

MIRAMAR MINING CORP  
Form 40-F  
May 19, 2004

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 40-F**

(Check one)

**REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**OR**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13(A) OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2003**

**Commission file number 001-34436**

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

**Not Applicable**  
(Translation of Registrant's name  
into English (if applicable))

**British Columbia, Canada**  
(Province of other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**Not Applicable**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification  
Number (if applicable))

**1040**  
(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number (if applicable))

**Suite 300 889 Harbourside Drive,  
North Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada V7P 3S1  
(604) 985-2572**

OR

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

(Address and telephone number of Registrant's principal executive offices)

**CT Corporation System,  
111 Eighth Avenue, 13<sup>th</sup> Floor, New York, New York 10011  
(212) 894-8940**

Name, address (including zip code) and telephone number (including area code)  
of agent for service in the United States)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act.

Title of each class  
**Common Shares**

Name of each exchange on which registered  
**American Stock Exchange**

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act.

**None**  
(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act.

**None**  
(Title of Class)

For annual reports, indicate by check mark the information filed with this Form:

Annual information form

Audited annual financial statements

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

**As at December 31, 2003, 151,634,893 Common Shares without par value were issued and outstanding.**

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant by filing the information contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). If Yes is marked, indicate the filing number assigned to the Registrant in connection with such Rule.

Yes 82- \_\_\_\_\_

No  X

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  X

No \_\_\_\_\_

OR

Explanatory Note: Miramar Mining Corporation (the Company or the Registrant ) is a Canadian issuer eligible to file its annual report pursuant to Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the 1934 Act ) on Form 40-F. The Company is a foreign private issuer as defined in Rule 3b-4 under the 1934 Act and in Rule 405 under the Securities Act of 1933. Equity securities of the Company are accordingly exempt from Sections 14(a), 14(b), 14(c), 14(f) and 16 of the 1934 Act pursuant to Rule 3a12-3.

#### **NOTE REGARDING FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 concerning the Company's plans at the Giant Mine, the Hope Bay Project and other matters. These statements relate to analyses and other information that are based on forecasts of future results, estimates of amounts not yet determinable and assumptions of management.

Statements concerning reserves and mineral resource estimates may also be deemed to constitute forward-looking statements to the extent that they involve estimates of the mineralization that will be encountered if the property is developed, and in the case of mineral reserves, such statements reflect the conclusion based on certain assumptions that the mineral deposit can be economically exploited. Any statements that express or involve discussions with respect to predictions, expectations, beliefs, plans, projections, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance (often, but not always, using words or phrases such as expects or does not expect , is expected , anticipates or does not anticipate , plans , estimates or intends , or stating that certain actions, events or results may , could , would , might or will be taken, occur or be achieved) are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties which could cause actual events or results to differ from those reflected in the forward-looking statements, including, without limitation:

risks and uncertainties relating to the interpretation of drill results, the geology, grade and continuity of mineral deposits;

results of initial feasibility, pre-feasibility and feasibility studies, and the possibility that future exploration, development or mining results will not be consistent with the Company's expectations;

mining and development risks, including risks related to accidents, equipment breakdowns, labour disputes or other unanticipated difficulties with or interruptions in production;

the potential for delays in exploration or development activities or the completion of feasibility studies;

risks related to the inherent uncertainty of production and cost estimates and the potential for unexpected costs and expenses;

risks related to commodity price fluctuations;

the uncertainty of profitability based upon the Company's history of losses;

risks related to failure to obtain adequate financing on a timely basis and on acceptable terms for the Company's planned exploration and development projects;

---

risks related to environmental regulation and liability;

risks related to the closure of the Con Mine, including risks that the costs related to environmental compliance, reclamation, post-closure control measures, monitoring and on-going maintenance will exceed the funds held in trust for such costs;

## Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

risks related to failure to obtain operating permits for future projects;

risks related to tax assessments;

political and regulatory risks associated with mining development and exploration; and

and other risks and uncertainties related to the Company's prospects, properties and business strategy.

This list is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect any of the Company's forward-looking statements. These and other factors should be considered carefully and readers should not place undue reliance on the Company's forward-looking statements.

Forward looking statements are made based on management's beliefs, estimates and opinions on the date the statements are made and the Company undertakes no obligation to update forward-looking statements if these beliefs, estimates and opinions or other circumstances should change. Further information regarding these and other factors is included in the filings by the Company with the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission and Canadian provincial securities regulatory authorities.

### **Currency**

Unless otherwise indicated, all dollar amounts in this report are Canadian dollars. The exchange rate of Canadian dollars into United States dollars, on December 31, 2003, based upon the noon buying rate in New York City for cable transfers payable in Canadian dollars as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, was U.S.\$1.00 = CDN \$1.2923.

### **RESOURCE AND RESERVE ESTIMATES**

All resource estimates incorporated by reference in this Registration Statement have been prepared in accordance with Canadian National Instrument 43-101 and the Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy ( CIM ) Classification System. These standards differ significantly from the requirements of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, and resource information incorporated by reference herein may not be comparable to similar information concerning U.S. companies.

For definitions of the terms mineral reserve, proven mineral reserve, probable mineral reserve, mineral resource, measured mineral resource, indicated mineral resource and inferred mineral resource under CIM standards, and a summary of the differences between CIM and U.S. standards, see the sections entitled Information Concerning Preparation of Resource Estimates and Glossary and Defined Terms beginning on page 4 of the Annual Information Form filed as Document 1 to this Annual Report and Risk Factors Reserves and Resources beginning on page 35 of the Annual Information Form.

---

### **ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM**

The Company's Annual Information Form for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003 is included herein as Document 1.

### **AUDITED ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

#### *Audited Annual Financial Statements*

The audited consolidated financial statements of the Company, including the report of the auditors with respect thereto, included herein as Document 2.

## Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

For a reconciliation of important differences between Canadian and United States generally accepted accounting principles, see the Supplementary Information Reconciliation with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles included herein as Document 3.

### *Management's Discussion and Analysis*

The Company's management's discussion and analysis ( MD&A ) is included herein as Document 4.

### **DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the United States Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ( Exchange Act ). Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

### **CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

During the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 40-F, no changes occurred in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures or internal controls and procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

---

### **CODE OF ETHICS FOR CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER AND CONTROLLER**

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics applicable to its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller and other employees of the Company. A copy of the Company's Code of Ethics for Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller is attached hereto as Exhibit 99.1 and available in print to any shareholder who requests it.

All amendments to the code, and all waivers of the code with respect to any of the officers covered by it, will be posted on the Company's web site, submitted on Form 6-K and provided in print to any shareholder who requests them. The Company's website is located at [www.miramarmining.com](http://www.miramarmining.com).

### **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE GUIDELINES**

The Company's corporate governance practices are set forth on page 10 of the Company's Management Information Circular dated April 14, 2004 (submitted to the SEC on Form 6-K on May 17, 2004) prepared in compliance with the rules of The Toronto Stock Exchange and available in print to any shareholder who requests them.

## Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

The terms of reference of each of the Audit and Corporate Governance Committee and the Compensation Committee of the Company are described in the Company's Management Information Circular dated April 14, 2004 and available in print to any shareholder who provides the Company with a written request.

### AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Company's Board of Directors has a separately-designated standing Audit Committee for the purpose of overseeing the accounting and financial reporting processes of the Company and audits of the Company's annual financial statements. As at the review of the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2003 and as at the date of this Annual Report, the following individuals comprise the entire membership of the Company's Audit Committee, which have been established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act:

Lawrence Bell  
Peter Nixon  
Christopher J. Pollard  
William E. Stanley

#### *Independence*

The Company has adopted the criteria for director independence and unrelatedness for members of its audit committee that are consistent with the criteria prescribed by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and the rules and requirements of the Toronto Stock Exchange and the American Stock Exchange. Each member of the Company's audit committee satisfies the criteria for director independence as currently in effect under the rules and regulations of the Toronto Stock Exchange and the American Stock Exchange.

#### *Audit Committee Financial Expert*

The Company's Board of Directors has been determined that Lawrence Bell satisfies the requirements of an audit committee financial expert criteria prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission and has designated him as an audit committee financial expert for the Audit Committee. The aforementioned director has also been determined by the Company's Board of Directors to be independent within the criteria referred to above under the subheading "Audit Committee Independence".

---

### AUDIT COMMITTEE CHARTER

The Company's Audit and Risk Management Committee Charter is attached hereto as Exhibit 99.2 and available in print to any shareholder who requests it.

### PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The table setting forth the Company's fees paid to its independent auditor, KPMG LLP for the years ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002 are set forth below:

	Years ended December 31	
	2003	2002
Audit:	\$126,830	\$232,150

## Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

Audit Related:	\$ 10,550	\$ 8,695
Tax	\$122,515	\$107,550
All Other Fees	0	0
<hr/>		
Total	\$259,895	\$348,395
<hr/>		

Audit Fees are the aggregate fees billed by KPMG LLP for the audit of the Company's consolidated annual financial statements, reviews of interim financial statements and attestation services that are provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

Audit-Related Fees are fees charged by KPMG LLP for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements and are not reported under Audit Fees. This category comprises fees billed for independent accountant review of the interim financial statements and Management Discussion and Analysis, as well as advisory services associated with the Company's financial reporting.

Tax Fees are fees for professional services rendered by KPMG LLP for tax compliance, tax advice on actual or contemplated transactions.

### PRE-APPROVAL OF AUDIT AND NON-AUDIT SERVICES PROVIDED BY INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

The Audit Committee pre-approves all audit services to be provided to the Company by its independent auditors. The Audit Committee's policy regarding the pre-approval of non-audit services to be provided to the Company by its independent auditors is that all such services shall be pre-approved by the Audit Committee. Non-audit services that are prohibited to be provided to the Company by its independent auditors may not be pre-approved. In addition, prior to the granting of any pre-approval, the Audit Committee must be satisfied that the performance of the services in question will not compromise the independence of the independent auditors.

### OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company does not have any off balance sheet arrangements other than the pension obligations which are described in note 12 of the consolidated financial statements and certain financial instruments described in note 15 of the consolidated financial statements.

### TABLE OF CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS

The following table lists as of December 31, 2003 information with respect to the Company's known contractual obligations, in thousands of Canadian dollars.

<i>Contractual Obligations</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>2004</i>	<i>2005</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>Thereafter</i>
Office Lease	\$ 1,865	\$ 219	\$ 228	\$ 228	\$ 236	\$ 954
Oxygen purchase	2,580	780	780	1,020	--	--
Deferred retirement benefits	84	84				
Site reclamation and closure	9,447	1,453	722	2,437	1,407	3,427
Total	\$12,570	\$2,528	\$3,120	\$3,064	\$1,211	\$2,647

For additional information related to the Company's obligations and commitments see note 16 in the Company's audited consolidated financial statements (Document 2).

**AMEX CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The Company's common shares are listed on The American Stock Exchange ( AMEX ). Section 110 of the AMEX company guide permits AMEX to consider the laws, customs and practices of foreign issuers in relaxing certain AMEX listing criteria, and to grant exemptions from AMEX listing criteria based on these considerations. A company seeking relief under these provisions is required to provide written certification from independent local counsel that the non-complying practice is not prohibited by home country law. A description of the significant ways in which the Company's governance practices differ from those followed by domestic companies pursuant to AMEX standards is as follows:

*Shareholder Meeting Quorum Requirement:* The AMEX minimum quorum requirement for a shareholder meeting is one-third of the outstanding shares of common stock. In addition, a company listed on AMEX is required to state its quorum requirement in its bylaws. The Company's quorum requirement is set forth in its Memorandum and Articles. A quorum for a meeting of members of the Company is two persons present and being, or representing by proxy, members holding not less than 5% of the issued shares entitled to be voted at such meeting.

*Proxy Delivery Requirement:* AMEX requires the solicitation of proxies and delivery of proxy statements for all shareholder meetings, and requires that these proxies shall be solicited pursuant to a proxy statement that conforms to SEC proxy rules. The Company is a foreign private issuer as defined in Rule 3b-4 under the 1934 Act, and the equity securities of the Company are accordingly exempt from the proxy rules set forth in Sections 14(a), 14(b), 14(c) and 14(f) of the

---

Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Company solicits proxies in accordance with applicable rules and regulations in Canada.

The foregoing are consistent with the laws, customs and practices in Canada and the requirements of the Toronto Stock Exchange.

**UNDERTAKING AND CONSENT TO SERVICE OF PROCESS**

**Undertaking**

The Registrant undertakes to make available, in person or by telephone, representatives to respond to inquiries made by the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) staff, and to furnish promptly, when requested to do so by the SEC staff, information relating to: the securities registered pursuant to Form 40-F; the securities in relation to which the obligation to file an annual report on Form 40-F arises; or transactions in said securities.

**Consent to Service of Process**

The Company filed an Appointment of Agent for Service of Process and Undertaking on Form F-X signed by the Company and its agent for service of process on May 20, 2003 with respect to the class of securities in relation to which the obligation to file this annual report arises, which Form F-X is incorporated herein by reference.



**DOCUMENTS FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT**

1. Annual Information Form of the Registrant for the year ended December 31, 2003
2. The following audited consolidated financial statements of the Registrant, are exhibits to and form a part of this Annual Report:
  - Auditors' Report on Consolidated Financial Statements
  - Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2003 and 2002;
  - Consolidated Statements of Operations and Deficit for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002;
  - Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002;
  - Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
3. Supplementary Information Reconciliation with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, including Auditors' Report thereon
4. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations

**EXHIBITS**

- 99.1 Code of Conduct for Chief Executive Officer and Senior Accounting Officers
- 99.2 Audit and Risk Management Committee Charter
- 99.3 Certifications by the Chief Executive Officer of the Company pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 99.4 Certifications by the Chief Financial Officer of the Company pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act, as adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 99.5 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 99.6 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 99.7 Consent of KPMG LLP
- 99.8 Consent of George Friesen, P.Eng., Chief Engineer, Giant Mine
- 99.10 Consent of John Wakeford, P.Geo, Vice President Exploration of the Corporation

- 
- 99.12 Consent of SRK

99.13 Consent of Watts Griffiths and McQuat

99.14 Consent of Stephen Juras

99.15 Consent of George Wahl

### Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, the Registrant certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 40-F and has duly caused this annual report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereto duly authorized.

### Registrant

### MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION

By /s/ David Long  
David Long, Corporate Secretary

Date: May 19, 2004

---

## 1. ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM OF MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION

---

MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION  
Suite 300 - 889 Harbourside Drive  
North Vancouver, British Columbia  
Canada V7P 3S1

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

Website Address: www.miramarmining.com

ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM  
"AIF"

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2003

May 18, 2004

1.

---

**2. AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**

Consolidated Financial Statements of

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**

For the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

---

**AUDITORS REPORT TO THE SHAREHOLDERS**

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Miramar Mining Corporation as at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the consolidated statements of operations and deficit and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

## Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

In our opinion, these consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as at December 31, 2003 and 2002 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles. As required by the Company Act (British Columbia) we report that, in our opinion, these principles have been applied on a consistent basis.

KPMG LLP

/s/ KPMG LLP

Chartered Accountants

Vancouver, Canada

February 27, 2004

5

---

### MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION

#### Consolidated Balance Sheets

(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars, except per share amounts)

As at December 31, 2003 and 2002

---

	2003	2002
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 69,921	\$ 16,085
Short-term investments	--	23,694
Accounts receivable	1,577	1,157
Inventory (note 6)	6,443	11,163
Prepaid expenses	554	162
	78,495	52,261
Note receivable (note 4)	9,592	--
Power credits receivable (note 4)	4,345	--
Property, plant and equipment (note 7)	134,906	128,732
Cash collateral deposits (note 8)	6,274	6,338
Investment in Northern Orion Explorations Ltd. (note 3)	10,112	15,173
Investment in Sherwood Mining Corporation (note 5)	294	803
Other assets (note 9)	100	116
	\$ 244,118	\$ 203,423

---

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity

Current liabilities:

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 9,537	\$ 10,905
Deferred gain (note 4)	4,345	--

---

Provision for site reclamation and closure costs	9,831	9,142
Deferred retirement benefits (note 12)	84	1,833
Future income tax liability (note 11)	17,881	18,875

---

Shareholders' equity	41,678	40,755
Share capital (note 10)	371,079	313,808
Contributed surplus	744	688
Deficit	(169,383)	(151,828)

---

	202,440	162,668
--	---------	---------

---

	\$ 244,118	\$ 203,423
--	------------	------------

---

Commitments and contingencies (note 16).  
 Subsequent events (note 10(c) and note 16 (g)).  
 See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD:

Anthony P. Walsh  
 Director

David A. Fennell  
 Director

6

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Consolidated Statements of Operations and Deficit**  
 (expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars, except per share amounts)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

	2003	2002
Revenue		
Sale	\$ 42,552	\$ 53,122
Other income (note 4)	4,325	945
	46,877	54,067
Expenses		
Cost of sales	45,857	41,262
Depreciation and depletion	4,517	6,381
General and administration	4,222	3,260
Foreign exchange loss	69	18
Reclamation	1,739	1,916
Severances and closure (note 7)	4,995	--
Write down of assets (note 7)	7,780	--
	69,179	52,837
Earnings (loss) from operations before items noted below	(22,302)	1,230
Equity loss	(509)	(372)
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	(22,811)	858

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

Income taxes: (note 11)		
Current	(436)	(254)
Future	5,692	--
	<hr/>	<hr/>
	5,256	(254)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Earnings (loss) for the period	(17,555)	604
Deficit, beginning of the year	(151,828)	(152,432)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Deficit, end of the year	\$ (169,383)	\$ (151,828)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Earnings (loss) per share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.13)	\$ 0.01
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	132,508,456	95,841,089
	<hr/>	<hr/>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars, except per share amounts)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

	2003	2002
Cash provided by (used in):		
Operations		
Earnings (loss) for the year	\$(17,555)	\$ 604
Items not involving cash:		
Depreciation and depletion	4,517	6,381
Gain on sale of assets	(45)	(98)
Write-down of assets	7,780	--
Reclamation	689	530
Equity loss	509	372
Future income tax	(5,692)	--
Other	(256)	24
Net change in non-cash working capital:		
Accounts receivable	(420)	784
Inventory	1,960	(1,853)
Prepaid expenses	(392)	28
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(3,117)	1,253
	(12,022)	8,025
Investments:		
Expenditures on plant, equipment and deferred exploration	(24,931)	(18,158)
Investment in Hope Bay Gold Corporation, net of cash acquired (note 2)	--	(2,317)
Sale (purchase) of short term investments	23,694	(23,694)
Net proceeds on sale of Northern Orion shares (note 3)	5,062	3,822
Collateral deposits	64	156
	3,889	(40,191)
Financing:		
Issue of common shares for cash	61,969	34,758
	61,969	34,758
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	53,836	2,592
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the year	16,085	13,493
Cash and cash equivalents, end of the year	\$ 69,921	16,085



Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

Supplementary information		
Income taxes paid	\$ 436	254
Non-cash investing and financing activities		
Fair value of note receivable, received on sale of assets (note 4)	9,267	--
Sale of assets (note 4)	8,898	--
Common shares issued for acquisition of Hope Bay Gold (note 2)	--	49,843
Common shares received for disposition of mineral property	--	98

---

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

---

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

**1. Significant accounting policies:**

(a) Basis of preparation:

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Miramar Mining Corporation (the Company) and its material subsidiaries. Investments in entities when the Company's interest is less than 20% are accounted for by the cost method from the date significant influence could no longer be applied. Investments in other entities are accounted for by the equity method. The Company's subsidiaries and its percentage ownership in each at December 31, are as follows:

---

Miramar Con Mine Ltd.	100.0%
Miramar Giant Mine Ltd.	100.0%
Miramar Hope Bay Ltd.	100.0%
Miramar Gold Corporation	100.0%
Orcana Resources Corporation	100.0%
Talapoosa Mining Corp.	100.0%

---

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates that affect the reported values of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant areas requiring the use of management estimates relate to the determination of impairment of assets, reclamation and closure obligations, assumptions used in determining stock-based compensation, future income taxes and rates for amortization of property, plant and equipment. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

(b) Cash and cash equivalents:

Cash and cash equivalents include investments with terms to maturity of 90 days or less when purchased.

(c) Short-term investments:

Short-term investments with terms to maturity of greater than 90 days but not more than one year are recorded at the lower of cost and market determined on an aggregate portfolio basis.

(d) Revenue recognition and inventory:

Revenue is recognized on production and product inventories are recorded at estimated net realizable value. Materials and supplies inventories are valued at average cost less appropriate allowances for obsolescence.

(e) Property, plant and equipment:

Property, plant and equipment, which includes mine plant and equipment and mineral properties, is recorded at the lower of cost and estimated net recoverable amount. Buildings and equipment are depreciated over their estimated useful lives, not to exceed the estimated proven and probable ore reserves. Mining equipment and vehicles are depreciated on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives of two to 15 years. Prior to their disposition, hydro-electric assets were depreciated on a straight-line basis over 95 years. Office furniture and computer equipment are depreciated using the declining balance method at 20% and 30%, respectively. The cost of mineral properties and related exploration and development costs are deferred until the properties are placed into production, sold or abandoned. Capitalized costs are amortized over the estimated useful life of the properties following the commencement of production or written off if the properties are sold, allowed to lapse or abandoned. It is management's policy to review the carrying value of all mineral properties on an ongoing basis.

9

---

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

(f) Provision for site reclamation and closure costs:

Costs related to ongoing site restoration programs are expensed as incurred. Estimated costs of mine closure and site restoration are accrued and expensed over the estimated remaining life of the mineral properties on a unit-of-production basis. Estimates of the ultimate site reclamation and closure costs are based on current laws and regulations and expected costs to be incurred.

(g) Pension expenses and obligation:

The Company maintains defined benefit pension plans and provides certain non-pension post-retirement benefits consisting of extended health and other benefits. The cost of providing pension and other post-retirement benefits is actuarially determined and charged to earnings using the projected unit credit actuarial method based upon management's best estimate assumptions. Pension fund assets are valued at fair value. The pension expense for the year includes adjustments for plan amendments, experience gains and losses, and changes in assumptions that are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected average remaining service lives of the plan members. Any differences between the cumulative amounts expensed and the funding contributions are reflected as either an asset or a liability.

(h) Stock-based compensation plan:

The Company has a stock-based compensation plan which is described in note 10 (c). On May 28, 2002, the Company retroactively to January 1, 2002 removed the stock appreciation rights of all outstanding employee stock options. The Company accounts for all stock-based payments to non-employees, and employee awards that are direct awards of stock, call for settlement in cash or other assets, or are stock appreciation rights that call for settlement by the issuance of equity instruments, granted on or after January 1, 2002, using the fair value based method. No compensation cost is recorded for all other stock-based employee compensation awards. Consideration paid by employees on the exercise of stock options is recorded as share capital and contributed surplus. The Company discloses the pro forma effect of accounting for these awards under the fair value based method.

Under the fair value based method, stock-based payments to non-employees are measured at the fair value of the consideration received, or the fair value of the equity instruments issued, or liabilities incurred, whichever is more reliably measurable. The fair value of stock-based payments to non-employees is periodically re-measured until the counterparty performance is complete, and any change therein is recognized over the period and in the same manner as if the Company had paid cash instead of paying or using equity instruments. The cost of stock-based payments to non-employees that are fully vested and non-forfeitable at the grant date is measured and recognized at that date.

Under the fair value based method, compensation cost attributable to awards to employees that call for settlement by the issuance of equity instruments, is measured at fair value at the grant date and recognized over the vesting period. Compensation cost attributable to awards to employees that call for settlement in cash or other assets is measured at intrinsic value and recognized over the vesting period. Changes in intrinsic value between the grant date and the measurement date result in a change in the measurement of compensation cost. For awards that vest at the end of the vesting period, compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis; for awards that vest on a graded basis, compensation cost is recognized on a pro-rata basis over the vesting period.

---

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

(i) Translation of foreign currency:

The accounts of foreign operations are translated into Canadian dollars as follows:

monetary assets and liabilities at the rates of exchange prevailing at the balance sheet date

other assets and liabilities at applicable historical exchange rates

revenues and expenses at the average rate of exchange for the period covering the statement of operations except for expenses related to non-monetary assets which are at the rates used for the translation of the related assets.

Translation gains and losses are included in earnings.

(j) Derivative financial instruments:

The Company uses forward sales agreements and options for the purpose of managing price and currency exposures on its anticipated gold sales. The Company assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective. Gains and losses relating to such instruments are recorded in income the same period as gold is produced to meet the hedged commitment. Realized and accumulated unrealized gains or losses associated with derivative instruments which have been terminated or cease to be effective prior to maturity, are deferred under other current, or non-current, assets or liabilities on the balance sheet and recognized in income in the period in which the underlying transaction is recognized. In the event a designated hedged item is sold, extinguished or matures prior to the termination of the related

derivative instrument, any realized or unrealized gain or loss on such derivative instrument is recognized in income at that time. The fair value charges in ineffective hedges is recognized in the statement of operations due to its nature.

The Company sells written call options. For written call options sold subsequent to October 24, 2000, the premiums received at the inception of the written call options are recorded as a liability. Changes in the fair value of the liability are recognized in the statement of operations at each reporting period. For written call options sold prior to October 24, 2000 changes in fair value are recognized in the statement of operations when settled.

(k) Income taxes:

The Company uses the asset and liability method of accounting for future income taxes. Under the asset and liability method, future income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial statement carrying values of existing assets and liabilities and their respective income tax bases (temporary differences) and loss carryforwards. Future income tax assets and liabilities are measured using the substantively enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when the temporary differences are likely to reverse. The effect on future income tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is included in the results of operations in the period in which the change is substantively enacted. Future income tax assets also result from unused loss carry forwards, resource related pools and other deductions. The amount of future tax assets recognized is limited to the amount that management considers more likely than not to be realized.

(l) Net earnings (loss) per share:

Basic earnings (loss) per share is calculated by dividing earnings (loss) available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding in the period. For all periods presented, earnings (loss) available to common shareholders equals the reported earnings (loss). Diluted earnings (loss) per share is calculated by the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the calculation of diluted earnings (loss) per share assumes that the proceeds to be received

11

---

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

on the exercise of dilutive stock options are applied to repurchase common shares at the average market price for the period.

For the year ended December 31, 2003, diluted loss per share is the same as basic loss per share as the affect of the outstanding options and warrants would be anti-dilutive.

(m) Comparative figures:

Certain of the prior year comparative figures have been restated to conform to the presentation adopted for the current year.

**2. Acquisition of Hope Bay Gold**

## Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

On May 23, 2002, the Company acquired Hope Bay Gold Corporation ( Hope Bay Gold ). Under the terms of the agreement, the Company issued 39,464,430 common shares to shareholders of Hope Bay Gold on the basis of 0.263 shares of the Company for each one Hope Bay Gold share outstanding at April 4, 2002. In addition, the Company issued 2,353,850 stock options and 3,923,872 share purchase warrants as outlined in notes 10 (c) and 10 (d). In 2002 during the period prior to acquisition, the Company loaned Hope Bay Gold approximately \$2.5 million, which was used to fund its requirements for the Hope Bay project and to pay general corporate costs.

The fair value of the common shares and warrants and stock options to be issued by the Company was determined based on the average closing price of the Company's stock at the time the terms of the acquisition were agreed to and announced. The assets acquired and liabilities assumed of Hope Bay Gold have been recorded at their fair values at the acquisition date as follows:

---

---

Fair value of net assets acquired:	
Current assets	\$ 1,013
Equipment	42
Investment in Sherwood Mining Corporation	838
Resource properties	64,690
Reclamation deposits	1,804
	<hr/>
	68,387
Less:	
Current liabilities	3,854
Reclamation liability	650
Future income taxes	12,140
	<hr/>
Fair Value of Net Assets Acquired	\$51,743
Consideration:	
Share consideration	\$49,173
Acquisition costs	1,900
Stock options and warrants	670
	<hr/>
Total Consideration:	\$51,743

---

12

---

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

**3. Investment in Northern Orion Explorations Ltd.:**

During the year ended December 31, 2002, unrelated option holders exercised an option granted by the Company to acquire 48 million shares of Northern Orion Explorations Ltd. ( Northern Orion ) and Northern Orion convertible notes having a face value of \$6.9 million for a total purchase price of \$3.84 million. As a result of this transaction, the Company ceased equity accounting for its interest in Northern Orion as of June 30, 2002.

At January 1, 2003, the Company had 22 million shares of Northern Orion, which represents approximately 11.7% of Northern Orion and a net proceeds interest royalty ( NPI ) in certain Northern Orion mineral properties which it acquired pursuant to a restructuring agreement with Northern Orion. The NPI entitles the Company to receive the economic equivalent of a 2.5% net smelter return on certain of Northern Orion s mineral properties as well as 50% of the proceeds from the disposition of certain Northern Orion mineral properties, all to a maximum of \$15 million. During the year ended December 31, 2003, Northern Orion consolidated its shares on a one-for-ten basis. Also during 2003, the Company sold a total of 1.7 million shares of Northern Orion for gross proceeds of \$5.1 million. The Company has recorded the proceeds as a reduction of the carrying values of its interests in Northern Orion. Recovery of the remaining carrying value of the combined investment amounting to \$10.1 million is dependant upon the sale of Northern Orion shares and receipt of net proceeds from eventual production from the properties or their sale by Northern Orion.

**4. Sale of Bluefish:**

On April 4, 2003, the Company completed the sale of the Bluefish hydroelectric power plant ( Bluefish ) to Northwest Territories Power Corporation. Bluefish is a 7.0 mega volt-ampere hydroelectric power generating facility, located 25 miles northwest of Yellowknife, which supplies power to the Company s Con mine. Sale consideration included a non-interest bearing note for \$10 million payable on December 31, 2004, the supply of power to the Con mine, free of charge, equal to the historic generation profile of Bluefish until December 31, 2004 (note 16 (b)) and the supply of power to the Con mine, free of charge, at an annual rate of 5 million kilowatts and 18,000 kilo volt-ampere of demand for a five year period from 2005 to 2009, (the power credits ). The \$10 million note receivable and the power credits were recorded at their fair values of \$9.3 million and \$7.0 million respectively. In addition, the Company recorded a deferred gain of \$7.0 million relating to the fair value consideration of the power credits. As the power credits are consumed, the Company recognizes a corresponding gain in the statement of operations. During the year ended December 31, 2003, approximately \$2.7 million of the fair value of the power credits was consumed and has been recorded in cost of sales and a corresponding \$2.7 million gain has been recorded in other income.

For accounting purposes, the note receivable on the sale of Bluefish will be accreted to its face value of \$10 million over the period to its maturity. During 2003, the Company accreted interest of approximately \$0.3 million which has been recorded in other income.

**5. Investment in Sherwood Mining Corporation:**

As a result of the acquisition of Hope Bay Gold in May 2002 (note 2), the Company holds 40.21% of Sherwood Mining Corporation ( Sherwood ) and commenced equity accounting in June 2002. The Company supplied services on a cost recovery basis to Sherwood totaling \$123,526 (2002 \$916,341) during the year ended December 31, 2003. As at December 31, 2003, the Company had received advances of \$9,496 (2002 \$133,022) related to the planned exploration program in 2003.

**6. Inventory:**

	2003	2002
Gold and silver	\$ 2,774	\$ 4,074
Materials and supplies (note 7)	3,669	7,089
	\$ 6,443	\$11,163

**7. Property, plant and equipment:**

	2003	2002
Producing:		
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 55,478	\$ 77,064
Deferred exploration and development	51,367	52,584
Accumulated depreciation, depletion and write-downs	(101,029)	(109,846)
	5,816	19,802
Non-Producing:		
Property, plant and equipment	2,199	1,977
Mineral properties	127,937	107,983
Accumulated depreciation and depletion	(1,046)	(1,030)
	129,090	108,930
	\$ 134,906	\$ 128,732

In the second quarter of 2003, the Company recorded a write down of \$7.3 million to reflect the revised forecast of cash flow from its Con Mine operations reducing capital assets for the Con Mine by \$5.0 million and supplies inventory by \$2.3 million. In addition, the Company increased the provision for reclamation and site closure for the Con Mine by \$0.5 million to reflect the lower number of gold ounces expected to be produced over the remaining life of the mine. On August 25, 2003, the Company made the decision to terminate mining from its underground operations at the Con Mine in November 2003. As a result of this decision, severance and closure costs of approximately \$5.0 million were incurred and paid in the year ended December 31, 2003. These costs relate to severance payments made to employees as well as unrealized gold forward contract losses resulting from reduced gold production forecast impact on the assessment of hedge effectiveness. Mining will continue from the Giant Mine and ore will continue to be processed at the Con Mine milling facility. In the fourth quarter, the Company recorded a further write down of \$0.5 million on supplies inventory.



**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

**8. Cash collateral deposits:**

The Company has established the following cash deposits with chartered banks to serve as collateral for letters of credit pledged in favour of various governmental agencies and others under several water licenses and mineral exploration and mining agreements. The deposits are invested in guaranteed investment certificates and bear interest at market rates. These funds will be returned to the Company upon completion of reclamation of the properties to which they relate.

	2003	2002
Con Mine water license (note 16(d))	\$1,500	\$1,500
Bluefish water license	100	100
Giant Mine water license	200	200
Con Mine road permit	50	50
Golden Eagle reclamation	341	405
Talapoosa reclamation	233	233
Hope Bay water licenses and land permits	3,850	3,850
	\$6,274	\$6,338

**9. Other assets:**

	2003	2002
Investments	\$100	\$106
Other	--	10
	\$100	\$116

**10. Share capital:**

(a) Authorized:

500, common shares without par value.

(b) Issued:

	Common shares		Special warrants	
	Number of shares	Amount	Number of shares	Amount
Balance December 31, 2001	61,009,783	\$ 230,463	2,290,215	\$ 2,700

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

Issued:

Common shares, net of issue costs	19,973,365	34,501		
Conversion of special warrants into common shares	2,290,215	2,700	(2,290,215)	(2,700)
Future income tax effect of flow-through shares	--	(3,286)		
Common shares issued for acquisition of Hope Bay Gold (note 2)	39,369,688	49,055		
Common shares to be issued for acquisition of Hope Bay Gold	94,742	118		
On exercise of warrants	19,282	26		
On exercise of stock appreciation rights	138,598	--		
On exercise of stock options	248,000	231		

15

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**

(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

	Common shares		Special warrants	
	Number of shares	Amount	Number of shares	Amount
Balance, December 31, 2002, carried forward	123,143,673	313,808	--	\$ --
Issued:				
Common shares, net of costs	25,923,574	58,598		
Future income tax effect of flow-through shares	--	(4,698)		
On exercise of warrants	724,946	1,090		
On exercise of stock options	1,842,700	2,281		
Balance December 31, 2003	151,634,893	\$ 371,079	--	\$ --

On March 11, 2002, the Company completed a private placement of 2,666,666 flow-through common shares at a price of \$1.50 per common share. The agent for the flow through share offering received commissions of \$260,000 on closing and an option to purchase 186,666 common shares at \$1.50 per share that expires on March 11, 2004 of which 133,962 were exercised. The fair value of these options at the grant date was \$30,000 and has been shown on a net basis in share capital.

On June 20, 2002, the Company completed a private equity placement consisting of 12,500,000 units at a price of \$2.00 per unit plus 2,500,000 flow-through common shares at a price of \$2.00 per share for gross proceeds of \$30, through a syndicate of underwriters. Each unit consists of one common share and one-half of one common share purchase warrant. Each whole common share purchase warrant entitles the holder to acquire one additional common share at a price of \$2.50 until June 20, 2003 which expired unexercised. The syndicate of underwriters received a 6% commission totalling \$1,800,000 on closing and an option to purchase 900,000 common shares at \$2.03 per share until June 20, 2003 which expired unexercised. The fair value of these options at the grant date was \$125,000 and has been recorded on a net basis in share capital.

On December 19, 2002, the Company completed a private placement of 2,306,699 flow-through common shares at a price of \$1.50 per common share. The agent for the flow-through share offering received commissions of \$192,000 on closing and an option to purchase 128,000 common shares at \$1.50 per share that expired on December 19, 2003 which was exercised prior to expiry. The fair value of these options at the grant date was \$33,000 and has been recorded on a net basis in share capital.

On June 25, 2003, the Company completed a private placement of 3,572,000 flow-through common shares at a price of \$2.10 per common share. The Company must incur Canadian exploration expenditures as defined in the Income Tax Act (Canada) in the amount of \$7,501,200 by December 31, 2004. The underwriter for the flow-through share offering received commissions of \$437,850 on closing and an option to purchase 208,500 common shares at \$2.10 per share that expires on June 25, 2004. The fair value of these options at the grant date was \$75,000 and has been shown on a net basis in share capital.

On August 14, 2003, the Company completed a public offering of 16,700,000 common shares at a price of \$2.10 per share for gross proceeds of \$35,070,000. The underwriters received commissions of \$1,753,500 and an option to purchase 835,000 common shares at \$2.10 per share that expires on February 14, 2005. The fair value of these options at the grant date was \$410,000 and has been shown on a net basis in share capital.

On December 10, 2003, the Company completed a private placement of 4,151,574 flow-through common shares at a price of \$3.65 per common share and 1,500,000 units at a price of \$3.05 per unit for gross proceeds totalling \$19,728,245. Each unit consisted of one common share and one-half of one common share purchase warrant. In consideration for their services the underwriters received \$922,250 and broker warrants exercisable to purchase 265,000

---

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

2005. common shares at \$3.05 per common share until June 10, 2005. The fair value of these warrants at the grant date was \$156,000 and has been shown on a net basis in share capital. The Company must incur Canadian exploration expenditures as defined in the Income Tax Act (Canada) in the amount of \$15,153,245 by December 31, 2004.

(c) Stock options:

Stock options are granted at the closing market price of the common shares on the last trading day before the date of grant. Options have a maximum term of ten years and usually terminate 30 days following the termination of the optionee's employment. The vesting periods of stock options granted vary with terms determined by the Board of Directors. At December 31, the Company had stock options outstanding as follows:

---

2003

2002

---

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

	Shares options	Average exercise price	Shares options	Average exercise price
Outstanding, beginning of year	4,273,721	\$1.25	1,722,121	\$1.33
Granted	1,730,318	1.94	1,009,500	1.24
Converted on acquisition of Hope Bay Gold (note 2)	--	--	2,353,850	1.37
Exercised	(1,842,700)	1.24	(577,000)	0.88
Forfeited or expired	(54,000)	1.20	(234,750)	3.99
Outstanding, end of year	4,107,339	\$1.54	4,273,721	\$1.25

During the year ended December 31, 2003, no compensation costs were recorded in the statement of operations for options granted to employees. Had compensation costs been determined using the fair value based method at the grant dates for awards under the Plan, the Company's pro forma net earnings, earnings per share and fully diluted earnings per share would have been as follows:

	2003	2002
Pro forma earnings (loss)	\$ (18,423)	\$ 204
Pro forma earnings (loss) per share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.00

The compensation costs reflected in these pro forma amounts were calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model assuming a risk-free interest rate of 4.3%, a dividend yield of 0%, an expected volatility of 55% and expected lives of stock options of 5 years. As at December 31, 2003, 3,807,339 options were fully vested and expire as follows:

Year	Number	Exercise price
2004	158,000	1.30
2005	767,600	1.74
2006	1,403,521	1.16
2007	516,000	1.22
2008	962,218	1.96

Subsequent to December 31, 2003, the Company granted 2,664,060 stock options at an average price of \$3.10.

## Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

(d) Warrants and brokers compensation options:

At December 31, the Company had warrants and brokers compensation options outstanding as follows:

	2003		2002	
	Warrants and options	Average exercise price	Warrants and options	Average exercise price
Outstanding, beginning of year	10,479,539	\$6.13	206,116	\$ 1.30
Granted	1,308,500	2.29	7,464,666	2.40
Converted on acquisition of Hope Bay Gold (note 2)	--	--	3,923,872	7.53
Exercised	(724,946)	1.51	(19,282)	1.37
Forfeited or expired	(9,701,889)	6.50	(1,095,833)	11.24
Outstanding, end of year	1,361,204	\$2.26	10,479,539	\$ 6.13

### 11. Income and resource taxes:

At December 31, 2003, the Company has unused tax loss carry forwards in Canada of \$33.8 million (2002 \$29.0 million) expiring between the years 2004 and 2010 which are available to reduce taxable income and capital losses of \$59.5 million (2002 \$43.7 million) which are available indefinitely, but can only be utilized against capital gains. The ability of the Company to utilize the loss carry forwards and the capital losses is not considered more likely than not and therefore a valuation allowance has been provided against the tax assets.

The tax effect of the significant components within the Company's future tax asset (liability) at December 31 were as follows:

	2003	2002
Loss carry-forwards	\$ 11,791	\$ 10,864
Capital losses	10,590	7,780
Property, plant and equipment	15,253	11,736
Canadian mining royalty	--	14,232
Canadian resource deductions	2,137	4,378
Reclamation liabilities	2,854	2,535
Equity investment	730	3,637
Other	4,006	1,701
Valuation allowance	47,361 (47,361)	56,863 (56,863)
Net future tax asset	--	--

	2003	2002
Future income tax liability of Hope Bay Gold (note 2)	(8,293)	(12,140)
Future income tax liability on flow-through shares	(9,588)	(6,735)
Net future income tax liability	\$(17,881)	\$(18,875)

18

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

The income tax expense differs from the amounts computed by applying the combined federal and provincial income tax rate of 34.1% (2001 43.1%) to pretax losses as a result of the following:

	2003	2002
Earnings (losses) before equity loss and income taxes	\$(22,811)	\$1,230
Computed "expected" tax expense (recovery)	\$(7,605)	\$ 530
Adjustment to income taxes resulting from change in valuation allowance	(9,502)	(530)
Adjustment to future tax assets and liabilities for enacted changes in tax rates	(5,483)	--
US losses not recognized	3,229	--
Canadian mining royalty pools not recognized	14,232	--
Share issue costs	(1,263)	--
Losses expired	1,960	--
Capital taxes	436	254
Other	(1,270)	--
Income taxes	\$(5,266)	\$ 254

**12. Pension plan and other post-retirement benefits:**

The Company has four defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all of the employees at the Con Mine and the Giant Mine. These plans are funded on an ongoing basis, based on periodic actuarial valuations and statutory requirements. In addition, the Company,

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

by practice, provides for other post-retirement benefits. The ultimate liability for these benefits is estimated for accounting purposes on an ongoing basis using periodic actuarial calculations.

Summary information related to the defined benefit pension plans and other benefits are as follows:

	Pension benefit plans		Other benefit plans	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Accrued benefit obligation	\$ 16,621	\$ 16,394	\$ 142	\$ 1,010
Fair value of plan assets	14,927	12,969	--	--
Funded status surplus (deficit)	(1,694)	(3,425)	(142)	(1,010)
Unamortized past service costs	1,878	857	(328)	(499)
Unamortized experience loss (gain)	202	2,244	--	--
Accrued benefit asset (liability)	\$ 386	\$ (324)	\$(470)	\$(1,509)

19

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

Reconciliation of accrued benefit obligation:

	Pension benefit plans		Other benefit plans	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 16,393	\$ 14,305	\$ 1,010	\$ 947
Current service cost	670	730	27	27
Interest cost	1,099	1,062	68	65
Benefits paid	(1,122)	(827)	(68)	(29)
Plan improvement	--	864	--	--
Actuarial gains (losses)	633	260	3	--
Gain due to curtailment	(1,052)	--	(898)	--
Accrued benefit obligation, end of year	\$ 16,621	\$ 16,394	\$ 142	\$ 1,010

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

Reconciliation of plan assets:

	Pension benefit plans		Other benefit plans	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Fair value, beginning of year	\$ 12,968	\$ 14,625	\$--	\$--
Actual return on plan assets	1,727	(1,309)	--	--
Employer contributions	1,354	480	--	--
Benefits paid	(1,122)	(827)	--	--
Fair value of plan assets, end of year	\$ 14,927	\$ 12,969	\$--	\$--

Pension expense during the year for the pension plans was \$1,984,000 (2002 \$803,000). Other benefit plans recovery for the year is \$970,300 (2002 \$158,400). Pension expense for the year was comprised of the following:

	2003	2002
Current service cost	\$ 670	\$ 730
Interest cost	1,099	1,062
Expected return on plan assets	(981)	(1,083)
Amortization of experience gains/(losses)	542	11
Amortization of past service costs	294	83
Loss due to curtailment	360	--
	\$ 1,984	\$ 803

In two (2002 two) of the defined benefit pension plans, the accrued benefit obligation exceeds the fair value of plan assets at year-end by \$2,829,000 (2002 \$4,433,000). Payments are being made to fund the excess of the accrued benefit obligation over the fair value of plan assets in accordance with applicable legislation. For purposes of measuring other benefits, an 80% probability was assigned to termination of employees in five years due to mine closure.

The significant actuarial assumptions used in 2003 and 2002 in the measurement of the Company's benefit obligation are shown in the following table:

	Pension benefits	Other benefits
Discount rate	6.25%	6.25%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	7.50%	n/a
Weighted average rate of compensation increase	2.00%	n/a



**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

**13. Business segments:**

- (a) **Reportable Segments** The Company's operating mine produces gold and is located in Canada. Hope Bay is an exploration stage gold property located in Canada. Reportable assets and revenues do not differ materially from the amounts disclosed in these consolidated financial statements, as there are no material inter-segment sales.
- (b) **Geographic Segments** The Company operates in Canada.

The Company's property, plant and equipment and expenditures, revenues and earnings (loss) before equity loss and income taxes by operating and geographic segment are as follows:

<b>12 months ended December 31, 2003</b>	<b>Property, plant and equipment</b>	<b>Expenditures on property, plant and equipment</b>	<b>Revenues</b>	<b>Earnings (loss) before equity loss and income taxes</b>
Gold operations	\$ 5,780	\$ 3,908	\$ 45,602	\$(19,444)
Gold exploration	128,024	20,911	--	--
Other	1,102	112	1,275	(2,858)
	\$134,906	\$24,931	\$ 46,877	\$(22,302)

<b>12 months ended December 31, 2003</b>	<b>Property, plant and equipment</b>	<b>Expenditures on property, plant and equipment</b>	<b>Revenues</b>	<b>Earnings (loss) before equity loss and income taxes</b>
Gold operations	\$ 19,802	\$ 6,669	\$53,122	\$ 3,668
Gold exploration	107,983	73,815	--	--
Other	947	94	945	(2,438)
	\$128,732	\$80,578	\$54,067	\$ 1,230

**14. Financial risk management:**

- (a) **Gold hedging:**

In order to manage its exposure to fluctuations in the price of gold, the Company enters into fixed forward, spot deferred and options contracts in the course of its business. Forward sales agreements obligate the Company to sell gold at a specified price on a specified date. Spot deferred contracts allow the Company to defer the delivery of gold under the contracts to a later date at the

## Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

original contract price plus the prevailing premium (contango) at the time of the deferral, thereby allowing the Company to participate in current market price increases while providing future downside protection. Put options provide the holder with the right, but not the obligation, to sell gold at the contract price. Call options written provide the holder with the right, but not the obligation, to purchase gold at the contract price.

The Board of Directors has approved a hedging policy and reviews the Company's hedging position periodically. As at December 31, 2003, the Company had entered into the following gold contracts:

Anticipated delivery/expiry	Hedged ounces	Average price per ounce	Call options sold	Average price per ounce
2004	19,800	CAD \$478	36,000	CAD \$478

21

### MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

(b) Credit risks:

The Company's ability to realize on the above contracts is dependent upon the ability of the counter-parties to perform in accordance with the terms of the agreements. The Company deals only with major financial institutions with investment grade credit ratings and does not expect any counter-parties to fail to meet their obligations.

#### 15. Financial instruments:

Fair value estimates are made at the balance sheet date, based upon relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties in significant matters of judgement. Changes in assumptions and market conditions could significantly affect these estimates. Factors used in determining the fair value of gold call options are the contracted sales price of gold in comparison to current spot price and the probability of movements in the price of gold over the term of the option. As at December 31, 2003, the combination of the spot price of U.S. 415 per ounce and the probability of future price changes has had a significant effect on the fair value of gold call options sold. However, the effect of the probability of future price changes on the fair value estimate diminishes over the life of the option. The carrying values of all financial instruments approximated fair values, except the investment in Sherwood and derivative instruments. In addition, the fair value of the investment in Northern Orion is undeterminable due to the inherent difficulty in the determination of the fair value of such an instrument.

The fair value excess (deficiency) of derivative instruments and the fair values based on the quoted market values and carrying values of the investment in Sherwood and other assets, at December 31 are as follows:

	2003		2002	
	Carrying value	Fair value	Carrying value	Fair value
Investment in Sherwood	\$ 294	\$ 3,350	\$ 803	\$ 1,200
Other assets	100	1,500	116	116
Derivatives:				
Gold forward sales cont	ts --	(1,270)	(1,225)	(5,382)
Gold calls sold	(1,742)	(2,596)	(940)	(4,300)

The Company has an agreement with a financial institution for the purchase and sale of swaps and derivatives that contains certain financial covenants that the Company must maintain with respect to net tangible assets, current ratio, total liabilities, trade creditors and liquid assets. If the Company fails to meet any of these covenants, the financial institution has the right to demand payment of the net value of any contracts that are outstanding at the time of default. As a result of the termination of underground mining at the Con mine, the Company was in breach of certain of these financial covenants. However, the financial institution has agreed in writing to modify the affected covenants for a period of time which the Company believes is sufficient in order for the Company to comply with the covenants.

#### 16. Commitments and contingencies:

- (a) Miramar Con Mine Ltd. ( MCML ) is committed to the purchase of \$780,000 of liquid oxygen per annum through 2007, subject to an ongoing purchase option in the Company's favour at the discounted value of the remaining payments.

22

#### MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION

##### Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

- (b) As part of the arrangement to sell Bluefish described in note 4, the Company has entered into an indemnity agreement with NERCO Minerals Company ( NERCO ), the previous owners of the Con Mine, in which the Company agrees to hold NERCO harmless against any future third party claims that relate to environmental conditions of the Con Mine. The terms of the indemnity agreement provide for no limitation to the maximum potential future payments under the guarantee. The Company has not provided for any current carrying amount of the liability, contingent or otherwise, for the obligations under the guarantee. The Company has granted the indemnification in order to allow NERCO to release a similar guarantee provided by Red Lion Management Ltd. ( Red Lion ) in connection with the acquisition of the Con Mine. Red Lion held a security interest in all the assets of the Con Mine, including the Bluefish assets, as collateral for the indemnity against environmental liability given to NERCO. As security for the indemnification given to NERCO, the Company has granted a security interest on the Con Mine assets to NERCO and agreed that the net proceeds from the sale of these assets will be placed in a reclamation security trust, to be used to pay for the eventual reclamation of the mine.
- (c) As a condition of the acquisition of the Giant Mine assets, Miramar Giant Mine Ltd. ( MGML ) has established cash collateral security of \$200,000 (note 8) and has issued promissory notes payable in the total amount of \$6.8 million as security under the existing water licence. The promissory notes are secured solely by the Giant Mine assets and are due only from MGML upon

default of the Reclamation Security Agreement ( RSA ). No value has been ascribed to this security interest in the consolidated financial statements. The amendment to the RSA completed in November 2001 provided that MGML continue to operate the mine and hold the property in compliance with environmental requirements for an indefinite term. In compensation for environmental and holding costs, MGML will be reimbursed \$300,000 monthly by Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development ( DIAND ). Termination of the RSA agreement by MGML requires written notice one month prior to termination date.

- (d) On August 8, 2000, MCML received a renewal water licence for the Con Mine issued under the Northwest Territories Waters Act. This licence expires on July 29, 2006. As a condition of a water license held by the MCML, the Company maintains security deposits for the cost of future reclamation. On April 4, 2003, the Company completed an agreement with DIAND to fund security deposits by assigning the Company's right to \$10 million receivable from the Northwest Territories Power Corporation (note 4) in connection with the sale of Bluefish and assigning the \$10 million promissory note receivable from the Northwest Territories Power Corporation to DIAND. The proceeds, once received by DIAND, are to be deposited in a reclamation security trust established by the Company. The Company will also maintain the existing bond of \$1.5 million (note 8) until such time as the reclamation security trust contains \$9 million or such other amount as is required by the terms of the Water License as security. The reclamation security trust will be used to fund the reclamation of the site on completion of operations.
- (e) In 1995, the Company entered into a joint exploration transaction with an investor that resulted in the sale of an interest in the assets comprising the Con Mine. The transaction was based upon an independent valuation prepared for the Company. In 2000, Canada Revenue Agency ( CRA ) issued a re-assessment notice challenging the valuation that formed the basis for this transaction. This re-assessment does not give rise to any taxes payable by the Company. However, as part of the transaction in 1995, the Company agreed to compensate the investor for any shortfall in the value of the assets transferred to a maximum of \$2.7 million plus accrued interest, which amounts to approximately \$1.8 million at December 31, 2003, such amounts to be payable should a ruling denying the transfer of certain tax pools be made against the Company. The Company has requested certain information from CRA and is awaiting a response. While management intends to strenuously defend the independent valuation, the outcome of this issue is not yet determinable. No provision for these costs has been recorded at December 31, 2003.

---

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements**  
(expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002

- (f) In October 2002, the Company entered into a long-term lease for office space for its corporate and exploration office. The Company has minimum commitments under operating leases for its premises totalling approximately \$225,000 annually for ten years.
- (g) On November 17, 2003, the Company entered into a letter agreement with Kinross Gold Corporation whereby the Company has the option to earn a 60% interest in the George and Goose Lake projects in Nunavut. Under the terms of the letter agreement, the Company would earn a 60% interest in the properties and related rights and facilities by spending a total of \$25 million over a 30 month period. Subsequent to December 31, 2003, a formal agreement was finalized and executed.

---

**3. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**  
**RECONCILIATION WITH UNITED STATES GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES**

---

---

MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION  
Supplementary Information, Page 1  
Reconciliation with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles  
(Dollar amounts expressed in thousands of Canadian dollars,  
except per share amounts)

Years ended December 31, 2003 and 2002  
=====

Miramar Mining Corporation (the "Company") follows generally accepted accounting principles in Canada ("Canadian GAAP") which are different in certain respects from those applicable in the United States ("U.S. GAAP") and from practices prescribed by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. The material measurement differences between Canadian GAAP and U.S. GAAP with respect to the Company's consolidated financial statements are as follows:

(a) Stock-based compensation:

Under U.S. GAAP, entities are encouraged to adopt a fair value methodology of accounting for stock-based compensation. As permitted by U.S. GAAP, the Company has elected to continue measuring employees stock-based compensation costs using the intrinsic value based method of accounting.

Under the intrinsic value method, compensation cost is the excess, if any, of the quoted market value of the stock at grant date over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. As the exercise prices of options granted by the Company to employees and directors approximate market value at the grant date, the Company has determined that the adoption of this accounting policy for U.S. GAAP purposes does not result in a Canadian/U.S. GAAP difference.

Stock options granted to non-employees for services rendered to the Company are required to be accounted for based on a fair value methodology. This method is similar to the Canadian GAAP standard adopted for grants made on or after January 1, 2002, and, as such, does not result in a Canadian/U.S. GAAP difference for the years presented.

## Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

In prior years, the Company had issued stock options that could be exercised as share appreciation rights providing for an optionee to elect to terminate options and to receive an amount in common shares equal to the difference between the fair market value at the time of termination and the exercise price for those options terminated. Under U.S. GAAP, such rights are considered to be compensatory in nature and the changes in the value of shares issuable as stock appreciation rights are recognized on an ongoing basis by a charge or credit to income. During 2002, the Company removed the stock appreciation rights from its stock option plan for all outstanding employee stock options. Accordingly, under Canadian GAAP, such options are not considered to be stock appreciation rights at the date of adoption of the new Canadian standard. However, a charge (credit) to operations of \$1,234 in 2002 would be required under U.S. GAAP reflecting the effect of changes in the market price of the Company's shares to the date of the removal of the rights.

Effective January 1, 2004, the Company is adopting the amended Canadian accounting standard for stock-based compensation which will require the use of the fair value method to calculate all stock-based compensation associated with granting stock options. This standard will be applied retroactively to all grants made on or after January 1, 2002.

For purposes of the reconciliation to U.S. GAAP, the Company plans to adopt the fair value method in accordance with the modified prospective transition provisions pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure". Accordingly, adoption of these new Canadian and U.S. standards is not expected to result in a significant difference in the impact on net income (loss) arising from stock-based compensation arrangements.

---

## 4. MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONS AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

---

### MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### OVERVIEW

The Company's mining and exploration assets are all gold assets in Canada's North. They include the Hope Bay belt in Nunavut, an option on the George and Goose Lake projects in Nunavut and the Giant Mine in Yellowknife in the Northwest Territories. The Company has built up considerable experience in operations, exploration and logistics in the North as this is where the Company has focused its activities.

**2003 Highlights**

Successful exploration activity at Hope Bay resulting in a 25% increase in resources.

Drilling at Madrid resulted in expansion of the mineralization at Suluk.

Deep drilling at Boston resulted in a high-grade intercept well below previous defined resources.

Completion of an agreement on an option to earn 60% of the George and Goose Lake projects.

Equity financings of \$62 million (net) completed during the year.

Mining terminated at the Con Mine in November as result of continued poor mining performance.

Production of 84,269 ounces of gold at cash costs of US\$380 per ounce.

Consolidated net loss of \$17.6 million, \$0.13 per share. Excluding write down, closure and severance costs for Con Mine and gain related to future income taxes, the net loss was \$10.5 million, \$0.08 per share.

During 2003, the major focus of the Company continued to be the advancement of the Hope Bay project through exploration drilling programs and the completion of a feasibility study for the development of the Doris North deposit. The feasibility study demonstrated positive economics for a small-scale operation on this high-grade deposit. Throughout the year, the Company worked to advance the Doris North Project through the permitting process. The permitting process in Nunavut is directed by the Nunavut Impact Review Board ( NIRB ). A draft Environmental Impact Study ( EIS ) was submitted to NIRB during the first quarter. During the third and fourth quarters the Company received comments from NIRB which were incorporated into a final EIS and submitted in December. NIRB has scheduled public hearings for June 2004 and the Company continues to anticipate that the Doris North Mine could start operations in the fourth quarter of 2005.

---

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Highlights of exploration activities at Hope Bay included a number of intercepts from the Madrid area that resulted in expansion of the mineralization in the Suluk deposit at strike and to depth as well as the discovery of lenses to the south. These results, combined with new geologic models for the balance of the Madrid area resulted in the definition of a lower grade, bulk tonnage resource at Madrid. Further, exploration activities at Boston resulted in a significant intercept (9.0 meters grading 54.7 g/t) which was encountered at approximately 1,000 meters below surface, well below previously defined resources.

In Yellowknife, operations significantly underperformed forecast gold production due to ore losses, lower than expected grade and reduced recoveries for both free milling and refractory ores at the Con Mine. After a detailed review of the mine's performance it was determined that continuing operations at the Con Mine could not achieve the Company's stated goal of generating positive free cash flow. As a result, underground mining activities at the Con Mine were terminated at the end of November. The termination of mining at the Con Mine resulted in the lay-off of approximately 200 employees. Mining operations are expected to continue at Giant Mine with ore processed at the Con Mine mill

facility. The completion of the sale of the Bluefish hydroelectric facility in April has provided the required funding for bonding requirements and future reclamation of the site.

## EARNINGS AND CASH FLOW

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, the net loss was \$17.6 million or \$0.13 per share. Excluding write down (\$7.8 million), closure and severance (\$5.0 million) and the gain related to future income taxes (\$5.7 million), the net loss was \$10.5 million or \$0.08 per share. This compares with net earnings of \$0.6 million or \$0.01 per share for the same period in 2002. The loss resulted from significant underperformance of gold production from the Yellowknife operations during the year. Gold production decreased in 2003 by 27% or 30,865 ounces resulting in \$14.2 million lower sales revenue. Higher gold prices partially offset the decrease in production: the average gold sales price in 2003 was CAD\$505 per ounce compared to CAD\$461 per ounce in 2002, a \$44 per ounce or 9% increase, contributing \$3.7 million in additional sales revenue.

26

---

## MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

### Selected Financial Data

The following table summarizes total revenues and income (loss) over the last three fiscal years.

	2003	2002	2001
Total Revenue	\$ 46,877	\$54,067	\$ 55,821
Income (Loss)	\$(17,555)	\$ 604	\$(5,899)
Per Share	\$ (0.13)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.10)

The following tables summarize total revenue and income (loss) over the last eight fiscal quarters.

	2003			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Total Revenue	\$15,677	\$ 9,782	\$ 11,905	\$ 9,513
Income (Loss)	\$ 44	\$(7,441)	\$(5,688)	\$(4,470)
Per Share	\$ 0.00	\$(0.06)	\$ (0.04)	\$(0.03)

  

	2002			
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Total Revenue	\$14,835	\$ 12,593	\$15,096	\$ 11,543
Income (Loss)	\$ 565	\$ 2,387	\$ 1,206	\$(3,554)
Per Share	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.03)



## Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

Free cash flow in 2003 was an outflow of \$15.7 million compared to inflow of free cash of \$1.4 million for the same period in 2002. Free cash flow from operations is a non-GAAP measure of financial performance which the Company uses to measure the net cash generated or used by its gold mining operations, and is derived by subtracting cash invested in mine capital and development at the Company's operating mines from cash from operations as shown in the following table.

	2003	2002
Cash from operations (Includes corporate general and administration)	(12,022)	8,025
Less: mine capital and development	(3,674)	(6,669)
Net free cash flow from gold operations	(15,696)	1,356

27

---

### MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### OPERATIONS OVERVIEW

##### Revenue

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2003, the Company produced 84,269 ounces of gold compared to 115,134 ounces in 2002. Revenue from gold sales was \$42.6 million compared to \$53.1 million in 2002. Included in revenue in 2002 was an unrealized loss of \$2.2 million on gold spot deferred contracts and call options which reversed in 2003.

	2003	2002
Gold	\$40,387	\$ 55,287
Effects of hedging	2,165	(2,165)
Total gold sales	42,552	53,122
Interest and other income	4,325	945
Revenue	\$46,877	\$ 54,067

During 2003, the Company realized US\$352 per ounce of gold sold compared to US\$293 per ounce realized in 2002. The average price for gold in the spot market was US\$364 per ounce in 2003. In Canadian dollar terms, the realized price per ounce was \$505 in 2003 as compared to \$311 per ounce on the spot market and \$461 in the same period of 2002. Other income was \$4.3 million in 2003 compared to \$0.9 million in the same period of 2002. Other income includes interest earned on short-term cash investments and included in 2003 was the realization of a portion of

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

the gain on the power credits which were received as part of the sale of the Bluefish hydroelectric facility as described in note 4 of the consolidated financial statements.

**Mining Operations**

The Yellowknife mining operations, consisting of the Con and Giant mines, fell significantly short of production targets with shipments of 84,269 ounces at cash costs of US\$380 per ounce during 2003. For the corresponding period in 2002, operations produced and shipped 115,134 ounces at a cash cost of US\$246 per ounce.

<b>Yellowknife Operations</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
<b>Giant - Refractory</b>		
Tons of ore processed	73,508	71,536
Average grade (ounce per ton)	0.353	0.379
Average recovery rate (%)	85.28	88.07
Ounces of gold recovered	22,103	23,899
<b>Con - Free Milling</b>		
Tons of ore processed	137,109	203,029
Average grade (ounce per ton)	0.360	0.405
Average recovery rate (%)	90.46	92.21
Ounces of gold recovered	44,687	75,799

28

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

<b>Yellowknife Operations</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
<b>Con - Refractory</b>		
Tons of ore processed	56,645	76,609
Average grade (ounce per ton)	0.255	0.297
Average recovery rate (%)	83.01	86.59
Ounces of gold recovered	12,013	19,714
<b>Arsenic tailings</b>		
Tons of tailings processed	11,904	5,307
Ounces of gold recovered	3,300	2,524
Total ounces of gold recovered	82,102	121,935
<hr/>		
Total ounces of gold shipped	84,269	115,134
<hr/>		
<b>Production Cost per Ounce Shipped \$US</b>		
Direct mining expense*	\$ 367	\$ 257
Deferred mining expense (net)	(7)	(2)

<b>Yellowknife Operations</b>	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
Work-in-progress inventory and other	8	(9)
Cash operating cost	\$ 368	\$ 246
Depreciation	24	14
Reclamation and mine closure	11	7
Other**	(1)	6
Total production cost	\$ 402	\$ 273

\* Excludes the non-cash charge for "free" power as described below in Operating Costs which is offset by a gain of equal value in Other Income.

\*\* Excludes write down of assets and severance and closure costs.

Due to continued poor performance at the Con Mine, management determined that operations could not achieve positive cash generation. As a result, operations were terminated at the end of November 2003. Ongoing operations will focus on mining and processing of Giant mine ores. For the year, production from the Giant Mine was as planned. Total tons mined were 73,508 tons grading 0.353 opt or 94% of plan. The minor shortfall in tonnage was attributed to redirecting activities to developing ore from C-Shaft third level for 2004 processing. As discussed both Con free milling and refractory production were well below expectations. Free mill tons mined and processed were 137,109 tons grading 0.360 opt or only 80% of plan. This primarily resulted from significant losses within remaining remnant areas and termination of mining in November. Con refractory also under performed, producing only 56,645 tons grading 0.255 opt or 55% of plan. This significant shortfall resulted from the decision to abandon mining in 2900 north and from ore losses within the AW trend.

The significant increase in direct mining expenses per ounce of gold shipped in 2003 compared to 2002 is due primarily to the significant shortfall in recovered ounces. Total Con Mine operating costs were higher than in the previous period; however, the production shortfall was more significant as the ton and ounce return for mining dollars spent was adversely impacted by lower

## MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

productivity for both free milling and refractory ores. Operating costs were also impacted by higher labour benefit costs as provided for in the new collective agreements signed in 2002. The increase in deferred mining expenses resulted from lower produced ounces from the Con Mine to amortize deferred development costs against. Total dollars deferred in the periods were similar, reflecting similar development activities. The increase in work-in-progress inventory per ounce over 2002 is the result of the build up of Con and Giant refractory ores stockpiled during shutdown for which costs were deferred until consumed in 2003. Depreciation costs decreased year over year, but increased on a cost per ounce basis due to significantly lower units of production. Reclamation and mine closure costs includes an adjustment of \$0.5m as a result of the revised mine operating plan.

## Operating Costs

The cost of sales in 2003 was \$45.9 million compared to \$41.3 million in 2002. The increased cost of sales was the result of: (1) a non-cash charge of \$2.7 million for the realization of a portion of the gain on free power received as part of the sale of the Bluefish hydroelectric facility as described in note 4 of the 2003 consolidated financial statements. This charge is offset by a gain on the transaction recorded in other income for the same amount; (2) consumption of work-in-progress inventory which was built up in 2002 for which costs were deferred until consumed in 2003, and (3) offset by decreased labour costs as a result of workforce reduction in the third and fourth quarters. General and administrative expenses in 2003 were \$4.2 million compared to \$3.3 million in 2002; of note were higher listing fees resulting from listing on the American Stock Exchange and higher investor relations expenditures. Depreciation and depletion expense in 2003 was \$4.5 million compared to \$6.4 million in 2002 as a result of the write down of Con Mine assets in the second quarter. Reclamation expense in 2003 was \$1.7 million compared to \$1.9 million in 2002. As a result of the decision to terminate underground mining at Con Mine, the Company recorded a write down on Con Mine assets totaling \$7.8 million during the year and incurred closure costs and severance costs of \$5.0 million.

## Exploration Activities

The prime focus for the Company continues to be the Hope Bay project. The Company is committed to a two-pronged strategy to both explore the project and to advance a portion of the project to a production decision. Exploration programs carried out in 2003 at Hope Bay consisted of two major components: 29,467 meters of core drilling in the Madrid area and 8,850 meters of core drilling targeting the deep potential below at the Boston deposit. Ongoing regional exploration continued the search for new discoveries and included 4,296 meters of reverse circulation drilling.

30

---

## MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Exploration programs began in mid-February with the commencement of drilling at Madrid. The program was successful in expanding mineralization at Suluk along strike and to depth. The positive results at Suluk led the Company to examine the potential for a higher tonnage bulk mining scenario. These results at Suluk coupled with the re-evaluation of Naartok resulted in an 80% increase in total resources at Madrid.

The deep drilling program at Boston was initiated in mid-March and continued into the third quarter. It successfully demonstrated the depth potential of the Boston mineralizing system: all holes intersected significant sections of alteration similar to those as seen at shallower depths and all holes encountered gold values, suggesting the potential for additional resources. Overall the program successfully expanded the favourable alteration to depths of almost 1,400 meters, well below any previous results, over a strike length of up to 750m. The Boston deposit currently has an indicated resource of 687,000 oz at a grade of 15.5g/t and an inferred resource of 901,000 oz at a grade of 10.9g/t which are largely above the 500 meter level. During the third quarter, work commenced on a master hole which was expected to provide a drill platform for continued deeper drilling for a series of step-out holes to be wedged off the master hole. Technical difficulties forced a suspension of drilling from the master hole at the end of the 2003 season. This program is being re-evaluated and is proposed to be re-initiated in 2004.

Permitting continued throughout the period with completion of the review of the draft EIS by NIRB, consultations with the Department of Fisheries & Oceans on fisheries compensation requirements, and issuance of an EIS deficiency letter by NIRB in July. This letter provided the comments necessary to enable the Company to complete the final EIS which was submitted to NIRB in December. No parties have asserted that the Doris North Mine should not be permitted to proceed. The Company will need to address the technical concerns of NIRB and other parties in order to obtain construction and operation permits. The Company continues to forecast start-up of the mine in the fourth quarter of 2005. During

2003, engineering activities were completed to support the EIS process and to allow for final design criteria development, such as the tailings impoundment design, additional cyanide destruction work and transportation studies.

### **Capital Programs**

During fiscal 2003, the Company had capital expenditures of \$20.9 million for exploration and project activities at Hope Bay compared to expenditures of \$73.8 million for the acquisition of Hope Bay Gold and exploration and project activities at Hope Bay in 2002. Additionally, the Company incurred \$3.7 million on mine capital and development at the Yellowknife operations.

31

---

## **MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

This compares with capital expenditures of \$6.7 million for mine capital and development at Yellowknife in the same period of 2002.

### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as well as the reported expenses during the reporting period. The most critical accounting policies upon which the Company depends are those requiring estimates of gold reserves and resources and future recoverable gold ounces and assumptions of future gold prices. Such estimates and assumptions affect the determination of the potential impairment of long-lived assets as well as value of product inventory and the rate in which depreciation and amortization are charged to the earnings. In addition, management estimates costs associated with reclamation and closure of mining properties described above. Management re-evaluates its estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis; however, due to the nature of estimates, actual amounts could differ.

### **Accounting for Exploration and Development Cost**

Exploration expenditures related to mineral properties are deferred only if it is probable that these costs will be recovered from future operations. The carrying values of the mineral properties are assessed at balance sheet date to determine whether any persuasive evidence exists that the properties may be permanently impaired. The Company's progress in its development activities towards its planned operations is a key factor to be considered as part of the ongoing assessment of the recoverability of the carrying amount of capital assets and deferred development and pre-operating costs. If there is persuasive evidence of impairment, the asset is written down to its estimated net recoverable value. Deferred exploration expenditures for the Hope Bay group of properties totals \$127.0 million at December 31, 2003 and \$108.0 million at December 31, 2002 (see note 7 of the consolidated financial statements).

## FINANCING AND LIQUIDITY

At December 31, 2003, the Company had consolidated working capital of \$69.0 million compared to \$41.4 million at the end of 2002. Of the \$69.0 million working capital, \$69.9 million was cash and cash equivalents compared to \$39.8 million in 2002. In addition to working capital, at December 31, 2003 the Company had \$6.3 million in cash collateral deposits for reclamation bonds, unchanged from December 31, 2002 and \$9.6 million in notes receivable from a transaction completed in the second quarter of 2003 for the sale of the Company's Bluefish hydroelectric power plant (described below).

32

---

## MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

On April 4, 2003, the Company's subsidiary completed the sale of the Bluefish hydroelectric power plant to the Northwest Territories Power Corporation ( "Power Corporation" ) in consideration for a promissory note in the principal amount of \$10 million due on December 31, 2004. In addition, until December 31, 2004, the Power Corporation will continue to supply power equal to the historic generation profile of Bluefish to Con Mine, free of charge; and, for the five year period 2005-2009, the Power Corporation will provide power to the Con Mine, free of charge, up to an annual rate of 5 million kilowatts and 1,500 KVA of monthly demand.

Bluefish is a 7.0 mega volt-ampere hydroelectric power generating facility, located 25 miles northwest of Yellowknife, which supplies power for use by the Con Mine. As part of the arrangement to sell Bluefish, the Company granted an indemnity to NERCO Minerals Company ( "NERCO" ), the previous owners of the Con Mine, in which the Company agreed to hold NERCO harmless against any future third party claims that relate to environmental conditions of the Con Mine. The Company granted the indemnification in order to allow NERCO to release a security interest for a similar guarantee provided to it by Red Lion Management Ltd. ( "Red Lion" ) in connection with the acquisition of the Con Mine. Red Lion held a security interest in all the assets of the Con Mine, including the Bluefish assets, as security for the indemnity against environmental liability given by it to NERCO. As security for the indemnification given to NERCO, the Company granted a security interest on the Con Mine assets to NERCO and agreed that the net proceeds from sale of these assets will be placed in a reclamation trust, to be used to pay for the eventual reclamation of the mine.

On June 25, 2003, the Company completed the private placement of 3,572,000 flow-through common shares (of which 3,475,000 were sold through an underwriter) at a price of \$2.10 per common share. The Company must incur Canadian exploration expenditures (as defined in the Income Tax Act (Canada)) on the Hope Bay project in the amount of \$7,501,200 by December 31, 2004. The underwriter received a commission of \$437,850 and an option to purchase 208,500 common shares at \$2.10 per share that expires on June 25, 2004.

On August 14, 2003, the Company completed a public offering through a syndicate of underwriters of 16,700,000 common shares at a price of \$2.10 per share for gross proceeds of \$35,070,000. The underwriters received commissions of \$1,753,500 and an option to purchase 835,000 shares at \$2.10 per share that expires on February 14, 2005. The Company plans to use the net proceeds of this financing to advance its Hope Bay project and for general corporate purposes.

33

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

On December 10, 2003, the Company completed a private placement of 4,151,574 flow-through common shares at a price of \$3.65 per common share and 1,500,000 units at a price of \$3.05 per unit for gross proceeds totaling \$19,728,245. Each unit consisted of one common share and one half of one share purchase warrant. In consideration for their services the underwriters received commissions of \$922,250 and a broker warrant exercisable to purchase 265,000 common shares at \$3.05 per common share until June 10, 2005. The Company must incur Canadian exploration expenditures (as defined in the Income Tax Act (Canada)) in the amount of \$15,153,245 by December 31, 2004.

During the year, the Company sold a portion of its shares in Northern Orion Exploration Ltd. ( Northern Orion ) for proceeds of \$5.1 million. As a result of the share restructuring completed by Northern Orion in June 2003, the number of shares held by the Company was reduced to 2.2 million, of which the Company has sold 1.7 million. The Company will retain the net proceeds royalty interest with Northern Orion as described in note 3 to the consolidated financial statements.

The Company believes it has sufficient cash resources and liquidity to sustain its planned operations for the near term. The Company further believes that the repayment of the note received on the sale of Bluefish will provide sufficient cash to meet the current and future closure obligations of the Con Mine. The ongoing exploration and development of the Hope Bay project will require the Company to raise additional capital through one or a combination of project debt financing and equity offerings. The Company's strategy is to use equity financing to finance exploration activities and maximize project debt to build mining infrastructure until sufficient cash flow is generated from mining production.

**Liabilities and Contingencies**

As a condition of a water license held by the Con Mine, the Company maintains security deposits for the cost of future reclamation. On April 4, 2003, the Company completed an agreement with the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development ( DIAND ) to fund security deposits by assigning the proceeds from the repayment of the \$10 million promissory note from the Power Corporation to a reclamation security trust. The Company will also maintain the existing bond of \$1.5 million described in note 8 until such time as the promissory note is repaid. The reclamation security trust will be used to fund the reclamation of the site on completion of mining operations.

In 1995, the Company entered into a joint exploration transaction with an investor that resulted in the sale of an interest in the assets comprising the Con Mine. The transaction was based upon

---

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION  
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

an independent valuation prepared for the Company. In 2000, Canada Revenue Agency ( CRA ) issued a re-assessment notice challenging the valuation that formed the basis for this transaction. This re-assessment does not give rise to any taxes payable by the Company. However, as part of the transaction in 1995, the Company agreed to compensate the investor for any shortfall in the value of the assets transferred, to a maximum of \$2.7 million plus accrued interest, which amounts to approximately \$1.8 million, such amounts to be payable should a ruling denying the

transfer of certain tax pools be made against the Company. At present, the Company has requested information from CRA and is awaiting a response. While management intends to strenuously defend the independent valuation, the outcome of this matter is not yet determinable. No provision for these costs has been recorded at December 31, 2003.

On November 17, 2003, the Company entered into a letter agreement with Kinross Gold Corporation for an option to earn a 60% interest in the George and Goose Lake projects in Nunavut for expenditures of C\$25 million over a thirty month period. Subsequent to December 31, 2003, a formal agreement was finalized and executed.

#### Commitments

To mitigate the risk of adverse price fluctuations and to ensure that the Yellowknife operations achieve cash flow projections necessary to complete the planned closure, the Company has entered into spot deferred forward sales contracts and written call options for a portion of the Yellowknife mine's expected future production. The Company has hedged foreign currency risk by fixing exercise prices in Canadian dollars. The Company does not hold these financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes and the Company is not subject to margin requirements on any of its hedging lines. The Company, however, has an agreement with a financial institution for the purchase and sale of swaps and derivatives that contain certain financial covenants that the Company must maintain with respect to net tangible assets, current ratio, total liabilities, trade creditors and liquid assets. If the Company fails to meet any of these covenants, the financial institution has the right to demand payment of the net value of any contracts that are outstanding at the time of default. As a result of the termination of underground mining at the Con Mine, the Company is in breach of certain of these financial covenants. However, the financial institution has agreed to modify affected covenants for a period of time which the Company believes is adequate to comply with the covenants.

Further, as a result of the termination of underground mining at Con Mine, forecast gold production is expected to be insufficient to meet all of the remaining obligations as detailed in the table below and the Company plans to financial settle affected contracts.

35

---

#### MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The Company has recorded an unrealized loss of \$1.7 million on the affected contracts as discussed in the paragraph following the table below.

The following table sets out the outstanding number of contract ounces, average expected realized prices and maturities for the gold commodity derivative contracts as at December 31, 2003:

Period	Hedged ounces	Average price	Call options sold	Average strike price
2004	19,800	CAD36,000		CAD\$478



## Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

The fair value of 36,000 ounces of other call options was negative \$2.6 million at December 31, 2003 and was negative \$4.3 million at December 31, 2002. The Company has recorded an unrealized loss of \$1.7 million for these call options to reflect the ineffectiveness of the hedge as a result of the termination of underground mining at the Con Mine and the forecast reduction in gold production. The changes in the fair value of the remaining call options will be recorded in financial statements at maturity in accordance with accounting recommendations in place prior to October 24, 2000, as the contracts were written prior to the date of issuance of the accounting recommendations for written call options.

The fair value of the gold forward sales and spot deferred forward sales contracts was negative \$1.3 million on December 31, 2003 and was negative \$5.4 million at December 31, 2002. The change in the fair value has not been recorded in accordance with accounting recommendations for hedging contracts. The Company has not entered into any gold sales or option contracts since July 2003 and will continue to reduce the position through gold delivery and financial settlement.

### Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the annual contractual obligations for the next five years and amounts due thereafter are presented in total.

	2004	2005	2006	2007	Thereafter
Oxygen plant	780	780	1020	--	--
Office lease costs	219	228	228	236	954

36

---

## MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The Company is obligated to fund reclamation and closure costs for its mining and exploration operations as a condition of associated water licenses, however the timing of those specific payments has not been determined and they will be deferred to the extent that the Company continues to be engaged in active mining and exploration operations.

### Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company does not have any off balance sheet arrangements other than the pension obligations which are described in note 12 of the consolidated financial statements and certain financial instruments described in note 15 of the consolidated financial statements.

### OUTLOOK

Future operations in Yellowknife will depend upon the profitable mining at the Giant Mine and the continued processing of gold bearing arsenic sludges and calcines from the Con Mine. It is expected that these operations will produce approximately 40,000 ounces in 2004. The Company anticipates that final approval for the Con Mine abandonment and restoration plan will be received in 2004 which will permit the Company to conduct final reclamation activities in subsequent periods.

The longer term outlook for the Company continues to be heavily weighted to the successful exploration and development of the Hope Bay project. As a result of the acquisition of Hope Bay Gold in 2002, the Company owns 100% of the Hope Bay project, which has measured and indicated resources of 1.8 million ounces of gold and inferred resources of a further 3.6 million ounces of gold. The Company's strategy is to

## OUTLOOK

49

build an initial small, low capital cost mining operation that will generate significant cash flow to continue exploration and development of the Hope Bay belt. The feasibility study on Doris North projected positive economics; at a US\$325 per ounce (CAD\$512 per ounce) gold price, the project has a 136% rate of return and generates \$69 million cash flow after payback of construction capital.

The Company plans to continue to work towards making a development decision on the Doris North project, including advancement of the permitting process and negotiation of an Inuit Impact Benefits agreement. When completed, the Company will then make the final decision on commitment to the construction process. If approved by the Company, production could commence by late 2005.

The Company also intends to assess the potential of the George and Goose Lake projects to become additional mining assets.

37

---

## **MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

### **RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES**

The Company must obtain additional capital to pursue its exploration and development work at Hope Bay. Given the nature of capital market demand for speculative investment opportunities, there is no assurance that additional financing will be available for the appropriate amounts and at the times required. The Company has developed a cash management plan that will enable it to invest on a priority basis in projects likely to generate favourable results in the near-to-medium term. The impact of fluctuations in the price of gold is a risk to the Company's future profitability and cash flow. As the gold market price is denominated in U.S. currency, the Company is also at financial risk as the currency exchange rate between Canadian and U.S. Dollars can fluctuate and impact the reported earnings and resulting cash flow. If the Canadian dollar strengthens compared to the U.S. dollar, revenue from gold sales, which is generated in U.S. dollars, will convert to fewer Canadian dollars available to pay for operating costs that are almost entirely incurred in Canadian dollars. However, the Company does not expect a further rise in the Canadian dollar to have a material impact on mining operations in Yellowknife because a Canadian dollar gold price assumption of \$478 was used in short-term cash forecasting for the purpose of establishing cut-off grades and life-of-mine planning.

### **NON GAAP MEASURES**

The Company has included certain non-GAAP performance measures throughout this document. These non-GAAP performance measures do not have any standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and, therefore, are unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies. The Company believes that, in addition to conventional measures, prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP, certain investors use this information to evaluate the Company's performance. Accordingly, they are intended to provide additional information and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP. Set out below are definitions for these performance measures and reconciliations of the non-GAAP measures to report GAAP measures.

38

**MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION**  
**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**
**Unit Costs**

A reconciliation of costs per ounce of gold produced: calculated in accordance with the Gold Institute Standard to the cost of sales and depletion, depreciation and amortization is included below:

**2003**

		<b>Cash Operating Cost</b>	<b>Total Production Cost</b>
Costs of sales (Income Statement)		\$ 45,857	\$ 45,857
"Free" power (note a.)		(2,692)	(2,692)
Depletion of deferred development (b.)		1,410	
Depreciation and depletion			4,517
Reclamation			1,739
Reclamation adjustment (c.)			(500)
Foreign exchange			(221)
			<hr/>
Operating cost base for calculation		\$ 44,575	\$ 48,700
			<hr/>
Gold produced	Oz	84,269	84,269
Foreign exchange, CAD:USD		1.436	1.436
		<hr/>	<hr/>
Operating cost base/ gold ounce produced	\$/oz	\$ 368	\$ 402
		<hr/>	<hr/>

**2002**

		<b>Cash Operating Cost</b>	<b>Total Production Cost</b>
Costs of sales (Income Statement)		\$ 41,262	\$ 41,262
Depletion of deferred development (b.)		3,496	
Depreciation and depletion			6,381
Reclamation			1,916
Foreign exchange			18
Other		(119)	
		<hr/>	<hr/>
Operating cost base for calculation		\$ 44,639	\$ 49,577
		<hr/>	<hr/>
Gold produced	Oz	115,113	115,113
Foreign exchange, CAD:USD		1.572	1.572
		<hr/>	<hr/>
Operating cost base/ gold ounce produced	\$/oz	\$ 246	\$ 273
		<hr/>	<hr/>

Notes:

- (a.) "Free" power described in note 4 of the consolidated financial statements is excluded as it is offset with a gain of equal value in Other Income.
  - (b.) Depletion of deferred development is included in Cash Operating Cost consistent with the Gold Institute Standard.
  - (c.) Reclamation adjustment recorded as a result of mine closure is excluded along with other closure related amounts which expensed in the income statement.
- 

**EXHIBIT 99.1**

**CODE OF ETHICS**

---

[GRAPHIC OMITTED]

MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION

Code of Ethics

To: Miramar Mining Corporation

In my role as an employee of Miramar Mining Corporation, I certify to you that I adhere to and advocate the following principles and responsibilities governing my professional and ethical conduct.

To the best of my knowledge and ability:

1. I act with honesty and integrity, avoiding actual or apparent conflicts of interest in personal and professional relationships.
  2. I provide constituents with information that is accurate, complete, objective, relevant, timely and understandable.
  3. I comply with rules and regulations of federal, provincial and local governments, and other appropriate private and public regulatory agencies.
  4. I act in good faith, responsibly, with due care, competence and diligence, without misrepresenting material facts or allowing my independent judgment to be subordinated.
  5. I respect the confidentiality of information acquired in the course of my work except when authorized or otherwise legally obligated to disclose. Confidential information acquired in the course of my work is not used for personal advantage.
  6. I share knowledge and maintain skills important and relevant to my constituents' needs.
  7. I proactively promote ethical behavior as a responsible partner among peers and staff in my work environment.
  8. I achieve responsible use of and control over all assets and resources employed or entrusted to me.
  9. I foster a work environment that encourages all employees to act ethically and with integrity.
-

**EXHIBIT 99.2**

**AUDIT AND RISK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE CHARTER**

---

[GRAPHIC OMITTED]

MIRAMAR MINING CORPORATION

Audit and Risk Management Committee Charter

Organization

The Audit and Risk Management Committee (the "Committee") should be composed of directors who are independent of the management of the corporation and are free of any relationship that, in the opinion of the board of directors, would interfere with their exercise of independent judgment as a Committee member.

Statement of Policy

The Committee should provide assistance to the corporate directors in fulfilling their responsibility to the shareholders, potential shareholders, and investment community relating to corporate accounting, reporting practices of the corporation, and the quality and integrity of the financial reports of the corporation. In so doing, it is the responsibility of the Committee to maintain free and open means of communication between the directors, the independent auditors and the financial management of the corporation.

Responsibilities

In carrying out its responsibilities, the Committee believes its policies and procedures should remain flexible, in order to best react to changing conditions and to ensure to the directors and shareholders that the corporate accounting and reporting practices of the corporation are in accordance with all requirements and are of the highest quality.

In carrying out these responsibilities, the Committee will:

- o Be responsible for reviewing and recommending for approval to the Board the annual and quarterly financial statements of the Corporation. Included in this review is assessing the use of management estimates in the preparation of the financial statements. The Committee is responsible for ensuring that systems are in place to limit the potential for material misstatement in the financial statements and that the financial statements are complete and consistent with information known to the Committee.
- o Review and recommend to the directors the independent auditors to be selected to audit the financial statements of the corporation.
- o Meet with the independent auditors and financial management of the corporation to review the scope of the proposed audit for the current year and the audit procedures to be utilized, and at the conclusion thereof review such audit, including any comments or recommendations of the independent auditors.
- o Review with the independent auditors, the company's financial and accounting personnel, the adequacy and effectiveness of the accounting and financial controls and systems of the corporation, and elicit any recommendations for the improvement of such internal control procedures and systems or particular areas where new or more detailed controls or procedures are desirable. Particular emphasis should be given to the adequacy of such internal controls to expose any

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

payments, transactions, or procedures that might be deemed illegal or otherwise improper. Further, the committee periodically should review company policy statements to determine their appropriateness.

- o Review the Company's hedging and risk management systems and policies
- o Review the financial statements contained in the annual report to shareholders with management and the independent auditors to determine that the independent auditors are satisfied with the disclosure and content of the financial statements to be presented to the shareholders. Any changes in accounting principles should be reviewed.

---

**EXHIBIT 99.3**

**CERTIFICATIONS BY CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION  
302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

---

CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF  
THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Anthony P. Walsh, certify that:

I have reviewed this annual report on Form 40-F of Miramar Mining Corporation;

1. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;

2. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;

3. The issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the issuer and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(c) Disclosed in this report any change in the issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

5. The issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant

Date: May 18, 2004

By: /s/ Anthony P. Walsh

-----  
Chief Executive Officer

---

**EXHIBIT 99.4**

**CERTIFICATIONS BY CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-14(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION  
302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

---

CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF  
THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Elaine Bennett, certify that:

I have reviewed this annual report on Form 40-F of Miramar Mining Corporation;

1. Based on my knowledge, this annual report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this annual report;

2. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this annual report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this annual report;

3. The issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the issuer and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the issuer, including its

Edgar Filing: MIRAMAR MINING CORP - Form 40-F

consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;

(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and

(c) Disclosed in this report any change in the issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by the annual report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant

Date: May 18, 2004

By: /s/ Elaine Bennett

-----  
Chief Financial Officer

---

**EXHIBIT 99.5**

**CERTIFICATE OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

---

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. §1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Miramar Mining Corporation (the Company) on Form 40-F for the period ended December 31, 2003 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), I, Anthony P. Walsh, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in this Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.



May 18, 2004

/s/ Anthony P. Walsh  
Anthony P. Walsh  
Chief Executive Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Miramar Mining Corporation and will be retained by Miramar Mining Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

---

**EXHIBIT 99.6**

**CERTIFICATE OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER  
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

---

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. §1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Miramar Mining Corporation (the Company) on Form 40-F for the period ended December 31, 2003 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the Report), I, Elaine Bennett, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in this Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

May 18, 2004

/s/ Elaine Bennett  
Elaine Bennett  
Chief Financial Officer

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Miramar Mining Corporation and will be retained by Miramar Mining Corporation and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

**EXHIBIT 99.7**

**CONSENT OF KPMG LLP**

---

**EXHIBIT 99.8**

**CONSENT OF GEORGE FRIESEN, P. ENG, CHIEF ENGINEER, GIANT MINE**

---

CONSENT OF  
George Friesen, P.Eng.

To the Board of Directors of Miramar Mining Corporation.

I consent to the incorporation by reference in this annual report on Form 40-F of Miramar Mining Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2003, of the description of the reports, which were prepared under my direct supervision, of the description of certain mineral resources of the information that forms the summary of the Giant Gold Mine and the description of certain mineral reserves of the Giant Gold Mine as at December 31, 2002 and December 31, 2003 and to the use of my name under in this annual report as a named expert.

Dated as of the 14th day of May 2004

George Friesen, P. Eng.

/s/ George Friesen  
-----

---

**EXHIBIT 99.10**

**CONSENT OF JOHN WAKEFORD, P. GEO, VICE PRESIDENT EXPLORATION OF THE CORPORATION**

---

---

**EXHIBIT 99.11**

**CONSENT OF SRK**

---

---

**EXHIBIT 99.12**

**CONSENT OF  
WATTS GRIFFITHS AND McQUAT**

---

---

**EXHIBIT 99.14**

**CONSENT OF  
STEPHEN JURAS**

---

---

**EXHIBIT 99.15**

**CONSENT OF  
GEORGE WAHL**

---