VESTA INSURANCE GROUP INC Form 10-Q November 12, 2003

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

|X| QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2003

OR

|\_| TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-12338

# VESTA INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware63-1097283(State of other jurisdiction of<br/>incorporation or organization)(I.R.S. EmployerIdentification No.)

3760 River Run Drive 35243
Birmingham, Alabama (Zip Code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

# (205) 970-7000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

# **Not Applicable**

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. |X| Yes | | No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, \$.01 par value, as of November 12, 2003 36,678,060

# **Vesta Insurance Group, Inc.**

# Index

Part I	Financial Information	Page
Item 1	Financial Statements:	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002	1
	Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the	
	Three Months and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002	2
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002	3
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	4
Item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	12
Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	20
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	20
Part II	Other Information	
Item 1	Legal Proceedings	21
Item 2	Changes in Securities	23
Item 3	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	23
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	23
Item 5	Other Information	23
Item 6	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	24
	Signatures	25

# Part I Item 1. Financial Statements Vesta Insurance Group, Inc. Consolidated Balance Sheets (amounts in thousands, except share and per share data)

	September 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
	(unaudited)	-
Assets:		
Fixed maturities available for sale - at fair value (cost: 2003 - \$842,927;		
2002 - \$829,982)	\$ 873,498	\$ 863,695
Equity securities-at fair value: (cost: 2003- \$28,915; 2002- \$26,072)	31,406	27,055
Mortgage loans	9,320	12,124
Policy loans	59,306	61,278
Short-term investments	11,945	9,679
Other invested assets	41,981	39,262
Total investments	1,027,456	1,013,093
Cash	65,319	140,593
Accrued investment income	9,954	11,866
Premiums in course of collection (net of allowances for losses		
of \$897 in 2003 and 2002)	132,863	128,799
Reinsurance balances receivable	390,722	355,054
Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses	72,188	79,334

FORM 10-Q 2

Deferred policy acquisition costs	<b>September 30,</b> 61,683	December 31, 71,752
Property and equipment	19,827	22,123
Deferred income taxes	44,543	46,176
Assets held for sale		9,598
Goodwill	135,737	122,742
Other intangible assets	11,588	12,128
Other assets	28,285	29,600
Total assets	\$ 2,000,165	\$ 2,042,858
Liabilities:		
Policy liabilities	\$ 670,723	\$ 678,419
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	330,042	322,320
Unearned premiums	326,438	306,782
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	162,501	176,793
Reinsurance balances payable	72,397	100,860
Short term debt	29,952	30,000
Long term debt	78,246	55,795
Liabilities held for sale		5,632
Other liabilities	100,758	107,951
Total liabilities	1,771,057	1,784,552
Commitments and contingencies: See Note B		
Deferrable Capital Securities		22,445
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized, issued: 2003 - 0 and 2002 - 0		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, issued:		
2003 and 2002 - 38,143,037	381	381
Additional paid-in capital	248,372	248,372
Accumulated other comprehensive income, (net of tax expense		ŕ
of \$7,056 and \$8,131 in 2003 and 2002, respectively)	13,940	15,101
Retained earnings	(8,956)	(2,698)
Treasury stock (2,464,977 shares at cost at September 30, 2003 and		
December 31, 2002)	(18,250)	(18,250)
Unearned stock	(6,379)	(7,045)
Total stockholders' equity	229,108	235,861
Total liabilities, deferrable capital		
securities and stockholders' equity	\$ 2,000,165	\$ 2,042,858

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1

Vesta Insurance Group, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)
Statements of Operations
(amounts in thousands, except per share data)

Three months ended
September 30,
2003
September 30,
2002
September 30,
2003
September 30,
2003
September 30,

	Three months ended		Nine months ended		
D.	(un	audited)	(una	udited)	
Revenues: Net premiums written	\$ 84,278	\$ 143,568	\$ 345,091	\$ 437,567	
Change in unearned premiums	43,023	(11,697)	23,162	(78,215)	
Net premiums earned	127,301	131,871	368,253	359,352	
Policy fees	9,832	5,272	26,543	14,091	
Agency fees and commissions	16,410	11,025	55,137	39,446	
Net investment income	10,047	14,329	31,533	41,588	
Realized gains (losses)	(74)	(1,284)	5,585	(1,429)	
Other	1,900	2,061	5,702	6,689	
Total revenues	165,416	163,274	492,753	459,737	
Expenses:					
Policyholder benefits	5,250	4,148	15,554	18,899	
Losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred	80,244	87,011	253,339	240,542	
Policy acquisition expenses	23,329	24,526	69,555	64,169	
Litigation settlement and arbitration award charge		23,600		23,600	
Operating expenses	42,880	39,123	131,821	118,890	
Interest on debt	3,283	3,576	9,847	11,422	
Deferrable capital distributions	478		478		
Gain on debt extinguishment		(2,998)		(4,378)	
Total expenses	155,464	178,986	480,594	473,144	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and					
minority interest	9,952	(15,712)	12,159	(13,407)	
Income tax expense (benefit)	3,483	(5,499)	4,255	(4,693)	
Minority interest, net of tax	114	300	493	1,321	
Deferrable capital security distributions, net of tax		322	622	773	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	6,355	(10,835)	6,789	(10,808)	
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(2,011)	(775)	(10,372)	(10,525)	
Net income (loss)	4,344	(11,610)	(3,583)	(21,333)	
Gain on redemption of preferred securities, net of tax				210	
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 4,344	\$ (11,610)	\$ (3,583)	\$ (21,123)	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations per share - Basic	\$ 0.18	\$ (0.32)	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.32)	
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per share - Basic	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.34)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.63)	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations per share - Diluted	\$ 0.18	\$ (0.32)	\$ 0.19	\$ (0.32)	
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per share - Diluted	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.34)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.63)	
Statements of Comprehensive  Statements of Comprehensive  Net income (loss)  Other comprehensive income, net of tax:  Unrealized holding (losses) gains on available-for-sale securities net of tax of \$(2,789), \$2,035, \$1,374 and \$1,970, respectively  Less realized (losses) gains on available-for-sale securities net of tax of \$32, \$(431), \$1,998		(11,610)	\$ (0.10) \$ (3,583) 2,469	\$ (0.63) \$ (21,333) 3,658	

**Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)** 

and \$(258), respectively	(48)	(801)	3,630	(479)
Gain on redemption of preferred securities, net of tay	(5,239)	4,581	(1,161)	4,137
Gain on redemption of preferred securities, net of tax of \$0, \$0, \$0 and \$113 respectively				210
Comprehensive loss	\$ (895)	\$ (7,029)	\$ (4,744)	\$ (16,986)

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2

# Vesta Insurance Group, Inc. Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (amounts in thousands)

	Septen 2003	aber 30, 2002
	(unaudited)	
Operating Activities:	Φ (2.502)	Φ (21.222)
Net income	\$ (3,583)	\$ (21,333)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash provided by (used in) operations		
Changes in:	26	44.040
Loss and LAE reserves, and future policy liabilities	26	44,949
Unearned premium reserves Reinsurance balances receivable	19,656	85,635
	7,081	(2,931)
Premiums in course of collection	(4,064)	(117,469)
Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses	7,146	(2,292)
Reinsurance balances payable	(55,395)	59,981
Other assets and liabilities	33,975	58,487
Policy acquisition costs deferred	(75,303)	(103,646)
Policy acquisition costs amortized	69,555	73,737
Realized gains	(5,585)	(737)
Amortization and depreciation	5,416	3,648
Gain on extinguishment of debt		(4,398)
Net cash provided by (used in) operations	(1,075)	73,631
Investing Activities:		
Investments sold:		
Fixed maturities available for sale	98,144	209,384
Equity securities	9,352	6,697
Investments acquired:		
Fixed maturities available for sale	(314,655)	(335,983)
Equity securities	(9,294)	(6,001)
Maturities, paydowns, calls and other		
Fixed maturities available for sale	186,144	74,429
Net decrease in other invested assets	(447)	27,946
Net cash received (paid) for acquisition	(22,500)	7,020
Net cash received (paid) from sale of Aegis	(380)	
Net increase in short-term investments	(2,266)	(30,140)
Additions to property and equipment	(2,675)	(1,975)

Nine months ended

		nths ended mber 30,		
Disposal of property and equipment	1,156	263		
Net cash used in investing activities	(57,421)	(48,360)		
Financing Activities:				
Net change in FHLB borrowings	(14,292)	(1,314)		
Change in long and short-term debt	(48)	(4,779)		
Repayment of acquisition contingent consideration	(5,883)			
Net withdrawals (deposits) from insurance liabilities	6,120	(6,315)		
Acquisition of common stock		(949)		
Dividends paid	(2,675)	(2,748)		
Net cash used in financing activities	(16,778)	(16,105)		
(Decrease) increase in cash	(75,274)	9,166		
Cash at beginning of period	140,593	23,579		
Cash at end of period	\$ 65,319	\$ 32,745		

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

3

# Vesta Insurance Group, Inc. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (amounts in thousands except per share amounts)

# **Note A-Significant Accounting Policies**

Basis of Presentation: The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Vesta Insurance Group, Inc. (the "Company") have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions of Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals and other adjustments, such as impairments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations and cash flows for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year.

Reclassifications: Certain amounts in the financial statements presented have been reclassified from amounts previously reported in order to be comparable between periods. These reclassifications have no effect on previously reported stockholders' equity or net income (loss) during the periods involved.

New Accounting Standards: In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. ("FIN") 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosures Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others." FIN 45 clarifies the requirements of SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," related to a guarantors accounting for, and disclosures of, the issuance of certain types of guarantees. The disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements of interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2002 and have been included in the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included herein. The impact on the Company's financial statements from the application of the recognition and measurement provisions of FIN No. 45 is dependent on the level of guarantees issued or modified in 2003 and thereafter. No guarantees were issued or modified during the nine months ended September 30, 2003, which were impacted by the provisions of FIN No. 45.

On January 15, 2003, FASB completed its redeliberations of the project related to the consolidation of variable interest entities which culminated with the issuance of FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)*. FIN 46 states that if a business enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a VIE, the assets, liabilities and results of the activities of the VIE should be included in

the consolidated financial statements of the business enterprise. This Interpretation explains how to identify VIEs and how an enterprise assesses its interests in a VIE to decide whether to consolidate that entity. FIN 46 also requires existing unconsolidated VIEs to be consolidated by their primary beneficiaries if the entities do not effectively disperse risks among parties involved. VIEs that effectively disperse risks will not be consolidated unless a single party holds an interest or combination of interests that effectively recombines risks that were previously dispersed. This Interpretation applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, and to VIEs in which an enterprise obtains an interest after that date. On October 8, 2003, the FASB deferred the effective date for preexisting VIEs to the period ending after December 15, 2003. As a result, the Company will adopt FIN 46 for pre-existing transactions in the fourth quarter of this year. The Company has not entered into any transactions subsequent to January 31, 2003 that would qualify as a VIE and is continuing to evaluate the impact of this pronouncement on existing entities.

In May 2002, the Derivatives Implementation Group of the FASB exposed for comment issue No. B36, "Bifurcation of Embedded Credit Derivatives" ("DIG B36"). DIG B36 would require the bifurcation of potential embedded derivatives within modified coinsurance and funds withheld coinsurance arrangements in which the terms require the future payment of a principal amount plus a return based on a specified proportion of the ceding company's return on either its general account assets or a specified block of those assets. The provisions of DIG B36 would be applied on a prospective basis and will become effective during the fourth quarter of 2003. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this pronouncement.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity" ("SFAS 150"). SFAS 150 establishes how an issuer classifies and measures certain free standing financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity and requires that such instruments be classified as liabilities. SFAS 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003 for those existing financial instruments subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 150. The Company has not entered into any financial instruments within the scope of SFAS 150 since May 31, 2003. The Company's Deferrable Capital Securities are subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 150. Accordingly, the outstanding balance of the Company's Deferrable Capital Securities of \$22.5 million as of September 30, 2003 has been reclassified to a component of total liabilities from the previous "mezzanine" debt classification. Furthermore, Deferrable Capital Security distributions of \$0.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 are reflected as a component of income from continuing operations. The classification of Deferrable Capital Securities and Deferrable Capital Security distributions for all prior periods remains unchanged, pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 150.

Restricted Assets: As part of a modified coinsurance agreement with Employers Reinsurance Corporation ("Employers"), American Founders is holding \$165.9 million of assets for the benefit of Employers, of which \$165.9 million are included in fixed maturities available for sale herein. Additionally, we have pledged investments having a market value of \$140.5 million to the Federal Home Loan Bank.

*Income per Share.* Basic EPS is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is calculated by adding to shares outstanding the additional net effect of potentially dilutive securities or contracts which could be exercised or converted into common shares except when the additional shares would produce anti-dilutive results.

4

Reconciliation of net income (loss) available to common shareholders and average shares outstanding for the three and nine months ending September 30, 2003 and 2002 are as follows:

	Three months e	ded September 30, 2002		
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 4,344	\$ (11,610)		
Weighted average shares outstanding-basic Stock options and restricted stock *	34,895 54	33,870		
Weighted average shares outstanding-diluted	34,949	33,870		

	Nir	ne months end 2003	led Se <sub>l</sub>	d September 30, 2002	
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$	(3,583)	\$	(21,123)	
Weighted average shares outstanding-basic Stock options and restricted stock *		34,886 54		33,659	
Weighted average shares outstanding-diluted		34,940		33,659	

Under the provisions of SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share", contingently issuable shares that would have the effect of being anti-dilutive are excluded for the diluted average shares outstanding calculation. Potentially dilutive securities for the three-month and nine-month periods ending September 30, 2002 are 450 thousand and 523 thousand, respectively.

2002

2002

Earnings per share for discontinued operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 are as follows:

	2003		2002	2
	3 month	9 month	3 month	9 month
Basic loss per share:	Φ (0.0C)	Φ (O. <b>2</b> O)	Φ (0, 02)	Φ (0.21)
Discontinued Operations Diluted loss per share:	\$ (0.06)	\$(0.29)	\$(0.02)	\$(0.31)
Discontinued Operations	\$ (0.06)	\$(0.29)	\$(0.02)	\$(0.31)

Stock-Based Compensation: In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure" ("SFAS No 148"). This statement is effective for 2003 and amends SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123"), by providing alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, SFAS No. 148 requires additional disclosures related to the effect of stock-based compensation on reported results. As allowed by SFAS No. 123, the Company has elected to continue to apply the intrinsic-value-based method of accounting for stock-based compensation and has adopted only the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123. The following table illustrates the effect on net income (loss) if the fair-value-based method had been applied to all outstanding and unvested awards in each period.

	2003			2002				
	3 mo	nth	9 m	onth	3 m	onth	9 n	nonth
Net income (loss) as reported Add: Stock-based employee compensation	\$ 4.	344	\$ (3	3,583)	\$ (1	1,610)	\$ (2	1,123)
expense included in net income, net of tax  Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined		145		433		658		1,402
under fair-value-based method for all awards, net of tax	(	(255)		(764)		(767)	(	1,729)
Pro forma net loss	\$ 4,234		\$ (3,914)		\$ (11,719)		\$ (21,450)	
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per share - basic								
As reported	\$	0.12	\$	(0.10)	\$	(0.34)	\$	(0.63)
Pro forma Net income (loss) available to common shareholders per share - diluted	\$	0.12	\$	(0.11)	\$	(0.35)	\$	(0.64)
As reported	\$	0.12	\$	(0.10)	\$	(0.34)	\$	(0.63)

Pro forma \$ 0.12 \$ (0.11) \$ (0.35) \$ (0.64)

5

#### **Note B-Commitments and Contingencies**

Life insurance related lawsuits

Our subsidiary, American Founders, is a defendant in a lawsuit brought by a judgment creditor of IFS Holdings, Inc. - the former holder of American Founders' series A and C preferred stock - alleging that American Founders redeemed its Series A and Series C preferred stock from IFS Holdings, Inc. for less than "reasonably equivalent value," and, therefore, engaged in a voidable fraudulent transfer. American Founders believes (i) that the redemption transaction was for reasonably equivalent value; and (ii) that the allegations brought against it in this lawsuit are without merit. We have vigorously defended this action. In the opinion of management, resolution of the lawsuit is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position. However, depending upon the amount and timing, an unfavorable resolution of this matter could materially affect American Founders' future operations or cash flows in a particular period.

# Health insurance related lawsuits

Vesta and two former officers of Vesta are defendants in a lawsuit styled *James H. Cashion, Jr. d/b/a American Health Underwriters v.*Vesta Insurance Group, Inc., et al, Plaintiff, a former general agent of our former subsidiary States General Life Insurance Company, which we purchased in 2001, alleges that the defendants engaged in an actionable civil conspiracy to tortiously interfere with his agency contracts. The civil conspiracy claim is premised, in part, on certain payments made to these two former officers of Vesta by another agent who replaced Mr. Cashion. The plaintiff is seeking actual and punitive damages. Vesta denies tortiously interfering with plaintiff's agency contract, believes the claims asserted against it have no merit, and is vigorously defending this lawsuit. In the opinion of management, resolution of this lawsuit is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

# Indemnification Agreements and Liability Insurance

Pursuant to Delaware law and our by-laws, we are obligated to indemnify our current and former officers and directors for certain liabilities arising from their employment with or services to Vesta, provided that their conduct complied with certain requirements. Pursuant to these obligations, we have been funding costs of defense and other expenses on behalf of certain current and former officers and directors, subject to an undertaking from such individuals to repay any amounts advanced in the event a court determines that they are not entitled to indemnification.

# Reinsurance Arbitration/Litigation

As discussed in previous SEC filings, in 1998 we corrected our accounting for assumed reinsurance business through restatement of our previously issued financial statements. Similar corrections were made on a statutory accounting basis through recording cumulative adjustments in Vesta Fire's 1997 statutory financial statements. The impact of this correction has been reflected in amounts ceded under our 20 percent whole account quota share treaty, which was terminated on June 30, 1998 on a run-off basis. We believe such treatment is appropriate under the terms of this treaty and have calculated the quarterly reinsurance billings presented to the three treaty participants accordingly. The aggregate amount included herein as recoverable from such reinsurers totaled \$33.4 million at September 30, 2003. Additionally, we have previously collected approximately \$48.5 million from the drawdown of collateral on hand. Such amount, net of related expenses, has been reflected in the 2002 financial statements.

NRMA Insurance Ltd. ("NRMA"), one of the participants in the 20 percent whole account quota share treaty, filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama contesting our billings. NRMA sought rescission of the treaty and a temporary restraining order preventing us from drawing down approximately \$34.5 million of collateral. We filed a demand for arbitration as provided for in the treaty and also filed a motion to compel arbitration which was granted in the United States District Court action. Vesta reached an agreement with NRMA to collect the \$34.5 million of collateral in exchange for posting a \$25 million letter of credit in favor of NRMA to fund any amounts NRMA may recover as a result of the arbitration. Pursuant to an order of the NRMA Arbitration Panel, Vesta posted an additional \$4.8 million letter of credit in October of 2003 in favor of NRMA. We also filed for arbitration against Alfa Mutual Insurance Company ("Alfa") and Dorinco Reinsurance Company ("Dorinco"), the other two participants on the treaty. Additionally, Alfa filed a Motion for Declaratory Judgment asking the arbitration panel to order that there is no enforceable agreement between Alfa and Vesta or alternatively, that there is no coverage for developmental losses under the treaty. After a hearing in June 2002, the arbitration panel denied Alfa's motion. The hearing on the merits of the arbitration with Alfa was scheduled for May 2003. However, on April 21, 2003, Alfa filed a lawsuit against Vesta Fire in state

court in Montgomery, Alabama seeking a declaration from the Court on certain procedural and organizational matters and requesting that the Court stay the arbitration proceedings during the pendency of the litigation in state court in Alabama. On April 24, 2003, the Court issued a temporary restraining order staying the proceedings in the ongoing arbitration in order to maintain the status quo until the merits of Alfa's petition can be heard and determined, which is currently scheduled for December 8, 2003. The lawsuit filed by Alfa will delay indefinitely the Alfa arbitration proceedings but is not currently expected to delay proceedings in the other two arbitrations. On June 19, 2002, the panel in the NRMA arbitration issued an order to bifurcate the arbitration, and scheduled a hearing for the week of October 28, 2002 to decide the issue of coverage for developmental losses under the treaty. On September 6, 2002, the panel notified the parties that it would make such determination on this specific issue on the papers and filings submitted by the parties without the necessity of a live hearing. On November 6, 2002, the panel notified the Company that it ruled that the treaty does not apply to loss occurrences prior to July 1, 1997. Primarily, as a result, Vesta recorded a \$23.6 million pre-tax charge as a revision of our estimated reinsurance recoverable in the third quarter of 2002. This ruling does not set a binding precedent regarding Vesta's other arbitrations and, accordingly, we have not recorded any change in our estimate of our recoverable from the other treaty participants as a result of this ruling. In February 2003, Vesta filed a petition to vacate the NRMA panel ruling in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. On April 8, 2003, the Court ruled that since the arbitration proceedings have not been fully exhausted, it was dismissing Vesta's petition without prejudice of the parties to seek the Court's review of any final arbitration award. The hearing in the NRMA arbitration began on October 20, 2003 and was adjourned on October 31, 2003. The hearing is currently scheduled to resume and be concluded in January of 2004. On December 16, 2002, Dorinco filed a motion seeking an order that the treaty does not cover developmental losses relating to loss occurrences prior to July 1, 1997. On May 1, 2003, the panel in the Dorinco arbitration ruled that Dorinco is not responsible for losses on any policy or any other insurance or reinsurance contract terminated prior to July 1, 1997 and that the arbitration will continue with respect to losses whenever occurring, on policies or any other insurance or reinsurance contract in force at July 1, 1997. The hearing in the Dorinco arbitration is currently scheduled for the two weeks of March 8 through March 12 and April 26 through April 30, 2004. Based on a current estimate of the impact of this ruling and the ruling previously received from the NRMA panel, we currently believe our estimated recoverable is appropriate. However, we are continuing to evaluate the effects of complying with these rulings and our estimate may change in the future as a result of this evaluation.

While management continues to believe its interpretation of the treaty's terms and computations based thereon are correct, these matters are being contested in these arbitration proceedings and their ultimate outcome cannot be determined at this time. If rescission on all three treaties was awarded by three separate arbitration panels, we would incur a pre-tax charge of approximately \$58.0 million.

6

If the amounts recoverable under the relevant treaties are ultimately determined to be materially less than the amounts that we have reported as recoverable, we may incur a significant, material, and adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

We are in arbitration with CIGNA Property and Casualty Insurance Company (now ACE USA) under a personal lines insurance quota share reinsurance agreement, whereby we assumed certain risks from CIGNA. During September 2000, CIGNA filed for arbitration under the reinsurance agreement, seeking payment of the balances that CIGNA claimed were due under the terms of the treaty. At that time, the treaty was terminated on a cut-off basis. In the pending arbitration Vesta is seeking, among other things, recoupment of all believed improper excessive expense allocations and charges from CIGNA. The arbitration was bifurcated into two phases with Phase I concentrating on the interpretation of the intent of the parties related to the expense reimbursement provisions of the treaty at the time it was entered. Phase II, which is ongoing, relates to any remaining issues between the parties, including those that exist with regard to an audit of expenses ceded to the treaty. The Phase I hearing was held in February 2002 and the panel ruled that (i) the Company is responsible for the payment of ceding commissions provided for in the treaty and should pay any outstanding billings for commissions and paid claims, plus interest; (ii) the Company may proceed with an audit of expenses ceded to the treaty; and (iii) the parties should identify any further issues to be brought before the arbitration panel for phase two of the hearing. Vesta is presently conducting its expense audit as permitted by the panel. During the course of preparing for and conducting the expense audit, the Company determined that it should revise its estimated reinsurance receivable and record during the second quarter of 2003 a pre-tax valuation allowance of \$9.4 million and recorded the valuation allowance as a component of discontinued operations. Management intends to continue to proceed with the presentation of our case to the arbitration panel and believes that the resolution of this arbitration will not have any adverse financial impact on the Company. The P

Muhl vs. Vesta is a case pending in the supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, brought by the Liquidator of Midland Insurance Company ("Midland"), claiming recoveries under two alleged retrocession agreements (Pool I and Pool III) between Midland and Interstate Fire Insurance Company, Vesta's predecessor in interest. The Liquidator's claims against Vesta under Pool I and Pool III have been severed.

Third party auditors hired by Vesta have identified coverage issues that cast doubt on the validity of a number of claims. In addition, there is no actual retrocessional agreement that evidences the terms and conditions of Pool III which involves 71% or more of the incurred losses at issue. Although there are other tangential documents that the Liquidator may attempt to rely on to prove liability under Pool III, we believe that

the Liquidator will not be able to establish liability for any portion of the Pool III claims. We also believe that a number of the losses allegedly incurred in connection with Pool I may be avoided on specific coverage grounds. We are defending this matter vigorously and are reasonably optimistic regarding the ultimate outcome, although an adverse ruling in this case could have a material effect on our financial condition. This case is currently scheduled for mediation in December of 2003.

Nichols vs. Vesta Fire Insurance Corporation is a lawsuit brought by the Liquidator of Delta America Re Insurance Company in Kentucky state court to recover losses alleged under reinsurance contracts entered into in the 1970's. Vesta removed the action to federal court and successfully moved to compel arbitration. Each party has selected a party arbitrator but as yet there is no neutral umpire. There has been no discovery as yet and no organizational meeting of the panel. Subsequent discussions with the Liquidator of Delta American Re have resulted in a negotiated settlement of \$1.1 million, which was recorded in the third quarter of 2003.

Vesta vs. New Cap Re is an arbitration against an Australian reinsurer, to collect reinsurance recoverables pursuant to two accident year excess of loss ratio reinsurance agreements. In the arbitration, New Cap Re challenged Vesta's earlier draw on a Letter of Credit for \$7.5 million which was held in connection with one of the two contracts. Shortly after the arbitration commenced, New Cap Re became the subject of insolvency proceedings in Australia and an ancillary proceeding in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in New York. The Bankruptcy Court stayed all pending litigation and arbitration against New Cap Re, and we appealed that ruling to the Southern District of New York, and ultimately to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, which recently affirmed the Bankruptcy Court's stay of the arbitration against New Cap Re. Hence, our efforts to recover losses as well as New Cap Re's efforts to challenge the earlier draw on the \$7.5 million Letter of Credit by us are stayed.

On September 5, 2002, New Cap Re served us with an Application pursuant to Section 588FF of the Australian Corporations Act seeking an order directing us to pay New Cap Re and its liquidator \$1.0 million that Vesta allegedly received as an "unfair preference" and/or arising out of an "uncommercial transaction," as those terms are defined by the Corporations Act. We filed a Notice of Appearance on October 31, 2002. While management intends to vigorously defend this matter, given the preliminary nature of these proceedings, it is too early to evaluate the likelihood of success.

#### Other

Vesta, through its subsidiaries, is routinely a party to pending or threatened legal proceedings and arbitration relating to the regular conduct of its insurance business. These proceedings involve alleged breaches of contract, torts, including bad faith and fraud claims and miscellaneous other specified relief. Based upon information presently available, and in light of legal and other defenses available to Vesta and its subsidiaries, management does not consider liability from any threatened or pending litigation regarding routine matters to be material.

# Credit Facilities

Effective February 1, 2003, we entered into a new revolving credit arrangement with First Commercial to replace the previous facility. The new facility is a \$30 million line secured by a pledge of 358,375 shares of common stock of American Agencies Holdings, Inc. ("American Agencies"), formally Instant Insurance Holdings, Inc., representing approximately 70% of American Agencies' issued and outstanding shares of capital stock as of September 30, 2003. The new facility bears interest at First Commercial's prime rate and will mature no earlier than June 30, 2005. As of September 30, 2003, we had drawn \$30.0 million available under this Agreement.

This new credit agreement contains covenants which require us to maintain (i) consolidated GAAP net income (excluding realized gains and losses) of at least \$15 million for any calendar year, beginning in 2003, (ii) consolidated debt to capital ratio of no more than 39%, (iii) an A.M. Best rating of "B" or better, (iv) consolidated GAAP net worth of at least \$208 million, (v) an interest coverage ratio of not less than 1.5 to 1 and (vi) risk-based capital of not less than 150% of the NAIC's authorized control level. As of September 30, 2003, we were in compliance with all quarterly covenants. However, based on our results through the nine months ended September 30, 2003, we believe that uncertainty exists regarding our ability to meet certain of the First Commercial credit arrangement covenants at December 31, 2003. Furthermore, current dividend levels from Vesta Fire Insurance Corporation ("Vesta Fire") and J. Gordon Gaines Inc. would not be sufficient to repay the anticipated outstanding balance of this credit facility at the year-end covenant measurement date without seeking prior approval. Although management believes the Company would be able to secure waivers on any covenant violations, the failure to obtain such waivers of the potential covenant violations or refinance the credit facility on similar terms could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition.

We report financial results according to five business segments, which are distinguished by their product offerings or business activities. The accounting policies of the operating segments are described in Note A in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended December 31, 2002. Segment pre-tax income (loss) is generally income from continuing operations before income taxes and minority interest. Premiums, policy fees, agency fees and commissions, other income, loss and benefit expenses, operating expenses and policy acquisition expenses are attributed directly to each operating segment. Net investment income and interest expense are allocated only to those segments for which such amounts are considered an integral part of the financial results for that segment.

A brief description of each segment is as follows:

Standard property-casualty segment

The standard property-casualty segment consists of two principal lines of business: (1) underwriting residential property insurance in capacity constrained states; and (2) underwriting residential property and automobile insurance in states where we can profitably offer both products through independent agents. Vesta's insurance products are distributed primarily through approximately 2,475 independent agencies in 16 states. Our residential property lines target families (1) with multiple insurance policies; (2) who own more than one automobile; and (3) who live in a home valued between \$100,000 and \$250,000. Our standard personal auto line targets drivers over age thirty-five with above average driving records.

# Life insurance segment

We provide a variety of life insurance products through American Founders Financial Corporation, a holding company for two life insurance companies domiciled in Texas. In 2000, we purchased a 72% interest in American Founders. On February 18, 2003, we purchased the remaining shares held by minority holders and now own 100% of American Founders. At September 30, 2003, American Founders had in force approximately \$1.8 billion (face value) of life and annuity products. American Founders markets traditional life products, universal life products, fixed-rate annuities, pension contracts and related products through independent agents located throughout the U.S. In the fourth quarter of 2002, we decided to exit the health insurance business line which was previously included in the life insurance segment. The results for the health insurance line have been reclassified to discontinued operations for all periods presented. During the third quarter of 2003, we consummated the sale of Aegis Financial Corporation, the holding company for our health insurance subsidiaries. See Note F.

#### Non-standard underwriting segment

Our non-standard underwriting segment consists of two lines of business: (1) underwriting non-standard auto insurance products sold by our affiliated agency operations and (2) underwriting non-standard auto insurance sold by unaffiliated agencies. In each of these lines of business, we utilize quota share reinsurance to minimize our exposure to loss. The non-standard underwriting segment includes our fronting operations and any underwriting risk retained from premiums written through our non-standard auto agencies.

With respect to fronting arrangements, we write property-casualty insurance coverages and reinsure a portion of the risks to reinsurers in exchange for fees. This business takes advantage of our certificates of authority granting us license to write insurance in many states. Income from fronting arrangements is primarily generated on a fee-for-service basis. For the premium written through our non-standard auto agencies, we determine, based upon market conditions and the prospective results of the underlying business, whether to keep 100% of the underwriting risk or reinsure this risk to various reinsurers. Our decision on how much underwriting risk to retain is dependent on the current rating environment and the amount of commissions offered by the reinsurers.

# Non-standard agency segment

Our non-standard agency segment does not engage in underwriting activities. The primary focus of our agency segment is the distribution of non-standard auto insurance products for various retail and wholesale insurance carriers in exchange for commissions and fees. Our non-standard agencies primarily target (1) individuals specifically required by law to purchase auto insurance; (2) individuals with accidents or violations on their driving records; (3) new drivers; and (4) drivers of high-performance vehicles. Typically, non-standard auto insurance customers are considered higher-risk than standard customers, and premiums for non-standard insurance are generally more expensive.

# Corporate and other segment

Our corporate and other segment consists primarily of (1) net income earned on investments; (2) interest expenses associated with corporate debt; (3) financial results for a small life insurance agency; and (4) overhead expenses not directly associated with any other business segment.

A summary of segment results for the three months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 is as follows:

2003							
	Standard Property- Casualty	Life Insurance		dNon-Standard g Agency	d Corporate and Other	Eliminations	s Total
			(in th	ousands)			
Revenues:			·	•			
Premiums earned	\$ 81,909	\$ 2,049	\$ 43,343	\$	\$	\$	\$ 127,301
Agency fees and commissions				34,972		(18,562)	16,410
Net investment income		6,695			3,352		10,047
Policy fees	3,520	565	5,747				9,832
Realized gains (losses)		824			(898)		(74)
Other	205	844	667		184		1,900
Total revenues	85,634	10,977	49,757	34,972	2,638	(18,562)	\$ 165,416
Expenses:							
Loss, LAE and policyholder benefits	50,423	5,250	29,821				85,494
Policy acquisition costs	18,170	246	12,864			(7,951)	23,329
Operating expenses	10,996	2,548	4,777	31,080	4,090	(10,611)	42,880
Interest on debt		1,385		229	1,669		3,283
Deferrable Capital Security distributions					478		478
Total expenses	79,589	9,429	47,462	31,309	6,237	(18,562)	155,464
Pre-tax income (loss)	\$ 6,045	\$ 1,548	\$ 2,295	\$ 3,663	\$ (3,599)	\$	\$ 9,952
Operating segment assets:							
Investments and other assets	\$ 439,319	\$ 874,829	\$ 159,796	\$ 139,579	\$ 261,438		
Deferred acquisition costs	42,909	20,142	13,670				

2002	_	Standard Property- Casualty	Life Insurance		Non-StandardNon-Standard Underwriting Agency			Corporate and Other	Eliminations	Total		
					(in thousands)							
Revenues:												
Premiums earned	\$	87,235	\$	2,651	\$	41,985	\$		\$		\$	\$ 131,871
Agency fees and commissions								26,745			(15,720)	11,025
Net investment income				9,759						4,857	(287)	14,329
Policy fees		1,345		798		3,129						5,272
Realized gains (losses)				(1,142)						(142)		(1,284)
Other		122		332		1,369				238		2,061
	_		_		_		_		_			
Total revenues		88,702		12,398		46,483		26,745		4,953	(16,007)	\$ 163,274
Expenses:		,		,		-,		-,-		,	( -,,	,
Loss, LAE and policyholder benefits		60,933		4,148		26,078						91,159
Policy acquisition costs		19,549		1,044		13,476					(9,543)	24,526
Arbitration charge (award)		, <u></u>								23,600		23,600
Operating expenses		10,267		2,741		4,375		22,922		4,995	(6,177)	39,123

\$ 482,228 \$ 894,971 \$ 173,466 \$ 139,579 \$ 261,438

2002							
Interest on debt		1,592		287	1,984	(287)	3,576
Gain on debt extinguishment					(2,998)		(2,998)
Total expenses	90,749	9,525	43,929	23,209	27,581	(16,007)	178,986
Pre-tax income (loss)	\$ (2,047)	\$ 2,873	\$ 2,554	\$ 3,536	\$ (22,628)	\$	\$ (15,712)
Operating segment assets: Investments and other assets Deferred acquisition costs	\$ 359,554 57,720	\$ 916,598 24,782	\$ 149,657 12,186	\$ 66,192	\$ 353,141		
	\$ 417,274	\$ 941,380	\$ 161,843	\$ 66,192	\$ 353,141		

9

A summary of segment results for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 is as follows:

2003	Standard Property- Casualty	Life Insurance	Non-Standar	dNon-Standard g Agency	•	Eliminations	Total
			(in th	ousands)			
Revenues:							
Premiums earned	\$ 237,668	\$ 6,776	\$ 123,809				\$ 368,253
Agency fees and commissions				\$ 107,830		\$ (52,693)	55,137
Net investment income		21,468			\$ 10,065		31,533
Policy fees	8,474	1,690	16,379				26,543
Realized gains (losses)		1,099			4,486		5,585
Other	610	2,205	2,352		535		5,702
Total revenues	246,752	33,238	142,540	107,830	15,086	(52,693)	\$ 492,753
Expenses:							
Loss, LAE and policyholder benefits	168,414	15,554	84,925				268,893
Policy acquisition costs	54,583	696	36,643			(22,367)	69,555
Operating expenses	33,265	7,407	13,320	95,191	12,964	(30,326)	131,821
Interest on debt		4,274	, 	561	5,012		9,847
Deferable Captial Security distributions		,			478		478
Total expenses	256,262	27,931	134,888	95,752	18,454	(52,693)	480,594
Pre-tax income (loss)	\$ (9,510)	\$ 5,307	\$ 7,652	\$ 12,078	\$ (3,368)	\$	\$ 12,159
		. ,					. ,
2002	Standard Property- Casualty	Life Insurance	Non-Standar Underwritin	dNon-Standard g Agency	Corporate and Other	Eliminations	Total
	(in thousands)						
Revenues:	* * * * * * · · ·	<b>.</b>					* *** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Premiums earned	\$ 232,743	\$ 8,184	\$ 118,425				\$ 359,352

2002							
Agency fees and commissions				\$ 85,479		\$ (46,033)	39,446
Net investment income		28,295			\$ 14,154	(861)	41,588
Policy fees	3,833	2,914	7,344				14,091
Realized gains (losses)		1,480			(2,909)		(1,429)
Other	451	989	4,605		644		6,689
Total revenues	237,027	41,862	130,374	85,479	11,889	(46,894)	\$ 459,737
Expenses:							
Loss, LAE and policyholder benefits	166,659	18,899	73,883				259,441
Policy acquisition costs	51,018	1,204	33,258			(21,311)	64,169
Arbitration charge (award)					23,600		23,600
Operating expenses	28,888	7,845	15,117	75,303	16,459	(24,722)	118,890
Interest on debt		4,756		861	6,666	(861)	11,422
Gain on extinguishment of debt					(4,378)		(4,378)
Total expenses	246,565	32,704	122,258	76,164	42,347	(46,894)	473,144
Pre-tax income (loss)	\$ (9,538)	\$ 9,158	\$ 8,116	\$ 9,315	\$ (30,458)	\$	\$ (13,407)

### **Note D-Stock & Debt Transactions**

In the first quarter of 2002, we issued 533,647 shares of common stock in exchange for \$4.6 million face amount of our 8.75% Senior Notes and \$.8 million face amount of our 8.5% Deferrable Capital Securities. In connection with this transaction we recorded a pre-tax gain on extinguishment of debt of \$1.4 million in other expense and an after tax gain on redemption of preferred securities of \$.2 million.

In the third quarter of 2002, we redeemed \$8.0 million face amount of our 8.75% Senior Notes for approximately \$5.0 million in cash. In connection with this transaction, we recorded a pre-tax gain on extinguishment of debt of \$3.0 million.

10

# **Note E-Acquisitions and Dispositions**

Effective September 30, 2003, we consummated a stock purchase agreement for the sale of all common stock of Aegis Financial Corporation (Aegis) and its subsidiary States General Life Insurance Company (States General) for \$4.6 million. The sales price was financed through a \$5.0 million note issued by the buyer payable toVesta Fire. The note bears interest at a fixed rate of 6%, with interest payments due semi-annually and principal due in September 2006. The note is secured by the common stock of Aegis. The sale of Aegis resulted in the Company recording a loss of \$0.6 million, net of tax, which is reflected as a component of discontinued operations.

Effective July 1, 2003, the Company purchased 25% of the outstanding common stock of Harbor Insurance Group for \$2.1 million. The transaction is being accounted for using equity investment accounting.

During the second quarter of 2003, the Company acquired the 20% minority interest in InsureOne Independent Insurance Agency, LLC for \$11.2 million. The transaction has been accounted for as a purchase and resulted in an increase to goodwill of \$9.1 million.

In the second quarter of 2003, the Company settled certain contingent consideration provisions related to prior period standard property-casualty acquisitions. The result of the settlement of the contingent consideration provisions was an increase of goodwill of \$3.5 million.

In February 2003, we completed the acquisition of the approximate 27% remaining ownership from the minority owners of American Founders Financial Corporation. The purchase price for this acquisition was \$12.9 million. The transaction has been accounted for as a purchase. Summarized below is a preliminary allocation of 100% of the assets and liabilities of American Founders (in thousands and unaudited):

\$723,738
17,666
152,684
10,760
\$904,848
\$661,432
185,719
\$847,151

In January of 2002, the Company completed three acquisitions: (1) the acquisition of certain assets of InsureOne Agency and the renewal rights to a book of non-standard automobile business; (2) acquisition of the non-standard automobile related assets of Harbor Insurance Group; and (3) purchase of the common stock of Old American Investments. The combined purchase price for these transactions was \$35.1 million, with \$16.6 million due at closing and \$18.5 million due upon the occurrence of certain future events. In 2003, the Company paid \$2.0 million in contingent consideration and settled the remaining \$16.5 million through an agreement by which the Company is required to make scheduled payments through August 2005. The balance of the contingent consideration liability at September 30, 2003 is \$10.9 million and is reflected as a component of other liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

# **Note F-Discontinued Operations**

In the fourth quarter of 2002, we made the decision to exit the health insurance and consulting business lines. Accordingly, our health insurance and consulting lines results for all periods presented have been classified in our financial statements as part of discontinued operations and are classified as operations held for sale. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003, we recorded an after-tax loss for our health operations and our consulting business of \$1.1 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, including the loss of \$0.6 million, net of tax, resulting from the consummation of our sale of Aegis Financial Corporation during the third quarter of 2003.

Previously, in 2000 and 1999, we exited the reinsurance assumed and commercial lines businesses, respectively. As a result, the reporting for these business lines is included in discontinued operations in our financial statements. At the time we made the decision to exit each of these business lines, we estimated the reserves required to fulfill our expected future obligations with respect to these discontinued operations. However, we continue to monitor our recorded estimates with respect to ultimate settlement on an ongoing basis.

Based upon available information, we believe the recorded reserves for discontinued operations at September 30, 2003 are adequate. However, we will continue to monitor these reserves and their related claims activity and experience. Further adjustments to our estimates could be necessary as we continue to run off the remaining outstanding claims.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003, we recorded an after-tax loss for reinsurance assumed and commercial lines businesses of \$0.9 million and \$7.6 million, respectively. These losses are primarily related to the recording, during the second quarter of 2003, of a pre-tax valuation allowance of \$9.4 million on the CIGNA Property and Casualty Insurance Company arbitration reinsurance assumed receivables and the recording, during the third quarter of 2003, of a pre-tax charge of \$1.1 million related to the settlement of the Nichols lawsuit. See Note B to the interim consolidated financial statements.

The Company's 2002 results have been reclassified to reflect the losses from discontinued operations.

#### **Note G - Reinsurance Agreements**

We entered into a 50% quota share agreement, effective September 30, 2003, on our residential property book of business in the states of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Florida, Hawaii, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, and West Virginia. The terms of the 50% quota share include a term of nine months and a ceding commission due from the reinsurers of 37% on net written premiums. The impact of entering into the 50% quota share to our consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2003 was to increase reinsurance balances receivable by \$42.7 million, reduce deferred acquisition costs by \$15.8 million and increase amount due to reinsurers by \$26.9 million. The statutory capital and surplus of Vesta Fire was increased by \$15.8 million as of September 30, 2003 as a result of entering into this agreement. The Company's net premiums earned for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 was not impacted by the provisions of the 50% quota share. The \$26.9 million due to reinsurers was funded in November of 2003.

# Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with management's discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations and all of the other information, including the discussion of Vesta's critical accounting policies, appearing in Vesta's 2002 Annual Report as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on Form 10-K and with the financial statements included therein and the notes thereto.

#### **Results of Operations**

#### Overview

Vesta conducts business in three areas of the personal insurance industry: (1) standard property and casualty insurance; (2) non-standard automobile insurance; and (3) life insurance. Our consolidated revenue is derived principally from risk-bearing premiums, commissions and fees, investment income and realized investment gains and losses. Our consolidated expenses consist primarily of payments for claims and expenses associated with underwriting activities, agents' commissions and operations.

We report financial information according to five business segments: (1) standard property-casualty insurance; (2) non-standard agency; (3) non-standard underwriting; (4) life insurance; and (5) corporate and other. Since 1999, we have discontinued a number of business lines. We have segregated the reporting for these discontinued operations in our financial statements.

During the first quarter of 2003, A.M. Best Company adjusted the financial strength ratings of our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries from B+ (Very Good) to B (Fair). Through the nine months ended September 30, 2003, our business has not experienced a significant negative impact from this adjustment. However, the adjustment could lead to significant deterioration in selected portions of our standard property and casualty premium volume and adversely impact the financial results of this segment going forward, the degree to which management is unable to quantify.

In an effort to strengthen our capital position, we began an evaluation of a possible sale or divestiture of our life and health business as well as portions of our property and casualty business in March of 2003. One result from this evaluation was the determination that we would divest ourselves of our health insurance operations. The divesture of our health insurance operations was completed in the third quarter of 2003 with the sale of Aegis Financial Corporation (Aegis), the holding company for our health insurance line of business. Another initiative resulting from our evaluation relates to our non-standard auto operations. During the third quarter of 2003, we began the process of merging our non-standard auto underwriting operations with our non-standard agency operations. The merging of these operations will be effected through various intercompany transactions and reinsurance contracts, the results of which will effectively place all of the economic risks and rewards of our non-standard auto underwriting operations into American Agencies Holdings, Inc., our non-standard agency holding company. The purpose of merging the non-standard auto underwriting and agency operations is twofold. First, the legal segregation of the non-standard underwriting operations will enable management to seek separate financial strength ratings for our non-standard auto operations and our standard property-casualty and life insurance segments. Secondly, the legal segregation of our non-standard auto operations will provide the Company with additional options in regards to capital raising opportunities. Completing the merging of our non-standard auto operations is contingent upon regulatory approval from various state regulatory agencies.

In regards to our evaluation of the standard property and casualty business, we intend to continue to evaluate our property and casualty business in the future. However, as a near-term strategy, we concluded that an enhanced utilization of reinsurance contracts, as described below in the Comparison of Third Quarter 2003 to Third Quarter of 2002 - Standard property-casualty segment, provides the Company with an opportunity to improve statutory capital, which will enable us to more fully realize premium growth opportunities and better capture the positive underwriting results of our property and casualty business. Finally, we plan to continue to evaluate the possible divestiture of our life insurance business.

Standard property-casualty and non-standard underwriting

The financial results of our property-casualty underwriting activities (including our standard property-casualty segment and the non-standard underwriting segment) primarily depend upon two variables: (1) the amount of premiums we collect, which is dependent upon rates and volume; and (2) the costs we incur to adjust and pay claims submitted by individuals we have insured.

Earned premium revenue levels for our standard property-casualty and non-standard underwriting activities are dependent upon two significant factors: premium rates and premium volumes. Subject to competitive and market trends and regulatory approval, premium rates are generally within our control, and we continuously monitor and seek to adjust rates as appropriate. During the first nine months of 2003, we implemented five rate increases in our standard automobile business line in the states of North Carolina, Ohio, West Virginia and Pennsylvania, which represented a weighted average premium increase of approximately 5.5% on estimated annualized net written premiums of \$46.8 million. In the first nine months of 2003, we also implemented 13 rate increases in our standard residential property line in the states of West Virginia, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, South Carolina, Arizona and Florida which represented a weighted average premium increase of approximately 19.4% on estimated annualized net written premiums of \$47.5 million. In regards to our non-standard underwriting segment, we enacted nine rate increases and five rate decreases in the states of Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Missouri, and Texas. By year-end, changes the impact of these rate adjustments will be a reduction in total non-standard auto rates of approximately 1.0%, which is reflective of our favorable loss ratio experience.

12

The degree to which the Company is able to realize the positive impact from these rate increases is contingent upon the degree to which we can sustain current net written premium levels. Decreased written premium levels due to competition, adverse developments from our financial strength ratings or changes in the degree to which we utilize reinsurance would reduce the impact of these rate increases. Furthermore, the impact of these rate increases may be reduced through future rate reductions enacted by state regulatory agencies.

With respect to volume, our ability to increase written premium in our property-casualty underwriting activities is largely dependent upon our surplus leverage ratio, which reflects our underwriting operations' net written premiums relative to statutory surplus at the end of a period. Currently, our surplus leverage ratio does not indicate capacity for significant growth in our net premiums written, and in March of 2003, we commenced an evaluation of a possible sale or divestiture of a portion of our property and casualty business in an effort to maximize shareholder value and strengthen our statutory capital position. We completed our evaluation of our property and casualty business during the third quarter of 2003. Although we intend to continue to evaluate our property and casualty business in the future, we concluded that an enhanced utilization of reinsurance contracts, as described below in the Comparison of Third Quarter 2003 to Third Quarter of 2002 - Standard property-casualty segment, provides the Company with an opportunity to improve statutory capital, which will enable us to more fully realize premium growth opportunities and better capture the positive underwriting results of our property and casualty business.

The costs we incur to adjust and pay claims, known as loss and loss adjustment expenses, are largely beyond our control and depend primarily upon the frequency and/or severity of claims made by our policyholders. One measure of performance in the insurance industry is the "loss ratio," which is the ratio of (i) the sum of loss and loss adjustment expenses; to (ii) the sum of earned premiums and policy fees. Our loss ratio will generally increase or decrease according to the frequency and/or severity of claims made by our policyholders.

Another key performance measure for insurance companies is the "combined ratio." The combined ratio compares (i) the sum of loss, loss adjustment expenses, operating expenses and policy acquisition expenses; to (ii) total earned premiums and policy fees. A combined ratio of less than 100% indicates underwriting profitability, without regard to investment income earned from investing the premium received.

Non-standard agency

The financial results of our agency activities primarily depend upon the amount of fees and commissions we can collect from the sale of insurance products and the expenses we incur to conduct our day-to-day operations. Although we expect the minimum commissions and policy fees that we earn under a typical agency contract to be relatively stable, we expect to earn a substantial amount of additional "profit sharing" commissions in excess of the contractual minimums. These profit sharing commissions are dependent upon whether the non-standard auto policies that we produce for other insurers result in a level of losses in relation to written premium (i.e., loss ratios) below the levels stated in our agency contracts. Accordingly, the frequency and severity of claims made on the policies our agencies produce may impact our profit sharing commissions.

Life insurance

The financial results of our life insurance operations primarily depend upon (1) the amount we collect as premium; (2) the amount of investment income earned on invested premium; and (3) the benefits we pay to insureds upon their death. Unlike the standard property-casualty reporting segments, we include the investment income earned on invested premium in the results for our life insurance segment. The greatest variable in our revenue in the life segment is the amount of income we can earn on invested assets, which is dependent upon general interest rates and market conditions. The greatest variable in our expense from period to period is the mortality rate of our insureds, as mortality rates in excess of our actuarially predicted levels will adversely impact financial results in any given period.

#### Corporate and other

The financial results of our corporate and other segment reflect other revenue and expenses that are not allocated to any particular segment, including (i) investment income related to our property-casualty underwriting operations; (ii) corporate interest expense; (iii) general corporate operating expenses; and (iv) realized investment gains and losses and gains from repurchases of our own debt securities at a discount.

In addition to the above-mentioned factors, our financial results may also be impacted by such items as weather-related events including hurricanes and tornadoes and changes in regulations governing the insurance industry. Also, our ability to effectively compete in the insurance markets we serve is influenced by the financial strength ratings assigned to our companies by A.M. Best.

# Comparison of Third Quarter 2003 to Third Quarter 2002

For the quarter ended September 30, 2003, we reported net income available to common shareholders of \$4.3 million, or \$0.12 income per diluted share, compared with a net loss available to shareholders of \$11.6 million, or \$0.34 loss per diluted share, reported for the previous year.

The primary factors that impacted our financial results in the third quarter of 2003 were as follows:

- Our combined ratio in the standard property-casualty segment was 92.9% as compared to 102.3% for the third quarter of 2002. The combined ratio for the current quarter reflects improved loss ratios for both our auto and homeowners businesses as a result of improvements in the number and severity of claims.
- A decline in market interest rates and a higher level of prepayments on our mortgage-backed securities adversely impacted net investment income. The weighted average annualized yield (excluding realized and unrealized gains) decreased from 5.8% for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 to 4.7% for the quarter ended September 30, 2003.
- Our corporate and other segment reported a pre-tax loss of \$3.6 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 compared to a pre-tax loss of \$22.6 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2002. This improvement is reflective of a \$23.6 million arbitration charge recorded during the third quarter of 2002 partially offset by a gain on extinguishment of debt of \$3.0 million and the inclusion of Deferrable Capital Security distributions accrued during the third quarter of 2003 as a component of pre-tax income (loss).

For a depiction of the various components of revenue, expense and financial results for each of our business segments for the quarter ending September 30, 2003, refer to Note C included in this report.

13

#### Standard property-casualty segment

In our standard property-casualty segment, we underwrite or sell personal automobile and residential property insurance through approximately 2,475 independent insurance sales agencies. In the third quarter of 2003, the standard property-casualty segment generated approximately \$85.6 million in revenue, or approximately 51.7% of consolidated revenue.

We entered into a 50% quota share agreement effective September 30, 2003, on our residential property book of business in the states of Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Florida, Hawaii, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, and West Virginia ("continuing states"), excluding Texas. Under a quota share contract, reinsurers share losses and certain allocated expenses in the same percentage as they share in premiums. The terms of this 50% quota share include a term of nine months and a

ceding commission due from the reinsurers of 37% on net written premiums. The impact of entering into the 50% quota share on the consolidated balance sheet was to increase reinsurance balances receivable by \$42.7 million, reduce deferred acquisition costs by \$15.8 million and increase amount due to reinsurers by \$26.9 million. The statutory capital and surplus of Vesta Fire was increased by \$15.8 million as of September 30, 2003 as a result of entering into this agreement. Due to the offsetting of the decrease in net written premiums and unearned premiums, the Company's net premiums earned for the three months ended September 30, 2003 was not impacted by the provisions of the 50% quota share.

Net written premiums decreased \$59.9 million, or 62.6%, to \$35.8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2003 compared to net written premiums of \$95.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2002, reflecting the \$42.7 million reduction in net written premiums resulting from the abovementioned 50% quota share agreement as well as a \$17.3 million reduction in overall premium volumes primarily attributable to the Texas residential property 50% quota share agreement entered into in December of 2002. Net premiums earned during the three months ended September 30, 2003 decreased \$5.3 million, or 6.1%, as compared to the third quarter of 2002. The decrease in net premiums earned is primarily attributable to the Texas residential property 50% quota share reinsurance treaty entered into in December of 2002, as well as decreased writings in the Midwest and Mid-Atlantic regions in connection with our decision to terminate agents and withdraw from certain states.

Although we expect our net written premium to continue to decrease in the remainder of 2003 and into 2004 on a net basis as a result of the 50% quota share agreement on our residential property book of business in our continuing states, we have implemented rate increases to address emerging loss trends and to protect our net written premium relative to expenses, or underwriting margin. During the first nine months of 2003, we implemented five rate increases in our standard automobile business line in the states of North Carolina, Ohio, West Virginia and Pennsylvania, which represented an average premium increase of approximately 5.5% on estimated annualized net written premiums of \$46.8 million. In the first nine months of 2003, we also implemented 13 rate increases in our standard residential property line in the states of West Virginia, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, South Carolina, Arizona and Florida which represented an average premium increase of approximately 19.4% on estimated annualized net written premiums of \$47.5 million.

Policy fees, which are fees earned by our insurance companies based on gross written premiums, increased \$2.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2003 to \$3.5 million from \$1.3 million for the same period in the prior year. This increase is primarily attributable to increased gross written premium volumes during 2003.

Loss and loss adjustment expenses (LAE) for standard property casualty lines for the period ended September 30, 2003 decreased by \$10.5 million, or 17.2%, to \$50.4 million from \$60.9 million in the prior year. The decrease in loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred was primarily attributable to the 50% quota share agreement on our Texas homeowners book of business and improved underwriting results in our various homeowners business including improvements in the severity of claims. The loss ratio for standard property-casualty segment decreased to 58.9% for the third quarter of 2003 from 68.7% for the three months ended September 30, 2002 reflecting improvements in the number and severity of claims during the current period.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2003, policy acquisition expenses decreased by \$1.3 million, or 6.7% to \$18.2 million from \$19.5 million for the same period of the prior year. Policy acquisition costs as a percentage of net premiums earned for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 was 22.2% as compared to 22.4% for the same period in the prior year.

Operating expenses increased \$0.7 million, or 6.8%, to \$11.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2003 from \$10.3 million for the same period in the prior year. This increase is attributable to increased operating expenses stemming from our premium growth in 2002. This increase has stabilized during 2003.

Non-standard agency segment

Our non-standard agency segment does not retain any underwriting risk. Rather, the primary focus of our agency segment is the distribution of non-standard auto insurance products for various insurance carriers in exchange for commissions and fees. Since our entry into the non-standard auto business in late 2000, revenue for our agency segment has increased significantly. In the third quarter of 2003, our non-standard agency segment generated approximately \$35.0 million of commission and fees-based revenue, or 19.0 % of aggregate revenue, before eliminations.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2003, fees and commissions in our agency segment increased by \$8.2 million due to increased sales of non-standard products. Our agency segment pre-tax income increased \$0.2 million, or 5.7%, to \$3.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2003 compared to \$3.5 million for 2002.

Non-standard underwriting segment

Our non-standard underwriting segment can be separated into two lines of business: (1) underwriting non-standard auto insurance products sold by our affiliated agency operations and (2) underwriting non-standard auto insurance sold by unaffiliated agencies. In each of these business

lines, we utilize quota share reinsurance to minimize our exposure to loss.

Since entering the non-standard auto business in late 2000, our non-standard underwriting revenues have increased significantly. In the third quarter of 2003, our non-standard underwriting segment generated approximately \$49.8 million of revenue, representing approximately 30.0% of consolidated revenue.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2003, net premiums written for non-standard lines increased by \$1.3 million, or 2.9%, to \$46.5 million from \$45.2 million in 2002. For the same period, net premiums earned for non-standard lines increased by \$1.3 million, or 3.1%, to \$43.3 million from \$42.0 million. The increase in net premiums written and net premiums earned was primarily attributable to new business produced by our affiliated agency operations, which acquired several agencies in late 2001 and early 2002.

14

Of our total non-standard net written premiums of \$46.5 million during the third quarter of 2003, the net premiums written on policies produced by our affiliated agencies were approximately \$39.2 million. The largest component of our net premiums written on policies sold by affiliated agencies was approximately \$18.2 million net premium written through InsureOne, our affiliated agency operation based in Chicago, Illinois. Until December 1, 2002, we bore 100% of the underwriting risk on these policies. Effective December 1, 2002, we entered into a contract to cede 40% of the premiums and losses on the InsureOne business to third party reinsurers pursuant to a quota share reinsurance contract. Although the volume of policies sold by affiliated agencies other than InsureOne and unaffiliated agencies have continued to increase during the first nine of 2003, we expect the rate of growth of our total non-standard underwriting net written premiums will decrease as compared to the rate of growth experienced in 2002 as result of the InsureOne quota share reinsurance contract.

Policy fees, which are fees earned by our insurance companies based on gross written premiums, increased \$2.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2003 to \$5.7 million from \$3.1 million for the same period in the prior year. This increase is primarily attributable to increased gross written premium volumes during 2002 and 2003.

Non-standard net written premiums produced by unaffiliated agencies was approximately \$7.3 million, with approximately \$16.1 million of gross written premium ceded to third party reinsurers. For the quarter ended September 30, 2003, we also collected "issuing carrier" or "fronting" fees of approximately \$0.7 million, down from \$1.4 million in 2002. The decrease in fronting fees was primarily attributable to a decrease in the fronting fees earned in relation to a program in California that has been operating on a renewal-only basis during 2003.

Loss and loss adjustment expenses for the non-standard underwriting segment increased by \$3.7 million, or 14.2 %, in the third quarter of 2003, to \$29.8 million from \$26.1 million in 2002. The loss ratio for our non-standard underwriting segment at September 30, 2003 was 60.7%, compared with 57.8% at September 30, 2002. The increase in the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio was primarily attributable to the maturity of this book of business.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2003 policy acquisition expenses decreased \$0.6 million to \$12.9 million from \$13.5 million in 2002. Policy acquisition expenses as a percentage of earned premiums and policy fees decreased to 26.2% for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 from 29.9% in 2002, primarily attributable to higher contingent commissions earned during the prior quarter as compared to the current quarter.

# Life insurance segment

We provide a variety of life insurance products through American Founders Financial Corporation, a holding company for two life insurance companies domiciled in Texas. American Founders' business is driven primarily by the acquisitions of closed blocks of life insurance policies and, to a lesser extent, by marketing and distributing its fixed annuity products. Our life insurance products are sold through marketing firms, financial institutions and 1,000 independent agents located throughout 37 states and the District of Columbia. At September 2003, American Founders had approximately \$1.8 billion (face value) of life and annuity products in force, and approximately \$726.4 million in invested assets.

In the third quarter, our life insurance segment generated approximately \$11.0 million in revenue, or approximately 6.6% of consolidated revenue. Our life insurance segment pre-tax income decreased \$1.3 million, or 46.1%, to \$1.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2003 compared to \$2.9 million for 2002. This decrease is attributed to several factors, including lower fee income, lower investment income, and unfavorable mortality developments.

Life insurance fees decreased to \$0.2 million from \$0.8 million in the prior year as a result of increased reinsurance. Investment income decreased \$3.1 million from \$9.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2002 to \$6.7 million in the current year due to increased reinsurance, higher volumes of paydowns on our mortgage-backed securities and an overall decline in market interest rates during. The weighted average annualized yield on invested assets for 2003 (excluding realized and unrealized gains/losses) was 5.0% compared with 6.3% for the prior year period. Policyholder benefits increased \$1.1 million, or 26.2%, from \$4.1 million to \$5.2 million due to increased mortality rates for our existing book of business.

#### Corporate and other segment

For the period ended September 30, 2003, net investment income related to our property-casualty underwriting operations decreased by \$1.5 million to \$3.4 million from \$4.9 million in 2002. The decrease in investment income was primarily attributable to a decline in overall market interest rates and a higher level of prepayments on our mortgage-backed securities, which was the primary cause in the reduction of average invested assets. The weighted average annualized yield on invested assets for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 (excluding realized and unrealized gains/losses) was 4.2% compared with 5.1% for the prior year period.

Our interest expense includes interest payable on our 8.75% senior debentures due in 2025 and borrowings and our commercial credit borrowings. Interest expense decreased by approximately \$0.3 million compared with 2002, primarily due to debt repurchased in the second and third quarters of 2002.

The Company's adoption of the provisions of SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity" ("SFAS 150") resulted in the Deferrable Capital Security distributions for the three months ended September 30, 2003 being included as a component of pre-tax income (loss). Pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 150, the classification of Deferrable Capital Security distributions for prior periods was not changed. As a result, \$0.5 million increased the pre-tax loss for the corporate and other segment.

In 2003, operating expenses decreased by \$0.9 million from the prior year primarily due to decreased compensation costs and the termination of an operating lease.

#### Discontinued operations

In the fourth quarter of 2002, we made the decision to exit the health insurance and consulting business lines. Accordingly, our health insurance and consulting lines results for all periods presented have been reclassified in our financial statements as part of discontinued operations and are classified as operations held for sale. We consummated the sale of Aegis Financial Corporation (Aegis) and its subsidiary States General Life Insurance Company (States General) effective September 30, 2003 for \$4.6 million. The sales price was financed through a \$5.0 million note issued by the buyer payable toVesta Fire. The note bears interest at a fixed rate of 6%, with interest payments due semi-annually and principle due in September 2006. The sale of Aegis resulted in the Company recording a loss of \$0.6 million, net of tax, which is reflected as a component of discontinued operations. For the quarter ended September 30, 2003, we recorded a loss of \$1.1 million, net of tax, for our health operations and our consulting business, including the aforementioned loss on the sale of Aegis.

15

Previously, in 2000 and 1999, we exited the reinsurance assumed and commercial lines businesses, respectively. As a result, the reporting for these business lines is included in discontinued operations in our financial statements. At the time we made the decision to exit each of these business lines, we estimated the reserves required to fulfill our expected future obligations with respect to these discontinued operations. However, we continue to monitor our recorded estimates with respect to ultimate settlement on an ongoing basis.

Based upon available information, we believe the recorded reserves for discontinued operations at September 30, 2003 is adequate. However, we will continue to monitor these reserves and their related claims activity and experience. Further adjustments to our estimates could be necessary as we continue to run off the remaining outstanding claims.

For the quarter ended September 30, 2003, we recorded a loss of \$0.9 million, net of tax, for reinsurance assumed and commercial lines primarily related to the settlement of the Nichols lawsuit. See Note B to the interim consolidated financial statements for a further discussion of

the Nichols lawsuit.

The Company's 2002 results have been reclassified to reflect the losses from discontinued operations.

Income taxes

For the three months ended September 30, 2003, we recorded an income tax expense of \$3.5 million, compared with an income tax benefit of \$5.5 million recorded in the prior year. Our effective tax rate of approximately 35% in 2003 was consistent with our effective tax rate in the prior year.

# Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003 with Nine Months Ended September 30, 2002

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2003, we reported net loss available to common shareholders of \$3.6 million, or \$0.10 loss per diluted share, compared with net loss available to shareholders of \$21.1 million, or \$0.63 loss per diluted share, reported for the previous year.

The primary factors that impacted our financial results in the nine-month period of 2003 were as follows:

- Our combined ratio in the standard property-casualty segment was 103.9% for the current quarter as compared to 104.0% in the prior year. The combined ratio of 103.9% was primarily driven by \$27.9 million of losses, loss adjustment expenses and additional catastrophe coverage premiums resulting from various catastrophes occurring during the first nine months of 2003, including \$18.3 million related to a series of April, 2003 hail storms in Texas and the Southeastern United States.
- Net investment income has been adversely impacted by higher levels of prepayments on our mortgage-backed securities and an overall decline in market rates. The weighted average annualized yield (excluding realized and unrealized gains) decreased from 5.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2002 to 5.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2003.
- Operating results from our non-standard agency segment continue to improve as we expand our agency operations. Pre-tax income
  from our non-standard agency was \$12.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 compared to \$9.3 million in the prior
  vear.
- Our corporate and other segment reported a pre-tax loss of \$3.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 compared to a pre-tax loss of \$30.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002. This improvement is reflective of a \$23.6 million arbitration charge recorded during the third quarter of 2002 partially offset by a \$4.4 million gain on the extinguishment of debt and the inclusion of Deferrable Capital Security distributions accrued during the third quarter of 2003 as a component of pre-tax income (loss) in the current year period.

Standard property-casualty segment

In the nine months ended September 30, 2003, the standard property-casualty segment generated approximately \$246.8 million in revenue, or approximately 50.1% of consolidated revenue.

Effective September 30, 2003, we entered into a 50% quota share agreement on our residential property book of business in continuing states, excluding Texas. Under a quota share contract, reinsurers share losses and certain allocated expenses in the same percentage as they share in premiums. The terms of this 50% quota share include a term of nine months and a ceding commission due from the reinsurers of 37% on net written premiums. The impact of entering into the 50% quota share on the consolidated balance sheet was to increase reinsurance balances receivable by \$42.7 million, reduce deferred acquisition costs by \$15.8 million and increase amount due to reinsurers by \$26.9 million. The statutory capital and surplus of Vesta Fire was increased by \$15.8 million as of September 30, 2003 as a result of entering into this agreement. Due to the offsetting of the decrease in net written premiums and unearned premiums, the Company's net premiums earned for nine months ended September 30, 2003 was not impacted by the provisions of the 50% quota share.

Net written premiums decreased \$96.7 million, or 32.7%, to \$198.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2003 compared to net written premiums of \$295.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2002, reflecting the \$42.7 million reduction in net written premiums resulting from the abovementioned 50% quota share agreement as well as a \$53.9 million reduction in overall premium volumes primarily attributable to the Texas residential property 50% quota share reinsurance treaty entered into in December of 2002. Net premiums earned during the nine months ended September 30, 2003 increased \$4.9 million, or 2.1%, as compared to the prior year period. The increase in net premiums earned was primarily due to increases in premiums written in prior periods being earned in the current period.

Although we expect our net written premium to continue to decrease in the remainder of 2003 and into 2004 on a net basis with the entering into of the 50% quota share agreement on our residential property book of business in continuing states, excluding Texas, we have implemented rate increases to address emerging loss trends and to protect our net written premium relative to expenses, or underwriting margin. During the first nine months of 2003, we implemented five rate increases in our standard automobile business line in the states of North Carolina, Ohio,

West Virginia and Pennsylvania, which represented an average premium increase of approximately 5.5% on estimated annualized net written premiums of \$46.8 million. In the first nine months of 2003, we also implemented 13 rate increases in our standard residential property line in the states of West Virginia, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, South Carolina, Arizona and Florida which represented an average premium increase of approximately 19.4% on estimated annualized net written premiums of \$47.5 million.

16

Policy fees, which are fees earned by our insurance companies based on gross written premiums, increased \$4.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2003 to \$8.5 million from \$3.8 million for the same period in the prior year. This increase is primarily attributable to increased gross written premium volumes during 2002 and 2003.

Loss and loss adjustment expenses for standard property casualty lines for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 increased by \$1.7 million, or 1.0%, to \$168.4 million from \$166.7 million in the prior year. The increase in loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred was primarily attributable to a \$17.4 million increase in catastrophe losses in the first nine months of 2003 as compared to the prior year period off-set by the effects of the 50% quota share agreement on our Texas homeowners book of business and favorable non-catastrophe underwriting results. The increase in catastrophe losses is primarily related to a series of April 2003 hail storms in Texas and Southeastern United States. The loss ratio for standard property-casualty segment was 68.3% for nine months ended 2003 compared with 70.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2002. The decrease in the loss ratio in the current year reflects improved underwriting performance, including improvements in claim severity for both our homeowners and auto businesses.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2003 policy acquisition expenses increased \$3.6 million to \$54.6 million from \$51.0 million in 2002. Policy acquisition expenses as a percentage of earned premiums and policy fees increased to 22.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 from 21.6% in 2002, primarily attributable to higher contingent commissions earned during the current year as compared to prior year.

Non-standard agency segment

For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, our non-standard agency segment generated approximately \$107.8 million of commission and fees-based revenue, or approximately 19.8% of aggregate revenue, before eliminations.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, fees and commissions in our agency segment increased by \$22.4 million, reflecting the continued growth in this segment since our entry in late 2000. Our agency segment continued to improve its financial performance in the first nine months of 2003, achieving pre-tax income of approximately \$12.1 million compared with \$9.3 million during the first nine months of 2002.

Non-standard underwriting segment

Since entering the non-standard auto business in late 2000, our revenue has increased significantly. For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, our non-standard underwriting segment generated approximately \$142.5 million of revenue, representing approximately 28.9% of consolidated revenue.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, net premiums written for non-standard lines increased by \$5.6 million, or 4.2%, to \$139.5 million from \$133.9 million in 2002. For the same period, net premiums earned for non-standard lines increased by \$5.4 million to \$123.8 million from \$118.4 million. The increase in net premiums written and net premiums earned was primarily attributable to new business produced by our affiliated agency operations, which acquired several agencies in late 2001 and early 2002 which have continued to experience premium growth.

Of our total non-standard net written premiums of \$139.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2003, the net premiums written on policies produced by our affiliated agencies was approximately \$116.4 million. The largest component of our net premiums written on policies sold by affiliated agencies was approximately \$57.4 million net premium written through InsureOne, our affiliated agency operation based in Chicago, Illinois. Until December 1, 2002, we bore 100% of the underwriting risk on these policies. Effective December 1, 2002, we entered into a contract to cede 40% of the premiums and losses on the InsureOne business to third party reinsurers pursuant to a quota share reinsurance contract. Although the volume of policies sold by affiliated agencies other than InsureOne have continued to increase during 2003, we expect the rate of growth of our total non-standard underwriting net written premiums will decrease as compared to the rate of growth experienced in 2002 as result of the InsureOne quota share reinsurance contract.

Policy fees, which are fees earned by our insurance companies based on gross written premiums, increased \$9.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2003 to \$16.4 million from \$7.3 million for the same period in the prior year. This increase is primarily attributable

to increased gross written premium volumes during 2002 and 2003.

Of our total non-standard net written premiums of \$139.5 million during the nine month period ended September 30, 2003, net written premiums produced by unaffiliated agencies was approximately \$23.1 million, with approximately \$56.3 million of gross written premium ceded to third party reinsurers. For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, we also collected "issuing carrier" or "fronting" fees of approximately \$2.3 million, down from \$4.6 million in 2002. The decrease in fronting fees was primarily attributable to a decrease in the fronting fees earned in relation to a program in California that has been operating on a renewal-only basis during 2003.

Loss and loss adjustment expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2003 for the non-standard underwriting segment increased by \$11.0 million, or 14.9%, to \$84.9 million from \$73.9 million in 2002. The increase in loss and loss adjustment expenses incurred was primarily attributable to the increase in earned premium produced by affiliated agencies acquired in our agency segment during 2002. The loss ratio for our non-standard underwriting segment at September 30, 2003 was 60.6%, compared with 58.7% at September 30, 2002. The increase in the loss and loss adjustment expense ratio was primarily attributable to unfavorable loss ratios on premium produced by affiliated agencies acquired in our non-standard agency segment during 2002.

For the nine month period ended September 30, 2003 policy acquisition expenses increased \$3.3 million to \$36.6 million from \$33.3 million in 2002. Policy acquisition expenses as a percentage of earned premiums and policy fees increased to 29.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 from 28.1% in 2002, primarily attributable to an increase in the volume of premiums generated by unaffiliated agencies for which we pay higher commissions.

#### Life insurance segment

For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, our life insurance segment generated approximately \$33.4 million in revenue, or approximately 6.8% of consolidated revenue. Our life insurance segment pre-tax income decreased \$3.9 million, or 42.4%, to \$5.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 compared to \$9.2 million for 2002. This decrease is attributed to several factors, including lower fee income, lower investment income, and unfavorable mortality developments.

Life insurance policy fees decreased to \$1.7 million from \$2.9 million in the prior year as a result of increased reinsurance. Investment income decreased \$6.8 million from the prior year due to increased reinsurance, higher volumes of paydowns on our mortgage-backed securities and a decline in market interest rates during 2003. The weighted average annualized yield on invested assets for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 (excluding realized and

17

unrealized gains and losses) was 5.3% compared with 6.1% for the prior year period. Policyholder benefits increased \$1.1 million, or 26.2%, from \$4.1 million to \$5.2 million due to increased mortality rates for the existing book of business.

# Corporate and other segment

For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2003, net investment income related to our property-casualty underwriting operations decreased by \$4.1 million to \$10.1 million from \$14.2 million in 2002. The decrease in investment income was primarily attributable to a decline in overall market interest rates and a higher level of prepayments on our mortgage-backed securities, which was the primary cause in the reduction of average invested assets. The weighted average annualized yield on invested assets for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 (excluding realized and unrealized gains and losses) was 4.4% compared with 5.2% for the prior year period.

Our interest expense includes interest payable on our 8.75% senior debentures due in 2025 and borrowings and our commercial credit borrowings. Interest expense decreased by approximately \$1.7 million compared with 2002, primarily due to debt repurchased in the second and third quarters of 2002.

The Company's adoption of the provisions of SFAS No. 150 resulted in the Deferrable Capital Security distributions for the three months ended September 30, 2003 being included as a component of pre-tax income (loss). Pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 150, the classification of Deferrable Capital Security distributions for prior periods was not changed. As a result, \$0.5 million increased the pre-tax loss for the corporate and other segment.

In 2003, operating expenses decreased by \$3.5 million from the prior year primarily due to decreased compensation costs and the termination of an operating lease.

# Discontinued operations

In the fourth quarter of 2002, we made the decision to exit the health insurance and consulting business lines. Accordingly, our health insurance and consulting lines results for all periods presented have been reclassified in our financial statements as part of discontinued operations and are classified as operations held for sale. We consummated the sale of Aegis Financial Corporation (Aegis) and its subsidiary States General Life Insurance Company (States General) effective September 30, 2003 for \$4.6 million. The sales price was financed through a \$5.0 million note issued by the buyer payable to Vesta Fire. The note bears interest at a fixed rate of 6%, with interest payments due semi-annually and principle due in September 2006. The sale of Aegis resulted in the Company recording a loss of \$0.6 million, net of tax, which is reflected as a component of discontinued operations. For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, we recorded a loss of \$2.8 million, net of tax, for our health operations and our consulting business, including the aforementioned \$0.6 million loss from the sale of Aegis.

Previously, in 2000 and 1999, we exited the reinsurance assumed and commercial lines businesses, respectively. As a result, the reporting for these business lines is included in discontinued operations in our financial statements. At the time we made the decision to exit each of these business lines, we estimated the reserves required to fulfill our expected future obligations with respect to these discontinued operations. However, we continue to monitor our recorded estimates with respect to ultimate settlement on an ongoing basis.

Based upon available information, we believe the recorded reserves for discontinued operations at September 30, 2003 is adequate. However, we will continue to monitor these reserves and their related claims activity and experience. Further adjustments to our estimates could be necessary as we continue to run off the remaining outstanding claims.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2003, we recorded a pre-tax loss of \$7.6 million, net of tax, for reinsurance assumed and commercial lines businesses primarily related to the recording, during the second quarter of 2003, of a pre-tax valuation allowance of \$9.4 million on the CIGNA Property and Casualty Insurance Company arbitration reinsurance assumed receivables and the recording, during the third quarter of 2003, a pre-tax charge of \$1.1 million related to the settlement of the Nichols lawsuit. See Note B to the interim consolidated financial statements for a further discussion of the CIGNA arbitration and the Nichols lawsuit.

The Company's 2002 results have been reclassified to reflect the losses from discontinued operations.

#### Income taxes

For the period ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, we recorded an income tax expense of \$4.3 million and an income tax benefit of \$4.7 million, respectively. Our effective tax rate of approximately 35% in 2003 was consistent with our effective tax rate in the prior year.

# **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Liquidity and Capital Resources - Holding Company

Vesta Insurance Group, Inc. (Vesta) is a holding company whose principal asset is its investment in the capital stock of the companies constituting the Vesta Insurance Group. The principal uses of funds at the holding company level are to pay (1) operating expenses; (2) principal and interest on outstanding indebtedness and deferrable capital securities; and (3) dividends to stockholders as declared by the Board of Directors.

Vesta's principal source of liquidity and capital resources to meet its holding company obligations is dividends paid by our subsidiaries. Given our organizational structure, we rely on two subsidiaries to pay dividends to our holding company or otherwise fund the obligations of our holding company on its behalf: Vesta Fire Insurance Corporation, an Illinois domiciled insurer, and J. Gordon Gaines, Inc., a Delaware business corporation.

# Dividends from Vesta Fire

Transactions between Vesta and its insurance subsidiaries, including the payment of dividends, are subject to certain limitations under the insurance laws of those subsidiaries' domiciliary states. The insurance laws of the state of Illinois, where Vesta Fire is domiciled, permit the payment of dividends out of unassigned surplus in any year which, together with other dividends or distributions made within the preceding 12 months, do not exceed the greater of 10% of statutory surplus as of the end of the preceding year or the net income for the preceding year, with larger dividends payable only after receipt of prior regulatory approval. The Illinois Insurance Department has indicated that these dividend limitations prohibit the payment of dividends if the insurer has negative or zero

"unassigned funds" at the end of the prior year, as reported on its statutorily required annual statement. Our lead insurance subsidiary, Vesta Fire, reported negative "unassigned funds" on its annual statement for 2002, and is expected to continue to report negative unassigned funds for the foreseeable future. Accordingly, we may not be able to declare and pay a dividend from our lead insurance company subsidiary for the foreseeable future without prior approval. There can be no assurance that Vesta Fire will be able to obtain the requisite regulatory approval for the payment of dividends. Vesta Fire has not paid any dividends during 2003.

# Dividends from J. Gordon Gaines

J. Gordon Gaines, Inc. provides administrative services to all of our property - casualty insurance subsidiaries in exchange for fees pursuant to an administrative services agreement and, with respect to our Texas Select Lloyds Insurance Company, an attorney-in-fact agreement. These management agreements are subject to certain regulatory standards which generally require their terms and fees to be fair and reasonable. The Illinois or Texas Departments of Insurance may review these agreements from time to time to insure the reasonableness of their terms and fees, and it is possible that such terms and fees could be modified to reduce the amounts available to our holding company. Assuming these management agreements are not modified in a material respect, we believe that J. Gordon Gaines, Inc. will be able to pay dividends to Vesta, or otherwise fund the obligations of our holding company on its behalf, sufficient to fund our anticipated operating cash flows and scheduled debt service for at least the next twelve months.

#### Credit Facilities

Effective February 1, 2003, we entered into a new revolving credit arrangement with First Commercial to replace the previous facility. The new facility is a \$30 million line secured by a pledge of 358,375 shares of common stock of American Agencies Holdings, Inc. (American Agencies), formally operating under the name Instant Insurance Holdings, Inc. (Instant), representing approximately 70% of American Agencies' issued and outstanding shares of capital stock as of September 30, 2003. The new facility bears interest at First Commercial's prime rate and will mature no earlier than June 30, 2005. As of September 30, 2003, we had drawn \$30.0 million available under this Agreement.

This new credit agreement contains covenants which require us to maintain (i) consolidated GAAP net income (excluding realized gains and losses) of at least \$15 million for any calendar year, beginning in 2003, (ii) Consolidated debt to capital ratio of no more than 39%, (iii) an A.M. Best rating of "B" or better, (iv) consolidated GAAP net worth of at least \$208 million, (v) an interest coverage ratio of not less than 1.5 to 1 and (vi) risk-based capital of not less than 150% of the NAIC's authorized control level. As of September 30, 2003, we were in compliance with all quarterly covenants. However, based on our results through the first nine months of 2003, we believe that uncertainty exists regarding our ability to meet certain of the First Commercial credit arrangement covenants at December 31, 2003. Furthermore, current dividend levels from Vesta Fire and J. Gordon Gaines would not be sufficient to repay the anticipated outstanding balance of this credit facility at the year-end covenant measurement date without seeking prior approval. Although management believes the Company would be able to secure waivers on any covenant violations, the failure to obtain such waivers of the potential covenant violations or refinance the credit facility on similar terms could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources - Subsidiary Operations

The principal sources of funds for our insurance subsidiaries are premiums, investment income and proceeds from the sale or maturity of invested assets. The principal uses of funds in our subsidiary operations are the payment of claims, operating expenses, commissions and the purchase of investments. Our subsidiaries also borrow funds from the Federal Home Loan Bank from time to time and invest the proceeds at higher interest rates.

#### Contingent Obligations

Our subsidiary operations are also involved in ongoing reinsurance recoverable disputes that could result in an obligation to repay certain amounts to reinsurers from whom we have collected funds. In connection with these disputes, our principal operating subsidiary, Vesta Fire, has obtained letters of credit for the benefit of reinsurers for which it is contingently liable. At September 30, 2003, Vesta Fire was contingently liable for \$33.7 million under the terms of letters of credit obtained in connection with these reinsurance disputes. In October of 2003, Vesta Fire secured an additional letter of credit in the amount of \$4.8 million related to the NRMA 20 percent whole account quota share dispute.

Additionally, we regularly obtain letters of credit or otherwise pledge securities for the benefit of ceding insurers in our nonstandard underwriting segment pursuant to reinsurance contracts. As of September 30, 2003, we had pledged approximately \$13.4 million in securities pursuant to these reinsurance contracts.

Inter-company obligations

In addition to the contractual obligations of our holding company to third parties, our holding company owes contractual obligations to certain of our subsidiaries that are eliminated in the consolidation of our financial statements. As of September 30, 2003, our insurance company subsidiaries held \$13.2 million face amount of our 8.75% Senior Debentures due 2025 and \$44.1 million face amount of our 12.5% Senior Notes due in December of 2005. Our holding company paid these subsidiaries approximately \$5.0 million in interest payments in 2003 which was eliminated in consolidation.

#### **Cash Flows**

As is typical in the insurance industry, we collect cash in the form of premiums and invest that cash until claims are paid. Cash collected from premiums and cash paid for claims are included in cash flow from operations, while the cash impact from our investing activities is included in cash flow from investing activities. In periods such as 2001 and 2000, when we were exiting certain lines of business such as commercial lines and reinsurance assumed lines, we funded the payout of commercial and reinsurance assumed claims through the liquidation of invested assets, consistent with the historical insurance business model. However, this generates cash outflows from operations that can be misleading.

On a consolidated basis, net cash provided by (used in) operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, was \$(1.7) million and \$73.6 million, respectively. Cash flow from operations was negative for the current period primarily due to cash outflows related to the payment of claims related to catastrophe losses and the funding of the unearned premium reserve on our 50% Texas quota share reinsurance contract. Net cash used in investing activities was \$82.6 million and \$48.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively as we invested cash generated by our operations in prior periods. Net cash used in financing activities was \$16.6 million and \$16.1 million for the period ending September 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively. In 2003, repayments of outstanding Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings drove our financing activities.

19

### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

In November 2002, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. ("FIN") 45, "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosures Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others." FIN 45 clarifies the requirements of SFAS No. 5, "Accounting for Contingencies," related to a guarantors accounting for, and disclosures of, the issuance of certain types of guarantees. The disclosure requirements are effective for financial statements of interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2002 and have been included in the Notes to the consolidated financial statements included herein. The impact on the Company's financial statements from the application of the recognition and measurement provisions of FIN No. 45 is dependent on the level of guarantees issued or modified in 2003 and thereafter. No guarantees were issued or modified during the nine months ended September 30, 2003, which were impacted by the provisions of FIN No. 45.

On January 15, 2003, FASB completed its redeliberations of the project related to the consolidation of variable interest entities which culminated with the issuance of FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (VIEs)*. FIN 46 states that if a business enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a VIE, the assets, liabilities and results of the activities of the VIE should be included in the consolidated financial statements of the business enterprise. This Interpretation explains how to identify VIEs and how an enterprise assesses its interests in a VIE to decide whether to consolidate that entity. FIN 46 also requires existing unconsolidated VIEs to be consolidated by their primary beneficiaries if the entities do not effectively disperse risks among parties involved. VIEs that effectively disperse risks will not be consolidated unless a single party holds an interest or combination of interests that effectively recombines risks that were previously dispersed. This Interpretation applies immediately to variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, and to VIEs in which an enterprise obtains an interest after that date. On October 8, 2003, the FASB deferred the effective date for preexisting VIEs to the period ending after December 15, 2003. As a result, the Company will adopt FIN 46 for pre-existing transactions in the fourth quarter of this year. The Company has not entered into any transactions subsequent to January 31, 2003 that would qualify as a VIE and is continuing to evaluate the impact of this pronouncement on existing entities.

In May 2002, the Derivatives Implementation Group of the FASB exposed for comment issue No. B36, "Bifurcation of Embedded Credit Derivatives" ("DIG B36"). DIG B36 would require the bifurcation of potential embedded derivatives within modified coinsurance and funds withheld coinsurance arrangements in which the terms require the future payment of a principal amount plus a return based on a specified proportion of the ceding company's return on either its general account assets or a specified block of those assets. The provisions of DIG B36 would be applied on a prospective basis and will become effective during the fourth quarter of 2003. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this pronouncement.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity" ("SFAS 150"). SFAS 150 establishes how an issuer classifies and measures certain free standing financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity and requires that such instruments be classified as liabilities. SFAS 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003 for those existing financial instruments subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 150. The Company has not entered into any financial instruments within the scope of SFAS 150 since May 31, 2003. The Company's Deferrable Capital Securities are subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 150. Accordingly, the outstanding balance of the Company's Deferrable Capital Securities of \$22.5 million as of September 30, 2003 has been reclassified to a component of total liabilities from the previous mezzanine debt classification. Furthermore, Deferrable Capital Security distributions of \$0.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2003 are reflected as a component of income from continuing operations. The classification of Deferrable Capital Securities and Deferrable Capital Security distributions for all prior periods remains unchanged, pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 150.

#### **Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

Any statement contained in this report which is not a historical fact, or which might otherwise be considered an opinion or projection concerning the Company or its business, whether express or implied, is meant as and should be considered a forward-looking statement as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1996. Forward-looking statements are based on assumptions and opinions concerning a variety of known and unknown risks, including but not necessarily limited to changes in market conditions, natural disasters and other catastrophic events, increased competition, changes in availability and cost of reinsurance, changes in governmental regulations, and general economic conditions, as well as other risks more completely described in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K. If any of these assumptions or opinions prove incorrect, any forward-looking statements made on the basis of such assumptions or opinions may also prove materially incorrect in one or more respects.

# Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Vesta's principal assets are financial instruments, which are subject to the market risk of potential losses from adverse changes in market rates and prices. Our primary risk exposures are interest rate risk on fixed maturity investments, mortgages and collateral loans and annuity liabilities and equity price risk for stocks. Vesta manages its exposure to market risk by selecting investment assets with characteristics such as duration, yield and liquidity to reflect the underlying characteristics of the related insurance. There have been no material changes to the information about our market risk set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

# **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2003. Based on this evaluation, including the matters noted in the next paragraph, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information concerning Vesta and its consolidated subsidiaries required to be included in our periodic SEC reports.

Management, in consultation with the Company's independent accountants, identified deficiencies in our consolidation process, which constitute a "Reportable Condition" under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Management, also in consultation with the Company's independent accountants, believes that this matter has not had any material impact on our consolidated financial statements. At the end of the second quarter, management implemented additional control procedures to address the identified deficiencies in the consolidation process. We believe the effect of the implementation of these additional control procedures adequately addresses the previously identified deficiencies in design and operation.

20

# PART II Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Life insurance related lawsuits

Our subsidiary, American Founders, is a defendant in a lawsuit brought by a judgment creditor of IFS Holdings, Inc. - the former holder of American Founders' series A and C preferred stock - alleging that American Founders redeemed its Series A and Series C preferred stock from

IFS Holdings, Inc. for less than "reasonably equivalent value," and, therefore, engaged in a voidable fraudulent transfer. American Founders believes (i) that the redemption transaction was for reasonably equivalent value; and (ii) that the allegations brought against it in this lawsuit are without merit. We have vigorously defended this action. In the opinion of management, resolution of the lawsuit is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position. However, depending upon the amount and timing, an unfavorable resolution of this matter could materially affect American Founders' future operations or cash flows in a particular period.

#### Health insurance related lawsuits

Vesta and two former officers of Vesta are defendants in a lawsuit styled *James H. Cashion, Jr. d/b/a American Health Underwriters v.*Vesta Insurance Group, Inc., et al, Plaintiff, a former general agent of our former subsidiary States General Life Insurance Company, which we purchased in 2001, alleges that the defendants engaged in an actionable civil conspiracy to tortiously interfere with his agency contracts. The civil conspiracy claim is premised, in part, on certain payments made to these two former officers of Vesta by another agent who replaced Mr. Cashion. The plaintiff is seeking actual and punitive damages. Vesta denies tortiously interfering with plaintiff's agency contract, believes the claims asserted against it have no merit, and is vigorously defending this lawsuit. In the opinion of management, resolution of this lawsuit is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

### Indemnification Agreements and Liability Insurance

Pursuant to Delaware law and our by-laws, we are obligated to indemnify our current and former officers and directors for certain liabilities arising from their employment with or services to Vesta, provided that their conduct complied with certain requirements. Pursuant to these obligations, we have been funding costs of defense and other expenses on behalf of certain current and former officers and directors, subject to an undertaking from such individuals to repay any amounts advanced in the event a court determines that they are not entitled to indemnification.

# Reinsurance Arbitration/Litigation

As discussed in previous SEC filings, in 1998 we corrected our accounting for assumed reinsurance business through restatement of our previously issued financial statements. Similar corrections were made on a statutory accounting basis through recording cumulative adjustments in Vesta Fire's 1997 statutory financial statements. The impact of this correction has been reflected in amounts ceded under our 20 percent whole account quota share treaty, which was terminated on June 30, 1998 on a run-off basis. We believe such treatment is appropriate under the terms of this treaty and have calculated the quarterly reinsurance billings presented to the three treaty participants accordingly. The aggregate amount included herein as recoverable from such reinsurers totaled \$33.4 million at September 30, 2003. Additionally, we have previously collected approximately \$48.5 million from the drawdown of collateral on hand. Such amount, net of related expenses, has been reflected in the 2002 financial statements.

NRMA Insurance Ltd. ("NRMA"), one of the participants in the 20 percent whole account quota share treaty, filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama contesting our billings. NRMA sought rescission of the treaty and a temporary restraining order preventing us from drawing down approximately \$34.5 million of collateral. We filed a demand for arbitration as provided for in the treaty and also filed a motion to compel arbitration which was granted in the United States District Court action. Vesta reached an agreement with NRMA to collect the \$34.5 million of collateral in exchange for posting a \$25 million letter of credit in favor of NRMA to fund any amounts NRMA may recover as a result of the arbitration. Pursuant to an order of the NRMA Arbitration Panel, Vesta posted an additional \$4.8 million letter of credit in October of 2003 in favor of NRMA. We also filed for arbitration against Alfa Mutual Insurance Company ("Alfa") and Dorinco Reinsurance Company ("Dorinco"), the other two participants on the treaty. Additionally, Alfa filed a Motion for Declaratory Judgment asking the arbitration panel to order that there is no enforceable agreement between Alfa and Vesta or alternatively, that there is no coverage for developmental losses under the treaty. After a hearing in June 2002, the arbitration panel denied Alfa's motion. The hearing on the merits of the arbitration with Alfa was scheduled for May 2003. However, on April 21, 2003, Alfa filed a lawsuit against Vesta Fire in state court in Montgomery, Alabama seeking a declaration from the Court on certain procedural and organizational matters and requesting that the Court stay the arbitration proceedings during the pendency of the litigation in state court in Alabama. On April 24, 2003, the Court issued a temporary restraining order staying the proceedings in the ongoing arbitration in order to maintain the status quo until the merits of Alfa's petition can be heard and determined, which is currently scheduled for December 8, 2003. The lawsuit filed by Alfa will delay indefinitely the Alfa arbitration proceedings but is not currently expected to delay proceedings in the other two arbitrations. On June 19, 2002, the panel in the NRMA arbitration issued an order to bifurcate the arbitration, and scheduled a hearing for the week of October 28, 2002 to decide the issue of coverage for developmental losses under the treaty. On September 6, 2002, the panel notified the parties that it would make such determination on this specific issue on the papers and filings submitted by the parties without the necessity of a live hearing. On November 6, 2002, the panel notified the Company that it ruled that the treaty does not apply to loss occurrences prior to July 1, 1997. Primarily, as a result, Vesta recorded a \$23.6 million pre-tax charge as a revision of our estimated reinsurance recoverable in the third quarter of 2002. This ruling does not set a binding precedent regarding Vesta's other arbitrations and, accordingly, we have not recorded any change in our estimate of our recoverable from the other treaty participants as a result of this ruling. In February 2003, Vesta filed a petition to vacate the NRMA panel ruling in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. On April 8, 2003, the Court ruled that since the arbitration proceedings have not been fully exhausted, it was dismissing Vesta's petition without prejudice of the parties to seek the Court's review of any final arbitration award. The hearing in the NRMA arbitration began on October 20, 2003 and was adjourned on October 31, 2003. The hearing is currently scheduled to

resume and be concluded in January of 2004. On December 16, 2002, Dorinco filed a motion seeking an order that the treaty does not cover developmental losses relating to loss occurrences prior to July 1, 1997. On May 1, 2003, the panel in the Dorinco arbitration ruled that Dorinco is not responsible for losses on any policy or any other insurance or reinsurance contract terminated prior to July 1, 1997 and that the arbitration will continue with respect to losses whenever occurring, on policies or any other insurance or reinsurance contract in force at July 1, 1997. The hearing in the Dorinco arbitration is currently scheduled for the two weeks of March 8 through March 12 and April 26 through April 30, 2004. Based on a current estimate of the impact of this ruling and the ruling previously received from the NRMA panel, we currently believe our estimated recoverable is appropriate. However, we are continuing to evaluate the effects of complying with these rulings and our estimate may change in the future as a result of this evaluation.

While management continues to believe its interpretation of the treaty's terms and computations based thereon are correct, these matters are being contested in these arbitration proceedings and their ultimate outcome cannot be determined at this time. If rescission on all three treaties was awarded by three separate arbitration panels, we would incur a pre-tax charge of approximately \$58.0 million.

21

If the amounts recoverable under the relevant treaties are ultimately determined to be materially less than the amounts that we have reported as recoverable, we may incur a significant, material, and adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

We are in arbitration with CIGNA Property and Casualty Insurance Company (now ACE USA) under a personal lines insurance quota share reinsurance agreement, whereby we assumed certain risks from CIGNA. During September 2000, CIGNA filed for arbitration under the reinsurance agreement, seeking payment of the balances that CIGNA claimed were due under the terms of the treaty. At that time, the treaty was terminated on a cut-off basis. In the pending arbitration Vesta is seeking, among other things, recoupment of all believed improper excessive expense allocations and charges from CIGNA. The arbitration was bifurcated into two phases with Phase I concentrating on the interpretation of the intent of the parties related to the expense reimbursement provisions of the treaty at the time it was entered. Phase II, which is ongoing, relates to any remaining issues between the parties, including those that exist with regard to an audit of expenses ceded to the treaty. The Phase I hearing was held in February 2002 and the panel ruled that (i) the Company is responsible for the payment of ceding commissions provided for in the treaty and should pay any outstanding billings for commissions and paid claims, plus interest; (ii) the Company may proceed with an audit of expenses ceded to the treaty; and (iii) the parties should identify any further issues to be brought before the arbitration panel for phase two of the hearing. Vesta is presently conducting its expense audit as permitted by the panel. During the course of preparing for and conducting the expense audit, the Company determined that it should revise its estimated reinsurance receivable and record during the second quarter of 2003 a pre-tax valuation allowance of \$9.4 million and recorded the valuation allowance as a component of discontinued operations. Management intends to continue to proceed with the presentation of our case to the arbitration panel and believes that the resolution of this arbitration will not have any adverse financial impact on the Company. The P

*Muhl vs. Vesta* is a case pending in the supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, brought by the Liquidator of Midland Insurance Company ("Midland"), claiming recoveries under two alleged retrocession agreements (Pool I and Pool III) between Midland and Interstate Fire Insurance Company, Vesta's predecessor in interest. The Liquidator's claims against Vesta under Pool I and Pool III have been severed.

Third party auditors hired by Vesta have identified coverage issues that cast doubt on the validity of a number of claims. In addition, there is no actual retrocessional agreement that evidences the terms and conditions of Pool III which involves 71% or more of the incurred losses at issue. Although there are other tangential documents that the Liquidator may attempt to rely on to prove liability under Pool III, we believe that the Liquidator will not be able to establish liability for any portion of the Pool III claims. We also believe that a number of the losses allegedly incurred in connection with Pool I may be avoided on specific coverage grounds. We are defending this matter vigorously and are reasonably optimistic regarding the ultimate outcome, although an adverse ruling in this case could have a material effect on our financial condition. This case is currently scheduled for mediation in December of 2003.

Nichols vs. Vesta Fire Insurance Corporation is a lawsuit brought by the Liquidator of Delta America Re Insurance Company in Kentucky state court to recover losses alleged under reinsurance contracts entered into in the 1970's. Vesta removed the action to federal court and successfully moved to compel arbitration. Each party has selected a party arbitrator but as yet there is no neutral umpire. There has been no discovery as yet and no organizational meeting of the panel. Subsequent discussions with the Liquidator of Delta American Re have resulted in a negotiated settlement of \$1.1 million, which was recorded in the third quarter of 2003.

Vesta vs. New Cap Re is an arbitration against an Australian reinsurer, to collect reinsurance recoverables pursuant to two accident year excess of loss ratio reinsurance agreements. In the arbitration, New Cap Re challenged Vesta's earlier draw on a Letter of Credit for \$7.5 million which was held in connection with one of the two contracts. Shortly after the arbitration commenced, New Cap Re became the subject of insolvency proceedings in Australia and an ancillary proceeding in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in New York. The Bankruptcy Court stayed all pending litigation and arbitration against New Cap Re, and we appealed that ruling to the Southern District of New York, and ultimately to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, which recently affirmed the Bankruptcy Court's stay of the arbitration against New Cap Re. Hence, our efforts to recover losses as well as New Cap Re's efforts to challenge the earlier draw on the \$7.5 million Letter of Credit by us are stayed.

On September 5, 2002, New Cap Re served us with an Application pursuant to Section 588FF of the Australian Corporations Act seeking an order directing us to pay New Cap Re and its liquidator \$1.0 million that Vesta allegedly received as an "unfair preference" and/or arising out of an "uncommercial transaction," as those terms are defined by the Corporations Act. We filed a Notice of Appearance on October 31, 2002. While management intends to vigorously defend this matter, given the preliminary nature of these proceedings, it is too early to evaluate the likelihood of success.

Other

Vesta, through its subsidiaries, is routinely a party to pending or threatened legal proceedings and arbitration relating to the regular conduct of its insurance business. These proceedings involve alleged breaches of contract, torts, including bad faith and fraud claims and miscellaneous other specified relief. Based upon information presently available, and in light of legal and other defenses available to Vesta and its subsidiaries, management does not consider liability from any threatened or pending litigation regarding routine matters to be material.

22

Item 2. Changes in Securities

None.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities** 

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

# **Item 5. Other Information**

Recently, our Chief Executive Officer, Norman Gayle experienced a brief seizure and was diagnosed to have a mass in his brain. Neither Mr. Gayle nor his physicians believe this condition or the current course of treatment is currently impeding his ability to perform the duties of his office. Mr. Gayle plans to follow the recommendation of his physician to pursue check-ups every two months to observe his condition. This condition or alternative courses of treatment could, however, in the future, cause Mr. Gayle to become unable to perform the duties of his office for an undetermined period of time.

# Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

# a) EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

#### a) Reports on Form 8-K.

A current report was filed on Form 8-K on August 6, 2003 in connection with a press release.

24

# **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Vesta Insurance Group, Inc.

Date: November 12, 2003

/s/ Hopson B. Nance

Hopson B. Nance
Senior Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer
(and in his capacity as Principal Financial Officer)