NUVEEN DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND Form N-CSR January 07, 2013

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### FORM N-CSR

#### CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-09297

Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

> Kevin J. McCarthy Nuveen Investments 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: October 31

Date of reporting period: October 31, 2012

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.

### LIFE IS COMPLEX.

Nuveen makes things e-simple.

It only takes a minute to sign up for e-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Fund information is ready. No more waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the e-mail to see the report and save it on your computer if you wish.

Free e-Reports right to your e-mail!

www.investordelivery.com If you receive your Nuveen Fund distributions and statements from your financial advisor or brokerage account.

OR

www.nuveen.com/accountaccess If you receive your Nuveen Fund distributions and statements directly from Nuveen.

# Table of Contents

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders	4
Portfolio Managers' Comments	5
Fund Leverage and Other Information	10
Common Share Dividend and Price Information	12
Performance Overviews	14
Shareholder Meeting Report	20
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	22
Portfolios of Investments	23
Statement of Assets and Liabilities	90
Statement of Operations	92
Statement of Changes in Net Assets	93
Statement of Cash Flows	95
Financial Highlights	98
Notes to Financial Statements	107
Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process	120
Board Member and Officers	130
Reinvest Automatically, Easily and Conveniently	135
Glossary of Terms Used in this Report	137
Additional Fund Information	139

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholders,

Investors have many reasons to remain cautious. The challenges in the Euro area continue to cast a shadow over global economies and financial markets. The political support for addressing fiscal issues is eroding as the economic and social impacts become more visible. Despite strong action by the European Central Bank, member nations appear unwilling to surrender sufficient sovereignty to unify the Euro area financial system or strengthen its banks. The gains made in reducing deficits, and the hard-won progress on winning popular acceptance of the need for economic austerity, are at risk. To their credit, European political leaders press on to find compromise solutions, but there is increasing concern that time is running out.

In the U.S., the extended period of increasing corporate earnings that enabled the equity markets to withstand the downward pressures coming from weakening job creation and slower economic growth appears to be coming to an end. The Fed remains committed to low interest rates and announced a third phase of quantitative easing (QE3) scheduled to continue until mid-2015. The recent election results have removed a major element of uncertainty in the U.S. political picture, but it remains to be seen whether the outcome will reduce the highly partisan atmosphere in Congress and enable progress on the many pressing fiscal and budgetary issues that must be resolved in the coming months.

During the last twelve months, U.S. investors have experienced a solid recovery in the domestic equity markets with increasing volatility as the "fiscal cliff" approaches. The experienced investment teams at Nuveen keep their eye on a longer time horizon and use their practiced investment disciplines to negotiate through market peaks and valleys to achieve long-term goals for investors. Experienced professionals pursue investments that will weather short-term volatility and at the same time, seek opportunities that are created by markets that overreact to negative developments. Monitoring this process is an important consideration for the Fund Board as it oversees your Nuveen Fund on your behalf.

As always, I encourage you to contact your financial consultant if you have any questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund. On behalf of the other members of your Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

Robert P. Bremner Chairman of the Board December 20, 2012

Portfolio Managers' Comments

Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (NPP) Nuveen Municipal Advantage Fund, Inc. (NMA) Nuveen Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. (NMO) Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund (NAD) Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 (NXZ) Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (NZF)

Portfolio managers Tom Spalding and Paul Brennan discuss U.S. economic and municipal market conditions, key investment strategies and the twelve-month performance of these six national Funds. Tom has managed NXZ since its inception in 2001 and NPP, NMA, NMO and NAD since 2003. Paul assumed portfolio management responsibility for NZF in 2006.

What factors affected the U.S. economy and municipal market during the twelve-month reporting period ended October 31, 2012?

During this period, the U.S. economy's progress toward recovery from recession continued at a moderate pace. The Federal Reserve (Fed) maintained its efforts to improve the overall economic environment by holding the benchmark fed funds rate at the record low level of zero to 0.25% that it established in December 2008. Subsequent to the reporting period, the central bank decided during its December 2012 meeting to keep the fed funds rate at "exceptionally low levels" until either the unemployment rate reaches 6.5% or expected inflation goes above 2.5%. The Fed also affirmed its decision, announced in September 2012, to purchase \$40 billion of mortgage-backed securities each month in an effort to stimulate the housing market. In addition to this new, open-ended stimulus program, the Fed plans to continue its program to extend the average maturity of its holdings of U.S. Treasury securities through the end of December 2012. The goals of these actions, which together will increase the Fed's holdings of longer term securities by approximately \$85 billion a month through the end of the year, are to put downward pressure on longer term interest rates, make broader financial conditions more accommodative and support a stronger economic recovery as well as continued progress toward the Fed's mandates of maximum employment and price stability.

In the third quarter of 2012, the U.S. economy, as measured by the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), grew at an annualized rate of 2.7%, up from 1.3% in the second quarter, marking 13 consecutive quarters of positive growth. The Consumer Price Index (CPI) rose 2.2% year-over-year as of October 2012, while the core CPI (which excludes food and energy) increased 2.0% during the period, staying just within the Fed's unofficial

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio managers as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Funds disclaim any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A, and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarded as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.

objective of 2.0% or lower for this inflation measure. As of November 2012 (subsequent to this reporting period), the national unemployment rate was 7.7%, the lowest unemployment rate since December 2008 and below the 8.7% level recorded in November 2011. The slight decrease in umemployment from 7.9% in October 2012 was primarily due to workers who are no longer counted as part of the work force. The housing market, long a major weak spot in the economic recovery, showed signs of improvement, with the average home price in the S&P/Case-Shiller Index of 20 major metropolitan areas rising 3.0% for the twelve months ended September 2012 (most recent data available at the time this report was prepared). This marked the largest annual percentage gain for the index since July 2010, although housing prices continued to be off approximately 30% from their mid-2006 peak. The outlook for the U.S. economy remained clouded by uncertainty about global financial markets as well as the impending "fiscal cliff," the combination of tax increases and spending cuts scheduled to take effect beginning January 2013 and their potential impact on the economy.

Municipal bond prices generally rallied during this period, as strong demand and tight supply combined to create favorable market conditions for municipal bonds. Although the total volume of tax-exempt supply improved over that of the same period a year earlier, the issuance pattern remained light compared with long-term historical trends, and new money issuance was relatively flat. This supply/demand dynamic served as a key driver of performance. Concurrent with rising prices, yields continued to decline across most maturities, especially at the longer end of the municipal yield curve, and the curve flattened. In addition to the lingering effects of the Build America Bonds (BAB) program, which expired at the end of 2010 but impacted issuance well into 2012, the low level of municipal issuance reflected the current political distaste for additional borrowing by state and local governments facing fiscal constraints and the prevalent atmosphere of municipal budget austerity. During this period, we saw an increased number of borrowers come to market seeking to take advantage of the low rate environment through refunding activity, with approximately 60% of municipal paper issued by borrowers that were calling existing debt and refinancing at lower rates.

Over the twelve months ended October 31, 2012, municipal bond issuance nationwide totaled \$379.6 billion, an increase of 18.6% over the issuance for the twelve-month period ended October 31, 2011. As previously discussed, the majority of this increase was attributable to refunding issues, rather than new money issuance. During this period, demand for municipal bonds remained consistently strong, especially from individual investors, (as evidenced in part by flows into mutual funds) and also from banks and crossover buyers such as hedge funds.

What key strategies were used to manage these Funds during this reporting period?

In an environment characterized by tight supply, strong demand and lower yields, we continued to take a bottom-up approach to discovering sectors that appeared undervalued as well as individual credits that had the potential to perform well over the long term. During this period, the Funds found value in health care and broad based essential services bonds backed by taxes or other revenues. We also added to our holdings of tobacco credits when we found attractive valuation levels, which resulted in a slight increase in our allocations of these bonds, especially in NAD.

In general during this period, we emphasized bonds with longer maturities. This enabled us to take advantage of more attractive yields at the longer end of the municipal yield curve and also provided some protection for the Funds' duration and yield curve positioning. Our efforts in this area were somewhat constrained by the structure of bonds typically issued as part of refinancing deals, which tend to be characterized by shorter maturities. Across most of the Funds, our credit purchases focused on higher quality bonds with the goal of positioning the Funds slightly more defensively. In NZF, we also continued to purchase lower rated bonds when we found attractive opportunities, as we believed these bonds still offered relative value.

We also took advantage of short-term opportunities created by the supply/demand dynamics in the municipal market. While demand for tax-exempt paper remained consistently strong throughout the period, supply fluctuated widely. We found that periods of substantial supply provided good short-term buying opportunities not only because of the increased number of issues available, but also because some investors became more hesitant in their buying as supply grew, causing spreads to widen temporarily. At times when supply was more plentiful, we were proactive in focusing on anticipating cash flows from bond calls and maturing bonds and closely monitored opportunities for reinvestment.

Cash for new purchases during this period was generated primarily by the proceeds from an increased number of bond calls resulting from the growth in refinancings. During this period, we worked to redeploy these proceeds as well as those from maturing bonds to keep the Funds as fully invested as possible. In NZF, we also sold selected bonds with short call dates in advance of their call dates to take advantage of attractive purchase candidates as they became available in the market. Overall, selling was relatively limited because the bonds in our portfolios generally offered higher yields than those available in the current marketplace.

As of October 31, 2012, all six of these Funds continued to use inverse floating rate securities. We employ inverse floaters for a variety of reasons, including duration management, income enhancement and total return enhancement.

### How did the Funds perform?

Individual results for these Funds, as well as relevant index and peer group information, are presented in the accompanying table.

Average Annual Total Returns on Common Share Net Asset Value For periods ended 10/31/12

Fund	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NPP	18.89%	8.17%	6.97%
NMA	17.99%	7.90%	7.01%
NMO	19.09%	7.18%	6.73%
NAD	18.67%	8.03%	7.13%
NXZ	19.46%	7.64%	7.59%
NZF	17.33%	8.02%	7.48%
S&P Municipal Bond Index*	9.56%	5.83%	5.35%
Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification	18.77%	7.73%	6.99%
Average*			

For the twelve months ended October 31, 2012, the total returns on common share net asset value (NAV) for all six of these Nuveen Funds exceeded the return for the S&P Municipal Bond Index. For this same period, NPP, NMO and NXZ outperformed the average return for the Lipper General & Insured Leveraged Municipal Debt Funds Classification Average, NAD performed in line with this Lipper classification and NMA and NZF lagged the Lipper average.

Key management factors that influenced the Funds' returns during this period included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation. In addition, the use of regulatory leverage was an important positive factor affecting the Funds' performance over this period. Leverage is discussed in more detail later in this report.

In an environment of declining rates and a flattening yield curve, municipal bonds with longer maturities generally outperformed those with shorter maturities during this period. Overall, credits at the longest end of the municipal yield curve posted the strongest returns, while bonds at the shortest end produced the weakest results. For this period, duration and yield curve positioning was a major positive contributor to the performance of these Funds, with the net impact varying according to each Fund's individual weightings along the yield curve. Overall, NXZ and NMO were the most advantageously positioned in terms of duration and yield curve, while NMA's positioning somewhat constrained its participation in the market rally. In particular, the Funds benefited from their holdings of long duration bonds, many of which had zero percent coupons, which generally outperformed the market during this period. This was especially true in NPP, NMA, NMO, NAD and NXZ, all of which were overweight in zero coupon bonds.

Credit exposure was another important factor in the Funds' performance during these twelve months, as lower quality bonds generally outperformed higher quality bonds.

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares.

For additional information, see the Performance Overview page for your Fund in this report.

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for definitions. Indexes and Lipper averages are not available for direct investment.

This outperformance was due in part to the greater demand for lower rated bonds as investors looked for investment vehicles offering higher yields. As investors became more comfortable taking on additional investment risk, credit spreads or the difference in yield spreads between U.S. Treasury securities and comparable investments such as municipal bonds, narrowed through a variety of rating categories. As a result of this spread compression, these Funds benefited from their holdings of lower rated credits, especially NXZ, which had the largest allocation of bonds rated BBB as of October 31, 2012. NZF, on the other hand, had the heaviest weighting of bonds rated AAA and the smallest weighting of BBB bonds, which detracted from its performance.

During this period, revenue bonds as a whole outperformed the general municipal market. Holdings that generally made positive contributions to the Funds' returns included health care (together with hospitals), transportation, education and water and sewer bonds. All of these Funds, particularly NMA and NXZ, had strong weightings in health care, which added to their performance. Tobacco credits backed by the 1998 master tobacco settlement agreement also performed extremely well, helped in part by their longer effective durations. These bonds also benefited from market developments, including increased demand for higher yielding investments by investors who had become less risk averse. In addition, based on recent data showing that cigarette sales had fallen less steeply than anticipated, the 46 states participating in the agreement stand to receive increased payments from the tobacco companies. As of October 31, 2012, all of these Funds, especially NXZ, were overweight in tobacco bonds, which benefited their performance as tobacco credits rallied. NZF had the smallest allocation of these bonds, which limited the positive impact of these holdings.

In contrast, pre-refunded bonds, which are often backed by U.S. Treasury securities, were the poorest performing market segment during this period. The underperformance of these bonds can be attributed primarily to their shorter effective maturities and higher credit quality. As of October 31, 2012, NPP held the heaviest weighting of pre-refunded bonds, which detracted from its performance during this period. General obligation bonds and housing and utilities (e.g., resource recovery, public power) credits also lagged the performance of the general municipal market for this period.

Fund Leverage and Other Information

### IMPACT OF THE FUNDS' LEVERAGE STRATEGIES ON PERFORMANCE

One important factor impacting the returns of all these Funds relative to the comparative indexes was the Funds' use of leverage. The Funds use leverage because their managers believe that, over time, leveraging provides opportunities for additional income and total return for common shareholders. However, use of leverage also can expose common shareholders to additional volatility. For example, as the prices of securities held by a Fund decline, the negative impact of these valuation changes on common share net asset value and common shareholder total return is magnified by the use of leverage. Conversely, leverage may enhance common share returns during periods when the prices of securities held by a Fund generally are rising. Leverage made a positive contribution to the performance of these Funds over this reporting period.

### THE FUNDS' REGULATORY LEVERAGE

As of October 31, 2012, the Funds have issued and outstanding MuniFund Term Preferred (MTP) Shares, Variable Rate MuniFund Term Preferred (VMTP) Shares and Variable Rate Demand Preferred (VRDP) Shares as shown in the accompanying tables.

MTP Shares

		MTP Shares		
		Issued	Annual	
		at Liquidation		
Fund	Series	Value	Interest Rate	NYSE Ticker
NAD	2015 \$	144,300,000	2.70%	NAD PrC
NZF	2016 \$	70,000,000	2.80%	NZF PrC

### VMTP Shares

		VMTP Shares Issued
Fund	Series	at Liquidation Value
NPP	2014	\$ 421,700,000
NAD	2014	\$ 120,400,000
NZF	2014	\$ 169,200,000

### **VRDP** Shares

	VRDI	P Shares Issued
Fund	at Lic	uidation Value
NMA	\$	296,800,000
NMO	\$	350,900,000
NXZ	\$	196,000,000

Subsequent to the close of this reporting period, NPP successfully exchanged of all of its outstanding 4,217 Series 2014 VMTP Shares for 4,217 Series 2015 VMTP Shares. Concurrent with this exchange, the Fund also issued an additional 1,133 Series 2015 VMTP Shares. Both of these transactions were completed in privately negotiated offerings.

The Fund completed the exchange offer in which it refinanced its existing VMTP Shares with new VMTP Shares at a reduced cost and with a term redemption date of December 1, 2015. The proceeds from the additional VMTP Shares will be used to take advantage of opportunities in the current municipal market. Dividends on the VMTP Shares will be set weekly at a fixed spread to the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association Municipal Swap Index (SIFMA).

(Refer to Notes to Financial Statements, Footnote 1 – General Information and Significant Accounting Policies for further details on MTP Shares, VMTP Shares and VRDP Shares.)

### **RISK CONSIDERATIONS**

Fund shares are not guaranteed or endorsed by any bank or other insured depository institution, and are not federally insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Fund common shares are subject to a variety of risks, including:

Investment and Market Risk. An investment in common shares is subject to investment risk, including the possible loss of the entire principal amount that you invest. Your investment in common shares represents an indirect investment in the municipal securities owned by the Funds, which generally trade in the over-the-counter markets. Your common shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions.

Price Risk. Shares of closed-end investment companies like these Funds frequently trade at a discount to their NAV. Your common shares at any point in time may be worth less than your original investment, even after taking into account the reinvestment of Fund dividends and distributions.

Leverage Risk. Each Fund's use of leverage creates the possibility of higher volatility for the Fund's per share NAV, market price, distributions and returns. There is no assurance that a Fund's leveraging strategy will be successful.

Tax Risk. The tax treatment of Fund distributions may be affected by new IRS interpretations of the Internal Revenue Code and future changes in tax laws and regulations.

Issuer Credit Risk. This is the risk that a security in a Fund's portfolio will fail to make dividend or interest payments when due.

Interest Rate Risk. Fixed-income securities such as bonds, preferred, convertible and other debt securities will decline in value if market interest rates rise.

Reinvestment Risk. If market interest rates decline, income earned from a Fund's portfolio may be reinvested at rates below that of the original bond that generated the income.

Call Risk or Prepayment Risk. Issuers may exercise their option to prepay principal earlier than scheduled, forcing a Fund to reinvest in lower-yielding securities.

Inverse Floater Risk. The Funds may invest in inverse floaters. Due to their leveraged nature, these investments can greatly increase a Fund's exposure to interest rate risk and credit risk. In addition, investments in inverse floaters involve the risk that a Fund could lose more than its original principal investment.

Derivatives Risk. The funds may use derivative instruments which involve a high degree of financial risk, including the risk that the loss on a derivative may be greater than the principal amount investment.

Common Share Dividend and Price Information

### DIVIDEND INFORMATION

During the twelve-month period ended October 31, 2012, the monthly dividends of NPP and NAD remained stable throughout the period, while the monthly dividends of NXZ and NZF were each reduced once, and the dividends of NMA and NMO were each reduced twice.

Due to normal portfolio activity, common shareholders of the following Funds received capital gains and net ordinary income distributions in December 2011 as follows:

			Term Capital Gains d/or Ordinary
	Long-Term	n Capital Gains	Income
Fund		(per share)	(per share)
NMA	\$	0.1340	\$ 0.0307
NAD	\$	0.0417	\$ 0.0061
NXZ	\$	0.1809	\$ 0.0045
NZF	\$	0.0380	

All of the Funds in this report seek to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect each Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, each Fund may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If a Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of the Fund's NAV. Conversely, if a Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in the Fund's NAV. Each Fund will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of October 31, 2012, all of the Funds in this report had positive UNII balances for both tax and financial reporting purposes.

### COMMON SHARE REPURCHASES AND PRICE INFORMATION

Since the inception of the Funds' repurchase programs, the Funds have not repurchased any of their outstanding common shares.

As of October 31, 2012, and during the twelve-month reporting period, the Funds' common share prices were trading at (-) discounts to their common share NAVs as shown in the accompanying table.

Fund	10/31/12 (-)Discount	Twelve-Month Average (-)Discount
NPP	(-)1.44%	(-)1.72%
NMA	(-)0.57%	(-)1.39%
NMO	(-)2.16%	(-)2.20%
NAD	(-)1.81%	(-)3.08%
NXZ	(-)2.86%	(-)1.67%
NZF	(-)1.63%	(-)2.07%

# NPPNuveen PerformancePerformancePlus MunicipalOVERVIEWFund, Inc.

as of October 31, 2012

Fund Snapshot		
Common Share Price	\$	16.44
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)		16.68
Premium/Discount to NAV		-1.44%
Market Yield		5.84%
Taxable Equivalent Yield1		8.11%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$ 1,000	
		,
Leverage		
Regulatory Leverage		29.65%
Effective Leverage		32.19%
Average Annual Total Returns		
(Inception 6/22/89)		
	On Share Price	On NAV
1-Year	21.59%	18.89%
5-Year	10.65%	8.17%
10-Year	7.96%	6.97%
States3		
(as a % of total investments)		
Illinois		19.0%
California		14.1%
Colorado		6.9%
Texas		5.8%
Florida		5.5%
Ohio		4.3%
New Jersey		4.0%
Michigan		3.2%
Massachusetts		2.7%
Nevada		2.5%
New York		2.5%
Pennsylvania		2.5%
Washington		2.4%
Puerto Rico		2.4%
Indiana		2.3%
Virginia		2.2%
Louisiana		1.9%
South Carolina		1.7%
Other		14.1%

Portfolio Composition3 (as a % of total investments)

Tax Obligation/Limited	17.8%
Transportation	15.0%
Health Care	14.8%
Tax Obligation/General	13.4%
U.S. Guaranteed	12.1%
Consumer Staples	7.8%
Utilities	6.7%
Other	12.4%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A, and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarding as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 3 Holdings are subject to change.
- 14 Nuveen Investments

# NMANuveen MunicipalPerformanceAdvantageOVERVIEWFund, Inc.

as of October 31, 2012

Common Share Price         \$         15.67           Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)         \$         15.76           Premium/Discount to NAV         -0.57%           Market Yield         5.78%           Taxable Equivatent Yield1         8.03%           Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)         \$         688,803           Leverage         8.01%           Regulatory Leverage         30.11%           Effective Leverage         34.79%           Average Annual Total Returns (Inception 12/19/89)         On Share Price         On NAV           I-Year         20.05%         17.99%           5-Year         9.81%         7.90%           IO-Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         (as a % of total investments)         10.2%           Colorado         7.2%         Colorado         7.2%           Colorado         7.2%         Ohio         4.7%           Nev York         5.2%         2.9%         Indiana         3.2%           Pennsylvania         3.2%         1.2%         1.4%           Nevada         2.9%         1.4%         2.1%           Netada         2.9%         1.4%         1.4%	Fund Snapshot		
Premium/Discount to NAV         -0.57%           Market Yield         5.78%           Taxable Equivalent Yield1         8.03%           Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)         \$ 688.803           Leverage         8.03%           Regulatory Leverage         30.11%           Effective Leverage         34.79%           Average Annual Total Returns (Inception 12/19/89)         On Share Price         On NAV           I-Year         20.05%         17.99%           5-Year         9.81%         7.90%           10-Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         16.5%         111           (as a % of total investments)         10.2%         17.2%           Colorado         7.2%         7.6%           Louisiana         7.2%         1.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         00           Ohio         4.7%         3.2%           Pennsylvania         3.2%         2.8%           Hindiaa         2.3%         10.4%           Obio         4.7%         3.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         5.0%           Ohio         4.7%         3.2%           Pennsylvania         3.2% </td <td></td> <td>\$ 15</td> <td>5.67</td>		\$ 15	5.67
Market Yield       5.78%         Taxable Equivalent Yield1       8.03%         Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)       \$ 688,803         Leverage       30.11%         Effective Leverage       30.11%         Effective Leverage       34.79%         Average Annual Total Returns (Inception 12/19/89)       On Share Price       On NAV         I-Year       20.05%       17.99%         5-Year       9.81%       7.90%         IO-Year       7.64%       7.01%         States4       10.2%       11         (as a % of total investments)       10.2%       1         California       16.5%       10.2%         Ilniois       10.2%       1         Iouisiana       7.2%       20%         New York       5.2%       2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%       1         Ohio       4.7%       3.2%         Florida       2.9%       2.1%         Michigan       2.6%       3.2%         Florida       2.1%       3.1%         Ohio       4.7%       3.2%         Florida       2.9%       3.2%         Florida       2.1%       3.2%	Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$ 15	5.76
Taxable Equivalent Yield1         8.03%           Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (5000)         \$ 658,803           Leverage         30.11%           Regulatory Leverage         30.11%           Effective Leverage         34.79%           Average Annual Total Returns (Inception 12/19/89)         On Share Price         On NAV           1-Year         20.05%         17.99%           5-Year         9.81%         7.90%           10-Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         16.5%         1111           (as a % of total investments)         10.2%         17.99%           California         16.5%         1116           Illinois         10.2%         17.9%           Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         10.2%         17.9%           (as a % of total investments)         10.2%         17.9%           Colorado         7.2%         10.2%         10.2%           Texas         7.6%         5.2%         10.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         5.0%         10.2%           New York         5.2%         10.2%         10.2%         10.2%           Pennsylvania         3.2%	Premium/Discount to NAV	-0	0.57%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)         \$ 688,803           Leverage         30.11%           Regulatory Leverage         30.11%           Effective Leverage         34.79%           Average Annual Total Returns (Inception 12/19/89)         In Share Price         On NAV           I-Year         20.05%         17.99%           5-Year         9.81%         7.90%           10-Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         16.5%         11           (as a % of total investments)         10.2%         7.6%           California         16.5%         11           Illinois         10.2%         7.6%           Louisiana         7.2%         7.6%           Colorado         7.2%         7.6%           New York         5.2%         7.6%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         7.2%           Ohio         4.7%         7%           Nevada         3.2%         7%           Florida         2.9%         1           Initiana         2.8%         3.2%           Florida         2.1%         3.2%           Florida         2.1%         3.2%           Michigan	Market Yield	5	5.78%
Leverage Regulatory Leverage Regulatory Leverage Selfective Leverage Constant Price Selfective Leverage Constant Price Constant Constant Price Constant Pric	Taxable Equivalent Yield1	8	3.03%
Regulatory Leverage         30.11%           Effective Leverage         34.79%           Average Annual Total Returns (Inception 12/19/89)         On Share Price         On NAV           I-Year         20.05%         17.99%           5-Year         9.81%         7.90%           10-Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         (as a % of total investments)         10.2%           California         16.5%         10.2%           Texas         7.6%         7.01%           Colorado         7.2%         Colorado         7.2%           Way York         5.2%         5.2%         10.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         00hio         4.7%           Nevada         3.2%         10.1%         10.2%           Pennsylvania         3.2%         10.1%         10.2%           Florida         2.9%         10.1%         10.2%           Michigan         3.2%         10.1%         10.2%           States4         3.2%         10.1%         10.2%           Arizona         2.1%         10.2%         10.1%           Olio         4.7%         10.2%         10.1%           Novada         2.2% </td <td>Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)</td> <td>\$ 688,</td> <td>803</td>	Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$ 688,	803
Regulatory Leverage         30.11%           Effective Leverage         34.79%           Average Annual Total Returns (Inception 12/19/89)         On Share Price         On NAV           I-Year         20.05%         17.99%           5-Year         9.81%         7.90%           10-Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         (as a % of total investments)         10.2%           California         16.5%         10.2%           Texas         7.6%         7.01%           Colorado         7.2%         Colorado         7.2%           Way York         5.2%         5.2%         10.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         00hio         4.7%           Nevada         3.2%         10.1%         10.2%           Pennsylvania         3.2%         10.1%         10.2%           Florida         2.9%         10.1%         10.2%           Michigan         3.2%         10.1%         10.2%           States4         3.2%         10.1%         10.2%           Arizona         2.1%         10.2%         10.1%           Olio         4.7%         10.2%         10.1%           Novada         2.2% </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Effective Leverage         34.79%           Average Annual Total Returns (Inception 12/19/89)         On Share Price         On NAV           I-Year         20.05%         17.99%           5-Year         9.81%         7.90%           I0-Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         10.2%         1           (as a % of total investments)         10.2%         1           California         16.5%         1           Illinois         10.2%         1           Pexas         7.6%         1           Colorado         7.2%         1           New York         5.2%         1           Puerto Rico         5.0%         1           Ohio         4.7%         1           Nevada         3.2%         1           Florida         2.9%         1           Indiana         2.8%         1           Michigan         2.1%         1           Avizona         2.1%         1           Oklahoma         1.8%         1	Leverage		
Average Annual Total Returns (Inception 12/19/89)         On Share Price         On NAV           1-Year         20.05%         17.99%           5-Year         9.81%         7.90%           10-Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         (as a % of total investments)         10.2%           California         16.5%         11           Illinois         10.2%         7.64%           Colorado         7.2%         7.64%           Colorado         7.2%         7.6%           New York         5.2%         7.6%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         7.6%           Ohio         4.7%         8.2%           Plorida         2.9%         7.6%           Indiana         2.8%         3.2%           Plorida         2.9%         7.6%           South Carolina         2.1%         3.2%           Michigan         2.6%         3.2%           Michigan         2.1%         3.2%           Oklahoma         1.8%         1.6%	Regulatory Leverage	30	0.11%
On Share Price         On NAV           1-Year         20.05%         17.99%           5-Year         9.81%         7.90%           10-Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         (as a % of total investments)         10.2%           California         16.5%         110.2%           Texas         7.6%         10.2%           Louisiana         7.2%         10.2%           Verto Rico         7.2%         10.2%           New York         5.2%         10.2%           Puerto Rico         7.2%         10.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         10.1%           Ohio         4.7%         10.2%           Pennsylvania         3.2%         10.2%           Florida         2.9%         10.1%           Indiana         2.8%         10.1%           Michigan         2.1%         11%           Oklahoma         1.1%         11%	Effective Leverage	34	.79%
On Share Price         On NAV           1-Year         20.05%         17.99%           5-Year         9.81%         7.90%           10-Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         (as a % of total investments)         10.2%           California         16.5%         11.100           Illinois         10.2%         10.2%           Texas         7.6%         10.2%           Colorado         7.2%         10.2%           New York         5.2%         10.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         10.1%           Ohio         4.7%         10.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         10.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         10.1%           Ohio         4.7%         10.2%           Pennsylvania         3.2%         10.1%           Florida         2.9%         10.1%           Indiana         2.8%         10.1%           Michigan         2.1%         11%           Oklahoma         1.1%         11%			
On Share Price         On NAV           1-Year         20.05%         17.99%           5-Year         9.81%         7.90%           10-Year         7.64%         7.01%           States4         (as a % of total investments)         7.64%         7.01%           California         16.5%         110.2%         10.2%           Texas         7.66%         10.2%         10.2%           Colorado         7.2%         10.2%         10.2%           New York         5.2%         10.1%         10.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         10.1%         10.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         10.1%         10.2%           Puerto Rico         5.0%         10.1%         10.2%           Poinda         3.2%         10.1%         10.2%           Poinda         3.2%         10.1%         10.1%           Nevada         3.2%         10.1%         10.1%           Florida         2.9%         10.1%         10.1%           Indiana         2.8%         10.1%         11.1%           Michigan         2.1%         2.1%         11.1%           Oklahoma         1.1%         11.1% <td< td=""><td>Average Annual Total Returns</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Average Annual Total Returns		
1-Year       20.05%       17.99%         5-Year       9.81%       7.90%         10-Year       7.64%       7.01%         States4       (as a % of total investments)       16.5%         California       16.5%       10.2%         Texas       7.6%       20.05%         Louisiana       7.2%         Colorado       7.2%         New York       5.2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%         Ohio       4.7%         Nevada       3.2%         Florida       2.9%         Indiana       2.8%         Michigan       2.1%         Oklahoma       1.1%	(Inception 12/19/89)		
5-Year       9.81%       7.90%         10-Year       7.64%       7.01%         States4       (as a % of total investments)       16.5%         California       16.5%       10.2%         Texas       7.6%       10.2%         Colorado       7.2%       10%         New York       5.2%       10%         Puerto Rico       5.0%       10%         Ohio       4.7%       10%         Nevada       3.2%       10%         Florida       2.9%       10%         Indiana       2.8%       10%         Michigan       2.1%       2.1%         Oklahoma       1.8%       1.6%			
10-Year       7.64%       7.01%         States4       (as a % of total investments)       16.5%         California       16.5%         Illinois       10.2%         Texas       7.6%         Louisiana       7.2%         Colorado       7.2%         New York       5.2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%         Ohio       4.7%         Nevada       3.2%         Pennsylvania       3.2%         Florida       2.9%         Indiana       2.8%         Michigan       2.6%         South Carolina       2.1%         Oklahoma       1.8%         Tennessee       1.6%			
States4         (as a % of total investments)         California       16.5%         Illinois       10.2%         Texas       7.6%         Louisiana       7.2%         Colorado       7.2%         New York       5.2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%         Ohio       4.7%         Nevada       3.2%         Pennsylvania       2.9%         Indiana       2.8%         Michigan       2.6%         South Carolina       2.1%         Arizona       2.1%         Oklahoma       1.8%         Tennessee       1.6%			
(as a % of total investments)         California       16.5%         Illinois       10.2%         Texas       7.6%         Louisiana       7.2%         Colorado       7.2%         New York       5.2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%         Ohio       4.7%         Nevada       3.2%         Pennsylvania       3.2%         Florida       2.9%         Indiana       2.8%         Michigan       2.6%         South Carolina       2.1%         Arizona       2.1%         Oklahoma       1.8%         Tennessee       1.6%	10-Year	7.64%	7.01%
(as a % of total investments)         California       16.5%         Illinois       10.2%         Texas       7.6%         Louisiana       7.2%         Colorado       7.2%         New York       5.2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%         Ohio       4.7%         Nevada       3.2%         Pennsylvania       3.2%         Florida       2.9%         Indiana       2.8%         Michigan       2.6%         South Carolina       2.1%         Arizona       2.1%         Oklahoma       1.8%         Tennessee       1.6%			
California       16.5%         Illinois       10.2%         Texas       7.6%         Louisiana       7.2%         Colorado       7.2%         New York       5.2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%         Ohio       4.7%         Nevada       3.2%         Pennsylvania       3.2%         Florida       2.9%         Indiana       2.8%         Michigan       2.6%         South Carolina       2.1%         Arizona       2.1%         Oklahoma       1.8%         Tennessee       1.6%			
Illinois       10.2%         Texas       7.6%         Louisiana       7.2%         Colorado       7.2%         New York       5.2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%         Ohio       4.7%         Nevada       3.2%         Pennsylvania       3.2%         Florida       2.9%         Indiana       2.8%         Michigan       2.6%         South Carolina       2.1%         Arizona       2.1%         Oklahoma       1.8%         Tennessee       1.6%			
Texas       7.6%         Louisiana       7.2%         Colorado       7.2%         New York       5.2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%         Ohio       4.7%         Nevada       3.2%         Pennsylvania       3.2%         Florida       2.9%         Indiana       2.8%         Michigan       2.6%         South Carolina       2.1%         Arizona       2.1%         Oklahoma       1.8%         Tennessee       1.6%			
Louisiana       7.2%         Colorado       7.2%         New York       5.2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%         Ohio       4.7%         Nevada       3.2%         Pennsylvania       3.2%         Florida       2.9%         Indiana       2.8%         Michigan       2.6%         South Carolina       2.1%         Arizona       2.1%         Oklahoma       1.8%         Tennessee       1.6%			
Colorado       7.2%         New York       5.2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%         Ohio       4.7%         Nevada       3.2%         Pennsylvania       3.2%         Florida       2.9%         Indiana       2.8%         Michigan       2.6%         South Carolina       2.1%         Arizona       2.1%         Oklahoma       1.8%         Tennessee       1.6%			
New York       5.2%         Puerto Rico       5.0%         Ohio       4.7%         Nevada       3.2%         Pennsylvania       3.2%         Florida       2.9%         Indiana       2.8%         Michigan       2.6%         South Carolina       2.1%         Arizona       1.8%         Tennessee       1.6%			
Puerto Rico5.0%Ohio4.7%Nevada3.2%Pennsylvania3.2%Florida2.9%Indiana2.8%Michigan2.6%South Carolina2.1%Arizona2.1%Oklahoma1.8%Tennessee1.6%			
Ohio4.7%Nevada3.2%Pennsylvania3.2%Florida2.9%Indiana2.8%Michigan2.6%South Carolina2.1%Arizona2.1%Oklahoma1.8%Tennessee1.6%			
Nevada3.2%Pennsylvania3.2%Florida2.9%Indiana2.8%Michigan2.6%South Carolina2.1%Arizona2.1%Oklahoma1.8%Tennessee1.6%			
Pennsylvania3.2%Florida2.9%Indiana2.8%Michigan2.6%South Carolina2.1%Arizona2.1%Oklahoma1.8%Tennessee1.6%			
Florida2.9%Indiana2.8%Michigan2.6%South Carolina2.1%Arizona2.1%Oklahoma1.8%Tennessee1.6%			
Indiana2.8%Michigan2.6%South Carolina2.1%Arizona2.1%Oklahoma1.8%Tennessee1.6%			
Michigan2.6%South Carolina2.1%Arizona2.1%Oklahoma1.8%Tennessee1.6%			
South Carolina2.1%Arizona2.1%Oklahoma1.8%Tennessee1.6%			
Arizona2.1%Oklahoma1.8%Tennessee1.6%			
Oklahoma1.8%Tennessee1.6%	South Carolina		
Tennessee 1.6%	Arizona		2.1%
Other 14.1%			
	Other	1	4.1%

Portfolio Composition4 (as a % of total investments)	
Health Care	21.8%

Tax Obligation/General	17.0%
Tax Obligation/Limited	15.4%
Transportation	14.3%
U.S. Guaranteed	8.3%
Utilities	7.4%
Consumer Staples	7.1%
Other	8.7%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 The Fund paid shareholders a net ordinary income distribution and a long-term capital gains distribution in December 2011 of \$0.0307 and \$0.1340 per share, respectively.
- 3 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A, and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarding as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 4 Holdings are subject to change.

# NMONuveen MunicipalPerformanceMarket OpportunityOVERVIEWFund, Inc.

as of October 31, 2012

Fund Snapshot		
Common Share Price	\$	14.92
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$	15.25
Premium/Discount to NAV		-2.16%
Market Yield		5.67%
Taxable Equivalent Yield1		7.88%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$ 69	99,360
		,
Leverage		
Regulatory Leverage		33.41%
Effective Leverage		36.79%
Average Annual Total Returns		
(Inception 3/21/90)		
	On Share Price	On NAV
1-Year	20.34%	19.09%
5-Year	8.93%	7.18%
10-Year	7.38%	6.73%
States3		
(as a % of total investments)		
California		15.7%
Illinois		10.3%
Texas		8.8%
Colorado		5.8%
Ohio		5.3%
Washington		3.9%
Puerto Rico		3.9%
New York		3.8%
North Carolina		3.7%
Nevada		3.6%
Pennsylvania		3.6%
South Carolina		3.2%
Michigan		3.1%
Florida		2.7%
Alaska		2.2%
Virginia		2.0%
Indiana		2.0%
Louisiana		2.0%
Other		14.4%

Portfolio Composition3 (as a % of total investments)

Health Care	19.4%
Transportation	19.2%
Tax Obligation/General	16.6%
Tax Obligation/Limited	11.8%
U.S. Guaranteed	8.9%
Utilities	7.3%
Consumer Staples	6.9%
Other	9.9%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A, and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarding as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 3 Holdings are subject to change.
- 16 Nuveen Investments

# NADNuveen DividendPerformanceAdvantageOVERVIEWMunicipal Fund

as of October 31, 2012

Fund Snapshot		
Common Share Price	\$ 1	15.76
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$ 1	6.05
Premium/Discount to NAV		-1.81%
Market Yield		5.79%
Taxable Equivalent Yield1		8.04%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$ 630	),515
Leverage		
Regulatory Leverage		29.57%
Effective Leverage	3	34.69%
Average Annual Total Returns		
(Inception 5/26/99)		
	On Share Price	On NAV
1-Year	22.59%	18.67%
5-Year	9.90%	8.03%
10-Year	7.49%	7.13%
States4		
(as a % of total municipal bonds)		
Illinois		17.6%
California		7.2%
Florida		7.0%
Texas		6.2%
New York		5.9%
Washington		5.7%
Colorado		4.7%
Nevada		4.7%
Louisiana		4.5%
Wisconsin		4.0%
Puerto Rico		3.6%
New Jersey		3.2%
Ohio		3.0%
Rhode Island		2.8%
Indiana		2.7%
Michigan		2.3%
Other		14.9%
Portfolio Composition4		
(as a % of total investments)		
		20.107

Health Care	20.1%
Tax Obligation/General	18.8%

Tax Obligation/Limited	18.6%
Transportation	16.1%
Consumer Staples	6.8%
U.S. Guaranteed	5.4%
Other	14.2%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 The Fund paid shareholders a net ordinary income distribution and a long-term capital gains distribution in December 2011 of \$0.0061 and \$0.0417 per share, respectively.
- 3 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A, and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarding as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 4 Holdings are subject to change.

# NXZNuveen DividendPerformanceAdvantageOVERVIEWMunicipal Fund 2

as of October 31, 2012

Fund Snapshot		
Common Share Price	\$	15.63
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV)	\$	16.09
Premium/Discount to NAV		-2.86%
Market Yield		5.76%
Taxable Equivalent Yield1		8.00%
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares (\$000)	\$ 4'	74,432
Leverage		
Regulatory Leverage		29.23%
Effective Leverage		33.16%
Average Annual Total Returns		
(Inception 3/27/01)		
	On Share Price	on NAV
1-Year	21.15%	19.46%
5-Year	7.27%	7.64%
10-Year	8.10%	7.59%
States4		
(as a % of total investments)		
Texas		17.4%
California		16.0%
Illinois		12.4%
Colorado		5.6%
Michigan		4.5%
New York		4.2%
Indiana		3.5%
Louisiana		3.1%
Nevada		3.1%
Florida		2.7%
Georgia		2.4%
Puerto Rico		2.3%
South Carolina		2.3%
Arizona		1.7%
Ohio		1.6%
West Virginia		1.5%
District of Columbia		1.5%
Other		14.2%

Portfolio Composition4	
(as a % of total investments)	
Tax Obligation/Limited	20.5%

Health Care	20.2%
Transportation	15.6%
Tax Obligation/General	10.7%
U.S. Guaranteed	7.9%
Consumer Staples	7.2%
Utilities	5.3%
Other	12.6%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 The Fund paid shareholders a net ordinary income distribution and a long-term capital gains distribution in December 2011 of \$0.0045 and \$0.1809 per share, respectively.
- 3 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A, and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarding as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 4 Holdings are subject to change.
- 18 Nuveen Investments

# NZFNuveen DividendPerformanceAdvantageOVERVIEWMunicipal Fund 3

as of October 31, 2012

Fund Snapshot	
Common Share Price \$	15.73
Common Share Net Asset Value (NAV) \$	15.99
Premium/Discount to NAV	-1.63%
Market Yield	5.76%
Taxable Equivalent Yield1	8.00%
	545,993
Leverage	
Regulatory Leverage	27.02%
Effective Leverage	32.71%
Average Annual Total Returns	
(Inception 9/25/01)	
On Share Price	
1-Year 18.48%	17.33%
5-Year 9.79%	8.02%
10-Year 8.39%	7.48%
States4	
(as a % of total municipal bonds)	10.00
Texas	12.3%
Illinois	10.7%
California	9.7%
Michigan	6.1%
New York	6.0%
Louisiana	5.4%
Indiana	4.5%
Nevada	4.0%
New Jersey	4.0%
Colorado	3.6%
Washington	3.6%
Massachusetts	3.6%
Florida	3.3%
Georgia	3.2%
Pennsylvania	2.5%
Ohio	1.8%
Maryland	1.7%
Other	14.0%

Portfolio Composition4	
(as a % of total investments)	
Tax Obligation/Limited	

19.3%

Health Care	18.4%
Transportation	14.7%
Tax Obligation/General	12.5%
Water and Sewer	7.5%
U.S. Guaranteed	7.5%
Utilities	6.0%
Consumer Staples	5.2%
Education and Civic Organizations	5.2%
Other	3.7%

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

- 1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a federal income tax rate of 28%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.
- 2 The Fund paid shareholders a long-term capital gains distribution in December 2011 of \$0.0380 per share.
- 3 Ratings shown are the highest rating given by one of the following national rating agencies: Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. Credit ratings are subject to change. AAA, AA, A, and BBB are investment grade ratings; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D are below-investment grade ratings. Certain bonds backed by U.S. Government or agency securities are regarding as having an implied rating equal to the rating of such securities. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by these national rating agencies.
- 4 Holdings are subject to change.

NPP Shareholder Meeting Report

NMA

NMO

The annual meeting of shareholders was held on July 31, 2012 in the Lobby Conference Room, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL 60606; at this meeting the shareholders were asked to vote on the election of Board Members.

	NPP		NMA		NMO	
	Common and		Common and		Common and	
	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
	shares voting					
	together	together	together	together	together	together
	as a class					
Approval of the Bo	oard Members					
was reached as foll	ows:					
John P.						
Amboian						
For	53,029,442	_	- 37,383,515	_	- 39,633,232	
Withhold	1,201,190		- 767,631		- 1,090,954	
Total	54,230,632		- 38,151,146		- 40,724,186	-
Robert P.						
Bremner						
For	53,020,261		- 37,214,019		- 39,569,751	
Withhold	1,210,371	_	- 937,127		- 1,154,435	
Total	54,230,632	_	- 38,151,146		- 40,724,186	
Jack B. Evans						
For	53,046,796	-	- 37,231,769		- 39,606,585	
Withhold	1,183,836	_	- 919,377		- 1,117,601	
Total	54,230,632	_	- 38,151,146		- 40,724,186	
William C.						
Hunter						
For		- 4,217	-	- 2,268	_	- 2,959
Withhold				- 359	_	- 550
Total		- 4,217	-	- 2,627	-	- 3,509
David J.						
Kundert						
For	52,982,666		- 37,250,860		- 39,573,168	
Withhold	1,247,966	_	- 900,286		- 1,151,018	
Total	54,230,632	<u> </u>	- 38,151,146		- 40,724,186	
William J.						
Schneider		4.017		2 2 ( )		2.050
For		- 4,217	-	- 2,268	_	- 2,959
Withhold				- 359		- 550
Total		- 4,217	-	- 2,627		- 3,509
Judith M.						
Stockdale	50 075 064		07 1 (7 500		20 501 (00	
For	52,975,064		- 37,167,522		- 39,501,609	
Withhold	1,255,568		- 983,624		- 1,222,577	
Total	54,230,632		- 38,151,146		- 40,724,186	
Carole E. Stone						

	Edgar Filing: NUVEEN DIV	IDEND AD	VANTAGE M	UNICIPAL FUND - Form N-CSR	
For	52,916,281		37,190,334	— 39,464,732	
Withhold	1,314,351		960,812	— 1,259,454	
Total	54,230,632	_	38,151,146	— 40,724,186	
Virginia L.					
Stringer					
For	52,955,680		37,232,657	— 39,565,683	_
Withhold	1,274,952	—	918,489	— 1,158,503	
Total	54,230,632	_	38,151,146	— 40,724,186	-
Terence J. T	oth				
For	53,003,759	—	37,300,273	— 39,595,921	
Withhold	1,226,873	—	850,873	— 1,128,265	
Total	54,230,632		38,151,146	— 40,724,186	

### NAD NXZ NZF

	NAD		NXZ		NZF	
	Common and		Common and	(	Common and	
	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred	Preferred
	shares	shares	shares	shares	shares	shares
	voting	voting	voting	voting	voting	voting
	together	together	together	together	together	together
	as a class					
Approval of the B						
was reached as for	llows:					
John P.						
Amboian						
For	—	—	—	—	—	
Withhold	—	—	—		—	—
Total		—	—		—	
Robert P.						
Bremner						
For	49,734,794		27,517,064		43,375,012	
Withhold	833,516		633,931		1,101,083	
Total	50,568,310		28,150,995		44,476,095	
Jack B. Evans						
For	49,756,920	_	27,534,212		43,424,154	
Withhold	811,390	_	616,783		1,051,941	
Total	50,568,310	_	28,150,995		44,476,095	
William C.	, ,		, ,		, ,	
Hunter						
For		13,595,970	_	980	_	6,713,053
Withhold		80,886		980	_	96,941
Total		13,676,856		1,960		6,809,994
David J.		, ,		,		, ,
Kundert						
For		_	_		_	
Withhold						
Total		_	_		_	
William J.						
Schneider						
For		13,595,970	_	980	_	6,713,053
Withhold		80,886		980	_	96,941
Total		13,676,856		1,960		6,809,994
Judith M.		- , ,		,		- , ,
Stockdale						
For						
Withhold	_		_			
Total						
Carole E. Stone						
For						

Withhold	 	_	_	_	
Total	 	_			
Virginia L.					
Stringer					
For	 	_			
Withhold	 				
Total	 				
Terence J. Toth					
For	 				
Withhold	 				_
Total	 				

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors/Trustees and Shareholders Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. Nuveen Municipal Advantage Fund, Inc. Nuveen Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc. Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities, including the portfolios of investments, of Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Municipal Advantage Fund, Inc., Nuveen Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc., Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2, and Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 (the "Funds"), as of October 31, 2012, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the year then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended. These financial statements and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Funds' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Funds' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures or by other appropriate auditing procedures where replies from brokers were not received. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial positions of Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc., Nuveen Municipal Advantage Fund, Inc., Nuveen Municipal Market Opportunity Fund, Inc., Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund, Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2, and Nuveen Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 3 at October 31, 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year then ended, the changes in their net assets for each of the two years in the period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years in the period then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Chicago, Illinois December 27, 2012

Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. Portfolio of Investments

NPP

October 31, 2012

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions R (2)	atings (3)	Value
	Alabama – 0.2% (0.2% of Total Investments)			
	Jefferson County, Alabama, Sewer Revenue			
	Refunding Warrants, Series 1997A:			
\$ 1,435	5.625%, 2/01/22 – FGIC Insured (4)	11/12 at 100.00	Caa3 \$	1,081,545
1,505	5.375%, 2/01/27 – FGIC Insured (4)	11/12 at 100.00	Caa3	1,135,101
2,940	Total Alabama			2,216,646
	Alaska – 0.9% (0.6% of Total Investments)			
	Northern Tobacco Securitization Corporation,			
	Alaska, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds,			
	Series 2006A:			
7,500	5.000%, 6/01/32	6/14 at	B+	6,674,025
		100.00		
2,465	5.000%, 6/01/46	6/14 at	B+	2,101,092
		100.00		
9,965	Total Alaska			8,775,117
	Arizona – 1.9% (1.4% of Total Investments)			0.622.021
7,780	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Junior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 7/01/40	7/20 at 100.00	A+	8,632,921
	Phoenix Civic Improvement Corporation, Arizona, Senior Lien Airport Revenue Bonds, Series 2002B:			
5,365	5.750%, 7/01/15 - FGIC Insured (Alternative	11/12 at	AA-	5,385,387
	Minimum Tax)	100.00		
5,055	5.750%, 7/01/16 – FGIC Insured (Alternative	11/12 at	AA–	5,073,350
10.000	Minimum Tax)	100.00		10.001.650
18,200	Total Arizona			19,091,658
5 000	Arkansas – 0.5% (0.4% of Total Investments)	5/12 -+	N/D	2 802 208
5,080	Independence County, Arkansas, Hydroelectric Power Revenue Bonds, Series 2003, 5.350%, 5/01/28 – ACA Insured	5/13 at 100.00	N/R	3,892,398
1,000	Washington County, Arkansas, Hospital Revenue	2/15 at	Baa1	1,032,900
	Bonds, Washington Regional Medical Center, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 2/01/35	100.00		
6,080	Total Arkansas			4,925,298
	California – 20.0% (14.1% of Total Investments)			
3,500	Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority,	10/17 at	BBB+	3,930,360
	California, Subordinate Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A, 5.450%, 10/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	100.00		
4,225		No Opt. Call	Aa2	2,159,144
		•		

C	C C			
	Alameda Unified School District, Alameda County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005B, 0.000%, 8/01/28 – AGM Insured			
15,870	Anaheim Public Finance Authority, California, Subordinate Lease Revenue Bonds, Public Improvement Project, Series 1997C, 0.000%, 9/01/20 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	12,231,803
3,365	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Health Facility Revenue Bonds, Adventist Health System/West, Series 2003A, 5.000%, 3/01/33	3/13 at 100.00	А	3,387,108
	California Health Facilities Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Kaiser Permanante System, Series 2006:			
5,000	5.000%, 4/01/37	4/16 at 100.00	A+	5,278,200
7,000	5.250%, 4/01/39	4/16 at 100.00	A+	7,457,240
2,330	California Municipal Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 2010A, 5.750%, 7/01/40	7/20 at 100.00	Baa2	2,542,962
3,700	California Pollution Control Financing Authority, Revenue Bonds, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Series 2004C, 4.750%, 12/01/23 – FGIC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	6/17 at 100.00	A3	4,044,914
5,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 3/01/31	3/16 at 100.00	A1	5,401,400
16,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2007, 5.000%, 6/01/37	6/17 at 100.00	A1	17,371,040
10,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2011, 5.000%, 10/01/41	10/21 at 100.00	A1	11,071,500
5,000	California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various Purpose Series 2012, 2.000%, 2/01/13	No Opt. Call	A1	5,022,550
6,435	California State, General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2002, 6.000%, 4/01/16 – AMBAC Insured	No Opt. Call	A1	7,575,539
3,000	California Statewide Community Development Authority, Health Facility Revenue Bonds, Catholic Healthcare West, Series 2008C, 5.625%, 7/01/35	7/18 at 100.00	А	3,408,270

NPP

Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued)

P Portfolio of Investments

October 31, 2012

Principal	Description (1)	Optional Call	otings (2)	Value
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions R (2)	atings (5)	value
	California (continued)			
\$ 5,000	Coast Community College District, Orange County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2006C, 0.000%, 8/01/32 – AGM Insured	8/18 at 100.00	Aa1 \$	5,091,250
7,240	Desert Community College District, Riverside County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election 2004 Series 2007C, 0.000%, 8/01/28 – AGM Insured	8/17 at 56.01	Aa2	3,279,937
10,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2003A-1, 6.750%, 6/01/39 (Pre-refunded 6/01/13)	6/13 at 100.00	Aaa	10,379,500
	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-1:			
2,600	4.500%, 6/01/27	6/17 at 100.00	BB-	2,326,974
1,500	5.125%, 6/01/47	6/17 at 100.00	BB-	1,215,600
10,000	Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation, California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2007A-2, 5.300%, 6/01/37	6/22 at 100.00	BB-	8,514,500
2,000	Lodi Unified School District, San Joaquin County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election 2002 Series 2004, 5.250%, 8/01/24 (Pre-refunded 8/01/13) – AGM Insured	8/13 at 100.00	AA- (5)	2,075,680
5,000	Los Angeles Community College District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007C, 5.000%, 8/01/32 – FGIC Insured	8/17 at 100.00	Aa1	5,692,850
2,495	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, California, Waterworks Revenue Bonds, Series 2009A, 5.375%, 7/01/34	1/19 at 100.00	AA	2,932,873
3,300	M-S-R Energy Authority, California, Gas Revenue Bonds, Citigroup Prepay Contracts, Series 2009B, 6.500%, 11/01/39	No Opt. Call	А	4,625,412
1,000	Mt. Diablo Hospital District, California, Insured Hospital Revenue Bonds, Series 1993A, 5.125%, 12/01/23 – AMBAC Insured (ETM)	1/13 at 100.00	N/R (5)	1,185,700
2,000	Murrieta Valley Unified School District Public Financing Authority, California, Special Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2006A, 5.125%, 9/01/26 –	9/16 at 100.00	AA-	2,143,140

	AGM Insured			
12,065	Ontario Redevelopment Financing Authority, San Bernardino County, California, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Redevelopment Project 1, Series 1995, 7.200%, 8/01/17 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	BBB	13,547,789
2,325	Palmdale Community Redevelopment Agency, California, Restructured Single Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 1986D, 8.000%, 4/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax) (ETM)	No Opt. Call	Aaa	2,913,620
2,525	Palmdale, California, Certificates of Participation, Park Improvement and Avenue Construction, Series 2002, 5.000%, 9/01/32 – NPFG Insured	9/14 at 100.00	A1	2,573,783
4,795	Palomar Pomerado Health, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2004, Series 2007A, 5.000%, 8/01/32 – NPFG Insured	8/17 at 100.00	A+	5,277,761
9,320	Palomar Pomerado Health, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2009A, 0.000%, 8/01/33 – AGC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA–	3,335,348
2,100	Rancho Mirage Joint Powers Financing Authority, California, Certificates of Participation, Eisenhower Medical Center, Series 1997B, 4.875%, 7/01/22 – NPFG Insured	7/15 at 102.00	Baa2	2,164,323
1,830	San Diego Public Facilities Financing Authority, California, Water Utility Revenue Bonds, Tender Option Bond Trust 3504, 19.398%, 2/01/33 (IF)	8/19 at 100.00	Aa2	3,103,589
	San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, Orange County, California, Toll Road Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 1997A:			
7,210	0.000%, 1/15/23 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	BBB	4,338,329
12,660	0.000%, 1/15/32 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	BBB	4,592,668
3,000	0.000%, 1/15/35 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	BBB	901,200
2,965	San Juan Unified School District, Sacramento County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004A, 0.000%, 8/01/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa2	1,436,365
4,005	San Mateo Union High School District, San Mateo County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Election of 2000, Series 2002B, 0.000%, 9/01/26 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa1	2,289,058
2,970	Tobacco Securitization Authority of Northern California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2005A-1, 4.750%, 6/01/23	6/15 at 100.00	B+	2,840,003
2,630	Union Elementary School District, Santa Clara County, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2001B, 0.000%, 9/01/25 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	AA+	1,597,041

Principal		Optional Call			
Amount (000)	Description (1)		Ratings (3)		Value
	California (continued)				
\$ 3,000	University of California, General Revenue Bonds, Series 2005F, 4.750%, 5/15/25 – AGM Insured	5/13 at 101.00	Aa1	\$	3,100,380
5,245	Vacaville Unified School District, California, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 8/01/30 – NPFG Insured	8/15 at 100.00	AA-	-	5,705,773
221,205	Total California				200,062,476
	Colorado – 9.8% (6.9% of Total Investments)				
5,240	Adams 12 Five Star Schools, Adams County, Colorado, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/15/24 (Pre-refunded 12/15/15) – AGM Insured	12/15 at 100.00	Aa2 (5)		5,988,534
3,000	Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Peak-to-Peak Charter School, Series 2004, 5.250%, 8/15/34 – SYNCORA GTY Insured	8/14 at 100.00	А		3,063,150
14,000	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Sisters of Charity of Leavenworth Health Services Corporation, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 1/01/40	1/20 at 100.00	AA		15,260,000
2,000	Colorado Health Facilities Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Valley View Hospital Association, Series 2007, 5.250%, 5/15/42	5/17 at 100.00	BBB+		2,068,800
20,000	Denver Convention Center Hotel Authority, Colorado, Revenue Bonds, Convention Center Hotel, Senior Lien Series 2003A, 5.000%, 12/01/33 (Pre-refunded 12/01/13) – SYNCORA GTY Insured	12/13 at 100.00	N/R (5)		21,003,600
13,620	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 1997B, 0.000%, 9/01/21 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	BBB		9,460,724
	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds, Series 2000B:				
16,200	0.000%, 9/01/32 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	BBB		5,824,224
33,120	0.000%, 9/01/33 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	BBB		11,103,811
	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Toll Revenue Bonds, Series 2004A:	1			
9,310	0.000%, 9/01/28 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	BBB		4,249,643
18,500	0.000%, 3/01/36 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	BBB		5,139,855
755	Jefferson County School District R1, Colorado, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/15/22 (Pre-refunded 12/15/14) – AGM Insured (UB)	12/14 at 100.00	Aa2 (5)		829,805
	Regional Transportation District, Colorado, Denver Transit Partners Eagle P3 Project Private Activity Bonds, Series 2010:				

Edgar Filing: NUVEEN DIVIDEND ADVANTAGE MUNICIPAL FUND - Form N-0
---

- 3	9	-		
5,000	6.500%, 1/15/30	7/20 at 100.00	Baa3	6,086,200
3,750	6.000%, 1/15/41	7/20 at 100.00	Baa3	4,354,800
40	University of Colorado, Enterprise System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005, 5.000%, 6/01/30 – FGIC Insured	6/15 at 100.00	Aa2	43,614
	University of Colorado, Enterprise System Revenue Bonds, Series 2005:			
2,130	5.000%, 6/01/30 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – FGIC Insured	6/15 at 100.00	Aa2 (5)	2,381,447
1,145	5.000%, 6/01/30 (Pre-refunded 6/01/15) – FGIC Insured	6/15 at 100.00	Aa2 (5)	1,281,427
147,810	Total Colorado			98,139,634
	District of Columbia – 1.4% (1.0% of Total Investments)			
3,975	District of Columbia Tobacco Settlement Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2001, 6.250%, 5/15/24	11/12 at 100.00	A1	4,054,341
4,245	District of Columbia, Revenue Bonds, National Public Radio, Series 2010A, 5.000%, 4/01/43	4/15 at 100.00	AA–	4,562,144
5,000	Washington Convention Center Authority, District of Columbia, Dedicated Tax Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien Refunding Series 2007A, 4.500%, 10/01/30 – AMBAC Insured	10/16 at 100.00	A1	5,094,200
13,220	Total District of Columbia			13,710,685
10,220	Florida – 7.9% (5.5% of Total Investments)			10,710,000
1,700	Beacon Tradeport Community Development	5/13 at	N/R	1,722,083
1,700	District, Miami-Dade County, Florida, Special Assessment Bonds, Commercial Project, Series 2002A, 5.625%, 5/01/32 – RAAI Insured	101.00		1,722,005
	Broward County Housing Finance Authority, Florida, Multifamily Housing Revenue Bonds, Venice Homes Apartments, Series 2001A:			
1,545	5.700%, 1/01/32 – AGM Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/13 at 100.00	AA-	1,546,916
1,805	5.800%, 1/01/36 – AGM Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/13 at 100.00	AA–	1,807,184
2,020	Broward County School Board, Florida, Certificates of Participation, Series 2003, 5.250%, 7/01/19 (Pre-refunded 7/01/13) – NPFG Insured	7/13 at 100.00	Aa3 (5)	2,087,993

Nuveen Performance Plus Municipal Fund, Inc. (continued) Portfolio of Investments

NPP October 31, 2012

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount (000)	Description (1)	Provisions R (2)	atings (3)	Value
	Florida (continued)			
\$ 5,600	Escambia County Health Facilities Authority, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Ascension Health Credit Group, Series 2003A, 5.250%, 11/15/14	No Opt. Call	AA+ \$	6,140,736
3,790	Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Florida Forever Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series 2010D, 5.000%, 7/01/13	No Opt. Call	AA–	3,908,513
3,790	Florida Housing Finance Corporation, Homeowner Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2006-2, 4.950%, 7/01/37 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	1/16 at 100.00	AA+	4,041,542
7,000	Hillsborough County Aviation Authority, Florida, Revenue Bonds, Tampa International Airport, Series 2003A, 5.250%, 10/01/17 – NPFG Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/13 at 100.00	A+	7,262,710
1,290	Jacksonville, Florida, Capital Improvement Revenue Bonds, Series 1998 Refunding, Stadium Project, 4.750%, 10/01/25 – AMBAC Insured	11/12 at 100.00	N/R	1,291,135
10,000	JEA, Florida, Electric System Revenue Bonds, Series Three 2006A, 5.000%, 10/01/41 – AGM Insured (UB)	4/15 at 100.00	Aa2	10,747,100
10,750	Martin County Industrial Development Authority, Florida, Industrial Development Revenue Bonds, Indiantown Cogeneration LP, Series 1994A, 7.875%, 12/15/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	12/12 at 100.00	BB+	10,792,785
3,500	Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Bonds, Miami International Airport, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 10/01/37 – SYNCORA GTY Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	10/15 at 100.00	А	3,597,755
5,000	Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Bonds, Miami International Airport, Series 2010A-1, 5.375%, 10/01/41	10/20 at 100.00	А	5,717,500
2,500	Miami-Dade County, Florida, Aviation Revenue Bonds, Miami International Airport, Series 2010B, 5.000%, 10/01/27	10/20 at 100.00	А	2,855,625
1,665	Orange County Health Facilities Authority, Florida, Orlando Regional Healthcare System Revenue Bonds, Series 2009, 5.125%, 10/01/26	10/19 at 100.00	А	1,849,865
	Port Saint Lucie, Florida, Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, Southwest Annexation District 1B, Series 2007:			
2,000	5.000%, 7/01/33 – NPFG Insured		BBB	2,082,540

0	ů –			
		7/17 at 100.00		
4,700	5.000%, 7/01/40 – NPFG Insured	7/17 at	BBB	4,861,586
1,700	5.000 /0, //01/40 TATI G Insuida	100.00	DDD	1,001,500
2,435	Saint Petersburg, Florida, Public Utility Revenue	No Opt. Call	Aa2	2,540,362
2,733	Bonds, Refunding Series 2009B, 5.000%, 10/01/13	No Opt. Call	AdZ	2,540,502
3,300	Tampa, Florida, Health System Revenue Bonds,	5/22 at	Aa2	3,768,897
5,500	Baycare Health System, Series 2012A, 5.000%,	100.00	AdZ	5,700,097
	11/15/33	100.00		
74,390	Total Florida			78,622,827
74,390	Georgia – 1.1% (0.8% of Total Investments)			78,022,827
5,000		No Opt. Call	A1	6,182,200
5,000	Atlanta, Georgia, Water and Wastewater Revenue	No Opt. Call	AI	0,182,200
	Bonds, Series 1999A, 5.500%, 11/01/22 – FGIC Insured			
2 000		9/20 at	BBB	2 295 060
2,000	DeKalb County Hospital Authority, Georgia,		DDD	2,385,960
	Anticipation Certificates Revenue Bonds, DeKalb	100.00		
	Medical Center, Inc. Project, Series 2010, 6.000%, 9/01/30			
2 500		0/10 **	A A	2 012 575
2,500	Medical Center Hospital Authority, Georgia,	8/18 at	AA–	2,913,575
	Revenue Anticipation Certificates, Columbus	100.00		
	Regional Healthcare System, Inc. Project, Series			
9,500	2008, 6.500%, 8/01/38 – AGC Insured			11 /01 725
9,500	Total Georgia			11,481,735
140	Idaho – 0.0% (0.0% of Total Investments)	1/12 of	A1	140 757
140	Idaho Housing and Finance Association, Single	1/13 at 100.00	AI	142,757
	Family Mortgage Bonds, Series 2000D, 6.200%,	100.00		
275	7/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax) Idaho Housing and Finance Association, Single	1/13 at	AAA	282,365
213			AAA	282,505
	Family Mortgage Bonds, Series 2000G-2, 5.950%, 7/01/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	100.00		
415	7/01/25 (Alternative Minimum Tax) Total Idaho			425,122
415	Illinois – 27.0% (19.0% of Total Investments)			423,122
1,470	Chicago Board of Education, Cook County, Illinois,	12/21 at	A+	1,583,896
1,470	General Obligation Bonds, Dedicated Revenues	12/21 at 100.00	Ат	1,565,690
	Series 2011A, 5.000%, 12/01/41	100.00		
10,000	Chicago Board of Education, Illinois, Unlimited Tax	No Opt Call	A+	8,239,300
10,000	General Obligation Bonds, Dedicated Tax Revenues	1	AT	0,239,300
	Series 1998B-1, 0.000%, 12/01/19 – FGIC Insured	·,		
10,000	Chicago Board of Education, Illinois, Unlimited Tax	No Opt. Call	A+	7,721,900
10,000	General Obligation Bonds, Dedicated Tax Revenues	•	A+	7,721,900
	Series 1999A, 0.000%, 12/01/20 – FGIC Insured	,		
	Series 1999A, $0.000\%$ , $12/01/20 - FOIC IIISUIEU$			

Principal	Description (1)	Optional Call	Datings (2)	Value
Amount (000)	Description (1)	(2)	Ratings (3)	value
	Illinois (continued) Chicago, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, City Colleges, Series 1999:	(-)		
\$ 32,170	0.000%, 1/01/21 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa3	\$ 24,634,178
32,670	0.000%, 1/01/22 – FGIC Insured	No Opt. Call	Aa3	23,482,216
9,240	Chicago, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, Midway Airport,	1/13 at	А	9,270,769
	Series 1996A, 5.500%, 1/01/29 – NPFG Insured	100.00		
1,665	Chicago, Illinois, Third Lien General Airport	1/16 at	A2	1,779,635
	Revenue Bonds, O'Hare International Airport, Series 2005A, 5.000%, 1/01/33 – FGIC Insured	100.00		
5,325	Cook County, Illinois, General Obligation Bonds,	11/20 at	AA	6,058,945
	Refunding Series 2010A, 5.250%, 11/15/33	100.00		
	DuPage County Forest Preserve District, Illinois,			
	General Obligation Bonds, Series 2000:			
8,000	0.000%, 11/01/18	No Opt. Call	AAA	7,128,320
15,285	0.000%, 11/01/19	No Opt. Call	AAA	13,103,525
5,000	Illinois Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue	7/13 at	Aa1 (5)	5,159,300
	Bonds, University of Chicago, Refunding Series 2003A, 5.000%, 7/01/33 (Pre-refunded 7/01/13)	100.00		
1,500	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Central DuPage Health, Series 2009B, 5.500%, 11/01/39	11/19 at 100.00	AA	1,693,275
2,000	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds,	8/18 at	AA-	2,152,220
	Children's Memorial Hospital, Series 2008A,	100.00		
	5.250%, 8/15/47 – AGC Insured (UB)			
5,245	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Loyola University of Chicago, Tender Option Bond Trust 1137, 9.102%, 7/01/15 (IF)	No Opt. Call	Aa1	6,329,351
3,000	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds,	4/19 at	A+	3,315,750
	Memorial Health System, Series 2009, 5.500%, 4/01/34	100.00		
2,500	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds, The	2/21 at	AA-	2,850,150
	University of Chicago Medical Center, Series 2011C, 5.500%, 8/15/41	100.00		
185	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds,	7/14 at	Aa1	196,135
	University of Chicago, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 7/01/34	100.00		
815	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Bonds,	7/14 at	Aa1 (5)	878,277
	University of Chicago, Series 2004A, 5.000%, 7/01/34 (Pre-refunded 7/01/14)	100.00		
	Illinois Finance Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Silver Cross Hospital and Medical Centers, Series 2008A:			
2,250	6.000%, 8/15/23	8/18 at 100.00	BBB+	2,518,538
3,055	5.500%, 8/15/30	100.00	BBB+	3,263,473

		8/18 at 100.00		
4,980	Illinois Finance Authority, Student Housing Revenue Bonds, Educational Advancement Fund Inc., Refunding Series 2007A, 5.250%, 5/01/34	5/17 at 100.00	BBB+	5,242,446
4,590	Illinois Health Facilities Authority, FHA-Insured Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds, Sinai Health System, Series 2003, 5.150%, 2/15/37	8/13 at 100.00	Aa2	4,644,437
1,195	Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Lake Forest Hospital, Series 2002A, 5.750%, 7/01/29	11/12 at 100.00	AA+	1,198,955
3,610	Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Lake Forest Hospital, Series 2003, 6.000%, 7/01/33	7/13 at 100.00	AA+	3,718,372
1,460	Illinois Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Silver Cross Hospital and Medical Centers, Series 1999, 5.250%, 8/15/15	2/13 at 100.00	BBB+	1,463,095
2,515	Illinois State, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2012, 5.000%, 8/01/24	8/22 at 100.00	А	2,844,641
7,250	Kane, Kendall, LaSalle, and Will Counties, Illinois, Community College District 516, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2005E, 0.000%, 12/15/24 – FGIC Insured	12/13 at 57.71	AA+	4,064,060
28,000	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 2002A, 0.000%, 12/15/35 – AGM Insured	No Opt. Call	AAA	9,276,960
10,650	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds, McCormick Place Hospitality Facility, Series 1996, 7.000%, 7/01/26 (ETM)	No Opt. Call	Aaa	15,423,756
	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 1996A:			
9,400	0.000%, 12/15/18 – NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	7,977,122
16,570	0.000%, 12/15/20 - NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	12,702,893
23,830	0.000%, 12/15/22 - NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	16,424,113
13,350	0.000%, 12/15/24 - NPFG Insured	No Opt. Call	AA-	8,247,096
5,100	Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 1998A, 5.500%, 12/15/23 FGIC Insured			

46