

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

Form 10-K

February 25, 2011

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No .

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of the Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No .

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock outstanding held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price the Common Stock was last sold on the NASDAQ Stock Exchange Global Select Market[®] on June 30, 2010, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter, was approximately \$288.6 million.

As of February 21, 2011, the number of common shares outstanding was: 30,398,296.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The information required to be furnished pursuant to Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K will be set forth in, and is incorporated by reference to, the registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (2010 Proxy Statement), which will be filed no later than 120 days after the end of the registrant's 2010 fiscal year.

Exhibit Index begins on Page 81

Form 10-K Index

**Page
Number**

PART I

| | | |
|----------------|----------------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 1</u> | <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1A</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 8 |
| <u>Item 1B</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 15 |
| <u>Item 2</u> | <u>Properties</u> | 15 |
| <u>Item 3</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 4</u> | <u>(Removed and Reserved)</u> | 16 |

PART II

| | | |
|----------------|--|----|
| <u>Item 5</u> | <u>Market for Common Stock and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 17 |
| <u>Item 6</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 7</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 7A</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 8</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 37 |
| <u>Item 9</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 79 |
| <u>Item 9A</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 79 |

PART III

| | | |
|----------------|---|----|
| <u>Item 10</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance</u> | 81 |
| <u>Item 11</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 81 |
| <u>Item 12</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 81 |
| <u>Item 13</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u> | 81 |
| <u>Item 14</u> | <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 81 |

PART IV

| | | |
|--|---|----|
| <u>Item 15</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 82 |
| <u>EX-21</u> | | |
| <u>EX-23.1</u> | | |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | | |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> | | |
| <u>EX-31.3</u> | | |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> | | |
| <u>EX-32.2</u> | | |
| <u>EX-32.3</u> | | |
| <u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u> | | |
| <u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u> | | |
| <u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | | |
| <u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | | |
| <u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | | |
| <u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u> | | |

Safe Harbor Statement

Certain information set forth herein, other than historical statements, contains forward-looking statements within the

meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 that are based, in whole or in part, on current expectations, estimates, forecasts, and projections about the Company's business, and management's beliefs about future operations, results, and financial position. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to a number of risk factors, uncertainties, and assumptions. Risk factors that could affect these statements include, but are not limited to, the following: the availability of raw materials and the effects of changing raw material prices on the Company's results of operations; energy prices and usage; changing demand for the Company's products and services; changes in the liquidity of the capital and credit markets; risks associated with the integration of acquisitions; and changes in interest and tax rates. In addition, such forward-looking statements could also be affected by general industry and market conditions, as well as general economic and political conditions. The Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as may be required by applicable law or regulation.

Table of Contents**PART I****Item 1. Business****General**

Gibraltar is a leading manufacturer and distributor of products for the building markets. Our products provide structural and architectural enhancements for residential homes, and to a lesser extent, to low-rise retail, professional buildings, and other commercial and industrial building structures. These products include bar grating and expanded metal products, ventilation products, mail storage solutions including mailboxes and package delivery products, roof edging, flashing, soffit, drywall corner bead, metal roofing and accessories, steel framing, rain-carrying systems, and structural connectors. We serve customers throughout North America and Europe. Our most significant customers consist of The Home Depot, Lowe's Companies, Menard Cashway Lumber, and ABC Supply. We operate 43 facilities in 20 states, Canada, England, Germany, and Poland, giving us a broad platform for just-in-time delivery and support to our customers.

Our strategy is to position Gibraltar as the low-cost provider and market share leader in product areas that offer the opportunity for sales growth and margin enhancement over the long-term. We focus on operational excellence including lean initiatives throughout the Company to position Gibraltar as our customers' low-cost provider of products. We continuously seek to improve our on-time delivery, quality, and service to position Gibraltar as a preferred supplier to our customers. We also strive to develop new products, enter new markets, expand market share in the residential markets, and further penetrate domestic and international building markets to strengthen our product leadership positions.

Recent Developments

On February 1, 2010, Gibraltar completed the sale of the majority of the assets of the Processed Metal Products business. The completion of this transaction finalized our exit from the steel processing business. This strategic initiative began in 2005 and included the 2006 sale of our steel strapping business, the 2007 sale of the Hubbell Steel business, and the 2008 sale of the SCM powdered metal business. This transaction was an ongoing part of our objective to build a company with optimal operating characteristics and improve shareholder value. We now are solely focused on the manufacture and distribution of building products where the Company has historically generated its highest operating margins.

The accelerated economic turmoil impacting the United States and the rest of the world in the second half of 2008 continued to negatively impact the key end markets we served during 2010 and 2009. As shown below, the residential construction market in the United States continued to experience significant declines in volume:

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Residential Housing Starts | 586,000 | 554,000 | 900,000 |

The decrease in residential housing starts affected by higher unemployment and tighter consumer credit had a significant impact on the operations of our business by contributing to decreased sales volumes. Although we experienced improved order levels in March and April 2010, the expiration of the federal tax credit for first-time

homebuyers, coupled with the factors noted above negatively affecting the housing market, lowered 2010 order levels in total. Similar trends were noted in the other end markets we serve, including commercial construction and related repair and remodeling activity.

Additionally, commodity raw material prices for materials such as steel, aluminum, and resins, have fluctuated significantly during the past three years. These raw material prices impact the cost of raw materials we purchase and also impact the pricing we offer to customers on sales of our products. During the first three quarters of 2008, a period of comparatively stronger growth, we were able to successfully manage significant increases in raw material costs. However, commodity prices fell precipitously during the fourth quarter of 2008 and continued to fall during the first two quarters of 2009. The rapid decrease in commodity prices led to lower sales prices offered to customers and falling margins on our product sales during the fourth quarter of

Table of Contents

2008 and the first half of 2009. Commodity prices stabilized over the last six months of 2009 and most of 2010 and were followed by a significant increase in commodity costs during the fourth quarter of 2010. Material margins were managed closely during 2010, however, the rising cost of raw materials led to compressed margins during the last six months of the year.

In an effort to respond to these market forces, we have taken a number of steps to position the Company as a low-cost provider of our products. Our focus has been on achieving operational excellence through lean initiatives and the consolidation of facilities. These efforts have resulted in the closing or consolidation of 30 facilities since January 2008, including six during 2010. We have also aggressively reduced operating costs to adjust to decreased sales volumes and maximize cash flows generated from operating activities. As a result, we believe our break-even point has reduced significantly from 2008.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we reduced our net debt level and continuously increased the Company's liquidity. We made net payments of \$50.0 million on debt under our revolving credit facility provided by the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated July 24, 2009 (the Senior Credit Agreement). As a result of these repayments, we do not have any amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility and the amount available under this facility increased by \$16.1 million from December 31, 2009 to \$85.8 million as of December 31, 2010. The positive cash flow generated from operations during the past two years and from the sale of the Processed Metal Products business allowed us to make significant repayments on our long-term debt since December 31, 2008. During this period, we have decreased our outstanding debt by \$149.2 million from \$356.4 million as of December 31, 2008 to \$207.2 million as of December 31, 2010, a 41.9% decrease. Additionally, the Company has increased its cash on hand to \$60.9 million as of December 31, 2010 from \$23.6 million as of December 31, 2009.

Industry Overview

Our business occupies an intermediate market between the primary steel, aluminum, resin, and other basic material producers and the wholesale, retail building supply, and industrial manufacturing markets. The primary producers typically focus on producing high volumes of their product. We purchase raw materials from these producers and, through various production processes, convert these raw materials into specialized products for use in the construction or repair and remodel of residential and commercial buildings and industrial products. We distribute our products through wholesale distributors and retailers.

Products and Services

Gibraltar is primarily, but not exclusively, a manufacturer of metal products used in the residential and commercial building and industrial manufacturing markets. We operate 32 manufacturing facilities and 8 distribution centers throughout the United States, Canada, England, Germany, and Poland, giving us a base of operations to provide customer support, delivery, service, and quality to a number of regional and national customers, and providing us with manufacturing and distribution efficiencies in North America, as well as a presence in the European market.

We manufacture an extensive variety of products that are sold through a number of sales channels including lumber and building material wholesalers, buying groups, discount and major retail home centers, major home builders, heating, ventilation and air conditioning and roofing distributors, residential, industrial and commercial contractors, and industrial manufacturers.

Our product offerings include a full line of bar grating and safety plank grating used in walkways, platforms, safety barriers, drainage covers, and ventilation grates; expanded metal used in walkways, shelving, barriers, patio furniture, and other applications where both visibility and security are necessary; perforated metal and metal lath products; fiberglass grating used in areas where high strength, light weight, low maintenance, and corrosion resistance are

required; ventilation products and accessories; storage solutions, including mailboxes and package delivery products; roof edging, underlayment, and flashing; soffit; drywall corner bead; coated coil stock; metal roofing and accessories; steel framing; rain-carrying systems, including gutters and accessories; builders hardware; shelving and closet rods; lawn and garden products; diffusers;

Table of Contents

fasteners; and structural support products, each of which can be sold separately or as an integral part of a package or program sale.

We improve our offerings of building products by launching new products, enhancing existing products, adjusting product specifications to respond to building code and regulatory changes, and providing additional solutions to homeowners and contractors. During 2010, we developed mailbox and locker products with locking mechanisms which we believe will be in demand as consumers put greater emphasis on the protection of their security and privacy. Additionally, our Air Vent subsidiary introduced the Hip and Ridge Vent[®], a shingle-over vent providing exhaust along the roof's hip allowing maximum airflow. Another subsidiary expanded its product offerings of stainless steel expanded metal products.

Many of our building products are used by home owners and builders to provide structural and architectural enhancements for residential and commercial building projects, including projects in geographic locations subject to severe weather or seismic activity, and facilitate compliance with increasingly stringent building codes and insurance requirements. Our building products are manufactured primarily from galvanized and painted steel, anodized and painted aluminum, copper, brass, zinc, and various resins. These metal purchases enhance our purchasing position due to the increased total volume and value-added component of these purchases.

Our production capabilities allow us to process the wide range of metals and plastics necessary for manufacturing building products. Our equipment includes automatic roll forming machines, stamping presses, shears, press brakes, paint lines, milling, welding, injection molding, and numerous automated assembly machines. We maintain our equipment with a thorough preventive maintenance program, including in-house tool and die shops, allowing us to meet the demanding service requirements of many of our customers.

Quality Assurance

We place great importance on providing our customers with high-quality products for use in critical applications. We carefully select our raw material vendors and use computerized inspection and analysis to maintain our quality standards so that our products will meet critical customer specifications. To meet customer specifications, we use documented procedures utilizing statistical process control systems linked directly to processing equipment to monitor all stages of production. Physical, chemical, and metallographic analyses are performed during the production process to verify that mechanical and dimensional properties, cleanliness, surface characteristics, and chemical content are within specification.

Technical Services

We employ a staff of engineers, metallurgists, and other technical personnel and maintain fully-equipped, modern laboratories to support our operations. These laboratories enable us to verify, analyze, and document the physical, chemical, metallurgical, and mechanical properties of our raw materials and products. In addition, our engineering staff employs a range of drafting software to design highly specialized and technically precise products. Technical service personnel also work in conjunction with our sales force to determine the types of products and services required for the particular needs of our customers.

Suppliers and Raw Materials

Our business is required to maintain substantial inventories of raw material in order to accommodate the short lead times and just-in-time delivery requirements of our customers. Accordingly, we plan our purchases to maintain an inventory of raw materials at sufficient levels to satisfy the anticipated needs of our customers. We manage our inventory levels through forecasts of customer orders, efficient supply chain management, and an ongoing assessment

of market conditions.

The primary raw material we purchase is flat-rolled steel and we also procure aluminum and resins. We purchase flat-rolled steel at regular intervals on an as-needed basis, primarily from the major North American

Table of Contents

suppliers, as well as a limited amount from foreign steel producers. Because of our strategy to develop longstanding relationships in our supply chain, we have been able to adjust our deliveries of raw materials to match our required inventory positions to support our on-time deliveries to customers.

In 2010, we purchased substantially all of our aluminum requirements from several domestic mills with a small amount sourced with off-shore mills. Our resin purchases are all domestic, primarily through distributors with a small amount direct from the manufacturer. Supply has been adequate from these sources to fulfill our needs.

We purchase natural gas and electricity from suppliers in proximity to our operations.

We have no long-term contractual commitments with our suppliers. Our Vice President of Supply Chain Management continually examines and improves our purchasing practices across our geographically dispersed facilities in order to streamline purchasing across like commodities.

Intellectual Property

We protect our intellectual property by trademark, copyright, and patent registrations and use our intellectual property in the business activities of each operating segment. While no individual item of our intellectual property is considered material, we do believe our trademarks, copyrights, and patents provide us with a competitive advantage when marketing our products to customers.

Sales and Marketing

Our products and services are sold primarily by channel partners who are called on by our sales personnel and outside sales representatives located throughout the United States, Canada, Mexico, and Europe. We have organized sales teams to focus on specific customers and national accounts to allow us to provide enhanced supply solutions, and enhance our ability to increase the number of products that we provide to those customers and accounts. Our sales staff works with certain retail customers to optimize shelf space for our products which is expected to increase sales at these locations.

Customers and Distribution

We have numerous customers located throughout the United States, Canada, Mexico, Europe, and Central America, principally in the home improvement; residential, commercial, and industrial construction; building materials; and architectural industries. Major customers include home improvement retailers, building product distributors, and commercial and residential contractors. The Home Depot represented 15%, 16%, and 10% of our consolidated net sales for 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. No other customer accounted for more than 10% of our net sales.

Although we negotiate annual sales orders with the majority of our customers, these orders are subject to customer confirmation as to product amounts and delivery dates. We do not have long-term contracts with any of our customers.

Backlog

Because of the nature of our products and the short lead time order cycle, backlog is not a significant factor in our business. We believe that substantially all of our firm orders existing on December 31, 2010 will be shipped prior to the end of the first quarter of 2011.

Competition

Gibraltar operates in the highly competitive building products market with several North American suppliers and, in the case of European operations, some foreign suppliers. A few of our competitors may be larger, have greater financial resources, or have less financial leverage than we do. As a result, these competitors may be better positioned to respond to any downward pricing pressure or other adverse economic or industry conditions or to identify and acquire companies or product lines compatible with their business.

Table of Contents

We compete with numerous suppliers of building products based on the range of products offered, quality, price, and delivery. Although some of these competing suppliers are large companies, the majority are small to medium-sized and do not offer the range of building products we do.

The prices for the raw materials we use in our operations, primarily steel, aluminum, and resins, are volatile due to a number of factors beyond our control, including supply shortages, general industry and economic conditions, labor costs, import duties, tariffs, and currency exchange rates. Although we have strategies to deal with volatility in raw material costs, such as reducing inventory levels, our competitors who do not have to maintain inventories as large as ours may be better able to mitigate the effects of this volatility and thereby compete effectively against us on product price.

We believe our broad range of products, high product quality, and sustained ability to meet exacting customer delivery requirements gives us a competitive advantage over many competitors.

Employees

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, we employed approximately 2,054 and 2,450 employees, respectively. Approximately 19% of our workforce was represented by unions through various collective bargaining agreements (CBAs) as of December 31, 2010. One CBA, representing 5% of our workforce, will expire during 2011. Our other CBAs expire between February 19, 2012 and December 31, 2013. We historically have had good relationships with our unions. We expect the current and future negotiations with our unions to result in contracts that provide employee benefits that are consistent with those provided in our current agreements.

Seasonality

Our net sales are generally lower in the first and fourth quarters primarily due to reduced activity in the building and construction industry due to colder and more inclement weather.

Governmental Regulation

Our manufacturing facilities and distribution centers are subject to many federal, state, and local requirements relating to the protection of the environment and we use environmentally sensitive materials in our production processes. For example, we lubricate our machines with oil and use oil baths to treat some of our products. We believe that we operate our business in material compliance with all environmental laws and regulations, do not anticipate any material expenditures to meet environmental requirements and do not believe that future compliance with such laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. However, we could incur operating costs or capital expenditures in complying with new or more stringent environmental requirements in the future or with current requirements if they are applied to our facilities in a way we do not anticipate. In addition, new or more stringent regulation of our energy suppliers could cause them to increase the cost of energy they supply us.

Our operations are also governed by many other laws and regulations covering our labor relationships, the zoning of our facilities, our general business practices, and other matters. We believe that we are in material compliance with these laws and regulations and do not believe that future compliance with such laws and regulations will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Internet Information

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

Copies of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available free of charge through the Company's Web site (www.gibraltar1.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files the material with, or furnishes it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

The United States and worldwide capital and credit markets have experienced significant price and credit availability volatility, dislocations, and disruptions.

These events have caused market prices of many stocks to fluctuate substantially, the spreads on prospective debt financings to widen considerably, and have materially impacted liquidity in the financial markets, making terms for certain financings less attractive, and in some cases have resulted in the unavailability of financing. Continued uncertainty in the capital and credit markets may negatively impact our business, including our ability to access additional financing at reasonable terms, which may negatively affect our ability to make future acquisitions. A prolonged downturn in the financial markets may cause us to seek alternative sources of potentially less attractive financing, and may require us to further adjust our business plan accordingly. These events may also make it more difficult or costly for us to raise capital through the issuance of our equity securities and could reduce our net income by increasing our interest expense and other costs of capital. The disruptions in the financial markets may have a material adverse effect on the market value of our common stock.

The diminished availability of credit and other capital is also affecting end users in the key markets we serve including the building markets. There is continued uncertainty as to sustainability of the recovery of the worldwide capital and credit markets and the impact this period of volatility will continue to have on our key end markets. The effects of existing and any new U.S. Government measures to aid economic recovery including economic stimulus legislation continue to be unknown. Further volatility in the worldwide capital and credit markets may continue to significantly impact the key end markets we serve and could result in further reductions in sales volumes, increased credit and collection risks, and may have other adverse effects on our business.

The residential building as well as the repair and remodel industries account for a significant portion of our sales, and any further reduction in demand from these industries is likely to adversely affect our profitability and cash flow further.

The residential building market in North America experienced a significant decline in volume beginning in 2008 and continuing throughout 2009 and 2010 as shown below:

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Residential Housing Starts | 586,000 | 554,000 | 900,000 | 1,342,000 |

Similar trends were noted in the other end markets we serve, including the repair and remodel industry. Our largest customers are retail home improvement centers and wholesale distributors who serve our key end markets. In 2010, 2009, and 2008, The Home Depot accounted for approximately 15%, 16%, and 10% of our net sales, respectively. A loss of sales to the residential building industry, or the repair and remodel industry, or to the specified customer, would adversely affect our profitability and cash flow as it did throughout the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. Our sales of building products decreased during the past two years due to a decline in demand in the new build residential building and repair and remodel industries. This reduction in volume caused a decrease in our operating margins compared to prior years. This industry is cyclical, with product demand based on numerous factors such as availability of credit, interest rates, general economic conditions, consumer confidence, unemployment levels, and other factors beyond our control. The current poor economic conditions have negatively affected all of these factors.

Further downturns in demand from the residential building and repair and remodel industries, or any of the other industries we serve, or a decrease in the prices that we can realize from sales of our products to customers in any of these markets could continue to adversely affect our profitability and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Our level of indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy, or our industry and prevent us from meeting our obligations.

We have total indebtedness of \$207.2 million as of December 31, 2010. The following chart shows our level of indebtedness and certain other information as of December 31, 2010 (dollars in millions):

| | As of December 31, 2010 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Senior subordinated notes | \$ 202.0 |
| Other | 5.2 |
| Total debt | \$ 207.2 |
| Shareholders' equity | \$ 440.9 |
| Ratio of earnings to fixed charges(1) | 0.5x |

(1) For purposes of calculating the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings consist of income before taxes minus net undistributed equity earnings minus capitalized interest plus intangible asset impairment charges plus fixed charges. Fixed charges include interest expense (including amortization of debt issuance costs), capitalized interest, and the portion of operating rental expense that management believes is representative of the interest component of rent expense.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow from profitability and other sources to service all of our indebtedness and we could be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful.

Our ability to make scheduled payments or to refinance our debt obligations depends on our financial and operating performance, which is subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to certain financial, business, and other factors beyond our control. We cannot assure you that we will maintain a level of cash flows from operating activities sufficient to permit us to pay the principal, premium, if any, and interest on our indebtedness.

If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we may be forced to reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets or operations, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance our indebtedness. We cannot assure you that we would be able to take any of these actions, that these actions would be successful and permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations or that these actions would be permitted under the terms of our existing or future debt agreements. In the absence of such operating results and resources, we could face substantial liquidity problems and might be required to dispose of material assets or operations to meet our debt service and other obligations. Our Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated July 24, 2009 (the Senior Credit Agreement) and our indenture agreement for our Senior Subordinated 8% Notes restrict our ability to dispose of assets and the use of proceeds from the disposition. We may not be able to consummate those dispositions or to obtain the proceeds which we could realize from them and these proceeds may not be adequate to meet any debt service obligations then due.

If we cannot make scheduled payments on our debt, we will be in default and, as a result:

our debt holders could declare all outstanding principal and interest to be due and payable;

the lenders under our Senior Credit Agreement could terminate their commitments to lend us money and foreclose against the assets securing their borrowings; and

we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation.

Relative to current indebtedness levels, we may still be able to incur substantially more debt. This could further exacerbate the risks described above.

The terms of the indenture for our Senior Subordinated 8% Notes do not fully prohibit us or our subsidiaries from incurring additional debt. Additionally, our Senior Credit Agreement provides us with a

Table of Contents

revolving credit facility commitment of \$200 million with borrowings limited to the lesser of (i) \$200 million or (ii) a borrowing base determined by reference to the trade receivables, inventories, and property, plant, and equipment of our significant domestic subsidiaries. At December 31, 2010, we had \$85.8 million of availability under our revolving credit facility. Under the terms of our Senior Credit Agreement, we are required to repay all amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility by August 30, 2012. Our principal operating subsidiary, Gibraltar Steel Corporation of New York, is also a borrower under our Senior Credit Agreement and the full amount of our commitments under the revolving credit facility may be borrowed by that subsidiary.

In addition, our substantial degree of indebtedness could have other important consequences, including the following:

it may limit our ability to obtain additional debt or equity financing for working capital, capital expenditures, product development, debt service requirements, acquisitions, and general corporate or other purposes;

a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations have been and are expected to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness and may not be available for other purposes, including our operations, capital expenditures, and future business opportunities;

certain of our borrowings, including borrowings under our Senior Credit Agreement, are at variable rates of interest, exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates; and

it may limit our ability to adjust to changing market conditions and place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt.

Restrictive covenants may adversely affect our operations.

Our Senior Credit Agreement and the indenture governing our Senior Subordinated 8% Notes contain various covenants that limit our ability to, among other things:

incur additional debt or provide guarantees in respect of obligations of other persons;

pay dividends or distributions or redeem or repurchase capital stock;

prepay, redeem, or repurchase debt;

make loans, investments including acquisitions, and capital expenditures;

incur debt that is senior to our Senior Subordinated 8% Notes but junior to our indebtedness under our Senior Credit Agreement and other senior indebtedness;

incur liens;

restrict distributions from our subsidiaries;

sell assets and capital stock of our subsidiaries;

consolidate or merge with or into, or sell substantially all of our assets to, another person; and

enter into new lines of business.

In addition, the restrictive covenants in the Senior Credit Agreement include a single financial covenant that requires the Company to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.25 to 1.00. Our ability to meet the restrictive covenants in the future can be affected by events beyond our control and we cannot assure you that we will meet the financial ratio. A breach of any of these covenants would result in a default under the Senior Credit Agreement. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under the Senior Credit Agreement, we would attempt to receive a waiver from our lenders, which could result in us incurring additional financing fees that would be costly and adversely affect our profitability and cash flows. If a waiver was not provided, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under such facility to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit. If such event of default and election occurs,

Table of Contents

the lenders under the Senior Credit Agreement would be entitled to be paid before current Senior Subordinated 8% Note holders receive any payment under our notes. In addition, if we were unable to repay those amounts, the lenders under the Senior Credit Agreement could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure that indebtedness. We have pledged substantially all our assets as collateral under our Senior Credit Agreement. If the lenders under our Senior Credit Agreement accelerate the repayment of borrowings, we cannot assure you that we will have sufficient assets to repay debt outstanding under our Senior Credit Agreement and our other indebtedness, including our Senior Subordinated 8% Notes, or borrow sufficient funds to refinance such indebtedness. An acceleration of the amounts outstanding under the Senior Credit Agreement would result in an event of default under our Senior Subordinated 8% Notes which would then entitle the holders thereof to accelerate and demand repayment of the notes as well. Even if we are able to obtain new financing to pay the amounts due under the Senior Credit Agreement and Senior Subordinate 8% Notes, it may not be on commercially reasonable terms, or terms that are acceptable to us. A breach of any of our covenants would have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and cash flow.

Variable rate indebtedness subjects us to interest rate risk which could cause our debt service obligations to increase significantly.

Certain of our borrowings, primarily borrowings under our Senior Credit Agreement, are, and are expected to continue to be, at variable rates of interest and expose us to interest rate risk. If interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on the variable rate indebtedness would increase on any amounts outstanding under the Senior Credit Agreement, and our net income would decrease. Assuming all revolving loans were fully drawn or funded on December 31, 2010, as applicable, each 25 basis point change in interest rates would result in a \$0.5 million change in annual interest expense on debt outstanding under our Senior Credit Agreement.

We rely on a few customers for a significant portion of our net sales, and the loss of those customers would adversely affect us.

Some of our customers are material to our business and results of operations. In 2010, 2009, and 2008, our ten largest customers accounted for approximately 37%, 37%, and 30% of our net sales, respectively. Our percentage of net sales to our major customers may increase if we are successful in pursuing our strategy of broadening the range of products we sell to existing customers. In such an event, or in the event of any consolidation in the markets we serve, including retailers selling building products, our net sales may be increasingly sensitive to deterioration in the financial condition of, or other adverse developments with, one or more of our largest customers. These customers are also able to exert pricing and other influences on us, requiring us to market, deliver, and promote our products in a manner that may be more costly to us. Moreover, we generally do not have long-term contracts with our customers. As a result, although our customers periodically provide indications of their product needs and purchases, they generally purchase our products on an order-by-order basis, and the relationship, as well as particular orders, can be terminated at any time. The loss, bankruptcy, or significant decrease in business from any of our major customers would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and cash flow.

Our business is highly competitive, and increased competition could reduce our gross profit, net income, and cash flow.

The principal markets that we serve are highly competitive. Competition is based primarily on quality, price, raw materials and inventory availability, and the ability to meet delivery schedules dictated by customers. We compete in building markets with companies of various sizes, some of which have greater financial and other resources than we do and some of which have better established brand names in the markets we serve. Increased competition could force us to lower our prices or to offer additional services or enhanced products at a higher cost to us, which could reduce our gross profit, net income, and cash flow and cause us to lose market share.

Table of Contents

Our future operating results may be affected by fluctuations in raw material costs. We may not be able to pass on increases in raw material costs to our customers.

Our principal raw materials are steel, aluminum, and resins, which we purchase from multiple primary suppliers. The steel industry as a whole is cyclical, and at times availability and pricing can be volatile due to a number of factors beyond our control, including general economic conditions, domestic and worldwide demand, labor costs, competition, import duties, tariffs, and currency exchange rates. This volatility can significantly affect our steel costs. Our other significant raw materials, including aluminum and resins, are also subject to price volatility.

Global consolidation of the primary steel producers and increased demand from other nations such as China and India continue to put upward pressure on market prices for steel and other commodities. Additionally, we are required to maintain substantial inventories to accommodate the short lead times and just-in-time delivery requirements of our customers. Accordingly, we purchase raw materials on a regular basis in an effort to maintain our inventory at levels that we believe are sufficient to satisfy the anticipated needs of our customers based upon expected buying practices and market conditions. In an environment of increasing raw material prices, competitive conditions will impact how much of the steel price increases we can pass on to our customers. To the extent we are unable to pass on future price increases in our raw materials to our customers, the profitability of our business and resulting cash flows could be adversely affected. In the event of rapidly decreasing raw material prices, we may be left to absorb the cost of higher cost inventory as customers receive reduced pricing related to decreases in raw material costs. To the extent we are unable to match our costs to purchase raw materials to prices given to our customers, the profitability of our business and resulting cash flows could be adversely affected.

Lead time and the cost of our products could increase if we were to lose one of our primary suppliers.

If, for any reason, our primary suppliers of steel, aluminum, or other metals should curtail or discontinue deliveries to us in quantities we need and at prices that are competitive, our business could suffer. The number of available suppliers has been reduced in recent years due to industry consolidation and bankruptcies affecting steel and metal producers and this trend may continue. Our top ten suppliers accounted for 35% of our purchases during 2010. We could be significantly and adversely affected if delivery were disrupted from a major supplier or several suppliers. In addition, we do not have long-term contracts with any of our suppliers. If, in the future, we were unable to obtain sufficient amounts of the necessary metals at competitive prices and on a timely basis from our traditional suppliers, we may not be able to obtain such metals from alternative sources at competitive prices to meet our delivery schedules, which would have a material adverse effect on our results, profitability, and cash flow.

Increases in energy and freight prices would increase our operating costs, and we may be unable to pass all these increases on to our customers in the form of higher prices for our products.

We use energy to manufacture and transport our products. In particular, our plants use considerable electricity. Our operating costs increase if energy costs rise. Although we do not believe we have experienced materially higher energy costs as a result of new or more stringent environmental regulations of our energy suppliers, such regulations could increase the cost of generating energy that is passed on to us. During periods of higher freight and energy costs, we may not be able to recover our operating cost increases through price increases without reducing demand for our products. In addition, we do not hedge our exposure to higher prices via energy futures contracts. Increases in energy prices may reduce our profitability and cash flows if we are unable to pass all the increases on to our customers.

We may not be able to identify, manage, and integrate future acquisitions successfully, and if we are unable to do so, we are unlikely to sustain growth in net sales or profitability and our ability to repay our outstanding indebtedness may decline.

Historically, we have grown through a combination of internal growth plus external expansion through acquisitions. Although we intend to actively pursue our growth strategy in the future, we cannot provide any

Table of Contents

assurance that we will be able to identify appropriate acquisition candidates or, if we do, that we will be able to negotiate successfully the terms of an acquisition, finance the acquisition, or integrate the acquired business profitably into our existing operations. Integration of an acquired business could disrupt our business by diverting management away from day-to-day operations and could result in liabilities that were not anticipated. Further, failure to integrate any acquisition successfully may cause significant operating inefficiencies and could adversely affect our profitability and our ability to repay our outstanding indebtedness. Consummating an acquisition could require us to raise additional funds through additional equity or debt financing. Additional debt financing would increase our interest expense and reduce our cash flow otherwise available to reinvest in our business and neither debt nor equity financing may be available on satisfactory terms when required.

We are subject to information system security risks and systems integration issues could disrupt our internal operations.

We are dependent upon information technology for the distribution of information internally and also to our customers and suppliers. This information technology is subject to theft, damage, or interruption from a variety of sources, including but not limited to malicious computer code, such as worms, viruses and Trojan horses, security breaches, and defects in design. The implementation of new information technology solutions could lead to interruptions of information flow internally and to our customers and suppliers while the implementation project is being completed. We implemented new systems in 2010 and 2009 at several business units. Various measures have been taken to manage our risks related to information system and network disruptions, but a security breach, system failure, or failure to implement new systems properly could negatively impact our operations and financial results.

Our principal stockholders have the ability to exert significant influence in matters requiring a stockholder vote and could delay, deter, or prevent a change in control of the Company.

Approximately 10% of our outstanding common stock, including shares of common stock issuable under options and similar compensatory instruments granted which are exercisable, or which are vested or will vest within 60 days, are owned by Brian J. Lipke, the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, Eric R. Lipke, Neil E. Lipke, Meredith A. Lipke, and Curtis W. Lipke, all of whom are siblings, and certain trusts for the benefit of each of them. As a result, the Lipke family has influence over all actions requiring stockholder approval, including the election of our board of directors. Through their concentration of voting power, the Lipke family could delay, deter, or prevent a change in control of our Company or other business combinations that might otherwise be beneficial to our Company. In deciding how to vote on such matters, the Lipke family may be influenced by interests that conflict with the interests of other shareholders. In addition, the Lipke family may have an interest in pursuing transactions that, in their judgment, enhance the value of their equity investment in the Company, even though those transactions may involve risks to our other shareholders.

We depend on our senior management team, and the loss of any member could adversely affect our operations.

Our success is dependent on the management and leadership skills of our senior management team. The loss of any of these individuals or an inability to attract, retain, and maintain additional personnel could prevent us from successfully executing our business strategy. We cannot assure you that we will be able to retain our existing senior management personnel or to attract additional qualified personnel when needed. We have not entered into employment agreements with any of our senior management personnel other than Brian J. Lipke, our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, and Henning N. Kornbrekke, our President and Chief Operating Officer.

We could incur substantial costs in order to comply with, or to address any violations of, environmental laws.

Our operations and facilities are subject to a variety of federal, state, local, and foreign laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment and human health and safety. Failure to maintain or

Table of Contents

achieve compliance with these laws and regulations or with the permits required for our operations could result in substantial operating costs and capital expenditures, in addition to fines and civil or criminal sanctions, third-party claims for property damage or personal injury, cleanup costs or temporary or permanent discontinuance of operations. Certain facilities of ours have been in operation for many years and, over time, we and other predecessor operators of these facilities have generated, used, handled, and disposed of hazardous and other regulated wastes. Environmental liabilities could exist, including cleanup obligations at these facilities or at off-site locations where materials from our operations were disposed of or at facilities we divested, which could result in future expenditures that cannot be currently quantified and which could reduce our profits and cash flow. We may be held strictly liable for the contamination of these sites, and the amount of that liability could be material. Under the joint and several liability principle of certain environmental laws, we may be held liable for all remediation costs at a particular site, even with respect to contamination for which we are not responsible. Changes in environmental laws, regulations or enforcement policies, including without limitation new or more stringent regulations affecting greenhouse gas emissions, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Labor disruptions at any of our major customers or at our own manufacturing facilities could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow.

Many of our customers have unionized workforces and could experience labor disruptions such as work stoppages, slow-downs, and strikes. A labor disruption at one or more of our customers could interrupt production or sales by that customer and cause the customer to halt or limit orders for our products and services. Any such reduction in the demand for our products and services would adversely affect our net sales, results of operations, and cash flow.

In addition, approximately 19% of our own employees are represented by unions through various collective bargaining agreements that are scheduled to expire between March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2013. It is likely that our unionized employees will seek an increase in wages and benefits at the expiration of these agreements, and we may be unable to negotiate new agreements without labor disruption. In addition, labor organizing activities could occur at any of our facilities. If any labor disruption were to occur at our facilities, we could lose sales due to interruptions in production and could incur additional costs, which would adversely affect our net sales, results of operations, and cash flow.

Our operations are subject to seasonal fluctuations that may impact our cash flow.

Our net sales are generally lower in the first and fourth quarters primarily due to reduced activity in the building industry due to colder, more inclement weather. In addition, quarterly results may be affected by the timing of large customer orders. Therefore, our cash flow from operations may vary from quarter to quarter. If, as a result of any such fluctuation, our quarterly cash flows were significantly reduced, we may not be able to service our indebtedness or maintain covenant compliance. A default under any of our indebtedness could prevent us from borrowing additional funds and limit our ability to pay interest or principal, and allow our senior secured lenders to enforce their liens against our personal property.

Economic, political, and other risks associated with foreign operations could adversely affect our financial results.

Although the majority of our business activity takes place in the United States, we derive a portion of our revenues and earnings from operations in foreign countries, and are subject to risks associated with doing business internationally. Our sales originating outside the United States represented approximately 15% of our consolidated net sales during the year ended December 31, 2010. We have facilities in Canada, England, Germany, and Poland. We believe that our business activities outside of the United States involve a higher degree of risk than our domestic activities. The risks of doing business in foreign countries include the potential for adverse changes in the local political climate, in diplomatic relations between foreign countries and the United States or in governmental policies,

laws or regulations, terrorist activity that may cause social disruption, logistical and communications challenges, costs of complying with a variety of laws and regulations, difficulty in staffing and managing geographically diverse operations, deterioration of foreign

Table of Contents

economic conditions, currency rate fluctuations, foreign exchange restrictions, differing local business practices and cultural considerations, restrictions on imports and exports or sources of supply, and changes in duties or taxes. Adverse changes in any of these risks could adversely affect our net sales, results of operations, and cash flows.

Disruptions to our business or the business of our customers or suppliers, could adversely impact our operations and financial results.

Business disruptions, including increased costs for or interruptions in the supply of energy or raw materials, resulting from severe weather events such as hurricanes, floods, blizzards, from casualty events, such as fires or material equipment breakdown, from acts of terrorism, from pandemic disease, from labor disruptions, or from other events such as required maintenance shutdowns, could cause interruptions to our businesses as well as the operations of our customers and suppliers. Such interruptions could have an adverse effect on our operations and financial results.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We maintain our corporate headquarters in Buffalo, New York and conduct business operations in facilities located throughout the United States and in Canada, England, Germany, and Poland.

We believe the facilities we operate, listed below, and their equipment are effectively utilized, well maintained, in good condition, and will be able to accommodate our capacity needs through 2011.

| Location | Utilization | Square Footage |
|-----------------------------|--|----------------|
| Buffalo, New York | Headquarters | 24,490(1) |
| <i>U.S. Locations</i> | | |
| Birmingham, Alabama | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 202,000 |
| Fontana, California | Manufacturing facility | 80,000 |
| Fontana, California | Distribution center and administrative office | 69,720(1) |
| Livermore, California | Manufacturing facility | 103,470(1) |
| Stockton, California | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 318,320 |
| Visalia, California | Manufacturing facility | 80,000 |
| Denver, Colorado | Distribution center and administrative office | 89,560(1) |
| Wilmington, Delaware | Manufacturing facility and distribution center | 27,500(1) |
| Jacksonville, Florida | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 261,400(1) |
| Largo, Florida | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 100,000 |
| Bourbonnais, Illinois | Manufacturing facility | 280,000(1) |
| Peoria, Illinois | Administrative office | 1,610(1) |
| Clinton, Iowa | Manufacturing facility | 100,000 |
| Manhattan, Kansas | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 192,000 |
| Lafayette, Louisiana | Manufacturing facility | 34,000 |
| Burnsville, Minnesota | Administrative office | 20,009(1) |
| Montgomery, Minnesota | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 170,000 |
| Taylorville, Mississippi | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 397,484 |
| North Kansas City, Missouri | Manufacturing facility | 26,365(1) |

| | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|
| Orrick, Missouri | Manufacturing facility | 127,000 |
| Lumberton, New Jersey | Distribution center | 25,805(1) |
| Portland, Oregon | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 10,000 |

Table of Contents

| Location | Utilization | Square Footage |
|----------------------------|--|----------------|
| Greenville, South Carolina | Distribution center | 18,000(1) |
| Dallas, Texas | Manufacturing facility and distribution center | 175,000(1) |
| Dayton, Texas | Manufacturing facility | 45,000 |
| Houston, Texas | Manufacturing facility | 48,000(1) |
| Houston, Texas | Distribution center | 25,000(1) |
| San Antonio, Texas | Manufacturing facility and distribution center | 120,050(1) |
| Orem, Utah | Manufacturing facility | 88,685 |
| Fife, Washington | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 324,220 |
| Kent, Washington | Distribution center | 9,600(1) |
| Appleton, Wisconsin | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 100,262 |
| Appleton, Wisconsin | Distribution center | 42,582 |
| <i>Canadian Locations</i> | | |
| Langley, British Columbia | Manufacturing facility | 41,000(1) |
| Burlington, Ontario | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 78,000(1) |
| Thornhill, Ontario | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 60,500(1) |
| Iberville, Quebec | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 32,172 |
| Iberville, Quebec | Distribution center | 15,000(1) |
| <i>European Locations</i> | | |
| Hannover, Germany | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 81,453(1) |
| Poznan, Poland | Distribution center and administrative office | 3,120(1) |
| Hartlepool, United Kingdom | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 258,907(1) |
| Redditch, United Kingdom | Manufacturing facility and administrative office | 18,151(1) |

(1) Leased. All other facilities owned.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, the Company is named a defendant in legal actions arising out of the normal course of business. The Company is not a party to any pending legal proceedings the resolution of which the management of the Company believes will have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or financial condition or to any other pending legal proceedings other than ordinary, routine litigation incidental to its business. The Company maintains liability insurance against risks arising out of the normal course of business.

Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters**

As of December 31, 2010 there were 146 shareholders of record of the Company's common stock. However, the Company believes that it has a significantly higher number of shareholders because of the number of shares that are held by nominees.

The Company's common stock is traded in the over-the-counter market and quoted on the NASDAQ Stock Exchange Global Select Market (NASDAQ) under the symbol ROCK. The following table sets forth the high and low sale prices per share for the Company's common stock for each quarter of 2010 and 2009 as reported on the NASDAQ Stock Exchange.

| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 14.65 | \$ 8.50 | \$ 16.74 | \$ 10.51 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 11.65 | \$ 7.36 | \$ 15.65 | \$ 5.62 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 15.85 | \$ 10.05 | \$ 8.55 | \$ 4.32 |
| First Quarter | \$ 18.28 | \$ 11.05 | \$ 12.95 | \$ 3.41 |

The Company did not declare cash dividends during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. Cash dividends are declared at the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors. The Board of Directors reviews the dividend quarterly and establishes the rate of any dividend it determines to pay based upon such factors as the Company's earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, debt covenant requirements, and other relevant conditions. During the first quarter of 2009, the Board of Directors approved management's suggestion to suspend quarterly dividends indefinitely as a result of the impact of economic conditions on the Company and the markets it serves. The Company expects to continue to declare and pay cash dividends on its common stock in the future when earnings are available and the Board of Directors believes other relevant factors and conditions are appropriate for the payment of such dividends. However, the Company cannot assure that either cash or stock dividends will be paid in the future or that, if paid, the dividends will be paid in the same amount or at the same frequency as paid in the past.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes information concerning securities authorized for issuance under the Company's stock option plans:

| Plan Category | Number of Securities | Weighted-Average | Number of Securities |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | to be Issued Upon | | Remaining Available |
| | Exercise of | Exercise Price of | for Future |
| | Outstanding | Outstanding | Issuance Under |
| | Options | Options | Equity |
| | | | Compensation |
| | | | Plans(1) |

| | | | | |
|--|---------|----|-------|-----------|
| Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders | 629,781 | \$ | 17.02 | 1,245,650 |
| Total | 629,781 | \$ | 17.02 | 1,245,650 |

(1) Consists of the Company's Third Amendment and Restatement of the Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the Plan). Note 12 of the Company's consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K provides additional information regarding the Plan and securities issuable upon exercise of options. The Company has no currently effective equity compensation plans not approved by its shareholders.

Table of Contents

PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following information in this Item of the Annual Report on Form 10-K is not deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate such information into such a filing.

The performance graph shown below compares the cumulative total shareholder return on the Company's common stock, based on the market price of the common stock, with the total return of the S&P SmallCap 600 Index and the S&P SmallCap 600 Industrials Index for the five-year period ended December 31, 2010. The comparison of total return assumes that a fixed investment of \$100 was invested on December 31, 2005 in common stock and in each of the foregoing indices and further assumes the reinvestment of dividends. The stock price performance shown on the graph is not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

**COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
Among Gibraltar Industries Inc., the S&P Smallcap 600 Index
S&P SmallCap 600 Industrials**

* \$100 invested on 12/31/05 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31.

Copyright© 2011 S&P, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies Inc. All rights reserved.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following selected historical consolidated financial data for each of the five years in the period ended December 31, 2010 have been derived from the Company's audited financial statements. The selected historical consolidated financial data presented in Item 6 are qualified in their entirety by, and should be read in conjunction with, the Company's audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in Item 8 and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations set forth in Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010(1) | 2009(1) | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Net sales | \$ 685,068 | \$ 691,771 | \$ 986,840 | \$ 929,022 | \$ 862,287 |
| Intangible asset impairment | (76,964) | (60,098) | | | |
| (Loss) income from operations | (68,106) | (37,693) | 63,814 | 62,476 | 96,581 |
| Interest expense | 21,160 | 23,108 | 25,687 | 27,781 | 22,384 |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (89,185) | (60,485) | 38,851 | 35,867 | 61,297 |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (15,789) | (19,416) | 14,545 | 13,988 | 23,097 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (73,396) | (41,069) | 24,306 | 21,879 | 38,200 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations per share Basic | \$ (2.42) | \$ (1.36) | \$ 0.81 | \$ 0.73 | \$ 1.29 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding Basic | 30,303 | 30,135 | 29,981 | 29,867 | 29,712 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations per share Diluted | \$ (2.42) | \$ (1.36) | \$ 0.81 | \$ 0.73 | \$ 1.27 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding Diluted | 30,303 | 30,135 | 30,193 | 30,116 | 30,006 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.15 |
| Current assets | \$ 242,377 | \$ 252,125 | \$ 348,229 | \$ 440,745 | \$ 455,780 |
| Current liabilities | 100,118 | 109,016 | 125,201 | 134,225 | 124,415 |
| Total assets | 810,890 | 974,942 | 1,146,359 | 1,281,408 | 1,152,868 |
| Total debt | 207,197 | 257,282 | 356,372 | 487,545 | 399,313 |
| Shareholders' equity | 440,853 | 528,226 | 568,487 | 567,760 | 550,228 |
| Capital expenditures | \$ 8,470 | \$ 9,774 | \$ 19,691 | \$ 13,653 | \$ 18,731 |
| Depreciation | 21,216 | 21,043 | 21,177 | 20,450 | 15,515 |
| Amortization | 5,179 | 5,201 | 5,614 | 5,480 | 3,896 |

(1) During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded after-tax intangible asset impairment charges of \$62.6 million and \$40.4 million, respectively, which contributed \$2.06 and \$1.34 to the loss from continuing operations per diluted share.

Table of Contents**Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the Company's risk factors and its consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 1A and Item 8, respectively, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain information set forth herein Item 7 constitutes forward-looking statements as that term is used in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements are based, in whole or in part, on management's beliefs, estimates, assumptions, and currently available information. For a more detailed discussion of what constitutes a forward-looking statement and of some of the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements, please refer to the Safe Harbor Statement on page 2 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

Gibraltar is a leading manufacturer and distributor of products for the building markets. Our products provide structural and architectural enhancements for residential homes, and to a lesser extent, to low-rise retail, professional buildings, and other commercial and industrial building structures. These products include bar grating and expanded metal products, ventilation products, mail storage solutions including mailboxes and package delivery products, roof edging, flashing, soffit, drywall corner bead, metal roofing and accessories, steel framing, rain-carrying systems, and structural connectors. We serve customers throughout North America and Europe. Our most significant customers consist of The Home Depot, Lowe's Companies, Menard Cashway Lumber, and ABC Supply. We operate 43 facilities in 20 states, Canada, England, Germany, and Poland, giving us a broad platform for just-in-time delivery and support to our customers.

Our strategy is to position Gibraltar as the low-cost provider and market share leader in product areas that offer the opportunity for sales growth and margin enhancement over the long-term. We focus on operational excellence including lean initiatives throughout the Company to position Gibraltar as our customers' low-cost provider of products. We continuously seek to improve our on-time delivery, quality, and service to position Gibraltar as a preferred supplier to our customers. We also strive to develop new products, enter new markets, expand market share in the residential markets, and further penetrate domestic and international building markets to strengthen our product leadership positions.

The accelerated economic turmoil impacting the United States and the rest of the world in the second half of 2008 continued to negatively impact the key end markets we served during 2010 and 2009. As shown below, the residential construction market in the United States continued to experience significant declines in volume:

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Residential Housing Starts | 586,000 | 554,000 | 900,000 |

The decrease in residential housing starts affected by higher unemployment and tighter consumer credit had a significant impact on the operations of our business by contributing to decreased sales volumes. Although we experienced improved order levels in March and April 2010, the expiration of the federal tax credit for first-time homebuyers, coupled with the factors noted above negatively affecting the housing market, lowered 2010 order levels in total. Similar trends were noted in the other end markets we serve, including commercial construction and related repair and remodeling activity.

Additionally, commodity raw material prices for materials such as steel, aluminum, and resins, have fluctuated significantly during the past three years. These raw material prices impact the cost of raw materials we purchase and also impact the pricing we offer to customers on sales of our products. During the first three quarters of 2008, a period of comparatively stronger growth, we were able to successfully manage significant increases in raw material costs. However, commodity prices fell precipitously during the fourth quarter of 2008 and continued to fall during the first two quarters of 2009. The rapid decrease in commodity prices led to lower sales prices offered to customers and falling margins on our product sales during the fourth quarter of 2008 and the first half of 2009. Commodity prices stabilized over the last six months of 2009 and most of

Table of Contents

2010 and were followed by a significant increase in commodity costs during the fourth quarter of 2010. Material margins were managed closely during 2010, however, the rising cost of raw materials led to compressed margins during the last six months of the year.

In an effort to respond to these market forces, we have taken a number of steps to position the Company as a low-cost provider of our products. Our focus has been on achieving operational excellence through lean initiatives and the consolidation of facilities. These efforts have resulted in the closing or consolidation of 30 facilities since January 2008, including six during 2010. We have also aggressively reduced operating costs to adjust to decreased sales volumes and maximize cash flows generated from operating activities. As a result, we believe our break-even point has reduced significantly from 2008.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we reduced our net debt level and continuously increased the Company's liquidity. We made net payments of \$50.0 million on debt under our revolving credit facility provided by the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated July 24, 2009 (the Senior Credit Agreement). As a result of these repayments, we do not have any amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility and the amount available under this facility increased by \$16.1 million from December 31, 2009 to \$85.8 million as of December 31, 2010. The positive cash flow generated from operations during the past two years and from the sale of the Processed Metal Products business allowed us to make significant repayments on our long-term debt since December 31, 2008. During this period, we have decreased our outstanding debt by \$149.2 million from \$356.4 million as of December 31, 2008 to \$207.2 million as of December 31, 2010, a 41.9% decrease. Additionally, the Company has increased its cash on hand to \$60.9 million as of December 31, 2010 from \$23.6 million as of December 31, 2009.

Divestitures

On February 1, 2010, Gibraltar completed the sale of the majority of the assets of the Processed Metal Products business. The completion of this transaction finalized our exit from the steel processing business. This strategic initiative began in 2005 and included the 2006 sale of our steel strapping business, the 2007 sale of the Hubbell Steel business, and the 2008 sale of the SCM powdered metal business. This transaction was an ongoing part of our objective to build a company with optimal operating characteristics and improve shareholder value. We now are solely focused on the manufacture and distribution of building products where the Company has historically generated its highest operating margins.

As noted above, we sold our powdered metal business, SCM Metal Products (SCM) in October 2008. We expect to continue evaluating our businesses to focus our resources and capital on those areas that we expect will provide the best long-term strategic fit.

The divestitures of the Processed Metal Products and powdered metal businesses as described above are properly classified as discontinued operations in the Company's consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. See Note 14 of the Company's consolidated financial statements for more information regarding the divestitures described above in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations*****Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009***

The following table sets forth selected results of operations data (in thousands) and its percentages of net sales for the years ended December 31:

| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
|--|-------------|---------|-------------|--------|
| Net sales | \$ 685,068 | 100.0% | \$ 691,771 | 100.0% |
| Cost of sales | 566,673 | 82.7 | 561,402 | 81.2 |
| Gross profit | 118,395 | 17.3 | 130,369 | 18.8 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 109,537 | 16.0 | 107,964 | 15.6 |
| Intangible asset impairment | 76,964 | 11.2 | 60,098 | 8.6 |
| Loss from operations | (68,106) | (9.9) | (37,693) | (5.4) |
| Interest expense | (21,160) | (3.1) | (23,108) | (3.3) |
| Equity in partnerships income(1) | 81 | 0.0 | 316 | 0.0 |
| Loss before taxes | (89,185) | (13.0) | (60,485) | (8.7) |
| Benefit of income taxes | (15,789) | (2.3) | (19,416) | (2.8) |
| Loss from continuing operations | (73,396) | (10.7) | (41,069) | (5.9) |
| Discontinued operations, net of taxes(2) | (17,672) | (2.6) | (10,956) | (1.6) |
| Net loss | \$ (91,068) | (13.3)% | \$ (52,025) | (7.5)% |

(1) Equity in partnerships income represents our proportional interest in the income of our May 2010 investment in a software development company and our steel pickling joint venture which was sold in February 2010 as well as other income.

(2) Discontinued operations represent the loss, net of income taxes, attributable to the processed metal products and bath cabinet manufacturing businesses, which we sold in February 2010 and August 2007, respectively.

Net sales decreased by \$6.7 million, or 1.0%, to \$685.1 million during 2010 compared to \$691.8 million for 2009. The decrease in net sales from the prior year was the net result of a 2.6% decrease in volume partially offset by a 1.6% increase in pricing offered to customers. The lower volume was primarily due to the slow recovery in the economy which did not generate an increase in the demand for our products used in the building markets. As previously noted, the economic downturn continues to have a negative impact on the residential and commercial construction markets and demand of our products remains low compared to historical levels. The higher selling prices were primarily a result of increased commodity costs for steel, aluminum, and resins.

Our gross margin also decreased to 17.3% during 2010 from 18.8% for 2009. The decrease in gross margin was attributable to a less favorable alignment of material costs to customer selling prices during the current year compared to the previous year. The low level of sales volume led to more pressure on pricing from competitors which in some

cases limited our ability to pass along material cost increases. This factor, in combination with rising costs for our raw materials particularly late in the year, contributed to the decline in gross margins. Additionally, we incurred a \$4.7 million increase in restructuring charges recognized in cost of sales during 2010 compared to the prior year. The impact of pricing pressures, raw material commodity cost fluctuations, and restructuring charges experienced during 2010 more than offset the cost reduction initiatives we implemented over the past two years to align our cost structure to a lower level of sales volume. We have also continued to implement lean manufacturing initiatives to further reduce our costs and improve efficiencies. We believe these cost cutting measures will lead to much improved gross margins in future periods when the economy recovers and our sales volume increases.

Table of Contents

Selling, general, and administrative expenses increased by \$1.5 million, or 1.4%, to \$109.5 million during 2010 compared to \$108.0 million for 2009. The \$1.5 million increase was primarily the net result of a \$5.1 million increase in variable incentive compensation driven by improved working capital management and the effect of the Company's appreciating stock price on vested stock-based awards during 2010 compared to 2009. This was partially offset by a \$1.0 million reduction in bad debt expense and a \$3.4 million reduction in salary and other payroll costs. We implemented a number of cost reduction initiatives during the past two years that included restructuring the business and staff reductions leading to the cost reduction noted above.

During 2010 and 2009, due to changes in the estimated fair value of certain reporting units resulting from a significant decrease in sales projections, we recognized intangible asset impairment charges of \$77.0 million and \$60.1 million, respectively.

Interest expense decreased \$1.9 million, or 8.2%, to \$21.2 million during 2010 from \$23.1 million for 2009. We repaid all of our variable-rate debt by May 2010 which decreased the amount of interest paid compared to the prior year. We have reduced debt outstanding by \$50.1 million, or 19.5%, to \$207.2 million as of December 31, 2010 from \$257.3 million as of December 31, 2009 through debt repayments. Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2009, we recognized \$1.4 million of interest expense to write-off deferred financing costs as a result of amending our Senior Credit Agreement in July 2009.

The benefit of income taxes for 2010 was \$15.8 million, an effective tax rate of 17.7%, compared to \$19.4 million, an effective tax rate of 32.1%, for 2009. The effective tax rates for 2010 and 2009 were lower than the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35% due to the effect of non-deductible permanent differences, a large portion of which related to intangible asset impairment charges that were not deductible for tax purposes. In addition, we recognized a \$2.4 million valuation allowance for certain state net operating losses during 2010 which further reduced the effective tax rate. State taxes partially offset the reduction in the effective tax rate during 2009.

Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2008

The following table sets forth selected results of operations data (in thousands) and its percentages of net sales for the years ended December 31:

| | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|--|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| Net sales | \$ 691,771 | 100.0% | \$ 986,840 | 100.0% |
| Cost of sales | 561,402 | 81.2 | 788,281 | 79.9 |
| Gross profit | 130,369 | 18.8 | 198,559 | 20.1 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 107,964 | 15.6 | 134,745 | 13.6 |
| Intangible asset impairment | 60,098 | 8.6 | | |
| (Loss) income from operations | (37,693) | (5.4) | 63,814 | 6.5 |
| Interest expense | (23,108) | (3.3) | (25,687) | (2.7) |
| Equity in partnerships income(1) | 316 | 0.0 | 724 | 0.1 |
| (Loss) income before taxes | (60,485) | (8.7) | 38,851 | 3.9 |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (19,416) | (2.8) | 14,545 | 1.4 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (41,069) | (5.9) | 24,306 | 2.5 |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------|-----------|-------|
| Discontinued operations, net of taxes(2) | (10,956) | (1.6) | (238) | (0.1) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (52,025) | (7.5)% | \$ 24,068 | 2.4% |

- (1) Equity in partnerships income represents our proportional interest in the income of our steel pickling joint venture which was sold in February 2010 as well as other income.
- (2) Discontinued operations represent the loss, net of income taxes, attributable to the processed metal products, powdered metal, and bath cabinet manufacturing businesses, which we sold in February 2010, October 2008, and August 2007, respectively.

Table of Contents

Net sales decreased by \$295.0 million, or 29.9%, to \$691.8 million during 2009 compared to \$986.8 million for 2008. The severe economic downturn, which greatly accelerated in the fourth quarter of 2008, intensified during 2009. The economic conditions had negative effects on the key end markets we serve which led to the significant decline in net sales as demand for our products decreased and volume fell. Customer selling prices also decreased as a result of declines in volume and commodity costs which, in part, impact the prices agreed to with our customers. However, the prevailing factor in the significant decrease in net sales was due to a decline in volume due to macroeconomic factors that negatively impacted our key markets such as residential housing construction as noted above.

Our gross margin also decreased to 18.8% during 2009 from 20.1% for 2008. The decrease in gross margin was primarily the result of the significant decline in sales volume which more than offset the benefits from our cost cutting initiatives. The precipitous decline in raw material commodity costs also led to a decline in gross margin as higher cost inventory was sold at lowered customer selling prices. The effect of declining raw material costs was most significant during the first three quarters of 2009.

Selling, general, and administrative expenses decreased by \$26.7 million, or 19.8%, to \$108.0 million during 2009 compared to \$134.7 million for 2008. The \$26.7 million decrease was primarily a result of a \$15.2 million decrease in payroll-related expenses resulting from staff reductions and lower incentive compensation costs, \$5.7 million of cost reductions from lower travel, marketing, and outside professional fees, and the impact of our other cost reduction initiatives. Despite our effort to reduce costs, our selling, general, and administrative expenses as a percentage of net sales increased to 15.6% during 2009 from 13.6% for 2008 as a result of the 29.9% reduction in net sales during 2009 compared to the prior year.

During 2009, due to changes in the estimated fair value of certain reporting units resulting from a significant decrease in sales projections, we recognized intangible asset impairment charges of \$60.1 million.

Interest expense decreased \$2.6 million, or 10.1%, to \$23.1 million during 2009 from \$25.7 million for 2008. Interest expense decreased due to lower average borrowings during 2009 compared to the prior year. We reduced debt outstanding by \$99.1 million, or 27.8%, to \$257.3 million as of December 31, 2009 from \$356.4 million as of December 31, 2008 through debt repayments. The decrease in interest expense was partially offset by \$1.4 million of charges incurred to write-off a portion of deferred financing costs arising from the amendment to our Senior Credit Agreement in July 2009 and repayment of our term loan under the Senior Credit Agreement.

The benefit of income taxes for 2009 was \$19.4 million, an effective tax rate of 32.1%, compared with a provision for income taxes of \$14.5 million, an effective tax rate of 37.4%, for 2008. The effective tax rate for 2009 was lower than the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35% due to the effect of non-deductible permanent differences and intangible asset impairment charges, portions of which were not deductible for tax purposes. These items were offset by state taxes which partially offset the reduction in the effective tax rate during 2009. The effective tax rate of 37.4% for 2008 exceeded the statutory rate primarily due to the impact of state taxes partially offset by lower rates paid on foreign-sourced income.

Outlook

Looking ahead to 2011, we expect modest improvement in the building markets we serve including the repair and remodel, residential construction, and non-residential construction markets. However, the extent of any sustained economic recovery in these markets is uncertain. For the first quarter of 2011, which is historically a period of lower order levels for our business, we anticipate net sales to be modestly favorable to the first quarter of 2010. Over the long-term, we believe that the fundamentals of the building markets are positive and the aggressive actions taken to streamline and improve the efficiency of our business have reduced our break-even point and positioned the Company

to generate marked improvements in profitability when economic and market conditions return toward historical levels.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

Our principal capital requirements are to fund our operations, including working capital, the purchase and funding of capital improvements to our business and facilities, and to fund acquisitions. During the next twelve months, with the uncertainty in the general economy and the related effects on the building markets, we will continue to focus on maintaining adequate liquidity to meet our principal capital requirements. As noted below in the Cash Flows section of Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we have been successful in generating positive cash flows from our operating activities to fund our capital requirements during the past two years. We generated positive operating cash flows during these periods despite the challenging economic conditions our business faced. In the future, we expect to continue our aggressive cost reduction initiatives and sustain strong working capital management to continue to generate positive operating cash flow.

On July 24, 2009, we entered into the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the Senior Credit Agreement) to convert our previous credit arrangement into a secured revolving credit facility that allowed us to remove many of the restrictive financial covenants contained in the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement before it was amended and restated. As of December 31, 2010, our liquidity of \$146.7 million consisted of \$60.9 million of cash and \$85.8 million of availability under our revolving credit facility. We believe that availability of funds under our Senior Credit Agreement together with the cash generated from operations should be sufficient to provide the Company with the liquidity and capital resources necessary to support our principal capital requirements during the next twelve months.

Our Senior Credit Agreement provides the Company with liquidity and capital resources for use by our U.S. operations. Historically, our foreign operations have generated cash flow from operations sufficient to invest in working capital and to purchase and fund capital improvements to their businesses and facilities. As of December 31, 2010, our foreign subsidiaries held \$14.5 million of cash. We believe cash held by our foreign subsidiaries provides our foreign operations with the necessary liquidity to meet future obligations and allows our foreign subsidiaries to reinvest in their operations and could eventually be used to fund acquisitions that would grow our business internationally.

Over the long-term, we expect that future obligations, including strategic business opportunities such as acquisitions, may be financed through a number of sources, including internally available cash resources, new debt financing, the issuance of equity securities, or any combination of the above. Any potential acquisitions are evaluated on the basis of our ability to enhance our existing products, operations, or capabilities, as well as provide access to new products, markets, and customers.

These expectations are forward-looking statements based upon currently available information and may change if conditions in the credit and equity markets further deteriorate or other circumstances change. To the extent that operating cash flows are lower than current levels or sources of financing are not available or available at acceptable terms, our future liquidity may be adversely affected.

Cash Flows

The following table sets forth selected cash flow data for the year ended December 31 (in millions):

| | | |
|--|------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------|------|

| | | |
|--|---------|---------|
| Cash provided by / (used in): | | |
| Operating activities of continuing operations | \$ 55.7 | \$ 99.8 |
| Investing activities of continuing operations | 19.8 | (14.4) |
| Financing activities of continuing operations | (51.4) | (103.8) |
| Discontinued operations | 13.2 | 30.7 |
| Net increase / (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 37.3 | \$ 12.3 |

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company's cash flow from continuing operations totaled \$55.7 million. The Company's net loss from continuing operations of \$73.4 million was impacted by non-cash

Table of Contents

charges including depreciation, amortization, stock compensation, and intangible asset impairments of \$119.1 million and \$20.4 million of cash generated from a net decrease in assets and liabilities offset by a \$10.6 million decrease in deferred tax liabilities. Net cash provided by operating activities for 2009 was \$99.8 million. The Company's net loss from continuing operations of \$41.1 million was impacted by non-cash charges including depreciation, amortization, stock compensation, and intangible asset impairments of \$97.8 million and \$60.8 million of cash generated from a net decrease in assets and liabilities offset by a \$17.7 million decrease in deferred tax liabilities.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company decreased its working capital along with other long-term assets and liabilities from December 31, 2009 resulting in \$20.4 million of cash flow. Cash flows generated from the decrease in working capital and other net assets were primarily a result of an increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$21.2 million and a decrease in inventory of \$1.9 million offset by an increase in accounts receivable of \$4.1 million. The increases in accounts payable and accounts receivable were a result of increased manufacturing activity and sales volume during the last month of the year compared to the last month of the prior year. Inventory levels were reduced from the prior year as a result of our continued focus on lean initiatives and inventory management which have led to an increase in inventory turnover during 2010 compared to the prior year. The accrued liabilities account increased from the prior year due to greater accruals for incentive compensation and deferred compensation plans. In addition, the other asset balance has decreased from December 31, 2009 as a result of a decline in current deferred tax assets related to the timing of temporary tax differences.

Cash flow provided by investing activities of continuing operations during 2010 was \$19.8 million consisting of \$29.2 million of cash flow generated from the sale of our Processed Metal Products business offset by capital expenditures of \$8.5 million and a \$1.3 million investment in a software company. Net cash used in investing activities of continuing operations during 2009 of \$14.4 million consisted of capital expenditures of \$9.8 million and additional consideration for a previous acquisition of \$4.9 million.

Net cash used in financing activities of continuing operations during 2010 was \$51.4 million, consisting primarily of \$50.4 million of net payments on long-term debt and \$1.1 million in payments of tax withholdings for stock issued to employees from the vesting of restricted stock units. Net cash used in financing activities of continuing operations for 2009 was \$103.8 million, consisting primarily of net payments of \$99.4 million on long-term debt, payments of \$2.4 million for deferred financing costs, and dividend payments of \$1.5 million. Payments of long-term debt made during 2010 and 2009 were the result of cash flows generated from operations and the sale of the Processed Metal Products business offset by other investing activities. We have made net payments on long-term debt outstanding in the amount of \$149.8 million since December 31, 2008.

Senior Credit Agreement and Senior Subordinated Notes

Borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement are secured by the trade receivables, inventory, personal property and equipment, and certain real property of the Company's significant domestic subsidiaries. The Senior Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit facility and letters of credit in an aggregate amount that does not exceed the lesser of (i) \$200 million or (ii) a borrowing base determined by reference to the trade receivables, inventories, and property, plant, and equipment of the Company's significant domestic subsidiaries. The Senior Credit Agreement also provided a term loan originally aggregating \$58.7 million which was subsequently repaid in full during 2009. The revolving credit facility is committed through August 30, 2012. Borrowings on the revolving credit facility bear interest at a variable interest rate based upon the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), with a LIBOR floor of 1.50% plus 3.25%, or at the Company's option, an alternate base rate. The revolving credit facility also carries an annual facility fee of 0.50% on the entire facility, whether drawn or undrawn, and fees on outstanding letters of credit which are payable quarterly. As of December 31, 2010, we had \$85.8 million of availability under the revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we made net payments of \$50.0 million on the revolving credit facility and have not had any borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement since May 3, 2010. We had outstanding letters of credit of \$13.7 million as of December 31, 2010.

The Company's \$204.0 million of Senior Subordinated 8% Notes (8% Notes) were issued in December 2005 at a discount to yield 8.25%. Provisions of the 8% Notes include, without limitation, restrictions on indebtedness, liens, and distributions from restricted subsidiaries, asset sales, affiliate transactions, dividends, and other restricted payments. Dividend payments are subject to annual limits of \$0.25 per share and \$10 million. The 8% Notes are currently redeemable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, at the redemption price (as defined in the Senior Subordinated 8% Notes Indenture), which declines annually from 104% to 100% on and after December 1, 2013. In the event of a Change in Control (as defined in the Senior Subordinated 8% Notes Indenture), each holder of the 8% Notes may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of such holder's 8% Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof. At December 31, 2010, we had \$202.0 million, net of discount, of our 8% Notes outstanding.

Each of our significant domestic subsidiaries has guaranteed the obligations under the Senior Credit Agreement. Debt outstanding under the Senior Credit Agreement and the related guarantees are secured by a first priority security interest (subject to permitted liens as defined in the Senior Credit Agreement) in substantially all the tangible and intangible assets of our Company and our material domestic subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions, and a pledge of 100% of the stock of our significant domestic subsidiaries and a pledge of 65% of the voting stock of our foreign subsidiaries. The 8% Notes are guaranteed by each of our significant domestic subsidiaries.

On a trailing four-quarter basis, the Senior Credit Agreement includes a single financial covenant that requires the Company to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio (as defined in the Senior Credit Agreement) of 1.25 to 1.00 at the end of each quarter. As of December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio covenant. Management expects to be in compliance with the fixed charge ratio throughout 2011. The Senior Credit Agreement contains other provisions and events of default that are customary for similar agreements and may limit the Company's ability to take various actions. The Senior Subordinated 8% Notes Indenture also contains provisions that limit additional borrowings based on the Company's consolidated interest coverage ratio.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company does not have any off balance sheet arrangements.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes by category our Company's expected future cash outflows associated with contractual obligations in effect at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

| Contractual Obligation | Total | Payments Due by Period | | | More Than 5 Years |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------|
| | | Less Than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | |
| Variable rate debt | \$ 5,224 | \$ 408 | \$ 816 | \$ 800 | \$ 3,200 |
| Interest on variable rate debt(1) | 129 | 19 | 34 | 27 | 49 |
| Fixed rate debt | 201,973 | | | 201,973 | |
| Interest on fixed rate debt | 80,240 | 16,320 | 32,640 | 31,280 | |
| Operating lease obligations | 34,375 | 10,334 | 15,004 | 6,065 | 2,972 |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Pension and other post retirement obligations | 7,931 | 643 | 1,290 | 1,322 | 4,676 |
| Performance stock unit awards(2) | 3,911 | 60 | 3,851 | | |
| Management stock purchase plan(3) | 1,925 | 515 | 988 | 422 | |
| Total(4) | \$ 335,708 | \$ 28,299 | \$ 54,623 | \$ 241,889 | \$ 10,897 |

Table of Contents

- (1) Calculated using the interest rate in effect at December 31, 2010.
- (2) Equals the liability accrued as of December 31, 2010 which equals the portion of the awards that have recognized under US GAAP based on the fair value of the awards, the vesting period of the awards, and the amount of the vesting period that has expired since the grant date.
- (3) Includes amounts due to retired participants of the Management Stock Purchase Plan (MSPP). Excludes the future payments due to active participants of the MSPP, which represents a liability of approximately \$3.8 million as of December 31, 2010. Future payments to active participants cannot be accurately estimated as we are uncertain of when active participants' service to the Company will terminate.
- (4) Excludes liabilities for uncertain tax positions of \$2.2 million. We have not included the liabilities for uncertain tax positions as we cannot make reliable estimates of the period of cash settlement.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make decisions based upon estimates, assumptions, and factors it considers relevant to the circumstances. Such decisions include the selection of applicable principles and the use of judgment in their application, the results of which could differ from those anticipated.

A summary of the Company's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the Company's consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our most critical accounting policies include:

valuation of accounts receivable, which impacts selling, general, and administrative expense;

valuation of inventory, which impacts cost of sales and gross margin;

the assessment of recoverability of depreciable and amortizable long-lived assets, which impacts write-offs of intangible assets and other long-lived assets;

the assessment of recoverability of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets, which impacts write-offs of goodwill and intangible assets; and

accounting for income taxes and deferred tax assets and liabilities, which impacts the provision for income taxes.

Management reviews the estimates, including the allowance for doubtful accounts and inventory reserves, on a regular basis and makes adjustments based on historical experience, current conditions, and future expectations. Management believes these estimates are reasonable, but actual results could differ from these estimates.

Valuation of Accounts Receivable. Our accounts receivable represent those amounts that have been billed to our customers but not yet collected. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, allowances for doubtful accounts of \$3.7 million and \$3.9 million were recorded, respectively, or 5% of gross accounts receivable for both periods. We record an allowance for doubtful accounts based on the portion of those accounts receivable that we believe are potentially uncollectible based on various factors, including experience, creditworthiness of customers, and current market and

economic conditions. If the financial condition of customers were to deteriorate, resulting in impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. Changes in judgments on these factors could impact the timing of costs recognized.

Valuation of Inventories. We state our inventories at the lower of cost or market. We determine the cost basis of our inventory on a first-in-first-out basis using a standard cost methodology that approximates actual cost. On a regular basis, we calculate an estimated market value of our inventory, considered to be the prevailing selling price for the inventory less the cost to complete and sell the product. We compare the current carrying value of our inventory to the estimated market value to determine whether a reserve to value inventory at the lower of cost or market is necessary. We recorded insignificant charges during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 to value our inventory at the lower of cost or market.

Table of Contents

We regularly review inventory on hand and record provisions for excess, obsolete, and slow-moving inventory based on historical and current sales trends. We recorded reserves for excess, obsolete, and slow-moving inventory of \$4.0 million and \$4.6 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, or 5% of gross inventories for both periods. Changes in product demand and our customer base may affect the value of inventory on hand, which may require higher provisions for obsolete inventory.

Impairment of Depreciable and Amortizable Long-lived Assets

We test long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of those assets may not be recoverable and exceed their fair market value. The following summarizes the value of long-lived assets subject to impairment testing when events or circumstances indicate potential impairment as of December 31, 2010 (in millions):

| | Balance as of December 31, 2010 |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | \$ 158.4 |
| Acquired intangibles with useful lives | \$ 31.0 |
| Other assets | \$ 16.8 |

Impairment exists if the carrying amount of the asset in question exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset. The impairment loss would be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of a long-lived asset exceeds its fair value as determined by discounted cash flow method, an independent market appraisal of the asset, or another acceptable valuation technique. We recognized impairment charges for property, plant, and equipment as a result of restructuring activities during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2008 and amortizable intangible assets as a result of our 2010 impairment testing. No depreciable or amortizable long-lived assets were impaired during the year ended December 31, 2009.

Goodwill and Other Indefinite-lived Intangible Asset Impairment Testing

Our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible asset balances of \$325.7 million and \$35.4 million as of December 31, 2010, respectively, are subject to impairment testing. We test goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment on an annual basis as of October 31 and at interim dates when indicators of impairment are present. Indicators of impairment could include a significant long-term adverse change in business climate, poor indicators of operating performance, or a sale or disposition of significant portion of a reporting unit.

During 2010, we concluded that no new indicators of impairment existed at interim dates and did not perform any interim impairment tests related to goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets. We tested goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment during the fourth quarter of 2010 at the annual test date. As a result of the October 31, 2010 impairment test, the Company recognized an intangible asset impairment charge of \$77.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to a \$60.1 million intangible asset impairment charge for the year ended December 31, 2009. In addition the annual impairment test, goodwill and other indefinite-lived assets were tested for impairment at two interim dates during 2009: March 31 and June 30.

We test goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level. We identify our reporting units by assessing whether the components of our operating segments constitute businesses for which discrete financial information is available and segment management regularly reviews the operating results of those components. As of the October 31, 2010 impairment test, we identified 10 reporting units with goodwill compared to 11 reporting units with goodwill in the

2009 goodwill impairment tests. The number of reporting units was decreased when we consolidated the manufacturing and management of one reporting unit into another reporting unit during 2010.

The goodwill impairment test consists of comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount including goodwill. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds the reporting unit's fair value, the implied fair value of goodwill is compared to the carrying amount of goodwill. An impairment loss is recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value of goodwill.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the amount of goodwill allocated to each reporting unit tested for goodwill impairment prior to any impairment charges, the percentage by which the estimated fair value of each reporting unit exceeded its carrying value, any impairment losses recognized, and the remaining goodwill allocated to each reporting unit after any impairment charges as of the October 31, 2010 goodwill impairment test (in thousands):

| Reporting Unit | Goodwill Allocated To Reporting Unit Before Impairment Charges | Percentage By Which Estimated Fair Value Exceeds Carrying Value | | Goodwill Impairment Charges | Goodwill Allocated To Reporting Unit After Impairment Charges |
|----------------|--|---|--|-----------------------------|---|
| | | | | | |
| #1 | \$ 121,066 | N/A | | \$ (31,976) | \$ 89,090 |
| #2 | 111,499 | 13% | | | 111,499 |
| #3 | 32,297 | N/A | | (24,041) | 8,256 |
| #4 | 28,559 | 19% | | | 28,559 |
| #5 | 27,332 | 35% | | | 27,332 |
| #6 | 21,339 | 12% | | | 21,339 |
| #7 | 19,569 | 20% | | | 19,569 |
| #8 | 18,261 | 8% | | | 18,261 |
| #9 | 10,749 | N/A | | (10,749) | |
| #10 | 3,589 | 156% | | | 3,589 |
| Total | \$ 394,260 | | | \$ (66,766) | \$ 327,494 |

The Company's October 31, 2010 impairment analysis included significant assumptions in both steps one and two of the impairment test. To estimate the fair value of the reporting units as a part of step one of the impairment test, the Company used two valuation techniques: an income approach and a market approach. The income approach included a discounted cash flow model relying on significant assumptions consisting of revenue growth rates and profit margins based on internal forecasts, terminal value, and the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) used to discount future cash flows. The market approach consisted of applying an Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) multiple to the forecasted EBITDA to be generated in 2010 and 2011. The market approach also relied on significant assumptions consisting of revenue growth rates and profit margins based on internal forecasts and the EBITDA multiple selected from an analysis of peer companies.

The following table sets forth the compound annual revenue growth rate for the five-year period used to forecast cash flows and the average operating margin for the forecasted periods compared to actual operating margins generated over the long-term which we estimated to be the six-year and ten-month period ended October 31, 2010:

| Compound Annual Revenue Growth Rate For Forecasted | Operating Margin For Forecasted | Actual Operating Margins For The Period Between January 1, 2004 and |
|--|---------------------------------|---|
|--|---------------------------------|---|

| Reporting Unit | Annual Periods | Periods | October 31, 2010(1)(2) |
|----------------|----------------|---------|---------------------------|
| #1 | 8% | 10% | 11% |
| #2 | 3% | 20% | 22% |
| #3 | 16% | 15% | 12% |
| #4 | 12% | 12% | 13% |
| #5 | 4% | 13% | 13% |
| #6 | 7% | 9% | 8% |
| #7 | 7% | 10% | 9% |
| #8 | 11% | 8% | 5%(3) |
| #9 | 11% | 9% | 3%(4) |
| #10 | 4% | 10% | 5%(5) |

(1) Operating margins presented exclude restructuring charges incurred by each reporting unit.

Table of Contents

- (2) The operating margins presented only include operating results generated since the date the reporting units were acquired by Gibraltar.
- (3) This reporting unit made an incremental acquisition in 2005 including a product line with significantly higher margins than the existing product lines it offers. Additionally, this reporting unit's operations were restructured in 2010. As a result, we believe forecasted operating margins will exceed prior results.
- (4) This reporting unit's operations have been restructured during 2008, 2009, and 2010 to increase the efficiency and reduce the cost of production. As a result, we believe forecasted operating margins will exceed prior results.
- (5) This reporting unit's operations were restructured in 2007. The reporting unit has since generated operating margins approaching 10%.

We analyzed third-party forecasts of housing starts and other macroeconomic indicators that impact the Company's reporting units to provide a reasonable estimate of revenue growth in future periods. Our analysis of third-party forecasts noted that housing starts were projected to grow at a compound annual growth rate of 23% from 2010 to 2015. Therefore, we considered these forecasts in developing each reporting unit's growth rates over the next five years depending on the level of correlation between housing starts and net sales for each reporting unit. The correlation between housing starts and net sales was based on an analysis of historical housing starts and our historical revenue. We concluded that this approach provided a reasonable estimate of long-term revenue growth and cash flows for our reporting units.

The operating margins we used to estimate future cash flows were consistent with long-term margins generated by the reporting units while they have been owned and operated by the Company as shown in the table above. The reporting units where forecasted operating margins exceed long-term operating margins generated by the reporting unit were for reporting units that were recently acquired and, therefore, the long-term operating margins were more significantly impacted by the economic turmoil that began in the fourth quarter of 2008. Additionally, the Company took strategic actions to consolidate facilities, reduce costs, and restructure these business units to become more profitable as the economy recovers. These actions led to increased costs and lower operating margins in the short term. Based on our understanding of these reporting units and the actions taken by management to restructure the businesses for improved growth and profitability, we concluded that the long-term cash flows forecasted for all of the Company's reporting units were reasonable.

In addition to revenue growth and operating margin forecasts, the discounted cash flow model used to estimate the fair value of each reporting unit also uses assumptions for the amount of working capital needed to support each business unit. We forecasted modest improvement in working capital management for future periods at each reporting unit based on past performance. The Company experienced a significant reduction in days of working capital from 96 days for the year ended December 31, 2007 to 76 days for the year ended December 31, 2009 and has further reduced days of working capital to 61 days for the year ended December 31, 2010. We have been able to significantly improve our working capital management through lean initiatives, efficiency improvements, and facility consolidations. We believe continued improvement in our ability to manage working capital will allow us to increase the cash flow generated from each reporting unit.

The terminal value of each reporting unit was based on a projected terminal year of forecasted cash flows in our discounted cash flow model. We made an assumption that cash flows would grow 2.5% each year thereafter based on our approximation of gross domestic product growth in the North American and European markets served by the Company. This assumption was based on a third-party forecast of future economic growth over the long-term.

The discounted cash flow model uses the WACC to discount cash flows in the forecasted period and to discount the terminal value to present value. To determine the WACC, we used a standard valuation method, the capital asset pricing model, based on readily available and current market data of peer companies considered market participants. Acknowledging the risk inherent in the reporting units' ability to achieve the long-term forecasted cash flows, in applying the income approach we increased the WACC of each reporting unit based upon each reporting unit's past operating performance and their relative ability to achieve the

Table of Contents

forecasted cash flows. As a result of these analyses, we assigned a WACC between 12.0% and 12.9% for each reporting unit.

The EBITDA multiple used in the market approach to determine the fair value of each reporting unit was applied to the forecasted EBITDA to be generated during 2010 and 2011. The market approach relies on significant assumptions consisting of revenue growth rates and profit margins based on internal forecasts and the EBITDA multiple selected from an analysis of peer companies considered market participants. The revenue growth rates and profit margins used in the market approach were the same projections used in the discounted cash flows model as described above. The EBITDA multiples were established by analyzing each peer companies' total invested capital in proportion to EBITDA derived from each peer companies' most recently reported earnings. Similar to the WACC analysis, we assessed the risk of each reporting unit achieving its forecasts with consideration given to how each reporting unit has performed historically compared to forecasts. As a result of these analyses, we assigned an EBITDA multiple between 8.8 and 9.8 for 2010 EBITDA forecasts and 6.2 and 7.2 for 2011 EBITDA forecasts.

As noted above, we used two valuation techniques that are commonly accepted in the valuation community to estimate a fair value for each reporting unit. The estimated fair value for each reporting unit was calculated using a weighted average between the calculated amounts determined under the income approach and the market approach. We weighted the income approach more heavily (67%) as the technique uses a long-term approach that considers the expected operating profit of each reporting unit during periods where housing starts and other macroeconomic indicators are nearer historical averages. The market approach (33%) values the reporting units using 2010 and 2011 EBITDA values which were forecasted using estimated housing starts of 604,000 and 796,000, respectively. Housing starts have historically approximated 1.5 million each year. We believe the income approach considers the expected recovery in the residential building market better than the market approach. Therefore, we concluded that the income approach more accurately estimated the fair value of the reporting units as it considers earnings potential during a longer term and does not use the short-term perspective used by the market approach. Accordingly, we concluded that the market participants who execute transactions to sell or buy a business in the current economic environment would place greater emphasis on the income approach.

The following table sets forth the Company's estimated fair value and carrying value for each reporting unit as of October 31, 2010 (in thousands):

| Reporting Unit | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Value After Impairment Charges |
|----------------|----------------------|---|
| #1 | \$ 192,109 | \$ 180,233 |
| #2 | 111,922 | 99,108 |
| #3 | 59,935 | 46,011 |
| #4 | 49,219 | 41,309 |
| #5 | 55,402 | 41,146 |
| #6 | 44,410 | 39,750 |
| #7 | 21,238 | 17,688 |
| #8 | 30,493 | 28,152 |
| #9 | 58,349 | 66,849 |
| #10 | 22,353 | 8,737 |
| Corporate | (109,901) | 19,054 |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|
| Total | \$ | 535,529 | \$ | 588,037 |
| Net Debt | | | \$ | 149,124 |
| Equity (Net Book Value) | | | | 438,913 |
| | | | \$ | 588,037 |

Table of Contents

The Corporate category includes unallocated corporate cash out flows. Unallocated corporate cash out flows include executive compensation and other administrative costs. The Company has grown substantially through acquisitions and our strategy is to allow business unit management to operate the business units autonomous of corporate management. For example, each business unit has its own accounting, marketing, purchasing, information technology, and executive functions. As a result, we believe a market participant would not consider unallocated corporate cash flows when valuing each reporting unit and these cash flows have been properly excluded from the valuation of the reporting units.

Step two of the impairment analysis involved estimating the implied fair value of goodwill by allocating the fair value of each reporting unit to its assets and liabilities other than goodwill and comparing the implied fair value of goodwill to its carrying value. The step two analyses relied on a number of significant assumptions to determine the fair value of inventory; property, plant, and equipment; and intangible assets for each reporting unit. The significant assumptions used in the determination of the fair value of inventory included the determination of the market value of raw materials, the estimated costs to complete work-in-process inventory, and the estimated selling prices for finished goods inventories. The assumptions we employed in determining the fair values of these inventory components were based primarily on historical gross margins and selling costs generated by the reporting units and we believe this to be a reasonable basis for estimating the fair value of inventories as of the impairment test date. The fair value of property, plant, and equipment was determined using standard valuation methodologies including market and cost approaches. These valuations were based on market data gathered for similar assets sold in the markets in which the reporting units property, plant, and equipment are located and based on the highest and best use from a market participant perspective. Third-party appraisals were commissioned where appropriate to assist in estimating the fair value of the reporting units property, plant, and equipment. The overall condition and age of the assets, current replacement cost, current market demand for the assets, and other factors were considered in the determination of the fair value of the assets. The fair value of intangible assets was also determined using standard valuation methodologies including the relief-from-royalty method and excess earnings method. These methods primarily employed the use of future cash flows to determine the fair value of the applicable intangible assets. The future cash flows used to determine the fair values of these intangible assets were derived from step one of the goodwill impairment analysis as described above. The discount rate used in the valuation of intangible assets was derived from the WACC used in step one of the goodwill impairment analysis. Based on the analysis described above, we concluded the assumptions underlying step two of our impairment analysis were reasonable and appropriate.

In addition to the analyses described above, we performed a reconciliation of the total estimated fair values of the reporting units to our market capitalization as of October 31, 2010 to support the reasonableness of the fair value estimates used in our October 31, 2010 impairment analysis. The following calculation provides this reconciliation and the resulting control premium determined as of our October 31, 2010 impairment analysis (in thousands):

| | Fair Value Per The October 31, 2010 Impairment Analysis | Estimated Market Capitalization |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Estimated Fair Value of Reporting Units | \$ 535,529 | |
| Less: Net Debt as of October 31, 2010 | (149,124) | |
| Shares Outstanding as of October 31, 2010 | | 30,297 |
| Average Stock Price from October 20, 2010 to November 9, 2010 | | \$ 9.32 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------|----|---------|----|---------|
| Value of Equity | \$ | 386,405 | \$ | 282,368 |
|-----------------|----|---------|----|---------|

| | | | | |
|-----------------|--|--|--|-----|
| Control Premium | | | | 37% |
|-----------------|--|--|--|-----|

Despite the difference between our net book value and the estimated fair value of equity, all reporting units with goodwill had fair values in excess of their carrying value. The difference between our net book value and the estimated fair value of equity is the result of the negative future cash flows associated with our unallocated corporate net assets net of reporting units without goodwill allocated to them described above.

Table of Contents

Although the Company's book value of equity exceeds its market capitalization, we deemed the control premium as of the October 31, 2010 impairment analysis to be reasonable based upon recent comparable transactions to acquire the control of similar businesses in our industry. Accordingly, we concluded the estimated fair value of each reporting unit was reasonably estimated.

We test our intangible assets for impairment by comparing the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset, determined using a discounted cash flow model, with its carrying amount. An impairment loss would be recognized for the carrying amount in excess of its fair value. We recognized impairment charges for indefinite-lived intangible assets as a result of our October 31, 2010 and 2009 impairment tests. The assumptions used to determine the fair value of our indefinite-lived intangible assets are consistent with the assumptions employed in the determination of the fair values of our reporting units.

Accounting for Income Taxes and Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

Significant management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities, and any valuation allowance. Our effective tax rates differ from the statutory rate due to the impact of permanent differences between income or loss reported for financial statement purposes and tax purposes, provisions for uncertain tax positions, state taxes, and income generated by international operations. Our effective tax rate was 17.7%, 32.1%, and 37.4%, for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. Our future effective tax rates could be adversely affected by earnings being lower than anticipated in countries where we have lower statutory rates and vice versa. Changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets or liabilities or changes in tax laws or interpretations thereof may also adversely affect our future effective tax rate. In addition, we are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by the Internal Revenue Service and other tax authorities. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities as measured by the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when these differences reverse. Valuation allowances are provided if based upon the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, deferred income tax liabilities decreased primarily as a result of the tax effect of the \$77.0 million intangible asset impairment charge along with a decrease in the difference between depreciation recognized on property, plant, and equipment for tax purposes. Deferred income tax assets increased primarily due to additional equity compensation recognized during 2010. Regarding deferred income tax assets, we maintained valuation allowances of \$2.8 million and \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, due to uncertainties related to our ability to utilize these assets, primarily consisting of state net operating losses and other deferred tax assets. The valuation allowances are based on estimates of taxable income in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate and the period over which our deferred tax assets will be recoverable. If market conditions improve and future results of operations exceed our current expectations, our existing tax valuation allowances may be adjusted, resulting in future tax benefits. Alternatively, if market conditions deteriorate further or future operating results do not meet expectations, future assessments may result in a determination that some or all of the deferred tax assets are not realizable. As a result, we may need to establish additional tax valuation allowances for all or a portion of the gross deferred tax assets, which may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

It is the Company's policy to record estimated interest and penalties to the tax authorities as income tax expense and tax credits as a reduction in income tax expense. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, we recognized \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million, and \$0.1 million of interest (net of federal tax benefit) and penalties,

respectively.

The Company recognizes the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position are measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate

Table of Contents

resolution. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the liability for uncertain income tax positions was \$2.2 million. Due to the high degree of uncertainty regarding the timing of potential future cash flows associated with these liabilities, we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the amount and period in which these liabilities might be paid.

Related Party Transactions

Two members of the Company's Board of Directors, Gerald S. Lippes and Arthur A. Russ, Jr., were partners in law firms that provide legal services to the Company. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, the Company incurred costs of \$1.1 million, \$1.2 million, and \$1.7 million for legal services from these firms, respectively. Of the amounts incurred, \$0.2 million related to the sale of the Processed Metal Products business during 2010 and was recognized as a component of discontinued operations. Additionally, \$0.1 million was capitalized as deferred debt issuance costs during 2009. All other amounts incurred during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 were expensed as a component of selling, general, and administrative expenses. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million recorded in accounts payable for these law firms, respectively.

A member of the Company's Board of Directors, Robert E. Sadler, Jr., is a member of the Board of Directors of M&T Bank Corporation, one of the eleven participating lenders which have committed capital to our \$200 million revolving credit facility in the Company's Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated July 24, 2009 (the Senior Credit Agreement). All amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility were repaid in full as of December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2009, \$50.0 million was outstanding on the revolving credit facility. During 2010, the largest aggregate amount of principal outstanding under the revolving credit facility was \$50.0 million. The aggregate amount of principal and interest paid during the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$58.6 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, for amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement bear interest at a variable rate based upon the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), with a LIBOR floor of 1.50%, plus 3.25% for revolving credit facility borrowings or, at the Company's option, an alternate base rate. The revolving credit facility also carries an annual facility fee of 0.50% on the entire facility, whether drawn or undrawn, and fees on outstanding letters of credit which are payable quarterly.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2010, the FASB issued Update 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310) Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. Update 2010-20 requires additional disclosure to assist financial statement users in assessing an entity's credit risk exposures and evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses. Update 2010-20 affects all entities with financing receivables, excluding short-term trade accounts receivables or receivables measures at fair value or lower cost of fair value. The Company adopted all of the provisions of Update 2010-20 related to disclosures of financing receivables as of December 31, 2010. The financing receivables disclosures related to activity that occurs during a reporting period are required to be adopted by the Company during the three months ending March 31, 2011. The Company included additional disclosures related to notes receivable outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 in Note 1 of the consolidated financial statements to satisfy the provisions of this recent accounting pronouncement.

In December 2010, the FASB issued Update 2010-29, Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations. The amendments in Update 2010-29 specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. Update 2010-29 also expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributed to the business combination

included in the pro forma financial data. The Company is required to adopt all the provisions of Update 2010-29 for any business combinations for which

Table of Contents

the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2011. The Company does not believe the provisions of this guidance will have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is exposed to various market risk factors, including changes in general economic conditions, competition, and raw materials pricing and availability. In addition, the Company is exposed to market risk, primarily related to its long-term debt. To manage interest rate risk, the Company uses both fixed and variable interest rate debt. During 2010, the company repaid all amounts outstanding under the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated July 24, 2009 (the Senior Credit Agreement). As a result, fixed rate debt consisting of the Company's Senior Subordinated 8% Notes is the only significant debt that remains outstanding at December 31, 2010. Prior to 2010, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement that converted a portion of its variable rate debt to fixed rate debt. The interest rate swap agreement expired during 2010 and all amounts due under the agreement were settled prior to December 31, 2010. The Company believes it has limited its exposure to interest rate risk as a result of repaying substantially all variable rate debt and the long-term nature of its fixed rate debt. However, the Company will continue to monitor changes in its debt levels and access to capital ensuring interest rate risk is appropriately managed.

The following table summarizes the principal cash flows and related interest rates of the Company's long-term debt at December 31, 2010 by expected maturity dates. The weighted average interest rates are based on the actual rates that existed at December 31, 2010. The variable rate debt consists primarily of the revolving credit facility under the Senior Credit Agreement, of which no amounts are outstanding as of December 31, 2010, and other debt. A hypothetical 1% increase or decrease in interest rates would have changed the 2010 interest expense by approximately \$0.1 million. Dollar amounts in the following are in thousands:

| | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Thereafter | Total |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|------------|------------|
| Long-term debt (fixed)(1) | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 201,973 | \$ | \$ 201,973 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 8.25% | 8.25% | 8.25% | 8.25% | 8.25% | | |
| Long-term debt (variable)(1) | \$ 408 | \$ 408 | \$ 408 | \$ 400 | \$ 400 | \$ 3,200 | \$ 5,224 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 0.38% | 0.38% | 0.38% | 0.38% | 0.38% | 0.38% | |

(1) The fair value of the Company's long-term debt was \$218.4 million at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

| | Page Number |
|---|----------------|
| Financial Statements | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 38 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008</u> | 39 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009</u> | 40 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008</u> | 41 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008</u> | 42 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 43 |
| Supplementary Data: | |
| <u>Quarterly Unaudited Financial Data</u> | 78 |

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Gibraltar Industries, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. at December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Gibraltar Industries, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 25, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Buffalo, New York
February 25, 2011

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except per share data)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net sales | \$ 685,068 | \$ 691,771 | \$ 986,840 |
| Cost of sales | 566,673 | 561,402 | 788,281 |
| Gross profit | 118,395 | 130,369 | 198,559 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 109,537 | 107,964 | 134,745 |
| Intangible asset impairment | 76,964 | 60,098 | |
| (Loss) income from operations | (68,106) | (37,693) | 63,814 |
| Interest expense | (21,160) | (23,108) | (25,687) |
| Equity in partnerships income and other income | 81 | 316 | 724 |
| (Loss) income before taxes | (89,185) | (60,485) | 38,851 |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (15,789) | (19,416) | 14,545 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (73,396) | (41,069) | 24,306 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations before taxes | (30,219) | (17,879) | 3,159 |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (12,547) | (6,923) | 3,397 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | (17,672) | (10,956) | (238) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (91,068) | \$ (52,025) | \$ 24,068 |
| Net (loss) income per share Basic: | | | |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | \$ (2.42) | \$ (1.36) | \$ 0.81 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | (0.59) | (0.37) | (0.01) |
| Net (loss) income per share Basic | \$ (3.01) | \$ (1.73) | \$ 0.80 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding Basic | 30,303 | 30,135 | 29,981 |
| Net (loss) income per share Diluted: | | | |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | \$ (2.42) | \$ (1.36) | \$ 0.81 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | (0.59) | (0.37) | (0.01) |
| Net (loss) income per share Diluted | \$ (3.01) | \$ (1.73) | \$ 0.80 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding Diluted | 30,303 | 30,135 | 30,193 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
 CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (In thousands, except share and per share data)

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 60,866 | \$ 23,596 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 74,544 | 71,782 |
| Inventories | 83,344 | 86,296 |
| Other current assets | 21,084 | 25,513 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | 2,539 | 44,938 |
| | | |
| Total current assets | 242,377 | 252,125 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | 158,352 | 174,704 |
| Goodwill | 325,655 | 392,704 |
| Acquired intangibles | 66,395 | 82,182 |
| Investment in partnership | 1,345 | 2,474 |
| Other assets | 16,766 | 17,811 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | | 52,942 |
| | \$ 810,890 | \$ 974,942 |
| | | |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 59,277 | \$ 47,383 |
| Accrued expenses | 40,377 | 38,757 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 408 | 408 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | 56 | 22,468 |
| | | |
| Total current liabilities | 100,118 | 109,016 |
| Long-term debt | 206,789 | 256,874 |
| Deferred income taxes | 39,863 | 51,818 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 23,267 | 16,791 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | | 12,217 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock \$.01 par value; authorized 10,000,000 shares; none outstanding | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 50,000,000 shares; 30,516,197 and 30,295,084 shares issued at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | 305 | 303 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 231,999 | 227,362 |
| Retained earnings | 212,914 | 303,982 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (2,060) | (2,230) |
| Cost of 218,894 and 150,903 common shares held in treasury at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | (2,305) | (1,191) |
| | | |
| Total shareholders' equity | 440,853 | 528,226 |

\$ 810,890 \$ 974,942

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (91,068) | \$ (52,025) | \$ 24,068 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | (17,672) | (10,956) | (238) |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (73,396) | (41,069) | 24,306 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Intangible asset impairment | 76,964 | 60,098 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 26,395 | 26,244 | 26,791 |
| Non-cash charges to interest expense | 4,324 | 3,382 | 2,007 |
| Stock compensation expense | 4,315 | 4,407 | 4,586 |
| Equity in partnerships loss (income) | 111 | (153) | (447) |
| Distributions from partnerships income | | 156 | 609 |
| Provision for deferred income taxes | (10,629) | (17,671) | 1,574 |
| Other non-cash adjustments | 7,143 | 3,633 | 7,748 |
| Increase (decrease) in cash from changes in: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (4,115) | 29,934 | 193 |
| Inventories | 1,938 | 51,060 | 8,400 |
| Other current assets and other assets | 1,380 | (8,772) | 5,863 |
| Accounts payable | 12,831 | (14,263) | (6,976) |
| Accrued expenses and other non-current liabilities | 8,400 | 2,852 | 9,187 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations | 55,661 | 99,838 | 83,841 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities of discontinued operations | 13,582 | 31,761 | 24,033 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 69,243 | 131,599 | 107,874 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | |
| Net proceeds from sale of business | 29,164 | | 35,202 |
| Net proceeds from sale of property, plant, and equipment | 313 | 298 | 2,538 |
| Additional considerations for acquisitions | | (4,949) | (8,724) |
| Purchase of investment in partnership | (1,250) | | |
| Purchases of property, plant, and equipment | (8,470) | (9,774) | (19,691) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities of continuing operations | 19,757 | (14,425) | 9,325 |
| Net cash used in investing activities of discontinued operations | (368) | (1,038) | (2,251) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 19,389 | (15,463) | 7,074 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Long-term debt payments | (58,967) | (182,401) | (184,937) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 8,559 | 83,022 | 53,439 |
| Purchase of treasury stock at market prices | (1,114) | (634) | (164) |
| Payment of deferred financing costs | (164) | (2,383) | (104) |
| Payment of dividends | | (1,499) | (5,985) |
| Tax benefit from stock compensation | 54 | | (362) |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 270 | 47 | 250 |
| Net cash used in financing activities of continuing operations | (51,362) | (103,848) | (137,863) |
| Net cash used in financing activities of discontinued operations | | | (1,064) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (51,362) | (103,848) | (138,927) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 37,270 | 12,288 | (23,979) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | 23,596 | 11,308 | 35,287 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ 60,866 | \$ 23,596 | \$ 11,308 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(In thousands, except per share data)

| | Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Common Stock | | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | | Treasury Stock | | Total Shareholder Equity |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|--------|----------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Shares | Amount | | | Shares | Amount | | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2008 | | 29,949 | \$ 300 | \$ 219,087 | \$ 337,929 | \$ 10,837 | 61 | \$ (393) | \$ 567,760 | |
| Comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 24,068 | | | | 24,068 | | | | 24,068 | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjustment for OPEB liability, net of tax of \$45 | (79) | | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (20,290) | | | | | | | | | |
| Retirement liability adjustment, net of tax of \$45 | (78) | | | | | | | | | |
| Unrealized loss on interest rate swaps, net of tax of \$669 | (1,215) | | | | | | | | | |
| Other comprehensive loss | (21,662) | | | | | (21,662) | | | (21,662) | |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 2,406 | | | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of restricted stock | | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| Net settlement of restricted stock units | | 85 | 1 | (1) | | | 14 | (164) | (164) | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----|---------|----------|----------|----|-------|----------|
| Stock compensation expense | | | 4,586 | | | | | 4,586 |
| Stock options exercised | 22 | | 201 | | | | | 201 |
| Tax effect from stock compensation | | | (312) | | | | | (312) |
| Cash dividends of 0.20 per share | | | | (5,990) | | | | (5,990) |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | 30,062 | 301 | 223,561 | 356,007 | (10,825) | 75 | (557) | 568,487 |
| Comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (52,025) | | | (52,025) | | | | (52,025) |
| Other comprehensive loss: | | | | | | | | |
| Adjustment for OPEB liability, net of tax of \$174 | 301 | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 7,057 | | | | | | | |
| Retirement liability adjustment, net of tax of \$12 | 17 | | | | | | | |
| Reclassification of unrealized loss on interest rate swap, net of tax of \$719 | 1,220 | | | | | | | |
| Other comprehensive income | 8,595 | | | | 8,595 | | | 8,595 |
| Total comprehensive loss | \$ (43,430) | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of restricted stock | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Net settlement of restricted stock units | 222 | 2 | (2) | | | 76 | (634) | (634) |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------|-------|---------|----------|---------|-----|---------|----------|
| Stock compensation expense | | | 4,407 | | | | | 4,407 | |
| Stock options exercised | | 5 | 47 | | | | | 47 | |
| Tax effect from stock compensation | | | (651) | | | | | (651) | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | | 30,295 | 303 | 227,362 | 303,982 | (2,230) | 151 | (1,191) | 528,226 |
| Comprehensive income (loss): | | | | | | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (91,068) | | | | (91,068) | | | | (91,068) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Adjustment for OPEB liability net of tax of \$417 | (722) | | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (591) | | | | | | | | |
| Retirement liability adjustment, net of tax of \$161 | 277 | | | | | | | | |
| Reclassification of unrealized loss on interest rate swap, net of tax of \$693 | 1,206 | | | | | | | | |
| Other comprehensive income | 170 | | | | | 170 | | | 170 |
| Total comprehensive loss | \$ (90,898) | | | | | | | | |
| Issuance of restricted stock | | 6 | | | | | | | |
| Net settlement of restricted stock units | | 187 | 2 | (2) | | | 68 | (1,114) | (1,114) |
| | | | | 4,315 | | | | | 4,315 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|------------|------------|------------|-----|------------|----|---------|
| Stock compensation expense | | | | | | | | | |
| Stock options exercised | 28 | | 270 | | | | | | 270 |
| Excess tax benefit from equity compensation | | | 54 | | | | | | 54 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 30,516 | \$ 305 | \$ 231,999 | \$ 212,914 | \$ (2,060) | 219 | \$ (2,305) | \$ | 440,853 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue recognition

Revenue is recognized when products are shipped or service is provided, the customer takes ownership and assumes the risk of loss, collection of the corresponding receivable is probable, persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, and the sales price is fixed or determinable. Sales returns, allowances, and customer incentives are treated as reductions to sales and are provided for based on historical experience and current estimates.

Promotional allowances

The Company promotes its branded products through cooperative advertising programs with retailers. Retailers also are offered in-store promotional allowances and rebates based on sales volumes. Promotion costs (including allowances and rebates) incurred during the year are expensed to interim periods in relation to revenues and is recorded as a reduction of net sales.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, checking accounts, and all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less.

Accounts receivable

Accounts receivable are composed of trade receivables recorded at the invoiced amount, are expected to be collected within one year, and do not bear interest. The allowance for doubtful accounts is the Company's best estimate of the amount of probable uncollectible amounts in the Company's existing accounts receivable. The Company determines the allowance based on a number of factors, including experience, credit worthiness of customers, and current market and economic conditions. The Company reviews the allowance for doubtful accounts on a regular basis. Account balances are charged against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. The following table summarizes activity recorded within the allowance for doubtful accounts for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|------|------|------|
|------|------|------|

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 3,853 | \$ 3,676 | \$ 3,155 |
| Bad debt expense | 1,210 | 2,242 | 1,797 |
| Accounts written-off and other adjustments | (1,349) | (2,142) | (1,050) |
| Foreign currency translation | (19) | 77 | (226) |
| Ending balance | \$ 3,695 | \$ 3,853 | \$ 3,676 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Concentrations of credit risk on accounts receivable are limited to those from significant customers that are believed to be financially sound. Accounts receivable from The Home Depot were 21.6% and 24.1% of consolidated accounts receivable at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Accounts receivable from Lowe's were 13.9% and 10.2% of consolidated accounts receivable at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Net sales to the Home Depot, were 15.0%, 15.6%, and 10.2% of consolidated net sales for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The Company typically does not require collateral.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company recognized charges of \$12,000, \$271,000 and \$258,000, respectively, within cost of sales to adjust inventory to the lower of cost or market because inventory at cost exceeded the Company's estimate of net realizable value less normal profit margins.

The cost basis of the inventory is determined on a first-in, first-out basis using either actual costs or a standard cost methodology which approximates actual cost. Shipping and handling costs are recognized as a component of cost of sales. Inventory on hand is regularly reviewed and provisions for excess, obsolete, and slow-moving inventory based on historical and current sales trends are recorded. The following table summarizes activity recorded within the reserves for excess, obsolete, and slow moving inventory for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 4,611 | \$ 4,575 | \$ 5,337 |
| Excess, obsolete, and slow-moving inventory expense | 1,023 | 1,055 | 2,171 |
| Scrapped inventory and other adjustments | (1,641) | (1,091) | (2,778) |
| Foreign currency translation | 19 | 72 | (155) |
| Ending balance | \$ 4,012 | \$ 4,611 | \$ 4,575 |

Property, plant, and equipment

Property, plant, and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. Expenditures that extend the useful lives of assets are capitalized, while repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. The estimated useful lives of land improvements, buildings, and building improvements are 15 to 40 years, while the estimated useful lives for machinery and equipment are 3 to 20 years. Accelerated depreciation methods are used for income tax purposes. Depreciation expense aggregated \$21,216,000, \$21,043,000, and \$21,177,000 in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Interest is capitalized in connection with construction of qualified assets. Interest of \$152,000, \$663,000, and \$390,000 was capitalized in 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Acquisition related assets and liabilities

Accounting for the acquisition of a business as a purchase transaction requires an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the transaction at their respective estimated fair values. The most difficult estimations of individual fair values are those involving long-lived assets, such as property, plant and equipment and intangible assets. The Company uses all available information to make these fair value determinations and, for major business acquisitions, engages independent valuation specialists to assist in the fair value determination of the acquired long-lived assets.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Goodwill and other intangible assets

The Company tests goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis at October 31 or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that indicate that the fair value of a reporting unit could be below its carrying amount. The reporting units are at the component level, or one level below the operating segment level. Goodwill is assigned to each reporting unit as of the date the reporting unit is acquired and based upon the expected synergies of the acquisition. The impairment test consists of comparing the fair value of a reporting unit, determined using two valuation techniques, with its carrying amount including goodwill, and, if the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, comparing the implied fair value of goodwill with its carrying amount. An impairment loss would be recognized for the carrying amount of goodwill in excess of its implied fair value. Goodwill impairment charges of \$66,589,000 and \$59,008,000 were recognized during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. There were no goodwill impairment charges recorded in 2008.

The Company tests its indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment on an annual basis at October 31, or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that indicate that the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset could be below its carrying amount. The impairment test consists of comparing the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible asset, determined using discounted cash flows on a relief-from-royalty basis, with its carrying amount. An impairment loss would be recognized for the carrying amount in excess of its fair value. Indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment charges of \$5,100,000 and \$1,090,000 were recognized during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. No impairments of indefinite-lived intangible assets were recognized during the year ended December 31, 2008.

The Company identified an intangible asset with a finite useful life that was impaired as of October 31, 2010. The intangible asset was re-valued at its fair value determined using discounted cash flows on an excess earnings basis. This resulted in a \$5,275,000 impairment charge recognized during the year ended December 31, 2010 related to intangible assets with finite useful lives.

Acquired identifiable intangible assets are recorded at estimated cost. Identifiable intangible assets with finite useful lives are amortized over their estimated useful lives.

Deferred charges

Deferred charges associated with initial costs incurred to enter into new debt arrangements are included in other assets and are amortized as a part of interest expense over the terms of the associated debt agreements. During 2009, a portion of these deferred financing charges were written off as a result of entering into the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated July 24, 2009 (Senior Credit Agreement) and the early payment of debt outstanding under a term loan under the Senior Credit Agreement as discussed in Note 8 of the consolidated financial statements.

Notes receivable

The Company holds three long-term notes receivable from various divestitures and real estate transactions. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the notes receivable aggregated \$9,659,000 and \$9,256,000, respectively. The current portion of the notes receivable are included in other current assets and the long-term portion of the notes receivable are included in other assets. Each note receivable is evaluated for collectability each reporting period on an individual basis. Collectability is evaluated based primarily on the financial condition of the debtor and whether and to what extent the debtor has complied with the terms of the underlining note agreements. No allowances for credit losses

were established for the notes receivable during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, or 2008. Interest income is recognized on an accrual basis based upon fixed rates as defined in each note receivable agreement and classified as a reduction to interest expense on the consolidated statement of operations.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Impairment of long-lived assets

Long-lived assets, including acquired identifiable intangible assets, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of those assets may not be recoverable. The Company uses undiscounted cash flows to determine whether impairment exists and measures any impairment loss by approximating fair value using acceptable valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow models and third-party appraisals.

Investments in partnerships

The Company's investment in partnership is accounted for using the equity method of accounting, under which the Company's share of the earnings of the partnership is recognized in income as earned, and distributions are credited against the investment when received. The portion of the investment in partnership in excess of the Company's applicable share of the investee's net assets results in an equity-method intangible asset. The equity-method intangible asset is amortized over its estimated useful life of seven years.

Interest rate exchange agreements

The Company is exposed to certain risks relating to its ongoing business operations. The primary risk managed by using derivative instruments is interest rate risk. Interest rate swaps are entered into to manage interest rate risk associated with the Company's variable-rate borrowings. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, the Company had an interest rate swap outstanding with a notional amount \$57,500,000, which expired on December 22, 2010.

In connection with the execution of the Company's Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated July 24, 2009 and based on the Company's prospective assessment of the effectiveness of the interest rate swap, the Company de-designated the swap as a hedge and beginning in the third quarter of 2009, all changes in the fair value of the swap were prospectively recorded in earnings as increases or decreases to interest expense. Commencing in the fourth quarter of 2008, 4.3% of the interest rate swap was determined to be ineffective. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, no ineffectiveness existed and the Company determined the interest rate swap effectively converted \$57,500,000 of variable-rate borrowings to a fixed rate of 6.78%. As a result of the accounting treatment described above, the Company amortized amounts remaining in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the swap to interest expense during the second half of 2009 and the first quarter of 2010.

On February 1, 2010, the Company sold the majority of the assets of the Processed Metal Products business as disclosed in Note 14 of the consolidated financial statements. The Company used proceeds from the sale together with cash generated from operations to repay all remaining variable-rate debt outstanding. Accordingly, all losses previously deferred in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the interest rate swap were reclassified to interest expense during the first quarter of 2010.

Assets or liabilities are recognized in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value for all derivative instruments. As of December 31, 2009, the Company recorded a liability of \$2,564,000 as an accrued expense on the consolidated balance sheet related to the interest rate swap.

The Company designed its interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge at inception. The effective portion of the gain or loss on the interest rate swap was reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings as interest expense accrued on the applicable variable-rate borrowings. Gains or losses on the interest rate

swap representing hedge ineffectiveness were recognized in current earnings as interest expense or income. The following table summarizes the gains and losses recorded in interest expense

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

and other comprehensive income as a result of the interest rate swap for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|----------|----------|------------|
| Adjustments to interest expense: | | | |
| Loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | \$ 1,899 | \$ 2,010 | \$ 1,032 |
| Loss from changes in the fair value of the ineffective portion of the interest rate swap | 171 | 896 | 131 |
| Total loss included in interest expense | \$ 2,070 | \$ 2,906 | \$ 1,163 |
| Adjustments to other comprehensive income (loss): | | | |
| Realized loss reclassified to interest expense, net of taxes | \$ 1,206 | \$ 1,264 | \$ 646 |
| Unrealized loss from changes in the fair value of the effective portion of the interest rate swap, net of taxes | | (44) | (1,861) |
| Gain (loss) included in other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 1,206 | \$ 1,220 | \$ (1,215) |

Translation of foreign currency

The assets and liabilities of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at the rate of exchange in effect at the balance sheet date. Income and expense items are translated at the average exchange rates prevailing during the period. Gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are recognized currently in income and those resulting from the translation of financial statements are accumulated as a separate component of comprehensive income. The following table summarizes the foreign currency transaction gains and losses recognized during the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|
| Foreign currency (loss) or gain | \$ (268) | \$ (192) | \$ 404 |

Shareholders' equity

During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company did not declare any dividends to maximize cash flows as a response to the economic turmoil impacting the markets the Company serves. During 2008, the Company declared dividends of \$5,990,000.

During 2010, 2009, and 2008, the Company acquired 67,991, 75,853, and 13,583 shares of stock, respectively, as satisfaction of statutory minimum tax withholdings related to stock compensation. These reacquired shares and related cost are reflected as treasury stock in the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Comprehensive income (loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes net income (loss) as well as other comprehensive income (loss). The Company's other comprehensive income (loss) consists of unrealized gains and losses on interest rate swaps and retirement liability adjustments, which are recorded net of related taxes, along with foreign currency translation adjustments.

Net income (loss) per share

Basic net income (loss) per share equals net income (loss) divided by the weighted average shares outstanding during the year. The computation of diluted net income (loss) per share includes all dilutive common stock equivalents in the weighted average shares outstanding. A reconciliation between basic net

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

income (loss) per share and diluted net income per share for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 is displayed in Note 17 of the consolidated financial statements.

Income taxes

The provision for (benefit of) income taxes is determined using the asset and liability approach. Under this approach, deferred income taxes represent the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and tax basis of assets and liabilities. The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets when uncertainty exists regarding their being realized.

Equity-based compensation

In accordance with Statement of FASB ASC Topic 718, Stock Compensation, the Company measures the cost of equity-based compensation based on grant date fair value and recognizes the cost over the period in which the employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. Equity-based compensation consists of grants of stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and performance stock units. Equity-based compensation expense is included in selling, general, and administrative expenses. The Company's equity-based compensation plans are discussed in more detail in Note 12 of the consolidated financial statements.

Collective bargaining agreements

At December 31, 2010, the Company employed approximately 2,054 people, of which approximately 19% were represented by unions through various collective bargaining agreements (CBAs). One CBA, representing 5% of our workforce, will expire during 2011. Our other CBAs expire between February 19, 2012 and December 31, 2013. We historically have had good relationships with our unions. We expect the current and future negotiations with our unions to result in contracts that provide benefits that are consistent with those provided in our current agreements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2010, the FASB issued Update 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310) Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. Update 2010-20 requires additional disclosure to assist financial statement users in assessing an entity's credit risk exposures and evaluating the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses. Update 2010-20 affects all entities with financing receivables, excluding short-term trade accounts receivables or receivables measures at fair value or lower cost of fair value. The Company adopted all of the provisions of Update 2010-20 related to disclosures of financing receivables as of December 31, 2010. The financing receivables disclosures related to activity that occurs during a reporting period are required to be adopted by the Company during the three months ending March 31, 2011. The Company included additional disclosures related to notes receivable outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 in Note 1 of the consolidated financial statements to satisfy the provisions of this recent accounting pronouncement.

In December 2010, the FASB issued Update 2010-29, Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations. The amendments in Update 2010-29 specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. Update 2010-29 also expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributed to the business combination

included in the pro forma financial data. The Company is required to adopt all the provisions of Update 2010-29 for any business combinations for which

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2011. The Company does not believe the provisions of this guidance will have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Reclassifications

Certain 2009 and 2008 amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2010 presentation. The Company reclassified the non-cash charges recorded to reserves for accounts receivable and inventories to the other non-cash charge line on the consolidated statement of cash flows.

2. INVENTORIES

Inventories at December 31 consist of the following (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Raw material | \$ 33,396 | \$ 34,478 |
| Work-in-process | 4,887 | 4,868 |
| Finished goods | 45,061 | 46,950 |
| Total inventory | \$ 83,344 | \$ 86,296 |

3. PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT

Components of property, plant, and equipment at December 31 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------|------------|
| Land and land improvements | \$ 16,194 | \$ 16,261 |
| Building and improvements | 71,627 | 70,951 |
| Machinery and equipment | 214,241 | 210,141 |
| Construction in progress | 3,206 | 4,197 |
| | 305,268 | 301,550 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (146,916) | (126,846) |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 158,352 | \$ 174,704 |

4. ACQUISITIONS

In 2006, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of Home Impressions, Inc. (Home Impressions). As part of the purchase agreement with the former owners of Home Impressions, the Company was required to pay additional consideration based upon the operating results of Home Impressions. The Company paid \$4,949,000 and \$923,000 of

such additional consideration during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These payments were recorded as additional goodwill. No more additional consideration payments are necessary under the purchase agreement.

In 2007, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of the Florence Corporation (Florence). The Company and the former owners of Florence have made a joint election under Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 338(h)(10) which allowed the Company to treat the stock purchase as an asset purchase for tax purposes. In connection with the 338(h)(10) election, and pursuant to the terms of the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company made additional cash payments to the former shareholders of Florence totaling \$7,801,000 during the year ended December 31, 2008. This additional consideration was recorded as additional goodwill. As a result of the 338(h)(10) election, goodwill in the amount of \$74,778,000 is fully deductible for tax purposes.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

5. GOODWILL AND RELATED INTANGIBLE ASSETS**Goodwill**

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 392,704 | \$ 443,925 |
| Goodwill acquired/ acquisition adjustment | | 4,838 |
| Impairment | (66,589) | (59,008) |
| Foreign currency translation | (460) | 2,949 |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 325,655 | \$ 392,704 |

Goodwill noted above is net of accumulated impairment losses of \$125,597,000 and \$59,008,000 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which were generated as a result of the impairment charges noted below. No accumulated impairment losses were recognized prior to the year ended December 31, 2009.

The Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test as of October 31, 2010 and 2009. Additionally, the Company performed interim impairment tests as of March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009. As a result, the Company recognized goodwill impairment charges during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

The Company tested 10 reporting units with goodwill for impairment during the October 31, 2010 test compared to 11 reporting units with goodwill in the 2009 goodwill impairment tests. The number of reporting units decreased as the Company consolidated the manufacturing and management of one reporting unit into another reporting unit during 2010.

Step one of the goodwill impairment test consists of comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount including goodwill. The fair value of each reporting unit was determined using two valuation techniques: an income approach and a market approach. Each valuation approach relies on significant assumptions including a weighted average cost of capital (WACC). The WACC is calculated based upon the capital structure of seven market participants in our peer group. The following table summarizes the WACC used during the goodwill impairment test performed during 2010 compared to the last impairment test performed in 2009:

| Date of Impairment Test | WACC |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| October 31, 2009 | 11.9% to 12.9% |
| October 31, 2010 | 12.0% to 12.9% |

Other assumptions used to calculate a fair value for each reporting unit include projected revenue growth, forecasted cash flows, and earnings multiples based on the market value of the Company and seven market participants in a peer

group. A third-party forecast of housing starts was utilized to estimate revenue growth for future periods.

During our 2010 and 2009 goodwill impairment tests, we identified reporting units with carrying values in excess of fair value due to decreased revenue projections. Therefore, the Company initiated step two of the goodwill impairment test which involved calculating the implied fair value of goodwill by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to the fair value of its assets and liabilities other than goodwill, calculating an implied fair value of goodwill, and comparing the implied fair value to the carrying amount of goodwill. As a result of step two of the goodwill impairment test, the Company estimated that the implied fair value of goodwill for the reporting units was less than their carrying values by \$66,589,000 and \$59,008,000 for the

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which have been recorded as impairment charges. No goodwill impairment charges were recorded in 2008.

The Company identified three reporting units with carrying values in excess of fair value in step one of the 2010 goodwill impairment test. Another reporting unit would have failed step one if the Company used a WACC of 13.5%, reduced earnings multiples by a factor of 1.7, or reduced the compounded annual revenue growth rate by 1.1%.

The Company will continue to monitor impairment indicators and financial results in future periods. If cash flows change or if the market value of the Company's stock does not increase, there may be additional impairment charges. Impairment charges could be based on factors such as the Company's stock price, forecasted cash flows, assumptions used, control premiums or other variables.

Acquired Intangible Assets

Acquired intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

| | 2010 | | 2009 | | Estimated Life |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | |
| Trademark | \$ 35,403 | \$ | \$ 40,612 | \$ | indefinite |
| Trademark | 2,118 | (910) | 2,115 | (744) | 2 to 15 years |
| Unpatented technology/patent | 5,732 | (2,319) | 5,732 | (1,795) | 5 to 20 years |
| Customer relationships | 42,573 | (16,937) | 48,086 | (12,910) | 5 to 15 years |
| Non-competition agreements | 2,802 | (2,067) | 2,799 | (1,713) | 5 to 10 years |
| | \$ 88,628 | \$ (22,233) | \$ 99,344 | \$ (17,162) | |

Acquired intangible asset amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 aggregated approximately \$5,179,000, \$5,201,000, and \$5,614,000, respectively. The Company also recognized impairment charges of \$10,375,000 and \$1,090,000 during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to the trademark and customer relationship intangible assets. The impairment charges related to the trademarks were recognized as a result of the Company's impairment test of indefinite-lived intangibles. The fair values of the impaired trademarks were determined using an income approach consisting of the relief-from-royalty method. The impairment charge related to the customer relationship asset was recognized as a result of the estimated future undiscounted cash flows of the asset being less than its carrying value. The fair value of the impaired customer relationship was determined using an income approach consisting of the excess earnings method.

Amortization expense related to acquired intangible assets subject to amortization as of December 31, 2010 for the next five years ended December 31 is estimated as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2011 | \$ 4,548 |
|------|----------|

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2012 | \$ 4,424 |
| 2013 | \$ 4,132 |
| 2014 | \$ 3,245 |
| 2015 | \$ 3,139 |

6. INVESTMENTS IN PARTNERSHIPS

On May 24, 2010, the Company entered into a membership interest purchase agreement to acquire a 10% membership interest in Structural Soft, LLC (Structure Soft) for \$1,500,000. Structural Soft is a developer of software used in the design of residential construction projects. The investment is accounted for using the

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

equity method of accounting, under which the Company's share of the earnings of the investee is recognized in income as earned and distributions are credited against the investment when received. The Company's proportionate share in the net assets of Structural Soft was approximately \$106,000 at December 31, 2010. The Company's investment exceeded its applicable share of Structural Soft's net assets at the date the membership interest was purchased and resulted in an equity-method intangible asset. The equity-method intangible asset was valued at \$1,239,000 as of December 31, 2010.

The Company sold a 31% partnership interest in a steel pickling joint venture with Samuel Manu-Tech, Inc. on February 1, 2010 as a part of the transaction to sell the majority of the assets of the Processed Metal Products business as disclosed in Note 14. The Company's investment in the partnership was approximately \$2,474,000 at December 31, 2009.

7. ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses at December 31 consist of the following (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Compensation | \$ 13,827 | \$ 11,101 |
| Insurance | 8,497 | 9,155 |
| Customer rebates | 8,183 | 7,724 |
| Other | 9,870 | 10,777 |
| | \$ 40,377 | \$ 38,757 |

8. DEBT

Long-term debt at December 31 consists of the following (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|------------|------------|
| 8% Senior Subordinated Notes due December 1, 2015 with interest payable in semiannual installments at an 8.25% effective rate, recorded net of unamortized discount of \$2,027 and \$2,350 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | 201,973 | 201,650 |
| Revolving credit facility | | 50,000 |
| Other debt | 5,224 | 5,632 |
| Total debt outstanding | 207,197 | 257,282 |
| Less current maturities | 408 | 408 |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 206,789 | \$ 256,874 |

The Company's Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated July 24, 2009 (the Senior Credit Agreement) provided a revolving credit facility and a term loan. The Senior Credit Agreement was amended and restated in order to convert it into a secured asset-based credit facility that allowed the Company to remove most of the restrictive covenants contained in the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement prior to its amendment and restatement. Borrowings under the Senior Credit Agreement are secured by the trade receivables, inventory, personal property and equipment, and certain real property of the Company's significant domestic subsidiaries. The Senior Credit Agreement provides for a revolving credit facility and letters of credit in an aggregate amount that does not exceed the lesser of (i) \$200 million or (ii) a borrowing base determined by reference to the trade receivables, inventories, and property, plant, and equipment of the Company's significant domestic subsidiaries. The Senior Credit Agreement also provided a term loan originally aggregating \$58,730,000. The revolving credit facility is committed through August 30, 2012 and the term loan was originally due December 8, 2012.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at a variable rate based upon the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), with a LIBOR floor of 1.50%, plus 3.25% or, at the Company's option, an alternate base rate. The revolving credit facility also carries an annual facility fee of 0.50% on the entire facility, whether drawn or undrawn, and fees on outstanding letters of credit which are payable quarterly. No amounts were drawn on the revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2009, amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility bore interest at an annual rate of 4.75%. Standby letters of credit of \$13,699,000 have been issued under the Senior Credit Agreement to third parties on behalf of the Company at December 31, 2010. These letters of credit reduce the amount otherwise available under the revolving credit facility. At December 31, 2010, the Company had \$85,775,000 of availability under the revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the term loan bore interest at LIBOR, with a LIBOR floor of 1.50%, plus 3.75% or, at the Company's option, an alternate base rate. The Company was required to repay \$575,000 on the term loan each quarter until the remaining balance came due in 2012. On October 30, 2009, the Company paid off its term loan balance with funds available under the revolving credit facility. During the three years ended December 31, 2010, the Company entered into an interest rate swap to fix a portion of the variable-rate debt outstanding under this term loan. See Note 1 for more information regarding the interest rate swap.

As a result of the modification of terms under the revolving credit facility and the early payment of the term loan, the Company incurred a \$1,424,000 charge to write off deferred financing costs during the year ended December 31, 2009.

On a trailing four-quarter basis, the Senior Credit Agreement includes a single financial covenant that requires the Company to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.25 to 1.00 at the end of each quarter. As of December 31, 2010, the Company was in compliance with this financial covenant. The Senior Credit Agreement contains other provisions and events of default that are customary for similar agreements and may limit the Company's ability to take various actions. The Company's significant domestic subsidiaries have guaranteed the obligations under the Senior Credit Agreement.

On December 8, 2005, the Company issued \$204,000,000 of Senior Subordinated 8% Notes (8% Notes), due December 1, 2015, at a discount to yield 8.25%. Provisions of the 8% Notes include, without limitation, restrictions on indebtedness liens, distributions from restricted subsidiaries, asset sales, affiliate transactions, dividends in excess of \$10,000,000 in a fiscal year, and other restricted payments. The 8% Notes are redeemable at the option of the Company, in whole or in part, at the redemption price, which declines annually from 104% to 100% on and after December 1, 2013. In the event of a Change of Control, each holder of the 8% Notes may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of such holder's 8% Notes at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof. The 8% Notes are guaranteed by certain existing and future domestic subsidiaries and are not subject to any sinking fund requirements.

The aggregate maturities of long-term debt for the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

| | | |
|------|----|---------|
| 2011 | \$ | 408 |
| 2012 | \$ | 408 |
| 2013 | \$ | 408 |
| 2014 | \$ | 400 |
| 2015 | \$ | 202,373 |

Thereafter \$ 3,200

Total cash paid for interest in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, was \$19,372,000, \$25,582,000 and \$32,528,000, respectively.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

9. EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT PLANS

The Company has an unfunded supplemental pension plan which provides defined pension benefits to certain salaried employees upon retirement. Benefits under the plan are based on the salaries of individual plan participants in the year they were admitted into the plan. The plan has been frozen and no additional participants will be added to the plan in the future. The following table presents the changes in the plan's projected benefit obligation, fair value of plan assets, and funded status for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Projected benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 3,131 | \$ 2,989 | \$ 2,609 |
| Service cost | 80 | 111 | 147 |
| Interest cost | 171 | 175 | 162 |
| Prior service costs | | 125 | 134 |
| Actuarial gain | (328) | (87) | (10) |
| Benefits paid | (324) | (182) | (53) |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of year | 2,730 | 3,131 | 2,989 |
| Fair value of plan assets | | | |
| Under funded status | (2,730) | (3,131) | (2,989) |
| Unamortized prior service costs | 82 | 192 | 134 |
| Unrecognized actuarial gain | (490) | (162) | (75) |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (3,138) | \$ (3,101) | \$ (2,930) |
| Amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements consist of (in thousands): | | | |
| Accrued pension liability | \$ (2,730) | \$ (3,131) | \$ (2,989) |
| Pre-tax accumulated other comprehensive (income) loss - retirement liability adjustment | (408) | 30 | 59 |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (3,138) | \$ (3,101) | \$ (2,930) |

The plan's accumulated benefit obligation equaled the projected benefit obligation at December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008. The measurement date used to determine pension benefit measures is December 31.

Components of net periodic pension cost for the years ended December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Service cost | \$ 80 | \$ 111 | \$ 147 |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Interest cost | 171 | 175 | 162 |
| Amortization of prior service costs | 110 | 67 | |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ 361 | \$ 353 | \$ 309 |
| Assumptions used to calculate the benefit obligation: | | | |
| Discount rate | 5.50% | 5.75% | 6.00% |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Expected benefit payments from the plan for the years ended December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------|--------|
| 2011 | \$ 351 |
| 2012 | \$ 335 |
| 2013 | \$ 302 |
| 2014 | \$ 295 |
| 2015 | \$ 295 |
| Years 2016 - 2020 | \$ 924 |

All U.S. subsidiaries participate in the Company's 401(k) Plan. In addition, certain subsidiaries have multi-employer non-contributory retirement plans providing for defined contributions to union retirement funds.

Total expense for all retirement plans was \$1,308,000, \$1,648,000, and \$2,237,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. Expenses have decreased from the year ended December 31, 2008 as a result of staff reductions and the suspension of the Company-match to the Company's 401(k) Plan for portions of both years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

10. OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

The Company has an unfunded postretirement healthcare plan which provides health insurance to certain employees and their spouses upon retirement. This plan has been frozen and no additional participants will be added to the plan in the future. The following table presents the changes in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation related to the Company's unfunded postretirement healthcare benefits at December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 4,115 | \$ 4,446 | \$ 4,172 |
| Service cost | 13 | 65 | 71 |
| Interest cost | 226 | 269 | 255 |
| Plan amendments and curtailments | (167) | (857) | |
| Actuarial loss | 1,308 | 432 | 161 |
| Benefits paid | (294) | (240) | (213) |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | 5,201 | 4,115 | 4,446 |
| Fair value of plan assets | | | |
| Under funded status | (5,201) | (4,115) | (4,446) |
| Unrecognized prior service costs | (2) | (17) | (51) |
| Unrecognized actuarial loss | 1,745 | 621 | 1,130 |
| Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation | \$ (3,458) | \$ (3,511) | \$ (3,367) |

Amounts recognized in the consolidated financial statements at December 31 consist of (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Accrued postretirement benefit liability | \$ (5,201) | \$ (4,115) | \$ (4,446) |
| Pre-tax accumulated other comprehensive loss OPEB liability adjustment | 1,743 | 604 | 1,079 |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (3,458) | \$ (3,511) | \$ (3,367) |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost charged to expense for the years ended December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Service cost | \$ 13 | \$ 65 | \$ 71 |
| Interest cost | 226 | 269 | 255 |
| Amortization of unrecognized prior service cost | (5) | (18) | (18) |
| Curtailment cost | (9) | (17) | |
| Loss amortization | 17 | 84 | 65 |
| Net periodic postretirement benefit cost | \$ 242 | \$ 383 | \$ 373 |

Assumptions used to calculate the benefit obligation:

| | | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 5.50% | 5.75% | 6.00% |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|

For measurement purposes, an 11.0%, 9.0%, and 10.0% annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of medical costs before age 65, medical costs after age 65, and prescription drug costs, respectively, were assumed for 2011, gradually decreasing to 5.0% in 2018. The effect of a 1% increase or decrease in the annual medical inflation rate would increase or decrease the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at December 31, 2010, by approximately \$617,000 and \$562,000, respectively, and increase or decrease the annual service and interest costs by approximately \$31,000 and \$27,000, respectively.

The measurement date used to determine postretirement benefit obligation measures is December 31.

Expected benefit payments from the plan for the years ended December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------|----------|
| 2011 | \$ 292 |
| 2012 | \$ 312 |
| 2013 | \$ 341 |
| 2014 | \$ 366 |
| 2015 | \$ 366 |
| Years 2016 - 2020 | \$ 1,871 |

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) was signed into law on March 23, 2010. On March 30, 2010, the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, which amends certain aspects of the PPACA was signed into law. The new laws have a financial impact on the Company's postretirement health care plan. The effects of health care reform have been properly reflected in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation as of December 31, 2010 and the related net periodic postretirement benefit cost charged to expense for the year then ended.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

11. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) INCOME

The cumulative balance of each component of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income is as follows (in thousands):

| | Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment | Minimum Pension Liability Adjustment | Unamortized Post Retirement Health Care Costs | Unrealized Loss on Interest Rate Swaps | Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Balance at January 1, 2009 | \$ (7,680) | \$ (36) | \$ (683) | \$ (2,426) | \$ (10,825) |
| Changes during year ended December 31, 2009 | 7,057 | 17 | 301 | 1,220 | 8,595 |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | (623) | (19) | (382) | (1,206) | (2,230) |
| Changes during year ended December 31, 2010 | (591) | 277 | (722) | 1,206 | 170 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ (1,214) | \$ 258 | \$ (1,104) | \$ | \$ (2,060) |

12. EQUITY-BASED COMPENSATION

Equity-based payments to employees and directors, including grants of stock options, are recognized in the statements of operations based on the grant date fair value of the award. The Company uses the straight-line method of attributing the value of stock-based compensation expense over the vesting periods. Stock compensation expense recognized during the period is based on the value of the portion of equity-based awards that is ultimately expected to vest during the period. Vesting requirements vary for directors, executives, and key employees with a range that typically equals three to four years.

The Third Amendment and Restatement of the Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the Plan) is an incentive compensation plan that allows the Company to grant equity-based incentive compensation awards to eligible participants to provide them an additional incentive to promote the business of the Company, to increase their proprietary interest in the success of the Company, and to encourage them to remain in the Company's employ. Awards under the plan may be in the form of options, restricted shares, restricted units, performance shares, performance units, and rights. The Plan provides for the issuance of up to 3,000,000 shares of common stock. Of the total number of shares of common stock issuable under the plan, the aggregate number of shares which may be issued in connection with grants of incentive stock options and rights cannot exceed 900,000 shares. Vesting terms and award life are governed by the award document.

The Company also has stock options and restricted stock outstanding under plans that were terminated prior to the Plan being approved by the Board of Directors and shareholders. The termination of those plans did not modify, amend, or otherwise affect the terms of any outstanding awards on the date of termination. The Company recognized compensation expense of \$47,000, \$47,000, and \$67,000, in connection with awards that vested under those

previously terminated plans during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

During the years ended December 31, the following tables provides the number of restricted stock units (that will convert to shares upon vesting), restricted shares, and non-qualified stock options that were issued

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

during the years ended December 31 along with the weighted average grant date fair value of each type of award:

| Awards | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|--|------------------|--|
| | Number of Awards | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Number of Awards | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value | Number of Awards | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
| Restricted Stock Units | 169,867 | \$ 16.80 | 287,153 | \$ 11.89 | 167,274 | \$ 15.23 |
| Restricted Shares | 6,000 | \$ 12.74 | 6,000 | \$ 7.92 | 6,000 | \$ 14.84 |
| Non-qualified Stock Options | 131,000 | \$ 4.62 | 146,850 | \$ 7.88 | 244,800 | \$ 6.72 |

At December 31, 2010, 1,245,650 shares were available for issuance under the Plan. Of this amount, 900,000 are available for incentive stock options. The Company recognized compensation expense in connection with the vesting of stock options and the lapse of restrictions on restricted shares and restricted stock units issued under the Plan in the amounts of \$4,268,000, \$4,360,000, and \$4,519,000 in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

The fair value of the restricted shares and restricted stock units issued during the three years ended December 31, 2010 was based on the grant date market price. The fair value of stock options granted was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Expected stock volatility was based on volatility of the Company's stock price using a historical period commensurate with the expected life of the options. The following table provides the weighted average assumptions used to value stock options issued during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

| | Fair Value | Expected Life | Stock Volatility | Risk-free Interest Rate | Annual Forfeiture Rate | Dividend Yield |
|-------------|------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| 2010 Grants | \$ 4.62 | 5.70 Years | 55.9% | 1.7% | 18.0% | 0.0% |
| 2009 Grants | \$ 7.88 | 5.20 Years | 67.6% | 2.3% | 8.2% | 0.1% |
| 2008 Grants | \$ 6.72 | 5.00 Years | 49.3% | 3.0% | 4.3% | 1.4% |

The tax benefits recognized related to equity compensation expense in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 were \$1,640,000, \$1,675,000 and \$1,743,000, respectively.

In 2009, the Company awarded 905,000 performance stock units. As of December 31, 2010, 884,667 of the originally awarded performance stock units remain outstanding after forfeitures and re-issuances. The final number of performance stock units earned will be determined based on the Company's total stockholder returns relative to a peer group for three separate performance periods, consisting of the years ending December 31, 2009, 2010, and 2011. The performance stock units earned will be converted to cash based on the trailing 90-day closing price of the Company's common stock as of the last day of the third performance period and will be paid in January 2012. During the first two

performance periods consisting of the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, participants earned 0% and 34% of target, respectively, aggregating 100,300 performance stock units compared to the target of 589,834 awards.

The cost of performance stock awards is being accrued over the vesting period which ends December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the value of the performance stock units accrued was based on weighted average fair value of \$7.92 and \$13.73 per unit awarded, respectively. The fair value per unit awarded was estimated using the actual performance stock units earned during the first two performance periods ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, an estimate of the number of units expected to be earned during the remaining performance period ending December 31, 2011, and the estimated trailing 90-day closing price of the Company's stock as of December 31, 2011 discounted to present value. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company recognized \$2,310,000 and \$1,601,000 of compensation expense in connection with the vesting of performance stock units estimated to be earned.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Management Stock Purchase Plan (MSPP) is an integral component of the Plan and provides participants the ability to defer a portion of their salary, their annual bonus under the Management Incentive Compensation Plan, and Directors' fees. The deferral is converted to restricted stock units and credited to an account together with a company-match in restricted stock units equal to a percentage of the deferral amount. The account is converted to cash at the trailing 200-day average closing price of the Company's stock and payable to the participants upon a termination of their service to the Company. The matching portion vests only if the participant has reached their sixtieth (60th) birthday. If a participant terminates prior to age sixty (60), the match is forfeited. Upon termination, the account is converted to a cash account that accrues interest at 2% over the then current ten-year U.S. Treasury note rate. The account is then paid out in five equal annual cash installments.

The fair value of restricted stock units held in the MSPP equals the trailing 200-day average closing price of the Company's common stock as of the last day of the period. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, 153,383, 130,437, and 75,781 restricted stock units that will convert to cash upon vesting were credited to participant accounts. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the value of the restricted stock units in the MSPP was \$11.03 and \$10.52 per unit, respectively. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, 457,343 and 303,961 restricted stock units were credited to participant accounts including 84,635 and 33,368, respectively, of unvested restricted stock units.

The following table summarizes the ranges of outstanding and exercisable options at December 31, 2010:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life in Years | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Options Exercisable | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| \$8.90 - \$12.41 | 160,650 | 9.2 | \$ 9.61 | 18,287 | \$ 12.34 |
| \$13.72 - \$14.90 | 120,275 | 8.6 | \$ 13.82 | 36,337 | \$ 13.92 |
| \$18.78 - \$21.75 | 128,531 | 6.2 | \$ 19.26 | 108,156 | \$ 19.35 |
| \$22.16 - \$23.78 | 220,325 | 6.6 | \$ 22.87 | 168,856 | \$ 23.07 |
| | 629,781 | | | 331,636 | |

The weighted average remaining life of options exercisable at December 31, 2010 is 6.5 years. The intrinsic value of options exercisable at December 31, 2010 was \$22,000.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table summarizes information about stock option transactions:

| | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Life | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|------------------------------|-----------|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2008 | 522,820 | \$ 18.84 | | |
| Granted | 244,800 | 17.81 | | |
| Exercised | (23,560) | 10.36 | | |
| Forfeited | (145,653) | 17.79 | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | 598,407 | \$ 19.01 | | |
| Granted | 146,850 | 13.56 | | |
| Exercised | (5,025) | 9.38 | | |
| Forfeited | (109,343) | 18.93 | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 630,889 | \$ 17.88 | | |
| Granted | 131,000 | 8.90 | | |
| Exercised | (28,586) | 9.46 | | |
| Forfeited | (103,522) | 14.25 | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 629,781 | \$ 17.02 | 7.6 Years | \$ 637,000 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value, based on the \$13.57 per share market price of the Company's common stock as of December 31, 2010, which would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options as of that date.

The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 were \$128,000, \$32,000, and \$249,000, respectively. The aggregate fair value of restricted stock units that vested during 2010, 2009, and 2008 was \$3,040,000, \$1,930,000, and \$976,000, respectively. The aggregate fair value of restricted shares that vested during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 was \$150,000, \$48,000, and \$245,000, respectively.

The following table summarizes information about restricted stock:

| | Restricted Stock | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | 18,000 | \$ 15.52 |
| Granted | 6,000 | 12.74 |

| | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|----|-------|
| Vested | (12,000) | | 14.13 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 12,000 | \$ | 15.52 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table summarizes information about restricted stock units that will convert to shares upon vesting:

| | Restricted Stock Units | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Balance at January 1, 2010 | 579,171 | \$ 16.17 |
| Granted | 169,867 | 16.80 |
| Converted | (186,527) | 15.81 |
| Forfeited | (19,978) | 15.14 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 542,533 | \$ 16.53 |

As of December 31, 2010, there was \$5,034,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested options, restricted shares, and restricted share units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.2 years.

13. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, defines fair value, sets out a framework for measuring fair value, and requires certain disclosures about fair value measurements. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. Fair value is defined based upon an exit price model.

The Company adopted the provision of FASB ASC Topic 820 as of January 1, 2008, for all financial assets and liabilities and as of January 1, 2009, for all nonfinancial assets and liabilities. Nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities for which we applied the provisions of Topic 820 include those measured at fair value in goodwill impairment testing, indefinite lived intangible assets measured at fair value for impairment testing, and those initially measured at fair value in a business combination.

FASB ASC Topic 820 establishes a valuation hierarchy for disclosure of the inputs used to measure fair value. This hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three broad levels as follows: Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on our own assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. A financial asset or liability's classification within the hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

As disclosed in Note 1 of the consolidated financial statements, the Company settled an interest rate swap during 2010. As a result, the Company no longer recognizes the fair value of the interest rate swap on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010. The Company does not have any other material assets or liabilities carried at fair value and measured on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010.

The Company applied the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 820 during the goodwill impairment test performed as of October 31, 2010 and the impairment test performed during 2009. Step one of the goodwill impairment test consists of determining a fair value for each of the Company's ten reporting units. The fair value for the Company's reporting units cannot be determined using readily available quoted Level 1 inputs or Level 2 inputs that are observable in active markets. Therefore, the Company used two valuation models to estimate the fair values of its reporting units, using Level 3 inputs. To estimate the fair values of reporting units, the Company uses significant estimates and judgmental factors. The key estimates and factors used in the valuation models include revenue growth rates and profit margins based on internal forecasts, terminal

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

value, the weighted-average cost of capital used to discount future cash flows, and earnings multiples. As a result of the goodwill impairment test performed during 2010 and 2009, the Company recognized goodwill impairment charges. The fair value measurements of the reporting units under the step one and step two analyses include unobservable inputs defined above that are classified as Level 3 inputs. See Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements for the results of the Company's goodwill impairment tests.

During 2010 and 2009, the Company also recognized impairments to trademark and customer relationship intangible assets. The impairment charges were calculated by determining the fair value of these assets. The fair value measurements were calculated using unobservable inputs including discounted cash flow analyses classified as Level 3 inputs. See Note 5 of the consolidated financial statements for more disclosure regarding the impairment of intangible assets.

The Company also recognized the impairment of certain property, plant, and equipment during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2008. The impairment charges were calculated by determining the fair value of the property, plant, and equipment using unobservable inputs including market data for transactions involving similar assets. These inputs are classified as Level 3 inputs. See Note 15 of the consolidated financial statements for more disclosure regarding the impairment of certain property, plant, and equipment.

The Company's financial instruments primarily consist of cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable, long-term debt, and interest rate swaps. The carrying values for our financial instruments approximate fair value with the exception, at times, of long-term debt. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of outstanding debt was \$218,404,000 compared to its carrying value of \$207,197,000. The fair value of the Company's Senior Subordinated 8% Notes was estimated based on quoted market prices.

14. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On February 1, 2010, the Company sold the majority of the assets of the Processed Metal Products business. The assets were sold for \$29,164,000, net of a working capital adjustment of \$936,000. This transaction finalized the Company's exit from the steel processing business and established the Company solely as a manufacturer and distributor of products for building markets. The Company incurred an after-tax loss of \$19,042,000 from the transaction, net of \$11,183,000 of tax benefits. The Company did not sell certain real estate held by the Processed Metal Products business and the receivables generated from the operation of the business prior to its sale. Subsequent to February 1, 2010, the Company collected these receivables net of uncollectible amounts. As of December 31, 2010, the remaining property, plant, and equipment were classified as assets of discontinued operations on the balance sheet. These assets are held for sale as of December 31, 2010 and are reflected at the lesser of their carrying values less cost to sell.

During 2008, the Company determined that its SCM Metal Products subsidiaries (SCM) no longer provided a strategic fit with its long-term growth and operational objectives. In October 2008, the Company entered into a definitive agreement to sell the issued and outstanding capital stock of SCM, a copper powdered metal business, for a purchase price of \$43,702,000. The final purchase price is net of working capital adjustments and transaction fees. The purchase price was payable by delivery of a promissory note in the principal amount of \$8,500,000 payable March 31, 2012 and cash. Interest is payable on the promissory note quarterly at interest rates that increase over time from 8% to 12% per annum. The sale resulted in a pre-tax loss of \$12,995,000 recorded in 2008. During 2009, the Company recorded a \$376,000 gain as a result of purchase price adjustments related to the sale of SCM.

During 2007, the Company committed to a plan to dispose of the assets of its bath cabinet manufacturing. Certain assets of this business were not disposed of in 2007. The Company recognized a \$730,000 impairment charge to recognize the assets at fair value during the year ended December 31, 2009 and sold assets during 2010. The Company incurred costs related to these assets during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The results of operations for the Processed Metal Products, SCM, and the bath cabinet manufacturing businesses have been classified as discontinued operations in the consolidated financial statements for all periods presented.

The Company allocates interest to its discontinued operations in accordance with FASB ASC Subtopic 205-20, Presentation of Financial Statements – Discontinued Operations. Interest was allocated based on the amount of net assets held by the discontinued operation in comparison to consolidated net assets.

Components of income from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Net sales | \$ 16,575 | \$ 142,447 | \$ 355,839 |
| Operating expenses | (16,361) | (157,895) | (334,672) |
| Loss on sale of business | (30,225) | 376 | (12,995) |
| Interest expense allocation | (208) | (2,807) | (5,013) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations before taxes | \$ (30,219) | \$ (17,879) | \$ 3,159 |

15. EXIT ACTIVITY COSTS AND ASSET IMPAIRMENTS

The Company focuses on being the low-cost provider of its products by reducing operating costs and implementing lean manufacturing initiatives, which have in part led to the consolidation of its facilities and product lines. The Company consolidated six, six, and eighteen facilities during 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, in this effort. During this process, the Company has incurred exit activity costs, including contract termination costs, severance costs, and other moving and closing costs. As of December 31, 2010, the Company expects to incur approximately \$800,000 of additional exit activity costs related to moving and severance costs related to facilities closed during the fourth quarter of 2010. Other than those facilities, the Company has not specifically identified any other facilities to close or consolidate as of December 31, 2010 and, therefore, does not expect to incur any material exit activity costs for future restructuring activities. However, if future opportunities for cost savings are identified, other facility consolidations and closings will be considered.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company incurred \$4,320,000 of asset impairment charges related to the impairment of three facilities and other assets in connection with the restructuring activities noted above. The Company incurred \$2,509,000 of asset impairment charges for the year ended December 31, 2008 including a \$1,370,000 impairment charge for a plant closure and a \$1,139,000 impairment charge for a software application no longer in use. The fair values of the impaired assets were determined using a market approach relying upon significant assumptions primarily associated with sales data of assets having similar utility.

The following table provides a summary of where the exit activity costs and asset impairments are recorded in the consolidated statement of operations for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|------|------|------|
|------|------|------|

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Cost of sales | \$ 6,364 | \$ 1,705 | \$ 4,157 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 806 | 880 | 1,414 |
| Total exit activity costs and asset impairment charges | \$ 7,170 | \$ 2,585 | \$ 5,571 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table reconciles the beginning and ending liability for exit activity costs relating to the Company's facility consolidation efforts (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Accrued costs at beginning of year | \$ 1,813 | \$ 1,121 |
| Exit activity costs recognized | 2,850 | 2,585 |
| Cash payments | (2,600) | (1,893) |
| Accrued costs at end of year | \$ 2,063 | \$ 1,813 |

16. INCOME TAXES

The components of (loss) income before income taxes from continuing operations consisted of the following for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Domestic | \$ (90,978) | \$ (58,894) | \$ 29,774 |
| Foreign | 1,793 | (1,591) | 9,077 |
| Total | \$ (89,185) | \$ (60,485) | \$ 38,851 |

The (benefit of) provision for income taxes from continued operations for the years ended December 31 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Current: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | \$ (6,136) | \$ (3,420) | \$ 9,010 |
| State | 346 | 605 | 2,489 |
| Foreign | 630 | (104) | 3,286 |
| Total current | (5,160) | (2,919) | 14,785 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | (10,826) | (10,664) | 840 |
| State | 483 | (5,428) | (428) |
| Foreign | (286) | (405) | (652) |
| Total deferred | (10,629) | (16,497) | (240) |

| | | | |
|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total | \$ (15,789) | \$ (19,416) | \$ 14,545 |
|-------|-------------|-------------|-----------|

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The (benefit of) provision for income taxes from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|----------------|-------------|------------|----------|
| Current: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | \$ (1,577) | \$ (6,631) | \$ 1,619 |
| State | | (48) | (183) |
| Foreign | | | 236 |
| Total current | (1,577) | (6,679) | 1,672 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| U.S. Federal | (9,997) | 372 | 1,423 |
| State | (973) | (616) | 291 |
| Foreign | | | 11 |
| Total deferred | (10,970) | (244) | 1,725 |
| Total | \$ (12,547) | \$ (6,923) | \$ 3,397 |

The (benefit of) provision for income taxes from continuing operations differs from the federal statutory rate of 35% for the years December 31 due to the following (in thousands):

| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|---|-------------|---------|-------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Statutory rate | \$ (31,215) | 35.0% | \$ (21,170) | 35.0% | \$ 13,598 | 35.0% |
| State income taxes, less federal effect | 539 | (0.6)% | (3,135) | 5.2% | 1,340 | 3.4% |
| Foreign rate differential | (104) | 0.1% | 60 | (0.1)% | (776) | (2.0)% |
| Uncertain tax positions | 305 | (0.3)% | 107 | (0.2)% | 420 | 1.1% |
| Intangible asset impairment | 14,560 | (16.3)% | 4,081 | (6.7)% | | |
| Other | 126 | (0.2)% | 641 | (1.1)% | (37) | (0.1)% |
| | \$ (15,789) | 17.7% | \$ (19,416) | 32.1% | \$ 14,545 | 37.4% |

Deferred tax liabilities (assets) at December 31 consist of the following (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|
| Depreciation | \$ 22,037 | \$ 24,475 |
| Goodwill | 15,513 | 18,912 |

Edgar Filing: GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Intangible assets | 13,897 | 19,094 |
| Other | 498 | 247 |
| Gross deferred tax liabilities | 51,945 | 62,728 |
| Equity compensation | (8,463) | (7,206) |
| Other | (13,032) | (13,946) |
| Gross deferred tax assets | (21,495) | (21,152) |
| Valuation allowances | 2,829 | 1,779 |
| Deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowances | (18,666) | (19,373) |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | \$ 33,279 | \$ 43,355 |

Net current deferred tax assets of \$6,584,000 and \$8,463,000 are included in other current assets in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Deferred taxes include net deferred tax assets relating to certain state and foreign tax jurisdictions. A reduction of the carrying amount of deferred tax assets by a valuation allowance are required if it is more likely than not that such assets will not be realized. During 2010, the Company recorded an additional valuation allowance of \$2,400,000 due to the uncertainty of its ability to utilize the deferred tax assets related to one state. The deferred tax assets in that state primarily relate to state net operating losses and intangible assets.

During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company received income tax refunds, net of cash paid for income taxes, of \$6,085,000 and \$3,516,000, respectively. Cash paid for income taxes, net of tax refunds, in the year ended December 31, 2008 was \$15,825,000.

Provision has not been made for U.S. taxes on \$27,309,000 of undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries. Those earnings have been and will continue to be reinvested. As of December 31, 2010, the Company's foreign operations held \$14,517,000 of cash that provides foreign operations with liquidity to reinvest in working capital and capital expenditures for their operations. Any excess earnings could be used to grow the Company's foreign operations through launches of new capital projects or additional acquisitions. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred U.S. income tax liability is not practicable due to the complexities associated with its hypothetical calculation.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Balance at beginning of year | \$ 2,165 | \$ 2,499 |
| Additions for tax positions of the current year | 239 | 242 |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | 138 | 408 |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years for: | | |
| Settlements during the period | (372) | (972) |
| Lapses of applicable statute of limitation | (10) | (12) |
| Balance at end of year | \$ 2,160 | \$ 2,165 |

The company and its U.S. subsidiaries file a U.S. federal consolidated income tax return. Foreign and U.S. state jurisdictions have statute of limitations generally ranging from four to six years. Currently, we do not have any returns under examinations in our U.S. state jurisdictions.

During 2010, the Internal Revenue Service concluded their examination of the Company's income tax returns for 2009 and the State of Alabama concluded their examination on the Company's tax returns for years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, 2007, and 2008. As a result of these audits, the Company recognized a \$372,000 reduction in its reserve for unrecognized tax benefits.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that would affect the effective tax rate, if recognized, was \$2,160,000 and \$2,165,000 as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We report accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. We recognized interest (net of federal tax benefit) and penalties of \$115,000, \$171,000, and \$123,000 in the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

At December 31, 2010, the Company has net operating loss carry forwards for state and foreign income tax purposes totaling \$34,930,000 which will expire between 2012 and 2030. The Company recognized \$2,488,000 of deferred tax assets, net of the federal tax benefit, related to these net operating losses prior to any valuation allowances.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

17. NET (LOSS) INCOME PER SHARE

Basic (loss) income per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted (loss) income per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, as well as dilutive potential common shares which, in the Company's case, comprise of shares issuable under the equity compensation plans described in Note 12. The weighted average number of shares and conversions utilized in the calculation of diluted earnings per share does not include potential anti-dilutive common shares aggregating 1,041,000, 1,222,000, and 676,000 at December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The treasury stock method is used to calculate dilutive shares, which reduces the gross number of dilutive shares by the number of shares purchasable from the proceeds of the options assumed to be exercised.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| Numerator: | | | |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | \$ (73,396) | \$ (41,069) | \$ 24,306 |
| Loss from discontinued operations | (17,672) | (10,956) | (238) |
| (Loss) income available to common stockholders | \$ (91,068) | \$ (52,025) | \$ 24,068 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Denominator for basic (loss) income per share: | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 30,303 | 30,135 | 29,981 |
| Denominator for diluted (loss) income per share: | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 30,303 | 30,135 | 29,981 |
| Potentially dilutive securities | | | 212 |
| Weighted average shares and conversions | 30,303 | 30,135 | 30,193 |

For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, all stock options, unvested restricted stock, and unvested restricted stock units were anti-dilutive and, therefore, not included in the dilutive loss per share calculation. The number of weighted average stock options, unvested restricted stock, and unvested restricted stock units that were not included in the dilutive loss per share calculation because the effect would have been anti-dilutive was 157,695 and 193,131 shares for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

18. COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES, AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company leases certain facilities and equipment under operating leases. Rent expense under operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 aggregated \$12,273,000, \$13,372,000, and \$12,813,000, respectively. Future minimum lease payments under these non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2010, are as follows:

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| 2011 | \$ 10,334 |
| 2012 | \$ 8,209 |
| 2013 | \$ 6,795 |
| 2014 | \$ 3,953 |
| 2015 | \$ 2,112 |
| Thereafter | \$ 2,972 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company offers various product warranties to its customers concerning the quality of its products and services. Based upon the short duration of warranty periods and favorable historical warranty experience, the Company determined that a related warranty accrual at December 31, 2010 and 2009 is not required.

The Company is a party to certain claims and legal actions generally incidental to its business. Management does not believe that the outcome of these actions, which are not clearly determinable at the present time, would significantly affect the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Two members of the Company's Board of Directors, Gerald S. Lippes and Arthur A. Russ, Jr., were partners in law firms that provide legal services to the Company. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, the Company incurred costs of \$1,094,000, \$1,162,000, and \$1,729,000 for legal services from these firms, respectively. Of the amounts incurred, \$176,000 related to the sale of the Processed Metal Products business during 2010 and was recognized as a component of discontinued operations. Additionally, \$113,000 was capitalized as deferred debt issuance costs during 2009. All other amounts incurred during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 were expensed as a component of selling, general, and administrative expenses. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company had \$292,000 and \$160,000 recorded in accounts payable for these law firms, respectively.

A member of the Company's Board of Directors, Robert E. Sadler, Jr., is a member of the Board of Directors of M&T Bank Corporation, one of the eleven participating lenders which have committed capital under the Company's Senior Credit Agreement. As of December 31, 2010, the Senior Credit Agreement provided the Company with a revolving credit facility with availability up to \$200 million. See Note 8 to the financial statements for the terms of the Senior Credit Agreement and the amounts outstanding on the revolving credit facility as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

19. SEGMENT INFORMATION

FASB ASC Topic 280, Segment Reporting establishes that principles for reporting information about operating segments in financial statements. Previously, the Company reported certain financial information for two reportable segments, Building Products and Processed Metal Products. On February 1, 2010, the Company sold the majority of the assets of the Processed Metal Products segment as discussed in Note 14 of the consolidated financial statements.

As a result of this divestiture and consideration of the principles of Topic 280, the Company determined that it now has only one reportable segment for external reporting purposes. The Company is organized in four operating segments that process steel, aluminum, resins, and other materials to produce a wide variety of construction products for use in the building markets. All four operating segments have been aggregated into one reportable segment on the basis that they have similar economic and operating characteristics. Prior period financial information included herein has been reclassified to reflect the financial position and results of operations as one segment.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Net sales by region or origin and long-lived assets by region of domicile for the years ended and as of December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Net sales | | | |
| North America | \$ 633,163 | \$ 643,087 | \$ 912,321 |
| Europe | 51,905 | 48,684 | 74,519 |
| | \$ 685,068 | \$ 691,771 | \$ 986,840 |
| Long-lived assets | | | |
| North America | \$ 531,740 | \$ 682,171 | \$ 758,626 |
| Europe | 36,773 | 40,646 | 39,504 |
| | \$ 568,513 | \$ 722,817 | \$ 798,130 |

20. SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following information sets forth the consolidating summary financial statements of the issuer (Gibraltar Industries, Inc.) and guarantors, which guarantee the Senior Subordinated 8% Notes due December 1, 2015, and the non-guarantors. The guarantors are wholly owned subsidiaries of the issuer and the guarantees are full, unconditional, joint and several.

Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for by the parent using the equity method of accounting. The guarantor subsidiaries and non-guarantor subsidiaries are presented on a combined basis. The principal elimination entries eliminate investments in subsidiaries and intercompany balances and transactions.

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
DECEMBER 31, 2010
(In thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 600,742 | \$ 102,905 | \$ (18,579) | \$ 685,068 |
| Cost of sales | | 495,034 | 90,045 | (18,406) | 566,673 |
| Gross profit | | 105,708 | 12,860 | (173) | 118,395 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | 331 | 99,360 | 9,846 | | 109,537 |
| Intangible asset impairment | | 76,964 | | | 76,964 |
| (Loss) income from operations | (331) | (70,616) | 3,014 | (173) | (68,106) |
| Interest (expense) income | (16,111) | (5,061) | 12 | | (21,160) |
| Equity in partnerships income and other income | | 54 | 27 | | 81 |
| (Loss) income before taxes | (16,442) | (75,623) | 3,053 | (173) | (89,185) |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (6,592) | (9,741) | 544 | | (15,789) |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (9,850) | (65,882) | 2,509 | (173) | (73,396) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Loss from discontinued operations before taxes | | (30,219) | | | (30,219) |
| Benefit of income taxes | | (12,547) | | | (12,547) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | | (17,672) | | | (17,672) |
| Equity in earnings from subsidiaries | (81,045) | 2,509 | | 78,536 | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (90,895) | \$ (81,045) | \$ 2,509 | \$ 78,363 | \$ (91,068) |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
DECEMBER 31, 2009
(In thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 617,068 | \$ 88,596 | \$ (13,893) | \$ 691,771 |
| Cost of sales | | 495,433 | 78,781 | (12,812) | 561,402 |
| Gross profit | | 121,635 | 9,815 | (1,081) | 130,369 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | (85) | 98,141 | 9,908 | | 107,964 |
| Intangible asset impairment | | 60,098 | | | 60,098 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 85 | (36,604) | (93) | (1,081) | (37,693) |
| Interest (expense) income | (15,840) | (7,292) | 24 | | (23,108) |
| Equity in partnerships income and other income | | 301 | 15 | | 316 |
| Loss before taxes | (15,755) | (43,595) | (54) | (1,081) | (60,485) |
| Benefit of income taxes | (6,132) | (12,785) | (499) | | (19,416) |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (9,623) | (30,810) | 445 | (1,081) | (41,069) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Loss from discontinued operations before taxes | | (17,879) | | | (17,879) |
| Benefit of income taxes | | (6,923) | | | (6,923) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | | (10,956) | | | (10,956) |
| Equity in earnings from subsidiaries | (41,321) | 445 | | 40,876 | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (50,944) | \$ (41,321) | \$ 445 | \$ 39,795 | \$ (52,025) |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
DECEMBER 31, 2008
(In thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Net sales | \$ | \$ 870,103 | \$ 134,430 | \$ (17,693) | \$ 986,840 |
| Cost of sales | | 694,305 | 110,470 | (16,494) | 788,281 |
| Gross profit | | 175,798 | 23,960 | (1,199) | 198,559 |
| Selling, general, and administrative expense | (397) | 122,313 | 12,829 | | 134,745 |
| Income from operations | 397 | 53,485 | 11,131 | (1,199) | 63,814 |
| Interest expense | (15,100) | (9,156) | (1,431) | | (25,687) |
| Equity in partnerships income and other income | | 714 | 10 | | 724 |
| (Loss) income before taxes | (14,703) | 45,043 | 9,710 | (1,199) | 38,851 |
| (Benefit of) provision for income taxes | (5,440) | 17,277 | 2,708 | | 14,545 |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (9,263) | 27,766 | 7,002 | (1,199) | 24,306 |
| Discontinued operations: Income from discontinued operations before taxes | | 1,818 | 1,341 | | 3,159 |
| Provision for income taxes | | 3,153 | 244 | | 3,397 |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | | (1,335) | 1,097 | | (238) |
| Equity in earnings from subsidiaries | 34,530 | 8,099 | | (42,629) | |
| Net income | \$ 25,267 | \$ 34,530 | \$ 8,099 | \$ (43,828) | \$ 24,068 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS
DECEMBER 31, 2010
(In thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 46,349 | \$ 14,517 | \$ | \$ 60,866 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 60,190 | 14,354 | | 74,544 |
| Intercompany balances | 17,194 | 5,657 | (22,851) | | |
| Inventories | | 75,609 | 7,735 | | 83,344 |
| Other current assets | 6,592 | 13,536 | 956 | | 21,084 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | | 2,539 | | | 2,539 |
| Total current assets | 23,786 | 203,880 | 14,711 | | 242,377 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | | 144,417 | 13,935 | | 158,352 |
| Goodwill | | 292,592 | 33,063 | | 325,655 |
| Acquired intangibles | | 55,921 | 10,474 | | 66,395 |
| Investments in partnerships | | 1,345 | | | 1,345 |
| Other assets | 3,613 | 13,152 | 1 | | 16,766 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 616,787 | 55,172 | | (671,959) | |
| | \$ 644,186 | \$ 766,479 | \$ 72,184 | \$ (671,959) | \$ 810,890 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | \$ 50,401 | \$ 8,876 | \$ | \$ 59,277 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,360 | 35,911 | 3,106 | | 40,377 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | | 408 | | | 408 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | | 56 | | | 56 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,360 | 86,776 | 11,982 | | 100,118 |
| Long-term debt | 201,973 | 4,816 | | | 206,789 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 35,291 | 4,572 | | 39,863 |
| Other non-current liabilities | | 22,809 | 458 | | 23,267 |
| Shareholders equity | 440,853 | 616,787 | 55,172 | (671,959) | 440,853 |
| | \$ 644,186 | \$ 766,479 | \$ 72,184 | \$ (671,959) | \$ 810,890 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEETS
DECEMBER 31, 2009
(In thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 10,105 | \$ 13,491 | \$ | \$ 23,596 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 59,569 | 12,213 | | 71,782 |
| Intercompany balances | 21,321 | 5,734 | (27,055) | | |
| Inventories | | 79,461 | 6,835 | | 86,296 |
| Other current assets | 6,132 | 17,523 | 1,858 | | 25,513 |
| Assets of discontinued operations | | 44,938 | | | 44,938 |
| | | | | | |
| Total current assets | 27,453 | 217,330 | 7,342 | | 252,125 |
| Property, plant, and equipment, net | | 158,406 | 16,298 | | 174,704 |
| Goodwill | | 359,182 | 33,522 | | 392,704 |
| Acquired intangibles | | 70,287 | 11,895 | | 82,182 |
| Investments in partnerships | | 2,474 | | | 2,474 |
| Other assets | 4,335 | 13,473 | 3 | | 17,811 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 699,448 | 53,368 | | (752,816) | |
| Assets of discontinued operations | | 52,942 | | | 52,942 |
| | \$ 731,236 | \$ 927,462 | \$ 69,060 | \$ (752,816) | \$ 974,942 |
| | | | | | |
| Liabilities and Shareholders | | | | | |
| Equity | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | \$ 39,235 | \$ 8,148 | \$ | \$ 47,383 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,360 | 35,312 | 2,085 | | 38,757 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | | 408 | | | 408 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | | 22,468 | | | 22,468 |
| | | | | | |
| Total current liabilities | 1,360 | 97,423 | 10,233 | | 109,016 |
| Long-term debt | 201,650 | 55,224 | | | 256,874 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 46,751 | 5,067 | | 51,818 |
| Other non-current liabilities | | 16,399 | 392 | | 16,791 |
| Liabilities of discontinued operations | | 12,217 | | | 12,217 |
| Shareholders' equity | 528,226 | 699,448 | 53,368 | (752,816) | 528,226 |
| | \$ 731,236 | \$ 927,462 | \$ 69,060 | \$ (752,816) | \$ 974,942 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
DECEMBER 31, 2010
(In thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by continuing operations | \$ (15,397) | \$ 65,051 | \$ 6,007 | \$ | \$ 55,661 |
| Net cash provided by discontinued operations | | 13,582 | | | 13,582 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (15,397) | 78,633 | 6,007 | | 69,243 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | | | |
| Net proceeds from sale of business | | 29,164 | | | 29,164 |
| Net proceeds from sale of property, plant, and equipment | | 309 | 4 | | 313 |
| Purchases of investment in partnership | | (1,250) | | | (1,250) |
| Purchases of property, plant, and equipment | | (7,802) | (668) | | (8,470) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities of continuing operations | | 20,421 | (664) | | 19,757 |
| Net cash used in investing activities of discontinued operations | | (368) | | | (368) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | | 20,053 | (664) | | 19,389 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | | | |
| Long-term debt payments | | (58,967) | | | (58,967) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | | 8,559 | | | 8,559 |
| Intercompany financing | 16,187 | (11,870) | (4,317) | | |
| Purchase of treasury stock at market rates | (1,114) | | | | (1,114) |
| Payment of deferred financing costs | | (164) | | | (164) |
| Excess tax benefit from stock compensation | 54 | | | | 54 |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 270 | | | | 270 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 15,397 | (62,442) | (4,317) | | (51,362) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | | 36,244 | 1,026 | | 37,270 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | | 10,105 | 13,491 | | 23,596 |

| | | | | | |
|--|----|-----------|-----------|----|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ | \$ 46,349 | \$ 14,517 | \$ | \$ 60,866 |
|--|----|-----------|-----------|----|-----------|

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
DECEMBER 31, 2009
(In thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by continuing operations | \$ (15,384) | \$ 105,886 | \$ 9,336 | \$ | \$ 99,838 |
| Net cash provided by discontinued operations | | 31,761 | | | 31,761 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (15,384) | 137,647 | 9,336 | | 131,599 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | | | |
| Purchases of property, plant, and equipment | | (8,888) | (886) | | (9,774) |
| Additional consideration for acquisitions | | (4,949) | | | (4,949) |
| Net proceeds from sale of property, plant, and equipment | | 271 | 27 | | 298 |
| Net cash used in investing activities from continuing operations | | (13,566) | (859) | | (14,425) |
| Net cash used in investing activities for discontinued operations | | (1,038) | | | (1,038) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | | (14,604) | (859) | | (15,463) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | | | |
| Long-term debt payments | | (182,401) | | | (182,401) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | | 83,022 | | | 83,022 |
| Intercompany financing | 17,470 | (12,957) | (4,513) | | |
| Payment of deferred financing costs | | (2,383) | | | (2,383) |
| Payment of dividends | (1,499) | | | | (1,499) |
| Purchase of treasury stock at market rates | (634) | | | | (634) |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 47 | | | | 47 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 15,384 | (114,719) | (4,513) | | (103,848) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | | 8,324 | 3,964 | | 12,288 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | | 1,781 | 9,527 | | 11,308 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|----|--------|----|--------|----|----|--------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ | \$ | 10,105 | \$ | 13,491 | \$ | \$ | 23,596 |
|--|----|----|--------|----|--------|----|----|--------|

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
DECEMBER 31, 2008
(In thousands)

| | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Total |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | | | | |
| Net cash (used in) provided by continuing operations | \$ (14,905) | \$ 91,056 | \$ 7,690 | \$ | \$ 83,841 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) discontinued operations | | 25,004 | (971) | | 24,033 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (14,905) | 116,060 | 6,719 | | 107,874 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | | | |
| Net proceeds from sale of business | | 23,208 | 11,994 | | 35,202 |
| Net proceeds from sale of property, plant, and equipment | | 2,508 | 30 | | 2,538 |
| Additional consideration for acquisitions | | (8,724) | | | (8,724) |
| Purchases of property, plant, and equipment | | (16,523) | (3,168) | | (19,691) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities from continuing operations | | 469 | 8,856 | | 9,325 |
| Net cash used in investing activities for discontinued operations | | (2,190) | (61) | | (2,251) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | | (1,721) | 8,795 | | 7,074 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | | | |
| Long-term debt payments | | (184,447) | (490) | | (184,937) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | | 53,000 | 439 | | 53,439 |
| Intercompany financing | 20,804 | 8,265 | (29,069) | | |
| Payment of dividends | (5,985) | | | | (5,985) |
| Tax benefit from equity compensation | | (362) | | | (362) |
| Purchase of treasury stock at market rates | (164) | | | | (164) |
| Payment of deferred financing costs | | (104) | | | (104) |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common stock | 250 | | | | 250 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities from continuing operations | 14,905 | (123,648) | (29,120) | | (137,863) |
| Net cash used in financing activities for discontinued operations | | | (1,064) | | (1,064) |

| | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 14,905 | (123,648) | (30,184) | (138,927) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | | (9,309) | (14,670) | (23,979) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year | | 11,090 | 24,197 | 35,287 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of year | \$ | \$ 1,781 | \$ 9,527 | \$ 11,308 |

Table of Contents

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.
QUARTERLY UNAUDITED FINANCIAL DATA
(In thousands, except per share data)

| 2010 Quarter Ended | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31(1) | Total |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Net sales | \$ 157,528 | \$ 191,771 | \$ 182,061 | \$ 153,708 | \$ 685,068 |
| Gross profit | 29,415 | 39,066 | 31,303 | 18,611 | 118,395 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 2,579 | 11,693 | 5,463 | (87,841) | (68,106) |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (2,316) | 3,788 | 1,342 | (76,210) | (73,396) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | (18,915) | (307) | (145) | 1,695 | (17,672) |
| Net (loss) income | (21,231) | 3,481 | 1,197 | (74,515) | (91,068) |
| (Loss) income per share from continuing operations: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.08) | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.04 | \$ (2.51) | \$ (2.42) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.08) | \$ 0.12 | \$ 0.04 | \$ (2.51) | \$ (2.42) |
| (Loss) income per share from discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.62) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.00) | \$ 0.05 | \$ (0.59) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.62) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.00) | \$ 0.05 | \$ (0.59) |
| | | | | | |
| 2009 Quarter Ended | Mar. 31(1) | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31(1) | Total |
| Net sales | \$ 166,339 | \$ 190,802 | \$ 190,520 | \$ 144,110 | \$ 691,771 |
| Gross profit | 18,602 | 37,950 | 44,717 | 29,100 | 130,369 |
| (Loss) income from operations | (33,536) | 13,923 | 18,280 | (36,360) | (37,693) |
| (Loss) income from continuing operations | (21,026) | 2,101 | 7,618 | (29,762) | (41,069) |
| (Loss) income from discontinued operations | (6,590) | (2,029) | (2,706) | 369 | (10,956) |
| Net (loss) income | (27,616) | 72 | 4,912 | (29,393) | (52,025) |
| (Loss) income per share from continuing operations: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.70) | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.25 | \$ (0.99) | \$ (1.36) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.70) | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.25 | \$ (0.99) | \$ (1.36) |
| (Loss) income per share from discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.22) | \$ (0.07) | \$ (0.09) | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.37) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.22) | \$ (0.07) | \$ (0.09) | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.37) |

(1) During the quarters ended March 31, 2009, December 31, 2009, and December 31, 2010, the Company recorded \$25,501,000, \$34,597,000, and \$77,141,000 of intangible asset impairment charges, respectively, as a result of interim and annual impairment tests performed during those periods.

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Control and Procedures

The Company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). The Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls as of the end of the period covered in this report. Based upon that evaluation and the definition of disclosure controls and procedures contained in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of the end of such period the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, and Chief Financial Officer, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the Company's evaluation, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

The effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 has been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which is included below in this Item 9A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Gibraltar Industries, Inc.
Buffalo, New York
February 25, 2011

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Two of the Company's business units implemented enterprise resource planning systems, Oracle and Syteline, during the three months ended December 31, 2010. We consider the implementation of a new enterprise resource planning system to be a change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that materially affects, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. We expect the completion of the system implementation at the respective business units will enhance our internal controls as follows:

a) The new enterprise resource planning systems were designed to generate reports and other information used to account for transactions and reduce the number of manual processes employed by the business units,

b) The new enterprise resource planning systems are technologically advanced and increase the amount of application controls used to process data; and

c) The business units designed improved processes and implemented improved procedures in connection with the implementation.

There have been no other changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined by Rule 13a-15(f)) that occurred during the three months ended December 31, 2010 that have materially affected the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Gibraltar Industries, Inc.

We have audited Gibraltar Industries, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010 based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Gibraltar Industries, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Gibraltar Industries, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. and our report dated February 25, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Buffalo, New York
February 25, 2011

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance

Information regarding directors and executive officers of the Company, as well as the required disclosures with respect to the Company's audit committee financial expert, is incorporated herein by reference to the information included in the Company's 2010 Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the Company's 2010 fiscal year.

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, and other senior financial officers and executives of the Company. The complete text of this Code of Ethics is available in the corporate governance section of our website at www.gibraltar1.com. The Company does not intend to incorporate the contents of our website into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information regarding executive compensation is incorporated herein by reference to the information included in the Company's 2010 Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the Company's 2010 fiscal year.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Brian J. Lipke, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, and William P. Montague, Director, failed to file reports required under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act of 1934 on a timely basis during prior fiscal years. A Form 5 was filed on February 11, 2011 to disclose four transactions to sell Mr. Lipke's proportionate interest in shares sold by a limited liability company which is not managed or controlled by Mr. Lipke. The transactions were not reported as a result of a recently discovered inadvertent administrative oversight. Another Form 5 was filed on February 11, 2011 to report shares escheated to New York State that Mr. Montague was notified of on or about June 17, 2010. Promptly following receipt of notice from the New York Office of Unclaimed Funds, Mr. Montague submitted a claim to have the shares returned and was verbally informed by employees of the Office of Unclaimed Funds that the shares could be returned. Only in December 2010 did Mr. Montague learn that the shares would not be returned.

Information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated herein by reference to the information included in the Company's 2010 Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the Company's 2010 fiscal year.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

Information regarding certain relationships and related transactions is incorporated herein by reference to the information included in the Company's 2010 Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the Company's 2010 fiscal year.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information regarding principal accountant's fees and services is incorporated herein by reference to the information included in the Company's 2010 Proxy Statement which will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the

end of the Company's 2010 fiscal year.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

- (a) Documents filed as part of this report:
- (1) The following financial statements are included:
 - (i) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
 - (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008
 - (iii) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009
 - (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008
 - (v) Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008
 - (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
 - (2) The following Financial Statement Schedules for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:
 - (i) Quarterly Unaudited Financial Data (included in notes to consolidated financial statements)
 - (ii) Schedule II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts (included on page 79)Schedules other than those listed above are omitted because the conditions requiring their filing do not exist, or because the required information is provided in the consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto.
 - (3) Exhibits: the index of exhibits to this Annual Report on Form 10-K included herein is set forth on the attached Exhibit Index beginning on page 81.
- (b) Other Information:
Not applicable

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II****VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
(in thousands)**

| Year | Description | Balance at the Beginning of Period | Foreign Currency Translation | Charged to Cost and Expense | Write-Offs | Balance at End of Period |
|------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2010 | Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | \$ 3,853 | \$ (19) | \$ 1,210 | \$ (1,349) | \$ 3,695 |
| | Reserve for Slow-moving Inventory | \$ 4,611 | \$ 19 | \$ 1,023 | \$ (1,641) | \$ 4,012 |
| | Deferred Tax Valuation Allowance | \$ 1,779 | \$ | \$ 1,050 | \$ | \$ 2,829 |
| 2009 | Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | \$ 3,676 | \$ 77 | \$ 2,242 | \$ (2,142) | \$ 3,853 |
| | Reserve for Slow-moving Inventory | \$ 4,575 | \$ 72 | \$ 1,055 | \$ (1,091) | \$ 4,611 |
| | Deferred Tax Valuation Allowance | \$ 2,614 | \$ | \$ 157 | \$ (992) | \$ 1,779 |
| 2008 | Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | \$ 3,155 | \$ (226) | \$ 1,797 | \$ (1,050) | \$ 3,676 |
| | Reserve for Slow-moving Inventory | \$ 5,337 | \$ (155) | \$ 2,171 | \$ (2,778) | \$ 4,575 |
| | Deferred Tax Valuation Allowance | \$ 925 | \$ | \$ 2,239 | \$ (550) | \$ 2,614 |

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

GIBRALTAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

By /s/ Brian J. Lipke

Brian J. Lipke
Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer
Dated : February 25, 2011

In accordance with the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| SIGNATURE | TITLE | DATE |
|--|---|-------------------|
| /s/ Brian J. Lipke Brian J. Lipke | Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) | February 25, 2011 |
| /s/ Henning N. Kornbrekke Henning N. Kornbrekke | President and Chief Operating Officer | February 25, 2011 |
| /s/ Kenneth W. Smith Kenneth W. Smith | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer) | February 25, 2011 |
| /s/ David N. Campbell David N. Campbell | Director | February 25, 2011 |
| /s/ William J. Colombo William J. Colombo | Director | February 25, 2011 |
| /s/ Gerald S. Lippes Gerald S. Lippes | Director | February 25, 2011 |
| /s/ William P. Montague William P. Montague | Director | February 25, 2011 |
| /s/ Arthur A. Russ, Jr. Arthur A. Russ, Jr. | Director | February 25, 2011 |

Arthur A. Russ, Jr.

/s/ Robert E. Sadler, Jr.

Director

February 25, 2011

Robert E. Sadler, Jr.

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit |
|-------------------|---|
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation of registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (Registration No. 333-135908)) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By Laws of Gibraltar Industries, Inc. effective November 3, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 9, 2010) |
| 4.1 | Specimen Common Share Certificate (incorporated by reference number to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 33-69304)) |
| 4.2 | Indenture dated as of December 8, 2005, among the Company, the Guarantors (as defined therein) and the Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 13, 2005) |
| 10.1* | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated as of August 21, 2007 between the Registrant and Brian J. Lipke (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 24, 2007) |
| 10.2* | Employment Agreement dated as of August 21, 2007 between the Registrant and Henning Kornbrekke (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 24, 2007) |
| 10.3* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. Incentive Stock Option Plan, Fifth Amendment and Restatement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2000), as amended by First Amendment to the Fifth Amendment and Restatement of the Gibraltar Steel Corporation Incentive Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 20, 2007) |
| 10.4* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan, First Amendment and Restatement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-03979)) |
| 10.5 | First Amendment, dated May 28, 1999, to the Partnership Agreement dated May 1988 among Samuel Pickling Management Company, Universal Steel Co., and Ruscon Steel Corp., creating Samuel Steel Pickling Company, a general partnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999) |
| 10.6* | Gibraltar 401(k) Plan Amendment and Restatement Effective October 1, 2004 as amended by the First, Second, and Third Amendments to the Amendment and Restatement Effective October 1, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004) |
| 10.7* | The 2003 Gibraltar Incentive Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (333-110313)) as amended by First Amendment to 2003 Gibraltar Industries Incentive Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 25, 2006) |
| 10.8* | Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Brian J. Lipke (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.01 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 13, 2005) |
| 10.9* | Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Henning N. Kornbrekke (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.02 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 13, 2005) |
| 10.10* | Amended and Restated Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 21, 2006), as amended by Equity Incentive Plan, dated May 18, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to |

- the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 21, 2009)
- 10.11* Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Form of Award of Restricted Units (Long Term Incentive) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 25, 2005)
- 10.12* Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Form of Award of Non-Qualified Option (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 25, 2005)
- 10.13* Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Form of Award (Retirement) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 25, 2005)
-

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit |
|-------------------|--|
| 10.14 | Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 8, 2005, among the Company, the Guarantors and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., McDonald Investments Inc. and Harris Nesbitt Corp., as initial purchasers of the Notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed December 13, 2005) |
| 10.15* | Second Amendment and Restatement of the Gibraltar Industries, Inc. Management Stock Purchase Plan, dated December 30, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 6, 2009), as amended by First Amendment to Second Amendment and Restatement of the Gibraltar Industries, Inc. Management Stock Purchase Plan, dated July 19, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2010) |
| 10.16 | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. Omnibus Code Section 409A Compliance Policy, dated December 30, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 6, 2009) |
| 10.17* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc. 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Award of Restricted Stock Units, dated January 5, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 9, 2009) |
| 10.18* | Summary Description of Annual Management Incentive Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) |
| 10.19* | Gibraltar Deferred Compensation Plan Amended and Restated, effective January 1, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) |
| 10.20* | Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Kenneth W. Smith (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) |
| 10.21* | Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Timothy J. Heasley (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) |
| 10.22* | Change in Control Agreement between the Company and Paul M. Murray (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 24, 2009) |
| 10.23 | Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated July 24, 2009, among Gibraltar Industries, Inc., Gibraltar Steel Corporation of New York, as co-borrower, the lenders parties thereto, KeyBank National Association, as administrative agent, JPMorganChase Bank, N.A., as co-syndication agent, BMO Capital Markets Financing, Inc., as co-syndication agent, HSBC Bank USA, National Association, as co-syndication agent, and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, as co-documentation agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed August 30, 2010), as amended by Amendment No. 1 to the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement among Gibraltar Industries, Inc., Gibraltar Steel Corporation of New York, as co-borrower, and KeyBank National Association and the other lenders named therein, dated as of January 29, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed August 30, 2010) |
| 10.24* | Gibraltar Industries, Inc., 2005 Equity Incentive Plan Form of Award of Performance Units (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 23, 2009) |
| 10.25 | Asset Purchase Agreement among Gibraltar Industries, Inc., Gibraltar Steel Corporation of New York, a New York corporation, and Gibraltar Strip Steel, Inc., a Delaware corporation and Worthington Steel Company, LLC, an Ohio limited liability company, and The Worthington Steel Company Inc., an Ohio corporation dated January 29, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed February 2, 2010) |

| | |
|------|--|
| 21 | Subsidiaries of the Registrant |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of President and Chief Operating Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit |
|-------------------|---|
| 31.3 | Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Certification of President and Chief Operating Officer pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.3 | Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document** |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document** |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension CalculationLinkbase Document** |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document** |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document** |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document** |

* Document is a management contract or compensatory plan or agreement

** Submitted electronically with this Annual Report on Form 10-K