NOBLE INTERNATIONAL LTD Form 10-K March 15, 2005

\_\_\_\_\_\_

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2004

Commission File No.: 001-13581

NOBLE INTERNATIONAL, LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

38-3139487

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

DELAWARE (State of incorporation)

28213 VAN DYKE AVENUE
WARREN, MICHIGAN
(Address of principal (Zip Code)

executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (586) 751-5600

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

NONE

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Title of each class  $\mbox{Name of each exchange on which registered } \mbox{COMMON STOCK, $.001 PAR VALUE} \\ \mbox{NASDAQ NATIONAL MARKET}$ 

\_\_\_\_\_

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes [X] No[]

The aggregate market value of the shares of common stock, \$.001 par value ("Common Stock") held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2005 was approximately \$174 million based upon the closing price for the Common Stock on the NASDAQ on such date.

The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock outstanding as of March 1, 2005 was 9,299,450.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K incorporates by reference information (to the extent specific sections are referred to herein) from the Registrant's Proxy Statement for its 2005 Annual Meeting to be held June 24, 2005 (the "2005 Proxy Statement").

The matters discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K contain certain forward-looking statements. For this purpose, any statements contained in this Report that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. Without limiting the foregoing, words such as "may," "will," expect," "believe," "anticipate," "estimate," or "continue," the negative or other variations thereof, or comparable terminology, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially depending on a variety of factors, including continued market demand for the types of products and services produced and sold by the Company, change in worldwide economic and political conditions and associated impact on interest and foreign exchange rates, the level of sales by original equipment manufacturers of vehicles for which the Company supplies parts, the successful integration of companies acquired by the Company, and changes in consumer debt levels.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART	I	
	Item 1.	Business
	Item 2.	Properties
	Item 3.	Legal Proceedings
	Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
PART	II	
	Item 5.	Market for the Company's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters
	Item 6.	Selected Financial Data
	Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
	Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
	Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
	Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
	Ttem 9A.	Controls and Procedures
	Item 9B.	
PART	III	
	Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant
	Item 11.	Executive Compensation
	Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management
	Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions
	Item 14.	
PART	IV	
	Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

2

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

Noble International, Ltd. ("Noble"), through its subsidiaries, is a full-service provider of tailored laser welded blanks and tubes for the automotive industry. Noble's laser-welded blanks are manufactured from two or more blanks of varying thickness and sizes welded together utilizing automated laser assemblies, and are used by original equipment manufacturers ("OEMs") or their suppliers in automobile body components such as doors, fenders, body side panels, and pillars.

Noble operates four locations in Michigan, Kentucky, Canada, and Australia. In January 2005, Noble purchased a metal processing business in Mexico. Executive offices are located at 28213 Van Dyke Ave, Warren, MI 48093, tel. (586) 751-5600. Noble's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol NOBL.

Noble's fiscal year is the calendar year. Thus any reference to a fiscal year in this Report should be understood to mean the period from January 1 to December 31 of that year.

#### HISTORY AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

Noble International, Ltd. was incorporated on October 3, 1993 in the State of Michigan. On June 29, 1999 Noble reincorporated in the State of Delaware. Since its formation in 1993, Noble has completed over two dozen significant acquisitions and divestitures (the "Acquisitions"). As used in this Annual Report (the "Report"), the term "Company" refers to Noble and its subsidiaries and their combined operations, after consummation of all the Acquisitions.

In 1996, the Company completed the acquisitions of Noble Component Technologies, Inc. ("NCT"), Monroe Engineering Products, Inc. ("Monroe"), and Cass River Coatings, Inc. ("Vassar").

In 1997, the Company completed the acquisitions of Skandy Corp. ("Skandy"), Utilase Production Processing, Inc. ("UPP"), Noble Metal Forming, Inc. ("NMF"), and Noble Metal Processing, Inc. ("NMP"). In November 1997, the Company completed an initial public offering of 3.3 million shares of common stock resulting in gross proceeds of \$29.7 million (the "Offering").

In 1998, the Company completed the acquisitions of Tiercon Plastics, Inc. ("TPI"), Tiercon Coatings, Inc. ("TCI"), and Noble Metal Processing-Midwest, Inc. ("NMPM").

In 1999, TPI and TCI were combined with and into Tiercon Industries, Inc. ("Tiercon"). TPI and TCI continued to operate as separate divisions of Tiercon. On August 31, 1999 the Company purchased certain assets of Jebco Manufacturing, Inc. ("Jebco").

In 2000, the Company completed the sale of Noble Canada, Inc. ("Noble Canada") including Tiercon (the "Tiercon Sale"). As part of the Tiercon Sale the Company sold Vassar and NCT. The Tiercon Sale comprised all of the operating companies classified as the Company's plastics and coatings division.

In 2000, the Company completed the acquisition of Noble Logistics

Services, Inc. ("NLS-TX"), (formerly known as DSI Holdings, Inc. ("DSI")). In addition, in 2000, the Company completed the acquisition of Noble Logistic Services, Inc. ("NLS-CA"), (formerly known as Assured Transportation & Delivery, Inc. ("ATD") and its affiliate, Central Transportation & Delivery, Inc. ("CTD")).

In 2000, the Company completed the acquisition of Pro Motorcar Products, Inc. ("PMP") and its affiliated distribution company, Pro Motorcar Distribution, Inc. ("PMD").

3

On February 16, 2001, the Company acquired a 49% interest in S.E.T. Steel, Inc. ("SET") for \$3.0 million (the "SET Acquisition"). SET is a Qualified Minority Business Enterprise, providing metal processing services to OEMs. Contemporaneously with the SET Acquisition, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary Noble Manufacturing Group, Inc. ("NMG") (formerly known as Noble Technologies, Inc.), sold all of the capital stock of NMPM and NMF to SET for \$27.2 million (the "SET Sale") in exchange for a note due June 14, 2001. On June 28, 2001, SET completed bank financing of its purchase of NMF and NMPM and repaid the \$27.2 million note to the Company with \$24.7 million in cash and a \$4.0 million, 12% subordinated note due in 2003. In addition, the Company guaranteed \$10.0 million of SET's senior debt. During the quarter ended September 30, 2001, SET repurchased the Company's 49% interest for \$3.0 million. The Company received a \$3.0 million, 12% subordinated note due in 2003. On April 1, 2002, the Company converted its \$7.6 million note receivable, including interest, from SET into preferred stock of SET. The preferred stock was non-voting and was redeemable at the Company's option in 2007. The Company agreed to convert the subordinated promissory note to preferred stock in order to assist SET in obtaining capital without appreciably decreasing the Company's repayment rights or jeopardize SET's minority status. Management believes that continued support of SET furthers the joint strategic objectives of the two companies. On August 1, 2003 SET completed its acquisition of Michigan Steel Processing, Inc. ("MSP"), a subsidiary of Sumitomo Corporation of America ("SCOA"). As part of the transaction, SCOA contributed 100% of the common stock of MSP in exchange for 45% of the common stock of SET. In addition, the Company reduced its quarantee of SET's senior debt from \$10.0 million to \$3.0 million. The \$3.0 million quarantee expires in August 2005. The Company exchanged its \$7.6 million non-convertible, non-voting redeemable preferred stock investment in SET for \$7.6 million in Series A non-convertible, non-voting preferred stock which provides an 8% annual dividend, and is non-redeemable by the Company. The Series A preferred stock is redeemable by SET at its option. In connection with the transaction, the Company was issued 4% of the outstanding common stock of SET. The excess of the estimated fair values of the preferred and common stock received over the carrying value of the redeemable preferred stock has been recorded on the Company's books as a component of other income in the 2003 statement of operations for approximately \$0.3 million.

On June 8, 2001, the Company acquired a 51% interest in SCO Logistics, Inc. ("SCOL"). SCOL is a provider of logistics management services to the bulk chemical industry. On October 1, 2001 the Company sold its interest in SCOL to the management of SCOL for \$0.35 million.

On December 18, 2001, the Company, through its wholly owned subsidiary NMG, purchased 81% of the outstanding capital stock of Noble Construction Equipment, Inc. ("NCE") (formerly known as Construction Equipment Direct, Inc. ("CED")), for \$0.35 million in cash and stock valued at \$0.35 million along with a call right to purchase the balance of the stock. On December 19, 2001, NCE purchased certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc.'s construction equipment division for approximately \$6.1

million in cash. On December 21, 2001, NMG exercised its call option and acquired the balance of the stock of NCE.

On October 4, 2002, the Company completed a secondary offering of 925,000 shares of its \$0.001 par value common stock. The net proceeds of \$8.6 million were used to reduce the Company's long-term debt.

On December 31, 2002, the Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary NMG, completed the sale of NCE for \$14.0 million in cash. The transaction resulted in a gain of \$0.174 million, net of tax. The proceeds were used to reduce the Company's long-term debt. The Company completed the transaction with an entity in which the Company's Chairman and another officer have an interest. An independent committee of the board of directors of the Company was established to evaluate, negotiate, and complete the transaction. In addition, an independent fairness opinion regarding the transaction was obtained.

4

On March 21, 2003, the Company completed the sale of its logistics group for approximately \$11.1 million in cash and notes as well as the assumption of substantially all payables and liabilities. The transaction included cash of \$2.0 million at closing, two short-term notes totaling approximately \$5.1 million, a \$1.5 million three-year amortizing note and a \$2.5 million five-year amortizing note. The short-term notes were repaid in full during the third quarter of 2004. The two long-term notes bear an annual interest rate of 4.5% and will be repaid in equal monthly installments. As of December 31, 2004 the Company has received approximately \$6.7 million in proceeds from the sale of the logistics business in addition to the \$2.0 million in cash received at closing. As of December 31, 2004, the outstanding balance on the long-term notes was \$2.4 million.

On October 17, 2003, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of Prototube, LLC ("Prototube") from Weil Engineering GmbH ("Weil") and Global Business Support, LLC ("GBS") for \$0.1 million in cash plus the assumption of \$1.2 million in liabilities. Prototube manufactures a variety of products with applications in the aerospace, automotive, and other industries. Its products are roll formed or stamped from flat steel or a laser welded blank, then, utilizing a proprietary technology, they are formed into a tube and laser welded. Prototube's production process allows parts to be produced in several different shapes including round, rectangular and oval from various types and thicknesses of steel, as well as aluminum. In addition to multiple thicknesses of metal, Prototube can create multi-diameter products and join curved surfaces together by adjusting the output power of the laser.

The Company made the decision to exit the distribution (Monroe, PMP, PMD and Peco Manufacturing, Inc. "Peco") business in the fourth quarter of 2003 and classified this operation as discontinued as of December 31, 2003. On January 28, 2004 the Company completed the sale of the distribution business to an entity in which the Company's Chairman and another officer have an interest for approximately \$5.5 million in cash. An independent committee of the board of directors of the Company was established to evaluate, negotiate and complete the transaction. In addition, an independent fairness opinion regarding the transaction was obtained.

On January 21, 2004, the Company completed the acquisition of Prototech Laser Welding, Inc. ("LWI") for approximately \$13.6 million in cash and the assumption of approximately \$0.7 million in subordinated debt and up to an additional \$1.0 million payable if certain new business is awarded to Noble within the twenty-four month period following the acquisition. LWI, based in Clinton Township, Michigan, was a supplier of laser-welded blanks to General

Motors.

In January 2005, the Company completed the acquisition of the assets of Oxford Automotive Inc.'s steel processing facility in Silao, Mexico for \$5.7 million in cash and the assumption of \$1.1 million in operating liabilities. The facility supplies component blanks on a toll processing basis to the Mexican automotive industry. The Company intends to begin laser welding at the facility in the latter half of 2005. The Company intends to sell a minority interest in this business by entering into a joint venture with an international steel processing company with operations in Mexico. The Company anticipates that the joint venture will operate the Silao facility with the Company retaining a majority interest in the venture. Management expects to reach a definitive agreement with its prospective joint venture partner in the second quarter 2005.

NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF INDUSTRY SEGMENTS

CONTINUING OPERATIONS

Automotive

As of December 31, 2004 the Company's continuing operating subsidiaries are organized into a single reporting segment operating in the automotive supply business. This segment includes NMP,

5

Noble Metal Processing - Kentucky, LLC ("NMPK"), Noble Metal Processing -Canada, Inc. ("NMPC"), Prototube, LWI and Noble Metal Processing - Australia Pty. ("NMPA"). The Company is a supplier of automotive components and value-added services to the automotive industry. Customers include OEMs, such as General Motors ("GM"), DaimlerChrysler AG ("DCX"), Ford Motor Company ("Ford"), BMW of North America LLC ("BMW"), Toyota Motor Corporation ("Toyota"), American Honda Motor Company, Inc. ("Honda") and Nissan North America, Inc. ("Nissan"), as well as other companies that are suppliers to OEMs ("Tier I suppliers"). The Company, as a Tier I and Tier II supplier, provides prototype design, engineering, laser welding of tailored blanks, tailor welded tubes and other laser welding and cutting services of automotive components. The Company's manufacturing facilities have been awarded both QS-9000 and ISO 14001 certifications, and its Michigan, Ontario and Mexico (acquired in January 2005) facilities have been awarded TS16949 certification. The Company expects its Shelbyville facility to be certified by the end of 2005, ahead of customer requirements. The Company's Michigan facility has also been awarded Q1 certification from Ford Motor Company.

The process of laser welding involves the concentration of a beam of light, producing energy densities of 16 to 20 million watts per square inch, at the point where two metal pieces are to be joined. Laser welding allows rapid weld speeds with low heat input, thus minimizing topical distortion of the metal and resulting in ductile and formable welds that have mechanical properties comparable to, or in some cases superior to, the metal being welded. Laser welds provide improved performance as well as visual aesthetics and allow significant automation of the welding process.

Laser welding of blanks offers significant advantages over other blank welding technologies, including cost, weight and safety benefits. The Company has developed a technology and production process that the Company believes permits it to produce laser welded blanks more quickly and with higher quality and tolerance levels than its competitors. In 1995 and 2000, the UltraLight Steel Auto Body Consortium, a worldwide industry association of steel producers, commissioned a study which concluded that laser welded tailored blanks will play

a significant role in car manufacturing in the next decade as the automotive industry is further challenged to produce lighter cars for better fuel economy, with enhanced safety features and lower manufacturing costs. In addition, the studies identified 21 potential applications for laser welding of tailored blanks per vehicle. The Company has identified nine additional potential applications.

#### DESIGN AND ENGINEERING

The development of new automobile models or the redesign of existing models generally begins two to five years prior to the marketing of such models to the public. The Company's engineering staff typically works with OEM and Tier I engineers early in the development phase to design specific automotive body components for the new or redesigned models. The Company also provides other value-added services, such as prototyping, to its automotive customers.

Internally, the Company's engineering and research staff designs and integrates proprietary laser welding systems using latest design techniques. These systems are for exclusive use by the Company and are not marketed or sold to third parties. Continued strategic investment in process technology is essential for the Company to remain competitive in the markets it serves, and the Company plans to continue to make appropriate levels of research and development expenditures. During the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004, the Company expensed \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, on research and development.

#### MARKETING

The Company's sales and engineering staff is located in direct proximity to major customers. Typically, OEMs and Tier I suppliers conduct a competitive bid process to select laser welders for the parts that they will include in their end products. The Company's direct sales force, marketing and technical personnel work closely with OEM engineers to satisfy the OEMs' specific requirements. In

6

addition, the Company's technical personnel will spend a significant amount of time assisting OEM engineers in product planning and integration of laser welded components in future automotive models.

### RAW MATERIALS

The raw materials required for the Company's automotive operations include rolled and coated steel and gases such as carbon dioxide and argon. The Company obtains its raw materials and purchased parts from a variety of suppliers. The Company does not believe that it is dependent upon any of its suppliers, despite concentration of purchasing of certain materials from a few sources, as other suppliers of the same or similar materials are readily available. The Company typically purchases its raw materials on a purchase order basis as needed and has generally been able to obtain adequate supplies of raw materials for its operations. The majority of the steel is purchased through OEMs' steel buying programs. Under these programs the Company purchases the steel from specific suppliers at the steel price the customer negotiated with the steel suppliers. Although the Company does take ownership and the attendant risks of ownership of the steel, the price at which the steel is purchased is fixed for the duration of each program. Further, a portion of the automotive operations involves the toll processing of materials supplied by another Tier I supplier, typically a steel manufacturer or processor. Under these arrangements the Company charges a specified fee for operations performed without acquiring ownership of the steel.

#### PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

The Company owns a number of patents, patents pending and trademarks related to its products and methods of manufacturing. The loss of any single patent or group of patents would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business. The Company also has proprietary technology and equipment that constitute trade secrets, which it has chosen not to register in order to avoid public disclosure thereof. The Company relies upon patent and trademark law, trade secret protection and confidentiality or license agreements with its employees, customers and third parties to protect its proprietary rights.

#### SEASONALITY

The Company's automotive operations business is largely dependent upon the automotive industry, which is highly cyclical and is dependent on consumer spending. In addition, the automotive component supply industry is somewhat seasonal. Increased revenues and operating income are generally experienced during the second calendar quarter as a result of the automotive industry's spring selling season, the peak sales and production period of the year. Revenue and operating income generally decreases during July and December of each year as a result of changeovers in production lines for new model years as well as scheduled OEM plant shutdowns for vacations and holidays.

The Company's historical results of operations have generally not reflected typical cyclical or seasonal fluctuations in revenues and operating income. The acquisitions and dispositions completed by the Company have resulted in a growth trend which may have masked the effect of typical seasonal fluctuations. There can be no assurance that the Company's business will continue its historical growth trend, or that it will conform to industry norms for seasonality in future periods.

7

#### CUSTOMERS

In 2004, automotive industry customers accounted for substantially all of the Company's consolidated net sales from continuing operations. Certain customers accounted for significant percentages (greater than 10%) of the Company's consolidated net sales from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2002, 2003, and 2004, as follows:

	2002	2003	2004
DaimlerChrysler	41%	37%	34%
General Motors	25%	26%	24%
Ford Motor Company		18%	29%

#### COMPETITION

The automotive component supply and tooling component industries are highly competitive. In the automotive segment the Company's primary competitors are TWB Company, Shiloh Industries Inc. and PowerLasers Ltd. Competition in this segment is based on many factors, including engineering, product design, process capability, quality, cost, delivery and responsiveness. The Company believes that its performance record places it in a strong competitive position, although

there can be no assurance that it can continue to compete successfully against existing or future competitors in each of the markets in which it competes.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Within the automotive component supply operations, the Company is subject to environmental laws and regulations concerning emissions to the air, discharges to waterways, and generation, handling, storage, transportation, treatment and disposal of waste materials. The Company is also subject to other Federal and state laws and regulations regarding health and safety matters. Each of the Company's production facilities has permits and licenses allowing and regulating air emissions and water discharges. The Company believes that it is currently in compliance with applicable environmental and health and safety laws and regulations.

#### **EMPLOYEES**

As of December 31, 2004, the Company employed 705 people in its continuing operations. This amount included 331 production employees, 80 independent production contractors, and 294 managerial, engineering, research and administrative personnel. The Company believes that its relations with its employees are satisfactory. One NMP plant elected to be represented by the International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace, and Agricultural Implement Workers of America ("UAW"). In January 2004, the Company entered into a new five year collective bargaining agreement with the UAW which expires in December 2008. The plant has not been subject to a strike, lockout or other major work stoppage.

#### FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC OPERATIONS

International operations are subject to certain additional risks inherent in conducting business outside the United States, such as changes in currency exchange rates, price and currency exchange controls, import restrictions, nationalization, expropriation and other governmental action.

8

#### RISK FACTORS

The following factors are important and should be considered carefully in connection with any evaluation of the Company's business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. Additionally, the following factors could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those reflected in any forward-looking statements of the Company. The factors identified here do not represent an exhaustive list of potential risks involved in our business that are beyond the Company's control.

Outstanding Indebtedness. In order to finance its operations, including costs related to the consummation of various acquisitions, the Company has incurred indebtedness. The Company's credit facilities are secured by substantially all of its assets as well as the assets of its subsidiaries. In addition to certain financial covenants, the Company's credit facilities restrict its ability to incur additional indebtedness or pledge assets. As of December 31, 2004, the Company is in compliance with all of the terms of its credit facilities. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will be able to comply with the terms of its credit facilities in the future.

The Company maintains a \$35.0 million secured credit facility with a commercial bank with a maturity date of April 2009 with no outstanding borrowings at December 31, 2004 and has issued \$40.0 million in 4% unsecured

convertible subordinated notes. Refer to the notes to the financial statements regarding additional information related to outstanding indebtedness.

Debt Service Obligations. The Company's business is subject to all of the risks associated with substantial leverage, including the risk that available cash may not be adequate to make required payments. The Company's ability to satisfy outstanding debt obligations from cash flow will be dependent upon its future performance and will be subject to financial, business and other factors, many of which may be beyond its control. In the event that the Company does not have sufficient cash resources to satisfy its repayment obligations, it would be in default, which would have a material adverse effect on its business. To the extent that the Company is required to use cash resources to satisfy interest payments to the holders of outstanding debt obligations, it will have fewer resources available for other purposes. While the Company has reduced its leverage and improved its liquidity over the past several years, there is no assurance that the Company will not increase its leverage in the future, whether as a result of operational or financial performance, acquisition or otherwise.

Reliance on Major Customers. Sales to the automotive industry accounted for substantially all of the Company's sales from continuing operations in 2004. In addition, the Company's automotive sales are highly concentrated among a few major OEMs and automotive suppliers. Thus, the loss of any significant customer could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business. As is typical in the automotive supply industry, the Company has no long-term contracts with any of its customers. The Company's customers provide annual estimates of their requirements; however, sales are made on a short-term purchase order basis. There is substantial and continuing pressure from the major OEMs and Tier I suppliers to reduce costs, including the cost of products purchased from outside suppliers. If in the future the Company is unable to generate sufficient production cost savings to offset price reductions, its gross margins could be adversely affected.

Risks Relating to Acquisitions. The automotive component supply industry is undergoing consolidation as OEMs seek to reduce both their costs and their supplier base. Future acquisitions may be made in order to enable the Company to expand into new geographic markets, add new customers, provide new products, expand manufacturing and service capabilities and increase automotive model penetration with existing customers. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in identifying appropriate acquisition candidates or in successfully combining operations with such candidates if they are identified. It should be noted that any acquisitions could involve the dilutive issuance of equity securities or the incurrence of debt. In addition, acquisitions involve numerous other risks, including difficulties in assimilation of the acquired company's operations following

9

consummation of the acquisition, the diversion of management's attention from other business concerns, risks of producing products we have limited experience with, the potential loss of key customers of the acquired company, and the ability of pre-acquisition due diligence to identify all possible issues that may arise with respect to products of the acquired company. All these acquisition risks could materially and adversely affect the financial performance of the Company.

Failure to Obtain Business on New and Redesigned Model Introductions. The Company's automotive product lines are subject to change as its customers, including both OEMs and Tier I suppliers, introduce new or redesigned products. The Company competes for new business both at the beginning of the development phase of new vehicle models, which generally begins two to five years prior to

the marketing of such models to the public, and upon the redesign of existing models. The Company's sales would be adversely affected if the Company fails to obtain business on new models, or fails to retain or increase business on redesigned existing models, or if the Company's customers do not successfully introduce new products incorporating the Company's products, or if market demand for these new products does not develop as anticipated.

Dependence on Continuous Improvement of Production Technologies. The Company's ability to continue to meet customer demands within its automotive operations with respect to performance, cost, quality and service will depend, in part, upon its ability to remain technologically competitive with its production processes. New automotive products are increasingly complex, require increased welding precision, use of various materials and have to be run at higher production speeds and with lower scrap ratios in order to reduce costs. The investment of significant additional capital or other resources may be required to meet this continuing challenge. If the Company is unable to improve its production technologies, it will lose business and possibly be forced to exit from the particular market.

Design and Engineering Resources. Within the automotive industry, OEMs and Tier I suppliers require their suppliers to provide design and engineering input during the product development process. The direct costs of design and engineering are generally borne by the Company's customers. However, the Company bears the indirect cost associated with the allocation of limited design and engineering resources to such product development projects. Despite the Company's up-front dedication of design and engineering resources, its customers are under no obligation to order the subject components or systems from the Company following their development. In addition, when the Company deems it strategically advisable, it may also bear the direct up-front design and engineering costs as well. There can be no assurance that the Company's dedication of design and engineering resources, or up-front design and engineering expenditures, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, cash flow or results of operations.

Industry Cyclicality and Seasonality. The automotive industry is highly cyclical and dependent on consumer spending. Economic factors adversely affecting automotive production and consumer spending could adversely impact the Company's business. In addition, the automotive component supply industry is somewhat seasonal. The Company's need for continued significant expenditures for capital equipment purchases, equipment development and ongoing manufacturing improvement and support, among other factors, make it difficult for us to reduce operating expenses in a particular period if our net sales forecasts for such period are not met, because a substantial component of our operating expenses are fixed costs. Generally, revenue and operating income increase during the second calendar quarter of each year as a result of the automotive industry's spring selling season, which is the peak sales and production period of the year. Revenue and operating income generally decrease during July and December of each year as a result of changeovers in production lines for new model years as well as scheduled OEM plant shutdowns for vacations and holidays.

The Company's historical results of operations have generally not reflected typical cyclical or seasonal fluctuations in revenues and operating income. The acquisitions and divestitures completed by the Company have resulted in a growth trend through successive periods which has masked the effect of typical seasonal fluctuations. There can be no assurance that the Company's business will continue its

10

historical growth trend, that it will continue to be profitable or that it will conform to industry norms for seasonality in future periods.

Risk of Labor Interruptions. Within the automotive supply industry substantially all of the hourly employees of the OEMs and many Tier I suppliers are represented by labor unions, and work pursuant to collective bargaining agreements. The failure of any of the Company's significant customers to reach agreement with a labor union on a timely basis, resulting in either a work stoppage or strike, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business. During 1999, production workers at the Company's NMP facility in Michigan elected to be represented by the UAW. A three-year collective bargaining agreement was entered into in September 2000 and expired in December 2003. In January 2004, the Company entered into a new five-year collective bargaining agreement, which expires in December 2008, with the UAW at its NMP facility in Michigan. Although this plant has never been subject to a strike, lockout or other major work stoppage, any such incident would have a material adverse effect on the Company's operating income.

Product Liability Exposure. Within the automotive operations, the Company faces an inherent business risk of exposure to product liability claims if the failure of one of its products results in personal injury or death. There can be no assurance that material product liability losses will not occur in the future. In addition, if any of the Company's products prove to be defective, the Company may be required to participate in a recall involving such products. The Company maintains insurance against product liability claims, but there can be no assurance that such coverage will be adequate or will continue to be available to the Company on acceptable terms or at all. A successful claim brought against the Company in excess of available insurance coverage or a requirement to participate in any product recall could have a material adverse effect on its business.

Impact of Environmental Regulation. The Company is subject to the requirements of federal, state and local environmental and occupational health and safety laws and regulations. Although the Company has made and will continue to make expenditures to comply with environmental requirements, these requirements are constantly evolving, and it is impossible to predict whether compliance with these laws and regulations may have a material adverse effect on the Company in the future. If a release of hazardous substances occurs on or from the Company's properties or from any of its disposals at offsite disposal locations, or if contamination is discovered at any of the Company's current or former properties, it may be held liable, and the amount of such liability could be material.

Dependence on Key Personnel. The Company's operations are dependent upon its ability to attract and retain qualified employees in the areas of engineering, operations and management, and are greatly influenced by the efforts and abilities of Robert J. Skandalaris, Chairman and Christopher L. Morin, President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as its other executive officers. The Company has employment agreements with Mr. Skandalaris, Mr. Morin and several other officers. The Company does not maintain key-person life insurance on its executives.

Control by Existing Stockholders. Robert J. Skandalaris owns and/or controls approximately 21% of the outstanding Common Stock. As a result, Mr. Skandalaris is able to exert significant influence over the outcome of all matters submitted to a vote of the Company's stockholders, including the election of directors, amendments to the Company's Certificates of Incorporation and approval of significant corporate transactions. Such consolidation of voting power could also have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control that might be beneficial to other stockholders.

Anti-Takeover Provisions. Certain provisions of the Company's Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws may inhibit changes in control of the Company not approved by the Board of Directors. These provisions include: (i) a prohibition

on stockholder action through written consents; (ii) a requirement that special meetings of stockholders be called only by the Board of Directors; (iii) advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals and nominations; (iv) limitations on the ability of stockholders to amend, alter or repeal the Bylaws; and (v) the authority of the Board of Directors to issue, without

11

stockholder approval, preferred stock with such terms as the Board of Directors may determine. The Company will also be afforded the protections of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which could have similar effects.

Risks Associated With International Operations. The Company operates a production facility in Ontario, Canada, and has opened a facility during the first quarter of 2004 in Australia. In the first quarter of 2005, the Company acquired a metal processing facility in Silao, Mexico. The Company's business strategy may include the continued expansion of international operations. As the Company expands its international operations, it will increasingly be subject to the risks associated with such operations, including: (i) fluctuations in currency exchange rates; (ii) compliance with local laws and other regulatory requirements; (iii) restrictions on the repatriation of funds; (iv) inflationary conditions; (v) political and economic instability; (vi) war or other hostilities; (vii) overlap of tax structures; and (viii) expropriation or nationalization of assets. The inability to effectively manage these and other risks could adversely affect the Company's business.

Shares Eligible for Future Sale. The Company cannot predict the effect that future sales of Common Stock will have on the market price of the Common Stock. Sales of substantial amounts of Common Stock, or the perception that such sales could occur, could adversely affect the market price of the Common Stock. Approximately 23% of the shares of Common Stock currently issued and outstanding are "restricted securities" as that term is defined under Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933 and may not be sold unless they are registered or unless an exemption from registration, such as the exemption provided by Rule 144, is available. All of these restricted securities are currently eligible for resale pursuant to Rule 144, subject in most cases to the volume and manner of sale limitations prescribed by Rule 144.

Possible Volatility of Trading Price. The trading price of the Common Stock could be subject to significant fluctuations in response to, among other factors, variations in operating results, developments in the automotive industry, general economic conditions, fluctuations in interest rates and changes in securities analysts' recommendations regarding the Company's securities. Such volatility may adversely affect the market price of our Common Stock.

The Failure of SET could materially adversely affect our financial condition. In February 2001, the Company sold two of its non-core subsidiaries to SET Enterprises, Inc., a qualified minority business enterprise providing metal processing services to the automotive OEMs. The Company currently holds \$7.6 million in face value of 8% Series A non-convertible, non-voting preferred stock of SET. The Company provides a guarantee of \$3.0 million of SET's senior debt incurred in connection with its purchase of the Company's subsidiaries. During the third quarter of 2004, the Company agreed to extend its guarantee for one year. The Company holds approximately 4% of SET's common stock. Due to the amounts invested in the Company's relationship with SET, the failure of SET's business could materially adversely affect the Company's financial condition if it resulted in its inability to recover its investment in preferred stock and common stock, and SET's inability to pay dividends, the Company's accounts receivable, and to pay its senior debt resulting in our requirement to perform under our quarantee.

#### AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Noble's annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to these reports, are available without charge through Noble's website, www.nobleintl.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after Noble files these reports electronically or furnishes them to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Except as otherwise stated in these reports, the information contained on Noble's website or available by hyperlink from Noble's website is not incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or other documents Noble files with, or furnishes to, the SEC. The public may read and copy any materials that Noble files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room located at 450 Fifth Street NW, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain

12

information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains electronic versions of Noble's reports on its website, www.sec.gov.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2004, the Company's continuing operations consisted of its automotive business, which operated two production facilities in the United States, one facility in Canada and one facility in Australia. These facilities are used for multiple purposes and range in size from 3,000 square feet to 524,000 square feet, with an aggregate of 752,000 square feet. These production facilities are leased under operating leases with expiration dates ranging from 2005 to 2019.

The Company owns one property of 202,000 square feet that has been classified as held for sale. In addition, the Company has a facility lease (69,000 square feet) assumed in the LWI acquisition that is currently not being used in operations. Estimated costs associated with this lease were accrued for in LWI purchase accounting. This lease expires in 2007.

In January 2005, the Company purchased a metal processing business in Mexico. Related to this acquisition, the Company assumed a lease on a 55,000 square foot facility used for operations. The lease expires in June 2005.

None of the Company's facilities, other than the held for sale and LWI facilities, is materially underutilized. Management believes that all of the Company's property and equipment, owned or leased, is in good, working condition, is well maintained and provides sufficient capacity to meet the Company's current and expected manufacturing and distribution needs.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is not a party to any legal proceedings, other than routine litigation incidental to its business, none of which is material.

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matter was submitted for a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report.

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR THE COMPANY'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The Company's common stock is traded on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol NOBL. The following table sets forth the range of high and low closing prices for the common stock for each period indicated:

	HIGH	LOW
2003		
First Quarter	\$ 9.08	\$ 5.66
Second Quarter	8.78	5.90
Third Quarter	12.14	8.60
Fourth Quarter	23.22	11.11
2004		
First Quarter	\$ 28.60	\$ 22.15
Second Quarter	32.24	24.77
Third Quarter	24.55	18.06
Fourth Quarter	21.68	16.43

As of March 1, 2005 there were approximately 60 record holders and approximately 3,000 beneficial owners of the Company's common stock.

#### Dividends

During the fiscal years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004, the Company paid \$2.5 million and \$3.7 million in dividends, respectively. The dividend payments in 2002 were made pursuant to resolutions of the Board of Directors in May 2003 to pay regular quarterly cash dividends of \$0.08 per share. In February 2004, the Company's Board of Directors approved a resolution to increase the quarterly cash dividend to \$0.10 per share. Pursuant to an amendment to the Company's \$40.0 million 4% Convertible Subordinated Notes entered into in November 2004, the Company is restricted from paying dividends in excess of \$0.48 per share in any twelve month period until March 2007.

### Equity Compensation

The information required to be furnished pursuant to this item with respect to compensation plans under which equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance will be set forth under the caption "Executive Compensation and Other Information" in the 2005 Proxy Statement, and is incorporated herein by reference.

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial data as of and for each of the five fiscal years in the period ended December 31, 2004 is derived from the audited financial statements of the Company and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere herein or in prior filings. See "Item 7 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations." Numbers from the following consolidated statement of operations are subject to rounding.

	2	000	2	2001	2	002
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS: Net sales Cost of sales	\$	83.7 62.8	\$	55.6	excep	t sh 12 10
Gross Margin Selling, general and administrative		20.9		15.2 7.6		1 1
Operating profit Interest income Interest expense Litigation settlement Gain on value of convertible option derivative liability Other, net		3.6 - (1.7) 0.3		7.6 1.6 (2.1) - 1.6		(
Earnings from continuing operations before income taxes and extraordinary items Income tax expense		2.2		8.7 2.7		
Earnings from continuing operations before Extraordinary items (Loss) from discontinued operations Gain (loss) on sale of discontinued operations		1.3 (0.2) 10.0		6.0 (1.9)		(1
Earnings(loss) before extraordinary items Extraordinary items (1) (2) (3)		11.1 (0.3)		4.1 1.5		(1
Net earnings (loss)	\$	10.8	\$	5.6	\$	(1 ====
BASIC EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:  Earnings per common share from continuing operations before extraordinary items Earnings (loss) per common share from discontinued operations before extraordinary items Extraordinary items (1) (2) (3)	\$	0.18 1.39 (0.04)	\$	0.90 (0.28) 0.24	\$	0 (2
Basic earnings (loss) per common share	\$	1.52	\$	0.85	\$	(1
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	7,	112,311	6,	626,212	6,	==== 995 <b>,</b>
DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:  Earnings per common share from continuing operations before extraordinary items  Earnings (loss) per common share from discontinued operations before extraordinary items  Extraordinary items (1) (2) (3)	==== \$	0.17 1.37 (0.04)	==== \$	0.86 (0.24) 0.20	==== \$	0 (2 0
Diluted earnings (loss) per common share	\$	1.49	\$	0.82	\$ ====	(1
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	7,	234 <b>,</b> 786	7,	776,451		 158, 

\$ 11.2	\$ (0.0)	\$ 8.1	\$ 9.2
(2.3)	0.4	(4.1)	(2.5
47.6	9.6	5.3	(3.6
(56.1)	(9.9)	(8.9)	(3.8
\$145.1	\$156.9	\$130.0	\$143.0
38.3	45.1	18.1	9.3
9.1	(38.7)	6.0	16.4
73.7	71.3	57.6	53.0
43.8	47.4	42.1	50.8
	(2.3) 47.6 (56.1) \$145.1 38.3 9.1 73.7	(2.3) 0.4 47.6 9.6 (56.1) (9.9) \$145.1 \$156.9 38.3 45.1 9.1 (38.7) 73.7 71.3	(2.3) 0.4 (4.1) 47.6 9.6 5.3 (56.1) (9.9) (8.9) \$145.1 \$156.9 \$130.0 38.3 45.1 18.1 9.1 (38.7) 6.0 73.7 71.3 57.6

### NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES:

This information is not and should not be viewed as a substitute for financial measures determined under GAAP.

Other companies may calculate these non-GAAP financial measures differently.

RECONCILATION OF EBITDA TO EARNINGS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS (4):

EBITDA from Continuing Operations	\$10.8	\$16.2	\$12.3	\$23.4
TRUTTON Court Could's a Court in the	¢10 0	¢1.0 0	¢10 0	¢00 4
Amortization	1.4	0.9	0.2	0.2
Depreciation	5.5	4.5	5.7	7.0
Gain on value of convertible option derivative liability		_		
-	±•,	2.1	0.0	2 • 1
Interest expense	1.7	2.1	0.8	2.4
Income tax expense	0.9	2.7	1.6	4.7
Net Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 1.3	\$ 6.0	\$ 4.1	\$ 9.1

EARNINGS ON COMMON SHARES FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS PRIOR TO SFAS 133 IMPACT (5):

Earnings from continuing operations	\$ 1.3	\$ 6.0	\$ 4.1	\$ 9.1
Amortization of debt discount	_	_	_	_
Gain on value of convertible option derivative liability	_	_	-	-
Earnings prior to SFAS 133 Impact	\$ 1.3	\$ 6.0	\$ 4.1	\$ 9.1
	=====	=====	=====	=====

16

- (1) In 2000, an extraordinary loss was recorded as a result of the buyback of 6% convertible debentures. The convertible debentures had a face amount of \$6.376 million and were repaid at an agreed amount of \$6.411 million. In addition, approximately \$0.3 million in financing costs relating to the convertible debentures were written off.
- (2) An after-tax extraordinary gain of \$1.6 million was recorded in 2001 in

connection with the Company's acquisition by NCE of certain assets of Eagle-Picher, Inc.'s construction equipment division. This gain was the result of the implementation of Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement No. 141, "Business Combinations" which requires the excess of the fair value of acquired net assets over the cost associated with an acquisition be recognized as an extraordinary gain in the period in which the transaction occurs. In December 2002 this segment was sold for \$14.0 million.

- (3) In 2002, the Company closed the purchase price allocation period regarding the acquisition of NCE and recognized a \$0.315 million after-tax extraordinary gain on the transaction resulting from certain post-closing working capital adjustments that reduced the purchase price.
- (4) EBITDA from continuing operations represents income from continuing operations before income taxes, plus interest expense and depreciation and amortization expense. EBITDA is not presented as, and should not be considered, an alternative measure of operating results or cash flows from operations (as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles), but is presented because it is a widely accepted financial indicator of a company's ability to incur and service debt. While commonly used, however, EBITDA is not identically calculated by companies presenting EBITDA and is, therefore, not necessarily an accurate means of comparison, and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures disclosed by the Company's competitors. Management believes that EBITDA is useful to both management and investors in their analysis of the Company's ability to service and repay its debt. Further, management uses EBITDA for planning and forecasting in future periods.
- In 2004, the Company issued \$40.0 million in 4% unsecured convertible subordinated notes ("Notes") in a private placement. Included in the Notes were certain provisions which gave rise to an embedded derivative and a debt discount in accordance with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock." This embedded derivative was marked-to-market at the end of each quarter and the debt discount was accreted on a monthly basis. The Notes were amended in the fourth quarter of 2004 to eliminate the embedded derivative. Earnings on common shares from continuing operations prior to the impact of SFAS 133 are not presented as, and should not be considered an alternative measure of net income as determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. With the issuance of the Notes and creation of the embedded derivative liability, management indicated to investors that it could not reliably forecast the impact of the change in market value of the embedded derivative liability. To provide guidance to investors regarding management expectations of the performance of the business, the Company indicated that it would provide guidance on earnings on common shares from continuing operations prior to the impact of SFAS 133 and the change in value of the embedded derivative liability because it was the performance measure most comparable to that which can be reliably forecasted. This non-GAAP financial measure is presented because it was the performance measure most comparable to earnings on common shares form continuing operations that management could reliably forecast and the basis on which guidance was provided.

17

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of continuing operations should be read in conjunction with the

Company's consolidated financial statements and notes, and other information thereto included elsewhere in this Report.

#### GENERAL.

Noble International, Ltd., through its subsidiaries, is a full-service provider of tailored laser welded blanks for the automotive industry. On December 31, 2002 the Company completed the sale of its heavy equipment segment for \$14.0 million in cash to a related party. This segment has been classified as discontinued for fiscal years 2002 and prior. In the fourth quarter of 2002 the Company made the strategic decision to exit the logistics business and has classified this segment as discontinued for fiscal years 2004 and prior. The sale of the logistics segment was completed in March 2003 for approximately \$11.1 million in cash and notes. In the fourth quarter of 2003, the Company made the strategic decision to exit the distribution business and has classified this segment as discontinued in fiscal years 2004 and prior. The sale of the distribution business was completed in January 2004 for approximately \$5.5 million in cash to a related party. The Company's fiscal year is the calendar year. The following discussion relates to the Company's continuing operations.

The Company is a leading supplier of automotive components and value-added services to the automotive industry. Customers include Original Equipment Manufacturers ("OEMs"), such as General Motors Corporation ("GM"), DaimlerChrysler AG ("DCX"), Ford Motor Company ("Ford"), Toyota Motor Corporation ("Toyota"), American Honda Motor Company, Inc. ("Honda") and Nissan North America, Inc. ("Nissan"), as well as other companies which are suppliers to OEMs ("Tier I suppliers"). The Company, as a Tier I and Tier II supplier, provides prototype design, engineering, laser welding of tailored blanks and other laser welding and cutting services of automotive components. The Company's manufacturing facilities have been awarded both QS-9000, ISO 14001, and TS-16949 certifications.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

18

(In thousand of dollors)

	2002	2003	2.0
Net sales	\$120 <b>,</b> 800	\$183 <b>,</b> 759	332
Cost of sales	102,904	156,909	294
Gross margin	17,896	26,850	37
Selling, general and administrative expenses	10,268	12,235	15
Operating profit	7 <b>,</b> 628	14,615	22
Interest income	978	596	
Interest expense	(836)	(2,419)	(3
Gain on value of convertible option derivative liability	_	_	2
Litigation settlement	(1,098)	73	

Other, net	(935)	942	
Earnings from continuing operations before taxes	5,737	13,807	21
Income tax expense	1,666	4,673	6
Earnings from continuing operations before			
extraordinary items	4,071	9,134	15
(Loss) from discontinued operations	(17,405)	(3,221)	
Gain (loss) on sale of discontinued operations	174	(677)	
Earnings (loss) before extraordinary items	(13,160)	5 <b>,</b> 236	15
Extraordinary items	315	_	
Net earnings (loss)	(12,845)	5,236	15
Preferred stock dividends	10	, _	
Net earnings (loss) on common shares	\$(12 <b>,</b> 855)	\$ 5,236	\$ 15
	=======	=======	====

#### Fiscal 2004 vs. Fiscal 2003

Net Sales. Net sales from continuing operations increased by \$148.9 million, or 81.1%, to \$332.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 from \$183.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. This increase was primarily the result of the purchase of LWI, increased volumes on several of the automotive platforms on which the Company has sales content as well as sales from new business launched during 2004, the full year impact of business launched during 2003 and the utilization of laser-welded components on more vehicle models and platforms. In addition, revenue was positively impacted by an increase in steel content in sales as the number of programs for which the Company purchases and sells the steel used in the laser welding process increased in 2004 compared to 2003.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales from continuing operations increased by \$137.8 million, or 87.8%, to \$294.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 from \$156.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. This increase in cost of sales was primarily attributable to increased production volume related to the increased sales in 2004 compared to 2003. As a percentage of net sales, cost of sales increased to 88.6% in fiscal 2004 from 85.4% in 2003. This increase as a percentage of sales is primarily attributable to the increase in the purchase of steel as a percentage of overall cost of sales and is related to the increase in steel content in sales as a percentage of total sales as the Company continued its transition to a full service supplier from a toll processor. This increase in costs was partially offset by productivity improvements in the Company's manufacturing operations.

Gross Margin. Gross margin from continuing operations increased \$11.1 million, or 41.3%, to \$37.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 from \$26.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. As a percentage of sales, gross margin declined to 11.4% in fiscal 2004 compared to 14.6% in 2003. This decline is primarily attributable to the increase in steel content in sales as a percentage of overall sales resulting of the increase in the mix of products and programs for which the Company purchases steel in addition to providing value-added laser welding and related services compared to products and programs for which value-added laser welding and related services only are provided. The

portion of steel content in sales is made at a significantly lower margin than value-added laser welding and other related value-added services. The impact of the lower margin from increased steel content in sales was partially offset by productivity improvements in the manufacturing operations.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) from continuing operations increased by \$3.6 million, or 29.7%, to \$15.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 from \$12.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. As a percentage of sales, SG&A declined from 6.7% in fiscal 2003 to 4.8% in 2004. The decrease as a percent of sales was primarily due to expense containment despite increased costs associated with the implementation of the requirements promulgated by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, leveraging of fixed costs in SG&A, as well as the increase in steel sales without a corresponding increase in SG&A expense.

Operating Profit. As a result of the foregoing factors, operating profit from continuing operations increased \$7.4 million, or 50.2%, to \$22.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 from \$14.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. As a percentage of sales, operating profit decreased to 6.6% in fiscal 2004 from 8.0% in fiscal 2003. The decrease as a percentage of sales, as stated earlier, was primarily the result of increased steel content in sales as a proportion of total sales for fiscal 2004 offset by productivity improvements in the manufacturing operations and expense containment in SG&A.

Interest Income. Interest income from continuing operations decreased \$0.2 million in fiscal 2004 to \$0.4 million from \$0.6 million in fiscal 2003. The decrease in 2004 was due primarily to lower notes receivable balances due to the collection of principal payments on the notes related to the sale of the logistics business.

Interest Expense. Interest expense from continuing operations increased \$1.1 million to \$3.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 from \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2003. The higher interest expense in fiscal 2004 was primarily due to \$0.8 million of amortization of the debt discount related to the embedded derivative liability associated with the 2004 4% Convertible Subordinated Notes ("Notes") and \$0.4 million from the write-off deferred financing fees related to the early extinguishment of the Company's term loan credit facility.

Litigation settlement. In fiscal 2002 the Company recorded a \$1.1 million charge related to litigation. The Company recorded a charge as a result of tax-related litigation associated with the Company's acquisition of its automotive operations in 1997. Through arbitration, the seller was awarded approximately \$1.1 million. The Company filed an appeal of the decision and ultimately settled the matter prior to the completion of the appeal process for \$1.0 million, resulting in a \$0.1 million recovery in 2003.

Gain on value of conversion option derivative liability. Pursuant to SFAS 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," and based upon provisions included in the Notes, the Company bifurcated a conversion option and established the fair value of an embedded derivative separate from the debt instrument and recorded it as a derivative liability. At issuance, the estimated initial fair value of embedded derivative liability was \$3.5 million, which was recorded as a discount to the convertible subordinated notes and a derivative liability on the consolidated balance sheet. This derivative liability was adjusted quarterly for changes in fair value with the corresponding charge or credit to expense or income. The Notes were amended in the fourth quarter of 2004 to eliminate provisions which gave rise to the embedded derivative. During the period for which the embedded derivative was outstanding, the Company recognized a \$2.5 million gain based upon the change in the fair value of the embedded derivative liability.

Other, net. Other income and expense, net decreased by \$0.6 million, from income of \$0.9 million in fiscal 2003 to income of \$0.3 million in fiscal 2004. Other income in 2004 is comprised primarily of dividend income (\$0.6 million) and the collection of previously written-off accounts receivable (\$0.1 million) offset by a loss on disposal of fixed assets (\$0.2 million), the write-down of asset held for sale

20

(\$0.1 million) and a loss on foreign currency transactions (\$0.1 million). Other income in 2003 primarily included insurance proceeds (\$0.2 million), the recovery of costs previously expensed (\$0.4 million), income from the recording of the Company's receipt of 4\$ of SET common stock (\$0.3 million), and dividend income (\$0.2 million) offset by the write-down of other assets (\$0.3 million).

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense related to continuing operations increased \$1.6 million, to \$6.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 from \$4.7 million in 2003. This increase was primarily due to higher earnings from continuing operations before income taxes. The effective tax rate for 2004 was 29%. The effective rate is lower than the statutory rate of 34% due primarily to the determination that any gains on the change in value of the embedded derivative liability are not taxable and the related debt discount amortization expense is not deductible for tax purposes. In addition, the Company recorded tax credits of \$0.4 million in 2004. The effective tax rate for 2003 was 34%.

Earning from Continuing Operations before Extraordinary items. As a result of the foregoing factors, net earnings from continuing operations before extraordinary item increased \$6.2 million, or 68%, to \$15.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2004 from \$9.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003.

Fiscal 2003 vs. Fiscal 2002

Net Sales. Net sales from continuing operations increased by \$63.0 million, or 52.1%, to \$183.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 from \$120.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The increase in sales is attributable to increased revenue from the automotive laser welded flat blank business. These increases were primarily the result of the increased volumes on several of the automotive platforms on which the Company has business as well as sales from new business launched during 2003, the full year impact of business launched during 2002 and the utilization of laser-welded components on more vehicle models and platforms. In addition, revenue was positively impacted by an increase in steel content in sales as the number of programs for which the Company purchases the steel used in the laser welding process increased in 2003 compared to 2002.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales from continuing operations increased by \$54.0 million, or 52.5%, to \$156.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 from \$102.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. This increase in cost of sales was primarily attributable to increased production volume related to the increased sales in 2003 compared to 2002. As a percentage of net sales, cost of sales increased slightly to 85.4% in fiscal 2003 from 85.2% in 2002. This increase as a percentage of sales is primarily attributable to the increase in the purchase of steel as a percentage of overall cost of sales and is related to the increase in steel content in sales as a percentage of total sales as the Company continued its transition to a full service supplier from a toll processor. This increase in costs was partially offset by productivity improvements in the Company's manufacturing operations.

Gross Margin. Gross margin from continuing operations increased \$9.0 million, or 50.0%, to \$26.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 from \$17.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. As a percentage of sales,

gross margin declined slightly to 14.6% in fiscal 2003 compared to 14.8% in 2002. This decline is primarily attributable to the increase in steel content in sales as a percentage of overall sales as a result of the increase in the mix of products and programs for which the Company is required to buy the steel in addition to providing value-added laser welding and related services compared to products and programs for which value-added laser welding and related services only are provided. The steel portion of sales are made at a significantly lower margin than value-added laser welding and other related value-added services. The impact of the lower margin from increased steel content in sales was partially offset by productivity improvements in the manufacturing operations.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) from continuing operations increased by \$2.0 million, or 19.2%, to \$12.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 from \$10.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. As a percentage of

21

sales, SG&A declined from 8.5% in fiscal 2002 to 6.7% in 2003. The decrease as a percent of sales was primarily due to expense containment, leveraging of fixed costs in SG&A, as well as the increase in steel content in sales without a corresponding increase in SG&A expense. In fiscal 2002, the bankruptcy of National Steel resulted in the Company recording bad debt expense of approximately \$1.2 million related to accounts receivable with National Steel and in fiscal 2003, the Company recorded bad debt expense of \$0.5 million primarily as a result of the bankruptcy of Rouge Industries and its subsidiaries including Rouge Steel.

Operating Profit. As a result of the foregoing factors, operating profit from continuing operations increased \$7.0 million, or 91.6%, to \$14.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 from \$7.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. As a percentage of sales, operating profit increased to 8.0% in fiscal 2003 from 6.3% in fiscal 2002. The increase, as stated earlier, was primarily the result of productivity improvements in the manufacturing operations, expense containment in SG&A, partially offset by lower operating margin from increased steel content in sales as a proportion of total sales for fiscal 2003.

Interest Income. Interest income from continuing operations decreased \$0.4 million in fiscal 2003 to \$0.6 million from \$1.0 million in fiscal 2002. The decrease was due primarily to lower notes receivable balances related to the sale of NMF and NMPM, partially offset by the interest income from the notes related to the sale of the logistics business in 2003.

Interest Expense. Interest expense from continuing operations increased \$1.6 million to \$2.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 from \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2002. The higher interest expense in fiscal 2003 was primarily due to approximately \$2.4 million of interest expense associated with discontinued operations classified in the loss from discontinued operations in 2002. The proceeds from sale of the discontinued operations did not reduce all of the debt associated with those operations and as a result, a portion of this interest expense is reflected in interest expense from continuing operations in 2003. This was partially offset by lower average borrowings in 2003 compared to 2002.

Litigation settlement. In fiscal 2002 the Company recorded a \$1.1 million charge related to litigation. The Company recorded a charge as a result of tax-related litigation associated with the Company's acquisition of its automotive operations in 1997. Through arbitration, the seller was awarded approximately \$1.1 million. The Company filed an appeal of the decision and ultimately settled the matter prior to the completion of the appeal process for \$1.0 million, resulting in a \$0.1 million recovery in 2003.

Other, net. Other income and expense, net increased by \$1.8 million, from an expense of \$0.9 million in fiscal 2002 to income of \$0.9 million in fiscal 2003. Other income in 2003 primarily included insurance proceeds (\$0.2 million), the recovery of costs previously expensed (\$0.4 million), income from the recording of the Company's receipt of 4% of SET common stock (\$0.3 million), the writedown of other assets (\$0.3 million), and dividend income (\$0.2 million). Included in other expense for 2002 is the write-down of certain real-estate assets held for sale of \$0.9 million.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense related to continuing operations increased \$3.0 million, to \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 from \$1.7 million in 2002. This increase was primarily due to higher earnings from continuing operations before income taxes. The 2002 income tax expense amount includes a valuation allowance of \$0.5 million against available tax credit carry forward.

Earning from Continuing Operations before Extraordinary items. As a result of the foregoing factors, net earnings from continuing operations before extraordinary item increased \$5.1 million, or 124%, to \$9.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2003 from \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2002.

22

Extraordinary Item. In fiscal 2002, the Company closed the purchase price allocation period regarding the acquisition of NCE and recognized a \$0.3 million after-tax extraordinary gain on the transaction resulting from certain post-closing working capital adjustments. On December 31, 2002 the Company completed the sale of NCE for \$14.0 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's cash requirements have historically been satisfied through a combination of cash flow from operations, and equity and debt financings. Working capital needs and capital equipment requirements in continuing operations have increased as a result of the growth of the Company and are expected to continue to increase as a result of anticipated growth. Anticipated increases in required working capital and capital equipment expenditures are expected to be met from cash flow from operations. As of December 31, 2004, the Company had net working capital of \$36.7 million.

The Company generated cash from continuing operations of \$33.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. Net cash generated from continuing operating activities was primarily the result of net earnings plus non-cash expense such as depreciation expense and increases in accounts payable and the receipt of a \$6.3 million tax refund from 2003. These cash increases were partially offset by increases in accounts receivable and inventories and a decrease in accrued liabilities. The increases in accounts receivable, inventories, and accounts payable are primarily the result of increases in sales and related production activities.

The Company used cash from investing activities of \$14.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2004. This was primarily the result of the acquisition of LWI of \$13.6 million and the purchase of fixed assets of \$8.5 million offset by proceeds from the sale of the distribution business of \$5.5 million and proceeds from Notes Receivable of \$2.7 million.

The Company used \$3.5 million of cash flow in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2004. The Company issued \$40.0 million of 4% Convertible Subordinated Notes ("Notes") and used the proceeds to pay down \$40.0 million

outstanding on the Credit Facility. Uses of cash included the payment of dividends of \$3.7 million, payment of long-term debt of \$0.9 million, payment of financing fees of \$1.9 million primarily related to the Notes, and payment of \$1.0 million for the redemption of the Company's 1998 6% Convertible Subordinated Debentures. The Company received \$4.0 million from the issuance of common stock from the exercise of stock options.

In March 2004, the Company issued the Notes in a private placement. The Notes have a three year term, maturing on March 31, 2007 and may be extended another three years at the holders' option. The Notes are convertible at the holders' option anytime prior to maturity into shares of the Company's common stock at \$32 per share (subject to adjustment pursuant to the terms of the Notes). The interest rate on the Notes is 4% and is fixed for the entire term. Proceeds from the Notes were used to reduce the Company's current bank borrowings, including paying off the term loan balance and reducing amounts outstanding under the \$35.0 million revolving credit facility. The holders of the Notes had a right to participate in dividends declared and paid to the Company's common shareholders to the extent that such dividends exceed \$0.48 per share (in any twelve month period) within the initial three year term on the Notes. The holders' participation rights were only on the amount, if any, in excess of \$0.48 per share. The holders were not entitled to participate in any dividends after the initial three year term. Pursuant to an amendment to the Notes entered into by the Company and the holders of the Notes in the fourth quarter of 2004, the holders of the Notes are no longer able to participate in dividends. In addition, there is a covenant restricting the Company from paying dividends or distributions on its common stock in excess of \$0.48 per share in any twelve month period until March 2007. This amendment eliminates the requirement to use the two class method for calculating basic earnings per share for future periods relating to the Notes.

23

The terms of the Notes include a right of the holders of the Notes to convert the Notes into the Company's common stock at \$32 per share. This right was evaluated by the Company to determine if it gave rise to an embedded derivative instrument that would need to be accounted for separately in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" and Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") 00-19, "Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock." The Company concluded that certain provisions which are contingent upon a change in control of the Company and allow for a net cash settlement of the conversion option qualified as an embedded derivative and did not meet the scope exceptions of SFAS 133. Therefore, the Company was required to bifurcate the conversion option and establish the fair value of the embedded derivative separate from the debt instrument and record it as a derivative liability. At issuance of the Notes, the holders' conversion right had an estimated initial fair value of \$3.5 million, which was recorded as a discount to the Notes and a derivative liability on the consolidated balance sheet. The discount on the Notes will be accreted to par value over the term of the Notes through non-cash charges to interest expense over the initial three year term. The derivative liability associated with the conversion option was adjusted for changes in fair value over the term of the Notes with the corresponding charge or credit to other expense or income. The estimated fair value of the holder's conversion option was determined using a convertible bond valuation model which utilizes assumptions including: The historical stock price volatility; risk-free interest rate; credit spreads; remaining maturity; and the current stock price. On November 15, 2004 the Company and holders of the Notes entered into an amendment to the Notes which incorporated changes that eliminated the embedded derivative liability associated with the Notes. As a result of the eliminating the embedded derivative liability, the Company is no longer required to value the derivative

after November 15, 2004. The change in value of the derivative liability from September 30, 2004 to November 15, 2004 resulted in a charge of \$0.5 million. The value of the derivative liability of \$0.6 million as of November 15, 2004 was netted against the balance of debt discount. The remaining balance of debt discount will continue to be amortized over the remaining term of the Notes. At December 31, 2004 the balance of the debt discount was \$1.6 million.

As of December 31, 2004 the Company maintained a \$35.0 million secured credit facility with Comerica Bank N.A. ("Comerica") with a maturity date of April 2009 ("Credit Facility"). During the second guarter of 2004 the Credit Facility was amended to, among other things, extend the maturity to April 2009, reduce the number of participating banks from three to one, and adjust several financial and other covenants. The Credit Facility consists of a \$35.0 million revolving loan with no borrowing base formula. The term loan portion of the Credit Facility was paid off using the proceeds from the issuance of the Notes. There were no outstanding borrowings on the revolving loan at December 31, 2004. Availability under the Credit Facility was approximately \$34.7 million, net of approximately \$0.3 million in outstanding letters of credit at December 31, 2004. The Credit Facility is secured by assets of the Company and its subsidiaries and provides for the issuance of up to \$5 million in standby or documentary letters of credit. The Credit Facility may be utilized for general corporate purposes, including working capital and acquisition financing and provides the Company with borrowing options for multi-currency loans. Borrowing options include a Eurocurrency rate, or a base rate. Advances under the Credit Facility bore interest at an average effective rate of 4.4% for the year ended December 31, 2004. These borrowings were primarily in the first quarter of 2004 as the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility at December 31, 2004. As a result of the repayment of the term loan portion of the credit facility, the Company recorded a write-off of approximately \$0.4 million in deferred financing fees in the first quarter of 2004. The unamortized balance of origination costs was \$0.5 million at December 31, 2004 and is included in other assets. The Credit Facility is subject to customary financial and other covenants including, but not limited to, limitations on consolidations, mergers, and sales of assets, and bank approval on acquisitions over \$15.0 million.

The Company has, from time to time, been in violation of certain of its financial debt ratio covenants and covenants relating to the issuance of preferred stock and the payment of preferred and

24

common stock dividends, requiring it to obtain waivers of default from its lenders. At December 31, 2004 the Company is in compliance with all of its financial debt covenants under the Credit Facility.

As of December 31, 2004, the Company guaranteed \$3.0 million of SET Enterprises, Inc. ("SET") senior debt in connection with its sale of businesses to SET. During the third quarter of 2004, the Company agreed to extend its guarantee for one year. The Company would be required to perform under the guarantee if SET was unable to repay or renegotiate its credit facility. The maximum amount the Company would be required to pay is \$3.0 million. The Company does not currently carry a liability for this guarantee. The guarantee is unsecured and the Company would be entitled to the proceeds from any liquidation after the senior debt lender had been paid in full. As of December 31, 2004, the Company had not been notified by SET or SET's lender of any default that would require performance under the guarantee.

On July 31, 1998 and concluding August 10, 1998 the Company closed a private offering of 6% Convertible Subordinated Debentures ("1998 Debentures") for gross proceeds of \$20.76 million. The proceeds were used to reduce the amount of outstanding advances under the Credit Facility. The 1998 Debentures were scheduled to mature on July 31, 2005. Commencing November 30, 1998, the

Debentures became convertible into Common Stock at \$14.3125 per share (subject to adjustment). Beginning January 31, 2004 and on each July 31 and January 31 thereafter, the Company was required to redeem for cash 25% of the outstanding principal amount of the Debentures through the maturity date. During 2003, the holders of approximately \$3.5 million in subordinated 1998 Debentures exercised their option to convert their subordinated Debentures into the Company's common stock. During 2004, holders of approximately \$11.5 million exercised their option to convert their 1998 Debentures into the Company's common stock. On February 2, 2004 the Company made a mandatory retirement payment pursuant to the terms of the 1998 Debentures of \$0.8 million. The Company called and extinguished the remaining \$0.2 million balance of the 1998 Debentures in the fourth quarter of 2004.

On December 16, 1998 and concluding December 22, 1998 the Company closed a private offering of Junior Subordinated Notes (the "Junior Notes"), together with 105,000 warrants to purchase shares of Common Stock of the Company at an exercise price of \$10.00 per share expiring on the maturity date, for gross proceeds of \$3.5 million with \$.141 million, or \$1.34 per share, attributable to the warrants. The Junior Notes matured on December 16, 2003 and were redeemed in full. The warrants expired in 2003.

On April 22, 2002, the Company completed a sale and leaseback transaction of its Shelbyville, KY facility to the Company's Chairman. The sale price was \$6.2 million which was equal to the book value of the property. The proceeds of the transaction were used to reduce the Company's debt under its current credit facility. The lease has a term of five years and provides for monthly rent of \$0.07 million. The sale price and rent amount were determined by the estimated fair value of the property and estimated prevailing lease rates for similar properties. Although the Company did not obtain an independent valuation of the property or the terms of the transaction, it believes the terms of the sale and leaseback were at least as favorable to Noble as terms that could have been obtained from an unaffiliated third party. The Company has accounted for this lease as an operating lease. In the second quarter of 2004, the Company's Chairman sold the Shelbyville, KY facility to a third-party and canceled the lease with the Company. The Company subsequently entered into a lease agreement with the third-party for the same facility.

The liquidity provided by the Company's existing and anticipated credit facilities, combined with cash flow from continuing operations is expected to be sufficient to meet currently anticipated working capital and capital expenditure needs and for existing debt service for at least 12 months. There can be no assurance, however, that such funds will not be expended prior thereto due to changes in economic conditions or other unforeseen circumstances, requiring the Company to obtain additional financing prior to the end of such twelve-month period. In addition, the Company continues to evaluate, as part of its business strategy, and may pursue future growth through opportunistic acquisitions of assets or

25

companies which may involve the expenditure of significant funds. Depending upon the nature, size, and timing of future acquisitions, the Company may be required to obtain additional debt or equity financing. There can be no assurance, however, that additional financing will be available to the Company, when and if needed, on acceptable terms or at all.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company's off balance sheet financing consists primarily of operating leases for equipment and property. These leases have terms ranging from a month-to-month basis to thirteen years. In 2004, lease expense was approximately

\$4.8 million. From 2005 through 2009 and thereafter the Company will make contractual minimum lease payments as well as short and long-term debt payments as follows (in thousands):

#### FUTURE MATURITIES AND CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	Over 5 Years
Long-term debt (including lines of credit) Operating leases for equipment and property Purchase obligations	\$ 38,625 57,478 1,091	\$ 254 5,058 1,091	\$ 38,371 9,059	\$ - 8,177 -	\$ - 35,184 -
Total:	\$ 97,194 ======	\$ 6,403 ======	\$ 47,430 ======	\$ 8,177	\$ 35,184

Purchase obligations include primarily commitments for capital expenditures. We have not included information on our recurring purchases of materials used in our manufacturing operations. These amounts are generally consistent from year to year, closely reflect our levels of production and are not long-term in nature (less than three months).

The Company also expects to receive minimum rental income of approximately \$1.1 million per year for the period 2005 through 2016 related to the sublease of a portion of one of the Company's manufacturing facilities to SET Enterprises, Inc.

Critical Accounting Policies

A summary of the critical accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements follow below.

Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable. Consistent with Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, revenue is realized or realizable and earned when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, the delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the Company's price to the customer is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. On a regular basis the Company evaluates the credit risk of customers and collection risk of outstanding accounts receivable. Based upon its analysis at December 31, 2003 and 2004, the Company recorded an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. In 2002, National Steel filed for bankruptcy protection. As a result of this event, the Company recorded bad debt expense of approximately \$1.2 million in 2002. In 2003, Rouge Industries and its subsidiary, Rouge Steel, filed for bankruptcy protection. As a result of this event, the Company recorded bad debt expense of \$0.4 million in the fourth quarter of 2003. In 2004, Stelco, Inc. filed for bankruptcy protection. As a result of this event, the Company recorded bad debt expense of approximately \$0.3 million in 2004.

The Company participates in steel re-sale programs with several of its customers. As a participant in these programs, the Company purchases steel from the customer, blanks and welds the steel, and re-sells a finished laser-welded blank to the customer. The Company records Sales for the cost of steel sold to the customer plus a mark-up and records the cost of the steel in Cost of Sales. This accounting treatment is consistent with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 99-19 "Reporting Revenue Gross as

26

a Principal versus Net as an Agent." The Company acts as principal in the transaction, takes title to the products, and has risks and rewards of ownership.

The Company records amounts billed to customers for shipping and handling in Sales and costs incurred for shipping and handling in Cost of Sales. This accounting treatment is consistent with EITF No. 00-10 "Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs."

Property, Plant and Equipment. Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is determined using the straight line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from 3 to 10 years for machinery and equipment. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the lives of the leases or estimated useful lives of the assets, whichever is less. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. When assets are sold or otherwise retired, the cost and accumulated depreciation are removed from the books and the resulting gain or loss is included in other income and expense. The Company periodically reviews the realization of long-lived assets, based on an evaluation of remaining useful lives and the current and expected future profitability and cash flows related to such assets. All long-lived assets are fairly stated at December 31, 2003 and 2004. The Company capitalizes interest costs associated with construction in progress consistent with SFAS No. 34 "Capitalization of Interest Cost." Capitalized interest costs in 2002, 2003 and 2004 were \$0.3 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

Valuation of deferred tax assets. Because the Company operates in different geographic locations, including several state and local tax jurisdictions, the nature of the Company's tax provisions and the evaluation of the Company's ability to use all recognized deferred tax assets are complex. In assessing the ability to realize such deferred tax assets, the Company reviews the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, the projections of taxable income in future periods and the effectiveness of various tax planning strategies in making assessments. The consideration of these matters requires significant management judgment in determining deferred tax asset valuation allowances. While it is believed that the appropriate valuations of deferred tax assets has been made, unforeseen changes in tax legislation, regulatory activities, operating results, financing strategies, organization structure and other related matters may result in material changes in the Company's deferred tax asset valuation allowances.

Goodwill/Other Intangible Assets. The Company records goodwill when the cost exceeds the fair value of net assets acquired. As required under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142 goodwill and other intangible assets are no longer amortized; instead, management evaluates at least annually the carrying value of its businesses and determines if any impairment exists. The Company determined that goodwill and other intangible assets were not impaired. As of December 31, 2003 and 2004 the Company's continuing operations had goodwill of \$11.8 million and \$20.3 million, respectively. The change in goodwill during 2004 is a result of the acquisition of LWI, which resulted in goodwill of approximately \$8.5 million.

Covenants not to compete attributable to continuing operations are amortized over the life of the agreement, which typically is three to five years. As of December 31, 2004 the covenants not to compete were fully amortized. Annual amortization expense for these covenants for fiscal years 2002, 2003 and 2004 was \$0.2 million each year.

Consistent with SFAS 141, "Business Combinations," in conjunction with the

purchase of LWI, an intangible asset apart from goodwill was recognized related to the fair value of the customer contracts acquired with the purchase of LWI. A fair value of \$2.1 million was determined for these contracts at the time of acquisition using a discounted cash flow model. This intangible asset is being amortized over ten years based upon the lives of the acquired contracts and the lives of follow-on contracts expected to be awarded on the same business. The Company recognized \$0.2 million of amortization expense in 2004 related to this intangible asset.

27

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of its customers to make required payments. Management makes these estimates based on an analysis of accounts receivable using available information on our customers' financial status and payment histories. Historically, with the exception of \$1.2 million of bad debt expense recorded in 2002 related to the National Steel bankruptcy and \$0.4 million in 2003 related to the Rouge Steel bankruptcy, and \$0.3 million in 2004 relate to the Stelco bankruptcy, bad debt losses have not been significant or have not differed materially from the Company's estimates. The balance in the allowance for doubtful accounts at December 31, 2004 and 2003 was \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. The Company periodically evaluates the carrying value of its long-lived assets including investments in accordance with SFAS 144, based on an evaluation of remaining useful lives and upon the estimated cash flows to be generated by these assets. The impairment review is generally triggered when such events as a significant industry downturn, product discontinuance, plant closures, product dispositions, technological obsolescence or other changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. When such events occur, the Company evaluates the book value against the fair value of the assets.

During the fourth quarter of 2003, the Company made the decision to exit the distribution business and after estimating the net proceeds from a sale of the business, recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$2.0 million.

The Company made the decision to exit the logistics business segment in the fourth quarter of 2002. The Company began discussions with potential buyers and determined that the business was impaired. An impairment charge primarily related to goodwill of \$19.9 million was recorded in December 2002. Refer to the notes to the financial statements for further discussion with respect to the discontinued operations of the logistics and distribution segments.

In addition, the Company has classified certain real estate holdings as assets held for sale. In 2002, the Company determined that the fair value of the properties held for sale was lower than the carrying value and therefore recorded a \$0.9 million impairment charge in fourth quarter of 2002. Those properties were sold in 2003 and 2004. At December 31, 2004, the Company has a real estate property classified as held for sale. During 2004, it was determined that the fair value of the property was less than the carrying value and the Company recorded a charge of \$0.1 million to write the carrying value to the estimated fair value. The Company expects to sell the property or place it back into fixed assets by September 30, 2005.

#### Inflation

Inflation generally affects the Company by increasing the interest expense of floating rate indebtedness and by increasing the cost of labor, fuel, equipment and raw materials. The Company does not believe that inflation has had any material effect on its business over the past three years.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ("SFAS") 151, "Inventory Costs — an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4." SFAS 151 clarifies the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expenses, freight, handling costs, and spoilage. It also requires that the allocation of fixed production overhead to inventory be based on the normal capacity of production facilities. SFAS 151 is effective for the Company beginning fiscal year 2006. The implementation of SFAS 151 is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company.

28

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS 123R") which revises SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." SFAS 123R also supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and amends SFAS 95, "Statement of Cash Flows." Under SFAS 123 companies could either recognize the fair value of stock options as a period expense or disclose the pro forma impact of the fair value of stock options in the notes to the financial statements. Under SFAS 123 and APB 25, the Company has disclosed the pro forma impact of the fair value of stock options in the Significant Accounting Policy titled Stock-Based Compensation. SFAS 123R requires that the fair value of all share-based payments to employees, including the fair value of grants of employee stock options, to be recognized as a period expense, generally over the option vesting period. SFAS 123R will be effective for the Company beginning in the third quarter of 2005. The Company is currently evaluating its transition alternatives and the effect of this Statement on the Company, which will be dependent in large part upon future equity-based grants.

In December 2004, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. 109-2, "Accounting and Disclosure Guidance for the Foreign Earnings Repatriation Provision within the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004" (FSP 109-2). FSP 109-2 provides guidance under FASB Statement No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," with respect to recording the potential impact of the repatriation provisions of the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the Jobs Act) on enterprises' income tax expense and deferred tax liability. The Jobs Act was enacted on October 22, 2004. FSP 109-2 does not have a significant impact on the Company's results of operation or financial position for the year ended December 31, 2004, and the Company does not anticipate that it will have a significant impact going forward.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Foreign Currency Risk

The Company is exposed to the impact of foreign currency fluctuations. International revenues from the Company's foreign subsidiaries were approximately 25% of total revenues from continuing operations for fiscal 2004. The Company's primary foreign currency exposures are the Canadian Dollar and Australian Dollar. In January 2005, the Company acquired a metal processing facility in Mexico and as a result will have foreign currency exposure to the Mexican Peso. The Company manages its exposures to foreign currency assets and earnings primarily by funding certain foreign currency denominated assets with liabilities in the same currency and matching revenues with expenses in the same currency, as such, certain exposures are naturally offset.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

The Company's financial results are affected by changes in U.S. and

foreign interest rates due primarily to the Company's Credit Facility containing a variable interest rate when it borrows under the credit facility. The Company invests its excess cash balances in overnight and other short term investments which may be impacted by changes in interest rates. The Company does not hold any other financial instruments that are subject to market risk (interest rate risk and foreign exchange rate risk).

29

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Noble International, Ltd.

Warren, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Noble International, Ltd. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. We also have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004 based on criteria established in Internal Control -- Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule, an opinion on management's assessment, and an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly

reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of

30

the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2004 and 2003, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2004, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein. Also in our opinion, management's assessment that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the criteria established in Internal Control -- Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Furthermore, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2004, based on the criteria established in Internal Control -- Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Detroit, Michigan March 14, 2005

31

NOBLE INTERNATIONAL, LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share and per share data)

ASSETS
Current Assets:
Cash and cash equivalents
Accounts receivable, trade, net

YEARS ENDED DECEME
2003 2
------ 1
2003 2
------ 1
34,030 5

Note Receivable	1,799	
Inventories, net	14,543	2
Deferred income taxes	_	
Income taxes refundable	5,920	
Prepaid expenses and other	3,909	
Total Current Assets	60,916	9
Property, Plant & Equipment, net	47,119	4
Other Assets:		
Goodwill	11,839	2
Other intangible assets, net	183	
Other assets, net	12,890	1
Total Other Assets	24,912	3
Assets Held for Sale	10,036	
TOTAL ASSETS	\$142 <b>,</b> 983	\$18
	======	===

LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Current Liab