

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC
Form 10-K
March 03, 2008

FORM 10-K

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-13100

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

56-1871668

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

3100 Smoketree Court, Suite 600

Raleigh, N.C. 27604

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

919-872-4924

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered |
|--|--|
| Common Stock, \$.01 par value | New York Stock Exchange |
| 8 5/8% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares | New York Stock Exchange |
| 8% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of shares of the Registrant's Common Stock held by non-affiliates (based upon the closing sale price on the New York Stock Exchange) on June 30, 2007 was approximately \$2.1 billion. As of December 31, 2007, there were 57,167,193 shares of Common

Stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 15, 2008 are incorporated by reference in Part II, Item 5 and Part III, Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of this Form 10-K.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| Item No. | | Page |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| | PART I | |
| 1. | Business | 3 |
| 1A. | Risk Factors | 6 |
| 1B. | Unresolved Staff Comments | 10 |
| 2. | Properties | 11 |
| 3. | Legal Proceedings | 16 |
| 4. | Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 16 |
| X. | Executive Officers of the Registrant | 17 |
| | PART II | |
| 5. | Market for Registrant's Common Stock, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 19 |
| 6. | Selected Financial Data | 21 |
| 7. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 22 |
| 7A. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 46 |
| 8. | Financial Statements | 46 |
| 9. | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 46 |
| 9A. | Controls and Procedures | 47 |
| 9B. | Other Information | 49 |
| | PART III | |
| 10. | Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 50 |
| 11. | Executive Compensation | 50 |
| 12. | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 50 |
| 13. | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 50 |
| 14. | Principal Accountant Fees and Services | 50 |
| | PART IV | |
| 15. | Exhibits | 51 |

PART I

We refer to (1) Highwoods Properties, Inc. as the Company, (2) Highwoods Realty Limited Partnership as the Operating Partnership, (3) the Company's common stock as Common Stock, (4) the Company's preferred stock as Preferred Stock, (5) the Operating Partnership's common partnership interests as Common Units, (6) the Operating Partnership's preferred partnership interests as Preferred Units and (7) in-service properties (excluding rental residential units) to which the Company and/or the Operating Partnership have title and 100.0% ownership rights as the Wholly Owned Properties.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

The Company is a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed equity real estate investment trust (REIT) that began operations through a predecessor in 1978. The Company completed its initial public offering in 1994 and its Common Stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol HIW. We are one of the largest owners and operators of suburban office, industrial and retail properties in the southeastern and midwestern United States. At December 31, 2007, we:

wholly owned 311 in-service office, industrial and retail properties, encompassing approximately 26.6 million rentable square feet, and 109 rental residential units;

owned an interest (50.0% or less) in 67 in-service office and industrial properties, encompassing approximately 7.3 million rentable square feet, and 418 rental residential units. Five of these in-service office properties are consolidated at December 31, 2007 as more fully described in Notes 1 and 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements;

wholly owned 634 acres of undeveloped land, approximately 493 acres of which are considered core holdings and which are suitable to develop approximately 7.6 million rentable square feet of office and industrial space; and

were developing or re-developing 15 wholly owned properties comprising approximately 2.2 million square feet that were under construction or were completed but had not achieved 95% stabilized occupancy and 139 for-sale condominiums (through a consolidated 93% owned joint venture).

The Company conducts virtually all of its activities through the Operating Partnership. The Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. At December 31, 2007, the Company owned all of the Preferred Units and 93.3% of the Common Units in the Operating Partnership. Limited partners (including certain officers and directors of the Company) own the remaining Common Units. Each Common Unit is redeemable by the holder for the cash value of one share of Common Stock or, at the Company's option, one share of Common Stock. Preferred Units in the Operating Partnership were issued to the Company in connection with the Company's Preferred Stock offerings that occurred in 1997 and 1998.

The Company was incorporated in Maryland in 1994. The Operating Partnership was formed in North Carolina in 1994. Our executive offices are located at 3100 Smoketree Court, Suite 600, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604 and our telephone number is (919) 872-4924. We maintain

offices in each of our primary markets.

Our business is the acquisition, development and operation of rental real estate properties. We operate office, industrial, retail and residential properties. There are no material inter-segment transactions. See Note 18 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of the rental income, net operating income and assets for each reportable segment.

In addition to this Annual Report, we file or furnish quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). All documents that we file or furnish with the SEC are made available as soon as reasonably practicable free of charge on our corporate website, which is <http://www.highwoods.com>. The information on this website is not and should not be considered part of this Annual Report and is not incorporated by reference in this document. This website is only intended to be an inactive textual reference. You may also read and copy any document that we file or furnish at the public reference facilities of the

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

SEC at 100 F. Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at (800) 732-0330 for further information about the public reference facilities. These documents also may be accessed through the SEC's electronic data gathering, analysis and retrieval system (EDGAR) via electronic means, including the SEC's home page on the Internet (<http://www.sec.gov>). In addition, since some of our securities are listed on the NYSE, you can read similar information about us at the offices of the NYSE at 20 Broad Street, New York, New York 10005.

During 2007, we filed unqualified Section 303A certifications with the NYSE. We have also filed the CEO and CFO certifications required by Sections 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 as exhibits to our 2007 Annual Report.

Customers

The following table sets forth information concerning the 20 largest customers of our Wholly Owned Properties as of December 31, 2007:

| Customer | Rental Square Feet | Annualized Cash Rental Revenue (1) (in thousands) | Percent of Total Annualized Cash Rental Revenue (1) | Weighted Average Remaining Lease Term in Years |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|---|--|
| Federal Government | 1,746,749 | \$ 31,626 | 7.62 | % 8.3 |
| AT&T | 656,905 | 12,936 | 3.12 | 2.8 |
| PricewaterhouseCoopers | 358,611 | 9,781 | 2.36 | 2.3 |
| State of Georgia | 367,986 | 7,664 | 1.85 | 2.5 |
| T-Mobile USA | 207,517 | 5,680 | 1.37 | 5.9 |
| Metropolitan Life Insurance | 262,586 | 5,022 | 1.21 | 10.0 |
| Lockton Companies | 156,255 | 4,090 | 0.99 | 7.2 |
| Volvo | 278,940 | 3,947 | 0.95 | 4.0 |
| Syniverse Technologies, Inc. | 198,750 | 3,931 | 0.95 | 8.8 |
| BB&T | 238,595 | 3,768 | 0.91 | 5.0 |
| Northern Telecom | 246,000 | 3,651 | 0.88 | 0.2 |
| Fluor Enterprises, Inc. | 205,036 | 3,625 | 0.87 | 4.1 |
| SCI Services (2) | 162,784 | 3,605 | 0.87 | 9.6 |
| US Airways | 182,775 | 3,139 | 0.76 | 3.7 |
| Jacob s Engineering Group, Inc. | 181,794 | 2,918 | 0.70 | 7.7 |
| Vanderbilt University | 144,161 | 2,825 | 0.68 | 7.8 |
| Lifepoint Corporate Services | 129,217 | 2,549 | 0.61 | 3.5 |
| Talecris Biotherapeutics | 132,624 | 2,531 | 0.61 | 4.2 |
| Icon Clinical Research | 110,909 | 2,422 | 0.58 | 5.6 |
| Wachovia | 107,487 | 2,405 | 0.58 | 2.5 |
| Total (3) | 6,075,681 | \$ 118,115 | 28.47 | % 5.6 |

(1) Annualized Cash Rental Revenue is cash rental revenue (base rent plus additional rent based on the level of operating expenses, excluding straight-line rent) for the month of December 2007 multiplied by 12.

(2) Morgan Stanley acquired SCI Services (previously known as Saxon Capital, Inc.) on December 4, 2006.

(3) Excludes customers that may lease space in joint venture properties that are consolidated but are not Wholly Owned Properties.

Operating Strategy

Efficient, Customer Service-Oriented Organization. We provide a complete line of real estate services to our customers and third parties. We believe that our in-house development, acquisition, construction management, leasing and property management services allow us to respond to the many demands of our existing and potential customer base. We provide our customers with cost-effective services such as build-to-suit construction and space modification, including tenant improvements and expansions. In addition, the breadth of our capabilities and resources provides us with market information not generally available. We believe that the operating efficiencies achieved through our fully integrated organization also provide a competitive advantage in setting our lease rates and pricing other services.

Capital Recycling Program. Our strategy has been to focus our real estate activities in markets where we believe our extensive local knowledge gives us a competitive advantage over other real estate developers and operators. Through our capital recycling program, we generally seek to:

selectively dispose of non-core properties in order to use the net proceeds to improve our balance sheet by reducing outstanding debt and Preferred Stock balances, to make new investments or for other purposes;

engage in the development of office, industrial and other real estate projects in existing or new geographic markets, primarily in suburban in-fill business parks; and

acquire selective suburban office and industrial properties in existing or new geographic markets at prices below replacement cost that offer attractive returns.

Our capital recycling activities benefit from our local market presence and knowledge. Because our division officers and staff have significant real estate experience in their respective markets, we believe that we are in a better position to evaluate capital recycling opportunities than many of our competitors. In addition, our relationships with our customers and those tenants at properties for which we conduct third-party fee-based services may lead to development projects when these tenants seek new space.

The following table summarizes the changes in square footage in our in-service Wholly Owned Properties during the past three years:

| | 2007 | | 2006 | | 2005 |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|---------------|
| | (rentable square feet in thousands) | | | | |
| Office, Industrial and Retail Properties: | | | | | |
| Dispositions | (1,172 |) | (2,982 |) | (4,641 |
| Developments Placed In-Service (1) | 930 | | 33 | | 713 |
| Redevelopment/Other | 3 | | (74 |) | (133 |
| Acquisitions | | | 70 | | |
| Net Change of In-Service Wholly Owned Properties | (239 |) | (2,953 |) | (4,061 |

(1) We consider a development project to be stabilized upon the earlier of the original projected stabilization date or the date such project is at least 95% occupied.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Conservative and Flexible Balance Sheet. We are committed to maintaining a conservative and flexible balance sheet that allows us to capitalize on favorable development and acquisition opportunities as they arise. We expect to meet our short- and long-term liquidity requirements through a combination of any one or more of:

cash flow from operating activities;

borrowings under our credit facilities;

the issuance of unsecured debt;

the issuance of secured debt;

the issuance of equity securities or partnership interests by the Company and the Operating Partnership, respectively;

the selective disposition of non-core land and other assets; and

private equity capital raised from unrelated joint venture partners involving the sale or contribution of our Wholly Owned Properties, development projects or development land.

Geographic Diversification. We do not believe that our operations are significantly dependent upon any particular geographic market. Today, including our various joint ventures, our portfolio consists primarily of office and industrial properties throughout the Southeast, retail and office properties in Kansas City, Missouri, including one significant mixed retail and office property, and office properties in Des Moines, Iowa.

Competition

Our properties compete for tenants with similar properties located in our markets primarily on the basis of location, rent, services provided and the design and condition of the facilities. We also compete with other REITs, financial institutions, pension funds, partnerships, individual investors and others when attempting to acquire, develop and operate properties.

Employees

As of December 31, 2007, we had 461 employees.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in our securities involves various risks. All investors should carefully consider the following risk factors in conjunction with the other information contained in this Annual Report before trading in our securities. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, operating results, prospects and financial condition could be harmed.

Our performance is subject to risks associated with real estate investment. We are a real estate company that derives most of our income from the ownership and operation of our properties. There are a number of factors that may adversely affect the income that our properties generate, including the following:

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Economic Downturns. Downturns in the national economy, particularly in the Southeast, generally will negatively impact the demand and rental rates for our properties.

Oversupply of Space. An oversupply of space in our markets would typically cause rental rates and occupancies to decline, making it more difficult for us to lease space at attractive rental rates.

Competitive Properties. If our properties are not as attractive to tenants (in terms of rent, services, condition or location) as properties owned by our competitors, we could lose tenants to those properties or receive lower rental rates.

Renovation Costs. In order to maintain the quality of our properties and successfully compete against other properties, we periodically must spend money to maintain, repair and renovate our properties.

Customer Risk. Our performance depends on our ability to collect rent from our customers. Our financial condition could be adversely affected by financial difficulties experienced by a major customer, or by a number of smaller customers, including bankruptcies, insolvencies or general downturns in business.

Reletting Costs. As leases expire, we try to either relet the space to the existing customer or attract a new customer to occupy the space. In either case, we may incur significant costs in the process, including potentially substantial tenant improvement expense or lease incentives. In addition, if market rents have declined since the time the expiring lease was executed, the terms of any new lease likely will not be as

favorable to us as the terms of the expiring lease, thereby reducing the rental revenue earned from that space.

Regulatory Costs. There are a number of government regulations, including zoning, tax and accessibility laws, that apply to the ownership and operation of our properties. Compliance with existing and newly adopted regulations may require us to incur significant costs on our properties.

Rising Operating Costs. Costs of operating our properties, such as real estate taxes, utilities, insurance, maintenance and other costs, can rise faster than our ability to increase rental income. While we do receive some additional rent from our tenants that is based on recovering a portion of operating expenses, generally increased operating expenses will negatively impact our net operating income. Our revenues and expense recoveries are subject to longer term leases and may not be quickly increased sufficient to recover an increase in operating costs and expenses.

Fixed Nature of Costs. Most of the costs associated with owning and operating a property are not necessarily reduced when circumstances such as market factors and competition cause a reduction in rental revenues from the property. Increases in such fixed operating expenses, such as increased real estate taxes or insurance costs, would reduce our net income.

Environmental Problems. Federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment may require a current or previous owner or operator of real property to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at the property. The clean up can be costly. The presence of or failure to clean up contamination may adversely affect our ability to sell or lease a property or to borrow funds using a property as collateral.

Competition. A number of other major real estate investors with significant capital compete with us. These competitors include publicly-traded REITs, private REITs, private real estate investors and private institutional investment funds.

Future acquisitions and development properties may fail to perform in accordance with our expectations and may require renovation and development costs exceeding our estimates. In the normal course of business, we typically evaluate potential acquisitions, enter into non-binding letters of intent, and may, at any time, enter into contracts to acquire additional properties. Our acquisition investments may fail to perform in accordance with our expectations due to lease up risk, renovation cost risks and other factors. In addition, the renovation and improvement costs we incur in bringing an acquired property up to market standards may exceed our estimates. We may not have the financial resources to make suitable acquisitions or renovations on favorable terms or at all.

In addition to acquisitions, we periodically consider developing and constructing properties. Risks associated with development and construction activities include:

the unavailability of favorable financing;

construction costs exceeding original estimates;

construction and lease-up delays resulting in increased debt service expense and construction costs; and

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

lower than anticipated occupancy rates and rents at a newly completed property causing a property to be unprofitable or less profitable than originally estimated.

If new developments are financed through construction loans, there is a risk that, upon completion of construction, permanent financing for newly developed properties will not be available or will be available only on disadvantageous terms. Development activities are also subject to risks relating to our ability to obtain, or delays in obtaining, all necessary zoning, land-use, building, occupancy and other required governmental and utility company authorizations.

Illiquidity of real estate investments and the tax effect of dispositions could significantly impede our ability to sell assets or to respond to favorable or adverse changes in the performance of our properties. Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability to promptly sell one or more properties in our

portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. In addition, we have a significant amount of mortgage debt under which we could incur significant prepayment penalties if such loans were paid off in connection with the sale of the underlying real estate assets. Such loans, even if assumed by a buyer rather than being paid off, could reduce the sale proceeds we receive if we sold such assets.

We intend to continue to sell some of our properties in the future. However, we cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any property for the price or on the terms set by us, or whether the price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a property.

Certain of our properties have low tax bases relative to their estimated current fair values, and accordingly, the sale of such assets would generate significant taxable gains unless we sold such properties in a tax-free exchange under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code or another tax-free or tax-deferred transaction. For an exchange to qualify for tax-deferred treatment under Section 1031, the net proceeds from the sale of a property must be held by an escrow agent until applied toward the purchase of real estate qualifying for gain deferral. Given the competition for properties meeting our investment criteria, there could be a delay in reinvesting such proceeds. Any delay in using the reinvestment proceeds to acquire additional income producing assets would reduce our income from operations.

In addition, the sale of certain properties acquired in the J.C. Nichols Company merger in July 1998, including assets acquired in connection with Section 1031 exchanges with properties originally acquired in the J.C. Nichols Company merger, would require us to pay corporate-level tax under Section 1374 of the Internal Revenue Code on the built-in gain relating to such properties unless we sold such properties in a tax-free exchange under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code or another tax-free or tax-deferred transaction. This tax will no longer apply after July 2008 because we will have owned the assets for 10 years or more. As a result, we may choose not to sell these properties even if management determines that such a sale would otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders. We have no current plans to dispose of any properties in a manner that would require us to pay corporate-level tax under Section 1374. However, we would consider doing so if our management determines that a sale of a property would be in our best interests based on consideration of a number of factors, including the price being offered for the property, the operating performance of the property, the tax consequences of the sale and other factors and circumstances surrounding the proposed sale.

Because holders of our Common Units, including some of our officers and directors, may suffer adverse tax consequences upon the sale of some of our properties, it is possible that we may sometimes make decisions that are not in your best interest. Holders of Common Units may suffer adverse tax consequences upon our sale of certain properties. Therefore, holders of Common Units, including certain of our officers and directors, may have different objectives than our stockholders regarding the appropriate pricing and timing of a property's sale. Although we are the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and have the exclusive authority to sell all of our individual Wholly Owned Properties, officers and directors who hold Common Units may seek to influence us not to sell certain properties even if such sale might be financially advantageous to stockholders or influence us to enter into tax deferred exchanges with the proceeds of such sales when such a reinvestment might not otherwise be financially advantageous to stockholders.

The success of our joint venture activity depends upon our ability to work effectively with financially sound partners. Instead of owning properties directly, we have in some cases invested, and may continue to invest, as a partner or a co-venturer with one or more third parties. Under certain circumstances, this type of investment may involve risks not otherwise present, including the possibility that a partner or co-venturer might become bankrupt or that a partner or co-venturer might have business interests or goals inconsistent with ours. Also, such a partner or co-venturer may take action contrary to our requests or contrary to provisions in our joint venture agreements that could harm us.

In addition, some of our joint ventures are managed on a day to day basis by our partners, and we have only limited influence on their operating decisions. The success of our investments in those joint ventures is heavily dependent on the operating and financial expertise of our partners.

If we want to sell our interests in any of our joint ventures or believe that the properties in the joint venture should be sold, we may not be able to do so in a timely manner or at all, and our partner(s) may not cooperate with our desires, which could harm us.

Our insurance coverage on our properties may be inadequate. We carry comprehensive insurance on all of our properties, including insurance for liability, fire, windstorms, flood, earthquakes and business interruption. Insurance companies, however, limit coverage against certain types of losses, such as losses due to terrorist acts, named windstorms, earthquakes and toxic mold. Thus, we may not have insurance coverage, or sufficient insurance coverage, against certain types of losses and/or there may be decreases in the insurance coverage available. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of our insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property or properties, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the property or properties. If any of our properties were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could disrupt our operations, delay revenue and result in large expenses to repair or rebuild the property. Such events could adversely affect our financial condition. Our existing property, casualty and liability insurance policies are scheduled to expire on June 30, 2008.

Our use of debt to finance our operations could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and ability to make distributions. We are subject to risks normally associated with debt financing, such as the sufficiency of cash flow to meet required payment obligations, ability to comply with financial ratios and other covenants and the availability of capital to refinance existing indebtedness or fund important business initiatives. Increases in interest rates on our variable rate debt would increase our interest expense. If we fail to comply with the financial ratios and other covenants under our credit facilities, we would likely not be able to borrow any further amounts under such facilities, which could adversely affect our ability to fund our operations, and our lenders could accelerate outstanding debt.

We generally do not intend to reserve funds to retire existing secured or unsecured debt upon maturity. We may not be able to repay, refinance or extend any or all of our debt at maturity or upon any acceleration. If any refinancing is done at higher interest rates, the increased interest expense could adversely affect our cash flow and ability to pay dividends to stockholders. Any such refinancing could also impose tighter financial ratios and other covenants that restrict our ability to take actions that could otherwise be in our stockholders' best interest, such as funding new development activity, making opportunistic acquisitions, repurchasing our securities or paying dividends. If we do not meet our mortgage financing obligations, any properties securing such indebtedness could be foreclosed on, which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and ability to pay dividends.

We may be subject to taxation as a regular corporation if we fail to maintain our REIT status. Our failure to qualify as a REIT for income tax purposes would have serious adverse consequences to our stockholders. Many of the requirements for taxation as a REIT are highly technical and complex and depend upon various factual matters and circumstances that may not be entirely within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 95.0% of our gross income must come from certain sources that are itemized in the REIT tax laws. We are also required to pay dividends to stockholders equal to at least 90.0% of our annual REIT taxable income, excluding capital gains. The fact that we hold virtually all of our assets through the Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries further complicates the application of the REIT requirements. Even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize our REIT status. Furthermore, Congress and the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) might change the tax laws and regulations and the courts might issue new rulings that make it more difficult, or impossible, for us to remain qualified as a REIT. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we would be subject to federal and state income taxes at regular corporate rates. Also, unless the IRS granted us relief under certain statutory provisions, we would remain disqualified as a REIT for four years following the year we first failed to qualify. If we failed to qualify as a REIT, we would have to pay significant income taxes and would, therefore, have less cash available for investments or to pay dividends to stockholders. This would likely have a significant adverse effect on the value of our securities. In addition, if we lost our REIT status, we would no longer be required to pay dividends to stockholders.

Because provisions contained in Maryland law, our charter and our bylaws may have an anti-takeover effect, investors may be prevented from receiving a control premium for their shares. Provisions contained in our charter and bylaws as well as Maryland general corporation law may have anti-takeover effects that delay, defer or prevent a takeover attempt, and thereby prevent stockholders from receiving a control premium for their shares. For example, these provisions may defer or prevent tender offers for our Common Stock or purchases of large blocks of our Common Stock, thus limiting the opportunities for our stockholders to receive a premium for their Common Stock over then-prevailing market prices. These provisions include the following:

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Ownership limit. Our charter prohibits direct, indirect or constructive ownership by any person or entity of more than 9.8% of our outstanding capital stock. Any attempt to own or transfer shares of our capital stock in excess of the ownership limit without the consent of our Board of Directors will be void.

Preferred Stock. Our charter authorizes our Board of Directors to issue Preferred Stock in one or more classes and to establish the preferences and rights of any class of Preferred Stock issued. These actions can be taken without stockholder approval. The issuance of Preferred Stock could have the effect of delaying or preventing someone from taking control of us, even if a change in control were in our stockholders' best interest.

Staggered board. Our Board of Directors is divided into three classes. As a result, each director generally serves for a three-year term. This staggering of our Board may discourage offers for us or make an acquisition of us more difficult, even when an acquisition is in the best interest of our stockholders.

Maryland control share acquisition statute. Maryland's control share acquisition statute applies to us, which means that persons, entities or related groups that acquire more than 20% of our Common Stock may not be able to vote such excess shares under certain circumstances if such shares were acquired in one or more transactions not approved by at least two-thirds of our outstanding Common Stock held by disinterested stockholders.

Maryland unsolicited takeover statute. Under Maryland law, our Board of Directors could adopt various anti-takeover provisions without the consent of stockholders. The adoption of such measures could discourage offers for us or make an acquisition of us more difficult, even when an acquisition is in the best interest of our stockholders.

Anti-takeover protections of Operating Partnership agreement. Upon a change in control of the Company, the limited partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership requires certain acquirers to maintain an umbrella partnership real estate investment trust (UPREIT) structure with terms at least as favorable to the limited partners as are currently in place. For instance, the acquirer would be required to preserve the limited partner's right to continue to hold tax-deferred partnership interests that are redeemable for capital stock of the acquirer. Exceptions would require the approval of two-thirds of the limited partners of the Operating Partnership (other than the Company). These provisions may make a change of control transaction involving the Company more complicated and therefore might decrease the likelihood of such a transaction occurring, even if such a transaction would be in the best interest of the Company's stockholders.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

10

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**Wholly Owned Properties**

As of December 31, 2007, we owned all of the ownership interests in 311 in-service office, industrial and retail properties, encompassing approximately 26.6 million rentable square feet, and 109 rental residential units. The following table sets forth information about our Wholly Owned Properties (including properties classified as held for sale) and our development properties as of December 31, 2007 and 2006:

| | December 31, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|
| | Rentable Square Feet | Percent Leased/ Pre-Leased | Rentable Square Feet | Percent Leased/ Pre-Leased | |
| In-Service: | | | | | |
| Office (1) | 19,260,000 | 91.1 | % 19,244,000 | 89.0 | % |
| Industrial | 6,036,000 | 94.2 | 6,281,000 | 91.7 | |
| Retail (2) | 1,317,000 | 94.9 | 1,327,000 | 95.7 | |
| Total or Weighted Average | 26,613,000 | (3) 92.0 | % 26,852,000 | (3) 90.0 | % |
| Development: | | | | | |
| Completed Not Stabilized⁴ | | | | | |
| Office (1) | 607,000 | 75.9 | % 504,000 | 62.8 | % |
| Industrial | 681,000 | 78.2 | 418,000 | 44.0 | |
| Total or Weighted Average | 1,288,000 | 77.1 | % 922,000 | 54.3 | % |
| In Process (7) | | | | | |
| Office (1) | 887,000 | 59.9 | % 1,357,000 | 55.3 | % |
| Industrial | | | 383,000 | | |
| Retail | 30,000 | 100.0 | | | |
| For Sale Residential (5) | 139 units | | | | |
| Total or Weighted Average | 917,000 | 61.2 | % 1,740,000 | 43.1 | % |
| Total: | | | | | |
| Office (1) | 20,754,000 | | 21,105,000 | | |
| Industrial | 6,717,000 | | 7,082,000 | | |
| Retail (2) | 1,347,000 | | 1,327,000 | | |
| Total or Weighted Average (3), (5), (6) | 28,818,000 | | 29,514,000 | | |

(1) Substantially all of our office properties are located in suburban markets.

(2) Excludes 428,000 square feet of basement space in the Country Club Plaza and other Kansas City retail properties.

(3) Rentable square feet excludes the 109 residential units.

(4) We consider a development project to be stabilized upon the earlier of the original projected stabilization date or the date such project is at least 95% occupied.

(5) In January 2007, we executed a joint venture agreement for this development. We now have a 93% interest and we consolidate this joint venture. Because of the 93% ownership level, we include this consolidated residential development in the above table of Wholly Owned Properties. As of December 31, 2007, binding sale contracts had been executed for all 139 residential condominiums. \$3.9 million of deposits related to these contracts, which are non-refundable unless we default in our obligation to deliver the units, had been received. These residential units are not part of the In-Process total or weighted average for square feet and pre-leasing percentage.

(6) Excludes 618,000 square feet of office properties from the following joint venture properties that are consolidated but are not Wholly Owned Properties: (1) one office property that was sold to SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP, a 20% owned joint venture, but which is

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

accounted for as a financing under SFAS No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate (SFAS No. 66), and thus remains consolidated as described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, and (2) four office properties owned by Highwoods-Markel Associates, LLC, a 50% owned joint venture, which is consolidated beginning January 1, 2006, as described in Notes 1 and 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

- (7) We consider development properties held by the 93% owned consolidated joint venture with Real Estate Exchange Services (REES), as described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, to be Wholly Owned Properties because it is probable that we will exercise our purchase option in the future and own 100% of the properties.

The following table sets forth geographic and other information about our in service Wholly Owned Properties at December 31, 2007:

| Market | Rentable | | Percentage of Annualized Cash Rental Revenue (1) | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|-------------|--|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------|
| | Square Feet | Occupancy | Office | Industrial | Retail | Total | |
| Raleigh, NC (2) | 3,554,000 | 91.5 | % 15.4 | % | | 15.4 | % |
| Atlanta, GA | 5,289,000 | 93.0 | 9.7 | 3.8 | % | 13.5 | |
| Kansas City, MO (3) | 2,215,000 | 89.4 | 4.4 | | 9.5 | % 13.9 | |
| Tampa, FL | 2,418,000 | 95.0 | 13.5 | | | 13.5 | |
| Nashville, TN | 3,184,000 | 95.1 | 13.3 | | | 13.3 | |
| Piedmont Triad, NC (4) | 5,328,000 | 89.3 | 6.8 | 3.9 | | 10.7 | |
| Richmond, VA | 2,134,000 | 92.5 | 8.9 | | | 8.9 | |
| Memphis, TN | 1,276,000 | 94.9 | 5.8 | | | 5.8 | |
| Greenville, SC | 897,000 | 85.6 | 3.3 | | | 3.3 | |
| Orlando, FL | 218,000 | 100.0 | 1.3 | | | 1.3 | |
| Other | 100,000 | 69.6 | 0.4 | | | 0.4 | |
| Total (5) | 26,613,000 | 92.0 | % 82.8 | % 7.7 | % 9.5 | % 100.0 | % |

- (1) Annualized Cash Rental Revenue is cash rental revenue (base rent plus additional rent based on the level of operating expenses, excluding straight-line rent) for the month of December 2007 multiplied by 12.
- (2) The Raleigh market encompasses the Raleigh, Durham, Cary and Research Triangle metropolitan area.
- (3) Excludes 428,000 square feet of basement space in the Country Club Plaza and other Kansas City retail properties.
- (4) The Piedmont Triad market encompasses the Greensboro and Winston-Salem metropolitan area.
- (5) Excludes 618,000 square feet of office properties from the following joint venture properties that are consolidated but are not Wholly Owned Properties: (1) one office property that was sold to SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP, a 20% owned joint venture, but which is accounted for as a financing under SFAS No. 66 and thus remains consolidated as described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, and (2) four office properties owned by Highwoods-Markel Associates, LLC, a 50% owned joint venture, which is consolidated beginning January 1, 2006, as described in Notes 1 and 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Development Land

We wholly owned 634 acres of development land as of December 31, 2007. We estimate that we can develop approximately 7.6 million square feet of office and industrial space on the approximately 493 acres that we consider core long term holdings for our future development needs. Our development land is zoned and available for office and industrial development, and nearly all of the land has utility infrastructure in place. We believe that our commercially zoned and unencumbered land in existing business parks gives us a development advantage over other commercial real estate development companies in many of our markets. Any future development, however, is dependent on the demand for office and industrial space in the area, the availability of favorable financing and other factors, and no assurance can be given that any construction will take place on the development land. In addition, if construction is undertaken on the development land, we will be subject to the risks associated with construction activities, including the risks that occupancy rates and rents at a newly completed property may not be sufficient to make the property profitable, construction costs may exceed original estimates and construction and lease-up may not be completed on schedule, resulting in increased debt service expense and construction expense. We may also develop properties other than office and industrial on certain parcels with unrelated joint venture partners. We consider approximately 141 acres of our development land at December 31, 2007 to be non-core assets because this land is not necessary for our foreseeable future development needs. We are actively working to dispose of such non-core development land through sales to other parties or contributions to joint ventures. Approximately 40 acres with a net book value of \$10.5 million are under contract to be sold and are included in Real estate and other assets, net, held for sale in our Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007.

Other Properties

As of December 31, 2007, we owned an interest (50.0% or less) in 67 in-service properties. These properties include primarily office and industrial buildings encompassing approximately 7.3 million rentable square feet and 418 rental residential units. The following table sets forth information about the stabilized in-service joint venture properties by segment and by geographic location at December 31, 2007:

| Market | Rentable | | Percentage of Annualized Cash Rental Revenue (1) | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|-------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------|--|
| | Square Feet | Occupancy | Office | Industrial | Retail | Multi-Family | Total | | |
| Des Moines, IA | 2,505,000 | (2) 92.3 | % (3) 28.7 | % 4.3 | % 1.0 | % 3.3 | % 37.3 | % | |
| Orlando, FL | 1,853,000 | 91.2 | 29.1 | | | | 29.1 | | |
| Atlanta, GA | 835,000 | 97.2 | 12.1 | | | | 12.1 | | |
| Kansas City, MO | 714,000 | 83.0 | 8.2 | | | | 8.2 | | |
| Richmond, VA (4) | 413,000 | 100.0 | 5.0 | | | | 5.0 | | |
| Piedmont Triad, NC (5) | 364,000 | 96.9 | 3.4 | | | | 3.4 | | |
| Tampa, FL (6) | 205,000 | 98.8 | 2.0 | | | | 2.0 | | |
| Raleigh, NC (7) | 178,000 | 100.0 | 1.6 | | | | 1.6 | | |
| Charlotte, NC | 148,000 | 100.0 | 0.8 | | | | 0.8 | | |
| Other | 110,000 | 100.0 | 0.5 | | | | 0.5 | | |
| Total | 7,325,000 | 93.0 | % 91.4 | % 4.3 | % 1.0 | % 3.3 | % 100.0 | % | |

(1) Annualized Cash Rental Revenue is cash rental revenue (base rent plus additional rent based on the level of operating expenses, excluding straight-line rent) for the month of December 2007 multiplied by 12.

(2) Excludes 418 residential units.

(3) Excludes residential occupancy percentage of 95.1%.

(4) We own a 50.0% interest in this joint venture (Highwoods-Markel Associates, LLC) which is consolidated (see Notes 1 and 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

(5) The Piedmont Triad market encompasses the Greensboro and Winston-Salem metropolitan area.

(6) We own a 20.0% interest in this joint venture (SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP) which is consolidated (see Notes 1 and 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

(7) The Raleigh market encompasses the Raleigh, Durham, Cary and Research Triangle metropolitan area.

Lease Expirations

The following tables set forth scheduled lease expirations for existing leases at our in-service and completed not stabilized Wholly Owned Properties (excluding residential units) as of December 31, 2007. The tables include (1) expirations of leases in properties that are completed but not yet stabilized and (2) the effects of any early renewals exercised by tenants as of December 31, 2007.

Office Properties (1):

| | Rentable Square Feet Subject to Expiring Leases | Percentage of Leased Square Footage Represented by Expiring Leases | Annualized Cash Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (2) (\$ in thousands) | Average Annual Rental Rate Per Square Foot for Expirations | Percent of Annualized Cash Rental Revenue Represented by Expiring Leases (2) | |
|------------|--|---|---|---|---|----------|
| 2008 (3) | 1,880,418 | 10.6 | % \$ 36,172 | \$ 19.24 | 10.6 | % |
| 2009 | 2,286,375 | 12.9 | 45,364 | 19.84 | 13.3 | |
| 2010 | 2,459,056 | 13.8 | 51,751 | 21.05 | 15.3 | |
| 2011 | 2,623,730 | 14.7 | 50,524 | 19.26 | 14.8 | |
| 2012 | 2,284,521 | 12.8 | 46,373 | 20.30 | 13.6 | |
| 2013 | 1,316,694 | 7.4 | 24,703 | 18.76 | 7.3 | |
| 2014 | 1,320,510 | 7.4 | 22,129 | 16.76 | 6.5 | |
| 2015 | 962,435 | 5.4 | 19,303 | 20.06 | 5.7 | |
| 2016 | 740,354 | 4.2 | 13,734 | 18.55 | 4.0 | |
| 2017 | 934,673 | 5.3 | 17,957 | 19.21 | 5.3 | |
| Thereafter | 979,698 | 5.5 | 12,380 | 12.64 | 3.6 | |
| | 17,788,464 | 100.0 | % \$ 340,390 | \$ 19.14 | 100.0 | % |

Industrial Properties:

| | Rentable Square Feet Subject to Expiring Leases | Percentage of Leased Square Footage Represented by Expiring Leases | Annualized Cash Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (2) (\$ in thousands) | Average Annual Rental Rate Per Square Foot for Expirations | Percent of Annualized Cash Rental Revenue Represented by Expiring Leases (2) | |
|------------|--|---|---|---|---|----------|
| 2008 (4) | 632,195 | 10.2 | % \$ 3,463 | \$ 5.48 | 11.0 | % |
| 2009 | 1,114,535 | 17.8 | 5,883 | 5.28 | 18.7 | |
| 2010 | 834,512 | 13.4 | 4,376 | 5.24 | 13.9 | |
| 2011 | 1,061,883 | 17.1 | 5,285 | 4.98 | 16.7 | |
| 2012 | 427,636 | 6.9 | 2,462 | 5.76 | 7.8 | |
| 2013 | 442,636 | 7.1 | 2,480 | 5.60 | 7.9 | |
| 2014 | 414,465 | 6.7 | 1,786 | 4.31 | 5.7 | |
| 2015 | 271,382 | 4.4 | 983 | 3.62 | 3.1 | |
| 2016 | 264,597 | 4.3 | 991 | 3.75 | 3.1 | |
| 2017 | 149,600 | 2.4 | 722 | 4.83 | 2.3 | |
| Thereafter | 604,640 | 9.7 | 3,103 | 5.13 | 9.8 | |
| | 6,218,081 | 100.0 | % \$ 31,534 | \$ 5.07 | 100.0 | % |

(1)

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Excludes the following joint venture properties that are consolidated but are not Wholly Owned Properties: (1) one office property that was sold to SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP, a 20% owned joint venture, but which is accounted for as a financing under SFAS No. 66 and thus remains consolidated as described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and (2) four office properties owned by Highwoods-Markel Associates, LLC, a 50% owned joint venture, which is consolidated beginning January 1, 2006, as described in Notes 1 and 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

- (2) Annualized Cash Rental Revenue is cash rental revenue (base rent plus additional rent based on the level of operating expenses, excluding straight-line rent) for the month of December 2007 multiplied by 12.
- (3) Includes 53,000 square feet of leases that are on a month-to-month basis, which represent 0.3% of total annualized cash rental revenue.
- (4) Includes 61,000 square feet of leases that are on a month-to-month basis, which represent less than 0.1% of total annualized cash rental revenue.

Retail Properties:

| Lease Expiring | Rentable Square Feet Subject to Expiring Leases | Percentage | Annualized | Average | Percent of | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|----------|
| | | of Leased Square Footage Represented by Expiring Leases | Cash Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (1) (\$ in thousands) | Annual Rental Rate Per Square Foot for Expirations | Annualized Cash Rental Revenue Represented by Expiring Leases (1) | |
| 2008 (2) | 108,599 | 8.7 | % \$ 2,693 | \$ 24.80 | 6.9 | % |
| 2009 | 130,487 | 10.4 | 4,113 | 31.52 | 10.5 | |
| 2010 | 96,255 | 7.7 | 3,765 | 39.11 | 9.6 | |
| 2011 | 58,702 | 4.7 | 1,895 | 32.28 | 4.9 | |
| 2012 | 165,979 | 13.3 | 5,042 | 30.38 | 12.9 | |
| 2013 | 69,296 | 5.5 | 2,601 | 37.53 | 6.7 | |
| 2014 | 97,976 | 7.8 | 2,218 | 22.64 | 5.7 | |
| 2015 | 147,338 | 11.8 | 4,556 | 30.92 | 11.7 | |
| 2016 | 65,526 | 5.2 | 2,639 | 40.27 | 6.8 | |
| 2017 | 110,420 | 8.8 | 2,782 | 25.19 | 7.1 | |
| Thereafter | 200,336 | 16.1 | 6,730 | 33.59 | 17.2 | |
| | 1,250,914 | 100.0 | % \$ 39,034 | \$ 31.20 | 100.0 | % |

Total (3):

| Lease Expiring | Rentable Square Feet Subject to Expiring Leases | Percentage | Annualized | Average | Percent of | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|----------|
| | | of Leased Square Footage Represented by Expiring Leases | Cash Rental Revenue Under Expiring Leases (1) (\$ in thousands) | Annual Rental Rate Per Square Foot for Expirations | Annualized Cash Rental Revenue Represented by Expiring Leases (1) | |
| 2008 (4) | 2,621,212 | 10.4 | % \$ 42,328 | \$ 16.15 | 10.3 | % |
| 2009 | 3,531,397 | 14.0 | 55,360 | 15.68 | 13.5 | |
| 2010 | 3,389,823 | 13.4 | 59,892 | 17.67 | 14.7 | |
| 2011 | 3,744,315 | 14.8 | 57,704 | 15.41 | 14.0 | |
| 2012 | 2,878,136 | 11.4 | 53,877 | 18.72 | 13.1 | |
| 2013 | 1,828,626 | 7.2 | 29,784 | 16.29 | 7.2 | |
| 2014 | 1,832,951 | 7.3 | 26,133 | 14.26 | 6.4 | |
| 2015 | 1,381,155 | 5.5 | 24,842 | 17.99 | 6.0 | |
| 2016 | 1,070,477 | 4.2 | 17,364 | 16.22 | 4.2 | |
| 2017 | 1,194,693 | 4.7 | 21,461 | 17.96 | 5.2 | |
| Thereafter | 1,784,674 | 7.1 | 22,213 | 12.45 | 5.4 | |
| | 25,257,459 | 100.0 | % \$ 410,958 | \$ 16.27 | 100.0 | % |

(1) Annualized Cash Rental Revenue is cash rental revenue (base rent plus additional rent based on the level of operating expenses, excluding straight-line rent) for the month of December 2007 multiplied by 12.

(2) Includes 13,000 square feet of leases that are on a month-to-month basis, which represent 0.1% of total annualized cash rental revenue.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

- (3) Excludes the following joint venture properties that are consolidated but are not Wholly Owned Properties: (1) one office property that was sold to SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP, a 20% owned joint venture, but which is accounted for as a financing under SFAS No. 66 and thus remains consolidated as described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and (2) four office properties owned by Highwoods-Markel Associates, LLC, a 50% owned joint venture, which is consolidated beginning January 1, 2006, as described in Notes 1 and 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (4) Includes 127,000 square feet of leases that are on a month-to-month basis, which represent 0.4% of total annualized cash rental revenue.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are from time to time a party to a variety of legal proceedings, claims and assessments arising in the ordinary course of our business. We regularly assess the liabilities and contingencies in connection with these matters based on the latest information available. For those matters where it is probable that we have incurred or will incur a loss and the loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated, the estimated loss is accrued and charged to income in the Consolidated Financial Statements. In other instances, because of the uncertainties related to both the probable outcome and amount or range of loss, a reasonable estimate of liability, if any, cannot be made. Based on the current expected outcome of such matters, none of these proceedings, claims or assessments is expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In 2006 and March 2007, we received assessments for state excise taxes and related interest amounting to approximately \$5.5 million, related to periods 2002 through 2005. In the fourth quarter of 2006, approximately \$0.5 million was accrued and charged to operating expenses in anticipation of a probable settlement of these claims. We received an executed settlement agreement relating to these claims in October 2007, which resulted in no change to the amount previously accrued and paid. Legal fees related to this matter were nominal and were charged to operating expenses as incurred in 2006 and 2007.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

ITEM X. EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The following table sets forth information with respect to our executive officers:

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Age</u> | <u>Position and Background</u> |
|-------------------|------------|--|
| Edward J. Fritsch | 49 | Director, President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Fritsch became our chief executive officer and chair of the investment committee of our board of directors on July 1, 2004 and our president in December 2003. Prior to that, Mr. Fritsch was our chief operating officer from January 1998 to July 2004 and was a vice president and secretary from June 1994 to January 1998. Mr. Fritsch joined our predecessor in 1982 and was a partner of that entity at the time of our initial public offering in June 1994. Mr. Fritsch is chairman of the University of North Carolina's Board of Visitors and also serves on the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, the Board of Trustees of St. Timothy's Episcopal School and the Board of Directors of the Triangle Chapter of the YMCA. |
| Michael E. Harris | 58 | Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Harris became chief operating officer in July 2004. Prior to that, Mr. Harris was a senior vice president and was responsible for our operations in Memphis, Nashville, Kansas City and Charlotte. Mr. Harris was executive vice president of Crocker Realty Trust prior to its merger with us in 1996. Before joining Crocker Realty Trust, Mr. Harris served as senior vice president, general counsel and chief financial officer of Towermarc Corporation, a privately owned real estate development firm. Mr. Harris is a member of the Advisory Board of Directors of SouthTrust Bank of Memphis and Allen & Hoshall, Inc. |
| Terry L. Stevens | 59 | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Prior to joining us in December 2003, Mr. Stevens was executive vice president, chief financial officer and trustee for Crown American Realty Trust, a public REIT. Before joining Crown American Realty Trust, Mr. Stevens was director of financial systems development at AlliedSignal, Inc., a large multi-national manufacturer. Mr. Stevens was also an audit partner with Price Waterhouse for approximately seven years. Mr. Stevens currently serves as trustee, chairman of the Audit Committee and member of the Investment and Finance Committee of First Potomac Realty Trust, a public REIT. Mr. Stevens is a member of the American and the Pennsylvania Institutes of Certified Public Accountants. |
| Gene H. Anderson | 62 | Director, Senior Vice President and Regional Manager. Mr. Anderson has been a senior vice president since our combination with Anderson Properties, Inc. in February 1997, and in July 2006 became Executive Vice President of Highwoods Development, LLC, a taxable subsidiary of the Company formed to pursue the development of office and industrial properties for existing customers in core and non-core markets. Additionally, Mr. Anderson oversees our Atlanta and Triad operations. Mr. Anderson served as president of Anderson Properties, Inc. from 1978 to February 1997. Mr. Anderson was past president of the Georgia chapter of the National Association of Industrial and Office Properties and is a national board member of the National Association of Industrial and Office Properties. |

| <u>Name</u> | <u>Age</u> | <u>Position and Background</u> |
|-------------------|------------|--|
| Michael F. Beale | 54 | Senior Vice President and Regional Manager. Mr. Beale manages our Orlando and oversees our Tampa operations. Prior to joining us in 2000, Mr. Beale served as vice president of Koger Equity, Inc., where he was responsible for Koger's acquisitions and developments throughout the Southeast. Mr. Beale is currently the president of the Central Florida Chapter of the National Association of Industrial and Office Properties and also serves on various committees for the Mid-Florida Economic Development Commission. |
| Jeffrey D. Miller | 37 | Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Prior to joining us in March 2007, Mr. Miller was a partner with DLA Piper US, LLP, where he practiced since 2005. Previously, he was a partner with Alston & Bird LLP, where he practiced from 1997. He is admitted to practice in North Carolina. Mr. Miller currently serves as director, chairman of the compensation and governance committee and member of the audit committee of Hatteras Financial Corp., a mortgage REIT. |
| W. Brian Reames | 44 | Senior Vice President and Regional Manager. Mr. Reames became senior vice president and regional manager in August 2004. Mr. Reames manages our Nashville and oversees our Memphis, Greenville and Columbia operations. Prior to that, Mr. Reames was vice president responsible for the Nashville division, a position he held since 1999. Mr. Reames was a partner and owner at Eakin & Smith, Inc., a Nashville-based office real estate firm, from 1989 until its merger with us in 1996. Mr. Reames is a past Nashville chapter President of the National Association of Industrial and Office Properties. He is currently serving on the Board of Directors of H.G. Hill Realty and the Nashville Zoo and as President of the Board of Trustees at Harding Academy in Nashville, Tennessee. |

PART II**ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our Common Stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol HIW. The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low stock prices per share reported on the NYSE for the quarters indicated and the dividends paid per share during such quarter.

| Quarter Ended | 2007 | | | 2006 | | |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | High | Low | Dividend | High | Low | Dividend |
| March 31 | \$ 46.95 | \$ 37.99 | \$ 0.425 | \$ 34.77 | \$ 29.20 | \$ 0.425 |
| June 30 | 43.84 | 37.50 | 0.425 | 36.18 | 29.56 | 0.425 |
| September 30 | 39.01 | 32.09 | 0.425 | 38.15 | 35.39 | 0.425 |
| December 31 | 38.26 | 28.89 | 0.425 | 41.31 | 36.40 | 0.425 |

On December 31, 2007, the last reported stock price of our Common Stock on the NYSE was \$29.38 per share and we had 1,179 common stockholders of record.

The following stock price performance graph compares the performance of our Common Stock to the S&P 500, the Russell 2000 and the FTSE NAREIT Equity REIT Index. The stock price performance graph assumes an investment of \$100 in our Common Stock and the three indices on December 31, 2002 and further assumes the reinvestment of all dividends. Equity REITs are defined as those that derive more than 75.0% of their income from equity investments in real estate assets. The FTSE NAREIT Equity REIT Index includes all REITs listed on the NYSE, the American Stock Exchange or the NASDAQ National Market System. Stock price performance is not necessarily indicative of future results.

| Index | Period Ending | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 12/31/02 | 12/31/03 | 12/31/04 | 12/31/05 | 12/31/06 | 12/31/07 |
| Highwoods Properties, Inc. | 100.0 | 124.86 | 145.91 | 159.30 | 239.82 | 180.67 |
| S&P 500 | 100.0 | 128.68 | 142.69 | 149.70 | 173.34 | 182.86 |
| Russell 2000 | 100.0 | 147.25 | 174.24 | 182.18 | 215.64 | 212.26 |
| FTSE NAREIT Equity REIT Index | 100.0 | 137.13 | 180.44 | 202.38 | 273.34 | 230.45 |

The performance graph is being furnished as part of this Annual Report solely in accordance with the requirement under Rule 14a-3(b)(9) to furnish our stockholders with such information and, therefore, is not deemed to be filed, or incorporated by reference in any filing, by the Company or the Operating Partnership under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

We intend to continue to pay quarterly dividends to holders of shares of Common Stock and make distributions to holders of Common Units. Future dividends and distributions will be at the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend on our actual funds from operations, our financial condition, capital requirements, the annual dividend requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and such other factors as the Board of Directors deems relevant. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Stockholder Dividends.

During 2007, cash dividends on Common Stock totaled \$1.70 per share, \$0.115 of which represented return of capital and \$0.826 represented capital gains for income tax purposes. The minimum dividend per share of Common Stock required for us to maintain our REIT status (excluding any net capital gains) was \$0.54 per share in 2007.

We issued 938 shares of Common Stock on December 27, 2007 upon the exercise of a like number of stock options at a purchase price of \$27.00 per share and 256 shares of Common Stock on December 28, 2007 upon the exchange of 2,750 stock options at a purchase price of \$27.00 per share. All of such stock options were scheduled to expire on January 25, 2008. Such shares were issued to directors in private transactions pursuant to Sections 3(a)(9) and 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

We have a Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan under which holders of Common Stock may elect to automatically reinvest their dividends in additional shares of Common Stock and may make optional cash payments for additional shares of Common Stock. The administrator of the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan has been instructed by us to purchase Common Stock in the open market for purposes of satisfying our obligations thereunder. However, we may in the future elect to satisfy such obligations by issuing additional shares of Common Stock.

We have an Employee Stock Purchase Plan for all active employees, under which participants may contribute up to 25.0% of their compensation for the purchase of Common Stock. Generally, at the end of each three-month offering period, each participant's account balance is applied to acquire newly issued shares of Common Stock at a cost that is calculated at 85.0% of the lower of the average closing price on the NYSE on the five consecutive days preceding the first day of the quarter or the five days preceding the last day of the quarter.

Information about our equity compensation plans and other related stockholder matters is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 15, 2008.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following selected financial data as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 is derived from our audited Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere herein. The selected financial data as of December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 and for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2004 is derived from previously issued financial statements and, as required by SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, (SFAS No. 144), results and balance sheet data for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, 2004 and 2003 were reclassified from previously reported amounts to reflect in discontinued operations the operations for those properties sold or held for sale in 2007 which qualified for discontinued operations presentation. The information in the following table should be read in conjunction with our audited Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included herein (\$ in thousands, except per share data):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Rental and other revenues | \$ 437,059 | \$ 409,273 | \$ 387,929 | \$ 381,592 | \$ 406,521 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 55,395 | \$ 36,676 | \$ 29,759 | \$ 18,449 | \$ 5,093 |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations available for common stockholders | \$ 39,633 | \$ 17,810 | \$ (1,751) | \$ (12,403) | \$ (25,759) |
| Net income | \$ 90,745 | \$ 53,744 | \$ 62,458 | \$ 41,577 | \$ 42,649 |
| Net income available for common stockholders | \$ 74,983 | \$ 34,878 | \$ 30,948 | \$ 10,725 | \$ 11,797 |
| Net income per common share basic: | | | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.23) | \$ (0.49) |
| Net income | \$ 1.33 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.58 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.22 |
| Net income per common share diluted: | | | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.32 | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.23) | \$ (0.49) |
| Net income | \$ 1.31 | \$ 0.62 | \$ 0.58 | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.22 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 1.70 | \$ 1.70 | \$ 1.70 | \$ 1.70 | \$ 1.86 |

| | December 31, | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | 2004 | 2003 |
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 2,926,955 | \$ 2,844,853 | \$ 2,908,978 | \$ 3,239,658 | \$ 3,513,224 |
| Total mortgage and notes payable | \$ 1,641,987 | \$ 1,465,129 | \$ 1,471,616 | \$ 1,572,574 | \$ 1,718,274 |
| Financing obligations | \$ 35,071 | \$ 35,530 | \$ 34,154 | \$ 65,309 | \$ 125,777 |

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion and analysis in conjunction with the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes contained elsewhere in this Annual Report.

DISCLOSURE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Some of the information in this Annual Report may contain forward-looking statements. Such statements include, in particular, statements about our plans, strategies and prospects under this section and under the heading "Business." You can identify forward-looking statements by our use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "continue" or other similar words. Although we believe that our plans, intentions and expectations reflected in or suggested by such forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that our plans, intentions or expectations will be achieved. When considering such forward-looking statements, you should keep in mind the following important factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement:

speculative development activity by our competitors in our existing markets could result in an excessive supply of office, industrial and retail properties relative to tenant demand;

the financial condition of our tenants could deteriorate;

we may not be able to complete development, acquisition, reinvestment, disposition or joint venture projects as quickly or on as favorable terms as anticipated;

we may not be able to lease or release space quickly or on as favorable terms as old leases;

increases in interest rates would increase our debt service costs;

we may not be able to meet our liquidity requirements or obtain capital on favorable terms, if at all, to fund our working capital needs and growth initiatives or to repay or refinance outstanding debt upon maturity;

we could lose key executive officers; and

our southeastern and midwestern markets may suffer declines in economic growth.

This list of risks and uncertainties, however, is not intended to be exhaustive. You should also review the other cautionary statements we make in "Business Risk Factors" set forth in this Annual Report.

Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect any future events or circumstances or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

OVERVIEW

We are a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed equity REIT that provides leasing, management, development, construction and other customer-related services for our properties and for third parties. As of December 31, 2007, we owned or had an interest in 378 in-service office, industrial and retail properties, encompassing approximately 33.9 million square feet and 527 rental residential units. As of that date, we also owned development land and other properties under development as described under **Business** and **Properties** above. We are based in Raleigh, North Carolina, and our properties and development land are located in Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee and Virginia.

Results of Operations

Approximately 82% of our rental and other revenue from continuing operations in 2007 was derived from our office properties. As a result, while we own and operate a limited number of industrial, retail and residential properties, our operating results depend heavily on successfully leasing and operating our office properties. Furthermore, since approximately 80% of our annualized revenues from office properties come from properties located in Florida, Georgia, North Carolina and Tennessee, economic growth in those states is and will continue to be an important determinative factor in predicting our future operating results.

The key components affecting our rental revenue stream are dispositions, acquisitions, new developments placed in service, average occupancy and rental rates. Average occupancy generally increases during times of improving economic growth, as our ability to lease space outpaces vacancies that occur upon the expirations of existing leases. Average occupancy generally declines during times of slower economic growth, when new vacancies tend to outpace our ability to lease space. Asset acquisitions, dispositions and new developments placed in service directly impact our rental revenues and could impact our average occupancy, depending upon the occupancy rate of the properties that are acquired, sold or placed in service. A further indicator of the predictability of future revenues is the expected lease expirations of our portfolio. As a result, in addition to seeking to increase our average occupancy by leasing current vacant space, we also must concentrate our leasing efforts on renewing leases on expiring space. For more information regarding our lease expirations, see [Properties - Lease Expirations](#).

Whether or not our rental revenue tracks average occupancy proportionally depends upon whether rents under new leases signed are higher or lower than the rents under the previous leases. The average rental rate per square foot on second generation renewal and relet leases signed in our Wholly Owned Properties compared to the rent under the previous leases (based on straight line rental rates) was 5.2% higher in 2007, 2.5% higher in 2006 and 2.2% lower in 2005. The annualized rental revenues from second generation leases signed during any particular year is generally less than 15% of our total annual rental revenues.

Our expenses primarily consist of rental property expenses, depreciation and amortization, general and administrative expenses and interest expense. Rental property expenses are expenses associated with our ownership and operation of rental properties and include expenses that vary somewhat proportionately to occupancy levels, such as common area maintenance and utilities, and expenses that do not vary based on occupancy, such as property taxes and insurance. Depreciation and amortization is a non-cash expense associated with the ownership of real property and generally remains relatively consistent each year, unless we buy, place in service, or sell assets, since we depreciate our properties and related building and tenant improvement assets on a straight-line basis over a fixed life. General and administrative expenses, net of amounts capitalized, consist primarily of management and employee salaries and other personnel costs, corporate overhead and long-term incentive compensation. Interest expense depends upon the amount of our borrowings, the weighted average interest rates on our debt and the amount of interest capitalized on development projects.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We incur capital expenditures to lease space to our customers and to maintain the quality of our properties to successfully compete against other properties. Tenant improvements are the costs required to customize the space for the specific needs of the customer. Lease commissions are costs incurred to find the customer for the space. Lease incentives are costs paid to or on behalf of tenants to induce them to enter into leases and that do not relate to customizing the space for the tenant's specific needs. Building improvements are recurring capital costs not related to a customer to maintain the buildings. As leases expire, we either attempt to relet the space to an existing customer or attract a new customer to occupy the space. Generally, customer renewals require lower leasing capital expenditures than reletting to new customers. However, market conditions such as supply of available space on the market, as well as demand for space, drive not only customer rental rates but also tenant improvement costs. Leasing capital expenditures are amortized over the term of the lease, and building improvements are depreciated over the appropriate useful life of the assets acquired. Both are included in depreciation and amortization in results of operations.

Because we are a REIT, we are required under the federal tax laws to pay dividends to our stockholders equal to at least 90.0% of our annual REIT taxable income, excluding capital gains. We generally use rents received from customers and proceeds from sales of non-core development land to fund our operating expenses, recurring capital expenditures and stockholder dividends. To fund property acquisitions, development activity or building

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

renovations, we may sell other assets and may incur debt from time to time. As of December 31, 2007, we had \$665.3 million of secured debt outstanding and \$976.7 million of unsecured debt outstanding. Our debt generally consists of mortgage debt, unsecured debt securities and borrowings under our credit facilities.

As of December 31, 2007 and February 27, 2008, we had approximately \$220 million and \$218 million, respectively, of additional borrowing availability under our unsecured revolving credit facility, and we had approximately \$84 million and \$80 million, respectively, of additional borrowing availability under our secured revolving construction loan facilities.

Our revolving credit facility and the indenture governing our outstanding long-term unsecured debt securities require us to satisfy various operating and financial covenants and performance ratios. As a result, to ensure that we do not violate the provisions of these debt instruments, we may from time to time be limited in undertaking certain activities that may otherwise be in the best interest of our stockholders, such as repurchasing capital stock, acquiring additional assets, increasing the total amount of our debt or increasing stockholder dividends. We review our current and expected operating results, financial condition and planned strategic actions on an ongoing basis for the purpose of monitoring our continued compliance with these covenants and ratios. Any unwaived event of default could result in an acceleration of some or all of our debt, severely restrict our ability to incur additional debt to fund short- and long-term cash needs or result in higher interest expense.

To generate additional capital to fund our growth and other strategic initiatives and to lessen the ownership risks typically associated with owning 100.0% of a property, we may sell some of our properties or contribute them to joint ventures. When we create a joint venture with a partner, we usually contribute cash or wholly owned assets to a newly formed entity in which we retain an equal or minority interest. The joint venture itself will frequently borrow money on its own behalf to finance the acquisition of, and/or leverage the return upon, the properties being acquired by the joint venture or to build or acquire additional buildings. Such borrowings are typically on a non-recourse or limited recourse basis. We generally are not liable for the debts of our joint ventures, except to the extent of our equity investment, unless we have directly guaranteed any of that debt. In most cases, we and/or our partners are required to agree to customary exceptions to non-recourse liability in non-recourse loans. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on certain debt guarantees. We have historically also sold additional Common Stock or Preferred Stock or issued Common Units to fund additional growth or to reduce our debt, but we have limited those efforts since 1998 because funds generated from our capital recycling program in recent years have provided sufficient funds to satisfy our liquidity needs. In addition, we have recently used funds from our capital recycling program to redeem or repurchase Common Units and Preferred Stock for cash. In the future, we may from time to time retire some or all of our remaining outstanding Preferred Stock through redemptions, open market repurchases, privately negotiated acquisitions or otherwise.

As described in Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in February 2008 we paid off the \$100 million of unsecured notes that matured on February 1, 2008, and we obtained a new \$137.5 million three-year unsecured term loan from a group of banks with interest at LIBOR plus 110 basis points; the proceeds were used to pay-down our revolving credit facility and for short-term investments. We have no further debt maturities in 2008, other than normal principal amortization on certain of secured loans. As noted above, as of February 27, 2008, we have \$298 million of availability combined under our revolving credit and revolving construction facilities.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**Comparison of 2007 to 2006**

The following table sets forth information regarding our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 (\$ in millions). As noted above and as more fully described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, as required by SFAS No. 144, results for the year ended December 31, 2006 were reclassified from previously reported amounts to reflect in discontinued operations the operations for those properties sold in 2007 which qualified for discontinued operations presentation.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | 2007 to 2006 | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | \$ Change | % of Change | % |
| Rental and other revenues | \$ 437.1 | \$ 409.3 | \$ 27.8 | 6.8 | % |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Rental property and other expenses | 157.3 | 150.5 | 6.8 | 4.5 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 122.2 | 112.9 | 9.3 | 8.2 | |
| Impairment of assets held for use | 0.8 | | 0.8 | 100.0 | |
| General and administrative | 41.6 | 37.3 | 4.3 | 11.5 | |
| Total operating expenses | 321.9 | 300.7 | 21.2 | 7.1 | |
| Interest expenses: | | | | | |
| Contractual | 94.0 | 94.2 | (0.2) | (0.2) |) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 2.4 | 2.4 | | | |
| Financing obligations | 3.9 | 4.2 | (0.3) | (7.1) |) |
| | 100.3 | 100.8 | (0.5) | (0.5) |) |
| Other income/(expense): | | | | | |
| Interest and other income | 6.4 | 7.0 | (0.6) | (8.6) |) |
| Settlement of bankruptcy claim | | 1.6 | (1.6) | (100.0) |) |
| Loss on debt extinguishments | | (0.5) | 0.5 | 100.0 |) |
| | 6.4 | 8.1 | (1.7) | (21.0) |) |
| Income before disposition of property, insurance gain, minority interest and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | 21.3 | 15.9 | 5.4 | 34.0 | |
| Gains on disposition of property, net | 20.6 | 16.2 | 4.4 | 27.2 | |
| Gain from property insurance settlement | 4.1 | | 4.1 | 100.0 | |
| Minority interest | (3.7) | (2.2) | (1.5) | (68.2) |) |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | 13.1 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 92.6 | |
| Income from continuing operations | 55.4 | 36.7 | 18.7 | 51.0 | |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 1.9 | 3.2 | (1.3) | (40.6) |) |
| Net gains on sales of discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 32.0 | 13.9 | 18.1 | 130.2 | |
| Release of FASB FIN 48 tax liability | 1.5 | | 1.5 | 100.0 | |
| | 35.4 | 17.1 | 18.3 | 107.0 | |
| Net income | 90.8 | 53.8 | 37.0 | 68.8 | |
| Dividends on Preferred Stock | (13.5) | (17.1) | 3.6 | 21.1 | |
| Excess of Preferred Stock redemption cost over carrying value | (2.3) | (1.8) | (0.5) | (27.8) |) |
| Net income available for common stockholders | \$ 75.0 | \$ 34.9 | \$ 40.1 | 114.9 | % |

Rental and Other Revenues

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

The increase in rental and other revenues from continuing operations was primarily the result of the contribution from development properties placed in service in the latter part of 2006 and in 2007, higher average occupancy in 2007 as compared to 2006, and higher average rental rates in 2007 compared to 2006.

There was modest but steady positive absorption of office space in most of our markets during 2007. Also, we expect to deliver approximately \$211 million of new office and industrial development properties by the end of 2008, which are 56% pre-leased. We expect to sell additional non-core properties in 2008 that will probably be classified as discontinued operations.

Rental Property and Other Expenses

The increase in rental and other operating expenses primarily was a result of general inflationary increases in certain operating expenses, which include utility costs, insurance, real estate taxes, salaries, and benefits, and from expenses of development properties placed in service in 2006 and 2007.

Operating margin, defined as rental and other revenues less rental property and other expenses expressed as a percentage of rental and other revenues, increased from 63.2% in 2006 to 64.0% in 2007. This increase in margin was primarily attributed to higher average occupancy combined with certain operating expenses, such as taxes and insurance, being relatively fixed in the short term.

We expect rental and other operating expenses to increase in 2008 as compared to 2007 from anticipated inflationary increases in certain operating expenses, particularly higher real estate taxes and utility costs, and by operating expenses of the development properties placed in service during 2007 and 2008.

The increase in depreciation and amortization primarily results from development properties placed in service in 2006 and in 2007.

In 2007, a land parcel had indicators of impairment where the carrying value exceeded the sum of estimated undiscounted future cash flows. Therefore, impairment of assets held for use aggregating \$0.8 million was recorded in the year ended December 31, 2007.

The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily related to higher write-offs of deferred development costs on projects that did not proceed or are deemed unlikely to occur in the future, higher annual and long-term incentive compensation costs, higher salary and fringe benefit costs from annual employee wage and salary increases, and inflationary effects on other general and administrative expenses.

Interest Expense

Contractual interest expense is shown net of amounts capitalized to development projects. The net decrease in contractual interest was primarily due to a decrease in weighted average interest rates on outstanding debt from 6.92% in the year ended December 31, 2006 to 6.74% in the year ended December 31, 2007, partly offset by an increase in average borrowings from \$1,441 million in the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$1,540 million in the year ended December 31, 2007. In addition, capitalized interest in 2007 was approximately \$4.7 million higher compared to 2006 due to increased development activity and higher average construction and development costs. Interest allocated to discontinued operations was \$0.6 million in 2006.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Total interest expense is expected to increase in 2008 primarily from higher average borrowings compared to 2007 due to expected borrowings to fund existing and future development projects. If proceeds from property dispositions exceed cash requirements for our development and other investing activities, average debt balances in 2008 may not exceed those of 2007. Average interest rates are expected to be lower in 2008 due to a projected decline in floating rates and to the retirement of \$100 million of 7.125% bonds in early February 2008 through the use of less expensive floating rate debt.

Settlement of Bankruptcy Claim

In 2006, we received a settlement of a bankruptcy claim in the amount of \$1.6 million related to leases with a former tenant that were terminated in 2003. See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Loss on Debt Extinguishments

In 2006, we had \$0.5 million from losses on early extinguishments of debt, including our prior revolving credit facility and bank term loan, which were paid off in the second quarter of 2006 upon the closing of our current revolving credit facility.

Gains on Disposition of Property; Gain from Property Insurance Settlement; Minority Interest; Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates

Net gains on dispositions of properties not classified as discontinued operations were \$20.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to \$16.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006; the components of net gains are described in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Gains are dependent on the specific assets sold, their historical cost basis and other factors, and can vary significantly from period to period.

In 2007, we recorded a \$4.1 million gain from finalization of a prior year insurance claim. See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Minority interest changed from \$2.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$3.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase is due primarily to significantly higher net income in 2007 of the Operating Partnership, after Preferred Unit distributions, compared to 2006.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates increased \$6.3 million from 2006 to 2007. The increase primarily resulted from the following transactions. In 2007, the Weston Lakeside joint venture sold 332 rental residential units, recognizing a gain of approximately \$11.3 million, which resulted in an increase of approximately \$5.0 million in equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates. Five properties owned by our DLF I joint venture (DLF I) were sold and the joint venture recognized a gain of approximately \$9.3 million, resulting in an increase of approximately \$2.1 million in equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates in 2007. Additionally, in 2007, DLF I received a lease termination, of which the net effect was \$2.7 million, which resulted in an increase of approximately \$0.6 million in equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates. (See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion related to these transactions). These increases are offset by \$1.7 million of adjustments to depreciation expense related to certain of our unconsolidated affiliates.

Discontinued Operations

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, we classified income of \$35.4 million and \$17.1 million as discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. These amounts relate to 4.2 million square feet of office and industrial properties and 173 residential units sold during 2006 and 2007. These amounts include net gains on the sale of these properties of \$32.0 million and \$13.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

During 2007, we recorded \$1.5 million in income from the release of a liability recorded in accordance with the adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48). See Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Preferred Stock Dividends and Excess of Preferred Stock Redemption Cost Over Carrying Value

The decrease in Preferred Stock dividends and increase in excess of Preferred Stock redemption costs over carrying value were due to the retirement of \$62.3 million of Preferred Stock in 2007 as compared to the retirement of \$50.0 million of Preferred Stock in 2006.

Net Income and Net Income Available for Common Stockholders

We recorded net income of \$90.8 million in 2007 compared to \$53.8 million in 2006, and net income available for common stockholders of \$75.0 million in 2007 compared to \$34.9 million in 2006; these changes resulted from the various factors described above.

Comparison of 2006 to 2005

The following table sets forth information regarding our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 (\$ in millions). As noted above and as more fully described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, as required by SFAS No. 144, results for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were reclassified from previously reported amounts to reflect in discontinued operations the operations for those properties sold in 2006 or 2007 which qualified for discontinued operations presentation.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | 2006 to 2005 | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|---|
| | 2006 | 2005 | \$ Change | % of Change | % |
| Rental and other revenues | \$ 409.3 | \$ 387.9 | \$ 21.4 | 5.5 | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | |
| Rental property and other expenses | 150.5 | 138.5 | 12.0 | 8.7 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 112.9 | 107.1 | 5.8 | 5.4 | |
| Impairment of assets held for use | | 3.2 | (3.2) | (100.0) |) |
| General and administrative | 37.3 | 33.1 | 4.2 | 12.7 | |
| Total operating expenses | 300.7 | 281.9 | 18.8 | 6.7 | |
| Interest expenses: | | | | | |
| Contractual | 94.2 | 98.2 | (4.0) | (4.1) |) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 2.4 | 3.4 | (1.0) | (29.4) |) |
| Financing obligations | 4.2 | 5.0 | (0.8) | (16.0) |) |
| | 100.8 | 106.6 | (5.8) | (5.4) |) |
| Other income/(expense): | | | | | |
| Interest and other income | 7.0 | 7.0 | | | |
| Settlement of bankruptcy claim | 1.6 | | 1.6 | 100.0 | |
| Loss on debt extinguishments | (0.5) | (0.4) | (0.1) | (25.0) |) |
| | 8.1 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 22.7 | |
| Income before disposition of property, minority interest and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | 15.9 | 6.0 | 9.9 | 165.0 | |
| Gains on disposition of property, net | 16.2 | 14.2 | 2.0 | 14.1 | |
| Minority interest | (2.2) | 0.2 | (2.4) | (1,200.0) |) |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | 6.8 | 9.3 | (2.5) | (26.9) |) |
| Income from continuing operations | 36.7 | 29.7 | 7.0 | 23.6 | |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 3.2 | 9.5 | (6.3) | (66.3) |) |
| Net gains on sales of discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 13.9 | 23.2 | (9.3) | (40.1) |) |
| | 17.1 | 32.7 | (15.6) | (47.7) |) |
| Net income | 53.8 | 62.4 | (8.6) | (13.8) |) |
| Dividends on Preferred Stock | (17.1) | (27.2) | 10.1 | 37.1 |) |
| Excess of Preferred Stock redemption cost over carrying value | (1.8) | (4.3) | 2.5 | 58.1 |) |
| Net income available for common stockholders | \$ 34.9 | \$ 30.9 | \$ 4.0 | 12.9 | % |

Rental and Other Revenues

The increase in rental and other revenues from continuing operations was primarily the result of higher average occupancy in 2006 as compared to 2005, the contribution from development properties placed in service in the latter part of 2005 and in 2006 and the consolidation of the Markel joint venture effective January 1, 2006, as discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. These increases were partly

offset by a decrease in lease termination fees from 2005 to 2006 and the recognition of Eastshore as a completed sale which occurred in the third quarter of 2005.

Rental Property and Other Expenses

The increase in rental and other operating expenses primarily was a result of general inflationary increases in certain operating expenses, such as salaries, benefits, utility costs and real estate taxes, expenses of development properties placed in service in the latter part of 2005 and 2006 and the consolidation of the Markel joint venture effective January 1, 2006, as discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. These increases were partly offset by a decrease in operating expenses as a result of the recognition of Eastshore as a completed sale which occurred in the third quarter of 2005.

Operating margin, defined as rental and other revenues less rental property and other expenses expressed as a percentage of rental and other revenues, decreased from 64.3% in 2005 to 63.2% in 2006. This decrease in margin was primarily caused by operating expenses increasing from inflationary pressures at a higher rate than our rental revenues and operating cost recoveries.

The increase in depreciation and amortization is primarily a result of the contribution from development properties placed in service in the latter part of 2005 and in 2006 and the consolidation of the Markel joint venture effective January 1, 2006, as discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. These increases were partly offset by a decrease related to the recognition of Eastshore as a completed sale which occurred in the third quarter of 2005.

For 2005, one land parcel had indicators of impairment where the carrying value exceeded the sum of estimated undiscounted future cash flows. Therefore, impairment of assets held for use of \$3.2 million was recorded in the year ended December 31, 2005.

The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily related to higher annual and long-term incentive compensation costs and from deferred compensation, a portion of which is recognized based on increases in the total return on our Common Stock, which was 50.6% in 2006, higher salary and fringe benefit costs from annual employee wage and salary increases, inflationary effects on other general and administrative expenses and costs related to the retirement of a certain officer at June 30, 2006.

Interest Expense

The decrease in contractual interest was primarily due to a decrease in average borrowings from \$1,511 million in the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$1,441 million in the year ended December 31, 2006, partially offset by an increase in weighted average interest rates on outstanding debt from 6.80% in the year ended December 31, 2005 to 6.92% in the year ended December 31, 2006. In addition, capitalized interest in 2006 was approximately \$2.1 million higher compared to 2005 due to increased development activity and higher average construction and development costs. Interest allocated to discontinued operations was \$1.2 million in 2005 compared to \$0.6 million in 2006.

The decrease in amortization of deferred financing costs was primarily related to obtaining the new revolving credit facility in May 2006, as discussed further in the Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, resulting in a reduction of amortization of deferred financing costs of approximately \$1.0 million from 2005 to 2006.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

The decrease in interest from financing obligations was primarily a result of the completed sale of three buildings in Richmond, Virginia (the Eastshore transaction) in the third quarter of 2005 and the elimination of the related financing obligation. Partly offsetting this decrease was an increase in 2006 related to SF-HIW Harborview Plaza LP primarily from amortization of the option discount, as described in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Settlement of Bankruptcy Claim

In 2006, we received a settlement of a bankruptcy claim in the amount of \$1.6 million related to leases with a former tenant that were terminated in 2003. See Note 19 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Loss on Debt Extinguishments

In 2006, we had \$0.5 million from losses on early extinguishments of debt, including our prior revolving credit facility and bank term loan, which were paid off in the second quarter of 2006 upon the closing of our current revolving credit facility. The \$0.4 million of loss in 2005 relates to loans that were paid off early in 2005 from proceeds raised from disposition activities.

Gains on Disposition of Property; Minority Interest; Equity in Earnings of Unconsolidated Affiliates

Net gains on dispositions of properties not classified as discontinued operations were \$16.2 million in the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to \$14.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2005; the components of net gains are described in Note 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Gains are dependent on the specific assets sold, their historical cost basis and other factors, and can vary significantly from period to period.

Minority interest changed from \$0.2 million of income in the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$2.2 million of expense in the year ended December 31, 2006. In 2005, the Operating Partnership had a loss from continuing operations after Preferred Unit distributions which caused minority interest income. In 2006, the Operating Partnership had income from continuing operations after Preferred Unit distributions, resulting in minority interest expense related to the Operating Partnership. In addition, minority interest in 2006 includes \$0.6 million from the consolidation of the Markel joint venture, the accounting for which changed from equity method to consolidation effective January 1, 2006, as described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The decrease in equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates primarily resulted from the consolidation of the Markel joint venture in 2006, and from \$0.7 million of our share of a loss on early debt extinguishment from refinancing of loans in the Des Moines joint ventures in the third quarter of 2006. The Markel joint venture contributed \$0.8 million to equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates during the year ended December 31, 2005. In addition, capitalization of interest ceased and full depreciation commenced beginning December 2005 for the office property in the Plaza Colonnade, LLC joint venture which caused an approximate \$0.6 million reduction in equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates in 2006 compared to 2005.

Discontinued Operations

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, we classified income of \$17.1 million and \$32.7 million as discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These amounts relate to 8.9 million square feet of office and industrial properties and 202 residential units sold during 2005, 2006 and 2007. These amounts include net gains on the sale of these properties of \$13.9 million and \$23.2 million in the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Preferred Stock Dividends and Excess of Preferred Stock Redemption Cost Over Carrying Value

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

The decreases in Preferred Stock dividends and excess of Preferred Stock redemption costs over carrying value were due to the redemptions of \$130.0 million of Preferred Stock in the third quarter of 2005 and \$50.0 million of Preferred Stock in the first quarter of 2006.

Net Income and Net Income Available for Common Stockholders

We recorded net income of \$53.8 million in 2006 compared to \$62.4 million in 2005, and net income available for common stockholders of \$34.9 million in 2006 compared to \$30.9 million in 2005; these changes resulted from the various factors described above.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**Statement of Cash Flows**

As required by GAAP, we report and analyze our cash flows based on operating activities, investing activities and financing activities. The following table sets forth the changes in our cash flows from 2006 to 2007 (\$ in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | Change |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| Cash Provided By Operating Activities | \$ 164,080 | \$ 145,525 | \$ 18,555 |
| Cash (Used In)/Provided By Investing Activities | (153,121) | 64,734 | (217,855) |
| Cash Used In Financing Activities | (24,509) | (194,781) | 170,272 |
| Total Cash Flows | \$ (13,550) | \$ 15,478 | \$ (29,028) |

In calculating cash flow from operating activities, depreciation and amortization, which are non-cash expenses, are added back to net income. As a result, we have historically generated a significant positive amount of cash from operating activities. From period to period, cash flow from operations depends primarily upon changes in our net income, as discussed more fully above under Results of Operations, changes in receivables and payables, and net additions or decreases in our overall portfolio, which affect the amount of depreciation and amortization expense.

Cash provided by or used in investing activities generally relates to capitalized costs incurred for leasing and major building improvements and our acquisition, development, disposition and joint venture activity. During periods of significant net acquisition and/or development activity, our cash used in such investing activities will generally exceed cash provided by investing activities, which typically consists of cash received upon the sale of properties and distributions of capital from our joint ventures.

Cash used in financing activities generally relates to stockholder dividends, distributions on Common Units, incurrence and repayment of debt and sales, repurchases or redemptions of Common Stock, Common Units and Preferred Stock. As discussed previously, we use a significant amount of our cash to fund stockholder dividends and Common Unit distributions. Whether or not we have increases in the outstanding balances of debt during a period depends generally upon the net effect of our acquisition, disposition, development and joint venture activity. We use our revolving credit facility for working capital purposes, which means that during any given period, in order to minimize interest expense, we will likely record significant repayments and borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

The increase of \$18.6 million in cash provided by operating activities in 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 was primarily the result of higher cash flows from net income as adjusted for changes in gains on disposition of properties, a gain from a property insurance settlement, minority interest, and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates. In addition, the net change in operating assets and liabilities resulted in an \$11.6 million increase in cash provided by operating activities from 2006 to 2007.

The decrease of \$217.9 million in cash provided by investing activities in 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 was primarily a result of a \$115.6 million decrease in proceeds from dispositions of real estate assets and a \$65.5 million increase in additions to real estate assets and deferred leasing costs. In addition, changes in restricted cash and other investing activities resulted in a decrease of \$43.0 million primarily due

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

to cash received from escrow during 2006 relating to a collateral substitution on an existing secured loan and outflows of cash in 2007 related to proceeds from dispositions which were set aside and designated for potential future tax-deferred real estate transactions and for the short-term purchase of tax increment financing bonds related to an office building and garage constructed by us. Partly offsetting these decreases were proceeds of \$4.9 million which were received in 2007 for a property insurance settlement.

The decrease of \$170.3 million in cash used in financing activities in 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 was primarily a result of a \$214.3 million increase in net borrowings on the revolving credit facility and mortgages and notes payable and an increase of \$4.9 million due to contributions from our minority interest partners (see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements) for 2007 compared to 2006. These decreases were partly offset by a

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

\$35.7 million decrease in net proceeds from the sale of Common Stock, an increase of \$12.3 million of cash used for the redemption and repurchase of Preferred Stock and an increase of \$1.0 million for cash used in connection with the repurchase of Common Units from 2006 to 2007.

During 2008, we expect to have positive cash flows from operating activities. The net cash flows from investing activities in 2008 are expected to be negative as cash inflows from property dispositions and joint ventures are expected to be less than cash used for development, capitalized leasing and tenant improvement costs. Net cash flows from operating and investing activities combined in 2008 are expected to be positive and, together with positive financing cash flows from new debt borrowings or other sources, will be used to pay stockholder and unitholder distributions, scheduled debt maturities, principal amortization payments and any other reductions of debt and Preferred Stock balances (see Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements).

Capitalization

The following table sets forth our capitalization as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | December 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Mortgages and notes payable, at recorded book value | \$ 1,641,987 | \$ 1,465,129 |
| Financing obligations | \$ 35,071 | \$ 35,530 |
| Preferred Stock, at liquidation value | \$ 135,437 | \$ 197,445 |
| Common Stock and Common Units outstanding | 61,224 | 60,944 |
| Per share stock price at year end | \$ 29.38 | \$ 40.76 |
| Market value of Common Stock and Common Units | 1,798,761 | 2,484,077 |
| Total market capitalization with debt and obligations | \$ 3,611,256 | \$ 4,182,181 |

Based on our total market capitalization of approximately \$3.6 billion at December 31, 2007 (at the December 31, 2007 per share stock price of \$29.38 and assuming the redemption for shares of Common Stock of the approximate 4.1 million Common Units not owned by the Company), our mortgages and notes payable represented 45.5% of our total market capitalization. Mortgages and notes payable at December 31, 2007 was comprised of \$665 million of secured indebtedness with a weighted average interest rate of 6.62% and \$977 million of unsecured indebtedness with a weighted average interest rate of 6.42%. As of December 31, 2007, our outstanding mortgages and notes payable and financing obligations were secured by real estate assets with an aggregate undepreciated book value of approximately \$1.0 billion.

We do not intend to reserve funds to retire existing secured or unsecured debt upon maturity. For a more complete discussion of our long-term liquidity needs, see Liquidity and Capital Resources - Current and Future Cash Needs.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth a summary regarding our known contractual obligations, including required interest payments for those items that are interest bearing, at December 31, 2007 (\$ in thousands):

| | Total | Amounts due during years ending December 31, | | | | | Thereafter |
|--|---------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | |
| Mortgages and Notes Payable (1) | | | | | | | |
| Principal payments | \$ 1,641,987 | \$ 109,937 | \$ 409,723 | \$ 28,747 | \$ 9,507 | \$ 212,166 | \$ 871,907 |
| Interest payments (2) | 568,438 | 99,846 | 84,294 | 70,412 | 69,370 | 55,570 | 188,946 |
| Financing Obligations: | | | | | | | |
| SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP financing obligation (3) | 15,619 | | | | | | 15,619 |
| Tax Increment Financing obligation (4) | 26,183 | 2,182 | 2,182 | 2,182 | 2,182 | 2,182 | 15,273 |
| Capitalized ground lease obligation | 1,951 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 1,691 |
| Capitalized lease obligations (5) | 333 | 229 | 82 | 16 | 6 | | |
| Purchase Obligations: | | | | | | | |
| Completion contracts (8) | 58,942 | 58,942 | | | | | |
| Operating Lease Obligations: | | | | | | | |
| Land leases (6) | 50,028 | 1,077 | 1,118 | 1,135 | 1,155 | 1,175 | 44,368 |
| Other Long Term Liabilities Reflected on the Balance Sheet: | | | | | | | |
| Plaza Colonnade lease guarantee (6) | 8 | | 8 | | | | |
| Highwoods DLF 97/26 DLF 99/32 LP lease guarantee (6) | 209 | 209 | | | | | |
| RRHWoods, LLC (6) | 30 | | 30 | | | | |
| RRHWoods, LLC and Dallas County Partners lease guarantee (6) | 68 | | | | | | 68 |
| Industrial environmental guarantee (6) | 125 | | | | | | 125 |
| DLF payable (7) | 3,026 | 536 | 546 | 556 | 567 | 578 | 243 |
| KC Orlando, LLC lease guarantee (6) | 323 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 32 | | |
| KC Orlando, LLC accrued lease commissions, tenant improvements and building improvements (6) | 296 | | | | | | 296 |
| RRHWoods, LLC (6) | 324 | | | 324 | | | |
| Total | \$ 2,367,890 | \$ 273,107 | \$ 498,132 | \$ 103,521 | \$ 82,871 | \$ 271,723 | \$ 1,138,536 |

- (1) See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion, including the \$100 million aggregate principal notes paid off in early 2008.
- (2) These amounts represent interest payments due on mortgages and notes payable, based on the stated rates for the fixed rate debt and on the December 31, 2007 rates for the variable rate debt. The weighted average interest rate on the variable rate debt as of December 31, 2007 was 5.86%.
- (3) This liability represents a financing obligation to our joint venture partner as a result of accounting for this transaction as a financing arrangement. See Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.
- (4)

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

In connection with tax increment financing for construction of a public garage related to an office building constructed by us, we are obligated to pay fixed special assessments over a 20-year period. The net present value of these assessments, discounted at 6.93%, which represents the interest rate of the underlying bond, is shown as a financing obligation in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. We also receive special tax revenues and property tax rebates recorded in interest and other income which are intended, but not guaranteed, to provide funds to pay the special assessments. See Note 5 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion

- (5) Included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities.
- (6) See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

- (7) Represents a fixed obligation we owe our partner in Highwoods DLF 98/29, LP. This obligation arose from an excess contribution from our partner at the formation of the joint venture, and the net present value of the fixed obligation discounted at 9.62% which represents the interest rate derived from the agreement, is recorded in other liabilities. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.
- (8) This amount represents our estimate of contractual obligations as of December 31, 2007 related to various construction projects.

Preferred Stock Transactions

On May 29, 2007, we redeemed 1.6 million of our outstanding Series B Preferred Shares, aggregating \$40.0 million plus accrued and unpaid dividends. In connection with this redemption, the \$1.4 million excess of the redemption cost over the net carrying amount of the redeemed shares was recorded as a reduction to net income available for common shareholders in the second quarter of 2007.

On August 6, 2007, we repurchased and retired 22,008 of our outstanding Series A Preferred Shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$22.3 million. In connection with this repurchase, the \$0.8 million excess of the purchase cost over the net carrying amount of the repurchased shares was recorded as a reduction to net income available for common shareholders in the third quarter of 2007.

Debt Financing Activity

Our \$450 million unsecured revolving credit facility is initially scheduled to mature on May 1, 2009. Assuming no default exists, we have an option to extend the maturity date by one additional year and, at any time prior to May 1, 2008, may request increases in the borrowing availability under the credit facility by up to an additional \$50 million. The interest rate is LIBOR plus 80 basis points and the annual base facility fee is 20 basis points. As of December 31, 2007 and February 27, 2008, we had \$229 million and \$230 million, respectively, borrowed on this revolving credit facility.

On March 22, 2007, the Operating Partnership issued \$400 million aggregate principal amount of 5.85% Notes due March 15, 2017, net of original issue discount of \$1.2 million. We used the net proceeds from the issuance of the notes to repay borrowings outstanding under an unsecured non-revolving credit facility that was obtained on January 31, 2007 (which was subsequently terminated) and under the revolving credit facility.

On December 20, 2007, we closed a \$70 million secured revolving construction facility. The loan matures on December 20, 2010. The interest rate is based on LIBOR plus 85 basis points. At December 31, 2007 and February 27, 2008, there were no amounts outstanding on this revolving facility.

On February 1, 2008, we paid off at maturity \$100.0 million of 7.125% unsecured notes using borrowings under our revolving credit facility. On February 26, 2008, we closed a \$137.5 million three-year term loan that bears interest at LIBOR plus 110 basis points. Proceeds from this loan were used to reduce the outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility and for short-term investments.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Our revolving credit facility and the indenture that governs our outstanding notes require us to comply with customary operating covenants and various financial and operating ratios. We are currently in compliance with all such requirements. Although we expect to remain in compliance with these covenants and ratios for at least the next year, depending upon our future operating performance, property and financing transactions and general economic conditions, we cannot assure you that we will continue to be in compliance.

If any of our lenders ever accelerated outstanding debt due to an event of default, we would not be able to borrow any further amounts under our revolving credit facility, which would adversely affect our ability to fund our operations. If our debt cannot be paid, refinanced or extended at maturity or upon acceleration, in addition to our failure to repay our debt, we may not be able to make distributions to stockholders at expected levels or at all. Furthermore, if any refinancing is done at higher interest rates, the increased interest expense would adversely affect our cash flows and ability to pay dividends to stockholders. Any such refinancing could also impose tighter financial ratios and other covenants that would restrict our ability to take actions that would otherwise be in our stockholders' best interest, such as funding new development activity, making opportunistic acquisitions, repurchasing our securities or paying dividends.

On June 5, 2007, two three-year secured construction loans totaling \$24.7 million with interest at 175 basis points over LIBOR were obtained by REES, a consolidated joint venture (see Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements). Subsequently, on July 17, 2007, REES obtained an additional \$13.7 million, three-year secured construction loan with interest at 165 basis points over LIBOR. In October 2007, we paid off one of the original secured construction loans, which had accounted for \$4.4 million of the original \$24.7 million. At December 31, 2007 and February 27, 2008, \$19.8 million and \$23.7 million, respectively, was outstanding under the two remaining loans.

During 2007, we also paid off \$92.4 million, excluding any normal debt amortization, of secured debt with a weighted average interest rate of 7.87%. Approximately \$202 million of real estate assets (based on undepreciated cost basis) became unencumbered after paying off the secured debt.

Current and Future Cash Needs

Rental and other revenues are our principal source of funds to meet our short-term liquidity requirements, which primarily consist of operating expenses, debt service, stockholder dividends, any guarantee obligations and recurring capital expenditures. In addition, we could incur tenant improvement costs and lease commissions related to any releasing of vacant space.

As of the date of this filing, other than principal amortization on certain secured loans, we have no outstanding debt that matures prior to the end of 2008. We expect to fund our short-term liquidity needs through a combination of available working capital, cash flows from operations and the following:

the selective disposition of non-core land and other assets;

borrowings under our revolving credit facility and revolving construction facilities (which have \$218 million and \$80 million of availability, respectively, as of February 27, 2008);

the sale or contribution of some of our Wholly Owned Properties, development projects and development land to joint ventures to be formed with unrelated investors, which would have the net effect of generating additional capital through such sale or contributions;

the issuance of secured debt; and

the issuance of unsecured debt.

Our long-term liquidity needs generally include the funding of capital expenditures to lease space to our customers, maintain the quality of our existing properties and build new properties. Capital expenditures include tenant improvements, building improvements, new building completion costs and land infrastructure costs. Tenant improvements are the costs required to customize space for the specific needs of first-generation and second-generation customers. Building improvements are recurring capital costs not related to a specific customer to maintain existing buildings. New building completion costs relate to the construction of new buildings. Land infrastructure costs are incurred to prepare development land for future development activity that is not specifically related to a single building. Excluding recurring capital

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

expenditures for leasing costs and tenant improvements and for normal building improvements, our expected future capital expenditures for started and/or committed new development projects were approximately \$161 million at December 31, 2007. A significant portion of these future expenditures are currently subject to binding contractual arrangements.

Our long-term liquidity needs also include the funding of development commitments, selective asset acquisitions and the retirement of mortgage debt, amounts outstanding under our credit facilities and long-term unsecured debt. Our goal is to maintain a conservative and flexible balance sheet. Accordingly, we expect to meet our long-term liquidity needs through a combination of (1) the issuance by the Operating Partnership of additional unsecured debt securities, (2) the issuance of additional equity securities and partnership interests by the Company and the Operating Partnership, (3) borrowings under other secured construction loans that we may enter into, as well as (4) the sources described above with respect to our short-term liquidity. We expect to use such sources to meet our long-term liquidity requirements either through direct payments or repayments of borrowings under our revolving credit facility. As mentioned above, we do not intend to reserve funds to retire existing secured or

unsecured indebtedness upon maturity. Instead, we will seek to refinance such debt at maturity or retire such debt through the issuance of equity or debt securities or from proceeds from sales of properties.

Notwithstanding the recent turbulence in the global credit markets, such as widening credit spreads and tightening underwriting standards which have negatively impacted the availability of capital across many industries, we anticipate that our available cash and cash equivalents and cash flows from operating activities, with cash available from borrowings and other sources, will be adequate to meet our capital and liquidity needs in both the short and long term. If these sources of funds are insufficient or unavailable, our ability to pay dividends to stockholders and satisfy other cash payments may be adversely affected.

Stockholder Dividends

To maintain our qualification as a REIT, we must pay dividends to stockholders that are at least 90.0% of our annual REIT taxable income, excluding capital gains. REIT taxable income, the calculation of which is determined by the federal tax laws, does not equal net income under GAAP. The minimum dividend per share of Common Stock required for the Company to maintain its REIT status (excluding any net capital gains) was \$0.54 per share in 2007. Aggregate dividends paid on Preferred Stock exceeded REIT taxable income (excluding capital gains) in 2006, which resulted in no required dividend on Common Stock in 2006 for REIT qualification purposes. We generally expect to use our cash flow from operating activities for dividends to stockholders and for payment of recurring capital expenditures. Future dividends will be made at the discretion of our Board of Directors. The following factors will affect our cash flows and, accordingly, influence decisions of the Board of Directors regarding dividends:

debt service requirements after taking into account debt covenants and the repayment and restructuring of certain indebtedness;

scheduled increases in base rents of existing leases;

changes in rents attributable to the renewal of existing leases or replacement leases;

changes in occupancy rates at existing properties and execution of leases for newly acquired or developed properties;

operating expenses and capital replacement needs, including tenant improvements and leasing costs; and

sales of properties and non-core land.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have several off balance sheet joint venture and guarantee arrangements. The joint ventures were formed with unrelated investors to generate additional capital to fund property acquisitions, repay outstanding debt, fund other strategic initiatives and lessen the risks typically associated with owning 100.0% of a property. When we create a joint venture with a partner, we usually contribute cash or wholly owned assets to a newly

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

formed entity in which we retain an equal or minority interest. For financial reporting purposes, certain assets we sold have been accounted for as financing arrangements. See Notes 1, 2 and 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

As discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, we generally account for our investments in less than majority owned joint ventures, partnerships and limited liability companies under the equity method of accounting. As a result, the assets and liabilities of these joint ventures are not included on our balance sheet and the results of operations of these joint ventures are not included on our income statement, other than as equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates. Generally, we are not liable for the debts of our joint ventures, except to the extent of our equity investment, unless we have directly guaranteed any of that debt. In most cases, we and/or our joint venture partners are required to agree to customary limited exceptions to non-recourse liability in non-recourse loans.

As of December 31, 2007, our unconsolidated joint ventures had \$738.2 million of total assets and \$591.0 million of total liabilities as reflected in their financial statements. At December 31, 2007, our weighted average equity interest based on the total assets of these unconsolidated joint ventures was 38.6%. During 2007, these

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

unconsolidated joint ventures earned \$36.9 million of total net income, of which our share, after appropriate purchase accounting and other adjustments, was \$13.1 million. For additional information about our unconsolidated joint venture activity, see Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

As of December 31, 2007, our unconsolidated joint ventures had \$558.8 million of outstanding mortgage debt. All of this joint venture debt is non-recourse to us except (1) in the case of customary exceptions pertaining to such matters as misuse of funds, environmental conditions and material misrepresentations and (2) those guarantees and loans described in the following paragraphs. The following table sets forth the scheduled maturities of our proportionate share of the outstanding debt of our unconsolidated joint ventures as of December 31, 2007 (\$ in thousands):

| | |
|------------|------------|
| 2008 | \$ 4,686 |
| 2009 | 6,790 |
| 2010 | 9,518 |
| 2011 | 6,215 |
| 2012 | 42,011 |
| Thereafter | 164,700 |
| | \$ 233,920 |

We and our joint venture partner each guaranteed \$8.6 million of debt of the Des Moines joint ventures that was outstanding at December 31, 2007. Because the joint ventures currently generate sufficient cash flow to cover the required debt service, no liability for these guarantees was recorded at December 31, 2007. Recourse provisions exist that would enable us to recover some or all of any such payments from the joint ventures' assets.

To facilitate a financing by the RRHWoods, LLC joint venture, we and our joint venture partner each guaranteed \$3.1 million relating to a letter of credit and a corresponding master lease through August 2010. The guarantee requires us to pay under a contingent master lease if the cash flows from the building securing the letter of credit do not cover at least 50% of the minimum debt service. During 2007, we made master lease payments of \$0.1 million. As of December 31, 2007, we recorded a \$0.3 million deferred charge included in other assets and liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet with respect to this guarantee. Our maximum potential exposure under this guarantee was \$0.5 million at December 31, 2007. Recourse provisions exist that would enable us to recover some or all of any such payments from the joint venture's assets.

To facilitate a financing by the Plaza Colonnade, LLC joint venture, we and our joint venture partner signed a contingent master lease relating to 30,772 square feet through December 2009. Our maximum potential exposure under this master lease was \$0.9 million at December 31, 2007. The current occupancy level of the building has been sufficient to cover all debt service requirements and, accordingly, no payments have been required under the master lease.

To facilitate the restructuring of a tenant's leases with us and the Highwoods DLF 97/26 DLF 99/32, LP joint venture in September 2003, we agreed to compensate the joint venture for any economic losses incurred as a result of these lease modifications through June 2008. In connection with this arrangement, we have approximately \$0.2 million in other liabilities and \$0.2 million as a deferred charge in other assets recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007. Our maximum potential exposure under this arrangement was \$0.3 million at December 31, 2007. During 2007, \$0.5 million was charged to expense in connection with this arrangement.

To facilitate a financing by the RRHWoods, LLC and Dallas County Partners joint ventures, we and our joint venture partner agreed to master lease certain vacant space and each guarantee \$0.8 million of debt through 2014. No master lease payments were necessary in 2007. We currently have recorded \$0.07 million in other liabilities and \$0.07 million as a deferred charge included in other assets on its Consolidated

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Balance Sheet with respect to the master lease payment guarantee. The maximum potential exposure under the master lease was \$2.0 million at December 31, 2007. Because the joint ventures currently generate sufficient cash flow to cover the required debt service, no liability for the \$0.8 million direct guarantee was recorded at December 31, 2007. Recourse provisions exist that would enable us to recover some or all of any such payments from the joint ventures' assets.

On September 27, 2004, we and an affiliate of Crosland, Inc. (Crosland) formed Weston Lakeside, LLC, in which we had a 50.0% ownership interest. On June 29, 2005, we contributed 22.4 acres of land at an agreed upon

value of \$3.9 million to this joint venture, and Crosland contributed approximately \$2.0 million in cash. Immediately thereafter, the joint venture distributed approximately \$1.9 million to us and we recorded a gain of \$0.5 million. Crosland managed and operated this joint venture, which constructed 332 rental residential units in three buildings at a total cost of approximately \$33.7 million. Crosland received 3.25% of all project costs other than land as a development fee and 3.5% of the gross revenue of the joint venture in management fees. We provided certain development services for the project and received a fee equal to 1.0% of all project costs excluding land. We accounted for this joint venture using the equity method of accounting. On February 22, 2007, the joint venture sold the 332 rental residential units to a third party for gross proceeds of \$45.0 million and paid off all of its outstanding debt and various development related costs. The joint venture recorded a gain of \$11.3 million in the first quarter of 2007 related to this sale and we recorded \$5.0 million as our proportionate share through equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates. Our share of the gain was less than 50.0% due to Crosland's preferred return as the developer. We received aggregate net distributions of \$6.2 million. Weston Lakeside, LLC has been dissolved.

On December 22, 2004, we and Easlan Investment Group, Inc. (Easlan) formed The Vinings at University Center, LLC. We contributed 7.8 acres of land at an agreed upon value of \$1.6 million to the joint venture in December 2004 in return for a 50.0% equity interest. Easlan contributed \$1.1 million in the form of non-interest bearing promissory notes for a 50.0% equity interest in the joint venture. The joint venture completed the construction of 156 rental residential units on the 7.8 acres of land in the first quarter of 2006. Easlan was the manager and leasing agent for these residential units and received customary management fees and leasing commissions. We received development fees throughout the construction project. We consolidated this joint venture from inception under the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46(R)) because Easlan had no at-risk equity and we would absorb the majority of the joint venture's expected losses, if any. On November 1, 2006, the joint venture sold the residential units to a third party for gross proceeds of \$14.3 million, paid off all of its outstanding debt and made cash distributions to the partners. We received a distribution of \$2.9 million and recorded a gain of \$1.4 million during the fourth quarter of 2006. The Vinings at University Center, LLC has been dissolved.

We have a 22.81% interest in a joint venture (DLF I) with Schweiz-Deutschland-USA Dreilander Beteiligung Objekt DLF 98/29-Walker Fink-KG ("DLF"). We are the property manager and leasing agent of DLF I's properties and receive customary management and leasing fees. On March 12, 2007, DLF I sold five properties to a third party for gross proceeds of \$34.2 million and recorded a gain of \$9.3 million related to this sale. We recorded \$2.1 million as our proportionate share of this gain through equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates. On May 21, 2007, DLF I acquired Eola Park Centre, a 167,000 square foot office building in Orlando, Florida, for \$39.3 million. In June 2007, the joint venture obtained a \$27.7 million loan secured by Eola Park Centre. Simultaneously with DLF I's acquisition of Eola Park Centre, we separately acquired an adjacent parcel of development land for \$2.0 million on a wholly-owned basis.

Financing Arrangements

The following summarizes significant sale transactions in 2000 and 2002 that were or continue to be accounted for as financing arrangements under paragraphs 25 through 29 of SFAS No. 66 during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

- SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP

On September 11, 2002, we contributed Harborview Plaza, an office building in Tampa, Florida, to SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP (Harborview LP), a newly formed entity, in exchange for a 20.0% limited partnership interest and \$35.4 million in cash. The other partner contributed \$12.6 million of cash and a new loan was obtained by the partnership for \$22.8 million. In connection with this disposition, we entered into a master lease agreement with Harborview LP for five years on the then vacant space in the building (approximately 20% of the building); occupancy was 98.8% at December 31, 2007. We also guaranteed to Harborview LP the payment of tenant improvements and lease commissions of \$1.2 million. Our maximum exposure to loss under the master lease agreement was \$2.1 million at September 11, 2002; this guarantee expired on September 10, 2007. Additionally, our partner in Harborview LP was granted the right to put its 80.0% equity interest in Harborview LP to us in exchange for cash at any time during the one-year period commencing September 11, 2014. The value of the 80.0% equity interest will be

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

determined at the time that such partner elects to exercise its put right, if ever, based upon the then fair market value of Harborview LP's assets and liabilities, less 3.0%, which amount was intended to cover the normal costs of a sale transaction.

Because of the put option, this transaction is accounted for as a financing transaction as described in Note 1. Accordingly, the assets, liabilities and operations related to Harborview Plaza, the property owned by Harborview LP, including any new financing by the partnership, remain in our Consolidated Financial Statements. As a result, we have established a financing obligation equal to the net equity contributed by the other partner. At the end of each reporting period, the balance of the financing obligation is adjusted to equal the greater of the original financing obligation or the current fair value of the put option discussed above. The estimated fair value of the put option was \$15.6 million at December 31, 2007. This amount is adjusted by a related valuation allowance account, which is being amortized prospectively through September 2014 as interest expense on financing obligation. The amount of the financing obligation together with the valuation allowance was \$16.6 million at December 31, 2007. Additionally, the net income from the operations before depreciation of Harborview Plaza allocable to the 80.0% partner is recorded as interest expense on financing obligation. We continue to depreciate the property and record all of the depreciation on our books. Any payments made under the master lease agreement were expensed as incurred (\$0.07 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million was expensed during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively) and any amounts paid under the tenant improvement and lease commission guarantee are capitalized and amortized to expense over the remaining lease term. At such time as the put option expires or is otherwise terminated, we will record the transaction as a sale and recognize gain on sale.

- Eastshore

On November 26, 2002, we sold three buildings located in Richmond, Virginia (the Eastshore transaction) for a total price of \$28.5 million in cash. Each of the properties was leased on a triple-net basis to Capital One Services, Inc., a subsidiary of Capital One Financial Services, Inc. In connection with the sale, we guaranteed any shortfalls that may be incurred in the payment of rent and re-tenanting costs for a five-year period from the date of sale (through November 2007). Our maximum exposure to loss under the rental guarantee agreements was \$18.7 million at the date of sale. Payments made under this guarantee were as follows: 2002 and 2003 - none; 2004 - \$0.09 million; 2005 - \$0.1 million; 2006 - \$0.7 million; and 2007 through the guarantee termination date - \$0.8 million.

These rent guarantees were a form of continuing involvement as discussed in paragraph 28 of SFAS No. 66. Because the guarantees covered the entire space occupied by a single tenant under a triple-net lease arrangement, our guarantees were considered a guaranteed return on the buyer's investment for an extended period of time. Therefore, the transaction had been accounted for as a financing transaction following the accounting method described in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements through July 2005. Accordingly, through July 2005, the assets, liabilities and operations were included in the Consolidated Financial Statements, and a financing obligation of \$28.8 million was recorded, which represented the amount received from the buyer, adjusted for subsequent activity. The income from the operations of the properties, other than depreciation, was allocated 100.0% to the owner as interest expense on financing obligations. Payments made under the rent guarantees were charged to expense as incurred. This transaction was recorded as a completed sale transaction in July 2005 when the maximum exposure to loss under the guarantees became less than the related deferred gain; accordingly, \$1.7 million in gain was recognized in the last six months of 2005, \$3.6 million in gain was recognized in 2006 and \$3.3 million in gain was recognized in 2007 as the maximum exposure under the guarantees was reduced. Payments made under rent guarantees after July 2005 were recorded as a reduction of the deferred gain.

Interest Rate Hedging Activities

To meet, in part, our long-term liquidity requirements, we borrow funds at a combination of fixed and variable rates. Borrowings under our revolving credit facility bear interest at variable rates. Our long-term debt, which consists of secured and unsecured long-term financings and the issuance of unsecured debt securities, typically bears interest at fixed rates although some loans bear interest at variable rates. Our interest rate risk management objectives are to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower our overall borrowing costs. To achieve these objectives, from time to time, we may enter into interest rate hedge contracts such as collars, swaps, caps and treasury lock agreements in order to mitigate our interest rate risk with respect to various debt instruments. We do not hold or issue these derivative contracts for trading or speculative purposes. The interest rate on all of our variable rate debt is adjusted at one and three month intervals, subject to settlements under these interest rate hedge contracts. We also enter into treasury lock agreements from time to time in order to limit our exposure

to an increase in interest rates with respect to future debt offerings.

During 2007, we entered into two floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps for a one-year period with respect to an aggregate of \$50 million of borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility. These swaps fix the underlying 30-day LIBOR rate under which interest on such borrowings is based at 4.70%. These swaps were not designated as hedges as of December 31, 2007 under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS No. 133), as amended by SFAS No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. Accordingly, the swaps were accounted for as non-hedge derivatives as of December 31, 2007, and an aggregate unrealized loss of \$0.2 million was recognized in 2007 for these two swaps.

In January 2008, we entered into two additional floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps for a one-year period with respect to an aggregate of \$50 million of borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility or other floating rate debt. These swaps fix the underlying LIBOR rate under which interest on such borrowings is based at 3.26% for \$30 million of borrowings and 3.24% for \$20 million of borrowings. These swaps were designated as hedges under SFAS No. 133. Accordingly, the swaps are being accounted for as cash flow hedges.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the reporting period. Actual results could differ from our estimates.

The policies and estimates used in the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements are described in Note 1 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2007. However, certain of our significant accounting policies contain an increased level of assumptions used or estimates made in determining their impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements. Management has reviewed our critical accounting policies and estimates with the audit committee of our Board of Directors.

We consider our critical accounting estimates to be those used in the determination of the reported amounts and disclosure related to the following:

Real estate and related assets;

Sales of real estate;

Allowance for doubtful accounts; and

Property operating expense recoveries.

Real Estate and Related Assets

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Real estate and related assets are recorded at cost and stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Renovations, replacements and other expenditures that improve or extend the life of an asset are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance and repairs are charged to operating expense as incurred. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of 40 years for buildings and depreciable land infrastructure costs, 15 years for building improvements and five to seven years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Tenant improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over initial fixed terms of the respective leases, which generally are from three to 10 years.

Expenditures directly related to the development and construction of real estate assets are included in net real estate assets and are stated at cost in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Our capitalization policy on development properties is in accordance with SFAS No. 67, Accounting for Costs and the Initial Rental Operations of Real Estate Properties, SFAS No. 34, Capitalization of Interest Costs, and SFAS No. 58,

Capitalization of Interest Cost in Financial Statements That Include Investments Accounted for by the Equity Method. Development expenditures include pre-construction costs essential to the development of properties, development and construction costs, interest costs, real estate taxes, salaries and related costs and other costs incurred during the period of development. Interest and other carrying costs are capitalized until the building is ready for its intended use. We consider a construction project as substantially completed and ready for its intended use upon the

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

completion of tenant improvements. Interest and other carrying costs are capitalized until the building is ready for its intended use, but not later than one year from cessation of major construction activity. We cease capitalization on the portion that is substantially completed and occupied or held available for occupancy, and capitalize only those costs associated with the portion under construction.

Expenditures directly related to the leasing of properties are included in deferred leasing costs and are stated at cost in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. We capitalize initial direct costs related to our leasing efforts in accordance with SFAS No. 91, Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases. All leasing commissions paid to third parties for new leases or lease renewals are capitalized. Internal leasing costs include primarily compensation, benefits and other costs such as legal fees related to leasing activities that are incurred in connection with successfully securing leases on the properties. Capitalized leasing costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the initial fixed terms of the respective leases, which generally are from three to 10 years. Estimated costs related to unsuccessful activities are expensed as incurred. Changes in our estimates regarding the successful efforts of leasing could result in changes that impact earnings.

We record liabilities under FASB Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an interpretation of SFAS No. 143 (FIN 47) for the performance of asset retirement activities when the obligation to perform such activities is unconditional, whether or not the timing or method of settlement of the obligation may be conditional on a future event.

Upon the acquisition of real estate, we assess the fair value of acquired tangible assets such as land, buildings and tenant improvements, intangible assets such as above and below market leases, acquired in-place leases and other identified intangible assets and assumed liabilities in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. We allocate the purchase price to the acquired assets and assumed liabilities based on their relative fair values. We assess and consider fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and/or capitalization rates as well as available market information. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it were vacant.

Above and below market leases acquired are recorded in other assets at their fair value. Fair value is calculated as the present value of the difference between (1) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (2) our estimate of fair market lease rates for each corresponding in-place lease, using a discount rate that reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired and measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease for above-market leases and the initial term plus the term of any below-market fixed rate renewal options for below-market leases. The capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases and the capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases and any below market option periods. If a tenant vacates its space prior to its contractual expiration date, any unamortized balance is adjusted through rental revenue.

In-place leases acquired are recorded at their fair value in real estate and related assets and are amortized to depreciation and amortization expense over the remaining term of the respective lease. The value of in-place leases is based on our evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease. Factors considered include estimates of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods, current market conditions and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, we include real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up periods, depending on local market conditions. In estimating costs to execute similar leases, we consider tenant improvements, leasing commissions and legal and other related expenses. The value of in-place leases is amortized to depreciation and amortization expense over the remaining term of the respective leases. If a tenant vacates its space prior to its contractual expiration date, any unamortized balance of its related asset is expensed.

The value of a tenant relationship is based on our overall relationship with the respective tenant. Factors considered include the tenant's credit quality and expectations of lease renewals. The value of a tenant relationship is amortized to depreciation and amortization expense over the initial term and any renewal periods defined in the respective leases. Based on our acquisitions since the adoption of SFAS No. 141 and SFAS

No. 142, we have deemed tenant relationships to be immaterial and have not allocated any amounts to this intangible asset. We will evaluate these items in future transactions.

Real estate and leasehold improvements are classified as long-lived assets held for sale or as long-lived assets to be held for use. Real estate is classified as held for sale when the criteria set forth in SFAS No. 144 are satisfied; this determination requires us to make estimates and assumptions, including assessing the probability that potential sales transactions may or may not occur. Actual results could differ from those assumptions. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, we record assets held for sale at the lower of the carrying amount or estimated fair value. Fair value of assets held for sale is equal to the estimated or contracted sales price with a potential buyer less costs to sell. The impairment loss is the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value. With respect to assets classified as held for use, if events or changes in circumstances, such as a significant decline in occupancy and change in use, indicate that the carrying value may be impaired, an impairment analysis is performed. Such analysis consists of determining whether the asset's carrying amount will be recovered from its undiscounted estimated future operating cash flows, including estimated residual cash flows. These cash flows are estimated based on a number of assumptions that are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, among others, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates and costs to operate each property. If the carrying amount of a held for use asset exceeds the sum of its undiscounted future operating and residual cash flows, an impairment loss is recorded for the difference between estimated fair value of the asset and the net carrying amount. We generally estimate the fair value of assets held for use by using discounted cash flow analysis; in some instances, appraisal information may be available and is used in addition to the discounted cash flow analysis. As the factors used in generating these cash flows are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter our assumptions, the discounted and/or undiscounted future operating and residual cash flows estimated by us in our impairment analyses or those established by appraisal may not be achieved and we may be required to recognize future impairment losses on our properties held for sale and held for use.

Sales of Real Estate

We account for sales of real estate in accordance with SFAS No. 66. For sales transactions meeting the requirements of SFAS No. 66 for full profit recognition, the related assets and liabilities are removed from the balance sheet and the resultant gain or loss is recorded in the period the transaction closes. For sales transactions that do not meet the criteria for full profit recognition, we account for the transactions in accordance with the methods specified in SFAS No. 66. For sales transactions with continuing involvement after the sale, if the continuing involvement with the property is limited by the terms of the sales contract, profit is recognized at the time of sale and is reduced by the maximum exposure to loss related to the nature of the continuing involvement. Sales to entities in which we have or receive an interest are accounted for in accordance with partial sale accounting provisions as set forth in SFAS No. 66.

For sales transactions that do not meet sale criteria as set forth in SFAS No. 66, we evaluate the nature of the continuing involvement, including put and call provisions, if present, and account for the transaction as a financing arrangement, profit-sharing arrangement, leasing arrangement or other alternate method of accounting, rather than as a sale, based on the nature and extent of the continuing involvement. Some transactions may have numerous forms of continuing involvement. In those cases, we determine which method is most appropriate based on the substance of the transaction.

If we have an obligation to repurchase the property at a higher price or at a future indeterminable value (such as fair market value), or we guarantee the return of the buyer's investment or a return on that investment for an extended period, we account for such transaction as a financing transaction. If we have an option to repurchase the property at a higher price and it is likely we will exercise this option, the transaction is accounted for as a financing transaction. For transactions treated as financings, we record the amounts received from the buyer as a financing obligation and continue to keep the property and related accounts recorded on our consolidated financial statements. The results of operations of the property, net of expenses other than depreciation (net operating income), are reflected as interest expense on the financing obligation. If the transaction includes an obligation or option to repurchase the asset at a higher price, additional interest is recorded to accrete the liability to the repurchase price. For options or obligations to repurchase the asset at fair market value at the end of each reporting period, the balance of the liability is adjusted to equal the current fair value to the extent fair value exceeds the original financing obligation. The corresponding debit or credit will be recorded to a related discount account and the revised debt discount is amortized over the expected term until termination of the option or obligation. If it is unlikely such option will be exercised, the transaction is accounted for under the deposit method or profit-sharing method. If we have an obligation or option to repurchase at a lower price, the transaction is accounted for as a leasing arrangement. At such time as these repurchase obligations expire, a sale will be recorded and gain recognized.

If we retain an interest in the buyer and provide certain rent guarantees or other forms of support where the maximum exposure to loss exceeds the gain, we account for such transaction as a profit-sharing arrangement. For transactions treated as profit-sharing arrangements, we record a profit-sharing obligation for the amount of equity contributed by the other partner and continue to keep the property and related accounts recorded on our consolidated financial statements. The results of operations of the property, net of expenses other than depreciation (net operating income), are allocated to the other partner for their percentage interest and reflected as co-venture expense in our Consolidated Financial Statements. In future periods, a sale is recorded and profit is recognized when the remaining maximum exposure to loss is reduced below the amount of gain deferred.

Discontinued Operations

Properties that are sold or classified as held for sale are classified as discontinued operations in accordance with SFAS No. 144 and EITF Issue No. 03-13, Applying the Conditions of Paragraph 42 of FASB Statement No. 144 in Determining Whether to Report Discontinued Operations, (effective beginning in 2005) provided that (1) the operations and cash flows of the property will be eliminated from our ongoing operations and (2) we will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the property after it is sold. Interest expense is included in discontinued operations if the related loan securing the sold property is paid off or assumed by the buyer in connection with the sale. If the property is sold to a joint venture in which we retain an interest, the property will not be accounted for as a discontinued operation due to our significant ongoing interest in the operations through our joint venture interest. If we are retained to provide property management, leasing and/or other services for the property owner after the sale, the property generally will be accounted for as a discontinued operation because the expected cash flows related to these management and leasing activities will generally not be significant in comparison to the cash flows from the property prior to sale.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are reduced by an allowance for amounts that may become uncollectible in the future. Our receivable balance is comprised primarily of rents and operating cost recoveries due from tenants as well as accrued straight-line rents receivable. We regularly evaluate the adequacy of our allowance for doubtful accounts. The evaluation primarily consists of reviewing past due account balances and considering such factors as the credit quality of our tenant, historical trends of the tenant and/or other debtor, current economic conditions and changes in customer payment terms. Additionally, with respect to tenants in bankruptcy, we estimate the expected recovery through bankruptcy claims and increase the allowance for amounts deemed uncollectible. If our assumptions regarding the collectibility of accounts receivable and accrued straight-line rents receivable prove incorrect, we could experience write-offs of accounts receivable or accrued straight-line rents receivable in excess of our allowance for doubtful accounts.

Property Operating Expense Recoveries

Property operating cost recoveries from tenants (or cost reimbursements) are determined on a lease-by-lease basis. The most common types of cost reimbursements in our leases are common area maintenance (CAM) and real estate taxes, where the tenant pays its pro-rata share of operating and administrative expenses and real estate taxes.

The computation of property operating cost recovery income from tenants is complex and involves numerous judgments, including the interpretation of terms and other tenant lease provisions. Leases are not uniform in dealing with such cost reimbursements and there are many variations in the computation. Many tenants make monthly fixed payments of CAM, real estate taxes and other cost reimbursement items. We record these payments as income each month. We make adjustments, positive or negative, to cost recovery income to adjust the recorded amounts to our best estimate of the final amounts to be billed and collected with respect to the cost reimbursements. After the end of the calendar

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

year, we compute each tenant's final cost reimbursements and, after considering amounts paid by the tenants during the year, issue a bill or credit for the appropriate amount to the tenant. The differences between the amounts billed less previously received payments and the accrual adjustment are recorded as increases or decreases to cost recovery income when the final bills are prepared, usually beginning in March and completed by mid-year.

FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

We believe that FFO and FFO per share are beneficial to management and investors and are important indicators of the performance of any equity REIT. Because FFO and FFO per share calculations exclude such factors as depreciation and amortization of real estate assets and gains or losses from sales of operating real estate assets (which can vary among owners of identical assets in similar conditions based on historical cost accounting and useful life estimates), they facilitate comparisons of operating performance between periods and between other REITs. Our management believes that historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors and analysts have considered the presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. As a result, management believes that the use of FFO and FFO per share, together with the required GAAP presentations, provide a more complete understanding of our performance relative to our competitors and a more informed and appropriate basis on which to make decisions involving operating, financing and investing activities.

FFO and FFO per share as disclosed by other REITs may not be comparable to our calculation of FFO and FFO per share as described below. However, you should be aware that FFO and FFO per share are non-GAAP financial measures and therefore do not represent net income or net income per share as defined by GAAP. Net income and net income per share as defined by GAAP are the most relevant measures in determining our operating performance because FFO and FFO per share include adjustments that investors may deem subjective, such as adding back expenses such as depreciation and amortization. Furthermore, FFO per share does not depict the amount that accrues directly to the stockholders benefit. Accordingly, FFO and FFO per share should never be considered as alternatives to net income or net income per share as indicators of our operating performance.

Our calculation of FFO, which we believe is consistent with the calculation of FFO as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) and which appropriately excludes the cost of capital improvements and related capitalized interest, is as follows:

Net income (loss) computed in accordance with GAAP;

Less dividends to holders of Preferred Stock and less excess of Preferred Stock redemption cost over carrying value;

Plus depreciation and amortization of assets uniquely significant to the real estate industry;

Less gains, or plus losses, from sales of depreciable operating properties (but excluding impairment losses) and excluding items that are classified as extraordinary items under GAAP;

Plus or minus adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures (to reflect funds from operations on the same basis); and

Plus or minus adjustments for depreciation and amortization and gains/(losses) on sales and minority interest related to discontinued operations.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Further, in calculating FFO, we add back minority interest in the income from our Operating Partnership, which we believe is consistent with standard industry practice for REITs that operate through an UPREIT structure. We believe that it is important to present FFO on an as-converted basis since all of the Common Units not owned by the Company are redeemable on a one-for-one basis for shares of our Common Stock.

Other REITs may not define FFO in accordance with the current NAREIT definition or may interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than we do.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

FFO and FFO per share for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are summarized in the following table (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | 2007 | | 2006 | | 2005 | |
|---|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | Amount | Per Share | Amount | Per Share | Amount | Per Share |
| Funds from operations: | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 90,745 | | \$ 53,744 | | \$ 62,458 | |
| Dividends to preferred stockholders | (13,477) | | (17,063) | | (27,238) | |
| Excess of Preferred Stock redemption cost over carrying value | (2,285) | | (1,803) | | (4,272) | |
| Net income available for common stockholders | 74,983 | \$ 1.31 | 34,878 | \$ 0.62 | 30,948 | \$ 0.58 |
| Add/(Deduct): | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets | 119,754 | 1.95 | 109,796 | 1.79 | 104,453 | 1.73 |
| (Gains) on disposition of depreciable properties | (3,952) | (0.06) | (4,114) | (0.07) | (7,692) | (0.13) |
| Minority interest from the Operating Partnership in income from operations | 3,066 | | 1,640 | | (255) | |
| Unconsolidated affiliates: | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets | 13,438 | 0.21 | 11,191 | 0.18 | 10,989 | 0.18 |
| (Gains) on disposition of depreciable properties | (7,158) | (0.12) | | | | |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets | 1,692 | 0.03 | 5,438 | 0.09 | 19,370 | 0.32 |
| (Gains) on disposition of depreciable properties | (34,861) | (0.57) | (15,082) | (0.24) | (34,128) | (0.57) |
| Minority interest from the Operating Partnership in income from discontinued operations | 2,605 | | 1,538 | | 3,536 | |
| Release of FASB FIN 48 tax liability | (1,473) | (0.02) | | | | |
| Funds from operations | \$ 168,094 | \$ 2.73 | \$ 145,285 | \$ 2.37 | \$ 127,221 | \$ 2.11 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding (1) | 61,547 | | 61,362 | | 60,301 | |

(1) Includes assumed conversion of all potentially dilutive Common Stock equivalents.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The effects of potential changes in interest rates are discussed below. Our market risk discussion includes forward-looking statements and represents an estimate of possible changes in fair value or future earnings that would occur assuming hypothetical future movements in interest rates. These disclosures are not precise indicators of expected future effects, but only indicators of reasonably possible effects. As a result, actual future results may differ materially from those presented. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources and the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a description of our accounting policies and other information related to these financial instruments.

To meet in part our long-term liquidity requirements, we borrow funds at a combination of fixed and variable rates. Borrowings under our revolving credit facility bear interest at variable rates. Our long-term debt, which consists of secured and unsecured long-term financings and the issuance of unsecured debt securities, typically bears interest at fixed rates although some loans bear interest at variable rates. Our interest rate risk management objectives are to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower our overall borrowing costs. To achieve these objectives, from time to time we enter into interest rate hedge contracts such as collars, swaps, caps and treasury lock agreements in order to mitigate our interest rate risk with respect to various debt instruments. We generally do not hold or issue these derivative contracts for trading or speculative purposes.

As of December 31, 2007, we had \$1,394 million of fixed rate debt outstanding. The estimated aggregate fair market value of this debt at December 31, 2007 was \$1,385 million. If interest rates increase by 100 basis points, the aggregate fair market value of our fixed rate debt as of December 31, 2007 would decrease by approximately \$69 million. If interest rates decrease by 100 basis points, the aggregate fair market value of our fixed rate debt as of December 31, 2007 would increase by approximately \$75 million.

As of December 31, 2007, we had \$197.6 million of variable rate debt outstanding not protected by interest rate hedge contracts. If the weighted average interest rate on this variable rate debt is 100 basis points higher or lower during the 12 months ended December 31, 2008, our interest expense relating to this debt would be increased or decreased approximately \$2.0 million.

For a discussion of the \$50 million of interest rate swaps in effect at December 31, 2007, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Interest Rate Hedging Activities. If LIBOR interest rates increase or decrease by 100 basis points, the aggregate fair market value of these swaps as of December 31, 2007 would decrease or increase, respectively, by approximately \$0.3 million.

In addition, we are exposed to certain losses in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties under the swaps. We expect the counterparties, which are major financial institutions, to perform fully under the swaps. However, if a counterparty defaults on its obligations under a swap, we could be required to pay the full rates on our debt, even if such rates were in excess of the rate in the contract.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

See page 53 for Index to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

46

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

GENERAL

The purpose of this section is to discuss the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting. The statements in this section represent the conclusions of Edward J. Fritsch, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and Terry L. Stevens, our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer.

The CEO and CFO evaluations of our controls and procedures include a review of the controls' objectives and design, the controls' implementation by us and the effect of the controls on the information generated for use in this Annual Report. We seek to identify data errors, control problems or acts of fraud and confirm that appropriate corrective action, including process improvements, is undertaken. Our controls and procedures are also evaluated on an ongoing basis by or through the following:

activities undertaken and reports issued by employees in our internal audit department;

quarterly sub-certifications by representatives from appropriate business and accounting functions to support the CEO's and CFO's evaluations of our controls and procedures;

other personnel in our finance and accounting organization;

members of our internal disclosure committee; and

members of the audit committee of our Board of Directors.

We do not expect that our controls and procedures will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of controls and procedures must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of a simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

MANAGEMENT'S ANNUAL REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

We are required to establish and maintain internal control over financial reporting designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepting accounting principles. As defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act, internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of assets;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepting accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Under the supervision of our CEO and CFO, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 based on the criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have concluded that, as of December 31, 2007, our internal control over financial reporting was effective. Deloitte & Touche LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, has issued their attestation report, which is included below, on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Highwoods Properties, Inc.

Raleigh, North Carolina

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Highwoods Properties, Inc. (the "Company") as of December 31, 2007, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

In our opinion, Highwoods Properties, Inc., maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules as of and for the year ended December 31, 2007, of Highwoods Properties, Inc., and our report dated March 2, 2008, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedules.

Raleigh, North Carolina

March 2, 2008

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

In Item 9A of our 2006 Annual Report, our management reported that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2006 due to material weaknesses that existed as of such date in the internal control environment associated with our accounting for real estate assets. In 2007, we developed and implemented remediation plans to improve the internal control environment associated with the material weaknesses that existed as of December 31, 2006. First, we converted from a supplemental software package, which had been used for tracking detailed fixed asset records and for calculating depreciation, to the detailed fixed asset and depreciation module contained within and integrated to our general ledger package. This conversion eliminated the need to reconcile the supplemental system to the general ledger, enhanced the effectiveness of our current fixed asset account reconciliations, and allowed for the development and use of additional integrity reports to analyze our fixed asset and related depreciation accounts. Second, we began using our centralized lease approval software to identify and properly account for all tenant improvements undertaken by tenants. Third, we implemented additional analytical procedures to reasonably assure that costs related to in-process building improvements, tenant improvements and new development completion costs are identified and properly accrued in our consolidated financial statements on a timely basis. Fourth, we set up an internal steering committee and hired an outside consultant to evaluate our current use of the job-cost module within our general ledger package. As a result, we designed and are implementing improved procedures in our use of the software to track the percentage of completion of jobs that are in process. Fifth, we developed and are implementing a plan to use our centralized invoice approval software to process all invoices related to in-process building improvements, tenant improvements and new development completion costs. Sixth, we created a Director of SOX Compliance position, which was filled in November 2007, to oversee our current project to develop and implement a Company-wide policy and procedures manual for use by our divisional and accounting staff to reasonably assure consistent and appropriate assessment and application of generally accepted accounting principles. Seventh, we hired a Chief Accounting Officer who started with the Company in August 2007. As a result of the foregoing activities, the material weaknesses that existed as of December 31, 2006 were determined to have been remediated as of December 31, 2007.

DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

SEC rules also require us to maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our annual and periodic reports filed with the SEC is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. As defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act, disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our CEO and CFO believe that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the end of the period covered by this Annual Report.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information about our executive officers and directors and the code of ethics that applies to our chief executive officer and our senior financial officers, which is posted on our website, is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 15, 2008. See Item X in Part I of this Annual Report for biographical information regarding our executive officers.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information about the compensation of our directors and executive officers is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 15, 2008.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information about the beneficial ownership of our Common Stock and our equity compensation plans is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 15, 2008.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information about certain relationships and related transactions and the independence of our directors is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 15, 2008.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Information about fees paid to and services provided by our independent registered public accounting firms is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement to be filed in connection with our annual meeting of stockholders to be held on May 15, 2008.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reference is made to the Index of Financial Statements on page 53 for a list of the consolidated financial statements included in this report.

EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|---------------------------|--|
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Company (filed as part of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 25, 1997 and amended by articles supplementary filed as part of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 4, 1997 and articles supplementary filed as part of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 20, 1998) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company (filed as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004) |
| 4.1 | Indenture among the Operating Partnership, the Company and First Union National Bank of North Carolina dated as of December 1, 1996 (filed as part of the Operating Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 2, 1996) |
| 4.2 | Form of 5.85% Notes due March 15, 2017 (filed as part of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 22, 2007) |
| 4.3 | Officers' Certificate Establishing the Terms of the 5.85% Notes, dated as of March 22, 2007 (filed as part of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 22, 2007) |
| 10.1 | Second Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, dated as of January 1, 2000, of the Operating Partnership (filed as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004) |
| 10.2 | Amendment No. 1, dated as of July 22, 2004, to the Second Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, dated as of January 1, 2000, of the Operating Partnership (filed as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004) |
| 10.3 | Amended and Restated 1994 Stock Option Plan (filed as part of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002) |
| 10.4 | Form of warrants to purchase Common Stock of the Company issued to former shareholders of Associated Capital Properties, Inc. (filed as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997) |
| 10.5 | 2005 Shareholder Value Plan (filed as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004) |
| 10.6 | Amendment to Credit Agreement among the Operating Partnership, the Company, the Subsidiaries named therein and the Lender named therein, dated August 8, 2006 (filed as part of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

August 8, 2006)

- 10.7 Highwoods Properties, Inc. Retirement Plan, effective as of March 1, 2006 (filed as part of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007)
- 10.8 Executive Supplemental Employment Agreement, dated as of April 13, 2007, between the Company and Edward J. Fritsch (filed as part of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 13, 2007)

51

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Exhibit

Number

Description

| | |
|-------|---|
| 10.9 | Executive Supplemental Employment Agreement, dated as of April 13, 2007, between the Company and Michael E. Harris (filed as part of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 13, 2007) |
| 10.10 | Executive Supplemental Employment Agreement, dated as of April 13, 2007, between the Company and Terry L Stevens (filed as part of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 13, 2007) |
| 10.11 | Executive Supplemental Employment Agreement, dated as of April 13, 2007, between the Company and Gene H. Anderson (filed as part of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 13, 2007) |
| 10.12 | Executive Supplemental Employment Agreement, dated as of April 13, 2007, between the Company and Jeffrey D. Miller (filed as part of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 13, 2007) |
| 21 | Schedule of subsidiaries of the Company (filed as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004) |
| 23.1 | Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP |
| 31.1 | Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act |
| 31.2 | Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act |
| 31.3 | Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act |
| 32.1 | Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act |
| 32.2 | Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act |
| 32.3 | Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act |

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 54 |
| Consolidated Financial Statements: | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 | 55 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 | 56 |
| Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 | 57 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 | 58 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 60 |
| Schedule II | 111 |
| Schedule III | 112 |

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or notes thereto.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Highwoods Properties, Inc.

Raleigh, North Carolina

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Highwoods Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Highwoods Properties, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2006, the Company changed its method of accounting for share-based payments to conform to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*, and changed its method of accounting for joint ventures to conform to Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 04-5, *Determining Whether a General Partner or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights*.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated March 2, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Raleigh, North Carolina

March 2, 2008

54

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | December 31, 2007 | 2006 |
|---|------------------------------|--------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Real estate assets, at cost: | | |
| Land | \$ 357,841 | \$ 345,548 |
| Buildings and tenant improvements | 2,708,989 | 2,573,032 |
| Development in process | 101,661 | 101,899 |
| Land held for development | 103,365 | 102,642 |
| | 3,271,856 | 3,123,121 |
| Less-accumulated depreciation | (649,765) | (588,307) |
| Net real estate assets | 2,622,091 | 2,534,814 |
| Real estate and other assets, net, held for sale | 10,466 | 44,321 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 3,140 | 16,690 |
| Restricted cash | 15,896 | 2,027 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$935 and \$1,253, respectively | 23,521 | 23,347 |
| Notes receivable, net of allowance of \$68 and \$786, respectively | 5,226 | 7,871 |
| Accrued straight-line rents receivable, net of allowance of \$440 and \$301, respectively | 74,427 | 68,364 |
| Investment in unconsolidated affiliates | 58,046 | 60,359 |
| Deferred financing and leasing costs, net of accumulated amortization | 72,188 | 66,352 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 41,954 | 20,708 |
| Total Assets | \$ 2,926,955 | \$ 2,844,853 |
| Liabilities, Minority Interest and Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Mortgages and notes payable | \$ 1,641,987 | \$ 1,465,129 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 157,766 | 156,737 |
| Financing obligations | 35,071 | 35,530 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,834,824 | 1,657,396 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (see Note 15) | | |
| Minority interest | 70,098 | 79,726 |
| Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value, 50,000,000 authorized shares; | | |
| 8 5/8% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (liquidation preference \$1,000 per share), 82,937 and 104,945 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively | 82,937 | 104,945 |
| 8% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares (liquidation preference \$25 per share), 2,100,000 and 3,700,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively | 52,500 | 92,500 |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 authorized shares; 57,167,193 and 56,211,148 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively | 572 | 562 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,448,055 | 1,449,337 |
| Distributions in excess of net earnings | (561,093) | (538,098) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (938) | (1,515) |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | 1,022,033 | 1,107,731 |
| Total Liabilities, Minority Interest and Stockholders' Equity | \$ 2,926,955 | \$ 2,844,853 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**Consolidated Statements of Income**

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Rental and other revenues | \$ 437,059 | \$ 409,273 | \$ 387,929 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Rental property and other expenses | 157,264 | 150,472 | 138,532 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 122,172 | 112,883 | 107,087 |
| Impairment of assets held for use | 789 | | 3,172 |
| General and administrative | 41,570 | 37,309 | 33,063 |
| Total operating expenses | 321,795 | 300,664 | 281,854 |
| Interest expenses: | | | |
| Contractual | 93,992 | 94,229 | 98,231 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 2,415 | 2,375 | 3,372 |
| Financing obligations | 3,930 | 4,162 | 5,032 |
| | 100,337 | 100,766 | 106,635 |
| Other income/(expense): | | | |
| Interest and other income | 6,413 | 6,993 | 7,042 |
| Settlement of tenant bankruptcy claim | | 1,581 | |
| Loss on debt extinguishments | | (494) | (453) |
| | 6,413 | 8,080 | 6,589 |
| Income before disposition of property, insurance gain, minority interest and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | 21,340 | 15,923 | 6,029 |
| Gains on disposition of property, net | 20,562 | 16,157 | 14,172 |
| Gain from property insurance settlement | 4,128 | | |
| Minority interest | (3,745) | (2,245) | 255 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | 13,110 | 6,841 | 9,303 |
| Income from continuing operations | 55,395 | 36,676 | 29,759 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 1,865 | 3,210 | 9,473 |
| Net gains on sales of discontinued operations, net of minority interest | 32,012 | 13,858 | 23,226 |
| Release of FASB FIN 48 tax liability | 1,473 | | |
| | 35,350 | 17,068 | 32,699 |
| Net income | 90,745 | 53,744 | 62,458 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (13,477) | (17,063) | (27,238) |
| Excess of preferred stock redemption cost over carrying value | (2,285) | (1,803) | (4,272) |
| Net income available for common stockholders | \$ 74,983 | \$ 34,878 | \$ 30,948 |
| Net income per common share - basic: | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.03) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.63 | 0.31 | 0.61 |
| Net income | \$ 1.33 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.58 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic | 56,444 | 54,489 | 53,732 |
| Net income per common share - diluted: | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.32 | \$ (0.03) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.62 | 0.30 | 0.61 |
| Net income | \$ 1.31 | \$ 0.62 | \$ 0.58 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted | 61,547 | 61,362 | 53,732 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 1.70 | \$ 1.70 | \$ 1.70 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity**

(in thousands, except share amounts)

For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

| | Number of | | | | | Additional | Defer- | Accum | Distri- | |
|---|------------|--------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Common | Commo- | Series A | Series B | Series D | Paid-In | Compen- | Other | in Excess | |
| | Shares | Stock | Preferred | Preferred | Preferred | Capital | sation | Loss | of Net | Total |
| Balance at December 31, 2004 | 53,813,422 | \$ 538 | \$ 104,945 | \$ 172,500 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 1,416,130 | \$ (4,111) | \$ (2,814) | \$ (419,078) | \$ 1,368,110 |
| Issuance of Common Stock | 109,528 | 1 | - | - | - | 1,649 | - | - | - | 1,650 |
| Common Stock dividends | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (91,771) | (91,771) |
| Preferred Stock dividends | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (27,238) | (27,238) |
| Adjustment to minority interest of unitholders in the Operating Partnership | - | - | - | - | - | (4,916) | - | - | - | (4,916) |
| Issuance of restricted stock, net | 105,557 | 1 | - | - | - | 1,316 | (1,317) | - | - | - |
| Redemption of Preferred Stock | - | - | - | (30,000) | (100,000) | 4,272 | - | - | (4,272) | (130,000) |
| Fair market value of options granted | - | - | - | - | - | 1,232 | (1,232) | - | - | - |
| Amortization of restricted stock and stock options | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2,724 | - | - | 2,724 |
| Other comprehensive income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 602 | - | 602 |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 62,458 | 62,458 |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | 54,028,507 | 540 | 104,945 | 142,500 | - | 1,419,683 | (3,936) | (2,212) | (479,901) | 1,181,619 |
| Reversal of unvested deferred compensation as a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) | - | - | - | - | - | (3,936) | 3,936 | - | - | - |
| Issuance of Common Stock | 1,975,628 | 21 | - | - | - | 42,784 | - | - | - | 42,805 |
| Conversion of warrants to shares | 83,941 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Common Stock dividends | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (93,075) | (93,075) |
| Preferred Stock dividends | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (17,063) | (17,063) |
| Adjustment to minority interest of unitholders in the Operating Partnership | - | - | - | - | - | (14,726) | - | - | - | (14,726) |
| Issuance of restricted stock, net | 123,072 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redemption of Preferred Stock | - | - | - | (50,000) | - | 1,803 | - | - | (1,803) | (50,000) |
| Amortization of restricted stock and stock options | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3,729 | - | - | - | 3,730 |
| Other comprehensive income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 697 | - | 697 |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 53,744 | 53,744 |
| Balance at December 31, 2006 | 56,211,148 | 562 | 104,945 | 92,500 | - | 1,449,337 | - | (1,515) | (538,098) | 1,107,731 |
| Cumulative effect adjustment resulting from the adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 48 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (1,424) | (1,424) |
| Issuance of Common Stock | 692,281 | 7 | - | - | - | 7,060 | - | - | - | 7,067 |
| Conversion of Common Units to Common Stock | 55,836 | 1 | - | - | - | 2,165 | - | - | - | 2,166 |
| Common Stock dividends | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (96,554) | (96,554) |
| Preferred Stock dividends | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (13,477) | (13,477) |
| | - | - | - | - | - | (17,573) | - | - | - | (17,573) |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Adjustment to minority interest of
unitholders in the Operating

Partnership

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Issuance of restricted stock, net | 207,928 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Redemption/repurchase of Preferred Stock | - | - | (22,008) | (40,000) | - | 2,037 | - | - | (2,285) | (62,256) |
| Amortization of restricted stock and stock options | - | 2 | - | - | - | 5,029 | - | - | - | 5,031 |
| Other comprehensive income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 577 | - | 577 |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 90,745 | 90,745 |
| Balance at December 31, 2007 | 57,167,193 | \$ 572 | \$ 82,937 | \$ 52,500 | \$ - | \$ 1,448,055 | \$ - | \$ (938) | \$ (561,093) | \$ 1,022,033 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

(in thousands)

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 90,745 | \$ 53,744 | \$ 62,458 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation | 109,546 | 103,775 | 110,851 |
| Amortization of lease commissions | 14,318 | 14,546 | 15,606 |
| Amortization of lease incentives | 962 | 828 | 879 |
| Amortization of restricted stock and stock options | 5,031 | 3,730 | 2,724 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 2,415 | 2,375 | 3,372 |
| Amortization of accumulated other comprehensive loss | 577 | 697 | 703 |
| Impairment of assets held for use | 789 | 2,600 | 7,587 |
| Loss on debt extinguishments | | 494 | 453 |
| Net gains on disposition of property | (55,039) | (31,239) | (39,926) |
| Gain from property insurance settlement | (4,128) | | |
| Minority interest | 6,350 | 3,783 | 3,281 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates | (13,110) | (6,841) | (9,303) |
| Release of FASB FIN 48 tax liability | (1,424) | | |
| Change in financing obligations | 454 | 1,191 | 212 |
| Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated affiliates | 4,462 | 7,748 | 8,965 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 481 | 2,805 | (8,768) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (2,152) | (3,626) | (1,495) |
| Accrued straight-line rents receivable | (7,418) | (8,592) | (7,496) |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 8,804 | (2,493) | 4,030 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 161,663 | 145,525 | 154,133 |
| Investing activities: | | | |
| Additions to real estate assets and deferred leasing costs | (287,491) | (222,030) | (167,763) |
| Proceeds from disposition of real estate assets | 144,646 | 260,221 | 370,931 |
| Proceeds from property insurance settlement | 4,940 | | |
| Distributions of capital from unconsolidated affiliates | 19,258 | 11,888 | 4,819 |
| Net repayments in notes receivable | 2,918 | 1,361 | 4,399 |
| Contributions to unconsolidated affiliates | (4,716) | (100) | |
| Cash assumed upon consolidation of unconsolidated affiliate | | 645 | |
| Changes in restricted cash and other investing activities | (30,259) | 12,749 | (11,461) |
| Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities | (150,704) | 64,734 | 200,925 |
| Financing activities: | | | |
| Distributions paid on Common Stock and Common Units | (103,718) | (101,783) | (101,483) |
| Redemption/repurchase of Preferred Stock | (62,256) | (50,000) | (130,000) |
| Dividends paid on Preferred Stock | (13,477) | (17,063) | (27,238) |
| Distributions to minority partner in consolidated affiliate | (2,404) | (737) | |
| Net proceeds from the sale of Common Stock | 7,067 | 42,805 | 1,650 |
| Repurchase of Common Units | (27,468) | (26,482) | (11,318) |
| Borrowings on revolving credit facility | 399,800 | 662,000 | 152,500 |
| Repayments of revolving credit facility | (545,500) | (479,000) | (132,000) |
| Borrowings on mortgages and notes payable | 424,431 | 68,625 | 38,287 |
| Repayments of mortgages and notes payable | (101,970) | (289,188) | (167,075) |
| Payments on financing obligations | (913) | (863) | (775) |
| Payments on debt extinguishments | | | (255) |
| Contributions from minority interest partner | 5,651 | 760 | |
| Additions to deferred financing costs | (3,752) | (3,855) | (621) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (24,509) | (194,781) | (378,328) |
| Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents | (13,550) | 15,478 | (23,270) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period | 16,690 | 1,212 | 24,482 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period | \$ 3,140 | \$ 16,690 | \$ 1,212 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - Continued**

(in thousands)

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | 2005 |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | |
| Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized (excludes cash distributions to owners of sold properties accounted for as financings of \$2,148, \$1,614 and \$3,454 for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively) | \$ 88,867 | \$ 96,284 | \$ 100,761 |

Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:

The following table summarizes the net asset acquisitions and dispositions subject to mortgage notes payable and other non-cash transactions. There were no non-cash investing and financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2007.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Assets: | | | |
| Net real estate assets | \$ | \$ 34,852 | \$ (20,674) |
| Restricted cash | | (1,865) | 2,500 |
| Accounts receivable | | 102 | 10 |
| Notes receivable | | | |
| Accrued straight-line rents receivable | | 962 | (434) |
| Investment in unconsolidated affiliates | | (1,938) | 1,553 |
| Deferred leasing costs, net | | 287 | (61) |
| Prepaid and other | | | (268) |
| | \$ | \$ 32,400 | \$ (17,374) |
| Liabilities: | | | |
| Mortgages and notes payable | \$ | \$ 31,076 | \$ 7,330 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | | (1,652) | 12,277 |
| Financing obligation | | 1,048 | (30,218) |
| | \$ | \$ 30,472 | \$ (10,611) |
| Minority Interest and Stockholders Equity | \$ | \$ 1,928 | \$ (6,763) |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business

Highwoods Properties, Inc., together with its consolidated subsidiaries (the **Company**), is a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed equity real estate investment trust (**REIT**) that operates in the southeastern and midwestern United States. The Company conducts virtually all of its activities through Highwoods Realty Limited Partnership (the **Operating Partnership**).

The Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership. At December 31, 2007, the Company owned all of the preferred partnership interests (**Preferred Units**) and 93.3% of the common partnership interests (**Common Units**) in the Operating Partnership. Limited partners (including certain officers and directors of the Company) own the remaining Common Units. Generally, the Operating Partnership is required to redeem each Common Unit at the request of the holder thereof for cash equal to the value of one share of the Company's Common Stock, \$.01 par value (the **Common Stock**), based on the average of the market price for the 10 trading days immediately preceding the notice date of such redemption, provided that the Company at its option may elect to acquire any such Common Units presented for redemption for cash or one share of Common Stock. The Common Units owned by the Company are not redeemable. In 2007, the Company redeemed 620,361 Common Units for \$27.5 million in cash and redeemed 55,836 Common Units for a like number of shares of Common Stock, which increased the percentage of Common Units owned by the Company from 92.2% at December 31, 2006 to 93.3% at December 31, 2007. In 2006, the Company redeemed 716,888 Common Units in cash, which increased the percentage of Common Units owned by the Company from 90.8% at December 31, 2005 to 92.2% at December 31, 2006. Preferred Units in the Operating Partnership were issued to the Company in connection with the Company's Preferred Stock offerings in 1997 and 1998 (the **Preferred Stock**). The net proceeds raised from each of the Preferred Stock issuances were contributed by the Company to the Operating Partnership in exchange for the Preferred Units. The terms of each series of Preferred Units generally parallel the terms of the respective Preferred Stock as to dividends, liquidation and redemption rights as more fully described in Note 9.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company and/or the Operating Partnership wholly owned: 311 in-service office, industrial and retail properties; 109 rental residential units; 634 acres of undeveloped land suitable for future development, of which 493 acres are considered core holdings; and an additional 15 properties and 139 for-sale condominiums under development. In addition, we owned interests (50.0% or less) in 67 in-service office and industrial properties and 418 rental residential units. Five of the in-service office properties are consolidated at December 31, 2007 as more fully described below and in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Basis of Presentation

Our Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (**GAAP** As more fully described below and in Notes 4 and 12, as required by SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, (SFAS No. 144) the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2006 and the Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 were reclassified from previously reported amounts to reflect in real estate and other assets held for sale and in discontinued operations the assets and operations for those properties sold or held for sale in 2007 which qualified for

discontinued operations presentation.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the Operating Partnership, wholly owned subsidiaries and those subsidiaries in which we own a majority voting interest with the ability to control operations of the subsidiaries and where no substantive participating rights or substantive kick out rights have been granted to the minority interest holders. In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 04-5,

Determining Whether a General Partner or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights, we consolidate partnerships, joint ventures and limited liability companies when we control the major operating and financial policies of the entity through majority ownership or in our capacity as general partner or managing member. In addition, we consolidate those entities, if any, where we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary in a variable interest entity (as defined by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46(R)). All significant intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Real Estate and Related Assets

Real estate and related assets are recorded at cost and stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Renovations, replacements and other expenditures that improve or extend the life of assets are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance and repairs are charged to operating expense as incurred. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of 40 years for buildings and depreciable land infrastructure costs, 15 years for building improvements and five to seven years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Tenant improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over initial fixed terms of the respective leases, which generally are from three to 10 years.

Expenditures directly related to the development and construction of real estate assets are included in net real estate assets and are stated at cost in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Our capitalization policy on development properties is in accordance with SFAS No. 67, Accounting for Costs and the Initial Rental Operations of Real Estate Properties, SFAS No. 34, Capitalization of Interest Costs, and SFAS No. 58,

Capitalization of Interest Cost in Financial Statements That Include Investments Accounted for by the Equity Method. Development expenditures include pre-construction costs essential to the development of properties, development and construction costs, interest costs, real estate taxes, salaries and related costs and other costs incurred during the period of development. Interest and other carrying costs are capitalized until the building is ready for its intended use. We consider a construction project as substantially completed and ready for its intended use upon

the completion of tenant improvements. Interest and other carrying costs are capitalized until the building is ready for its intended use, but not later than one year from cessation of major construction activity. We cease capitalization on the portion that is substantially completed and occupied or held available for occupancy, and capitalize only those costs associated with the portion under construction.

Expenditures directly related to the leasing of properties are included in deferred leasing costs and are stated at cost in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. We capitalize initial direct costs related to our leasing efforts in accordance with SFAS No. 91, Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases. All leasing commissions paid to third parties for new leases or lease renewals are capitalized. Internal leasing costs include primarily compensation, benefits and other costs, such as legal fees related to leasing activities, that are incurred in connection with successfully securing leases on the properties. Capitalized leasing costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the initial fixed terms of the respective leases, which generally are from three to 10 years. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, gross deferred leasing costs were \$106.4 million and \$95.8 million, respectively, and accumulated amortization was \$43.0 million and \$37.0 million, respectively. Estimated costs related to unsuccessful activities are expensed as incurred. Changes in our estimates regarding the successful efforts of leasing could result in changes that impact earnings.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

We record liabilities under FASB Interpretation No. 47, Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations, an interpretation of SFAS No. 143 (FIN 47) for the performance of asset retirement activities when the obligation to perform such activities is unconditional, whether or not the timing or method of settlement of the obligation may be conditional on a future event.

Upon the acquisition of real estate, we assess the fair value of acquired tangible assets such as land, buildings and tenant improvements, intangible assets such as above and below market leases, acquired in-place leases and other identified intangible assets and assumed liabilities in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. We allocate the purchase price to the acquired assets and assumed liabilities based on their relative fair values. We assess and consider fair value based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount and/or capitalization rates as well as available market information. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property as if it were vacant.

Above and below market leases acquired are recorded in other assets at their fair value. Fair value is calculated as the present value of the difference between (1) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to each in-place lease and (2) our estimate of fair market lease rates for each corresponding in-place lease, using a discount rate that reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired and measured over a period equal to the remaining term of the lease for above-market leases and the initial term plus the term of any below-market fixed rate renewal options for below-market leases. The capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases and the capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to base rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases and any below market option periods. If a tenant vacates its space prior to its contractual expiration date, any unamortized balance is adjusted through rental revenue.

In-place leases acquired are recorded at their fair value in real estate and related assets and are amortized to depreciation and amortization expense over the remaining term of the respective lease. The value of in-place leases is based on our evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease. Factors considered include estimates of carrying costs during hypothetical expected lease-up periods, current market conditions and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, we include real estate taxes, insurance and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up periods, depending on local market conditions. In estimating costs to execute similar leases, we consider tenant improvements, leasing commissions and legal and other related expenses. The value of in-place leases is amortized to depreciation and amortization expense over the remaining term of the respective leases. If a tenant vacates its space prior to its contractual expiration date, any unamortized balance of its related asset is expensed.

The value of a tenant relationship is based on our overall relationship with the respective tenant. Factors considered include the tenant's credit quality and expectations of lease renewals. The value of a tenant relationship is amortized to depreciation and amortization expense over the initial term and any renewal periods defined in the respective leases. Based on our acquisitions since the adoption of SFAS No. 141 and SFAS No. 142, we have deemed tenant relationships to be immaterial and have not allocated any amounts to this intangible asset. We will evaluate

these items in future transactions.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

Real estate and leasehold improvements are classified as long-lived assets held for sale or as long-lived assets to be held for use. Real estate is classified as held for sale when the criteria set forth in SFAS No. 144 are satisfied; this determination requires us to make estimates and assumptions, including assessing the probability that potential sales transactions may or may not occur. Actual results could differ from those assumptions. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, we record assets held for sale at the lower of the carrying amount or estimated fair value. Fair value of assets held for sale is equal to the estimated or contracted sales price with a potential buyer, less costs to sell. The impairment loss is the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value. With respect to assets classified as held for use, if events or changes in circumstances, such as a significant decline in occupancy and change in use, indicate that the carrying value may be impaired, an impairment analysis is performed. Such analysis consists of determining whether the asset's carrying amount will be recovered from its undiscounted estimated future operating cash flows, including estimated residual cash flows. These cash flows are estimated based on a number of assumptions that are subject to economic and market uncertainties including, among others, demand for space, competition for tenants, changes in market rental rates and costs to operate each property. If the carrying amount of a held for use asset exceeds the sum of its undiscounted future operating and residual cash flows, an impairment loss is recorded for the difference between estimated fair value of the asset and the net carrying amount. We generally estimate the fair value of assets held for use by using discounted cash flow analysis; in some instances, appraisal information may be available and is used in addition to the discounted cash flow analysis. As the factors used in generating these cash flows are difficult to predict and are subject to future events that may alter our assumptions, the discounted and/or undiscounted future operating and residual cash flows estimated by us in our impairment analyses or those established by appraisal may not be achieved and we may be required to recognize future impairment losses on our properties held for sale and held for use.

Sales of Real Estate

We account for sales of real estate in accordance with SFAS No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate (SFAS No. 66). For sales transactions meeting the requirements of SFAS No. 66 for full profit recognition, the related assets and liabilities are removed from the balance sheet and the resultant gain or loss is recorded in the period the transaction closes. For sales transactions that do not meet the criteria for full profit recognition, we account for the transactions in accordance with the methods specified in SFAS No. 66. For sales transactions with continuing involvement after the sale, if the continuing involvement with the property is limited by the terms of the sales contract, profit is recognized at the time of sale and is reduced by the maximum exposure to loss related to the nature of the continuing involvement. Sales to entities in which we have or receive an interest are accounted for in accordance with partial sale accounting provisions as set forth in SFAS No. 66.

For sales transactions that do not meet sale criteria as set forth in SFAS No. 66, we evaluate the nature of the continuing involvement, including put and call provisions, if present, and account for the transaction as a financing arrangement, profit-sharing arrangement, leasing arrangement or other alternate method of accounting, rather than as a sale, based on the nature and extent of the continuing involvement. Some transactions may have numerous forms of continuing involvement. In those cases, we determine which method is most appropriate based on the substance of the transaction.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

If we have an obligation to repurchase the property at a higher price or at a future indeterminable value (such as fair market value), or we guarantee the return of the buyer's investment or a return on that investment for an extended period, we account for such transaction as a financing transaction. If we have an option to repurchase the property at a higher price and it is likely we will exercise this option, the transaction is accounted for as a financing transaction. For transactions treated as financings, we record the amounts received from the buyer as a financing obligation and continue to keep the property and related accounts recorded on our Consolidated Financial Statements. The results of operations of the property, net of expenses other than depreciation (net operating income), are reflected as interest expense on the financing obligation. If the transaction includes an obligation or option to repurchase the asset at a higher price, additional interest is recorded to accrete the liability to the repurchase price. For options or obligations to repurchase the asset at fair market value at the end of each reporting period, the balance of the liability is adjusted to equal the current fair value to the extent fair value exceeds the original financing obligation. The corresponding debit or credit will be recorded to a related discount account and the revised debt discount is amortized over the expected term until termination of the option or obligation. If it is unlikely such option will be exercised, the transaction is accounted for under the deposit method or profit-sharing method. If we have an obligation or option to repurchase at a lower price, the transaction is accounted for as a leasing arrangement. At such time as these repurchase obligations expire, a sale will be recorded and gain recognized.

If we retain an interest in the buyer and provide certain rent guarantees or other forms of support where the maximum exposure to loss exceeds the gain, we account for such transaction as a profit-sharing arrangement. For transactions treated as profit-sharing arrangements, we record a profit-sharing obligation for the amount of equity contributed by the other partner and continue to keep the property and related accounts recorded on our Consolidated Financial Statements. The results of operations of the property, net of expenses other than depreciation (net operating income), are allocated to the other partner for its percentage interest and reflected as co-venture expense in our Consolidated Financial Statements. In future periods, a sale is recorded and profit is recognized when the remaining maximum exposure to loss is reduced below the amount of gain deferred.

Lease Incentives

We account for lease incentive costs, which are payments made to or on behalf of a tenant as an incentive to sign the lease, in accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin (FTB) 88-1, *Issues Relating to Accounting for Leases*. These costs are capitalized in deferred leasing costs and amortized on a straight-line basis over the respective lease terms as a reduction of rental revenues.

Discontinued Operations

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Properties that are sold or classified as held for sale are classified as discontinued operations in accordance with SFAS No. 144 and EITF Issue No. 03-13. Applying the Conditions of Paragraph 42 of FASB Statement No. 144 in Determining Whether to Report Discontinued Operations, (effective beginning in 2005) provided that (1) the operations and cash flows of the property will be eliminated from our ongoing operations and (2) we will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations of the property after it is sold. Interest expense is included in discontinued operations if the related loan securing the sold property is paid off or assumed by the buyer in connection with the sale. If the property is sold to a joint venture in which we retain an interest, the property will not be accounted for as a discontinued operation due to our significant ongoing interest in the operations through our joint venture interest. If we are retained to provide property management, leasing and/or other services for the property owner after the sale, the property generally will be accounted for as a discontinued operation because the expected cash flows related to these management and leasing activities will generally not be significant in comparison to the cash flows from the property prior to sale.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

Minority Interest

Minority interest in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements relates primarily to the ownership by various individuals and entities other than the Company of Common Units in the Operating Partnership. In addition, minority interest is also recorded for the 50.0% interest in a consolidated affiliate, Highwoods-Markel Associates, LLC (Markel), the equity interest owned by a third party in a consolidated venture formed during 2006 with Real Estate Exchange Services (REES), and the 7% equity interest owned by a third party in Plaza Residential, LLC, a consolidated joint venture formed in February 2007 related to a residential condominium project, as described below. As of December 31, 2007, minority interest in the Operating Partnership consisted of approximately 4.1 million Common Units, which had an aggregate market value of \$119.2 million based on the \$29.38 per share closing price of the Common Stock as of such date. Minority interest in the net income of the Operating Partnership is computed by applying the weighted average percentage of Common Units not owned by the Company during the period (as a percent of the total number of outstanding Common Units) to the Operating Partnership's net income after deducting distributions on Preferred Units. The result is the amount of minority interest expense (or income) recorded for the period. In addition, when a minority unitholder redeems a Common Unit for a share of Common Stock or cash, the minority interest is reduced and the Company's share in the Operating Partnership is increased. At the end of each reporting period, the Company determines the amount that represents the minority unitholders' share of the net assets (at book value) of the Operating Partnership and compares this amount to the minority interest balance that resulted from transactions during the period involving minority interest. The Company adjusts the minority interest liability to the computed share of net assets with an offsetting adjustment to the Company's paid in capital.

Beginning January 1, 2006, we began to record minority interest upon consolidation of Markel, a 50.0% owned affiliate, as a result of our adoption of EITF Issue No. 04-5. Accordingly, the Consolidated Balance Sheet at January 1, 2006 included approximately \$44 million of real estate assets, net of accumulated depreciation, and other assets, and approximately \$39 million in mortgages and notes payable and other liabilities, with the remaining effects primarily to investments in unconsolidated affiliates and to minority interest.

The organizational documents of Markel require the entity to be liquidated through the sale of its assets upon reaching December 31, 2100. As controlling partner, we have an obligation to cause this property-owning entity to distribute proceeds of liquidation to the minority interest partner in these partially owned properties only if the net proceeds received by the entity from the sale of our assets warrant a distribution as determined by the agreement. In accordance with the disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity (SFAS No. 150), we estimate the value of minority interest distributions would have been approximately \$15.5 million had the entity been liquidated as of December 31, 2007. This estimated settlement value is based on estimated third party consideration realizable by the entity upon a hypothetical disposition of the properties and is net of all other assets and liabilities. The actual amount of any distributions to the minority interest holder in this entity is difficult to predict due to many factors, including the inherent uncertainty of real estate sales. If the entity's underlying assets are worth less than the underlying liabilities on the date of such liquidation, we would have no obligation to remit any consideration to the minority interest holder.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

In the fourth quarter of 2006, we entered into an agreement with REES to ground lease certain development land to special purpose entities owned by REES. Under the agreement, REES will contribute 7% of the costs of constructing properties on this land not to exceed \$4.0 million outstanding at any time. REES will generally earn an agreed fixed return for its economic investment in these entities. The balance of development costs will be funded by third party construction loans. If third party construction loans are not obtained, the remaining 93% of costs are loaned to the entities by us. Subject to the exercise of a purchase option, it is expected that we will acquire the properties in the future at an amount generally equal to the actual development costs incurred plus the fixed return earned by REES for its economic investment in these entities. As we are considered the primary beneficiary, we consolidate these entities in accordance with FIN 46(R). These entities will be re-evaluated for primary beneficiary status when the entities undertake additional activity, such as placing the development projects in-service. REES's investment in the entities is included in minority interest as shown in the tables below. All costs to form the entities and other related fees have been expensed as incurred.

In the first quarter of 2007, our taxable REIT subsidiary formed Plaza Residential, LLC with Dominion Partners, LLC (Dominion). Plaza Residential was formed to develop and sell 139 residential condominiums to be constructed above an office tower being developed by us in Raleigh, NC. Dominion has a 7% equity interest in the joint venture, will perform development services for the joint venture for a market development fee and guarantees 40.0% of the construction financing. Dominion will also receive 35.0% of the net profits from the joint venture once the partners have received distributions equal to their equity plus a 12.0% return on their equity. We are consolidating this majority owned joint venture and intercompany transactions have been eliminated in the Consolidated Financial Statements. At December 31, 2007, binding sale contracts had been executed for all of the residential condominiums. \$3.9 million of deposits related to these contracts (non-refundable unless we default in our obligation to deliver the units) had been received and are reflected in restricted cash with a corresponding amount in other liabilities. We will account for the sale of the residential condominiums in accordance with SFAS No. 66 and will record the sales when the related closings occur.

Following is minority interest as reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Income and Consolidated Balance Sheets:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Amount shown as minority interest in continuing operations (1) | \$ (3,745) | \$ (2,245) | \$ 255 |
| Amount related to income from discontinued operations | (140) | (314) | (1,008) |
| Amount related to gains on sales of discontinued operations | (2,465) | (1,224) | (2,528) |
| Total minority interest in net income | \$ (6,350) | \$ (3,783) | \$ (3,281) |

(1) Minority interest related to the consolidated entities other than the Operating Partnership amounted to \$0.7 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | December 31, 2007 | 2006 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|
| Minority interest in the Operating Partnership | \$ 63,294 | \$ 76,848 |
| Minority interest in Markel | 3,446 | 2,118 |
| Minority interest in REES | 2,561 | 760 |
| Minority interest in Plaza Residential | 797 | |
| Total minority interest | \$ 70,098 | \$ 79,726 |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

Investments in Joint Ventures

We account for our investments in less than majority owned joint ventures, partnerships and limited liability companies under the equity method of accounting when, in accordance with EITF 04-5, our interests represent a general partnership interest but substantive participating rights or substantive kick out rights have been granted to the limited partners or when our interests do not represent a general partnership interest and we do not control the major operating and financial policies of the entity. These investments are initially recorded at cost, as investments in unconsolidated affiliates, and are subsequently adjusted for our share of earnings and cash contributions and distributions. To the extent our cost basis at formation of the joint venture is different than the basis reflected at the joint venture level, the basis difference is amortized over the life of the related asset and included in our share of equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates.

From time to time, we contribute real estate assets to a joint venture in exchange for a combination of cash and an equity interest in the venture. We assess whether we have continuing involvement in the joint venture as defined in SFAS No. 66 and account for the transaction according to the nature and extent of such involvement. If substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership have transferred and there are no other activities which would represent continuing involvement with the property, a gain is recognized to the extent of the third party investor's interest and we account for our interest in the joint venture under the equity method of accounting as an unconsolidated affiliate as described in the preceding paragraph. If substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the property have not transferred or there are activities which would represent continuing involvement with the property, the transaction is accounted for as a financing or profit-sharing arrangement, leasing arrangement or other alternate method of accounting other than as a sale, as required by SFAS No. 66. See also [Sales of Real Estate](#) above.

Additionally, the joint ventures will frequently borrow funds on their own behalf to finance the acquisition of, and/or leverage the return upon, the properties being acquired by the joint ventures or to build or acquire additional buildings. Such borrowings are typically on a non-recourse or limited recourse basis. We generally are not liable for the debts of our joint ventures, except to the extent of our equity investment, unless we have directly guaranteed any of that debt (see Note 15 for further discussion). In most cases, we and/or our joint venture partners are required to agree to customary limited exceptions on non-recourse loans.

Rental and Other Revenues

In accordance with GAAP, rental revenue is recognized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective leases. This means that, with respect to a particular lease, actual amounts billed in accordance with the lease during any given period may be higher or lower than the amount of rental revenue recognized for the period. Straight-line rental revenue is commenced when the tenant assumes possession of the leased premises. Accrued straight-line rents receivable represents the amount by which straight-line rental revenue exceeds rents currently billed in

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

accordance with lease agreements. Termination fees are recognized as revenue when the following four conditions are met: a fully executed lease termination agreement has been delivered; the tenant has vacated the space; the amount of the fee is determinable; and collectibility of the fee is reasonably assured.

Property operating cost recoveries from tenants (or cost reimbursements) are determined on a lease-by-lease basis. The most common types of cost reimbursements in our leases are common area maintenance (CAM) and real estate taxes, where the tenant pays its pro-rata share of operating and administrative expenses and real estate taxes.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

The computation of property operating cost recovery income from tenants is complex and involves numerous judgments, including the interpretation of terms and other tenant lease provisions. Leases are not uniform in dealing with such cost reimbursements and there are many variations in the computation. Many tenants make monthly fixed payments of CAM, real estate taxes and other cost reimbursement items. We record these payments as income each month. We make adjustments, positive or negative, to cost recovery income to adjust the recorded amounts to our best estimate of the final amounts to be billed and collected with respect to the cost reimbursements. After the end of the calendar year, we compute each tenant's final cost reimbursements and, after considering amounts paid by the tenants during the year, issue a bill or credit for the appropriate amount to the tenant. The differences between the amounts billed less previously received payments and the accrual adjustment are recorded as increases or decreases to cost recovery income when the final bills are prepared, usually beginning in March and completed by mid-year.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts receivable are reduced by an allowance for amounts that may become uncollectible in the future. Our receivable balance is comprised primarily of rents and operating cost recoveries due from tenants as well as accrued straight-line rents receivable. We regularly evaluate the adequacy of our allowance for doubtful accounts. The evaluation primarily consists of reviewing past due account balances and considering such factors as the credit quality of the tenant, historical trends of the tenant and/or other debtor, current economic conditions and changes in customer payment terms. Additionally, with respect to tenants in bankruptcy, we estimate the expected recovery through bankruptcy claims and increase the allowance for amounts deemed uncollectible. If our assumptions regarding the collectibility of accounts receivable and accrued straight-line rents receivable prove incorrect, we could experience write-offs of accounts receivable or accrued straight-line rents receivable in excess of our allowance for doubtful accounts.

Cash Equivalents

We consider highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Restricted Cash

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Restricted cash represents cash deposits that are legally restricted or held by third parties on our behalf. They include security deposits from sales contracts on residential condominiums, construction-related escrows, property disposition proceeds set aside and designated or intended to fund future tax-deferred exchanges of qualifying real estate investments, escrows and reserves for debt service, real estate taxes and property insurance established pursuant to certain mortgage financing arrangements, and deposits given to lenders to unencumber secured properties.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

Income Taxes

We have elected and expect to continue to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). A corporate REIT is a legal entity that holds real estate assets and, through the payment of dividends to stockholders, is generally permitted to reduce or avoid the payment of federal and state income taxes at the corporate level. To maintain qualification as a REIT, we are required to pay dividends to our stockholders equal to at least 90.0% of our annual REIT taxable income, excluding capital gains. The minimum dividend per share of Common Stock required for us to maintain our REIT status (excluding any net capital gains) was \$0.54 per share in 2007 and \$0.24 per share in 2005. Aggregate dividends paid on Preferred Stock exceeded REIT taxable income (excluding capital gains) in 2006, which resulted in no required dividend on Common Stock in 2006 for REIT qualification purposes. Continued qualification as a REIT depends on our ability to satisfy the dividend distribution tests, stock ownership requirements and various other qualification tests prescribed in the Code. We conduct certain business activities through a taxable REIT subsidiary, as permitted under the Code. The taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to federal and state income taxes on its net taxable income. We record provisions for income taxes, to the extent required under SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (SFAS No. 109), based on its income recognized for financial statement purposes, including the effects of temporary differences between such income and the amount recognized for tax purposes. Additionally, beginning January 1, 2007, we began to recognize and measure the effects of uncertain tax positions under FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of SFAS No. 109. See Impact of Newly Adopted and Issued Accounting Standards below and Note 17 for discussion of the effect of FIN 48 on our accounting for income taxes.

The tax basis of our assets (net of accumulated tax depreciation and amortization) and liabilities was approximately \$2.3 billion and \$1.8 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2007 and was approximately \$2.2 billion and \$1.6 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2006.

Concentration of Credit Risk

We perform ongoing credit evaluations of our tenants. As of December 31, 2007, the properties (excluding residential units) to which we directly or indirectly hold title and have all of the ownership rights (the Wholly Owned Properties) were leased to 1,836 tenants in 10 primary geographic locations. Our tenants engage in a wide variety of businesses. No single tenant of the Wholly Owned Properties generated more than 7.6% of our consolidated revenues during 2007.

Employee Benefit Plans and Stock-Based Compensation

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

On January 1, 2003, we adopted the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation under SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. Prior to that time, we followed Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25) and related interpretations.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

Under SFAS No. 123, the fair value of a stock option is estimated using an option-pricing model that takes into account as of the grant date the exercise price and expected life of the option, the current price of the underlying stock and its expected volatility, expected dividends on the stock and the risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option. SFAS No. 123 provides examples of possible pricing models and includes the Black-Scholes pricing model, which we have elected to use. The Black-Scholes model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no vesting restrictions and are fully transferable rather than for use in estimating the fair value of employee stock options subject to vesting and transferability restrictions. We applied the prospective method of accounting and expense all employee stock options (and similar awards) issued on or after January 1, 2003 over the vesting period based on the fair value of the award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment, which revised SFAS No. 123. SFAS No. 123(R) requires compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the financial statements and forfeitures to be estimated at the grant date rather than as they occur. We based our estimated forfeiture rate on historical forfeitures of all stock option and restricted stock grants. We adopted SFAS No. 123(R) effective January 1, 2006 using the modified-prospective method and apply the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) to all share-based compensation. The adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) did not have any material effects on our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Had the compensation cost for options issued before January 1, 2003 accounted for under APB 25 been determined based on the fair values at the grant dates for awards granted between January 1, 1995 and December 31, 2002, consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), our net income and net income per share for 2005 would have decreased to the pro forma amounts indicated below. Because options issued prior to January 1, 2002 were vested and fully expensed by December 31, 2005, and because options issued in 2002 were granted on March 1 and had only two months of expense in 2006, the impact on 2006 net income of adopting this aspect of SFAS No. 123(R) is not material.

| | Year Ended December 31, 2005 |
|--|---|
| Net income available for common stockholders -- as reported | \$ 30,948 |
| Add: Stock option expense included in reported net income | 484 |
| Deduct: Total stock option expense determined under fair value recognition method for all awards | (727) |
| Pro forma net income available for common stockholders | \$ 30,705 |
| Basic net income per common share - as reported | \$ 0.58 |
| Basic net income per common share - pro forma | \$ 0.57 |
| Diluted net income per common share - as reported | \$ 0.58 |
| Diluted net income per common share - pro forma | \$ 0.57 |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Dividends paid on all outstanding restricted stock are non-forfeitable to the recipient and are paid at the same rate and on the same date as on shares of Common Stock, whether or not vested. Dividends on shares that are forfeited are accounted for as compensation expense with a corresponding credit to retained earnings.

Awards denominated in cash amounts granted in prior years under our Shareholder Value Plans are accounted for as liability awards.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

Derivative Financial Instruments

Our interest rate risk management objectives are to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower overall borrowing costs. To achieve these objectives, from time to time we may enter into interest rate hedge contracts such as collars, swaps, caps and treasury lock agreements in order to mitigate interest rate risk with respect to various debt instruments. We generally do not hold these derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS No. 133), as amended by SFAS No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, requires us to recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. On the date that we enter into a derivative contract, we designate the derivative as (1) a hedge of the variability of cash flows that are to be received or paid in connection with a recognized liability (a cash flow hedge), (2) a hedge of changes in the fair value of an asset or a liability attributable to a particular risk (a fair value hedge) or (3) an instrument that is held as a non-hedge derivative. Changes in the fair value of highly effective cash flow hedges, to the extent that the hedges are effective, are recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (AOCL), until earnings are affected by the hedged transaction (i.e., until periodic settlements of a variable-rate liability are recorded in earnings). Any hedge ineffectiveness (which represents the amount by which the changes in the fair value of the derivative exceed the variability in the cash flows of the transaction) is recorded in current-period earnings. For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative and the hedged item related to the hedged risk are recognized in current-period earnings. Changes in the fair value of non-hedging instruments are reported in current-period earnings.

To determine the fair value of derivative instruments, we use a variety of methods and assumptions that are based on market conditions and risks existing at each balance sheet date. For the majority of financial instruments, including most derivatives, standard market conventions and techniques such as discounted cash flow analysis, option pricing models, replacement cost and termination cost are used to determine fair value. All methods of assessing fair value result in a general approximation of value, and such value may never actually be realized.

We formally document all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items as well as our risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivatives that are designated as cash flow hedges to (1) specific assets and liabilities on the balance sheet or (2) forecasted transactions. We also assess and document, both at the hedging instrument's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows associated with the hedged items. When we determine that a derivative is not (or has ceased to be) highly effective as a hedge, we discontinue hedge accounting prospectively.

We are exposed to certain losses in the event of nonperformance by the counter party under any outstanding hedge contracts. We expect the counter parties, which are major financial institutions, to perform fully under any such contracts. However, if any counter party were to default

on its obligation under an interest rate hedge contract, we could be required to pay the full rates on our debt, even if such rates were in excess of the rate in the contract.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

Earnings Per Share

We compute earnings per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share (SFAS No. 128). Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available for common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available for common stockholders plus minority interest in the Operating Partnership by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock plus the dilutive effect of options, warrants and convertible securities outstanding, including Common Units, using the treasury stock method. Earnings per share data is required for all periods for which an income statement or summary of earnings is presented, including summaries outside the basic financial statements.

Impact of Newly Adopted and Issued Accounting Standards

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, which we adopted as of January 1, 2007. See Note 17 for further discussion.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS also applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements in any new circumstances. SFAS No. 157 is effective as of January 1, 2008. On November 14, 2007, the FASB deferred the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for one year for all non-financial assets and liabilities, except for those items that are recognized or disclosed in the financial statements on a recurring basis. We will adopt SFAS No. 157 in the first quarter of 2008 and are currently evaluating the impact this statement may have on our financial condition and results of operations.

In September 2006, the SEC released Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB 108), which addresses how the effects of prior year uncorrected misstatements should be considered when quantifying misstatements in current year financial statements. SAB 108 requires companies to quantify misstatements using both the balance sheet and income statement approaches and to evaluate whether either approach is material. If, in transition, in evaluating misstatements following an approach not previously used by us, the effect of initial adoption is determined to be material, SAB 108 allows companies to record that effect as a cumulative effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings. The requirements of initially applying this guidance are effective for annual financial statements covering the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006. The adoption of SAB 108 in 2006 did not have an effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

In November 2006, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 06-8, Applicability of the Assessment of a Buyer's Continuing Investment under FASB Statement No. 66 for Sales of Condominiums. EITF No. 06-8 provided additional guidance on whether the seller of a condominium unit is required to evaluate the buyer's continuing investment under SFAS No. 66 in order to recognize profit from the sale under the percentage of completion method. The EITF concluded that both the buyer's initial and continuing investment must meet the criteria in SFAS No. 66 in order for condominium sale profits to be recognized under the percentage of completion method. Sales of condominiums not meeting the continuing investment test must be accounted for under the deposit method. EITF No. 06-8 is effective as of January 1, 2008. We will adopt EITF No. 06-8 in the first quarter of 2008 and are currently evaluating the impact this guidance may have on our financial condition and results of operations.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - Continued

In December 2006, the FASB issued FSP EITF 00-19-2, *Accounting for Registration Payment Arrangements*, to specify that the contingent obligation to make future payments or otherwise transfer consideration under a registration payment arrangement should be separately recognized and measured in accordance with FASB Statement No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies*. The FSP is effective immediately for registration payment arrangements and the financial instruments subject to those arrangements that are entered into or modified subsequent to the issuance date of this FSP and effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and interim periods within those fiscal years for arrangements that were entered into prior to the issuance of this FSP. Our adoption of this FSP as of January 1, 2007 had no impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS No. 159), which permits all entities to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates. SFAS No. 159 is effective as of January 1, 2008. We will adopt SFAS No. 159 in the first quarter of 2008 and are currently evaluating the impact this statement may have on our financial condition and results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141(R)), which addresses principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. SFAS No. 141(R) becomes effective for us on January 1, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact SFAS No. 141(R) will have on our financial condition and results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* an amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS No. 160), which establishes accounting and presentation standards for a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and amends certain of ARB No. 51's consolidation procedures for consistency with other business combination standards. SFAS No. 160 becomes effective for us on January 1, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact SFAS No. 160 will have on our financial condition and results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 07-6 *Accounting for the Sale of Real Estate Subject to the Requirements of FASB Statement No. 66, Accounting for Sales of Real Estate, When the Agreement Includes a Buy-Sell Clause* (EITF No. 07-6), which addresses whether a buy-sell clause represents a prohibited form of continuing involvement that would preclude partial sale and profit recognition pursuant to SFAS No. 66. We will adopt EITF No. 07-6 in the first quarter of 2008 and are currently evaluating the impact this guidance may have on our financial condition and results of operations.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

2. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED AND OTHER AFFILIATES

We have retained equity interests ranging from 12.5% to 50.0% in various joint ventures with unrelated investors. We account for our unconsolidated joint ventures using the equity method of accounting. As a result, the assets and liabilities of these joint ventures for which we use the equity method of accounting are not included on our consolidated balance sheet.

A number of our joint ventures are consolidated. SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP is accounted for as a financing arrangement pursuant to SFAS No. 66, as described in Note 3; The Vinings at University Center, LLC was consolidated pursuant to FIN 46(R) until late 2006 upon the sale of the venture's assets and distribution of its net cash assets to its partners; and Markel, REES and Plaza Residential, which are discussed in Note 1, are each consolidated.

Investments in unconsolidated affiliates consisted of the following as of December 31, 2007:

| Joint Venture | Location of Properties | Ownership | |
|-----------------------------------|--|------------------|---|
| | | Interest | |
| Board of Trade Investment Company | Kansas City, MO | 49.00 | % |
| Kessinger/Hunter, LLC | Kansas City, MO | 26.50 | % |
| 4600 Madison Associates, LLC | Kansas City, MO | 12.50 | % |
| Plaza Colonnade, LLC | Kansas City, MO | 50.00 | % |
| Dallas County Partners I, LP | Des Moines, IA | 50.00 | % |
| Dallas County Partners II, LP | Des Moines, IA | 50.00 | % |
| Dallas County Partners III, LP | Des Moines, IA | 50.00 | % |
| Fountain Three | Des Moines, IA | 50.00 | % |
| RRHWoods, LLC | Des Moines, IA | 50.00 | % |
| Highwoods DLF 98/29, LP | Atlanta, GA; Charlotte, NC; Greensboro, NC; Raleigh, NC; Orlando, FL; Baltimore, MD | 22.81 | % |
| Highwoods DLF 97/26 DLF 99/32, LP | Atlanta, GA; Greensboro, NC; Orlando, FL | 42.93 | % |
| Highwoods KC Glenridge Office, LP | Atlanta, GA | 40.00 | % |
| Highwoods KC Glenridge Land, LP | Atlanta, GA | 40.00 | % |
| HIW-KC Orlando, LLC | Orlando, FL | 40.00 | % |
| Concourse Center Associates, LLC | Greensboro, NC | 50.00 | % |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

2. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED AND OTHER AFFILIATES - Continued

Combined summarized financial information for our unconsolidated joint ventures is as follows:

| | December 31, 2007 | 2006 |
|---|------------------------------|-------------|
| Balance Sheets: | | |
| Assets: | | |
| Real estate, net of accumulated depreciation | \$ 626,844 | \$ 672,869 |
| Other assets | 111,317 | 103,970 |
| Total Assets | \$ 738,161 | \$ 776,839 |
| Liabilities and Partners and Shareholders Equity: | | |
| Mortgage debt (1) | \$ 558,757 | \$ 575,452 |
| Other liabilities | 32,225 | 29,430 |
| Partners and shareholders equity | 147,179 | 171,957 |
| Total Liabilities and Partners and Shareholders Equity | \$ 738,161 | \$ 776,839 |
| Our share of historical partners and shareholders equity | \$ 28,383 | \$ 43,047 |
| Net excess of cost of investments over the net book value of underlying net assets, net of accumulated depreciation (2) | 18,856 | 10,787 |
| Carrying value of investments in unconsolidated joint ventures (3) | \$ 47,239 | \$ 53,834 |
| Our share of unconsolidated non-recourse mortgage debt (1) | \$ 233,920 | \$ 244,975 |

(1) Our share of the mortgage debt through maturity as of December 31, 2007 is as follows:

| | |
|------------|------------|
| 2008 | \$ 4,686 |
| 2009 | 6,790 |
| 2010 | 9,518 |
| 2011 | 6,215 |
| 2012 | 42,011 |
| Thereafter | 164,700 |
| | \$ 233,920 |

We generally are not liable for any of this debt, except to the extent of our investment, unless we have directly guaranteed any of the debt (see Note 15). In most cases, we and/or our strategic partners are required to agree to customary limited exceptions on non-recourse loans.

(2) This amount represents the aggregate difference between our historical cost basis and the basis reflected at the joint venture level, which is typically amortized over the life of the related asset. In addition, certain acquisition, transaction and other costs may not be reflected in net

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

assets at the joint venture level.

- (3) During the third quarter of 2006, three of our joint ventures located in Des Moines, Iowa made cash distributions aggregating \$17.0 million in connection with a debt refinancing. We received 50.0% of such distributions. As a result of these distributions, our investment account in these joint ventures became negative. Although the new debt is non-recourse, we and our partner have guaranteed other debt and have contractual obligations to support the joint ventures, which are included in the Guarantees and Other Obligations table in Note 15. Therefore, in accordance with SOP 78-9 Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures, we recorded the distributions as a reduction of the investment account and included the resulting negative investment balances of \$10.8 million in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

2. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED AND OTHER AFFILIATES - Continued

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Income Statements: | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 143,594 | \$ 139,511 | \$ 141,775 |
| Expenses: | | | |
| Operating expenses | 62,194 | 60,638 | 58,693 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 30,896 | 29,007 | 29,736 |
| Interest expense and loan cost amortization | 34,259 | 33,768 | 34,683 |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | | 1,448 | |
| Total expenses | 127,349 | 124,861 | 123,112 |
| Income before disposition of property | 16,245 | 14,650 | 18,663 |
| Gains on disposition of property | 20,621 | | |
| Net income | \$ 36,866 | \$ 14,650 | \$ 18,663 |
| Our share of: | | | |
| Net income (1) | \$ 13,110 | \$ 6,841 | \$ 9,303 |
| Depreciation and amortization (real estate related) | \$ 13,438 | \$ 11,191 | \$ 10,989 |
| Interest expense and loan cost amortization | \$ 14,415 | \$ 14,170 | \$ 14,572 |
| Loss on debt extinguishment | \$ | \$ 724 | \$ |
| Gains on disposition of property | \$ 7,158 | \$ | \$ |

(1) Our share of net income differs from our weighted average ownership percentage in the joint ventures net income due to our purchase accounting and other related adjustments.

The following summarizes the formation and principal activities of our unconsolidated joint ventures.

Board of Trade Investment Company; Kessinger/Hunter, LLC; 4600 Madison Associates, LP

We have a 49.0% interest in Board of Trade Investment Company, a 26.5% interest in Kessinger/Hunter, LLC and a 12.5% interest in 4600 Madison Associates, L.P. We are the property manager for the Board of Trade Investment Company and 4600 Madison Associates, L.P. joint ventures, for which we receive property management fees. In addition, Kessinger/Hunter, LLC, which is managed by our partner, provides property management, leasing and brokerage services and provides certain construction related services to certain of our Wholly Owned Properties. Kessinger/Hunter, LLC received \$3.8 million, \$2.3 million and \$1.2 million from us for these related services in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Des Moines Joint Ventures

We have a 50.0% ownership interest in a series of joint ventures with R&R Investors (the Des Moines Joint Ventures) relating to properties in Des Moines, Iowa. Our partner is the managing partner and receives management and leasing fees from the joint ventures.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

2. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED AND OTHER AFFILIATES - Continued

Highwoods DLF 98/29, L.P.

We have a 22.81% interest in a joint venture (DLF I) with Schweiz-Deutschland-USA Dreilander Beteiligung Objekt DLF 98/29-Walker Fink-KG (DLF). We are the property manager and leasing agent of DLF I s properties and receive customary management and leasing fees. At the formation of this joint venture, the amount DLF contributed in cash to the venture was determined to be in excess of the amount required based on its ownership interest and on the final agreed-upon value of the real estate assets. We agreed to repay this amount to DLF over 14 years. The payments of \$7.2 million were discounted to net present value of \$3.8 million using a discount rate of 9.62% specified in the agreement. Payments of \$0.5 million were made in each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, of which \$0.2 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, represented imputed interest expense. The balance at December 31, 2007 is \$2.3 million, which is included in other liabilities.

On March 12, 2007, DLF I sold five properties to a third party for gross proceeds of \$34.2 million and recorded a gain of \$9.3 million related to this sale. We recorded \$2.1 million as our proportionate share of this gain through equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates. On May 21, 2007, DLF I acquired Eola Park Centre, a 167,000 square foot office building in Orlando, Florida, for \$39.3 million. In June 2007, the joint venture obtained a \$27.7 million loan secured by Eola Park Centre. Simultaneously with DLF I s acquisition of Eola Park Centre, we separately acquired an adjacent parcel of development land for \$2.0 million on a wholly-owned basis.

Highwoods DLF 97/26 DLF 99/32, L.P.

We have a 42.93% interest in a joint venture (the DLF II Joint Venture) with Dreilander-Fonds 97/26 and 99/32 (DLF II). We are the property manager and leasing agent of the DLF II Joint Venture s properties and receive customary management and leasing fees.

Concourse Center Associates, LLC and Plaza Colonnade, LLC

We have 50.0% interests in Concourse Center Associates, LLC and Plaza Colonnade, LLC. Unrelated investors own the remaining 50.0% ownership interest in the joint ventures. We are the manager and leasing agent for the properties and receive customary management fees and leasing commissions.

Highwoods KC Glenridge Office, LP and Highwoods KC Glenridge Land, LP

We have 40.0% interests in Highwoods KC Glenridge Office, LP and Highwoods KC Glenridge Land, LP with Kapital-Consult, a European investment firm. We are the manager and leasing agent for the office properties and receive customary management fees and leasing commissions.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

2. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED AND OTHER AFFILIATES - Continued

HIW-KC Orlando, LLC

On June 28, 2004, Kapital-Consult bought a 60.0% interest in HIW-KC Orlando, LLC. We own the remaining 40.0% interest. HIW-KC Orlando, LLC owns five in-service office properties, encompassing 1.3 million rentable square feet, located in the central business district of Orlando, Florida, which were valued under the joint venture agreement at \$212.0 million. The joint venture borrowed \$143.0 million under a ten-year fixed rate mortgage loan from a third party lender at the time of its formation. In connection with this transaction, we agreed to guarantee rent to the joint venture for 3,248 rentable square feet commencing in August 2004 and expiring in April 2011. In connection with this guarantee, as of June 30, 2004, we included \$0.6 million in other liabilities and reduced the total amount of gain to be recognized by the same amount. Additionally, we agreed to guarantee re-tenanting costs for approximately 11% of the joint venture's total square footage. We recorded a \$4.1 million contingent liability with respect to such guarantee as of June 30, 2004 and reduced the total amount of gain to be recognized by the same amount. In the three and a half year period ended December 31, 2007, we paid \$3.8 million in re-tenanting costs related to this guarantee. The contribution was accounted for as a partial sale as defined by SFAS No. 66 and we recognized a \$16.3 million gain in June 2004. Since we have an ongoing 40.0% financial interest in the joint venture and since we are engaged by the joint venture to provide management and leasing services for the joint venture, for which we receive customary management fees and leasing commissions, the operations of these properties were not reflected as discontinued operations consistent with SFAS No. 144 and the related gain on sale was included in continuing operations in the second quarter of 2004.

Weston Lakeside, LLC

On September 27, 2004, we and an affiliate of Crosland, Inc. (Crosland) formed Weston Lakeside, LLC, in which we had a 50.0% ownership interest. On June 29, 2005, we contributed 22.4 acres of land at an agreed upon value of \$3.9 million to this joint venture, and Crosland contributed approximately \$2.0 million in cash. Immediately thereafter, the joint venture distributed approximately \$1.9 million to us and we recorded a gain of \$0.5 million. Crosland managed and operated this joint venture, which constructed 332 rental residential units in three buildings at a total cost of approximately \$33.7 million. Crosland received 3.25% of all project costs other than land as a development fee and 3.5% of the gross revenue of the joint venture in management fees. We provided certain development services for the project and received a fee equal to 1.0% of all project costs excluding land. We accounted for this joint venture using the equity method of accounting. On February 22, 2007, the joint venture sold the 332 rental residential units to a third party for gross proceeds of \$45.0 million and paid off all of its outstanding debt and various development related costs. The joint venture recorded a gain of \$11.3 million in the first quarter of 2007 related to this sale and we recorded \$5.0 million as our proportionate share through equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates. Our share of the gain was less than 50.0% due to Crosland's preferred return as the developer. We received aggregate net distributions of \$6.2 million. Weston Lakeside, LLC has been dissolved.

Consolidated Joint Ventures

The following summarizes the formation and principal activities of our consolidated joint ventures.

Highwoods-Markel Associates, LLC

In 1999 and 2003, we contributed to this 50.0% owned joint venture a total of four in-service office properties located in Richmond, Virginia aggregating approximately 413,000 square feet. Our partner, Markel Corporation, occupies substantially all of this space for its own use. We are the manager and leasing agent for the properties and receive customary management fees and leasing commissions. As further described in Note 1, we began consolidating the Markel joint venture in January 2006 under the provisions of EITF No. 04-5.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

2. INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED AND OTHER AFFILIATES - Continued**SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP**

As further described in Note 3, we contributed assets to this joint venture which was accounted for as a financing arrangement under SFAS No. 66. Accordingly, the assets continue to be consolidated in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Vinings at University Center, LLC

On December 22, 2004, we and Easlan Investment Group, Inc. (Easlan) formed The Vinings at University Center, LLC. We contributed 7.8 acres of land at an agreed upon value of \$1.6 million to the joint venture in December 2004 in return for a 50.0% equity interest. Easlan contributed \$1.1 million in the form of non-interest bearing promissory notes for a 50.0% equity interest in the joint venture. The joint venture completed the construction of 156 rental residential units on the 7.8 acres of land in the first quarter of 2006. Easlan was the manager and leasing agent for these residential units and received customary management fees and leasing commissions. We received development fees throughout the construction project. We consolidated this joint venture from inception under the provisions of FIN 46(R) because Easlan had no at-risk equity and we would absorb the majority of the joint venture's expected losses, if any. On November 1, 2006, the joint venture sold the residential units to a third party for gross proceeds of \$14.3 million, paid off all of its outstanding debt and made cash distributions to the partners. We received a distribution of \$2.9 million and recorded a gain of \$1.4 million during the fourth quarter of 2006. The Vinings at University Center, LLC has been dissolved.

Development, Leasing and Management Fees

As discussed above, we receive development, management and leasing fees for services provided to certain of our joint ventures. These fees are recognized as income to the extent of the other joint venture partner's interest and are shown in rental and other revenues, as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Development fees | \$ | \$ 98 | \$ 42 |
| Management and leasing fees | 2,205 | 1,920 | 1,963 |
| Total fees | \$ 2,205 | \$ 2,018 | \$ 2,005 |

3. FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS

The following summarizes sale transactions in 2000 and 2002 that were or continue to be accounted for as financing arrangements under paragraphs 25 through 29 of SFAS No. 66 during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

3. FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS - Continued

SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP

On September 11, 2002, we contributed Harborview Plaza, an office building in Tampa, Florida, to SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP (Harborview LP), a newly formed entity, in exchange for a 20.0% limited partnership interest and \$35.4 million in cash. The other partner contributed \$12.6 million of cash and a new loan was obtained by the partnership for \$22.8 million. In connection with this disposition, we entered into a master lease agreement with Harborview LP for five years on the then vacant space in the building (approximately 20% of the building); occupancy was 98.8% at December 31, 2007. We also guaranteed to Harborview LP the payment of tenant improvements and lease commissions of \$1.2 million. Our maximum exposure to loss under the master lease agreement was \$2.1 million at September 11, 2002; this guarantee expired on September 10, 2007. Additionally, our partner in Harborview LP was granted the right to put its 80.0% equity interest in Harborview LP to us in exchange for cash at any time during the one-year period commencing September 11, 2014. The value of the 80.0% equity interest will be determined at the time that such partner elects to exercise its put right, if ever, based upon the then fair market value of Harborview LP's assets and liabilities less 3.0%, which amount was intended to cover the normal costs of a sale transaction.

Because of the put option, this transaction is accounted for as a financing transaction as described in Note 1. Accordingly, the assets, liabilities and operations related to Harborview Plaza, the property owned by Harborview LP, including any new financing by the partnership, remain in our Consolidated Financial Statements. As a result, we have established a financing obligation equal to the net equity contributed by the other partner. At the end of each reporting period, the balance of the financing obligation is adjusted to equal the greater of the original financing obligation or the current fair value of the put option discussed above. The estimated fair value of the put option was \$15.6 million at December 31, 2007. This amount is adjusted by a related valuation allowance account, which is being amortized prospectively through September 2014 as interest expense on financing obligation. The amount of the financing obligation together with the valuation allowance was \$16.6 million at December 31, 2007. Additionally, the net income from the operations before depreciation of Harborview Plaza allocable to the 80.0% partner is recorded as interest expense on financing obligation. We continue to depreciate the property and record all of the depreciation on our books. Any payments made under the master lease agreement were expensed as incurred (\$0.07 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million was expensed during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively) and any amounts paid under the tenant improvement and lease commission guarantee are capitalized and amortized to expense over the remaining lease term. At such time as the put option expires or is otherwise terminated, we will record the transaction as a sale and recognize gain on sale.

Eastshore

On November 26, 2002, we sold three buildings located in Richmond, Virginia (the Eastshore transaction) for a total price of \$28.5 million in cash. Each of the properties was leased on a triple-net basis to Capital One Services, Inc., a subsidiary of Capital One Financial Services, Inc. In connection with the sale, we guaranteed any shortfalls that may be incurred in the payment of rent and re-tenanting costs for a five-year period from the date of sale (through November 2007). Our maximum exposure to loss under the rental guarantee agreements was \$18.7 million at the

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

date of sale. Payments made under this guarantee were as follows: 2002 and 2003 none; 2004 - \$0.09 million; 2005 - \$0.1 million; 2006 - \$0.7 million; and 2007 through the guarantee termination date - \$0.8 million.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

3. FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS - Continued

These rent guarantees were a form of continuing involvement as discussed in paragraph 28 of SFAS No. 66. Because the guarantees covered the entire space occupied by a single tenant under a triple-net lease arrangement, our guarantees were considered a guaranteed return on the buyer's investment for an extended period of time. Therefore, the transaction had been accounted for as a financing transaction following the accounting method described in Note 1 through July 2005. Accordingly, through July 2005, the assets, liabilities and operations were included in these Consolidated Financial Statements, and a financing obligation of \$28.8 million was recorded, which represented the amount received from the buyer, adjusted for subsequent activity. The income from the operations of the properties, other than depreciation, was allocated 100.0% to the owner as interest expense on financing obligations. Payments made under the rent guarantees were charged to expense as incurred. This transaction was recorded as a completed sale transaction in July 2005 when the maximum exposure to loss under the guarantees became less than the related deferred gain; accordingly, \$1.7 million in gain was recognized in the last six months of 2005, \$3.6 million in gain was recognized in 2006 and \$3.3 million in gain was recognized in 2007 as the maximum exposure under the guarantees was reduced. Payments made under rent guarantees after July 2005 were recorded as a reduction of the deferred gain.

4. INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES AND IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

Gains and impairments on disposition of properties, net, included in continuing operations (excluding impairments of assets held for use which are recorded in operating expenses as described below) consisted of the following:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Gains on disposition of land | \$ 17,360 | \$ 12,043 | \$ 8,604 |
| Impairments on land | (750) | | (2,124) |
| Gains on disposition of depreciable properties | 3,952 | 4,114 | 7,692 |
| Total | \$ 20,562 | \$ 16,157 | \$ 14,172 |

The above gains on land and depreciable properties include deferred gain recognition from prior sales.

Gains and impairments on properties sold or held for sale and classified as discontinued operations, net of minority interest, consisted of the following, as described in Note 12:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Gains on sales of depreciable properties | \$ 34,861 | \$ 15,082 | \$ 34,128 |
| Impairments on disposition of depreciable properties | (384) | | (8,374) |
| Allocable minority interest | (2,465) | (1,224) | (2,528) |
| Total | \$ 32,012 | \$ 13,858 | \$ 23,226 |

2007 Dispositions

During 2007, we sold approximately 1.2 million square feet of office and industrial properties for gross proceeds of approximately \$113.9 million. The resultant gains, including recognition of certain gains deferred in prior years, are shown in the preceding table. The significant 2007 transactions are described below, all of which except land sales were recorded as discontinued operations. Certain development land was classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2007.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

4. INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES AND IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS - Continued

In the first quarter of 2007, we sold office and industrial properties encompassing 0.3 million rentable square feet located in Atlanta, GA, and Raleigh, NC for gross proceeds of approximately \$39.9 million and recorded gains of approximately \$19.5 million. These properties were classified as held for sale and were included in discontinued operations at December 31, 2006.

In the third quarter of 2007, we sold five office and industrial properties aggregating 0.5 million rentable square feet located in Atlanta, GA and Greenville, SC for gross proceeds of approximately \$36.8 million. A gain of approximately \$6.9 million and an impairment of approximately \$0.4 million were recorded in the third quarter of 2007. These properties were classified as discontinued operations in the third quarter of 2007.

In the fourth quarter of 2007, we sold six office and industrial properties aggregating 0.4 million rentable square feet located in Greensboro, NC, Columbia, SC and Nashville, TN for gross proceeds of approximately \$36.1 million and recorded gains of approximately \$8.1 million. These properties were classified as discontinued operations in the fourth quarter of 2007.

During 2007, we also sold 132.8 acres of non-core land for gross sale proceeds of \$37.4 million and gains net of impairments of \$16.6 million. There was also a \$0.8 million impairment related to land held for use that was recorded in 2007 and included in operating expenses.

2006 Dispositions

During 2006, we sold approximately 3.0 million square feet of office and industrial properties, 17 rental residential units and the Vinings residential project for aggregate gross proceeds of approximately \$241 million. The resultant gains, including recognition of certain gains deferred in prior years, are shown in the preceding table. The significant 2006 transactions are described below, all of which except land sales were recorded as discontinued operations. Certain other properties and development land were also classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2006.

In the first quarter of 2006, we sold office and industrial properties encompassing 1,867,000 rentable square feet located in Atlanta, GA, Columbia, SC and Tampa, FL in a single transaction for gross proceeds of approximately \$141 million. These properties were classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2005 and an impairment loss of \$7.7 million was recorded in the fourth quarter of 2005. The properties subject to this sale were recorded as discontinued operations in the fourth quarter of 2005. Also, in the first quarter of 2006, we sold an office property encompassing 132,000 rentable square feet located in Raleigh, NC for gross proceeds of approximately \$12.9 million. A gain of approximately

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

\$1.4 million was recorded in the first quarter of 2006. This property was classified as discontinued operations in the first quarter of 2006.

In the third quarter of 2006, we sold five office and industrial properties aggregating 292,000 rentable square feet located in Raleigh, NC for gross proceeds of approximately \$22.8 million. A gain of approximately \$2.8 million was recorded in the third quarter of 2006. This property was classified as discontinued operations in the third quarter of 2006.

In the fourth quarter of 2006, we sold the following assets: a retail property aggregating 105,000 rentable square feet located in Kansas City, MO for gross proceeds of approximately \$10.5 million, with a gain of approximately \$1.5 million; two office properties and 12 industrial properties aggregating 393,000 rentable square feet in Winston-Salem and Greensboro, NC for gross proceeds of approximately \$16.5 million, with a gain of approximately \$3.5 million; and three office properties aggregating 193,000 rentable square feet in Tampa, FL for gross proceeds of approximately \$22 million, with a gain of approximately \$3.7 million.

During 2006, we also sold 220.7 acres of non-core land for gross sale proceeds of \$34.5 million and gains of \$12.0 million.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

4. INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES AND IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS - Continued

2005 Dispositions

During 2005, we sold approximately 4.9 million square feet of office and industrial properties and 29 residential units for gross proceeds of approximately \$386 million (including the Eastshore transaction recognized as a completed sale in 2005 see Note 3) and also sold or contributed to a joint venture approximately 200 acres of development land for gross proceeds of \$25.1 million. The resultant gains and impairments, including recognition of certain gains deferred in prior years, are shown in the preceding table. The significant 2005 transactions are described below, all of which except the Eastshore transaction were recorded as discontinued operations. Certain other properties and development land were also classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2005.

In the first quarter of 2005, we sold an office building in Raleigh, NC to an owner/user for gross proceeds of approximately \$27.3 million. In the first and second quarters, we sold industrial buildings in Winston-Salem, NC for gross proceeds of approximately \$27.0 million, as more fully described in Note 8. In the second quarter, we sold two vacant buildings in Highwoods Preserve, Tampa, FL to an owner/user for gross proceeds of approximately \$24.5 million. In the third quarter, we sold all of our operating properties and certain vacant land in Charlotte, NC and certain operating properties in Tampa, FL in a single transaction for gross proceeds of approximately \$228 million. In connection with this sale, we closed our division office in Charlotte and incurred employee severance costs of approximately \$0.6 million, which were charged to general and administrative expenses during the second and third quarters. In the third quarter of 2005, we also recognized as a completed sale the disposition of three office buildings in Richmond, VA (the Eastshore transaction); Eastshore had been accounted for as a financing due to a significant guarantee of rent under leases in the sold properties that was made by us when the sale occurred in 2002, as more fully described in Note 3.

Development

We currently have under development 15 office, industrial and retail properties aggregating 2.2 million square feet and 139 for-sale residential units. The aggregate cost, including leasing commissions, of these properties is currently expected to total approximately \$380 million when fully leased and completed, of which \$227.0 million was incurred as of December 31, 2007. The weighted average pre-leasing of such development projects was 69%. As more fully described in Note 1, binding sale contracts have been executed for all 139 of the for-sale residential units; closings are expected to occur in late 2008 and early 2009. Seven of these 15 properties aggregating 1.3 million square feet and \$136.2 million total expected investment have been completed and transferred to completed real estate assets at various times prior to December 31, 2007, but had not yet reached projected 95% stabilized occupancy. The remaining development properties are still under construction and are included in Development in Process in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

In addition to the development projects discussed above, during the year ended December 31, 2007, five development properties that had been under construction at December 31, 2006, were completed and placed in service with average occupancy of 100% at December 31, 2007. Two other development properties that had been completed but had not achieved stabilized occupancy at December 31, 2006, achieved stabilized occupancy during 2007. The total investment in these seven properties aggregated approximately \$144 million at December 31, 2007.

Impairments of Long-Lived Assets

As described in Note 1, we record impairments of Long-Lived Assets that are held for use or sold and/or held for sale in accordance with SFAS No. 144. Impairments of assets held for use are included in operating expenses.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

4. INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES AND IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS - Continued

During 2005, one land parcel classified as held for use had indicators of impairment where the carrying value exceeded the sum of undiscounted future cash flows. Accordingly, we recognized an impairment loss of \$3.2 million in 2005, and during 2007 we recognized an additional \$0.8 million of impairment with respect to this land, as indicators of reduced value for this land arose during 2007. This land became classified as held for sale in the fourth quarter of 2007, and an additional impairment of \$0.7 million was recorded with respect to this parcel and included in gains and impairments on disposition of properties. We recorded impairments on other land parcels included in gains and impairments on disposition of properties aggregating \$2.1 million during 2005.

During 2006 and 2005, we recorded impairments on operating properties then classified as held for use amounting to \$2.6 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. These properties were later reclassified to discontinued operations under SFAS No. 144 and were sold. In addition, we recorded impairments with respect to certain properties sold or held for sale aggregating \$0.4 million and \$8.4 million during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2005, respectively. These impairments are included in discontinued operations, as more fully described in Note 12.

5. MORTGAGES, NOTES PAYABLE AND FINANCING OBLIGATIONS

Our consolidated mortgages and notes payable consisted of the following at December 31, 2007 and 2006:

| | December 31, 2007 | 2006 |
|--|----------------------|-----------|
| Secured indebtedness: (1) | | |
| 8.17% mortgage loan due 2007 | \$ | \$ 61,426 |
| 7.77% mortgage loan due 2009 | 80,408 | 82,622 |
| 7.87% mortgage loan due 2009 | 41,321 | 52,126 |
| 7.05% mortgage loan due 2012 | 190,000 | 190,000 |
| 6.03% mortgage loan due 2013 | 135,593 | 137,810 |
| 5.68% mortgage loan due 2013 | 120,970 | 123,271 |
| 5.74% to 9.00% mortgage loans due between 2007 and 2017 (2), (3) | 77,208 | 83,477 |
| Variable rate construction loans due 2007 | | 10,897 |
| Variable rate construction loans due 2010 | 19,811 | |
| | 665,311 | 741,629 |
| Unsecured indebtedness: (4) | | |
| 7.13% notes due 2008 (5) | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| 8.13% notes due 2009 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 5.85% bonds due 2017 (6) | 398,876 | |
| 7.50% notes due 2018 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Revolving credit facility due 2009 | 227,800 | 373,500 |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total | 976,676 | 723,500 |
| | \$ 1,641,987 | \$ 1,465,129 |

- (1) The mortgage loans payable are secured by real estate assets with an aggregate undepreciated book value of approximately \$1.02 billion at December 31, 2007. Our fixed rate mortgage loans generally are either locked out to prepayment for all or a portion of their term or are prepayable subject to certain conditions including prepayment penalties.
- (2) Amounts at December 31, 2007 and 2006 include \$22.7 million and \$22.8 million, respectively, of mortgage debt related to SF-HIW Harborview Plaza, LP. See Note 3.
- (3) Includes mortgage debt related to Markel, a consolidated 50.0% owned joint venture of \$37.4 million and \$38.1 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. See Notes 1 and 2.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

5. MORTGAGES, NOTES PAYABLE AND FINANCING OBLIGATIONS - Continued

- (4) The unsecured notes bear interest at rates ranging from 5.85% to 8.125% with interest payable semi-annually in arrears. Any premium and discount related to the issuance of the unsecured notes, together with other issuance costs, is being amortized to interest expense over the life of the respective notes as an adjustment to interest expense. All of the unsecured notes are redeemable at any time prior to maturity at our option, subject to certain conditions including the payment of make-whole amounts. Under the indenture, the notes may be accelerated if the trustee or 25% of the holders provide written notice of a default and such default remains uncured after 60 days. The Operating Partnership is in compliance with all covenants under the indenture and is current on all payments required thereunder.
- (5) These notes were paid off at maturity on February 1, 2008 with borrowings under our revolving credit facility.
- (6) This amount is net of a discount of \$1.1 million.

The following table sets forth the principal payments, including amortization, due on our mortgages and notes payable as of December 31, 2007:

| Years Ended December 31, | Principal Amount |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| 2008 | \$ 109,937 |
| 2009 | 409,723 |
| 2010 | 28,747 |
| 2011 | 9,507 |
| 2012 | 212,166 |
| Thereafter | 871,907 |
| | \$ 1,641,987 |

Our \$450 million unsecured revolving credit facility is initially scheduled to mature on May 1, 2009. Assuming no default exists, we have an option to extend the maturity date by one additional year and, at any time prior to May 1, 2008, may request increases in the borrowing availability under the credit facility by up to an additional \$50 million. The interest rate is LIBOR plus 80 basis points and the annual base facility fee is 20 basis points. The revolving credit facility had \$220 million of availability at December 31, 2007 and had \$218 million of availability as of February 27, 2008.

On March 22, 2007, the Operating Partnership issued \$400 million aggregate principal amount of 5.85% Notes due March 15, 2017, net of original issue discount of \$1.2 million. We used the net proceeds from the issuance of the notes to repay borrowings outstanding under an unsecured non-revolving credit facility that was obtained on January 31, 2007 (which was subsequently terminated) and under the revolving credit facility.

On June 5, 2007, two three-year secured construction loans totaling \$24.7 million with interest at 175 basis points over LIBOR were obtained by REES, a consolidated joint venture (see Note 1). Subsequently, on July 17, 2007, REES obtained an additional \$13.7 million, three-year secured construction loan with interest at 165 basis points over LIBOR. In October 2007, we paid off one of the original secured construction loans,

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

which had accounted for \$4.4 million of the original \$24.7 million. At December 31, 2007 and February 27, 2008, \$19.8 million and \$23.7 million, respectively, was outstanding under these two remaining loans.

On December 20, 2007 we closed a \$70 million secured revolving construction facility. The loan matures on December 20, 2010. The interest rate is based on LIBOR plus 85 basis points. At December 31, 2007 and February 27, 2008, there were no amounts outstanding on this revolving facility.

On February 1, 2008, we paid off at maturity \$100.0 million of 7.125% unsecured notes using borrowings under our revolving credit facility. On February 26, 2008 we closed a \$137.5 million three-year term loan that bears interest at LIBOR plus 110 basis points. Proceeds from this loan were used to reduce the outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit facility and for short-term investments.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

5. MORTGAGES, NOTES PAYABLE AND FINANCING OBLIGATIONS - Continued**Other Information**

Our revolving credit facility, our bank term loan and the indenture that governs the Operating Partnership's outstanding notes require us to comply with customary operating covenants and various financial and operating ratios. We and the Operating Partnership are each currently in compliance with all such requirements.

Total interest capitalized to development projects was \$9.7 million, \$5.0 million and \$2.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Deferred Financing Costs

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, deferred financing costs were \$14.2 million and \$11.7 million, respectively, and related accumulated amortization was \$5.4 million and \$4.2 million, respectively. Deferred financing costs include loan fees, loan closing costs, premium and discounts on bonds and notes payable and debt issuance costs. Amortization of bond premiums and discounts is included in contractual interest expense. All other amortization is shown as amortization of deferred financing costs. The scheduled future amortization of these deferred financings costs will be as follows:

| | Contractual Interest | Deferred Financing | Total |
|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| 2008 | \$ 70 | \$ 2,390 | \$ 2,460 |
| 2009 | 37 | 1,466 | 1,503 |
| 2010 | 36 | 905 | 941 |
| 2011 | 36 | 791 | 827 |
| 2012 | 36 | 702 | 738 |
| Thereafter | 191 | 2,105 | 2,296 |
| | \$ 406 | \$ 8,359 | \$ 8,765 |

Financing Obligations

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Our financing obligations consisted of the following at December 31, 2007 and 2006:

| | December 31, 2007 | 2006 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|
| SF-HIW Harborview, LP financing obligation (1) | \$ 16,566 | \$ 16,157 |
| Tax increment financing obligation (2) | 17,395 | 18,308 |
| Capitalized ground lease obligation (3) | 1,110 | 1,065 |
| Total | \$ 35,071 | \$ 35,530 |

(1) See Note 3 for further discussion of this financing obligation.

(2) In connection with tax increment financing for construction of a public garage related to an office building, we are obligated to pay fixed special assessments over a 20-year period. The net present value of these assessments, discounted at 6.93% at the inception of the obligation, which represents the interest rate on the underlying bond financing, is shown as a financing obligation in the Consolidated Balance Sheet. We also receive special tax revenues and property tax rebates recorded in interest and other income, which are intended, but not guaranteed, to provide funds to pay the special assessments.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

5. MORTGAGES, NOTES PAYABLE AND FINANCING OBLIGATIONS - Continued

On December 3, 2007, we acquired for \$20.9 million the remaining outstanding bonds, at par value, that were issued by a municipal authority in connection with this tax increment financing. These available for sale securities are carried at estimated fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported in other comprehensive income, in accordance with SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities."

- (3) Represents a capitalized lease obligation to the lessor of land on which we are constructing a new building. We are obligated to make fixed payments to the lessor through October 2022 and the lease provides for fixed price purchase options in the ninth and tenth years of the lease. We intend to exercise the purchase option in order to prevent an economic penalty related to conveying the building to the lessor at the expiration of the lease. The net present value of the fixed rental payments and purchase option through the ninth year was calculated using a discount rate of 7.1%. The assets and liabilities under the capital lease are recorded at the lower of the present value of minimum lease payments or the fair value. The liability accretes each month for the difference between the interest rate on the financing obligation and the fixed payments. The accretion will continue until the liability equals the purchase option of the land in the ninth year of the lease.

6. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Officer, Management and Director Compensation Programs

Our officers participate in an annual non-equity incentive program whereby they are eligible for incentive payments based on a percentage of their annual base salary. In addition to considering the pay practices of our peer group in determining each officer's incentive payment percentage, the officer's ability to influence our performance is also considered. Each officer has a target annual non-equity incentive payment percentage that ranges from 25% to 130% of base salary depending on the officer's position. The officer's actual incentive payment for the year is the product of the target annual incentive payment percentage times a performance factor, which can range from zero to 200%. This performance factor depends upon the relationship between how various performance criteria compare with predetermined goals. For an officer who has division responsibilities, goals for certain performance criteria are based partly on the division's actual performance relative to that division's established goals for each criteria and partly on actual total performance relative to the same criteria. Incentive payments are accrued and expensed in the year earned and are generally paid in the first quarter of the following year.

Certain other members of management participate in an annual non-equity incentive program whereby a target annual cash incentive payment is established based upon the job responsibilities of their position. Incentive payment eligibility ranges from 10% to 40% of annual base salary. The actual incentive payment is determined by our overall performance and the individual's performance during each year. These incentive payments are also accrued and expensed in the year earned and are generally paid in the first quarter of the following year.

Our officers generally receive annual grants of stock options and restricted stock on or about March 1 of each year under the Amended and Restated 1994 Stock Option Plan (the "Stock Option Plan"). Stock options had also been granted to our directors, but currently directors do not receive annual stock option grants. Restricted stock grants are also made annually to directors and certain non-officer employees. As of

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

December 31, 2007, 9.0 million shares of Common Stock were authorized for issuance under the Stock Option Plan. Stock options issued prior to 2005 vest ratably over four years and remain outstanding for 10 years. Stock options issued beginning in 2005 vest ratably over a four-year period and remain outstanding for seven years. The value of all options as of the date of grant is calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

6. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS - Continued

We generally make annual grants of time-based restricted stock under our Stock Option Plan to our directors, officers and other employees. Shares of time-based restricted stock issued prior to 2005 generally vest 50% three years from the date of grant and the remaining 50% five years from date of grant. Shares of time-based restricted stock that were issued to officers and employees in 2005 vest one-third on the third anniversary, one-third on the fourth anniversary and one-third on the fifth anniversary of the date of grant. Shares of time-based restricted stock that were issued to officers and employees in 2006 and 2007 generally vest 25% on the first, second, third and fourth anniversary dates, respectively; Certain shares granted in 2007 vest one-third on the first, second and third anniversary dates. Shares of time-based restricted stock issued to directors generally vest 25% on January 1 of each successive year after the grant date. The value of grants of time-based restricted stock is based on the market value of Common Stock as of the date of grant and is amortized to expense over the respective vesting or service periods.

During 2007, 2006 and 2005, we also issued shares of restricted stock to officers under our Stock Option Plan that will vest if our total shareholder return exceeds the average total returns of a selected group of peer companies over a three-year period. If our total shareholder return does not equal or exceed such average total returns, none of the total return-based restricted stock will vest. The 2006 and 2007 grants also contain a provision allowing for partial vesting if the annual total return in any given year of the three-year period exceeds 9% on an absolute basis. Our total return on Common Stock was less than 9% for 2007, and accordingly, no shares vested as a result of this provision. Our total return on Common Stock exceeded 9% in 2006, and accordingly 2,373 previously issued shares of total return-based restricted stock vested as of December 31, 2006, net of shares surrendered for withholding taxes. The grant date fair values of each such share of total return-based restricted stock were determined by an outside consultant to be approximately 75%, 87% and 76% of the market value of a share of Common Stock as of the grant dates for the 2007, 2006 and 2005 grants, respectively. The grant date fair value of these shares of total-return based restricted stock is being amortized to expense on a straight-line method over the three-year period.

During 2007, 2006 and 2005, we also issued shares of performance-based restricted stock to officers under our Stock Option Plan that will vest pursuant to performance-based criteria. The performance-based criteria are based on whether or not we meet or exceed at the end of three-year performance periods certain operating and financial goals established under our Strategic Plan. To the extent actual performance equals or exceeds threshold performance goals, the portion of shares of performance-based restricted stock that vest can range from 50% to 100%. If actual performance does not meet such threshold goals, none of the performance-based restricted stock will vest. The fair value of performance-based restricted share grants is based on the market value of Common Stock as of the date of grant and the estimated performance to be achieved at the end of the three-year period. Such fair value is being amortized to expense during the period from grant date to the vesting dates, adjusting for the expected level of vesting that will occur at those dates.

Up to 100% of additional total return-based restricted stock and up to 50% of additional performance-based restricted stock may be issued at the end of the three-year periods if actual performance exceeds certain levels of performance. Such additional shares, if any, would be fully vested when issued. We will also accrue and record expense for additional performance-based shares during the three-year period to the extent issuance of the additional shares is expected based on our current and projected actual performance. In accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), no expense is recorded for additional shares of total return-based restricted stock that may be issued at the end of the three-year period since that possibility is already reflected in the grant date fair value.

During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we recognized approximately \$5.2 million, \$3.7 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, of stock-based compensation expense. As of December 31, 2007, there was \$10.6 million of total unrecognized stock-based compensation costs, which will be recognized over vesting periods that have a weighted average remaining term of 1.9 years.

The compensation and governance committee of the Company's board of directors has retained the authority to grant non-equity incentive and equity incentive awards at its discretion.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

6. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS - Continued

Using the Black-Scholes option valuation model, the weighted average fair values of options granted during 2007, 2006 and 2005 were \$6.30, \$4.00 and \$1.89, respectively, per option. The fair values of the options granted were determined at the grant dates using the following weighted average assumptions:

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| Risk free interest rate (1) | 4.51 | % 4.63 | % 4.19 | % |
| Common stock dividend yield (2) | 4.07 | % 5.20 | % 6.45 | % |
| Expected volatility (3) | 18.95 | % 18.90 | % 16.30 | % |
| Average expected option life (years) (4) | 5.75 | 4.75 | 7.0 | |
| Options granted | 146,347 | 243,610 | 662,325 | |

- (1) Represents interest rate on US treasury bonds having the same life as the estimated life of the option grants.
(2) The dividend yield is calculated utilizing the dividends paid for the previous one-year period and the per share price of Common Stock on the date of grant.
(3) Based on the historical volatility of Common Stock over a period relevant to the related stock option grant.
(4) The average expected option life for the 2007 and 2006 grants is based on an analysis of historical company data. The average expected option life for the 2005 grants is a weighted average of their respective contractual terms.

The following table summarizes information about all stock options outstanding at December 31, 2007:

| | Options Outstanding | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| Balances at December 31, 2006 | 2,975,071 | \$ 24.67 |
| Options granted | 146,347 | 41.83 |
| Options forfeited | (30,000) | 35.00 |
| Options cancelled | (85,228) | 28.43 |
| Options exercised | (1,096,369) | 23.28 |
| Balances at December 31, 2007 | 1,909,821 | \$ 26.45 |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Cash received or receivable from options exercised was \$12.9 million, \$43.3 million and \$2.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$23.4 million, \$30.5 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options outstanding at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$8.0 million, \$47.9 million and \$22.3 million, respectively. We generally do not permit the net cash settlement of exercised stock options, but do permit net share settlement for certain qualified exercises. We have a policy of issuing new shares to satisfy stock option exercises.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

6. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS - Continued

The following table sets forth information at December 31, 2007 about (a) the outstanding number of vested stock options and those expected to vest and (b) the number of those options that are exercisable.

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in 000s) | Weighted Average Remaining Years |
|-------------|-----------------------------|--|--|---|
| Outstanding | 1,881,236 | \$ 23.25 | \$ 8,004 | 4.23 |
| Exercisable | 1,232,663 | \$ 23.97 | \$ 6,860 | 3.73 |

The following table summarizes activity in the year ended December 31, 2007 for all time-based restricted stock grants:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Nonvested shares outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 255,120 | \$ 27.12 |
| Awarded and issued (1) | 205,283 | 40.78 |
| Vested (2) | (42,880) |) 27.38 |
| Forfeited | (29,959) |) 27.63 |
| Surrendered for payment of withholding taxes upon vesting (2) | (31,067) |) 27.31 |
| Nonvested shares outstanding at December 31, 2007 | 356,497 | \$ 34.89 |

(1) The weighted average fair value at grant date of time-based restricted shares issued during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$8.4 million, \$2.4 million and \$2.5 million, respectively.

(2) The vesting date fair value of time-based restricted shares that vested during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$3.2 million, \$2.2 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes activity in the year ended December 31, 2007 for all performance-based and total return-based restricted stock grants:

| | Number of Shares | | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|
| Nonvested shares outstanding at December 31, 2006 | 106,646 | | \$ 28.58 |
| Awarded and issued (1) | 41,480 | | 41.81 |
| Vested (2) | (2,174 |) | 26.82 |
| Forfeited | (8,876 |) | 30.92 |
| Surrendered for payment of withholding taxes upon vesting (2) | (1,604 |) | 26.82 |
| Nonvested shares outstanding at December 31, 2007 | 135,472 | | \$ 32.52 |

- (1) The weighted average fair value at grant date of performance and total return-based restricted shares issued during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$1.7 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.
- (2) The vesting date fair value of return-based restricted shares that vested during both of the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$0.2 million. No performance-based or return-based restricted shares vested during 2005.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

6. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS - Continued

1999 Shareholder Value Plan

Grants under the 1999 Shareholder Value Plan were intended to reward our officers when the total shareholder returns measured by increases in the market value of Common Stock plus dividends exceeded a comparable index of our peers over a three-year period. Annual grants under this Plan up to 2004 would result in cash payments based on our percentage change in shareholder return compared to the composite index of our peer group. If our performance is not at least 100% of the peer group, no payout is made. To the extent performance exceeds the peer group, the payout increases. No new grants were made under the 1999 Shareholder Value Plan in 2006 and 2005. There were no cash payouts for grants under this plan for the year ended December 31, 2005. For the grants issued in early 2004 and whose three-year performance period ended on December 31, 2006, payments of approximately \$0.9 million were made in early 2007. The 1999 Shareholder Value Plan is accounted for as a liability award and, accordingly, at each period-end, a liability equal to the current computed fair value under the plan for all outstanding plan units, adjusted for the three-year vesting period, is recorded with corresponding charges or credits to compensation expense. No compensation expense was required to be recognized during 2005 for grants under this plan; approximately \$0.9 million was recognized as expense in 2006. There are no grants currently outstanding under this plan.

Retirement Plan

In 2006, we adopted a retirement plan applicable to all employees, including officers, who, at the time of retirement, have at least 30 years of continuous qualified service or are at least 55 years old and have at least 10 years of continuous qualified service. Subject to advance retirement notice and execution of a non-compete agreement with us, eligible retirees are entitled to receive a pro rata amount of the annual incentive payment earned during the year of retirement. Stock options and time-based restricted stock granted by us to such eligible retiree during his or her employment would be non-forfeitable and become exercisable according to the terms of their original grants. Eligible retirees would also be entitled to receive a pro rata amount of any performance-based and total return-based restricted stock originally granted to such eligible retiree during his or her employment that subsequently vests after the retirement date according to the terms of their original grants. The benefits of this retirement plan apply only to restricted stock and stock option grants beginning in 2006 and will be phased in 25% on March 1, 2006 and 25% on each anniversary thereof. For employees who meet the age and service eligibility requirements, 25% of their 2006 grants and 50% of their 2007 grants were fully expensed at the grant date, which increased compensation expense by approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million in the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Grants made prior to 2006 are unaffected.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

6. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS - Continued

Deferred Compensation

We have a deferred compensation plan pursuant to which each officer and director can elect to defer a portion of their base salary and/or annual non-equity incentive payment (or director fees) which are invested on behalf of the officer or director in various unrelated mutual funds. These investments are recorded at fair value which aggregated \$6.2 million at December 31, 2007 and are included in prepaid expenses and other assets, with an offsetting deferred compensation liability recorded in other liabilities. Such deferred compensation is expensed in the period earned by the officers and directors. Deferred amounts ultimately payable to the officers and directors are based on the value of the related mutual fund investments (recorded in prepaid expenses and other assets). Accordingly, changes in the value of the marketable mutual fund investments are recorded in other income and the corresponding offsetting changes in the deferred compensation liability are recorded in general and administration expense. As a result, there is no effect on our net income subsequent to the time the compensation is deferred and fully funded. Prior to January 1, 2006, executive officers and directors also could elect to defer cash compensation for investment in units of phantom stock, which are not recorded as assets in our financial statements. At the end of each calendar quarter, any executive officer and director who deferred compensation into phantom stock was credited with units of phantom stock at a 15.0% discount. Dividends on the phantom units are assumed to be issued in additional units of phantom stock at a 15.0% discount. If an officer that deferred compensation under this plan leaves our employ voluntarily or for cause within two years after the end of the year in which such officer deferred compensation for units of phantom stock, at a minimum, the 15.0% discount and any deemed dividends are forfeited. Over the two-year vesting period, we record additional compensation expense equal to the 15.0% discount, the accrued dividends and any changes in the market value of Common Stock from the date of the deferral. The net expense or (income) recorded in general and administrative expenses from Phantom Stock aggregated \$(0.3) million, \$1.6 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Cash payments from the plan for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 were \$0.3 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.02 million, respectively. Transfers made from the phantom stock investment to other investments in the deferred compensation plan for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 were \$1.5 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. There were no transfers made for the year ended December 31, 2005. At December 31, 2007, the total liability for deferred compensation aggregated \$7.9 million and is recorded in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities.

401(k) Savings Plan

We have a 401(k) savings plan covering substantially all employees who meet certain age and employment criteria. We contribute amounts for each participant at a rate of 75% of the employee's contribution (up to 6% of each employee's salary subject to statutory limits). During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we contributed \$1.2 million, \$1.1 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, to the 401(k) savings plan. The assets of this plan are not included on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. Administrative expenses of the plan are paid by us.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

We have an Employee Stock Purchase Plan for all active employees under which employees can elect to contribute up to 25.0% of their base and annual non-equity incentive compensation for the purchase of Common Stock. At the end of each three-month offering period, the contributions in each participant's account balance, which includes accrued dividends, are applied to acquire shares of Common Stock at a cost that is calculated at 85.0% of the lower of the average closing price on the New York Stock Exchange on the five consecutive days preceding the first day of the quarter or the five days preceding the last day of the quarter. The Operating Partnership issues one Common Unit to the Company in exchange for the price paid for each share of Common Stock. SEC rules prohibited the Company from issuing shares of Common Stock pursuant to the plan under its Form S-8 registration statement during most of 2005 because of the delay in the filing of our SEC reports. As a result, no shares were issued during 2005 under the plan, and the funds were held by a trustee. In 2006, the Company issued 60,471 shares of Common Stock under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which included shares purchased using the funds held by such trustee. In the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company issued 16,937 shares of Common Stock under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan. The discount on newly issued shares is expensed by us as additional compensation and aggregated \$0.2 million in each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

7. RENTAL AND OTHER REVENUES; RENTAL PROPERTY AND OTHER EXPENSES

Our real estate assets are leased to tenants under operating leases. The minimum rental amounts under the leases are generally subject to scheduled fixed increases or, much less frequently, adjustments based on the Consumer Price Index. Generally, the leases also require that the tenants reimburse us for increases in certain costs above the base-year costs. Rental and other revenues from continuing operations consist of the following:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Contractual rents | \$ 374,079 | \$ 349,023 | \$ 331,495 |
| Straight-line rental income, net | 6,856 | 8,108 | 6,895 |
| Lease incentive amortization | (960) | (791) | (868) |
| Property operating cost recovery income | 44,423 | 39,640 | 33,893 |
| Lease termination fees | 2,617 | 2,792 | 4,870 |
| Fee income | 6,494 | 6,001 | 4,950 |
| Other miscellaneous operating income | 3,550 | 4,500 | 6,694 |
| | \$ 437,059 | \$ 409,273 | \$ 387,929 |

Rental property and other expenses from continuing operations consist of the following:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Maintenance, cleaning and general building | \$ 55,538 | \$ 52,562 | \$ 49,178 |
| Utilities, insurance and real estate taxes | 85,087 | 80,196 | 72,300 |
| Division and allocated administrative expenses | 11,735 | 11,982 | 10,117 |
| Other miscellaneous operating expenses | 4,904 | 5,732 | 6,937 |
| | \$ 157,264 | \$ 150,472 | \$ 138,532 |

Expected future minimum base rents to be received over the next five years and thereafter from tenants for leases in effect at December 31, 2007 for the Wholly Owned Properties are as follows:

| | |
|------|------------|
| 2008 | \$ 375,309 |
| 2009 | 351,362 |
| 2010 | 305,489 |
| 2011 | 258,540 |
| 2012 | 201,791 |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Thereafter

674,030
\$ 2,166,521

93

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

8. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

We had a contract to acquire development land in the Bluegrass Valley office development project from GAPI, Inc., a corporation controlled by Gene H. Anderson, an executive officer and director of the Company. Under the terms of the contract, the development land was purchased in phases, and the purchase price for each phase or parcel was settled in cash and/or Common Units. The price for the various parcels was based on an initial value for each parcel, adjusted for an interest factor, applied up to the closing date and also for changes in the value of the Common Units. On January 17, 2003, we acquired an additional 23.5 acres of this land from GAPI, Inc. for 85,520 shares of Common Stock and \$384,000 in cash for total consideration of \$2.3 million. In May 2003, 4.0 acres of the remaining acres not yet acquired by us was taken by the Georgia Department of Transportation to develop a roadway interchange for consideration of \$1.8 million. The Department of Transportation took possession and title of the property in June 2003. As part of the terms of the contract between us and GAPI, Inc., we were entitled to and received in 2003 the \$1.8 million proceeds from the condemnation. In July 2003, we appealed the condemnation and are currently seeking additional payment from the state; the recognition of any gain has been deferred pending resolution of the appeal process. In April 2005, we acquired for cash an additional 12.1 acres of the Bluegrass Valley land from GAPI, Inc. and also settled for cash the final purchase price with GAPI, Inc. on the 4.0 acres that were taken by the Georgia Department of Transportation, which aggregated approximately \$2.7 million, of which \$0.7 million was recorded as a payable to GAPI, Inc. on our financial statements as of December 31, 2004. In August 2005, we acquired 12.7 acres, representing the last parcel of land to be acquired, for cash of \$3.2 million. We believe that the purchase price with respect to each land parcel was at or below market value based on market data and on the subsequent sale of the land at a significant gain, as discussed below. These transactions were unanimously approved by the full Board of Directors with Mr. Anderson abstaining from the vote. The contract provided that the land parcels could be paid in Common Units or in cash, at the option of the seller. This feature constituted an embedded derivative pursuant to SFAS No. 133. The embedded derivative feature was accounted for separately and adjusted based on changes in the fair value of the Common Units. This resulted in decreases to other income of \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million in 2004 and 2005, respectively. The embedded derivative expired upon the closing of the final land transaction in August 2005. The majority of the Bluegrass land that we acquired from GAPI, Inc. was sold in the fourth quarter of 2006 to a third party for a gain of approximately \$7.0 million.

On February 28, 2005 and April 15, 2005, we sold through a third party broker three non-core industrial buildings in Winston-Salem, North Carolina to John L. Turner and certain of his affiliates for a gross sales price of approximately \$27.0 million, of which \$20.3 million was paid in cash and the remainder from the surrender of 256,508 Common Units. We recorded a gain of approximately \$4.8 million upon the closing of these sales. Mr. Turner, who was a director at the time of these transactions, retired from the Board of Directors effective December 31, 2005. We believe that the purchase price paid for these assets by Mr. Turner and his affiliates was equal to their fair market value based on extensive marketing of the properties prior to this sale. The sales were unanimously approved by the full Board of Directors with Mr. Turner not being present to discuss or vote on the matter.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

9. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common Stock Dividends

Dividends declared and paid per share of Common Stock aggregated \$1.70 for each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

The following table summarizes the estimated taxability to the stockholders of dividends for federal income tax purposes:

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Per share: | | | |
| Ordinary income | \$ 0.76 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.66 |
| Capital gains | 0.83 | 0.69 | 0.99 |
| Return of capital | 0.11 | 0.97 | 0.05 |
| Total | \$ 1.70 | \$ 1.70 | \$ 1.70 |

Our tax returns have not been examined by the IRS and, therefore, the taxability of dividends is subject to change.

On January 31, 2008, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.425 per share of Common Stock payable on March 3, 2008 to stockholders of record on February 11, 2008.

Preferred Stock

Below is a tabular presentation of our Preferred Stock as of December 31, 2007 and 2006:

| Preferred Stock Issuances | Issue Date | Number of Shares | Number of Shares | Carrying Value | Liquidation Preference | Optional Redemption | Annual Dividends |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | Issued Originally (in thousands) | Outstanding (in thousands) | | Per Share | Date | Payable Per Share |
|--|-----------|--|-------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|
| December 31, 2007: | | | | | | | |
| 8 5/8% Series A Cumulative Redeemable | 2/12/1997 | 125 | 83 | \$ 82,937 | \$ 1,000 | 02/12/2007 | \$ 86.25 |
| 8% Series B Cumulative Redeemable | 9/25/1997 | 6,900 | 2,100 | \$ 52,500 | \$ 25 | 09/25/2002 | \$ 2.00 |
| December 31, 2006: | | | | | | | |
| 8 5/8% Series A Cumulative Redeemable | 2/12/1997 | 125 | 105 | \$ 104,945 | \$ 1,000 | 02/12/2007 | \$ 86.25 |
| % Series B Cumulative Redeemable | 9/25/1997 | 6,900 | 3,700 | \$ 92,500 | \$ 25 | 09/25/2002 | \$ 2.00 |

The net proceeds raised from Preferred Stock issuances were contributed by the Company to the Operating Partnership in exchange for Preferred Units in the Operating Partnership. The terms of each series of Preferred Units generally parallel the terms of the respective Preferred Stock as to distributions, liquidation and redemption rights.

Of the \$86.25 dividend paid per Series A Preferred Share in 2007, \$41.27 was taxable as ordinary income and \$44.98 was taxable as capital gain. Of the \$2.00 dividend paid per Series B Preferred Share in 2007, \$0.96 was taxable as ordinary income and \$1.04 was taxable as capital gain.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

9. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY - Continued

During 2007 and 2006, we used some of the proceeds from our disposition activities described in Note 4 to redeem and repurchase Preferred Stock.

On August 6, 2007, we repurchased and retired 22,008 of our outstanding Series A Preferred Shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$22.3 million. In connection with this repurchase, the \$0.8 million excess of the purchase cost over the net carrying amount of the repurchased shares was recorded as a reduction to net income available for common shareholders in the third quarter of 2007 in accordance with EITF Topic D-42.

On May 29, 2007, we redeemed 1.6 million of our outstanding Series B Preferred Shares, aggregating \$40.0 million plus accrued and unpaid dividends. In connection with this redemption, the \$1.4 million excess of the redemption cost over the net carrying amount of the redeemed shares was recorded as a reduction to net income available for common shareholders in the second quarter of 2007.

On February 23, 2006, we redeemed 2.0 million of our outstanding Series B Preferred Shares aggregating \$50.0 million plus accrued dividends. On August 22, 2005, we redeemed 0.4 million, representing all of our outstanding, Series D Preferred Shares and 1.2 million of our outstanding Series B Preferred Shares, aggregating \$130.0 million plus accrued dividends. In connection with these redemptions, the excess of the redemption cost over the net carrying amount of the redeemed shares was recorded as a reduction to net income available for common shareholders in the amounts of \$1.8 million and \$4.3 million, respectively.

Dividend Reinvestment Plan

We have a Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan under which holders of Common Stock may elect to automatically reinvest their dividends in additional shares of Common Stock and may make optional cash payments for additional shares of Common Stock. The administrator of the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan has been instructed by us to purchase Common Stock in the open market for purposes of satisfying our obligations thereunder. However, we may in the future elect to satisfy such obligations by issuing additional shares of Common Stock.

10. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

During 2007, we entered into two floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps for a one-year period with respect to an aggregate of \$50 million of borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility. These swaps fix the underlying LIBOR rate under which interest on such borrowings is based at 4.70%. These swaps were not designated as hedges as of December 31, 2007 under SFAS No. 133. Accordingly, the swaps were accounted for as non-hedge derivatives as of December 31, 2007, and an aggregate unrealized loss of \$0.2 million was recognized in 2007 for these two swaps.

In January 2008, we entered into two additional floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps for a one-year period with respect to an aggregate of \$50 million of borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility or our bank term loan. These swaps fix the underlying LIBOR rate under which interest on such borrowings is based at 3.26% for \$30 million of borrowings and 3.24% for \$20 million of borrowings. These swaps were designated as hedges under SFAS No. 133. Accordingly, the swaps are being accounted for as cash flow hedges.

During 2004 through June 1, 2005 we had an interest rate swap designated as a cash flow hedge that effectively fixed the LIBOR base rate on \$20.0 million of floating rate debt at 1.59%.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

10. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS - Continued

The Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss (AOCL) balance at December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$0.9 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, and consisted of deferred gains and losses from past cash flow hedging instruments which are being recognized as interest expense over the terms of the related debt (see Note 11). We expect that the portion of the cumulative loss recorded in AOCL at December 31, 2007 associated with these derivative instruments, which will be recognized as an offset to interest expense within the next 12 months, will be approximately \$0.2 million.

As described in Note 8, the land purchase agreement with GAPI, Inc. included an embedded derivative feature due to the price for the land parcels being determined by the fair value of Common Units, which was accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 133.

11. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Other comprehensive income represents net income plus the changes in certain amounts deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) related to hedging activities not reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The components of other comprehensive income are as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net income | \$ 90,745 | \$ 53,744 | \$ 62,458 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Realized derivative gains/(losses) on cash-flow hedges | | | (101) |
| Amortization of hedging gains and losses included in other comprehensive income | 577 | 697 | 703 |
| Total other comprehensive income | 577 | 697 | 602 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 91,322 | \$ 54,441 | \$ 63,060 |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

12. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

As part of our business strategy, we will from time to time selectively dispose of non-core properties in order to use the net proceeds for investments, for repayment of debt and/or retirement of Preferred Stock, or other purposes. The table below sets forth the net operating results of those assets classified as discontinued operations in our Consolidated Financial Statements. These assets classified as discontinued operations comprise 8.9 million square feet of office and industrial properties and 202 rental residential units sold during 2005, 2006 and 2007. These long-lived assets relate to disposal activities that were initiated subsequent to the effective date of SFAS No. 144, or that met certain stipulations prescribed by SFAS No. 144. The operations of these assets have been reclassified from our ongoing operations to discontinued operations, and we will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations after the disposal transactions:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Rental and other revenues | \$ 7,084 | \$ 20,501 | \$ 62,759 |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Rental property and other expenses | 3,416 | 8,374 | 26,201 |
| Impairment of assets held and used | | 2,600 | 4,415 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,692 | 5,438 | 19,370 |
| General and administrative | | 87 | 859 |
| Total operating expenses | 5,108 | 16,499 | 50,845 |
| Interest expense | | 560 | 1,664 |
| Other income | 29 | 82 | 231 |
| Income before minority interest in the Operating Partnership and gains on sales of discontinued operations | 2,005 | 3,524 | 10,481 |
| Minority interest in discontinued operations | (140) | (314) | (1,008) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of minority interest in the Operating Partnership | 1,865 | 3,210 | 9,473 |
| Net gains on sales of discontinued operations | 34,477 | 15,082 | 25,754 |
| Minority interest in discontinued operations | (2,465) | (1,224) | (2,528) |
| Net gains on sales of discontinued operations, net of minority interest in the Operating Partnership | 32,012 | 13,858 | 23,226 |
| Net income from discontinued operations before release of FASB FIN 48 tax liability | 33,877 | 17,068 | 32,699 |
| Release of FASB FIN 48 tax liability | 1,473 | | |
| Total discontinued operations | \$ 35,350 | \$ 17,068 | \$ 32,699 |
| Carrying value of assets held for sale and assets sold during the year | \$ 73,771 | \$ 279,271 | \$ 576,629 |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

12. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS - Continued

The following table includes the major classes of assets and liabilities of the properties held for sale as of December 31, 2007 and 2006:

| | December 31, 2007 | 2006 | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Land | \$ | \$ 3,462 | |
| Land held for development | 10,404 | 24,329 | |
| Buildings and tenant improvements | | 21,949 | |
| Accumulated depreciation | | (6,829 |) |
| Net real estate assets | 10,404 | 42,911 | |
| Deferred leasing costs, net | | 435 | |
| Accrued straight line rents receivable | | 727 | |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 62 | 248 | |
| Total assets | \$ 10,466 | \$ 44,321 | |
| Tenant security deposits, deferred rents and accrued costs (1) | \$ 100 | \$ 525 | |

(1) Included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

13. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Basic income per share: | | | |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 55,395 | \$ 36,676 | \$ 29,759 |
| Preferred Stock dividends (1) | (13,477) | (17,063) | (27,238) |
| Excess of Preferred Stock redemption costs over carrying value (1) | (2,285) | (1,803) | (4,272) |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations available for common stockholders | 39,633 | 17,810 | (1,751) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 35,350 | 17,068 | 32,699 |
| Net income available for common stockholders | \$ 74,983 | \$ 34,878 | \$ 30,948 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share weighted average share ⁽²⁾ | 56,444 | 54,489 | 53,732 |
| Basic earnings per share: | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.70 | \$ 0.33 | \$ (0.03) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.63 | 0.31 | 0.61 |
| Net income | \$ 1.33 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.58 |
| Diluted income per share: | | | |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 55,395 | \$ 36,676 | \$ 29,759 |
| Preferred Stock dividends (1) | (13,477) | (17,063) | (27,238) |
| Excess of Preferred Stock redemption costs over carrying value (1) | (2,285) | (1,803) | (4,272) |
| Minority interest in the Operating Partnership | 3,066 | 1,640 | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations available for common stockholders | 42,699 | 19,450 | (1,751) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 35,350 | 17,068 | 32,699 |
| Minority interest in the Operating Partnership in discontinued operations | 2,605 | 1,538 | |
| Income from discontinued operations | 37,955 | 18,606 | 32,699 |
| Net income available for common stockholders | \$ 80,654 | \$ 38,056 | \$ 30,948 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share adjusted weighted average share ⁽²⁾ | 56,444 | 54,489 | 53,732 |
| Add: | | | |
| Employee and director stock options and warrants | 663 | 1,394 | (3) |
| Common Units | 4,190 | 5,193 | (3) |
| Unvested restricted stock | 250 | 286 | (3) |
| | 61,547 (4) | 61,362 (4) | 53,732 |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| Denominator for diluted earnings per share shares and assumed conversions | adjusted weighted average | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------|------------|
| Diluted earnings per share: (3) | | | |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.69 | \$ 0.32 | \$ (0.03) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.62 | 0.30 | 0.61 |
| Net income | \$ 1.31 | \$ 0.62 | \$ 0.58 |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

13. EARNINGS PER SHARE - Continued

- (1) For additional disclosures regarding outstanding Preferred Stock, see Note 9 included herein.
- (2) Weighted average shares exclude shares of unvested restricted stock pursuant to SFAS No. 128.
- (3) Pursuant to SFAS No. 128, income from continuing operations, after preferred dividends and preferred stock redemption charge, is the amount used to determine whether potential common shares are dilutive or antidilutive. Because such potential common shares would be antidilutive to income from continuing operations allocable to common shareholders, diluted earnings per share is the same as basic earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2005. Potential common shares include stock options, warrants, shares issuable upon conversion of Common Units and unvested restricted shares, and would have amounted to approximately 6.6 million shares for the year ended December 31, 2005.
- (4) Options and warrants aggregating approximately 0.1 million and 0.2 million shares were outstanding during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise prices of the options and warrants were higher than the average market price of Common Stock during these periods.

The number of shares of Common Stock reserved for future issuance is as follows:

| | December 31, 2007 | 2006 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|
| Outstanding warrants | 25,000 | 35,000 |
| Outstanding stock options | 1,909,821 | 2,975,071 |
| Possible future issuance under Stock Option Plan | 1,234,598 | 1,481,864 |
| | 3,169,419 | 4,491,935 |

As of December 31, 2007, we had 142,832,807 remaining shares of Common Stock authorized to be issued under our charter.

14. WARRANTS

Warrants to acquire common stock were issued in 1997 and 1999 in connection with property acquisitions. In 2005, 35,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$21.00 per share were exercised and 120,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$28.00 per share were exercised. In 2006, 30,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$28.00 per share were exercised, 591,715 warrants with an exercise price of \$32.50 per share were exercised and 100,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$34.13 were exercised. In 2007, 10,000 warrants with an exercise price of \$34.13 were exercised. At December 31, 2007, there are 25,000 warrants outstanding with an exercise price of \$32.50; these warrants have no expiration date.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Concentration of Credit Risk

We maintain our cash and cash equivalent investments and our restricted cash at financial or other intermediary institutions. The combined account balances at each institution typically exceed FDIC insurance coverage and, as a result, there is a concentration of credit risk related to amounts on deposit in excess of FDIC insurance coverage.

Land Leases

Certain properties in our wholly owned portfolio are subject to land leases expiring through 2082. Rental payments on these leases are adjusted annually based on either the consumer price index (CPI) or on a pre-determined schedule. Land leases subject to increases under a pre-determined schedule are accounted for under the straight-line method. Total expense recorded for land leases was \$1.4 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

As of December 31, 2007, our payment obligations for future minimum payments on operating leases (which include scheduled fixed increases, but exclude increases based on CPI) were as follows:

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| 2008 | \$ 1,077 |
| 2009 | 1,118 |
| 2010 | 1,135 |
| 2011 | 1,155 |
| 2012 | 1,175 |
| Thereafter | 44,368 |
| | \$ 50,028 |

Environmental Matters

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Substantially all of our in-service and development properties have been subjected to Phase I environmental assessments (and, in certain instances, Phase II environmental assessments). Such assessments and/or updates have not revealed, nor is management aware of, any environmental liability that management believes would have a material adverse effect on the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES - Continued**Guarantees and Other Obligations**

The table below sets forth information about certain guarantees and other obligations as of December 31, 2007. The amounts reflected in the table assume we would be required to pay the maximum potential exposure with no offsets or reductions. With respect to a rent guarantee, if the space is leased, we assumed the existing tenant defaulted at December 31, 2007 and that the space will remain unleased through the remainder of the guaranty. If the space is vacant, we assumed the space will remain vacant through the remainder of the guaranty. Since it is assumed that no new tenant will occupy the space, lease commissions, if applicable, are excluded.

| Entity or Transaction | Type of Guarantee or Other Obligation | Amount Recorded/ Deferred | Date Guarantee Expires |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Des Moines Joint Ventures (1),(4) | Debt | \$ | 11/2015 |
| RRHWoods, LLC (2),(5) | Indirect Debt | \$ 324 | 8/2010 |
| Plaza Colonnade (2),(6) | Indirect Debt | \$ 8 | 12/2009 |
| Industrial (3),(7) | Environmental costs | \$ 125 | Until Remediated |
| Highwoods DLF 97/26 DLF 99/32, LP (2),(8) | Rent | \$ 209 | 6/2008 |
| RRHWoods, LLC and Dallas County Partners (2),(9) | Indirect Debt | \$ 68 | 6/2014 |
| RRHWoods, LLC (1),(2),(11) | Indirect Debt | \$ 30 | 11/2009 |
| HIW-KC Orlando, LLC (3),(10) | Rent | \$ 323 | 4/2011 |
| HIW-KC Orlando, LLC (3),(10) | Leasing Costs | \$ 296 | Until Paid |
| Capitalized Lease Obligations (12) | Debt | \$ 333 | Various |
| Brickstone (2),(13) | Debt | \$ | 5/2017 |

- (1) Recourse provisions exist that would enable us to recover some or all of any such payments from the joint ventures' assets.
- (2) Represents guarantees that fall under the initial recognition and measurement requirements of FASB Interpretation No. 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" (FIN 45).
- (3) Represents guarantees that are excluded from the fair value accounting and disclosure provisions of FIN 45 because the existence of such guarantees prevents sale treatment and/or the recognition of profit from a sale transaction.
- (4) We and our joint venture each guaranteed \$8.6 million of debt of the Des Moines joint ventures that was outstanding at December 31, 2007. The joint ventures currently generate sufficient cash flow to cover the required debt service. This guarantee was entered into prior to the January 1, 2003 effective date of FIN 45 for initial recognition and measurement.
- (5) To facilitate a financing by the RRHWoods, LLC joint venture, we and our joint venture partner each guaranteed \$3.1 million relating to a letter of credit and a corresponding master lease through August 2010. The guarantee requires us to pay under a contingent master lease if

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

the cash flows from the building securing the letter of credit do not cover at least 50% of the minimum debt service. During 2007, we made master lease payments of \$0.1 million. As of December 31, 2007, we recorded a \$0.3 million deferred charge included in other assets and liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet with respect to this guarantee. Our maximum potential exposure under this guarantee was \$0.5 million at December 31, 2007.

- (6) To facilitate a financing by the Plaza Colonnade, LLC joint venture, we and our joint venture partner signed a contingent master lease relating to 30,772 square feet through December 2009. Our maximum potential exposure under this master lease was \$0.9 million at December 31, 2007. The current occupancy level of the building has been sufficient to cover all debt service requirements and, accordingly, no payments have been required under the master lease.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES - Continued

- (7) As part of the sale of an industrial property in December 2003, we indemnified the buyer with respect to environmental concerns of up to \$0.1 million. As a result, \$0.1 million of the gain was deferred at the time of sale and will remain deferred until the environmental concerns are remediated.
- (8) To facilitate the restructuring of a tenant's leases with us and the Highwoods DLF 97/26 DLF 99/32, LP joint venture in September 2003, we agreed to compensate the joint venture for any economic losses incurred as a result of these lease modifications through June 2008. In connection with this arrangement, we have approximately \$0.2 million in other liabilities and \$0.2 million as a deferred charge in other assets recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007. Our maximum potential exposure under this arrangement was \$0.3 million at December 31, 2007. During 2007, \$0.5 million was charged to expense in connection with this arrangement.
- (9) To facilitate a financing by the RRHWoods, LLC and Dallas County Partners joint ventures, we and our joint venture partner agreed to master lease certain vacant space and each guarantee \$0.8 million of debt through 2014. No master lease payments were necessary in 2007. We currently have recorded \$0.07 million in other liabilities and \$0.07 million as a deferred charge included in other assets on its Consolidated Balance Sheet with respect to the master lease payment guarantee. The maximum potential exposure under the master lease was \$2.0 million at December 31, 2007. Because the joint ventures currently generate sufficient cash flow to cover the required debt service, no liability for the \$0.8 million direct guarantee was recorded at December 31, 2007.
- (10) We have guaranteed rent to the HIW KC Orlando, LLC joint venture for 3,248 rentable square feet through April 2011. The maximum potential exposure under this guarantee was \$0.3 million at December 31, 2007. Additionally, we agreed to guarantee the initial leasing costs, originally estimated at \$4.1 million, for approximately 11% of the total square feet of the property owned by the joint venture. We paid approximately \$0.06 million in 2007 under this arrangement.
- (11) To facilitate a financing by the RRHWoods, LLC joint venture, we and our joint venture partner each agreed to guarantee \$3.3 million of the joint venture's debt through November 2009, but can be renewed through November 2011 at the joint venture's option. Because the joint venture currently generates sufficient cash flow to cover the required debt service, no liability for this guarantee was recorded at December 31, 2007. In addition, we agreed to a master lease for 50% of the debt service should the joint venture not generate sufficient cash flow to cover the required debt service. As a result of this master lease, we have recorded \$0.03 million in other liabilities and as a deferred charge in other assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007.
- (12) Represents capitalized lease obligations of \$0.3 million related to office equipment, which is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2007.
- (13) To facilitate a financing by RRHWoods, LLC, our joint venture partner provided a \$1.5 million letter of credit. We agreed to reimburse our partner for 50% of the letter of credit in the event of a default by the joint venture of the underlying debt. Because the joint venture currently generates sufficient cash flow to cover the required debt service, no liability for this obligation was recorded at December 31, 2007.

Litigation, Claims and Assessments

We are from time to time a party to a variety of legal proceedings, claims and assessments arising in the ordinary course of our business. We regularly assess the liabilities and contingencies in connection with these matters based on the latest information available. For those matters where it is probable that we have incurred or will incur a loss and the loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated, the estimated loss is accrued and charged to income in the Consolidated Financial Statements. In other instances, because of the uncertainties related to both the probable outcome and amount or range of loss, a reasonable estimate of liability, if any, cannot be made. Based on the current expected outcome of such matters, none of these proceedings, claims or assessments is expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

In 2006 and March 2007, we received assessments for state excise taxes and related interest amounting to approximately \$5.5 million, related to periods 2002 through 2005. In the fourth quarter of 2006, approximately \$0.5 million was accrued and charged to operating expenses in anticipation of a probable settlement of these claims. We received an executed settlement agreement relating to these claims in October 2007, which resulted in no change to the amount previously accrued and paid. Legal fees related to this matter were nominal and were charged to operating expenses as incurred in 2006 and 2007.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

16. DISCLOSURE ABOUT FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following disclosures of estimated fair value were determined by management using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. Considerable judgment is used to interpret market data and develop estimated fair values. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that we could realize upon disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair values. The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our financial instruments at December 31, 2007 and 2006 were as follows:

| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|
| <u>December 31, 2007</u> | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 3,140 | \$ 3,140 |
| Accounts and notes receivable | \$ 28,747 | \$ 28,747 |
| Marketable securities (in other assets) | \$ 6,194 | \$ 6,194 |
| Taxable bonds (in other assets) | \$ 20,915 | \$ 20,915 |
| Mortgages and notes payable | \$ 1,641,987 | \$ 1,632,894 |
| Financing obligations | \$ 35,071 | \$ 33,852 |
| <u>December 31, 2006</u> | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 16,690 | \$ 16,690 |
| Accounts and notes receivable | \$ 31,218 | \$ 31,218 |
| Marketable securities (in other assets) | \$ 4,633 | \$ 4,633 |
| Mortgages and notes payable | \$ 1,465,129 | \$ 1,506,693 |
| Financing obligations | \$ 35,530 | \$ 39,121 |

The fair values of our fixed rate mortgages and notes payable and financing obligations were estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on our estimated incremental borrowing rate at December 31, 2007 and 2006 for similar types of borrowing arrangements. The carrying amounts of our variable rate borrowings approximate fair value. The carrying amounts of our cash and cash equivalents, accounts and notes receivable equal or approximate their fair value. The carrying and fair values of marketable securities were determined by reference to quoted market prices. The taxable bonds are not traded, and the fair value was based on projected redemption value in early 2008.

Disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments are based on relevant information available to us at December 31, 2007. Although management is not aware of any factors that would have a material effect on the fair value amounts reported herein, such amounts have not been revalued since that date and current estimates of fair value may significantly differ from the amounts presented herein.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)****17. INCOME TAXES**

Our Consolidated Financial Statements include operations of our taxable REIT subsidiary, which is not entitled to the dividends paid deduction and is subject to corporate, state and local income taxes. As a REIT, we may also be subject to certain federal excise taxes if we engage in certain types of transactions.

Other than the liability for an uncertain tax position and related accrued interest under FIN 48 discussed below, no provision has been made pursuant to SFAS No. 109 for federal and state income taxes during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 because the Company qualified as a REIT, distributed the necessary amount of taxable income and, therefore, incurred no income tax expense during the periods. The taxable REIT subsidiary has operated at a cumulative taxable loss through December 31, 2007 of approximately \$12.6 million and has paid no income taxes since its formation. In addition to the \$4.9 million deferred tax asset for these cumulative tax loss carryforwards, the taxable REIT subsidiary also had net deferred tax liabilities of approximately \$0.8 million comprised primarily of tax versus book basis differences in certain investments and depreciable assets held by the taxable REIT subsidiary. Because the future tax benefit of the cumulative losses is not assured, the approximate \$4.1 million net deferred tax asset position of the taxable REIT subsidiary has been fully reserved as management does not believe that it is more likely than not that the net deferred tax asset will be realized. Accordingly, no tax benefit has been recognized in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements. The tax benefit of the cumulative losses could be recognized for financial reporting purposes in future periods to the extent the taxable REIT subsidiary generates sufficient taxable income. If the Company decided to sell certain properties acquired in prior years, the Company would incur a corporate-level tax under Section 1374 of the Internal Revenue Code on the built-in gain relating to such properties unless such properties were sold in a tax-free exchange under Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code or another tax-free or tax-deferred transaction. This situation only applies to assets originally acquired through the merger with J.C. Nichols Company in July 1998 or from subsequent like-kind exchanges of those assets. The tax under Section 1374 will not apply to any of such assets still owned by the Company after July 2008.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. FIN 48 prescribes a comprehensive model for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure in our financial statements for uncertain tax positions taken or expected to be taken in an income tax return. We adopted FIN 48 effective January 1, 2007.

In connection with the adoption of FIN 48, on January 1, 2007, we recorded a \$1.4 million liability, which included \$0.2 million of accrued interest, for an uncertain tax position, with the related expense reflected as a reduction to the beginning balance of distributions in excess of net earnings. This liability was included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities. During the third quarter of 2007, the liability for the uncertain tax position was released, and income recognized, upon the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations. In addition, the liability of \$0.05 million of interest that was accrued in 2007 relating to this liability was also released.

| | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Unrecognized Tax Benefits | Opening Balance | \$ 1,424 |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | |
|--|----------|
| Additions based on tax positions related to the current year | |
| Additions for tax positions of prior years | 49 |
| Reductions for tax positions of prior years | |
| Settlements | |
| Lapse of statute of limitations | (1,473) |
| Unrecognized Tax Benefits Ending Balance | \$ |

We are subject to federal, state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for 2004 through 2007.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

18. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Our principal business is the acquisition, development and operation of rental real estate properties. We operate in four segments: office, industrial, retail and residential properties. Each segment has different customers and economic characteristics as to rental rates and terms, cost per square foot of buildings, the purposes for which customers use the space, the degree of maintenance and customer support required and customer dependency on different economic drivers, among others. There are no material inter-segment transactions.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 1 included herein. Further, all operations are within the United States and, at December 31, 2007, no tenant of the Wholly Owned Properties comprised more than 7.6 % of our consolidated revenues.

The following table summarizes the rental income, net operating income and assets for each reportable segment for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Rental and other revenues: (1) | | | |
| Office segment | \$ 360,161 | \$ 336,000 | \$ 322,540 |
| Industrial segment | 30,727 | 29,467 | 25,250 |
| Retail segment | 44,907 | 42,579 | 39,034 |
| Residential segment | 1,264 | 1,227 | 1,105 |
| Total rental and other revenues | \$ 437,059 | \$ 409,273 | \$ 387,929 |
| Net operating income: (1) | | | |
| Office segment | \$ 226,710 | \$ 208,298 | \$ 203,083 |
| Industrial segment | 23,429 | 22,405 | 19,228 |
| Retail segment | 29,008 | 27,759 | 26,574 |
| Residential segment | 648 | 339 | 512 |
| Total net operating income | 279,795 | 258,801 | 249,397 |
| Reconciliation to income before disposition of property, insurance gain, minority interest and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | (122,172 |) (112,883 |) (107,087 |
| Impairment of assets held for use | (789 |) | (3,172 |
| General and administrative expense | (41,570 |) (37,309 |) (33,063 |
| Interest expense | (100,337 |) (100,766 |) (106,635 |
| Interest and other income | 6,413 | 6,993 | 7,042 |
| Settlement of tenant bankruptcy claim | | 1,581 | |
| Loss on debt extinguishments | | (494 |) (453 |
| | \$ 21,340 | \$ 15,923 | \$ 6,029 |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Income before disposition of property, insurance gain, minority interest and equity in earnings of unconsolidated affiliates

| | December 31, 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total Assets: (2) | | | |
| Office segment | \$ 2,287,869 | \$ 2,218,705 | \$ 2,245,595 |
| Industrial segment | 233,145 | 230,103 | 226,199 |
| Retail segment | 242,719 | 247,887 | 259,544 |
| Residential segment | 27,013 | 21,933 | 21,121 |
| Corporate and other | 136,209 | 126,225 | 156,519 |
| Total Assets | \$ 2,926,955 | \$ 2,844,853 | \$ 2,908,978 |

(1) Excludes discontinued operations.

(2) Real estate and other assets held for sale are included in this table according to the segment type.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

19. OTHER EVENTS

Settlement of Tenant Bankruptcy Claims

In the fourth quarter of 2006, we received shares of Redback Networks, Inc., a public company, in settlement of a bankruptcy claim by us related to leases with this former tenant that were terminated in 2003. The shares were sold for net cash proceeds of \$1.6 million and recorded as Other Income.

Property Damage Insurance Claim

In the fourth quarter of 2005, one of our office properties located in southeastern Florida sustained damage in a hurricane. The damages are fully insured except for a \$341,000 deductible, which was expensed in the fourth quarter of 2005. We did not incur any significant loss of rental income as a result of the damages. In 2006, we received \$2.4 million from the insurance company as advances on the final settlement; these amounts were primarily for clean up costs and certain repairs. During the first quarter of 2007, the insurance company paid us an additional \$4.9 million upon finalization of the claim. We recorded a \$4.1 million gain under FASB Interpretation No. 30, Accounting for Involuntary Conversion of Non-Monetary Assets to Monetary Assets in the first quarter of 2007. We are in the process of completing final permanent repairs.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

20. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited)

The following tables set forth quarterly financial information for our fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 and have been adjusted to reflect the reporting requirements of discontinued operations under SFAS No. 144:

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2007 | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Total |
| | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | |
| Rental and other revenues (1) | \$ 106,691 | \$ 106,403 | \$ 108,854 | \$ 115,111 | \$ 437,059 |
| Income from continuing operations (1) (2) | 33,633 | 8,613 | 5,271 | 7,878 | 55,395 |
| Income from discontinued operations (1) | 18,925 | 676 | 8,169 | 7,580 | 35,350 |
| Net income | 52,558 | 9,289 | 13,440 | 15,458 | 90,745 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (4,113) | (3,846) | (2,680) | (2,838) | (13,477) |
| Excess of preferred stock redemption cost over carrying value | | (1,443) | (842) | | (2,285) |
| Net income available for common stockholders | \$ 48,445 | \$ 4,000 | \$ 9,918 | \$ 12,620 | \$ 74,983 |
| Net income per share-basic: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.70 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.34 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.63 |
| Net income | \$ 0.86 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 1.33 |
| Net income per share-diluted: | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.69 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.33 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.62 |
| Net income | \$ 0.85 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.22 | \$ 1.31 |
| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2006 | | | | |
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Total |
| | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | Quarter | |
| Rental and other revenues (1) | \$ 99,288 | \$ 101,014 | \$ 102,427 | \$ 106,544 | \$ 409,273 |
| Income from continuing operations (1) | 10,481 | 4,764 | 7,389 | 14,042 | 36,676 |
| Income from discontinued operations (1) | 3,665 | 1,509 | 1,520 | 10,374 | 17,068 |
| Net income | 14,146 | 6,273 | 8,909 | 24,416 | 53,744 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (4,724) | (4,113) | (4,113) | (4,113) | (17,063) |
| Excess of preferred stock redemption cost over carrying value | (1,803) | | | | (1,803) |
| Net income available for common stockholders | \$ 7,619 | \$ 2,160 | \$ 4,796 | \$ 20,303 | \$ 34,878 |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Net income per share-basic:

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.33 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.31 |
| Net income | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.64 |

Net income per share-diluted:

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.32 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.30 |
| Net income | \$ 0.14 | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.62 |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)**

(tabular dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

20. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited) - Continued

(1) The amounts presented for the first three quarters are not equal to the same amounts previously reported in Form 10-Q for each period as a result of discontinued operations. Below is a reconciliation to the amounts previously reported in Form 10-Q:

| | For the Quarter Ended | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | March 31, 2007 | June 30, 2007 | September 30, 2007 |
| Total rental and other revenues previously reported | \$ 106,691 | \$ 106,403 | \$ 110,166 |
| Discontinued operations | | | (1,312) |
| Revised total rental and other revenues | \$ 106,691 | \$ 106,403 | \$ 108,854 |
| Income from continuing operations previously reported | \$ 33,633 | \$ 8,613 | \$ 5,587 |
| Discontinued operations | | | (316) |
| Revised income from continuing operations | \$ 33,633 | \$ 8,613 | \$ 5,271 |
| Income from discontinued operations previously reported | \$ 18,925 | \$ 676 | \$ 7,853 |
| Additional discontinued operations from properties sold subsequent to the respective reporting period | | | 316 |
| Revised income from discontinued operations | \$ 18,925 | \$ 676 | \$ 8,169 |

| | For the Quarter Ended | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | March 31, 2006 | June 30, 2006 | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2006 |
| Total rental and other revenues previously reported | \$ 99,288 | \$ 101,014 | \$ 103,395 | \$ 108,508 |
| Discontinued operations | | | (968) | (1,964) |
| Revised total rental and other revenues | \$ 99,288 | \$ 101,014 | \$ 102,427 | \$ 106,544 |
| Income from continuing operations previously reported | \$ 10,481 | \$ 4,764 | \$ 7,618 | \$ 14,630 |
| Discontinued operations | | | (229) | (588) |
| Revised income from continuing operations | \$ 10,481 | \$ 4,764 | \$ 7,389 | \$ 14,042 |
| Income from discontinued operations previously reported | \$ 3,665 | \$ 1,509 | \$ 1,291 | \$ 9,786 |
| Additional discontinued operations from properties sold subsequent to the respective reporting period | | | 229 | 588 |
| Revised income from discontinued operations | \$ 3,665 | \$ 1,509 | \$ 1,520 | \$ 10,374 |

(2) Includes \$1.7 million of adjustments to depreciation expense related to certain of our unconsolidated affiliates in the fourth quarter of 2007. Such adjustments were not material to any prior quarterly or annual period.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**Schedule II**

(in thousands)

As of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

A summary of activity for Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves

| | Balance at December 31, 2006 | Additions | Deduction | Balance at December 31, 2007 |
|---|---|------------------|------------------|---|
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Straight Line Rent | 301 | 747 | (608) |) 440 |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Accounts Receivable | 1,253 | 422 | (740) |) 935 |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Notes Receivable | 786 | | (718) |) 68 |
| Disposition Reserve | 75 | | (75) |) |
| Totals | 2,415 | 1,169 | (2,141) |) 1,443 |

| | Balance at December 31, 2005 | Additions | Deduction | Balance at December 31, 2006 |
|---|---|------------------|------------------|---|
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Straight Line Rent | 609 | 915 | (1,223) |) 301 |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Accounts Receivable | 1,618 | 272 | (637) |) 1,253 |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Notes Receivable | 876 | 39 | (129) |) 786 |
| Disposition Reserve | 100 | | (25) |) 75 |
| Totals | 3,203 | 1,226 | (2,014) |) 2,415 |

| | Balance at December 31, 2004 | Additions | Deduction | Balance at December 31, 2005 |
|---|---|------------------|------------------|---|
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Straight Line Rent | 1,422 | 1,240 | (2,053) |) 609 |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Accounts Receivable | 1,171 | 712 | (265) |) 1,618 |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Notes Receivable | 122 | 832 | (78) |) 876 |
| Disposition Reserve | 180 | 349 | (429) |) 100 |
| Totals | 2,895 | 3,133 | (2,825) |) 3,203 |

| | Balance at December 31, | Additions | Deduction | Balance at December 31, |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | 2003 | | | 2004 |
|---|-------------|-------|--------|-------------|
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Straight Line Rent | | 1,422 | | 1,422 |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Accounts Receivable | 1,235 | 742 | (806 |) 1,171 |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts - Notes Receivable | | 122 | | 122 |
| Disposition Reserve | 750 | 642 | (1,212 |) 180 |
| Totals | 1,985 | 2,928 | (2,018 |) 2,895 |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.**Note to Schedule III**

(in thousands)

As of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

A summary of activity for real estate and accumulated depreciation is as follows:

| | December 31, 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Real estate: | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | 3,072,335 | 3,201,720 | 3,529,206 |
| Additions: | | | |
| Acquisitions, development and improvements | 247,152 | 137,723 | 152,810 |
| Previously unconsolidated affiliate | | 46,247 | |
| Cost of real estate sold and retired | (138,826) | (313,355) | (480,296) |
| Balance at close of year (a) | 3,180,661 | 3,072,335 | 3,201,720 |
| Accumulated depreciation: | | | |
| Balance at beginning of year | 595,136 | 579,625 | 583,449 |
| Depreciation expense | 107,793 | 101,462 | 108,215 |
| Previously unconsolidated affiliate | | 4,610 | |
| Real estate sold and retired | (53,164) | (90,561) | (112,039) |
| Balance at close of year (b) | 649,765 | 595,136 | 579,625 |

(a) Reconciliation of total cost to balance sheet caption at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total per Schedule III | 3,180,661 | 3,072,335 | 3,201,720 |
| Construction in progress exclusive of land included in Schedule III | 101,661 | 101,899 | 28,727 |
| Property held for sale | (10,466) | (51,150) | (219,531) |
| Reclassification adjustment for discontinued operations | | 37 | 1,041 |
| Total real estate assets at cost | 3,271,856 | 3,123,121 | 3,011,957 |

(b) Reconciliation of total accumulated depreciation to balance sheet caption at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

| | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total per Schedule III | 649,765 | 595,136 | 579,625 |
| Property held for sale | | (6,829) | (42,296) |
| Total accumulated depreciation | 649,765 | 588,307 | 537,329 |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

(in thousands)

December 31, 2007

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated On |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning Land | Final Beginning Building | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | Accum Depr-Final | | |
| Atlanta, GA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1740-90 Century Circle 1700 | Atlanta | | 1,117 | 3,168 | (1,117) | (3,168) | (0) | (0) | | | 1972 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century Circle 1800 | Atlanta | | | 2,482 | 2 | 380 | 2 | 2,862 | 2,864 | 469 | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century Boulevard 1825 | Atlanta | | 1,443 | 29,081 | 0 | 9,823 | 1,444 | 38,904 | 40,348 | 12,240 | 1975 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century Center 1875 | Atlanta | | 864 | | 303 | 15,187 | 1,167 | 15,187 | 16,354 | 2,648 | 2002 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century Boulevard 1900 | Atlanta | | | 8,924 | | 1,698 | | 10,622 | 10,622 | 3,060 | 1976 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century Boulevard 2200 | Atlanta | | | 4,744 | | 916 | | 5,660 | 5,660 | 1,565 | 1971 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century Parkway 2400 | Atlanta | | | 14,432 | | 3,076 | | 17,508 | 17,508 | 5,038 | 1971 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century Center 2500 | Atlanta | | | | 406 | 15,780 | 406 | 15,780 | 16,185 | 4,884 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century Center 2500/2635 | Atlanta | | | | 328 | 14,231 | 328 | 14,231 | 14,559 | 1,147 | 2005 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Parking Garage 2600 | Atlanta | | | | | 6,242 | | 6,242 | 6,242 | 325 | 2005 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century Parkway 2635 | Atlanta | | | 10,679 | | 3,612 | | 14,291 | 14,291 | 3,571 | 1973 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century Parkway 2800 | Atlanta | | | 21,643 | | 2,489 | | 24,131 | 24,131 | 6,797 | 1980 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century Parkway 50 Glenlake 6348 | Atlanta Atlanta (1) | | 2,500 | 20,449 20,006 | | 785 1,334 | 2,500 | 21,234 21,340 | 21,234 23,840 | 5,855 5,304 | 1983 1997 | 5-40 yrs. 5-40 yrs. |
| Northeast Expressway 6438 | Atlanta | | 275 | 1,655 | 0 | 189 | 275 | 1,844 | 2,119 | 517 | 1978 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Northeast Expressway | Atlanta | | 180 | 2,216 | (0) | 388 | 179 | 2,604 | 2,783 | 685 | 1981 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|---------|---------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|-----------|
| Bluegrass Lakes I | Atlanta | 816 | | 336 | 3,087 | 1,152 | 3,087 | 4,239 | 900 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Bluegrass Place I | Atlanta | 491 | 2,061 | 0 | 73 | 491 | 2,134 | 2,625 | 596 | 1995 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Bluegrass Place II | Atlanta | 412 | 2,583 | (0 |)19 | 412 | 2,602 | 3,014 | 676 | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Bluegrass Valley | Atlanta | 1,500 | | 409 | 3,707 | 1,909 | 3,707 | 5,615 | 1,236 | 2000 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Bluegrass Valley Land Century | Atlanta | 19,711 | | (14,863 |) | 4,848 | | 4,848 | | N/A | N/A |
| Plaza I Century | Atlanta | 1,290 | 8,567 | | 2,447 | 1,290 | 11,014 | 12,304 | 2,276 | 1981 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Plaza II Chastain | Atlanta | 1,380 | 7,733 | | 1,480 | 1,380 | 9,213 | 10,593 | 2,045 | 1984 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Place I Chastain | Atlanta | 451 | | 341 | 3,113 | 792 | 3,113 | 3,906 | 947 | 1997 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Place II Chastain | Atlanta | 599 | | 194 | 1,512 | 793 | 1,512 | 2,304 | 430 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Place III Corporate Lakes EKA | Atlanta | 1,265 | 7,243 | 0 | 549 | 1,265 | 7,792 | 9,057 | 2,153 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Chemical Gwinnett Distribution Center | Atlanta | 609 | 9,886 | (609 |)(9,886 |) | | | | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Henry County Land | Atlanta | 1,119 | 5,960 | (0 |)(1,453 | 1,119 | 7,413 | 8,531 | 1,974 | 1991 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Center I at Tradeport | Atlanta (1) | 3,010 | | 13 | | 3,023 | | 3,023 | | N/A | N/A |
| Highwoods Center II at Tradeport | Atlanta (1) | 307 | | 139 | 3,154 | 446 | 3,154 | 3,600 | 1,529 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Center III at Tradeport | Atlanta (1) | 641 | | 162 | 3,318 | 803 | 3,318 | 4,122 | 1,118 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Tradeport | Atlanta (1) | 409 | | 130 | 2,163 | 539 | 2,163 | 2,702 | 334 | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated On |
|---|-----------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning Land | Final Beginning Building | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | Accum Depr-Final | | |
| National Archives and Records Administration | Atlanta | | 1,484 | | 0 | 17,762 | 1,484 | 17,762 | 19,246 | 1,575 | 2004 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Newpoint Place I | Atlanta | | 819 | (0 |) 356 | 3,261 | 1,175 | 3,261 | 4,436 | 859 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Newpoint Place II | Atlanta | | 1,499 | | 394 | 3,720 | 1,893 | 3,720 | 5,612 | 1,009 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Newpoint Place III | Atlanta | | 668 | | 253 | 2,150 | 921 | 2,150 | 3,071 | 552 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Newpoint Place IV | Atlanta | | 989 | | 406 | 4,542 | 1,395 | 4,542 | 5,937 | 1,034 | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Newpoint Place V | Atlanta | | 2,150 | | 816 | 8,844 | 2,966 | 8,844 | 11,810 | 162 | 2007 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Norcross I & II | Atlanta | | 323 | 2,000 | (0 |) 645 | 323 | 2,645 | 2,969 | 605 | 1970 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Nortel | Atlanta | | 3,342 | 32,111 | 0 | 16 | 3,342 | 32,127 | 35,469 | 7,867 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Oakbrook Summit | Atlanta | | 943 | 6,636 | (943 |) (6,636 |) | 0 | 0 | | 1981 | 5-40 yrs. |
| South Park Residential Land | Atlanta | | 50 | | 7 | | 57 | | 57 | | N/A | N/A |
| South Park Site Land | Atlanta | | 1,204 | | 754 | | 1,958 | | 1,958 | | N/A | N/A |
| Southside Distribution Center | Atlanta | | 804 | 4,553 | (0 |) 1,350 | 804 | 5,903 | 6,707 | 1,529 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Tradeport I | Atlanta | | 557 | | 261 | 2,587 | 818 | 2,587 | 3,406 | 662 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Tradeport II | Atlanta | | 557 | | 261 | 2,618 | 818 | 2,618 | 3,436 | 1,099 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Tradeport III | Atlanta | | 673 | | 370 | 2,358 | 1,043 | 2,358 | 3,401 | 377 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Tradeport IV | Atlanta | | 667 | | 365 | 2,914 | 1,032 | 2,914 | 3,946 | 486 | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Tradeport Land | Atlanta | | 5,243 | | (639 |) | 4,603 | | 4,603 | | N/A | N/A |
| Tradeport V | Atlanta | | 463 | | 180 | 2,407 | 643 | 2,407 | 3,049 | 445 | 2002 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Two Point Royal | Atlanta | (1) | 1,793 | 14,964 | 0 | 1,098 | 1,793 | 16,062 | 17,855 | 3,765 | 1997 | 5-40 yrs. |
| DHS.ICE | Atlanta | | 3,100 | | 1,746 | 15,668 | 4,846 | 15,668 | 20,514 | 164 | 2007 | 5-40 yrs. |
| HIW River Point | Atlanta | | 7,250 | | 57 | | 7,307 | | 7,307 | | N/A | N/A |
| Baltimore, MD Sportsman Club Land | Baltimore | | 24,931 | | (14,857 |) | 10,074 | | 10,074 | | N/A | N/A |
| Charlotte, NC University Center | Charlotte | | 1,245 | | (1,245 |) | | | | | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|------|-----------|-------|-------|----------|----------|---|---|------|-----------|
| University Center | Land | Charlotte | 7,122 | | (7,122) | | 0 | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| Columbia, SC | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fontaine I | | Columbia | 1,228 | 6,960 | (1,228) | (6,960) | 0 | 0 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Fontaine II | | Columbia | 948 | 5,376 | (948) | (5,376) | | | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Fontaine III | | Columbia | 859 | 4,869 | (859) | (4,869) | 0 | 0 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Fontaine V | | Columbia | 398 | 2,257 | (398) | (2,257) | | | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calcula On |
|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | | Final Beginning | Final Beginning | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | Accum Depr-Final | | |
| | | | Land | Building | | | | | | | | |
| Greenville, SC | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 385 Building 1 | Greenville | | 1,413 | | (1,413) | 0 | | 0 | | | 1998 | 5-40 yr |
| 385 Land | Greenville | | 1,800 | | (1,800) | | | | | | N/A | N/A |
| 770 Pelham Road | Greenville | | 705 | 2,812 | (705) | (2,812) | | | | | 1989 | 5-40 yr |
| Brookfield Plaza | Greenville (2) | | 1,500 | 8,514 | (0) | 523 | 1,500 | 9,038 | 10,538 | 2,502 | 1987 | 5-40 yr |
| Brookfield-Jacobs-Sirrine | Greenville | | 3,050 | 17,280 | (23) | 4,299 | 3,027 | 21,579 | 24,606 | 5,564 | 1990 | 5-40 yr |
| MetLife @ Brookfield | Greenville | | 1,039 | | 352 | 10,430 | 1,391 | 10,430 | 11,822 | 2,733 | 2001 | 5-40 yr |
| Patewood Business Center | Greenville | | 1,322 | 7,504 | (1,322) | (7,504) | | 0 | 0 | | 1983 | 5-40 yr |
| Patewood I | Greenville | | 942 | 5,117 | (0) | 1,297 | 942 | 6,414 | 7,355 | 1,870 | 1985 | 5-40 yr |
| Patewood II | Greenville | | 942 | 5,176 | (0) | 1,310 | 942 | 6,486 | 7,427 | 1,754 | 1987 | 5-40 yr |
| Patewood III | Greenville | | 841 | 4,776 | 0 | 2,001 | 842 | 6,777 | 7,618 | 1,869 | 1989 | 5-40 yr |
| Patewood IV | Greenville | | 1,219 | 6,918 | (0) | 2,050 | 1,219 | 8,969 | 10,188 | 2,446 | 1989 | 5-40 yr |
| Patewood V | Greenville (2) | | 1,690 | 9,589 | 0 | 2,272 | 1,690 | 11,861 | 13,551 | 3,021 | 1990 | 5-40 yr |
| Patewood VI | Greenville | | 2,360 | | 321 | 7,877 | 2,681 | 7,877 | 10,558 | 1,789 | 1999 | 5-40 yr |
| Kansas City, MO | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Country Club Plaza | Kansas City | (3) | 14,286 | 146,879 | (198) | 110,869 | 14,088 | 257,748 | 271,836 | 59,200 | 1920-2002 | 5-40 yr |
| Colonial Shops | Kansas City | | 141 | 657 | (141) | (657) | | | | | 1907 | 5-40 yr |
| Corinth Executive Building | Kansas City | | 526 | 2,341 | (0) | 800 | 526 | 3,141 | 3,667 | 829 | 1973 | 5-40 yr |
| Corinth Office Building | Kansas City | | 541 | 2,199 | (0) | 529 | 541 | 2,728 | 3,269 | 671 | 1960 | 5-40 yr |
| Corinth Shops South | Kansas City | | 1,043 | 4,447 | (0) | 592 | 1,043 | 5,039 | 6,082 | 1,171 | 1953 | 5-40 yr |
| Corinth Square North Shops | Kansas City | | 2,756 | 11,490 | (0) | 2,188 | 2,756 | 13,678 | 16,434 | 3,102 | 1962 | 5-40 yr |
| Fairway North | Kansas City | | 771 | 3,283 | 0 | 566 | 771 | 3,849 | 4,620 | 1,052 | 1985 | 5-40 yr |
| Fairway Shops | Kansas City | | 689 | 3,215 | 0 | 554 | 689 | 3,769 | 4,459 | 880 | 1940 | 5-40 yr |
| Fairway West | Kansas City | | 871 | 3,527 | (0) | 610 | 871 | 4,137 | 5,007 | 1,029 | 1983 | 5-40 yr |
| Land Hotel Land Valencia | Kansas City | | 978 | | | 111 | 1,089 | | 1,089 | | N/A | N/A |
| Land Lionsgate | Kansas City | | 3,506 | | | (3,506) | | | | | N/A | N/A |
| Neptune Apartments | Kansas City | 3,686 | 1,098 | 6,282 | (0) | 512 | 1,098 | 6,794 | 7,892 | 1,624 | 1988 | 5-40 yr |
| Nichols Building | Kansas City | | 502 | 2,030 | (0) | 506 | 501 | 2,536 | 3,037 | 680 | 1978 | 5-40 yr |
| One Ward Parkway | Kansas City | | 682 | 3,937 | (0) | 934 | 681 | 4,870 | 5,552 | 1,237 | 1980 | 5-40 yr |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized | | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depreciation Calculated |
|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | Final Beginning | Final Beginning | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | Accum Depr-Final | | | |
| | | | Land | Building | | | | | | | | | |
| Park Plaza | Kansas City | (3) | 1,384 | 6,410 | 0 | 1,589 | 1,384 | 7,999 | 9,383 | 2,162 | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Parkway Building | Kansas City | | 404 | 2,044 | (0) | 415 | 404 | 2,459 | 2,863 | 533 | 1906-1910 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Prairie Village Rest & Bank | Kansas City | | | | | 1,372 | | 1,372 | 1,372 | 360 | 1948 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Prairie Village Shops | Kansas City | | 3,366 | 14,686 | 0 | 4,994 | 3,367 | 19,680 | 23,047 | 4,865 | 1948 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Rental Houses | Kansas City | | | 764 | 113 | (281) | 113 | 483 | 596 | 124 | 1960 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Residential Land Somerset | Kansas City | | 484 | | | (484) | | | | | N/A | N/A | |
| Two Brush Creek | Kansas City | | 31 | 125 | 0 | (0) | 31 | 125 | 156 | 30 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Valencia Place Office | Kansas City | (3) | | | 970 | 34,797 | 2,546 | 34,797 | 37,343 | 9,356 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Memphis, TN | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3400 Players Club Parkway | Memphis | (2) | 1,005 | | 207 | 5,004 | 1,212 | 5,004 | 6,216 | 1,248 | 1997 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| 6000 Poplar Ave | Memphis | | 2,340 | 11,385 | | 2,565 | 2,340 | 13,950 | 16,290 | 3,030 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| 6060 Poplar Ave | Memphis | | 1,980 | 8,677 | | 1,742 | 1,980 | 10,419 | 12,399 | 1,951 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Atrium I & II | Memphis | | 1,570 | 6,253 | 0 | 1,816 | 1,570 | 8,069 | 9,639 | 2,344 | 1984 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Centrum International | Memphis | | 1,013 | 5,580 | 0 | 1,792 | 1,013 | 7,372 | 8,385 | 1,908 | 1979 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Place II | Memphis | (4) | 4,884 | 27,782 | 0 | 3,516 | 4,884 | 31,298 | 36,183 | 8,953 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Shadow Creek I | Memphis | | 924 | | 466 | 6,846 | 1,390 | 6,846 | 8,236 | 1,240 | 2000 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Shadow Creek II | Memphis | | 734 | | 467 | 7,496 | 1,201 | 7,496 | 8,697 | 1,215 | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Southwind Office Center A | Memphis | | 1,004 | 5,694 | (0) | 741 | 1,003 | 6,435 | 7,438 | 1,992 | 1991 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Southwind Office Center B | Memphis | | 1,366 | 7,754 | 0 | 873 | 1,366 | 8,627 | 9,993 | 2,531 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Southwind Office Center C | Memphis | (2) | 1,070 | | 221 | 5,790 | 1,291 | 5,790 | 7,081 | 2,131 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Southwind Office Center D | Memphis | | 744 | | 193 | 5,056 | 937 | 5,056 | 5,993 | 1,221 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. | |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|-------|--------|-----|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|-----------|
| The Colonnade | Memphis | 1,300 | 6,481 | 267 | 493 | 1,567 | 6,974 | 8,540 | 2,062 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| ThyssenKrupp | Memphis | 1,040 | | 25 | 8,312 | 1,065 | 8,312 | 9,377 | 366 | 2007 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Nashville, TN | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3322 West | | | | | | | | | | | |
| End | Nashville (2) | 3,025 | 27,490 | (0 |)2,853 | 3,025 | 30,343 | 33,367 | 6,411 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 3401 West | | | | | | | | | | | |
| End | Nashville | 5,864 | 22,917 | (2 |)4,984 | 5,862 | 27,902 | 33,764 | 8,175 | 1982 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 5310 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maryland | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Way | Nashville | 1,863 | 7,201 | 0 | 381 | 1,863 | 7,583 | 9,446 | 2,432 | 1994 | 5-40 yrs. |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | | | Final Beginning Land | Final Beginning Building | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | | | Accum Depr-Final |
| BNA Corporate Center | Nashville | | | 18,506 | | 7,554 | | 26,060 | 26,060 | 7,738 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Century City Plaza I | Nashville | | 903 | 6,919 | 0 | (2,425 |)903 | 4,494 | 5,397 | 1,356 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Cool Springs I | Nashville | | 1,583 | | 15 | 12,215 | 1,598 | 12,215 | 13,813 | 2,919 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Cool Springs II | Nashville | | 1,824 | | 346 | 20,132 | 2,170 | 20,132 | 22,302 | 4,872 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Cool Springs III | Nashville | | 1,631 | | 804 | 18,154 | 2,435 | 18,154 | 20,589 | 899 | 2006 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Cool Springs Land | Nashville | | 7,635 | | | (7,635) | (0) | | (0) | | N/A | N/A |
| Eastpark I, II, & III | Nashville | | 2,840 | 10,993 | 1 | 2,030 | 2,841 | 13,023 | 15,864 | 4,145 | 1978 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Harpeth on the Green II | Nashville (1) | | 1,419 | 5,677 | 0 | 1,404 | 1,419 | 7,081 | 8,501 | 2,177 | 1984 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Harpeth on the Green III | Nashville (1) | | 1,660 | 6,649 | (0) | 1,168 | 1,660 | 7,817 | 9,476 | 2,393 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Harpeth on the Green IV | Nashville (1) | | 1,713 | 6,842 | 0 | 1,202 | 1,713 | 8,044 | 9,757 | 2,330 | 1989 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Harpeth on The Green V | Nashville (1) | | 662 | | 197 | 4,260 | 859 | 4,260 | 5,119 | 1,055 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Hickory Trace | Nashville (4) | | 1,164 | | 164 | 4,856 | 1,328 | 4,856 | 6,184 | 1,013 | N/A | N/A |
| Highwoods Plaza I | Nashville (1) | | 1,552 | | 307 | 7,835 | 1,859 | 7,835 | 9,694 | 1,963 | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Plaza II | Nashville (1) | | 1,448 | | 307 | 6,716 | 1,755 | 6,716 | 8,470 | 2,189 | 1997 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Lakeview Ridge I | Nashville | | 2,069 | 7,267 | (2,069 | (7,267) | | | | | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Lakeview Ridge II | Nashville (1) | | 605 | | 187 | 4,236 | 792 | 4,236 | 5,027 | 1,040 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Lakeview Ridge III | Nashville (1) | | 1,073 | | 400 | 10,537 | 1,473 | 10,537 | 12,010 | 3,060 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Seven Springs - Land II | Nashville | | 3,715 | | | (1,025) | 2,690 | | 2,690 | | N/A | N/A |
| Seven Springs - Land I | Nashville Nashville | | 3,122 2,076 | | 1,399 592 | 13,888 | 4,521 2,668 | | 4,521 13,888 | | 3,106 2002 | N/A 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| Seven Springs I | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SouthPointe | Nashville | 1,655 | | 310 | 6,438 | 1,965 | 6,438 | 8,403 | 1,567 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Southwind | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Land | Nashville | 3,662 | | (592 |) | 3,070 | | 3,070 | | N/A | N/A | |
| Sparrow | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Building | Nashville | 1,262 | 5,047 | (0 |) | 950 | 1,262 | 5,997 | 7,259 | 1,856 | 1982 | 5-40 yrs. |
| The | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ramparts at | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brentwood | Nashville | 2,394 | 12,806 | | 1,918 | 2,394 | 14,724 | 17,118 | 2,628 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Westwood | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| South | Nashville (1) | 2,106 | | 382 | 9,603 | 2,488 | 9,603 | 12,091 | 2,898 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Winners | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Circle | Nashville (1) | 1,497 | 7,258 | 0 | 895 | 1,497 | 8,153 | 9,650 | 2,106 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Cool | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Springs 1 & | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 Deck | Nashville | | | | 3,932 | | 3,932 | 3,932 | 15 | 2007 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Cool | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Springs 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| &4 Deck | Nashville | | | | 4,396 | | 4,396 | 4,396 | 83 | 2007 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Cool | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Springs V | Nashville | 3,688 | | (20 |) | 45,160 | 3,668 | 45,160 | 48,828 | 2007 | 5-40 yrs. | |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated On |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning Land | Final Beginning Building | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | Accum Depr-Final | | |
| Orlando, FL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Capital Plaza III | Orlando | | 2,994 | | 18 | | 3,012 | 3,012 | | | N/A | N/A |
| In Charge Institute | Orlando | | 501 | | 95 | 2,683 | 596 | 2,683 | 3,279 | 1,008 | 2000 | 5-40 yrs. |
| MetroWest 1 Land | Orlando | | 1,100 | | 51 | | 1,151 | 1,151 | | | N/A | N/A |
| Metrowest Center | Orlando | | 1,354 | 7,687 | 269 | 1,921 | 1,623 | 9,608 | 11,231 | 2,877 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| MetroWest Land | Orlando | | 2,034 | | (148) | | 1,886 | 1,886 | | | N/A | N/A |
| Windsor at Metro Center | Orlando | | | | 2,060 | 9,073 | 2,060 | 9,073 | 11,132 | 1,290 | 2002 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Berkshire at Metro Center | Orlando | | 1,265 | | 725 | 11,330 | 1,990 | 11,330 | 13,320 | 46 | 2007 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Eola Park Land | Orlando | | 2,027 | | (0) | | 2,027 | 2,027 | | | 2007 | N/A |
| Piedmont Triad, NC | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 101 Stratford | Piedmont Triad | | 1,205 | 6,916 | (1) | 1,396 | 1,205 | 8,312 | 9,516 | 2,405 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 150 Stratford | Piedmont Triad (2) | | 2,788 | 11,511 | 0 | 859 | 2,788 | 12,370 | 15,158 | 4,015 | 1991 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 160 Stratford Land | Piedmont Triad | | 966 | | 1 | 120 | 967 | 120 | 1,087 | 5 | N/A | N/A |
| 6348 Burnt Poplar | Piedmont Triad | | 724 | 2,900 | (0) | 525 | 724 | 3,425 | 4,149 | 1,106 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 6350 Burnt Poplar | Piedmont Triad | | 340 | 1,374 | 0 | 34 | 341 | 1,408 | 1,748 | 452 | 1992 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 7341 West Friendly Avenue | Piedmont Triad | | 113 | 841 | 0 | 266 | 113 | 1,107 | 1,220 | 358 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 7343 West Friendly Avenue | Piedmont Triad | | 72 | 555 | 0 | 63 | 72 | 618 | 691 | 194 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 7345 West Friendly Avenue | Piedmont Triad | | 66 | 492 | 0 | 93 | 66 | 585 | 652 | 188 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 7347 West Friendly Avenue | Piedmont Triad | | 97 | 719 | (0) | 151 | 97 | 870 | 967 | 249 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----|-------|----|-----|-----|-------|-------|-----|------|-----------|
| 7349 West Friendly Avenue | Piedmont Triad | 53 | 393 | (0 |)45 | 53 | 438 | 491 | 148 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 7351 West Friendly Avenue | Piedmont Triad | 106 | 788 | 0 | 150 | 106 | 938 | 1,044 | 339 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 7353 West Friendly Avenue | Piedmont Triad | 123 | 912 | (0 |)30 | 123 | 942 | 1,065 | 291 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 7355 West Friendly Avenue | Piedmont Triad | 72 | 538 | (0 |)32 | 72 | 570 | 641 | 174 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Building 1 | Piedmont Triad | 378 | 1,516 | 0 | 356 | 379 | 1,872 | 2,251 | 586 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning | Final Beginning | Building & Improvements | | Final | Bldg Final | Total Assets | Accum Depr-Final | | |
| | | | Land | Building | Land | Improvements | Land | Final | Total | Assets | | |
| Airpark East-Building 2 | Piedmont Triad | | 463 | 1,849 | (0) |)242 | 462 | 2,091 | 2,554 | 760 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Building 3 | Piedmont Triad | | 322 | 1,293 | (0) |)107 | 322 | 1,400 | 1,722 | 457 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Building A | Piedmont Triad | | 509 | 2,921 | 0 | 897 | 510 | 3,819 | 4,328 | 1,331 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Building B | Piedmont Triad | | 739 | 3,237 | (0) |)787 | 739 | 4,025 | 4,763 | 1,320 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Building C | Piedmont Triad | (4) | 2,393 | 9,576 | (0) |)2,666 | 2,393 | 12,242 | 14,635 | 4,470 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Building D | Piedmont Triad | (4) | 850 | | 699 | 4,386 | 1,549 | 4,386 | 5,935 | 1,451 | 1997 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Copier Consultants | Piedmont Triad | | 224 | 1,068 | (0) |)383 | 224 | 1,451 | 1,674 | 459 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Hewlett Packard | Piedmont Triad | | 465 | | 380 | 963 | 845 | 963 | 1,808 | 314 | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Highland | Piedmont Triad | | 146 | 1,081 | (0) |)6 | 145 | 1,087 | 1,232 | 344 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Inacom Building | Piedmont Triad | | 265 | | 270 | 707 | 535 | 707 | 1,242 | 197 | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Service Center 1 | Piedmont Triad | | 237 | 1,103 | (0) |)82 | 237 | 1,185 | 1,422 | 394 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Service Center 2 | Piedmont Triad | | 193 | 946 | (0) |)122 | 192 | 1,068 | 1,260 | 311 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Service Center 3 | Piedmont Triad | | 305 | 1,219 | (0) |)129 | 305 | 1,348 | 1,653 | 418 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Service Center 4 | Piedmont Triad | | 225 | 928 | 0 | 90 | 225 | 1,019 | 1,244 | 345 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Service Court | Piedmont Triad | | 171 | 777 | (0) |)43 | 171 | 820 | 990 | 277 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Simplex | Piedmont Triad | | 271 | | 239 | 887 | 510 | 887 | 1,397 | 203 | 1997 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|----|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-----------|
| Airpark East-Warehouse 1 | Piedmont Triad | 355 | 1,613 | 0 | 289 | 356 | 1,902 | 2,258 | 632 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Warehouse 2 | Piedmont Triad | 373 | 1,523 | 0 | 131 | 374 | 1,654 | 2,028 | 545 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Warehouse 3 | Piedmont Triad | 341 | 1,486 | (0 |)439 | 341 | 1,924 | 2,266 | 699 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark East-Warehouse 4 | Piedmont Triad | 660 | 2,676 | (0 |)334 | 659 | 3,010 | 3,670 | 998 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark North DC1 | Piedmont Triad | 860 | 2,919 | 0 | 556 | 860 | 3,475 | 4,335 | 1,237 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark North DC2 | Piedmont Triad | 1,302 | 4,392 | (0 |)918 | 1,302 | 5,310 | 6,612 | 1,738 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated On |
|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning Land | Final Beginning Building | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | Accum Depr-Final | | |
| Airpark North | Piedmont DC3 | | 449 | 1,517 | 0 | 200 | 450 | 1,717 | 2,167 | 563 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark North | Piedmont DC4 | | 451 | 1,514 | 0 | 145 | 452 | 1,659 | 2,110 | 553 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark South Warehouse 1 | Piedmont Triad | | 546 | | 0 | 2,567 | 546 | 2,567 | 3,113 | 731 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark South Warehouse 2 | Piedmont Triad | | 749 | | (0) | 2,509 | 749 | 2,509 | 3,258 | 532 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark South Warehouse 3 | Piedmont Triad | | 603 | | (0) | 2,273 | 603 | 2,273 | 2,875 | 442 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark South Warehouse 4 | Piedmont Triad | | 499 | | 0 | 1,893 | 499 | 1,893 | 2,392 | 357 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark South Warehouse 6 | Piedmont Triad | | 1,733 | | 0 | 5,222 | 1,733 | 5,222 | 6,955 | 1,919 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark West 1 | Piedmont Triad | | 944 | 3,831 | 0 | 512 | 944 | 4,343 | 5,287 | 1,363 | 1984 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark West 2 | Piedmont Triad | | 887 | 3,550 | (0) | 437 | 887 | 3,986 | 4,874 | 1,301 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark West 4 | Piedmont Triad | | 227 | 907 | (0) | 329 | 227 | 1,235 | 1,462 | 382 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark West 5 | Piedmont Triad | | 243 | 971 | (0) | 319 | 243 | 1,290 | 1,532 | 488 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Airpark West 6 | Piedmont Triad | | 327 | 1,309 | 0 | 168 | 327 | 1,477 | 1,804 | 468 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| ALO | Piedmont Triad | | 177 | | (177) | | | | | | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Brigham Road Land | Piedmont Triad | | 7,059 | | (3,720) | | 3,339 | | 3,339 | | N/A | N/A |
| Chesapeake | Piedmont Triad | | 1,241 | 4,963 | (0) | 43 | 1,241 | 5,006 | 6,247 | 1,623 | 1993 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Chimney Rock A/B | Piedmont Triad | | 1,613 | 4,045 | 0 | 214 | 1,613 | 4,259 | 5,872 | 989 | 1981 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-----------|
| Chimney Rock C | Piedmont Triad | 236 | 592 | 0 | 67 | 236 | 659 | 895 | 189 | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Chimney Rock D | Piedmont Triad | 605 | 1,514 | 0 | 39 | 605 | 1,553 | 2,158 | 331 | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Chimney Rock E | Piedmont Triad | 1,696 | 4,265 | (0 |)240 | 1,695 | 4,506 | 6,201 | 1,069 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Chimney Rock F | Piedmont Triad | 1,434 | 3,608 | (0 |)1 | 1,433 | 3,609 | 5,042 | 861 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Chimney Rock G | Piedmont Triad | 1,045 | 2,622 | 0 | 37 | 1,046 | 2,659 | 3,704 | 632 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Consolidated Center/ Building I | Piedmont Triad | 625 | 2,183 | | 1,390 | 625 | 3,573 | 4,198 | 656 | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | Accum Depr-Final | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated On |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning | Final Beginning | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | | | |
| | | | Land | Building | Land | Improvements | Land | Final | Total Assets | | | |
| Consolidated Center/ Building II | Piedmont Triad | | 625 | 4,435 | | 589 | 625 | 5,024 | 5,649 | 1,263 | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Consolidated Center/ Building III | Piedmont Triad | | 680 | 3,572 | | 226 | 680 | 3,798 | 4,478 | 943 | 1989 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Consolidated Center/ Building IV | Piedmont Triad | | 376 | 1,655 | 0 | 235 | 376 | 1,890 | 2,266 | 487 | 1989 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Deep River Corporate Center | Piedmont Triad | | 1,041 | 5,892 | 0 | 341 | 1,041 | 6,233 | 7,274 | 1,837 | 1989 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Enterprise Warehouse I | Piedmont Triad | | 453 | | 360 | 2,967 | 813 | 2,967 | 3,779 | 531 | 2002 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Enterprise Warehouse II | Piedmont Triad | | 2,733 | | 881 | 12,229 | 3,614 | 12,229 | 15,844 | 381 | 2006 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Forsyth Corporate Center | Piedmont Triad (2) | | 328 | 1,867 | 0 | 928 | 329 | 2,796 | 3,125 | 829 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Park Building I | Piedmont Triad | | 1,476 | | 0 | 8,706 | 1,476 | 8,706 | 10,183 | 1,714 | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Square CVS | Piedmont Triad | | 1,416 | | 537 | | 1,953 | | 1,953 | | 2005 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Square Land | Piedmont Triad | | 1,811 | | (1,811) | | | | | | N/A | N/A |
| Highwoods Square Shops | Piedmont Triad | | 1,031 | | 30 | 1,456 | 1,061 | 1,456 | 2,517 | 128 | 2005 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Jefferson Pilot Land | Piedmont Triad | | 11,759 | | (4,257) | | 7,502 | | 7,502 | | N/A | N/A |
| Madison Park Building 5620 | Piedmont Triad | | 942 | 2,220 | 0 | 392 | 942 | 2,612 | 3,554 | 530 | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Madison Park Building 5630 | Piedmont Triad | | 1,488 | 3,507 | 0 | (0) | 1,488 | 3,507 | 4,995 | 837 | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Madison Park Building 5635 | Piedmont Triad | | 894 | 2,106 | (0) | 256 | 894 | 2,362 | 3,256 | 541 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Madison Park Building 5640 | Piedmont Triad | | 1,831 | 6,531 | 0 | 7 | 1,831 | 6,539 | 8,370 | 1,572 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|-----------|--|
| Madison Park Building 5650 | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | 1,082 | 2,551 | 0 | 339 | 1,082 | 2,889 | 3,972 | 634 | 1984 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Madison Park Building 5655 | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | 1,947 | 7,123 | (0 |)293 | 1,947 | 7,417 | 9,364 | 1,814 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Madison Park Building 5660 | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | 1,912 | 4,506 | (0 |)246 | 1,912 | 4,752 | 6,664 | 1,107 | 1984 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Madison Parking Deck | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | 5,755 | 8,822 | 0 | 496 | 5,755 | 9,318 | 15,073 | 2,193 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Regency One-Piedmont Center | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | 515 | | 383 | 2,468 | 898 | 2,468 | 3,365 | 621 | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Regency Two-Piedmont Center | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | 435 | | 288 | 1,732 | 723 | 1,732 | 2,456 | 461 | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. | |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | Accum Depr-Final | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning Land | Final Beginning Building | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | | | |
| Sears Cenfact | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | (1) | 834 | 3,459 | (0 |)148 | 834 | 3,607 | 4,441 | 1,147 | 1989 | 5-40 yrs. |
| The Knollwood -380 Retail | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | (2) | | 1 | | 180 | | 181 | 181 | 82 | 1995 | 5-40 yrs. |
| The Knollwood-370 | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | (2) | 1,826 | 7,495 | 0 | 728 | 1,826 | 8,223 | 10,050 | 2,867 | 1994 | 5-40 yrs. |
| The Knollwood-380 | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | (2) | 2,989 | 12,028 | 0 | 2,766 | 2,989 | 14,795 | 17,783 | 4,543 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| US Airways | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | | 1,451 | 11,375 | (1 |)1 | 1,450 | 11,376 | 12,826 | 2,880 | 1970-1987 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Westpoint Business Park Land | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | | 868 | | (464 |) | 404 | | 404 | | N/A | N/A |
| Westpoint Business Park- Luwabahnsen | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | | 347 | 1,389 | (0 |)53 | 347 | 1,443 | 1,790 | 465 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Enterprise Warehouse III | Piedmont | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triad | | 814 | | (102 |)3,882 | 712 | 3,882 | 4,595 | 22 | 2007 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Research Triangle, NC | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3600 Glenwood Avenue | Research Triangle | | | 10,994 | | 60 | | 11,054 | 11,054 | 2,970 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 3737 Glenwood Avenue | Research Triangle | | | | 318 | 16,643 | 318 | 16,643 | 16,961 | 4,866 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 4101 Research Commons | Research Triangle | | 1,348 | 8,346 | 220 | (1,531 |)1,568 | 6,815 | 8,383 | 1,496 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 4201 Research Commons | Research Triangle | | 1,204 | 11,858 | 0 | (2,007 |)1,204 | 9,851 | 11,055 | 3,729 | 1991 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 4301 Research Commons | Research Triangle | | 900 | 8,237 | (0 |)34 | 900 | 8,271 | 9,170 | 2,643 | 1989 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 4401 Research Commons | Research Triangle | | 1,249 | 9,387 | (0 |)2,773 | 1,249 | 12,160 | 13,409 | 4,711 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. |
| 4501 Research Commons | Research Triangle | | 785 | 5,856 | 0 | 2,438 | 785 | 8,294 | 9,079 | 2,446 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|-----------|--|
| 4800 North Park | Research | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle | 2,678 | 17,630 | 0 | 7,043 | 2,678 | 24,673 | 27,352 | 6,679 | 1985 | | 5-40 yrs. | |
| 4900 North Park | Research | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle | 771 | 1,983 | 0 | 561 | 770 | 2,544 | 3,315 | 913 | 1984 | | 5-40 yrs. | |
| 5000 North Park | Research | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle | (2) | 1,010 | 4,612 | (0) | 2,049 | 1,010 | 6,661 | 7,670 | 2,496 | 1980 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| 801 Corporate Center | Research | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle | 828 | | 272 | 10,452 | 1,100 | 10,452 | 11,552 | 1,816 | 2002 | | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Blue Ridge I | Research | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle | (1) | 722 | 4,606 | (0) | 1,289 | 722 | 5,895 | 6,617 | 2,025 | 1982 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Blue Ridge II | Research | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle | (1) | 462 | 1,410 | 0 | 401 | 462 | 1,811 | 2,274 | 923 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. | |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated On |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning Land | Final Beginning Building | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | Accum Depr-Final | | |
| Cape Fear | Research Triangle | | 131 | 1,630 | 0 | 733 | 131 | 2,363 | 2,495 | 1,781 | 1979 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Catawba | Research Triangle | | 125 | 1,635 | (0 |)1,300 | 125 | 2,935 | 3,060 | 1,798 | 1980 | 5-40 yrs. |
| CentreGreen Five Land - Weston | Research Triangle | | 3,062 | | (3,062 |) | | | | | N/A | N/A |
| CentreGreen Four | Research Triangle | (4) | 1,779 | | (394 |)12,684 | 1,385 | 12,684 | 14,069 | 2,763 | 2002 | 5-40 yrs. |
| CentreGreen One - Weston | Research Triangle | (4) | 1,529 | | (378 |)9,152 | 1,151 | 9,152 | 10,302 | 2,523 | 2000 | 5-40 yrs. |
| CentreGreen Three Land - Weston | Research Triangle | | 1,876 | | (384 |) | 1,492 | 1,492 | | | N/A | N/A |
| CentreGreen Two - Weston | Research Triangle | (4) | 1,653 | | (393 |)10,006 | 1,260 | 10,006 | 11,266 | 2,273 | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Cottonwood | Research Triangle | | 609 | 3,244 | (0 |)1,237 | 609 | 4,481 | 5,090 | 1,351 | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Dogwood | Research Triangle | | 766 | 2,769 | (0 |)468 | 766 | 3,237 | 4,002 | 1,118 | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. |
| EPA | Research Triangle | | 2,601 | | (4 |)1,661 | 2,597 | 1,661 | 4,259 | 345 | 2003 | 5-40 yrs. |
| GlenLake Land | Research Triangle | | 13,003 | | (3,266 |) | 9,737 | 9,737 | | | N/A | N/A |
| GlenLake Bldg I | Research Triangle | (4) | 924 | | 1,324 | 22,262 | 2,248 | 22,262 | 24,510 | 4,286 | 2002 | 5-40 yrs. |
| GlenLake Four | Research Triangle | | 1,659 | | 493 | 21,908 | 2,152 | 21,908 | 24,061 | 1,011 | 2006 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Global Software | Research Triangle | | 465 | | (465 |)(0 |) | (0 |)(0 |) | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Healthsource | Research Triangle | | 1,304 | | 540 | 13,615 | 1,844 | 13,615 | 15,460 | 3,544 | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Centre-Weston | Research Triangle | (1) | 531 355 | 49 | (267 2 |)7,688 (0 |)357 | 7,688 49 | 7,952 406 | 2,327 25 | 1998 N/A | 5-40 yrs. N/A |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----|--------|-----|---------|-----|--------|--------|-------|------|--|-----------|
| Highwoods Office Center North Land | Research Triangle | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Highwoods Tower One | Research Triangle (2) | 203 | 16,744 | 0 | 2,145 | 203 | 18,889 | 19,092 | 7,748 | 1991 | | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Tower Two | Research Triangle | 365 | | 503 | 21,844 | 868 | 21,844 | 22,712 | 4,867 | 2001 | | 5-40 yrs. |
| Holiday Inn Reservations Center | Research Triangle | 867 | 2,727 | (0 |) 1,150 | 867 | 3,877 | 4,744 | 1,241 | 1984 | | 5-40 yrs. |
| Inveresk Land Parcel 2 | Research Triangle | 657 | | 197 | | 854 | | 854 | | N/A | | N/A |
| Inveresk Land Parcel 3 | Research Triangle | 548 | | 306 | | 854 | | 854 | | N/A | | N/A |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | Accum Depr-Final | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated On |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning Land | Final Beginning Building | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | | | |
| Laurel | Research Triangle | | 884 | 2,517 | (884) | (2,517) | | | | | 1982 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Magnolia | Research Triangle | | 133 | 3,576 | (133) | (3,576) | 0 | 0 | | | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Maplewood | Research Triangle (1) | | 149 | | 107 | 3,038 | 256 | 3,038 | 3,293 | 543 | N/A | 5-40 yrs. |
| Overlook | Research Triangle | | 398 | | 293 | 9,690 | 691 | 9,690 | 10,381 | 2,451 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Pamlico | Research Triangle | | 289 | | (0) | 11,958 | 289 | 11,958 | 12,246 | 7,161 | 1980 | 5-40 yrs. |
| ParkWest One - Weston | Research Triangle | | 242 | | (0) | 3,554 | 242 | 3,554 | 3,796 | 740 | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. |
| ParkWest Three - Land - Weston | Research Triangle | | 306 | | 0 | | 306 | | 306 | | N/A | N/A |
| ParkWest Two - Weston | Research Triangle | | 356 | | 0 | 4,321 | 356 | 4,321 | 4,678 | 887 | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Progress Center Renovation | Research Triangle | | | | | 362 | | 362 | 362 | 77 | 2003 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Raleigh Corp Center Lot D | Research Triangle | | 1,211 | | 8 | | 1,219 | | 1,219 | | N/A | N/A |
| Red Oak | Research Triangle | | 389 | | (389) | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Rexwoods Center I | Research Triangle | | 878 | 3,730 | 0 | 812 | 878 | 4,542 | 5,420 | 2,091 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Rexwoods Center II | Research Triangle | | 362 | 1,818 | (0) | 613 | 362 | 2,431 | 2,793 | 829 | 1993 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Rexwoods Center III | Research Triangle | | 919 | 2,816 | 0 | 1,014 | 919 | 3,830 | 4,749 | 1,724 | 1992 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Rexwoods Center IV | Research Triangle | | 586 | | 0 | 3,355 | 586 | 3,355 | 3,941 | 1,091 | 1995 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Rexwoods Center V | Research Triangle (2) | | 1,301 | | 184 | 4,971 | 1,485 | 4,971 | 6,457 | 1,241 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|-----|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|--|-----------|
| Riverbirch | Research | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle (2) | 469 | 4,038 | (0 |)1,146 | 469 | 5,184 | 5,653 | 2,668 | 1987 | | 5-40 yrs. |
| Situs I | Research | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle | 692 | 4,646 | 178 | (1,115 |)870 | 3,531 | 4,401 | 995 | 1996 | | 5-40 yrs. |
| Situs II | Research | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle | 718 | 6,254 | 181 | (1,422 |)899 | 4,832 | 5,731 | 1,165 | 1998 | | 5-40 yrs. |
| Situs III | Research | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle | 440 | 4,078 | 119 | (1,048 |)559 | 3,030 | 3,588 | 477 | 2000 | | 5-40 yrs. |
| Six Forks Center I | Research | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle | 666 | 2,665 | (0 |)1,157 | 666 | 3,822 | 4,487 | 1,254 | 1982 | | 5-40 yrs. |
| Six Forks Center II | Research | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Triangle | 1,086 | 4,533 | (0 |)1,434 | 1,086 | 5,967 | 7,053 | 1,840 | 1983 | | 5-40 yrs. |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning Land | Final Beginning Building | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | Accum Depr-Final | | |
| Six Forks Center III | Research Triangle | (2) | 862 | 4,411 | 0 | 1,834 | 862 | 6,245 | 7,107 | 1,887 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Smoketree Tower | Research Triangle | | 2,353 | 11,743 | 0 | 2,228 | 2,353 | 13,971 | 16,324 | 4,951 | 1984 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Sycamore | Research Triangle | (2) | 255 | | 217 | 4,769 | 472 | 4,769 | 5,241 | 1,346 | 1997 | 5-40 yrs. |
| W Building - One North | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Commerce Center | Research Triangle | | 1,172 | 6,865 | (1,172) | (6,865) | 0 | 0 | | | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Weston Land | Research Triangle | | 22,771 | | (7,169) | | 15,602 | 15,602 | | | N/A | N/A |
| Willow Oak | Research Triangle | (2) | 458 | | 268 | 5,457 | 726 | 5,457 | 6,183 | 1,847 | 1995 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Other Property | Research Triangle | | 47 | 9,496 | 102 | 3,829 | 149 | 13,325 | 13,474 | 7,410 | N/A | N/A |
| Richmond, VA | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4900 Cox Road | Richmond | | 1,324 | 5,311 | 0 | 1,152 | 1,324 | 6,463 | 7,787 | 1,775 | 1991 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Colonade Building | Richmond | (4) | 1,364 | 6,105 | 0 | 312 | 1,364 | 6,417 | 7,782 | 943 | 2003 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Dominion Place - Pitts Parcel | Richmond | | 1,101 | | 113 | | 1,214 | 1,214 | | | N/A | N/A |
| Essex Plaza | Richmond | 11,463 | 1,581 | 13,299 | (0) | (497) | 1,581 | 12,802 | 14,383 | 3,344 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Grove Park I | Richmond | | 713 | | 319 | 5,127 | 1,032 | 5,127 | 6,159 | 1,262 | 1997 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Hamilton Beach | Richmond | | 1,086 | 4,345 | (0) | 1,292 | 1,086 | 5,637 | 6,723 | 1,613 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Commons | Richmond | | 521 | | 446 | 3,290 | 967 | 3,290 | 4,257 | 818 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Five | Richmond | | 783 | | (0) | 6,225 | 783 | 6,225 | 7,007 | 1,894 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods One | Richmond | (2) | 1,688 | | (0) | 10,069 | 1,688 | 10,069 | 11,757 | 2,947 | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Plaza | Richmond | | 909 | | 176 | 5,694 | 1,085 | 5,694 | 6,778 | 1,519 | 2000 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods Two | Richmond | (4) | 786 | | 213 | 6,100 | 999 | 6,100 | 7,099 | 1,761 | 1997 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------|--------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| Innsbrooke Centre | Richmond | 5,428 | 1,300 | 6,958 | 274 | 1,300 | 7,232 | 8,532 | 809 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. | |
| Innslake Center | Richmond | (1) | 845 | | 195 | 6,738 | 1,040 | 6,738 | 7,778 | 1,912 | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Liberty Mutual | Richmond | | 1,205 | 4,825 | (0) |)568 | 1,205 | 5,393 | 6,598 | 1,570 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Markel American | Richmond | 9,040 | 1,300 | 13,259 | (458) |)(4,940 |)842 | 8,319 | 9,161 | 885 | 1998 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Markel Plaza | Richmond | 11,463 | 1,700 | 17,081 | | (5,480 |)1,700 | 11,601 | 13,301 | 1,166 | 1989 | 5-40 yrs. |
| North Park North Shore | Richmond | | 2,163 | 8,659 | (14) |)1,637 | 2,149 | 10,296 | 12,446 | 2,910 | 1989 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Commons A | Richmond | (4) | 951 | | (0) |)11,567 | 951 | 11,567 | 12,518 | 2,592 | 2002 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated On |
|--|--------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning Land | Final Beginning Building | Building & Land | Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | Accum Depr-Final | | |
| North Shore Commons B - Land | Richmond | | 2,067 | | (103) | 10,014 | 1,964 | 10,014 | 11,977 | 38 | N/A | N/A |
| North Shore Commons C - Land | Richmond | | 1,497 | | 0 | | 1,497 | | 1,497 | | N/A | N/A |
| North Shore Commons D - Land | Richmond | | 1,261 | | 0 | | 1,261 | | 1,261 | | N/A | N/A |
| One Shockoe Plaza Pavillion Land | Richmond | | | | 356 | 15,053 | 356 | 15,053 | 15,408 | 4,669 | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Rhodia Building | Richmond | | 181 | 46 | 20 | (46) | 201 | | 201 | | N/A | N/A |
| Saxon Capital Building | Richmond (4) | | 1,600 | 8,864 | 3 | | 1,600 | 8,867 | 10,467 | 639 | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Stony Point F Land | Richmond | | 1,918 | | 337 | 13,505 | 2,255 | 13,505 | 15,760 | 1,355 | 2005 | N/A |
| Stony Point I | Richmond (4) | | 1,841 | | (0) | | 1,841 | | 1,841 | | N/A | N/A |
| Stony Point II | Richmond (4) | | 1,384 | 11,630 | 59 | 1,332 | 1,443 | 12,962 | 14,406 | 3,579 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Stony Point III | Richmond (4) | | 1,240 | | 0 | 11,395 | 1,240 | 11,395 | 12,635 | 2,607 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Technology Park 1 | Richmond (4) | | 995 | | (0) | 9,657 | 995 | 9,657 | 10,652 | 2,160 | 2002 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Technology Park 2 | Richmond | | 541 | 2,166 | (0) | 185 | 541 | 2,351 | 2,892 | 756 | 1991 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Vantage Place A | Richmond (4) | | 264 | 1,058 | 0 | 103 | 264 | 1,161 | 1,426 | 341 | 1991 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Vantage Place B | Richmond (4) | | 203 | 811 | (1) | 172 | 203 | 983 | 1,186 | 302 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Vantage Place C | Richmond (4) | | 233 | 931 | (0) | 170 | 233 | 1,101 | 1,334 | 360 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Vantage Place D | Richmond (4) | | 235 | 940 | | 112 | 235 | 1,052 | 1,287 | 326 | 1987 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Vantage Pointe Virginia Mutual | Richmond (4) | | 218 | 873 | 0 | 167 | 218 | 1,040 | 1,258 | 331 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Waterfront Plaza | Richmond (4) | | 1,089 | 4,500 | (1) | 836 | 1,089 | 5,336 | 6,424 | 1,545 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| | Richmond | | 1,301 | 6,036 | (0) | 627 | 1,301 | 6,663 | 7,963 | 1,432 | 1996 | 5-40 yrs. |
| | Richmond (1) | | 585 | 2,347 | (0) | 631 | 585 | 2,978 | 3,563 | 861 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| | Richmond (1) | | 332 | 1,431 | (0) | 207 | 332 | 1,638 | 1,970 | 452 | 1995 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|-----|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|-----------|
| West Shore I | | | | | | | | | | | |
| West Shore II | Richmond (1) | 489 | 2,181 | 0 | 292 | 489 | 2,473 | 2,962 | 703 | 1995 | 5-40 yrs. |
| West Shore III | Richmond (1) | 961 | | 141 | 3,893 | 1,102 | 3,893 | 4,995 | 979 | 1997 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Sadler & Cox Land | Richmond | 1,535 | | 0 | | 1,535 | | 1,535 | | N/A | N/A |
| Stony Point IV | Richmond | 955 | | 0 | 11,644 | 955 | 11,644 | 12,599 | 597 | 2006 | 5-40 yrs. |
| South Florida | | | | | | | | | | | |
| The 1800 Eller Drive Building | South Florida | | 9,851 | | 1,236 | | 11,087 | 11,087 | 3,096 | 1983 | 5-40 yrs. |

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

| Description | City | 2007 Encumbrance | Initial Costs | | Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition | | Gross Value at Close of Period | | | Accum Depr-Final | Date of Construction | Life on Which Depr is Calculated On |
|-------------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|
| | | | Final Beginning | Final Beginning | Land | Building & Improvements | Final Land | Bldg Final | Total Assets | | | |
| | | | Land | Building | Land | | | | | | | |
| Tampa, FL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 380 Park Place | Tampa | | 1,502 | | 239 | 6,520 | 1,742 | 6,520 | 8,261 | 1,162 | N/A | N/A |
| Anchor Glass | Tampa | | 1,281 | 11,318 | | 1,339 | 1,281 | 12,657 | 13,938 | 3,103 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Bayshore | Tampa | | 2,276 | 11,817 | | 671 | 2,276 | 12,488 | 14,764 | 3,195 | 1990 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Cypress Center | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IV - Land | Tampa | | 3,087 | 301 | (1,230) | (301) | 1,857 | 0 | 1,857 | | N/A | N/A |
| FBI Field Office | Tampa | | 4,054 | | 415 | 27,037 | 4,469 | 27,037 | 31,505 | 1,884 | 2005 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Feathersound | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate Center | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| II | Tampa | 1,954 | 802 | 7,463 | (0) | 1,151 | 802 | 8,614 | 9,416 | 2,322 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Harborview Plaza | Tampa | 22,709 | 3,537 | 29,944 | 969 | (383) | 4,506 | 29,561 | 34,067 | 6,470 | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preserve I | Tampa | | 991 | | 0 | 25,849 | 991 | 25,849 | 26,841 | 7,326 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Highwoods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preserve Land | Tampa | | 1,485 | | 200 | | 1,685 | | 1,685 | | N/A | N/A |
| Highwoods | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preserve V | Tampa | | 881 | | 0 | 27,326 | 881 | 27,326 | 28,208 | 5,015 | 2001 | 5-40 yrs. |
| HIW Bay Center | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| II | Tampa | | 3,482 | | (0) | (106) | 3,482 | (106) | 3,376 | | N/A | N/A |
| Horizon | Tampa | | | 6,257 | | 2,328 | | 8,584 | 8,584 | 2,432 | 1980 | 5-40 yrs. |
| LakePointe I | Tampa | | 2,106 | 89 | (0) | 33,764 | 2,106 | 33,853 | 35,959 | 8,711 | 1986 | 5-40 yrs. |
| LakePointe II | Tampa | | 2,000 | 15,848 | 672 | 10,422 | 2,672 | 26,270 | 28,942 | 7,473 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Lakeside | Tampa | | | 7,369 | | 1,536 | | 8,905 | 8,905 | 2,108 | 1978 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Lakeside/Parkside | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Garage | Tampa | | | | | 3,207 | | 3,207 | 3,207 | 253 | 2004 | 5-40 yrs. |
| One Harbour | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Place | Tampa | | 2,016 | 25,252 | (0) | 4,310 | 2,016 | 29,562 | 31,577 | 6,019 | 1985 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Parkside | Tampa | | | 9,407 | | 3,323 | | 12,730 | 12,730 | 3,464 | 1979 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Pavilion | Tampa | | | 16,394 | | 2,316 | | 18,710 | 18,710 | 5,807 | 1982 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Pavilion Parking | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Garage | Tampa | | | | | 5,600 | | 5,600 | 5,600 | 1,148 | 1999 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Spectrum | Tampa | | 1,454 | 14,502 | 0 | 3,065 | 1,454 | 17,567 | 19,021 | 5,705 | 1984 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Tower Place | Tampa | | 3,218 | 19,898 | | 2,061 | 3,218 | 21,959 | 25,177 | 6,506 | 1988 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Westshore Square | Tampa | | 1,126 | 5,186 | 0 | 325 | 1,126 | 5,511 | 6,637 | 1,467 | 1976 | 5-40 yrs. |
| HIW Bay Center | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I | Tampa | | 3,565 | | (0) | 33,091 | 3,565 | 33,091 | 36,656 | 132 | 2007 | 5-40 yrs. |
| HIW Preserve VII | Tampa | | 790 | | 15 | 12,411 | 805 | 12,411 | 13,216 | 217 | 2007 | 5-40 yrs. |
| Avion Park Land | Tampa | | 5,237 | | 0 | | 5,237 | | 5,237 | | N/A | N/A |
| HIW Preserve VII | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Garage | Tampa | | | | | 6,789 | | 6,789 | 6,789 | 155 | 2007 | 5-40 yrs. |
| | | | 533,956 | 1,481,950 | (60,321) | 1,225,076 | 473,635 | 2,707,026 | 3,180,661 | 649,765 | | |

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (Continued)

(in thousands)

- (1) These assets are pledged as collateral for a \$135,593,000 first mortgage loan.
- (2) These assets are pledged as collateral for a \$121,729,000 first mortgage loan.
- (3) These assets are pledged as collateral for a \$190,000,000 first mortgage loan.
- (4) These assets are pledged as collateral for a \$131,663,000 first mortgage loan.

128

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Raleigh, State of North Carolina, on March 2, 2008.

HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES, INC.

By: /s/ EDWARD J. FRITSCH
Edward J. Fritsch
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacity and on the dates indicated.

Edgar Filing: HIGHWOODS PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|---|---------------|
| /s/ O. Temple Sloan, Jr. O. Temple Sloan, Jr. | Chairman of the Board of Directors | March 2, 2008 |
| /s/ Edward J. Fritsch Edward J. Fritsch | President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director | March 2, 2008 |
| /s/ Gene H. Anderson Gene H. Anderson | Senior Vice President and Director | March 2, 2008 |
| Thomas W. Adler | Director | March 2, 2008 |
| /s/ Kay N. Callison Kay N. Callison | Director | March 2, 2008 |
| /s/ Lawrence S. Kaplan Lawrence S. Kaplan | Director | March 2, 2008 |
| /s/ Sherry A. Kellett Sherry A. Kellett | Director | March 2, 2008 |
| /s/ L. Glenn Orr, Jr. L. Glenn Orr, Jr. | Director | March 2, 2008 |
| /s/ Terry L. Stevens Terry L. Stevens | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer | March 2, 2008 |
| /s/ Daniel L. Clemmens Daniel L. Clemmens | Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer | March 2, 2008 |