

H&R BLOCK INC
Form 10-Q
December 10, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended October 31, 2013
OR
.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-6089

H&R Block, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MISSOURI

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

44-0607856

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

One H&R Block Way, Kansas City, Missouri 64105

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(816) 854-3000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock, without par value, at the close of business on November 30, 2013: 274,046,273 shares.

Table of Contents

Form 10-Q for the Period Ended October 31, 2013

Table of Contents

	<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Consolidated Balance Sheets As of October 31, 2013, October 31, 2012 and April 30, 2013	<u>1</u>
	Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss Three and six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012	<u>2</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012	<u>3</u>
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>4</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>34</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>42</u>
	<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Legal Proceedings	<u>43</u>
<u>Item 1A.</u>	Risk Factors	<u>43</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>44</u>
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	<u>44</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>44</u>
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	Exhibits	<u>44</u>
	<u>Signatures</u>	<u>46</u>

Table of Contents

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in 000s, except share and
per share amounts)

As of	October 31, 2013 (unaudited)	October 31, 2012 (unaudited)	April 30, 2013
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$790,772	\$1,260,901	\$1,747,584
Cash and cash equivalents — restricted	47,521	38,667	117,837
Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$52,969, \$42,761 and \$50,399	131,701	124,511	206,835
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	225,660	282,874	390,087
Total current assets	1,195,654	1,706,953	2,462,343
Mortgage loans held for investment, less allowance for loan losses of \$12,704, \$18,125 and \$14,314	295,907	370,850	338,789
Investments in available-for-sale securities	465,344	388,640	486,876
Property and equipment, at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$449,738, \$492,670 and \$420,318	311,157	272,438	267,880
Intangible assets, net	296,213	275,193	284,439
Goodwill	442,812	434,492	434,782
Other assets	267,426	448,164	262,670
Total assets	\$3,274,513	\$3,896,730	\$4,537,779
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
LIABILITIES:			
Customer banking deposits	\$655,129	\$790,106	\$936,464
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	426,994	406,447	523,921
Accrued salaries, wages and payroll taxes	41,584	39,345	134,970
Accrued income taxes	22,475	95,126	416,128
Current portion of long-term debt	400,503	600,678	722
Total current liabilities	1,546,685	1,931,702	2,012,205
Long-term debt	506,078	906,125	905,958
Other noncurrent liabilities	266,775	365,970	356,069
Total liabilities	2,319,538	3,203,797	3,274,232
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES			
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:			
Common stock, no par, stated value \$.01 per share, 800,000,000 shares authorized, shares issued of 316,628,110	3,166	3,166	3,166
Convertible preferred stock, no par, stated value \$0.01 per share, 500,000 shares authorized	—	—	—
Additional paid-in capital	757,828	748,298	752,483
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,463	8,685	10,550
Retained earnings	1,003,842	795,707	1,333,445
Less treasury shares, at cost	(811,324)) (862,923) (836,097
Total stockholders' equity	954,975	692,933	1,263,547
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$3,274,513	\$3,896,730	\$4,537,779

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsCONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)(unaudited, in 000s, except
per share amounts)

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	October 31, 2013	2012	October 31, 2013	2012
REVENUES:				
Service revenues	\$ 112,432	\$ 116,438	\$ 220,232	\$ 196,334
Product and other revenues	11,282	10,966	19,480	17,686
Interest income	10,626	9,859	21,823	19,732
	134,340	137,263	261,535	233,752
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Cost of revenues:				
Compensation and benefits	60,526	54,764	106,838	94,349
Occupancy and equipment	82,358	82,398	161,094	162,349
Provision for bad debt and loan losses	2,849	3,725	14,340	8,370
Interest	14,314	23,390	28,760	45,467
Depreciation of property and equipment	20,144	16,196	36,948	30,730
Other	40,673	31,538	82,937	64,170
	220,864	212,011	430,917	405,435
Selling, general and administrative	94,092	90,327	190,789	165,805
	314,956	302,338	621,706	571,240
Operating loss	(180,616) (165,075) (360,171) (337,488
Other income (expense), net	1,254	2,787	(3,685) 5,931
Loss from continuing operations before income tax benefit	(179,362) (162,288) (363,856) (331,557
Income tax benefit	(76,347) (61,089) (147,571) (124,708
Net loss from continuing operations	(103,015) (101,199) (216,285) (206,849
Net loss from discontinued operations	(1,928) (4,044) (3,845) (5,835
NET LOSS	\$(104,943) \$(105,243) \$(220,130) \$(212,684
BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE:				
Continuing operations	\$(0.38) \$(0.37) \$(0.79) \$(0.76
Discontinued operations	(0.01) (0.02) (0.01) (0.02
Consolidated	\$(0.39) \$(0.39) \$(0.80) \$(0.78
DIVIDENDS PER SHARE	\$0.20	\$0.20	\$0.40	\$0.40
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS):				
Net loss	\$(104,943) \$(105,243) \$(220,130) \$(212,684
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, net of taxes:				
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period, net of taxes (benefit) of \$728, \$131, (\$4,337) and \$283	1,138	187	(6,577) 357
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in income, net of taxes of \$ -, \$71, \$ - and \$71	—	(104) —	(104
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments	582	1,252	(2,510) (3,713
Other comprehensive income (loss)	1,720	1,335	(9,087) (3,460

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS	(unaudited, in 000s)		
Six months ended October 31,	2013	2012	
NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$(492,373) \$(567,036)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(45,158) (67,474)
Maturities of and payments received on available-for-sale securities	55,615	53,098	
Principal payments on mortgage loans held for investment, net	24,340	23,608	
Purchases of property and equipment	(86,926) (60,720)
Payments made for business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(20,927) (10,442)
Franchise loans:			
Loans funded	(22,114) (20,670)
Payments received	15,883	8,303	
Other, net	15,255	10,218	
Net cash used in investing activities	(64,032) (64,079)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Repayments of long-term debt	—	(30,831)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	—	497,185	
Customer banking deposits, net	(275,800) (37,913)
Dividends paid	(109,324) (108,428)
Repurchase of common stock, including shares surrendered	(5,329) (339,919)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	24,536	1,288	
Other, net	(26,619) (33,004)
Net cash used in financing activities	(392,536) (51,622)
Effects of exchange rates on cash	(7,871) (696)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(956,812) (683,433)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	1,747,584	1,944,334	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	\$790,772	\$1,260,901	
SUPPLEMENTARY CASH FLOW DATA:			
Income taxes paid, net of refunds received	\$116,099	\$48,201	
Interest paid on borrowings	27,804	42,106	
Interest paid on deposits	1,180	2,683	
Transfers of foreclosed loans to other assets	3,889	5,312	
Accrued additions to property and equipment	6,729	10,273	
Transfer of mortgage loans held for investment to held for sale	7,608	—	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation - The consolidated balance sheets as of October 31, 2013 and 2012, the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the three and six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012 have been prepared by the Company, without audit. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows at October 31, 2013 and 2012 and for all periods presented have been made. See note 14 for discussion of our presentation of discontinued operations.

“H&R Block,” “the Company,” “we,” “our” and “us” are used interchangeably to refer to H&R Block, Inc. or to H&R Block, Inc. and its subsidiaries, as appropriate to the context.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in our April 30, 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K. All amounts presented herein as of April 30, 2013 or for the year then ended, are derived from our April 30, 2013 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K.

Management Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant estimates, assumptions and judgments are applied in the evaluation of contingent losses arising from our discontinued mortgage business, contingent losses associated with pending claims and litigation, allowance for loan losses, valuation allowances based on future taxable income, reserves for uncertain tax positions and related matters. Estimates have been prepared on the basis of the most current and best information available as of each balance sheet date. As such, actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Seasonality of Business - Our operating revenues are seasonal in nature with peak revenues occurring in the months of January through April. Therefore, results for interim periods are not indicative of results to be expected for the full year.

Recently Issued or Newly Adopted Accounting Standards - In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued guidance which expands disclosure requirements for other comprehensive income. The guidance requires the reporting of the effect of the reclassification of items out of accumulated other comprehensive income on each affected net income line item. The guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2012 and is to be applied prospectively. This guidance, which we adopted as of May 1, 2013, did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

NOTE 2: H&R BLOCK BANK

In July 2013, H&R Block Bank (HRB Bank) and Block Financial LLC (Block Financial) entered into a definitive Purchase and Assumption Agreement (P&A Agreement) with Republic Bank & Trust Company (Republic Bank) subject to various closing conditions, including the finalization of various operating agreements and receipt of certain required approvals (P&A Transaction). Prior to entering into the P&A Agreement, Republic Bank, which currently operates under a state bank charter and is regulated primarily by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), filed an application with the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) for approval to convert to a national banking association. Approval and completion of this conversion were conditions to closing the P&A Transaction. In October 2013, Republic Bank informed us that it had withdrawn its application for the conversion and its application for approval of the P&A Transaction, which was contingent upon the approval of the conversion. As a result, HRB Bank and Block Financial provided notice to Republic Bank of termination of the P&A Agreement. We plan to continue offering financial services and products to our clients through HRB Bank during the 2014 tax season. We continue to explore alternatives for delivering financial products and services to our customers while

Table of Contents

ceasing to be regulated as a savings and loan holding company (SLHC); however, we cannot predict the timing or the likelihood of ceasing to be regulated as an SLHC.

NOTE 3: LOSS PER SHARE AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Basic and diluted loss per share is computed using the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines net income per share for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Per share amounts are computed by dividing net income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders by the weighted average shares outstanding during each period. The dilutive effect of potential common shares is included in diluted earnings per share except in those periods with a loss from continuing operations. Diluted earnings per share excludes the impact of shares of common stock issuable upon the lapse of certain restrictions or the exercise of options to purchase 6.0 million shares for the three and six months ended October 31, 2013, and 8.9 million shares for the three and six months ended October 31, 2012, as the effect would be antidilutive due to the net loss from continuing operations during those periods.

The computations of basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations are as follows:
(in 000s, except per share amounts)

	Three months ended October 31, 2013		Six months ended October 31, 2012	
Net loss from continuing operations attributable to shareholders	\$ (103,015)	\$ (101,199)	\$ (216,285)	\$ (206,849)
Amounts allocated to participating securities	(92)	(64)	(154)	(137)
Net loss from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$ (103,107)	\$ (101,263)	\$ (216,439)	\$ (206,986)
Basic weighted average common shares	273,907	271,145	273,494	274,150
Potential dilutive shares	—	—	—	—
Dilutive weighted average common shares	273,907	271,145	273,494	274,150

Loss per share from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders:

Basic	\$ (0.38)	\$ (0.37)	\$ (0.79)	\$ (0.76)
Diluted	(0.38)	(0.37)	(0.79)	(0.76)

During the six months ended October 31, 2012, we purchased and immediately retired 21.3 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$315.0 million.

During the six months ended October 31, 2013, we acquired 0.2 million shares of our common stock at an aggregate cost of \$5.3 million. These shares represent shares swapped or surrendered to us in connection with the vesting or exercise of stock-based awards. During the six months ended October 31, 2012, we acquired 0.1 million shares at an aggregate cost of \$2.4 million for similar purposes.

During the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, we issued 1.6 million and 0.5 million shares of common stock, respectively, due to the vesting or exercise of stock-based awards.

During the six months ended October 31, 2013, we granted equity awards equivalent to approximately 0.8 million shares under our stock-based compensation plans, consisting primarily of nonvested units. Nonvested units generally either vest over a three-year period with one-third vesting each year or cliff vest at the end of a three-year period. Stock-based compensation expense of our continuing operations totaled \$6.2 million and \$10.8 million for the three and six months ended October 31, 2013, respectively, and \$5.4 million and \$7.8 million for the three and six months ended October 31, 2012, respectively. As of October 31, 2013, unrecognized compensation cost for stock options totaled \$2.0 million, and for nonvested shares and units totaled \$33.3 million.

Table of Contents

NOTE 4: RECEIVABLES

Short-term receivables consist of the following:

(in 000s)

As of	October 31, 2013	October 31, 2012	April 30, 2013
Loans to franchisees	\$70,390	\$69,110	\$65,413
Receivables for tax preparation and related fees	35,927	34,083	49,356
Canadian CashBack receivables	2,036	3,863	47,658
Emerald Advance lines of credit	21,692	23,630	23,218
Royalties from franchisees	10,732	8,744	10,722
Credit cards	6,115	—	7,733
Other	37,778	27,842	53,134
	184,670	167,272	257,234
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(52,969)	(42,761)	(50,399)
	\$131,701	\$124,511	\$206,835

The short-term portions of Emerald Advance lines of credit (EAs), loans made to franchisees, CashBack balances and credit card balances are included in receivables, while the long-term portions are included in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets. These amounts are as follows:

(in 000s)

	EAs	Loans to Franchisees	CashBack	Credit Cards
As of October 31, 2013:				
Short-term	\$21,692	\$70,390	\$2,036	\$6,115
Long-term	6,161	108,874	—	13,603
	\$27,853	\$179,264	\$2,036	\$19,718
As of October 31, 2012:				
Short-term	\$23,630	\$69,110	\$3,863	\$—
Long-term	10,825	119,102	—	—
	\$34,455	\$188,212	\$3,863	\$—
As of April 30, 2013:				
Short-term	\$23,218	\$65,413	\$47,658	\$7,733
Long-term	9,819	103,047	—	15,538
	\$33,037	\$168,460	\$47,658	\$23,271

EAs – We review the credit quality of our EA receivables based on pools, which are segmented by the year of origination, with older years being deemed more unlikely to be repaid. Amounts as of October 31, 2013, by year of origination, are as follows:

(in 000s)

Credit Quality Indicator – Year of origination:

2013	\$7,817
2012	1,069
2011	1,987
2010 and prior	6,238
Revolving loans	10,742
	\$27,853

As of October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, \$26.2 million, \$30.3 million and \$30.0 million of EAs were on non-accrual status and classified as impaired, or more than 60 days past due, respectively.

Table of Contents

Loans to Franchisees – Loans made to franchisees as of October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, consisted of \$126.3 million, \$136.9 million and \$121.2 million, respectively, in term loans made primarily to finance the purchase of franchises and \$53.0 million, \$51.3 million and \$47.3 million, respectively, in revolving lines of credit primarily for the purpose of funding off-season working capital needs.

As of October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, loans with a principal amount of \$0.1 million, \$0.0 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, were more than 30 days past due, however we had no loans to franchisees on non-accrual status.

Canadian CashBack Program – During the tax season our Canadian operations advance refunds due to certain clients from the Canada Revenue Agency for a fee (the CashBack program). Refunds advanced under the CashBack program are not subject to credit approval, therefore the primary indicator of credit quality is the age of the receivable amount. CashBack amounts are generally received within 60 days of filing the client's return. In September of each fiscal year, any balances more than 90 days old are charged-off against the related allowance. As of October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, \$0.1 million, \$0.4 million and \$1.8 million of CashBack balances were more than 60 days old, respectively.

Credit Cards – We utilize a four-tier underwriting approach at origination. Each of the four tiers, with Tier 4 representing the most risk, is comprised of a combination of FICO scores ranging from 521 to 680, generic and custom credit bureau based risk scores and client attributes. The criteria in the tiers are not subsequently updated. The population also includes certain clients which are “unscorable.” Although we utilize the borrower's credit score for underwriting, we do not consider the credit score to be a primary measure of credit quality, since it tends to be a lagging indicator. Credit card receivable balances as of October 31, 2013, by credit tier, are as follows:

(in 000s)

Tier 1	\$4,880
Tier 2	8,078
Tier 3	2,456
Tier 4	4,304
	\$19,718

An aging of our credit card receivable balances as of October 31, 2013 is as follows:

(in 000s)

Current	\$13,069
Less than 30 days past due	1,411
30 - 59 days past due	932
60 - 89 days past due	847
90 days or more past due	3,459
	\$19,718

As of October 31, 2013 and April 30, 2013, a total of \$0.3 million and \$2.1 million in unamortized deferred fees and costs were capitalized related to our credit card balances, respectively.

Long-Term Note Receivable – We have a long-term note receivable in the amount of \$54.0 million due from McGladrey & Pullen LLP (M&P) related to the sale of RSM McGladrey, Inc. (RSM) in November 2011. This note is unsecured and bears interest at a rate of 8.0%, with all principal and accrued interest due in May 2017. As of October 31, 2013, there is no allowance recorded related to this note. We continue to monitor publicly available information relevant to the financial condition of M&P to assess future collectibility. This note is included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet, with a total of \$62.8 million, \$58.0 million and \$60.4 million in principal and accrued interest recorded as of October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts – Activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts for our short-term and long-term receivables for the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

(in 000s)

	EAs	Loans to Franchisees	CashBack	Credit Cards	All Other	Total
Balances as of May 1, 2013	\$7,390	\$—	\$2,769	\$7,304	\$40,240	\$57,703
Provision	—	—	188	4,957	966	6,111
Charge-offs	—	—	(479) (6,225) (1,049) (7,753
Balances as of October 31, 2013	\$7,390	\$—	\$2,478	\$6,036	\$40,157	\$56,061
Balances as of May 1, 2012	\$6,200	\$—	\$2,279	\$—	\$36,110	\$44,589
Provision	310	—	290	—	550	1,150
Charge-offs	—	—	(1,507) —	(1,471) (2,978
Balances as of October 31, 2012	\$6,510	\$—	\$1,062	\$—	\$35,189	\$42,761

There were no changes to our methodology for estimating our allowance for doubtful accounts during fiscal year 2014.

NOTE 5: MORTGAGE LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT AND RELATED ASSETS

The composition of our mortgage loan portfolio is as follows:

(dollars in 000s)

As of	October 31, 2013		October 31, 2012		April 30, 2013	
	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total
Adjustable-rate loans	\$165,289	54	% \$210,610	55	% \$191,093	55
Fixed-rate loans	140,814	46	% 175,257	45	% 159,142	45
	306,103	100	% 385,867	100	% 350,235	100
Unamortized deferred fees and costs	2,508		3,108		2,868	
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(12,704)	(18,125)	(14,314)
	\$295,907		\$370,850		\$338,789	

Our loan loss allowance as a percent of mortgage loans was 4.2% as of October 31, 2013, compared to 4.7% as of October 31, 2012 and 4.1% as of April 30, 2013.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

(in 000s)

Six months ended October 31,	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of the period	\$14,314	\$26,540
Provision	7,224	6,750
Recoveries	2,409	2,291
Charge-offs	(11,243) (17,456
Balance at end of the period	\$12,704	\$18,125

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2014, we transferred \$7.6 million of mortgage loans into the held-for-sale portfolio from the held-for-investment portfolio. At the time of the transfer, the amount by which cost exceeded fair value totaled \$2.9 million. This write-down to fair value was recorded as a provision during the six months ended October 31, 2013 and subsequently charged-off. These loans were sold during the three months ended October 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

When determining our allowance for loan losses, we evaluate loans less than 60 days past due on a pooled basis, while loans we consider impaired, including those loans more than 60 days past due or modified as a troubled debt restructuring (TDR), are evaluated individually. The balance of these loans and the related allowance is as follows:

(in 000s)

As of	October 31, 2013		October 31, 2012		April 30, 2013	
	Portfolio Balance	Related Allowance	Portfolio Balance	Related Allowance	Portfolio Balance	Related Allowance
Pooled (less than 60 days past due)	\$ 178,497	\$ 5,523	\$ 229,761	\$ 6,892	\$ 207,319	\$ 5,628
Impaired:						
Individually (TDRs)	47,011	4,598	63,602	5,972	55,061	4,924
Individually (60 days or more past due)	80,595	2,583	92,504	5,261	87,855	3,762
	\$ 306,103	\$ 12,704	\$ 385,867	\$ 18,125	\$ 350,235	\$ 14,314

Detail of our mortgage loans held for investment and the related allowance as of October 31, 2013 is as follows:

(dollars in 000s)

	Outstanding	Loan Loss Allowance		% 30+ Days	
	Principal Balance	Amount	% of Principal	Past Due	
Purchased from SCC	\$ 175,566	\$ 10,236	5.8	% 31.0	%
All other	130,537	2,468	1.9	% 8.0	%
	\$ 306,103	\$ 12,704	4.2	% 21.2	%

Credit quality indicators as of October 31, 2013 include the following:

(in 000s)

Credit Quality Indicators	Purchased from SCC	All Other	Total Portfolio
Occupancy status:			
Owner occupied	\$ 128,932	\$ 84,025	\$ 212,957
Non-owner occupied	46,634	46,512	93,146
	\$ 175,566	\$ 130,537	\$ 306,103
Documentation level:			
Full documentation	\$ 57,821	\$ 95,174	\$ 152,995
Limited documentation	5,744	13,412	19,156
Stated income	97,591	13,656	111,247
No documentation	14,410	8,295	22,705
	\$ 175,566	\$ 130,537	\$ 306,103
Internal risk rating:			
High	\$ 53,679	\$ —	\$ 53,679
Medium	121,887	—	121,887
Low	—	130,537	130,537
	\$ 175,566	\$ 130,537	\$ 306,103

Loans given our internal risk rating of “high” were originated by Sand Canyon Corporation, formerly known as Option One Mortgage Corporation, and its subsidiaries (SCC), and generally had no documentation or were based on stated income. Loans given our internal risk rating of “medium” were generally full documentation or based on stated income, with loan-to-value ratios at origination of more than 80%, and were made to borrowers with credit scores below 700 at origination. Loans given our internal risk rating of “low” were generally obtained from parties other than SCC, with loan-to-value ratios at origination of less than 80% and were made to borrowers with credit scores greater than 700 at origination.

Table of Contents

Our mortgage loans held for investment include concentrations of loans to borrowers in certain states, which may result in increased exposure to loss as a result of changes in real estate values and underlying economic or market conditions related to a particular geographical location. Approximately 59% of our mortgage loan portfolio consists of loans to borrowers located in the states of Florida, California, New York and Wisconsin.

Detail of the aging of the mortgage loans in our portfolio as of October 31, 2013 is as follows:

(in 000s)

	Less than 60 Days Past Due	60 – 89 Days Past Due	90+ Days Past Due ⁽¹⁾	Total Past Due	Current	Total
Purchased from SCC	\$ 14,794	\$ 627	\$ 56,107	\$ 71,528	\$ 104,038	\$ 175,566
All other	5,964	677	9,115	15,756	114,781	130,537
	\$ 20,758	\$ 1,304	\$ 65,222	\$ 87,284	\$ 218,819	\$ 306,103

⁽¹⁾ We do not accrue interest on loans past due 90 days or more.

Information related to our non-accrual loans is as follows:

(in 000s)

As of	October 31, 2013	October 31, 2012	April 30, 2013
Loans:			
Purchased from SCC	\$ 67,641	\$ 75,414	\$ 70,327
Other	12,723	16,427	14,906
	80,364	91,841	85,233
TDRs:			
Purchased from SCC	3,832	3,776	3,719
Other	881	506	502
	4,713	4,282	4,221
Total non-accrual loans	\$ 85,077	\$ 96,123	\$ 89,454

Information related to impaired loans is as follows:

(in 000s)

	Balance With Allowance	Balance With No Allowance	Total Impaired Loans	Related Allowance
As of October 31, 2013:				
Purchased from SCC	\$ 30,100	\$ 77,052	\$ 107,152	\$ 5,762
Other	5,196	15,258	20,454	1,419
	\$ 35,296	\$ 92,310	\$ 127,606	\$ 7,181
As of October 31, 2012:				
Purchased from SCC	\$ 40,142	\$ 90,516	\$ 130,658	\$ 7,992
Other	7,951	17,497	25,448	3,241
	\$ 48,093	\$ 108,013	\$ 156,106	\$ 11,233
As of April 30, 2013:				
Purchased from SCC	\$ 33,791	\$ 84,592	\$ 118,383	\$ 6,573
Other	7,601	16,932	24,533	2,113
	\$ 41,392	\$ 101,524	\$ 142,916	\$ 8,686

Table of Contents

Information related to the allowance for impaired loans is as follows:

(in 000s)

As of	October 31, 2013	October 31, 2012	April 30, 2013
Portion of total allowance for loan losses allocated to impaired loans and TDR loans:			
Based on collateral value method	\$2,583	\$5,261	\$3,762
Based on discounted cash flow method	4,598	5,972	4,924
	\$7,181	\$11,233	\$8,686

Information related to activities of our non-performing assets is as follows:

(in 000s)

Six months ended October 31,	2013	2012
Average impaired loans:		
Purchased from SCC	\$121,532	\$141,521
All other	23,646	26,343
	\$145,178	\$167,864
Interest income on impaired loans:		
Purchased from SCC	\$1,727	\$1,992
All other	136	158
	\$1,863	\$2,150
Interest income on impaired loans recognized on a cash basis on non-accrual status:		
Purchased from SCC	\$1,689	\$1,956
All other	134	145
	\$1,823	\$2,101

Activity related to our real estate owned (REO) is as follows:

(in 000s)

Six months ended October 31,	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of the period	\$13,968	\$14,972
Additions	3,889	5,312
Sales	(9,972)	(5,189)
Impairments	(792)	(1,278)
Balance, end of the period	\$7,093	\$13,817

Table of Contents

NOTE 6: INVESTMENTS

AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE – The amortized cost and fair value of securities classified as available-for-sale (AFS) are summarized below:

(in 000s)

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses ⁽¹⁾	Fair Value
As of October 31, 2013:				
Long-term:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$465,861	\$4,422	\$(9,348)) \$460,935
Municipal bonds	4,149	260	—	4,409
	\$470,010	\$4,682	\$(9,348)) \$465,344
As of October 31, 2012:				
Short-term:				
Municipal bonds	\$1,003	\$11	\$—	\$1,014
Long-term:				
Mortgage-backed securities	378,055	6,116	(109)) 384,062
Municipal bonds	4,207	371	—	4,578
	382,262	6,487	(109)) 388,640
	\$383,265	\$6,498	\$(109)) \$389,654
As of April 30, 2013:				
Long-term:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$476,450	\$6,592	\$(664)) \$482,378
Municipal bonds	4,178	320	—	4,498
	\$480,628	\$6,912	\$(664)) \$486,876

As of October 31, 2013 and April 30, 2013, we had no securities that had been in a continuous loss position for ⁽¹⁾ more than twelve months. As of October 31, 2012, mortgage-backed securities with a cost of \$4.6 million and gross unrealized losses of \$1 thousand had been in a continuous loss position for more than twelve months. We did not sell any AFS securities during the six months ended October 31, 2013. During the six months ended October 31, 2012, we received proceeds of \$5.2 million from the sale of AFS securities and recorded a gross realized gain of \$0.2 million on this sale. We did not record any other-than-temporary impairments of AFS securities during the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012.

Contractual maturities of AFS debt securities at October 31, 2013, occur at varying dates over the next 30 years, and are set forth in the table below.

(in 000s)

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Maturing in:		
Two to five years	\$4,149	\$4,409
Beyond	465,861	460,935
	\$470,010	\$465,344

Table of Contents

NOTE 7: GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill of our Tax Services segment for the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

(in 000s)

	Goodwill	Accumulated Impairment Losses	Net	
Balances as of April 30, 2013	\$467,079	\$(32,297) \$434,782	
Acquisitions	9,207	—	9,207	
Disposals and foreign currency changes, net Impairments	(1,177 —) — —	(1,177 —)
Balances as of October 31, 2013	\$475,109	\$(32,297) \$442,812	
Balances as of April 30, 2012	\$459,863	\$(32,297) \$427,566	
Acquisitions	6,922	—	6,922	
Disposals and foreign currency changes, net Impairments	4 —	— —	4 —	
Balances as of October 31, 2012	\$466,789	\$(32,297) \$434,492	

We test goodwill for impairment annually or more frequently if events occur or circumstances change which would, more likely than not, reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying value.

Table of Contents

Components of the intangible assets of our Tax Services segment are as follows:
(in 000s)

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net
As of October 31, 2013:			
Reacquired franchise rights	\$222,371	\$(20,414)) \$201,957
Customer relationships	109,237	(53,501)) 55,736
Internally-developed software	98,738	(76,517)) 22,221
Noncompete agreements	23,659	(21,898)) 1,761
Franchise agreements	19,201	(6,294)) 12,907
Purchased technology	14,800	(13,169)) 1,631
Trade name	300	(300)) —
	\$488,306	\$(192,093)) \$296,213
As of October 31, 2012:			
Reacquired franchise rights	\$214,330	\$(16,143)) \$198,187
Customer relationships	95,647	(50,151)) 45,496
Internally-developed software	82,405	(69,508)) 12,897
Noncompete agreements	22,313	(21,525)) 788
Franchise agreements	19,201	(5,014)) 14,187
Purchased technology	14,700	(11,495)) 3,205
Trade name	1,300	(867)) 433
	\$449,896	\$(174,703)) \$275,193
As of April 30, 2013:			
Reacquired franchise rights	\$214,330	\$(18,204)) \$196,126
Customer relationships	100,719	(48,733)) 51,986
Internally-developed software	91,745	(72,764)) 18,981
Noncompete agreements	23,058	(21,728)) 1,330
Franchise agreements	19,201	(5,654)) 13,547
Purchased technology	14,800	(12,331)) 2,469
Trade name	300	(300)) —
	\$464,153	\$(179,714)) \$284,439

Amortization of intangible assets of continuing operations for the three and six months ended October 31, 2013 was \$6.5 million and \$12.6 million, respectively. Amortization of intangible assets of continuing operations for the three and six months ended October 31, 2012 was \$7.3 million and \$13.3 million, respectively. Estimated amortization of intangible assets for fiscal years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018 is \$25.5 million, \$22.9 million, \$19.3 million, \$16.0 million and \$14.6 million, respectively.

NOTE 8: FAIR VALUE

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

We use the following classification of financial instruments pursuant to the fair value hierarchy methodologies for assets measured at fair value:

Level 1 – inputs to the valuation are quoted prices in an active market for identical assets.

Level 2 – inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for similar assets in active markets utilizing a third-party pricing service to determine fair value.

Level 3 – valuation is based on significant inputs that are unobservable in the market and our own estimates of assumptions that we believe market participants would use in pricing the asset.

Table of Contents

Financial instruments are presented in the tables that follow by recurring or nonrecurring measurement status. Recurring assets are initially measured at fair value and are required to be remeasured at fair value in the financial statements at each reporting date. Assets measured on a nonrecurring basis are assets that, as a result of an event or circumstance, were required to be remeasured at fair value after initial recognition in the financial statements at some time during the reporting period.

The following table presents the assets that were remeasured at fair value on a recurring basis during the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012:

(dollars in 000s)

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Gains (losses)
As of October 31, 2013:					
Mortgage-backed securities	\$460,935	\$—	\$460,935	\$—	\$(4,926)
Municipal bonds	4,409	—	4,409	—	260
	\$465,344	\$—	\$465,344	\$—	\$(4,666)
As a percentage of total assets	14.2	% —	% 14.2	% —	%
As of October 31, 2012:					
Mortgage-backed securities	\$384,062	\$—	\$384,062	\$—	\$6,007
Municipal bonds	5,592	—	5,592	—	382
	\$389,654	\$—	\$389,654	\$—	\$6,389
As a percentage of total assets	10.0	% —	% 10.0	% —	%

Our investments in mortgage-backed securities and municipal bonds are carried at fair value on a recurring basis with gains and losses reported as a component of other comprehensive income, except for losses assessed to be other than temporary. These include certain agency and agency-sponsored mortgage-backed securities and municipal bonds. Quoted market prices are not available for these securities, as they are not actively traded and have fewer observable transactions. As a result, we use third-party pricing services to determine fair value and classify the securities as Level 2. The third-party pricing services' models are based on market data and utilize available trade, bid and other market information for similar securities. The fair values provided by the third-party pricing services are regularly reviewed by management. Annually, a sample of prices supplied by the third-party pricing service is validated by comparison to prices obtained from other third party sources. There were no transfers of AFS securities between hierarchy levels during the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012.

The following table presents the assets that were remeasured at fair value on a non-recurring basis during the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012:

(dollars in 000s)

	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Losses
As of October 31, 2013:					
REO	\$7,519	\$—	\$—	\$7,519	\$(300)
Impaired mortgage loans held for investment	76,148	—	—	76,148	(2,353)
	\$83,667	\$—	\$—	\$83,667	\$(2,653)
As a percentage of total assets	2.6	% —	% —	% 2.6	%
As of October 31, 2012:					
REO	\$14,646	\$—	\$—	\$14,646	\$(203)
Impaired mortgage loans held for investment	89,032	—	—	89,032	(7,298)

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

	\$103,678	\$—	\$—	\$103,678	\$(7,501))
As a percentage of total assets	2.7	% —	% —	% 2.7	%	

H&R Block Q2 FY2014 Form 10-Q

15

Table of Contents

The following methods were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument above:

REO includes foreclosed properties securing mortgage loans. Foreclosed assets are recorded at estimated fair value, generally based on independent market prices or appraised values of the collateral, less costs to sell upon foreclosure. The assets are remeasured quarterly based on independent appraisals or broker price opinions. Subsequent holding period gains and losses arising from the sale of REO are reported when realized. Because our REO is valued based on significant inputs that are unobservable in the market and our own estimates of assumptions that we believe market participants would use in pricing the asset, these assets are classified as Level 3.

The fair value of impaired mortgage loans held for investment is generally based on the net present value of discounted cash flows for TDR loans or the appraised value of the underlying collateral for all other loans. Impaired and TDR loans are required to be evaluated at least annually, based on HRB Bank's Loan Policy. Impaired loans are typically remeasured every nine months, while TDRs are evaluated quarterly. These loans are classified as Level 3. We have established various controls and procedures to ensure that the unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of these instruments are appropriate. Appraisals are obtained from certified appraisers and reviewed internally by HRB Bank's asset management group. The inputs and assumptions used in our discounted cash flow model for TDRs are reviewed and approved by HRB Bank management each time the balances are remeasured. Significant changes in fair value from the previous measurement are presented to HRB Bank management for approval. There were no changes to the unobservable inputs used in determining the fair values of our Level 3 financial assets.

The following table presents the quantitative information about our Level 3 fair value measurements, which utilize significant unobservable internally-developed inputs:

(in 000s)

	Fair Value at October 31, 2013	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
REO	\$7,093	Third party pricing	Cost to list/sell Loss severity Cost to list/sell	5% – 26%(5%) 0% – 100%(51%) 0% – 154%(8%)
Impaired mortgage loans held for investment – non TDRs	\$78,012	Collateral- based	Time to sell (months) Collateral depreciation Loss severity	24(24) (132%) – 100%(43%) 0% – 100%(59%)
Impaired mortgage loans held for investment – TDRs	\$42,413	Discounted cash flow	Aged default performance Loss severity	29% – 49%(39%) 0% – 22%(6%)

Table of Contents

ESTIMATED FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our financial instruments are as follows:

(in 000s)

As of	October 31, 2013		October 31, 2012		April 30, 2013	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$790,772	\$790,772	\$1,260,901	\$1,260,901	\$1,747,584	\$1,747,584
Cash and cash equivalents – restricted	47,521	47,521	38,667	38,667	117,837	117,837
Receivables, net – short-term	131,701	133,884	124,511	124,511	206,835	206,810
Mortgage loans held for investment, net	295,907	211,690	370,850	226,885	338,789	210,858
Investments in AFS securities	465,344	465,344	389,654	389,654	486,876	486,876
Receivables, net – long-term	129,180	130,023	134,359	134,359	125,048	134,283
Note receivable (including interest)	62,786	69,827	58,049	64,508	60,352	69,472
Liabilities:						
Deposits	656,305	656,300	795,519	795,227	938,331	934,019
Long-term borrowings	906,581	947,350	1,506,803	1,540,333	906,680	964,630
Contingent consideration payments	12,454	12,454	10,277	10,277	11,277	11,277

Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions are set forth below. The fair value was not estimated for assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments.

Cash and cash equivalents, including restricted – Fair value approximates the carrying amount (Level 1).

Receivables – short-term – For short-term balances with the exception of credit card receivables, the carrying values reported in the balance sheet approximate fair market value due to the relative short-term nature of the respective instruments (Level 1). The fair value of credit card balances is determined using market pricing sources based on projected future cash flows of the pooled assets and performance characteristics (Level 3).

Investments in available-for-sale securities – We use a third-party pricing service to determine fair value. The service's pricing model is based on market data and utilizes available trade, bid and other market information for similar securities (Level 2).

Mortgage loans held for investment, net – The fair value of mortgage loans held for investment is determined using market pricing sources based on projected future cash flows of each individual asset, and loan characteristics including channel and performance characteristics (Level 3).

Receivables – long-term – The carrying values for the long-term portion of loans to franchisees approximate fair market value due to the variable interest rates (Level 1). Long-term EA receivables are carried at net realizable value which approximates fair value (Level 3). Net realizable value is determined based on historical collection rates. The fair value of credit card balances is determined using market pricing sources based on projected future cash flows of the pooled assets and performance characteristics (Level 3).

Note receivable – The fair value of the long-term note receivable from M&P assumes no prepayment and is determined using market pricing sources for similar instruments based on projected future cash flows (Level 3).

Deposits – The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity such as non-interest-bearing demand deposits, checking, money market and savings accounts is equal to the amount payable on demand (Level 1). The fair value of IRAs and other time deposits is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using the rates currently offered by HRB Bank for products with similar remaining maturities (Level 3).

Long-term borrowings – The fair value of our Senior Notes is based on quotes from multiple banks. (Level 2).

Table of Contents

Contingent consideration payments – Fair value approximates the carrying amount (Level 3).

NOTE 9: INCOME TAXES

We file a consolidated federal income tax return in the United States with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and file tax returns in various state and foreign jurisdictions. Tax returns are typically examined and settled at either the exam level or through an appeal process.

In August 2013, we received written approval from the IRS Joint Committee on Taxation of the settlement of all issues related to the examination of our 2008 through 2010 federal income tax returns. The resulting reduction in uncertain tax benefits had an immaterial impact on our tax expense during the quarter. The Company's U.S. federal consolidated tax returns for 2011 and 2012 are currently under examination.

We had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$129.8 million, \$207.4 million and \$146.4 million as of October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, respectively. The gross unrecognized tax benefits decreased \$16.6 million and increased \$1.0 million during the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in unrecognized tax benefits during the second quarter ending October 31, 2013 is primarily due to the settlement with the IRS of tax years 2008-2010. We believe it is reasonably possible that the balance of unrecognized tax benefits could decrease by approximately \$23 million before October 31, 2014. The anticipated decrease is due to the expiration of statutes of limitations and anticipated settlements of state audit issues. This amount is included in accrued income taxes in our consolidated balance sheet. The remaining liability for uncertain tax positions is classified as long-term and is included in other noncurrent liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet.

Consistent with prior years, our operating loss for the six months ended October 31, 2013 is expected to be offset by income in later periods of our fiscal year due to the established pattern of seasonality in our primary business operations. As such, management has determined that it is more-likely-than-not that realization of tax benefits recorded in our financial statements will occur in our fiscal year. The amount of tax benefit recorded reflects management's estimate of the annual effective tax rate applied to the year-to-date loss from continuing operations. Certain discrete tax adjustments are also reflected in income tax expense for the periods presented.

Excluding discrete items, management's estimate of the annualized effective tax rate for the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012 was 38.7% and 38.9%, respectively. Our effective tax rate for continuing operations, including the effects of discrete income tax items, was 40.6% and 37.6% for the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Due to losses in both periods, a discrete tax benefit in either period increases the tax rate while an item of discrete tax expense decreases the tax rate. During the six months ended October 31, 2013, a net discrete tax benefit of \$6.9 million was recorded compared to a net discrete tax expense of \$4.2 million in the same period of the prior year.

NOTE 10: INTEREST INCOME AND INTEREST EXPENSE

The following table shows the components of interest income and expense:

(in 000s)

	Three months ended October 31,		Six months ended October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Interest income:				
Mortgage loans, net	\$3,631	\$4,168	\$7,173	\$8,585
Loans to franchisees	2,384	2,391	4,673	4,746
AFS securities	2,513	1,753	4,854	3,392
Credit cards	635	—	1,863	—
Other	1,463	1,547	3,260	3,009
	\$10,626	\$9,859	\$21,823	\$19,732
Interest expense:				
Borrowings	\$13,801	\$21,995	\$27,604	\$42,749
Deposits	513	1,395	1,156	2,718
	\$14,314	\$23,390	\$28,760	\$45,467

Table of Contents**NOTE 11: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

Changes in deferred revenue balances related to our Peace of Mind (POM) program, the current portion of which is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities and the long-term portion of which is included in other noncurrent liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets, are as follows:

(in 000s)

Six months ended October 31,	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 146,286	\$ 141,080
Amounts deferred for new guarantees issued	1,840	1,383
Revenue recognized on previous deferrals	(46,977) (45,555
Balance, end of the period	\$ 101,149	\$ 96,908

In addition to amounts accrued for our POM program, we had accrued \$16.7 million, \$14.7 million and \$18.0 million as of October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, respectively, related to estimated losses under our standard guarantee which is included with our standard tax preparation services. The current portion of this liability is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities and the long-term portion is included in other noncurrent liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

We have accrued estimated contingent consideration payments totaling \$12.5 million, \$10.3 million and \$11.3 million as of October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, respectively, related to acquisitions, with the short-term amount recorded in accounts payable, accrued expenses and deposits and the long-term portion included in other noncurrent liabilities. Estimates of contingent payments are typically based on expected financial performance of the acquired business and economic conditions at the time of acquisition. Should actual results differ materially from our assumptions, future payments made will differ from the above estimate and any differences will be recorded in results from continuing operations.

We have contractual commitments to fund certain franchisees requesting revolving lines of credit. Our total obligation under these lines of credit was \$91.6 million at October 31, 2013, and net of amounts drawn and outstanding, our remaining commitment to fund totaled \$38.6 million.

We have contractual commitments to fund our credit card customers on their approved revolving lines of credit. Our total obligation under the credit card agreements was \$23.7 million at October 31, 2013, and net of amounts outstanding, our remaining commitment to fund totaled \$3.7 million.

We maintain compensating balances with certain financial institutions that are creditors in our \$1.5 billion unsecured committed line of credit governed by a Credit and Guarantee Agreement (2012 CLOC), which are not legally restricted as to withdrawal. These balances totaled \$60.4 million as of October 31, 2013.

We may enter into contracts that include embedded indemnifications that have characteristics similar to guarantees. Typically, these indemnifications do not provide a stated maximum exposure and the terms of the indemnities may vary, in many cases limited only by the applicable statute of limitations. Accruals for these obligations have been established when appropriate. Historically, payments made under these types of contractual arrangements have not been material. See note 12 and note 13 to the consolidated financial statements for additional discussion regarding guarantees and indemnifications.

We evaluated our financial interests in variable interest entities (VIEs) as of October 31, 2013 and determined that there have been no significant changes related to those financial interests.

NOTE 12: LITIGATION AND RELATED CONTINGENCIES

We are a defendant in a large number of litigation matters, arising both in the ordinary course of business and otherwise, including as described below. The matters described below are not all of the lawsuits to which we are subject. In some of the matters, very large or indeterminate amounts, including punitive damages, are sought. U.S. jurisdictions permit considerable variation in the assertion of monetary damages or other relief. Jurisdictions may permit claimants not to specify the monetary damages sought or may permit claimants to state only that the amount sought is sufficient

Table of Contents

to invoke the jurisdiction of the court. In addition, jurisdictions may permit plaintiffs to allege monetary damages in amounts well exceeding reasonably possible verdicts in the jurisdiction for similar matters. We believe that the monetary relief which may be specified in a lawsuit or claim bears little relevance to its merits or disposition value due to this variability in pleadings and our experience in litigating or resolving through settlement numerous claims over an extended period of time.

The outcome of a litigation matter and the amount or range of potential loss at particular points in time may be difficult to ascertain. Among other things, uncertainties can include how fact finders will evaluate documentary evidence and the credibility and effectiveness of witness testimony, and how trial and appellate courts will apply the law. Disposition valuations are also subject to the uncertainty of how opposing parties and their counsel will themselves view the relevant evidence and applicable law.

In addition to litigation matters, we are also subject to other claims and regulatory loss contingencies arising out of our business activities, including as described below.

We accrue liabilities for litigation, other claims and regulatory loss contingencies and any related settlements (such litigation, claims, contingencies and settlements are sometimes referred to, individually, as a "matter" and, collectively, as "matters") when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Liabilities have been accrued for a number of the matters noted below. If a range of loss is estimated, and some amount within that range appears to be a better estimate than any other amount within that range, then that amount is accrued. If no amount within the range can be identified as a better estimate than any other amount, we accrue the minimum amount in the range.

For such matters where a loss is believed to be reasonably possible, but not probable, or the loss cannot be reasonably estimated, no accrual has been made. It is possible that such matters could require us to pay damages or make other expenditures or accrue liabilities in amounts that could not be reasonably estimated at October 31, 2013. While the potential future liabilities could be material in the particular quarterly or annual periods in which they are recorded, based on information currently known, we do not believe any such liabilities are likely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. As of October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, we accrued liabilities of \$20.6 million, \$20.8 million and \$11.9 million, respectively.

For some matters where a liability has not been accrued, we are able to estimate a reasonably possible loss or range of loss. For those matters, and for matters where a liability has been accrued, as of October 31, 2013, we estimate the aggregate range of reasonably possible loss in excess of amounts accrued to be approximately \$0 to \$33 million, of which 21% relates to our discontinued operations. This estimated range of reasonably possible loss is based upon currently available information and is subject to significant judgment and a variety of assumptions, as well as known and unknown uncertainties. The matters underlying the estimated range will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate. Those matters for which an estimate is not reasonably possible are not included within this estimated range. Therefore, this estimated range of reasonably possible loss represents what we believe to be an estimate of reasonably possible loss only for certain matters meeting these criteria. It does not represent our maximum loss exposure.

For other matters, we are not currently able to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss. We are often unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss until developments in such matters have provided sufficient information to support an assessment of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss, such as quantification of a damage demand from plaintiffs, discovery from other parties and investigation of factual allegations, rulings by the court on motions or appeals, analysis by experts, and the progress of settlement negotiations. On a quarterly and annual basis, we review relevant information with respect to litigation and related contingencies and update our accruals, disclosures and estimates of reasonably possible losses or ranges of loss based on such reviews.

In the event of unfavorable outcomes in these matters, including certain of the lawsuits and claims described below, the amounts that may be required to be paid to discharge or settle them could be substantial and could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Certain of these matters are described in more detail below.

LITIGATION AND OTHER CLAIMS, INCLUDING INDEMNIFICATION CLAIMS, PERTAINING TO DISCONTINUED MORTGAGE OPERATIONS – Although SCC ceased its mortgage loan origination activities in

December 2007 and sold its loan servicing business in April 2008, SCC and the Company have been, remain, or may in the future be subject to

Table of Contents

regulatory loss contingencies, claims, including indemnification claims, and lawsuits pertaining to SCC's mortgage business activities that occurred prior to such termination and sale. These contingencies, claims and lawsuits include actions by regulators, third parties seeking indemnification, including depositors and underwriters, individual plaintiffs, and cases in which plaintiffs seek to represent a class of others alleged to be similarly situated. Among other things, these contingencies, claims and lawsuits allege discriminatory or unfair and deceptive loan origination and servicing practices, fraud and other common law torts, rights to indemnification and violations of securities laws, the Truth in Lending Act (TILA), Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act. Given the impact of the financial crisis on the non-prime mortgage environment, the aggregate volume of these matters is substantial although it is difficult to predict either the likelihood of new matters being initiated or the outcome of existing matters. In many of these matters, including certain of the lawsuits and claims described below, it is not possible to estimate a reasonably possible loss or range of loss due to, among other things, the inherent uncertainties involved in these matters, some of which are beyond the Company's control, and the indeterminate damages sought in some of these matters.

On December 9, 2009, a putative class action lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California against SCC and H&R Block, Inc. styled *Jeanne Drake, et al. v. Option One Mortgage Corp., et al.* (Case No. SACV09-1450 CJC). Plaintiffs allege breach of contract, promissory fraud, intentional interference with contractual relations, wrongful withholding of wages and unfair business practices in connection with not paying severance benefits to employees when their employment transitioned to American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc. (now known as Homeward Residential, Inc. (Homeward)) in connection with the sale of certain assets and operations of SCC. Plaintiffs seek to recover severance benefits of approximately \$8 million, interest and attorney's fees, in addition to penalties and punitive damages on certain claims. On September 2, 2011, the court granted summary judgment in favor of the defendants on all claims. Plaintiffs filed an appeal, which remains pending. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable nor have we established a loss contingency related to this matter. We believe SCC has meritorious defenses to the claims in this case and intend to defend the case vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

On October 15, 2010, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago (FHLB-Chicago) filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois (Case No. 10CH45033) styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago v. Bank of America Funding Corporation, et al.* against multiple defendants, including various SCC-related entities, H&R Block, Inc. and other entities, arising out of FHLB-Chicago's purchase of residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBSs). The plaintiff seeks rescission and damages under state securities law and for common law negligent misrepresentation in connection with its purchase of two securities collateralized by loans originated and securitized by SCC. These two securities had a total initial principal amount of approximately \$50 million, of which approximately \$38 million remains outstanding. The plaintiff agreed to voluntarily dismiss H&R Block, Inc. from the suit. The remaining defendants, including SCC, filed motions to dismiss, which the court denied. Defendants moved for leave to appeal and the circuit court denied the motion. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable nor have we accrued a liability related to this matter. We believe SCC has meritorious defenses to the claims in this case and intends to defend the case vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

On February 22, 2012, a lawsuit was filed by SCC against Homeward in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, styled *Sand Canyon Corporation v. American Home Mortgage Servicing, Inc.* (Index No. 650504/2012), alleging breach of contract and breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in connection with the Cooperation Agreement entered into with SCC in connection with SCC's sale of its mortgage loan servicing business to the defendant in 2008. SCC is seeking relief to, among other things, require the defendant to provide loan files only by the method prescribed in applicable agreements. The court denied the defendant's motion to dismiss and an appellate court affirmed. Discovery is proceeding.

On May 31, 2012, a lawsuit was filed by Homeward in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, against SCC styled *Homeward Residential, Inc. v. Sand Canyon Corporation* (Index No. 651885/2012). SCC removed the case to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on June 28, 2012 (Case

No. 12-cv-5067). Plaintiff, in its capacity as the master servicer for Option One Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-2 and for the benefit of the trustee and the certificate holders of such trust, asserts claims for breach of contract, anticipatory breach, indemnity and declaratory judgment in connection with alleged losses incurred as a result of the breach of representations and warranties relating to loans sold to the trust and representation and warranties related to SCC. Plaintiff seeks specific performance of alleged repurchase obligations or damages to compensate the trust and its

Table of Contents

certificate holders for alleged actual and anticipated losses, as well as a repurchase of all loans due to alleged misrepresentations by SCC as to itself and representations given as to the loans' compliance with its underwriting standards and the value of underlying real estate. SCC filed a motion to dismiss. Plaintiff thereafter filed an amended complaint. SCC filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint, which remains pending. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable, nor have we accrued a liability related to this matter. We believe SCC has meritorious defenses to the claims in this case and intends to defend the case vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. On September 28, 2012, a second lawsuit was filed by Homeward in the District Court for the Southern District of New York against SCC styled Homeward Residential, Inc. v. Sand Canyon Corporation (Case No. 12-cv-7319). Plaintiff, in its capacity as the master servicer for Option One Mortgage Loan Trust 2006-3 and for the benefit of the trustee and the certificate holders of such trust, asserts claims for breach of contract and indemnity in connection with losses allegedly incurred as a result of the breach of representations and warranties relating to 96 loans sold to the trust. Plaintiff seeks specific performance of alleged repurchase obligations or damages to compensate the trust and its certificate holders for alleged actual and anticipated losses. SCC filed a motion to dismiss. Plaintiff thereafter filed an amended complaint. SCC filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint, which remains pending. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable, nor have we accrued a liability related to this matter. We believe SCC has meritorious defenses to the claims in this case and intends to defend the case vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

On April 5, 2013, a third lawsuit was filed by Homeward in the District Court for the Southern District of New York against SCC. The suit, styled Homeward Residential, Inc. v. Sand Canyon Corporation (Case No. 13-cv-2107), was filed as a related matter to the second Homeward suit mentioned above. In this third lawsuit, Plaintiff, in its capacity as the master servicer for Option One Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-4 and for the benefit of the trustee and the certificate holders of such trust, asserts claims for breach of contract and indemnity in connection with alleged losses incurred as a result of the breach of representations and warranties relating to 159 loans sold to the trust. Plaintiff seeks specific performance of repurchase obligations or damages to compensate the trust and its certificate holders for alleged actual and anticipated losses. SCC filed a motion to dismiss. Plaintiff thereafter filed an amended complaint. SCC filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint, which remains pending. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable, nor have we accrued a liability related to this matter. We believe SCC has meritorious defenses to the claims in this case and intends to defend the case vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Underwriters and depositors are, or have been, involved in multiple lawsuits related to securitization transactions in which SCC participated. These lawsuits allege or alleged a variety of claims, including violations of federal and state securities law and common law fraud, based on alleged materially inaccurate or misleading disclosures. Based on information currently available to SCC, it believes that the 17 lawsuits in which SCC received notice of a claim for indemnification of losses and expenses involve original investments of approximately \$14 billion. The outstanding principal amount of these investments is approximately \$4 billion. Because SCC is not party to these lawsuits (with the exception of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago v. Bank of America Funding Corporation case discussed above) and does not have control of this litigation, SCC does not have precise information about the amount of damages or other remedies being asserted or the defenses to the claims in such lawsuits. Additional lawsuits against the underwriters or depositors may be filed in the future, and SCC may receive additional notices of claims for indemnification from underwriters or depositors with respect to existing or new lawsuits. We have not concluded that a loss related to any of these indemnification claims is probable, nor have we accrued a liability related to any of these claims. Certain of the notices received included, and future notices may include, a reservation of rights that encompasses a right of contribution which may become operative if indemnification is unavailable or insufficient to cover all of the losses and expenses involved. We believe SCC has meritorious defenses to these indemnification claims and intends to defend them vigorously, but there can be no assurance as to their outcome or their impact. In the event of unfavorable outcomes on these claims, the amount required to discharge or settle them could be substantial and could have a material adverse effect.

On April 3, 2012, the Nevada Attorney General issued a subpoena to SCC indicating it was conducting an investigation concerning “the alleged commission of a practice declared to be unlawful under the Nevada Deceptive

Table of Contents

Trade Practices Act.” No complaint has been filed to date. SCC plans to continue to cooperate with the Nevada Attorney General.

EMPLOYMENT-RELATED CLAIMS AND LITIGATION – On January 25, 2010, a wage and hour class action lawsuit was filed against us in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri styled Barbara Petroski, et al. v. H&R Block Eastern Enterprises, Inc., et al., (Case No. 10-00075-CV-W-DW). The plaintiffs generally allege failure to compensate tax professionals nationwide for training that is required to be eligible for rehire the following tax season, and seek compensatory damages, liquidated damages, statutory penalties, pre-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs. A conditional class was certified under the Fair Labor Standards Act in March 2011 (consisting of tax professionals nationwide who worked in company-owned offices and who were not compensated for such training on or after April 15, 2007). Two classes were also certified under state laws in California and New York (consisting of tax professionals who worked in company-owned offices in California and New York and who were not compensated for such training on or after March 4, 2006 and on or after March 4, 2004, respectively). We filed a motion to decertify the classes, along with a motion for summary judgment on all claims. On April 8, 2013, the court granted summary judgment in our favor on all claims. The plaintiffs filed an appeal, which remains pending. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable, nor have we accrued a loss contingency related to this matter. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this matter and intend to defend them vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to the outcome of the matter or its impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

RAL AND RAC LITIGATION – A series of putative class action lawsuits were filed against us in various federal courts beginning on November 17, 2011 concerning the refund anticipation loan (RAL) and refund anticipation check (RAC) products. The plaintiffs generally allege we engaged in unfair, deceptive or fraudulent acts in violation of various state consumer protection laws by facilitating RALs that were accompanied by allegedly inaccurate TILA disclosures, and by offering RACs without any TILA disclosures. Certain plaintiffs also allege violation of disclosure requirements of various state statutes expressly governing RALs and provisions of those statutes prohibiting tax preparers from charging or retaining certain fees. Collectively, the plaintiffs seek to represent clients who purchased RAL or RAC products in up to forty-two states and the District of Columbia during timeframes ranging from 2007 to the present. The plaintiffs seek equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, compensatory and statutory damages, restitution, civil penalties, attorneys' fees and costs. These cases were consolidated by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation into a single proceeding in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois for coordinated pretrial proceedings, styled IN RE: H&R Block Refund Anticipation Loan Litigation (MDL No. 2373/No: 1:12-CV-02973-JBG). We filed a motion to compel arbitration, which remains pending. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable, nor have we accrued a loss contingency related to this matter. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in these cases and intend to defend the cases vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to the outcome of these cases or their impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

COMPLIANCE FEE LITIGATION – On April 16, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit was filed against us in the Circuit Court of Jackson County, Missouri styled Manuel H. Lopez III v. H&R Block, Inc., et al. (Case # 1216CV12290) concerning a compliance fee charged to retail tax clients in the 2011 and 2012 tax seasons. The plaintiff seeks to represent all Missouri citizens who were charged the compliance fee, and asserts claims of violation of the Missouri Merchandising Practices Act, money had and received, and unjust enrichment. We filed a motion to compel arbitration of the 2011 claims. The court denied the motion. We filed an appeal, which remains pending. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable, nor have we accrued a loss contingency related to this matter. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this case and intend to defend the case vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to the outcome of the case or its impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

On April 19, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit was filed against us in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri styled Ronald Perras v. H&R Block, Inc., et al. (Case No. 4:12-cv-00450-DGK) concerning a compliance fee charged to retail tax clients in the 2011 and 2012 tax seasons. The plaintiff seeks to represent all persons nationwide (excluding citizens of Missouri) who were charged the compliance fee, and asserts

claims of violation of various state consumer laws, money had and received, and unjust enrichment. Plaintiff filed a motion for class certification in September 2013. The court subsequently granted our motion to compel arbitration of the 2011 claims and stayed all proceedings with respect to the 2011 claims. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable, nor have we accrued a loss contingency related to this matter. We believe we have meritorious

Table of Contents

defenses to the claims in this case and intend to defend the case vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to the outcome of the case or its impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

FORM 8863 LITIGATION - A series of putative class action lawsuits were filed against us in various federal courts and one state court beginning on March 13, 2013 (including, by way of example, Danielle Pooley v. H&R Block, Inc., No. 1:13-cv-01549-JBS-KMW (D.N.J. Mar. 13, 2013); Arthur Green and Amy Hamilton v. H&R Block, Inc., et al., No. 4:13-cv-11206 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 19, 2013); Juan Ortega v. H&R Block, Inc., et al., No. 2:13-cv-02023-MMM-RZ (C.D. Cal. Mar. 20, 2013); and Nikki R. Nevill v. H&R Block, Inc., et al., No. 1316-CV07264 (Jackson Cnty., Mo. Cir. Ct. Mar. 21, 2013)). Taken together, the plaintiffs in these actions purport to represent certain clients nationwide who filed Form 8863 during tax season 2013 through an H&R Block office or using H&R Block At Home® online tax services or tax preparation software, and allege breach of contract, negligence and violation of state consumer laws in connection with transmission of the form. The plaintiffs seek damages, pre-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs. We filed motions to compel arbitration in certain of the cases. In August 2013, the plaintiff in the state court action voluntarily dismissed her case without prejudice. On October 10, 2013, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation granted our petition to consolidate the federal lawsuits for coordinated pretrial proceedings in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri in a proceeding styled IN RE: H&R BLOCK IRS FORM 8863 LITIGATION (MDL No. 2474/Case No. 4:13-MD-02474-FJG). We have not concluded that a loss related to these lawsuits is probable, nor have we accrued a liability related to these lawsuits. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in these cases and intend to defend the cases vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to the outcome of these cases or their impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

EXPRESS IRA LITIGATION – On January 2, 2008, the Mississippi Attorney General in the Chancery Court of Hinds County, Mississippi First Judicial District (Case No. G 2008 6 S 2) filed a lawsuit regarding our former Express IRA product that is styled Jim Hood, Attorney for the State of Mississippi v. H&R Block, Inc., H&R Block Financial Advisors, Inc., et al. The complaint alleges fraudulent business practices, deceptive acts and practices, common law fraud and breach of fiduciary duty with respect to the sale of the product in Mississippi and seeks equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, damages and restitution, civil penalties and punitive damages. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this case and intend to defend the case vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Although we sold H&R Block Financial Advisors, Inc. (HRBFA) effective November 1, 2008, we remain responsible for any liabilities relating to the Express IRA litigation, among other things, through an indemnification agreement. A portion of our accrual is related to these indemnity obligations.

LITIGATION AND CLAIMS PERTAINING TO THE DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS OF RSM MCGLADREY – On April 17, 2009, a shareholder derivative complaint was filed by Brian Menezes, derivatively and on behalf of nominal defendant International Textile Group, Inc. against McGladrey Capital Markets LLC (MCM) and others in the Court of Common Pleas, Greenville County, South Carolina (C.A. No. 2009-CP-23-3346) styled Brian P. Menezes, Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant, International Textile Group, Inc. (f/k/a Safety Components International, Inc.) v. McGladrey Capital Markets, LLC (f/k/a RSM EquiCo Capital Markets, LLC), et al. Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint in October 2011 styled In re International Textile Group Merger Litigation, adding a putative class action claim. Plaintiffs allege claims of aiding and abetting, civil conspiracy, gross negligence and breach of fiduciary duty against MCM in connection with a fairness opinion MCM provided to the Special Committee of Safety Components International, Inc. (SCI) in 2006 regarding the merger between International Textile Group, Inc. and SCI. Plaintiffs seek actual and punitive damages, pre-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs. On February 8, 2012, the court dismissed plaintiffs' civil conspiracy claim against all defendants. A class was certified on the remaining claims on November 20, 2012. The court granted summary judgment in favor of MCM on June 3, 2013 on the breach of fiduciary duty claim. To avoid the cost and inherent risk associated with litigation, the parties signed a memorandum of understanding to resolve the case, which is subject to approval by the court. A portion of our loss contingency accrual is related to this lawsuit for the amount of loss that we consider probable and reasonably estimable. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this case and intend to defend the case vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In connection with the sale of RSM and MCM, we indemnified the buyers against certain litigation matters. The indemnities are not subject to a stated term or limit. A portion of our accrual is related to these indemnity obligations.

Table of Contents

OTHER – We are from time to time a party to claims, lawsuits, investigations, loss contingencies and related settlements not discussed herein arising out of our business operations. These matters may include actions by state attorneys general, other state regulators, federal regulators, individual plaintiffs, and cases in which plaintiffs seek to represent a class of others similarly situated. We believe we have meritorious defenses to each of these matters, and we are defending or intend to defend them vigorously. The amounts claimed in these matters are substantial in some instances; however, the ultimate liability with respect to such matters is difficult to predict. In the event of an unfavorable outcome, the amounts we may be required to pay to discharge liabilities or settle them could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

We are also a party to claims and lawsuits that we consider to be ordinary, routine litigation incidental to our business, including, but not limited to, claims and lawsuits concerning the preparation of customers' income tax returns, the fees charged customers for various services and products, relationships with franchisees, intellectual property disputes, marketing and other competitor disputes, employment matters and contract disputes. While we cannot provide assurance that we will ultimately prevail in each instance, we believe the amount, if any, we are required to pay to discharge or settle these other matters will not have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

NOTE 13: LOSS CONTINGENCIES ARISING FROM REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES OF OUR DISCONTINUED MORTGAGE OPERATIONS

SCC ceased originating mortgage loans in December 2007 and, in April 2008, sold its servicing assets and discontinued its remaining operations.

Mortgage loans originated by SCC were sold either as whole loans to single third-party buyers or in the form of RMBSs. In connection with the sale of loans and/or RMBSs, SCC made certain representations and warranties. These representations and warranties varied based on the nature of the transaction and the buyer's or insurer's requirements, but generally pertained to the ownership of the loan, the validity of the lien securing the loan, borrower fraud, the loan's compliance with the criteria for inclusion in the transaction, including compliance with SCC's underwriting standards or loan criteria established by the buyer, ability to deliver required documentation, and compliance with applicable laws. Representations and warranties related to borrower fraud in whole loan sale transactions to institutional investors, which represented approximately 68% of the disposal of loans originated in calendar years 2005, 2006 and 2007, included a "knowledge qualifier" limiting SCC's liability to those instances where SCC had knowledge of the fraud at the time the loans were sold. Representations and warranties made in other sale transactions effectively did not include a knowledge qualifier as to borrower fraud. SCC believes it would have an obligation to repurchase a loan or indemnify certain parties with respect to a claim for a breach of a representation and warranty only if such breach materially and adversely affects the value of the mortgage loan, or a securitization insurer's or certificate holder's interest in the mortgage loan, and the mortgage loan has not been liquidated, although there is limited and conflicting case law on the liquidated loan defense issue. Such claims together with any settlement arrangements related to these losses are collectively referred to as "representation and warranty claims."

Representation and warranty claims received by SCC have primarily related to alleged breaches of representations and warranties related to a loan's compliance with the underwriting standards established by SCC at origination and borrower fraud for loans originated in calendar years 2006 and 2007. SCC has received \$2.1 billion in claims since May 1, 2008, of which \$190 million were received in fiscal year 2013 and \$1.1 billion in fiscal year 2012. SCC received new claims totaling \$69.1 million during the six months ended October 31, 2013, all of which were initiated by parties with whom SCC has tolling agreements. These tolling agreements toll the running of any applicable statute of limitations related to potential lawsuits regarding representation and warranty claims and other claims against SCC. Claims totaling approximately \$0.7 million remained subject to review as of October 31, 2013, of which, approximately \$0.2 million represent a reassertion of previously denied claims.

SETTLEMENT ACTIONS - SCC has entered into tolling agreements with the counterparties that initiated all of the new claims received by SCC during the six months ended October 31, 2013. Beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2013 and continuing into the second quarter of fiscal year 2014, SCC has been engaged in discussions with these counterparties regarding the bulk settlement of previously denied and potential future claims. Based on settlement discussions with these counterparties, SCC believes a bulk settlement approach, rather than the loan-by-loan

resolution process, will be needed to resolve all of the representation and warranty and other claims that are the

Table of Contents

subject of these discussions. In the event that current efforts to settle are not successful, SCC believes claim volumes may increase or litigation may result.

SCC continues to engage in a loan-by-loan review of new requests for repurchase. SCC has and will continue to vigorously contest any request for repurchase when it has concluded that a valid basis for repurchase does not exist. SCC's decision whether to engage in bulk settlement discussions is based on factors that vary by counterparty or type of counterparty and include the considerations used by SCC in determining its loss estimate, described below under "Liability for Estimated Contingent Losses."

LIABILITY FOR ESTIMATED CONTINGENT LOSSES - SCC records a liability for losses related to representation and warranty claims when those losses are believed to be both probable and reasonably estimable. Development of loss estimates is subjective, subject to a high degree of management judgment, and estimates may vary significantly period to period. SCC's loss estimate as of October 31, 2013 considers the experience gained through discussions with counterparties, and an assessment of, among other things, historical claim results, threatened claims, terms and provisions of related agreements, counterparty willingness to pursue a settlement, legal standing of counterparties to provide a comprehensive settlement, the potential pro-rata realization of the claims as compared to all similar claims and other relevant facts and circumstances when developing its estimate of probable loss. The estimate is based on the best information currently available, significant management judgment, and a number of factors, including developments in case law and those factors mentioned above, that are subject to change. Changes in any one of these factors could significantly impact the estimate.

The liability is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. A rollforward of SCC's accrued liability for these loss contingencies is as follows:

(in 000s)

Six months ended October 31,	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of the period	\$ 158,765	\$ 130,018
Provisions	—	—
Payments	—	(753)
Balance, end of the period	\$ 158,765	\$ 129,265

SCC is taking the legal position, where appropriate, for both contractual representation and warranty claims and similar claims in litigation, that a valid representation and warranty claim cannot be made with respect to a mortgage loan that has been liquidated. There is limited and conflicting case law on this issue. These decisions are from lower courts, are inconsistent in their analysis and receptivity to this defense, and are subject to appeal. It is anticipated that the liquidated mortgage loan defense will be the subject of future judicial decisions. Until the validity of the liquidated loan defense is further clarified by the courts or other developments occur, SCC's estimated accrual for representation and warranty will not take this defense into account.

ESTIMATED RANGE OF POSSIBLE LOSS - SCC believes it is reasonably possible that future representation and warranty losses may vary from amounts recorded for these exposures. SCC currently estimates that the range of reasonably possible loss could be up to \$40 million in excess of amounts accrued. This estimated range is based on currently available information, significant judgment and a number of assumptions that are subject to change. The actual loss that may be incurred could be more or less than our accrual or the estimate of reasonably possible losses.

INDEMNIFICATION OBLIGATIONS - As described more fully in note 12, losses may also be incurred with respect to various indemnification claims by underwriters and depositors in securitization transactions in which SCC participated. Losses from these indemnification claims are frequently not subject to a stated term or limit. We have not concluded that a loss related to any of these indemnification claims is probable, have not accrued a liability for these claims and are not able to estimate a reasonably possible loss or range of loss for these claims. Accordingly, neither the accrued liability described above totaling \$158.8 million, nor the estimated range of reasonably possible losses described above of up to approximately \$40 million, includes any possible losses which may arise from these indemnification claims. There can be no assurances as to the outcome or impact of these indemnification claims. In the event of unfavorable outcomes on these claims, the amount required to discharge or settle them could be substantial and could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and

cash flows.

26

H&R Block Q2 FY2014 Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

NOTE 14: DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Discontinued operations consist of our former Business Services segment and SCC. We sold or ceased to operate all businesses within our former Business Services segment in fiscal year 2012. SCC exited its mortgage business in fiscal year 2008.

Results of our discontinued operations are as follows:

(in 000s)

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	October 31,		October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Revenues	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Pretax income (loss) from operations:				
RSM and related businesses	\$(608) \$(221) \$(1,836) \$307
Mortgage	(2,538) (6,411) (4,436) (9,874
	(3,146) (6,632) (6,272) (9,567
Income tax benefit	(1,218) (2,588) (2,427) (3,732
Net loss from discontinued operations	\$(1,928) \$(4,044) \$(3,845) \$(5,835

Table of Contents

NOTE 15: REGULATORY CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

The following table sets forth HRB Bank's regulatory capital requirements calculated in its Call Report, as filed with the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC):

(dollars in 000s)

	Actual		Minimum Capital Requirement		Minimum to be Well Capitalized		
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
As of September 30, 2013:							
Total risk-based capital ratio ⁽¹⁾	\$506,449	140.0	% \$28,950	8.0	% \$36,188	10.0	%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio ⁽²⁾	501,720	138.6	% N/A	N/A	21,713	6.0	%
Tier 1 capital ratio (leverage) ⁽³⁾	501,720	40.4	% 148,869	12.0	% ⁽⁵⁾ 62,029	5.0	%
Tangible equity ratio ⁽⁴⁾	501,720	40.4	% 18,609	1.5	% N/A	N/A	
As of September 30, 2012:							
Total risk-based capital ratio ⁽¹⁾	\$462,454	128.2	% \$28,868	8.0	% \$36,085	10.0	%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio ⁽²⁾	457,760	126.9	% N/A	N/A	21,651	6.0	%
Tier 1 capital ratio (leverage) ⁽³⁾	457,760	36.8	% 49,696	12.0	% ⁽⁵⁾ 62,120	5.0	%
Tangible equity ratio ⁽⁴⁾	457,760	36.8	% 18,636	1.5	% N/A	N/A	
As of March 31, 2013:							
Total risk-based capital ratio ⁽¹⁾	\$506,734	131.6	% \$30,806	8.0	% \$38,508	10.0	%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio ⁽²⁾	501,731	130.3	% N/A	N/A	23,105	6.0	%
Tier 1 capital ratio (leverage) ⁽³⁾	501,731	25.5	% 236,315	12.0	% ⁽⁵⁾ 98,464	5.0	%
Tangible equity ratio ⁽⁴⁾	501,731	25.5	% 29,539	1.5	% N/A	N/A	

⁽¹⁾ Total risk-based capital divided by risk-weighted assets.

⁽²⁾ Tier 1 (core) capital less deduction for low-level recourse and residual interest divided by risk-weighted assets.

⁽³⁾ Tier 1 (core) capital divided by adjusted total assets.

⁽⁴⁾ Tangible capital divided by tangible assets.

⁽⁵⁾ Effective April 5, 2012, the minimum capital requirement was changed to 4% by the OCC, although HRB Bank plans to maintain a minimum of 12.0% leverage capital at the end of each calendar quarter.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require HRB Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of tangible equity, total risk-based capital and Tier 1 capital, as set forth in the table above. As of October 31, 2013, HRB Bank's leverage ratio was 41.6%.

NOTE 16: SEGMENT INFORMATION

Results of our continuing operations by reportable segment are as follows:

(in 000s)

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	October 31, 2013	2012	October 31, 2013	2012
REVENUES :				
Tax Services	\$128,040	\$129,819	\$249,731	\$220,072
Corporate and eliminations	6,300	7,444	11,804	13,680

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

	\$134,340	\$137,263	\$261,535	\$233,752
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE TAXES :				
Tax Services	\$(159,314)	\$(130,109)	\$(303,708)	\$(271,014)
Corporate and eliminations	(20,048)	(32,179)	(60,148)	(60,543)
	\$(179,362)	\$(162,288)	\$(363,856)	\$(331,557)

Table of Contents

NOTE 17: CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Block Financial is an indirect, 100% owned subsidiary of the Company. Block Financial is the Issuer and the Company is the full and unconditional Guarantor of the Senior Notes issued on October 25, 2012 and October 26, 2004, our 2012 CLOC, and other indebtedness issued from time to time. These condensed consolidating financial statements have been prepared using the equity method of accounting. Earnings of subsidiaries are, therefore, reflected in the Company's investment in subsidiaries account. The elimination entries eliminate investments in subsidiaries, related stockholders' equity and other intercompany balances and transactions.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

						(in 000s)
Three months ended October 31,	H&R Block, Inc.	Block Financial	Other		Eliminations	Consolidated
2013	(Guarantor)	(Issuer)	Subsidiaries			H&R Block
Total revenues	\$ —	\$ 21,170	\$ 113,204		\$(34)) \$ 134,340
Cost of revenues	—	26,932	193,966		(34)) 220,864
Selling, general and administrative	—	1,369	92,723		—	94,092
Total expenses	—	28,301	286,689		(34)) 314,956
Operating loss	—	(7,131)) (173,485)) —	(180,616)
Other income (expense), net	(179,362)) 1,662	(408)) 179,362	1,254
Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit	(179,362)) (5,469)) (173,893)) 179,362	(179,362)
Income tax benefit	(76,347)) (2,203)) (74,144)) 76,347	(76,347)
Net loss from continuing operations	(103,015)) (3,266)) (99,749)) 103,015	(103,015)
Net loss from discontinued operations	(1,928)) (1,553)) (375)) 1,928	(1,928)
Net loss	(104,943)) (4,819)) (100,124)) 104,943	(104,943)
Other comprehensive income	1,720	1,108	612		(1,720)) 1,720
Comprehensive loss	\$ (103,223)) \$ (3,711)) \$(99,512)) \$ 103,223	\$(103,223)
Three months ended October 31,	H&R Block, Inc.	Block Financial	Other		Eliminations	Consolidated
2012	(Guarantor)	(Issuer)	Subsidiaries			H&R Block
Total revenues	\$ —	\$ 17,986	\$ 119,299		\$(22)) \$ 137,263
Cost of revenues	—	33,871	178,162		(22)) 212,011
Selling, general and administrative	—	7,321	83,006		—	90,327
Total expenses	—	41,192	261,168		(22)) 302,338
Operating loss	—	(23,206)) (141,869)) —	(165,075)
Other income (expense), net	(162,288)) 1,186	1,601		162,288	2,787
Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit	(162,288)) (22,020)) (140,268)) 162,288	(162,288)
Income tax benefit	(61,089)) (6,703)) (54,386)) 61,089	(61,089)
Net loss from continuing operations	(101,199)) (15,317)) (85,882)) 101,199	(101,199)
Net loss from discontinued operations	(4,044)) (3,909)) (135)) 4,044	(4,044)
Net loss	(105,243)) (19,226)) (86,017)) 105,243	(105,243)
Other comprehensive income	1,335	119	1,216		(1,335)) 1,335
Comprehensive loss	\$ (103,908)) \$ (19,107)) \$(84,801)) \$ 103,908	\$(103,908)

Table of Contents

Six months ended October 31,	H&R Block, Inc.	Block Financial	Other	Eliminations	Consolidated
2013	(Guarantor)	(Issuer)	Subsidiaries		H&R Block
Total revenues	\$ —	\$ 48,385	\$ 213,340	\$(190)	\$ 261,535
Cost of revenues	—	66,291	364,816	(190)	430,917
Selling, general and administrative	—	15,407	175,382	—	190,789
Total expenses	—	81,698	540,198	(190)	621,706
Operating loss	—	(33,313)	(326,858)	—	(360,171)
Other income (expense), net	(363,856)	1,706	(5,391)	363,856	(3,685)
Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit	(363,856)	(31,607)	(332,249)	363,856	(363,856)
Income tax benefit	(147,571)	(11,601)	(135,970)	147,571	(147,571)
Net loss from continuing operations	(216,285)	(20,006)	(196,279)	216,285	(216,285)
Net loss from discontinued operations	(3,845)	(2,716)	(1,129)	3,845	(3,845)
Net loss	(220,130)	(22,722)	(197,408)	220,130	(220,130)
Other comprehensive loss	(9,087)	(6,616)	(2,471)	9,087	(9,087)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (229,217)	\$ (29,338)	\$ (199,879)	\$ 229,217	\$ (229,217)
Six months ended October 31,	H&R Block, Inc.	Block Financial	Other	Eliminations	Consolidated
2012	(Guarantor)	(Issuer)	Subsidiaries		H&R Block
Total revenues	\$ —	\$ 39,915	\$ 193,915	\$(78)	\$ 233,752
Cost of revenues	—	68,813	336,700	(78)	405,435
Selling, general and administrative	—	14,961	150,844	—	165,805
Total expenses	—	83,774	487,544	(78)	571,240
Operating loss	—	(43,859)	(293,629)	—	(337,488)
Other income (expense), net	(331,557)	2,510	3,421	331,557	5,931
Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit	(331,557)	(41,349)	(290,208)	331,557	(331,557)
Income tax benefit	(124,708)	(14,958)	(109,750)	124,708	(124,708)
Net loss from continuing operations	(206,849)	(26,391)	(180,458)	206,849	(206,849)
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	(5,835)	(6,020)	185	5,835	(5,835)
Net loss	(212,684)	(32,411)	(180,273)	212,684	(212,684)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(3,460)	254	(3,714)	3,460	(3,460)
Comprehensive loss	\$ (216,144)	\$ (32,157)	\$ (183,987)	\$ 216,144	\$ (216,144)

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS					(in 000s)
As of October 31, 2013	H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor)	Block Financial (Issuer)	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated H&R Block
Cash & cash equivalents	\$ —	\$ 389,915	\$ 401,303	\$(446)) \$ 790,772
Cash & cash equivalents — restricted	—	6,795	40,726	—	47,521
Receivables, net	—	99,867	31,834	—	131,701
Mortgage loans held for investment, net	—	295,907	—	—	295,907
Intangible assets and goodwill, net	—	—	739,025	—	739,025
Investments in subsidiaries	3,114,988	—	1,336	(3,114,988)) 1,336
Amounts due from affiliates	—	397,526	2,167,944	(2,565,470)) —
Other assets	8,512	616,434	643,305	—	1,268,251
Total assets	\$ 3,123,500	\$ 1,806,444	\$ 4,025,473	\$(5,680,904)) \$ 3,274,513
Customer deposits	\$ —	\$ 655,575	\$ —	\$(446)) \$ 655,129
Long-term debt	—	897,236	9,345	—	906,581
Other liabilities	581	226,671	530,576	—	757,828
Amounts due to affiliates	2,167,944	—	397,526	(2,565,470)) —
Stockholders' equity	954,975	26,962	3,088,026	(3,114,988)) 954,975
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 3,123,500	\$ 1,806,444	\$ 4,025,473	\$(5,680,904)) \$ 3,274,513
As of October 31, 2012	H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor)	Block Financial (Issuer)	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated H&R Block
Cash & cash equivalents	\$ —	\$ 491,772	\$ 769,995	\$(866)) \$ 1,260,901
Cash & cash equivalents — restricted	—	696	37,971	—	38,667
Receivables, net	—	98,007	26,504	—	124,511
Mortgage loans held for investment, net	—	370,850	—	—	370,850
Intangible assets and goodwill, net	—	—	709,685	—	709,685
Investments in subsidiaries	2,906,582	641	19	(2,906,582)) 660
Amounts due from affiliates ⁽¹⁾	50	689,702	2,222,748	(2,912,500)) —
Other assets	9,357	611,904	770,195	—	1,391,456
Total assets	\$ 2,915,989	\$ 2,263,572	\$ 4,537,117	\$(5,819,948)) \$ 3,896,730
Customer deposits	\$ —	\$ 790,972	\$ —	\$(866)) \$ 790,106
Long-term debt	—	1,496,695	10,108	—	1,506,803
Other liabilities	308	284,042	622,538	—	906,888
Amounts due to affiliates ⁽¹⁾	2,222,748	—	689,752	(2,912,500)) —
Stockholders' equity	692,933	(308,137)) 3,214,719	(2,906,582)) 692,933
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 2,915,989	\$ 2,263,572	\$ 4,537,117	\$(5,819,948)) \$ 3,896,730

⁽¹⁾ Amounts have been restated to conform to the current period presentation, including the presentation of income tax receivables settled with affiliates and the presentation of intercompany receivables and payables gross, rather than

net.

Table of Contents

As of April 30, 2013	H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor)	Block Financial (Issuer)	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated H&R Block
Cash & cash equivalents	\$ —	\$ 558,110	\$ 1,192,197	\$(2,723)	\$ 1,747,584
Cash & cash equivalents — restricted	—	75,096	42,741	—	117,837
Receivables, net	769	99,844	106,222	—	206,835
Mortgage loans held for investment, net	—	338,789	—	—	338,789
Intangible assets and goodwill, net	—	—	719,221	—	719,221
Investments in subsidiaries	3,444,442	473	—	(3,444,442)	473
Amounts due from affiliates	—	410,590	2,189,625	(2,600,215)	—
Other assets	8,390	645,166	753,484	—	1,407,040
Total assets	\$ 3,453,601	\$ 2,128,068	\$ 5,003,490	\$(6,047,380)	\$ 4,537,779
Customer deposits	\$ —	\$ 939,187	\$ —	\$(2,723)	\$ 936,464
Long-term debt	—	896,978	9,702	—	906,680
Other liabilities	429	245,862	1,184,797	—	1,431,088
Amounts due to affiliates	2,189,625	—	410,590	(2,600,215)	—
Stockholders' equity	1,263,547	46,041	3,398,401	(3,444,442)	1,263,547
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 3,453,601	\$ 2,128,068	\$ 5,003,490	\$(6,047,380)	\$ 4,537,779

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Six months ended October 31, 2013	H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor)	Block Financial (Issuer)	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	(in 000s) Consolidated H&R Block
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	\$ 799	\$ 46,969	\$(540,141)	\$ —	\$(492,373)
Cash flows from investing:					
Purchases of AFS securities	—	(45,158)	—	—	(45,158)
Maturities and payments received on AFS securities	—	55,615	—	—	55,615
Mortgage loans held for investment, net	—	24,340	—	—	24,340
Purchases of property & equipment	—	(57)	(86,869)	—	(86,926)
Payments for business acquisitions, net	—	—	(20,927)	—	(20,927)
Loans made to franchisees	—	(22,114)	—	—	(22,114)
Repayments from franchisees	—	15,883	—	—	15,883
Intercompany advances (payments)	89,318	—	—	(89,318)	—
Other, net	—	11,368	3,887	—	15,255
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	89,318	39,877	(103,909)	(89,318)	(64,032)
Cash flows from financing:					
Customer banking deposits, net	—	(278,077)	—	2,277	(275,800)
Dividends paid	(109,324)	—	—	—	(109,324)

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

Repurchase of common stock	(5,329)	—	—	—	(5,329)
Proceeds from stock options	24,536		—	—	—	24,536	
Intercompany advances (payments)	—		23,036	(112,354)	89,318	—
Other, net	—		—	(26,619)	—	(26,619
Net cash used in financing activities	(90,117)	(255,041)	(138,973)	91,595
Effects of exchange rates on cash	—		—	(7,871)	—	(7,871
Net decrease in cash	—		(168,195)	(790,894)	2,277
Cash – beginning of the period	—		558,110		1,192,197		(2,723
Cash – end of the period	\$ —		\$ 389,915		\$ 401,303		\$(446
) \$ 790,772

Table of Contents

Six months ended October 31, 2012	H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor)	Block Financial (Issuer)	Other Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated H&R Block
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	\$ (367)	\$ 2,026	\$(568,695)	\$—	\$(567,036)
Cash flows from investing:					
Purchases of AFS securities	—	(67,474)	—	—	(67,474)
Maturities and payments received on AFS securities	—	53,064	34	—	53,098
Mortgage loans held for investment, net	—	23,608	—	—	23,608
Purchases of property & equipment	—	(912)	(59,808)	—	(60,720)
Payments for business acquisitions, net	—	—	(10,442)	—	(10,442)
Loans made to franchisees	—	(20,670)	—	—	(20,670)
Repayments from franchisees	—	8,303	—	—	8,303
Net intercompany advances	447,426	—	—	(447,426)	—
Other, net	—	5,023	5,195	—	10,218
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	447,426	942	(65,021)	(447,426)	(64,079)
Cash flows from financing:					
Repayments of other borrowings	—	—	(30,831)	—	(30,831)
Proceeds from long-term debt	—	497,185	—	—	497,185
Customer banking deposits, net	—	(37,890)	—	(23)	(37,913)
Dividends paid	(108,428)	—	—	—	(108,428)
Repurchase of common stock	(339,919)	—	—	—	(339,919)
Proceeds from stock options	1,288	—	—	—	1,288
Net intercompany advances	—	(475,147)	27,721	447,426	—
Other, net	—	(10,491)	(22,513)	—	(33,004)
Net cash used in financing activities	(447,059)	(26,343)	(25,623)	447,403	(51,622)
Effects of exchange rates on cash	—	—	(696)	—	(696)
Net decrease in cash	—	(23,375)	(660,035)	(23)	(683,433)
Cash – beginning of the period	—	515,147	1,430,030	(843)	1,944,334
Cash – end of the period	\$ —	\$ 491,772	\$ 769,995	\$(866)	\$ 1,260,901

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our subsidiaries provide tax preparation and retail banking services. Tax returns are either prepared by H&R Block tax professionals (in company-owned or franchise offices or virtually via the internet) or prepared and filed by our clients through H&R Block tax software, either online or using our software or mobile applications.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

In October 2013, the IRS announced a delay of approximately one to two weeks to the start of the 2014 filing season to allow adequate time to program and test tax processing systems following the U.S. government closure. This delay could result in an industry-wide delay to tax season filing patterns, additional expenses incurred and deferral of fiscal third quarter return volumes and revenues to our fiscal fourth quarter.

In July 2013, HRB Bank and Block Financial entered into the P&A Agreement with Republic Bank subject to various closing conditions, including finalization of various operating agreements and receipt of certain required approvals (P&A Transaction). Prior to entering into the P&A Agreement, Republic Bank, which currently operates under a state bank charter and is regulated primarily by the FDIC, filed an application with the OCC for approval to convert to a national banking association. Approval and completion of this conversion were conditions to closing the P&A Transaction.

In October 2013, Republic Bank informed us that it had withdrawn its application for the conversion and its application for approval of the P&A Transaction, which was contingent upon the approval of the conversion. As a result, HRB Bank and Block Financial provided notice to Republic Bank of termination of the P&A Agreement. We plan to continue offering financial services and products to our clients through HRB Bank during the 2014 tax season. We continue to explore alternatives for delivering financial products and services to our customers while ceasing to be regulated as a savings and loan holding company; however, we cannot predict the timing or the likelihood of ceasing to be regulated as an SLHC.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OVERVIEW - A summary of our consolidated results is as follows:

Consolidated Results of Operations Data	(in 000s, except per share amounts)			
	Three months ended October 31, 2013		Six months ended October 31, 2012	
REVENUES:				
Tax Services	\$ 128,040	\$ 129,819	\$ 249,731	\$ 220,072
Corporate and eliminations	6,300	7,444	11,804	13,680
	\$ 134,340	\$ 137,263	\$ 261,535	\$ 233,752
LOSS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE TAXES:				
Tax Services	\$(159,314)	\$(130,109)	\$(303,708)	\$(271,014)
Corporate and eliminations	(20,048)	(32,179)	(60,148)	(60,543)
	(179,362)	(162,288)	(363,856)	(331,557)
Income tax benefit	(76,347)	(61,089)	(147,571)	(124,708)
Net loss from continuing operations	(103,015)	(101,199)	(216,285)	(206,849)
Net loss from discontinued operations	(1,928)	(4,044)	(3,845)	(5,835)
NET LOSS	\$(104,943)	\$(105,243)	\$(220,130)	\$(212,684)
BASIC AND DILUTED LOSS PER SHARE:				
Continuing operations	\$(0.38)	\$(0.37)	\$(0.79)	\$(0.76)
Discontinued operations	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.02)
Consolidated	\$(0.39)	\$(0.39)	\$(0.80)	\$(0.78)
EBITDA FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS ⁽¹⁾	\$ (138,380)	\$ (116,845)	\$ (285,554)	\$ (243,486)

EBITDA FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS -
ADJUSTED ⁽¹⁾ (142,018) (117,024) (280,690) (246,238)

(1) See "Non-GAAP Financial Information" at the end of this item for a reconciliation of non-GAAP measures.

Table of Contents

TAX SERVICES

This segment consists of our income tax preparation offerings - assisted, online, software and mobile applications, including tax operations primarily in the U.S. and its territories, Canada, and Australia. This segment also includes the activities of HRB Bank that primarily support the tax network.

Tax Services – Financial Results	(dollars in 000s)			
	Three months ended October 31,		Six months ended October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Tax preparation fees:				
U.S.	\$29,011	\$23,805	\$51,037	\$42,640
International	41,568	51,525	73,662	65,583
	70,579	75,330	124,699	108,223
Royalties	9,527	9,630	16,089	15,481
Fees from Emerald Card	9,999	8,281	24,610	20,337
Fees from Peace of Mind® guarantees	19,151	18,572	46,977	45,555
Other	18,784	18,006	37,356	30,476
Total revenues	128,040	129,819	249,731	220,072
Compensation and benefits:				
Field wages	49,531	45,290	89,435	77,698
Other wages	35,665	34,592	70,400	68,959
Benefits and other compensation	22,178	18,765	38,115	33,539
	107,374	98,647	197,950	180,196
Occupancy and equipment	83,634	82,267	162,184	162,118
Marketing and advertising	12,566	11,386	19,583	18,838
Depreciation and amortization	26,632	23,393	49,434	43,864
Other	57,148	44,235	124,288	86,070
Total expenses	287,354	259,928	553,439	491,086
Pretax loss	\$(159,314)	\$(130,109)	\$(303,708)	\$(271,014)

Three months ended October 31, 2013 compared to October 31, 2012

Tax Services' revenues decreased \$1.8 million, or 1.4%, from the prior year. U.S. tax preparation fees increased \$5.2 million, or 21.9%, primarily due to an 11.9% increase in returns prepared, coupled with an increase in the average charge. International tax preparation fees decreased \$10.0 million, or 19.3%, due primarily to a shift in revenues for our Australian tax operations from the second quarter to the first quarter of the current fiscal year.

Total expenses increased \$27.4 million, or 10.6%, over the prior year. Total compensation and benefits increased \$8.7 million due in part to variable wages resulting from an increase in tax returns prepared, coupled with higher payroll taxes and benefits. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$3.2 million, or 13.8%, due in part to tax office upgrades. Other expenses increased \$12.9 million, or 29.2%, over the prior year partially due to higher litigation expenses of \$4.5 million. We also recorded higher bad debt and processing expenses related to our credit card receivables.

The pretax loss for the current quarter totaled \$159.3 million compared to \$130.1 million in the prior year.

Six months ended October 31, 2013 compared to October 31, 2012

Tax Services' revenues increased \$29.7 million, or 13.5%, compared to the prior year. U.S. tax preparation fees increased \$8.4 million, or 19.7%, due to an 8.3% increase in returns prepared, coupled with an increase in the average charge. International tax preparation fees increased \$8.1 million, or 12.3%, due primarily to the timing of revenues for our Australian tax operations, which we expect to substantially reverse by the end of our third fiscal quarter.

Table of Contents

Emerald Card fees increased \$4.3 million, or 21.0%, primarily due to higher transaction volumes on Emerald Card accounts.

Other revenue increased \$6.9 million, or 22.6%, primarily due to interest income on credit card balances and available-for-sale securities.

Total expenses increased \$62.4 million, or 12.7%, from the prior year. Total compensation and benefits increased \$17.8 million primarily due to variable wages resulting from an increase in tax returns prepared for both our U.S. and Australian operations. We believe the increase in Australian tax return volumes is primarily timing in nature, and that related increases to variable wages will largely reverse by the end of our third fiscal quarter. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$5.6 million, or 12.7%, primarily due to tax office upgrades. Other expenses increased \$38.2 million, or 44.4%, over the prior year due in part to higher litigation expenses of \$9.8 million and foreign currency losses of \$7.2 million. The increase in other expenses is also due to processing costs and loss provisions totaling \$6.8 million associated with a pilot credit card program that was not offered in the prior period, and higher expenses of our Emerald Card offering totaling \$5.6 million resulting primarily from increased transaction volume. The pretax loss for the current year totaled \$303.7 million compared to \$271.0 million in the prior year.

CORPORATE, ELIMINATIONS AND INCOME TAXES ON CONTINUING OPERATIONS

Corporate operating results include net interest margin and gains or losses relating to mortgage loans, real estate owned and residual interests in securitizations, along with interest expense on borrowings, other corporate expenses and eliminations of intercompany activities.

Corporate – Operating Results

	(in 000s)			
	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	October 31,		October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Interest income on mortgage loans held for investment	\$3,631	\$4,168	\$7,173	\$8,585
Other	2,669	3,276	4,631	5,095
Total revenues	6,300	7,444	11,804	13,680
Interest expense	13,399	21,903	26,823	42,571
Provision for loan losses	(379) 2,750	7,224	6,750
Other, net	13,328	14,970	37,905	24,902
Total expense	26,348	39,623	71,952	74,223
Pretax loss	\$(20,048) \$(32,179) \$(60,148) \$(60,543

Three months ended October 31, 2013 compared to October 31, 2012

Pretax results. Interest expense decreased \$8.5 million, or 38.8%, due to lower interest rates on our Senior Notes issued in fiscal year 2013, and lower principal balances outstanding. Our provision for loan losses decreased \$3.1 million from the prior year due to improvement in collateral values.

Income Taxes on Continuing Operations. Our effective tax rate for continuing operations was 42.6% and 37.6% for the three months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Due to losses in both periods, a discrete tax benefit in either period increases the tax rate while an item of discrete tax expense decreases the tax rate. During the current quarter, a net discrete tax benefit of \$7.1 million was recorded compared to a net discrete tax expense of \$1.5 million in the same period of the prior year. This net difference in discrete tax expense was primarily due to differences in income tax reserves recorded of \$19.6 million, partially offset by the write-off of a tax benefit previously recorded for a tax planning strategy of \$9.2 million. The 5.0% increase in our tax rate was due to the changes in discrete tax items. Six months ended October 31, 2013 compared to October 31, 2012

Pretax results. Interest expense decreased \$15.7 million, or 37.0%, due to lower interest rates on our Senior Notes issued in fiscal year 2013, and lower principal balances outstanding. Our provision for loan losses increased \$0.5

Table of Contents

million, or 7.0%, from the prior year primarily as a result of a write-down to fair value of \$2.9 million recorded in connection with the transfer of \$7.6 million of mortgage loans from held for investment to held for sale, partially offset by declining loan balances and improvement in collateral values. Other expenses increased \$13.0 million, or 52.2%, primarily due to higher insurance expenses and stock-based compensation.

Income Taxes on Continuing Operations. Excluding discrete items, management's estimate of the annualized effective tax rate for the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012 was 38.7% and 38.9%, respectively. Our effective tax rate for continuing operations, including the effects of discrete income tax items, was 40.6% and 37.6% for the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Due to losses in both periods, a discrete tax benefit in either period increases the tax rate while an item of discrete tax expense decreases the tax rate. During the six months ended October 31, 2013, a net discrete tax benefit of \$6.9 million was recorded compared to a net discrete tax expense of \$4.2 million in the same period of the prior year.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Discontinued operations include our previously reported Business Services segment and discontinued mortgage operations.

Representation and Warranty Claims. SCC records a liability for losses related to representation and warranty claims when those losses are believed to be both probable and reasonably estimable. SCC considers the experience gained through discussions with counterparties, and an assessment of, among other things, historical claim results, threatened claims, terms and provisions of related agreements, counterparty willingness to pursue a settlement, legal standing of counterparties to provide a comprehensive settlement, the potential pro-rata realization of the claims as compared to all similar claims and other relevant facts and circumstances when developing its estimate of probable loss.

We received new claims totaling \$69.1 million during the six months ended October 31, 2013, all of which were initiated by parties with whom we have tolling agreements. We had no payments for losses on representation and warranty claims during the six months ended October 31, 2013, while loss payments totaled \$0.8 million for the six months ended October 31, 2012.

SCC has accrued a liability as of October 31, 2013 for estimated contingent losses arising from representation and warranty claims of \$158.8 million. The estimate of accrued loss is based on the best information currently available, significant management judgment, and a number of factors, including developments in case law and those factors mentioned above, that are subject to change. Changes in any one of these factors could significantly impact the estimate. It is reasonably possible that future representation and warranty losses may vary from the amounts recorded for these exposures. SCC currently estimates that the range of reasonably possible loss could be up to \$40 million in excess of amounts accrued. This estimated range is based on currently available information, significant judgment and a number of assumptions that are subject to change. The actual loss that may be incurred could be more or less than our accrual or the estimate of reasonably possible losses. In the event of unfavorable outcomes on these claims, the amount required to discharge or settle them could be substantial and could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

These comments should be read in conjunction with the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of cash flows included in Part 1, Item 1.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY - Our sources of capital and liquidity include cash from operations, cash from customer deposits, issuances of common stock and debt. We use capital primarily to fund working capital, service and repay our debt, pay dividends, repurchase shares of our common stock, and acquire businesses. Our operations are highly seasonal and therefore generally require the use of cash to fund operating losses from May through mid-January.

Given the likely availability of a number of liquidity options discussed herein, including borrowing capacity under our 2012 CLOC and the issuance of commercial paper, we believe that, in the absence of any unexpected developments, our existing sources of capital at October 31, 2013 are sufficient to meet our operating needs.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our statements of cash flows for the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012. See Item 1 for the complete statements of cash flows.

	(in 000s)	
Six months ended October 31,	2013	2012
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ (492,373) \$ (567,036
Investing activities	(64,032) (64,079
Financing activities	(392,536) (51,622
Effects of exchange rates on cash	(7,871) (696
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (956,812) \$ (683,433

CASH FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES - Cash used in operations decreased \$74.7 million from the prior year period primarily due to a decline in restricted cash balances.

Restricted Cash. We hold certain cash balances that are restricted as to use. Cash and cash equivalents - restricted totaled \$47.5 million, \$38.7 million and \$117.8 million at October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, respectively, and primarily consisted of cash held by HRB Bank as required for regulatory compliance, and cash held by our captive insurance subsidiary that will be used to pay claims.

CASH FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES - Cash used in investing activities totaled \$64.0 million for the six months ended October 31, 2013 compared to \$64.1 million in the prior year period, primarily due to the following:

Available-for-Sale Securities. During the six months ended October 31, 2013, HRB Bank purchased \$45.2 million in mortgage-backed securities for regulatory purposes, compared to \$67.5 million in the prior year. Additionally, we received payments on AFS securities of \$55.6 million in the current period compared to \$53.1 million in the prior year.

Mortgage Loans Held for Investment. We received net proceeds of \$24.3 million and \$23.6 million on our mortgage loans held for investment during the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Purchases of Property and Equipment. Total cash paid for property and equipment was \$86.9 million and \$60.7 million during the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase was primarily a result of upgrades to our tax offices.

Payments Made for Business Acquisitions. Net cash paid for acquired businesses was \$20.9 million and \$10.4 million during the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Loans Made to Franchisees. Loans made to franchisees totaled \$22.1 million and \$20.7 million during the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We received payments from franchisees totaling \$15.9 million and \$8.3 million, respectively. These amounts include both the financing of sales of tax offices and short-term revolving loans made to franchisees to fund off-season operations.

CASH FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES - Cash used in financing activities totaled \$392.5 million for the six months ended October 31, 2013 compared to \$51.6 million in the prior year period, and primarily relates to the following:

Long-Term Debt. We had no repayments of long-term debt during the six months ended October 31, 2013. During the six months ended October 31, 2012, we paid amounts totaling \$30.8 million in connection with a previous acquisition. In October 2012, we issued \$500.0 million of 5.50% Senior Notes. The Senior Notes are due November 1, 2022, and are not redeemable by the bondholders prior to maturity.

Customer Banking Deposits. Changes in customer banking deposits resulted in a use of cash of \$275.8 million and \$37.9 million for the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in cash used is due to deposit accounts being closed during the current year in anticipation of the contemplated transaction involving HRB Bank.

Dividends. We have consistently paid quarterly dividends. Dividends paid totaled \$109.3 million and \$108.4 million for the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Although we have historically paid dividends and

Table of Contents

currently plan to continue to do so, there can be no assurances that circumstances will not change that could affect our ability or decisions to pay dividends in the future.

Repurchase and Retirement of Common Stock. We had no open-market repurchases or retirements of our common stock during the six months ended October 31, 2013. During the six months ended October 31, 2012, we purchased and immediately retired 21.3 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$315.0 million. There was \$857.5 million remaining under our current share repurchase authorization at October 31, 2013.

Although we have historically from time to time repurchased and retired common stock and our Board of Directors has approved an extension of our current share repurchase program, there can be no assurances that circumstances will not change that could affect our ability or decisions to repurchase and retire common stock in the future.

Issuances of Common Stock. Proceeds from the issuance of common stock in accordance with our stock-based compensation plans totaled \$24.5 million and \$1.3 million during the six months ended October 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, due to an increase in the number of stock options exercised.

HRB BANK - As of October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, HRB Bank had cash balances of \$386.1 million, \$490.6 million and \$556.7 million, respectively. Dividends of this cash balance would be subject to regulatory approval.

ASSETS HELD BY FOREIGN SUBSIDIARIES - As of October 31, 2013 and 2012 and April 30, 2013, cash and cash equivalent balances of \$220.0 million, \$124.4 million and \$273.1 million, respectively, were held by our foreign subsidiaries. As of October 31, 2013, our Canadian operations had approximately \$157 million of U.S. dollar denominated borrowings due to various U.S. subsidiaries. These borrowings may be repaid in full or in part at any time. Non-borrowed funds would have to be repatriated to be available to fund domestic operations, and in certain circumstances this would trigger additional income taxes on those amounts. We do not currently intend to repatriate any non-borrowed funds held by our foreign subsidiaries.

BORROWINGS

The following table provides ratings for debt issued by Block Financial:

As of	October 31, 2013			April 30, 2013		
	Short-term	Long-term	Outlook	Short-term	Long-term	Outlook
Moody's	P-2	Baa2	Stable	P-2	Baa2	Negative
S&P	A-2	BBB	Negative	A-2	BBB	Negative

There have been no material changes in our borrowings from those reported at April 30, 2013 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

There have been no material changes in our contractual obligations and commercial commitments from those reported at April 30, 2013 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

Regulatory Changes - In July 2013, the federal banking agencies issued final rules to implement the Basel III regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act (the Basel III Capital Rules), which establish a new, comprehensive capital framework for U.S. banking organizations. The Basel III Capital Rules implement the Basel Committee's December 2010 framework known as "Basel III" for strengthening international capital standards as well as certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Basel III Capital Rules substantially revise the risk-based capital requirements applicable to depository institutions, compared to the current U.S. risk-based capital rules, and for the first time impose capital requirements on savings and loan holding companies (SLHC). H&R Block, Inc., H&R Block Group, Inc. and Block Financial LLC (our Holding Companies) are SLHCs because they control HRB Bank. The Basel III Capital Rules will become effective for our Holding Companies and HRB Bank on January 1, 2015 (subject to phase-in periods as discussed below), provided that our Holding Companies are still SLHCs on that date. The Basel III Capital Rules, among other things, introduce a new capital measure called "Common Equity Tier 1" (CET1). When fully phased in on January 1, 2019, the Basel III Capital Rules will require SLHCs to maintain (i) a

Table of Contents

minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, plus a 2.5% “capital conservation buffer” (which is added to the 4.5% CET1 ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets of at least 7% upon full implementation), (ii) a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 6.0% Tier 1 capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum Tier 1 capital ratio of 8.5% upon full implementation), (iii) a minimum ratio of total capital (that is, Tier 1 plus Tier 2) to risk-weighted assets of at least 8.0%, plus the capital conservation buffer (which is added to the 8.0% total capital ratio as that buffer is phased in, effectively resulting in a minimum total capital ratio of 10.5% upon full implementation) and (iv) a minimum leverage ratio of 4%, calculated as the ratio of Tier 1 capital to average total consolidated assets.

Under the Basel III Capital Rules, the initial minimum capital ratios as of January 1, 2015 will be as follows:

4.5% CET1 to risk-weighted assets

6.0% Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets

8.0% Total capital to risk-weighted assets

The Basel III Capital Rules provide for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET1. Deductions from CET1 include, among other items, goodwill and other intangibles and deferred tax assets, all net of associated deferred tax liabilities. Under current capital standards, the effects of accumulated other comprehensive income items included in capital are excluded for the purposes of determining regulatory capital ratios. Under the Basel III Capital Rules, the effects of certain accumulated other comprehensive items are not excluded; however, certain banking organizations, including our Holding Companies and HRB Bank, may make a one-time permanent election to continue to exclude these items. Implementation of the deductions and other adjustments to CET1 will begin on January 1, 2015 and will be phased-in over a 4-year period. The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 and will be phased in over a four-year period.

The Basel III Capital Rules prescribe a standardized approach for risk weightings that expand the risk-weighting categories from the current four Basel I-derived categories (0%, 20%, 50% and 100%) to a much larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the assets, generally ranging from 0% for U.S. government and agency securities to 600% for certain equity exposures, and resulting in higher risk weights for a variety of asset categories.

Except as otherwise disclosed in the Risk Factors, we are in the process of assessing the impact of these changes on the regulatory capital ratios of the Company and HRB Bank and the capital, operations, liquidity and earnings of the Company and HRB Bank.

There have been no other material changes in our regulatory environment from those reported at April 30, 2013 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

NON-GAAP FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered as a substitute for, or superior to, measures of financial performance prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). Because these measures are not measures of financial performance under GAAP and are susceptible to varying calculations, they may not be comparable to similarly titled measures in other companies.

We consider non-GAAP financial measures to be a useful metric for management and investors to evaluate and compare the ongoing operating performance of our business on a consistent basis across reporting periods, as it eliminates the effect of items that are not indicative of our core operating performance.

The following are descriptions of adjustments we make for our non-GAAP financial measures:

We exclude from our non-GAAP financial measures litigation charges we incur and favorable reserve adjustments. This does not include legal defense costs.

We exclude from our non-GAAP financial measures non-cash charges to adjust the carrying values of goodwill, intangible assets, other long-lived assets and investments to their estimated fair values.

We exclude from our non-GAAP financial measures severance and other restructuring charges in connection with the termination of personnel, closure of offices and related costs.

Table of Contents

We exclude from our non-GAAP financial measures the gains and losses on business dispositions, including investment banking, legal and accounting fees.

We exclude from our non-GAAP financial measures the gains and losses on extinguishment of debt.

We may consider whether other significant items that arise in the future should also be excluded from our non-GAAP financial measures.

We measure the performance of our business using a variety of metrics, including EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA and adjusted pretax income of continuing operations. We also use EBITDA and pretax income of continuing operations as performance metrics in incentive compensation calculations for our employees. Adjusted EBITDA and adjusted pretax income eliminate the impact of items that we do not consider indicative of our core operating performance and, we believe, provide meaningful information to assist in understanding our financial results, analyzing trends in our underlying business, and assessing our prospects for future performance.

The following is a reconciliation of EBITDA from continuing operations and adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations:

	(in 000s)			
	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	October 31,		October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net loss from continuing operations - reported	\$(103,015) \$(101,199) \$(216,285) \$(206,849
Add back:				
Income taxes	(76,347) (61,089) (147,571) (124,708
Interest expense	14,314	23,390	28,760	45,467
Depreciation and amortization	26,668	22,053	49,542	42,604
EBITDA from continuing operations	(35,365) (15,646) (69,269) (36,637
Adjustments:				
Loss contingencies - litigation	350	(2,451) 723) (4,753
Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets	—	1,421	—	1,421
Severance	1,828	1,558	2,933	1,057
Professional fees related to HRB Bank transaction	(5,217) 47	1,807	47
Gain on sales of tax offices	(599) (754) (599) (524
	(3,638) (179) 4,864) (2,752
Adjusted EBITDA from continuing operations	\$(142,018) \$(117,024) \$(280,690) \$(246,238

Table of Contents

The following is a reconciliation of adjusted pretax loss from continuing operations:

	(in 000s)			
	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	October 31,		October 31,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Pretax loss from continuing operations - reported	\$(179,362) \$(162,288) \$(363,856) \$(331,557
Adjustments:				
Loss contingencies - litigation	350	(2,451) 723	(4,753
Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets	—	1,421	—	1,421
Severance	1,828	1,558	2,933	1,057
Professional fees related to pending HRB Bank transaction	(5,217) 47	1,807	47
Gain on sales of tax offices	(599) (754) (599) (524
	(3,638) (179) 4,864	(2,752
Pretax loss from continuing operations - adjusted	\$(183,000) \$(162,467) \$(358,992) \$(334,309

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This report and other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) may contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the securities laws. In addition, our senior management may make forward-looking statements orally to analysts, investors, the media and others. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They often include words or variation of words such as “expects,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “plans,” “believes,” “seeks,” “estimates,” “projects,” “forecasts,” “targets,” “will,” “should,” “could,” “may” or other similar expressions. Forward-looking statements provide management's current expectations or predictions of future conditions, events or results. All statements that address operating performance, events or developments that we expect or anticipate will occur in the future are forward-looking statements. They may include estimates of revenues, income, earnings per share, capital expenditures, dividends, stock repurchase, liquidity, capital structure or other financial items, descriptions of management's plans or objectives for future operations, services or products, or descriptions of assumptions underlying any of the above. All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made and reflect the Company's good faith beliefs, assumptions and expectations, but they are not guarantees of future performance or events. Furthermore, the Company disclaims any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect changes in underlying assumptions, factors, or expectations, new information, data or methods, future events or other changes, except as required by law.

By their nature, forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such differences include, but are not limited to, a variety of economic, competitive and regulatory factors, many of which are beyond the Company's control and which are described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2013 in the section entitled “Risk Factors,” as well as additional factors we may describe from time to time in other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. It is not possible to predict or identify all such factors and, consequently, no such list should be considered to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no material changes in our market risks from those reported at April 30, 2013 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES – As of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)). The controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING – There were no changes during the last fiscal quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a description of our material pending legal proceedings, see discussion in Part I, Item 1, note 12 to the consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Other than the risk factors discussed below, there have been no material changes in our risk factors from those reported at April 30, 2013 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Federal Reserve capital requirements may restrict our capital allocation strategies and we are therefore exploring alternatives to cease being an SLHC. If we were to cease being an SLHC, the means we use to deliver financial services and products to our customers and the revenues and profitability of those offerings could be adversely impacted.

Our subsidiary, HRB Bank, is a federal savings bank. Our Holding Companies are SLHCs because they control HRB Bank.

The Dodd-Frank Act requires the Federal Reserve to promulgate minimum capital requirements for SLHCs, including leverage and risk-based capital requirements that are no less stringent than those applicable to insured depository institutions at the time the Dodd-Frank Act was enacted. On July 2, 2013, the Federal Reserve approved the Basel III Capital Rules, which implement a revised definition of regulatory capital, a new common equity tier 1 minimum capital requirement and a higher minimum tier 1 capital requirement (Revised Capital Rules). The rule also requires certain levels of equity for the payment of dividends and bonuses, and amends the methodologies for risk-weighting assets. The Revised Capital Rules will become effective for us as of January 1, 2015. See Part I, Item 2 under "Regulatory Environment" of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Item 1, "Regulation and Supervision - Bank and Holding Companies" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as of April 30, 2013 for details of the new requirements. The Federal Reserve Bank, the Company's primary banking regulator, has issued guidance set forth in Supervisory Letter SR 09-4 (March 27, 2009) regarding the payment of dividends, stock redemptions and stock repurchases by bank holding companies. Pursuant to Supervisory Letter SR 11-11 (July 21, 2011), the Federal Reserve has directed examiners to apply the principles of SR 09-4 to SLHCs. Pursuant to SR 09-4, we have committed to provide notice to the Federal Reserve prior to paying dividends or repurchasing shares.

The Revised Capital Rules would require our Holding Companies to retain significant additional capital, even though HRB Bank has regulatory capital substantially above the "well capitalized" level. At this time, we do not foresee regulatory flexibility in this regard in light of the Federal Reserve's views of the statutory requirements imposed under the Dodd-Frank Act. Accordingly, while our current belief is that dividends at current levels would continue to be permitted as long as HRB Bank remains well capitalized, the Federal Reserve will closely supervise and likely restrict our other capital allocation decisions, including stock repurchases, acquisitions, and other forms of strategic investment.

In July 2013, HRB Bank and Block Financial entered into a P&A Agreement with Republic Bank subject to various closing conditions, including the finalization of various operating agreements and receipt of certain required approvals. Prior to entering into the P&A Agreement, Republic Bank, which currently operates under a state bank charter and is regulated primarily by the FDIC, filed an application with the OCC for approval to convert to a national banking association. Approval and completion of this conversion were conditions to closing the P&A Transaction. In October 2013, Republic Bank informed us that it had withdrawn its application for the conversion and its application for approval of the P&A Transaction, which required that the conversion be approved. As a result, HRB Bank and Block Financial provided notice to Republic Bank of termination of the P&A Agreement.

We plan to continue offering financial services and products to our clients through HRB Bank during the 2014 tax season. We will continue to explore alternatives for delivering financial products and services to our customers while ceasing to be regulated as an SLHC; however, we cannot predict the timing or the likelihood of ceasing to be regulated as an SLHC.

Table of Contents

We face substantial litigation in connection with our various business activities, and such litigation may damage our reputation, impair our product offerings or result in material liabilities and losses.

We have been named and from time to time will likely continue to be named, as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions, actions or inquiries by state attorneys general, and other litigation arising in connection with our various business activities, including relating to our various service and product offerings. We also grant our franchisees a limited license to use our registered service marks and, accordingly, there is risk that one or more of the franchisees may be identified as being controlled by us. Third parties, regulators or courts may seek to hold us responsible for the actions or failures to act by our franchisees. Adverse outcomes related to litigation could result in substantial damages and could cause our earnings to decline. Negative public opinion could also result from our subsidiaries' actual or alleged conduct in such claims, possibly damaging our reputation, which, in turn, could adversely affect our business prospects and cause the market price of our securities to decline.

In addition, we have been sued, and certain of our competitors have been sued, in connection with the offering of different types of refund transfer products. Our version of a refund transfer is referred to as a RAC. One court issued a ruling, which is not subject to appellate review, that a competitor's specific version of a refund transfer product should be considered a loan, and subject to truth-in-lending and other related laws. Another court, in its findings of fact, held that a different competitor's products that were positioned as refund transfer products were in fact loans. Another competitor recently entered into a settlement agreement, subject to final court approval, involving similar claims. We believe there are factual and legal differences that distinguish us and our RAC product from the various refund transfer products offered by our competitors. Revenues from our RAC product totaled \$158 million in fiscal year 2013; any requirement that materially alters our offering of RACs, including limitations on the fees we charge or disclosure requirements that could reduce the demand for these products, could have a material adverse impact on our business and our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

A summary of our purchases of H&R Block common stock during the second quarter of fiscal year 2014 is as follows: (in 000s, except per share amounts)

	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)	Maximum Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (2)
August 1 – August 31	9	\$28.07	—	\$857,504
September 1 – September 30	—	\$—	—	\$857,504
October 1 – October 31	31	\$27.86	—	\$857,504

We purchased approximately 40 thousand shares in connection with funding employee income tax withholding

(1) obligations arising upon the lapse of restrictions on restricted shares and restricted share units. There were no open-market repurchases.

(2) In June 2008, our Board of Directors approved an authorization to purchase up to \$2.0 billion of our common stock through June 2012. In June 2012, our Board of Directors extended this authorization through June 2015.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are numbered in accordance with the Exhibit Table of Item 601 of Regulation S-K:

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of H&R Block, Inc., filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed September 16, 2013, file number 1-06089, is incorporated herein by reference.
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of H&R Block, Inc., filed as Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed September 16, 2013, file number 1-06089, is incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.1 Form of H&R Block, Inc. 2013 Long Term Incentive Plan Deferred Stock Units Award Agreement for Non-Employee Directors
- 10.2 The H&R Block, Inc. 2000 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended and restated effective November 7, 2013
- 10.3 H&R Block, Inc. Executive Severance Plan, as amended and restated effective November 8, 2013, filed as Exhibit 10.01 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K filed November 8, 2013, file number 1-06089, is incorporated herein by reference.
- 31.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CAL XBRL Extension Calculation Linkbase
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

H&R BLOCK, INC.

/s/ William C. Cobb

William C. Cobb

President and Chief Executive Officer

December 10, 2013

/s/ Gregory J. Macfarlane

Gregory J. Macfarlane

Chief Financial Officer

December 10, 2013

/s/ Jeffrey T. Brown

Jeffrey T. Brown

Chief Accounting and Risk Officer

December 10, 2013