HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC Form 10-K March 01, 2013 <u>Table of Contents</u>

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF x 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 001-32594

HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)
90 Nassau Street, Princeton, New Jersey 08542
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(609) 683-3831
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

22-3755714 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

Securities registered pursuant to 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Common Stock, \$0.001 par value Securities registered pursuant to 12(g) of the Act: Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange

(NONE) (title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. o YES  $\times$  NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. o YES x NO

## Table of Contents

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x YES o NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). x YES o NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filer pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one): Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). o YES x NO

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common stock was last sold on the New York Stock Exchange on June 30, 2012 was approximately \$1.1 billion.

As of February 25, 2013, there were 36,928,070 shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding.

## DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Specifically identified portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A in connection with the 2013 annual meeting of shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	<u>PART I</u>	_
Item 1.	BUSINESS	<u>1</u>
Item 1A.	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	<u>24</u>
Item 1B.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	<u>33</u>
Item 2.	PROPERTIES	<u>33</u>
Item 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	<u>33</u> <u>33</u>
Item 4.	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	<u>36</u>
	<u>PART II</u>	
	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED	
Item 5.	STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY	<u>36</u>
	SECURITIES	
Item 6.	<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	<u>38</u>
		20
	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL	10
Item 7.	CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	<u>40</u>
Item 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET	<u>68</u>
It and O	RISK EINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUDDI EMENTADIX DATA	(0)
Item 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	<u>68</u>
Item 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON	<u>107</u>
Item 0.4	ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	100
	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES OTHER INFORMATION	$\frac{108}{108}$
nem 9D.	PART III	108
	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	
Item 10.	DIRECTORS, EAECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORFORATE GOVERNANCE	<u>109</u>
	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	
Item 11.		<u>109</u>
	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND	
Item 12.	MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	109
10111 12.	MINTOLINEI TIND REEMED OT COMICEDER IM THERE	102
	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND	
Item 13.	DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	109
	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	100
Item 14.		<u>109</u>
	PART IV	
T. 17	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	100
Item 15.		<u>109</u>

SIGNATURES
------------

## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this report to "the Company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and our subsidiaries.

Some of the information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K may contain forward looking statements that are based on our management's beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available to our management. Forward-looking statements include the information concerning our possible or assumed future results of operations, business strategies, financing plans, competitive position, industry environment, potential growth opportunities, the effects of future regulation and the effects of competition. Forward-looking statements include all statements that are not historical facts and can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as the words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "estimate" or similar expressions.

Forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. You should understand that many important factors, in addition to those discussed elsewhere in this report, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. Certain of these factors are described in Item 1A. Risk Factors and include, without limitation, our competitive environment, the business cycles and credit risks of our merchants, chargeback liability, merchant attrition, problems with our bank sponsor, our relationships with third-party bankcard payment processors, our inability to pass increased interchange fees along to our merchants, economic conditions, systems failures and government regulation.

## PART I ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### Overview of Our Company

We were incorporated in Delaware in June 2000. Our headquarters are located at 90 Nassau Street, Princeton, NJ 08542, and our telephone number is (609) 683-3831.

Our primary business is to provide bankcard payment processing services to merchants in the United States. This involves facilitating the exchange of information and funds between merchants and cardholders' financial institutions, providing end-to-end electronic payment processing services to merchants, including merchant set-up and training, transaction authorization and electronic draft capture, clearing and settlement, merchant accounting, merchant assistance and support and risk management. Our card-accepting customers primarily fall into two categories: our core small and mid-sized merchants (referred to as "Small and Midsized Enterprises," or "SME merchants") and Network Services merchants, predominately petroleum industry merchants of all sizes (referred to as "Network Services Merchants"). We provide additional services to SME merchants, such as full-service payroll processing and related tax filing services, marketing solutions including loyalty and gift cards, and we sell and rent point-of-sale devices. We also offer K to 12 school nutrition and point-of-sale solutions and payment solutions through Heartland School Solutions, open- and closed-loop payment solutions and higher education loan services to colleges and universities through Campus Solutions, and prepaid and stored-value card solutions through Micropayments.

## Bankcard Payment Processing

At December 31, 2012, we provided bankcard payment processing services to 169,994 active SME bankcard merchants at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2012, we provided bankcard payment processing services to 396 Network Services Merchants with approximately 47,064 locations. Our total bankcard processing volume for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$100.4 billion, a 19.9% increase from the \$83.7 billion processed during the year ended December 31, 2011. Our 2012 SME bankcard processing volume was \$71.7 billion, a 6.3% increase over \$67.5 billion in 2011. Bankcard processing volume for 2012 includes \$27.9 billion of settled volume for Network Services Merchants, compared to \$15.5 billion for 2011. In addition to settling Visa and MasterCard transactions, Network Services processes a wide range of payment transactions for its predominantly petroleum customer base, including providing 2.5 billion transaction authorizations through its front-end card processing systems (primarily for Visa and MasterCard) in 2012 and 2.7 billion such transactions for the year ended December 31, 2011.

According to The Nilson Report, in 2011 we were the 6<sup>th</sup> largest merchant acquirer in the United States ranked by transaction count and the 9<sup>th</sup> largest merchant acquirer by processed dollar volume, which consists of both credit and debit Visa and MasterCard, American Express, Discover, Diners Club and JCB transactions. These rankings represented 2.8 billion transactions and 4% of the total U.S. bankcard processing market, respectively.

Our bankcard processing revenue from SME merchants is recurring in nature. We typically enter into three-year service contracts with our SME merchants that, in order to qualify for the agreed-upon pricing, require the achievement of agreed bankcard processing volume minimums from these merchants. Our SME gross bankcard processing revenue is driven by cardholders making purchases at these merchants using mostly Visa and MasterCard credit and signature-debit cards, but also American Express and Discover cards. In December 2007, we signed a sales and servicing program agreement ("OnePoint") with American Express Travel Related Services Company, Inc. (referred to as "American Express") under which we sign up and service new merchants on behalf of American Express. In June 2008, we signed an agreement with DFS Services, LLC (referred to as "Discover") that transferred ownership of Discover-related contracts to us, and provided us with economics on Discover transactions matching that of Visa or

MasterCard transactions.

We generally benefit from consumers' increasing use of bankcards in place of cash and checks, and sales growth (if any) experienced by our retained SME merchants. Most of our SME revenue is from gross processing fees, which are primarily a combination of a percentage of the dollar amount of each card transaction we process plus a flat fee per transaction. We make mandatory payments of interchange fees to card issuing banks through card networks and dues, assessments and transaction authorization fees to Visa, MasterCard and Discover, and we retain the remainder as net revenue. For example, in a transaction using a Visa or MasterCard credit card, the allocation of funds resulting from a \$100 transaction is depicted below.

1

#### Table of Contents

Our bankcard processing revenue from our Network Services Merchants is also recurring in nature. We typically enter into five-year contracts with our large national merchants and three year contracts with our other merchants. However, in contrast to SME merchants, our processing revenues from Network Services Merchants generally consist of a flat fee per transaction and thus revenues are driven primarily by the number of transactions we process (whether settled, or only authorized), not bankcard processing volume.

We sell and market our SME bankcard payment processing services through a nationwide direct sales force (see "— Sales" for a discussion of our direct sales force). We focus our sales efforts on low-risk bankcard merchants and have developed systems and procedures designed to minimize our exposure to potential merchant losses. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, we experienced merchant losses in amounts equal to 0.28 basis points (0.0028%), 0.76 basis points (0.0076%) and 1.44 basis points (0.0144%) of SME bankcard processing volume, respectively. The higher loss rate in 2010 tracked with the stressed economic conditions in that year, which contributed to increased incidences of merchant fraud. We attribute the improved merchant loss rate in 2012 and 2011 to the combination of a stronger economy and our improved credit monitoring. We have developed significant expertise in industries that we believe present relatively low risks as the customers are generally present and the products or services are generally delivered at the time the transaction is processed. These industries include restaurants, brick and mortar retailers, convenience and liquor stores, automotive sales and repair shops, gas stations, professional service providers, lodging establishments and others. As of December 31, 2012, approximately 25.7% of our SME bankcard merchants were restaurants, approximately 18.7% were brick and mortar retailers, approximately 11.7% were convenience and liquor stores, approximately 7.6% were automotive sales and repair shops, approximately 11.6% were professional service providers, approximately 3.3% were lodging establishments, and approximately 1.5% were gas stations.

We have developed a number of proprietary payment processing systems to increase our operating efficiencies and distribute our processing and merchant data to our three main constituencies: our merchant base, our sales force and our customer service staff. We provide authorization and data capture services to our SME merchants through our own front-end processing system, which we call HPS Exchange. We provide clearing, settlement and merchant accounting services through our own internally developed back-end processing system, which we call Passport. Our control over our front-end and back-end systems enables us to more effectively customize these services to the needs of our Relationship Managers and merchants, and to minimize our processing costs. At December 31, 2012, our internally developed systems are providing substantially all aspects of a merchant's processing needs for most of our SME merchants and providing all aspects of our Network Services Merchants' processing needs.

We launched our End-to-End Encryption solution (known as E3<sup>TM</sup>) in May 2010 to protect sensitive card data as it moves through the merchant's network and our platforms, resulting in a more secure payments network. Over 45,000 E3-enabled terminals have been deployed to small and mid-sized business owners across the country to protect their businesses and their customers

## Payroll Processing Services

We provide a full-service nationwide payroll processing service, including check printing, direct deposit, related federal, state and local tax deposits, accounting documentation and human resources information. We developed a comprehensive payroll management system, which we refer to as PlusOne Payroll, that streamlines all aspects of the payroll

#### Table of Contents

process to enable time and cost savings. PlusOne Payroll was made available to new and existing customers beginning in 2010, and during 2011 we converted all of our existing payroll customers to PlusOne Payroll.

On December 31, 2012, we acquired Ovation Payroll, Inc. ("Ovation") adding over 10,000 customers to our existing payroll business. At December 31, 2012, we processed payroll for 22,553 customers, including Ovation's, an increase of 90.5% from 11,841 payroll customers at December 31, 2011. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, we installed 3,399, 3,723 and 4,858 new payroll processing customers in our existing business, respectively, excluding Ovation's installation activity.

## Heartland School Solutions

We provide school nutrition, point-of-sale solutions, and associated payment solutions including online prepayment, to K to 12 schools throughout the United States. We offer back office management software, hardware, annual technical support, and training to our customer school districts. At December 31, 2012, our Heartland School Solutions business provided services to over 29,000 schools, compared to over 19,000 schools at December 31, 2011. Our Heartland School Solutions business has been built through a series of five acquisitions in 2010, 2011 and 2012. Most recently, on June 29, 2012 we acquired the K to 12 school solutions business of Lunch Byte Systems, Inc. (a.k.a "Nutrikids") accounting for the increase in the number of schools served in 2012.

## **Campus Solutions**

We provide open- and closed-loop payment solutions and higher education loan services to colleges and universities throughout the United States and Canada. Besides payment processing, our Campus Card solution enables personal identification, door access, cashless vending transactions, cashless laundry, meal plans and cashless printing at campus facilities. Our loan services include student loan payment processing, delinquency and default services, refund management, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing. At December 31, 2012, our Campus Solutions business served more than 2,000 colleges and universities, compared to approximately 200 at December 31, 2011. The increase reflects our acquisition of Educational Computer Systems, Inc. ("ECSI") on December 14, 2012, expanding our Campus Solutions business into higher education and post-graduate school/student services.

For other products and services which we offer, see "-Our Services and Products."

## Industry and Competitive Overviews

Payment Processing. The payment processing industry provides merchants with credit, debit, gift and loyalty card and other payment processing services, along with related information services. The industry continues to grow as a result of wider merchant acceptance, increased consumer use of bankcards and advances in payment processing and telecommunications technology. According to The Nilson Report, total expenditures for all card type transactions by U.S. consumers were \$3.6 trillion in 2011, and are expected to grow to \$5.4 trillion by 2016, representing a compound annual growth rate of 8.5%. The proliferation of bankcards has made the acceptance of bankcard payments a virtual necessity for many businesses, regardless of size, in order to remain competitive. This increased use of bankcards and prepaid cards, enhanced technology initiatives, efficiencies derived from economies of scale and the availability of more sophisticated products and services to all market segments has resulted in a highly competitive and specialized industry.

The payment processing industry is dominated by a small number of large, fully-integrated payment processors that sell directly to, and handle the processing needs of, the nation's largest merchants. These processors include First Data Corporation, Global Payments Inc., Vantiv, Inc., Chase Paymentech Solutions, Bank of America

Merchant Services, Wells Fargo and Elavon, Inc., that serve a broad market spectrum from large to small merchants; further, certain of these provide banking, ATM and other payment-related services and systems in addition to bankcard payment processing. Large national merchants (i.e., those with multiple locations and high volumes of bankcard transactions) typically demand and receive the full range of payment processing services at low per-transaction costs.

SME merchants' payment processing needs generally are served by a large number of smaller payment processors, including banks and Independent Sales Organizations, that generally procure most of the payment processing services they offer from large payment processors. It is difficult, however, for banks and Independent Sales Organizations to customize payment processing services for the SME merchant on a cost-effective basis or to provide sophisticated value-added services. Accordingly, services to the SME merchant market segment historically have been characterized by basic payment processing without the availability of the more customized and sophisticated processing, information-based services or customer service

that is offered to large merchants. The continued growth in bankcard transactions is expected to cause SME merchants to increasingly value sophisticated payment processing and information services similar to those provided to large merchants.

We compete with both the large and small organizations in providing payment processing and related services to a wide range of merchants. Our competitors sell their services either through a direct sales force, generally concentrating on larger accounts, or through Independent Sales Organizations, telemarketers or banks, generally concentrating on smaller accounts. The following table sets forth the typical range of services provided directly (in contrast to using outsourced providers) by fully integrated transaction processors, traditional Independent Sales Organizations and us.

We compete with other providers of payment processing services on the basis of the following factors: sales force effectiveness range of product offering; quality of service; reliability of service; professional association endorsements; ability to evaluate, undertake and manage risk; speed in approving merchant applications; and price.

Some of our large competitors have substantially greater capital resources than we have and operate as subsidiaries of financial institutions or bank holding companies, which may allow them on a consolidated basis to own and conduct depository and other banking activities that we do not have the regulatory authority to own or conduct. Since they are affiliated with financial institutions or banks, these competitors do not incur the costs associated with being sponsored by a bank for registration with card networks and they can settle transactions quickly for their own merchants. We believe that our direct sales focus, our competitive payments product set, and our understanding of the needs and risks associated with providing payment processing services to those merchants, gives us a competitive advantage over larger competitors, which do not have our focus, and over competitors of a similar or smaller size that may lack our experience and sales resources.

Payroll Processing. The payroll processing services industry is highly competitive, with services provided by outsourced providers like us, but also accounting firms and self service options. Overall the industry breaks into two major segments: large national full-service payroll providers, and numerous much smaller national, regional, local and on-line providers. While large national payroll service firms such as ADP, Paychex, Ceridian, and Intuit are highly recognized, their combined market share in the industry approximates only 15%. We compete with both segments.

Competition in the payroll processing industry is primarily based on service responsiveness, accuracy, quality, reputation, range of product offering and price. The payroll industry faces continually evolving tax, regulatory and technology environments, which for smaller competitors create increasingly complex tax compliance, technology, service, and platform development challenges that they may lack the technical and financial resources to overcome. We believe we are well-positioned to gain payroll customers from those challenged providers. Additionally, we believe our competitive position is enhanced through our ability to offer payment processing services to payroll customers and thus offer an integrated services suite which will provide our customers with efficient and convenient options.

## Our Competitive Strengths

We believe our competitive strengths related to Bankcard Payment Processing, particularly SME, include the following:

## Large, Experienced, Efficient, Direct Sales Force

We sell and market our SME bankcard payment processing services through a nationwide direct sales force of 739 Relationship Managers and Territory Managers who work exclusively for us. In addition, Ovation employed 29 sales persons, who have augmented our SME sales force. Many of our competitors rely on Independent Sales Organizations that often generate merchant accounts for multiple payment processing companies simultaneously. Our sales professionals have local merchant relationships and industry-specific knowledge that allow them to effectively compete for merchants; additionally these relationships are also supported by our customer service and support teams located in our service center.

Our same direct sales force sells payroll processing services, loyalty and gift card marketing solutions. We compensate our sales force primarily through commissions, which are based upon the performance of their merchant accounts. Our sales professionals have considerable latitude in pricing a new account, but we believe that the shared economics motivate them to sign attractively priced contracts with merchants generating significant processing volume. The residual commissions our sales force receives from their merchant accounts give them an incentive to maintain a continuing dialogue and servicing presence with their merchants. We believe that our compensation structure is atypical in our industry and contributes to building profitable, long-term relationships with our merchants. In 2012, our sales force installed approximately 40,000 new SME bankcard merchants, 3,399 payroll processing customers and 4,782 loyalty and gift card marketing solutions customers.

#### Recurring and Predictable Revenue

We generate recurring revenue in most of our businesses and products. In particular, revenue from our payment processing services is recurring in nature because we typically enter into multi-year service contracts with our SME and Network Services Merchants. This recurring revenue grows as the number of transactions or dollar volume processed for an SME merchant increases or as we add new SME merchants and as we add transactions or merchants in Network Services. In 2012, approximately 90% of our SME bankcard processing volume came from merchants we installed in 2011 and earlier.

#### Internal Growth

We grow our SME payment processing business exclusively through internal expansion by generating new SME merchant contracts submitted by our own direct sales force. All of our SME merchants were originally underwritten by our staff, and we have substantial experience responding to the SME merchants' processing needs and the risks associated with them. We believe our control of sales, underwriting and servicing both enhances our SME merchant retention and reduces our risks. We believe that internally generated SME merchant contracts generally are of a higher quality and are more predictable than contracts acquired from third parties, and the costs associated with such contracts generally are lower than the costs associated with contracts acquired from third parties.

While we continue to pursue internal growth, we have selectively taken advantage of acquisition opportunities and continue to expand into other products and markets that we previously did not have the technical or operational capabilities to support. See "—Our Strategy — Pursue Strategic Acquisitions" and "—Our Services and Products" later in this section for descriptions of these acquisitions.

## Strong Position and Substantial Experience in Our Target Markets

As of December 31, 2012, we were providing payment processing services to 169,994 active SME merchants located across the United States. We believe our understanding of the needs of SME merchants and the risks inherent in doing business with them, combined with our efficient direct sales force, provides us with a competitive advantage over larger service providers that access this market segment indirectly. We also believe that we have a competitive advantage over service providers of a similar or smaller size that may lack our extensive experience and resources, and so do not benefit from the economies of scale that we have achieved.

At December 31, 2012, we also provided bankcard payment processing services to approximately 396 Network Services Merchants with approximately 47,064 locations. These Network Services Merchants are predominately in the

5

petroleum industry. We believe that our understanding of the processing needs of petroleum merchants and the products we offer them provides us with a competitive advantage.

Also at December 31, 2012 we provided payroll processing services to 22,553 customers, Heartland School Solutions to over 29,000 schools, and Campus Solutions to approximately 2,000 colleges and universities, all of which provided us with competitive and growing market shares.

## Industry Expertise

Historically, we have focused our sales efforts on SME merchants who have certain key attributes and on industries in which we believe our direct sales model is most effective and the risks associated with bankcard processing are relatively low. These attributes include owners who are typically on location, interact with customers in person, value a local sales and servicing presence and often consult with trade associations and other civic groups to help make purchasing decisions.

To further promote our products and services, we have entered into referral arrangements with various trade associations, with an emphasis on state restaurant and hospitality groups. We believe that these partnerships have enabled us to gain exposure and credibility within the restaurant industry and have provided us with opportunities to market our products to new merchants. On January 19, 2010, we formed a strategic partnership with The National Restaurant Association to foster our delivery of a unified payments processing platform to the restaurant industry. This alliance has provided restaurateurs nationwide with effective tools, solutions and resources that help them reduce their expenses, improve operations and increase profitability all from one source with integrated technology product platforms.

In December 2012, the restaurant industry represented approximately 36.0% of our SME bankcard processing volume and 49.6% of our SME transactions, consistent with prior years. We believe that the restaurant industry will remain an area of focus, though its growth will likely approximate the growth in the overall portfolio. Restaurants represent an attractive segment for us: according to a report by the National Restaurant Association, restaurant industry sales are expected to reach approximately \$660.5 billion in 2013, which would represent a 3.8% increase over projected industry sales for 2012 and the twenty-first consecutive year of growth. The projected restaurant industry growth for 2013 is in spite of a challenging economy and this steady growth profile, combined with the industry's low seasonality, makes restaurant merchant bankcard processing volume stable and predictable. In addition, the incidence of chargebacks is very low among restaurants, as the service typically is provided before the card is used. Our industry focus not only differentiates us from other payment processors, but also allows us to forge relationships with key trade associations that attract merchants to our business. Our industry focus also allows us to better understand a merchant's needs and tailor our services accordingly.

Although we have historically focused significant sales and marketing efforts on the restaurant industry, our SME merchant base also includes a broad range of brick and mortar retailers, lodging establishments, automotive repair shops, convenience and liquor stores, professional service providers, and gas stations. See "—Our Bankcard Processing Merchant Base" for detail on December 2012 bankcard processing volume by merchant category.

Our historical focus on SME merchants has diversified our merchant portfolio and we believe has reduced the risks associated with revenue concentration. In 2012, no single SME merchant represented more than 0.98% of our total bankcard processing volume, consistent with prior years.

Our Network Services business has further diversified our total merchant portfolio adding a substantial base of large national merchants, predominately in the petroleum industry.

#### Merchant Focused Culture

We have built a corporate culture and established practices that we believe improve the quality of services and products we provide to our merchants. We developed and endorsed The Merchant Bill of Rights, an advocacy initiative that details ten principles we believe should characterize all merchants' processing relationships. The Merchant Bill of Rights allows our sales team to differentiate our approach to bankcard processing from alternative approaches, and we believe that a focus on these principles by our merchants will enhance our merchant relationships. We believe that our culture and practices allow us to maintain strong merchant relationships and differentiate ourselves from our competitors in obtaining new merchants.

Our merchant-focused culture spans from our sales force, which maintains a local market presence to provide rapid, personalized customer service, through our service center, which is segmented into regional teams to optimize responsiveness,

6

#### Table of Contents

and to our technology organization, which has developed a customer management interface and information system that alerts our Relationship Managers to any problems a merchant has reported and provides them with detailed information on the merchants in their portfolio. Additionally, we believe that we are one of the few companies that fully discloses our pricing to merchants. We think this approach contributes substantially to building long-term merchant relationships.

#### Scalable Operating Structure

Our scalable operating structure generally allows us to expand our operations without proportionally increasing our fixed and semi-fixed support costs. In addition, our card processing technology platform, including both HPS Exchange and Passport, was designed with the flexibility to support significant growth and drive economies of scale with relatively low incremental costs. Most of our operating costs are related to the number of individuals we employ. We have in the past used, and expect in the future to use, technology to leverage our personnel, which should cause our personnel costs to increase at a slower rate than our bankcard processing volume.

#### Advanced Technology

We employ information technology systems which use the Internet to improve management reporting, enrollment processes, customer service, sales management, productivity, merchant reporting and problem resolution. We believe that these systems help attract both new merchants and Relationship Managers and provide us with a competitive advantage over many of our competitors who rely on less flexible legacy systems.

We provide authorization and data capture services to our SME merchants through our internally-developed front-end processing system, HPS Exchange. This system incorporates real time reporting tools through interactive point-of-sale database maintenance via the Internet. These tools enable merchants, and our employees, to change the messages on credit card receipts and to view sale and return transactions entered into the point-of-sale device with a few second delay on any computer linked to the Internet. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, approximately 95%, 93% and 90%, respectively, of our SME transactions were processed through HPS Exchange.

We provide clearing, settlement and merchant accounting services through our own internally developed back-end processing system, Passport. Passport enables us to customize these services to the needs of our Relationship Managers and merchants. At December 31, 2012 , 2011 and 2010, approximately 99% of total SME bankcard merchants were processing on Passport. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, our internally developed systems have been providing substantially all aspects of a merchant's processing needs for most of our SME merchants and all of our Network Services Merchants' processing needs.

We launched our End-to-End Encryption solution (known as E3<sup>TM</sup>) in May 2010 to protect sensitive card data as it moves through the merchant's network and our platforms, resulting in a more secure payments network. Over 45,000 E3-enabled terminals have been deployed to small and mid-sized business owners across the country to protect their businesses and their customers.

We actively leverage the latest advances in technology to provide the best payments experience for merchants with reliability levels that we believe exceed industry norms. In 2011, we enabled our first cloud computing datacenter and consolidated several legacy data centers. This consolidation reduced processing costs while giving us even more flexibility, leverage and availability for our front-end processing, back-end processing, and other products.

Comprehensive Underwriting and Risk Management System

Through our experience in assessing risks associated with providing payment processing services to SME merchants, we have developed procedures and systems that provide risk management and fraud prevention solutions designed to minimize losses. Our underwriting processes help us to evaluate merchant applications and balance the risks of accepting a merchant against the benefit of the bankcard processing volume we anticipate the merchant will generate. We believe our systems and procedures enable us to identify potentially fraudulent activity and other questionable business practices quickly, thereby minimizing both our losses and those of our merchants. As evidence of our ability to manage these risks, and notwithstanding the challenging economic environment faced by our SME merchants in recent years, in 2012, 2011 and 2010 we experienced SME merchant losses in amounts equal to 0.28 basis points, 0.76 basis points and 1.44 basis points of SME bankcard processing volume, respectively. The higher level of merchant losses in 2010 tracked the stressed economic conditions in that year, which contributed to increased incidences of merchant fraud.

## Our Strategy

Our current growth strategy is to increase our market share as a provider of payment processing services to merchants in the United States. We believe that continuing increases in the use of bankcards and other technology as a payment method, combined with our approaches to sales, marketing and development of broad payment-product offerings, will continue to present us with significant growth opportunities. Additionally, we intend to continue growing our payroll processing business, Heartland School Solutions, Campus Solutions, and enhance our other products such as Heartland Marketing Solutions and Micropayments. Key elements of our strategy include:

## Expand Our Direct Sales Force

Unlike many of our competitors who rely on Independent Sales Organizations or salaried salespeople and telemarketers, we have built a direct, commission-only sales force. Our sales model divides the United States into 7 primary markets overseen by Executive Directors of Business Development. These Executive Directors are responsible for hiring Relationship Managers and increasing the number of installed merchants in their markets.

During the third quarter of 2010, we focused our sales organization toward improving individual sales persons' productivity, and so we reduced our Relationship Manager count, but at the same time, more fully engaged our Territory Managers in the sales process by requiring them to achieve individual minimum monthly gross margin install targets. Combining our Relationship Managers and Territory Managers gives us a count of 739 at December 31, 2012, compared to 790 at December 31, 2011 and 917 at December 31, 2010. In addition, Ovation employed 29 sales persons, who we expect to add to our SME sales force. We anticipate renewed growth in our sales force in the next few years in order to increase our share of our target markets, while maintaining the enhanced sales productivity levels of recent quarters.

## Further Penetrate Existing SME Bankcard Target Markets and Enter Into New Markets

We believe that we have an opportunity to grow our business by further penetrating the SME market through our direct sales force and alliances with local trade organizations, banks and value-added resellers. We believe that our sales model, combined with our community-based strategy that involves our Relationship Managers building relationships with various trade groups and other associations in their territory, will enable our Relationship Managers to continuously add new merchants. We intend to further expand our bankcard processing sales efforts into new target markets with relatively low risk characteristics, including markets that have not traditionally accepted electronic payment methods. These markets include governments, schools and the business-to-business market.

## Expand Our Services and Product Offerings

We have focused on offering a broad set of payment-related products to our customers. In addition to our bankcard processing services, our current product offerings include payroll processing, Heartland School Solutions, Campus Solutions, Micropayments, and Heartland Marketing Solutions. See "— Our Services and Products" for descriptions of these services. In 2010, 2011 and 2012 in a series of five acquisitions, we added Heartland School Solutions to our product set, expanding our customer base by offering school nutrition, point-of-sale solutions, and associated payment solutions including online prepayment solutions, to a wide base of schools, students and their parents (see "— Our Services and Products — Heartland School Solutions" for more information).

In 2012, we added to our Campus Solutions services by acquiring ECSI, which provides a suite of solutions to support administrative services for higher education including student loan payment processing, delinquency and default services, refund management, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing(see "— Our Services and Products — Campus Solutions" for more information).

We also distribute products that will help our merchants reduce their costs and grow their businesses, such as SmartLink. SmartLink is our state of the art technology for consolidating multiple in-store devices onto a single lower-cost, high-speed broadband circuit. SmartLink transmits both transactional data as well as integral back-office information. It streamlines networked services, reduces costs and enhances operational efficiency for our merchants.

We may develop new products and services internally, enter into arrangements with third-party providers of these products or selectively acquire new technology and products. Many of these new service offerings are designed to work on the same point-of-sale devices that are currently in use, enabling merchants to purchase a greater volume of their services from us and eliminating their need to purchase additional hardware. We believe that these new products and services will enable us to

leverage our existing infrastructure and create opportunities to cross-sell our products and services among our various merchant bases, as well as enhance merchant retention and increase processing revenue.

## Leverage Our Technology

We intend to continue leveraging our technology platforms to increase operating efficiencies and provide real-time processing and data to our merchants, sales force and customer service staff. Since our inception, we have been developing Internet-based systems to improve and streamline our information systems, including customer-use reporting, management reporting, enrollment, customer service, sales management and risk management reporting tools. We continually develop enriched back office solutions which allow merchants to integrate their payment processing data into any of the major small business accounting software packages, and remain on the leading edge of the merchant marketplace. We continue to make material investments in our payment processing capabilities, which allow us to offer a differentiated payment processing product that is faster, less expensive, and more comprehensive than competing products.

In 2012, we added to our existing payroll processing business with our acquisition of Ovation, which serves over 10,000 clients in 48 states providing payroll processing, payroll tax preparation, Internet payroll reporting, and direct deposit. With this acquisition, we added scale to our PlusOne Payroll platform, leveraging operating costs (see "— Our Services and Products — Payroll Processing Services" for more information).

## Enhance Merchant Retention

By providing our merchants with a consistently high level of service and support, we strive to enhance merchant retention. We recognize that our ability to maintain strong merchant relationships is important to not only maintain our recurring revenues, but to our growth. We believe that our practice of fully disclosing our pricing policies to our merchants creates goodwill. We developed and endorsed The Merchant Bill of Rights, an advocacy initiative that details ten principles we believe should characterize all merchants' processing relationships.

We have passed on to our merchants the benefits of reductions in debit interchange rates mandated by the "Durbin Amendment," which was part of the July 2010 Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and went into effect on October 1, 2011. The Durbin Amendment places limits on debit card interchange rates that card issuing banks with assets in excess of \$10 billion may charge. We believe that the Merchant Bill of Rights and our approach to passing along the benefits of the Durbin Amendment to our merchants allows our sales force to differentiate our approach to bankcard processing from alternative approaches, and we believe that a focus on these principles by our merchants will enhance our merchant relationships.

We have developed a customer management interface that alerts our Relationship Managers to any problems an SME merchant has reported and provides them with detailed information on the merchants in their portfolio. In addition, we believe that our flexible back-end processing platform, Passport, allows us to tailor our services to the needs of our sales force and merchants, which we believe will further enhance merchant retention. Passport's flexibility allows us to enhance the information available to our merchants, and to offer new services to them.

#### Pursue Strategic Acquisitions

Although we intend to continue to pursue organic growth through the efforts of our direct sales force, we may also expand our merchant base or gain access to other target markets by acquiring complementary businesses, products or technologies, including other providers of payment processing.

We have made a number of acquisitions which have expanded our product offerings and added complementary businesses. In 2007, we initiated our Campus Solutions business with the acquisition of General Meters Corp and in 2012 we added to our Campus Solutions by acquiring ECSI, which provides a suite of solutions to support administrative services for higher education including student loan payment processing, delinquency and default services, refund management, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing. In 2010, 2011 and 2012 in a series of five acquisitions, we added Heartland School Solutions to our product set, expanding our customer base by offering school nutrition and point-of-sale solutions, including Internet payment capability, to a wide base of schools, students and their parents. In 2008, we acquired Network Services which handles a wide range of payment transactions for merchants of all sizes for its predominantly petroleum customer base. Our acquisition of Debitek in 2006 established our Micropayments product and our acquisition of Chockstone in 2008 provided for expansion into the loyalty marketing and gift card solutions market, which is now our Heartland Marketing Solutions business.

#### Our Services and Products

#### SME Merchant Bankcard Payment Processing

We derive the majority of our SME processing revenues from fee income relating to Visa and MasterCard payment processing, which is primarily comprised of a percentage of the dollar amount of each transaction we process, as well as a flat fee per transaction. The percentage we charge is typically a fixed margin over interchange, which is the fee paid to the issuing bank that is set by Visa and MasterCard depending on the type of card used and the way the transaction is handled by the merchant. On average, the gross revenue we generate from processing a Visa or MasterCard transaction equals approximately \$2.50 for every \$100 we process. We also receive fees from American Express, Discover, and JCB for facilitating their transactions with our SME merchants. Our American Express agreement includes a compensation model which provides us percentage-based residuals on the American Express volume we process, plus fees for every transaction we process. Under our agreement with Discover, our revenue model is similar to our Visa and MasterCard models.

We receive revenues as compensation for providing bankcard payment processing services to merchants, including merchant set-up and training, transaction authorization and electronic draft capture, clearing and settlement, merchant accounting, merchant support and chargeback resolution. In 2005, we began providing clearing, settlement and accounting services through Passport, our own internally developed back-end processing system. Passport enables us to customize these services to the needs of our Relationship Managers and merchants. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, approximately 99% of our SME bankcard merchants were processing on Passport. In addition, we sell and rent point-of-sale devices and supplies and provide additional services to our merchants, such as gift and loyalty programs, paper check authorization and chargeback processing. These payment-related services and products are described in more detail below:

Merchant Set-up and Training— After we establish a contract with a merchant, we create the software configuration that is downloaded to the merchant's existing, newly purchased or rented point-of-sale terminal, cash register or computer. This configuration includes the merchant identification number, which allows the merchant to accept Visa and MasterCard as well as any other cards, such as American Express, Discover and JCB, provided for in the contract. The configuration might also accommodate check verification, gift and loyalty programs and allow the terminal or computer to communicate with a pin-pad or other device. After the download has been completed by the Relationship Manager, service center or Account Manager, we conduct a training session on use of the system. We also offer our merchants flexible low-cost financing options for point-of-sale terminals, including installment sale and monthly rental programs.

Authorization and Draft Capture— We provide electronic payment authorization and draft capture services for all major bankcards. Authorization generally involves approving a cardholder's purchase at the point of sale after verifying that the bankcard is not lost or stolen and that the purchase amount is within the cardholder's credit or account limit. The electronic authorization process for a bankcard transaction begins when the merchant "swipes" the card through its point-of-sale terminal and enters the dollar amount of the purchase. After capturing the data, the point-of-sale terminal transmits the authorization request through HPS Exchange or the third-party processor to the card-issuing bank for authorization. The transaction is approved or declined by the card-issuing bank and the response is transmitted back through HPS Exchange or the third-party processor to the merchant. At the end of each day, and, in certain cases, more frequently, the merchant will "batch out" a group of authorized transactions, transmitting them through us to the bankcard networks for payment.

We operate HPS Exchange, our internally developed front-end processing system. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, approximately 95%, 93% and 90%, respectively, of our SME transactions were

processed through HPS Exchange. The remainder of our front-end processing is outsourced to third-party processors, primarily TSYS Acquiring Solutions. Although we will continue to install new SME merchants, whose terminals or point-of-sale platforms are not certified for HPS Exchange, on TSYS' systems, we anticipate that the percentage of SME transactions that are outsourced will continue to decline as we install a high percentage of new merchants on HPS Exchange. Additionally, in the fourth quarter of 2010 we sold many of our remaining merchant accounts that had not been converted onto HPS Exchange and were still processing on third party front-end platforms other than TSYS. The purpose of the sale was to eliminate servicing inefficiencies associated with these merchant accounts.

Clearing and Settlement— Clearing and settlement processes, along with merchant accounting, represent the "back-end" of a transaction. After a transaction has been "batched out" for payment, the payment processor transfers the merchant data to Visa or MasterCard who then collect funds from the card issuing banks. This is typically referred to as "clearing." After a transaction has been cleared, the transaction is "settled" by Visa or MasterCard by payment of funds to the payment processor's sponsor bank the next day. The payment processor creates an electronic payment file in ACH format for that day's cleared

#### Table of Contents

activity and sends the ACH file to its sponsor bank. The ACH payments system generates credits to the merchants' bank accounts for the value of the file. The merchant thereby receives payment for the value of the purchased goods or services, generally two business days after the sale. Under the terms of the agreements with American Express and Discover, the process is substantially similar to the Visa and MasterCard process, and the merchant receives one deposit for all cards accepted, in contrast to the previously existing arrangement, where a merchant accepting Visa and MasterCard, American Express and Discover would have received three deposits.

Passport, our internally developed back-end system, enables us to customize these services to the needs of our merchants and Relationship Managers. For example, in 2012 we implemented Optimized Funding for SME merchants. Optimized Funding gives us the ability to pay our merchants for their transactions faster than previously and complements our Next Day Funding relationships with certain banks.

Merchant Accounting— Utilizing Passport, we organize our SME merchants' transaction data into various files for merchant accounting and billing purposes. We send our SME merchants detailed monthly statements itemizing daily deposits and fees, and summarizing activity by bankcard type. These detailed statements allow our SME merchants to monitor sales performance, control expenses, disseminate information and track profitability. We also provide information related to exception item processing and various other items of information, such as volume, discounts, chargebacks, interchange qualification levels and funds held for reserves to help them track their account activity. SME merchants may access this archived information through our customer service representatives or online through our Internet-based customer service reporting system.

Merchant Support Services— We provide merchants with ongoing service and support for their processing needs. Customer service and support includes answering billing questions, responding to requests for supplies, resolving failed payment transactions, troubleshooting and repair of equipment, educating merchants on Visa and MasterCard compliance and assisting merchants with pricing changes and purchases of additional products and services. We maintain a toll-free help-line 24 hours a day, seven days a week, which is staffed by our customer service representatives and, during 2012, answered an average of approximately 145,000 customer calls per month. The information access and retrieval capabilities of our intranet-based systems provide our customer service representatives prompt access to merchant account information and call history. This data allows them to quickly respond to inquiries relating to fees, charges and funding of accounts, as well as technical issues.

Chargeback Services— In the event of a billing dispute between a cardholder and a merchant, we assist the merchant in investigating and resolving the dispute as quickly and accurately as possible with card issuers and the bankcard networks, ensuring that the merchant is adequately represented under the bankcard network rules. We immediately notify the merchant and pass through a debit to the merchant's account for the chargeback. For Visa and MasterCard, the merchant is reimbursed as soon as they provide us with a valid response, as the act of representing the items allows us to reclaim the funds. Under American Express and Discover rules, the funds are reimbursed to us, and to the merchant, as soon as the case is resolved in the merchant's favor. After a merchant incurs three chargebacks per anniversary year, we typically charge our merchants a \$25 fee for each subsequent chargeback they incur.

#### Network Services Merchant Bankcard Payment Processing

Network Services is a provider of payment processing solutions, serving large national merchants in a variety of industries such as petroleum/convenience stores, parking and retail. Our products/services include payment processing, prepaid services, POS terminals, helpdesk services, managed network services and merchant bankcard services. In addition to Visa and MasterCard transactions, Network Services handles a wide range of payment transactions for its predominantly petroleum customer base. More recently, we added petroleum functionality for merchants of all sizes to our payment processing product. This new product enables us to reach various sized petroleum/convenience store merchants. We also developed the SmartLink Managed Network Services product which

is available to merchants in many markets and can be sold to other processors' merchants as well. SmartLink is our managed network services product platform for consolidating multiple in-store devices onto a single lower-cost, high-speed broadband circuit. SmartLink transmits both transactional data as well as integral back-office information, streamlines networked services, reduces costs and enhances operational efficiency for our merchants.

Our bankcard processing revenue from Network Services Merchants is recurring in nature. In contrast to SME merchants, our processing revenues from Network Services Merchants generally consist of a flat fee per transaction and thus are primarily driven by the number of transactions we process (whether settled, or only authorized), not processing volume.

Authorization and Draft Capture— Network Services provides electronic payment authorization and draft capture for all major bankcards, client private label cards and fleet cards. Authorization generally involves approving a cardholder's purchase at the point of sale after verifying that the purchase amount is within the cardholder's credit or account limit and that the card is not lost or stolen. The electronic authorization process for a card transaction begins when the merchant "swipes" the card through its point-of-sale terminal and enters the dollar amount of the purchase. Network Services offers two front-end processing hosts, VAPS and NWS. After capturing the data, the point-of-sale terminal transmits the authorization request through the VAPS or NWS host to the card-issuing entity for authorization. The transaction is approved or declined by the card-issuing entity and the response is transmitted back through the VAPS or NWS host or the third-party processor to the merchant. At the end of each day, and, in certain cases, more frequently, the merchant will "batch out" a group of authorized transactions, transmitting them through us to the bankcard networks for payment. VAPS and NWS provide distinct functionality and processing options for our large corporate customers. These hosts provide efficient transaction payment processing and real-time authorizations using fully redundant routing paths. Our Network Services Merchants can rely on quick response times and high availability.

Clearing and Settlement— Clearing and settlement processes represent the "back-end" of a transaction. After a transaction has been "batched out" for payment, we transfer the completed transaction detail file to our Passport back-end processing system for clearing and settlement. During the "clearing" process, the transaction detail is split out and sent to Visa or MasterCard who then collect funds from the card issuing banks. After a transaction has been cleared, the transaction is "settled" by Visa or MasterCard by payment of funds to our sponsor bank the next day. We then create either electronic payment files for wire or ACH for that day's cleared activity and send the files to our sponsor bank. The payments system generates a wire or credit to the merchant's bank accounts for the value of the file.

We provide deposit information to our Network Services settlement merchants each day via our Internet-based settlement reporting system. Deposits are broken out by card type and show gross sales, less chargebacks, interchange, and miscellaneous adjustments.

Merchant Boarding— The Merchant Support area supports new site setup requests, changes to existing locations, and any deletions. In addition, we provide Network Services Merchants with a web-based system, Prometheus, that allows merchants to manage their sites' data in the mainframe database after their initial setup has been completed. The benefits of Prometheus include reducing complexity, decreasing delay in boarding, allowing merchants to control their data entry, and minimizing the learning curve and data entry. The only requirements are Windows and a user ID. Boarding merchants using Prometheus access allows direct connect into Prometheus through a network connection.

Merchant Reporting—Merchants interested in flexible reporting alternatives have been provided with InfoCentral, an information database. InfoCentral is an architecture that consists of various technologies, which include relational and multi-dimensional databases and user reporting tools. Other than a suitable web browser, no additional software is required to access InfoCentral. Users can access InfoCentral from any location anywhere and at any time from any PC that has access to the Internet. Heartland InfoCentral Reporting allows the users to view their back-end settlement reporting to include funding, interchange qualifications, fees and disputes. These reports are viewable at the client and location level. Some merchants choose to receive a Daily Activity File (DAF), and Daily Dispute File (DDF) to import into their accounting systems.

Help Desk Services— The large national merchant Help Desk manages merchant trouble ticket initiation, escalation and resolution. The Help Desk also provides vendor and technician support, password resets, supply order servicing, and assists with special projects.

Our Help Desk's operating philosophy focuses on providing the highest level of quality support to our customers. To provide this high level of support, we train our Help Desk agents to focus on resolving the caller's issue or concern

during the first call. As a result, our agents are not limited by restrictive and unproductive talk time limits so that they can focus on delivering a working solution to the caller. Our merchant Help Desk is open 24 hours a day, seven days a week with full Help Desk support.

The Help Desk provides three possible levels of support on all point-of-sale ("POS") solutions that are certified to process on our network:

1<sup>st</sup> level support includes full support of all of the POS functions, downloading of the POS, and replacement of the POS (when applicable), as well as all of the support functions provided at 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> level.

 $2^{nd}$  level support includes full support of the communication between the POS and our network and minor support of the POS functions, mostly limited to those functions related to communication or network identification, as well as any support provided at  $3^{rd}$  level.

3<sup>rd</sup> level support includes research and analysis of data being sent by the POS to our network.

Chargeback Services— In the event of a billing dispute between a cardholder and a merchant, we assist the merchant in investigating and resolving the dispute as quickly and accurately as possible with card issuers and the bankcard networks, ensuring that the merchant is adequately represented under the bankcard network rules. We provide detailed online reporting to help our Network Services Merchants manage chargebacks received from Visa, MasterCard and Discover.

## Payroll Processing Services

Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Heartland Payroll Company, we operate a full-service nationwide payroll processing service. Revenues for our Payroll Processing Services were \$21.4 million, \$19.5 million and \$17.3 million, respectively, in 2012, 2011 and 2010. Our payroll services include check printing, direct deposit, related federal, state and local tax deposits, accounting documentation and human resources information. In addition, we offer a "PayAdvantage" card, which provides employees the opportunity to have all, or a portion, of their payroll deposited to a Visa debit card account. In order to improve operating efficiencies and ease-of-use for our customers and to decrease our own processing costs, we offer electronic and paperless payroll processing that allows an employer to submit its periodic payroll information to us via the Internet. If a customer chooses the online option, all reports and interactions between the employer and us can be managed electronically, eliminating the need for cumbersome paperwork. Approximately 65% of our payroll customers currently submit their information via phone or facsimile. Regardless of input method, clients can choose to have Heartland Payroll Company print and ship their payroll package or to receive this information electronically.

On December 31, 2012, we acquired Ovation, adding over 10,000 customers to our existing payroll business. As of December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we provided payroll processing services to 22,553, including Ovation, 11,841 and 11,131 customers, respectively. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, we installed 3,399, 3,723 and 4,858 new payroll processing customers, respectively, excluding Ovation's installation activity.

We operate a comprehensive payroll management platform, which we refer to as PlusOne Payroll, that streamlines all aspects of the payroll process to enable time and cost savings. PlusOne Payroll was made available to new and existing customers beginning in 2010. By December 31, 2011, all of our payroll processing customers were processing on PlusOne Payroll. We consider our PlusOne Payroll platform to be state-of-the art, enabling us to process payroll on a large scale and provide customizable solutions for businesses of all sizes. PlusOne Payroll enables faster processing and continuous updates to help businesses remain compliant with payroll, tax and human resources regulations. The platform features web-hosted access, enabling businesses and their accountants to securely access all payroll data from virtually anywhere with SSL-encryption protection. It also provides robust, easy-to-use reporting for better business analysis. PlusOne Payroll is equipped to interface with the leading providers of accounting and time and attendance applications, as well as restaurant and retail point-of-sale systems. During 2011 and 2012, we have continued to build upon this platform and have completed the Employee Self Serve web portal that allows employees to review copies of their paychecks, vouchers, payroll detail and maintain their individual demographic information. The acquisition of Ovation will add scale to our PlusOne Payroll platform, leveraging operating costs once conversion is complete in 2014, and also adds experienced management, a new sales approach including an affinity partner network, and enhanced product and servicing capabilities.

## Heartland School Solutions

Heartland School Solutions provides cafeteria point of sale solutions to more than 29,000 schools, making us the largest provider of K-12 food-service technology in the nation. In conjunction with this core POS business, approximately 88% of our customer schools use Heartland's online prepayment solutions to allow parents to fund

accounts for school lunches or other on-campus activities. Parents can opt to establish recurring payments with customized low balance thresholds, make one-time payments, or simply see what their students ate for lunch that day. With a consistently high annual renewal rate for POS customers, those platforms serve as a reliable base on which to offer additional value-added products and services. We offer back office management software, hardware, annual technical support, and training to our customer school districts.

Heartland School Solutions has been built through a series of five acquisitions in 2010, 2011 and 2012. On June 29, 2012, Heartland completed its latest K-12 acquisition of Rochester, NY based Nutrikids, Inc. Following that acquisition in 2012, we focused on merging the management and operational structures of the five acquired companies that make up the School Solutions group. The more than 200 School Solutions professionals now operate under a single management structure and go-to-market strategy. While continuing to maintain legacy POS platforms for the foreseeable future, we combined all online prepayment activity into two platforms: MySchoolBucks and MyLunchMoney. Together these two systems service more than 3.5 million users. During 2012, we made significant investment in our consumer-focused marketing strategy in order to

encourage more widespread adoption of our online payments products, which resulted in non-acquisition related growth of total volume by 18% between 2011 and 2012. Revenues for Heartland School Solutions were \$36.6 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, in 2012 and 2011.

## **Campus Solutions**

In December 2012, we added to our Campus Solutions product by acquiring ECSI, which provides a suite of solutions to support administrative services for higher education including student loan payment processing, delinquency and default services, refund management, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing. ECSI's core services support the management, payment and collection of student loans including Perkins and institutional financing. ECSI has the experience of printing and mailing over 500 million billing statements, managing 400+ million tuition and loan payments, processing over five million tax documents, and managing accounts for over seven million students and borrowers. ECSI currently serves over 1,800 colleges and universities across multiple higher education sectors including Non-Profit, For-Profit, Private, and Community Colleges.

Our existing Campus Solutions product also continues to provide open- or closed-loop payment solutions for college or university campuses to efficiently process small value electronic transactions. Besides payment processing, our One-Card product enables personal identification, door access, cashless vending transactions, cashless laundry, meal plans and cashless printing at campus facilities. Our innovative Give Something Back Network adds Internet and phone accessible closed-loop debit card based financial services to the students, faculty, staff and local community merchants of an educational institution. In addition, our Acceluraid program, which we introduced in 2010, addresses the major operational needs of campuses by providing an open-loop debit card based platform for making financial aid refunds. At December 31, 2012, we had twenty-seven colleges enrolled, representing 150,000 students and over \$300 million in annual reimbursement under the Acceluraid program. We currently have 194 OneCard and Acceluraid college and university accounts. Revenues for Campus Solutions were \$8.1 million, \$6.5 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, in 2012, 2011 and 2010.

## Micropayments

We began providing payment solutions within the small value transaction market in 2006. Revenues for Micropayments were \$8.2 million, \$7.2 million and \$7.2 million, respectively, in 2012, 2011 and 2010. We manufacture and sell solutions comprising unattended online wireless credit card based payment systems, and unattended value top up systems for off-line closed loop smart (chip) card based payment systems. Our electronic cash systems provide small value transaction processing for laundromat machines, vending machines, and cash registers, in apartment laundries, cruise ships, corporate and university campuses, and penitentiaries. These systems offer consumers convenient ways to use their debit and credit cards in laundromats with our new Waverider system, purchase and reload electronic cash cards, and spend the value on the card for small value purchases in both attended and unattended point of sale locations. In addition, we provide merchants financial settlement between the value (electronic cash card) issuer and the vendor/merchant who accepts the card as payment. We believe that there is increasing consumer demand for, and merchant interest in, card-based solutions for small denomination transactions, and expect to make additional investments in the future in developing solutions in this area.

## Heartland Marketing Solutions

We continue to leverage our November 2008 acquisition of Chockstone, Inc. to provide a suite of marketing solutions including gift and rewards. Revenues for Heartland Marketing Solutions were \$13.4 million, \$12.0 million and \$9.2 million, respectively, in 2012, 2011 and 2010. Through our Marketing Solutions group, we deliver a full suite of mobile and card-based marketing services to merchant locations through real-time communications with the merchant

point-of-sale, enabling us to leverage existing installations across our merchant base and enhancing our overall value proposition to the merchant community. In addition to servicing the SME merchant market, the Marketing Solutions Group also provides gift and rewards services to regional and national brands.

In September 2009, we initiated a gift card marketing program called Enhanced Rewards, which strengthens an SME merchant's marketing initiatives by combining traditional, loyalty and promotional gift and loyalty card features into one integrated card program. Merchants are using Enhanced Rewards to increase customer loyalty and acquire new customers through the use of real-time offers and rewards delivered at the merchant point-of-sale. In addition to Enhanced Rewards, Heartland Marketing Solutions launched a simple gift card program in October 2012 to meet the demand for a gift-only solution, signing 479 Merchants in the fourth quarter of 2012. As of December 31, 2012, Heartland Marketing Solutions has signed 10,239 merchant locations and loaded \$68 million on approximately 2.0 million consumer gift cards, compared to 8,300 merchant locations and \$49 million loaded on approximately 1.5 million cards as of December 31, 2011.

Collective Point of Sale Solutions Ltd.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company, along with the 30% non-controlling shareholders of Collective Point of Sale, Ltd. ("CPOS"), entered into an agreement to sell CPOS to a third party. CPOS, which operates as a provider of payment processing services in Canada, was not a significant subsidiary and the Company will have no continuing involvement in its operations. After receiving regulatory approval, the buyer settled this sale on January 31, 2013. The total sales price was \$30.3 million in cash including net working capital, of which the Company received \$20.9 million for its 70% ownership position. During the first quarter of 2013, the Company expects to record a material gain on the sale.

#### Sales

We sell and market our products and services to our SME merchants exclusively through our sales force. As of December 31, 2012, we employed 739 Relationship Managers and Territory Managers in 50 states plus the District of Columbia. In addition, Ovation employed 29 sales persons, who have augmented our SME sales force. We employ a geographic sales model that divides the United States into 7 markets overseen by Executive Directors of Business Development. Executive Directors of Business Development are responsible for sales and service in their region and are ultimately responsible for increasing the number of installed merchants in their territory. Executive Directors of Business Development manage their territories through Division Managers and Territory Managers. Division Managers do not sell our products and services. Instead, their sole responsibility is to hire, train and manage Territory and Relationship Managers in their assigned geography. In contrast, Territory Managers are Relationship Managers who are also responsible for hiring and training a small number of Relationship Managers in their territory. Our Relationship Managers employ a community-based strategy that involves cold calling, obtaining referrals from existing merchants and building relationships with various trade groups, banks and value-added resellers to create sales opportunities.

We designed our sales force compensation structure to motivate our Relationship Managers to establish profitable long-term relationships with low-risk merchants and create a predictable and recurring revenue stream. Compensation for Relationship Managers is entirely commission-based, with commissions paid as a percentage of the financial value of new merchant accounts installed, which is measured in terms of the annual gross margin we estimate we will receive from the merchant accounts installed. Gross Margin is calculated by deducting interchange fees, dues, assessments and fees and all of our costs incurred in underwriting, processing and servicing an account from expected gross processing revenues. Relationship Managers are permitted to price accounts as they deem appropriate, subject to minimum and maximum gross margin guidelines.

We pay our Relationship Managers, Territory Managers, and Division Managers a percentage of the gross margin we derive from the payments we process for the SME merchant accounts they generate and service. The Executive Directors of Business Development for each market are compensated with a salary and bonus and no longer receive overrides on signing bonuses and residual commissions. Typically, when a new merchant account is signed at an acceptable estimated gross margin level, the Relationship Manager will be paid a signing bonus equal to 50% of the first 12 months' estimated gross margin. The Relationship Manager will also receive 15% of the gross margin generated from the merchant each month as residual commissions for as long as the merchant remains our customer, and in situations where there is no Account Manager assigned to the merchant account, 5% of gross margin is paid for the Relationship Manager's continued servicing of the account. In addition, the Division Manager will receive an amount equal to 25% of the amount paid to the Relationship Manager (split with a Territory Manager, if one exists for the account). For example, if a merchant account has \$1,000 of estimated annual gross margin for the first twelve months and estimated monthly gross margin of \$83.33, our sales force would be compensated as follows: Signing Bonus:

Estimated gross margin for first 12 months	\$1,000		
Signing bonus paid to:			
Relationship Manager	\$500	50.0	%
Division Manager (plus Territory Manager)	\$125	12.5	%
Residual Commission:			
Estimated monthly gross margin	\$83.33		
Monthly residual commission paid to:			
Relationship Manager	\$12.50	15.0	%
Division Manager (plus Territory Manager)	\$3.12	3.75	%

In certain cases, no signing bonus will be paid to a Relationship Manager, but the residual commission is 30% (excluding the 5% servicing fee) of the ongoing monthly gross margin generated by such merchant.

When a Relationship Manager has established merchant relationships that generate the equivalent of \$10,000 of monthly gross margin, he or she will be deemed to have a vested equity interest (known as portfolio equity), and will be guaranteed the "owned" portion (all but the 5% servicing portion) of the ongoing monthly gross margin generated by such merchants for as long as the merchant processes with us. See "Management's Discussion And Analysis of Financial Condition And Results of Operations —Critical Accounting Estimates— Accrued Buyout Liability" for more information regarding portfolio equity. At the end of the first 12 months of processing for a new merchant, we compare the actual gross margin generated from that merchant with the estimated gross margin used to calculate the signing bonus. If the merchant was more profitable than expected, we increase the signing bonus amount paid to the Relationship Manager. However, if the merchant was less profitable than anticipated, the Relationship Manager must return a pro-rata portion of his or her signing bonus to us. See "Management's Discussion And Analysis of Financial Condition And Results of Operations —Critical Accounting Estimates —Capitalized Customer Acquisition Costs" for more information regarding signing bonuses.

We maintain a team of Account Managers who are assigned a specific geographic territory for which they are responsible for servicing and completing installation activities. The majority of Account Managers' compensation represents a shift of the 5% servicing portion associated with the merchants he or she is servicing. The 5% is distributed in compensation to the Account Manager in the form of a weekly salary, a monthly expense allotment and monthly bonus. Compensation for these activities is deducted from Relationship Manager and Territory Manager compensation. At December 31, 2012, we had 78 Account Managers.

Over the past year, Heartland's Executive Director of Major Accounts, who has strong connections with mid-market and large scale merchants, and his staff have managed the company's overall national accounts strategy of generating brand awareness of Heartland and marketing its products/services to Retail, Grocery, Restaurant, and Hotel and Lodging companies with annual revenues between \$100 million and \$25 billion. Because the business requirements of the larger merchant can be quite complex, the sales cycles typically extend for longer periods of time than those of smaller merchants.

## Marketing

Our marketing efforts have historically focused on industry verticals and marketing partnerships. We focus our marketing efforts on industries in which we believe our direct sales model is most effective and on merchants with certain key attributes. These attributes include owners who are typically on location, interact with customers, value a local sales presence, and consult with trade associations and other civic groups to make purchasing decisions. We also determine which additional markets to enter into based on the following criteria:

average potential customer revenue; number of locations to be serviced; underwriting risk; and required technological upgrades.

We have focused significantly on the hospitality industry and, in particular, independent restaurants. The number of independent restaurants to which we provide our products and services was 43,743 as of December 31, 2012 and 45,219 as of December 31, 2011. In December 2012, the restaurant industry represented approximately 36.0% of our SME bankcard processing volume and 49.6% of our SME transactions. In December 2011 and December 2010, the restaurant industry represented approximately 34.8% and 34.1% of our bankcard processing volume and 49.7% and 49.8% of our SME transactions, respectively. In addition to restaurants, our merchant base

includes brick and mortar retailers, lodging establishments, automotive repair shops, convenience and liquor stores, and professional service providers.

We have also historically had success in marketing our products and services through relationships with key trade associations, agent banks and value-added resellers.

Trade Associations

As of December 31, 2012, we had preferred partner agreements with more than 250 trade associations, approximately 40 percent of which are in the hospitality industry. Of these partnerships, 46 are state restaurant associations and another 43 are state lodging associations. In addition, we are the American Hotel & Lodging Association and National Restaurant Association's endorsed provider for credit card processing, gift card marketing and payroll services. Our agreements with

16

trade associations typically include our commitment to be a member of the association, a sponsor of the association's events, a trade show exhibitor and an advertiser in the association's publications. In exchange for an association's recommendation of our products and services to their members, and upon the installation of a new merchant that is a member of the association, we pay to the trade association a portion of the signing bonus or residual payment that otherwise would be paid to the Relationship Manager responsible for that merchant.

### Affiliate Banks

We offer programs to banks across the United States that allow them access to leverage our extensive sales and support teams and other resources. The program provides our partner banks a source to refer their customers for merchant services, payroll processing and other electronic payments processing services. We offer on-site sales, training, installation, and on-going service and support to the referred merchants. In exchange for a bank's endorsement of Heartland's products, we typically pay the bank a monthly residual fee based on the referred merchant's processing volumes or margins. As of December 31, 2012, we provide these services to more than 1,200 banking locations in the United States.

### Value-Added Resellers and Third-Party Software Providers

In order to further market our products and services, we enter into arrangements with value-added resellers and third-party software developers. Value-added resellers typically sell complementary products and services such as hardware and software applications and point-of-sale hardware, software and communication network services to merchants in markets similar to ours. Our agreements with value-added resellers provide that, in exchange for their endorsement of our products and services and upon the installation of a new merchant referred by them, we will pay the value-added reseller a portion of the sales commission from the Relationship Manager responsible for that merchant and/or a transaction fee. As we continue to expand our product offerings, we intend to introduce capabilities that will allow our systems to be compatible with third-party software developers while working to reduce merchants' third-party up-front costs for processing with us. We are committed to passing along our cost efficiencies to our merchants and their point-of-sale providers to encourage joint technology partnerships. In addition we will continue to consult with industry groups such as The National Restaurant Association to advocate for fair treatment to merchants from point-of-sale software providers and their dealers. As of December 31, 2012, we had arrangements with more than 2,100 value-added resellers and referral service providers, including agreements with many third-party developers in the hospitality industry. From time to time, we have also entered into direct alliances with original equipment manufacturers and vendors.

### Relationships with Sponsor Banks and Processors

In order to provide payment processing services for Visa and MasterCard transactions, we must be sponsored by a financial institution that is a principal member of the Visa and MasterCard networks. The sponsor bank must register us with Visa as an Independent Sales Organization and with MasterCard as a Member Service Provider. We also contract with third-party processors to provide critical payment processing services.

### Sponsor Banks

Following is a breakout of our total Visa and MasterCard settled bankcard processing volume for the month of December 2012 by percentage processed under our individual bank sponsorship agreements:

Sponsor Bank	% of December 2012 Bankcard Processing		
Sponsor Dank	Volume		
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	66%		
The Bancorp Bank	15%		

Barclays Bank Delaware Heartland Bank 12% 7%

Because we are not a "member bank" as defined by Visa and MasterCard, we have entered into sponsorship agreements with member banks. Visa and MasterCard rules restrict us from performing funds settlement or accessing merchant settlement funds and require that these funds be in the possession of a member bank until the merchant is funded. A sponsorship agreement enables us to route Visa and MasterCard bankcard transactions under the member bank's control and identification numbers to clear credit bankcard transactions through Visa and MasterCard. A sponsorship agreement also enables us to settle funds between cardholders and merchants by delivering funding files to the member bank, which in turn transfers settlement funds to the merchants' bank accounts.

17

### Table of Contents

The sponsorship agreements with the member banks require, among other things, that the Company abide by the bylaws and regulations of the Visa and MasterCard networks, and certain of the sponsor banks require a certificate of deposit or a cash balance in a deposit account. If the Company breaches a sponsorship agreement, the sponsor banks may terminate the

agreement and, under the terms of the agreement, the Company would have 180 days to identify an alternative sponsor bank.

The Company is dependent on its sponsor banks, Visa and MasterCard for notification of any compliance breaches. As of December 31, 2012, we have not been notified of any such issues by our sponsor banks, Visa or MasterCard.

At December 31, 2012, we were party to four bank sponsorship agreements.

Our primary sponsor bank for SME merchant processing is Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"). Either Wells Fargo or we can terminate the agreement if the other party materially breaches the agreement, including non-payment of fees due for processing our monthly settlement of transactions. The agreement may also be terminated if the other party files for or enters bankruptcy, if either party is required to discontinue performing its services under the agreement based upon a final order of a state or federal court or regulatory body or if there is a change in the majority ownership of the other party. Wells Fargo may terminate the agreement with us if we breach the by-laws and regulations of Visa or MasterCard, if either our registration or Wells Fargo membership with Visa or MasterCard terminates, if any federal or state regulatory authority requests that the agreement be terminated or that Wells Fargo terminate its services or if applicable laws or regulations change to prevent Wells Fargo from performing its services under the agreement. Upon termination of the agreement for any reason, we will have 180 days to convert to another sponsor bank. The agreement, which we entered into with Wells Fargo on February 8, 2012, expires on February 8, 2016 and will automatically renew for successive three year periods unless either party provides six months' written notice of non-renewal to the other party. The sponsorship agreements we have with our other three sponsor banks involve substantially the same terms as apply with Wells Fargo.

In November 2009, we entered into a sponsorship agreement with The Bancorp Bank to sponsor our Network Services settlement merchants and transferred sponsorship from SunTrust Bank, its previous sponsor, to The Bancorp Bank in February 2010. The agreement with The Bancorp Bank expires in November 2014.

On March 24, 2011, we entered into a sponsor bank agreement with Barclays Bank Delaware to sponsor certain of our Network Services Merchants. The agreement with Barclays Bank Delaware expires in March 2016, with an automatic one year renewal.

In 2007, we entered into a sponsor bank agreement with Heartland Bank, which is based in Saint Louis, Missouri. Heartland Bank is not related to or associated with Heartland Payment Systems. Our agreement with Heartland Bank has been renewed through September 2013. The Agreement continues for successive three-year terms unless terminated by either party with one hundred eighty (180) days prior written notice. Should Heartland Bank opt to terminate, we believe we have reasonable alternatives available to secure continuing sponsorship for these merchants' processing transactions.

## Third-Party Processors

We have agreements with several third-party processors to provide to us on a non-exclusive basis payment processing and transmittal, transaction authorization and data capture services, and access to various reporting tools. These third-party processors include Fujitsu America, TSYS, and Global Payments, Inc. Certain agreements with third-party processors may be terminated by the third-party processors if we materially breach certain sections of the agreements, including our failure to pay fees due, and we do not cure the breach within 30 days, if our registration

with Visa or MasterCard terminates, or if we file for or enter bankruptcy.

Our Bankcard Processing Merchant Base

Our merchant customers primarily fall into two categories: our core small and mid-sized merchants (referred to as "Small and Midsized Enterprises", or "SME merchants") and Network Services' merchants, predominately petroleum merchants of all sizes (referred to as "Network Services Merchants"). At December 31, 2012, we provided our bankcard payment processing services to 169,994 active SME bankcard merchants and 396 Network Services Merchants having 47,064 locations across the United States.

18

### SME Merchant Base

While restaurants represent a significant portion of our SME merchant base, we also provide payment processing services to a wide variety of merchants, with a focus on those merchants whose typical customer is present when using a bankcard to pay for products or services. We define SME merchants as generating annual Visa and MasterCard bankcard processing volume between \$50,000 and \$5,000,000. With the added functionality and cost benefits that our back-end processing system, Passport, affords us, we market to merchants with annual processing volume above \$5,000,000.

The following table summarizes our SME processing volume by merchant category for the month of December 2012, compared to the months of December 2011 and December 2010.

	Month of December			
	2012	2011	2010	
Restaurants	36.0%	34.8%	34.1%	
Retail	18.0%	18.2%	18.7%	
Convenience, Fast Food & Liquor	11.2%	10.9%	10.6%	
Automotive	6.5%	7.5%	7.9%	
Professional Services	7.3%	7.1%	6.9%	
Lodging	4.5%	4.3%	4.2%	
Petroleum	1.9%	1.9%	2.2%	
Other	14.6%	15.3%	15.4%	
Total SME processing v	volume	\$5.7 billion	\$5.6 billion	\$5.2 billion

No single SME merchant accounted for more than 0.98% of our total SME Visa and MasterCard bankcard processing volume in 2012, and during 2012, our top 25 merchants represented only 3.56% of our SME Visa and MasterCard bankcard processing volume and 2.72% of our SME Visa and MasterCard gross processing revenue. In 2011 and 2010, no single merchant represented more than 1.02% and 1.01% of our total SME Visa and MasterCard bankcard processing volume, respectively. In both 2011 and 2010 our top 25 merchants represented only 3.21% and 3.00%, respectively, of our SME Visa and MasterCard bankcard processing volume and 2.56% and 2.54%, respectively, of our SME Visa and MasterCard gross processing revenue.

In December 2012, SME merchants in California represented 10.8%, in Texas represented 6.3%, in New York represented 5.1%, in Florida represented 4.9%, and in New Jersey represented 4.0% of our respective SME bankcard processing volume. No other state represented more than 4% of our total bankcard processing volume. Our geographic concentration tends to reflect the states with the highest economic activity, as well as certain states where we have historically maintained a stronger sales force. This merchant and geographic diversification makes us less sensitive to changing economic conditions in any particular industry or region. We believe that the loss of any single SME merchant would not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Generally, our agreements with SME merchants are for three years and automatically renew for additional one-year periods unless otherwise terminated. Our sponsor bank is also a party to these agreements. The SME merchants are obligated to pay for all chargebacks, fines, assessments, and fees associated with their account, and in some cases, annual fees. Our sponsor bank may terminate an SME merchant agreement for any reason on 30 days' notice, and the SME merchant may terminate the agreement at any time without notice, subject to the payment of any applicable early termination fees. Typically, the agreement may also be terminated immediately upon a breach by the SME merchant of any of its terms. The agreement may not be assigned by the SME merchant without the prior written consent of the sponsor bank and us.

Network Services Merchants

At December 31, 2012, we provided bankcard payment processing services to 396 Network Services Merchants with 47,064 locations. Network Services provides processing of credit and debit cards to merchants of all sizes, primarily in the petroleum industry. For the month of December 2012, approximately 97% of Network Services Merchant processing volume was in the petroleum industry. In addition to settling Visa and MasterCard transactions, Network Services processed a wide range of payment transactions, including providing approximately 2.5 billion transaction authorizations through our front-end card processing systems (primarily for Visa and MasterCard) in 2012. Network Services added \$27.9 billion to our bankcard processing volume on 958 million settled transactions in 2012.

### Merchant Risk Management

We believe that we have significant experience in assessing the risks associated with providing payment processing services to SME merchants. These risks include the limited operating history of many of the SME merchants we serve and the risk that these merchants could be subject to a higher rate of insolvency, which could adversely affect us financially. We apply varying levels of scrutiny in our application evaluation and underwriting of prospective merchant accounts, ranging from basic due diligence for merchants with a low risk profile to a more thorough and detailed review for higher risk merchants. Substantially all of our Network Services Merchants are large corporations that have the financial resources to absorb chargebacks. We regularly review the financial statements of those merchants to be aware of solvency risks that would impair their ability to do so.

Merchant attrition is expected in the payment processing industry in the ordinary course of business. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we experienced an improved 12.8% average annualized attrition in our SME bankcard processing volume compared to an average attrition of 13.5% and 15.3% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Much of our attrition is related to business closures, which accelerated in 2008 and 2009 due to weak economic conditions, and in 2009 our volume attrition was significantly impacted by overall contraction in same stores sales. See "—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations —Overview —General" for a discussion of same stores sales.

As a result of our exposure to potential liability for merchant fraud, chargebacks, reject and other losses created by our merchant services business, we view our risk management and fraud avoidance practices as integral to our operations and overall success. We believe that the risks associated with our merchant base are generally not significant as our merchants consist primarily of companies conducting card-present transactions and whose chargeback levels are generally not significant as a percentage of their sales volume. As a result of their low risk profile, we can employ underwriting and set-up procedures that are less extensive than if these merchants had higher risk profiles and can typically ensure that these merchants will be approved and set up on our systems within 24 hours of our receiving their application.

However, for our merchants conducting card-not-present (CNP) transactions, which we view as having a higher risk profile, we employ an extended underwriting and due diligence period and special account monitoring procedures. The underwriting process for these merchants' applications may take three to five days while we evaluate the applicants' financial condition, previous processing history and credit reports and/or OFAC (Office of Foreign Assets Control reports). Credit reports and OFAC are processed on all businesses and signers submitted on the Merchant Processing Agreement, not just CNP applications. All e-commerce businesses undergo a website scan during the underwriting due diligence process to validate that all required card brand website policies are compliant as required and ensure the website content meets the defined rules of Heartland underwriting policies and TOS (terms of services).

Effective risk management helps us minimize merchant losses for the mutual benefit of our merchants and ourselves. Our risk management procedures also help protect us from fraud perpetrated by our merchants. We believe our knowledge and experience in dealing with attempted fraud has resulted in our development and implementation of effective risk management and fraud prevention systems and procedures for the types of fraud discussed in this section. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, we experienced losses of 0.28 basis points, 0.76 basis points and 1.44 basis points, respectively, of our SME bankcard processing volume. The higher merchant losses in 2010 tracked with the stressed economic conditions in that year, which contributed to increased incidences of merchant fraud.

We employ the following systems and procedures to minimize our exposure to merchant and transaction fraud:

### Underwriting

Our Relationship Managers send new applications for low-risk merchants to their regional service team for scoring and account set up. Higher-risk applications are routed to our underwriting department for review and screening. Our underwriting department's review of these applications serves as the basis for our decision whether to accept or reject a merchant account. The review also provides the criteria for establishing cash deposit or letter of credit requirements, processing limits, average transaction amounts and pricing, which assists us in monitoring merchant transactions for those accounts that exceed those pre-determined thresholds. The criteria set by our underwriting department also assist our risk management staff in advising merchants with respect to identifying and avoiding fraudulent transactions. Depending upon their experience level, our underwriting staff has the authority to render judgment on new applications or to take additional actions such as adjusting processing limits supported by prior processing history, analyzing average charge per transaction information or establishing cash deposits/letters of credit, reserves, and delayed funding requirements for new and existing merchants. Our underwriting

department prepares accounts that are risk sensitive for our Credit Committee review. The Credit Committee consists of a Manager of Underwriting, Manager of Risk Review, Director of Underwriting and Executive Director of HSC Operations. Merchant accounts that exceed certain committee thresholds are reviewed by either our CEO, Vice Chairman or Chief of Operations. Our sponsor banks also review and approve our merchant underwriting policies and procedures to ensure compliance with Visa and MasterCard operating rules and regulations.

## Merchant Monitoring

We employ several levels of merchant account monitoring to help us identify suspicious transactions and trends. Daily merchant activity is obtained from two sources: HPS Exchange (where the information is downloaded from HPS Exchange to our monitoring systems) and TSYS (where the information is downloaded from our third-party processors onto TSYS' risk system and then accessed by us on the Internet), and is sorted into a number of customized reports by our systems. Our risk management team reviews any unusual activity highlighted by these reports, such as larger than normal transactions or credits, and monitors other parameters that are helpful in identifying suspicious activity. We have daily windows between 10:00 a.m. and 7:30 p.m. eastern time to decide if any transactions should be held for further review, which provides us time to interview a merchant or issuing bank to determine the validity of suspicious transactions. We have also developed a fraud management system for HPS Exchange that is fully integrated with our internal customer relationship management software and has detailed review capabilities to further streamline our monitoring of those transactions. We also place merchants who require special monitoring on alert status and have engaged a web crawling solution that scans all merchant websites for content and integrity.

### Investigation and Loss Prevention

If a merchant exceeds any parameters established by our underwriting and/or risk management staff or violates regulations established by the applicable bankcard network or the terms of our merchant agreement, one of our investigators will identify the incident and take appropriate action to reduce our exposure to loss and the exposure of our merchant. This action may include requesting additional transaction information, withholding or diverting funds, verifying delivery of merchandise or even deactivating the merchant account. Additionally, Account Managers or Relationship Managers may be instructed to retrieve equipment owned by us.

## Collateral

We require some of our merchants to establish cash deposits or letters of credit that we use to offset against liabilities we may incur. We hold such cash deposits or letters of credit for as long as we are exposed to a loss resulting from a merchant's payment processing activity. In addition, we maintain a 5-day delayed deposit policy on transactions processed by our Internet merchants and newly established merchants who have not previously processed bankcards to allow for additional risk monitoring. We also place a "hold" on batches containing questionable transactions, diverting the funds to a separate account pending review. As of December 31, 2012, these cash deposits and delayed and "held" batches totaled approximately \$5.9 million.

## Servicing and Processing Technology

We have developed a number of systems that are designed to improve the effectiveness of our sales force, customer service and the management of our business. In 2012, 2011 and 2010 we spent \$26.7 million, \$22.6 million and \$15.3 million, respectively, on capitalized software development costs to make electronic payments easier for our sales force and merchants. Many of the following systems are accessible over the Internet through www.heartlandpaymentsystems.com

Our Servicing Technology platforms include:

### atlas

atlas is our web-based application launched in 2011, which is designed to help our sales professionals more effectively prospect new accounts, price accounts, board merchants, and manage their prospects pipeline. atlas, developed in-house exclusively for our Relationship Managers and Territory and Division Managers, greatly reduces paperwork and speeds the sales cycle. It is a key innovation for enhancing our Relationship Managers' productivity and our overall sales recruiting efforts.

### Portfolio Manager

Portfolio Manager is designed to allow each of our Relationship, Territory and Division Managers and Executive Directors of Business Development to manage many aspects of his or her business, including portfolio monitoring and management, compensation review, training and professional development and the ability to communicate with others within our company. Portfolio Manager consists of a set of merchant relationship management tools. These tools include detailed merchant data, such as historical bankcard processing volume, updates on merchant contracts that will soon expire, losses, merchants who may have attrited and data that can be used by our Relationship Managers to assist merchants in understanding interchange fee structures and the risks associated with certain types of transactions. Portfolio Manager also includes an estimated gross margin calculator and a merchant profitability analysis that allows Relationship Managers to optimize gross margin generated from a new SME merchant account. In addition, Portfolio Manager provides our Relationship Managers with the ability to view their residual commission stream from their merchant portfolio, track their productivity and compare their sales statistics with those of other Relationship Managers.

### Merchant Center

Merchant Center, and our newly-developed InfoCentral, is designed to improve our merchants' efficiency, cash management, interchange management and dispute resolution by providing them with real-time access to their transaction data, including clearinghouse records, deposits and transactions. The functionality and Business Intelligence reporting in Merchant Center and InfoCentral can replace paper merchant statements and provide automated customer self-service. Affiliate Manager also provides similar information tools to our strategic relationships, such as trade associations, banks and value-added resellers.

### Client Manager

Information regarding all of our interactions with our SME merchants and all of their documents and transaction records are immediately available to our customer service department and management through Client Manager. Each new account is entered into this database during the initial application and underwriting process, and all documents regarding a merchant are scanned into the database. Subsequently, all of a merchant's transactions and statements, and records of all calls to our customer service representatives as well as their resolution, are maintained in the database. Client Manager is also the tool by which we make any pricing adjustments and manage any equipment-related transactions. Integrating many of our customer management tools into one database provides service center employees with the same information regarding a merchant, which enables us to provide consistent, rapid problem resolution and optimal customer service. We believe that reliance on the system has allowed considerable productivity gains in recent years.

Our Processing Technology platforms include:

### HPS Exchange, VAPS, and NWS

Our front-end authorization systems, HPS Exchange, VAPS and NWS, provide us greater control of the electronic transaction process, allow us to offer our merchants a differentiated product offering, and offer economies of scale that we expect will increase our long-term profitability.

During 2012, approximately 95% of the transactions of our SME merchants were processed on HPS Exchange, and 97% of all merchant accounts established in 2012 were placed on the system. When a merchant uses HPS Exchange on certain hardware platforms, the resulting authorization speed for dial-up transactions can be six seconds or less and IP transactions can be two seconds or less, which we believe is faster than industry norms for comparable terminals.

This increased speed not only benefits the merchant but also reduces the telecommunications costs we incur in connection with a transaction.

HPS Exchange, VAPS and NWS enable us to provide more customized solutions to merchants that demand customized front-end solutions and take advantage of new terminal hardware platforms and technology like End-to-End Encryption, as well as NFC and EMV as they become available. HPS Exchange, VAPS and NWS offer our merchants authorization and capture services in multiple industry verticals to a variety of point-of-sale systems including legacy terminals, petroleum pumps, middleware technologies, PC-integrated POS systems and a web-based virtual terminal. In addition, HPS Exchange, VAPS and NWS offer third-party point-of-sale developers multiple protocols to meet their needs for integration.

## Passport

Our internally-developed back-end processing system, Passport, provides one settlement platform for SME and

22

### Table of Contents

Network Services merchants providing value-add features such as Optimized Funding, multi-bank Next Day Funding, automated dispute resolution system, advanced interchange management and single portal integration via InfoCentral. In addition, Passport provides significant cost savings and results in greater economies of scale, by replacing third party processors' per-transaction charges with more of a fixed-cost structure. This structure allows per-transaction savings as increasing numbers of transactions are processed on Passport. In addition, Passport provides us the opportunity to offer our merchants significantly greater amounts of information regarding their processing characteristics, in more usable formats, and to offer our services to larger merchants. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, approximately 99% of our SME merchants were processing on Passport, and all of our Network Services settlement merchants were on Passport.

We provide settlement services to 369 Network Services Merchants, representing 28% of Network Services' transactions in 2012. In the fourth quarter of 2010, the clearing, settlement and merchant accounting services for Network Services' settled transactions were converted onto Passport. Prior to then, these settlement transactions were processed by a third party.

#### Network and Database Risk Management

#### Security

In the course of our operations, we compile and maintain a large database of information relating to our merchants and their transactions, and large amounts of card information crosses our network as we authorize transactions. We have placed significant emphasis on maintaining a high level of security in order to attempt to protect the information of our merchants and their customers. We maintain current updates of network and operating system security releases and virus definitions, and have engaged a third party to regularly test our systems for vulnerability to unauthorized access. Further, we encrypt the cardholder numbers and merchant data that are stored in our databases using the highest commercially available encryption methods.

Our internal network configuration provides multiple layers of security to isolate our databases from unauthorized access and implements detailed security rules to limit access to all critical systems. In November 2003, we were certified by Visa as having successfully completed their Cardholder Information Security Program (CISP) review of our payment processing and Internet-based reporting systems. In 2004, the Visa CISP requirements were combined with security guidelines of the other card networks into a comprehensive Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI-DSS). We received confirmation of our compliance with PCI-DSS from a third party assessor in April 2008. Notwithstanding our implementation and utilization of the network security measures described in this section, we suffered the Processing System Intrusion described elsewhere in this annual report. Subsequent to the discovery of the Processing System Intrusion, we were advised by Visa that based on Visa's investigation of the Processing System Intrusion, Visa had removed us from Visa's published list of PCI-DSS compliant service providers. We were similarly advised by MasterCard that, based on MasterCard's investigation of the Processing System Intrusion MasterCard believed we were in violation of the MasterCard Standards and that, based on that belief, MasterCard removed us from MasterCard's published list of Compliant Service Providers. In April 2009, we were re-certified as PCI-DSS compliant and the assessor's report attesting to such re-certification was reviewed and approved by Visa. Visa placed us in a "probationary status" for the two years following our re-certification as being PCI-DSS compliant, during which time our failure to comply with the probationary requirements set forth by Visa or with the Visa operating regulations could have resulted in Visa seeking to impose further risk conditions on us, including but not limited to our disconnection from VisaNet or our disqualification from the Visa payment system. In July 2011 Visa lifted that probationary status. In April 2009, MasterCard returned us to its published list of Compliant Service Providers.

Visa, Star, NYCE and other debit card networks have established security guidelines for PIN-based debit transaction processing that is based upon ANSI standards that are published as the "ASC X9 TG-3 PIN Security Compliance Guideline." We have regularly scheduled Security Review of our Key Management Procedures against this standard that is performed by an external auditor.

We also have engaged external auditors to perform an annual Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 16 ("SSAE 16") review and publish our "Report on Controls Placed in Operation and Tests of Operating Effectiveness."

Disaster Recovery and Back-up Systems

We operate our front-end processing, back-end processing, and other product platforms in two geographically dispersed outsourced data centers located in Texas and California. We establish system service level operational thresholds based on our large national merchants' requirements, and regularly exceed those levels, with system availability of greater than 99.9%. To achieve this standard, transactions are mirrored between the two data centers, and each center has the capacity to

handle our full merchant transaction load. This duplicate processing capability ensures uninterrupted transaction processing during maintenance windows and other times processing may not be available. We regularly process through both data centers.

### Intellectual Property

We own and are pursuing several patents with the United States Patent and Trademark Office. In addition, we own various trademarks and have applied for numerous others. Most of our services and products are based on proprietary software or processes that are updated to meet merchant needs and remain competitive. Protecting our rights to our proprietary software is critical, as it allows us to offer distinctive services and products to merchants, which differentiates us from our competitors.

### Employees

As of December 31, 2012, we employed 3,002 full- and part-time personnel, including 958 sales professionals, 710 customer service, risk management, financial and operations support and underwriting employees, 413 systems and technology employees, 259 payroll services employees, 211 Heartland School Solutions employees, 185 Campus Solutions employees, 232 accounting and administration employees and 34 Micropayments employees. None of our employees are represented by a labor union, and we have experienced no work stoppages. We consider our employee relations to be good.

## WHERE YOU CAN GET ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy our reports or other filings made with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room, located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. You can obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. You can also access these reports and other filings electronically on the SEC's web site, www.sec.gov.

In addition, certain of our SEC filings, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports, can be viewed, free of charge, and printed from the investor information section of our website at www.heartlandpaymentsystems.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after filing with the SEC. Certain materials relating to our corporate governance, including our senior financial officers' code of ethics, are also available in the investor relations section of our website.

The information on the websites listed above, is not and should not be considered part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and is not incorporated by reference in this document. These websites are, and are only intended to be, inactive textual references.

In June 2012, we submitted to the New York Stock Exchange the CEO certification required by Section 303A.12(a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual without qualification.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should consider carefully the following risks and other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and other SEC filings before you decide whether to buy our common stock. If any of the events contemplated by the following discussion of risks should occur, our business, results of operations and financial condition could suffer significantly. As a result, the market price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of the money you paid to buy our common stock.

Risks Relating to Our Business

Unauthorized disclosure of merchant, cardholder or other user data, whether through breach of our computer systems (such as the Processing System Intrusion) or otherwise, could expose us to liability and protracted and costly litigation.

We collect and store sensitive data about merchants, including names, addresses, social security numbers, driver's license numbers and checking account numbers. In addition, we maintain a database of cardholder and other user data relating to specific transactions, including bankcard numbers, in order to process the transactions and for fraud prevention. Any loss of data by us or our merchants could result in significant fines and sanctions by the card networks or governmental bodies, which could have a material adverse effect upon our financial position and/or results of operations. In addition, a significant breach could result in our being prohibited from processing transactions for card networks.

### Table of Contents

Our computer systems have been, and could be in the future, subject to penetration by hackers and our encryption of data may not prevent unauthorized use. In this event, we may be subject to liability, including claims for unauthorized purchases with misappropriated bankcard information, impersonation or other similar fraud claims. We could also be subject to liability for claims relating to misuse of personal information, such as unauthorized marketing purposes. These claims also could result in protracted and costly litigation. In addition, we could be subject to penalties or sanctions from the card networks, or our reputation could be harmed, which may deter clients from using our services.

Although we generally require that our agreements with our service providers who have access to merchant and customer data include confidentiality obligations that restrict these parties from using or disclosing any customer or merchant data except as necessary to perform their services under the applicable agreements, we cannot assure you that these contractual measures will prevent the unauthorized use or disclosure of data. In addition, our agreements with financial institutions require us to take certain protective measures to ensure the confidentiality of merchant and consumer data. Any failure to adequately enforce these protective measures could result in protracted and costly litigation.

If we fail to comply with the applicable requirements of the Visa and MasterCard bankcard networks, Visa or MasterCard could seek to fine us, suspend us or terminate our registrations. Fines could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition, and if these registrations are terminated, we will not be able to conduct our primary business.

If we are unable to comply with Visa and MasterCard bankcard network requirements, Visa or MasterCard could seek to fine us, suspend us or terminate our registrations. On occasion, we have received notices of non-compliance and fines, which have typically related to excessive chargebacks by a merchant or data security failures on the part of a merchant. If we are unable to recover fines from our merchants, we will experience a financial loss. The termination of our registration, or any changes in the Visa or MasterCard rules that would impair our registration, could require us to stop providing Visa and MasterCard payment processing services, which would make it impossible for us to conduct our business on its current scale.

We are subject to the business cycles and credit risk of our merchants, which could negatively impact our financial results.

A recessionary economic environment could have a negative impact on our merchants, which could, in turn, negatively impact our financial results, particularly if the recessionary environment disproportionately affects some of the market segments that represent a larger portion of our bankcard processing volume, like restaurants. If our merchants make fewer sales of their products and services, we will have fewer transactions to process, resulting in lower revenue. In addition, we have a certain amount of fixed and semi-fixed costs, including rent, processing contractual minimums and salaries, which could limit our ability to quickly adjust costs and respond to changes in our business and the economy.

In a recessionary environment our merchants could also experience a higher rate of business closures, which could adversely affect our business and financial condition. During prior recessions, including the 2008-2009 recession, we experienced negative same-store sales growth (or contraction) and an increase in business closures. In the event of a closure of a merchant, we are unlikely to receive our fees for any transactions processed by that merchant in its final month of operation.

While we service a broad range of merchants, restaurants represent a significant portion of our merchant base. The failure rate of restaurants is typically high, which increases our merchant attrition and reject losses. A reduction in consumer spending, particularly at restaurants, would further increase our rate of merchant attrition and reject losses.

The payment processing industry is highly competitive and we compete with certain firms that are larger and that have greater financial resources. Such competition could increase, which would adversely influence our prices to merchants, and as a result, our operating margins.

The market for payment processing services is highly competitive. Other providers of payment processing services have established a sizable market share in the small and mid-sized merchant processing sector. Maintaining our historic growth will depend on a combination of the continued growth in electronic payment transactions and our ability to increase our market share. The weakness of the current economic recovery could cause future growth in electronic payment transactions to slow compared to historical rates of growth and the Processing System Intrusion could negatively impact our ability to increase our market share. According to The Nilson Report, we accounted for approximately 2.3% of the 122 billion of purchase transactions processed by all bankcard acquirers in 2011. This competition may influence the prices we are able to charge. If the competition causes us to reduce the prices we charge, we will have to aggressively control our costs in order to maintain acceptable profit margins. In addition, some of our competitors are financial institutions, subsidiaries of financial institutions or well-established payment processing companies, including First Data Corporation, Global Payments Inc., Vantiv, Inc.,

### Table of Contents

Chase Paymentech Solutions, Bank of America Merchant Services, Wells Fargo and Elavon, Inc. Our competitors that are financial institutions or subsidiaries of financial institutions do not incur the costs associated with being sponsored by a bank for registration with the card networks and can settle transactions more quickly for their merchants than we can for ours. These competitors have substantially greater financial, technological, management and marketing resources than we have. This may allow our competitors to offer more attractive fees to our current and prospective merchants, or other products or services that we do not offer. This could result in a loss of customers, greater difficulty attracting new customers, and a reduction in the price we can charge for our services.

The payment processing market in which we compete is subject to rapid technological changes. These markets are characterized by technological change, new product introductions, evolving industry standards and changing customer needs. In order to remain competitive, we are continually involved in a number of projects to offer our customers convenient and efficient solutions for their payment needs. These projects carry the risks associated with any development effort, including cost overruns, delays in delivery and performance problems. In the payment processing market these risks are even more acute. In addition, many of our competitors may have the ability to devote more financial and operational resources than we can to the development of new technologies and services, including Internet payment processing services and mobile payment processing services that provide improved operating functionality and features to their product and service offerings. If more successful than ours, their development efforts could render our product and services offerings less desirable to customers, again resulting in the loss of customers or a reduction in the price we could demand for our offerings.

We have faced, and will in the future face, chargeback liability when our merchants refuse or cannot reimburse chargebacks resolved in favor of their customers, reject losses when our merchants go out of business, and merchant fraud. We cannot accurately anticipate these liabilities, which may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

In the event a billing dispute between a cardholder and a merchant is not resolved in favor of the merchant, the transaction is normally "charged back" to the merchant and the purchase price is credited or otherwise refunded to the cardholder. If we or our clearing banks are unable to collect such amounts from the merchant's account, or if the merchant refuses or is unable, due to closure, bankruptcy or other reasons, to reimburse us for the chargeback, we bear the loss for the amount of the refund paid to the cardholder. The risk of chargebacks is typically greater with those merchants that promise future delivery of goods and services rather than delivering goods or rendering services at the time of payment. We may experience significant losses from chargebacks in the future. Any increase in chargebacks not paid by our merchants may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Reject losses arise from the fact that we collect our fees from our SME merchants on the first day after the monthly billing period. This results in the build-up of a substantial receivable from our customers, which significantly exceeds the receivables of any of our competitors which assess their fees on a daily basis. If a merchant has gone out of business during the billing period, we may be unable to collect such fees. In addition, if our sponsor bank is unable, due to system disruption or other failure, to collect our fees from our merchants, we would face a substantial loss.

We have potential liability for fraudulent bankcard transactions initiated by merchants. Merchant fraud occurs when a merchant knowingly uses a stolen or counterfeit bankcard or card number to record a false sales transaction, processes an invalid bankcard or intentionally fails to deliver the merchandise or services sold in an otherwise valid transaction. We have established systems and procedures designed to detect and reduce the impact of merchant fraud, but we cannot assure you that these measures are or will be effective. It is possible that incidents of fraud could increase in the future. Failure to effectively manage risk and prevent fraud would increase our chargeback liability. Increases in chargebacks could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

We incurred charges relating to chargebacks, reject losses and merchant fraud of \$2.0 million, \$5.1 million and \$9.1 million in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The year-over-year reductions in 2012

and 2011 in our merchant losses tracked the overall improving economic conditions in those years which contributed to fewer incidences of merchant fraud, as well as our improved credit monitoring. The higher loss rate in 2010 tracked with overall economic conditions in that year, which contributed to increased incidences of merchant fraud.

Increased merchant attrition that we cannot offset with increased bankcard processing volume from same store sales growth or new accounts would cause our revenues to decline.

We experience attrition in merchant bankcard processing volume resulting from several factors, including business closures, transfers of merchants' accounts to our competitors and account closures that we initiate due to heightened credit risks relating to, or contract breaches by, merchants, and when applicable same store sales contraction. During the year ended

### Table of Contents

December 31, 2012, we experienced an improved 12.8% average annualized attrition in our SME bankcard processing volume compared to an average annualized attrition of 13.5% and 15.3% for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Substantially all of our SME processing contracts may be terminated by either party on relatively short notice. We cannot predict the level of attrition in the future, and it could increase. Increased attrition in merchant bankcard processing volume may have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. If we are unable to establish accounts with new merchants or otherwise increase our bankcard processing volume in order to counter the effect of this attrition, our revenues will decline.

We rely on sponsor banks, which have substantial discretion with respect to certain elements of our business practices, in order to process bankcard transactions. If these sponsorships are terminated and we are unable to secure new bank sponsors, we will not be able to conduct our business.

Over 73% of our revenue is derived from processing Visa and MasterCard bankcard transactions. Because we are not a bank, we are not eligible for membership in the Visa and MasterCard networks and are, therefore, unable to directly access the bankcard networks, which are required to process Visa and MasterCard transactions. Visa and MasterCard operating regulations require us to be sponsored by a member bank in order to process bankcard transactions. We are currently registered with Visa and MasterCard through Wells Fargo Bank N.A. since 2012, Heartland Bank since December 2007, The Bancorp Bank since November 2009, and Barclays Bank Delaware since March 2011.

Our sponsorship agreements with Wells Fargo Bank N.A., Heartland Bank, The Bancorp Bank and Barclays Bank Delaware expire February 2016, September 2013, November 2014 and March 2016, respectively. If our sponsorships are terminated and we are unable to secure another bank sponsor or sponsors, which could arise due to future consolidation of sponsor banks or because sponsor banks exit this line of business, we will not be able to process Visa and MasterCard transactions. Furthermore, some agreements give the sponsor banks substantial discretion in approving certain aspects of our business practices, including our solicitation, application and qualification procedures for merchants, the terms of our agreements with merchants and our customer service levels. Our sponsor banks' discretionary actions under these agreements could be detrimental to our operations.

If we cannot pass increases in bankcard network interchange fees, assessments and transaction fees along to our merchants, our operating margins will be reduced.

We pay interchange fees and other network fees set by the bankcard networks to the card issuing bank and the bankcard networks for each transaction we process. From time to time, the bankcard networks increase the interchange fees and other network fees that they charge payment processors and the sponsor banks. At their sole discretion, our sponsor banks have the right to pass any increases in interchange fees on to us and have consistently done so in the past. We are allowed to, and in the past we have been able to, pass these fee increases along to our merchants through corresponding increases in our processing fees. However, if we are unable to do so in the future, our operating margins will be reduced.

Current or future bankcard network rules and practices could adversely affect our business.

We are registered with the Visa and MasterCard networks through our bank sponsors as an Independent Sales Organization with Visa and a Member Service Provider with MasterCard. We are currently a sales agent for American Express and a registered Acquirer with Discover. The rules of the bankcard networks are set by their boards, which may be strongly influenced by card issuers, and some of those card issuers are our competitors with respect to these processing services. Many banks directly or indirectly sell processing services to merchants in direct competition with us. These banks could attempt, by virtue of their influence on the networks, to alter the networks' rules or policies to the detriment of non-members like us. The bankcard networks or issuers who maintain our registrations or

arrangements or the current bankcard network or issuer rules allowing us to market and provide payment processing services may not remain in effect. The termination of our registration or our status as an Independent Sales Organization or Member Service Provider, or any changes in card network or issuer rules that limit our ability to provide payment processing services, could have an adverse effect on our bankcard processing volumes, revenues or operating costs. In addition, if we were precluded from processing Visa and MasterCard bankcard transactions, we would lose substantially all of our revenues.

Any new laws and regulations, or revisions made to existing laws, regulations, or other industry standards affecting our business may have an unfavorable impact on our operating results and financial condition.

Our business is impacted by laws and regulations that affect the bankcard industry. The number of new and proposed regulations has increased significantly, particularly pertaining to interchange fees on bankcard transactions, which are paid to the bank card issuer. In July 2010, Congress passed The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the

### Table of Contents

"Dodd-Frank Act"), which significantly changed financial regulation. Changes included restricting amounts of debit card fees that certain issuer banks can charge merchants and allowing merchants to offer discounts for different payment methods. The impact which new requirements imposed by the Dodd-Frank Act or other new regulation will have on our operating results is difficult to determine, as their implementation could result in the need for us to modify our services and processing platforms. As new requirements are mandated, these regulations could adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Furthermore, the requirements of the new regulations and the timing of their effective dates could result in changes in our clients' business practices that may alter their delivery of their products and services to consumers and the timing of their investment decisions, which could change the demand for our services as well as alter the type or volume of transactions that we process on behalf of our clients.

Additionally, all persons engaged in commerce, including, but not limited to, us and our merchant and financial institution customers are subject to Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices, or UDAP. The Federal Trade Commission, or FTC, has authority to take action against nonbanks that engage in UDAP and to the extent we are processing payments for a merchant engaged in UDAP, we may be subject to action by the FTC.

On July 26, 2011, the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network of the U.S. Department of the Treasury, or FinCEN, issued a final rule regarding the applicability of the Bank Secrecy Act's regulations to "prepaid access" products and services. This rulemaking clarifies the anti-money laundering obligations for entities engaged in the provision and sale of prepaid services such as prepaid cards. We have registered with FinCEN as a "money services business-provider of prepaid access" in accordance with the rule. Notwithstanding previously implemented anti-money laundering procedures pursuant to various contractual obligations, the rule increases our regulatory risks and, as with other regulatory requirements, violations of the rule could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

These and other laws and regulations could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, even an inadvertent failure to comply with laws and regulations, given rapidly evolving social expectations of corporate fairness, could damage our business or our reputation.

Governmental regulations designed to protect or limit access to consumer information could adversely affect our ability to effectively provide our services to merchants.

Governmental bodies in the United States and abroad have adopted, or are considering the adoption of, laws and regulations restricting the transfer of, and safeguarding, non-public personal information. For example, in the United States, all financial institutions must undertake certain steps to ensure the privacy and security of consumer financial information. While our operations are subject to certain provisions of these privacy laws, we have limited our use of consumer information solely to providing services to other businesses and financial institutions. We limit sharing of non-public personal information to that necessary to complete the transactions on behalf of the consumer and the merchant and to that permitted by federal and state laws. In connection with providing services to the merchants and financial institutions that use our services, we are required by regulations and contracts with our merchants to provide assurances regarding the confidentiality and security of non-public consumer information. These contracts require periodic audits by independent companies regarding our compliance with industry standards and best practices established by regulatory guidelines. The compliance standards relate to our infrastructure, components, and operational procedures designed to safeguard the confidentiality and security of non-public consumer personal information shared by our clients with us. Our ability to maintain compliance with these standards and satisfy these audits will affect our ability to attract and maintain business in the future. The cost of such systems and procedures may increase in the future and could adversely affect our ability to compete effectively with other similarly situated service providers.

Our systems and our third-party providers' systems may fail due to factors beyond our control, which could interrupt our service, cause us to lose business and increase our costs.

We depend on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of our computer network systems, software, data center and telecommunications networks, as well as the systems of third parties. Our systems and operations or those of our third-party providers could be exposed to damage or interruption from, among other things, fire, natural disaster, power loss, telecommunications failure, unauthorized entry and computer viruses. Our property and business interruption insurance may not be adequate to compensate us for all losses or failures that may occur. Defects in our systems or those of third parties, errors or delays in the processing of payment transactions, telecommunications failures or other difficulties could result in:

### loss of revenues;

loss of merchants, although our contracts with merchants do not expressly provide a right to terminate for business interruptions;

loss of merchant and cardholder data; harm to our business or reputation; exposure to fraud losses or other liabilities; negative publicity; additional operating and development costs; and/or diversion of technical and other resources.

If we fail to protect our intellectual property rights and defend ourselves from potential patent infringement claims, our competitive advantages may diminish or our ability to offer services to our customers could be restricted.

Our patents, trademarks, proprietary software and other intellectual property are critical to our future success. We rely on proprietary technology. It is possible that others will independently develop the same or similar technologies. Assurance of protecting our rights and proprietary information cannot be guaranteed. Our patents could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented by others and may not be of sufficient scope or strength to provide any meaningful protection or advantage. If we are unable to maintain the proprietary nature of our technologies, we could lose competitive advantages and be materially adversely affected. Adverse determinations in judicial or administrative proceedings could prevent us from precluding others from selling competing services, and thereby may have a material adverse affect on the business and results of operations.

Additionally, claims have been made, and are currently pending, and other claims may be made in the future, with regard to our services or technology infringing on a patent or other intellectual property rights of others. Third parties may have, or may eventually be issued, patents that could be infringed by our services or technology. Any of these third parties could make a claim of infringement against us with respect to our services or technology. We may also be subject to claims by third parties for breach of copyright, trademark, license usage or other intellectual property rights. Any claim from third parties may result in a limitation on our ability to use the intellectual property subject to these claims, restrict us from delivering the related service or result in an unfavorable settlement that could be material to our operating results, financial condition and cash flow. Also, in recent years, individuals and groups have been purchasing intellectual property assets for the sole purpose of making claims of infringement and attempting to extract settlements from companies like ours. Even if we believe that intellectual property related claims are without merit, defending against such claims is time consuming and expensive and could result in the diversion of the time and attention of our management and employees. Claims of intellectual property infringement also might require us to redesign affected services, enter into costly settlement or license agreements, pay costly damage awards, or face a temporary or permanent injunction prohibiting us from marketing or selling certain of our services. Even if we have an agreement for indemnification against such costs, the indemnifying party, if any in such circumstances, may be unable to uphold its contractual obligations. If we cannot or do not license the infringed technology on reasonable terms or substitute similar technology from another source, our revenue and earnings could be adversely impacted. Finally, we use open source software in connection with our technology and services. Companies that incorporate open source software into their products have, from time to time, faced claims challenging the ownership of open source software. As a result, we could be subject to suits by parties claiming ownership of what we believe to be open source software. Some open source software licenses require users who distribute open source software as part of their software to publicly disclose all or part of the source code to such software and/or make available any derivative works of the open source code on unfavorable terms or at no cost. While we monitor the use of open source software in our technology and services and try to ensure that none is used in a manner that would require us to disclose the source code to the related technology or service, such use could inadvertently occur and any requirement to disclose our proprietary source code could be harmful to our business, financial condition and results of operations. Adverse conditions in markets in which we obtain a substantial amount of our bankcard processing volume, such as our largest SME merchant markets of California, New York, Texas, Florida, and New Jersey, could negatively affect our results of operations.

Adverse economic or other conditions in California, Texas, New York, Florida, and New Jersey would negatively affect our revenue and could materially and adversely affect our results of operations. In December 2012, SME merchants in California represented 10.8%, in Texas represented 6.3%, in New York represented 5.1%, in Florida represented 4.9%, and in New Jersey represented 4.0% of our SME bankcard processing volume. As a result of this geographic concentration of our merchants in these markets, we are exposed to the risks of downturns in these local economies and to other local conditions, which could adversely affect the operating results of our merchants in these markets. No other state represented more than 4% of our SME bankcard processing volume in December 2012.

If we lose key personnel or are unable to attract additional qualified personnel as we grow, our business could be adversely affected.

### Table of Contents

We are dependent upon the ability and experience of a number of our key personnel who have substantial experience with our operations, the rapidly changing payment processing industry and the selected markets in which we offer our services. It is possible that the loss of the services of one or a combination of our senior executives or key managers, particularly Robert O. Carr, our Chief Executive Officer, would have an adverse effect on our operations. Our success also depends on our ability to continue to attract, manage and retain other qualified middle management and technical and clerical personnel as we grow. We may not continue to attract or retain such personnel.

If we are unable to attract and retain qualified sales people, our business and financial results may suffer.

Unlike many of our competitors who rely on Independent Sales Organizations or salaried salespeople and telemarketers, we rely on a direct sales force whose compensation is entirely commission-based. Through our direct sales force of approximately 739 Relationship Managers and Territory Managers, we seek to increase the number of merchants using our products and services. We intend to significantly increase the size of our sales force. Our success partially depends on the skill and experience of our sales force. If we are unable to retain and attract sufficiently experienced and capable Relationship Managers, our business and financial results may suffer.

Any acquisitions or portfolio buyouts that we make could disrupt our business and harm our financial condition.

We expect to evaluate potential strategic acquisitions of complementary businesses, products or technologies. We may not be able to successfully finance or integrate any businesses, products or technologies that we acquire. Furthermore, the integration of any acquisition may divert management's time and resources from our core business and disrupt our operations. We may spend time and money on projects that do not increase our revenue. To the extent we pay the purchase price of any acquisition in cash, it would reduce our cash reserves or increase our borrowings, and to the extent the purchase price is paid with our stock, it could be dilutive to our stockholders. While we, from time to time, evaluate potential acquisitions of businesses, products and technologies, and anticipate continuing to make these evaluations, we have no present understandings, commitments or agreements with respect to any acquisitions.

We also regularly buy out the residual commissions of our Relationship Managers and sales managers, at multiples that typically amount to  $2^{1}/2$  years of such commissions. If the merchants included in the portfolios we purchase do not generate sufficient incremental margin after the purchase, we will not achieve a positive return on the cash expended.

Our operating results are subject to seasonality, which could result in fluctuations in our quarterly net income.

We have experienced in the past, and expect to continue to experience, seasonal fluctuations in our revenues as a result of consumer spending patterns. Historically our revenues have been strongest in our second and third quarters, and weakest in our first quarter.

We may become subject to additional U.S., state or local taxes that cannot be passed through to our merchants, which could negatively affect our results of operations.

Companies in the payment processing industry, including us, may become subject to taxation in various tax jurisdictions on our net income or revenues. Taxing jurisdictions have not yet adopted uniform positions on taxation. If we are required to pay additional taxes on our revenues and are unable to pass the tax expense through to our merchants, our costs would increase and our net income would be reduced.

We may need to raise additional funds to finance our future capital needs, which may prevent us from growing our business.

We may need to raise additional funds to finance our future capital needs, including developing new products and technologies, and operating expenses. We may need additional financing earlier than we anticipate if we: expand faster than our internally generated cash flow can support;

purchase portfolio equity (the portion of our commissions that we have committed to our sales force for as long as the merchant processes with us, which we may buy out at an agreed multiple) from a large number of Relationship Managers or sales managers;

add new merchant accounts faster than expected;

need to reduce pricing in response to competition;

repurchase our common stock; or

acquire complementary products, business or technologies.

### Table of Contents

If we raise additional funds through the sale of equity securities, these transactions may dilute the value of our outstanding common stock. We may also decide to issue securities, including debt securities that have rights, preferences and privileges senior to our common stock. We may be unable to raise additional funds on terms favorable to us or at all. If financing is not available or is not available on acceptable terms, we may be unable to fund our future needs. This may prevent us from increasing our market share, capitalizing on new business opportunities or remaining competitive in our industry.

### Risks Related to Our Company

Borrowings under our Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement could adversely affect our financial condition, and the related debt service obligations may adversely affect our cash flow and ability to invest in and grow our businesses.

The interest rates on debt outstanding under our Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement are floating based on the LIBOR rate; accordingly, if the LIBOR rate increases, our interest expense will be higher on the portion of our debt outstanding not managed with interest rate swaps. At December 31, 2012, there was \$82.0 million outstanding under our Revolving Credit Facility and \$70.0 million outstanding under the Term Credit Facility. The Term Credit Facility requires amortization payments in the amount of \$5.0 million for each fiscal quarter during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, and \$7.5 million for each fiscal quarter during the period commencing on January 1, 2015 through the maturity date on November 24, 2015. In January 2011, we entered into fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps having an initial notional amount of \$50 million, or 50% of the variable rate debt outstanding under the Term Credit Facility. These interest rate swaps convert that initial notional amount to fixed rate. At December 31, 2012, the remaining notional amount of these interest rate swaps was \$35.0 million and the fair value of these interest rate swaps, a liability of \$0.8 million, was recorded in accrued expenses and other liabilities.

We intend to fulfill our total debt service obligations primarily from cash generated by our operations. Such funds will not be available to use in future operations, or investing in our businesses. This may adversely impact our ability to expand our businesses or make other investments. If we are unable to meet our debt obligations, we could be forced to restructure or refinance our obligations, to seek additional equity financing or to sell assets, which we may not be able to do on satisfactory terms or at all. As a result, we could default on those obligations and in the event of such default, our lenders could accelerate our debt or take other actions that could restrict our operations.

Our borrowing agreements contain restrictions which may limit our flexibility in operating and growing our business.

Our borrowing agreements contain covenants, including the maintenance of certain leverage and fixed charge coverage ratios, limitations on the amount of our indebtedness, liens on our properties and assets, investments in, and loans to, other business units, our ability to enter into business combinations and asset sales, and certain other financial and non-financial covenants. We were in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2012.

These covenants limit our ability to engage in specified types of transactions, including among other things: incur additional indebtedness or issue equity; pay dividends on, repurchase or make distributions in respect of our common stock; make certain investments; sell certain assets; create liens; consolidate, merge, sell or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets; and enter into certain transactions with respect to our subsidiaries.

Our balance sheet includes significant amounts of goodwill and intangible assets. The impairment of a significant portion of these assets would negatively affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

As a result of recent acquisitions, a significant portion of our total assets consist of goodwill and intangible assets. Combined goodwill and intangible assets, net of amortization, accounted for approximately 27% and 21% of the total assets on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. We may not realize the full carrying value of our intangible assets and goodwill. We test goodwill for impairment at least annually and between annual tests if an event occurs or changes in circumstances suggest a potential decline in the fair value of goodwill or intangible assets. A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator or change in circumstances relating to impairment has occurred. If testing indicates that impairment has occurred, we would be required to write-off the impaired portion of goodwill and such intangible assets,

31

resulting in a charge to our earnings. An impairment of a significant portion of goodwill or intangible assets could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Future sales of our common stock, or the perception in the public markets that these sales may occur, could depress our stock price.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock in the public market, or the perception in the public markets that these sales may occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. This could also impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of our equity securities. At December 31, 2012, we had 36,855,908 shares of our common stock outstanding. In addition, as of December 31, 2012, we had outstanding stock options and restricted share units totaling 5,694,823 shares issued under our 2008 Incentive Stock Option Plan and our 2000 Incentive Stock Option Plan, of which 808,962 were vested. Assuming the exercise of all outstanding options to acquire our common stock and the vesting of all restricted share units, our current stockholders would own on a fully-diluted basis 87% of the outstanding shares of our common stock to decline. In addition to the adverse effect a price decline could have on holders of our common stock, such a decline could impede our ability to raise capital or to make acquisitions through the issuance of additional shares of our common stock or other equity securities.

Failure to maintain effective systems of internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures could cause a loss of confidence in our financial reporting and adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

Effective control over financial reporting is necessary for us to provide accurate financial information. Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires us to evaluate the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting as of the end of each fiscal year and to include a management report assessing the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, we may not be able to conclude and report that we have effective internal control over financial reporting. If we are unable to adequately maintain our internal controls over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results, which could cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, negatively effecting the trading price of our common stock, or our ability to access the capital markets.

Provisions in our charter documents and Delaware law could discourage a takeover that our shareholders may consider favorable or could cause current management to become entrenched and difficult to replace.

Provisions in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, in our bylaws and under Delaware law could make it more difficult for other companies to acquire us, even if doing so would benefit our stockholders. Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain the following provisions, among others, which may inhibit an acquisition of our company by a third party:

advance notification procedures for matters to be brought before stockholder meetings;

a limitation on who may call stockholder meetings;

a prohibition on stockholder action by written consent; and

the ability of our Board of Directors to issue up to 10 million shares of preferred stock without a stockholder vote.

If any shares of preferred stock are issued that contain an extraordinary dividend or special voting power, a change in control could be impeded.

We are also subject to provisions of Delaware law that prohibit us from engaging in any business combination with any "interested stockholder," meaning, generally, that a stockholder who beneficially owns more than 15% of our

stock cannot acquire us for a period of three years from the date this person became an interested stockholder unless various conditions are met, such as approval of the transaction by our Board of Directors. Any of these restrictions could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control.

We may be unable or we may decide not to pay dividends on our common stock at a level anticipated by shareholders, which could depress our stock price.

The payment of dividends on our common stock in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on, among other factors, our earnings, stockholders' equity, cash position and financial condition. No assurance can

#### Table of Contents

be given that we will be able to or will choose to pay any dividends in the foreseeable future.

#### ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not Applicable.

#### **ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

At December 31, 2012, we owned one facility and leased twenty five facilities which we use for operational, sales and administrative purposes.

Our principal executive offices are located in approximately 9,300 square feet of leased office space on Nassau Street in Princeton, New Jersey. The Nassau Street lease expires in June 2023.

We own 35 acres of land in Jeffersonville, Indiana, on which we constructed our credit card operations and service center. The state-of-the-art facility is comprised of 238,000 square feet of space supporting customer service, operations, deployment, day care, fitness, cafeteria, and large company meetings.

We also leased the following facilities as of December 31, 2012:

we also leased the following facilities as of December 51, 2012.					
Location	Square Feet	Expiration			
Alpharetta, Georgia	11,484	May 31, 2016			
Auburn, Alabama	2,382	April 30, 2014			
Chattanooga, Tennessee	9,461	June 30, 2014			
Cleveland, Ohio	41,595	June 30, 2019			
Colorado Springs, Colorado	9,920	February 28, 2015			
Coraopolis, Pennsylvania	8,186	August 31, 2014			
Coraopolis, Pennsylvania	41,556	July 31, 2016			
Edmond, Oklahoma	1,446	January 31, 2013			
Harlan, Kentucky	5,000	May 25, 2014			
Johnson City, Tennessee	5,252	April 17, 2014			
Phoenix, Arizona	1,284	April 30, 2013			
Plano, Texas	26,020	January 31, 2015			
		May 31, 2015 for 26,988 square feet.			
Plano, Texas	53,976	January 14, 2019 for 26,988 square			
		feet.			
Pleasanton, California	3,306	July 31, 2013			
Portland, Oregon	11,564	September 30, 2013			
Rochester, New York	18,000	June 30, 2023			
Rochester, New York	9,450	Month to Month			
Santa Ana, California	6,186	June 30, 2013			
Tempe, Arizona	14,315	September 30, 2014			
Tempe, Arizona	2,500	September 30, 2014			
Toronto, Ontario, Canada	14,094	July 31, 2020			
West Windsor Township, New Jersey	5,288	May 31, 2013			
West Windsor Township, New Jersey	4,886	June 30, 2013			
West Windsor Township, New Jersey	22,414	May 31, 2023			

We believe that our facilities are suitable and adequate for our current business operations and, if necessary, could be replaced with little disruption to our company. We periodically review our space requirements and may acquire new

space to meet our business needs or consolidate and dispose of or sublet facilities which are no longer required.

# ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Processing System Intrusion Legal Proceedings

To date, we have had several lawsuits filed against us and additional lawsuits may be filed. These include lawsuits which assert claims against us by banks that issued payment cards to cardholders whose transaction information is alleged to have been placed at risk in the course of the Processing System Intrusion (including various putative class actions seeking to represent all financial institutions that issued payment cards to cardholders whose transaction information is alleged to have

#### Table of Contents

been placed at risk in the course of the Processing System Intrusion), seeking damages allegedly arising out of the Processing System Intrusion and other related relief. The actions generally assert various common-law claims such as claims for negligence and breach of contract, as well as, in some cases, statutory claims such as violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, state data breach notification statutes, and state unfair and deceptive practices statutes. The putative financial institution class actions seek compensatory damages, including recovery of the cost of issuance of replacement cards and losses by reason of unauthorized transactions, as well as injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs.

The putative financial institution class actions filed against us through February 27, 2013 are described below.

Putative Financial Institution Class Actions					
Name of the Court	Date Filed	Principal Parties			
		Lone Summit Bank v. Heartland			
United States District Court for the		Payment Systems, Inc. et al., 3:09-			
District of New Jersey	February 6, 2009	cv-00581			
-	-	TriCentury Bank et al. v. Heartland			
United States District Court for the		Payment Systems, Inc. et al., 3:09-			
District of New Jersey	February 13, 2009	cv-00697			
		Lone Star National Bank v.			
United States District Court for the		Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et			
Southern District of Texas	February 16, 2009	al., 7:09-cv-00064			
		Amalgamated Bank et al. v.			
United States District Court for the		Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et			
District of New Jersey	February 20, 2009	al., 3:09-cv-00776			
		First Bankers Trust Co., N.A. v.			
United States District Court for the		Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et			
Southern District of Florida	March 19, 2009	al., 4:09-cv-00825			
		PBC Credit Union et al. v.			
United States District Court for the		Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. et			
Southern District of Florida	March 31, 2009	al., 9:09-cv-80481			
		Community West Credit Union, et			
United States District Court for the		al. v. Heartland Payment Systems,			
Southern District of Texas	April 22, 2009	Inc. et al., 4:09-cv-01201			
		Eden Financial Corp. v. Heartland			
United States District Court for the		Payment Systems, Inc. et al., 4:09-			
Southern District of Texas	April 22, 2009	cv-01203			
		Heritage Trust Federal Credit			
United States District Court for the		Union v. Heartland Payment			
Southern District of Texas	April 28, 2009	Systems, Inc. et al., 4:09-cv-01284			
		Pennsylvania State Employees			
United States District Court for the		Credit Union v. Heartland Payment			
Southern District of Texas	May 1, 2009	Systems, Inc. et al., 4:09-cv-01330			

On June 10, 2009, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the "JPML") entered an order centralizing the class action cases for pre-trial proceedings before the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, under the caption In re Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, MDL No. 2046, 4:09-md-2046. On August 24, 2009, the court appointed interim co-lead and liaison counsel for the financial institution.

On September 23, 2009, the financial institution plaintiffs filed a Master Complaint in the MDL proceedings, which we moved to dismiss on October 23, 2009. On December 1, 2011, the Court entered an order granting in part our motion to dismiss the financial institution plaintiffs' master complaint against us, but allowing the plaintiffs leave to amend to re-plead certain claims. Plaintiffs elected not to file an amended complaint. The parties then jointly moved for the entry of final judgment on those claims in the master complaint that the Court had dismissed. On August 16, 2012, the Court entered final judgment on the dismissed claims and, on September 17, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal from that final judgment to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. On September 12, 2012, Plaintiffs stipulated to dismissal with prejudice of the remaining claims pending before the District Court. Briefing on Plaintiffs' appeal was complete on February 8, 2013.

Other actions have been filed against us seeking damages allegedly arising out of the Processing System Intrusion and other related relief on an individual basis. On December 28, 2009, Putnam Bank of Putnam, Connecticut filed a complaint in

Connecticut Superior Court, Putnam Bank v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc., case no. WWM-CV-10-6001208-S. On January 20, 2010, we removed the action to the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, case no. 3:10-cv-0061 (JBA), and, on January 27, 2010, filed a Notice of Potential Tag-Along Action, requesting centralization of the action with the MDL proceedings. On March 17, 2010, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On February 9, 2010, OmniAmerican Bank filed a complaint in the District Court for Collin County, Texas, Civ. No. 380-00563-2012. The complaint identifies as a party in interest the Federal Insurance Company, which is alleged to have insured plaintiff and reimbursed it for \$1,005,077.50, less a \$100,000 deductible. On March 15, 2010, we filed an answer to the complaint and removed the action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, case no. 4:10-cv-114, and, on March 16, 2010, filed a Notice of Potential Tag-Along Action, requesting centralization of the action with the MDL proceedings. On April 29, 2010, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On February 18, 2010, Quad City Bank and Trust filed a complaint in the District Court for Collin County, Texas, Civ. No. 380-00721-2010. The complaint identifies as a party in interest the Federal Insurance Company, which is alleged to have insured plaintiff and reimbursed it for \$432,420.32, less a \$100,000 deductible. On March 15, 2010, we filed an answer to the complaint and removed the action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, case no. 4:10-cv-115 and, on March 16, 2010, filed a Notice of Potential Tag-Along Action, requesting centralization of the action with the MDL proceedings. On April 29, 2010, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On May 5, 2010, Napus Federal Credit Union filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, case no. 4:10-cv-1616, and the action was consolidated with the MDL proceedings on June 9, 2010.

On January 19, 2010, financial institution plaintiffs, including certain of the named plaintiffs in the MDL proceedings, commenced an action against our sponsor banks in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, captioned Lonestar National Bank, N.A. et al. v. KeyBank NA, et al., Civ. No. 4:10-cv-00171. This action against our sponsor banks asserts common-law claims similar to those asserted against us, and likewise seeks to represent all financial institutions that issued payment cards to cardholders whose transaction information is alleged to have been placed at risk in the course of the Processing System Intrusion. On March 4, 2010, this action was transferred to the judge overseeing the MDL proceedings. On April 9, 2010, our sponsor banks moved to dismiss the complaint. On March 31, 2011, the Court entered an order granting the sponsor banks' motions to dismiss the complaint and invited additional briefing on the effect of the Court's order on our pending motion to dismiss. On April 18, 2011, in accordance with its order dismissing the claims against Heartland Bank for lack of personal jurisdiction, the court transferred the action against Heartland Bank to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. Heartland Bank filed a notice with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on July 27, 2011 designating the transferred action as a potential tag-along action to the MDL proceedings. On August 11, 2011, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On August 14, 2012, Plaintiffs stipulated to dismissal of the claims against Heartland Bank. The sponsor banks could seek indemnification from us in regard to the claims asserted in this action.

Although we intend to defend the lawsuits and inquiries described above vigorously, we cannot predict the outcome of such lawsuits and inquiries.

Since our announcement of the Processing System Intrusion on January 20, 2009 and through December 31, 2012, we have expensed a total of \$147.6 million, before reducing those charges by \$31.2 million of total insurance recoveries. The majority of the total charges, or approximately \$114.7 million, related to settlements of claims. Approximately \$32.9 million of the total charges were for legal fees and costs we incurred for investigations, defending various claims and actions, remedial actions and crisis management services. In 2009 and 2010, we had entered into settlements with the bankcard networks for various claims and disputes related to the Processing System Intrusion. See "—Part II. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations —Liquidity and Capital Resources —Settlements of Claims Related to the Processing System Intrusion" for a discussion of these settlements.

We do not consider it a reasonable possibility that losses exceeding the amounts already recognized on the matters subject to the settlement agreements will be incurred. With regard to the unsettled claims related to the Processing System Intrusion, we determined material losses in addition to those previously accrued are not considered reasonably possible on any such claim disclosed. We are prepared to vigorously defend ourselves against any unsettled claims relating to the Processing System Intrusion that have been asserted against us and our sponsor banks to date. We feel we have strong defenses to all the claims that have been asserted against us and our sponsor banks relating to the Processing System Intrusion.

Additional costs we expect to incur for legal fees and costs for defending the unsettled claims and actions associated with the Processing System Intrusion will be recognized as incurred. Such costs are not expected to be material to our results of operations, financial condition and cash flow.

#### Other Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various legal actions, which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. We believe that the outcome of the proceedings to which we are currently a party will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

#### ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

#### PART II.

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

#### Market Information

Our common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol "HPY." The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of our common stock and dividend paid per share for the four quarters during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	High	Low	Dividend Per Share
2011			
Quarter Ended:			
March 31, 2011	\$19.91	\$15.39	\$0.04
June 30, 2011	\$22.06	\$16.74	\$0.04
September 30, 2011	\$23.00	\$18.45	\$0.04
December 31, 2011	\$24.73	\$18.49	\$0.04
2012			
Quarter Ended:			
March 31, 2012	\$30.43	\$23.35	\$0.06
June 30, 2012	\$34.00	\$26.97	\$0.06
September 30, 2012	\$33.09	\$28.55	\$0.06
December 31, 2012	\$32.91	\$25.76	\$0.06

#### Holders of Common Stock

The number of shareholders of record of our common stock as of February 25, 2013 was 20.

#### Dividends

Until the third quarter of 2006, we had not paid any cash dividends on our common stock. On August 1, 2006, our Board of Directors declared the first quarterly cash dividend on our common stock. We have paid quarterly dividends on our common stock each subsequent quarter. The payment of dividends on our common stock in the future will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on, among other factors, our earnings, stockholders' equity, cash position and financial condition. On February 7, 2013, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.07 per share of common stock, payable on March 15, 2013 to stockholders of record as of March 4, 2013.

Securities Authorized For Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

We maintain the Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan under which shares of our common stock are authorized for issuance. For more information on this plan, see Note 14, "Stock Incentive Plans." Information regarding the common stock issuable under this plan as of December 31, 2012 is set forth in the following table:

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted- average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in the first column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	5,694,823	\$18.65	5,694,823
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	None	N/A	None
Total	5,694,823	\$18.65	5,694,823

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

On October 21, 2011, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million worth of our outstanding common stock. Repurchases under this program were made through the open market in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. Under the October 21, 2011 authorization, in 2012, we repurchased an aggregate of 1,157,440 shares of our common stock at a cost of \$33.2 million, or an average cost of \$28.66 per share. Repurchases under this authorization were completed on June 4, 2012.

On July 27, 2012, our Board of Directors authorized a program to repurchase up to \$50 million of our outstanding common stock under essentially the same terms as the October 21, 2011 program. Under this authorization, in 2012 we repurchased an aggregate of 1,760,804 shares of our common stock at a cost of \$50.0 million, or an average cost of \$28.40 per share. Repurchases under this authorization were completed on October 24, 2012.

On November 2, 2012, our Board of Directors authorized a program to repurchase up to \$50 million of the Company's outstanding common stock under essentially the same terms as the prior programs. Under this authorization, in 2012 we repurchased an aggregate of 715,800 shares of our common stock at a cost of \$20.2 million, or an average cost of \$28.20 per share. At December 31, 2012, we have remaining authorization to repurchase up to an additional \$29.8 million of our common stock.

We funded repurchases with cash flow from operations, existing cash on our balance sheet, and other sources including the cash proceeds from stock option exercises. The following table presents information with respect to those purchases of our common stock made during the three months ended December 31, 2012:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs (In thousands)
October 1—31, 2012	1,243,821	\$27.30	1,243,821	
November 1—30, 2012	515,800	\$27.75	515,800	\$35,689
December 1 — 31, 2012	200,000 1,959,621	\$29.38 \$27.63	200,000 1,959,621	\$29,813
	1,939,021	\$27.03	1,939,021	

#### Performance Graph

The following graph compares the percentage change in cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock for the past five years with the cumulative total returns over the same period of (i) the S&P 500 Index and (ii) the S&P Information Technology Index.

The below comparison assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2007 in our common stock and in the S&P 500 Index and the S&P Information Technology Index, and assumes reinvestment of dividends, if any. Historical stock prices are not indicative of future stock price performance.

#### Table of Contents

	Base Period 12/31/2007	Period Endeo 12/31/2008	1 12/31/2009	12/31/2010	12/31/2011	12/31/2012
Heartland Payment Systems, Inc.	\$100.00	\$66.40	\$50.16	\$59.06	\$94.05	\$114.82
S&P 500	100.00	63.00	79.67	91.68	93.61	108.59
S&P Information Technology Index	100.00	56.86	91.96	101.32	103.77	119.15

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth our selected historical consolidated financial information and other data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, which are derived from our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. Historical consolidated financial information for 2009 and 2008 are derived from our consolidated financial statements for those years (not included herein). The information in the following table should be read together with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report.

Statement of Operations Data:	2012		December 3 2011 s, except pe		2010 hare data)	2009		2008	
-						<b></b>	~	<b>* 1 *</b> 10 00	~
Total revenues	\$2,013,436	)	\$1,985,57	7	\$1,855,839	\$1,646,11	9	\$1,540,80	9
Costs of services:	1 204 020		1 250 449		1 200 (21	1 1 40 1 10		1 002 546	
Interchange	1,284,038		1,359,448		1,299,631	1,142,112		1,093,546	
Dues, assessments and fees	199,503 216 863		155,233 208,542		119,834	89,844 197,626		67,648 177 510	
Processing and servicing	216,863		208,342 46,140		225,802 50,415	197,626 50,362		177,519	
Customer acquisition costs Depreciation and amortization	43,547 19,750		40,140 14,368		30,413 14,653	30,362 15,314		48,522 10,580	
Total costs of services	19,730				14,033			1,397,815	
General and administrative	1,703,701		1,783,731 125,765		99,912	1,495,258 101,286		1,397,813 72,480	
Total expenses	1,903,635		1,909,496		1,810,247	1,596,544		1,470,295	
Income from operations	1,903,033		76,081		45,592	49,575		70,514	
Other income (expense):	109,001		70,081		45,592	49,373		70,314	
Interest income	201		142		134	106		742	
Interest expense	(3,446	)	(4,122	``		(2,695	)	(3,202	)
Gain (loss) on investments	(3,440	)	(4,122	)	25	(31		(3,202)	)
(Provision for) recovery of processing					25	(31	)	(393	)
system intrusion costs	(563	)	(1,012	)	14,138	(128,943	)		
Other, net	(949	)	(1,550	)	47	(8	)	(5	)
Total other (expense) income	(4,757		(6,542		9,566	(131,571	)	(2,860	)
Income from continuing operations before	(4,737	)	(0,5+2	)	),500	(151,571	)	(2,000	)
income taxes	105,044		69,539		55,158	(81,996	)	67,654	
Provision for income taxes	40,691		26,551		20,975	(30,050	)	25,857	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	64,353		42,988		34,183	(51,946		41,797	
Income from discontinued operations, net of							)		
income tax	2,185		1,359		477	216		74	
Net income	66,538		44,347		34,660	(51,730	)	41,871	
Less: Net income attributable to	·						)		
noncontrolling interests	649		408		123	66		31	
Net income (loss) attributable to Heartland	\$65,889		\$43,939		\$34,537	\$(51,796	)	\$41,840	
	<i>Ф02,007</i>		Ψ10,202		φ <i>σ</i> 1,557	Φ(31,770	)	φ11,010	
Basic earnings (loss) per share:									
Income from continuing operations	\$1.67		\$1.10		\$0.90	\$(1.39)		\$1.12	
Income from discontinued operations	\$0.04		\$0.03		\$0.01	\$0.01			
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$1.71		\$1.13		\$0.91	\$(1.38)		\$1.12	
<b>B C C C C C C C C C C</b>					1				
Diluted earnings (loss) per share:									
Income from continuing operations	\$1.60		\$1.07		\$0.87	\$(1.39)		\$1.08	
Income from discontinued operations	\$0.04		\$0.02		\$0.01	\$0.01			
Diluted earnings per share	\$1.64		\$1.09		\$0.88	\$(1.38)		\$1.08	
~ .									
Weighted average number of common shares									
outstanding:									
Basic	38,468		38,931		37,994	37,483		37,521	
Diluted	40,058		40,233		39,310	38,028		38,698	

#### Edgar Filing: HEARTLAND PAYMENT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K Cash dividends declared per common share \$0.24 \$0.16 \$0.04 \$0.055 \$0.36 Other Data: Net revenue\* \$529,895 \$470,896 \$436,374 \$414,163 \$379,615 Operating margin 20.7% 16.2% 10.4%12.0%18.6%Number of active bankcard merchants serviced 229 237 236 234 230 (at period end - in thousands) Bankcard processing volume for the period (in \$100,388 \$83,698 \$74,939 \$69,262 \$69,925 millions)

\*Net revenue is defined as total revenues less Interchange fees and dues, assessments, and fees.

	As of December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Balance Sheet Data:	(In thousand	ls)			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$48,440	\$37,882	\$40,249	\$31,294	\$27,283
Funds held for customers	131,405	42,511	36,523	29,667	22,002
Receivables, net	180,448	175,822	174,864	148,981	139,834
Goodwill	168,062	94,255	59,030	52,074	50,860
Total assets	813,414	596,921	561,464	562,206	463,619
Due to sponsor banks	37,586	63,881	72,573	80,007	68,212
Accounts payable	64,065	46,715	41,779	31,936	25,694
Customer fund deposits	131,405	42,511	36,523	29,667	22,002
Processing liabilities	95,273	30,675	28,690	27,211	16,869
Current portion of borrowings	102,001	15,003	38,286	58,547	58,403
Long-term portion of borrowings	50,000	70,000	85,000	8,419	16,984
Total liabilities	602,253	376,869	383,870	432,251	284,256
Total stockholders' equity	209,786	219,410	177,293	129,741	179,244

# ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements and the risk factors included elsewhere in this report.

#### Overview

### General

Our primary business is to provide bankcard payment processing services to merchants in the United States. This involves facilitating the exchange of information and funds between merchants and cardholders' financial institutions, providing end-to-end electronic payment processing services to merchants, including merchant set-up and training, transaction authorization and electronic draft capture, clearing and settlement, merchant accounting, merchant assistance and support, and risk management. Our card-accepting customers primarily fall into two categories: our core small and mid-sized merchants (referred to as "Small and Midsized Enterprises," or "SME merchants") and Network Services' merchants, predominately petroleum industry merchants of all sizes (referred to as "Network Services Merchants"). We provide additional services to SME merchants, such as full-service payroll processing and related tax filing services, marketing solutions including loyalty and gift cards which we provide through Heartland Marketing Solutions, and we sell and rent point-of-sale devices. We also provide school nutrition, point-of-sale solutions, open- and closed-loop payment solutions and higher education loan services to colleges and universities through Campus Solutions, and prepaid and stored-value card solutions through Micropayments.

At December 31, 2012, we provided our bankcard payment processing services to approximately 169,994 active SME bankcard merchants located across the United States. This represents a slight decrease over the 171,801 active SME bankcard merchants at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2012, we provided bankcard payment processing services to approximately 396 Network Services Merchants with approximately 47,064 locations. Additionally, at December 31, 2012, we provided bankcard payment processing services to approximately 12,000 merchants in Canada through our 70% owned subsidiary Collective POS Solutions Ltd. ("CPOS").

We sold our interest in CPOS in a transaction settled in January 2013. CPOS has historically represented an insignificant component of our financial position and results of operations. However, as further disclosed elsewhere in the notes to consolidated financial statements, we will recognize a gain on the sale of CPOS in the first quarter of 2013, which gain will likely be material to the results for the quarter ending March 31, 2013. As a result, we presented the net assets of CPOS as held for sale at December 31, 2012 and present the results of operations for CPOS as a discontinued operation for all periods presented.

Our total bankcard processing volume for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$100.4 billion, a 19.9% increase from the \$83.7 billion processed during the year ended December 31, 2011. Our SME bankcard processing volume for the year ended December 31, 2012 was \$71.7 billion, a 6.3% increase over \$67.5 billion in 2011, reflecting increases for same store sales growth, new SME merchants installed, and lower merchant attrition. Our bankcard processing volume for 2012 also includes \$27.9 billion of settled volume for Network Services Merchants, compared to \$15.5 billion for 2011. Network

Services' increase for the 2012 period primarily reflects the expansion of a contract with a large petroleum merchant to include back end settlement. Bankcard processing volume for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010		
	(In millions)				
SME merchants	\$71,724	\$67,499	\$63,058		
Network Services Merchants	27,894	15,533	11,319		
Canada	770	666	562		
Total bankcard processing volume (a)	\$100,388	\$83,698	\$74,939		
(a) Pankaard processing volume includes volume for a	radit and signature dabit trans	ations			

(a)Bankcard processing volume includes volume for credit and signature debit transactions.

Merchant attrition is expected in the card payment processing industry in the ordinary course of business. We experience attrition in merchant bankcard processing volume resulting from several factors, including business closures, transfers of merchants' accounts to our competitors and account closures that we initiate due to heightened credit risks relating to, or contract breaches by, merchants, and (when applicable) same store sales contraction. We measure SME processing volume attrition against all SME merchants that were processing with us in the same month a year earlier. During the year ended December 31, 2012, we experienced an improved 12.8% average annualized attrition in our SME bankcard processing volume compared to an average attrition of 13.5% and 15.3% for the years ended December 31, 2010, respectively.

In our SME business, we measure same store sales growth, or contraction, as the change in bankcard processing volume for all bankcard merchants that were processing with us in the same month a year earlier. In 2012, same store sales grew 2.2% on average, compared to 2.6% and 1.3% same store sales growth in 2011 and 2010, respectively. Same store sales growth or contraction results from the combination of the increasing or decreasing use by consumers of bankcards for the purchase of goods and services at the point of sale, and sales growth or contraction experienced by our retained SME bankcard merchants. Historically, our same store sales experience has tracked overall economic conditions. The following table compares our same store sales growth or contraction during 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009:

Same Store Sales	2012	2011	2010	2009
Growth (Contraction)	2012	2011	2010	2009
First Quarter	3.4%	3.2%	(1.5)%	(7.6)%
Second Quarter	2.2%	2.5%	1.1%	(9.7)%
Third Quarter	1.8%	2.3%	2.0%	(8.6)%
Fourth Quarter	1.5%	2.5%	3.8%	(5.2)%
Full Year	2.2%	2.6%	1.3%	(7.8)%

We measure the overall production of our sales force by new gross margin installed, which reflects the expected annual gross profit from a merchant contract after deducting processing and servicing costs associated with that revenue. We measure installed margin primarily for our SME card processing, payroll processing and loyalty and gift card marketing businesses. Our newly installed gross margin for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased 11.5% from the gross margin we installed during the year ended December 31, 2011. We attribute this increase in newly installed gross margin to higher volumes and margins at newly installed merchants and improved individual productivity achieved by our salespersons. We expect to drive increases in year-over-year installed margin in future periods primarily by increasing our Relationship Manager and Territory Manager count. Our combined Relationship Managers and Territory Managers count amounted to 739, 790 and 917 at December 31, 2012, December 31, 2012 who have augmented our sales force.

The bankcard revenue we earn in our SME business is recurring in nature, as we typically enter into three-year service contracts with our card processing SME merchants that, in order to qualify for the agreed-upon pricing, require the merchant to achieve bankcard processing volume minimums. Most of our SME revenue is from payment processing fees, which are a combination of a fee equal to a percentage of the dollar amount of each transaction we process plus a flat fee per transaction. We make mandatory payments of interchange fees to the card issuer through the card networks and dues, assessments and other network fees to Visa, MasterCard and Discover. Our SME gross bankcard processing revenue is largely driven by the Visa and MasterCard volume processed by our merchants. We also realize card processing revenues from processing transactions for our SME merchants accepting American Express and from processing Discover transactions.

In contrast to SME card processing revenues, revenues from our Network Services Merchants are largely driven by the number of transactions we process (whether settled, or only authorized), not our processing volume, as the merchants which comprise Network Services' customer base pay on a per transaction basis for processing services. Network Services' increased number of transactions processed for the 2012 period primarily reflects the expansion of a contract with a large petroleum

merchant to include back end settlement. Additionally, we provide authorization, settlement and account servicing services on our front and back end systems for American Express transactions for larger merchants, and merchants signed to American Express by other processors; for those services we receive compensation from American Express on a per transaction basis. The number of transactions we processed for Network Services Merchants and American Express for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

	Year Ended Dec	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010	
Network Services Merchants:	(In thousands)			
Settled	957,756	553,078	487,972	
Authorized	2,481,174	2,720,595	2,611,830	
Total Network Services	3,438,930	3,273,673	3,099,802	
American Express (a)	32,306	31,704	20,703	
Total	3,471,236	3,305,377	3,120,505	
		1 1		

(a) Includes only those transactions not eligible for residual compensation

Our internally-developed front-end authorization systems, HPS Exchange, VAPS and NWS, provide us greater control of the electronic transaction process, allow us to offer our merchants a differentiated product offering, and offer economies of scale that we expect will increase our long-term profitability. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, approximately 95%, 93% and 90%, respectively, of our SME transactions were processed through HPS Exchange. All of our Network Services transactions were processed through VAPS or NWS.

We provide clearing, settlement and merchant accounting services through our own internally developed back-end processing system, Passport. Passport enables us to customize these services to the needs of our Relationship Managers and merchants. At both December 31, 2012 and 2011, approximately 99% of total SME bankcard merchants were processing on Passport. In the fourth quarter of 2010, the clearing, settlement and merchant accounting services for Network Services' settled transactions were converted onto Passport, so that since December 31, 2010, our internal systems have been providing all aspects of our Network Services Merchants' processing needs.

We provide payroll processing services throughout the United States. On December 31, 2012, we acquired Ovation Payroll, Inc. ("Ovation") adding over 10,000 customers to our existing payroll business. At December 31, 2012, we processed payroll for 22,553 customers, including Ovation, an increase of 90.5% from 11,841 payroll customers at December 31, 2011. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, we installed 3,399, 3,723 and 4,858 new payroll processing customers in our existing business, respectively, excluding Ovation's installation activity. We operate a comprehensive payroll management system, which we refer to as PlusOne Payroll, that streamlines all aspects of the payroll process to enable time and cost savings. PlusOne Payroll customers to PlusOne Payroll in 2011. The PlusOne Payroll platform enables us to process payroll on a large scale and provide customizable solutions for businesses of all sizes. The acquisition of Ovation will add scale to our PlusOne Payroll platform, leveraging operating costs once conversion is complete in 2014, and also adds management, 29 salespersons to our SME sales force, a new sales approach including an affinity partner network and enhanced product and servicing capabilities.

We provide school nutrition, point-of-sale solutions, and associated payment solutions including online prepayment, to K to 12 schools throughout the United States. At December 31, 2012, our Heartland School Solutions business provided services to over 29,000 public and private schools, compared to over 19,000 public and private schools at December 31, 2011. Our Heartland School Solutions business has been built through a series of five acquisitions in 2010, 2011 and 2012. On June 29, 2012, we acquired the K to 12 school solutions business of Lunch Byte Systems, Inc. accounting for the increase in the number of schools served in 2012.

We provide open and closed loop payment solutions and higher education loan services to campuses throughout the United States and Canada. At December 31, 2012, our Campus Solutions business served more than 2,000 colleges and universities, compared to approximately 200 at December 31, 2011. The increase reflects our acquisition of Educational Computer Systems, Inc. ("ECSI") on December 14, 2012, expanding our Campus Solutions business into higher education and post-graduate school/student services.

## 2012 Financial Highlights

Our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2012 benefited from an improved operating margin, reflecting 12.5% year-over-year growth in net revenue and a moderate increase in processing and servicing costs compared to December 31, 2011. For 2012, we recorded net income of \$65.9 million, or \$1.64 per share compared to net income of \$43.9 million, or \$1.09 per share, in 2011. The following is a summary of our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2012.

#### Table of Contents

Net revenue, which we define as total revenues less interchange fees and dues, assessments and fees, increased 12.5% to \$529.9 million during 2012 from \$470.9 million during 2011. The increase in net revenue was driven by the increased card processing net revenue from our SME merchants and increases in Heartland School Solutions revenues, Payroll processing revenues, and equipment-related revenues.

SME bankcard processing volume increased 6.3% to \$71.7 billion, compared to \$67.5 billion in 2011. The year-over-year increase reflects same stores sales growth, improved merchant volume attrition, and increased processing activity from new SME merchants installed.

Processing and servicing expenses for 2012 increased by \$8.3 million or 4.0%, compared with 2011. The increase in processing and servicing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 was primarily due to increased costs associated with processing and servicing higher SME bankcard processing volume, increased residual commission expense and increased cost of sales and servicing related to higher Heartland School Solutions, Payroll processing, and Loyalty and Gift revenues. The increase in processing and servicing expenses, previously reported in general and administrative expense, to processing and servicing in the year ended December 31, 2012. Partially offsetting the increases in processing and servicing expenses were decreases in merchant losses, service center expense and card related equipment costs. Our general and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased \$14.2 million, or 11.3%, from \$125.8 million in 2011 to \$139.9 million in 2012. This increase is primarily due to an \$11.5 million increase in personnel costs, including a \$4.6 million increase for share based compensation and increased personnel costs related to the Heartland School Solutions acquisitions.

Our income from operations, which we also refer to as operating income, increased 44.3% to \$109.8 million for 2012 from \$76.1 million in 2011 primarily due to the growth of our net revenue and lower increases in processing and servicing expense. Our operating margin, which is measured as operating income divided by net revenue, was 20.7% for 2012, compared to 16.2% for 2011.

See "—Results of Operations —Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011" for a more detailed discussion of our full year operating results.

#### Components of Revenues and Expenses

Revenues. Our revenues fall into three categories: gross processing revenue, payroll processing revenue and equipment-related revenue. Our gross processing revenue primarily consists of discount, per-transaction and periodic (primarily monthly) fees from the processing of Visa, MasterCard, American Express and Discover transactions for our SME merchants and per-transaction fees for the authorization and settlement of transactions by Network Services. Gross processing revenue also includes American Express and Discover servicing fees, customer service fees, fees for processing chargebacks, termination fees on terminated contracts, Heartland School Solutions fees (primarily fees associated with processing online prepayments), Campus Solutions revenue (including revenue associated with providing solutions to support administrative services for higher education including student loan payment processing, delinquency and default services, refund management, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing), gift and loyalty card fees and other miscellaneous revenue. Revenues are recorded at the time service is provided.

Payroll processing revenue includes fees charged by our subsidiary, Heartland Payroll Company, for payroll processing services, including check printing, direct deposit, related federal, state and local tax deposits and providing accounting documentation and interest income earned on funds held for customers. Revenues are recorded at the time service is provided. In the future, payroll processing revenues will include income earned by Ovation.

Equipment-related revenue includes revenues from the sale, rental and deployment of bankcard terminals. Our equipment-related income also includes revenues from the sale of hardware, software and associated services for prepaid card and stored-value card payment systems, Campus Solutions and Heartland School Solutions. Equipment

revenues are recorded at the time of shipment, or the provision of service. Most of these revenue items tend to grow with our overall merchant and customer growth.

Expenses. Our most significant expense is interchange fees, which are set by the card networks, and are paid to the card issuing banks. For our SME bankcard processing, we do not offset bankcard processing revenues and interchange fees because our business practice is to advance the interchange fees to most of our merchants when settling their daily transactions (thus paying the full amount of the transaction to the merchant), and then to collect our full discount fees from our merchants on the first business day of the next month. As Network Services does not advance interchange fees to its merchants, we record its portion of our processing revenues net of interchange fees. Interchange fees are calculated as a percentage of the dollar volume processed plus a per transaction fee. We also pay Visa, MasterCard and Discover, as well as certain PIN network dues,

#### Table of Contents

assessments and fees, which are a combination of a percentage of the dollar volume processed and per-transaction fees. Interchange fees and dues, assessments and fees are recognized at the time transactions are processed. It is our policy to pass along to our merchants any changes in interchange fees and card network dues, assessments and fees. Since the card networks regularly adjust those rates, our gross processing revenue will increase or decrease, but all the impact of such changes will be paid to the card issuing banks and our income from operations will not be affected.

Costs of services also include processing and servicing costs, customer acquisition costs, and depreciation and amortization. Processing and servicing costs include:

processing costs, which are either paid to third parties, including our bank sponsors, or represent the cost of our own authorization/capture and accounting/settlement systems. During 2012, third party costs represented about 39% of our processing costs. During 2011, third party costs represented about 58% of our processing costs. Approximately 29% and 19%, respectively, of our third-party processing costs in 2012 and 2011 were paid to Fujitsu for processing costs in 2012 and 20% and respectively, of our third-party processing costs in 20% and respectively, of our third-party processing costs in 2012 and 2011 were paid to TSYS Acquiring Solutions;

residual commission payments to our Relationship Managers, sales managers, trade associations, agent banks and value-added resellers, which are a percentage of the gross margin we generated from our merchant contracts during the accounting period;

the costs of operating our service center and other customer support locations, including telecommunications costs, personnel costs, occupancy costs, losses due to merchant defaults, depreciation and amortization, and other direct servicing costs; and

the costs of bankcard terminals and POS systems, and the costs of hardware deployed for Campus Solutions, Heartland School Solutions, Micropayments, and merchant supplies.

Customer acquisition costs reflect the amortization over the initial three-year contract term of the cash signing bonus paid and the deferred acquisition costs for vested Relationship Managers and sales managers, as well as changes in the accrued buyout liability, which reflect the impact of buying out residual commissions (see "—Critical Accounting Estimates —Accrued Buyout Liability") and volume attrition.

Depreciation and amortization expenses are primarily recognized on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the asset. We have made significant capital expenditures for computer hardware and software and such costs are generally depreciated over three to five years.

General and administrative expenses include personnel and other administrative expenses related to our information technology infrastructure costs, our marketing expenses and other administrative functions.

Other income (expense) consists of interest income on cash and investments, interest expense on our borrowings, write downs of capitalized information technology development projects, gain from the sale of merchant bankcard processing contracts, legal settlements accrued or received, exit costs, and other non-operating income or expense items, including those related to the processing system intrusion announced by us in 2009.

#### Critical Accounting Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this report. The critical accounting estimates described here are those that are most important to the depiction of our financial condition and results of operations, including those whose application requires

management's most subjective judgment in making estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. The line items on our income statement and balance sheet, which are impacted by management's estimates, are described below.

## Revenue

Our bankcard processing revenue is derived from processing and settling Visa, MasterCard, American Express and Discover bankcard transactions for our merchant customers. Our most significant expense related to the generation of those revenues is interchange fees, which are set by the card networks, and paid to the card issuing banks. For our SME merchant bankcard processing, we do not offset bankcard processing revenues and interchange fees in our income statement because our business practice is to advance the interchange fees to most of our SME merchants when settling their daily transactions

(thus paying the full amount of the transaction to the merchant), and then to collect our full discount fees from our merchants on the first business day of the next month. We fund interchange advances to our SME merchants from a combination of our operating cash and advances from our sponsor banks. We believe this policy aids in new business generation, as our merchants benefit from bookkeeping simplicity. However, this practice results in our carrying a large receivable from our merchants at each period-end, and a corresponding but smaller payable to our sponsor banks, which are settled on the first business day after the period-end. As we are at risk for the receivables, we record the associated revenues on a gross processing revenue basis in our consolidated Statements of Income. Certain of our competitors report their processing revenue net of interchange fees. This is because the card issuing banks make their merchants. For our Network Services merchants, we also record a portion of our processing revenues net of interchange fees because the daily cash settlement with Network Services' merchants is net of interchange fees.

#### Capitalized Customer Acquisition Costs

Capitalized customer acquisition costs consist of (1) up-front signing bonuses paid to Relationship Managers and sales managers, referred to as the "salesperson" or "salespersons," for the establishment of new merchant relationships, and (2) deferred acquisition cost representing the estimated cost of buying out the commissions of vested salespersons at some point in the future. Capitalized customer acquisition costs represent incremental, direct customer acquisition costs that are recoverable through gross margins associated with SME merchant contracts. The capitalized customer acquisition costs are amortized using a method which approximates a proportional revenue approach over the initial three-year term of the merchant contract.

The amount of the up-front signing bonus paid for new SME bankcard, payroll and loyalty marketing accounts is based on the estimated gross margin for the first year of the merchant contract. The gross signing bonuses paid during 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$32.4 million, \$30.5 million and \$28.6 million, respectively. The signing bonus paid, amount capitalized, and related amortization are adjusted at the end of the first year to reflect the actual gross margin generated by the merchant contract during that year. The net signing bonus adjustments made during 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$(3.1) million, \$(1.5) million and \$(2.1) million, respectively. Negative signing bonus adjustments occur when the actual gross margin generated by the merchant contract during the first year is less than the estimated gross margin for that year, resulting in the overpayment of the up-front signing bonus, which overpayment would be recovered from the relevant salesperson. Positive signing bonus adjustments result from prior underpayments of up-front signing bonuses, and would be paid to the relevant salesperson. The amount of signing bonuses paid which remained subject to adjustment at December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$32.0 million and \$30.3 million, respectively.

The deferred acquisition cost component of capitalized customer acquisition costs is accrued for vested salespersons over the first year of SME bankcard merchant processing, consistent with the build-up in the accrued buyout liability, which is described below.

Management evaluates the capitalized customer acquisition costs for impairment by comparing, on a pooled basis by vintage month of origination, the expected future net cash flows from underlying merchant relationships to the carrying amount of the capitalized customer acquisition costs. If the estimated future net cash flows are lower than the recorded carrying amount, indicating an impairment of the value of the capitalized customer acquisition costs, the impairment loss will be charged to operations. At December 31, 2011, we recognized an impairment charge related to the decision to discontinue Express Funds, our remote deposit check capture product. We have not recognized an impairment loss in 2012 or 2010.

### Accrued Buyout Liability

We pay our salespersons residual commissions based on the gross margin generated from the monthly processing activity of SME merchants signed by them. We refer to these residual commissions as the "owned" portion of such commissions, or "portfolio equity." The salesperson has no obligation to perform additional services for the merchant for so long as the merchant continues processing with us. We accrue the buyout liability, which represents the

estimated current settlement cost of buying out all vested and expected-to-vest salespersons for the owned portion of such commissions. We also record a deferred acquisition cost asset related to those buyouts, and amortize that asset as an expense over the initial three year contract term. Beginning in June 2012, our salespersons earn portfolio equity on their newly installed payroll and loyalty marketing merchant accounts based on the residual commissions they earn on those accounts. The accrued buyout liability and deferred acquisition cost asset related to these payroll and loyalty marketing merchant accounts based on the residual commissions they earn on those accounts. The accrued buyout liability and deferred acquisition cost asset related to these payroll and loyalty marketing merchant accounts are developed the same as the SME card merchant portfolio equity.

#### Table of Contents

We consider a salesperson to be vested once they have established merchant relationships that generate the equivalent of \$10,000 of monthly gross margin. Vested status entitles the salesperson to his or her residual commissions for as long as the merchant processes with us, even if the salesperson is no longer employed by us.

The accrued buyout liability is based on the merchants we have under contract at the balance sheet date, the gross margin we generated from those accounts in the prior twelve months, the "owned" commission rate, and the fixed buyout multiple of 2.5 times the commissions. A small portion of our accrued buyout liability, less than 2%, relates to salespersons who have opted to receive a multiple of 2.0 times commissions in exchange for a larger company contribution towards health insurance. The liability related to a new merchant is therefore zero when the merchant is installed, and increases over the twelve months following the installation date.

For unvested salespersons, the accrued buyout liability is accrued over the expected vesting period; however, no deferred acquisition cost is capitalized as future services are required in order to vest. In calculating the accrued buyout liability for unvested salespersons, we have assumed that 31% of unvested salespersons will vest in the future, which represents our historical vesting rate. A 5% increase to 36% in the expected vesting rate would have increased the accrued buyout liability for unvested salespersons by \$0.1 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Buyout payments made to salespersons reduce the outstanding accrued buyout liability. Given our view of the duration of the cash flows associated with a pool of merchant contracts, we believe that the benefits of such buyouts significantly exceed the cost, which typically represents 2 ½ years of commissions. If the cash flows associated with a pool of bought out contracts does not exceed this cost, we will incur an economic loss on our decision to buyout the contracts. During 2012, 2011, and 2010, we made buyout payments of approximately \$11.9 million, \$10.4 million and \$25.2 million, respectively.

During the third quarter of 2010, we exercised our rights to buy out a substantial amount of portfolio equity owned by our Relationship Managers and sales managers. As a result of these buyouts, we reduced our Accrued Buyout Liability recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet by the approximately \$17.7 million of cash payments we made. The amount of future annual reductions in residual commission expense will be impacted by merchant attrition. We expect to make significant buyout payments in the future, subject to available cash, as such buyouts reduce the monthly payments we will have to make to our salespersons for such merchants in the future.

#### **Processing Liabilities**

Processing liabilities result primarily from our card processing activities and include merchant deposits maintained to offset potential liabilities from merchant chargeback processing. Prior to December 31, 2012, processing liabilities also included funds in transit for Express Funds, our remote deposit capture product which was discontinued. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, processing liabilities totaled approximately \$95.3 million and \$30.7 million, respectively. In the fourth quarter of 2012, we accelerated the end-of-day presentment of transaction funding files to the bankcard networks, resulting in our sponsor banks receiving settlement cash one day earlier and increasing our funding obligations to our SME merchants, which we carry in processing liabilities.

Disputes between a cardholder and a merchant periodically arise as a result of, among other things, the cardholder's dissatisfaction with merchandise quality or merchant services. Such disputes may not be resolved in the merchant's favor. In

these cases, the transaction is "charged back" to the merchant, which means the purchase price is refunded to the customer by the card-issuing bank and charged to the merchant. If the merchant is unable to fund the refund, we must do so. We also bear the risk of reject losses arising from the fact that we collect our fees from our merchants on the first day after the monthly billing period. If the merchant has gone out of business during such period, we may be unable to collect such fees. We maintain cash deposits or require the pledge of a letter of credit from certain merchants, generally those with higher average transaction size where the card is not present when the charge is made or the product or service is delivered after the charge is made, in order to offset potential contingent liabilities such as

chargebacks and reject losses that would arise if the merchant went out of business. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, we held SME merchant deposits totaling \$6.4 million and \$9.8 million, respectively. Most chargeback and reject losses are charged to processing and servicing as they are incurred. However, we also maintain a loss reserve against losses including major fraud losses, which are both less predictable and involve larger amounts. The loss reserve was established using historical loss rates, applied to recent bankcard processing volume. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, our loss reserve totaled \$2.0 million, respectively. Aggregate SME bankcard merchant losses, including losses charged to operations and the loss reserve, were \$2.0 million, \$5.1 million and \$9.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we converted Network Services' settled transactions from a third party processor

#### Table of Contents

and began settling Network Services Merchant accounts, and processing chargebacks originating from these merchants, on

Passport. Prior to this, these chargebacks were processed and carried by a third party outsourced processor for settling Network Services Merchant accounts. Chargeback losses originating from Network Services bankcard processing on Passport during the year ended December 31, 2012 was immaterial.

#### Share-based Compensation

We expense employee share-based payments under the fair value method. Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period.

We estimate the grant date fair value of the stock options we issue using a Black-Scholes valuation model for "plain-vanilla" stock options and performance-based stock options, and we use a lattice valuation model to measure grant date fair value for stock options containing market vesting conditions. Our assumption for expected volatility is based on our historical volatility data related to market trading of our own common stock. We base our assumptions for the expected life of new stock option grants on our analysis of the historical exercise patterns of our stock options. Our dividend yield assumption is based on dividends expected to be paid over the expected life of the stock option. Our risk-free interest rate assumption for stock options granted is determined by using U.S. treasury rates of the same period as the expected option term of each stock option.

The weighted-average fair value of options we granted during 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$9.36, \$7.95 and \$6.12, respectively. The fair value of options granted during 2012, 2011 and 2010 was estimated at the grant date using the following weighted average assumptions:

	Year Ended December 3	31,			
	2012	2011		2010	
Expected volatility	55 %	% 55	%	54	%
Expected life	3.75 years	3.65 years		3.75 years	
Expected dividends	1.00 %	% 0.80	%	0.40	%
Risk-free interest rate	0.51 9	% 0.55	%	1.21	%

In the fourth quarter of 2010, our Board of Directors approved grants of 508,800 performance-based Restricted Share Units. These Restricted Share Units are nonvested share awards which would vest as follows:

50% will vest in 2013, since the 2012 pro forma diluted earnings per share target of \$1.48 was achieved; and 25% would in 2014 and 25% in 2015 if over the term of these Restricted Share Units, the following diluted earnings per share targets for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014 are achieved:

	2013	2014
Diluted earnings per share <sup>(a)</sup>	\$1.74	\$2.04
(a) Calculated on a pro-forma basis to exclude non-operating gains and losse	s if any and excl	uding the after-te

(a) Calculated on a pro forma basis to exclude non-operating gains and losses, if any, and excluding the after-tax impact of share-based compensation expense.

No share-based compensation expense was recorded on these Restricted Share Units in 2010, the first quarter of 2011, the second quarter of 2011, or the third quarter of 2011. Management subsequently determined that achieving the performance targets was probable to occur and as of December 31, 2011 began recording share-based compensation expense for these Restricted Share Units. The closing price of our common stock on the grant date equals the grant date fair value of these nonvested Restricted Share Units awards and will be recognized as compensation expense over their vesting periods.

In the fourth quarter of 2011, our Board of Directors approved target grants of 164,808 performance-based Restricted Share Units. These Restricted Share Units are nonvested share awards which would vest 50% in 2014 and 50% in 2015 only if we achieve a diluted earnings per share compound annual growth rate ("CAGR") of seventeen percent (17%) for the two-year period ending December 31, 2013. Diluted earnings per share will be calculated on a Pro Forma basis to exclude non-operating gains and losses, if any, and exclude the after-tax impact of share-based compensation expense. For each 1% that the CAGR actually achieved for the two year period ending on December 31, 2013 is above the 17% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be increased by 3.09%; provided, however, that the maximum increase in the number of shares that may be awarded is 100%. Likewise, for each 1% that the CAGR actually achieved for the two-year period ending on December 31, 2013 is below the 17% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be decreased by 1.13%. If the target CAGR is missed by 80% or more, then the number of shares awarded is zero. In the fourth quarter of 2011 and the first quarter of 2012, we recorded expense on these Restricted Share Units based on achieving the 17% target. Management subsequently determined that

achieving a CAGR for the two-year period ending December 31, 2013 which would result in earning the maximum 100% increase in the number of shares that may be awarded was probable to occur, and began recording share-based compensation expense for these Restricted Share Units based on 329,616 shares as of June 30, 2012. The closing price of our common stock on the grant date equals the grant date fair value of these nonvested Restricted Share Units awards and will be recognized as compensation expense over their vesting periods. In the fourth quarter of 2012, our Board of Directors approved target grants of 72,004 performance-based Restricted Share Units. These Restricted Share Units are nonvested share awards which would vest 50% in 2015 and 50% in 2016 only if we achieve a diluted earnings per share compound annual growth rate ("CAGR") of fifteen percent (15%) for the two-year period ending December 31, 2014. Diluted earnings per share will be calculated on a Pro Forma basis to exclude non-operating gains and losses, if any, and exclude the after-tax impact of share-based compensation expense. For each 1% that the CAGR actually achieved for the two year period ending on December 31, 2014 is above the 15% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be increased by 2.08%; provided, however, that the maximum increase in the number of shares that may be awarded is 125%. Likewise, for each 1% that the CAGR actually achieved for the two-year period ending on December 31, 2014 is below the 15% target, the number of shares underlying the Restricted Share Units awarded would be decreased by 1.31%. If the target CAGR is missed by 67% or more, then the number of shares awarded is zero. In the fourth quarter of 2012, we recorded expense on these Restricted Share Units based on achieving the 15% target. The closing price of our common stock on the grant date equals the grant date fair value of these nonvested Restricted Share Units awards and will be recognized as compensation expense over their vesting periods.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, our Board of Directors approved target grants of 72,345 Relative Total Shareholder Return Restricted Share Units (referred to as "TSRs"). These TSRs are nonvested share awards for which vesting percentages and ultimate number of units vesting will be calculated based on the total shareholder return of our common stock as compared to the total shareholder return of 86 peers. The payout schedule can produce vesting percentages ranging from 0% to 225%. Total shareholder return will be calculated based upon the average closing price for the 30 calendar day period ending December 9, 2015, over the closing price on December 10, 2012. The target is based on achieving a total shareholder return equal to the 65<sup>th</sup> percentile of the peer group. In the fourth quarter of 2012, we recorded expense on these TSRs based on achieving the target. A lattice valuation model was applied to measure the grant date fair value of these TSRs.

Amounts we recognized in our financial statements during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 with respect to share-based compensation plans were as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010		
Compensation expense recognized on share-based plans before income tax benefit	\$14,187	\$9,548	\$6,295		
Related income tax benefit recognized in the income statement	5,458	3,635	2,379		
Cash received from stock option exercises	18,303	9,685	6,303		
Excess tax benefit recorded for tax deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options	5,954	3,454	1,910		
Tax benefit realized as reductions of estimated tax payments during the period	4,220	2,954			

#### Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition costs over the fair values of net assets acquired in business combinations. We test goodwill for impairment at least annually and between annual tests if an event occurs or changes in circumstances suggest a potential decline in the fair value of the reporting unit. A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator or change in circumstances relating to impairment has occurred.

Such changes may include, among others: a significant decline in expected future cash flows; a sustained decline in market capitalization; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate; unanticipated competition; and slower growth rates. We perform annual goodwill impairment testing in the fourth quarter. Our evaluation indicated that no impairment existed as of December 31, 2012 or 2011. The fair value of each reporting unit exceeded the carrying value of each reporting unit as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, goodwill of \$168.1 million and \$94.3 million, respectively, was recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. We may be required to record goodwill impairment losses in future periods, whether in connection with our next annual impairment testing in the fourth quarter of 2013 or prior to that, if any such indicators constitute a triggering event in other than the quarter in which the annual goodwill impairment test is

#### Table of Contents

performed. It is not possible at this time to determine if any such future impairment loss would result or, if it does, whether such charge would be material.

#### Income Taxes

We account for income taxes by recognizing deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are recorded to reflect the future tax consequences attributable to the effects of differences between the carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities for financial reporting and for income tax purposes. Judgments are required in determining the amount and probability of future taxable income, which in turn is critical to a determination of whether a valuation reserve against the deferred tax asset is appropriate.

We also account for the recognition and measurement of tax benefits associated with uncertain tax positions. This requires evaluations of individual tax positions to determine whether any part of that position can be recognized or continues to be recognized in the financial statements. An uncertain tax position exists if it is unclear how a transaction will be treated under tax law. We had approximately \$3.1 million of total gross unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2012, approximately \$2.0 million of which would impact the effective tax rate.

In the fourth quarter 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to sell CPOS. Because the Company will no longer be indefinitely reinvesting undistributed earnings of CPOS, the Company accrued and charged to U.S. income tax expense net of foreign tax credits the amount of \$0.4 million in 2012 on the Company's 70% portion of the CPOS cumulative undistributed earnings at December 31, 2012.

**Results of Operations** 

The following table shows certain income statement data as a percentage of net revenue for the periods indicated (in

mulcalcu (m											
thousands of dollars):		% of No	et			% of Ne	et	Change			
	2012	Revenu	e	2011		Revenue	e	Amount		%	
Net revenue:											
Total revenues	\$2,013,436			\$1,985,577	7			\$27,859		1.4	%
Less: Interchange	1,284,038			1,359,448				(75,410	)	(5.5	)%
Less: Dues, assessments and fees	199,503			155,233				44,270		28.5	%
Total net revenue	529,895	100.0	%	470,896		100.0	%	58,999		12.5	%
Expenses:											
Processing and servicing	216,863	40.9	%	208,542		44.3	%	8,321		4.0	%
Customer acquisition costs	43,547	8.2	%	46,140		9.8	%	(2,593	)	(5.6	)%
Depreciation and amortization	19,750	3.7	%	14,368		3.1	%	5,382		37.5	%
General and administrative	139,934	26.4	%	125,765		26.7	%	14,169		11.3	%
Total expenses	420,094	79.3	%	394,815		83.8	%	25,279		6.4	%
Income from operations	109,801	20.7	%	76,081		16.2	%	33,720		44.3	%
Other income (expense):											
Interest income	201			142				59		41.5	%
Interest expense	(3,446)	) (0.7	)%	(4,122	)	(0.9	)%	676		16.4	%
Provision for processing system	(563	(0.1	)%	(1,012	)	(0.2	)%	449		44.4	%
intrusion costs	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0.1	, 10		)	(0.2	) //				70
Other, net	(949)	)		(1,550	)			601			
Total other (expense) income	(4,757)	) (0.9	)%	(6,542	)	(1.4	)%	1,785		27.3	%
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	105,044	19.8	%	69,539		14.8	%	35,505		51.1	%
Provision for income taxes	40,691	7.7	%	26,551		5.6	%	14,140		53.3	%

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

Net income from continuing operations	64,353	12.1	%	42,988	9.1	%	21,365	49.7	%
Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax	2,185	0.4	%	1,359	0.3	%	826	60.8	%
Net income	66,538	12.6	%	44,347	9.4	%	22,191	50.0	%
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests (a)	649			408			241		
Net income attributable to Heartland	\$65,889	12.4	%	\$43,939	9.3	%	\$21,950	50.0	%

(a) Attributable to income from discontinued operations.

Total revenues. Total revenues increased by 1.4% from \$1,985.6 million in 2011 to \$2,013.4 million in 2012, primarily as a result of a \$19.5 million, or 1.0% increase in gross processing revenues. Our gross SME card processing

revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 were impacted by the "Durbin Amendment," which was part of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act that went into effect on October 1, 2011. SME card processing revenues and the Durbin Amendment are discussed below in "—Processing revenues, gross". The breakout of our total revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was as follows (in thousands of dollars):

Year Ended		Change from	n	
December 31	December 31,			
2012	2011	Amount	%	
\$1,954,272	\$1,934,813	\$19,459	1.0	%
21,368	19,505	1,863	9.6	%
37,796	31,259	6,537	20.9	%
\$2,013,436	\$1,985,577	\$27,859	1.4	%
	December 31 2012 \$1,954,272 21,368 37,796	December 31, 2012 2011 \$1,954,272 \$1,934,813 21,368 19,505 37,796 31,259	December 31,Prior Year20122011Amount\$1,954,272\$1,934,813\$19,45921,36819,5051,86337,79631,2596,537	December 31,Prior Year20122011Amount%\$1,954,272\$1,934,813\$19,4591.021,36819,5051,8639.637,79631,2596,53720.9

Includes Visa, MasterCard, American Express and Discover bankcard processing revenues, American Express fees, (a)check processing fees, customer service fees, gift card, loyalty, Heartland School Solutions, loan servicing and other miscellaneous revenue.

Processing revenues, gross. Further breakout of our gross processing revenues for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was as follows (in thousands of dollars):

			Change fro Prior Year	om	
	2012	, 2011	Amount	%	
Merchant card processing revenue:					
SME card processing	\$1,762,320	\$1,781,240	\$(18,920	) (1.1	)%
Network Services card processing	145,581	126,799	18,782	14.8	%
	1,907,901	1,908,039	(138	) —	%
Heartland School Solutions revenue	27,871	9,180	18,691	203.6	%
Prepaid card revenue	16,043	15,519	524	3.4	%
Campus Solutions revenue	976		976	100.0	%
Other miscellaneous revenue	1,481	2,075	(594	) (28.6	)%
Total processing revenues, gross	\$1,954,272	\$1,934,813	\$19,459	1.0	%

The \$19.5 million increase in gross processing revenues from \$1,934.8 million in 2011 to \$1,954.3 million in 2012 was primarily due to higher revenues from our Heartland School Solutions, Network Services card processing, prepaid card income including Heartland Marketing Solutions, and Campus Solutions. Campus Solutions includes revenue associated with our December 14, 2012 acquisition of ECSI from the date of acquisition. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in SME card processing revenue. Our gross SME bankcard processing revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 reflect a decline as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 due to the impact of the Durbin Amendment. The Durbin Amendment places limits on debit card interchange rates that card issuing banks may charge. While not impacting our net revenue (which we present net of interchange, dues and assessments and fees as discussed below in "—Net revenue"), beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, there was a decrease in the amount of SME interchange expense we record in our Income Statement as a result of the Durbin Amendment. Since our gross SME card processing revenues include the interchange that we pass through to our merchants, our total revenues decreased by an equivalent amount. We estimate that the amount of this decrease on our gross SME merchant card processing revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 was a reduction of approximately \$141.3 million.

Partially offsetting the impact that the Durbin Amendment had on our gross revenues from SME card processing was the increase in revenue attributable to higher SME bankcard processing volume. For the year ended December 31, 2012, our SME bankcard processing volume increased 6.3% to \$71.7 billion, compared to \$67.5 billion for the year

ended December 31, 2011, reflecting increases for same store sales growth, new SME merchants installed, and decreased SME merchant volume attrition.

Network Services increased its card processing revenues based on the 958 million transactions it settled, representing \$27.9 billion in processing volume, and the 2.5 billion transactions it authorized through its front-end card processing systems in 2012, as compared to the 553 million transactions it settled, representing \$15.5 billion in processing volume, and the 2.7 billion transactions it authorized through its front-end card processing volume, and the 2.7 billion transactions it authorized through its front-end card processing systems in 2011. Network Services' increase for the 2012 period primarily reflects the expansion of a contract with a large petroleum merchant to include back-end settlement. We report Network Services' settled bankcard processing revenues net of credit interchange because the daily cash settlement with Network Services' merchants is on a net basis. However, the Durbin Amendment did impact Network Services' settled PIN debit interchange by approximately \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012.

#### Table of Contents

Contributing growth to our processing revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 were the processing revenues generated by Heartland School Solutions, prepaid card income including Heartland Marketing Solutions and Campus Solutions income. The increase in Heartland School Solutions processing revenues for the year ended December 31, 2012 reflects acquisitions we made in 2011 and 2012 (see "—Liquidity and Capital Resources" for detail on these acquisitions). At December 31, 2012, our customer base included 29,000 K to 12 schools, compared to approximately 19,000 K to 12 schools at December 31, 2011. Of those, approximately 25,000 and 15,000 schools at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were using our online prepayment system.

Payroll processing revenues. Payroll processing revenues, which include fees earned on payroll processing services and interest income earned on funds held for customers, increased by 9.6%, from \$19.5 million in 2011 to \$21.4 million in 2012, primarily due to price increases for at least half of our payroll processing customers and a 4.7% increase in the number of payroll processing customers from 11,841 at December 31, 2011 to 12,403 at December 31, 2012.

Equipment-related revenue. Equipment-related revenue increased by \$6.5 million, or 20.9%, from \$31.3 million in 2011 to \$37.8 million in 2012, primarily due to sales of equipment in our acquired Heartland School Solutions business. Partially offsetting this increase was a decrease in revenues from the sale of card processing terminals, including our proprietary encryption terminals, referred to as E3 Terminals. The following table summarizes our Equipment-related revenue for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands of dollars):

	Year Ended		Change from		
	December 31	,	Prior Year		
Equipment-related revenue:	2012	2011	Amount	%	
Card processing	\$13,870	\$15,035	\$(1,165	) (7.7	)%
Heartland School Solutions	8,743	1,998	6,745	337.6	%
Micropayments	7,270	6,642	628	9.5	%
Campus Solutions	6,163	5,770	393	6.8	%
Other	1,750	1,814	(64	) (3.5	)%
Total equipment-related revenue	\$37,796	\$31,259	\$6,537	20.9	%

Net revenue. Net revenue, which we define as total revenues less interchange fees and dues, assessments and fees, increased \$59.0 million from \$470.9 million in 2011 to \$529.9 million in 2012. Interchange decreased 5.5% from \$1,359.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$1,284.0 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, due primarily to the Durbin Amendment. Dues, assessments and fees increased 28.5% from \$155.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2011 to \$199.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily as a result of increases in Visa and MasterCard assessments and fees which we pass through to our merchants, and also due to higher SME bankcard processing volume and Network Services transactions.

The increase in net revenue was driven primarily by increases in SME bankcard processing volume, and increases in Heartland School Solutions processing and equipment related revenues, and Payroll processing revenues. The following table summarizes our Net revenue components for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands of dollars):

	Year Ended			Change from		
	December 3	1,		Prior Year		
	2012		2011	Amount	%	
Merchant card processing revenue:						
SME card processing	\$1,762,320		\$1,781,240			
Less: Interchange, dues, assessments and fees	(1,386,117	)	(1,438,362	)		
SME card processing net revenue	376,203		342,878	\$33,325	9.7	%
Network Services card processing	145,581		126,799			
Less: Interchange, dues, assessments and fees	(97,424	)	(76,319	)		

Network Services card processing net	48,157	50,480	(2,323	) (16)	)07-
revenue	48,137	30,480	(2,323	) (4.6	)%
Card processing net revenue	424,360	393,358	31,002	7.9	%
Heartland School Solutions revenue	27,871	9,180	18,691	203.6	%
Prepaid card revenue	16,043	15,519	524	3.4	%
Campus Solutions revenue	976		976	100.0	%
Other miscellaneous revenue	1,481	2,075	(594	) (28.6	)%
Processing net revenue	470,731	420,132	50,599	12.0	%
Payroll processing revenues	21,368	19,505	1,863	9.6	%
Equipment-related revenues	37,796	31,259	6,537	20.9	%
Total net revenue	\$529,895	\$470,896	\$58,999	12.5	%
Prepaid card revenue Campus Solutions revenue Other miscellaneous revenue Processing net revenue Payroll processing revenues Equipment-related revenues	16,043 976 1,481 470,731 21,368 37,796	15,519  2,075 420,132 19,505 31,259	524 976 (594 50,599 1,863 6,537	3.4 100.0 ) (28.6 12.0 9.6 20.9	% % )% % %

#### Table of Contents

Total expenses. Total expenses increased 6.4% from \$394.8 million in 2011 to \$420.1 million in 2012, due to increases in general and administrative, processing and servicing, and depreciation and amortization expenses. Partially offsetting these increases was a decrease in customer acquisition costs. Total expenses represented 79.3% of total net revenue in 2012, compared to 83.8% in 2011.

Processing and servicing expense for the year ended December 31, 2012 increased by \$8.3 million, or 4.0%, compared with the year ended December 31, 2011. The increase in processing and servicing expense was due to increased costs associated with processing and servicing higher SME bankcard processing volume, increased residual commission expense and increased cost of sales and servicing related to higher Heartland School Solutions revenues. The increase in processing and servicing expense also reflects refined allocations of certain information technology related expenses, previously reported in general and administrative expense, to processing and servicing in the year ended December 31, 2012. Partially offsetting the increases in processing and servicing expense were decreases in merchant losses, service center expense and card related equipment costs. As a percentage of total net revenue, processing and servicing expense decreased to 40.9% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared with 44.3% for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Customer acquisition costs for the year ended December 31, 2012 decreased by \$2.6 million, or 5.6% compared with the year ended December 31, 2011. This decline primarily reflects the impacts of lower signing bonus amortization, as capitalized signing bonuses recorded in periods having higher new gross margin installs have become fully amortized. Customer acquisition costs for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 included the following components (in thousands of dollars):

	Year Ended			
	December 31,			
	2012	2011		
Amortization of signing bonuses, net (a)	\$29,244	\$32,088		
Amortization of capitalized customer deferred acquisition costs	15,881	15,460		
Increase in accrued buyout liability	15,638	13,228		
Capitalized customer deferred acquisition costs	(17,216	) (14,276	)	
Total customer acquisition costs	\$43,547	\$46,500		
		1 ( 17	<b>D</b> 1	

(a) Includes Other, net impairment expense related to our remote check deposit product, Express Funds

## in 2011.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased 37.5% from \$14.4 million in 2011 to \$19.8 million in 2012. The increase reflects higher depreciation and amortization for investments in our information technology platforms and amortization of intangible assets recorded in the Heartland School Solutions and Campus Solutions acquisitions. Most of our investments in information technology have been for security-related enhancements and in support of the continuing development of our processing platforms. Depreciation and amortization expense recorded on these investments is included in processing and servicing expense. Additionally, we capitalized salaries, fringe benefits and other expenses incurred by our employees that worked on internally developed software projects and we capitalized some outsourced programming expenses as well. Amortization does not begin on the internally developed software until the project is complete and placed in service, at which time we begin to amortize the asset over expected lives of three to seven years. The amount capitalized increased from \$22.6 million in 2011 to \$26.7 million in 2012. The total amount of capitalized costs for projects placed in service in 2012 and 2011 was \$22.7 million and \$15.9 million, respectively.

General and administrative expenses increased \$14.2 million, or 11.3%, from \$125.8 million in 2011 to \$139.9 million in 2012. General and administrative expenses increased primarily due to an \$11.5 million increase in personnel costs, including a \$4.6 million increase for share-based compensation and increased personnel costs related to the Heartland School Solutions acquisitions. General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012 benefited from refined allocations of certain information technology related expenses, previously reported in

general and administrative expense, now recorded in processing and servicing expense. Offsetting this benefit was the increase in personnel costs which included additional personnel costs relating to the acquisition of the Heartland School Solutions businesses and an increase in share-based compensation expense. See "—Critical Accounting Estimates - Share-based Compensation" for more detail on share-based compensation expense. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total net revenue for 2012 was 26.4%, a decrease from 26.7% for 2011. Income from operations. As a result of the increase in net revenue and lower increases in processing and servicing expenses and general and administrative expenses, our income from operations, which we also refer to as operating income, improved to \$109.8 million for 2012, from \$76.1 million for 2011. Our operating margin, which we measure as operating income divided by net revenue, was 20.7% for 2012, compared to 16.2% for 2011.

### Table of Contents

Interest expense. Interest expense for 2012 and 2011 was \$3.4 million and \$4.1 million, respectively. Interest expense in both periods includes interest incurred under our Credit Facilities and interest we recorded on payables to our sponsor banks. The decline in interest expense primarily reflects lower borrowings for most of the year due to payments we made on our Term Loan Facility. See "—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facility" for more detail on our borrowings.

Provision for processing system intrusion costs. On January 20, 2009, we publicly announced the discovery of a criminal breach of our payment systems environment (the "Processing System Intrusion"). The Processing System Intrusion involved malicious software that appears to have been used to collect in-transit, unencrypted payment card data while it was being processed during the transaction authorization process. We believe the breach did not extend beyond 2008.

Since our announcement of the Processing System Intrusion on January 20, 2009 and through December 31, 2012, we have expensed a total of \$147.6 million, before reducing those charges by \$31.2 million of total insurance recoveries. The majority of the total charges, or approximately \$114.7 million, related to settlements of claims. Approximately \$32.9 million of the total charges were for legal fees and costs we incurred for investigations, defending various claims and actions, remedial actions and crisis management services.

During 2012, we incurred approximately \$0.6 million, or \$0.01 per share, for legal fees and costs incurred related to the Processing System Intrusion. During 2011, we expensed approximately \$1.0 million, or \$0.02 per share, related to the Processing System Intrusion.

Other income (expense), net. Other, net for 2012 included pre-tax charges of \$0.9 million related primarily to write downs of capitalized information technology development projects.

Other, net for 2011 included pre-tax charges of \$0.8 million reflecting the costs (primarily accrued staff termination costs and fixed asset write downs) associated with closing our Johnson City, Tennessee service center and pre-tax charges of \$1.1 million relating to the decision to discontinue Express Funds, our remote deposit check capture product. Also included in other, net is pre-tax income of \$0.3 million related to an earnout payment relating to the 2010 sale of SME merchant bankcard processing contracts.

Income taxes. Income tax expense from continuing operations for 2012 was \$40.7 million, reflecting an effective tax rate of 38.7%. This compares to income tax expense from continuing operations of \$26.6 million for 2011, reflecting an effective tax rate of 38.2%. The increase in the effective tax rate for 2012 was due to recording income tax expense on the undistributed earnings of CPOS. In the fourth quarter 2012, we entered into an agreement to sell CPOS. Because we will no longer be indefinitely reinvesting undistributed earnings of CPOS, we accrued and charged to U.S. income tax expense net of foreign tax credits the amount of \$0.4 million on our 70% portion of the CPOS cumulative undistributed earnings at December 31, 2012.

Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax. Reflects the results of operations from CPOS. We sold our interest in CPOS in a transaction settled in January 2013 and we will recognize a gain on the sale of CPOS in the first quarter of 2013, which gain will likely be material to the results for the quarter ending March 31, 2013. As a result, we presented the net assets of CPOS as held for sale at December 31, 2012 and present the results of operations for CPOS as a discontinued operation for all periods presented.

Net income attributable to Heartland. As a result of the above factors, we recorded net income of \$65.9 million for 2012. This compares to a net income of \$43.9 million for 2011.

Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010 The following table shows certain income statement data as a percentage of net revenue for the periods indicated (in thousands of dollars): % of Net % of Net Change

	2011		Reven	ue	2010	Rev	enue	Amount		%	
Net revenue:											
Total revenues	\$1,985,57	7			\$1,855,839			\$129,738		7.0	%
Less: Interchange	1,359,448				1,299,631			59,817		4.6	%
Less: Dues, assessments and fees	155,233				119,834			35,399		29.5	%
Total net revenue	470,896		100.0	%	436,374	100	.0 %	34,522		7.9	%
Expenses:											
Processing and servicing	208,542		44.3	%	225,802	51.7	97	(17,260	)	(7.6	)%
Customer acquisition costs	46,140		9.8	%	50,415	11.6	97	(4,275	)	(8.5	)%
Depreciation and amortization	14,368		3.1	%	14,653	3.4	$_{\%}$	(285	)	(1.9	)%
General and administrative	125,765		26.7	%	99,912	22.9	97	25,853		25.9	%
Total expenses	394,815		83.8	%	390,782	89.6	97	4,033		1.0	%
Income from operations	76,081		16.2	%	45,592	10.4	- %	30,489		66.9	%
Other income (expense):											
Interest income	142				134			8		6.0	%
Interest expense	(4,122	)	(0.9	)%	(4,778	(1.1	)%	656		13.7	%
(Provision for) recovery of processing system intrusion costs	(1,012	)	(0.2	)%	14,138	3.2	%	(15,150	)	(107.2	)%
Other, net	(1,550	)	(0.3	)%	72			(1,622	)		
Total other (expense) income	(6,542	)	(1.4	)%	9,566	2.2	$_{\%}$	(16,108	)	(168.4	)%
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	69,539		14.8	%	55,158	12.6	5 %	14,381		26.1	%
Provision for income taxes	26,551		5.6	%	20,975	4.8	%	5,576		26.6	%
Net income from continuing operations	s 42,988		9.1	%	34,183	7.8	%	8,805		25.8	%
Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax	1,359		0.3	%	477	0.1	97	882		184.9	%
Net income	44,347		9.4	%	34,660	7.9	$_{\%}$	9,687		27.9	%
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests (a)	408				123			285			
Net income attributable to Heartland	\$43,939		9.3	%	\$34,537	7.9	%	\$9,402		27.2	%
(a) Attributable to income from discon operations.	tinued										

Total revenues. Total revenues increased by 7.0% from \$1,855.8 million in 2010 to \$1,985.6 million in 2011, primarily as a result of a \$126.3 million, or 7.0% increase in gross processing revenues. The breakout of our total revenues for

the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	Year Ended		Change from	1	
	December 31	December 31,			
	2011	2010	Amount	%	
Processing revenues, gross (a)	\$1,934,813	\$1,808,557	\$126,256	7.0	%
Payroll processing revenues	19,505	17,344	2,161	12.5	%
Equipment-related revenues	31,259	29,938	1,321	4.4	%
Total revenues	\$1,985,577	\$1,855,839	\$129,738	7.0	%

(a)Includes Visa, MasterCard, American Express and Discover bankcard processing revenues, American Express fees, Discover fees, check processing fees, customer service fees, gift card, loyalty, Heartland School Solutions and

other miscellaneous revenue.

Processing revenues, gross. Further breakout of our gross processing revenues for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 was as follows (in thousands of dollars):

	Year Ended		Change fron	1	
	December 31	December 31,			
	2011	2010	Amount	%	
Merchant card processing revenue:					
SME card processing	\$1,781,240	\$1,689,291	\$91,949	5.4	%
Network Services card processing	126,799	104,653	22,146	21.2	%
	1,908,039	1,793,944	114,095	6.4	%
Heartland School Solutions revenue	9,180		9,180	100.0	%
Prepaid card revenue	15,519	12,704	2,815	22.2	%
Other miscellaneous revenue	2,075	1,909	166	8.7	%
Total processing revenues, gross	\$1,934,813	\$1,808,557	\$126,256	7.0	%

## Table of Contents

Processing revenues, gross. The \$114.1 million increase in processing revenues from \$1,793.9 million in 2010 to \$1,908.0 million in 2011 was primarily due to higher SME merchant card processing revenues. Revenues from our SME

bankcard processing increased due to higher SME bankcard processing volume. Our SME bankcard processing volume increased 7.0% to \$67.5 billion, compared to \$63.1 billion in 2010, reflecting increases for same store sales growth, new SME

merchants installed, and growth in American Express and Discover processing. We include American Express volume in our

SME bankcard processing volume only where we receive percentage-based residual compensation for that volume.

The "Durbin Amendment," which was part of the July 2010 Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and went into effect on October 1, 2011, places limits on debit card interchange rates that card issuing banks may charge.

While not impacting our net revenue (which for SME merchants is presented net of interchange, dues and assessments and

fees), beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, there was a decrease in the interchange expense we record in our Income

Statement of approximately \$42.1 million as a result of the Durbin Amendment. Since our gross card processing revenues

include the interchange that we pass through to our SME merchants, our total revenues decreased by an equivalent amount.

Network Services increased its bankcard processing revenues based on the 553 million transactions it settled, representing \$15.5 billion in processing volume, and the 2.7 billion transactions it authorized through its front-end card

processing systems in 2011, as compared to the 488 million transactions it settled, representing \$11.3 billion in processing

volume, and the 2.6 billion transactions it authorized through its front-end card processing systems in 2010. We report Network

Services' settled bankcard processing revenues net of credit interchange because the daily cash settlement with Network

Services' merchants is on a net basis.

Also contributing to our growth in processing revenues for the year ended December 31, 2011 were the processing revenues generated by our recently acquired Heartland School Solutions businesses, and from prepaid card income including

Heartland Marketing Solutions.

Payroll processing revenues. Payroll processing revenues, which include fees earned on payroll processing services and interest income earned on funds held for customers, increased by 12.5%, from \$17.3 million in 2010 to \$19.5 million in

2011, primarily due to the 6.4% increase in the number of payroll processing customers from 11,131 at December 31, 2010 to

11,841 at December 31, 2011.

Equipment-related revenue. Equipment-related revenue increased by \$1.3 million, or 4.4%, from \$29.9 million in 2010 to \$31.3 million in 2011, primarily due to increases in revenues from sales of equipment in our acquired Heartland School

Solutions businesses and SmartLink telecommunications equipment which is used to consolidate multiple in-store communication lines into one high speed broadband line. The following table summarizes our Equipment-related income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands of dollars):

Year Ended		Change fro	om	
December 3	December 31,			
2011	2010	Amount	%	
\$15,035	\$17,006	\$(1,971	) (11.6	)%
1,998		1,998	100.0	%
6,642	6,813	(171	) (2.5	)%
5,770	5,683	87	1.5	%
1,814	436	1,378	316.1	%
\$31,259	\$29,938	\$1,321	4.4	%
	December 3 2011 \$15,035 1,998 6,642 5,770 1,814	20112010\$15,035\$17,0061,9986,6426,8135,7705,6831,814436	December 31,Prior Year20112010Amount\$15,035\$17,006\$(1,971)1,998—1,9986,6426,813(171)5,7705,683871,8144361,378	December 31,Prior Year20112010Amount%\$15,035\$17,006\$(1,971)) (11.61,9981,998100.06,6426,813(171)) (2.55,7705,683871.51,8144361,378316.1

Net revenue. Net revenue, which we define as total revenues less interchange fees and dues, assessments and fees, increased 7.9% from \$436.4 million in 2010, to \$470.9 million in 2011. Interchange fees increased 4.6% from \$1,299.6 million in 2010 to \$1,359.4 million in 2011. The increase in interchange fees was primarily due to higher SME bankcard processing volume in the year ended December 31, 2011, especially for American Express which generally has higher interchange rates than Visa and MasterCard. The impact of the Durbin Amendment since becoming effective on October 1, 2011 was a decrease in interchange expense of approximately \$42.1 million.

Dues, assessments and fees increased 29.5% from \$119.8 million in 2010 to \$155.2 million in 2011, primarily as a result of increases in Visa, MasterCard and Discover assessments which we pass through to our merchants, and also due to

higher SME bankcard processing volume and increased due, assessments and fees on our Network Services merchants.

The increase in Net revenue was driven primarily by increases in SME bankcard processing volume, Payroll processing revenues, Heartland Marketing Solutions processing revenues, and newly acquired Heartland School Solutions

processing revenues and equipment-related revenues. The following table summarizes our net revenue components for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands of dollars):

	Year Ended		Change from	n	
	December 3	1,	Prior Year		
	2011	2010	Amount	%	
Merchant card processing revenue:					
SME card processing	\$1,781,240	\$1,689,291			
Less: Interchange, dues, assessments and fees	(1,438,362	) (1,365,748	)		
SME card processing net revenue	342,878	323,543	\$19,335	6.0	%
Network Services card processing	126,799	104,653			
Less: Interchange, dues, assessments and fees	(76,319	) (53,717	)		
Network Services card processing net	50,480	50,936	(456	) (0.9	)%
revenue	50,400	50,750	(450	) (0.)	) 10
Card processing net revenue	393,358	374,479	18,879	5.0	%
Heartland School Solutions revenue	9,180		9,180	100.0	%
Prepaid card revenue	15,519	12,704	2,815	22.2	%
Other miscellaneous revenue	2,075	1,909	166	8.7	%
Processing net revenue	420,132	389,092	31,040	8.0	%
Payroll processing revenues	19,505	17,344	2,161	12.5	%
Equipment-related revenues	31,259	29,938	1,321	4.4	%
Total net revenue	\$470,896	\$436,374	\$34,522	7.9	%

Total expenses. Total expenses increased 1.0% from \$390.8 million in 2010 to \$394.8 million in 2011, due primarily to a \$25.9 million or 25.9% increase in general and administrative expenses, partially offset by reductions in processing and servicing expense, customer acquisition costs and depreciation and amortization. Total expenses represented 83.8% of total net revenue in 2011, compared to 89.6% in 2010.

Processing and servicing expense for 2011 decreased by \$17.3 million or 7.6%, compared with 2010. The decrease in processing and servicing expense for the year ended December 31, 2011 was primarily due to reductions in transaction processing costs for Network Services' front-end transactions, lower residual commission expense, reduced merchant losses

and reduced costs of support personnel, particularly account managers. Lower residual commissions were the result of exercising our rights to buy out a substantial amount of portfolio equity owned by our Relationship Managers and sales

managers during the third quarter of 2010. We continue to buy out portfolio equity. See "— Critical Accounting Estimates —

Accrued Buyout Liability" for more detail. Partially offsetting these reductions were increased costs associated with servicing

higher bankcard processing volume and increased costs of sales and servicing related to the higher payroll, Heartland Marketing Solutions, and equipment-related revenues as well as cost of sales and service for the Heartland School Solutions businesses. Processing and servicing expense as a percentage of total Net revenue decreased to 44.3% in 2011 compared with 51.7% in 2010.

Customer acquisition costs for the year ended December 31, 2011 decreased by 4.3 million, or 8.5% compared with

the year ended December 31, 2010. This decline primarily reflects the impacts of lower signing bonus amortization, as capitalized signing bonuses recorded in periods having higher new gross margin installed, such as 2008, become fully amortized and are replaced with smaller capitalized signing bonuses resulting from our current lower levels of new gross

margin installed. Customer acquisition costs for the year ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 included the following components (in thousands of dollars):

	Year Ended		
	December 31	,	
	2011	2010	
Amortization of signing bonuses, net (a)	\$32,088	\$37,990	
Amortization of capitalized customer deferred acquisition costs	15,460	16,007	
Increase in accrued buyout liability	13,228	11,133	
Capitalized customer deferred acquisition costs	(14,276	) (14,715	)
Total customer acquisition costs	\$46,500	\$50,415	

(a) Includes Other, net impairment expense related to our remote check deposit product, Express Funds in 2011.

Depreciation and amortization expenses decreased 1.9% from \$14.7 million in 2010 to \$14.4 million in 2011. Most of our investments in information technology have been for security-related enhancements and in support of the continuing

development of HPS Exchange, Passport and other processing-related initiatives. Depreciation and amortization expense

recorded on these investments is included in processing and servicing expense. Additionally, we capitalized salaries, fringe

benefits and other expenses incurred by our employees that worked on internally developed software projects and outsourced

programming. Amortization does not begin on the internally developed software until the project is complete and placed in

service, at which time we begin to amortize the asset over expected lives of three to five years. The amount capitalized increased from \$15.6 million in 2010 to \$22.6 million in 2011. The total amount of capitalized costs for projects placed in

service in 2011 and 2010 was \$15.9 million and \$15.7 million, respectively.

General and administrative expenses increased \$25.9 million, or 25.9%, from \$99.9 million in 2010 to \$125.8 million in 2011. General and administrative expenses in the 2011 and 2010 periods included \$1.3 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, for our periodic sales and servicing organization summit. Excluding these summit expenses, our general and administrative expenses in 2011 increased \$26.9 million, or 27.5%. This increase primarily resulted from expenses related to acquisitions, restoration of incentive compensation, and increased staff, all of which are reflected in increases of \$12.5 million in personnel costs, and from costs we incurred in the process of consolidating our data centers. Additional increases in 2011 included \$3.3 million in equipment lease expense, \$3.0 million in travel expenses (mostly related to sales incentive travel rewards), \$1.8 million in occupancy costs, \$1.7 million in legal fees, \$1.8 million in telecommunication expenses, \$1.6 million in repairs and maintenance expense and \$1.5 million in consulting expense. These increases in general and administrative expenses as a percentage of total net revenue for 2011 was 26.7%, an increase from 22.9% for 2010.

Income from operations. As a result of the increase in net revenue and reductions in processing and servicing expenses, our income from operations, which we also refer to as operating income, improved to \$76.1 million for 2011, from

45.6 million for 2010. Our operating margin, which we measure as operating income divided by net revenue, was 16.2% for

2011, compared to 10.4% for 2010.

Interest expense. Interest expense for 2011 and 2010 was \$4.1 million and \$4.8 million, respectively. Interest expense in both periods includes interest incurred under our Credit Facilities and interest we recorded on payables to our sponsor banks.

See "—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facility" for more detail on our borrowings and "—Balance Sheet Informatio for more detail on payables to our sponsor banks.

(Provision for) recovery of processing system intrusion costs. During 2011, we recorded total expenses of \$1.0 million, or \$(0.02) per share, associated with the Processing System Intrusion. During 2010, we recovered from our insurance providers approximately \$27.2 million of the costs we incurred for the Processing System Intrusion and expensed approximately \$13.1 million for accruals, legal fees and costs we incurred for investigations and defending various claims and actions, for a net recovery of \$14.1 million, or \$0.22 per share.

Other income (expense), net. Other, net for 2011 included pre-tax charges of \$0.8 million reflecting the costs (primarily accrued staff termination costs and fixed asset write downs) associated with closing our Johnson City, Tennessee

service center and pre-tax charges of \$1.1 million relating to the decision to discontinue Express Funds, our remote deposit

check capture product. Also included in other, net is pre-tax income of \$0.3 million related to an earnout payment relating to

the 2010 sale of SME merchant bankcard processing contracts.

Other, net for 2010 reflected a net legal settlement received during that period, a pre-tax gain from the sale of SME merchant bankcard processing contracts, a pre-tax charge for the impairment of an acquisition intangible asset and estimated

liability costs associated with the announced closing of our Johnson City, Tennessee service center.

Income taxes. Income tax expense from continuing operations for 2011 was \$26.6 million, reflecting an effective tax rate of 38.2%. This compares to income tax expense from continuing operations of \$21.0 million for 2010, reflecting an effective tax rate of 38.0%. The increase in the effective tax rate for 2011 was due to state income tax increases.

Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax. Reflects the results of operations from CPOS. We sold our interest in CPOS in a transaction settled in January 2013 and we will recognize a gain on the sale of CPOS in the first quarter of 2013, which gain will likely be material to the results for the quarter ending March 31, 2013. As a result, we presented the net assets of CPOS as held for sale at December 31, 2012 and present the results of operations for CPOS as a discontinued operation for all periods presented.

Net income attributable to Heartland. As a result of the above factors, we recorded net income of \$43.9 million for 2011. This compares to a net income of \$34.5 million for 2010.

#### **Balance Sheet Information**

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(In thousands)	
Selected Balance Sheet Data		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$48,440	\$37,882
Funds held for customers	131,405	42,511
Receivables, net	180,448	175,822
Capitalized customer acquisition costs, net	56,425	55,014
Property and equipment, net	125,031	115,039
Goodwill	168,062	94,255
Intangible assets, net	53,594	32,159
Total assets	813,414	596,921
Due to sponsor banks	37,586	63,881
Accounts payable	64,065	46,715
Customer fund deposits	131,405	42,511
Processing liabilities	95,273	30,675
Borrowings:		
Current portion	102,001	15,003
Long-term portion	50,000	70,000
Accrued buyout liability:		
Current portion	10,478	8,104
Long-term portion	24,932	23,554
Total liabilities	602,253	376,869
Total stockholders' equity	209,786	219,410

#### December 31, 2012 Compared to December 31, 2011

Total assets increased \$216.5 million, or 36.3%, to \$813.4 million at December 31, 2012 from \$596.9 million at December 31, 2011, primarily due to increases in funds held for customers, goodwill and intangible assets, receivables and fixed assets. The increase in funds held for customers is primarily due to the 2012 acquisitions of the Payroll business, Ovation, and the Campus Solutions business, ECSI. The increases in goodwill and intangibles are primarily due to the 2012 acquisitions of the Heartland School Solutions business, Lunch Byte Systems, as well as Ovation and ECSI (see "— Liquidity and Capital Resources" for more detail).

At December 31, 2012, cash on our Balance Sheet totaled \$48.4 million, an increase of \$10.6 million, or 27.9%, compared to cash of \$37.9 million at December 31, 2011. Our December 31, 2012 cash balance included approximately \$31.6 million of processing-related cash in transit and collateral, compared to approximately \$28.0 million of cash in transit and collateral at December 31, 2011. Excluding the processing-related cash balances, our operating cash balance increased \$6.9 million, or 70.6%. See "— Liquidity and Capital Resources" for more detail on cash provided by operating activities.

Our receivables, which increased \$4.6 million, or 2.6%, to \$180.4 million at December 31, 2012 from \$175.8 million at December 31, 2011, are primarily due from our bankcard processing merchants and result in large part from our practice of advancing interchange fees to most of our SME merchants during the month and collecting those fees from our merchants at the beginning of the following month, as well as from transaction fees we charge merchants for processing transactions. Historically, we funded these interchange advances to our SME merchants first with our available cash, then when that cash had been expended, by directing our sponsor banks to fund advances thereby incurring a payable to our sponsor banks. In the fourth quarter of 2012, we accelerated the end-of-day presentment of transaction funding files to the bankcard networks, resulting in our sponsor banks receiving settlement cash one day

earlier and increasing our funding obligations to our SME merchants, which we carry in processing liabilities. As a result, these merchant interchange advances/receivables are first funded from the accelerated settlement cash received from bankcard networks, then from our available cash, and only thereafter incurring a payable to our sponsor banks. At December 31, 2012, we used \$3.8 million of available cash to fund merchant advances and at December 31, 2011 we used \$40.0 million of available cash to fund merchant advances. The amount due to our sponsor banks for funding merchant interchange advances was \$36.3 million at December 31, 2012, compared to \$45.2 million at December 31, 2011. The payable to sponsor banks is repaid at the beginning of the following month out of the fees we collect from our merchants. Our total receivables from bankcard processing merchants increased \$10.4 million from December 31, 2011. Receivables from merchants also include receivables from the sale of point of sale terminal equipment.

#### Table of Contents

Total receivables also include amounts due from bankcard networks for pre-funding Discover and American Express transactions to our merchants as well as amounts due from Visa for PIN debit transactions. These amounts are recovered from the bankcard networks the following business day from the date of processing the transaction.

Total borrowings increased \$67.0 million, or 78.8%, to \$152.0 million at December 31, 2012 from \$85.0 million at December 31, 2011, primarily due to drawing \$82.0 million under our Revolving Credit Facility to fund the acquisitions of ECSI and Ovation in December 2012, offset by \$15.0 million of amortization payments made under our Term Credit Facility. See "—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facilities" for discussion of our Credit Facilities.

Total stockholders' equity decreased \$9.6 million from December 31, 2011 primarily due to \$103.4 million for the cost of purchasing treasury shares, and \$9.2 million for dividends declared on common stock, mostly offset by recording net income of \$65.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, \$18.3 million of proceeds received from the exercise of stock options, tax benefits of \$6.0 million related to those stock option exercises and \$14.2 million of share-based compensation expense. On November 1, 2012, the Company's board of directors resolved to retire all common shares repurchased and include the retired shares in the authorized and unissued shares of the Company. Until November 1, 2012, the final disposition of the repurchased shares had not been decided. The excess of the purchase price of the treasury stock over the stated value was allocated between additional paid-in-capital and retained earnings.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

General. Liquidity and capital resource management is a process focused on providing the funding we need to meet our short and long-term cash and working capital needs. We have used our funding sources to build our merchant portfolio, our servicing technology platforms, and our Jeffersonville, Indiana service center, and to make acquisitions with the expectation that these investments will generate cash flows sufficient to cover our working capital needs and other anticipated needs for capital.

Our cash requirements include funding payments to salespersons for signing bonuses, residual commissions and residual buyouts, paying interest expense and other operating expenses, including taxes, investing in our technology infrastructure, and making acquisitions of businesses or assets. We expect that our future cash requirements will continue to include amounts used to repurchase our common stock as authorized by our Board of Directors.

Other than borrowings we had used to fund certain acquisitions and settlements of claims related to the Processing System Intrusion, we fund our cash needs primarily with cash flow from our operating activities and through our agreements with our sponsor banks to fund SME merchant advances. We believe that our current cash and investment balances, cash generated from operations and our agreements with our sponsor banks to fund SME merchant advances will provide sufficient liquidity to meet our anticipated needs for operating capital for at least the next twelve months. Working Capital. Our working capital, defined as current assets less current liabilities, was negative by \$85.5 million at December 31, 2012, compared to positive working capital of \$39.9 million at December 31, 2011. This change in working capital primarily reflects borrowing \$82.0 million in December 2012 under the Revolving Credit Facility to fund the acquisitions of Educational Computer Systems, Inc. and Ovation Payroll, Inc., and using \$103.4 million of operating cash to repurchase 3.6 million shares of our common stock during 2012. See "— Credit Facilities" for a discussion of our Revolving Credit Facility and "— Common Stock Repurchases" for a discussion of our common stock repurchase programs.

In the fourth quarter of, 2012, we, along with the 30% non-controlling shareholders of CPOS, entered into an agreement to sell CPOS to a third party. CPOS, which operates as a provider of payment processing services in Canada, was not a significant subsidiary and we will have no continuing involvement in its operations. After receiving regulatory approval, the buyer settled this sale on January 31, 2013. The total sales price was \$30.3 million cash including net working capital, of which we received \$20.9 million for our 70% ownership in CPOS.

At December 31, 2012 we had cash on our Balance Sheet totaling \$48.4 million compared to cash of \$37.9 million at December 31, 2011. Our cash balance included processing-related cash in transit and collateral of \$31.6 million and \$28.0 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, we had used \$3.8 million of our available cash to fund merchant advances and at December 31, 2011, we had used \$40.0 million of our cash to fund advances of interchange. Interchange advances are included in our receivables from bankcard processing merchants and are collected at the beginning of the following month.

On December 31, 2012, we had \$58.0 million available under our Revolving Credit Facility. See "— Credit Facilities" for more details.

### Table of Contents

Acquisitions. We initiated our Heartland School Solutions business through acquisitions of the school solutions businesses operated by Lunchbox, Comalex, mySchoolBucks, School-Link Technologies and Lunch Byte Systems which serve approximately 4,400, 3,700, 900, 10,000 and 10,000 schools, respectively. Heartland School Solutions develops, manufactures, sells, services and maintains computer software designed to facilitate accounting and management functions of school food service operations. These acquisitions provide the Company with the ability to offer Internet payment capability to parents, which facilitates on-line deposits of funds into student accounts and enables schools to operate more efficiently. The Company has consolidated the individual platforms and products to optimize synergies, cost efficiencies and product offerings to customers. The following summarizes funding of these five acquisitions:

On December 30, 2010, we purchased for a \$7.7 million cash payment the net assets of Lunchbox. This acquisition was financed through a combination of cash on hand and our Revolving Credit Facility. We repaid the amount we borrowed under our Revolving Credit Facility in the first quarter of 2011.

On January 12, 2011, we purchased for a \$6.1 million cash payment the net assets of Comalex, Inc. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand.

On February 4, 2011, we purchased for a \$1.5 million cash payment the net assets of mySchoolBucks, LLC. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand.

On September 30, 2011, we purchased for a \$15.6 million cash payment the net assets of School-Link Technologies, Inc. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand.

On June 29, 2012, we purchased for a \$26.0 million cash payment the net assets of Lunch Byte Systems, Inc. (a.k.a. "Nutrikids"). The \$26.0 million cash payment made on June 29, 2012 was funded through our Revolving Credit Facility and subsequently repaid with cash on hand in July 2012.

On December 14, 2012, the Company purchased for a \$37.6 million cash payment the stock of ECSI and net assets of related entities. The cash purchase price was financed under the Company's Revolving Credit Facility. The acquisition expands the Company's Campus Solutions division. ECSI supports the entire life cycle of higher education and post-graduation school/student services, including student loan payment processing, default solutions, refund services, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing to more than 1,800 colleges and universities nationwide. With this acquisition, the Company's Campus Solutions gained ECSI's client portfolio, boosting its number of higher education clients to more than 2,000 colleges and universities throughout North America.

On December 31, 2012, the Company purchased for a \$44.2 million cash payment the stock of Ovation. The cash purchase price was financed under the Company's Revolving Credit Facility. The acquisition expands the Company's existing payroll processing business. Ovation serves over 10,000 clients in 48 states providing payroll processing, payroll tax preparation, Internet payroll reporting, and direct deposit. With this acquisition the Company adds scale to its PlusOne Payroll platform, leveraging operating costs once conversion is complete in 2014, and also adds management, a new sales approach including an affinity partner network and enhanced product and servicing capabilities.

Settlements of Claims Related to the Processing System Intrusion. On January 20, 2009, we publicly announced the discovery of a criminal breach of its payment systems environment (the "Processing System Intrusion"). The Processing System Intrusion involved malicious software that appears to have been used to collect in-transit, unencrypted payment card data while it was being processed by us during the transaction authorization process. We believe the breach did not extend beyond 2008. In 2009 and 2010, we had entered into settlements with the bankcard networks for various claims and disputes related to the Processing System Intrusion.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company settled the following claims and disputes related to the Processing System Intrusion:

On January 7, 2010, We entered into a settlement agreement with Heartland Bank, KeyBank National Association ("KeyBank," and, together with Heartland Bank, the "Sponsor Banks"), and Visa U.S.A. Inc., Visa International Service Association and Visa Inc. (collectively, "Visa") to resolve potential claims and other disputes among the Company, the Sponsor Banks and Visa with respect to the potential rights and claims of Visa and certain issuers of Visa-branded credit and debit cards related to the Processing System Intrusion (the "Visa Settlement Agreement"). After including a \$780,000 credit for fines previously collected by Visa during 2009, the amount paid by the Company under the Visa Settlement Agreement was \$59.3 million.

On February 18, 2010, we entered into a bridge loan agreement (the "Bridge Loan Agreement") with KeyBank, as administrative agent, and KeyBank and Heartland Bank as bridge lenders. On that date, KeyBank made a bridge loan to us in the amount of \$20.0 million and Heartland Bank made a bridge loan in the amount of \$8.0 million (collectively, the "Bridge Loan"). See Note 10, Credit Facilities for additional terms of the Bridge Loan.

### Table of Contents

On February 18, 2010, we also entered into a Commitment Increase Agreement pursuant to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of May 30, 2008 (the "Commitment Increase Agreement") whereby KeyBank, as one of the lenders under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, agreed to increase its revolving credit commitment to the company under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by \$25.0 million (the "Increased Credit Commitment"). See Note 10, Credit Facilities for additional terms of the Commitment Increase Agreement.

The proceeds of the Bridge Loan and the Increased Credit Commitment, together with a portion of our cash reserves, were used to fund the settlement with Visa. The Settlement Agreement was consummated on February 18, 2010, with a payment of \$58.6 million.

On May 19, 2010, we entered into a settlement agreement with MasterCard Worldwide ("MasterCard") to resolve potential claims and other disputes among us, the Sponsor Banks and MasterCard related to the Processing System Intrusion (the "MasterCard Settlement Agreement"). On September 3, 2010 and September 14, 2010, we paid approximately \$34.4 million under the MasterCard Settlement Agreement.

On August 31, 2010, the Company and DFS Services, LLC ("Discover") entered into an agreement of settlement and release to resolve potential claims and other disputes among the Company and Discover (and its affiliates and certain of its issuers) with respect to the Processing System Intrusion (the "Discover Settlement Agreement"). On September 2, 2010, the Company paid Discover \$5.0 million in full and final satisfaction of any and all claims of Discover, its affiliates and certain of its issuers arising from or relating to the Processing System Intrusion.

Cash Flow Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities. We reported net cash provided by operating activities of \$171.8 million in 2012, compared to \$107.2 million in 2011 and net cash used by operating activities of \$22.3 million in 2010.

Cash provided by operating activities in 2012 and 2011 reflects the benefit of increases in pre-tax net income for those years as adjusted for non-cash operating items including depreciation and amortization, and share-based compensation expense. Cash provided by operating activities in 2012 was reduced by payments for income taxes of \$25.8 million, while 2011 operating cash flow benefited from a \$16.7 million tax refund received from the carry back of our 2010 federal tax operating loss to prior years. The 2010 federal tax operating loss resulted from the payment of our settlements with card brands in 2010 and other Processing Intrusion related expenses, net of recoveries from insurance providers (see "— Processing System Intrusion" for more detail).

Cash provided by operating activities in 2012 also reflects benefits from increases in payables, especially related to increased dues and fees payable to the card networks, and an increase in processing liabilities, partially offset by a reduction in amounts due to sponsor banks.

The primary reason for the negative operating cash flow for 2010 was the payment of \$98.5 million, which is reflected in the reduction of our Reserve for Processing System Intrusion, for the settlements with Visa, MasterCard and Discover. The Visa Settlement Agreement required us to obtain a loan of at least \$53.0 million from our Sponsor Banks, the proceeds of which were to be used to fund the settlement amount. The settlements with MasterCard and Discover were funded from our cash reserves. Partially offsetting the impact of these settlements on our 2010 operating cash flow was approximately \$27.2 million of cash we recovered from our insurance providers against certain costs we incurred for the Processing System Intrusion.

Other major determinants of operating cash flow are net signing bonus payments, which consume operating cash as we install new merchants, and payouts on the accrued buyout liability, which represent the costs of buying out residual commissions owned by our salespersons. See "— Critical Accounting Estimates — Capitalized Customer Acquisition Costs" and "— Critical Accounting Estimates — Accrued Buyout Liability" for more information. We paid net signing bonuses of \$29.3 million, \$29.0 million, and \$26.5 million, respectively, in 2012, 2011 and 2010. The increase in net signing bonuses paid during the year ended December 31, 2012 reflects a year-over-year improvement in newly installed gross margin. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, we reduced our accrued buyout liability by making buyout payments

of \$11.9 million, \$10.4 million, and \$25.2 million, respectively. See "— Critical Accounting Estimates — Accrued Buyout Liability" for a discussion of buyouts initiated in the third quarter of 2010.

Cash Flow Used In Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$139.8 million for 2012, compared to \$60.7 million for 2011 and \$31.1 million in 2010. The amount of cash used in investing activities during 2012 included \$103.5 million for the acquisitions of Lunchbyte, ECSI, and Ovation. During 2011, we used cash of \$23.2 million to acquire Comalex, mySchoolBucks and School-Link Technologies and during 2010 we acquired Lunchbox for a net cash payment of \$7.7 million.

61

We made capital expenditures of \$34.5 million, \$36.5 million and \$23.3 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010. Capital expenditures include costs of \$6.7 million and \$1.9 million in 2011 and 2010, respectively, related to capital improvements to our service center facility in Jeffersonville, Indiana. We also continued building our technology infrastructure, primarily for hardware and software needed for the development and expansion of our operating platforms. To further develop our technology, we anticipate that these expenditures will continue near current levels. Cash Flow (Used In) Provided By Financing Activities. Net cash used in financing activities was \$21.8 million for 2012, compared to \$47.8 million for 2011. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$63.0 million in 2010. During 2012, 2011 and 2010, we made term loan amortization payments of \$15.0 million, \$15.0 million, and \$6.2 million, respectively, due under our Term Credit Facility and Previous Credit Facility, respectively, and during 2012 we drew down a total of \$133.0 million on our Revolving Credit Facility and repaid \$51.0 million on the Revolving Credit Facility during 2012. The outstanding balance of \$82.0 million at December 31, 2012 was to used fund the acquisitions of ECSI and Ovation. See "- Credit Facilities" for more details. Cash flow provided by financing activities for 2010 included the \$53.0 million of proceeds from the loans we obtained from our Sponsor Banks to use in funding the February 18, 2010 settlement with Visa (see "- Processing System Intrusion" for more detail). Cash used in financing activities in 2012 and 2011 included cash used for common stock repurchases. See "-Common Stock Repurchases" for more information on our common stock repurchase authorizations. We used \$103.8 million of cash to repurchase 3,634,044 shares of our common stock during 2012 and \$16.4 million of cash to repurchase 778,889 shares of our common stock during 2011. No common stock was repurchased during 2010. During 2012, 2011 and 2010, employees exercised stock options generating cash proceeds in the aggregate of \$18.3 million, \$9.7 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, and tax benefits of \$6.0 million, \$3.5 million and \$1.9 million, respectively.

Cash dividends paid in 2012 were \$9.2 million, compared to \$6.2 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, in 2011 and 2010. See "— Dividends on Common Stock" for more information on our common stock dividends. Credit Facilities. On November 24, 2010, we entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the "Credit Agreement") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and certain lenders who are a party to the Credit Agreement. Credit extended under the Credit Agreement is guaranteed by our subsidiaries and is secured by substantially all of our assets and the assets of our subsidiaries. The Credit Agreement amended and restated in its entirety the previous amended and restated credit agreement entered into on May 30, 2008, as amended (the "Previous Credit Agreement"), between us and certain of the parties to the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit facility, as subsequently amended and increased, in the aggregate amount of up to \$140 million (the "Revolving Credit Facility"), of which up to \$10 million may be used for the issuance of letters of credit and up to \$5 million is available for swing line loans. As originally structured, the Revolving Credit Facility provided for \$50 million plus, upon the prior approval of the administrative agent, an increase to the total revolving commitments of \$50 million for a total commitment under the Revolving Credit Facility of \$100 million. On July 20, 2012, we entered into Amendment No. 1 (the "Amendment") to the Credit Agreement. The Amendment amended the Credit Agreement by, among other things, allowing us to increase the total Revolving Credit Facility commitments from \$100 million to \$150 million upon the prior approval of the administrative agent. On December 12, 2012, we entered into the Revolving Credit Commitment Increase Agreement (the "Increase Agreement") with the lenders under the Credit Agreement to increase the total available commitment under the facilities revolving credit facility by \$90 million. The Revolving Credit Facility is available to us on a revolving basis until November 24, 2015. All principal and interest not previously paid on the Revolving Credit Facility will mature and be due and payable on November 24, 2015.

The Credit Agreement also provides a term credit facility in the aggregate amount of up to \$100 million (the "Term Credit Facility"). The Term Credit Facility requires amortization payments in the amount of \$3.75 million for each fiscal quarter during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, \$5.0 million for each fiscal quarter during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2014, and \$7.5 million for each fiscal quarter during the period commencing on January 1, 2015 through the maturity date on November 24, 2015. All principal and interest not

previously paid on the Term Credit Facility will mature and be due and payable on November 24, 2015. The Credit Agreement contains covenants which include: maintenance of certain leverage and fixed charge coverage ratios; limitations on our indebtedness, liens on our properties and assets, investments in, and loans to other business units, our ability to enter into business combinations and asset sales; and certain other financial and non-financial covenants. These covenants also apply to certain of our subsidiaries. We were in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2012 and expect we will remain in compliance with these covenants for at least the next twelve months.

### Table of Contents

A condition of the January 7, 2010 Settlement Agreement with Visa was for us to obtain a loan of at least \$53.0 million from KeyBank and Heartland Bank, the proceeds of which were to be used to fund the settlement amount. See "- Processing System Intrusion" for more detail. On February 18, 2010, we entered into the Bridge Loan Agreement with KeyBank, as administrative agent, and KeyBank and Heartland Bank as bridge lenders. On that date, KeyBank made a bridge loan to us in the amount of \$20.0 million and Heartland Bank made a bridge loan to in the amount of \$20.0 million and Heartland Bank made a bridge loan to in the amount of \$20.0 million. The maturity date of the Bridge Loan was scheduled for February 17, 2011. On February 18, 2010, we entered into the Commitment Increase Agreement with KeyBank as one of the lenders under the Previous Credit Agreement to increase the total commitment under that facility's revolving credit facility by \$25.0 million. The proceeds of the Bridge Loan (\$28.0 million) and the Increased Credit Commitment (\$25.0 million), together with a portion of our cash reserves, were used to fund the settlement with Visa, which was consummated on February 18, 2010 for \$58.6 million.

In conjunction with the November 24, 2010 closing of the Credit Agreement, we borrowed \$15.2 million under the Revolving Credit Facility and \$100.0 million under the Term Credit Facility and repaid amounts previously borrowed and outstanding under the Previous Credit Agreement, the Increased Credit Commitment, and the Bridge Loan. Additionally, we borrowed \$8.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility at December 31, 2010 to fund the acquisition of Lunchbox. The Company repaid that \$8.0 million and the remaining \$15.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2011. During the fourth quarter of 2012, we borrowed \$82.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility to fund the acquisitions of ECSI and Ovation.

At December 31, 2012, there was \$70.0 million outstanding under the Term Credit Facility and \$82.0 million outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility.

At December 31, 2011, there was \$85.0 million outstanding under the Term Credit Facility and no borrowings outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility.

Common Stock Repurchases. On October 21, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million worth of the Company's outstanding common stock. Repurchases under this program were completed as of June 4, 2012. On July 27, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a second program to repurchase up to \$50 million of the Company's outstanding common stock. Repurchases under this program were completed as of October 24, 2012. On November 2, 2012, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a new program to repurchase up to \$50 million of the Company's outstanding common stock. Repurchases under these programs were made through the open market in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. The Company funded repurchases with cash flow from operations, existing cash on the balance sheet, and other sources including the proceeds of options exercises. At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$29.8 million remaining under the November 2, 2012 authorization to repurchase its common stock. No common stock was repurchased during the year ended December 31, 2010. A summary of repurchase activity under these authorizations is as follows:

Repurchase Programs by Authorization Date

Activity For the Year ended December 31, 2012	October 2011	July 2012	November 2012	Total 2012
Shares repurchased	1,157,440	1,760,804	715,800	3,634,044
Cost of shares repurchased (in thousands)	\$33,172	\$50,000	\$20,187	\$103,359
Average cost per share	\$28.66	\$28.40	\$28.20	\$28.44
Remaining authorization	—	—	\$29,813	\$29,813
Activity For the Year ended December 31, 2011	October 2011			Total 2011
Shares repurchased	778,889			778,889
Cost of shares repurchased (in thousands)	\$16,828			\$16,828
Average cost per share	\$21.61			\$21.61

Repurchases were made in accordance with applicable securities laws in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. Depending on market conditions and other factors, these repurchases may be commenced or suspended from time to time without prior notice.

Dividends on Common Stock. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, our Board of Directors declared the following quarterly cash dividends on common stock:

Date Declared	Record Date	Date Paid	Amount Paid Per Common Share
Twelve Months Ended	December 31, 2012		
February 8, 2012	March 2, 2012	March 15, 2012	\$0.06
May 1, 2012	May 24, 2012	June 15, 2012	\$0.06
July 27, 2012	August 24, 2012	September 14, 2012	\$0.06
November 1, 2012	November 23, 2012	December 14, 2012	\$0.06

Date Declared	Record Date	Date Paid	Amount Paid Per Common Share
Twelve Months Ended	December 31, 2011		
February 16, 2011	March 4, 2011	March 15, 2011	\$0.04
May 13, 2011	May 24, 2011	June 15, 2011	\$0.04
August 2, 2011	August 24, 2011	September 15, 2011	\$0.04
October 21, 2011	November 24, 2011	December 15, 2011	\$0.04
Twelve Months Ended	December 31, 2010		
February 18, 2010	March 5, 2010	March 15, 2010	\$0.01
May 4, 2010	May 25, 2010	June 15, 2010	\$0.01
August 3, 2010	August 25, 2010	September 15, 2010	\$0.01
November 2, 2010	November 23, 2010	December 15, 2010	\$0.01

On February 7, 2013, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.07 per share of common stock, payable on March 15, 2013 to stockholders of record as of March 4, 2013.

Contractual Obligations. The card brand networks generally allow chargebacks up to four months after the later of the date the transaction is processed or the delivery of the product or service to the cardholder. If the merchant incurring the chargeback is unable to fund the refund to the card issuing bank, we must do so. As the majority of our SME transactions involve the delivery of the product or service at the time of the transaction, a good basis to estimate our exposure to chargebacks is the last four months' bankcard processing volume on our SME portfolio, which was \$23.5 billion for the four months ended December 31, 2012 and \$22.3 billion for the four months ended December 31, 2011. However, during the four months ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we were presented with \$11.8 million and \$12.2 million, respectively, of chargebacks by issuing banks. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, we incurred merchant credit losses of \$2.0 million, \$5.1 million and \$9.1 million, respectively, on total SME bankcard dollar volumes processed of \$71.7 billion, \$67.5 billion and \$63.1 billion respectively. These credit losses are included in processing and servicing expense in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we converted Network Services' settled transactions from a third party processor and began settling Network Services Merchant accounts, and processing chargebacks originating from these merchants, on Passport. Prior to this, these chargebacks were processed and carried by the third-party processor. Chargeback losses originating from Network Services' bankcard processing on Passport during 2012 were immaterial.

The following table reflects our significant contractual obligations as of December 31, 2012: Payments Due by Period

	rayments i	Jue by Ferioc	1		
Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than	1 to 3	3 to 5	More than
Contractual Congations	Total	1 year	years	years	5 years
	(In thousan	ds)			
Processing providers (a)	\$17,615	\$7,151	\$9,771	\$693	\$—
Telecommunications providers	12,526	5,072	7,454		—
Facility and equipment leases	37,997	9,518	12,062	6,178	10,239
Term Credit Facility (b)	70,000	20,000	50,000		—
	\$138,138	\$41,741	\$79,287	\$6,871	\$10,239

(a) We have agreements with several third-party processors to provide to us on a non-exclusive basis payment processing and transmittal, transaction authorization and data capture services, and access to various reporting tools. Our agreements with third-party processors require us to submit a minimum monthly number of transactions or volume for processing. If we submit a number of transactions or volume that is

lower than the minimum, we are required to pay the third-party processors the fees that they would have received if we had submitted the required minimum number or volume of transactions.

Interest rates on the Term Credit Facility are variable in nature; however, in January 2011 we entered into fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps having a remaining notional amount at December 31, 2012 of \$35.0 million. If interest rates were to remain at the December 31, 2012 level, we would make interest payments of \$2.1

(b) million in the next year and \$2.0 million in the next 1 to 3 years or a total of \$4.1 million including net settlements on the fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps. In addition, we had 82.0 million outstanding under our Revolving Credit Facility at December 31, 2012. The Revolving Credit Facility is available on a revolving basis until November 24, 2015.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits. At December 31, 2012, we had gross tax-effected unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$3.1 million. See "— Critical Accounting Estimates — Income Taxes." As of December 31, 2012 we are unable to make reasonably reliable estimates of the period of cash settlement with the respective taxing authority, hence the unrecognized tax benefits have been excluded from the above commitment and contractual obligations table.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have not entered into any transactions with third parties or unconsolidated entities whereby we have financial guarantees, subordinated retained interest, derivative instruments, or other contingent arrangements that expose us to material continuing risks, contingent liabilities, or other obligations other than for chargebacks and reject losses described under "— Critical Accounting Estimates."

### Legal and Regulatory Considerations

### Processing System Intrusion Legal Proceedings

To date, we have had several lawsuits filed against us and additional lawsuits may be filed. These include lawsuits which assert claims against us by banks that issued payment cards to cardholders whose transaction information is alleged to have been placed at risk in the course of the Processing System Intrusion (including various putative class actions seeking to represent all financial institutions that issued payment cards to cardholders whose transaction information is alleged to have been placed at risk in the course of the Processing System Intrusion), seeking damages allegedly arising out of the Processing System Intrusion and other related relief. The actions generally assert various common-law claims such as claims for negligence and breach of contract, as well as, in some cases, statutory claims such as violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, state data breach notification statutes, and state unfair and deceptive practices statutes. The putative financial institution class actions seek compensatory damages, including recovery of the cost of issuance of replacement cards and losses by reason of unauthorized transactions, as well as injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs.

On June 10, 2009, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the "JPML") entered an order centralizing the class action cases for pre-trial proceedings before the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, under the caption In re Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. Customer Data Security Breach Litigation, MDL No. 2046, 4:09-md-2046. On August 24, 2009, the court appointed interim co-lead and liaison counsel for the financial institution and consumer plaintiffs.

On September 23, 2009, the financial institution plaintiffs filed a Master Complaint in the MDL proceedings, which we moved to dismiss on October 23, 2009. On December 1, 2011, the Court entered an order granting in part our motion to dismiss the financial institution plaintiffs' master complaint against us, but allowing the plaintiffs leave to amend to re-plead certain claims. Plaintiffs elected not to file an amended complaint. The parties then jointly moved for the entry of final judgment on those claims in the master complaint that the Court had dismissed. On August 16, 2012, the Court entered final judgment on the dismissed claims and, on September 17, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal from that final judgment to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. On September 12, 2012, Plaintiffs stipulated to dismissal with prejudice of the remaining claims pending before the District Court. Briefing on Plaintiffs' appeal was complete on February 8, 2013.

Other actions have been filed against us seeking damages allegedly arising out of the Processing System Intrusion and other related relief on an individual basis. On December 28, 2009, Putnam Bank of Putnam, Connecticut filed a complaint in Connecticut Superior Court, Putnam Bank v. Heartland Payment Systems, Inc., case no. WWM-CV-10-6001208-S. On January 20, 2010, we removed the action to the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, case no. 3:10-cv-0061 (JBA), and, on January 27, 2010, filed a Notice of Potential Tag-Along Action, requesting centralization of the action with the MDL proceedings. On March 17, 2010, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On February 9, 2010, OmniAmerican Bank filed a complaint in the District Court for Collin County, Texas, Civ. No. 380-00563-2012. The complaint identifies as a party in interest the Federal Insurance Company, which is alleged to have insured plaintiff and reimbursed it for \$1,005,077.50, less a \$100,000 deductible. On March 15, 2010, we filed an answer to the complaint and removed the action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, case no. 4:10-cv-114, and, on March 16, 2010, filed a Notice of Potential Tag-Along Action, requesting centralization of the action with the MDL proceedings. On April 29, 2010, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On February 18, 2010, Quad City Bank and Trust filed a complaint in the District Court for Collin County, Texas, Civ. No. 380-00721-2010. The complaint identifies as a party in

interest the Federal Insurance Company, which is alleged to have insured plaintiff and reimbursed it for \$432,420.32, less a \$100,000 deductible. On March 15, 2010, we filed an answer to the complaint and removed the action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, case no. 4:10-cv-115 and, on March 16, 2010, filed a Notice of Potential Tag-Along Action, requesting centralization of the action with the MDL proceedings. On April 29, 2010, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On May 5, 2010, Napus Federal Credit Union filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, case no. 4:10-cv-1616, and the action was consolidated with the MDL proceedings on June 9, 2010.

On January 19, 2010, financial institution plaintiffs, including certain of the named plaintiffs in the MDL proceedings, commenced an action against our sponsor banks in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, captioned Lonestar National Bank, N.A. et al. v. KeyBank NA, et al., Civ. No. 4:10-cv-00171. This action against our sponsor

banks asserts common-law claims similar to those asserted against us, and likewise seeks to represent all financial institutions that issued payment cards to cardholders whose transaction information is alleged to have been placed at risk in the course of the Processing System Intrusion. On March 4, 2010, this action was transferred to the judge overseeing the MDL proceedings. On April 9, 2010, our sponsor banks moved to dismiss the complaint. On March 31, 2011, the Court entered an order granting the sponsor banks' motions to dismiss the complaint and invited additional briefing on the effect of the Court's order on our pending motion to dismiss. On April 18, 2011, in accordance with its order dismissing the claims against Heartland Bank for lack of personal jurisdiction, the court transferred the action against Heartland Bank to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. Heartland Bank filed a notice with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation on July 27, 2011 designating the transferred action as a potential tag-along action to the MDL proceedings. On August 11, 2011, the action was centralized with the MDL proceedings. On August 14, 2012, Plaintiffs stipulated to dismissal of the claims against Heartland Bank. The sponsor banks could seek indemnification from us in regard to the claims asserted in this action.

Although we intend to defend the lawsuits and inquiries described above vigorously, we cannot predict the outcome of such lawsuits and inquiries.

Since our announcement of the Processing System Intrusion on January 20, 2009 and through December 31, 2012, we have expensed a total of \$147.6 million, before reducing those charges by \$31.2 million of total insurance recoveries. The majority of the total charges, or approximately \$114.7 million, related to settlements of claims. Approximately \$32.9 million of the total charges were for legal fees and costs we incurred for investigations, defending various claims and actions, remedial actions and crisis management services. In 2009 and 2010, we had entered into settlements with the bankcard networks for various claims and disputes related to the Processing System Intrusion. See "—Liquidity and Capital Resources —Settlements of Claims Related to the Processing System Intrusion" for a discussion of these settlements.

We do not consider it a reasonable possibility that losses exceeding the amounts already recognized on the matters subject to the settlement agreements will be incurred. With regard to the unsettled claims related to the Processing System Intrusion, we determined material losses in addition to those previously accrued are not considered reasonably possible on any such claim disclosed. We are prepared to vigorously defend ourselves against any unsettled claims relating to the Processing System Intrusion that have been asserted against us and our sponsor banks to date. We feel we have strong defenses to all the claims that have been asserted against us and our sponsor banks relating to the Processing System Intrusion.

Additional costs we expect to incur for legal fees and costs for defending the unsettled claims and actions associated with the Processing System Intrusion will be recognized as incurred. Such costs are not expected to be material to our results of operations, financial condition and cash flow.

Other Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various legal actions, which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. We believe that the outcome of the proceedings to which we are currently a party will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk. Our primary market risk exposure is to changes in interest rates.

We have interest rate risk related to our payable to our sponsor banks. Within our amount payable to our sponsor banks are balances which our sponsor banks have advanced to our SME merchants for interchange fees. Historically, these advances to our SME merchants were funded first with our available cash, then by incurring a payable to our sponsor banks when that cash has been expended. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2012, these merchant advances are first funded from settlement cash received from bankcard networks when receipt of that settlement cash precedes the funding obligation to the SME merchant. At December 31, 2012, our payable to sponsor banks included \$36.3 million for funding interchange advances to our SME merchants. This payable is repaid on the first business day of the following month out of fees collected from our merchants. During the quarter ended December 31, 2012 the average daily interest-bearing balance of that payable was approximately \$5.3 million. A hypothetical 100 basis point

change in short-term interest rates applied to our average payable to sponsor banks would result in a change of approximately \$53,000 in annual pre-tax income.

We also incur interest rate risk on borrowings under our Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement provides for a Revolving Credit Facility of \$140.0 million and a Term Credit Facility of \$100.0 million. At December 31, 2012, there was \$70.0 million outstanding under the Term Credit Facility and \$82.0 million outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility. The Term Credit Facility requires amortization payments in the amount of \$3.75 million for each fiscal quarter during the fiscal

66

years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, \$5.0 million for each fiscal quarter during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, and \$7.5 million for each fiscal quarter during the period commencing on January 1, 2015 through the maturity date on November 24, 2015. Under the terms of the Credit Agreement, we may borrow, at our option, at interest rates equal to one, two, three or six month adjusted LIBOR rates, or equal to the greater of the prime rate, the federal funds rate plus 0.50% and the adjusted LIBOR rate plus 1%, in each case plus a margin determined by our current leverage ratio. In January 2011, we entered into fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps having an initial notional amount of \$50.0 million on the variable rate debt outstanding under the Term Credit Facility. These interest rate swaps convert that initial notional amount to a fixed rate. At December 31, 2012, the remaining notional amount of these interest rate swaps was \$35.0 million. The impact which a hypothetical 100 basis point increase in short-term interest rates would have on our outstanding December 31, 2012 balances under the Credit Agreement would be a decline of approximately \$1.2 million in annual pre-tax income, including the effect from interest rate swaps.

While the bulk of our cash and cash-equivalents are held in checking accounts or money market funds, we do hold certain fixed-income investments with maturities within three years. At December 31, 2012, a hypothetical 100 basis point increase in short-term interest rates would result in an increase of approximately \$79,000 in annual pre-tax income from money market fund holdings, but a decrease in the value of fixed-rate investments of approximately \$24,000. A hypothetical 100 basis point decrease in short-term interest rates would result in a decrease of approximately \$79,000 in annual pre-tax income from money market funds, but an increase of approximately \$79,000 in annual pre-tax income from money market funds, but an increase in the value of fixed-rate instruments of approximately \$24,000.

Foreign Currency Risk. While substantially all of our business is conducted in U.S. dollars, our 70% owned Canadian processing subsidiary, CPOS, conducts its operations in Canadian dollars. Consequently, a portion of CPOS' revenues and expenses, which are reflected in Income from Discontinued Operations in the Consolidated Statements of Income, may be affected by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. We are also affected by fluctuations in exchange rates on assets and liabilities, which are reflected in assets held for sale and liabilities related to assets held for sale on our Consolidated Balance Sheets, related to our CPOS subsidiary. We have not hedged our translation risk on foreign currency exposure. For the year ended December 31, 2012, foreign currency exposures had an immaterial impact on our revenues and our net income. For 2012, fluctuations in exchange rates on CPOS' assets and liabilities increased our total other comprehensive income and noncontrolling interests by \$0.3 million.

We do not hold or engage in the trading of foreign exchange instruments.

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") or other standards setting bodies that are adopted by us as of the specified effective date.

In May 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which addresses changes to concepts regarding performing fair value measurements including: (i) the application of the highest and best use and valuation premise; (ii) the valuation of an instrument classified in the reporting entity's shareholder equity; (iii) the valuation of financial instruments that are managed within a portfolio; and (iv) the application of premiums and discounts. This update also enhances disclosure requirements about fair value measurements, including providing information regarding Level 3 measurements such as quantitative information about unobservable inputs, further discussion of the valuation processes used and assumption sensitivity analysis. The update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The implementation of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which amends the comprehensive income topic of the current codification. The update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as

part of the statement of changes in equity, and instead requires consecutive presentation of the statement of net income and other comprehensive income either in a continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The implementation of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update on testing goodwill for impairment. This guidance provides an entity the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If an entity determines that this is the case, it is required to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test to identify potential goodwill impairment and measure the amount of goodwill impairment loss to be recognized for that reporting unit (if any). If an entity determines that the fair value of a reporting unit is more than its carrying amount, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after

### Table of Contents

December 15, 2011 (early adoption is permitted). The implementation of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update on disclosures about offsetting financial assets and

liabilities. This guidance requires entities to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of

financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on the entity's financial position. The amendments require

enhanced disclosures by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either

(i) offset in accordance with current literature or (ii) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement,

irrespective of whether they are offset in accordance with current literature. The update is effective for fiscal years, and interim

periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The implementation of this update is not expected to have a

material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued an accounting standard update on testing indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. This guidance will allow an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform

a quantitative impairment test. Under these amendments, an entity would not be required to calculate the fair value of an

indefinite-lived intangible asset unless the entity determines, based on qualitative assessment, that it is not more likely than not

the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. The amendments include a number of events and circumstances for an entity to

consider in conducting the qualitative assessment. The update is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed

for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012 (early adoption is permitted). The Company has not early adopted this update and is currently evaluating the impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which addresses reporting reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The amendments in this update require an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. This update is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this update on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Index to Consolidated Financial Statements	
Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries:	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on the Consolidated	<u>69</u>
Financial Statements	09
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on	70
Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	<u>70</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011	<u>71</u>
Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010	<u>72</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended	<u>73</u>
December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010	<u>75</u>
Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31.	74
<u>2012, 2011 and 20</u> 10	<u>74</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and	75
<u>20</u> 10	<u>75</u>
Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>76</u>

### Table of Contents

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Heartland Payment Systems, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 1, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP Philadelphia, Pennsylvania March 1, 2013

69

#### Table of Contents

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Heartland Payment Systems, Inc.

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012 of the Company and our report dated March 1, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP Philadelphia, Pennsylvania March 1, 2013

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets (In thousands, except share data)

(In thousands, except share data)		
	December 31	,
Assets	2012	2011
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$48,440	\$37,882
Funds held for customers	131,405	42,511
Receivables, net	180,448	175,822
Investments held to maturity	1,199	1,195
Inventory	9,694	11,046
Prepaid expenses	10,421	9,478
Current deferred tax assets, net	10,475	6,746
Assets held for sale	17,044	15,093
Total current assets	409,126	299,773
Capitalized customer acquisition costs, net	56,425	55,014
Property and equipment, net	125,031	115,039
Goodwill	168,062	94,255
Intangible assets, net	53,594	32,159
Deposits and other assets, net	1,176	681
Total assets	\$813,414	\$596,921
10(4) 4550(5	\$013,414	\$390,921
Liabilities and Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Due to sponsor banks	\$37,586	\$63,881
*	64,065	
Accounts payable		46,715
Customer fund deposits	131,405	42,511
Processing liabilities	95,273	30,675
Current portion of borrowings	102,001	15,003
Current portion of accrued buyout liability	10,478	8,104
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	47,817	49,764
Current tax liabilities	4,323	1,068
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	1,672	2,186
Total current liabilities	494,620	259,907
Deferred tax liabilities, net	29,632	21,589
Reserve for unrecognized tax benefits	3,069	1,819
Long-term portion of borrowings	50,000	70,000
Long-term portion of accrued buyout liability	24,932	23,554
Total liabilities	602,253	376,869
Commitments and contingencies (Note 17)	_	_
Equity		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 37,571,708		
and 39,626,846 shares issued at December 31, 2012 and 2011; 36,855,908	38	39
and 38,847,957 outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011		
Additional paid-in capital	222,705	207,643
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(399	) (680
Retained earnings	7,629	29,236

)

Treasury stock, at cost (715,800 and 778,889 shares at December 31, 2012 and 2011)	(20,187	) (16,828	)
Total stockholders' equity	209,786	219,410	
Noncontrolling interests	1,375	642	
Total equity	211,161	220,052	
Total liabilities and equity	\$813,414	\$596,921	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

71

## Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Income (In thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended		
	2012	2011	2010
Total revenues	\$2,013,436	\$1,985,577	\$1,855,839
Costs of services:	. , ,	. , ,	
Interchange	1,284,038	1,359,448	1,299,631
Dues, assessments and fees	199,503	155,233	119,834
Processing and servicing	216,863	208,542	225,802
Customer acquisition costs	43,547	46,140	50,415
Depreciation and amortization	19,750	14,368	14,653
Total costs of services	1,763,701	1,783,731	1,710,335
General and administrative	139,934	125,765	99,912
Total expenses	1,903,635	1,909,496	1,810,247
Income from operations	109,801	76,081	45,592
Other income (expense):		,	
Interest income	201	142	134
Interest expense	(3,446	) (4,122	) (4,778
(Provision for) recovery of processing system intrusion costs	(563	) (1,012	) 14,138
Gain on Investment			25
Other, net	(949	) (1,550	) 47
Total other (expense) income	(4,757	) (6,542	) 9,566
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	105,044	69,539	55,158
Provision for income taxes	40,691	26,551	20,975
Net income from continuing operations	64,353	42,988	34,183
Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax of \$803, \$575			
and \$160	2,185	1,359	477
Net income	66,538	44,347	34,660
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	649	408	123
Net income attributable to Heartland	\$65,889	\$43,939	\$34,537
	<i><i><i>ϕ</i> 00,000</i></i>	<i><i><i>ϕ</i></i>.<i><i>c</i>,<i>i</i>,<i>c</i>,<i>i</i></i></i>	<i><i><i>qc</i><sup>1</sup><i>,cc</i><sup>1</sup></i></i>
Amounts Attributable to Heartland:			
Net income from continuing operations	\$64,353	\$42,988	\$34,183
Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax			
and non-controlling interests	1,536	951	354
Net income attributable to Heartland	\$65,889	\$43,939	\$34,537
		- )	1 - )
Basic earnings per share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$1.67	\$1.10	\$0.90
Income from discontinued operations	0.04	0.03	0.01
Basic earnings per share	\$1.71	\$1.13	\$0.91
	•		
Diluted earnings per share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$1.60	\$1.07	\$0.87
Income from discontinued operations	0.04	0.02	0.01
Diluted earnings per share	\$1.64	\$1.09	\$0.88

)

Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:			
Basic	38,468	38,931	37,994
Diluted	40,058	40,233	39,310

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net income Other comprehensive income (loss):	\$66,538	\$44,347	\$34,660
Unrealized gains (losses) on investments, net of income tax of \$21, (\$4) and \$30	33	(5	) 46
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments, net of tax of \$29 and (\$341)	51	(556	) —
Foreign currency translation adjustment	281	(223	) 501
Comprehensive income	66,903	43,563	35,207
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	733	341	87
Comprehensive income attributable to Heartland	\$66,170	\$43,222	\$35,120

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Equity								
(In thousands) Heartland Stockholders' Equity Common Stock Accumulated (Accumulated								
	Shares	Amoun	Additional Paid-In Capital		Deficit)	Treasury Stock	Noncontrollin Interests	ngTotal Equity
Balance, January 1, 2010 Issuance of common	37,524	\$38	\$171,736	\$ (546 )	\$(41,487)	_	\$ 214	\$129,955
stock– options exercised	835	_	6,303	_	_	_	_	6,303
Issuance of common stock – RSU's vested	56		(555 )		_			(555)
Excess tax benefit on employee share-based compensation	_	_	1,910	_	_	_	_	1,910
Share-based compensation		_	6,295	_	_	_	_	6,295
Other comprehensive income		_	—	583	_	—	(36)	547
Dividends on common stock (\$0.04 per share)			_	_	(1,521)	_	_	(1,521)
Net income for the year		_	_	_	34,537	_	123	34,660
Balance, December 31, 2010	38,415	\$38	\$185,689	\$ 37	\$(8,471)	\$—	\$ 301	\$177,594
Issuance of common stock– options exercised	1,134	1	9,684	_	_	_	—	9,685
Issuance of common stock – RSU's vested	78		(732)					(732)
Excess tax benefit on employee share-based compensation	_	_	3,454	_	_	_	_	3,454
Repurchase of common stock	(779)	_	_	_	_	(16,828)	_	(16,828)
Share-based compensation		—	9,548				—	9,548
				(717)			(67)	(784)

Other comprehensive (loss)											
Dividends on common											
stock						(6,232	)			(6,232	)
(\$0.16 per share)										( )	,
Net income for the						42.020			408	11 217	
year			_			43,939		_	408	44,347	
Balance,	38,848	\$39	\$207,643	\$ (680 )	)	\$29,236		\$(16,828)	\$ 642	\$220,05	2
December 31, 2011	50,040	φ39	\$207,043	\$ (000 )	,	\$ 29,230		\$(10,828)	\$ 042	φ220,05	2
Issuance of common											
stock-	1,522	2	18,301					—		18,303	
options exercised											
Issuance of common											
stock –	120		(1,641)						—	(1,641	)
RSU's vested											
Excess tax benefit on											
employee			5,954							5,954	
share-based											
compensation Repurchase of											
common stock	(3,634)							(103, 359)		(103,359	))
Retirement of treasury											
stock		(3)	(21,739)			(78,258	)	100,000			
Share-based											
compensation	—		14,187					—		14,187	
Other comprehensive											
income				281				_	84	365	
Dividends on common											
stock			_			(9,238	)	_		(9,238	)
(\$0.24 per share)							ĺ				,
Net income for the						65 000			649	66 520	
year		_		_		65,889		_	049	66,538	
Balance,	36,856	\$38	\$222,705	\$ (399 )	)	\$7,629		\$(20,187)	\$ 1 375	\$211,16	1
December 31, 2012	50,050	ψ30	$\psi 222, 103$	ψ (377 )	,	ψ1,021		$\psi(20,107)$	ψ 1,575	Ψ211,10	1

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries

(In thousands)

(in mousands)				
	Year Ended			
	2012	2011	2010	
Cash flows from operating activities				
Net income	\$66,538	\$44,347	\$34,660	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating				
activities:				
Amortization of capitalized customer acquisition costs	45,125	47,188	53,997	
Other depreciation and amortization	28,213	27,837	26,020	
Addition to loss reserves	2,595	6,011	9,985	
Provision for doubtful receivables	1,043	2,423	1,329	
Deferred taxes	5,136	778	35,730	
Share-based compensation	14,187	9,548	6,295	
Gain on Investment			(25	)
Write downs on fixed assets and system development costs	1,066	129	1,014	
Other		1,915	509	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Increase in receivables	•	/ ( /	) (27,084	)
Decrease (increase) in inventory	1,460	<b>X</b>	) 1,331	
Payment of signing bonuses, net		, , , ,	) (26,495	)
Increase in capitalized customer acquisition costs			) (14,715	)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses		, ( )	) 1,162	
Decrease (increase) in current tax assets	9,118	23,522	(481	)
(Increase) decrease in deposits and other assets			) 1,118	
Excess tax benefits on employee share-based compensation		/ (- ) -	) (1,910	)
Increase (decrease) in reserve for unrecognized tax benefits	1,251	510	(82	)
Decrease in due to sponsor banks		, , ,	) (7,433	)
Increase in accounts payable	11,840	3,779	8,368	
Decrease in accrued expenses and other liabilities	•	) (755	) (4,808	)
Increase (decrease) in processing liabilities	61,993		) (8,459	)
Decrease in reserve for processing system intrusion		<b>`</b>	) (98,294	)
Payouts of accrued buyout liability		/ - /	) (25,209	)
Increase in accrued buyout liability	15,638	13,228	11,133	
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	171,840	107,166	(22,344	)
Cash flows from investing activities				
Purchase of investments held to maturity		) (=,=	) (1,452	)
Maturities of investments held to maturity	4,714	2,934	1,397	
Proceeds from sale of securities			161	
Increase in funds held for customers		) (6,163	) (6,916	)
Increase in customer fund deposits	88,893	5,988	6,856	
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired	-	, ( <i>,</i>	) (7,904	)
Purchases of property and equipment	(34,549	) (36,543	) (23,279	)
Net cash used in investing activities	(139,807	) (60,730	) (31,137	)
Cash flows from financing activities				
Proceeds from borrowings	133,000	_	176,200	
Principal payments on borrowings			) (119,880	)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	18,303	9,685	6,303	

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Excess tax benefits on employee share-based compensation Repurchases of common stock Dividends paid on common stock Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	5,954 (103,774 (9,238 (21,758	3,454 ) (16,414 ) (6,232 ) (47,794	) ) )	1,910  (1,521 63,012	)
Net increase (decrease) in cash Effect of exchange rates on cash Cash at beginning of year Cash at end of year	10,275 5 40,301 \$50,581	(1,358 (70 41,729 \$40,301	) )	9,531 85 32,113 \$41,729	
Supplemental cash flow information: Cash paid (received) during the period for: Interest Income taxes See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.	\$2,991 25,832	\$3,783 2,349		\$4,495 (14,042	)

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements

#### 1. Organization and Operations

Basis of Financial Statement Presentation— The accompanying consolidated financial statements include those of Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. (the "Company," "we," "us," or "our") and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Heartland Payroll Company ("HPC"), Ovation Payroll, Inc. ("Ovation"), Educational Computer Systems, Inc. ("ECSI"), Debitek, Inc. ("Debitek") and Heartland Acquisition LLC ("Network Services"), and its 70% owned subsidiary Collective POS Solutions Ltd. ("CPOS"). As discussed in Note 2, Subsequent Events, the Company entered into an agreement during the fourth quarter of 2012 to sell CPOS. The transaction was settled on January 31, 2013 and the Company expects to record a gain on the sale in the first quarter of 2013. The Company presented CPOS as a discontinued operation in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. See Note 20, Discontinued Operation for more detail. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All intercompany balances and transactions with the Company's subsidiaries have been eliminated upon consolidation.

Business Description—The Company's principal business is to provide payment processing services related to bankcard transactions for merchants throughout the United States, and until January 31, 2013 in Canada (See Note 2, Subsequent Events). In addition, the Company provides certain other merchant services, including the sale and rental of terminal equipment, sale of terminal supplies and loyalty and gift card marketing solutions ("Heartland Marketing Solutions"). The Company provides K to 12 school solutions ("Heartland School Solutions") in the United States including school nutrition and point-of-sale and payment solutions. HPC and Ovation provide payroll and related tax filing services throughout the United States. Debitek provides campus payment solutions ("Campus Solutions"), prepaid card and stored-value card payment solutions throughout the United States and Canada. ECSI also provides Campus Solutions, including higher education loan services, throughout the United States. CPOS, which was sold in a transaction settled on January 31, 2013, is a Canadian provider of payment processing services and secure point-of-sale solutions. See Note 20, Discontinued Operation for more detail.

Over 73% of the Company's revenue is derived from processing and settling Visa and MasterCard bankcard transactions for its merchant customers. Because the Company is not a "member bank" as defined by Visa and MasterCard, in

order to process and settle these bankcard transactions for its merchants, the Company has entered into sponsorship agreements

with member banks. Visa and MasterCard rules restrict the Company from performing funds settlement or accessing merchant

settlement funds and require that these funds be in the possession of the member bank until the merchant is funded. A sponsorship agreement permits the Company to route Visa and MasterCard bankcard transactions under the member bank's

control and identification numbers to clear credit and signature debit bankcard transactions through Visa and MasterCard. A sponsorship agreement also enables the Company to settle funds between cardholders and merchants by delivering funding files to the member bank, which in turn transfers settlement funds to the merchants' bank accounts. These restrictions place the settlement assets and obligations under the control of the member bank.

The sponsorship agreements with the member banks require, among other things, that the Company abide by the by-laws and regulations of the Visa and MasterCard networks, and certain of the sponsor banks require a certificate of deposit or a cash balance in a deposit account. If the Company breaches a sponsorship agreement, the sponsor banks may terminate the

agreement and, under the terms of the agreement, the Company would have 180 days to identify an alternative sponsor bank. The Company is dependent on its sponsor banks, Visa and MasterCard for notification of any compliance breaches. As of December 31, 2012, the Company has not been notified of any such issues by its sponsor banks, Visa or MasterCard.

At December 31, 2012, the Company was party to four bank sponsorship agreements.

On February 8, 2012, the Company entered into a sponsorship agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.("WFB"). The WFB sponsorship agreement will be in effect until February 8, 2016 and will automatically renew for successive 3 year periods unless either party provides six months written notice of non-renewal to the other party. Processing for small and mid-sized merchants (referred to as "Small and Midsized Enterprises," or "SME merchants") under the WFB sponsorship commenced in August 2012, when that activity was transferred from its previous sponsor, KeyBank, National Association.

In November 2009, the Company entered into a sponsorship agreement with The Bancorp Bank to sponsor

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

processing for the Company's Network Services' Merchants. The agreement with The Bancorp Bank expires in November 2014.

On March 24, 2011, the Company entered into a sponsorship agreement with Barclays Bank Delaware to sponsor processing for certain of the Company's large national merchants. The agreement with Barclays Bank Delaware expires in March 2016 with an automatic one year renewal.

In 2007, the Company entered into a sponsorship agreement with Heartland Bank, an unrelated third party, to sponsor SME merchant processing. The agreement with Heartland Bank has been renewed through September 2013. The Agreement continues for successive 3-year terms unless terminated by either party with 180 days prior written notice. Should Heartland Bank opt to terminate, the Company believes it has reasonable alternatives available to secure continuing sponsorship for these merchants' processing transactions.

Following is a breakout of the Company's total Visa and MasterCard settled bankcard processing volume for the month ending December 31, 2012 by percentage processed under its individual bank sponsorship agreements:

	% of December 2012
Sponsor Dank	Bankcard Processing
Sponsor Bank	Volume
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	66%
The Bancorp Bank	15%
Barclays Bank Delaware	12%
Heartland Bank	7%

The Company also provides card transaction processing for DFS Services, LLC ("Discover") and is designated as an acquirer by Discover. The agreement with Discover allows the Company to acquire, process and fund transactions directly through Discover's network without the need of a bank sponsor. The Company processes Discover transactions similarly to how it processes Visa and MasterCard transactions. The Company must comply with Discover acquirer operating regulations and it uses its sponsor banks to assist in funding its merchants' Discover transactions.

Under a sales and servicing program agreement with American Express Travel Related Services Company, Inc. ("American Express") the Company: (a) provides solicitation services by signing new-to-American Express merchants directly with American Express; (b) provides transactional support services on behalf of American Express to the Company's American Express accepting merchants; and (c) provides processing, settlement, customer support and reporting to merchants, similar to the services provided for the merchants' Visa, MasterCard and Discover transactions.

Processing System Intrusion— On January 20, 2009, the Company publicly announced the discovery of a criminal breach of its payment systems environment (the "Processing System Intrusion"). The Processing System Intrusion involved malicious software that appears to have been used to collect in-transit, unencrypted payment card data while it was being processed by the Company during the transaction authorization process. The Company believes the breach did not extend beyond 2008.

Since its announcement of the Processing System Intrusion on January 20, 2009 and through December 31, 2012, the Company has expensed a total of \$147.6 million, before reducing those charges by \$31.2 million of total insurance recoveries. The majority of the total charges of approximately \$114.7 million relates to settlements of claims. Approximately \$32.9 million of the total charges were for legal fees and costs the Company incurred for investigations, defending various claims and actions, remedial actions and crisis management services.

The following table summarizes the Provision for Processing System Intrusion, which the Company recorded for settlement accruals, legal fees and costs it incurred for defending various claims and actions associated with the Processing System Intrusion, and amounts it recovered from insurance providers for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010		
	(In millions,	except per s	share data)		
Charges for settlement accruals, legal fees and costs	\$(0.6)	\$(1.0	) \$(13.1	)	
Insurance recoveries			27.2		
(Provision for) recovery of processing system intrusion costs	\$(0.6)	\$(1.0	) \$14.1		
Per share	\$(0.01)	\$(0.02	) \$0.22		

77

<u>Table of Contents</u> Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company settled the following claims and disputes related to the Processing System Intrusion:

On January 7, 2010, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Heartland Bank, KeyBank National Association ("KeyBank," and, together with Heartland Bank, the "Sponsor Banks"), and Visa U.S.A. Inc., Visa International Service Association and Visa Inc. (collectively, "Visa") to resolve potential claims and other disputes among the Company, the Sponsor Banks and Visa with respect to the potential rights and claims of Visa and certain issuers of Visa-branded credit and debit cards related to the Processing System Intrusion (the "Visa Settlement Agreement"). After including a \$780,000 credit for fines previously collected by Visa during 2009, the amount paid by the Company under the Visa Settlement Agreement was \$59.3 million. The costs of this settlement were included in the Company's Provision for Processing System Intrusion on its `Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2009.

On February 18, 2010, the Company entered into a bridge loan agreement (the "Bridge Loan Agreement") with KeyBank, as administrative agent, and KeyBank and Heartland Bank as bridge lenders. On that date, KeyBank made a bridge loan to the Company in the amount of \$20.0 million and Heartland Bank made a bridge loan in the amount of \$8.0 million (collectively, the "Bridge Loan"). See Note 10, Credit Facilities for additional terms of the Bridge Loan.

On February 18, 2010, the Company also entered into a Commitment Increase Agreement pursuant to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of May 30, 2008 (the "Commitment Increase Agreement") whereby KeyBank, as one of the lenders under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, agreed to increase its revolving credit commitment to the company under the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement by \$25.0 million (the "Increased Credit Commitment"). See Note 10, Credit Facilities for additional terms of the Commitment Increase Agreement.

The proceeds of the Bridge Loan and the Increased Credit Commitment, together with a portion of the Company's cash reserves, were used to fund the settlement with Visa. The Settlement Agreement was consummated on February 18, 2010, with a payment of \$58.6 million.

On May 19, 2010, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with MasterCard Worldwide ("MasterCard") to resolve potential claims and other disputes among the Company, the Sponsor Banks and MasterCard related to the Processing System Intrusion (the "MasterCard Settlement Agreement"). Under the MasterCard Settlement Agreement, alternative recovery offers totaling \$41.4 million were made to eligible MasterCard issuers with respect to losses alleged to have been incurred by them as a result of the Processing System Intrusion. The \$41.4 million included a \$6.6 million credit for fines previously collected by MasterCard during 2009, so the maximum amount payable under the settlement was \$34.8 million if all MasterCard issuers had accepted the settlement. On September 3, 2010 and September 14, 2010, the Company paid approximately \$34.4 million because certain issuers did not accept settlement. Those issuers, who represent less than 1% of the accounts eligible for the settlement, have been preliminarily awarded \$367,172 by MasterCard, and the Company is contesting that award.

On August 31, 2010, the Company and DFS Services, LLC ("Discover") entered into an agreement of settlement and release to resolve potential claims and other disputes among the Company and Discover (and its affiliates and certain of its issuers) with respect to the Processing System Intrusion (the "Discover Settlement Agreement"). On September 2, 2010, the Company paid Discover \$5.0 million in full and final satisfaction of any and all claims of Discover, its affiliates and certain of its issuers arising from or relating to the Processing System Intrusion. The Discover Settlement Agreement contains mutual releases by and between the Company and Discover (on behalf of itself and its

affiliates) relating to the Processing System Intrusion.

Working Capital— The Company's working capital, defined as current assets less current liabilities, was negative by \$85.5 million at December 31, 2012 compared to positive working capital of \$39.9 million at December 31, 2011. This change in working capital primarily reflects the Company borrowing \$82.0 million under the Revolving Credit Facility to fund the acquisitions of ECSI and Ovation, using \$103.4 million of operating cash during 2012 to repurchase 3,634,044 shares of the Company's common stock. See Note 10, Credit Facilities for information on the Company's Revolving Credit Facility and Note 12, Stockholders' Equity for information on common stock repurchases. The Company believes that its current cash and investment balances, cash generated from operations and our agreements with its sponsor banks to fund SME merchant advances will provide sufficient liquidity to meet its anticipated needs for operating capital for at least the next twelve months.

78

## Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

#### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates include, among other things, the accrued buyout liability, capitalized customer acquisition costs, goodwill, loss reserves, certain accounts payable and accrued expenses and certain tax assets and liabilities as well as the related valuation allowances, if any. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents— At December 31, 2012, cash included approximately \$31.6 million of processing-related cash in transit and collateral, compared to approximately \$28.0 million of processing-related cash in transit and collateral at December 31, 2011.

Receivables—Receivables are stated net of allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company estimates its allowance based on experience with its merchants, customers, and sales force and its judgment as to the likelihood of their ultimate payment. The Company also considers collection experience and makes estimates regarding collectability based on trends in aging. Historically, the Company has not experienced significant charge offs for its merchant receivables.

The Company's primary receivables are from its bankcard processing merchants. In addition to receivables for transaction fees the Company charges its merchants for processing transactions, these receivables include amounts resulting from the Company's practice of advancing interchange fees to most of its SME merchants during the month and collecting those fees at the beginning of the following month. The Company does not advance interchange fees to its Network Services Merchants.

Historically, the Company funded these interchange advances to its SME merchants first with its available cash, then when that cash had been expended, by directing its sponsor banks to fund advances thereby incurring a payable to sponsor banks. In the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company accelerated the end-of-day presentment of transaction funding files to the bankcard networks resulting in its sponsor banks receiving settlement cash one day earlier and increasing funding obligations to its SME merchants, which are carried in processing liabilities. As a result, these merchant interchange advances/receivables are first funded from the accelerated settlement cash received from bankcard networks, then from the Company's available cash or incurring a payable to its sponsor banks. At December 31, 2012, the Company used \$3.8 million of its available cash to fund merchant advances and at December 31, 2011, the Company used \$40.0 million of its cash to fund merchant advances. The amount due to sponsor banks for funding advances was \$36.3 million at December 31, 2012 and \$45.2 million at December 31, 2011. The Company pays its sponsor banks the prime rate on these payables. The payable to sponsor banks is repaid at the beginning of the following month out of the fees the Company collects from its merchants. Receivables from merchants also include receivables from the sale of point of sale terminal equipment. Unlike the SME merchants, Network Services Merchants are invoiced monthly, on payment terms of 30 days net from date of invoicing.

Receivables also include amounts resulting from the pre-funding of Discover and American Express transactions to the Company's merchants as well as amounts due from Visa for PIN debit transactions and are due from the related bankcard networks. These amounts are recovered the next business day from the date of processing the transaction.

Receivables also include amounts resulting from the sale, installation, training and repair of payment system hardware and software for prepaid card and stored-value card payment systems, campus payment solutions, and Heartland School Solutions. These receivables are mostly invoiced on terms of 30 days net from date of invoicing.

Investments and Funds Held for Customers—Investments, including those carried on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as Funds held for customers, consist primarily of fixed income bond funds and certificates of deposit. Funds held for customers also include overnight bank deposits. The majority of investments carried in Funds held for customers are available-for-sale and recorded at fair value based on quoted market prices. Certificates of deposit are classified as held to maturity and recorded at cost. In the event of a sale, cost is determined on a specific identification basis. At December 31, 2012, Funds held for customers included cash and cash equivalents of \$130.2 million and investments available for sale of \$1.2 million.

The asset Funds held for customers and the liability Customer fund deposits include: (i) amounts collected from customers prior to funding their payroll liabilities, as well as related tax and fiduciary liabilities for those customers, and (ii)

#### Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

amounts collected by Campus Solutions in its capacity as loan servicer, which will be remitted to the customer/owner of the student loans the following month.

Inventories—Inventories consist of point-of-sale terminal equipment held for sale to merchants, prepaid card and cashless payment systems hardware for sale to end users, resellers and distributors, and campus payments solutions equipment for sale to end users. Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market price. Cost is arrived at using the first-in, first-out method. Market price is estimated based on current sales of equipment.

Inventories also include purchased data encryption software licenses held for sale to merchants who acquire the Company's End-to-End Encryption Solution ("E3") for processing bankcard transactions, or purchase the upgraded terminal and point-of-sale devices incorporating E3.

Capitalized Customer Acquisition Costs, net—Capitalized customer acquisition costs consist of (1) up-front signing bonus payments made to Relationship Managers and sales managers (the Company's sales force) for the establishment of new merchant relationships, and (2) a deferred acquisition cost representing the estimated cost of buying out the commissions of vested sales employees. Capitalized customer acquisition costs represent incremental, direct customer acquisition costs that are recoverable through gross margins associated with merchant contracts. The capitalized customer acquisition costs are amortized using a method which approximates a proportional revenue approach over the initial three-year term of the merchant contract.

The up-front signing bonus paid for new SME bankcard, payroll and loyalty marketing accounts is based on the estimated gross margin for the first year of the merchant contract. The signing bonus, amount capitalized, and related amortization are adjusted after one year to reflect the actual gross margin generated by the merchant contract during that year. The deferred customer acquisition cost asset is accrued over the first year of SME bankcard merchant processing, consistent with the build-up in the accrued buyout liability, as described below. Beginning in June 2012, Relationship Managers and sales managers earn portfolio equity on their newly installed payroll and loyalty marketing merchant accounts based on the residual commissions they earn on those accounts. The accrued buyout liability and deferred acquisition cost asset are developed the same as the SME bankcard merchant portfolio equity.

Management evaluates the capitalized customer acquisition costs for impairment on an annual basis by comparing, on a pooled basis by vintage month of origination, the expected future net cash flows from underlying merchant relationships to the carrying amount of the capitalized customer acquisition costs. If the estimated future net cash flows are lower than the recorded carrying amount, indicating an impairment of the value of the capitalized customer acquisition costs, the impairment loss will be charged to operations.

The Company believed that no impairment of capitalized customer acquisition costs had occurred as of December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2011, the Company recognized an impairment charge to net signing bonuses related to its decision to discontinue Express Funds, its remote deposit check capture product.

Property and Equipment—Property and equipment are carried at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation for the Company's owned service center building in Jeffersonville, Indiana is computed straight-line over 39 years with depreciation on certain building improvements computed over 15 years. Depreciation is computed straight-line over periods ranging from 3 to 10 years for furniture and equipment. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the economic useful life of the improvement or the term of the lease.

Equipment held under capitalized lease arrangements is included in property and equipment, and the associated liabilities are included in current and long-term borrowings as appropriate. Amortization of equipment under capitalized leases is included in depreciation and amortization expense.

Fully depreciated property and equipment are retained in property and equipment and accumulated depreciation accounts until their disposal or removal from service. When fully depreciated property and equipment is taken out of service, the original cost basis and matching accumulated depreciation amounts are written off.

Rent expense on operating leases is recorded on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease agreement.

The Company capitalizes software development costs and amortizes such costs on a straight-line basis over an estimated useful life of 3 to 7 years. Research and development costs incurred prior to establishing technological feasibility are

80

#### Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

charged to operations as such costs are incurred. Once technological feasibility is established, costs are capitalized until the software is placed in service.

Long-Lived Assets—The Company evaluates the potential for impairment when changes in circumstances indicate that undiscounted cash flows estimated to be generated by the related assets are less than the carrying amount. Management believed that no such changes in circumstances or impairment have occurred as of December 31, 2012. In 2011, the Company recognized a pre-tax impairment charge to long lived assets as a result of its decision to discontinue Express Funds, its remote deposit check capture product.

Goodwill— Goodwill represents the excess of acquisition costs over the fair values of net assets acquired in business combinations. The Company has recorded goodwill in connection with it acquisitions, including the 2011 and 2012 acquisitions of Comalex, mySchoolBucks, School-Link, Lunch Byte, Educational Computer Systems, and Ovation Payroll. Goodwill is tested for impairment at least annually and between annual tests if an event occurs or changes in circumstances suggest a potential decline in the fair value of the reporting unit. A significant amount of judgment is involved in determining if an indicator or change in circumstances relating to impairment has occurred. Such changes may include, among others: a significant decline in expected future cash flows; a sustained decline in market capitalization; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate; unanticipated competition; and slower growth rates. The Company performs its annual goodwill impairment testing in the fourth quarter. The Company's evaluation indicated that no impairment exists as of December 31, 2012 or 2011. The fair value of each reporting unit exceeded the carrying value of each reporting unit as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, goodwill of \$168.1 million and \$94.3 million, respectively, was recorded on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities— Accrued expenses and other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets includes deferred revenue of \$13.0 million and \$8.6 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which is primarily related to our Heartland School Solutions and Campus Solutions businesses.

Also included in accrued expenses and other liabilities at December 31, 2012 and 2011 is \$7.3 million and \$13.9 million, respectively, relating to the allocation of purchase price to an unfavorable processing contract associated with our September 30, 2011 acquisition of School-Link Technologies, Inc. During the years ended 2012 and 2011, we amortized \$3.0 million and \$0.7 million of this accrued liability against the cash processing costs paid under that contract. This amortization was included in Cost of services in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

Processing Liabilities—Processing liabilities result primarily from the Company's card processing activities. Prior to December 31, 2012, Processing liabilities also included funds in transit for Express Funds, the Company's remote deposit capture product which was discontinued.

Card processing liabilities primarily reflect funds in transit associated with differences arising between the amounts our sponsor banks receive from the bankcard networks and the amounts funded to the Company's merchants. Such differences arise from timing differences, interchange expense, merchant advances, merchant reserves and chargeback processing. These differences result in payables or receivables. If the settlement received from the bankcard networks precedes the funding obligation to the merchant, the Company records a processing liability. Conversely, if funding to the merchant precedes the settlement from the bankcard networks, the Company records a receivable from the bankcard networks. In addition, certain bankcard networks limit the Company from accessing merchant settlement funds and require that these funds be controlled by the Company's sponsor banks. The amounts are generally collected

or paid the following business day.

Chargebacks periodically arise due to disputes between a cardholder and a merchant resulting from the cardholder's dissatisfaction with merchandise quality or the merchant's service, and the disputes may not always be resolved in the merchant's favor. In some of these cases, the transaction is "charged back" to the merchant and the purchase price is refunded to the cardholder by the credit card-issuing institution. If the merchant is unable to fund the refund, the Company is liable for the full amount of the transaction. The Company's obligation to stand ready to perform is minimal. The Company maintains a deposit or the pledge of a letter of credit from certain merchants as an offset to potential contingent liabilities that are the responsibility of such merchants. The Company evaluates its ultimate risk and records an estimate of potential loss for chargebacks related to merchant fraud based upon an assessment of actual historical fraud loss rates compared to recent bankcard processing volume levels. The Company believes that the liability recorded as loss reserves approximates fair value.

#### Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Accrued Buyout Liability-The Company's Relationship Managers and sales managers are paid residual commissions based on the gross margin generated by monthly SME merchant processing activity. The Company has the right, but not the obligation, to buy out some or all of these commissions, and intends to do so periodically. Such purchases of the commissions are at a fixed multiple of the last twelve months' commissions. Because of the Company's intent and ability to execute purchases of the residual commissions, and the mutual understanding between the Company and the Relationship Managers and sales managers, the Company has accounted for this deferred compensation arrangement pursuant to the substantive nature of the plan. The Company therefore records the amount that it would have to pay (the "settlement cost") to buy out non-servicing related commissions in their entirety from vested Relationship Managers and sales managers, and an accrual, based on their progress towards vesting, for those unvested Relationship Managers and sales managers who are expected to vest in the future. As noted above, as the liability increases over the first year of a SME merchant contract, the Company also records a related deferred acquisition cost asset for currently vested Relationship Managers and sales managers. The accrued buyout liability associated with unvested Relationship Managers and sales managers is not included in the deferred acquisition cost asset since future services are required in order to vest. Subsequent changes in the estimated accrued buyout liability due to merchant attrition, same-store sales growth and changes in gross margin are included in the same income statement caption as customer acquisition costs expense.

Beginning in June 2012, Relationship Managers and sales managers earn portfolio equity on their newly installed payroll and loyalty marketing merchant accounts based on the residual commissions they earn on those accounts. The accrued buyout liability and deferred acquisition cost asset are accrued identically as the SME bankcard merchant portfolio equity.

The accrued buyout liability is based on merchants under contract at the balance sheet date, the gross margin generated by those merchants over the prior twelve months, and the contractual buyout multiple. The liability related to a new merchant is therefore zero when the merchant is installed, and increases over the twelve months following the installation date. The same procedure is applied to unvested commissions over the expected vesting period, but is further adjusted to reflect the Company's estimate that 31% of unvested Relationship Managers and sales managers become vested.

The classification of the accrued buyout liability between current and non-current liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets is based upon the Company's estimate of the amount of the accrued buyout liability that it reasonably expects to pay over the next twelve months. This estimate is developed by calculating the cumulative annual average percentage that total historical buyout payments represent of the accrued buyout liability. That percentage is applied to the period-end accrued buyout liability to determine the current portion.

Revenue—Revenues are mainly comprised of gross processing revenue, payroll processing revenue and equipment-related income. Gross processing revenue primarily consists of discount fees, per-transaction fees and periodic fees (primarily monthly) from the processing of Visa, MasterCard, American Express and Discover bankcard transactions for merchants. The Company passes through to its customers any changes in interchange or network fees. Gross processing revenue also includes fees for servicing American Express accounts, customer service fees, fees for processing chargebacks, termination fees on terminated contracts, gift and loyalty card fees, fees generated by our Heartland School Solutions business, and other miscellaneous revenue. Payroll processing revenue includes periodic and annual fees charged by HPC for payroll processing services, and interest earned from investing tax impound funds held for our customers. Revenue is recorded as bankcard and other processing transactions are processed or payroll services are performed.

Equipment-related revenue includes revenues from the sale, rental and deployment of bankcard terminals, and from the sale of hardware, software and associated services for prepaid card and stored-value card payment systems, and from the sale of hardware, software and associated services for campus and K to 12 payment solutions. Revenues are recorded at the time of shipment, or the provision of service.

Loss Contingencies and Legal Expenses—The Company records a liability for loss contingencies when the liability is probable and the amount is reasonably estimable. Legal fees associated with loss contingencies are recorded when the legal fees are incurred. The Company records recoveries from its insurance providers when cash is received from the provider.

Other Income (Expense)—Other income (expense) consists of interest income on cash and investments, the interest cost on our borrowings, the gains or losses on the disposal of property and equipment and other non-operating income or expense items.

In 2012, other income (expense) includes:

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Pre-tax charges of \$0.9 million primarily due to write downs of capitalized information technology development projects.

Pre-tax charges of \$0.6 million related to the Provision for Processing System Intrusion costs. See Note 1, Processing System Intrusion for more detail.

In 2011, other income (expense) includes:

Pre-tax charges of \$0.8 million reflecting the costs (primarily staff termination costs and fixed asset write downs) associated with closing of the Company's Johnson City, Tennessee service center.

A pre-tax charge of \$1.1 million reflecting costs associated with the decision to discontinue Express Funds, the Company's remote deposit capture product, including writedowns of receivables, inventory, customer acquisition costs and fixed assets.

A pre-tax charge of \$1.0 million for legal fees and costs incurred for defending various claims and actions associated with the Processing System Intrusion.

Pre-tax income of \$0.3 million related to an earnout payment relating to the 2010 sale of SME merchant bankcard processing contracts.

In 2010, other income (expense) includes:

A net pre-tax recovery of \$14.1 million, or \$0.22 per share related to the Provision for Processing System Intrusion. During 2010, the Company recovered from its insurance providers approximately \$27.2 million of the costs it incurred for the Processing System Intrusion and expensed approximately \$13.1 million for accruals, legal fees and costs it incurred for defending various claims and actions. See Note 1, Processing System Intrusion for more detail. A pre-tax gain of \$3.1 million from the sale of merchant bankcard processing contracts.

Net pre-tax charges of \$1.7 million for legal settlements accrued or received during 2010. These legal settlements were unrelated to the Processing System Intrusion.

A pre-tax charge of \$0.8 million for the impairment of an acquisition intangible asset.

Pre-tax charges of \$0.5 million reflecting the estimated liability for costs (primarily accrued staff termination costs and fixed asset write downs) associated with the announced closing of our Johnson City, Tennessee service center.

Income Taxes—The Company accounts for income taxes by recognizing deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the financial statements or tax returns. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statements and the tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates. The impact on deferred assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period that the rate change is enacted.

In the fourth quarter 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to sell CPOS. Because the Company will no longer be indefinitely reinvesting undistributed earnings of CPOS, the Company recognized income tax expense net of foreign tax credits, of \$0.4 million in 2012 on the Company's 70% portion of the CPOS cumulative undistributed earnings at December 31, 2012. See Note 13, Income Taxes and Note 20, Discontinued Operation, for more detail.

Share–Based Compensation—The Company expenses employee share-based payments under the fair value method. Share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period.

Excess tax benefits are generated when employees exercise non-qualified stock options, make disqualifying dispositions of shares acquired through their exercise of incentive stock options and vest in restricted share units.

These excess tax benefits are reported as a financing cash inflow rather than a reduction of taxes paid, which is included within operating cash flows. Accordingly, cash provided by operating activities decreased and cash provided by financing activities increased by \$6.0 million in 2012, \$3.5 million in 2011 and \$1.9 million in 2010 related to excess tax benefits from stock-based awards.

Diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 were computed based on the weighted average outstanding common shares plus equivalent shares assuming exercise of stock options and vesting of Restricted Share Units, where dilutive.

<u>Table of Contents</u> Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

Derivative Financial Instruments—The Company utilizes derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk on its borrowings under its Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. The Company recognizes the fair value of derivative financial instruments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in investments, or accrued expenses and other liabilities. Changes in fair value of derivative instruments are recognized immediately in earnings unless the derivative is designated and qualifies as a hedge of future cash flows. For derivatives that qualify as hedges of future cash flows, the effective portion of changes in fair value is recorded in other comprehensive income and reclassified into interest expense in the same periods during which the hedged item affects earnings. Any ineffectiveness of cash flow hedges would be recognized in Other income (expense) in the Consolidated Statements of Income during the period of change.

In January 2011, the Company entered into fixed-pay amortizing interest rate swaps having an initial notional amount of \$50 million as a hedge of future cash flows on the variable rate debt outstanding under its Term Credit Facility. These interest rate swaps convert the related notional amount of variable rate debt to fixed rate. The following table summarizes the components of the interest rate swaps.

	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011		
	(In thousands)				
Notional value	\$35,000		\$42,500		
Fair value (a)	(817	)	(897	)	
Deferred tax benefit	313		341		
(a) Recorded as a liability in accrued expenses and other liabilities					

Foreign Currency—The Canadian dollar is the functional currency of CPOS, which operates in Canada. CPOS' revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rates prevailing during the period. The foreign currency assets and liabilities of CPOS are translated at the period-end rate of exchange. The resulting translation adjustment is allocated between the Company and CPOS' noncontrolling interests and is recorded as a component of other comprehensive income or noncontrolling interests in total equity. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the cumulative foreign currency translation reflected a loss of \$45,000 and \$241,000, respectively. CPOS was sold in a transaction which settled on January 31, 2013. See Subsequent Events below and Note 20, Discontinued Operation for more detail.

Noncontrolling Interests— Noncontrolling interests represent noncontrolling minority stockholders' share of the equity and after-tax net income or loss of CPOS. Noncontrolling minority stockholders' share of after-tax net income or loss of CPOS is included in "Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests" in the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income. The minority stockholders' interests included in "noncontrolling interests" in the December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 Consolidated Balance Sheets were \$1.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, and reflect the original investments by these minority shareholders in CPOS, along with their proportionate share of the earnings or losses of CPOS.

Subsequent Events—The Company evaluated subsequent events through the issuance date with respect to the Consolidated Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012. In the fourth quarter 2012, the Company along with the 30% non-controlling shareholders of Collective Point of Sale, Ltd. ("CPOS") entered into an agreement to sell CPOS to a third party. CPOS was not a significant subsidiary and the Company will have no continuing involvement in its operations. After receiving regulatory approval, the transaction settled on January 31, 2013. The total sales price was \$30.3 million cash including net working capital, of which the Company received \$20.9 million for its 70% ownership in CPOS.

New Accounting Pronouncements—From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") or other standards setting bodies that are adopted by us as of the specified effective date.

In May 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which addresses changes to concepts regarding performing fair value measurements including: (i) the application of the highest and best use and valuation premise; (ii) the valuation of an instrument classified in the reporting entity's shareholder equity; (iii) the valuation of financial instruments that are managed within a portfolio; and (iv) the application of premiums and discounts. This update also enhances disclosure requirements about fair value measurements, including providing information regarding Level 3 measurements such as quantitative information about unobservable inputs, further discussion of the valuation processes used and assumption sensitivity analysis. The update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The implementation of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### <u>Table of Contents</u> Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

In June 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which amends the comprehensive income topic of the current codification. The update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in equity, and instead requires consecutive presentation of the statement of net income and other comprehensive income either in a continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The implementation of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update on testing goodwill for impairment. This guidance provides an entity the option to first perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If an entity determines that this is the case, it is required to perform the currently prescribed two-step goodwill impairment test to identify potential goodwill impairment and measure the amount of goodwill impairment loss to be recognized for that reporting unit (if any). If an entity determines that the fair value of a reporting unit is more than its carrying amount, the two-step goodwill impairment test is not required. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 (early adoption is permitted). The implementation of this update did not have a material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standard update on disclosures about offsetting financial assets and

liabilities. This guidance requires entities to disclose information about offsetting and related arrangements to enable users of

financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on the entity's financial position. The amendments require

enhanced disclosures by requiring improved information about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either

(i) offset in accordance with current literature or (ii) subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement,

irrespective of whether they are offset in accordance with current literature. The update is effective for fiscal years, and interim

periods within those years, beginning on or after January 1, 2013. The implementation of this update is not expected to have a

material effect on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2012, the FASB issued an accounting standard update on testing indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. This guidance will allow an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform

a quantitative impairment test. Under these amendments, an entity would not be required to calculate the fair value of an

indefinite-lived intangible asset unless the entity determines, based on qualitative assessment, that it is not more likely than not

the indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. The amendments include a number of events and circumstances for an entity to

consider in conducting the qualitative assessment. The update is effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed

for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012 (early adoption is permitted). The Company has not early adopted this update and is currently evaluating the impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard update on improving the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The amendments in this Update seek to attain that objective by requiring an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net income if the amount being reclassified is required under generally accepted accounting principles to be reclassified in its entirety to net income. For other amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income in the same reporting period, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures that provide additional detail about those amounts. This update is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this update on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

## 3. Acquisitions

The Company initiated its Heartland School Solutions business through its acquisitions of the school solutions businesses operated by Lunchbox, Comalex, mySchoolBucks, School-Link Technologies and Lunch Byte Systems which currently serves approximately 4,400, 3,700, 900, 10,000 and 10,000 schools, respectively. The combined Heartland School Solutions develops, manufactures, sells, services and maintains computer software designed to facilitate accounting and management functions of school food service operations. These acquisitions provide the Company with the ability to offer Internet payment capability to parents, which facilitates on-line deposits of funds into student accounts. The Company has consolidated the individual platforms and products. Pro forma results of operations have not been presented because the effect of these acquisitions were not material in the years acquired. The entire amount of goodwill is expected to be deductible for income tax reporting. Details of the individual acquisition transactions follow:

#### Table of Contents

Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

#### Lunchbox

On December 30, 2010, the Company purchased for a \$7.7 million cash payment the net assets of the K to 12 School Solutions business previously operated by Lunchbox. The acquisition was financed through a combination of cash on hand and our credit facilities. Pro forma results of operations have not been presented because the effect of the acquisition was not material. The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning December 30, 2010, Lunchbox's results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$6.0 million to goodwill, \$1.9 million to intangible assets and \$0.2 million to net tangible liabilities.

#### Comalex, Inc.

On January 12, 2011, the Company purchased for a \$6.1 million cash payment the net assets of Comalex, Inc. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand. Pro forma results of operations have not been presented because the effect of the acquisition was not material. The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning January 12, 2011, Comalex's results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$4.9 million to goodwill, \$1.8 million to intangible assets and \$0.6 million to net tangible liabilities.

#### mySchoolBucks LLC

On February 4, 2011, the Company purchased for a \$1.5 million cash payment the net assets of mySchoolBucks, LLC. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand. Pro forma results of operations have not been presented because the effect of the acquisition was not material. The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning February 4, 2011, mySchoolBucks' results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$1.0 million to goodwill and \$0.5 million to intangible assets.

#### School-Link Technologies, Inc.

On September 30, 2011, the Company purchased for a \$15.6 million cash payment the net assets of School-Link Technologies, Inc. The acquisition was funded with cash on hand. The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning October 1, 2011, School-Link's results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$25.2 million to goodwill, \$4.3 million to intangible assets, \$2.8 million to net tangible liabilities, and \$11.1 million to the liability for an unfavorable processing contract. The fair values of School-Link's assets acquired and liabilities assumed were estimated as of their acquisition date. These amounts reflect adjustments to allocations of purchase price during the one year adjustment period. Included in these adjustments was \$3.5 million relating to the unfavorable processing contract and was reflected as a reduction in Goodwill associated with this acquisition as of September 30, 2012.

#### Lunch Byte Systems, Inc.

On June 29, 2012, the Company purchased for a \$26.0 million cash payment the net assets of Lunch Byte Systems, Inc. (a.k.a. "Nutrikids"). The \$26.0 million cash payment made on June 29, 2012 was funded through our Revolving Credit Facility and subsequently repaid with cash on hand in July 2012. Beginning July 1, 2012, Lunch Byte's results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$16.1 million to goodwill, \$7.0 million to intangible assets and \$2.9 million to net tangible assets. The fair values of the Lunch Byte Systems' assets acquired and liabilities assumed were estimated as of their acquisition date.

The weighted average amortization life for the 2012 acquired finite lived intangible assets related to acquisition of Lunch Byte is as follows:

Weighted-average amortization life	
	(In years)
Customer relationships	6.0
Software	3.3
Non-compete agreements	5.0
Overall	5.9

Other acquisition transactions in 2012 included:

Educational Computer Systems, Inc.

On December 14, 2012, the Company purchased for a \$37.6 million cash payment, the stock of Education Computer Systems, Inc. ("ECSI") and net assets of related entities. The cash purchase price was financed under the Company's Revolving Credit Facility. The acquisition expands the Company's Campus Solutions division. ECSI supports the entire life

86

<u>Table of Contents</u> Heartland Payment Systems, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements—(Continued)

cycle of higher education and post-graduation school/student services, including student loan payment processing, default solutions, refund services, tuition payment plans, electronic billing and payment, tax document services, and business outsourcing to more than 1,800 colleges and universities nationwide. With this acquisition, the Company's Campus Solutions business gained ECSI's client portfolio, increasing the number of higher education clients to more than 2,000 colleges and universities throughout North America.

The transaction was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. Beginning December 15, 2012, ECSI results of operations are included in the Company's results of operations. The allocation of the total purchase price was as follows: \$30.4 million to goodwill, \$12.9 million to intangible assets and \$5.7 million to net tangible liabilities. The fair values of ECSI's assets acquired and liabilities assumed were estimated as of their acquisition date. The fair values are preliminary, based on estimates, subject to final working capital adjustments, and may be adjusted as more information becomes available and valuations are finalized. Pro forma results of operations have not been presented because the effect of this acquisition was not material. Only a portion of the goodwill is expected to be deductible for income tax reporting.

The weighted average amortization life for the 2012 acquired finite lived intangible assets related to acquisition of ECSI is as follows:

Weighted-average amortization life (In years) Customer relationships 12.0