

APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO

Form 10-Q

July 30, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

☐ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010
OR**

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 1-13232**

Apartment Investment and Management Company
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

84-1259577
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

**4582 South Ulster Street Parkway, Suite 1100
Denver, Colorado**

(Address of principal executive offices)

80237
(Zip Code)

(303) 757-8101

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address, and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☐

Non-accelerated filer ☐

Smaller reporting
company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes
o No p

The number of shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding as of July 28, 2010: 117,039,285

**APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
TABLE OF CONTENTS
FORM 10-Q**

Page

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009
(Unaudited) 2

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended
June 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited) 3

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30,
2010 and 2009 (Unaudited) 4

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) 5

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of
Operations 20

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 33

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures 34

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors 35

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 35

ITEM 6. Exhibits 36

Signatures 37

Exhibit 10.1

Exhibit 31.1

Exhibit 31.2

Exhibit 32.1

Exhibit 32.2

Exhibit 99.1

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. Financial Statements****APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands, except share data)****(Unaudited)**

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
ASSETS		
Real estate:		
Buildings and improvements	\$ 7,461,121	\$ 7,326,284
Land	2,179,744	2,161,010
Total real estate	9,640,865	9,487,294
Less accumulated depreciation	(2,830,752)	(2,626,047)
Net real estate (\$913,051 and \$855,170 related to VIEs)	6,810,113	6,861,247
Cash and cash equivalents (\$33,059 and \$23,366 related to VIEs)	78,318	81,260
Restricted cash (\$58,346 and \$56,183 related to VIEs)	211,795	219,255
Accounts receivable, net (\$19,782 and \$20,766 related to VIEs)	47,192	59,822
Accounts receivable from affiliates, net	13,191	23,744
Deferred financing costs, net	50,272	51,611
Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships, net	12,280	14,295
Notes receivable from non-affiliates, net	129,427	125,269
Investment in unconsolidated real estate partnerships (\$109,463 and \$99,460 related to VIEs)	114,549	105,324
Other assets	184,671	185,890
Deferred income tax assets, net	49,943	42,015
Assets held for sale	6,050	136,736
Total assets	\$ 7,707,801	\$ 7,906,468
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Property tax-exempt bond financing (\$215,236 and \$211,691 related to VIEs)	\$ 548,973	\$ 574,926
Property loans payable (\$462,363 and \$390,601 related to VIEs)	5,010,995	4,884,233
Term loans	25,000	90,000
Other borrowings (\$19,733 and \$15,665 related to VIEs)	58,943	53,057
Total indebtedness	5,643,911	5,602,216
Accounts payable	27,647	29,819
Accrued liabilities and other (\$92,638 and \$62,503 related to VIEs)	279,063	286,326
Deferred income	158,402	180,656
Security deposits	35,794	34,855
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	3,276	121,237
Total liabilities	6,148,093	6,255,109

Preferred noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	86,389	86,656
Preferred stock subject to repurchase agreement (Note 5)	20,000	30,000
Commitments and contingencies (Note 5)		
Equity:		
Perpetual Preferred Stock	660,500	660,500
Class A Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, 426,157,736 shares authorized, 117,039,659 and 116,479,791 shares issued and outstanding, at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	1,170	1,165
Additional paid-in capital	3,079,230	3,072,665
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,872)	(1,138)
Notes due on common stock purchases	(911)	(1,392)
Distributions in excess of earnings	(2,597,379)	(2,492,082)
Total Aimco equity	1,139,738	1,239,718
Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	341,707	316,177
Common noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	(28,126)	(21,192)
Total equity	1,453,319	1,534,703
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 7,707,801	\$ 7,906,468

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
REVENUES:				
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 285,395	\$ 279,041	\$ 570,622	\$ 559,303
Asset management and tax credit revenues	9,596	12,606	13,853	22,144
Total revenues	294,991	291,647	584,475	581,447
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Property operating expenses	132,946	125,665	271,354	259,149
Investment management expenses	5,141	4,716	8,370	8,506
Depreciation and amortization	108,667	108,437	217,006	212,606
Provision for operating real estate impairment losses		1,569		2,079
General and administrative expenses	15,184	14,577	26,919	30,837
Other (income) expenses, net	(6,693)	3,748	(3,592)	5,229
Total operating expenses	255,245	258,712	520,057	518,406
Operating income	39,746	32,935	64,418	63,041
Interest income	1,928	2,225	5,150	5,532
Recovery of (provision for) losses on notes receivable, net	148	(1,534)	(278)	(1,685)
Interest expense	(79,499)	(81,771)	(159,294)	(162,068)
Equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(7,224)	(1,696)	727	(3,736)
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other, net	4,970	3,463	7,612	14,327
Loss before income taxes and discontinued operations	(39,931)	(46,378)	(81,665)	(84,589)
Income tax benefit	3,598	2,473	7,369	4,949
Loss from continuing operations	(36,333)	(43,905)	(74,296)	(79,640)
Income from discontinued operations, net	26,163	36,279	47,366	39,440
Net loss	(10,170)	(7,626)	(26,930)	(40,200)
Noncontrolling interests:				
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	2,716	(11,695)	(9,418)	(5,422)

Edgar Filing: APARTMENT INVESTMENT & MANAGEMENT CO - Form 10-Q

Net income attributable to preferred noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	(1,683)	(1,746)	(3,376)	(2,815)
Net loss attributable to common noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	1,312	2,623	4,381	5,458
Total noncontrolling interests	2,345	(10,818)	(8,413)	(2,779)
Net loss attributable to Aimco	(7,825)	(18,444)	(35,343)	(42,979)
Net income attributable to Aimco preferred stockholders	(10,128)	(11,477)	(23,050)	(24,643)
Net income attributable to participating securities	(42)			
Net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (17,995)	\$ (29,921)	\$ (58,393)	\$ (67,622)
Earnings (loss) attributable to Aimco per common share basic and diluted (Note 6):				
Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (0.30)	\$ (0.38)	\$ (0.74)	\$ (0.72)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders	0.15	0.12	0.24	0.12
Net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.50)	\$ (0.60)
Weighted average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	116,323	115,510	116,179	112,886
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (26,930)	\$ (40,200)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	217,006	212,606
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other	(7,612)	(14,327)
Discontinued operations	(44,120)	(10,851)
Other adjustments	2,612	21,407
Net changes in operating assets and operating liabilities	(29,993)	(109,234)
 Net cash provided by operating activities	 110,963	 59,401
 CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Capital expenditures	(79,023)	(147,337)
Proceeds from dispositions of real estate	107,163	265,937
Purchases of partnership interests and other assets	(3,291)	(2,567)
Originations of notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(733)	(4,111)
Proceeds from repayment of notes receivable	1,650	4,376
Proceeds from sales of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships	9,132	12,596
Net increase in cash from consolidation and deconsolidation of entities (Note 2)	13,118	
Other investing activities	8,341	20,453
 Net cash provided by investing activities	 56,357	 149,347
 CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from property loans	125,491	610,803
Principal repayments on property loans	(124,794)	(682,681)
Principal repayments on tax-exempt bond financing	(31,061)	(70,774)
Payments on term loans	(65,000)	(50,000)
Proceeds from Class A Common Stock option exercises	1,806	
Repurchases of preferred stock	(7,000)	(4,200)
Payment of preferred stock dividends	(25,829)	(26,293)
Payment of Class A Common Stock dividends	(23,334)	(72,164)
Payment of distributions to noncontrolling interests	(28,623)	(80,626)
Other financing activities	8,082	(20,375)

Net cash used in financing activities	(170,262)	(396,310)
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(2,942)	(187,562)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	81,260	299,676
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 78,318	\$ 112,114

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND MANAGEMENT COMPANY
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

June 30, 2010

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1 Organization

Apartment Investment and Management Company, or Aimco, is a Maryland corporation incorporated on January 10, 1994. We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, focused on the ownership and management of quality apartment communities located in the 20 largest markets in the United States (as measured by total market capitalization, which is the total market value of institutional-grade apartment properties in a particular market). We upgrade the quality of our portfolio through the sale of communities with rents below average market rents and the reinvestment of capital within these 20 target markets through redevelopment and acquisitions. Our apartment properties are generally financed with property-level, non-recourse, long-dated, fixed-rate, amortizing debt. As of June 30, 2010, we:

- owned an equity interest in 232 conventional real estate properties with 71,909 units;
- owned an equity interest in 254 affordable real estate properties with 29,540 units; and
- provided services for or managed 27,901 units in 331 properties, primarily pursuant to long-term asset management agreements. In certain cases, we may indirectly own generally less than one percent of the operations of such properties through a syndication or other fund.

Of these properties, we consolidated 230 conventional properties with 70,605 units and 197 affordable properties with 23,901 units. These conventional and affordable properties generated 84% and 16%, respectively, of consolidated property net operating income (as defined in Note 7) during the six months ended June 30, 2010, or 87% and 13%, respectively, after adjustments for our ownership in these properties.

Through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, AIMCO-GP, Inc. and AIMCO-LP Trust, we own a majority of the ownership interests in AIMCO Properties, L.P., which we refer to as the Aimco Operating Partnership. As of June 30, 2010, we held an interest of approximately 93% in the common partnership units and equivalents of the Aimco Operating Partnership. We conduct substantially all of our business and own substantially all of our assets through the Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are referred to as OP Units. OP Units include common OP Units, partnership preferred units, or preferred OP Units, and high performance partnership units, or High Performance Units. The Aimco Operating Partnership's income is allocated to holders of common OP Units and equivalents based on the weighted average number of common OP Units and equivalents outstanding during the period. The holders of the common OP Units and Class I High Performance Units receive distributions, prorated from the date of issuance, in an amount equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of Aimco Class A Common Stock (which we refer to as Common Stock). Holders of common OP Units may redeem such units for cash or, at the Aimco Operating Partnership's option, Common Stock. Preferred OP Units entitle the holders thereof to a preference with respect to distributions or upon liquidation. At June 30, 2010, after elimination of shares held by consolidated subsidiaries, 117,039,659 shares of our Common Stock were outstanding and the Aimco Operating Partnership had 8,330,534 common OP Units and equivalents outstanding for a combined total of 125,370,193 shares of Common Stock and OP Units outstanding (excluding preferred OP Units).

Except as the context otherwise requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership and their consolidated entities, collectively.

NOTE 2 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, have been condensed or omitted in accordance with such rules and regulations, although management believes the disclosures are adequate to prevent the information presented from being misleading. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The balance sheet at December 31, 2009, has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the financial statements and notes thereto included in Aimco's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Certain 2009 financial statement amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2010 presentation, including adjustments for discontinued operations, and certain 2009 share and per share information has been revised as compared to the amounts reported in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009, as further discussed in Note 6.

During the three months ended March 31, 2010, we reduced the investment and noncontrolling interest balances for certain of our consolidated partnerships by \$38.7 million related to excess amounts allocated to the investments upon our consolidation of such partnerships. Additionally, during the six months ended June 30, 2010, we reversed approximately \$11.2 million of excess equity in losses recognized during 2008 and 2009 related to these partnerships, with a corresponding adjustment to net income attributed to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships. These adjustments had no significant effect on Aimco equity or net income or loss attributable to Aimco during the affected periods.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aimco, the Aimco Operating Partnership, and their consolidated entities. We consolidate all variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary. Generally, we consolidate real estate partnerships and other entities that are not variable interest entities when we own, directly or indirectly, a majority voting interest in the entity or are otherwise able to control the entity. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by limited partners other than Aimco are reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership. Interests in partnerships consolidated into the Aimco Operating Partnership that are held by third parties are reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships. The assets of consolidated real estate partnerships owned or controlled by us generally are not available to pay creditors of Aimco or the Aimco Operating Partnership.

As used herein, and except where the context otherwise requires, "partnership" refers to a limited partnership or a limited liability company and "partner" refers to a partner in a limited partnership or a member in a limited liability company.

Variable Interest Entities

We consolidate all variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary. Generally, a variable interest entity, or VIE, is an entity with one or more of the following characteristics: (a) the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support; (b) as a group, the holders of the equity investment at risk lack (i) the ability to make decisions about an entity's activities through voting or similar rights, (ii) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, or (iii) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity; or (c) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportional to their economic interests and substantially all of the entity's activities either involve, or are conducted on behalf of, an investor that has disproportionately few voting rights.

Effective January 1, 2010, we adopted the provisions of FASB Accounting Standards Update 2009-17, *Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities*, or ASU 2009-17, on a prospective basis. ASU 2009-17, which modified the guidance in FASB ASC Topic 810, introduces a more qualitative approach to evaluating VIEs for consolidation and requires a company to perform an analysis to determine whether its variable interests give it a controlling financial interest in a VIE. This analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a VIE as the entity that has (a) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, and (b) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. In determining whether it has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly affect the VIE's performance, ASU 2009-17 requires a company to assess whether it has an implicit financial responsibility to ensure that a VIE operates as designed, requires continuous reassessment of primary beneficiary status rather than periodic, event-driven assessments as previously required, and incorporates expanded disclosure requirements.

Table of Contents

In determining whether we are the primary beneficiary of a VIE, we consider qualitative and quantitative factors, including, but not limited to: which activities most significantly impact the VIEs economic performance and which party controls such activities; the amount and characteristics of our investment; the obligation or likelihood for us or other investors to provide financial support; and the similarity with and significance to the business activities of us and the other investors. Significant judgments related to these determinations include estimates about the current and future fair values and performance of real estate held by these VIEs and general market conditions.

As a result of our adoption of ASU 2009-17, we concluded we are the primary beneficiary of, and therefore consolidated, approximately 49 previously unconsolidated partnerships. Those partnerships own, or control other entities that own, 31 apartment properties. Our direct and indirect interests in the profits and losses of those partnerships range from less than 1% to 35%, and average approximately 7%. We applied the practicability exception for initial measurement of consolidated VIEs to partnerships that own 13 properties and accordingly recognized the consolidated assets, liabilities and noncontrolling interests at fair value effective January 1, 2010 (refer to the Fair Value Measurements section for further information regarding certain of the fair value amounts recognized upon consolidation). We deconsolidated partnerships that own ten apartment properties in which we hold an average interest of approximately 55%. The initial consolidation and deconsolidation of these partnerships resulted in increases (decreases), net of intercompany eliminations, in amounts included in our consolidated balance sheet as of January 1, 2010, as follows (in thousands):

	Consolidation	Deconsolidation
Real estate, net	\$ 144,292	\$ (86,151)
Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash	25,047	(7,425)
Accounts and notes receivable	(13,456)	6,002
Investment in unconsolidated real estate partnerships	47,974	11,302
Other assets	4,190	(1,084)
Total assets	\$ 208,047	\$ (77,356)
Total indebtedness	\$ 131,710	\$ (56,938)
Accrued and other liabilities	37,504	(15,005)
Total liabilities	169,214	(71,943)
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle:		
Noncontrolling interests	76,120	(8,501)
Aimco	(37,287)	3,088
Total equity	38,833	(5,413)
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 208,047	\$ (77,356)

In periods prior to 2009, when consolidated real estate partnerships made cash distributions to partners in excess of the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest, we generally recorded a charge to earnings equal to the amount of such excess distribution, even though there was no economic effect or cost. Also prior to 2009, we allocated the noncontrolling partners' share of partnership losses to noncontrolling partners to the extent of the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest. Consolidation of a partnership does not ordinarily result in a change to the net amount of partnership income or loss that is recognized using the equity method. However, prior to 2009, when a partnership had a deficit in equity, GAAP may have required the controlling partner that consolidates the partnership to recognize any

losses that would otherwise be allocated to noncontrolling partners, in addition to the controlling partner's share of losses. Certain of the partnerships that we consolidated in accordance with ASU 2009-17 had deficits in equity that resulted from losses or deficit distributions during prior periods when we accounted for our investment using the equity method. We would have been required to recognize the noncontrolling partners' share of those losses had we consolidated those partnerships in those periods prior to 2009. In accordance with our prospective transition method for the adoption of ASU 2009-17 related to our consolidation of previously unconsolidated partnerships, we recorded a \$37.3 million charge to our equity, the majority of which was attributed to the cumulative amount of additional losses that we would have recognized had we applied ASU 2009-17 in periods prior to 2009. Substantially all of those losses were attributable to real estate depreciation expense.

Table of Contents

Our consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, include the following amounts for the entities and related real estate properties consolidated as of January 1, 2010, in accordance with ASU 2009-17 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 8,222	\$ 16,272
Operating expenses	(4,577)	(9,203)
Depreciation and amortization	(2,458)	(4,685)
Other income (expenses)	258	(328)
Operating income	1,445	2,056
Interest income		16
Interest expense	(2,258)	(4,423)
Equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships	(5,787)	(7,005)
Gain on disposition of unconsolidated real estate and other	3,819	5,167
Net loss	(2,781)	(4,189)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	3,546	4,855
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership	(54)	(47)
Net income attributable to Aimco	\$ 711	\$ 619

Our equity in the results of operations of the partnerships and related properties we deconsolidated in connection with our adoption of ASU 2009-17 is included in equity in earnings or losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships in our consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. Based on our effective ownership in these entities, these amounts are not significant.

As of June 30, 2010, we were the primary beneficiary of, and therefore consolidated, approximately 143 VIEs, which owned 102 apartment properties with 14,846 units. Real estate with a carrying value of \$913.1 million collateralized \$677.6 million of debt of those VIEs. Any significant amounts of assets and liabilities related to our consolidated VIEs are identified parenthetically on our accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. The creditors of the consolidated VIEs do not have recourse to our general credit.

As of June 30, 2010, we also held variable interests in 290 VIEs for which we were not the primary beneficiary. Those VIEs consist primarily of partnerships that are engaged, directly or indirectly, in the ownership and management of 343 apartment properties with 21,572 units. We are involved with those VIEs as an equity holder, lender, management agent, or through other contractual relationships. The majority of our investments in unconsolidated VIEs, or approximately \$92.5 million at June 30, 2010, is held through consolidated tax credit funds that are VIEs and in which we generally hold a 1% or less general partner or equivalent interest. Accordingly, substantially all of the investment balances related to these unconsolidated VIEs is attributed to the noncontrolling interests in the consolidated tax credit funds that hold the investments in these unconsolidated VIEs. Our maximum risk of loss related to our investment in these VIEs is generally limited to our equity interest in the consolidated tax credit funds, which is insignificant. The remainder of our investment in unconsolidated VIEs, or approximately \$17.0 million at June 30, 2010, is held through consolidated tax credit funds that are VIEs and in which we hold substantially all of the economic interests. Our maximum risk of loss related to our investment in these VIEs is limited to our \$17.0 million recorded investment in such entities.

In addition to our investments in these unconsolidated VIEs discussed above, at June 30, 2010, we had in aggregate \$101.3 million of receivables from these VIEs and we had a contractual obligation to advance funds to certain VIEs totaling \$4.1 million. Our maximum risk of loss associated with our lending and management activities related to these unconsolidated VIEs is limited to these amounts. We may be subject to additional losses to the extent of any receivables relating to future provision of services to these entities or financial support that we voluntarily provide.

Table of Contents***Equity (including Noncontrolling Interests)***

The following table presents a reconciliation of our December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2010 consolidated equity accounts:

	Temporary equity			Equity		
	Preferred noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	Preferred stock subject to repurchase agreement	Equity attributable to Aimco	Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	Common noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	Total equity
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 86,656	\$ 30,000	\$ 1,239,718	\$ 316,177	\$ (21,192)	\$ 1,534,703
Contributions				4,498		4,498
Dividends/distributions	(3,376)		(37,514)	(23,568)	(1,680)	(62,762)
Repurchases of common units and shares					(942)	(942)
Repurchases of preferred units and shares	(267)	(10,000)	3,000			3,000
Stock based compensation cost			3,761			3,761
Stock option exercises			1,806			1,806
Effect of entities newly consolidated				6,324		6,324
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle			(34,199)	67,550	69	33,420
Adjustment of noncontrolling interests related to revision of investment balances				(38,718)		(38,718)
Change in accumulated other comprehensive loss			(1,734)	(272)		(2,006)
Other			243	298		541
Net income (loss)	3,376		(35,343)	9,418	(4,381)	(30,306)
Balance, June 30, 2010	\$ 86,389	\$ 20,000	\$ 1,139,738	\$ 341,707	\$ (28,126)	\$ 1,453,319

Derivative Financial Instruments

We primarily use long-term, fixed-rate and self-amortizing non-recourse debt to avoid, among other things, risk related to fluctuating interest rates. For our variable rate debt, we are sometimes required by our lenders to limit our exposure to interest rate fluctuations by entering into interest rate swap or cap agreements. The interest rate swap agreements moderate our exposure to interest rate risk by effectively converting the interest on variable rate debt to a fixed rate. The interest rate cap agreements effectively limit our exposure to interest rate risk by providing a ceiling on the underlying variable interest rate. The fair values of the interest rate swaps are reflected as assets or liabilities in the balance sheet, and periodic changes in fair value are included in interest expense or equity, as appropriate. The interest rate caps are not material to our financial position or results of operations.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had interest rate swaps with aggregate notional amounts of \$52.3 million, and recorded fair values of \$3.6 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, reflected in accrued liabilities and other in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. At June 30, 2010, these interest rate swaps had a weighted average term of 10.6 years. We have designated these interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges and recognize any changes in their fair value as an adjustment of accumulated other comprehensive income within equity to the extent of their effectiveness. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we recognized changes in fair value of \$2.0 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, of which \$2.0 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, resulted in an adjustment to consolidated equity. We recognized less than \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million of ineffectiveness as an adjustment of interest expense during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Our consolidated comprehensive loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, totaled \$12.0 million and \$28.9 million, respectively, and consolidated comprehensive loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, totaled \$5.8 million and \$38.0 million, respectively, before the effects of noncontrolling interests. If the forward rates at June 30, 2010 remain constant, we estimate that during the next twelve months, we would reclassify into earnings approximately \$1.5 million of the unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

We have entered into total rate of return swaps on various fixed-rate secured tax-exempt bonds payable and fixed-rate notes payable to convert these borrowings from a fixed rate to a variable rate and provide an efficient financing product to lower our cost of borrowing. In exchange for our receipt of a fixed rate generally equal to the underlying borrowing's interest rate, the total rate of return swaps require that we pay a variable rate, equivalent to the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index, or SIFMA, rate for tax-exempt bonds payable and the 30-day LIBOR rate for notes payable, plus a risk spread. These swaps generally have a second or third lien on the property collateralized by the related borrowings and the obligations under certain of these swaps are cross-collateralized with certain of the other swaps with a particular counterparty. The underlying borrowings are generally callable at our option, with no prepayment penalty, with 30 days advance notice, and the swaps generally have a term of less than five years. The total rate of return swaps have a contractually defined termination value generally equal to the difference between the fair value and the counterparty's purchased value of the underlying borrowings, which may require payment by us or to us for such difference. Accordingly, we believe fluctuations in the fair value of the borrowings from the inception of the hedging relationship generally will be offset by a corresponding fluctuation in the fair value of the total rate of return swaps.

We designate total rate of return swaps as hedges of the risk of overall changes in the fair value of the underlying borrowings. At each reporting period, we estimate the fair value of these borrowings and the total rate of return swaps and recognize any changes therein as an adjustment of interest expense.

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had borrowings payable subject to total rate of return swaps with aggregate outstanding principal balances of \$307.7 million and \$352.7 million. At June 30, 2010, the weighted average fixed receive rate under the total return swaps was 6.8% and the weighted average variable pay rate was 1.0%, based on the applicable SIFMA and 30-day LIBOR rates effective as of that date. Information related to the fair value of these instruments at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, is discussed further below.

Fair Value Measurements

We measure certain assets and liabilities in our consolidated financial statements at fair value, both on a recurring and nonrecurring basis. Certain of these fair value measurements are based on significant unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy defined in FASB ASC Topic 820. When a determination is made to classify a fair value measurement within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 fair value measurements typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 components, observable components that can be validated to observable external sources; accordingly, the changes in fair value in the table below are due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

The table below presents information regarding significant items measured in our consolidated financial statements at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

	Level 2		Level 3	
	Interest	Total rate	Changes in fair	
	rate	of	value of debt	
	swaps (1)	return	(3)	Total
Fair value at December 31, 2008	\$ (2,557)	\$ (29,495)	\$ 29,495	\$ (2,557)
Unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings				
(4)(5)	(440)	(85)	85	(440)
Realized gains (losses) included in earnings				
Unrealized gains (losses) included in equity	2,164			2,164
Fair value at June 30, 2009	\$ (833)	\$ (29,580)	\$ 29,580	\$ (833)
Fair value at December 31, 2009	\$ (1,596)	\$ (24,307)	\$ 24,307	\$ (1,596)

Unrealized gains (losses) included in earnings					
(4)(5)	(23)	907		(907)	(23)
Realized gains (losses) included in earnings					
Unrealized gains (losses) included in equity	(2,006)				(2,006)
Fair value at June 30, 2010	\$ (3,625)	\$ (23,400)	\$ 23,400	\$ (3,625)	

(1) The fair value of interest rate swaps is estimated using an income approach with primarily observable inputs including information regarding the hedged variable cash flows and forward yield curves relating to the variable interest rates on which the hedged cash flows are based.

Table of Contents

- (2) Total rate of return swaps have contractually-defined termination values generally equal to the difference between the fair value and the counterparty's purchased value of the underlying borrowings. We calculate the termination value, which we believe is representative of the fair value, of total rate of return swaps using a market approach by reference to estimates of the fair value of the underlying borrowings, which are discussed below, and an evaluation of potential changes in the credit quality of the counterparties to these arrangements.
- (3) This represents changes in fair value of debt subject to our total rate of return swaps. We estimate the fair value of debt instruments using an income and market approach, including comparison of the contractual terms to observable and unobservable inputs such as market interest rate risk spreads, collateral quality and loan-to-value ratios

on similarly
encumbered assets
within our portfolio.
These borrowings are
collateralized and
non-recourse to us;
therefore, we believe
changes in our credit
rating will not
materially affect a
market participant's
estimate of the
borrowings' fair value.

(4) Unrealized gains
(losses) relate to
periodic revaluations
of fair value and have
not resulted from the
settlement of a swap
position.

(5) These amounts are
included in interest
expense in the
accompanying
condensed
consolidated
statements of
operations.

The table below presents information regarding amounts measured at fair value in our consolidated financial statements on a nonrecurring basis during the six months ended June 30, 2010, all of which were based, in part, on significant unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy (in thousands):

	Fair value measurement	Total gain (loss)
Real estate (impairments losses) (1)	\$ 29,050	\$ (6,883)
Real estate (newly consolidated) (2)(3)	117,083	236
Property debt (newly consolidated) (2)(4)	83,890	

(1) During the six
months ended
June 30, 2010,
we reduced the
carrying
amounts of real
estate assets
classified as
held for sale to
their estimated
fair value, less

estimated costs
to sell.

- (2) In connection with our adoption of ASU 2009-17 (see preceding discussion of Variable Interest Entities) and reconsideration events during the six months ended June 30, 2010, we consolidated 17 partnerships at fair value. With the exception of such partnerships investments in real estate properties and related non-recourse property debt obligations, we determined the carrying amounts of the related assets and liabilities approximated their fair values. The difference between our recorded investments in such partnerships and the fair value of the assets and liabilities recognized in consolidation, resulted in an adjustment of consolidated equity (allocated

between Aimco
and
noncontrolling
interests) for
those
partnerships
consolidated in
connection with
our adoption of
ASU 2009-17.

For the
partnerships we
consolidated at
fair value due to
reconsideration
events during
the six months
ended June 30,
2010, the
difference
between our
recorded
investments in
such
partnerships and
the fair value of
the assets,
liabilities and
noncontrolling
interests
recognized upon
consolidation
resulted in our
recognition of a
gain, which is
included in gain
on disposition
of
unconsolidated
real estate and
other in our
consolidated
statement of
operations for
the six months
ended June 30,
2010.

- (3) We estimate the
fair value of real
estate using

income and
market
valuation
techniques using
information
such as broker
estimates,
purchase prices
for recent
transactions on
comparable
assets and net
operating
income
capitalization
analyses using
observable and
unobservable
inputs such as
capitalization
rates, asset
quality grading,
geographic
location
analysis, and
local supply and
demand
observations.

- (4) Refer to the
recurring fair
value
measurements
table for an
explanation of
the valuation
techniques we
use to estimate
the fair value of
debt.

Table of Contents

We believe that the aggregate fair value of our cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables and short-term secured debt approximates their aggregate carrying amounts at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, due to their relatively short-term nature and high probability of realization. We estimate fair value for our notes receivable and debt instruments using present value techniques that include income and market valuation approaches using observable inputs such as market rates for debt with the same or similar terms and unobservable inputs such as collateral quality and loan-to-value ratios on similarly encumbered assets. Because of the significance of unobservable inputs to these fair value measurements, we classify them within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. Present value calculations vary depending on the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In many cases, the fair value estimates may not be realizable in immediate settlement of the instruments. The estimated aggregate fair value of our notes receivable was approximately \$128.7 million and \$126.1 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, as compared to their carrying amounts of \$141.7 million and \$139.6 million. The estimated aggregate fair value of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) was approximately \$5.9 billion and \$5.7 billion at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, as compared to aggregate carrying amounts of \$5.6 billion. The fair values of our derivative instruments at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, are included in the table presented above.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially could subject us to significant concentrations of credit risk consist principally of notes receivable and total rate of return swaps. Approximately \$88.4 million of our notes receivable at June 30, 2010, are collateralized by 84 buildings with 1,596 residential units in the West Harlem area of New York City. There are no other significant concentrations of credit risk with respect to our notes receivable due to the large number of partnerships that are borrowers under the notes and the geographic diversification of the properties that serve as the primary source of repayment of the notes.

At June 30, 2010, we had total rate of return swap positions with two financial institutions totaling \$308.0 million. We periodically evaluate counterparty credit risk associated with these arrangements. At the current time, we have concluded we do not have material exposure. In the event either counterparty were to default under these arrangements, loss of the net interest benefit we generally receive under these arrangements, which is equal to the difference between the fixed rate we receive and the variable rate we pay, may adversely impact our results of operations and operating cash flows. Additionally, the swap agreements with a specific counterparty provide for collateral calls to maintain specified loan-to-value ratios. As of June 30, 2010, we were not required to provide cash collateral pursuant to the total rate of return swaps. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline, we may be required to provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which may adversely affect our cash flows.

Income Taxes

In March 2008, we were notified by the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, that it intended to examine the 2006 Federal tax return for the Aimco Operating Partnership. During June 2008, the IRS issued AIMCO-GP, Inc., the general partner and tax matters partner of the Aimco Operating Partnership, a summary report including the IRS's proposed adjustments to the Aimco Operating Partnership's 2006 Federal tax return. In addition, in May 2009, we were notified by the IRS that it intended to examine the 2007 Federal tax return for the Aimco Operating Partnership. During November 2009, the IRS issued AIMCO-GP, Inc. a summary report including the IRS's proposed adjustments to the Aimco Operating Partnership's 2007 Federal tax return. We do not expect the 2006 or 2007 proposed adjustments to have any material effect on our unrecognized tax benefits, financial condition or results of operations.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts included in the financial statements and accompanying notes thereto. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents**NOTE 3 Real Estate Dispositions*****Real Estate Dispositions (Discontinued Operations)***

We are currently marketing for sale certain real estate properties that are inconsistent with our long-term investment strategy. At the end of each reporting period, we evaluate whether such properties meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale, including whether such properties are expected to be sold within 12 months. Additionally, certain properties that do not meet all of the criteria to be classified as held for sale at the balance sheet date may nevertheless be sold and included in discontinued operations in the subsequent 12 months; thus, the number of properties that may be sold during the subsequent 12 months could exceed the number classified as held for sale. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had one and 24 properties, with an aggregate of 198 and 3,745 units, respectively, classified as held for sale. Amounts classified as held for sale in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Real estate, net	\$ 5,725	\$ 133,886
Other assets	325	2,850
Assets held for sale	\$ 6,050	\$ 136,736
Property debt	\$ 3,135	\$ 117,271
Other liabilities	141	3,966
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	\$ 3,276	\$ 121,237

During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we sold 23 properties and 29 properties with an aggregate of 3,547 units and 6,597 units, respectively. During the year ended December 31, 2009, we sold 89 consolidated properties with an aggregate of 22,503 units. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, discontinued operations includes the results of operations for the periods prior to the date of sale for all properties sold and for properties classified as held for sale as of June 30, 2010.

The following is a summary of the components of income from discontinued operations and the related amounts of income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco and to noncontrolling interests for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Rental and other property revenues	\$ 3,218	\$ 56,872	\$ 10,977	\$ 118,186
Property operating expenses	(1,773)	(29,015)	(5,199)	(61,262)
Depreciation and amortization	(453)	(17,538)	(1,925)	(36,962)
Provision for operating real estate impairment losses	(895)	(17,268)	(8,121)	(13,904)
Other expenses, net	(194)	(3,180)	(1,046)	(5,180)
Operating (loss) income	(97)	(10,129)	(5,314)	878
Interest income	81	46	107	188
Interest expense	(585)	(10,898)	(1,593)	(23,343)

Loss before gain on dispositions of real estate and income tax	(601)	(20,981)	(6,800)	(22,277)
Gain on dispositions of real estate	26,982	57,991	53,321	62,538
Income tax (expense) benefit	(218)	(731)	845	(821)
Income from discontinued operations, net	\$ 26,163	\$ 36,279	\$ 47,366	\$ 39,440
Income from discontinued operations attributable to:				
Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships	\$ (7,107)	\$ (20,662)	\$ (17,800)	\$ (25,182)
Noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership	(1,323)	(1,185)	(2,058)	(1,082)
Total noncontrolling interests	(8,430)	(21,847)	(19,858)	(26,264)
Aimco	\$ 17,733	\$ 14,432	\$ 27,508	\$ 13,176

Gain on dispositions of real estate is reported net of incremental direct costs incurred in connection with the transactions, including any prepayment penalties incurred upon repayment of property loans collateralized by the properties being sold. Such prepayment penalties totaled \$2.6 million and \$3.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, and \$11.6 million and \$11.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. We classify interest expense related to property debt within discontinued operations when the related real estate asset is sold or classified as held for sale.

Table of Contents

In connection with properties sold or classified as held for sale during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we allocated \$1.5 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, of goodwill related to our conventional and affordable segments to the carrying amounts of the properties sold or classified as held for sale. Of these amounts, \$1.4 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, were treated as a reduction of gain on dispositions of real estate and \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, were treated as an adjustment of impairment losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. In connection with properties sold or classified as held for sale during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, \$3.0 million of goodwill was reflected as a reduction of gain on dispositions of real estate. The amounts of goodwill allocated to these properties were based on the relative fair values of the properties sold or classified as held for sale and the retained portions of the reporting units to which the goodwill was allocated.

Gain on Dispositions of Unconsolidated Real Estate and Other

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we recognized \$5.0 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, of gains on the disposition of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships and other. These gains were primarily related to investments held by partnerships we consolidated in accordance with our adoption of ASU 2009-17 (see Note 2) and in which we generally hold a nominal general partner interest. Accordingly, these gains were primarily attributed to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships.

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized \$3.5 million of gains on the disposition of unconsolidated real estate and other, \$3.2 million of which related to our disposition of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized \$14.3 million of gains on the disposition of unconsolidated real estate and other. These gains consisted of \$8.6 million resulting from our receipt in 2009 of additional proceeds related to our disposition during 2008 of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership, \$3.2 million related to our disposition of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership, and approximately \$2.5 million of other gains related to dispositions of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships or our equity in gains recognized by unconsolidated real estate partnerships.

NOTE 4 Other Significant Transactions

Restructuring Costs

During 2009, in connection with the repositioning of our portfolio, we completed organizational restructuring activities that included reductions in workforce and related costs and the abandonment of additional leased corporate facilities and redevelopment projects. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we reduced our restructuring accruals by \$1.2 million and \$4.7 million related to payments on unrecoverable lease obligations and severance and personnel related costs, respectively. As of June 30, 2010, the remaining accruals associated with our restructuring activity are \$5.7 million for estimated unrecoverable lease obligations, which will be paid over the remaining terms of the affected leases.

NOTE 5 Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments

In connection with our redevelopment activities, we have commitments of approximately \$1.3 million related to construction projects that are expected to be completed during 2010. Additionally, we enter into certain commitments for future purchases of goods and services in connection with the operations of our properties. Those commitments generally have terms of one year or less and reflect expenditure levels comparable to our historical expenditures.

We have committed to fund an additional \$4.1 million in loans on certain properties in West Harlem in New York City. In certain circumstances, the obligor under these notes has the ability to put properties to us, which would result in a cash payment between \$30.0 million and \$97.5 million and the assumption of approximately \$119.0 million in property debt. The ability to exercise the put and the amount of cash payment required upon exercise is dependent upon the achievement of specified thresholds by the current owner of the properties.

In June 2009, we entered into an agreement that allows the holder of our Series A Community Reinvestment Act Preferred Stock, or the CRA Preferred Stock, to require us to repurchase a portion of the CRA Preferred Stock at a 30% discount to the liquidation preference. In accordance with this repurchase agreement, in May 2010, we repurchased 20 shares, or \$10.0 million in liquidation preference, of CRA Preferred Stock for \$7.0 million. We reflected the \$3.0 million excess of the carrying value over the repurchase price, offset by \$0.2 million of issuance costs previously recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital, as a reduction of net income attributable to

preferred stockholders for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2010, we had a remaining potential obligation under this agreement to repurchase up to \$20.0 million in liquidation preference of our CRA Preferred Stock. If required, these additional repurchases will be for up to \$10.0 million in liquidation preference in May 2011 and 2012. Based on the holder's ability to require us to repurchase these amounts, the \$20.0 million in liquidation preference of CRA Preferred Stock, or the maximum redemption value of such preferred stock, is classified within temporary equity in our consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2010.

Tax Credit Arrangements

We are required to manage certain consolidated real estate partnerships in compliance with various laws, regulations and contractual provisions that apply to our historic and low-income housing tax credit syndication arrangements. In some instances, noncompliance with applicable requirements could result in projected tax benefits not being realized and require a refund or reduction of investor capital contributions, which are reported as deferred income in our consolidated balance sheet, until such time as our obligation to deliver tax benefits is relieved. The remaining compliance periods for our tax credit syndication arrangements range from less than one year to 15 years. We do not anticipate that any material refunds or reductions of investor capital contributions will be required in connection with these arrangements.

Legal Matters

In addition to the matters described below, we are a party to various legal actions and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are covered by our general liability insurance program, and none of which we expect to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Limited Partnerships

In connection with our acquisitions of interests in real estate partnerships, we are sometimes subject to legal actions, including allegations that such activities may involve breaches of fiduciary duties to the partners of such real estate partnerships or violations of the relevant partnership agreements. We may incur costs in connection with the defense or settlement of such litigation. We believe that we comply with our fiduciary obligations and relevant partnership agreements. Although the outcome of any litigation is uncertain, we do not expect any such legal actions to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Environmental

Various Federal, state and local laws subject property owners or operators to liability for management, and the costs of removal or remediation, of certain hazardous substances present on a property, including lead-based paint. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the release or presence of the hazardous substances. The presence of, or the failure to manage or remedy properly, hazardous substances may adversely affect occupancy at affected apartment communities and the ability to sell or finance affected properties. In addition to the costs associated with investigation and remediation actions brought by government agencies, and potential fines or penalties imposed by such agencies in connection therewith, the presence of hazardous substances on a property could result in claims by private plaintiffs for personal injury, disease, disability or other infirmities. Various laws also impose liability for the cost of removal, remediation or disposal of hazardous substances through a licensed disposal or treatment facility. Anyone who arranges for the disposal or treatment of hazardous substances is potentially liable under such laws. These laws often impose liability whether or not the person arranging for the disposal ever owned or operated the facility. In connection with the ownership, operation and management of properties, we could potentially be liable for environmental liabilities or costs associated with our properties or properties we acquire or manage in the future.

We have determined that our legal obligations to remove or remediate hazardous substances may be conditional asset retirement obligations, as defined in GAAP. Except in limited circumstances where the asset retirement activities are expected to be performed in connection with a planned construction project or property casualty, we believe that the fair value of our asset retirement obligations cannot be reasonably estimated due to significant uncertainties in the timing and manner of settlement of those obligations. Asset retirement obligations that are reasonably estimable as of June 30, 2010, are immaterial to our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Mold

We have been named as a defendant in lawsuits that have alleged personal injury and property damage as a result of the presence of mold. In addition, we are aware of lawsuits against owners and managers of multifamily properties asserting claims of personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold, some of which have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements. We have only limited insurance coverage for property damage loss claims arising from the presence of mold and for personal injury claims related to mold exposure. We have implemented policies, procedures, third-party audits and training, and include a detailed moisture intrusion and mold assessment during acquisition due diligence. We believe these measures will prevent or eliminate mold exposure from our properties and will minimize the effects that mold may have on our residents. To date, we have not incurred any material costs or liabilities relating to claims of mold exposure or to abate mold conditions. Because the law regarding mold is unsettled and subject to change, we can make no assurance that liabilities resulting from the presence of or exposure to mold will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents**NOTE 6 Earnings (Loss) per Share**

We calculate earnings (loss) per share based on the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock, participating securities, common stock equivalents and dilutive convertible securities outstanding during the period. The following table illustrates the calculation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Numerator:				
Loss from continuing operations	\$ (36,333)	\$ (43,905)	\$ (74,296)	\$ (79,640)
Loss from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	10,775	11,029	11,445	23,485
Income attributable to preferred stockholders	(10,128)	(11,477)	(23,050)	(24,643)
Income attributable to participating securities	(42)			
Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (35,728)	\$ (44,353)	\$ (85,901)	\$ (80,798)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 26,163	\$ 36,279	\$ 47,366	\$ 39,440
Income from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	(8,430)	(21,847)	(19,858)	(26,264)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ 17,733	\$ 14,432	\$ 27,508	\$ 13,176
Net loss	\$ (10,170)	\$ (7,626)	\$ (26,930)	\$ (40,200)
Loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	2,345	(10,818)	(8,413)	(2,779)
Income attributable to preferred stockholders	(10,128)	(11,477)	(23,050)	(24,643)
Income attributable to participating securities	(42)			
Net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$ (17,995)	\$ (29,921)	\$ (58,393)	\$ (67,622)
Denominator:				
Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding	116,323	115,510	116,179	112,886
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Dilutive potential common shares				
Denominator for diluted earnings per share	116,323	115,510	116,179	112,886

Earnings (loss) per common share:

Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share:

Loss from continuing operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$	(0.30)	\$	(0.38)	\$	(0.74)	\$	(0.72)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to Aimco common stockholders		0.15		0.12		0.24		0.12
Net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.26)	\$	(0.50)	\$	(0.60)

As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the common share equivalents that could potentially dilute basic earnings per share in future periods totaled 7.2 million and 10.9 million, respectively. These securities, representing stock options, have been excluded from the earnings (loss) per share computations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, because their effect would have been anti-dilutive. Participating securities, consisting of unvested restricted stock and shares purchased pursuant to officer loans, receive dividends similar to shares of Common Stock and totaled 0.6 million and 0.9 million at June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effect of participating securities is reflected in basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share computations for the periods presented above using the two-class method of allocating distributed and undistributed earnings.

Table of Contents

Various classes of preferred OP Units of the Aimco Operating Partnership are outstanding. Depending on the terms of each class, these preferred OP Units are convertible into common OP Units or redeemable for cash or, at the Aimco Operating Partnership's option, Common Stock, and are paid distributions varying from 1.84% to 9.5% per annum per unit, or equal to the dividends paid on Common Stock based on the conversion terms. As of June 30, 2010, a total of 3.1 million preferred OP Units were outstanding with redemption values of \$85.4 million and were potentially redeemable for approximately 4.4 million shares of Common Stock (based on the period end market price), or cash at the Aimco Operating Partnership's option. The Aimco Operating Partnership has a redemption policy that requires cash settlement of redemption requests for the preferred OP Units, subject to limited exceptions. The potential dilutive effect of these securities would have been antidilutive in the periods presented; however, based on the Aimco Operating Partnership's cash redemption policy, they may also be excluded from future earnings (loss) per share computations in periods during which their effect is dilutive.

In December 2009, we adopted the provisions of FASB Accounting Standards Update 2010-01, *Accounting for Distributions to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash*, or ASU 2010-01, which are codified in FASB ASC Topic 505. ASU 2010-01 requires that for distributions with components of cash and stock, the portion distributed in stock should be accounted for prospectively as a stock issuance with no retroactive adjustment to basic and diluted earnings per share. In accordance with ASU 2010-01, we retrospectively revised the accounting treatment of our special dividend paid in January 2009, resulting in a 2.4 million reduction in the number of weighted average shares outstanding and a \$0.01 increase in the loss per share attributed to Aimco common stockholders for the six months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to the amounts reported in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009.

NOTE 7 Business Segments

Based on a planned reduction in our transactional activities, during the three months ended March 31, 2010, we reevaluated our reportable segments and determined our investment management reporting unit no longer meets the requirements for a reportable segment. Additionally, to provide more meaningful information regarding our real estate operations, we elected to disaggregate information for the prior real estate segment. Following these changes, we have two reportable segments: conventional real estate operations and affordable real estate operations. Our conventional real estate operations consist of market-rate apartments with rents paid by the resident and include 232 properties with 71,909 units. Our affordable real estate operations consist of 254 properties with 29,540 units, with rents that are generally paid, in whole or part, by a government agency.

Our chief operating decision maker uses various generally accepted industry financial measures to assess the performance of the business, including: property net operating income, which is rental and other property revenues less direct property operating expenses, including real estate taxes; Net Asset Value, which is the estimated fair value of our assets, net of debt; Pro forma Funds From Operations, which is Funds From Operations excluding operating real estate impairment losses and preferred stock redemption related gains or losses; Adjusted Funds From Operations, which is Pro forma Funds From Operations less spending for Capital Replacements; same store property operating results; Free Cash Flow, which is net operating income less spending for Capital Replacements; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. The chief operating decision maker emphasizes net operating income as a key measurement of segment profit or loss. Segment net operating income is generally defined as segment revenues less direct segment operating expenses.

Table of Contents

The following tables present the revenues, net operating income (loss) and income (loss) from continuing operations of our conventional and affordable real estate operations segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	Conventional Real Estate Operations	Affordable Real Estate Operations (1)	Corporate and Amounts Not Allocated to Segments	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2010:				
Rental and other property revenues (2)	\$ 230,267	\$ 54,268	\$ 860	\$ 285,395
Asset management and tax credit revenues			9,596	9,596
Total revenues	230,267	54,268	10,456	294,991
Property operating expenses (2)	89,735	26,363	16,848	132,946
Investment management expenses			5,141	5,141
Depreciation and amortization (2)			108,667	108,667
General and administrative expenses			15,184	15,184
Other income, net			(6,693)	(6,693)
Total operating expenses	89,735	26,363	139,147	255,245
Net operating income (loss)	140,532	27,905	(128,691)	39,746
Other items included in continuing operations			(76,079)	(76,079)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 140,532	\$ 27,905	\$ (204,770)	\$ (36,333)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2009:				
Rental and other property revenues (2)	\$ 229,048	\$ 48,653	\$ 1,340	\$ 279,041
Asset management and tax credit revenues			12,606	12,606
Total revenues	229,048	48,653	13,946	291,647
Property operating expenses (2)	90,518	22,078	13,069	125,665
Investment management expenses			4,716	4,716
Depreciation and amortization (2)			108,437	108,437
Provision for operating real estate impairment losses (2)			1,569	1,569
General and administrative expenses			14,577	14,577
Other expenses, net			3,748	3,748
Total operating expenses	90,518	22,078	146,116	258,712

Net operating income (loss)	138,530	26,575	(132,170)	32,935
Other items included in continuing operations			(76,840)	(76,840)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 138,530	\$ 26,575	\$ (209,010)	\$ (43,905)

Table of Contents

	Conventional Real Estate Operations	Affordable Real Estate Operations (1)	Corporate and Amounts Not Allocated to Segments	Total
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010:				
Rental and other property revenues (2)	\$ 461,075	\$ 107,506	\$ 2,041	\$ 570,622
Asset management and tax credit revenues			13,853	13,853
Total revenues	461,075	107,506	15,894	584,475
Property operating expenses (2)	186,019	54,121	31,214	271,354
Investment management expenses			8,370	8,370
Depreciation and amortization (2)			217,006	217,006
General and administrative expenses			26,919	26,919
Other income, net			(3,592)	(3,592)
Total operating expenses	186,019	54,121	279,917	520,057
Net operating income (loss)	275,056	53,385	(264,023)	64,418
Other items included in continuing operations			(138,714)	(138,714)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 275,056	\$ 53,385	\$ (402,737)	\$ (74,296)
Six Months Ended June 30, 2009:				
Rental and other property revenues (2)	\$ 460,918	\$ 95,402	\$ 2,983	\$ 559,303
Asset management and tax credit revenues			22,144	22,144
Total revenues	460,918	95,402	25,127	581,447
Property operating expenses (2)	183,498	45,959	29,692	259,149
Investment management expenses			8,506	8,506
Depreciation and amortization (2)			212,606	212,606
Provision for operating real estate impairment losses (2)			2,079	2,079
General and administrative expenses			30,837	30,837
Other expenses, net			5,229	5,229
Total operating expenses	183,498	45,959	288,949	518,406
Net operating income (loss)	277,420	49,443	(263,822)	63,041
			(142,681)	(142,681)

Other items included in continuing operations

Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	277,420	\$	49,443	\$	(406,503)	\$	(79,640)
---	----	---------	----	--------	----	-----------	----	----------

(1) Net operating income amounts from our affordable real estate operations for 2010 are not comparable to the 2009 amounts due to our adoption during 2010 of revised accounting guidance regarding consolidation of variable interest entities (see Note 2).

(2) Our chief operating decision maker assesses the performance of our conventional and affordable real estate operations using, among other measures, net operating income, excluding property management revenues and certain property management expenses, casualty gains and losses, depreciation and

amortization
and provision
for operating
real estate
impairment
losses.
Accordingly, we
do not allocate
these amounts
to our segments.

The assets of our reportable segments are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Conventional	\$ 5,973,276	\$ 6,096,406
Affordable	1,220,186	1,133,099
Corporate and other assets	514,339	676,963
Total consolidated assets	\$ 7,707,801	\$ 7,906,468

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Forward Looking Statements

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements in certain circumstances. Certain information included in this Report contains or may contain information that is forward-looking, within the meaning of the federal securities laws, including, without limitation, statements regarding our ability to maintain current or meet projected occupancy, rental rates and property operating results. Actual results may differ materially from those described in these forward-looking statements and, in addition, will be affected by a variety of risks and factors, some of which are beyond our control, including, without limitation: financing risks, including the availability and cost of capital markets financing and the risk that our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest; earnings may not be sufficient to maintain compliance with debt covenants; real estate risks, including fluctuations in real estate values and the general economic climate in the markets in which we operate and competition for residents in such markets; national and local economic conditions; the terms of governmental regulations that affect us and interpretations of those regulations; the competitive environment in which we operate; the timing of acquisitions and dispositions; insurance risk, including the cost of insurance; natural disasters and severe weather such as hurricanes; litigation, including costs associated with prosecuting or defending claims and any adverse outcomes; energy costs; and possible environmental liabilities, including costs, fines or penalties that may be incurred due to necessary remediation of contamination of properties presently owned or previously owned by us. In addition, our current and continuing qualification as a real estate investment trust involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Internal Revenue Code, through actual operating results, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. Readers should carefully review our financial statements and the notes thereto, as well as the section entitled "Risk Factors" described in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and the other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission. As used herein and except as the context otherwise requires, we, our, us and the Company refer to Apartment Investment and Management Company (which we refer to as Aimco), AIMCO Properties, L.P. (which we refer to as the Aimco Operating Partnership) and Aimco's consolidated corporate subsidiaries and consolidated real estate partnerships, collectively.

Executive Overview

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, focused on the ownership and management of quality apartment communities located in the 20 largest markets in the United States (as measured by total market capitalization, which is the total market value of institutional-grade apartment properties in a particular market). We upgrade the quality of our portfolio through the sale of communities with rents below average market rents and the reinvestment of capital within these 20 target markets through redevelopment and acquisitions. Our apartment properties are generally financed with property-level, non-recourse, long-dated, fixed-rate, amortizing debt. We are one of the country's largest owners and operators of both conventional and affordable properties. As of June 30, 2010, we owned or managed 817 apartment properties containing 129,350 units located in 43 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. Our primary sources of income and cash are rents associated with apartment leases.

The key financial indicators that we use in managing our business and in evaluating our financial condition and operating performance are: property net operating income, which is rental and other property revenues less direct property operating expenses, including real estate taxes; Net Asset Value, which is the estimated fair value of our assets, net of debt; Pro forma Funds From Operations, which is Funds From Operations excluding operating real estate impairment losses and preferred stock redemption related gains or losses; Adjusted Funds From Operations, which is Pro forma Funds From Operations less spending for Capital Replacements; same store property operating results; Free Cash Flow, which is net operating income less spending for Capital Replacements; financial coverage ratios; and leverage as shown on our balance sheet. Funds From Operations is defined and further described in the section captioned "Funds From Operations." Capital Replacements represent capital additions that are deemed to replace the consumed portion of acquired capital assets. The key macro-economic factors and non-financial indicators that affect our financial condition and operating performance are: household formations; rates of job growth; single-family and

multifamily housing starts; interest rates; and availability and cost of financing.

Because our operating results depend primarily on income from our properties, the supply and demand for apartments influences our operating results. Additionally, the level of expenses required to operate and maintain our properties and the pace and price at which we redevelop, acquire and dispose of our apartment properties affect our operating results. Our cost of capital is affected by the conditions in the capital and credit markets and the terms that we negotiate for our equity and debt financings.

Table of Contents

Highlights of our results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2010 are summarized below:

Average daily occupancy for our Conventional Same Store properties remained high at 95.6%.

Conventional Same Store revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010 decreased by 0.4% as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009. Renewal rates are now positive in all but one market, while new lease rates are positive in several markets for the first time in more than a year.

Operating income related to our asset management, tax credit and investment management activities have declined relative to 2009. The market for tax credit syndications remains weak and as a result, during the three months ended June 30, 2010, we decided to not proceed with the syndication of several tax credit projects and wrote-off previously deferred costs associated with these projects.

Property sales declined relative to 2009. We expect property sales to continue to decline in the second half of 2010, as property sales completed through July allowed us to fully repay the remainder of our term debt.

The following discussion and analysis of the results of our operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1.

Results of Operations

Overview

Three and six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to June 30, 2009

We reported net loss attributable to Aimco of \$7.8 million and net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$18.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to net loss attributable to Aimco of \$18.4 million and net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$29.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, decreases in losses of \$10.6 million and \$11.9 million, respectively.

These decreases in net loss were principally due to the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail below:

- an increase in other income, primarily attributable to the settlement of certain litigation matters; and
- a decrease in earnings allocated to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships, primarily due to their share of the decrease in gains on disposition of consolidated real estate properties discussed below.

The effects of these items on our operating results were partially offset by:

- a decrease in income from discontinued operations, primarily related to the volume of sales in 2010 and the related number of properties included in discontinued operations in 2009 as compared to 2010; and
- a decrease in operating income related to asset management, tax credit and investment management activities.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, we reported net loss attributable to Aimco of \$35.3 million and net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$58.4 million, compared to net loss attributable to Aimco of \$43.0 million and net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders of \$67.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, decreases of \$7.7 million and \$9.2 million, respectively.

These decreases in net loss were principally due to the following items, all of which are discussed in further detail below:

- an increase in other income, primarily attributable to the settlement of certain litigation matters; and
- an increase in income from discontinued operations, due primarily to a reduction in depreciation and interest expense.

The effects of these items on our operating results were partially offset by a decrease in operating income related to asset management, tax credit and investment management activities.

The following paragraphs discuss these and other items affecting the results of our operations in more detail.

Real Estate Operations

Our real estate portfolio is comprised of two business components: conventional real estate operations and affordable real estate operations, which also represent our two reportable segments. Our conventional real estate portfolio consists of market-rate apartments with rents paid by the resident and includes 232 properties with 71,909 units. Our affordable real estate portfolio consists of 254 properties with 29,540 units, with rents that are generally paid, in whole or part, by a government agency. Our conventional and affordable properties contributed 87% and 13%, respectively,

of our ownership adjusted property net operating income amounts during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the net operating income of our real estate operations, including our conventional and affordable segments, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Rental and other property revenues:				
Conventional real estate operations	\$ 230,267	\$ 229,048	\$ 461,075	\$ 460,918
Affordable real estate operations	54,268	48,653	107,506	95,402
Corporate and amounts not allocated	860	1,340	2,041	2,983
Total	285,395	279,041	570,622	559,303
Property operating expenses:				
Conventional real estate operations	89,735	90,518	186,019	183,498
Affordable real estate operations	26,363	22,078	54,121	45,959
Corporate and amounts not allocated	16,848	13,069	31,214	29,692
Total	132,946	125,665	271,354	259,149
Real estate operations net operating income:				
Conventional real estate operations	140,532	138,530	275,056	277,420
Affordable real estate operations	27,905	26,575	53,385	49,443
Corporate and amounts not allocated	(15,988)	(11,729)	(29,173)	(26,709)
Total	\$ 152,449	\$ 153,376	\$ 299,268	\$ 300,154

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, our real estate operations net operating income decreased by \$0.9 million, or 0.6%, and consisted of an increase in rental and other property revenues of \$6.4 million, or 2.3%, and an offsetting increase in property operating expenses of \$7.3 million, or 5.8%.

Our conventional segment consists of conventional properties we classify as same store, redevelopment and other conventional properties. Same store properties are properties we manage and that have reached and maintained a stabilized level of occupancy during the current and prior year comparable period. Redevelopment properties are those in which a substantial number of available units have been vacated for major renovations or have not been stabilized in occupancy for at least one year as of the earliest period presented, or for which other significant non-unit renovations are underway or have been complete for less than one year. Other conventional properties may include conventional properties that have significant rent control restrictions, acquisition properties, university housing properties and properties that are not multifamily, such as commercial properties or fitness centers. Our conventional segment's net operating income increased \$2.0 million, or 1.4%, during the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to 2009.

Conventional same store net operating income decreased by \$0.5 million, primarily due to a \$0.7 million reduction in revenues, resulting from lower average rent (approximately \$47 per unit), partially offset by an increase of 290 basis points in average physical occupancy. Our conventional same store expenses decreased \$0.2 million, partially offsetting the decrease in revenues. Rental rates on new conventional same store leases transacted during the quarter were 2.3% lower than the expiring lease rates, while renewal rates were 1.9% higher than the expiring lease rates. New and renewal lease rates improved throughout the quarter, with June 2010 new lease rates 1.4% lower than the

expiring lease rates and renewal rates 2.4% higher than the expiring lease rates. June 2010 new and renewal lease rates also compared favorably to beginning of year new lease rates that were 8.9% lower than the expiring lease rates and renewal rates that were 0.7% lower than the expiring lease rates.

The net operating income of our conventional redevelopment properties increased by \$3.5 million, including a \$2.5 million increase in revenues resulting from an 11% increase in the number of units in service at these properties relative to 2009 and a \$1.0 million decrease in expenses primarily due to a reduction in payroll and marketing expenses.

The net operating income of our conventional other properties decreased by \$1.0 million.

The net operating income of our affordable segment, which includes same store and redevelopment properties, increased \$1.3 million, or 5.0%, during the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to 2009. Revenues and expenses of our affordable properties increased by \$5.6 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, primarily due to properties we consolidated based on our adoption of revised accounting guidance regarding consolidation of variable interest entities (see Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1). Our June 2010 average month-end occupancy for our affordable same store properties was 97.2%, a decrease of 10 basis points from the comparable month in 2009, while average rent per unit increased 1.6% from \$768 to \$780 per unit.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, our real estate operations net operating income decreased by \$0.9 million, or 0.3%, and consisted of an increase in rental and other property revenues of \$11.3 million, or 2.0%, and an offsetting increase in property operating expenses of \$12.2 million, or 4.7%.

Our conventional segment net operating income decreased \$2.4 million, or 0.9%, during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to 2009.

Table of Contents

Our conventional same store net operating income decreased \$6.6 million. This decrease was attributed to a \$4.2 million decrease in revenue, primarily due to lower average rent (approximately \$53 per unit) partially offset by an increase of 280 basis points in average physical occupancy, and an increase of \$2.4 million in expense, due to increases in payroll, contract services, turnover and repair and maintenance expenses.

Conventional redevelopment net operating income increased by \$6.0 million due to a \$4.9 million increase in revenues resulting from a 12% increase in the number of units in service at these properties relative to 2009, and a \$1.1 million decrease in expense, primarily due to a reduction in utility and marketing expenses.

Our conventional other net operating income decreased by \$1.8 million primarily due to increases in expenses, including real estate taxes and snow removal costs.

Our affordable segment net operating income increased \$3.9 million, or 8.0%, during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to 2009. Revenues and expenses of our affordable properties increased by \$12.1 million and \$8.2 million, respectively, primarily due to properties we consolidated based on our adoption of revised accounting guidance regarding consolidation of variable interest entities (see Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1).

Real estate operations net operating income amounts not attributed to our conventional or affordable segments include property management revenues and expenses and casualty losses, which we do not allocate to our conventional or affordable segments for purposes of evaluating segment performance. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, property management revenues decreased by \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, primarily due to the elimination of revenues related to properties consolidated during 2010 in connection with our adoption of revised accounting guidance regarding consolidation of variable interest entities discussed above. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, expenses not allocated to our conventional or affordable segments increased by \$3.8 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. These increases in expenses were due to \$4.1 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, of increases in casualty losses, offset by decreases of \$0.3 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, in property management expenses, resulting primarily from reductions in personnel and related costs attributed to our restructuring activities (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1).

Asset Management and Tax Credit Revenues

We perform activities and services for consolidated and unconsolidated real estate partnerships, including portfolio strategy, capital allocation, joint ventures, tax credit syndication, acquisitions, dispositions and other transaction activities. Within our owned portfolio, we refer to these activities as Portfolio Management, and their benefit is seen in property operating results and in gains on dispositions. For affiliated partnerships, we refer to these activities as asset management, for which we are separately compensated through fees paid by third party investors. These activities are conducted in part by our taxable subsidiaries, and the related net operating income may be subject to income taxes.

Asset management revenue may include certain fees that were earned in a prior period, but not recognized at that time because collectibility was not reasonably assured. Those fees may be recognized in a subsequent period upon occurrence of a transaction or a high level of the probability of occurrence of a transaction, or improvement in operations that generates sufficient cash to pay the fees.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, asset management and tax credit revenues decreased \$3.0 million. This decrease is attributable to a \$1.3 million decrease in disposition and other fees we earn in connection with transactional activities, a \$0.9 million decrease in income related to our affordable housing tax credit syndication business, which primarily relates to a reduction in amortization of deferred tax credit income, a \$0.5 million decrease in current asset management fees and a \$0.3 million decrease in promote income, which is income earned in connection with the disposition of properties owned by our consolidated joint ventures.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, asset management and tax credit revenues decreased \$8.3 million. This decrease is attributable to a \$4.7 million decrease in income related to our affordable housing tax credit syndication business, which includes a \$2.4 million write off of syndication fees receivable we determined were uncollectible during 2010 and a \$2.1 million decrease in amortization of related tax credit income, primarily related to adjustments in the amount and timing of anticipated cash flows for several tax

credit projects. The decrease in revenues also includes a \$1.4 million decrease in disposition and other fees we earn in connection with transactional activities, a \$1.2 million decrease in promote income, which is income earned in connection with the disposition of properties owned by our consolidated joint ventures, and a \$1.0 million decrease in current asset management fees, primarily due to the elimination of fees associated with certain partnerships we consolidated during 2010 (see Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 discussing our adoption of revised accounting guidance regarding the consolidation of variable interest entities).

Table of Contents

Investment Management Expenses

Investment management expenses consist primarily of the costs of departments that perform asset management and tax credit activities. For the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, investment management expenses increased \$0.4 million. This increase is primarily due to a \$1.7 million increase in expenses, primarily related to our write off of previously deferred costs related to tax credit projects we recently abandoned, partially offset by a \$1.2 million reduction in personnel and related costs from our organizational restructurings.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, investment management expenses decreased \$0.1 million. This decrease is primarily due to a \$2.3 million reduction in personnel and related costs from our organizational restructurings, partially offset by a \$2.2 million increase in expenses, primarily related to our write off of previously deferred costs related to tax credit projects we recently abandoned.

Depreciation and Amortization

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, depreciation and amortization increased \$0.2 million, or 0.2%, and \$4.4 million, or 2.1%, respectively. These increases primarily relate to completed redevelopments and other capital projects recently placed in service.

Provision for Operating Real Estate Impairment Losses

Real estate and other long-lived assets to be held and used are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized impairment losses of \$1.6 million and \$2.1 million respectively, related to properties classified as held for use. We recognized no such impairment losses related to properties classified as held for use during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

General and Administrative Expenses

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, general and administrative expenses increased \$0.6 million, or 4.2%. This increase is primarily attributable to an increase in personnel and related expenses.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, general and administrative expenses decreased \$3.9 million, or 12.7%. This decrease is primarily attributable to net reductions in personnel and related expenses associated with our organizational restructuring activity (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for additional information), partially offset by information technology outsourcing costs.

Other (Income) Expenses, Net

Other (income) expenses, net includes franchise taxes, risk management activities, partnership administration expenses and certain non-recurring items. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, other (income) expenses, net changed favorably by \$10.4 million and \$8.8 million, respectively. The net favorable changes are primarily attributable to the settlement of certain litigation matters during the 2010 second quarter.

Interest Income

Interest income consists primarily of interest on notes receivable from non-affiliates and unconsolidated real estate partnerships, interest on cash and restricted cash accounts, and accretion of discounts on certain notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships. Transactions that result in accretion occur infrequently and thus accretion income may vary from period to period.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, interest income decreased \$0.3 million, or 13.3%, primarily due to lower interest rates on notes receivable, cash and restricted cash balances and lower average balances.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, interest income decreased \$0.4 million, or 6.9%, primarily due to lower interest rates on notes receivable, cash and restricted cash balances and lower average balances.

Table of Contents

Recovery of (Provision for) Losses on Notes Receivable, Net

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, we recognized a \$0.1 million net recovery of previously recognized provision for losses on notes receivable, as compared to a \$1.5 million net provision for losses on notes receivable during the three months ended June 30, 2009. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we recognized net provisions for losses on notes receivable of \$0.3 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. The favorable changes in our losses on notes receivable from 2009 to 2010 were primarily due to a \$1.4 million provision for losses on a note receivable in 2009, for which we recognized no comparable losses during 2010.

Interest Expense

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, interest expense, which includes the amortization of deferred financing costs, decreased by \$2.3 million, or 2.8%. Interest expense decreased primarily due to a \$1.6 million decrease in corporate interest expense, primarily due to a decrease in average corporate borrowings. Property related interest expense decreased by \$0.7 million, primarily due to a \$1.5 million decrease in prepayment penalties due to fewer refinancings in 2010 as compared to 2009, offset by an increase of \$0.8 million of interest expense related to properties newly consolidated in 2010 (see Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for further discussion of our adoption of ASU 2009-17).

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, interest expense decreased by \$2.8 million, or 1.7%. Interest expense decreased primarily due to a \$4.3 million decrease in corporate interest expense, primarily due to a decrease in average corporate borrowings. The decrease in corporate interest expense was offset by a \$1.5 million increase in property related interest expense. Property related interest expense increased due to a \$2.0 million increase related to properties newly consolidated in 2010 and a \$1.1 million increase related to higher average property borrowings resulting primarily from 2009 refinancing activities, partially offset by a \$1.3 million decrease in prepayment penalties due to fewer refinancings in 2010 as compared to 2009.

Equity in (Losses) Earnings of Unconsolidated Real Estate Partnerships

Equity in (losses) earnings of unconsolidated real estate partnerships includes our share of net earnings or losses of our unconsolidated real estate partnerships and is primarily driven by depreciation expense in excess of the net operating income recognized by such partnerships.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, equity in losses of unconsolidated real estate partnerships increased \$5.5 million, primarily due to an increase in equity in losses recognized by certain partnerships we consolidated during 2010 (see Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for further discussion of our adoption of ASU 2009-17).

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, we recognized net equity in earnings of unconsolidated real estate partnerships of \$0.7 million as compared to equity in losses of \$3.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009. This favorable variance of \$4.4 million from 2009 to 2010 was primarily due to our reversal during the three months ended March 31, 2010 of approximately \$11.2 million of excess equity in losses recognized during 2008 and 2009, partially offset by an increase in equity in losses recognized by certain partnerships we consolidated during 2010 (see Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for further discussion of our adoption of ASU 2009-17). These excess losses discussed above were attributed to noncontrolling interests in our consolidated real estate partnerships that hold such investments and, accordingly, this reversal had no significant effect on net income or loss attributable to Aimco during the affected periods.

Gain on Dispositions of Unconsolidated Real Estate and Other, Net

Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other includes our share of gains related to dispositions of real estate by unconsolidated real estate partnerships, gains on disposition of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships, gains on dispositions of land and other non-depreciable assets and certain costs related to asset disposal activities. Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of disposition activity from period to period. Additionally, gains on properties sold are determined on an individual property basis or in the aggregate for a group of properties that are sold in a single transaction, and are not comparable period to period. For the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009, gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other increased \$1.5 million. This increase is primarily attributable to \$5.0 million of gains on the disposition of interests in unconsolidated real estate partnerships during the three months ended June 30,

2010 (the majority of which is attributed to noncontrolling interests in the consolidated partnerships that held such investments), partially offset by a \$3.2 million gain on sale of an interest in a certain unconsolidated real estate partnership during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other decreased \$6.7 million. This decrease is primarily attributable to \$8.6 million of additional proceeds received in 2009 related to our disposition during 2008 of an interest in an unconsolidated real estate partnership, for which there were no comparable gains during 2010.

Income Tax Benefit

Certain of our operations or a portion thereof, such as property management, asset management and risk management, are conducted through, and certain of our properties are owned by, taxable REIT subsidiaries, each of which we refer to as a TRS. A TRS is a C-corporation that has not elected REIT status and, as such, is subject to United States Federal corporate income tax. We use TRS entities to facilitate our ability to offer certain services and conduct certain activities that generally cannot be offered directly by the REIT. We also use TRS entities to hold investments in certain properties. Income taxes related to the results of continuing operations of our TRS entities are included in income tax benefit in our consolidated statements of operations.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, income tax benefit increased by \$1.1 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, primarily due to increases in losses of our TRS entities.

Income from Discontinued Operations, Net

The results of operations for properties sold during the period or designated as held for sale at the end of the period are generally required to be classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented. The components of net earnings that are classified as discontinued operations include all property-related revenues and operating expenses, depreciation expense recognized prior to the classification as held for sale, property-specific interest expense and debt extinguishment gains and losses to the extent there is secured debt on the property. In addition, any impairment losses on assets held for sale and the net gain or loss on the eventual disposal of properties held for sale are reported in discontinued operations.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, income from discontinued operations totaled \$26.2 million and \$36.3 million, respectively. The \$10.1 million decrease in income from discontinued operations was principally due to a \$26.6 million decrease in gain on dispositions of real estate, net of income taxes, partially offset by a \$10.3 million decrease in interest expense and a \$10.0 million decrease in operating loss (inclusive of a \$16.4 million decrease in real estate impairment losses).

For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, income from discontinued operations totaled \$47.4 million and \$39.4 million, respectively. The \$8.0 million increase in income from discontinued operations was principally due to a \$21.8 million decrease in interest expense, partially offset by a decrease of \$6.2 million in operating income (inclusive of a \$5.8 million decrease in real estate impairment losses) and a decrease of \$3.5 million in gain on dispositions of real estate, net of income taxes.

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, we sold 11 consolidated properties for gross proceeds of \$102.2 million and net proceeds of \$26.3 million, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately \$26.8 million (which is net of \$0.2 million of related income taxes). During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we sold 19 consolidated properties for gross proceeds of \$270.8 million and net proceeds of \$107.2 million, resulting in a gain on sale of approximately \$53.4 million (which is net of \$4.6 million of related income taxes).

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we sold 23 consolidated properties for gross proceeds of \$184.8 million and net proceeds of \$47.4 million, resulting in a net gain on sale of approximately \$54.2 million (which includes \$0.9 million of related income taxes). During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we sold 29 consolidated properties for gross proceeds of \$353.9 million and net proceeds of \$121.8 million, resulting in a gain on sale of approximately \$57.7 million (which is net of \$4.9 million of related income taxes).

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, income from discontinued operations includes the operating results of the properties sold or classified as held for sale as of June 30, 2010.

Changes in the level of gains recognized from period to period reflect the changing level of our disposition activity from period to period. Additionally, gains on properties sold are determined on an individual property basis or in the aggregate for a group of properties that are sold in a single transaction, and are not comparable period to period (see Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for additional information on discontinued

operations).

Table of Contents

Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Real Estate Partnerships

Noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships reflects the non-Aimco partners' share of operating results of consolidated real estate partnerships, as well as the noncontrolling partners' share of property management fees, interest on notes and other amounts that we charge to such partnerships.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, we allocated net losses of \$2.7 million to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships, as compared to \$11.7 million of earnings allocated to these noncontrolling interests during the three months ended June 30, 2009, or a favorable variance of \$14.4 million. This favorable change was primarily due to a \$13.6 million decrease in the noncontrolling interest partners' share of income from discontinued operations, which decreased primarily due to a reduction in gains on the disposition of real estate from 2009 to 2010. The noncontrolling interest partners' share of loss from continuing operations increased by \$0.8 million from 2009 to 2010, primarily due to an increase in losses allocated to noncontrolling interests related to certain partnerships that were consolidated during 2010 (see Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for further discussion of our adoption of ASU 2009-17).

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009, net income attributed to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships increased by \$4.0 million. This increase was primarily due to an \$11.4 million increase in the noncontrolling interest partners' share of income from continuing operations of our consolidated real estate partnerships, due primarily to a reversal during 2010 of approximately \$11.2 million of excess equity in losses recognized during 2009 and 2008, partially offset by an increase in losses allocated to noncontrolling interests related to certain partnerships that were consolidated during 2010 (see Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1 for further discussion of our adoption of ASU 2009-17). This increase in noncontrolling interests was partially offset by a \$7.4 million decrease in the noncontrolling interest partners' share of income from discontinued operations, which decreased primarily due to a reduction in gains on the disposition of real estate from 2009 to 2010.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions. We believe that the following critical accounting policies involve our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Real estate and other long-lived assets to be held and used are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless the carrying amount of the asset is not recoverable. If events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a property may not be recoverable, we make an assessment of its recoverability by comparing the carrying amount to our estimate of the undiscounted future cash flows, excluding interest charges, of the property. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated aggregate undiscounted future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to the extent the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value of the property.

From time to time, we have non-revenue producing properties that we hold for future redevelopment. We assess the recoverability of the carrying amount of these redevelopment properties by comparing our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows based on the expected service potential of the redevelopment property upon completion to the carrying amount. In certain instances, we use a probability-weighted approach to determine our estimate of undiscounted future cash flows when alternative courses of action are under consideration.

Real estate investments are subject to varying degrees of risk. Several factors may adversely affect the economic performance and value of our real estate investments. These factors include:

- the general economic climate;
- competition from other apartment communities and other housing options;
- local conditions, such as loss of jobs or an increase in the supply of apartments, that might adversely affect apartment occupancy or rental rates;
- changes in governmental regulations and the related cost of compliance;
- increases in operating costs (including real estate taxes) due to inflation and other factors, which may not be offset by increased rents;

changes in tax laws and housing laws, including the enactment of rent control laws or other laws regulating multifamily housing; and
changes in interest rates and the availability of financing.

Table of Contents

Any adverse changes in these and other factors could cause an impairment of our long-lived assets, including real estate and investments in unconsolidated real estate partnerships. Based on periodic tests of recoverability of long-lived assets, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we recorded no impairment losses related to properties to be held and used, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized impairment losses of \$1.6 million and \$2.1 million related to properties to be held and used.

Other assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheet in Item 1 include \$69.0 million of goodwill related to our conventional and affordable reportable segments as of June 30, 2010. We annually evaluate impairment of intangible assets using an impairment test that compares the fair value of the reporting units with the carrying amounts, including goodwill. We performed our last impairment analysis during 2009 and concluded no impairment was necessary. We will perform our next impairment analysis during the fourth quarter of 2010 and do not anticipate recognizing an impairment of goodwill in connection with this analysis. As further discussed in Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1, we allocate goodwill to real estate properties when they are sold or classified as held for sale, based on the relative fair values of these properties and the retained properties in each reportable segment.

Notes Receivable and Interest Income Recognition

Notes receivable from unconsolidated real estate partnerships consist primarily of notes receivable from partnerships in which we are the general partner. Notes receivable from non-affiliates consist of notes receivable from unrelated third parties. The ultimate repayment of these notes is subject to a number of variables, including the performance and value of the underlying real estate and the claims of unaffiliated mortgage lenders. Our notes receivable include loans extended by us that we carry at the face amount plus accrued interest, which we refer to as par value notes, and loans extended by predecessors, some of whose positions we generally acquired at a discount, which we refer to as discounted notes.

We record interest income on par value notes as earned in accordance with the terms of the related loan agreements. We discontinue the accrual of interest on such notes when the notes are impaired, as discussed below, or when there is otherwise significant uncertainty as to the collection of interest. We record income on such nonaccrual loans using the cost recovery method, under which we apply cash receipts first to the recorded amount of the loan; thereafter, any additional receipts are recognized as income.

We recognize interest income on discounted notes receivable based upon whether the amount and timing of collections are both probable and reasonably estimable. We consider collections to be probable and reasonably estimable when the borrower has closed transactions or has entered into certain pending transactions (which include real estate sales, refinancings, foreclosures and rights offerings) that provide a reliable source of repayment. In such instances, we recognize accretion income, on a prospective basis using the effective interest method over the estimated remaining term of the loans, equal to the difference between the carrying amount of the discounted notes and the estimated collectible value. We record income on all other discounted notes using the cost recovery method. Accretion income recognized in any given period is based on our ability to complete transactions to monetize the notes receivable and the difference between the carrying value and the estimated collectible amount of the notes; therefore, accretion income varies on a period by period basis and could be lower or higher than in prior periods.

Provision for Losses on Notes Receivable

We assess the collectibility of notes receivable on a periodic basis, which assessment consists primarily of an evaluation of cash flow projections of the borrower to determine whether estimated cash flows are sufficient to repay principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the note. We recognize impairments on notes receivable when it is probable that principal and interest will not be received in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. The amount of the impairment to be recognized generally is based on the fair value of the partnership's real estate that represents the primary source of loan repayment. In certain instances where other sources of cash flow are available to repay the loan, the impairment is measured by discounting the estimated cash flows at the loan's original effective interest rate.

During the three months ended June 30, 2010, we recognized a \$0.1 million net recovery of previously recognized provision for losses on notes receivable, as compared to a \$1.5 million net provision for losses on notes receivable during the three months ended June 30, 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we recorded provisions for losses on notes receivable of \$0.3 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. We will continue to evaluate the collectibility of these notes, and we will adjust related allowances in the future due to changes in market

conditions and other factors.

Table of Contents***Capitalized Costs***

We capitalize costs, including certain indirect costs, incurred in connection with our capital additions activities, including redevelopment and construction projects, other tangible property improvements and replacements of existing property components. Included in these capitalized costs are payroll costs associated with time spent by site employees in connection with the planning, execution and control of all capital additions activities at the property level. We characterize as indirect costs an allocation of certain department costs, including payroll, at the area operations and corporate levels that clearly relate to capital additions activities. We capitalize interest, property taxes and insurance during periods in which redevelopment and construction projects are in progress. We charge to expense as incurred costs that do not relate to capital additions activities, including ordinary repairs, maintenance, resident turnover costs and general and administrative expenses.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, for continuing and discontinued operations, we capitalized \$2.6 million and \$2.0 million of interest costs, respectively, and \$6.1 million and \$11.3 million of site payroll and indirect costs, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, for continuing and discontinued operations, we capitalized \$5.4 million and \$4.3 million of interest costs, respectively, and \$12.8 million and \$25.1 million of site payroll and indirect costs, respectively. The net increase in interest capitalized from 2009 to 2010 was primarily due to our refinancing of the project financing on a large redevelopment project at a higher interest rate, partially offset by a decrease in the average number of units subject to capital projects. The decrease in the amounts of site payroll and indirect costs capitalized from 2009 to 2010 is primarily due to a reduced level of redevelopment activities.

Funds From Operations

Funds From Operations, or FFO, is a non-GAAP financial measure that we believe, when considered with the financial statements determined in accordance with GAAP, is helpful to investors in understanding our performance because it captures features particular to real estate performance by recognizing that real estate generally appreciates over time or maintains residual value to a much greater extent than do other depreciable assets such as machinery, computers or other personal property. The Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, defines FFO as net income (loss), computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains from sales of depreciable property, plus depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures are calculated to reflect FFO on the same basis. We compute FFO for all periods presented in accordance with the guidance set forth by NAREIT's April 1, 2002, White Paper, which we refer to as the White Paper. We calculate FFO attributable to Aimco common stockholders (diluted) by subtracting redemption or repurchase related preferred stock issuance costs and dividends on preferred stock and adding back dividends/distributions on dilutive preferred securities and discounts on preferred stock redemptions or repurchases. FFO should not be considered an alternative to net income or net cash flows from operating activities, as determined in accordance with GAAP, as an indication of our performance or as a measure of liquidity. FFO is not necessarily indicative of cash available for future needs. In addition, although FFO is a measure used for comparability in assessing the performance of REITs, there can be no assurance that our basis for computing FFO is comparable with that of other REITs.

In addition to FFO, we compute an alternate measure of FFO, which we refer to as Pro forma FFO, and which is FFO attributable to Aimco common stockholders (diluted), excluding operating real estate impairments and preferred stock redemption related amounts (adjusted for noncontrolling interests). Both operating real estate impairment losses and preferred stock redemption related amounts are recurring items that affect our operating results. We exclude operating real estate impairment losses, net of related income tax benefits and noncontrolling interests, from our calculation of Pro forma FFO because we believe the inclusion of such losses in FFO is inconsistent with the treatment of gains on the disposition of operating real estate, which are not included in FFO. We exclude preferred redemption related amounts (gains or losses) from our calculation of Pro forma FFO because such amounts are not representative of our operating results. Similar to FFO, we believe Pro forma FFO is helpful to investors in understanding our performance because it captures features particular to real estate performance by recognizing that real estate generally appreciates over time or maintains residual value to a much greater extent than do other depreciating assets such as machinery, computers or other personal property. Not all REITs present an alternate measure of FFO similar to our Pro forma

FFO measure and there can be no assurance our basis for calculating Pro forma FFO is comparable to those of other REITs.

Table of Contents

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, our FFO and Pro forma FFO are calculated as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders (1)	\$ (17,995)	\$ (29,921)	\$ (58,393)	\$ (67,622)
Adjustments:				
Depreciation and amortization	108,667	108,437	217,006	212,606
Depreciation and amortization related to non-real estate assets	(3,841)	(3,889)	(7,810)	(8,191)
Depreciation of rental property related to noncontrolling partners and unconsolidated entities (2)	(12,516)	(10,083)	(24,182)	(20,189)
Gain on dispositions of unconsolidated real estate and other, net of noncontrolling partners' interest	(591)	(1,787)	(1,099)	(11,717)
Discontinued operations:				
Gain on dispositions of real estate, net of noncontrolling partners' interest (2)	(22,248)	(38,953)	(39,478)	(39,127)
Depreciation of rental property, net of noncontrolling partners' interest (2)	347	16,222	1,588	33,272
Income tax expense (benefit) arising from disposals	152	4,637	(900)	4,852
Noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership's share of above adjustments	(4,865)	(5,701)	(10,102)	(13,018)
Preferred stock dividends	12,907	13,126	25,829	26,292
Preferred stock redemption related gains	(2,779)	(1,649)	(2,779)	(1,649)
Amounts allocable to participating securities	42			
FFO	\$ 57,280	\$ 50,439	\$ 99,680	\$ 115,509
Preferred stock dividends	(12,907)	(13,126)	(25,829)	(26,292)
Preferred stock redemption related gains	2,779	1,649	2,779	1,649
Amounts allocable to participating securities	(234)	(223)	(345)	(758)
FFO attributable to Aimco common stockholders diluted	\$ 46,918	\$ 38,739	\$ 76,285	\$ 90,108
Operating real estate impairment losses, net of noncontrolling partners' interest and related income tax benefit	3,701	16,465	11,910	13,706
Preferred stock redemption related gains	(2,779)	(1,649)	(2,779)	(1,649)
Noncontrolling interests in Aimco Operating Partnership's share of above adjustments	(64)	(1,123)	(636)	(915)
Amounts allocable to participating securities	(5)	(112)	(45)	(107)
Pro forma FFO attributable to Aimco common stockholders diluted	\$ 47,771	\$ 52,320	\$ 84,735	\$ 101,143

Weighted average number of common shares, common share equivalents and dilutive preferred securities

outstanding (3):				
Common shares and equivalents	116,659	115,510	116,496	115,304
Dilutive preferred securities				
Total	116,659	115,510	116,496	115,304

Notes:

- (1) Represents the numerator for calculating earnings per common share in accordance with GAAP (see Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1).
- (2) Noncontrolling partners refers to noncontrolling partners in our consolidated real estate partnerships.
- (3) Represents the denominator for earnings per common share diluted, calculated in accordance with GAAP, plus common share equivalents that are dilutive for FFO or Pro forma FFO.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity is the ability to meet present and future financial obligations. Our primary source of liquidity is cash flow from our operations. Additional sources are proceeds from property sales and proceeds from refinancings of existing property loans and borrowings under new property loans.

Table of Contents

Our principal uses for liquidity include normal operating activities, payments of principal and interest on outstanding debt, capital expenditures, dividends paid to stockholders and distributions paid to noncontrolling interest partners and acquisitions of, and investments in, properties. We use our cash and cash equivalents and our cash provided by operating activities to meet short-term liquidity needs. In the event that our cash and cash equivalents and cash provided by operating activities are not sufficient to cover our short-term liquidity demands, we have additional means, such as short-term borrowing availability and proceeds from property sales and refinancings, to help us meet our short-term liquidity demands. We may use our revolving credit facility for general corporate purposes and to fund investments on an interim basis. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements, such as debt maturities and property acquisitions, through long-term borrowings, primarily secured, the issuance of equity securities (including OP Units), the sale of properties and cash generated from operations.

The availability of credit and related effect on the overall economy may have an adverse affect on our liquidity, both through increases in interest rates and credit risk spreads, and access to financing. Currently, base interest rates are at very low levels relative to historical rates and the tightening of the credit markets is showing signs of easing. The availability of property loan financing is increasing as many lenders have reentered the market. For future refinancing activities, our liquidity and cost of funds may be affected by increases in base interest rates or higher credit risk spreads. If timely property financing options are not available for maturing debt, we may consider alternative sources of liquidity, such as reductions in certain capital spending or proceeds from asset dispositions.

As further discussed in Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, we are subject to interest rate risk associated with certain variable rate liabilities, preferred stock and assets. Based on our net variable rate liabilities, preferred stock and assets outstanding at June 30, 2010, we estimate that a 1.0 % increase in 30-day LIBOR with constant credit risk spreads would reduce our income (or increase our loss) attributable to Aimco common stockholders by approximately \$0.7 million on an annual basis.

As further discussed in Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1, we use total rate of return swaps as a financing product to lower our cost of borrowing through conversion of fixed-rate debt to variable-rates. The cost of financing through these arrangements is generally lower than the fixed rate on the debt. As of June 30, 2010, we had total rate of return swap positions with two financial institutions with notional amounts totaling \$308.0 million. Swaps with notional amounts totaling \$278.8 million and \$29.2 million have maturity dates in May 2012 and October 2012, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we received net cash receipts of \$7.1 million and \$10.8 million, respectively, under the total return swaps, which positively affected our liquidity. To the extent interest rates increase above the fixed rates on the underlying borrowings, our obligations under the total return swaps will negatively affect our liquidity.

We periodically evaluate counterparty credit risk associated with these arrangements. At the current time, we have concluded we do not have material exposure. In the event a counterparty were to default under these arrangements, loss of the net interest benefit we generally receive under these arrangements, which is equal to the difference between the fixed rate we receive and the variable rate we pay, may adversely affect our liquidity.

The total rate of return swaps require specified loan-to-value ratios. In the event the values of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements decline or if we sell properties in the collateral pool with low loan-to-value ratios, certain of our consolidated subsidiaries have an obligation to pay down the debt or provide additional collateral pursuant to the swap agreements, which may adversely affect our cash flows. The obligation to provide collateral is limited to these subsidiaries and is non-recourse to Aimco. At June 30, 2010, these subsidiaries were not required to provide cash collateral based on the loan-to-value ratios of the real estate properties serving as collateral under these agreements.

As of June 30, 2010, the amount available under our revolving credit facility was \$137.1 million (after giving effect to \$42.9 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility). Our total outstanding term loan of \$25.0 million at June 30, 2010, was set to mature in the first quarter 2011; however, during July 2010, we repaid the remaining outstanding balance.

At June 30, 2010, we had \$78.3 million in cash and cash equivalents, a decrease of \$2.9 million from December 31, 2009. At June 30, 2010, we had \$211.8 million of restricted cash, primarily consisting of reserves and escrows held by lenders for bond sinking funds, capital additions, property taxes and insurance. In addition, cash, cash equivalents and

restricted cash are held by partnerships that are not presented on a consolidated basis. The following discussion relates to changes in cash due to operating, investing and financing activities, which are presented in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in Item 1.

Table of Contents

Operating Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, our net cash provided by operating activities of \$111.0 million was primarily related to operating income from our consolidated properties, which is affected primarily by rental rates, occupancy levels and operating expenses related to our portfolio of properties, in excess of payments of operating accounts payable and accrued liabilities, including amounts related to our organizational restructuring (see Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1). Cash provided by operating activities increased \$51.6 million compared with the six months ended June 30, 2009, driven primarily by a decrease in payments on operating accounts payable and accrued expenses, including payments related to our restructuring accruals, in 2010 as compared to 2009.

Investing Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, our net cash provided by investing activities of \$56.4 million consisted primarily of proceeds from disposition of real estate and a net increase in cash from partnerships consolidated and deconsolidated in connection with our adoption of ASU 2009-17 (see Note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements in Item 1), partially offset by capital expenditures.

Although we hold all of our properties for investment, we sell properties when they do not meet our investment criteria or are located in areas that we believe do not justify our continued investment when compared to alternative uses for our capital. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we sold 23 consolidated properties for an aggregate sales price of \$185.4 million, generating proceeds totaling \$177.6 million, after the payment of transaction costs and debt prepayment penalties. The \$177.6 million in proceeds is inclusive of debt assumed by buyers. Net cash proceeds from property sales were used primarily to repay property debt and for other corporate purposes.

Capital expenditures totaled \$79.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010, and consisted primarily of capital improvements and Capital Replacements, and to a lesser extent included spending for redevelopment projects and casualties.

Financing Activities

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, net cash used in financing activities of \$170.3 million was primarily attributed to debt principal payments, dividends paid to common and preferred stockholders and distributions to noncontrolling interests. Proceeds from property loans partially offset the cash outflows.

Property Debt

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had \$5.6 billion in consolidated property debt outstanding, which included \$3.1 million and \$117.3 million, respectively, of property debt classified within liabilities related to assets held for sale. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we refinanced or closed property loans on 11 properties generating \$125.5 million of proceeds from borrowings with a weighted average interest rate of 5.54%. Our share of the net proceeds after repayment of existing debt, payment of transaction costs and distributions to limited partners, was \$72.6 million. We used these total net proceeds for capital additions and other corporate purposes. We intend to continue to refinance property debt primarily as a means of extending current and near term maturities and to finance certain capital projects.

Term Loan and Credit Facility

We have an Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement, as amended, which we refer to as the Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2010, the Credit Agreement consisted of aggregate commitments of \$205.0 million, comprised of a \$25.0 million term loan and \$180.0 million of revolving loan commitments. The term loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.5% or, at our option, a base rate equal to the prime rate, and was set to mature in March 2011; however, during July 2010, we repaid the remaining outstanding balance. Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest based on a pricing grid determined by leverage (either at LIBOR plus 4.25% with a LIBOR floor of 2.00% or, at our option, a base rate equal to the Prime rate plus a spread of 3.00%). The revolving credit facility matures May 1, 2011, and may be extended for an additional year, subject to certain conditions, including payment of a 45.0 basis point fee on the total revolving commitments.

At June 30, 2010, the term loan had an outstanding principal balance of \$25.0 million and an interest rate of 1.85%. The amount available under the revolving credit facility at June 30, 2010, was \$137.1 million (after giving effect to \$42.9 million outstanding for undrawn letters of credit issued under the revolving credit facility). The proceeds of revolving loans are generally permitted to be used to fund working capital and for other corporate purposes.

Our Credit Agreement requires us to satisfy covenant ratios of earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization to debt service and earnings to fixed charges of 1.40:1 and 1.20:1, respectively. For the twelve months ended June 30, 2010, as calculated based on the provisions in our Credit Agreement, we had a ratio of earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization to debt service of 1.57:1 and a ratio of earnings to fixed charges of 1.34:1.

Table of Contents

Equity Transactions

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we paid cash dividends or distributions totaling \$25.8 million, \$23.3 million and \$5.0 million to preferred stockholders, common stockholders and noncontrolling interests in the Aimco Operating Partnership, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we paid cash distributions of \$23.6 million to noncontrolling interests in consolidated real estate partnerships.

We and the Aimco Operating Partnership have a shelf registration statement that provides for the issuance of debt and equity securities by Aimco and debt securities by the Aimco Operating Partnership.

Future Capital Needs

We expect to fund any future acquisitions, redevelopment projects, capital improvements and capital replacements principally with proceeds from property sales (including tax-free exchange proceeds), short-term borrowings, debt and equity financing (including tax credit equity) and operating cash flows.

Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

During July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Act, was signed into federal law. The provisions of the Act include new regulations for over-the-counter derivatives and substantially increased regulation and risk of liability for credit rating agencies, all of which could increase our cost of capital. The Act also includes provisions concerning corporate governance and executive compensation which, among other things, require additional executive compensation disclosures and enhanced independence requirements for board compensation committees and related advisors, as well as provide explicit authority for the Securities and Exchange Commission to adopt proxy access, all of which could result in additional expenses in order to maintain compliance. The Act is wide-ranging, and the provisions are broad with significant discretion given to the many and varied agencies tasked with adopting and implementing the Act. The majority of the provisions of the Act do not go into effect immediately and may be adopted and implemented over many months or years. As such, we cannot predict the full impact of the Act on our financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our primary market risk exposure relates to changes in base interest rates, credit risk spreads and availability of credit. We are not subject to any other material market rate or price risks. We use predominantly long-term, fixed-rate non-recourse property debt in order to avoid the refunding and repricing risks of short-term borrowings. We use short-term debt financing and working capital primarily to fund short-term uses and acquisitions and generally expect to refinance such borrowings with cash from operating activities, property sales proceeds, long-term debt or equity financings. We use total rate-of-return swaps to obtain the benefit of variable rates on certain of our fixed-rate debt instruments. We make limited use of other derivative financial instruments and we do not use them for trading or other speculative purposes.

We had \$536.1 million of floating rate debt and \$57.0 million of floating rate preferred stock outstanding at June 30, 2010. Of the total floating rate debt, the major components were floating rate tax-exempt bond financing (\$404.9 million), floating rate secured notes (\$95.4 million) and a term loan (\$25.0 million). At June 30, 2010, we had approximately \$431.8 million in cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and notes receivable, the majority of which bear interest. The effect of our interest bearing assets would partially reduce the effect of an increase in variable interest rates. Historically, changes in tax-exempt interest rates have been at a ratio of less than 1:1 with changes in taxable interest rates. Floating rate tax-exempt bond financing is benchmarked against the SIFMA rate, which since 1990 has averaged 74% of the 30-day LIBOR rate. If the historical relationship continues, we estimate that an increase in 30-day LIBOR of 100 basis points (74 basis points for tax-exempt interest rates) with constant credit risk spreads would result in net income and net income attributable to Aimco common stockholders being reduced (or the amounts of net loss and net loss attributable to Aimco common stockholders being increased) by \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, on an annual basis.

The estimated aggregate fair value and carrying amount of our consolidated debt (including amounts reported in liabilities related to assets held for sale) was approximately \$5.9 billion and \$5.6 billion, respectively at June 30, 2010. If market rates for our fixed-rate debt were higher by 1.0% with constant credit risk spreads, the estimated fair value of our debt discussed above would decrease from \$5.9 billion to \$5.6 billion. If market rates for our debt discussed above were lower by 1.0% with constant credit risk spreads, the estimated fair value of our fixed-rate debt would

increase from \$5.9 billion to \$6.3 billion.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the second quarter of 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

As of the date of this report, there have been no material changes from the risk factors in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities.* We did not issue any shares of Common Stock for cash or in exchange common OP Units during the three months ended June 30, 2010.

(c) *Repurchases of Equity Securities.* There were no repurchases of our equity securities during the three months ended June 30, 2010. Our Board of Directors has, from time to time, authorized us to repurchase shares of our outstanding capital stock. As of June 30, 2010, we were authorized to repurchase approximately 19.3 million additional shares. This authorization has no expiration date. These repurchases may be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions.

Dividend Payments. Our Credit Agreement includes customary covenants, including a restriction on dividends and other restricted payments, but permits dividends during any 12-month period in an aggregate amount of up to 95% of our Funds From Operations, subject to certain non-cash adjustments, for such period or such amount as may be necessary to maintain our REIT status.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed with this report:

EXHIBIT NO. (1)

- | | |
|------|---|
| 3.1 | Charter (Exhibit 3.1 to Aimco's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, is incorporated herein by this reference) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws (Exhibit 3.2 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 2, 2010, is incorporated herein by this reference) |
| 10.1 | Ninth Amendment to Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of May 14, 2010, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the borrowers, the guarantors and the pledgors named therein and the lenders party thereto |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 99.1 | Agreement Regarding Disclosure of Long-Term Debt Instruments |

(1) Schedules and supplemental materials to the exhibits have been omitted but will be provided to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

APARTMENT INVESTMENT AND
MANAGEMENT COMPANY

By: /s/ ERNEST M. FREEDMAN
Ernest M. Freedman
*Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(duly authorized officer and
principal financial officer)*

By: /s/ PAUL BELDIN
Paul Beldin
*Senior Vice President and
Chief Accounting Officer*

Date: July 30, 2010

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. Exhibits
Exhibit Index

EXHIBIT NO. (1)

- | | |
|------|---|
| 3.1 | Charter (Exhibit 3.1 to Aimco's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, is incorporated herein by this reference) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws (Exhibit 3.2 to Aimco's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated February 2, 2010, is incorporated herein by this reference) |
| 10.1 | Ninth Amendment to Amended and Restated Senior Secured Credit Agreement, dated as of May 14, 2010, by and among Apartment Investment and Management Company, AIMCO Properties, L.P., and AIMCO/Bethesda Holdings, Inc., as the borrowers, the guarantors and the pledgors named therein and the lenders party thereto |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 99.1 | Agreement Regarding Disclosure of Long-Term Debt Instruments |

- (1) Schedules and supplemental materials to the exhibits have been omitted but will be provided to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.