

MISSION WEST PROPERTIES INC
Form 10-Q
August 09, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-34000

Mission West Properties, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

95-2635431
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

10050 Bandlely Drive
Cupertino, California
(Address of principal executive offices)

95014
(Zip Code)

(408) 725-0700
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. x Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). x Yes o No

Edgar Filing: MISSION WEST PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a
smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

As of August 9, 2011, there were 22,584,770 shares of common stock outstanding, par value \$.001 per share.

Mission West Properties, Inc.

FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

INDEX

Part I Financial Information

	Page
Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 (unaudited) and December 31, 2010	2
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)	3
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)	4
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	5
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	12
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	21
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	21

Part II Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings	22
Item 1A. Risk Factors	22
Item 6. Exhibits	22
Signatures	23

Exhibits

Exhibit 31.1	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Exhibit 31.2	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Exhibit 31.3	Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Exhibit 32	Certification of CEO and CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 101 XBRL (eXtensive Business Reporting Language). The following financial materials from Mission West Properties, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL:

Edgar Filing: MISSION WEST PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-Q

(i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

-1-

PART I – Financial Information

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

MISSION WEST PROPERTIES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(dollars in thousands, except share and par value amounts)

	June 30, 2011 (unaudited)	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Investments in real estate:		
Land	\$322,076	\$322,076
Buildings and improvements	791,963	790,424
Real estate related intangible assets	1,121	3,240
Total investments in properties	1,115,160	1,115,740
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(233,578)	(224,027)
Assets held for sale, net of accumulated depreciation	3,267	3,267
Net investments in properties	884,849	894,980
Investment in unconsolidated joint venture	3,698	3,830
Net investments in real estate	888,547	898,810
Cash and cash equivalents	-	3,988
Restricted cash	-	6,892
Deferred rent	17,566	17,941
Other assets, net	41,866	40,653
Total assets	\$947,979	\$968,284
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Mortgage notes payable	\$338,566	\$345,770
Mortgage note payable (related parties)	7,436	7,721
Interest payable	1,614	1,659
Security deposits	4,385	4,605
Prepaid rent	4,402	6,526
Dividends and distributions payable	13,687	15,793
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	18,492	16,239
Total liabilities	388,582	398,313
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Equity:		
Stockholders' equity attributable to Mission West Properties, Inc.:		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 20,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 22,584,770 and 22,135,770 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010	22	22
Additional paid-in capital	175,863	172,568
Distributions in excess of accumulated earnings	(32,086)	(30,520)

Edgar Filing: MISSION WEST PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-Q

Total stockholders' equity attributable to Mission West Properties, Inc.	143,799	142,070
Noncontrolling interests in operating partnerships	415,598	427,901
Total equity	559,397	569,971
Total liabilities and equity	\$947,979	\$968,284

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

MISSION WEST PROPERTIES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(dollars in thousands, except share and per share amounts)
(unaudited)

	Three months ended June		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	30, 2010	2011	2010
Operating revenues:				
Rental income	\$20,295	\$20,659	\$42,072	\$41,462
Tenant reimbursements	4,045	4,028	8,690	8,453
Other income	462	1,204	1,562	1,862
Total operating revenues	24,802	25,891	52,324	51,777
Operating expenses:				
Property operating and maintenance	2,595	3,061	5,250	5,972
Real estate taxes	3,150	3,388	6,354	6,571
General and administrative	522	563	1,043	1,081
Depreciation and amortization	5,844	5,976	11,671	11,816
Total operating expenses	12,111	12,988	24,318	25,440
Operating income	12,691	12,903	28,006	26,337
Other income (expenses):				
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint venture	27	147	18	224
Interest income	57	-	126	50
Realized and unrealized gain from investment	-	2,215	-	4,067
Interest expense	(5,246)	(4,778)	(10,525)	(9,627)
Interest expense – related parties	(144)	(327)	(291)	(624)
Income from continuing operations	7,385	10,160	17,334	20,427
Discontinued operations:				
Loss from discontinued operations	(15)	(119)	(29)	(242)
Net income	7,370	10,041	17,305	20,185
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(5,491)	(7,581)	(13,027)	(15,267)
Net income available to common stockholders	\$1,879	\$2,460	\$4,278	\$4,918
Net income per common share to common stockholders:				
Basic	\$0.08	\$0.11	\$0.19	\$0.22
Diluted	\$0.08	\$0.11	\$0.19	\$0.22
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding (basic)	22,495,605	21,957,654	22,392,427	21,919,632
Weighted average shares of common stock outstanding (diluted)	22,756,006	22,123,527	22,583,358	22,090,313

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

MISSION WEST PROPERTIES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(dollars in thousands)
(unaudited)

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$17,305	\$20,185
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	11,671	11,978
Realized and unrealized gain from restricted investment in marketable securities	-	(4,067)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint venture	(18)	(224)
Distributions from unconsolidated joint venture	150	150
Lease termination fee related to restricted cash	10,865	10,381
Stock-based compensation expense	29	57
Other	-	10
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of liabilities assumed:		
Proceeds from sale of investment in marketable securities	-	16,211
Deferred rent	375	228
Other assets	(1,214)	(2,067)
Interest payable	(45)	(95)
Security deposits	(220)	22
Prepaid rent	(2,124)	(679)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	2,253	817
Net cash provided by operating activities	39,027	52,907
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Improvements to real estate assets	(1,539)	-
Decrease in restricted cash	6,803	100
Purchase of real estate	-	(3,852)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	5,264	(3,752)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Principal payments on mortgage notes payable	(7,204)	(6,310)
Principal payments on mortgage note payable (related parties)	(285)	(265)
Proceeds from note payable (related parties)	-	41,446
Payments on note payable (related parties)	-	(23,000)
Payments on note payable	(10,776)	(10,381)
Net repayments on revolving line of credit	-	(14,466)
Net proceeds from exercise of stock options	-	67
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests	(23,785)	(25,180)
Dividends paid to common stockholders	(6,229)	(6,587)
Net cash used in financing activities	(48,279)	(44,676)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(3,988)	4,479
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	3,988	986
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	-	\$5,465

Supplemental information:

Cash paid for interest	\$10,677	\$10,114
------------------------	----------	----------

Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:

Issuance of common stock upon conversion of O.P. units	\$3,266	\$546
--	---------	-------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

MISSION WEST PROPERTIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(dollars in thousands, except par value and per share amounts)
(unaudited)

1. Organization and Formation of the Company

Mission West Properties, Inc. (the “Company”) is a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate company that acquires and manages research and development (“R&D”)/office properties in the portion of the San Francisco Bay Area commonly referred to as Silicon Valley. In July 1998, the Company purchased an approximate 12.11% of four existing limited partnerships (referred to collectively as the “operating partnerships”) and obtained control of these partnerships by becoming the sole general partner in each one effective July 1, 1998, for financial accounting and reporting purposes. All limited partnership interests in the operating partnerships were converted into 59,479,633 operating partnership (“O.P.”) units, which represented a limited partnership ownership interest of approximately 87.89% of the operating partnerships. The operating partnerships are the vehicles through which the Company holds its real estate investments, makes real estate acquisitions, and generally conducts its business.

On December 30, 1998, the Company was reincorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland through a merger with and into Mission West Properties, Inc. Accordingly, shares of the former company, Mission West Properties, a California corporation (no par), which were outstanding at December 30, 1998, were converted into shares of common stock, \$.001 par value per share, on a one-for-one basis.

As of June 30, 2011, the Company owned a controlling general partnership interest of 25.35%, 21.86%, 16.32% and 12.53% in Mission West Properties, L.P., Mission West Properties, L.P. I, Mission West Properties, L.P. II and Mission West Properties, L.P. III, respectively, which represents a 21.27% general partnership interest in the operating partnerships, taken as a whole, on a consolidated weighted average basis. The ownership interests which the Company does not own in the operating partnerships are accounted for as noncontrolling interests.

Through the operating partnerships, the Company owns interests in 111 R&D/office properties, all of which are located in the Silicon Valley.

The Company has elected to be taxed as a Real Estate Investment Trust (“REIT”) under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Accordingly, no provision has been made for income taxes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Business Segment Information

The Company’s primary business is the ownership and management of R&D/office real estate with a geographic concentration in the Silicon Valley of the San Francisco Bay Area. Accordingly, the Company has concluded it currently has a single reportable segment for the Segment Reporting Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (“FASB ASC”) 280 purposes.

2. Basis of Presentation

Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and, therefore, do not include all information and footnotes necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). In the opinion of the Company, however, the accompanying unaudited interim

condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the Company's consolidated financial position as of June 30, 2011, their consolidated results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and their cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. All significant inter-company balances have been eliminated in consolidation. The condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2011, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and related footnote disclosures are unaudited. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

The December 31, 2010, condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP.

The Company evaluates all joint venture arrangements for consolidation. The percentage interest in the joint venture, evaluation of control and whether a variable interest entity ("VIE") exists are all considered in determining if the arrangement qualifies for consolidation in accordance with the Consolidation Topic of the FASB ASC 810. As of June 30, 2011, the Company consolidated one VIE in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet in connection with an assignment of a lease agreement with an unrelated party, M&M Real Estate Control & Restructuring, LLC. See Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements below for further discussion of this transaction.

MISSION WEST PROPERTIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED
(dollars in thousands, except par value and per share amounts)
(unaudited)

Stock-Based Option Compensation Accounting

The Compensation-Stock Compensation Topic of the FASB ASC 718 addresses the accounting for stock options. It requires that the cost of all employee, director and consultant stock options, as well as other equity-based compensation arrangements, be reflected in the financial statements based on the estimated fair value of the awards. It is applicable to any award that is settled or measured in stock, including stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, stock units, and employee stock purchase plans. At June 30, 2011, the Company had one stock-based compensation plan.

The following table shows the activity and detail for the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Option Price Per Share
Balance, December 31, 2010	3,417,641	\$ 8.79
Options granted	-	-
Options exercised	-	-
Options expired	(590,000)	\$ 10.00
Balance, June 30, 2011	2,827,641	\$ 8.54

In April 2011, stock options to purchase 590,000 shares of common stock held by employees and directors of the Company lapsed without exercise.

The Company measures compensation cost for its stock options at fair value on the date of grant and recognizes compensation expense relating to the remaining unvested portion of outstanding stock options at the time of adoption ratably over the vesting period, generally four years. The fair value of the Company's stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Compensation expense related to the Company's share-based awards is included in general and administrative expenses in the Company's accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations. Under the Compensation-Stock Compensation Topic of the FASB ASC 718, the Company recorded approximately \$14 and \$52 of expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and approximately \$29 and \$57 of expense for share-based compensation relating to grants of stock options for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Noncontrolling Interests

The noncontrolling interest provisions of the Consolidation Topic of the FASB ASC 810 clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in a consolidated entity, which should be reported as equity in the parent's consolidated financial statements. It requires disclosure, on the face of the consolidated statement of operations, of those amounts of consolidated net income and other comprehensive other income attributable to controlling and noncontrolling interests, eliminating the past practice of reporting amounts of income attributable to noncontrolling interests as an adjustment in arriving at consolidated net income.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2011, carrying amounts for equity and the related amounts of equity attributable to stockholders' equity and noncontrolling interests:

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Equity Distributions in Excess of Accumulated Earnings (dollars in thousands)	Noncontrolling Interests	Total
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$22	\$172,568	\$ (30,520)	\$ 427,901	\$569,971
Net income	-	-	4,278	13,027	17,305
Amortization of previously granted share awards	-	29	-	-	29
Conversions of operating partnership units	-	3,266	-	(3,266)	-
Dividends and distributions	-	-	(5,844)	(22,064)	(27,908)
Balance, June 30, 2011	\$22	\$175,863	\$ (32,086)	\$ 415,598	\$559,397

Noncontrolling interests represent the aggregate partnership interest in the operating partnership held by the operating partnership limited partner unit holders. Income allocated to noncontrolling interests is based on the unit holders' ownership percentage of the operating partnership. Because an O.P. unit is generally redeemable for cash or a share of common stock at the option of the Company, it is deemed to be equivalent to a share of common stock. Therefore, such transactions are treated as capital transactions and result in an allocation between stockholders' equity and noncontrolling interests in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets to account for the change in the ownership of the underlying equity in the operating partnerships. The Company's noncontrolling interests represent the separate private ownership of the operating partnerships by the Berg Group (defined as Carl E. Berg, his brother Clyde J. Berg, members of their respective immediate families, and certain entities they control) and other non-affiliate interests. As of June 30, 2011, these interests accounted for approximately 78.5% of the ownership interests in the real estate operations of the Company on a consolidated weighted average basis.

MISSION WEST PROPERTIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED
(dollars in thousands, except par value and per share amounts)
(unaudited)

The amount of noncontrolling interests in net income is calculated by taking the net income of the operating partnerships (on a stand-alone basis) multiplied by the respective weighted average noncontrolling interests' ownership percentage.

Allocation of corporate general and administrative expenses to the operating partnerships is performed based upon shares and O. P. units outstanding for each operating partnership in relation to the total for all four operating partnerships.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the previously reported 2010 condensed consolidated financial statements in order to conform to the 2011 presentation.

The following notes highlight significant changes to the notes to the Company's December 31, 2010, audited consolidated financial statements and should be read together with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 16, 2011.

Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date the consolidated financial statements were issued.

3. Variable Interest Entity

Under the VIE provisions of the Consolidation Topic of the FASB ASC 810, a VIE must be consolidated by a company if it is subject to a majority of the entity's expected losses or is entitled to receive a majority of the entity's expected residual returns or both. In addition, the Consolidation Topic of the FASB ASC 810 requires disclosures about variable interest entities that a company is not required to consolidate, but in which it has a significant variable interest.

Under the Consolidation Topic of the FASB ASC 810, for an entity to qualify as a VIE one or more of the following three characteristics must exist:

1. The equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support by any parties, including the equity holders.
2. The equity investors lack one or more of the following essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest:
 - a. The direct or indirect ability to make decisions about the entity's activities through voting or similar rights.
 - b. The obligation to absorb the expected loss of the entity.
 - c. The right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity.
3. The equity investors have voting rights that are not proportionate to their economic interests, and the activities of the entity involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor with a disproportionately small voting interest.

In August 2007, one of the Company's tenants, Ciena, entered into an assignment of lease agreement with an unrelated party, M&M Real Estate Control & Restructuring, LLC ("M&M Real Estate"), in connection with leases for approximately 445,000 rentable square feet located in San Jose, California. As a result of the Assignment, M&M Real Estate assumed all of Ciena's remaining obligations under these leases and received a payment from Ciena of \$53,000, of which \$7,000 was reserved for tenant improvements. At the same time, the Company entered into a consent for

assignment of lease with both parties and a mutual release agreement with Ciena, pursuant to which all of Ciena's obligations under these leases were effectively transferred to M&M Real Estate. M&M Real Estate is obligated to continue to perform all of the obligations under the assumed Ciena leases and has the right to sublease any or all of the 445,000 rentable square feet vacated by Ciena for the remainder of the current lease term, which will expire on December 31, 2011. Under the terms of the assignment of lease agreement, the Company received monthly rent payments of approximately \$789 from July 2007 through June 2008, received \$818 from July 2008 through June 2009, received \$849 from July 2009 through June 2010, received \$881 from July 2010 through June 2011 and is receiving \$915 from July 2011 through December 2011. Based upon the provisions of the Consolidation Topic of the FASB ASC 810, the Company determined that M&M Real Estate is a VIE. The Company further determined that it is the primary beneficiary of this VIE, and therefore has consolidated this entity for financial reporting purposes. Upon consolidation, the Company recognized a lease termination fee of \$46,000 in August 2007.

MISSION WEST PROPERTIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED
(dollars in thousands, except par value and per share amounts)
(unaudited)

Factors considered by the Company in determining whether M&M Real Estate should be considered a VIE for financial reporting purposes included the following:

- No equity was contributed by the partners in the formation of M&M Real Estate.
- At present, the assigned leases are the only properties under management by M&M Real Estate.
- M&M Real Estate does not have an operating history that demonstrates its ability to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support.
- All revenues, other than interest income, are generated by M&M Real Estate from the Company in the form of fees or commissions.

The Company remains at risk with respect to the assigned leases because if M&M Real Estate's operating expenses exceed its interest income, fees and commissions, there would be insufficient funds to meet the assigned lease obligation without additional financial support from equity holders or other parties. The Company, which had released the original tenants from its obligations under the leases, would have to absorb the majority of any loss, making it the primary beneficiary of M&M Real Estate's activities.

4. Restricted Cash

There was no remaining restricted cash as of June 30, 2011. In April 2011, approximately \$6,824, including interest, received from a property sale in October 2010 had been held in a separate cash account at a trust company. The Company was unable to find a "like kind" property to complete a 1031 exchange, otherwise known as a tax deferred exchange, within the required exchange period, so the balance was transferred to the Company's checking account in April 2011 for general corporate purposes.

5. Stock Transactions

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, three limited partners exchanged a total of 449,000 O.P. units for 449,000 shares of the Company's common stock under the terms of the Exchange Rights Agreement among the Company and all limited partners of the operating partnerships resulting in a reclassification of approximately \$3,266 from noncontrolling interests to stockholders' equity. Neither the Company nor the operating partnerships received any proceeds from the issuance of the common stock in exchange for O.P. units. Under the limited partnership agreements, each exchange is treated as the purchase of additional O.P. units of the general partner interest by the Company in exchange for stock, and the contribution of additional capital to the partnership by the Company equal in amount to the value of the stock issued in exchange for the limited partnership interests.

6. Net Income Per Share

Basic net income available to common stockholders per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Net income available to common stockholders is calculated by taking total net income and deducting the net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in each of the underlining operating partnerships. Diluted net income available to common stockholders per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the sum of the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period plus the assumed exercise of all dilutive securities using the treasury stock method.

The computation for weighted average shares is detailed below:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Weighted average shares outstanding (basic)	22,495,605	21,957,654	22,392,427	21,919,632
Incremental shares from assumed option exercise	260,401	165,873	190,931	170,681
Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted)	22,756,006	22,123,527	22,583,358	22,090,313

At June 30, 2011, outstanding options to purchase 1,462,500 shares of common stock were excluded from the computation of diluted net income available to common stockholders per share under the treasury stock method for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, because the option exercise price was greater than the weighted average closing price of the Company's common stock during the period. The outstanding O.P. units which are exchangeable at the unit holder's option, subject to certain restrictions on conversions, for shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis have been excluded from the diluted net income per share calculation. The total number of O.P. units outstanding at June 30, 2011 and 2010, was 82,701,265 and 83,326,965, respectively.

MISSION WEST PROPERTIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED
(dollars in thousands, except par value and per share amounts)
(unaudited)

7. Related Party Transactions

As of June 30, 2011, the Berg Group owned 75,769,684 O.P. units. The Berg Group's combined ownership of O.P. units and shares of common stock as of June 30, 2011, represented approximately 74% of the total equity interests, assuming conversion of all O.P. units outstanding into the Company's common stock.

As of June 30, 2011, debt in the amount of approximately \$7,436 was due the Berg Group under a mortgage note established May 15, 2000 in connection with the acquisition of a 50% interest in Hellyer Avenue Limited Partnership, the obligor under the mortgage note. The mortgage note bears interest at 7.65% and principal payments are amortized over 20 years. Interest expense incurred in connection with the mortgage note was approximately \$144 and \$155 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$291 and \$312 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

On September 17, 2009, the Superior Court of the County of Santa Clara of the State of California issued a final decision and entry of judgment in favor of Republic Properties Corporation in the case of Mission West Properties, L.P. v. Republic Properties Corporation. The court's decision held that Republic Properties Corporation is entitled to retain its partnership interest in the Hellyer Avenue Limited Partnership and all prior unpaid distributions must be paid to Republic Properties Corporation by Mission West Properties L.P., one of the Company's controlled operating partnerships. Because Republic Properties Corporation's interest in the Hellyer Avenue Limited Partnership was transferred to Berg & Berg Enterprises, Inc. after the interest was forfeited under the terms of the partnership agreement and past distributions from profits on account of that interest were paid to Berg & Berg Enterprises, Inc., the Company accrued approximately \$1,021 in interest receivable in 2009 due from Berg & Berg Enterprises, Inc. with respect to those payments and the balance is still outstanding at June 30, 2011. The interest income accrual was calculated at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 1.25%. See Note 8 to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements below for details.

During the first six months of 2011 and 2010, Carl E. Berg or entities controlled by him held financial interests in several companies that lease space from the operating partnerships, which include companies where Mr. Berg has a greater than 10% ownership interest. These related tenants contributed approximately \$409 and \$273 in rental income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$666 and \$546 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Under the Company's charter, bylaws and agreements with the Berg Group, the individual members of the Berg Group are prohibited from acquiring or holding shares of the Company's common stock if such acquisition would result in their beneficial ownership percentage of the Company's common stock causing the Company to violate any REIT qualification requirement. Currently their share ownership is below a level at which rent from related tenants would be excluded in determining compliance with REIT qualification tests.

The Berg Group has a commitment to pay approximately \$7,500 toward the construction of an approximately 75,000 to 90,000 square foot building in connection with the Company's 2001 acquisition of 245 Caspian in Sunnyvale which is comprised of approximately three acres of unimproved land. The Company has recorded this portion of the purchase price paid to the Berg Group in "Other assets" on its condensed consolidated balance sheets. The Berg Group plans to satisfy this commitment to construct a building when requested by the Company following the approval of the

Independent Directors Committee. Currently there is no tenant or prospective tenant demand for a building at this site that would justify the construction of a new building to which this commitment could be applied.

The Company currently leases office space owned by Berg & Berg Enterprises for the Company's headquarters. Rental amounts and overhead reimbursements paid to Berg & Berg Enterprises were \$30 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, and \$60 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

8. Commitments and Contingencies

From time to time, the Company is engaged in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company does not expect any of such proceedings to have a material adverse effect on its cash flows, financial condition or results of operations. The Company is currently involved in the following legal proceeding, which it believes will not have a material adverse effect on its operating results, cash flows or financial condition.

MISSION WEST PROPERTIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED
(dollars in thousands, except par value and per share amounts)
(unaudited)

Mission West Properties, L.P. v. Republic Properties Corporation, et al. Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. CV 796249. In February 2001, while a related case in Maryland was pending, the Company filed a suit against Republic Properties Corporation (“RPC”) in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Santa Clara, Case No. CV 796249. The case was stayed pending resolution of the Maryland case, and the Company dismissed its suit on March 4, 2005. In April 2005, RPC submitted a motion asking the superior court to reinstate the case, which the court granted on May 25, 2005. In April 2006, the Maryland case was dismissed by the highest court in Maryland for lack of personal jurisdiction. On July 5, 2006, RPC filed a cross-complaint in the case seeking partnership distributions. On September 17, 2009, the superior court issued a final decision and entry of judgment that concluded RPC is a partner in the Hellyer Avenue Limited Partnership (“Hellyer LP”) and is entitled to distribution of profits of the Hellyer LP in accordance with its percentage interest together with pre-judgment interest on each distribution from the date it was due and payable in the total amount of approximately \$6,625, plus pre-judgment interest of 10% through September 3, 2009 of \$2,692, for a total of approximately \$9,317. The Company filed an appeal following the court’s issuance of a final decision and entry of judgment. On October 5, 2009, the Company deposited with the clerk of the Santa Clara County Superior Court a check in the amount of approximately \$13,975, of which approximately \$4,658, or 50% of \$9,317, was a deposit to appeal the court’s final decision. The additional \$4,658 appeal deposit is refundable regardless of the outcome of the appeal process and such amount is included in other assets on the Company’s condensed consolidated balance sheets. Pending the outcome of the appeal, the Company has accrued in the aggregate approximately \$4,400 in interest on the amount of past distributions that would be payable to RPC by Hellyer LP based on the judgment determined at the legal rate of interest of 10%. In addition, the Company has accrued approximately \$1,021 in interest receivable due from Berg & Berg Enterprises, Inc. because past distributions with respect to RPC’s interest in Hellyer LP were paid to Berg & Berg Enterprises, Inc., which interest income accrual was calculated at an interest rate of LIBOR plus 1.25%.

Since the inception of Hellyer LP, the Company has accounted for the properties owned by the partnership on a consolidated basis, with reductions for the noncontrolling interests held by the noncontrolling partner (first RPC and then Berg & Berg Enterprises, Inc.). In each period, the Company has accrued amounts payable by Hellyer LP to the noncontrolling interest partner, including Berg & Berg Enterprises, Inc. (“BBE”) prior to payment. BBE’s share of earnings allocated to its 50% noncontrolling interest was approximately \$513 and \$483 in the first six months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. As of June 30, 2011, accumulated cash flow distributions from Hellyer LP totaling approximately \$7,879 were accrued and distributed to BBE. If the Company’s litigation with RPC is ultimately decided in RPC’s favor, the Company anticipates that BBE will be required to return all distributions paid to BBE and RPC’s former interest in Hellyer LP to RPC. In anticipation of this contingency, since October 2003, the Company has recorded such distributions as an account receivable from BBE, which is included in other assets on the Company’s condensed consolidated balance sheets, with an offsetting account payable to BBE.

The Independent Directors Committee of the Board of Directors has exercised the Company’s right to acquire on behalf of the Company the former RPC interest and related distributions from Berg & Berg Enterprises, Inc. under the terms of the Berg Land Holdings Option Agreement between the Company and the Berg Group if the litigation is ultimately decided in favor of the Company.

Guarantees and Indemnities

Under its articles of incorporation and bylaws, the Company has agreed to indemnify its officers and directors for certain events or occurrences arising as a result of the officer or director’s serving in such capacity. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements

is unlimited. The Company believes the estimated fair value of its obligations under these indemnification agreements is minimal and has recorded no liabilities for these agreements as of June 30, 2011.

The Company also enters into agreements with other companies in the ordinary course of business, typically lenders, joint venture partners, contractors, and tenants that contain indemnification provisions. Under these provisions the Company typically agrees to indemnify and hold harmless the indemnified party for losses suffered or incurred by the indemnified party as a result of certain kinds of activities or inactions of the Company. These indemnification provisions generally survive termination of the underlying agreement. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification provisions is unlimited. To date, the Company has not incurred material costs to defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these indemnification agreements. As a result, the Company believes the estimated fair value of these agreements is minimal. Accordingly, the Company has recorded no liabilities for these agreements as of June 30, 2011.

Seismic Activity

The Company's properties are located in an active seismic area of Silicon Valley. Insurance policies currently maintained by the Company do not cover seismic activity, although they do cover losses from fires after an earthquake.

MISSION WEST PROPERTIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, CONTINUED
(dollars in thousands, except par value and per share amounts)
(unaudited)

Environmental Issues

The environmental investigations that have been conducted on the Company's properties have not revealed any environmental liability that the Company believes would have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operations and assets, and the Company is not aware of any such liability. Nonetheless, it is possible that there are material environmental liabilities of which the Company is unaware. In addition, the Company cannot assure that future laws, ordinances, or regulations will not impose any material environmental liability, or that the current environmental condition of the properties has not been, or will not be, affected by tenants and occupants of the properties, by the condition of properties in the vicinity of the properties, or by third parties unrelated to the Company.

9. Real Estate Asset Held for Sale/Discontinued Operations

The real estate sales provisions of the Property, Plant, and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC 360 addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment and disposal of long lived assets. In general, income or loss attributable to the operations and sale of property and the operations related to property held for sale is classified as discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Prior period condensed consolidated statements of operations presented in this report have been reclassified to reflect the income or loss related to properties that were under contract to be sold and presented as discontinued operations in 2011. All periods presented in this report will likely require further reclassification in future periods if there are properties held for sale or if property sales occur.

As of June 30, 2011, there was one vacant property under contract to be sold or otherwise disposed of which would qualify as an asset held for sale and presented as discontinued operations. In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company sold one vacant R&D property which qualified as discontinued operations. Condensed results of operations for these properties for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, are as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)		(dollars in thousands)	
	(unaudited)		(unaudited)	
Operating revenues:				
Rental income	-	\$ 11	-	\$ 22
Tenant reimbursements	-	15	-	29
Total operating revenues	-	26	-	51
Operating expenses:				
Property operating, maintenance and real estate taxes	\$ 15	64	\$ 29	131
Depreciation and amortization	-	81	-	162
Total operating expenses	15	145	29	293
Loss from operations of property held for sale	\$ (15)	\$ (119)	\$ (29)	\$ (242)

10. Subsequent Events

On June 15, 2011, the Company declared dividends for the second quarter 2011. On July 7, 2011, the Company paid dividends of \$0.13 per share of common stock to all common stockholders of record as of June 30, 2011. On the same date, the operating partnerships paid a distribution of \$0.13 per O.P. unit to all O.P. unit holders. Aggregate dividends and distributions amounted to approximately \$13,687.

On July 1, 2011, the Company acquired an approximately 67,500 rentable square foot R&D building located at 5941 Optical Court in San Jose, California from the Berg Group. The total acquisition price for this property was approximately \$10,825. The Company acquired this property by paying \$2,000 in cash and issuing an unsecured short-term note payable in the amount of approximately \$8,825 with an interest rate of 3.50%, which is due October 31, 2011.

On July 18, 2011, the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Santa Clara denied the Company's appeal in the Mission West Properties, L.P. v. Republic Properties Corporation litigation. The Company plans to request that the Superior Court's decision be reviewed by the California Supreme Court, however, there can be no assurances from the Company that the California Supreme Court will hear the case. The Company will continue to accrue interest on the amount of past distributions that would be payable to RPC by Hellyer LP determined at the legal rate of interest of 10% pending the appeal.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto under Part I, Item 1 of this Report and our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010. The results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire fiscal year ending December 31, 2011.

Forward-Looking Information

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Reform Act of 1995, and are including this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe future plans, strategies and expectations of the Company, are generally identifiable by use of the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project" or similar expressions. Additionally, all disclosures under Part I, Item 3 constitute forward-looking statements. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain.

Factors that could have a material adverse effect on our operations and future prospects or would cause actual results in the future to differ materially from any of our forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

- the current turmoil in the credit markets could limit the demands for R&D space and affect the overall availability and cost of credit,
 - economic conditions generally and the real estate market specifically,
 - the occupancy rates of the properties,
 - rental rates on new and renewed leases,
 - legislative or regulatory provisions (including changes to laws governing the taxation of REITs),
 - availability of capital,
 - interest rates,
 - competition,
- supply of and demand for R&D, office and industrial properties in our current and proposed market areas,
 - tenant defaults and bankruptcies,
 - lease term expirations and renewals,
 - changes in general accounting principles, policies and guidelines applicable to REITs, and
 - ability to timely refinance maturing debt obligations and the terms of any such refinancing.

These risks and uncertainties, together with the other risks described under Part I, Item 1A - "Risk Factors" of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K and from time to time in our other reports and documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements.

Overview

We acquire, market, lease, and manage R&D/office properties, primarily located in the Silicon Valley portion of the San Francisco Bay Area. As of June 30, 2011, we owned and managed 111 properties totaling approximately 8.0 million rentable square feet through four limited partnerships, or operating partnerships, for which we are the sole general partner. This class of property is designed for research and development and office uses and, in some cases,

includes space for light manufacturing operations with loading docks. We believe that we have one of the largest portfolios of R&D/office properties in the Silicon Valley. As of June 30, 2011, two tenants individually leased in excess of 300,000 rentable square feet from us: Microsoft Corporation and Apple, Inc.

The Microsoft lease expires in August 2014 with two separate options to extend the lease for two successive additional periods of five years commencing on the expiration of the initial lease term upon the same terms and conditions of the initial lease term except that base rent for each option shall be determined at 95% of the fair market rent for the option term with annual increases in base rent.

We have five lease agreements with Apple for multiple properties, with three leases expiring at different times in 2012 and two leases expiring at different times in 2014. These leases have a range of one to three separate options to extend the lease for successive additional periods of three to five years commencing on the expiration of the initial lease term upon the same terms and conditions of the initial lease term except that base rent for each option shall be determined at 95% of the fair market rent for the option term with annual increases in base rent.

For federal income tax purposes, we have operated as a self-managed, self-administered and fully integrated real estate investment trust ("REIT") since the beginning of fiscal 1999.

Our acquisition, growth and operating strategy incorporates the following elements:

- capitalizing on opportunistic acquisitions from third parties of high-quality R&D/office properties that provide attractive initial yields and significant potential for growth in cash-flow;
 - focusing on general purpose, single-tenant Silicon Valley R&D/office properties for information technology companies in order to maintain low operating costs, reduce tenant turnover and capitalize on our relationships with these companies and our extensive knowledge of their real estate needs; and
- maintaining prudent financial management principles that emphasize current cash flow while building long-term value, the acquisition of pre-leased properties to reduce development and leasing risks and the maintenance of sufficient liquidity to acquire and finance properties on desirable terms.

Current Economic Environment

All of our properties are located in the Northern California area known as Silicon Valley, which generally consists of portions of Santa Clara County, Southwestern Alameda County, Southeastern San Mateo County and Eastern Santa Cruz County. Historically, the Silicon Valley R&D property market has fluctuated with the local economy. The Silicon Valley economy and business activity slowed markedly from 2001 through 2006 and grew slowly until the second half of 2008. Since September 2008, the impact of the worldwide recession has adversely affected the local economy. Data suggests that the Silicon Valley economy in particular has picked up this year. While this is generally beneficial, we do not expect a significant positive effect on our leasing activities. According to a recent report by Cassidy Turley Real Estate (the "CT Report") (formerly Cassidy Turley BT Real Estate), which we consider to be a useful publicly available source of relevant market data, the vacancy rate for Silicon Valley R&D property was approximately 18.7% in late 2010 and 16.6% at the end of the second quarter of 2011. Total vacant R&D square footage in Silicon Valley at the end of the second quarter of 2011 amounted to approximately 25.1 million square feet, of which 12.4%, or 3.1 million square feet, were being offered under subleases. According to the CT Report, total positive net absorption (which is the computation of gross square footage leased less gross new square footage vacated for the period presented) in 2010 amounted to approximately 0.4 million square feet, and in the first six months of 2011 there was total positive net absorption of approximately 2.4 million square feet. Also according to the CT Report, the average asking market rent per square foot at the end of the second quarter of 2011 was \$1.08 per month compared with \$1.01 per month in late 2010. The Silicon Valley R&D property market is characterized by a substantial number of submarkets, with rent and vacancy rates varying by submarket and location within each submarket. Individual properties within any particular submarket presently may be leased above or below the current average asking market rental rates within that submarket and the region as a whole. Notwithstanding the improving local economic conditions, due to the substantial overhang of vacant R&D properties throughout Silicon Valley, we believe that we are unlikely to see a sustainable recovery in the leasing market for our properties prior to 2012.

Our occupancy rate at June 30, 2011, was 66.5% compared with 64.1% at June 30, 2010. We believe that our occupancy rate could decline if key tenants seek the protection of bankruptcy laws, consolidate operations or discontinue operations. In addition, excluding 78,000 rentable square feet of month-to-month lease, leases with respect to approximately 284,000 rentable square feet are scheduled to expire during the remainder of 2011 and approximately 784,000 rentable square feet are scheduled to expire in 2012. The properties subject to these leases may take anywhere from 24 to 40 months or longer to re-lease. If we fail to re-lease a substantial portion of this square footage, our operating results and cash flows are likely to be affected adversely. We believe that the average 2011 renewal rental rates for our properties will be approximately equal to, or perhaps below, current market rents, but we cannot give any assurance that leases will be renewed or that available space will be re-leased at rental rates equal to or above the current quoted market rates. At this time, we estimate that 80% of the rentable square feet scheduled to expire in 2011 and 2012 will be renewed, however, we cannot give any assurances that we will renew the expiring leases at that rate.

In the second quarter 2011, we signed one new lease and two lease renewals for approximately 72,000 rentable square feet with a weighted average effective rent of \$0.64 per square foot. The weighted average tenant improvement costs

and leasing commissions relating to these leases were \$14.80 and \$0.26 per square foot, respectively. We also lost approximately 94,000 rentable square feet in the second quarter 2011 from an expired lease which was paying rent of \$1.08 per square foot. Within this sub-market, the current average asking market rent per square foot was \$1.03 per month.

Despite our strategic focus on single tenant properties and leases, in order to meet market conditions, we have been, and expect to continue leasing less than the entire premises of some of our R&D properties to a single tenant from time to time. Leasing our R&D properties, which generally have been built for single tenant occupancy, to multiple tenants can increase our leasing costs and operating expenses and reduce the profitability of our leasing activities.

If we are unable to lease a significant portion of any vacant space or space subject to expiring leases; if we experience significant tenant defaults as a result of the current economic downturn; if we are not able to lease space at or above current market rates; if we restructure existing leases and lower existing rents in order to retain tenants for an extended term; or if we increase our lease costs and operating expenses substantially to accommodate multiple tenants in our R&D properties, our results of operations and cash flows will be affected adversely. Furthermore, in this event it is probable that our board of directors will reduce the quarterly dividend on the outstanding common stock and the O.P. units. Our operating results and ability to pay dividends at current levels remain subject to a number of material risks, as indicated under the caption "Forward-Looking Information" above and in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare the condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”), which requires us to make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions that affect the reported amounts in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and related footnotes. Accounting and disclosure decisions with respect to material transactions that are subject to significant management judgments or estimates include impairment of long lived assets, deferred rent reserves, and allocation of purchase price relating to property acquisitions and the related depreciable lives assigned. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that require management to make estimates, judgments and assumptions, giving due consideration to materiality, in certain circumstances that affect amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements, and potentially result in materially different results under different conditions and assumptions. We based these estimates and assumptions on historical experience and evaluate them on an on-going basis to help ensure they remain reasonable under current conditions. Actual results could differ from those estimates. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, there were no significant changes to the critical accounting policies and estimates discussed in the Company’s 2010 annual report on Form 10-K.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 with the three and six months ended June 30, 2010

As of June 30, 2011, through our controlling interests in the operating partnerships, we owned 111 properties totaling approximately 8.0 million rentable square feet compared with 112 properties totaling approximately 8.1 million rentable square feet owned by us as of June 30, 2010. The decrease in total rentable square footage was due to the disposition of an R&D property consisting of approximately 78,000 rentable square feet since the second quarter of 2010.

Rental Income from Real Estate Operations

For the quarter ended June 30, 2011, rental income from real estate decreased by approximately (\$0.4) million, or (1.8%), from \$20.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, to \$20.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in rental income resulted primarily from tenants who have terminated their lease with us since the second quarter of 2010. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, rental income from real estate increased by approximately \$0.6 million, or 1.5%, from \$41.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$42.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase in rental income resulted primarily from a one-time rent adjustment of approximately \$1.6 million from a tenant dispute resolution. Our occupancy rate at June 30, 2011, was approximately 66.5%, compared with 64.1% at June 30, 2010. There were no property acquisitions in the first six months of 2011.

Other Income

Other income of approximately \$0.46 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, included approximately \$0.24 million from management fees and \$0.22 million from miscellaneous income. Other income of approximately \$1.20 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, included approximately \$0.25 million from management fees, \$0.90 million from litigation proceeds and \$0.05 million from miscellaneous income. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, other income of approximately \$1.56 million included approximately \$0.67 million from an incentive to lessee adjustment, \$0.50 million from management fees and \$0.39 million from miscellaneous income. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, other income of approximately \$1.86 million included approximately \$0.51 million from management fees, \$1.28 million from litigation proceeds and \$0.07 million from miscellaneous income.

Expenses from Operations and Tenant Reimbursements

Property operating, maintenance and real estate taxes during the second quarter of 2011 decreased by approximately (\$0.7) million, or (10.9%), from \$6.4 million to \$5.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively. Roof repairs and other repairs and maintenance expenses decreased in the latest quarter. Tenant reimbursements remained relatively the same at approximately \$4.0 million for each of the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. The level of tenant reimbursements is affected by vacancies because certain recurring expenses such as property insurance, real estate taxes, and other fixed operating expenses are not recoverable from vacant properties. For the six months ended June 30, 2011, property operating, maintenance and property taxes decreased by approximately (\$0.9) million, or (7.5%), from \$12.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, to \$11.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Tenant reimbursements increased by approximately \$0.2 million, or 2.8%, from \$8.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, to \$8.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, due to a recovery of underpaid rent from two tenants that vacated properties during the first six months of 2011.

General and administrative expenses decreased by approximately (\$0.04) million, or (7.3%), from \$0.56 million to \$0.52 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011, general and administrative expenses decreased by approximately (\$0.04) million, or (3.5%), from \$1.08 million to \$1.04 million, respectively. The decrease was primarily the result of lower stock-based compensation

expense in 2011.

Real estate depreciation and amortization expense decreased by approximately (\$0.1) million, or (2.2%), from \$6.0 million to \$5.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively. Real estate depreciation and amortization expense decreased by approximately (\$0.1) million, or (1.2%), from \$11.8 million to \$11.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively.

Equity in Earnings from Unconsolidated Joint Venture

As of June 30, 2011, we held an investment in one R&D building totaling approximately 155,500 rentable square feet through an unconsolidated joint venture, TBI-MWP, in which we acquired a 50% interest from the Berg Group in January 2003. We have a non-controlling limited partnership interest in this joint venture, which we account for using the equity method of accounting. For the three months ended June 30, 2011, we recorded equity in earnings from the unconsolidated joint venture of approximately \$0.03 million compared with equity in earnings of \$0.15 million for the same period in 2010. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, equity in earnings from the unconsolidated joint venture was approximately \$0.02 million and \$0.22 million, respectively. The occupancy rate for the property owned by this joint venture at June 30, 2011 and 2010, was 100%.

Interest Income

Interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, was \$0.06 million and \$0, respectively. Interest income increased by approximately \$0.08 million, or 152%, from \$0.05 million to \$0.13 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively. The increase in interest income for both periods resulted primarily from the accrual of interest for a promissory note the Company received relating to the sale of 1325-1375 McCandless Drive in late October 2010.

Realized and Unrealized Gain from Investment

Realized gain from investment in marketable securities was \$0 and approximately \$2.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, realized and unrealized gain from investment in marketable securities was \$0 and approximately \$4.1 million, respectively. The decrease in both periods was due to the sale of investments in marketable securities in the second quarter of 2010 that did not recur in 2011.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased by approximately \$0.5 million, or 9.8%, from \$4.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, to \$5.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, due to a new mortgage loan obtained in August 2010. Interest expense (related parties) decreased by approximately (\$0.2) million, or (56.0%), from \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, to \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, due to decreased related party borrowings in 2011 compared with 2010. Interest expense increased by approximately \$0.9 million, or 9.3%, from \$9.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, to \$10.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Interest expense (related parties) decreased by approximately (\$0.3) million, or (53.4%), from \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 to \$0.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Total debt outstanding, including debt due related parties, decreased by approximately (\$2.3) million, or (0.7%), from \$348.3 million as of June 30, 2010, to \$346.0 million as of June 30, 2011, because of recurring scheduled debt payments.

Loss from Discontinued Operations

In accordance with the provisions of the Property, Plant, and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC 360, in the fourth quarter of 2010 we classified one vacant R&D property as an asset held for sale and as discontinued operations because it will be sold within one year. Also in the fourth quarter of 2010, we sold one vacant R&D property and classified it as discontinued operations. The loss attributable to discontinued operations from these properties for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, was approximately (\$15) thousand and (\$119) thousand, respectively. The loss attributable to discontinued operations from these properties for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, was approximately (\$29) thousand and (\$242) thousand, respectively.

Net Income Available to Common Stockholders and Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests

Net income available to common stockholders decreased by approximately (\$0.6) million, or (23.6%), from \$2.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, to \$1.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased by approximately (\$2.1) million, or (27.6%), from \$7.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, to \$5.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, net income available to common stockholders was approximately \$4.3 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, and net income attributable to noncontrolling interests was approximately \$13.0 million and \$15.3 million, respectively.

The amount of net income attributable to noncontrolling interests has been calculated by multiplying the net income of the operating partnerships (on a stand-alone basis) by the respective noncontrolling interests ownership percentage. Noncontrolling interests represent the ownership interest of all limited partners in the operating partnerships taken as a whole, which was approximately 79% as of June 30, 2011 and 2010. The decreases in net income attributable to

noncontrolling interests in the first three and six months of 2011 occurred solely as a result of the decreases in our net income for the periods.

Changes in Financial Condition

There were no significant changes in our financial condition during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Total stockholders' equity attributable to Mission West Properties, Inc., net, increased by approximately \$1.7 million from December 31, 2010. We obtained additional capital from the issuance of 449,000 shares of our common stock for the exchange of O.P. units, which increased additional paid-in capital by approximately \$3.3 million. Distributions in excess of accumulated earnings increased during the most recent quarter by approximately (\$1.6) million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In 2011, we anticipate operating cash flows from our operating property portfolio to be in line with 2010. We are still experiencing weak demand for our R&D properties in certain areas of the Silicon Valley. If we are unable to lease a significant portion of the approximately 284,000 rentable square feet scheduled to expire during the remainder of 2011, 784,000 rentable square feet scheduled to expire in 2012 or an equivalent amount of our currently available space of approximately 2.7 million rentable square feet, our operating cash flows after year 2011 may be affected adversely. We are also subject to risks of decreased occupancy through tenant defaults and bankruptcies and potential reduction in rental rates upon renewal of properties that could result in reduced cash flow from operations instead. Cash proceeds from lease terminations and sale of investment in marketable securities are non-recurring. To maintain or increase cash flows in the future we must lease our vacant properties and renew upcoming expiring leases.

Our principal sources of liquidity for distributions to stockholders and O.P. unit holders (noncontrolling interests), debt service, leasing costs, capital expenditures and tenant improvements are to come from net cash flow provided by operations, borrowings from our credit facility, borrowings from related parties, and other sources of financing, as required. We expect these sources of liquidity to be adequate to meet projected distributions to stockholders and other presently anticipated liquidity requirements for the remainder of 2011 and all of 2012. However, there can be no assurance that these sources of liquidity will continue to be available. The unavailability of capital could adversely affect our ability to pay cash dividends to our shareholders. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements for the funding of property development, property acquisitions and other material non-recurring capital improvements through cash, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness, and the issuance of additional equity securities.

As of June 30, 2011, we had no cash on hand and \$17.5 million of credit available under the Heritage Bank of Commerce ("HBC") credit facility.

Distributions

On July 7, 2011, we paid dividends of \$0.13 per share of common stock to all common stockholders of record as of June 30, 2011. On the same date, the operating partnerships paid a distribution of \$0.13 per O.P. unit to all holders of O.P. units. The aggregate dividends and distributions amounted to approximately \$13.7 million.

Distributions are declared at the discretion of our Board of Directors and are subject to actual cash available for distribution, our financial condition, capital requirements and such other factors, as our Board of Directors deems relevant.

Debt

On July 1, 2011, we acquired an approximately 67,500 rentable square foot R&D building located at 5941 Optical Court in San Jose, California from the Berg Group. The total acquisition price for this property was approximately \$10.8 million. We acquired this property by paying \$2 million in cash and issuing an unsecured short-term note payable in the amount of approximately \$8.8 million with an interest rate of 3.50%, which is due October 31, 2011.

At June 30, 2011, we had total indebtedness of approximately \$346.0 million, including \$338.6 million of fixed rate mortgage debt and \$7.4 million debt under the Berg Group mortgage note (related parties), as detailed in the table below. The Northwestern, Allianz, Hartford and HBC loans contain certain financial loan and reporting covenants as defined in the loan agreements. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these loan covenants.

Contractual Obligations

The following table identifies the contractual obligations with respect to the maturities and scheduled principal repayments of our secured debt, unsecured notes, credit facility and scheduled interest payments of our fixed-rate and

variable-rate debt at June 30, 2011, and provides information about our operating lease obligations that will impact our liquidity and cash flow in future periods.

	Six Months Remaining 2011	2012	Year Ending December 31, 2013 2014 2015			Thereafter	Total
	(dollars in thousands)						
Principal payments (1)	\$ 7,697	\$ 16,080	\$ 82,485	\$ 12,183	\$ 12,893	\$ 214,664	\$ 346,002
Interest payments-fixed rate debt (2)	9,910	19,133	15,056	13,527	12,817	81,659	152,102
Operating lease obligations (3)	60	30	-	-	-	-	90
Total	\$ 17,667	\$ 35,243	\$ 97,541	\$ 25,710	\$ 25,710	\$ 296,323	\$ 498,194

- (1) As of June 30, 2011, 100% of our debt was contractually fixed and 0% of our debt bore interest at variable rates. Our debt obligations are set forth in detail in the table below.
- (2) The information in the table above reflects our projected interest rate obligations for the fixed-rate payments based on the contractual interest rates, interest payment dates and scheduled maturity dates.
- (3) Our operating lease obligations relate to a lease of our corporate office facility from a related party.

Edgar Filing: MISSION WEST PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-Q

The following table and notes set forth information regarding debt outstanding as of June 30, 2011:

Debt Description	Collateral Properties	Balance (dollars in thousands)	Maturity Date	Interest Rate
Line of Credit:				
Heritage Bank of Commerce	1600 Memorex Drive, Santa Clara, CA 1688 Richard Drive, Santa Clara, CA 1700 Richard Drive, Santa Clara, CA	-	Sept 2011	(1)
Mortgage Note Payable (related parties) (2) :	5300 & 5350 Hellyer Avenue, San Jose, CA	\$ 7,436	Jun 2013	7.65 %
Mortgage Notes Payable (2):				
Hartford Life Insurance Company Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company (collectively known as the "Hartford Loan I") (3)	5981 Optical Court, San Jose, CA 5500 Hellyer Avenue, San Jose, CA 5550 Hellyer Avenue, San Jose, CA 4050 Starboard Drive, Fremont, CA 45738 Northport Loop, Fremont, CA 233 South Hillview Drive, Milpitas, CA 10300 Bubb Road, Cupertino, CA 1230 E. Arques, Sunnyvale, CA 1250-1280 E. Arques, Sunnyvale, CA 1212 Bordeaux Lane, Sunnyvale, CA 2904 Orchard Parkway, San Jose, CA 3236 Scott Blvd, Santa Clara, CA 6311 San Ignacio Avenue, San Jose, CA 6321-6325 San Ignacio Avenue, San Jose, CA 6331 San Ignacio Avenue, San Jose, CA 6341-6351 San Ignacio Avenue, San Jose, CA	106,575	Oct 2018	6.21 %

Edgar Filing: MISSION WEST PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-Q

	3540-3580 Bassett Street, Santa Clara, CA				
	5830-5870 Hellyer Avenue, San Jose, CA				
	5750 Hellyer Avenue, San Jose, CA				
	255 Caspian Drive, Sunnyvale, CA				
Hartford Life Insurance Company	5970 Optical Court, San Jose, CA				
Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company	3301 Olcott Street, Santa Clara, CA	39,210	Sept 2030	6.05	%
(collectively known as the "Hartford Loan II") (4)					
	1750 Automation Parkway, San Jose, CA				
	1756 Automation Parkway, San Jose, CA				
	1762 Automation Parkway, San Jose, CA				
	6320 San Ignacio Avenue, San Jose, CA				
	6540-6541 Via Del Oro, San Jose, CA				
	6385-6387 San Ignacio Avenue, San Jose, CA				
	20605-20705 Valley Green Drive, Cupertino, CA				
	2001 Walsh Avenue, Santa Clara, CA				
	2220 Central Expressway, Santa Clara, CA				
	2300 Central Expressway, Santa Clara, CA				
Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company (5)	2330 Central Expressway, Santa Clara, CA	71,221	Feb 2013	5.64	%
Allianz Life Insurance Company (Allianz Loan I) (6)	5900 Optical Court, San Jose, CA	20,758	Aug 2025	5.56	%
Allianz Life Insurance Company (Allianz Loan II) (6)	5325-5345 Hellyer Avenue, San Jose, CA	100,802	Aug 2025	5.22	%
	1768 Automation Parkway, San Jose, CA				
	2880 Scott Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA				
	2890 Scott Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA				
	2800 Scott Boulevard, Santa Clara, CA				

10450-10460 Bubb Road,
 Cupertino, CA
 6800-6810 Santa Teresa Blvd.,
 San Jose, CA
 6850 Santa Teresa Blvd., San
 Jose, CA
 4750 Patrick Henry Drive, Santa
 Clara, CA

338,566

TOTAL \$ 346,002

- (1) The interest rate on the revolving line of credit is the greater of LIBOR plus 1.75% or 4.00% per annum. The interest rate for the HBC line of credit at June 30, 2011, was 4.00%. Costs and fees incurred with obtaining this loan aggregated approximately \$49, which were deferred and amortized over the loan period. The HBC line of credit contains certain financial loan and reporting covenants as defined in the loan agreement, including minimum tangible net worth and debt service coverage ratio. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these loan covenants.
- (2) Mortgage notes payable and mortgage note payable (related parties) generally require monthly installments of principal and interest ranging from approximately \$96 to \$840 over various terms extending through the year 2030. The weighted average interest rate of the mortgage notes payable and mortgage note payable (related parties) was 5.78% at June 30, 2011.
- (3) The Hartford loan I is payable in monthly installments of approximately \$838, which includes principal (based upon a 20-year amortization) and interest. Costs and fees incurred with obtaining this loan aggregated approximately \$1,058, which were deferred and amortized over the loan period. The Hartford loan I contains certain customary covenants as defined in the loan agreement. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these loan covenants.
- (4) The Hartford loan II is payable in monthly installments of approximately \$288, which includes principal (based upon a 20-year amortization) and interest. Costs and fees incurred with obtaining this loan aggregated approximately \$457, which were deferred and amortized over the loan period. The Hartford loan II contains certain customary covenants as defined in the loan agreement. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these loan covenants.
- (5) The Northwestern loan is payable in monthly installments of approximately \$696, which includes principal (based upon a 20-year amortization) and interest. Costs and fees incurred with obtaining this loan aggregated approximately \$675, which were deferred and amortized over the loan period. The Northwestern loan contains certain customary covenants as defined in the loan agreement. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these loan covenants.

- (6) The Allianz loans are payable in monthly aggregate installments of approximately \$1,017, which includes principal (based upon a 20-year amortization) and interest. Costs and fees incurred with obtaining these loans aggregated approximately \$1,089, which were deferred and amortized over the loan periods. The Allianz loans contain certain customary covenants as defined in the loan agreements. As of June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with these loan covenants.

At June 30, 2011, our debt to total market capitalization ratio, which is computed as our total debt outstanding divided by the sum of total debt outstanding plus the market value of common stock (based upon the closing price of \$8.78 per share on June 30, 2011) on a fully diluted basis, including the conversion of all O.P. units into common stock, was approximately 27.2%. On June 30, 2011, the last trading day in the quarter, total market capitalization, including total debt outstanding, was approximately \$1.27 billion.

At June 30, 2011, the outstanding balance remaining under certain notes that we owed to the operating partnerships was approximately \$2.5 million. The due date of these notes has been extended to September 30, 2012. The principal amount of these notes, along with the interest expense, which is interest income to the operating partnerships, is eliminated in consolidation and is not included in the corresponding line items within the condensed consolidated financial statements. However, the interest income earned by the operating partnerships, which is interest expense to us, in connection with this debt, is included in the calculation of noncontrolling interests as reported on the condensed consolidated statement of operations, thereby reducing our net income by this same amount. At present, our only means for repayment of this debt is through distributions that we receive from the operating partnerships that are in excess of the amount of dividends to be paid to our stockholders or by raising additional equity capital.

Historical Cash Flows

Comparison of the six months ended June 30, 2011 with the six months ended June 30, 2010

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011, was approximately \$39.0 million compared with \$52.9 million for the same period in 2010. Cash flow decreased primarily because we received proceeds from the sale of investments in marketable securities in 2010 that did not recur in 2011.

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities was approximately \$5.3 million and (\$3.8) million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Cash provided by investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2011, related to the transfer of approximately \$6.8 million from restricted cash held in escrow into our operating checking account, offset with approximately (\$1.5) million in real estate improvements. Cash (used in) investing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2010, related principally to the acquisition of an R&D property at 1040-1050 La Avenida Street in Mountain View, California for approximately (\$3.8) million.

Net cash used in financing activities was approximately \$48.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, compared with approximately \$44.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. During the first six months of 2011, we paid approximately \$18.3 million towards outstanding debt, paid approximately \$23.8 million of distributions to noncontrolling interests and paid approximately \$6.2 million of dividends to common stockholders. During the same period in 2010, we financed approximately \$41.4 million in short-term debt from the Berg Group, paid approximately \$54.4 million towards outstanding debt, received approximately \$0.1 million from stock option exercises, paid approximately \$25.2 million of distributions to noncontrolling interests and paid approximately \$6.6 million of dividends to common stockholders.

Funds From Operations (“FFO”)

FFO is a non-GAAP financial measurement used by real estate investment trusts to measure and compare operating performance. As defined by NAREIT, FFO represents net income (loss), computed in accordance with GAAP, plus non-recurring events other than “extraordinary items” under GAAP, excluding gains and losses from sales of depreciable operating properties, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization, excluding amortization of deferred financing costs and depreciation of non-real estate assets, and after adjustments for joint ventures and unconsolidated partnerships. FFO does include impairment losses for properties held for sale and held for use. Management considers FFO to be an appropriate supplemental measure of our operating and financial performance because when compared year over year, it reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, general and administrative expenses and interest costs, providing a perspective not immediately apparent from net income. In addition, management believes that FFO provides useful information about our financial performance when compared with other REITs because FFO is generally recognized as the industry standard for reporting the operations of REITs. In addition to the disclosure of operating earnings per share, we will continue to use FFO as a measure of our performance. FFO should neither be considered as an alternative for net income as a measure of profitability nor is it comparable to cash flows provided by operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP, nor is FFO necessarily indicative of funds available to meet our cash needs, including the need to make cash distributions to satisfy REIT requirements. For example, FFO is not adjusted for payments of debt principal required under our debt service obligations.

Our definition of FFO also assumes conversion at the beginning of the period of all convertible securities, including noncontrolling interests represented by O.P. units that might be exchanged for common stock. FFO does not represent the amount available for management’s discretionary use; as such funds may be needed for capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations or other commitments and uncertainties. Furthermore, FFO is not comparable to similarly entitled items reported by other REITs that do not define FFO exactly as we do.

FFO for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, as reconciled to net income, are summarized in the following table:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	(dollars in thousands)		(dollars in thousands)	
Net income	\$ 7,370	\$ 10,041	\$ 17,305	\$ 20,185
Add:				
Depreciation and amortization (1)	6,444	6,616	12,855	13,082
Less:				
Noncontrolling interests in joint ventures	(106)	(98)	(210)	(201)
FFO	\$ 13,708	\$ 16,559	\$ 29,950	\$ 33,066

(1) Includes our portion of depreciation and amortization of real estate and leasing commissions from our unconsolidated joint venture totaling approximately \$60 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 and \$119 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010. Also includes our amortization of leasing commissions of approximately \$541 and \$500 for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and \$1,066 and \$985 for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Amortization of leasing commissions is included in the property operating and maintenance line item in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Dividend and Distribution Policy

Our board of directors determines the amount and timing of dividends and distributions to our stockholders and O.P. unit holders. The board of directors will consider many factors prior to making any dividends and distributions, including the following:

- the amount of cash available for dividends and distributions;
 - our ability to refinance maturing debt obligations;
 - our financial condition;
- whether to reinvest funds rather than to distribute such funds;
 - our committed and projected capital expenditures;
- the amount of cash required for new property acquisitions, including acquisitions under existing agreements with the Berg Group;
 - the amount of our annual debt service requirements;
- prospects of tenant renewals and re-leases of properties subject to expiring leases;
 - cash required for re-leasing activities;
- the annual dividend and distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the federal income tax laws; and
 - such other factors as the board of directors deems relevant.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to meet or maintain our cash dividend and distribution objectives.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We do not generally hold market risk sensitive instruments for trading purposes. We use fixed and variable rate debt to finance our operations. Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our current variable rate debt and future debt obligations. We are vulnerable to significant fluctuations of interest rates on our floating rate debt and pricing on our future debt. We manage our market risk by monitoring interest rates where we try to recognize the unpredictability of the financial markets and seek to reduce potentially adverse effect on the results of our operations. This takes frequent evaluation of available lending rates and examination of opportunities to reduce interest expense through new sources of debt financing. Several factors affecting the interest rate risk include governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economics and other factors that are beyond our control. The following table provides information about the principal cash flows, weighted average interest rates, and expected maturity dates for debt outstanding as of June 30, 2011. The current terms of this debt are described in Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources." For fixed rate debt, we estimate fair value by using discounted cash flow analyses based on borrowing rates for similar kinds of borrowing arrangements.

For fixed rate debt, the table presents the assumption that the outstanding principal balance at June 30, 2011, will be paid according to scheduled principal payments and that we will not prepay any of the outstanding principal balance.

	Six Months Remaining		Year Ending December 31,						Fair Value
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Thereafter	Total		
	(dollars in thousands)								
Fixed Rate Debt:									
Secured notes payable	\$7,697	\$16,080	\$82,485	\$12,183	\$12,893	\$214,664	\$346,002	\$345,876	
Weighted average interest rate	5.78 %	5.78 %	5.78 %	5.78 %	5.78 %	5.78 %			

The primary market risks we face are interest rate fluctuations. As a result, we pay lower rates of interest in periods of decreasing interest rates and higher rates of interest in periods of increasing interest rates. We had no interest rate caps or interest rate swap contracts at June 30, 2011. As of June 30, 2011, we had no variable debt. All of our debt is denominated in United States dollars.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We strive to maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives and management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b) we conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, President and Vice President of Finance, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer, President and Vice President of Finance concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or Rule 15d-15(e)) were effective as of June 30, 2011.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no material change in our internal control over financial reporting during the last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - Other Information

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

The discussion of legal proceedings is incorporated herein by reference from Part I “Item 1. – Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 8 – Commitments and Contingencies.”

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

While we attempt to identify, manage and mitigate risks and uncertainties associated with our business to the extent practical under the circumstances, some level of risk and uncertainty will always be present. In addition to the other information contained in this report, you should carefully review the factors discussed under Item 1A of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which describes some of the risks and uncertainties associated with our business. These risks and uncertainties have the potential to materially affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and future prospects. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial also may impair our business operations.

ITEM 6. Exhibits

31.1	Section 1350 Certificate of CEO
31.2	Section 1350 Certificate of President & COO
31.3	Section 1350 Certificate of Principal Financial Officer
32	Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101	XBRL (eXtensive Business Reporting Language). The following financial materials from Mission West Properties, Inc.’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL: (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (iv) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. *

* As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereto duly authorized.

Mission West Properties, Inc.
(Registrant)

Date: August 9, 2011

By:/s/ Carl E. Berg
Carl E. Berg
Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 9, 2011

By:/s/ Wayne N. Pham
Wayne N. Pham
Vice President of Finance
(Principal Accounting Officer and Duly Authorized Officer)

