

Table of Contents

CHC GROUP LTD.
 QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
 FOR THE QUARTER ENDED
 July 31, 2014
 TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number
<u>PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Trademarks</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Glossary</u>	<u>3</u>
ITEM 1. <u>Financial statements</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Consolidated balance sheets (unaudited) as of April 30, 2014 and July 31, 2014</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of operations (unaudited) for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of comprehensive loss (unaudited) for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of cash flows (unaudited) for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Consolidated statements of shareholders' equity (unaudited) for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Notes to interim consolidated financial statements (unaudited)</u>	<u>10</u>
ITEM 2. <u>Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations</u>	<u>38</u>
ITEM 3. <u>Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk</u>	<u>64</u>
ITEM 4. <u>Controls and procedures</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>PART II—OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
ITEM 1. <u>Legal proceedings</u>	<u>65</u>
ITEM 1A. <u>Risk factors</u>	<u>65</u>
ITEM 2. <u>Unregistered sales of equity securities and use of proceeds</u>	<u>84</u>
ITEM 3. <u>Defaults upon senior securities</u>	<u>85</u>
ITEM 4. <u>Mine safety disclosures</u>	<u>85</u>
ITEM 5. <u>Other information</u>	<u>85</u>
ITEM 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>86</u>

Table of Contents

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

TRADEMARKS

CHC Helicopter and the CHC Helicopter logo are trademarks of CHC Capital (Barbados) Ltd, a wholly owned subsidiary of CHC Group Ltd. All other trademarks and service marks appearing in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are the property of their respective holders. All rights reserved. The absence of a trademark or service mark or logo from this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q does not constitute a waiver of trademark or other intellectual property rights of CHC Group Ltd., its subsidiaries, affiliates, licensors or any other persons.

GLOSSARY

Deepwater	Water depths of approximately 4,500 feet to 7,499 feet.
Embedded equity	Embedded equity, an intangible asset, represents the amount by which the estimated market value of a leased helicopter exceeded the leased helicopter purchase option price at September 16, 2008, the acquisition date of the predecessor of our wholly owned subsidiary by First Reserve Management, L.P. (or First Reserve). Embedded equity is assessed on an ongoing basis for impairment. Impairment, if any, is recognized in the consolidated statements of operations.
EMS	Emergency medical services.
Heavy helicopter	A category of twin-engine helicopters that requires two pilots, can accommodate 16 to 26 passengers and can operate under instrument flight rules, which allow daytime and nighttime flying in a variety of weather conditions. The greater passenger capacity, larger cabin, longer flight range, and ability to operate in adverse weather conditions make heavy helicopters more suitable than single engine helicopters for offshore support. Heavy helicopters are generally utilized to support the oil and gas sector, construction and forestry industries and SAR and EMS customer requirements.
Average HE count	Our heavy and medium helicopters, including owned and leased, are weighted at 100% and 50%, respectively, to arrive at a single HE count, excluding helicopters that are expected to be retired from the fleet. The average HE count for a period is calculated using a weighted average of the HE count for the beginning and end of each quarter included in that period.
HE Rate	The Heavy Equivalent Rate, or the HE Rate, is the third-party operating revenue from the Helicopter Services segment (excluding reimbursable revenue) divided by a weighted average factor corresponding to the number of heavy and medium helicopters in our fleet.
Long-term contracts	Contracts of three years or longer in duration.
Medium helicopter	A category of twin-engine helicopters that generally requires two pilots, can accommodate eight to 15 passengers and can operate under instrument flight rules, which allow daytime and nighttime flying in a variety of weather conditions. The greater passenger capacity, longer flight range, and ability to operate in adverse weather conditions make medium helicopters more suitable than single engine helicopters for offshore support. Medium helicopters are generally utilized to support the oil and gas sector, construction and forestry industries and SAR and EMS customer bases in certain jurisdictions. Medium helicopters can also be used to support the utility and mining sectors, as well as certain parts of the construction and forestry industries, where transporting a smaller number of passengers or carrying light loads over shorter distances is required.

MRO Maintenance, repair and overhaul.

New technology When used herein to classify our helicopters, a category of higher-value, recently produced, more sophisticated and more comfortable helicopters, including Airbus Helicopters (formerly Eurocopter) EC225, EC135, EC145 and EC155; AgustaWestland's AW139; and Sikorsky's S76C+, S76C++ and S92A.

OEM Original equipment manufacturer.

3

Table of Contents

PBH	Power-by-the-hour. A program where a helicopter operator pays a fee per flight hour to an MRO provider as compensation for repair and overhaul of components required in order for the helicopter to maintain an airworthy condition.
Rotables	Helicopter parts that can be repaired and reused such that they typically have an expected life approximately equal to the helicopters they support.
SAR	Search and rescue.
Ultra-deepwater	Water depths of approximately 7,500 feet or more.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CHC Group Ltd.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars except share and per share information)

(Unaudited)

	April 30, 2014	July 31, 2014
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$302,522	\$119,928
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$2.3 million and \$2.8 million, respectively	292,339	292,109
Income taxes receivable	28,172	30,559
Deferred income tax assets	60	128
Inventories (note 4)	130,891	133,611
Prepaid expenses	27,683	29,953
Other assets (note 5)	49,209	48,444
	830,876	654,732
Property and equipment, net	1,050,759	1,062,975
Investments	31,351	33,202
Intangible assets	177,863	175,984
Goodwill	432,376	426,410
Restricted cash	31,566	29,462
Other assets (note 5)	519,306	518,944
Deferred income tax assets	3,381	2,925
Assets held for sale (note 3)	26,849	28,866
	\$3,104,327	\$2,933,500
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Payables and accruals	\$355,341	\$341,197
Deferred revenue	30,436	38,988
Income taxes payable	41,975	43,690
Deferred income tax liabilities	98	157
Current facility secured by accounts receivable (note 2)	62,596	51,749
Other liabilities (note 6)	55,170	54,507
Current portion of long-term debt obligations (note 7)	4,107	3,654
	549,723	533,942
Long-term debt obligations (note 7)	1,546,155	1,480,604
Deferred revenue	81,485	79,863
Other liabilities (note 6)	287,385	273,889
Deferred income tax liabilities	10,665	11,009
Total liabilities	2,475,413	2,379,307
Redeemable non-controlling interests (note 2)	(22,578)	(15,216)
Capital stock: Par value \$0.0001 (note 9):		
Authorized: 2,000,000,000; Issued: 80,519,484 and 80,597,912	8	8
Additional paid-in capital (notes 9 and 10)	2,039,371	2,042,602
Deficit	(1,265,103)	(1,307,203)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(122,784)	(165,998)
	651,492	569,409

\$3,104,327 \$2,933,500

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

See table in Note 2(a)(i) for certain amounts included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets related to variable interest entities.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars except share and per share information)

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended	
	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2014
Revenue	\$414,931	\$460,648
Operating expenses:		
Direct costs	(343,106)	(394,547)
Earnings from equity accounted investees	2,391	2,677
General and administration costs	(18,116)	(21,662)
Depreciation	(32,057)	(33,725)
Asset impairments (notes 3 and 5)	(7,324)	(275)
Loss on disposal of assets	(1,122)	(5,259)
	(399,334)	(452,791)
Operating income	15,597	7,857
Interest on long-term debt	(38,708)	(34,872)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(13,087)	4,908
Other financing income (charges) (note 8)	5,823	(4,325)
Loss before income tax	(30,375)	(26,432)
Income tax expense (note 11)	(5,308)	(7,887)
Net loss	\$(35,683)	\$(34,319)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to:		
Controlling interest	\$(38,331)	\$(42,100)
Non-controlling interests	2,648	7,781
Net loss	\$(35,683)	\$(34,319)
Net loss per ordinary share attributable to controlling interest - basic and diluted (note 9)	\$(0.82)	\$(0.52)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - basic and diluted	46,519,484	80,530,687
See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended	
	July 31,	July 31,
	2013	2014
Net loss	\$(35,683)	\$(34,319)
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Net foreign currency translation adjustments	(28,037)	(44,202)
Net change in defined benefit pension plan, net of income tax	343	374
Comprehensive loss	\$(63,377)	\$(78,147)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to:		
Controlling interest	\$(65,433)	\$(85,314)
Non-controlling interests	2,056	7,167
Comprehensive loss	\$(63,377)	\$(78,147)
See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

(Unaudited)

	Three months ended	
	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2014
Cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(35,683)	\$(34,319)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation	32,057	33,725
Loss on disposal of assets	1,122	5,259
Asset impairments	7,324	275
Earnings from equity accounted investees less dividends received	(2,391)	(2,174)
Deferred income taxes	1,613	1,065
Non-cash stock-based compensation expense	117	3,231
Amortization of lease related fixed interest rate obligations	(547)	(91)
Amortization of long-term debt and lease deferred financing costs and debt extinguishment	2,595	10,017
Non-cash accrued interest income on funded residual value guarantees	(1,712)	(1,348)
Mark to market gain on derivative instruments	(14,764)	(8,408)
Non-cash defined benefit pension expense (income) (note 12)	98	(207)
Defined benefit contributions and benefits paid	(17,686)	(17,127)
Increase to deferred lease financing costs	(1,724)	(1,278)
Unrealized loss (gain) on foreign currency exchange translation	8,937	(5,990)
Other	3,044	1,215
Decrease in cash resulting from changes in operating assets and liabilities (note 14)	(26,671)	(15,090)
Cash used in operating activities	(44,271)	(31,245)
Financing activities:		
Sold interest in accounts receivable, net of collections	(6,446)	(9,146)
Proceeds from issuance of senior unsecured notes	300,000	—
Long-term debt proceeds	100,000	70,000
Long-term debt repayments	(225,948)	(71,371)
Redemption of senior secured notes	—	(70,620)
Increase in deferred financing costs	(5,902)	—
Related party loans (note 16(c))	(25,148)	—
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	136,556	(81,137)
Investing activities:		
Property and equipment additions	(104,385)	(125,879)
Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment	46,163	69,198
Helicopter deposits net of lease inception refunds	(27,947)	(14,780)
Restricted cash	(4,852)	1,605
Cash used in investing activities	(91,021)	(69,856)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(10,410)	(356)
Change in cash and cash equivalents during the period	(9,146)	(182,594)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	123,801	302,522
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 114,655	\$ 119,928
See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (note 9)

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

(Unaudited)

Three months ended July 31, 2013	Capital stock	Additional paid-in capital	Deficit	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	Total shareholders' equity	Redeemable non-controlling interests
April 30, 2013	\$5	\$1,696,066	\$(1,092,555)	\$ (89,835)	\$513,681	\$(8,262)
Foreign currency translation	—	—	—	(27,120)	(27,120)	(917)
Stock-based compensation expense (note 10)	—	117	—	—	117	—
Defined benefit plan, net of income tax	—	—	—	18	18	325
Net earnings (loss)	—	—	(38,331)	—	(38,331)	2,648
July 31, 2013	\$5	\$1,696,183	\$(1,130,886)	\$ (116,937)	\$448,365	\$(6,206)
Three months ended July 31, 2014	Capital stock	Additional paid-in capital	Deficit	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	Total shareholders' equity	Redeemable non-controlling interests
April 30, 2014	\$8	\$2,039,371	\$(1,265,103)	\$ (122,784)	\$651,492	\$(22,578)
Capital contribution by shareholder (note 2)	—	—	—	—	—	195
Foreign currency translation	—	—	—	(43,443)	(43,443)	(759)
Stock-based compensation expense (note 10)	—	3,231	—	—	3,231	—
Defined benefit plan, net of income tax	—	—	—	229	229	145
Net earnings (loss)	—	—	(42,100)	—	(42,100)	7,781
July 31, 2014	\$8	\$2,042,602	\$(1,307,203)	\$ (165,998)	\$569,409	\$(15,216)

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

1. Significant accounting policies:

(a) Basis of presentation:

The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements (“interim financial statements”) include the accounts of CHC Group Ltd. and its subsidiaries (the “Company”, “we”, “us” or “our”) after elimination of all significant intercompany accounts and transactions. The interim financial statements are presented in United States dollars and have been prepared in accordance with the United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (“US GAAP”) for interim financial information. Accordingly, the interim financial statements do not include all of the information and disclosures for complete financial statements.

In the opinion of management, these financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods indicated. Results of operations for the periods presented are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the entire year.

The financial information as of April 30, 2014 is derived from our annual audited consolidated financial statements and notes for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, which are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014 which was filed with the SEC on July 10, 2014.

(b) Foreign currency:

The currencies which most influence our foreign currency translations and the relevant exchange rates were:

	Three months ended	
	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2014
Average rates:		
£/US \$	1.531101	1.693993
CAD/US \$	0.970403	0.924214
NOK/US \$	0.169238	0.164972
AUD/US \$	0.949830	0.934843
€/US \$	1.308394	1.361830
Period end rates:		
£/US \$	1.518227	1.688797
CAD/US \$	0.972101	0.918274
NOK/US \$	0.169340	0.159045
AUD/US \$	0.895888	0.930028
€/US \$	1.329348	1.338935

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

1. Significant accounting policies (continued):

(c) Recent accounting pronouncements adopted in the year:

On May 1, 2014, we adopted the accounting guidance on the financial statement presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, similar tax loss or tax credit carryforward exists. This new guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

(d) Recent accounting pronouncements not yet adopted:

Revenue recognition:

In May 2014, the FASB issued a comprehensive new revenue recognition standard which will supersede previous existing revenue recognition guidance. The standard creates a five-step model for revenue recognition to achieve the objective of recognizing revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to a customer at an amount that reflects the consideration it expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. The five-step model includes (1) identifying the contract, (2) identifying the separate performance obligations in the contract, (3) determining the transaction price, (4) allocating the transaction price to the separate performance obligations and (5) recognizing revenue when each performance obligation has been satisfied. The standard also requires expanded disclosures surrounding revenue recognition. The standard is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and early adoption is not permitted. Accordingly, we will adopt the standard on May 1, 2017. Companies are allowed to use either full retrospective or modified retrospective adoption. We are currently evaluating which transition approach to use and the impact of the adoption of this standard on our consolidated financial statements.

Share-based compensation:

In June 2014, the FASB issued guidance for accounting for share-based payments when the terms of an award provide that a performance target could be achieved after the requisite service period. The amendment requires that a performance target that effects vesting and that could be achieved after requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. The performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that such performance condition would be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The requisite service period ends when the employee can cease rendering service and still be eligible to vest in the award if the performance target is achieved. The standard is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods therein and early application is permitted. We will adopt the standard on May 1, 2016. We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this standard on our consolidated financial statements.

Going concern:

In August 2014, the FASB issued a new standard that requires management to evaluate whether there are conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide disclosures when certain criteria are met. The standard is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods therein and early application is permitted. We will adopt the standard on May 1, 2017. We do not expect the standard to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

2. Variable interest entities:

(a) VIEs of which we are the primary beneficiary:

(i) Local ownership VIEs:

Certain areas of operations are subject to local governmental regulations that may limit foreign ownership of aviation companies. Accordingly, operations in certain jurisdictions may require the establishment of local ownership entities that are considered to be VIEs. The nature of our involvement with consolidated local ownership entities is as follows: Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014 contains a description of our principal involvement with VIEs and the accounting policies regarding determination of whether we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary. As of July 31, 2014, there have been no significant changes in either the nature of our involvement with, or the accounting policies associated with the analysis of, VIEs as described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014. The following table shows the redeemable non-controlling interests relating to the local ownership VIEs that are included in the consolidated financial statements.

	April 30, 2014	July 31, 2014
EEA Helicopters Operations B.V.	\$(24,100)	\$(16,933)
Atlantic Aviation Limited and Atlantic Aviation FZE	1,522	1,717
	\$(22,578)	\$(15,216)

Financial information of local ownership VIEs

All of the local ownership VIEs and their subsidiaries have the same purpose and are exposed to similar operational risks and are monitored on a similar basis by management. As such, the financial information reflected on the consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations for all local ownership VIEs has been presented in the aggregate below, including intercompany amounts with other consolidated entities:

	April 30, 2014	July 31, 2014
Cash and cash equivalents	\$61,272	\$2,772
Receivables, net of allowance	95,899	100,859
Other current assets	59,883	59,096
Goodwill	72,899	72,932
Other long-term assets	127,637	138,770
Total assets	\$417,590	\$374,429
Payables and accruals	\$115,686	\$98,252
Intercompany payables	305,843	185,764
Other current liabilities	36,111	28,993
Accrued pension obligations	67,410	60,558
Long-term intercompany payables	15,900	115,384
Other long-term liabilities	51,498	48,656
Total liabilities	\$592,448	\$537,607

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

2. Variable interest entities (continued):

(a) VIEs of which we are the primary beneficiary (continued):

(i) Local ownership VIEs (continued):

	Three months ended	
	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2014
Revenue	\$256,178	\$300,506
Net earnings (loss)	(3,025) 13,701

(ii) Accounts receivable securitization:

As described in Note 3(a)(ii) of the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, we enter into trade receivables securitization transactions to raise financing, through the sale of pools of receivables, or beneficial interests therein, to a VIE, Finacity Receivables – CHC 2009, LLC (“Finacity”), which we have determined we are required to consolidate as we are the primary beneficiary.

The following table shows the assets and the associated liabilities related to our secured debt arrangements that are included in the consolidated financial statements:

	April 30, 2014	July 31, 2014
Restricted cash	\$7,339	\$10,238
Transferred receivables	83,022	73,085
Current facility secured by accounts receivable	62,596	51,749

(iii) Trinity Helicopters Limited:

As at July 31, 2014, we leased two helicopters from Trinity Helicopters Limited (“Trinity”), an entity considered to be a VIE.

(b) VIEs of which we are not the primary beneficiary:

(i) Local ownership VIEs:

Thai Aviation Services (“TAS”)

As described in Note 3(b)(i) of the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, we have a 29.9% interest in the ordinary shares of TAS, which we have determined to be a VIE that we are not required to consolidate as we are not the primary beneficiary.

The following table summarizes the amounts recorded for TAS in the consolidated balance sheets:

	April 30, 2014		July 31, 2014	
	Carrying amounts	Maximum exposure to loss	Carrying amounts	Maximum exposure to loss
Receivables, net of allowances	\$4,962	\$4,962	\$8,360	\$8,360
Equity method investment	21,548	21,548	23,792	23,792

As of July 31, 2013 and 2014, we leased nine and eight helicopters to TAS and provided crew, insurance, maintenance and base services. The total revenue earned from providing these services was \$12.2 million, and \$12.4 million for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

2. Variable interest entities (continued):

(b) VIEs of which we are not the primary beneficiary:

(ii) Leasing entities:

Related party lessors

The lessor VIEs are considered related parties because they are partially financed through equity contributions from entities that have also invested in us. We have determined that the activity that most significantly impacts the economic performance of the related party lessor VIEs is the remarketing of the helicopter at the end of the lease term. As we do not have the power to make remarketing decisions, we have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary of the lessor VIEs.

As at July 31, 2013 and 2014, we had operating lease agreements for the lease of 31 helicopters and 31 helicopters, respectively, from individual related party entities considered to be VIEs. These transactions are carried out on an arm's-length basis and are recorded at the exchange amounts.

The following table summarizes the amounts recorded in the consolidated statements of operations:

	Three months ended	
	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2014
Operating lease expense	\$ 12,621	\$ 12,584

The following table summarizes the amounts recorded in the consolidated balance sheets:

	April 30, 2014	July 31, 2014
	Payables and accruals	\$ 3,532
Accounts receivable	12,610	5,130

Other VIE lessors

We have determined that the activity that most significantly impacts the economic performance of the lessor VIEs is the remarketing of the helicopters at the end of the lease term. As we do not have the power to make remarketing decisions, we have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary of the lessor VIEs.

As at July 31, 2013, we leased 26 helicopters from three different entities considered to be VIEs. As at July 31, 2014, we leased 65 helicopters from seven different entities considered to be VIEs. All 26 and 65 leases were considered to be operating leases as at July 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

3. Assets held for sale:

We have classified certain assets such as helicopters and buildings as held for sale as these assets are ready for immediate sale and management expects these assets to be sold within one year.

	April 30, 2014		July 31, 2014	
	# Helicopters		# Helicopters	
Helicopters held for sale:				
Book value, beginning of period	14	\$30,206	11	\$25,426
Classified as held for sale, net of impairment	15	28,461	2	5,320
Sales	(8) (18,369) (2) (7,000
Reclassified as held for use	(10) (14,264) (1) (490
Foreign exchange		(608)	(177
Helicopters held for sale	11	25,426	10	23,079
Buildings held for sale	—	1,423	—	5,787
Total assets held for sale		\$26,849		\$28,866

The helicopters classified as held for sale are older technology helicopters that are being divested by us. The buildings classified as held for sale are the result of the buildings no longer being used in operations. During the three months ended July 31, 2014, one helicopter was reclassified to assets held for use as management determined that we would obtain a higher value from using this helicopter as parts within the business than selling it in the external market. During the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014, we recorded impairment charges of \$7.1 million and \$0.2 million to write down the carrying value of 10 helicopters and two helicopters held for sale to their fair value less costs to sell, respectively. These amounts are included in asset impairments on the consolidated statements of operations. The fair value of assets held for sale is considered a Level 2 measurement in the fair value hierarchy as the measurement is based on third-party appraisals using market data.

4. Inventories:

	April 30, 2014	July 31, 2014
Work-in-progress for long-term maintenance contracts under completed contract accounting	\$3,790	\$6,049
Consumables	136,036	135,885
Provision for obsolescence	(8,935) (8,323
	\$130,891	\$133,611

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

5. Other assets:

	April 30, 2014	July 31, 2014
Current:		
Helicopter operating lease funded residual value guarantees (a)	\$6,845	\$12,695
Deferred financing costs	8,986	8,978
Mobilization costs	8,776	10,532
Residual value guarantee	4,007	3,941
Foreign currency embedded derivatives and forward contracts (note 13)	3,111	2,081
Prepaid helicopter rentals	4,874	5,087
Related party receivable (note 2(b)(ii))	12,610	5,130
	\$49,209	\$48,444
Non-current:		
Helicopter operating lease funded residual value guarantees (a)	\$208,870	\$207,775
Helicopter deposits	99,372	94,092
Accrued pension asset	45,816	53,446
Deferred financing costs	57,297	54,033
Mobilization costs	26,238	23,469
Residual value guarantee	15,695	14,722
Security deposits	34,923	36,591
Pension guarantee assets	9,835	9,304
Prepaid helicopter rentals	16,327	17,344
Foreign currency embedded derivatives and forward contracts (note 13)	3,624	7,131
Other	1,309	1,037
	\$519,306	\$518,944

(a) Helicopter operating lease funded residual value guarantees:

We believe that the helicopters will realize a value upon sale at the end of the lease terms sufficient to recover the carrying value of these guarantees, including accrued interest. In the event that helicopter values decline such that we do not believe funded residual value guarantees are recoverable, an impairment is recorded. No impairment was recorded for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

6. Other liabilities:

	April 30, 2014	July 31, 2014
Current:		
Foreign currency embedded derivatives and foreign currency contracts (note 13)	\$ 16,057	\$ 14,377
Deferred gains on sale-leasebacks of helicopters	13,284	13,644
Residual value guarantees	524	1,204
Contract inducement	802	792
Deferred helicopter proceeds	23,347	23,448
Other	1,156	1,042
	\$55,170	\$54,507
Non-current:		
Accrued pension obligations	122,430	114,760
Deferred gains on sale-leasebacks of helicopters	93,756	93,016
Residual value guarantees	28,359	27,679
Foreign currency embedded derivatives and foreign currency contracts (note 13)	13,317	9,367
Insurance claims accrual	11,809	12,656
Contract inducement	8,590	8,283
Other	9,124	8,128
	\$287,385	\$273,889

7. Long-term debt obligations:

	Principal Repayment terms	Facility maturity dates	April 30, 2014	July 31, 2014
Senior secured notes (a)	At maturity	October 2020	\$ 1,159,675	\$ 1,095,528
Senior unsecured notes	At maturity	June 2021	300,000	300,000
Other term loans:				
Airbus Helicopters Loan - 2.50%	At maturity	December 2015	2,417	2,349
EDC-B.A. CDOR rate (6 month) plus a 0.8% margin	Semi-annually	June 2014	495	—
Capital lease obligations	Quarterly	October 2017 - September 2025	55,780	54,461
Boundary Bay financing – 6.93%	Monthly	April 2035	31,895	31,920
Total long-term debt obligations			1,550,262	1,484,258
Less: current portion			(4,107) (3,654
Long-term debt obligations			\$ 1,546,155	\$ 1,480,604

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

7. Long-term debt obligations (continued):

(a) Senior secured notes:

During May 2014, one of our subsidiaries purchased \$65.0 million of the senior secured notes on the open market at premiums ranging from 8.00% to 9.13% of the principal plus accrued and unpaid interest of \$0.6 million. A loss on extinguishment of \$7.4 million related to the redemption premium, the unamortized net discount on the secured notes and the unamortized deferred financing costs was recognized.

At July 31, 2014, we were in compliance with all long-term debt obligations covenants.

8. Other financing income (charges):

	Three months ended	
	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2014
Amortization of deferred financing costs	\$(3,758)	\$(1,887)
Loss on debt extinguishment	—	(7,444)
Net gain on fair value of derivative financial instruments	14,227	7,503
Amortization of guaranteed residual values	(1,608)	(1,041)
Interest expense	(5,268)	(5,163)
Interest income	4,057	6,090
Other	(1,827)	(2,383)
	\$5,823	\$(4,325)

9. Capital stock and net loss per ordinary share:

Capital Stock:

On January 3, 2014, the majority shareholder of the Company approved the following capital stock restructuring transactions which were effective immediately:

a subdivision of the authorized and issued ordinary shares of capital stock by a factor of 10,000 increasing the authorized and issued ordinary shares of capital stock to 20,000,000,000,000 and 18,607,793,610,000, respectively, while reducing the par value per share from \$1.00 to \$0.0001;

the surrender of 18,607,747,090,516 of the issued ordinary shares of capital stock resulting in the issued ordinary shares of capital stock being reduced to 46,519,484, each with a par value of \$0.0001;

the cancellation of 19,998,500,000,000 of the unissued authorized ordinary shares of capital stock, reducing the authorized capital stock to 1,500,000,000, each with a par value of \$0.0001; and

the increase of the authorized capital stock by \$50,000 (such increase being in the form of 500,000,000 preferred shares of capital stock, each with a par value of \$0.0001) resulting in an aggregate authorized capital stock of \$200,000 divided into 1,500,000,000 ordinary shares of capital stock, each with a par value of \$0.0001 and 500,000,000 preferred shares of capital stock with a par value of \$0.0001.

All capital stock and additional paid-in capital amounts and per share information reflects the consummation of the above capital stock restructuring transactions. Such adjustments include calculations of our weighted average number of ordinary stock and net loss per ordinary share.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

9. Capital stock and net loss per ordinary share (continued):

On January 16, 2014, we completed the initial public offering, or IPO, of 31,000,000 ordinary shares of capital stock at a price of \$10.00 per share, raising approximately \$289.4 million, net of underwriting costs of \$16.3 million and other costs directly related to the IPO of \$4.3 million. The net proceeds were allocated \$3.1 thousand to the capital stock of the Company and \$289.4 million to additional paid-in capital.

On February 20, 2014, the underwriters in our IPO exercised an option to purchase 3,000,000 ordinary shares of capital stock at a price of \$10.00 per share, raising approximately \$28.4 million, net of underwriting costs of \$1.6 million. The net proceeds were allocated \$28.4 million to additional paid-in capital.

As described in Note 10(b), 78,428 ordinary shares of capital stock were issued on the exercise and net settlement of service vesting stock options and service vesting shares during the three months ended July 31, 2014.

Net loss per ordinary share:

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net loss per ordinary share:

	Three months ended	
	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2014
Loss attributable to controlling interest	\$(38,331) \$(42,100)
Weighted average number of ordinary stock outstanding – basic and diluted	46,519,484	80,530,687

Details of our stock based compensation plans are presented in Note 10 of these interim consolidated financial statements. Securities potentially issuable as part of these plans were not included in the computation of diluted loss per ordinary share because to do so would have been antidilutive for the periods presented.

10. Stock-based compensation:

We maintain three stock-based compensation plans: CHC Group Ltd. 2013 Omnibus Incentive Plan (“2013 Incentive Plan”), 2011 Management Equity Plan (“2011 Plan”), and Share Incentive Plan (“2008 Plan”). Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014 contains descriptions of the plans, the nature and terms of the awards and the methods and assumptions utilized in estimating the fair value of the awards.

As of July 31, 2014, there have been no significant changes to the plans, the nature and terms of the awards or the fair value estimates, as described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014.

During the three months ended July 31, 2014, there have been no significant activities under the 2011 Plan and 2008 Plan. During the three months ended July 31, 2014, awards have been granted, exercised and forfeited under the 2013 Incentive Plan, as described below.

(a) 2013 Incentive Plan new awards:

As described in Note 17(a) of the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, certain eligible employees were granted stock options, time-based restricted share units (“RSUs”) and performance-based restricted share units (“PB RSUs”).

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

10. Stock-based compensation (continued):

(a) 2013 Incentive Plan new awards (continued):

The following table provides information about the 2013 Incentive Plan stock options activity.

	July 31, 2014			
	Outstanding number of instruments	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted remaining contractual life	Weighted average grant date fair value
Outstanding, beginning of period	2,537,522	\$10.00	—	\$—
Granted	29,499	8.21	—	—
Forfeited	(199,768)	10.00	—	—
Outstanding, end of period	2,367,253	\$9.98	9.5 years	\$4.12
Exercisable, end of period	—			

The following table provides information about the 2013 Incentive Plan RSUs activity.

	July 31, 2014			
	Outstanding number of instruments	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted remaining contractual life	Weighted average grant date fair value
Outstanding, beginning of period	1,062,668	\$—	—	\$—
Granted	12,180	—	—	—
Forfeited	(39,334)	—	—	—
Outstanding, end of period	1,035,514	\$—	2.5 years	\$9.98
Exercisable, end of period	—			

The following table provides information about the 2013 Incentive Plan PB RSUs activity.

	July 31, 2014			
	Outstanding number of instruments	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted remaining contractual life	Weighted average grant date fair value
Outstanding, beginning of period	403,284	\$—	—	\$—
Forfeited	(33,334)	—	—	—
Outstanding, end of period	369,950	\$—	2.5 years	\$12.60
Exercisable, end of period	—			

(b) 2013 Incentive Plan exchanged awards:

As described in Note 17(a) and 17(b) of the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, the majority of the members of the 2011 Plan exchanged their performance options under the 2011 Plan for either share price performance options or share price performance shares under the 2013 Incentive Plan and their time and performance options under the 2011 plan for either service vesting stock options or service vesting shares under the 2013 Incentive Plan.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

10. Stock-based compensation (continued):

(b) 2013 Incentive Plan exchanged awards (continued):

During the three months ended July 31, 2014, 22,580 of the service vesting stock options and 97,255 of the service vesting shares vested. The vested options and shares were exercised and net share settled. Under net settlement procedures, upon the settlement date, shares were withheld to cover the required withholding tax. The number of shares to be withheld was determined based on the value of the instruments on the settlement date using the closing price of our ordinary shares of capital stock on that day, or the preceding last trading day if the settlement date is a non-trading day. The remaining amounts were delivered to the recipient as ordinary shares of capital stock. These shares withheld by us as a result of the net settlement of service vesting stock options and service vesting shares are no longer considered issued and outstanding, thereby reducing our ordinary shares outstanding used to calculate net loss per ordinary share. These ordinary shares were returned to the reserves and are available for future issuance under the 2013 Incentive Plan.

The following table provides information about the 2013 Incentive Plan service vesting stock options activity.

	July 31, 2014			
	Outstanding number of instruments	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted remaining contractual life	Weighted average grant date fair value
Outstanding, beginning of period	46,403	\$0.0001	—	\$—
Exercised	(22,580))0.0001	—	—
Outstanding, end of period	23,823	\$0.0001	9.5 years	\$10.00
Exercisable, end of period	—			

The following table provides information about the 2013 Incentive Plan share price performance options activity.

	July 31, 2014			
	Outstanding number of instruments	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted remaining contractual life	Weighted average grant date fair value
Outstanding, beginning of period	178,961	\$10.00	—	\$—
Forfeited	(29,861))10.00	—	—
Outstanding, end of period	149,100	\$10.00	9.5 years	\$3.86
Exercisable, end of period	—			

The following table provides information about the 2013 Incentive Plan service vesting shares activity.

	July 31, 2014			
	Outstanding number of instruments	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted remaining contractual life	Weighted average grant date fair value
Outstanding, beginning of period	243,279	\$—	—	\$—
Exercised	(97,255))—	—	—
Outstanding, end of period	146,024	\$—	2.0 years	\$10.00
Exercisable, end of period	—			

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

10. Stock-based compensation (continued):

(b) 2013 Incentive Plan exchanged awards (continued):

The following table provides information about the 2013 Incentive Plan share price performance shares activity.

	July 31, 2014			
	Outstanding number of instruments	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted remaining contractual life	Weighted average grant date fair value
Outstanding, beginning of period	649,011	\$—	—	\$—
Forfeited	(50,541))—	—	—
Outstanding, end of period	598,470	\$—	9.5 years	\$4.53
Exercisable, end of period	—			

During the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014, we recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$0.1 million and \$3.6 million respectively, in the statements of operations.

As at July 31, 2014, \$20.1 million of unamortized stock-based compensation remains to be recognized.

11. Income taxes:

During the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014, we recorded income tax expense of \$5.3 million and \$7.9 million resulting in effective tax rates of (17.5)% and (29.8)%, respectively. During the three months ended July 31, 2014, there was an additional accrual of \$1.3 million for a new uncertain tax position. The remaining income tax expense reflects primarily the current corporate income taxes in taxable jurisdictions and withholding taxes. For most jurisdictions we determined that the deferred tax assets are not more likely than not to be realized and therefore we continue to recognize a valuation allowance in respect of these deferred tax assets.

As of July 31, 2014, there was \$27.7 million in unrecognized tax benefits, of which \$20.8 million would have an impact on the effective tax rate, if recognized.

The total amount of interest and penalties accrued on the consolidated balance sheet at April 30, 2014 and July 31, 2014 was \$7.1 million and \$8.0 million, respectively.

12. Employee pension plans:

The net defined benefit pension plan expense (income) is as follows:

	Three months ended	
	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2014
Current service cost	\$5,006	\$5,400
Interest cost	7,921	8,705
Expected return on plan assets	(12,438)) (13,823)
Amortization of net actuarial and experience losses	432	520
Amortization of past service credits	(88)) (146)
Employee contributions	(735)) (863)
	\$98	\$ (207)

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

13. Derivative financial instruments and fair value measurements:

We are exposed to foreign exchange risk primarily from our subsidiaries which incur revenue and operating expenses in currencies other than US dollars with the most significant being Pound Sterling, Norwegian Kroner, Canadian dollars, Australian dollars and Euros. We monitor these exposures through our cash forecasting process and regularly enter into foreign exchange forward contracts to manage our exposure to fluctuations in expected future cash flows related to transactions in currencies other than the functional currency.

The outstanding foreign exchange forward contracts are as follows:

	Notional	Fair value	Maturity
April 30, 2014			
Purchase contracts to sell US dollars and buy Canadian dollars	CAD235,000	\$(10,925) May 2014 to Nov 2016
Purchase contracts to sell US dollars and buy Euros	€ 42,051	2,291	July 2014 to Oct 2014
Purchase contracts to sell Pounds Sterling and buy Euros	€ 54,000	(2,547) May 2014 to Dec 2016
July 31, 2014			
Purchase contracts to sell US dollars and buy Canadian dollars	CAD218,000	\$(8,025) Aug 2014 to Apr 2017
Purchase contracts to sell US dollars and buy Euros	€ 28,034	(548) Oct 2014 to Dec 2014
Purchase contracts to sell Pounds Sterling and buy Euros	€ 46,000	(4,212) Aug 2014 to Dec 2016

We enter into long-term revenue agreements, which provide for pricing denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the parties to the contract. This pricing feature was determined to be an embedded derivative which has been bifurcated for valuation and accounting purposes. The embedded derivative contracts are measured at fair value and included in other assets or other liabilities.

The following tables summarize the financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis excluding cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash:

	April 30, 2014			
	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Fair value
Financial assets:				
Other assets, current:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$—	\$2,306	\$—	\$2,306
Foreign currency embedded derivatives	—	805	—	805
Other assets, non-current:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	—	192	—	192
Foreign currency embedded derivatives	—	3,432	—	3,432
	\$—	\$6,735	\$—	\$6,735

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

13. Derivative financial instruments and fair value measurements (continued):

	April 30, 2014			
	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Fair value
Financial liabilities:				
Other liabilities, current:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$—	\$ (8,373) \$—	\$ (8,373)
Foreign currency embedded derivatives	—	(7,684) —	(7,684)
Other liabilities, non-current:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	—	(5,306) —	(5,306)
Foreign currency embedded derivatives	—	(8,011) —	(8,011)
	\$—	\$ (29,374) \$—	\$ (29,374)
	July 31, 2014			
	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Fair value
Financial assets:				
Other assets, current:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$—	\$ 69	\$—	\$ 69
Foreign currency embedded derivatives	—	2,012	—	2,012
Other assets, non-current:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	—	352	—	352
Foreign currency embedded derivatives	—	6,779	—	6,779
	\$—	\$ 9,212	\$—	\$ 9,212
	July 31, 2014			
	Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)	Fair value
Financial liabilities:				
Other liabilities, current:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$—	\$ (8,784) \$—	\$ (8,784)
Foreign currency embedded derivatives	—	(5,593) —	(5,593)
Other liabilities, non-current:				
Foreign currency forward contracts	—	(4,422) —	(4,422)
Foreign currency embedded derivatives	—	(4,945) —	(4,945)

\$— \$(23,744) \$— \$(23,744)

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

13. Derivative financial instruments and fair value measurements (continued):

Inputs to the valuation methodology for Level 2 measurements include publicly available forward notes, credit spreads and US dollars or Euro interest rates, and inputs are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. There were no transfers between categories in the fair value hierarchy.

The carrying values of the other financial instruments, which are measured at other than fair value, approximate fair value due to the short terms to maturity, except for non-revolving debt obligations, the fair values of which are as follows:

	April 30, 2014		July 31, 2014	
	Fair value	Carrying value	Fair value	Carrying value
Senior secured notes	\$1,254,825	\$1,159,675	\$1,187,875	\$1,095,528
Senior unsecured notes	311,250	300,000	316,890	300,000

The fair value of the senior secured and unsecured notes are determined based on market information provided by third parties which is considered to be a Level 2 measurement in the fair value hierarchy.

14. Supplemental cash flow information:

	Three months ended	
	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2014
Cash interest paid	\$1,653	\$16,155
Cash taxes paid	11,525	8,181
Assets acquired through non-cash capital leases	9,101	—
Change in cash resulting from changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
	Three months ended	
	July 31, 2013	July 31, 2014
Receivables, net of allowance	\$6,547	\$(8,282)
Income taxes receivable and payable	(5,211)	(683)
Inventories	(4,370)	(7,643)
Prepaid expenses	(13,739)	(2,666)
Payables and accruals	(19,781)	(12,070)
Deferred revenue	13,334	11,163
Other assets and liabilities	(3,451)	5,091
	\$ (26,671)	\$ (15,090)

15. Guarantees:

We have provided limited guarantees to third parties under some of our operating leases relating to a portion of the residual helicopter values at the termination of the leases, which have terms expiring between fiscal 2015 and 2024. Our exposure under the asset value guarantees including guarantees in the form of funded and unfunded residual value guarantees, rebateable advance rentals and deferred payments is approximately \$245.2 million and \$250.0 million as at April 30, 2014 and July 31, 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

16. Related party transactions:

(a) Related party leasing transactions and balances:

During the three months ended July 31, 2014, we engaged in leasing transactions with VIEs related to our majority shareholder (note 2).

(b) Balances with our majority shareholder:

At April 30, 2014 and July 31, 2014, \$2.0 million in payables and accruals is due to our majority shareholder.

(c) Repayment of related party loans:

On June 24, 2013, we repaid \$25.1 million of related party loans to companies under common control with our majority shareholder. The loan bore interest at 4.5% per annum.

On July 16, 2013, we borrowed \$25.0 million from companies under common control with our majority shareholder. On July 19, 2013, the loan was repaid. The loan bore interest at 4.5% per annum.

17. Commitments:

We have helicopter operating leases with 21 lessors for 171 helicopters and 19 lessors for 170 helicopters included in our fleet at April 30, 2014 and July 31, 2014, respectively. As at July 31, 2014, these leases had expiry dates ranging from fiscal 2015 to 2025. We have the option to purchase the majority of the helicopters for agreed amounts that do not constitute bargain purchase options, but have no commitment to do so. With respect to such leased helicopters, substantially all of the costs of major inspections of airframes and the costs to perform inspections, major repairs and overhauls of major components are at our expense. We either perform this work internally through our own repair and overhaul facilities or have the work performed by an external repair and overhaul service provider.

At July 31, 2014, we have commitments with respect to operating leases for helicopters, buildings, land and equipment. The minimum lease rentals required under operating leases are payable in the following amounts over the following years ended July 31:

	Helicopter operating leases	Building, land and equipment operating leases	Total operating leases
2015	\$ 284,444	\$ 18,082	\$ 302,526
2016	272,789	15,352	288,141
2017	251,072	13,842	264,914
2018	238,043	10,858	248,901
2019	219,736	8,441	228,177
Thereafter	372,990	54,875	427,865
	\$ 1,639,074	\$ 121,450	\$ 1,760,524

As at July 31, 2014, we have committed to purchase 25 new helicopters and the total required additional expenditure for these helicopters is approximately \$615.2 million. These helicopters are expected to be delivered in fiscal 2015 (\$270.5 million), 2016 (\$229.0 million) and 2017 (\$115.7 million) and will be deployed in our Helicopter Services

segment. We intend to enter into leases for these helicopters or purchase them outright upon delivery from the manufacturer. Additionally, we have committed to purchase \$53.2 million of helicopter parts by October 31, 2015 and \$100.0 million of heavy helicopters from Airbus Helicopters prior to December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

17. Commitments (continued):

The terms of certain of the helicopter lease agreements impose operating and financial limitations on us. Such agreements limit the extent to which we may, among other things, incur indebtedness and fixed charges relative to our level of consolidated adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization.

Generally, in the event of a covenant breach, a lessor has the option to terminate the lease and require the return of the helicopter, with the repayment of any arrears of lease payments plus the present value of all future lease payments and certain other amounts which could be material to our financial position. The helicopter would then be sold and the surplus, if any, returned to us. Alternatively we could exercise our option to purchase the helicopter. As at July 31, 2014, we were in compliance with all financial covenants.

18. Contingencies:

One or more of our subsidiaries are, from time to time, named as defendants in lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of our business. Such disputes may involve, for example, breach of contract, employment, wrongful termination and tort claims. We maintain adequate insurance coverage to respond to most claims. We cannot predict the outcome of any such lawsuits with certainty, but our management team does not expect the outcome of pending or threatened legal matters to have a material adverse impact on our financial condition.

In addition, from time to time, we are involved in tax and other disputes with various government agencies. The following summarizes certain of these pending disputes:

In 2006, we voluntarily disclosed to the U.S. Office of Foreign Asset Control, or OFAC, that one or more of our subsidiaries formerly operating as Schreiner Airways may have violated applicable US laws and regulations by re-exporting to Iran, Sudan, and Libya certain helicopters, related parts, map data, operation and maintenance manuals, and helicopter parts for third-party customers. OFAC's investigation is ongoing and we continue to fully cooperate. Should the US government determine that these activities violated applicable laws and regulations, we or our subsidiaries could be subject to civil or criminal penalties, including fines and/or suspension of the privilege to engage in trading activities involving goods, software and technology subject to the US jurisdiction. At July 31, 2014, it is not possible to determine the outcome of this matter, or the significance, if any, to our business, financial condition and results of operations.

On May 2, 2008, Brazilian customs authorities seized one of our helicopters (customs value of \$10.0 million) as a result of allegations that we violated Brazilian customs law by failing to ensure our customs agent and the customs agent's third-party shipping company followed approved routing of the helicopter during transport. We secured release of the helicopter and are disputing through court action any claim for penalties associated with the seizure and the alleged violation. We have preserved our rights by filing a civil action against our customs agent for any losses that may result. At July 31, 2014, it is not possible to determine the outcome of this matter, or the significance, if any, to our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Brazilian subsidiary is disputing claims from the Brazilian tax authorities that it was not entitled to certain credits in 2004 and 2007. The tax authorities are seeking up to \$4.8 million in additional taxes plus interest and penalties. We believe that based on our interpretation of tax legislation and well established aviation industry practice we are in compliance with all applicable tax legislation and plan to defend this claim vigorously. At July 31, 2014, it is not possible to determine the outcome of this matter or the significance, if any, to our business, financial condition and result of operations.

Our Brazilian subsidiary is also disputing assessments from the municipal governments in Macae and Cabo Frio related to cross-border flights and invoicing. The municipalities are seeking up to \$5.0 million in taxes and

penalties. We do not believe the Company is liable for these amounts and will continue to dispute these assessments through administrative and judicial processes. At July 31, 2014, it is not possible to determine the outcome of this matter or the significance, if any, to our business, financial condition and result of operations.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

18. Contingencies (continued):

In the United Kingdom, the Ministry for Transport is investigating potential wrongdoing involving two ex-employees in conjunction with the SAR-H bid award processes. This arose from our self-reporting potential improprieties by these individuals upon their discovery in 2010. The SAR-H bid process was subsequently canceled. We will continue to cooperate in all aspects of the investigation. On July 30, 2014, the UK Treasury Solicitors filed a claim for bid recovery costs of £17.8 million (\$30.1 million) against us and other parties involved in our canceled bid. We dispute the bases for the claim and intend to vigorously defend against it. At July 31, 2014, it is not possible to determine the outcome of this matter, or the significance, if any, to our business, financial condition and results of operations.

19. Segment information:

We operate under the following segments:

• Helicopter Services;

• Heli-One;

• Corporate and other.

We have provided information on segment revenues and Adjusted EBITDAR because these are the financial measures used by the Company's chief operating decision maker ("CODM") in making operating decisions and assessing performance. Transactions between operating segments are at standard industry rates.

During the three months ended July 31, 2014, we changed our internal reporting structure to allocate certain direct maintenance and supply chain costs previously reported in the Heli-One segment to the Helicopter Services segment. Under the previous reporting, Heli-One provided maintenance services to the Helicopter Services segment under the terms of a Power by Hour ("PBH") contract. Costs incurred by Heli-One to provide services under the PBH contract were reported in the Heli-One segment, whether they related to maintenance costs performed internally by Heli-One or to services contracted from external third parties. Under the new reporting, all third-party maintenance costs are reflected in the Helicopter Services segment. Maintenance services provided by Heli-One to Helicopter Services are separately reflected for each repair or overhaul of engines and components completed ("MRO contract") as opposed to a PBH contract basis.

The new reporting structure presentation is reflected in the three months ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2014 segment results. The MRO contract services provided by Heli-One to Helicopter Services are accounted for using a completed contract revenue recognition method in the three months ended July 31, 2014. For the three months ended July 31, 2013, the MRO contract services are accounted for using a percentage completion method, as it was not practical to determine results for this period using the completed contract method of revenue recognition. We are unable to quantify the impact of the difference between percentage completion and completed contract on the three months ended July 31, 2013. Otherwise, the accounting policies of the segments and the basis of accounting for transactions between segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies in Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014.

Information on segment assets has not been disclosed as this information is not reviewed by the CODM.

The Helicopter Services segment includes flying operations around the world serving offshore oil and gas, EMS/SAR and other industries and the management of the fleet.

Heli-One, the maintenance, repair and overhaul segment, includes facilities in Norway, Canada, Poland, and the United States that provide helicopter maintenance, repair and overhaul services for our fleet and for an external customer base in Europe, Asia and North America.

Corporate and other includes corporate office costs in various jurisdictions and is not considered a reportable segment.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

19. Segment information (continued):

Three months ended July 31, 2013

	Helicopter Services	Heli-One	Corporate and other	Inter-segment eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue from external customers	\$387,302	\$27,629	\$ —	\$ —	\$414,931
Add: Inter-segment revenues	—	37,211	—	(37,211)	—
Total revenue	387,302	64,840	—	(37,211)	414,931
Direct costs (i)	(263,626)	(60,644)	—	36,443	(287,827)
Earnings from equity accounted investees	2,391	—	—	—	2,391
General and administration costs	—	—	(18,116)	—	(18,116)
Adjusted EBITDAR (ii)	126,067	4,196	(18,116)	(768)	111,379
Helicopter lease and associated costs	(55,279)	—	—	—	(55,279)
Depreciation					(32,057)
Asset impairments (iii)					(7,324)
Loss on disposal of assets					(1,122)
Operating income					15,597
Interest on long-term debt					(38,708)
Foreign exchange loss					(13,087)
Other financing income					5,823
Income tax expense					(5,308)
Net loss					\$(35,683)

(i) Direct costs in the segment information presented excludes helicopter lease and associated costs. In the consolidated statement of operations these costs are combined.

Adjusted EBITDAR is defined as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, helicopter lease and associated costs, asset impairments, gain (loss) on disposal of assets, foreign exchange gain (loss) and other financing income (charges) or total revenue plus earnings from equity accounted investees less direct costs, excluding helicopter lease and associated costs, and general and administration expenses.

(iii) Asset impairments of \$7.3 million relate to the Helicopter Services segment.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

19. Segment information (continued):

Three months ended July 31, 2014

	Helicopter Services	Heli-One	Corporate and other	Inter-segment eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue from external customers	\$423,711	\$36,937	\$ —	\$ —	\$460,648
Add: Inter-segment revenues	—	23,981	—	(23,981)	—
Total revenue	423,711	60,918	—	(23,981)	460,648
Direct costs (i)	(299,587)	(55,642)	—	23,962	(331,267)
Earnings from equity accounted investees	2,677	—	—	—	2,677
General and administration costs	—	—	(21,662)	—	(21,662)
Adjusted EBITDAR (ii)	126,801	5,276	(21,662)	(19)	110,396
Helicopter lease and associated costs	(63,280)	—	—	—	(63,280)
Depreciation					(33,725)
Asset impairments (iii)					(275)
Loss on disposal of assets					(5,259)
Operating income					7,857
Interest on long-term debt					(34,872)
Foreign exchange gain					4,908
Other financing charges					(4,325)
Income tax expense					(7,887)
Net loss					\$(34,319)

(i) Direct costs in the segment information presented excludes helicopter lease and associated costs. In the consolidated statement of operations these costs are combined.

Adjusted EBITDAR is defined as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, helicopter lease and associated costs, asset impairments, gain (loss) on disposal of assets, foreign exchange gain (loss) and other financing income (charges) or total revenue plus earnings from equity accounted investees less direct costs, excluding helicopter lease and associated costs, and general and administration expenses.

(iii) Asset impairments of \$0.3 million relate to the Helicopter Services segment.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

20. Subsequent events

On August 21, 2014, we announced the entry into definitive agreements with funds managed by Clayton, Dubilier & Rice ("CD&R") for aggregate investment of up to \$600.0 million in CHC Group Ltd. through the issuance of convertible preferred shares, or the Preferred Shares. We disclosed descriptions and copies of the definitive agreements in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 27, 2014. Consummation of the transactions contemplated by such agreements is subject to the satisfaction of closing conditions, including (i) the approval of the issuance of the preferred shares by the holders of a majority of the outstanding ordinary shares voted in person or by proxy at an extraordinary general meeting of shareholders in a limited time-frame; (ii) expiration or termination of all required waiting periods of applicable competition laws; (iii) obtaining certain required third-party consents; (iv) execution of certain shareholder agreements with CD&R and 6922767 Holding (Cayman) Inc., or CaymanCo, an entity controlled by affiliates of First Reserve Corporation; (v) resignation of one of the directors designated by CaymanCo and taking of all necessary actions by our board of directors for the election of two directors designated by CD&R at or prior to the first closing and taking of all board actions necessary for the election of two additional directors designated by CD&R at or prior to the second closing; and (vi) absence of a material adverse effect, as defined in the relevant agreements. No assurance can be given that we will complete the pending financing transactions on the currently contemplated timetable, or that we will be able to derive the benefits contemplated. The Preferred Shares offered to the purchaser in the private placement will not be or have not been registered under the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration or an applicable exemption from registration requirements.

21. Supplemental condensed consolidated financial information:

The Company and certain of its direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries (the "Guarantor Subsidiaries") fully and unconditionally guaranteed on a joint and several basis certain outstanding indebtedness of CHC Helicopter S.A., one of our subsidiaries. The following consolidating schedules present financial information as of July 31, 2014 and for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014, based on the guarantor structure that was in place at July 31, 2014. The Sub-Parent column includes the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of several indirect parent entities of CHC Helicopter S.A. who have not provided guarantees of its debt. The investment in subsidiaries held by these entities is accounted for using the equity method.

The Parent columns in the condensed consolidated financial information are for CHC Group Ltd. on a standalone basis (the "Parent") and the equity method of accounting is used to reflect ownership interest in its subsidiary.

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

Balance Sheets as at April 30, 2014

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

	Parent	Sub-Parent	Issuer	Guarantor	Non-guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets							
Current Assets							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$90	\$88	\$98,067	\$315,602	\$(13,258)	\$(98,067)	\$302,522
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	—	70	113	128,121	164,661	(626)	292,339
Current intercompany receivables	30,720	—	326,687	549,410	306,659	(1,213,476)	—
Income taxes receivable	—	—	—	159	28,013	—	28,172
Deferred income tax assets	—	—	—	—	60	—	60
Inventories	—	—	—	122,705	8,186	—	130,891
Prepaid expenses	1,046	—	30	6,614	20,023	(30)	27,683
Other assets	—	—	5,356	32,330	217,545	(206,022)	49,209
	31,856	158	430,253	1,154,941	731,889	(1,518,221)	830,876
Property and equipment, net	—	—	—	951,025	100,116	(382)	1,050,759
Investments	656,167	627,534	989,722	590,947	21,546	(2,854,565)	31,351
Intangible assets	—	—	—	174,394	3,469	—	177,863
Goodwill	—	—	—	335,438	96,938	—	432,376
Restricted cash	—	—	—	12,719	18,847	—	31,566
Other assets	—	36	33,245	463,071	56,199	(33,245)	519,306
Long-term intercompany receivables	—	29,817	670,470	59,225	466,397	(1,225,909)	—
Deferred income tax assets	—	—	—	576	2,805	—	3,381
Assets held for sale	—	—	—	26,849	—	—	26,849
	\$688,023	\$657,545	\$2,123,690	\$3,769,185	\$1,498,206	\$(5,632,322)	\$3,104,327
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity							
Current Liabilities							
Payables and accruals	\$1,086	\$98	\$19,345	\$213,967	\$140,186	\$(19,341)	\$355,341
Deferred revenue	—	—	—	21,578	8,858	—	30,436
Income taxes payable	—	58	410	36,963	4,954	(410)	41,975
Current intercompany payables	5,628	1,222	77,289	357,594	522,210	(963,943)	—
Deferred income tax liabilities	—	—	—	16	82	—	98
Current facility secured by accounts receivable	—	—	—	—	62,596	—	62,596
Other liabilities	—	—	200,709	251,377	4,460	(401,376)	55,170
Current portion of long-term debt obligations	—	—	—	4,107	—	—	4,107
	6,714	1,378	297,753	885,602	743,346	(1,385,070)	549,723
Long-term debt obligations	—	—	1,459,675	1,546,155	—	(1,459,675)	1,546,155
Long-term intercompany payables	29,817	—	—	466,394	59,232	(555,443)	—
Deferred revenue	—	—	—	37,846	43,639	—	81,485

Edgar Filing: CHC Group Ltd. - Form 10-Q

Other liabilities	—	—	—	198,995	88,390	—	287,385
Deferred income tax liabilities	—	—	—	6,659	4,006	—	10,665
Total liabilities	36,531	1,378	1,757,428	3,141,651	938,613	(3,400,188)	2,475,413
Redeemable non-controlling interests	—	—	—	—	(22,578)	—	(22,578)
Shareholders' equity	651,492	656,167	366,262	627,534	582,171	(2,232,134)	651,492
	\$688,023	\$657,545	\$2,123,690	\$3,769,185	\$1,498,206	\$(5,632,322)	\$3,104,327

32

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

Balance Sheets as at July 31, 2014

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

	Parent	Sub-Parent	Issuer	Guarantor	Non-guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets							
Current Assets							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$72	\$—	\$(16,996)	\$215,581	\$(95,725)	\$16,996	\$119,928
Receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts	—	70	113	128,167	164,576	(817)	292,109
Current intercompany receivables	30,551	—	297,460	427,847	323,274	(1,079,132)	—
Income taxes receivable	—	—	—	158	30,401	—	30,559
Deferred income tax assets	—	—	—	—	128	—	128
Inventories	—	—	—	125,654	7,957	—	133,611
Prepaid expenses	884	—	13	7,509	21,561	(14)	29,953
Other assets	—	—	5,286	35,817	184,802	(177,461)	48,444
	31,507	70	285,876	940,733	636,974	(1,240,428)	654,732
Property and equipment, net	—	—	—	957,473	105,884	(382)	1,062,975
Investments	575,949	547,370	1,016,763	668,836	23,788	(2,799,504)	33,202
Intangible assets	—	—	—	172,601	3,383	—	175,984
Goodwill	—	—	—	329,657	96,753	—	426,410
Restricted cash	—	—	—	8,290	21,172	—	29,462
Other assets	—	36	30,765	446,489	72,419	(30,765)	518,944
Long-term intercompany receivables	—	29,817	630,984	68,774	466,464	(1,196,039)	—
Deferred income tax assets	—	—	—	652	2,273	—	2,925
Assets held for sale	—	—	—	28,866	—	—	28,866
	\$607,456	\$577,293	\$1,964,388	\$3,622,371	\$1,429,110	\$(5,267,118)	\$2,933,500
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity							
Current Liabilities							
Payables and accruals	\$942	\$110	\$36,426	\$218,410	\$121,735	\$(36,426)	\$341,197
Deferred revenue	—	—	—	29,459	9,529	—	38,988
Income taxes payable	—	58	409	38,517	5,115	(409)	43,690
Current intercompany payables	7,288	1,176	76,885	375,391	397,872	(858,612)	—
Deferred income tax liabilities	—	—	—	76	81	—	157
Current facility secured by accounts receivable	—	—	—	—	51,749	—	51,749
Other liabilities	—	—	172,174	224,105	2,576	(344,348)	54,507
Current portion of long-term debt obligations	—	—	—	3,654	—	—	3,654
	8,230	1,344	285,894	889,612	588,657	(1,239,795)	533,942
Long-term debt obligations	—	—	1,395,528	1,480,604	—	(1,395,528)	1,480,604
Long-term intercompany payables	29,817	—	—	465,741	69,501	(565,059)	—
Deferred revenue	—	—	—	37,893	41,970	—	79,863

Edgar Filing: CHC Group Ltd. - Form 10-Q

Other liabilities	—	—	—	194,489	79,400	—	273,889
Deferred income tax liabilities	—	—	—	6,662	4,347	—	11,009
Total liabilities	38,047	1,344	1,681,422	3,075,001	783,875	(3,200,382)	2,379,307
Redeemable non-controlling interests	—	—	—	—	(15,216)	—	(15,216)
Shareholders' equity	569,409	575,949	282,966	547,370	660,451	(2,066,736)	569,409
	\$607,456	\$577,293	\$1,964,388	\$3,622,371	\$1,429,110	\$(5,267,118)	\$2,933,500

33

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for the three months ended July 31, 2013 (Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)	Parent	Sub-Parent	Issuer	Guarantor	Non-guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$273,888	\$ 272,035	\$(130,992)	\$ 414,931
Operating expenses:							
Direct costs	—	—	—	(204,716)	(269,382)	130,992	(343,106)
Earnings (loss) from equity accounted investees	(38,331)	(38,205)	75,035	84,052	1,900	(82,060)	2,391
General and administration costs	—	(55)	(3,011)	(18,685)	624	3,011	(18,116)
Depreciation	—	—	—	(28,704)	(3,353)	—	(32,057)
Asset impairments	—	—	—	(7,324)	—	—	(7,324)
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets	—	—	—	(1,194)	72	—	(1,122)
	(38,331)	(38,260)	72,024	(176,571)	(270,139)	51,943	(399,334)
Operating income (loss)	(38,331)	(38,260)	72,024	97,317	1,896	(79,049)	15,597
Financing income (charges)	—	(71)	(107,248)	(132,332)	86,431	107,248	(45,972)
Earnings (loss) before income tax	(38,331)	(38,331)	(35,224)	(35,015)	88,327	28,199	(30,375)
Income tax expense	—	—	(714)	(3,190)	(2,118)	714	(5,308)
Net earnings (loss)	(38,331)	(38,331)	(35,938)	(38,205)	86,209	28,913	(35,683)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to:							
Controlling interest	(38,331)	(38,331)	(35,938)	(38,205)	83,561	28,913	(38,331)
Non-controlling interests	—	—	—	—	2,648	—	2,648
Net earnings (loss)	\$(38,331)	\$(38,331)	\$(35,938)	\$(38,205)	\$ 86,209	\$28,913	\$(35,683)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$(65,433)	\$(65,433)	\$(61,552)	\$(65,307)	\$ 77,691	\$116,657	\$(63,377)

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

Cash Flows for the three months ended July 31, 2013 (Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)	Parent	Sub-Parent	Issuer	Guarantor	Non-guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$—	\$(1)	\$(162,668)	\$11,895	\$(56,165)	\$162,668	\$(44,271)
Financing activities:							
Sold interest in accounts receivable, net of collections	—	—	—	—	(6,446)	—	(6,446)
Proceeds from issuance of senior unsecured notes	—	—	300,000	300,000	—	(300,000)	300,000
Long-term debt proceeds	—	—	25,000	100,000	—	(25,000)	100,000
Long-term debt repayments	—	—	(75,000)	(225,948)	—	75,000	(225,948)
Increase in deferred financing costs	—	—	(5,902)	(5,902)	—	5,902	(5,902)
Long-term intercompany flow-issuance (repayment) of debt	—	—	—	12	(12)	—	—
Dividends paid	—	—	—	(25,148)	—	25,148	—
Related party loans	—	(25,148)	—	—	—	—	(25,148)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	—	(25,148)	244,098	143,014	(6,458)	(218,950)	136,556
Investing activities:							
Property and equipment additions	—	—	—	(94,278)	(10,107)	—	(104,385)
Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment	—	—	—	46,102	61	—	46,163
Helicopter deposits net of lease inception refunds	—	—	—	(27,947)	—	—	(27,947)
Restricted cash	—	—	—	2,252	(7,104)	—	(4,852)
Dividends received	—	25,148	—	—	—	(25,148)	—
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities	—	25,148	—	(73,871)	(17,150)	(25,148)	(91,021)
Cash provided by (used in) operations	—	(1)	81,430	81,038	(79,773)	(81,430)	1,264
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	—	—	—	(7,444)	(2,966)	—	(10,410)
Change in cash and cash equivalents during the period	—	(1)	81,430	73,594	(82,739)	(81,430)	(9,146)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period	—	87	3,478	136,835	(13,121)	(3,478)	123,801

Edgar Filing: CHC Group Ltd. - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period	\$—	\$ 86	\$ 84,908	\$ 210,429	\$ (95,860)	\$ (84,908)	\$ 114,655
---	-----	-------	-----------	------------	--------------	--------------	------------

35

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

Statements of Operations
and Comprehensive Loss
for the three months ended
July 31, 2014(Expressed in thousands of
United States dollars)

	Parent	Sub-Parent	Issuer	Guarantor	Non-guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$293,193	\$ 318,394	\$(150,939)	\$ 460,648
Operating expenses:							
Direct costs	—	—	(7)	(229,327)	(316,160)	150,947	(394,547)
Earnings (loss) from equity accounted investees	(37,919)	(37,865)	(31,549)	(22,441)	2,244	130,207	2,677
General and administration costs	(4,529)	(80)	(246)	(11,345)	(5,708)	246	(21,662)
Depreciation	—	—	—	(28,913)	(4,812)	—	(33,725)
Asset impairments	—	—	—	(275)	—	—	(275)
Gain (loss) on disposal of assets	—	—	—	(5,368)	109	—	(5,259)
	(42,448)	(37,945)	(31,802)	(297,669)	(324,327)	281,400	(452,791)
Operating loss	(42,448)	(37,945)	(31,802)	(4,476)	(5,933)	130,461	7,857
Financing income (charges)	348	26	(7,515)	(26,382)	(8,280)	7,514	(34,289)
Loss before income tax	(42,100)	(37,919)	(39,317)	(30,858)	(14,213)	137,975	(26,432)
Income tax expense	—	—	(680)	(7,007)	(880)	680	(7,887)
Net loss	(42,100)	(37,919)	(39,997)	(37,865)	(15,093)	138,655	(34,319)
Net earnings (loss) attributable to:							
Controlling interest	(42,100)	(37,919)	(39,997)	(37,865)	(22,874)	138,655	(42,100)
Non-controlling interests	—	—	—	—	7,781	—	7,781
Net loss	\$(42,100)	\$(37,919)	\$(39,997)	\$(37,865)	\$ (15,093)	\$ 138,655	\$(34,319)
Comprehensive loss	\$(85,314)	\$(81,133)	\$(83,297)	\$(81,079)	\$ (16,966)	\$ 269,642	\$(78,147)

Table of Contents

CHC Group Ltd.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars unless otherwise noted, except share and per share information)

Cash Flows for the three months ended July 31, 2014 (Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)	Parent	Sub-Parent	Issuer	Guarantor	Non-guarantor	Eliminations	Consolidated
Cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$(18)	\$(88)	\$22,593	\$132,316	\$ (163,419)	\$(22,629)	\$(31,245)
Financing activities:							
Sold interest in accounts receivable, net of collections	—	—	—	—	(9,146)	—	(9,146)
Net proceeds from issuance of capital stock	—	—	—	—	102,000	(102,000)	—
Long-term debt proceeds	—	—	70,000	70,000	—	(70,000)	70,000
Long-term debt repayments	—	—	(70,000)	(71,371)	—	70,000	(71,371)
Redemption of senior secured notes	—	—	(70,620)	(70,620)	—	70,620	(70,620)
Long term intercompany flow issuance of debt	—	—	34,900	—	—	(34,900)	—
Dividends paid	—	—	—	(64)	—	64	—
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	—	—	(35,720)	(72,055)	92,854	(66,216)	(81,137)
Investing activities:							
Property and equipment additions	—	—	—	(114,816)	(11,099)	36	(125,879)
Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment	—	—	—	69,018	180	—	69,198
Helicopter deposits net of lease inception refunds	—	—	—	(14,780)	—	—	(14,780)
Investment in subsidiaries	—	—	(102,000)	(102,000)	—	204,000	—
Restricted cash	—	—	—	4,424	(2,819)	—	1,605
Dividends received	—	—	64	64	—	(128)	—
Cash used in investing activities	—	—	(101,936)	(158,090)	(13,738)	203,908	(69,856)
Cash used in operations	(18)	(88)	(115,063)	(97,829)	(84,303)	115,063	(182,238)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	—	—	—	(2,192)	1,836	—	(356)
Change in cash and cash equivalents during the period	(18)	(88)	(115,063)	(100,021)	(82,467)	115,063	(182,594)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period	90	88	98,067	315,602	(13,258)	(98,067)	302,522
Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period	\$72	\$—	\$(16,996)	\$215,581	\$ (95,725)	\$ 16,996	\$ 119,928

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This management’s discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations (“MD&A”) is intended to provide an understanding of our results of operations, financial condition and where appropriate, factors that may affect future performance. You should read the following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations in conjunction with the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report and our audited annual consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, on July 10, 2014, and the MD&A contained therein. In the discussion that follows, the terms “prior year quarter” and “current year quarter” refer to the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014, respectively.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Securities Act, about our future expectations, plans or prospects and our business. All statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, other than statements of historical fact, regarding the financing transactions announced on August 21, 2014, as well as our strategy, future operations, financial position, estimated revenues and losses, projected costs, prospects, plans and objectives of management, are forward-looking statements. In some cases you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as “believe,” “may,” “will,” “estimate,” “continue,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “could,” “would,” “project,” “plan,” “expect” or the negative or plural of these words or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements concerning the following:

- our ability to obtain the approval of the pending financing transactions by our shareholders;
- the ability to obtain governmental approvals of the pending financing transactions or to satisfy other conditions to the transactions on the proposed terms and timeframe;
- the possibility that the pending financing transactions do not close when expected or at all, or that we may be required to modify aspects of the transactions to achieve regulatory approval;
- the ability to realize the expected reduction of debt and interest expense from the pending financing transactions in the amounts or in the timeframe anticipated;
- our level of indebtedness and obligations under our operating leases;
- competition in the markets we serve;
- loss of any of our large, long-term support contracts;
- inherent risks in operating helicopters;
- failure to mitigate losses through a robust safety management and insurance coverage program or to maintain standards of acceptable safety performance;
- risks associated with our fixed operating expenses and long-term contracts;
- our reliance on a small number of helicopter manufacturers;
- limited number of suppliers and availability of replacement helicopter parts and subcontracted services;
- inability to fund our working capital requirements;
- reliance on the secondary used helicopter market to dispose of older helicopters;
- extensive regulation;
- potential for conflict with the other owners of non-wholly owned variable interest entities;
- political and economic uncertainty;
 - compliance risks associated with international activities;
- application of tax laws in various jurisdictions;
- foreign currency exposure and related hedging activities;

Table of Contents

- exposure to credit risks;
- allocation of risk between our customers and us;
- dependence on the oil and gas industry, and particular markets within that industry;
- reduction or cancellation of services for government agencies;
- inability to upgrade our technology;
- reliance on information technology;
- assimilation of acquisitions and the impact of any future material acquisitions;
- loss of key personnel;
- labor problems;
- insufficient assets in our defined benefit pension plan;
- adverse results of legal proceedings;
- potential adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences;
- our controlling shareholder's control over us, and its interests that may conflict with ours and may differ from those of our public shareholders;
- future sales of our ordinary shares by current shareholders;
- lack of a prior trading market for our ordinary shares;
- our holding company structure; and
- the costs of being a public company, including Sarbanes-Oxley Act compliance.

We caution you that the above list of cautionary statements is not exhaustive and should be considered with the risks described under "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and those identified in the "Risk Factors" section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual outcomes may vary materially from those indicated. We disclaim any intentions or obligations to update or revise any forward-looking information, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except in accordance with applicable securities laws.

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to "Company," "we," and "our" refer to CHC Group Ltd. and its subsidiaries. Our fiscal year ends on April 30, and we refer to fiscal years based on the end of such period (the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014 is referred to as "fiscal 2014"). Certain operational terms used in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are defined under the heading "Glossary."

Overview of Business

We are the world's largest commercial operator of helicopters based on revenue of \$1.8 billion in fiscal 2014. We are also the world's largest commercial operator of heavy and medium helicopters based on our fleet of 233 heavy and medium helicopters as of July 31, 2014. With bases on six continents, we are one of only two global commercial helicopter service providers to the offshore oil and gas industry. Our mission is to provide the highest level of service in the industry, which we believe will enable our customers to go further, do more and come home safely. With over 60 years of experience providing helicopter services, we believe our brand and reputation have become associated with safe and reliable transportation and mission-critical logistics solutions. Our fleet of heavy and medium helicopters, global capabilities and reputation for safety position us to capitalize on anticipated increases in ultra-deepwater and deepwater drilling and production spending by our major, national and independent oil and gas company customers.

Table of Contents

Our helicopters are primarily used to facilitate large, long-distance crew changes on offshore production facilities and drilling rigs. We also provide search and rescue services, or SAR, and emergency medical services, or EMS, to government agencies. We maintain a presence in most major offshore oil and gas markets through a network of approximately 70 bases with operations in approximately 30 countries, more than any other commercial helicopter service provider in the world. We cover this expansive and diverse geography with a technologically advanced fleet of 233 helicopters and the expertise to serve customers in ultra-deepwater and deepwater locations. To secure and maintain operating certificates in the many jurisdictions in which we provide helicopter services, we must meet stringent and diverse regulatory standards across multiple jurisdictions, and have an established track record in obtaining and maintaining certificates as well as working with regulators and local partners.

We generate the majority of our oil and gas customer Helicopter Services revenue from contracts tied to our customers' offshore production operations, which have long-term transportation requirements. A substantial portion of our remaining oil and gas customer Helicopter Services revenue comes from transporting personnel to and from offshore drilling rigs, and we believe this capability allows us to take advantage of expansion in the global ultra-deepwater rig fleet. Approximately 73% to 78% of the flying revenue in our Helicopter Services segment was attributable to fixed monthly charges for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

We also provide maintenance, repair and overhaul, or MRO, services through our Heli-One business to both our own Helicopter Services segment and to third-party customers. Our MRO capabilities enable us to perform heavy structural repairs, and maintain, overhaul and test helicopters and helicopter components globally across various helicopter types. We believe our in-house MRO operations through our Heli-One business enable us to manage our supply chain and maintain our fleet more efficiently, thereby increasing the availability of our helicopters and reducing our overall cost of maintenance. In addition, we are the largest provider of these services (excluding original equipment manufacturers, or OEMs), which allows us to provide our Heli-One customers with comprehensive MRO services across multiple helicopter types and families. Our MRO services include complete maintenance outsourcing solutions, parts sales and distribution, engineering services, design services and logistics support.

Segments

We report under two operating segments and have a Corporate segment comprised primarily of general and administration costs. During the three months ended July 31, 2014, we changed our internal reporting structure to allocate certain direct maintenance and supply chain costs previously reported in the Heli-One segment to the Helicopter Services segment. Under the previous reporting, Heli-One provided maintenance services to the Helicopter Services segment under the terms of a Power by Hour ("PBH") contract. Costs incurred by Heli-One to provide services under the PBH contract were reported in the Heli-One segment, whether they related to maintenance costs performed internally by Heli-One or to services contracted from external third parties. Under the new reporting, all third-party maintenance costs are reflected in the Helicopter Services segment. Maintenance services provided by Heli-One to Helicopter Services are separately reflected for each MRO contract as opposed to a PBH contract basis. Information on segment assets is not reviewed by the Company's chief operating decision maker ("CODM") in making operating decisions and assessing performance.

Our two operating segments are as follows:

Helicopter Services:

- Our Helicopter Services segment consists of flying operations in the Eastern North Sea, the Western North Sea, the Americas, the Asia Pacific region and the Africa-Euro Asia region, primarily serving our offshore oil and gas customers and providing SAR and EMS to government agencies. The Eastern North Sea is comprised mainly of Norway while the Western North Sea includes the United Kingdom, Ireland and the Netherlands. The Americas is comprised of Brazil, North American countries and other South American countries. The Asia Pacific region includes Australia and Southeast Asian countries and the Africa-Euro Asia region includes Nigeria, Equatorial Guinea, Kazakhstan, Mozambique, Tanzania and other African and European countries.

Helicopter Services generated approximately 87% to 90% of its revenue for the three years ended April 30, 2014 from oil and gas customers, and of this amount, the majority is tied to our customers' offshore production operations, which have long-term transportation requirements.

Table of Contents

Helicopter Services also provides SAR and EMS to government agencies and to our oil and gas customers. SAR and EMS revenue to non-oil and gas customers has historically contributed approximately 10% to 11% of Helicopter Services revenue for the three years ended April 30, 2014.

Heli-One:

Our Heli-One segment includes helicopter maintenance, repair and overhaul facilities in Norway, Poland, Canada and the United States, providing helicopter maintenance, repair and overhaul services for our fleet and for a growing external customer base in Europe, Asia and North America. Although intersegment revenues are eliminated from the presentation of our consolidated financial information, operationally, Heli-One's largest customer is our Helicopter Services segment.

We have historically generated the majority of our third-party Heli-One revenue by providing maintenance, repair and overhaul services to other helicopter operators. Approximately 28%, 34% and 38% of our third-party Heli-One revenue in the 2012, 2013 and 2014 fiscal years, respectively, was derived from PBH contracts, where the customer pays a ratable monthly charge, typically based on the number of hours flown, for all scheduled and un-scheduled maintenance.

Key Financial and Operating Metrics

We use a number of key financial and operating metrics to measure the performance of our business, including Adjusted EBITDAR, Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items, Adjusted EBITDAR margin, Adjusted EBITDAR margin excluding special items, Adjusted net loss, and our Heavy Equivalent Rate (HE Rate). None of Adjusted EBITDAR, Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items, Adjusted EBITDAR margin, Adjusted EBITDAR margin excluding special items, Adjusted net loss or HE Rate is required by, or presented in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP"). These non-GAAP measures are not performance measures under GAAP and should not be considered as alternatives to net earnings (loss) or any other performance or liquidity measures derived in accordance with GAAP. In addition, these measures may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies.

Table of Contents

The following charts show our revenue generated by segment, our HE Rate, our Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items, our Adjusted EBITDAR margin excluding special items, operating income and operating margin for the three month periods ended July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2014:

(1) HE Rate is the third-party operating revenue from our Helicopter Services segment excluding reimbursable revenue divided by a weighted average factor corresponding to the number of heavy and medium helicopters in our fleet. Our heavy and medium helicopters, including owned and leased, are weighted at 100% and 50%, respectively, to arrive at a single HE count, excluding helicopters expected to be retired from our fleet.

Adjusted EBITDAR margin excluding special items is calculated as Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items (2) divided by total revenue less reimbursable revenue. Cost reimbursements from customers are recorded as reimbursable revenue with the related reimbursement expense in direct costs.

(3) Operating margin is calculated as operating income divided by total revenue.

Adjusted EBITDAR, Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items, Adjusted EBITDAR margin, Adjusted EBITDAR margin excluding special items, Adjusted net loss and HE Rate are non-GAAP financial measures. We have chosen to include Adjusted EBITDAR, and Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items, as we consider these measures to be significant indicators of our financial performance and we use these measures to assist us in allocating available capital resources. Adjusted EBITDAR is defined as earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, helicopter lease and associated costs, asset impairments, gain (loss) on disposal of assets, foreign exchange gain (loss) and other financing income (charges) or total revenue plus earnings from equity accounted investees, less direct costs, excluding helicopter lease and associated costs, and general and administration costs. Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items excludes corporate transaction costs, which includes costs related to senior executive turnover, potential financing and other transactions. For additional information about our segment revenue and Adjusted EBITDAR, including a reconciliation of these measures to our consolidated financial statements, see Note 19 of our unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014 included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

We have chosen to include Adjusted net loss as it provides us with an understanding of the results from the primary activities of our business by excluding corporate transaction costs, which includes costs related to senior executive turnover, potential financing and other transactions, asset dispositions, asset impairments, loss on debt extinguishment, the revaluation of our derivatives and foreign exchange gain (loss), which is primarily driven by the translation of U.S. dollar balances in entities with a non-U.S. dollar functional currency. This measure excludes the net earnings or loss attributable to non-controlling interests. We believe that this measure is a useful supplemental measure as net loss includes these items, and the inclusion of these items are not meaningful indicators of our ongoing performance. For additional information about our Adjusted net loss, including reconciliation to our consolidated financial statements, see “Summary of the Results of Operations.”

We have chosen to include the HE Rate, which is the third-party operating revenue from the Helicopter Services segment excluding reimbursable revenue divided by a weighted average factor corresponding to the number of heavy and medium helicopters in our fleet. Our heavy and medium helicopters, including owned and leased, are weighted at 100% and 50%, respectively, to arrive at a single HE count, excluding helicopters expected to be retired from the fleet. We believe this measure is useful as it provides a standardized measure of our operating revenue per helicopter taking into account the different revenue productivity and related costs of operating our fleet mix of heavy and medium helicopters.

Key Drivers Affecting our Results of Operations

Our results of operations and financial condition are affected by numerous factors, including those described under Part I, Item 1A “Risk Factors,” elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and those described below:

General level of offshore production and drilling activity. Demand for our services depends primarily upon ongoing offshore hydrocarbon production and the capital spending of oil and gas companies and the level of offshore drilling activity. Higher activity levels can lead to greater utilization of our helicopters by our customers. Because a large portion of our costs are fixed, our Adjusted EBITDAR margins typically improve when more of our helicopters are deployed.

Impact of fleet mix. Generally, contracts for our helicopter services requiring heavier and newer helicopters provide an opportunity to generate greater profit than lighter and older helicopters. Consequently, our revenue and profit opportunity improves as we upgrade our fleet and enter into new contracts.

Timing of new contracts and our commencement of service under new contracts. Our results of operations in a particular period can be impacted by the timing of the execution of new contracts and our ability to provide services under new contracts.

Market Outlook

We generate the majority of our Helicopter Services revenue from contracts tied to our oil and gas customers’ offshore production operations, which have long-term transportation requirements. A substantial portion of our remaining oil and gas customer Helicopter Services revenue comes from transporting personnel to and from offshore drilling rigs, and we believe this capability allows us to take advantage of expansion in the global ultra-deepwater rig fleet. Approximately 73% to 78% of the flying revenue in our Helicopter Services segment was attributable to fixed monthly charges for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2012, 2013 and 2014. The production business is typically less cyclical than the exploration and development business because production platforms remain in place over the long-term and are relatively unaffected by economic cycles, as the marginal cost of lifting a barrel of oil once a platform is in position is low. Our customers typically base their capital expenditure budgets on their long-term commodity price expectations.

Our MRO services, operated through our Heli-One business, are dependent on helicopter maintenance demand. This is generally highest during periods of high helicopter service demand where high flying hours result in more frequent maintenance, most of which is required by regulation.

Table of Contents

We have seen an increase in ultra-deepwater and deepwater spending by our customers and we are optimistic that growth will continue in future periods. We are continuing to see growth in offshore production as the industry moves offshore to find hydrocarbons. New technology has allowed oil and gas companies to continue exploration and drilling farther offshore. To remain competitive and to service existing and new contracts in this industry, we are augmenting our fleet by adding technologically advanced helicopters to meet customers' changing demands. The industry is constrained by the pace at which it renews its fleet due to the limited supply of new technology helicopters produced annually by the OEMs. To address this constraint, we have leveraged our relationship with the OEMs to secure commitments to obtain new technology helicopters to support our future growth.

During the three months ended July 31, 2014, we took delivery of five helicopters. At July 31, 2014, we have commitments to purchase 25 new helicopters, with the delivery of these helicopters beginning in fiscal 2015 and continuing through to fiscal 2017. These helicopters will be purchased outright or financed through leases. In addition to this, we have committed to \$100.0 million of additional heavy helicopter purchases with Airbus Helicopters prior to December 31, 2016.

The North Sea continues to be our core operating area, with approximately half of Helicopter Services' revenue being derived from this region. Brazil and certain countries in the Africa-Euro Asia region, particularly Nigeria, are expected to contribute increasingly to our revenue in future periods due to an increase in ultra-deepwater and deepwater oil and gas activity in those regions. In May 2014, we won a new contract to provide helicopter services to an exploration rig in the Atlantic Ocean, off the coast of Newfoundland, Canada. In June 2014, we won a new multi-year oil and gas contract in the U.K. to provide flying services to the Mariner field off the coast of Scotland. We have also made continued contract wins and renewals for the year to date with new contracts in the U.K., Kazakhstan, Norway and Equatorial Guinea.

Heli-One continues to develop its third-party business for both PBH and non-PBH revenue streams, with recent contract wins for helicopter upgrade and modification contracts with major leasing customers as well as engine overhaul and medium helicopter PBH agreements, which includes new business with customers in Japan and Malaysia. To further support the growth of our Heli-One business and expand our global footprint, we opened a new 65,000 square foot customized hangar in Rzeszow, Poland in April 2014. We continue to review and improve our global inventory management processes through a number of lean process techniques to support efficiencies in our workshops and our supply chain for our business operations, including developing our inventory management methodology and reducing the turnaround time on rotatable maintenance, which is designed to both reduce our capital investment outlay and improve rotatable part availability. We have also been working to expand sales volumes through our global agent and channel partner network, where we have signed new agents and channel partners in numerous key regions during preceding periods.

We believe our broad transformation program will provide significant value to our operations. This program looks at all major aspects of our operations and includes a number of work streams, each including many initiatives. The program includes transformative thinking and technology to achieve cost efficiencies through global standardization and organizational efficiency to allow us to enhance our earnings and cash flows. We opened our centralized Integrated Operations Center in Irving, Texas in fiscal 2013. The centralization process has been rolled out on a region by region basis, a process which is expected to be complete by the end of the 2014 calendar year. We are continuing to complete the implementation of our long-term crew planning and scheduling program, AIMS, to further improve customer service levels. This system improves crew scheduling, the integration of crew rosters, allows integrated training planning and enhanced key performance indicator reporting to improve crew and helicopter productivity and has been deployed in several regions, including Brazil, the North Sea and Australia. This system will be linked with our Operations Flight Planning System (OFPS), which forms part of the electronic flight bag for pilots, a measure undertaken to reduce paperwork and improve pilot efficiency. During the three months ended July 31, 2014, OFPS, integrated with AIMS, was successfully launched in our North Sea region. The roll-out of OFPS will continue to our other regions and bases. We have also continued with our global base transformation initiative, which is a program aimed at improving base efficiency through the implementation of measures designed to improve crew and field operations efficiency and reduce our aircraft on ground days, and our global indirect procurement review, aimed at

generating cost savings through e-procurement implementation, bulk purchasing and more sophisticated vendor selection. We believe these transformative actions will allow us to maximize our value proposition to our customers. We conduct our business in various foreign jurisdictions, and as such, our cash flows and earnings are subject to fluctuations and related risks from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Throughout the three months ended July 31, 2014, our primary foreign currency exposures were related to the Norwegian Kroner, the Euro, the British pound sterling, the Canadian dollar and the Australian dollar. For details on this exposure and the related impact on our results of operations, see Part I, Item 3 “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk” included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Recent Developments

Following an incident in October 2012 that led to the widespread suspension of all over-water Airbus Helicopters EC225 helicopters, extensive investigation by the manufacturer, independent analysis and authority-approved modifications to the helicopters were completed and we commenced in July 2013 the phased re-introduction of our EC225 fleet to full service. Full regular service on the Airbus Helicopters EC225 fleet was completed during the fourth-quarter of the 2013 calendar year in conjunction with robust interim safety measures.

On June 11, 2014, the UK Air Accident Investigation Branch, or UK AAIB, issued its final report into this and a related May 2012 incident by another operator. A full copy of the final report is available at http://www.aaib.gov.uk/publications/formal_reports/2_2014_g_redw_g_chcn.cfm. Neither the foregoing website nor the information contained on the website nor the report accessible through such website shall be deemed incorporated into, and neither shall be a part of, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The root cause of the incident was attributed to the bevel gear vertical shaft design. In April 2014, Airbus Helicopters announced that a redesigned vertical gear shaft had been approved by the European Aviation Safety Agency, or EASA. The retrofitting of the redesigned gear shaft has begun, with the expectation that this retrofit program will be completed within twelve months.

On August 23, 2013, one of our Airbus Helicopters AS332L2 heavy helicopters was involved in an accident near Sumburgh in the Shetland Isles, United Kingdom. Authorities subsequently confirmed four fatalities and multiple injuries among the 16 passengers and two crew members on board. The cause of the accident is not yet known and full investigations are being carried out in conjunction with the UK AAIB and Police Scotland.

Despite engineering and operating differences between the AS332L2, AS332L, AS332L1 and EC225 helicopters, for a limited period, we voluntarily canceled all our flights worldwide on those helicopter types (except for those involved in life-saving missions), out of respect for our work force and those of our customers, and to evaluate any implications associated with the accident.

Within a week of the accident, after consultation with our principal regulators, the manufacturer, customers, union representatives and industry groups, and based on findings that there was no evidence to support a continuation of our temporary voluntary suspension and, on recommendations to return to active service all variants of these helicopter types, we resumed commercial passenger flights with all of these helicopter types to and from offshore oil and gas installations worldwide, excluding those in the United Kingdom with AS332L2 helicopters. We resumed AS332L2 commercial flights in the United Kingdom in mid-September. All of these helicopter types have now been returned to commercial operations worldwide.

On October 18, 2013, the UK AAIB issued a special bulletin about its investigation on the causes of the AS332L2 accident. A full copy of the special bulletin is available at http://www.aaib.gov.uk/publications/special_bulletins/s1_2014__as332_l2_super_puma__g_wnsb.cfm. Neither the foregoing website nor the information contained on the website nor the report accessible through such website shall be deemed incorporated into, and neither shall be a part of, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In the special bulletin, the UK AAIB confirmed that, to date, the wreckage examination and analysis of recorded data as well as information from interviews of people involved in the accident have not found any evidence of a technical fault that could have been causal to the accident. The investigations by the UK AAIB and Police Scotland are ongoing. On January 23, 2014, the UK AAIB issued a further special bulletin (S1/2014) on the accident which contained enhanced pre-flight safety briefing recommendations relating to the use of the passenger re-breather; these recommendations were implemented in all regions where this equipment was in use. It is too early to determine the extent of the impact of the accident on our results of operations or financial condition based on information currently available.

Table of Contents

On February 20, 2014, the UK Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) published its safety review of offshore oil and gas public transport helicopter operations (CAP 1145). A full copy of the review is available at <http://www.caa.co.uk/application.aspx?catid=33&pagetype=65&appid=11&mode=detail&id=6088>. Neither the foregoing website nor the information contained on the website nor the report accessible through such website shall be deemed incorporated into, and neither shall be a part of, this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The report's prescribed actions and recommendations were the result of a comprehensive review of offshore helicopter operations, undertaken in conjunction with the Norwegian Civil Aviation Authority and the EASA. The UK CAA identified several actions intended to minimize the risk of further accidents and to improve the survivability in the event of an accident; those actions/recommendations included prohibiting helicopter flights in certain sea conditions, except in response to an emergency, relative to the sea conditions for which the helicopter has been certificated, and only allowing passengers to be seated next to push-out window exits unless all passengers have enhanced emergency breathing equipment or the helicopter is fitted with side floats. The review also identified several other areas of activity to further enhance the levels of safety in the offshore helicopter industry. In May 2014, the UK CAA announced certain changes to the timing of the implementation of the measures within this report, which include the delay of seating restrictions until September 1, 2014. The introduction of the enhanced breathing equipment for all passengers is being implemented as scheduled.

On July 3, 2014, one of our helicopters conducted a medivac flight of a reportedly ill oil worker from the Troll A platform in the North Sea. During the flight, the patient freed himself from his safety belts and exited the helicopter through an emergency window at 600 meters above the sea. His remains were subsequently recovered from the sea. We are fully cooperating with the police and aviation authorities in their investigation.

Financing Transactions

On August 21, 2014, we announced the entry into definitive agreements with funds managed by Clayton, Dubilier & Rice (CD&R) for aggregate investment of up to \$600.0 million in CHC Group Ltd. through the issuance of convertible preferred shares, or the preferred shares. We disclosed descriptions and copies of the definitive agreements in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 27, 2014, which are incorporated herein by reference. Consummation of the transactions contemplated by such agreements is subject to the satisfaction of closing conditions, including (i) the approval of the issuance of the preferred shares by the holders of a majority of the outstanding ordinary shares voted in person or by proxy at an extraordinary general meeting of shareholders in a limited time-frame; (ii) expiration or termination of all required waiting periods of applicable competition laws; (iii) obtaining certain required third-party consents; (iv) execution of certain shareholder agreements with CD&R and 6922767 Holding (Cayman) Inc., or CaymanCo, an entity controlled by affiliates of First Reserve Corporation; (v) resignation of one of the directors designated by CaymanCo and taking of all necessary actions by our board of directors for the election of two (2) directors designated by CD&R at or prior to the first closing and taking of all board actions necessary for the election of two (2) additional directors designated by CD&R at or prior to the second closing; and (vi) absence of a material adverse effect. No assurance can be given that we will complete the pending financing transactions on the currently contemplated timetable, or that we will be able to derive the benefits contemplated.

The preferred shares offered to the purchaser in the private placement will not be or have not been registered under the Securities Act and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration or an applicable exemption from registration requirements. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities.

Table of Contents

Fleet

As of July 31, 2014, our fleet was comprised of the following helicopters:

Helicopter Type	Total	Cruise Speed (kts)	Approximate Range (nmi)	Passenger Capacity	Maximum Weight (lbs)
Helicopter Type					
Heavy:					
Sikorsky S92A	42	145	400	19	26,500
Airbus Helicopters EC225	37	145	400	19	24,250
Airbus Helicopters (AS332 L, L1, and L2)	37	130-140	250-350	17-19	18,000-20,500
Total Heavy	116				
Medium:					
AgustaWestland AW139	39	145	280	12-15	15,000
Sikorsky S76C++	23	145	220	12	11,700
Sikorsky S76C+	20	145	175	12	11,700
Sikorsky S76A++	15	135	110-130	12	10,800-11,700
Bell 412	8	125	135	13	11,900
Airbus Helicopters AS365 Series	7	120-145	80	11	9,500
Airbus Helicopters EC135/145/155	5	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾	N/A ⁽¹⁾
Total Medium	117				
Total Helicopters	233				

(1)EMS only

As of July 31, 2014, we have committed to purchase 25 new helicopters and the total required additional expenditure for these helicopters is approximately \$615.2 million. These helicopters are expected to be delivered in fiscal 2015 (\$270.5 million), 2016 (\$229.0 million) and 2017 (\$115.7 million) and will be deployed in our Helicopter Services segment. We intend to enter into leases for these helicopters or purchase them outright upon delivery from the manufacturer. Additionally, we have committed to purchase \$53.2 million of helicopter parts by October 31, 2015 and \$100.0 million of heavy helicopters from Airbus Helicopters prior to December 31, 2016.

The following table shows the expected delivery dates of the helicopter purchase commitments referred above:

	Number of helicopters purchase commitments (i)
2015	12
2016	9
2017	4
	25

(i) Does not include helicopters related to our commitment to purchase \$100.0 million of heavy helicopters from Airbus Helicopters or our intention to lease helicopters from an independent lessor with two planned deliveries in fiscal 2015.

Table of Contents

Summary Results of Operations

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

	Three Months Ended July 31,		
	2013	2014	
Operating revenue	\$373,059	\$421,074	
Reimbursable revenue	41,872	39,574	
Total revenue	414,931	460,648	
Operating Expenses			
Direct costs (i)	(287,827)	(331,267)	
Earnings from equity accounted investees	2,391	2,677	
General and administration costs	(18,116)	(21,662)	
Adjusted EBITDAR (ii)	111,379	110,396	
Helicopter lease and associated costs	(55,279)	(63,280)	
Depreciation	(32,057)	(33,725)	
Asset impairments	(7,324)	(275)	
Loss on disposal of assets	(1,122)	(5,259)	
Operating income	15,597	7,857	
Interest on long-term debt	(38,708)	(34,872)	
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(13,087)	4,908	
Other financing income (charges)	5,823	(4,325)	
Loss before income tax	(30,375)	(26,432)	
Income tax expense	(5,308)	(7,887)	
Net loss	\$(35,683)	\$(34,319)	
Net earnings (loss) attributable to:			
Controlling interest	\$(38,331)	\$(42,100)	
Non-controlling interests	2,648	7,781	
Net loss	\$(35,683)	\$(34,319)	
Non-GAAP Financial Measures:			
Adjusted net loss (iii)	\$(31,562)	\$(36,672)	
Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items (ii)	111,379	112,097	
Adjusted EBITDAR margin (ii)	29.9	% 26.2	%
Adjusted EBITDAR margin, excluding special items (ii)	29.9	% 26.6	%
HE Rate (iv)	\$2,052	\$2,364	

Direct costs in the information above excludes helicopter lease and associated costs. These costs are combined in (i) the consolidated statements of operations, which are included in the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

See “—Key Financial and Operating Metrics” for the definition and discussion of these non-GAAP measures. Additional information about our Adjusted EBITDAR, including a reconciliation of this measure to our consolidated financial statements is also provided in Note 19 of our unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and 2014, each included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. See below for our reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items, Adjusted EBITDAR margin and Adjusted EBITDAR margin excluding special items, which we have included because we consider these measures to be significant indicators of our financial performance and management use these measures to assist us in allocating available capital resources.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended July 31,		
	2013	2014	
Adjusted EBITDAR	\$111,379	\$110,396	
Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items	111,379	112,097	
Total revenues less reimbursable revenue	373,059	421,074	
Adjusted EBITDAR margin	29.9	% 26.2	%
Adjusted EBITDAR margin, excluding special items	29.9	% 26.6	%

Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items excludes corporate transaction costs, which includes costs related to senior executive turnover, potential financing and other transactions. This is reconciled to Adjusted EBITDAR as follows:

	Three Months Ended July 31,		
	2013	2014	
Adjusted EBITDAR excluding special items	\$111,379	\$112,097	
Corporate transaction costs	—	(1,701)
Adjusted EBITDAR	\$111,379	\$110,396	

Adjusted net loss is a non-GAAP measure that has not been prepared in accordance with GAAP and has not been audited or reviewed by our independent auditors. We have chosen to include adjusted net loss as it provides us with an understanding of the results from the primary activities of our business by excluding items such as corporate transaction costs, which includes costs related to senior executive turnover, potential financing and other transactions, asset dispositions, asset impairments, loss on debt extinguishment, the revaluation of our derivatives (iii) and foreign exchange gain (loss), which is primarily driven by the translation of U.S. dollar balances in entities with a non-U.S. dollar functional currency. This measure excludes the net earnings or loss attributable to non-controlling interests. We believe that this measure is a useful supplemental measure as net loss includes these items, and these items are not meaningful indicators of our ongoing performance. A description of the adjustments to and reconciliations of this non-GAAP financial measure to the most comparable GAAP financial measure is as follows:

	Three Months Ended July 31,		
	2013	2014	
Adjusted net loss	\$(31,562) \$(36,672)
Corporate transaction costs	—	(1,701)
Asset impairments	(7,324) (275)
Loss on disposal of assets	(1,122) (5,259)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(13,087) 4,908	
Loss on debt extinguishment	—	(7,444)
Unrealized gain on derivatives	14,764	4,343	
Net loss attributable to controlling interest	\$(38,331) \$(42,100)

(iv) HE Rate is a non-GAAP measure that has not been prepared in accordance with GAAP and has not been audited or reviewed by our independent auditors. HE rate is the third-party operating revenue from the Helicopter Services segment excluding reimbursable revenue divided by a weighted average factor corresponding to the number of heavy and medium helicopters in our fleet. Our heavy and medium helicopters, including owned and leased, are weighted as 100% and 50%, respectively, to arrive at a single HE count, excluding helicopters expected to be retired from our fleet. An average of this figure is used to calculate our HE Rate. See “—Key Financial and Operating Metrics” for discussion of this non-GAAP financial measure. See below for the reconciliation of HE Rate.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended July 31,	
	2013	2014
Helicopter Services total external revenue	\$387,302	\$423,711
Less: Reimbursable revenues	(41,872)	(39,574)
Helicopter Services operating revenue	\$345,430	\$384,137
Average HE count	168.3	162.5
HE Rate	\$2,052	\$2,364

Consolidated Results Summary

For the three months ended July 31,

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

	2013	2014	Favorable (Unfavorable)		
			\$ Change	% Change	
Helicopter Services (i)	\$387,302	\$423,711	\$36,409	9.4	%
Heli-One	27,629	36,937	9,308	33.7	%
Total revenue	414,931	460,648	45,717	11.0	%
Direct costs (ii)	(287,827)	(331,267)	(43,440)	(15.1))%
Helicopter lease and associated costs	(55,279)	(63,280)	(8,001)	(14.5))%
Total direct costs	\$(343,106)	\$(394,547)	\$(51,441)	(15.0))%
Flying hours	39,156	35,990	(3,166)	(8.1))%
# of helicopters	246	233	(13)	(5.3))%
Average HE count	168.3	162.5	(5.8)	(3.4))%
HE Rate (iii)	\$2,052	\$2,364	\$312	15.2	%

(i) Includes revenue from customer reimbursement of fuel costs of \$24.2 million for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and \$22.3 million for the three months ended July 31, 2014.

(ii) Includes \$24.6 million in fuel costs for the three months ended July 31, 2013 and \$22.8 million for the three months ended July 31, 2014.

(iii) HE Rate is the third-party operating revenue from the Helicopter Services segment excluding reimbursable revenue divided by a weighted average factor corresponding to the number of heavy and medium helicopters in our fleet. Our heavy and medium helicopters, including owned and leased, are weighted at 100% and 50%, respectively, to arrive at a single HE count, excluding helicopters expected to be retired from our fleet. An average of this figure is used to calculate our HE Rate.

Consolidated Results of Operations

Revenue

Consolidated revenue increased by \$45.7 million to \$460.6 million compared to the prior year quarter, an increase of 11.0%. Revenue increased in both our Helicopter Services and Heli-One segments. The changes in external revenue by segment are explained below.

Table of Contents

Helicopter Services

For the three months ended July 31,

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

	2013	2014	Favorable (Unfavorable)		
			\$ Change	% Change	
Eastern North Sea	\$94,712	\$102,407	\$7,695	8.1	%
Western North Sea	100,623	118,350	17,727	17.6	%
Americas	58,668	72,549	13,881	23.7	%
Asia Pacific	85,659	80,424	(5,235)	(6.1))%
Africa-Euro Asia	46,720	48,327	1,607	3.4	%
Other	920	1,654	734	79.8	%
Total	\$387,302	\$423,711	\$36,409	9.4	%

The total external revenue for Helicopter Services increased by \$36.4 million, or 9.4%, compared to the prior year quarter. The key variances by region were as follows:

Eastern North Sea. Revenues in the Eastern North Sea increased by \$7.7 million compared to the prior year quarter. There was a \$3.0 million increase in revenue, primarily due to a new contract win in the oil and gas sector, in addition to higher levels of ad-hoc and reimbursable revenue of \$3.1 million. Revenue also increased by \$3.2 million over the prior year quarter due to higher activity with existing customers, as a result of the resumption of normal commercial operations of our EC225 fleet. These increases were partially offset by a \$1.6 million decrease due to the expiry of contracts with certain customers.

Western North Sea. Revenues in the Western North Sea increased by \$17.7 million compared to the prior year quarter, due to additional services and new contracts with oil and gas customers, which generated additional revenue of \$16.6 million. In addition, ad-hoc revenue and other revenue was \$3.6 million higher than the prior year quarter, primarily due to the EC225 return to service. Revenue increased in Ireland for a SAR contract, which was fully implemented by December 2013, offset by the expiration of a SAR contract in the UK, resulting in a net increase to revenue of \$5.4 million over the prior year quarter. These increases were partially offset by contract expirations with oil and gas customers of \$7.9 million.

Americas. Revenues in the Americas increased by \$13.9 million compared to the prior year quarter, primarily due to a new contract win for \$2.3 million and other increased revenue in Brazil of \$21.3 million, driven primarily by the EC225 return to service. The increase was offset by a \$9.7 million reduction in revenue due to a contract completion in Nicaragua, which ended in January 2014, and by a contract completion in Brazil in April 2014.

Asia Pacific. Asia Pacific revenues decreased by \$5.2 million compared to the prior year quarter due to contract completions offsetting additional revenues from new contract wins. Revenue increased due to new contract wins and modifications for oil and gas customers in East Timor, Australia and other South East Asia countries which increased revenue by \$14.5 million compared to the prior year quarter. These increases were offset by the expiry of contracts with oil and gas customers, primarily in Australia, approximating \$19.7 million.

Africa-Euro Asia. Africa-Euro Asia revenues increased by \$1.6 million compared to the prior year quarter due to new contract wins and changes in contract activity in Equatorial Guinea, Nigeria, Eastern Europe and in other African countries, which resulted in additional revenue of \$20.5 million. This increase included our operations in Nigeria, which generated additional revenue of \$5.3 million compared to the prior year quarter. Offsetting these increases were contract expirations in Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan and Tanzania of \$8.6 million and a decrease in revenue of approximately \$10.3 million due to contract modifications with continuing customers, primarily in Kazakhstan, compared to the prior year quarter.

Table of Contents

Heli-One

Heli-One's external revenue increased by \$9.3 million, with increased PBH revenue of \$7.1 million and increased non-PBH project revenue, which includes airframe, engine and component work, of \$2.2 million. The higher levels of PBH revenue compared to the prior year quarter was due in part to the resumption of normal commercial operations by third-party customers of EC225 helicopters, in addition to new contract wins. Higher non-PBH project revenue increased primarily due to higher levels of airframe and component work partially offset by lower levels of engine repair work compared to the prior year quarter.

Direct Costs

For the three months ended July 31,

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

	2013	2014	Favorable (Unfavorable)	
			\$ Change	% Change
Crew costs	\$(108,086)	\$(113,222)	\$(5,136)	(4.8)%
Base operations and other costs	(77,460)	(82,030)	(4,570)	(5.9)%
Maintenance	(55,948)	(89,651)	(33,703)	(60.2)%
Support costs	(46,333)	(46,364)	(31)	(0.1)%
	\$(287,827)	\$(331,267)	\$(43,440)	(15.1)%

Direct costs increased by \$43.4 million to \$331.3 million compared to the prior year quarter. The increase in direct costs was due to an increase in crew, maintenance and base operations and other costs in the current year quarter compared to the prior year quarter.

Crew costs, including salary, benefits, training and recruitment, increased by \$5.1 million to \$113.2 million compared to the prior year quarter. Crew costs were incurred only by our Helicopter Services segment. Crew costs have increased by \$8.8 million, primarily as a result of new contract work in Nigeria, Eastern Europe, and South East Asia. These were offset by a reduction in crew costs in certain other regions, including the Western North Sea, Australia and Kazakhstan, where crew costs decreased by \$3.7 million, again primarily due to changes in the level of customer activity.

Base operations and other costs, which include our base operations, reimbursable costs, insurance costs and other external expenses, increased by \$4.6 million to \$82.0 million compared to the prior year quarter. Base operations and other costs were incurred only by our Helicopter Services segment. Base operations and other costs increased compared to the prior year quarter by \$8.2 million primarily driven by new customer contracts in Eastern Europe, Nigeria and in the North Sea and the receipt of insurance proceeds in the prior year quarter. These were offset by \$1.0 million of lower rechargeable costs and \$2.6 million of lower costs incurred in Brazil, primarily for travel and accommodation, compared to the prior year quarter.

Maintenance costs increased by \$33.7 million to \$89.7 million compared to the prior year quarter. Approximately two-thirds of these costs were related to Helicopter Services, with the balance related to Heli-One for MRO costs incurred for revenue activities related to external customers. Maintenance costs increased in part because we received in the prior year quarter cash and immediately available credits, in light of the EC225 suspension, which were booked as reductions to maintenance costs as they related to short-term performance issues. Maintenance costs also increased by \$5.9 million in the current year quarter compared to the prior year quarter, due to the timing of externally subcontracted maintenance costs, primarily on our EC225 fleet. Our Helicopter Services segment incurred an additional \$2.1 million of costs related to ongoing inspection costs on the EC225 fleet. We will incur these additional inspection costs until the completion of retrofit of the redesigned gear shaft, which is expected to be complete in fiscal 2015. See "—Recent Developments" included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information. These increases were offset by \$6.8 million of additional costs incurred in the prior year quarter in preparing the EC225 helicopters for return to service, which were not incurred in the current year quarter.

Support costs were flat compared to the prior year quarter, with higher levels of support costs incurred for sales support staff and supply chain management offset by lower levels of consulting costs. The majority of support costs

are incurred by our Helicopter Services segment, with \$5.9 million related to our Heli-One segment.

52

Table of Contents

Helicopter Lease and Associated Costs

Helicopter leasing costs increased by \$8.0 million to \$63.3 million, due primarily to an increase in new technologically advanced helicopter additions. We are continuing to acquire new technologically advanced helicopters to meet our customers' needs as they continue production, exploration and development into deeper waters. We anticipate we will continue to finance helicopters through operating leases and may make strategic decisions as required to purchase certain helicopters outright. The purchase of helicopters allows for greater jurisdictional flexibility as some lease agreements restrict the movement of helicopters to certain countries.

General and Administrative Costs

General and administrative costs increased by \$3.5 million to \$21.7 million compared to the prior year quarter. The increase was primarily due to increased stock-based compensation expense of \$3.5 million. The stock-based compensation expense has increased primarily as a result of new grants made under the 2013 Omnibus Incentive Plan at the time of our initial public offering, or IPO. For more information, see Note 10 of our unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three months ended July 31, 2014 included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Depreciation

Depreciation increased by \$1.7 million to \$33.7 million compared to the prior year quarter as a result of an increase in the net book value of property and equipment. As a result of the change in our internal reporting structure, we no longer report segment assets to our CODM and accordingly depreciation expense is not allocated to our segments. See "—Segments" included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information.

Asset Impairments

Asset impairment decreased by \$7.0 million to \$0.3 million compared to the prior year quarter. This was due to lower impairment on held for sale helicopters as compared to the prior year quarter.

Interest on Long-Term Debt

Interest on long-term debt decreased by \$3.8 million to \$34.9 million compared to the prior year quarter, primarily due to lower interest costs on the senior secured notes. In February 2014, one of our subsidiaries redeemed \$130.0 million of the senior secured notes and in May 2014, a further \$65.0 million of the senior secured notes were purchased on the open market. The decrease was offset in part by an additional 13 days interest expense on the \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes which were issued on May 13, 2013 by CHC Helicopter S.A., our wholly owned subsidiary.

Foreign Exchange Gain (Loss)

Foreign exchange loss decreased by \$18.0 million compared to the prior year quarter, resulting in a foreign exchange gain of \$4.9 million in the current year quarter, primarily due to the impact of the revaluation of net asset positions denominated in U.S. dollars in Euro functional currency entities offset by a loss in Norwegian functional currency entities with net liability positions denominated in U.S. dollars.

Other Financing Income (Charges)

Other financing income (charges) includes the amortization of deferred financing costs, interest income and expense on cash balances and bank indebtedness and the net gain or loss on the fair value of derivative financial instruments. Other financing charges increased by \$10.1 million to \$4.3 million compared to the prior year quarter primarily due to a \$7.4 million loss on debt extinguishment incurred on the purchase of \$65.0 million of the senior secured notes in May 2014 and due to a \$6.7 million decrease in the gain on the valuation of derivatives and embedded derivatives due to foreign currency movements. The increase was partially offset by \$1.9 million of lower deferred financing cost amortization, primarily due to the \$130.0 million redemption of the senior secured notes in February 2014 and the \$65.0 million purchase of the senior secured notes in May 2014, and higher interest income compared to the prior year quarter.

Table of Contents

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense increased by \$2.6 million to \$7.9 million compared to the prior year quarter. The effective tax rate for the current year quarter is (29.8)% compared to (17.5)% in the prior year quarter. The below table provides a breakdown of the items which caused the change in income tax expense between the prior year quarter and current year quarter:

(In millions of U.S. dollars)	Increase/(decrease) in tax expense	Effective tax rate	
Income tax expense at July 31, 2013	\$ 5.3	(17.5)%
Current income tax	(0.5)	
Withholding tax	0.1		
Change in uncertain tax positions	3.5		
Deferred income tax	(0.5)	
Income tax expense at July 31, 2014	\$ 7.9	(29.8)%

The increase in the income tax expense compared to the prior year quarter was primarily due to the change in our uncertain tax positions of \$3.5 million, which was primarily the result of a favorable audit finding with respect to an uncertain tax position recognized in the prior year quarter and an additional accrual of \$1.3 million recognized in the current year quarter for a new uncertain tax position. There was no tax benefit recognized on taxable losses in most jurisdictions as we recognize valuation allowances against net deferred tax assets in those jurisdictions.

The effective tax rate is the ratio of income tax expense to loss before income tax. Our future effective income tax rate depends on various factors, such as the amount of income (loss) in each tax jurisdiction, tax regulations governing each jurisdiction, non-tax deductible expenses incurred as a percentage of pre-tax income, valuation allowances taken on losses in certain jurisdictions and the effectiveness of our tax planning strategies. Movements in our loss from operations including the impact of this reduction in certain expenses may occur in jurisdictions where we are not recognizing the benefit of deferred tax assets, which could result in no corresponding movement in our income tax expense. In addition, a significant component of income tax expense for the prior year quarter and current year quarter is represented by income taxes in certain jurisdictions, such as withholding taxes, which are not directly correlated to movements in the net loss before income tax.

Non-Controlling Interests

Net earnings allocated to non-controlling interests increased by \$5.1 million to \$7.8 million, due to an increase in the net earnings in EEA Helicopters Operations B.V. ("EHOB"), driven by higher contract profitability and an increase in the net gain on the fair value of embedded derivative financial instruments. See Note 2 of our interim unaudited consolidated financial statements for a further discussion on EHOB.

Table of Contents

Segmented Results of Operations

During the three months ended July 31, 2014, we changed our internal reporting structure to allocate certain direct maintenance and supply chain costs previously reported in the Heli-One segment to the Helicopter Services segment. Under the previous reporting, Heli-One provided maintenance services to the Helicopter Services segment under the terms of a PBH contract. Costs incurred by Heli-One to provide services under the PBH contract were reported in the Heli-One segment, whether they related to maintenance costs performed internally by Heli-One or to services contracted from external third parties. Under the new reporting, all third-party maintenance costs are reflected in the Helicopter Services segment. Maintenance services provided by Heli-One to Helicopter Services are separately reflected for each MRO contract as opposed to a PBH contract basis.

The new reporting structure presentation is reflected in the July 31, 2013 and July 31, 2014 segment results. The MRO contract services provided by Heli-One to Helicopter Services are accounted for using a completed contract revenue recognition method in the quarter ended July 31, 2014. For the quarter ended July 31, 2013, the MRO contract services are accounted for using a percentage completion method, as it was not practical to determine results for this quarter using the completed contract method of revenue recognition. We are unable to quantify the impact of the difference between percentage completion and completed contract on the quarter ended July 31, 2013. Otherwise, the accounting policies of the segments and the basis of accounting for transactions between segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies.

Helicopter Services

For the three months ended July 31,
(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

	2013	2014	Favorable (Unfavorable)		
			\$ Change	% Change	
Operating revenue	\$345,430	\$384,137	\$38,707	11.2	%
Reimbursable revenue	41,872	39,574	(2,298)	(5.5))%
Total revenue	\$387,302	\$423,711	\$36,409	9.4	%
Direct costs (i)	(263,626)	(299,587)	(35,961)	(13.6))%
Earnings from equity accounted investees	2,391	2,677	286	12.0	%
Adjusted EBITDAR	\$126,067	\$126,801	\$734	0.6	%
Adjusted EBITDAR margin (ii)	36.5	% 33.0	% (3.5))%	(9.6)
Flight Hours	39,156	35,990	(3,166)	(8.1))%
# of Helicopters	246	233	(13)	(5.3))%
Helicopter lease and associated costs	\$(55,279)	\$(63,280)	\$(8,001)	(14.5))%
Average HE count (iii)	168.3	162.5	(5.8)	(3.4))%
HE Rate (iii)	\$2,052	\$2,364	\$312	15.2	%

In the prior year quarter, direct costs were comprised of crew costs of \$108.1 million, base operations and other costs of \$77.5 million and maintenance and support costs of \$78.0 million. In the current year quarter, direct costs (i) were comprised of crew costs of \$113.2 million, base operations and other costs of \$82.0 million and maintenance and support costs of \$104.4 million.

Adjusted EBITDAR margin is calculated as Adjusted EBITDAR divided by total revenue less reimbursable (ii) revenue. Cost reimbursements from customers are recorded as reimbursable revenue with the related reimbursement expense in direct costs.

HE Rate is the third-party operating revenue from the Helicopter Services segment excluding reimbursable revenue divided by a weighted average factor corresponding to the number of heavy and medium helicopters in (iii) our fleet. Our heavy and medium helicopters, including owned and leased, are weighted at 100% and 50% respectively to arrive at a single HE count, excluding helicopters expected to be retired from our fleet. An average of this figure is used to calculate our HE Rate.

Table of Contents

Helicopter Services Adjusted EBITDAR increased by \$0.7 million to \$126.8 million compared to the prior year quarter. Adjusted EBITDAR margin decreased by 3.5% compared to the prior year quarter. The primary changes which positively impacted Adjusted EBITDAR for Helicopter Services as compared to the prior year quarter were as follows:

Adjusted EBITDAR and Adjusted EBITDAR margin increased in the Western North Sea by \$17.4 million and 2.2%, respectively. The increase in margin was driven by new oil and gas sector contracts and SAR work based in Ireland, for a contract which was partially implemented during the prior year quarter. Oil and gas contract wins included the supply of additional heavy helicopters, including EC225 helicopters, to both new and existing customers; Contract wins in Africa-Euro Asia impacted Adjusted EBITDAR and Adjusted EBITDAR margin favorably by \$10.4 million and 0.8%, respectively for long and short-term contracts in the oil and gas industry, including \$1.5 million for new contract work in Nigeria;

Adjusted EBITDAR and Adjusted EBITDAR margin increased by \$2.7 million and 0.4%, respectively, due to a contract win with an existing oil and gas customer in the Eastern North Sea;

Favorable changes in existing oil and gas contract activity in Brazil increased Adjusted EBITDAR and Adjusted EBITDAR margin by \$5.8 million and 0.8%, respectively; and

Other favorable changes in existing contract activity primarily in Australia and Nigeria providing SAR and oil and gas services increased Adjusted EBITDAR and Adjusted EBITDAR margin by \$2.5 million and 0.1%, respectively.

These improvements to Adjusted EBITDAR and Adjusted EBITDAR margin were offset primarily by the following factors which negatively impacted Adjusted EBITDAR compared to the prior year quarter:

Adjusted EBITDAR and Adjusted EBITDAR margin decreased in the Americas by \$8.7 million and 1.2%, respectively, due to contract completions in Nicaragua and Brazil;

Completion of contracts in Asia Pacific, primarily in Australia and the Philippines, for both oil and gas services and SAR work, negatively impacted Adjusted EBITDAR and Adjusted EBITDAR margin by \$8.1 million and 0.2%, respectively;

The expiration of contracts in the Western North Sea, primarily of a short-term nature, for the supply of medium helicopters to oil and gas customers, impacted Adjusted EBITDAR and Adjusted EBITDAR margin unfavorably by \$6.1 million and 0.6%, respectively;

The completion of contracts in Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan and Tanzania to oil and gas customers impacted Adjusted EBITDAR and Adjusted EBITDAR margin unfavorably by \$5.2 million and 0.5%, respectively;

Adjusted EBITDAR and Adjusted EBITDAR margin decreased by \$1.5 million and 0.2%, respectively, due to short-term contract completions of both heavy and medium helicopter oil and gas contracts in the Eastern North Sea; and

Contract modifications for services provided to customers, primarily in Kazakhstan, decreased Adjusted EBITDAR by \$8.5 million and Adjusted EBITDAR margin by 1.5%.

The balance of the change in Adjusted EBITDAR relates to the results of our fleet operations, including the impact on maintenance costs because we received in the prior year quarter cash and immediately available credits, in light of the EC225 suspension, which were booked as reductions to maintenance costs, changes to centralized support costs and earnings from equity accounted investees compared to the prior year quarter. In the current year quarter, earnings from equity accounted investees increased by \$0.3 million over the prior year quarter.

Helicopter leasing and associated costs increased by \$8.0 million to \$63.3 million, due primarily to an increase in technologically advanced helicopter operating leases entered into during the current year quarter, which have a higher lease cost. We are acquiring technologically advanced helicopters to meet customers' needs as they continue exploration and development into deeper waters. We anticipate that we will continue to finance helicopters through operating leases and may make strategic decisions as required to purchase certain helicopters outright. The purchase of helicopters allows for greater jurisdictional flexibility as some lease agreements restrict the movement of helicopters to certain countries.

Table of Contents

Heli-One

For the three months ended July 31,
(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

	2013	2014	Favorable (Unfavorable)		
			\$ Change	% Change	
Third-party revenue	\$27,629	\$36,937	\$9,308	33.7	%
Internal revenue	37,211	23,981	(13,230)	(35.6))%
Total revenue	\$64,840	\$60,918	\$(3,922)	(6.0))%
Direct costs (i)	(60,644)	(55,642)	5,002	8.2	%
Adjusted EBITDAR	\$4,196	\$5,276	\$1,080	25.7	%
Adjusted EBITDAR Margin (ii)	6.5	% 8.7	% 2.2	% 33.8	%

In the prior year quarter, direct costs were comprised of maintenance costs of \$55.0 million and support costs of (i) \$5.6 million. In the current year quarter, direct costs were comprised of maintenance costs of \$49.7 million and support costs of \$5.9 million.

(ii) Adjusted EBITDAR margin is calculated as Adjusted EBITDAR divided by total revenue less reimbursable revenue, of which there was none in the Heli-One segment in the prior and current year quarters.

Heli-One's Adjusted EBITDAR increased by \$1.1 million to \$5.3 million and Adjusted EBITDAR margin increased by 2.2% compared to the prior year quarter, primarily driven by the mix of external revenue. The primary changes compared to the prior year quarter were as follows:

Higher external third-party PBH revenue, due to increased flight hours, which were partially driven by third-party customers' resumption of EC225 operations, and new customer contracts, increased Adjusted EBITDAR by \$1.5 million;

Adjusted EBITDAR increased due to higher margin helicopter modification work, which was partially offset by decreased levels of engine work both in Canada and Norway, with a favorable net impact of \$1.3 million;

Lower levels of MRO activity with our Helicopter Services segment compared to the prior year quarter decreased Adjusted EBITDAR by approximately \$1.2 million; and

Higher support costs incurred in the current year quarter, due in part to higher facilities costs, resulted in an unfavorable impact to Adjusted EBITDAR of \$0.5 million.

Table of Contents

Financial Condition and Sources of Liquidity

Analysis of Historical Cash Flows

For the three months ended July 31,

(In thousands of U.S. dollars)

	2013		2014	
Cash used in operating activities	\$(44,271)	\$(31,245)
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	136,556		(81,137)
Cash used in investing activities	(91,021)	(69,856)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(10,410)	(356)
Change in cash and cash equivalents during the period	\$(9,146)	\$(182,594)

Cash Flows Used In Operating Activities

Cash flows used in operating activities decreased by \$13.0 million compared to the prior year quarter, due to improved cash flow results from operations, adjusted for non-cash items and working capital movements, of \$12.0 million, lower pension contributions of \$0.6 million and lower cash payments for deferred lease financing costs of \$0.4 million.

The improvement to cash flow results from operations, adjusted for non-cash items and working capital movements, was driven primarily from favorable changes of \$11.6 million in working capital, due to a reduction in the use of cash, compared to the prior year quarter, of \$11.1 million in prepaid assets, primarily due to the timing of insurance payments, \$7.7 million in payables and accruals, primarily due to employee related payables, and \$8.5 million in other assets and liabilities, primarily due to a reduction in related party receivables. These improvements to working capital were offset by an unfavorable change of \$14.8 million in accounts receivables, due to the timing of receivable collections compared to the prior year quarter.

One of our continued areas of focus is the improvement of our cash flows through operational growth. We have implemented a number of initiatives, but have not consistently decreased our use of cash in operations. No assurance can be given that our efforts to reduce operational cash requirements, including continued efforts to achieve greater cost efficiencies through our broad transformation program, will be effective. The business may not generate sufficient net cash from operating activities and future borrowings may not be available in amounts sufficient to enable us to service our debt or to fund our other liquidity needs. It is currently expected that the net cash from operating activities will, together with our ability to access financing through our new revolving credit facility, other financing markets, new operating leases and proceeds from the sale of helicopters and other assets, be sufficient to meet the on-going cash flow requirements. If we are unable to meet our debt obligations or fund other liquidity needs, alternative financing plans may need to be undertaken, such as refinancing or restructuring debt, selling assets, reducing or delaying capital investments or raising additional capital. See "Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Net Losses and Our Indebtedness—Our level of indebtedness could affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in our business or our industry and place us at a competitive disadvantage" in Part II, Item 1A "Risk Factors" elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Cash Flows Provided By (Used In) Financing Activities

Cash flows used in financing activities increased by \$217.7 million to \$81.1 million compared to the prior year quarter, primarily due to proceeds from the issuance, by our wholly owned subsidiary CHC Helicopter S.A., of \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes on May 13, 2013, and by a \$65.0 million open market purchase, by our wholly owned subsidiary CHC Helicopter S.A., of our senior secured notes at premiums ranging from 8.00% to 9.13% in May 2014. The increase in the use of cash provided by financing activities compared to the prior year quarter was partially offset by lower net repayments on our senior secured revolving credit facility of \$124.6 million and lower deferred financing costs of \$5.9 million, related to the issuance of \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes issued on May 13, 2013. In addition, in the prior year quarter we repaid a related party loan of \$25.1 million, which did not recur in the current year quarter.

Table of Contents**Cash Flows Used In Investing Activities**

Cash flows used in investing activities decreased by \$21.2 million to \$69.9 million compared to the prior year quarter, due primarily to an increase on proceeds received from the disposal of property and equipment of \$23.0 million partially offset by higher property and equipment additions of \$21.5 million. Proceeds from the disposal of property and equipment primarily increased in the current year quarter due to a higher level of sale and leaseback activity. Property and equipment additions increased due to a higher level of lease buyout and helicopter purchase activity compared to the prior year quarter. In addition, there was a decrease in cash outflows of \$13.2 million due to a lower level of helicopter deposits and a \$6.5 million decrease in cash outflows due to a changes in restricted cash, primarily related to the timing of receivables collection as part of our accounts receivable securitization program, in the current year quarter compared to the prior year quarter.

Liquidity and Sources of Liquidity

As of April 30, 2014 and July 31, 2014, our liquidity totaled \$650.7 million and \$471.6 million, respectively, and was comprised as follows:

(In millions of U.S. dollars)	April 30, 2014	July 31, 2014
Cash and cash equivalents	\$302.5	\$119.9
Revolving credit facility:		
Facility credit limit	375.0	375.0
Outstanding letters of credit	(54.9) (51.3
Available revolving credit facility	320.1	323.7
Overdraft facilities	28.1	28.0
Total liquidity	\$650.7	\$471.6

Our cash requirements include our normal operations as well as our debt and other contractual obligations as discussed under the caption "Future Cash Requirements" below. In May 2014, one of our subsidiaries purchased \$65.0 million of the senior secured notes in the open market at premiums ranging from 8.00% to 9.13%. This will reduce our annual cash requirements by approximately \$6.0 million.

The ability to satisfy long-term debt obligations, including repayment of principal and interest will depend on future performance, which is subject to general economic conditions and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. Our earnings and cash flow may vary significantly from year to year. As a result, the amount of debt that can be managed in some periods may not be appropriate in other periods. In addition, future cash flows may be insufficient to meet debt obligations and commitments, including our senior notes, and our senior secured revolving credit facility. Any insufficiency could negatively impact the business. In addition, each of the indentures governing our senior unsecured notes and senior secured notes allows us to incur additional indebtedness. The incurrence of additional indebtedness could negatively affect the repayment of principal and interest on the debt, including the senior unsecured notes and senior secured notes. We may face delays in obtaining cash from our subsidiaries in certain jurisdictions to fund future cash requirements due to central banking legislation or other regulations in these jurisdictions. These restrictions have not and are not expected to have an impact on our ability to meet our obligations. We believe that our existing and future cash flows, as well as our ability to access financing through the senior secured credit facility, other financing markets, new operating leases and proceeds from the sale of helicopters and other assets are sufficient to meet our on-going cash flow requirements. Similarly, we expect that our transformation program will generate new initiatives to create greater liquidity. However, our net earnings have been insufficient to cover our fixed charges since 2008. If cash flow from operations is insufficient to satisfy the debt obligations, alternative financing plans may need to be undertaken, such as refinancing or restructuring the debt, selling assets, reducing or delaying capital investments or raising additional capital or indebtedness. Any alternative financing plans that may be undertaken by us, including the proposed private placement described below in "Sources of Liquidity", may not be sufficient to meet our debt obligations. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt obligations, including obligations under the notes, or to obtain alternative financing, could materially and adversely

affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. See Part II, Item 1A “Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Net Losses and Our Indebtedness– Our level of indebtedness could affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in our business or our industry and place us at a competitive disadvantage” included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Sources of Liquidity

On October 5, 2012, we issued an additional \$200.0 million of senior secured notes. The additional senior secured notes were issued under the same indenture that governs the \$1.1 billion of senior secured notes which were previously issued in October 2010. The additional senior secured notes with an aggregate principal value of \$200.0 million were issued at 101.0% of par value, bear interest at an annual rate of 9.25%, with semi-annual interest payments on April 15 and October 15, and mature on October 15, 2020. The gross proceeds from the senior secured notes of \$202.0 million were used to repay a portion of the outstanding borrowings under our senior secured revolving credit facility. We also incurred financing fees of \$3.8 million to be amortized over the term of the senior secured notes. On February 7, 2014, one of our subsidiaries redeemed \$130.0 million of the \$1.3 billion of our senior secured notes. During May 2014, one of our subsidiaries purchased \$65.0 million of the senior secured notes on the open market at premiums ranging from 8.00% to 9.13% of the principal plus accrued and unpaid interest of \$0.6 million. A loss on extinguishment of \$7.4 million was recorded.

On May 13, 2013, our wholly owned subsidiary CHC Helicopter S.A issued an aggregate principal amount of \$300.0 million of senior unsecured notes at par value, bearing interest at an annual rate of 9.375% with semi-annual interest payments due on June 1 and December 1 and mature on June 1, 2021. The senior unsecured notes are guaranteed by us and certain direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries on a joint and several basis. The net proceeds from the notes were used to repay the borrowings under our senior secured revolving credit facility. We also incurred financing fees of approximately \$6.0 million, which will be amortized over the term of the senior unsecured notes.

We issued ordinary shares for net cash proceeds of \$317.8 million as part of our IPO on the New York Stock Exchange and subsequent exercise of an option to purchase additional shares by the underwriters. A portion of the net proceeds from the offering were used to repay the borrowings under our senior secured revolving credit facility on January 23, 2014.

On January 23, 2014, we entered into a new revolving credit facility for \$375.0 million held by a syndicate of financial institutions for a term of five years and bearing interest at the Alternate Base Rate, LIBOR, Canadian Prime Rate, CDOR or EURIBOR, plus an applicable margin that ranges from 3.50% to 4.50%, subject to a leverage-based step-down of 0.75%. The new revolving credit facility is secured on a priority basis and ranks equally with the senior secured note holders except for payments upon enforcement and insolvency, where the revolving credit facility will rank before the senior secured note holders. The senior secured notes and new revolving credit facility are guaranteed on a first-priority lien basis by most of our subsidiaries on a joint and several basis. For information about the financial position and results of operations of our non-guarantor subsidiaries, see Note 21 of our unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for the three months ended July 31, 2014 included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

On August 21, 2014, we entered into definitive agreements with funds managed by Clayton, Dubilier & Rice (CD&R) for the investment of up to \$600.0 million in CHC Group Ltd. through the issuance of convertible preferred shares in a private placement. We disclosed descriptions and copies of the definitive agreements in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 27, 2014, which are incorporated herein by reference. Consummation of the transactions contemplated by such agreements is subject to the satisfaction of closing conditions, and no assurance can be given that we will be successful in satisfying such conditions, or that we will derive the contemplated benefits therefrom. The convertible preferred shares offered to the purchaser in the private placement will not be or have not been registered under the Securities Act and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration or an applicable exemption from registration requirements. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q does not constitute an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities.

To assist with future growth opportunities, a key initiative of our transformation program is to create greater liquidity through the implementation of new cost control measures such as optimizing the procurement of capital expenditures and inventory, working capital improvements and optimization of customer contracts and improved profit growth. We

continue to review and evaluate our long-term capital structure in accordance with our strategic goals.

Table of Contents

Future Cash Requirements

Operating Lease Commitments

We are party to helicopter operating leases with 19 lessors in respect of 170 helicopters included in our fleet as of July 31, 2014. As of July 31, 2014, these leases had expiry dates ranging from fiscal 2015 to 2025. We have the option to purchase the majority of our leased helicopters for agreed amounts that do not constitute bargain purchase options, but have no commitment to do so. With respect to such leased helicopters, substantially all of the costs of major inspections of airframes and the costs to perform inspections, major repairs and overhauls of major components are at our expense. We will either perform this work internally through our Heli-One business or have the work performed by an external repair and overhaul service provider. For more information, see Note 17 of our unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three months ended July 31, 2014 included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

At July 31, 2014, we had commitments with respect to operating leases for helicopters, buildings, land and equipment. The net present value of our operating lease commitments at July 31, 2014 was \$1,274.0 million. We have calculated the net present value based on our minimum lease payments, excluding any contingent rentals, using a 9% discount rate. See Note 17 of our unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three months ended July 31, 2014 included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on our minimum lease payments. For helicopter leases expiring in the next twelve months, we have the option to refinance these leases, purchase the helicopters or return the helicopters under the agreement terms.

The terms of certain of our helicopter lease agreements impose operating and financial limitations on us. Such agreements limit the extent to which we may, among other things, incur indebtedness and fixed charges relative to our level of consolidated adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization.

Generally, in the event of a covenant breach by us under our leases, the lessor has the option to terminate the lease and require the return of the helicopter that is subject of the leases, with the repayment of any arrears of related lease payments plus the present value of all future related lease payments and certain other amounts, which could be material to our financial position. The helicopters would then be sold and the surplus, if any, returned to us.

Alternatively, we could exercise our option to purchase the helicopters.

Other Commitments

As at July 31, 2014, we have committed to purchase 25 new helicopters and the total required additional expenditures for these helicopters is approximately \$615.2 million. These helicopters are expected to be delivered in fiscal 2015 (\$270.5 million), 2016 (\$229.0 million) and 2017 (\$115.7 million) and will be deployed in our Helicopter Services segment. We intend to enter into leases for these helicopters or purchase them outright upon delivery from the manufacturer. We have also committed to purchase \$53.2 million of helicopter parts by October 31, 2015 and \$100.0 million of heavy helicopters from Airbus Helicopters prior to December 31, 2016.

Variable Interest Entities

We have a variable interest in certain entities that are not consolidated, as we are not the primary beneficiary, which provide operating lease financing to us and an entity that provides flying services to third-party customers. At July 31, 2014, we had operating leases for 96 helicopters with variable interest entities, or VIEs, that were not consolidated. See Note 2 of the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements as of July 31, 2014 included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Guarantees

We have provided limited guarantees to third parties under some of our operating leases relating to a portion of the residual values of the helicopters at the termination of the leases. The leases have terms expiring between fiscal 2015 and 2024. At July 31, 2014, our exposure under the asset value guarantees, including guarantees in the form of funded and unfunded residual value guarantees, rebateable advance rentals and deferred payments was approximately \$250.0 million.

Contingencies

We have exposure for certain legal matters as disclosed in Note 18 to the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements for the three months ended July 31, 2014 included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. There

have been no material changes in our exposure to contingencies since July 31, 2014.

61

Table of Contents

Covenants and Contractual Adjusted EBITDA

Our senior secured notes, senior unsecured notes, new revolving credit facility, other long-term debt obligations and certain helicopter lease agreements impose operating and financial limitations on us through financial covenants, which among other things, limit the ability to incur additional indebtedness, create liens, sell or sublease assets, engage in mergers or acquisitions and make dividend and other payments.

Contractual Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure which is calculated based on the consolidated results of CHC Group Ltd. and on the consolidated results our subsidiary 6922767 Holding S.à.r.l. in order to satisfy the requirements of our obligations under the above noted arrangements. Contractual Adjusted EBITDA is calculated by adding to or subtracting from the consolidated net earnings (loss) of CHC Group Ltd and our subsidiary 6922767 Holding S.à.r.l., certain of the adjustment items permitted in calculating covenant compliance under the applicable indenture governing our senior secured notes, our senior unsecured notes and our existing senior secured revolving credit facility. We describe these adjustments to net earnings (loss) in the table below. Contractual Adjusted EBITDA is a supplemental measure of our ability to service indebtedness that is not required by, or presented in accordance with, GAAP.

Contractual Adjusted EBITDA is not a measurement of our financial performance under GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative to net earnings (loss) or other performance measures derived in accordance with GAAP, or as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities as a measure of our liquidity. In addition, Adjusted EBITDA as presented herein may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. We use Contractual Adjusted EBITDA as a measure to calculate certain financial covenants related to our new revolving credit facility, the senior secured notes indenture and the senior unsecured notes indenture. Under the new revolving credit facility agreement, we must maintain a ratio of 2.5 to 1 or less of first priority net debt as defined in the new revolving credit facility agreement to Contractual Adjusted EBITDA. If the financial covenant is not maintained, repayment of the new revolving credit facility can be accelerated. Under the new revolving credit facility agreement, senior secured notes indenture and senior unsecured notes indenture, we must meet certain Contractual Adjusted EBITDA ratios to incur additional indebtedness above the permitted indebtedness as defined in the new revolving credit facility agreement, senior secured notes indenture and senior unsecured notes indenture. To incur additional indebtedness which is not otherwise permitted, we must have a Contractual Adjusted EBITDA to fixed charges ratio as defined in the new revolving credit facility agreement, senior secured notes indenture and senior unsecured notes indenture that is equal to or greater than 2.0 to 1.0. However, if the indebtedness is secured by a lien then we must also have a total secured indebtedness, net of cash, to Contractual Adjusted EBITDA ratio as defined in the revolving credit facility agreement and notes indenture that is less than or equal to 5.0 to 1.0.

Contractual Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider such measure either in isolation or as a substitute for net earnings (loss), cash flow or other methods of analyzing our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

- Contractual Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirements for, our working capital needs;
 - Contractual Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the cash requirements necessary to service principal payments on our indebtedness;
 - Contractual Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect the cash requirements to pay our taxes;
- Although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often have to be replaced in the future, and Contractual Adjusted EBITDA does not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements; and
- Contractual Adjusted EBITDA is not adjusted for all cash and non-cash income or expense items that are reflected in our statements of cash flow.

Because of these limitations, Contractual Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as discretionary cash available to us to reinvest in the growth of our business or as a measure of cash that will be available to us to meet our obligations.

Table of Contents

Set forth below is a reconciliation of net loss to Contractual Adjusted EBITDA derived from the consolidated financial statements of CHC Group Ltd. and from the consolidated financial statements of our subsidiary 6922767 Holding S.à.r.l. for the last twelve months ended July 31, 2014. As of July 31, 2014, we were in compliance with all financial covenants contained in the agreements governing our outstanding indebtedness.

	6922767 Holding S.à.r.l. For the last twelve months ended July 31, 2014	CHC Group Ltd. For the last twelve months ended July 31, 2014
(In thousands of U.S. dollars)		
Net loss	\$(140,793)	\$(169,555)
Earnings from equity accounted investees, net of cash distributions received	(3,713)	(3,713)
Fixed charges (a)	124,968	124,968
Other financing charges	46,220	45,852
Income tax expense	30,945	30,953
Depreciation	146,241	146,241
Asset impairment charge (b)	17,769	18,884
Loss on disposal of assets	10,768	10,768
Restructuring	3,391	3,391
Business optimization costs	3,376	3,376
Stock-based compensation expense	13,821	29,903
Amortization of deferred charges (c)	3,676	3,676
Amortization of advanced helicopter rental payments	4,411	4,411
Unusual/non-recurring costs (d)	15,670	18,814
Investment/acquisition/permitted disposal (e)	414	414
Pension adjustment (f)	(18,312)	(18,312)
Pro-forma capital lease adjustment (g)	—	—
Contractual Adjusted EBITDA (h)	\$258,852	\$250,071

(a) Fixed charges include interest expense, the interest component of payments associated with capital lease obligations, net of interest income, and pro-forma adjustments as per the applicable indenture governing the senior secured notes and the senior unsecured notes. The amortization of debt issuance costs and financing fees are excluded from fixed charges.

(b) Asset impairment charge includes impairment (recovery) of funded residual value guarantees, impairment of assets held for sale, impairment of assets held for use and impairment of intangible assets.

(c) Amortization of initial costs on leased helicopters.

(d) Unusual or non-recurring costs that include professional fees.

(e) Costs incurred related to potential investment, acquisitions and divestitures.

(f) This is an adjustment to arrive at the current service cost of the pension.

(g) This is a pro-forma adjustment resulting from the capitalization of certain operating leases.

Contractual Adjusted EBITDA for the periods presented does not include the pro forma effect of helicopter acquisitions or disposals. However, the new revolving credit facility and the applicable indenture governing the (h) senior secured notes and the senior unsecured notes permit us to calculate Contractual Adjusted EBITDA for purposes of the applicable covenants contained therein, giving pro forma effect to helicopter acquisitions, net of disposals.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

See “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014. There have been

no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates.

63

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 in the interim unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three months ended July 31, 2014, contained elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are subject to certain market risks arising from the use of financial instruments in the ordinary course of business. This risk arises primarily as a result of potential changes in the fair market value of financial instruments that would result from adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, credit risk and interest rate risk as discussed in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014 and Note 1 in the “Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)” included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. There have been no material changes to our quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of July 31, 2014. Based upon this evaluation and subject to the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of July 31, 2014, the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer do not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefit of controls must be considered relative to their cost. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that we have detected all of our control issues and all instances of fraud, if any. The design of any system of controls also is based partly on certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving our stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended July 31, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the ordinary course of business, we may be involved in various legal proceedings and claims. The outcome of any litigation, regardless of its merits, is inherently uncertain. Any claims and other lawsuits, and the disposition of such claims and lawsuits, could be time-consuming and expensive to resolve, divert management attention from executing our business plan, lead to attempts on the part of other parties to seek similar claims.

In general, the resolution of a legal matter could prevent us from offering our service to others, could be material to our financial condition or cash flows, or both, or could otherwise adversely affect our operating results.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The risks described below could have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations, liquidity and cash flows. Although it is not possible to predict or identify all such risks and uncertainties, they may include, but are not limited to, the factors discussed below. Our business operations could also be affected by additional factors that are not presently known to us or that we currently consider not to be material to our operations. You should not consider this list to be a complete statement of all risks and uncertainties. In assessing these risks, you should also refer to the other information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes.

We have marked with an asterisk (*) those risks described below that reflect substantive changes from, or additions to, the risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014.

Risks Related to Our Net Losses and Indebtedness

We have a history of net losses.*

We have incurred net losses since our acquisition on September 16, 2008 of the entity formerly known as CHC Helicopter Corporation, including approximately \$96.2 million, \$116.5 million, \$170.9 million and \$34.3 million in the last three fiscal years ended April 30, 2012, 2013 and 2014 and the three months ended July 31, 2014, respectively. Our net losses from the period September 16, 2008 through July 31, 2014 have resulted from a number of factors, including non-cash impairments of goodwill and other assets totaling \$922.2 million and interest charges related to substantial leverage incurred to acquire additional helicopters and grow our business. We may continue to incur net losses in the future and our net losses may increase in the future, including as a result of our planned helicopter acquisitions, and we cannot assure you that we will achieve or sustain profitability, or that we will continue to generate sufficient cash flow and liquidity through access to the capital markets to meet our debt and interest obligations as and when they become due.

Our level of indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in our business or our industry and place us at a competitive disadvantage.

We are highly leveraged. As of April 30, 2014, our total indebtedness was \$1,560.6 million. Our level of indebtedness could have important consequences to you. For example, it could:

- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- limit our ability to fund future capital expenditures and working capital, to engage in future acquisitions or development activities, or to otherwise realize the value of our assets and opportunities fully because of the need to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments of interest and principal on our debt or to comply with any restrictive terms of our debt;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- impair our ability to obtain additional financing in the future; and
- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt.

If we fail to comply with the covenants or other terms of any agreements governing our indebtedness, our creditors may have the right to accelerate the maturity of that debt and, in the case of our secured debt, foreclose upon the

collateral securing that debt. Realization of any of these factors could adversely affect our financial condition. In addition, if we do not

65

Table of Contents

generate sufficient cash flow from operations to satisfy our debt obligations, we may have to undertake alternative financing plans, such as:

• refinancing or restructuring our debt;

• selling assets;

• reducing or delaying capital investments; or

• seeking to raise additional capital.

However, any alternative financing plans that we undertake, if necessary, might not allow us to meet our debt obligations. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt obligations, including our obligations under our outstanding senior notes, or to obtain alternative financing, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects.

Failure to comply with covenants contained in certain of our lease agreements could limit our ability to maintain our leased helicopter fleet and could adversely affect our business.

The terms of our helicopter lease agreements contain covenants that impose operating and financial limitations on us. Such lease agreements limit, among other things, our ability to utilize helicopters in certain jurisdictions and/or sublease helicopters, and may contain restrictions upon a change of control. A breach of lease covenants could result in an obligation to repay amounts outstanding under the lease. If such an event occurs, we may not be able to pay all amounts due under the leases or refinance such leases on terms satisfactory to us or at all, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We have in prior periods entered into discussions with specific lessors for covenant resets, amendments and waivers when we have been anticipated to fail covenant obligations, and in other instances received financial support from our shareholders to avoid covenant breaches. While we do not currently anticipate any breaches, no assurance can be made that we will not in the future, or that we will be successful in negotiating covenant resets, amendments or waivers, as necessary, or that financial support will be available.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

Many of the markets in which we operate are highly competitive, which may result in a loss of market share or a decrease in revenue or profit margins.

Many of the markets in which we operate are highly competitive, which could result in a loss of market share or a decrease in revenue or profit margins. Contracting for helicopter services is usually done through a competitive bidding process among those having the necessary equipment and resources. Factors that affect competition in our industry include price, reliability, safety, professional reputation, helicopter availability, equipment and quality of service. We compete against a number of helicopter operators including the other major global commercial helicopter operator, and other local and regional operators. There can be no assurance that our competitors will not be successful in capturing a share of our present or potential customer base. In addition, many oil and gas companies and government agencies to which we provide services have the financial ability to perform their own helicopter flying operations in-house should they elect to do so.

The main MRO competitors to our Heli-One business are the OEMs of helicopters and helicopter components. As such, our main competitors in this industry are also our main parts suppliers and MRO license providers. A conflict with the OEMs could result in our inability to obtain parts and licenses in a timely manner in required quantities and at competitive prices. In addition, the OEMs hold greater inventory of helicopter components, have more extensive operational experience and significantly greater capital resources. These, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We rely on a limited number of large offshore helicopter support contracts with a limited number of customers. If any of these are terminated early or not renewed, our revenues could decline.

We rely on a limited number of large offshore helicopter support contracts with a limited number of customers. For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, revenue from Statoil ASA totaling \$245.6 million and Petrobras totaling \$238.1 million were approximately 14% and 13% of our total revenues respectively. For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, our top ten customers accounted for approximately 67% of our total revenues. Many of our contracts contain clauses that allow for early termination by the customer for convenience if exercised, could have a material adverse effect on

our business, financial condition or results of operations.

66

Table of Contents

Operating helicopters involves a degree of inherent risk and we are exposed to the risk of losses from safety incidents. Hazards, such as adverse weather conditions, darkness, collisions and fire are inherent in the provision of helicopter services and can result in personal injury and loss of life, accidents, reduced number of flight hours, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment and suspension of operations or grounding of helicopters. For example, on October 22, 2012, one of our EC225 helicopters made a controlled water landing in the North Sea with no injuries to crew or passengers. Given that this was the second such event, the first having occurred to another operator in May 2012, all flights of almost all commercial operators worldwide using the same type of helicopter were subsequently suspended for the duration of a lengthy investigation and subsequent corrective action from the manufacturer. In addition, on August 23, 2013, one of our AS332L2 helicopters was involved in a tragic accident in the North Sea, resulting in four fatalities among the 16 passengers and two crew members on board. The cause of the accident is not yet known and full investigations are ongoing. We voluntarily restricted the use of this model of helicopter worldwide for a limited period. As of April 30, 2014, our global fleet of AS332L2 helicopters had returned to commercial operations. In addition to any loss of property or liability associated with helicopter crashes, our revenue, profitability and margins would decline to the extent any of our helicopters were voluntarily or mandatorily grounded. While we seek to mitigate the financial impact of such risks and preserve our rights through commercial and other arrangements with all those involved, when available, these mitigation efforts may not be successful or available for all incidents. Our performance, profitability and margins may fluctuate from period to period as a result of such incidents and our mitigation efforts.

If we are unable to mitigate potential losses through a robust safety management and insurance coverage program, our financial condition would be jeopardized in the event of a safety or other hazardous incident.

We attempt to protect ourselves against potential losses through our safety management system and insurance coverage. However, portions of our insurance coverage are subject to deductibles and maximum coverage amounts, and we do not carry insurance against all types of losses. We cannot ensure that our existing coverage will be sufficient to protect against all losses, that we will be able to maintain our existing coverage in the future or that the premiums will not increase substantially, including potentially, in connection with the AS332L2 accident that occurred in August 2013. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation—Recent Developments." Our safety management system may not be effective. In addition, terrorist activity, risk of war, accidents or other events could increase our insurance premiums. Our inability to renew our aviation insurance coverage or the loss, expropriation or confiscation of, or severe damage to, a large number of our helicopters could adversely affect our operations and possibly our financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, we are not insured for loss of profit, loss of use of our helicopters, business interruption or loss of flight hours. The loss of, or limited availability of, our liability insurance coverage, inadequate coverage from our liability insurance or substantial increases in future premiums could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Failure to maintain standards of acceptable safety performance could have an adverse impact on our ability to attract and retain customers and could adversely impact our reputation, operations and financial performance.

Our customers consider safety and reliability as the two primary attributes when selecting a provider of helicopter transportation services. If we fail to maintain standards of safety and reliability that are satisfactory to our customers, our ability to retain current customers and attract new customers may be adversely affected. Moreover, helicopter crashes or similar disasters of another helicopter operator could impact customer confidence and lead to a reduction in customer contracts or result in the grounding of our helicopters, particularly if such helicopter crash or disaster were due to a safety fault in a type of helicopter used in our fleet. In addition, the loss of any helicopter as a result of an accident could cause significant adverse publicity and the interruption of air services to our customers, which could adversely impact our reputation, operations and financial results. Our helicopters have been involved in accidents in the past, some of which have included loss of life and property damage.

Negative publicity may adversely impact us.

Media coverage and public statements that insinuate improper actions by us, regardless of their factual accuracy or truthfulness, may result in negative publicity, litigation or governmental investigations by regulators. Addressing

negative publicity and any resulting litigation or investigations may distract management, increase costs and divert resources. Negative publicity may have an adverse impact on our reputation and the morale of our employees, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our fixed operating expenses and long-term contracts with customers could adversely affect our business under certain circumstances.

Our profitability is directly related to demand for our helicopter services. Because of the significant expenses related to helicopter financing, crew wages and benefits, lease costs, insurance and maintenance programs, a substantial portion of our operating expenses are fixed and must be paid even when certain helicopters are not actively servicing customers and thereby generating income. A decrease in our revenues could therefore result in a disproportionate decrease in our earnings, as a substantial portion of our operating expenses would remain unchanged. Similarly, the discontinuation of any rebates, discounts or preferential financing terms offered to us by helicopter manufacturers would have the effect of increasing our fixed expenses, and without a corresponding increase in our revenues, would negatively impact our results of operations. We expect our maintenance costs to increase to a level comparative to normal activity as the EC225 has returned to commercial operations. Nonetheless, no assurance can be given that our costs will be comparable on a period to period basis, particularly when incidents may impact our helicopters. Our long-term helicopter services and Heli-One contracts contain pre-determined price escalation terms and conditions. Although supplier costs and other cost increases are passed through to our customers through rate increases where possible, these escalations may not be sufficient to enable us to recoup increased costs in full. In addition, because many of our contracts are long-term in nature, cost increases may not be adjusted in our contract rates until the contracts are up for renewal. In particular, in our Heli-One business, approximately 28%, 34% and 38% of our third-party Heli-One revenue in the 2012, 2013 and 2014 fiscal years, respectively, was derived from PBH contracts, where the customer pays a ratable monthly charge, typically based on the number of hours flown, for all scheduled and un-scheduled maintenance. It can be difficult to correctly estimate the cost of providing maintenance on a PBH basis. There can be no assurance that we will be able to estimate costs accurately or recover increased costs by passing these costs on to our customers. In the event that we are unable to do so, the profitability of our customer contracts and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. If we are forced to suspend operations of any of our helicopter models, our business, financial condition and results of operations during any period in which flight operations are suspended could be affected.

We depend on a small number of helicopter manufacturers.

We contract with only four manufacturers of heavy and medium helicopters: Airbus Helicopters (formerly Eurocopter), Sikorsky, AgustaWestland and Bell. These manufacturers have limited availability of helicopters, particularly heavy helicopters, and we have limited alternative sources of new helicopters. If we are unable to acquire new helicopters, continue operating helicopters already in our fleet, or purchase helicopters in the secondary markets, our business would be harmed.

Lead times for delivery of new heavy and medium helicopters are long (currently at least one year and historically as long as two years) and increasing for certain models, and annual production of new heavy and medium helicopters is limited. If any of these helicopter manufacturers faced production delays due to, for example, natural disasters, labor strikes, unavailability of skilled labor or safety issues, we may experience a significant delay in the delivery of previously ordered helicopters. During these periods, we may not be able to obtain additional helicopters with acceptable pricing, delivery dates or other terms. Delivery delays or our inability to obtain acceptable helicopter orders would adversely affect our revenue and profitability and could jeopardize our ability to meet the demands of our customers and execute our growth strategy. Although we have been able to acquire sufficient helicopters to date, a lack of available helicopters or the failure of our suppliers to deliver helicopters we have ordered on a timely basis could limit our ability to take advantage of growth opportunities or jeopardize our ability to meet the demands of our customers. Additionally, lack of availability of new helicopters could result in an increase in prices for certain types of used helicopters.

If any of the helicopter manufacturers we contract with, or the government bodies that regulate them, identify safety issues with helicopter models we currently operate or that we intend to acquire, we may be unable to operate a portion of our fleet or could experience a delay in acquiring new helicopters, both of which would negatively affect our business. For example, in October 2012, one of our EC225 helicopters made a controlled water landing in the North Sea with no injuries to crew or passengers. All flights of all operators using the same type of helicopter were

subsequently suspended for the duration of a lengthy investigation and corrective action from the manufacturer. In August 2013, one of our AS332L2 helicopters was involved in an accident in the North Sea, resulting in four fatalities, see “Risks Related to Our Business and Industry—Operating helicopters involves a degree of inherent risk and we are exposed to the risk of losses from safety incidents.” The cause of the August 2013 accident is not yet known. Regulatory investigations and political debate are currently in process or planned in the United Kingdom. The AS332L2 and the EC225 are produced by the same manufacturer, and we operate other

Table of Contents

helicopter types by this manufacturer (as of April 30 2014, 87 helicopters in total) which total represents approximately 37% of our entire fleet). If it is ever determined that a safety issue exists across one or more model types by the same manufacturer, we may be required to suspend flight operations of a significant and material portion of our fleet.

We depend on a limited number of third-party suppliers for helicopter parts and subcontract services.

We rely on a few key vendors for the supply of parts and subcontract services required to maintain our helicopters. Due to high demand, these vendors could experience backlogs in their manufacturing schedules and some parts may be in limited supply from time to time, which could have an adverse impact upon our ability to maintain and repair our helicopters. We currently obtain a substantial portion of our helicopter spare parts and components from helicopter manufacturers and maintain supply arrangements with other key suppliers. To the extent that these suppliers also supply parts for helicopters used by the military or other government organizations, parts delivery for our helicopters may be delayed during periods in which there are high levels of military or government operations. Our inability to perform timely maintenance and repairs can result in our helicopters being underutilized which could have an adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, our operations in remote locations, where delivery of these components and parts could take a significant period of time, could experience delays in our ability to maintain and repair our helicopters. While every effort is made to mitigate the impact of any such delays, this may pose a risk to our results of operations. We do not have an alternative source of supply for parts and components supplied by the main helicopter manufacturers. Failure or significant delay by these vendors in providing necessary parts could, in the absence of alternative sources of supply, have a material adverse effect on our business, including the withholding of payments by customers in certain cases. Due to our dependence on helicopter manufacturers for helicopter parts and components, we may also be subject to adverse impacts from unusually high price increases that are greater than overall inflationary trends. We might not be able to increase our contract rates. An unusually high increase in the price of parts or components that cannot be fully passed on to our customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our business requires substantial capital expenditures, lease and working capital financing. Any deterioration of current industry or business conditions or the capital markets generally could adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.*

Our business requires substantial capital expenditures including significant ongoing investment to purchase or lease new helicopters, refinance existing leases and maintain our existing fleet. To the extent that we do not generate sufficient cash from our operations, we may need to raise additional funds through operating lease financing, debt or other financing to execute our growth strategy and make the capital expenditures required to operate our business successfully. In the event of adverse conditions in our industry, our business or the capital markets generally, we could face difficulties in securing the amounts required, on commercially favorable terms or in a timely manner. In addition, any such additional capital raised may be significantly dilutive to our existing shareholders and may result in the issuance of securities that have rights, preferences and privileges that are senior to our ordinary shares.

Concerns about a systemic impact of a potential long-term and wide-spread economic recession, increased energy costs, the availability and cost of credit, diminished business and consumer confidence and increased unemployment rates contribute to increased market volatility and diminish expectations for western and emerging economies, including the jurisdictions in which we operate. In particular, the cost of raising money in the credit markets could increase substantially as many lenders and institutional investors, concerned about the stability of the financial markets generally and about the solvency of counterparties, could increase interest rates, enact tighter lending standards and reduce and, in some cases, cease to provide funding, to borrowers. In addition, financial market instability could leave our creditors unable to meet their obligations to us.

Our ability to access capital and bank markets or the availability of lease or other financings may be restricted at a time when we would like to, or need to access capital. Such inability could have an impact on our growth plans or on our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions. In addition, our credit facilities and helicopter leases will have maintenance covenants which may need to be renegotiated from time to time, and the financial market instability could have an impact on the lenders' or lessors' willingness to renegotiate these covenants on

reasonable terms.

We rely on the secondary used helicopter market to dispose of our older helicopters and parts due to our ongoing fleet modernization efforts.

We are dependent upon the secondary used helicopters and parts market to dispose of older models of helicopters as part of our ongoing fleet modernization efforts and any spare helicopter capacity associated with the termination or non-renewal of existing contracts. If we are unable to dispose of our older helicopters and parts due to a lack of demand in the secondary market, our helicopters and parts carrying costs may increase above requirements for our current operations, or we

Table of Contents

may accept lower selling prices, resulting in losses on disposition. A failure to dispose of helicopters and parts in the secondary market could impair our ability to operate our fleet efficiently and service existing contracts or win new mandates and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our operations are subject to extensive regulations which could increase our costs and adversely affect us.*

The helicopter industry is regulated by various laws and regulations in the jurisdictions in which we operate. The scope of such regulation includes infrastructure and operational issues relating to helicopters, maintenance, spare parts and route flying rights as well as safety and security requirements. We cannot fully anticipate all changes that might be made to the laws and regulations to which we are subject nor the possible impact of such changes. These changes could subject us to additional costs and restrictions.

We are subject to governmental regulation that limits foreign ownership of aircraft companies. Based on regulations in various jurisdictions in which we operate, our authorizations, licenses and certificates may be suspended or revoked and we may lose our ability to operate within these regions if certain levels of local ownership are not maintained.

Our ability to conduct our business is dependent on our ability to maintain authorizations, licenses and certificates, which in many jurisdictions require us to subcontract with third-parties to obtain required helicopter operating leases.

We are routinely audited to ensure compliance with all flight operation and helicopter maintenance requirements.

There can be no assurance that we will pass all such audits. Our failure to pass such audits or any breach of regulations applicable to us could result in fines, adverse publicity or suspension of our helicopters, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, especially if a regulatory breach were to lead to a helicopter crash or accident. Changes in laws or regulations could have a material adverse impact on our cost of operations or revenues from operations.

If we are unable to maintain required government-issued licenses for our operations or if our ownership in our foreign partners exceeds permitted levels, we will be unable to conduct helicopter operations in the applicable jurisdiction, as outlined below.

Europe

Approximately 46% of our revenue for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014 originated from helicopter flying services provided by subsidiaries of EEA Helicopter Operations B.V., or the EHOB, a Dutch Company 49.9% owned by us. These subsidiaries operate primarily in the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and Ireland (member states of the European Union, or the EU, and Norway (member state of the EEA). To operate helicopters in the EU and EEA, an operator must be licensed by the applicable national Civil Aviation Authority. Under applicable European law, an operator must be “effectively controlled” and “majority owned” by nationals of member states of the EU or the EEA to maintain its license. We believe that the majority shareholder in EHOB is an EU national and therefore these subsidiaries are currently “majority owned” and “effectively controlled” within the meaning of European Union and European Economic Area licensing requirements. Any change in the national status of the majority shareholder in EHOB could affect the licenses of these subsidiaries.

Canada

Our helicopter operations in Canada are conducted through CHC Helicopters Canada Inc., a company in which we hold a minority interest. Our flying operations are regulated by Transport Canada and are conducted under that company’s air operator’s certificate, or AOC. Our ability to conduct our helicopter operating business in Canada is dependent on our ability to maintain our relationship with CHC Helicopters Canada Inc. Our helicopter operations in certain other countries are conducted pursuant to an AOC issued by the Minister of Transport (Canada) under the provisions of the Aeronautics Act (Canada) for approximately 20 helicopters which our wholly owned subsidiary holds pursuant to an exemption until 2015. If we are unable to extend the ministerial exemption pursuant to which this certificate is issued, we will need to obtain licenses and certificates issued by the countries in which we conduct such operations or reach an agreement with CHC Helicopters Canada Inc. and/or customers in such countries to transfer the operations there to CHC Helicopters Canada Inc. We cannot give any assurance that we will be able to extend the ministerial exemption, obtain local licenses and certificates or transfer such operations to CHC Helicopters Canada Inc., either at all or on acceptable terms.

Australia

Civil aviation in Australia is governed by the Civil Aviation Act 1988 (Cwlth) of Australia, and regulations made thereunder. To operate a helicopter in Australia, it must be registered with the Australian Civil Aviation Safety

70

Table of Contents

Authority ("CASA") and a Certificate of Airworthiness must be obtained, be valid and be in effect. The operation of a helicopter for a commercial purpose into, out of, or within Australian territory can only be undertaken as authorized by an Air Operators' Certificate ("AOC").

Brazil

Approximately 15% of our revenue for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014 originated from helicopter flying services provided by a subsidiary of Brazilian Helicopter Holdings S.A., or BHH, a Brazilian Company 60% owned by us. This subsidiary operates in Brazil. To operate helicopters in Brazil, an operator must be licensed by the applicable national Civil Aviation Authority. Under applicable Brazilian law, in order to maintain its license, an operator must be "controlled" by nationals of Brazil and its officers must be Brazilian as well. By "control", Brazilian aviation legislation refers to holding of at least 80% of operator's voting shares. We believe that the majority holder of voting shares in BHH is a Brazilian national and therefore this subsidiary is currently "controlled" within the meaning of Brazil licensing requirements. Any change in the national status of the majority shareholder in BHH and/or in the nationality of the officers of this subsidiary could affect the licenses of BHH.

Our helicopter operations in Brazil are conducted through BHS – Brazilian Helicopter Services Táxi Aéreo S.A., the above-mentioned subsidiary of BHH. Our flying operations are regulated by the National Agency for Civil Aviation and are conducted under that company's AOC. Our ability to conduct our helicopter operating business in Brazil is dependent on our ability to maintain such AOC. If we are unable to keep such AOC, we will be prevented from performing flying operations in Brazil.

Other Countries and Regulations

Our operations in other jurisdictions are regulated to various degrees by the governments of such jurisdictions and must be conducted in compliance with those regulations and, where applicable, in accordance with our air service licenses and AOC. These regulations may require us to obtain a license to operate in that country, may favor local companies or require operating permits that can only be obtained by locally registered companies and may impose other nationality requirements. In such cases, we partner with local persons, but there is no assurance regarding which foreign governmental regulations may be applicable in the future to our helicopter operations and whether we would be able to comply with them.

The revocation of any of the licenses discussed above or the termination of any of the relationships with local parties discussed above could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our MRO business, Heli-One, could suffer if licenses issued by the OEMs and/or governmental authorities are not renewed or we cannot obtain additional licenses.

Our MRO business, Heli-One, receives a significant portion of its third-party revenue from activities that require licenses from the OEMs and governmental authorities. The Heli-One business may require additional licenses to grow. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to obtain or maintain such licenses from the OEMs and/or governmental authorities on acceptable terms or at all. Furthermore, our MRO business could decline if existing licenses are revoked or cannot be renewed upon the expiration of existing terms.

We derive significant revenue from non-wholly owned variable interest entities. If we are unable to maintain good relations with the other owners of such non-wholly owned entities, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be adversely affected.

Local aviation regulations require us to operate through non-wholly owned entities with local shareholders. We conduct many of our international operations through entities in which we have a minority investment or through strategic alliances with foreign partners. We derive significant amounts of revenue from these entities. For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014 we derived \$1,088.0 million of revenue, representing 61.6% of our total revenue from variable interest entities owned in part by local shareholders. We depend to some extent upon good relations with our local shareholders to ensure profitable operations. These shareholders may have interests that are not always aligned with ours. These shareholders are not required to provide any funding that these entities may require. Furthermore, certain shareholders' agreements with local shareholders contain call arrangements which allow the local shareholder to elect to purchase our shares and/or require us to bear all of the losses of these entities. The calls are exercisable in certain circumstances, including liquidation and events of default. In the event shareholder disputes arise or we lose

our interest in these entities and/or find other local partners, this could negatively impact our revenues and profit sharing from these entities, and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

71

Table of Contents

Our operations may suffer due to political and economic uncertainty.

Risks associated with some of our operations include political, social and economic instability, war, terrorism and civil disturbances or other events that may limit or disrupt markets, expropriation without fair compensation, requirements to award contracts, concessions or licenses to nationals, international exchange restrictions and currency fluctuations, changing political conditions, monetary policies of foreign governments and pandemic health issues. Any of these events could materially adversely affect our ability to provide services to our customers. Certain of our helicopter leases and loan agreements impose limitations on our ability, including requiring the prior approval of the lessor or the lender, to locate particular helicopters in certain countries. We cannot provide assurance that these limitations will not affect our ability to allocate resources in the future to meet our operational needs.

Our business in countries with a history of corruption and transactions with foreign governments increases the compliance risks associated with our international activities.

Our international operations could expose us to trade and economic sanctions or other restrictions imposed by the United States or other governments or organizations. The U.S. Department of Justice, or the DOJ, and other federal agencies and authorities have a broad range of civil and criminal penalties at their disposal to impose against corporations and individuals for violations of trading sanctions laws, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or the FCPA, and other federal statutes. Under trading sanctions laws, the government may seek to impose modifications to business practices, including cessation of business activities in sanctioned countries, and modifications to compliance programs, which may increase compliance costs, and could subject us to fines, penalties and other sanctions. If any of the risks described above were to materialize, they could adversely impact our financial condition or results of operations.

These laws also prohibit improper payments or offers of payments to foreign governments and their officials and political parties for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. We have operations, deal with government entities and have contracts in countries known to experience corruption. Our activities in these countries create the risk of unauthorized payments or offers of payments by one of our employees, consultants, sales agents or associates that could be in violation of various laws including the FCPA, even though these parties are not always subject to our control. Our existing safeguards and procedures might prove to be less than fully effective, and our employees, consultants, sales agents or associates might engage in conduct for which we could be held responsible. Violations of the FCPA could result in severe criminal or civil sanctions, and we could be subject to other liabilities that could negatively affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, from time to time, we and our subsidiaries are subject to investigation by various government agencies in the jurisdictions in which we operate. In 2006, we voluntarily disclosed to the U.S. Office of Foreign Asset Control, or the OFAC, that one or more of our subsidiaries, formerly operating as Schreiner Airways might have violated applicable U.S. laws and regulations by re-exporting to Iran, Sudan, and Libya certain helicopters, related parts, map data, operation and maintenance manuals, and helicopter parts for third-party customers. OFAC's investigation is ongoing and we continue to fully cooperate. Should the U.S. government determine that these activities violated applicable laws and regulations, we or our subsidiaries could be subject to civil or criminal penalties, including fines and/or suspension of the privilege to engage in trading activities involving goods, software and technology subject to U.S. jurisdiction. At July 31, 2014, it is not possible to determine the outcome of this matter, or the significance, if any, to our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We are subject to extensive environmental, health and safety laws, rules, regulations and ordinances that could have an adverse impact on our business.

We are subject to extensive laws, rules, regulations and ordinances in the various jurisdictions in which we operate relating to pollution and protection of the environment and to human health and safety, including those relating to discharge of noise, emissions to the air, releases or discharges to soil or water, the use, storage and disposal of petroleum and other regulated materials and the remediation of contaminated sites.

Table of Contents

Our operations, including helicopter maintenance and helicopter fueling, involve the use, handling, storage and disposal of materials that may be classified as hazardous to human health and safety and to the environment. Laws protecting the environment have become more stringent in recent years and may, in certain circumstances, impose liability for the investigation and cleanup of releases of regulated materials and related environmental damage without regard to negligence or fault. These laws also might expose us to liability for the conduct of, or conditions caused by, others such as historic spills of regulated materials at our facilities, for acts that were in compliance with all applicable laws at the time such acts were performed, and for contamination at third-party sites where substances were sent for off-site treatment or disposal. Additionally, any failure by us to comply with applicable environmental, health and safety or planning laws and regulations could result in governmental authorities or other third parties taking action against our business that could adversely impact our operations and financial condition, including the:

- issuance of administrative, civil and criminal penalties;
- denial or revocation of permits or other authorizations;
- imposition of limitations on our operations; and
- performance of site investigatory, remedial or other corrective actions.

In addition, changes in laws or regulations protecting the environment may result in changes in the regulation of the offshore oil and gas industry, which in turn could adversely affect us. We cannot predict the likelihood of change to any of these laws or in their enforcement or the impact that any such change, or any discovery of previously unknown conditions, could have on our costs and financial position.

We are subject to many different forms of taxation in various jurisdictions throughout the world, which could lead to disagreements with tax authorities regarding the application of tax laws.

We are subject to many different forms of taxation including, but not limited to, income tax, withholding tax, commodity tax and payroll-related taxes. Tax law and administration is extremely complex and often requires us to make subjective determinations. The tax authorities in the various jurisdictions where we conduct business might not agree with the determinations that are made by us with respect to the application of tax law. Such disagreements could result in lengthy legal disputes and, ultimately, in the payment of substantial funds to the government authorities of foreign and local jurisdictions where we carry on business or provide goods or services, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Our estimate of tax related assets, liabilities, recoveries and expenses incorporates significant assumptions. These assumptions include, but are not limited to, the tax laws in various jurisdictions, the effect of tax treaties between jurisdictions, taxable income projections, and the benefits of various restructuring plans. To the extent that such assumptions differ from actual results, we may have to record additional income tax expenses and liabilities.

We are exposed to foreign currency risks.

Our consolidated financial statements are presented in U.S. dollars. However, a significant portion of our revenue and operating expenses are denominated in currencies consisting primarily of Pound Sterling, Canadian Dollars, Norwegian Kroner, Australian Dollars and the Euro. The functional currencies of many of our subsidiaries are non-U.S. currencies. There can be no assurances that our foreign currency risk management strategies will be effective and that foreign currency fluctuations will not adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. Our failure to hedge exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates effectively could unfavorably affect our financial performance.

We currently utilize derivative instruments to hedge our exposure to fluctuations in certain foreign currency exchange rates. These instruments may involve elements of market risk in excess of the amounts recognized in our consolidated financial statements. Further, our financial results from operations of our subsidiaries which incur revenue and operating expenses in currencies other than U.S. dollars may be negatively affected if we fail to execute or if we improperly hedge our exposure to currency fluctuations.

Table of Contents

We are exposed to credit risks.

We are exposed to credit risk on our financial investments which depends on the ability of our counterparties to fulfill their obligations to us. We manage credit risk by entering into arrangements with established counterparties and through the establishment of credit policies and limits, which are applied in the selection of counterparties.

Credit risk on financial instruments arises from the potential for counterparties to default on their contractual obligations and is limited to those contracts on which we would incur a loss in replacing the instrument. We limit our credit risk by dealing only with counterparties that possess investment grade credit ratings and monitor our concentration risk with counterparties on an ongoing basis. The carrying amount of financial assets represents the maximum credit exposure for financial assets.

Credit risk arises on our trade receivables from the unexpected loss in cash and earnings when a customer cannot meet its obligation to us or when the value of security provided declines. To mitigate trade credit risk, we have developed credit policies that include the review, approval and monitoring of new customers, annual credit evaluations and credit limits. There can be no assurance that our risk mitigation strategies will be effective and that credit risk will not adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our customers may seek to shift risk to us.

We give to and receive from our customers indemnities relating to damages caused or sustained by us in connection with our operations. Our customers' changing views on risk allocation could cause us to accept greater risk to win new business or could result in us losing business if we are not prepared to take such risks. To the extent that we accept such additional risk, and seek to insure against it, our insurance premiums could rise.

Our operations are largely dependent upon the level of activity in the oil and gas industry.

To varying degrees, activity levels in the oil and gas industry are affected by long-term trends in oil and gas prices. Historically, the prices for oil and gas have been volatile and subject to wide fluctuations in response to changes in the supply of and demand for oil and gas, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors beyond our control, such as:

- actions of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries and other oil producing countries to control prices or change production levels;
- general economic and political conditions, both worldwide and in the regions in which we operate;
- governmental regulation and policy;
- the price and availability of alternative fuels;
- advances in exploration, development and production technology; and
- the effects of hostilities or instability in oil-producing countries or the regions in which they are located.

We cannot predict future oil and gas price movements. Any prolonged reduction in oil and gas prices could depress the level of helicopter activity in support of exploration and, to a lesser extent, production activity and, therefore have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, revenue generated by helicopter transportation services for the oil and gas industry was approximately 83% of our total revenues.

Additionally, an increase in onshore fracking, which generally does not require use of our helicopter services, could have an adverse effect on our operations. If onshore fracking were to meaningfully increase in the international markets in which we operate, and if it were to drive a meaningful increase in the supply of hydrocarbons available to the markets we serve, it could potentially adversely impact the level of activity in our offshore oil and gas markets and the demand for our helicopter services.

Table of Contents

Our customers are primarily in the oil and gas industry and, as a result, changes in economic and industry conditions could expose us to additional credit risk.

The majority of our customers are engaged in oil and gas production, exploration and development. For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, revenue generated by helicopter transportation services from oil and gas customers represented approximately 83% of our total revenues. This concentration could impact the overall exposure to credit risk because changes in economic and industry conditions that adversely affect the oil and gas industry could affect the majority of our customers. We generally do not require letters of credit or other collateral to support our trade receivables.

Accordingly, a sudden or protracted downturn in the economic condition of the oil and gas industry could adversely impact our ability to collect our receivables and thus impact our business, financial condition or results of operations. We are highly dependent upon the level of activity in the North Sea, which is a mature exploration and production region.

For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, approximately 54% of our gross revenue was derived from services provided to customers operating in the North Sea. The North Sea is a mature exploration and production region that has undergone substantial seismic survey and exploration activity for many years. Because a large number of oil and gas properties in this region have already been drilled, additional prospects of sufficient size and quality could be more difficult to identify. Generally, the production from these drilled oil and gas properties is declining. In the future, production could decline to the point that such properties are no longer economical to operate, in which case, our services with respect to such properties will no longer be needed. Oil and gas companies might not identify sufficient additional drilling sites to replace those that become depleted or cease to be economically viable. If activity in oil and gas exploration, development and production in the North Sea materially declines, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. We cannot predict the levels of activity in this or any other geographic area.

If oil and gas companies undertake cost reduction methods, there may be an adverse effect on our business.

Oil and gas companies engaged in the production, exploration and development sector continually seek to implement measures aimed at reducing costs, including the cost of helicopter support operations. For example, oil and gas companies in some circumstances have reduced manning levels on both old and new platforms, rigs and other installations by using new technology to permit unmanned operations, which could increase the length of offshore shifts and reduce the frequency of transportation of employees. The implementation of such measures could reduce the demand for helicopter transportation services and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Reductions in spending on helicopter services by government agencies could lead to modifications of SAR and EMS contract terms or delays in receiving payments, which could adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We receive significant revenue from government agencies in Ireland, the United Kingdom and Australia. Any reductions in the budgets of government agencies for spending on helicopter services, implementations of cost savings measures by government agencies, imposed modifications of contract term or delays in collecting receivables owed to us by our government agency customers or loss of contracts could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, there are inherent risks in contracting with government agencies. Applicable laws and regulations in the countries in which we operate may enable our government agency customers to (i) terminate contracts for convenience, (ii) reduce, modify or cancel contracts or subcontracts if requirements or budgetary constraints change and/or (iii) terminate contracts or adjust their terms.

Failure to develop or implement new technologies and disruption to our systems could affect our results of operations. Many of the helicopters we operate are characterized by changing technology, introductions and enhancements of models of helicopters and services and shifting customer demands, including technology preferences. Our future growth and financial performance will depend in part upon our ability to develop market and integrate new services and to accommodate the latest technological advances and customer preferences. In addition, the introduction of new technologies or services that compete with our services could result in our revenues decreasing over time. If we are

unable to upgrade our operations or fleet with the latest technological advances in a timely manner, or at all, our business, financial condition and results of operations could suffer. Any disruption to computers, communication systems or other technical equipment used by us and our fleet could significantly impair our ability to operate our business efficiently and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

75

Table of Contents

We rely on information technology, and if we are unable to protect against service interruptions, data corruption, cyber-based attacks or network security breaches, our operations could be disrupted and our business could be negatively affected.

We rely on information technology networks and systems to process, transmit and store electronic and financial information; to coordinate our business across our global operation bases; and to communicate within our company and with customers, suppliers, partners and other third-parties. These information technology systems, including the system at our global operations center in Irving, Texas may be susceptible to damage, disruptions or shutdowns, hardware or software failures, power outages, computer viruses, cyber attacks, telecommunication failures, user errors or catastrophic events. If our information technology systems suffer severe damage, disruption or shutdown, and our business continuity plans do not effectively resolve the issues in a timely manner, our operations could be disrupted and our business could be negatively affected. In addition, cyber attacks could lead to potential unauthorized access and disclosure of confidential information, and data loss and corruption. There is no assurance that we will not experience these service interruptions or cyber attacks in the future.

Assimilating any future material acquisitions into our company may strain our resources and have an adverse effect on our business.

The assimilation of any future material acquisitions we may make will require substantial time, effort, attention and dedication of management resources and may distract management from ordinary operations. The transition process could create a number of potential challenges and adverse consequences, including the possible unexpected loss of key employees, customers or suppliers, a possible loss of revenues or an increase in operating or other costs. Inefficiencies and difficulties may arise because of unfamiliarity with new assets and the business associated with them, new geographic areas and new regulatory systems. These types of challenges and uncertainties could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We may not be able to effectively manage the combined operations and assets or realize any of the anticipated benefits of future material acquisitions.

The loss of key personnel could affect our growth and future success.

Loss of the services of key management personnel at our corporate and regional headquarters without being able to attract personnel of equal ability could have a material adverse effect upon us.

Our ability to attract and retain qualified pilots, mechanics, technicians and other highly-trained personnel is an important factor in determining our future success. The market for these experienced and highly trained personnel is competitive and may become more competitive. Accordingly, we cannot be assured that we will be successful in our efforts to attract and retain such personnel in the future. A limited supply of qualified applicants may contribute to wage increases that increase the related costs to us. Our failure to attract and retain qualified personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Labor problems could adversely affect us.

Certain of our employees in the United Kingdom, Ireland, the Netherlands, Norway, Brazil, Canada and Australia (collectively, approximately 70% of our employees as of April 30, 2014) are represented under collective bargaining or union agreements. Any disputes over the terms of these agreements or our potential inability to negotiate acceptable contracts with the unions that represent our employees could result in strikes, work stoppages or other slowdowns by the affected workers. Periodically, certain groups of our employees who are not covered under a collective bargaining agreement consider entering into such an agreement.

If our unionized workers engage in a strike, work stoppage or other slowdown, other employees elect to become unionized, existing labor agreements are renegotiated, or future labor agreements contain terms that are unfavorable to us, we could experience a disruption of our operations or higher ongoing labor costs, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

If the assets in our defined benefit pension plans are not sufficient to meet the plans' obligations, we could be required to make substantial cash contributions and our liquidity could be adversely affected.

We sponsor funded and unfunded defined benefit pension plans for our employees principally in Canada, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and Norway. As of April 30, 2014, there was a \$76.6 million funding deficit related to our

various defined benefit pension plans which require ongoing funding by us.

76

Table of Contents

Our estimate of liabilities and expenses for pensions incorporates significant assumptions, including the interest rate used to discount future liabilities and expected long-term rates of return on plan assets. Our pension contributions and expenses, results of operations, liquidity or shareholders' equity in a particular period could be materially adversely affected by market returns that are less than the plans' expected long-term rates of return, a decline in the rate used to discount future liabilities and changes in the currency exchange rates. If the assets of our pension plans do not achieve expected investment returns for a fiscal year, such deficiency may result in increases in pension expense. Changing economic conditions, poor pension investment returns or other factors may require us to make substantial cash contributions to the pension plans in the future, preventing the use of such cash for other purposes and adversely affecting our liquidity.

Adverse results of legal proceedings could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We are subject to and may in the future be subject to a variety of legal proceedings and claims that arise out of the ordinary conduct of our business.

On August 11, 2014, CASA gave notice that it was canceling the exemption for our Fatigue Risk Management System ("FRMS"). Although this is not a challenge to our AOC, if the cancellation were allowed to go into effect, it would reduce the number of hours our pilots could be rostered for duty in Australia. We believe that CASA's action is unwarranted and does not comply with law. On August 18, 2014, we filed a judicial action seeking to enjoin CASA from cancelling our exemption. The court stayed CASA's action pending a preliminary hearing. The parties agreed on conditions to continue the stay before the preliminary hearing held on September 5, 2014. At the hearing the court stated that CASA's action would remain stayed until further order. A hearing on the merits is now set for the week of November 10, 2014.

Results of legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty. Irrespective of its merits, litigation may be both lengthy and disruptive to our operations and could cause significant expenditure and diversion of management attention. We may be faced with significant monetary damages or injunctive relief against us that could materially adversely affect a portion of our business operations or materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations should we fail to prevail in certain matters.

In the event we are or become treated as a passive foreign investment company, or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, our U.S. shareholders could be subject to adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences.

In the event we are or become a PFIC, U.S. Holders (as defined below) of our ordinary shares could be subject to adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences. These consequences include the following: (i) if our ordinary shares are "marketable stock" for purposes of the PFIC rules and a U.S. Holder makes a mark-to-market election with respect to its ordinary shares, the U.S. Holder will be required to include annually in its U.S. federal taxable income an amount reflecting any year-end increase in the value of its ordinary shares, (ii) if a U.S. Holder does not make a mark-to-market election, it may incur significant additional U.S. federal income taxes on income resulting from certain distributions on, or any gain from the disposition of, our ordinary shares, as such income generally would be allocated over the U.S. Holder's holding period for its ordinary shares and subject to tax at the highest rates of U.S. federal income taxation in effect for such years, with an interest charge then imposed on the deferral of the resulting taxes with respect to such income, and (iii) dividends paid by us would not be eligible for reduced individual rates of U.S. federal income taxation in any year in which we are treated as a PFIC and in any year immediately following a year in which we are treated as a PFIC. In addition, U.S. Holders that own an interest in a PFIC are required to file additional U.S. federal tax information returns under guidance that has yet to be finalized. A U.S. Holder may not make a mark-to-market election with respect to any of our subsidiaries that are PFICs to mitigate the adverse tax consequences applicable under the PFIC rules attributable to any such subsidiary.

The classification of our gross operating income as income from services, which should not be considered passive income for purposes of the PFIC income test, or rents, which could be passive income for that purpose, is a facts and circumstances determination that takes into consideration many factors and the weight that should be given to each factor and is currently subject to significant uncertainty under the U.S. federal income tax law. We believe that our gross operating income should be classified principally as income from services and not from rents, and that,

accordingly, we should not be a PFIC under the PFIC income test. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, will agree with our conclusion and that the IRS would not successfully challenge our position. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we are not currently a PFIC and that we will not be a PFIC in the future under the PFIC income test.

Table of Contents

The term “U.S. Holder” means a beneficial owner of the ordinary shares that is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes, (1) an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States, (2) a corporation (or entity treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes) created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any state thereof, or the District of Columbia, (3) an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income tax regardless of its source or (4) a trust (x) with respect to which a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over its administration and one or more United States persons have the authority to control all of its substantial decisions or (y) that has elected under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations to be treated as a domestic trust for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Risks Related to Our Ownership Structure

We are controlled by a shareholder group, which might have interests that conflict with ours or the interests of our other shareholders.*

Upon the first closing of the private placement and pursuant to a voting agreement between CD&R and First Reserve (the “Investors”), the Investors, as a group, will own a controlling block of our voting shares and will have the ability to appoint a majority of our directors. As a result, we will continue to be a “controlled company” within the meaning of the corporate governance standards of the NYSE. Upon the consummation of the private placement, each of CD&R and First Reserve could hold securities representing up to approximately 49.6% and 28.7%, respectively, of the voting power of all of our shareholders (subject to certain adjustments, if any) and will have the ability to appoint a majority of our directors. As a result, the Investors (and their assignees) will have the ability to determine matters requiring shareholder approval, including without limitation, the election and removal of directors, and business combinations, changes of control and sales of all or substantially all of our assets.

Circumstances may occur in which the interests of the Investors could be in conflict with our interests or the interests of our other shareholders. For example, First Reserve and CD&R are both in the business of making investments in companies and might from time to time in the future acquire interests in businesses that directly or indirectly compete with certain portions of our business or that are suppliers or customers of ours. Further, if First Reserve or CD&R pursues such acquisitions or makes further investments in our industry, those acquisitions and investment opportunities might not be available to us. So long as the Investors continue to directly or indirectly own a significant amount of our equity, even if such amount is less than 50%, the Investors, as a group, will continue to be able to influence our decisions. In addition, this concentration of ownership could have the effect of delaying, preventing or deterring a change of control of our company, could deprive shareholders of an opportunity to receive a premium for their ordinary shares as part of a sale of our company and might ultimately affect the market price of our ordinary shares.

CD&R will become a substantial holder of our ordinary shares on an as-converted basis.*

Subject to the closings of pending private placements, CD&R will become a substantial holder of CHC Group Ltd., with as much as 49.9% of the voting control (subject to adjustments), with a larger amount of our economic interest upon issuance of dividends that are payable in kind. CD&R will eventually have four representatives on our board. As a result, CD&R will have substantial influence over our corporate policy and business strategy.

We are a “controlled company” within the meaning of the NYSE rules and, as a result, qualify for, and intend to rely on, exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements. You will not have the same protections afforded to shareholders of companies that are subject to such requirements.*

As a result of the private placement, funds affiliated with the Investors, as a group, will beneficially own a majority of the voting power of our ordinary shares eligible to vote in the election of our directors. As a result, we will continue to be a “controlled company” within the meaning of the corporate governance standards of the NYSE. Under these rules, a company of which more than 50% of the voting power in the election of directors is held by an individual, group or another company is a “controlled company” and may elect not to comply with certain corporate governance requirements, including the requirements that, within one year of the date of the listing of our ordinary shares:

- we have a board of directors that is comprised of a majority of “independent directors,” as defined under the rules of the NYSE;

- we have a compensation committee that is comprised entirely of independent directors; and

•we have a nominating and corporate governance committee that is comprised entirely of independent directors.

78

Table of Contents

A majority of the directors on our board of directors are not independent. In addition, the compensation committee and the corporate governance committee of our board of directors do not consist entirely of independent directors or are subject to annual performance evaluations. Accordingly, you will not have the same protections afforded to shareholders of companies that are subject to all of the corporate governance requirements of the NYSE. Finally, we have a classified board with terms of three years.

Risks Related to the Ownership of Our Ordinary Shares

There may be sales of a substantial amount of our ordinary shares by our current shareholders, and these sales could cause the price of our ordinary shares to fall.*

First Reserve owns, and, after the private placement, the Investors, as a group, will own, a majority indirect economic and voting interest in us. Sales of substantial amounts of our ordinary shares in the public market, including by us, First Reserve, or CD&R, or the perception that such sales will occur, could adversely affect the market price of our ordinary shares and make it difficult for us to raise funds through securities offerings in the future.

The market for our ordinary shares historically has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations.*

The market for our ordinary shares historically has experienced and may continue to experience significant price and volume fluctuations similar to those experienced by the broader stock market in recent years. Generally, the fluctuations experienced by the broader stock market have affected the market prices of securities issued by many companies for reasons unrelated to their operating performance and may adversely affect the price of our ordinary shares. In addition, our announcements of our quarterly operating results, changes in general conditions in the economy or the financial markets and other developments affecting us, our affiliates or our competitors could cause the market price of our ordinary shares to fluctuate substantially.

Pursuant to the terms of the preferred shares to be issued, which will rank senior to our ordinary shares, we will be required to pay regular dividends on the preferred shares, and we may be required under certain circumstances to repurchase the preferred shares; such obligations could adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition.*

The preferred shares to be issued will rank senior to our ordinary shares with respect to dividend rights. Holders of preferred shares will be entitled to participate ratably in all dividends paid on ordinary shares and, in addition, receive cumulative dividends payable quarterly at a rate of 8.5% per annum (or the preferred dividends). The preferred dividends will be payable quarterly in arrears on March 15, June 15, September 15 and December 15 of each year, commencing on the first such quarterly date following the issuance of the preferred shares. The preferred dividends accruing up to the second anniversary of the issuance date will be paid by the issuance of preferred shares, and the preferred dividends accruing after such anniversary will be paid either in cash or by the issuance of preferred shares at our option, subject to certain conditions. If we fail to make timely dividends, the dividend rate will increase to 11.5% per annum until such time as all accrued but unpaid dividends have been paid in full. In addition, the holders of our preferred shares will have certain redemption rights, including upon certain change in control events involving us, which, if exercised, could require us to repurchase all of the outstanding preferred shares at the original purchase price of the preferred shares plus all accrued but unpaid dividends. Our obligations to pay regular dividends to the holders of preferred shares or any required repurchase of the outstanding shares of preferred shares could impact our liquidity and reduce the amount of cash flows available for working capital, capital expenditures, growth opportunities, acquisitions, and other general corporate purposes. Our obligations to the holders of preferred shares could also limit our ability to obtain additional financing or increase our borrowing costs, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

We may pursue additional capital in the future, which could dilute the holders of our outstanding ordinary shares and may adversely affect the market price of our ordinary shares.*

Although we have just entered into a private placement transaction to raise a significant amount of capital, in the current economic environment we believe it is prudent to consider alternatives for raising capital when opportunities to raise capital at attractive prices present themselves, in order to further strengthen our capital and better position ourselves to take advantage of opportunities that may arise in the future. Such alternatives may include issuance and sale of ordinary shares or preferred shares. Any such capital raising alternatives could dilute the holders of our

outstanding ordinary shares and may adversely affect the market price of our ordinary shares.

79

Table of Contents

The issuance of preferred shares in the private placement and the issuance of additional preferred shares as preferred dividends would reduce the relative voting power of holders of our ordinary shares, may dilute the ownership of such holders, and may adversely affect the market price of our ordinary shares.*

As of July 31, 2014, we had 81,342,413 ordinary shares outstanding and obligations to issue an additional 3,945,633 ordinary shares upon the exercise of outstanding options and other securities exercisable or convertible into our ordinary shares. Upon the third closing of the private placement, CD&R will hold shares representing approximately 49.6% of our ordinary shares on an as-converted-to-ordinary shares basis. CD&R will also have priority over the holders of our ordinary shares with respect to the distribution of our assets in the event of our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, and will receive consideration per share potentially in excess of that received by holders of our ordinary shares in the event of a change of control.

In addition, conversion of the preferred shares to our ordinary shares will dilute the ownership interest of existing holders of our ordinary shares, and any sales in the public market of the ordinary shares issuable upon conversion of the preferred shares could adversely affect prevailing market prices of our ordinary shares. In connection with the first closing of the private placement, we will grant CD&R registration rights in respect of the ordinary shares issuable upon conversion of the preferred shares and preferred shares outstanding more than 8.5 years after the first closing of the private placement. These registration rights would facilitate the resale of such securities into the public market, and any such resale would increase the number of shares of our ordinary shares available for public trading. Sales by CD&R of a substantial number of our ordinary shares in the public market, or the perception that such sales might occur, could have a material adverse effect on the price of our ordinary shares.

The issuance of preferred shares will diminish the net income available to holders of our ordinary shares and earnings per ordinary share.*

If the conditions to the closing of the private placement are satisfied or waived, we will have issued \$600.0 million of Preferred Shares upon the third closing of the private placement. The dividends accrued on the preferred shares will reduce the net income available to ordinary shareholders and our earnings per ordinary share.

The preferred shares to be issued will have rights, preferences and privileges that are not held by, and are preferential to, the rights of holders of our ordinary shares. Such preferential rights could adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition, and may result in the interests of the holders of our preferred shares differing from those of the holders of our ordinary shares.*

Holders of preferred shares will have the right to receive a liquidation preference entitling them to be paid out of our assets available for distribution to shareholders, before any payment may be made to holders of ordinary shares, an amount equal to the greater of (a) the original issue price plus all unpaid and accrued dividends thereon of each outstanding preferred share held and (b) the amount that such holder would have been entitled to receive upon our liquidation, dissolution and winding up if all outstanding preferred shares had been converted into ordinary shares immediately prior to such liquidation, dissolution or winding up.

In addition, dividends on the preferred shares will accrue and will be cumulative, whether or not declared by our board, at the rate of 8.5% per annum on the sum of the original issue price plus all unpaid accrued and accumulated dividends thereon. These preferred dividends will be payable quarterly in arrears on March 15, June 15, September 15 and December 15 of each year, commencing on the first such quarterly date following the issuance of the preferred shares. The preferred dividends accruing up to the second anniversary of the issuance date will be paid by the issuance of preferred shares, and the preferred dividends accruing after such anniversary will be paid either in cash or by the issuance of preferred shares at our option, subject to certain conditions. If we fail to make timely dividends, the dividend rate will increase to 11.5% per annum until such time as all accrued but unpaid dividends have been paid in full. Moreover, if we declare or pay a cash dividend on our ordinary shares, we will be required to declare and pay a dividend on the outstanding preferred shares on a pro rata basis with the ordinary shares determined on an as-converted basis. The holders of our preferred shares will also be able to require the redemption of all or any of their preferred shares upon our change of control at a purchase price equal to the liquidation preference of such preferred shares.

Holders of preferred shares will have the right to vote at all shareholders meetings together with, and as part of one class with, the ordinary shares, provided, however, that the preferred shares of any one holder and its affiliates (together with any votes of such holder and its affiliates in respect of any previously issued conversion shares) will not represent more than 49.9% of the total number of votes. In addition, the prior written consent of the holders of a majority of the preferred shares will be required to, among other things, (i) create, or issue additional, equity or convertible securities other than voting or non-voting ordinary shares or (ii) enter into a debt agreement restricting the payment of dividends by the issuance of preferred shares or the conversion of preferred shares into ordinary shares.

Table of Contents

Our obligations to pay the preferred dividends and other dividends to the holders of our preferred shares on a pro-rata basis with the ordinary shares, and to repurchase any and all of the outstanding preferred shares under certain circumstances, could impact our liquidity and reduce the amount of cash flows available for working capital, capital expenditures, growth opportunities, acquisitions, and other general corporate purposes. Our obligations to the holders of preferred shares could also limit our ability to obtain additional financing or increase our borrowing costs, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition. The preferential rights could also result in divergent interests between the holders of our preferred shares and those of our ordinary shares.

We are a holding company and, accordingly, are dependent upon distributions from our subsidiaries to generate the funds necessary to meet our financial obligations and pay dividends.

We are a holding company and have no business operations of our own. We have no independent means of generating revenue. As a result, we are dependent on loans, dividends and other payments from our subsidiaries to generate the funds necessary to pay our expenses and to pay any cash dividends. There can be no assurance that our subsidiaries will generate sufficient cash flow to dividend or distribute funds to us or that applicable laws, their operating documents and contractual restrictions, including negative covenants in our or their debt instruments, will permit such dividends or distributions. Our debt instruments currently restrict the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or make distributions to us.

The requirements of being a public company may strain our resources and distract our management.

As a public company, we are be subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, and requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, or the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. These requirements may place a strain on our systems and resources. The Exchange Act requires that we file annual and current reports with respect to our business and financial condition. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting. To maintain and improve the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls, we will need to commit significant resources, hire additional staff and provide additional management oversight. We will be implementing additional procedures and processes for the purpose of addressing the standards and requirements applicable to public companies. These activities may divert management's attention from other business concerns, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. We have incurred and expect to incur significant additional annual expenses related to these steps and, among other things, additional directors' and officers' liability insurance, director fees, reporting requirements, transfer agent fees, hiring additional accounting, legal and administrative personnel, increased auditing and legal fees and similar expenses.

Our internal controls may not meet all of the standards contemplated by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Failure to achieve and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could have a material adverse effect on our business and ordinary share price.

Our internal control over financial reporting currently may not meet the standards contemplated by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act that we will eventually be required to meet. If we are not able to complete our assessment of internal controls over financial reporting accordance with the requirements of Section 404 in a timely manner or with adequate compliance, our independent registered public accounting firm might not be able to certify as to their adequacy.

Matters affecting our internal control might cause us to be unable to report our financial information on a timely basis and thereby subject us to adverse regulatory consequences, including sanctions by the SEC or violations of applicable stock exchange listing rules, which could result in a breach of the covenants under our financing arrangements. There also could be a negative reaction in the financial markets due to a loss of investor confidence in us and the reliability of our financial statements. Confidence in the reliability of our financial statements also could suffer if we or our independent registered public accounting firm were to report a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. This could materially adversely affect us and lead to a decline in the price of our ordinary shares.

If securities analysts or industry analysts downgrade our ordinary shares, publish negative research or reports or fail to publish reports about our business, our share price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our ordinary shares will be influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts publish about us, our business and our market. If one or more analysts adversely change their recommendation regarding our stock or our competitors' stock, our share price would likely decline. If one or more analysts cease coverage of us or fail to publish reports on us regularly, we could lose visibility in the financial markets which in turn could cause our share price or trading volume to decline.

Table of Contents

Our actual operating results may differ significantly from our guidance and investor expectations, which would likely cause our share price to decline.

From time to time, we may release guidance in our earnings releases, earnings conference calls or otherwise, regarding our future performance that represent our management's estimates as of the date of release. If given, this guidance, which will include forward-looking statements, will be based on projections prepared by our management. Projections are based upon a number of assumptions and estimates that, while presented with numerical specificity, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. The principal reason that we expect to release guidance is to provide a basis for our management to discuss our business outlook with analysts and investors. With or without our guidance, analysts and other investors may publish expectations regarding our business, financial performance and results of operations. We do not accept any responsibility for any projections or reports published by any such third persons.

Guidance is necessarily speculative in nature, and it can be expected that some or all of the assumptions of the guidance furnished by us will not materialize or will vary significantly from actual results. If our actual performance does not meet or exceed our guidance or investor expectations, the trading price of our ordinary shares is likely to decline.

Provisions of our articles of association and Cayman Islands corporate law may discourage or prevent an acquisition of us which could adversely affect the value of our ordinary shares.

Provisions of our memorandum and articles of association and Cayman Islands law may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control or changes in our management. Our memorandum and articles of association contain provisions that:

permit our board of directors to issue, without any further vote or action by our shareholders, preferred shares in one or more series and, with respect to each series, to fix the number of shares constituting the series and the designation of the series, the voting powers (if any) of the shares of such series, and the preferences and other special rights, if any, and any qualifications, limitations or restrictions, of the shares of the series; and
provide for our board of directors to be a classified board.

The foregoing provisions may impose various impediments to the ability of a third-party to acquire control of us, even if a change of control would be beneficial to our existing shareholders.

Our organizational documents contain a variety of anti-takeover provisions that could delay, deter or prevent a change in control.

Various provisions of our organizational documents and Cayman Islands law may delay, deter or prevent a change in control of us that is not approved by our board of directors. These provisions include:

- a classified board of directors;
- a requirement that annual general meetings of shareholders be called by only a majority of the board of directors or by the Chairman of the board of directors or in the case of extraordinary general meetings, by a majority of the board of directors, by the Chairman of the board of directors or by shareholders holding not less than a majority of our shares then outstanding;
- advance notice requirements for shareholder proposals and nominations;
- limitations on the ability of shareholders to amend, alter or repeal our organizational documents; and
- the authority of the board of directors to issue preferred shares with such terms as the board of directors may determine.

Table of Contents

Shareholder rights under Cayman Islands law may differ materially from shareholder rights in the United States, which could adversely affect the ability of us and our shareholders to protect our and their interests.

We are a company incorporated under the laws of the Cayman Islands. Our corporate affairs are governed by our memorandum and articles of association, as amended and restated from time to time, by the Companies Law (as revised) of the Cayman Islands and the common law of the Cayman Islands. The rights of shareholders to take action against the directors, actions by minority shareholders and the fiduciary responsibilities of our directors to us under Cayman Islands law are to a large extent governed by the common law of the Cayman Islands. The common law of the Cayman Islands is derived in part from comparatively limited judicial precedent in the Cayman Islands, as well as from English common law, the decisions of whose courts are of persuasive authority but are not binding on a court in the Cayman Islands. In particular, some jurisdictions, such as the state of Delaware, have more fully developed and judicially interpreted bodies of corporate laws. Moreover, we could be involved in a corporate combination in which dissenting shareholders would have no rights comparable to appraisal rights that would otherwise ordinarily be available to dissenting shareholders of U.S. corporations. Also, our Cayman Islands counsel is not aware of a significant number of reported class actions having been brought in Cayman Islands courts. Such actions are ordinarily available in respect of U.S. corporations in U.S. courts. Finally, Cayman Islands companies might not have standing to initiate shareholder derivative actions before the federal courts of the United States. As a result, our public shareholders could face different considerations in protecting their interests in actions against our management, directors or controlling shareholder than would shareholders of a corporation incorporated in a jurisdiction in the United States, and our ability to protect our interests may be limited if we are harmed in a manner that would otherwise enable us to sue in a United States federal court.

As a holder of the ordinary shares, you might have difficulty obtaining or enforcing a judgment against us because we are incorporated under the laws of the Cayman Islands.

Because we are a Cayman Islands company, there is uncertainty as to whether the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands would recognize or enforce judgments of U.S. courts obtained against us predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the securities laws of the United States or any state thereof, or be competent to hear original actions brought in the Cayman Islands against us predicated upon the securities laws of the United States or any state thereof.

The Investors may compete with us, and our memorandum and articles of association contain a provision that expressly permits our non-employee directors to compete with us.*

First Reserve and/or CD&R may compete with us for investments in our business. There is no assurance that any conflicts of interest created by such competition will be resolved in our favor. Moreover, First Reserve and CD&R are both in the business of making investments in companies and might acquire or hold interests in businesses that compete directly or indirectly with us. Our memorandum and articles of association, as amended (or our articles of association), provide that, to the maximum extent permitted from time to time by Cayman Islands law, we renounce any interest or expectancy that we have in, or any right to be offered an opportunity to participate in, any business opportunities that are from time to time presented to our directors or their affiliates, other than to those directors who are employed by us or our subsidiaries, unless the business opportunity is expressly offered to such person in his or her capacity as a director of the Company, and none of First Reserve or its affiliates, or any director who is not employed by us or any of his or her affiliates, will have any duty to refrain from engaging, directly or indirectly, in the same business activities or similar business activities or lines of business in which we or our affiliates engage or propose to engage or to refrain from otherwise competing with us or our affiliates. First Reserve and/or CD&R also may pursue acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business, and, as a result, those acquisition opportunities might not be available to us.

Our articles of association also provide that any director, officer, committee member or agent of both the Company and a member of First Reserve group (or an Identified Person), First Reserve or any non-employee director taking, developing, offering or transferring to another person or entity, any potential transaction, business or investment opportunity that has been renounced by the Company shall not constitute an act or omission committed in bad faith or as the result of active or deliberate dishonesty, and any benefit received, directly or indirectly, by First Reserve, an Identified Person or any non-employee director as the result of any such potential transaction, business or investment

opportunity shall not constitute receipt of an improper benefit, or an improper personal benefit, in money, property, services or otherwise.

83

Table of Contents

Our articles of association provide that, to the maximum extent permitted from time to time by Cayman Islands law, each of our non-employee directors (including those designated by First Reserve and, after the first closing of the private placement, CD&R) may:

- acquire, hold and dispose of ordinary shares for his or her own account or for the account of others, and exercise all of the rights of a shareholder to the same extent and in the same manner as if he or she were not our director; and
- in his or her personal capacity or in his or her capacity as a director, officer, trustee, shareholder, partner, member, equity owner, manager, advisor or employee of any other person, have business interests and engage in business activities that are similar to ours, compete with us or involve a business opportunity that we could seize and develop.

Our articles of association also provide that, to the maximum extent permitted from time to time by Cayman Islands law, in the event that First Reserve or any non-employee director acquires knowledge of a potential transaction or other business opportunity, such person will have no duty to communicate or offer such transaction or business opportunity to us or any of our affiliates and may take any such opportunity for itself, himself or herself or offer it to another person or entity unless the business opportunity is expressly offered to such person in his or her capacity as our director. These provisions may limit our ability to pursue business or investment opportunities that we might otherwise have had the opportunity to pursue, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, our results of operations, our cash flow, the per share trading price of our ordinary shares and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations.

Our controlling shareholder also controls an entity that leases helicopters to us.

Certain funds affiliated with First Reserve, our controlling shareholder, hold approximately 100% of the equity interests in Hover SE Leasing, or Hover, which indirectly owns a minority interest in 31 helicopters. Through Hover, First Reserve leases such helicopters to us pursuant to long-term leases ranging in duration between eight and ten years. For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2014, the total operating lease expense in connection with such leases was \$50.4 million.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

After the end of the fiscal quarter ended July 31, 2014, we announced the sale of unregistered securities. See Item 2, “Management’s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations - Financing Transactions.”

Use of Proceeds from Initial Public Offering of Ordinary Shares

On January 16, 2014, our Registration Statements on Form S-1 (File No. 333-191268) and Form S-1MEF (File No. 333-193399) became effective for our IPO of ordinary shares pursuant to which we sold an aggregate of 34,000,000 ordinary shares, including 3,000,000 ordinary shares pursuant to the underwriters’ over-allotment option in February 2014, at a public offering price of \$10.00 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$340.0 million.

J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Barclays Capital Inc., UBS Securities LLC, HSBC Securities (USA) Inc., RBC Capital Markets, LLC, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, BNP Paribas Securities Corp., Standard Bank Plc, Cormark Securities (USA) Limited, Cowen and Company, LLC, Raymond James & Associates, Inc., Simmons & Company International and Tudor, Pickering, Holt & Co. Securities, Inc. acted as the underwriters.

We raised approximately \$289.4 million in net proceeds from the offering, after deducting underwriter discounts and commissions of approximately \$16.3 million and other offering expenses of approximately \$4.3 million. On February 20, 2014, the underwriters in the IPO exercised an option to purchase 3,000,000 ordinary shares of capital stock at a price of \$10.00 per share, raising approximately \$28.4 million, net of underwriting costs of \$1.6 million.

No payments were made by us to directors, officers or persons owning ten percent or more of our ordinary shares or to their associates, or to our affiliates, other than payments in the ordinary course of business to officers for salaries and directors for compensation. There has been no material change in the planned use of proceeds from our IPO as

described in our final prospectus filed with the SEC pursuant to Rule 424(b) under the Securities Act on January 21, 2014. Pending the uses described, we have invested the net proceeds in short-term current account facilities. On February 7, 2014, one of our subsidiaries redeemed \$130.0 million of the senior secured notes at a redemption price of 103% of the principal and accrued and unpaid interest of \$3.7 million. In May 2014, one of our subsidiaries purchased \$65.0 million of the senior secured notes on the open market at premiums ranging from 8.00% to 9.13% and accrued and unpaid interest of \$0.6 million.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

85

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following exhibits are attached hereto and filed herewith:

Exhibit No.	Exhibit Description	Incorporated by Reference				Filed Herewith
		Form	SEC File No.	Exhibit	Filing Date	
3.1	Amended and Restated Memorandum and Articles of Association	S-1/A	333-191268	3.1	1/6/2014	
4.1	Indenture, dated as of May 13, 2013, among CHC Helicopter S.A., the Guarantors named therein, and The Bank of New York Mellon, as Trustee, governing the 9.375% Senior Notes due 2021.	8-K	333-179072	4.1	5/14/2013	
4.2	Form of 9.375% Senior Notes due 2021 (included in Exhibit 4.1). Indenture, dated as of October 4, 2010, among CHC Helicopter S.A., the Guarantors named therein, HSBC	8-K	333-179072	4.1	5/14/2013	
4.3	Corporate Trustee Company (UK) Limited, as Collateral Agent, and The Bank of New York Mellon, as Trustee, governing the 9.250% Senior Secured Notes due 2020.	S-4	333-179072	4.1	1/18/2012	
4.4	Form of 9.250% Senior Secured Notes due 2020 (included in Exhibit 4.3) Collateral Agent and Administrative Agent Appointment Deed, dated	S-4	333-179072	4.1	1/18/2012	
4.5	October 4, 2010, among HSBC Bank plc, as Administrative Agent, The Bank of New York Mellon, as Notes Trustee, the Grantors identified therein, the Lenders identified therein, the Arrangers identified therein, and HSBC Corporate Trust Company (UK) Limited, as Collateral Agent.	S-4	333-179072	4.4	1/18/2012	
4.6	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of February 20, 2012, among CHC Global Operations Canada (2008) Inc., CHC Helicopter S.A., the Guarantors named therein, HSBC Corporate Trustee Company (UK) Limited, as Collateral Agent, and The Bank of New York Mellon, as Trustee, governing the 9.250% Senior Secured Notes due 2020.	S-4/A	333-179072	4.5	3/28/2012	
4.7	Intercreditor Agreement, dated as of October 4, 2010, among CHC Helicopter S.A., the other Grantors party thereto, HSBC Corporate Trustee Company (UK)	S-4/A	333-179072	4.6	5/9/2012	

Table of Contents

4.9	Second Supplemental Indenture, dated as of January 31, 2014, among CHC Group Ltd., CHC Helicopter S.A., each other existing Guarantor referred to therein, HSBC Corporate Trustee Company (UK) Limited, as collateral agent, and The Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee, governing the 9.250% Senior Secured Notes due 2020.	8-K	001-36261	4.1	2/5/2014	
4.10	Form of Shareholders' Agreement.	S-1/A	333-191268	10.26	12/19/2013	
4.11	Form of Registration Rights Agreement.	S-1/A	333-191268	10.27	12/19/2013	
4.12	Description of Preferred Shares	8-K	001-36261	3.1	8/27/2014	
31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
101.INS§	XBRL Instance Document					X
101.SCH§	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document					X
101.CAL§	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document					X
101.DEF§	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Presentation Linkbase Document					X
101.LAB§	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document					X
101.PRE§	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document					X

*Constitutes management contract or compensatory contract.

In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits, when filed, shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to § liability under that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CHC Group Ltd.

/s/ Joan Schweikart Hooper

By: Joan Schweikart Hooper

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Date: September 10, 2014