CoreSite Realty Corp Form 10-Q April 25, 2013 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

X	Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
	For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013.
0	Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
	For the transition period from to .
	Commission file number: 001-34877

# **CoreSite Realty Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland 27-1925611

(State or other jurisdiction

(I.R.S. Employer

Edgar Filing: CoreSite Re	ealty Corp - Form 10-Q
of incorporation or organization)	Identification No.)
1050 17th Street, Suite 800 Denver, CO	80265
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
(866) 77'	7-2673
(Registrant s telephone nu	mber, including area code)
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports requor 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o.	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post	on S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, accelerated filer (Check one):	an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting ler and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer o	Accelerated filer x
Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company o
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as define	ned in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x
The number of shares of common stock outstanding at April 23, 2013 was	s 21,381,336.

## Table of Contents

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

# CORESITE REALTY CORPORATION FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2013 TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
ITEM 1. Financial Statements	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012 (unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012 (unaudited)	4
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2013 (unaudited)	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012 (unaudited)	6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	7
ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	24
ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures	25
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	25
ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings	25
ITEM 1A. Risk Factors	25
ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	25
ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	26
ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	26
ITEM 5. Other Information	26
ITEM 6. Exhibits	26
<u>Signatures</u>	28
Exhibit 31.1 Exhibit 31.2 Exhibit 32.1 Exhibit 32.2 EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT	

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## CORESITE REALTY CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(unaudited and in thousands except share data)

ASSETS         Investments in real estate:         Land       \$ 76,227 \$ 85,866         Building and building improvements       618,593 593,026         Leasehold improvements       89,306 85,907         784,126       764,795
Land       \$ 76,227 \$ 85,860         Building and building improvements       618,593 593,020         Leasehold improvements       89,306 85,900
Building and building improvements618,593593,020Leasehold improvements89,30685,900
Leasehold improvements 89,306 85,90°
•
784,126 764,79.
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization (115,435) (104,490
Net investment in operating properties 668,691 660,300
Construction in progress 98,957 61,325
Net investments in real estate 767,648 721,633
Cash and cash equivalents 8,487 8,130
Restricted cash 200 460
Accounts and other receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$570 and \$625 as
of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively 10,108 9,90
Lease intangibles, net of accumulated amortization of \$30,899 and \$33,050 as of March 31,
2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively 16,260 19,455
Goodwill 41,191 41,19
Other assets 47,695 44,556
<b>Total assets</b> \$ 891,589 \$ 845,332
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY
Liabilities:
Revolving credit facility \$ 52,000 \$
Mortgage loans payable 59,375 59,750
Accounts payable and accrued expenses 49,903 50,624
Deferred rent payable 5,642 4,329
Acquired below-market lease contracts, net of accumulated amortization of \$6,297 and
\$10,062 as of March 31, 2013 and December 2012, respectively 7,988 8,539
Prepaid rent and other liabilities 12,177 11,31
<b>Total liabilities</b> 187,085 134,559
Stockholders equity:
Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock 7.25%, \$115,000 liquidation preference (\$25.00 per
share, \$0.01 par value), 4,600,000 shares issued and oustanding as of March 31, 2013 and
December 31, 2012 115,000 115,000

Common Stock, par value \$0.01, 100,000,000 shares authorized and 21,381,336 and 21,202,673 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012,

respectively			207	207
Additional paid-in capital			261,249	259,009
Accumulated deficit			(39,911)	(35,987)
Total stockholders equity			336,545	338,229
Noncontrolling interests			367,959	372,544
Total equity			704,504	710,773
Total liabilities and equity		\$	891.589 \$	845,332

## CORESITE REALTY CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(unaudited and in thousands except share and per share data)

	Three Months Er 2013	arch 31, 2012	
Operating revenues:			
Rental revenue	\$ 33,102	\$	29,630
Power revenue	13,529		12,374
Interconnection revenue	6,572		3,655
Tenant reimbursement and other	1,888		1,625
Total operating revenues	55,091		47,284
Operating expenses:			
Property operating and maintenance	14,527		14,395
Real estate taxes and insurance	2,220		2,014
Depreciation and amortization	15,949		15,461
Sales and marketing	3,789		2,129
General and administrative	7,003		6,352
Rent	4,793		4,577
Transaction costs	5		122
Total operating expenses	48,286		45,050
Operating income	6,805		2,234
Interest income	2		2
Interest expense	(439)		(1,018)
Income before income taxes	6,368		1,218
Income tax (expense) benefit	(173)		125
Net income	6,195		1,343
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	2,262		743
Net income attributable to CoreSite Realty Corporation	3,933		600
Preferred stock dividends	(2,084)		
Net income attributable to common shares	\$ 1,849	\$	600
Net income per share attributable to common shares:			
Basic	\$ 0.09	\$	0.03
Diluted	\$ 0.09	\$	0.03
Weighted average common shares outstanding			
Basic	20,673,896		20,455,875
Diluted	21,314,779		20,694,855

## CORESITE REALTY CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY

(unaudited and in thousands except share data)

	erred ock	Common Number	ı Shares Amoı	unt	Pai	itional id-in pital	umulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
Balance at January 1, 2013	115,000	21,202,673		207		259,009	(35,987)	338,229	372,544	710 772
Issuance of restricted stock awards, net of forfeitures	115,000	170,305		207		259,009	(33,987)	338,229	372,344	710,773
Exercise of stock options		8,358				131		131		131
Amortization of deferred compensation		,				2,109		2,109		2,109
Dividends declared on preferred stock							(2,084)	(2,084	)	(2,084)
Dividends and distributions							(5,773)	(5,773	(6,847)	(12,620)
Net income							3,933	3,933	2,262	6,195
Balance at March 31, 2013	\$ 115,000	21,381,336	\$	207	\$	261,249	\$ (39,911)	\$ 336,545	\$ 367,959	\$ 704,504

## CORESITE REALTY CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (unaudited and in thousands)

	Three Months En	nded Ma	rch 31, 2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income	\$ 6,195	\$	1,343
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	15,949		15,461
Amortization of above/below market leases	(232)		(396)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	420		436
Amortization of share-based compensation	1,895		747
Bad debt expense	274		142
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Restricted cash	268		427
Accounts receivable	(481)		(983)
Deferred rent receivable	(196)		(1,568)
Deferred leasing costs	(1,978)		(1,982)
Other assets	(2,295)		(1,608)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(3,782)		1,969
Prepaid rent and other liabilities	860		(1,054)
Deferred rent payable	1,313		250
Net cash provided by operating activities	18,210		13,184
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Tenant improvements	(1,381)		(2,239)
Real estate improvements	(31,563)		(15,922)
Acquisition of NY2	(21,889)		
Changes in reserves for capital improvements			152
Net cash used in investing activities	(54,833)		(18,009)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	131		408
Proceeds from revolving credit facility	52,000		35,250
Principal payments on mortgage loans	(375)		(25,082)
Payments of loan fees and costs	(2,258)		(109)
Dividends and distributions	(12,518)		(8,272)
Net cash provided by financing activities	36,980		2,195
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	357		(2,630)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	8,130		6,628
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 8,487	\$	3,998
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 653	\$	1,481
NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITY			
Construction costs payable capitalized to real estate	\$ 12,435	\$	3,841
Accrual of dividends and distributions	\$ 15,564	\$	8,489

#### CORESITE REALTY CORPORATION

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

March 31, 2013

(unaudited)

#### 1. Organization and Description of Business

CoreSite Realty Corporation, through its controlling interest in CoreSite, L.P. (the Operating Partnership ) and the subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership (collectively, the Company, we, or our ), is a fully-integrated, self-administered, and self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT ). The Company was organized in the State of Maryland on February 17, 2010, completed its initial public offering of common stock (the IPO ) on September 28, 2010, and is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. As of March 31, 2013, the Company owns a 45.0% common interest in the Operating Partnership.

We are engaged in the business of owning, acquiring, constructing and managing technology-related real estate and as of March 31, 2013, our property portfolio included 14 operating data center facilities and multiple development projects located in some of the largest and fastest growing data center markets in the United States, including Los Angeles, the San Francisco Bay and Northern Virginia, Chicago, Boston, New York City, Miami and Denver. The development projects include construction of new facilities in the San Francisco Bay, Northern Virginia, and New York.

## 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by management in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for interim financial information and in compliance with the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, these condensed consolidated financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013, are not necessarily indicative of the expected results for the year ending December 31, 2013. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingencies at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We evaluate our estimates, including those related to assessing the carrying values of our real estate properties, accrued liabilities, and performance-based equity compensation plans. We base our estimates on historical experience, current market conditions, and various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may vary from those estimates and those estimates could vary under different assumptions or conditions.

#### Adjustments and Reclassifications

Cash used for tenant improvement investing activities, included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for 2012 have been reclassified to conform to the 2013 presentation. In addition, certain other amounts included in the condensed consolidated financial statements for 2012 have been reclassified to conform to the 2013 financial statement presentation.

#### Investments in Real Estate

Real estate investments are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. The cost of real estate includes the purchase price of the property and leasehold improvements. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Significant renovations and betterments that extend the economic useful lives of assets are capitalized. During the land development and construction periods, we capitalize construction costs, legal fees, financing costs, real estate taxes and insurance and internal costs of personnel performing development, if such costs are incremental and identifiable to a specific development project. Capitalization begins upon commencement of development efforts and ceases when the property is ready for its intended use and held available for occupancy. Interest is capitalized during the period of development based upon applying the weighted-average borrowing rate to the actual development costs expended. Capitalized interest costs were \$0.9 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

#### **Table of Contents**

Depreciation and amortization are calculated using the straight-line method over the following useful lives of the assets:

Buildings	27 to 40 years
Building improvements	1 to 15 years
Leasehold improvements	The shorter of the lease term or useful life of the asset

Depreciation expense was \$11.9 million and \$9.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

#### Acquisition of Investment in Real Estate

Purchase accounting is applied to the assets and liabilities related to all real estate investments acquired. The fair value of the real estate acquired is allocated to the acquired tangible assets, consisting primarily of land, building and improvements, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and below-market leases, value of in-place leases and the value of customer relationships.

The fair value of the land and building of an acquired property is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, and the as-if-vacant value is then allocated to land and building based on management s determination of the fair values of these assets. Management determines the as-if-vacant fair value of a property using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers. Factors considered by management in performing these analyses include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions and costs to execute similar leases.

The fair value of intangibles related to in-place leases includes the value of lease intangibles for above-market and below-market leases, lease origination costs, and customer relationships, determined on a lease-by-lease basis. Above-market and below-market leases are valued based on the present value (using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) management s estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining non-cancelable term of the lease and, for below-market leases, over a period equal to the initial term plus any below-market fixed rate renewal periods. Lease origination costs include estimates of costs avoided associated with leasing the property, including tenant allowances and improvements and leasing commissions. Customer relationship intangibles relate to the additional revenue opportunities expected to be generated through interconnection services and utility services to be provided to the in-place lease tenants.

The capitalized values for above and below-market lease intangibles, lease origination costs, and customer relationships are amortized over the term of the underlying leases or the expected customer relationship. Amortization related to above-market and below-market leases where the Company is the lessor is recorded as either a reduction of or an increase to rental income, amortization related to above-market and below-market leases where the Company is the lessee is recorded as either a reduction of or an increase to rent expense and amortization for lease origination costs and customer relationships are recorded as amortization expense. If a lease is terminated prior to its stated expiration, all unamortized amounts relating to that lease are written off. The carrying value of intangible assets is reviewed for impairment in connection with its respective asset group whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset group may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of the asset group is not recoverable and its carrying amount exceeds its estimated fair value. No impairment loss was recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

The excess of the cost of an acquired business over the net of the amounts assigned to assets acquired (including identified intangible assets) and liabilities assumed is recorded as goodwill. As of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, we had approximately \$41.2 million of goodwill at each date. The Company s goodwill has an indeterminate life and is not amortized, but is tested for impairment on an annual basis, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. No impairment loss was recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include all non-restricted cash held in financial institutions and other non-restricted highly liquid short-term investments with original maturities at acquisition of three months or less.

#### **Deferred Costs**

Deferred leasing costs include commissions and other direct and incremental costs incurred to obtain new customer leases, which are capitalized and amortized over the terms of the related leases using the straight-line method. If a lease terminates prior to the expiration of its initial term, any unamortized costs related to the lease are written off to amortization expense.

Deferred financing costs include costs incurred in connection with obtaining debt and extending existing debt. These financing costs are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis, which approximates the effective-interest method, over the term of the loan and are included as a component of interest expense.

### **Table of Contents**

### Recoverability of Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews its long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Impairment is recognized when estimated expected future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) are less than the carrying amount of the assets. The estimation of expected future net cash flows is inherently uncertain and relies, to a considerable extent, on assumptions regarding current and future economics and market conditions and the availability of capital. If, in future periods, there are changes in the estimates or assumptions incorporated into the impairment review analysis, the changes could result in an adjustment to the carrying amount of the assets. To the extent that an impairment has occurred, the excess of the carrying amount of long-lived assets over its estimated fair value would be charged to income. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012, no impairment was recognized.

#### Revenue Recognition

All leases are classified as operating leases and minimum rents are recognized on a straight-line basis over the non-cancellable term of the agreements. The excess of rents recognized over amounts contractually due pursuant to the underlying leases are included in deferred rent receivable. If a lease terminates prior to its stated expiration, the deferred rent receivable relating to that lease is written off as a reduction of rental revenue.

When arrangements include both lease and nonlease elements, the revenue associated with separate elements are allocated based on their relative fair values. The revenue associated with each element is then recognized as earned. Interconnection, utility and power services are considered as separate earnings processes that are provided and completed on a month-to-month basis and revenue is recognized in the period that services are performed. Utility and power services are included in power revenue in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations. Set-up charges and utility installation fees are initially deferred and recognized over the term of the arrangement as revenue or the expected period of performance unless management determines a separate earnings process exists related to an installation charge.

Tenant reimbursements for real estate taxes, common area maintenance, and other recoverable costs are recognized in the period that the expenses are incurred.

Above-market and below-market lease intangibles that were acquired are amortized on a straight-line basis as decreases and increases, respectively, to rental revenue over the remaining non-cancellable term of the underlying leases. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012, the net effect of amortization of acquired above-market and below-market leases resulted in an increase to rental revenue of \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

A provision for uncollectible accounts is recorded if a receivable balance relating to contractual rent, rent recorded on a straight-line basis, or tenant reimbursements is considered by management to be uncollectible. At March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, the allowance for doubtful accounts totaled \$0.6 million for each period.

#### Share-Based Compensation

We account for share-based compensation using the fair value method of accounting. The estimated fair value of the stock options granted by us is amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The fair value of restricted share-based and Operating Partnership unit compensation is based on the market value of our common stock on the date of the grant and is amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period.

## Asset Retirement and Environmental Remediation Obligations

We record accruals for estimated retirement and environmental remediation obligations. The obligations relate primarily to the removal of asbestos and contaminated soil during development of the properties as well as the estimated equipment removal costs upon termination of a certain lease where the Company is the lessee. At March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, the amount included in other liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets was approximately \$2.7 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

### **Table of Contents**

#### Income Taxes

We elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2010. To qualify as a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to our stockholders and meet various other requirements imposed by the Code relating to such matters as operating results, asset holdings, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Provided we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we are generally not subject to corporate level federal income tax on the earnings distributed currently to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, and are unable to avail ourselves of certain savings provisions set forth in the Code, all of our taxable income would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax.

To maintain REIT status, we must distribute a minimum of 90% of our taxable income. However, it is our policy and intent, subject to change, to distribute 100% of our taxable income and therefore no provision is required in the accompanying financial statements for federal income taxes with regards to activities of the REIT and its subsidiary pass-through entities. Any taxable income prior to the completion of the IPO is the responsibility of the Company s prior member. The allocable share of income is included in the income tax returns of the members. The Company is subject to the statutory requirements of the locations in which it conducts business. State and local income taxes are accrued as deemed required in the best judgment of management based on analysis and interpretation of respective tax laws.

We have elected to treat two of our subsidiaries as taxable REIT subsidiaries ( TRS ). Certain activities that we undertake must be conducted by a TRS, such as services for our tenants that could be considered otherwise impermissible for us to perform and holding assets that we cannot hold directly. A TRS is subject to corporate level federal and state income taxes. Relative deferred tax assets and liabilities arising from temporary differences in financial reporting versus tax reporting are also established as determined by management.

Deferred income taxes are recognized in certain taxable entities. Deferred income tax is generally a function of the period s temporary differences (items that are treated differently for tax purposes than for financial reporting purposes), the utilization of tax net operating losses generated in prior years that previously had been recognized as deferred income tax assets and the reversal of any previously recorded deferred income tax liabilities. A valuation allowance for deferred income tax assets is provided if we believe all or some portion of the deferred income tax asset may not be realized. Any increase or decrease in the valuation allowance resulting from a change in circumstances that causes a change in the estimated realizability of the related deferred income tax asset is included in deferred tax expense. As of March 31, 2013, the deferred income taxes were not material.

We currently have no liabilities for uncertain tax positions. The earliest tax year for which we are subject to examination is 2010. Prior to their contribution to our Operating Partnership, our subsidiaries were treated as pass-through entities for tax purposes and the earliest year for which our subsidiaries are subject to examination is 2009.

#### Concentration of Credit Risks

Our cash and cash equivalents are maintained in various financial institutions, which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts, and management believes that the Company is not exposed to any significant credit risk in this area. We have no off-balance-sheet concentrations of credit risk, such as foreign exchange contracts, option contracts, or foreign

currency	hedging	arrangements.
currency	neaging	arrangements.

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012, one customer accounted for 7.3% and 10.4%, respectively, of total operating revenues.

## **Segment Information**

We manage our business as one reportable segment consisting of investments in data centers located in the United States. Although we provide services in several markets, these operations have been aggregated into one reportable segment based on the similar economic characteristics amongst all markets, including the nature of the services provided and the type of customers purchasing these services.

10

#### 3. Investment in Real Estate

On February 7, 2013, the Company acquired land and a vacant building, NY2, in Secaucus, NJ, with a total real estate value of \$23.0 million. NY2 is being developed into a data center facility. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, in addition to NY2, the Company commenced development on two other data center facilities, VA2 and SV5. Based on the relative fair values of each data center and the current land balances, land was allocated to each of VA2 and SV5 and was reclassified during the first quarter of 2013 to construction in progress in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. The reclassification equaled \$5.2 million and \$2.4 million for VA2 and SV5, respectively.

The following is a summary of the properties owned and leased at March 31, 2013 (in thousands):

			I	Buildings and		Leasehold	Co	nstruction	
Property Name	Location	Land	I	mprovements	In	nprovements	in	Progress	Total Cost
SV1	San Jose, CA	\$ 6,863	\$	102,979	\$		\$	10,869	\$ 120,711
SV2	Milpitas, CA	5,086		22,471				241	27,798
SV3	Santa Clara, CA	3,972		45,206				17	49,195
SV4	Santa Clara, CA	4,442		71,346				13,284	89,072
SV5	Santa Clara, CA							7,629	7,629
Santa Clara Campus	Santa Clara, CA	8,173		8,221				4,908	21,302
BO1	Somerville, MA	6,100		68,584				8,681	83,365
NY1	New York, NY					31,685		55	31,740
NY2	Secaucus, NJ							29,369	29,369
VA1	Reston, VA	6,903		102,698				4,787	114,388
VA2	Reston, VA							8,454	8,454
DC1	Washington, DC					6,524		530	7,054
CH1	Chicago, IL	5,493		69,397				7,451	82,341
LA1	Los Angeles, CA					50,884		1,829	52,713
LA2	Los Angeles, CA	28,467		117,909				476	146,852
MI1	Miami, FL	728		9,782				28	10,538
DE1	Denver, CO					204		94	298
DE2	Denver, CO					9		255	264
Total		\$ 76,227	\$	618,593	\$	89,306	\$	98,957	\$ 883,083

#### 4. Other Assets

Our other assets consisted of the following, net of amortization and depreciation, if applicable, as of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Deferred leasing costs	\$ 15,019	\$ 14,886
Deferred rent receivable	15,213	15,017
Deferred financing costs	4,303	2,520
Leasehold interests in corporate headquarters	4,151	4,152
Other	9,009	7,981

Total \$ 47,695 \$ 44,556

Deferred leasing costs are amortized as amortization expense on a straight-line basis over the remaining life of the underlying leases. The estimated amortization of deferred leasing costs for each of the five succeeding fiscal years is as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	
Remaining 2013	\$ 2,760
2014	3,332
2015	2,308
2016	1,702
2017	1,148
Thereafter	3,769
Total	\$ 15,019

#### Table of Contents

#### 5. Debt

A summary of outstanding indebtedness as of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012 is as follows (in thousands):

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date		rch 31, 013		ber 31,
SV1 - Mortgage loan	3.70% and 3.71% at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	October 9, 2014	\$	59,375	\$	59,750
Revolving credit facility	2.20% and 2.46% at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	January 3, 2017	·	52,000	·	
Total principal outstanding	•		\$	111,375 \$		59,750

#### Revolving Credit Facility

On January 3, 2013, our Operating Partnership and certain subsidiary co-borrowers entered into a second amended and restated senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement ) with a group of lenders for which KeyBank National Association acts as administrative agent. The Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement amended the Operating Partnership s senior secured revolving credit facility, dated December 15, 2011 (the Prior Facility ), and provides for the release of the properties owned by the Operating Partnership s wholly-owned subsidiaries from the existing liens in favor of the credit facility lenders, with the facility continuing on an unsecured basis and unconditionally guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by the Company. Our Operating Partnership acts as the parent borrower, and our subsidiaries that own or lease real estate properties, are co-borrowers under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

The Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement increased the commitment from the Prior Facility of \$225.0 million to \$355.0 million and extended the initial maturity date of the Prior Facility from December 15, 2014, to January 3, 2017, with a one-time extension option, which, if exercised, would extend the maturity date to January 3, 2018. The exercise of the extension option is subject to the payment of an extension fee equal to 25 basis points of the total commitment under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement at initial maturity and certain other customary conditions. The Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement contains an accordion feature, which allows our Operating Partnership to increase the total commitment by \$145.0 million, to \$500.0 million, under specified circumstances. As of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, \$52.0 and \$0 million, respectively, was outstanding under the facility.

Under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, our Operating Partnership may elect to have borrowings bear interest at a rate per annum equal to (i) LIBOR plus 200 basis points to 275 basis points, or (ii) a base rate plus 100 basis points to 175 basis points, each depending on our Operating Partnership s leverage ratio.

The total amount available for borrowings under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement will be subject to the lesser of the facility amount or the availability calculated on our unencumbered asset pool. As of March 31, 2013, \$294.5 million was available for us to borrow under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

Our ability to borrow under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement is subject to ongoing compliance with a number of financial covenants and other customary restrictive covenants, including, among others:

- a maximum leverage ratio (defined as consolidated total indebtedness to total gross asset value) of 60%;
- a maximum secured debt ratio (defined as consolidated total secured debt to total gross asset value) of 40%;
- a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (defined as adjusted consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization to consolidated fixed charges) of 1.75 to 1.0; and
- a maximum unhedged variable rate debt ratio (defined as unhedged variable rate indebtedness to gross asset value) of 30%.

As of March 31, 2013, we were in compliance with the covenants under our Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

#### SV1 Mortgage Loan

As of March 31, 2013, SV1 had a \$59.4 million mortgage loan with a maturity date of October 9, 2014. The loan bears variable interest and requires the payment of interest and principal until maturity. The mortgage requires ongoing compliance by us with various covenants including liquidity and net operating income covenants. As of March 31, 2013, we were in compliance with the covenants.

#### **Debt Maturities**

The following table summarizes the amount of our debt maturities when such debt currently becomes due (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	
Remainder of 2013	\$ 1,125
2014	58,250
2015	
2016	
2017	52,000
Total	\$ 111,375

### 6. Noncontrolling Interests Operating Partnership

Noncontrolling interests represent the limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership held by individuals and entities other than CoreSite Realty Corporation. Since September 28, 2011, the current holders of Common Operating Partnership units have been eligible to have the Common Operating Partnership units redeemed for cash or, at our option, exchangeable into our common stock on a one-for-one basis. We have evaluated whether we control the actions or events necessary to issue the maximum number of shares that could be required to be delivered under the share settlement of the Common Operating Partnership units. Based on the results of this analysis, we concluded that the Common Operating Partnership units met the criteria to be classified within equity at March 31, 2013.

The following table shows the ownership interest in the Operating Partnership as of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012:

	March	31, 2013	Dece	ember 31, 2012
	Number of Units	Percentage of Total	Number of Units	Percentage of Total
The Company	20,718,955	45.0%	20,610,523	44.8%
Noncontrolling interests consist of:				
Common units held by third parties	25,275,390	54.8%	25,275,390	55.0%
Incentive units held by employees	78,319	0.2%	78,319	0.2%
Total	46,072,664	100.0%	45,964,232	100.0%

For each share of common stock issued by the Company, the Operating Partnership issues an equivalent Common Operating Partnership unit to the Company. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company issued 109,234 shares of common stock related to employee compensation arrangements and, therefore, an equivalent number of Common Operating Partnership units were issued.

On December 12, 2012, the Operating Partnership issued 4.6 million Preferred Operating Partnership units to CoreSite Realty Corporation in connection with our issuance of Series A Cumulative Preferred Stock. The Preferred Operating Partnership units rank senior to the Common Operating Partnership units held by the Company and noncontrolling interests.

The redemption value of the noncontrolling interests at March 31, 2013, was \$886.9 million based on the closing price of the Company s stock of \$34.98 on March 28, 2013, the last trading day of the quarter.

#### 7. Stockholders Equity

On March 5, 2013, we declared a regular cash dividend for the quarter ended March 31, 2013, of \$0.27 per common share payable to stockholders of record as of March 28, 2013. In addition, holders of Common Operating Partnership units also received a distribution of \$0.27 per unit. The dividend and distribution were paid on April 15, 2013.

On March 5, 2013, we also declared a regular cash dividend for the quarter ended of March 31, 2013, of \$0.62 per share of Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock for the period December 12, 2012, to April 14, 2013. The dividend was paid on April 15, 2013, to stockholders of record as of March 28, 2013.

#### 8. Equity Incentive Plan

In connection with our IPO, the Company s Board of Directors adopted the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2010 Plan ). The 2010 Plan is administered by the Board of Directors, or the plan administrator. Awards issuable under the 2010 Plan include common stock, stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalents and other incentive awards. We have reserved a total of 3,000,000 shares of our common stock for issuance pursuant to the 2010 Plan, which may be adjusted for changes in our capitalization and certain corporate transactions. To the extent that an award expires, terminates or lapses, or an award is settled in cash without the delivery of shares of common stock to the participant, then any unexercised shares subject to the award will be available for future grant or sale under the 2010 Plan. Shares of restricted stock which are forfeited or repurchased by us pursuant to the 2010 Plan may again be optioned, granted or awarded under the 2010

### Table of Contents

Plan. The payment of dividend equivalents in cash in conjunction with any outstanding awards will not be counted against the shares available for issuance under the 2010 Plan.

As of March 31, 2013, 618,326 shares of our common stock remained available for issuance pursuant to the 2010 Plan.

## Stock Options

Stock option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the closing market price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant. The fair value of each option granted under the 2010 Plan is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, options to purchase 208,198 shares of common stock were granted. The fair values are being expensed on a straight-line basis over the vesting periods.

The following table sets forth the stock option activity under the 2010 Plan for the three months ended March 31, 2013:

	Number of Shares Subject to Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding, December 31, 2012	1,017,195 \$	17.25
Granted	208,198	32.48
Forfeited	(4,036)	15.68
Exercised	(8,358)	15.66
Options outstanding, March 31, 2013	1,212,999 \$	19.88

The following table sets forth the number of shares subject to options that are unvested as of March 31, 2013, and the fair value of these options at the grant date:

	Number of Shares Subject to Option	Weighted Average Fair Value at Grant
Unvested balance, December 31, 2012	730,159	\$ 5.70
Granted	208,198	10.00
Forfeited	(4,036)	4.92
Vested	(106,982)	4.97
Unvested balance, March 31, 2013	827,339	\$ 6.88

As of March 31, 2013, total unearned compensation on options was approximately \$5.0 million, and the weighted-average vesting period was 2.6 years.

#### Restricted Awards

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company granted 174,952 shares of restricted stock. Additionally, the Company granted 240 restricted stock units, or RSUs. The principal difference between these instruments is that RSUs are not outstanding shares of the Company s common stock and do not have any of the rights or privileges thereof, including voting rights. On the applicable vesting date, the holder of an RSU becomes entitled to one share of common stock for each RSU. Restricted awards are amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. The following table sets forth the number of unvested restricted awards and the weighted average fair value of these awards at the date of grant:

	Restricted Awards	Weighted Average Fair Value at Grant
Unvested balance, December 31, 2012	598,695	\$ 21.37
Granted	175,192	32.33
Forfeited	(3,845)	21.27
Vested	(101,456)	18.72
Unvested balance, March 31, 2013	668,586	\$ 24.65

As of March 31, 2013, total unearned compensation on restricted awards was approximately \$13.8 million, and the weighted-average vesting period was 2.7 years.

## Common Operating Partnership Units

In connection with our IPO, we granted 25,883 Common Operating Partnership units, which had a grant date fair value of \$15.98 per unit, or \$0.4 million in total. The Common Operating Partnership units are amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. As of March 31, 2013, 17,254 units have vested, 7,138 units were unvested and 1,491 were forfeited. As of March 31, 2013, total unearned compensation on Common Operating Partnership units was approximately \$0.1 million, and the weighted-average vesting period was less than one year.

#### 9. Earnings Per Share

The following is a summary of basic and diluted income per share (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2013		2012	
Net income attributable to common shares	\$ 1,849	\$	600	
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic	20,673,896		20,455,875	
Effect of potentially dilutive common shares:				
Stock options	363,346 119,963			

Unvested restricted awards	277,537	119,017
Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted	21,314,779	20,694,855
Net income per share attributable to common shares		
Basic	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.03
Diluted	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.03

In the calculations above, we have excluded weighted average potentially dilutive securities of 133,166 and 23,806 for the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively, as their effect would have been antidilutive.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### 10. Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Authoritative guidance issued by FASB establishes a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on the observability of inputs utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair values. This hierarchy establishes market-based or observable inputs as the preferred source of values, followed by valuation models using management assumptions in the absence of market inputs. The three levels of the hierarchy under the authoritative guidance are as follows:

Level 1 Inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs are quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in an active market, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable, and market-corroborated inputs which are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Inputs are derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or value drivers are unobservable.

Our financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts and other receivables, revolving credit facility, mortgage loans payable, interest payable and accounts payable. The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts and other receivables, interest payable and accounts payable approximate fair values due to the short-term nature of these accounts.

The balance of our mortgage loan payable and revolving credit facility was \$111.4 million and \$59.8 million as of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, respectively, with a fair value that approximated book value at both periods, based on Level 3 inputs from the fair value hierarchy. Under the discounted cash flow method, the fair values of mortgage notes payable and the revolving credit facility are based on the Company s assumptions of interest rates and terms available incorporating the Company s credit risk.

#### 11. Related Party Transactions

We lease 1,515 net rentable square feet of space at VA1 to an affiliate of The Carlyle Group. The lease commenced on July 1, 2008, and expires on June 30, 2013. Rental revenue was less than \$0.1 million for both the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012.

## 12. Commitments and Contingencies

As of March 31, 2013, the Company leases data center space under noncancelable operating lease agreements at NY1, LA1, DC1, DE1, and DE2, and the Company leases its headquarters located in Denver, Colorado, under a noncancelable operating lease agreement. The lease agreements provide for base rental rate increases at defined intervals during the term of the lease. In addition, the Company has negotiated rent abatement periods to better match the phased build-out of the data center space. The Company accounts for such abatements and increasing base rentals using the straight-line method over the noncancelable term of the lease. The difference between the straight-line expense and the cash payment is recorded as deferred rent payable.

Additionally, the Company has commitments related to telecommunications capacity used to connect data centers located within the same market or geographical area and power usage. The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of March 31, 2013 (in thousands):

Obligation	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Thereafter	Total
Operating leases	\$ 13,634	\$ 18,336	\$ 18,014	\$ 17,752	\$ 16,288	\$ 72,518	\$ 156,542
Credit Facility(1)	858	1,144	1,144	1,144	52,000		56,290
Mortgages							
payable (2)	2,755	59,846					62,601
Construction							
Contracts (3)	50,758						50,758
Other (4)	967	1,178	1,039	532	142	745	4,603
Total	\$ 68,972	\$ 80,504	\$ 20,197	\$ 19,428	\$ 68,430	\$ 73,263	\$ 330,794

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes \$52.0 million outstanding and estimated annual interest payments assuming no draws or payments on the revolving credit facility through the maturity date of January 3, 2017. The revolving credit facility is subject to variable rates and we estimated payments based on the interest rate as of March 31, 2013.

(4) Obligations for power contracts and telecommunications leases.

Rent expense on operating leases for the three months ended March 31, 2013, and 2012 was \$4.8 million and \$4.6 million, respectively.

From time to time, we are party to a variety of legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe that, with respect to any such matters to which we currently are a party, the ultimate disposition of any such matter will not result in a material adverse effect on us.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes \$59.4 million of mortgage principal payments and annual interest payments until debt maturity of \$1.6 million in 2013 and \$1.6 million in 2014. The mortgage payable is subject to variable rates and we estimated payments based on the interest rate as of March 31, 2013.

<sup>(3)</sup> Obligations for construction contracts for properties under construction, tenant related capital expenditures, and other capital improvements.

#### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### **Forward-Looking Statements**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this Quarterly Report ), together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by our company, contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act ), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ). We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and include this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions.

In particular, statements pertaining to our capital resources, portfolio performance, financial condition and results of operations contain certain forward-looking statements. You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking terminology such as believes, should, plans, pro forma or anticipates or the negative of these words and phrases or similar words or phr seeks. intends, predictions of or indicate future events or trends and that do not relate solely to historical matters. You can also identify forward-looking statements by discussions of strategy, plans or intentions. Such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions and are not guarantees of future performance, which may be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results and future events to differ materially from those set forth or contemplated in the forward-looking statements: (i) the geographic concentration of our data centers in certain markets and any adverse developments in local economic conditions or the demand for data center space in these markets; (ii) fluctuations in interest rates and increased operating costs; (iii) difficulties in identifying properties to acquire and completing acquisitions; (iv) the significant competition in our industry and an inability to lease vacant space, renew existing leases or release space as leases expire; (v) lack of sufficient customer demand to realize expected returns on our investments to expand our property portfolio; (vi) decreased revenue from costs and disruptions associated with any failure of our physical infrastructure or services; (vii) our ability to lease available space to existing or new customers; (viii) our failure to obtain necessary outside financing; (ix) our failure to qualify or maintain our status as a REIT; (x) financial market fluctuations; (xi) changes in real estate and zoning laws and increases in real property tax rates; (xii) delays or disruptions in third-party network connectivity; (xiii) service failures or price increases by third party power suppliers; (xiv) inability to renew net leases on the data center properties we lease; and (xv) other factors affecting the real estate industry generally.

While forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs, they are not guarantees of future performance. We disclaim any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect changes in underlying assumptions or factors, of new information, data or methods, future events or other changes. The risks included here are not exhaustive, and additional factors could adversely affect our business and financial performance, including factors and risks included in other sections of this Quarterly Report. Additional information concerning these and other risks and uncertainties is contained in our other periodic filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, pursuant to the Exchange Act. We discussed a number of material risks in Item 1A. Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. Those risks continue to be relevant to our performance and financial condition. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

#### Overview

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this Quarterly Report to we, our, us and our company refer to CoreSite Realty Corporation, a Maryland corporation, together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including CoreSite, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership of which CoreSite Realty Corporation is the sole general partner and which we refer to in this Quarterly Report as our Operating Partnership

and CoreSite Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation, our taxable REIT subsidiary, or TRS.

We provide data center solutions to more than 750 of the world s leading carriers and mobile operators, content and cloud providers, media and entertainment companies and global enterprises. Across 14 high-performance data center campuses in nine North America markets, we connect customers to help them grow their businesses, run performance-sensitive applications and secure their crucial data devices.

We formed CoreSite Realty Corporation as a Maryland corporation on February 17, 2010. We completed our IPO of common stock on September 28, 2010, and through our controlling interest in our Operating Partnership, we are engaged in the business of ownership, acquisition, construction and management of strategically located data centers in some of the largest and fastest growing data center markets in the United States, including Los Angeles, the San Francisco Bay and Northern Virginia areas, Chicago, Boston, New York City, Miami and Denver. Our high-quality data centers feature ample and redundant power, advanced cooling and security systems and many are points of dense network interconnection. We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code ), commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2010.

#### **Our Portfolio**

As of March 31, 2013, our property portfolio included 14 operating data center facilities and multiple development projects which collectively comprise over 2.7 million net rentable square feet of space (NRSF), of which approximately 1.3 million NRSF is existing data center space, including pre-stabilized space. The development projects include construction of new facilities in the San Francisco Bay, Northern Virginia,

17

## Table of Contents

and New York. The operating portfolio includes approximately 324,000 NRSF of space readily available for lease, of which 245,000 NRSF is available for lease as data center space. Including the space currently under construction or in preconstruction at March 31, 2013, vacant space and land targeted for future development, we own land and buildings sufficient to develop approximately 1.2 million square feet of data center space. We expect that this development potential plus any potential expansion into new markets will enable us to accommodate existing and future customer demand and position us to significantly increase our cash flows. We intend to pursue development projects and expansion into new markets when we believe those opportunities support the additional supply in those markets. The following table provides an overview of our properties as of March 31, 2013:

			Data	Center(2)	Office	perating NRSF (1 and Light- ustrial(3)	,	<b>Fotal</b>	Pre- Stabilized(7)	Development(8)	
Market/Facilities		nualized	Total	Percent Occupied(5)	Total	Percent Occupied(5)	Total(6)	Percent Occupied(5)	Total	Total	Total Portfolio
Los Angeles	Ken	ι (φυυυ)(4)	Total	Occupieu(3)	1 Otai	Occupieu(3)	1 otal(o)	Occupied(3)	Total	1 Otal	r of tiono
One Wilshire											
Campus											
LA1*	\$	24,233	150,278	76.4%	7,500	45.5%	157,778	74.9%	)	7.309	165.087
LA2	Ψ	12,944	159,617	81.2	6,055	72.7	165,672	80.9	31,585	. ,	434,159
Los Angeles Total	l	37,177	309,895	78.9	13,555	57.7	323,450	78.0	31,585		599,246
<b>.</b>		- /	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		- /		,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	, ,
San Francisco											
Bay											
SV1		11,328	84,045	87.9	206,255	80.2	290,300	82.4			290,300
SV2		6,092	76,676	65.5			76,676	65.5			76,676
Santa Clara											
Campus		18,978	119,067	84.6	71,196	91.7	190,263	87.3	16,640	289,347	496,250
San Francisco											
Bay Total		36,398	279,788	79.5	277,451	83.2	557,239	81.8	16,640	289,347	863,226
Northern Virginia											
VA1		21,761	201,719	74.4	61,050	74.9	262,769	74.5			262,769
VA2										198,000	198,000
DC1*		2,315	22,137	80.8			22,137	80.8			22,137
Northern											
Virginia Total		24,076	223,856	75.1	61,050	74.9	284,906	75.0		198,000	482,906
Boston											
BO1		10,030	148,795	91.6	13,063	31.7	161,858	86.8		111,313	273,171
Chicago											
CH1		10,513	158,167	82.5	4,946	56.9	163,113	81.7		20,240	183,353
New York											
NY1*		5,195	48,404	71.9			48,404	71.9			48,404
NY2		,								283,000	283,000
New York Total		5,195	48,404	71.9			48,404	71.9		283,000	331,404
Miami		4.600	20.456		1.001	100.0	22.110	<b>50.0</b>		10.171	17.041
MI1		1,689	30,176	56.7	1,934	100.0	32,110	59.3		13,154	45,264
Denver											
DE1*		770	4,144	92.3			4,144	92.3			4,144
DE2*		165	5,140	70.6			5,140	70.6			5,140
Denver Total		935	9,284	80.3			9,284	80.3			9,284
Total Facilities	\$	126,013	1,208,365	<b>79.7</b> %	371,999	78.8%	1,580,364	<b>79.5</b> %	48,225	1,159,265	2,787,854

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates properties in which we hold a leasehold interest.

- (1) Represents the square feet at each building under lease as specified in existing customer lease agreements plus management s estimate of space available for lease to customers based on engineers drawings and other factors, including required data center support space (such as mechanical, telecommunications and utility rooms) and building common areas. Total NRSF at a given facility includes the total operating NRSF, pre-stabilized NRSF and total development NRSF, but excludes our office space at a facility and our corporate headquarters.
- (2) Represents the NRSF at each operating facility that is currently occupied or readily available for lease as data center space. Both occupied and available data center NRSF includes a factor to account for a customer s proportionate share of the required data center support space (such as the mechanical, telecommunications and utility rooms) and building common areas, which may be updated on a periodic basis to reflect the most current build-out of our properties.
- (3) Represents the NRSF at each operating facility that is currently occupied or readily available for lease as space other than data center space, which is typically space offered for office or light-industrial uses.
- (4) Represents the monthly contractual rent under existing commenced customer leases as of March 31, 2013, multiplied by 12. This amount reflects total annualized base rent before any one-time or non-recurring rent abatements and it excludes power revenue, interconnection revenue and operating expense reimbursement.
- (5) Includes customer leases that have commenced and are occupied as of March 31, 2013. The percent occupied is determined based on leased square feet as a proportion of total operating NRSF. The percent occupied for data center space, office and light industrial space, and space in total would have been 81.6%, 79.4%, and 81.1%, respectively, if all leases signed in current and prior periods had commenced.
- (6) Represents the NRSF at an operating facility currently occupied or readily available for lease. This excludes existing vacant space held for development and pre-stabilized NRSF.
- (7) Represents pre-stabilized NRSF of projects/facilities which have been recently developed and are in the initial lease-up phase. Effective January 1, 2013, new pre-stabilized projects/facilities are excluded from stabilized operating NRSF. Pre-stabilized projects/facilities become stabilized operating properties at the earlier of achievement of 85% occupancy or 24 months after development completion.
- (8) Represents vacant space and entitled land in our portfolio that requires significant capital investment in order to develop into data center facilities as of March 31, 2013. Includes NRSF under construction for which substantial activities are ongoing to prepare the property for its intended use following development.

Our property portfolio has experienced consistent growth since our IPO. The following table shows the March 31, 2013, operating statistics for space that was leased and available to be leased as of December 31, 2011, at each of our properties, and excludes space for which development was completed and became available to be leased after December 31, 2011. For comparison purposes, the operating activity totals as of December 31, 2012, and 2011, for this space are provided at the bottom of this table.

			D-4- C	Samo	Т-4	-1		
	Δ1	nualized	Data Center Percent		Indus	Percent	Tota	aı Percent
Market/Facilities		ent (\$000)	Total	Occupied	Total	Occupied	Total	Occupied
Los Angeles		(, , , , ,						
LA1*	\$	24,233	150,278	76.4%	7,500	45.5%	157,778	74.9%
LA2		12,815	156,366	80.8	5,147	85.5	161,513	80.9
Los Angeles Total		37,048	306,644	78.6	12,647	61.8	319,291	78.0
San Francisco								
Bay								
SV1		11,328	84,045	87.9	206,255	80.2	290,300	82.4
SV2		6,092	76,676	65.5			76,676	65.5
Santa Clara								
Campus		12,695	68,116	91.8	70,760	91.6	138,876	91.7
San Francisco								
Bay Total		30,115	228,837	81.6	277,015	83.1	505,852	82.4
Northern								
Virginia								
VA1		19,530	137,670	97.8	61,050	74.9	198,720	90.8
DC1*		2,315	22,137	80.8			22,137	80.8
Northern								
Virginia Total		21,845	159,807	95.4	61,050	74.9	220,857	89.8
Boston								
BO1		10,030	148,795	91.6	13,063	31.7	161,858	86.8
		10,050	110,775	71.0	13,003	31.7	101,030	00.0
Chicago								
CH1		10,266	128,906	96.7	4,946	56.9	133,852	95.3
N. Y. I								
New York			10.101	=1.0			40.404	=1.0
NY1*		5,195	48,404	71.9			48,404	71.9
Miami								
MI1		1,689	30,176	56.7	1,934	100.0	32,110	59.3
Total Facilities at		1,000	20,170	2017	1,70	10010	52,110	0,10
March 31,	\$	116 100	1 051 560	84 00%	270 655	79.0%	1 422 224	<b>92</b> 407.
2013(1)	Ф	116,188	1,051,569	84.9%	370,655	79.0%	1,422,224	83.4%
Total Facilities at								
December 31,								
2012	\$	114,206		84.2%		<b>79.1</b> %		82.8%
TD-4-1 TD- 9349								
Total Facilities at								
December 31,	Ф	102 502		92 507		92 50		83.5%
2011	\$	103,502		83.5%		83.5%		83.5%

(1) The percent occupied for data center space, office and light industrial space, and space in total would have been 87.1%, 79.6%, and 85.1%, respectively, if all leases signed in current and prior periods had commenced.

19

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates properties in which we hold a leasehold interest.

## Table of Contents

Development space is unoccupied space or entitled land that requires significant capital investment in order to develop data center facilities that are ready for use. The following table summarizes the NRSF under development and NRSF held for development throughout our portfolio as of March 31, 2013:

	<b>Development Opportunities (in NRSF)</b>					
	Under	Held for				
Facilities	Construction(1)	Development(2)	Total			
Los Angeles						
One Wilshire Campus						
LA1		7,309	7,309			
LA2		236,902	236,902			
San Francisco Bay						
Santa Clara Campus(3)	116,107	173,240	289,347			
Northern Virginia						
VA2	50,000	148,000	198,000			
Boston						
BO1	23,663	87,650	111,313			
Chicago						
CH1	20,240		20,240			
New York						
NY2	65,000	218,000	283,000			
Miami						
MI1		13,154	13,154			
Total Facilities	275,010	884,255	1,159,265			

<sup>(1)</sup> Reflects NRSF at a facility for which the initiation of substantial development activities to prepare the property for its intended use has commenced prior to March 31, 2013.

<sup>(2)</sup> Reflects NRSF held for development at a facility which will require substantial development activities to prepare the property for its intended use.

<sup>(3)</sup> We plan and are entitled to develop approximately 290,000 NRSF of data center space at this campus. Incremental to the 290,000 NRSF, we have approximately 71,000 NRSF of office and light-industrial space in our operating portfolio which we may develop into data center space and we plan to develop an additional 116,000 NRSF of data center space at this campus upon our receipt of the necessary entitlement.

#### Table of Contents

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we signed new leases totaling approximately 42,799 NRSF. The following table provides an overview of our new and expansion data center leasing activity (in NRSF):

		Th	rree Months Ended:		
	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012	March 31, 2012
New and expansion leases signed but not yet					
commenced at beginning of period	148,817	12,941	41,545	32,436	25,571
Adjustments(1)		544			
New and expansion leases signed during the period	42,799	156,704	11,387	26,290	37,563
New and expansion leases signed during the period					
which have commenced	(14,679)	(14,414)	(5,699)	(8,157)	(15,195)
New and expansion leases signed in previous periods					
which commenced during period	(24,697)	(6,958)	(34,292)	(9,024)	(15,503)
Total leases signed but not yet commenced at end					
of period	152,240	148,817	12,941	41,545	32,436

<sup>(1)</sup> Adjustments due to a change in the factor used to allocate support space to reflect the current build-out of certain properties. The adjustment does not alter the contractual rent we expect to receive under the affected leases.

Our ability to re-lease expiring space at rental rates equal to or in excess of current rental rates will impact our results of operations. In addition to approximately 324,000 NRSF of available space in our portfolio, which excludes pre-stabilized leasable space, leases representing approximately 14.2% and 19.7% of the NRSF in our portfolio are scheduled to expire during the remainder of 2013 and the year ending December 31, 2014, respectively.

#### **Results of Operations**

#### **Factors that May Influence our Results of Operations**

A complete discussion of factors that may influence our results of operations can be found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed with the SEC on February 25, 2013, which is accessible on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

# Three Months Ended March 31, 2013, Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

	Tl	nree Months E	Ended M	Iarch 31,		
		2013		2012	\$ Change	% Change
			(in t	thousands)		
Operating Revenue	\$	55,091	\$	47,284	\$ 7,807	16.5%
Operating Expense		48,286		45,050	3,236	7.2%
Interest Expense		439		1,018	(579)	-56.9%

Net income 6,195 1,343 4,852 361.3%

*Operating Revenue.* Operating revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2013, was \$55.1 million compared to \$47.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. There was an increase of \$7.8 million in operating revenue, or 16.5%. The increase was primarily due to rental, interconnection and power revenue growth generated from computer rooms placed into service during 2012 and subsequent to March 31, 2012. These new computer rooms, located at VA1, CH1 and SV4, increased operating data center space by 93,000 NRSF or 8.4% quarter-over-quarter. In addition, we commenced over 117,000 NRSF of new and expansion leases during the twelve months since March 31, 2012, which is summarized in the data center leasing table above.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013, were \$48.3 million compared to \$45.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increase of \$3.2 million, or 7.2%, was primarily due to additional property operating and maintenance expenses and depreciation expense associated with the placement into service of three computer rooms located at VA1, CH1 and SV4 during 2012. Additionally, there was an increase in stock compensation and salaries expense due to growth in employee head count. These increases were offset by a \$1.5 decrease in general and administration expense associated with a litigation settlement during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

Interest Expense. Interest expense, including amortization of deferred financing costs, for the three months ended March 31, 2013, was \$0.4 million compared to \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The decrease in interest expense was primarily due to the lower average debt balance during the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to March 31, 2012 primarily due to the issuance of \$115.0 million of preferred stock on December 12, 2012. The proceeds were used to repay \$109.4 million of debt, including a mortgage loan payable and borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Also, we capitalized \$0.3 million more interest in 2013 compared to 2012 because of the increase in development activities which is demonstrated by the 275,010 NRSF under development as of March 31, 2013 compared to 78,856 NRSF under development as of March 31, 2012.

*Net Income*. Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2013, was \$6.2 million compared to \$1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increase of \$4.9 million was primarily due to operating revenue growth from the placement into service of additional space at several data centers and the decrease of interest expense which were partially offset by an increase in operating expenses associated with increased head count and property operating and maintenance expense.

Table of Contents
Liquidity and Capital Resources
Discussion of Cash Flows
Three Months ended March 31, 2013 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2012
Net cash provided by operating activities was \$18.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to \$13.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increased cash provided by operating activities of \$5.0 million was primarily due to the growth in rental, power and interconnection revenue year-over-year due to the completion and subsequent leasing of data center space at several data centers.
Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$36.8 million to \$54.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to \$18.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. This increase was primarily due to the acquisition of NY2 for \$21.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013. Additionally, cash invested in data center development activities increased by \$15.6 million compared to three months ended March 31, 2012, due to the 196,000 increase in NRSF under development as of March 31, 2013 compared to March 31, 2012.
Net cash provided by financing activities was \$37.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to \$2.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increase in cash provided by financing activities of \$34.8 million was primarily due to increased draws on our revolving credit facility during three months ended March 31, 2013, of \$16.8 million and mortgage loans paid-off during the three months ended March 31, 2012, of \$25.1 million. Also, the increase is slightly offset by an increase in dividends and distributions paid and loans fees associated with the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement for the revolving credit facility during the three months ended March 31, 2013.
Analysis of Liquidity and Capital Resources
We have an effective shelf registration statement filed on September 28, 2011, that allows us to offer for sale unspecified various classes of equity and debt securities. As circumstances warrant, we may issue debt and/or equity from time to time on an opportunistic basis, dependent upon market conditions and available pricing. On December 12, 2012, we issued 4.6 million shares of 7.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable

Our short-term liquidity requirements primarily consist of funds needed for future distributions to common and preferred stockholders and holders of our common operating partnership units, interest expense, operating costs including utilities, site maintenance costs, real estate and personal property taxes, insurance, rental expenses and selling, general and administrative expenses and certain capital expenditures, including for the development of data center space during the next 12 months. As of March 31, 2013, we had \$8.5 million of cash and equivalents,

Preferred Stock for total net proceeds, after underwriting discounts and offering expenses, of \$110.5 million, including the proceeds from the exercise of the full underwriters over-allotment option. The net proceeds received were used to repay \$77.8 million of borrowing under our revolving credit facility, the full amount of the mortgage loan payable of \$31.6 million encumbering our VA1 property and for general corporate

purposes, including development opportunities.

excluding \$0.2 million of restricted cash. Subject to our ability to obtain capital with favorable terms, we estimate our anticipated development activity over the next 12 months will require approximately \$180.0 million to \$200.0 million of investment to expand our operating data center portfolio. We expect to meet our short and long-term liquidity requirements through net cash provided by operations and by incurring additional indebtedness, including by drawing on our revolving credit facility. In the three months ended March 31, 2013, we entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, which increased our borrowing capacity to \$355.0 million. As of March 31, 2013, we have up to \$294.5 million additional borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility. Our ability to borrow under the Second Amended and Restated Agreement is subject to ongoing compliance with a number of financial covenants and other customary restrictive covenants. As of March 31, 2013, we were in compliance with the covenants under our Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

Our long-term liquidity requirements primarily consist of the costs to fund the development of the Santa Clara Campus, the One Wilshire Campus, VA2, NY2, future development of other space in our portfolio not currently scheduled, property acquisitions, future distributions to common and preferred stockholders and holders of our common operating partnership units, scheduled debt maturities and capital improvements. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements primarily by incurring long-term indebtedness, such as property mortgage loans, and drawing on our revolving credit facility. We also may raise capital in the future through the issuance of additional equity or debt securities, subject to prevailing market conditions, and/or through the issuance of common operating partnership units. However, there is no assurance that we will be able to successfully raise additional capital on acceptable terms or at all.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Inflation

Substantially all of our leases contain annual rent increases. As a result, we believe that we are largely insulated from the effects of inflation. However, any increases in the costs of development of our properties will generally result in a higher cost of the property, which will result in increased cash requirements to develop our properties and increased depreciation expense in future periods, and, in some circumstances, we may not be able to directly pass along the increase in these development costs to our customers in the form of higher rents.

#### Indebtedness

A summary of outstanding indebtedness, including interest rates and debt maturities as of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, is as follows (in thousands)

	Interest Rate	Maturity Date	rch 31, 013	December 31, 2012
SV1 - Mortgage loan	3.70% and 3.71% at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	October 9, 2014	\$ 59,375	\$ 59,750
Revolving credit facility	2.20% and 2.46% at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively	January 3, 2017	52,000	ĺ
Total principal outstanding			\$ 111,375	\$ 59,750

As of March 31, 2013, we were in compliance with the covenants under our revolving credit facility and the SV1 mortgage loan. For additional information with respect to our outstanding indebtedness as of March 31, 2013, and December 31, 2012, as well as the available credit under our existing revolving credit facility, debt covenant requirements, and future debt maturities, refer to Item 1. Financial Statements Note 5 Debt.

#### **Funds From Operations**

We consider funds from operations (FFO) to be a supplemental measure of our performance which should be considered along with, but not as an alternative to, net income and cash provided by operating activities as a measure of operating performance and liquidity. We calculate FFO in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT). FFO represents net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property and impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

Our management uses FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs.

We disclose this measure because we recognize that FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs. However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions, nor the level of capital expenditures and capitalized leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have an economic effect and could materially impact our financial condition and results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. FFO is a non-GAAP measure and should not be considered a measure of liquidity, an alternative to net income, cash provided by operating activities or any other performance measure determined in accordance with GAAP, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions. In addition, our calculations of FFO are not necessarily comparable to FFO as calculated by other REITs that do not use the same definition or implementation guidelines or interpret the standards differently from us. Investors in our securities should not rely on these measures as a substitute for any GAAP measure, including net income. The following table is a reconciliation of our net income to FFO:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	20	13		2012
Net income	\$	6,195	\$	1,343
Real estate depreciation and amortization		15,142		15,008
FFO		21,337		16,351
Preferred stock dividends		(2,084)		
FFO attributable to common shares and units	\$	19,253	\$	16,351

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Distribution Policy**

In order to comply with the REIT requirements of the Code, we are generally required to make annual distributions to our shareholders of at least 90% of our taxable net income. Our common share distribution policy is to distribute a percentage of our cash flow that ensures that we will meet the distribution requirements of the Code and that allows us to maximize the cash retained to meet other cash needs, such as capital improvements and other investment activities.

We have made distributions every quarter since our IPO. While we plan to continue to make quarterly distributions, no assurances can be made as to the frequency or amounts of any future distributions. The payment of common share distributions is dependent upon our financial condition, operating results and REIT distribution requirements and may be adjusted at the discretion of the Board during the year.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. The primary market risk to which we believe we are exposed is interest rate risk. Our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevalent market interest rates. Many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors that are beyond our control contribute to interest rate risk.

As of March 31, 2013, we had \$111.4 million of consolidated indebtedness that bore interest at variable rates. We monitor our market risk exposures using a sensitivity analysis. Our sensitivity analysis estimates the exposure to market interest rate risk sensitive instruments assuming a hypothetical 1% change in interest rates. If interest rates were to increase or decrease by 1%, the corresponding increase or decrease, as applicable, in interest expense on our variable rate debt would increase or decrease, as applicable, future earnings and cash flows by \$1.1 million per year.

These analyses do not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could impact interest rates. Further, in the event of an increase in interest rates of significant magnitude, we may take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, these analyses assume no changes in our financial structure.

Tabl	le of	Contents

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Exchange Act is processed, recorded, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and regulations and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of March 31, 2013, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, regarding the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2013.

## **Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2013, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the ordinary course of our business, we are subject to claims and administrative proceedings, none of which we believe are material or would be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

#### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the risk factors included in the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 21 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed with the SEC on February 25, 2013, which is accessible on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

ITEM 2. UNREGIST	ERED SALES	OF EO	UITY SECU	IRITIES AND U	SE OF PROCEEDS
------------------	------------	-------	-----------	---------------	----------------

None.

# Table of Contents

# ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

## ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

#### **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number 3.1	Description Articles of Amendment and Restatement of CoreSite Realty Corporation.(1)
3.2	Articles Supplementary of CoreSite Realty Corporation 7.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. (2)
3.3	Bylaws of CoreSite Realty Corporation.(1)
4.1	Specimen certificate representing the Common Stock of CoreSite Realty Corporation.(3)
10.1	Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, among CoreSite, L.P., as parent borrower, CoreSite Real Estate 70 Innerbelt, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 900 N. Alameda, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 2901 Coronado, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 1656 McCarthy, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 427 S. LaSalle, L.L.C., CoreSite Coronado Stender, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 12100 Sunrise Valley Drive, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 2115 NW 22nd Street, L.L.C. and CoreSite One Wilshire, L.L.C., as subsidiary borrowers, Keybank National Association, the other lenders party thereto and other lenders that may become parties thereto, Keybank National Association, as agent, Regions Bank, as documentation agent, and Keybanc Capital Markets, RBC Capital Markets LLC, and Regions Bank as joint lead arrangers and joint book managers, dated as of January 3, 2013.(4)
10.2	Fourth Amendment to Lease by and between Hines REIT One Wilshire L.P. and CoreSite One Wilshire, L.L.C. (formerly known as CRG West One Wilshire, L.L.C.), dated as of January 9, 2013.(5)
10.3	First Amendment to Sublease by and between Hines REIT One Wilshire Services, Inc. and CoreSite One Wilshire, L.L.C. (formerly known as CRG West One Wilshire, L.L.C.), dated as of January 9, 2013.(5)

10.4	2013 Executive Short-Term Incentive Plan.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document**
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document**
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document**
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document**
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document**
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document**

<sup>\*\*</sup> Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in Exhibit 101 is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of

# Table of Contents

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement (Amendment No. 7) on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-166810) filed on September 22, 2010.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 18, 2012.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to our Post-Effective Amendment to our Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-166810) filed on September 22, 2010.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2013.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2013.

# Table of Contents

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## CORESITE REALTY CORPORATION

Date: April 25, 2013 By: /s/ Jeffrey S. Finnin

Jeffrey S. Finnin Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

28

# Table of Contents

## **Exhibit Index**

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of CoreSite Realty Corporation.(1)
3.2	Articles Supplementary of CoreSite Realty Corporation 7.25% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. (2)
3.3	Bylaws of CoreSite Realty Corporation.(1)
4.1	Specimen certificate representing the Common Stock of CoreSite Realty Corporation.(3)
10.1	Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, among CoreSite, L.P., as parent borrower, CoreSite Real Estate 70 Innerbelt, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 900 N. Alameda, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 2901 Coronado, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 1656 McCarthy, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 427 S. LaSalle, L.L.C., CoreSite Coronado Stender, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 12100 Sunrise Valley Drive, L.L.C., CoreSite Real Estate 2115 NW 22nd Street, L.L.C. and CoreSite One Wilshire, L.L.C., as subsidiary borrowers, Keybank National Association, the other lenders party thereto and other lenders that may become parties thereto, Keybank National Association, as agent, Regions Bank, as documentation agent, and Keybanc Capital Markets, RBC Capital Markets LLC, and Regions Bank as joint lead arrangers and joint book managers, dated as of January 3, 2013.(4)
10.2	Fourth Amendment to Lease by and between Hines REIT One Wilshire L.P. and CoreSite One Wilshire, L.L.C. (formerly known as CRG West One Wilshire, L.L.C.), dated as of January 9, 2013.(5)
10.3	First Amendment to Sublease by and between Hines REIT One Wilshire Services, Inc. and CoreSite One Wilshire, L.L.C. (formerly known as CRG West One Wilshire, L.L.C.), dated as of January 9, 2013.(5)
10.4	2013 Executive Short-Term Incentive Plan.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document**
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document**
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document**
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document**
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document**
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document**

<sup>\*\*</sup> Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in Exhibit 101 is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to our Registration Statement (Amendment No. 7) on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-166810) filed on September 22, 2010.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 18, 2012.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to our Post-Effective Amendment to our Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration No. 333-166810) filed on September 22, 2010.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2013.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 14, 2013.