

Edgar Filing: DELL INC - Form DFAN14A

DELL INC
Form DFAN14A
June 24, 2013
SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. __)

Filed by the Registrant []

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant [x]

Check the appropriate box:

- [] Preliminary Proxy Statement
[] Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
[] Definitive Proxy Statement
[] Definitive Additional Materials
[X] Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12

Dell Inc.
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

CARL C. ICAHN
ICAHN PARTNERS LP
ICAHN PARTNERS MASTER FUND LP
ICAHN PARTNERS MASTER FUND II LP
ICAHN PARTNERS MASTER FUND III LP
ICAHN ENTERPRISES G.P. INC.
ICAHN ENTERPRISES HOLDINGS L.P.
IPH GP LLC
ICAHN CAPITAL L.P.
ICAHN ONSHORE LP
ICAHN OFFSHORE LP
BECKTON CORP.
HIGH RIVER LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
HOPPER INVESTMENTS LLC
BARBERRY CORP.

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (check the appropriate box):

[X] No fee required.

[] Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rule 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

Edgar Filing: DELL INC - Form DFAN14A

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

On June 24, 2013, Carl C. Icahn and affiliated entities presented the materials attached herewith as Exhibit 1 to Institutional Shareholder Services Inc.

SECURITY HOLDERS ARE ADVISED TO READ THE PROXY STATEMENT AND OTHER DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE SOLICITATION OF PROXIES BY CARL C. ICAHN, SOUTHEASTERN ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC. AND THEIR RESPECTIVE AFFILIATES FROM THE STOCKHOLDERS OF DELL INC. WHEN THEY BECOME AVAILABLE BECAUSE THEY WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION, INCLUDING INFORMATION RELATING TO THE PARTICIPANTS IN SUCH PROXY SOLICITATION. WHEN COMPLETED, A DEFINITIVE PROXY STATEMENT AND A FORM OF PROXY WILL BE MAILED TO STOCKHOLDERS OF DELL INC. AND WILL ALSO BE AVAILABLE AT NO CHARGE AT THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION'S WEBSITE AT [HTTP://WWW.SEC.GOV](http://www.sec.gov). INFORMATION RELATING TO THE PARTICIPANTS IN SUCH PROXY SOLICITATION IS CONTAINED IN THE SCHEDULE 13D FILED BY CARL C. ICAHN AND HIS AFFILIATES ON MAY 10, 2013, AS AMENDED THROUGH THE DATE HEREOF (THE "ICAHN SCHEDULE 13D") AND THE SCHEDULE 13D FILED BY SOUTHEASTERN ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC. AND ITS AFFILIATES ON FEBRUARY 8, 2013, AS AMENDED THROUGH THE DATE HEREOF (THE "SOUTHEASTERN SCHEDULE 13D"). EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE DISCLOSED IN THE ICAHN SCHEDULE 13D AND THE SOUTHEASTERN SCHEDULE 13D, THE PARTICIPANTS HAVE NO INTEREST IN DELL INC. OTHER THAN THROUGH THE BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SHARES OF COMMON STOCK OF DELL INC. AS DISCLOSED IN THE ICAHN SCHEDULE 13D AND THE SOUTHEASTERN SCHEDULE 13D.

Presentation to ISS Opposing the Proposed
Dell Take-Private Transaction

June 24, 2013

- Evercore Partners, the advisor to the Board's Special Committee, has reported to the board of Dell that, based on projections by the Boston Consulting Group, the advisor to Dell, Silver Lake could realize an average annualized rate of return of up to 44.7% and Michael Dell could realize an average annualized rate of return of up to 50.1%(1) during the next 4 to 5 years on their investment in the Michael Dell/Silver Lake take-private transaction now presented for stockholder consideration and action at the special meeting of Dell stockholders on July 18.
- In light of the Boston Consulting Group projections and Evercore estimates, Icahn and Southeastern believe the board of directors of Dell should have acted to secure those gains for stockholders. Instead, it agreed to a break-up fee of up to \$450 million and a protective Merger Agreement for the Michael Dell/Silver Lake transaction, which have the effect of dampening third-party interest in Dell and which Icahn and Southeastern view as highly inappropriate under the circumstances.
- Icahn and Southeastern believe that it would be a sad outcome for stockholders and would reflect poorly on all who are involved in this process if, after purchasing shares at what Icahn and Southeastern perceive to be a substantially undervalued price, Michael Dell and Silver Lake earned Evercore's estimated returns on their investment and that it would be even worse if Dell were sold (or broken up) by Michael Dell and Silver Lake in a transaction or transactions with one or more strategic acquirers in the near future and for a very large profit.
- Icahn and Southeastern believe the board could have done more - much more - to afford stockholders an opportunity to achieve the very same gains now pursued by Michael Dell and Silver Lake. However, Dell instead appears to be engaging in a campaign to highlight Dell's bleak outlook in the PC market, obscuring the robust performance and future of the ~\$13 billion in acquisitions Dell has made in recent years, which were paid for by Dell's current owners. Icahn and Southeastern believe that Dell is conducting this campaign to prompt stockholders into supporting what Icahn and Southeastern believe is a bad deal for stockholders and a very good deal for Michael Dell and Silver Lake.
 - It is interesting to note that, in the recent past, rather than emphasize the negatives of the PC business, Dell has highlighted the strengths of the Enterprise Solutions business - accelerated growth, strong margins, and recurring revenues. We look forward to the results of the discovery in the current stockholder action against Dell to better understand the financial outlook that Michael Dell/Silver Lake are sharing with lenders in comparison to the dire picture they are now painting for stockholders.
- Icahn and Southeastern believe that Dell's owners deserve better and can achieve more by voting against the Michael Dell/Silver Lake transaction and by electing new directors who will act to secure for stockholders the very same gains that Michael Dell and Silver Lake hope to lock in for themselves.

(1) Evercore Partners 2/4/13 presentation to the Board.

- Michael Dell and Silver Lake would not pursue a take-private transaction unless they thought it was a good deal for them

Ø Silver Lake has delivered a gross IRR of 27% since inception(1)

- Icahn and Southeastern believe that:

1. The take-private transaction substantially undervalues the Company
2. The Enterprise Solutions Group (ESG) and Dell Services businesses are the future of Dell
3. Stockholders have funded the Company's turnaround and should be given the opportunity to benefit from their investment

- Icahn and Southeastern believe that the sale process could not maximize value:
 - A go-shop process seldom results in a superior proposal in management-led buyouts
 - The Merger Agreement's restrictive definition of a "superior proposal" would exclude most, if not all, leveraged recapitalization transactions, despite the fact that such transactions could be economically superior and would give stockholders the option of sharing in the Company's future

Overview

3

We believe the take-private transaction substantially undervalues Dell while superior alternatives exist.

(1) Reuters article 4/18/13, Silver Lake Raises \$10.3 Billion Private Equity Fund.

Dell's Strategic Rationale

Michael Dell returned to the CEO position on January 31, 2007. During his tenure, both Dell's stock price and PC business have significantly underperformed.

Michael Dell's Contribution	
Company	Total Return
Lenovo	141%
IBM	113%
EMC	74%
ASUSTeK	29%
S&P 500	11%
Hewlett-Packard	(38%)
DELL	(44%)

PC Market Share Since Michael Dell's Return

Company								% Growth in
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Market Share	
Lenovo	7.4%	7.2%	8.1%	9.7%	13.0%	14.8%	100%	
Acer	8.9%	11.1%	13.0%	12.9%	11.2%	10.4%	17%	
Hewlett-Packard	18.2%	18.4%	19.3%	17.9%	17.2%	16.0%	(12%)	
DELL	14.3%	14.3%	12.2%	12.0%	12.1%	10.7%	(25%)	

Source: Gartner.

DELL Total Return vs. Peers Since Michael Dell's Return

5

Source: FactSet as of 6/21/13

Transformation Can Continue as a Public Company

Source: Boston Consulting Group 12/5/12 presentation to the Board.

Dell's own management consultant highlighted several public company transformations.

Our Comments

These transformations failed because of a continued focus on legacy products. Dell has spent approximately \$13Bn on acquisitions to prevent a similar fate.

Apple's market capitalization increased from a low of \$1.7Bn in July '97 to a peak of \$658Bn in September '12 as the company reinvented itself.

Louis Gerstner's decision to diversify away from mainframes was more significant than the decision to exit the PC business. Meg Whitman has been clear in her communications that HP's transformation will take time and has conditioned stockholders to accept the short-term pain in exchange for long-term benefit. The stock has appreciated over 100% since its November '12 low.

Dell's Strategic Rationale

7

Dell's own management consultant found little strategic rationale to take Dell private.
Source: Boston Consulting Group 12/5/12 presentation to the Board.

Questions to Dell's Stockholders

8

- Do Dell's owners need the Board or Michael Dell to protect them from the risks of an alternative transaction as proposed by Icahn and Southeastern(1), or do Dell's owners need to protect themselves from an opportunistic purchase by Michael Dell and Silver Lake?
- We find it difficult to believe that Dell's owners - including many of the world's leading institutional investors, hedge funds and other sophisticated investors - are incapable of absorbing the risks associated with a conservatively leveraged balance sheet, when Michael Dell and Silver Lake are eagerly seeking to do just that and much more.
- Why is the Board pushing a deal that would force stockholders to sell their shares at what Icahn and Southeastern perceive to be a substantially undervalued price? Haven't Dell's owners earned the right to share in the substantial potential returns on investment estimated by Evercore for Michael Dell and Silver Lake?

(1) Any alternative proposal by Icahn and Southeastern would be contingent upon the proposed take-private transaction being defeated, the election of a new Dell Board of Directors, and approval by that new Board.

The Dell Special Committee, which approved the proposed management buyout, does not have significant stock ownership.

Ownership Interest of the Special Committee

9

Total Beneficial

Ownership

Alex Mandl

133,127

Laura Conigliaro

28,762

Janet Clark

28,762

Ken Duberstein

12,716

Total

203,367

% of Shares Outstanding:

0.01%

Special Committee Ownership

Source: Dell Proxy Statement filed 5/31/13.

- Special Committee only owns an aggregate of 203,367 shares
 - The current market value of those shares is \$2.7MM
 - This represents 0.01% of diluted shares outstanding
-

Other significant investors have publicly stated their unhappiness with the proposed management buyout and are very capable of evaluating any potential long-term risks of the business.

Opposition to the Management Buyout Offer

	10		
	% of Public	% of Shares	Shares in
	Float(1)(2)	Outstanding(2)	Millions(2)
Icahn Enterprises	10.28%	8.68%	152.5
T. Rowe Price	4.85%	4.09%	71.9
Southeastern Asset Management	4.83%	4.08%	71.7
Yacktman Asset Management	1.00%	0.85%	14.9
Pzena Investment Management	0.87%	0.73%	12.9
Total	21.83%	18.43%	323.9

Stated Opposition

(1) Excluding shares held by Michael Dell.

(2) Based on most recent filings.

Source: Bloomberg.

The Case for Dell's Future
11

Dell's long-term stockholders have funded the Company's turnaround and we strongly believe they should be given the opportunity to benefit from their investment.

It is Not About the PC ...

	Business Unit	Approx. Deal Value (\$MM)	Closing Date
EqualLogic	Storage (ESG)	\$1,400	January 2008
Perot Systems	Services	3,600	November 2009
SecureWorks	Services	600	February 2011
Compellent	Storage (ESG)	880	February 2011
Force 10	Networking (ESG)	660	August 2011
SonicWall	Services	1,200	May 2012
Wyse	Servers (ESG)	1,000	May 2012
Quest Software	Software	2,400	September 2012
Other	Various	~1,300	--
	Total	\$~13,000	

Non-PC acquisitions made to reposition the Company as an end-to-end solutions provider

Value of Recent Transactions

- It is not about the PC... it is not about the PC... it is not about the PC...
- Dell management has been executing an M&A strategy aimed at moving away from PCs and towards higher growth and higher margin Enterprise Solutions
- Dell has spent approximately \$13 billion(1) (over \$7 per share) on this strategy, which:
 - Ø Will benefit from the same cloud and mobility trends that are negatively impacting the PC business
 - Ø Will benefit from the heavy R&D investments being made by Dell right now
- At the June 2012 Analyst Meeting, CFO Brian Gladden noted that Dell is delivering on its 15% IRR target for acquisitions. Public comments at the end of 2012 confirm this.

Source: Company presentations and reports.

(1) Source: Company presentations and reports.

“The acquisitions we've made over last three years, the compound growth rate associated with those over that time period has been greater than 90%. So there is no question to not only do we get the revenue from the acquired entity, but our success today in investing in those assets and growing them has been very strong.”

David Johnson

Former Senior VP Strategy & Business Development
CLSA Investor Forum 9/12/12

Dell's Acquisitions

13

Source: Dell 2012 Analyst Meeting presentation.

In our opinion, Michael Dell and his partners at Silver Lake want to capture the upside of the Company's recent strategic investments and growth initiatives in Enterprise Solutions.

Enterprise Solutions(1) is the Future

- In Q1, Enterprise Solutions accounted for 65% of segment operating income:

- Ø Enterprise Solutions Group's operating income increased 71%

- Ø Dell Services revenue grew 2% even as PC revenue declined 10%

- Ø Enterprise Solutions accounted for 65% of operating income despite the fact that Dell Software reported a loss due to accounting associated with acquisitions:

- We believe Enterprise Solutions will continue to contribute an outsized portion of Dell's operating income as compared to Enterprise Solutions' total revenue contribution
- We believe that, at the completion of this transformation, Dell's future owners will realize valuation multiples significantly higher than those reflected in today's stock price due to the higher percentage of higher growth and higher margin non-PC earnings

(1) Also known as NEW Dell. Includes the Enterprise Solutions Group, Dell Software, and Dell Services.

(2) TechWeekEurope article 6/20/13, Software Will Make A Quarter of Dell's Profits - Swainson.

14

"In a public company the accounting rules associated with acquisitions are quite onerous. You have to take a lot of write-offs upfront, and basically take a haircut on the deferred income like maintenance revenue... That makes it very difficult for a software business acquisition to look profitable in the short term."(2)

John Swainson, President Dell Software

Cost Cutting Opportunities Identified

15

Source: Evercore Partners 2/4/13 presentation to the Board.

Boston Consulting Group estimates that the Company could realize up to \$3.35 billion in cost savings which would drive meaningful margin expansion.

Positioning for Long-Term Profitability

16

Dell's recent aggressive PC pricing strategy is designed to buy meaningful market share while sacrificing near-term margins - a strategy that will benefit future owners.

"We continued to face a competitive pricing environment and have aligned our pricing strategy to invest in growth ahead of planned reductions in our cost structure, and this has affected our profitability. We remain focused on pursuing strategic revenue opportunities that will drive long-term profitability and cash flow."

- Brian Gladden, CFO Q1 2014 Earnings Call

"We are investing and acquiring new customer accounts that will benefit our long-term profitability and cash flow."

- Tom Sweet, VP Corporate Finance Q1 2014 Earnings Call

"It's absolutely the right thing to do for the long term. But these are accounts that you have to go out and take a different tack and pricing strategy with, and that's often to provide an entry level into that account."

- Tom Sweet, VP Corporate Finance Q1 2014 Earnings Call

"In many cases, these are accounts that we feel very good about [with respect to] the long-term profitability and the impact on our cash flow over time. So while we may not see that showing up as a positive in the P&L in the short term, we think for the long term it's the right thing to do to get ourselves back in price position to scale the business."

- Brian Gladden, CFO Q1 2014 Earnings Call

Management Quotes from Recent Earnings Call

We believe the \$13.65 merger consideration substantially undervalues the Company even when using depressed results from FQ1'14 as our base.

Our Dell Valuation Model			
	Icahn/SAM Calculated Value (In MM)		Calculated Value Per Share
Servers & Networking	\$8,007	0.75x Sales(1)	\$ 4.5
Storage	2,035	1.2x Sales(1)	1.1
Enterprise Solutions (ESG)	\$10,042	0.8x Sales	\$ 5.6
Services	12,210	11.0x NOPAT(1)	6.8
Quest Software	2,400	At Cost	1.3
End User Computing (EUC)	3,600	4.0x OI(1)	2.0
Total Operating Value	\$28,252		\$ 15.8
Unallocated Expenses	(1,320)	6.0x OI	(0.7)
Cost Opportunity	3,000	6.0x OI(2)	1.7
Cash & Investments	13,208(3)		7.4
Debt	(7,248) (3)		(4.1)
DFS Receivables	4,374(3)		2.4
Total Valuation	\$40,266		\$ ~23

(1) Based on FQ1'14 annualized results. See Appendix for public comps and multiple derivation.

(2) Assumes \$500MM in annual cost savings compared to Boston Consulting Group estimate of a \$3.35Bn opportunity.

(3) As of 5/3/2013.

Segments as % of Operating Value

Assigning NO VALUE to the PC business would still result in a valuation of approximately \$20 per share

	Earnings Case Assumed			
	Adjusted Last Quarter Annualized	BCG Base Case (FY' 15)	BCG 25% Case (FY' 15)	BCG 75% Case (FY' 15)
Non-GAAP Operating Income	2,800(2)	3,300(3)	3,700(3)	4,500(3)
Less: Est. Foregone DFS Income	(323)	(250)(4)	(250)(4)	(250)(4)
Less: Net Interest Expense(5)	(556)	(556)	(556)	(556)
Pro Forma Pretax Income	1,921	2,494	2,894	3,694
Tender Offer	\$14 per share	\$14 per share	\$14 per share	\$14 per share
Shares Tendered	1,118	1,118	1,118	1,118
Pro Forma Shares Outstanding	670	670	670	670
Pro Forma Pretax EPS	\$2.87	\$3.72	\$4.32	\$5.51

Illustrative EPS of a Potential Dell Self Tender
Alternative(1) Using Various Earnings Assumptions (1/2)

18

Illustrative Example: 1,118MM Shares Tendered

(1) Any alternative proposal by Icahn and Southeastern would be contingent upon the proposed take-private transaction being defeated, the election of a new Dell Board of Directors, and approval by that new Board.

(2) Assumes FQ' 14 annualized non-GAAP operating income of \$590MM adjusted for Dell Software's acquisition-accounting related loss. Assumes Dell Software operating income of \$100MM, which is approximately equal to Quest Software's trailing twelve months operating income at the time of the acquisition.

(3) Source: Dell Proxy Statement filed 5/31/13.

(4) Assumes in FY' 15 DFS begins to rebuild receivables balance.

(5) Assumes 5.5% cost of debt and 0.5% interest income.

A Dell self tender would be highly accretive and result in pro forma EPS significantly higher than current levels.

	Earnings Case Assumed	
	Adjusted Last Quarter Annualized	BCG Base Case (FY' 15)
Non-GAAP Operating Income	2,800(2)	3,300(3)
Plus: Cost Savings(4)	1,675	1,675
Less: Est. Foregone DFS Income	(323)	(250)(5)
Less: Net Interest Expense(6)	(556)	(556)
Pro Forma Pretax Income	3,596	4,169
Tender Offer	\$14 per share	\$14 per share
Shares Tendered	1,118	1,118
Pro Forma Shares Outstanding	670	670
Pro Forma Pretax EPS	\$5.37	\$6.22

Illustrative EPS of a Potential Dell Self Tender
Alternative(1) Using Various Earnings Assumptions (2/2)

19

Illustrative Example: 1,118MM Shares Tendered

(1) Any alternative proposal by Icahn and Southeastern would be contingent upon the proposed take-private transaction being defeated, the election of a new Dell Board of Directors, and approval by that new Board.

(2) Assumes FQ' 14 annualized non-GAAP operating income of \$590MM adjusted for Dell Software's acquisition-accounting related loss. Assumes Dell Software operating income of \$100MM, which is approximately equal to Quest Software's trailing twelve months operating income at the time of the acquisition.

(3) Source: Dell Proxy Statement filed 5/31/13.

(4) Assumes half of the \$3,350MM cost opportunity estimated by the Boston Consulting Group.

(5) Assumes in FY' 15 DFS begins to rebuild receivables balance.

(6) Assumes 5.5% cost of debt and 0.5% interest income.

Assuming only half of the \$3,350MM cost opportunity identified by the Boston Consulting Group would further increase EPS.

Pro Forma Leverage

# Of Shares Tendered (MM)	Pro Forma Gross Debt (\$MM)	Pro Forma Cash (\$MM)	Pro Forma Net Debt (\$MM)	Pro Forma Net Debt/EBITDA(4)
875	7,025	4,900	2,125	0.7x
1,000	8,838	4,900	3,938	1.2x
1,118	10,547	4,900	5,647	1.7x

- Under the Michael Dell/Silver Lake Proposal, the Net Debt/EBITDA multiple is approximately 3.7x(2)
- Under Icahn and Southeastern's Dell self tender proposal, the maximum pro forma Net Debt/EBITDA multiple is 1.7x
- Michael Dell claims that our proposal for a Dell self tender would restrict the Company's financial flexibility and jeopardize customer perception and employee retention(3). However, a Dell self tender proposal would result in a maximum leverage multiple of 1.7x as opposed to the 3.7x leverage multiple under the proposed Michael Dell/Silver Lake take-private transaction

Reasonable Utilization of the Balance Sheet

20

Icahn and Southeastern's Dell self tender proposal(1) could comfortably be financed utilizing Dell's balance sheet.

- (1) Any alternative proposal by Icahn and Southeastern would be contingent upon the proposed take-private transaction being defeated, the election of a new Dell Board of Directors, and approval by that new Board.
- (2) Assumes FY' 14 Final Board Case EBITDA of \$3.6Bn and pro forma net debt for the Michael Dell/Silver Lake transaction.
- (3) Dell materials filed 6/21/13.
- (4) Assumes Final FY' 14 Board Case EBITDA of \$3.3Bn, which is pro forma for loss of DFS income.

Long-Term Potential

21

Boston Consulting Group's cases illustrate Dell's long-term potential.

Source: Dell Proxy Statement filed 5/31/13.

BCG Base Case

\$Bn

Fiscal Year

2014

2015

2016

2017

Revenue

\$56.4

\$55.5

\$55.1

\$54.3

Gross margin

12.9

12.6

12.5

12.3

Non-GAAP Operating Income

3.4

3.3

3.2

3.0

BCG 25% Base Case

Fiscal Year

2014

2015

2016

2017

Revenue

\$56.4

\$55.5

\$55.1

\$54.3

Gross margin

12.9

12.8

12.8

12.6

Non-GAAP Operating Income

3.4

3.7

4.0

3.8

BCG 75% Base Case

Fiscal Year

Edgar Filing: DELL INC - Form DFAN14A

	2014
	2015
	2016
	2017
	Revenue
	\$56.4
	\$55.5
	\$55.1
	\$54.3
	Gross margin
	13.0
	13.1
	13.4
	13.1
	Non-GAAP Operating Income
	3.6
	4.5
	5.7
	5.5

Stock Price “Capped” by Merger Consideration

22

Dell’s Special Committee may have obtained a 37% premium for stockholders, but the nearest comp has appreciated almost 65% over the same period(1).

(1) Based on the 90-day trading average ended 1/11/13 before rumors of a possible Dell take-private transaction were first published.

37%

64%

DELL

90-Day Trading Average(1) \$9.97

Offer Price \$13.65

Offer Price Premium 37%

HPQ

90-Day Trading Average(1) \$14.72

Current Price (6/21/13) \$24.15

Current Price Appreciation 64%

Source: FactSet.

Source: FactSet.

There is No Premium for Long-Term Stockholders

23

Claiming a 37% premium is insulting to long-term stockholders.

Percentage over
the offer

5 Year Average: \$16.5621%

High: \$30.60124%

2 Year Average \$14.144%

High: \$18.3234%

5 Year High:

\$ 30.60

Take-Private Offer:

\$ 13.65

90-Day Average

Trading Price:

\$ 9.97

124%

Above the Offer

37% Premium

2 Year High:

\$ 18.32

34%

Above the Offer

Source: FactSet.

Dell's Sale and Governance Process

Go-Shop With Impediments to Value Maximization

25

In January 2013, the Special Committee was educated on factors maximizing the impact of a go-shop process by Evercore Partners.

Factors Impacting a Go-Shop Process and the Action Taken by Dell's Special Committee

A longer go-shop period increases the amount of time a third party has to evaluate a possible transaction - the size and complexity of the target can increase the amount of time needed

Duration of
Go-Shop

Dell's Action:

45-day Go-Shop period
(30-60 days is about average)

Termination

Fee

A lower termination fee reduces the value leakage to stockholders - a superior proposal would have to overcome the termination fee to provide incremental value to stockholders

Dell's Action:

Up to a \$450 million termination fee
payable to Silver Lake

Definition of
Superior
Proposal

1. Broader definition of what constitutes a "Superior Proposal" gives more latitude to the Special Committee
2. Matching rights serve to discourage bidders and therefore should be avoided

Dell's Action:

Set a restrictive definition of
"Superior Proposal" and included
matching rights for the buyout group

Source: Evercore Partners 1/18/13 presentation to the Board.

- A go-shop process is supposed to operate as a post-signing “market-check” that helps fulfill the Board’s fiduciary responsibilities
- Since 2005, there have been 137 transactions with an equity value over \$100MM with go-shop provisions (1)
 - Ø Of those transactions, only 16 have resulted in a superior offer
 - Ø Superior offers were an average of 20% higher than the initial bid

Go-Shop Processes are Rarely Successful

26

A go-shop provision often provides cover for a pre-chosen deal, especially when management is involved.

(1) Source: Evercore Partners 1/18/13 presentation to Board.

(2) Guhan Subramanian, Go-Shops vs. No-Shops in Private Equity Deals: Evidence and Implications.

“The data does indicate some reason to be wary [of Go-Shops] in the specific context of management buyouts (MBOs). Non-MBOs with a pure Go-Shop clause are jumped 23% of the time, while MBO Go-Shops are never jumped.” (2)

Guhan Subramanian

Professor of Law and Business

Harvard Law School and Harvard Business School

Efficacy of Go-Shop Provisions

27

Source: Evercore Partners 1/18/13 presentation to Board.

Impediments and Deal Protections

28

The Special Committee agreed to a suite of deal protection measures that significantly handicap a third-party's ability to pursue an alternative transaction, especially one where existing stockholders have the choice to receive cash or participate in the Company's future.

The restrictive definition of a Superior Proposal, together with other express terms of the Merger Agreement, act as almost

impossible barriers for any potential bidder to be a superior proposal:

ØAs defined by the Merger Agreement, a Superior Proposal in the form of a dividend or share repurchase must result in a single

person (not the Company) owning 50% or more of the outstanding shares (almost impossible) even if such a recapitalization would

be an economically superior alternative to the Michael Dell/Silver Lake deal for ALL stockholders not named Michael Dell

ØBefore the Merger Agreement was finalized, Southeastern made it clear that it would view a recapitalization in which stockholders

can participate as superior, but the Special Committee nonetheless approved terms that would make such a transaction virtually

impossible to achieve

ØIcahn and Southeastern believe that stockholders are capable of evaluating the risk of the business and do not need a Special

Committee that owns practically no stock to choose to transfer those risks (and significant upside) to a very sophisticated buyout group

The Special Committee has made securing financing for an alternative transaction a challenge:

ØThe Special Committee is unable to provide information or engage in discussions concerning any of our proposals unless such

offer falls squarely within the definition of a "Superior Proposal"

ØThe significant termination fee (up to \$450 million plus diligence fees) payable to Silver Lake

ØOnerous matching rights for Michael Dell/Silver Lake

Stockholders should be given an easier path to support an alternative transaction by voting for new directors:

ØBy holding a combined Annual Meeting and Special Meeting, stockholders would have had a real choice to reject the Michael

Dell/Silver Lake deal and at the same time elect new directors on our proposed platform

ØUnfortunately, the Board has chosen to hold a separate Special Meeting rather than hold both meetings concurrently

Source: Dell Proxy Statement filed 3/29/13.

Dell's Stockholders Deserve Better

29

- If the Michael Dell/Silver Lake transaction is rejected:
 - 1) It can be amended
 - 2) The Board can (and, in Icahn and Southeastern's opinion, probably would) explore the many alternatives to the Michael Dell/Silver Lake proposal, as proposed by Icahn and Southeastern, among others
 - 3) Dell's owners can elect new directors in support of a platform to implement the proposed \$14 per share Dell self tender. Icahn and Southeastern have given advanced notice of their intent to run a slate of directors at the 2013 Annual Meeting
 - Under these circumstances, Icahn and Southeastern believe that predictions about a precipitous decline in the market value of Dell stock if the Michael Dell/Silver Lake transaction is rejected by shareholders are exaggerated
Stockholders have the power to demand and receive more.
-

Dell's owners should reject the Michael Dell/Silver Lake transaction and force Board exploration and pursuit of an alternative transaction that would enable Dell's existing owners to reap the benefits of their multi-year investment in Dell's transformation - the value that Michael Dell and Silver Lake would keep for themselves.

Conclusion

30

Appendix

Source: FactSet as of 6/21/13.

Derivation of Servers & Networking Multiple

33

Servers & Networking

A 5-year discounted cash flow model using the following assumptions yields a value of approximately 0.75x revenues:

Assumptions:

Annual Revenue Growth:

3.0%

Gartner's forecasted worldwide server market revenue CAGR through 2017 as of 6/14/13

Year 1 OI Margin:

6.0%

Based on FY'13 operating margins provided in 9/24/12 JPM presentation to the Special Committee

Incremental Margin:

11.6%

Based on peer median operating margins provided in 9/24/12 presentation to the Special Committee

Terminal Net Earnings Multiple:

12.5x

Dell's current P/E multiple

Discount Rate:

8.0%

Tax Rate:

20.0%

Source: J.P. Morgan 9/24/12 presentation to the Special Committee.

Important Disclosure Information

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING THIS PRESENTATION

THIS PRESENTATION INCLUDES INFORMATION BASED ON DATA FOUND IN FILINGS WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, INDEPENDENT INDUSTRY PUBLICATIONS AND OTHER SOURCES. ALTHOUGH WE BELIEVE THAT THE DATA IS RELIABLE, WE HAVE NOT SOUGHT, NOR HAVE WE RECEIVED, PERMISSION FROM ANY THIRD-PARTY TO INCLUDE THEIR INFORMATION IN THIS PRESENTATION. MANY OF THE STATEMENTS IN THIS PRESENTATION REFLECT OUR SUBJECTIVE BELIEF

ICAHN ENTERPRISES L.P. (“ICAHN”) AND SOUTHEASTERN ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC. (“SAM”) HAVE FILED A PRELIMINARY PROXY STATEMENT WITH THE SEC REGARDING THE MANAGEMENT BUYOUT PROPOSAL OF DELL, AS CONTEMPLATED BY THE AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER, DATED AS OF FEBRUARY 5, 2013, BY AND AMONG DENALI HOLDING INC., DENALI INTERMEDIATE INC., DENALI ACQUIROR INC. AND DELL. BEFORE MAKING ANY VOTING DECISION, STOCKHOLDERS OF DELL ARE URGED TO READ ICAHN AND SAM’S DEFINITIVE PROXY STATEMENT, WHEN IT BECOMES AVAILABLE, CAREFULLY IN ITS ENTIRETY, BECAUSE IT WILL CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT THE MANAGEMENT BUYOUT PROPOSAL. STOCKHOLDERS OF DELL MAY OBTAIN FREE COPIES OF THE DEFINITIVE PROXY STATEMENT, WHEN IT BECOMES AVAILABLE, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED WITH, OR FURNISHED TO, THE SEC BY SAM AND ICAHN AT THE SEC’S WEBSITE AT WWW.SEC.GOV OR BY CONTACTING D.F. KING & CO. TOLL-FREE AT 1-800-347-4750. INFORMATION RELATING TO THE PARTICIPANTS IN SUCH PROXY SOLICITATION IS CONTAINED IN THE SCHEDULE 13D FILED BY CARL C. ICAHN AND HIS AFFILIATES ON MAY 10, 2013, AS AMENDED THOROUGH THE DATE HEREOF, AND THE SCHEDULE 13D FILED BY SOUTHEASTERN ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC. AND ITS AFFILIATES ON FEBRUARY 8, 2013, AS AMENDED THROUGH THE DATE HEREOF.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this presentation are forward-looking statements including, but not limited to, statements that are predicated of or indicate future events, trends, plans or objectives. Undue reliance should not be placed on such statements because, by their nature, they are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance or activities and are subject to many risks and uncertainties. Due to such risks and uncertainties, actual events or results or actual performance may differ materially from those reflected or contemplated in such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of the future tense or other forward-looking words such as “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “plan,” “estimate,” “should,” “may,” “will,” “projection,” “forecast,” “management believes,” “continue,” “strategy,” “position” or the negative of those terms or other variations of them or by comparable terminology.

Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the expectations set forth in this press release include, among other things, the factors identified under the section entitled “Risk Factors” in Dell’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended February 1, 2013 and under the section entitled “Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward-Looking Information” in Dell’s Definitive Proxy Statement filed on May 31, 2013. Such forward-looking statements should therefore be construed in light of such factors, and SAM and Icahn are under no obligation, and expressly disclaim any intention or obligation, to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.