding options or stock appreciation rights or cancel outstanding options or stock appreciation rights and replace them with awards having a lower exercise price.

Federal Tax Treatment. Under current law, the following are the U. S. federal income tax consequences generally arising with respect to awards under the OSIP.

An employee participant who is granted an incentive stock option within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code does not recognize any taxable income at the time of the grant or at the time of exercise. Similarly, WEC is not entitled to any deduction at the time of grant or at the time of exercise. If the participant makes no disposition of the shares acquired pursuant to an incentive stock option before the later of two years from the date of grant and one year from the date of exercise, any gain or loss realized on a subsequent disposition of the shares will be treated as a long-term capital gain or loss.

Under such circumstances, WEC will not be entitled to any deduction for federal income tax purposes. If the foregoing holding period requirements are not met, the participant will generally realize ordinary income and WEC will have a corresponding deduction at the time of disposition of the shares equal to the excess of the market value of the shares on the date of exercise over the exercise price. However, if the disposition of the shares is a taxable sale (as opposed to a gift or other form of non-taxable disposition), the amount of ordinary income realized

Table of Contents

will not exceed the excess of the amount realized on the disposition of the shares over the exercise price.

A participant who is granted a non-qualified stock option will not have taxable income at the time of grant, but will have taxable income at the time of exercise equal to the difference between the exercise price of the shares and the market value of the shares on the date of exercise. WEC is entitled to a tax deduction at that time for the same amount.

The grant of a stock appreciation right will produce no U. S. federal income tax consequences for the participant or WEC. The exercise of a stock appreciation right results in taxable income to the participant, equal to the difference between the exercise price of the stand-alone stock appreciation right or related option shares, as applicable, and the market price of the shares on the date of exercise, and a corresponding tax deduction to WEC.

A participant who has been granted performance units will not realize taxable income at the time of the grant, and WEC will not be entitled to a tax deduction at such time. A participant will realize ordinary income at the time the award is paid equal to the amount of cash paid or the fair market value of stock received, and WEC will have a corresponding tax deduction.

A participant who has been granted an award of restricted shares of common stock or restricted stock units will not realize taxable income at the time of the grant, and WEC will not be entitled to a tax deduction at the time of the grant, unless, in the case of an award of restricted shares, the participant makes an election to be taxed at the time of the grant. When the restrictions lapse (if the participant did not elect to be taxed at the time of grant), or upon a grant of unrestricted shares or transfer of shares in settlement of restricted stock units under the OSIP, the participant will recognize taxable income in an amount equal to the fair market value of the shares at such time. WEC will be entitled to a corresponding tax deduction.

A participant who has been granted dividend equivalents will not realize taxable income at the time of the grant, and WEC will not be entitled to a tax deduction at such time. A participant will realize ordinary income at the time the award is paid equal to the amount of cash paid or the fair market value of stock received, and WEC will have a corresponding tax deduction.

Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code provides that participants in a covered non-qualified deferred compensation arrangement will be subject to accelerated taxation and penalties unless the covered plan, both as designed and administered, satisfies specified requirements, including limitations on the timing of deferral and distribution elections, if any, and triggers for the distribution or funding of deferred amounts. Section 409A may become applicable to certain types of awards under the OSIP (other than incentive stock options and restricted stock) depending on the terms and conditions to which the award is subject. The Board believes that the terms of the OSIP, as such, should not cause awards under the OSIP to violate the requirements of Section 409A.

Recoupment of Awards. The OSIP provides that, to the extent determined by the Committee, any award under the OSIP to an executive officer may include provisions requiring its forfeiture and/or recoupment if such award or any portion thereof either (i) was granted, vested and/or settled based on financial results that were subsequently restated in any material respect due to conduct by the participant that was knowing, intentionally fraudulent or illegal as determined by a committee of independent directors, or (ii) the value of such award was affected by the financial results that were subsequently restated in any material respect, or a forfeiture or recoupment is otherwise required by law or exchange requirements.

Other Terms of the Awards. Upon the grant of any award, the Committee may, by way of an award notice or otherwise, establish such other terms, conditions and restrictions covering the grant of the award as are not inconsistent with the OSIP.

Equity Compensation Plan Information. The following table summarizes the ability of WEC to issue common stock pursuant to equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2010 (adjusted solely to account for the two-for-one stock split on March 1, 2011):

	(a)	(b)	(c)
	Number of securities to	Weighted-average	Number of securities
	be issued upon	exercise price	remaining available for
	exercise	exercise of f	
	of outstanding	outstanding options,	equity
	options,	warrants and	compensation plans (excluding
Plan Category	warrants and rights	rights	securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security			
holders	13,036,466 (1)	\$ 20.82	1,852,302

Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders

Total	13,036,466	\$ 20.82	1,852,302

⁽¹⁾ Represents options to purchase our common stock granted under our 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended.

Effective January 3, 2011, the Compensation Committee awarded a total of 229,090 non-qualified stock options with an exercise price of \$58.70, and a total of 37,425 shares of restricted stock under the OSIP. Taking these awards into account, and adjusting to account for the stock split, 1,319,272 securities remain available for future issuance under the OSIP. These awards are not dependent on stockholder approval of the proposed amendments to the OSIP as set forth in this Proposal 4.

Although the number of persons eligible to participate in the OSIP and the number of grantees may vary from year to year, the Compensation Committee expects to consider approximately 145 directors, officers and other key employees for participation in the OSIP.

The closing price of WEC s common stock on March 2, 2011, was \$29.08 per share.

Awards made under the OSIP during 2010 to the named executive officers are described in the Summary Compensation Table and in the table entitled Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2010 in this proxy statement. Awards to directors are described under Directors Compensation in this proxy statement. These awards are substantially similar to what would have been granted had the amendments to the OSIP discussed in this Proposal 4 been approved by stockholders prior to such awards.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR the amendments to the Wisconsin Energy Corporation

1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion provides an overview and analysis of our executive compensation program, including the role of the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors, the elements of our executive compensation program, the purposes and objectives of these elements and the manner in which we established the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal year 2010.

References to we, us, our and WEC in this discussion and analysis mean Wisconsin Energy Corporation and its management, as applicable.

Executive Summary. The primary objective of our executive compensation program is to provide a competitive, performance-based plan that enables the Company to attract and retain key individuals and to reward them for achieving both the Company s long-term and short-term goals. Our program has been designed to provide a level of compensation that is strongly dependent upon the achievement of short-term and long-term goals that are aligned with the interests of our stockholders and customers. To that end, a substantial portion of pay is at risk and generally, the value will only be realized upon strong corporate performance.

Despite a challenging economic and operating environment over the past few years, we have consistently delivered strong financial results. For 2010, WEC achieved record earnings per share of \$3.84 and maintained strong cash flows. In addition, we have continued to increase shareholder value, including the recent two-for-one split of WEC s common stock and 30% increase in its quarterly dividend rate to \$0.52 per share from \$0.40 per share on a pre-split basis. WEC has consistently outperformed the peer group used in connection with the performance units, described below, and the S&P 500. In fact, in 2010, WEC received the Edison Electric Institute s Index Award in the large utility category for the highest total shareholder return over the five-year period ended September 30, 2010.

We generally compensate our named executive officers through a mix of compensation elements, which primarily include:

annual cash incentive compensation (based principally on earnings per share and cash flow performance) and short-term dividend equivalents;

long-term incentive compensation through a mix of: (1) stock options; (2) restricted stock; and (3) performance units; and

annual base salary;

retirement programs.

With respect to each of these elements, we analyze market data provided by Towers Watson, a compensation consulting firm retained by management, to help determine the appropriate levels of compensation for each named executive officer. This Compensation Discussion and Analysis contains a more detailed discussion of each of these elements and the extent to which we analyzed market data in establishing each individual element in 2010.

Specifically, for 2010:

base salaries were frozen for the second consecutive year at 2008 levels;

the death benefit only plan was amended to eliminate the payment of any benefit once participants in the plan have retired;

the annual cash incentive award represented 208.75% of the target award as a result of strong financial and operational performance;

eliminated the automatic payment of dividends on outstanding performance units, and instead granted short-term dividend equivalents that only vest upon the achievement of a performance target established by the Compensation Committee;

the short-term dividend equivalents vested because WEC achieved the 2010 performance target for earnings from continuing operations;

decreased the target value of long-term incentive compensation between 9% and 12%;

the long-term incentive awards consisted of 80% performance units, 10% stock options and 10% restricted stock, resulting in a significant part of the long-term award being tied to Company performance and shareholder value over a multi-year period; and

total stockholder return for the three-year performance period ended December 31, 2010 was at the 88.5th percentile of the peer group established by the Compensation Committee, resulting in the performance units granted in 2008 vesting at a level of 170.0%. We also have long-standing policies regarding stock ownership to further align management s interests with those of our stockholders, including:

stock ownership guidelines that require executive officers to, generally within five years of appointment as an executive officer, acquire and hold Company common stock having a minimum fair market value ranging from 150% to 300% of base salary; and

a no-hedging policy that prohibits directors, officers and employees from hedging the economic interest in the WEC shares they hold.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors is responsible for making decisions regarding compensation for executive officers of WEC and its principal subsidiaries and for developing our executive compensation philosophy. The assessment of the Chief Executive Officer s performance and determination of the CEO s compensation are among the principal responsibilities of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee also approves the compensation of each of our other executive officers and recommends the compensation of our Board of Directors, with input from the Corporate Governance Committee, for approval by the Board. In addition, the Compensation Committee administers our long-term incentive compensation programs, including the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated, and the WEC Performance Unit Plan, as amended, which are discussed further below.

The Compensation Committee is comprised solely of directors who are independent directors under our corporate governance guidelines and the rules of the New York Stock Exchange. No member of the Compensation Committee is a current or former employee of WEC or its subsidiaries.

Competitive Data. As a general matter, we believe the labor market for WEC executive officers is consistent with that of general industry. Although we recognize our business is focused on the energy services industry, our goal is to have an executive compensation program that will allow us to be competitive in recruiting the most qualified candidates to serve as executive officers of the Company, including individuals who may be employed outside of the energy services industry. Further, in order to retain top performing executive officers, we believe our compensation practices must be competitive with those of general industry.

To confirm that our annual executive compensation is competitive with the market, we consider the market data obtained from Towers Watson. For 2010, Towers Watson provided us with compensation data from its 2010 Executive Compensation Data Bank, which contains information obtained from 430 companies of varying sizes in a wide range of businesses throughout general industry, including information from 102 companies within the energy services industry (i.e., companies with regulated and/or unregulated utility operations and independent power producers).

For Messrs. Klappa, Leverett and Fleming, the term market median means the median level for an executive officer serving in a comparable position in a comparably sized company (revenues of \$3 billion to \$6 billion) in general industry based on our analysis of the Towers Watson survey data. With respect to Mr. Kuester, given the nature of his position as principal executive officer of our electric utility generation operations in 2010, we consider the average of (1) the median level for an individual serving as the top generation officer of a company comparable in size to We Energies (revenues of \$3 billion to \$6 billion) in the energy services industry and (2) the median level for the chief executive officer in general industry in a business comparable in size to the generation operations of Wisconsin Energy. With respect to Ms. Rappé, given the scope of her responsibilities as Chief Administrative Officer of the Company, we consider the average of (1) the median level for an individual serving as the top administrative officer of a company

comparable in size to We Energies in the energy services industry and (2) the median level for the top administrative officer in general industry in a business comparable in size to Wisconsin Energy.

Our comparison of each element of compensation with the appropriate market data when setting the compensation levels of our named executive officers drives the allocation of cash versus non-cash compensation and short-term versus long-term incentive compensation.

Annual Base Salary. The annual base salary component of our executive compensation program provides each executive officer with a fixed level of annual cash compensation. We believe that providing annual cash compensation through a base salary is an established market practice and is a necessary component of a competitive compensation program.

After careful consideration of the market data and in light of the economic conditions in our service territories at the end of 2009, the Compensation Committee agreed with Mr. Klappa s recommendation to freeze all officers, including the named executive officers, base salaries in 2010 for the second consecutive year. Officers salaries were also frozen in 2009 at 2008 levels. The named executive officers did receive an increase in base salary in 2011, which reflects a return to our customary practice.

Annual Cash Incentive Compensation. We provide annual cash incentive compensation through our Short-Term Performance Plan (STPP). The STPP provides for annual cash awards to named executive officers based upon the achievement of pre-established stockholder, customer and employee focused objectives. All payments under the plan are at risk. Payments are made only if performance goals are achieved, and awards may be less or greater than targeted amounts based on actual performance. Payments under the STPP are intended to reward achievement of short-term goals that contribute to stockholder value, as well as individual contributions to successful operations.

2010 Target Awards. Each year, the Compensation Committee approves a target level of compensation under the STPP for each of our named executive officers. This target level of compensation is expressed as a percentage of base salary. Each of Messrs. Klappa, Leverett and Kuester, and Ms. Rappé has an employment agreement with WEC that specifies a minimum target level of compensation under the STPP based on a percentage of such executive officer s annual base salary. Under the terms of these employment agreements, the target award may not be adjusted below these minimum levels unless the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee takes action resulting in the lowering of target awards for the entire senior executive group. The target levels contained in the employment agreements were negotiated and, we believe, consistent with market practice at the time the agreements were entered into. These target levels contained to be supported by market data.

For 2010, the Compensation Committee approved the target awards under the STPP for each named executive officer set forth below. The targets are unchanged from previous years and are the same as those set forth in their employment agreements.

Executive	Target STPP Award				
	as a Percentage				
Officer	of Base Salary				
Mr. Klappa	100%				
Mr. Leverett	80%				
Mr. Kuester	80%				
Mr. Fleming	70%				
Ms. Rappé	60%				

For 2010, the possible payout for any named executive officer ranged from 0% of the target award to 210% of the target award, based on performance.

2010 Performance Goals. The Compensation Committee adopted the 2010 STPP with a continued principal focus on financial results. In December 2009, the Compensation Committee approved the two primary performance measures to be used in 2010: (1) earnings per share from continuing operations (75% weight); and (2) cash flow (25% weight). We believe these measures are key indicators of financial strength and performance and are recognized as such by the investment community. In addition, because of the significant capital expenditures necessary in 2010 for the continued construction of the Oak Creek expansion, environmental controls and renewable generation, we felt cash flow was an important financial measurement for the Company. In January 2010, the Compensation Committee approved threshold level, target level, above target level and maximum payout level performance goals for each of these performance measures under the STPP. If the threshold level, target level, above target level or maximum payout level performance goal was achieved for both performance measures, officers participating in the STPP could receive 50%, 100%, 125% or 200%, respectively, of the target award. If the Company s performance falls between these payout levels, the vesting percentage is determined by interpolating on a straight line basis the appropriate vesting percentage.

The earnings per share from continuing operations goals for 2010 were a threshold level goal of \$3.65 per share, a target level goal of \$3.70 per share, an above target level goal of \$3.72 per share and a maximum payout level goal of \$3.76 per share. The performance goals for cash flow were set at a threshold level goal of (\$415.3) million, a target level goal of (\$399.0) million, an above target level goal of (\$390.9) million and a maximum payout level goal of (\$366.4) million.

In addition, new for the 2010 STPP, the Compensation Committee determined that if earnings per share from continuing operations were between \$3.61 and \$3.64, officers would receive an award related to the earnings per share component of the STPP determined by interpolating on a straight line basis the appropriate vesting percentage. The officers would not receive an award related to the cash flow component if cash flow fell below the threshold level. Therefore, under these circumstances, the vesting percentage would be a percentage of the earnings per share component only, which is given a 75% weighting. For example, if WEC s earnings per share from continuing operations were \$3.61 for 2010 and there was no payout related to the cash flow component, officers would be entitled to 10% of just the earnings per share component of the STPP award, or 7.5% of the target level of the aggregate award. Earnings per share of \$3.60 or below would result in no payout. The Compensation Committee felt that even if WEC did not achieve what would normally be the threshold level goal for earnings per share, the stockholders would still be provided with significant value if WEC earned at least \$3.61 per share from continuing operations, and therefore, the officers should earn some incentive award. The Committee determined that cash flow below the threshold level goal would not deliver sufficient value to warrant any amount of incentive award.

The Compensation Committee evaluated three different sources of information to arrive at the 2010 earnings per share performance levels. The first was the four and five-year growth rates for the period from 2004 to 2009 for the companies included in the peer group established for purposes of the performance units, discussed below under 2010 Performance Units . Actual growth rates were available for years 2004 through 2008 and, in the case of WEC, 2009. The then most recent Thomson Reuters First Call 2009 estimates were used for the remaining peer group companies. This data suggested that earnings per share from continuing operations would need to grow by 6.0% to 6.5% for WEC to be in the top quartile of peer group companies. The second source of data reviewed by the Compensation Committee was a survey prepared by Morgan Stanley in June 2009 regarding long-term earnings per share growth in the utility industry. Of the 27 companies in our performance unit peer group, 10 provided targeted growth rates that were included in the survey: Avista Corporation, DTE Energy Company, Duke Energy Corp., Integrys Energy Group, Northeast Utilities, Nstar, PG&E Corporation, SCANA Corporation, The Southern Company and Xcel Energy Inc. Four of these companies targeted long-term earnings per share growth at or above 6.0%. Lastly, the Committee considered WEC s guidance range for earnings per share growth in 2010, which was 14% to 17.5% over 2009 earnings. This expected growth was being driven primarily by the anticipated commercial operation of the two units at the Oak Creek expansion in 2010. After evaluating the data, the Compensation Committee determined that using the Company s 2010 guidance range to set performance level goals would be most appropriate, as using the historical or survey data would have set performance targets unreasonably low and would not account for the significant earnings growth expected from the Oak Creek expansion. As a result, the Compensation Committee set the lower level of the guidance range (\$3.65 per share) as the threshold level goal, the mid-point of the range (\$3.70 per share) as the target level goal and \$3.72 per share as the above target level goal. The Compensation Committee set the maximum payout level goal at \$3.76 per share, which was equal to the high end of the guidance range plus \$0.01.

Once the Compensation Committee established the earnings per share performance level (i.e., threshold level, target level, above target level and maximum payout level) goals, it set the cash flow performance levels at the amount of cash flow estimated to be necessary to achieve the corresponding earnings per share performance level. These amounts were presented to and approved by the Finance Committee of the Board of Directors. In the judgment of the Compensation Committee, these cash flow targets reasonably represented the amount of cash flow necessary to achieve a combination of earnings per share performance and appropriate capital spending levels given the scope of the Company's construction program.

In December 2009 and January 2010, the Compensation Committee also approved operational performance measures and targets under the annual incentive plan. Annual incentive awards could be increased or decreased by up to 10% of the target award based upon the Company s performance in the operational areas of customer satisfaction (5% weight), supplier and workforce diversity (2.5%) and safety (2.5%). Although the Compensation Committee believes the achievement of financial performance goals are necessary, it also recognizes the importance of strong operational results to the success of the Company.

In addition to applying these financial and operational factors, the Compensation Committee retains the right to exercise discretion in adjusting awards under the STPP when it deems appropriate.

2010 Performance Under the STPP. In January 2011, the Compensation Committee reviewed our actual performance for 2010 against the financial and operational performance goals established under the STPP, subject to final audit. In 2010, the Company s financial performance satisfied the maximum payout level established for both earnings per share from continuing operations and cash flow. In 2010, our earnings per share from continuing operations were \$3.84 and our cash flow was \$47.7 million. Cash flow is measured by (i) adding together cash used in investing activities, excluding an investment in our transmission affiliate and net proceeds from asset sales, cash received from the exercise of stock options and cash used to purchase common stock and (ii) subtracting this amount from cash provided by operations. Our cash flow

measure is not a measure of financial performance under generally accepted accounting principles. Recognizing that cash flows can be affected by factors outside of management s control and that construction of the four

Power the Future generating units is now complete, the primary performance measure in 2011 will be earnings per share from continuing operations.

By satisfying the maximum payout level with respect to both earnings per share from continuing operations and cash flow, the named executive officers earned 200% of the target award from the financial goal component of the STPP.

With respect to operational goals in 2010, our performance generated an 8.75% increase to the compensation awarded under the STPP, as detailed below. The Compensation Committee measured customer satisfaction levels based on the results of surveys that an independent third party conducted of customers who had direct contact with the Company during the year, which measured (1) our customers satisfaction with the Company in general and (2) our customers satisfaction with respect to their particular interactions with the Company. In 2010, the Company exceeded target levels related to both measures leading to a 5.0% increase in the award. With respect to safety measures, the Company exceeded the target levels for both Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) recordable injuries and lost-time injuries leading to a 2.5% increase in the STPP award. The Company exceeded target level performance with respect to supplier diversity and achieved target level performance with respect to workforce diversity, resulting in an increase in the STPP award of 1.25% for 2010.

Based on the Company s performance against the financial and operational goals established by the Compensation Committee, Mr. Klappa received annual incentive cash compensation under the STPP of \$2,356,804 for 2010. This represented 208.75% of his annual base salary. Messrs. Leverett, Kuester and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé received annual cash incentive compensation for 2010 under the STPP equal to 167%, 167%, 146.125% and 125.25% of their respective annual base salaries, representing 208.75% of the target award for each officer.

In view of the discretionary component of the annual cash incentive plan, the Compensation Committee also considered other significant accomplishments of the Company in 2010. These included:

Strong financial performance

Record earnings from continuing operations of \$3.84 per share.

Debt to total capital ratio of 54.1% at year-end 2010, attributing 50% common equity treatment to WEC s 2007 Series A Junior Subordinated Notes, which we believe is consistent with the treatment given by the majority of rating agencies. The year-end debt to total capital ratio was significantly better than our target of 56.5%, and better than year-end 2009.

An 18.5% increase in the dividend effective with the first quarter payment in 2010.

WEC common stock share price increased by 18.1% during 2010.

WEC common stock traded at \$61.02 per share on December 1, 2010, which, at that time, was an all-time high.

Received the Edison Electric Institute s Index Award in the large utility category for the highest total shareholder return over the five-year period ended September 30, 2010.

Operational excellence

Unit 1 at the Oak Creek expansion was completed and placed into commercial operation in February 2010, and Unit 2 was placed into commercial operation in January 2011.

Continued improvements in customer satisfaction based on customer surveys. Data from 2010 indicated that the Company consistently performed in the top quartile of the industry, achieving its best customer satisfaction ratings since the merger of Wisconsin Electric and Wisconsin Gas. In addition, benchmark data from 2010 among the largest customers of 60 U.S. utilities indicated that the Company ranked in the top quartile of the industry nationally, fourth best in the Midwest and the best in the State of Wisconsin.

Best overall safety results in Company history.

For the second consecutive year, the Company s leadership team was more diverse than the population of its service area.

Named one of the 100 best corporate citizens in the United States by Corporate Responsibility magazine for the third consecutive year.

Leadership and excellence in corporate governance as evidenced by continued receipt during 2010 of a rating of 10, the highest possible score, from GovernanceMetrics International (only company worldwide to consistently earn this distinction). In view of the financial and operational accomplishments and the accomplishments listed above, the Compensation Committee determined that the awards under the STPP were appropriate in relation to our 2010 performance without any further adjustment.

Short-Term Dividend Equivalents. The Compensation Committee amended the STPP effective January 1, 2010 to provide for short-term dividend equivalents and, at the same time, amended the Performance Unit Plan to eliminate dividend equivalents on all future performance units. See Long-Term Incentive Compensation below for additional information regarding this amendment. Under the STPP as amended, beginning with the 2010 performance unit grants, certain officers, including the named executive officers, and employees are eligible to receive dividend equivalents in an amount equal to the number of performance units at the target 100% rate held by each such officer and employee on the dividend declaration date multiplied by the amount of cash dividends paid by Wisconsin Energy on a share of its common stock on such date. The short-term dividend equivalents vest at the end of each year only if Wisconsin Energy achieves the performance target or targets for that year established by the Compensation Committee in the same manner as the performance targets are established under the STPP for the annual incentive awards. For 2010, the Compensation Committee determined that the short-term dividend equivalents would be dependent upon Wisconsin Energy s performance against a target for earnings from continuing operations. The Compensation Committee established \$3.70 per share from continuing operations, the mid-point of our earnings guidance, as the target, and the Company achieved \$3.84 per share.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation. The Compensation Committee administers our 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan which is a stockholder-approved, long-term incentive plan designed to link the interests of our executives and other key employees to creating long-term stockholder value. It allows for various types of awards tied to the performance of our common stock, including stock options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock. In 2005, the Compensation Committee approved the Wisconsin Energy Corporation Performance Unit Plan, under which the Compensation Committee may award performance units. The Compensation Committee primarily uses (1) performance units, (2) stock options and (3) restricted stock to deliver long-term incentive opportunities.

Each year, the Compensation Committee makes annual grants of performance units under the Performance Unit Plan. The performance units are designed to provide a form of long-term incentive compensation that aligns the interests of management with those of a typical utility stockholder who is focused not only on stock price appreciation but also on dividends. Under the terms of the performance units, payouts are based on the Company s level of total stockholder return (stock price appreciation plus reinvested dividends) in comparison to a peer group of companies over a three-year performance period. The performance units are settled in cash.

Performance units granted prior to the January 2010 grant entitled each holder of a performance unit to receive a cash dividend when WEC declared a dividend on its common stock in an amount equal to the number of performance units granted to the holder at the target 100% rate multiplied by the amount of the dividend paid on a share of common stock. In December 2009, the Compensation Committee amended and restated the Performance Unit Plan to eliminate the dividend payment on all performance units awarded after January 1, 2010.

Prior to this amendment, dividends paid on outstanding performance units were earned and paid regardless of Company performance. The Compensation Committee made these amendments beginning with the 2010 compensation package because it concluded that a plan designed to reward Company performance should not provide for guaranteed dividends regardless of performance. Under the STPP as amended (see Short-Term Dividend Equivalents above), the short-term dividend equivalents only vest upon achieving the performance target.

Each year, the Compensation Committee also makes annual stock option grants as part of our long-term incentive program. These stock options have an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant and expire on the 10th anniversary of the grant date. Since management benefits from a stock option award only to the extent our stock price appreciates above the exercise price of the stock option, stock options align the interests of management with those of our stockholders in attaining long-term stock price appreciation.

Beginning with the 2010 long-term incentive award, the Compensation Committee also awards restricted stock as part of the long-term incentive plan. The Towers Watson market data indicated that many companies were shifting the mix of long-term compensation - reducing the number of options awarded and granting time-vesting restricted stock.

Aggregate 2010 Long-Term Incentive Awards. In establishing the target value of long-term incentive awards for each named executive officer in 2010, we analyzed the market compensation data included in the Towers Watson survey. For Messrs. Klappa and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé we determined the ratio of (1) the market median value of long-term incentive compensation to (2) the market median level of annual base salary, and multiplied each annual base salary by the applicable market ratio to determine the value of long-term incentive awards to be granted. For both Messrs. Leverett and Kuester, we established the same target level of long-term incentive compensation using the average of the results obtained for each officer. We wanted to establish parity in long-term incentive opportunity between the heads of the financial and key operational areas of the Company because of the critical role each plays in executing the Company s long-term strategy. This target value of long-term incentive compensation for each named executive officer was presented to and approved by the Compensation Committee.

For 2010, the Compensation Committee approved a long-term incentive award consisting of 80% performance units, 10% stock options and 10% restricted stock. Because the Compensation Committee wanted a significant part of the long-term award to be tied to Company performance and shareholder value, it increased the performance unit award to represent approximately 80% of the long-

term target award as compared to 72% of the target award in 2009. Due to the increase in the market value of WEC s common stock between the 2009 and 2010 awards, the number of performance units granted in 2010 actually decreased even though performance units made up a larger percentage of the total long-term target award.

In addition, based upon our review of the market data, the Compensation Committee decreased the target value of the 2010 long-term incentive compensation grant. The target value of the 2010 grant represents between a 9% and 12% decrease from the target value of the 2009 long-term incentive compensation grant. The Compensation Committee believes the decrease in the target value of long-term incentive compensation reflected in the market data was indicative of the decline in compensation trends during 2009.

2010 Stock Option Grants. In December 2009, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of stock options to each of our named executive officers and established an overall pool of options that were granted to approximately 130 other employees. These option grants were made effective January 4, 2010, the first trading day of 2010. The options were granted with an exercise price equal to the average of the high and low prices reported on the New York Stock Exchange for shares of WEC common stock on the grant date. The options were granted in accordance with our standard practice of making annual stock option grants in January of each year, and the timing of the grants was not tied to the timing of any release of material non-public information. These stock options have a term of 10 years and vest 100% on the third anniversary of the date of grant. The vesting of the stock options may be accelerated in connection with a change in control or an executive officer s termination of employment. See Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control under Executive Officers Compensation for additional information.

For purposes of determining the appropriate number of options to grant to a particular named executive officer, the value of an option was determined based on the Black-Scholes option pricing model. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model for purposes of the compensation valuation primarily because the market information we review from Towers Watson calculates the value of option awards on this basis. The following table provides the number of options granted to each named executive officer in 2010.

Executive	
	Options
Officer	Granted
Mr. Klappa	65,530
Mr. Leverett	34,105
Mr. Kuester	34,105
Mr. Fleming	12,140
Ms. Rappé	10,120

For financial reporting purposes, the stock options granted in 2010 had a grant date fair value of \$6.01 per option for Messrs. Klappa, Kuester and Fleming, and a grant date fair value of \$7.91 for Mr. Leverett and Ms. Rappé. Messrs. Klappa, Kuester and Fleming are considered to be retirement eligible. Therefore, their options are presumed to have a shorter expected life, which results in a lower option value.

2010 Restricted Stock Awards. In December 2009, the Compensation Committee also approved the grant of restricted stock to each of our named executive officers and established an overall pool of restricted stock that was granted to approximately 130 other employees. These grants were also made effective January 4, 2010. The restricted stock vests in three equal annual installments beginning on January 4, 2011. The vesting of the restricted stock may be accelerated in connection with a termination of employment due to a change in control, death or disability or by action of the Compensation Committee. See Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control under Executive Officers Compensation for additional information. Tax withholding obligations related to vesting may be satisfied, at the option of the executive officer, by withholding shares otherwise deliverable upon vesting or by withholding the required amount from the executive s compensation. The named executive officers have the right to vote the restricted stock and to receive cash dividends at the same time that the Company declares and pays a dividend to its stockholders.

For purposes of determining the appropriate number of shares of restricted stock to grant to a particular named executive officer, the Compensation Committee used a value of \$47.69 per share. This value was based on the volume weighted stock price of WEC s common stock for the ten trading days beginning on December 7, 2009 and ending on December 18, 2009. The Compensation Committee used these dates to minimize volatility in the stock market and to shorten the timeframe between the time the calculation of the awards is made and the actual grant date, January 4, 2010. The following table provides the number of shares of restricted stock granted to each named executive officer in 2010.

Executive	Restricted
	Stock
Officer	Granted
Mr. Klappa	8,285
Mr. Leverett	4,310
Mr. Kuester	4,310
Mr. Fleming	1,535
Ms. Rappé	1.280

2010 Performance Units. In 2010, the Compensation Committee granted performance units to each of our named executive officers and approved a pool of performance units that were granted to approximately 130 other employees. With respect to the 2010 performance units, the amount of the benefit that ultimately vests will be dependent upon the Company s total stockholder return over a three-year period ending December 31, 2012, as compared to the total stockholder return of the custom peer group of companies described below. Total stockholder return is the calculation of total return (stock price appreciation plus reinvestment of dividends) based upon an initial investment of \$100 and subsequent \$100 investments at the end of each quarter during the three-year performance period.

Upon vesting, the performance units will be settled in cash in an amount determined by multiplying the number of performance units that have vested by the closing price of the Company s common stock on the last trading day of the performance period.

In addition to Wisconsin Energy Corporation, the peer group used for purposes of the performance units is comprised of: Allegheny Energy, Inc.; Alliant Energy Corporation; Ameren Corporation; American Electric Power Company, Inc.; Avista Corporation; Consolidated Edison, Inc.; DTE Energy Company; Duke Energy Corp.; FirstEnergy Corp.; Great Plains Energy; Integrys Energy Group, Inc.; NiSource Inc.; Northeast Utilities; Nstar; NV Energy, Inc.; OGE Energy Corp.; Pepco Holdings, Inc.; PG&E Corporation; Pinnacle West Capital Corporation; Portland General; Progress Energy Inc.; SCANA Corporation; Sempra Energy; The Southern Company; Westar Energy, Inc.; and Xcel Energy Inc. This peer group was chosen because we believe these companies are similar to WEC in terms of business model and long-term strategies.

The required percentile ranking and the applicable vesting percentage are set forth in the chart below.

Performance

	Vesting
Percentile Rank	Percent
< 25 th Percentile	0%
25 th Percentile	25%
Target (50 th Percentile)	100%
75 th Percentile	125%
90 th Percentile	175%

If the Company s rank is between the benchmarks identified above, the vesting percentage will be determined by interpolating on a straight line basis the appropriate vesting percentage. Unvested performance units generally are immediately forfeited upon a named executive officer s cessation of employment with WEC prior to completion of the three-year performance period. However, the performance units will vest immediately at the target 100% rate upon (1) the termination of the named executive officer s employment by reason of disability or death or (2) a change in control of WEC while the named executive officer is employed by WEC. In addition, a prorated number of performance units (based upon the target 100% rate) will vest upon the termination of employment of the named executive officer by reason of retirement prior to the end of the three-year performance period.

For purposes of determining the appropriate number of performance units to grant to a particular named executive officer, the Compensation Committee used a value of \$47.69 per unit, the same value used for the restricted stock. The following table provides the number of units granted to each named executive officer at the 100% target level.

Executive	Performance				
	Units				
Officer	Granted				
Mr. Klappa	66,290				
Mr. Leverett	34,500				
Mr. Kuester	34,500				
Mr. Fleming	12,280				
Ms. Rappé	10.240				

2010 Payouts Under Previously Granted Long-Term Incentive Awards. In 2008, the Compensation Committee granted performance unit awards to participants in the plan, including the named executive officers. The terms of the performance units granted in 2008 were substantially similar to those of the performance units granted in 2010 described above, and the required performance percentile ranks and related vesting schedule were identical to that of the 2010 units.

Payouts under the 2008 performance units were based on our total stockholder return for the three-year performance period ended December 31, 2010 against the same group of peer companies used for the 2010 performance unit awards.

For the three-year performance period ended December 31, 2010, our total stockholder return was at the 88.5th percentile of the peer group, resulting in the performance units vesting at a level of 170.0%. The actual payouts were determined by multiplying the number of vested performance units by the closing price of our common stock (\$58.86) on December 31, 2010, the last trading day of the performance period. The actual payout to each named executive officer is reflected in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested for Fiscal Year 2010 table. This table also reflects amounts realized by any named executive officer in connection with the exercise in 2010 of any vested stock options and the amounts realized by any named executive officers at December 31, 2010, please refer to the table entitled Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2010 .

Stock Ownership Guidelines. The Compensation Committee believes that an important adjunct to the long-term incentive program is significant stock ownership by officers who participate in the program, including the named executive officers. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee has implemented stock ownership guidelines for officers of the Company. These guidelines provide that each executive officer, including the named executive officers, should, over time (generally within five years of appointment as an executive officer), acquire and hold Company common stock having a minimum fair market value ranging from 150% to 300% of base salary. In addition to shares owned outright, holdings of each of the following are included in determining compliance with our stock ownership guidelines: restricted stock; WEC phantom stock units held in the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan; WEC stock held in the 401(k) plan; performance units at target; vested stock options; and shares held by a brokerage account, jointly with an immediate family member or in a trust.

The Compensation Committee periodically reviews whether the officers are in compliance with these guidelines. The last review was completed in July 2010, and the Compensation Committee determined that all officers either satisfied, or were making appropriate progress to satisfy, the established guidelines.

Policy Regarding Hedging the Economic Risk of Stock Ownership. Certain forms of hedging or monetization transactions, such as zero-cost collars and forward sale contracts, allow a director, officer or employee to lock in much of the value of his or her stock holdings, often in exchange for all or part of the potential for upside appreciation in the stock. These transactions allow the director, officer or employee to continue to own the covered securities, but without the full risks and rewards of ownership. When that occurs, the director, officer or employee may no longer have the same objectives as our other stockholders. Therefore, we have a policy under which directors, officers and employees, including the named executive officers, are prohibited from engaging in any such transactions.

Retirement Programs. We also maintain retirement plans in which our named executive officers participate: a defined benefit pension plan of the cash balance type, two supplemental executive retirement plans and individual letter agreements with each of the named executive officers. We believe our retirement plans are a valuable benefit in the attraction and retention of our employees, including the named executive officers. We believe that providing a foundation for long-term financial security for our employees, beyond their employment with the Company, is a valuable component of our overall compensation program which will inspire increased loyalty and improved performance. For more information about our retirement plans, see Pension Benefits at Fiscal Year-End 2010 and Retirement Plans later in this proxy statement.

Other Benefits, Including Perquisites. The Company provides its executive officers, including the named executive officers, with employee benefits and a limited number of perquisites. Except as specifically noted elsewhere in this proxy statement, the employee benefits programs in which executive officers participate (which provide benefits such as medical benefits coverage, retirement

benefits and annual contributions to a qualified savings plan) are generally the same programs offered to substantially all of the Company s salaried employees.

The perquisites made available to executive officers include financial planning, membership in a service that provides health care and safety management when traveling outside the United States, payment of the cost of a mandatory physical exam that the Board requires annually, limited spousal travel for business purposes and the cost of a residential security system. The Company also pays periodic dues and fees for club memberships for certain of the named executive officers and other designated officers. In addition, executive officers receive tax gross-ups to reimburse the officer for certain tax liabilities. For a more detailed discussion of perquisites made available to our named executive officers, please refer to the notes following the Summary Compensation Table.

We periodically review market data regarding executive perquisite practices. We reviewed a survey conducted by The Ayco Company, L.P., a financial services firm (AYCO), in 2009 of 319 companies throughout general industry. Based upon this review, we believe that the perquisites we provide to our executive officers are generally market competitive. We reimburse executives for taxes paid on income attributable to the financial planning benefits provided to our executives only if the executive uses the Company s identified preferred provider, AYCO. We believe the use of our preferred financial adviser provides administrative benefits and eases communication between Company personnel and the financial adviser. We pay periodic dues and fees for certain club memberships as we have found that the use of these facilities helps foster better customer relationships. Officers, including the named executive officers, are expected to use clubs for which the Company pays dues primarily for business purposes. We do not pay any additional expenses incurred for personal use of these facilities, and officers are required to reimburse the Company to the extent that it pays for any such personal use. The total annual club dues are included in the Summary Compensation Table. We do not permit personal use of the airplane in which the Company owns a partial interest. We do allow spousal travel if an executive s spouse is accompanying the executive on business travel and the airplane is not fully utilized by Company personnel. There is no incremental cost to the Company for this travel, other than the reimbursement for taxes paid on imputed income attributable to the executives for this perquisite, as the airplane cost is the same regardless of whether an executive s spouse travels.

In addition, each of our executive officers participates in a death benefit only plan. Under the terms of the plan, upon an executive officer s death a benefit is paid to his or her designated beneficiary in an amount equal to the after-tax value of three times the officer s base salary if the officer is employed by WEC at the time of death. In December 2009, the Compensation Committee amended the terms of the death benefit only plan to eliminate the payment of any benefit once participants in the plan have retired. The Compensation Committee determined that this benefit was no longer supported by market data.

Severance Benefits and Change in Control. Competitive practices dictate that companies provide reasonable severance benefits to employees. In addition, we believe it is important to provide protections to the named executive officers in connection with a change in control of WEC. Our belief is that the interests of stockholders will be best served if the interests of the named executive officers are aligned with them, and providing change in control benefits should eliminate, or at least reduce, any reluctance of management to pursue potential change in control transactions that may be in the best interests of stockholders.

Each of Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé has an employment agreement with the Company, which includes change in control and severance provisions. Under the terms of these agreements, the applicable named executive officer is entitled to certain benefits in the event of a termination of employment. In the event of a termination of employment (1) in anticipation of or following a change in control by the Company for any reason, other than cause, death or disability, (2) by the applicable executive officer for good reason in connection with or in anticipation of a change in control or (3) by the applicable executive officer after completing one year of service following a change in control, each named executive officer is generally entitled to:

A lump sum payment equal to three times: (1) the highest annual base salary in effect during the last three years and (2) the higher of the current year target bonus amount or the highest bonus paid in any of the last three years (except for Ms. Rappé, whose payment is based upon the current year target bonus amount);

A lump sum payment assuming three years of additional credited service under the qualified and non-qualified retirement plans based upon the higher of (1) the annual base salary in effect at the time of termination and (2) any salary in effect during the 180 day period preceding the termination date, plus the highest bonus amount (except for Ms. Rappé, whose payment is based upon the current year target bonus amount);

A lump sum payment equal to the value of three additional years of Company match in the 401(k) plan and the WEC Executive Deferred Compensation Plan;

Continuation of health and certain other welfare benefit coverage for three years following termination of employment;

Full vesting of stock options, restricted stock and performance units;

Financial planning services and other benefits; and

A gross-up payment should any payments trigger federal excise taxes.

In the absence of a change in control, if we terminate the employment of the applicable named executive officer for any reason other than cause, death or disability, or the applicable named executive officer terminates his or her employment for good reason, the payments to the applicable named executive officer will be the same as those described above, except that with respect to

Messrs. Leverett and Kuester, and Ms. Rappé, (1) the multiple for the lump sum payment in the first bullet point will be reduced to two, (2) the number of additional years of credited service for qualified and non-qualified retirement plans will be two, (3) the number of additional years of matching in the 401(k) plan and the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan will be two, and (4) health and certain other welfare benefits will continue for two years following termination of employment. Mr. Fleming is not entitled to receive any severance benefits under his agreement upon termination of employment for good reason or without cause in the absence of a change in control.

We believe the amounts payable under these agreements are consistent with market standards as confirmed by our periodic analysis of data provided by Towers Watson.

In addition, our supplemental pension plan provides that in the event of a change in control, each named executive officer will be entitled to a lump sum payment of amounts due under the plan if employment is terminated within 18 months of the change in control.

For a more detailed discussion of the benefits and tables that describe payouts under various termination scenarios, see Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control later in this proxy statement.

Impact of Prior Compensation. The Compensation Committee did not consider the amounts realized or realizable from prior incentive compensation awards in establishing the levels of short-term and long-term incentive compensation for 2010.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits the deductibility of certain executives compensation that exceeds \$1 million per year, unless the compensation is performance-based under Section 162(m) and is issued through a plan that has been approved by stockholders. Although the Compensation Committee takes into consideration the provisions of Section 162(m), maintaining tax deductibility is but one consideration among many in the design of our executive compensation program.

With respect to 2010 compensation for the named executive officers, the stock option grants under the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan have been structured to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m). Annual cash incentive awards and short-term dividend equivalents under the STPP and performance units under the Performance Unit Plan do not qualify for tax deductibility under Section 162(m). In addition, the restricted stock awards are not Section 162(m) eligible.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

The Compensation Committee

John F. Bergstrom, Committee Chair Ulice Payne, Jr. Frederick P. Stratton, Jr.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes total compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to WEC s Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and each of WEC s other three most highly compensated executive officers (the named executive officers) during 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Summary Compensation Table

(a) Name and	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) Stock	(f) Option	(g) Non-Equity Incentive Plan	(h) Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	(i) All Other	(j)
Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Awards ⁽¹⁾ (\$)		Compensation ⁽³⁾ (\$)		ompensation ^{(5) (6)} (\$)	Total (\$)
Gale E. Klappa Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of WEC, WE and WG	2010 2009 2008	1,129,008 1,129,008 1,129,008		3,716,818 3,191,032 1,441,050	393,835 2,309,953 2,946,000	2,462,868 2,286,241 2,328,579	2,399,257 2,450,367 1,328,616	214,033 212,627 261,040	10,315,819 11,579,228 9,434,293
Allen L. Leverett Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of WEC, WE and WG	2010 2009 2008	607,680 607,680 607,680		1,934,290 1,688,178 761,355	269,771 1,222,020 1,612,935	1,070,026 984,442 1,002,672	387,507 314,667 88,151	106,512 93,366 101,049	4,375,786 4,910,353 4,173,842
Frederick D. Kuester Executive Vice President of WEC and WG; Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of WE	2010 2009 2008	657,000 657,000		1,934,290 1,688,178 761,355	204,971 1,222,020 1,612,935	1,152,390 1,064,340 1,084,050	1,117,215 1,463,700 927,165	91,782 92,546 136,983	5,157,648 6,187,784 5,179,488
James C. Fleming Executive Vice President and General Counsel of WEC, WE and WG	2000 2010 2009 2008	441,000 441,000 441,000		688,539 615,073 293,014	72,961 372,400 497,535	664,059 625,118 636,694	219,747 233,114 219,296	76,425 69,838 76,298	2,162,731 2,356,543 2,163,837
Kristine A. Rappé Senior Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of WEC, WE and WG	2010 2009 2008	393,708 393,708 393,708		574,157 514,390 232,970	80,049 372,423 492,964	509,504 478,356 487,214	555,288 463,564 252,329	110,660 91,670 119,066	2,223,366 2,314,111 1,978,251

(1) The amounts reported reflect the aggregate grant date fair value, as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 excluding estimated forfeitures, of (i) performance units awarded to each named executive officer in the respective year for which such amounts are reported and (ii) shares of restricted stock awarded to each named executive officer in 2010 (no restricted stock was granted in 2009 or 2008). The amounts reported for the performance units are based upon the probable outcome as of the grant date of associated performance and market conditions, and are consistent with our estimate, as of the grant date, of aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the three-year performance period. The actual value received by the executives from these awards may range from \$0 to greater than the reported amounts, depending upon (i) in the case of the performance units, the Company s performance over the three-year performance period, and (ii) in the case of the shares of restricted stock, Company performance and the executive s number of additional years of service

with the Company. The value of the performance unit awards as of the grant date, assuming achievement of the highest level of performance, for each of Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé is \$5,781,839, \$3,009,090, \$3,009,090, \$1,071,062 and \$893,133 for the 2010 awards, respectively; \$5,584,327, \$2,954,332, \$2,954,332, \$1,076,398 and \$900,193 for the 2009 awards, respectively; and \$2,521,838, \$1,332,395, \$1,332,395, \$512,774 and \$407,721 for the 2008 awards, respectively.

(2) The amounts reported reflect the aggregate grant date fair value, as computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 excluding estimated forfeitures, of options awarded to each named executive officer in the respective year for which such amounts are reported. The actual value received by the executives from these awards may range from \$0 to greater than the reported amounts, depending upon Company performance and the executive s number of additional years of service with the Company. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, we made certain assumptions in our calculation of the grant date fair value of the stock options. See Stock Options in Note A Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note I Common Equity in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a description of these assumptions. For 2010, the assumptions made in connection with the valuation of the stock options are the same as described in

Note A in our 2010 Annual Report, except that the expected life of the options is 4.9 years for Messrs. Klappa, Kuester and Fleming and 7.6 years for Mr. Leverett and Ms. Rappé and the expected forfeiture rate is 0%. The change in the expected life of the options to 4.9 years for Messrs. Klappa, Kuester and Fleming and 7.6 years for Mr. Leverett and Ms. Rappé from 5.9 years, as set forth in Note A, resulted from the fact that Messrs. Klappa, Kuester and Fleming were retirement eligible as of December 31, 2010, and Mr. Leverett and Ms. Rappé were not, whereas the assumption described in Note A is a weighted average of all option holders. The change in the expected forfeiture rate to 0% from 2.0%, as set forth in Note A, is due to the assumption that the named executive officers will not forfeit any of their stock options.

For 2009, the assumptions made in connection with the valuation of the stock options are the same as described in Note A in our 2010 Annual Report, except that the expected life of the options is 4.4 years for Mr. Fleming and 6.8 years for the rest of the named executive officers and the expected forfeiture rate is 0%. The change in the expected life of the options to 4.4 years for Mr. Fleming and 6.8 years for the rest of the named executive officers and the executive officers from 6.2 years, as set forth in Note A, resulted from the fact that Mr. Fleming was retirement eligible as of December 31, 2009, and none of the other named executive officers were, whereas the assumption described in Note A is a weighted average of all option holders. The change in the expected forfeiture rate to 0% from 2.0%, as set forth in Note A, is due to the assumption that the named executive officers will not forfeit any of their stock options.

For 2008, the assumptions made in connection with the valuation of the stock options are the same as described in Note A in our 2010 Annual Report, except that the expected life of the options is 4.6 years for Mr. Fleming and 6.8 years for the rest of the named executive officers and the expected forfeiture rate is 0%. The change in the expected life of the options to 4.6 years for Mr. Fleming and 6.8 years for the rest of the named executive officers and the executive officers from 6.2 years, as set forth in Note A, resulted from the fact that Mr. Fleming was retirement eligible as of December 31, 2008, and none of the other named executive officers were, whereas the assumption described in Note A is a weighted average of all option holders. The change in the expected forfeiture rate to 0% from 2.0%, as set forth in Note A, is due to the assumption that the named executive officers will not forfeit any of their stock options.

- ⁽³⁾ Consists of the annual incentive compensation earned under Wisconsin Energy s Short-Term Performance Plan for 2010, 2009 and 2008, as well as the short-term dividend equivalents earned for 2010.
- (4) The amounts reported for 2010, 2009 and 2008 reflect the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of each named executive officer s accumulated benefit under all defined benefit plans from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010, December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2007 to December 31, 2008, respectively. The terms of the pension plan did not change, and no changes were made in the method of calculating benefits thereunder. However, for 2010, the applicable discount rate used to value pension plan liabilities was reduced from 6.05% to 5.60%, consistent with the overall decline in interest rates.

The changes in the actuarial present values of the named executive officers pension benefits do not constitute cash payments to the named executive officers.

The named executive officers did not receive any above-market or preferential earnings on deferred compensation in 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Mr. Klappa Wisconsin Energy s pension benefit obligations to Mr. Klappa will be offset by pension benefits Mr. Klappa is entitled to receive from a prior employer for nearly 29 years of service. The amount reported for Mr. Klappa represents only Wisconsin Energy s obligation of the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Klappa s accumulated benefit under all defined benefit plans. Based on information received from the prior employer, we have estimated the portion of Mr. Klappa s total accumulated pension benefit for which Wisconsin Energy will be responsible. If Mr. Klappa s prior employer becomes unable to pay its portion of his accumulated pension benefit, Wisconsin Energy is obligated to pay the total amount.

The total aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Klappa s accumulated benefit for 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$2,744,977, \$2,783,138 and \$1,347,101, respectively \$345,720, \$332,771 and \$18,485 of which we estimate the prior employer is obligated to pay.

Mr. Leverett Wisconsin Energy s pension benefit obligations to Mr. Leverett will be offset by pension benefits Mr. Leverett is entitled to receive from a prior employer for approximately 15 years of service. The amount reported for Mr. Leverett represents only Wisconsin Energy s obligation of the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Leverett s accumulated benefit under all defined benefit plans. Based on information received from the prior employer, we have estimated the portion of Mr. Leverett s total accumulated pension benefit for which Wisconsin Energy will be responsible. If Mr. Leverett s prior employer becomes unable to pay its portion of Mr. Leverett s accumulated pension benefit, Wisconsin Energy is obligated to pay the total amount.

The total aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Leverett s accumulated benefit for 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$429,426, \$350,877 and \$75,252, respectively. For 2010 and 2009, we estimate that Mr. Leverett s prior employer is obligated to pay \$41,919 and \$36,210 of this change, respectively. However, because the estimated change in the actuarial present value of his prior employer s obligation decreased by (\$12,899) in 2008, Wisconsin Energy s obligation for the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Leverett s total accumulated pension benefit was actually \$88,151 for 2008.

Mr. Kuester Wisconsin Energy s pension benefit obligations to Mr. Kuester will be offset by pension benefits Mr. Kuester is entitled to receive from a prior employer for nearly 32 years of service. The amount reported for Mr. Kuester represents only Wisconsin Energy s obligation of the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Kuester s accumulated benefit under all defined benefit plans. Based on information received from the prior employer, we have estimated the portion of Mr. Kuester s total accumulated pension benefit for which Wisconsin Energy will be responsible. If Mr. Kuester s prior employer becomes unable to pay its portion of Mr. Kuester s accumulated pension benefit, Wisconsin Energy is obligated to pay the total amount.

The total aggregate change in the actuarial present value of Mr. Kuester s accumulated benefit for 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$1,360,225, \$1,730,478 and \$958,973, respectively \$243,010, \$266,778 and \$31,808 of which we estimate the prior employer is obligated to pay.

Mr. Fleming Mr. Fleming participates in Wisconsin Energy s qualified pension plan and supplemental executive retirement plan. In addition, Mr. Fleming is entitled to a special supplemental pension account. The present value of the amounts credited to this account is \$150,038 for 2010, \$145,822 for 2009 and \$125,177 for 2008, which will be paid upon termination of employment. See Pension Benefits at Fiscal Year-End 2010 and Retirement Plans later in this proxy statement for additional details.

⁽⁵⁾ During 2010, each named executive received financial planning services and the cost of an annual physical exam; Messrs. Klappa, Leverett and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé received reimbursement for club dues; Messrs. Klappa, Leverett and Kuester were provided with membership in a service that provides healthcare and safety management when traveling outside the United States; and Mr. Klappa received reimbursement for the cost of a home security system. In addition, the named executives were eligible to receive reimbursement for taxes paid on imputed income attributable to certain perquisites including spousal travel and related costs for industry events where it is customary and expected that officers attend with their spouses. During 2010, Mr. Klappa utilized the benefit of spousal travel for business purposes with the associated tax reimbursement. These tax reimbursements are reflected separately in the Summary Compensation Table (see the third bullet point in Note 6 below). Other than the tax reimbursement, there is no incremental cost to the Company related to this spousal travel.

⁽⁶⁾ For Mr. Klappa, the amount reported in All Other Compensation for 2010 includes \$16,701 attributable to Wisconsin Energy s Directors Charitable Awards Program in connection with Mr. Klappa s service on the Company s Board of Directors. See Director Compensation for a description of the Directors Charitable Awards Program.

In addition to the perquisites and Directors Charitable Awards Program identified above, All Other Compensation for Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé for 2010 consists of:

Employer matching of contributions into the 401(k) plan in the amount of \$9,800 for Messrs. Klappa, Kuester and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé, and \$9,475 for Mr. Leverett;

Make-whole payments under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan that provides a match at the same level as the 401(k) plan (4% for up to 7% of wages) for all deferred salary and bonus not otherwise eligible for a match in the amounts of \$127,135, \$54,210, \$59,379, \$33,170 and \$25,408, respectively; and

Tax reimbursements or gross-ups for all applicable perquisites in the amounts of \$25,451, \$13,330, \$6,175, \$12,004 and \$29,088, respectively. Percentages of Total Compensation.

For Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester, and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé, (1) salary (as reflected in column (c) above) represented approximately 11%, 14%, 13%, 20% and 18%, respectively, of total compensation (as shown in column (j) above) for 2010, (2) annual incentive compensation and short-term dividend equivalents (as reflected in column (g) above) represented approximately 24%, 24%, 22%, 31% and 23%, respectively, of total compensation and short-term dividend equivalents together represented approximately 35%, 38%, 35%, 51% and 41%, respectively, of total compensation in 2010.

For Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester, and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé, (1) salary represented approximately 10%, 12%, 11%, 19% and 17%, respectively, of total compensation for 2009, (2) annual incentive compensation represented approximately 20%, 20%, 17%, 27% and 21%, respectively, of total compensation in 2009, and (3) salary and annual incentive compensation together represented approximately 29%, 32%, 28%, 45% and 38%, respectively, of total compensation in 2009.

For Messrs. Klappa, Leverett, Kuester, and Fleming, and Ms. Rappé, (1) salary represented approximately 12%, 15%, 13%, 20% and 20%, respectively, of total compensation for 2008, (2) annual incentive compensation represented approximately 25%, 24%, 21%, 29% and 25%, respectively, of total compensation in 2008, and (3) salary and annual incentive compensation together represented approximately 37%, 39%, 34%, 50% and 45%, respectively, of total compensation in 2008.

Unless otherwise stated, all share amounts provided in this proxy statement do not reflect the March 1, 2011 two-for-one stock split.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2010

The following table shows additional data regarding incentive plan awards to the named executive officers in 2010.

(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i) All	(j)	(k)		(1)
			Estima	ted Possible	Payouts	Estimate	d Future	e Payouts	Other				
				der Non-Eq ive Plan Av			nder Equ e Plan A	ity wards ⁽³⁾	Stock	А	ther Op wards ⁽⁵⁾		
									Awards Number				a .
									of Shares	Number			Grant Date Fair Value of
									of	Securities	Exercise or	Closing	Stock and
	Grant	Action							Stock or	Underlying		Market	Option
Name	Date	Date (1)	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)					Awards ⁽⁸⁾ (\$)
Gale E. Klappa	1/21/10 1/21/10 1/04/10 1/04/10 1/04/10	12/3/09 12/3/09 12/3/09	84,676	1,129,008 106,064	2,370,917	16,573	66,290	116,008	8,285	65,530	49.84	49.73	3,303,894 412,924 393,835
Allen L. Leverett	1/21/10 1/21/10 1/04/10 1/04/10 1/04/10	12/3/09 12/3/09 12/3/09	36,461	486,144 55,200	1,020,902	8,625	34,500	60,375	4,310	34,105	49.84	49.73	1,719,480 214,810 269,771
Frederick D. Kuester	1/21/10 1/21/10 1/04/10 1/04/10 1/04/10	12/3/09 12/3/09 12/3/09	39,420	525,600 55,200	1,103,760	8,625	34,500	60,375	4,310	34,105	49.84	49.73	1,719,480 214,810 204,971
James C. Fleming	1/21/10 1/21/10 1/04/10 1/04/10 1/04/10	12/3/09 12/3/09 12/3/09	23,153	308,700 19,648	648,270	3,070	12,280	21,490	1,535	12,140	49.84	49.73	612,035 76,504 72,961
Kristine A. Rappé	1/21/10 1/21/10 1/04/10 1/04/10 1/04/10	12/3/09 12/3/09 12/3/09	17,717	236,225 16,384	496,073	2,560	10,240	17,920	1,280	10,120	49.84	49.73	510,362 63,795 80,049

⁽¹⁾ On December 3, 2009, the Compensation Committee awarded the 2010 option, restricted stock and performance unit grants effective the first trading day of 2010 (January 4, 2010).

(2)

Non-equity incentive plan awards consist of annual incentive awards under Wisconsin Energy s Short-Term Performance Plan (reported on the first line) and short-term dividend equivalents (reported on the second line). The short-term dividend equivalents only vest upon achievement of the established performance target; otherwise, no dividend equivalents vest. For a more detailed description of the Short-Term Performance Plan and short-term dividend equivalents, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.

- ⁽³⁾ Consists of performance units awarded under the Wisconsin Energy Corporation Performance Unit Plan. For a more detailed description of the terms of the performance units, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.
- ⁽⁴⁾ Consists of restricted stock awarded under the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan. For a more detailed description of the terms of the restricted stock, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis.
- ⁽⁵⁾ Consists of non-qualified stock options to purchase shares of Wisconsin Energy common stock pursuant to the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan. These options have exercise prices equal to the fair market value of Wisconsin Energy common stock on the date of grant. These options were granted for a term of ten years, subject to earlier termination in certain events related to

termination of employment. The options fully vest and become exercisable three years from the date of grant. Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, the options become immediately exercisable upon the occurrence of a change in control or termination of employment by reason of retirement, disability or death. The exercise price may be paid by delivery of already-owned shares. Tax withholding obligations related to exercise may be satisfied by withholding shares otherwise deliverable upon exercise, subject to certain conditions. Subject to the limitations of the 1993 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan, the Compensation Committee has the power to amend the terms of any option (with the participant s consent).

- ⁽⁶⁾ The exercise price of the option awards is equal to the fair market value of Wisconsin Energy s common stock on the date of grant, January 4, 2010. Fair market value is the average of the high and low prices of Wisconsin Energy common stock reported in the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transaction Report on the grant date.
- (7) Reflects the closing market price of Wisconsin Energy common stock reported in the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transaction Report on the grant date.
- ⁽⁸⁾ Grant date fair value of each award as determined in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, which excludes the amount of estimated forfeitures as required by Item 402 of Regulation S-K. The actual value received by the executives from these awards may range from \$0 to greater than the reported amounts, depending upon Company performance and the executive s number of additional years of service with the Company.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2010

The following table reflects the number and value of exercisable and unexercisable options as well as the number and value of other stock awards held by the named executive officers at fiscal year-end 2010.

(a)	(b)	(c) Op	(d) tion Awards	(e) 5	(f)	(g)	(h) Stock	(i) x Awards	(j)
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options: Exercisable (((#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options: Jnexercisable (#)	Unexercised Unearned	l Option	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested ⁽³⁾ (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested ⁽³⁾ (\$)
Gale E. Klappa	197,010 280,000 252,000 271,000	300,000 275,980 65,530		33.435 34.200 39.475 47.755 48.035 42.215 49.840	1/02/14 1/18/15 1/03/16 1/03/17 1/02/18 1/02/19 1/04/20	22,076 (4)	1,299,393		

					132,283 ⁽⁹⁾ 116,008 ⁽¹⁰⁾	7,786,177 ⁽⁹⁾ 6,828,231 ⁽¹⁰⁾
Allen L. Leverett	122,010		33.435	1/02/14		
	100,000		34.200	1/18/15		
	95,000		39.475	1/03/16		
	129,000		47.755	1/03/17		
		164,250	48.035	1/02/18		
		146,000	42.215	1/02/19		