

MOVIE STAR INC /NY/  
Form DEFM14A  
November 30, 2007

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(A)  
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Definitive

Proxy Statement

Definitive

Additional Materials

Soliciting

Material Under Rule 14a-12

Confidential,

For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

MOVIE STAR, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee

required.

Edgar Filing: MOVIE STAR INC /NY/ - Form DEFM14A

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

class of securities to which transaction applies:

Common Stock of Movie Star, Inc.

(1) Title of each

(2)

Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: 38,619,737 shares

other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(3) Per unit price or

Average of the high and low prices reported on the American Stock Exchange on June 6, 2007 (\$2.16)

(4)

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction: \$83,418,631

(5) Total fee paid:

\$2,560.95

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

Check box if

any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount

previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule

or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Movie Star, Inc.  
1115 Broadway  
New York, New York 10010

Dear shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend a special meeting in lieu of the annual meeting of shareholders of Movie Star, Inc., a New York corporation, to be held on January 23, 2008, at 10:30 a.m., Eastern Time. The special meeting will be held at Club 101 on the Main Floor, 101 Park Avenue, New York, New York.

On December 18, 2006, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization (we refer to this agreement, as amended, as the merger agreement) with Fred Merger Corp., a Delaware corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of Movie Star, and FOH Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, the parent and sole stockholder of Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc. FOH Holdings is owned by Tokarz Investments, LLC and certain funds and accounts affiliated with, managed by, or over which Fursa Alternative Strategies LLC or any of its affiliates exercises investment authority. Michael T. Tokarz is the sole controlling person of Tokarz Investments and is also the sole controlling person of TTG Apparel, LLC, which currently owns 3,532,644 shares of our common stock.

As described in this proxy statement, upon completion of the merger, each stockholder of FOH Holdings will have the right to receive shares of our common stock equal to the product of (i) the number of shares of FOH Holdings common stock held by such stockholder of FOH Holdings immediately prior to the effective time of the merger multiplied by (ii) an exchange ratio of 17.811414. As a result of the merger, we anticipate issuing approximately 23.7 million shares of our common stock to the stockholders of FOH Holdings, 20% of which will initially be placed in escrow pursuant to the merger agreement and an escrow agreement.

The board of directors of Movie Star unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby on the unanimous recommendation of a special committee comprised entirely of independent directors.

As described in this proxy statement, at the special meeting, you will be asked to consider and vote upon the following proposals:

- stock issuance proposal – a proposal to approve the issuance of 39,370,436 shares of our common stock in connection with the merger, the rights offering and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;
- increase of authorized common stock proposal – a proposal to amend our certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of our common stock from 30,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares;
- name change proposal – a proposal to amend our certificate of incorporation to change the name of Movie Star, Inc. to Frederick's of Hollywood Group Inc.;
- preferred stock proposal – a proposal to amend our certificate of incorporation to authorize the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share, and to establish the terms, rights, preference and privileges of the Series A Preferred Stock, a new series of preferred stock to be issued in connection with the transactions contemplated hereby;
- reverse stock split proposal – a proposal to authorize our board of directors, in its discretion, to amend our certificate of

incorporation to effect a reverse stock split of our outstanding common stock immediately prior to the closing of the merger within a range to be determined by our board of directors from 9 for 10 to 1 for 2, in order to satisfy the minimum price requirement of \$2.00 per share for continued listing on the American Stock Exchange;

• amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal – a proposal to adopt an Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan to increase the number of shares of our common stock available for issuance under the plan from 750,000 shares to 4,000,000 shares and to add a 500,000 share limit on grants to any individual in any one calendar year;

• director election proposal – a proposal to elect eleven directors to our board of directors to serve from the effective time of the merger until the annual meeting of shareholders to be held in 2008 and their successors are elected and qualified; and

• adjournment proposal – a proposal to authorize the adjournment of the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation and vote of proxies if there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt any of the foregoing proposals.

---

Table of Contents

If holders of record of (a) a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock as of November 27, 2007 and (b) a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock that are held by shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates who are entitled to vote in person or by proxy at the special meeting vote to approve the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal and the preferred stock proposal and the other conditions in the merger agreement are satisfied or waived, Fred Merger Corp. will be merged with and into FOH Holdings and FOH Holdings will become our wholly-owned subsidiary.

Movie Star common stock is listed on the American Stock Exchange under the trading symbol "MSI." On November 26, 2007, the closing sale price of Movie Star common stock was \$1.93.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares you own. Whether or not you plan to attend the special meeting, please promptly complete, sign and return the enclosed proxy card in the envelope provided. Your shares then will be represented at the special meeting. Note that a failure to vote your shares has the same effect as a vote against the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal and the reverse stock split proposal. If a written proxy card is signed by a shareholder of Movie Star and returned without instructions, the shares represented by the proxy will be voted FOR the adoption of each of the proposals above. If you attend the special meeting, you may, by following the procedures discussed in this proxy statement, withdraw your proxy and vote in person.

The notice of special meeting, proxy statement and proxy card explain the proposed merger and related transactions and provide specific information concerning the special meeting. Please read these materials carefully. In particular, please see the section entitled "Risk Factors" beginning on page 17 of this proxy statement.

Our board of directors has determined that the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal, the reverse stock split proposal, the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal, the director election proposal and the adjournment proposal are in the best interests of our shareholders. Our board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote or give instruction to vote "FOR" the approval of these proposals.

On behalf of the board of directors, I would like to express our appreciation for your continued interest in Movie Star. We look forward to seeing you at the special meeting.

Sincerely,

Melvyn Knigin  
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has passed upon the fairness or merits of the proposed transaction nor upon the accuracy or adequacy of the information contained in this proxy statement. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

This proxy statement is dated November 30, 2007 and is first being mailed to Movie Star, Inc. shareholders on or about November 30, 2007.

Table of Contents

MOVIE STAR, INC.  
1115 Broadway  
New York, New York 10010

NOTICE OF A SPECIAL MEETING IN LIEU OF THE ANNUAL MEETING  
OF SHAREHOLDERS  
TO BE HELD ON JANUARY 23, 2008

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF MOVIE STAR, INC.:

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting in lieu of the annual meeting of shareholders of Movie Star, Inc., a New York corporation, will be held at 10:30 a.m. Eastern Time, on January 23, 2008, at Club 101 on the Main Floor, 101 Park Avenue, New York, New York. You are cordially invited to attend the meeting, which will be held for the following purposes:

(1) to consider and vote upon a proposal to approve the issuance of 39,370,436 shares of our common stock, in connection with the merger, the rights offering and other transactions contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization (we refer to this agreement, as amended, as the merger agreement), dated as of December 18, 2006, by and among Movie Star, Fred Merger Corp., a Delaware corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of Movie Star, and FOH Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, the parent and sole stockholder of Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc., a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement as Annex A. We refer to this proposal as the stock issuance proposal;

(2) to consider and vote upon a proposal to amend our certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of Movie Star common stock from 30,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares. We refer to this proposal as the increase of authorized common stock proposal;

(3) to consider and vote upon a proposal to amend our certificate of incorporation to change the name of Movie Star, Inc. to Frederick's of Hollywood Group Inc. We refer to this proposal as the name change proposal;

(4) to consider and vote upon a proposal to amend our certificate of incorporation to authorize the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share, and to establish the terms, rights, preference and privileges of the Series A Preferred Stock, a new series of preferred stock to be issued in connection with the transactions contemplated hereby. We refer to this proposal as the preferred stock proposal;

(5) to consider and vote upon a proposal to authorize our board of directors, in its discretion, to amend our certificate of incorporation to effect a reverse stock split of our outstanding common stock immediately prior to the closing of the merger within a range to be determined by our board of directors from 9 for 10 to 1 for 2, in order to satisfy the minimum price requirement of \$2.00 per share for continued listing on the American Stock Exchange. We refer to this proposal as the reverse stock split proposal;

(6) to consider and vote upon a proposal to adopt an Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan to increase the number of shares of our common stock available for issuance under the plan from 750,000 shares to 4,000,000 shares and to add a 500,000 share limit on grants to any individual in any one calendar year. We refer to this proposal as the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal;

(7) to consider and vote upon the election of eleven directors to our board of directors to serve from the effective time of the merger until the annual meeting to be held in 2008 and their successors are elected and qualified. We refer to this proposal as the director election proposal; and

(8) to consider and vote upon a proposal to authorize the adjournment of the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation and vote of proxies in the event there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt any of the foregoing proposals. We refer to this proposal as the adjournment proposal.

These items of business are described in more detail in this proxy statement, which we encourage you to read in its entirety before voting. Only holders of record of Movie Star common stock at the close of business on November 27, 2007 are entitled to notice of the special meeting and to vote and have their votes counted at the special meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the special meeting. An adjournment or postponement of the special meeting may occur to solicit additional votes. Movie Star will not transact any other business at the special meeting or any adjournment or postponement of it.

---

## Table of Contents

The stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal and the preferred stock proposal must be approved by both (a) the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock outstanding on the record date and (b) the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock that are held by shareholders other than TTG Apparel, LLC and its affiliates and associates on the record date. TTG Apparel currently owns 3,532,644 shares of our common stock and the sole controlling person of TTG Apparel is Michael T. Tokarz, its manager. Mr. Tokarz is also the sole controlling person of Tokarz Investments, LLC which holds approximately 50% of FOH Holdings common stock. The reverse stock split proposal must be approved by the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock outstanding on the record date. The amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal and the adjournment proposal must be approved by the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting. Those directors who receive a plurality of votes cast for the respective positions will be elected. If the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal and the preferred stock proposal, all of which are conditions to the merger, are approved and the other conditions to the merger are satisfied or waived, Fred Merger Corp. will be merged with and into FOH Holdings, and FOH Holdings will become our wholly-owned subsidiary. If the proposals that are conditions to the merger are not approved, the other proposals, including the election of directors, will not be presented to the shareholders for a vote.

Our board of directors unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby on the unanimous recommendation of a special committee comprised entirely of independent directors. Our special committee engaged Chanin Capital, LLC to serve as its financial advisor. On December 18, 2006, Chanin delivered an opinion to our special committee, a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement as Annex C, on which our board of directors was entitled to rely, stating that, as of such date, the consideration to be paid by Movie Star to the holders of FOH Holdings common stock is fair to the holders of Movie Star common stock from a financial point of view. Our board of directors has also determined that the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal, the reverse stock split proposal, the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal, the adjournment proposal and the election of each of our nominees for director are in the best interests of our shareholders. Our board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote or give instruction to vote “FOR” the approval of these proposals and in favor of each of our nominees for directors. FOH Holdings’ board of directors and stockholders already have approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby.

All Movie Star shareholders are cordially invited to attend the special meeting in person. However, to ensure your representation at the special meeting, you are urged to complete, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card as soon as possible. If you are a shareholder of record of Movie Star common stock, you also may cast your vote in person at the special meeting. If your shares are held in an account at a brokerage firm or bank, you must instruct your broker or bank on how to vote your shares. If you do not vote or do not instruct your broker or bank how to vote, it will have the same effect as voting against the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal and the reverse stock split proposal.

Your vote is important regardless of the number of shares you own. Whether you plan to attend the special meeting or not, please sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card as soon as possible in the envelope provided.

Thank you for your participation. We look forward to your continued support.

By Order of the Board of Directors  
Saul Pomerantz, Secretary



November 30, 2007

Before voting, you should carefully review all of the information contained in the proxy statement. IN PARTICULAR, YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY CONSIDER THE MATTERS DISCUSSED UNDER ‘RISK FACTORS’ BEGINNING ON PAGE 17.

---

Table of Contents

	Page
SUMMARY TERM SHEET	1
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE SPECIAL MEETING AND THE PROPOSALS	9
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	15
PARTIES TO THE TRANSACTIONS	16
RISK FACTORS	17
Risks Relating to the Merger	17
Risks Relating to the Rights Offering	20
Risks Related to the Businesses of Movie Star and Frederick's of Hollywood	21
Risks Related to the Reverse Stock Split	25
SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OTHER DATA AND SUMMARY	
SELECTED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION	26
FOH Holdings' Selected Historical Consolidated Financial and Other Data	27
Fiscal 2003 Presentation	27
Fiscal 2005 Presentation	27
Financial Operations Overview	27
FOH Holdings' Selected Historical Consolidated Financial and Other Data	29
Movie Star Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data	31
Summary Selected Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information	32
SPECIAL MEETING IN LIEU OF THE ANNUAL MEETING OF MOVIE STAR SHAREHOLDERS	33
General	33
Date, Time and Place	33
Purpose of the Movie Star Special Meeting	33
Recommendation of Movie Star Board of Directors	34
Record Date; Who is Entitled to Vote	34
Quorum	34
Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes	34
Vote of Our Shareholders Required	35
Voting Your Shares	35
Revoking Your Proxy	36
Who Can Answer Your Questions About Voting Your Shares	36
No Additional Matters May Be Presented at the Special Meeting	36
Appraisal Rights	36
Proxy Solicitation Costs	36
THE MERGER AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	37
General Description	37
Background of the Merger and Related Transactions	37
Reasons for the Recommendations of our Special Committee and Board of Directors	44
Opinion of Financial Advisor to Special Committee	48
Interests of Movie Star Directors and Officers in the Merger and Related Transactions	55
Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger	57
Anticipated Accounting Treatment	58
Regulatory Matters	59
THE MERGER AGREEMENT	60
General; Structure of Merger	60
Closing and Effective Time of the Merger	60
Name; Headquarters; Stock Symbol	60
Merger Consideration	60

Table of Contents  
(Continued)

	Page
Exemption from Registration	61
Escrow Arrangements	61
Election of Directors and Appointment of Executive Officers	61
Representations and Warranties	62
Conduct of Businesses Pending the Merger	64
Restrictions on Solicitations of Other Offers Concerning Movie Star	64
Restrictions on Solicitations of Other Offers Concerning FOH Holdings	66
Other Covenants	67
Material Adverse Effect	68
Conditions to Closing of the Merger	69
Indemnification	71
Termination	73
Effect of Termination	75
Fees and Expenses	75
Amended and Restated Bylaws	75
Confidentiality; Access to Information	76
Governing Law and Jurisdiction	76
OTHER TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS	77
Voting Agreement	77
FOH Holdings' Stockholders Agreement	77
Standby Purchase Agreement	80
Shareholders Agreement	82
Escrow Agreement	83
Registration Rights Agreement	85
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION	87
STOCK ISSUANCE PROPOSAL	96
INCREASE OF AUTHORIZED COMMON STOCK PROPOSAL	97
NAME CHANGE PROPOSAL	98
PREFERRED STOCK PROPOSAL	99
REVERSE STOCK SPLIT PROPOSAL	101
General	101
Purpose of Reverse Stock Split	101
Material Effect of a Reverse Stock Split	102
No Appraisal Rights	103
Exchange of Stock Certificates	103
Material Federal Income Tax Consequences	103
Required Vote	104
AMENDED AND RESTATED 2000 PERFORMANCE EQUITY PLAN PROPOSAL	105
Proposed Amendments	105
Summary of the Plan	105
Federal Income Tax Consequences	109
Stock and Option Transactions	111
New Plan Benefits	112
Required Vote	113
DIRECTOR ELECTION PROPOSAL	114
Election of Directors	114
Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors of Movie Star	117
Independence of Directors	117
Audit Committee Information and Report	117
Code of Ethics	119
Nominating Committee Information	119

Table of Contents  
(Continued)

	Page
Indemnity Claims Committee Information	120
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	121
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	121
Compensation Committee Report	125
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	125
Summary Compensation Table	125
Compensation Arrangements for Executive Officers	126
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	130
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	131
Option Exercises in Fiscal 2007	131
Potential Termination Payments	132
Movie Star Compensation Plans	134
FOH Holdings Compensation Plans	136
Compensation Arrangements for Directors	137
STOCK PRICE PERFORMANCE GRAPH	139
ADJOURNMENT PROPOSAL	140
OTHER INFORMATION RELATED TO MOVIE STAR	141
Business of Movie Star	141
Employees	145
Properties	146
Period Reporting and Audited Financial Statements	146
Legal Proceedings	146
MOVIE STAR'S MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	147
Overview	147
Merger with FOH Holdings, Inc.	148
Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates	149
Results of Operations	150
Liquidity and Capital Resources	158
Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments	160
Note Payable	161
Future Financing Requirements	161
Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements	161
Effect of New Accounting Standards	161
Inflation	162
Seasonality	162
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	162
Imports	162
FOH HOLDINGS' BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION	163
General	163
Company History	163
Growth Strategy	163
Market and Products	164
Merchandising and Product Development	164
Corporate Brand Marketing	165
Sales Channels	165
Customer Service and Distribution	166
Sourcing	166
Information Technology	167
Competition	167
Seasonality	167
Trademarks and Service Marks	168

Table of Contents  
(Continued)

	Page
Employees	168
Import and Import Restrictions	168
Properties	168
Legal Proceedings	169
FOH HOLDINGS' MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	170
Overview	170
Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates	170
New Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted	174
Results of Operations	174
Liquidity and Capital Resources	181
Seasonality and Inflation	182
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market and Interest Rate Risk	183
Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments	183
Off Balance Sheet Arrangements	184
BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES	185
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	188
Related Party Policy	188
Movie Star Related Party Transactions	188
FOH Holdings Related Party Transactions	189
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	190
DESCRIPTION OF MOVIE STAR SECURITIES	190
Common Stock	190
Preferred Stock	190
Warrants	190
Anti-Takeover Provisions	191
PRICE RANGE OF MOVIE STAR COMMON STOCK AND DIVIDENDS	192
Holders	193
Dividend Policy	193
Equity Compensation Plan Information	193
APPRAISAL RIGHTS	193
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS AND NOMINATIONS	194
OTHER SHAREHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	194
DISCRETIONARY VOTING OF PROXIES	194
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRMS	195
WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION	195
INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-1

annexes

a. AGREEMENT AND PLAN OF MERGER AND REORGANIZATION	A-1
B-1. Amendment to Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization	B1-1
B-2. Second amendment to agreement and plan of merger and reorganization	b2-1
c. OPINION OF FINANCIAL ADVISOR TO SPECIAL COMMITTEE	C-1
d. FORM OF AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION	D-1
e. FORM OF AMENDED AND RESTATED 2000 PERFORMANCE EQUITY PLAN	E-1

iv

Table of Contents

SUMMARY TERM SHEET

This summary highlights selected information from this proxy statement and does not contain all of the information that may be important to you. To better understand the merger and related transactions, you should read this entire document carefully, including the merger agreement and the amendments to the merger agreement, which are attached to this proxy statement as Annex A, Annex B-1 and Annex B-2, respectively. Except where the context otherwise requires, references to the merger agreement refer to the merger agreement, as amended. The merger agreement is the legal document that governs the merger and certain of the other transactions that will be undertaken in connection with the merger. It is also described in detail elsewhere in this proxy statement.

In this proxy statement, all references to “Movie Star,” “we,” “us” and “our” refer to Movie Star, Inc. and its subsidiaries, except where the context makes it clear that the reference is only to Movie Star itself and not its subsidiaries.

Depending on the context, such references will either refer to (i) Movie Star, Inc. prior to giving effect to the merger or (ii) Movie Star, Inc. as the parent company following the merger (which name will change to Frederick’s of Hollywood Group Inc. upon consummation of the merger). In this proxy statement, all references to “FOH Holdings” refer to FOH Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries prior to giving effect to the merger, except where the context makes it clear that the reference is only to FOH Holdings itself and not its subsidiaries. In this proxy statement, all references to “Frederick’s of Hollywood” refer to Frederick’s of Hollywood, Inc. and its subsidiaries prior to giving effect to the merger, except where the context makes it clear that the reference is only to Frederick’s of Hollywood itself and not its subsidiaries. In this proxy statement, all references to the “combined company” refer to Movie Star, Inc. and its subsidiaries after giving effect to the merger, except where the context makes it clear that the reference is only to Movie Star itself and not its subsidiaries. In this proxy statement, we sometimes refer to Fred Merger Corp. as Merger Sub, Fursa Alternative Strategies LLC as Fursa, Tokarz Investments, LLC as Tokarz Investments, TTG Apparel, LLC as TTG Apparel and Chanin Capital, LLC as Chanin.

• Parties to the Merger. The parties to the merger are Movie Star, Inc., a New York corporation, FOH Holdings, Inc., a Delaware Corporation, the parent and sole stockholder of Frederick’s of Hollywood, Inc., and Fred Merger Corp., a newly formed Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Movie Star. See “Parties to the Transactions.”

• The Merger. Upon closing of the merger, Fred Merger Corp. will merge with and into FOH Holdings and FOH Holdings will become our wholly-owned subsidiary. See “The Merger Agreement.”

• The Merger Agreement. The merger agreement provides for our representations and warranties to FOH Holdings and FOH Holdings’ representations and warranties to us, covenants relating to the conduct of each of our businesses, consents and approvals required for and conditions to the completion of the merger and our ability to consider other acquisition proposals. The merger agreement also provides for the payment of merger consideration at the effective time of the merger. See “The Merger Agreement.”

• Merger Consideration. Upon completion of the merger, stockholders of FOH Holdings will have the right to receive shares of our common stock equal to the product of (i) the number of shares of FOH Holdings common stock held by each stockholder of FOH Holdings immediately prior to the effective time of the merger multiplied by (ii) an exchange ratio of 17.811414. As a result of the merger, we anticipate issuing approximately 23.7 million shares of our common stock to the stockholders of FOH Holdings, 20% of which will initially be placed in escrow pursuant to the merger agreement and an escrow agreement, as described below. See “The Merger Agreement—Merger Consideration,” “The Merger Agreement—Escrow Arrangements” and “Other Transaction Documents—Escrow Agreement.”

• Exemption

from Registration. The issuance of our common stock to the FOH Holdings stockholders in the merger is exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and/or Rule 506 of Regulation D under the Securities Act. See “The Merger Agreement—Exemption from Registration.”

1

---

## Table of Contents

- Termination of the Merger Agreement. The merger agreement contains provisions addressing the circumstances under which FOH Holdings or we may terminate the merger agreement. In addition, the merger agreement provides that, in certain circumstances, we may be required to pay FOH Holdings a termination fee of \$300,000 plus transaction expenses of FOH Holdings and its stockholders. See “The Merger Agreement—Termination” and “—Effect of Termination.”
- Escrow Arrangements. In connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, we will enter into an escrow agreement with designated stockholder representatives of the holders of FOH Holdings common stock. Pursuant to the escrow agreement, 20% of the shares of our common stock to be issued to each stockholder of FOH Holdings in connection with the merger will be held in escrow to cover indemnification claims that may be brought by us for certain matters, including breaches of representations, warranties and covenants of FOH Holdings under the merger agreement. Shares remaining in escrow will be released following the 18-month anniversary of the effective time of the merger, subject to extension under certain circumstances. Similarly, treasury shares of our common stock representing 7.5% of the aggregate number of issued and outstanding shares of our common stock prior to the effective time of the merger will be deposited into escrow to cover any indemnification claims that may be brought by FOH Holdings’ stockholders against Movie Star, which shares will be returned to us following the 18-month anniversary of the effective time of the merger, subject to certain conditions and to the extent not used to satisfy these indemnification claims. See “The Merger Agreement—Escrow Arrangements” and “Other Transaction Documents—Escrow Agreement.”
- Opinion of our Financial Advisor. On December 18, 2006, Chanin delivered a written opinion to a special committee of our board composed of independent directors, which we refer to as our special committee, to the effect that, as of such date, the merger consideration to be paid in the proposed merger with FOH Holdings was fair, from a financial point of view, to our shareholders. The full text of Chanin’s opinion is attached to this proxy statement as Annex C. Chanin’s opinion does not constitute a recommendation to any of our shareholders as to how such shareholders should vote with respect to any of the proposals contained in this proxy statement. You are encouraged to read this opinion in its entirety. See “The Merger and Related Transactions—Opinion of Financial Advisor to Special Committee.”
- Rights Offering; Standby Purchase Agreement. As provided by the merger agreement, our shareholders will have the opportunity to purchase shares of our common stock at a 15% discount through our offering of non-transferable rights to purchase shares of our common stock. If all such rights are exercised, we will raise aggregate gross proceeds of \$20 million. We have filed a Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-143619) with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the registration of such securities under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. We have entered into a standby purchase agreement with Fursa and certain funds and accounts affiliated with, managed by, or over which Fursa or one of its affiliates exercises investment authority, including, without limitation, with respect to voting and dispositive rights, as specified in the standby purchase agreement (we collectively refer to these entities as the Fursa standby purchasers), Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel, pursuant to which the Fursa standby purchasers, Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel have each agreed to purchase shares not subscribed for in the rights offering. As necessary, the Fursa standby purchasers have agreed to purchase, on a several but not on a joint and several basis, 50% of such unsubscribed shares, and Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel have agreed to purchase the remaining 50% of such unsubscribed shares. As consideration for these commitments, we will issue warrants with an exercise price equal to the subscription price of the new shares in the rights offering, representing the right to purchase, in the aggregate, 1,193,182 shares of our common stock, or 10.5% of the total number of shares to be offered in the rights offering. Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel each have agreed not to purchase any such new shares other than pursuant to their commitments under the standby purchase agreement. TTG Apparel currently owns 3,532,644 shares of our common stock and the sole controlling person of TTG Apparel is Michael T. Tokarz, its manager. Mr. Tokarz is also the sole controlling person of Tokarz Investments, which holds approximately 50% of FOH Holdings, common stock. Fursa has investment authority, including without limitation, with respect to voting and dispositive rights, over the Fursa standby purchasers,





Table of Contents

which hold approximately 50% of FOH Holdings common stock, and certain other entities affiliated with Fursa that hold FOH Holdings indebtedness (we refer to these entities as the Fursa debt holders). See “Other Transaction Documents—Standby Purchase Agreement” “Other Transaction Documents—Standby Purchase Agreement—Rights Offering” and “Other Transaction Documents—Standby Purchase Agreement—Guarantor Warrants.”

- Stockholders Agreement. Following the execution and delivery of the merger agreement, we entered into a stockholders agreement with FOH Holdings, Fursa, the Fursa standby purchasers and the Fursa debt holders (we collectively refer to the Fursa standby purchasers and the Fursa debt holders as the Fursa managed accounts) and Tokarz Investments, pursuant to which the Fursa managed accounts holding FOH Holdings common stock and Tokarz Investments agreed, among other things, to vote in favor of the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, not to transfer any shares of FOH Holdings common stock owned by them prior to the effective time of the merger, other than in connection with the merger or to their affiliates or managed funds and accounts, and not to solicit or accept any third party proposals involving a merger or acquisition of FOH Holdings. See “Other Transaction Documents—FOH Holdings’ Stockholders Agreement.”

- Series A Preferred Stock. Pursuant to the FOH Holdings’ stockholders agreement, the Fursa debt holders, in their capacities as holders of FOH Holdings indebtedness, agreed with us that, in connection with the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, they would cancel \$7.5 million of such indebtedness in exchange for the issuance of shares of our new Series A 7.5% Convertible Preferred Stock (we refer to this preferred stock as the Series A Preferred Stock). See “Other Transaction Documents—FOH Holdings’ Stockholders Agreement—Series A Preferred Stock.”

- Voting Agreement. In connection with the merger agreement, we entered into a voting agreement with TTG Apparel, pursuant to which it has agreed to certain matters, including (i) to vote in favor of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including, without limitation, the approval of the issuance of shares of our common stock and the amendment to our certificate of incorporation and (ii) to not transfer shares of our common stock without our prior written consent. See “Other Transaction Documents—Voting Agreement.” Notwithstanding this voting agreement, based on TTG Apparel’s current ownership of Movie Star stock, approval of all matters presented at the special meeting is not assured. However, if a quorum is present and alternative directors are not proposed by any shareholder, our nominees will be elected.

- Shareholders Agreement. Pursuant to the shareholders agreement to be entered into in connection with the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, during the 18-month period following the merger, Tokarz Investments, TTG Apparel and Fursa (on its behalf and on behalf of the Fursa managed accounts) will each agree, among other things, to certain restrictions on (i) acting together with respect to their shares of our common stock, (ii) increasing their ownership positions in the merged entity and (iii) transferring their holdings in our securities, and have agreed to vote for the combined company’s directors. See “Other Transaction Documents—Shareholders Agreement.”

- Board of Directors. In connection with its approval of the merger and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, our board of directors approved the increase of the number of directors constituting the full board from seven to eleven, which will be effective at the effective time of the merger. At the effective time of the merger, if management’s nominees are elected, the directors of the combined company will be Peter Cole, John L. Eisel, Melvyn Knigin, Michael A. Salberg and Joel M. Simon, all currently serving as directors of Movie Star, Thomas Rende, the Chief Financial Officer of Movie Star and a former member of Movie Star’s board of directors, William F. Harley, Linda LoRe, Rose Peabody Lynch and Milton J. Walters, all currently serving as directors of FOH Holdings, and Thomas J. Lynch, Chief Executive Officer of Fursa. If the merger is not consummated, our existing directors will continue to serve as directors of Movie Star. See “The Merger Agreement—Election of Directors and Appointment of Executive Officers” and “Director Election Proposal.”



Table of Contents

Officers. After the merger, in accordance with the merger agreement, the newly constituted board of directors will appoint Peter Cole (a current member of our board of directors) as Executive Chairman of the combined company, Thomas Rende (our current Chief Financial Officer) as Chief Financial Officer of the combined company, Melvyn Knigin (our current chairman of the board, President and Chief Executive Officer) as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Movie Star division, and Linda LoRe (FOH Holdings' current President and Chief Executive Officer) as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Frederick's of Hollywood division. See "The Merger Agreement—Election of Directors and Appointment of Executive Officers."

• Interests of Movie Star Directors and Officers in the Merger and Related Transactions. When you consider the recommendation of Movie Star's board of directors in favor of the proposals included in this proxy statement, you should be aware that certain of Movie Star's directors and officers have agreements or arrangements that provide them with interests in the merger and the related transactions that differ from, or are in addition to, your interests as a shareholder generally. Except as set forth below, Movie Star's directors and officers will not receive any compensation or benefits as a result of the merger and related transactions.

• On April 9, 2007, we entered into a consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, pursuant to which Performance Enhancement Partners provides us with the personal services of Peter Cole, a current member of our board of directors and the sole member of Performance Enhancement Partners, to (i) act as the lead member of our board to facilitate the timely and successful completion of the merger, the rights offering and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and (ii) serve as the Executive Chairman of the combined company following the closing of the merger until July 26, 2008. The consulting agreement provides for Performance Enhancement Partners to receive a base consulting fee at the annual rate of \$400,000.

On the closing date of the merger, we will (i) issue to Performance Enhancement Partners 100,000 shares of our common stock under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan and (ii) grant to Performance Enhancement Partners a five-year option to purchase 275,000 shares of our common stock under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the closing date of the merger. We also will grant to Performance Enhancement Partners under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan five-year non-qualified options to purchase an aggregate of 100,000 shares of our common stock, with each grant of 50,000 shares to be made on the commencement date of each six-month extension period, if applicable, at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the date of grant. In order to issue the shares of common stock and grant the stock options to Performance Enhancement Partners in accordance with the terms of the consulting agreement, the 2000 Performance Equity Plan must be amended to increase the number of shares available for issuance thereunder, which amendment will require shareholder approval, which is being sought at the special meeting. See "Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan Proposal."

The consulting agreement provides that, if the merger agreement is terminated or Performance Enhancement Partners terminates the consulting agreement for "Good Reason" (as defined in the consulting agreement) prior to the closing of the merger, we will pay Performance Enhancement Partners a certain fee, outlined in the consulting agreement. Additionally, options that have been granted but not yet vested will immediately vest upon such termination. See "The Merger and Related Transactions—Interests of Movie Star Directors and Officers in the Merger and Related Transactions."

Additionally, FOH Holdings has agreed that, if the merger agreement is terminated for any reason other than by FOH Holdings as a result of an Adverse Recommendation Change (as defined below in "The Merger Agreement—Restrictions on Solicitations of Other Offers Concerning Movie Star"), a Parent Acquisition Proposal (as defined below in "The Merger Agreement—Restrictions on Solicitations of Other Offers Concerning Movie Star") or certain breaches of

representations, warranties, covenants or agreements made by us or Merger Sub, as more fully described below in “The Merger Agreement—Termination,” FOH Holdings will reimburse us for one half of the base consulting fee paid to Performance Enhancement Partners.

4

---

Table of Contents

• Following the closing of the merger, Thomas Rende, Movie Star’s current Chief Financial Officer, will become the Chief Financial Officer of the combined company. Accordingly, we intend to enter into a new employment agreement with Mr. Rende to become effective upon the closing of the merger. We are in negotiation with Mr. Rende regarding the terms of his new employment agreement. See “The Merger and Related Transactions—Interests of Movie Star Directors and Officers in the Merger and Related Transactions.”

• Saul Pomerantz, Chief Operating Officer of Movie Star, and Thomas Rende, Chief Financial Officer of Movie Star, who were directors of Movie Star at the time we entered into the merger agreement, hold certain options to purchase 280,000 and 105,000 shares of Movie Star common stock, respectively. These options are fully vested and exercisable. Pursuant to the terms of their respective option agreements, upon the occurrence of certain events, we are required to proportionately adjust the number and kind of option shares and the exercise price of the options in order to prevent dilution or enlargement of the optionee’s proportionate interest in Movie Star and the rights under the agreements. Accordingly, upon completion of the merger, Mr. Pomerantz will be entitled to receive options to purchase an additional 420,000 shares of our common stock and Mr. Rende will be entitled to receive options to purchase an additional 157,500 shares of our common stock. The number and kind of option shares and the exercise price of other options held by Messrs. Pomerantz and Rende will not be adjusted as a result of the merger. See “The Merger and Related Transactions—Interests of Movie Star Directors and Officers in the Merger and Related Transactions.”

• Following the closing of the merger, it is anticipated that the Movie Star Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan will be amended by our board to (i) increase the annual stipend from \$20,000 to \$30,000, payable quarterly in arrears, with additional annual stipends for committee chairpersons of \$5,000 for the audit committee, \$3,000 for the compensation committee and \$2,000 for the nominating committee, payable quarterly in arrears and (ii) provide for payment of \$2,500 per board or committee meeting attended in person (up from \$2,000 per day), regardless of the number of meetings held that day. It is anticipated that payments for telephonic meetings and the ability for non-employee directors to elect to be paid in cash and/or Movie Star stock would remain unchanged. It is also contemplated that, upon the approval by our board of the amendment to the Movie Star Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan, each non-employee director will receive a one-time grant of non-qualified options to purchase 15,000 shares of common stock under our 2000 Performance Equity Plan at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the date of grant, which will be exercisable immediately and will remain exercisable until the tenth anniversary of the date of grant. See “The Merger and Related Transactions—Interests of Movie Star Directors and Officers in the Merger and Related Transactions” and “Executive Compensation—Compensation Arrangements for Directors.”

• Registration Rights Agreement. In connection with the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, we will enter into a registration rights agreement with Fursa (on its behalf and on behalf of the Fursa managed accounts), Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel, pursuant to which we will grant certain demand and “piggyback” registration rights for the securities that those entities will receive in connection with the merger and related transactions. See “Other Transaction Documents—Registration Rights Agreement.”

• The Proposals to be Considered at the Special Meeting. At the special meeting, our shareholders will be asked to vote on proposals to:

• approve the issuance of 39,370,436 shares of our common stock in connection with the merger, the rights offering and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. We refer to this proposal as the stock issuance proposal;

Table of Contents

certificate of incorporation to:

- amend our

authorized shares of common stock from 30,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares. We refer to this proposal as the increase of authorized common stock proposal;

- increase the number of

Frederick’s of Hollywood Group Inc. We refer to this proposal as the name change proposal; and

- change our name to
- authorize the issuance of

up to 10,000,000 shares of our preferred stock and to establish the terms, rights, preference and privileges of the Series A Preferred Stock. We refer to this proposal as the preferred stock proposal.

- authorize our board

of directors, in its discretion, to amend our certificate of incorporation to effect a reverse stock split of our outstanding common stock immediately prior to the closing of the merger within a range to be determined by our board of directors from 9 for 10 to 1 for 2, in order to satisfy the minimum price requirement of \$2.00 per share for continued listing on the American Stock Exchange. We refer to this proposal as the reverse stock split proposal;

- adopt an Amended

and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the plan from 750,000 shares to 4,000,000 shares and to add a 500,000 share limit on grants to any individual in any one calendar year. We refer to this proposal as the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal;

- elect

eleven directors to our board of directors to serve from the effective time of the merger until the annual meeting to be held in 2008 and until their successors are elected and qualified. We refer to this proposal as the director election proposal; and

- approve an

adjournment of the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation and vote of proxies in the event there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting. We refer to this proposal as the adjournment proposal.

See “Increase of Authorized Common Stock Proposal,” “Name Change Proposal,” “Preferred Stock Proposal,” “Reverse Stock Split Proposal,” “Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan Proposal,” “Director Election Proposal” and “Adjournment Proposal.”

- Voting

Requirements.

- Shareholder

approval is not required for the merger itself under the New York Business Corporation Law. However, under the rules of the American Stock Exchange, shareholder approval is required prior to the issuance of common stock in any transaction if

- the number of shares of common stock, or securities convertible into common stock, to be issued will be, upon issuance, equal to or in excess of 20% of the number of shares of common stock outstanding prior to such issuance,
- the common stock, or securities convertible into common stock, to be issued will be issued as consideration for an acquisition of the stock or assets of another company, provided that any director, officer or substantial shareholder of the acquiring listed company, directly or indirectly, has a 5% or greater interest in the acquired company or in the consideration to be paid in such transaction and the present or potential issuance of common stock, or securities convertible into common stock, could result in an increase in outstanding common shares of 5% or more, or
- such transaction would

involve the application of Section 341 of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide, which requires that a listed company being effectively acquired by an unlisted company as a result of a plan of acquisition, merger, or consolidation meet the American Stock Exchange's original listing standards.

Because the 39,370,436 shares to be issued in connection with the merger and the rights offering and pursuant to the standby purchase agreement, together with the shares underlying the warrants that will be issued as consideration for the commitments of the Fursa standby purchasers, Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel under the standby purchase agreement and Series A Preferred Stock that will be issued in exchange for the cancellation of certain indebtedness of FOH Holdings will be in excess of 20% of the number of shares of our common stock outstanding prior to such issuances, your vote is required. Additionally, your vote is required because (i) the American Stock Exchange

6

---



Table of Contents

has informed us that Section 341 of the American Stock Exchange Guide would apply to the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement due to the structure of the proposed merger and (ii) an affiliate of TTG Apparel, our substantial shareholder, owns more than 5% of FOH Holdings. The approval of the stock issuance proposal will require the affirmative vote of both (i) the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock on the record date and (ii) the holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock that are held by Movie Star’s shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates on the record date. Abstentions from voting with respect to this proposal and shares which are subject to shareholder withholding or broker non-vote will have the same effect as a vote against the stock issuance proposal. See “The Merger and Related Transactions.”

- Under the merger agreement, the approval of the increase of authorized common stock proposal will require the affirmative vote of both (i) the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock on the record date and (ii) the holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock that are held by Movie Star’s shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates on the record date. Abstentions from voting with respect to this proposal and shares which are subject to shareholder withholding or broker non-vote will have the same effect as a vote against the increase of authorized common stock proposal. See “Increase of Authorized Common Stock Proposal.”

- Under the merger agreement, the approval of the name change proposal will require the affirmative vote of both (i) the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock on the record date and (ii) the holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock that are held by Movie Star’s shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates on the record date. Abstentions from voting with respect to this proposal and shares which are subject to shareholder withholding or broker non-vote will have the same effect as a vote against the name change proposal. See “Name Change Proposal.”

- Under the merger agreement, the approval of the preferred stock proposal will require the affirmative vote of both (i) the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock on the record date and (ii) the holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock that are held by Movie Star’s shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates on the record date. Abstentions from voting with respect to this proposal and shares which are subject to shareholder withholding or broker non-vote will have the same effect as a vote against the preferred stock proposal. See “Preferred Stock Proposal.”

- The approval of the reverse stock split proposal will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock on the record date. Abstentions from voting with respect to this proposal and shares which are subject to shareholder withholding or broker non-vote will have the same effect as a vote against the reverse stock split proposal. See “Reverse Stock Split Proposal.”

- The approval of the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting. Abstentions from voting with respect to this proposal and shares which are subject to shareholder withholding or broker non-vote will not be counted in determining the number of votes required for a majority and will therefore have no effect on such vote. See “Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan Proposal.”

- The election of directors requires a plurality vote of the shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting. “Plurality” means that the individuals who receive the highest number of votes cast “FOR” election are elected as directors. Any shares not voted “FOR” a particular nominee (whether as a result of abstentions, a direction to withhold authority or a broker non-vote) will not be counted in the nominee’s favor. See

“Director Election Proposal.”

7

---

Table of Contents

of the adjournment proposal will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting. Abstentions from voting with respect to this proposal and shares which are subject to shareholder withholding or broker non-vote will not be counted in determining the number of votes required for a majority and will therefore have no effect on such vote. See “Adjournment Proposal.”

The approval of the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal and the preferred stock proposal are conditions to the consummation of the merger. The approval of the reverse stock split proposal, the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal and the director election proposal are not conditions to the consummation of the merger, but are being proposed in connection with the merger and will not be presented at the meeting for a vote if the proposals that are conditions to the merger are not approved or waived (where practical). If the stock issuance proposal or the increase of authorized common stock proposal is not approved, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. Accordingly, although we and FOH Holdings have the contractual right to waive these conditions, as a practical matter they may not be waived. If the name change proposal or the preferred stock proposal is not approved, absent a waiver by FOH Holdings and us, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

We have entered into a voting agreement with TTG Apparel pursuant to which it has agreed to vote in favor of the transactions contemplated in the merger agreement. TTG Apparel currently owns approximately 21.5% of our outstanding common stock. Notwithstanding this voting agreement and based on TTG Apparel’s current ownership of Movie Star common stock, because the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized stock proposal, the name change proposal and the preferred stock proposal require the affirmative vote of both (i) the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star on the record date and (ii) the holders of the majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock that are held by Movie Star’s shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates on the record date, and the preferred stock proposal requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock on the record date, the vote with respect to any of such proposals is not assured.

Also, although the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal and the adjournment proposal require only the affirmative vote of the holders of the majority of shares of Movie Star common stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting, and the election of each director requires a plurality vote of the shares of common stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting, a quorum of a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting is necessary to hold a valid meeting. Such quorum would not be obtained by the sole participation of TTG Apparel. Further, because TTG Apparel will not make up a majority of any quorum, the vote with respect to any of these proposals other than the director election proposal is not assured. If no shareholder nominates an alternative slate of directors, the election of each of our nominees will be assured if a quorum is present at the special meeting and a valid meeting is held.

• Amendment to Bylaws. The merger agreement provides for an amendment to our bylaws in connection with the consummation of the merger, pursuant to which during the 18-month period following the merger, certain material transactions not in the ordinary course or actions that affect our capital structure or securities will require the approval of 75% of the directors. This amendment to our bylaws has been approved by our board of directors, subject to its effectiveness upon the consummation of the merger, and will not require shareholder approval. See “The Merger Agreement—Amended and Restated Bylaws.”

• Regulatory Matters. The merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are not subject to any additional federal or state regulatory requirement or approval other than filings with the states of Delaware and New York, which

will be necessary to effect the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, and filings of notification and report forms with the Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended, or the HSR Act, which we and FOH Holdings may be required to make.

8

---

Table of Contents

- Tax

Consequences of the Merger. The merger is expected to qualify as a tax-free transaction to Movie Star under the provisions of Section 1032 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, as an issuance of stock in exchange for property (i.e., the stock of FOH Holdings). However, as a result of the merger, our ability to use the net operating losses that we will have incurred prior to the merger will be limited on an annual basis. The ability of FOH Holdings to use its net operating losses that it will have incurred prior to the merger may also be limited. For a description of the material federal income tax consequences of the merger, please see the information set forth in “The Merger and Related Transactions—Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger.”

- Accounting

Treatment. The merger of FOH Holdings and Movie Star will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting as a reverse acquisition with FOH Holdings being treated as having acquired Movie Star as of the date of the completion of the merger. For a more detailed description of purchase accounting, see “The Merger and Related Transactions—Anticipated Accounting Treatment.”

- Risk

Factors. In evaluating the proposals included in this proxy statement, you should carefully read this proxy statement and especially consider the factors discussed in the section entitled “Risk Factors.”

## QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE SPECIAL MEETING AND THE PROPOSALS

### Q. Why

am I receiving this proxy statement and what am I voting on? A. We have sent you this proxy statement and the enclosed proxy card because the board of directors of Movie Star is soliciting your proxy to vote at the special meeting of shareholders on the proposals described in this proxy statement. This proxy statement contains important information about the proposals and the special meeting of Movie Star shareholders. You should read it carefully.

### Q. How are

votes counted? A. Votes will be counted by the inspector of election appointed for the meeting, who will separately count “FOR” and “AGAINST” votes, abstentions and broker non-votes. Except with respect to the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal and the adjournment proposal, abstentions will be counted towards the total votes for each proposal, and will have the same effect as “AGAINST” votes. Abstentions will not be counted towards the total votes for the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal and the adjournment proposal. Broker non-votes will have the same effect as “AGAINST” votes with respect to all proposals except for the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal and the adjournment proposal. Broker non-votes will not be counted towards the total votes for the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal or the adjournment proposal. Directors are elected by a “plurality,” which means that the eleven individuals who receive the highest number of votes cast “FOR” election are elected as directors. Any shares not voted “FOR” a particular nominee (whether as a result of abstentions, a direction to withhold authority or a broker non-vote) will not be counted in the nominee’s favor.

Table of Contents

Q. What is the quorum requirement? A. A quorum of Movie Star shareholders is necessary to hold a valid meeting. A quorum will be present at the Movie Star special meeting if a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote at the meeting are represented in person or by proxy. Abstentions and broker non-votes will count as present for the purpose of establishing a quorum.

Q. Does the Movie Star board of directors recommend voting for each of the proposals included in this proxy statement? A. Yes. After careful consideration of the terms and conditions of these proposals, the board of directors of Movie Star has determined that the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and each of the proposals contained in this proxy statement are fair to and in the best interests of Movie Star and its shareholders. The Movie Star board of directors unanimously recommends that Movie Star shareholders vote “FOR” each of these proposals. The members of Movie Star’s board of directors have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, your interests as a shareholder. For a description of such interests, please see the section entitled “The Merger and Related Transactions—Interests of Movie Star Directors and Officers in the Merger and Related Transactions.”

Q. How much of Movie Star will existing Movie Star shareholders own after the merger and rights offering? A. Immediately following the effective time of the merger and without giving effect to the rights offering to our shareholders or the issuance of options, guarantor warrants, shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to the standby purchase agreement or shares of Series A Preferred Stock, the shares of Movie Star common stock will be owned as follows: (a) existing holders of Movie Star common stock, excluding TTG Apparel, will own approximately 32.2% of the outstanding common stock of Movie Star; (b) TTG Apparel, together with Tokarz Investments, will own approximately 38.3% of the outstanding common stock of Movie Star (32.4% if the shares of Movie Star common stock to be contributed into escrow are excluded) and (c) the Fursa Managed Accounts will beneficially own approximately 29.5% of the outstanding common stock of Movie Star (23.6% if the shares of Movie Star common stock to be contributed into escrow are excluded).

In connection with the merger agreement, our shareholders will have the opportunity to purchase shares of our common stock at a 15% discount through our offering of non-transferable rights to purchase shares of our common stock. If all such rights are exercised, we will raise aggregate gross proceeds of \$20 million. To the extent that existing Movie Star shareholders participate in the rights offering, the number of shares of Movie Star common stock held by existing Movie Star shareholders, other than TTG Apparel, following the merger will

Table of Contents

increase. If no Movie Star shareholder participates in the rights offering, (i) TTG Apparel, together with Tokarz Investments, will beneficially own approximately 38.8% of the outstanding common stock of Movie Star, in the aggregate, after giving effect to the purchase of the unsubscribed shares of the rights in accordance with the standby purchase agreement and the issuance and exercise of the guarantor warrants as consideration for the standby purchase commitments under the standby purchase agreement and (ii) the Fursa Managed Accounts will beneficially own approximately 37.9% of the outstanding common stock of Movie Star, after giving effect to the purchase of the unsubscribed shares of the rights in accordance with the standby purchase agreement, the issuance and exercise of the guarantor warrants as consideration for the standby purchase commitments and the issuance and conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock.

Q. What if I object to the proposed merger? Do I have appraisal rights? A. No. Movie Star shareholders do not have appraisal rights in connection with the merger under the NYBCL.

Q. Did Movie Star's board of directors obtain a fairness opinion in connection with its approval of the merger agreement? A. Yes. On December 18, 2006, Chanin delivered its written opinion to our special committee, on which Movie Star's board of directors was entitled to rely, stating that, as of such date, the consideration to be paid by Movie Star to the holders of FOH Holdings common stock is fair to the holders of Movie Star common stock from a financial point of view. The full text of this opinion is attached to this proxy statement as Annex C. We encourage you to read this opinion carefully in its entirety for a description of the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and limitations included in connection with the review undertaken.

Q. What will happen in the proposed merger? A. As a consequence of the merger, Merger Sub will merge with and into FOH Holdings, with FOH Holdings remaining as the surviving corporation and becoming a wholly-owned subsidiary of Movie Star.

Q. When do you expect the merger to be completed? A. It is currently anticipated that the merger will be consummated promptly following the Movie Star special meeting on January 23, 2008.

For a description of the conditions to completion of the merger, see the section entitled "The Merger Agreement—Conditions to Closing of the Merger."

Q. What do I need to do now? A. Movie Star urges you to read carefully and consider the information contained in this proxy statement, including the annexes, and to consider how the merger will affect you as a shareholder of Movie Star. You should then vote as soon as possible in accordance with the instructions provided in this proxy statement and on the enclosed proxy card.

Table of Contents

Q. How do I vote my Movie Star shares? A. If you are a holder of Movie Star common stock at the close of business on November 27, 2007, which is the record date for the special meeting, you may vote in person at the special meeting or by submitting a proxy for the special meeting. You may submit your proxy by completing, signing, dating and returning the enclosed proxy card in the accompanying pre-addressed postage paid envelope. On each matter to be voted upon, you have one vote for each share of common stock you own as of the record date. If you hold your shares in “street name,” which means your shares are held of record by a broker, bank or nominee, the organization holding your account is considered to be the shareholder of record for purposes of voting at the special meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or other agent regarding how to vote the shares in your account. You are also invited to attend the special meeting. However, if you are not the shareholder of record, you may not vote your shares in person at the meeting unless you request and obtain a valid proxy from your broker or other agent. On the record date, 16,435,275 shares of our common stock were issued and outstanding. Our common stock is the only class of stock of Movie Star that has been issued and, therefore, is the only class of stock of Movie Star entitled to vote.

Q. If my shares are held in “street name,” will my broker, bank or nominee automatically vote my shares for me? A. If your shares are held by your broker as your nominee (that is, in “street name”), you will need to obtain a proxy form from the institution that holds your shares and follow the instructions included on that form regarding how to instruct your broker to vote your shares. If you do not give instructions to your broker, your broker can vote your shares with respect to “discretionary” items, but not with respect to “non-discretionary” items. Discretionary items are proposals considered routine under the rules of the American Stock Exchange on which your broker may vote shares held in street name in the absence of your voting instructions. These votes by brokers are considered as votes cast in determining the outcome of any discretionary proposal. We believe that the adjournment proposal is discretionary. On non-discretionary items for which you do not give your broker instructions, the shares will be treated as broker non-votes. Broker non-votes are considered present in determining whether a quorum exists at the special meeting, but are not considered as votes cast in determining the outcome of any proposal. The stock issuance proposal, increase of authorized common stock proposal, name change proposal, preferred stock proposal, reverse stock split proposal, amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal and director election proposal are all non-discretionary.



Table of Contents

Q. Can I change my vote after I have mailed my signed proxy card? A. Yes. Send a later-dated, signed proxy card to Movie Star's secretary at the address of Movie Star's corporate headquarters prior to the date of the special meeting or attend the special meeting in person and vote. You may also revoke your proxy by sending a notice of revocation to Movie Star's secretary.

Q. Do I need to send in my stock certificates? A. No. You should not submit your stock certificates now or after the merger because your shares will not be exchanged in the merger. However, if our board of directors determines to implement the reverse stock split, you will need to surrender your stock certificates so that replacement certificates representing shares of Movie Star common stock following the reverse stock split may be issued in exchange therefor. For additional information on the exchange of stock certificates, see the section entitled "Reverse Stock Split Proposal—Exchange of Stock Certificates."

Q. What should I do if I receive more than one set of voting materials? A. You may receive more than one set of voting materials, including multiple copies of this proxy statement and multiple proxy cards or voting instruction cards. For example, if you hold your shares in more than one brokerage account, you will receive a separate voting instruction card for each brokerage account in which you hold shares. If you are a holder of record and your shares are registered in more than one name, you will receive more than one proxy card. Please complete, sign, date and return each proxy card and voting instruction card that you receive in order to cast a vote with respect to all of your Movie Star shares.

Q. Who is paying for this proxy solicitation? A. We will pay for the entire cost of soliciting proxies. In addition to these mailed proxy materials, our directors, officers and employees may also solicit proxies in person, by telephone or by other means of communication. These parties will not be paid any additional compensation for soliciting proxies. Morrow & Co., Inc., a proxy solicitation firm that we have engaged to assist us in soliciting proxies, will be paid a customary fee of \$7,500 plus expenses. We may also reimburse brokerage firms, banks and other agents for the cost of forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners.

Q: How may I obtain Movie Star's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2007? A. We have enclosed with this proxy statement a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission can be accessed through our website at [www.moviestarinc.com](http://www.moviestarinc.com).

Table of Contents

Q. Who can help answer my questions? A. If you have questions about the merger, the proposals or this proxy statement, or if you need additional copies of the proxy statement or the enclosed proxy card, you should contact:

Investor Relations

Movie Star, Inc.  
1115 Broadway  
New York, New York 10010  
Tel: (212) 798-4700

or  
Morrow & Co., Inc.

470 West Avenue, 3rd Floor  
Stamford, Connecticut 06902  
Tel: (800) 607-0088

You may also obtain additional information about Movie Star from documents filed with the SEC by following the instructions in the section entitled “Where You Can Find More Information” on page 195.

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We believe that some of the information in this proxy statement constitutes, or may be deemed to constitute, “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as “may,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “should,” “could,” “likely,” “contemplate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “intend,” “plan,” “project,” “predict” and “continue” or, in each case, their negative variations or comparable terminology. You should read statements that contain these words carefully because they may:

- discuss future expectations;
- contain projections of future results of operations or financial condition; or
- state other “forward-looking” information.

We believe it is important to communicate our expectations to our shareholders. However, there may be events in the future that we are not able to predict accurately or over which we have no control. The risk factors and cautionary language discussed in this proxy statement provide examples of risks, uncertainties and events that may cause actual results to differ materially from the expectations described by us or FOH Holdings in such forward-looking statements, including, among other things:

- difficulties relating to the integration of our business and operations with FOH Holdings;
- competition;
  - business
- rapidly changing conditions and industry growth;
- general economic consumer preferences and trends;
- large variations in conditions;
- the addition or loss
- reliance on vendors and service providers;
- continued
- the loss of key sales volume with significant customers;
- product
- management of of significant customers;
- the loss of key personnel;
- growth;
- increased operating costs or an inability to meet efficiency or cost reduction objectives;
- timing of orders and

deliveries of products; and

- foreign government

regulations and risks of doing business abroad.

You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this proxy statement.

All forward-looking statements included herein attributable to Movie Star, FOH Holdings or any person acting on either party's behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. Except to the extent required by applicable laws and regulations, Movie Star and FOH Holdings undertake no obligations to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this proxy statement or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Before you grant your proxy or instruct how your vote should be cast or vote on the proposals contained in this proxy statement, you should be aware that the occurrence of the events described in the "Risk Factors" section and elsewhere in this proxy statement could have a material adverse effect on the business, prospects, financial condition or operating results of Movie Star and/or FOH Holdings.

15

---

## Table of Contents

### PARTIES TO THE TRANSACTIONS

#### Movie Star

Movie Star is a publicly traded corporation that designs, manufactures (through independent contractors), imports, markets and distributes women's intimate apparel to mass merchandisers, specialty and department stores, discount retailers, national and regional chains and direct mail catalog marketers throughout the United States and Canada.

Movie Star's common stock is currently listed on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "MSI."

The mailing address of Movie Star's principal executive office is 1115 Broadway, New York, New York 10010, and its telephone number is (212) 798-4700.

#### Merger Sub

Fred Merger Corp. was organized on December 12, 2006 to effect a merger with FOH Holdings and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Movie Star. Its address and telephone number is the same as ours.

#### FOH Holdings

FOH Holdings is a privately-held company headquartered in Hollywood, California that has four subsidiaries that operate under the brand name "Frederick's of Hollywood." Frederick's of Hollywood is a mall-based specialty retailer of women's intimate apparel and related products in the United States, and a direct retailer of intimate apparel and other women's apparel through its catalog and Internet operations. Frederick's of Hollywood operates 138 stores nationwide as of October 31, 2007, operates an online store at [www.fredericks.com](http://www.fredericks.com) and, in its 2007 fiscal year mailed approximately 20 million catalogs. Frederick's of Hollywood had net sales from continuing operations of approximately \$155 million for the year ended July 28, 2007.

The mailing address of FOH Holdings' principal executive office is 6255 Sunset Boulevard, Sixth Floor, Hollywood, California 90028, and its telephone number is (323) 466-5151.

#### TTG Apparel

TTG Apparel is a Delaware limited liability company formed for the purpose of investing in Movie Star. The sole controlling person of TTG Apparel is Michael T. Tokarz, its manager. Mr. Tokarz is presently the controlling person of The Tokarz Group Advisors, LLC, an entity that manages MVC Capital, Inc., a business development company that is listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Mr. Tokarz does not directly own our common stock. TTG Apparel currently owns approximately 21.5% of our outstanding common stock.

The mailing address of TTG Apparel's principal executive office is Riverview at Purchase, 287 Bowman Avenue, Purchase, New York 10577, and its telephone number is (914) 251-1825.

#### Tokarz Investments

Tokarz Investments is a Delaware limited liability company formed for the purpose of owning various Tokarz family investments. The sole controlling person of Tokarz Investments is Michael T. Tokarz, its manager. Mr. Tokarz does not directly own FOH Holdings common stock. Tokarz Investments currently owns approximately 50% of the

common stock of FOH Holdings.

The mailing address of Tokarz Investments' principal executive office is Riverview at Purchase, 287 Bowman Avenue, Purchase, New York 10577, and its telephone number is (914) 251-1825.

Fursa

Fursa is a Delaware limited liability company and registered investment advisor that beneficially owns, on behalf of certain funds and accounts affiliated with, managed by, or over which Fursa or its affiliates exercises investment authority, including, without limitation, with respect to voting and dispositive rights, approximately 50% of the common stock of FOH Holdings.

The mailing address of Fursa's principal executive office is 444 Merrick Road, Suite 104, Lynbrook, New York 11563, and its telephone number is (646) 205-6203.

16

---

## Table of Contents

### RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following risk factors, together with all of the other information included in this proxy statement, before you decide whether to vote or instruct your vote to be cast to adopt the proposals being discussed in this proxy statement.

The value of your investment in us following consummation of the merger will be subject to the significant risks inherent in the intimate apparel business. If any of the events described below occur, the business, financial condition and results of operation of the combined company could be adversely affected. This could cause the trading price of the combined company's common stock to decline, perhaps significantly, and you therefore may lose all or part of your investment.

#### Risks Relating to the Merger

The value of our shares of common stock to be issued to FOH Holdings' stockholders will fluctuate; the shares being issued to FOH Holdings may be at a higher purchase price than we anticipated paying to the FOH Holdings stockholders depending on fluctuations in the price of our common stock.

The number of shares of our common stock to be issued in the merger for each share of FOH Holdings common stock was fixed at the time we entered into the merger agreement. As a result of changes in our business, operations or prospects, market assessments of the likelihood that the merger will be completed, the timing of the completion of the merger, the prospects of post-merger operations, general market and economic conditions and other factors, the per share price of our common stock upon the consummation of the merger may be considerably higher or lower than the per share price on the date of this proxy statement, on the date of the special meeting of our shareholders or on the date of our board's approval of the merger and related transactions. Because the exchange ratio will not be adjusted to reflect any changes in the market value of our common stock, if the market value of our common stock should rise considerably, the purchase price we pay may be considerably higher than we originally anticipated when the merger agreement was executed. During the 12-month period ended November 26, 2007, our common stock traded in a range from a low of \$1.09 to a high of \$3.50 and ended that period at \$1.93. See "Price Range of Movie Star Common Stock and Dividends" on page 192 for more detailed share price information.

The combined company may fail to realize some or all of the anticipated benefits of the merger, which could adversely affect the value of Movie Star common stock.

The merger involves the integration of two companies that have previously operated independently. Movie Star and FOH Holdings expect the combined company to realize financial and operational benefits, including enhanced earnings growth, overhead savings, operating cost savings and other synergies. However, to realize the anticipated benefits from the merger, the businesses of Movie Star and Frederick's of Hollywood must be combined in a manner that maximizes the potential for earnings growth and cost savings. If we are not able to successfully achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits of the merger may not be realized fully, or at all, or may take longer to realize than expected.

The failure to integrate in a timely manner our business and operations and those of Frederick's of Hollywood may adversely affect the combined company's future results.

Movie Star and Frederick's of Hollywood have operated as independent companies and will continue to do so until the completion of the merger. The process of consolidating functions and integrating organizations, procedures and

operations could be more costly than expected or could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of each company's ongoing business or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies that could adversely affect the combined company's ability to maintain relationships with customers, suppliers, employees and others.

17

---



## Table of Contents

The fairness opinion obtained by our special committee will not reflect changes in circumstances during the period between when the merger agreement was signed and the merger is consummated.

Our special committee has not obtained an updated opinion from Chanin as of the date of this proxy statement. Changes in our or Frederick's of Hollywood's operations and prospects, general market and economic conditions and other factors which may be beyond our control or the control of Frederick's of Hollywood and on which the fairness opinion was based, may alter the value of Movie Star or Frederick's of Hollywood or the price of shares of our common stock by the time the merger is completed. The opinion is based on the information in existence on the date delivered and will not be updated as of the time the merger is consummated. Since we do not intend to obtain an updated opinion, the opinion given at the time the merger agreement was signed does not address the fairness of the merger consideration from a financial point of view at the time of the special meeting of our shareholders or at the time the merger is completed. For a description of the opinion given by Chanin in connection with this merger, please refer to "The Merger and Related Transactions—Opinion of Financial Advisor to Special Committee."

The merger agreement contains provisions that might discourage a third party from making an alternative proposal that would be more financially beneficial to our shareholders than the FOH Holdings merger.

The merger agreement limits our ability to initiate, solicit, facilitate or encourage certain acquisition or merger proposals from a third party. Under the terms of the merger agreement, subject to limited exceptions, before we can terminate the merger agreement in favor of a superior proposal from a third party, we must give FOH Holdings five business days to negotiate changes to its proposal. In addition, under specified circumstances, we may be required to pay a termination fee of \$300,000 if the merger is not consummated and reimburse FOH Holdings for all of its out-of-pocket expenses in connection with the merger. These provisions might discourage a potential third party with an interest in entering into a transaction with us from considering or proposing any such transaction, even if it were prepared to enter into a transaction that would be more financially beneficial to our shareholders. See "The Merger Agreement—Termination" and "The Merger Agreement—Restrictions on Solicitations of Other Offers Concerning Movie Star."

Our executive officers and directors have financial interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, the interests of our shareholders. These interests may have influenced their decision to approve the merger and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

At the recommendation of our special committee, our board of directors unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions, agreements and documents contemplated thereby and recommends that our shareholders vote to adopt the proposals set forth in this proxy statement. Certain of our executive officers and directors have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of our shareholders generally. These interests include the continuing employment of our executive officers and the continuing service of all of our current directors as directors of the combined company, as well as the issuance of stock options and other stock-based awards relating to such continuing employment and service. These interests may have influenced their decision as members of our board of directors to vote for the merger and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. In considering the recommendations of our board of directors to vote for the proposals contained in this proxy statement, you should consider these interests. Additionally, the exercise of our directors' and officers' discretion in agreeing to changes in or waivers to the terms of the merger agreement and other transaction documents may result in a conflict of interest when determining whether such changes or waivers are appropriate and in our shareholders' best interest. See "The Merger and Related Transactions—Interests of Movie Star Directors and Officers in the Merger and Related Transactions" and "Executive Compensation—Compensation Arrangements for Executive Officers."



## Table of Contents

The unaudited pro forma financial information included in this proxy statement may not be indicative of what the combined company's actual financial position or results of operations would have been or will be for any future period.

The unaudited pro forma financial information in this proxy statement is presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of what the combined company's actual financial position or results of operations would have been had the merger been completed on the dates indicated. Such information also is not necessarily indicative of the financial position or results of operations for any future period. Accordingly, the final purchase accounting may lead to materially different financial results from the pro forma financial information reflected in this proxy statement. See "Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information."

If we are damaged and become entitled to indemnification under the merger agreement, our claim on the shares placed in escrow by the FOH Holdings stockholders will not mitigate the impact that such damage may have on the combined company's cash resources.

Under the merger agreement, the FOH Holdings stockholders are required to place in escrow a portion of the common stock they would have otherwise received upon consummation of the merger to cover their indemnification obligations to us. There is no way of predicting the total dollar amount of such claims. Although the satisfaction of an indemnification claim against FOH Holdings stockholders by reclaiming shares of our common stock to be placed in escrow will adjust the relative equity ownership between our current shareholders and the FOH Holdings stockholders, any related cash expenditures could be a drain on the cash resources of the combined company.

We and FOH Holdings may not be able to fully utilize each of our respective existing net operating loss carryovers in determining future taxable income.

As a result of the merger, our ability to use the net operating losses that we incurred prior to the merger will be limited on an annual basis. The ability of FOH Holdings to use its operating losses that it incurred prior to the merger may also be limited. Consequently, subsequent to the merger, our income tax liability and/or that of FOH Holdings may be greater than what it would have been had the merger not been effected. FOH Holdings, as of July 29, 2006, and Movie Star, as of June 30, 2006, had net operating loss carryforwards (for federal income tax purposes) of approximately \$5.2 million and \$9.1 million, respectively.

FOH Holdings has had two material weaknesses and other deficiencies in its internal accounting controls in the past, and additional material weaknesses or other deficiencies may be identified in the future. These material weaknesses could cause material weaknesses in the internal control over financial reporting of the combined company, which could hamper the combined company's ability to ensure timely and accurate financial reporting, causing investors to lose confidence and the stock price to decline.

In connection with the audit of FOH Holdings' consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007, which did not include an audit of the effectiveness of FOH Holdings' internal control over financial reporting, its independent registered public accounting firm reported to its audit committee two "material weaknesses" and other deficiencies in FOH Holdings' internal control over financial reporting, finding that:

- FOH Holdings did not perform reconciliations of significant accounts on a timely basis during the course of the year, nor did these reconciliations undergo the appropriate level of review; and
- FOH Holdings did not have adequate cut-off procedures to ensure that all costs during the year were properly recorded in the correct

period.

If these weaknesses are not adequately remediated or were to recur, or if additional weaknesses are identified or new or improved controls are not implemented successfully in a timely manner, the combined company's ability to assure timely and accurate financial reporting may be adversely affected. As a result, errors in financial reporting may occur and the combined company may be required to restate its financial

19

---

## Table of Contents

statements and shareholders could lose confidence in the accuracy and reliability of its financial statements. This could cause the market price of the combined company's stock to decline and could also lead to stockholder litigation.

Investor confidence and the combined company's stock price may be adversely impacted if the combined company has one or more material weaknesses in its internal control over financial reporting.

The SEC, as directed by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, adopted rules requiring public companies to include in their Annual Report on Form 10-K a report of management on the company's internal control over financial reporting that contains an assessment by management of the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting. The company's independent registered public accounting firm also must form an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. The combined company will be required to comply with these rules following the consummation of the merger. Management and our independent registered accounting firm may conclude that the internal control over financial reporting are not effective. Furthermore, effective internal control over financial reporting is important to the production of reliable financial reports and to help prevent fraud. As a result, a failure to achieve and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting could result in the loss of investor confidence in the reliability of the combined company's financial statements, which could harm the business and result in a decrease in the market price of its common stock. Failure to comply with Section 404 could potentially subject the combined company to sanctions or investigations by the SEC, the American Stock Exchange or other regulatory authorities.

## Risks Relating to the Rights Offering

Pursuant to the standby purchase agreement, our largest shareholder and the FOH Holdings stockholders agreed to purchase any shares of our common stock not subscribed for in the rights offering, which would enable them to materially influence the election of our directors and other major corporate decisions requiring the approval of our shareholders.

Pursuant to the standby purchase agreement, to the extent any shares of our common stock are not subscribed for in the rights offering, the Fursa standby purchasers have agreed to purchase, on a several but not on a joint and several basis, 50% of the unsubscribed shares, and TTG Apparel and Tokarz Investments have agreed to purchase the remaining 50%. Further, as sole consideration for the commitments by the parties entering into the standby purchase agreement with us, we will issue warrants representing the right to purchase an aggregate of 1,193,182 shares of our common stock. If no Movie Star shareholder participates in the rights offering, TTG Apparel, together with Tokarz Investments, would then beneficially own an aggregate of 38.8% of the combined company's outstanding common stock, after giving effect to the shares purchased under their standby purchase commitments, the issuance of the guarantor warrants and the issuance of the Series A preferred stock, and the Fursa standby purchasers would then beneficially own in the aggregate 37.9% of the combined company's outstanding common stock, after giving effect to the shares purchased under their standby purchase commitments, the issuance of the guarantor warrants and the issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock. Following the 18-month period after the consummation of the merger during which TTG Apparel, Tokarz Investments and the Fursa standby purchasers are limited in their abilities to act together and are subject to those actions that will require a supermajority vote of the combined company's directors, this substantial ownership of the combined company's common stock would enable them to influence the election of the combined company's directors and other significant corporate decisions and transactions with respect to which the combined company's shareholders are entitled to vote. See "Other Transaction Documents—Standby Purchase Agreement," "Other Transaction Documents—Standby Purchase Agreement—Rights Offering," "Other Transaction Documents—Standby Purchase Agreement—Guarantor Warrants," "Other Transaction Documents—Shareholders Agreement" and "The Merger Agreement—Amended and Restated Bylaws."



## Table of Contents

Current Movie Star shareholders may suffer further dilution of their percentage ownership of Movie Star common stock by not purchasing shares in the rights offering, and a lack of participation by Movie Star common shareholders may result in our largest shareholder and the FOH Holdings stockholders holding a greater controlling interest in the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock.

The issuance of shares of our common stock to the FOH Holdings stockholders in the merger will cause the percentage ownership of shares of our common stock held by Movie Star shareholders to decline significantly. If Movie Star shareholders do not fully participate in the rights offering, their proportionate voting and ownership interest will be further reduced and the percentage that their original shares represent of our expanded equity after exercise of the subscription rights will be diluted. For example, if a Movie Star shareholder owns 1% of our outstanding common stock (164,353 shares) on the record date, the new shares to be issued in the merger will reduce that shareholder's ownership percentage to approximately 0.41% of the shares outstanding immediately after the merger. If Movie Star shareholders do not exercise any subscription rights, then the percentage ownership of that shareholder will be further reduced to approximately 0.32%. The magnitude of the reduction of your percentage ownership will depend upon the extent to which you participate in the rights offering.

The rights offering may cause the price of Movie Star common stock to decrease immediately, and this decrease may continue.

The subscription price per share of \$1.76 is 85% of the average of the closing prices of Movie Star common stock on the American Stock Exchange during the 20 trading days immediately preceding the record date. This discount, along with the number of shares we propose to issue and ultimately will issue through the rights offering and/or through the standby purchase commitments for the rights offering, may result in an immediate decrease in the market value of Movie Star common stock. This decrease may continue after the completion of the rights offering. On November 26, 2007, the closing price of Movie Star common stock was \$1.93 per share.

### Risks Related to the Businesses of Movie Star and Frederick's of Hollywood

The following risk factors apply to us and Frederick's of Hollywood, individually, where appropriate, and also are expected to apply to the combined company following the consummation of the merger.

If the combined company cannot compete effectively in the retail and wholesale apparel industry, its business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected.

The intimate apparel industry is highly competitive, both on the wholesale and retail levels. The wholesale industry is characterized by a large number of small companies manufacturing and selling unbranded merchandise, and by several large companies which have developed widespread consumer recognition of the brand names associated with merchandise manufactured and sold by these companies. In addition, some of the larger retailers to whom we have historically sold our products have sought to expand the development and marketing of their own brands and to obtain intimate apparel products directly from the same or similar sources from which we obtain our products. Many of these companies have greater financial, technical and sourcing capabilities than we do. If we do not continue to provide high quality and reliable services on a timely basis at competitive prices, we may not be able to continue to compete in our industry. If we are unable to compete successfully, we could lose one or more of our significant customers which, if not replaced, could negatively impact sales and have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, as well as those of the combined company after the consummation of the merger.

Frederick's of Hollywood competes with a variety of retailers, including national department store chains, national and international specialty apparel chains, apparel catalog businesses and online apparel businesses that sell similar lines of merchandise. Since Frederick's of Hollywood emerged from bankruptcy in 2003, it has been limited in its ability to invest in its business and infrastructure. Many of Frederick's of Hollywood's competitors may have greater financial, distribution, logistics, marketing and other resources available to them and may be able to adapt to changes in customer requirements more

21

---



## Table of Contents

quickly, devote greater resources to the design, sourcing, distribution, marketing and sale of their products, generate greater national brand recognition or adopt more aggressive pricing policies. If Frederick's of Hollywood is unable to overcome these potential competitive disadvantages, such factors could have an adverse effect on Frederick's of Hollywood's business, financial condition and results of operations, as well as those of the combined company after the consummation of the merger.

The failure to successfully order and manage inventory to reflect customer demand and anticipate changing consumer preferences and buying trends may adversely affect the combined company's revenue and profitability.

The success of the combined company depends, in part, on management's ability to anticipate and respond effectively to rapidly changing fashion trends and consumer tastes and to translate market trends into appropriate, saleable product offerings. Generally, merchandise must be ordered well in advance of the applicable selling season and the extended lead times may make it difficult to respond rapidly to new or changing product trends or price changes. If the combined company is unable to successfully anticipate, identify or react to changing styles or trends and misjudges the market for its products or its customers' purchasing habits, then its product offerings may be poorly received by the ultimate consumer and may require substantial discounts to sell, which would reduce sales revenue and lower profit margins. In addition, the combined company will incur additional costs if it needs to redesign its product offerings. Brand image also may suffer if customers believe that the combined company is unable to offer innovative products, respond to the latest fashion trends or maintain product quality.

The combined company will depend on key personnel and it may not be able to operate and grow the business effectively if it loses the services of any key personnel or is unable to attract qualified personnel in the future.

The combined company will be dependent upon the continuing service of key personnel and the hiring of other qualified employees. In particular, the combined company will be dependent upon the management and leadership of Peter Cole, who will be the Executive Chairman of the combined company, Melvyn Knigin, who will be the Chief Executive Officer of the Movie Star division, Linda LoRe, who will be the Chief Executive Officer of the Frederick's of Hollywood division, and Thomas Rende, who will be the Chief Financial Officer of the combined company. The loss of any of them or other key personnel could affect the combined company's ability to operate the business effectively. Other than a \$5 million policy on the life of Mr. Knigin, neither we nor Frederick's of Hollywood carries key man insurance for any management or other key personnel. The death of a key employee of the combined company could adversely affect its profitability and there would be no insurance to mitigate the loss.

Frederick's of Hollywood historically has depended on a high volume of mall traffic, the lack of which would hurt the combined company's business.

Most Frederick's of Hollywood stores are located in shopping malls. Sales at these stores are influenced, in part, by the volume of mall traffic. Frederick's of Hollywood stores benefit from the ability of the malls' "anchor" tenants, generally large department stores, and other area attractions to generate customer traffic in the vicinity of its stores and the continuing popularity of malls as shopping destinations. A decline in the desirability of the shopping environment of a particular mall, whether due to the closing of an anchor tenant or competition from non-mall retailers, or a decline in the popularity of shopping malls generally, could reduce the volume of mall traffic, which could have an adverse effect on the combined company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

If leases for Frederick's of Hollywood stores cannot be negotiated on reasonable terms, the combined company's growth and profitability could be harmed.

The growth in Frederick's of Hollywood's sales is significantly dependent on management's ability to operate retail stores in desirable locations with capital investments and lease costs that allow for the opportunity to earn a reasonable return. Desirable locations and configurations may not be available at a reasonable cost, or at all. If Frederick's of Hollywood is unable to renew or replace its store leases or enter into leases for new stores on favorable terms, the combined company's growth and profitability could be harmed.

22

---

## Table of Contents

Movie Star relies on one key customer, and a significant decrease in business from or the loss of this key customer could substantially reduce revenues.

Wal-Mart accounted for approximately 25% and 51% of our sales for fiscal years 2006 and 2007, respectively. We do not have a long-term contract with Wal-Mart and, therefore, our business is subject to significant unpredictable increases and decreases in sales depending upon the size and number of orders we receive from Wal-Mart. Accordingly, a significant decrease in business from or loss of Wal-Mart as a customer would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, which, in turn, would affect the business, financial condition and results of operations of the combined company.

The extent of our and Frederick's of Hollywood's foreign sourcing and manufacturing may adversely affect the combined company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Substantially all of our and Frederick's of Hollywood's products are manufactured outside the United States. As a result of the magnitude of foreign sourcing and manufacturing, our respective businesses are, and the business of the combined company will be, subject to the following risks:

- political and economic instability in foreign countries, including heightened terrorism and other security concerns, which could subject imported or exported goods to additional or more frequent inspections, leading to delays in deliveries or impoundment of goods, or to an increase in transportation costs of raw materials or finished product;
- the imposition of regulations and quotas relating to imports, including quotas imposed by bilateral textile agreements between the United States and foreign countries, including China, where both companies conduct business;
- the imposition of duties, taxes and other charges on imports;
- significant fluctuation of the value of the U.S. dollar against foreign currencies;
- restrictions on the transfer of funds to or from foreign countries; and
- violations by foreign contractors of labor and wage standards and resulting adverse publicity.

If these risks limit or prevent us or Frederick's of Hollywood from selling, manufacturing or acquiring products from foreign suppliers, the operations of the combined company could be disrupted until alternative suppliers are found, which could negatively impact its business, financial condition and results of operations.

Both companies operate on very tight delivery schedules and, if there are delays and expected delivery dates cannot be met, it could negatively affect the combined company's profitability.

If there is a delay in the delivery of goods and delivery schedules cannot be met, then our wholesale customers and both our and Frederick's of Hollywood's retail customers may cancel their orders or request a reduced price for the delivery of their orders. If orders are canceled, it would result in an over-inventoried position and require the sale of inventory at low or negative gross profits, which would reduce the combined company's profitability. Both companies may also incur extra costs to meet customer delivery dates, which would also reduce the combined company's profitability.

Any disruptions at our or Frederick's of Hollywood's distribution centers could materially affect the ability of the combined company to distribute products, which could lead to a reduction in the combined company's revenue and/or profits.

Frederick's of Hollywood's and our respective distribution centers in Phoenix, AZ and Poplarville, MS will serve the combined company's customers. There is no backup facility or any alternate distribution arrangements in place. If either company experiences disruptions at its distribution center that impede the timeliness or fulfillment of the products being distributed, or either distribution center is partially or

23

---

## Table of Contents

completely destroyed, becomes inaccessible, or is otherwise not fully usable, whether due to unexpected circumstances such as weather conditions or disruption of the transportation systems or uncontrollable factors such as terrorism and war, it would have a material adverse effect on the combined company's ability to distribute its products, which in turn would have a material adverse effect on the combined company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The failure to upgrade information technology systems as necessary could have an adverse effect on the combined company's operations.

Some of our and Frederick's of Hollywood's information technology systems, which are primarily utilized to manage information necessary to price and ship products, manage production and inventory and generate reports to evaluate each company's respective business operations, are dated and are comprised of multiple applications, rather than one overarching state-of-the-art system. Modifications involve replacing legacy systems with successor systems, making changes to legacy systems or acquiring new systems with new functionality. If the combined company is unable to effectively implement these systems and update them where necessary, this could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition and results of operations.

The processing, storage and use of personal data could give rise to liabilities as a result of governmental regulation, conflicting legal requirements or differing views of personal privacy rights.

The collection of data and processing of transactions through Frederick's of Hollywood's websites and through its call centers, require Frederick's of Hollywood, and will require the combined company, to receive and store a large volume of personally identifiable data. This type of data is subject to legislation and regulation in various jurisdictions. The combined company may become exposed to potential liabilities with respect to the data that it collects, manages and processes, and may incur legal costs if the combined company's information security policies and procedures are not effective or if it is required to defend its respective methods of collection, processing and storage of personal data. Future investigations, lawsuits or adverse publicity relating to its methods of handling personal data could adversely affect the combined company's business, financial condition and results of operations due to the costs and negative market reaction relating to such developments.

The combined company may not have the personnel and the infrastructure to successfully complete Frederick's of Hollywood's store expansion plan and remodeling program following the merger.

The growth of the combined company will depend, in part, on its ability to open and operate Frederick's of Hollywood's stores successfully and to manage Frederick's of Hollywood's planned retail store expansion. Frederick's of Hollywood's store expansion plan calls for the opening of approximately 50 new stores over the three years commencing with the closing of the merger. Additionally, Frederick's of Hollywood is currently implementing a program of regularly remodeling or expanding existing stores. There can be no assurance that the combined company will be able to achieve such store expansion goals, manage its growth effectively, successfully integrate the planned new stores into its operations effectively remodel or expand its stores or operate its new and remodeled stores profitably.

Frederick's of Hollywood's collection and remittance of sales and use tax may be subject to audit and may expose the combined company to liabilities for unpaid sales or use taxes, interest and penalties on past sales.

Frederick's of Hollywood sells its products through three channels: retail specialty stores, mail order catalogs and the Internet. Frederick's of Hollywood has historically operated its channels separately and accounts for sales and use tax

separately. Currently, its mail order and Internet subsidiaries collect and pay sales tax to the relevant state taxing authority on sales made to residents in any state in which Frederick's of Hollywood has a physical presence. Frederick's of Hollywood and its subsidiaries are periodically audited by state government authorities. It is possible that one or more states may disagree with Frederick's of Hollywood's method of assessing and remitting these taxes, including sales tax on catalog and Internet sales. It is expected that the combined company will challenge any and all future assertions by state governmental authorities or private litigants that it owes sales or use tax, but the combined company may not prevail. If the combined company does not prevail, it could be held liable for substantial sales and use taxes, interest and penalties which could have an adverse effect on the profitability of the combined company.

## Table of Contents

The combined company could be sued for trademark infringement, which could force it to incur substantial costs and devote significant resources to defend the litigation.

We and Frederick's of Hollywood use many trademarks and product designs in our businesses and believe these trademarks and product designs are important to each of our businesses and the combined company's competitive position and success. As appropriate, both companies rely on trademark and copyright laws to protect these designs even if not formally registered as marks, copyrights or designs. Third parties may sue us, Frederick's of Hollywood, and/or the combined company for alleged infringement of their proprietary rights. The party claiming infringement might have greater resources than the combined company to pursue its claims, and the combined company could be forced to incur substantial costs and devote significant management resources to defend the litigation. Moreover, if the party claiming infringement were to prevail, the combined company could be forced to discontinue the use of the related trademark, patent or design and/or pay significant damages, or to enter into expensive royalty or licensing arrangements with the prevailing party, assuming these royalty or licensing arrangements are available at all on an economically feasible basis, which they may not be.

If the combined company cannot protect its trademarks and other proprietary intellectual property rights, its business may be adversely affected.

We and Frederick's of Hollywood may experience difficulty in effectively limiting unauthorized use of our respective trademarks and product designs worldwide which may cause significant damage to our or Frederick's of Hollywood's brand name and our respective ability to effectively represent ourselves to our agents, suppliers, vendors and/or customers. The combined company may not be successful in enforcing its trademark and other proprietary rights and there can be no assurance that it will be adequately protected in all countries or that it will prevail when defending its trademark and proprietary rights.

### Risks Related to the Reverse Stock Split

If a reverse stock split is implemented, the market price per share of our common stock after the reverse stock split may not exceed or remain in excess of the current market price, which could impact the combined company's ability to maintain an American Stock Exchange listing.

Due to the structure of the proposed merger through which FOH Holdings' stockholders will be the majority shareholders of the combined company immediately following the effective time of the merger, the American Stock Exchange has informed us that we would be obligated to satisfy the American Stock Exchange's original listing standards which, among other things, require that our shares of common stock have a bid price of at least \$2.00 per share. Any reverse stock split would become effective after the closing of the rights offering. Accordingly, if the reverse stock split is effected, the number of shares each shareholder purchases through the rights offering will be reduced to reflect the reverse split. If our bid price is less than \$2.00 per share and we are required to effect a reverse stock split, there can be no assurance that the market price of the combined company's common stock after effecting such reverse stock split will increase in proportion to the reduction in the number of shares of our common stock issued and outstanding before the reverse stock split. Further, the market price per share of the combined company's common stock following the effective time of the reverse stock split may not be maintained for any period of time following the reverse stock split. For example, based on the closing price of our common stock on November 26, 2007 of \$1.93 per share, if the reverse stock split was implemented at 1 for 2, there can be no assurance that the post-split market price of our common stock would be \$3.86, or even that it would remain above the pre-split market price. Failure to do so may impact the combined company's ability to maintain an American Stock Exchange listing.





Table of Contents

SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OTHER DATA AND SUMMARY SELECTED  
PRO FORMA  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

We are providing the following selected financial information to assist you in your analysis of the financial aspects of the merger and related transactions.

FOH Holdings' consolidated balance sheet data as of July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006 and the consolidated statements of operations data for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005 and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, are derived from FOH Holdings' consolidated financial statements audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, which are included elsewhere in this proxy statement. FOH Holdings' consolidated balance sheet data as of July 30, 2005, July 31, 2004, and July 26, 2003 and the consolidated statements of operations data for the year ended July 31, 2004, the seven months ended July 26, 2003 and the five months ended December 31, 2002 have been derived from FOH Holdings' audited consolidated financial statements, which are not included in this proxy statement.

Movie Star's consolidated balance sheet data as of June 30, 2007 and 2006 and the consolidated statements of operations data for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2007, are derived from Movie Star's consolidated financial statements audited by Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C., an independent registered public accounting firm, which are included elsewhere in this proxy statement. Movie Star's consolidated balance sheet data as of June 30, 2005, 2004 and 2003 and the consolidated statements of operations data for the years ended June 30, 2004 and 2003 have been derived from Movie Star's audited consolidated financial statements, which are not included in this proxy statement.

Movie Star's consolidated balance sheet data as of September 30, 2007 and the consolidated statements of operations data for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 are derived from Movie Star's unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements which are included elsewhere in this proxy statement. In the opinion of Movie Star's management, the unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) that are necessary for a fair presentation of such financial statements.

The selected financial information of FOH Holdings and Movie Star is only a summary and should be read in conjunction with each company's historical consolidated financial statements and related notes and each of FOH Holdings' and Movie Star's "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. The information presented may not be indicative of the future performance of FOH Holdings, Movie Star or the combined company.

## Table of Contents

### FOH Holdings' Selected Historical Consolidated Financial and Other Data

The selected consolidated financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with "FOH Holdings' Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes to the financial statements of FOH Holdings. The consolidated financial statements of FOH Holdings include the accounts of FOH Holdings, Inc. and its operating subsidiaries: Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc., Hollywood Mail Order, LLC, Frederick's of Hollywood Stores, Inc., and Frederick's.com, Inc.

### Fiscal 2003 Presentation

On July 10, 2000, FOH Holdings, excluding its subsidiary Frederick's.com, Inc., filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code with the United States Bankruptcy Court. The Bankruptcy Court confirmed the plan of reorganization on December 18, 2002, it became effective on January 6, 2003, and at the close of business on that day FOH Holdings emerged from bankruptcy. FOH Holdings prior to emergence from bankruptcy is referred to as the "Predecessor" and the emerged company is referred to as the "First Successor". FOH Holdings' selected financial data for periods prior to January 6, 2003 included in this proxy statement reflect the financial results of the Predecessor. FOH Holdings' selected financial data for periods as of January 6, 2003 to March 3, 2005 included in this proxy statement reflect the financial results of the First Successor.

FOH Holdings applied the accounting and reporting requirements of "fresh start" accounting to the First Successor effective January 6, 2003. As a result of applying fresh start accounting, the First Successor's results of operations for periods after emergence from bankruptcy are not comparable to the Predecessor's results of operations for periods prior to emergence from bankruptcy, and therefore, the combined results for fiscal 2003 should not be taken as indicative of historical or future results.

### Fiscal 2005 Presentation

On March 3, 2005, Tokarz Investments and Fursa, along with its affiliated funds, acting together as a collaborative group, purchased in a private shareholder transaction the outstanding common stock of FOH Holdings held by all other shareholders. Additionally, one of the investors, an existing debt holder, purchased directly from the non-affiliated former lenders the outstanding balances under FOH Holdings' term loans (collectively the "Tranche A, B and C"). Accordingly, pushdown accounting has been applied as of the date of these transactions. FOH Holdings' selected financial data for periods as of and subsequent to March 3, 2005 reflect the results of the "Second Successor." The purchase price in excess of the fair value of the assets was allocated to FOH Holdings' assets based on their respective fair values in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 141, Business Combinations. As a result of applying purchase accounting, the results of operations of the Second Successor for periods after March 3, 2005 are not comparable to the results of operations for periods prior to March 3, 2005, and therefore, the results for fiscal 2005 should not be taken as indicative of historical or future results. The results are further separated by a heavy black line to indicate the effective date of the new basis of accounting.

### Financial Operations Overview

FOH Holdings assesses the performance of its business using various financial and operating measures, which primarily include:

• Net sales —

Net sales include sales of merchandise from retail stores, catalogs and websites, less discounts and sales return allowances.

• Comparable store sales — Comparable store sales include net merchandise sales from stores that have been open for one complete fiscal year. FOH Holdings excludes new and permanently

27

---

Table of Contents

relocated store locations from the comparable store sales until they have been in operation for one complete fiscal year. Similarly, stores that are expanded or down-sized by more than 30% are also excluded from the comparable store base until they have been in operation in their new configuration for one complete fiscal year. Comparable store sales do not include net sales from the catalogs and websites.

- Average monthly retail sales per square foot — Average monthly retail sales per square foot is determined by dividing net sales from retail stores for the respective period presented by the average of the beginning and ending store gross square footage for the respective period divided by the number of months in the period. Excluded from the numerator and the denominator are store sales and square footage corresponding to stores that have been closed at the expiration of their lease through July 28, 2007.

- Gross profit — FOH Holdings reports gross profit equal to the net sales less the costs of goods sold, buying and occupancy. FOH Holdings includes in the costs of goods sold, buying and occupancy the cost of merchandise and inventory markdowns, freight from vendors, shipping and handling, payroll and benefits for the design, buying, and merchandising personnel, warehouse and distribution costs, and store occupancy costs. FOH Holdings' management uses product margin (net sales less cost of goods sold) as a primary measure to manage the business and assess the performance of its operations. Store occupancy costs include rent, deferred rent, common area maintenance, utilities, real estate taxes, and depreciation. Other costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses. As a result, the gross profit may not be comparable to those of other retailers.

- Selling, General and Administrative expenses — Selling, general, and administrative expenses primarily include payroll and benefit costs for FOH Holdings' retail store, catalog, and Internet selling and administrative departments (including corporate functions), advertising, and other operating expenses not specifically categorized elsewhere in the consolidated statements of operations.

- Interest expense, net — Interest includes interest on the FOH Holdings revolving line of credit facility, the secured term loans and the long-term debt.

## Table of Contents

The following tables set forth selected historical financial and other data for FOH Holdings and Movie Star as of the dates and for the periods indicated.

FOH Holdings' Selected Historical Consolidated Financial and Other Data  
(dollar amounts in thousands, except store operating data)

	[Second Successor]	[First Successor]	[Predecessor]	Year
ended				
July 28,				
2007 Year				
ended				
July 29,				
2006 Five				
Months				
ended				
July 30,				
2005 Seven				
Months				
ended				
March 3,				
2005 Year				
ended				
July 31,				
2004 Seven				
Months				
ended				
July 26,				
2003 Five				
Months				
ended				
December 31,				
2002 Statement of Operations Data:				
				Net sales \$ 155,238 \$ 136,705 \$
46,807 \$ 76,785 \$ 128,164 \$ 61,066 \$ 52,624				Cost of goods sold, buying and occupancy 90,201
80,102 27,026 44,122 71,621 41,643 31,390				Gross profit 65,037 56,603 19,781
32,663 56,543 19,423 21,234				Selling, general and administrative expenses 61,996 57,579
20,279 35,173 54,931 19,422 20,940				Operating income (loss) from continuing operations 3,041
(976 ) (498 ) (2,510 ) 1,612 1 294				Interest expense, net 2,093 2,422 700 1,102
1,592 836 335				Reorganization items (income) expense(a) — — — — — (15,112 )
extinguishment(b) — — — — — (20,471 )				Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax
provision (benefit) 948 (3,398 ) (1,198 ) (3,612 ) 20 (835 ) 35,542				Income tax provision
(benefit) 548 127 (128 ) (953 ) 8 557 (105 )				Income (loss) from continuing operations 400
(3,525 ) (1,070 ) (2,659 ) 12 (1,392 ) 35,647				Income (loss) from discontinued operations(c)
41 240 (166 ) 440 950 2 565				Net income (loss) \$ 441 \$ (3,285 ) \$ (1,236 ) \$ (2,219
) \$ 962 \$ (1,390 ) \$ 36,212 At				

July 28,  
2007 At  
July 29,  
2006 At  
July 30,  
2005 At  
July 31,  
2004 At  
July 26,  
2003

Balance Sheet Data:				Working capital (deficiency)				\$ (4,638 )	\$ (299 )
\$ (1,562 )	\$ 2,080	\$ 1,377	Goodwill	\$ 6,678	\$ 7,299	\$ 7,299	\$ 585	\$ 585	
Trademarks	\$ 18,090	\$ 18,090	\$ 18,090	\$ 13,900	\$ 13,900	Total assets	\$ 70,525	\$	
60,734	\$ 58,733	\$ 39,848	\$ 38,540	Total debt-related party	\$ 19,429	\$ 18,742	\$ 18,095		
\$ 17,287	\$ 17,309	Stockholders' equity (deficiency)	\$ 12,641	\$ 12,059	\$ 11,344	\$ 174			
\$ (788 )	Store Operating								

Data(d):	Percentage increase (decrease) in comparable store sales(e)								9.2 %
6.5 %	(3.6 )%	(4.1 )%	11.4 %	1.5 %	0.5 %	Total square footage at the end of the			
period(f)	235,229	225,634	229,626	229,536	231,578	243,638	257,589	Average monthly	
retail sales per square foot	\$ 33.00	\$ 31.07	\$ 21.41	\$ 37.56	\$ 32.00	\$ 28.63	\$ 35.31	Number of	
retail stores:									
				Open at beginning of period	134	140	140	145	155
166	166	Opened during the period	5	5	—	4	2	1	Closed during the period
—	(9)	(12)	(11)	(1)	Open at the end of the period	133	134	140	140
166									145
29									155

Table of Contents

(a) The financial statements prior to emergence from bankruptcy on January 6, 2003 include amounts directly related to the Chapter 11 filing that were recognized as incurred and are included as reorganization items in the consolidated statements of operations. (b) In accordance with SOP 90-7, FOH Holdings recorded a net gain of approximately \$20.5 million related to the discharge of its liabilities upon emergence from bankruptcy for the five months ended December 31, 2002. (c) Income (loss) from discontinued operations represents the net income (loss) of those stores closed at the expiration of their leases. Stores closed in a period will have their prior results reflected in discontinued operations for all of the previous periods presented that the store was in operation. (d) Represents financial measures used by FOH Holdings' management to assess business performance. (e) Represents increase (decrease) over respective prior year comparable periods. Comparable store sales include net merchandise sales from stores that have been open for one complete fiscal year, but exclude net merchandise sales from new or permanently relocated store locations until they have been in operation for one complete fiscal year. Also excluded from comparable sales are those stores that have had a change in selling square footage of 30% or more until they have been in operation in their new configuration for one complete fiscal year. (f) Represents total retail store gross square footage at the end of each reported period.

## Table of Contents

Movie Star Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data  
(in thousands, except per share data)

## Three Months Ended

September 30,	Fiscal Years Ended June 30,	2007	2006	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	Statement of
Operations Data:									
				Net sales	\$ 11,939	\$ 18,690	\$ 63,493	\$ 51,639	\$
58,533	\$ 53,691	\$ 64,916	Cost of sales	8,577	12,867	43,144	37,528	44,304	37,581
44,345	Selling, general and administrative expenses		4,502	4,487	17,434	16,310	19,024	15,824	
14,623	Insurance recovery		—	—	(1,450)	—	—	Merger-related fees	358 539 2,391
246	Gain on sale of property, plant and equipment		—	—	(496)	—	—	—	13,437
17,893	62,473	52,634	63,328	53,405	58,968	Operating (loss) income from continuing operations			
(1,498)	797	1,020	(995)	(4,795)	286	5,948	Interest income		
(12)	(4)	Interest expense		112	187	609	476	282	76 351
(Loss) income from continuing operations before income tax (benefit) provision									
				(1,610)	610	416	(1,468)	(5,076)	222 5,601
	Income tax (benefit) provision		(644)	244	270	(468)	(1,954)	94	2,170
\$ (966)	\$ 366	\$ 146	\$ (1,000)	\$ (3,122)	\$ 128	\$ 3,431	Basic net (loss) income per share		
) \$ .02	\$ .01	\$ (.06)	\$ (.20)	\$ .01	\$ .23	Diluted net (loss) income per share			
.01	\$ (.06)	\$ (.20)	\$ .01	\$ .22	Basic weighted average number of shares outstanding				
15,763	16,089	15,700	15,625	15,574	15,133	Diluted weighted average number of shares			
outstanding	16,432	15,950	16,671	15,700	15,625	16,199	15,407		

## At September 30,

2007	At June 30,	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	Balance Sheet Data:	Working
capital	\$ 7,954	\$ 9,590	\$ 8,932	\$ 10,673	\$ 16,543	\$ 15,979	Total assets	\$ 27,581 \$ 22,338
23,221	\$ 24,907	\$ 20,779	\$ 24,089	Short-term debt – Including current maturities of long-term debt and capital lease obligations				\$ 9,660 \$ 4,183 \$ 4,955 \$ 4,794 \$ — \$ 2,304
Long-term debt – Including deferred lease and other long-term liabilities								\$ 345 \$ 379 \$ 398 \$ 390 \$ 374 \$ 325
equity	\$ 13,681	\$ 14,605	\$ 13,782	\$ 14,677	\$ 17,747	\$ 17,264	Shareholders'	

31



## Table of Contents

## Summary Selected Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information

The following summary selected pro forma condensed consolidated financial information should be read in conjunction with the Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information and related notes included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The merger of FOH Holdings with a subsidiary of Movie Star will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting as a reverse acquisition with FOH Holdings being treated as having acquired Movie Star as of the date of the completion of the merger. For a more detailed description of purchase accounting, see “The Merger and Related Transactions—Anticipated Accounting Treatment.” The historical financial information set forth below has been derived from, and is qualified by reference to, the consolidated financial statements of FOH Holdings and Movie Star and should be read in conjunction with those financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations for the year ended July 28, 2007 give effect to the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement as if they had occurred on July 30, 2006. The Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of July 28, 2007 and June 30, 2007 for FOH Holdings and Movie Star, respectively, give effect to the merger and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement as if they occurred on July 28, 2007. Because FOH Holdings is the accounting acquirer, the pro forma reporting periods have been conformed to FOH Holdings’ reporting periods. You should not rely on this pro forma information as being indicative of the results that would actually have been obtained if the merger had been in effect for the above-mentioned periods or the future results of the combined company. See “Where You Can Find More Information” and “Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information.”

## Year Ended

July 28,

2007	(in thousands, except per share data)	Pro Forma Statement of Operations Information:	Net sales
\$ 215,530	Income from continuing operations	\$ 230	Less: Preferred stock dividends \$ (563 )
available to common shareholders	\$ (333 )	Loss from continuing operations per share:	— basic \$ (0.01 )
— diluted \$ (0.01 )	Shares used in computing basic and diluted loss per share:	— basic	46,504
diluted	46,504	As of July 28,	

2007	(in thousands)	Pro Forma Balance Sheet Information:	Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 20,251	
Total current assets	\$ 59,527	Total liabilities	\$ 62,018	Shareholders’ equity	\$ 53,643

32

Table of Contents

SPECIAL MEETING IN LIEU OF the  
ANNUAL MEETING OF MOVIE STAR SHAREHOLDERS

General

We are furnishing this proxy statement to Movie Star shareholders as part of the solicitation of proxies by our board of directors for use at the special meeting in lieu of the annual meeting of Movie Star shareholders to be held on January 23, 2008, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. This proxy statement is first being furnished to our shareholders on or about November 30, 2007 in connection with the vote on the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal, the reverse stock split proposal, the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal, the director election proposal and the adjournment proposal. This document provides you with the information you need to know to be able to vote or instruct your vote to be cast at the special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

The special meeting of shareholders will be held on January 23, 2008, at 10:30 a.m., Eastern Time, at Club 101 on the Main Floor, 101 Park Avenue, New York, New York.

Purpose of the Movie Star Special Meeting

At the special meeting, we are asking holders of Movie Star common stock to:

- approve the issuance of 39,370,436 shares of common stock in connection with the merger, the rights offering and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement (stock issuance proposal);
- approve an amendment to our certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of our common stock from 30,000,000 to 200,000,000 shares (increase of authorized common stock proposal);
- approve an amendment to our certificate of incorporation to change Movie Star's name to Frederick's of Hollywood Group Inc. (name change proposal);
- approve an amendment to our certificate of incorporation to authorize the issuance of 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock and to establish the terms, rights, preferences and privileges of the Series A Preferred Stock (preferred stock proposal);
- authorize our board of directors, in its discretion, to amend our certificate of incorporation to effect a reverse stock split of our outstanding common stock immediately prior to the closing of the merger within a range to be determined by our board of directors from 9 for 10 to 1 for 2, in order to satisfy the minimum price requirement of \$2.00 per share for continued listing on the American Stock Exchange (reverse stock split proposal);
- approve our Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the plan from 750,000 shares of common stock to 4,000,000 shares of common stock and to add a 500,000 share limit on grants to any individual in any one calendar year (amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal);
- elect eleven directors to our board of directors to serve from the effective time of the merger until the annual meeting to be held in

2008 and their successors are elected and qualified (director election proposal); and

adjournment of the special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation and vote of proxies in the event there are insufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt any of the foregoing proposals (adjournment proposal).

- approve the

33

---

## Table of Contents

### Recommendation of Movie Star Board of Directors

#### Our board of directors:

• has unanimously determined that each of the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal, the reverse stock split proposal, the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal, the adjournment proposal and the election of each of our nominees for director is fair to and in the best interests of Movie Star and our shareholders;

• has unanimously approved the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal, the reverse stock split proposal, the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal, the adjournment proposal and the election of each of our nominees for director;

• unanimously recommends that our common shareholders vote “FOR” the stock issuance proposal;

• unanimously recommends that our common shareholders vote “FOR” the increase of authorized common stock proposal;

• unanimously recommends that our common shareholders vote “FOR” the name change proposal;

• unanimously recommends that our common shareholders vote “FOR” the preferred stock proposal;

• unanimously recommends that our common shareholders vote “FOR” the reverse stock split proposal;

• unanimously recommends that our common shareholders vote “FOR” the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal;

• unanimously recommends that our common shareholders vote “FOR” the persons nominated by our current board for election as directors; and

• unanimously recommends that our common shareholders vote “FOR” the adjournment proposal.

#### Record Date; Who is Entitled to Vote

We have fixed the close of business on November 27, 2007, as the “record date” for determining Movie Star shareholders entitled to notice of and to attend and vote at the special meeting. As of the close of business on November 27, 2007, there were 16,435,275 shares of our common stock outstanding and entitled to vote. Each share of our common stock is entitled to one vote per share at the special meeting. This same record date will also be used for purposes of determining which Movie Star shareholders are eligible to participate in the rights offering.

#### Quorum

The presence, in person or by proxy, of a majority of all the outstanding shares of our common stock constitutes a quorum at the special meeting.

#### Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes

Proxies that are marked “abstain” and proxies relating to “street name” shares that are returned to us but marked by brokers as “not voted” will be treated as shares present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum on all matters. Such shares marked by brokers as “not voted” will not be treated as shares entitled to vote on the proposal as to which authority to vote is withheld from the broker. If you do not give the broker voting instructions, under the rules of the NASD, your broker may not vote your shares on the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal, the reverse stock split proposal and the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal.

34

---

## Table of Contents

### Vote of Our Shareholders Required

The approval of the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal and the preferred stock proposal will require the affirmative vote of both (i) the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock on the record date and (ii) the holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock that are held by Movie Star's shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates on the record date. Abstentions from voting with respect to these proposals and shares which are subject to shareholder withholding or broker non-vote will have the same effect as a vote against the proposals.

The approval of the reverse stock split proposal will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock on the record date. Abstentions from voting with respect to this proposal and shares which are subject to shareholder withholding or broker non-vote will have the same effect as a vote against the reverse stock split proposal.

The approval of the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal and the adjournment proposal will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting. Abstentions from voting with respect to these proposals and shares which are subject to shareholder withholding or broker non-vote will not be counted in determining the number of votes required for a majority and will therefore have no effect on such vote.

Directors are elected by a plurality. "Plurality" means that the individuals who receive the highest number of votes cast "FOR" election are elected as directors. Any shares not voted "FOR" a particular nominee (whether as a result of abstentions, a direction to withhold authority or a broker non-vote) will not be counted in the nominee's favor.

The approval of the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal and the preferred stock proposal are conditions to the consummation of the merger. The approval of the reverse stock split proposal, the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal and the director election proposal are not conditions to the consummation of the merger, but are being proposed in connection with the merger and will not be presented at the meeting for a vote if the proposals that are conditions to the merger are not approved. If any of the stock issuance proposal or the increase of authorized common stock proposal is not approved, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. If any of the name change proposal or the preferred stock proposal is not approved, absent a waiver by FOH Holdings and us, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

### Voting Your Shares

Each share of Movie Star common stock that you own in your name entitles you to one vote. Your proxy card shows the number of shares of our common stock that you own.

There are two ways to vote your shares of Movie Star common stock at the special meeting:

- You can vote by signing and returning the enclosed proxy card. If you vote by proxy card, your "proxy," whose name is listed on the proxy card, will vote your shares as you instruct on the proxy card. If you sign and return the proxy card but do not give instructions on how to vote your shares, your shares will be voted as recommended by our board "FOR" the approval of the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal, the reverse stock split proposal, the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan

proposal, the persons nominated by Movie Star's current board for election as directors and, if necessary, the adjournment proposal. Votes received after a matter has been voted upon at the special meeting will not be counted.

- You can attend the special meeting and vote in person. We will give you a ballot when you arrive. However, if your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or another nominee, you must get a proxy from the broker, bank or other nominee. That is the only way we can be sure that the broker, bank or nominee has not already voted your shares.

35

---

## Table of Contents

### Revoking Your Proxy

If you give a proxy, you may revoke it at any time before it is exercised by doing any one of the following:

• you may  
send another proxy card with a later date;

• you may notify  
Thomas Rende, our Chief Financial Officer, in writing before the special meeting that you have revoked your proxy;  
or  
• you  
may attend the special meeting, revoke your proxy, and vote in person, as indicated above.

### Who Can Answer Your Questions About Voting Your Shares

If you have any questions about how to vote or direct a vote in respect of your shares of our common stock, you may call Morrow & Co., Inc., our proxy solicitor, at (800) 607-0088, or Thomas Rende, our Chief Financial Officer, at (212) 798-4700.

### No Additional Matters May Be Presented at the Special Meeting

This special meeting has been called only to consider the approval of the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal, the reverse stock split proposal, the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal, the director election proposal and the adjournment proposal. Under our by-laws, other than procedural matters incident to the conduct of the meeting, no other matters may be considered at the special meeting if they are not included in the notice of the meeting.

### Appraisal Rights

Shareholders of Movie Star do not have appraisal rights under the NYBCL in connection with the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

### Proxy Solicitation Costs

We are soliciting proxies on behalf of our board of directors. This solicitation is being made by mail but also may be made by telephone or in person. We and our directors, officers and employees may also solicit proxies in person, by telephone or by other electronic means.

We have hired Morrow & Co., Inc. to assist in the proxy solicitation process. We will pay Morrow & Co., Inc. a fee of approximately \$7,500 plus expenses.

We will ask banks, brokers and other institutions, nominees and fiduciaries to forward the proxy materials to their principals and to obtain their authority to execute proxies and voting instructions. We will reimburse them for their reasonable expenses.



## Table of Contents

### THE MERGER AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

#### General Description

Pursuant to the merger agreement, Merger Sub, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Movie Star, will merge with and into FOH Holdings and FOH Holdings will be the surviving entity and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Movie Star. The separate corporate existence of Merger Sub will cease. Movie Star will be renamed Frederick's of Hollywood Group Inc. after completion of the merger. The holders of all the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of FOH Holdings immediately prior to the completion of the merger will initially receive approximately 23.7 million shares of Movie Star common stock. Each outstanding option to purchase shares of FOH Holdings common stock under FOH Holdings' 2003 Employee Equity Incentive Plan, whether or not exercisable, vested or unvested, will be exchanged for comparable options issued by Movie Star. Immediately after the completion of the merger and without giving effect to the rights offering to our shareholders or the issuance of options, guarantor warrants, shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to the standby purchase agreement or shares of Series A Preferred Stock, such FOH Holdings stockholders will own approximately 60% of Movie Star's outstanding common stock (which percentage does not include the stock currently owned by TTG Apparel).

The merger is expected to be completed promptly following the Movie Star special meeting on January 23, 2008, subject to obtaining the required adoption of the stock issuance proposal and the increase of authorized common stock proposal, and the approval or waiver of the name change proposal and the preferred stock proposal and the satisfaction or waiver of certain other conditions, as discussed in greater detail below under "The Merger Agreement—Conditions to Closing of the Merger."

The merger is expected to qualify as a tax-free transaction to Movie Star under the provisions of Section 1032 of the Code as an issuance of stock in exchange for property (i.e., the stock of FOH Holdings). For a description of the material federal income tax consequences of the merger, please see the information set forth in "The Merger and Related Transactions—Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger."

#### Background of the Merger and Related Transactions

The terms of the merger agreement and other transaction agreements and documents are the result of arm's-length negotiations between representatives of Movie Star, as directed by our special committee, and FOH Holdings. The following is a brief discussion of the background of these negotiations, the merger agreement and related transactions.

From time to time we consider different opportunities to improve our competitive position in the market and to enhance value for our shareholders. In January 2004, during Michael T. Tokarz's due diligence investigation of us prior to his purchase of our shares from a former shareholder, Mr. Tokarz initiated a meeting with our management team, consisting of Melvyn Knigin, our President and Chief Executive Officer, Thomas Rende, our Chief Financial Officer, and Saul Pomerantz, our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. During this meeting, Mr. Tokarz and our management team discussed, among other things, whether we would be interested in growing our business. During the discussion, Mr. Tokarz also asked our management team members whether they knew of Frederick's of Hollywood. At this time, Mr. Tokarz was also interested in making an investment in FOH Holdings. After further reflecting on the discussion and consistent with our desire to improve our competitive position, Mr. Knigin contacted Linda LoRe, President and Chief Executive Officer of FOH Holdings, to suggest the idea of exploring a possible business combination. We and FOH Holdings began preliminary discussions regarding a possible business combination after entering into confidentiality agreements in March 2004.

In early April 2004, a meeting was held among the members of our management team; members of our board of directors, consisting of Messrs. Knigin, Rende and Pomerantz, Peter Cole, John L. Eisel, Michael Salberg and Joel Simon; members of FOH Holdings' management team, consisting of Ms. LoRe and Donald Degner, FOH Holdings' then Chief Financial Officer; members of FOH Holdings' board of directors, consisting of Jean Flecheux, Gary Kania, Milton Walters and William F. Harley; Mr. Tokarz;

37

---

## Table of Contents

Brian Ross and Peter Ziemba of Graubard Miller (“Graubard”), our legal counsel; and Marilyn Barrett then of Van Etten Suzumoto & Becket LLP, FOH Holdings’ legal counsel, to discuss each company’s operations and prospects. During the following months, the management teams of both companies exchanged information about their respective businesses and discussed potential synergies that could be created through a business combination. On October 15, 2004, Tokarz Investments purchased approximately 12% of FOH Holdings’ outstanding common stock from an affiliate of Fursa. At a December 6, 2004 meeting of our board of directors, which Mr. Tokarz attended, Mr. Tokarz discussed his purchase of shares of FOH Holdings and, among other things, our board discussed the possible business combination with FOH Holdings. At a meeting of non-employee directors later that same day, the directors considered, among other things, obtaining a fairness opinion and considered other measures to preserve the impartiality of the board’s decision-making process. In January 2005, we engaged a boutique investment banking firm to act as our financial advisor with respect to the possible business combination with FOH Holdings. Also in January 2005, Graubard submitted to FOH Holdings a preliminary legal due diligence request list that was to be the basis of the mutual legal due diligence that was conducted by the parties during the following months. At a February 8, 2005 meeting of our board of directors, which Mr. Tokarz attended, he provided another update on the status of his negotiations to acquire additional shares of FOH Holdings.

At a March 9, 2005 meeting of our board of directors, which Mr. Tokarz attended, he informed us that Tokarz Investments had acquired control of FOH Holdings and that he and FOH Holdings would, for a period of time, be focusing primarily on FOH Holdings’ business rather than on the possible business combination with us. Nonetheless, the board discussed the timing of due diligence and directed our financial advisor to provide a review of the possible business combination. Again, the board considered measures to preserve the impartiality of the decision-making process, such as creating a special committee to handle the negotiations. During the following months, phone calls and meetings continued between the management teams of both companies and their respective legal and financial advisors, and with Mr. Tokarz, regarding the business, operations and financial projections of each company and the anticipated synergies of a combined company.

During our due diligence on FOH Holdings, we learned that Frederick’s of Hollywood and certain of its subsidiaries were subject to a class action lawsuit relating to unlawful wages and employment practices. Based on the potential liability that could arise from this type of lawsuit, our board determined on September 21, 2005 that we would not engage in further discussions with FOH Holdings relating to a possible business combination until this lawsuit was resolved.

On December 13, 2005, our board determined that it was advisable to continue the discussions with FOH Holdings regarding the possible business combination after learning of the November 2005 preliminary order to approve the settlement of the class action lawsuit. During the following months, the management teams of both companies continued with their discussions, conducted further mutual due diligence, further reviewed the synergies that could be obtained from a business combination of the two companies and continued to learn about each party’s respective business and prospects, though specific terms of a business combination had not yet been discussed.

Following the April 6, 2006 meeting of our board of directors, Peter Cole, a Movie Star director, contacted James P. Jenkins, then a FOH Holdings director, to determine whether or not FOH Holdings remained interested in pursuing a possible transaction. Following a positive response from Mr. Jenkins and in view of both companies’ desire to move the possible transaction forward, on May 10, 2006, our board of directors appointed a special committee to act on behalf of the board of directors, consisting of two directors who are not and have never been one of our officers or employees, an employee or officer of FOH Holdings or otherwise had any relationship with Mr. Tokarz and his affiliated companies. Our special committee members are Joel M. Simon and Michael A. Salberg. Our special committee was authorized, subject to the limitations of New York law, to exercise all of the powers of the board of

directors with respect to the possible transaction with FOH Holdings and any alternative transactions, including the power to select and retain legal counsel and an independent financial advisor.

On May 15, 2006, at a meeting between Mr. Jenkins and Joseph Keeney, then a consultant to FOH Holdings, and Messrs. Knigin, Rende and Pomerantz, to discuss the proposed business combination,

38

---

## Table of Contents

Messrs. Jenkins and Keeney delivered to our management team a report by Allen & Company, FOH Holdings' financial advisor, as a basis for facilitating a discussion on relative value. The report included Allen & Company's analysis of our and FOH Holdings' business, operations, financial condition and prospects and Allen & Company's view with respect to the relative valuation of the two companies.

On May 17, 2006, FOH Holdings' board of directors appointed a committee (the "FOH Holdings special committee") to act on behalf of the FOH Holdings board of directors, consisting of Mr. Jenkins and Milton J. Walters.

On May 19, 2006, our special committee engaged Cooley Godward Kronish LLP, then known as Kronish Lieb Weiner & Hellman LLP ("Cooley"), as legal counsel. In early June 2006, our special committee interviewed several investment banking firms with a view to selecting one as its financial advisor. Following discussions with representatives of several such firms, at a special committee meeting held on June 12, 2006, attended by Cooley, our special committee selected Chanin to assist our special committee in its negotiations with FOH Holdings, to advise our special committee regarding the terms of the potential FOH Holdings transaction, as well as alternative proposals that could become available to us and to render an opinion regarding fairness in connection with any transaction. At this meeting, Cooley advised the special committee of its fiduciary duties with respect to its consideration of the potential combination with FOH Holdings and other possible transactions. At this time, we also decided to terminate our relationship with our previously retained financial advisor. As an initial matter, Chanin was directed by our special committee to analyze our business operations, financial condition and prospects, as well as those of FOH Holdings. In connection with this analysis, Chanin met with representatives of our management and representatives from FOH Holdings.

On June 30, 2006, our special committee held a meeting, attended by Cooley and Chanin, at which meeting Chanin presented its preliminary analysis of our business operations, financial condition and prospects and those of FOH Holdings. Our special committee discussed the differences between the Allen & Company analysis and the Chanin analysis. The Allen & Company analysis proposed that in a combination between us and FOH Holdings, the FOH Holdings stockholders should receive approximately 67% of the shares of the combined company and our shareholders should receive approximately 33% of the shares, whereas the preliminary Chanin analysis suggested that both higher and lower ownership percentages ranging from 23% to 64% of the shares of the combined company for our shareholders could be justified. These preliminary analyses were based on facts and circumstances that were available at the time. The final 60%/40% equity allocation fell within the range suggested by Chanin's preliminary analysis.

Between June 30, 2006 and July 31, 2006, our special committee and its legal and financial advisors and the FOH Holdings special committee and its legal advisors and Mr. Keeney met to further discuss valuation issues and related matters with regard to a proposed combination of the two companies. During this period, a number of proposals and counter-proposals were made by the parties with regard to the proper equity allocation. On July 31, 2006, Chanin delivered to Mr. Keeney a draft of a non-binding term sheet that provided for FOH Holdings' stockholders to be issued shares of our common stock in exchange for their shares of FOH Holdings, which would provide FOH Holdings' stockholders with 60% of the outstanding shares immediately after the transaction (which percentage does not include the stock currently owned by TTG Apparel). In addition, the draft non-binding term sheet provided for, among other things, the terms of a \$20 million rights offering by us to our pre-merger shareholders, to be backstopped by FOH Holdings' stockholders, and key governance issues, including certain protections for minority shareholders.

On August 3, 2006, the members of our special committee and the FOH Holdings special committee, together with their legal and financial advisors, met to discuss the terms contained in the draft preliminary non-binding term sheet. At the conclusion of this meeting, our special committee and the FOH Holdings special committee determined that

they were willing to proceed with due diligence and the negotiation of definitive transaction agreements based on the terms discussed at this meeting, which terms included the 60/40 equity allocation proposed in our non-binding term sheet.

On August 15, 2006, Mr. Simon and a representative from Chanin had an informational meeting with Messrs. Jenkins, Keeney and Tokarz and Torys LLP (“Torys”), counsel to the FOH Holdings special committee.

39

---

## Table of Contents

In the following weeks, mutual due diligence was conducted by our management and our special committee's advisors and by the FOH Holdings special committee's advisors and FOH Holdings' management. These parties also engaged in initial negotiations of the terms of the key transaction agreements contemplated by the draft non-binding term sheet. The negotiation of the terms of the merger agreement and the other transaction agreements and documents was conducted by each company's special committee. Mr. Tokarz was from time to time provided with general status updates regarding the negotiations, but he did not participate in the negotiations of the proposed terms of the merger agreement prior to November 13, 2006.

On August 23, 2006, Cooley provided to Torys initial drafts of a merger agreement, shareholders agreement and voting agreement, and Torys provided to Cooley initial drafts of a standby purchase agreement, including the form of warrant to be issued thereunder, and a registration rights agreement. At various times through the execution of the merger agreement, Cooley and Torys, in consultation with their clients, negotiated the draft merger agreement and the other transaction agreements and related documents. These negotiations included meetings and discussions regarding, and the exchange of drafts of and comments on, these agreements and documents.

On August 29, 2006, Torys informed Cooley of several issues raised by the draft merger agreement, including that the stockholders of FOH Holdings were not willing to be responsible for indemnification obligations and that the refinancing of the indebtedness of FOH Holdings held by affiliates of Fursa must be a condition to closing of the proposed transaction. As a result of there being no initial flexibility shown by FOH Holdings on these two material issues, our special committee instructed its advisors to suspend all work relating to the proposed transaction until further notice. These issues were further discussed telephonically by Mr. Simon with Messrs. Jenkins and Keeney between September 2 and September 5, 2006. As a result of these discussions and the progress made, including the parties' agreement to include a share escrow to address the indemnity issues and to continue discussing the debt refinancing issues, our special committee instructed its counsel and advisors to recommence their work on September 5, 2006.

Between September 5, 2006 and October 3, 2006, our special committee and its counsel and advisors and the FOH Holdings special committee and its counsel and advisors had numerous phone calls and meetings to discuss various outstanding issues in the draft merger agreement and the other transaction agreements and related documents.

On September 19, 2006, at a meeting of our board of directors, the members of our special committee, together with Cooley and Chanin, provided an update to the full board on the status of the negotiations with FOH Holdings.

On October 3, 2006, our special committee, Cooley, Chanin, Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C., our independent registered public accounting firm ("Mahoney Cohen"), Mr. Rende, the FOH Holdings special committee, Mr. Keeney and Torys met to discuss open business issues.

On October 10, 2006, our special committee, Cooley, Chanin, Mahoney Cohen, Mr. Rende, the FOH Holdings special committee, Mr. Keeney and Torys met again to further discuss certain open matters, but did not resolve any of these matters.

On October 24, 2006, Mr. Simon and Mr. Jenkins met to discuss unresolved business issues.

On October 25, 2006, at our special committee meeting at which Cooley, Chanin and Mr. Rende were present, our special committee discussed a comprehensive package proposal to resolve the material outstanding issues between the parties. Later that day, Mr. Simon delivered to the FOH Holdings special committee and its counsel and advisors a document summarizing this proposal, which included the following terms: (i) the establishment of an escrow account

that would hold 20% of the shares of Movie Star common stock to be received by the FOH Holdings stockholders in connection with the proposed transaction to cover indemnification obligations; (ii) a backstop fee to the rights offering standby purchasers in the form of warrants in an amount equal to 10.5% of the shares to be issued in the rights offering; (iii) representations and warranties of the standby purchasers in the standby purchase agreement with respect to availability of funds to backstop the rights offering; (iv) more limited exceptions to FOH Holdings' representations and warranties in the merger agreement than those previously proposed by the FOH Holdings special committee; (v) covenants of the FOH Holdings stockholders regarding non-solicitation of alternative transactions and non-transfer of their shares between signing and consummation

40

---



## Table of Contents

of the merger; (vi) arbitration as the sole dispute resolution mechanism to handle indemnification claims and disbursements under the escrow; and (vii) certain provisions relating to the registration rights to be granted to the FOH Holdings stockholders.

On October 27, 2006, the FOH Holdings special committee delivered a written counterproposal that, in substance, rejected the proposals presented on October 25, 2006 by our special committee. FOH Holdings' counterproposal also indicated that if we found FOH Holdings' proposed terms unacceptable, further discussions regarding a proposed transaction should be terminated.

On October 30, 2006, at a meeting of our board of directors, the members of our special committee, together with Cooley and Chanin, provided an update to the full board on the status of the negotiations with FOH Holdings, including the October 25 proposal made by our special committee and FOH Holdings' October 27 counterproposal. No decisions were made at this meeting.

On October 31, 2006, our special committee met with Cooley and Chanin to further discuss FOH Holdings' October 27 counterproposal and possible alternatives. Taking into account a number of factors, including the input from our full board of directors and FOH Holdings' suggestion that negotiations be terminated if our special committee found the October 27 counterproposal unacceptable, our special committee determined to recommend to our board of directors that negotiations be terminated, subject to being re-opened if FOH Holdings would be willing to pursue a transaction on substantially the same terms included in our special committee's October 25 proposal. At a November 2, 2006 meeting of our board of directors, the board followed our special committee's recommendation to terminate negotiations and directed Mr. Simon to inform the FOH Holdings special committee of our board's decision. Following the conclusion of this meeting, Mr. Simon left messages for Messrs. Jenkins and Keeney regarding our board's determination. On November 2, 2006, Mr. Simon also provided Mr. Tokarz with copies of our special committee's October 25 proposal, FOH Holdings' October 27 counterproposal and an update regarding the termination of negotiations.

Mr. Keeney contacted Mr. Simon several times over the course of the day on November 2, 2006 after learning of our decision to terminate negotiations and requested a meeting for the next day. On November 3, 2006, Messrs. Jenkins and Keeney met with Messrs. Simon and Salberg, at which meeting, among other things, Messrs. Jenkins and Keeney asked further questions about our special committee's October 25 proposal. On November 6, 2006, the FOH Holdings special committee submitted a new proposal in writing to our special committee that reflected many of the terms included in our special committee's October 25 proposal. The new proposal included that: (i) FOH Holdings agreed to the 20% escrow of its stockholders' merger consideration so long as it was used to cover all indemnification claims under the merger agreement; (ii) with regard to certain state sales tax issues: (A) any retroactive liability for state sales tax matters would be included under the 20% escrow and (B) the parties would agree to extend the indemnification period for an additional six months if a liability was recorded on the balance sheet, but no claim was made or was still pending during the initial 18-month period; (iii) we would only have to contribute shares of our common stock in an amount equal to 7.5% of our outstanding shares into escrow; and (iv) the convertible preferred stock would have a \$1.00 conversion price. In addition, this proposal accepted substantially all other proposals our special committee made on October 25, 2006.

On November 8, 2006, our special committee, Cooley, Chanin, Mahoney Cohen and Mr. Rende held a teleconference with the FOH Holdings special committee, Mr. Keeney and Torys to discuss FOH Holdings' November 6 proposal. Although further work was needed with regard to certain matters, in light of the substantial movement by the FOH Holdings special committee towards our special committee's October 25 proposal, on November 13, 2006, our special committee determined that there was a sufficient basis to re-start formal negotiations with FOH Holdings and directed

its counsel and advisors to pursue resolving the open matters.

On November 14, 2006, we filed our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006. In this filing and in a press release discussing our quarterly earnings, we disclosed that our selling, general and administrative expenses had increased substantially as a result of professional fees incurred due to our continuing exploration of our strategic alternatives to maximize shareholder value, including discussions with a private apparel company with respect to a possible combination of the companies. We also disclosed that we had formed our special committee to consider this transaction and

41

---

## Table of Contents

our strategic alternatives and that the special committee had retained Chanin and legal counsel. Also on November 14, 2006, TTG Apparel filed an amendment to its Schedule 13D disclosing that it and Mr. Tokarz intended to consider a proposal for a possible combination between us and a private apparel company, the majority of the shares of which are indirectly owned by Mr. Tokarz. After the filing of our Form 10-Q and press release and TTG Apparel's amendment to its Schedule 13D, our special committee held discussions with Cooley and Chanin regarding a process that Chanin could implement on our behalf to solicit third party interest in a transaction alternative to the proposed transaction with FOH Holdings. At the direction of our special committee, Chanin actively solicited interest from 11 prospective parties concerning alternative transactions and also responded to all unsolicited calls. These parties were selected following discussions among our management, board, special committee and Chanin. Eight of the selected parties were potential strategic partners generally engaged in apparel manufacturing and/or apparel retailing. These parties were selected based on a number of factors, including their size, lines of business and history of making acquisitions. Of the eight potential strategic partners contacted, none requested a confidentiality agreement. The remaining three parties were financial sponsors with portfolio companies similar to our company or that had previously expressed an interest in the acquisition of apparel companies. Of the three financial buyers contacted, only two of the parties requested a confidentiality agreement, and only one of these parties executed the agreement and subsequently received an information package. None of the parties contacted, or any other third party, proposed an alternative transaction.

Between November 13 and December 14, 2006, while continuing the mutual due diligence reviews, our management and our special committee and its advisors, FOH Holdings' management, the FOH Holdings special committee and its advisors and Mr. Tokarz and his advisors negotiated the final terms of the merger agreement and the other transaction agreements and related documents and worked towards resolving the open matters that were then outstanding.

On December 14, 2006, our special committee held a meeting attended by Cooley and Chanin. During this meeting, Cooley provided an update to our special committee on its due diligence review of FOH Holdings and reviewed certain other legal matters including the terms of the merger agreement and the other transaction agreements and documents, drafts of which had been circulated to our special committee prior to the meeting. Cooley also reviewed the fiduciary duties of the members of our special committee in the context of the proposed transaction. Chanin then provided a presentation on the financial terms of the proposed transaction and its preliminary financial analysis, which presentation was an abbreviated version of Chanin's fairness opinion presentation that was delivered by Chanin on December 18, 2006.

On December 15, 2006, our board of directors held a meeting attended by Cooley, Chanin and Graubard, during which the members of our special committee reported to the board on the status of the proposed transaction with FOH Holdings. Also during this meeting, Cooley provided an update on its due diligence review of FOH Holdings and reviewed certain other legal matters, including the terms of the merger agreement and the other transaction agreements and documents, drafts of which had been circulated to the board of directors prior to the meeting, Graubard reviewed the fiduciary duties of the members of the board of directors in the context of the proposed transaction and Chanin provided its abbreviated fairness opinion presentation on the financial terms of the proposed transaction and its preliminary financial analysis.

On December 18, 2006, our special committee held a meeting, attended by Cooley and Chanin, to receive a final update on the status of the merger agreement and the other transaction agreements and documents and a final update on legal due diligence. In addition, Chanin discussed the written materials that accompanied its opinion and delivered its opinion, subsequently confirmed in writing, that the merger consideration to be paid to FOH Holdings' stockholders was fair, from a financial point of view, to our shareholders. After careful consideration, our special committee unanimously determined that the proposed transactions were fair to, and in the best interest of, our shareholders and

approved the merger agreement and the other transactions agreements and documents contemplated thereby and the recommendation of the proposed transaction for approval by our board of directors. Following our special committee meeting, our full board of directors met. At this meeting, Cooley provided a final update on the status of the merger agreement and the other transaction agreements and documents and a final

42

---

## Table of Contents

update on legal due diligence, and Chanin discussed the written materials that accompanied its opinion and confirmed the fairness opinion it had provided to our special committee. After careful consideration, the board of directors unanimously determined that the proposed transactions were fair to, and in the best interest of, our shareholders and approved the merger agreement and the other transactions agreements and documents contemplated thereby and the recommendations to our shareholders of proposals included in this proxy statement.

On the morning of December 19, 2006, we and FOH Holdings issued a joint press release announcing the transaction.

On February 1, 2007, we sent a letter to FOH Holdings, notifying FOH Holdings of its breach of its obligation under the merger agreement to deliver to us and Merger Sub by January 31, 2007, FOH Holdings' fiscal 2006 audited financial statements together with an unqualified audit report from Deloitte & Touche LLP and expressly reserving our rights under the merger agreement and applicable law, including our right to terminate the merger agreement and abandon the merger at any time prior to the effective time as a result of such breach.

On March 29, 2007, at FOH Holdings' request, we granted our consent under the merger agreement (i) to permit FOH Holdings to grant to certain of its employees options to purchase an aggregate of 4,500 shares of FOH Holdings common stock, which, after the merger, will be exercisable for 80,151 shares of Movie Star common stock pursuant to the merger agreement and (ii) to settle a class action lawsuit relating to consumer privacy in credit card transactions.

On April 5, 2007, at our request, FOH Holdings granted its consent under the merger agreement to permit us to enter into a consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners and agreed to reimburse us for certain fees to be paid to the consultant pursuant to such consulting agreement under certain circumstances.

On April 9, 2007, we entered into a consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, pursuant to which the consultant provides us with the personal services of Peter Cole, a current member of our board of directors and the sole member of Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC. The consulting agreement provides for Mr. Cole to act as the lead member of our board of directors to facilitate the timely and successful completion of the merger, the rights offering and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. In this capacity, Mr. Cole meets regularly with management of both Movie Star and FOH Holdings, manages filing deadlines and assists in the review of documents and other materials in connection with the filing and mailing of this proxy statement and other materials relating to the rights offering, organizes conference calls and drafting sessions and interfaces continuously with counsel for both companies and special committees with respect to maintaining such deadlines.

On June 8, 2007, we entered into an amendment to the merger agreement with FOH Holdings and Merger Sub, pursuant to which the parties agreed to, among other things, extend the termination date under the merger agreement from September 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007 and amend the form of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation to authorize the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share.

On October 23, 2007, at FOH Holdings' request, we granted our consent under the merger agreement to (i) the employment of Gary Marcotte as chief operating officer of FOH Holdings, (ii) the grant by FOH Holdings to certain of its employees of options to purchase an aggregate of 10,500 shares of FOH Holdings common stock, which, after the merger, will be exercisable for 187,019 shares of Movie Star common stock pursuant to the merger agreement and (iii) the extension of the option exercise period under the stock option agreement of a FOH Holdings consultant to April 30, 2008.

On October 29, 2007, at our request, FOH Holdings granted its consent under the merger agreement to permit us to enter into a lease agreement for a new facility in the Philippines to replace our current leased facility there and

purchase equipment to be used in connection with the operations of the leased premises. We are currently in the process of negotiating the final terms of the lease agreement.

On November 27, 2007, we entered into an amendment to the merger agreement and consent with FOH Holdings and Merger Sub, pursuant to which the parties, among other things, agreed to extend the

43

---

Table of Contents

termination date under the merger agreement from December 31, 2007 to February 15, 2008 and consented to (i) an amendment to our bylaws, (ii) the establishment of the rights offering period, which will commence on December 3, 2007 and remain open through 5:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on January 16, 2008 (or as otherwise agreed by us and FOH Holdings without any further consent required by the standby purchasers) and (iii) the clarification that the closing of the rights offering will occur at such time as the conditions to the filing of the certificate of merger, other than the actual delivery of the various closing documents, have been satisfied or waived and our acceptance of subscriptions, which would occur immediately prior to the merger (and prior to any reverse stock split effected in connection with the merger).

On November 28, 2007, we waived our right to terminate the merger agreement and abandon the merger at any time prior to the effective time solely as a result of FOH Holdings’ breach of its obligation to deliver to us and Merger Sub by January 31, 2007, FOH Holdings’ fiscal 2006 audited financial statements together with an unqualified audit report from Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Reasons for the Recommendations of our Special Committee and Board of Directors

Our special committee, acting with the advice and assistance of its legal counsel and financial advisor, and in consultation with members of our senior management, evaluated and negotiated the terms and conditions of the merger agreement and other transaction agreements and documents. Our special committee unanimously determined that the transactions were fair to, and in the best interest of, our shareholders and approved the merger agreement and the transactions, agreements and documents contemplated thereby and the recommendation of the proposed transaction for approval by our board of directors. Acting on the unanimous recommendation of our special committee, our board of directors also unanimously determined that the transactions were fair to, and in the best interest of, our shareholders and approved the merger agreement and the transactions, agreements and documents contemplated thereby. Our board of directors also approved the recommendation that our shareholders approve the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal, the reverse stock split proposal, the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal and the adjournment proposal and vote for the eleven nominees we are proposing for directors.

In the course of reaching their respective determinations, our special committee and board of directors considered a number of substantive factors and potential benefits of the proposed transactions, including those discussed below, each of which they believed supported their respective decisions:

- our anticipated ability as a combined entity to:
  - borrow funds and access capital markets on more favorable terms than either we or FOH Holdings could have individually;
  - increase the volume of our source purchasing thereby reducing supply costs that both we and FOH Holdings were accustomed to paying individually;
  - exercise greater control over the design, sourcing, manufacturing, distribution and sales processes, which would otherwise be more difficult to achieve by having to rely on outside third parties; and
  - expand and/or consolidate our respective distribution centers to lower overall distribution costs;
  - the potential for Movie Star to increase its supply of product to Frederick’s of Hollywood, whose need for finished goods will increase

significantly as it rolls out its 50 store expansion plan;

combined company to reduce its expenses wherever redundancies exist or where the purchasing power of a larger company can be leveraged, particularly with respect to employee benefits, insurance and travel;

- the potential for the
- Frederick's of

Hollywood is a highly recognized brand name and acquiring the Frederick's of Hollywood name will provide us with an opportunity to increase our visibility to consumers, as well as to analysts and investors, and generate greater public interest in us;

44

---



Table of Contents

publicly traded specialty retailers are traditionally greater than those for apparel manufacturers;

- trading multiples for
- by combining with

FOH Holdings and entering into the retail sector, we will be better positioned to take advantage of growth in the intimate apparel and sleepwear markets;

- the continuity of

leadership through and beyond the post-merger integration period resulting from all five members of our board of directors and our current Chief Financial Officer becoming members of the initial board of directors of the combined company, and certain key members of our senior management team becoming part of the senior management team following the consummation of the merger would be expected to reduce potential complications involved in the process of consolidating functions and integrating organizations, procedures and operations due to their familiarity with the intimate apparel industry in general and our business in particular;

- the familiarity of our

special committee and board of directors with our limited ability as a domestic manufacturer and importer to expand the business without partnering with a retailer due to increasing competitive pressures relating to increased reliance on foreign manufacturing, a shrinking customer base resulting from retail consolidation, and from our larger retail customers seeking to develop their own brands and obtain intimate apparel products directly from the same or similar sources from which we obtain our products;

- the process

conducted by Chanin to seek alternative proposals to the proposed transactions revealed no interested parties; and

- the financial

presentations by Chanin, including its opinion dated December 18, 2006, stating that the merger consideration to be paid to FOH Holdings' stockholders pursuant to the merger agreement was fair to our shareholders from a financial point of view.

Our special committee and board of directors also considered the following terms of the merger agreement and other transaction agreements and documents and a number of factors related to the procedural safeguards, including those discussed below, each of which they believed supported their respective decisions:

- our

special committee is comprised solely of independent and disinterested directors who are not our employees or employees of FOH Holdings, TTG Apparel or any of its affiliated companies and who have no financial interest in the proposed transactions that is different from that of our shareholders;

- our special

committee had the ultimate authority to decide whether to proceed with the transaction or any potential alternative transaction, subject to our board of directors' approval of the resulting transaction;

- our special

committee's decisions have been informed by advice from its own legal counsel and financial advisor in evaluating, negotiating and recommending the terms of the proposed transactions and related documents;

- the results of

financial, legal and operational due diligence on FOH Holdings performed by our senior management, and the financial advisor and legal counsel to our special committee;

- the financial and

other terms and conditions of the proposed transactions and related documents were the product of arm's-length negotiations between the parties;

- the provisions of the

merger agreement allowing us, subject to certain restrictions, to furnish information and conduct negotiations with third parties who make unsolicited acquisition proposals;

merger agreement allowing us to terminate the merger agreement, under certain circumstances, in order to approve a superior acquisition proposal upon payment to FOH Holdings of a \$300,000 termination fee, plus all of FOH Holdings' and its stockholders' actual, reasonable and documented out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the merger transactions;

- the provisions of the

45

---

Table of Contents

merger agreement allowing us to terminate the merger agreement, under certain circumstances, if FOH Holdings' audited financial statements delivered after execution of the merger agreement are delayed or contain certain changes that, in our reasonable judgment are material adverse changes, when taken as a whole, from the financial statements delivered by FOH Holdings in connection with the execution of the merger agreement;

the merger agreement that the stock issuance proposal and the increase of authorized common stock proposal be approved by the affirmative vote of at least a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote other than those beneficially owned by TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates;

our bylaws that will take effect upon the consummation of the merger creating a 75% supermajority approval requirement in connection with important corporate decisions for a period of 18 months after the merger is consummated;

subject to certain exceptions, the stockholders of FOH Holdings, TTG Apparel and their respective affiliates will agree to vote their shares of common stock in favor of the election of the individuals designated to serve on the board of directors of the combined company in the manner set forth in the merger agreement and take all necessary action to maintain a board of directors consisting of these individuals (and successors) for a period of 18 months after the consummation of the merger;

subject to certain limited exceptions, the stockholders of FOH Holdings, TTG Apparel and their respective affiliates will hold the securities they receive upon consummation of the merger and not acquire more of our securities for a period of 18 months after the consummation of the merger; and

merger agreement requiring that a portion of the shares of Movie Star common stock to be received by FOH Holdings' stockholders in connection with the consummation of the merger be placed in escrow for the purpose of covering their indemnification obligations to us, subject to certain limitations and procedures.

Our special committee and board of directors also considered a number of potentially adverse factors and risks concerning the merger, the merger agreement and the other transaction agreements and documents and the transactions contemplated thereby, including those discussed below:

challenges and costs inherent in combining the operations of two companies and the substantial expenses to be incurred in connection with the merger, including the possibility that delays or difficulties in completing the integration could adversely affect the combined company's operating results and either delay or preclude the achievement of some benefits anticipated from the merger;

FOH Holdings' audited financial statements would contain material differences from the unaudited financial statements FOH Holdings delivered in connection with the execution of the merger agreement;

the combination of the two businesses could lead existing and potential competitors to consider their own business combinations, resulting in further industry consolidation, which could adversely impact the competitive environment in which the companies operate;

terminate the merger agreement under certain circumstances to approve a superior acquisition proposal, after executing the merger agreement, we are no longer allowed to initiate, solicit or encourage acquisition proposals in any manner;

the merger, as contemplated, might not be completed in a timely manner or at all;

- the merger

consideration FOH Holdings' stockholders will receive is comprised entirely of our common stock and the amount to be paid is set at a fixed ratio, therefore, its value may fluctuate in unanticipated ways and result in our paying a higher price than we originally anticipated or intended for FOH Holdings;

46

---

Table of Contents

agreement is terminated by one or both parties, the possible negative effect of the public announcement of such termination on our existing business relationships, sales, operating results, customers, employees and shareholders, and the resulting harm on our ability to survive on a stand-alone basis;

- if the merger

in escrow for the purpose of covering indemnification obligations to us may not be sufficient to cover all potential liabilities and any such excess could be a drain on our cash reserves;

- the shares to be put

the conduct of our business prior to the consummation of the merger, requiring us to conduct our business materially in the ordinary course and consistent with past practice, particularly in light of expectations that the merger would not be consummated for a period of months;

- the restrictions on

directors and executive officers may have conflicts of interest in connection with the merger, as they may receive benefits that are different from, and in addition to, those of our other shareholders;

- certain of our

shareholder participates in the rights offering, TTG Apparel together with Tokarz Investments will own approximately 38.8% of the outstanding common stock of the combined company, in the aggregate, after giving effect to the purchase of the unsubscribed shares in accordance with the standby purchase agreement and the issuance of the guarantor warrants as consideration for the standby purchase commitment, and Fursa will beneficially own approximately 37.9% of the outstanding common stock of Movie Star, after giving effect to the purchase of the unsubscribed shares in accordance with the standby purchase agreement, the issuance and exercise of the guarantor warrants as consideration for the standby purchase commitment and the issuance and conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock;

- if no Movie Star

management and employee disruption associated with the merger and integrating our operations with FOH Holdings, including the risk that marketing, technical and administrative personnel might not remain employed with the merged entity;

- the possibility of

possibility that the deep-rooted cultures of two very different companies—one a manufacturer and importer headquartered in New York; the other a specialty retailer headquartered in California—may not become one unified culture in a timely manner; and

- the

applicable risks associated with the combined company and the merger, including those described in the section of this proxy statement entitled “Risk Factors.”

- various other

Our special committee and board of directors considered all of the above factors in light of our historical and anticipated business, operations, financial condition, competitive positioning and business strategies and our growth opportunities and prospects.

The foregoing discussion of the information and factors considered by our special committee and board of directors includes all material information and factors considered by our special committee and board of directors in making their decisions. After taking into account all of the information and factors set forth above, as well as others, our special committee and board of directors determined that the benefits of the proposed transaction with FOH Holdings outweigh the risks and that entering into the merger agreement and other transactions, agreements and documents contemplated thereby are advisable and in our best interests and the best interests of our shareholders. In view of the wide variety of factors, both positive and negative, considered by our special committee and board of directors, neither consider it practical to, nor did either attempt to, quantify, rank or otherwise seek to assign relative weights to the specific factors that it considered in reaching its determination that the merger, the merger agreement and the transactions, agreements and documents contemplated thereby are advisable and in the best interests of our

shareholders. Rather, these decisions should be viewed as being based upon the judgment of the members of our special committee and board of directors, in light of the totality of the information presented and considered, including the knowledge of the members of our special committee and board of directors of our business, financial condition and prospects and the advice of Chanin and legal counsel. In considering the factors described above, individual members of our special committee and board of directors may have focused on different factors and may have applied different analyses to each of the material factors considered by them.

47

---

## Table of Contents

### Opinion of Financial Advisor to Special Committee

Our special committee retained Chanin to, among other things, assist our special committee in its negotiations with FOH Holdings and advise our special committee regarding the terms of the proposed transaction with FOH Holdings, as well as alternative proposals that could become available to us during the course of Chanin's advisory period. In the course of this engagement, our special committee requested that Chanin render an opinion regarding the fairness to our shareholders of the merger consideration to be paid to FOH Holdings' stockholders in the merger.

On December 18, 2006, Chanin delivered a written opinion to our special committee to the effect that, as of such date, the merger consideration to be paid in the proposed merger with FOH Holdings was fair, from a financial point of view, to our shareholders. Chanin presented the financial analysis underlying its opinion at meetings of our special committee on December 14, 2006 and December 18, 2006, and at meetings of the board of directors on December 15, 2006 and December 18, 2006. The presentations on December 14 and 15, 2006 were abbreviated versions of the presentation on December 18, 2006. The December 18, 2006 presentation also included the most up to date share prices for us and the other companies referenced in the materials.

The full text of the Chanin opinion, dated December 18, 2006, which sets forth the assumptions made, matters considered and limits on the review undertaken, is attached to this proxy statement as Annex C and is incorporated herein by reference. You are urged to read the opinion in its entirety.

The Chanin opinion is directed only to the fairness of the merger consideration to be paid by us to FOH Holdings' stockholders in the merger, from a financial point of view, as of the date of the opinion. The opinion was provided for the information and assistance of our special committee and our board of directors in connection with their consideration of the merger and the other related transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. The Chanin opinion does not constitute a recommendation to any shareholder as to how such shareholder should vote on different proposals contained in this proxy statement.

In connection with rendering its opinion, Chanin did not determine the amount of the merger consideration. The merger consideration was determined through arm's-length negotiations between our special committee and FOH Holdings. No limitations were imposed by us or Chanin with respect to the investigations made or procedures followed by Chanin in rendering its opinion.

In connection with its opinion, Chanin made certain reviews, analyses and inquiries as it deemed necessary and appropriate under the circumstances. Chanin also took into account its assessment of general economic, market and financial conditions, as well as its experience in securities and business valuation, in general, and with respect to similar transactions, in particular. Chanin's due diligence with regard to the merger included, but was not limited to, the items summarized below.

- conducted meetings with members of our and FOH Holdings' senior management teams at their respective corporate headquarters where Chanin discussed the history, current operations and future outlook for both companies;

- reviewed our financial statements and SEC filings, including our Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the years ended June 30, 2004, 2005 and 2006 and Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended September 30, 2006;

- reviewed FOH Holdings' audited historical financial statements for the years ended July 31, 2004 and July 30, 2005 and unaudited financial statements for the year ended July 29, 2006 and the three month period ended October 28, 2006;

FOH Holdings' financial projections for fiscal years 2007 through 2010, as well as other operating and financial information prepared by our and FOH Holdings' respective management teams;

- reviewed our and
- reviewed drafts as

of December 15, 2006 of the merger agreement, stockholders agreement, form of shareholders agreement, form of registration rights agreement, rights offering term sheet, standby purchase agreement, form of guarantor warrant, form of escrow agreement and voting agreement;

48

---



Table of Contents

historical trading price and trading volume of our common stock;

- analyzed the
- reviewed certain

publicly available financial, operating and stock market data concerning certain companies engaged in businesses Chanin deemed reasonably similar to FOH Holdings;

- reviewed the
- performed such

financial terms of certain recent business combinations Chanin deemed relevant to its inquiry; and

other financial studies, analyses and investigations that Chanin deemed appropriate.

Chanin discussed with our and FOH Holdings' senior management teams (i) the past and current operating and financial condition of the respective businesses of both companies, (ii) the prospects, including estimates of future financial performance of the respective businesses of both companies and (iii) such other matters that Chanin deemed relevant.

In performing its analyses and rendering its opinion with respect to the merger, Chanin, with our consent:

- relied

upon the accuracy, completeness, and fair presentation of all information, data, advice, opinions and representations obtained from public sources or provided to it from private sources, including our and FOH's management, and did not independently verify that information;

- assumed that the

merger agreement, when executed, conformed to the drafts of such agreement that Chanin reviewed in all respects material to its analysis;

- assumed that the

proposed merger contemplated by the merger agreement would be consummated in accordance with such agreement; and

- 

assumed that the financial forecasts provided to Chanin by us and FOH Holdings (and the assumptions and bases underlying such information) were reasonably prepared and reflected our management's and FOH Holdings' management's best then available estimate and judgment as to our future financial performance and the future financial performance of FOH Holdings, respectively.

Chanin did not undertake any independent verification of the information provided to it by us or FOH Holdings nor did Chanin make any independent evaluation or appraisal of any of our or FOH Holdings' assets or liabilities (contingent or otherwise), nor did it make any physical inspection of our or FOH Holdings' properties or assets. With respect to the financial forecasts provided by us and FOH Holdings, Chanin expressed no view with respect to such forecasts or the assumptions on which they were based. In addition, Chanin participated in the negotiation of the exchange ratio and other terms of the merger agreement.

The Chanin opinion was necessarily based on economic, financial and market conditions as they existed and were disclosed to Chanin as of the date of its opinion. The Chanin opinion speaks only as of the date thereof, and Chanin has no responsibility to update or revise the opinion based upon events or circumstances occurring after that date.

In arriving at its opinion, Chanin was requested and authorized to solicit, and did solicit, interest from prospective parties with respect to an alternative transaction for us. At the direction of our special committee, Chanin contacted 11 parties to solicit interest in a potential transaction with us. These parties were selected following discussions among our management, the Board and Special Committee and Chanin. Eight of the selected parties were potential strategic partners generally engaged in apparel manufacturing and/or apparel retailing. These parties were selected based on a

number of factors, including their size, lines of business and history of making acquisitions. Of the eight potential strategic partners contacted, none requested a confidentiality agreement. The remaining three parties were financial sponsors with portfolio companies similar to our company or that had previously expressed an interest in the acquisition of apparel companies. Of the three financial buyers contacted, only two of the parties requested a confidentiality agreement, and only one of these parties executed the agreement and subsequently received an information package. None of the parties contacted, or any other third party, proposed an alternative transaction. Chanin reported on December 15, 2006 that no serious offers were made by any of such parties or any other third party.

## Table of Contents

In arriving at its opinion, Chanin performed a variety of valuation, financial, and comparative analyses. No company, transaction or business used in Chanin's analyses for comparative purposes is identical to our company, FOH Holdings or the proposed transactions. The summary of the analyses as set forth below covers the material analyses Chanin performed. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process that involves various determinations as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis to be employed and the manner in which such methods will be applied to a particular set of facts and circumstances. As such, the preparation of a fairness opinion is not necessarily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. Chanin informed us that the analyses it performed must be considered as a whole and selecting portions thereof or portions of the factors considered by it, without considering all analyses and factors, could create an incomplete view of the evaluation process underlying its opinion. As part of its financial analyses, Chanin made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, general business, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond our control. Additionally, estimates of the values of businesses and securities examined do not purport to be appraisals thereof and do not necessarily reflect the prices at which such businesses or securities may be sold. Accordingly, these analyses and estimates are inherently subject to substantial uncertainty.

The Chanin opinion does not address, nor should it be construed to address, the relative merits of the proposed merger with FOH Holdings as compared to other transactions or alternative business strategies that may be available to us. In addition, the Chanin opinion did not in any manner address the prices at which our common stock may trade following consummation of the merger.

The Chanin opinion, including Chanin's presentation of its opinion to our special committee and our board of directors, was one of many factors that our special committee and our board of directors took into consideration in making their recommendations. Consequently, Chanin's analysis described below should not be viewed as determinative of the conclusions of our special committee or our board of directors with respect to the merger consideration.

Subject to the foregoing, the following is a summary of the material financial and comparative analyses presented by Chanin to our special committee and our board of directors in connection with the delivery of its opinion. You should understand that the order of the analyses and the results derived from these analyses described below do not represent relative importance or weight given to these analyses by Chanin. The analyses summarized below include information presented in tabular format. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the analyses. Considering the data in the tables below without considering the full narrative description of the analyses, as well as the methodologies underlying and the assumptions, qualifications and limitations affecting each analysis, could create a misleading or incomplete view of Chanin's analyses.

**Transaction Overview.** Based upon the merger consideration of approximately 23.7 million shares of our common stock plus the assumption of approximately \$25.9 million of FOH Holdings' net indebtedness, Chanin noted that the merger consideration implied a transaction value of approximately \$44.7 million for FOH Holdings based on our closing common stock price on November 14, 2006, the date prior to our announcement that we were exploring strategic alternatives to maximize shareholder value. Based on our closing common stock price on December 15, 2006, Chanin noted that the merger consideration implied a transaction value of approximately \$56.7 million for FOH Holdings.

For purposes of its analyses, Chanin reviewed a number of financial and operating metrics including:

- Enterprise Value – generally the value as of a specified date of the relevant company's outstanding equity securities (taking into account its outstanding warrants and other convertible securities) plus the value of its net debt (the value of its

outstanding indebtedness, preferred stock and capital lease obligations less the amount of cash on its balance sheet) as of a specified date.

• EBITDA – generally the amount of the relevant company’s earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization for a specified time period.

Unless the context indicates otherwise, enterprise values used in the selected companies analysis described below were calculated using the closing price of the common stock of the selected companies

50

---

Table of Contents

listed below as of December 15, 2006, and the enterprise values for the target companies used in the selected transactions analysis described below were calculated as of the announcement date of the relevant transaction based on the purchase prices paid in the selected transactions. Accordingly, this information does not necessarily reflect current or future market conditions. Estimates of 2007 revenue and EBITDA for the selected companies listed below were based on publicly available research analyst estimates for the selected companies and were calendarized to equate to our June 30, 2007 fiscal year.

Publicly Traded Companies Analysis. Chanin compared financial and operating information and ratios for FOH Holdings with the corresponding information for a selected group of publicly traded companies using publicly available information with respect to the selected group of publicly traded companies and certain financial data of FOH Holdings. Based on its experience in the specialty retail and apparel industries and without excluding any particular company from its review, Chanin selected these companies because they engage in businesses and have operating profiles reasonably similar to those of FOH Holdings. The selected companies, all of which had a market capitalization of less than \$1.0 billion were:

- Inc.
- Corp.
- Charlotte Russe Holding, Inc.
- Cache,
- Cato
- 
- Deb Shops, Inc.
- Hot
- Topic, Inc.
- Le Chateau, Inc.
- Brands, Inc.
- Maidenform
- Mother's Work, Inc.
- United
- Retail Group, Inc.

For each of the selected publicly traded companies and FOH Holdings, Chanin calculated multiples of enterprise value and considered certain financial data. The calculated multiples included:

- Enterprise Value as a multiple of net sales over the latest twelve months, or LTM;
- Enterprise Value as a multiple of projected 2007 net sales;
- Enterprise Value as a multiple of LTM, EBITDA; and
- Enterprise Value as a multiple of projected 2007 EBITDA.

Below are summary multiples for the nine selected publicly traded companies and FOH Holdings:

Enterprises Value as a Multiple of: Net Sales EBITDA(a) LTM(b) 2007P(c) LTM(b) 2007P(c) High

Edgar Filing: MOVIE STAR INC /NY/ - Form DEFM14A

1.38x	1.33x	11.1x	9.8x	Average	0.91	0.86	8.1	7.9	Median	0.81	0.79	7.3	7.7
Low	0.38	0.37	6.1	5.7	Implied FOH Holdings Statistics (November 14, 2006)						0.31x	0.32x	
8.2x	8.1x	Implied FOH Holdings Statistics (December 15, 2006)						0.40x	0.41x	10.5x	10.3x		

(a)

Adjusted to exclude non-recurring, one-time items and include stock-based compensation. (b) LTM represents the Latest Twelve-Month period ending October 31, 2006. (c) Wall Street research analyst estimates calendarized to a June 30, 2007 year end.

Enterprise value as a multiple of LTM net sales ranged from 0.38x to 1.38x. Chanin noted that the implied multiples of the merger consideration to be paid to FOH Holdings based on our closing stock

51

---

Table of Contents

prices as of November 14, 2006 and December 15, 2006, were at or below the range suggested by the enterprise value to LTM net sales multiples in the publicly traded companies analysis. Enterprise value as a multiple of projected 2007 net sales ranged from 0.37x to 1.33x. Chanin noted that the implied multiples of the merger consideration to be paid to FOH Holdings based on our closing stock prices as of November 14, 2006 and December 15, 2006, were at or below the range suggested by the enterprise to projected 2007 net sales multiples in the publicly traded companies analysis.

Enterprise value as a multiple of LTM EBITDA ranged from 6.1x to 11.1x. Chanin noted that the implied multiples of the merger consideration to be paid to FOH Holdings based on our closing stock prices as of November 14, 2006 and December 15, 2006, were in the middle to high end of the range suggested by the enterprise value to LTM EBITDA multiples in the publicly traded companies analysis. Enterprise value as a multiple of projected 2007 EBITDA ranged from 5.7x to 9.8x. Chanin noted that the implied multiples of the merger consideration to be paid to FOH Holdings based on our closing stock prices as of November 14, 2006 and December 15, 2006, were in the middle to high end of the range suggested by the enterprise value to projected 2007 EBITDA multiples in the publicly traded companies analysis.

Precedent Transaction Analysis. Chanin conducted a precedent transaction analysis to assess how other transactions with an aggregate purchase price of less than \$1.0 billion were valued within the specialty retail and apparel industries. Without excluding any particular transaction from its review and using publicly available information, Chanin reviewed information relating to the following selected acquisitions and announced offers to acquire, which Chanin deemed relevant to arriving at its opinion:

- the then pending acquisition of La Senza Corp. by Limited Brands, Inc. for \$694.6 million;
- the pending acquisition of Eddie Bauer Holdings, Inc. by Sun Capital Partners and Golden Gate Capital for \$283.2 million;
- the acquisition of J. Jill Group, Inc. by Talbots, Inc. for \$ 519.7 million;
- the acquisition of OshKosh B’Gosh, Inc. by William Carter Co. for \$ 312.2 million;
- the acquisition of Maurices Incorporated by Dress Barn, Inc. for \$328.6 million;
- the acquisition of Barneys New York, Inc. by Jones Apparel Group, Inc. for \$289.4 million;
- the acquisition of Ben Sherman Limited by Oxford Industries, Inc. for \$146.0 million;
- the acquisition of Vans, Inc. by VF Corp. for \$396.0 million;
- the acquisition of Loehmanns Holdings, Inc. by Arcapita, Inc. for \$178.1 million;
- the acquisition of Hat World Corporation by Genesco, Inc. for \$177.4 million;
- the acquisition of Elder Beerman Stores Corp. by Bon-Ton Stores, Inc. for \$97.7 million; and
- the acquisition of The White House, Inc. by Chico’s FAS, Inc. for \$90.2 million.

For each of the selected precedent transactions, Chanin calculated multiples of enterprise value and considered certain financial data for each target company in each transaction. The calculated multiples included:

- Enterprise Value as a multiple of LTM, net sales; and
- Enterprise Value as a multiple of LTM, EBITDA.



## Table of Contents

Below are summary multiples and statistics for the selected precedent transactions:

Enterprises Value as a

Multiple of: LTM Net

Sales LTM

EBITDA(a)	High	1.45x	17.9x	Average	0.85	9.7	Median	0.89	9.0	Low	0.30
5.9	Implied FOH Holdings Statistics (November 14, 2006)			0.31x	8.2x		Implied FOH Holdings Statistics (December 15, 2006)				
		0.40x	10.5x								

(a)

Adjusted to exclude non-recurring, one-time items.

Enterprise value as a multiple of LTM net sales ranged from 0.30x to 1.45x. Chanin noted that the implied multiples of the merger consideration to be paid to FOH Holdings based on our closing stock prices as of November 14, 2006 and December 15, 2006 were at or below the range suggested by the enterprise value to LTM net sales multiples in the precedent transactions analysis.

Enterprise value as a multiple of LTM EBITDA ranged from 5.9x to 17.9x. Chanin noted that the implied multiples of the merger consideration to be paid to FOH Holdings based on our closing stock prices as of November 14, 2006 and December 15, 2006 were within the range suggested by the enterprise value to LTM EBITDA multiples in the precedent transactions analysis.

The selected publicly traded companies and the acquired entities in the precedent transactions identified above were selected for comparative purposes only. None of these entities is identical to FOH Holdings, and none of the comparable transactions reviewed is identical to the proposed transaction. Accordingly, a complete valuation analysis cannot be limited to a quantitative review of selected comparable companies; rather, it involves complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics of the comparable companies and other factors that could affect the public trading value of the comparable company or companies to which they are being compared.

**Discounted Cash Flow Analysis.** Chanin performed a discounted cash flow analysis by calculating the net present value of the unlevered, after-tax debt free cash flows of FOH Holdings to determine a range of values for FOH Holdings. The forecasted financial information used in the discounted cash flow analysis was based on projections provided by FOH Holdings' management team and included the data set forth in the table below. The inclusion of this information should not be regarded as an indication that Movie Star, our board of directors, Chanin or any other recipient of this information considers this information to be necessarily predictive of actual future results.

Neither FOH Holdings nor Movie Star as a matter of course makes public projections. However, non-public EBITDA and other related projections for 2007 through 2010 were made available to Chanin in connection with its evaluation of the merger. These projections, which were used solely in connection with the rendering of Chanin's opinion with respect to the merger, were only estimates based on information available to FOH Holdings at the time they were made and based on reasonable assumptions made about such information. The EBITDA and other related projections were not prepared with a view toward public disclosure or toward complying with generally accepted accounting principles, which we refer to as GAAP, the published guidelines of the SEC regarding projections and the use of non GAAP measures or the guidelines established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for

preparation and presentation of prospective financial information. The summary of these projections is included only to provide the reader of this proxy statement with background information about the data considered by Movie Star and its board of directors in connection with their evaluation of FOH Holdings and its operations. Investors should not rely on these projections as estimates of future results of FOH Holdings or the combined company following the consummation of the merger and should not place undue reliance on these projections when evaluating the proposals to be presented at the special meeting of Movie Star's shareholders.

53

---

## Table of Contents

Neither our nor FOH Holdings' independent registered public accounting firm, nor any other independent accountants, have compiled, examined or performed any procedures with respect to the prospective financial information contained herein, nor have they expressed any opinion or any other form of assurance on such information or its achievability, and they assume no responsibility for, and disclaim any association with, the prospective financial information.

No one has made or makes any representation to any shareholder or anyone else regarding the information included in the projections set forth below. We have not updated and do not intend to update, or otherwise revise the EBITDA and other related projections to reflect circumstances existing after the date when made or to reflect the occurrence of future events. See "Forward-Looking Statements."

(Dollars in millions) Projected Fiscal Years Ending July 31, Stub													
2007P(a)	2008P	2009P	2010P	EBITDA	\$ 0.8	\$ 6.6	\$ 8.5	\$ 10.9	EBIT	(1.1)	4.0	5.8	8.1
Tax-adjusted EBIT	(0.7)	2.4	3.5	4.9	Adjustments(b)	3.2	(0.2)	1.2	0.9	Unlevered Free			
Cash Flow	\$ 2.5	\$ 2.2	\$ 4.7	\$ 5.8									

(a) Stub

period represents the months January through July 2007. (b) Adjustments are comprised of capital expenditures, decreases and increases in net working capital and depreciation and amortization.

All cash flows were discounted to December 31, 2006 and terminal values were based upon estimated 2010 calendar year EBITDA multiples. Chanin calculated FOH Holdings' terminal value by multiplying FOH Holdings' 2010 projected EBITDA by terminal EBITDA multiples implied from the Publicly Traded Companies Analysis and the Precedent Transaction Analysis, ranging from 7.5x to 8.5x, which approximated the median multiples implied by those analyses. Chanin utilized discount rates ranging from 16.5% to 18.5% to calculate the present value of the projected unlevered future cash flows and terminal value of FOH Holdings. The discount rate was determined based on the estimated cost of capital of FOH Holdings using the Capital Asset Pricing Model and metrics derived from the Publicly Traded Companies Analysis. This analysis resulted in a range of implied enterprise values for FOH Holdings of \$54.5 million to \$64.0 million. Chanin noted that the merger consideration of \$44.7 million, based on our closing common stock price on November 14, 2006, and of \$56.7 million based on our closing common stock price on December 15, 2006, was below or at the lower end of the range implied by its Discounted Cash Flow analysis.

**Contribution Analysis.** Chanin utilized historical financial results and the financial projections of our management and the historical financial results and the financial projections of FOH Holdings' management in order to compare the pro forma ownership of the combined company following the proposed merger to the pro forma contributions by each of FOH Holdings and us based upon historical and projected net sales and EBITDA. Chanin compared the pro forma contributions of net sales and EBITDA to the implied consideration in the transaction on a fully diluted equity basis and enterprise basis as of November 14, 2006 (the date we announced that we were exploring a possible combination with a private apparel company) and December 15, 2006.

## Table of Contents

The following table indicates the relative contribution by us and FOH Holdings to the combined company based on financial data provided by FOH Holdings and our management teams, respectively.

Implied Consideration										
in the Proposed Transaction		Historical		Projected		Fully Diluted				
Equity Value(b)	Enterprise Value	2004	2005	2006	LTM(a)	2007P	11/14/2006	12/15/2006	11/14/2006	
12/15/2006 Net Sales Contribution							FOH Holdings	71.6 %	68.3 %	
72.9 %	71.6 %	66.9 %	59.7 %	59.1 %	68.2 %	65.8 %	Movie Star	28.4 %	31.7 %	
27.1 %	28.4 %	33.1 %	40.3 %	40.9 %	31.8 %	34.2 %	EBITDA Contribution(c)			
FOH Holdings				87.6 %	NM	100.0 %	100.0 %	64.3 %	59.7 %	59.1 %
Movie Star				12.4 %	NM	0.0 %	0.0 %	35.7 %	40.3 %	40.9 %
31.8 %	34.2 %									

(a)

Represents the latest twelve-month period ending September 30, 2006 and October 31, 2006 for Movie Star and FOH Holdings, respectively. (b) Calculated pursuant to the treasury stock method. (c) Our 2006 and LTM EBITDA is negative. Analysis assumes our 2006 and LTM EBITDA contribution is \$0.0.

In the net sales contribution comparison, FOH Holdings' contribution to net sales ranged from 66.9% to 72.9%. Chanin noted that the merger consideration implied an equity ownership for FOH Holdings below this range and an enterprise value contribution for FOH Holdings at the low end of this range. In the EBITDA contribution comparison, FOH Holdings' contribution to EBITDA ranged from 64.3% to 100.0%. Chanin noted that the merger consideration implied an equity ownership for FOH Holdings below this range and an enterprise value contribution at the low end of this range.

Our special committee selected Chanin to serve as its financial advisor and to provide a fairness opinion in connection with the proposed merger because Chanin is a nationally recognized investment banking firm with experience and expertise in transactions similar to the proposed merger and regularly engages in the valuation of businesses and securities in connection with merger and acquisition transactions. Pursuant to an engagement letter, Chanin has received a fee of \$350,000 for its financial advisory services in connection with the merger and related transactions, \$250,000 having been paid in connection with the delivery of its opinion. No additional fees are payable to Chanin in connection with the proposed merger and none of Chanin's fees are contingent upon the completion of the merger. In addition, the engagement letter with Chanin provides that we will reimburse Chanin for its out-of-pocket and incidental expenses and will indemnify Chanin and related persons against certain liabilities arising out of or in connection with advice or services rendered pursuant to the engagement letter and the transactions contemplated thereby.

## Interests of Movie Star Directors and Officers in the Merger and Related Transactions

When you consider the recommendation of Movie Star's board of directors in favor of the proposals included in this proxy statement, you should be aware that certain of Movie Star's directors and officers have agreements or arrangements that provide them with interests in the merger and the related transactions that differ from, or are in addition to, your interests as a shareholder generally. Except as set forth below, Movie Star's directors and officers will not receive any compensation or benefits as a result of the merger and related transactions.

• On April

9, 2007, we entered into a consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, pursuant to which Performance Enhancement Partners provides us with the personal services of Peter Cole, a current member of our board of directors and the sole member of Performance Enhancement Partners, to (i) act as the lead member of our board to facilitate the timely and successful completion of the merger, the rights offering and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and (ii) serve as the Executive Chairman of the combined company following the closing of the merger until July 26, 2008. We have the option to extend the consulting agreement for up to two additional six-month periods. The consulting

55

---

Table of Contents

agreement provides for Performance Enhancement Partners to receive a base consulting fee at the annual rate of \$400,000, payable in four equal quarterly installments in arrears, the first payment having been made on April 12, 2007. For the year ending July 26, 2008, Performance Enhancement Partners will be entitled to receive an additional consulting fee of a minimum of \$100,000 in accordance with the terms of a bonus plan expected to be adopted by the compensation committee following the closing of the merger. Mr. Cole is required to devote substantially all of his business time, energies and attention to the business and affairs of Movie Star (and the combined company following the merger) in the performance of his duties under the consulting agreement.

On the closing date of the merger, we will (i) issue to Performance Enhancement Partners 100,000 shares of our common stock under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan and (ii) grant to Performance Enhancement Partners a five-year option to purchase 275,000 shares of our common stock under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the closing date of the merger. 175,000 of the shares underlying the option will vest on the date of grant and 100,000 shares will vest on July 26, 2008. We also will grant to Performance Enhancement Partners under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan five-year non-qualified options to purchase an aggregate of 100,000 shares of our common stock, with each grant of 50,000 shares to be made on the commencement date of each six-month extension period, if applicable, at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the date of grant. Each grant of 50,000 shares will vest on the six-month anniversary of the commencement date of the applicable extension period. In order to issue the shares of common stock and grant the stock options to Performance Enhancement Partners in accordance with the terms of the consulting agreement, the 2000 Performance Equity Plan must be amended to increase the number of shares available for issuance thereunder, which amendment will require shareholder approval, which is being sought at the special meeting. See “Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan Proposal.”

The consulting agreement provides that if the merger agreement is terminated or Performance Enhancement Partners terminates the consulting agreement for “Good Reason” (as defined in the consulting agreement) prior to the closing of the merger, we will pay Performance Enhancement Partners the base consulting fee through September 30, 2007, net of any additional consulting fee awarded and paid to Performance Enhancement Partners for the year ending July 28, 2007. The consulting agreement also provides that if, following the closing of the merger, we terminate Performance Enhancement Partners without “Cause” or Performance Enhancement Partners terminates the consulting agreement for “Good Reason”, we will pay to Performance Enhancement Partners the base consulting fee through July 26, 2008 or the end of the applicable extension period, as the case may be, and any additional consulting fee which would have become payable under the consulting agreement for the year ending July 26, 2008 or the applicable extension period, as the case may be. Additionally, options that have been granted but not yet vested will immediately vest upon such termination.

Additionally, FOH Holdings has agreed that if the merger agreement is terminated for any reason other than by FOH Holdings as a result of an Adverse Recommendation Change (as defined below in “The Merger Agreement—Restrictions on Solicitations of Other Offers Concerning Movie Star”), a Parent Acquisition Proposal (as defined below in “The Merger Agreement—Restrictions on Solicitations of Other Offers Concerning Movie Star”) or certain breaches of representations, warranties, covenants or agreements made by us or Merger Sub, as more fully described below in “The Merger Agreement—Termination,” FOH Holdings will reimburse us for one half of the base consulting fee paid to Performance Enhancement Partners (or earned and previously unpaid to Performance Enhancement Partners) under the consulting agreement prior to such termination.



Table of Contents

• Following the closing of the merger, Thomas Rende, Movie Star's current Chief Financial Officer, will become the Chief Financial Officer of the combined company. Accordingly, we intend to enter into a new employment agreement with Mr. Rende to become effective upon the closing of the merger. We are in negotiation with Mr. Rende regarding the terms of his new employment agreement.

• Saul Pomerantz, Chief Operating Officer of Movie Star, and Thomas Rende, Chief Financial Officer of Movie Star, who were directors of Movie Star at the time we entered into the merger agreement, hold certain options to purchase 280,000 and 105,000 shares of Movie Star common stock, respectively. These options are fully vested and exercisable. Pursuant to the terms of their respective option agreements, in the event of any merger, reorganization, consolidation, recapitalization, dividend (other than cash dividend), stock split, reverse stock split, or other change in corporate structure affecting the number of issued shares of Movie Star common stock, we are required to proportionately adjust the number and kind of option shares and the exercise price of the options in order to prevent dilution or enlargement of the optionee's proportionate interest in Movie Star and the rights under the agreements. Accordingly, upon completion of the merger, Mr. Pomerantz will be entitled to receive options to purchase an additional 420,000 shares of our common stock and Mr. Rende will be entitled to receive options to purchase an additional 157,500 shares of our common stock. The number and kind of option shares and the exercise price of other options held by Messrs. Pomerantz and Rende will not be adjusted as a result of the merger.

• Each Movie Star non-employee director currently receives the following compensation under the Movie Star Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan: (i) an annual stipend of \$20,000, payable quarterly in arrears, (ii) \$2,000 per day for board or committee meetings attended in person, regardless of the number of meetings held that day and (iii) \$1,000 per meeting for board or committee meetings attended telephonically, unless two or more teleconference call meetings are held back-to-back on the same call, in which case each non-employee director will receive \$1,000 for the entire call. Non-employee directors may elect to be paid in cash and/or Movie Star common stock. Following the closing of the merger, it is anticipated that the plan will be amended to (i) increase the annual stipend to \$30,000, payable quarterly in arrears, with additional annual stipends for committee chairpersons of \$5,000 for audit committee chairperson, \$3,000 for compensation committee chairperson and \$2,000 for nominating committee chairperson, payable quarterly in arrears and (ii) provide for payment of \$2,500 per board or committee meeting attended in person (up from \$2,000 per day) regardless of the number of meetings held that day. It is anticipated that the payment for telephonic meetings and the ability for non-employee directors to elect to be paid in cash and/or Movie Star stock would remain unchanged. It is also contemplated that, upon the approval of the amendment to the Movie Star Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan, each non-employee director will receive a one-time grant of non-qualified options to purchase 15,000 shares of common stock under our 2000 Performance Equity Plan at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the closing date of the merger, which will be exercisable immediately and will remain exercisable until the tenth anniversary of the date of grant.

Material Federal Income Tax Consequences of the Merger

The following is a summary of certain material United States federal income tax consequences of the merger to our shareholders. The following discussion is based upon the current provisions of the Code, Treasury Regulations promulgated under the Code, and administrative rulings and judicial decisions in effect as of the date of this document. These authorities may be changed at any time, possibly with retroactive effect. Any such change could result in United States federal income tax consequences that are different from those discussed below.

The following discussion is a summary of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger that are generally applicable to holders of Movie Star common stock that do not have a special tax status (as described below). The following discussion does not address the tax consequences of other transactions effectuated prior to,



concurrently, or after the merger, whether or not such transactions are

57

---

Table of Contents

completed in connection with the merger. Moreover, it does not address any non-income tax or any foreign, state, or local tax consequences of the merger. Further, this discussion does not address all aspects of U.S. federal income taxation that may be relevant to a Movie Star shareholder in light of his or her particular circumstances or particular tax status, including if the shareholder is:

- shareholder who is not a citizen or resident of the U.S.;
- institution;
- a tax-exempt organization;
- other pass-through entity;
- company;
- a mutual fund;
- or foreign currencies;
- who elects the mark-to-market method of accounting;
- functional currency other than the U.S. dollar;
- acquired his or her shares in connection with the exercise of a stock option or in any other compensatory transaction;
- or
- shareholder who holds his or her shares as part of a hedge, straddle or a constructive sale or conversion transaction.

- a
- a financial
- an S Corporation or
- an insurance
- a dealer in securities
- a trader in securities
- a person that has a
- a shareholder who
- a

We have not sought and will not seek any rulings from the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) or opinions from counsel with respect to the United States federal income tax consequences discussed below. Our views regarding the tax consequences of the merger are not binding upon the IRS or the courts, and there is no assurance that the IRS or the courts would accept the tax consequences discussed herein.

**ACCORDINGLY, WE STRONGLY URGE YOU TO CONSULT YOUR OWN TAX ADVISOR TO DETERMINE YOUR PARTICULAR U.S. FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, OR FOREIGN TAX CONSEQUENCES RESULTING FROM THE MERGER AND ANY RELATED REPORTING OBLIGATIONS.**

As a shareholder of Movie Star, you will not exchange or surrender your common stock in the merger or receive any separate consideration. Accordingly, you should not recognize any taxable gain or loss as a result of the merger. The merger is also expected to qualify as a tax-free transaction to Movie Star under the provisions of Section 1032 of the Code as an issuance of stock in exchange for property (i.e., the stock of FOH Holdings). However, as a result of the merger, our ability to use the net operating losses that we will have incurred prior to the merger will be limited on an annual basis. The ability of FOH Holdings to use its net operating losses that it will have incurred prior to the merger may also be limited.

Anticipated Accounting Treatment

The merger of FOH Holdings and Movie Star will be accounted for under the purchase method of accounting as a reverse acquisition. Under this method of accounting, FOH Holdings will be treated as having acquired Movie Star as

of the date of the completion of the merger. The financial statements prepared after the completion of the merger will be a continuation of the financial statements of FOH Holdings and will include the operations of Movie Star from the date of completion of the merger. The fair value of Movie Star's assets and liabilities as of the consummation date of the merger will be consolidated with the balance sheet of FOH Holdings. The purchase price will be allocated to the net assets of Movie Star's assets based upon their estimated fair values as of the consummation date of the merger. Additionally, the reverse acquisition will result in a recapitalization of the combined businesses representing the fair value of the purchase consideration issued in the merger plus the net assets of FOH Holdings as of the consummation date of the merger.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities will be adjusted for the difference between the tax basis of the assets and liabilities and their estimated values. The excess, if any, of the total acquisition cost over the

## Table of Contents

sum of the assigned fair values of the tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired, less liabilities assumed, will be recorded as goodwill and periodically evaluated for impairment. Our financial statements issued after completion of the acquisition will reflect these values. Historical data published after the completion of the merger will be of FOH Holdings and will not be restated retroactively to reflect the combined historical financial position or results of operations of Movie Star. Because the number of shares outstanding following the reverse acquisition will be significantly different from the number of shares outstanding prior to the reverse acquisition, the weighted average shares outstanding for purposes of presenting earnings per share on a comparative basis will be retroactively restated to the earliest period presented in order to reflect the effect the recapitalization that will occur as a result of the reverse acquisition.

## Regulatory Matters

The merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are not subject to any additional federal or state regulatory requirement or approval other than the filings of a certificate of merger with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware and our amended and restated certificate of incorporation with the Department of State of the State of New York. In addition, in order to effect the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, we may be required to submit notification and report forms to the Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission pursuant to the HSR Act. Such filings will be triggered if the merger satisfies the “size of the transaction” test under the HSR Act, which in our case depends on whether the consideration to be paid for FOH Holdings exceeds \$59.8 million. Since the consideration to be paid for FOH Holdings is based on the value of our common stock, and such value fluctuates, it is possible that we may not be required to make such filings. If we are required to make such filings, based on the HSR Act’s market price method of valuing the transaction within 45 days of the closing, or we otherwise decide to make such filings, FOH Holdings and we will be required to provide the Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission certain information and materials relating to the transaction and our respective businesses in order to allow the regulators to review the transaction and make a determination that it is unlikely to present any antitrust issues. If HSR filings become necessary, we would expect to receive clearance to the merger from these regulators within the initial 30 calendar day waiting period that runs from submission of both parties’ filings.

## Table of Contents

### THE MERGER AGREEMENT

The following summary of the material provisions of the merger agreement does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the merger agreement and the amendments to the merger agreement, which are attached to this proxy statement as Annex A, Annex B-1 and Annex B-2, respectively. All shareholders are encouraged to read the merger agreement in its entirety for a more complete description of the terms and conditions of the merger.

#### General; Structure of Merger

On December 18, 2006, we entered into a merger agreement with FOH Holdings. Merger Sub, our wholly-owned subsidiary formed to effect the merger by merging with and into FOH Holdings, is also a party to the merger agreement. FOH Holdings will be the surviving corporation in the merger with Merger Sub and, as a result, will be our wholly-owned subsidiary through an exchange of all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of FOH Holdings for shares of our common stock.

FOH Holdings' stockholders have already approved and adopted the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby by virtue of the execution of an unanimous written consent of such stockholders. No further action is required to be taken by FOH Holdings' stockholders to approve the merger and related transactions.

#### Closing and Effective Time of the Merger

The closing of the merger will take place promptly following the satisfaction of the conditions described below under "The Merger Agreement—Conditions to Closing of the Merger," unless we and FOH Holdings agree in writing to another time. The merger is expected to be consummated promptly after the special meeting of our shareholders.

#### Name; Headquarters; Stock Symbol

After completion of the merger:

will be changed to Frederick's of Hollywood Group Inc.; and

- our name
- the corporate headquarters and principal executive offices of the combined company and the Movie Star division will be located at 1115 Broadway, New York, New York 10010, which is Movie Star's current corporate headquarters. The corporate headquarters and principal executive office of the Frederick's of Hollywood division will be located at 6255 Sunset Boulevard, Sixth Floor, Hollywood, California 90028, which is Frederick's of Hollywood's current corporate headquarters.

We intend to apply to have our American Stock Exchange trading symbol changed from "MSI" to "FOH" following the completion of the merger.

#### Merger Consideration

Upon completion of the merger, each stockholder of FOH Holdings will have the right to receive in exchange for its shares of common stock of FOH Holdings held at the effective time of the merger shares of our common stock equal to the product of (i) 0.8 multiplied by (ii) the number of shares of FOH Holdings common stock held by such

stockholder immediately prior to the effective time of the merger multiplied by (iii) an exchange ratio of 17.811414, plus the right to receive the distributions, if any, under the escrow established pursuant to the merger agreement and related escrow agreement and described below under “The Merger Agreement—Escrow Arrangements” and “Other Transaction Documents— Escrow Agreement.”

Each share of FOH Holdings common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger that is owned by FOH Holdings or any of its direct or indirect subsidiaries shall, by virtue of the merger and without any action on the part of the holder thereof, cease to be outstanding, shall be cancelled and retired without payment of any consideration therefor, and shall cease to exist.

## Table of Contents

### Exemption from Registration

The issuance of Movie Star common stock to the FOH Holdings stockholders in the merger is exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act and/or Rule 506 of Regulation D under the Securities Act. FOH Holdings has only two stockholder groups – Tokarz Investments and the Fursa managed accounts. Representatives of both Tokarz Investments and the Fursa managed accounts have signed the merger agreement (solely for purposes of certain sections) and the FOH Holdings’ stockholders agreement. Pursuant to the FOH Holdings’ stockholders agreement, each FOH Holdings stockholder has represented that: (i) such stockholder is an “accredited investor” within the meaning of Rule 501(a) of Regulation D under the Securities Act, and has sufficient knowledge and experience in financial and business matters so as to be capable of evaluating the merits and risks of its investment in Movie Star common stock, (ii) such stockholder is capable of bearing the economic risks of the investment, (iii) such stockholder is acquiring the shares of Movie Star common stock issuable in the merger for investment for its own account and not with a view toward or for sale in connection with any distribution thereof, or with any present intention of distributing or selling such stock and (iv) such stockholder understands that the shares of Movie Star common stock to be issued in connection with the merger have not been and will not be registered under the Securities Act and are being issued in a transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act and may not be transferred without registration under the Securities Act except pursuant to an exemption from such registration available under the Securities Act. In addition, each such stockholder has had both the opportunity to ask questions and receive answers from the officers and directors of Movie Star and has had access to Movie Star’s SEC filed reports.

### Escrow Arrangements

We and the stockholder representatives for the FOH Holdings stockholders will enter into an escrow agreement at or prior to the effective time of the merger with an escrow agent whereby we will deposit into escrow an amount of shares of our common stock equal to the product of (i) 0.2 multiplied by (ii) the number of shares of FOH Holdings common stock held by the stockholders of FOH Holdings immediately prior to the effective time of the merger multiplied by (iii) the exchange ratio of 17.811414 to cover indemnification claims that may be brought by us for certain matters, including breaches of representations, warranties and covenants by FOH Holdings under the merger agreement and the FOH Holdings’ stockholders agreement. Shares remaining in escrow will be released following the 18-month anniversary of the effective time of the merger, subject to extension under certain circumstances. Similarly, treasury shares of our common stock representing 7.5% of the aggregate number of issued and outstanding shares of our common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger will be deposited into escrow to cover any indemnification claims that may be brought by FOH Holdings’ stockholders, which shares shall be returned to us following the 18-month anniversary of the effective time of the merger, subject to certain conditions and to the extent not used to satisfy indemnification claims. See also “Other Transaction Documents—Escrow Agreement.”

Pursuant to the merger agreement, a committee of the board of directors of the combined company will be formed to make determinations regarding, pursuing and responding to indemnification claims, the amount of losses and the offset of losses against our common stock deposited into escrow. This committee will initially be comprised of Joel M. Simon and Milton J. Walters. If we or the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives do not agree with the determination made by this committee and, further, these parties are unable to reach an agreement, any disputes, claims, or controversies arising out of or relating to these indemnification matters will be resolved solely and exclusively by binding arbitration to be conducted before the Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services (“JAMS”) in New York City before a single arbitrator pursuant to JAMS Comprehensive Arbitration Rules and Procedures, the results of which will be final and binding on us and FOH Holdings’ stockholders.

Election of Directors and Appointment of Executive Officers

In connection with its approval of the merger and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, our board of directors approved the increase of the number of directors constituting the full board from seven to eleven which will be effective at the effective time of the merger. At the effective time

61

---



Table of Contents

of the merger, if management’s nominees are elected, the directors of the combined company will be Peter Cole, John L. Eisel, Melvyn Knigin, Michael A. Salberg and Joel M. Simon, all currently serving as directors of Movie Star, Thomas Rende, the Chief Financial Officer of Movie Star and a former member of Movie Star’s board of directors, William F. Harley, Linda LoRe, Rose Peabody Lynch and Milton J. Walters, all currently serving as directors of FOH Holdings, and Thomas J. Lynch, Chief Executive Officer of Fursa. If the merger is not consummated, our existing directors will continue to serve as directors of Movie Star. See “Director Election Proposal.”

After the merger, in accordance with the merger agreement, the newly constituted board of directors of the combined company will appoint Peter Cole as our Executive Chairman, Thomas Rende as our Chief Financial Officer, Melvyn Knigin as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Movie Star division and Linda LoRe as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Frederick’s of Hollywood division.

Representations and Warranties

As part of the merger agreement, FOH Holdings made representations and warranties to us and Merger Sub relating to a number of matters, including:

- FOH Holdings’ and its subsidiaries’ due organization and good standing;
- FOH Holdings’ and its subsidiaries’ capital structure and the rights and obligations relating to FOH Holdings’ and its subsidiaries’ capital stock;
- the absence of due authorization, execution, delivery and enforceability of the merger agreement and the transaction documents;
- the absence of the need for filings, authorizations or approvals in order to consummate the merger;
- FOH Holdings’ and its subsidiaries’ compliance with all applicable laws;
- the accuracy of FOH Holdings’ consolidated financial statements specified in the merger agreement;
- the financial projections relating to FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries;
- the absence of undisclosed liabilities;
- the absence of certain material changes or events;
- the absence of litigation;
- the accuracy of the disclosure of material agreements, contracts and commitments;
- the accuracy of information relating to FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries that will be included in filings with the SEC, in connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;
- FOH Holdings’ and its subsidiaries’ employees, labor relations and employee benefit plans;
- FOH Holdings’ and its subsidiaries’ intellectual property, the absence of infringement of third party intellectual property rights by FOH Holdings and other related matters;
- the timely and

accurate filing of tax returns, the timely payment of taxes and other related matters;

transactions;

its subsidiaries' good title to properties and assets;

its subsidiaries' insurance policies;

of anti-takeover statutes;

brokers' or finders' fees;

- related party
- FOH Holdings' and
- FOH Holdings' and
- the inapplicability
- the absence of

62

---

Table of Contents

significant suppliers;

matters and compliance with environmental laws;

plants, structures and equipment owned and leased by FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries; and

information and communications technologies used by FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries.

- FOH Holdings’
- environmental
- the condition of
- the condition of the

As part of the merger agreement, both we and Merger Sub made representations and warranties to FOH Holdings relating to a number of matters, including:

organization and good standing;

the rights and obligations relating to our and our subsidiaries’ capital stock;

authorization, execution, delivery and enforceability of the merger agreement and the transaction documents;

the need for filings, authorizations or approvals in order to consummate the merger;

subsidiaries’ compliance with all applicable laws;

consolidated financial statements specified in the merger agreement;

financial projections;

undisclosed liabilities;

certain material changes or events;

litigation;

the disclosure of material agreements, contracts and commitments;

information relating to us and our subsidiaries that will be included in SEC filings in connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;

corporate documents;

subsidiaries’ employees, labor relations and employee benefit plans;

subsidiaries’ intellectual property, the absence of infringement of third party intellectual property rights and other related matters;

accurate filing of tax returns, the timely payment of taxes and other related matters;

subsidiaries’ good title to properties and assets;

- due
- capital structure and
- the due
- the absence of
- our and our
- the accuracy of our
- the accuracy of our
- the absence of
- the absence of
- the absence of
- the accuracy of
- the delivery of
- our and our
- our and our
- the timely and
- our and our
- our and our

subsidiaries' insurance policies;  
of anti-takeover statutes;  
brokers' fees or finders' fees;  
suppliers and customers;  
formation of Merger Sub;  
matters and compliance with environmental laws;  
plants, structures and equipment owned and leased by us and our subsidiaries;  
information and communications technologies used by us and our subsidiaries; and  
transactions.

- the inapplicability
- the disclosure on
- our significant
- the purpose and
- environmental
- the condition of
- the condition of the
- related party

Table of Contents

In connection with the execution of the merger agreement, we and FOH Holdings delivered disclosure schedules to each other specifying, among other things, certain exceptions to our respective representations and warranties.

Conduct of Businesses Pending the Merger

We and FOH Holdings have each agreed as part of the merger agreement to continue to operate our respective businesses in the ordinary course prior to the closing of the transactions contemplated thereby and we each have agreed not to take the following actions, subject to certain exceptions, without the prior written consent of the other:

- debt, except under existing lines of credit;
  - combine or reclassify any of our respective capital stock;
  - transaction not in the ordinary course of business;
  - pay any dividend, or make any other distribution on, or redeem, purchase or otherwise acquire, any shares of our respective capital stock;
  - shares of capital stock or any securities convertible into or exchangeable for, or any warrants or options to acquire any such shares;
  - increased salary or special remuneration other than in the ordinary course of business or as required to comply with applicable law or existing benefit plan;
  - terminate or amend in any material respect any benefit plan, other than the entry into of employment agreements with newly hired non-executive employees;
  - license, lease, mortgage, encumber or otherwise dispose of any of its properties or assets other than in the ordinary course of business;
  - line of business;
  - acquisition or investment, or make any capital expenditures, other than investments in wholly-owned subsidiaries or in the ordinary course of business;
  - of incorporation or bylaws or similar organizational documents;
  - claim, action or proceeding, except in the ordinary course of business;
  - is intended or would be reasonably likely to result in any of the conditions to the merger agreement not being satisfied, except as may be required by applicable law, statute, rule, regulation or ordinance;
  - methods;
  - amend or waive its rights under any material contract, terminate or fail to renew any material contract, or enter into any new material contract;
- incur any
  - adjust, split,
  - enter into any
  - make, declare or
  - issue any additional
  - pay any bonus,
  - adopt, enter into,
  - sell, transfer,
  - enter into any new
  - make any
  - amend the articles
  - settle any material
  - take any action that
  - change accounting
  - 
  - adopt a plan or

agreement of material reorganization;

value of any inventory or write-off as uncollectible any notes or accounts receivable;

any intellectual property, owned or licensed;

insurance coverage; or

reasonably likely to result in the merger not being treated as a tax-free reorganization pursuant to Section 354 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

- write down the
- dispose of rights to
- change any
- take any action

#### Restrictions on Solicitations of Other Offers Concerning Movie Star

Subject to the provisions described below, until the merger is completed or the merger agreement is terminated, we have agreed, subject to certain exceptions, to not direct, authorize or permit any of our or our subsidiaries' respective officers, directors, employees, shareholders, agents, advisors or other representatives to:

64

---

Table of Contents

encourage the submission of any inquiries, proposals or offers, or facilitate the making of any inquiry, expression of interest, proposal or offer that constitutes, or could reasonably be expected to lead to any inquiries, proposals or offers from any person or group of persons other than FOH Holdings or its affiliates relating to (i) any direct or indirect acquisition or purchase of a business that constitutes 15% or more of our and our subsidiaries' net revenues, net income or assets, taken as a whole, or 15% or more of our outstanding common stock, (ii) any tender offer or exchange offer that if consummated would result in any person or group of persons beneficially owning 15% or more of our outstanding common stock, or (iii) any merger, reorganization, consolidation, share exchange, business combination, recapitalization, liquidation, dissolution or similar transaction involving us (or any of our subsidiaries whose business constitutes 15% or more of our and our subsidiaries' net revenues, net income or assets). Any such proposal is hereafter referred to as a "Parent Acquisition Proposal"; or

- initiate, solicit or

Acquisition Proposal or enter into any agreement or agreement in principle providing for or relating to a Parent Acquisition Proposal or enter into any agreement or agreement in principle requiring us to abandon, terminate or fail to consummate the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement or to breach our obligations under the merger agreement.

- accept a Parent

We also have agreed, subject to certain exceptions, to, immediately following the execution of the merger agreement, cease and cause to be terminated any then existing solicitation, encouragement, discussion or negotiation with any person with respect to any Parent Acquisition Proposal.

We have further agreed that, except as provided below, neither our board of directors nor any committee thereof, will withdraw (or modify in a manner adverse to FOH Holdings), or publicly propose to withdraw (or modify in a manner adverse to FOH Holdings), the approval, recommendation or declaration of advisability of the merger or recommend, adopt or approve, or propose publicly to recommend, adopt or approve, any alternative Parent Acquisition Proposal (any such action, is hereafter referred to as an "Adverse Recommendation Change") or approve or recommend, or publicly propose to approve or recommend, or execute or enter into, any agreement constituting or related to, or that is intended to lead to any Parent Acquisition Proposal (other than in connection with a confidentiality agreement on the terms described below).

Notwithstanding the above restrictions, if at any time prior to obtaining our shareholders' approval of the proposals contemplated by this proxy statement, we receive a written Parent Acquisition Proposal from a third party that our board of directors (acting through its special committee), after consultation with its independent financial advisors and outside counsel:

- believes

in good faith to be bona fide;

faith to constitute or could reasonably be expected to result in an offer or proposal relating to (i) any direct or indirect acquisition or purchase of a business that constitutes 50% or more of our and our subsidiaries' net revenues, net income or assets, taken as a whole, or 50% or more of our outstanding common stock, (ii) any tender offer or exchange offer that if consummated would result in any person or group of persons beneficially owning 50% or more of our outstanding common stock, or (iii) any merger, reorganization, consolidation, share exchange, business combination, recapitalization, liquidation, dissolution or similar transaction involving us (or any of our subsidiaries whose business constitutes 50% or more of our and our subsidiaries' net revenues, net income or assets) (any such proposal is hereafter referred to as a "Superior Proposal"); and

- determines in good

faith that the failure to take such action would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties under applicable law,

- determines in good

then, we may (x) furnish information with respect to us and our subsidiaries to the person making such Parent Acquisition Proposal (and its representatives) and (y) participate in discussions or negotiations with the person making such Parent Acquisition Proposal (and its representatives) regarding such proposal. In connection with any such Parent Acquisition Proposal, we have agreed that we will not, and will not allow our representatives to, disclose any non-public information to such person without entering

65

---



## Table of Contents

into a confidentiality agreement on terms that are not less favorable than those set forth in the confidentiality agreement that we entered into with FOH Holdings and will promptly provide to FOH Holdings any non-public information concerning us or our subsidiaries provided to such other person which was not previously provided to FOH Holdings. We have agreed to notify FOH Holdings within one business day if we receive such Parent Acquisition Proposal, including the material terms and conditions thereof, and shall keep FOH Holdings apprised of the status and any material developments concerning the same. These restrictions do not prohibit us or our board of directors from taking and disclosing to our shareholders a position with respect to a tender or exchange offer by a third party pursuant to Rules 14d-9 and 14e-2(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") or from making any other disclosure required by applicable law.

We have the right to terminate the merger agreement and abandon the merger before the effective time, if at any time prior to obtaining our shareholders' approval on the proposals contemplated by this proxy statement, our board of directors (as approved by its special committee) resolves to enter into, in accordance with the provisions of the merger agreement, a definitive agreement containing a Parent Acquisition Proposal so long as:

- we shall have complied with our obligations under the merger agreement regarding not soliciting alternative transaction and related matters;
- our board of directors (acting through its special committee) shall have determined in good faith (after consultation with its independent financial advisors and outside counsel) that such Parent Acquisition Proposal constitutes a Superior Proposal and the failure to take such action is inconsistent with the fiduciary duties of our board of directors to our shareholders under applicable law; and
- prior to terminating the merger agreement to enter into an agreement with respect to such Superior Proposal, we shall, and shall cause our financial and legal advisors to negotiate with FOH Holdings in good faith (to the extent FOH Holdings desires to negotiate) to make such improvements in the terms and conditions of the merger agreement so that such Parent Acquisition Proposal ceases to constitute a Superior Proposal, which obligation to negotiate will expire five business days after the date on which we commence to negotiate with FOH Holdings.

Subject to the provisions described above, we have the right to enter into a definitive agreement containing a Parent Acquisition Proposal, so long as the effectiveness of such agreement is conditioned upon the termination of the merger agreement pursuant to the terms described above and immediately following the execution of such agreement, a copy of such agreement and all related agreements, exhibits, schedules and other documents are delivered to FOH Holdings. We have agreed to notify FOH Holdings in writing of our intent to enter into a definitive agreement containing a Parent Acquisition Proposal following the resolution of our board of directors to do so and to provide FOH Holdings with the identity of the person making, and the final terms and conditions of, such Parent Acquisition Proposal.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in the merger agreement, we (acting on the recommendation of our special committee) are not prevented from discharging our disclosure obligations pursuant to applicable law or from making, prior to obtaining our shareholders' approval on the proposals contemplated by this proxy, an Adverse Recommendation Change, if we determine in good faith (after consultation with our independent financial advisors and outside counsel) that failure to take such action would be inconsistent with our fiduciary duties to our shareholders under applicable law.

## Restrictions on Solicitations of Other Offers Concerning FOH Holdings

Until the merger is completed or the merger agreement is terminated, FOH Holdings has agreed to not direct, authorize or permit any of its or its subsidiaries' respective officers, directors, employees, shareholders, agents, advisors or other representatives to:

- initiate, solicit or encourage the submission of any inquiries, proposals or offers or facilitate the making of any inquiry, expression of interest, proposal or offer that constitutes, or could reasonably be expected to lead to (i) any inquiry, proposal or offer from any person or group of persons other than us or our affiliates relating to any direct or indirect acquisition or purchase of

## Table of Contents

a business that constitutes 15% or more of the net revenues, net income or assets of FOH Holdings, taken as a whole, or 15% or more of the outstanding shares of FOH Holdings common stock, (ii) any tender offer or exchange offer that if consummated would result in any person or group of persons beneficially owning 15% or more of the outstanding FOH Holdings common stock, or (iii) any merger, reorganization, consolidation, share exchange, business combination, recapitalization, liquidation, dissolution or similar transaction involving FOH Holdings (any such proposal is hereafter referred to, as a “Company Acquisition Proposal”); or

- accept a Company Acquisition Proposal or enter into any agreement or agreement in principle providing for or relating to a Company Acquisition Proposal or enter into any agreement or agreement in principle requiring FOH Holdings to abandon, terminate or fail to consummate the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement or breach its obligations under the merger agreement.

As part of the merger agreement, immediately following the execution of the merger agreement, FOH Holdings agreed to cease and cause to be terminated any then existing solicitation, encouragement, discussion or negotiation with any person with respect to any Company Acquisition Proposal. As part of the FOH Holdings’ stockholders agreement, the stockholders of FOH Holdings also agreed to cease and cause to be terminated any then existing solicitation, encouragement, discussion or negotiation with any person with respect to any Company Acquisition Proposal. See also “Other Transaction Documents— FOH Holdings’ Stockholders Agreement.”

## Other Covenants

In addition to the above, we have agreed with FOH Holdings that each of us will:

- use best reasonable efforts to work together in seeking to refinance our and FOH Holdings’ existing indebtedness for borrowed money in connection with the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, other than \$7,500,000 owed by FOH Holdings to the Fursa Debt Holders, which amount is to be cancelled in exchange for a number of shares of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock equal to the quotient obtained by dividing \$7,500,000 by the average daily closing price of our common stock (on its principal trading market) for the 20 trading days immediately preceding the record date for our special meeting of shareholders, which shares of Series A Preferred Stock will have the rights and preferences set forth on our proposed amended and restated certificate of incorporation;

- furnish the other party with all information concerning itself, its subsidiaries, directors, officers and stockholders and such other matters as may be reasonably necessary or advisable in connection with this proxy statement, the registration statement for the rights offering or any other statement, filing, notice or application made by or on behalf of FOH Holdings or us to any third party or any governmental entity in connection with the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;

- afford the other party and its officers, employees, counsel, accountants, financial advisors and other authorized representatives, reasonable access, during normal business hours throughout the period prior to the effective time of the merger or the earlier termination of the merger agreement, to its properties, books, contracts and records;

- keep all information and materials provided to each other in connection with the merger agreement confidential in accordance with and subject to (i) the confidentiality and non-circumvention agreement, dated March 5, 2004 by and between FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries as the disclosing party and us as the receiving party and (ii) the confidentiality and non-circumvention agreement, dated March 4, 2004, by and between us and our subsidiaries as the disclosing party and FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries as the receiving party;

• from and after the effective time of the merger, maintain FOH Holdings' and our respective employee benefit plans in effect at such time (except that we have agreed to assume, at the effective time of the merger, each outstanding option to purchase shares of FOH Holdings common stock under FOH Holdings' 2003 Employee Equity Incentive Plan, whether or not

67

---

Table of Contents

exercisable or vested or unvested) with respect to employees and former employees, until such time as we otherwise determine, subject to applicable law and the terms of such plans. We and FOH Holdings have agreed to cooperate in reviewing, evaluating and analyzing each of our respective benefit plans with a view towards developing appropriate employee benefits and compensation plans, programs and arrangements. In the merger agreement, we and FOH Holdings expressed our intention, to the extent permitted by applicable law, to develop benefit plans as soon as reasonably practicable after the effective time of the merger to (i) treat similarly situated employees on a substantially equivalent basis, taking into account all relevant factors, including duties, geographic location, tenure, qualifications and abilities, and (ii) not discriminate between employees who were covered by our employee benefit plans, on the one hand, and those covered by FOH Holdings' employee benefit plans on the other; and

- use our respective best reasonable efforts to take such actions as are necessary so that such transactions contemplated by the merger agreement may be consummated as promptly as practicable on the terms contemplated by the merger agreement and otherwise act to eliminate or minimize the effects of the HSR Act or any antitrust statute or regulation on such transactions.

In addition to the above, we have agreed to, among other things:

- use commercially reasonable efforts to increase the limit of liability under our directors and officers liability insurance policy to \$25,000,000 by the closing date of the merger;

- use our reasonable best efforts to cause our common stock to continue trading on the American Stock Exchange, and to the extent such listing is not available, we will use our reasonable best efforts to cause our common stock to be approved for listing on a regional or other national securities exchange; and

- “continue” at least one “significant historic business line” of FOH Holdings and/or one of its subsidiaries or “use” at least a “significant portion” of FOH Holdings and/or its subsidiaries’ “historic business assets” in a business, in each case as such terms are used with the meaning of the federal tax regulations.

In addition to the above, FOH Holdings agreed to, among other things:

- prepare and deliver, no later than January 31, 2007, to Merger Sub and us consolidated balance sheets as of July 31, 2004, July 30, 2005 and July 29, 2006, the related consolidated statements of income and cash flows for each of the years ended July 31, 2004, July 30, 2005 and July 29, 2006 of FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries and all notes relating thereto, which financial statements were to include a signed audit report from Deloitte & Touche LLP containing no qualifications (other than deficiencies or weaknesses that are not material and adverse, when taken as a whole with respect to such financial statements). As more fully described under the section entitled “The Merger and Related Transactions—Background of the Merger and Related Transactions,” we delivered a notice to FOH Holdings reserving our right to terminate the merger agreement prior to the effective time as a result of FOH Holdings’ failure to deliver the aforementioned financial statements by January 31, 2007, and on November 28, 2007, we waived our right to terminate the merger agreement solely as a result of FOH Holdings’ failure to comply with this obligation.

Material Adverse Effect

A number of representations, warranties, covenants, closing conditions and termination provisions in the merger agreement use the phrase “parent material adverse effect” and others use the phrase “company material adverse effect.”

The merger agreement provides that in both cases, “material adverse effect” means, any change, circumstance, event or occurrence that is reasonably expected to:

- be materially adverse to the assets and liabilities, business, financial condition or results of operations of either us and our subsidiaries, taken as a whole, in the case of a “parent material adverse effect,” or FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, in the case of a “company material adverse effect;” or

68

---

## Table of Contents

materially delay our ability, in the case of a “parent material adverse effect,” or FOH Holdings, in the case of a “company material adverse effect,” to consummate the transactions contemplated in connection with the merger or to perform our respective obligations under the merger agreement; other than such change, circumstance, event or occurrence resulting from:

- prevent or

event or occurrence generally affecting each party’s respective industries, other than such change, circumstance, event or occurrence that has a disproportionate effect on either us and our subsidiaries, taken as a whole, in the case of a “parent material adverse effect,” or FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, in the case of a “company material adverse effect;”

- a change, circumstance,

financial or securities markets in general, or political conditions in the United States or any acts of terrorism, military actions or war, other than such change, circumstance, event or occurrence resulting therefrom that has a disproportionate effect on either us and our subsidiaries, taken as whole, in the case of a “parent material adverse effect,” or FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, in the case of a “company material adverse effect;” or

- the economy, the

agreement or the transactions contemplated thereby, including the announcement or pendency thereof.

Further, a decrease in the trading price of our common stock and/or litigation arising therefrom, in and of themselves, are not considered to have a material adverse effect on us.

## Conditions to Closing of the Merger

Our obligations, as well as the obligations of each of FOH Holdings and Merger Sub, under the merger agreement are subject to the satisfaction of the following conditions:

- the

majority of our outstanding shares of common stock and the majority of the shares of our common stock that are held by our shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates or associates shall have approved the proposed amendments to our certificate of incorporation that allow for the increase of authorized shares of our common stock to 200,000,000 and the issuance of shares of our common stock in connection with the merger, the rights offering and the other transactions contemplated thereby as set forth by this proxy statement, and such certificate shall have been filed with the Secretary of State of the State of New York;

- all consents,

authorizations or approvals of any individual, corporation, partnership, limited liability company, association, trust or other entity or organization (including a court or tribunal or administrative governmental or regulatory body, agency or authority), required or necessary to be obtained prior to the effective time of the merger by us, FOH Holdings or any of our respective subsidiaries in connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, other than those which the failure to obtain would not, individually or in the aggregate, have a parent material adverse effect or company material adverse effect, as applicable, or result in a criminal violation;

- if any existing

indebtedness for borrowed money of FOH Holdings, us, or any of our respective subsidiaries held by Wells Fargo Retail Finance, LLC or CIT Commercial Service is not refinanced, all such consents, authorizations, waivers and approvals of each of such financial institutions shall have been obtained;

- there shall not have

been enacted, issued, enforced or entered any judgment, decree, injunction, order, writ, arbitration award, agency requirement, law, statute, ordinance, rule, regulation, concession, franchise, permit, license or other governmental authorization by any court, tribunal or administrative, governmental or regulatory agency, body, authority or other

entity of competent jurisdiction or threat thereof, or any other fact or circumstance, which would prohibit or render illegal the merger or the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;

69

---



Table of Contents

statement for the rights offering shall have been filed with the SEC and declared effective; no stop order suspending the effectiveness of such registration statement or any part thereof shall have been issued and no proceeding for that purpose shall have been initiated or threatened by the SEC and any request of the SEC for the inclusion of additional information shall have been complied with;

directors' and officers' liability insurance, subject to the potential increase in the limit of liability to \$25,000,000, shall be available to our officers and directors; and

under the HSR Act, the waiting period applicable to the consummation of the merger under the HSR Act shall have expired or been terminated, and there shall not be in effect any voluntary agreement between FOH Holdings or us and the Federal Trade Commission or the Department of Justice pursuant to which either FOH Holdings or us has agreed not to consummate the merger for a period of time. Further, any similar waiting period under any other antitrust rule or regulation applicable to the merger or the transactions contemplated thereby shall have expired or been terminated.

The obligations of FOH Holdings to consummate the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, in addition to the conditions described above, are subject to the satisfaction of the following conditions:

representations and warranties and the representations and warranties of Merger Sub set forth in the merger agreement, the standby purchase agreement and the shareholders agreement shall be true and correct in all material respects (or in all respects for such representations and warranties qualified as to materiality or a material adverse effect with respect to us and our subsidiaries) on the date of the merger agreement and on the date of the closing of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement with the same effect as though such representations and warranties had been made on and as of such date (unless any such representation and warranty expressly speaks as of an earlier date, in which case such representation and warranty shall be true and correct as of such earlier date) and FOH Holdings shall have received a certificate to such effect signed on our behalf by our authorized officer;

shall have each performed or complied, in all material respects, with all obligations and covenants required to be performed or complied with by us under the merger agreement prior to the closing of the transactions contemplated thereby, and FOH Holdings shall have received a certificate to such effect signed on our behalf by our authorized officer;

there shall not have been any change which would constitute a parent material adverse effect; and

executed and delivered the shareholders agreement, the registration rights agreement and the guarantor warrants.

Our obligations, as well as those of Merger Sub, to consummate the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, in addition to the conditions described above, are subject to the satisfaction of the following conditions:

representations and warranties of FOH Holdings set forth in the merger agreement, the standby purchase agreement and the shareholders agreement shall be true and correct in all material respects (or in all respects for such representations and warranties qualified as to materiality or a material adverse effect with respect to FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries) on the date of the merger agreement and on the date of the closing of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement with the same effect as though such representations and warranties had been made on and as of such date (unless any such representation and warranty expressly speaks as of an earlier date, in which case such representation and warranty shall be true and correct as of such earlier date) and we shall have received a certificate to such effect signed on behalf of FOH Holdings by an authorized officer of FOH Holdings;

• the  
representations and warranties of the Fursa standby purchasers, Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel set forth in the  
standby purchase agreement shall be true and correct in all material

70

---

Table of Contents

respects on the date of the merger agreement and on the date of the closing of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement with the same effect as though such representations and warranties had been made on and as of such date (unless any such representation and warranty expressly speaks as of an earlier date, in which case such representation and warranty shall be true and correct as of such earlier date) and we shall have received a certificate to such effect signed on behalf of each of the Fursa standby purchasers, Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel;

• FOH Holdings shall have performed or complied, in all material respects, with all obligations and covenants required to be performed or complied with by FOH Holdings under the merger agreement prior to the closing of the transactions contemplated thereby, and we shall have received a certificate to such effect signed on behalf of FOH Holdings by an authorized officer of FOH Holdings;

• there shall not have been any change which would constitute a company material adverse effect;

• each of the Fursa standby purchasers, Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel shall have delivered to us, by wire transfer of immediately available funds, the aggregate purchase price for the applicable number of shares of our common stock that each such party is obligated to purchase under the standby purchase agreement as described below in “Other Transaction Documents—Standby Purchase Agreement;” and

• each FOH Holdings stockholder shall have executed and delivered the FOH Holdings’ stockholders agreement and the shareholders agreement.

Each of the conditions to the consummation of the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are for the benefit of the respective party and may be waived by such party in whole or in part to the extent permitted by law, rule or regulation, or other governmental authorization and approval.

We can provide no assurance that all of the conditions precedent to the merger will be satisfied or waived by the party permitted to do so, although as of the date of this proxy statement, we are not aware of any material uncertainty as to the satisfaction of any of the conditions to complete the merger. Although FOH Holdings breached its obligation to deliver certain financial statements by January 31, 2007 as more fully described under the section entitled “The Merger and Related Transactions—Background of the Merger and Related Transactions,” Movie Star has waived this breach.

We cannot at this point determine whether the waiver of any particular condition would materially change the terms of the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. If we determine that a waiver of a condition would change the terms of the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement in a material manner, or we should otherwise be required by applicable law, we will re-solicit proxies. In making our determination of whether the waiver of a particular condition would change the terms of the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement in a material manner, we would consider, among other factors:

- the reasons for the waiver;
- the effect of the waiver on the terms of the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;
- whether the requirement being waived was necessary in order to make the transaction fair to our stockholders from a financial point of view; and
- the availability of alternative transactions to us and our prospects as an independent entity.

Indemnification

FOH Holdings (by its stockholders) will indemnify, defend and hold the surviving corporation, us, and our respective successors and permitted assigns, harmless from every claim, liability, obligation, loss or expense (including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and other expenses of the merged entity, us and our respective successors and permitted assigns) arising out of or resulting from:

• any

breach of any representation, warranty, covenant, agreement or certification made by or on behalf of FOH Holdings in the merger agreement;

71

---

Table of Contents

notice of sales or use tax assessment, suit, or administrative proceeding related to the collection or payment of sales or use taxes by FOH Holdings or any of its subsidiaries pursuant to which FOH Holdings, us or any of either of our respective subsidiaries has received a written notice on or before the 18-month anniversary of the closing date under the merger agreement relating to any activity of FOH Holdings or any of its subsidiaries prior to the closing date under the merger agreement and specifying a liability for which sales or use taxes are alleged to be due, including any statutory interest and/or penalties related thereto, or any litigation or audit expenses incurred by any such company related to any such tax proceedings;

- any action, written

on or before the 18-month anniversary of the closing date under the merger agreement of state sales or use taxes relating to any activity of FOH Holdings or any of its subsidiaries prior to the closing date under the merger agreement; or

- any payment made

recorded on the balance sheet of FOH Holdings or any its subsidiaries that remains a liability on the 18-month anniversary of the closing date of the merger agreement with respect to the collection or payment of state sales or use taxes relating to any activity of FOH Holdings or any of its subsidiaries prior to closing date under the merger agreement.

- any liability

For purposes of determining the amount of any indemnifiable claims under the merger agreement, any representation or warranty given by FOH Holdings should be interpreted without giving effect to the words “materially” or “material” individually or as it appears in the phrase “company material adverse effect,” as it relates to FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries.

The merger agreement provides that by virtue of the execution of the FOH Holdings’ stockholders agreement by each FOH Holdings stockholder, the FOH Holdings stockholders have agreed that, except with respect to fraud or willful misconduct, the shares of our common stock that will be deposited in escrow pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement and escrow agreement will be available as the sole, exclusive and maximum recourse for our indemnified persons with respect to any indemnifiable claims under the merger agreement by any of them; provided, that in no event will this limit our right to seek injunctive or other equitable relief to enforce the performance by FOH Holdings or the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives of their respective obligations under the merger agreement or the escrow agreement.

We have agreed that if at any time a liability or any portion thereof recorded on our balance sheet or on FOH Holdings’ or on any of our or FOH Holdings’ subsidiaries’ balance sheets with respect to the collection or payment of state sales or use taxes, relating to any activity of FOH Holdings or any of its subsidiaries prior to closing date under the merger agreement is removed as a liability without the payment of amounts therefor and we have been paid under the escrow agreement in respect of such liability, we will reimburse FOH Holdings’ stockholders on a pro rata basis as instructed by FOH Holdings stockholder representatives.

We will indemnify, defend and hold the FOH Holdings stockholders harmless from every claim, liability, obligation, loss or expense (including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs and other expenses of FOH Holdings’ stockholders and their respective successors and permitted assigns) arising out of or resulting from any breach of any representation, warranty, covenant, agreement or certification made by or on behalf of Merger Sub or us in the merger agreement. For purposes of determining the amount of any indemnifiable claims under the merger agreement, any representation or warranty given by us should be interpreted without giving effect to the words “materially” or “material” individually or as it appears in the phrase “parent material adverse effect,” as it relates to us and our subsidiaries.

The merger agreement provides that except with respect to fraud or willful misconduct, the shares of our common stock that we will deposit in escrow with the escrow agent will be available as the sole, exclusive and maximum recourse against us with respect to any indemnifiable claims under the merger agreement by FOH Holdings; provided, however, that in no event will this limit FOH Holdings' right to seek injunctive or other equitable relief to enforce the performance by us of our obligations under the merger agreement or under the escrow agreement.

Any claims for indemnification arising out of breaches of representations and warranties under the merger agreement must be made by the claiming party prior to the expiration of the 18-month anniversary

72

---

Table of Contents

of the closing date under the merger agreement. With respect to certain tax liabilities, the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives may elect to extend the payment of any such tax liability until the 24-month anniversary of the closing under the merger agreement. In the event that the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives do not timely make such election, the escrow agent will deliver to us shares of our common stock that were deposited with the escrow agent to cover claims against FOH Holdings having a value equal to such tax liability. Any release or disbursement of the shares of our common stock that are being held in escrow by the escrow agent, including the shares of our common stock being held in escrow for such tax liability, will be made pursuant to and in accordance with the terms of the escrow agreement as described below in “Other Transaction Documents—Escrow Agreement.”

Termination

The merger agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the effective time of the merger, whether before or after the requisite approval of our shareholders has been obtained:

written consent duly authorized by FOH Holdings and us;

- by mutual

Holdings or us if:

have been consummated by February 15, 2008;

and non-appealable judgment, decree, injunction, order, writ, law or other governmental action that makes consummation of the merger illegal or otherwise prohibited; or

outstanding shares of common stock and the majority of the shares of our common stock that are held by our shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates or associates do not approve the proposed amendments to our certificate of incorporation that allow for the increase of authorized shares of our common stock to 200,000,000 and the issuance of shares of our common stock in connection with the merger and the rights offering and the other transactions contemplated thereby as set forth by this proxy statement at a duly held shareholders meeting (after giving effect to all adjournments or postponements thereof).

if:

board of directors shall have made an Adverse Recommendation Change or shall have approved a resolution or authorized or agreed to do so or if we have entered into an agreement that contains a Parent Acquisition Proposal; or

breach of any representation, warranty, covenant or agreement made by us or Merger Sub in the merger agreement, or any such representation and warranty shall have become untrue or incorrect after the execution of the merger agreement such that:

- the majority of our
- there shall be any final
- the majority of our

warranty shall not be true and correct in all material respects (or in all respects for such representations and warranties qualified as to materiality or a material adverse effect with respect to us and our subsidiaries) on the date of the merger agreement or on the date of the closing of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement with the same effect as though such representations and warranties had been made on and as of such date (other than those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied on such day) in which case such representation and warranty shall no longer be true and correct on and as of such day, or

- by FOH Holdings
- our
- there is a
- such representation and
- neither we nor Merger Sub

shall have performed or complied, in all material respects, with all obligations and covenants required to be performed

or complied with by us under the merger agreement prior to the closing of the transactions contemplated thereby

73

---



Table of Contents

and such breach or failure to be true and correct cannot be cured by February 15, 2008.

- by us if:
- FOH

Holdings' breaches any representation, warranty, covenant or agreement made by it in the merger agreement, or any such representation and warranty shall have become untrue or incorrect after the execution of the merger agreement such that:

such representation and warranty shall not be true and correct in all material respects (or in all respects for such representations and warranties qualified as to materiality or a material adverse effect with respect to FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries) on the date of the closing of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement with the same effect as though such representations and warranties had been made on and as of such date (other than those conditions that by their nature are to be satisfied on such day) in which case such representation and warranty shall no longer be true and correct on and as of such day, or

each performed or complied, in all material respects, with all obligations and covenants required to be performed or complied with by FOH Holdings under the merger agreement prior to the closing of the transactions contemplated thereby

and such breach or failure to be true and correct cannot be cured by February 15, 2008;

time prior to obtaining the approval by our shareholders that we are required to obtain pursuant to the merger agreement, our board of directors (as approved by its special committee) resolves to enter into, in accordance with the provisions of the merger agreement, a definitive agreement containing a Parent Acquisition Proposal so long as: (i) we shall have complied with our obligations under the nonsolicitation provisions of the merger agreement; (ii) our board of directors shall have determined in good faith (after consultation with its independent financial advisors and outside counsel) that such Parent Acquisition Proposal constitutes a Superior Proposal and the failure to take such action is inconsistent with the fiduciary duties of our board of directors to our shareholders under applicable law; and (iii) prior to terminating the merger agreement to enter into an agreement with respect to such Superior Proposal, we shall have negotiated, and shall have caused our financial and legal advisors to negotiate with FOH Holdings in good faith (to the extent FOH Holdings desires to negotiate) to make such improvements in the terms and conditions of the merger agreement so that such Parent Acquisition Proposal ceases to constitute a Superior Proposal, which obligation to negotiate will expire five business days after the date on which we commence negotiating with FOH Holdings; or

Holdings fails to prepare and deliver, by January 31, 2007, to Merger Sub and us consolidated balance sheets as of July 31, 2004, July 30, 2005 and July 29, 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income and cash flows for the years ended July 31, 2004, July 30, 2005 and July 29, 2006 of FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries, together with a signed unqualified audit report from Deloitte & Touche LLP, or such audited financial statements delivered shall contain any change or changes, other than changes specified in the merger agreement, that, in our reasonable judgment, are material and adverse changes, when taken as a whole from the financial statements delivered by FOH Holdings concurrently with the execution of the merger agreement. As more fully described under the section entitled "The Merger and Related Transactions—Background of the Merger and Related Transactions," we delivered a notice to FOH Holdings reserving our right to terminate the merger agreement prior to the effective time as a result of FOH Holdings' failure to deliver the aforementioned financial statements by January 31, 2007, and on November 28, 2007, we waived our right to terminate the merger agreement solely as a result of FOH Holdings' failure to comply with this obligation.



## Table of Contents

### Effect of Termination

Except as described below and in the next succeeding paragraph, in the event of a termination of the merger agreement and abandonment of the merger, the merger agreement will become void and of no effect with no liability on the part of any party (or of any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, legal and financial advisors or other representatives) and all costs and expenses incurred in connection with the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by it will be paid by the party incurring such expense; except that the costs and expenses of printing and mailing the proxy statement and registration statement, and all filing and other fees paid to the SEC or otherwise, will be borne equally by FOH Holdings and us. No such termination will relieve any party to the merger agreement of any liability or damages resulting from any willful or intentional breach of the merger agreement.

If we or FOH Holdings terminate the merger agreement because our board of directors has made an Adverse Recommendation Change or has approved a resolution or authorized or agreed to do so or we have entered into an agreement that contains a Parent Acquisition Proposal, then we have agreed to pay FOH Holdings \$300,000 within two business days following such termination and, promptly thereafter, FOH Holdings' actual and reasonable documented out-of-pocket expenses and fees incurred by FOH Holdings and FOH Holdings' stockholders on or prior to the termination of the merger agreement in connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

### Fees and Expenses

At the time the merger becomes effective, all costs and expenses incurred by FOH Holdings or any of its subsidiaries in connection with the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement will be paid by us.

### Amended and Restated Bylaws

The merger agreement provides for the amendment and restatement of our bylaws upon the consummation of the merger pursuant to which during the 18-month period following the merger, the following actions by us or any of our subsidiaries will require approval by at least 75% of the members of our board of directors:

- appointing or removing the Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Financial Officer or Chief Operating Officer;
- appointing or removing any directors or members of a board committee or creating any new board committee;
- amending the charter or bylaws or other organizational documents;
- engaging in any merger, consolidation, sale of a substantial amount of assets or similar transaction;
- approving the annual business plans/annual budgets and any material modifications to such plans/budgets;
- incurring any term indebtedness for borrowed money where the amount of such indebtedness incurred exceeds \$20.0 million in the aggregate, or making any material modifications to the terms of such indebtedness, or guaranteeing or otherwise providing any financial accommodation with respect to any indebtedness for borrowed money of any other person;
- creating or issuing any securities other than: (a) in connection with compensation arrangements approved by our compensation committee; or (b) those contemplated by the merger agreement;

material manner, our capital structure;

- modifying, in any

repurchasing, retiring or otherwise acquiring for value any of our equity securities or the equity securities of our subsidiaries;

- redeeming,

amending or terminating any transaction or series of related transactions with or for the benefit of any shareholder, director or officer;

- engaging in,

75

---

Table of Contents

proposal to wind up, dissolve, liquidate or file for, or consent to, any bankruptcy or similar proceeding;

- making any
- declaring or paying

any dividend or other distribution (whether in cash or property) to our shareholders (other than dividends payable solely in shares of our common stock); or

- adopting any equity

or other incentive plans for officers, directors and/or employees.

The proposed amendment and restatement of our bylaws will also change the end of our fiscal year from June 30 to the date corresponding with the final Saturday in the month of July.

Confidentiality; Access to Information

Subject to applicable laws relating to the sharing of information, upon reasonable notice, we have agreed with FOH Holdings to afford, and to cause our respective subsidiaries to afford, the other party, and its officers, employees, counsel, accountants, financial advisors and other authorized representatives, reasonable access, during normal business hours throughout the period prior to the time when the merger becomes effective or earlier termination of the merger agreement, to our or its properties, books, contracts and records.

Governing Law and Jurisdiction

The merger agreement is to be interpreted, construed and governed by and in accordance with the laws of the State of New York, without regard to New York's conflicts of law principles. Except in connection with disputes, claims, or controversies arising out of or relating to provisions of the merger agreement addressing indemnification matters, which are to be resolved solely and exclusively by binding arbitration to be conducted before JAMS in New York, New York before a single arbitrator pursuant to JAMS Comprehensive Arbitration Rules and Procedures, all disputes, claims or controversies arising out of or relating to the merger agreement and the documents referred to therein are to be resolved by the courts of New York and the Federal courts of the United States located in the County of New York.

## Table of Contents

### OTHER TRANSACTION DOCUMENTS

A number of other agreements have been entered into in connection with the signing of the merger agreement or will be entered into and will take effect upon consummation of the merger. These agreements include (i) a voting agreement covering certain aspects of our relationship with our largest shareholder, TTG Apparel, (ii) a stockholders agreement governing the actions of the FOH Holdings stockholders and certain of its debt holders, (iii) a standby purchase agreement between us, TTG Apparel, the stockholders of FOH Holdings and certain affiliates whereby such parties have committed to purchase shares that are not subscribed for pursuant to the rights offering, (iv) a shareholders agreement that will govern certain matters affecting the Fursa Managed Accounts and both Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel during the 18-month period beginning immediately after the effective time of the merger, (v) an escrow agreement between us, the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives appointed pursuant to the FOH Holdings' stockholders agreement and an escrow agent through which portions of both our treasury shares and shares the FOH Holdings stockholders are entitled to receive in connection with the merger will be held in escrow to address liabilities that occur within a certain timeframe after the merger, and (vi) a registration rights agreement that will require us, subject to certain exceptions, to register shares of our common stock that the Fursa Managed Accounts and both Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel will receive, or that may be issued upon exercise or conversion of securities issued, in connection with the merger and the related transactions.

#### Voting Agreement

Concurrently with the execution of the merger agreement, TTG Apparel, which currently beneficially owns 21.5% of our outstanding common stock, entered into a voting agreement with us. Under the terms of this voting agreement, TTG Apparel agreed to vote all shares of our common stock that it holds in favor of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including, without limitation, approval of the issuance of shares of our common stock in connection with the merger and pursuant to the rights offering and in favor of the proposed amendment to our certificate of incorporation that allows an increase of authorized shares of our common stock to 200,000,000. TTG Apparel also agreed to grant an irrevocable proxy to us to vote its shares in the manner contemplated above and to execute and deliver any additional documents necessary or desirable to carry out the purpose of such agreement. Subject to specified conditions, TTG Apparel further agreed not to transfer any shares of our common stock owned by it or the voting rights related to such common stock until the termination of this voting agreement. This voting agreement and the obligations of TTG Apparel, as well as the irrevocable proxy signed by TTG Apparel, will terminate upon the earlier to occur of (i) the effective time of the merger or (ii) the date of termination of the merger agreement in accordance with its terms.

The foregoing description of the voting agreement does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such agreement attached as Exhibit A to the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement as Annex A.

#### FOH Holdings' Stockholders Agreement

Immediately following the execution and delivery of the merger agreement, FOH Holdings, Fursa, the Fursa Managed Accounts and Tokarz Investments entered into a stockholders agreement with us. As beneficial holders of 100% of FOH Holdings' outstanding common stock, the Fursa Managed Accounts holding FOH Holdings common stock and Tokarz Investments agreed, among other things:

• to vote

the shares for which they have voting control in favor of the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the

merger agreement;

shares of FOH Holdings common stock owned by them or the voting rights related to such common stock, other than in connection with the merger or to their affiliates or managed funds and accounts;

- not to transfer any

accept any third party proposals involving a merger or acquisition of FOH Holdings;

- not to solicit or

77

---

## Table of Contents

constitute and appoint Patrick Brennan and Michael T. Tokarz as joint stockholder representatives to act on behalf of each of them with respect to the provisions of this stockholders agreement, the merger agreement, the escrow agreement (as described below) and any other agreements contemplated thereby, as well as generally to perform all acts deemed advisable to effectuating their respective duties as stockholder representatives; and

- to irrevocably
- to execute and

deliver any additional documents necessary or desirable to carry out the purpose of such agreement.

The obligations with respect to voting, transfers and not soliciting alternative transactions expressly terminate upon the earlier to occur of (i) the effective time of the merger, or (ii) the date of termination of the merger agreement in accordance with its terms.

In addition, as part of this stockholders agreement, the Fursa Debt Holders, in their capacities as holders of FOH Holdings indebtedness, agreed to cancel \$7.5 million of such indebtedness in exchange for the issuance of 3,629,329 shares of our newly authorized Series A Preferred Stock, which are convertible into 3,024,437 shares of common stock. The share amounts and issue price will be adjusted proportionately if we effect a reverse stock split upon consummation of the merger. The material terms of the Series A Preferred Stock, the actual terms of which will be included in our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, are as follows:

### Series A Preferred Stock

**Dividends.** Holders of Series A Preferred Stock, in preference to the holders of common stock or any other junior securities, will be entitled to receive, when, as and if declared by our board of directors, but only out of funds that are legally available therefor, cumulative dividends at the rate of 7.5% per annum of the sum of the original issue price and any accumulated and unpaid dividends thereon on each outstanding share of Series A Preferred Stock. Such dividends will be payable in additional shares of Series A Preferred Stock or in cash, at our option, only when, as and if declared by our board of directors and will be payable in arrears in equal amounts (with the first payment to be prorated based on the actual issue date) on the tenth business day after the end of each of our fiscal quarters of each year commencing on the first of these dates to occur after the first issuance of Series A Preferred Stock.

**Liquidation preference.** In the event that we are a party to an acquisition or asset transfer or upon any liquidation, dissolution, or winding up, whether voluntary or involuntary, before any distribution or payment will be made to the holders of any securities junior to the Series A Preferred Stock, subject to the right of any series of preferred stock that may from time to time come into existence, the holders of Series A Preferred Stock will be paid out of the proceeds of such acquisition or asset transfer or the assets legally available for distribution for each share of Series A Preferred Stock held by them, the greater of (i) the amount equal to the original issue price of the Series A Preferred Stock plus all accumulated but unpaid dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock or (ii) the amount of cash, securities or other property to which such holder would be entitled to receive in the event of a liquidation, dissolution or winding up with respect to such shares if such shares had been converted to common stock immediately prior to such event.

**Conversion by Holder.** Holders of our Series A Preferred Stock may convert their shares of Series A Preferred Stock at any time into fully-paid and non-assessable shares of our common stock. The number of shares of our common stock to which a holder of our Series A Preferred Stock will be entitled upon conversion will be equal to the product obtained by multiplying the conversion rate then in effect by the number of shares of Series A Preferred Stock being converted. Based on the initial conversion rate of .83333 (determined by dividing the original issue price of the Series A Preferred Stock by the product of 1.2 multiplied by the conversion price), one share of common stock will be issuable for every 1.2 shares of Series A Preferred Stock converted.



Conversion Price. The initial per share conversion price for our Series A Preferred Stock will be equal to the original issue price for the Series A Preferred Stock, subject to adjustments for (i) stock splits of our common stock, (ii) combinations of our common stock into a smaller aggregate number, (iii) dividends paid or distributions made on our common stock without a corresponding dividend paid or distribution made to holders of our Series A Preferred Stock or (iv) other specified changes in our capitalization.

78

---

## Table of Contents

**Anti-Dilution.** In addition to the proportional adjustments for stock dividends, stock splits and other similar changes in our capitalization, the conversion price will also be subject to an anti-dilution adjustment in the event of issuances of our common stock (or securities convertible into common stock) without consideration or at a price below the then effective conversion price of the Series A Preferred Stock. The conversion price will not be adjusted for (i) shares of common stock issued upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Stock, (ii) common stock or convertible securities issued to our employees, directors or advisors pursuant to stock option plans or other arrangements approved by our board of directors, (iii) common stock issued pursuant to the exercise of convertible securities outstanding on the date of issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock, (iv) common stock or convertible securities issued for consideration other than cash pursuant to a merger, consolidation, acquisition or similar business combination, (v) common stock issued pursuant to any debt refinancing with a financial institution or equipment or real property leasing we may choose to enter into, (vi) common stock or convertible securities issued to third-party service providers in exchange for or as partial consideration for services rendered to us, or (vii) common stock or convertible securities issued in connection with strategic transactions involving us and other entities, including (A) joint ventures, manufacturing, marketing or distribution arrangements or (B) technology transfer or development arrangements; provided that the issuance of shares therewith has been approved by our board of directors.

**Automatic Conversion.** Upon the occurrence of any liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of our company, in which the amount of cash, securities or other property a holder of the Series A Preferred Stock would be entitled to receive is greater than the amount equal to the original issue price plus all accumulated but unpaid dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock, each share of our Series A Preferred Stock will automatically be converted into fully-paid and non-assessable shares of our common stock, based on the then-effective conversion price and in an amount immediately prior to such liquidation, dissolution, or winding up.

**Redemption.** On the later to occur of (A) January 7, 2010 and (B) the six-month anniversary of the maturity date (or any extensions thereof) of our credit facility, we will be required to redeem all of our then outstanding Series A Preferred Stock by paying in cash in exchange for the shares of Series A Preferred Stock to be redeemed on such date a sum equal to the original issue price per share of Series A Preferred Stock (as adjusted for any stock dividends, combinations, splits, recapitalizations and the like after the filing date hereof) plus accumulated but unpaid dividends with respect to such shares; provided, that if, on the 60th day prior to the date of redemption, the current market value (or the fair market value as determined in good faith by our board of directors in the event that the common stock is not publicly traded on the American Stock Exchange or other national securities exchange) is greater than the original issue price (as adjusted for stock dividends, combinations, splits, recapitalizations and the like) plus accumulated and unpaid dividends with respect to such shares, then all of our outstanding shares of Series A Preferred Stock shall be automatically converted to our common stock on the date of redemption. At least 30 days but no more than 60 days prior to the redemption date, we will send a notice of redemption to all holders of Series A Preferred Stock. There is no restriction on the redemption of Series A Preferred Stock due to any arrearage in the payment of dividends.

**Voting Rights.** Each holder of shares of our Series A Preferred Stock will be entitled to the number of votes equal to the number of shares of our common stock into which such shares of Series A Preferred Stock could be converted. Holders of our Series A Preferred Stock will vote together with the common stock at any annual or special meeting of the shareholders and not as a separate class, and may act by written consent in the same manner as our common stock; provided, however, that for so long as any shares of Series A Preferred Stock remain outstanding, the vote or written consent of the holders of at least a majority of such shares will be necessary for effecting or validating the following actions:

- any

amendment, alteration, or repeal of any provision of our certificate of incorporation that alters or changes the voting or

other powers, preferences, or other special rights, privileges or restrictions of our Series A Preferred Stock so as to affect the holders adversely; or

79

---

## Table of Contents

any designation, whether by reclassification or otherwise, of any new class or series of stock or any other securities convertible into equity securities ranking on a parity with or senior to the Series A Preferred Stock in right of redemption, liquidation preference, voting or dividend rights or any increase in the authorized or designated number of any such new class or series.

- any authorization or

**Assignment.** The FOH Holdings' stockholders agreement and the obligations of each of the Fursa Managed Accounts holding FOH Holdings common stock and Tokarz Investments thereunder may be assigned, delegated or transferred, in whole or in part, by such party to any affiliate of such party or to any other person, managed fund or managed client account over which such party or any of its affiliates exercises investment authority, including, without limitation, with respect to voting and dispositive rights, provided, that any such assignee, as a condition to the effectiveness of any such assignment, delegation or transfer, assumes within five business days of any such assignment, the obligations of such transferring party under the stockholders agreement and agrees in writing to be bound by the terms of the stockholders agreement in the same manner as such transferring party.

The foregoing description of the stockholders agreement does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such agreement attached as Exhibit M to the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement as Annex A. The foregoing description of the Series A Preferred Stock does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the proposed amended and restated certificate of incorporation, a copy of which is attached hereto as Annex D.

## Standby Purchase Agreement

Concurrently with the execution of the merger agreement, the Fursa standby purchasers, Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel entered into a standby purchase agreement with us. The standby purchase commitment under the standby purchase agreement ensures that we raise an aggregate of \$20 million of gross proceeds through the issuance of shares of our common stock whether or not all shares offered through the rights offering are purchased. Pursuant to the standby purchase agreement, if and to the extent any shares of our common stock are unsubscribed for in the rights offering, the Fursa standby purchasers, Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel will purchase from us, at \$1.76 per share, which is the subscription price in the rights offering, these unsubscribed shares through the private placement of such shares. The Fursa standby purchasers have agreed to purchase, on a several but not on a joint and several basis, 50% of such amount of unsubscribed shares, and TTG Apparel and Tokarz Investments have agreed to purchase the remaining 50% of such amount of unsubscribed shares. In addition, TTG Apparel has agreed that it and its affiliates will not purchase from us any shares of our common stock that will be available for purchase by TTG Apparel and/or any of its affiliates in the rights offering in their capacities as Movie Star shareholders. The only condition to our obligations and the obligations of the Fursa standby purchasers, Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel under the standby purchase agreement is the consummation of the merger.

None of our securities acquired by the parties entering into the standby purchase agreement in connection with the standby purchase agreement can be resold by any of them by means of the Registration Statement that we filed with the SEC in connection with the rights offering. We have provided each of these parties with certain registration rights to enable them to elect to transfer and sell their securities following such acquisition. See also "Other Transaction Documents—Registration Rights Agreement."

The foregoing description of the standby purchase agreement does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such agreement attached as Exhibit B to the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement as Annex A.

Rights Offering

In connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and the standby purchase agreement, we have filed a Registration Statement on Form S-1 (No. 333-143619) with the SEC for the

80

---

## Table of Contents

registration under the Securities Act of non-transferable subscription rights to purchase new shares of our common stock. Our shareholders will be entitled to receive one right per share held by them as of the record date for the rights offering. Each right will be exercisable for the purchase of that number of shares of our common stock at the subscription price equal to the following:

$$N = \frac{D \times S}{O}$$

Where: N is: The number of shares of our common stock per right,  
 D is: \$20,000,000,  
 S is: The subscription price,  
 O is: The total number of shares of our common stock outstanding (as adjusted to the date of the calculation)

The subscription price will be equal to the product of 0.85 and the average closing price of our shares during the twenty trading days immediately preceding the record date for the rights offering. Our shareholders who exercise their rights in full will have the right to oversubscribe for and purchase at the subscription price an additional number of whole shares of our common stock in an amount not exceeding, in the aggregate, the number of unexercised rights as of the expiration time of the rights offering, on a pro rata basis; provided, however, that no stockholder will be entitled to subscribe for shares that would result in that holder, together with its affiliates and associates (within the meanings set forth in Rule 12b-2 under the Exchange Act) and any person who formed, joined or in any way participates in a group (within the meaning of Section 13d-5(b)(1) of the Exchange Act) with that holder, owning more than 4.9% of the total outstanding shares of our common stock after giving effect to the merger and related transactions.

The closing of the rights offering is conditioned on (i) the satisfaction or waiver of the conditions specified in the merger agreement to the filing of the certificate of merger, other than the actual delivery of the various closing documents and (ii) our acceptance of subscriptions, which would occur immediately prior to the merger (and prior to any reverse stock split effected in connection with the merger).

## Guarantor Warrants

As sole consideration for the commitments by the parties entering into the standby purchase agreement with us, we will issue warrants representing the right to purchase, in the aggregate, 1,193,182 shares of our common stock, which we refer to as the guarantor warrants. The material terms of these warrants are as follows:

**Term.** Each guarantor warrant will expire three years following its issuance.

**Exercise Price.** The guarantor warrants will have an exercise price equal to \$1.76 per share. The exercise price will be subject to adjustment pursuant to adjustment and anti-dilution provisions, which are summarized below.

At the option of the holders of the guarantor warrants, the guarantor warrants may be exercised on a cashless net-exercise basis.

**Transfer.** The guarantor warrants will not be transferable or assignable by their holders, except that the guarantor warrants may be transferred to any affiliate of a holder, any wholly-owned subsidiary of such holder or any other person, managed fund or managed client account over which such holder or any of its affiliates exercises investment

authority, including, without limitation, with respect to voting and dispositive rights, in a private offering. In order to effect such transfer or assignment, such holder will be obligated to deliver to us a written notice countersigned by the relevant assignee, containing specific information required under the guarantor warrant, including the assignee's representations and warranties as to its accredited investor status and its investment intent and its understanding as to the transfer restrictions.

Adjustments, Anti-dilution. The guarantor warrants will have weighted-average anti-dilution protection for issues of equity by us that are below the exercise price and other adjustments in connection

81

---

## Table of Contents

with a reclassification, subdivision or combination of our common stock, our consolidation, merger or share exchange with or into another corporation, a sale transfer or lease of all or substantially all of our assets or a distribution of our assets or common stock or a liquidating dividend to our shareholders.

The foregoing description of the guarantor warrants does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the form of guarantor warrant attached as Exhibit L to the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement as Annex A.

## Shareholders Agreement

In connection with, and as a condition to, the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, we will enter into a shareholders agreement with Fursa (acting on its behalf and on behalf of the Fursa Managed Accounts), Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel. Pursuant to this shareholders agreement, for a period of 18 months following the consummation of the merger, each of such parties will agree, subject to certain exceptions, to not:

- acquire or enter into any agreement, arrangement or undertaking the purpose of which is to acquire, directly or indirectly, except with respect to the shares of our common stock or any of our securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for our common stock that such party will acquire in connection with the merger or the related transactions, or any shares of our common stock issuable under such convertible, exchangeable or exercisable securities, any direct or indirect interest in any of our securities or the securities of any of our affiliates or successors, unless, after giving effect to such acquisition, such party's beneficial ownership does not exceed 1.0% more than the percentage of outstanding shares of our common stock that will be beneficially owned in the aggregate by such party and its affiliates after giving effect to the shares of our common stock such party received, or that may be issued upon exercise or conversion of our securities issued, in connection with the merger and the related transactions, or such acquisition is approved by a majority of the independent members of our board of directors who are also not an affiliate or nominee of such party involved in the proposed transaction;

- sell or enter into any agreement, arrangement or undertaking of any kind the purpose of which is to sell, directly or indirectly, or transfer, assign, pledge, encumber, contribute, give or otherwise dispose of, grant a proxy or power of attorney with respect to, deposit in any voting trust, or create or permit to exist any liens of any nature with respect to, any direct or indirect ownership interests in shares of our common stock, except as described in the next succeeding paragraph or except in compliance with Rule 144 under the Securities Act or with the approval by a majority of the independent members of our board of directors who are also not an affiliate or nominee of such party involved in the proposed transaction; and

- act together with such other parties as a group within the meaning of the Exchange Act with respect to any of our securities.

Notwithstanding the foregoing limitations, any such party or group of such parties will be allowed to make and consummate a proposal or a tender offer to acquire all, but not less than all, shares of our common stock not owned by such parties. Further, such party will be able to transfer its shares of our securities in a private transaction to any affiliate of such party or to any other person, managed fund or managed client account over which such party or any of its affiliates exercises investment authority, including, without limitation, with respect to voting and dispositive rights, provided, that any such transferee, as a condition to the effectiveness of any such transfer, executes within five business days of any such transfer, a counterpart to the shareholders agreement assuming all of the obligations of such transferring party with respect to such transferred securities and agreeing to be treated as if an original party to the



shareholders agreement.

The parties entering into the shareholders agreement with us will also agree, for the same 18-month period, to vote their shares of common stock or direct their shares of common stock to be voted by proxy in favor of the directors who will initially serve on the board of directors immediately following the consummation of the merger (and their duly appointed successors) and take all necessary action to maintain that board of directors. Furthermore, each of the parties entering into the shareholders

82

---

## Table of Contents

agreement with us will not, during the same 18-month period, directly or indirectly, make or direct, encourage or in any way participate in any solicitations of proxies to vote or seek to advise or influence any person with respect to the voting of any of our securities, to contest, object or to vote the shares of our common stock that they own against or frustrate the intent of this shareholders agreement or take any action to prevent or disable us or any of the other parties to this shareholders agreement from performing any of our or their respective obligations under this shareholders agreement.

The foregoing description of the shareholders agreement does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the form of such agreement attached as Exhibit J to the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement as Annex A.

## Escrow Agreement

In connection with the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, we will enter into an escrow agreement with an escrow agent and the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives. The release and distribution of the treasury shares and the shares of our common stock that are being deposited with the escrow agent pursuant to the merger agreement to satisfy any indemnification claims made under the merger agreement, as described above in “The Merger Agreement—Escrow Arrangements,” will be governed pursuant to the terms of this agreement.

The record owner of the shares of our common stock that will be deposited with the escrow agent pursuant to the merger agreement will be entitled to exercise all voting rights with respect to such shares. Any income earned, including any ordinary cash dividends or other property paid as a distribution in respect of such shares, will not be distributed to the beneficial owners of such shares but will be held in escrow by the escrow agent and will become part of the appropriate escrow fund.

While the escrow agreement is in effect, the escrow agent will only release shares after receiving (i) a joint written instruction from both FOH Holdings stockholder representatives and us, (ii) an arbitration decision or award, or (iii) a court order relating to the release of shares being held in escrow as directed by such instruction, decision, award or order.

In addition, the escrow agent will release the shares of our common stock that it holds in escrow, as follows:

### Release of Escrow with respect to Potential Tax Liability

- Within five business days following the 18-month anniversary of the closing under the merger agreement, we will provide the escrow agent and the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives with a notice setting forth the amount of any tax liability, as of the date of such 18-month anniversary, for which we are being indemnified under the merger agreement. The FOH Holdings stockholder representatives will have 30 days from the delivery of such notice to elect to extend the payment of any such tax liability until the 24-month anniversary of the closing under the merger agreement. In the event that the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives do not make such election during such 30 day period, the escrow agent will deliver to us shares of our common stock that were deposited with the escrow agent to cover claims against FOH Holdings having a value equal to such tax liability, within 10 business days of the expiration of such period. For purposes of valuing shares of our common stock under the escrow agreement, the per share value will be based upon the average daily closing price of the shares of our common stock, rounded to two decimal places, for the 10 trading days ending two business days prior to the date of any distribution thereof. If the

shares of our common stock then held in escrow that were deposited with the escrow agent to cover claims against FOH Holdings are valued at an amount that is less than the tax liability amount, the escrow agent will deliver to us all of such shares, together with any other cash or property deposited, accrued or earned in respect of such shares up to the amount due. If any such shares, cash or property remain after payment of the tax liability then (x) if there are any claims remaining against such shares, cash or property, the escrow agent will reserve an amount equal in value to 120% of the amount of any such claims and will distribute to the FOH Holdings stockholders the balance of such shares, cash

83

---

Table of Contents

or property on a pro rata basis as instructed by the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives and (y) if there are no claims that have not been finally resolved or paid against such shares, cash or property, the escrow agent will distribute the balance of such shares, cash or property to the FOH Holdings stockholders on a pro rata basis as instructed by the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives.

- If the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives have timely elected to extend the payment of any such tax liability until the 24-month anniversary of the closing under the merger agreement, within five business days of the expiration of such 24-month period, we will deliver a notice to the escrow agent and the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives setting forth the amount, as of such 24-month anniversary, of the final tax liability amount for which we are being indemnified under the merger agreement. Within 10 business days after our delivery of such notice, the escrow agent will deliver to us shares of our common stock that were deposited with the escrow agent to cover claims against FOH Holdings in an amount equal to such final tax liability. If the shares then held in escrow that were deposited with the escrow agent to cover claims against FOH Holdings are valued at an amount that is less than the final tax liability amount, the escrow agent will deliver to us all of the shares of our common stock that were deposited with the escrow agent to cover claims against FOH Holdings, together with any other cash or property deposited, accrued or earned in respect of such shares up to the amount due. If any such shares, cash or property remain after payment of the final tax liability then (x) if there are any claims remaining against such shares, cash or property, the escrow agent will reserve an amount equal in value to 120% of the amount of any such claims and will distribute to the FOH Holdings stockholders the balance of such shares, cash or property on a pro rata basis as instructed by their representatives and (y) if there are no claims that have not been finally resolved or paid against such shares, cash or property, the escrow agent will distribute the balance of such shares, cash or property to the FOH Holdings stockholders on a pro rata basis as instructed by their representatives.

- If the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives elect to extend the payment of any such tax liability until the 24-month anniversary of the closing under the merger agreement, to the extent that the value of the shares of our common stock that was deposited with the escrow agent to cover claims against FOH Holdings, cash and other property deposited, accrued or earned in respect of such shares exceeds (x) the tax liability amount and (y) an amount equal in value to 120% of any claims against such shares, cash and property that have not been finally resolved and paid as of the 18-month anniversary of the closing under the merger agreement, the escrow agent will, within 10 business days of such election, distribute to the FOH Holdings stockholders on a pro rata basis such shares, cash and property equal in value to such excess, as instructed by the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives.

Release of Treasury Shares Held in Escrow

- Within 10 business days following the 18-month anniversary of the closing under the merger agreement, if there are no claims against the treasury shares of our common stock that were deposited with the escrow agent to cover claims against us or against any other cash or property deposited, accrued or earned in respect of such shares, the escrow agent will deliver to us the balance of such shares, cash or property. If on such 18-month anniversary there are claims against the treasury shares of our common stock that were deposited with the escrow agent to cover claims against us or against any other cash or deposited, accrued or earned in respect of such shares, the escrow agent will distribute to us the excess, if any, by which the amount of such shares, cash or property exceeds an amount equal to 120% of the amount of any such claims.

Disputes

All disputes, claims or controversies arising out of or relating to the provisions of the escrow agreement described above, including any disputes, claims or controversies as to the amount of the shares in escrow to be released or the timing of the release of the shares in escrow, that are not resolved by mutual agreement between us and the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives, as directed by our Indemnity Claims Committee, will be resolved solely and exclusively by binding arbitration to be conducted before JAMS, as described in “The Merger Agreement—Escrow Arrangements.”

## Table of Contents

### Distributions

Whenever a distribution of shares of our common stock is to be made pursuant to the escrow agreement, the escrow agent will requisition the appropriate number of shares from our transfer agent, delivering to the transfer agent the appropriate stock certificates accompanied by the respective stock powers, together with appropriate instructions. No fractional shares will be issued in connection with any distribution of our common stock pursuant to the escrow agreement. Within five business days prior to any distribution of shares or other property by the escrow agent to the FOH Holdings stockholders pursuant to the escrow agreement, the escrow agent will notify the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives and request them to update the then current Schedule 1 to the escrow agreement, which schedule provides names and addresses of the FOH Holdings stockholders who are entitled to receive distributions under the escrow agreement as well as the number and percentage of their respective escrowed shares. The escrow agent will not be obligated to make any distribution to the FOH Holdings stockholders unless it has received from the FOH Holdings stockholder representatives such an updated schedule.

### Assignment

Each of the FOH Holdings stockholders and its permitted assigns will be able to assign, delegate or transfer its rights under the escrow agreement, in whole or in part, to any affiliate of such party or to any other person, managed fund or managed client account over which such party or any of its affiliates exercises investment authority, including, without limitation, with respect to voting and dispositive rights.

The foregoing description of the escrow agreement does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the form of such agreement attached as Exhibit H to the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement as Annex A.

### Registration Rights Agreement

In connection with the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, we will enter into a registration rights agreement with Fursa (on its behalf and on behalf of the Fursa Managed Accounts), Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel. Pursuant to this agreement, we will, subject to certain conditions and exceptions, agree to register the resale of shares of our common stock held by these entities under the Securities Act. Subject to the specific conditions, the Fursa Managed Accounts as a group and TTG Apparel and Tokarz Investments as a group, will each be allowed to request such a registration with respect to an underwritten offering of their shares of our common stock up to two times. In connection with any such requested registration, we will select the underwriters for such registration, subject to the reasonable consent of the requesting party, and we may preempt such a demand to register shares if we elect to effect an underwritten primary registration in lieu thereof.

The holders of our securities that are registrable pursuant to the registration rights agreement, subject to certain conditions and exceptions, will also be allowed to include their shares of our common stock on registration statements effected by us pursuant to certain of their “piggyback” registration rights.

Each of the holders of our securities that are registrable pursuant to the registration rights agreement will agree not to effect any public sale or distribution of any of its shares of our common stock or any of its securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for our common stock during the 30 days prior to and up to 120 days after the effective date of any registration statement filed by us in connection with a public offering (or for such longer period of time as required by the managing underwriter (or us if in a non-underwritten offering)), if and to the extent requested by such managing underwriter or us, as the case may be, except as part of such registration statement, whether or not such

party participates in such registration. Similarly, we will agree not to effect any public sale or distribution of our equity securities, or any securities convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for such securities, during the 30 days prior to and the 120 days after the effective date of the registration statements filed in connection with an underwritten offering made pursuant to a demand by Fursa (on its behalf and on behalf of the Fursa Managed Accounts), or Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel (or for such longer period of time as is sufficient and appropriate, in the opinion of the managing underwriter, in order to complete the sale and distribution of such securities), except as part of such underwritten registration and except pursuant to registration on Form S-4 or Form S-8 promulgated by the SEC or similar form thereto.

85

---

## Table of Contents

Subject to certain conditions, the “piggyback” registration rights will not be assignable by any of the Fursa Managed Accounts or TTG Apparel and Tokarz Investments except to (i) a person that acquires from such party in a private offering such party’s shares of our common stock equal to at least five percent of the total outstanding shares of our common stock or (ii) any affiliate (as defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Exchange Act) of such party or any other person, managed fund or managed client account over which such party or any of its affiliates exercises investment authority in a private offering. Demand rights will only be assignable to a party’s wholly-owned subsidiary, affiliate or any other person, managed fund or managed client account over which such party or any of its affiliates exercises investment authority. As a condition to the effectiveness of any assignment under the registration rights agreement, the assignee will be required to execute a counterpart to the registration rights agreement assuming all of the obligations of the transferring party and agreeing to be treated as if an original party to the registration rights agreement. We will not be able to assign any of our rights or delegate any of our duties under the registration rights agreement without the prior written consent of Fursa (on its behalf and on behalf of the Fursa Managed Accounts), Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel.

The registration rights agreement will contain customary indemnification provisions. In addition, pursuant to the registration rights agreement, we will be contractually obligated to file reports in compliance with the Exchange Act and comply with all rules and regulations of the SEC applicable in connection with the use of Rule 144 and take such other actions and furnish the holders of our securities registrable pursuant to the registration rights agreement with such other information as such holder may request in order to avail itself of such rule in order to sell such securities. If at any time we are not required to file reports pursuant to either Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, we will at our expense, upon such holder’s written request, make available our current public information within the meaning of Rule 144.

The foregoing description of the registration rights agreement does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the form of such agreement attached as Exhibit K to the merger agreement, a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement as Annex A.



Table of Contents

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial information gives effect to the merger of FOH Holdings, the accounting acquirer, with Merger Sub, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Movie Star, in a reverse acquisition transaction accounted for as a purchase by FOH Holdings. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet combines the historical condensed consolidated balance sheets as of July 28, 2007 for FOH Holdings and as of June 30, 2007 for Movie Star and gives effect to the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement as if they had occurred on July 28, 2007. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations for the year ended July 28, 2007 give effect to the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement as if they had occurred on July 30, 2006. Because FOH Holdings is the accounting acquirer, the pro forma reporting periods have been conformed to FOH Holdings' reporting periods. The unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements reflect the following events:

- the issuance to FOH Holdings' stockholders of 23,689,181 shares of Movie Star common stock in connection with the merger;
- the issuance of 11,363,636 shares of Movie Star common stock (with a market value of \$20.0 million) for cash in the rights offering and warrants to purchase 1,193,182 shares of Movie Star common stock to the standby purchasers; and
- the conversion of \$7.5 million of FOH Holdings' long-term debt into 3,629,329 shares of Series A Preferred Stock that are convertible into 3,024,437 shares of our common stock.

The merger will be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting as a reverse acquisition. As such, Movie Star will be treated as the accounting acquiree. The pre-acquisition financial statements of FOH Holdings will be treated as the historical financial statements of the combined company and Movie Star's historical stockholders' equity will not be carried forward to the merged company as of the date of the merger. The fair value of Movie Star's assets and related assumed liabilities are based on preliminary estimates. Additional analysis will be required to determine the fair value of Movie Star's assets and assumed liabilities, primarily with respect to intangible assets and certain assumed liabilities. These amounts will change from the amounts shown based on the final valuations. Upon the closing of the transactions, we do not expect the final allocation of the acquisition consideration to result in significant differences from the pro forma amounts reflected in the unaudited pro forma consolidated financial statements. The pro forma consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the historical consolidated financial statements, including related notes covering the relevant periods, for each of Movie Star and FOH Holdings, included elsewhere in this proxy statement.

The unaudited pro forma financial statements are based on assumptions that we and FOH Holdings believe are reasonable under the circumstances and are intended for informational purposes only. They are not necessarily indicative of our future financial position or results of operations or of the financial positions or results of operations that would have actually occurred had the acquisition of Movie Star taken place as of the dates or for the periods presented. The combined pro forma results do not reflect the conforming of Movie Star's accounting policies with the accounting policies of FOH Holdings that will be made subject to the consummation of the merger. The following assumptions were made:

- 11,363,636 shares of Movie Star common stock will be issued in the rights offering at a subscription price of \$1.76 per share, which represents the average of the closing prices of our common stock for the 20 trading days ending on

November 26, 2007, less a 15% discount;

will be issued to the standby purchasers representing the right to purchase, in the aggregate, 1,193,182 shares of our common stock, or 10.5% of the total number of shares being offered in the rights offering;

- guarantor warrants
- 3,629,329 shares of

Series A Preferred Stock, which will be convertible into 3,024,437 shares of common stock, will be issued in connection with the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement at an issuance price of \$2.0665 per share, which represents the average of the closing prices of our common stock for the 20 trading days ending November 26, 2007.

87

---

Table of Contents

• The merger will be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and Movie Star's assets and related assumed liabilities will be valued at fair value based on preliminary estimates. Although we do not expect the differences to be significant the final allocation of the acquisition consideration will result in differences from the pro forma amounts reflected in the unaudited pro forma financial statements. Included in the consideration being paid are an estimated \$3,000,000 in transaction costs that are being capitalized.

• In connection with the merger, Movie Star will also enter into an escrow agreement with designated stockholder representatives of the holders of FOH Holdings common stock. Pursuant to the escrow agreement, 20% of the shares of Movie Star's common stock to be issued to each stockholder of FOH Holdings in connection with the merger will be held in escrow to cover indemnification claims that may be brought by Movie Star for certain matters, including breaches of representations, warranties and covenants of FOH Holdings under the merger agreement. Shares remaining in escrow will be released following the 18-month anniversary of the effective time of the merger, subject to extension under certain circumstances. Similarly, treasury shares of Movie Star's common stock representing 7.5% of the aggregate number of issued and outstanding shares of our common stock prior to the effective time of the merger will be deposited into escrow to cover any indemnification claims that may be brought by FOH Holdings' stockholders against Movie Star, which shares will be returned to us following the 18-month anniversary of the effective time of the merger, subject to certain conditions and to the extent not used to satisfy these indemnification claims. We have assumed that all of the escrowed shares will be returned to their respective parties.

Included in the Movie Star historical financial statements are material non-recurring items that consist of:

• Merger-related fees of \$2,391,000 for the year ended June 30, 2007. Included in the merger-related fees are legal fees, costs associated with Movie Star's financial advisor, which included the issuance of a fairness opinion to Movie Star's special committee, and accounting costs for due diligence. These fees are being expensed as a result of the merger being treated as a reverse acquisition.

• Movie Star recorded a gain on the sale of property, plant and equipment of \$496,000 for the year ended June 30, 2007, which resulted primarily from the sale of its closed distribution facility in Petersburg, Pennsylvania. On October 17, 2006, the transaction was completed for approximately \$683,000 in cash. As a result of this transaction, a gain of approximately \$482,000 was recorded, net of related costs.

In addition, the following non-recurring expenses attributable to the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement have been excluded from the unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated statements of operations of Movie Star for the year ended June 30, 2007. These items consist of:

• A grant to Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, on the closing date of the merger, of non-qualified options to purchase 275,000 shares of Movie Star common stock at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of a share of Movie Star common stock on the grant date. These options will vest within one year of the closing date of the merger.

## Table of Contents

## Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet

As of July 28, 2007

(amounts in thousands)

FOH Holdings Movie Star Pro forma

Adjustments FOH Holdings

and Movie

Star Pro Forma

Consolidated Assets:		Current Assets		Cash and equivalents		\$ 1,898	\$ 53
\$ 18,300 (a)	\$ 20,251	Accounts receivable	858 8,266 (312)	(b)	8,812	Merchandise inventories	
16,683	6,816	165 (b)(c)	23,664	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	6,458 291	(2,600)	(d)
4,149	Deferred income tax assets	1,133 1,518	— 2,651	Total current assets	27,030	16,944	
15,553	59,527	Property, plant and equipment, net	17,365 943	644 (c)	18,952	Goodwill	6,678
537	5,311 (d)	12,526	Intangibles and other assets	19,452 3,914	8,790 (e)	32,156	Total Assets
\$ 70,525	\$ 22,338	\$ 30,298	\$ 123,161	Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity:			
liabilities:		Revolving line of credit and term loans		\$ 6,740	\$ 4,126	\$ —	\$ 10,866
portion of long-term debt	4,343 57	— 4,400	Accounts payable and accrued expenses	20,585	3,171		
175 (b)(c)(d)	23,931	Total current liabilities	31,668 7,354	175	39,197	Deferred rent	2,744
269 (269) (c)	2,744	Long-term debt	15,086 — (7,500) (f)	7,586	Other	17 110	— 127
Deferred income tax liabilities	8,369 — 3,995 (g)	12,364	Total Liabilities	57,884	7,733	(3,599)	
) 62,018	Series A preferred stock	— — 7,500 (f)	7,500	Total shareholders' equity	12,641	14,605	
26,397 (a)	53,643	Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 70,525	\$ 22,338	\$ 30,298	\$ 123,161	

See Accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Pro Forma Financial Statements

## Table of Contents

## Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations

For the year ended July 28, 2007

(amounts in thousands, except per share amounts)

	FOH Holdings	Movie Star	Pro forma						
Adjustments	FOH Holdings								
and Movie									
Star Pro Forma									
Consolidated Net sales	\$ 155,238	\$ 63,493	\$ (3,201 )	(h)	\$ 215,530	Cost of goods sold	90,201		
43,144	(3,091 )	(h)	130,254	Gross profit	65,037	20,349	(110 )	85,276	Selling, general and
administrative expenses	61,996	17,434	1,479	(h)	80,909	Merger-related fees	—	2,391	—
Gain on sale of property, plant and equipment	—	(496 )	—	(496 )	Operating income	3,041	1,020		
(1,589 )	2,472	Interest expense, net	2,093	604	(608 )	(i)	2,089	Income before income taxes and	
discontinued operations	948	416	(981 )	383	Income tax provision	548	270	(665 )	(j)
Income from continuing operations	\$ 400	\$ 146	\$ (316 )	\$ 230	Income from continuing operations				
\$ 146	\$ 230	Less: Preferred stock dividends	—	(563 )	(k)	Income (loss) available to common			
shareholders	\$ 146	\$ (333 )	Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.01 )	Diluted			
net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.01 )	Weighted average shares outstanding –						
basic	16,089	46,504	(k)	Weighted average shares outstanding –					
diluted	16,671	46,504	(k)						

See Accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Pro Forma Financial Statements



## Table of Contents

(d) Goodwill and Intangible Assets: A preliminary allocation of the purchase price has been made to the major categories of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the accompanying pro forma financial statements. Although the final allocation of the purchase price will result in differences from the pro forma amounts included herein, we do not expect the differences to be significant. The following represents the estimated value attributable to goodwill and intangibles resulting from the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement:

	Fair value of
common stock issued (15,792,787 shares of Movie Star common stock issued and outstanding at \$1.36 per share)	\$ 21,478
Issuance of 100,000 shares of Movie Star common stock to Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC	207
Estimated transaction costs (of which \$2,600 has been accrued and \$400 is to be accrued)	3,000
Stock options	1,017
Total purchase price	\$ 25,702
Historical Movie Star net assets acquired	\$ 14,605
Adjustment to historical net assets due to the elimination of intercompany activity (note b)	(206)
Adjusted historical net assets	\$ 14,399
Excess purchase price over adjusted historical net assets acquired	\$ 11,303
Fair Value Adjustments:	
Step-up of net tangible assets acquired (see note c)	\$ (1,197)
Elimination of historical goodwill	537
Record intangible assets (see note e)	(8,790)
Deferred income taxes associated with step-up adjustments (see note g)	3,995
Excess purchase price over fair value of net assets acquired	5,848
Less adjustment to remove historical goodwill (537)	(537)
Pro forma adjustment to goodwill	\$ 5,311

The fair value of the common stock issued is based upon 15,792,787 issued and outstanding shares of Movie Star common stock as of December 18, 2006, the day prior to the announcement of the execution of the merger agreement at a price of \$1.36 per share, the average of the closing prices of Movie Star common stock on the two trading days before and two trading days after December 18, 2006.

Upon the successful closing of the merger, Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC will be awarded 100,000 fully vested shares of Movie Star common stock. The fair value of the shares to be awarded have been included as a pro forma adjustment to the purchase price and to stockholders' equity based upon the estimated fair value of the shares on the date of issuance. For convenience purposes, we have assumed that the fair value of the 100,000 shares to be issued will be \$207,000 based on the average closing share price of \$2.0665 per share for the twenty trading days ending on November 26, 2007.

The purchase price attributable to stock options represents the fair value of Movie Star's vested and unvested options valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model as of December 18, 2006, net of the fair value of the Movie Star stock options attributable to future vesting requirements as of the expected consummation date.

Table of Contents

(e) Intangible and other assets: Represents the establishment of indefinite-lived and definite-lived intangible assets resulting from the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement:

					Trademark
(indefinite-lived) assets	\$ 4,400	Customer relationships	3,900	Other intangibles	490
	\$ 8,790				Pro forma adjustment to other

(f) Long-term Debt: Represents the conversion of \$7.5 million of FOH Holdings long-term debt into 3,629,329 shares of Series A 7.5% convertible redeemable preferred stock that will be issued upon the closing of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. This debt was converted utilizing a conversion rate that was based on a price of \$2.0665 per share, which represents the average of the per share closing prices of Movie Star common stock for the twenty trading days ending on November 26, 2007. These shares are convertible into shares of Movie Star common stock at a conversion rate of 1.2 shares of Series A Preferred Stock for 1 share of common stock. The conversion rate equals a conversion price of \$2.48 per share, which is a 20% premium to the original price of \$2.0665 per share. Because the redemption of the Series A Preferred Stock is outside of Movie Star's control, it is considered to be mezzanine equity and has been recorded separately from stockholders' equity.

(g) Deferred income tax liabilities: Represents deferred income taxes corresponding to estimated temporary differences resulting from the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. Deferred income taxes are computed as follows:

					Identifiable
intangible assets resulting from the transactions (note e)	\$ 8,790	Fair value adjustments to net tangible assets resulting from the transactions			
(note c)	1,197	Purchase accounting adjustments resulting in temporary tax differences	9,987	Assumed statutory tax rate	40.0 %
		Pro forma adjustment to other non-current liabilities for deferred income taxes			\$ 3,995

The deferred income tax liability adjustment has been determined by using the overall assumed statutory tax rate for the year ended July 28, 2007. For purposes of the pro forma financial statements it has been assumed that goodwill is not tax deductible.

(h) Sales, cost of goods sold and selling, general and administrative expenses: Reflects the impact of the elimination of intercompany sales activity, the effects of purchase accounting adjustments and adjustments to consulting and compensation expenses.



## Table of Contents

Fiscal Year

Ended July 28,

2007 Pro forma adjustment to net sales for the elimination of intercompany sales (note b) \$ (3,201 ) Pro forma elimination of intercompany profit included in inventory (note b) 110 Pro forma elimination of intercompany cost of goods sold, buying and occupancy (note b) (3,201 ) Total pro forma adjustment to cost of goods sold, buying and occupancy \$ (3,091 ) Pro forma depreciation of property, plant and equipment \$ 19 Pro forma amortization of customer relationship intangibles 975 Pro forma amortization of other intangibles 84 Pro forma elimination of management fee (200 ) Pro forma adjustment of compensation expense 601 Total pro forma adjustment to selling, general and administrative expenses \$ 1,479

The pro forma adjustment related to the elimination of intercompany profit included in ending inventory is to reverse profit recognized by Movie Star on the sale of inventory to FOH Holdings that had not yet been sold to the end customer.

It has been assumed that the pro forma adjustment to fixed assets relates to land and a building. The building has a weighted average remaining life of 25 years, and the associated depreciation expense would be included in selling, general and administrative expenses. It also has been assumed that the customer relationships and other intangibles will have an estimated life of 10 and 4 years, respectively, and that the amortization expense would be included in selling, general and administrative expenses. There is no amortization expense associated with the trademark because it has an indefinite life. The customer relationships are being amortized by an accelerated method based upon customer retention rates and other intangible assets are amortized on a straight line basis. Upon the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, estimated yearly amortization expense to be recorded for the customer relationships is expected to be as follows: \$975, \$731, \$548, \$411, \$308, and \$711 in total thereafter.

Management fees reflect an adjustment to selling, general and administrative expenses for the elimination of management fees paid by FOH Holdings. All management fee agreements will be terminated upon the closing of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Compensation expense reflects an adjustment to selling, general and administrative expenses for incremental stock compensation expense to be recorded in connection with the revaluation of Movie Star's unvested stock options outstanding and additional annual expenses of \$500 for consulting services to be provided by the new Executive Chairman.

## (i) Interest

Expense: The pro forma adjustment to interest expense reflects the elimination of FOH Holdings' interest expense on its \$7.5 million of long-term debt that is being converted into Series A Preferred Stock in connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. Interest expense incurred on the \$7.5 million of long term debt was \$608 for the year ended July 28, 2007.

## (j) Income

Taxes: An assumed statutory tax rate of 40% was used to calculate the consolidated pro forma tax expense for the year ended July 28, 2007.

## Table of Contents

(k) Earnings per share: The following is the calculation of the pro forma number of basic and diluted shares:

	Fiscal Year
Ended July 28,	
2007 Issuance of common stock in connection with the rights offering	11,364
Issuance of common stock in connection with the merger (less outstanding shares held in escrow)	18,951
Issuance of common stock to consultant	100
Pro forma adjustment to the number of basic shares outstanding	30,415
Plus: Historical basic shares outstanding	16,089
Pro forma basic shares outstanding	46,504
Pro forma adjustment to the number of basic shares outstanding	30,415
Dilutive impact of outstanding escrowed shares	4,738
Dilutive impact of FOH Holdings options	732
Dilutive impact of warrants issued	177
Pro forma adjustment to diluted shares outstanding	36,062
Plus: Historical weighted average diluted shares outstanding	16,671
Pro forma dilutive average shares outstanding	52,733

Pursuant to the escrow agreement, 20% of the shares of Movie Star's common stock to be issued to each stockholder of FOH Holdings in connection with the merger will be held in escrow to cover indemnification claims. These escrowed shares have been excluded from the basic earnings per share calculation because as of the consummation date of the merger, all of the conditions necessary for the shares to be returned will not have been met.

The pro forma adjustments related to the rights offering reflect the assumed issuance of 11,363,636 shares of Movie Star common stock in connection with the rights offering, and an offering price that is based upon the average of the per share closing prices of Movie Star common stock for the twenty trading days ending on November 26, 2007 less a 15% discount. We have assumed that 23.7 million shares of common stock will be issued in connection with the merger. The basic average shares also have been adjusted for the issuance of 100,000 shares of Movie Star common stock to be issued upon the closing of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement to Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC in connection with its consulting agreement with Movie Star.

The pro forma adjustment to the diluted shares outstanding reflects the dilutive impact of FOH Holdings options that will be assumed by Movie Star, as well as the dilutive impact of guarantor warrants that will be issued as compensation to the standby purchasers under the standby purchase agreement. These dilutive shares of common stock, the options and the guarantor warrants were not included in the computation of the diluted net loss per share available to common shareholders on a consolidated basis for the year ended July 28, 2007 since their effect would be antidilutive. The income available to common shareholders has been reduced to reflect dividends of 7.5 percent accumulated on the Series A Preferred Stock.

For pro forma purposes, it has been assumed that the Series A Preferred Stock to be issued upon the closing of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement will not have a dilutive impact because it has been assumed that the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock would not exercise their conversion right until the Movie Star common stock price exceeds \$2.48 per share.

Table of Contents

STOCK ISSUANCE PROPOSAL

Shareholder approval is not required for the merger itself under the NYBCL. However, under the rules of the American Stock Exchange, shareholder approval is required prior to the issuance of common stock in any transaction if (i) the number of shares of common stock, or securities convertible into common stock, to be issued will be, upon issuance, equal to or in excess of 20% of the number of shares of common stock outstanding prior to such issuance, (ii) the common stock, or securities convertible into common stock, to be issued will be issued as consideration for an acquisition of the stock or assets of another company, provided that any director, officer or substantial shareholder of the acquiring listed company, directly or indirectly, has a 5% or greater interest in the acquired company or in the consideration to be paid in such transaction and the present or potential issuance of common stock, or securities convertible into common stock, could result in an increase in outstanding common shares of 5% or more, or (iii) such transaction would involve the application of Section 341 of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide, which requires that a listed company being effectively acquired by an unlisted company as a result of a plan of acquisition, merger, or consolidation meet the American Stock Exchange's original listing standards.

Because the 39,370,436 shares to be issued in connection with the merger and the rights offering and pursuant to the standby purchase agreement, together with the shares underlying the warrants that will be issued as consideration for the commitments of the Fursa Standby Purchasers, Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel under the standby purchase agreement and Series A Preferred Stock that will be issued in exchange for the cancellation of certain indebtedness of FOH Holdings, will be in excess of 20% of the number of shares of our common stock outstanding prior to such issuances, your vote is required. Additionally, your vote is required because (i) the American Stock Exchange has informed us that Section 341 of the American Stock Exchange Guide would apply to the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement due to the structure of the proposed merger and (ii) an affiliate of TTG Apparel, our substantial shareholder, owns more than 5% of FOH Holdings.

If the stock issuance proposal or the increase of authorized common stock proposal is not approved, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. If the name change proposal or the preferred stock proposal is not approved, absent a waiver by FOH Holdings and us, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

For the reasons previously discussed, our board of directors has determined that the stock issuance proposal is desirable and in the best interest of our shareholders. The approval of the stock issuance proposal will require the affirmative vote of both (i) the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock on the record date and (ii) the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock that are held by our shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates on the record date.

**OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT OUR SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THE STOCK ISSUANCE PROPOSAL.**

Table of Contents

INCREASE OF AUTHORIZED COMMON STOCK PROPOSAL

Pursuant to the merger agreement, we are proposing to amend our certificate of incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of our common stock from 30,000,000 shares to 200,000,000 shares. The additional common stock to be authorized by adoption of this proposal would have rights identical to the currently outstanding common stock of Movie Star. Adoption of the proposed amendment and issuance of the common stock in connection with the merger, the rights offering and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement would not affect the rights of the holders of currently outstanding common stock of Movie Star, except for effects incidental to increasing the number of shares of Movie Star common stock outstanding, such as dilution of the earnings per share and voting rights of current holders of common stock. If the amendment is adopted, it will become effective upon filing of the restated certificate of incorporation with the Secretary of State of the State of New York. The approval of the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal and the preferred stock proposal are conditions to the consummation of the merger. If the stock issuance proposal or the increase of authorized common stock proposal is not approved, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. If the name change proposal or the preferred stock proposal is not approved, absent a waiver by FOH Holdings and us, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

In the judgment of our board of directors, the increase in our capitalization is desirable and in the best interest of our shareholders. Currently, we have 16,435,275 shares of our common stock outstanding and we will be issuing an additional 35,152,817 shares of common stock upon consummation of the merger and rights offering. We will also need to reserve (i) an aggregate of 3,250,000 shares of common stock in connection with our amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal discussed below, (ii) 3,024,437 shares of common stock into which the shares of Series A Preferred Stock will be convertible and (iii) 1,193,182 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of the guarantor warrants to be issued as consideration for the commitments by the parties entering into the standby purchase agreement with us. The authorization of additional shares of common stock will also enable us to have the flexibility to issue shares of common stock in the future for financing our business, for acquiring other businesses, for forming strategic partnerships and alliances, for stock dividends, stock splits and exercise of convertible securities and for other purposes determined to be proper by the board of directors of the combined company.

The approval of the increase of authorized common stock proposal will require the affirmative vote of both (i) the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock on the record date and (ii) the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock that are held by our shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates on the record date.

**OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT OUR SHAREHOLDERS VOTE “FOR” THE APPROVAL OF THE INCREASE OF AUTHORIZED COMMON STOCK PROPOSAL.**

Table of Contents

NAME CHANGE PROPOSAL

Pursuant to the merger agreement, we are proposing to amend our certificate of incorporation to change our corporate name from “Movie Star, Inc.” to “Frederick’s of Hollywood Group Inc.” upon consummation of the merger. The approval of the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal and the preferred stock proposal are conditions to the consummation of the merger. If the stock issuance proposal or the increase of authorized common stock proposal is not approved, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. If the name change proposal or the preferred stock proposal is not approved, absent a waiver by FOH Holdings and us, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

In the judgment of our board of directors, the change of our corporate name is desirable to reflect our merger with FOH Holdings. The Frederick’s of Hollywood name has been a widely recognized name in the intimate apparel industry for over sixty years.

Shareholders will not be required to exchange their outstanding stock certificates for new stock certificates if the name change proposal is approved.

The approval of the name change proposal will require the affirmative vote of both (i) the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock on the record date and (ii) the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock that are held by our shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates on the record date.

**OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT OUR SHAREHOLDERS VOTE “FOR” THE APPROVAL OF THE NAME CHANGE PROPOSAL.**

Table of Contents

PREFERRED STOCK PROPOSAL

Pursuant to the merger agreement, we are proposing to amend our certificate of incorporation to authorize the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share, and to establish the terms, rights, preference and privileges of the Series A Preferred Stock, upon consummation of the merger. The approval of the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal and the preferred stock proposal are conditions to the consummation of the merger. If the stock issuance proposal or the increase of authorized common stock proposal is not approved, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. If the name change proposal or the preferred stock proposal is not approved, absent a waiver by FOH Holdings and us, we cannot effect the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. In the judgment of our board of directors, the authorization of the preferred stock proposal is desirable and in the best interest of our shareholders.

In addition to issuing 3,629,329 shares of Series A Preferred Stock to the Fursa Debt Holders in exchange for the cancellation of \$7.5 million of FOH Holdings' indebtedness as contemplated by the FOH Holdings' stockholders agreement, we believe that the availability of additional shares of preferred stock may prove useful in connection with financing the capital needs of the combined company, employee incentive or compensation plans or other purposes. The flexibility vested in the board of directors would, in particular, allow us to consider and, if in the best interests of the shareholders, take advantage of acquisition opportunities. The authorization will enable us to act promptly if appropriate circumstances arise which require the issuance of such shares.

The preferred stock will have such designations, preferences and dividend, conversion, cumulative, relative, participating, optional and other rights, including voting rights, qualifications, limitations and restrictions as are determined by the board of directors. Thus, if the preferred stock proposal is approved by the shareholders, subject to the provisions of our restated certificate of incorporation, the board of directors would be entitled to authorize the creation and issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock (which includes the shares to be designated as Series A Preferred Stock) in one or more series with such rights, limitations and restrictions, including dividend rights, dividend rates, terms of redemption, conversion rights and liquidation preferences, as may be determined in the board's sole discretion, without the expense and delay of a special shareholders meeting, except as may be required by applicable law or stock market or exchange requirements. In fact, the terms of the Series A Preferred Stock already have been determined and are described under the section entitled "Other Transaction Documents—FOH Holdings' Stockholders Agreement—Series A Preferred Stock."

The authorization of the shares of preferred stock will not, by itself as a general matter, have any effect on the rights of the holders of shares of common stock. Nonetheless, the issuance of one or more series of preferred stock could, depending upon the board's determination of the rights and preferences of the series of preferred stock (and in the case of the Series A Preferred Stock, as described under the section entitled "Other Transaction Documents—FOH Holdings' Stockholders Agreement—Series A Preferred Stock," will): (i) restrict the payment of dividends to holders of common stock; (ii) dilute voting power of the holders of common stock to the extent that the holders of preferred stock are given voting rights; (iii) dilute the equity interests and voting power of the holders of common stock if the preferred stock is convertible into common stock; and (iv) restrict the distribution of assets to the holders of the common stock upon liquidation or dissolution and until the satisfaction of any liquidation preference granted to the holders of preferred stock.

Although the board has no present intention of doing so, it could issue shares of preferred stock in addition to the contemplated Series A Preferred Stock (within the limits imposed by applicable law) that could, depending on the terms of such series, make more difficult or discourage an attempt to obtain control of us by means of a merger, tender

offer, proxy contest or other means. When in the judgment of the board such action would be in the best interests of the shareholders and us, the issuance of shares of preferred stock could be used to create voting or other impediments or to discourage persons seeking to gain control of us, for example, by the sale of preferred stock to purchasers favorable to the board of directors. In addition, the board could authorize holders of a series of preferred stock to vote either separately as a class or with the holders of common stock, on any merger, sale or exchange of assets by

99

---

Table of Contents

us or any other extraordinary corporate transaction. The issuance of new shares could also be used to dilute the stock ownership of a person or entity seeking to obtain control of us should the board consider the action of such entity or person not to be in the best interests of the shareholders and Movie Star. While the authorization of the issuance of preferred stock may have anti-takeover ramifications, the board believes that the financial flexibility offered by the amendment and various advantages of the merger outweigh any disadvantages.

The approval of the preferred stock proposal will require the affirmative vote of both (i) the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock on the record date and (ii) the holders of a majority of the shares of our common stock that are held by our shareholders other than TTG Apparel and its affiliates and associates on the record date.

**OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT OUR SHAREHOLDERS VOTE ‘‘FOR’’ THE APPROVAL OF THE PREFERRED STOCK PROPOSAL.**

100

---



## Table of Contents

### REVERSE STOCK SPLIT PROPOSAL

#### General

Our board of directors has unanimously approved a proposal, subject to shareholder approval, to amend our certificate of incorporation if our board of directors elects to consummate a reverse stock split of our outstanding common stock immediately prior to the closing of the merger within a range to be determined by our board of directors from 9 for 10 to 1 for 2, in order to satisfy the minimum price requirement of \$2.00 per share for continued listing on the American Stock Exchange. This means that if our board of directors were to select a ratio of 9 for 10, for every 10 shares of common stock held by a shareholder before the reverse stock split, such shareholder would receive nine shares of common stock immediately after the reverse stock split. If the board of directors were to select a ratio of 1 for 2, for every 2 shares of common stock held by a shareholder before the reverse stock split, such shareholder would receive one share of common stock immediately after the reverse stock split. Based on the proposed range of ratio, as few as 1.1 shares or as many as 2 shares of common stock would be combined into one new share. Our board also may choose not to implement the reverse stock split at all in its sole discretion, without further direction by our shareholders.

If our board determines to effect a reverse stock split, after the filing of an amendment to our certificate of incorporation with the New York Secretary of State, the reverse stock split will be effective, and each certificate representing shares of common stock outstanding immediately prior to the reverse stock split will be deemed automatically without any action on the part of the shareholders to represent a fraction of the number of shares of common stock after the reverse stock split. No fractional shares will be issued as a result of a reverse stock split. Instead, each shareholder whose old shares are not evenly divisible will receive one additional new share for the fractional new share that such shareholder would otherwise be entitled to receive as a result of a reverse stock split. After the reverse stock split becomes effective, shareholders will be asked to surrender certificates representing old shares in accordance with the procedures set forth in a letter of transmittal that we will send to you. Upon such surrender, new certificates will be issued and forwarded to the shareholders. However, each certificate representing old shares will continue to be valid and represent new shares equal to a fraction of the number of old shares (plus one additional new share where such old shares are not evenly divisible).

The number of shares of capital stock authorized by our certificate of incorporation will not change as a result of the proposed reverse stock split. The common stock issued pursuant to the reverse stock split will be fully-paid and non-assessable. The voting and other rights that presently characterize the common stock will not be altered by the reverse stock split.

#### Purpose of Reverse Stock Split

Pursuant to Section 341 of the American Stock Exchange Company Guide, the American Stock Exchange requires that a listed company being effectively acquired by an unlisted company as a result of a plan of acquisition, merger, or consolidation meet the American Stock Exchange's original listing standards. Due to the structure of the proposed merger, through which FOH Holdings' stockholders will be the majority shareholders of the combined company immediately following the effective time of the merger, the American Stock Exchange informed us that we would be obligated to satisfy the American Stock Exchange's original listing standards which, among other things, require that our common stock have a bid price of at least \$2.00 per share. A reverse stock split would reduce the number of issued and outstanding shares of common stock and, assuming that the market price of our common stock is below \$2.00 per share when the reverse stock split is effected, could cause the market price of our common stock to increase above the \$2.00 per share minimum threshold. A range for the reverse stock split is being proposed instead of a

specific ratio since the price at which our common stock trades may fluctuate between now and the time any reverse stock split is implemented, if at all.

However, there can be no assurance that our stock price will exceed or remain above \$2.00 per share. If, for example, a 1-for-2 reverse stock split is implemented, there can be no assurance that the market price per share after the reverse stock split would be twice the market price per share before the reverse stock split, or that such price would either exceed or remain in excess of market price before effecting the

101

---

## Table of Contents

reverse stock split. Shareholders should note that our board of directors cannot predict what effect a reverse stock split would have on the market price of our common stock.

If the reverse stock split proposal is approved by our shareholders, the reverse stock split will be effected, if at all, only upon a determination by our board of directors that a reverse stock split is in the best interests of the combined company and its shareholders, and only to satisfy the minimum price requirement of \$2.00 per share for American Stock Exchange listing upon the completion of the merger. Our board's determination as to whether the reverse stock split will be effected and, if so, at which ratio, will be based upon certain factors, including existing and expected marketability and liquidity of the combined company's common stock, prevailing stock market conditions, business developments affecting the combined company, actual or forecasted results of operations and the likely effect on the market price of the combined company's common stock.

The reverse stock split proposal is not a condition to the merger, but is being proposed in connection with the merger and will not be presented at the meeting for a vote if any of the proposals that are conditions to the merger are not approved. Under the merger agreement, we are obligated to use our reasonable best efforts to cause our common stock to continue trading on the American Stock Exchange.

## Material Effect of a Reverse Stock Split

A reverse stock split will be effected by means of filing an amendment to our certificate of incorporation with the New York Secretary of State. We currently are authorized to issue 30,000,000 shares of common stock, and we are seeking approval to increase the number of authorized shares to 200,000,000 in a separate proposal contained in this proxy statement. As of November 26, 2007, there were 16,435,275 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. The number of shares of our authorized capital stock will not be changed by reason of the reverse stock split. However, the number of our outstanding shares of capital stock will decrease by reason of the reverse stock split. Consequently, the reverse stock split will permit our board to issue additional shares of Movie Star common stock without prior shareholder approval, which could dilute the interests of current shareholders. The following table illustrates the principal effect of the proposed reverse stock split and decrease in outstanding shares of common stock assuming that, as of the date of the reverse stock split, (i) there are 16,435,275 shares of common stock outstanding, (ii) our authorized capital stock consists of 30,000,000 shares and (iii) no additional shares of common stock are issued prior to the effective date of the reverse stock split as a result of the exercise of any options or warrants under all possible scenarios:

						Reverse Stock Split	Shares
Outstanding Prior to							
Reverse Stock Split	Shares Outstanding After						
Reverse Stock Split(1) 9-for-10	16,435,275	14,791,747	7-for-8	16,435,275	14,380,865	1-for-2	
16,435,275	8,217,638						

(1) Does

not take into account additional shares to be issued in lieu of fractional shares.

The reverse stock split will affect all our shareholders uniformly and, accordingly, will not affect any shareholder's percentage ownership interest in Movie Star or the proportionate voting power of our common stock, except to the extent that the reverse stock split results in any of our shareholders owning a fractional share.

The reverse stock split will not affect the par value of shares of our common stock. As a result, on the effective date of the reverse stock split, the stated capital on our balance sheet attributable to our common stock will be reduced by the appropriate amount based on the reverse stock split ratio determined by the board, and the additional paid-in capital account will be credited with the amount by which the stated capital is reduced. The per share net income or loss and per share net book value of our common stock will be increased as a result of the reverse stock split, because there will be fewer shares of our common stock outstanding.

Our common stock currently is registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act and, as a result, the Company is subject to the periodic reporting and other requirements of the Exchange Act. The reverse stock split will not affect the registration of our common stock under the Exchange Act.

102

---

## Table of Contents

**Effect on Market for Common Stock.** On November 26, 2007, the closing sale price of our common stock on the American Stock Exchange was \$1.93 per share. By decreasing the number of shares of common stock outstanding (including the shares to be issued in connection with the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement) without altering the aggregate economic interest in Movie Star represented by such shares, the board of directors believes that the trading price may increase.

**Effect on Outstanding Options and Warrants.** As of November 26, 2007, we had outstanding options to purchase 1,341,000 shares of common stock with per-share exercise prices ranging from \$0.625 to \$1.45. In addition, as of November 26, 2007, we had outstanding 50,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.4375 per share. Upon the completion of a reverse stock split, the 1988 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan, the 1994 Incentive Stock Option Plan, the 2000 Performance Equity Plan, FOH Holdings' 2003 Employee Equity Incentive Plan and the warrants provide for a proportional downward adjustment to the number of shares subject to outstanding options and warrants and a corresponding upward adjustment in the per-share exercise prices to reflect the reverse stock split.

**Effect on Guarantor Warrants.** As previously mentioned in the section entitled "Other Transaction Documents—Standby Purchase Agreement—Guarantor Warrants," as sole consideration for the standby purchase commitments, we will issue warrants representing the right to purchase, in the aggregate, 1,193,182 shares of our common stock. Upon the completion of a reverse stock split, the guarantor warrants will be subject to a proportional downward adjustment to the number of shares subject to outstanding guarantor warrants and a corresponding upward adjustment in the per-share exercise price to reflect the reverse stock split.

**Effect on Series A Preferred Stock.** As previously mentioned in the section entitled "Other Transaction Documents—FOH Holdings' Stockholders Agreement—Series A Preferred Stock," the Fursa debt holders, in their capacities as holders of FOH Holdings indebtedness, agreed to cancel \$7.5 million of such indebtedness in exchange for shares of our newly authorized Series A Preferred Stock. Upon the completion of a reverse stock split, Series A Preferred Stock will be subject to a proportional downward adjustment to the number of shares of Series A Preferred Stock to be issued in such exchange, a proportional downward adjustment to the number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion and a corresponding upward adjustment in the per-share conversion price to reflect the reverse stock split.

**Effect on Legal Ability to Pay Dividends.** The reverse stock split will have no material impact on our legal ability to pay dividends.

## No Appraisal Rights

Under the NYBCL, our shareholders will not be entitled to appraisal rights with respect to the reverse stock split.

## Exchange of Stock Certificates

As soon as practicable after the effective date of a reverse stock split, we will send a letter of transmittal to each holder of record of shares outstanding on the effective date. The letter of transmittal will contain instructions for the surrender of certificate(s) representing such shares to American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, our exchange agent. Upon proper completion and execution of the letter of transmittal and its return to the exchange agent, together with the certificate(s) representing shares, a shareholder will be entitled to receive a certificate representing the number of new shares into which his or her current shares have been reclassified and changed as a result of the reverse stock split.

Shareholders should not destroy any certificates or submit any certificates until requested to do so. No new certificate will be issued to a shareholder until he or she has surrendered his or her outstanding certificate(s) together with the properly completed and executed letter of transmittal to the exchange agent. If your shares of our common stock are deposited in book entry form, your shares automatically will be converted to reflect the reverse stock split without any action on your part.

#### Material Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following summary of the federal income tax consequences of a reverse stock split is not, and should not be relied on as, a comprehensive analysis of the tax issues arising from or relating to the

103

---

Table of Contents

proposed reverse stock split. ACCORDINGLY, SHAREHOLDERS ARE URGED TO CONSULT THEIR TAX ADVISORS FOR AN ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECT OF THE TRANSACTION CONTEMPLATED BY THE PROPOSED REVERSE STOCK SPLIT ON THEIR RESPECTIVE TAX SITUATIONS.

The transactions contemplated by the reverse stock split constitute a “recapitalization” to Movie Star and its shareholders to the extent that issued shares of common stock are exchanged for a reduced number of shares of common stock. Therefore, neither Movie Star nor its shareholders will recognize any gain or loss for federal income tax purposes as a result thereof.

The shares of common stock to be issued to each shareholder will have an aggregate basis, for computing gain or loss, equal to the aggregate basis of the shares of common stock held by such shareholder immediately prior to the effective date of the reverse stock split. A shareholder’s holding period for the shares of common stock to be issued will include the holding period for the shares of common stock held immediately prior to the effective date of the reverse stock split provided that such shares were held by the shareholder as capital assets on the effective date.

Required Vote

The approval of the reverse stock split proposal will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock on the record date.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT OUR SHAREHOLDERS VOTE “FOR” THE APPROVAL OF THE REVERSE STOCK SPLIT PROPOSAL.

Table of Contents

AMENDED AND RESTATED 2000 PERFORMANCE EQUITY PLAN PROPOSAL

Our 2000 Performance Equity Plan was adopted by the board of directors on February 22, 2000 and by our shareholders on November 28, 2000. Under this Plan, our officers, directors, employees and consultants are eligible to receive incentive or non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, deferred stock, stock reload options and other stock-based awards. As of November 26, 2007, 113,700 shares of common stock are available under the Plan for future grants.

Proposed Amendments

The board of directors proposes to amend and restate the Plan to increase the number of shares issuable under the Plan from 750,000 shares to 4,000,000 shares, to add a 500,000 share limit on grants to any individual in any one calendar year in order for the Plan to comply with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and to make other changes to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. The adoption of the Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan is not a condition to the merger but the board believes that the proposed changes to the 2000 Performance Equity Plan, including the increase in the size of the Plan, is necessary in connection with the merger to cover the shares of common stock to be issued and the options to be granted to Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC under the consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC and to enable us to continue to attract and retain employees, consultants and board members of the highest caliber, and provide increased incentive for them to promote our well-being through the grant of options and other stock-based awards.

Summary of the Plan

The following summary of the 2000 Performance Equity Plan does not purport to be complete, and is subject to and qualified in its entirety by reference to the Plan, which we filed with the SEC as Exhibit 4.1 to our registration statement on Form S-8 on April 1, 2005.

Administration

The Plan is administered by the board or, at its discretion, by our compensation committee or such other committee as may be designated by the board. All references herein to the "Compensation Committee" mean the Compensation Committee, or such other committee as may be designated by the board to administer the Plan, or the board, as applicable. The Compensation Committee has full authority, subject to the provisions of the Plan, to award any of the following, either alone or in tandem with each other:

- options
  - restricted stock
  - options
  - other stock-based awards
- stock
  - deferred stock
  - stock reload

Subject to the provisions of the Plan, the Compensation Committee determines, among other things, the persons to whom from time to time awards may be granted, the specific type of award to be granted, the number of shares subject to each award, share prices, any restrictions or limitations on such awards, and any vesting, exchange, deferral, surrender, cancellation, acceleration, termination, exercise or forfeiture provisions related to such awards. The



interpretation and construction by the Compensation Committee of any provisions of, and the determination by the Compensation Committee of any questions arising under, the Plan or any rule or regulation established by the Compensation Committee pursuant to the Plan will be final and binding on all persons interested in the Plan. Awards under the Plan are evidenced by written agreements.

Stock Subject to the Plan

The Plan currently authorizes the granting of awards, whose exercise would allow up to an aggregate of 750,000 shares of common stock to be acquired by the holders of such awards. In order to prevent the

105

---

## Table of Contents

dilution or enlargement of the rights of holders under the Plan, the number of shares of common stock authorized by the Plan is subject to adjustment by the Compensation Committee in the event of any increase or decrease in the number of shares of outstanding common stock resulting from a stock dividend, stock split, reverse stock split, merger, reorganization, consolidation, recapitalization or other change in corporate structure affecting our common stock. The shares of common stock acquirable pursuant to the awards will be made available, in whole or in part, from authorized and unissued shares of common stock or treasury shares of common stock. If any award granted under the Plan is forfeited or terminated, the shares of common stock that were available pursuant to such award shall again be available for distribution in connection with awards subsequently granted under the Plan.

Currently, the Plan does not qualify as a performance-based plan under Internal Revenue Code section 162(m) because it does not contain a provision limiting the number of shares that may be awarded to any one holder in any one calendar year. We are now requesting that shareholders approve the amended and restated Plan to add a 500,000 share limit on grants to any individual in any one calendar year. We believe that this limit is appropriate given the proposed increase in the number of shares available for issuance under the Plan.

## Eligibility

Subject to the provisions of the Plan, awards may be granted to all directors, officers, employees and consultants who are deemed to have rendered or to be able to render significant services to us and who are deemed to have contributed or to have the potential to contribute to our success. Incentive stock options may be awarded only to persons who are our employees at the time of grant of such awards. The number of directors, officers, employees and consultants who are eligible for awards under the Plan is determined by the Compensation Committee from time to time.

## Types of awards

**Options.** The Plan provides both for “incentive” stock options as defined in Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and for options not qualifying as incentive stock options, both of which may be granted with any other stock-based award under the Plan. The Compensation Committee determines the exercise price per share of common stock purchasable under an incentive or non-incentive stock option. The exercise price of stock options may not be less than 100% of the fair market value on the day of the grant or, in the case of an incentive stock option granted to a person possessing more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of our stock (“10% stockholder”), not less than 110% of such fair market value. In the case of an incentive stock option, the aggregate fair market value, determined on the date of grant, of the shares of common stock with respect to which incentive stock options become exercisable for the first time by a holder during any calendar year may not exceed \$100,000. An incentive stock option may only be granted within a ten-year period from the date the Plan is adopted and approved and may only be exercised within ten years from the date of the grant or within five years in the case of an incentive stock option granted to a 10% stockholder. Subject to any limitations or conditions the Compensation Committee may impose, stock options may be exercised, in whole or in part, at any time during the term of the stock option by providing us with written notice of exercise specifying the number of shares of common stock to be purchased. Such notice must be accompanied by payment in full of the purchase price, either in cash or, if provided in the agreement, in our securities, or in a combination of the two.

Stock options granted under the Plan are exercisable only by the holder, or to the extent of legal incapacity or incompetency, the holder’s guardian or legal representative, during his or her lifetime. Stock options granted under the Plan may not be transferred other than by will or by the laws of descent and distribution.

Generally, if the holder is an employee, no stock options granted under the Plan may be exercised by the holder unless he or she is employed by us or a subsidiary of ours at the time of the exercise and has been so employed continuously from the date the stock options were granted. However, in the event the holder's employment is terminated due to the holder's death or disability, the unvested portion of the option automatically terminates and the holder (or, in the case of the holder's death, his or her legal

106

---

## Table of Contents

representative or legatee under his or her will) may still exercise the portion of his or her stock options that have vested on the date of death or disability for a period of one year from the date of such termination (or such other greater or lesser period as the Compensation Committee may specify at the time of grant) or until the expiration of the stated term of the stock option, whichever period is shorter. Unless otherwise determined by the Compensation Committee at the time of grant and set forth in the stock option agreement, if the holder's employment is terminated for any reason other than death or disability, the stock option shall automatically terminate, except that if the holder's employment is terminated by us without cause or due to normal retirement upon the holder attaining the age of 65, then the portion of any stock option that has vested on the date of termination may be exercised for the lesser of three months after termination or the balance of the stock option's term.

**Restricted stock.** The Compensation Committee may award shares of restricted stock either alone or in addition to other awards granted under the Plan. The Compensation Committee determines the persons to whom grants of restricted stock are made, the number of shares to be awarded, the price, if any, to be paid for the restricted stock by the person receiving such stock, the restriction period within which awards of restricted stock may be subject to forfeiture, the vesting schedule and rights to acceleration thereof, and all other terms and conditions of the restricted stock awards.

Restricted stock awarded under the Plan may not be sold, exchanged, assigned, transferred, pledged, encumbered or otherwise disposed of other than to us during the applicable restriction period. Other than regular cash dividends and other cash equivalent distributions as the Compensation Committee may designate, pay or distribute, we will retain custody of all distributions made or declared with respect to the restricted stock during the restriction period. A breach of any restriction regarding the restricted stock will cause a forfeiture of such restricted stock and any retained distributions with respect thereto. Except for the foregoing restrictions, the holder shall, even during the restriction period, have all of the rights of a shareholder, including the right to receive and retain all regular cash dividends and other cash equivalent distributions as the Compensation Committee may designate, pay or distribute on such restricted stock and the right to vote such shares.

In order to enforce the foregoing restrictions, the Plan requires that all shares of restricted stock awarded to the holder remain in our physical custody until the restrictions on such shares have terminated and all vesting requirements with respect to the restricted stock have been fulfilled.

**Deferred stock.** The Compensation Committee may award shares of deferred stock either alone or in addition to other awards granted under the Plan. The Compensation Committee determines the eligible persons to whom, and the time or times at which, deferred stock will be awarded, the number of shares of deferred stock to be awarded, the duration of the period during which, and the conditions under which, receipt of the stock will be deferred, and all the other terms and conditions of such deferred stock awards.

Deferred stock awards granted under the Plan may not be sold, exchanged, assigned, transferred, pledged, encumbered or otherwise disposed of other than to us during the applicable deferral period. The holder shall not have any rights of a shareholder until the expiration of the applicable deferral period and the issuance and delivery of the certificates representing such common stock.

**Certain Awards Deferring or Accelerating the Receipt of Compensation.** To the extent applicable, all awards granted under the Plan are intended to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, which was added by the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 relating to deferred compensation under nonqualified deferred compensation plans. The Compensation Committee, in administering the Plan, intends on restricting provisions of any awards made under the Plan permitting deferred receipt of an award, or acceleration of vesting, in order to comply with or avoid the

application of Section 409A. Our board of directors has amended the Plan to comply with Section 409A.

Stock reload options. The Compensation Committee may grant stock reload options to a holder who tenders shares of common stock to pay the exercise price of a stock option, and/or arranges to have a portion of the shares otherwise issuable upon exercise withheld to pay the applicable withholding taxes. A stock reload option is the grant of a new stock option to purchase that number of shares of common stock equal to the number of shares tendered to pay the exercise price and withholding tax. The Compensation Committee determines the terms, conditions, restrictions and limitations of the stock

107

---

## Table of Contents

reload options. The exercise price of stock reload options will be the fair market value of common stock as of the date the option is granted. Unless the Compensation Committee determines otherwise, a stock reload option may be exercised commencing one year after it is granted and expires on the expiration date of the exchanged option.

**Other stock-based awards.** The Compensation Committee may grant other stock-based awards, subject to limitations under applicable law, that are denominated or payable in, valued in whole or in part by reference to, or otherwise based on or related to, shares of common stock, as deemed by the Compensation Committee to be consistent with the purposes of the Plan, including purchase rights, shares of common stock awarded which are not subject to any restrictions or conditions, convertible or exchangeable debentures or other rights convertible into shares of common stock and awards valued by reference to the value of securities of or the performance of specified subsidiaries. Subject to the terms of the Plan, the Compensation Committee has complete discretion to determine the terms and conditions of other stock-based awards. Other stock-based awards may be awarded either alone, in addition to, or in tandem with any other awards under the Plan or any other Plan in effect.

## Competition

Except as otherwise expressly provided in an award agreement, if (a) a holder's employment is terminated as a result of the voluntary resignation of the holder, and within one hundred and eighty (180) days after the date of termination, such holder either: (i) accepts employment with any of our competitors or otherwise engages in competition with us or (ii) uses or discloses to anyone outside Movie Star any confidential information or material in violation of our policies or any agreement with the holder or (b) a holder's employment is terminated for cause, the Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, may require the holder to return to us the economic value of any award that was realized or obtained by the holder at any time during the period beginning on the date that is one hundred and eighty (180) days prior to the date the holder's employment was terminated.

## Accelerated Vesting and Exercisability

Except as otherwise expressly provided in an award agreement, the Compensation Committee may, if there is a "Change in Control" and if approved by the board of directors, (i) accelerate the vesting of all awards outstanding and (ii) require a holder to relinquish the award to us in exchange for cash in an amount equal to the value of the award. A "Change in Control" means (i) the acquisition by any person or group of more than 50% of the total fair market value or voting power of our outstanding stock, (ii) replacement of a majority of the board of directors which is not endorsed by a majority of the board; and (iii) a sale of more than 40% of our assets. The Compensation Committee has determined that none of the options that have been granted under the Plan will be impacted by these provisions in connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

## Withholding taxes

Upon the exercise of any award granted under the Plan, the holder may be required to remit to us an amount sufficient to satisfy all federal, state and local withholding tax requirements prior to delivery of any certificate or certificates for shares of common stock. Subject to certain stringent limitations under the Plan, and at our discretion, the holder may satisfy these requirements by electing to have us withhold a portion of the shares to be received upon the exercise of the award having a value equal to the amount of the withholding tax due under applicable federal, state and local laws.

## Agreements; Transferability

Stock options, restricted stock, deferred stock, stock reload options and other stock-based awards granted under the Plan will be evidenced by written agreements consistent with the Plan in such form as the Compensation Committee may prescribe. Neither the Plan nor agreements thereunder confer any right to continued employment upon any holder of a stock option, restricted stock, deferred stock, stock reload option or other stock-based award. Further, all agreements will provide that the right to exercise

108

---

## Table of Contents

stock options, receive restricted stock after the expiration of the restriction period or deferred stock after the expiration of the deferral period or receive payment under other stock-based awards cannot be transferred except by will or the laws of descent and distribution.

## Term and amendments

Unless terminated by the board, the Plan will continue to remain effective until such time as no further awards may be granted and all awards granted under the Plan are no longer outstanding. Notwithstanding the foregoing, grants of incentive stock options may only be made during the ten-year period following the date the Plan becomes effective. The board may at any time, and from time to time, amend the Plan, provided that no amendment may be made that would impair the rights of a holder under any agreement entered into pursuant to the Plan without the holder's consent.

## Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following discussion of the federal income tax consequences of participation in the Plan is only a summary of the general rules applicable to the grant and exercise of stock options and other awards and does not give specific details or cover, among other things, state, local and foreign tax treatment of participation in the Plan. The information contained in this section is based on present law and regulations, which are subject to being changed prospectively or retroactively.

## Incentive stock options

Participants will generally recognize no taxable income upon the grant or exercise of an incentive stock option. We will generally not qualify for any deduction in connection with the grant or exercise of incentive stock options. Upon a disposition of the shares after the later of two years from the date of grant and one year after the transfer of the shares to a participant, the participant will recognize the difference, if any, between the amount realized and the exercise price as long-term capital gain or long-term capital loss, as the case may be. The excess, if any, of the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise of an incentive stock option over the exercise price will be treated as an item of adjustment for purposes of calculating the alternative minimum tax for a participant's taxable year in which the exercise occurs and may result in an alternative minimum tax liability for the participant.

If common stock acquired upon the exercise of an incentive stock option is disposed of prior to the expiration of the two-year and one-year holding periods described above:

- the participant will recognize ordinary compensation income in the taxable year of disposition in an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the lesser of the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise or the amount realized on the disposition of the shares, over the exercise price paid for the shares; and
- we will qualify for a deduction equal to any amount recognized, subject to the limitation that the compensation be reasonable.

## Non-incentive stock options

With respect to non-incentive stock options:

- upon grant of the stock option, the participant will recognize no income provided that the exercise price was not less than



the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant;

stock option, if the shares of common stock are not subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, the participant will recognize ordinary compensation income in an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the shares on the date of exercise over the exercise price, and we will qualify for a deduction in the same amount, subject to the requirement that the compensation be reasonable and the provisions of Section 162(m) of the Code; and

- upon exercise of the
- we will be

required to comply with applicable federal income tax withholding requirements with respect to the amount of ordinary compensation income recognized by the participant.

109

---

## Table of Contents

On a disposition of the shares, the participant will recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and the sum of the exercise price and the ordinary compensation income recognized upon exercise. Any gain or loss will be treated as capital gain or loss and as short-term or long-term capital gain or loss, depending upon the length of time that the participant held the shares.

If the shares acquired upon exercise of a non-incentive stock option are subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, the participant will recognize ordinary income at the time the substantial risk of forfeiture is removed, unless the participant timely elects under Section 83(b) of the Code to be taxed upon the receipt of the shares. We will qualify generally for a corresponding deduction at the time the participant recognizes ordinary income. The amount of ordinary income recognized will be equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares at the time of such election over the amount, if any, paid for the shares.

### Restricted stock

Generally, a participant who receives restricted stock will recognize no income on the grant of the restricted stock and we will not qualify for any deduction. At the time the restricted stock is no longer subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, a participant will recognize ordinary compensation income in an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the restricted stock at the time the restriction lapses over the consideration paid for the restricted stock. A participant's shares are treated as being subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture so long as his or her sale of the shares at a profit could subject him or her to a suit under Section 16 (b) of the Exchange Act. The holding period to determine whether the participant has long-term or short-term capital gain or loss begins when the restriction period expires, and the tax basis for the shares will generally be the fair market value of the shares on that date.

A participant may elect under Section 83(b) of the Code, not later than 30 days of the date of transfer of the restricted stock, to recognize ordinary compensation income in the taxable year of the transfer in an amount equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value on the date of transfer of the shares of restricted stock, as determined without regard to the restrictions, over the consideration paid for the restricted stock. If a participant makes an election and thereafter forfeits the shares, no ordinary loss deduction will be allowed. A forfeiture will be treated as a sale or exchange upon which there is recognized loss equal to the excess, if any, of the consideration paid for the shares over the amount realized on the forfeiture. The loss will be a capital loss if the shares are capital assets. If a participant makes an election under Section 83(b), the holding period will commence just after the date of transfer and the tax basis will equal the fair market value of shares, as determined without regard to the restrictions, on the date of transfer.

On disposition of the shares, a participant will recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and the tax basis for such shares.

Whether or not the participant makes an election under Section 83(b), we generally will qualify for a deduction, subject to the reasonableness of compensation limitation and the provisions of Section 162(m) of the Code, equal to the amount that is taxable as ordinary income to the participant, in our taxable year in which the income is included in the participant's gross income. The income recognized by the participant will be subject to applicable withholding tax requirements.

Dividends paid on restricted stock which is subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture generally will be treated as compensation that is taxable as ordinary compensation income to the participant and will be deductible by us subject to the reasonableness limitation. If, however, the participant makes a Section 83(b) election, the dividends will be treated as dividends and taxable as such to the participant, but will not be deductible by us.

Deferred stock

A participant who receives an award of deferred stock will recognize no income on the grant of the award. However, he or she will recognize ordinary compensation income on the transfer of the deferred stock, or the later lapse of a substantial risk of forfeiture to which the deferred stock is subject, if the participant does not make a Section 83(b) election, in accordance with the same rules as discussed above under the caption “Restricted stock.”

110

---

## Table of Contents

### Other stock-based awards

The federal income tax treatment of other stock-based awards will depend on the nature of and restrictions applicable to, the award.

### Potential Limitation on Company Deductions.

Section 162(m) of the Code denies a deduction to any publicly held corporation for compensation paid to certain “covered employees” in a taxable year to the extent that compensation to such covered employee exceeds \$1 million. It is possible that compensation attributable to awards, when combined with all other types of compensation received by a covered employee from us, may cause this limitation to be exceeded in any particular year.

Certain kinds of compensation, including qualified “performance-based compensation,” are disregarded for purposes of the deduction limitation. Awards under the Plan may not qualify as “performance-based compensation.” In accordance with Treasury Regulations issued under Section 162(m), compensation attributable to stock options and stock appreciation rights will qualify as performance-based compensation if the award is granted by a compensation committee comprised solely of “outside directors” and either (i) the plan contains a per-employee limitation on the number of shares for which such awards may be granted during a specified period, the per-employee limitation is approved by the stockholders, and the exercise price of the award is no less than the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant, or (ii) the award is granted (or exercisable) only upon the achievement (as certified in writing by the compensation committee) of an objective performance goal established in writing by the compensation committee while the outcome is substantially uncertain, and the award is approved by stockholders.

Awards to purchase restricted stock and stock bonus awards will qualify as performance-based compensation under the Treasury Regulations only if (i) the award is granted by a compensation committee comprised solely of “outside directors,” (ii) the award is granted (or exercisable) only upon the achievement of an objective performance goal established in writing by the compensation committee while the outcome is substantially uncertain, (iii) the compensation committee certifies in writing prior to the granting (or exercisability) of the award that the performance goal has been satisfied and (iv) prior to the granting (or exercisability) of the award, stockholders have approved the material terms of the award (including the class of employees eligible for such award, the business criteria on which the performance goal is based, and the maximum amount—or formula used to calculate the amount—payable upon attainment of the performance goal).

### Stock and Option Transactions

The following table presents certain information with respect to common stock issued and options granted under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan as of November 26, 2007 to (i) Movie Star’s named executive officers, (ii) the named executive officers as a group, (iii) all non-executive officer employees as a group and (iv) all non-employee directors as a group.

## Table of Contents

Stock and Option Transactions  
2000 Performance Equity Plan

					Name and
Position	Number of Shares	Melvyn Knigin	9,615 (1)	Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	
Saul Pomerantz	130,000	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer		Thomas Rende	70,000
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer		Executive Group	209,615	Non-Executive Director	
Group (4 persons)	241,685 (2)	Non-Executive Officer Employee Group	95,000		

(1)

Represents shares of restricted stock, the number of which was determined by dividing \$25,000 by the last sale price of a share of Movie Star common stock on June 29, 2007. Mr. Knigin also will be issued a number of shares of restricted stock determined by dividing \$25,000 by the last sale price of Movie Star common stock on July 1, 2008. All such shares will vest on June 30, 2009 provided Mr. Knigin is employed by us on such date. (2) Represents (i) 48,000 shares of common stock issued or issuable upon exercise of options under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan granted to non-employee directors pursuant to our Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan and (ii) 193,685 shares of common stock issued to non-employee directors under our Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan, which provides for each non-employee director to elect to receive their annual stipend and meeting fees in cash and/or shares of our common stock under our 2000 Performance Equity Plan in such proportion as is determined by each non-employee director at the beginning of each calendar year. If a non-employee director elects to be paid in stock, either in full or in part, the number of shares of common stock to be issued is determined by dividing the dollar amount of the stipend and meeting fees earned during the quarter (or a percentage thereof, if the non-employee director elects to receive stock payment in part) by the last sale price of our common stock on the last trading day of each calendar quarter in which the fees were earned.

## New Plan Benefits

As of November 26, 2007, no options or other stock awards have been granted on the basis of the 3,250,000 share increase for which shareholder approval is sought under the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal. As of November 26, 2007, the closing price of our common stock was \$1.93 per share. Since future issuances under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan will depend on the receipt of shareholder approval to: increase the number of shares authorized for issuance under the plan; the individuals selected at the discretion of the compensation committee to receive awards; the number of shares to be awarded; and the fair market value of our common stock at various future dates, it is not possible at this time to determine the benefits that will be received under the plan by all eligible employees, officers, directors or consultants. However, the board of directors has approved granting Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, of which Peter Cole is the sole member, 100,000 shares of common stock and options to purchase 275,000 shares of common stock under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the closing date of the merger, contingent upon completion of the merger and shareholder approval of the increase in shares under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan. It is also contemplated that, upon the approval by our board following the closing of the merger of an amendment to the Movie Star Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan, each of the seven non-employee directors will be granted an option under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan to purchase 15,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the date of grant. The "New Plan Benefits" table below sets forth information regarding benefits to be provided under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan only to the extent as can reasonably be anticipated as of November 26, 2007.



Table of Contents

NEW PLAN BENEFITS  
2000 Performance Equity Plan

Name and Position	Number of Shares	Dollar Value (\$)	Peter Cole	100,000 (1)	\$ 193,000 (2)	Executive Chairman
275,000 (3)	295,000 (4)	Thomas Rende				
Chief Financial Officer	Unknown at this time	Unknown at this time	Melvyn Knigin			
CEO, Movie Star division	Unknown at this time	Unknown at this time	Linda LoRe			Unknown at this time
Unknown at this time	CEO, Frederick's division		Executive Group	Unknown at this time		Unknown at this time
this time	Non-Executive Director Group (7 persons)	105,000 (5)	130,000 (6)	Non-Executive Officer		Employee Group
Unknown at this time	Unknown at this time					

(1)

Represents 100,000 shares of our common stock to be issued on the closing date of the merger. (2) Calculated based on \$1.93 per share closing price of Movie Star common stock on November 26, 2007. (3) Represents 275,000 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of options to be granted on the closing date of the merger. (4) The estimated fair value of the options was calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with an assumed exercise price of \$1.93 per share, which was the closing price of Movie Star common stock on November 26, 2007. The following weighted-average assumptions were used for the expected grant: risk-free interest rate 4.23%; expected life of 5 years; expected volatility 61% and expected dividends of zero. The fair value generated by the Black-Scholes model may not be indicative of the future benefit, if any, that may be received by the option holder. (5) Represents an aggregate of 105,000 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of options to be granted to the non-employee directors following the closing of the merger. (6) The estimated fair value of the options was calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with an assumed exercise price of \$1.93 per share, which was the closing price of Movie Star's stock on November 26, 2007. The following weighted-average assumptions were used for the expected grant: risk-free interest rate 4.38%; expected life of 7 years; expected volatility 61% and expected dividends of zero. The fair value generated by the Black-Scholes model may not be indicative of the future benefit, if any, that may be received by the option holder.

Required Vote

The approval of the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT OUR SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THE APPROVAL OF OUR AMENDED AND RESTATED 2000 PERFORMANCE EQUITY PLAN.

Table of Contents

DIRECTOR ELECTION PROPOSAL

Election of Directors

Pursuant to our bylaws, our board of directors has previously set the number of directors constituting the full board at seven directors. In connection with its approval of the merger and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, our board of directors approved the increase of the number of directors constituting the full board from seven to eleven which will be effective at the effective time of the merger. All directors elected at the special meeting will hold office from the effective time of the merger until the next annual meeting of shareholders and their successors have been elected and qualified. If the merger is not consummated, our existing directors will continue to serve as directors of Movie Star until the next annual meeting of shareholders and their successors have been elected and qualified.

The election of directors requires a plurality vote of the shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting. "Plurality" means that the individuals who receive the highest number of votes cast "FOR" election are elected as directors. Any shares not voted "FOR" a particular nominee (whether as a result of abstentions, a direction to withhold authority or a broker non-vote) will not be counted in the nominee's favor.

Unless authority is withheld, the proxies solicited by the board of directors will be voted "FOR" the election of these nominees. In case any of the nominees becomes unavailable for election to the board of directors, an event that is not anticipated, the persons named as proxies, or their substitutes, will have full discretion and authority to vote or refrain from voting for any other candidate in accordance with their judgment.

If the merger is consummated and assuming the election of the individuals set forth below, the board of directors and executive officers of the combined company will be as follows:

	Name	Age	
Position	Peter Cole	59	Executive Chairman and Director
	Thomas Rende	47	Chief Financial Officer and Director
	Melvyn Knigin	64	Director and President and Chief Executive Officer of the Movie Star division
	Linda LoRe	53	Director and President and Chief Executive Officer of the Frederick's of Hollywood division
	John L. Eisel	58	Director
	William F. Harley	44	Director
	Rose Peabody Lynch	58	Director
	Thomas J. Lynch	39	Director
	Michael A. Salberg	55	Director
	Joel M. Simon	62	Director
	Milton J. Walters	65	Director

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT OUR SHAREHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE FOLLOWING NOMINEES.

Peter Cole has been a member of the board of directors of Movie Star since April 2004 and the lead director to facilitate the timely and successful completion of the merger since January 2007. Since October 2005, Mr. Cole has been the managing member of Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, a private consulting firm that he founded. From April 2001 through July 2005, Mr. Cole served as Chairman of the board and Chief Executive Officer of Qwiz, Inc., a leading provider of pre-employment competency assessment solutions and training needs analysis. Prior to joining Qwiz, Inc., Mr. Cole was a Managing Director at Citibank where he was responsible for one of its global capital markets businesses. At both Qwiz and Citibank, Mr. Cole successfully integrated acquired companies into existing core businesses. Mr. Cole serves as a director and member of the audit committee of Qwiz Holdings, LLC. Mr. Cole earned his B.A. degree in economics from the University of Vermont.





## Table of Contents

Thomas Rende has served as Chief Financial Officer of Movie Star since February 1999 and as a member of the board of directors of Movie Star from April 2004 to April 2007. Since joining Movie Star in 1989, Mr. Rende has held various positions within the finance department.

Melvyn Knigin has served as President of Movie Star since September 1997 and Chief Executive Officer since February 1999. He has been a member of the board of directors of Movie Star since February 1997. From February 2004 to December 2004, he served as interim Chairman of our board of directors and has served as Chairman of our board since December 2004. From February 1997 to September 1997, Mr. Knigin served as Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. Since joining Movie Star in 1987 and until February 1997, he was President of Cinema Etoile, our upscale intimate apparel division. Prior to joining Movie Star, he had spent most of his career in the intimate apparel industry.

Linda LoRe has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of FOH Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries since August 1999. Prior to joining Frederick's of Hollywood, Ms. LoRe was President and Chief Executive Officer of Giorgio Beverly Hills for eight years. Ms. LoRe has 35 years experience in retail and wholesale including 16 years as a Chief Executive Officer. Ms. LoRe has been a member of the board of directors of FOH Holdings, Inc. since October 1998 and of its subsidiaries since 1999. Ms. LoRe also serves on the Board of Directors for the Trusteeship of the International Women's Forum, The Women's Leadership Board for the Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University, the Board of Advisors for the Fashion Institute of Design Merchandising (FIDM), the United States Air Force, as their Entertainment and Industry Liaison emeritus, the National Association of Women Business Owners (NAWBO) Enterprise Institute, and the Executive Woman's Alliance (EWA). In addition, Ms. LoRe is the founding Board Member of the Youth Mentoring Connection, which serves at-risk youth in Southern California.

John L. Eisel has been a member of the board of directors of Movie Star since April 2004. Since 1980, Mr. Eisel has been a partner at Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon LLP, a law firm located in Chicago, Illinois that he joined in 1975. Mr. Eisel's primary areas of practice are mergers and acquisitions and securities regulation and he is the chairman of his firm's Transactional Department and a member of his firm's Executive Committee. Mr. Eisel earned his B.S. degree in accounting and his Juris Doctor degree from the University of Illinois.

William F. "Mickey" Harley, III is President and Chief Investment Officer of Fursa. Mr. Harley is principally responsible for Fursa's investment decisions. Mr. Harley co-founded Fursa in April 1999 (as HBV Capital Management, LLC) and then sold Fursa to Mellon Financial Corporation in July 2002 (at which time it was re-named Mellon HBV Alternative Strategies LLC). Mr. Harley served as Chief Investment Officer and Chief Executive Officer of Fursa from July 2002 until he purchased it from Mellon in December 2006. Before co-founding Fursa, Mr. Harley was the Head of Research at Milton Partners, L.P. ("Milton"), a hedge fund manager specializing in arbitrage funds. Mr. Harley joined Milton in June 1996 and concentrated on analyzing investment opportunities, developing new investment strategies and managing the overall direction of its risk arbitrage portfolio. At the same time, he managed a proprietary event driven distressed fund for Milton. Before joining Milton, Mr. Harley was a Vice President and Director of Allen & Company, where he was responsible for the day-to-day management and investment strategies of the arbitrage department, which had over \$150 million of assets under management. During his tenure at Allen & Company, Mr. Harley also had investment banking responsibilities and co-managed proprietary funds focusing on turnarounds and banking. From January 2003 to April 2006, Mr. Harley served as a director of FOH Holdings, Inc. He was reappointed as a director of FOH Holdings, Inc. in April 2007. Mr. Harley also serves on the board of directors of Metromedia International Group, Inc., Integral Systems, Inc., Coastal Greenland Limited and Interboro Insurance. Mr. Harley graduated with a Masters in public and private management from Yale University's School of Management in 1990 and Mr. Harley also holds a Bachelor of Science degree in chemical engineering and a Bachelor of Arts degree in economics from Yale University, which he earned in 1986.

Rose Peabody Lynch has most recently operated her own consulting business, Market Strategies, LLC, focusing on strategic marketing and operating issues for small and medium size companies in branded, image-oriented product categories, which she founded in 1999. From April 1993 to August 1996,

115

---

## Table of Contents

Ms. Lynch served as EVP/GMM of Victoria's Secret Fragrance based in Columbus, Ohio. Before joining Victoria's Secret Fragrance, Ms. Lynch served as Chief Operating Officer of LeRoi Princeton from March 1991 to July 1992 and as President of Danskin from 1986 to 1989. Ms. Lynch has 23 years of experience in brand management and spent 15 years in the health and beauty care industry and seven years in the fashion business. Since January 2003, Ms. Lynch has served as a director of FOH Holdings, Inc. Ms. Lynch has also served on a number of other boards, both corporate, including the Harmony Group-LeRoi Princeton and Salant Corporation (Perry Ellis Menswear), and not-for-profit, including The Manhattan Theatre Club, The Wildlife Trust, The Bridge Fund of New York and the Resurrection Episcopal Day School. Ms. Lynch is a member of the U.S. Foreign Policy and Women Advisory Committee at the Council on Foreign Relations. Ms. Lynch received her B.A. from Princeton University, where she has served on the Alumni Committee and is currently a class officer, and earned her M.B.A. from Harvard University. Ms. Lynch and Thomas J. Lynch are not related by blood, marriage or otherwise.

Thomas J. Lynch is Chief Executive Officer of Fursa. Prior to joining Fursa in February 2007, Mr. Lynch was a Managing Director at UBS, an investment bank and global asset management business, a position he held from July 2006 to January 2007. Prior to joining UBS, Mr. Lynch was Managing Director and Senior Vice-President of Mellon Asset Management from August 2000 to May 2006. Mr. Lynch was a member of the Mellon Asset Management Senior Management Committee and was a thought leader in global distribution strategies and strategic planning. Mr. Lynch had direct management responsibility for a \$356 billion (Assets Under Management) institutional asset management business. From 1995 to 2000, Mr. Lynch was Northeast Regional Vice President for Fortis Inc. and was responsible for strategic management, training, marketing and thought leadership. From 1990 to 1995, Mr. Lynch was employed by Phoenix Inc. and The Paul Revere Insurance Group serving in various strategic and management roles. Mr. Lynch earned a BA from St. Anselm College and attended The Brandeis University International Business School. Mr. Lynch is a former board member of The Massachusetts Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children. Mr. Lynch and Rose Peabody Lynch are not related by blood, marriage or otherwise.

Michael A. Salberg has been a member of the board of directors of Movie Star since 2001. From November 2003 through July 2006, he served as General Counsel of the Anti-Defamation League, an international not-for-profit organization. In addition to his duties as General Counsel, Mr. Salberg served as Deputy Chief Operating Officer from November 2003 until December 2004 and then as Special Assistant to the National Director until July 2006. Since July 2006, he has served as Associate National Director and Director of International Affairs of the Anti-Defamation League. From April 1989 to November 2003, he was a partner in the New York law firm of Graubard Miller and its predecessors. The Graubard Miller firm and its predecessors have represented Movie Star as legal counsel for many years. Mr. Salberg received his Juris Doctor degree from New York Law School and a B.A. degree from the University of Cincinnati.

Joel M. Simon has been a member of the board of directors of Movie Star since 1996. Since July 2000, Mr. Simon has been a principal of XRoads Solutions Group, LLC, a financial consulting and advisory firm. Mr. Simon was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Starrett Corporation, a real estate construction, development and management company from March 1998 to December 1998. Prior to that, Mr. Simon was a private investor from 1996 to 1998, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Olympia & York Companies (U.S.A.) from 1985 through 1996, and a practicing CPA from 1967 through 1984. Mr. Simon serves as a director and Chairman of the Audit Committee of Avatar Holdings, Inc., a residential real estate company. Mr. Simon has a B.S. degree in Accounting from Queens College of the City University of New York.

Milton J. Walters has been the President and Chief Executive Officer of Tri-River Capital, an investment banking financial management and valuation service provider which he founded, since August 1999. Mr. Walters is currently a director of FOH Holdings. Mr. Walters is also a director of DecisionOne and Sun Healthcare Group. He has more than

40 years of investment banking experience including AG Becker and its successor Warburg Paribas Becker (1965-1984), Smith Barney (1984-1988), Prudential Securities (1997-1999) and Tri-River Capital (1988-1997 and 1999 to present). Mr. Walters is

116

---

## Table of Contents

a member of the Economics Club of New York and the National Association of Corporate Directors. He is a former Trustee of Hamilton College, Clinton, New York and Friends Academy, Locust Valley, New York.

## Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors of Movie Star

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, the board of directors met nine times and acted by unanimous written consent on two occasions. We did not hold an annual meeting of shareholders in 2006, but all of our directors attended the 2005 annual meeting of shareholders. Although we do not have a formal policy regarding director attendance at annual shareholder meetings, we attempt to schedule annual meetings so that all directors can attend. In addition, we expect our directors to attend all board and committee meetings and to spend the time needed and meet as frequently as necessary to properly discharge their responsibilities. No member of the board of directors attended fewer than 75% of the total number of meetings of the board and committees thereof upon which they served during fiscal 2007. We have standing compensation, audit and nominating committees.

## Independence of Directors

As Movie Star's common stock is listed on the American Stock Exchange, Movie Star is subject to the rules of this exchange applicable to determining whether a director is independent. The board of directors also consults with our counsel to ensure that the board's determinations are consistent with those rules and all relevant securities and other laws and regulations regarding the independence of directors. The American Stock Exchange listing standards define an "independent director" generally as a person, other than an officer of a company, who does not have a relationship with the company that would interfere with the director's exercise of independent judgment. The exchange requires that a majority of the board of directors of a company be independent, as determined by the board. Consistent with these considerations, the board of directors affirmatively has determined that, upon election to the board of directors of Movie Star on the closing of the merger, Messrs. Eisel, Lynch, Harley, Salberg, Simon, Walters and Ms. Lynch will be the independent directors of Movie Star. The other remaining directors are not independent because they are currently employed by us or will become employed by us upon the completion of the merger.

## Audit Committee Information and Report

### General

Our audit committee, which met four times during fiscal 2007, consists of Joel M. Simon (chairman), John L. Eisel and Michael Salberg, each an independent director under the American Stock Exchange listing standards. Upon consummation of the merger in accordance with the merger agreement, the members of the audit committee will be Joel M. Simon (chairman), John L. Eisel and Milton J. Walters. As required by the American Stock Exchange standards, our audit committee is and will be comprised of at least three independent directors who are also "financially literate." The American Stock Exchange standards define "financially literate" as being able to read and understand fundamental financial statements, including a company's balance sheet, income statement and cash flow statement.

### Financial Expert on Audit Committee

We must certify to the American Stock Exchange that the audit committee has, and will continue to have, at least one member who has past employment experience in finance or accounting, requisite professional certification in accounting, or other comparable experience or background that results in the individual's financial sophistication. The board of directors has determined that Joel Simon satisfies the American Stock Exchange's definition of financial sophistication and also qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert," as defined under the rules and regulations of

the SEC. Our board has determined that, following the completion of the merger, Mr. Simon will satisfy the American's Stock Exchange's definition of financial sophistication and also qualify as an "audit committee financial expert," as defined under the rules and regulations of the SEC.

117

---

## Table of Contents

## Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

In accordance with Section 10A(i) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, before we engage our independent registered public accounting firm to render audit or permitted non-audit services, the engagement is approved by the audit committee. The audit committee approved all of the fees referred to in the section below entitled “Principal Accountant Fees and Services” for fiscal 2007.

## Principal Accountant Fees

The following table summarizes the aggregate fees (rounded to the nearest \$1,000) billed to us for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 for professional services rendered by our principal accountant, Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C.

Years Ended June 30,	2007	2006	Audit Fees(1)	\$ 93,000	\$ 93,000	Audit Related Fees(2)	30,000
20,000	Tax Fees(3)	10,000	11,000	All Other Fees(4)	185,000	—	\$ 318,000
							\$ 124,000

(1)

Represents the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by our principal accountant in connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements, and review of the consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. (2) Represents the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by our principal accountant in connection with the audit of our employee benefit plans, the review of our response to Securities and Exchange Commission’s comments letter and the review of our Form 10-K/A for fiscal 2006. (3) Represents the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by our principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning. (4) Represents aggregate fees billed for due diligence related to the merger.

## Audit Committee Report for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

The audit committee reviews Movie Star’s financial reporting process on behalf of the board of directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and reporting process. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for auditing those financial statements and expressing an opinion on the fairness of the audited financial statements based on the audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

In this context, the audit committee has met and held discussions with management and the independent registered public accounting firm. Management represented to the audit committee that Movie Star’s consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, and the audit committee has reviewed and discussed the consolidated financial statements with management and the independent registered public accounting firm. The audit committee discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communication with Audit Committees). The independent registered public accounting firm also provided the audit committee with the written disclosures required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 (Independence Discussions with Audit Committees) and the audit committee discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm and management the auditor’s independence, including with regard to fees for services rendered during the fiscal year and all other professional services rendered by the independent registered public accounting firm.





## Table of Contents

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the audit committee recommended to the board of directors, and the board has approved, that Movie Star's audited financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

### Audit Committee

Joel M. Simon

Michael A. Salberg

John L. Eisel

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in our previous filings under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act that might incorporate future filings that we make under those statutes, the sections set forth above under the captions entitled "Audit Committee Information and Report" and below under the captions entitled "Compensation Committee Report" and "Stock Price Performance Graph" will not be incorporated by reference in any of those prior filings or any of our future filings.

## Code of Ethics

In September 2004, the board of directors adopted a code of ethics that applies to our directors, officers and employees as well as those of our subsidiary. The code of ethics was filed with the SEC on October 22, 2004 as Appendix A to our definitive Proxy Statement used in connection with our Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on December 6, 2004. Requests for copies of the code of ethics should be sent in writing to Movie Star, Inc., 1115 Broadway, New York, New York 10010, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

## Nominating Committee Information

### General

Our nominating committee, which held one meeting during fiscal 2007, is currently comprised of Michael Salberg and John L. Eisel, each an independent director under the American Stock Exchange listing standards. Peter Cole, who was a member of the nominating committee during fiscal 2007, resigned from the nominating committee at the time we entered into the consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners. Upon consummation of the merger in accordance with the merger agreement, the members of the nominating committee will be Michael Salberg (chairman), John L. Eisel and Thomas J. Lynch, each an independent director or expected to be an independent director under the American Stock Exchange listing standards. The nominating committee is responsible for overseeing the selection of persons to be nominated to serve on the board of directors. The nominating committee considers persons identified by its members, management, shareholders, investment bankers and others.

In September 2004, the board of directors adopted a written charter and established guidelines for selecting nominees and a method by which shareholders may propose to the nominating committee candidates for selection as nominees for directors. The nominating committee charter and guidelines were filed with the SEC on October 22, 2004 as Appendix B to Movie Star's definitive Proxy Statement.

### Guidelines for Selecting Director Nominees

The guidelines for selecting nominees generally provide that persons to be nominated should be actively engaged in business endeavors, have an understanding of financial statements, corporate budgeting and capital structure, be familiar with the requirements of a publicly traded company, be familiar with industries relevant to our business endeavors, be willing to devote significant time to the oversight duties of the board of directors of a public company,

and be able to promote a diversity of views based on the person's education, experience and professional employment. The nominating committee evaluates each individual in the context of the board as a whole, with the objective of recommending a group of persons that can best implement our business plan, perpetuate our business and represent shareholder interests. The nominating committee may require certain skills or attributes, such as financial or accounting experience, to meet specific board needs that arise from time to time. The nominating committee does not distinguish among nominees recommended by shareholders and other persons.

119

---

## Table of Contents

Pursuant to the shareholders agreement, the Fursa Managed Accounts, TTG Apparel and Tokarz Investments will agree, for a period of 18 months following the consummation of the merger, to vote their shares of common stock or direct their shares of common stock to be voted by proxy in favor of the directors who will initially serve on the board of directors immediately following the consummation of the merger (and their duly appointed successors) and take all necessary action to maintain that board of directors. See “Other Transaction Documents—Shareholders Agreement.”

## Procedure for Shareholders to Recommend Director Candidates

Shareholders and others who wish to recommend candidates to the nominating committee for consideration as directors must submit their written recommendations to the nominating committee and include all of the information described in the section “Shareholder Proposals and Nominations.”

## Indemnity Claims Committee Information

Upon consummation of the merger in accordance with the merger agreement, an Indemnity Claims Committee will be formed consisting of Joel M. Simon and Milton J. Walters (co-chairmen), each an independent director or expected to be an independent director under the American Stock Exchange listing standards. The Indemnity Claims Committee will be responsible for making determinations regarding pursuing and responding to indemnification claims under the merger agreement.

120

---

## Table of Contents

### EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

#### Compensation Discussion and Analysis

##### Introduction

Our compensation committee is currently comprised of Michael Salberg and Joel M. Simon, each an independent director under the American Stock Exchange listing standards. Peter Cole served as chairman of the compensation committee until April 2007, when we entered into the consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, the compensation committee met six times and acted by unanimous consent on three occasions.

The responsibilities of the compensation committee include:

- establishing the general compensation policy for our executive officers, including the chief executive officer;
- administering the 1994 Incentive Stock Option Plan, 1998 Senior Executive Incentive Plan, the Employee Stock Plan, the 2000 Performance Equity Plan and the Amended and Restated 1988 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan; and
- determining who participates in each of these plans, establishing performance goals and target payouts, and determining specific grants and bonus awards to the participants.

##### General Philosophy

Our compensation policies, established by our compensation committee, are generally designed to provide competitive levels of compensation that integrate pay with Movie Star's annual performance and long-term business objectives, reward above average corporate performance, recognize individual initiative and achievements, and assist us in attracting, motivating and retaining highly qualified executives.

The compensation committee makes all final determinations with respect to compensation of executive officers based on its assessment of the value of each executive's contribution, the results of recent past fiscal years in light of prevailing business conditions, our goals for the ensuing fiscal year and, to a lesser extent, prevailing compensation levels at companies considered to be comparable to our company. Our compensation committee considers recommendations from our chief executive officer relating to the compensation of our other executive officers, but the chief executive officer does not make recommendations regarding his own compensation. Executive officers other than our chief executive officer generally are not involved in determining executive compensation.

We generally provide our executive officers with a compensation package consisting of a base salary, performance-based cash compensation and long-term compensation in the form of stock options and other stock-based awards. We also offer them participation in benefit plans generally available to other employees, as well as enhanced benefits such as life insurance, long-term disability insurance and an automobile allowance. We view the three components of executive compensation as related but distinct and maintain a philosophy that significant compensation derived from one component should not negate or reduce compensation from other components. In setting total compensation, the compensation committee considers individual and company performance, as well as market information regarding compensation paid by other companies in our industry. While the compensation committee has not established set percentages of total compensation that are allocated to salary, performance-based compensation

and equity incentives, base salary historically has comprised a greater portion of an executive's overall compensation, which is in line with industry practice.

From time to time, our compensation committee also may utilize the services of third parties, including subscriptions to executive compensation surveys and other databases, to assist with their review of compensation for executive officers. Our compensation committee is charged with performing an annual review of the compensation of our executive officers to determine whether they are provided with adequate incentives and motivation, and whether they are compensated appropriately in accordance with our compensation policies.

121

---

## Table of Contents

### Benchmarking

Our compensation committee has not benchmarked executive compensation against peer group companies. If the compensation committee determines to engage in benchmarking in the future, it is expected that any companies chosen for inclusion in any benchmarking group would have some business characteristics similar to ours, such as revenues, financial growth metrics, stage of development, employee headcount and market capitalization.

### Compensation Components

**Base Salary.** Generally, executive base salaries are established by the compensation committee based on its assessment of the value of each executive's contribution and relative responsibilities and, to a lesser extent, prevailing compensation levels at companies considered to be comparable to our company. We seek to maintain base salary amounts at or near industry norms while avoiding paying amounts in excess of what we believe are necessary to motivate executives to meet corporate goals. Base salaries are generally reviewed annually, subject to terms of employment agreements, and our compensation committee will seek to adjust base salary amounts to realign such salaries with industry norms after taking into account individual responsibilities, performance and experience.

During fiscal 2007, we entered into new employment agreements with each of Melvyn Knigin, our Chief Executive Officer, Saul Pomerantz, our Chief Operating Officer, and Thomas Rende, our Chief Financial Officer. We collectively refer to these three executives as our "named executive officers." Following an assessment of the contributions to our company by Messrs. Pomerantz and Rende and their respective current and anticipated future responsibilities, the compensation committee increased their annual base salaries as part of their extended employment terms. Due to Mr. Knigin's decision to transition to retirement and our desire to continue his employment through the transition period, the compensation committee determined to keep Mr. Knigin's annual base compensation for fiscal years 2007 through 2009 at the same level and then set his annual base compensation for fiscal years 2010 and 2011 to reflect both reduced responsibilities and a three-day-a-week schedule. Base salaries for the named executive officers for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table below.

**Bonuses.** A component of each executive officer's potential short-term incentive compensation may take the form of a performance-based bonus. Bonus compensation to executive officers is primarily based upon our financial performance; however, in its discretion, the compensation committee also may award bonuses outside of this plan for individual performance based on each executive's relative position, responsibilities and contributions to our company.

Awards based upon our financial performance are made under our 1998 Senior Executive Incentive Plan, pursuant to which the compensation committee has the discretion to award cash compensation to senior executives up to an aggregate amount not to exceed 6.75% of net income before taxes over the base amount of \$1,200,000. In fiscal 2007, our three executive officers were awarded an aggregate of 5.25% of net income before taxes (and excluding merger-related fees) over \$1,200,000 and less than \$3,200,000 and were awarded an aggregate of 6.75% of net income before taxes (and excluding merger-related fees) in excess of \$3,200,000. Since the adoption of the plan in 1998, the compensation committee has awarded our executive officers participating in the plan the maximum amount permitted to be awarded based upon our pre-tax net income over the base amount.

Pursuant to their respective employment agreements, each of our named executive officers is entitled to a specified percentage of the amount available under the 1998 Senior Executive Incentive Plan. The individual percentages are determined in the discretion of the compensation committee based upon each executive officer's relative rank and level of responsibility. See Footnote 3 to the Summary Compensation Table below for a breakdown of the percentages. Awards to our named executive officers for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007 under the 1998 Senior Executive

Incentive Plan are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table below. Our named executive officers did not receive any bonuses in addition to those awarded under the 1998 Senior Executive Plan in fiscal 2007.

Long-Term Incentives. Long-term incentives are provided through equity awards, which reward executives and other employees through the growth in value of our stock. The compensation committee

122

---



## Table of Contents

believes that employee equity ownership provides an incentive for employees to build shareholder value and serves to align the interests of employees with those of our shareholders. Grants of stock options and stock-based awards to executive officers are based upon each officer's relative position, responsibilities and contributions to Movie Star, with primary weight given to the individual's relative rank and responsibilities. Stock options are generally granted at an exercise price equal to or greater than the market price of our common stock on the date of grant and will provide value only to the extent that the price of our common stock increases over the exercise price. In order to provide an incentive for continued employment, stock options granted under our equity plans generally vest 20% on each of the first through fifth anniversaries of the date of grant, and generally expire ten years from the date of grant. This allows us to align long-term compensation with the appreciation of our stock price and the long-term performance of our company while effectively managing potential dilution.

Equity awards are granted under our Amended and Restated 1988 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan and 2000 Performance Equity Plan.

- The 1988

Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan. This plan provides for grants of stock options to key management personnel. Grants may be made to such individuals upon their employment or at subsequent dates based on recommendations made by the chief executive officer to the compensation committee. To the extent permitted under the plan, the compensation committee has authority to determine the participants, allotment of shares, price and other conditions tied to awards.

During fiscal 2007, in connection with entering into employment agreements to continue their employment with Movie Star, we granted 500,000 options to Melvyn Knigin, 50,000 options to Saul Pomerantz and 150,000 options to Thomas Rende under the 1988 plan. The options granted to Messrs. Pomerantz and Rende contain the typical five-year vesting and ten-year expiration provisions. Due to Mr. Knigin's decision to transition toward retirement, his options vest 25% on each of the grant date, the six-month anniversary of the grant date, the first anniversary of the grant date and the second anniversary of the grant date.

- The 2000

Performance Equity Plan. This plan generally provides for grants of stock options, restricted stock awards and other stock-based awards to our employees, officers, directors and consultants. Grants may be made to such individuals upon their employment or at subsequent dates based on recommendations made by the chief executive officer to the compensation committee. To the extent permitted under the provisions of the plan, the compensation committee has authority to determine the participants, allotment of shares, price and other conditions tied to awards.

During fiscal 2007, we did not grant any stock options to executive officers under the 2000 plan. However, subsequent to June 30, 2007, pursuant to the terms of Melvyn Knigin's employment agreement, we issued him 9,615 shares of restricted stock under the 2000 plan. The number of shares was determined by dividing \$25,000 by the last sale price of a share of our common stock on June 29, 2007. Provided that Mr. Knigin is employed by us on July 1, 2008, we will issue him additional shares of restricted stock, with the number of shares to be determined by dividing \$25,000 by the last sale price of a share of our common stock on such date. Both the shares issued on July 1, 2007 and the shares to be issued on July 1, 2008 will vest on June 30, 2009. The compensation committee determined to include this restricted stock grant in Mr. Knigin's compensation package in lieu of an annual base salary increase. This grant affords Mr. Knigin the opportunity to capitalize upon the growth in value of our stock.

Other Compensation. We have established and maintain various employee benefit plans, including medical, life insurance and 401(k) plans. These plans are available to all salaried employees and do not discriminate in favor of

executive officers. We also generally provide our senior executives the following additional benefits, which vary in amount and value according to the executive's position and level of responsibility as determined by the compensation committee:

- a supplemental term life insurance policy;
- a disability insurance policy providing a monthly non-taxable benefit, payable to the senior executive in the event of his or her disability;

123

---

## Table of Contents

insurance premiums covering the senior executive and his or her dependent family members; and

- payment of medical
- an automobile

allowance.

We do not have pension or other retirement benefits or any type of nonqualified deferred compensation programs for our executives or other employees other than our Retired Senior Executive Medical Plan, in which the named executive officers are eligible to participate upon the attainment of a certain age and other eligibility requirements.

## Severance benefits

We currently do not have a severance benefits plan. We may consider the adoption of a severance plan for executive officers and other employees in the future. The employment agreements with Thomas Rende and Saul Pomerantz provide for certain payments in the event of termination of their employment. For a detailed discussion of these payments, see “Potential Termination Payments.”

## Executive Equity Ownership

We encourage our executives to hold an equity interest in our company. However, we currently do not have specific share retention and ownership guidelines for our executives.

## Performance-Based Compensation and Financial Restatement

We have not considered or implemented a policy regarding retroactive adjustments to any cash or equity-based incentive compensation paid to our executives and other employees where such payments were predicated upon the achievement of certain financial results that were subsequently the object of a financial restatement.

## Tax and Accounting Considerations

**Deductibility of Compensation.** Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) limits to \$1,000,000 per officer the amount of annual compensation we may deduct when paid to the chief executive officer and the four other most highly compensated officers. The law does, however, allow us to deduct compensation over \$1,000,000 if it is “performance based” and paid under a formal compensation plan that meets the Internal Revenue Code’s requirements. The 1988 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan and the 2000 Performance Equity Plan currently do not qualify as performance-based plans in accordance with Section 162(m). However, we are seeking shareholder approval in this proxy statement to qualify the 2000 Performance Equity Plan as a performance-based compensation plan under Section 162(m). To maintain flexibility, we have no policy requiring that all executive officer compensation be fully deductible. However, our compensation committee expects that we will be able to fully deduct all fiscal 2007 compensation expense.

**Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation.** Since we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), “Share-Based Payment,” on July 1, 2005, we are required to record the fair value of share-based stock option grants as a non-cash expense for financial reporting purposes utilizing an option pricing model, in our case the Black-Scholes model, to compute the amount of expense to be recognized. We are aware of the effect that this has on our, and other companies’ reported earnings per share and, in light of the accounting and tax treatment, our compensation committee reviews whether stock option grants to our executives and directors are an efficient means of providing appropriate long-term incentives.



## Table of Contents

## Compensation Committee Report

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed with management the information contained in the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” section of this proxy statement and, based upon the review and discussions, recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

## Members of the Compensation Committee:

Michael Salberg

Joel M. Simon

## Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No officers who are directors participated in deliberations regarding executive officer compensation and there are no “interlocks” with respect to any director who serves, or for any part of fiscal 2007 served, as a member of the compensation committee.

## Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation paid to or earned by each of the named executive officers for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007:

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards \$(1)	Option Awards \$(2)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation \$(3)	All Other Compensation\$(4)	Total (\$)
Melvyn Knigin 2007 President and Chief Executive Officer	2007	\$575,000(5)	\$13,636	\$183,594	\$50,930	\$39,463	\$862,623
Thomas Rende 2007 Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2007	231,616(6)	—21,113	16,977	26,031	295,737	307,403
Saul Pomerantz 2007 Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	2007	250,115(7)	—10,325	21,220	25,743		

(1)

Represents stock-based compensation expense, as computed in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R) Share-Based Payment, (“SFAS 123(R)”), recorded during the year ended June 30, 2007 relating to restricted stock that is to be granted to Mr. Knigin on each of July 1, 2007 and July 1, 2008. Provided that Mr. Knigin is employed by us on each such date, we will issue to Mr. Knigin the number of shares of our common stock determined by dividing \$25,000 by the last sale price of a share of our common stock on each such date. All of Mr. Knigin’s shares vest on June 30, 2009. (2) Represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting

purposes during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, computed in accordance SFAS 123(R), except that, pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission relating to executive compensation disclosure, the amounts exclude the impact of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. Under SFAS 123(R), the amount recognized in fiscal year 2007 includes amounts related to options granted in prior fiscal years, as well as in fiscal year 2007. Assumptions used in the calculation of these amounts are disclosed in Note 1 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2007 included elsewhere in this proxy statement. (3) For fiscal 2007, each of the three named executive officers earned a bonus in accordance with the terms of our 1998 Senior Executive Incentive Plan, as amended. Under the 1998 Senior Executive Incentive Plan, the compensation committee has the discretion to award non-equity incentive

125

---

Table of Contents compensation to senior executives in an amount not to exceed 6.75% of net income before taxes over the base amount of \$1,200,000. The compensation earned by the named executive officers is based on a percentage of our net income before taxes and before the calculation of all compensation under the 1998 Senior Executive Incentive Plan for such fiscal year, and excludes the merger-related fees (“Net Income”). The compensation is calculated at two levels, the first level is based on Net Income in excess of \$1,200,000 and up to \$3,200,000, and the second level is based on Net Income in excess of \$3,200,000. The following table shows the percentages that Messrs. Knigin, Pomerantz and Rende are entitled to receive under their respective employment agreements:

		Named								
Executive Officer	Net Income									
	From \$1,200,000									
	to \$3,200,000									
	Net Income in Excess									
	of \$3,200,000	Melvyn Knigin	3.0%	3.75%	Thomas Rende	1.0%	1.25%	Saul Pomerantz	1.25%	1.75%

(4)

Represents payments that we made for the named executive officers as follows:

		Named									
Executive Officer	Life										
	Insurance	Long Term									
	Disability	Insurance									
	Insurance	Group									
	Health	Insurance									
	Automobile	Expenses									
	Matching	Contribution									
	Under the	401(k) Plan									
	Total	Melvyn Knigin	\$ 9,102	\$ 9,446	\$ 14,990	\$ 5,300	\$ 625	\$ 39,463	Thomas Rende		
	2,802	3,225	15,153	2,501	2,350	26,031	Saul Pomerantz	3,229	2,835	11,620	5,909
	2,150	25,743									

(5) In accordance with Mr. Knigin’s amended and restated employment agreement dated October 3, 2006, his annual base salary increased from \$550,000 to \$575,000 effective July 1, 2006. (6) In accordance with Mr. Rende’s amended and restated employment agreement dated November 28, 2006, his annual base salary increased from \$220,000 to \$240,000 effective December 1, 2006. (7) In accordance with Mr. Pomerantz’s amended and restated employment agreement dated October 13, 2006, his annual base salary increased from \$250,000 to \$280,000 effective December 1, 2006.

### Compensation Arrangements for Executive Officers

#### Current Movie Star Executive Officers

##### Melvyn Knigin

On October 3, 2006, we entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Melvyn Knigin, which provides that Mr. Knigin will continue to be employed as our President and Chief Executive Officer (or as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Movie Star division in the event of a Significant Acquisition (as defined in the employment agreement and will include the merger with FOH Holdings)) until June 30, 2009 (“Initial Term”) and will then serve as our Senior Vice President of Global Wal-Mart Corporate Sales from July 1, 2009 until June 30, 2011 (“Additional Term”). Mr. Knigin’s employment agreement provides that he will receive a base salary of \$575,000 per year during the Initial Term and a base salary of \$280,000 per year during the Additional Term. During the Initial Term, Mr. Knigin will be required to devote substantially all of his business time and attention to the performance of

his duties under the employment agreement, and during the Additional Term, he will be required to devote no less than three days per week to the performance of his duties under the employment agreement. Mr. Knigin is also entitled to receive an annual bonus during the Initial Term under our 1998 Senior Executive Incentive Plan equal to 3% of our net income before taxes and before calculation of all bonuses for each fiscal year during the Initial Term (which, if the merger is consummated, shall mean the thirteen month period ending July 26, 2008, with corresponding adjustments, and the fiscal year ending July 25, 2009), and excluding the expenses that we record for accounting purposes as transaction expenses associated with a Significant Acquisition or a proposed Significant Acquisition in accordance with Generally Accepted

126

---



## Table of Contents

Accounting Principles (“Employment Agreement Net Income”) in excess of \$1,200,000 and up to \$3,200,000, and equal to 3.75% of Employment Agreement Net Income in excess of \$3,200,000. During the Additional Term, Mr. Knigin will be entitled to receive an annual bonus equal to the excess of 1.5% (“Bonus Percentage”) of Wal-Mart Net Sales (as defined in the employment agreement) over Mr. Knigin’s annual base salary. The Bonus Percentage will be increased or decreased for each year during the Additional Term in which our gross margin for Wal-Mart Net Sales during such period exceeds or is less than the blended average gross margin for Wal-Mart Net Sales for the three fiscal years ending June 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009 (which, if the merger is consummated, shall mean the year ended June 30, 2007, the thirteen month period ending July 26, 2008 and the fiscal year ending July 25, 2009).

In addition to his base salary, we granted Mr. Knigin a ten-year option to purchase 500,000 shares of our common stock under our Amended and Restated 1988 Stock Option Plan at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share, 125,000 shares of which will vest on each of (i) the date of grant, (ii) the six-month anniversary of the date of grant, (iii) the first anniversary of the date of grant and (iv) the second anniversary of the date of grant.

Additionally, on each of July 1, 2007 and 2008, provided that Mr. Knigin is employed by us on each such date (except as otherwise set forth in the employment agreement), we will issue Mr. Knigin shares of restricted stock equal to the number of shares of our common stock determined by dividing \$25,000 by the last sale price of a share of our common stock on each such date. All such shares will vest on June 30, 2009.

Mr. Knigin’s employment agreement also provides for us to pay the premiums on a life insurance policy for him providing a death benefit of \$1,500,000 to Mr. Knigin’s designated beneficiary and a disability insurance policy for Mr. Knigin providing a non-taxable benefit of at least \$10,000 per month payable to Mr. Knigin in the event of his disability. Mr. Knigin is also entitled to participate in our group medical insurance and Retired Senior Executive Medical Plan for the duration of the term of the employment agreement. Pursuant to the employment agreement, Mr. Knigin is prohibited from disclosing confidential information about us and prohibited from seeking employment with a competitor during the term of the employment agreement and, if he terminates his own employment other than for Good Reason (as defined in the employment agreement) prior to the expiration of the term of the employment agreement or we terminate his employment for Cause (as defined in the employment agreement) prior to the expiration of the term of the employment agreement, for an additional period of two years following the date of termination. Mr. Knigin’s employment agreement does not contain any change of control provisions.

Movie Star currently has a key man insurance policy on the life of Mr. Knigin in the amount of \$5.0 million under which Movie Star is the beneficiary.

## Thomas Rende

On November 28, 2006, we entered into an employment agreement with Thomas Rende, pursuant to which Mr. Rende will continue to be employed as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer until December 31, 2009. Mr. Rende’s employment agreement provides that he will receive (i) a base salary of \$240,000 per year commencing December 1, 2006 until December 31, 2008 and \$260,000 per year from January 1, 2009 until December 31, 2009 and (ii) for each of fiscal years ending June 30, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 (pro-rated for partial year) (which, if the merger is consummated, shall mean the thirteen month period ending July 26, 2008, with corresponding adjustments, and the fiscal years ending July 25, 2009 and July 31, 2010), a bonus equal to 1.0% of Employment Agreement Net Income in excess of \$1,200,000 and up to \$3,200,000, and equal to 1.25% of Employment Agreement Net Income in excess of \$3,200,000. The employment agreement also provides that if, during the employment term, we terminate Mr. Rende without “cause” or he terminates his employment for “good reason” (as such terms are defined in the employment agreement), or if we do not continue his employment at the end of the employment term upon

substantially similar terms, we will be required to pay to him (i) his base salary through the end of the employment term, (ii) any bonus which would have become payable under his employment agreement through the end of the employment term, (iii) the insurance benefits provided in his employment agreement through the end of the employment term, (iv) the sum of \$200,000, which will

127

---

## Table of Contents

be paid in equal installments in accordance with our normal payroll procedures, so that the entire \$200,000 will be received by him by March 15th of the calendar year following the date of termination of employment and (v) medical coverage at our expense for one year commencing on either (a) the last day of the employment term if his employment is terminated during the employment term or (b) the date of termination if his employment is terminated after the end of the employment term. Mr. Rende's employment agreement also provides for us to pay the premiums on a life insurance policy for him providing a death benefit of \$1,000,000 to Mr. Rende's designated beneficiary and a disability insurance policy for Mr. Rende providing a non-taxable benefit of at least \$7,500 per month payable to Mr. Rende in the event of his disability. Mr. Rende is also entitled to participate in our group medical insurance and Retired Senior Executive Medical Plan for the duration of the term of the employment agreement. Under the agreement, Mr. Rende is prohibited from disclosing confidential information about us and employing or soliciting any of our current employees to leave our company during his employment and for a period of one year thereafter. Mr. Rende's employment agreement does not contain any change of control provisions.

Following the closing of the merger, Mr. Rende will become the Chief Financial Officer of the combined company. Accordingly, we intend to enter into a new employment agreement with Mr. Rende to become effective upon the closing of the merger. We are in negotiation with Mr. Rende regarding the terms of his new employment agreement.

## Saul Pomerantz

On October 13, 2006, we entered into an amended and restated employment agreement with Saul Pomerantz, pursuant to which Mr. Pomerantz will continue to be employed as our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer until June 30, 2009. Mr. Pomerantz's employment agreement provides that he will receive (i) a base salary of \$250,000 per year until November 30, 2006 and then a base salary of \$280,000 per year commencing December 1, 2006 until June 30, 2009, and (ii) for each of the fiscal years ending June 30, 2007, 2008 and 2009, a bonus equal to 1.25% of Employment Agreement Net Income in excess of \$1,200,000 and up to \$3,200,000, and equal to 1.75% of Employment Agreement Net Income in excess of \$3,200,000. In addition to his base salary, on October 13, 2006, we granted Mr. Pomerantz a ten-year non-qualified option to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock under our Amended and Restated 1988 Stock Option Plan at a price of \$1.00 per share, exercisable as to 10,000 shares on each of the first through fifth anniversaries of the date of grant. The employment agreement also provides that if, during the employment term, we terminate Mr. Pomerantz without "cause" or he terminates his employment for "good reason" (as such terms are defined in the employment agreement), or if we do not continue his employment at the end of the employment term upon substantially similar terms, we will be required to pay to him (i) his base salary through the end of the employment term, (ii) any bonus which would have become payable under his employment agreement through the end of the employment term, (iii) the insurance benefits provided in his employment agreement through the end of the employment term, (iv) the sum of \$200,000, which will be paid in equal installments in accordance with our normal payroll procedures, so that the entire \$200,000 will be received by him by March 15th of the calendar year following the date of termination of employment and (v) medical coverage at our expense for one year commencing on either (a) the last day of the employment term if his employment is terminated during the employment term or (b) the date of termination if his employment is terminated after the end of the employment term. Mr. Pomerantz's employment agreement also provides for us to pay the premiums on a life insurance policy for him providing a death benefit of \$1,200,000 to Mr. Pomerantz's designated beneficiary and a disability insurance policy for Mr. Pomerantz providing a non-taxable benefit of at least \$4,500 per month payable to Mr. Pomerantz in the event of his disability. Under the agreement, Mr. Pomerantz is prohibited from disclosing confidential information about us and employing or soliciting any of our current employees to leave our company during his employment and for a period of one year thereafter. Mr. Pomerantz's employment agreement does not contain any change of control provisions.

## Combined Company Executive Officers

After the merger, in accordance with the merger agreement, the newly constituted board of directors will appoint Peter Cole as Executive Chairman of the combined company, Thomas Rende as Chief

128

---

## Table of Contents

Financial Officer of the combined company, Melvyn Knigin as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Movie Star division, and Linda LoRe as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Frederick's of Hollywood division. The employment agreements for Messrs. Knigin and Rende are described above under "Current Movie Star Executive Officers" and the consulting agreement for Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC (of which Peter Cole is the sole member) and the employment agreement for Linda LoRe are described below.

### Peter Cole

On April 9, 2007, we entered into a consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, pursuant to which Performance Enhancement Partners provides us with the personal services of Peter Cole, a current member of our board of directors and the sole member of Performance Enhancement Partners, to (i) act as the lead member of our board to facilitate the timely and successful completion of the merger, the rights offering and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and (ii) serve as the Executive Chairman of the combined company following the closing of the merger until July 26, 2008. We have the option to extend the consulting agreement for up to two additional six-month periods. The consulting agreement provides for Performance Enhancement Partners to receive a base consulting fee at the annual rate of \$400,000, payable in four equal quarterly installments in arrears, the first payment having been made on April 12, 2007. For the year ending July 26, 2008, Performance Enhancement Partners will be entitled to receive an additional consulting fee of a minimum of \$100,000 in accordance with the terms of a bonus plan expected to be adopted by the compensation committee following the closing of the merger. Mr. Cole is required to devote substantially all of his business time, energies and attention to the business and affairs of Movie Star (and the combined company following the merger) in the performance of his duties under the consulting agreement.

On the closing date of the merger, we will (i) issue to Performance Enhancement Partners 100,000 shares of our common stock under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan and (ii) grant to Performance Enhancement Partners a five-year option to purchase 275,000 shares of our common stock under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the closing date of the merger. 175,000 of the shares underlying the option will vest on the date of grant and 100,000 shares will vest on July 26, 2008. We also will grant to Performance Enhancement Partners under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan five-year non-qualified options to purchase an aggregate of 100,000 shares of our common stock, with each grant of 50,000 shares to be made on the commencement date of each six month extension period, if applicable, at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the date of grant. Each grant of 50,000 shares will vest on the six-month anniversary of the commencement date of the applicable extension period. In order to issue the shares of common stock and grant the stock options to Performance Enhancement Partners in accordance with the terms of the consulting agreement, the 2000 Performance Equity Plan must be amended to increase the number of shares available for issuance thereunder, which amendment will require shareholder approval, which is being sought at the special meeting. See "Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan Proposal."

The consulting agreement provides that if the merger agreement is terminated or Performance Enhancement Partners terminates the consulting agreement for "good reason" (as defined in the consulting agreement) prior to the closing of the merger, we will pay Performance Enhancement Partners the base consulting fee through September 30, 2007, net of any additional consulting fee awarded and paid to Performance Enhancement Partners for the year ended July 28, 2007. The consulting agreement also provides that if, following the closing of the merger, we terminate Performance Enhancement Partners without "cause" or Performance Enhancement Partners terminates the consulting agreement for "good reason", we will pay to Performance Enhancement Partners the base consulting fee through July 26, 2008 or the end of the applicable extension period, as the case may be, and any additional consulting fee which would have become payable under the consulting agreement for the year ending July 26, 2008 or the applicable

extension period, as the case may be. Additionally, options that have been granted and would otherwise have vested shall immediately vest upon such termination.

129

---

## Table of Contents

## Linda LoRe

FOH Holdings entered into a three-year employment agreement effective August 1, 2004, with Ms. LoRe that outlines the terms of her employment with FOH Holdings. Under the employment agreement, Ms. LoRe serves as FOH Holdings' President and Chief Executive Officer. Ms. LoRe serves on the board of directors of FOH Holdings and reports directly to such board. Her salary was initially set at \$500,000 per year, and increases at the beginning of each fiscal year by the greater of (i) 5% or (ii) the Consumer Price Index for the Los Angeles-Long Beach metropolitan area. Ms. LoRe's current base salary is \$551,250. The employment agreement provides for a performance bonus ranging from 20% to 30% of her base salary, depending on FOH Holdings' achieving certain targeted earning projections and discretionary bonuses. Upon termination of the employment agreement by FOH Holdings for any reason other than for "cause" or by Ms. LoRe for "good reason," Ms. LoRe is entitled to a severance payment of \$250,000, in addition to any other compensation payable to her. The employment agreement automatically renews for successive 12-month periods unless earlier terminated or either FOH Holdings or Ms. LoRe gives the other notice of its or her intent to terminate the contract at least 90 days prior to the end of an employment period. The initial term of the employment agreement ended on July 28, 2007 and was automatically renewed for an additional 12-month period. FOH Holdings is currently in negotiation with Ms. LoRe regarding the terms of a new employment agreement.

## Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth information regarding awards to the named executive officers under our non-equity incentive compensation and stock option plans during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007. There can be no assurance that the Grant Date Fair Value of the Option Awards will ever be realized by the individual. The amount of these awards that was expensed is included in the Summary Compensation Table:

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)	Target (\$)	Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/sh)	Closing Market Price of Option Awards on the Grant Date (\$/sh)(2)	Grant Date Fair Value of Option Awards (\$)(3)			
Melvyn Knigin	10/03/06	50,930	500,000	(4)	1.00	0.81	235,000	Thomas Rende		
	10/13/06	16,977	150,000	(5)	1.00	0.85	75,000	Saul Pomerantz	10/13/06	21,220
	(5)	1.00	0.85	25,000						50,000

(1) Messrs. Knigin, Rende and Pomerantz's respective employment agreements each provide for non-equity incentive plan compensation payments based on the percentages described in Footnote 3 to the Summary Compensation Table above. The agreements do not provide for a threshold or maximum amount. Because the target amount is not determinable, the actual amounts earned by Messrs. Knigin, Rende and Pomerantz during fiscal year 2007 under these provisions are reflected in this table.

(2) Represents the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant.

(3) The fair value of the options was calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for each grant: risk-free interest rate 4.56%; expected life 7 years; expected volatility 57% and expected dividends of zero. The fair value generated by the Black-Scholes model may not be indicative of the future benefit, if any, that may be received by the option holder.

We account for our stock-based employee compensation arrangements under SFAS No. 123(R), which requires companies to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments, based on the grant date fair value of those awards, in the financial statements.

The 500,000 options were granted to Mr. Knigin on October 3, 2006, with a fair value calculated at \$0.47 per share. The 150,000 options and 50,000 options were granted to Messrs. Rende and Pomerantz, respectively, on October 13, 2006, with a fair value calculated at \$0.50 per share.



Table of Contents

(4) These options vest 25% on the grant date, 25% on the six-month anniversary of the grant date, 25% on the first anniversary of the grant date and 25% on the second anniversary of the grant date.

(5) These options vest 20% on each of the first through fifth anniversaries of the grant date.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table summarizes the outstanding option awards as of June 30, 2007 for each of the named executive officers:

Option Awards	Name	Number of Securities	Underlying	Unexercised	Exercisable	Options (#)	Number of Securities	Underlying	Unexercised	Un-exercisable	Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration
	Melvyn Knigin	250,000	250,000 (1)	\$ 1.00	10/02/16	Thomas Rende	35,000	—	0.63				
	11/03/08	35,000	—	1.06	02/21/10	35,000	—	0.69	06/29/10	30,000	45,000 (2)		
	1.45	12/09/14	—	150,000 (3)	1.00	10/12/16	Saul Pomerantz	75,000	—	0.63	11/03/08		
	75,000	—	1.63	11/03/08	65,000	—	1.06	02/21/10	65,000	—	0.69	06/29/10	
	20,000	30,000 (4)	1.45	12/09/14	—	50,000 (5)	1.00	10/12/16					

(1) This option vests in two equal installments of 125,000 shares on each of October 3, 2007 and October 3, 2008.

(2) These options vest in three equal annual installments of 15,000 shares beginning on December 10, 2007.

(3) These options vest in five equal annual installments of 30,000 shares beginning on October 13, 2007.

(4) These options vest in three equal annual installments of 10,000 shares beginning on December 10, 2007.

(5) These options vest in five equal annual installments of 10,000 shares beginning on October 13, 2007.

Option Exercises in Fiscal 2007

Edgar Filing: MOVIE STAR INC /NY/ - Form DEFM14A

The following table sets forth certain information concerning stock options exercised by each of the named executive officers for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007:

Option Awards Name	Number of Shares	Acquired on	Exercise (#)	Value Realized on				
Exercise \$	(1) Melvyn Knigin	—	—	Thomas Rende 50,000	\$ 83,750	Saul Pomerantz	350,000	540,750

(1) For each option exercised, the value realized upon exercise represents the closing price of our common stock on the date the option was exercised less the option exercise price, multiplied by the number of shares underlying the option exercised.

Table of Contents

Potential Termination Payments

Melvyn Knigin, Thomas Rende and Saul Pomerantz, our named executive officers, each have employment agreements with us that provide for the following potential payments in the event of their termination. All such payments will be paid in accordance with our normal payroll procedures. None of their current employment agreements contain any change of control provisions.

Melvyn Knigin

**Payment Upon Death or Disability.** In the event of Mr. Knigin’s death or termination due to “disability” (as defined in his employment agreement), he or his designated beneficiaries, as the case may be, will be entitled to receive:

- base salary through the date of death or disability;
- if death or disability occurs during the first half of the fiscal year, one-half of non-equity incentive compensation that would have become payable for the year and the entire amount of non-equity incentive compensation for the year if death or disability occurs during the second half of the fiscal year;
- all valid business expense reimbursements; and
- all accrued but unused vacation pay.

In addition, in the case of his death, Mr. Knigin’s beneficiaries will be entitled to receive proceeds from a company-paid life insurance policy provided to him in his name. We also maintain a long-term disability insurance policy for Mr. Knigin, which will provide a non-taxable benefit of at least \$10,000 per month payable to him.

**Payment Upon Involuntary Termination Without Cause or Resignation for Good Reason.** If Mr. Knigin resigns for “good reason” (as defined in his employment agreement) or is terminated by us without “cause” (as defined in his employment agreement), he will be entitled to receive the following:

- initial term base salary through the end of the initial term (June 30, 2009) if termination occurs during the initial term or additional term base salary through the end of the additional term (July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2011) if termination occurs during the additional term;
- any non-equity incentive compensation that would have become payable through the end of the initial term or the additional term, as the case may be;
- all valid business expense reimbursements; and
- all accrued but unused vacation pay.

In addition, stock options granted to and restricted stock issued or issuable to Mr. Knigin in connection with entering into his employment agreement will be issued, if not yet issued, and will continue to vest and remain exercisable as scheduled.

Thomas Rende and Saul Pomerantz

Payment Upon Death or Disability. In the event of death or termination due to “disability” (as defined in their respective employment agreements), Messrs. Rende and Pomerantz, or their designated beneficiaries, as the case may be, will be entitled to receive:

- base salary
- through the date of death or disability;
- any non-equity
- incentive compensation that would have become payable for the year in which the employment was terminated, pro-rated for the number of months worked during the fiscal year of termination;
- all valid
- business expense reimbursements; and
- all accrued but
- unused vacation pay.

Table of Contents

In addition, in the case of death, their respective beneficiaries will be entitled to receive proceeds from company-paid life insurance policies provided to them in their names. We also maintain long-term disability insurance policies for each of Messrs. Rende and Pomerantz, which will provide a non-taxable benefit of at least \$7,500 and \$4,500 per month, respectively, payable to each of them.

Payment Upon Involuntary Termination Without Cause or Resignation for Good Reason. If either of Messrs. Rende or Pomerantz resigns for “good reason” (as defined in their respective employment agreements) or is terminated by us without “cause” (as defined in their respective employment agreements), each of them will be entitled to receive the following:

through the end of the employment term (December 31, 2009 in the case of Mr. Rende and June 30, 2009 in the case of Mr. Pomerantz);

- base salary

\$200,000, payable in equal installments so that the entire amount will be received by March 15th of the calendar year following the date of termination;

- the sum of

incentive compensation that would have become payable through the end of the employment term;

- any non-equity
- life, disability

and health insurance benefits through the end of the employment term;

- continuation of

medical coverage for one year after the end of the term;

- all valid

business expense reimbursements; and

- all accrued but

unused vacation pay.

## Table of Contents

The following table reflects the amounts that would have been payable to each of the named executive officers had their employment terminated as of June 30, 2007:

Name	Benefits	Death or Disability	Involuntary Termination	Without Cause or Resignation for Good Reason	Melvyn Knigin	Base Salary	\$ — \$ 1,150,000	Non-Equity Incentive Compensation(1)
50,930	50,930	Restricted Stock	—	50,000 (2)	Accrued Vacation Pay	21,538	21,538	Total \$
72,468	\$ 1,272,468	Thomas Rende	Base Salary	\$ — \$ 620,000	Severance	—	200,000	Non-Equity
Incentive Compensation(1)	16,977	16,977	Medical Insurance	—	53,036	Disability Insurance	—	
8,063	Life Insurance	—	7,005	Accrued Vacation Pay	13,846	13,846	Total	\$ 30,823 \$
918,927	Saul Pomerantz	Base Salary	\$ — \$ 560,000	Severance	—	200,000	Non-Equity Incentive	
Compensation(1)	21,220	21,220	Medical Insurance	—	34,860	Disability Insurance	—	5,670
Life Insurance	—	6,458	Accrued Vacation Pay	18,307	18,307	Total	\$ 39,527	\$ 846,515

(1) See

Footnote 3 of the Summary Compensation Table for a detailed explanation of how the non-equity incentive compensation payment is calculated. (2) Represents \$25,000 of our common stock issued or issuable on each of July 1, 2007 and 2008, which will continue to vest as scheduled on June 30, 2009.

## Movie Star Compensation Plans

## 1998 Senior Executive Incentive Plan

In September 1998, our compensation committee adopted an incentive compensation plan. Under the 1998 Senior Executive Incentive Plan, as amended, the compensation committee has the discretion to award bonus compensation to senior executives in an amount not to exceed 6.75% of any excess pre-tax income over the base amount of \$1,200,000. An aggregate of \$89,127 was awarded under the plan to our named executive officers for fiscal 2007.

## Employee Stock Ownership Plan

We adopted an Employee Stock Ownership and Capital Accumulation Plan (“Employee Stock Plan”) as of July 1, 1983. The Employee Stock Plan is intended to comply as a stock bonus plan with the

## Table of Contents

provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended, the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982, the Deficit Reduction Act of 1984 and the Retirement Equity Act of 1984. A favorable determination letter was initially issued by the Internal Revenue Service with regard to the Employee Stock Plan in February 1985. From time to time, the Employee Stock Plan is amended as required to comply with amendments to the applicable statutes. Contributions that we make to the Employee Stock Plan are discretionary. The allocation of the contribution made in any year to eligible employees is based on their earnings. All employees over the age of 18 years who have been employed for one year are eligible to participate in the Employee Stock Plan. Participants in the Employee Stock Plan become vested after five years of employment. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007, we did not make a contribution to the Employee Stock Plan. As of November 26, 2007, the Employee Stock Plan owned 299,629 shares, or 1.8% of the outstanding shares of our common stock. Withdrawal of vested balances by participants can take place upon death, disability or early or normal retirement. Vested benefits will be paid to participants who have terminated their employment for reasons other than death, disability or early or normal retirement as quickly as possible after the third June 30th following their departure.

## Stock Option Plans

### 2000 Performance Equity Plan

On February 22, 2000, the board of directors adopted the 2000 Performance Equity Plan covering 750,000 shares of common stock under which our officers, directors, key employees and consultants are eligible to receive incentive or non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, deferred stock, stock reload options and other stock based awards. Shareholders approved the 2000 Performance Equity Plan on November 28, 2000. The 2000 Performance Equity Plan will terminate when no further awards may be granted and awards granted are no longer outstanding, provided that incentive options may only be granted until February 21, 2010. To the extent permitted under the provisions of the 2000 Performance Equity Plan, the compensation committee has authority to determine the selection of participants, allotment of shares, price and other conditions of awards. As of November 26, 2007, there were options outstanding to purchase 331,000 shares, exercisable at prices ranging from \$0.63 per share to \$1.36 per share. During fiscal 2008 (through November 26, 2007), 2007 and 2006, 2,334, 72,752 and 98,528 shares, respectively, of common stock were issued under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan to non-employee directors pursuant to our Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan. During fiscal 2007 and 2006, 55,000 and 40,000 options, respectively, were granted under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan to our employees.

We are asking our shareholders to vote on a proposal to adopt an Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan to increase the number of shares of our common stock available for issuance under the plan from 750,000 shares to 4,000,000 shares, to add a 500,000 share limit on grants to any individual in any one calendar year in order for the plan to comply with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and to make other changes to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code. The adoption of the Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan is not a condition to the merger, but the board believes that the proposed changes to the 2000 Performance Equity Plan are necessary to enable us to continue to attract and retain employees, consultants and board members of the highest caliber, and provide increased incentive for them to promote our well-being through the grant of options and other awards. See “Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan Proposal.”

Pursuant to the terms of a consulting agreement, dated April 9, 2007, with Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, upon the closing of the merger, we will (i) issue to Performance Enhancement Partners 100,000 shares of our common stock under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan and (ii) grant to Performance Enhancement Partners a five-year option to purchase 275,000 shares of our common stock under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the closing date of the merger. 175,000 of the shares underlying the

option will vest on the date of grant and 100,000 shares will vest on July 26, 2008. We also will grant to Performance Enhancement Partners under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan five-year non-qualified options to purchase an aggregate of 100,000 shares of our common stock, with each grant of 50,000 shares to be made upon the

135

---



## Table of Contents

commencement date of each six month extension period, if applicable, at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the date of grant. Each grant of 50,000 shares will vest on the six-month anniversary of the commencement date of the applicable extension period. In order to issue the shares of common stock and grant the stock options to Performance Enhancement Partners in accordance with the terms of the consulting agreement, the 2000 Performance Equity Plan must be amended to increase the number of shares available for issuance thereunder. See “Amended and Restated 2000 Performance Equity Plan Proposal.”

### 1994 Incentive Stock Option Plan

In 1994, we adopted an Incentive Stock Option Plan. Our shareholders approved the 1994 Plan on December 8, 1994. The purpose of the 1994 Plan was to enable us to attract and retain key employees by providing them with an opportunity to participate in our ownership. The compensation committee makes awards under the 1994 Plan. The 1994 Plan is intended to comply with Section 422A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. All options are granted at market value as determined by reference to the price of shares of our common stock on the American Stock Exchange. As of November 26, 2007, there were options outstanding to purchase 110,000 shares, exercisable at \$0.625 per share. Effective July 15, 2004, options can no longer be granted under the 1994 Plan.

### 1988 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan

On December 13, 1988, our shareholders approved the 1988 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan covering up to 1,666,666 shares of common stock to provide an additional continuing form of long-term incentive to selected officers. Unless terminated by the board, the 1988 Plan shall remain effective until no further options may be granted and all options granted under the 1988 Plan are no longer outstanding. As of November 26, 2007, there were options outstanding to purchase 900,000 shares, exercisable at prices ranging from \$0.625 per share to \$1.45 per share. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005, we granted 50,000 options to Saul Pomerantz and 75,000 options to Thomas Rende, two of our executive officers. No options were granted in fiscal 2006. On October 3, 2006, we granted 500,000 options to Melvyn Knigin, our Chief Executive Officer, and on October 13, 2006, we granted 50,000 options to Saul Pomerantz, our Chief Operating Officer, and 150,000 options to Thomas Rende, our Chief Financial Officer.

On September 19, 2006, our board of directors approved the Amended and Restated 1988 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan, which (i) increased the time period in which an employee terminated for any reason other than death or disability has to exercise the portion of the option which is exercisable on the date of termination from 30 days to 90 days following the date of termination; (ii) provides for continued exercisability of options after termination in the discretion of the compensation committee as set forth in the stock option agreement at the time of grant; (iii) increased the time period in which an employee terminated due to disability has to exercise the option from 180 days to one year from the date of termination; and (iv) increased the time period in which the legal representative or legatee under the will of an employee who dies within 90 days (instead of 30 days) after the date of termination of employment or while employed by Movie Star or a subsidiary has to exercise the decedent employee’s option from 180 days to one year from the date of death.

### FOH Holdings Compensation Plans

#### 2007 Management Bonus Plan

FOH Holdings’ board of directors approved a bonus plan for fiscal year 2007 of up to \$1 million for FOH Holdings’ management employees representing less than 5% of the overall employee population. \$800,000 of the bonus allotment is reserved for bonus awards based on (i) FOH Holdings meeting EBITDA targets approved in FOH

Holdings' budget for fiscal year 2007 and (ii) eligible employees meeting their individual performance goals. In addition, \$200,000 is reserved to reward for extraordinary performance on a discretionary basis. An aggregate of approximately \$845,000 was awarded to eligible employees under the plan for fiscal 2007.

Amended and Restated 2003 Employee Equity Incentive Plan

FOH Holdings adopted the 2003 Employee Equity Incentive Plan on December 1, 2003. The plan authorized FOH Holdings to issue incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options for up to

136

---

## Table of Contents

70,000 shares to its employees and officers. The plan was amended and restated as of December 1, 2006, primarily to increase the number of shares covered under the plan to 120,000 and to permit issuance of nonqualified stock options to independent directors. As of October 31, 2007, there were 120,000 options outstanding with a weighted average exercise price of \$21.27. On December 8, 2006, 58,000 options were granted, at an exercise price of \$21.91 per share, to key employees (including options granted to Ms. LoRe described below) and independent directors, on April 30, 2007, 4,500 options were granted to key employees at an exercise price of \$39.54 per share and on October 15, 2007, 10,500 options were granted to key employees at an exercise price of \$40.25 per share. Pursuant to the merger agreement, upon consummation of the merger, these options will be assumed by Movie Star and will represent options to acquire an aggregate of 2,137,370 shares of Movie Star common stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$1.19 per share.

Ms. LoRe was issued a stock option dated December 2, 2003, which entitles her to purchase up to 27,500 shares of FOH Holdings common stock at \$16.92 per share. This option is fully vested. On December 8, 2006, Ms. LoRe was issued a stock option to purchase up to 27,000 shares at \$21.91 per share. This option will vest with respect to 25% of the total shares covered by the option on the last Saturday of January each year, commencing January 26, 2008. Pursuant to the merger agreement, Ms. LoRe's options will be assumed by us upon consummation of the merger and such assumed options will entitle Ms. LoRe to receive an aggregate of 970,722 shares of our common stock upon an exercise of such options at a weighted average exercise price of \$1.09 per share.

## Compensation Arrangements for Directors

### Current Compensation Arrangements

Effective January 1, 2005, we began paying our outside directors in accordance with the terms of Movie Star's Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan. Each non-employee director currently receives (i) an annual stipend of \$20,000, payable quarterly in arrears, (ii) \$2,000 per day for board or committee meetings attended in person, regardless of the number of meetings held that day and (iii) \$1,000 per meeting for board or committee meetings attended telephonically, unless two or more teleconference call meetings are held back-to-back on the same call, in which case each non-employee director will receive \$1,000 for the entire call. Payment of the annual stipend and meeting fees are made, at the election of each non-employee director, in cash and/or shares of common stock under our 2000 Performance Equity Plan in such proportion as is determined by each non-employee director. If a non-employee director elects to be paid in stock, either in full or in part, the number of shares of common stock to be issued is determined by dividing the dollar amount of the stipend and meeting fees earned during the quarter (or a percentage thereof, if the non-employee director elects to receive stock payment in part) by the last sale price of our common stock on the last trading day of each calendar quarter in which the fees were earned.

On June 30, 2006, we entered into an agreement with two independent directors who comprise our special committee. Pursuant to the agreement, each committee member received a fee of \$7,500 per month commencing with the month ended June 30, 2006 for a period of up to four months. Following the approval of the merger agreement, our board (excluding the two independent directors) approved an extension of the payment of monthly fees to these same two independent directors through December 31, 2006.

We also pay or reimburse each non-employee director for all transportation, hotel and other expenses reasonably incurred by the non-employee director in connection with attendance at board and committee meetings against itemized reports and receipts submitted with respect to any such expenses and approved in accordance with our customary procedures.

On December 6, 2004, each of our non-employee directors received a one-time grant of non-qualified options to purchase 12,000 shares of common stock under our 2000 Performance Equity Plan, at an exercise price of \$1.36 per share, exercisable immediately and until the close of business on December 5, 2014.

137

---

## Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the compensation of our non-employee directors for the year ended June 30, 2007. Directors who are employees or consultants of our company do not receive separate compensation for their service as a director.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	(\$)	Stock Awards	(\$)(1)	All Other Compensation	(\$)	Total
Peter Cole	—\$23,000(2)	\$200,000(3)	\$223,000	John L. Eisel(4)	16,000	17,000	—33,000
Michael A. Salberg(5)	88,000	—	—88,000	Joel M. Simon(6)	73,000	14,000	—87,000

(1) Represents the dollar value of the compensation that the director elected to receive in shares of our common stock in lieu of cash compensation. (2) For the period July 1, 2006 through December 31, 2006, as compensation for his services as a director and for his attendance at board of director meetings and/or committee meetings, Mr. Cole received payments in common stock of 20,938 shares at a total value of \$23,000. (3) For the period January 1, 2007 through June 30, 2007, Mr. Cole received cash payments of \$200,000 pursuant to the terms of our consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners. Mr. Cole was also reimbursed for his reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. (4) As compensation for his services as a director and for his attendance at board of director meetings and/or committee meetings, Mr. Eisel received cash payments of \$16,000 and payments in common stock of 15,230 shares at a total value of \$17,000. Mr. Eisel was also reimbursed for his reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. (5) As compensation for his services as a director and for his attendance at board of director meetings and/or committee meetings, Mr. Salberg received cash payments of \$43,000. In addition, he received cash payments of \$45,000 for serving as a member of a special committee of our board of directors. (6) As compensation for his services as a director and for his attendance at board of director meetings and/or committee meetings, Mr. Simon received cash payments of \$28,000 and payments in common stock of 10,107 shares at a total value of \$14,000. In addition, he received cash payments of \$45,000 for serving as a member of a special committee of our board of directors.

## Compensation Arrangements Following the Closing of the Merger

Following the closing of the merger, it is anticipated that the Movie Star Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan will be amended by our board to provide for the following compensation to non-employee directors: (i) an annual stipend of \$30,000, payable quarterly in arrears, with additional annual stipends for committee chairpersons of \$5,000 for the audit committee, \$3,000 for the compensation committee and \$2,000 for the nominating committee, payable quarterly in arrears; (ii) \$2,500 per board or committee meetings attended in person, regardless of the number of meetings held that day and (iii) \$1,000 per meeting for board or committee meetings attended telephonically, unless two or more teleconference call meetings are held back-to-back on the same call, in which case each non-employee director will receive \$1,000 for the entire call. If a non-employee director elects to be paid in stock, either in full or in part, the number of shares of common stock to be issued is determined by dividing the dollar amount of the stipend and meeting fees earned during the quarter (or a percentage thereof, if the non-employee director elects to receive stock payment in part) by the last sale price of our common stock on the last trading day of each calendar quarter in which the fees were earned.

It is also contemplated that, upon the approval by our board of the amendment to the Movie Star Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan, each non-employee director will receive a one-time grant of non-qualified options to purchase 15,000 shares of common stock under our 2000 Performance Equity Plan, at an exercise price equal to the last sale price of our common stock on the date of grant, exercisable immediately and until the tenth anniversary of the date of grant.

138

---

Table of Contents

STOCK PRICE PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph compares Movie Star's performance for the five-year period ending June 30, 2007 with the performance of the NASDAQ market index and the average performance of companies comprising the Dow Jones Industry Group – DJ US Clothing & Accessories Index (Peer Group Index), which for this year numbered 68 companies. The index reflects reinvested dividends. The stock price performance shown on the graph below is not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

COMPARES 5-YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN  
AMONG MOVIE STAR, INC.,  
NASDAQ MARKET INDEX AND PEER GROUP INDEX

ASSUMES \$100 INVESTED ON JULY 1, 2002  
ASSUMES DIVIDENDS REINVESTED

139

---

Table of Contents

ADJOURNMENT PROPOSAL

In the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to adopt any of the stock issuance proposal, the increase of authorized common stock proposal, the name change proposal, the preferred stock proposal, the reverse stock split proposal or the amended and restated 2000 performance equity plan proposal, the board of directors may submit a proposal to adjourn the special meeting to a later date, or dates, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

The adoption of the adjournment proposal will require the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares of Movie Star common stock represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the special meeting.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT OUR SHAREHOLDERS VOTE “FOR” THE APPROVAL OF THE ADJOURNMENT PROPOSAL.

140

---



## Table of Contents

### OTHER INFORMATION RELATED TO MOVIE STAR

#### Business of Movie Star

##### General

Movie Star designs, manufactures (through independent contractors), imports, markets and distributes an extensive line of women's intimate apparel to mass merchandisers, specialty and department stores, discount retailers, national and regional chains and direct mail catalog marketers throughout the United States and Canada. Our products include pajamas, nightgowns, baby dolls, nightshirts, dusters, shifts, caftans, sundresses, rompers, short sets, beachwear, peignoir ensembles, robes, leisurewear, panties and daywear consisting of bodysuits, soft bras, slips, half-slips, teddies, camisoles and cami tap sets. These products are manufactured in various fabrics, designs, colors and styles depending upon seasonal requirements, changes in fashion and customer demand. Retail prices for our products range from approximately \$5.00 for products such as nightshirts, to approximately \$85.00 for products such as peignoir sets. We maintain an in-house design staff which affords us the flexibility to work with merchandise buyers on fashion design and price points. Since our incorporation in 1935, we have competed on the basis of our fashion-forward designs, the desirability of our fabrics and styles, price, quality and the reliability of our service and delivery.

In August 2004, we acquired certain assets of Sidney Bernstein & Son Lingerie, Inc., a company engaged in the design, marketing and sale of women's lingerie and related apparel and accessories. This acquisition enabled us to broaden our customer base to include discount chains and other retailers that sell similar products at lower price points than our other product lines.

The intimate apparel business for department stores, specialty stores and regional chains is divided into four selling seasons per year. For each selling season, we create a new line of products that represents our own brand name, Cinema Etoile®. Our brand name does not have widespread consumer recognition, although it is well known to our customers. We sell our brand name products primarily during these selling seasons. We also develop specific products for some of our larger accounts, mass merchandisers and national chains, and make between five and eight presentations throughout the year to these accounts. Since we do not have long-term contracts with any of our customers, our business is subject to unpredictable increases and decreases in sales depending upon the size and number of orders that we receive each time we present our products.

##### Raw Materials

We utilize a wide variety of fabrics made from natural and man-made fibers, including cotton, broadcloth, stretch terry, brushed terry, flannel, brushed flannel, nylon, polyester, spun polyester, velour, satin, tricot, jersey, fleece, jacquard, lace, stretch lace, charmeuse, chambray, microfiber, spandex and various knit fabrics. These fabrics are available in most countries in which we contract for production and are easily imported to those countries that do not have an internal supply of such materials. The sources of these materials are highly competitive with each other and we expect these competitive conditions to continue in the foreseeable future. Generally, we have long-standing relationships with our suppliers and purchase our raw materials in anticipation of orders, or as a result of need based on orders received. Our ability to purchase raw materials in high volume, together with the competitive prices offered by our suppliers, provide us with the opportunity to buy these materials at relatively low prices. In turn, we are able to take advantage of these lower prices in the pricing of our finished goods.

We are not dependent on a single source of supply for material that is not readily replaceable. However, if raw materials utilized to produce our products are damaged, destroyed or become inaccessible during the production

process as a result of, among other things, a natural disaster, work stoppage, war or other event beyond our control, due to the lead time that a supplier requires to provide our contractors with raw materials, which varies depending upon the size and type of the order, we may not be able to replace these raw materials in a timely manner, which could cause the loss or a significant delay in the completion of an order.

141

---

Table of Contents

Sourcing and Supply

All of the products that we sell are manufactured outside the United States. Contracting with foreign entities enables us to take advantage of prevailing lower labor rates. We arrange for the production of garments with suppliers on a purchase order basis and we do not have any long-term contractual arrangements with contractors. This provides us with flexibility in the selection of contractors for the future production of goods. We believe that we could replace the loss of any particular contractor in any country in which we manufacture products because we have established relationships with other contractors who are able to manufacture merchandise across all of our product categories at comparable prices.

If a contractor is unable to complete production of an order for us and we can access or replace the materials originally designated for that contractor to complete the order, we should be able to transition the order to one of our other contractors and deliver the order to our customer in a timely manner. To accomplish this, we may have to incur additional shipping expenses to move the goods from one contractor to another, overtime costs to expedite the production process to make up for any transition delays and air freight expenses to reduce transit time. However, if a contractor is unable to complete production of an order for us due to a natural disaster, work stoppage, war or other event beyond our control and we cannot access or replace the materials originally designated for that contractor to complete the order, we would not be able to arrange for the completion and delivery of the order with alternate contractors, which, in turn, would cause us to lose the revenue from that order.

In order to maximize our opportunities to obtain superior quality product at the most competitive prices with reliable and efficient service, we source our products in three different ways:

- Cut, Make and Trim (CMT) Contractors. We contract with CMT contractors to assemble the materials that we purchase from other sources.
- Finished Good Purchases. We purchase products directly from finished package manufacturers.
- Assisted Finished Good Purchases. We hire contractors to assemble the products for which these contractors purchase the fabrics with our extensive input on type and source of such fabrics and we purchase some of the trim and embellishments.

The following table shows each country from which we have (1) contracted for the assembly of products using our materials, (2) purchased finished goods, and (3) contracted for the assembly of products using fabrics purchased by the contractor with our input on the type and source of such fabrics, and the approximate percentage of our total cost of production during fiscal years 2007 and 2006 allocable to each country:

	Fiscal 2007	Fiscal 2006	CMT	Finished
Good Purchases				
Assisted Finished Good Purchases				
Total				

Purchases Assisted  
 Finished  
 Good

Purchases	Total	Bangladesh	—8%	24%	32%	—19%	11%	30%	Cambodia	—5%	5%	—1%	14%		
15%	China	—36%	—36%	—14%	4%	18%	El Salvador	8%	—8%	7%	—7%	India	—1%	—1%	—
1%	—1%	Mexico	—1%	—1%	—1%	—1%	Pakistan	—3%	—3%	Philippines	—17%	17%	—		
—25%	25%						Total	8%	46%	46%	100%	7%	39%	54%	100%

We have a representative office in the Philippines to facilitate the coordination of our production there, which was 17% of total production in fiscal year 2007 and 25% in fiscal year 2006. This office is utilized primarily for administrative and manufacturing support functions, as well as sample making and pattern making.

## Table of Contents

### Quality Control

As of October 31, 2007, we had one independent representative in Bangladesh, one independent representative in China, one employee in El Salvador, and twelve employees in the Philippines supervising the production of finished products purchased by us or assembled for us by CMT contractors in those countries and others from where we source our products. These employees and representatives assist in maintaining quality and on-time delivery. Management personnel travel to El Salvador and Asia throughout the year to monitor the performance of our offshore contractors.

### Marketing and Distribution

Our products are sold through an established sales network consisting of both in-house sales personnel and independent sales representatives. Employees in our showroom in New York City represent our company in soliciting orders nationally. In fiscal 2007, approximately 58% of our sales were made to mass merchandisers, 14% to specialty stores, 10% to department stores and 6% to discount retailers. The balance of our sales were unevenly distributed among national chain stores, regional chain stores and direct mail catalog marketers.

Our wholly-owned subsidiary, Cinejour Lingerie Inc., is a Canadian corporation that was formed in May 2004 to market and sell our products throughout Canada. We have an agreement with an independent representative to provide sales representation for us in Canada and to supervise the operations of our office there.

We generally limit the promotion of our products to cooperative advertising with our customers directed towards the ultimate retail consumer of our products. Under our license agreement with Maidenform Inc., we also engage in limited direct advertising of the Maidenform brand in the form of print ads in trade publications.

Garments are shipped directly by contractors to our warehouse in Mississippi, where they are stored and packed for distribution to our customers. We utilize a public warehouse in California to accommodate our largest customer and to reduce transit times from our contractors to meet customer deliveries. We also utilize a public warehouse in Canada to accommodate our customers there. Our overseas contractors perform sorting and packing functions to expedite delivery time to our customers, and to reduce our overall costs.

All sales have terms that generally require payment within 30 to 60 days from the date the goods are shipped. Sales are made without the right of return but, in certain instances, we may accept returns or agree to allowances. We believe this policy is in line with industry practice.

Our business is concentrated primarily with our largest customer, Wal-Mart. Sales to Wal-Mart accounted for approximately 51% of sales for fiscal 2007 and 25% of sales for fiscal 2006. No other customers accounted for 10% or more of our sales during fiscal 2007 and 2006. While we believe our relationship with Wal-Mart is good, because of the competitive nature of the intimate apparel industry and the availability of similar garments from many different sources of supply, as well as the potential for Wal-Mart to design, produce and sell its own products, it is possible that Wal-Mart could alter the amount of business it does with us. A significant decrease in business from, or the loss of Wal-Mart as a customer, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Purchasing decisions by our customers with respect to each group of our products and, in some instances, products within a group, generally are made by different buyers and purchasing departments. We believe that the loss of orders from any one buyer or purchasing department would not necessarily result in the loss of sales to other buyers or purchasing departments of those customers.

## Inventory

We maintain sufficient inventories of raw materials and finished goods to meet our production requirements and the delivery demands of our customers. As a result, we rely on our short-term line of credit to supplement internally generated funds to fulfill our working capital needs.

143

---

## Table of Contents

## Trademarks and Licenses

We have several registered trademarks, of which Movie Star®, Movie Star Loungewear®, Cinema Etoile®, Seductive Wear®, Meant To Be®, Cine Jour®, Private Property®, Heather Nicole®, Night Magic® and Cinema Studio® are material to the marketing of our products. In March 2007, we applied for the trademark, Knickers by Cinema Etoile™ and received a Notice of Allowance on October 23, 2007.

In addition to our own branded products, we design, produce and market a line of ladies' sleepwear and robes under the Maidenform® name through a licensing agreement with Maidenform Inc. Our Maidenform Collection is available to department stores, chains and high-end specialty stores nationally. We also actively market a line of sleepwear, robes and daywear under the Maidenform trademarks Sweet Nothings®, Self Expressions® and Rendezvous®.

## Imports and Import Restrictions

Transactions with our foreign contractors and suppliers are subject to the risks of doing business abroad. Our import and offshore operations are subject to restraints imposed by agreements between the United States and certain foreign countries, primarily China, in which we do business. These agreements impose quotas on the amount and type of goods that can be imported into the United States from these countries. Our imported products are also subject to United States customs duties and, in the ordinary course of business, we are from time to time subject to claims by the United States Customs and Border Protection for duties and other charges. The United States and other countries in which our products are manufactured may, from time to time, impose new quotas, duties, tariffs or other restrictions, or adversely adjust presently prevailing quotas, duty or tariff levels, which, in turn, could adversely affect our operations and ability to continue to import products at current or increased levels. We cannot predict the likelihood or frequency of any of these events occurring.

## Backlog

(in thousands, except for percentages)				Customer Backlog of			
orders at							
June 30, 2007	% of total						
orders	Backlog of						
orders at							
June 30, 2006	% of total						
orders	Increase /						
(decrease)							
from prior							
year	% of						
Increase /							
(decrease)							
from prior							
year	Wal-Mart	\$ 21,957	62.3 %	\$ 24,556	58.9 %	\$ (2,599)	(10.6)%
1,431	4.1 %	1,388	3.4 %	43	3.1 %	Other	11,395
(20.1)%	Total U.S.	34,783	98.7 %	40,207	96.5 %	(5,424)	(13.5)%
1,479	3.5 %	(1,033)	(69.8)%	Total	\$ 35,229	100.0 %	\$ 41,686
							100.0 %
							\$ (6,457)

(15.5 )%

The backlog of orders was \$35,229,000 as of June 30, 2007 and \$41,686,000 as of June 30, 2006. Orders are booked upon receipt. We believe that our current backlog is firm and will be filled by the fiscal year ended June 30, 2008. The year-over-year reduction in open orders with Wal-Mart is primarily the result of shipping an additional \$2,000,000 order for Wal-Mart in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007 instead of the first quarter of fiscal 2008. The year-over-year reduction in our backlog for our other business and Canada is primarily due to certain customers eliminating the sale of specific product categories that we previously sold to them, customers producing some of the product themselves, customers selecting competing vendors, and, industry consolidation, such as the May Company and Federated Department Stores, Inc. merger.

144

---



## Table of Contents

### Seasonality

We manufacture a wide variety of intimate apparel in many different styles and sizes and for use in all seasons and climates in the United States. Because of our product mix, we are subject to seasonal variations in sales. Historically, more than 50% of our sales have been made in the first six months of our fiscal year (i.e., the second half of the calendar year).

### Competition

The intimate apparel business is fragmented and highly competitive. The industry is characterized by a large number of small companies manufacturing and selling unbranded merchandise, and by several large companies which have developed widespread consumer recognition of the brand names associated with merchandise manufactured and sold by these companies. In addition, some of the larger retailers to whom we have historically sold our products have sought to expand the development and marketing of their own brands and to obtain intimate apparel products directly from the same or similar sources from which we obtain our products. Many of these companies have greater financial, technical and marketing resources and sourcing capabilities than we do.

Management believes that we compete on the basis of our fashion-forward designs, the desirability of our fabrics and styles, price, quality and the reliability of our service and delivery. In addition, we have developed long-term working relationships with manufacturers and agents, which presently provide us with reliable sources of supply. Because of increasing competitive pressure, we rely entirely on foreign manufacturers to produce our products and are therefore subject to risks related to foreign sourcing such as changes in import quotas, currency valuations and political conditions, among others, which could adversely affect our business. Due to our small size and resources relative to our competitors, we are limited in our ability to leverage our sourcing capabilities to achieve greater efficiencies, which could adversely impact our ability to compete.

### Employees

As of October 31, 2007, we had 307 full-time and 7 part-time employees. Of our full-time employees, approximately 11 are executive and senior management, 101 are in design, design support and sample making, 12 are in sales and sales support, 43 are administrative personnel and office support, 94 are in production, manufacturing and offshore support and 46 are in distribution and warehousing.

We have never experienced an interruption of our operations because of a work stoppage. Even though we are subject to certain seasonal variations in sales, significant seasonal layoffs are rare.

We believe our relationship with our employees to be good. We are not a party to any collective bargaining agreement with any union.

## Table of Contents

## Properties

The following table sets forth all of the facilities that we owned or leased as of June 30, 2007. In addition to the facilities described in the table, we utilize a public warehouse in California on a per-shipment basis to accommodate our largest customer and to reduce transit times from our contractors to meet customer deliveries. We also utilize a public warehouse in Canada on a per-shipment basis to accommodate our Canadian customers. We believe that our facilities, together with the utilization of public warehouses, are adequate for our current and reasonably foreseeable future needs and that our properties are in good condition and suitable for the conduct of our business.

Location	Use	Owned or Leased	Square Footage	Annual Rent	Expiration
New York, NY	Corporate Offices	Leased	11,000		
	Divisional Sales Office and Showroom				
	Production Staff and Design	Leased	8,000		
			12,000	\$1,192,000	12/2010
			31,000		180 Madison Ave.
New York, NY	Sales Office and Showroom	Leased	3,000	\$108,000	5/2011
	Manufacturing Support Office	Leased	24,000	\$8,000	11/2010
			16,000	212,000	
			2,000	29,000	
	Manufacturing Support, Sample and Pattern Making	Leased	3,800	\$19,000	4/2008
					Montreal, Canada
					Office
					—1,000
					\$10,000

Movie Star has registered its securities under the Exchange Act and has reporting obligations, including the requirement to file annual and quarterly reports with the SEC. In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, Movie Star's annual reports contain financial statements audited and reported on by Movie Star's independent registered public accounting firm. Movie Star has filed with the SEC a Form 10-K covering the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

## Legal Proceedings

There are no legal proceedings pending which we consider to be material.

## Table of Contents

### MOVIE STAR'S MANagements DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with Movie Star's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this proxy statement.

#### Overview

We are engaged in the design, manufacture, distribution and sale of women's intimate apparel to mass merchandisers, specialty and department stores, discount retailers, national and regional chains and direct mail catalog marketers throughout the United States and Canada. In fiscal 2007, approximately 58% of our sales were to mass merchandisers, 14% to specialty stores, 10% to department stores and 6% to discount retailers. The balance of our sales were unevenly distributed among national chain stores, regional chain stores and direct mail catalog marketers.

The intimate apparel industry is characterized by numerous small companies selling unbranded merchandise, and by several large companies that have developed widespread consumer recognition of the brand names associated with the merchandise sold by these companies. In addition, retailers to whom we sell our products have sought to expand the development and marketing of their own private-label brands and to obtain intimate apparel products directly from the same or similar sources from which we obtain our products. Consolidation within the retail industry has led to the creation of larger retailers that are able to source their own products rather than utilize companies like ours. Many of these companies have greater financial, technical and sourcing capabilities than we do.

In addition to sourcing and producing merchandise themselves, from time to time some of our retail customers eliminate specific product categories that we previously have sold to them or select competing vendors. Customers continuously select different vendors based not only on product design, the desirability of fabrics and styles, price, quality and reliability of service and delivery, but also on branding capabilities. We believe that retail customers producing products themselves and selecting vendors with branding capabilities are material trends that could adversely affect our sales in the future and in turn have a negative impact on our operating performance and our liquidity.

The intimate apparel business for department stores, specialty stores and regional chains is divided into four selling seasons per year. For each selling season, we create a new line of products that represents our own brand name, Cinema Etoile®. Our brand name does not have widespread consumer recognition, although it is well known by our customers. We sell our brand name products primarily during these selling seasons. We also develop specific products (private label) for our larger accounts, mass merchandisers and national chains, and make between five and eight presentations throughout the year to these accounts. We do not have long-term contracts with any of our customers and, therefore, our business is subject to unpredictable increases and decreases in sales depending upon the size and number of orders that we receive each time we present our products.

Our business is subject to seasonal variations. Consistent with what we believe is the general pattern associated with sales to the retail industry, our results of operations are somewhat more meaningful on a seasonal basis rather than on a quarterly basis. In that regard, our net sales and net earnings generally have been higher during the period from July to December (which includes our first and second fiscal quarters), during which time our customers generally increase inventory levels in anticipation of both holiday and Valentine's day sales. However, during the years that we were able to increase sales in the second half of our fiscal year (January through June) and create a more even balance between the first and second halves of our fiscal year, we increased our overall profitability. This trend is unpredictable and is primarily dependent upon the size and number of orders that we receive each time we present our products to our

larger customers.

Management considers certain key indicators when reviewing our results of operations and liquidity and capital resources. Because our results of operations are subject to seasonal variations, we review our quarterly and year to date sales revenue in conjunction with our backlog of orders to determine our total position for the year. When reviewing the sales and backlog of open orders, a material factor that we consider is the gross profit percentage. We also consider our selling, general and administrative expenses

147

---

## Table of Contents

as a key indicator in evaluating our financial performance. Inventory, accounts receivable and our outstanding borrowings are the main indicators we consider when we review our liquidity and capital resources, particularly the size and age of the inventory and accounts receivable. We review all of our key indicators against the prior year and our operating projections in order to evaluate our operating performance and financial condition.

### Merger with FOH Holdings, Inc.

On December 18, 2006, we entered into a merger agreement with FOH Holdings and Fred Merger Corp., our wholly-owned subsidiary. Under the terms of the merger agreement, Fred Merger Corp. will be merged with and into FOH Holdings, with FOH Holdings continuing as the surviving corporation as our wholly-owned subsidiary. Upon the consummation of the merger, we will change our name to Frederick's of Hollywood Group Inc.

Our board of directors unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby on the unanimous recommendation of our special committee. The special committee engaged special legal counsel and Chanin Capital, LLC to serve as its financial advisor. On December 18, 2006, Chanin Capital, LLC delivered its opinion to the special committee on which our board of directors was entitled to rely, stating that, as of the date of the opinion, the consideration to be paid by us to the holders of FOH Holdings' common stock is fair to the holders of our common stock from a financial point of view.

As a result of the merger, we anticipate issuing approximately 23.7 million shares of our common stock to the stockholders of FOH Holdings as merger consideration. Approximately 50% of FOH Holdings common stock is owned by Tokarz Investments, an affiliate of TTG Apparel, which is a current shareholder of Movie Star owning 3,532,644 shares of our common stock. The other approximately 50% of FOH Holdings common stock is owned by accounts and funds managed by and/or affiliated with Fursa Alternative Strategies.

In connection with the merger agreement, we have agreed to issue new shares of our common stock to our current shareholders upon the exercise of non-transferable subscription rights, which will raise gross proceeds of \$20,000,000. To the extent that our shareholders do not purchase, on an aggregate basis, their pro rata percentage of our common stock in the rights offering, TTG Apparel and its affiliates, including Tokarz Investments, and funds affiliated with Fursa Alternative Strategies have agreed to purchase, on an equal basis, any such shortfall.

The completion of the merger and the rights offering is subject to various conditions, including obtaining the requisite approval by our shareholders of (i) the issuance of shares of our common stock in connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and (ii) an amendment to our certificate of incorporation to (a) increase the number of authorized shares of our common stock to 200,000,000 shares and (b) authorize the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock. The merger agreement also includes customary termination provisions for both Movie Star and FOH Holdings and provides that, in connection with the termination of the merger agreement under specified circumstances relating to our receipt of a proposal that is superior to the transaction with FOH Holdings, we may be required to pay FOH Holdings a termination fee of \$300,000 plus the reimbursement of reasonable fees and expenses of FOH Holdings and its stockholders relating to the merger.

On April 9, 2007, we entered into a consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, to provide Movie Star with the personal services of Peter Cole, a current member of our board of directors, to (i) act as the lead member of our board of directors to facilitate the timely and successful completion of the merger and (ii) serve as the Executive Chairman of the combined company following the closing of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement until July 26, 2008.

On June 8, 2007, we entered into an amendment to the merger agreement with FOH Holdings and Fred Merger Corp., pursuant to which the parties agreed to, among other things, extend the termination date under the merger agreement from September 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007 and amend the form of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation to authorize the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share.

148

---

## Table of Contents

In connection with the audit of FOH Holdings' consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007, which did not include an audit of the effectiveness of FOH Holdings' internal controls over financial reporting, its independent registered public accounting firm reported to its audit committee two "material weaknesses" and other deficiencies in FOH Holdings' internal control over financial reporting, finding that:

- FOH Holdings did not perform reconciliations of significant accounts on a timely basis during the course of the year, nor did these reconciliations undergo the appropriate level of review; and
- FOH Holdings did not have adequate cut-off procedures to ensure that all costs during the year were properly recorded in the correct period.

Since reporting two material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting in connection with the audit of FOH Holdings' consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006, FOH Holdings' management has taken a number of actions to help remediate the material weaknesses, and to improve the overall control environment and internal control over financial reporting, including the following:

- the recruitment of appropriately qualified and experienced personnel to fill key financial and accounting positions;
- the implementation of regular closing processes, including the timely reconciliation of key accounts and the timely resolution of unreconciled items;
- the regular preparation and updating of supporting analyses for key accounts, particularly those relying on management's estimates; and
- the implementation of review procedures of key account analyses by finance management.

With the anticipation of appropriate personnel being in place in the first half of fiscal 2008, the following actions will continue to be addressed during fiscal 2008:

- the implementation of additional review procedures of all closing entries and account analyses;
- the documentation of reporting and control processes and procedures; and
- the documentation of support for all accounts and positions.

Although these material weaknesses remained unremediated as of July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings' management believes that it is taking appropriate actions to remediate these material weaknesses, although there can be no assurance that the remediation will be complete by the end of fiscal 2008. If these weaknesses are not adequately remediated in a timely manner, errors in financial reporting may occur and the combined company may be required to restate its financial statements.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the appropriate application of certain accounting policies, many of which require estimates and assumptions about future events and their impact on amounts reported in the financial statements and related notes. Since future events and their impact cannot be determined with certainty, the actual results will inevitably differ from our estimates. Such differences could be material to the financial statements.

Management believes the application of accounting policies, and the estimates inherently required by the policies, are reasonable. These accounting policies and estimates are constantly re-evaluated, and adjustments are made when facts and circumstances dictate a change. Historically, management has found the application of accounting policies to be appropriate, and actual results generally do not differ materially from those determined using necessary estimates.

Our accounting policies are more fully described in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. Management has identified certain critical accounting policies that are described below.

Inventory — Inventory is carried at the lower of cost or market on a first-in, first-out basis. Management writes down inventory for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory equal to the

149

---



## Table of Contents

difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand, market conditions and the age of the inventory. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required. Historically, management has found its write-down of inventory to be appropriate, and actual results generally do not differ materially from those determined using necessary estimates. Inventory reserves were \$837,000 at September 30, 2007, \$940,000 at June 30, 2007, and \$1,015,000 at September 30, 2006.

Accounts Receivable/Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Sales Discounts — Accounts receivable is net of allowance for doubtful accounts and sales discounts. An allowance for doubtful accounts is determined through the analysis of the aging of accounts receivable at the date of the financial statements. An assessment of the accounts receivable is made based on historical trends and an evaluation of the impact of economic conditions. This amount is not significant, primarily due to our history of minimal bad debts. An allowance for sales discounts is based on discounts relating to open invoices where trade discounts have been extended to customers, costs associated with potential returns of products, as well as allowable customer markdowns and operational charge backs, net of expected recoveries. These allowances are included as a reduction to net sales and are part of the provision for allowances included in accounts receivable. The foregoing results from seasonal negotiations as well as historic deduction trends, net of expected recoveries and the evaluation of current market conditions. As of September 30, 2007, June 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006, accounts receivable was net of allowances of \$1,042,000, \$700,000 and \$1,340,000, respectively. Historically, management has found its allowance for doubtful accounts and sales discounts to be appropriate, and actual results generally do not differ materially from those determined using necessary estimates. However, if the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. If market conditions were to worsen, management may take actions to increase customer incentive offerings, possibly resulting in an incremental allowance at the time the incentive is offered.

Deferred Tax Valuation Allowance — In assessing the need for a deferred tax valuation allowance, we consider future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. Since we were able to determine that we should be able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future, a deferred tax asset valuation allowance was not deemed necessary. Likewise, should we determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax asset in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax asset would be charged to income in the period such determination was made.

## Results of Operations

Three Months Ended September 30, 2007 compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2006

The following table shows each specified item as a dollar amount and as a percentage of net sales in each fiscal period, and should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this proxy statement (in thousands, except for percentages):

Three Months Ended September 30,	2007	2006	Net sales	\$ 11,939	100.0 %	\$ 18,690	100.0 %	Cost		
of sales	8,577	71.8	12,867	68.8	Gross profit	3,362	28.2	5,823	31.2	Selling, general and
administrative expenses	4,502	37.7	4,487	24.0	Merger related fees	358	3.0	539	2.9	
(Loss) income from operations	(1,498)	(12.5)	797	4.3	Interest expense	112	0.9	187	1.0	

Edgar Filing: MOVIE STAR INC /NY/ - Form DEFM14A

(Loss) income before (benefit from) provision for income taxes	(1,610)	(13.5)	610	3.3	(Benefit from)
provision for income taxes	(644)	(5.4)	244	1.3	Net (loss) income
					\$ (966)
					(8.1)%
					\$ 366
					2.0%

Percent amounts may not add due to rounding.

150

---

## Table of Contents

## Net Sales

		(in thousands, except for percentages)				Customer		Net Sales for	
the three									
months ended									
September 30,									
2007		Percent							
of total									
sales		Net Sales for							
the three									
months ended									
September 30,									
2006		Percent							
of total									
sales		Increase/							
(decrease)									
from									
prior year		Percent of							
Increase/									
(decrease)									
from									
prior year		Wal-Mart		\$ 6,005		50.3 %		\$ 9,627	
		641		5.4 %		715		3.9 %	
		(74)		(10.3)%		Other		5,270	
		(35.4)%		Total U.S.		11,916		99.8 %	
		(173)		(88.3)%		Total		\$ 11,939	
								100.0 %	
								\$ 18,690	
								100.0 %	
								\$(6,751)	
								(36.1)%	

Net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2007 were \$11,939,000 compared to \$18,690,000 in the comparable period in 2006. The decrease was primarily due to:

- a \$3,600,000 decrease in shipments to Wal-Mart. \$2,000,000 of the decrease was attributable to our shipment of an order in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007 instead of the first quarter of fiscal 2008; a comparable order in the prior year had been shipped in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 instead of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006. The remaining \$1,600,000 decrease resulted from our receipt of orders in fiscal 2008 for different programs with later shipment schedules than the fiscal 2007 orders; and

- a decrease of \$3,055,000 in shipments to other customers, including a \$173,000 reduction in shipments to Canada. The decrease was due to customers placing fewer orders with us in the current year, which resulted from certain customers eliminating the sale of specific product categories that we previously sold to them, producing some of the products themselves, selecting competing vendors, particularly those with branding capabilities, and industry consolidation, such as the May Company and Federated Department Stores merger. We have experienced similar decreases in shipments to other customers over the past few years. We believe that customers producing some products themselves and selecting vendors with branding capabilities are material trends that could adversely affect our sales in the future.

## Backlog of Open Orders

		(in thousands, except for percentages)				Customer		Backlog of	
orders at									
September 30,									
2007	% of								
total									
orders	Backlog of								
orders at									
September 30,									
2006	% of								
total									
orders	Increase/								
(decrease)									
from prior									
year	% of								
Increase/									
(decrease)									
from prior									
year									
Wal-Mart	\$ 16,097	52.8 %	\$ 18,448	55.6 %	\$ (2,351 )	(12.7 )%	Frederick's of Hollywood		
1,774	5.8 %	1,538	4.7 %	236	15.3 %	Other	12,261	40.2 %	11,917
2.9 %	Total U.S.	30,132	98.8 %	31,903	96.2 %	(1,771 )	(5.6 )%	Canada	357
1,256	3.8 %	(899 )	(71.6 )%	Total	\$ 30,489	100.0 %	\$ 33,159	100.0 %	\$ (2,670 )
									(8.1 )%

Our orders are booked upon receipt. We believe that our current backlog is firm and will be filled by the end of our fiscal year ending June 30, 2008. In addition, during October 2007 (the first month of our second fiscal quarter), we received new orders for approximately \$10,735,000 as compared to \$2,119,000 received in October 2006. This increase was due to large orders received from Wal-Mart that are scheduled for shipment during the second half of fiscal 2008 (January through June 2008). This increase in orders booked during October 2007, as compared to October 2006, brings our total shipping and open order position as of October 31, 2007 in line with our total shipping and open order position as of October 31, 2006.

## Table of Contents

### Gross Profit

The gross profit percentage decreased to 28.2% for the three-months ended September 30, 2007, from 31.2% in the same period in the prior year. The decrease in the overall margin was due to the following, each having an approximately equal impact: 1) lower sales levels, which increased the percentage of our fixed overhead production costs as they relate to sales, 2) higher allowances and markdowns in the current year as a percentage of sales and 3) a lower initial gross margin due to the current year's product mix.

As a result of differences among the accounting policies of companies in the apparel industry relating to whether certain items of expense are included in cost of sales rather than as selling expenses, the reported gross profits of different companies, including our own, may not be directly comparable. For example, we record the costs of preparing merchandise for shipment, including warehousing costs and shipping and handling costs, as a selling expense, rather than a cost of sale. Therefore, our gross profit is higher than it would be if such costs were included in cost of sales.

### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$4,502,000, or 37.7% of net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2007, as compared to \$4,487,000, or 24.0% of net sales for the same period in 2006. The increase of \$15,000 resulted primarily from increases in:

- salary and related costs of \$107,000 primarily due to additional employees hired during the first quarter of fiscal 2007 to service the anticipated increase in business with Frederick's of Hollywood and having a full quarter of salaries included in the fiscal 2008 first quarter as compared to a partial quarter of salaries included in the fiscal 2007 first quarter; and
- an increase in design and design related costs, primarily fabric samples, of approximately \$92,000 as a result of our design and merchandising teams efforts to develop additional products for our sales force to present to our customers.

These increases were partially offset by decreases in:

- shipping and shipping related costs of \$93,000 as a result of lower sales; and
- lower legal costs of \$61,000 as a result of a decrease in general corporate matters requiring services of outside legal counsel.

In connection with our previously announced merger with FOH Holdings, we incurred merger-related fees of \$358,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2007 as compared to \$539,000 for the same period in 2006. Included in merger-related fees are costs such as legal and accounting fees and financial advisory services. These fees are being expensed as a result of the merger being treated as a reverse acquisition. We also incurred an additional \$94,000 in related fees, which have been recorded as deferred issuance costs for the three months ended September 30, 2007, as these costs are directly related to the \$20,000,000 rights offering.

### Income (Loss) from Operations

For the three months ended September 30, 2007, we recorded a loss from operations of \$1,498,000 compared to income from operations of \$797,000 for the same period in the prior year. The decrease was primarily due to lower

gross margin dollars of \$2,461,000, and higher selling, general and administrative expenses of \$15,000, partially offset by lower merger-related fees in the current year of \$181,000.

Interest Income/Expense

Net interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2007 decreased to \$112,000 as compared to \$187,000 in the comparable period in 2006. This decrease was primarily due to our reduced borrowing needs. Our borrowing needs decreased as a result of lower shipments for the quarter, which required less inventory purchases.

Income Tax Provision/Benefit

As a result of our loss, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$644,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2007, as compared to an income tax provision of \$244,000 for the same period in the prior

152

---

## Table of Contents

year. Since we determined that it is more likely than not that we will be able to utilize our net operating loss carry forwards in the future, we recorded a tax benefit for the quarter ended September 30, 2007. We utilized an estimated income tax rate of 40% in both periods.

## Net (Loss)/Income

We recorded a net loss of \$966,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2007, compared to net income of \$366,000 for the same period in the prior year. The decrease was primarily due to lower gross margin dollars of \$2,461,000, as well as higher selling, general and administrative expenses of \$15,000. These negative factors were partially offset by lower merger-related fees of \$181,000 and interest costs of \$75,000, as well as an income tax benefit in the current year of \$644,000 as compared to a tax provision in the prior year of \$244,000.

## Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005

The following table shows each specified item as a dollar amount and as a percentage of net sales in each fiscal period, and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this proxy statement (in thousands, except for percentages):

Years Ended June 30,		2007	2006	2005	Net sales	\$ 63,493	100.0 %	\$ 51,639	100.0 %	\$	
58,533	100.0 %	Cost of sales	43,144	68.0 %	37,528	72.7 %	44,304	75.7 %	Gross profit		
20,349	32.0 %	14,111	27.3 %	14,229	24.3 %	Selling, general and administrative expenses					
17,434	27.5 %	16,310	31.6 %	19,024	32.5 %	Insurance recovery	—	—	(1,450)	(2.8)%	
—	—	Merger related fees	2,391	3.8 %	246	0.5 %	—	—	Gain on sale of property, plant and equipment		
(496)	(0.8)%	—	—	—	Income (loss) from operations	1,020	1.6 %	(995)	(1.9)%		
(4,795)	(8.2)%	Interest income	(5)	0.0 %	(3)	—	(1)	—	Interest expense	609	1.0 %
476	0.9 %	282	0.5 %	Income (loss) before income tax provision (benefit)	416	0.6 %	(1,468)				
(2.8)%	(5,076)	(8.7)%	Income tax provision (benefit)	270	0.4 %	(468)	(0.9)%	(1,954)			
(3.4)%	Net Income (loss)	\$ 146	0.2 %	\$ (1,000)	(1.9)%	\$ (3,122)	(5.3)%				

Percent amounts may not add due to rounding.

## Fiscal 2007 Compared to Fiscal 2006

## Net Sales

(in thousands, except for percentages)		Customer	Net Sales for
Fiscal 2007	Percent		
of total			
sales	Net Sales for		
Fiscal 2006	Percent		
of total			
sales	Increase/		

(decrease) from  
prior year Percent of  
Increase/  
(decrease)  
from prior  
year Wal-Mart \$ 32,464 51.1 % \$ 12,918 25.0 % \$ 19,546 151.3 % Frederick's of Hollywood  
3,201 5.0 % 1,211 2.3 % 1,990 164.3 % Other 26,721 42.1 % 35,624 69.0 %  
(8,903 ) (25.0 )% Total U.S. 62,386 98.2 % 49,753 96.3 % 12,633 25.4 % Canada 1,107  
1.8 % 1,886 3.7 % (779 ) (41.3 )% Total \$ 63,493 100.0 % \$ 51,639 100.0 % \$  
11,854 23.0 %  
153

---



Table of Contents

Net sales for the year ended June 30, 2007 were \$63,493,000 compared to \$51,639,000 for the comparable period in 2006. The increase was primarily due to:

- an increase of \$19,546,000 in shipments to Wal-Mart, our largest customer, which resulted from the concentrated efforts of our design and merchandising teams to present products that were most compatible with Wal-Mart's business plan and generated our receipt of additional orders to ship in fiscal 2007. Our design and merchandising teams were able to pinpoint specific product categories that they believed would represent a large portion of Wal-Mart's business plan and then concentrated their efforts on these categories as they believed they would represent a greater opportunity for us to increase our sales revenue. We continuously evaluate our areas of product concentration, however, there can be no assurance that we will continue to successfully identify and capitalize on product categories that will generate increased orders. Net sales also increased because we were able to ship an additional \$2,000,000 order for Wal-Mart in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007 instead of the first quarter of fiscal 2008; a comparable order in the prior year had been shipped in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 instead of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006; and
- an increase of \$1,990,000 in shipments to Frederick's of Hollywood, which was primarily due to the addition of design personnel to create an increased number of styles specifically for Frederick's of Hollywood.

This increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$9,682,000 in shipments to other customers, including a \$779,000 reduction in shipments to Canada. The decrease was due to a reduction in sales of closeout inventory and customers placing fewer orders with us in the current year, which resulted from certain customers eliminating the sale of specific product categories that we previously sold to them, producing some of the products themselves, selecting competing vendors, particularly those with branding capabilities, and industry consolidation, such as the May Company and Federated Department Stores merger. We have experienced similar decreases in shipments to other customers over the past few years. We believe that customers producing some products themselves and selecting vendors with branding capabilities are material trends that could adversely affect our sales in the future.

Backlog of Open Orders

(in thousands, except for percentages)		Customer	Backlog of
orders at			
June 30, 2007	% of		
total			
orders	Backlog of		
orders at			
June 30, 2006	% of		
total			
orders	Increase/		
(decrease)			
from prior			
year	% of		
Increase/			
(decrease)			
from prior			

Edgar Filing: MOVIE STAR INC /NY/ - Form DEFM14A

year Wal-Mart	\$ 21,957	62.3 %	\$ 24,556	58.9 %	\$ (2,599 )	(10.6 )%	Frederick's Of Hollywood
1,431	4.1 %	1,388	3.4 %	43	3.1 %	Other	11,395
							32.3 %
							14,263
							34.2 %
							(2,868 )
							(20.1 )%
Total U.S.	34,783	98.7 %	40,207	96.5 %	(5,424 )	(13.5 )%	Canada
							446
							1.3 %
							1,479
							3.5 %
							(1,033 )
							(69.8 )%
Total	\$ 35,229	100.0 %	\$ 41,686	100.0 %	\$ (6,457 )	(15.5 )%	

The backlog of orders was \$35,229,000 as of June 30, 2007 and \$41,686,000 as of June 30, 2006. Orders are booked upon receipt. We believe that our current backlog is firm and will be filled by the end of the fiscal year ending June 30, 2008. The reduction in open orders with Wal-Mart is primarily due to the shipment of a \$2,000,000 order in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007 discussed above. The reduction in our backlog for our other business and Canada is primarily due to the same reasons for the sales decrease discussed above.

#### Gross Profit

The gross profit percentage was 32.0 % for the year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 27.3% for the year ended June 30, 2006. The higher overall margin in fiscal 2007 resulted from a better product mix, which created a higher initial gross margin, lower allowances and markdowns, and higher sales levels, which reduced the percentage of our fixed overhead production costs as they relate to sales.

## Table of Contents

As a result of differences between the accounting policies of companies in the industry relating to whether certain items of expense are included in cost of sales rather than recorded as selling expenses, the reported gross profits of different companies, including our own, may not be directly comparable. For example, we record the costs of preparing merchandise for sale, including warehousing costs and shipping and handling costs, as a selling expense, rather than a cost of sale. Therefore, our gross profit is higher than it would be if such costs were included in cost of sales.

### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$17,434,000, or 27.5 % of net sales, for the year ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$16,310,000, or 31.6% of net sales, for the same period in 2006. This increase of \$1,124,000 resulted primarily from increases in:

- salary and related costs of \$579,000 due to an increase in the number of employees. This increase was primarily due to additional employees hired to service the anticipated increase in business with Frederick's of Hollywood;
- stock compensation expense of \$216,000 as a result of options being issued to six employees in the second quarter of fiscal 2007, three of whom are executive officers of our company;
- shipping expense and related costs of \$135,000 as a result of higher sales;
- design related costs of \$99,000 resulting from an increase in the number of samples produced; and
- a net overall increase in other general overhead expenses.

During the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2006, we resolved our insurance claim related to the physical damage to our Poplarville, Mississippi distribution facilities caused by hurricane Katrina, which resulted in a gain of \$1,450,000, net of expenses.

In connection with our proposed merger with Frederick's of Hollywood, we have incurred merger-related fees of \$2,391,000 for the year ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$246,000 for the same period last year. Included in the merger-related fees are legal fees, costs associated with our special committee's financial advisor, which included the issuance of a fairness opinion to our special committee, and accounting costs for due diligence. These fees are being expensed as a result of the merger being treated as a reverse acquisition. We also incurred an additional \$130,000, which has been recorded as deferred issuance costs in the current year as these costs are directly related to the \$20,000,000 rights offering.

We recorded a gain on the sale of property, plant and equipment of \$496,000, net of related costs, in the second quarter of fiscal 2007, which resulted primarily from the sale of our closed distribution facility in Petersburg, Pennsylvania for which we completed the sale for approximately \$683,000 in cash and recorded a gain of approximately \$482,000, net of related costs.

### Income (Loss) From Operations

We recorded income from operations of \$1,020,000 for the year ended June 30, 2007, compared to a loss from operations of \$995,000 for the same period in 2006. This improvement was primarily due to higher gross profit of

\$6,238,000 and the gain on the sale of our closed Petersburg, Pennsylvania distribution facility of \$496,000, partially offset by higher selling, general and administrative expenses of \$1,124,000, higher merger-related fees of \$2,145,000 and the insurance recovery of \$1,450,000 in the prior year.

#### Interest Income/Expense

Interest income for the year ended June 30, 2007 was \$5,000 as compared to \$3,000 for 2006.

Interest expense for the year ended June 30, 2007 was \$609,000 as compared to \$476,000 for 2006. This increase was due to higher interest rates during the current fiscal year.

#### Income Tax Provision/Benefit

We recorded an income tax provision for the year ended June 30, 2007 of \$270,000, as compared to an income tax benefit of \$468,000 for the similar period in 2006. This provision for income taxes as compared to the benefit in the prior year is due to the income in the current year as compared to the loss in the prior year.

## Table of Contents

## Net Income

We recorded net income for the year ended June 30, 2007 of \$146,000 as compared to a net loss of \$1,000,000 for the same period in 2006. This improvement was due to higher gross profit dollars of \$6,238,000 and the gain on the sale of our closed Petersburg, Pennsylvania distribution facility of \$496,000, partially offset by higher selling, general and administrative expenses of \$1,124,000, higher merger-related fees of \$2,145,000, the hurricane Katrina insurance recovery of \$1,450,000 in the prior year, higher net interest costs of \$131,000 and a tax provision in the current year of \$270,000 as compared to a tax benefit in the prior year of \$468,000.

## Fiscal 2006 Compared to Fiscal 2005

## Net Sales

		(in thousands, except for percentages)				Customer		Net Sales for		
the year ended										
June 30, 2006	% of									
total										
sales	Net Sales for									
the year ended										
June 30, 2005	% of									
total										
sales	Increase/									
(decrease)										
from prior										
year	% of									
increase/										
(decrease)										
from prior										
year										
Wal-Mart	\$ 12,918	25.0 %	\$ 11,475	19.6 %	\$ 1,443	12.6 %	Frederick's of Hollywood			
1,211	2.3 %	62	0.1 %	1,149	1,853.2 %	Other	35,624	69.0 %	46,677	79.8 %
(11,053 )	(23.7 )%	Total U.S.	49,753	96.3 %	58,214	99.5 %	(8,461 )	(14.5 )%	Canada	
1,886	3.7 %	319	0.5 %	1,567	491.2 %	Total	\$ 51,639	100.0 %	\$ 58,533	100.0 %
(6,894 )	(11.8 )%									\$

Net sales for the year ended June 30, 2006 were \$51,639,000 compared to \$58,533,000 in the comparable period in 2005.

The decrease was primarily due to:

- our decision not to bid on an order in fiscal 2006 similar to a low margin order of \$7,800,000 that was shipped to one major retailer (included in other above) in the second and third quarters of fiscal 2005. When we accepted this order, the expected gross margin was considerably lower than our historical gross margin. The costs to prepare this order for shipment and to ship the order were significantly higher than we originally anticipated resulting in a further reduction in the gross margin. These additional costs resulted from bad weather conditions, which caused a significant portion of

the merchandise to arrive late at our distribution centers from India. We also incurred overtime expenses to prepare the order for shipment and, in some instances, to meet our customer's delivery dates, some goods were shipped by air at a much higher cost from India to our distribution center and from our distribution center to our customer;

- a decrease in shipments due to customers placing fewer orders with us in the current year, which was primarily due to certain customers eliminating the sale of specific product categories that we previously sold to them, producing some of the product themselves, selecting competing vendors, particularly those with branding capabilities, and industry consolidation. We believe that customers producing product themselves and selecting vendors with branding capabilities are material trends that could adversely affect our sales.

This decrease was partially offset by:

- an increase of \$1,160,000 in sales generated from Sidney Bernstein & Son Lingerie, Inc. (SB&S) that resulted from our acquisition of SB&S in August 2004 and having eleven shipping months for fiscal 2005 as compared to twelve months in fiscal 2006. SB&S (included in the table above in the "Other" column) accounted for \$14,124,000 and \$12,964,000 of net sales for the years ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively;

- the increase in sales generated in Canada resulted from our operations in Canada beginning in late spring of 2004, which did not result in orders being shipped until December 2004, allowing for only seven shipping months in fiscal 2005 as compared to twelve shipping months in fiscal 2006;

## Table of Contents

• an increase of \$1,443,000 in shipments to Wal-Mart, which resulted from the commencement of the concentrated efforts of our design and merchandising teams to present products most compatible with Wal-Mart's business plan and generated our receipt of additional orders to ship in fiscal 2006.

## Backlog of Open Orders

(in thousands, except for percentages)				Customer Backlog of									
orders at June 30, 2006	% of total orders	Backlog of orders at June 30, 2005	% of total orders	Increase/ (decrease) from prior year	% of Increase/ (decrease) from prior year	Wal-Mart	% of Total U.S.	Frederick's of Hollywood	% of Total U.S.	Other	% of Total U.S.	Canada	% of Total U.S.
\$ 24,556	58.9 %	\$ 8,847	31.2 %	\$ 15,709	177.6 %	\$ 24,556	58.9 %	\$ 18,461	65.1 %	\$ 8,847	31.2 %	\$ 1,479	3.5 %
1,388	3.4 %	98	0.3 %	1,290	1316.3 %	1,388	3.4 %	1,388	46.7 %	1,388	96.6 %	1,479	3.5 %
(22.7) %		958	3.4 %	521	54.4 %	40,207	96.5 %	27,406	96.6 %	12,801	46.7 %	1,479	3.5 %
						\$ 41,686	100.0 %	\$ 28,364	100.0 %	\$ 13,322	47.0 %		

## Gross Profit

The gross profit percentage was 27.3% for the year ended June 30, 2006 as compared to 24.3% for the year ended June 30, 2005. The higher overall margin resulted primarily from not having the large low margin order that we shipped in the second and third quarters of fiscal 2005.

As a result of differences between the accounting policies of companies in the industry relating to whether certain items of expense are included in cost of sales rather than recorded as selling expenses, the reported gross profits of different companies, including our own, may not be directly compared. For example, we record the costs of preparing merchandise for sale, including warehousing costs and shipping and handling costs, as a selling expense, rather than as a cost of sale. Therefore, our gross profit is higher than it would be if such costs were included in cost of sales.

## Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$16,310,000, or 31.6% of net sales, for the year ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$19,024,000, or 32.5% of net sales, for the same period in 2005. This decrease of \$2,714,000 resulted from decreases in:

expense and salary related costs of \$857,000 as a result of changes in the composition of personnel;

- salary

\$639,000 due to the termination of our prior Chairman's services in connection with our consulting agreement with him;

- consulting fees of

shipping expense and shipping related costs of \$520,000 as a result of lower sales, the elimination of the SB&S distribution center in January 2005 and the Pennsylvania distribution facility in December 2005. The decisions to eliminate these two facilities were made by us to enhance our competitiveness, to reduce expenses and to improve efficiencies;

- 

related costs of \$411,000 as a result of lower purchases of sample fabrics and trims and increased usage of in-house resources related to design and artwork; and

- samples and design

reduction in other general overhead expenses.

- a net overall

In connection with our proposed merger with Frederick's of Hollywood, we incurred merger related fees of \$246,000 for the year ended June 30, 2006. Included in the merger related fees are legal fees and costs associated with our financial advisor. These fees are being expensed as a result of the merger being treated as a reverse acquisition.

During the third and fourth quarter of fiscal 2006, we resolved our insurance claim on the Poplarville, Mississippi distribution facilities, which resulted in a gain of \$1,450,000, net of expenses. A portion of the proceeds has been and will be used to replace certain portions of the facility that were damaged during hurricane Katrina.



## Table of Contents

### Loss From Operations

We recorded a loss from operations of \$995,000 for the year ended June 30, 2006, compared to a loss from operations of \$4,795,000 for the similar period in 2005. This improvement was primarily due to the insurance recovery of \$1,450,000, a reduction in selling, general and administrative expenses of \$2,714,000, partially offset by lower gross profit dollars of \$118,000 and the merger related fees of \$246,000.

### Interest Income/Expense

Interest income for the year ended June 30, 2006 was \$3,000 as compared to \$1,000 for 2005.

Interest expense for the year ended June 30, 2006 was \$476,000 as compared to \$282,000 for 2005. This increase was due primarily to higher interest rates and higher borrowing levels.

### Income Tax Benefit

We recorded an income tax benefit of \$468,000 for the year ended June 30, 2006, as compared to an income tax benefit of \$1,954,000 for the same period in 2005. The decrease in income tax benefit is due to the lower loss in fiscal 2006.

### Net Loss

We recorded a net loss for the year ended June 30, 2006 of \$1,000,000 compared to a net loss of \$3,122,000 for the same period in 2005. This improvement was due to the insurance recovery of \$1,450,000, lower selling, general and administrative expenses of \$2,714,000, partially offset by lower gross profit dollars of \$118,000, the merger related fees of \$246,000, an increase in interest expense of \$192,000 and a lower income tax benefit in the current period as compared to the same period last year of \$1,486,000.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

#### Three Months Ended September 30, 2007

#### Cash Used in Operations

Net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended September 30, 2007 was \$5,285,000, resulting primarily from:

- a net loss of \$966,000 for the three-months ended September 30, 2007;
- an increase in accounts receivable of \$2,397,000, which was due to higher sales during the last two months (August and September) of the quarter ended September 30, 2007 as compared to the last two months (May and June) of the quarter ended June 30, 2007;
- an increase in inventory of \$2,256,000, which was primarily related to higher finished goods inventory of \$1,938,000. The increase in finished goods was due to normal inventory fluctuations to fulfill order requirements subsequent to September 30, 2007; and

a non-cash increase in the deferred tax asset of \$650,000, primarily as a result of the income tax benefit that we recorded for the quarter.

These decreases in cash flow were partially offset by:

expenses of \$98,000 for depreciation and amortization and \$338,000 for the provision for sales allowances and doubtful accounts; and

- non-cash
- a net increase in

accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$659,000, which was primarily due to higher inventory requirements to fulfill customer orders.

#### Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the three-months ended September 30, 2007 consisted of \$67,000 for purchases of property, plant and equipment.

158

---

## Table of Contents

## Cash Provided by Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the three-month period ended September 30, 2007 was \$5,463,000, resulting primarily from net proceeds of short-term borrowings of \$5,477,000, partially offset by repayments of capital lease obligations totaling \$14,000.

## Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

The following table sets forth cash (used in)/provided by operating, investing and financing activities for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

(amounts in thousands)

June 30,	2007	2006	2005	Operating activities	\$ (137 )	\$ (1,267 )	\$ (3,478 )	Investing activities	\$ 464
	\$ 1,109	\$ (3,681 )		Financing activities	\$ (472 )	\$ 161	\$ 4,816	Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	
	\$ (5 )	\$ 22	\$ (6 )	Net (decrease) increase in cash	\$ (150 )	\$ 25	\$ (2,349 )		

## Cash Used in Operations

Net cash used in operating activities for the year ended June 30, 2007 was \$137,000, resulting primarily from:

- an increase in accounts receivable of \$1,928,000 due to an increase of \$2,716,000 in sales for the last two months of fiscal year 2007 as compared to the last two months of the prior fiscal year;
- a decrease in the provision for sales allowances and doubtful accounts of \$251,000 due to lower markdowns and allowances; and
- a decrease in accounts payable and other liabilities of \$1,001,000, which was primarily related to higher in-transit finished goods inventory at the beginning of the year, which was paid in the current year.

These decreases in cash flow were partially offset by:

- net income of \$146,000 for fiscal year 2007;
- non-cash expenses of \$357,000 for depreciation and amortization, stock-based compensation expenses of \$236,000 and a reduction in the deferred tax asset of \$254,000, which resulted from the current year's income and the utilization of our net operating loss carry forwards, partially offset by the gain on the sale of property, plant and equipment of \$496,000, of which \$482,000 was from the sale of our distribution center in Petersburg, Pennsylvania; the proceeds of this transaction are recorded in investing activities;
- a decrease in inventory of \$2,165,000 primarily as a result of lower finished goods inventory of \$1,846,000, which primarily resulted from lower in-transit finished goods of approximately \$1,400,000 as well as other general reductions in finished goods inventory and lower piece goods of approximately \$238,000, which resulted from less cut, make and trim production that requires the ownership of piece goods; and

• a decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$490,000, which primarily resulted from the receipt of a \$502,000 payment of the insurance receivable for Hurricane Katrina.

Net cash used in operating activities for the year ended June 30, 2006 was \$1,267,000 resulting primarily from a loss of \$2,450,000 excluding the gain on insurance recovery of \$1,450,000, an increase in accounts receivable of \$576,000 and a decrease in accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$679,000, offset by a decrease in inventory of \$2,749,000. The reduction in inventory was primarily in finished goods and was due to customer orders being scheduled to ship later in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 as compared to the first quarter of fiscal 2006, resulting in finished goods arriving later, as well as better inventory management.

159

---

## Table of Contents

## Cash Provided by Investing Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities of \$464,000 for the year ended June 30, 2007 consisted of:

• proceeds from the sales of property, plant and equipment of \$698,000, which was primarily related to the sale of our Petersburg Pennsylvania distribution center; and

• insurance proceeds of \$26,000 associated with the damage to our Poplarville, Mississippi distribution facilities from Hurricane Katrina.

These increases in cash were partially offset by purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$260,000.

For the year ended June 30, 2006, net cash provided by investing activities of \$1,109,000 consisted of insurance proceeds of \$1,424,000 associated with damage to our Poplarville, MS building from Hurricane Katrina offset by purchases of property, plant and equipment of \$315,000.

## Cash Used in/Provided by Financing Activities

The net cash used in financing activities of \$472,000 for the year ended June 30, 2007 resulted from:

• the net repayments of short-term borrowings of \$829,000; and

• the repayments of capital lease obligations of \$59,000.

These reductions in cash were partially offset by proceeds of \$416,000 from the exercise of 612,000 stock options at an average exercise price of \$0.68 per share by three current employees, one terminated employee and one director.

For the year ended June 30, 2006, net cash provided by financing activities of \$161,000 resulted from net proceeds from short-term borrowings.

## Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

To facilitate an understanding of our contractual obligations and commercial commitments, the following data is provided as of September 30, 2007 (in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period			Total	Within							
	1 Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	After 5								
Years Contractual Obligations						Note Payable(1)	\$ 9,603	\$ 9,603	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	Note
Payable Interest(2)	672	672	—	—	—	Capital Lease Obligation	102	61	41	—	—	Licensing
Agreement	48	48	—	—	—	Operating Leases	4,454	1,323	2,721	410	—	Employment
Contracts	2,804	1,283	1,311	210	—	Consulting Agreements	433	433	—	—	—	Other
Long-term Liability	84	18	41	25	—	Total Contractual Obligations	\$ 18,200	\$ 13,441	\$ 4,114			
	\$ 645	\$ —										

Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Period				Total			
Amounts							
Committed		Within					
1 Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years	After 5				
Years	Other	Commercial	Commitments	Letters of Credit	\$ 6,590	\$ 6,590	\$ — \$ —
\$ —	Total	Commercial	Commitments	\$ 6,590	\$ 6,590	\$ —	\$ —

(1) Note

Payable is a less than one-year obligation because the financial institution may demand payment at any time. Interest on outstanding borrowings is payable at a variable rate per annum, equal to the prime rate less 0.75% (our current borrowing rate at September 30, 2007 was 7.0%).

160

---

## Table of Contents

(2) Note

Payable Interest assumes that the principal amount outstanding on our line of credit is paid in full on September 30, 2008, that the principal amount to be repaid on that date will be \$9,603,000 and that the interest rate will be 7.0% (our current borrowing rate at September 30, 2007).

We have no obligations that have a provision for increased or accelerated payments.

## Note Payable

Effective June 30, 2006, we obtained a new revolving line of credit of up to \$30,000,000. This revolving line of credit and letter of credit facility expires on June 30, 2008 and is sufficient for our projected needs for operating capital and letters of credit to fund the purchase of imported goods through June 30, 2008. Direct borrowings under this line bear interest at the J.P. Morgan Chase Bank prime rate less .75 percent per annum. Availability under the line of credit is subject to our compliance with certain agreed upon financial formulas. We were in compliance with our requirements under this facility at September 30, 2007. This line of credit is secured by substantially all of our assets.

## Future Financing Requirements

For the three months ended September 30, 2007, our working capital decreased by \$1,636,000 to \$7,954,000, primarily due to our loss from operations.

We believe the available borrowing under our revolving line of credit, along with anticipated operating cash flows, will be sufficient to cover our working capital requirements through June 30, 2008. We believe that we will be able to extend or replace our current line of credit upon its expiration with a line of credit with similar terms for at least one year beyond the current expiration date, although there is no assurance that this will be the case.

We anticipate that capital expenditures for fiscal 2008 will be \$1,000,000.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not created, and are not party to, any special-purpose or off-balance sheet entities for the purpose of raising capital, incurring debt or operating our business. We do not have any arrangements or relationships with entities that are not consolidated into our financial statements that are reasonably likely to materially affect our liquidity or the availability of capital resources.

## Effect of New Accounting Standards

In July 2006, the FASB issued SFAS Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—An Interpretation of SFAS No. 109" ("FIN 48"). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity's financial statements in accordance with Statement 109 and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement disclosure of tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, on a tax return. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

We adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on July 1, 2007 and the adoption did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements. We classify any interest and penalty payments or accruals within operating expenses on the financial statements. There were no accruals of interest and penalties, nor were there any unrecognized tax benefits at the date of adoption of FIN 48. The Internal Revenue Service has reviewed our income

tax returns through the period ended June 30, 2003 and proposed no changes to our filed tax returns.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157 “Fair Value Measures” (“SFAS No. 157”). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, however it does not apply to SFAS 123R. This Statement shall be effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is encouraged, provided that the reporting entity has not yet issued financial statements for that fiscal year, including any financial statements for an interim period within that fiscal year. The provisions of this Statement should be applied prospectively as of the

161

---



## Table of Contents

beginning of the fiscal year in which this Statement is initially applied, except in some circumstances where the statement shall be applied retrospectively. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 157 to have a material impact on our financial statements.

In February 2007, FASB issued SFAS No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities,” (“SFAS No. 159”), which amends the accounting for assets and liabilities in financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 115, “Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities”. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. This statement does not affect any existing accounting literature that requires certain assets and liabilities to be carried at fair value. SFAS No. 159 is effective for the first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS No. 159 to have a material impact on our financial statements.

## Inflation

We do not believe that our operating results have been materially affected by inflation during the preceding three years. There can be no assurance, however, that our operating results will not be affected by inflation in the future.

## Seasonality

We manufacture a wide variety of intimate apparel in many different styles and sizes and for use in all seasons and climates in the United States. Because of our product mix, we are subject to seasonal variations in sales. Historically, more than 50% of our sales have been made in the first six months of our fiscal year (i.e., the second half of the calendar year).

## Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are subject to changes in the J.P. Morgan Chase Bank prime rate based on the Federal Reserve actions and general market interest fluctuations. We believe that moderate interest rate increases or decreases will not have a material impact on our results of operations, or financial position, in the foreseeable future. For the three months ended September 30, 2007, borrowings peaked during the period at \$9,603,000 and the average daily amount of borrowings was \$5,725,000.

## Imports

Transactions with our foreign manufacturers and suppliers are subject to the risks of doing business outside of the United States. Our import and offshore operations are subject to constraints imposed by agreements between the United States and the foreign countries in which we do business. These agreements often impose quotas on the amount and type of goods that can be imported into the United States from these countries. Such agreements also allow the United States to impose, at any time, restraints on the importation of categories of merchandise that, under the terms of the agreements, are not subject to specified limits. Our imported products are also subject to United States customs duties and, in the ordinary course of business we are from time to time subject to claims by the United States Customs Service for duties and other charges. The United States and other countries in which our products are manufactured may, from time to time, impose new quotas, duties, tariffs or other restrictions, or adversely adjust presently prevailing quotas, duty or tariff levels, which could adversely affect our operations and our ability to continue to import products at current or increased levels. We cannot predict the likelihood or frequency of any such events occurring.



## Table of Contents

### FOH HOLDINGS' BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### General

FOH Holdings is a privately-held company headquartered in Hollywood, California that has four subsidiaries that operate under the brand name "Frederick's of Hollywood." Frederick's of Hollywood is a mall-based specialty retailer of women's intimate apparel and related products in the United States, and a direct retailer of intimate apparel and other women's apparel through its catalog and Internet operations. Frederick's of Hollywood operates 138 stores nationwide as of October 31, 2007, operates an online store at [www.fredericks.com](http://www.fredericks.com) and, in its 2007 fiscal year mailed approximately 20 million catalogs.

Frederick's of Hollywood develops the majority of its products under its proprietary Frederick's of Hollywood® brand and various sub-brands, exclusively for sales through its retail stores, which we refer to as "Stores," and through its catalog and website, which we refer to, collectively, as "Direct." Although many aspects of the Stores and Direct divisions are distinct and operated separately, Frederick's of Hollywood believes it is able to achieve economies of scale in purchasing as well as other operating efficiencies across these two divisions. Frederick's of Hollywood's merchandising objective is to be a primary provider of its customers' special occasion and everyday intimate apparel, personal care and accessory needs.

Frederick's of Hollywood believes it is one of the world's most widely recognized intimate apparel brands. Over the past four years, Frederick's of Hollywood ranked in the top 100 brands in Women's Wear Daily's top global 100 brands. In 2006, Frederick's of Hollywood was ranked in the top 10 in consumer awareness among innerwear brands. A recent national consumer research study by Lieberman Research Worldwide measured unaided recognition of the Frederick's of Hollywood brand at over 80%. The catalog and website serve as the primary advertising vehicles for the brand. Frederick's of Hollywood maintains a consistent brand image across its Stores and Direct divisions and believes the concurrent operation of retail stores, a catalog and a website provides advantages in brand development and exposure.

#### Company History

Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc., a Delaware corporation, was incorporated in 1962 as a successor to a business founded in 1946 by Frederick Mellinger. The purpose was to design sexy, beautiful lingerie that emulated European trends in the 1940s. Frederick's of Hollywood is known for its innovative introductions into the intimate apparel market in the United States and is generally credited by the media with introducing black lingerie into the American market in 1946, the padded bra and the push-up bra in the late 1940s, the bikini in the 1950s, the thong panty in 1981 and the first water bra in the 1990s.

Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc. went public in 1969. In 1996, a financial advisor was hired to explore strategic alternatives that would include the sale of the shares owned by the founding family stockholders' trusts. After operating as a public company for over two decades, Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc. was taken private by an investor group in September 1997 that formed FOH Holdings (formerly known as Royalty Corporation) for this purpose and Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc. became a wholly-owned subsidiary of FOH Holdings. In June 2000, a new investor group purchased substantially all of the outstanding capital stock of FOH Holdings. Shortly thereafter, in July 2000, FOH Holdings (excluding its subsidiary Fredericks.com, Inc.) filed for voluntary protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. In January 2003, FOH Holdings emerged from bankruptcy.

Since its emergence from bankruptcy in January 2003, Frederick's of Hollywood has embarked on initiatives to improve the image and acceptance of the brand, align its operations and improve efficiencies. In particular, Frederick's

of Hollywood focused its merchandising and marketing efforts on targeting a younger, more affluent, fashion-conscious customer and implemented a unified, merchandise buying approach across its sales channels.

#### Growth Strategy

To build upon increased brand awareness, Frederick's of Hollywood has focused on enhancing its management team and making significant improvements in its merchandising approach, creative store

163

---

Table of Contents

design and direct marketing and customer service programs. These operational improvements, combined with Frederick's of Hollywood's continued high level of brand awareness, provided the support for the following elements of its growth strategy:

**New Store Expansion Program.** From fiscal 2003 through fiscal 2007, in order to strengthen its core business, direct its focus on selected geographic markets and maximize cash flow and working capital, Frederick's of Hollywood opened 16 new stores in Texas, Nevada, California and Florida focusing on strategic locations and favorable real estate opportunities. It closed 50 underperforming stores during this period. The new store openings were used as an opportunity to develop and test a new store design as well as to reposition the Frederick's of Hollywood® brand in the various markets. The new store design tested is the "Modern Hollywood" design used in the flagship store on Hollywood Boulevard. New mall-based stores have also been designed with the "Modern Hollywood" look and feel. While specific sites may vary in size and configuration, Frederick's of Hollywood's new "Modern Hollywood" stores feature a store design with a sexy and contemporary Modern Hollywood image and an average store size of approximately 2,300 gross square feet, with selling space representing approximately 75% of the gross square footage. With the increased access to capital, Frederick's of Hollywood expects to open approximately 50 new stores over the three years commencing with the closing of the merger. These stores will be designed with the "Modern Hollywood" design. Frederick's of Hollywood is currently reviewing leasing opportunities for the new store expansion program in its existing core geographic markets of California, Florida, Texas, Arizona and Nevada.

**Direct Marketing Strategies.** Frederick's of Hollywood has reduced its annual catalog circulation from approximately 27 million in fiscal 2006 to approximately 20 million in fiscal 2007. This reduction shifted funding from catalog circulation to the Internet in an effort to increase profitability and to focus on Frederick's of Hollywood's core customers. As a result of this initiative, since the beginning of fiscal 2006, Frederick's of Hollywood's twelve month house file (i.e., customers that have made a direct purchase in the past twelve months) increased and now totals over 660,000 names. Frederick's of Hollywood is in the process of upgrading its website, which is expected to provide additional functionality and an improved online customer experience.

Market and Products

Frederick's of Hollywood sells its merchandise primarily under the Frederick's of Hollywood® brand and sub-brands. Its customer target is women primarily between the ages of 18 and 35. According to the NPD Group/NPD Fashionworld, 2005 report, the intimate apparel industry is a \$12.6 billion industry within the U.S. with large growth potential.

Frederick's of Hollywood's primary merchandise categories consist of foundations (including bras, corsets and panties), lingerie (including daywear and sleepwear), Ready to Wear (dresses and sportswear, offered primarily in the Direct Division), fragrance and accessories (including personal care products and novelties).

The following table provides information regarding sales of Frederick's of Hollywood's products by those product categories for the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007:

				Product
Category	% of Sales	Foundations	46 %	Lingerie
				35 %
				Fragrance and Accessories
				12 %
				Ready to Wear
				7 %

Certain merchandise categories, especially in foundations and lingerie, are marketed as collections of related items to increase the average transaction value.

#### Merchandising and Product Development

Frederick's of Hollywood's product development efforts focus on satisfying customer demand for current trends and identifying new fashion trends and opportunities. In this regard, some of its employees

164

---

## Table of Contents

travel throughout the United States, Europe and Asia in an effort to identify fashion trends and new product opportunities. Those employees then work with merchandise vendors to develop products consistent with those trends and with the broad seasonal merchandising themes that are created.

**Planning and Allocation Systems.** Separate inventories are held and managed for each of the two channels: Stores and Direct. In fiscal 2005, Frederick's of Hollywood implemented new planning and allocation systems that enable it to better control purchasing, distribution and replenishment of merchandise to retail stores. New operating systems for the Direct division were also implemented to further improve inventory tracking and monitoring.

**Catalog and Website Merchandising.** Frederick's of Hollywood's merchandise planners monitor the sales performance of products offered via the catalog and website to seek to maximize profitability on a per page and per square inch basis (for catalog) and a per frame basis (for featured website items). Frederick's of Hollywood also uses its catalog and website to test new items and promotional strategies.

## Corporate Brand Marketing

Over the past five years, Frederick's of Hollywood's brand marketing group has emphasized a re-positioning of the brand as more contemporary, hip and "Modern Hollywood." Frederick's of Hollywood's primary advertising vehicles are its catalogs and website. Frederick's of Hollywood has also allocated resources to public relations activities, including supporting new product launches and new store openings and the relocation of its flagship store on Hollywood Boulevard. Recent product launches have included the following: the Hollywood Extreme Cleavage bra, the Hollywood Triple Feature bra, Seduction by Frederick's of Hollywood and the Premiere Line Solutions kit.

## Sales Channels

### Stores Division

Frederick's of Hollywood operated 138 stores as of October 31, 2007. These stores are primarily located in shopping malls in 29 states, with approximately one-third of the stores in California. Of the stores not in California approximately 40% are situated in its other key operating states, including Florida, Texas, Arizona and Nevada. Frederick's of Hollywood operates its flagship store on Hollywood Boulevard in Hollywood, California.

The new "Modern Hollywood" design in Frederick's of Hollywood's new and remodeled stores seek to maximize the sales and margin performance of its selling space while creating a unique and attractive shopping experience for its customers. Frederick's of Hollywood's retail stores range in size from 900 to 3,200 square feet. A typical store uses approximately 75% of the square footage as selling space. Frederick's of Hollywood continues to operate many of its older stores with legacy designs that evolved through the history of Frederick's of Hollywood. New stores are all designed in the "Modern Hollywood" store format. Frederick's of Hollywood may periodically elect to remodel older stores in connection with lease renewals or as other opportunities arise. It is the current intention of Frederick's of Hollywood to adopt the "Modern Hollywood" store design for any such remodeled stores. Frederick's of Hollywood relocated three stores, opened five new stores and closed six underperforming stores during fiscal 2007.

Frederick's of Hollywood's store expansion plan is to open approximately 50 new stores over the three years commencing with the closing of the merger. The majority of these stores are planned for regional shopping malls in geographic markets where Frederick's of Hollywood currently operates. New store locations are typically selected on the basis of local demographics, overall mall performance in terms of traffic, average sales per square foot and store location within the mall. These stores will be designed with the "Modern Hollywood" design.

Direct Division

Frederick's of Hollywood has an extensive history – dating back to the first catalog it produced in 1947 – of offering provocative, intimate women's apparel directly to the consumer. Today, Frederick's of Hollywood continues to market directly to consumers through its catalog and Internet operations,

165

---



## Table of Contents

including actively marketing to its house file of recent direct purchasers of Frederick's of Hollywood's products. Frederick's of Hollywood estimates that over 70% of all Direct orders are placed through the Internet. Frederick's of Hollywood currently mails five major catalogs (fall, holiday, spring preview, spring and summer) as well as several sale and re-mail catalogs to approximately 20 million households annually.

Frederick's of Hollywood began selling intimate apparel on its website, [www.fredericks.com](http://www.fredericks.com), in 1997. It is currently upgrading the website to increase its functionality and enhance the customer experience. Frederick's of Hollywood believes its upgraded website, combined with improved customer acquisition and retention capabilities, will enable [www.fredericks.com](http://www.fredericks.com) to continue to be a destination for intimate apparel online sales.

All creative and copy design for Frederick's of Hollywood's catalogs and website is coordinated by Frederick's of Hollywood's staff. Catalogs are designed approximately four months before their respective mailing dates. Photography is conducted on location or in studios to create the "Modern Hollywood" image. Printing and mailing of catalogs is performed by outside vendors. Frederick's of Hollywood mails catalogs only within the United States.

## Customer Service and Distribution

Frederick's of Hollywood operates a customer contact center, distribution center and information technology center in Phoenix, Arizona. The customer contact center provides toll-free order placement and customer services as well as email customer support services. The customer contact center is open seven days per week. Frederick's of Hollywood believes its contact center capacity is adequate to handle projected call volumes for the next several years.

The Phoenix Operations Center is a 130,000 square foot distribution facility that serves both the Stores and Direct divisions. The inventory systems and stock-keeping unit numbers for Stores and Direct are currently maintained separately. The majority of shipments received for Stores are allocated to individual stores and shipped within a few days; as part of its merchandising strategy, a portion of inventory is held in the distribution center as replenishment inventory to be distributed based on sales performance. Frederick's of Hollywood believes its distribution center capacity is adequate to meet Frederick's of Hollywood's projected sales volume for the next several years. Catalog and Internet orders are typically processed within 24 hours. In fiscal 2006, Frederick's of Hollywood switched its delivery service to United Parcel Service without significant disruption. Frederick's of Hollywood believes its distribution center's capacity is adequate to meet its projected sales volume for the next several years.

## Sourcing

Frederick's of Hollywood does not own or operate any manufacturing facilities. It generally issues purchase orders to third-party vendors for the sourcing and manufacturing of its merchandise. Orders are typically placed with vendors approximately four to six months prior to the initial sale date for new products, and approximately three months prior for reorders. Separate purchase orders are issued for Stores and Direct purchases. Frederick's of Hollywood believes its sourcing strategy allows it to maintain high quality standards, while enhancing the speed of its order fulfillment process.

In fiscal 2007, Frederick's of Hollywood purchased product from over 100 vendors. Its top ten vendors accounted for approximately 69% of the dollar value of those purchases. Movie Star accounted for approximately 6% of the dollar value of such purchases in fiscal 2007. There are two major suppliers that individually equaled or exceeded 10% of total purchases in fiscal 2007 – Montelle Intimates, Inc. (16%) and Wonder-Form Imports, Inc. (10%). Our eight non-U.S. suppliers accounted for approximately 29% of total purchases in fiscal 2007, comprised primarily of Montelle Intimates, Inc. and Wonder-Form Imports, each of which is based in Canada. Many of Frederick's of

Hollywood's U.S. and foreign suppliers purchase products from foreign sources. Although it does not have direct relationships with these downstream suppliers, Frederick's of Hollywood believes that its suppliers source primarily from China, Vietnam and the Philippines.

Although Frederick's of Hollywood has no long-term manufacturing contracts, Frederick's of Hollywood's relationships with its vendors are long-standing and several vendors have been supplying

166

---

## Table of Contents

product to Frederick's of Hollywood for over twenty years. In order to assure adequate sources for its products, Frederick's of Hollywood has developed programs with its vendors that are carefully considered and managed so as not to have any one vendor be the sole supplier of any important category. Accordingly, each of Frederick's of Hollywood's major product categories are sourced by three to four main vendors, each having the capacity to provide products equal to those of the other vendors supplying that category if the need should arise. Moreover, the Frederick's of Hollywood merchandising team is consistently in the market to test products from new sources, and to develop those sources into more important suppliers as the business grows. When the business needs have dictated, Frederick's of Hollywood has transferred product groups from vendors who are not meeting the requirements to sources that can. As a result of the careful management of its vendor programs, Frederick's of Hollywood believes it is not overly dependent on any one supplier and believes that, as its number of stores increases, there will be adequate sources to produce a sufficient supply of quality goods in a timely manner and on satisfactory economic terms.

## Information Technology

Frederick's of Hollywood maintains information technology systems to support its product development, merchandising, marketing, planning, store operations, sourcing, finance, accounting, call centers, Internet, inventory distribution and order fulfillment.

In Frederick's of Hollywood's retail stores, sales are updated daily in the merchandise reporting systems by polling sales information from each store's point of sale terminals. Through automated nightly communication with each store, sales information and payroll hours are uploaded to the host system, and stock changes are downloaded through the terminals. Frederick's of Hollywood evaluates information obtained through daily reporting to implement merchandising decisions regarding markdowns and allocation of merchandise.

## Competition

The sale of intimate apparel, personal care and beauty products is a highly competitive business with numerous competitors, including individual and chain fashion specialty stores, department stores and discount retailers. Brand image, marketing, fashion design, price, service, fashion assortment and quality are the principal competitive factors in retail store sales. Frederick's of Hollywood's Direct division competes with numerous national and regional catalog and online merchants. Image presentation, fulfillment and the factors affecting retail store sales discussed above are the principal competitive factors in catalog and online sales.

The retail industry for women's apparel, intimate apparel, beauty products and personal care products is multi-faceted and operates through various channels; primarily retail stores, catalog and e-commerce. A leading competitor is Victoria's Secret, a division of Limited Brands, which reported sales of approximately \$5.1 billion in 2006.

Frederick's of Hollywood believes it has significant competitive strengths relative to its competition because of its widely recognized brand, its presence in regional shopping malls, its direct marketing expertise and the experience of its management team. However, a number of Frederick's of Hollywood's competitors are larger and have significantly greater financial, marketing and other resources than Frederick's of Hollywood, and there can be no assurance that Frederick's of Hollywood will be able to compete successfully with them in the future. For information on the risks Frederick's of Hollywood faces from competition, see "Risk Factors—Risks Related to the Businesses of Movie Star and Frederick's of Hollywood."

## Seasonality

Frederick's of Hollywood's business is seasonal, with sales and earnings peaking during its second and third fiscal quarters, particularly driven by the November/December holiday periods and the month of February, which includes Valentine's Day. As a result of these seasonal sales patterns, Frederick's of Hollywood maintains higher inventory levels during these peak selling periods.

167

---

## Table of Contents

## Trademarks and Service Marks

Frederick's of Hollywood has a variety of trademark applications and registrations in the United States and foreign countries. Frederick's of Hollywood believes that its products and services are identified by its intellectual property. Frederick's of Hollywood has and intends to maintain its intellectual property by vigorously protecting its intellectual property against infringement.

## Employees

As of October 31, 2007, Frederick's of Hollywood had approximately 598 full-time employees and 628 part-time employees in the United States. As a result of seasonal sales patterns, Frederick's of Hollywood hires additional temporary staff at its retail stores and at its distribution and contact centers during peak sales periods. Employees are not represented by unions and are not covered by any collective bargaining agreements. Frederick's of Hollywood considers its relations with its employees to be good.

## Import and Import Restrictions

Frederick's of Hollywood engages in transactions with foreign contractors and suppliers, which are subject to the risks of doing business abroad. Its import and offshore operations are subject to restraints imposed by agreements between the United States and certain foreign countries. These agreements impose quotas on the amount and type of goods that can be imported into the United States from these countries. Imported products purchased by Frederick's of Hollywood from its vendors are also subject to United States customs duties. The United States and other countries in which Frederick's of Hollywood products are manufactured may, from time to time, impose new quotas, duties, tariffs or other restrictions, or adversely adjust presently prevailing quotas, duty or tariff levels, which could adversely affect its operations and ability to continue to purchase imported products at current or increased levels.

## Properties

Frederick's of Hollywood leases its corporate headquarters in Hollywood, California and its operations center in Phoenix, Arizona. The corporate headquarters is approximately 26,727 square feet, while the operations center has a 130,000 square foot distribution center.

The following table sets forth information, as of October 31, 2007 regarding FOH Holdings' leased facilities, excluding retail stores.

Location	Use	Owned or	Expiration				
Leased	Square Footage	Annual Rent					
of Lease		6255 Sunset Boulevard	Corporate Offices	Leased	26,727	\$ 711,171	(1)
2/28/2015	Los Angeles, CA	Storage space	73 876	month to month	Storage space	256	
3,840	month to month	27,056	\$ 715,887	5005 S. 40th Street			
Phoenix, AZ	Operations Center						
and corporate offices	Leased	130,000	\$ 826,956	9/30/2009			

(1)

Annual rent escalates in subsequent years.

Frederick's of Hollywood's 138 retail stores are located in leased facilities, primarily in shopping malls in 29 states. A substantial portion of these lease commitments consist of store leases with an initial term of ten years. The leases expire at various dates between 2007 and 2019. Rental terms for new locations often include a fixed minimum rent plus a percentage of sales in excess of a specified amount. Certain operating costs such as common area maintenance, utilities, insurance and taxes are typically paid by Frederick's of Hollywood. As a part of its normal-course operations, Frederick's of Hollywood will continue to close certain underperforming retail stores upon the expiration of such store leases. See "FOH Holdings' Business and Financial Information—Sales Channels—Stores Division."

168

---

## Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the locations of Frederick's of Hollywood's retail stores as of October 31, 2007.

Arizona	7	Massachusetts	4	Oklahoma	2	California	44	Michigan	4	Oregon	2		
Connecticut	1	Minnesota	1	Pennsylvania	2	Florida	17	Missouri	1	South Carolina	2		
Georgia	5	Nevada	5	Tennessee	2	Hawaii	1	New Hampshire	2	Texas	12	Illinois	4
New Jersey	1	Virginia	3	Indiana	1	New Mexico	1	Washington	1	Kansas	1	New York	6
Wisconsin	1	Maryland	1	Ohio	4								

Typically, when space is leased for a retail store in a mall shopping center, all improvements, including interior walls, floors, ceilings, fixtures and decorations are performed by contractors designated by Frederick's of Hollywood. The cost of improvements varies widely, depending on the design, size and location of the store. As a lease incentive in certain cases, the landlord of the property may provide a construction allowance to fund all, or a portion, of the cost of improvements.

## Legal Proceedings

In July 2004, Frederick's of Hollywood became involved in three separate purported class action lawsuits filed that individually alleged that Frederick's of Hollywood improperly classified store managers in California stores as exempt from overtime requirements, engaged in unfair competition and violated other provisions of the California Labor Code. These cases were consolidated into a single case. The basis of the complaints was the allegation that store managers in all Frederick's of Hollywood stores were improperly classified as "exempt" from overtime laws, when in fact they should have been classified as "nonexempt" and paid overtime for hours worked in excess of eight hours per day or forty hours per week. The complaints alleged that Frederick's of Hollywood forced employees to work off the clock, through meal and rest breaks, and did not pay for all time worked. Frederick's of Hollywood agreed to a settlement with respect to the California managerial employees. The parties further agreed to dismiss the hourly claims and managerial claims for non-California managers. On November 10, 2005, the court signed a Preliminary Approval Order approving the settlement and certifying a settlement class. The Final Approval Hearing on the settlement was held on January 17, 2006. Frederick's of Hollywood paid \$953,000 in full settlement of the claim in February 2006. These costs, along with the related legal costs of approximately \$218,000, were recorded as a pre-acquisition liability under purchase accounting in connection with the change of control that occurred in March 2005. No amounts were outstanding as of July 29, 2006 or July 28, 2007.

On October 12, 2006, a purported class action was filed by Dennis Luciani, the purported class action representative, against Frederick's of Hollywood and its subsidiary, Frederick's of Hollywood Stores, Inc., in the Superior Court for Los Angeles County. The complaint alleged that Frederick's of Hollywood violated certain California consumer privacy laws in requesting cardholders' telephone numbers during credit card refund transactions and recording such telephone numbers onto a form. Relief sought included certification of the lawsuit as a class action, statutory civil penalties, and attorneys' fees. Frederick's of Hollywood filed an answer denying the plaintiff's claims and asserting various defenses. In order to mitigate the cost of litigation, the plaintiff, Frederick's of Hollywood and Frederick's of Hollywood Stores, Inc. entered into a settlement agreement for the named plaintiff and the purported class, which was approved by the court on October 2, 2007. Frederick's of Hollywood does not believe that the total costs for the resolution of this legal action will exceed \$250,000. FOH Holdings reserved these costs as of July 29, 2006 and \$182,000 of such costs remain accrued at July 28, 2007.

FOH Holdings is involved from time to time in litigation incidental to its business. FOH Holdings believes that the outcome of any other litigation will not have a material adverse effect on its results of operations or financial condition.

169

---



## Table of Contents

### FOH HOLDINGS' MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with FOH Holdings' consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The consolidated financial statements of FOH Holdings include the accounts of FOH Holdings, Inc. and all of its subsidiaries: Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc., Hollywood Mail Order, LLC, Frederick's of Hollywood Stores, Inc. and Frederick's.com, Inc.

#### Overview

The sale of intimate apparel, personal care and beauty products is a highly competitive business with numerous competitors, including individual and chain fashion specialty stores, department stores and discount retailers. Brand image, marketing, fashion design, price, service, fashion assortment and quality are the principal competitive factors in retail sales.

FOH Holdings is a retailer of primarily women's apparel and related merchandise through mall-based specialty stores, mail order catalogs and the Internet. References throughout this discussion and analysis to "Direct" collectively refer to the catalog and Internet sales channels. At July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, FOH Holdings operated 133 and 134 stores, respectively. During the year ended July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings opened five new stores, closed six underperforming stores and relocated three stores. During the year ended July 29, 2006, FOH Holdings closed two stores in New Orleans as a result of damages caused by Hurricane Katrina and nine underperforming stores, opened five new stores and relocated two stores.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

In connection with the audit of FOH Holdings' consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007, which did not include an audit of the effectiveness of FOH Holdings' internal control over financial reporting, its independent registered public accounting firm reported to its audit committee two "material weaknesses" and other deficiencies in FOH Holdings' internal control over financial reporting, finding that:

- FOH Holdings did not perform reconciliations of significant accounts on a timely basis during the course of the year, nor did these reconciliations undergo the appropriate level of review; and
- FOH Holdings did not have adequate cut-off procedures to ensure that all costs during the year were properly recorded in the correct period.

Since reporting two material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting in connection with the audit of FOH Holdings' consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006, FOH Holdings' management has taken a number of actions to help remediate the material weaknesses, and to improve the overall control environment and internal control over financial reporting, including the following:

- the recruitment of appropriately qualified and experienced personnel to fill key financial and accounting positions;
- the implementation of regular closing processes, including the timely reconciliation of key accounts and the timely resolution of unreconciled items;

preparation and updating of supporting analyses for key accounts, particularly those relying on management's estimates; and

- the regular
- the implementation

of review procedures of key account analyses by finance management.

With the anticipation of appropriate personnel being in place in the first half of fiscal 2008, the following actions will continue to be addressed during fiscal 2008:

implementation of additional review procedures of all closing entries and account analyses;  
of reporting and control processes and procedures; and

- the
- the documentation

170

---

Table of Contents

of support for all accounts and positions. • the documentation

Although these material weaknesses remained unremediated as of July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings' management believes that it is taking appropriate actions to remediate these material weaknesses, although there can be no assurance that the remediation will be complete by the end of fiscal 2008. If these weaknesses are not adequately remediated in a timely manner, errors in financial reporting may occur and FOH Holdings may be required to restate its financial statements.

FOH Holdings' discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon its consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires the appropriate application of certain accounting policies, many of which require estimates and assumptions about future events and their impact on amounts reported in the financial statements and related notes. Since future events and their impact cannot be determined with certainty, the actual results will differ from FOH Holdings' estimates. Such differences could be material to the financial statements.

FOH Holdings' management believes the application of accounting policies, and the estimates required by these policies, are reasonable. These accounting policies and estimates are constantly re-evaluated, and adjustments are made when facts and circumstances dictate a change. FOH Holdings evaluates its estimates on an on-going basis, including those related to revenues, inventories, deferred catalog expenses, goodwill, intangible assets, taxes, stock-based compensation, contingencies and litigation. FOH Holdings' most significant areas of estimation and assumption are:

- of expected customer merchandise returns; • estimation
- the appropriate amount and timing of markdowns to clear unproductive or slow-moving inventory; • determination of
- timing and level of future catalog revenues; • estimation of the
- cash flows, discount rates and other underlying assumptions used to assess the carrying value of indefinite-lived intangible assets, goodwill and long-lived assets, including amortizable intangible assets; • estimation of future
- deferred income tax asset valuation allowance; and • estimation of its net
- common stock performance and determination of stock option lives. • estimation of future

Historically, FOH Holdings' management has found the application of accounting policies to be appropriate, and actual results generally do not differ materially from those determined using estimates.

FOH Holdings' accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 to its consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007, and included elsewhere in this proxy statement. FOH Holdings' management has identified certain critical accounting policies that are described below.

**Revenue Recognition** – FOH Holdings records revenue at the point of sale for retail stores and at the time of estimated receipt by the customers for catalog and Internet sales. Outbound shipping charges billed to customers are included in net sales for catalog and Internet sales. FOH Holdings records an allowance for estimated returns in the period of sale based on prior experience. If actual returns are greater than expected returns, additional sales returns may be recorded

in the future.

Merchandise Inventories – Store inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market using the retail inventory first-in, first-out (“FIFO”) method, and Direct inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market, on an average cost basis that approximates the FIFO method. Freight costs are included in inventory and vendor promotional allowances are recorded as a reduction in inventory cost.

These inventory methods inherently require management judgments and estimates, such as the amount and timing of permanent markdowns to clear unproductive or slow-moving inventory, which may impact the ending inventory valuations as well as gross margins. Markdowns are recorded when the sales value of the inventory has diminished. Factors considered in the determination of permanent markdowns include current and anticipated demand, customer preferences, age of the merchandise, and fashion

171

---

## Table of Contents

trends. When a decision is made to permanently mark down merchandise, the resulting gross margin reduction is recognized in the period the markdown is recorded. Additionally, FOH Holdings accrues for planned but unexecuted markdowns. FOH Holdings' management believes that markdowns have been appropriately reflected in its consolidated financial statements.

To the extent that FOH Holdings' management estimates differ from actual results, additional markdowns may be required that could reduce gross margin, operating income and the carrying value of inventories.

**Deferred Catalog Costs** – Deferred catalog costs represent direct-response advertising that is capitalized and amortized over its expected period of future benefit. Direct-response advertising consists primarily of product catalogs of FOH Holdings' mail order subsidiary. The capitalized costs of the advertising are amortized over the expected revenue stream following the mailing of the respective catalog, which is generally six months. The realizability of the deferred catalog costs are also evaluated as of each balance sheet date by comparing the capitalized costs for each catalog, on a catalog by catalog basis, to the probable remaining future net revenues. FOH Holdings' management believes that they have appropriately determined the expected period of future benefit as of the date of its consolidated financial statements; however, should actual sales results differ from expected sales, deferred catalog costs may be written off on an accelerated basis.

**Impairment of Long-Lived Assets** – FOH Holdings reviews long-lived assets, including property and equipment and its amortizable intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable based on undiscounted cash flows, as prescribed by SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets. If long-lived assets are impaired, an impairment loss is recognized, measured as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets.

The estimation of future undiscounted cash flows from operating activities requires significant estimates of factors that include future sales growth and gross margin performance. If FOH Holdings' sales growth, gross margin performance or other estimated operating results are not achieved at or above the forecasted level, or cost inflation exceeds the forecast and FOH Holdings is unable to recover such costs through price increases, the carrying value of certain of the retail stores may prove to be unrecoverable and FOH Holdings may incur additional impairment charges in the future. FOH Holdings' management believes that the long-lived assets' carrying values and useful lives continue to be appropriate.

**Goodwill and Trademark** – As of July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings had goodwill with a carrying balance of \$6.7 million and trademarks with a carrying balance of \$18.1 million, arising from the push-down accounting applied as a result of a change in control on March 3, 2005, when FOH Holdings' outstanding common stock was purchased by certain shareholders. Note 1 of FOH Holdings' audited consolidated financial statements, included elsewhere in this proxy statement, provides further information about this transaction. Intangible assets consist of the trademarks, principally FOH Holdings' trade name, as well as customer relationships and domain names recognized in accordance with push-down accounting. FOH Holdings has determined the trademarks and domain names to have indefinite lives.

FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, requires FOH Holdings to not amortize its goodwill, trademarks and certain other indefinite life intangible assets, but to test those intangible assets for impairment annually and between annual tests when circumstances or events have occurred that may indicate a potential impairment has occurred. The goodwill impairment review is highly judgmental and involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions, which have a significant impact on the amount of any impairment charge recorded. Estimates of fair value are primarily determined using discounted cash flow methods and are dependent on assumptions on future sales trends, market conditions and cash flows over several years. In estimating future cash flows, FOH Holdings'

management uses assumptions for items such as comparable store sales, the rate of inflation, new store openings and future sales trends.

The carrying value of the trademark was determined using the relief-from-royalty method. The relief-from-royalty valuation method estimates the royalty expense that could be avoided in the operating

172

---

## Table of Contents

business as a result of owning the respective asset or technology. The royalty savings are measured, tax-effected and, thereafter, converted to present value with a discount rate that considers the risk associated with owning the intangible assets. No impairment was present and no write-down was required when the trademark was reviewed for impairment in connection with the annual impairment test.

The most significant and sensitive assumption used in determining the fair value of the trademark using the relief-from-royalty method is the selected royalty rate. The relief-from-royalty method is most sensitive to the royalty rate assumption, which considers market share, market recognition and profitability of products bearing the trademark. The selected royalty rate used in the most recent annual trademark impairment test was 4.5%. FOH Holdings' management estimates that a 50 basis point decrease to the selected royalty rate could have reduced the estimated fair value of the trademark by approximately \$4.0 million.

If assumptions used in performing the goodwill or trademark impairment test prove inaccurate, the fair value of the goodwill and trademark may ultimately prove to be significantly lower, thereby causing the carrying value to exceed the fair value and indicating impairment has occurred. FOH Holdings' management believes that the carrying values of certain intangible assets and goodwill continue to be appropriate and no impairments have been identified.

**Income Taxes** – Income taxes are accounted for by FOH Holdings under an asset and liability approach that requires the recognition of deferred income tax assets and liabilities for the expected future consequences of events that have been recognized in FOH Holdings' consolidated financial statements and income tax returns. FOH Holdings' management provides a valuation allowance for deferred income tax assets when it is considered more likely than not that all or a portion of such deferred income tax assets will not be realized. Future realization of certain income tax assets on which FOH Holdings' management has provided a valuation allowance is dependent upon future cumulative profitability, among other factors.

**Stock-based Compensation** – Effective July 30, 2006, FOH Holdings adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), "Shared-Based Payment," which requires an entity to recognize compensation expense in an amount equal to the fair value of share-based payments granted to employees. FOH Holdings adopted SFAS 123(R) using the prospective application method. Under this method, share-based compensation expense recognized during the year ended July 28, 2007 included only share-based compensation expense for all share-based awards granted or modified subsequent to July 29, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R). In accordance with the prospective application method, FOH Holdings' consolidated financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of SFAS No. 123(R). The prospective application of SFAS 123(R) did not have a material impact on FOH Holdings' consolidated financial positions, results of operations and cash flows.

FOH Holdings currently uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to value stock options granted to employees. It uses these values to recognize stock compensation expense for stock options in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R). The Black-Scholes model is complex and requires significant exercise of judgment to estimate future common stock dividend yield, common stock expected volatility and the expected life of the stock options. These assumptions significantly affect stock option valuations and future changes in these assumptions could significantly change valuations of future stock option grants and, thus, affect future stock compensation expense.

**Discontinued operations** – Discontinued operations consist of stores closed during the course of the year. Accordingly, FOH Holdings excludes the operations of the closed stores from the ongoing operations of FOH Holdings and reports them separately as discontinued operations. In reaching a determination as to whether the results of a store will be eliminated from ongoing operations, FOH Holdings considers whether it is likely that customers will migrate to

similar stores in the same geographic market. FOH Holdings' consideration includes an evaluation of the proximity of those stores to the closed store.

During the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings closed six stores. During the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006, FOH Holdings closed eleven stores. Each store closure occurred at its contractual

173

---



## Table of Contents

lease termination date, except for the two stores closed during 2006 due to Hurricane Katrina. The impact of these store closures are reflected as a retroactive adjustment to discontinued operations for prior years.

### New Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB interpretation (“FIN”) No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company’s financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN No. 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. FOH Holdings is currently assessing the impact of FIN No. 48, which it will adopt effective at the beginning of the fiscal year ending in July 2008.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities and also expands information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever other accounting standards require or permit assets and liabilities to be measured at fair value and does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. FOH Holdings is currently assessing the impact of SFAS No. 157 and will adopt this standard at the beginning of the fiscal year ending in July 2009.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities – Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (“SFAS No. 159”). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates and report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at fiscal year ends. SFAS No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. Accordingly, SFAS No. 159 will be adopted commencing in FOH Holdings’ fiscal year ending in July 2009. FOH Holdings is currently assessing the impact of SFAS No. 159 and will adopt this standard at the beginning of the fiscal year ending in July 2009.

### Results of Operations

#### Fiscal Years 2007, 2006 and 2005

The table below shows how FOH Holdings’ operating results have changed over the periods presented. The financial information presented reflects the push-down accounting adjustments related to the March 2005 transaction that is described in “Selected Historical Consolidated Financial and Other Data and Summary Selected Pro Forma Condensed Consolidated Financial Information—FOH Holdings’ Selected Historical Consolidated Financial and Other Data” and more fully disclosed in Note 1 of FOH Holdings’ audited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this proxy statement. The relevant percentage of total revenue is provided next to each period’s results of operations so that comparisons can be made about the relative change in revenue and expenses. As a result of the push-down accounting adjustments, the information in the post-push down periods (the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006 and the five months ended July 30, 2005) and the pre-push down period (the seven months ended March 3, 2005) presented may not be comparable. The results are further separated by a heavy black line to indicate the effective date of the new basis of accounting.



## Table of Contents

	Year		Year		Year		Year		Year	
Ended	July 28,		July 29,		July 30,		July 29,		July 28,	
2007	Percentage		Percentage		Percentage		Percentage		Percentage	
of Net	Sales		Sales		Sales		Sales		Sales	
Sales	Year		Year		Year		Year		Year	
Ended	July 29,		July 29,		July 29,		July 29,		July 28,	
2006	Percentage		Percentage		Percentage		Percentage		Percentage	
of Net	Sales		Sales		Sales		Sales		Sales	
Sales	Five Months		Five Months		Five Months		Five Months		Five Months	
Ended	July 30,		July 30,		July 30,		July 29,		July 28,	
2005	Percentage		Percentage		Percentage		Percentage		Percentage	
of Net	Sales		Sales		Sales		Sales		Sales	
Sales	Seven		Seven		Seven		Seven		Seven	
Months	Months		Months		Months		Months		Months	
Ended	March 3,		March 3,		March 3,		March 3,		March 3,	
2005	Percentage		Percentage		Percentage		Percentage		Percentage	
of Net	Sales		Sales		Sales		Sales		Sales	
Sales (In thousands except for percentages)							Net sales	\$ 155,238	100.0	
%	\$ 136,705	100.0 %	\$ 46,807	100.0 %	\$ 76,785	100.0 %	Cost of goods sold, buying and			
occupancy	90,201	58.1 %	80,102	58.6 %	27,026	57.7 %	44,122	57.5 %	Gross profit	
	65,037	41.9 %	56,603	41.4 %	19,781	42.3 %	32,663	42.5 %	Selling, general and	
administrative expenses	61,996	39.9 %	57,579	42.1 %	20,279	43.3 %	35,173	45.8 %		
Operating income (loss)	3,041	2.0 %	(976)	(0.7)%	(498)	(1.1)%	(2,510)	(3.3)%		
Interest expense, net	2,093	1.3 %	2,422	1.8 %	700	1.5 %	1,102	1.4 %	Income (loss) from	
continuing operations before income tax provision (benefit)	948	0.6 %	(3,398)	(2.5)%	(1,198)	(2.6)%	(3,612)	(4.7)%	Income tax provision (benefit)	
	(2,219)	(2.9)%	(953)	(1.2)%	400	0.2 %	(3,525)	(2.6)%		
	(1,070)	(2.3)%	(2,659)	(3.5)%					Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax provision (benefit)	
of \$58 for the year ended July 28, 2007, \$(10) for the year ended July 29, 2006, \$(20) for the five months ended July 30, 2005 and \$158 for the seven months ended March 3, 2005	41	0.1 %	240	0.2 %	(166)	(0.4 %)	440	0.6 %	Net income (loss)	
	\$ 441	0.3 %	\$ (3,285)	(2.4)%	\$ (1,236)	(2.6)%	\$ (2,219)	(2.9)%		

## Economic and Business Overview

FOH Holdings' operating results are impacted by strength in the overall U.S economy and consumer confidence. As a result, management monitors economic and consumer trends, and key performance indicators, as published in trade and general business publications, as well as publicly available industry research.

Consumer confidence in fiscal year 2006 remained high, generated by strengthening employment, increases in consumer net worth, and healthier consumer income growth. This confidence began to soften in fiscal year 2007 as oil prices increased and the housing market began to slow.

In fiscal 2004, FOH Holdings commenced a new strategic focus, which included the following initiatives:-

refining the profile of the Frederick's of Hollywood target consumer;  
strong, consistently well-executed merchandising strategy; and  
consistent brand presence across all distribution channels.

- further
- developing a
- developing a

Commencing in the latter half of fiscal 2005, FOH Holdings began to implement this strategy by concentrating on the Frederick's of Hollywood's customer target of women between the ages of 18 and 35.

Through 2006, a more focused product strategy was rolled out, which committed to:

introducing newness and innovation in trend, silhouette and fabrication of the products;  
fashion and quality of the product offering;  
product flow from the suppliers to the floor, thereby reducing consumer frustrations from stock outs and back orders;  
and  
upgrading the fashion quality of product offerings.

- 
- upgrading the
- improving the
-

## Table of Contents

Economic variables will always impact consumer confidence, thereby directly affecting consumer spending. However, brand image, marketing, fashion design, price, service, fashion assortment and quality are the principal competitive factors in retail sales, regardless of general economic trends. FOH Holdings' management believes that well-positioned price points, a product offering of both basic and trend-conscious intimate apparel, and effective customer service will continue to drive strong sales and brand growth.

## Fiscal Year 2007 Compared to Fiscal Year 2006

### Operational Overview

Net sales for the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007 increased by \$18,533,000, or 13.6% to \$155,238,000 compared with \$136,705,000 of net sales for the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006. Comparable same store sales represent a key operating metric utilized by FOH Holdings' management to measure store net sales performance and the strength of retail sales. Comparable same store sales increased by approximately 9.2% in fiscal 2007 compared to fiscal 2006. Comparable same store sales increased by approximately 6.5% in fiscal 2006 compared to fiscal 2005. FOH Holdings anticipates that there will continue to be an increase in comparable same store sales when comparing estimated fiscal year 2008 store sales with fiscal year 2007 store sales, but due to uncertainties associated with the economy and consumer demand, it is difficult for management to determine if this trend will continue in the future or if an increase in comparable same store sales will actually be realized in fiscal 2008.

Merchandising initiatives contributed to the increase in sales with the continued success of core products and new product introductions, including the first new bra launch in three years. Core products, such as the Dream Corset, generated over 40% of net sales in fiscal 2007. The top ten core products contributed 30% of total net sales in Stores and 11% of total net sales for Direct in fiscal 2007. The two best-performing product departments in fiscal 2007 were Seduction by Frederick's of Hollywood sub-brands and corsets, which together contributed to an increase in net sales of \$11,313,000, or 65.0%, over fiscal 2006. The increase in net sales attributable to core product growth is the result of increased consumer demand for these products driven partially by the continued updating of these products to meet fashion trends, and store level and Direct promotional activity specific to these products. FOH Holdings' management is currently unable to determine if the demand for these products will continue to increase in the future at a similar rate due to the unpredictability of consumer sentiment and economic uncertainties.

Customer focus remained a key initiative in fiscal 2007. Store staff training was increased and staffing levels were adjusted to promote an improved store shopping experience for customers. Customer surveys informed store management and enabled store management to better focus on those experiences that were most important to the Frederick's of Hollywood customer. As a result, a recent customer survey indicated that 71% of Frederick's of Hollywood customers were highly satisfied.

Service levels in FOH Holdings' distribution center, as measured by the number of orders shipped within 24 hours of receipt, increased by approximately 17% over the prior year. Service levels in FOH Holdings' customer contact center also increased, as measured by the number of calls answered within a prescribed time. In fiscal 2007, the number of calls answered within 20 seconds increased to 80% from 64% in the previous year. FOH Holdings expects its investment in customer-focused programs to continue to contribute to the trend of sales growth, and to strengthen the brand value in the customers' minds.

In Direct, search and affiliate programs have helped to drive the increased traffic to the website. Sales generated by placement on Internet search engines and affiliate websites increased by 31.3% in fiscal 2007. FOH Holdings expects

to continue to develop these relationships. Improved creative efforts and product positioning have contributed to an increase in customer conversion rates, which is the percentage of visitors to the website who then buy merchandise. The twelve month house file (i.e., customers that have made a direct purchase within the past twelve months) increased by 10% to exceed 660,000 customers. A focus on promotional and prospecting activities has driven sales. FOH Holdings continues to develop these activities. These activities include search, affiliate and portal programs on the Internet, core product

176

---

## Table of Contents

promotional activities in both the Store and Direct channels, and targeted mailings of the catalog. FOH Holdings' management is unable to determine if the response to these activities will continue due to the unpredictability of consumer sentiment and economic uncertainties.

## Net Sales

Total net sales for fiscal 2007 increased by \$18,533,000 to \$155,238,000 from \$136,705,000 in fiscal 2006. The following factors contributed to the increase in total net sales:

- Total retail store sales increased by \$10,614,000 or 13.1% over the prior year, which was primarily attributable to a comparable same store sales increase of approximately \$7,506,000 or 9.2%. A key operating metric is the performance of comparable store sales, which are the net merchandise sales of stores that have been open for at least one complete year. In fiscal 2007, the increase in comparable store sales was driven by a 6.1% increase in average dollar sales per transaction and a 2.7% increase in the number of transactions. The remaining increase in retail store sales was driven by the performance of new stores opened in fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2007 which had not been opened for a complete year.
- Net product sales for the Direct business increased by \$7,876,000 or 15.0% over the prior year. Approximately 12.7% more sales were made through the catalog, despite a 22.2% reduction in catalog circulation, and 28.7% more sales were made through the website. During fiscal 2007, the number of orders received increased by 12.9%. Sales generated by placement on Internet search engines and affiliate increased by 31.3% in fiscal 2007.

## Gross Profit

Gross margin (gross profit as a percentage of net sales) in fiscal 2007 increased to 41.9% from 41.4% in the prior year. In dollar terms, gross margin increased by \$8,434,000 or 14.9%, which was in line with the increase in total revenues. Cost of goods sold increased by \$10,099,000 or 12.6% in fiscal 2007. The largest contributors to the increase in cost of goods sold were the following:

- Product costs increased by \$4,884,000 or 9.8%, to \$54,916,000 in fiscal 2007 due to the increase in product sold. As a percentage of sales, product margins increased slightly from 61.6% in fiscal 2006 to 62.2% in fiscal 2007 due to a change in the blend of goods sold on many of the established product lines.
- Occupancy costs consist of the rent, deferred rent, common area maintenance, utilities, real estate taxes and depreciation of the stores and the distribution center in Phoenix. These costs increased by \$1,825,000 or 9.6%, to \$20,837,000 in fiscal 2007, partly due to net increases in rents and common area costs of \$1,428,000, or 10.2%, over the prior fiscal year. In addition, in anticipation of the forthcoming store expansion, FOH Holdings expanded the dedicated real estate department, which contributed increased costs of \$261,000, an increase of 51.2% in fiscal 2007 over the prior fiscal year.
- Buying costs consist of the costs of our merchandising teams and their activities. Buying costs increased by \$640,000 or 21.4% in fiscal 2007. An increase of \$525,000 or 19.3% was attributable to new positions and salary increases within the merchandise and buying teams, and an increase in associated costs, including travel costs. The remaining increase of \$115,000 was related to an increase of IT costs of 43.1% in fiscal 2007 resulting from the increased operating costs of the new store communication platform.

- The remaining increase in fiscal 2007 was partially attributable to increased shipping and distribution costs arising from the increased

sales volume in both retail stores and Direct.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses in fiscal 2007 increased by \$4,417,000 or 7.7% over the prior fiscal year. The following factors contributed to the change over the prior year:

177

---



## Table of Contents

personnel-related expenses increased by \$1,118,000 or 6.7% over the prior fiscal year, from both increased staffing within the stores and salary increases. Store level staffing was increased to improve customer interactions, which led to an enhanced customer experience and higher levels of customer satisfaction.

- Store

Direct personnel-related costs increased by \$2,333,000 or 55.5% due to salary increases of 3% and the introduction of an executive and manager incentive bonus program based upon individual performance and the financial performance of FOH Holdings, for which FOH Holdings recorded \$1,000,000 of bonus expense in fiscal 2007. In addition, consultancy costs of \$860,000 were incurred, primarily for merger-related activities, including interim finance and IT staff.

- Corporate and

Brand marketing is used to increase brand awareness through the announcement of new product launches, the arrival of seasonal collections and the opening of new stores. This is accomplished primarily through public relations activities, including press releases and media events. In fiscal 2007, certain events were not held as the business focused on operational initiatives. This decrease in brand marketing activities led to a decrease in costs of \$633,000 or 30.1%. In fiscal 2008, these activities will be re-launched, including the annual charity auction event to be held in October 2007.

## Interest Expense, Net

During the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007, net interest expense of approximately \$2,093,000 was incurred compared to \$2,422,000 for the year ended July 29, 2006. Net interest expense decreased by \$329,000, or 13.6%, over the prior fiscal year. This was driven by a decrease in the average outstanding borrowings of approximately \$2,858,000 or 10.3%, as partially offset by an increase in the average borrowing rate on the revolver facility from 7.4% in fiscal 2006 to 8.3% in fiscal 2007, which was driven by increases in the underlying prime rate. The decrease in average outstanding borrowings was a result of an improved liquidity position from the prior fiscal year, resulting from the issuance of 400,000 shares of common stock for \$4,000,000 in the latter part of fiscal 2006 and improved sales in fiscal 2007.

## Income Tax Provision — Continuing Operations

During the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings recognized income tax expense of \$548,000. For fiscal 2007, FOH Holdings' effective income tax rate of 57.9% differed from the U.S. federal statutory rate primarily due to the establishment of valuation allowances against certain deferred income tax assets to replace the utilization of pre-acquisition net operating losses during fiscal 2007, and the related valuation allowance being recognized as a reduction to goodwill resulting from the March 3, 2005 change in control. Beginning in fiscal 2005, FOH Holdings' management determined, based in part on the cumulative losses, that it is more likely than not that certain of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. As a result, a valuation allowance was recorded, resulting in the significant difference between the effective tax rate and federal statutory rate in fiscal 2006. Following the March 3, 2005 change in control, future recognition of certain income tax assets have been recognized as a reduction to goodwill.

## Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax, of \$41,000 and \$240,000 for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, respectively, includes revenues and expenses directly associated with the stores closed during those periods. Six stores were closed in the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007. These stores generated net sales of \$1,518,000 and \$2,459,000 in fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively. Eleven stores were closed in the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006, including two in New Orleans as a result of damage caused by Hurricane Katrina. These eleven stores generated net

sales of \$2,488,000 in fiscal 2006. Other than the two stores closed due to Hurricane Katrina, these store closures occurred at the end of the applicable contractual lease termination dates. Additionally, discontinued operations for the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006 reflects the retrospective adjustment for the six stores closed subsequent to the 2006 fiscal reporting period.

178

---

## Table of Contents

### Fiscal Year 2006 Compared to Fiscal Year 2005

#### Operational Overview

In fiscal 2006, FOH Holdings began to recover from the under-performance of fiscal 2005. The performance in 2005 was primarily attributable to poor customer acceptance of the product offerings and reduced customer service. These factors resulted in unfavorable financial performance during 2005, including decreased sales, increased clearance inventory and unplanned markdowns.

Net sales for the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006 increased by \$13,113,000 from \$123,592,000 to \$136,705,000 or by 10.6% as compared with the combined net sales for the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005. This sales growth was realized across all channels of distribution.

Product offerings continued to be refreshed during fiscal 2006, with foundation basics and fashion items performing well. Enhanced product offerings enabled higher price points, with net sales prices on key product lines increasing on average 4.8%. For example, two key product departments in 2005 and 2006 were Seduction by Frederick's of Hollywood sub-brands and corsets. In fiscal 2005, aggregate sales of these items increased \$3,364,000 or 28.7% over fiscal year 2004, due to an increase in demand, enabling strong price positionings that were, on average, 3.4% higher than prices in fiscal year 2004. Merchandising focus on this item and the sales trends, as well as general fashion movements, determined that this trend would continue. In fiscal year 2006, sales in these items increased by \$7,415,000 or 49.2% over the prior fiscal year, with the net sales price increasing 7.7%.

Customer focus continued during fiscal 2006, with increased time and attention spent on developing customer relationship programs, especially in the Direct distribution channels where new search and affiliate programs were introduced. Having intentionally reduced much of FOH Holdings' prospecting activity in 2005, including the elimination of inactive customers from its customer lists in fiscal 2005 and decreasing catalog circulation, FOH Holdings then selectively re-initiated prospecting in fiscal 2006, by increasing search and affiliate marketing on the Internet and developing new prospecting models for catalog customers, thereby rebuilding the housefile (i.e., customers that have made a Direct purchase in the past twelve months) to over 600,000 customers in fiscal year 2006, an increase of 17% over the prior fiscal year period.

#### Net Sales

Net sales for fiscal 2005 were not impacted by the March 3, 2005 change in control. As a result, FOH Holdings' management has performed the analysis on the change in net sales from fiscal 2005 by combining the five months ended July 30, 2005 and the seven months ended March 3, 2005. Net sales in fiscal 2006 increased by \$13,113,000 from \$123,592,000 as a result of the following:

- Total retail store net sales increased by approximately \$8,253,000 or 11.3% over the prior year, primarily attributable to a comparable same store sales increase of approximately \$4,901,000 or 6.5%. Comparable store sales, which are the net merchandise sales from stores that have been open for at least one complete year, were driven by a 3.1% increase in average dollar sales per transaction and an increase in the number of transactions of approximately 9%. In addition, five new stores were opened during fiscal year 2006 that also contributed to the increase in net sales.

- Net product sales for Frederick's of Hollywood's Direct business increased by \$4,198,000 or 10.5% for the fiscal year ended July 29,

2006 as compared with the prior fiscal year, driven by a \$3,393,000 or 30.8% increase in the net sales of the Internet business due to a shift in web marketing efforts. Net sales in the catalog business increased by \$805,000 or 2.8% through an increase in catalog response rates of 5%, as offset by a reduction in circulation of 11%. The success of the Direct business was a reflection of a new creative strategy and revitalized prospecting and promotion strategies, leading to an increase in the twelve month housefile to over 600,000 names.

179

---

## Table of Contents

### Gross Profit

For the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006, the gross profit rate (expressed as a percentage of net sales) decreased to 41.4% from 42.3% and 42.5% for the five months ended July 30, 2005 and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, respectively. The sequential decrease over the prior year periods was primarily due to the following factors:

- **Buying** costs consist of the costs of our merchandising teams and the cost of their activities. Buying costs reflected an increase of approximately 7.4%, primarily due to increases in personnel related costs of \$172,000 attributable to higher staffing levels within the merchandise buying and planning teams, and an increase in travel costs of \$149,000 for increased market visits to better identify new fashion trends and product opportunities; these increases were offset by a reduction in design fees of \$108,000.

- **Occupancy costs** consist of the rent, deferred rent, common area maintenance, utilities, real estate taxes and depreciation of the stores and the distribution center in Phoenix. These costs increased by approximately 6.4% in fiscal year 2006 against total occupancy costs of \$19,899,000 in fiscal 2005; these increases resulted from contractual lease increases in rent and common area charges, and increased depreciation charges from the revaluation of property and equipment as a result of the March 2005 transaction.

- **Product margins** decreased from 63.5% to 61.6% as a result of an increase in promotional markdowns to drive top line sales of clearance items, including certain discontinued product offerings.

The increase in buying and occupancy costs, and the decrease in product margin, was not fully offset by a corresponding increase in sales volumes, resulting in a decrease in the gross profit.

### Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expense (SG&A) consists of two components. Selling expenses include store and field support costs including personnel and advertising, as well as Internet and catalog processing costs. General and administrative costs include the corporate functions such as executives, finance and accounting, legal, information systems, human resources, and other centralized services. SG&A, as a percentage of sales for the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006 decreased to 42.1% from 43.3% and 45.8% for the five months ended July 30, 2005 and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, respectively. The sequential decrease of SG&A as a percentage of sales over the prior year periods is primarily a result of increased sales, which were partially offset by a comparable increase in SG&A expenses. SG&A costs increased \$2,127,000 or 3.7% primarily due to the following:

- **Increases** in professional fees of \$948,000, including legal fees relating to the class action lawsuit and increased audit fees;
- **Management fees** of \$200,000 per annum payable to the shareholders commencing from March 2005; and
- **Increased personnel** recruitment and consulting fees of \$366,000 relating to the recruitment and retention of key personnel.

### Interest Expense, Net

During the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006, net interest expense of approximately \$2,422,000 was incurred compared to \$700,000 and \$1,102,000 for the five months ended July 30, 2005 and the seven months ended March 3, 2005,

respectively. The increase in fiscal 2006 over each of the respective periods in fiscal 2005 is due primarily to each of the fiscal 2005 periods consisting of less than twelve months of interest expense. Interest expense increased by \$620,000 over the combined prior fiscal year periods, an increase of 34.4%. This was driven, in part, by an increase in the average outstanding borrowings of approximately \$3,015,000 or 12.5%, and an increase in the average borrowing rate from 6.8% in fiscal year 2005 to 7.4% in fiscal year 2006. The increase in the rate was driven by the increases in the underlying

180

---

## Table of Contents

prime rate. The increase in average outstanding borrowings was a result of FOH Holdings amending its financing arrangements in July 2005 to provide for a term loan facility, which bears interest at the bank's prime rate (8.25% at July 29, 2006) plus 1%. In order to fund new stores and investment in technology, as well as to provide monies to pay for the settlement of a class action lawsuit, \$1,500,000 was drawn down in August 2005 and a further \$750,000 was drawn down in December 2005.

### Income Tax Provision — Continuing Operations

In the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006, FOH Holdings recognized income tax expense of \$127,000, largely due to certain state income taxes. The net operating loss available for carry forward is offset by valuation allowances. For the five months ended July 30, 2005 and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, FOH Holdings recognized income tax benefits of \$128,000 and \$953,000, respectively. These income tax benefits were primarily attributed to certain available operating loss carry-backs. Beginning in fiscal 2005, FOH Holdings' management determined, based in part on the cumulative losses, that it is more likely than not, that certain of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized. As a result, a valuation allowance was recorded resulting in the significant difference between the effective tax rate and federal statutory rate in the fiscal 2006 and the fiscal 2005 periods. Following the March 3, 2005 change in control, future recognition of certain income tax assets will be recognized as a reduction to goodwill.

### Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax, of \$240,000, \$(166,000) and \$440,000 for the year ended July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, respectively, includes revenues and expenses directly associated with the stores closed through July 28, 2007. Upon store closings that occur subsequent to each reporting period, FOH Holdings retrospectively adjusts prior periods to reflect those stores as discontinued operations if it is determined that there are no significant continuing cash flows from the closed stores. As a result, the fiscal 2006 and 2005 results also reflect the closing of six stores during the year ended July 28, 2007, which generated net sales of \$2,459,000 and \$2,303,000 for fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively. Eleven stores were closed in the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006, including two in New Orleans as a result of damage caused by Hurricane Katrina. These eleven stores generated net sales of \$2,488,000 and \$4,364,000 in fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively. No stores were closed in the five months ended July 30, 2005 and nine stores were closed during the seven months ended March 3, 2005, which generated net sales of \$2,061,000. These store closures occurred at the end of the applicable contractual lease termination dates. Additionally, discontinued operations for the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005 and the seven months ended March 3, 2005 reflect the retrospective adjustment for six, seventeen and seventeen stores, respectively, closed subsequent to the respective reporting periods.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

FOH Holdings' working capital requirements vary throughout the year, with the peak generally occurring in the first and second fiscal quarters.

At July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings had approximately \$1,898,000 of cash and cash equivalents on hand, in addition to a revolving line of credit facility under which FOH Holdings could borrow or issue letters of credit up to a combined \$12,000,000, subject to availability levels calculated on the basis of accounts receivable and inventory balances. This facility has been renewed each year and it currently expires on January 7, 2008. FOH Holdings exercised a right on October 9, 2007 to increase the borrowing capacity of the revolving line of credit facility by \$3,000,000. It is currently anticipated that these facilities will be refinanced as part of the refinancing of Movie Star's debt at the completion of the transaction, which is expected to close by the end of the second quarter of fiscal year 2008. Accordingly, FOH

Holdings has not yet begun discussions to extend the revolving line of credit facility; however, in the event the refinancing is delayed, FOH Holdings expects that it will be able to negotiate a renewal of the revolving line of credit, although there can be no assurance that this will be the case. As of July 28, 2007, there were cash borrowings of \$4,490,000 and letters of credit of \$1,250,000 under the revolving line of credit facility. Funds available under the revolving line of credit provide seasonal funding requirements. The build-up

181

---



## Table of Contents

of merchandise inventory starts in the fourth quarter of the previous fiscal year, therefore the balance on the revolving line of credit begins to increase from that point. The holiday season accounts for approximately one-third of net sales. Accordingly, cash requirements are highest in the first fiscal quarter as the inventory continues to build in anticipation of the holiday period. The ability of FOH Holdings to turn inventory with sufficient frequency and to manage receipts from customers and payments to vendors is key to maintaining liquidity. FOH Holdings' management believes that its relationships with vendors and suppliers remain strong, as do its relationships with its lenders.

Net cash generated from operating activities of \$6,661,000 in the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007 provided the primary source of cash to support operations. The largest sources of operating cash flows are cash collections from customers, with the primary uses being wages and related employee benefits, merchandise inventory purchases and occupancy expenses. Cash provided by operating activities for the year ended July 28, 2007 was \$6,661,000 compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$957,000 for the year ended July 29, 2006. The primary sources of cash provided by operating activities for the year ended July 28, 2007 were net income of \$441,000, add-backs for non-cash depreciation and amortization of \$3,216,000, non-cash accrued interest of \$687,000, accounts payable, accrued expenses, deferred expenses and income taxes of \$6,300,000, partially offset by the purchase of merchandise inventories of \$3,714,000, and income tax receivable and prepaid and other current assets of \$346,000.

Cash used in investing activities primarily consisted of capital expenditures of \$6,714,000 and \$3,983,000 in the fiscal years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, respectively, related to the remodeling of existing stores and the opening of new stores, as well as investment in technology to support future growth. In fiscal 2007, five new stores were opened and three were relocated; in fiscal 2006, five new stores were opened and one was relocated. Additionally, a total of \$3,019,000 of transaction costs related to the merger and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement were incurred in fiscal 2007; approximately \$1,239,000 was paid in 2007.

Cash flows provided by financing activities during the fiscal year ended 2007 primarily consist of a net increase in borrowings under the revolving line of credit facility of \$1,182,000 as opposed to equity contributions of \$4,000,000 made by stockholders in the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006, as part of FOH Holdings' senior lender's agreement to make a term loan to FOH Holdings. These contributions and borrowings provided funds for capital expenditures, including new stores and technology for growth initiatives and the settlement of a class action lawsuit in fiscal year 2006. Additionally, issuance costs of \$353,000 were paid in connection with the rights offering. FOH Holdings generated excess cash flow in fiscal year 2007 of \$56,000 and no repayments of the long-term debt were made during the year.

Cash flows of \$121,000 were realized in fiscal 2007 from the six stores closed in that year. The six stores closed in fiscal 2007 and the eleven stores closed in fiscal 2006 generated cash flows of \$284,000 during fiscal 2006. Cash flows from these discontinued operations did not have a material effect on the liquidity of FOH Holdings, nor did the closure of these stores have a material effect on the operations of FOH Holdings. Accordingly, the absence of cash flows from the discontinued operations is not expected to affect the future liquidity and capital resources of FOH Holdings. Accordingly, the cash flows from discontinued operations are not separately disclosed in the cash flow statements.

FOH Holdings' management believes that the currently available cash and funds available under its revolving line of credit, along with anticipated operating cash flows, will be sufficient to cover its working capital requirements and contemplated new store opening expenditures, excluding those contemplated post-merger with Movie Star, for at least the next twelve months. If FOH Holdings' cash flow from operations declines significantly or if FOH Holdings accelerates its store expansion or remodeling program, it may be necessary for it to seek additional sources of capital, including debt and/or equity financing.

Seasonality and Inflation

FOH Holdings' business is seasonal, with sales and earnings peaking during its second (e.g. November through January) and third (e.g. February through April) fiscal quarters, and is particularly driven by the November and December holiday periods, as well as the Valentine's Day in the month of

182

---

Table of Contents

February. Approximately a third of the annual net sales occur over the holiday period. As a result of these seasonal sales patterns, FOH Holdings maintains higher inventory levels during these peak selling periods.

FOH Holdings' management does not believe that inflation has had a material effect on the results of its operations during the fiscal years 2007, 2006 and 2005; however, they can not be certain that the business will not be affected by inflation in the future.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market and Interest Rate Risk

Interest Rate Risks

FOH Holdings is exposed to interest rate risk associated with its revolving credit facility and term loan. The term loan bears interest at the lender's prime rate (8.25% at July 28, 2007) plus 1%. On July 31, 2007, FOH Holdings amended its agreement on the term loan to give FOH Holdings the option to elect to have interest based on the London InterBank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"), in which case interest will be charged at LIBOR plus 3.25%.

Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at variable rates based on (i) the sum of (a) the base rate, as defined, and (b) an applicable margin ranging from 0.25% to 1.25%, unless FOH Holdings elects to have interest based on the LIBOR, in which case interest will be based on (ii) the sum of (a) LIBOR and (b) an applicable margin. Outstanding borrowings were at the base rate of 8.25% at July 28, 2007, plus the applicable margin of 0.25%. For the year ended July 28, 2007, borrowings under the revolving facility peaked at \$6,809,000 and the average borrowing during the period was approximately \$3,012,000.

An increase in the interest rate of 100 basis points would have increased the interest on the revolving credit facility and term loan borrowings by approximately \$32,000 for the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007.

As of July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings had \$19,429,000 of fixed-rate debt due to a stockholder with a combined weighted-average interest rate of 7.4%. FOH Holdings currently estimates that a 100 basis point increase in interest rates would decrease the fair value of its fixed-rate debt by approximately \$523,000 as of July 28, 2007.

Foreign Currency Risks

FOH Holdings enters into a significant amount of purchase obligations outside of the U.S., all of which are negotiated and settled in U.S. dollars and, therefore, FOH Holdings has no exposure to foreign currency exchange risks.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

The following table summarizes FOH Holdings' minimum contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of July 28, 2007 (in thousands):

Total	Within			After	
1 Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	5 Years	Amounts reflected in the consolidated balance sheet	
debt(1)	\$ 26,169	\$ 11,083	\$ 15,086	\$ —	Other contractual obligations not reflected in the consolidated

Edgar Filing: MOVIE STAR INC /NY/ - Form DEFM14A

balance sheet										
				Operating leases(2)	65,041	11,066	17,885	13,635	22,455	
Letters of credit(3)	1,250	1,250	—	—	—	Payment-in-kind interest to be accrued(4)	1,854	—	1,854	
— — Management fee(5)	600	200	400	—	—	Interest to be paid in cash	1,006	804	202	—
— Total contractual obligations	\$ 95,920	\$ 24,403	\$ 35,427	\$ 13,635	\$ 22,455					

183

Table of Contents

(1) Represents principal and interest payment-in-kind accrued as of July 28, 2007. See Notes 7 and 8 of Notes to FOH Holdings' Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this proxy statement. (2) Payments for maintenance, insurance, taxes and percentage rent to which FOH Holdings is obligated are excluded. See Note 9 of Notes to FOH Holdings' Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this proxy statement. (3) Primarily for the purchase of merchandise and to secure obligations to the landlord of the corporate headquarters. (4) Represents future accrued interest on FOH Holdings secured amended term loans that is payable upon the maturity of the loan. (5) Represents total annual management fees of \$200,000 payable to Tokarz Investments and certain affiliates of Fursa that FOH Holdings is obligated to pay. The obligation of FOH Holdings to pay the management fees will terminate upon consummation of the merger.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Other than the contractual commitments set forth in the table above, FOH Holdings is not a party to any material off-balance sheet financing arrangements.

184

---

Table of Contents

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

The following table sets forth information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of November 26, 2007 and after consummation of the merger by:

person known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding shares of common stock either on November 26, 2007 or immediately following the consummation of the merger and before giving effect to any shares or guarantor warrants to be issued in connection with the rights offering;

- each
- each of our current
- each person who
- all our current
- all of our executive

named executive officers and directors;

will become a director upon consummation of the merger;

executive officers and directors, as a group; and

officers and directors, as a group, after the consummation of the merger.

The percentage of beneficial ownership indicated below is based on 16,435,275 shares of our common stock outstanding on November 26, 2007 and 40,224,456 after the consummation of the merger.

Beneficial Ownership of Our  
Common Stock on

November 26, 2007

Beneficial Ownership of Our  
Common Stock After the  
Consummation of the Merger

Name and Address of  
Beneficial Owner(1)

Number  
of Shares

Percent of  
Class before  
Merger

Number  
of Shares

Percent of  
Class after  
Merger(2)

TTG Apparel, LLC									
287 Bowman Avenue									
Purchase, New York 10577	3,532,644	(2)	21.5%	3,532,644	8.8%	Tokarz Investments, LLC			
287 Bowman Avenue									
Purchase, New York 10577	—	—	11,844,608	(2)	29.4%	Fursa Alternative Strategies LLC, on behalf of certain			
funds and accounts affiliated with or managed by it or its affiliates									
444 Merrick Road, Suite 104									
Lynbrook, New York 11563	—	—	11,844,573	(3)	29.4%	Melvyn Knigin	500,115	(4)	3.0%
572,800	(8)	1.4%	Joel M. Simon	119,576	(9)	*	119,576	*	Michael A. Salberg
84,533	*	John L. Eisel	90,897	(9)	*	90,897	*	Peter Cole	150,044
LoRe	—	—	610,041	(12)	1.5%	Milton J. Walters	—	—	35,623
									(13)
									*
									William F. Harley(3)
									—
									—

Edgar Filing: MOVIE STAR INC /NY/ - Form DEFM14A

Rose Peabody Lynch — 35,623 (14) \* Thomas J. Lynch

444 Merrick Road, Suite 104

Lynbrook, New York 11563 — — All current Movie Star directors and executive officers as a group (7

individuals) 2,105,709 (15) 12.1% — All post-merger directors and executive officers as a group (11

individuals) — 2,474,252 (16) 5.9%

\* Less

than 1%.

185

---

Table of Contents (1) Unless otherwise noted, the business address of each of (a) Melvyn Knigin, Saul Pomerantz, Thomas Rende, Joel M. Simon, Michael Salberg, John L. Eisel and Peter Cole is c/o Movie Star, Inc., 1115 Broadway, New York, New York 10010 and (b) Linda LoRe, Milton J. Walters, William F. Harley and Rose Peabody Lynch is c/o Frederick's of Hollywood, Inc., 6255 Sunset Boulevard, Sixth Floor, Hollywood, California 90028. (2) According to a Schedule 13D, dated February 17, 2004, as amended on December 21, 2006, the sole controlling person of TTG Apparel is Michael T. Tokarz, its Manager. The sole controlling person of Tokarz Investments is Michael T. Tokarz, its Manager. Pursuant to the standby purchase agreement, TTG Apparel and Tokarz Investments may be required to purchase 5,681,818 shares of our common stock. In addition, as consideration for their commitments as standby purchasers, we will issue warrants to TTG Apparel and Tokarz Investments and/or their affiliates, representing the right to purchase, in the aggregate, 596,591 shares of our common stock. Includes the shares of Movie Star common stock to be contributed into escrow in connection with the merger. (3) As Chief Investment Officer of Fursa Alternative Strategies LLC, William F. Harley exercises voting and dispositive power over shares beneficially owned by Fursa Alternative Strategies LLC. Mr. Harley disclaims beneficial ownership of these shares except to the extent of his pecuniary interest therein. Pursuant to the standby purchase agreement, Fursa Alternative Strategies LLC and certain funds and accounts affiliated with or managed by it or its affiliates may be required to purchase 5,681,818 shares of our common stock. In addition, as consideration for their commitments as standby purchasers, we will issue warrants to Fursa Alternative Strategies LLC and/or its affiliates representing the right to purchase, in the aggregate, 596,591 shares of our common stock. Further, pursuant to the FOH Holdings' stockholders agreement, we will issue to the Fursa Debt Holders 3,629,329 shares of our new Series A Preferred Stock, in exchange for their cancellation of \$7.5 million of FOH Holdings' indebtedness. Such shares of Series A Preferred Stock will be convertible into 3,024,437 shares of our common stock. Includes the shares of Movie Star common stock to be contributed into escrow in connection with the merger. (4) Includes (a) options to purchase 375,000 shares pursuant to the 1988 Plan, of which 250,000 are currently exercisable and 125,000 are exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007, (b) 9,615 shares of restricted stock, which vest on June 30, 2009 and (c) 100,000 shares owned by Mr. Knigin's spouse. Excludes options to purchase 125,000 shares under the 1988 Plan which are not exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007. (5) Includes (a) options to purchase (i) 75,000 shares pursuant to the 1994 Plan, (ii) 115,000 shares pursuant to the 1988 Plan and (iii) 130,000 shares pursuant to the 2000 Performance Equity Plan, all of which are exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007, (b) 106,910 shares owned by Mr. Pomerantz's spouse and (c) 8,000 shares held jointly with Mr. Pomerantz's spouse. Excludes options to purchase 60,000 shares under the 1988 Plan which are not exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007. (6) Also includes options to purchase 420,000 shares of common stock to be granted to Mr. Pomerantz upon the consummation of the merger in order to prevent dilution of Mr. Pomerantz's proportionate interest in Movie Star pursuant to the terms of certain stock option agreements between Movie Star and Mr. Pomerantz. (7) Includes (a) options to purchase (i) 35,000 shares pursuant to the 1994 Plan, (ii) 75,000 shares pursuant to the 1988 Plan and (iii) 70,000 shares pursuant to the 2000 Performance Equity Plan, all of which are currently exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007, (b) 232,000 shares held jointly with Mr. Rende's spouse and (c) 3,300 shares owned by Mr. Rende's spouse. Excludes options to purchase 150,000 shares under the 1988 Plan which are not exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007.



Table of Contents (8) Also includes options to purchase 157,500 shares of common stock to be granted to Mr. Rende upon the consummation of the merger in order to prevent dilution of Mr. Rende's proportionate interest in Movie Star pursuant to the terms of certain stock option agreements between Movie Star and Mr. Rende. (9) Includes immediately exercisable options to purchase 12,000 shares pursuant to the 2000 Performance Equity Plan. (10) Represents (a) 72,533 shares owned by Mr. Salberg's spouse and (b) options to purchase 12,000 shares pursuant to the 2000 Performance Equity Plan. (11) Includes (a) 100,000 shares of common stock and (b) options to purchase 175,000 shares which vest on the grant date, in each case, to be granted to Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC upon consummation of the merger pursuant to the 2000 Performance Equity Plan. These options will be exercisable upon the consummation of the merger. Excludes options to be granted to Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC upon consummation of the merger to purchase 100,000 shares under the 2000 Performance Equity Plan which will not be exercisable within 60 days of the consummation of the merger. Peter Cole, as sole member of Performance Enhancement Partners, will have voting and dispositive power over the shares to be issued and options to be granted. (12) Represents options granted pursuant to FOH Holdings' 2003 Employee Equity Incentive Plan ("FOH Holdings 2003 Plan") on December 2, 2003 to purchase 27,500 shares and on December 8, 2006 to purchase 6,750 shares that are currently exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007. The options will be assumed by us upon consummation of the merger and such assumed options will entitle Ms. LoRe to receive shares of our common stock upon the exercise of such options in an amount adjusted to reflect the Exchange Ratio of 17.811414. Ms. LoRe has agreed to not exercise any of her options before the closing of the merger. Excludes options granted to Ms. LoRe pursuant to the FOH Holdings 2003 Plan on December 8, 2006 to purchase 20,250 shares that are not exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007. (13) Represents options granted pursuant to the FOH Holdings 2003 Plan on December 8, 2006 to purchase 2,000 shares that are currently exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007. The options will be assumed by us upon consummation of the merger and such assumed options will entitle Mr. Walters to receive shares of our common stock upon the exercise of such options in an amount adjusted to reflect the Exchange Ratio of 17.811414. Mr. Walters has agreed to not exercise any of his options before the closing of the merger. Excludes options to purchase 1,500 shares granted to Mr. Walters pursuant to the FOH Holdings 2003 Plan that are not exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007. (14) Represents options granted pursuant to the FOH Holdings 2003 Plan on December 8, 2006 to purchase 2,000 shares that are currently exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007. The options will be assumed by us upon consummation of the merger and such assumed options will entitle Ms. Lynch to receive shares of our common stock upon the exercise of such options in an amount adjusted to reflect the Exchange Ratio of 17.811414. Ms. Lynch has agreed to not exercise any of her options before the closing of the merger. Excludes options to purchase 1,500 shares granted to Ms. Lynch pursuant to the FOH Holdings 2003 Plan that are not exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007. (15) Includes an aggregate of 911,000 shares that Messrs. Knigin, Pomerantz, Rende, Eisel, Simon and Salberg have the right to acquire upon exercise of outstanding options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007. (16) Includes an aggregate of 1,604,787 shares that Linda LoRe, Rose Peabody Lynch, Peter Cole, Melvyn Knigin, Thomas Rende, John L. Eisel, Joel M. Simon, Michael A. Salberg and Milton J. Walters have the right to acquire upon exercise of outstanding options that are exercisable within 60 days of November 26, 2007.

## Table of Contents

### CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

#### Related Party Policy

Our Code of Ethics requires us to avoid, wherever possible, all related party transactions that could result in actual or potential conflicts of interest, except under guidelines approved by the board of directors (or the audit committee). Related party transactions are defined under SEC rules as transactions in which (1) the aggregate amount involved will or may be expected to exceed \$120,000 in any calendar year, (2) we or any of our subsidiaries is a participant, and (3) any related party, which includes (a) an executive officer, director or nominee for election as a director, (b) a greater than 5 percent beneficial owner of our common stock, or (c) an immediate family member of the persons referred to in clauses (a) and (b), has or will have a direct or indirect material interest. A conflict of interest situation can arise when a person takes actions or has interests that may make it difficult to perform his or her work objectively and effectively. Conflicts of interest may also arise if a person, or a member of his or her family, receives improper personal benefits as a result of his or her position.

Our audit committee, pursuant to its written charter, is responsible for reviewing and approving related-party transactions to the extent we enter into such transactions. The audit committee will consider all relevant factors when determining whether to approve a related party transaction, including whether the related party transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related party's interest in the transaction. No director may participate in the approval of any transaction in which he or she is a related party, but that director is required to provide the audit committee with all material information concerning the transaction. Additionally, we require each of our directors and executive officers to complete a directors' and officers' questionnaire on an annual basis that elicits information about related party transactions. These procedures are intended to determine whether any such related party transaction impairs the independence of a director or presents a conflict of interest on the part of a director, employee or officer.

#### Movie Star Related Party Transactions

FOH Holdings is owned by Tokarz Investments and certain funds and accounts affiliated with, managed by, or over which Fursa or its affiliates exercises investment authority, including, without limitation, with respect to voting and dispositive rights. Michael T. Tokarz is the sole controlling person of Tokarz Investments and is also the sole controlling person of TTG Apparel, which currently owns 3,532,644 shares of our common stock.

Immediately following the effective time of the merger, after giving effect to the contribution of the shares of Movie Star common stock into escrow, and without giving effect to the rights offering to our shareholders or the issuance of options, guarantor warrants, shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to the standby purchase agreement or shares of Series A Preferred Stock, TTG Apparel, together with Tokarz Investments, will own approximately 38.3% of the outstanding common stock of Movie Star (32.4% if the shares of Movie Star common stock to be contributed into escrow are excluded).

In connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, we (i) entered into a voting agreement with TTG Apparel, a standby purchase agreement with the Fursa Standby Purchasers, Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel and a stockholders agreement with FOH Holdings, Fursa, the Fursa Managed Accounts and Tokarz Investments, and (ii) will enter into an escrow agreement with designated stockholder representatives of the holders of FOH Holdings common stock, a registration rights agreement with Fursa (on its behalf and on behalf of the Fursa Managed Accounts), Tokarz Investments and TTG Apparel and a shareholders agreement with Tokarz Investments, TTG Apparel and Fursa (on its behalf and on behalf of the Fursa Managed Accounts), which agreements are more

fully described elsewhere in this proxy statement. See “Other Transaction Documents—Voting Agreement,” “Other Transaction Documents—Standby Purchase Agreement, —Standby Purchase Agreement—Rights Offering and—Standby Purchase Agreement—Guarantor Warrants,” “Other Transaction Documents—FOH Holdings’ Stockholders Agreement—Series A Preferred Stock,” “The Merger Agreement—Escrow Arrangements,” “Other Transaction Document—Escrow Agreement,” “Other Transaction Documents—Registration Rights Agreement” and “Other Transaction Documents—Shareholders Agreement.”

188

---

## Table of Contents

On April 9, 2007, we entered into a consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, pursuant to which Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC provides us with the personal services of Peter Cole, a current member of our board of directors and the sole member of Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, to (i) act as the lead member of our board to facilitate the timely and successful completion of the merger, the rights offering and other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and (ii) serve as the Executive Chairman of the combined company following the closing of the merger until July 26, 2008. For a more detailed description, see “Executive Compensation—Compensation Arrangements for Executive Officers—Combined Company Executive Officers.”

## FOH Holdings Related Party Transactions

FOH Holdings is indebted to the Fursa Debt Holders, pursuant to the Amended and Restated Tranche A/B and Tranche C Term Loan Agreement dated as of June 30, 2005, as amended, by and between FOH Holdings and its subsidiaries and Fursa and certain affiliates of Fursa. As of October 27, 2007, outstanding balance on Tranche A/B debt was approximately \$7,600,000 and Tranche C debt was approximately \$12,009,000 (\$10,441,000 of principal and increased by \$1,568,000 of accrued payment-in-kind interest). This indebtedness was originally incurred by FOH Holdings upon its emergence from bankruptcy in January 2003 and was issued to the primary secured creditors in the bankruptcy proceeding. The secured creditors contributed \$4,000,000 of new funds in exchange for Tranche A notes and the Tranche B and Tranche C notes were issued in consideration of pre-bankruptcy debt owed to the secured lenders.

In March 2005, the Fursa Debt Holders purchased all of the outstanding debt owed to the other secured creditors. In June 2005, the loan agreement was amended and restated and the indebtedness evidenced by the Tranche A Note and the Tranche B Note combined into the Tranche A/B Note. The Tranche A/B Note is payable in semiannual installments, is due in full on September 30, 2009, and bears interest at a rate of 8% per year. The Tranche C Note is due in full on January 7, 2010 and bears interest at a rate of 1% per year payable in cash and 6% per year payment-in-kind interest added to the unpaid principal. Pursuant to an agreement entered into with FOH Holdings’ revolving line of credit lender, no payment of principal on these loans is payable so long as a term loan extended by the revolving line of credit lender is outstanding. The Tranche A/B and Tranche C loans contain certain restrictive covenants, including, among others, limitations on capital expenditures and financial covenants consistent with restrictions contained in FOH Holdings’ revolving line of credit agreement and require mandatory repayments of specified percentages of excess cash flow. These loans are secured by substantially all of FOH Holdings’ assets and are second in priority to the revolving line of credit lender. Pursuant to the stockholders agreement entered into with Movie Star, FOH Holdings, Fursa, the Fursa Managed Accounts and Tokarz Investments in connection with the merger, the Fursa Debt Holders agreed to cancel \$7.5 million of this indebtedness in exchange for shares of Movie Star’s newly authorized Series A Preferred Stock. Further, FOH Holdings is obligated to obtain consents from the holders of any existing indebtedness for borrowed money of FOH Holdings if any such indebtedness is not refinanced prior to the consummation of the merger. The Fursa Debt Holders have already provided their consent.

Pursuant to the Amended and Restated Tranche A/B and Tranche C Term Loan Agreement, Tokarz Investments and certain affiliates of Fursa are entitled to receive combined annual management fees of \$200,000. The obligation of FOH Holdings to pay such fees will terminate upon consummation of the merger in accordance with the stockholders agreement entered into with Movie Star, FOH Holdings, Fursa, the Fursa Managed Accounts and Tokarz Investments.

An Equity Provider Agreement was entered into as of November 23, 2005, as amended, by and among Tokarz Investments, certain affiliates of Fursa and FOH Holdings for the benefit of the revolving line of credit lender. Under this agreement as amended, Tokarz Investments, Fursa and certain affiliates of Fursa agreed to make certain capital contributions to FOH Holdings. Tokarz Investments, Fursa and certain affiliates of Fursa have complied with all such

commitments and no further capital contributions are required under this agreement.

We and FOH Holdings believe that the terms of each transaction described above are no less favorable to terms that would have been obtained in an arms' length transaction with an unaffiliated third

189

---

## Table of Contents

party. All ongoing and future related party transactions, including loans by related parties, will be on terms believed by us to be no less favorable than are available from unaffiliated third parties, and such transactions or loans, including any forgiveness of loans, will require prior approval in each instance by a majority of the members of our board who do not have an interest in the transaction. In addition, the amendments to our bylaws that will take effect upon the consummation of the merger will create a 75% supermajority approval requirement in connection with important corporate decisions for a period of 18 months after the merger is consummated, including transactions with our officers, directors and shareholders.

## Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires Movie Star's officers, directors and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of Movie Star's common stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. These reporting persons are also required to furnish Movie Star with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. To Movie Star's knowledge, based solely on the review of the copies of these forms furnished to Movie Star and representations that no other reports were required, all Section 16(a) reporting requirements were complied with during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2007.

## DESCRIPTION OF MOVIE STAR SECURITIES

### Common Stock

Our certificate of incorporation currently authorizes us to issue up to 30,000,000 shares (to be increased to 200,000,000 in connection with the merger) of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, of which 16,435,275 shares were issued and outstanding as of November 26, 2007. Holders of common stock are entitled to receive dividends as may be declared by our board of directors from funds legally available for these dividends. Upon liquidation, holders of shares of common stock are entitled to a pro rata share in any distribution available to holders of common stock. The holders of common stock have one vote per share on each matter to be voted on by shareholders, but are not entitled to vote cumulatively. Holders of common stock have no preemptive rights. All of the outstanding shares of common stock are, and all of the shares of common stock to be issued in the merger and the rights offering will be, validly issued, fully-paid and non-assessable.

### Preferred Stock

As part of the transactions contemplated in connection with the proposed merger, we are proposing to amend our certificate of incorporation to authorize the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share, and to establish the terms, rights, preference and privileges of our Series A Preferred Stock, upon consummation of the merger. If the preferred stock proposal is approved, the preferred stock will have such designations, preferences and dividend, conversion, cumulative, relative, participating, optional and other rights, including voting rights, qualifications, limitations and restrictions as are determined by the board of directors. As part of the stockholders agreement, the Fursa Debt Holders, in their capacities as holders of FOH Holdings indebtedness, agreed to cancel \$7.5 million of such indebtedness in exchange for 3,629,329 shares of the Series A Preferred Stock, which are convertible into 3,024,437 shares of our common stock. We have already agreed that the shares of preferred stock that will be issued to the Fursa Debt Holders in connection with the proposed merger will contain the terms, rights, preference and privileges described under the section entitled "Other Transaction Documents—FOH Holdings' Stockholders Agreement— Series A Preferred Stock."

### Warrants

In October 1998, in connection with an agreement with a financial consulting firm, we issued a warrant to the consulting firm to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.4375 per share. The holder of this warrant has exercised its “piggyback” registration right to have the shares issuable upon exercise of the warrant registered for resale. Accordingly, we have filed a separate Registration Statement on Form S-3 (No. 333-146694) with the SEC to effect such registration. The warrant is exercisable at any time within ninety days following the effective date of the Registration Statement.

190

---

Table of Contents

In connection with executing the standby purchase agreement, we will issue to the standby purchasers warrants to purchase, in the aggregate, 1,193,182 shares of the combined company’s common stock, or 10.5% of the total number of new shares to be offered in the rights offering, with an exercise price equal to \$1.76 per share, which is equal to the subscription price of the new shares to be issued in the rights offering. The warrants expire three years from the date of the issuance and will have weighted-average anti-dilution protection for issuances of equity by the combined company that are below the exercise price of the warrants. The number of shares underlying the warrants and the exercise price will be adjusted in connection with a reclassifications of our common stock, consolidation, merger or share exchange with or into another corporation, any sale or transfer of all or substantially all of our assets, a distribution of our assets or common stock or a dividend in liquidation to our shareholders. See “Other Transaction Documents—Standby Purchasers Agreement—Guarantor Warrants.”

Anti-Takeover Provisions

Some provisions of New York law, our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws may have the effect of delaying, deferring or discouraging another party from acquiring control of us.

New York Law

We are subject to Section 912 of the New York Business Corporation Law, or the NYBCL, which regulates, subject to some exceptions, acquisitions of New York corporations. In general, Section 912 prohibits us from engaging in a “business combination” with an “interested shareholder” for a period of five years following the date the person becomes an interested shareholder, unless:

- our board of directors approved the business combination or the transaction in which the person became an interested shareholder prior to the date the person attained this status;
- the holders of a majority of our outstanding voting stock not beneficially owned by such interested shareholder approved such business combination at a meeting called for such purpose no earlier than five years after such interested shareholder attained his status; or
- the business combination meets certain valuation requirements.

Section 912 defines a “business combination” to include, among others:

- any merger or consolidation involving us and the interested shareholder;
- any sale, lease, exchange, mortgage, pledge, transfer or other disposition to the interested shareholder of 10% or more of our assets;
- the issuance or transfer by us of 5% or more of our outstanding stock to the interested shareholder, subject to certain exceptions;
- the adoption of any plan or proposal for our liquidation or dissolution pursuant to any agreement with the interested shareholder;
- any transaction involving us that has the effect of increasing the proportionate share of our stock owned by the interested shareholder; and
- the



receipt by the interested shareholder of the benefit of any loans, advances, guarantees, pledges, or other financial benefits provided by or through us.

In general, Section 912 defines an “interested shareholder” as any shareholder who beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, 20% or more of the outstanding voting stock of a corporation or who is an affiliate or associate of such corporation and at any time within the five-year period prior to the time of determination of interested shareholder status did own 20% or more of the then outstanding voting stock of the corporation.

191

---

Table of Contents

Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaw Provisions

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws provide that:

- no action can be taken by shareholders except at an annual or special meeting of the shareholders called in accordance with our bylaws;
- the approval of holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at an election of directors will be required to amend, alter or repeal our bylaws;
- our board of directors is expressly authorized to adopt, alter, amend or repeal our bylaws;
- in general, shareholders may not call special meetings of the shareholders or fill vacancies on the board of directors, except that a shareholder owning not less than 25% of the outstanding shares of our common stock entitled to vote at an election of directors may call special meetings;
- directors may be removed, with or without cause, by the vote of the holders entitled to vote at a special meeting called for such purpose; and
- we will indemnify officers and directors against losses that may be incurred by investigations and legal proceedings resulting from their services to us, which may include services in connection with takeover defense measures.

In addition, the merger agreement provides for the amendment of our bylaws in connection with the consummation of the merger pursuant to which during the 18-month period following the merger, certain material transactions not in the ordinary course or actions that affect our capital structure or securities will require the approval of 75% of the directors. See “The Merger Agreement—Amended and Restated Bylaws.” Further, if the preferred stock proposal is approved, we may issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock (which includes the shares to be designated as Series A Preferred Stock) in one or more series with such rights, limitations and restrictions, including dividend rights, dividend rates, terms of redemption, conversion rights and liquidation preferences, as may be determined in the board’s sole discretion. Although the board has no present intention of doing so, it could issue shares of preferred stock in addition to the contemplated Series A Preferred Stock (within the limits imposed by applicable law) that could, depending on the terms of such series, make it more difficult or discourage an attempt to obtain control of us by means of a merger, tender offer, proxy contest or other means. See “Preferred Stock Proposal.”

PRICE RANGE OF MOVIE STAR COMMON STOCK AND DIVIDENDS

Movie Star’s common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol “MSI.” The following table sets forth for the indicated periods the reported high and low sales prices per share.

										High
Low Year Ending June 30, 2008			First Quarter	\$ 2.66	\$ 2.00	Second Quarter (through November 26, 2007)				
2.45	1.84	Year Ended June 30, 2007	First Quarter	\$ 0.87	\$ 0.72	Second Quarter				1.68
0.75	Third Quarter	3.50	1.60	Fourth Quarter	2.90	1.96	Year Ended June 30, 2006			
Quarter	\$ 0.93	\$ 0.66	Second Quarter	0.75	0.46	Third Quarter	0.82	0.55	Fourth Quarter	0.98
										0.67

On December 18, 2006, the day prior to the announcement of the execution of the merger agreement, the closing sale price of our common stock was \$1.28. On November 26, 2007, the closing sale price of our common stock was \$1.93.

192

---

Table of Contents

FOH Holdings is a privately held company and there is no established public trading market for FOH Holdings common stock.

Holder

As of November 26, 2007, there were approximately 740 shareholders of record of Movie Star common stock. We believe that there are a significant number of beneficial owners of our common stock whose shares are held in "street name."

Dividend Policy

Movie Star has not paid any cash dividends on its common stock to date and does not intend to pay dividends prior to the completion of the merger. It is the present intention of our board of directors to retain all earnings, if any, for use in our business operations and, accordingly, our board does not anticipate declaring any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. The payment of dividends subsequent to the merger will be within the discretion of our board of directors and will be contingent upon our revenues and earnings, if any, capital requirements, general financial condition subsequent to completion of the merger and such other factors as such board will consider.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following sets forth certain information as of June 30, 2007 concerning our equity compensation plans:

Plan Category	Number of Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under
Equity Compensation Plans approved by shareholders	900,000	\$ 1.03	766,666
1988 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan			110,000
2000 Performance Equity Plan	331,000 (1)	\$ 0.90	125,649 (2)
Plans not approved by shareholders			50,000
Warrant(3)			0
Total	1,391,000	\$ 0.95	892,315

(1)

Includes 36,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options under our 2000 Performance Equity Plan granted to non-employee directors pursuant to our Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan. (2) Our Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan provides that each non-employee director may elect to receive his or her annual stipend and meeting fees in cash and/or shares of our common stock under our 2000 Performance Equity Plan in such proportion as is determined by each non-employee director. If a non-employee director elects to be paid in stock, either in full or in part, the number of shares of common stock to be issued is determined by dividing the dollar amount of the stipend and meeting fees earned during the quarter (or a percentage thereof, if the non-employee director elects to receive stock payment in part) by the last sale price of our common stock on the last trading day of

each calendar quarter in which the fees were earned. As of June 30, 2007, an aggregate of 191,351 shares of common stock have been issued to non-employee directors. (3) See Note 13 to the Consolidated Financial Statements of Movie Star for a description of the warrant.

#### APPRAISAL RIGHTS

Movie Star shareholders do not have appraisal rights under the NYBCL in connection with the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

193

---

Table of Contents

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS AND NOMINATIONS

In order for any shareholder proposal or nomination to be presented at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in 2008 or to be eligible for inclusion in our Proxy Statement for such meeting, we must receive it at our principal executive offices by July 25, 2008. Each proposal should include the exact language of the proposal, a brief description of the matter and the reasons for the proposal, the name and address of the shareholder making the proposal and the disclosure of that shareholder's number of shares of common stock owned, length of ownership of the shares, representation that the shareholder will continue to own the shares through the Annual Shareholder Meeting, intention to appear in person or by proxy at the Annual Shareholder Meeting and material interest, if any, in the matter being proposed.

Shareholders who wish to recommend to the nominating committee a candidate for election to the board of directors should send their letters to Movie Star, Inc., 1115 Broadway, New York, New York 10010, Attention: nominating committee. The Corporate Secretary will promptly forward all such letters to the members of the nominating committee. Shareholders must follow certain procedures to recommend to the nominating committee candidates for election as directors. In general, in order to provide sufficient time to enable the nominating committee to evaluate candidates recommended by shareholders in connection with selecting candidates for nomination in connection with our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, the Corporate Secretary must receive the shareholder's recommendation no later than thirty days after the end of our fiscal year.

The recommendation must contain the following information about the candidate:

- Name;
- Age;
- Current business and residence addresses and telephone numbers, as well as residence addresses for the past 20 years;
- Principal occupation or employment and employment history (name and address of employer and job title) for the past 20 years (or such shorter period as the candidate has been in the workforce);
- Educational background;
- Permission for Movie Star to conduct a background investigation, including the right to obtain education, employment and credit information;
- Three character references and contact information;
- The number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned by the candidate;
- The information that would be required to be disclosed by us about the candidate under the rules of the SEC in a Proxy Statement soliciting proxies for the election of such candidate as a director (which currently includes information required by Items 401, 404 and 405 of Regulation S-K); and
- A signed consent of the nominee to serve as a director of Movie Star, if elected.

OTHER SHAREHOLDER COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The board of directors provides a process for shareholders and interested parties to send communications to the board. Shareholders and interested parties may communicate with the board of directors, any committee chairperson or the non-management directors as a group by writing to the board or committee chairperson in care of Movie Star, Inc. 1115 Broadway, New York, New York 10010. Each communication will be forwarded, depending on the subject matter, to the board, the appropriate committee chairperson or all non-management directors.

#### DISCRETIONARY VOTING OF PROXIES

Pursuant to Rule 14a-4 promulgated by the SEC, shareholders are advised that our management will be permitted to exercise discretionary voting authority under proxies it solicits and obtains for the 2008

194

---

## Table of Contents

Annual Meeting of Shareholders with respect to any proposal presented by a shareholder at such meeting, without any discussion of the proposal in our proxy statement for such meeting, unless we receive notice of such proposal at our principal office in New York, New York, not later than October 17, 2008.

## INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRMS

The consolidated financial statements of FOH Holdings, Inc. as of July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006 and for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005 and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, included in this proxy statement, have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report (which report expresses an unqualified opinion and includes an explanatory paragraph relating to a change in a method of accounting to conform to Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-97: Push-Down Accounting and the retrospective adjustment for discontinued operations of stores closed in the year ended July 28, 2007) appearing herein.

The consolidated financial statements of Movie Star at June 30, 2007 and 2006 and for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2007 included in this proxy statement have been audited by Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C., independent registered public accounting firm, as set forth in their report appearing elsewhere herein.

Representatives of Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C. and Deloitte & Touche LLP will be present at the special meeting with the opportunity to make statements and to respond to appropriate questions.

## WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

Movie Star files reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC as required by the Exchange Act. You may read and copy reports, proxy statements and other information filed by Movie Star with the SEC at the SEC public reference room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. You may also obtain copies of the materials described above at prescribed rates by writing to the Securities and Exchange Commission, Public Reference Section, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may access information on Movie Star at the SEC web site containing reports, proxy statements and other information at: <http://www.sec.gov>.

Information and statements contained in this proxy statement or any annex to this proxy statement are qualified in all respects by reference to the copy of the relevant contract or other annex filed as an exhibit to this proxy statement.

All information contained in this document relating to Movie Star has been supplied by Movie Star and all information relating to FOH Holdings has been supplied by FOH Holdings. Information provided by one party does not constitute any representation, estimate or projection of the other.

If you would like additional copies of this document or if you have questions about the merger, the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement or the proposals included in this proxy statement, you should contact via phone or in writing:

Investor Relations  
Movie Star, Inc.  
1115 Broadway  
New York, New York 10010  
Tel: (212) 798-4700



or

Morrow & Co., Inc.  
470 West Avenue, 3rd Floor  
Stamford, Connecticut 06902  
Tel: (800) 607-0088

195

---

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOH Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

	Audited
Financial Statements: REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of JULY 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, THE FIVE MONTHS ENDED July 30, 2005 and THE SEVEN MONTHS ENDED MARCH 3, 2005	F-4
Consolidated Statements of STOCKHOLDERS' Equity for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, THE FIVE MONTHS ENDED July 30, 2005 and THE SEVEN MONTHS ENDED MARCH 3, 2005	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, THE FIVE MONTHS ENDED July 30, 2005 and THE SEVEN MONTHS ENDED MARCH 3, 2005	F-6
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-7
Movie Star, Inc. and Subsidiaries	

	Audited
Financial Statements: REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	F-26
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS As of June 30, 2007 and 2006	F-27
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-28
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-29
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS for the years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005	F-30
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-31
Unaudited Interim Financial Statements:	
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED) AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2007, JUNE 30, 2007 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2006	F-47
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED) FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 AND 2006	F-48
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED) FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 AND 2006	F-49
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED UNAUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-50
F-1	

---

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
FOH Holdings, Inc.  
Hollywood, CA

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of FOH Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries (“FOH Holdings”) as of July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of FOH Holdings’ management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. FOH Holdings is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of FOH Holdings’ internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of FOH Holdings, Inc. and subsidiaries as of July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, in 2006 FOH Holdings changed its method of accounting for the change in control that occurred on March 3, 2005, to conform to Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-97: Push-Down Accounting, and, retrospectively, adjusted the 2005 financial statements for the change.

As discussed in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements, the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended July 30, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005 and the seven months ended March 3, 2005 have been retrospectively adjusted for discontinued operations of stores closed in the year ended July 28, 2007.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

October 12, 2007  
Los Angeles, California

F-2

## Table of Contents

## FOH HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

AS OF JULY 28, 2007 AND JULY 29, 2006

(In thousands, except share data)

										2007	
2006 ASSETS		CURRENT ASSETS:		Cash and cash equivalents		\$ 1,898	\$ 1,842	Accounts receivable		739	738
6,458	3,183	Income tax receivable	119	28	Deferred income tax assets	1,133	944	Total current assets		27,030	19,704
		PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT – Net		17,365	13,957	OTHER ASSETS:					
		Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$168 and \$59 at July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, respectively		68	177	Intangibles – net		18,606	18,826	Goodwill	
		Total other assets		26,130	27,073	TOTAL		\$ 70,525	\$ 60,734	LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	
		CURRENT LIABILITIES:		Revolving line of credit and term loans		\$ 6,740	\$ 5,558				
		Accounts payable and accrued expense		20,585	14,445	Current portion of long-term debt – related party		4,343	—	Total current liabilities	
		LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER LIABILITIES:		Deferred rent		2,744	1,697	Long-term debt – related party		15,086	18,742
		Deferred income tax liabilities		8,369	8,180	Total liabilities		57,884	48,675	COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 9)	
		STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		Preferred stock, par value of \$0.01 per share – 250,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding		Voting common stock, par value \$0.01 per share – 2,250,000 shares authorized at July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006; 1,330,000 issued and outstanding at July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006		13	13	Additional paid-in capital – common stock	
		Accumulated deficit		(4,080)	(4,521)	Total stockholders' equity		12,641	12,059	TOTAL	
								\$ 70,525	\$ 60,734		

See notes to consolidated financial statements.



## Table of Contents

## FOH HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
 FOR THE YEARS ENDED JULY 28, 2007 AND JULY 29, 2006, THE FIVE MONTHS ENDED  
 JULY 30, 2005, AND THE SEVEN MONTHS ENDED MARCH 3, 2005

(In thousands except share data)

	Common Stock		Additional		Paid-In		Capital		Accumulated																					
Deficit	Total	Shares	Amount	BALANCE – July 31, 2004	430,000	\$ 4	\$ 598	\$(428)	\$ 174	Net																				
loss for the seven months ended March 3, 2005	—	—	—	(2,219)	(2,219)	BALANCE – March 3, 2005	430,000	4	598	(2,647)	(2,045)	Push down accounting adjustments	—	—	6,978	2,647														
9,625	Issuance of common stock to stockholders	500,000	5	4,995	—	5,000	Net loss for the five months ended July 30, 2005	—	—	—	(1,236)	(1,236)	BALANCE – July 30, 2005	930,000	9	12,571	(1,236)	11,344	Issuance of common stock to stockholders	400,000	4	3,996	—	4,000						
Net loss for the year ended July 29, 2006	—	—	—	(3,285)	(3,285)	BALANCE – July 29, 2006	1,330,000	13	16,567	(4,521)	12,059	Stock-based compensation	—	—	141	—	141	Net	income for the year ended July 28, 2007	—	—	—	441	441	BALANCE – July 28, 2007	1,330,000	\$ 13	\$ 16,708	\$(4,080)	\$ 12,641

See notes to consolidated financial statements.



Edgar Filing: MOVIE STAR INC /NY/ - Form DEFM14A

period for: Interest \$ 968 \$ 1,433 \$ 466 \$ 622 Taxes \$ 114 \$ 24 \$ 12 \$ 9  
See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-6

---





## Table of Contents

The allocation of purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed on March 3, 2005, are as follows (in thousands):

						Total current
assets, including cash of \$1,870	\$ 23,288	Property and equipment	11,566	Goodwill	7,299	Trademark
18,090	Other intangible assets	1,058	Other long-term assets	949	Total assets acquired	62,250
Total current liabilities, including \$1,241 of pre-acquisition contingencies	26,809	Total long-term liabilities, including \$17,835 of long-term debt assumed	27,861	Total liabilities assumed	54,670	Purchase price
						\$ 7,580

FOH Holdings' management determined the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed with the assistance of valuation specialists. In valuing acquired assets and liabilities assumed, fair values were based on, but not limited to, expected discounted cash flows for the customer relationships, replacement cost for fixed assets, relief from royalty for trademark, and comparable market rates for contractual obligations. Due to the impact of the changes resulting from the push-down accounting adjustments described above, the consolidated statements of operations and cash flows presentations separate FOH Holdings' results into two periods: (1) the seven month period ending with the March 3, 2005, consummation of the FOH Transaction and (2) the five month period beginning after that date utilizing the new basis of accounting. The results are further separated by a heavy black line to indicate the effective date of the new basis of accounting.

The following unaudited pro forma information for FOH Holdings gives effect to the FOH Transaction, including its impact upon depreciation and amortization and the related income tax effect as if the FOH Transaction had occurred at the beginning of the period presented (in thousands):

					Year
Ended					
July 30, 2005	Net sales	\$ 124,690	Net loss	(3,893)	

The pro forma results have been prepared for comparative purposes only and do not purport to represent what FOH Holdings' actual results of operations would have been had the transaction occurred at the beginning of the period presented and are not intended to be a projection of future results or trends.

Due to losses in fiscal 2005, FOH Holdings' management initiated various operating, capital, and financing plans designed to increase operating performance and to improve capitalization and liquidity. While FOH Holdings made steady progress with its turnaround strategy during the year ended July 29, 2006, it continued to experience losses. As a result, FOH Holdings had negative working capital of \$299,000 and an accumulated deficit of \$4,521,000 at July 29, 2006. During the year ended July 29, 2006, FOH Holdings successfully negotiated certain capital and liquidity improvements, including an increase in the borrowing capacity under the revolving line of credit, and a capital infusion of \$4,000,000 from the stockholders (see Notes 7, 8, and 10). During the year ended July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings continued the operational turnaround. Nonetheless, the costs related to the transaction (see Note 14) and the capital investment program for stores, resulted in negative working capital of \$4,638,000 and an accumulated deficit of \$4,080,000 as at July 28, 2007. On July 31, 2007, FOH Holdings successfully negotiated the reduction of the interest rate on the term loan and the extension of its term loan to January 7, 2008.

FOH Holdings principal sources of liquidity will be cash generated from its operations, and additional financing from the revolving line of credit, including an increase in the borrowing capacity

F-8

---

Table of Contents

of \$3,000,000 exercised by FOH Holdings on October 9, 2007. Based on FOH Holdings' current cash flow forecasts, FOH Holdings does not believe it will be able to generate sufficient cash flows from its operations to repay the term loans, revolving loans and the current portion of the related party debt due in fiscal 2008 without also obtaining additional financing or extending the terms of the existing agreements. FOH Holdings anticipates that these facilities will be refinanced concurrently with or following the consummation of the merger with Movie Star, Inc. (see Note 14). FOH Holdings has not yet begun discussions to extend or refinance the existing agreements; however, in the event the refinancing is delayed following the merger or the merger is not consummated, FOH Holdings expects that it will be able to negotiate a renewal of the revolving line of credit and an extension of the term loan maturity, although there can be no assurances that this will be the case.

2.

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

**Principles of Consolidation** – All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

**Use of Estimates** – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

FOH Holdings' most significant areas of estimation and assumption are:

- determination of the appropriate amount and timing of markdowns to clear unproductive or slow-moving inventory;
- estimation of future cash flows used to assess the recoverability of long-lived assets, including trademarks and goodwill;
- estimation of expected customer merchandise returns; and
- estimation of the net deferred income tax asset valuation allowance.

**Fiscal Year** – FOH Holdings' fiscal year is the 52- or 53-week period ending on the last Saturday in July. FOH Holdings' consolidated financial statements for fiscal year 2007, 2006 and 2005 consist of the 52-week periods ended July 28, 2007, July 29, 2006, and July 30, 2005, respectively.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents** – FOH Holdings considers highly liquid investments with an initial maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents of \$1,308,000 and \$1,143,000 at July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, respectively, represent amounts due from commercial credit card companies, such as Visa, MasterCard, and American Express, which are generally received within a few days of the related transaction.

**Merchandise Inventories** – Retail store inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market using the retail inventory first-in, first-out (FIFO) method, and catalog and Internet inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market, on an average cost basis that approximates the FIFO method. Freight costs are included in inventory and vendor promotional allowances are recorded as a reduction in inventory cost.

These inventory methods inherently require management judgments and estimates, such as the amount and timing of permanent markdowns to clear unproductive or slow-moving inventory, which may impact the ending inventory valuations as well as gross margins. Markdowns are recorded when the sales value of the inventory has diminished. Factors considered in the determination of permanent markdowns include current and anticipated demand, customer preferences, age of the merchandise, and fashion trends. When a decision is made to permanently mark down merchandise, the resulting gross margin reduction is recognized in the period the markdown is recorded. Additionally, FOH Holdings accrues for planned but unexecuted markdowns.

Deferred Catalog Costs – Deferred catalog costs represent direct-response advertising that is capitalized and amortized over its expected period of future benefit. Direct-response advertising

F-9

---

## Table of Contents

consists primarily of product catalogs of FOH Holdings' mail order subsidiary. The capitalized costs of the advertising are amortized over the expected revenue stream following the mailing of the respective catalog, generally six months. The realizability of the deferred catalog costs are also evaluated as of each balance sheet date by comparing the capitalized costs for each catalog, on a catalog by catalog basis, to the probable remaining future net revenues. Direct-response advertising costs of \$2,409,000 and \$2,265,000 were included in prepaid expenses and other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets at July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, respectively. Direct-response advertising expenses for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, were \$12,733,000, \$14,874,000, \$6,242,000, and \$8,338,000, respectively.

**Property and Equipment** – Prior to March 3, 2005, property and equipment are stated at cost. From March 3, 2005, property and equipment are stated at cost, adjusted for purchase accounting related to the FOH Transaction (see Note 1). FOH Holdings' policy is to capitalize expenditures that materially increase asset lives and expense ordinary repairs and maintenance as incurred. Depreciation is provided for on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets; three years for computer software, five years for computer equipment, seven years for fixtures and equipment, and the shorter of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful life for leasehold improvements.

**Deferred Financing Costs** – Deferred financing costs are amortized using the straight-line method over the terms of the related debt agreements, which approximates the effective interest method. Amortization of deferred financing costs for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, were \$109,000, \$255,000, \$126,000, and \$88,000, respectively, and were included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

**Impairment of Long-Lived Assets** – FOH Holdings reviews long-lived assets, including property and equipment and its amortizable intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable based on undiscounted cash flows. If long-lived assets are impaired, an impairment loss is recognized, measured as the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets.

**Goodwill and Intangible Assets** – FOH Holdings has certain intangible assets and goodwill arising from the FOH Transaction (see Note 1). Intangible assets resulting from the FOH Transaction consist of trademarks, principally FOH Holdings' trade name, customer relationships, and domain names recognized in accordance with purchase accounting. Goodwill represents the portion of the purchase price of FOH Holdings at March 3, 2005, that could not be attributed to specific tangible or identified intangible assets recorded in connection with purchase accounting for the FOH Transaction. Goodwill is not deductible for tax purposes.

FOH Holdings has determined the trademark and domain names to have indefinite lives. FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, requires FOH Holdings to not amortize goodwill and certain other indefinite life intangible assets, but to test those intangible assets for impairment annually and between annual tests when circumstances or events have occurred that may indicate a potential impairment has occurred. FASB Statement No. 142 requires goodwill to be allocated to reporting units. At July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, FOH Holdings' management identified three reporting units consisting of retail stores, catalog, and Internet. FOH Holdings' management conducted annual impairment evaluations of goodwill and indefinite life intangibles in accordance with FASB Statement No. 142 as of July 28, 2007, July 29, 2006 and July 30, 2005. Based on these evaluations, no impairment was recorded for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, five months ended July 30, 2005, and seven months ended March 3, 2005.

Deferred Rent Obligations – FOH Holdings recognizes rent expense for operating leases on a straight-line basis (including the effect of reduced or free rent and contractually obligated rent escalations) over the lease term. The difference between the cash paid to the landlord and the amount recognized as rent expense on a straight-line basis is included in deferred rent in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Cash reimbursements received from landlords for leasehold improvements and other cash payments received from landlords as lease incentives are

F-10

---

## Table of Contents

recorded as deferred rent. Deferred rent related to landlord incentives is amortized using the straight-line method over the lease term as a reduction to rent expense.

In accordance with FASB Statement No. 141, FOH Holdings recognized a favorable lease asset of \$118,000 at March 3, 2005 as a result of the FOH Transaction (see Note 1), which has been recorded to deferred rent. The determination and valuation of the favorable leases was based upon FOH Holdings' management's estimate with the assistance of an independent valuation appraiser of the payment terms of FOH Holdings' existing leases compared to market conditions over the remaining terms of the leases.

**Fair Value of Financial Instruments** – FOH Holdings' management believes the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to their short maturity. The carrying amount of the revolving line of credit approximates fair value, as these borrowings have variable rates that reflect currently available terms and conditions for similar debt. It is not practicable to estimate the fair value of long-term debt due to the related-party nature of the long-term debt being due to a principal shareholder of FOH Holdings.

**Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation** – Effective July 30, 2006, FOH Holdings adopted the provisions of FASB Statement No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards to employees and directors based on estimated fair values on the grant date. FASB Statement No. 123(R), supercedes FOH Holdings' previous accounting methodology using the intrinsic value method under the Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for grants of stock options under FOH Holdings' stock option plan.

Under the intrinsic value method, no share-based compensation expense was recognized for grants to employees or directors because all options granted under the plan had an exercise price equal to the estimated fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The adoption of FASB Statement No 123(R) did not have a material effect on FOH Holdings' consolidated financial position or results of operations. Pursuant to FASB Statement No. 123(R), a non-public entity that used the minimum value method for pro forma disclosure purposes under the original provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, is not required to continue to provide those pro forma disclosures for outstanding awards accounted for under the intrinsic value method of APB Opinion No. 25. As FOH Holdings previously applied the minimum value method, FOH Holdings has discontinued the pro forma disclosures.

FOH Holdings adopted FASB Statement No. 123(R) using the prospective application method. Under this method, share-based compensation expense recognized during the year ended July 28, 2007 included only compensation expense for all share-based awards granted or modified subsequent to July 29, 2006 based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of FASB Statement No. 123(R). In accordance with the prospective application method, FOH Holdings' financial statements for prior periods have not been restated to reflect, and do not include, the impact of FASB Statement No. 123(R). FOH Holdings elected to recognize the expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, which is the vesting period.

On November 10, 2005, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 123R-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-based Payment Awards. FOH Holdings has elected to adopt the alternative transition method provided in FAS 123R-3 for calculating the tax effects of share-based compensation pursuant to FASB Statement No. 123(R). The alternative transition method includes simplified methods to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool (“APIC POOL”) related to tax effects of employee share-based



compensation, and to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC Pool and condensed consolidated statements of cash flows of the tax effects of employee and director share-based awards that are outstanding upon adoption of FASB No. 123(R).

Income Taxes – Income taxes are accounted for under an asset and liability approach that requires the recognition of deferred income tax assets and liabilities for the expected future consequences of

F-11

---

## Table of Contents

events that have been recognized in FOH Holdings' consolidated financial statements and income tax returns. FOH Holdings' management provides a valuation allowance for deferred income tax assets when it is considered more likely than not that all or a portion of such deferred income tax assets will not be realized. FOH Holdings' deferred income taxes primarily represent the differences in book and tax bases in assets and liabilities as a result of the push-down reporting in connection with the FOH Transaction (see Note 1).

**Revenue Recognition** – FOH Holdings records revenue at the point of sale for retail stores and at the time of estimated receipt by the customer for catalog and Internet sales. Outbound shipping charges billed to customers are included in net sales for catalog and Internet sales.

FOH Holdings records an allowance for estimated returns in the period of sale based on prior experience. If actual returns are greater than those expected, additional sales returns may be recorded in the future.

FOH Holdings records other revenues for consigned inventory, on a net basis as FOH Holdings acts as an agent on behalf of the related vendors, and commissions and other service revenues earned on direct sell-through programs. Total other revenues recorded were \$2,161,000, \$3,435,000, \$1,437,000, and \$1,725,000 for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, respectively, were recorded in net sales in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Gift certificates sold are carried as a liability and revenue is recognized when the gift certificate is redeemed. Also, customers may receive a store credit in exchange for returned goods, which are carried as a liability until redeemed.

**Costs of Goods Sold, Buying, and Occupancy** – FOH Holdings includes the cost of merchandise, freight from vendors, shipping and handling, payroll and benefits for the design, buying, and merchandising personnel, warehouse and distribution, and store occupancy costs in Costs of Goods Sold, Buying, and Occupancy. Store occupancy costs include rent, deferred rent, common area maintenance, utilities, real estate taxes, and depreciation.

**Shipping and Handling Costs** – Amounts billed to customers for shipping and handling are included in net sales at the time of shipment. Costs incurred for shipping and handling are included in costs of goods sold, buying, and occupancy.

**Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses** – Selling, general, and administrative expenses primarily include payroll and benefit costs for FOH Holdings' store, catalog, and Internet selling and administrative departments (including corporate functions), advertising, and other operating expenses not specifically categorized elsewhere in the consolidated statements of operations.

**Advertising Costs** – Costs associated with advertising, excluding direct-response advertising, and including in-store signage and promotions, are charged to store operating expense when the advertising first takes place. For the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, FOH Holdings recorded advertising costs of approximately \$5,825,000, \$5,203,000, \$984,000, and \$3,876,000, respectively.

**Store Pre-opening Costs** – Store pre-opening costs and internal costs incurred prior to the opening of a new or relocated store are expensed as incurred.

**Discontinued Operations** – Discontinued operations consist of stores closed during the course of the year. Accordingly, FOH Holdings excludes the operations of the closed stores from the ongoing operations of FOH

Holdings, and reports them separately as discontinued operations. In reaching a determination as to whether the results of a store will be eliminated from ongoing operations, FOH Holdings considers whether it is likely that customers will migrate to similar stores in the same geographic market and FOH Holdings' consideration includes an evaluation of the proximity of those stores to the closed store.

In February 2005, the FASB issued EITF Issue No. 03-13, Applying the Conditions in Paragraph 42 of FASB Statement No. 144 in Determining Whether to Report Discontinued Operations. EITF Issue

F-12

---

## Table of Contents

No. 03-13 gives guidance on how to evaluate whether the operations and cash flows of a disposed component have been or will be eliminated from ongoing operations and the types of continuing involvement that constitute significant continuing involvement in the operations of the disposed component. EITF Issue No. 03-13 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2004. FOH Holdings has applied the provisions of EITF Issue No. 03-13 when determining its discontinued operations presentation.

**Supplemental Disclosure of Noncash Financing Transactions** – On March 3, 2005, an existing noteholder, an affiliate of a minority stockholder of FOH Holdings, purchased directly from the original nonaffiliated lenders their interests in the outstanding secured term loans (Tranches A/B, and C) totaling approximately \$12,604,000 (see Notes 1 and 8). Additionally, FOH Holdings had outstanding accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$661,000, \$617,000, \$305,000, and \$435,000 at July 28, 2007, July 29, 2006, July 30, 2005, and March 3, 2005, respectively, relating to purchases of property and equipment, and \$1,428,000 and \$86,000 at July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006 relating to unpaid transaction costs related to the transaction described in Note 14.

**Segment Reporting** – In accordance with FASB Statement No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, FOH Holdings has identified three operating segments (retail stores, catalog, and Internet). The three operating segments have been aggregated and are presented as one reportable segment as permitted by FASB Statement No. 131, based on their similar economic characteristics, products, production processes, and target customers.

**Concentration** – FOH Holdings has two major suppliers that individually exceed 10% of total purchases in fiscal 2007. These suppliers combined represented approximately 26% and individually accounted for approximately 16% and 10% of total purchases in fiscal 2007. FOH Holdings does not believe that the loss of either one of these vendors would adversely impact its operations.

**Reclassifications** – Certain amounts in the prior periods' consolidated financial statements for the discontinued operations of stores closed in fiscal 2007 (see Note 13), have been reclassified in the 2006 and 2005 financials to conform to the current year's presentation.

**Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements** – In June 2006, the EITF ratified its conclusion on EITF Issue No. 06-03, How Taxes Collected From Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross Versus Net Presentation). The EITF concluded that the presentation of taxes assessed by a governmental authority that is directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer such as sales, use, value added, and certain excise taxes, is an accounting policy decision that should be disclosed in a company's financial statements. Additionally, companies that record such taxes on a gross basis should disclose the amounts of those taxes in interim and annual financial statements for each period for which an income statement is presented if those amounts are significant. EITF Issue No. 06-03 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. Taxes collected from FOH Holdings' customers are and have been recorded on a net basis. FOH Holdings has no intention of modifying this accounting policy. As such, the adoption of EITF Issue No. 06-03 will not have an effect on FOH Holdings' consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes—an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. FIN No. 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and

transition. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. FOH Holdings is currently assessing the impact of FIN No. 48 and will adopt this interpretation effective at the beginning of the fiscal year ending in July 2008.

In September 2006, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. FASB Statement No. 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities

F-13

---

## Table of Contents

and also expands information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. FASB Statement No. 157 applies whenever other accounting standards require or permit assets and liabilities to be measured at fair value and does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. FASB Statement No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. FOH Holdings is currently assessing the impact of FASB Statement No. 157 and will adopt this standard at the beginning of the fiscal year ending in July 2009.

In February 2007, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115. FASB Statement No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates and report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at fiscal year ends. FASB Statement No. 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. Accordingly, FASB Statement No. 159 will be adopted commencing in FOH Holdings' fiscal year ending in July 2009. FOH Holdings is currently assessing the impact of FASB Statement No. 157 and will adopt this standard at the beginning of the fiscal year ending in July 2009.

3.

## PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment at July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, consist of the following (in thousands):

							2007
2006 Fixtures and equipment	\$ 3,944	\$ 2,881	Leasehold improvements	15,217	11,837	Computer equipment and software	2,756
	2,756	2,356	Construction in progress	2,344	823		24,261
						Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	17,897
		6,896	3,940	Property and equipment – net	\$ 17,365		\$ 13,957

4.

## Goodwill and INTANGIBLE assets

In connection with the purchase accounting resulting from the FOH Transaction (see Note 1), FOH Holdings' trademark, domain names, and customer relationship intangible assets and goodwill were revalued in accordance with FOH Holdings' application of FASB Statement No. 141 on March 3, 2005; these valuations were determined by FOH Holdings' management and supported by the work of independent valuation appraisers. In valuing acquired assets and liabilities, fair values were based on, but not limited to, expected discounted cash flows for the customer relationships and relief from royalty for trademark. FASB Statement No. 141 requires identifiable assets acquired, other than goodwill, to be amortized over their estimated useful economic life. FOH Holdings believes the trademark and domain names have indefinite lives and the customer relationships have an economic useful life of four years and are amortized on a straight-line basis. Intangibles at July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, consist of the following (in thousands):

							2007
2006 Trademark	\$ 18,090	\$ 18,090	Customer relationships	889	889	Domain names	169
	19,148	19,148	Less accumulated amortization on customer relationships	542	322		169
	18,606	\$ 18,826				Intangibles – net	\$ 18,826

Aggregate amortization expense was \$220,000, \$225,000, \$97,000, and \$68,000, for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended

F-14

---





## Table of Contents

## Year Ended

July 28, 2007 Year Ended

July 29, 2006 Five Months

Ended

July 30, 2005 Seven Months

Ended

March 3, 2005 Current:					Federal	\$ 1	\$ 14	\$ (124)	\$ (468)	State	(16)	103	
(24)	(83)	(15)	117	(148)	(551)	Deferred:				Federal	558	(5)	
(207)	State	63	5	—	(37)	621	—	—	(244)	\$ 606	\$ 117	\$ (148)	\$ (795)

The total provision (benefit) for income taxes for the years ended July 28, 2007, July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, is as follows (in thousands):

## Year Ended

July 28, 2007 Year Ended

July 29, 2006 Five Months

Ended

July 30, 2005 Seven Months

Ended

March 3, 2005 Continuing operations	\$ 548	\$ 127	\$ (128)	\$ (953)	Discontinued operations	58	(10)
(20)	158	\$ 606	\$ 117	\$ (148)	\$ (795)		

Reconciliations of the provision (benefit) for income taxes to the amount of the provision (benefit) that would result from applying the federal statutory rate of 35% to income (loss) before provision (benefit) for income taxes for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, are as follows:

## Year Ended

July 28, 2007 Year Ended

July 29, 2006 Five Months

Ended

July 30, 2005 Seven Months

Ended

March 3, 2005 Provision for income taxes at federal statutory rate	35.0 %	35.0 %	35.0 %	35.0 %	Surtax benefit	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	(1.0)	State income taxes – net of federal income tax benefit	4.2	4.0
3.9	4.2	Other nondeductible expenses	3.0	(3.9)	(0.5)	(0.3)	Other	(1.5)	(2.7)		8.9	
Valuation allowance	18.2	(35.2)	(26.7)	(20.4)	Effective tax rate	57.9 %	(3.8)%	10.7 %	26.4 %			

The major components of FOH Holding's net deferred income tax liability at July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, are as follows (in thousands):



## Table of Contents

	2007
2006 Deferred tax assets:	
Merchandise inventories	\$ 1,289    \$ 1,082
Net operating loss and other tax attribute carryforwards	898    1,980    852    399    —    675
Accrued vacation and bonuses	
Deferred rent	
Other	119    209
Valuation allowance	(2,025 )    (2,455 )    1,133    1,890
Deferred tax liabilities:	
Trademark	(6,867 )    (6,867 )    (1,369 )    (2,043 )
Difference between book and tax basis of fixed assets	
Customer relationship	(133 )    (216 )    (8,369 )    (9,126 )
Net deferred income tax liability	\$ (7,236 )
\$ (7,236 )	

As a result of cumulative losses, FOH Holdings' management concluded that it is more likely than not that FOH Holdings will not realize certain deferred income tax assets beginning in fiscal 2005. As a result, FOH Holdings has established a valuation allowance in fiscal 2007 and 2006 to reduce the deferred income tax assets to an amount expected to be realized. As of July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the valuation allowance was \$2,025,000 and \$2,455,000, respectively. The valuation allowance (decreased) increased by \$(430,000), \$1,114,000, \$370,000 and \$613,000 for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, respectively. During fiscal 2007, the valuation allowance increased by \$191,000 which is reflected in the tax provision. This increase was off-set by the reversal of \$621,000 of pre-acquisition valuation allowances that were off-set against goodwill. The valuation allowance established at the FOH Transaction date includes approximately \$990,000 of deferred income tax assets for which subsequently recognized tax benefits, if any, would be allocated to reduce goodwill related to the FOH Transaction with any remaining amounts recognized as a benefit in the income tax provision. During the year ended July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings utilized a portion of these deferred tax assets by reducing goodwill by \$621,000.

FOH Holdings has a federal net operating loss carryforward of \$2,400,000 that will expire from 2025 to 2026. FOH Holdings also has state net operating loss carryforwards in various states that have different expiration dates depending on the state.

Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code ("Section 382") contains provisions that may limit the availability of net operating carryforwards to be used to offset taxable income in any given year upon the occurrence of certain events, including significant changes in ownership interests. Under Section 382, an ownership change that triggers potential limitations on net operating loss carryforwards occurs when there has been a greater than 50% change in ownership interest by shareholders owning 5% or more of a company over a period of three years or less. Based on FOH Holdings' management's analysis, FOH Holdings had an ownership change on March 3, 2005, which resulted in Section 382 limitations applying to federal net operating loss carryforwards generated prior to that date. FOH Holdings' management estimates that all of the pre-ownership change net operating loss carryforwards are below any Section 382 annual limitation on the utilization of the pre-ownership change net operating loss carryforward and will be available within the carryforward period.

FOH Holdings believes its tax positions comply with the applicable tax laws and that it has adequately provided for all tax-related matters. FOH Holdings is subject to examination by taxing authorities in the various jurisdictions in which it files tax returns. Specifically, FOH Holdings is routinely under examination by the Internal Revenue Service. Fiscal years 2004 through 2006 are currently open and the 2005 fiscal year is currently under examination. Matters raised upon audit may involve substantial amounts and could result in material cash payments if resolved unfavorably; however, FOH Holdings believes that their tax positions are supportable. Because the examination

## Table of Contents

is in the preliminary stages, FOH Holdings can not yet determine whether the examination will have an impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

7.

## REVOLVING LINE OF CREDIT AND TERM LOANS

FOH Holdings has a financing agreement (the “Agreement”), as amended, which provides that the lender will make revolving loans to FOH Holdings, inclusive of a subfacility for letters of credit, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$12,000,000. Maximum revolving loan borrowings under the Agreement are restricted to the lesser of the revolving credit limit or a borrowing base determined as a percentage of eligible inventories and credit card receivables less the amounts outstanding as standby letters of credit. FOH Holdings is authorized to issue \$750,000 and \$500,000 of standby letters of credit related to its corporate office lease and for merchandise purchases, respectively. The letters of credit were fully outstanding as of July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006. Additionally, the Agreement provided for term loans of up to \$3,000,000 and extends the right to FOH Holdings to request that the total revolving credit commitment be increased at any time before October 9, 2007, by up to \$3,000,000 in increments of \$1,000,000 to a maximum total revolving credit commitment of \$15,000,000. On October 8, 2007, FOH Holdings increased the total revolving credit commitment by \$3,000,000 in accordance with this provision of the Agreement.

Borrowings under the revolving loans under the Agreement are collateralized by all assets of FOH Holdings. Each loan bears interest at a rate per annum equal to (i) the sum of (a) the prime rate, as defined, and (b) an applicable margin ranging from 0.25% to 1.25% determined annually based on earnings before interest, tax, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA) targets, unless FOH Holdings elects the option of having interest charged at the London InterBank Offered Rate (LIBOR), in which case interest will be charged at (ii) the sum of (a) LIBOR and (b) an applicable margin. Interest is payable monthly, in arrears, on the first day of the month. FOH Holdings also pays, on a monthly basis, 0.5% on the amount of any unused credit commitment. Amounts due under the revolving loans are due on January 7, 2008. Borrowings under the term loans under the Agreement are collateralized by trademarks of FOH Holdings. The term loans bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the sum of (a) the prime rate, as defined, and (b) an applicable margin of 1.0%. The term loans were due July 31, 2007 prior to the amendment discussed below.

The Agreement also requires, among others, FOH Holdings to (i) repay excess cash, as defined in the agreement, against the revolving loans, (ii) imposes a prepayment premium of 0.5% on the total revolving credit commitment should it be repaid after January 7, 2007, but before the 90th consecutive day prior to the maturity date, and (iii) extends a right to the lender to make an offer to refinance the debt under certain conditions.

As of July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, FOH Holdings had \$2,250,000 outstanding under the term loans at a rate of 9.25%, including the applicable margin of 1.0% and revolving loans of \$4,490,000 and \$3,308,000, respectively, at a rate of 8.5%, including the applicable margin of 0.25%.

On July 31, 2007, FOH Holdings amended the Agreement to, among other things, (i) extend the term loan maturity to January 7, 2008, and (ii) provide for the use of LIBOR plus 3.25% or prime rate plus 1% on the term loans at FOH Holdings’ option.

## Table of Contents

8.

## LONG-TERM DEBT – RELATED PARTY

FOH Holdings' long-term debt at July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, consists of the following (in thousands):

					2007	
2006 Secured amended term loan (“Tranche A/B”); interest due semiannually on March 31 and September 30 at 8% beginning September 30, 2005, principal of approximately \$1,086 due semiannually on March 31 and September 30 beginning September 30, 2006	\$ 7,600	\$ 7,600	Secured amended term loan (“Tranche C”); principal of approximately \$10,441 due on maturity on January 7, 2010, commencing July 1, 2005, interest at 7% per annum, consisting of 1% in cash paid monthly and 6% accrued to principal monthly and due on maturity (includes accrued interest of approximately \$1,388 and \$701 at July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, respectively)	11,829	11,142	
Total long-term debt	\$ 19,429	\$ 18,742	Less current portion	4,343	Total due beyond one year	\$ 15,086
	\$ 18,742					

Long-term debt maturities during the next three fiscal years are as follows (in thousands):

Ending	2008		2009		2010		2011		2012		Fiscal Years	
	\$ 4,343		2,171		12,915		\$ 19,429					

On March 3, 2005, an affiliate of a minority stockholder of FOH Holdings purchased from the original nonaffiliated lenders their share of the outstanding balances of the original secured term loans (Tranches A/B and C) totaling \$12,604,000. As a result of the transaction, all of the outstanding secured term loans were held by a stockholder and its affiliate. No cash was received or paid by FOH Holdings related to this transaction. On June 30, 2005, FOH Holdings entered into an amended and Restated Tranche A/B and Tranche C Term Loan Agreement with the lenders (the “Amended Secured Term Loans”). In connection with the Amended Secured Term Loans, the previous amounts due under Tranche A and B as of June 30, 2005, were combined into a single loan with a principal balance of \$7,600,000 and repayment terms as disclosed above. The Tranche C principal of \$9,000,000 plus cumulative accrued interest of \$1,441,000 was combined into a single loan principal balance as of June 30, 2005, of \$10,441,000. Interest accrued under the terms of the old Tranche C loan was \$578,000 and \$348,000 for the year ended July 31, 2004, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, respectively. There was \$206,000 of accrued interest in the last five months ended July 29, 2005, related to the old Tranche C loan. Accrued payable-in-kind interest on the new Tranche C loan was \$687,000, \$647,000 and \$54,000 for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, and the five months ended July 30, 2005, respectively. As of July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings has accrued and unpaid related-party interest due in cash of \$49,000 in accounts payable and accrued expense in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

The Amended Secured Term Loans contain certain restrictive financial covenants, including, among others, limitations on capital expenditures and financial covenants as contained in the revolving line of credit, and requires mandatory repayments of a percentage of excess cash flow, as defined in the agreement, equal to 25% for fiscal years ending 2006 and 2007 and 75% for fiscal years ending 2008 and 2009, due 90 days following the last day of each fiscal year to be applied first to Tranche A/B and then, Tranche C beginning the fiscal year ending 2008. No such repayments were made in fiscal years 2007 and 2006. Additionally, the Amended Secured Term Loans are secured by substantially all of FOH Holdings' assets and are second in priority to the revolving line of credit lender.



## Table of Contents

On July 27, 2006, FOH Holdings amended the Amended Secured Term Loans to extend any repayment of the Amended Secured Term Loans to be no earlier than the repayment date of the term loans (the “Senior Term Loans”), currently January 7, 2008, under the revolving line of credit (see Note 7). Upon repayment of the Senior Term Loans, all unpaid scheduled payments from September 2006 through date of the term loans repayment will become cumulatively due and payable on the date of the first scheduled payment following the repayment date of the Senior Term Loans. During the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007, no principal payments were made to the Amended Secured Term Loans. Approximately \$4,343,000 will be due on the Amended Secured Term Loans on the date of the first scheduled payment following the repayment of the Senior Term Loans (see Note 7).

9.

## COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Leases – Store, warehouse, and office facilities are occupied under operating leases that expire at various dates through 2019. Aggregate minimum rental commitments under all noncancelable leases in effect as of July 28, 2007, were as follows (in thousands):

										Fiscal Years			
Ending	2008	\$ 11,066	2009	9,859	2010	8,026	2011	7,108	2012	6,527	Thereafter	22,455	\$
		65,041											

On substantially all leases, FOH Holdings is responsible for incremental rental increases based on sales, as well as repairs, maintenance, and property taxes. Renewal privileges exist on certain leases.

Subsequent to July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings entered into two store leases and amended an additional lease. Estimated future lease payments for these leases are as follows: fiscal 2008; \$143,000, fiscal 2009; \$282,000, fiscal 2010; \$252,000, fiscal 2011; \$198,000; fiscal 2012; \$202,000; and thereafter; \$1,187,000.

Rental expense for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, consists of the following (in thousands):

Year Ended									
July 28, 2007	Year Ended								
July 29, 2006	Five Months								
Ended									
July 30, 2005	Seven Months								
Ended									
March 3, 2005	Minimum rentals	\$ 10,966	\$ 10,969	\$ 4,103	\$ 6,366	Contingent rentals	152	140	
70	169	Total rental expense	\$ 11,118	\$ 11,109	\$ 4,173	\$ 6,535			

Management Fees – During the year ended July 29, 2005, FOH Holdings entered into a management fee arrangement with its stockholders, whereby, the stockholders receive a combined annual management fee of \$200,000. Payments are made on a calendar quarterly basis and began with the quarter ended June 30, 2005. FOH Holdings recorded management fees of \$200,000, \$200,000, and \$67,000 for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, and the five months ended July 30, 2005, respectively. As of July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006,

management fees of \$92,000 and \$17,000, respectively, were accrued and unpaid in accounts payable and accrued expense in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Consulting Fee – Movie Star (as defined in Note 14) entered into a consulting agreement with Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, dated April 9, 2007. Pursuant to this agreement, Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC, agreed, in consideration of a base consulting fee of \$400,000 per annum, to provide the services of Mr. Peter Cole to act as the lead member of the Board

F-20

---



## Table of Contents

of Directors of Movie Star to facilitate the timely and successful completion of the Merger (as defined in Note 14). FOH Holdings agreed that, in the event the merger agreement was terminated for specified reasons, FOH Holdings would reimburse Movie Star for 50% of the base consulting fee paid to Performance Enhancement Partners, LLC prior to such termination.

**Legal Matters** – In July 2004, FOH Holdings became involved in three separate purported class action lawsuits filed that individually allege that FOH Holdings improperly classified store managers in California stores as exempt from overtime requirements, engaged in unfair competition, and violated other provisions of the California Labor Code. These cases were consolidated into a single case. The basis of the complaints was the allegation that store managers in all Company stores were improperly classified as “exempt” from overtime laws, when in fact they should have been classified as “nonexempt” and paid overtime for hours worked in excess of eight hours per day or forty hours per week. The complaints alleged that FOH Holdings forced employees to work off the clock, through meal and rest breaks, and did not pay for all time worked. FOH Holdings agreed to a settlement with respect to the California managerial employees. The parties further agreed to dismiss the hourly claims and managerial claims for non-California managers. On November 10, 2005, the court signed a Preliminary Approval Order approving the settlement and certifying a settlement class. The Final Approval Hearing on the settlement was held on January 17, 2006. FOH Holdings paid \$953,000 in full settlement of the claim in February 2006. These costs, along with the related legal costs of approximately \$218,000, were recorded as a pre-acquisition liability under purchase accounting in connection with the FOH Transaction (see Note 1). No amounts are outstanding as of July 28, 2007.

FOH Holdings was served with a purported class action complaint on October 23, 2006, in which the plaintiff claims FOH Holdings violated certain California consumer privacy laws in requesting cardholders’ telephone numbers during credit card refund transactions and recording such telephone numbers onto a form. FOH Holdings filed an answer denying the plaintiff’s claims and asserting various defenses. Nonetheless, in order to mitigate the cost of litigation, Fredericks of Hollywood, Inc. and Fredericks of Hollywood Stores, Inc. entered into a settlement agreement for the named plaintiff and the purported class, which was approved by the court on October 2, 2007. FOH Holdings has determined that total costs for the resolution of this legal action are likely to approximate \$250,000. FOH Holdings has remaining accrued settlement costs of \$182,000 at July 28, 2007.

FOH Holdings is involved from time to time in litigation incidental to its business. In connection with such actions and claims, FOH Holdings must make estimates of potential future legal obligations and liabilities, which require management’s judgment on the outcome of various issues. Management may also use outside legal counsel to assist in this process. FOH Holdings will record, if material, a liability when it has determined that the occurrence of a loss contingency is probable and the loss amount can be reasonably estimated, and it will disclose the related facts in the notes to its financial statements. If FOH Holdings determines that the occurrence of a loss contingency is reasonably possible or that it is probable but the loss cannot be reasonably estimated, FOH Holdings will, if material, disclose the nature of the loss contingency and the estimated range of possible loss, or include a statement that no estimate of loss can be made. FOH Holdings believes that the outcome of its other currently pending litigation will not have a material adverse effect on its results of operations or financial condition.

**State Sales Taxes** – FOH Holdings sells its products through three channels, retail specialty stores, mail order catalogs, and the Internet. FOH Holdings operates its channels separately and accounts for sales and use tax accordingly. FOH Holdings is periodically audited by the states. It is possible states may disagree with the method of assessing and remitting these taxes. FOH Holdings believes that it is properly assessing and remitting all applicable state sales taxes in the applicable jurisdictions and records necessary reserves for any contingencies that require recognition under FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies.

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

On March 24, 2005, FOH Holdings' Board of Directors amended and revised its articles of incorporation. Under the new articles of incorporation, FOH Holdings authorized 2,250,000 shares

F-21

---

## Table of Contents

of common stock and 250,000 shares of preferred stock. On March 29, 2005, FOH Holdings' stockholders contributed \$5,000,000 in consideration of the issuance of 500,000 shares of common stock of FOH Holdings.

In connection with amendments to FOH Holdings' revolving credit agreement (see Note 7), the stockholders contributed \$1,000,000 to FOH Holdings in consideration for the issuance of 100,000 shares of FOH Holdings' common stock in December 2005. Additionally, FOH Holdings' stockholders contributed \$3,000,000 to FOH Holdings on July 27, 2006, in consideration of the issuance of 300,000 shares of common stock of FOH Holdings. As of July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, FOH Holdings has 2,250,000 authorized shares of common stock, of which 1,330,000 shares were issued and outstanding and 120,000 shares were reserved for the issuance of employee stock options. FOH Holdings has no shares of preferred stock issued or outstanding as of July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006.

11.

## share-based compensation

**Stock Options** – On December 1, 2003, FOH Holdings' Board of Directors approved the 2003 Employee Equity Incentive Plan to grant stock up to 70,000 options to specific employees. In December 2006, FOH Holdings' Board of Directors authorized an additional 50,000 shares to be reserved for the issuance of stock options under the Plan, resulting in total authorizations of 120,000 options. Options granted under the 2003 Employee Equity Incentive Plan have terms of 10 years and vest 25% on the last day of the January fiscal period for each of the next four years, commencing on the first January following the date of grant.

The vesting for these employee's stock options will cease as follows: 1) upon termination for cause, 2) twelve months after termination for disability or 3) on the day before the tenth anniversary of the grant date.

The following is a summary of stock option activity:

									Number
of Shares	Weighted-								
Average	Exercise								
Price per									
Share Outstanding – July 31, 2004	60,000	16.92	Issued	7,500	10.00	Canceled	(8,750)		16.92
Outstanding – July 30, 2005	58,750	16.04	Issued	5,000	10.00	Canceled	(3,750)		16.92
Outstanding – July 29, 2006	60,000	15.48	Issued	62,500	23.18	Canceled	(8,000)		20.35
Outstanding – July 28, 2007	114,500	19.34							

As of July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, 114,500 and 60,000 employee stock options were outstanding, respectively. At July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings had 5,500 shares available for future grants under the 2003 Employee Equity Incentive Plan.

FOH Holdings cannot reasonably estimate the fair value of its options because it is not practicable for it to estimate the expected volatility of its common stock. As a result, FOH Holdings accounts for its stock options based on a value calculated using the historical volatility of comparable companies that are publicly listed instead of the expected volatility of FOH Holdings' stock price. The calculated value of each stock option is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model using the assumptions noted in the following table. The risk-free interest rate is based on the US Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant based on the expected term of the

options. The expected life (estimated in the period outstanding) of options granted was estimated using the

F-22

---

Table of Contents

simplified method. When estimating forfeitures, FOH Holdings considers voluntary termination patterns as well as the trend of historical forfeitures. Prior to the adoption of FASB Statement 123 (R), FOH Holdings calculated the minimum value method by assuming a volatility factor near zero.

The significant weighted-average assumptions that were used to determine the grant date fair value of options granted during the year ended July 28, 2007 and the minimum value method of options granted in 2006 and 2005 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model are as follows:

												2007
2006	2005	Weighted-average risk-free interest rate	4.59 %	4.58 %	4.09 %	Dividend yield	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
		Expected volatility	61.5 %	0 %	0 %	Expected option life (years)	6.3	7	7			

The weighted-average calculated fair value per option granted in fiscal 2007 based on the Black-Scholes option valuation method was \$15.01. The weighted-average calculated minimum value per option granted in fiscal 2006, and fiscal 2005, based on the Black-Scholes option valuation method, was \$2.77, and \$2.43, respectively.

At July 28, 2007, July 29, 2006, and July 30, 2005, there were 53,000, 25,625, and 27,500, outstanding options exercisable at weighted-average exercise prices of \$16.55, \$16.41, and \$16.92, respectively.

The following table summarizes information on outstanding and exercisable stock options as of July 28, 2007:

Options Outstanding	Options Exercisable	Range of Exercise Prices	Number									
Outstanding												
as of												
July 28, 2007	Weighted-											
Average												
Remaining												
Contractual												
Life												
(in years)	Weighted-											
Average												
Exercise												
Price	Number											
Exercisable												
as of												
July 28, 2007	Weighted-											
Average												
Exercise												
Price \$10.00	12,500	7.99	\$ 10.00	5,000	\$ 10.00	16.92	45,000	4.66	16.92	45,000		
16.92	21.91	52,500	9.36	21.91	3,000	21.91	39.54	4,500	9.76	39.54	\$10.00 –	
\$16.92	114,500	7.38	\$ 19.37	53,000	16.55							

FOH Holdings recorded \$141,000 of stock-based compensation expense as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, related to stock options granted

during the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007. FOH Holdings has recognized no tax benefit in the statement of operations related to the stock-based compensation expense recorded during the year ended July 28, 2007. In accordance with the accounting standard previously applied by FOH Holdings, no compensation expense was recorded related to stock options during the fiscal year ended July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005 and the seven months ended March 3, 2005.

At July 28, 2007, there was \$665,000 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested stock options under FOH Holdings share-based payment plan. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately four years.

During the fiscal year ended July 28, 2007, FOH Holdings modified 7,500 options related to a former employee to extend the contractual life. The incremental compensation cost associated with the modification was immaterial to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended July 28, 2007.

12.

#### EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

FOH Holdings maintains a 401(k) profit sharing plan that covers substantially all employees who have completed six months of service and have reached age 20½. Employee contributions are

F-23

---



In connection with the Merger Agreement, Movie Star has agreed to issue to its shareholders nontransferable rights to purchase new shares of Movie Star's stock. To the extent that Movie Star's shareholders do not purchase, in the aggregate, their pro rata percentage of Movie Star's common stock in the rights offering, TTG Apparel, LLC and its affiliates, including Tokarz Investments, LLC, and funds affiliated with Fursa Alternative Strategies LLC, have agreed to purchase, on an equal basis, any such shortfall. As a result of these issuances, Movie Star anticipates raising aggregate proceeds of \$20 million.

F-24

---



Table of Contents

Upon completion of the Merger, each share of common stock of FOH Holdings that is outstanding at the effective time of the Merger will be converted into the right to receive shares of common stock of Movie Star (“Movie Star Common Stock”) based on an exchange ratio of 17.811414. As a result of the Merger, Movie Star anticipates issuing approximately 23.7 million shares of Movie Star Common Stock to the stockholders of FOH Holdings.

Movie Star is a contract manufacturer and current vendor from which FOH Holdings made purchases of \$3,318,000, \$977,000, \$36,000, and \$26,000, for the years ended July 28, 2007 and July 29, 2006, the five months ended July 30, 2005, and the seven months ended March 3, 2005, respectively.

\*\*\*\*\*

F-25

---

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
Movie Star, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Movie Star, Inc. and subsidiary as of June 30, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company has determined that it is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Movie Star, Inc. and subsidiary as of June 30, 2007 and 2006, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Mahoney Cohen & Company, CPA, P.C.  
New York, New York  
August 17, 2007

F-26

---



## Table of Contents

## MOVIE STAR, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007, 2006 AND 2005

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

2007	2006	2005	Net sales	\$ 63,493	\$ 51,639	\$ 58,533	Cost of sales	43,144	37,528	44,304
			Gross profit	20,349	14,111	14,229	Selling, general and administrative expenses	17,434	16,310	19,024
			Insurance recovery	—	(1,450)	—	Merger related fees	2,391	246	—
			Gain on sale of property, plant and equipment	(496)	—	—	Income (loss) from operations	1,020	(995)	(4,795)
			Interest income	(5)	(3)	(1)	Interest expense	609	476	282
			Income (loss) before income tax provision (benefit)	416	(1,468)	(5,076)	Income tax provision (benefit)	270	(468)	(1,954)
			Net income (loss)	\$ 146	\$ (1,000)	\$ (3,122)	BASIC NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE	\$ .01	\$ (.06)	\$ (.20)
			DILUTED NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE	\$ .01	\$ (.06)	\$ (.20)	Basic weighted average number of shares outstanding	16,089	15,700	15,625
			Diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding	15,700	15,625				16,671	

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-28



## Table of Contents

## MOVIE STAR, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007, 2006, AND 2005

(In Thousands)

2007	2006	2005	CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			Net income (loss)	\$ 146
\$ (1,000 )	\$ (3,122 )		Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities:				
			Gain on sale of property, plant and equipment	(496 )	—	Gain on insurance recovery	(1,450 )
			Depreciation and amortization	357	316	406	Provision for sales allowances and doubtful accounts
			480	(539 )	Stock-based compensation expense	236	19
			(2,014 )	Deferred lease liability	(19 )	24	32
			20	(Increase) decrease in operating assets, net of acquisition of business:		Receivables	(1,928 )
			(576 )	2,154	Inventory	2,165	2,749
			)	218	Other assets	(139 )	(31 )
				(91 )	(Decrease) increase in operating liabilities:		
			Accounts payable	(1,001 )	(307 )	1,637	Accrued expenses and other liabilities
						(23 )	(679 )
			Net cash used in operating activities	(137 )	(1,267 )	(3,478 )	CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING
			ACTIVITIES:			Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(260 )
			from insurance recovery	26	1,424	—	Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment
			Acquisition of Sidney Bernstein & Son business	—	—	(3,456 )	Net cash provided by (used in) investing
			activities	464	1,109	(3,681 )	CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:
			Repayments of capital lease obligation	(59 )	—	—	(Repayments of) proceeds from revolving line of credit, net
			—	(4,794 )	4,794	(Repayments of) proceeds from new revolving line of credit, net	(829 )
			Proceeds from exercise of employee stock options	416	—	22	Net cash (used in) provided by financing
			activities	(472 )	161	4,816	Effect of exchange rate changes on cash
			(DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH	(150 )	25	(2,349 )	CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR
			2,527	CASH, END OF YEAR	\$ 53	\$ 203	\$ 178
							SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW
			INFORMATION:			Cash paid during year for:	Interest
			Income taxes	\$ 15	\$ 37	\$ 60	SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF NONCASH
			FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			Acquisition of equipment, software and maintenance contract through	
			assumption of capital lease obligation	\$ 170	\$ —	\$ —	
			See notes to consolidated financial statements				

Table of Contents

MOVIE STAR, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007, 2006 AND 2005

1. SUMMARY

OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business – Movie Star, Inc. (the “Company”) is a New York corporation organized in 1935, which designs, manufactures (through independent contractors), imports, markets and distributes an extensive line of ladies’ sleepwear, robes, leisurewear, loungewear, panties and daywear.

The Company’s wholly-owned subsidiary, Cinejour Lingerie Inc., is a Canadian corporation formed in May 2004 to market and sell the Company’s products throughout Canada. The Company has an agreement with an independent representative to provide sales representation for the Company in Canada and to supervise the operations of its office in Canada.

Principles of Consolidation – The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Movie Star, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Cinejour Lingerie, Inc. (collectively, the “Company”). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates – The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America also requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Significant estimates include provision for inventory obsolescence, deferred tax assets, allowances for doubtful accounts and sales discounts. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Allowances for Doubtful Accounts/Sales Discounts – The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. If the financial condition of the Company’s customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. The Company also estimates allowances for customer discounts and incentive offerings. If market conditions were to decline, the Company may take actions to increase customer incentive offerings possibly resulting in an incremental allowance at the time the incentive is offered.

Inventory – Inventory is valued at lower of cost (first-in, first-out) or market. The Company writes down inventory for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory equal to the difference between the cost of inventory and the estimated market value based upon assumptions about future demand and market conditions and the age of inventory.

Property, Plant and Equipment – Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation and amortization are provided by the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives:

Buildings and improvements  
15 – 30 years Machinery & Equipment 5 years Office furniture and equipment 3 – 5 years Leasehold improvements  
Lesser of life of the asset or life of lease

Impairment of Long-lived Assets – The Company follows Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 144, “Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets.” SFAS No. 144 requires that long-lived assets, including property and equipment, be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. The Company assesses its assets for impairment based on the estimated future undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and records impairment losses when this amount is less than the carrying amount. Impairment losses are recorded for the excess of the assets’ carrying amount over their fair value, which is generally determined based on the

F-31

---



## Table of Contents

estimated future discounted cash flows over the remaining useful life of the asset using a discount rate determined by management at the date of the impairment review. Management believes at this time that the carrying value and useful life of long-lived assets continue to be appropriate.

**Goodwill and Intangible Assets** – Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired in a business combination accounted for under purchase method of accounting (see Note 2). The Company follows SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets,” whereby goodwill is not amortized and the Company conducts impairment testing in the fourth quarter of each fiscal year, or sooner if events and changes in circumstances suggest that the carrying amount may not be recoverable from its estimated future cash flows. No amortization or impairment charges relating to goodwill have been recorded for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2007, 2006 and 2005. Intangible assets at June 30, 2007 and 2006 consist of a covenant not to compete of \$11,000 and \$21,000, respectively, which is included in “Other assets” and is being amortized by the straight-line method over the contract life. Amortization is expected to be \$10,000 next year and \$1,000 in the following year.

**Deferred Rent** – The Company accounts for rental expense in accordance with SFAS No. 13 “Accounting for Leases” whereby rent expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the non-cancelable lease term and the difference between the amount recognized and the amount paid is recorded as a deferred lease liability.

**Stock Options** – Previously, pursuant to Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees,” (“APB”) the Company accounted for stock-based employee compensation arrangements using the intrinsic value method. Accordingly, no compensation expense was recorded in the financial statements with respect to option grants, since the options were granted at/or above market value.

Effective July 1, 2005, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), “Share Based Payment” (“SFAS No. 123R”), which eliminates the use of APB 25 and the intrinsic value method of accounting, and requires companies to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments, based on the grant date fair value of those awards, in the financial statements. The Company has adopted the modified prospective method whereby compensation cost is recognized in the financial statements beginning with the effective date based on the requirements of SFAS No. 123R for all share-based payments granted after that date and for all unvested awards granted prior to that date.

Had the Company elected to recognize compensation expense for stock-based compensation using the fair value method, net income (loss), basic and diluted net income (loss) per share would have been as follows:

	Years		
Ended June 30, in thousands, except per share amounts	2007	2006	2005
Net income (loss), as reported	\$ 146	\$ (1,000 )	\$ (3,122 )
Add stock-based employee compensation expense, included in reported net income (loss), net of taxes	141	11	—
Deduct stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method, net of taxes	(141)	(11)	(30)
Pro forma net income (loss)	\$ 146	\$ (1,000 )	\$ (3,152 )
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per share, as reported	\$ .01	\$ (.06 )	\$ (.20 )
Pro forma basic and diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ .01	\$ (.06 )	\$ (.20 )

