Edgar Filing: IROBOT CORP - Form DFAN14A

IROBOT CORP Form DFAN14A April 19, 2016

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A (Rule 14a-101)

### INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

## **SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant o

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant x

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule14a-6(e)(2))
- " Definitive Proxy Statement
- x Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

#### IROBOT CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

RED MOUNTAIN PARTNERS, L.P.
RMCP GP LLC
RED MOUNTAIN CAPITAL PARTNERS LLC
RED MOUNTAIN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.
WILLEM MESDAG
LAWRENCE S. PEIROS

(Name of Persons(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

# Edgar Filing: IROBOT CORP - Form DFAN14A

(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	er unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the mount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
(5)	Total fee paid:
	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:
	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing nich the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the or schedule and the date of its filing.
(1)	Amount previously paid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

#### Edgar Filing: IROBOT CORP - Form DFAN14A

Red Mountain Partners, L.P., together with the other participants named herein (collectively, "Red Mountain"), has made a definitive filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission of a proxy statement and an accompanying GREEN proxy card to be used to solicit votes for the election of its slate of two highly-qualified director nominees at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders of iRobot Corporation, a Delaware corporation.

On April 18, 2016, The Boston Globe published the following article:

iRobot wars

It's getting ugly over at iRobot Corp.

No, we're not talking about the Roombas. Those floor-cleaning robots are still pretty darn cute. The ugliness is taking place behind the scenes, as an activist shareholder wages a war against the company's management to get two seats on the board.

The fight, in case you missed it, pits former Goldman Sachs banker Will Mesdag and his Los Angeles firm, Red Mountain Capital, against iRobot chief executive Colin Angle, lead independent director Deborah Ellinger, and the rest of their team. It's a battle that will come to a head at iRobot's next annual meeting at its Bedford headquarters on May 25.

The two management-backed incumbents are Carbonite CEO Mohamad Ali and Silver Spring Networks CEO Michael Bell. On the other side, it's Mesdag and former Clorox chief operating officer Larry Peiros. Both teams are starting to court investors big and small, by phone and in person, to win their votes.

The fight occasionally spills into the public view: Written communications are supposed to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Management, for example, responded on Thursday to a missive Mesdag sent out the prior week and accused him of having a "fundamental misunderstanding of iRobot's business" and "an alarming lack of understanding of iRobot's past, present, and future."

At least the two sides agree on one thing: The fight is hinging on whether Mesdag should be allowed to join the board. Mesdag, whose firm controls 6 percent of iRobot's shares, said it should be a no-brainer.

"You can read that more broadly to understand they wouldn't want a shareholder on the board," Mesdag said. "They don't want the challenge that a shareholder will bring and the accountability that comes with that."

Source: The Boston Globe. The Boston Globe is not a party to and has not endorsed our proxy solicitation and has not consented to the use of this article in our proxy solicitation.

Jon Chesto for The Boston Globe