

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

Form 10-K

February 09, 2011

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010**

**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission File No. 1-31507  
WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)

94-3283464  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2295 Iron Point Road  
Suite 200  
Folsom, California  
(Address of principal executive offices)

95630  
(Zip Code)

(916) 608-8200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)  
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share  
(Title of each class)

New York Stock Exchange  
(Name of each exchange on which registered)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Edgar Filing: WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer       Accelerated filer       Non-accelerated filer       Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes       No

As of June 30, 2010, the aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing sales price for the registrant's common stock, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange, was \$2,682,761,147.

Number of shares of common stock outstanding as of January 21, 2011: 113,950,429

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <b>Item No.</b>  | <b>Page</b> |
|--|-------------|
| <b><u>PART I</u></b>   |             |
| <u>1. BUSINESS</u>   | 1           |
| <u>1A. RISK FACTORS</u>  | 15          |
| <u>1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>   | 23          |
| <u>2. PROPERTIES</u>   | 23          |
| <u>3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>  | 23          |
| <u>4. [Removed and Reserved]</u>   | 23          |
| <b><u>PART II</u></b>  |             |
| <u>5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u> | 24          |
| <u>6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>  | 26          |
| <u>7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>                        | 28          |
| <u>7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>  | 48          |
| <u>8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>  | 51          |
| <u>9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>                         | 97          |
| <u>9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>   | 97          |
| <u>9B. OTHER INFORMATION</u>   | 97          |
| <b><u>PART III</u></b>   |             |
| <u>10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>  | 98          |
| <u>11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>  | 98          |
| <u>12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>              | 98          |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <u>13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u> | 98  |
| <u>14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u>                                    | 98  |
| <u>PART IV</u>   |     |
| <u>15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>                                | 99  |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u>  | 100 |
| <u>SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS</u>                                 | 101 |
| <u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>   | 102 |
| <u>Exhibit 10.28</u>   |     |
| <u>Exhibit 10.29</u>   |     |
| <u>Exhibit 10.30</u>   |     |
| <u>Exhibit 12.1</u>  |     |
| <u>Exhibit 21.1</u>  |     |
| <u>Exhibit 23.1</u>  |     |
| <u>Exhibit 31.1</u>  |     |
| <u>Exhibit 31.2</u>  |     |
| <u>Exhibit 32.1</u>  |     |
| <u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>  |     |
| <u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>  |     |
| <u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>  |     |
| <u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>   |     |
| <u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>   |     |
| <u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>   |     |

**Table of Contents**

**PART I**

**ITEM 1. BUSINESS**

**Our Company**

Waste Connections, Inc. is an integrated solid waste services company that provides solid waste collection, transfer, disposal and recycling services in mostly secondary markets in the Western and Southern U.S. We also provide intermodal services for the rail haul movement of cargo and solid waste containers in the Pacific Northwest through a network of seven intermodal facilities. As of December 31, 2010, we serve approximately two million residential, commercial and industrial customers from a network of operations in 27 states: Alabama, Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington and Wyoming. As of December 31, 2010, we owned or operated a network of 135 solid waste collection operations, 54 transfer stations, seven intermodal facilities, 39 recycling operations, 44 active landfills, and one exploration and production waste treatment and disposal facility.

We are a leading provider of solid waste services in most of our markets. We have focused on secondary markets mostly in the Western and Southern U.S. because we believe that those areas offer:

- opportunities to enter into exclusive arrangements;
- more competitive barriers to entry;
- less competition from larger solid waste services companies;
- projected economic and population growth rates that will contribute to the growth of our business; and
- a number of independent solid waste services companies suitable for acquisition.

Our senior management team has extensive experience in operating, acquiring and integrating solid waste services businesses, and we intend to continue to focus our efforts on balancing internal and acquisition-based growth. We anticipate that a part of our future growth will come from acquiring additional solid waste collection, transfer and disposal businesses and, therefore, we expect that additional acquisitions could continue to affect period-to-period comparisons of our operating results.

Waste Connections, Inc. is a Delaware corporation organized in 1997.

**Our Operating Strategy**

Our operating strategy seeks to improve financial returns and deliver superior stockholder value creation within the solid waste industry. We seek to avoid highly competitive, large urban markets and instead target markets where we can provide non-integrated or integrated solid waste services under exclusive arrangements or where we can operate on an integrated basis while attaining high market share. The key components of our operating strategy, which are tailored to the competitive and regulatory factors that affect our markets, are as follows:

**Control the Waste Stream.** In markets where waste collection services are provided under exclusive arrangements, or where waste disposal is municipally funded or available at multiple municipal sources, we believe that controlling the waste stream by providing collection services is often more important to our profitability and growth than owning or operating landfills. In addition, contracts in some Western U.S. markets dictate the disposal facility to be used. The large size of many western states increases the cost of interstate and long haul disposal, heightening the effects of regulations that direct or otherwise restrict waste disposal, which may make it more difficult for a landfill to obtain the disposal volume necessary to operate profitably. In markets with these characteristics, we believe that landfill ownership or vertical integration is not as critical to our success.

**Provide Vertically Integrated Services.** In markets where we believe that owning landfills is a strategic element to a collection operation because of competitive and regulatory factors, we generally focus on providing integrated services, from collection through disposal of solid waste in landfills that we own or operate.

**Manage on a Decentralized Basis.** We manage our operations on a decentralized basis. This places decision-making authority close to the customer, enabling us to identify and address customers' needs quickly in a cost-effective manner. We believe that decentralization provides a low-overhead, highly efficient operational structure that allows us to expand into geographically contiguous markets and operate in relatively small communities that larger competitors may not find attractive. We believe that this structure gives us a strategic competitive advantage, given the relatively rural nature of much of the Western and Southern U.S., and makes us an attractive buyer to many potential acquisition

candidates.

## **Table of Contents**

We currently deliver our services from approximately 172 operating locations grouped into three regions: our Western Region is comprised of operating locations in California, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Washington and western Wyoming; our Central Region is comprised of operating locations in Colorado, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Utah and eastern Wyoming; and our Southern Region is comprised of operating locations in Alabama, Arizona, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Texas. We manage and evaluate our business on the basis of the regions' geographic characteristics, interstate waste flow, revenue base, employee base, regulatory structure and acquisition opportunities. Each region has a regional vice president and a regional controller, reporting directly to our corporate management. These regional officers are responsible for operations and accounting in their regions and supervise their regional staff. See Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements for further information on our segment reporting of our operations.

Each operating location has a district or site manager who has a high degree of decision-making authority for his or her operations and is responsible for maintaining service quality, promoting safety, implementing marketing programs and overseeing day-to-day operations, including contract administration. Local managers also help identify acquisition candidates and are responsible for integrating acquired businesses into our operations and obtaining the permits and other governmental approvals required for us to operate.

**Implement Operating Standards.** We develop company-wide operating standards, which are tailored for each of our markets based on industry norms and local conditions. We implement cost controls and employee training and safety procedures and establish a sales and marketing plan for each market. By internalizing the waste stream of acquired operations, we can further increase operating efficiencies and improve capital utilization. We use a wide-area information system network, implement financial controls and consolidate certain accounting, personnel and customer service functions. While regional and district management operate with a high degree of autonomy, our executive officers monitor regional and district operations and require adherence to our accounting, purchasing, marketing and internal control policies, particularly with respect to financial matters. Our executive officers regularly review the performance of regional officers, district managers and operations. We believe we can improve the profitability of existing and newly acquired operations by establishing operating standards, closely monitoring performance and streamlining certain administrative functions.

### **Our Growth Strategy**

We tailor the components of our growth strategy to the markets in which we operate and into which we hope to expand.

**Obtain Additional Exclusive Arrangements.** Our operations include market areas where we have exclusive arrangements, including franchise agreements, municipal contracts and governmental certificates, under which we are the exclusive service provider for a specified market. These exclusive rights and contractual arrangements create a barrier to entry that is usually obtained through the acquisition of a company with such exclusive rights or contractual arrangements or by winning a competitive bid.

We devote significant resources to securing additional franchise agreements and municipal contracts through competitive bidding and by acquiring other companies. In bidding for franchises and municipal contracts and evaluating acquisition candidates holding governmental certificates, our management team draws on its experience in the waste industry and knowledge of local service areas in existing and target markets. Our district management and sales and marketing personnel maintain relationships with local governmental officials within their service areas, maintain, renew and renegotiate existing franchise agreements and municipal contracts, and secure additional agreements and contracts while targeting acceptable financial returns. Our sales and marketing personnel also expand our presence into areas adjacent to or contiguous with our existing markets, and market additional services to existing customers. We believe our ability to offer comprehensive rail haul disposal services in the Pacific Northwest improves our competitive position in bidding for such contracts in that region.

**Generate Internal Growth.** To generate internal revenue growth, our district management and sales and marketing personnel focus on increasing market penetration in our current and adjacent markets, soliciting new residential, commercial and industrial customers in markets where such customers have the option to choose a particular waste collection service and marketing upgraded or additional services (such as compaction or automated collection) to



existing customers. We also focus on raising prices and instituting surcharges, when appropriate, to offset cost increases. Where possible, we intend to leverage our franchise-based platforms to expand our customer base beyond our exclusive market territories. As customers are added in existing markets, our revenue per routed truck increases, which generally increases our collection efficiencies and profitability. In markets in which we have exclusive contracts, franchises and certificates, we expect internal volume growth generally to track population and business growth.

**Table of Contents**

**Expand Through Acquisitions.** We intend to expand the scope of our operations by continuing to acquire solid waste companies in new markets and in existing or adjacent markets that are combined with or tucked in to our existing operations. We focus our acquisition efforts on markets that we believe provide significant growth opportunities for a well-capitalized market entrant and where we can create economic and operational barriers to entry by new competitors. This focus typically highlights markets in which we can either: (1) provide waste collection services under exclusive arrangements such as franchise agreements, municipal contracts and governmental certificates; or (2) gain a leading market position and provide vertically integrated collection and disposal services. We believe that our experienced management, decentralized operating strategy, financial strength, size and public company status make us an attractive buyer to certain solid waste collection and disposal acquisition candidates. We have developed an acquisition discipline based on a set of financial, market and management criteria to evaluate opportunities. Once an acquisition is closed, we seek to integrate it while minimizing disruption to our ongoing operations and those of the acquired business.

In new markets, we often use an initial acquisition as an operating base and seek to strengthen the acquired operation's presence in that market by providing additional services, adding new customers and making tuck-in acquisitions of other solid waste companies in that market or adjacent markets. We believe that many suitable tuck-in acquisition opportunities exist within our current and targeted market areas that may provide us with opportunities to increase our market share and route density.

The U.S. solid waste services industry experienced significant consolidation during the 1990s. The consolidation trend has continued, most notably with the merger between Republic Services, Inc. and Allied Waste Industries, Inc. in 2008 and the merger between IESI-BFC Ltd. and Waste Services, Inc. in 2010. The solid waste services industry remains regional in nature with acquisition opportunities available in selected markets. Some of the remaining independent landfill and collection operators lack the capital resources, management skills and/or technical expertise necessary to comply with stringent environmental and other governmental regulations and compete with larger, more efficient, integrated operators. In addition, many of the remaining independent operators may wish to sell their businesses to achieve liquidity in their personal finances or as part of their estate planning. Due to the prevalence of exclusive arrangements, we believe the Western markets contain the largest and most attractive number of acquisition opportunities.

During 2009, we completed the acquisition of 100% interests in certain operations from Republic Services, Inc. and some of its subsidiaries and affiliates ( Republic ) for an aggregate purchase price of \$377.1 million. The operations were divested as a result of Republic's merger with Allied Waste Industries, Inc. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we completed six other acquisitions, none of which individually or in the aggregate accounted for greater than 10% of our total assets. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we completed 19 acquisitions, none of which individually or in the aggregate accounted for greater than 10% of our total assets.

**SOLID WASTE SERVICES**

**Residential, Commercial and Industrial Collection Services**

We serve approximately two million residential, commercial and industrial customers from operations in 27 states. Our services are generally provided under one of the following arrangements: (1) governmental certificates; (2) exclusive franchise agreements; (3) exclusive municipal contracts; (4) residential subscriptions; (5) residential contracts; or (6) commercial and industrial service agreements.

Governmental certificates, exclusive franchise agreements and exclusive municipal contracts grant us rights to provide services within specified areas at established rates. Governmental certificates, or G Certificates, are unique to the State of Washington. The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, or the WUTC, awards G Certificates to solid waste collection service providers in unincorporated areas and electing municipalities. These certificates typically grant the holder the exclusive and perpetual right to provide specific residential, commercial and/or industrial waste services in a defined territory at specified rates subject to divestiture and/or cancellation by the WUTC on specified, limited grounds. Franchise agreements typically provide an exclusive period of seven years or longer for a specified territory. These arrangements specify a broad range of services to be provided, establish rates for the services and often give the service provider a right of first refusal to extend the term of the agreement. Municipal contracts typically provide a shorter service period and a more limited scope of services than franchise agreements and

generally require competitive bidding at the end of the contract term. We do not expect that the loss of any current contracts in negotiation for renewal or contracts likely to terminate in 2011 will have a material adverse effect on our revenues or cash flows. No single contract or customer accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues at the consolidated or reportable segment level for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010.

We provide residential solid waste services, other than those we perform under exclusive arrangements, under contracts with homeowners associations, apartment owners, mobile home park operators or on a subscription basis with individual households. We set base residential fees on a contract basis primarily based on route density, the frequency and level of service, the distance to the disposal or processing facility, weight and type of waste collected, type of equipment and containers furnished, the cost of disposal or processing and prices charged by competitors in that market for similar services. Collection fees are paid either by the municipalities from tax revenues or directly by the residents receiving the services. We provide 20- to 96-gallon carts to residential customers.

**Table of Contents**

We provide commercial and industrial services, other than those we perform under exclusive arrangements, under customer service agreements generally ranging from one to five years in duration. We determine fees under these agreements by such factors as collection frequency, level of service, route density, the type, volume and weight of the waste collected, type of equipment and containers furnished, the distance to the disposal or processing facility, the cost of disposal or processing and prices charged by competitors in our collection markets for similar services. Collection of larger volumes of commercial and industrial waste streams generally helps improve our operating efficiencies, and consolidation of these volumes allows us to negotiate more favorable disposal prices. We provide one- to ten-cubic yard containers to commercial customers and ten- to 50-cubic yard containers to industrial customers. For an additional fee, we install on the premises of large volume customers stationary compactors that compact waste prior to collection.

**Landfill Disposal Services**

We generally own solid waste landfills to achieve vertical integration in markets where the economic and regulatory environments make landfill ownership attractive. We also own landfills in certain markets where we do not provide collection services because we believe that the waste volume generated in these markets makes landfill ownership attractive. Where our operations are vertically integrated, we eliminate third-party disposal costs and generally realize higher margins and stronger operating cash flows. The fees charged at disposal facilities, which are known as tipping fees, are based on market factors and take into account the type and weight or volume of solid waste deposited and the type and size of the vehicles used to transport waste. Solid waste landfills over time generate a greenhouse gas, methane, which can be converted into a valuable source of clean energy. We deploy gas recovery systems to collect methane, which can then be used to generate electricity for local households, fuel local industrial power plants, power alternative fueled vehicles, or qualify for carbon emission credits.

Our landfill facilities consisted of the following at December 31, 2010:

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Owned and operated landfills                               | 35 |
| Operated landfills under limited-term operating agreements | 5  |
| Operated landfills under life-of-site agreements           | 4  |
|  | 44 |

We own landfills in California, Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas and Washington. In addition, we operate, but do not own, landfills in California, Mississippi, Nebraska and New Mexico. With the exception of two landfills located in Mississippi and Colorado, which only accept construction and demolition and other non-putrescible waste, all landfills that we own or operate are municipal solid waste landfills.

Under landfill operating agreements, the owner of the property, generally a municipality, usually owns the permit and we operate the landfill for a contracted term, which may be the life of the landfill. Where the contracted term is not the life of the landfill, the property owner is generally responsible for final capping, closure and post-closure obligations. We are responsible for all final capping, closure and post-closure obligations at three of our four operated landfills for which we have life-of-site agreements. Four of our five operating contracts for which the contracted term is less than the life of the landfill have expiration dates from 2013 to 2018, with the remaining contract operated on a month-to-month basis. For all other operated landfills under limited-term operating agreements, we intend to seek renewal of these contracts prior to, or upon, their expiration.

Based on remaining permitted capacity as of December 31, 2010, and projected annual disposal volumes, the average remaining landfill life for our owned and operated landfills and landfills operated, but not owned, under life-of-site agreements, is estimated to be approximately 40 years. Many of our existing landfills have the potential for expanded disposal capacity beyond the amount currently permitted. We regularly consider whether it is advisable, in light of changing market conditions and/or regulatory requirements, to seek to expand or change the permitted waste streams or to seek other permit modifications. We also monitor the available permitted in-place disposal capacity of our landfills on an ongoing basis and evaluate whether to seek capacity expansion. In making this evaluation, we consider

various factors, including the following:

whether the land where the expansion is being sought is contiguous to the current disposal site, and we either own the expansion property or have rights to it under an option, purchase, operating or other similar agreement;

whether total development costs, final capping costs, and closure/post-closure costs have been determined;

**Table of Contents**

whether internal personnel have performed a financial analysis of the proposed expansion site and have determined that it has a positive financial and operational impact;  
 whether internal personnel or external consultants are actively working to obtain the necessary approvals to obtain the landfill expansion permit; and  
 whether we consider it probable that we will achieve the expansion (for a pursued expansion to be considered probable, there must be no significant known technical, legal, community, business or political restrictions or similar issues existing that we believe are more likely than not to impair the success of the expansion).

We are currently seeking to expand permitted capacity at eight of our landfills for which we consider expansions to be probable. Although we cannot be certain that all future expansions will be permitted as designed, the average remaining landfill life for our owned and operated landfills and landfills operated, but not owned, under life-of-site agreements is estimated to be approximately 50 years when considering remaining permitted capacity, probable expansion capacity and projected annual disposal volume.

The following table reflects estimated landfill capacity and airspace changes, as measured in tons, for owned and operated landfills and landfills operated, but not owned, under life-of-site agreements (in thousands):

|                                  | 2009      |                       |          | 2010      |                       |          |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------|----------|
|                                  | Permitted | Probable<br>Expansion | Total    | Permitted | Probable<br>Expansion | Total    |
| Balance, beginning of year       | 402,446   | 36,858                | 439,304  | 526,088   | 119,227               | 645,315  |
| Acquired landfills               | 132,892   | 82,703                | 215,595  | 21,710    |                       | 21,710   |
| Permits granted                  |           |                       |          | 5,426     | (5,426)               |          |
| Airspace consumed                | (11,005)  |                       | (11,005) | (13,255)  |                       | (13,255) |
| Pursued expansions               |           |                       |          |           |                       |          |
| Changes in engineering estimates | 1,755     | (334)                 | 1,421    | (8,064)   | 19,523                | 11,459   |
| Balance, end of year             | 526,088   | 119,227               | 645,315  | 531,905   | 133,324               | 665,229  |

The estimated remaining operating lives for the landfills we own and landfills we operate under life-of-site agreements, based on remaining permitted and probable expansion capacity and projected annual disposal volume, in years, as of December 31, 2009, and December 31, 2010, are shown in the tables below. The estimated remaining operating lives include assumptions that the operating permits are renewed.

|  | 2009   |         |          |          |          |     |       |
|--|--------|---------|----------|----------|----------|-----|-------|
|  | 0 to 5 | 6 to 10 | 11 to 20 | 21 to 40 | 41 to 50 | 51+ | Total |
| Owned and operated landfills                     | 1      | 1       | 5        | 8        | 4        | 15  | 34    |
| Operated landfills under life-of-site agreements |        |         |          | 3        | 1        |     | 4     |
|  | 1      | 1       | 5        | 11       | 5        | 15  | 38    |
|  | 2010   |         |          |          |          |     |       |
|  | 0 to 5 | 6 to 10 | 11 to 20 | 21 to 40 | 41 to 50 | 51+ | Total |
| Owned and operated landfills                     | 2      | 1       | 4        | 7        | 3        | 18  | 35    |
|  |        |         |          | 3        |          | 1   | 4     |

Operated landfills under  
life-of-site agreements

2 1 4 10 3 19 39

5

---

**Table of Contents**

The disposal tonnage that we received in 2009 and 2010 at all of our landfills is shown in the tables below (tons in thousands):

|  | Three months ended |            |                 |            |                    |            |                   |            | Twelve months ended December 31, 2009 |
|--|--------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
|  | March 31, 2009     |            | June 30, 2009   |            | September 30, 2009 |            | December 31, 2009 |            |                                       |
|  | Number of Sites    | Total Tons | Number of Sites | Total Tons | Number of Sites    | Total Tons | Number of Sites   | Total Tons |                                       |
| Owned landfills and landfills operated under life-of-site agreements | 31                 | 1,974      | 38              | 2,978      | 38                 | 3,044      | 38                | 3,009      | 11,005                                |
| Operated landfills   | 6                  | 183        | 6               | 195        | 6                  | 139        | 5                 | 125        | 642                                   |
|  | 37                 | 2,157      | 44              | 3,173      | 44                 | 3,183      | 43                | 3,134      | 11,647                                |

|  | Three months ended |            |                 |            |                    |            |                   |            | Twelve months ended December 31, 2010 |
|--|--------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
|  | March 31, 2010     |            | June 30, 2010   |            | September 30, 2010 |            | December 31, 2010 |            |                                       |
|  | Number of Sites    | Total Tons | Number of Sites | Total Tons | Number of Sites    | Total Tons | Number of Sites   | Total Tons |                                       |
| Owned landfills and landfills operated under life-of-site agreements | 38                 | 2,853      | 38              | 3,324      | 39                 | 3,775      | 39                | 3,303      | 13,255                                |
| Operated landfills   | 5                  | 122        | 5               | 137        | 5                  | 136        | 5                 | 128        | 523                                   |
|  | 43                 | 2,975      | 43              | 3,461      | 44                 | 3,911      | 44                | 3,431      | 13,778                                |

In 2010, we renewed an operating agreement at one of our landfills which resulted in a term equal to the remaining life of the site. As a result, this landfill previously classified as operated is currently operated under a life-of-site agreement. We have restated all information above to reflect this.

**Transfer Station Services**

We have an active program to acquire, develop, own and operate transfer stations in markets proximate to our collection operations. Transfer stations receive, compact and load solid waste to be transported to landfills via truck, rail or barge. Transfer stations extend our direct-haul reach and link collection operations with distant disposal facilities. We owned or operated 54 transfer stations at December 31, 2010. Currently, we own transfer stations in California, Colorado, Kansas, Kentucky, Montana, Nebraska, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas and Washington. In addition, we operate, but do not own, transfer stations in Idaho, Kentucky, Nebraska, Tennessee, Washington and Wyoming. We believe that transfer stations benefit us by:

- concentrating the waste stream from a wider area, which increases the volume of disposal at our landfill facilities and gives us greater leverage in negotiating more favorable disposal rates at other landfills;
- improving utilization of collection personnel and equipment; and
- building relationships with municipalities and private operators that deliver waste, which can lead to additional growth opportunities.

**Recycling Services**



We offer residential, commercial, industrial and municipal customers recycling services for a variety of recyclable materials, including cardboard, office paper, plastic containers, glass bottles and ferrous and aluminum metals. In addition, we have partnered with RecycleBank to introduce a customer loyalty and rewards program in certain markets to encourage customers to either recycle for the first time or increase their current recycling efforts. We own or operate 39 recycling processing operations and sell other collected recyclable materials to third parties for processing before resale. The majority of the recyclables we process for sale are paper products and are shipped to customers in Asia. Changes in end market demand can cause fluctuations in the prices for such commodities, which can affect revenue, operating income and cash flows. Certain of our municipal recycling contracts in Washington specify certain benchmark resale prices for recycled commodities. To the extent the prices we actually receive for the processed recycled commodities collected under those contracts exceed the prices specified in the contracts, we share the excess with the municipality, after recovering any previous shortfalls resulting from actual market prices falling below the prices specified in the contracts. To reduce our exposure to commodity price volatility and risk with respect to recycled materials, we have adopted a pricing strategy of charging collection and processing fees for recycling volume collected from third parties. We believe that recycling will continue to be an important component of local and state solid waste management plans due to the public's increasing environmental awareness and expanding regulations that mandate or encourage recycling.

**Table of Contents**

**EXPLORATION AND PRODUCTION WASTE TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL SERVICES**

We treat and dispose of non-hazardous waste that is generated in the exploration and production, or E&P, of oil and natural gas primarily at a facility in Southwest Louisiana. E&P waste streams accepted at this permitted location include: saltwater, which is injected into on-site disposal wells; recovered hydrocarbons, which are sold for re-use; and soil, which is treated to remove hydrocarbons, salts, dissolved solids and heavy metals and then tested to ensure regulatory compliance. In addition, this facility accepts non-hazardous industrial wastes from local refineries and petrochemical plants. We also accept E&P waste soils and other hydrocarbon-contaminated soils at our solid waste landfills.

**INTERMODAL SERVICES**

Intermodal logistics is the movement of containers using two or more modes of transportation, usually including a rail or truck segment. We entered the intermodal services business in the Pacific Northwest through the acquisition of Northwest Container Services, Inc., which provides repositioning, storage, maintenance and repair of cargo containers for international shipping companies. We provide these services for containerized cargo primarily to international shipping companies importing and exporting goods through the Pacific Northwest. We also operate two intermodal facilities primarily for the shipment of waste by rail to distant disposal facilities that we do not own. As of December 31, 2010, we owned or operated seven intermodal operations in Washington and Oregon. Our fleet of double-stack railcars provides dedicated direct-line haul services among terminals in Portland, Tacoma and Seattle. We have contracts with the Burlington Northern Santa Fe and Union Pacific railroads for the movement of containers among our seven intermodal operations. We also provide our customers container and chassis sales and leasing services.

We intend to further expand our intermodal business through cross-selling efforts with our solid waste services operations. We believe that a significant amount of solid waste is transported currently by truck, rail and barge from primarily the Seattle-Tacoma and Metro Portland areas to remote landfills in Eastern Washington and Eastern Oregon. We believe our ability to market both intermodal and disposal services will enable us to more effectively compete for these volumes.

**COMPETITION**

The U.S. solid waste services industry is highly competitive and requires substantial labor and capital resources. In addition to us, the industry includes: two national, publicly-held solid waste companies – Waste Management, Inc. and Republic Services, Inc.; several regional, publicly-held and privately-owned companies; and several thousand small, local, privately-owned companies. Certain of the markets in which we compete or will likely compete are served by one or more large, national solid waste companies, as well as by numerous regional and local solid waste companies of varying sizes and resources, some of which we believe have accumulated substantial goodwill in their markets. We also compete with operators of alternative disposal facilities, including incinerators, and with counties, municipalities and solid waste districts that maintain their own waste collection and disposal operations. Public sector operators may have financial advantages over us because of their access to user fees and similar charges, tax revenues and tax-exempt financing.

We compete for collection, transfer and disposal volume based primarily on the price and, to a lesser extent, quality of our services. From time to time, competitors may reduce the price of their services in an effort to expand their market shares or service areas or to win competitively bid municipal contracts. These practices may cause us to reduce the price of our services or, if we elect not to do so, to lose business. We provide a significant amount of our residential, commercial and industrial collection services under exclusive franchise and municipal contracts and G Certificates. Exclusive franchises and municipal contracts may be subject to periodic competitive bidding.

The U.S. solid waste services industry has undergone significant consolidation, and we encounter competition in our efforts to acquire collection operations, transfer stations and landfills. We generally compete for acquisition candidates with publicly-owned regional and national waste management companies. Accordingly, it may become uneconomical for us to make further acquisitions or we may be unable to locate or acquire suitable acquisition candidates at price levels and on terms and conditions that we consider appropriate, particularly in markets we do not already serve. Competition in the disposal industry is also affected by the increasing national emphasis on recycling and other waste reduction programs, which may reduce the volume of waste deposited in landfills.

The intermodal services industry is also highly competitive. We compete against other intermodal rail services companies, trucking companies and railroads, many of which have greater financial and other resources than we do. Competition is based primarily on price, reliability and quality of service.

**Table of Contents**

**REGULATION**

**Introduction**

Our operations, including landfills, solid waste transportation, transfer stations, intermodal operations, vehicle maintenance shops and fueling facilities are all subject to extensive and evolving federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations, the enforcement of which has become increasingly stringent. The environmental regulations that affect us are administered by the Environmental Protection Agency, or the EPA, and other federal, state and local environmental, zoning, health and safety agencies. The WUTC regulates the portion of our collection business in Washington performed under G Certificates. We currently comply in all material respects with applicable federal, state and local environmental laws, permits, orders and regulations. In addition, we attempt to anticipate future regulatory requirements and plan in advance as necessary to comply with them. We do not presently anticipate incurring any material costs to bring our operations into environmental compliance with existing or expected future regulatory requirements, although we can give no assurance that this will not change in the future.

Major federal, state and local statutes and regulations that apply to our operations are described generally below. Certain of the statutes described below contain provisions that authorize, under certain circumstances, lawsuits by private citizens to enforce the provisions of the statutes. In addition to penalties, some of those statutes authorize an award of attorneys' fees to parties that successfully bring such an action. Enforcement actions under these statutes may include both civil and criminal penalties, as well as injunctive relief in some instances.

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976, or RCRA

RCRA regulates the generation, treatment, storage, handling, transportation and disposal of solid waste and requires states to develop programs to ensure the safe disposal of solid waste. RCRA divides solid waste into two groups, hazardous and nonhazardous. Wastes are generally classified as hazardous if they either: (1) are specifically included on a list of hazardous wastes; or (2) exhibit certain characteristics defined as hazardous. Household wastes are specifically designated as nonhazardous. Wastes classified as hazardous under RCRA are subject to much stricter regulation than wastes classified as nonhazardous, and businesses that deal with hazardous waste are subject to regulatory obligations in addition to those imposed on handlers of nonhazardous waste. From time to time, our intermodal services business transports hazardous materials in compliance with federal transportation requirements. Some of our ancillary operations, such as vehicle maintenance operations, may generate hazardous wastes. We manage these wastes in substantial compliance with applicable laws.

In October 1991, the EPA adopted the Subtitle D Regulations governing solid waste landfills. The Subtitle D Regulations, which generally became effective in October 1993, include location restrictions, facility design standards, operating criteria, closure and post-closure requirements, financial assurance requirements, groundwater monitoring requirements, groundwater remediation standards and corrective action requirements. In addition, the Subtitle D Regulations require that new landfill sites meet more stringent liner design criteria (typically, composite soil and synthetic liners or two or more synthetic liners) intended to keep leachate out of groundwater and have extensive collection systems to carry away leachate for treatment prior to disposal. Groundwater monitoring wells must also be installed at virtually all landfills to monitor groundwater quality and, indirectly, the effectiveness of the leachate collection system. The Subtitle D Regulations also require, where certain regulatory thresholds are exceeded, that facility owners or operators control emissions of methane gas generated at landfills in a manner intended to protect human health and the environment. Each state is required to revise its landfill regulations to meet these requirements or such requirements will be automatically imposed by the EPA on landfill owners and operators in that state. Each state is also required to adopt and implement a permit program or other appropriate system to ensure that landfills in the state comply with the Subtitle D Regulations. Various states in which we operate or may operate in the future have adopted regulations or programs as stringent as, or more stringent than, the Subtitle D Regulations.

RCRA also regulates underground storage of petroleum and other regulated materials. RCRA requires registration, compliance with technical standards for tanks, release detection and reporting, and corrective action, among other things. Certain of our facilities and operations are subject to these requirements.

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972, or the Clean Water Act

The Clean Water Act regulates the discharge of pollutants from a variety of sources, including solid waste disposal sites and transfer stations, into waters of the United States. If run-off from our owned or operated transfer stations or

run-off or collected leachate from our owned or operated landfills is discharged into streams, rivers or other surface waters, the Clean Water Act would require us to apply for and obtain a discharge permit, conduct sampling and monitoring and, under certain circumstances, reduce the quantity of pollutants in such discharge. Also, virtually all landfills are required to comply with the EPA's storm water regulations issued in November 1990, which are designed to prevent contaminated landfill storm water run-off from flowing into surface waters. We believe that our facilities comply in all material respects with the Clean Water Act requirements. Various states in which we operate or may operate in the future have been delegated authority to implement the Clean Water Act permitting requirements, and some of these states have adopted regulations that are more stringent than the federal Clean Water Act requirements. For example, states often require permits for discharges that may impact ground water as well as surface water.

## **Table of Contents**

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, or CERCLA established a regulatory and remedial program intended to provide for the investigation and cleanup of facilities where or from which a release of any hazardous substance into the environment has occurred or is threatened. CERCLA's primary mechanism for remedying such problems is to impose strict joint and several liability for cleanup of facilities on current owners and operators of the site, former owners and operators of the site at the time of the disposal of the hazardous substances, any person who arranges for the transportation, disposal or treatment of the hazardous substances, and the transporters who select the disposal and treatment facilities, regardless of the care exercised by such persons. CERCLA also imposes liability for the cost of evaluating and remedying any damage to natural resources. The costs of CERCLA investigation and cleanup can be very substantial. Liability under CERCLA does not depend on the existence or disposal of hazardous waste as defined by RCRA; it can also be based on the release of even very small amounts of the more than 700 hazardous substances listed by the EPA, many of which can be found in household waste. In addition, the definition of hazardous substances in CERCLA incorporates substances designated as hazardous or toxic under the federal Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act and Toxic Substances Control Act. If we were found to be a responsible party for a CERCLA cleanup, the enforcing agency could hold us, or any other generator, transporter or the owner or operator of the contaminated facility, responsible for all investigative and remedial costs, even if others were also liable. CERCLA also authorizes the imposition of a lien in favor of the United States on all real property subject to, or affected by, a remedial action for all costs for which a party is liable. Subject to certain procedural restrictions, CERCLA gives a responsible party the right to bring a contribution action against other responsible parties for their allocable shares of investigative and remedial costs. Our ability to obtain reimbursement from others for their allocable shares of such costs would be limited by our ability to find other responsible parties and prove the extent of their responsibility, their financial resources, and other procedural requirements. Various state laws also impose strict joint and several liability for investigation, cleanup and other damages associated with hazardous substance releases.

### **The Clean Air Act**

The Clean Air Act generally, through state implementation of federal requirements, regulates emissions of air pollutants from certain landfills based on factors such as the date of the landfill construction and tons per year of emissions of regulated pollutants. Larger landfills and landfills located in areas where the ambient air does not meet certain requirements of the Clean Air Act may be subject to even more extensive air pollution controls and emission limitations. In addition, the EPA has issued standards regulating the disposal of asbestos-containing materials. Air permits may be required to construct gas collection and flaring systems and composting operations, and operating permits may be required, depending on the potential air emissions. State air regulatory programs may implement the federal requirements but may impose additional restrictions. For example, some state air programs uniquely regulate odor and the emission of toxic air pollutants.

### **Climate Change Laws and Regulations**

On September 27, 2006, California enacted AB 32, the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, which established the first statewide program in the United States to limit greenhouse gas, or GHG, emissions and impose penalties for non-compliance. Because landfill and collection operations emit GHGs, our operations in California are subject to regulations issued under AB 32. The California Air Resources Board, or CARB, has taken, and plans to take, various actions to implement AB 32, including the approval in December 2008 of an AB 32 Scoping Plan summarizing the main GHG-reduction strategies for California. CARB approved a landfill methane control measure, which became effective in June 2010, and approved in December 2010 a GHG cap-and-trade program, which is scheduled to take effect in 2012.

State climate change laws could also affect our non-California operations. For example, the Western Climate Initiative, which currently includes seven states and four Canadian provinces, has developed GHG reduction strategies, among them a GHG cap-and-trade program.

The EPA's regulations under the Clean Air Act, or CAA, may also impact our operations. In 2009, the EPA made an endangerment finding allowing GHGs to be regulated under the CAA. The CAA requires stationary sources of air pollution to obtain New Source Review, or NSR, permits prior to construction and, in some cases, Title V operating permits. Pursuant to the EPA's rulemakings and interpretations, certain Title V and NSR Prevention of Significant

Deterioration, or PSD, permits issued on or after January 2, 2011, must address GHG emissions. As a result, new or modified landfills may be required to install Best Available Control Technology to limit GHG emissions. The EPA may in the future promulgate CAA New Source Performance Standards applicable to landfills.

## **Table of Contents**

These statutes and regulations increase the costs of our operations, and future climate change statutes and regulations may have an impact as well. If we are unable to pass such higher costs through to our customers, our business, financial condition and operating results could be adversely affected.

**The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, or the OSH Act**

The OSH Act is administered by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration, or OSHA, and many state agencies whose programs have been approved by OSHA. The OSH Act establishes employer responsibilities for worker health and safety, including the obligation to maintain a workplace free of recognized hazards likely to cause death or serious injury, comply with adopted worker protection standards, maintain certain records, provide workers with required disclosures and implement certain health and safety training programs. Various OSHA standards may apply to our operations, including standards concerning notices of hazards, safety in excavation and demolition work, the handling of asbestos and asbestos-containing materials and worker training and emergency response programs.

**Flow Control/Interstate Waste Restrictions**

Certain permits and approvals and state and local regulations may limit a landfill's or transfer station's ability to accept waste that originates from specified geographic areas, import out-of-state waste or wastes originating outside the local jurisdictions or otherwise discriminate against non-local waste. These restrictions, generally known as flow control restrictions, are controversial, and some courts have held that some state and local flow control schemes violate constitutional limits on state or local regulation of interstate commerce, while other state and local flow control schemes do not. Certain state and local jurisdictions may seek to enforce flow control restrictions through local legislation or contractually. These actions could limit or prohibit the importation of out-of-state waste or direct that wastes be handled at specified facilities. Such actions could adversely affect our transfer stations and landfills. These restrictions could also result in higher disposal costs for our collection operations. If we were unable to pass such higher costs through to our customers, our business, financial condition and operating results could be adversely affected.

**State and Local Regulations**

Each state in which we now operate or may operate in the future has laws and regulations governing the generation, storage, treatment, handling, transportation and disposal of solid waste, occupational safety and health, water and air pollution and, in most cases, the siting, design, operation, maintenance, closure and post-closure maintenance of landfills and transfer stations. State and local permits and approval for these operations may be required and may be subject to periodic renewal, modification or revocation by the issuing agencies. In addition, many states have adopted statutes comparable to, and in some cases more stringent than, CERCLA. These statutes impose requirements for investigation and cleanup of contaminated sites and liability for costs and damages associated with such sites, and some provide for the imposition of liens on property owned by responsible parties.

Many municipalities also have enacted or could enact ordinances, local laws and regulations affecting our operations. These include zoning and health measures that limit solid waste management activities to specified sites or activities, flow control provisions that direct or restrict the delivery of solid wastes to specific facilities, laws that grant the right to establish franchises for collection services and bidding for such franchises, and bans or other restrictions on the movement of solid wastes into a municipality.

Various jurisdictions have enacted fitness regulations which allow agencies with authority over waste service contracts or permits to deny or revoke such contracts or permits based on the compliance history of the provider. Some jurisdictions also consider the compliance history of the parent, subsidiaries, or affiliated companies of the provider in making these decisions.

Permits or other land use approvals with respect to a landfill, as well as state or local laws and regulations, may specify the quantity of waste that may be accepted at the landfill during a given time period and/or the types of waste that may be accepted at the landfill. Once an operating permit for a landfill is obtained, it generally must be renewed periodically.

There has been an increasing trend at the state and local level to mandate and encourage waste reduction at the source and waste recycling, and to prohibit or restrict the disposal in landfills of certain types of solid wastes, such as yard wastes, leaves, tires, computers and other electronic equipment waste, and painted wood and other construction and demolition debris. The enactment of regulations reducing the volume and types of wastes available for transport to



and disposal in landfills could prevent us from operating our facilities at their full capacity.

**Table of Contents**

Some state and local authorities enforce certain federal requirements in addition to state and local laws and regulations. For example, in some states, local or state authorities enforce requirements of RCRA, the OSH Act and parts of the Clean Air Act and the Clean Water Act instead of the EPA or OSHA, as applicable, and in some states such laws are enforced jointly by state or local and federal authorities.

**Public Utility Regulation**

In some states, public authorities regulate the rates that landfill operators may charge. The adoption of rate regulation or the reduction of current rates in states in which we own or operate landfills could adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

Solid waste collection services in all unincorporated areas of Washington and in electing municipalities in Washington are provided under G Certificates awarded by the WUTC. In association with the regulation of solid waste collection service levels in these areas, the WUTC also reviews and approves rates for regulated solid waste collection and transportation service.

**RISK MANAGEMENT, INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL SURETY BONDS**

**Risk Management**

We maintain environmental and other risk management programs that we believe are appropriate for our business. Our environmental risk management program includes evaluating existing facilities and potential acquisitions for environmental law compliance. We do not presently expect environmental compliance costs to increase materially above current levels, but we cannot predict whether future acquisitions will cause such costs to increase. We also maintain a worker safety program that encourages safe practices in the workplace. Operating practices at our operations emphasize minimizing the possibility of environmental contamination and litigation. Our facilities comply in all material respects with applicable federal and state regulations.

**Insurance**

We have a high deductible insurance program for automobile liability, property, general liability, workers compensation, employer's liability claims, employee group health insurance and employment practices liability. Our loss exposure for insurance claims is generally limited to per incident deductibles. Losses in excess of deductible levels are insured subject to policy limits. Under our current insurance program, we carry per incident deductibles of \$2 million for automobile liability claims, \$1.5 million for workers' compensation and employer's liability claims, \$1 million (\$2 million aggregate) for general liability claims, \$250,000 for employee group health insurance and employment practices liability, and primarily \$25,000 for property claims. Additionally, we have umbrella policies with third-party insurance companies for automobile liability, general liability and employer's liability that will pay, during the policy term, up to \$50 million per incident in excess of the \$5 million limit for automobile claims and in excess of the \$1.5 million deductible for employer's liability claims and will pay up to an aggregate of \$50 million in excess of the \$2 million aggregate limit for general liability claims. Since workers' compensation is a statutory coverage limited by the various state jurisdictions, the umbrella coverage is not applicable. Also, our umbrella policy does not cover property claims, as the insurance limits for these claims are in accordance with the replacement values of the insured property. From time to time, actions filed against us include claims for punitive damages, which are generally excluded from coverage under all of our liability insurance policies.

We carry environmental protection insurance with coverage of \$20 million per claim and a \$20 million aggregate limit. There is a \$250,000 per incident deductible. This insurance policy covers all owned or operated landfills, certain transfer stations and other facilities. Subject to policy terms, insurance coverage is guaranteed for acquired and newly-constructed facilities, but each addition to the policy is underwritten on a site-specific basis and the premium is set according to the conditions found at the site. Our policy provides insurance for new pollution conditions that originate after the commencement of our coverage. Pollution conditions existing prior to the commencement of our coverage, if found, could be excluded from coverage.

**Table of Contents**

**Financial Surety Bonds**

We use financial surety bonds for a variety of corporate guarantees. The financial surety bonds are primarily used for guaranteeing municipal contract performance and providing financial assurances to meet final capping, landfill closure and post-closure obligations as required under certain environmental regulations. In addition to surety bonds, such guarantees and obligations may also be met through alternative financial assurance instruments, including insurance, letters of credit and restricted asset deposits. At December 31, 2009 and 2010, we had provided customers and various regulatory authorities with surety bonds in the aggregate amount of approximately \$182.5 million and \$190.6 million, respectively, to secure our landfill final capping, closure and post-closure requirements and \$90.6 million and \$95.1 million, respectively, to secure performance under collection contracts and landfill operating agreements.

We own a 9.9% interest in a company that, among other activities, issues financial surety bonds to secure final capping, landfill closure and post-closure obligations for companies operating in the solid waste sector, including a portion of our own.

**EMPLOYEES**

At December 31, 2010, we employed 5,510 full-time employees, of which 669, or approximately 12.1% of our workforce, were employed under collective bargaining agreements, primarily with the Teamsters Union. These employees are subject to labor agreements that are renegotiated periodically. We have two collective bargaining agreements covering 84 employees that are already expired or are set to expire during 2011. We do not expect any significant disruption in our overall business in 2011 as a result of labor negotiations, employee strikes or organizational efforts.

**SEASONALITY**

We expect our operating results to vary seasonally, with revenues typically lowest in the first quarter, higher in the second and third quarters and lower in the fourth quarter than in the second and third quarters. This seasonality reflects the lower volume of solid waste generated during the late fall, winter and early spring because of decreased construction and demolition activities during winter months in the U.S. We expect the fluctuation in our revenues between our highest and lowest quarters to be approximately 7% to 10%. In addition, some of our operating costs may be higher in the winter months. Adverse winter weather conditions slow waste collection activities, resulting in higher labor and operational costs. Greater precipitation in the winter increases the weight of collected waste, resulting in higher disposal costs, which are calculated on a per ton basis.

**Table of Contents****EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT**

The following table sets forth certain information concerning our executive officers and key employee as of February 9, 2011:

| <b>NAME</b>                           | <b>AGE</b> | <b>POSITIONS</b>                                     |
|---------------------------------------|------------|--|
| Ronald J. Mittelstaedt <sup>(1)</sup> | 47         | Chief Executive Officer and Chairman                 |
| Steven F. Bouck                       | 53         | President  |
| Darrell W. Chambliss                  | 46         | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer |
| Worthing F. Jackman                   | 46         | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |
| David G. Eddie                        | 41         | Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer   |
| David M. Hall                         | 53         | Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing            |
| James M. Little                       | 49         | Senior Vice President Engineering and Disposal       |
| Eric M. Merrill                       | 58         | Senior Vice President People, Safety and Development |
| Eric O. Hansen                        | 45         | Vice President Chief Information Officer             |
| Jerri L. Hunt <sup>(2)</sup>          | 59         | Vice President Employee Relations                    |
| Scott I. Schreiber                    | 54         | Vice President Disposal Operations                   |
| Patrick J. Shea                       | 40         | Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary        |
| Gregory Thibodeaux                    | 44         | Vice President Maintenance and Fleet Management      |
| Richard K. Wojahn                     | 53         | Vice President Business Development                  |

(1) Member of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors.

(2) Key employee.

Ronald J. Mittelstaedt has been Chief Executive Officer and a director of Waste Connections since the company was formed, and was elected Chairman in January 1998. Mr. Mittelstaedt also served as President from Waste Connections formation through August 2004. Mr. Mittelstaedt has more than 22 years of experience in the solid waste industry. Mr. Mittelstaedt holds a B.A. degree in Business Economics with a finance emphasis from the University of California at Santa Barbara.

Steven F. Bouck has been President of Waste Connections since September 1, 2004. From February 1998 to that date, Mr. Bouck served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Bouck held various positions with First Analysis Corporation from 1986 to 1998, focusing on financial services to the environmental industry. Mr. Bouck holds B.S. and M.S. degrees in Mechanical Engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, and an M.B.A. in Finance from the Wharton School.

Darrell W. Chambliss has been Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of Waste Connections since October 2003. From October 1, 1997, to that date, Mr. Chambliss served as Executive Vice President Operations. Mr. Chambliss has more than 21 years of experience in the solid waste industry. Mr. Chambliss holds a B.S. degree in Business Administration from the University of Arkansas.

Worthing F. Jackman has been Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Waste Connections since September 1, 2004. From April 2003 to that date, Mr. Jackman served as Vice President Finance and Investor Relations. Mr. Jackman held various investment banking positions with Alex. Brown & Sons, now Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., from 1991 through 2003, including most recently as a Managing Director within the Global Industrial & Environmental Services Group. In that capacity, he provided capital markets and strategic advisory services to companies in a variety of sectors, including solid waste services. Mr. Jackman serves as a director for Quanta Services, Inc. He holds a B.S. degree in Finance from Syracuse University and an M.B.A. from the Harvard Business School.

David G. Eddie has been Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of Waste Connections since January 2011. From February 2010 to that date, Mr. Eddie served as Vice President Chief Accounting Officer. From March 2004 to February 2010, Mr. Eddie served as Vice President Corporate Controller. From April 2003 to February 2004, Mr. Eddie served as Vice President Public Reporting and Compliance. From May 2001 to

March 2003, Mr. Eddie served as Director of Finance. Mr. Eddie served as Corporate Controller for International Fibercom, Inc. from April 2000 to May 2001. From September 1999 to April 2000, Mr. Eddie served as Waste Connections Manager of Financial Reporting. From September 1994 to September 1999, Mr. Eddie held various positions, including Audit Manager, for PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Mr. Eddie is a Certified Public Accountant and holds a B.S. degree in Accounting from California State University, Sacramento.

David M. Hall has been Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing of Waste Connections since October 2005. From August 1998 to that date, Mr. Hall served as Vice President Business Development. Mr. Hall has more than 23 years of experience in the solid waste industry with extensive operating and marketing experience in the Western U.S. Mr. Hall received a B.S. degree in Management and Marketing from Missouri State University.

**Table of Contents**

James M. Little has been Senior Vice President – Engineering and Disposal of Waste Connections since February 2009. From September 1999 to that date, Mr. Little served as Vice President – Engineering. Mr. Little held various management positions with Waste Management, Inc. (formerly USA Waste Services, Inc., which acquired Waste Management, Inc. and Chambers Development Co. Inc.) from April 1990 to September 1999, including Regional Environmental Manager and Regional Landfill Manager, and most recently Division Manager in Ohio, where he was responsible for the operations of ten operating companies in the Northern Ohio area. Mr. Little is a certified professional geologist and holds a B.S. degree in Geology from Slippery Rock University.

Eric M. Merrill has been Senior Vice President – People, Safety and Development of Waste Connections since January 2009. From June 2007 to that date, Mr. Merrill served as Senior Vice President – People, Training and Development. Mr. Merrill joined us in 1998 and since 2000 had served as Regional Vice President – Pacific Northwest Region. Mr. Merrill has over 22 years of experience in the solid waste industry. He holds a B.S. degree in Accounting from the University of Oregon.

Eric O. Hansen has been Vice President – Chief Information Officer of Waste Connections since July 2004. From January 2001 to that date, Mr. Hansen served as Vice President – Information Technology. From April 1998 to December 2000, Mr. Hansen served as Director of Management Information Systems. Mr. Hansen holds a B.S. degree from Portland State University.

Jerri L. Hunt has been Vice President – Employee Relations of Waste Connections since June 2007. Ms. Hunt previously served as Vice President – Human Resources from May 2002 to June 2007, and as Vice President – Human Resources and Risk Management from December 1999 to April 2002. From 1994 to 1999, Ms. Hunt held various positions with First Union National Bank (including the Money Store, which was acquired by First Union National Bank), most recently Vice President of Human Resources. From 1989 to 1994, Ms. Hunt served as Manager of Human Resources and Risk Management for Browning-Ferris Industries, Inc. Ms. Hunt also served as a Human Resources Supervisor for United Parcel Service from 1976 to 1989. She holds a B.S. degree from California State University, Sacramento, and a Master’s degree in Human Resources from Golden Gate University.

Scott I. Schreiber has been Vice President – Disposal Operations of Waste Connections since February 2009. From October 1998 to that date, Mr. Schreiber served as Director of Landfill Operations. Mr. Schreiber has more than 31 years of experience in the solid waste industry. From September 1993 to September 1998, Mr. Schreiber served as corporate Director of Landfill Development and corporate Director of Environmental Compliance for Allied Waste Industries, Inc. From August 1988 to September 1993, Mr. Schreiber served as Regional Engineer (Continental Region) and corporate Director of Landfill Development for Laidlaw Waste Systems Inc. From June 1979 to August 1988, Mr. Schreiber held several managerial and technical positions in the solid waste and environmental industry. Mr. Schreiber holds a B.S. degree in Chemistry from the University of Wisconsin at Parkside.

Patrick J. Shea has been Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Waste Connections since February 2009. From February 2008 to that date, Mr. Shea served as General Counsel and Secretary. He served as Corporate Counsel from February 2004 to February 2008. Mr. Shea practiced corporate and securities law with Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison LLP in San Francisco from 1999 to 2003 and Winthrop, Stimson, Putnam & Roberts (now Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP) in New York and London from 1995 to 1999. Mr. Shea holds a B.S. degree in Managerial Economics from the University of California at Davis and a J.D. degree from Cornell University.

Gregory Thibodeaux has been Vice President – Maintenance and Fleet Management of Waste Connections since January 2011. From January 2000 to that date, Mr. Thibodeaux served as Director of Maintenance. Mr. Thibodeaux has more than 25 years of experience in the solid waste industry having held various management positions with Browning Ferris Industries, Sanifill, and USA Waste Services, Inc. Before coming to Waste Connections, Mr. Thibodeaux served as corporate Director of Maintenance for Texas Disposal Systems.

Richard K. Wojahn has been Vice President – Business Development of Waste Connections since February 2009. From September 2005 to that date, Mr. Wojahn served as Director of Business Development. Mr. Wojahn served as Vice President of Operations for Mountain Jack Environmental Services, Inc. (which was acquired by Waste Connections in September 2005) from January 2004 to September 2005. Mr. Wojahn has more than 29 years of experience in the solid waste industry having held various management positions with Waste Management, Inc. and Allied Waste Industries, Inc. Mr. Wojahn attended Western Illinois University.

**AVAILABLE INFORMATION**

Our corporate website address is <http://www.wasteconnections.com>. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference in this annual report on Form 10-K. We make our reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K and any amendments to such reports available on our website free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable after we file them with or furnish them to the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. The public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC, 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an internet website at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

Certain statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are forward-looking in nature, including statements related to our ability to provide adequate cash to fund our operating activities, our ability to draw on our credit facility or raise additional capital, the impact of global economic conditions on our volume, business and results of operations, the effects of landfill special waste projects on volume results, the effects of seasonality on our business and results of operations, demand for recyclable commodities and recyclable commodity pricing, our expectations with respect to capital expenditures, and our expectations with respect to the purchase of fuel and fuel prices. These statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as believes, expects, may, will, should, or anticipate negative thereof or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy.

Our business and operations are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties and, consequently, actual results may differ materially from those projected by any forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those projected include, but are not limited to, those listed below and elsewhere in this report. There may be additional risks of which we are not presently aware or that we currently believe are immaterial which could have an adverse impact on our business. We make no commitment to revise or update any forward-looking statements in order to reflect events or circumstances that may change.

**Risks Related to Our Business**

Our acquisitions may not be successful, resulting in changes in strategy, operating losses or a loss on sale of the business acquired.

Even if we are able to make acquisitions on advantageous terms and are able to integrate them successfully into our operations and organization, some acquisitions may not fulfill our objectives in a given market due to factors that we cannot control, such as market position, customer base, third party legal challenges or governmental actions. As a result, operating margins could be less than we originally anticipated when we made those acquisitions. In addition, we may change our strategy with respect to that market or those businesses and decide to sell the operations at a loss, or keep those operations and recognize an impairment of goodwill and/or intangible assets.

A portion of our growth and future financial performance depends on our ability to integrate acquired businesses into our organization and operations.

A component of our growth strategy involves achieving economies of scale and operating efficiencies by growing through acquisitions. We may not achieve these goals unless we effectively combine the operations of acquired businesses with our existing operations. In addition, we are not always able to control the timing of our acquisitions. Our inability to complete acquisitions within the time frames that we expect may cause our operating results to be less favorable than expected, which could cause our stock price to decline.

Downturns in the worldwide economy adversely affect operating results.

The general economic downturn in late 2008 and 2009 had a negative effect on our operating results, including decreases in volume generally associated with the construction industry, reduced personal consumption and declines in recycled commodity prices. In an economic slowdown, we also experience the negative effects of increased competitive pricing pressure, customer turnover, and reductions in customer service requirements. Worsening economic conditions or a prolonged or recurring economic recession could adversely affect our operating results and expected seasonal fluctuations. Further, we cannot assure you that an improvement in economic conditions after such a downturn will result in an immediate, if at all positive, improvement in our operating results or cash flows.

Our results are vulnerable to economic conditions and seasonal factors affecting the regions in which we operate.

Our business and financial results would be harmed by downturns in the general economy of the regions in which we operate and other factors affecting those regions, such as state regulations affecting the solid waste services industry and severe weather conditions. Based on historic trends, we expect our operating results to vary seasonally, with revenues typically lowest in the first quarter, higher in the second and third quarters, and lower in the fourth quarter than in the second and third quarters. We expect the fluctuation in our revenues between our highest and lowest quarters to be approximately 7% to 10%. This seasonality reflects the lower volume of solid waste generated during the late fall, winter and early spring because of decreased construction and demolition activities during the winter months in the U.S. In addition, some of our operating costs may be higher in the winter months. Adverse winter weather conditions slow waste collection activities, resulting in higher labor and operational costs. Greater



precipitation in the winter increases the weight of collected waste, resulting in higher disposal costs, which are calculated on a per ton basis. Because of these factors, we expect operating income to be generally lower in the winter months, and our stock price may be negatively affected by these variations.

**Table of Contents**

We may be subject in the normal course of business to judicial, administrative or other third party proceedings that could interrupt or limit our operations, require expensive remediation, result in adverse judgments, settlements or fines and create negative publicity.

Governmental agencies may, among other things, impose fines or penalties on us relating to the conduct of our business, attempt to revoke or deny renewal of our operating permits, franchises or licenses for violations or alleged violations of environmental laws or regulations or as a result of third party challenges, require us to install additional pollution control equipment or require us to remediate potential environmental problems relating to any real property that we or our predecessors ever owned, leased or operated or any waste that we or our predecessors ever collected, transported, disposed of or stored. Individuals, citizens groups, trade associations or environmental activists may also bring actions against us in connection with our operations that could interrupt or limit the scope of our business. Any adverse outcome in such proceedings could harm our operations and financial results and create negative publicity, which could damage our reputation, competitive position and stock price.

We may be unable to compete effectively with larger and better capitalized companies and governmental service providers.

Our industry is highly competitive and requires substantial labor and capital resources. Some of the markets in which we compete or will likely compete are served by one or more large, national companies, as well as by regional and local companies of varying sizes and resources, some of which we believe have accumulated substantial goodwill in their markets. Some of our competitors may also be better capitalized than we are, have greater name recognition than we do, or be able to provide or be willing to bid their services at a lower price than we may be willing to offer. Our inability to compete effectively could hinder our growth or negatively impact our operating results.

We also compete with counties, municipalities and solid waste districts that maintain or could in the future choose to maintain their own waste collection and disposal operations, including through the implementation of flow control ordinances or similar legislation. These operators may have financial advantages over us because of their access to user fees and similar charges, tax revenues and tax-exempt financing.

We may lose contracts through competitive bidding, early termination or governmental action.

We derive a significant portion of our revenues from market areas where we have exclusive arrangements, including franchise agreements, municipal contracts and G Certificates. Many franchise agreements and municipal contracts are for a specified term and are or will be subject to competitive bidding in the future. For example, we have approximately 263 contracts, representing approximately 5.6% of our annual revenues, which are set for expiration or automatic renewal on or before December 31, 2011. Although we intend to bid on additional municipal contracts and franchise agreements, we may not be the successful bidder. In addition, some of our customers may terminate their contracts with us before the end of the contract term.

Governmental action may also affect our exclusive arrangements. Municipalities may annex unincorporated areas within counties where we provide collection services. As a result, our customers in annexed areas may be required to obtain services from competitors that have been franchised by the annexing municipalities to provide those services. In addition, municipalities in which services are currently provided on a competitive basis may elect to franchise collection services. Unless we are awarded franchises by these municipalities, we will lose customers. Municipalities may also decide to provide services to their residents themselves, on an optional or mandatory basis, causing us to lose customers. Municipalities in Washington may, by law, annex any unincorporated territory, which could remove such territory from an area covered by a G Certificate issued to us by the WUTC. Such occurrences could subject more of our Washington operations to competitive bidding. Moreover, legislative action could amend or repeal the laws governing WUTC regulation, which could harm our competitive position by subjecting more areas to competitive bidding and/or overlapping service. If we are not able to replace revenues from contracts lost through competitive bidding or early termination or from the renegotiation of existing contracts with other revenues within a reasonable time period, our revenues could decline.

Price increases may not be adequate to offset the impact of increased costs or may cause us to lose volume.

We seek to secure price increases necessary to offset increased costs, to improve operating margins and to obtain adequate returns on our deployed capital. Contractual, general economic or market-specific conditions may limit our ability to raise prices. As a result of these factors, we may be unable to offset increases in costs, improve operating

margins and obtain adequate investment returns through price increases. We may also lose volume to lower-cost competitors.

**Table of Contents**

**Increases in the price of fuel may adversely affect our business and reduce our operating margins.**

The market price of fuel is volatile and rose substantially in recent years before falling with the general economic downturn in late 2008, but again rose during 2009 and 2010. We generally purchase diesel fuel at market prices, and such prices have fluctuated significantly. A significant increase in our fuel cost could adversely affect our business and reduce our operating margins and reported earnings. To manage a portion of this risk, we have entered into multiple fuel hedge agreements related to forecasted diesel fuel purchases and may also enter into fixed-price fuel purchase contracts. During periods of falling diesel fuel prices, our hedge payable positions may increase and it may become more expensive to purchase fuel under fixed-price fuel purchase contracts than at market prices.

**Increases in labor and disposal and related transportation costs could impact our financial results.**

Our continued success will depend on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel. We compete with other businesses in our markets for qualified employees. From time to time, the labor supply is tight in some of our markets. A shortage of qualified employees would require us to enhance our wage and benefits packages to compete more effectively for employees, to hire more expensive temporary employees or to contract for services with more expensive third-party vendors. Labor is one of our highest costs and relatively small increases in labor costs per employee could materially affect our cost structure. If we fail to attract and retain qualified employees, control our labor costs during periods of declining volumes, or recover any increased labor costs through increased prices we charge for our services or otherwise offset such increases with cost savings in other areas, our operating margins could suffer. Disposal and related transportation costs are our second highest cost category. If we incur increased disposal and related transportation costs to dispose of solid waste, and if, in either case, we are unable to pass these costs on to our customers, our operating results would suffer.

**Efforts by labor unions could divert management attention and adversely affect operating results.**

From time to time, labor unions attempt to organize our employees. Some groups of our employees are represented by unions, and we have negotiated collective bargaining agreements with most of these groups. We are currently engaged in negotiations with other groups of employees represented by unions. Additional groups of employees may seek union representation in the future. As a result of these activities, we may be subjected to unfair labor practice charges, complaints and other legal and administrative proceedings initiated against us by unions or the National Labor Relations Board, which could negatively impact our operating results. For example, see discussion regarding El Paso, Texas Labor Union Disputes under the Legal Proceedings section of Note 10 of our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report. Negotiating collective bargaining agreements with these groups could divert management attention, which could also adversely affect operating results. If we are unable to negotiate acceptable collective bargaining agreements, we might have to wait through cooling off periods, which are often followed by union-initiated work stoppages, including strikes. Furthermore, any significant work stoppage or slowdown at ports or by railroad workers could reduce or interrupt the flow of cargo containers through our intermodal facilities. Depending on the type and duration of any labor disruptions, our operating expenses could increase significantly, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

**We could face significant withdrawal liability if we withdraw from participation in one or more underfunded multiemployer pension plans in which we participate.**

We participate in various multiemployer pension plans administered by employee and union trustees. We make periodic contributions to these plans pursuant to our various contractual obligations to do so. In the event that we withdraw from participation in or otherwise cease our contributions to one of these plans, then applicable law regarding withdrawal liability could require us to make additional contributions to the plan if it is underfunded, and we would have to reflect that as an expense in our consolidated statement of operations and as a liability on our consolidated balance sheet. Our withdrawal liability for any multiemployer plan would depend on the extent of the plan's funding of vested benefits. In the ordinary course of our renegotiation of collective bargaining agreements with labor unions that participate in these plans, we may decide to discontinue participation in a plan, and in that event, we could face a withdrawal liability. Some multiemployer plans in which we participate may have significant underfunded liabilities. Such underfunding could increase the size of our potential withdrawal liability.



**Table of Contents**

**Increases in insurance costs and the amount that we self-insure for various risks could reduce our operating margins and reported earnings.**

We maintain high deductible insurance policies for automobile, general, employer's, environmental and directors and officers' liability as well as for employee group health insurance, property insurance and workers' compensation. We carry umbrella policies for certain types of claims to provide excess coverage over the underlying policies and per incident deductibles. The amounts that we self-insure could cause significant volatility in our operating margins and reported earnings based on the occurrence and claim costs of incidents, accidents, injuries and adverse judgments. Our insurance accruals are based on claims filed and estimates of claims incurred but not reported and are developed by our management with assistance from our third-party actuary and our third-party claims administrator. To the extent these estimates are inaccurate, we may recognize substantial additional expenses in future periods that would reduce operating margins and reported earnings. From time to time, actions filed against us include claims for punitive damages, which are generally excluded from coverage under all of our liability insurance policies. A punitive damage award could have an adverse effect on our reported earnings in the period in which it occurs. Significant increases in premiums on insurance that we retain also could reduce our margins.

**Competition for acquisition candidates, consolidation within the waste industry and economic and market conditions may limit our ability to grow through acquisitions.**

Most of our growth since our inception has been through acquisitions. Although we have identified numerous acquisition candidates that we believe are suitable, we may not be able to acquire them at prices or on terms and conditions favorable to us.

Other companies have adopted or may in the future adopt our strategy of acquiring and consolidating regional and local businesses. We expect that increased consolidation in the solid waste services industry will continue to reduce the number of attractive acquisition candidates. Moreover, general economic conditions and the environment for attractive investments may affect the desire of the owners of acquisition candidates to sell their companies. As a result, fewer acquisition opportunities may become available to us, which could cause us to reduce our rate of growth from acquisitions or make acquisitions on less attractive terms than we have in the past, such as at higher purchase prices.

Our ability to access the capital markets may be severely restricted at a time when we would like, or need, to do so. While we expect we will be able to fund some of our acquisitions with our existing resources, additional financing to pursue additional acquisitions may be required. However, if market conditions deteriorate, we may be unable to secure additional financing or any such additional financing may be available to us on unfavorable terms, which could have an impact on our flexibility to pursue additional acquisition opportunities and maintain our desired level of revenue growth in the future. In addition, disruptions in the capital and credit markets could adversely affect our ability to draw on our credit facility. Our access to funds under the credit facility is dependent on the ability of the banks that are parties to the facility to meet their funding commitments. Those banks may not be able to meet their funding commitments if they experience shortages of capital and liquidity or if they experience excessive volumes of borrowing requests within a short period of time.

**Our indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition; we may incur substantially more debt in the future.**

As of December 31, 2010, we had \$912.6 million of total indebtedness outstanding. We may incur substantial additional debt in the future. The incurrence of substantial additional indebtedness could have important consequences to you. For example, it could:

- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- limit our ability to obtain additional financing or refinancings at attractive rates;
- require the dedication of a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to the payment of principal of, and interest on, our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of such cash flow to fund our growth strategy, working capital, capital expenditures, dividends, share repurchases and other general corporate purposes;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry; and
- place us at a competitive disadvantage relative to our competitors with less debt.

Each business that we acquire or have acquired may have liabilities or risks that we fail or are unable to discover, including environmental liabilities.

It is possible that the corporate entities or sites we have acquired, or which we may acquire in the future, have liabilities in respect of former or existing operations or properties, or otherwise, which we have not been able to identify and assess through our due diligence investigations. As a successor owner, we may be legally responsible for those liabilities that arise from businesses that we acquire. Even if we obtain legally enforceable representations, warranties and indemnities from the sellers of such businesses, they may not cover the liabilities fully or the sellers may not have sufficient funds to perform their obligations. Some environmental liabilities, even if we do not expressly assume them, may be imposed on us under various regulatory schemes and other applicable laws. In addition, our insurance program may not cover such sites and will not cover liabilities associated with some environmental issues that may exist prior to attachment of coverage. A successful uninsured claim against us could harm our financial condition or operating results. Additionally, there may be other risks of which we are unaware that could have an adverse affect on businesses that we acquire or have acquired. For example, interested parties may bring actions against us in connection with operations that we acquire or have acquired. Any adverse outcome in such proceedings could harm our operations and financial results and create negative publicity, which could damage our reputation, competitive position and stock price.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Liabilities for environmental damage may adversely affect our financial condition, business and earnings.**

We may be liable for any environmental damage that our current or former facilities cause, including damage to neighboring landowners or residents, particularly as a result of the contamination of soil, groundwater or surface water, and especially drinking water, or to natural resources. We may be liable for damage resulting from conditions existing before we acquired these facilities. We may also be liable for any on-site environmental contamination caused by pollutants or hazardous substances whose transportation, treatment or disposal we or our predecessors arranged or conducted. If we were to incur liability for environmental damage, environmental cleanups, corrective action or damage not covered by insurance or in excess of the amount of our coverage, our financial condition or operating results could be materially adversely affected.

### **Our accruals for our landfill site closure and post-closure costs may be inadequate.**

We are required to pay capping, closure and post-closure maintenance costs for landfill sites that we own or operate. Our obligations to pay closure or post-closure costs may exceed the amount we have accrued and reserved and other amounts available from funds or reserves established to pay such costs. In addition, the completion or closure of a landfill site does not end our environmental obligations. After completion or closure of a landfill site there exists the potential for unforeseen environmental problems to occur that could result in substantial remediation costs. Paying additional amounts for closure or post-closure costs and/or for environmental remediation could harm our financial condition or operating results.

### **The financial soundness of our customers could affect our business and operating results.**

As a result of the disruptions in the financial markets and other macro-economic challenges currently affecting the economy of the United States and other parts of the world, our customers may experience cash flow concerns. As a result, if customers' operating and financial performance deteriorates, or if they are unable to make scheduled payments or obtain credit, customers may not be able to pay, or may delay payment of, accounts receivable owed to us. Any inability of current and/or potential customers to pay us for services may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

### **We depend significantly on the services of the members of our senior, regional and district management team, and the departure of any of those persons could cause our operating results to suffer.**

Our success depends significantly on the continued individual and collective contributions of our senior, regional and district management team. Key members of our management have entered into employment agreements, but we may not be able to enforce these agreements. The loss of the services of any member of our senior, regional or district management or the inability to hire and retain experienced management personnel could harm our operating results.

### **Our decentralized decision-making structure could allow local managers to make decisions that adversely affect our operating results.**

We manage our operations on a decentralized basis. Local managers have the authority to make many decisions concerning their operations without obtaining prior approval from executive officers, subject to compliance with general company-wide policies. Poor decisions by local managers could result in the loss of customers or increases in costs, in either case adversely affecting operating results.

### **We may incur charges related to capitalized expenditures of landfill development projects, which would decrease our earnings.**

In accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, we capitalize some expenditures and advances relating to landfill development projects. We expense indirect costs such as executive salaries, general corporate overhead and other corporate services as we incur those costs. We charge against earnings any unamortized capitalized expenditures and advances (net of any amount that we estimate we will recover, through sale or otherwise) that relate to any operation that is permanently shut down or determined to be impaired and any landfill development project that we do not expect to complete. For example, if we are unsuccessful in our attempts to obtain or defend permits that we are seeking or have been awarded to operate a landfill, we will no longer generate income from the landfill and we will be required to expense in a future period the amount of capitalized expenditures related to the landfill, less the recoverable value of the property and other amounts recovered. Additionally, we may incur increased operating expenses to dispose of the previously internalized waste that would need to be transported to another disposal location. Any such charges could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for that period.



and could decrease our stock price. See discussion regarding the Chaparral, New Mexico Landfill Permit Litigation and the Harper County, Kansas Permit Litigation under the Legal Proceedings section of Note 10 of our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

**Table of Contents**

Because we depend on railroads for our intermodal operations, our operating results and financial condition are likely to be adversely affected by any reduction or deterioration in rail service.

We depend on two major railroads for the intermodal services we provide – the Burlington Northern Santa Fe and Union Pacific. Consequently, a reduction in, or elimination of, rail service to a particular market is likely to adversely affect our ability to provide intermodal transportation services to some of our customers. In addition, the railroads are relatively free to adjust shipping rates up or down as market conditions permit when existing contracts expire. Rate increases would result in higher intermodal transportation costs, reducing the attractiveness of intermodal transportation compared to solely trucking or other transportation modes, which could cause a decrease in demand for our services. Our business could also be adversely affected by harsh weather conditions or other factors that hinder the railroads' ability to provide reliable transportation services.

Our financial results are based upon estimates and assumptions that may differ from actual results.

In preparing our consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, several estimates and assumptions are made that affect the accounting for and recognition of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. These estimates and assumptions must be made because certain information that is used in the preparation of our financial statements is dependent on future events, cannot be calculated with a high degree of precision from data available or is not capable of being readily calculated based on generally accepted methodologies. In some cases, these estimates are particularly difficult to determine and we must exercise significant judgment. The estimates and the assumptions having the greatest amount of uncertainty, subjectivity and complexity are related to our accounting for landfills, self-insurance, intangibles, allocation of acquisition purchase price, income taxes, asset impairments and litigation, claims and assessments. Actual results for all estimates could differ materially from the estimates and assumptions that we use, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

The adoption of new accounting standards or interpretations could adversely affect our financial results.

Our implementation of and compliance with changes in accounting rules and interpretations could adversely affect our operating results or cause unanticipated fluctuations in our results in future periods. The accounting rules and regulations that we must comply with are complex and continually changing. Recent actions and public comments from the SEC have focused on the integrity of financial reporting generally. The Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, has recently introduced several new or proposed accounting standards, or is developing new proposed standards, which would represent a significant change from current industry practices. For example, the proposed derivatives guidance would change the overall accounting for hedges by requiring only a qualitative assessment of hedge effectiveness at inception and reassessments only under certain circumstances. However, the proposed guidance requires all ineffectiveness to be recorded in the income statement and eliminates the short cut and critical terms match methods to attain hedge effectiveness. Additionally, the proposed lease accounting pronouncement would change the accounting for operating leases by requiring a right-of-use-asset to be recorded on the balance sheet as well as a corresponding liability for the obligation to pay lease rentals. The proposed guidance also changes how lease expense is recognized in the income statement requiring more expense to be recorded in the initial years of the lease. If we are unable to negotiate revisions to our existing loan facilities, this new lease guidance could impact our covenant compliance, which could cause us to be in default under these loan agreements; this would allow the lenders to require us to immediately repay the loans.

In addition, many companies' accounting policies are being subjected to heightened scrutiny by regulators and the public. While we believe that our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, we cannot predict the impact of future changes to accounting principles or our accounting policies on our financial statements going forward.

**Table of Contents****Risks Related to Our Industry**

Our financial and operating performance may be affected by the inability to renew landfill operating permits, obtain new landfills and expand existing ones.

We currently own and/or operate 44 landfills. Our ability to meet our financial and operating objectives may depend in part on our ability to acquire, lease, renew landfill operating permits, expand existing landfills and develop new landfill sites. It has become increasingly difficult and expensive to obtain required permits and approvals to build, operate and expand solid waste management facilities, including landfills and transfer stations. Operating permits for landfills in states where we operate must generally be renewed every five to ten years, although some permits are required to be renewed more frequently. These operating permits often must be renewed several times during the permitted life of a landfill. The permit and approval process is often time consuming, requires numerous hearings and compliance with zoning, environmental and other requirements, is frequently challenged by special interest and other groups, and may result in the denial of a permit or renewal, the award of a permit or renewal for a shorter duration than we believed was otherwise required by law, or burdensome terms and conditions being imposed on our operations. We may not be able to obtain new landfill sites or expand the permitted capacity of our landfills when necessary. Obtaining new landfill sites is important to our expansion into new, non-exclusive markets. If we do not believe that we can obtain a landfill site in a non-exclusive market, we may choose not to enter that market. Expanding existing landfill sites is important in those markets where the remaining lives of our landfills are relatively short. We may choose to forego acquisitions and internal growth in these markets because increased volumes would further shorten the lives of these landfills. Any of these circumstances could adversely affect our operating results.

Future changes in laws or renewed enforcement of laws regulating the flow of solid waste in interstate commerce could adversely affect our operating results.

Various state and local governments have enacted, or are considering enacting, laws and regulations that restrict the disposal within the jurisdiction of solid waste generated outside the jurisdiction. In addition, some state and local governments have promulgated, or are considering promulgating, laws and regulations which govern the flow of waste generated within their respective jurisdictions. These flow control laws and regulations typically require that waste generated within the jurisdiction be directed to specified facilities for disposal or processing, which could limit or prohibit the disposal or processing of waste in our transfer stations and landfills. Such flow control laws and regulations could also require us to deliver waste collected by us within a particular jurisdiction to facilities not owned or controlled by us, which could increase our costs and reduce our revenues. In addition, such laws and regulations could require us to obtain additional costly licenses or authorizations to be deemed an authorized hauler or disposal facility. For example, see discussion regarding El Paso, Texas Breach of Contract/Flow Control Litigation under the Legal Proceedings section of Note 10 of our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report.

Additionally, public interest and pressure from competing industry segments has caused some trade associations and environmental activists to seek enforcement of laws regulating the flow of solid waste that have not been recently enforced and which, in at least one case, we believe are unconstitutional or otherwise unlawful. See discussion regarding the Solano County, California Measure E/Landfill Expansion Litigation under the Legal Proceedings section of Note 10 of our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report. If successful, these groups may advocate for the enactment of similar laws in neighboring jurisdictions through local ballot initiatives or otherwise. All such waste disposal laws and regulations are subject to judicial interpretation and review. Court decisions, congressional legislation, and state and local regulation in the waste disposal area could adversely affect our operations.

Fluctuations in prices for recycled commodities that we sell and rebates we offer to customers may cause our revenues and operating results to decline.

We provide recycling services to some of our customers. The majority of the recyclables we process for sale are paper products that are shipped to customers in Asia. The sale prices of and demands for recyclable commodities, particularly paper products, are frequently volatile and when they decline, our revenues, operating results and cash flows will be affected. Our recycling operations offer rebates to customers based on the market prices of commodities we buy to process for resale. Therefore, if we recognize increased revenues resulting from higher prices for recyclable commodities, the rebates we pay to suppliers will also increase, which also may impact our operating results.

Extensive and evolving environmental, health, safety and employment laws and regulations may restrict our operations and growth and increase our costs.

Existing environmental and employment laws and regulations have become more stringently enforced in recent years. Competing industry segments and other interested parties have sought enforcement of laws that local jurisdictions have not recently enforced and which, in at least one case, we believe are unconstitutional or otherwise unlawful. See discussion regarding the Solano County, California Measure E/Landfill Expansion Litigation under the Legal Proceedings section of Note 10 of our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report. If successful, such groups may advocate for the enactment of similar laws in neighboring jurisdictions through local ballot initiatives or otherwise. In addition, our industry is subject to regular enactment of new or amended federal, state and local environmental and health and safety statutes, regulations and ballot initiatives, as well as judicial decisions interpreting these requirements. These requirements impose substantial capital and operating costs and operational limitations on us and may adversely affect our business. In addition, federal, state and local governments may change the rights they grant to, the restrictions they impose on, or the laws and regulations they enforce against, solid waste services companies, and those changes could restrict our operations and growth.

**Table of Contents****Climate change regulations may adversely affect operating results.**

Governmental authorities and various interest groups have promoted laws and regulations that could limit greenhouse gas, or GHG, emissions due to concerns that GHGs are contributing to climate change. The State of California has already adopted a climate change law, and other states in which we operate are considering similar actions. For example, California enacted AB 32, the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, which established the first statewide program in the United States to limit GHG emissions and impose penalties for non-compliance. Since then, the California Air Resources Board has taken and plans to take various actions to implement the program, including the approval of an AB 32 Scoping Plan summarizing the main GHG-reduction strategies for California, in December 2008; a landfill methane control measure, which became effective in June 2010; and, in December 2010, a GHG cap-and-trade program which is scheduled to take effect in 2012. Because landfill and collection operations emit GHGs, our operations in California are subject to regulations issued under AB 32. These regulations increase our costs for those operations and adversely affect our operating results. The Western Climate Initiative, which currently includes seven states, all of which we operate in, and four Canadian provinces, has also developed GHG reduction strategies, among them a GHG cap-and-trade program. In addition, the EPA made an endangerment finding in 2009 allowing certain GHGs to be regulated under the Clean Air Act. This finding allows the EPA to create regulations that will impact our operations including imposing emission reporting, permitting, control technology installation, and monitoring requirements, although the materiality of the impacts will not be known until all regulations are finalized. The EPA has already finalized its GHG reporting rule, which requires that municipal solid waste landfills monitor and report GHG emissions. The EPA has also finalized its tailoring rule, which imposes certain permitting and control technology requirements upon newly-constructed or modified facilities which emit GHGs over a certain threshold under the Clean Air Act New Source Review Prevention of Significant Deterioration, or NSR PSD, and Title V permitting programs. As a result, NSR PSD or Title V permits issued after January 2, 2011, for new or modified landfills may need to address GHG emissions, including by requiring the installation of Best Available Control Technology. Notably, landfills may become subject to such permitting requirements under the tailoring rule based on their GHG emissions even if their emission of other regulated pollutants would not otherwise trigger permitting requirements.

**Extensive regulations that govern the design, operation and closure of landfills may restrict our landfill operations or increase our costs of operating landfills.**

Regulations that govern landfill design, operation, closure and financial assurances include the regulations that establish minimum federal requirements adopted by the EPA in October 1991 under Subtitle D of RCRA. If we fail to comply with these regulations or their state counterparts, we could be required to undertake investigatory or remedial activities, curtail operations or close landfills temporarily or permanently. Future changes to these regulations may require us to modify, supplement or replace equipment or facilities at substantial costs. If regulatory agencies fail to enforce these regulations vigorously or consistently, our competitors whose facilities are not forced to comply with the Subtitle D regulations or their state counterparts may obtain an advantage over us. Our financial obligations arising from any failure to comply with these regulations could harm our business and operating results.

**Alternatives to landfill disposal may cause our revenues and operating results to decline.**

Counties and municipalities in which we operate landfills may be required to formulate and implement comprehensive plans to reduce the volume of solid waste deposited in landfills through waste planning, composting, recycling or other programs. Some state and local governments prohibit the disposal of certain types of wastes, such as yard waste, at landfills. Although such actions are useful to protect our environment, these actions, as well as the actions of our customers to reduce waste or seek disposal alternatives, have reduced and may in the future further reduce the volume of waste going to landfills in certain areas, which may affect our ability to operate our landfills at full capacity and could adversely affect our operating results.

**Unusually adverse weather conditions may interfere with our operations, harming our operating results.**

Our operations could be adversely affected, beyond the normal seasonal variations described above, by unusually long periods of inclement weather, which could interfere with collection, landfill and intermodal operations, reduce the volume of waste generated by our customers, delay the development of landfill capacity, and increase the costs we incur in connection with the construction of landfills and other facilities. Periods of particularly harsh weather may

force us to temporarily suspend some of our operations.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None.

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

As of December 31, 2010, we owned 135 collection operations, 41 transfer stations, 33 municipal solid waste landfills, two construction and demolition landfills, 39 recycling operations, five intermodal operations and one exploration and production waste treatment and disposal facility, and operated, but did not own, an additional 13 transfer stations, nine municipal solid waste landfills and two intermodal operations in 27 states. We lease certain of the sites on which these facilities are located. We lease various office facilities, including our corporate offices in Folsom, California, where we occupy approximately 54,000 square feet of space. We also maintain regional administrative offices in each of our regions. We own various equipment, including waste collection and transportation vehicles, related support vehicles, double-stack rail cars, carts, containers, chassis and heavy equipment used in landfill, collection, transfer station and intermodal operations. We believe that our existing facilities and equipment are adequate for our current operations. However, we expect to make additional investments in property and equipment for expansion and replacement of assets in connection with future acquisitions.

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

Information regarding our legal proceedings can be found under the **Legal Proceedings** section of Note 10 of our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 of this report and is incorporated herein by reference.

**ITEM 4. [Removed and Reserved]**

**Table of Contents****PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "WCN". The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low prices per share of our common stock, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange. Prices have been retroactively adjusted to reflect our three-for-two stock split, in the form of a 50% stock dividend, effective as of November 12, 2010.

|  | <b>HIGH</b> | <b>LOW</b> |
|--|-------------|------------|
| <b>2009</b>                              |             |            |
| First Quarter                            | \$ 21.18    | \$ 13.68   |
| Second Quarter                           | 18.53       | 15.99      |
| Third Quarter                            | 20.18       | 16.29      |
| Fourth Quarter                           | 22.67       | 18.91      |
| <b>2010</b>                              |             |            |
| First Quarter                            | \$ 23.58    | \$ 20.46   |
| Second Quarter                           | 24.71       | 22.01      |
| Third Quarter                            | 26.96       | 22.97      |
| Fourth Quarter                           | 27.79       | 25.60      |
| <b>2011</b>                              |             |            |
| First Quarter (through January 21, 2011) | \$ 28.52    | \$ 26.99   |

As of January 21, 2011, there were 84 record holders of our common stock.

On October 19, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized the initiation of a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.075 per share, as adjusted for the three-for-two stock split described above. The initial quarterly cash dividend was paid on November 12, 2010, to the stockholders of record as of the close of business on October 29, 2010. The Board will review the cash dividend periodically, with a long-term objective of increasing the amount of the dividend. We cannot assure you as to the amounts or timing of future dividends. We have the ability under our senior revolving credit facility to repurchase our common stock and pay dividends provided we maintain specified financial ratios.

Our Board of Directors has authorized a common stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to \$800 million of our common stock through December 31, 2012. Under the program, stock repurchases may be made in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions from time to time at management's discretion. The timing and amounts of any repurchases will depend on many factors, including our capital structure, the market price of our common stock and overall market conditions. As of December 31, 2010, we have repurchased approximately 35.4 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$648.6 million. The table below reflects repurchases we made during the three months ended December 31, 2010 (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

| <b>Period</b>      | <b>Total<br/>Number<br/>of Shares<br/>Purchased</b> | <b>Average<br/>Price Paid<br/>Per<br/>Share<sup>(1)</sup></b> | <b>Total Number<br/>of<br/>Shares<br/>Purchased<br/>as Part of<br/>Publicly<br/>Announced<br/>Program</b> | <b>Maximum<br/>Approximate<br/>Dollar<br/>Value of Shares<br/>that<br/>May Yet Be<br/>Purchased Under<br/>the Program</b> |
|--------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 10/1/10 - 10/31/10 | 1,289,208   | \$ 26.93  | 1,289,208   | \$ 166,592  |



Edgar Filing: WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. - Form 10-K

|         |          |           |       |           |         |
|---------|----------|-----------|-------|-----------|---------|
| 11/1/10 | 11/30/10 | 576,665   | 26.39 | 576,665   | 151,374 |
| 12/1/10 | 12/31/10 |           |       |           | 151,374 |
|         |          | 1,865,873 | 26.76 | 1,865,873 |         |

(1) This amount represents the weighted average price paid per common share. This price includes a per share commission paid for all repurchases.

**Table of Contents****Performance Graph**

The following performance graph compares the total cumulative stockholder returns on our common stock over the past five fiscal years with the total cumulative returns for the S&P 500 Index and two peer group indexes we selected. The graph assumes an investment of \$100 in our common stock on December 31, 2005, and the reinvestment of all dividends. This chart has been calculated in compliance with SEC requirements and prepared by Capital IQ®.

This graph and the accompanying text is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC, and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing by us under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

| Company Name / Index              | Base   | Indexed Returns |           |           |           |           |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                                   | Period | Years Ending    |           |           |           |           |
|                                   | Dec 05 | Dec 06          | Dec 07    | Dec 08    | Dec 09    | Dec 10    |
| Waste Connections, Inc.           | \$ 100 | \$ 120.57       | \$ 134.50 | \$ 137.42 | \$ 145.12 | \$ 180.08 |
| S&P 500 Index                     | \$ 100 | \$ 115.79       | \$ 122.16 | \$ 76.96  | \$ 97.33  | \$ 111.99 |
| Peer Group #1 <sup>(a), (c)</sup> | \$ 100 | \$ 120.45       | \$ 116.80 | \$ 114.04 | \$ 128.29 | \$ 145.56 |
| Peer Group #2 <sup>(b), (c)</sup> | \$ 100 | \$ 120.45       | \$ 116.80 | \$ 114.04 | \$ 125.97 | \$ 140.39 |

(a) Peer Group #1 Companies: Casella Waste Systems, Inc.; Republic Services, Inc.; Waste Management, Inc.; IESI-BFC Ltd.

(b) Peer Group #2 Companies: Casella Waste Systems, Inc.; Republic Services, Inc.; Waste Management, Inc.

(c) The companies comprising our Peer Group #1 in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, now include IESI-BFC Ltd., which was not previously included in our Peer Group Companies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, because this was the first full year that IESI-BFC Ltd. was traded on a U.S. stock exchange. Additionally, IESI-BFC Ltd. increased its operational presence in the U.S. after its merger with Waste Services, Inc. in July 2010, and other acquisitions completed during the year.

THE STOCK PRICE PERFORMANCE INCLUDED IN THIS GRAPH IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE STOCK PRICE PERFORMANCE.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

This table sets forth our selected financial data for the periods indicated. This data should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified by reference to, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in Item 7 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and our audited consolidated financial statements, including the related notes and our independent registered public accounting firm's report and the other financial information included in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected data in this section is not intended to replace the consolidated financial statements included in this report.

|  | <b>YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,</b>                        |             |                 |                 |                 |
|--|--|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|  | <b>2006</b>  | <b>2007</b> | <b>2008 (a)</b> | <b>2009 (a)</b> | <b>2010 (a)</b> |
|  | <i>(in thousands, except share and per share data)</i> |             |                 |                 |                 |
| <b>STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA:</b>   |  |             |                 |                 |                 |
| Revenues   | \$ 824,354   | \$ 958,541  | \$ 1,049,603    | \$ 1,191,393    | \$ 1,319,757    |
| Operating expenses:  |  |             |                 |                 |                 |
| Cost of operations   | 492,766  | 566,089     | 628,075         | 692,415         | 749,487         |
| Selling, general and administrative  | 84,541   | 99,565      | 111,114         | 138,026         | 149,860         |
| Depreciation   | 70,785   | 81,287      | 91,095          | 117,796         | 132,874         |
| Amortization of intangibles  | 4,080  | 4,341       | 6,334           | 12,962          | 14,582          |
| Loss (gain) on disposal of assets  | 796  | 250         | 629             | (481)           | 571             |
| Operating income   | 171,386  | 207,009     | 212,356         | 230,675         | 272,383         |
| Interest expense   | (33,141)   | (39,206)    | (43,102)        | (49,161)        | (40,134)        |
| Interest income  | 1,140  | 1,593       | 3,297           | 1,413           | 590             |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt   |  |             |                 |                 | (10,193)        |
| Other income (expense), net  | (3,759)  | 289         | (633)           | (7,551)         | 2,830           |
| Income before income tax provision   | 135,626  | 169,685     | 171,918         | 175,376         | 225,476         |
| Income tax provision   | (47,177)   | (58,328)    | (56,775)        | (64,565)        | (89,334)        |
| Net income   | 88,449   | 111,357     | 115,143         | 110,811         | 136,142         |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests                        | (12,905)   | (14,870)    | (12,240)        | (986)           | (1,038)         |
| Net income attributable to Waste Connections                                     | \$ 75,544  | \$ 96,487   | \$ 102,903      | \$ 109,825      | \$ 135,104      |
| Earnings per common share attributable to Waste Connections common stockholders: |  |             |                 |                 |                 |
| Basic  | \$ 0.74  | \$ 0.94     | \$ 0.98         | \$ 0.92         | \$ 1.17         |
| Diluted  | \$ 0.72  | \$ 0.92     | \$ 0.96         | \$ 0.91         | \$ 1.16         |

Shares used in the per share calculations:

|                                 |             |             |             |             |             |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Basic <sup>(b)</sup>            | 102,204,189 | 102,357,785 | 105,037,311 | 119,119,601 | 115,646,173 |
| Diluted <sup>(b)</sup>          | 105,613,010 | 104,992,070 | 107,129,568 | 120,506,162 | 116,894,204 |
| Cash dividends per common share | \$          | \$          | \$          | \$          | \$ 0.075    |
| Cash dividends paid             | \$          | \$          | \$          | \$          | \$ 8,561    |

**Table of Contents**

|                                  | <b>YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,</b>                        |             |                            |                            |                            |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|                                  | <b>2006</b>  | <b>2007</b> | <b>2008 <sup>(a)</sup></b> | <b>2009 <sup>(a)</sup></b> | <b>2010 <sup>(a)</sup></b> |
|                                  | <i>(in thousands, except share and per share data)</i> |             |                            |                            |                            |
| <b>BALANCE SHEET DATA:</b>       |  |             |                            |                            |                            |
| Cash and equivalents             | \$ 34,949  | \$ 10,298   | \$ 265,264                 | \$ 9,639                   | \$ 9,873                   |
| Working capital (deficit)        | 10,368   | (24,849)    | 213,747                    | (45,059)                   | (37,976)                   |
| Property and equipment, net      | 736,428  | 865,330     | 984,124                    | 1,308,392                  | 1,337,476                  |
| Total assets                     | 1,773,356  | 1,981,548   | 2,600,357                  | 2,820,448                  | 2,915,984                  |
| Long-term debt and notes payable | 617,665  | 704,184     | 819,828                    | 867,554                    | 909,978                    |
| Total equity                     | 776,321  | 814,618     | 1,261,997                  | 1,357,036                  | 1,370,418                  |

- (a) For more information regarding this financial data, see the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section included in this report. For disclosures associated with the impact of the adoption of new accounting pronouncements and the comparability of this information, see Note 1 of the consolidated financial statements.
- (b) Share amounts have been retroactively adjusted to reflect our three-for-two stock split, in the form of a 50% stock dividend, effective as of March 13, 2007 and our three-for-two stock split, in the form of a 50% stock dividend, effective as of November 12, 2010.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Selected Financial Data included in Item 6 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this report.

**Industry Overview**

The solid waste industry is a local and highly competitive business, requiring substantial labor and capital resources. The participants compete for collection accounts primarily on the basis of price and, to a lesser extent, the quality of service, and compete for landfill business on the basis of tipping fees, geographic location and quality of operations. The solid waste industry has been consolidating and continues to consolidate as a result of a number of factors, including the increasing costs and complexity associated with waste management operations and regulatory compliance. Many small independent operators and municipalities lack the capital resources, management, operating skills and technical expertise necessary to operate effectively in such an environment. The consolidation trend has caused solid waste companies to operate larger landfills that have complementary collection routes that can use company-owned disposal capacity. Controlling the point of transfer from haulers to landfills has become increasingly important as landfills continue to close and disposal capacity moves further from collection markets.

Generally, the most profitable industry operators are those companies that are vertically integrated or enter into long-term collection contracts. A vertically integrated operator will benefit from: (1) the internalization of waste, which is bringing waste to a company-owned landfill; (2) the ability to charge third-party haulers tipping fees either at landfills or at transfer stations; and (3) the efficiencies gained by being able to aggregate and process waste at a transfer station prior to landfilling.

**Executive Overview**

We are an integrated solid waste services company that provides solid waste collection, transfer, disposal and recycling services in mostly secondary markets in the Western and Southern U.S. We also provide intermodal services for the rail haul movement of cargo and solid waste containers in the Pacific Northwest through a network of intermodal facilities. We also treat and dispose of non-hazardous waste that is generated in the exploration and production of oil and natural gas primarily at a facility in Southwest Louisiana. We seek to avoid highly competitive, large urban markets and instead target markets where we can provide either solid waste services under exclusive arrangements, or markets where we can be integrated and attain high market share. In markets where waste collection services are provided under exclusive arrangements, or where waste disposal is municipally funded or available at multiple municipal sources, we believe that controlling the waste stream by providing collection services under exclusive arrangements is often more important to our growth and profitability than owning or operating landfills.

**Operating Results**

Revenue in 2010 increased 10.8% to \$1.32 billion from \$1.19 billion in 2009, with internal growth slightly exceeding acquisition growth in the year. Operating margins, net income, capital expenditures, and free cash flow also expanded, further strengthening our business and financial profile.

As shown in the table below, internal growth increased to positive 5.5% in 2010, from negative 6.0% in 2009. Pricing remained relatively stable year-to-year, but increased landfill revenue offset continuing weakness in collection revenue, which resulted in total volume growth increasing from negative 6.2% in 2009 to flat in 2010. Recycling and other contributed 2.6% to internal growth in 2010 due to unprecedented increases in volatile recycled commodity prices throughout the year and increased intermodal activity.

|                     | <b>2009</b> | <b>2010</b> |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Price               | 2.7%        | 2.9%        |
| Volume              | (6.2%)      | 0.0%        |
| Recycling and Other | (2.5%)      | 2.6%        |
| Internal Growth     | (6.0%)      | 5.5%        |

In 2010, adjusted operating income before depreciation and amortization, a non-GAAP financial measure (refer to page 48 of this report for a definition and reconciliation to Operating income), increased 15.0% to \$424.3 million from \$368.8 million in 2009. As a percentage of revenue, adjusted operating income before depreciation and amortization increased from 31.0% in 2009, to 32.1% in 2010. This 1.1 percentage point increase was primarily attributable to growth in higher margin revenue components: price increases to our customers, higher recycling commodity values and increased disposal volumes. Net income attributable to Waste Connections in 2010 increased 23.0% to \$135.1 million from \$109.8 million in 2009.

**Table of Contents****Free Cash Flow**

Net cash provided by operating activities increased 8.2% to \$328.4 million in 2010 from 2009, and capital expenditures increased 5.1% to \$134.8 million over that period. Free cash flow, a non-GAAP financial measure (refer to page 47 of this report for a definition and reconciliation to Net cash provided by operating activities), increased 10.5% to \$212.5 million in 2010, from \$192.3 million in 2009. Free cash flow as a percentage of revenues was 16.1% in both 2009 and 2010. We believe this strength in our free cash flow reflects the continuing resiliency of our strategy during this uncertain economic period.

**Return of Capital to Stockholders**

Throughout 2010, we maintained a target of returning approximately five to six percent of our equity market capitalization to stockholders. We met our goal through a combination of stock repurchases and cash dividends. We repurchased approximately 6.9 million shares of common stock at a cost of \$166.3 million during 2010. Our Board of Directors also initiated a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.075 per share of common stock in the fourth quarter of 2010, totaling \$8.6 million in the period. Our Board of Directors intends to review the quarterly dividend during the fourth quarter of each year, with a long-term objective of increasing the amount of the dividend. We expect the amount of capital we return to stockholders through stock repurchases to vary depending on our financial condition and results of operations, the amount of cash we deploy on acquisitions, the market price of our common stock, and overall market conditions. We cannot assure you as to the amounts or timing of future stock repurchases or dividends. We have the ability under our senior revolving credit facility to repurchase our common stock and pay dividends provided we maintain specified financial ratios.

**Capital Position**

We target a leverage ratio, as defined in our credit facility, between 2.5x and 2.75x of total debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA. We deployed \$255.9 million during 2010 for acquisitions, repurchases of common stock, and dividends. These outlays were primarily funded by operating cash flow during the year. As a result of our strong free cash flow and improved financial performance, our leverage ratio declined from its December 31, 2009 level, and continued to remain below our targeted range at year-end 2010.

**Critical Accounting Estimates and Assumptions**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities in the consolidated financial statements. As described by the SEC, critical accounting estimates and assumptions are those that may be material due to the levels of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for highly uncertain matters or the susceptibility of such matters to change, and that have a material impact on the financial condition or operating performance of a company. Such critical accounting estimates and assumptions are applicable to our reportable segments. Based on this definition, we believe the following are our critical accounting estimates.

**Insurance liabilities.** We maintain high deductible insurance policies for automobile, general, employer's, environmental and directors and officers' liability as well as for employee group health insurance, property insurance and workers' compensation. We carry umbrella policies for certain types of claims to provide excess coverage over the underlying policies and per incident deductibles. Our insurance accruals are based on claims filed and estimates of claims incurred but not reported and are developed by our management with assistance from our third-party actuary and third-party claims administrator. The insurance accruals are influenced by our past claims experience factors, which have a limited history, and by published industry development factors. If we experience insurance claims or costs above or below our historically evaluated levels, our estimates could be materially affected. The frequency and amount of claims or incidents could vary significantly over time, which could materially affect our self-insurance liabilities. Additionally, the actual costs to settle the self-insurance liabilities could materially differ from the original estimates and cause us to incur additional costs in future periods associated with prior year claims.

**Income taxes.** We use the liability method to account for income taxes. Accordingly, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and income tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. If our judgment and estimates concerning assumptions made in calculating our expected future income tax



rates are incorrect, our deferred tax assets and liabilities would change. Based on our net deferred tax liability balance at December 31, 2010, each 0.1 percentage point change to our expected future income tax rate would change our net deferred tax liability balance and income tax expense by approximately \$0.8 million.

**Table of Contents**

**Accounting for landfills.** We recognize landfill depletion expense as airspace of a landfill is consumed. Our landfill depletion rates are based on the remaining disposal capacity at our landfills, considering both permitted and probable expansion airspace. We calculate the net present value of our final capping, closure and post-closure commitments by estimating the total obligation in current dollars, inflating the obligation based upon the expected date of the expenditure and discounting the inflated total to its present value using a credit-adjusted risk-free rate. Any changes in expectations that result in an upward revision to the estimated undiscounted cash flows are treated as a new liability and are inflated and discounted at rates reflecting current market conditions. Any changes in expectations that result in a downward revision (or no revision) to the estimated undiscounted cash flows result in a liability that is inflated and discounted at rates reflecting the market conditions at the time the cash flows were originally estimated. This policy results in our final capping, closure and post-closure liabilities being recorded in layers. The resulting final capping, closure and post-closure obligation is recorded on the balance sheet as an addition to site costs and amortized to depletion expense as the landfills' airspace is consumed. Interest is accreted on the recorded liability using the corresponding discount rate. The accounting methods discussed below require us to make certain estimates and assumptions. Changes to these estimates and assumptions could have a material effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Any changes to our estimates are applied prospectively.

**Landfill development costs.** Landfill development costs include the costs of acquisition, construction associated with excavation, liners, site berms, groundwater monitoring wells, gas recovery systems and leachate collection systems. We estimate the total costs associated with developing each landfill site to its final capacity. Total landfill costs include the development costs associated with expansion airspace. Expansion airspace is described below. Landfill development costs depend on future events and thus actual costs could vary significantly from our estimates. Material differences between estimated and actual development costs may affect our cash flows by increasing our capital expenditures and thus affect our results of operations by increasing our landfill depletion expense.

**Final capping, closure and post-closure obligations.** We accrue for estimated final capping, closure and post-closure maintenance obligations at the landfills we own, and the landfills that we operate, but do not own, under life-of-site agreements. We could have additional material financial obligations relating to final capping, closure and post-closure costs at other disposal facilities that we currently own or operate or that we may own or operate in the future. At January 1, 2010, we decreased our discount rate assumption for purposes of computing 2010 layers for final capping, closure and post-closure obligations from 9.25% to 6.5%, in order to more accurately reflect our long-term cost of borrowing as of the end of 2009. Our inflation rate assumption was 2.5% for the years ending December 31, 2009 and 2010. The resulting final capping, closure and post-closure obligation is recorded on the balance sheet as an addition to site costs and amortized as depletion expense as the landfill's total airspace is consumed. Significant reductions in our estimates of the remaining lives of our landfills or significant increases in our estimates of the landfill final capping, closure and post-closure maintenance costs could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Additionally, changes in regulatory or legislative requirements could increase our costs related to our landfills, resulting in a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We own two landfills for which the prior owners are obligated to reimburse us for certain costs we incur for final capping, closure and post-closure activities on the portion of the landfill utilized by the prior owners. We accrue the prior owner's portion of the final capping, closure and post-closure obligation within the balance sheet classification of other long-term liabilities, and a corresponding receivable from the prior owner in long-term other assets.

**Disposal capacity.** Our internal and third-party engineers perform surveys at least annually to estimate the remaining disposal capacity at our landfills. Our landfill depletion rates are based on the remaining disposal capacity, considering both permitted and probable expansion airspace, at the landfills that we own and at the landfills that we operate, but do not own, under life-of-site agreements. Our landfill depletion rates are based on the term of the operating agreement at our operated landfills that have capitalized expenditures. Expansion airspace consists of additional disposal capacity being pursued through means of expansion that is not actually permitted. Expansion airspace that meets certain criteria is included in our estimate of total landfill airspace. The criteria we use to determine when expansion airspace may be included as disposal capacity are as follows:

- 1) whether the land where the expansion is being sought is contiguous to the current disposal site, and we either own the expansion property or have rights to it under an option, purchase, operating or other similar

agreement;

- 2) whether total development costs, final capping costs, and closure/post-closure costs have been determined;
- 3) whether internal personnel have performed a financial analysis of the proposed expansion site and have determined that it has a positive financial and operational impact;
- 4) whether internal personnel or external consultants are actively working to obtain the necessary approvals to obtain the landfill expansion permit; and
- 5) whether we consider it probable that we will achieve the expansion (for a pursued expansion to be considered probable, there must be no significant known technical, legal, community, business or political restrictions or similar issues existing that we believe are more likely than not to impair the success of the expansion).

## **Table of Contents**

We may be unsuccessful in obtaining permits for expansion disposal capacity at our landfills. In such cases, we will charge the previously capitalized development costs to expense. This will adversely affect our operating results and cash flows and could result in greater landfill depletion expense being recognized on a prospective basis.

We periodically evaluate our landfill sites for potential impairment indicators. Our judgments regarding the existence of impairment indicators are based on regulatory factors, market conditions and operational performance of our landfills. Future events could cause us to conclude that impairment indicators exist and that our landfill carrying costs are impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

**Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible testing.** Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles are tested for impairment on at least an annual basis in the fourth quarter of the year. In the first step of testing for goodwill impairment, we estimate the fair value of each reporting unit, which we have determined to be our geographic operating segments, and compare the fair value with the carrying value of the net assets assigned to each reporting unit. If the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than the carrying value of the net assets assigned to the reporting unit, then no impairment results. If the fair value is less than its carrying value, then we would perform a second step and determine the fair value of the goodwill. In this second step, the fair value of goodwill is determined by deducting the fair value of a reporting unit's identifiable assets and liabilities from the fair value of the reporting unit as a whole, as if that reporting unit had just been acquired and the purchase price were being initially allocated. If the fair value of the goodwill is less than its carrying value for a reporting unit, an impairment charge would be recorded to earnings in our Consolidated Statement of Income. In testing indefinite-lived intangibles for impairment, we compare the estimated fair value of each indefinite-lived intangible to its carrying value. If the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible is less than its carrying value, an impairment charge would be recorded to earnings in our Consolidated Statement of Income.

To determine the fair value of each of our reporting units as a whole and each indefinite-lived intangible asset, we use discounted cash flow analyses, which require significant assumptions and estimates about the future operations of each reporting unit and the future discrete cash flows related to each indefinite-lived intangible asset. Significant judgments inherent in these analyses include the determination of appropriate discount rates, the amount and timing of expected future cash flows and growth rates. The cash flows employed in our 2010 discounted cash flow analyses were based on ten-year financial forecasts, which in turn were based on the 2011 annual budget developed internally by management. These forecasts reflect operating profit margins that were consistent with 2010 results and perpetual revenue growth rates of 4.5%. Our discount rate assumptions are based on an assessment of our weighted average cost of capital. In assessing the reasonableness of our determined fair values of our reporting units, we evaluate our results against our current market capitalization.

In addition, we would evaluate a reporting unit for impairment if events or circumstances change between annual tests indicating a possible impairment. Examples of such events or circumstances include the following:

- a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate;
- an adverse action or assessment by a regulator;
- a more likely than not expectation that a segment or a significant portion thereof will be sold; or
- the testing for recoverability of a significant asset group within the segment.

We did not record an impairment charge as a result of our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles impairment test in 2010. Additionally, we do not expect any impairment on our goodwill or indefinite-lived intangibles in the foreseeable future. However, we cannot assure you that goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles will not be impaired at any time in the future.

**Business Combination Accounting.** We recognize, separately from goodwill, the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated acquisition date fair values. We measure and recognize goodwill as of the acquisition date as the excess of: (a) the aggregate of the fair value of consideration transferred, the fair value of any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree (if any) and the acquisition date fair value of our previously held equity interest in the acquiree (if any), over (b) the fair value of net assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

From time to time, we consummate acquisitions in which we exchange operations we own for operations owned by another solid waste company. These exchange transactions require us to estimate the fair market value of either the operations we receive or the operations we dispose of, whichever is more clearly evident. To the extent that the fair

market value of the operations we dispose of differs from the fair market value of the operations we obtain, cash is either paid or received to offset the difference in fair market values. One method we use to estimate the fair value of solid waste companies is based on a multiple of EBITDA. We determine the appropriate EBITDA multiple to be used in the valuation of exchange transactions based on factors such as the size of the transaction, the type and location of markets serviced, the existence of long-term contracts and the EBITDA multiples we have paid in other similar cash-based transactions.

**Table of Contents****General**

Our solid waste revenues are derived from one industry segment, and consist mainly of fees we charge customers for collection, transfer, recycling and disposal of non-hazardous solid waste. Our collection business also generates revenues from the sale of recyclable commodities, which have significant variability. A large part of our collection revenues comes from providing residential, commercial and industrial services. We frequently perform these services under service agreements, municipal contracts or franchise agreements with governmental entities. Our existing franchise agreements and most of our existing municipal contracts give us the exclusive right to provide specified waste services in the specified territory during the contract term. These exclusive arrangements are awarded, at least initially, on a competitive bid basis and subsequently on a bid or negotiated basis. We also provide residential collection services on a subscription basis with individual households.

We charge transfer station and landfill customers a tipping fee on a per ton and/or per yard basis for disposing their solid waste at our transfer stations and landfill facilities. Many of our transfer station and landfill customers have entered into one to ten year disposal contracts with us, most of which provide for annual indexed price increases.

We typically determine the prices of our solid waste services by the collection frequency and level of service, route density, volume, weight and type of waste collected, type of equipment and containers furnished, the distance to the disposal or processing facility, the cost of disposal or processing and prices charged by competitors for similar services. The terms of our contracts sometimes limit our ability to pass on price increases. Long-term solid waste collection contracts often contain a formula, generally based on a published price index, that automatically adjusts fees to cover increases in some, but not all, operating costs, or that limit increases to less than 100% of the increase in the applicable price index.

Our revenues from recycling services consist of selling recyclable materials (including cardboard, office paper, plastic containers, glass bottles and ferrous and aluminum metals) collected from our residential customers and at our recycling processing operations to third parties for processing before resale.

Our revenues from intermodal services consist mainly of fees we charge customers for the movement of cargo and solid waste containers between our intermodal facilities. We also generate revenue from the storage, maintenance and repair of cargo and solid waste containers and the sale or lease of containers and chassis.

No single contract or customer accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues at the consolidated or reportable segment level during the periods presented. The table below shows for the periods indicated our total reported revenues attributable to services provided (dollars in thousands).

|                                | Years Ended December 31, |        |              |        |              |        |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|
|                                | 2008                     |        | 2009         |        | 2010         |        |
| Collection                     | \$ 787,713               | 66.4%  | \$ 901,768   | 66.1%  | \$ 951,327   | 62.8%  |
| Disposal and transfer          | 308,811                  | 26.0   | 392,497      | 28.8   | 458,241      | 30.3   |
| Recycling and other            | 89,594                   | 7.6    | 68,845       | 5.1    | 103,974      | 6.9    |
|                                | 1,186,118                | 100.0% | 1,363,110    | 100.0% | 1,513,542    | 100.0% |
| Less: intercompany elimination | (136,515)                |        | (171,717)    |        | (193,785)    |        |
| Total revenue                  | \$ 1,049,603             |        | \$ 1,191,393 |        | \$ 1,319,757 |        |

Cost of operations includes labor and benefits, tipping fees paid to third-party disposal facilities, vehicle and equipment maintenance, workers compensation, vehicle and equipment insurance, insurance and employee group health claims expense, third-party transportation expense, fuel, the cost of materials we purchase for recycling, district and state taxes and host community fees and royalties. Our significant costs of operations in 2010 were labor, third-party disposal and transportation, vehicle and equipment maintenance, taxes and fees, insurance and fuel. We use a number of programs to reduce overall cost of operations, including increasing the use of automated routes to

reduce labor and workers compensation exposure, utilizing comprehensive maintenance and health and safety programs, and increasing the use of transfer stations to further enhance internalization rates. We carry high-deductible insurance for automobile liability, property, general liability, workers compensation, employer s liability and employer group health claims. If we experience insurance claims or costs above or below our historically evaluated levels, our estimates could be materially affected.

**Table of Contents**

Selling, general and administrative, or SG&A, expense includes management, sales force, clerical and administrative employee compensation and benefits, legal, accounting and other professional services, acquisition, bad debt expense and rent expense for our corporate headquarters.

Depreciation expense includes depreciation of equipment and fixed assets over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. Depletion expense includes depletion of landfill site costs and total future development costs as remaining airspace of the landfill is consumed. Remaining airspace at our landfills includes both permitted and probable expansion airspace. Amortization expense includes the amortization of definite-lived intangible assets, consisting primarily of long-term franchise agreements and contracts and non-competition agreements, over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method. Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets, consisting primarily of certain perpetual rights to provide solid waste collection and transportation services in specified territories, are not amortized.

We capitalize some third-party expenditures related to development projects, such as legal, engineering and interest expenses. We expense all third-party and indirect acquisition costs, including third-party legal and engineering expenses, executive and corporate overhead, public relations and other corporate services, as we incur them. We charge against net income any unamortized capitalized expenditures and advances (net of any portion that we believe we may recover, through sale or otherwise) that may become impaired, such as those that relate to any operation that is permanently shut down and any landfill development project that we believe will not be completed. We routinely evaluate all capitalized costs, and expense those related to projects that we believe are not likely to succeed.

At December 31, 2010, we had \$11.6 million in capitalized expenditures for a landfill project in Chaparral, New Mexico, with respect to which we had obtained a permit to operate the landfill. On July 18, 2005, the Supreme Court of New Mexico ordered the New Mexico Environment Department to conduct an additional limited hearing to consider evidence that landfill opponents claim was wrongfully excluded. The parties have agreed to postpone the hearing until November 2011 to allow us time to explore a possible relocation of the landfill to the approximately 325 acres of undeveloped land we purchased from the State of New Mexico in July 2009. If we are ultimately issued a permit to operate the landfill at the new site purchased in July 2009, we will be required to expense in a future period \$10.3 million of capitalized expenditures related to the original Chaparral property, less the recoverable value of that undeveloped property and other amounts recovered, which would likely have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for that period. If we instead are ultimately issued a permit to operate the landfill at the original Chaparral property, we will be required to expense in a future period \$1.3 million of capitalized expenditures related to the new site purchased in July 2009, less the recoverable value of that undeveloped property and other amounts recovered. If we are not ultimately issued a permit to operate the landfill at either one of the two sites, we will be required to expense in a future period the full \$11.6 million of capitalized expenditures, less the recoverable value of the undeveloped properties and other amounts recovered, which would likely have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for that period.

**Segment Reporting**

Our Chief Operating Decision Maker evaluates performance and determines resource allocations based on several factors, of which the primary financial measure is operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets. Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets is not a measure of operating income, operating performance or liquidity under GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. Our management uses operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets in the evaluation of segment operating performance as it is a profit measure that is generally within the control of the operating segments.

We manage our operations through three geographic operating segments (Western, Central and Southern), which, commencing in 2009, were also our reportable segments. Prior to 2009, we aggregated our multiple operating segments into one reportable segment. Each operating segment is responsible for managing several vertically integrated operations, which are comprised of districts. The segment information presented herein reflects the realignment of our organizational structure in the second quarter of 2008, which reduced the number of our geographic operating segments from four to three. The segment information presented herein also reflects the realignment of certain of our districts between operating segments in the first quarter of 2010. Under the current



orientation, our Western Region is comprised of operating locations in California, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Washington and western Wyoming; our Central Region is comprised of operating locations in Colorado, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Utah and eastern Wyoming; and our Southern Region is comprised of operating locations in Alabama, Arizona, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Texas.

**Table of Contents**

Revenues, net of intercompany eliminations, for our reportable segments are shown in the following table for the periods indicated (in thousands):

|          | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |              |              |
|----------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|          | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>2009</b>  | <b>2010</b>  |
| Western  | \$ 529,538                      | \$ 634,368   | \$ 709,821   |
| Central  | 281,529                         | 274,762      | 291,320      |
| Southern | 238,536                         | 282,263      | 318,616      |
|          | \$ 1,049,603                    | \$ 1,191,393 | \$ 1,319,757 |

Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets for our reportable segments is shown in the following table for the periods indicated (in thousands):

|                          | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                          | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>2009</b> | <b>2010</b> |
| Western                  | \$ 155,591                      | \$ 184,421  | \$ 218,254  |
| Central                  | 79,353                          | 86,984      | 95,047      |
| Southern                 | 71,205                          | 85,846      | 101,827     |
| Corporate <sup>(a)</sup> | 4,265                           | 3,701       | 5,282       |
|                          | \$ 310,414                      | \$ 360,952  | \$ 420,410  |

(a) Corporate functions include accounting, legal, tax, treasury, information technology, risk management, human resources, training and other administrative functions.

A reconciliation of Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets to Income before income tax provision is included in Note 14 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Significant changes in revenue and operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets for our reportable segments for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to the year ended December 31, 2009 and for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to the year ended December 31, 2008, are discussed below:

**Segment Revenue**

Revenue in our Western segment increased \$75.4 million, or 11.9%, to \$709.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$634.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the components of the revenue increase consisted of revenue acquired from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2009 of \$30.0 million, net price increases of \$13.1 million, volume increases of \$5.6 million, recyclable commodity sales increases of \$20.4 million and other revenue increases of \$6.3 million.

Revenue in our Western segment increased \$104.9 million, or 19.8%, to \$634.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$529.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the components of the revenue increase consisted of revenue acquired from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2008 of \$134.6 million and net price increases of \$13.6 million, partially offset by volume decreases of \$21.2 million, recyclable commodity sales decreases of \$11.7 million and other revenue decreases of \$10.4 million.

Revenue in our Central segment increased \$16.5 million, or 6.0%, to \$291.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$274.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the

components of the revenue increase consisted of revenue acquired from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2009 of \$5.5 million, net price increases of \$10.4 million, recyclable commodity sales increases of \$3.0 million and other revenue increases of \$0.4 million, partially offset by volume decreases of \$2.8 million.

Revenue in our Central segment decreased \$6.7 million, or 2.4%, to \$274.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$281.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the components of the revenue decrease consisted of volume decreases of \$27.1 million and recyclable commodity sales decreases of \$3.0 million, partially offset by revenue acquired from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2008 of \$14.2 million and net price increases of \$9.2 million.

**Table of Contents**

Revenue in our Southern segment increased \$36.3 million, or 12.9%, to \$318.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$282.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the components of the revenue increase consisted of revenue acquired from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2009 of \$27.4 million, net price increases of \$10.8 million and recyclable commodity sales increases of \$1.2 million, partially offset by volume decreases of \$2.3 million and other revenue decreases of \$0.8 million.

Revenue in our Southern segment increased \$43.8 million, or 18.3%, to \$282.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$238.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the components of the revenue increase consisted of revenue acquired from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2008 of \$54.8 million, net price increases of \$4.8 million and other revenue increases of \$0.7 million, partially offset by volume decreases of \$15.8 million and recyclable commodity sales decreases of \$0.7 million.

**Segment Operating Income before Depreciation, Amortization and Gain (Loss) on Disposal of Assets**

We entered into fuel hedge agreements in December 2008, with the fuel hedges commencing in January 2009. We did not begin allocating the realized losses on our diesel fuel expenses resulting from the fuel hedge agreements to our three geographic operating segments until January 1, 2010. Therefore, the segment operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets information presented below has been adjusted to reflect the allocation of realized losses on our diesel fuel expenses to our three geographic operating segments for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets in our Western segment increased \$33.9 million, or 18.3%, to \$218.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$184.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to income generated from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2009, and the following changes at operations owned in the comparable periods in 2009 and 2010: increased revenues, decreased legal expenses and decreased fuel expenses due to lower realized losses on diesel fuel hedges allocated to the Western segment; partially offset by increased disposal expenses; increased third party trucking and transportation expenses; increased expenses associated with the cost of purchasing recyclable commodities; increased taxes on revenues; increased direct and administrative labor expenses; and increased truck, equipment and container repair expenses.

Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets in our Western segment increased \$28.8 million, or 18.5%, to \$184.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$155.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily due to income generated from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2008, and the following changes at operations owned in the comparable periods in 2008 and 2009: decreased labor expenses; decreased fuel expense; decreased third party trucking and transportation expenses; decreased major vehicle and equipment repairs; and decreased expenses associated with the cost of purchasing recyclable commodities; partially offset by decreased revenues at operations owned in the comparable periods, increased legal expenses and increased incentive compensation expenses.

Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets in our Central segment increased \$8.0 million, or 9.3%, to \$95.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$87.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to the following changes at operations owned in the comparable periods in 2009 and 2010: increased revenues and decreased fuel expenses due to lower realized losses on diesel fuel hedges allocated to the Central segment; partially offset by increased disposal expenses; increased third party trucking and transportation expenses; increased taxes on revenues; increased direct and administrative labor expenses and increased advertising expenses.

Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets in our Central segment increased \$7.6 million, or 9.6%, to \$87.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$79.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily due to income generated from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2008, and the following changes at operations owned in the comparable periods in 2008 and 2009: decreased labor expenses; decreased fuel expense; decreased major vehicle and equipment repairs; and decreased disposal and third party trucking and transportation expenses; partially offset by

decreased revenues.

**Table of Contents**

Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets in our Southern segment increased \$16.0 million, or 18.6%, to \$101.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$85.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to income generated from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2009, and the following changes at operations owned in the comparable periods in 2009 and 2010: increased revenues and decreased auto and workers' compensation insurance expenses; partially offset by increased disposal expenses; increased third party trucking and transportation expenses; increased direct and administrative labor expenses; and increased truck, equipment and container repair expenses.

Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets in our Southern segment increased \$14.6 million, or 20.6%, to \$85.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$71.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily due to income generated from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2008, and the following changes at operations owned in the comparable periods in 2008 and 2009: decreased labor expenses; decreased fuel expense; decreased disposal and third party trucking and transportation expenses; partially offset by decreased revenues.

Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets at Corporate increased \$1.6 million, or 42.7%, to \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$3.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Our estimated recurring corporate expenses, which can vary from the actual amount of incurred corporate expenses, are allocated to our three geographic operating segments. The increase was primarily due to decreased legal expenses, decreased direct acquisition costs that were charged to expense and recording charges during the year ended December 31, 2009 to establish our liability for remaining rental expenses, net of estimated sublease rentals, at our prior corporate office facilities, partially offset by increased cash and stock-based incentive compensation expense.

Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets at Corporate decreased \$0.6 million, or 13.2%, to \$3.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Our estimated recurring corporate expenses, which can vary from the actual amount of incurred corporate expenses, are allocated to our three geographic operating segments. The net operating losses for the year ended December 31, 2009 were due primarily to charges recorded to establish or increase our liability for remaining rental expenses, net of estimated sublease rentals, at our prior corporate office facilities, direct acquisition costs that were charged to expense, realized losses on diesel fuel hedges which were not allocated to our three geographic operating segments, increased compensation expense resulting from increased deferred compensation plan liabilities due to improved market performance of employee deferred contributions and increased cash and stock-based incentive compensation expenses.

**Table of Contents****Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth items in our consolidated statements of income in thousands and as a percentage of revenues for the periods indicated:

|   | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |  |              |  |              |  |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--------------|--|--------------|--|
|   | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>As a % of<br/>2008<br/>Revenues</b> | <b>2009</b>  | <b>As a % of<br/>2009<br/>Revenues</b> | <b>2010</b>  | <b>As a % of<br/>2010<br/>Revenues</b> |
| Revenues  | \$ 1,049,603                    | 100.0%                                 | \$ 1,191,393 | 100.0%                                 | \$ 1,319,757 | 100.0%                                 |
| Cost of operations                                  | 628,075                         | 59.8                                   | 692,415      | 58.1                                   | 749,487      | 56.8                                   |
| Selling, general and administrative                 | 111,114                         | 10.6                                   | 138,026      | 11.6                                   | 149,860      | 11.3                                   |
| Depreciation  | 91,095                          | 8.7                                    | 117,796      | 9.9                                    | 132,874      | 10.1                                   |
| Amortization of intangibles                         | 6,334                           | 0.6                                    | 12,962       | 1.1                                    | 14,582       | 1.1                                    |
| Loss (gain) on disposal of assets                   | 629                             | 0.1                                    | (481)        | (0.1)                                  | 571          | 0.1                                    |
| Operating income                                    | 212,356                         | 20.2                                   | 230,675      | 19.4                                   | 272,383      | 20.6                                   |
| Interest expense                                    | (43,102)                        | (4.1)                                  | (49,161)     | (4.1)                                  | (40,134)     | (3.0)                                  |
| Interest income                                     | 3,297                           | 0.4                                    | 1,413        | 0.1                                    | 590          | 0.1                                    |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt                      |                                 |  |              |  | (10,193)     | (0.8)                                  |
| Other income (expense), net                         | (633)                           | (0.1)                                  | (7,551)      | (0.7)                                  | 2,830        | 0.2                                    |
| Income tax provision                                | (56,775)                        | (5.4)                                  | (64,565)     | (5.4)                                  | (89,334)     | (6.8)                                  |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (12,240)                        | (1.2)                                  | (986)        | (0.1)                                  | (1,038)      | (0.1)                                  |
| Net income attributable to Waste Connections        | \$ 102,903                      | 9.8%                                   | \$ 109,825   | 9.2%                                   | \$ 135,104   | 10.2%                                  |

**Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009**

**Revenues.** Total revenues increased \$128.4 million, or 10.8%, to \$1.32 billion for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$1.19 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2009, increased revenues by approximately \$62.9 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, the net increase in prices charged to our customers was \$34.4 million, consisting of \$31.8 million of core price increases and \$2.6 million of fuel, materials and environmental surcharges.

Volume increases in our existing business during the year ended December 31, 2010, increased revenues by approximately \$0.5 million. The net increase in volume was primarily attributable to increases in landfill volumes and roll off hauling activity for operations owned in the comparable periods, partially offset by declines in residential and commercial hauling activity. Our volume improved from a negative \$10.4 million and negative \$1.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2010, respectively, to a positive \$10.1 million and positive \$2.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2010, respectively, as a result of increased stability in our operating markets and revenues generated from landfill special waste projects.

Increased recyclable commodity volumes collected and increased recyclable commodity prices during the year ended December 31, 2010, increased revenues by \$24.6 million. The increase in recyclable commodity prices was primarily a result of the recovery of overseas demand for recyclable commodities, which had experienced significant year-over-year declines beginning near the end of 2008 and continuing through most of 2009.

Other revenues increased by \$6.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2010, primarily due to an increase in cargo volume at our intermodal operations.

Cost of Operations. Total cost of operations increased \$57.1 million, or 8.2%, to \$749.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$692.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to operating costs associated with acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2009, and the following changes at operations owned in the comparable periods in 2009 and 2010: increased rail transportation expenses at our intermodal operations; increased third party trucking and transportation expenses due to increased waste disposal internalization and outsourcing these



**Table of Contents**

services; increased disposal expenses due to increased disposal rates and volumes; increased franchise fees and taxes on revenues due to increased tax rates and increased landfill volumes; increased expenses associated with the cost of purchasing recyclable commodities due to recyclable commodity pricing increases; increased labor expenses; increased truck, equipment and container repair expenses; increased property taxes and increased facility repairs; partially offset by decreased diesel fuel expense due primarily to the expiration at the end of 2009 of diesel fuel purchase contracts in which the diesel fuel contract price per gallon exceeded the diesel fuel retail price; decreased auto and workers' compensation expense under our high deductible insurance program due to a reduction in projected losses on open claims and a decrease in general liability insurance expenses due to reduced claims severity.

Cost of operations as a percentage of revenues decreased 1.3 percentage points to 56.8% for the year ended December 31, 2010, from 58.1% for the year ended December 31, 2009. The decrease as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to decreased fuel expense, decreased auto and workers' compensation expense, decreased general liability insurance expenses and price increases charged to our customers being higher, on a percentage basis, than certain expense increases recognized during the year ended December 31, 2010, partially offset by increased third party trucking and transportation expenses and increased rail transportation expenses.

**SG&A.** SG&A expenses increased \$11.9 million, or 8.6%, to \$149.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$138.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily the result of additional personnel from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2009, increased payroll and payroll-related expenses, increased cash and stock-based incentive compensation expense and increased advertising expenses, partially offset by a decrease in legal expenses, decreased direct acquisition expenses and decreased expenses associated with our prior corporate office facilities. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we incurred higher direct acquisition expenses due primarily to our acquisition of certain operations from Republic Services, Inc. SG&A expenses as a percentage of revenues decreased 0.3 percentage points to 11.3% for the year ended December 31, 2010, from 11.6% for the year ended December 31, 2009. The decrease as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to declines in the aforementioned charges for our prior corporate office facilities and direct acquisition expenses.

**Depreciation.** Depreciation expense increased \$15.1 million, or 12.8%, to \$132.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$117.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to depreciation and depletion associated with acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2009, increased depletion expense at existing operations and increased depreciation expense associated with additions to our fleet and equipment purchased to support our existing operations.

Depreciation expense as a percentage of revenues increased 0.2 percentage points to 10.1% for the year ended December 31, 2010, from 9.9% for the year ended December 31, 2009, due primarily to increased depletion expense at acquired operations.

**Amortization of Intangibles.** Amortization of intangibles expense increased \$1.6 million, or 12.5%, to \$14.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$13.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, due primarily to amortization on contracts, customer lists and other intangibles acquired during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2009.

**Loss (Gain) on Disposal of Assets.** Loss (gain) on disposal of assets increased \$1.1 million, to a loss of \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from a gain of \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. On an aggregate basis, assets disposed in 2010 had a higher book carrying value relative to sales proceeds compared to assets disposed in 2009.

**Operating Income.** Operating income increased \$41.7 million, or 18.1%, to \$272.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$230.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily attributable to increased revenues, partially offset by increased operating costs, increased SG&A expense, and increased depreciation expense and amortization of intangibles expense.

Operating income as a percentage of revenues increased 1.2 percentage points to 20.6% for the year ended December 31, 2010, from 19.4% for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase as a percentage of revenues was due to the previously described 1.3 percentage point decrease in cost of operations and 0.3 percentage point decrease in SG&A expense, partially offset by the 0.2 percentage point increase in depreciation expense and 0.2 percentage

point increase in loss (gain) on disposal of assets.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$9.1 million, or 18.4%, to \$40.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$49.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The decrease was primarily attributable to funding the redemption of our 2026 Notes with borrowings under our credit facility at lower interest rates, a reduction in the amortization of our debt discount on the redeemed 2026 Notes and reduced average borrowing rates on the portion of our credit facility borrowings not fixed under interest rate swap agreements.

**Table of Contents**

**Interest Income.** Interest income decreased \$0.8 million to \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, due to lower average cash balances. We maintained higher cash balances, primarily between January 2009 and April 2009, in order to fund acquisitions that closed during the second quarter of 2009.

**Loss on Extinguishment of Debt.** Loss on extinguishment of debt for the year ended December 31, 2010, consisted of an expense charge of \$9.7 million associated with the redemption of our 2026 Notes and a charge of \$0.5 million associated with the redemption of our Wasco Bonds.

**Other Income (Expense), Net.** Other income (expense), net increased \$10.4 million to an income balance of \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from an expense balance of \$7.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded a \$9.2 million charge to other expense resulting from the termination of two interest rate swap agreements prior to their expiration.

**Income Tax Provision.** Income taxes increased \$24.7 million, or 38.4%, to \$89.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$64.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Our effective tax rates for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, were 36.8% and 39.6%, respectively.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, we recorded a \$1.2 million increase in the income tax provision associated with the reconciliation of the income tax provision to the 2009 federal and state tax returns, which were filed during 2010. We also recorded a reduction to the liability for uncertain tax positions of approximately \$0.6 million due to the expiration of certain statutes of limitations, which was recorded as a reduction to income tax expense. Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2010, we recorded a \$1.5 million increase in the income tax provision associated with an adjustment in deferred tax liabilities resulting from a voter-approved increase in Oregon state income tax rates and changes to the geographic apportionment of our state income taxes and a \$0.4 million increase in the income tax provision associated with the disposal of certain assets that had no tax basis.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded a reduction to income tax expense of \$1.6 million, resulting from changes to the geographical apportionment of our state income taxes due to acquisitions closed in the current year and from current year changes to the state apportionment formulas used in certain states, and the reconciliation of the income tax provision to the 2008 federal and state tax returns, which were filed during 2009. Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded a net reduction to the liability for uncertain tax positions of approximately \$0.8 million due to the expiration of certain statutes of limitations, which was recorded as a reduction to income tax expense.

Years Ended December 31, 2009 and 2008

**Revenues.** Total revenues increased \$141.8 million, or 13.5%, to \$1.19 billion for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$1.05 billion for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2008, increased revenues by approximately \$203.6 million.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the net increase in prices charged to our customers was \$27.7 million, consisting of \$49.6 million of core price increases, partially offset by a \$21.9 million reduction in surcharges primarily related to declining fuel costs.

Volume decreases in our existing business during the year ended December 31, 2009, reduced revenues by approximately \$64.2 million. The net decrease in volume was primarily attributable to declines in roll off activity and landfill and transfer station volumes for operations owned in the comparable periods as a result of the economic recession affecting the United States in 2009.

Lower recyclable commodity prices during the initial nine months of 2009, primarily a result of decreased overseas demand for recyclable commodities, partially offset by improved commodity pricing during the final three months of 2009, resulted in a net decrease to revenues of \$15.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2009. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the decrease in recyclable revenues from price declines was \$19.0 million. Commodity price improvements during the three months ended December 31, 2009 increased revenues by \$3.5 million.



**Table of Contents**

Other revenues decreased by \$9.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to a decline in cargo volume at our intermodal operations.

**Cost of Operations.** Total cost of operations increased \$64.3 million, or 10.2%, to \$692.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$628.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was attributable to operating costs associated with acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2008, increased landfill operating and leachate disposal costs at certain landfills we own and an increase in auto and workers compensation expense under our high deductible insurance program due to a larger reduction in projected losses on open claims in 2008 compared to 2009, partially offset by decreased labor expenses due to headcount reductions at our operations owned in the comparable periods, decreased employee medical benefit expenses resulting from decreases in claims cost, decreased diesel fuel expense resulting from lower volumes consumed and lower prices, decreased major vehicle and equipment repairs, decreased disposal and third party trucking and transportation expenses due to decreased volumes and decreased expenses associated with the cost of purchasing recyclable commodities due to recyclable commodity pricing declines. We record adjustments to auto and workers compensation claim development costs based on changes in estimates of actuarially projected losses on open claims determined by our third party administrator's review and a third party actuarial review of our estimated insurance liability. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009, adjustments recorded resulting from changes in estimates of actuarially projected losses on open claims resulted in reductions to insurance expense of \$3.0 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, which partially offset the overall increase in insurance expense discussed above.

Cost of operations as a percentage of revenues decreased 1.7 percentage points to 58.1% for the year ended December 31, 2009, from 59.8% for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to decreased fuel prices, decreased third party trucking and transportation expenses and decreases in the cost of recyclable commodities, partially offset by increased auto and workers compensation expense and increased landfill leachate disposal expenses.

**SG&A.** SG&A expenses increased \$26.9 million, or 24.2%, to \$138.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$111.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in SG&A expenses was primarily the result of additional personnel from acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2008, increased cash and stock-based incentive compensation expense, increased compensation expense resulting from increased deferred compensation plan liabilities due to improved market performance of employee deferred contributions, increased legal expenses, recording a charge to increase our liability for remaining rental expenses, net of estimated sublease rentals, at our prior corporate office facilities, and charging direct acquisition costs to SG&A expense, partially offset by a decrease in bad debt expense.

SG&A expenses as a percentage of revenues increased 1.0 percentage point to 11.6% for the year ended December 31, 2009, from 10.6% for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to declines in revenues from operations owned in the comparable periods, increased cash and equity-based incentive compensation expenses, increased deferred compensation expense, increased legal expenses, the aforementioned expense charge for our former corporate office facilities and the aforementioned charge for direct acquisition costs.

**Depreciation.** Depreciation expense increased \$26.7 million, or 29.3%, to \$117.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$91.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to depreciation and depletion associated with acquisitions closed during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2008, and additions to our fleet and equipment purchased to support our existing operations, partially offset by reduced depletion expense at landfills owned during the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009 due to lower landfill volumes.

Depreciation expense as a percentage of revenues increased 1.2 percentage points to 9.9% for the year ended December 31, 2009, from 8.7% for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase in depreciation expense as a percentage of revenues was due to the impact of declines in revenues from operations owned in the comparable periods, coupled with the aforementioned increased depreciation expense at existing and acquired operations and increased depletion expense at acquired operations.

Amortization of Intangibles. Amortization of intangibles expense increased \$6.7 million, or 104.6%, to \$13.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$6.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Amortization of intangibles expense as a percentage of revenues increased 0.5 percentage points to 1.1% for the year ended December 31, 2009, from 0.6% for the year ended December 31, 2008. These increases were primarily attributable to amortization of contracts, customer lists and other intangibles acquired during, or subsequent to, the year ended December 31, 2008.

Operating Income. Operating income increased \$18.3 million, or 8.6%, to \$230.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$212.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to increased revenues and decreased losses on disposal of assets, partially offset by increased operating costs, increased SG&A expense, and increased depreciation expense and amortization of intangibles expense.

**Table of Contents**

Operating income as a percentage of revenues decreased 0.8 percentage points to 19.4% for the year ended December 31, 2009, from 20.2% for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease as a percentage of revenues was due to the previously described 1.0 percentage point increase in SG&A expense, combined 1.7 percentage point increase in depreciation expense and amortization of intangibles expense, partially offset by a 1.7 percentage point decrease in cost of operations and a 0.2 percentage point change resulting from the recognition of a gain on the disposal of assets during the year ended December 31, 2009.

**Interest Expense.** Interest expense increased \$6.1 million, or 14.1%, to \$49.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$43.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to increased average debt balances, partially offset by reduced average borrowing rates on the portion of our credit facility borrowings not fixed under interest rate swap agreements.

**Interest Income.** Interest income decreased \$1.9 million to \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$3.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. We maintained higher average cash balances in money market accounts during the three months ended December 31, 2008 and the three months ended March 31, 2009 in anticipation of funding the acquisition of certain operations from Republic Services, Inc. Due to a decline in rates of return on money market accounts, interest earned on our cash balances was higher in 2008, compared to 2009.

**Other Income (Expense), Net.** Other income (expense), net increased \$7.0 million to an expense balance of \$7.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from an expense balance of \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to the termination of two of our interest rate swap agreements in conjunction with the issuance in October 2009 of our senior notes due 2019, partially offset by earnings on our mutual fund investments. We purchase investments in mutual funds to fund our obligations under our deferred compensation plan.

**Income Tax Provision.** Income taxes increased \$7.8 million, or 13.7%, to \$64.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$56.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Our effective tax rates for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2009 were 33.0% and 36.8%, respectively. As a result of our adoption of the new guidance on noncontrolling interests, effective January 1, 2009, the measurement of our effective tax rate has changed from previous years. The adoption of the noncontrolling interests guidance resulted in an increase in our Income before income tax provision due to the inclusion of Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in this measure. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests, or what was previously referred to as Minority Interests expense, was historically shown as an expense in arriving at Income before income tax provision. Under the new noncontrolling interests guidance, amounts reported as Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests are now reported net of any applicable taxes. Our 2008 effective tax rate has been remeasured and reported in a manner consistent with the current measurement approach.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, we recorded a reduction to income tax expense of \$1.6 million, resulting from changes to the geographical apportionment of our state income taxes due to acquisitions closed in the current year and from current year changes to the state apportionment formulas used in certain states and the reconciliation of the income tax provision to the 2008 federal and state tax returns, which were filed during 2009. Additionally, we recorded a net reduction to the liability for uncertain tax positions of approximately \$0.8 million due to the expiration of certain statutes of limitations, which was recorded as a reduction to income tax expense.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we recorded a reduction to income tax expense of \$4.9 million, resulting primarily from changes to the geographical apportionment of our state income taxes, the reversal of certain tax contingencies for which the statutes of limitations expired in 2008, and the reconciliation of the income tax provision to the 2007 federal and state tax returns, which were filed during 2008.

**Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests.** Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased \$11.2 million, or 91.9%, to \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$12.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily due to our acquisition in November 2008 of the remaining 49% interest in Pierce County Recycling, Composting and Disposal, LLC and Pierce County Landfill Management, Inc. ( PCRCDD ). During the year ended December 31, 2008, net income attributable to PCRCDD was \$11.4 million.





**Table of Contents****Liquidity and Capital Resources**

The following table sets forth certain cash flow information for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 (in thousands):

|   | <b>2008</b> | <b>2009</b> | <b>2010</b> |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities           | \$ 270,409  | \$ 303,637  | \$ 328,396  |
| Net cash used in investing activities               | (467,647)   | (548,227)   | (214,224)   |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 452,204     | (11,035)    | (113,938)   |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents     | 254,966     | (255,625)   | 234         |
| Cash and equivalents at beginning of year           | 10,298      | 265,264     | 9,639       |
| Cash and equivalents at end of year                 | \$ 265,264  | \$ 9,639    | \$ 9,873    |

**Operating Activities Cash Flows**

For the year ended December 31, 2010, net cash provided by operating activities was \$328.4 million. For the year ended December 31, 2009, net cash provided by operating activities was \$303.6 million. The \$24.8 million net increase in cash provided by operating activities was due primarily to the following:

- 1) An increase in net income of \$25.3 million;
- 2) An increase in depreciation and amortization expense of \$16.7 million;
- 3) A decrease in deferred taxes of \$11.8 million due primarily to the recognition during the year ended December 31, 2009, of tax benefits associated with a change in our tax method for deducting depreciation expense for certain landfills;
- 4) An increase in equity-based compensation expense of \$2.0 million;
- 5) A decrease of \$7.9 million attributable to an increase in the excess tax benefit associated with equity-based compensation, due to an increase in stock option exercises resulting in increased taxable income recognized by employees that is tax deductible to us; and
- 6) An increase in cash flows from operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions, of \$1.3 million to cash provided by operating assets and liabilities of \$12.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from cash provided by operating assets and liabilities of \$10.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The significant components of the \$12.1 million in cash inflows from changes in operating assets and liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2010, include the following:
  - a) an increase from prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$3.3 million due primarily to decreases in prepaid income taxes and prepaid insurance expenses;
  - b) an increase from accrued liabilities of \$15.3 million due primarily to increased accruals for auto and workers compensation insurance claims, cash-based employee incentive compensation expense, payroll and payroll-related liabilities, partially offset by a decrease in accrued interest due to the redemption of the 2026 Notes in April 2010; less
  - c) a decrease from accounts payable of \$0.9 million due primarily to the timing of payments for capital expenditures and operating expenses; less
  - d) a decrease from accounts receivable of \$9.3 million due to increased revenues.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, net cash provided by operating activities was \$303.6 million. For the year ended December 31, 2008, net cash provided by operating activities was \$270.4 million. The \$33.2 million net increase in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to the following:

- 1) An increase in depreciation and amortization expense of \$33.3 million;
- 2) An increase in deferred taxes of \$7.9 million primarily due to an increase in tax deductible timing differences for depreciation and amortization expenses and to recording basis differences for certain assets related to acquisitions;
- 3)

An increase of \$2.4 million attributable to a decrease in the excess tax benefit associated with equity-based compensation, due to a reduction in stock option exercises resulting in reduced taxable income recognized by employees that is tax deductible to us;

- 4) A decrease in net income of \$4.3 million; and

**Table of Contents**

- 5) A decrease in cash flows from operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions, of \$7.6 million to cash provided by operating assets and liabilities of \$10.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from cash provided by operating assets and liabilities of \$18.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The significant components of the \$10.8 million in cash inflows from changes in operating assets and liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2009, include the following:
- a) an increase from accounts payable of \$13.2 million due primarily to the timing of payments for operating activities;
  - b) an increase from accrued liabilities of \$6.5 million due primarily to increased accruals for cash-based employee incentive compensation expense, increased accruals for employee benefits, increased accruals for interest expense due to the timing of interest payments under new debt instruments issued in 2009, increased accruals for property taxes and increased accruals for auto and workers compensation claims due to the timing of claims incurred;
  - c) an increase from other long-term liabilities of \$3.8 million due primarily to increased deferred compensation plan liabilities resulting from employee contributions and plan earnings; less
  - d) a decrease from accounts receivable of \$4.3 million due to increased revenues, partially offset by an improvement in accounts receivable turnover in 2009; less
  - e) a decrease from prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$8.0 million due primarily to an increase in prepaid income taxes and prepaid insurance premiums.

As of December 31, 2010, we had a working capital deficit of \$38.0 million, including cash and equivalents of \$9.9 million. Our working capital deficit decreased \$7.1 million from \$45.1 million at December 31, 2009. To date, we have experienced no loss or lack of access to our cash or cash equivalents; however, we can provide no assurances that access to our cash and cash equivalents will not be impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets. Our strategy in managing our working capital is generally to apply the cash generated from our operations that remains after satisfying our working capital and capital expenditure requirements, along with stock repurchase and dividend programs, to reduce our indebtedness under our credit facility and to minimize our cash balances.

**Investing Activities Cash Flows**

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$334.0 million to \$214.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$548.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The significant components of the decrease include the following:

- 1) A decrease in payments for acquisitions of \$339.0 million; less
- 2) An increase in capital expenditures for property and equipment of \$6.6 million due to increases in site costs for various landfills, construction of buildings for operational facilities and purchase of containers, land and land improvements, partially offset by a decrease in truck and equipment purchases.

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$80.6 million to \$548.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$467.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The significant components of the increase include the following:

- 1) An increase in payments for acquisitions of \$64.9 million; and
- 2) An increase in capital expenditures for property and equipment of \$14.8 million due to growth from acquisitions and the acceleration of certain planned 2010 capital expenditures into 2009 in order to take advantage of accelerated tax deduction benefits.

**Financing Activities Cash Flows**

Net cash used in financing activities increased \$102.9 million to \$113.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$11.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The significant components of the increase include the following:

- 1) An increase in payments to repurchase our common stock of \$103.7 million;
- 2) A decrease in net long-term borrowings of \$8.9 million due to increased availability of operating cash flow after funding operations, acquisitions, capital expenditures, stock repurchases and dividend payments;
- 3) An increase in stock dividends paid of \$8.6 million with the initiation of a quarterly stock dividend in 2010; less

- 4) A change in book overdraft of \$7.5 million resulting from fluctuations in our outstanding cash balances at banks for which outstanding check balances can be offset; less
- 5) An increase in proceeds from option and warrant exercises of \$17.7 million due to an increase in the number of options and warrants exercised in the year ended December 31, 2010; less
- 6) An increase in the excess tax benefit associated with equity-based compensation of \$7.9 million, due to the aforementioned increase in options and warrants exercised in the year ended December 31, 2010, which resulted in increased taxable income, recognized by employees, that is tax deductible by us.

**Table of Contents**

Net cash flows from financing activities decreased \$463.2 million to a net cash used in financing activities total of \$11.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from a net cash provided by financing activities total of \$452.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The significant components of the decrease include the following:

- 1) Net proceeds from the sale of stock in a public offering of \$393.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2008. There were no sales of stock in a public offering during the year ended December 31, 2009;
- 2) A decrease in net long-term borrowings of \$53.6 million, with the net proceeds primarily used to fund acquisition opportunities;
- 3) An increase in payments to repurchase our common stock of \$31.1 million;
- 4) A decrease in proceeds from option and warrant exercises of \$3.7 million due to a decrease in the number of options and warrants exercised in 2009; less
- 5) A change in book overdraft of \$12.3 million resulting from fluctuations in our outstanding cash balances at banks for which outstanding check balances can be offset; less,
- 6) A decrease in the amounts distributed to non-controlling interests of \$8.2 million due to the aforementioned purchase of the remaining 49% interest in PCRCO.

Our business is capital intensive. Our capital requirements include acquisitions and fixed asset purchases. We will also make capital expenditures for landfill cell construction, landfill development, landfill closure activities and intermodal facility construction in the future.

Our Board of Directors has authorized a common stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to \$800.0 million of our common stock through December 31, 2012. Under the program, stock repurchases may be made in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions from time to time at management's discretion. The timing and amounts of any repurchases will depend on many factors, including our capital structure, the market price of the common stock and overall market conditions. As of December 31, 2009 and 2010, we had repurchased in aggregate 28.6 million and 35.4 million shares, respectively, of our common stock at an aggregate cost of \$482.4 million and \$648.6 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, the remaining maximum dollar value of shares available for purchase under the program was approximately \$151.4 million. Subsequent to December 31, 2010, we repurchased an additional 0.8 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$20.6 million.

On October 19, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized a three-for-two split of our common stock, in the form of a 50% stock dividend, payable to stockholders of record as of October 29, 2010. Shares resulting from the split were issued on November 12, 2010. In connection therewith, we transferred \$0.4 million from retained earnings to common stock, representing the par value of additional shares issued. As a result of the stock split, fractional shares equal to 2,479 whole shares were repurchased for \$0.1 million. All share and per share amounts for all periods presented have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the stock split.

In addition, on October 19, 2010, our Board of Directors authorized the initiation of a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.075 per share, as adjusted for the three-for-two stock split described above. The initial quarterly cash dividend totaling \$8.6 million was paid on November 12, 2010 to the stockholders of record as of the close of business on October 29, 2010. We funded the dividend payment out of cash on hand and cash generated by operating activities. The Board will review the cash dividend periodically, with a long-term objective of increasing the amount of the dividend. We cannot assure you as to the amounts or timing of future dividends.

We made \$134.8 million in capital expenditures during the year ended December 31, 2010. We expect to make capital expenditures between \$130 million and \$135 million in 2011 in connection with our existing business. We intend to fund our planned 2011 capital expenditures principally through internally generated funds and borrowings under our credit facility. In addition, we may make substantial additional capital expenditures in acquiring solid waste collection and disposal businesses. If we acquire additional landfill disposal facilities, we may also have to make significant expenditures to bring them into compliance with applicable regulatory requirements, obtain permits or expand our available disposal capacity. We cannot currently determine the amount of these expenditures because they will depend on the number, nature, condition and permitted status of any acquired landfill disposal facilities. We believe that our cash and equivalents, credit facility and the funds we expect to generate from operations will provide adequate cash to fund our working capital and other cash needs for the foreseeable future. However, disruptions in the capital and credit

markets could adversely affect our ability to draw on our credit facility or raise other capital. Our access to funds under the credit facility is dependent on the ability of the banks that are parties to the facility to meet their funding commitments. Those banks may not be able to meet their funding commitments if they experience shortages of capital and liquidity or if they experience excessive volumes of borrowing requests within a short period of time.

We have an \$845 million senior revolving credit facility, or the credit facility, with a syndicate of banks for which Bank of America, N.A. acts as agent. As of December 31, 2008, \$400.0 million was outstanding under the credit facility, exclusive of outstanding standby letters of credit of \$81.4 million. As of December 31, 2009, \$269.0 million was outstanding under the credit facility, exclusive of outstanding standby letters of credit of \$87.1 million. As of December 31, 2010, \$511.0 million was outstanding under the credit facility, exclusive of outstanding standby letters of credit of \$82.9 million.

**Table of Contents**

Under the credit facility, there is no maximum amount of standby letters of credit that can be issued; however, the issuance of standby letters of credit reduces the amount of total borrowings available. The credit facility requires us to pay a commitment fee ranging from 0.15% to 0.20% of the unused portion of the facility. The borrowings under the credit facility bear interest, at our option, at either the base rate plus the applicable base rate margin on base rate loans, or the Eurodollar rate plus the applicable Eurodollar margin on Eurodollar loans. The base rate for any day is a fluctuating rate per annum equal to the higher of: (1) the federal funds rate plus one half of one percent (0.5%); and (2) the rate of interest in effect for such day as publicly announced from time to time by Bank of America as its prime rate. The Eurodollar rate is determined by the administrative agent pursuant to a formula in the credit agreement governing the credit facility. The applicable margins under the credit facility vary depending on our leverage ratio, as defined in the credit agreement, and range from 0.625% to 1.125% for Eurodollar loans and 0.00% for base rate loans. The credit facility matures in September 2012. The borrowings under the credit facility are not collateralized. The credit agreement governing the credit facility contains representations and warranties and places certain business, financial and operating restrictions on us relating to, among other things, indebtedness, liens and other encumbrances, investments, mergers and acquisitions, asset sales, sale and leaseback transactions, and dividends, distributions and redemptions of capital stock. The credit facility requires that we maintain specified financial ratios. As of December 31, 2009 and 2010, we were in compliance with all applicable covenants under the credit facility. We expect to be in compliance with all applicable covenants under the credit facility for the next 12 months. We use the credit facility for acquisitions, capital expenditures, working capital, standby letters of credit and general corporate purposes.

On March 20, 2006, we completed the offering of \$200 million aggregate principal amount of our 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2026, or the 2026 Notes, pursuant to a private placement. The 2026 Notes were convertible into cash and, if applicable, shares of our common stock based on an initial conversion rate of 44.1177 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of 2026 Notes (which was equal to an initial conversion price of approximately \$22.67 per share), subject to adjustment, and only under certain circumstances. Upon a surrender of the 2026 Notes for conversion, we were required to deliver cash equal to the lesser of the aggregate principal amount of notes to be converted or our total conversion obligation.

On April 1, 2010, we redeemed the \$200 million aggregate principal amount of the 2026 Notes. Holders of the notes chose to convert a total of \$22.7 million principal amount of the notes. In addition to paying the principal amount of these notes with proceeds from our credit facility, we issued 32,859 shares of our common stock in connection with the conversion and redemption. We redeemed the balance of \$177.3 million principal amount of the notes with proceeds from our credit facility. All holders of the notes also received accrued interest and an interest make-whole payment. As a result of the redemption, we recognized \$9.7 million of pre-tax expense (\$6.0 million net of taxes) in April 2010.

On July 15, 2008, we entered into a Master Note Purchase Agreement with certain accredited institutional investors pursuant to which we issued and sold to the investors at a closing on October 1, 2008, \$175 million of senior uncollateralized notes due October 1, 2015, or the 2015 Notes, in a private placement. The 2015 Notes bear interest at the fixed rate of 6.22% per annum with interest payable in arrears semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 beginning on April 1, 2009, and with principal payable at the maturity of the 2015 Notes on October 1, 2015. The 2015 Notes are uncollateralized obligations and rank equally with obligations under our credit facility. The 2015 Notes are subject to representations, warranties, covenants and events of default. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, payment of the 2015 Notes may be accelerated by the holders of the 2015 Notes. The 2015 Notes may also be prepaid by us at any time at par plus a make-whole amount determined in respect of the remaining scheduled interest payments on the 2015 Notes, using a discount rate of the then current market standard for United States treasury bills plus 0.50%. In addition, we will be required to offer to prepay the 2015 Notes upon certain changes in control.

On October 26, 2009, we entered into a First Supplement to the Master Note Purchase Agreement with certain accredited institutional investors pursuant to which we issued and sold to the investors on that date \$175 million of senior uncollateralized notes due November 1, 2019, or the 2019 Notes, in a private placement. The 2019 Notes bear interest at the fixed rate of 5.25% per annum with interest payable in arrears semi-annually on May 1 and November 1 beginning on May 1, 2010, and with principal payable at the maturity of the 2019 Notes on November 1, 2019. The

2019 Notes are uncollateralized obligations and rank equally with the 2015 Notes and obligations under our credit facility. The 2019 Notes are subject to representations, warranties, covenants and events of default. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, payment of the 2019 Notes may be accelerated by the holders of the 2019 Notes. The 2019 Notes may also be prepaid by us at any time at par plus a make-whole amount determined in respect of the remaining scheduled interest payments on the 2019 Notes, using a discount rate of the then current market standard for United States treasury bills plus 0.50%. In addition, we will be required to offer to prepay the 2019 Notes upon certain changes in control.

On November 24, 2010, we entered into an amendment to the Master Note Purchase Agreement increasing the aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes issuable under the Master Note Purchase Agreement from \$500 million to \$750 million. No other changes were made in the amendment.



**Table of Contents**

We may issue additional series of senior uncollateralized notes pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Master Note Purchase Agreement, as amended, provided that the purchasers of the 2015 Notes and the 2019 Notes shall not have any obligation to purchase any additional notes issued pursuant to the Master Note Purchase Agreement and the aggregate principal amount of the 2015 Notes, the 2019 Notes and any additional notes issued pursuant to the Master Note Purchase Agreement shall not exceed \$750 million.

As of December 31, 2010, we had the following contractual obligations (in thousands):

| <b>Recorded Obligations</b> | <b>Total</b> | <b>Payments Due by Period</b> |                         |                     |                         |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
|                             |              | <b>Less Than<br/>1 Year</b>   | <b>1 to 3<br/>Years</b> | <b>3 to 5 Years</b> | <b>Over<br/>5 years</b> |
| Long-term debt              | \$ 912,635   | \$ 2,657                      | \$ 515,717              | \$ 180,072          | \$ 214,189              |
| Cash interest payments      | 162,440      | 32,466                        | 49,366                  | 41,325              | 39,283                  |

Long-term debt payments include:

- 1) \$511.0 million in principal payments due 2012 related to our credit facility. Our credit facility bears interest, at our option, at either the base rate plus the applicable base rate margin (approximately 3.25% at December 31, 2010) on base rate loans, or the Eurodollar rate plus the applicable Eurodollar margin (approximately 0.89% at December 31, 2010) on Eurodollar loans. As of December 31, 2010, our credit facility allowed us to borrow up to \$845 million.
- 2) \$175.0 million in principal payments due 2019 related to our 2019 Notes. Holders of the 2019 Notes may require us to purchase their notes in cash at a purchase price of 100% of the principal amount of the 2019 Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, upon a change in control, as defined in the Master Note Purchase Agreement. The 2019 Notes bear interest at a rate of 5.25%.
- 3) \$175.0 million in principal payments due 2015 related to our 2015 Notes. Holders of the 2015 Notes may require us to purchase their notes in cash at a purchase price of 100% of the principal amount of the 2015 Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, upon a change in control, as defined in the Master Note Purchase Agreement. The 2015 Notes bear interest at a rate of 6.22%.
- 4) \$39.4 million in principal payments related to our tax-exempt bonds, which bear interest at variable rates (between 0.33% and 0.41%) at December 31, 2010. The tax-exempt bonds have maturity dates ranging from 2012 to 2033.
- 5) \$9.2 million in principal payments related to our notes payable to sellers. Our notes payable to sellers bear interest at rates between 2.50% and 10.35% at December 31, 2010, and have maturity dates ranging from 2012 to 2036.
- 6) \$3.1 million in principal payments related to our notes payable to third parties. Our notes payable to third parties bear interest at rates between 6.7% and 10.9% at December 31, 2010, and have maturity dates ranging from 2012 to 2019.

The following assumptions were made in calculating cash interest payments:

- 1) We calculated cash interest payments on the credit facility using the Eurodollar rate plus the applicable Eurodollar margin at December 31, 2010. We assumed the credit facility is paid off when the credit facility matures in 2012.
- 2) We calculated cash interest payments on our interest rate swaps using the stated interest rate in the swap agreement less the Eurodollar rate through the term of the swaps.

The total liability for uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2010, was approximately \$0.4 million (refer to Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements). We are not able to reasonably estimate the amount by which the liability will increase or decrease over time; however, at this time, we do not expect a significant payment related to this liability within the next year.

|   | <b>Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Period</b> |                             |                         |                         |                         |
|---|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|   | (amounts in thousands)                            |                             |                         |                         |                         |
| <b>Unrecorded Obligations<sup>(1)</sup></b> | <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>Less Than<br/>1 Year</b> | <b>1 to 3<br/>Years</b> | <b>3 to 5<br/>Years</b> | <b>Over 5<br/>Years</b> |
| Operating leases                            | \$ 72,123   | \$ 10,090                   | \$ 18,137               | \$ 12,703               | \$ 31,193               |

- (1) We are party to operating lease agreements as discussed in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements. These lease agreements are established in the ordinary course of our business and are designed to provide us with access to facilities at competitive, market-driven prices. These arrangements have not materially affected our financial position, results of operations or liquidity during the year ended December 31, 2010, nor are they expected to have a material impact on our future financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

**Table of Contents**

We have obtained standby letters of credit as discussed in Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements and financial surety bonds as discussed in Note 10 to the consolidated financial statements. These standby letters of credit and financial surety bonds are generally obtained to support our financial assurance needs and landfill operations. These arrangements have not materially affected our financial position, results of operations or liquidity during the year ended December 31, 2010, nor are they expected to have a material impact on our future financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

From time to time, we evaluate our existing operations and their strategic importance to us. If we determine that a given operating unit does not have future strategic importance, we may sell or otherwise dispose of those operations. Although we believe our reporting units would not be impaired by such dispositions, we could incur losses on them.

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements for a description of the new accounting standards that are applicable to us.

**Non-GAAP Financial Measures****Free Cash Flow**

We present free cash flow, a non-GAAP financial measure, supplementally because it is widely used by investors as a valuation and liquidity measure in the solid waste industry. We define free cash flow as net cash provided by operating activities, plus proceeds from disposal of assets, plus or minus change in book overdraft, plus excess tax benefit associated with equity-based compensation, less capital expenditures for property and equipment and distributions to noncontrolling interests. This measure is not a substitute for, and should be used in conjunction with, GAAP liquidity or financial measures. Management uses free cash flow as one of the principal measures to evaluate and monitor the ongoing financial performance of our operations. Other companies may calculate free cash flow differently. Our free cash flow for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, is calculated as follows (amounts in thousands):

|  | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |             |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|
|  | <b>2009</b>                     | <b>2010</b> |
| Net cash provided by operating activities                          | \$ 303,637                      | \$ 328,396  |
| Plus: Change in book overdraft                                     | 7,802                           | 279         |
| Plus: Proceeds from disposal of assets                             | 5,061                           | 6,659       |
| Plus: Excess tax benefit associated with equity-based compensation | 4,054                           | 11,997      |
| Less: Capital expenditures for property and equipment              | (128,251)                       | (134,829)   |
| Free cash flow   | \$ 192,303                      | \$ 212,502  |

**Table of Contents****Adjusted Operating Income Before Depreciation and Amortization**

We present adjusted operating income before depreciation and amortization, a non-GAAP financial measure, supplementally because it is widely used by investors as a performance and valuation measure in the solid waste industry. We define adjusted operating income before depreciation and amortization as operating income, plus depreciation and amortization expense, plus closure and post-closure accretion expense, plus or minus any gain or loss on disposal of assets. We further adjust this calculation to exclude the effects of items management believes impact the ability to assess the operating performance of our business. This measure is not a substitute for, and should be used in conjunction with, GAAP financial measures. Management uses adjusted operating income before depreciation and amortization as one of the principal measures to evaluate and monitor the ongoing financial performance of our operations. Other companies may calculate adjusted operating income before depreciation and amortization differently. Our adjusted operating income before depreciation and amortization for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, is calculated as follows (amounts in thousands):

|  | <b>Years ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|  | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>2009</b> | <b>2010</b> |
| Operating income   | \$ 212,356                      | \$ 230,675  | \$ 272,383  |
| Plus: Depreciation and amortization                            | 97,429                          | 130,758     | 147,456     |
| Plus: Closure and post-closure accretion                       | 1,400                           | 2,055       | 1,766       |
| Plus/less: Loss (gain) on disposal of assets                   | 629                             | (481)       | 571         |
| Adjustments:   |                                 |             |             |
| Plus: Acquisition-related transaction costs (a)                | 1,500                           | 3,987       | 2,081       |
| Plus: Loss on prior corporate office lease (b)                 |                                 | 1,839       |             |
| Adjusted operating income before depreciation and amortization | \$ 313,314                      | \$ 368,833  | \$ 424,257  |

(a) Reflects the addback of acquisition-related costs expensed due to the implementation of accounting guidance for business combinations effective January 1, 2009.

(b) Reflects the addback of a loss on our prior corporate office lease due to the relocation of our corporate offices.

**Inflation**

Other than volatility in fuel prices, inflation has not materially affected our operations in recent years. Consistent with industry practice, many of our contracts allow us to pass through certain costs to our customers, including increases in landfill tipping fees and, in some cases, fuel costs. Therefore, we believe that we should be able to increase prices to offset many cost increases that result from inflation in the ordinary course of business. However, competitive pressures or delays in the timing of rate increases under our contracts may require us to absorb at least part of these cost increases, especially if cost increases exceed the average rate of inflation. Management's estimates associated with inflation have an impact on our accounting for landfill liabilities.

**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to market risk, including changes in interest rates and prices of certain commodities. We use hedge agreements to manage a portion of our risks related to interest rates and fuel prices. While we are exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance by counterparties to our hedge agreements, in all cases such counterparties are highly rated financial institutions and we do not anticipate non-performance. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. We monitor our hedge positions by regularly evaluating the positions at market and by performing sensitivity analyses over the unhedged fuel and variable rate debt positions.



**Table of Contents**

At December 31, 2010, our derivative instruments included five interest rate swap agreements that effectively fix the interest rate on the applicable notional amounts of our variable rate debt as follows (dollars in thousands):

| <b>Date Entered</b> | <b>Notional Amount</b> | <b>Fixed Interest Rate Paid*</b> | <b>Variable Interest Rate Received</b> | <b>Effective Date</b> | <b>Expiration Date</b> |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
| November 2007       | \$ 50,000              | 4.37%                            | 1-month LIBOR                          | February 2009         | February 2011          |
| November 2007       | \$ 50,000              | 4.37%                            | 1-month LIBOR                          | February 2009         | February 2011          |
| November 2007       | \$ 75,000              | 4.37%                            | 1-month LIBOR                          | February 2009         | February 2011          |
| November 2007       | \$ 50,000              | 4.29%                            | 1-month LIBOR                          | June 2009             | June 2011              |
| March 2009          | \$ 175,000             | 2.85%                            | 1-month LIBOR                          | February 2011         | February 2014          |

\* plus applicable margin.

Under derivatives and hedging guidance, all the interest rate swap agreements are considered cash flow hedges for a portion of our variable rate debt, and we apply hedge accounting to account for these instruments. The notional amounts and all other significant terms of the swap agreements are matched to the provisions and terms of the variable rate debt being hedged.

On October 26, 2009, we terminated two of our interest rate swap agreements in conjunction with issuing our 2019 Notes. We terminated an interest rate swap in the amount of \$75 million that would have expired in March 2011 and an interest rate swap in the amount of \$100 million that would have expired in June 2011. As a result of terminating these interest rate swaps, we made a cash payment of \$9.2 million to the counterparty of the swap agreements. Further, because we used the proceeds of the 2019 Notes to reduce the borrowings under our senior uncollateralized revolving credit facility, it is no longer probable that the forecasted transactions that were being hedged by these interest rate swap agreements will occur. Therefore, we recorded a charge of \$9.2 million to other expense in the fourth quarter of 2009.

We have performed sensitivity analyses to determine how market rate changes will affect the fair value of our unhedged floating rate debt. Such an analysis is inherently limited in that it reflects a singular, hypothetical set of assumptions. Actual market movements may vary significantly from our assumptions. Fair value sensitivity is not necessarily indicative of the ultimate cash flow or earnings effect we would recognize from the assumed market rate movements. We are exposed to cash flow risk due to changes in interest rates with respect to the unhedged floating rate balances owed at December 31, 2009 and 2010, of \$84.3 million and \$325.4 million, respectively, including floating rate debt under our credit facility and floating rate municipal bond obligations. A one percentage point increase in interest rates on our variable-rate debt as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, would decrease our annual pre-tax income by approximately \$0.8 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. All of our remaining debt instruments are at fixed rates, or effectively fixed under the interest rate swap agreements described above; therefore, changes in market interest rates under these instruments would not significantly impact our cash flows or results of operations, subject to counterparty default risk.

The market price of diesel fuel is unpredictable and can fluctuate significantly. We purchase approximately 25 million gallons of diesel fuel per year; therefore, a significant increase in the price of fuel could adversely affect our business and reduce our operating margins. To manage a portion of this risk, in 2008, we entered into multiple fuel hedge agreements related to forecasted diesel fuel purchases.

At December 31, 2010, our derivative instruments included two fuel hedge agreements as follows:

| <b>Date Entered</b> | <b>Notional Amount<br/>(in gallons per month)</b> | <b>Diesel Rate Paid Fixed<br/>(per gallon)</b> | <b>Diesel Rate Received Variable</b> | <b>Effective Date</b> | <b>Expiration Date</b> |
|---------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| December 2008       | 400,000   | \$ 2.950                                       | DOE Diesel Fuel Index*               | January 2011          | December 2011          |
| December 2008       | 400,000   | \$ 3.030                                       | DOE Diesel Fuel Index*               | January 2012          | December 2012          |

\* If the national U.S. on-highway average price for a gallon of diesel fuel ( average price ), as published by the Department of Energy, exceeds the contract price per gallon, we receive the difference between the average price and the contract price (multiplied by the notional number of gallons) from the counterparty. If the average price is less than the contract price per gallon, we pay the difference to the counterparty.

Under derivatives and hedging guidance, all the fuel hedges are considered cash flow hedges for a portion of our forecasted diesel fuel purchases, and we apply hedge accounting to account for these instruments.

**Table of Contents**

We have performed sensitivity analyses to determine how market rate changes will affect the fair value of our unhedged diesel fuel purchases. Such an analysis is inherently limited in that it reflects a singular, hypothetical set of assumptions. Actual market movements may vary significantly from our assumptions. Fair value sensitivity is not necessarily indicative of the ultimate cash flow or earnings effect we would recognize from the assumed market rate movements. For the year ending December 31, 2011, we expect to purchase approximately 25 million gallons of diesel fuel, of which 20.2 million gallons will be purchased at market prices and 4.8 million gallons will be purchased at prices that are fixed under our fuel hedges. With respect to the approximately 20.2 million gallons of unhedged diesel fuel we expect to purchase in 2011 at market prices, a \$0.10 per gallon increase in the price of fuel over the year would decrease our pre-tax income during this period by approximately \$2.0 million.

We market a variety of recyclable materials, including cardboard, office paper, plastic containers, glass bottles and ferrous and aluminum metals. We own and operate 39 recycling processing operations and sell other collected recyclable materials to third parties for processing before resale. Certain of our municipal recycling contracts in the state of Washington specify benchmark resale prices for recycled commodities. If the prices we actually receive for the processed recycled commodities collected under the contract exceed the prices specified in the contract, we share the excess with the municipality, after recovering any previous shortfalls resulting from actual market prices falling below the prices specified in the contract. To reduce our exposure to commodity price risk with respect to recycled materials, we have adopted a pricing strategy of charging collection and processing fees for recycling volume collected from third parties. To illustrate the effect of a decline in recycled commodity prices, a 10% decrease in average recycled commodity prices from the average prices that were in effect during the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, would have had a \$2.7 million and \$4.6 million impact on revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively.



**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**  
**INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.**

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>   | 52   |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2010</u>  | 53   |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010</u>                          | 54   |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010</u> | 55   |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010</u>                      | 56   |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>  | 58   |
| Financial Statement Schedule   | 101  |

**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of  
Waste Connections, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Waste Connections, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP  
Sacramento, CA  
February 8, 2011

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

|  | <b>December 31,</b> |                     |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
|  | <b>2009</b>         | <b>2010</b>         |
| <b>ASSETS</b>  |                     |                     |
| Current assets:  |                     |                     |
| Cash and equivalents   | \$ 9,639            | \$ 9,873            |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4,058 and \$5,084 at December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively   | 138,972             | 152,156             |
| Deferred income taxes  | 17,748              | 20,130              |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets  | 33,495              | 33,402              |
| <b>Total current assets</b>  | <b>199,854</b>      | <b>215,561</b>      |
| Property and equipment, net  | 1,308,392           | 1,337,476           |
| Goodwill   | 906,710             | 927,852             |
| Intangible assets, net   | 354,303             | 381,475             |
| Restricted assets  | 27,377              | 30,441              |
| Other assets, net  | 23,812              | 23,179              |
|  | <b>\$ 2,820,448</b> | <b>\$ 2,915,984</b> |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>  |                     |                     |
| Current liabilities:   |                     |                     |
| Accounts payable   | \$ 86,669           | \$ 85,252           |
| Book overdraft   | 12,117              | 12,396              |
| Accrued liabilities  | 93,380              | 99,075              |
| Deferred revenue   | 50,138              | 54,157              |
| Current portion of long-term debt and notes payable  | 2,609               | 2,657               |
| <b>Total current liabilities</b>   | <b>244,913</b>      | <b>253,537</b>      |
| Long-term debt and notes payable   | 867,554             | 909,978             |
| Other long-term liabilities  | 45,013              | 47,637              |
| Deferred income taxes  | 305,932             | 334,414             |
| <b>Total liabilities</b>   | <b>1,463,412</b>    | <b>1,545,566</b>    |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 10)  |                     |                     |
| Equity:  |                     |                     |
| Preferred stock: \$0.01 par value per share; 7,500,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding  |                     |                     |
| Common stock: \$0.01 par value per share; 150,000,000 shares authorized; 117,898,624 and 113,950,081 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively | 786                 | 1,139               |
| Additional paid-in capital   | 625,173             | 509,218             |

Edgar Filing: WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. - Form 10-K

|                                      |              |              |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4,892)      | (3,095)      |
| Retained earnings                    | 732,738      | 858,887      |
| Total Waste Connections equity       | 1,353,805    | 1,366,149    |
| Noncontrolling interests             | 3,231        | 4,269        |
| Total equity                         | 1,357,036    | 1,370,418    |
|                                      | \$ 2,820,448 | \$ 2,915,984 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

|   | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |              |              |
|---|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|   | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>2009</b>  | <b>2010</b>  |
| Revenues  | \$ 1,049,603                    | \$ 1,191,393 | \$ 1,319,757 |
| Operating expenses:   |                                 |              |              |
| Cost of operations  | 628,075                         | 692,415      | 749,487      |
| Selling, general and administrative   | 111,114                         | 138,026      | 149,860      |
| Depreciation  | 91,095                          | 117,796      | 132,874      |
| Amortization of intangibles   | 6,334                           | 12,962       | 14,582       |
| Loss (gain) on disposal of assets   | 629                             | (481)        | 571          |
| Operating income  | 212,356                         | 230,675      | 272,383      |
| Interest expense  | (43,102)                        | (49,161)     | (40,134)     |
| Interest income   | 3,297                           | 1,413        | 590          |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt  |                                 |              | (10,193)     |
| Other income (expense), net   | (633)                           | (7,551)      | 2,830        |
| Income before income tax provision  | 171,918                         | 175,376      | 225,476      |
| Income tax provision  | (56,775)                        | (64,565)     | (89,334)     |
| Net income  | 115,143                         | 110,811      | 136,142      |
| Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests                           | (12,240)                        | (986)        | (1,038)      |
| Net income attributable to Waste Connections  | \$ 102,903                      | \$ 109,825   | \$ 135,104   |
| Earnings per common share attributable to Waste Connections<br>common stockholders: |                                 |              |              |
| Basic   | \$ 0.98                         | \$ 0.92      | \$ 1.17      |
| Diluted   | \$ 0.96                         | \$ 0.91      | \$ 1.16      |
| Shares used in the per share calculations:  |                                 |              |              |
| Basic   | 105,037,311                     | 119,119,601  | 115,646,173  |
| Diluted   | 107,129,568                     | 120,506,162  | 116,894,204  |
| Cash dividends per common share   | \$                              | \$           | \$ 0.075     |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008, 2009 AND 2010  
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AMOUNTS)

|  | COMPREHENSIVE<br>INCOME | COMMON STOCK<br>SHARES | PAID-IN<br>CAPITAL | WASTE CONNECTIONS<br>EQUITY<br>ACCUMULATED<br>OTHER<br>ADDITIONAL<br>COMPRE-<br>HENSIVE<br>INCOME<br>RETAINED<br>(LOSS) | NON-<br>CONTROLLING<br>INTERESTS | TOTAL     |            |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| <b>Balances at<br/>December 31,<br/>2007</b>   | 100,578,202             | \$ 670                 | \$ 268,010         | \$ (4,290)  | \$ 520,010                       | \$ 30,220 | \$ 814,620 |
| Vesting of<br>restricted stock<br>Shares withheld<br>for payroll taxes<br>for vesting of<br>restricted stock<br>and warrants | 334,294                 | 2                      | (2)                |   |                                  |           |            |
| Equity-based<br>compensation   | (108,123)               | (1)                    | (2,192)            |   |                                  |           | (2,193)    |
| Exercise of stock<br>options and<br>warrants   |                         |                        | 7,854              |   |                                  |           | 7,854      |
| Issuance of<br>common stock,<br>net of issuance<br>costs of \$17,195   | 1,545,891               | 10                     | 19,079             |   |                                  |           | 19,089     |
| Excess tax<br>benefit<br>associated with<br>equity-based<br>compensation   | 18,975,000              | 127                    | 393,803            |   |                                  |           | 393,930    |
| Repurchase of<br>common stock  | (1,561,906)             | (10)                   | (31,517)           |   |                                  |           | (31,527)   |
| Issuance of<br>common stock<br>warrants to<br>consultants  |                         |                        |                    |   |                                  |           | 79         |
| Amounts<br>reclassified into<br>earnings, net of<br>taxes  |                         |                        |                    |   |                                  |           | 79         |
| Changes in fair<br>value of swaps,<br>net of taxes   |                         |                        |                    | 4,010   |                                  |           | 4,010      |
|  |                         |                        |                    | (23,657)  |                                  |           | (23,657)   |

|   |            |  |         |          |          |
|---|------------|--|---------|----------|----------|
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests                     |            |  |         | (8,232)  | (8,232)  |
| Changes in ownership interest in noncontrolling interests     |            |  |         | (33,560) | (33,560) |
| Net income  | \$ 115,143 |  | 102,903 | 12,240   | 115,143  |
| Other comprehensive loss                                      | (31,609)   |  |         |          |          |
| Income tax effect of other comprehensive loss                 | 11,962     |  |         |          |          |
| Comprehensive income  | 95,496     |  |         |          |          |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (12,240)   |  |         |          |          |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Waste Connections        | \$ 83,256  |  |         |          |          |

**Balances at December 31, 2008**

|  |             |        |            |             |            |        |              |
|--|-------------|--------|------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------------|
|  | 119,763,358 | \$ 798 | \$ 661,555 | \$ (23,937) | \$ 622,913 | \$ 668 | \$ 1,261,997 |
| Vesting of restricted stock  | 410,461     | 3      | (3)        |             |            |        |              |
| Shares withheld for payroll taxes for vesting of restricted stock and warrants | (138,733)   | (1)    | (2,556)    |             |            |        | (2,557)      |
| Equity-based compensation  |             |        | 9,336      |             |            |        | 9,336        |
| Exercise of stock options and warrants   | 1,236,780   | 8      | 15,389     |             |            |        | 15,397       |
| Excess tax benefit associated with equity-based compensation                   |             |        | 4,054      |             |            |        | 4,054        |



Edgar Filing: WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. - Form 10-K

|  |             |        |            |            |            |          |              |          |
|--|-------------|--------|------------|------------|------------|----------|--------------|----------|
| Repurchase of common stock   | (3,373,242) | (22)   | (62,602)   |            |            |          |              | (62,624) |
| Amounts reclassified into earnings, net of taxes                               |             |        |            | 14,416     |            |          |              | 14,416   |
| Changes in fair value of swaps, net of taxes                                   |             |        |            | 4,629      |            |          |              | 4,629    |
| Fair value of noncontrolling interest associated with business acquired        |             |        |            |            |            | 1,577    |              | 1,577    |
| Net income   | \$ 110,811  |        |            | 109,825    |            | 986      |              | 110,811  |
| Other comprehensive income   | 30,743      |        |            |            |            |          |              |          |
| Income tax effect of other comprehensive income                                | (11,698)    |        |            |            |            |          |              |          |
| Comprehensive income   | 129,856     |        |            |            |            |          |              |          |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests                  | (986)       |        |            |            |            |          |              |          |
| Comprehensive income attributable to Waste Connections                         | \$ 128,870  |        |            |            |            |          |              |          |
| <b>Balances at December 31, 2009</b>   | 117,898,624 | \$ 786 | \$ 625,173 | \$ (4,892) | \$ 732,738 | \$ 3,231 | \$ 1,357,036 |          |
| Stock split  |             | 394    |            |            | (394)      |          |              |          |
| Vesting of restricted stock  | 511,196     | 5      | (5)        |            |            |          |              |          |
| Shares withheld for payroll taxes for vesting of restricted stock and warrants | (175,776)   | (2)    | (3,781)    |            |            |          |              | (3,783)  |
| Equity-based compensation  |             |        | 11,331     |            |            |          |              | 11,331   |

|  |            |             |      |           |         |           |
|--|------------|-------------|------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Exercise of stock options and warrants   |            | 2,572,195   | 25   | 33,049    |         | 33,074    |
| Excess tax benefit associated with equity-based compensation                                 |            |             |      | 11,997    |         | 11,997    |
| Repurchase of common stock   |            | (6,889,017) | (69) | (166,251) |         | (166,320) |
| Cash dividends declared  |            |             |      |           | (8,561) | (8,561)   |
| Reacquisition of equity component resulting from conversion of 2026 Convertible Senior Notes |            |             |      | (2,295)   |         | (2,295)   |
| Issuance of shares in connection with conversion of 2026 Convertible Senior Notes            |            | 32,859      |      |           |         |           |
| Amounts reclassified into earnings, net of taxes   |            |             |      |           | 8,050   | 8,050     |
| Changes in fair value of swaps, net of taxes   |            |             |      |           | (6,253) | (6,253)   |
| Net income   | \$ 136,142 |             |      |           | 135,104 | 1,038     |
| Other comprehensive income   | 2,873      |             |      |           |         |           |
| Income tax effect of other comprehensive income  | (1,076)    |             |      |           |         |           |
| Comprehensive income   | 137,939    |             |      |           |         |           |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests                                | (1,038)    |             |      |           |         |           |
| Comprehensive income   | \$ 136,901 |             |      |           |         |           |

attributable to  
Waste  
Connections

**Balances at  
December 31,  
2010**

113,950,081 \$ 1,139 \$ 509,218 \$ (3,095) \$ 858,887 \$ 4,269 \$ 1,370,418

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(IN THOUSANDS)

|   | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |               |               |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
|   | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>2009</b>   | <b>2010</b>   |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                      |                                 |               |               |
| Net income  | \$ 115,143                      | \$ 110,811    | \$ 136,142    |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |                                 |               |               |
| Loss (gain) on disposal of assets   | 629                             | (481)         | 571           |
| Depreciation  | 91,095                          | 117,796       | 132,874       |
| Amortization of intangibles   | 6,334                           | 12,962        | 14,582        |
| Deferred income taxes, net of acquisitions  | 30,277                          | 38,224        | 26,431        |
| Loss on redemption of 2026 Convertible Senior Notes, net of make-whole payment    |                                 |               | 2,255         |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs   | 1,840                           | 1,942         | 1,574         |
| Amortization of debt discount   | 4,404                           | 4,684         | 1,245         |
| Equity-based compensation   | 7,854                           | 9,336         | 11,331        |
| Interest income on restricted assets  | (543)                           | (488)         | (511)         |
| Closure and post-closure accretion  | 1,400                           | 2,055         | 1,766         |
| Excess tax benefit associated with equity-based compensation                      | (6,441)                         | (4,054)       | (11,997)      |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions:    |                                 |               |               |
| Accounts receivable, net  | 18,768                          | (4,328)       | (9,321)       |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets   | 335                             | (8,032)       | 3,304         |
| Accounts payable  | (54)                            | 13,218        | (853)         |
| Deferred revenue  | (829)                           | (309)         | 3,244         |
| Accrued liabilities   | 6,426                           | 6,513         | 15,303        |
| Other long-term liabilities   | (6,229)                         | 3,788         | 456           |
| <br>Net cash provided by operating activities                                     | <br>270,409                     | <br>303,637   | <br>328,396   |
| <br><b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                  |                                 |               |               |
| Payments for acquisitions, net of cash acquired                                   | (355,150)                       | (420,011)     | (81,010)      |
| Capital expenditures for property and equipment                                   | (113,496)                       | (128,251)     | (134,829)     |
| Proceeds from disposal of assets  | 2,560                           | 5,061         | 6,659         |
| Increase in restricted assets, net of interest income                             | (2,653)                         | (3,880)       | (2,552)       |
| Decrease (increase) in other assets   | 1,092                           | (1,146)       | (2,492)       |
| <br>Net cash used in investing activities   | <br>(467,647)                   | <br>(548,227) | <br>(214,224) |
| <br><b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>                                  |                                 |               |               |
| Proceeds from long-term debt  | 302,000                         | 426,500       | 483,253       |
| Principal payments on notes payable and long-term debt                            | (223,854)                       | (401,970)     | (467,660)     |
| Change in book overdraft  | (4,520)                         | 7,802         | 279           |
| Proceeds from option and warrant exercises  | 19,089                          | 15,397        | 33,074        |

Edgar Filing: WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. - Form 10-K

|  |            |           |           |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Excess tax benefit associated with equity-based compensation | 6,441      | 4,054     | 11,997    |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests                    | (8,232)    |           |           |
| Payments for repurchase of common stock                      | (31,527)   | (62,624)  | (166,320) |
| Payments for cash dividends                                  |            |           | (8,561)   |
| Proceeds from secondary stock offering, net                  | 393,930    |           |           |
| Debt issuance costs  | (1,123)    | (194)     |           |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities          | 452,204    | (11,035)  | (113,938) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and equivalents              | 254,966    | (255,625) | 234       |
| Cash and equivalents at beginning of year                    | 10,298     | 265,264   | 9,639     |
| Cash and equivalents at end of year                          | \$ 265,264 | \$ 9,639  | \$ 9,873  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(IN THOUSANDS)  
**SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION AND NON-CASH  
TRANSACTIONS:**

|  | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|  | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>2009</b> | <b>2010</b> |
| Cash paid for income taxes   | \$ 24,635                       | \$ 26,848   | \$ 50,111   |
| Cash paid for interest   | \$ 32,626                       | \$ 41,662   | \$ 39,913   |
| In connection with its acquisitions, the Company assumed liabilities as follows: |                                 |             |             |
| Fair value of assets acquired  | \$ 359,114                      | \$ 461,120  | \$ 107,144  |
| Cash paid and warrants issued for current year acquisitions                      | (320,620)                       | (416,853)   | (81,010)    |
| Liabilities assumed and notes payable issued to sellers of businesses acquired   | \$ 38,494                       | \$ 44,267   | \$ 26,134   |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**1. ORGANIZATION, BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**Organization and Business**

Waste Connections, Inc. ( WCI or the Company ) was incorporated in Delaware on September 9, 1997, and commenced its operations on October 1, 1997, through the purchase of certain solid waste operations in the state of Washington. The Company is an integrated, non-hazardous solid waste services company that provides collection, transfer, disposal and recycling services to commercial, industrial and residential customers. The Company also provides intermodal services for the movement of containers in the Pacific Northwest.

**Basis of Presentation**

These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of WCI and its wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries. The consolidated entity is referred to herein as the Company. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

**Cash Equivalents**

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at purchase to be cash equivalents. The Company did not have any cash equivalents at December 31, 2009 or 2010.

**Concentrations of Credit Risk**

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and accounts receivable. The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents with banks that at times exceed applicable insurance limits. The Company reduces its exposure to credit risk by maintaining such deposits with high quality financial institutions. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The Company generally does not require collateral on its trade receivables. Credit risk on accounts receivable is minimized as a result of the large and diverse nature of the Company's customer base. The Company maintains allowances for losses based on the expected collectability of accounts receivable.

**Revenue Recognition and Accounts Receivable**

Revenues are recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the service has been provided, the price is fixed or determinable and collection is reasonably assured. Certain customers are billed in advance and, accordingly, recognition of the related revenues is deferred until the services are provided. In accordance with revenue recognition guidance, any tax assessed by a governmental authority that is directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer is presented in the statements of income on a net basis (excluded from revenues).

The Company's receivables are recorded when billed or accrued and represent claims against third parties that will be settled in cash. The carrying value of the Company's receivables, net of the allowance for doubtful accounts, represents their estimated net realizable value. The Company estimates its allowance for doubtful accounts based on historical collection trends, type of customer such as municipal or non-municipal, the age of outstanding receivables and existing economic conditions. If events or changes in circumstances indicate that specific receivable balances may be impaired, further consideration is given to the collectability of those balances and the allowance is adjusted accordingly. Past-due receivable balances are written off when the Company's internal collection efforts have been unsuccessful in collecting the amount due.

**Property and Equipment**

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Improvements or betterments, not considered to be maintenance and repair, which add new functionality or significantly extend the life of an asset are capitalized. Third-party expenditures related to pending development projects, such as legal, engineering and interest expenses, are capitalized. Expenditures for maintenance and repair costs, including planned major maintenance activities, are charged to expense as incurred. The cost of assets retired or otherwise disposed of and the related accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the accounts in the year of disposal. Gains and losses resulting from disposals of property and equipment are recognized in the period in which the property and equipment is disposed. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets or the lease term, whichever is shorter.





**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

The estimated useful lives are as follows:

|                                 |    |          |
|---------------------------------|----|----------|
| Buildings                       | 10 | 20 years |
| Land and leasehold improvements | 3  | 20 years |
| Machinery and equipment         | 3  | 12 years |
| Rolling stock                   | 3  | 10 years |
| Containers                      | 5  | 12 years |
| Rail cars                       |    | 20 years |

**Landfill Accounting**

The Company utilizes the life cycle method of accounting for landfill costs. This method applies the costs to be capitalized associated with acquiring, developing, closing and monitoring the landfills over the associated consumption of landfill capacity. The Company utilizes the units of consumption method to amortize landfill development costs over the estimated remaining capacity of a landfill. Under this method, the Company includes future estimated construction costs using current dollars, as well as costs incurred to date, in the amortization base. When certain criteria are met, the Company includes expansion airspace, which has not been permitted, in the calculation of the total remaining capacity of the landfill.

Landfill development costs. Landfill development costs include the costs of acquisition, construction associated with excavation, liners, site berms, groundwater monitoring wells, gas recovery systems and leachate collection systems. The Company estimates the total costs associated with developing each landfill site to its final capacity. This includes certain projected landfill site costs that are uncertain because they are dependent on future events and thus actual costs could vary significantly from estimates. The total cost to develop a site to its final capacity includes amounts previously expended and capitalized, net of accumulated depletion, and projections of future purchase and development costs, liner construction costs, operating construction costs and capitalized interest costs. Total landfill costs include the development costs associated with expansion airspace. Expansion airspace is addressed below.

Final capping, closure and post-closure obligations. The Company accrues for estimated final capping, closure and post-closure maintenance obligations at the landfills it owns and the landfills that it operates, but does not own under life-of-site agreements. Accrued final capping, closure and post-closure costs represent an estimate of the current value of the future obligation associated with final capping, closure and post-closure monitoring of non-hazardous solid waste landfills currently owned or operated under life-of-site agreements by the Company. Final capping costs represent the costs related to installation of clay liners, drainage and compacted soil layers and topsoil constructed over areas of the landfill where total airspace capacity has been consumed. Closure and post-closure monitoring and maintenance costs represent the costs related to cash expenditures yet to be incurred when a landfill facility ceases to accept waste and closes. Accruals for final capping, closure and post-closure monitoring and maintenance requirements in the U.S. consider site inspection, groundwater monitoring, leachate management, methane gas control and recovery, and operating and maintenance costs to be incurred during the period after the facility closes. Certain of these environmental costs, principally capping and methane gas control costs, are also incurred during the operating life of the site in accordance with the landfill operation requirements of Subtitle D and the air emissions standards. Daily maintenance activities, which include many of these costs, are expensed as incurred during the operating life of the landfill. Daily maintenance activities include leachate disposal; surface water, groundwater, and methane gas monitoring and maintenance; other pollution control activities; mowing and fertilizing the landfill final cap; fence and road maintenance; and third party inspection and reporting costs. Site specific final capping, closure and post-closure engineering cost estimates are prepared annually for landfills owned or operated under life-of-site agreements by the Company for which it is responsible for final capping, closure and post-closure.

The net present value of landfill final capping, closure and post-closure liabilities are calculated by estimating the total obligation in current dollars, inflating the obligation based upon the expected date of the expenditure and discounting the inflated total to its present value using a credit-adjusted risk-free rate. Any changes in expectations that result in an upward revision to the estimated undiscounted cash flows are treated as a new liability and are inflated and discounted at rates reflecting current market conditions. Any changes in expectations that result in a downward revision (or no revision) to the estimated undiscounted cash flows result in a liability that is inflated and discounted at rates reflecting the market conditions at the time the cash flows were originally estimated. This policy results in the Company's capping, closure and post-closure liabilities being recorded in layers. At January 1, 2010, the Company decreased its discount rate assumption for purposes of computing 2010 layers for final capping, closure and post-closure obligations from 9.25% to 6.5%, in order to more accurately reflect the Company's long-term cost of borrowing as of the end of 2009. The Company's inflation rate assumption was 2.5% for the years ending December 31, 2009 and 2010. At December 31, 2009 and 2010, accruals for landfill final capping, closure and post-closure costs (including costs assumed through acquisitions) were \$32,235 and \$28,537, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

In accordance with the accounting guidance on asset retirement obligations, the final capping, closure and post-closure liability is recorded as an addition to site costs and amortized to depletion expense on a units-of-consumption basis as remaining landfill airspace is consumed. The impact of changes determined to be changes in estimates, based on an annual update, is accounted for on a prospective basis. Depletion expense resulting from final capping, closure and post-closure obligations recorded as a component of landfill site costs will generally be less during the early portion of a landfill's operating life and increase thereafter. The final capping, closure and post-closure liabilities reflect owned landfills and landfills operated under life-of-site agreements with estimated remaining lives, based on remaining permitted capacity, probable expansion capacity and projected annual disposal volumes, that range from approximately 1 to 198 years, with an average remaining life of approximately 50 years. The costs for final capping, closure and post-closure obligations at landfills the Company owns or operates under life-of-site agreements are generally estimated based on interpretations of current requirements and proposed or anticipated regulatory changes. The estimates for landfill final capping, closure and post-closure costs consider when the costs would actually be paid and factor in inflation and discount rates. Interest is accreted on the recorded liability using the corresponding discount rate. When using discounted cash flow techniques, reliable estimates of market premiums may not be obtainable. In the waste industry, there is no market for selling the responsibility for final capping, closure and post-closure obligations independent of selling the landfill in its entirety. Accordingly, the Company does not believe that it is possible to develop a methodology to reliably estimate a market risk premium and has therefore excluded any such market risk premium from its determination of expected cash flows for landfill asset retirement obligations. The possibility of changing legal and regulatory requirements and the forward-looking nature of these types of costs make any estimation or assumption less certain.

The following is a reconciliation of the Company's final capping, closure and post-closure liability balance from December 31, 2008 to December 31, 2010:

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| Final capping, closure and post-closure liability at December 31, 2008 | \$ 22,002 |
| Adjustments to final capping, closure and post-closure liabilities     | (1,285)   |
| Liabilities incurred   | 1,944     |
| Accretion expense  | 2,055     |
| Closure payments   | (969)     |
| Assumption of closure liabilities from acquisitions                    | 8,488     |
| Final capping, closure and post-closure liability at December 31, 2009 | 32,235    |
| Adjustments to final capping, closure and post-closure liabilities     | (6,990)   |
| Liabilities incurred   | 2,513     |
| Accretion expense  | 1,766     |
| Closure payments   | (1,133)   |
| Assumption of closure liabilities from acquisitions                    | 146       |
| Final capping, closure and post-closure liability at December 31, 2010 | \$ 28,537 |

The adjustments to final capping, closure and post-closure liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2009, primarily consisted of revisions in cost estimates and decreases in estimates of annual tonnage consumption across the majority of the Company's landfills, as well as an increase in estimated airspace at one of the Company's landfills at which an expansion is being pursued. The adjustments to final capping, closure and post-closure liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2010, primarily consisted of revisions in capping, closure and post-closure cost estimates related to a landfill acquired from Republic Services, Inc., as well as decreases in estimates of annual tonnage consumption. The Company performs its annual review of its cost and capacity estimates in the first quarter of each year.



**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

At December 31, 2010, \$28,104 of the Company's restricted assets balance was for purposes of securing its performance of future final capping, closure and post-closure obligations.

**Disposal capacity.** The Company's internal and third-party engineers perform surveys at least annually to estimate the disposal capacity at its landfills. This is done by using surveys and other methods to calculate, based on the terms of the permit, height restrictions and other factors, how much airspace is left to fill and how much waste can be disposed of at a landfill before it has reached its final capacity. The Company's landfill depletion rates are based on the remaining disposal capacity, considering both permitted and probable expansion airspace, at the landfills it owns, and certain landfills it operates, but does not own, under life-of-site agreements. The Company's landfill depletion rates are based on the term of the operating agreement at its operated landfills that have capitalized expenditures. Expansion airspace consists of additional disposal capacity being pursued through means of an expansion that is not actually permitted. Expansion airspace that meets certain criteria is included in the estimate of total landfill airspace. The Company's criteria to determine when expansion airspace may be included as disposal capacity is as follows:

- 1) whether the land where the expansion is being sought is contiguous to the current disposal site, and the Company either owns the expansion property or has rights to it under an option, purchase, operating or other similar agreement;
- 2) whether total development costs, final capping costs, and closure/post-closure costs have been determined;
- 3) whether internal personnel have performed a financial analysis of the proposed expansion site and have determined that it has a positive financial and operational impact;
- 4) whether internal personnel or external consultants are actively working to obtain the necessary approvals to obtain the landfill expansion permit; and
- 5) whether the Company considers it probable that the Company will achieve the expansion (for a pursued expansion to be considered probable, there must be no significant known technical, legal, community, business, or political restrictions or similar issues existing that the Company believes are more likely than not to impair the success of the expansion).

It is possible that the Company's estimates or assumptions could ultimately be significantly different from actual results. In some cases the Company may be unsuccessful in obtaining an expansion permit or the Company may determine that an expansion permit that the Company previously thought was probable has become unlikely. To the extent that such estimates, or the assumptions used to make those estimates, prove to be significantly different than actual results, or the belief that the Company will receive an expansion permit changes adversely in a significant manner, the costs of the landfill, including the costs incurred in the pursuit of the expansion, may be subject to impairment testing, as described below, and lower profitability may be experienced due to higher amortization rates, higher capping, closure and post-closure rates, and higher expenses or asset impairments related to the removal of previously included expansion airspace.

The Company periodically evaluates its landfill sites for potential impairment indicators. The Company's judgments regarding the existence of impairment indicators are based on regulatory factors, market conditions and operational performance of its landfills. Future events could cause the Company to conclude that impairment indicators exist and that its landfill carrying costs are impaired.

**Business Combination Accounting**

Beginning with the adoption of the business combination accounting guidance as of January 1, 2009, the Company accounts for business combinations as follows:

The Company recognizes, separately from goodwill, the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated acquisition date fair values. The Company measures and recognizes goodwill as of the acquisition date as the excess of: (a) the aggregate of the fair value of consideration transferred, the fair value of any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree (if any) and the acquisition date fair value of the Company's

previously held equity interest in the acquiree (if any), over (b) the fair value of net assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

At the acquisition date, the Company measures at their acquisition date fair values all assets acquired and liabilities assumed that arise from contractual contingencies. The Company measures at their acquisition date fair values all noncontractual contingencies if, as of the acquisition date, it is more likely than not that the contingency will give rise to an asset or liability.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**Finite-Lived Intangible Assets**

The amounts assigned to the franchise agreements, contracts, and non-competition agreements are being amortized on a straight-line basis over the expected term of the related agreements (ranging from 1 to 56 years).

**Goodwill and Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets**

The Company acquired indefinite-lived intangible assets in connection with certain of its acquisitions. The amounts assigned to indefinite-lived intangible assets consist of the value of certain perpetual rights to provide solid waste collection and transportation services in specified territories. The Company measures and recognizes acquired indefinite-lived intangible assets at their estimated acquisition date fair values. Indefinite-lived intangible assets are not amortized. Goodwill represents the excess of: (a) the aggregate of the fair value of consideration transferred, the fair value of any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree (if any) and the acquisition date fair value of the Company's previously held equity interest in the acquiree (if any), over (b) the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Goodwill and intangible assets, deemed to have indefinite lives, are subject to annual impairment tests as described below.

Goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles are tested for impairment on at least an annual basis in the fourth quarter of the year. In the first step of testing for goodwill impairment, the Company estimates the fair value of each reporting unit, which it has determined to be its geographic operating segments, and compares the fair value with the carrying value of the net assets assigned to each unit. If the fair value of a reporting unit is greater than the carrying value of the net assets assigned to the reporting unit, then no impairment results. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, then the Company would perform a second step and determine the fair value of the goodwill. In this second step, the fair value of goodwill is determined by deducting the fair value of a reporting unit's identifiable assets and liabilities from the fair value of the reporting unit as a whole, as if that reporting unit had just been acquired and the purchase price were being initially allocated. If the fair value of the goodwill is less than its carrying value for a reporting unit, an impairment charge would be recorded to earnings in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Income. In testing indefinite-lived intangibles for impairment, the Company compares the estimated fair value of each indefinite-lived intangible to its carrying value. If the fair value of the indefinite-lived intangible is less than its carrying value, an impairment charge would be recorded to earnings in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Income.

To determine the fair value of each of the Company's reporting units as a whole and each indefinite-lived intangible asset, the Company uses discounted cash flow analyses, which require significant assumptions and estimates about the future operations of each reporting unit and the future discrete cash flows related to each indefinite-lived intangible asset. Significant judgments inherent in these analyses include the determination of appropriate discount rates, the amount and timing of expected future cash flows and growth rates. The cash flows employed in the Company's 2010 discounted cash flow analyses were based on ten-year financial forecasts, which in turn were based on the 2011 annual budget developed internally by management. These forecasts reflect operating profit margins that were consistent with 2010 results and perpetual revenue growth rates of 4.5%. The Company's discount rate assumptions are based on an assessment of the Company's weighted average cost of capital. In assessing the reasonableness of the Company's determined fair values of its reporting units, the Company evaluates its results against its current market capitalization.

In addition, the Company would evaluate a reporting unit for impairment if events or circumstances change between annual tests indicating a possible impairment. Examples of such events or circumstances include the following:

- a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate;
- an adverse action or assessment by a regulator;
- a more likely than not expectation that a segment or a significant portion thereof will be sold; or
- the testing for recoverability of a significant asset group within the segment.

As a result of performing the tests for potential impairment, the Company determined that no impairment existed as of December 31, 2009 or 2010, and, therefore, there were no write-downs to any of its goodwill or indefinite-lived intangible assets.

**Impairments of Property, Plant and Equipment and Other Intangible Assets**

Other intangible assets consist of long-term franchise agreements, contracts and non-competition agreements. Property, plant, equipment and other intangible assets are carried on the Company's consolidated financial statements based on their cost less accumulated depreciation or amortization. The recoverability of these assets is tested whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable.



**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

Typical indicators that an asset may be impaired include:

- a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate;
- an adverse action or assessment by a regulator;
- a more likely than not expectation that a segment or a significant portion thereof will be sold; or
- the testing for recoverability of a significant asset group within a segment.

If any of these or other indicators occur, a test of recoverability is performed by comparing the carrying value of the asset or asset group to its undiscounted expected future cash flows. If the carrying values are in excess of undiscounted expected future cash flows, impairment is measured by comparing the fair value of the asset to its carrying value. Fair value is determined by an internally developed discounted projected cash flow analysis of the asset. Cash flow projections are sometimes based on a group of assets, rather than a single asset. If cash flows cannot be separately and independently identified for a single asset, the Company will determine whether an impairment has occurred for the group of assets for which the projected cash flows can be identified. If the fair value of an asset is determined to be less than the carrying amount of the asset or asset group, an impairment in the amount of the difference is recorded in the period that the impairment indicator occurs. Several impairment indicators are beyond the Company's control, and whether or not they will occur cannot be predicted with any certainty. Estimating future cash flows requires significant judgment and projections may vary from cash flows eventually realized. There are other considerations for impairments of landfills, as described below.

**Landfills** There are certain indicators listed above that require significant judgment and understanding of the waste industry when applied to landfill development or expansion projects.

A regulator or court may deny or overturn a landfill development or landfill expansion permit application before the development or expansion permit is ultimately granted. See Note 10 for discussion of the Chaparral, New Mexico Landfill Permit Litigation, the Harper County, Kansas Landfill Permit Litigation and the Solano County, California Measure E/Landfill Expansion Litigation.

Management may periodically divert waste from one landfill to another to conserve remaining permitted landfill airspace.

Therefore, certain events could occur in the ordinary course of business and not necessarily be considered indicators of impairment due to the unique nature of the waste industry.

**Restricted Assets**

Restricted assets held by trustees consist principally of funds deposited in connection with landfill final capping, closure and post-closure obligations and other financial assurance requirements. Proceeds from these financing arrangements are directly deposited into trust funds, and the Company does not have the ability to utilize the funds in regular operating activities. See Note 9 for further information on restricted assets.

**Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

The Company's financial instruments consist primarily of cash, trade receivables, restricted assets, trade payables, debt instruments, interest rate swaps and fuel hedges. As of December 31, 2009 and 2010, the carrying values of cash, trade receivables, restricted assets, and trade payables are considered to be representative of their respective fair values. The carrying values of the Company's debt instruments, excluding the 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2026 (the 2026 Notes), the 6.22% Senior Notes due 2015 (the 2015 Notes) and the 5.25% Senior Notes due 2019 (the 2019 Notes), approximate their fair values as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, based on current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements. The 2026 Notes had a carrying value of \$193,754 and a fair value of approximately \$218,234 at December 31, 2009, based on the publicly quoted trading price of these notes. The Company redeemed the \$200,000 aggregate principle amount of the 2026 Notes on April 1, 2010. The 2015 Notes had a carrying value of \$175,000 and a fair value of approximately \$188,895 and \$198,300 at December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively, based on quotes of bonds with similar ratings in similar industries. The 2019 Notes had a carrying value of \$175,000 and a fair value of approximately \$170,538 and \$191,316 at December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively, based on quotes of bonds with similar ratings in similar industries. For details on the fair value of the Company's interest rate

swaps and fuel hedges, refer to Note 9.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**Derivative Financial Instruments**

The Company recognizes all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. All of the Company's derivatives have been designated as cash flow hedges; therefore, the effective portion of the changes in the fair value of derivatives will be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of the changes in the fair value of derivatives will be immediately recognized in earnings. The Company classifies cash inflows and outflows from derivatives within operating activities on the statement of cash flows.

One of the Company's objectives for utilizing derivative instruments is to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in cash flows due to changes in the variable interest rates of certain borrowings issued under its credit facility. The Company's strategy to achieve that objective involves entering into interest rate swaps that are specifically designated to the Company's credit facility and accounted for as cash flow hedges.

At December 31, 2010, the Company's derivative instruments included five interest rate swap agreements as follows:

| <b>Date Entered</b> | <b>Notional Amount</b> | <b>Fixed Interest Rate Paid*</b> | <b>Variable Interest Rate Received</b> | <b>Effective Date</b> | <b>Expiration Date</b> |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------|
| November 2007       | \$ 50,000              | 4.37%                            | 1-month LIBOR                          | February 2009         | February 2011          |
| November 2007       | \$ 50,000              | 4.37%                            | 1-month LIBOR                          | February 2009         | February 2011          |
| November 2007       | \$ 75,000              | 4.37%                            | 1-month LIBOR                          | February 2009         | February 2011          |
| November 2007       | \$ 50,000              | 4.29%                            | 1-month LIBOR                          | June 2009             | June 2011              |
| March 2009          | \$ 175,000             | 2.85%                            | 1-month LIBOR                          | February 2011         | February 2014          |

\* plus applicable margin.

On October 26, 2009, the Company terminated two of its interest rate swap agreements in conjunction with issuing the 2019 Notes. The Company terminated an interest rate swap in the amount of \$75,000 that would have expired in March 2011 and an interest rate swap in the amount of \$100,000 that would have expired in June 2011. As a result of terminating these interest rate swaps, the Company made a cash payment of \$9,250 to the counterparty of the swap agreements. Further, because the Company used the proceeds of the 2019 Notes to reduce the borrowings under its senior uncollateralized revolving credit facility, it is no longer probable that the forecasted transactions that were being hedged by these interest rate swap agreements will occur. Therefore, the Company recorded a charge of \$9,250 to other expense in 2009.

Another of the Company's objectives for utilizing derivative instruments is to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in cash flows due to changes in the price of diesel fuel. The Company's strategy to achieve that objective involves entering into fuel hedges that are specifically designated to certain forecasted diesel fuel purchases and accounted for as cash flow hedges.

At December 31, 2010, the Company's derivative instruments included two fuel hedge agreements as follows:

| <b>Date Entered</b> | <b>Notional Amount (in gallons per month)</b> | <b>Diesel Rate Paid (per gallon)</b> | <b>Diesel Rate Received Variable</b> | <b>Effective Date</b> | <b>Expiration Date</b> |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| December 2008       | 400,000                                       | \$ 2.950                             | DOE Diesel Fuel Index*               | January 2011          | December 2011          |

Edgar Filing: WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. - Form 10-K

December 2008                      400,000    \$   3.030    DOE Diesel Fuel Index\*    January 2012    December 2012

\* If the national U.S. on-highway average price for a gallon of diesel fuel ( average price ), as published by the Department of Energy, exceeds the contract price per gallon, the Company receives the difference between the average price and the contract price (multiplied by the notional number of gallons) from the counterparty. If the average price is less than the contract price per gallon, the Company pays the difference to the counterparty.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

The fair values of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges as of December 31, 2010, are as follows:

| Derivatives Designated as Cash                   | Asset Derivatives  |            | Liability Derivatives              |            |
|--|--|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
|  | Balance Sheet Location                                   | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location             | Fair Value |
| Flow Hedges                                      |  |            | Accrued liabilities <sup>(a)</sup> | \$ (4,988) |
| Interest rate swaps                              |  |            | Other long-term liabilities        | (4,734)    |
| Fuel hedges                                      | Prepaid expenses and other current assets <sup>(b)</sup> | \$ 2,469   |                                    |            |
|  | Other assets, net  | 2,261      |                                    |            |
| Total derivatives designated as cash flow hedges |  | \$ 4,730   |                                    | \$ (9,722) |

(a) Represents the estimated amount of the existing unrealized losses on interest rate swaps as of December 31, 2010 (based on the interest rate yield curve at that date), included in accumulated other comprehensive loss expected to be reclassified into pre-tax earnings within the next 12 months. The actual amounts reclassified into earnings are dependent on future movements in interest rates.

(b) Represents the estimated amount of the existing unrealized gains on fuel hedges as of December 31, 2010 (based on the forward DOE diesel fuel index curve at that date), included in accumulated other comprehensive loss expected to be reclassified into pre-tax earnings within the next 12 months. The actual amounts reclassified into earnings are dependent on future movements in diesel fuel prices.

The fair values of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges as of December 31, 2009, are as follows:

| Derivatives Designated as Cash                   | Asset Derivatives      |            | Liability Derivatives  |             |
|--|------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|
|  | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value  |
| Flow Hedges                                      |                        |            | Accrued liabilities    | \$ (8,235)  |
| Interest rate swaps                              | Other assets, net      | \$ 1,647   | Other assets, net      | (1,173)     |
| Fuel hedges                                      | Accrued liabilities    | 1,406      | Accrued liabilities    | (3,884)     |
|  | Other assets, net      | 2,374      |                        |             |
| Total derivatives designated as cash flow hedges |                        | \$ 5,427   |                        | \$ (13,292) |



**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

The following table summarizes the impact of the Company's cash flow hedges on the results of operations, comprehensive income and accumulated other comprehensive loss (AOCL) as of and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010:

| Derivatives<br>Designated as Cash<br>Flow Hedges | Amount of Gain or<br>(Loss)<br>Recognized in AOCL on             |            | Statement of<br>Income<br>Classification | Amount of (Gain) or Loss<br>Reclassified from AOCL<br>into      |          |
|--|--|------------|--|---|----------|
|  | Derivatives,<br>Net of Tax (Effective<br>Portion) <sup>(a)</sup> |            |  | Earnings, Net of Tax<br>(Effective Portion) <sup>(b), (c)</sup> |          |
|  | Years Ended December<br>31,                                      |            |  | Years Ended December 31,  |          |
|  | 2009   | 2010       |  | 2009  | 2010     |
| Interest rate swaps                              | \$ 3,283   | \$ (6,812) | Interest<br>expense                      | \$ 9,124  | \$ 5,612 |
| Fuel hedges                                      | 1,346  | 559        | Cost of<br>operations                    | 5,292   | 2,438    |
| Total  | \$ 4,629   | \$ (6,253) |  | \$ 14,416   | \$ 8,050 |

- (a) In accordance with the derivatives and hedging guidance, the effective portions of the changes in fair values of interest rate swaps and fuel hedges have been recorded in equity as a component of AOCL. As the critical terms of the interest rate swaps match the underlying debt being hedged, no ineffectiveness is recognized on these swaps and, therefore, all unrealized changes in fair value are recorded in AOCL. Because changes in the actual price of diesel fuel and changes in the DOE index price do not offset exactly each reporting period, the Company assesses whether the fuel hedges are highly effective using the cumulative dollar offset approach.
- (b) Amounts reclassified from AOCL into earnings related to realized gains and losses on interest rate swaps are recognized when interest payments or receipts occur related to the swap contracts, which correspond to when interest payments are made on the Company's hedged debt. Amounts exclude the charge of \$9,250 related to the termination of two interest rate swap agreements in October 2009.
- (c) Amounts reclassified from AOCL into earnings related to realized gains and losses on fuel hedges are recognized when settlement payments or receipts occur related to the hedge contracts, which correspond to when the underlying fuel is consumed.

The Company measures and records ineffectiveness on the fuel hedges in Cost of operations in the Consolidated Statements of Income on a monthly basis based on the difference between the DOE index price and the actual price of diesel fuel purchased, multiplied by the notional number of gallons on the contracts. There was no significant ineffectiveness recognized on the fuel hedges during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010.

See Note 12 for further discussion on the impact of the Company's hedge accounting to its consolidated Comprehensive income and AOCL.

**Income Taxes**

The Company uses the liability method to account for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on differences between the financial reporting and income tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. The Company assumes the deductibility of certain costs in its income tax filings and estimates the future recovery of deferred tax assets.

The Company is required to evaluate whether the tax positions taken will more likely than not be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority. The Company classifies a liability for unrecognized tax benefits as current only to the extent it anticipates making a payment within one year.

#### **Stock-Based Compensation**

The fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, was determined based on the number of shares granted and the closing price of the Company's common stock.

All share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized on a straight-line basis as expense over the employee's requisite service period. The Company calculates potential income tax windfalls and shortfalls under the treasury stock method by including the impact of pro forma deferred tax assets in the calculation of diluted earnings per common share. Under the stock-based compensation guidance, the Company elected to use the short-cut method to calculate the historical pool of windfall tax benefits. The Company elected to use the tax law ordering approach for purposes of determining whether an excess of tax benefit has been realized.



**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

Stock-based compensation expense recognized during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, was approximately \$7,854 (\$4,937 net of taxes), \$9,314 (\$5,860 net of taxes) and \$10,980 (\$6,816 net of taxes), respectively, and consisted of stock option, restricted stock unit and restricted stock expense. The Company records stock-based compensation expense in Selling, general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The total unrecognized compensation cost at December 31, 2010, related to unvested restricted stock unit awards was \$19,242 and that future expense will be recognized over the remaining vesting period of the restricted stock unit awards, which extends to 2014. The weighted average remaining vesting period of those awards is 1.2 years.

**Per Share Information**

Basic net income per share attributable to Waste Connections common stockholders is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share attributable to Waste Connections common stockholders is computed using the weighted average number of common and potential common shares outstanding. Potential common shares are excluded from the computation if their effect is anti-dilutive.

**Advertising Costs**

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, was \$2,596, \$3,408 and \$4,171, respectively, which is included in Selling, general and administrative expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

**Insurance Liabilities**

As a result of its high deductible insurance policies, the Company is effectively self-insured for automobile liability, property, general liability, workers compensation, employer's liability and employee group health claims. The Company's insurance accruals are based on claims filed and estimates of claims incurred but not reported and are developed by the Company's management with assistance from its third-party actuary and its third-party claims administrator. The insurance accruals are influenced by the Company's past claims experience factors, which have a limited history, and by published industry development factors. At December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Company's total accrual for self-insured liabilities was \$35,834 and \$37,623, respectively, which is included in Accrued liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

**Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.** In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued additional guidance on fair value disclosures. The new guidance clarifies two existing disclosure requirements and requires two new disclosures as follows: (1) a gross presentation of activities (purchases, sales, and settlements) within the Level 3 rollforward reconciliation, which will replace the net presentation format (previously, companies could elect the gross or net presentation); and (2) detailed disclosures about the transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 measurements. This guidance is effective for the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the gross presentation of the Level 3 rollforward information, which is required for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim reporting periods within those years. Early application is permitted. The Company adopted the fair value disclosures guidance on January 1, 2010. The Company elected the gross presentation of activities within the Level 3 rollforward reconciliation when it adopted the original fair value disclosure guidance so no change is required under this new guidance (see Note 9).

**2. USE OF ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS**

In preparing the Company's consolidated financial statements, several estimates and assumptions are made that affect the accounting for and recognition of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. These estimates and assumptions must be made because certain of the information that is used in the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements is dependent on future events, cannot be calculated with a high degree of precision from data available or is simply not capable of being readily calculated based on generally accepted methodologies. In some cases, these estimates are particularly difficult to determine and the Company must exercise significant judgment. The most difficult, subjective and complex estimates and the assumptions that deal with the greatest amount of uncertainty are

related to the Company's accounting for landfills, self-insurance, income taxes, allocation of acquisition purchase price and asset impairments, which are discussed in Note 1. An additional area that involves estimation is when the Company estimates the amount of potential exposure it may have with respect to litigation, claims and assessments in accordance with the accounting guidance on contingencies. Actual results for all estimates could differ materially from the estimates and assumptions that the Company uses in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**3. ACQUISITIONS**

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the pronouncement on business combinations for all business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009. Assets and liabilities that arose from business combinations whose acquisition date preceded the application of the new pronouncement were not adjusted upon application of the new standard.

For all acquisitions completed prior to the Company's adoption of the business combination pronouncement, the acquisition purchase prices were allocated to the identified assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the dates of acquisition, with any residual amounts allocated to goodwill. Purchase price allocations were considered preliminary until the Company was no longer waiting for information that it arranged to obtain and that was known to be available or obtainable. Although the time required to obtain the necessary information varied with circumstances specific to an individual acquisition, the allocation period for finalizing purchase price allocations did not exceed one year from the consummation of a business combination. Any adjustments made during the allocation period were recorded prospectively in the consolidated financial statements. The Company recognized third-party acquisition-related costs as part of the cost of the acquisition.

For all acquisitions completed under the business combinations pronouncement, as of the respective acquisition dates, the Company recognizes, separately from goodwill, the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated acquisition date fair values. The Company measures and recognizes goodwill as of the acquisition date as the excess of: (a) the aggregate of the fair value of consideration transferred, the fair value of any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree (if any) and the acquisition date fair value of the Company's previously held equity interest in the acquiree (if any), over (b) the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. If information about facts and circumstances existing as of the acquisition date is incomplete by the end of the reporting period in which a business combination occurs, the Company will report provisional amounts for the items for which the accounting is incomplete. The measurement period ends once the Company receives the information it was seeking; however, this period will not exceed one year from the acquisition date. Any material adjustments recognized during the measurement period will be reflected retrospectively in the consolidated financial statements of the subsequent period. The Company recognizes acquisition-related costs as expense.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company acquired 15 non-hazardous solid waste collection, transfer, disposal and recycling businesses. In addition, during 2008, the Company acquired the remaining 49% interest in Pierce County Recycling, Composting and Disposal, LLC and Pierce County Landfill Management, Inc. ( PCRCD ). Prior to the acquisition, the Company was consolidating PCRCD as a majority-owned subsidiary with a related noncontrolling interest obligation and noncontrolling interest expense.

During the second quarter of 2009, the Company completed the acquisition of 100% interests in certain operations from Republic Services, Inc. and some of its subsidiaries and affiliates ( Republic ). The operations were divested as a result of Republic's merger with Allied Waste Industries, Inc. The operations acquired include seven municipal solid waste landfills, six collection operations and three transfer stations across eight markets: Southern California; Northern California; Denver, CO; Houston, TX; Greenville/Spartanburg, SC; Charlotte, NC; Lubbock, TX; and Flint, MI. The Company paid \$377,129 in existing cash for the purchased operations plus amounts paid for the purchase of accounts receivable and other prepaid assets. Total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009, generated from the Republic operations and included within consolidated revenues were \$102,925. Total pre-tax earnings for the year ended December 31, 2009, generated from the Republic operations and included within consolidated income before income tax provision were \$4,822. Pursuant to the asset purchase agreement, the Company was required to remit additional consideration to Republic if certain acquired operations exceeded earnings targets specified in the agreement; alternatively, if these earnings targets were not met, Republic was required to refund consideration to the Company. The earnings targets were not met and the contingency was settled by Republic in 2010 for an immaterial amount.



**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company also completed the acquisition of a 100% interest in Sanipac, Inc. ( Sanipac ), a provider of collection services in Oregon, in exchange for total consideration of \$45,082. As part of this acquisition, the Company acquired a 75% interest in EcoSort, LLC, a provider of recycling services, resulting in a 25% noncontrolling interest that was recognized at fair value on the purchase date. Pursuant to the stock purchase agreement, the Company is required to remit up to \$4,500 of additional consideration to the former shareholders of Sanipac if the acquired operations exceed earnings targets specified in the stock purchase agreement over a three-year period ending July 31, 2012. The Company computed the fair value of the contingent consideration using a probability-weighted discounted cash flow methodology, which resulted in an obligation recognized at the purchase date totaling \$4,274. Any changes in the fair value of the contingent consideration subsequent to the acquisition date will be charged or credited to expense until the contingency is settled.

In addition to the acquisitions from Republic and the acquisition of Sanipac, the Company acquired five non-hazardous solid waste collection and recycling businesses during the year ended December 31, 2009. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company acquired 18 non-hazardous solid waste collection, disposal and recycling businesses and one exploration and production waste treatment and disposal business. The results of operations of the acquired businesses have been included in the Company's consolidated financial statements from their respective acquisition dates. The acquisitions completed during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, were not material to the Company's results of operations, either individually or in the aggregate. As a result, pro forma financial information has not been provided. The Company expects these acquired businesses to contribute towards the achievement of the Company's strategy to expand through acquisitions.

The following table summarizes the consideration transferred to acquire these businesses and the amounts of identified assets acquired, liabilities assumed and noncontrolling interests associated with businesses acquired at the acquisition date for acquisitions consummated in the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010:

|   | <b>2008</b>         | <b>2009</b>         | <b>2010</b>         |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|   | <b>Acquisitions</b> | <b>Acquisitions</b> | <b>Acquisitions</b> |
| Fair value of consideration transferred:  |                     |                     |                     |
| Cash  | \$ 320,541          | \$ 416,853          | \$ 81,010           |
| Debt assumed  | 22,688              | 16,423              | 20,633              |
| Notes issued to sellers   | 1,788               |                     |                     |
| Contingent consideration  |                     | 4,274               |                     |
| Common stock warrants   | 79                  |                     |                     |
|   | 345,096             | 437,550             | 101,643             |
| Recognized amounts of identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed and noncontrolling interests associated with businesses acquired: |                     |                     |                     |
| Accounts receivable   | 13,781              | 16,187              | 3,864               |
| Other current assets  | 1,527               | 2,319               | 742                 |
| Property and equipment  | 98,448              | 308,454             | 37,881              |
| Long-term franchise agreements and contracts  | 117,416             | 9,325               | 4,208               |
| Indefinite-lived intangibles  | 92,312              |                     | 32,759              |
| Customer lists  | 9,044               | 33,730              | 5,373               |
| Other intangibles   |                     | 19,132              |                     |
| Non-competition agreements  | 48                  |                     |                     |
| Other long-term assets  | 514                 | 667                 |                     |

Edgar Filing: WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. - Form 10-K

|                               |           |           |           |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Deferred revenue              | (2,449)   | (4,754)   | (775)     |
| Accounts payable              | (6,692)   | (1,264)   | (248)     |
| Accrued liabilities           | (3,526)   | (2,436)   | (4,332)   |
| Noncontrolling interests      |           | (1,577)   |           |
| Other long-term liabilities   | (700)     | (8,489)   | (146)     |
| Deferred income taxes         | (651)     | (5,050)   |           |
| Total identifiable net assets | 319,072   | 366,244   | 79,326    |
| Goodwill                      | \$ 26,024 | \$ 71,306 | \$ 22,317 |

Goodwill acquired in 2008 totaling \$22,586 and long-term franchise agreements, contracts, indefinite-lived intangibles, customer lists and non-competition agreements acquired in 2008 totaling \$217,933 are expected to be deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill acquired in 2009 totaling \$40,535 and long-term franchise agreements, contracts, customer lists and other intangibles acquired in 2009 totaling \$54,923 are expected to be deductible for tax purposes. Goodwill acquired in 2010 totaling \$21,948 and long-term franchise agreements, contracts, indefinite-lived intangibles and customer lists acquired in 2010 totaling \$42,340 are expected to be deductible for tax purposes. The goodwill is attributable to the synergies and ancillary growth opportunities expected to arise after the Company's acquisition of these businesses.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

The fair value of acquired working capital related to eight acquisitions completed during the year ended December 31, 2010, is provisional pending receipt of information from the acquiree to support the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Any adjustments recorded relating to finalizing the working capital for these eight acquisitions are not expected to be material to the Company's financial position.

The gross amount of trade receivables due under contracts acquired during the year ended December 31, 2009, is \$17,190, of which \$1,003 is expected to be uncollectible. The gross amount of trade receivables due under contracts acquired during the year ended December 31, 2010, is \$4,317, of which \$453 is expected to be uncollectible. The Company did not acquire any other class of receivable as a result of the acquisition of these businesses.

A reconciliation of the Fair value of cash consideration transferred, as disclosed in the table above, to Payments for acquisitions, net of cash acquired, as reported in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, is as follows:

|   | <b>2008</b>         | <b>2009</b>         | <b>2010</b>         |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|   | <b>Acquisitions</b> | <b>Acquisitions</b> | <b>Acquisitions</b> |
| Cash consideration transferred                  | \$ 320,541          | \$ 416,853          | \$ 81,010           |
| Elimination of noncontrolling interests         | 33,560              |                     |                     |
| Payment of contingent consideration             |                     | 2,000               |                     |
| Payment of acquisition-related liabilities      | 1,049               | 1,158               |                     |
| Payments for acquisitions, net of cash acquired | \$ 355,150          | \$ 420,011          | \$ 81,010           |

The \$2,000 of contingent consideration paid during the year ended December 31, 2009 represented additional purchase price for an acquisition closed in 2007. Acquisition-related liabilities are liabilities paid in the year shown above that were accrued for in a previous year. Elimination of noncontrolling interests in 2008 consists of the noncontrolling interest liability in PCRCO that was eliminated as a result of the Company's acquisition of the remaining 49% interest in PCRCO.

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Company incurred \$3,987 and \$2,081, respectively, of acquisition-related costs. These expenses are included in Selling, general and administrative expenses in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

**4. INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

Intangible assets, exclusive of goodwill, consisted of the following at December 31, 2009:

|  | <b>Gross</b>    |                     | <b>Net</b>      |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
|  | <b>Carrying</b> | <b>Accumulated</b>  | <b>Carrying</b> |
|  | <b>Amount</b>   | <b>Amortization</b> | <b>Amount</b>   |
| Amortizable intangible assets:               |                 |                     |                 |
| Long-term franchise agreements and contracts | \$ 187,105      | \$ (18,751)         | \$ 168,354      |
| Customer lists                               | 57,572          | (11,265)            | 46,307          |
| Non-competition agreements                   | 9,732           | (5,740)             | 3,992           |
| Other  | 21,236          | (1,746)             | 19,490          |
|  | 275,645         | (37,502)            | 238,143         |
| Nonamortized intangible assets:              |                 |                     |                 |
| Indefinite-lived intangible assets           | 116,160         |                     | 116,160         |
| Intangible assets, exclusive of goodwill     | \$ 391,805      | \$ (37,502)         | \$ 354,303      |

The weighted-average amortization periods of long-term franchise agreements and contracts, customer lists and other intangibles acquired during the year ended December 31, 2009, were 33.0 years, 9.7 years and 38.1 years, respectively.



**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

Intangible assets, exclusive of goodwill, consisted of the following at December 31, 2010:

|  | <b>Gross<br/>Carrying<br/>Amount</b> | <b>Accumulated<br/>Amortization</b> | <b>Net<br/>Carrying<br/>Amount</b> |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Amortizable intangible assets:               |                                      |                                     |                                    |
| Long-term franchise agreements and contracts | \$ 190,489                           | \$ (25,255)                         | \$ 165,234                         |
| Customer lists                               | 62,885                               | (17,867)                            | 45,018                             |
| Non-competition agreements                   | 9,414                                | (5,982)                             | 3,432                              |
| Other  | 21,236                               | (2,364)                             | 18,872                             |
|  | 284,024                              | (51,468)                            | 232,556                            |
| Nonamortized intangible assets:              |                                      |                                     |                                    |
| Indefinite-lived intangible assets           | 148,919                              |                                     | 148,919                            |
| Intangible assets, exclusive of goodwill     | \$ 432,943                           | \$ (51,468)                         | \$ 381,475                         |

The weighted-average amortization period of long-term franchise agreements and contracts acquired during the year ended December 31, 2010 was 9.1 years. The weighted-average amortization period of customer lists acquired during the year ended December 31, 2010 was 6.4 years.

The amounts assigned to indefinite-lived intangible assets consist of the value of certain perpetual rights to provide solid waste collection and transportation services in specified territories and to operate an exploration and production waste treatment and disposal facility.

Estimated future amortization expense for the next five years relating to amortizable intangible assets is as follows:

|                                       |           |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| For the year ending December 31, 2011 | \$ 15,651 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2012 | \$ 15,178 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2013 | \$ 13,676 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2014 | \$ 13,081 |
| For the year ending December 31, 2015 | \$ 12,420 |

**5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT**

Property and equipment consists of the following:

|   | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |              |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------|
|   | <b>2009</b>                    | <b>2010</b>  |
| Landfill site costs                         | \$ 923,670                     | \$ 967,950   |
| Rolling stock                               | 406,010                        | 441,476      |
| Land, buildings and improvements            | 197,214                        | 219,453      |
| Containers                                  | 175,542                        | 189,802      |
| Machinery and equipment                     | 180,801                        | 192,565      |
| Construction in progress                    | 5,831                          | 16,245       |
|   | 1,889,068                      | 2,027,491    |
| Less accumulated depreciation and depletion | (580,676)                      | (690,015)    |
|   | \$ 1,308,392                   | \$ 1,337,476 |

The Company's landfill depletion expense, recorded in Depreciation in the Consolidated Statements of Income, for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, was \$23,422, \$33,627 and \$40,884, respectively.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**6. OTHER ASSETS, NET**

Other assets, net, consist of the following:

|   | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |                  |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|
|   | <b>2009</b>                    | <b>2010</b>      |
| Deferred financing costs                      | \$ 5,096                       | \$ 2,566         |
| Investment in unconsolidated entity           | 5,300                          | 5,300            |
| Landfill closure receivable                   | 4,619                          | 4,749            |
| Deposits                                      | 1,597                          | 1,659            |
| Unrealized interest rate and fuel hedge gains | 2,849                          | 2,261            |
| Other   | 4,351                          | 6,644            |
|   | <b>\$ 23,812</b>               | <b>\$ 23,179</b> |

**7. ACCRUED LIABILITIES**

Accrued liabilities consist of the following:

|  | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |                  |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|
|  | <b>2009</b>                    | <b>2010</b>      |
| Insurance claims                               | \$ 35,834                      | \$ 37,623        |
| Payroll and payroll-related                    | 23,692                         | 28,910           |
| Interest payable                               | 7,806                          | 5,569            |
| Acquisition-related                            | 4,913                          | 8,558            |
| Unrealized interest rate and fuel hedge losses | 10,714                         | 4,988            |
| Other  | 10,421                         | 13,427           |
|  | <b>\$ 93,380</b>               | <b>\$ 99,075</b> |

**8. LONG-TERM DEBT**

Long-term debt consists of the following:

|   | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |                |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------|
|   | <b>2009</b>                    | <b>2010</b>    |
| Revolver under Credit Facility  | \$ 269,000                     | \$ 511,000     |
| 2026 Notes, net of discount of \$6,246 as of December 31, 2009  | 193,754                        |                |
| 2015 Notes  | 175,000                        | 175,000        |
| 2019 Notes  | 175,000                        | 175,000        |
| Tax-exempt bonds  | 50,615                         | 39,420         |
| Notes payable to sellers in connection with acquisitions, uncollateralized, bearing interest at 2.50% to 10.35%, principal and interest payments due periodically with due dates ranging from 2012 to 2036                                      | 4,872                          | 9,159          |
| Notes payable to third parties, collateralized by substantially all assets of certain subsidiaries of the Company, bearing interest at 6.7% to 10.9%, principal and interest payments due periodically with due dates ranging from 2012 to 2019 | 1,922                          | 3,056          |
|   | <b>870,163</b>                 | <b>912,635</b> |

|                      |            |            |
|----------------------|------------|------------|
| Less current portion | (2,609)    | (2,657)    |
|                      | \$ 867,554 | \$ 909,978 |

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**Credit Facility**

The Company has a senior revolving credit facility with a syndicate of banks for which Bank of America, N.A. acts as agent. The maximum borrowings available under the Company's credit facility was \$845,000 as of December 31, 2009 and 2010. There is no maximum amount of standby letters of credit that can be issued; however, the issuance of standby letters of credit reduces the amount of total borrowings available. As of December 31, 2009, \$269,000 was outstanding under the credit facility, exclusive of outstanding standby letters of credit of \$87,116. As of December 31, 2010, \$511,000 was outstanding under the credit facility, exclusive of outstanding standby letters of credit of \$82,939. The credit facility matures in September 2012.

The borrowings under the credit facility bear interest, at the Company's option, at either the base rate plus the applicable base rate margin (approximately 3.25% at each of December 31, 2009 and 2010) on base rate loans, or the Eurodollar rate plus the applicable Eurodollar margin (approximately 0.86% and 0.89% at December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively) on Eurodollar loans. The applicable margins under the credit facility vary depending on the Company's leverage ratio, as defined in the credit agreement. As of December 31, 2009 and 2010, the margins were 0.625% for Eurodollar loans and 0.00% for base rate loans.

The credit facility requires the Company to pay an annual commitment fee on the unused portion of the facility. The commitment fee was 0.15% as of December 31, 2009 and 2010.

The borrowings under the credit facility are not collateralized. The credit facility contains representations and warranties and places certain business, financial and operating restrictions on the Company relating to, among other things, indebtedness, liens and other encumbrances, investments, mergers and acquisitions, asset sales, sale and leaseback transactions, and dividends, distributions and redemptions of capital stock. The credit facility requires that the Company maintain specified financial ratios. As of December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Company was in compliance with all applicable covenants in the credit facility.

**Convertible Senior Notes due 2026**

On March 20, 2006, the Company completed its offering of \$200,000 aggregate principal amount of its 3.75% Convertible Senior Notes due 2026 in an offering pursuant to Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The terms and conditions of the 2026 Notes are set forth in the Indenture, dated as of March 20, 2006, between the Company and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee. The 2026 Notes were convertible into cash and, if applicable, shares of common stock based on an initial conversion rate of 44.1177 shares of common stock per \$1 principal amount of 2026 Notes (which was equal to an initial conversion price of approximately \$22.67 per share), subject to adjustment, and only under certain circumstances. Upon surrender of the 2026 Notes for conversion, the Company was required to deliver cash equal to the lesser of the aggregate principal amount of notes to be converted and its total conversion obligation.

On April 1, 2010, the Company redeemed the \$200,000 aggregate principal amount of its 2026 Notes. Holders of the notes chose to convert a total of \$22,700 principal amount of the notes. In addition to paying the principal amount of these notes with proceeds from its credit facility, the Company issued 32,859 shares of its common stock in connection with the conversion and redemption. The Company redeemed the balance of \$177,300 principal amount of the notes with proceeds from its credit facility. All holders of the notes that were redeemed also received accrued interest of \$0.01875 per \$1 principal amount of the notes and an interest make-whole payment of \$0.037396 per \$1 principal amount of the notes. As a result of the redemption, the Company recognized \$9,734 of pre-tax expense (\$6,035 net of taxes) in April 2010, which was included in Loss on extinguishment of debt in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the pronouncement on convertible debt which affected the Company's accounting and disclosure for its 2026 Notes. Consistent with the transition guidance in this convertible debt pronouncement, the Company's adoption of this pronouncement was treated as a change in accounting principle that has been applied retrospectively to all periods presented.



**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

Upon adoption of the convertible debt pronouncement, the Company first determined the carrying amount of the liability component of the 2026 Notes at their issuance date by measuring the fair value of a similar liability excluding the embedded conversion option. At the date of issuance of the 2026 Notes, the Company's borrowing rate for similar debt instruments with no conversion rights was estimated at 6.5% per annum. This borrowing rate was estimated to be representative of non-convertible debt with a maturity date of five years, which was considered appropriate given the April 1, 2011 put feature of the 2026 Notes. Using a present value formula that incorporated a 6.5% annual discount rate over a five-year period with semi-annual interest coupon payment dates, the Company estimated the fair value of the hypothetical non-convertible debt to be \$177,232. The Company then determined the carrying amount of the equity component of the 2026 Notes, represented by the embedded conversion option, by deducting the fair value of the liability component from the initial proceeds ascribed to the convertible debt instrument as a whole, which were equal to the \$200,000 principal amount of the Notes. The resulting carrying amount of the equity component at the issuance date of the 2026 Notes was \$22,768. Underlying debt issuance costs of \$5,534 associated with the 2026 Notes were allocated between the liability and equity components of the debt in accordance with the convertible debt pronouncement.

Subsequent to the initial measurement of the liability and equity components, and the related allocation of direct transaction costs, as of the issuance date of the 2026 Notes, the Company calculated an amortization schedule for the excess of the principal amount of the liability component over its carrying amount (the debt discount), using the interest method. The debt discount was being amortized over a five-year period through April 1, 2011, representing the first date on which holders of the 2026 Notes could have required the Company to repurchase all or a portion of their notes. In addition, the Company calculated the adjusted debt issuance cost amortization on the portion of direct transaction costs allocated to the liability component, which is recognized as Interest expense in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income. The adjustment to the debt issuance cost amortization subsequent to adoption of the convertible debt pronouncement relates to the portion of direct transaction costs allocated to the equity component. These costs were recognized as a reduction to the carrying value of the equity component, which was not amortized. For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, the total interest expense recognized by the Company relating to both the contractual interest coupon and amortization of the non-cash debt discount on the 2026 Notes was \$11,904 (\$7,381, net of taxes), \$12,184 (\$7,554, net of taxes), and \$3,120 (\$1,935, net of taxes), respectively. The portion of total interest expense related to the contractual interest coupon on the 2026 Notes during each of the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 was \$7,500 (\$4,650, net of taxes), \$7,500 (\$4,650, net of taxes), and \$1,875 (\$1,163, net of taxes), respectively. The portion of total interest expense related to amortizing the non-cash debt discount during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 was \$4,404 (\$2,731, net of taxes), \$4,684 (\$2,904, net of taxes), and \$1,245 (\$772, net of taxes), respectively. The effective interest rate on the liability component for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 was 6.4%.

Under the convertible debt pronouncement, upon conversion of the 2026 Notes, the Company was required to allocate the fair value of the consideration transferred and any transaction costs incurred between the equity and liability components. This was done by first allocating to the liability component an amount equal to the fair value of the liability component immediately prior to its conversion, with the residual consideration allocated to the equity component. A loss equal to the difference between the consideration allocated to the liability component and the carrying value of the liability component, including any unamortized debt discount or issuance costs, was recorded in Loss on extinguishment of debt in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The following table presents information regarding the values at which the following items are carried in the Company's December 31, 2009 Consolidated Balance Sheets:

|                                     | <b>December 31,<br/>2009</b> |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Carrying amount of equity component | \$ 13,726                    |

|   |    |         |
|---|----|---------|
| Principal amount of liability component     | \$ | 200,000 |
| Unamortized discount on liability component |    | (6,246) |
| Net carrying amount of liability component  | \$ | 193,754 |

### **Master Note Purchase Agreement**

#### **Senior Notes due 2015**

On July 15, 2008, the Company entered into a Master Note Purchase Agreement with certain accredited institutional investors pursuant to which the Company issued and sold to the investors at a closing on October 1, 2008, \$175,000 of senior uncollateralized notes due October 1, 2015 in a private placement. The 2015 Notes bear interest at the fixed rate of 6.22% per annum with interest payable in arrears semi-annually on April 1 and October 1 beginning on April 1, 2009, and with principal payable at the maturity of the 2015 Notes on October 1, 2015.

The 2015 Notes are uncollateralized obligations and rank equally with obligations under the Company's senior uncollateralized revolving credit facility. The 2015 Notes are subject to representations, warranties, covenants and events of default. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, payment of the 2015 Notes may be accelerated by the holders of the 2015 Notes. The 2015 Notes may also be prepaid at any time in whole or from time to time in any part (not less than 5% of the then-outstanding principal amount) by the Company at par plus a make-whole amount determined in respect of the remaining scheduled interest payments on the 2015 Notes, using a discount rate of the then current market standard for United States treasury bills plus 0.50%. In addition, the Company will be required to offer to prepay the 2015 Notes upon certain changes in control. The Company is amortizing the \$1,026 debt issuance costs over a seven-year term through the maturity date, or October 1, 2015.



**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**Senior Notes due 2019**

On October 26, 2009, the Company entered into a First Supplement to the Master Note Purchase Agreement with certain accredited institutional investors pursuant to which the Company issued and sold to the investors on that date \$175,000 of senior uncollateralized notes due November 1, 2019 in a private placement. The 2019 Notes bear interest at the fixed rate of 5.25% per annum with interest payable in arrears semi-annually on May 1 and November 1 beginning on May 1, 2010, and with principal payable at the maturity of the 2019 Notes on November 1, 2019.

The 2019 Notes are uncollateralized obligations and rank equally with the 2015 Notes and obligations under the Company's senior uncollateralized revolving credit facility. The 2019 Notes are subject to representations, warranties, covenants and events of default. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, payment of the 2019 Notes may be accelerated by the holders of the 2019 Notes. The 2019 Notes may also be prepaid by the Company at any time at par plus a make whole amount determined in respect of the remaining scheduled interest payments on the 2019 Notes, using a discount rate of the then current market standard for United States treasury bills plus 0.50%. In addition, the Company will be required to offer to prepay the 2019 Notes upon certain changes in control. The Company is amortizing the \$152 debt issuance costs over a 10-year term through the maturity date, or November 1, 2019.

On November 24, 2010, the Company entered into an amendment to the Master Note Purchase Agreement increasing the aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes issuable under the Master Note Purchase Agreement from \$500,000 to \$750,000. No other changes were made in the amendment.

The Company may issue additional series of senior uncollateralized notes pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Master Note Purchase Agreement, as amended, provided that the purchasers of the 2015 Notes and the 2019 Notes shall not have any obligation to purchase any additional notes issued pursuant to the Master Note Purchase Agreement and the aggregate principal amount of the 2015 Notes, the 2019 Notes and any additional notes issued pursuant to the Master Note Purchase Agreement shall not exceed \$750,000.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**Tax-Exempt Bonds**

The Company's tax-exempt bond financings are as follows:

| Name of Bond                  | Type of<br>Interest<br>Rate | Interest<br>Rate<br>on Bond<br>at<br>December<br>31,<br>2010 | Maturity Date of<br>Bond | Outstanding Balance<br>at |                      | Backed<br>by<br>Letter<br>of Credit<br>(Amount) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---|
|                               |                             |  |                          | December 31,<br>2009      | December 31,<br>2010 |   |
| Wasco Bond 2012               | Fixed                       | 7.00%  | March 1, 2012            | \$ 1,800                  | \$                   | \$  |
| Wasco Bond 2021               | Fixed                       | 7.25   | March 1, 2021            | 8,475                     |                      |   |
| Madera Bond                   | Variable                    | 0.33   | May 1, 2016              | 1,800                     | 1,800                | 1,829   |
| Tehama Bond                   | Variable                    | 0.33   | June 1, 2014             | 515                       | 445                  | 452   |
| San Jose Bond<br>Series 1997A | Variable                    | 0.33   | August 1, 2012           | 480                       | 320                  | 348   |
| San Jose Bond<br>Series 2001A | Variable                    | 0.33   | September 1, 2016        | 3,995                     | 3,305                | 3,552   |
| West Valley Bond              | Variable                    | 0.41   | August 1, 2018           | 15,500                    | 15,500               | 15,678  |
| LeMay Washington Bond         | Variable                    | 0.40   | April 1, 2033            | 15,930                    | 15,930               | 16,126  |
| LeMay Olympia Bond            | Variable                    | 0.40   | April 1, 2019            | 2,120                     | 2,120                | 2,151   |
|                               |                             |  |                          | \$ 50,615                 | \$ 39,420            | \$ 40,136                                       |

In January 2010, the Company gave notice to redeem two of its tax-exempt bonds (the Wasco Bond 2012 and the Wasco Bond 2021) with a remaining principal balance of \$10,275. The Company paid the principal, accrued interest and call premium on these bonds on March 1, 2010, recording \$459 to Loss on extinguishment of debt in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The variable-rate bonds are all remarketed weekly by a remarketing agent to effectively maintain a variable yield. If the remarketing agent is unable to remarket the bonds, then the remarketing agent can put the bonds to the Company. The Company has obtained standby letters of credit, issued under its senior revolving credit facility, to guarantee repayment of the bonds in this event. The Company classified these borrowings as long-term at December 31, 2010, because the borrowings are supported by standby letters of credit issued under the Company's senior revolving credit facility which is long-term.

As of December 31, 2010, aggregate contractual future principal payments by calendar year on long-term debt are due as follows:

|            |            |
|------------|------------|
| 2011       | \$ 2,657   |
| 2012       | 513,809    |
| 2013       | 1,908      |
| 2014       | 3,207      |
| 2015       | 176,865    |
| Thereafter | 214,189    |
|            | \$ 912,635 |

**9. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

The Company uses a three-tier fair value hierarchy to classify and disclose all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, as well as assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, in periods subsequent to their initial measurement. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; Level 2, defined as inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

The Company's financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis include derivative instruments and restricted assets. The Company's derivative instruments are pay-fixed, receive-variable interest rate swaps and pay-fixed, receive-variable diesel fuel hedges. The Company's interest rate swaps are recorded at their estimated fair values based on quotes received from financial institutions that trade these contracts. The Company verifies the reasonableness of these quotes using similar quotes from another financial institution as of each date for which financial statements are prepared. The Company uses a discounted cash flow ( DCF ) model to determine the estimated fair values of the diesel fuel hedges. The assumptions used in preparing the DCF model include: (i) estimates for the forward DOE index curve; and (ii) the discount rate based on risk-free interest rates over the term of the agreements. The DOE index curve used in the DCF model was obtained from financial institutions that trade these contracts. For the Company's interest rate and fuel hedges, the Company also considers its creditworthiness in its determination of the fair value measurement of these instruments in a net liability position and the banks' creditworthiness in its determination of the fair value measurements of these instruments in a net asset position. The Company's restricted assets are valued at quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets, which the Company receives from the financial institutions that hold such investments on its behalf. The Company's restricted assets measured at fair value are invested primarily in U.S. government and agency securities.

The Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2009 and 2010, were as follows:

|  | <b>Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2009 Using</b> |   |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
|  | <b>Total</b>   | <b>Quoted Prices<br/>in<br/>Active Markets<br/>for Identical<br/>Assets<br/>(Level 1)</b> | <b>Significant<br/>Other<br/>Observable<br/>Inputs<br/>(Level 2)</b> | <b>Significant<br/>Unobservable<br/>Inputs<br/>(Level 3)</b> |
| Interest rate swap derivative instruments liability position | \$ (7,761)   | \$  | \$ (7,761)   | \$   |
| Fuel hedge derivative instruments net liability position     | \$ (104)   | \$  | \$   | \$ (104)   |
| Restricted assets  | \$ 27,617  | \$ 27,617   | \$   | \$   |

|  | <b>Fair Value Measurement at December 31, 2010 Using</b> |   |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
|  | <b>Total</b>   | <b>Quoted Prices<br/>in<br/>Active Markets<br/>for Identical<br/>Assets<br/>(Level 1)</b> | <b>Significant<br/>Other<br/>Observable<br/>Inputs<br/>(Level 2)</b> | <b>Significant<br/>Unobservable<br/>Inputs<br/>(Level 3)</b> |
| Interest rate swap derivative instruments net liability position | \$ (9,722)   | \$  | \$ (9,722)   | \$   |
| Fuel hedge derivative instruments net asset position             | \$ 4,730   | \$  | \$   | \$ 4,730   |
| Restricted assets  | \$ 30,791  | \$ 30,791   | \$   | \$   |

During the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, there were no fair value measurements of assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis subsequent to their initial recognition.



**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

The following table summarizes the change in the fair value for Level 3 derivatives for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010:

|                                      | <b>Level 3<br/>Derivatives</b> |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2008      | \$ (10,812)                    |
| Realized losses included in earnings | 8,509                          |
| Unrealized gains included in AOCL    | 2,199                          |
| Balance as of December 31, 2009      | (104)                          |
| Realized losses included in earnings | 3,932                          |
| Unrealized gains included in AOCL    | 902                            |
| Balance as of December 31, 2010      | \$ 4,730                       |

**10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES****COMMITMENTS****Leases**

The Company leases its facilities and certain equipment under non-cancelable operating leases for periods ranging from one to 30 years, with renewal options for certain leases. The Company's total rent expense under operating leases during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, was \$9,008, \$11,017 and \$12,222, respectively.

As of December 31, 2010, future minimum lease payments, by calendar year, are as follows:

|            |           |
|------------|-----------|
| 2011       | \$ 10,090 |
| 2012       | 9,721     |
| 2013       | 8,416     |
| 2014       | 6,919     |
| 2015       | 5,784     |
| Thereafter | 31,193    |
|            | \$ 72,123 |

**Financial Surety Bonds**

The Company uses financial surety bonds for a variety of corporate guarantees. The two largest uses of financial surety bonds are for municipal contract performance guarantees and landfill final capping, closure and post-closure financial assurance required under certain environmental regulations. Environmental regulations require demonstrated financial assurance to meet final capping, closure and post-closure requirements for landfills. In addition to surety bonds, these requirements may also be met through alternative financial assurance instruments, including insurance, letters of credit and restricted asset deposits.

At December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Company had provided customers and various regulatory authorities with surety bonds in the aggregate amount of approximately \$182,468 and \$190,561, respectively, to secure its landfill final capping, closure and post-closure requirements and \$90,593 and \$95,108, respectively, to secure performance under collection contracts and landfill operating agreements.

The Company owns a 9.9% interest in a company that, among other activities, issues financial surety bonds to secure final capping, landfill closure and post-closure obligations for companies operating in the solid waste industry. The Company accounts for this investment under the cost method of accounting. There have been no identified events or

changes in circumstances that may have a significant adverse effect on the fair value of the investment. This investee company and the parent company of the investee had written financial surety bonds for the Company, of which \$122,318 and \$130,287 were outstanding as of December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively. The Company's reimbursement obligations under these bonds are secured by a pledge of its stock in the investee company.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**CONTINGENCIES**

**Environmental Risks**

The Company may be subject to liability for any environmental damage that its solid waste facilities cause to neighboring landowners or residents, particularly as a result of the contamination of soil, groundwater or surface water, and especially drinking water, including damage resulting from conditions existing prior to the acquisition of such facilities by the Company. The Company may also be subject to liability for any off-site environmental contamination caused by pollutants or hazardous substances whose transportation, treatment or disposal was arranged by the Company or its predecessors. Any substantial liability for environmental damage incurred by the Company could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. As of December 31, 2010, the Company is not aware of any material environmental liabilities.

**Legal Proceedings**

In the normal course of its business and as a result of the extensive governmental regulation of the solid waste industry, the Company is subject to various judicial and administrative proceedings involving federal, state or local agencies. In these proceedings, an agency may seek to impose fines on the Company or to revoke or deny renewal of an operating permit held by the Company. From time to time, the Company may also be subject to actions brought by special interest or other groups, adjacent landowners or residents in connection with the permitting and licensing of landfills and transfer stations, or alleging environmental damage or violations of the permits and licenses pursuant to which the Company operates.

In addition, the Company is a party to various claims and suits pending for alleged damages to persons and property, alleged violations of certain laws and alleged liabilities arising out of matters occurring during the normal operation of the waste management business. Except as noted in the legal cases described below, as of December 31, 2010, there is no current proceeding or litigation involving the Company or of which any of its property is the subject that the Company believes could have a material adverse impact on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

**Chaparral, New Mexico Landfill Permit Litigation**

The Company's subsidiary, High Desert Solid Waste Facility, Inc. (formerly known as Rhino Solid Waste, Inc.) (HDSWF), owns undeveloped property in Chaparral, New Mexico, for which it sought a permit to operate a municipal solid waste landfill. After a public hearing, the New Mexico Environment Department (the Department) approved the permit for the facility on January 30, 2002. Colonias Development Council (CDC), a nonprofit organization, opposed the permit at the public hearing and appealed the Department's decision to the courts of New Mexico, primarily on the grounds that the Department failed to consider the social impact of the landfill on the community of Chaparral, and failed to consider regional planning issues. On July 18, 2005, in *Colonias Dev. Council v. Rhino Env'tl. Servs., Inc. (In re Rhino Env'tl. Servs.)*, 2005 NMSC 24, 117 P.3d 939, the New Mexico Supreme Court remanded the matter back to the Department to conduct a limited public hearing on certain evidence that CDC claimed was wrongfully excluded from consideration by the hearing officer, and to allow the Department to reconsider the evidence already proffered concerning the impact of the landfill on the surrounding community's quality of life. In July 2007, the Department, CDC, the Company and Otero County signed a stipulation requesting a postponement of the limited public hearing to allow the Company time to explore a possible relocation of the landfill to a new site. Since 2007, the Department has issued several postponements orders for the limited public hearing, currently scheduled for November 2011, as HDSWF has continued to evaluate the suitability of a new site. In July 2009, HDSWF purchased approximately 325 acres of undeveloped land comprising a proposed new site from the State of New Mexico. HDSWF filed a formal landfill permit application for the new site with the Department on September 17, 2010, and the Department is evaluating that application. If the Department denies the landfill permit application for the new site, HDSWF will actively resume its efforts to enforce the previously issued landfill permit for the original site in Chaparral. At December 31, 2010, the Company had \$11,618 of capitalized expenditures related to this landfill development project. If the Company is ultimately issued a permit to operate the landfill at the new site purchased in July 2009, the



Company will be required to expense in a future period \$10,318 of capitalized expenditures related to the original Chaparral property, less the recoverable value of that undeveloped property and other amounts recovered, which would likely have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations for that period. If the Company instead is ultimately issued a permit to operate the landfill at the original Chaparral property, the Company will be required to expense in a future period \$1,300 of capitalized expenditures related to the new site purchased in July 2009, less the recoverable value of that undeveloped property and other amounts recovered. If the Company is not ultimately issued a permit to operate the landfill at either one of the two sites, the Company will be required to expense in a future period the \$11,618 of capitalized expenditures, less the recoverable value of the undeveloped properties and other amounts recovered, which would likely have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations for that period.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**Harper County, Kansas Landfill Permit Litigation**

The Company opened a municipal solid waste landfill in Harper County, Kansas in January 2006, following the issuance by the Kansas Department of Health and Environment ( KDHE ) of a final permit to operate the landfill. The landfill has operated continuously since that time. On October 3, 2005, landfill opponents filed a suit (*Board of Comm rs of Sumner County, Kansas, Tri-County Concerned Citizens and Dalton Holland v. Roderick Bremby, Sec y of the Kansas Dep t of Health and Env t, et al.*) in the District Court of Shawnee County, Kansas, seeking a judicial review of KDHE s decision to issue the permit, alleging that a site analysis prepared for the Company and submitted to KDHE as part of the process leading to the issuance of the permit was deficient in several respects. The action sought to stay the effectiveness of the permit and to nullify it. The Company intervened in this lawsuit shortly after it was filed. On April 7, 2006, the District Court issued an order denying the plaintiffs request for judicial review on the grounds that they lacked standing to bring the action. The plaintiffs appealed that decision to the Kansas Court of Appeals, and on October 12, 2007, the Court of Appeals issued an opinion reversing and remanding the District Court s decision. The Company appealed the decision to the Kansas Supreme Court, and on July 25, 2008, the Supreme Court affirmed the decision of the Court of Appeals and remanded the case to the District Court for further proceedings on the merits. Plaintiffs filed a second amended petition on October 22, 2008, and the Company filed a motion to strike various allegations contained within the second amended petition. On July 2, 2009, the District Court granted in part and denied in part the Company s motion to strike. The District Court also set a new briefing schedule, and the parties completed the briefing during the first half of 2010. Oral argument in the case occurred on September 27, 2010. There is no scheduled time limit within which the District Court has to decide this administrative appeal. While the Company believes that it will prevail in this case, the District Court could remand the matter back to KDHE for additional review of its decision or could revoke the permit. An order of remand to KDHE would not necessarily affect the Company s continued operation of the landfill. Only in the event that a final, materially adverse determination with respect to the permit is received would there likely be a material adverse effect on the Company s reported results of operations in the future. If as a result of this litigation, after exhausting all appeals, the Company was unable to continue to operate the landfill, the Company estimates that it would be required to record a pre-tax impairment charge of approximately \$15,000 to reduce the carrying value of the landfill to its estimated fair value. In addition, the Company estimates the current annual impact to its pre-tax earnings that would result if it was unable to continue to operate the landfill would be approximately \$4,000 per year.

**El Paso, Texas Labor Union Disputes**

One of the Company s subsidiaries, El Paso Disposal, LP ( EPD ), is a party to administrative proceedings before the National Labor Relations Board ( NLRB ). In these proceedings, the union has alleged various unfair labor practices relating to the parties failure to reach agreement on initial labor contracts and the resultant strike by, and the replacement of and a failure to recall, union-represented employees. On April 29, 2009, following a hearing, an administrative law judge issued a recommended Decision and Order finding violations of the National Labor Relations Act by EPD and recommended to the NLRB that EPD take remedial actions, including reinstating certain employees and their previous terms and conditions of employment, refraining from certain conduct, continuing to bargain collectively and providing a make whole remedy. EPD filed exceptions to the administrative law judge s recommendations on June 30, 2009. The matter is currently before the NLRB on review. On July 27, 2009, the NLRB s regional office in Phoenix, Arizona filed a petition in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas seeking an injunction to reinstate the replaced employees, order EPD to continue collective bargaining while the NLRB s review is pending, and to refrain from further alleged unfair labor practices. A hearing on the injunction was held on August 19, 2009; and on October 30, 2009, the District Court granted the NLRB s requested relief. EPD appealed the District Court s order to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, and a hearing on the appeal occurred on August 2, 2010. On November 4, 2010, the Fifth Circuit affirmed the District Court s injunction order.

Several related unfair labor practice charges alleging failure to bargain and failure to appropriately recall union-represented employees subsequently were filed against EPD. The charges were heard by an administrative law judge during the week of August 24, 2009. On December 2, 2009, the administrative law judge issued a recommended Decision and Order granting part of the NLRB's requested relief, while denying part, but the issues were effectively subsumed by the District Court's injunction. Both EPD and the NLRB's General Counsel filed exceptions to the administrative law judge's recommendations with the NLRB. These exceptions also are currently under review by the NLRB.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

On January 22, 2010 and March 5, 2010, the union filed new unfair labor practice charges against EPD concerning events relating to the ongoing contract negotiation process. On May 28, 2010, the NLRB issued a complaint against EPD alleging unfair labor practices, including alleged unlawful threats and coercive statements, refusal to provide striking employees with full and unconditional reinstatement, reduction of earning opportunities for striking employees, implementation of new routes for drivers, implementation of a new longevity bonus plan, use of video footage captured by surveillance camera to discipline employees, change to the driver training program, change to the uniform practice and bargaining proposals that were predictably unacceptable to the union. EPD filed an answer denying any wrongdoing. Further, EPD believes it has resolved many of these allegations through negotiations with the union. A hearing on this complaint was scheduled for November 2, 2010, but subsequently was postponed indefinitely by the NLRB as a result of a pending comprehensive settlement of outstanding matters between EPD and the union that is more fully described below.

Most recently, on June 11, 2010, June 24, 2010, and June 30, 2010, the union filed new unfair labor practice charges alleging that EPD has unlawfully failed to provide relevant information requested by the union, and unilaterally changed terms and working conditions of employment (by unspecified acts) resulting in a reduced size of the bargaining unit, implementing new work schedules, suspending an employee with pay due to an accident, reassigning and/or changing work assignments among bargaining unit employees and intimidating and coercing employees by suspending strikers involved in accidents and by following drivers excessively while performing their duties. The NLRB included these new allegations in its complaint to be heard on November 2, 2010, which was postponed indefinitely by the NLRB because of the pending comprehensive settlement between EPD and the union.

On August 10, 2010, the NLRB filed a petition for contempt and other civil relief before the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, alleging that EPD violated the District Court's October 30, 2009 injunction order by failing or refusing to implement the interim relief directed by the court (e.g., to restore changed employment terms, reinstate former strikers to their prior positions, and not commit future purported unfair labor practices). EPD filed an answer denying any wrongdoing. A hearing on the NLRB's petition was scheduled for November 10, 2010, but was postponed indefinitely by the NLRB because of the pending comprehensive settlement between EPD and the union.

In December, 2010, the union ratified a comprehensive settlement reached with EPD as to all outstanding unfair labor practice charges and related liability issues. The settlement has resulted in the indefinite postponement of the NLRB and District Court proceedings described above, pending final administration of the settlement terms. The settlement includes: agreement on collective bargaining agreements for the two EPD bargaining units; withdrawal by the union of all of its unfair labor practice charges; and the payment by EPD of 60% of net back pay, without interest, for all alleged discriminatees for the back pay period in question, which ended in 2009. Any disputes regarding the amount of back pay owed will be determined through arbitration. As part of the settlement, EPD denies that any wrongdoing occurred. The parties have begun to implement the settlement terms, pursuant to which, in December 2010, the union filed a request with the NLRB to withdraw all of its unfair labor practice charges. This request currently is pending before the NLRB regional office in Phoenix, but has not yet been approved. Thus, the pending comprehensive settlement is not yet final.

**Solano County, California Measure E/Landfill Expansion Litigation**

The Company and Potrero Hills Landfill, Inc. ( PHLF ), which the Company purchased from Republic Services, Inc. in April 2009, were named as real parties in interest in an amended complaint captioned *Sustainability, Parks, Recycling and Wildlife Legal Defense Fund v. County of Solano*, which was filed in the Superior Court of California, County of Solano, on July 9, 2009 (the original complaint was filed on June 12, 2009). This lawsuit seeks to compel Solano County to comply with Measure E, a ballot initiative and County ordinance passed in 1984 that the County has not enforced against PHLF since at least 1992. Measure E directs in part that Solano County shall not allow the importation into the County of any solid waste which originated or was collected outside the County in excess of 95,000 tons per year. PHLF disposes of approximately 670,800 tons of solid waste annually, approximately 562,300

tons of which originate from sources outside of Solano County. The Sustainability, Parks, Recycling and Wildlife Legal Defense Fund ( SPRAWLDEF ) lawsuit also seeks to overturn Solano County s approval of the use permit for the expansion of the Potrero Hills Landfill and the related Environmental Impact Report ( EIR ), arguing that both violate Measure E and that the EIR violates the California Environmental Quality Act ( CEQA ). Two similar actions seeking to enforce Measure E, captioned *Northern California Recycling Association v. County of Solano* and *Sierra Club v. County of Solano*, were filed in the same court on June 10, 2009, and August 10, 2009, respectively. The Northern California Recycling Association ( NCRA ) case does not name the Company or any of its subsidiaries as parties and does not contain any CEQA claims. The Sierra Club case names PHLF as a real party in interest, and seeks to overturn the conditional use permit for the expansion of the landfill on Measure E grounds (but does not raise CEQA claims). These lawsuits follow a previous lawsuit concerning Measure E that NCRA filed against PHLF in the same court on July 22, 2008, prior to the Company s acquisition of PHLF, but which NCRA later dismissed.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

In December 2009, the Company and PHLF filed briefs vigorously opposing enforcement of Measure E on Constitutional and other grounds. The Company's position is supported by Solano County, a co-defendant in the Measure E litigation. It is also supported by the Attorney General of the State of California, the National Solid Wastes Management Association and the California Refuse Recycling Council, each of which filed supporting friend of court briefs or letters. In addition, numerous waste hauling companies in California, Oregon and Nevada have intervened on the Company's side in the state cases, subsequent to their participation in the federal action challenging Measure E discussed below. A hearing on the merits for all three Measure E state cases was held on February 18, 2010.

On May 12, 2010, the Solano County Superior Court issued a written opinion addressing all three cases. The Court upheld Measure E in part by judicially rewriting the law, and then issued a writ of mandamus directing Solano County to enforce Measure E as rewritten. The Court decided that it could cure the law's discrimination against out-of-county waste by revising Measure E to only limit the importation of waste into Solano County from other counties in California, but not from other states. In the same opinion, the Court rejected the requests from petitioners in the cases for a writ of administrative mandamus to overturn the permit approved by Solano County in June 2009 for the expansion of PHLF's landfill, thereby leaving the expansion permit in place. Petitioners Sierra Club and SPRAWLDEF filed motions to reconsider in which they asked the Court to issue a writ of administrative mandamus and void PHLF's expansion permit. The County, the Company and PHLF opposed the motions to reconsider and a hearing was held on June 25, 2010. On August 30, 2010, the Court denied the motions to reconsider and reaffirmed its ruling denying the petitions for writs to overturn PHLF's expansion permit. In December 2010, the Court entered final judgments and writs of mandamus in the three cases, and Solano County, the Company, PHLF and the waste hauling company intervenors filed notices of appeal, which stayed the judgments and writs pending the outcome of the appeal. Petitioners Sierra Club and SPRAWLDEF cross-appealed the Court's ruling denying their petitions for writs to overturn PHLF's expansion permit. The cases have been docketed with the California Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, and the opening brief of the Company and its co-appellants is due on February 25, 2011.

As part of the final judgments, the Solano County Superior Court retained jurisdiction over any motions for attorneys fees under California's Private Attorney General statute. Petitioners NCRA, SPRAWLDEF and Sierra Club have each filed a bill of costs and a motion for attorney fees totaling \$771, none of which the Company has recorded as a liability at December 31, 2010. The motions are scheduled to be heard in March 2011 and the Company intends to oppose them on various grounds. If the Company prevails on the appeals of the three underlying cases, then none of the Petitioners would be entitled to attorneys' fees and costs. If the Company is unsuccessful on appeal and the Superior Court determines that the petitioners are entitled to attorneys' fees and costs, the Company, PHLF and the County could appeal the attorneys' fee judgment but ultimately would be jointly and severally liable for any such award in the SPRAWLDEF and Sierra Club cases. Because the Company and PHLF are not parties to the NCRA case, they are not subject to a court order for NCRA's attorney fees. However, in all three cases, the Company may reimburse the County for any such attorneys' fees under the indemnification provision in PHLF's land use permit.

At this point the Company is not able to determine the likelihood of any outcome in this matter. However, in the event that after all appeals are exhausted the Superior Court's writ of mandamus enforcing Measure E as rewritten is upheld, the Company estimates that the current annual impact to its pre-tax earnings resulting from the restriction on imports into Solano County would be approximately \$6,000 per year. The Company's estimate could be impacted by various factors, including the County's allocation of the 95,000 tons per year import restriction among PHLF and the other disposal and composting facilities in Solano County. In addition, if the final rulings on Measure E do not limit the importation of waste into Solano County from other states, the Company could potentially offset a portion of the estimated reduction to its pre-tax earnings by internalizing waste for disposal at PHLF from other states in which the Company operates, or by accepting waste volumes from third party haulers operating outside of California.

In response to the pending three state court actions to enforce Measure E described above, the Company, PHLF and other waste hauling companies in California, Oregon and Nevada that are damaged by Measure E and would be further damaged if Measure E was enforced, filed a federal lawsuit to enjoin Measure E and have it declared

unconstitutional. On September 8, 2009, the coalition brought suit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California in Sacramento challenging Measure E under the Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution, captioned *Potrero Hills Landfill, Inc. et al. v. County of Solano*. In response, SPRAWLDEF, Sierra Club and NCRA intervened in the federal case to defend Measure E and filed motions to dismiss the federal suit, or in the alternative, for the court to abstain from hearing the case in light of the pending state court Measure E actions. On December 23, 2009, the federal court abstained and declined to accept jurisdiction over the Company's case, holding that Measure E raised unique state issues that should be resolved by the pending state court litigation, and granted the motions to dismiss. The Company filed a notice of appeal to the court's ruling on January 22, 2010, and briefing in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit was completed on November 17, 2010.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

Individual members of SPRAWLDEF were also plaintiffs in a lawsuit filed in the Solano County Superior Court on October 13, 2005, captioned *Protect the Marsh, et al. v. County of Solano, et al.*, challenging the EIR that Solano County certified in connection with its approval of the expansion of the Potrero Hills Landfill on September 13, 2005. A motion to discharge the Superior Court's writ of mandate directing the County to vacate and set aside its certification of the EIR was heard in August 2009. On November 3, 2009, the Superior Court upheld the County's certification of the EIR and the related permit approval actions. In response, the plaintiffs in *Protect the Marsh* filed a notice of appeal to the court's order on December 31, 2009. On October 8, 2010, the California Court of Appeal dismissed Plaintiffs' appeal for lack of standing. SPRAWLDEF subsequently filed a petition for review of this decision with the California Supreme Court. On December 21, 2010, the Supreme Court denied the petition, concluding this litigation in favor of the County and the Company.

On December 17, 2010, SPRAWLDEF and one its members filed a petition for writ of mandate in San Francisco Superior Court seeking to overturn the October 2010 approval of the marsh development permit issued by the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) for PHLF's landfill expansion, alleging that the approval is contrary to the Marsh Act and Measure E. The petition, captioned *SPRAWLDEF v. San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission*, names BCDC as a respondent and the Company as the real party in interest. Petitioners seek a declaration that the law does not allow BCDC to approve a marsh development permit beyond the footprint and operational levels originally approved for PHLF in 1984, and that the approval violates Measure E. BCDC is preparing the administrative record of its permit decision to be filed with the court and answers to the petition will be due 30 days thereafter. A hearing has not yet been set on the petition. At this point the Company is not able to determine the likelihood of any outcome in this matter.

If as a result of any of the matters described above, after exhausting all appeals, PHLF is unable to secure an expansion permit, and the Superior Court's writ of mandamus enforcing Measure E as rewritten is ultimately upheld, the Company estimates that it would be required to recognize a pre-tax impairment charge of approximately \$39,000 to reduce the carrying value of PHLF to its estimated fair value. If PHLF is unable to secure an expansion permit but Measure E is ultimately ruled to be unenforceable, the Company estimates that it would be required to recognize a pre-tax impairment charge of approximately \$24,000 to reduce the carrying value of PHLF to its estimated fair value.

**El Paso, Texas Breach of Contract/Flow Control Litigation**

On November 15, 2010, the Company filed a petition in the County Court at Law, El Paso County, Texas, captioned *Waste Connections, Inc., Camino Real Environmental Center, Inc. and El Paso Disposal, LP v. The City of El Paso, Texas, John F. Cook, in his capacity as El Paso Mayor, and Joyce Wilson, in her capacity as El Paso City Manager* (No. 2010-4476). The action relates to that certain Solid Waste Disposal and Operating Agreement, dated April 27, 2004, by and among the City of El Paso, Texas (the "City") and the Company (the "2004 Agreement"), and Ordinance 017380, as adopted by the City Council on August 24, 2010 (the "Ordinance").

The 2004 Agreement grants the Company and its subsidiaries (Camino Real and El Paso Disposal) the non-exclusive right to do business in the City for a period of ten years and to provide commercial and industrial solid waste collection and disposal services to customers within the territorial and extra-territorial jurisdiction of the City for a period of ten years. In addition, the 2004 Agreement provides that during the ten-year period the City shall not modify solid waste hauler fees for the Company or any of its subsidiaries. The City also agreed in the 2004 Agreement that, until April 27, 2014, it would not provide private roll-off services or otherwise become a competitor to private solid waste companies in providing these services.

The Company believes that the Ordinance violates the law and is contrary to the 2004 Agreement in numerous respects, including because it requires that waste collected within the City's jurisdiction be hauled only by permitted haulers who enter into franchise agreements with the City, and that such haulers may only dispose of such waste at facilities designated or authorized by the City. The petition seeks to require the City to specifically perform the 2004 Agreement, and to enjoin temporarily and permanently the City's enforcement of the Ordinance to the extent such enforcement would breach the 2004 Agreement. The lawsuit also seeks a declaratory judgment that: (1) the Ordinance



violates the Contracts Clauses of the Texas and United States Constitutions, and constitutes an improper taking and an inverse condemnation under the Texas Constitution; (2) the City and its Mayor and City Manager must prospectively comply with the 2004 Agreement; and (3) the Agreement is valid, enforceable and complies with Texas law. The Company also seeks costs of suit and such other relief at law or in equity to which it may be entitled. The Company is not presently seeking money damages.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

The Company and the City have been negotiating, and continue to negotiate, an agreed resolution to their differences. As a result of these efforts, on December 21, 2010, the El Paso City Council approved a series of amendments to the Ordinance to address certain concerns of the Company and other haulers that operate within the City's jurisdiction. Among other things, the amendment postponed from January 1, 2011 until April 4, 2011 the requirement that haulers enter into franchise agreements with the City. At this point, however, the Company is not able to determine the likelihood of any outcome in this litigation, nor is it able to estimate the amount or range of loss or the impact on the Company or its financial condition in the event of an unfavorable outcome.

**Collective Bargaining Agreements**

Two of the Company's collective bargaining agreements have expired or are set to expire in 2011. The Company does not expect any significant disruption in its overall business in 2011 as a result of labor negotiations, employee strikes or organizational efforts.

**11. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

**Stock Split**

On October 19, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a three-for-two split of its common stock, in the form of a 50% stock dividend, payable to stockholders of record as of October 29, 2010. Shares resulting from the split were issued on November 12, 2010. In connection therewith, the Company transferred \$394 from retained earnings to common stock, representing the par value of additional shares issued. As a result of the stock split, fractional shares equal to 2,479 whole shares were repurchased for \$101. All share and per share amounts for all periods presented have been retroactively adjusted to reflect the stock split.

**Cash Dividend**

On October 19, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the initiation of a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.075 per share, as adjusted for the three-for-two stock split described above. The initial quarterly cash dividend totaling \$8,561 was paid on November 12, 2010 to the stockholders of record as of the close of business on October 29, 2010. The Company funded the dividend payment out of cash on hand and cash generated by operating activities.

**Share Repurchase Program**

The Company's Board of Directors has authorized a common stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to \$800,000 of common stock through December 31, 2012. Under the program, stock repurchases may be made in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions from time to time at management's discretion. The timing and amounts of any repurchases will depend on many factors, including the Company's capital structure, the market price of the common stock and overall market conditions. As of December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Company had repurchased in aggregate 28,551,558 and 35,438,096 shares, respectively, of its common stock at an aggregate cost of \$482,407 and \$648,626, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, the remaining maximum dollar value of shares available for purchase under the program was approximately \$151,374. Subsequent to December 31, 2010 and through the date the accompanying financial statements were issued, the Company repurchased 751,005 shares of its common stock under this program at a cost of \$20,613. The Company's policy related to repurchases of its common stock is to charge any excess of cost over par value entirely to additional paid-in capital.

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**Common Stock**

Of the 36,049,919 shares of common stock authorized but unissued as of December 31, 2010, the following shares were reserved for issuance:

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| Stock option and restricted stock unit plans | 6,845,431 |
| Consultant Incentive Plan                    | 359,000   |
| 2002 Restricted Stock Plan                   | 15,752    |
|  | 7,220,183 |

On September 24, 2008, the Company entered into an underwriting agreement with J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Banc of America Securities LLC and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, as representatives of the several underwriters involved, in connection with the offer and sale by the Company of 16,500,000 shares of its common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, at a price of \$21.67 per share. The Company granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to 2,475,000 additional shares of its common stock to cover over-allotments, which the underwriters exercised in full on September 25, 2008. The offering closed on September 30, 2008, and the Company received net proceeds of \$393,930 after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions of \$16,445, and estimated transaction expenses payable by the Company of approximately \$750.

**Restricted Stock, Stock Options and Restricted Stock Units**

During 2002, the Company's Board of Directors adopted the 2002 Restricted Stock Plan in which selected employees, other than officers and directors, may participate. Restricted stock awards under the 2002 Restricted Stock Plan may or may not require a cash payment from a participant to whom an award is made. The awards become free of the stated restrictions over periods determined at the date of the grant, subject to continuing employment, the achievement of particular performance goals and/or the satisfaction of certain vesting provisions applicable to each award of shares. The Board of Directors authorizes the grant of any stock awards and determines the employees to whom shares are awarded, number of shares to be awarded, award period and other terms and conditions of the awards. Unvested shares of restricted stock may be forfeited and revert to the Company if a plan participant resigns from the Company and its subsidiaries, is terminated for cause or violates the terms of any noncompetition or nonsolicitation agreements to which that plan participant is bound (if such plan participant has been terminated without cause). A total of 320,625 shares of the Company's common stock were reserved for issuance under the 2002 Restricted Stock Plan. As of December 31, 2010, 15,752 shares of common stock were available for future grants of restricted stock under the 2002 Restricted Stock Plan. The fair value of restricted stock for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, was determined based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

There were no restricted shares granted under the 2002 Restricted Stock Plan during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010. All outstanding shares of restricted stock, amounting to 7,308 shares, became vested and free of restriction during the year ended December 31, 2008.

In 1997, the Company's Board of Directors adopted the 1997 Stock Option Plan in which all officers, employees, directors and consultants may participate. Options granted under the 1997 Stock Option Plan may either be incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options, generally have a term of 10 years from the date of grant, and will vest over periods determined at the date of grant. The exercise prices of the options are determined by the Company's Board of Directors and, in the case of incentive stock options, will be at least 100% or 110% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant as provided for in the 1997 Stock Option Plan. The 1997 Stock Option Plan provides for the reservation of common stock for issuance thereunder equal to 11,691,600 shares. As of December 31, 2010, no options for shares of common stock were available for future grants under the 1997 Stock Option Plan.

In 2002, the Company's Board of Directors authorized two additional equity-based compensation plans: the 2002 Stock Option Plan and 2002 Senior Management Equity Incentive Plan. A total of 8,244,546 shares of the Company's common stock were reserved for future issuance under the 2002 Stock Option Plan. Participation in the 2002 Stock Option Plan is limited to consultants and employees, other than officers and directors. Options granted under the 2002 Stock Option Plan are nonqualified stock options and have a term of no longer than 10 years from the date they are granted. Options generally become exercisable in installments pursuant to a vesting schedule set forth in each option agreement. The Board of Directors authorizes the granting of options and determines the employees and consultants to whom options are to be granted, the number of shares subject to each option, the exercise price, option

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

term, vesting schedule and other terms and conditions of the options. A total of 9,216,710 shares of the Company's common stock were reserved for future issuance under the 2002 Senior Management Equity Incentive Plan. The Company's stockholders approved the 2002 Senior Management Equity Incentive Plan on May 16, 2002. Participation in the 2002 Senior Management Equity Incentive Plan is limited to officers and directors of the Company and its subsidiaries. Options granted under the 2002 Senior Management Equity Incentive Plan may be either incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options and have a term of no longer than 10 years from the date they are granted. Options generally become exercisable in installments pursuant to a vesting schedule set forth in each option agreement. The Board of Directors authorizes the granting of options and determines the officers and directors to whom options are to be granted, the number of shares subject to each option, the exercise price, option term, vesting schedule and other terms and conditions of the options. In the case of incentive stock options, the exercise price will be at least 100% or 110% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant as provided for in the 2002 Senior Management Equity Incentive Plan. As of December 31, 2010, no options were available for future grants under the 2002 Stock Option Plan and 1,500,000 shares of common stock were available for future grants under the 2002 Senior Management Equity Incentive Plan.

In 2004, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. On May 7, 2010, the Company's stockholders approved the latest amendment to the plan, now the Third Amended and Restated 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2004 Equity Incentive Plan"). A total of 7,162,500 shares of the Company's common stock were reserved for future issuance under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan, all of which may be used for grants of stock options, restricted stock, and/or restricted stock units. Participation in the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan is limited to consultants and employees, including officers and directors. Options granted under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan are nonqualified stock options and have a term of no longer than five years from the date they are granted. Restricted stock, restricted stock units, and options generally vest in installments pursuant to a vesting schedule set forth in each option or restricted stock or unit agreement. The Board of Directors authorizes the granting of options, restricted stock and restricted stock units, and determines the employees and consultants to whom options, restricted stock, and restricted stock units are to be granted, the number of shares subject to each option, restricted stock, or restricted stock unit, the exercise price, term, vesting schedule and other terms and conditions of the options, restricted stock, or restricted stock units. The exercise prices of the options shall not be less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. Restricted stock awards under the plan may or may not require a cash payment from a participant to whom an award is made; restricted stock unit awards under the plan do not require any cash payment from the participant to whom an award is made. The fair value of restricted stock units granted during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, was determined based on the number of restricted stock units granted and the quoted price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. As of December 31, 2010, 2,614,951 shares of common stock were available to be issued pursuant to future awards granted under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. The following table summarizes restricted stock units activity for the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan:

|  | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|  | <b>2008</b>                    | <b>2009</b> | <b>2010</b> |
| Restricted stock units granted   | 569,924                        | 587,526     | 596,463     |
| Weighted average grant-date fair value of restricted stock units granted | \$ 19.33                       | \$ 17.51    | \$ 21.32    |
| Total fair value of restricted stock units granted                       | \$ 11,013                      | \$ 10,265   | \$ 12,750   |
| Restricted stock units becoming free of restrictions                     | 326,987                        | 409,136     | 511,196     |
| Weighted average restriction period (in years)                           | 4.4                            | 4.4         | 3.8         |



**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

A summary of activity related to restricted stock units under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan as of December 31, 2009, and changes during the year ended December 31, 2010, is presented below:

|                                  | <b>Unvested<br/>Shares</b> | <b>Weighted-Average<br/>Grant Date Fair<br/>Value Per Share</b> |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 1,492,017                  | \$ 18.08  |
| Granted                          | 596,463                    | 21.32   |
| Forfeited                        | (62,825)                   | 19.02   |
| Vested                           | (511,196)                  | 17.86   |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 1,514,459                  | 19.36   |

A summary of the Company's stock option activity and related information for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, is presented below:

|                                     | <b>Number of<br/>Shares<br/>(Options)</b> | <b>Weighted<br/>Average<br/>Exercise<br/>Price</b> |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2007 | 6,605,564                                 | \$ 12.69   |
| Granted                             |   |  |
| Forfeited                           | (40,221)                                  | 15.02  |
| Exercised                           | (1,533,722)                               | 12.45  |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2008 | 5,031,621                                 | 12.75  |
| Granted                             |   |  |
| Forfeited                           | (3,094)                                   | 15.23  |
| Exercised                           | (1,235,673)                               | 12.25  |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2009 | 3,792,854                                 | 12.90  |
| Granted                             |   |  |
| Forfeited                           | (15,166)                                  | 10.61  |
| Exercised                           | (2,560,542)                               | 12.92  |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2010 | 1,217,146                                 | 12.90  |

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding as of December 31, 2010:

| <b>Options Outstanding</b>               |  | <b>Options Vested and Exercisable</b>    |   |
|--|--|--|---|
| <b>Weighted<br/>Average<br/>Exercise</b> | <b>Weighted<br/>Average<br/>Remaining<br/>Contractual<br/>Life</b> | <b>Weighted<br/>Average<br/>Exercise</b> | <b>Weighted<br/>Average<br/>Remaining<br/>Contractual</b> |

| <b>Exercise Price</b> | <b>Shares</b> | <b>Price</b> | <b>(in years)</b> | <b>Shares</b> | <b>Price</b> | <b>Life (in years)</b> |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|
| \$5.00 to \$8.00      | 73,690        | \$ 7.17      | 0.9               | 73,690        | \$ 7.17      | 0.9                    |
| \$8.01 to \$11.00     | 127,887       | 9.99         | 2.2               | 127,887       | 9.99         | 2.2                    |
| \$11.01 to \$15.00    | 774,481       | 13.13        | 3.7               | 774,481       | 13.13        | 3.7                    |
| \$15.01 to \$17.00    | 241,088       | 15.45        | 5.1               | 241,088       | 15.45        | 5.1                    |
|                       | 1,217,146     | 12.90        | 3.7               | 1,217,146     | 12.90        | 3.7                    |

The aggregate intrinsic value for both options outstanding and options exercisable at December 31, 2010, was \$17,808. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the final 164,314 of unvested options to purchase common stock became vested.



**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, was \$15,711, \$10,427 and \$30,059, respectively. The total fair value of stock options vested during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, was \$643, \$575 and \$726, respectively. As of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, a total of 4,691,760, 3,628,542 and 1,217,146 options to purchase common stock were exercisable under all stock option plans, respectively.

**Stock Purchase Warrants**

In 2002, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the 2002 Consultant Incentive Plan, under which warrants to purchase the Company's common stock may be issued to certain consultants to the Company. Warrants awarded under the Consultant Incentive Plan are subject to a vesting schedule set forth in each warrant agreement. Historically, warrants issued have been fully vested and exercisable at the date of grant. The Board of Directors authorizes the issuance of warrants and determines the consultants to whom warrants are to be issued, the number of shares subject to each warrant, the purchase price, exercise date and period, warrant term and other terms and conditions of the warrants. The Board reserved 675,000 shares of the Company's common stock for future issuance under the Consultant Incentive Plan. As of December 31, 2010, 289,196 shares of common stock were available for future grants of warrants under the 2002 Consultant Incentive Plan.

A summary of warrant activity as of December 31, 2009, and changes during the year ended December 31, 2010, is presented below:

|                                  | Warrants | Weighted-Average<br>Exercise Price |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 63,898   | \$ 19.31                           |
| Granted                          | 51,627   | 24.50                              |
| Forfeited                        | (34,068) | 19.34                              |
| Exercised                        | (11,653) | 18.50                              |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 69,804   | 23.27                              |

The following table summarizes information about warrants outstanding as of December 31, 2009 and 2010:

| Grant Date      | Warrants |                   | Fair<br>Value<br>of | Outstanding at December<br>31, |        |
|-----------------|----------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------|
|                 | Issued   | Exercise Price    |                     | Warrants<br>Issued             | 2009   |
| Throughout 2005 | 33,300   | \$ 13.17 to 16.83 | \$ 136              | 450                            |        |
| Throughout 2006 | 23,093   | 15.15 to 18.31    | 115                 | 22,752                         | 3,915  |
| Throughout 2007 | 21,206   | 19.80 to 22.68    | 123                 | 21,206                         | 14,291 |
| Throughout 2008 | 13,901   | 18.97 to 22.70    | 79                  | 13,901                         |        |
| Throughout 2009 | 5,589    | 14.67 to 19.61    | 22                  | 5,589                          | 1,735  |
| Throughout 2010 | 51,627   | 20.64 to 27.41    | 351                 |                                | 49,863 |
|                 |          |                   |                     | 63,898                         | 69,804 |

The warrants are exercisable when granted and expire between 2011 and 2015.

Warrants issued to consultants are valued using the Black-Scholes pricing model with a contractual life of five years, a risk free interest rate based on the 5-year U.S. treasury yield curve and expected volatility. The Company uses the historical volatility of its common stock over a period equivalent to the contractual life of the warrants to estimate the expected volatility. Warrants issued to consultants are recorded as an element of the related cost of landfill development projects or to expense for warrants issued in connection with acquisitions.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**12. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

Comprehensive income includes changes in the fair value of interest rate swaps and fuel hedges that qualify for hedge accounting. The components of other comprehensive income (loss) and related tax effects for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, are as follows:

|   | <b>Year Ended December 31, 2008</b> |                   |                   |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|   | <b>Gross</b>                        | <b>Tax effect</b> | <b>Net of tax</b> |
| Interest rate swap amounts reclassified into interest expense | \$ 6,468                            | \$ (2,458)        | \$ 4,010          |
| Changes in fair value of interest rate swaps                  | (27,264)                            | 10,311            | (16,953)          |
| Changes in fair value of fuel hedges                          | (10,813)                            | 4,109             | (6,704)           |
|   | \$ (31,609)                         | \$ 11,962         | \$ (19,647)       |

|   | <b>Year Ended December 31, 2009</b> |                   |                   |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|   | <b>Gross</b>                        | <b>Tax effect</b> | <b>Net of tax</b> |
| Interest rate swap amounts reclassified into interest expense | \$ 14,669                           | \$ (5,545)        | \$ 9,124          |
| Fuel hedge amounts reclassified into cost of operations       | 8,508                               | (3,216)           | 5,292             |
| Changes in fair value of interest rate swaps                  | 5,367                               | (2,084)           | 3,283             |
| Changes in fair value of fuel hedges                          | 2,199                               | (853)             | 1,346             |
|   | \$ 30,743                           | \$ (11,698)       | \$ 19,045         |

|   | <b>Year Ended December 31, 2010</b> |                   |                   |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|   | <b>Gross</b>                        | <b>Tax effect</b> | <b>Net of tax</b> |
| Interest rate swap amounts reclassified into interest expense | \$ 9,052                            | \$ (3,440)        | \$ 5,612          |
| Fuel hedge amounts reclassified into cost of operations       | 3,932                               | (1,494)           | 2,438             |
| Changes in fair value of interest rate swaps                  | (11,013)                            | 4,201             | (6,812)           |
| Changes in fair value of fuel hedges                          | 902                                 | (343)             | 559               |
|   | \$ 2,873                            | \$ (1,076)        | \$ 1,797          |

A rollforward of the amounts included in AOCL, net of taxes, is as follows:

|                                    | <b>Fuel<br/>Hedges</b> | <b>Interest<br/>Rate Swaps</b> | <b>Accumulated<br/>Other<br/>Comprehensive<br/>Loss</b> |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Balance at December 31, 2008       | \$ (6,704)             | \$ (17,233)                    | \$ (23,937)   |
| Amounts reclassified into earnings | 5,292                  | 9,124                          | 14,416  |
| Changes in fair value              | 1,346                  | 3,283                          | 4,629   |
| Balance at December 31, 2009       | (66)                   | (4,826)                        | (4,892)   |
| Amounts reclassified into earnings | 2,438                  | 5,612                          | 8,050   |

Edgar Filing: WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. - Form 10-K

|                              |          |            |            |
|------------------------------|----------|------------|------------|
| Changes in fair value        | 559      | (6,812)    | (6,253)    |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 2,931 | \$ (6,026) | \$ (3,095) |

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**13. INCOME TAXES**

The provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, consists of the following:

|                            | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|                            | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>2009</b> | <b>2010</b> |
| Current:                   |                                 |             |             |
| Federal                    | \$ 22,492                       | \$ 22,544   | \$ 54,652   |
| State                      | 4,006                           | 3,797       | 8,251       |
| Deferred:                  |                                 |             |             |
| Federal                    | 28,031                          | 35,388      | 24,315      |
| State                      | 2,246                           | 2,836       | 2,116       |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ 56,775                       | \$ 64,565   | \$ 89,334   |

Significant components of deferred income tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2009 and 2010 are as follows:

|                                       | <b>2009</b>  | <b>2010</b>  |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Deferred income tax assets:           |              |              |
| Accounts receivable reserves          | \$ 1,534     | \$ 1,932     |
| Accrued expenses                      | 12,044       | 18,003       |
| Self-insurance reserves               | 4,165        | 4,314        |
| Equity-based compensation             | 3,916        | 4,383        |
| Interest rate and fuel hedges         | 2,973        | 1,897        |
| Leases                                | 1,641        | 1,505        |
| State taxes                           | 1,415        | 2,555        |
| Other                                 | 1,548        | 586          |
| Gross deferred income tax assets      | 29,236       | 35,175       |
| Less: Valuation allowance             |              |              |
| Net deferred income tax assets        | 29,236       | 35,175       |
| Deferred income tax liabilities:      |              |              |
| Goodwill and other intangibles        | (128,635)    | (149,130)    |
| Property and equipment                | (164,216)    | (173,863)    |
| Landfill closure/post-closure         | (15,193)     | (17,270)     |
| Prepaid expenses                      | (9,376)      | (9,196)      |
| Total deferred income tax liabilities | (317,420)    | (349,459)    |
| Net deferred income tax liability     | \$ (288,184) | \$ (314,284) |

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Company reduced its taxes payable by \$6,795 and \$15,609 respectively, as a result of the exercise of non-qualified stock options, the vesting of restricted stock and restricted stock units, and the disqualifying disposition of incentive stock options. The excess tax benefit associated with equity-based compensation of \$4,054 and \$11,997 for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively, was

recorded in additional paid-in capital.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

The differences between the Company's income tax provision as presented in the accompanying statements of income and income tax provision computed at the federal statutory rate consist of the items shown in the following table as a percentage of pre-tax income:

|  | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|  | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>2009</b> | <b>2010</b> |
| Income tax provision at the statutory rate | 35.0%                           | 35.0%       | 35.0%       |
| State taxes, net of federal benefit        | 3.0                             | 3.0         | 3.4         |
| Deferred income tax liability adjustments  | (2.2)                           | (0.7)       | 0.4         |
| Noncontrolling interests                   | (2.5)                           | (0.2)       | (0.2)       |
| Other                                      | (0.3)                           | (0.3)       | 1.0         |
|  | 33.0%                           | 36.8%       | 39.6%       |

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the decrease to the net deferred income tax liability due primarily to changes in the geographical apportionment of the Company's state taxes resulted in a reduction to tax expense of \$3,730. Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recorded a reduction to tax expense of \$1,146, due primarily to the reversal of certain tax contingencies for which the statutes of limitations expired in 2008 and the reconciliation of the income tax provision to the 2007 federal and state tax returns, which were filed during 2008. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the decrease to the net deferred income tax liability due primarily to changes in the geographical apportionment of the Company's state taxes resulted in a reduction to tax expense of \$1,142. Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded a reduction to tax expense of \$1,269, due primarily to the reversal of certain tax contingencies for which the statutes of limitations expired in 2009 and the reconciliation of the income tax provision to the 2008 federal and state tax returns, which were filed during 2009. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the increase to the net deferred income tax liability due primarily to a voter-approved increase in Oregon state income tax rates and changes in the geographical apportionment of the Company's state taxes resulted in an increase to tax expense of \$1,547. Additionally, the Company recorded an increase to tax expense of \$1,580 associated with the reconciliation of the income tax provision to the 2009 federal and state tax returns, which were filed during 2010, and the disposal of certain assets that had no tax basis. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company also recorded a reduction to tax expense of \$563 due primarily to the reversal of certain tax contingencies for which the statutes of limitations expired in 2010.

At December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Company did not have any significant federal and state net operating loss carryforwards.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as to income tax of multiple state jurisdictions. The Company has concluded all U.S. federal income tax matters for years through 2006. All material state and local income tax matters have been concluded for years through 2005.

At December 31, 2009 and 2010, the Company had approximately \$942 and \$341, respectively, of total gross unrecognized tax benefits. Of the total gross unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2009 and 2010, \$786 and \$327, respectively, (both net of the federal benefit on state amounts) represent the amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective income tax rate in any future periods. The Company does not anticipate the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change by December 31, 2011.

The Company's continuing practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. The Company had approximately \$115 and \$29 accrued for interest, net of tax, at December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively, and no accrual for penalties at December 31, 2009 and 2010. The Company released, net of recognition, approximately \$177 and \$85 for interest, net of tax, and recognized no accrual for penalties during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively.





**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

The following is a rollforward of the Company's unrecognized tax benefits from January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2010:

|  | <b>2008</b> | <b>2009</b> | <b>2010</b> |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of period | \$ 6,716    | \$ 1,764    | \$ 942      |
| Gross increases tax positions in prior periods   |             | 562         |             |
| Gross decreases tax positions in prior periods   | (37)        |             |             |
| Lapse of statutes of limitations                 | (4,915)     | (1,384)     | (601)       |
| Unrecognized tax benefits at end of period       | \$ 1,764    | \$ 942      | \$ 341      |

**14. SEGMENT REPORTING**

The Company's revenues are derived from one industry segment, which includes the collection, transfer, recycling and disposal of non-hazardous solid waste. No single contract or customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company's total revenues at the consolidated or reportable segment level during the periods presented.

The Company manages its operations through three geographic operating segments (Western, Central and Southern) which, commencing in 2009, were also the Company's reportable segments. Prior to 2009, the Company aggregated its geographic operating segments into one reportable segment. Each operating segment is responsible for managing several vertically integrated operations, which are comprised of districts. The segment information presented herein reflects the realignment of the Company's organizational structure in the second quarter of 2008, which reduced the number of its geographic operating segments from four to three. The segment information presented herein also reflects the realignment of certain of the Company's districts between operating segments in the first quarter of 2010. Under the current orientation, the Company's Western Region is comprised of operating locations in California, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Washington and western Wyoming; the Company's Central Region is comprised of operating locations in Colorado, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Utah and eastern Wyoming; and the Company's Southern Region is comprised of operating locations in Alabama, Arizona, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Texas.

The Company's Chief Operating Decision Maker ( CODM ) evaluates operating segment profitability and determines resource allocations based on operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets. Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets is not a measure of operating income, operating performance or liquidity under GAAP and may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies. The Company's management uses operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets in the evaluation of segment operating performance as it is a profit measure that is generally within the control of the operating segments. A reconciliation of operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets to income before income tax provision is included at the end of this Note 14.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, is shown in the following tables:

| <b>Year Ended</b>   |                     | <b>Operating<br/>Income<br/>Before<br/>Depreciation,<br/>Amortization<br/>and</b> | <b>Capital</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|---------------------|---------------------|---|----------------|--------------|
| <b>December 31,</b> | <b>Intercompany</b> |   |                |              |

| <b>2008</b>                   | <b>Gross<br/>Revenues</b> | <b>Revenues<sup>(b)</sup></b> | <b>Net<br/>Revenues</b> | <b>Gain (Loss)<br/>on<br/>Disposal of<br/>Assets<sup>(c)</sup></b> | <b>Depreciation<br/>and<br/>Amortization</b> | <b>Expenditures</b> | <b>Assets<sup>(e)</sup></b> |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Western                       | \$ 584,900                | \$ (55,362)                   | \$ 529,538              | \$ 155,591   | \$ 43,424                                    | \$ 43,953           | \$ 1,119,390                |
| Central                       | 319,722                   | (38,193)                      | 281,529                 | 79,353   | 27,635                                       | 39,805              | 570,516                     |
| Southern                      | 281,496                   | (42,960)                      | 238,536                 | 71,205   | 25,105                                       | 28,715              | 599,623                     |
| Corporate <sup>(a), (d)</sup> |                           |                               |                         | 4,265  | 1,265  | 1,023               | 310,828                     |
|                               | \$ 1,186,118              | \$ (136,515)                  | \$ 1,049,603            | \$ 310,414   | \$ 97,429                                    | \$ 113,496          | \$ 2,600,357                |

**Table of Contents**

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 (DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

| Year Ended                    |                 |                               |                 | <b>Operating<br/>Income<br/>Before<br/>Depreciation,<br/>Amortization<br/>and<br/>Gain (Loss) on<br/>Disposal of<br/>Assets<sup>(c)</sup></b> | <b>Depreciation<br/>and<br/>Amortization<br/>Expenditures</b> | <b>Capital</b>      | <b>Total</b>                |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| December 31,                  | <b>Gross</b>    | <b>Intercompany</b>           | <b>Net</b>      |   |   |                     |                             |
| <b>2009</b>                   | <b>Revenues</b> | <b>Revenues<sup>(b)</sup></b> | <b>Revenues</b> | <b>Assets<sup>(c)</sup></b>   | <b>Amortization</b>   | <b>Expenditures</b> | <b>Assets<sup>(e)</sup></b> |
| Western                       | \$ 718,262      | \$ (83,894)                   | \$ 634,368      | \$ 184,421  | \$ 64,177   | \$ 62,544           | \$ 1,407,952                |
| Central                       | 308,943         | (34,181)                      | 274,762         | 86,984  | 31,725  | 32,619              | 611,493                     |
| Southern                      | 335,905         | (53,642)                      | 282,263         | 85,846  | 33,345  | 29,365              | 747,008                     |
| Corporate <sup>(a), (d)</sup> |                 |                               |                 | 3,701   | 1,511   | 3,723               | 53,995                      |
|                               | \$ 1,363,110    | \$ (171,717)                  | \$ 1,191,393    | \$ 360,952  | \$ 130,758  | \$ 128,251          | \$ 2,820,448                |

| Year Ended                    |                 |                               |                 | <b>Operating<br/>Income<br/>Before<br/>Depreciation,<br/>Amortization<br/>and<br/>Gain (Loss) on<br/>Disposal of<br/>Assets<sup>(c)</sup></b> | <b>Depreciation<br/>and<br/>Amortization<br/>Expenditures</b> | <b>Capital</b>      | <b>Total</b>                |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| December 31,                  | <b>Gross</b>    | <b>Intercompany</b>           | <b>Net</b>      |   |   |                     |                             |
| <b>2010</b>                   | <b>Revenues</b> | <b>Revenues<sup>(b)</sup></b> | <b>Revenues</b> | <b>Assets<sup>(c)</sup></b>   | <b>Amortization</b>   | <b>Expenditures</b> | <b>Assets<sup>(e)</sup></b> |
| Western                       | \$ 801,854      | \$ (92,033)                   | \$ 709,821      | \$ 218,254  | \$ 72,563   | \$ 54,697           | \$ 1,378,920                |
| Central                       | 327,729         | (36,409)                      | 291,320         | 95,047  | 35,385  | 41,174              | 654,854                     |
| Southern                      | 383,959         | (65,343)                      | 318,616         | 101,827   | 37,841  | 37,671              | 818,648                     |
| Corporate <sup>(a), (d)</sup> |                 |                               |                 | 5,282   | 1,667   | 1,287               | 63,562                      |
|                               | \$ 1,513,542    | \$ (193,785)                  | \$ 1,319,757    | \$ 420,410  | \$ 147,456  | \$ 134,829          | \$ 2,915,984                |

(a) Corporate functions include accounting, legal, tax, treasury, information technology, risk management, human resources, training and other administrative functions.

(b) Intercompany revenues reflect each segment's total intercompany sales, including intercompany sales within a segment and between segments. Transactions within and between segments are generally made on a basis intended to reflect the market value of the service.

(c)

For those items included in the determination of operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets, the accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 1. The segment operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets information has been adjusted to reflect the allocation of realized losses on diesel fuel hedge agreements to the Company's three geographic operating segments for the year ended December 31, 2009. The Company entered into fuel hedge agreements in December 2008, with the fuel hedges commencing in January 2009; however, the Company did not begin allocating these realized losses on its diesel fuel hedge agreements to its three geographic operating segments until January 1, 2010.

- (d) Corporate assets include cash, net deferred tax assets, debt issuance costs, equity investments, and corporate facility leasehold improvements and equipment.
- (e) Goodwill is included within total assets for each of the Company's three geographic operating segments. During the second quarter of 2008, the Company realigned its organizational structure, which reduced the number of its geographic operating segments from four to three. During the first quarter of 2010, the Company realigned certain of its districts between operating segments. These realignments resulted in the reallocation of goodwill among its segments.

The following table shows changes in goodwill during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, by reportable segment:

|                                 | <b>Western</b> | <b>Central</b> | <b>Southern</b> | <b>Total</b> |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2008 | \$ 257,560     | \$ 313,145     | \$ 266,225      | \$ 836,930   |
| Goodwill acquired               | 34,221         | 221            | 36,864          | 71,306       |
| Goodwill divested               |                |                | (1,526)         | (1,526)      |
| Balance as of December 31, 2009 | 291,781        | 313,366        | 301,563         | 906,710      |
| Goodwill transferred            | 20,295         | (20,295)       |                 |              |
| Goodwill acquired               | 962            | 12,767         | 8,588           | 22,317       |
| Goodwill divested               |                | (64)           | (1,111)         | (1,175)      |
| Balance as of December 31, 2010 | \$ 313,038     | \$ 305,774     | \$ 309,040      | \$ 927,852   |

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company realigned certain of the Company's districts between operating segments. This realignment resulted in the reallocation of goodwill among its segments which is reflected in the Goodwill transferred line item in the above table. The Company has no accumulated impairment losses associated with goodwill.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

A reconciliation of the Company's primary measure of segment profitability (operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain (loss) on disposal of assets for reportable segments) to Income before income tax provision in the Consolidated Statements of Income is as follows:

|   | <b>Years ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|   | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>2009</b> | <b>2010</b> |
| Operating income before depreciation, amortization and gain |                                 |             |             |
| (loss) on disposal of assets                                | \$ 310,414                      | \$ 360,952  | \$ 420,410  |
| Depreciation  | (91,095)                        | (117,796)   | (132,874)   |
| Amortization of intangibles                                 | (6,334)                         | (12,962)    | (14,582)    |
| Gain (loss) on disposal of assets                           | (629)                           | 481         | (571)       |
| Interest expense  | (43,102)                        | (49,161)    | (40,134)    |
| Interest income   | 3,297                           | 1,413       | 590         |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt                              |                                 |             | (10,193)    |
| Other income (expense)                                      | (633)                           | (7,551)     | 2,830       |
| Income before income tax provision                          | \$ 171,918                      | \$ 175,376  | \$ 225,476  |

The table below shows, for the periods indicated, the Company's total reported revenues by service line and with intercompany eliminations:

|                                | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |              |              |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>2009</b>  | <b>2010</b>  |
| Collection                     | \$ 787,713                      | \$ 901,768   | \$ 951,327   |
| Disposal and transfer          | 308,811                         | 392,497      | 458,241      |
| Recycling and other            | 89,594                          | 68,845       | 103,974      |
|                                | 1,186,118                       | 1,363,110    | 1,513,542    |
| Less: intercompany elimination | (136,515)                       | (171,717)    | (193,785)    |
| Total revenues                 | \$ 1,049,603                    | \$ 1,191,393 | \$ 1,319,757 |

**15. NET INCOME PER SHARE INFORMATION**

The following table sets forth the calculation of the numerator and denominator used in the computation of basic and diluted net income per common share attributable to the Company's common stockholders for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010:

|   | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|   | <b>2008</b>                     | <b>2009</b> | <b>2010</b> |
| Numerator:  |                                 |             |             |
| Net income attributable to Waste Connections for basic and diluted earnings per share | \$ 102,903                      | \$ 109,825  | \$ 135,104  |
| Denominator:  |                                 |             |             |
| Basic shares outstanding  | 105,037,311                     | 119,119,601 | 115,646,173 |
| Dilutive effect of 2026 Notes   | 61,905                          |             |             |

Edgar Filing: WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. - Form 10-K

|   |             |             |             |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Dilutive effect of stock options and warrants | 1,742,787   | 1,158,094   | 833,502     |
| Dilutive effect of restricted stock           | 287,565     | 228,467     | 414,529     |
| Diluted shares outstanding                    | 107,129,568 | 120,506,162 | 116,894,204 |

The 2026 Notes were dilutive in the third quarter of 2008; therefore, in accordance with the earnings per share guidance, the Company has included within diluted shares outstanding the dilutive effect of the conversion value in excess of the principal value of the notes. The 2026 Notes were not dilutive during the year ended December 31, 2009. On April 1, 2010, the Company redeemed the aggregate principal amount of its 2026 Notes.

**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

Additionally, as of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, warrants to purchase 4,466, 38,240 and 18,712 shares of common stock, respectively, were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as they were anti-dilutive. As of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, all outstanding stock options were dilutive and included in the computation of diluted earnings per share.

**16. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS**

WCI has a voluntary savings and investment plan (the WCI 401(k) Plan), which is available to all eligible, non-union employees of WCI. Under the WCI 401(k) Plan, WCI makes matching contributions of 50% of every dollar of a participating employee's pre-tax contributions until the employee's contributions equal 5% of the employee's eligible compensation, subject to certain limitations imposed by the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.

Prior to February, 2010, three wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company also maintained voluntary savings and investment plans, which were available to all eligible, non-union employees of the respective subsidiaries: Murrey's Disposal Company, Inc.; Harold LeMay Enterprises, Incorporated; and Pierce County Recycling, Composting, and Disposal, LLC. The assets of all three plans maintained by these subsidiaries were merged into the WCI 401(k) Plan in January 2010. Effective January 1, 2010, all eligible employees of the three subsidiaries participate in the WCI 401(k) Plan and their respective employers make matching contributions to the WCI 401(k) Plan, consistent with WCI's matching contributions described above.

Total employer expenses, including employer matching contributions, for the 401(k) Plans described above were approximately \$4,254, \$5,999, and \$4,788, respectively, during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009, and 2010. These amounts include matching contributions made under the Deferred Compensation Plan, described below.

The Company also participates in various multiemployer pension plans administered by employee and union trustees. The Company makes periodic contributions to these plans to allow them to meet their pension benefit obligations to their participants. During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, the Company's total employer expenses for the multiemployer pension plans were approximately \$453, \$1,530, and \$1,844, respectively.

Effective for compensation paid on and after July 1, 2004, the Company established a Deferred Compensation Plan for eligible employees, which was amended and restated effective January 1, 2008, and January 1, 2010 (the Deferred Compensation Plan). The Deferred Compensation Plan is a non-qualified deferred compensation program under which the eligible participants, including officers and certain employees who meet a minimum salary threshold, may voluntarily elect to defer up to 80% of their base salaries and up to 100% of their bonuses, commissions and restricted stock unit grants. Members of the Company's Board of Directors are eligible to participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan with respect to their Director fees. Although the Company periodically contributes the amount of its obligation under the plan to a trust for the benefit of the participants, the amounts of any compensation deferred under the Plan constitute an unsecured obligation of the Company to pay the participants in the future and, as such, are subject to the claims of other creditors in the event of insolvency proceedings. Participants may elect certain future distribution dates on which all or a portion of their accounts will be paid to them, including in the case of a change in control of the Company. Their accounts will be distributed to them in cash, except for amounts credited with respect to deferred restricted stock unit grants, which will be distributed in shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the Third Amended and Restated 2004 Equity Incentive Plan. In addition to the amount of participants' contributions, the Company will pay participants an amount reflecting a deemed return based on the returns of various mutual funds or measurement funds selected by the participants, except in the case of restricted stock units that are deferred, which are credited to their accounts as shares of Company common stock. The measurement funds are used only to determine the amount of return the Company pays to participants and participant funds are not actually invested in the measurement fund, nor are any shares of Company common stock acquired under the Deferred Compensation Plan. The Company also makes a matching contribution to the Deferred Compensation Plan of 50% of every dollar of a participating employee's pre-tax contributions until the employee's contributions equal 5% of the employee's eligible compensation, less the amount of any match the Company makes on behalf of the employee under the WCI 401(k) Plan, and subject to the same limits that apply to the WCI 401(k) Plan, except that the Company's matching

contributions under the Deferred Compensation Plan are 100% vested when made. The total liability for deferred compensation at December 31, 2009 and 2010 was \$4,657 and \$7,347, respectively, which was recorded in Other long-term liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.



**Table of Contents**

## WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(DOLLAR AMOUNTS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

**17. SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)**

The following table summarizes the unaudited consolidated quarterly results of operations as reported for 2009:

|   | <b>First<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Second<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Third<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Fourth<br/>Quarter</b> |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Revenues  | \$ 262,675               | \$ 302,830                | \$ 315,990               | \$ 309,897                |
| Operating income  | 47,634                   | 59,418                    | 64,761                   | 58,861                    |
| Net income  | 22,312                   | 30,682                    | 34,263                   | 23,554                    |
| Net income attributable to Waste Connections  | 21,978                   | 30,438                    | 34,150                   | 23,259                    |
| Basic income per common share attributable to Waste Connections common stockholders   | 0.18                     | 0.25                      | 0.29                     | 0.20                      |
| Diluted income per common share attributable to Waste Connections common stockholders | 0.18                     | 0.25                      | 0.29                     | 0.19                      |

In October 2009, the Company recorded a pre-tax charge of \$9,250 (\$5,753 net of taxes) to Other income (expense), net resulting from the termination of two interest rate swap agreements prior to their expiration.

The following table summarizes the unaudited consolidated quarterly results of operations as reported for 2010:

|   | <b>First<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Second<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Third<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Fourth<br/>Quarter</b> |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Revenues  | \$ 307,540               | \$ 330,477                | \$ 345,785               | \$ 335,955                |
| Operating income  | 59,606                   | 69,351                    | 75,685                   | 67,742                    |
| Net income  | 27,814                   | 30,637                    | 41,257                   | 36,435                    |
| Net income attributable to Waste Connections  | 27,574                   | 30,400                    | 40,986                   | 36,145                    |
| Basic income per common share attributable to Waste Connections common stockholders   | 0.24                     | 0.26                      | 0.35                     | 0.32                      |
| Diluted income per common share attributable to Waste Connections common stockholders | 0.23                     | 0.26                      | 0.35                     | 0.31                      |

On April 1, 2010, the Company redeemed the \$200,000 aggregate principal amount of the 2026 Notes and, as a result of the redemption, recognized \$9,734 of pre-tax expense (\$6,035 net of taxes) to Loss on extinguishment of debt.

**18. SUBSEQUENT EVENT**

On January 28, 2011, the Company announced that its Board of Directors approved a regular quarterly cash dividend of \$0.075 per share on the Company's common stock. The dividend will be paid on March 1, 2011, to stockholders of record on the close of business on February 22, 2011.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2010, at the reasonable assurance level such that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports: (1) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms; and (2) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

**Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. This process includes policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and any dispositions of our assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; (3) provide reasonable assurance that receipts and expenditures of ours are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management; and (4) provide reasonable assurance that unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material affect on our financial statements would be prevented or timely detected.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In conducting our evaluation, we used the framework set forth in the report titled "Internal Control - Integrated Framework" published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on the results of our evaluation, our management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report which appears in Item 8 of this Annual Report of Form 10-K.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Based on an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, there has been no change to our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the three month period ended December 31, 2010, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

**Table of Contents**

**PART III**

**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

Except as set forth above in Part I under Executive Officers of the Registrant and in the paragraph below, the information required by Item 10 has been omitted from this report, and is incorporated by reference to the sections Election of Directors, Corporate Governance and Board Matters and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance in our definitive Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which we will file with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of our 2010 fiscal year.

We have adopted a Code of Conduct and Ethics that applies to our officers, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and all other officers, directors and employees. We have also adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines to promote the effective functioning of our Board of Directors and its committees, to promote the interests of stockholders and to ensure a common set of expectations concerning how the Board, its committees and management should perform their respective functions. Our Code of Conduct and Ethics and our Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on our website at <http://www.wasteconnections.com> as are the charters of our Board's Audit, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Compensation Committees. Information on or that can be accessed through our website is not incorporated by reference to this report. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding any amendments to, or waiver from, a provision of our Code of Conduct by posting such information on our website.

Stockholders may also obtain copies of the Corporate Governance documents discussed above by contacting our Secretary at the address or phone number listed on the cover page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

Information required by Item 11 has been omitted from this report and is incorporated by reference to the sections Executive Compensation and Corporate Governance and Board Matters in our definitive Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

Information required by Item 12 has been omitted from this report and is incorporated by reference to the sections Principal Stockholders and Equity Compensation Plan Information in our definitive Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

Information required by Item 13 has been omitted from this report and is incorporated by reference to the sections Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Corporate Governance and Board Matters in our definitive Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**

Information required by Item 14 has been omitted from this report and is incorporated by reference to the section Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in our definitive Proxy Statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

**Table of Contents**

**PART IV**

**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

- (a) See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page 51. The following Financial Statement Schedule is filed herewith on page 101 and made a part of this Report:

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the SEC are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

- (b) See Exhibit Index immediately following signature pages.

**Table of Contents**

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Waste Connections, Inc.

Date: February 9, 2011

By: /s/ Ronald J. Mittelstaedt  
 Ronald J. Mittelstaedt  
 Chief Executive Officer and Chairman

## POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Ronald J. Mittelstaedt and Worthing F. Jackman, jointly and severally, his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact, each with the power of substitution, for him in any and all capacities to sign any amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact, or his substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| <b>Signature</b>                                     | <b>Title</b>  | <b>Date</b>      |
|--|---|------------------|
| /s/ Ronald J. Mittelstaedt<br>Ronald J. Mittelstaedt | Chief Executive Officer and Chairman<br>(principal executive officer)                 | February 9, 2011 |
| /s/ Worthing F. Jackman<br>Worthing F. Jackman       | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer<br>(principal financial officer) | February 9, 2011 |
| /s/ David G. Eddie<br>David G. Eddie                 | Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer<br>(principal accounting officer)  | February 9, 2011 |
| /s/ Michael W. Harlan<br>Michael W. Harlan           | Director  | February 9, 2011 |
| /s/ William J. Razzouk<br>William J. Razzouk         | Director  | February 9, 2011 |
| /s/ Robert H. Davis<br>Robert H. Davis               | Director  | February 9, 2011 |
| /s/ Edward E. Guillet<br>Edward E. Guillet           | Director  | February 9, 2011 |



**Table of Contents**

## Schedule

WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC.  
 SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS  
 Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010  
 (in thousands)

| Description                         | Balance at<br>Beginning<br>of<br>Year | Additions                              |                                    | Deductions<br>(Write-offs,<br>Net of<br>Collections) | Balance at<br>End<br>of Year |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
|                                     |                                       | Charged<br>to<br>Costs and<br>Expenses | Charged<br>to<br>Other<br>Accounts |  |                              |
| Allowance for Doubtful<br>Accounts: |                                       |  |                                    |  |                              |
| Year Ended December 31, 2008        | \$ 4,387                              | \$ 4,126                               | \$                                 | \$ (4,667)   | \$ 3,846                     |
| Year Ended December 31, 2009        | 3,846                                 | 5,357                                  |                                    | (5,145)  | 4,058                        |
| Year Ended December 31, 2010        | 4,058                                 | 5,126                                  |                                    | (4,100)  | 5,084                        |

**Table of Contents**

EXHIBIT INDEX

| <b>Exhibit<br/>Number</b> | <b>Description of Exhibits</b>   |
|---------------------------|--|
| 2.1                       | Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of February 6, 2009, by and among Republic Services, Inc., Waste Connections, Inc. and the other entities party thereto (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on May 8, 2009)   |
| 2.2                       | Amended and Restated Asset Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2009, by and among Republic Services, Inc., Waste Connections, Inc. and the other entities party thereto (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on May 8, 2009)   |
| 2.3                       | Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2009, by and among Republic Services, Inc., Republic Services of California Holding Company, Inc., Republic Services of California I, LLC, Waste Connections, Inc. and Chiquita Canyon, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on May 8, 2009)                    |
| 2.4                       | Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2009, by and among Republic Services, Inc., Allied Waste Landfill Holdings, Inc., Allied Waste North America, Inc., Anderson Regional Landfill, LLC, Waste Connections, Inc. and Anderson County Landfill, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on May 8, 2009) |
| 2.5                       | Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2009, by and among Republic Services, Inc., Chambers Development of North Carolina, Inc., Allied Waste North America, Inc. and Waste Connections, Inc. (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on May 8, 2009)  |
| 3.1                       | Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on July 24, 2007)  |
| 3.2                       | Third Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant, effective May 15, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on April 23, 2009)  |
| 4.1                       | Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form S-1/A filed on May 6, 1998)  |
| 4.2                       | Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of September 27, 2007 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on October 3, 2007)  |
| 4.3                       | Increase in Commitment, dated as of June 9, 2008 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on June 10, 2008)  |
| 4.4                       | Amendment No. 1 to Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of October 17, 2008 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on February 10, 2009)  |
| 4.5                       | Amendment No. 2 to Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of July 10, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on August 5, 2009)  |



Edgar Filing: WASTE CONNECTIONS, INC. - Form 10-K

- 4.6 Master Note Purchase Agreement, dated July 15, 2008 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on July 18, 2008)
- 4.7 Amendment No. 1 to Master Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of July 20, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on August 5, 2009)
- 4.8 First Supplement to Master Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 26, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on October 27, 2009)

**Table of Contents**

| <b>Exhibit<br/>Number</b> | <b>Description of Exhibits</b>  |
|---------------------------|---|
| 4.9                       | Amendment No. 2 to Master Note Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 24, 2010 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on November 26, 2010)   |
| 10.1+                     | Form of Warrant Agreement (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form S-1 filed on March 16, 1998)   |
| 10.2+                     | Employment Agreement between the Registrant and James M. Little, dated as of September 13, 1999 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on March 13, 2000)  |
| 10.3+                     | Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Darrell W. Chambliss, dated as of June 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2000)                              |
| 10.4+                     | Second Amended and Restated 1997 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form S-8 filed on July 24, 2000)   |
| 10.5+                     | Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Eric O. Hansen, dated as of January 1, 2001 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on May 3, 2005)   |
| 10.6+                     | 2002 Senior Management Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on February 11, 2008)  |
| 10.7+                     | 2002 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on February 11, 2008)  |
| 10.8+                     | 2002 Restricted Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form S-8 filed on June 19, 2002)   |
| 10.9+                     | Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Worthing F. Jackman, dated as of April 11, 2003 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on August 13, 2003)   |
| 10.10+                    | Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Steven F. Bouck, dated as of October 1, 2004 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on October 22, 2004)                                 |
| 10.11+                    | Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Ronald J. Mittelstaedt, dated as of March 1, 2004 (and as amended March 22, 2005) (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on May 3, 2005) |
| 10.12+                    | First Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between the Registrant and David M. Hall, dated as of October 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on October 4, 2005)                                      |

- 10.13+ First Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between the Registrant and David G. Eddie, dated as of October 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on October 4, 2005)
- 10.14+ Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Registrant and each of its directors and officers (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on July 31, 2006)
- 10.15+ Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Eric M. Merrill, dated as of June 1, 2007 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on July 24, 2007)

**Table of Contents**

| <b>Exhibit<br/>Number</b> | <b>Description of Exhibits</b>   |
|---------------------------|--|
| 10.16+                    | Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Patrick J. Shea, dated as of February 1, 2008 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on April 23, 2008)   |
| 10.17+                    | Consultant Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on April 23, 2008)   |
| 10.18+                    | Amended and Restated Senior Management Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on July 30, 2008)  |
| 10.19+                    | Form of Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Registrant and each of Ronald J. Mittelstaedt, Steven F. Bouck, Darrell W. Chambliss and Worthing F. Jackman (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on February 10, 2009) |
| 10.20+                    | Form of Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Registrant and each of David G. Eddie, David M. Hall, Eric M. Merrill and Patrick J. Shea (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on February 10, 2009)                    |
| 10.21+                    | Form of Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Registrant and James M. Little (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on February 10, 2009)   |
| 10.22+                    | Form of Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Eric O. Hansen (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on February 10, 2009)  |
| 10.23+                    | Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Rick Wojahn, dated as of February 9, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on May 8, 2009)  |
| 10.24+                    | Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Scott Schreiber, dated as of February 9, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on May 8, 2009)  |
| 10.25+                    | Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan, amended and restated as of January 1, 2010 (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-K filed on February 9, 2010)   |
| 10.26+                    | Summary of FY 2010 Management Incentive Compensation Program for Eric M. Merrill (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on April 21, 2010)  |
| 10.27+                    | Third Amended and Restated 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to the exhibit filed with the Registrant's Form 10-Q filed on July 21, 2010)  |
| 10.28+*                   | Amended and Restated Compensation Plan for Independent Directors, dated January 1, 2011  |
| 10.29+*                   | Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Greg Thibodeaux, dated as of July 1, 2000  |

- 10.30+\* Form of Amendment to Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Greg Thibodeaux
- 12.1\* Statement regarding Computation of Ratios
- 21.1\* Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 23.1\* Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 24.1\* Power of Attorney (see signature page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K)

**Table of Contents**

| <b>Exhibit Number</b> | <b>Description of Exhibits</b>   |
|-----------------------|--|
| 31.1*                 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer   |
| 31.2*                 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer   |
| 32.1*                 | Certificate of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer   |
| 101*                  | The following materials from Waste Connections, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Equity and Comprehensive Income; (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. |

\* Filed herewith.

+ Management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.