

CTI BIOPHARMA CORP
Form 10-K
March 07, 2018

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number: 001-12465

CTI BIOPHARMA CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Washington

91-1533912

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

3101 Western Avenue, Suite 800
Seattle, WA

98121

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (206) 282-7100

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
---------------------	---

Common Stock, no par value	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC
----------------------------	-----------------------------

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Preferred Stock Purchase Rights

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: CTI BIOPHARMA CORP - Form 10-K

Large accelerated filer ☐

Accelerated filer ☒

Non-accelerated filer ☐ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company ☐

Emerging growth company ☐

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of June 30, 2017, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common equity held by non-affiliates was \$88,062,209. Shares of common stock held by each executive officer and director and by each person known to the registrant who beneficially owns more than 5% of the outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock have been excluded in that such persons may under certain circumstances be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of executive officer or affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes. The registrant has no non-voting common stock outstanding.

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock as of February 28, 2018 was 57,982,860.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement relating to its 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, or the 2018 Proxy Statement, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K where indicated. The 2018 Proxy Statement will be filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year to which this report relates.

CTI BIOPHARMA CORP.
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
ITEM 1. <u>BUSINESS</u>	<u>3</u>
ITEM 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	<u>25</u>
ITEM 1B. <u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	<u>43</u>
ITEM 2. <u>PROPERTIES</u>	<u>43</u>
ITEM 3. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	<u>43</u>
ITEM 4. <u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>PART II</u>	
ITEM 5. <u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	<u>46</u>
ITEM 6. <u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	<u>48</u>
ITEM 7. <u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	<u>50</u>
ITEM 7A. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	<u>60</u>
ITEM 8. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	<u>62</u>
ITEM 9. <u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	<u>102</u>
ITEM 9A. <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	<u>102</u>
ITEM 9B. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>103</u>
<u>PART III</u>	
ITEM 10. <u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	<u>104</u>
ITEM 11. <u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	<u>104</u>
ITEM 12. <u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS</u>	<u>104</u>
ITEM 13. <u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	<u>104</u>
ITEM 14. <u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>PART IV</u>	
ITEM 15. <u>EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	<u>105</u>
ITEM 16. <u>FORM 10-K SUMMARY</u>	<u>114</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>114</u>
CERTIFICATIONS	

Forward Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and the documents we incorporate by reference herein or therein may contain “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the United States, or the U.S., federal securities laws. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements, including, without limitation:

- any statements regarding future operations, plans, expectations, intentions, regulatory filings or approvals;
- any statements regarding the performance, or likely performance, outcomes or economic benefit of any licensing collaboration or other arrangement;
- any projections of revenues, operating expenses or other financial terms, and any projections of cash resources, including regarding our potential receipt of future milestone payments under any of our agreements with third parties and expected sales of PIXUVRI;
- any statements of the plans and objectives of management for future operations or programs;
- any statements concerning proposed new products;
- any statements regarding the safety and efficacy or future availability of any of our compounds;
- any statements regarding our ability to interpret clinical trial data and results or expectations with respect to the potential therapeutic utility of pacritinib and the prevalence of myelofibrosis in the U.S.;
- any statements on plans regarding proposed or potential clinical trials or new drug filing strategies, timelines or submissions, including expectations with respect to the timing and planned enrollment of PAC203, the timing of PIX306 top-line results, and submission of responses to Day 120 list of questions;
- any statements regarding the Company’s intent to continue efforts to commercialize PIXUVRI in Europe in partnership with Servier and expand the market potential for PIXUVRI;
- any statement regarding the Company’s intent to develop and commercialize pacritinib for adult patients with myelofibrosis and potentially additional inactions.
- any statements regarding the Company’s plans to continue advancing the development of its pipeline candidates through strategic product collaborations or cooperative group and investigator-sponsored trials, as well as the identification and acquisition of additional pipeline opportunities;
- any significant disruptions in our information technology systems;
- any statements regarding compliance with the listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market;
- any statements regarding potential future partnerships, licensing arrangements, mergers, acquisitions or other transactions;
- any statements regarding future economic conditions or performance; and
- any statements of assumption underlying any of the foregoing.

In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terms such as “anticipates,” “believes,” “continue,” “could,” “estimates,” “expects,” “intends,” “may,” “plans,” “potential,” “predicts,” “projects,” “should” or “will” or the negative thereof and similar expressions. Such statements are based on management’s current expectations and are subject to risks and uncertainties, which may cause actual results to differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. In particular, this Annual Report on Form 10-K addresses top-line results regarding data from PERSIST-2, our Phase 3 trial of pacritinib for the treatment of patients with myelofibrosis whose platelet counts are less than or equal to 100,000 per microliter. Meaningful interpretation of PERSIST-2 may not be possible because the pre-specified minimum evaluable patient goal was not met. The statements are based on assumptions about many important factors and information currently available to us to the extent we have thus far had an opportunity to fully and carefully evaluate such information in light of all surrounding facts, circumstances, recommendations and analyses. There can be no assurance that such expectations or any of the forward-looking statements will prove to be correct, and actual results could differ materially from those projected or assumed in the forward-looking statements. We urge you to carefully review the disclosures we make concerning risks and other factors that may affect our business and operating results, including those made under Part I, Item 1, “Business,” Part I, Item 1A, “Risk Factors,” Part II, Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” and elsewhere in

this Annual Report on Form 10-K and any risk factors contained in subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q that we file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC.

We do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K to conform these statements to actual results or changes in our expectations. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which apply only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In this Annual Report on Form 10-K, all references to “we,” “us,” “our,” the “Company” and “CTI” mean CTI BioPharma Co. and our subsidiaries, except where it is otherwise made clear.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on the acquisition, development and commercialization of novel targeted therapies covering a spectrum of blood-related cancers that offer a unique benefit to patients and healthcare providers. Our goal is to build a profitable company by generating income from products we develop and commercialize, either alone or with partners. We are currently concentrating our efforts on treatments that target blood-related cancers where there is an unmet medical need. In particular, we are primarily focused on evaluating pacritinib for the treatment of adult patients with myelofibrosis and the further development of PIXUVRI worldwide, for which our partner, Les Laboratoires Servier and Institut de Recherches Internationales Servier, or collectively Servier, has commercialization rights outside the United States, or the U.S.

PIXUVRI

PIXUVRI is a novel aza-anthracenedione with unique structural and physiochemical properties. In May 2012, the European Commission granted conditional marketing authorization in the European Union, or the E.U. for PIXUVRI as a monotherapy for the treatment of adult patients with multiply relapsed or refractory aggressive B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma, or NHL. PIXUVRI is the first approved treatment in the E.U. for patients with multiply relapsed or refractory aggressive B-cell NHL who have failed two or three prior lines of therapy. As part of our conditional marketing authorization in the E.U., we are required to conduct a post-authorization trial, which we refer to as PIX306, comparing PIXUVRI and rituximab with gemcitabine and rituximab in the setting of aggressive B-cell NHL and follicular grade 3 lymphoma. Enrollment for PIX306 was completed in August 2017 and topline results, which are event-driven, are expected by the end of the first half of 2018. Although we do not have and are not currently pursuing regulatory approval of PIXUVRI in the U.S., we may reevaluate a possible submission strategy in the U.S. based on the data generated from the PIX306 study. Pursuant to our conditional marketing authorization in the E.U., and an extension granted in September 2016, we are required to submit the requisite clinical study report for PIX306 by December 2018.

In April 2017, we entered into an Amended and Restated Exclusive License and Collaboration Agreement, or the Restated Agreement, with Servier. Under the Restated Agreement, Servier will have rights to PIXUVRI in all markets except in the U.S. where we will retain the commercialization rights. Previously, Servier had rights to commercialize the drug globally except in Austria, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Israel, Norway, Sweden, Turkey, the United Kingdom, or the U.K., and the U.S. Servier paid us €12.0 million in May 2017 and purchased PIXUVRI drug product for an additional €0.9 million in July 2017. In September 2017, we attained a regulatory milestone under the Restated Agreement and recognized a €1.0 million milestone revenue. We are eligible to receive up to €75.0 million in additional sales and regulatory milestone payments as well as royalties on net product sales.

For additional information on our collaboration with Servier, please see the discussion in “License Agreements and Additional Milestone Activities - Servier” below.

Pacritinib

Our lead development candidate, pacritinib, is an investigational oral kinase inhibitor with specificity for JAK2, FLT3, IRAK1 and CSF1R. The JAK family of enzymes is a central component in signal transduction pathways, which are critical to normal blood cell growth and development, as well as inflammatory cytokine expression and immune responses. Mutations in these kinases have been shown to be directly related to the development of a variety

of blood-related cancers, including myeloproliferative neoplasms, leukemia and lymphoma. In addition to myelofibrosis, the kinase profile of pacritinib suggests its potential therapeutic utility in conditions such as acute myeloid leukemia, or AML, myelodysplastic syndrome, or MDS, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, or CMML, and chronic lymphocytic leukemia, or CLL, due to its inhibition of c-fms, IRAK1, JAK2 and FLT3. We believe pacritinib has the potential to be delivered as a single agent or in combination therapy regimens.

Pacritinib was evaluated in two Phase 3 clinical trials, known as the PERSIST program, for patients with myelofibrosis, with one trial in a broad set of patients without limitations on platelet counts, the PERSIST-1 trial, and the other in patients with low platelet counts, the PERSIST-2 trial. In August 2014, pacritinib was granted Fast Track designation by the Food and Drug Administration, or the FDA, for the treatment of intermediate and high risk myelofibrosis including, but not limited to, patients with disease-related thrombocytopenia (low platelet counts); patients experiencing treatment-emergent

thrombocytopenia on other JAK2 inhibitor therapy; or patients who are intolerant of or whose symptoms are not well controlled (sub-optimally managed) on other JAK2 therapy.

In May 2015, we announced the final results from PERSIST-1, our Phase 3 trial evaluating the efficacy and safety of pacritinib compared to the Best Available Therapy, or BAT, excluding JAK2 inhibitors, which included a broad range of currently utilized treatments, in 327 patients with myelofibrosis regardless of the patients' platelet counts. The study included patients with severe or life-threatening thrombocytopenia. Patients were randomized to receive 400 mg pacritinib once daily or BAT, excluding JAK2 inhibitors. The trial met its primary endpoint of spleen volume reduction, or SVR, (35 percent or greater from baseline to Week 24 by magnetic resonance imaging, or MRI, or computerized tomography, or CT). The most common treatment-emergent adverse events, or AEs, occurring in 20 percent or more of patients treated with pacritinib within 24 weeks, of any grade, were gastrointestinal (generally manageable diarrhea and nausea) and anemia.

In February 2015, we received a recommendation from the Independent Data Monitoring Committee, or IDMC, in place at the time to terminate the PERSIST-1 trial and hold enrollment of new patients in the PERSIST-2 trial. The IDMC's recommendation was based on non-statistically significant safety concerns, including mortality, in patients on pacritinib, particularly those who crossover after 24 weeks, which crossover potentially confounds evaluation of survival. The IDMC agreed that the recommendation would be only preliminary until we were unblinded to and could review the primary and secondary endpoint data as well as safety results from the PERSIST-1 trial. The IDMC recommendation was reviewed with the PERSIST Steering Committee, comprised of external experts and the study's principal investigators, who disagreed with the IDMC's recommendation and expressed the view that the studies should continue as planned. We also asked an independent clinician and a statistician experienced in oversight of clinical trial safety to evaluate the safety profile of pacritinib in the PERSIST-1 trial. Neither was told of the recommendation reached by either the IDMC or the Steering Committee. Both experts agreed with the Steering Committee that the studies could continue. The firm that assembled the IDMC hired a second external independent statistician to review the IDMC's analyses and recommendation, who also disagreed with the IDMC recommendation and concurred with the other independent experts that the studies need not be terminated nor enrollment held. In June 2015, the IDMC made its recommendation final and we provided to the FDA the information reviewed by the IDMC, the IDMC's meeting minutes, and the written opinion of the Steering Committee co-chairs, the independent experts, and the second independent statistician. In July 2015, we requested a meeting with the FDA to confirm if we should continue the studies. The FDA assigned the request to a type C meeting. In its written response, the FDA did not mandate any modifications to the studies or place pacritinib on clinical hold at that time, but indicated that it had not yet reviewed the data and noted the difficulty in attempting to draw meaningful conclusions from non-significant results, and that the crossover designs may confound the analysis of survival. We determined that no modifications to the ongoing trials were required. Because we had concerns about the original IDMC's impartiality, we decided to discharge it, and retained a new IDMC through an independent firm specializing in IDMCs. The newly constituted IDMC met on several occasions and its recommendation was to continue PERSIST-2 as planned.

In December 2015, we submitted the new drug application, or NDA, to the FDA for pacritinib with an indication statement based on the PERSIST-1 trial data.

In February 2016, clinical studies under the investigational new drug, or IND, for pacritinib were placed on a full clinical hold issued by the FDA. A full clinical hold is a suspension of the clinical work requested under the IND application. Under the full clinical hold, all patients on pacritinib at the time were required to discontinue pacritinib immediately and no patients could be enrolled or start pacritinib as initial or crossover treatment. In its written notification, the FDA stated that the reasons for the full clinical hold were that it noted interim overall survival results from the PERSIST-2 Phase 3 trial showing a detrimental effect on survival consistent with the results from PERSIST-1, as well as hemorrhagic/cardiac toxicities. The FDA had earlier put a partial hold on pacritinib on February 4, 2016.

In February 2016, prior to the clinical hold, we completed patient enrollment in the PERSIST-2 Phase 3 clinical trial. Under the full clinical hold, all patients participating in the PERSIST-2 clinical trial discontinued pacritinib treatment.

In August 2016, we announced the top-line results from PERSIST-2, our Phase 3 trial of pacritinib for the treatment of patients with myelofibrosis whose platelet counts are less than or equal to 100,000 per microliter. Three hundred eleven (311) patients were enrolled in the study, which formed the basis for the safety analysis. Two hundred twenty-one (221) patients had a chance to reach Week 24 (the primary analysis time point) at the time the clinical hold was imposed and constituted the intent-to-treat analysis population utilized for the evaluation of efficacy. Results demonstrated that the PERSIST-2 trial met one of the co-primary endpoints showing a statistically significant response rate in SVR in patients with myelofibrosis treated with pacritinib compared to BAT, including the approved JAK2 inhibitor ruxolitinib. The co-primary endpoint of reduction of Total Symptom Score, or TSS, was not achieved but trended toward improvement in TSS. There was no significant difference in overall survival across treatment arms, censored at the time of clinical hold. The most common treatment-emergent AEs,

occurring in 20 percent or more of patients treated with pacritinib within 24 weeks, of any grade, were gastrointestinal (generally manageable diarrhea, nausea and vomiting) and hematologic (anemia and thrombocytopenia) and were generally less frequent for twice-daily, or BID, versus once-daily, or QD, administration. Details of the trial were presented in a late-breaking oral session at the American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting in December 2016.

In January 2017, the FDA removed the full clinical hold following review of our complete response submission which included, among other items, final Clinical Study Reports for both PERSIST-1 and 2 trials and a dose-exploration clinical trial protocol that the FDA requested. At that time, we reached agreement with the FDA on the design of a new trial, PAC203, that plans to enroll up to approximately 105 patients with primary myelofibrosis who have failed prior ruxolitinib therapy, and that includes new cardiac entry criteria, to evaluate the dose response relationship for safety and efficacy (SVR at 12 and 24 weeks) of three dose regimens: 100 mg QD, 100 mg BID and 200 mg BID. The 200 mg BID dose regimen was used in PERSIST-2. We enrolled our first patient in PAC203 in July 2017 and expect to complete enrollment in mid 2018. We expect to have interim data from PAC203 by the end of the second quarter of 2018 and topline data in the first quarter of 2019.

The original Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA, for pacritinib was submitted to the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, in February 2016 with an indication statement based on the PERSIST-1 trial data. In its initial assessment report, the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, or CHMP, determined that the original application was not approvable at that point in the review cycle because of major objections in the areas of efficacy, safety (hematological and cardiovascular toxicity) and the overall risk-benefit profile of pacritinib. Subsequent to the filing of the original MAA, data from the second phase 3 trial of pacritinib, PERSIST-2, were reported. These data suggest that pacritinib may show clinical benefit in patients who have failed or are intolerant to ruxolitinib therapy, a population for which there is no approved therapy.

Following discussions with the EMA about how PERSIST-2 data might address the major objections and how to integrate the data into the current application, we withdrew the original MAA, and submitted a new application for the treatment of patients with myelofibrosis who have thrombocytopenia (platelet counts less than 100,000 per microliter). The new MAA was validated by the EMA in July 2017. Validation confirms that the submission is complete and initiates the centralized review process by the CHMP. The CHMP review period is 210 days, excluding question or opinion response periods, after which the CHMP opinion is reviewed by the European Commission, which usually issues a final decision on E.U. authorization within three months. If authorized, pacritinib would be granted a marketing license valid in all 28 E.U. member states, Norway, Iceland and Liechtenstein.

On January 25, 2018, we were granted a three month extension for submitting our response to the Day 120 List of Questions (D120 LoQ) from the CHMP of the EMA, with regard to the MAA for pacritinib. As a result of the extension, we anticipate submitting our response to the D120 LoQ in May 2018. We primarily requested the extension in order to provide the EMA with new pharmacokinetic analyses that include data from the ongoing phase 2 PAC203 study. The Day 120 LoQ were received by the Company in November 2017 and included Major Objections in areas including efficacy, safety (including hematological, cardiovascular and infectious toxicities) and other concerns including the size of the data set and the pharmacokinetic analyses of the two dosing regimens studied in PERSIST-2. The extension request was submitted following a clarification meeting with the rapporteur and co-rapporteur and members of the EMA. We also plan to address with the EMA deficiencies identified in a January 2018 interim GCP inspection report which concluded that PERSIST-2 was in most aspects conducted in compliance with GCP and internationally accepted ethical standards, but compliance was not verified in the areas of protocol compliance, safety reporting and data integrity, where significant deficiencies were cited.

Other Pipeline Candidates

Tosedostat, is a novel oral, once-daily aminopeptidase inhibitor that has demonstrated significant responses in patients with AML. Enrollment in the randomized Phase 2 cooperative group-sponsored trials in elderly patients with AML (the LI1 trial) was halted in March 2017 after target recruitment had been attained. Following a Data Monitoring Committee meeting in November 2017, the trial Steering Committee decided to not reopen randomization as a sufficient survival benefit had not been demonstrated in patients receiving tosedostat combination therapy.

Our Strategy

Our objective is to become a leader in the acquisition, development and commercialization of novel therapeutics for the treatment of blood-related cancers. The key elements of our strategy to achieve these objectives are to:

- Commercialize PIXUVRI. Together with Servier, we intend to continue our efforts to build a successful PIXUVRI franchise in Europe as well as other markets. Our partner is currently focused on educating physicians on the unmet medical need and building brand awareness for PIXUVRI among physicians in the countries where PIXUVRI is

available. A successful outcome from the post-authorization trial, PIX306, will enable us to potentially obtain full marketing authorization from the European Commission and expand the market potential for PIXUVRI.

Develop Pacritinib in Myelofibrosis and Additional Indications. We intend to develop and commercialize pacritinib for adult patients with myelofibrosis and potentially additional indications.

Evaluate Strategic Product Collaborations to Accelerate Development and Commercialization. Where we believe it may be beneficial, we intend to evaluate additional collaborations to broaden and accelerate clinical trial development and potential commercialization of our product candidates. Collaborations have the potential to generate non-equity based operating capital, supplement our own internal expertise and provide us with access to the marketing, sales and distribution capabilities of our collaborators in specific territories.

Identify and Acquire Additional Pipeline Opportunities. Our current pipeline is the result of licensing and acquiring assets that we believe were initially undervalued opportunities. We plan to continue to seek out additional product candidates in an opportunistic manner.

Product and Development Portfolio

The following table summarizes our current product and development portfolio as of March 7, 2018:

Oncology Market Overview and Opportunity

According to the American Cancer Society, or ACS, cancer is the second leading cause of death in the U.S., resulting in close to 609,640 deaths annually, or more than 1,670 people per day. Approximately 1.7 million new cases of cancer were expected to be diagnosed in 2018 in the U.S. The most commonly used methods for treating patients with cancer are surgery, radiation and chemotherapy. Patients usually receive a combination of these treatments depending upon the type and extent of their disease.

We believe our expertise in blood-related cancers, together with our ability to identify unique therapies that address unmet medical needs that are potentially less toxic and more effective at treating and curing patients, may fill a significant unmet medical need for cancer patients.

Commercialized Product

PIXUVRI

Overview

PIXUVRI is a novel aza-anthracenedione with unique structural and physiochemical properties. In May 2012, the European Commission granted conditional marketing authorization in the E.U., for PIXUVRI as a monotherapy for the treatment of adult patients with multiply relapsed or refractory aggressive B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma, or NHL. PIXUVRI is the first approved treatment in the E.U. for patients with multiply relapsed or refractory aggressive B-cell NHL who have failed two or three prior lines of therapy. As part of our conditional marketing authorization in the E.U., we are required to conduct a post-authorization trial, which we refer to as PIX306, comparing PIXUVRI and rituximab with gemcitabine and rituximab in the setting of aggressive B-cell NHL. In August 2017, we announced the completion of enrollment in the trial. If positive, the results from this trial could support broader indications. Top-line results are event-driven and are expected by the end of the first half of 2018. Although we do not have and are not currently pursuing regulatory approval of PIXUVRI in the U.S., we may reevaluate a possible submission strategy in the U.S. based on the data generated from the PIX306 study. Pursuant to our conditional marketing authorization in the E.U., and an extension granted in September 2016, we are required to submit the requisite clinical study report for PIX306 by December 2018.

PIXUVRI for the Treatment of NHL

We are specifically developing and commercializing PIXUVRI for the treatment of aggressive NHL. NHL is caused by the abnormal proliferation of lymphocytes, which are cells key to the functioning of the immune system. NHL usually originates in lymph nodes and spreads through the lymphatic system. The ACS estimated that there would be 72,240 people diagnosed with NHL in the U.S. and approximately 20,140 people would die from this disease in 2017. The World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer's 2012 GLOBOCAN database estimates that, in the E.U., approximately 79,312 people will be diagnosed with NHL and 30,730 people are estimated to die from NHL annually. NHL is the seventh most common type of cancer. NHL can be broadly classified into two main forms, each with many subtypes; aggressive NHL is a rapidly growing form of the disease that moves into advanced stages much faster than indolent NHL, which progresses more slowly.

Aggressive B-cell NHL is the most common subtype, accounting for about 55 percent of NHL cases. After initial therapy for aggressive NHL with anthracycline-based combination therapy, one-third of patients typically develop progressive disease. Approximately half of these patients are likely to be eligible for intensive second-line treatment and stem cell transplantation, although 50 percent are expected not to respond. For those patients who fail to respond or relapse following second line treatment, treatment options are limited and usually palliative only. PIXUVRI is the first treatment approved in the E.U. for patients with multiply relapsed or refractory aggressive B-cell NHL.

Commercialization of PIXUVRI in the E.U.

In September 2012, we initiated E.U. commercialization of PIXUVRI and in September 2014 we entered into a collaboration arrangement with Servier. In April 2017, we entered into an Amended and Restated Exclusive License and Collaboration Agreement, or the Restated Agreement, with Servier. Under the Restated Agreement, Servier will have rights to PIXUVRI in all markets except in the U.S. where we will retain the commercialization rights. Previously, Servier had rights to commercialize the drug globally except in Austria, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Israel, Norway, Sweden, Turkey, the United Kingdom, or the U.K., and the U.S. Servier paid us €12.0 million in May 2017 and purchased PIXUVRI drug product for an additional €0.9 million in July 2017. In September 2017, we

attained a regulatory milestone under the Restated Agreement and recognized a €1.0 million milestone revenue. We are eligible to receive up to €75.0 million in additional sales and regulatory milestone payments as well as royalties on net product sales.

For additional information on our collaboration with Servier, please see the discussion in “License Agreements and Additional Milestone Activities - Servier.”

As discussed in Part I, Item 1, “Business-Manufacturing, Distribution and Associated Operations,” we utilize third parties for the manufacture, storage and distribution of PIXUVRI, as well as for other associated supply chain operations. Our strategy of utilizing third parties in such manner allows us to direct our resources to the development and commercialization of compounds rather than to the establishment and maintenance of facilities for such operational activities.

Development Candidates

Pacritinib

Development in Myelofibrosis

Our lead development candidate, pacritinib, is an investigational oral kinase inhibitor with specificity for JAK2, FLT3, IRAK1 and CSF1R. The JAK family of enzymes is a central component in signal transduction pathways, which are critical to normal blood cell growth and development, as well as inflammatory cytokine expression and immune responses. Mutations in these kinases have been shown to be directly related to the development of a variety of blood-related cancers, including myeloproliferative neoplasms, leukemia and lymphoma. In addition to myelofibrosis, the kinase profile of pacritinib suggests its potential therapeutic utility in conditions such as acute myeloid leukemia, or AML, myelodysplastic syndrome, or MDS, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia, or CMML, and chronic lymphocytic leukemia, or CLL, due to its inhibition of c-fms, IRAK1, JAK2 and FLT3. We believe pacritinib has the potential to be delivered as a single agent or in combination therapy regimens.

In August 2014, pacritinib was granted Fast Track designation by the FDA for the treatment of intermediate and high risk myelofibrosis, including, but not limited to patients with disease-related thrombocytopenia, patients experiencing treatment-emergent thrombocytopenia on other JAK2 therapy or patients who are intolerant of, or whose symptoms are sub-optimally managed on, other JAK2 therapy. The FDA's Fast Track process is designed to facilitate the development and expedite the review of drugs to treat serious conditions and fill an unmet medical need.

Pacritinib was evaluated in two Phase 3 clinical trials, known as the PERSIST program, for patients with myelofibrosis, with one trial in a broad set of patients without limitations on platelet counts, the PERSIST-1 trial; and the other in patients with low platelet counts, the PERSIST-2 trial. Myelofibrosis is a rare blood cancer associated with significantly reduced quality of life and shortened survival. As the disease progresses, the body slows production of important blood cells and within one year of diagnosis, the incidence of disease-related thrombocytopenia (very low blood platelet counts), severe anemia and red blood cell transfusion requirements increase significantly. Among other complications, most patients with myelofibrosis present with enlarged spleens (splenomegaly), as well as many other potentially devastating physical symptoms such as abdominal discomfort, bone pain, feeling full after eating little, severe itching, night sweats and extreme fatigue. Currently patients with very low blood platelets (<50,000/ μ L) or those ineligible to receive, intolerant of or have insufficient response to the approved JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor have no effective treatment options. Patients have poor survival following discontinuation of therapy with the approved JAK1/JAK2 therapy. We believe pacritinib may offer effective treatment of symptoms for patients following prior exposure to the approved JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor and / or those with thrombocytopenia.

PERSIST-1 was a randomized (2:1), open-label, multi-center Phase 3 trial comparing the efficacy and safety of pacritinib with that of best available therapy other than JAK inhibitors, in 327 patients with myelofibrosis, without exclusion for low platelet counts. The primary endpoint for PERSIST-1 was the proportion of patients achieving a 35 percent or greater reduction in spleen volume from baseline to Week 24 as measured by MRI or CT, when compared with physician-specified BAT, excluding treatment with JAK2 inhibitors. The secondary endpoint was the percentage of patients achieving a 50 percent or greater reduction in Total Symptom Score, or TSS, from baseline to week 24 as measured by tracking specific symptoms on a form, or Patient Reported Outcome, or PRO, instrument. At study entry, 46 percent of patients were thrombocytopenic; 32 percent of patients had platelet counts less than 100,000 per microliter (<100,000/ μ L); and 16 percent of patients had platelet counts less than 50,000 per microliter (<50,000/ μ L); normal platelet counts range from 150,000 to 450,000 per microliter. At the time of initiation of the trial, PERSIST-1 utilized the Myeloproliferative Neoplasm Symptom Assessment Form, or MPN-SAF TSS, the PRO instrument developed by Mayo Clinic, to measure TSS reduction. We collaborated with Mayo Clinic and the FDA and developed a modified instrument to be used as the endpoint for pacritinib clinical development. As a result, we amended the

PERSIST-1 trial protocol to replace the original MPN-SAF TSS instrument with a new instrument, known as the MPN-SAF TSS 2.0, which is also being used for recording patient-reported outcomes for the PERSIST-2 trial. In connection with this amendment, we increased patient enrollment in the PERSIST-1 study from 270 to 327 patients.

In May 2015, data from PERSIST-1 showed that compared to BAT (exclusive of a JAK inhibitor) pacritinib therapy resulted in a significantly higher proportion of patients with spleen volume reduction and control of disease-related symptoms meeting the primary endpoint of the trial. Results were presented at a late-breaking oral session at the 51st Annual Meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. Additionally, in June 2015, results from PERSIST-1 PRO and other quality of life measures presented at a late-breaking oral session at the 20th Congress of the European Hematology Association showed significant improvements in symptom score with pacritinib therapy compared to BAT (exclusive of a JAK inhibitor) across the symptoms reported in the presentation.

The following table shows the proportion of patients randomized to pacritinib or BAT who achieved a $\geq 35\%$ reduction in spleen volume from baseline at Week 24 or up to Week 24 in the intent-to-treat, or ITT, population or evaluable patient population. The greatest difference in treatment arms was observed in evaluable patients with the lowest platelet counts ($< 50,000/\mu\text{L}$ platelets) (33.3 percent with pacritinib vs 0 percent with BAT) ($p=0.037$).

Spleen Volume Reduction of $\geq 35\%$ at Week 24 by Platelet Levels

	Pacritinib	BAT	p-value
All Platelet Levels			
ITT*	19% (n=220)	5% (n=107)	0.0003
Evaluable**	25% (n=168)	6% (n=85)	<0.0001

$< 100,000/\mu\text{L}$ platelets

ITT	17% (n=72)	0% (n=34)	0.0086
Evaluable	24% (n=51)	0% (n=24)	0.0072

$< 50,000/\mu\text{L}$ platelets

ITT	23% (n=35)	0% (n=16)	0.0451
Evaluable	33% (n=24)	0% (n=11)	0.0370

* ITT - primary analysis included all patients randomized. Patients who missed MRI or CT scans at baseline or at Week 24 were counted as non-responders.

** Evaluable - analysis included patients who had assessment at both baseline and at Week 24.

Results from PERSIST-1 PRO and other quality of life measures showed significant improvements in symptom score with pacritinib therapy compared to BAT (exclusive of a JAK inhibitor) across the symptoms reported in the presentation. Patients treated with pacritinib experienced greater improvement in their disease-related symptoms (ITT patient population: 24.5 percent of pacritinib-treated patients vs 6.5 percent of BAT-treated patients, $p<0.0001$; evaluable patient population: 40.9 percent of pacritinib-treated patients vs 9.9 percent of BAT-treated patients, $p<0.0001$).

Additionally, 25 percent of patients treated with pacritinib who were severely anemic and transfusion dependent - requiring at least six units of blood in the 90 days prior to study entry - became transfusion independent, compared to zero patients treated with BAT ($p<0.05$). Among patients with the lowest baseline platelets ($< 50,000/\mu\text{L}$) who received treatment with pacritinib, a significant increase in platelet counts was observed over time compared to BAT ($p=0.003$) - with a 35 percent increase in platelet counts from baseline to Week 24.

The most common adverse events, occurring in 10 percent or more of patients treated with pacritinib within 24 weeks, of any grade, were: mild to moderate diarrhea, nausea, anemia, thrombocytopenia, and vomiting. Of the patients treated with pacritinib, 3 discontinued therapy and 13 patients required dose interruption (average one week) for diarrhea. Patients received a daily full dose of pacritinib over the duration of treatment. Gastrointestinal symptoms typically lasted for approximately one week and few patients discontinued treatment due to side effects. There were no Grade 4 gastrointestinal events reported.

In December 2015, primarily based on the results of the PERSIST-1 trial, we submitted a NDA to the FDA, for pacritinib requesting U.S. marketing approval of pacritinib for the treatment of patients with intermediate and high-risk myelofibrosis with low platelet counts of less than 50,000 per microliter ($< 50,000/\mu\text{L}$) for whom there are no approved therapies.

The PERSIST-2 trial was a randomized (2:1), open-label, multi-center registration-directed Phase 3 trial evaluating pacritinib compared to BAT, including the approved JAK inhibitor dosed according to product label, for patients with

myelofibrosis whose platelet counts are less than or equal to 100,000 per microliter ($\leq 100,000/\mu\text{L}$). Patients were randomized to receive 200 mg pacritinib twice daily, 400 mg pacritinib once daily or BAT. In October 2013, we reached an agreement with the FDA on a Special Protocol Assessment, or SPA, for the PERSIST-2 trial regarding the planned design, endpoints and statistical analysis approach of the trial. The SPA is a written agreement between us and the FDA regarding the design, endpoints and planned statistical analysis approach of the trial to be used in support of a NDA submission. Under the SPA, the agreed upon co-primary endpoints are the percentage of patients achieving a 35% or greater reduction in spleen volume measured by MRI or CT scan from baseline to Week 24 of treatment and the percentage of patients achieving a TSS reduction of 50 percent or greater using eight key symptoms as measured by the modified MPN-SAF TSS 2.0 diary from baseline to Week 24. The design of PERSIST-1 and PERSIST-2 allowed for patients on the BAT arm to crossover and receive treatment with pacritinib if their disease progresses or after they achieve the 24-week measurement endpoint. Although crossover design

of clinical trials may confound evaluation of survival, such designs are frequently used in cancer studies, and the FDA has approved multiple oncology drugs that utilized crossover design in Phase 3 trials.

In February 2015, we received a recommendation from the Independent Data Monitoring Committee, or IDMC, in place at the time to terminate the PERSIST-1 trial and hold enrollment of new patients in the PERSIST-2 trial. The IDMC's recommendation was based on non-statistically significant safety concerns, including mortality, in patients on pacritinib, particularly those who crossover after 24 weeks, which crossover potentially confounds evaluation of survival. The IDMC agreed that the recommendation would be only preliminary until we were unblinded to and could review the primary and secondary endpoint data as well as safety results from the PERSIST-1 trial. The IDMC recommendation was reviewed with the PERSIST Steering Committee, comprised of external experts and the study's principal investigators who disagreed with the IDMC's recommendation and expressed the view that the studies should continue as planned. We also asked an independent clinician and a statistician experienced in oversight of clinical trial safety to evaluate the safety profile of pacritinib in the PERSIST-1 trial. Neither was told of the recommendation reached by either the IDMC or the Steering Committee. Both experts agreed with the Steering Committee that the studies could continue. The firm that assembled the IDMC hired a second external independent statistician to review the IDMC's analyses and recommendation, who also disagreed with the IDMC recommendation and concurred with the other independent experts that the studies need not be terminated nor enrollment held. In June 2015, the IDMC made its recommendation final and we provided to the FDA the information reviewed by the IDMC, the IDMC's meeting minutes, and the written opinion of the Steering Committee co-chairs, the independent experts, and the second independent statistician. In July 2015, we requested a meeting with the FDA to confirm if we should continue the studies. The FDA assigned the request to a type C meeting. In its written response, the FDA did not mandate any modifications to the studies or place pacritinib on clinical hold at that time, but indicated that it had not yet reviewed the data and noted the difficulty in attempting to draw meaningful conclusions from non-significant results, and that the crossover designs may confound the analysis of survival. We determined that no modifications to the ongoing trials were required. Because we had concerns about the original IDMC's impartiality, we decided to discharge it, and retained a new IDMC through an independent firm specializing in IDMCs. The newly constituted IDMC met on several occasions and its recommendation was to continue PERSIST-2 as planned.

On February 8, 2016, the FDA notified us that a full clinical hold had been placed on pacritinib clinical studies. A full clinical hold is a suspension of the clinical work requested under the investigational new drug, or an IND, application. Under the full clinical hold, all patients on pacritinib at the time were required to discontinue pacritinib immediately and no patients could be enrolled or start pacritinib as initial or crossover treatment. In its written notification, the FDA cited the reasons for the full clinical hold were that it noted interim overall survival results from the PERSIST-2 Phase 3 trial showing a detrimental effect on survival consistent with the results from PERSIST-1. The deaths in PERSIST-2 in pacritinib-treated patients include intracranial hemorrhage, cardiac failure and cardiac arrest. In connection with the full clinical hold, the FDA has recommended that we conduct Phase 1 dose exploration studies of pacritinib in patients with myelofibrosis, submit final clinical study reports, or CSRs, and datasets for PERSIST-1 and PERSIST-2, provide certain notifications, revise relevant statements in the related Investigator's Brochure and informed consent documents and make certain modifications to protocols. In addition, the FDA recommended that we request a meeting prior to submitting a response to full clinical hold. As a result of the full clinical hold of pacritinib, the SPA agreement is no longer binding for PERSIST-2, and we have withdrawn the NDA.

In February 2016, prior to the clinical hold we completed patient enrollment in the PERSIST-2 Phase 3 clinical trial. Under the full clinical hold, all patients participating in the PERSIST-2 clinical trial discontinued pacritinib treatment.

In August 2016, we announced the top-line results from PERSIST-2, and the detailed results were presented in a late-breaking oral session at the American Society of Hematology Annual Meeting in December 2016. In the PERSIST-2 trial three hundred eleven (311) patients were randomized to receive 200 mg pacritinib BID, 400 mg pacritinib QD or BAT. Two hundred twenty-one (221) patients (74 pacritinib BID; 75 pacritinib QD; 72 BAT) were

enrolled at least 24 weeks prior to the full clinical hold and were potentially evaluable for the Week 24 efficacy endpoint (ITT efficacy population). In the ITT efficacy population at study entry, 46 percent (101/221) of patients had platelet counts less than 50,000 per microliter ($<50,000/\mu\text{L}$), and 59 percent (130/221) were anemic (hemoglobin <10 g/dL). Normal platelet counts range from 150,000 to 450,000 per microliter. The percentage of patients in the ITT efficacy population who received prior ruxolitinib was as follows: 41 percent (31/75) pacritinib QD; 42 percent (31/74) pacritinib BID; and 46 percent (33/72) BAT. Safety analyses were based on all patients exposed to study treatment of any duration.

The co-primary endpoints of the trial were the proportion of patients achieving a 35 percent or greater reduction in spleen volume from baseline to Week 24 as measured by MRI or CT scan and the proportion of patients achieving a TSS reduction of 50 percent or greater using the modified Myeloproliferative Neoplasm Symptom Assessment (MPN-SAF TSS 2.0) diary from baseline to Week 24. The primary objective of the study was to compare pooled pacritinib arms versus BAT

and the secondary objectives were to compare pacritinib BID and QD arms individually to BAT. Study was designed to evaluate its objectives with a sample size of 300. At the time of clinical hold, study enrollment was completed with three hundred eleven (311) patients randomized, but only two hundred twenty one (221) patients had the potential to be evaluated for efficacy endpoints at Week 24.

The PERSIST-2 trial met one of the co-primary endpoints showing a statistically significant response rate in SVR in patients with myelofibrosis treated with pacritinib combining the once- and twice-daily arms compared to BAT. Although the PERSIST-2 trial did not meet the other co-primary endpoint of greater than 50 percent reduction in TSS, the results approached marginal significance compared to BAT. Although secondary objectives could not be evaluated formally due to the study not achieving one of the primary objectives, when the two pacritinib dosing arms were evaluated separately versus BAT, pacritinib given twice daily showed a higher percent of SVR and TSS responses compared to BAT; whereas, pacritinib given once daily showed only a higher percent SVR responses compared to BAT.

Spleen Volume Reduction of $\geq 35\%$; Total Symptom Score Reduction of $\geq 50\%$ at Week 24

	Co-Primary Pacritinib BID + QD (n=149)	Secondary Pacritinib BID (n=74)	Secondary Pacritinib QD (n=75)	BAT (n=72)
Percent of Patients with $\geq 35\%$ SVR from baseline to Week 24	18% (n=27;p=0.001)	22% (n=16;p=0.001)	15% (n=11;p=0.017)	3% (n=2)
Percent of Patients with $\geq 50\%$ reduction in TSS from baseline to Week 24	25% (n=37;p=0.079)	32% (n=24;p=0.011)	17% (n=13;p=0.652)	14% (n=10)

A total of 45 percent of the BAT patients randomized received ruxolitinib at some point on the study.

There was no significant difference in overall survival, or OS, across treatment arms, censored at the time of clinical hold. Hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals, or CI) were 0.68 (0.30-1.53) for pacritinib BID versus BAT and 1.18 (0.57-2.44) for pacritinib QD versus BAT. Overall mortality rates at that time were comparable between arms: 9 percent BID versus 14 percent QD and 14 percent BAT.

The most common treatment-emergent AEs, occurring in 20 percent or more of patients treated with pacritinib within 24 weeks, of any grade, were gastrointestinal (generally manageable diarrhea, nausea and vomiting) and hematologic (anemia and thrombocytopenia) and were generally less frequent for BID versus QD administration. The most common serious treatment-emergent AEs (incidence of ≥ 5 percent reported in any treatment arm irrespective of grade) were anemia, thrombocytopenia, pneumonia and acute renal failure none of which exceeded 8 percent individually in any arm.

In January 2017, the FDA removed the full clinical hold following review of our complete response submission which included, among other items, final Clinical Study Reports for both PERSIST-1 and 2 trials and a dose-exploration clinical trial protocol that the FDA requested.

In July 2017, we enrolled our first patient in the PAC203 trial which plans to enroll up to approximately 105 patients with primary myelofibrosis who have failed prior ruxolitinib therapy to evaluate the dose response relationship for safety and efficacy (SVR at 12 and 24 weeks) of three dose regimens: 100 mg QD, 100 mg BID and 200 mg BID. The 200 mg BID dose regimen was used in PERSIST-2. We expect to complete enrollment by mid-2018. We expect to have interim data from PAC203 by the end of the second quarter of 2018 and topline data in the first quarter of 2019.

Marketing Authorization Application

The Marketing Authorization Application, or MAA, for pacritinib was submitted to the European Medicines Agency, or EMA, in February 2016 with an indication statement based on the PERSIST-1 trial data. In its initial assessment report, the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, or CHMP, determined that the current application is not approvable at this point in the review cycle because of major objections in the areas of efficacy, safety (hematological and cardiovascular toxicity) and the overall risk-benefit profile of pacritinib. Subsequent to the filing of the MAA, data from the second phase 3 trial of pacritinib, PERSIST-2, were reported. These data suggest that pacritinib may show clinical benefit in patients who

have failed or are intolerant to ruxolitinib therapy, a population for which there is no approved therapy. Following discussions with the EMA about how PERSIST-2 data might address the major objections and how to integrate the data into the current application, we withdrew the original MAA, and submitted a new application for the treatment of patients with myelofibrosis who have thrombocytopenia (platelet counts less than 100,000 per microliter). The new MAA was validated by the EMA in July 2017. Validation confirms that the submission is complete and initiates the centralized review process by the CHMP. The CHMP review period is 210 days, excluding question or opinion response periods, after which the CHMP opinion is reviewed by the European Commission, which usually issues a final decision on E.U. authorization within three months. If authorized, pacritinib would be granted a marketing license valid in all 28 E.U. member states, Norway, Iceland and Liechtenstein.

On January 25, 2018, we were granted a three-month extension for submitting our response to the Day 120 List of Questions, or D120 LoQ, from the CHMP of the EMA, with regard to the MAA for pacritinib. As a result of the extension, we anticipate submitting our response to the D120 LoQ in May 2018. We primarily requested the extension in order to provide the EMA with new pharmacokinetic analyses that include data from the ongoing phase 2 PAC203 study. The Day 120 LoQ were received by the Company in November 2017 and included Major Objections in areas including efficacy, safety (including hematological, cardiovascular and infectious toxicities) and other concerns including the size of the data set and the pharmacokinetic analyses of the two dosing regimens studied in PERSIST-2. The extension request was submitted following a clarification meeting with the rapporteur and co-rapporteur and members of the EMA. We also plan to address with the EMA deficiencies identified in a January 2018 interim GCP inspection report which concluded that PERSIST-2 was in most aspects conducted in compliance with GCP and internationally accepted ethical standards, but compliance was not verified in the areas of protocol compliance, safety reporting and data integrity, where significant deficiencies were cited.

Development in Other Indications

In December 2014, we announced results of a preclinical analysis of kinase inhibition by pacritinib that demonstrated a unique kinome profile among agents in development for myelofibrosis and suggests potential therapeutic benefit across a spectrum of blood-related cancers. Pacritinib's potent inhibition of FLT3, c-fms, IRAK1 and c-kit highlight its potential therapeutic utility in other indications, such as AML, MDS, CMML and CLL, some of which are currently being evaluated in ISTs.

In October 2016, we regained worldwide rights for the development and commercialization of pacritinib following termination of the Pacritinib License Agreement with Baxalta. For additional information relating to the termination of the Pacritinib License Agreement, see "License Agreements and Additional Milestone Activities - Baxalta" below.

Tosedostat

Tosedostat, is a novel oral, once-daily aminopeptidase inhibitor that has demonstrated significant responses in patients with AML. It has been evaluated in randomized Phase 2 cooperative group-sponsored trials in elderly patients with AML.

Enrollment in the randomized Phase 2 cooperative group-sponsored trials in elderly patients with AML (the LI1 trial) was halted in March 2017 after target recruitment had been attained. Following a Data Monitoring Committee meeting in November 2017, the trial Steering Committee decided to not reopen randomization as a sufficient survival benefit had not been demonstrated in patients receiving tosedostat combination therapy.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development is essential to our business. We spent \$32.9 million, \$65.0 million and \$76.6 million in 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, on Company-sponsored research and development activities. The development of a product candidate involves inherent risks and uncertainties, including, among other things, that we cannot predict with any certainty the pace of enrollment of our clinical trials. As a result, we are unable to provide the nature, timing and estimated costs of the efforts necessary to complete the development of pacritinib and tosedostat or to complete the post-approval commitment study of PIXUVRI. Further, third parties are conducting clinical trials for tosedostat and pacritinib. Even after a clinical trial is enrolled, preclinical and clinical data can be interpreted in different ways, which could delay, limit or preclude regulatory approval and advancement of this compound through the development process. For these reasons, among others, we cannot estimate the date on which clinical development of these product candidates will be completed or when, if ever, we will generate material net cash inflows from PIXUVRI or be able to commence commercialization of pacritinib and tosedostat. For additional information relating to our research and development expenses and associated risks, see Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Results of Operations - Years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 - Operating costs and expenses - Research and development expenses" and Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors."

License Agreements and Additional Milestone Activities

Servier

In April 2017, we entered into the Restated Agreement with Servier, pursuant to which the Original Agreement with Servier, entered into in September 2014, was amended and restated in its entirety. Under the Original Agreement, we granted Servier an exclusive and sublicensable (subject to certain conditions) royalty-bearing license with respect to the development and commercialization of PIXUVRI for use in pharmaceutical products outside of Austria, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Israel, Norway, Sweden, Turkey, the U.K. and the U.S. Under the Original Agreement, we received an upfront payment in October 2014 of €14.0 million (or \$17.8 million using the currency exchange rate as of the date we received the funds). In addition, we received a €1.5 million (or \$1.7 million upon conversion from euros as of the date we received the funds) milestone payment relating to the attainment of reimbursement approval for PIXUVRI in Spain and a €7.5 million (or \$8.0 million upon conversion from euros as of the date we achieved the milestone in December 2016) milestone payment relating to the occurrence of a certain enrollment event in the PIX306 study.

Under the Restated Agreement, we granted Servier an exclusive, sublicensable (subject to certain exceptions) license with respect to the development and commercialization of PIXUVRI for use in pharmaceutical products, or Licensed Products, outside of the U.S. (and its territories and possessions). In accordance with the Restated Agreement, we will transfer to Servier medical affairs and commercialization activities relating to the Licensed Products in Austria, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Israel, Norway, Sweden, Turkey and the U.K. (collectively, the "Transition Territory"). We are in the process of terminating or assigning certain distributor and wholesaler contracts to Servier in the Transition Territory, and expect the last contract to be terminated in 2018. Each party will be responsible for the manufacture and supply of drug products and substances in its respective territory.

We have obtained conditional marketing authorization in the E.U. to market PIXUVRI for the treatment of adult patients with relapsed or refractory aggressive non-Hodgkin B-cell lymphomas. Under the Restated Agreement, we will transfer our European marketing authorization to Servier upon positive, statistically significant results in an ongoing post-authorization Phase 3 clinical trial, PIX306, unless Servier elects to terminate the Restatement Agreement within thirty (30) days after the positive results.

We received an upfront payment of €12.0 million from Servier, which included €2.0 million for a new milestone previously achieved, and Servier purchased PIXUVRI drug product for an additional €0.9 million in July 2017. Subject to the achievement of certain conditions, the Restated Agreement provides for additional milestone payments from Servier in the aggregate amount of up to €76.0 million, including up to €36.0 million in potential regulatory milestone payments and up to €40.0 million in potential sales milestone payments. In September 2017, we attained a regulatory milestone under the Restated Agreement and recorded a €1.0 million milestone revenue (or \$1.2 million using the currency exchange rate as of the date the milestone was achieved).

We are eligible to receive tiered royalty payments ranging from a low-double digit percentage up to a percentage in the low-twenties based on net sales of the Licensed Products, subject to certain reductions of up to mid-double digit percentages under certain circumstances. We will no longer use a joint marketing plan with Servier, and marketing costs will no longer be shared equally; instead Servier will be solely responsible for marketing costs within Europe. Mutually agreed upon

development costs other than PIX306 will continue to be shared equally with Servier, which represents no change to the development cost sharing.

The Restated Agreement also requires an amendment to the trademark license agreement entered into on June 8, 2015 between us and Servier to provide for Servier's right to use of our trademark PIXUVRI in connection with Licensed Products worldwide, excluding the U.S. (and its territories and possessions). The amendment was executed in October 2017.

Baxalta

In November 2013, we entered into a Development, Commercialization and License Agreement, dated as of November 14, 2013, with Baxter International Inc., or Baxter, for the development and commercialization of pacritinib for use in oncology and potentially additional therapeutic areas, or the Original Pacritinib License Agreement. The Original Pacritinib License Agreement, the rights and obligations to which Baxter had assigned to Baxalta, was amended by the License Amendment, effective June 8, 2015. Under the Original Pacritinib License Agreement, as amended by the License Amendment, or the Pacritinib License Agreement, Baxalta had an exclusive, worldwide (subject to co-promotion rights discussed below), royalty-bearing, non-transferable license (which was sub-licensable under certain circumstances) relating to pacritinib. Licensed products under the Pacritinib License Agreement consisted of products in which pacritinib is an ingredient.

We received an upfront payment of \$60.0 million under the Pacritinib License Agreement, which included a \$30.0 million investment in our equity. The Pacritinib License Agreement also provided for us to receive potential additional payments of up to \$302.0 million upon the successful achievement of certain development and commercialization milestones, comprised of \$112.0 million of potential clinical, regulatory and commercial launch milestone payments, and potential additional sales milestone payments of up to \$190.0 million. We have received milestone payments of \$52.0 million pursuant to the Pacritinib License Agreement.

In June 2015, we entered into the License Amendment. Pursuant to the License Amendment, two potential milestone payments in the aggregate amount of \$32.0 million from Baxalta to us were accelerated from the schedule contemplated by the Original Pacritinib License Agreement relating to the PERSIST-2 Milestone and the MAA Milestone. In the first quarter of 2016, we recorded \$32.0 million in license and contract revenue upon attainment of these milestones.

In October 2016, we regained worldwide rights for the development and commercialization of pacritinib following termination of the Pacritinib License Agreement with Baxalta. Pursuant to the termination, Baxalta paid us a one-time cash payment in the amount of approximately \$10.3 million as reimbursement for certain expenses incurred by us or to be incurred. In exchange, we have agreed to provide a one-time payment to Baxalta, upon the first regulatory approval or any pricing and reimbursement approvals of a product containing pacritinib, in the amount of approximately \$10.3 million which represents certain amounts paid by Baxalta for the benefit of the pacritinib program manufacturing efforts. We have also agreed not to transfer, license, sublicense or otherwise grant rights with respect to intellectual property of pacritinib unless the transferee/licensee/sublicensee agrees to be bound by the terms of the Asset Return and Termination Agreement with Baxalta.

University of Vermont

We entered into an agreement with the University of Vermont, or UVM, in March 1995, as amended, or the UVM Agreement, which grants us an exclusive sublicensable license for the rights to PIXUVRI. Pursuant to the UVM Agreement, we acquired the rights to make, have made, sell and use PIXUVRI, and we are obligated to make royalty payments to UVM ranging from low single digits to mid-single digits as a percentage of net sales; such royalty

expenses are included in Cost of product sold in our consolidated financial statements. The higher royalty rate is payable for net sales in countries where specified UVM licensed patents exist, or where we have obtained orphan drug protection, until such UVM patents or such protection no longer exists. For a period of ten years after the first commercialization of PIXUVRI, the lower royalty rate is payable for net sales in such countries after expiration of the designated UVM patents or loss of orphan drug protection, and in all other countries without such specified UVM patents or orphan drug protection. Unless otherwise terminated, the term of the UVM Agreement continues for the life of the licensed patents in those countries in which a licensed patent exists, and continues for ten years after the first sale of PIXUVRI in those countries where no such patents exist. We may terminate the UVM Agreement, on a country-by-country basis or on a patent-by-patent basis, at any time upon advance written notice. UVM may terminate the UVM Agreement upon advance written notice in the event royalty payments are not made. In addition, either party may terminate the UVM Agreement in the event of an uncured material breach of the UVM Agreement by the other party or in the event of bankruptcy of the other party.

S*BIO

We acquired the compounds SB1518 (which is referred to as “pacritinib”) and SB1578, which inhibit JAK2 and FLT3, from S*BIO in May 2012. Under our agreement with S*BIO, we are required to make milestone payments to S*BIO up to an aggregate amount of \$132.5 million if certain U.S., E.U. and Japanese regulatory approvals are obtained and if certain worldwide net sales thresholds are met in connection with any pharmaceutical product containing or comprising any compound that we acquired from S*BIO for use for specific diseases, infections or other conditions. At our election, we may pay up to 50% of any milestone payments to S*BIO through the issuance of shares of our common stock or shares of our preferred stock convertible into our common stock. In addition, S*BIO will be entitled to receive royalty payments from us at incremental rates in the low single-digits based on certain worldwide net sales thresholds on a product-by-product and country-by-country basis.

Vernalis

We entered into an amended and restated exclusive license agreement with Vernalis (R&D) Limited, or Vernalis, in October 2014, or the Vernalis License Agreement, for the exclusive worldwide right to use certain patents and other intellectual property rights to develop, market and commercialize tosedostat and certain other compounds. Under the Vernalis License Agreement, we have agreed to make tiered royalty payments of no more than a high single-digit percentage of net sales of products containing licensed compounds, with such obligation to continue on a country-by-country basis for the longer of ten years following commercial launch or the expiry of relevant patent claims.

The Vernalis License Agreement will terminate when the royalty obligations expire, although the parties have early termination rights under certain circumstances, including the following: (i) we have the right to terminate, with three months’ notice, upon the belief that the continued development of tosedostat or any of the other licensed compounds is not commercially viable; (ii) Vernalis has the right to terminate in the event of our uncured failure to pay sums due; and (iii) either party has the right to terminate in the event of the other party’s uncured material breach or insolvency.

Gynecologic Oncology Group

We entered into an agreement with the Gynecologic Oncology Group, now part of NRG Oncology, in March 2004, as amended, related to the GOG-0212 trial of Opaxio it is conducting in patients with ovarian cancer. Pursuant to the terms of such agreement, we paid an aggregate of \$1.2 million in milestone payments during 2014 based on certain enrollment milestones achieved. In addition, we made a milestone payment of \$0.5 million relating to the transfer of final datasets during the second quarter of 2017. The agreement was terminated in May 2017. No further development of Opaxio is planned.

PG-TXL

In November 1998, we entered into an agreement with PG-TXL, as amended in February 2006, or the PG-TXL Agreement, which granted us an exclusive worldwide license for the rights to Opaxio and to all potential uses of PG-TXL’s polymer technology. Pursuant to the PG-TXL Agreement, we acquired the rights to research, develop, manufacture, market and sell anti-cancer drugs developed using this polymer technology. Pursuant to the PG-TXL Agreement, we were obligated to make payments to PG-TXL upon the achievement of certain development and regulatory milestones of up to \$14.4 million. The timing of the remaining milestone payments under the PG-TXL Agreement was based on trial commencements and completions for compounds protected by PG-TXL license rights, and regulatory and marketing approval of those compounds by the FDA and the EMA. Additionally, we were required to make royalty payments to PG-TXL based on net sales. Our royalty obligations ranged from low to mid-single digits as a percentage of net sales. In February 2017, we terminated our agreement with PG-TXL and the exclusive

worldwide license for rights to Opaxio and certain polymer technology under our agreement with PG-TXL.

Novartis

In January 2014, we entered into a Termination Agreement with Novartis, or the Novartis Termination Agreement, to reacquire the rights to PIXUVRI previously granted to Novartis under our agreement entered into in September 2006, as amended, or the Original Novartis Agreement. Pursuant to the Novartis Termination Agreement, the Original Novartis Agreement was terminated in its entirety, except for certain customary provisions, including those pertaining to confidentiality and indemnification, which survive termination.

Under the Novartis Termination Agreement, we agreed not to transfer, license, sublicense or otherwise grant rights with respect to intellectual property of PIXUVRI and Opaxio unless the recipient thereof agrees to be bound by the terms of the Novartis Termination Agreement. We also agreed to provide potential payments to Novartis, including a percentage ranging from the low double-digits to the mid-teens, of any consideration received by us or our affiliates in connection with any transfer, license, sublicense or other grant of rights with respect to intellectual property of PIXUVRI or Opaxio; provided that such payments will not exceed certain prescribed ceilings in the low single-digit millions. Novartis is entitled to receive potential payments of up to \$16.6 million upon the successful achievement of certain sales milestones of PIXUVRI and Opaxio. We are also obligated to pay to Novartis tiered low single-digit percentage royalty payments for the first several hundred million dollars in annual net sales, and 10% royalty payments thereafter based on annual net sales of each of PIXUVRI and Opaxio, subject to reduction in the event generic drugs are introduced and sold by a third party, causing the sale of PIXUVRI to fall by a percentage in the high double digits. Royalty payments for PIXUVRI are subject to certain minimum floor percentages in the low single digits. The royalty expenses payable under the Novartis Termination Agreement are included in Cost of product sold in our consolidated financial statements.

Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.

In June 2005, we entered into an acquisition agreement with Cephalon, Inc., or Cephalon, pursuant to which we divested the compound, TRISENOX. Cephalon was subsequently acquired by Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., or Teva. Under this agreement, we have the right to receive up to \$100 million in payments upon achievement by Teva of specified sales and development milestones related to TRISENOX. To date, we have received \$50.0 million of such potential milestone payments as a result of having achieved certain sales milestones.

Other Agreements

We have several agreements with CROs, third-party manufacturers and distributors that have durations of greater than one year for the development and distribution of certain of our compounds.

Information about Customer and Geographic Concentrations

Information about customer and geographic revenue is set forth in Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 15. Customer and Geographic Concentrations" of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Patents and Proprietary Rights

We dedicate significant resources to protecting our intellectual property, which is important to our business. We have filed numerous patent applications in the U.S. and various other countries seeking protection of inventions originating from our research and development, and we have also obtained rights to various patents and patent applications under licenses with third parties and through acquisitions. Patents have been issued on many of these applications. We have pending patent applications or issued patents in the U.S. and foreign countries directed to PIXUVRI, pacritinib, tosedostat and other product candidates. However, the lives of these patents are limited. Patents for the individual products extend for varying periods according to the date of the patent filing or grant and the legal term of patents in the various countries where patent protection is obtained.

Our U.S. and foreign composition of matter patents for pacritinib expire as follows: US patents expire in 2029 (compound) / 2030 (salt); foreign patents expire in 2026 (compound) / 2029 (salt). Pacritinib has orphan drug designation for myelofibrosis in the U.S. and the E.U.

Our various tosedostat-directed patents expire in 2018. Tosedostat has orphan drug designation for acute myeloid leukemia in the U.S. and the E.U.

Each patent may be eligible for future patent term restoration of up to five years under certain circumstances. Also, regulatory exclusivity tied to the protection of clinical data may be complementary to patent protection. During a period of regulatory exclusivity, competitors generally may not use the original applicant's data as the basis for a generic application. In the U.S., the data protection generally runs for five years from first marketing approval of a new chemical entity, extended to seven years for an orphan drug indication.

In the absence of a patent, we would, to the extent possible, need to rely on unpatented technology, know-how and confidential information. Ultimately, the lack or expiration at any given time of a patent to protect our compounds may allow our competitors to copy the underlying inventions and better compete with us.

The risks and uncertainties associated with our intellectual property, including our patents, are discussed in more detail in Part I, Item 1A, “Risk Factors.”

Manufacturing, Distribution and Associated Operations

Our manufacturing strategy utilizes third party contractors for the procurement and manufacture, as applicable, of raw materials, active pharmaceutical ingredients and finished drug product, as well as for labeling, packaging, storage and distribution of our compounds and associated supply chain operations. As our business continues to expand, we expect that our manufacturing, distribution and related operational requirements will increase correspondingly. Additionally, in October 2016, we resumed primary responsibility for the development and commercialization of pacritinib as a result of the termination of the Pacritinib License Agreement. The development and commercialization of a major product candidate like pacritinib without a collaborative partner would significantly increase our manufacturing, distribution and related operational requirements.

Each third party contractor will always undergo a formal qualification process by CTI subject matter experts prior to signing any service agreement and initiating any manufacturing work. We currently have a commercial supply arrangement for PIXUVRI and pacritinib.

Integral to our manufacturing strategy is our quality control and quality assurance program, which includes standard operating procedures and specifications with the goal that our compounds are manufactured in accordance with current Good Manufacturing Practices, or cGMPs, and other applicable global regulations. The cGMP compliance includes strict adherence to regulations for quality control, quality assurance and the maintenance of records and documentation. Manufacturing facilities for products and product candidates must meet cGMP requirements, and commercialized products must have acquired FDA, EMA and any other applicable regulatory approval. In this regard, we expect to continue to rely on contract manufacturers to produce sufficient quantities of our compounds in accordance with cGMPs for use in clinical trials and distribution.

We believe our operational strategy of utilizing qualified outside vendors in the foregoing manner allows us to direct our financial and managerial resources to development and commercialization activities, rather than to the establishment and maintenance of a manufacturing and distribution infrastructure.

Competition

Competition in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries is intense. We face competition from a variety of companies focused on developing oncology drugs. We compete with large pharmaceutical companies and with other specialized biotechnology companies. In addition to the specific competitive factors discussed below, new anti-cancer drugs that may be developed and marketed in the future could compete with our various compounds.

With respect to PIXUVRI, while there are no other products approved in the E.U. as monotherapy for the treatment of adult patients with multiply relapsed or refractory aggressive NHL, there are other agents approved to treat aggressive NHL that could be used in this setting, including both branded and generic anthracyclines as well as mitoxantrone.

With respect to our other investigational candidates, if approved, they may face competition from compounds that are currently approved or may be approved in the future. Pacritinib would compete with Jakafi®, which is marketed by

Incyte in the U.S., and potentially other candidates in development that target JAK inhibition to treat cancer such as fedratinib that was recently acquired and now being developed by Celgene. Tosedostat would compete with currently marketed products such as Dacogen®, Vidaza®, Revlimid®, Thalomid® and Clolar®.

Many of our existing or potential competitors have substantially greater financial, technical and human resources than us and may be better equipped to develop, manufacture and market products. Smaller companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large pharmaceutical and established biotechnology companies. Many of these competitors have products that have been approved or are in development and operate large, well-funded research and development programs.

Companies that complete clinical trials, obtain required regulatory approvals and commence commercial sales of their products before us may achieve a significant competitive advantage if their products work through a similar mechanism as our products and if the approved indications are similar. A number of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies are developing new products for the treatment of the same diseases being targeted by us. In some instances, such products have already entered late-stage clinical trials or received FDA or European Commission approval. However, cancer drugs with distinctly different mechanisms of action are often used together in combination for treating cancer, allowing several different products to target the same cancer indication or disease type. Such combination therapy is typically supported by clinical trials that demonstrate the advantage of combination therapy over that of a single-agent treatment.

We believe that our ability to compete successfully will be based on our ability to create and maintain scientifically advanced technology, develop proprietary products, attract and retain scientific personnel, obtain patent or other protection for our products, obtain required regulatory approvals and manufacture and successfully market our products, either alone or through outside parties. We will continue to seek licenses with respect to technology related to our field of interest and may face competition with respect to such efforts. See the risk factor, “We face direct and intense competition from our competitors in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, and we may not compete successfully against them.” in Part I, Item 1A, “Risk Factors” of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding the risks and uncertainties we face due to competition in our industry.

Government Regulation

We are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and other federal, state, and local regulatory agencies. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or the FDCA, and its implementing regulations set forth, among other things, requirements for the testing, development, manufacture, quality control, safety, effectiveness, approval, labeling, storage, record keeping, reporting, distribution, import, export, advertising and promotion of our products. Our activities in other countries will be subject to regulation that is similar in nature and scope as that imposed in the U.S., although there can be important differences. Additionally, some significant aspects of regulation in the E.U. are addressed in a centralized way through the EMA and the European Commission, but country-specific regulation by the competent authorities of the E.U. member states remains essential in many respects.

U.S. Regulation

In the U.S., the FDA regulates drugs under the FDCA and its implementing regulations, through review and approval of NDAs. NDAs require extensive studies and submission of a large amount of data by the applicant.

Drug Development

Preclinical Testing. Before testing any compound in human subjects in the U.S., a company must generate extensive preclinical data. Preclinical testing generally includes laboratory evaluation of product chemistry and formulation, as well as toxicological and pharmacological studies in several animal species to assess the quality and safety of the product. Animal studies must be performed in compliance with the FDA’s Good Laboratory Practice regulations and the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s Animal Welfare Act.

IND Application. Human clinical trials in the U.S. cannot commence until an IND application is submitted and becomes effective. A company must submit preclinical testing results to the FDA as part of the IND application, and the FDA must evaluate whether there is an adequate basis for testing the drug in initial clinical studies in human volunteers. Unless the FDA raises concerns, the IND application becomes effective 30 days following its receipt by the FDA. Once human clinical trials have commenced, the FDA may stop the clinical trials by placing them on “clinical hold” because of concerns about the safety of the product being tested, or for other reasons.

Clinical Trials. Clinical trials involve the administration of the drug to healthy human volunteers or to patients, under the supervision of a qualified investigator. The conduct of clinical trials is subject to extensive regulation, including compliance with the FDA's bioresearch monitoring regulations and Good Clinical Practice, or GCP, requirements, which establish standards for conducting, recording data from and reporting the results of, clinical trials, and are intended to assure that the data and reported results are credible and accurate, and that the rights, safety, and well-being of study participants are protected. Clinical trials must be conducted under protocols that detail the study objectives, parameters for monitoring safety, and the efficacy criteria, if any, to be evaluated. Each protocol is reviewed by the FDA as part of the IND application. In addition, each clinical trial must be reviewed, approved, and conducted under the auspices of an institutional review board, or IRB, at the institution conducting the clinical trial. Companies sponsoring the clinical trials, investigators, and IRBs also must comply with regulations and guidelines for obtaining informed consent from the study subjects, complying with the protocol

and investigational plan, adequately monitoring the clinical trial and timely reporting adverse events. Foreign studies conducted under an IND application must meet the same requirements that apply to studies being conducted in the U.S. Data from a foreign study not conducted under an IND application may be submitted in support of an NDA if the study was conducted in accordance with GCP and the FDA is able to validate the data.

A study sponsor is required to submit certain details about active clinical trials and clinical trial results to the National Institutes of Health for public posting on <http://clinicaltrials.gov>. Human clinical trials typically are conducted in three sequential phases, although the phases may overlap with one another:

Phase 1 clinical trials include the initial administration of the investigational drug to humans, typically to a small group of healthy human subjects, but occasionally to a group of patients with the targeted disease or disorder. Phase 1 clinical trials generally are intended to determine the metabolism and pharmacologic actions of the drug, the side effects associated with increasing doses, and, if possible, to gain early evidence of effectiveness.

Phase 2 clinical trials generally are controlled studies that involve a relatively small sample of the intended patient population, and are designed to develop data regarding the product's effectiveness, to determine dose response and the optimal dose range and to gather additional information relating to safety and potential adverse effects.

Phase 3 clinical trials are conducted after preliminary evidence of effectiveness has been obtained, and are intended to gather the additional information about safety and effectiveness necessary to evaluate the drug's overall risk-benefit profile, and to provide a basis for physician labeling. Generally, Phase 3 clinical development programs consist of expanded, large-scale studies of patients with the target disease or disorder to obtain statistical evidence of the efficacy and safety of the drug, or the safety, purity, and potency of a biological product, at the proposed dosing regimen.

The sponsoring company, the FDA or the IRB may suspend or terminate a clinical trial at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the subjects are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. Further, success in early-stage clinical trials does not assure success in later-stage clinical trials. Data obtained from clinical activities are not always conclusive and may be subject to alternative interpretations that could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval.

The FDA and IND application sponsor may agree in writing on the design and size of clinical trials intended to form the primary basis of an effectiveness claim in an NDA application. This process is known as a SPA. These agreements may not be changed after the clinical trials begin, except in limited circumstances. The existence of a SPA, however, does not assure approval of a product candidate.

Drug Approval

Assuming successful completion of the required clinical testing, the results of the preclinical studies and of the clinical trials, together with other detailed information, including information on the manufacture and composition of the investigational product, are submitted to the FDA in the form of an NDA requesting approval to market the product for one or more indications. The testing and approval process requires substantial time, effort and financial resources. Submission of an NDA requires payment of a substantial review user fee to the FDA. The FDA will review the application and may deem it to be inadequate to support commercial marketing, and there can be no assurance that any product approval will be granted on a timely basis, if at all. The FDA may also seek the advice of an advisory committee, typically a panel of clinicians practicing in the field for which the product is intended, for review, evaluation and a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved. The FDA is not bound by the recommendations of the advisory committee.

The FDA has various programs, including breakthrough therapy, fast track, priority review and accelerated approval, that are intended to expedite or simplify the process for reviewing drugs and/or provide for approval on the basis of

surrogate endpoints. Generally, drugs that may be eligible for one or more of these programs are those for serious or life-threatening conditions, those with the potential to address unmet medical needs and those that provide meaningful benefit over existing treatments. We cannot be sure that any of our drugs will qualify for any of these programs, or that, if a drug does qualify, the review time will be reduced or the product will be approved.

Before approving a NDA, the FDA usually will inspect the facility or the facilities where the product is manufactured, tested and distributed and will not approve the product unless cGMP compliance is satisfactory. If the FDA evaluates the NDA and the manufacturing facilities as acceptable, the FDA may issue an approval letter, or in some cases, a complete response letter. A complete response letter contains a number of conditions that must be met in order to secure final approval of the NDA. When and if those conditions have been met to the FDA's satisfaction, the FDA will issue an approval letter. The approval letter authorizes commercial marketing of the drug for specific indications. As a condition of approval, the FDA may

require post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the product's safety or efficacy, or impose other post-approval commitment conditions.

In some circumstances, post-marketing testing may include post-approval clinical trials, sometimes referred to as Phase 4 clinical trials, which are used primarily to gain additional experience from the treatment of patients in the intended population, particularly for long-term safety follow-up. In addition, the FDA may require a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy, or REMS, to ensure that the benefits outweigh the risks. A REMS can include medication guides, physician communication plans and elements to assure safe use, such as restricted distribution methods, patient registries or other risk mitigation tools.

After approval, certain changes to the approved product, such as adding new indications, making certain manufacturing changes or making certain additional labeling claims, are subject to further FDA review and approval. Obtaining approval for a new indication generally requires that additional clinical trials be conducted.

Post-Approval Requirements

Holders of an approved NDA are required to: (i) report certain adverse reactions to the FDA; (ii) comply with certain requirements concerning advertising and promotional labeling for their products; and (iii) continue to have quality control and manufacturing procedures conform to cGMP after approval. The FDA periodically inspects the sponsor's records related to safety reporting and/or manufacturing and distribution facilities; this latter effort includes assessment of compliance with cGMP. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money and effort in the area of production, quality control and distribution to maintain cGMP compliance. Future FDA inspections may identify compliance issues at manufacturing facilities that may disrupt production or distribution, or require substantial resources to correct. In addition, discovery of problems with a product after approval may result in restrictions on a product, manufacturer or holder of an approved NDA, including withdrawal of the product from the market.

Marketing of prescription drugs is also subject to significant regulation through federal and state agencies tasked with consumer protection and prevention of medical fraud, waste and abuse. After approval in the U.S., we must comply with FDA's regulation of drug promotion and advertising, including restrictions on off-label promotion, and we comply with federal anti-kickback statutes, limitations on gifts and payments to physicians and reporting of payments to certain third parties, among other requirements.

Failure to comply with applicable U.S. requirements may subject us to administrative or judicial sanctions, such as clinical holds, FDA refusal to approve pending NDAs or supplemental applications, warning letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions and/or criminal prosecution.

Non-U.S. Regulation

Before our products can be marketed outside of the U.S., they are subject to regulatory approval similar to that required in the U.S., although the requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, including additional clinical trials that may be required, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary widely from country to country. No action can be taken to market any product in a country until an appropriate application has been approved by the regulatory authorities in that country. The current approval process varies from country to country, and the time spent in gaining approval varies from that required for FDA approval. In certain countries, the sales price of a product must also be approved. The pricing review period often begins after market approval is granted. Even if a product is approved by a regulatory authority, satisfactory prices may not be approved for such product.

In the E.U., marketing authorizations for medicinal products can be obtained through several different procedures founded on the same basic regulatory process. The centralized procedure is mandatory for certain medicinal products, including orphan medicinal products, medicinal products derived from certain biotechnological processes, advanced therapy medicinal products and certain other new medicinal products containing a new active substance for the treatment of certain diseases. It is optional for certain other products, including medicinal products that are significant therapeutic, scientific or technical innovations, or whose authorization would be in the interest of public or animal health. The centralized procedure allows a company to submit a single application to the EMA which will provide a positive opinion regarding the application if it meets certain quality, safety, and efficacy requirements. Based on the opinion of the EMA, the European Commission takes a final decision to grant a centralized marketing authorization which is valid in all 28 E.U. Member States and three of the four European Free Trade Association states (Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway).

Unlike the centralized authorization procedure, the decentralized marketing authorization procedure requires a separate application to, and leads to separate approval by, the competent authorities of each E.U. Member State in which the product is to be marketed. One national competent authority selected by the applicant, the Reference Member State, assesses the application for marketing authorization. Following a positive opinion by the competent authority of the Reference Member State the competent authorities of the other E.U. Member States, Concerned Member States are subsequently required to grant marketing authorization for their territory on the basis of this assessment except where grounds of potential serious risk to public health require this authorization to be refused. The mutual recognition procedure is similarly based on the acceptance by the competent authorities of the Concerned Member States of the marketing authorization of a medicinal product by the competent authorities of other Reference Member States. The holder of a national marketing authorization granted by a Reference Member State may submit an application to the competent authority of a Concerned Member State requesting that this authority mutually recognize the marketing authorization delivered by the competent authority of the Reference Member State.

Similar to accelerated approval regulations in the U.S., conditional marketing authorizations can be granted in the E.U. by the European Commission in exceptional circumstances. A conditional marketing authorization can be granted for medicinal products where a number of criteria are fulfilled; i) although comprehensive clinical data referring to the safety and efficacy of the medicinal product have not been supplied, the benefit/risk balance of the product is positive, ii) it is likely that the applicant will be in a position to provide the comprehensive clinical data, iii) unmet medical needs will be fulfilled and iv) the benefit to public health of the immediate availability on the market of the medicinal product concerned outweighs the risk inherent in the fact that additional data are still required. A conditional marketing authorization must be renewed annually. Under the provisions of the conditional marketing authorization for PIXUVRI, we are required to complete a post-marketing study to further investigate the effects of using PIXUVRI in patients who had received prior treatment with rituximab.

Even if a product receives authorization in the E.U., there can be no assurance that reimbursement for such product will be secured on a timely basis or at all. Individual countries comprising the EU member states, rather than the EU, have jurisdiction across the region over patient reimbursement or pricing matters. Therefore, we will need to expend significant effort and expense to establish and maintain reimbursement arrangements in the various countries comprising the E.U. and may never succeed in obtaining widespread reimbursement arrangements therein.

The national authorities of the individual E.U. Member States are free to restrict the range of medicinal products for which their national health insurance systems provide reimbursement and to control the prices and/or reimbursement of medicinal products for human use. Some E.U. Member States adopt policies according to which a specific price or level of reimbursement is approved for the medicinal product. Other E.U. Member States adopt a system of direct or indirect controls on the profitability of the company placing the medicinal product on the market, including volume-based arrangements and reference pricing mechanisms.

Health Technology Assessment, or HTA, of medicinal products is becoming an increasingly common part of the pricing and reimbursement procedures in some E.U. Member States. These E.U. Member States include the U.K, France, Germany and Sweden. The HTA process, which is governed by the national laws of these countries, is the procedure according to which the assessment of the public health impact, therapeutic impact and the economic and societal impact of use of a given medicinal product in the national healthcare systems of the individual country is conducted. The extent to which pricing and reimbursement decisions are influenced by the HTA of the specific medicinal product vary between E.U. Member States.

Post-Approval Regulation

Similarly to the U.S., both marketing authorization holders and manufacturers of medicinal products are subject to comprehensive regulatory oversight by the EMA and the competent authorities of the individual E.U. Member States

both before and after grant of the manufacturing and marketing authorizations. Failure by us or by any of our third-party partners, including suppliers, manufacturers and distributors to comply with E.U. laws and the related national laws of individual E.U. Member States governing the conduct of clinical trials, manufacturing approval, marketing authorization of medicinal products and marketing of such products, both before and after grant of marketing authorization, may result in administrative, civil or criminal penalties. These penalties could include delays or refusal to authorize the conduct of clinical trials or to grant marketing authorization, product withdrawals and recalls, product seizures, suspension, withdrawal or variation of the marketing authorization, total or partial suspension of production, distribution, manufacturing or clinical trials, operating restrictions, injunctions, suspension of licenses, fines and criminal penalties.

The holder of an E.U. marketing authorization for a medicinal product must also comply with E.U. pharmacovigilance legislation and its related regulations and guidelines, which entail many requirements for conducting pharmacovigilance, or the assessment and monitoring of the safety of medicinal products. These rules can impose on central marketing authorization

holders for medicinal products the obligation to conduct a labor intensive collection of data regarding the risks and benefits of marketed products and to engage in ongoing assessments of those risks and benefits, including the possible requirement to conduct additional clinical studies, which may be time consuming and expensive and could impact our profitability. Non-compliance with such obligations can lead to the variation, suspension or withdrawal of the marketing authorization for the product or imposition of financial penalties or other enforcement measures. In the E.U., PIXUVRI's label includes an inverted black triangle, which indicates that it is subject to additional monitoring, as a condition of authorization of PIXUVRI.

The manufacturing process for medicinal products in the E.U. is highly regulated and regulators may shut down manufacturing facilities that they believe do not comply with regulations. Manufacturing requires a manufacturing authorization, and the manufacturing authorization holder must comply with various requirements set out in the applicable E.U. laws, regulations and guidance, including Directive 2001/83/EC, Directive 2003/94/EC, Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 and the European Commission Guidelines for Good Manufacturing Practice. These requirements include compliance with E.U. cGMP standards when manufacturing medicinal products and active pharmaceutical ingredients, including the manufacture of active pharmaceutical ingredients outside of the E.U. with the intention to import the active pharmaceutical ingredients into the E.U. Similarly, the distribution of medicinal products into and within the E.U. is subject to compliance with the applicable E.U. laws, regulations and guidelines, including the requirement to hold appropriate authorizations for distribution granted by the competent authorities of the E.U. Member States.

We and our third-party manufacturers are subject to cGMP, which are extensive regulations governing manufacturing processes, stability testing, record keeping and quality standards as defined by the EMA, the competent authorities of E.U. Member States and other regulatory authorities. The EMA reviews Periodic Safety Update Reports for medicinal products authorized in the E.U. If the EMA has concerns that the risk benefit profile of a product has varied, it can adopt an opinion advising that the existing marketing authorization for the product be suspended or varied and can advise that the marketing authorization holder be obliged to conduct post-authorization safety studies. The EMA opinion is submitted for approval by the European Commission. Failure by the marketing authorization holder to fulfill the obligations for which the approved opinion provides can undermine the on-going validity of the marketing authorization.

Sales and Marketing Regulations

In the E.U., the advertising and promotion of our products are subject to E.U. Member States' laws governing promotion of medicinal products, interactions with physicians, misleading and comparative advertising and unfair commercial practices. In addition, other legislation adopted by individual E.U. Member States may apply to the advertising and promotion of medicinal products. These laws require that promotional materials and advertising in relation to medicinal products comply with the product's Summary of Product Characteristics, or SmPC, as approved by the competent authorities. Promotion of a medicinal product that does not comply with the SmPC is considered to constitute off-label promotion. The off-label promotion of medicinal products is prohibited in the E.U.. The applicable laws at E.U. level and in the individual E.U. Member States also prohibit the direct-to-consumer advertising of prescription-only medicinal products. Violations of the rules governing the promotion of medicinal products in the E.U. could be penalized by administrative measures, fines and imprisonment. These laws may further limit or restrict the advertising and promotion of our products to the general public and may also impose limitations on our promotional activities with health care professionals.

Anti-Corruption Legislation

Our business activities outside of the U.S. are subject to anti-bribery or anti-corruption laws, regulations, industry self-regulation codes of conduct and physicians' codes of professional conduct or rules of other countries in which we

operate, including the U.K. Bribery Act of 2010.

Interactions between pharmaceutical companies and physicians are also governed by strict laws, regulations, industry self-regulation codes of conduct and physicians' codes of professional conduct developed at both E.U. level and in the individual E.U. Member States. The provision of benefits or advantages to physicians to induce or encourage the prescription, recommendation, endorsement, purchase, supply, order or use of medicinal products is prohibited in the E.U.. Violation of these laws could result in substantial fines and imprisonment. Payments made to physicians in certain E.U. Member States also must be publicly disclosed. Moreover, agreements with physicians must often be the subject of prior notification and approval by the physician's employer, his/her competent professional organization, and/or the competent authorities of the individual E.U. Member States. Failure to comply with these requirements could result in reputational risk, public reprimands, administrative penalties, fines or imprisonment.

Data Privacy and Protection

Data protection laws and regulations have been adopted at E.U. level with related implementing laws in individual E.U. Member States which impose significant compliance obligations. For example, the E.U. Data Protection Directive, as implemented into national laws by the E.U. Member States, imposes strict obligations and restrictions on the ability to collect, analyze and transfer personal data, including health data from clinical trials and adverse event reporting.

Furthermore, there is a growth towards the public disclosure of clinical trial data in the E.U. which also adds to the complexity of processing health data from clinical trials. Such public disclosure obligations are provided in the new E.U. Clinical Trials Regulation, EMA disclosure initiatives, and voluntary commitments by industry. Data protection authorities from the different E.U. Member States may interpret the E.U. Data Protection Directive and national laws differently, which adds to the complexity of processing personal data in the E.U., and guidance on implementation and compliance practices are often updated or otherwise revised. Failing to comply with these laws could lead to government enforcement actions and significant penalties against us, and adversely impact our operating results. Apart from exceptional circumstances, the E.U. Data Protection Directive prohibits the transfer of personal data to countries outside of the European Economic Area, that are not considered by the European Commission to provide an adequate level of data protection, including the U.S.

Consequences of Non-Compliance

Failure to comply with applicable requirements may subject us to administrative or judicial sanctions, such as clinical holds, refusal of regulatory authorities to approve or authorize pending product applications, warning letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions and/or criminal prosecution.

Environmental Regulation

In connection with our research and development activities, we are subject to federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and policies, both internationally and domestically, governing the use, generation, manufacture, storage, air emission, effluent discharge, handling, treatment, transportation and disposal of certain materials, biological specimens and wastes and employee safety and health matters. Although we believe that we have complied with these laws, regulations and policies in all material respects and have not been required to take any significant action to correct any noncompliance, we may be required to incur significant costs to comply with environmental and health and safety regulations in the future. Our research and development involves the controlled use of hazardous materials, including, but not limited to, certain hazardous chemicals and radioactive materials. Although we believe that our safety procedures for handling and disposing of such materials comply with applicable law and regulations, the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials cannot be eliminated. In the event of such an accident, we could be held liable for any damages that result and any such liability not covered by insurance could exceed our resources. See the risk factor, “We may be subject to claims relating to improper handling, storage or disposal of these materials.” in Part I, Item 1A, “Risk Factors” of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding the risks and uncertainties we face due to the use of hazardous materials.

Employees

As of December 31, 2017, we employed 57 individuals in the U.S., including 1 employee at our majority-owned subsidiary Aequus Biopharma, Inc., or Aequus, and 1 employee in Italy. Our U.S. employees do not have a collective bargaining agreement. Our employee in Italy is subject to a collective bargaining agreement. We believe our relations with our employees are good.

Corporate Information

We were incorporated in Washington in 1991. In May 2014, we changed our name from “Cell Therapeutics, Inc.” to “CTI BioPharma Corp.” We completed our initial public offering in 1997 and our shares are listed on The NASDAQ Capital Market in the U.S. where our symbol is CTIC. On January 24, 2018, we changed our state of incorporation from the State of Washington to the State of Delaware by merging the Company with and into its wholly-owned Delaware subsidiary named CTI Biopharma Corp. and delisted the Company from Borsa Italiana’s Main Market, or MTA. Our principal executive offices are located at 3101 Western Avenue, Suite 800, Seattle, Washington 98121. Our telephone number is (206) 282-7100. Our website address is <http://www.ctibiopharma.com>. We may post information that is important to investors on our website. However, information found on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K. “CTI BioPharma”, “PIXUVRI” and “Opaxio” are our proprietary marks. All other product names, trademarks and trade names referred to in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are the property of their respective owners. We make available free of charge on our website our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and other filings pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after each is electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

In addition, you may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains a website (<http://www.sec.gov>) that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding registrants, including the Company, that file electronically with the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The occurrence of any of the risks described below and elsewhere in this document, including the risk that our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements, could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, liquidity, operating results or prospects and the trading price of our securities. Additional risks and uncertainties that we do not presently know or that we currently deem immaterial may also harm our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects and the trading price of our securities.

Factors Affecting Our Business, Financial Condition, Operating Results and Prospects

We will need to raise additional funds to operate our business, but additional funds may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. Any inability to raise required capital when needed could harm our liquidity, financial condition, business, operating results and prospects.

We have substantial operating expenses associated with the development of our compounds and the commercialization of PIXUVRI, and we have significant contractual payment obligations. Our available cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash were \$43.2 million as of December 31, 2017. In February 2018, we received approximately \$64.2 million in net proceeds from the public offering of common stock as discussed in Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 21. Subsequent Events," which is incorporated herein by reference. In addition, we received a \$10.0 million milestone payment from Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. relating to the achievement of a milestone for FDA approval of TRISENOX for first line treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia. We believe that our present financial resources, together with payments projected to be received under certain of our contractual agreements and our ability to control costs, will be sufficient to fund our operations through the first quarter of 2020. However, cash forecasts and capital requirements are subject to change as a result of a variety of risks and uncertainties. Developments in and expenses associated with our clinical trials and other research and development activities, including the resumption of primary responsibilities for the development and commercialization of pacritinib as a result of the termination of the Pacritinib License Agreement in October 2016, acquisitions of compounds or other assets, our ability to generate projected sales of PIXUVRI, any expansion of our sales and marketing organization for PIXUVRI, regulatory approval developments, our ability to consummate appropriate collaborations for development and commercialization activities, our ability to reach milestones triggering payments under applicable contractual arrangements, receive the associated payments, litigation and other disputes, competitive market developments and other unplanned expenses or business developments may consume capital resources earlier than planned. Due to these and other factors, any forecast for the period for which we will have sufficient resources to fund our operations, as well as any other operational or business projection we have disclosed, or may, from time to time, disclose, may fail.

On November 28, 2017, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with Silicon Valley Bank, or SVB, the proceeds of which was partially used to repay in full all outstanding indebtedness under our Loan and Security Agreement, dated March 26, 2013, as amended, with Systems Medicine LLC and Hercules Technology Growth Capital, Inc., or Hercules, (and certain of its affiliates). As of December 31, 2017, we had an outstanding principal balance under our senior secured term loan agreement of \$16.0 million. We have an option to borrow an additional \$2.0 million through July 31, 2018, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, which if borrowed would increase our outstanding principal balance. We are required to make monthly interest only payments for at least 12 months after closing, through November 1, 2018, which period of interest-only payments may be extended to 18 months upon the occurrence of a certain milestone event, in the approximate amount of \$0.1 million per month. After the initial 12-month interest-only period, we are required to pay interest plus principal payments for 36 months, in the approximate amount of \$0.5 million per month, with the final principal plus interest payment of approximately \$0.4

million as well as a back-end fee of \$1.4 million on November 1, 2021. These borrowings are secured by a first priority security interest on substantially all of our personal property except our intellectual property and subject to certain other exceptions. In addition, the senior secured term loan agreement requires us to comply with restrictive covenants, including those that limit our operating flexibility and ability to borrow additional funds. A failure to make a required loan payment or an uncured covenant breach could lead to an event of default, and in such case, all amounts then outstanding may become due and payable immediately.

We may need to acquire additional funds in order to develop our business. We may seek to raise such capital through public or private equity financings, partnerships, collaborations, joint ventures, disposition of assets, debt financings or restructurings, bank borrowings or other sources of financing. However, our ability to do so is subject to a number of risks, uncertainties, constraints and consequences, including, but not limited to, the following:

- our ability to raise capital through the issuance of additional shares of our common stock or convertible securities is restricted by the limited number of our residual authorized shares, the potential difficulty of obtaining shareholder approval to increase authorized shares and the restrictive covenants under our senior secured term loan agreement;
- issuance of equity-based securities will dilute the proportionate ownership of existing shareholders;
- our ability to obtain further funds from any potential loan arrangements is limited by our existing senior secured term loan agreement;
- certain financing arrangements may require us to relinquish rights to various assets and/or impose more restrictive terms than any of our existing or past arrangements; and
- we may be required to meet additional regulatory requirements, and we may be subject to certain contractual limitations, which may increase our costs and harm our ability to obtain funding.

For these and other reasons, additional funding may not be available on favorable terms or at all. If we fail to obtain additional capital when needed, we may be required to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and development programs, reduce our selling, general and administrative expenses, be unable to attract and retain highly qualified personnel, refrain from making our contractually required payments when due (including debt payments) and/or be forced to cease operations, liquidate our assets and possibly seek bankruptcy protection. Any of these consequences could harm our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects.

We have in the past received and may in the future receive audit reports with an explanatory paragraph on our consolidated financial statements.

Our independent registered public accounting firm included an explanatory paragraph in its reports on our consolidated financial statements for each of the years ended December 31, 2007 through December 31, 2011 and for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2016 regarding their substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern. Although our independent registered public accounting firm removed this going concern explanatory paragraph in its report on our December 31, 2017 consolidated financial statements, we expect to continue to need to raise additional financing to fund our operations and satisfy obligations as they become due. The inclusion of a going concern explanatory paragraph in future years may negatively impact the trading price of our common stock and make it more difficult, time consuming or expensive to obtain necessary financing, and we cannot guarantee that we will not receive such an explanatory paragraph in the future.

We expect to continue to incur net losses, and we may never achieve profitability.

We were incorporated in 1991 and have incurred a net operating loss every year since our formation. As of December 31, 2017, we had an accumulated deficit of \$2.2 billion, and we expect to continue to incur net losses. As part of our business plan, we will need to continue to conduct research, development, testing and regulatory compliance activities with respect to our compounds and ensure the procurement of manufacturing and drug supply services, the costs of which, together with projected general and administrative expenses, is expected to result in operating losses for the foreseeable future. There can be no assurances that we will ever achieve profitability.

In order to develop and commercialize pacritinib, we may need to raise additional financing or seek a new collaboration partner for pacritinib.

We have resumed primary responsibility for the development and commercialization of pacritinib as a result of the termination of the Pacritinib License Agreement in October 2016, and we are no longer eligible to receive cost sharing

or milestone payments for pacritinib's development from Baxalta. Because obtaining regulatory approval requires substantial time, effort and financial resources, the termination of this collaborative partnership could negatively impact our ability to successfully develop and commercialize pacritinib. We currently have no commitments or arrangements for any additional financing to fund the development and commercial launch of pacritinib, and we may need to seek additional funding, which may not be available or may not be available on favorable terms. We could also seek another collaborative partnership for the development and commercialization of pacritinib, which may not be available on reasonable terms or at all.

If our development and commercialization collaborations are not successful, or if we are unable to enter into additional collaborations, we may not be able to effectively develop and/or commercialize our compounds, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our business is heavily dependent on the success of our development and commercialization collaborations. In particular, under the Restated Agreement with Servier, we rely heavily on Servier to collaborate with us to develop and commercialize PIXUVRI. As a result of our dependence on our relationship with Servier, the success or commercial viability of PIXUVRI is, to a certain extent, beyond our control. We are subject to a number of specific risks associated with our dependence on our collaborative relationship with Servier, including but not limited to the following: possible disagreements as to the timing, nature and extent of development plans for the respective compound, including clinical trials or regulatory approval strategy; changes in their respective personnel who are key to the collaboration efforts; any changes in their respective business strategies adverse to our interests, whether in connection with a change of control or otherwise; possible disagreements regarding ownership of proprietary rights; the ability to meet our financial and other contractual obligations under the respective agreements; and the possibility that Servier could elect to terminate their agreement with us pursuant to “at-will” termination clauses or breach their agreement with us. Furthermore, the contingent financial returns under our collaboration with Servier depends in large part on the achievement of development and commercialization milestones and the ability to generate applicable product sales to trigger royalty payments. Therefore, our success, and any associated future financial returns to us and our investors, will depend in large part on the performance of Servier. If our existing collaborations fail, or if we do not successfully enter into additional collaborations when needed, we may be unable to further develop and commercialize the applicable compounds, generate revenues to sustain or grow our business or achieve profitability, which would harm our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects.

The regulatory approval process for pacritinib has been subject to delay and uncertainty associated with clinical holds placed on pacritinib clinical trials in February 2016 and the withdrawal of the original MAA in Europe. While the full clinical hold on pacritinib trials has been removed and a new MAA has been validated by the EMA, our dose-exploration trial for pacritinib and further clinical trials for pacritinib could be subject to further delay or we could be prevented from further studying pacritinib or seeking its commercialization.

On February 8, 2016, the FDA notified us that a full clinical hold had been placed on pacritinib and we subsequently withdrew our NDA for pacritinib until we determine next steps. A full clinical hold is a suspension of the clinical work requested under an investigational new drug application. Under the full clinical hold, all patients on pacritinib at the time the hold was placed were required to discontinue pacritinib, and we were not permitted to enroll any new patients or start pacritinib as initial or crossover treatment. In its written notification, the FDA noted interim overall survival results from PERSIST-2 showing a detrimental effect on survival consistent with the results from PERSIST-1, and that deaths in PERSIST-2 in pacritinib-treated patients include intracranial hemorrhage, cardiac failure and cardiac arrest. On January 3, 2017, the full clinical hold was removed. Our complete response submission included, among other items, final Clinical Study Reports for both PERSIST-1 and 2 trials and the dose-exploration clinical trial protocol requested by the FDA. In July 2017, we enrolled the first patient in the PAC203 trial. We plan to enroll up to approximately 105 patients in our PAC203 trial with primary myelofibrosis who have failed prior ruxolitinib therapy to evaluate the dose response relationship for safety and efficacy (spleen volume reduction at 12 or 24 weeks) of three dose regimens: 100 mg once-daily, 100 mg twice-daily (BID) and 200 mg BID. The 200 mg BID dose regimen was used in PERSIST-2. The trial is expected to enroll up to 105 patients. We enrolled our first patient in PAC203 in July 2017 and expect to complete enrollment by mid-2018. We expect to have interim data from PAC203 by the end of the second quarter of 2018 and full data in the first quarter of 2019. The results of PAC203 may not address all of the FDA’s concerns regarding appropriate safe and efficacious dosage for pacritinib, and the FDA may again request additional information or require us to pursue new clinical safety trials with changes to, among other things, protocol, study design or sample size.

Further, in the EMA’s initial assessment report regarding our original MAA, the CHMP determined that the current application was not approvable because of major objections in the areas of efficacy, safety (hematological and cardiovascular toxicity) and the overall risk-benefit profile of pacritinib. Subsequent to the filing of the original MAA, data from the second phase 3 trial of pacritinib, PERSIST-2, were reported. These data suggest that pacritinib may

show clinical benefit in patients who have failed or are intolerant to ruxolitinib therapy, a population for which there is no approved therapy. Following discussions with the EMA about how PERSIST-2 data might address the major objections and how to integrate the data into the current application, we withdrew our original MAA, and we submitted a new MAA that seeks to address the major objections by including data from PERSIST-2. The new application is for the treatment of patients with myelofibrosis who have thrombocytopenia (platelet counts less than 100,000 per microliter). The new MAA was validated by the EMA in July 2017. Following discussions with the EMA about how PERSIST-2 data might address the major objections and how to integrate the data into the current application, we withdrew the original MAA, and submitted a new application for the treatment of patients with myelofibrosis who have thrombocytopenia (platelet counts less than 100,000 per microliter). The new MAA was validated by the EMA in July 2017. Validation confirms that the submission is complete and initiates the centralized review process by the CHMP. The CHMP review period is 210 days, excluding question or opinion response periods, after which the CHMP opinion is reviewed by the European Commission, which usually issues a

final decision on E.U. authorization within three months. If authorized, pacritinib would be granted a marketing license valid in all 28 E.U. member states, Norway, Iceland and Liechtenstein.

The submission of new marketing applications, complying with any additional requests for information from the FDA or EMA or making any changes to protocol, study design, or sample size may be time-consuming, expensive and delay or prevent our ability to continue to study pacritinib. If we are unable to address any further recommendations, requests, or objections in a manner satisfactory to the FDA or EMA, as applicable, in a timely manner, or at all, we could be delayed or prevented from seeking commercialization of pacritinib. Delays in the commercialization of pacritinib would prevent us from receiving future milestone or royalty payments, and otherwise significantly harm our business.

Compounds that appear promising in research and development may fail to reach later stages of development for a number of reasons, including, among others, that clinical trials may take longer to complete than expected or may not be completed at all, and top-line or preliminary clinical trial data reports may ultimately differ from actual results once existing data are more fully evaluated.

Successful development of anti-cancer and other pharmaceutical products is highly uncertain, and obtaining regulatory approval to market drugs to treat cancer is expensive, difficult and speculative. Compounds that appear promising in research and development may fail to reach later stages of development for several reasons, including, but not limited to:

- delay or failure in obtaining necessary U.S. and international regulatory approvals, or the imposition of a partial or full regulatory hold on a clinical trial;
- difficulties in formulating a compound, scaling the manufacturing process, timely attaining process validation for particular drug products and obtaining manufacturing approval;
- pricing or reimbursement issues or other factors that may make the product uneconomical to commercialize;
- production problems, such as the inability to obtain raw materials or supplies satisfying acceptable standards for the manufacture of our products, equipment obsolescence, malfunctions or failures, product quality/contamination problems or changes in regulations requiring manufacturing modifications;
- inefficient cost structure of a compound compared to alternative treatments;
- obstacles resulting from proprietary rights held by others with respect to a compound, such as patent rights;
- lower than anticipated rates of patient enrollment as a result of factors, such as the number of patients with the relevant conditions, the proximity of patients to clinical testing centers, eligibility criteria for tests and competition with other clinical testing programs;
- preclinical or clinical testing requiring significantly more time than expected, resources or expertise than originally expected and inadequate financing, which could cause clinical trials to be delayed or terminated;
- failure of clinical testing to show potential products to be safe and efficacious, and failure to demonstrate desired safety and efficacy characteristics in human clinical trials;
- suspension of a clinical trial at any time by us, an applicable collaboration partner or a regulatory authority on the basis that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks or for other reasons;

- delays in reaching or failing to reach agreement on acceptable terms with prospective CROs, and trial sites; and
- failure of third parties, such as CROs, academic institutions, collaborators, cooperative groups and/or investigator sponsors, to conduct, oversee and monitor clinical trials and results.

In addition, from time to time, we report top-line data for clinical trials. Such data are based on a preliminary analysis of then-available efficacy and safety data, and such findings and conclusions are subject to change following a more comprehensive review of the data related to the particular study or trial. Top-line or preliminary data are based on important assumptions, estimations, calculations and information then available to us to the extent we have had, at the time of such reporting, an opportunity to fully and carefully evaluate such information in light of all surrounding facts,

circumstances, recommendations and analyses. As a result, top-line results may differ from future results, or different conclusions or considerations may qualify such results once existing data have been more fully evaluated. In addition, third parties, including regulatory agencies, may not accept or agree with our assumptions, estimations, calculations or analyses or may interpret or weigh the importance of data differently, which could impact the value of the particular program, the approvability or commercialization of the particular compound and our business in general.

If the development of our compounds is delayed or fails, or if top-line or preliminary clinical trial data reported differ from actual results, our development costs may increase and the ability to commercialize our compounds may be harmed, which could harm our business, financial condition, operating results or prospects.

We or our collaboration partners may not obtain or maintain the regulatory approvals required to develop or commercialize some or all of our compounds.

We are subject to rigorous and extensive regulation by the FDA in the U.S. and by comparable agencies in other jurisdictions, including the EMA in the E.U. Some of our other product candidates are currently in research or development and, other than conditional marketing authorization for PIXUVRI in the E.U., we have not received marketing approval for our compounds. Our products may not be marketed in the U.S. until they have been approved by the FDA and may not be marketed in other jurisdictions until they have received approval from the appropriate foreign regulatory agencies. Each product candidate requires significant research, development and preclinical testing and extensive clinical investigation before submission of any regulatory application for marketing approval. Obtaining regulatory approval requires substantial time, effort and financial resources, and we may not be able to obtain approval of any of our products on a timely basis, or at all. For instance, on February 8, 2016, the FDA placed pacritinib on full clinical hold and the clinical hold was not removed until January 3, 2017. The number, size, design and focus of preclinical and clinical trials that will be required for approval by the FDA, the EMA or any other foreign regulatory agency varies depending on the compound, the disease or condition that the compound is designed to address and the regulations applicable to any particular compound. Preclinical and clinical data can be interpreted in different ways, which could delay, limit or preclude regulatory approval. The FDA, the EMA and other foreign regulatory agencies can delay, limit or deny approval of a compound for many reasons, including, but not limited to:

- a compound may not be shown to be safe or effective;
- the clinical and other benefits of a compound may not outweigh its safety risks;
- clinical trial results may be negative or inconclusive, or adverse medical events may occur during a clinical trial;
- the results of clinical trials may not meet the level of statistical significance required by regulatory agencies for approval;
- such regulatory agencies may interpret data from pre-clinical and clinical trials in different ways than we do;
- such regulatory agencies may not approve the manufacturing process of a compound or determine that a third-party contract manufacturers manufactures a compound in accordance with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMPs;
- a compound may fail to comply with regulatory requirements; or
- such regulatory agencies might change their approval policies or adopt new regulations.

If our compounds are not approved at all or quickly enough to provide net revenues to defray our operating expenses, our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects could be harmed.

In the event that we seek and the FDA does not grant accelerated approval or priority review for a drug candidate, we would experience a longer time to commercialization in the U.S., if commercialized at all, our development costs may increase and our competitive position may be harmed.

We were seeking accelerated approval and requested Priority Review of our NDA for pacritinib. However, on February 8, 2016, the FDA notified us that a full clinical hold had been placed on pacritinib and we subsequently withdrew our NDA for pacritinib. On January 3, 2017, the full clinical hold was removed. In July 2017, we enrolled the first patient in a new trial, PAC203, and we plan to enroll up to approximately 105 patients with primary myelofibrosis who have failed

prior ruxolitinib therapy to evaluate the dose response relationship for safety and efficacy (spleen volume reduction at 12 and 24 weeks) of three dose regimens: 100 mg once-daily, 100 mg twice-daily (BID) and 200 mg BID. The 200 mg BID dose regimen was used in PERSIST-2.

We may in the future decide to seek accelerated approval pathway for our compounds. The FDA may grant accelerated approval to a product designed to treat a serious or life-threatening condition that provides meaningful therapeutic benefit over available therapies upon a determination that the product has an effect on a surrogate endpoint or intermediate clinical endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit. A surrogate endpoint under an accelerated approval pathway may be used in cases in which the advantage of a new drug over available therapy may not be a direct therapeutic advantage, but is a clinically important improvement from a patient and public health perspective. There can be no assurance that the FDA will agree that any endpoint we suggest with respect to any of our drug candidates is an appropriate surrogate endpoint. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that any application will be accepted or that approval will be granted. Even if a product candidate is granted accelerated approval, such accelerated approval is contingent on the sponsor's agreement to conduct one or more post-approval confirmatory trials. Such confirmatory trial(s) must be completed with due diligence and, in some cases, the FDA may require that the trial(s) be designed and/or initiated prior to approval. Moreover, the FDA may withdraw approval of a product candidate or indication approved under the accelerated approval pathway for a variety of reasons, including if the trial(s) required to verify the predicted clinical benefit of a product candidate fail to verify such benefit or do not demonstrate sufficient clinical benefit to justify the risks associated with the drug, or if the sponsor fails to conduct any required post-approval trial(s) with due diligence.

In the event of priority review, the FDA has a goal to (but is not required to) take action on an application within a total of eight months (rather than a goal of twelve months for a standard review). The FDA grants priority review only if it determines that a product treats a serious condition and, if approved, would provide a significant improvement in safety or effectiveness when compared to a standard application. The FDA has broad discretion whether to grant priority review, and, while the FDA has granted priority review to other oncology product candidates, our drug candidates may not receive similar designation. Moreover, receiving priority review from the FDA does not guarantee completion of review or approval within the targeted eight-month cycle or thereafter.

A failure to obtain accelerated approval or priority review would result in a longer time to commercialization of the applicable compound in the U.S., if commercialized at all, could increase the cost of development and could harm our competitive position in the marketplace.

Even if our compounds are successful in clinical trials and receive regulatory approvals, we or our collaboration partners may not be able to successfully commercialize them.

The development and ongoing clinical trials for our compounds may not be successful and, even if they are, the resulting products may never be successfully developed into commercial products. Even if we are successful in our clinical trials and in obtaining other regulatory approvals, the respective products may not reach or remain in the market for a number of reasons including:

- they may be found ineffective or cause harmful side effects;
- they may be difficult to manufacture on a scale necessary for commercialization;
- they may experience excessive product loss due to contamination, equipment failure, inadequate transportation or storage, improper installation or operation of equipment, vendor or operator error, inconsistency in yields or variability in product characteristics;

- they may be uneconomical to produce;
- political and legislative changes emerging after the recent election of the President of the United States may make the commercialization of our product candidates more difficult;
- we may fail to obtain reimbursement approvals or pricing that is cost effective for patients as compared to other available forms of treatment or that covers the cost of production and other expenses;
- they may not compete effectively with existing or future alternatives;
- we may be unable to develop commercial operations and to sell marketing rights;

- they may fail to achieve market acceptance; or
- we may be precluded from commercialization of a product due to proprietary rights of third parties.

In particular, with respect to the commercialization of PIXUVRI, we will be heavily dependent on our collaboration partner, Servier. The failure of Servier (or any other applicable collaboration partner) to fulfill its commercialization obligations with respect to a compound, or the occurrence of any of the events in the list above, could adversely affect the commercialization of our products. Additionally, uncertainty and speculation continue regarding the possible repeal of all or a portion of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act through legislative action, as well as possible changes to the regulations implemented under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act by the Department of Health and Human Services. The uncertainty this causes for the healthcare industry could also adversely affect the commercialization of our products. If we fail to commercialize products or if our future products do not achieve significant market acceptance, we will not likely generate significant revenues or become profitable.

The pharmaceutical business is subject to increasing government price controls and other restrictions on pricing, reimbursement and access to drugs, which could adversely affect our future revenues and profitability.

To the extent our products are developed, commercialized and successfully introduced to market, they may not be considered cost-effective and third-party or government reimbursement might not be available or sufficient. Globally, governmental and other third-party payors are becoming increasingly aggressive in attempting to contain health care costs by strictly controlling, directly or indirectly, pricing and reimbursement and, in some cases, limiting or denying coverage altogether on the basis of a variety of justifications, and we expect pressures on pricing and reimbursement from both governments and private payors inside and outside the U.S. to continue. In the U.S., we are subject to substantial pricing, reimbursement and access pressures from state Medicaid programs, private insurance programs and pharmacy benefit managers, and implementation of U.S. health care reform legislation is increasing these pricing pressures. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act instituted comprehensive health care reform, which includes provisions that, among other things, reduce and/or limit Medicare reimbursement and impose new and/or increased taxes. In addition, members of the Trump administration, including the President, have made public statements criticizing pricing practices within the pharmaceutical industry, indicating that they may seek to increase pricing pressures on the pharmaceutical industry.

In almost all European markets, pricing and choice of prescription pharmaceuticals are subject to governmental control. Therefore, the price of our products and their reimbursement in Europe is and will be determined by national regulatory authorities. Reimbursement decisions from one or more of the European markets may impact reimbursement decisions in other European markets. A variety of factors are considered in making reimbursement decisions, including whether there is sufficient evidence to show that treatment with the product is more effective than current treatments, that the product represents good value for money for the health service it provides and that treatment with the product works at least as well as currently available treatments. The continuing efforts of governments and insurance companies, health maintenance organizations and other payors of health care costs, to contain or reduce costs of health care may affect the availability of capital, as well as our future revenues and profitability or those of our potential customers, suppliers and collaborative partners.

We may never be able to generate significant product revenues from the sale of PIXUVRI.

We anticipate that, for at least the next several years, our ability to generate revenues and become profitable will depend, in part, on our ability and that of our collaborator, Servier, to successfully commercialize our only currently marketed product, PIXUVRI. PIXUVRI is not approved for marketing in the U.S., is presently available only in a limited number of countries and is reimbursed in even fewer countries.

In addition, the successful commercialization of PIXUVRI depends heavily on the ability to obtain and maintain favorable reimbursement rates for users of PIXUVRI, as well as on various additional factors, including, without limitation, the ability to:

- obtain an annual renewal of our conditional marketing authorization for PIXUVRI;
- increase demand for and sales of PIXUVRI and obtain greater acceptance of PIXUVRI by physicians and patients;
- establish and maintain agreements with wholesalers and distributors on reasonable terms;

- maintain, and where necessary, enter into additional, commercial manufacturing arrangements with third parties, cost-effectively manufacture necessary quantities and secure distribution, managerial and other capabilities; and
- further develop and maintain a commercial organization to market PIXUVRI.

If we are unable to successfully commercialize PIXUVRI as planned, our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects could be harmed.

Post-approval or authorization regulatory reviews and obligations often result in significant expense and marketing limitations, and any failure to satisfy such ongoing obligations, including, in particular, our post-authorization commitment trial for PIXUVRI, could negatively affect our business, financial condition, operating results or prospects.

Even if a product receives regulatory approval or authorization, as applicable, we are and will continue to be subject to numerous regulations and statutes regulating the manner of obtaining reimbursement for and selling the product, including limitations on the indicated uses for which a product may be marketed. Approved or authorized products, including PIXUVRI, are subject to extensive manufacturing, labeling, packaging, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion and record-keeping regulations. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports. In addition, such products are subject to ongoing maintenance of product registration and continued compliance with cGMPs, good clinical practices, or GCPs, and good laboratory practices, or GLPs. Further, distribution of products must be conducted in accordance with good distribution practices, or GDPs. The distribution process and facilities of our third-party distributors are subject to, and our wholesale distribution authorization by the UK Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency subjects us to, continuing regulation by applicable regulatory authorities with respect to the distribution and storage of products. Regulatory authorities may also impose new restrictions on continued product marketing or may require the withdrawal of a product from the market if adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency are discovered following approval. In addition, regulatory agencies may impose post-approval/post-authorization clinical trials, such as our ongoing PIX306 trial of PIXUVRI required by the EMA. We cannot predict the outcome of PIX306 or whether we will be able to complete the associated requirements in a timely manner. If we are unable to submit the requisite PIX306 clinical study report by the due date in December 2018 and are unable to obtain an extension of such deadline, or if we are otherwise unable to satisfy all applicable requirements, our conditional marketing authorization for PIXUVRI may be revoked.

Any other failure to comply with applicable regulations could result in warning or untitled letters, product recalls, interruption of manufacturing and commercial supply processes, withdrawal or seizure of products, suspension of an applicable wholesale distribution authorization and/or distribution of products, operating restrictions, injunctions, suspension of licenses, revocation of the applicable product's approval or authorization, other administrative or judicial sanctions (including civil penalties and/or criminal prosecution) and/or unanticipated related expenditure to resolve shortcomings, which could negatively affect our business, financial condition, operating results or prospects.

We may not be able to maintain our listings on the NASDAQ Capital Market, or the NASDAQ, or trading on the NASDAQ may otherwise be halted or suspended, which may make it more difficult for investors to sell shares of our common stock and consequently may negatively impact the price of our common stock.

We regained compliance in January 2017 with the minimum \$1.00 bid price requirement by effecting a 1-for-10 reverse stock split on January 1, 2017, after receiving notice of non-compliance from the NASDAQ in March 2016.

We have in the past and may in the future fail to comply with the NASDAQ requirements. If our common stock ceases to be listed for trading on the NASDAQ for failure to comply with the minimum \$1.00 per share closing bid

price requirement or for any other reason, it may harm our stock price, increase the volatility of our stock price, decrease the level of trading activity and make it more difficult for investors to buy or sell shares of our common stock. Our failure to maintain a listing on the NASDAQ may constitute an event of default under our senior secured term loan and any future indebtedness, which would accelerate the maturity date of such debt or trigger other obligations. In addition, certain institutional investors that are not permitted to own securities of non-listed companies may be required to sell their shares adversely affecting the market price of our common stock. If we are not listed on the NASDAQ or if our public float falls below \$75 million, we will be limited in our ability to file new shelf registration statements on SEC Form S-3 and/or to fully use one or more registration statements on SEC Form S-3. We have relied significantly on shelf registration statements on SEC Form S-3 for most of our financings in recent years, so any such limitations may harm our ability to raise the capital we need. Trading in our common stock has been halted or suspended on the NASDAQ in the past and may also be halted or suspended in the future on the NASDAQ due to market or trading conditions at the discretion of the NASDAQ. Any halt or suspension in the trading in our common stock may negatively impact the market price of our common stock.

We may be unable to obtain a quorum for meetings of our shareholders or obtain requisite shareholder approval and, consequently, be unable to take certain corporate actions, including financing activities.

Failure to meet the requisite quorum or obtain requisite shareholder approval can prevent us from raising capital through equity financing or otherwise taking certain actions that may be in our best interest and that of our shareholders. We have experienced such difficulties in the past.

We are required under the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules to obtain shareholder approval for any issuance of additional equity securities that would comprise more than 20% of the total shares of our common stock outstanding before the issuance of such securities sold at a discount to the greater of book or market value in an offering that is not deemed to be a “public offering” by the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules, as well as under certain other circumstances. We have in the past and may in the future issue additional equity securities that would comprise more than 20% of the total shares of our common stock outstanding in order to fund our operations. However, we might not be successful in obtaining the required shareholder approval for any future issuance that requires shareholder approval pursuant to applicable rules and regulations, particularly in light of difficulties we have had in the past in obtaining a quorum and obtaining the requisite vote. If we are unable to obtain financing or our financing options are limited due to shareholder approval difficulties, such failure may harm our ability to continue operations.

As a result of the foregoing or for other reasons, we may be unable to obtain a quorum at annual or special meetings of shareholders. Even if we are able to obtain a quorum at our shareholder meetings, we may not obtain enough votes to approve matters to be resolved upon at those meetings. Any failure to obtain a quorum or the requisite vote on a proposal in question could harm us.

We will incur a variety of costs for, and may never realize the anticipated benefits of, acquisitions, collaborations or other strategic transactions.

We evaluate and undertake acquisitions, collaborations and other strategic transactions from time to time. The process of negotiating these transactions, as well as integrating any acquisitions and implementing any strategic alliances, may result in operating difficulties and expenditures. In addition, these transactions may require significant management attention that would otherwise be available for ongoing development of our business, whether or not any such transaction is ever consummated. These undertakings could also result in potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities, the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities and/or amortization expenses related to intangible assets, and we may never realize the anticipated benefits. In addition, following the consummation of a transaction, our results of operations and the market price of our common stock may be affected by factors different from those that affected our results of operations and the market price of our common stock prior to such acquisition. Any of the foregoing consequences resulting from transactions of the type described above could harm our business, financial condition, operating results or prospects.

We may be subject to fines, penalties, injunctions and other sanctions if we are deemed to be promoting the use of our products for non-FDA-approved, or off-label, uses.

Our business and future growth depend on the development, ultimate sale and use of products that are subject to FDA, EMA and or other regulatory agencies regulation, clearance and approval. Under the U.S. Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and other laws, we are prohibited from promoting our products for off-label uses. This means that in the U.S., we may not make claims about the safety or effectiveness of our products and may not proactively discuss or provide information on the use of our products, except as allowed by the FDA.

Government investigations concerning the promotion of off-label uses and related issues are typically expensive, disruptive and burdensome, generate negative publicity and may result in fines or payments of settlement awards. If our promotional activities are found to be in violation of applicable law or if we agree to a settlement in connection with an enforcement action, we would likely face significant fines and penalties and would likely be required to substantially change our sales, promotion, grant and educational activities.

A failure to comply with the numerous laws and regulations that govern our business, including those related to cross-border conduct, health care fraud and abuse, anti-corruption and false claims and the protection of health information, could result in substantial penalties and prosecution.

We are subject to risks associated with doing business outside of the U.S., which exposes us to complex foreign and U.S. regulations. For example, we are subject to regulations imposed by the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or the

FCPA, the Bribery Act 2010 and other anti-corruption laws. These laws generally prohibit U.S. companies and their intermediaries from offering, promising, authorizing or making improper payments to foreign government officials for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. The SEC and U.S. Department of Justice have increased their enforcement activities with respect to the FCPA. Internal control policies and procedures and employee training and compliance programs that we have implemented to deter prohibited practices may not be effective in prohibiting our employees, contractors or agents from violating or circumventing our policies and the law.

In addition, we are subject to various state and federal fraud and abuse laws, including, without limitation, the federal Anti-Kickback Statute and federal False Claims Act. There are similar laws in other countries. These laws may impact, among other things, the sales, marketing and education programs for our products. The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits persons from knowingly and willingly soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, in exchange for or to induce either the referral of an individual, or the furnishing or arranging for a good or service, for which payment may be made under a federal health care program. The federal False Claims Act prohibits persons from knowingly filing, or causing to be filed, a false claim to, or the knowing use of false statements to obtain payment from the federal government. Suits filed under the False Claims Act can be brought by any individual on behalf of the government and such individuals, commonly known as “whistleblowers,” may share in any amounts paid by the entity to the government in fines or settlement. Many states have also adopted laws similar to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute and False Claims Act.

We may also be subject to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act and their respective implementing regulations, or HIPAA, which established uniform standards for certain “covered entities” (health care providers, health plans and health care clearinghouses) governing the conduct of certain electronic health care transactions and protecting the security and privacy of protected health information. Among other things, HIPAA’s privacy and security standards are directly applicable to “business associates” - independent contractors or agents of covered entities that create, receive, maintain or transmit protected health information in connection with providing a service for or on behalf of a covered entity. In addition to possible civil and criminal penalties for violations, state attorneys general are authorized to file civil actions for damages or injunctions in federal courts to enforce HIPAA and seek attorney’s fees and costs associated with pursuing federal civil actions. In addition, state laws govern the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and may not have the same effect, thus complicating compliance efforts.

We are unable to predict whether we could be subject to actions under any of the foregoing or similar laws and regulations, or the impact of such actions. If we were to be found to be in violation of applicable laws or regulations, we may be subject to penalties, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, exclusion from government health care reimbursement programs and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We are dependent on third-party service providers for a number of critical operational activities including, in particular, for the manufacture, testing and distribution of our compounds and associated supply chain operations, as well as for clinical trial activities. Any failure or delay in these undertakings by third parties could harm our business.

Our business is dependent on the performance by third parties of their responsibilities under contractual relationships. In particular, we rely heavily on third parties for the manufacture and testing of our compounds. We do not have internal analytical laboratory or manufacturing facilities to allow the testing or production of compounds in compliance with GLP and cGMP. As a result, we rely on third parties to supply us in a timely manner with manufactured products/product candidates. We may not be able to adequately manage and oversee the manufacturers we choose, they may not perform as agreed or they may terminate their agreements with us. In particular, we depend on third-party manufacturers to conduct their operations in compliance with GLP and cGMP or similar standards

imposed by the U.S. and/or applicable foreign regulatory authorities, including the FDA and EMA. Any of these regulatory authorities may take action against a contract manufacturer who violates GLP and cGMP. Failure of our manufacturers to comply with FDA, EMA or other applicable regulations may cause us to curtail or stop the manufacture of such products until we obtain regulatory compliance.

We may not be able to obtain sufficient quantities of our compounds if we are unable to secure manufacturers when needed, or if our designated manufacturers do not have the capacity or otherwise fail to manufacture compounds according to our schedule and specifications or fail to comply with cGMP regulations. In particular, in connection with the transition of the manufacturing of PIXUVRI and pacritinib drug supply to successor vendors, respectively, we could face logistical, scaling or other challenges that may adversely affect supply. Furthermore, in order to ultimately obtain and maintain applicable regulatory approvals, any manufacturers we utilize are required to consistently produce the respective

compounds in commercial quantities and of specified quality or execute fill-finish services on a repeated basis and document their ability to do so, which is referred to as process validation. In order to obtain and maintain regulatory approval of a compound, the applicable regulatory authority must consider the result of the applicable process validation to be satisfactory and must otherwise approve of the manufacturing process. Even if our compound manufacturing processes obtain regulatory approval and sufficient supply is available to complete clinical trials necessary for regulatory approval, there are no guarantees we will be able to supply the quantities necessary to effect a commercial launch of the applicable drug, or once launched, to satisfy ongoing demand. Any compound shortage could also impair our ability to deliver contractually required supply quantities to applicable collaborators, as well as to complete any additional planned clinical trials.

We also rely on third-party service providers for certain warehousing, transportation, sales, order processing, distribution and cash collection services. With regard to the distribution of our compounds, we depend on third-party distributors to act in accordance with GDP, and the distribution process and facilities are subject to continuing regulation by applicable regulatory authorities with respect to the distribution and storage of products.

In addition, we depend on medical institutions and CROs (together with their respective agents) to conduct clinical trials and associated activities in compliance with GCP and in accordance with our timelines, expectations and requirements. To the extent any such third parties are delayed in achieving or fail to meet our clinical trial enrollment expectations, fail to conduct our trials in accordance with GCP or study protocol or otherwise take actions outside of our control or without our consent, our business may be harmed. Furthermore, we conduct clinical trials in foreign countries, subjecting us to additional risks and challenges, including, in particular, as a result of the engagement of foreign medical institutions and foreign CROs, who may be less experienced with regard to regulatory matters applicable to us and may have different standards of medical care.

With regard to certain of the foregoing clinical trial operations and stages in the manufacturing and distribution chain of our compounds, we rely on single vendors. In particular, our current business structure contemplates, at least in the foreseeable future, use of a single commercial supplier for PIXUVRI drug substance. In addition, in the event pacritinib is approved, we are initially preparing to have only one commercial supplier for pacritinib. We may in the future seek to qualify an additional manufacturer of pacritinib, but the process for qualifying a manufacturer can be lengthy and may not occur on a timely basis or at all. The use of single vendors for core operational activities, such as clinical trial operations, manufacturing and distribution, and the resulting lack of diversification, expose us to the risk of a material interruption in service related to these single, outside vendors. As a result, our exposure to this concentration risk could harm our business.

Although we monitor the compliance of our third-party service providers performing the aforementioned services, we cannot be certain that such service providers will consistently comply with applicable regulatory requirements or that they will otherwise timely satisfy their obligations to us. Any such failure and/or any failure by us to monitor their services and to plan for and manage our short and long term requirements underlying such services could result in shortage of the compound, delays in or cessation of clinical trials, failure to obtain or revocation of product approvals or authorizations, product recalls, withdrawal or seizure of products, suspension of an applicable wholesale distribution authorization and/or distribution of products, operating restrictions, injunctions, suspension of licenses, other administrative or judicial sanctions (including civil penalties and/or criminal prosecution) and/or unanticipated related expenditures to resolve shortcomings. Such consequences could have a significant impact on our business, financial condition, operating results or prospects.

If we are unable to recruit, retain, integrate and motivate senior management, other key personnel and directors, or if such persons are unable to perform effectively, our business could suffer.

Our future success depends, in part, on our ability to continue to attract and retain senior management, other key personnel and directors to enable the execution of our business plan and to identify and pursue new opportunities. Additionally, our productivity and the quality of our operations are dependent on our ability to integrate and train our new personnel quickly and effectively. In February 2017, we announced the appointment of Adam Craig, M.D., Ph.D., as President and Chief Executive Officer effective March 2017, and also in September 2017, we announced the appointment of Bruce J. Seeley as Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and David H. Kirske as Chief Financial Officer. Leadership transitions and management changes can be difficult to manage and may create uncertainty or disruption to our business or increase the likelihood of turnover in our other officers and employees. We may not be able to effectively manage our transition to a new president and chief executive officer.

Directors and management of publicly traded corporations are increasingly concerned with the extent of their personal exposure to lawsuits and shareholder claims, as well as governmental, creditor and other claims that may be made against them. Due to these and other reasons, such persons are also becoming increasingly concerned with the availability of

directors and officers liability insurance to pay on a timely basis the costs incurred in defending such claims. We currently carry directors and officers liability insurance. However, directors and officers liability insurance is expensive and can be difficult to obtain. If we are unable to continue to provide directors and officers sufficient liability insurance at affordable rates or at all, or if directors and officers perceive our ability to do so in the future to be limited, it may become increasingly more difficult to attract and retain management and qualified directors to serve on our Board of Directors.

The loss of the services of senior management, other key personnel or directors and/or the inability to timely attract or integrate such persons could significantly delay or prevent the achievement of our development and strategic objectives and may adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

We face direct and intense competition from our competitors in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, and we may not compete successfully against them.

Competition in the oncology market is intense and is accentuated by the rapid pace of technological and product development. We anticipate that we will face increased competition in the future as new companies enter the market. Our competitors in the U.S. and elsewhere are numerous and include, among others, major multinational pharmaceutical companies, specialized biotechnology companies and universities and other research institutions. Specifically:

- In Europe, PIXUVRI faces competition from existing treatments for adults with multiply relapsed or refractory aggressive B-cell NHL. For example, patients are currently being treated with ibrutinib, idelalisib, lenolidimide, bendamustine, oxaliplatin and gemcitabine, although these particular agents do not have regulatory approval in Europe for the foregoing indication. If we were to pursue bringing PIXUVRI to market in the U.S. (which is not currently part of our near-term plan), PIXUVRI would face similar competition.
- If we are successful in bringing pacritinib to market, pacritinib will face competition from the currently approved JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor, Jakafi®.
- If we are successful in bringing tosedostat to market, we will face competition from currently marketed products, such as cytarabine, Dacogen®, Vidaza®, Clolar®, Revlimid® and Thalomid®.

In addition to the specific competitive factors discussed above, new anti-cancer drugs that may be under development or developed and marketed in the future could compete with our various compounds.

Many of our competitors, particularly multinational pharmaceutical companies, either alone or together with their collaborators, have substantially greater financial and technical resources and substantially larger development and marketing teams than us, as well as significantly greater experience than we do in developing, commercializing, manufacturing, marketing and selling products. As a result, products of our competitors might come to market sooner or might prove to be more effective, less expensive, have fewer side effects or be easier to administer than ours. In any such case, sales of PIXUVRI or any potential future product would likely suffer and we might never recoup the significant investments we have made and will continue to make to develop and market these compounds.

If any of our license agreements for intellectual property underlying our compounds are terminated, we may lose the right to develop or market that product.

We have acquired or licensed intellectual property from third parties, including patent applications and patents relating to intellectual property for PIXUVRI, pacritinib and tosedostat. Some of our product development programs depend on our ability to maintain rights under these arrangements. Each licensor has the power to terminate its

agreement with us if we fail to meet our obligations under these licenses. We may not be able to meet our obligations under these licenses. If we default under any license agreement, we may lose our right to market and sell any products based on the licensed technology and may be forced to cease operations, liquidate our assets and possibly seek bankruptcy protection. Bankruptcy may result in the termination of agreements pursuant to which we license certain intellectual property rights.

If we are unable to in-license or acquire additional product candidates, our future product portfolio and potential profitability could be harmed.

One component of our business strategy is the in-licensing and acquisition of drug compounds developed by other pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies or academic research laboratories. PIXUVRI, pacritinib and tosedostat have all been in-licensed or acquired from third parties. Competition for new promising compounds and commercial products can

be intense. If we are not able to identify future in-licensing or acquisition opportunities and enter into arrangements on acceptable terms, our future product portfolio and potential profitability could be harmed.

We hold rights under numerous patents that we have acquired or licensed or that protect inventions originating from our research and development, and the expiration of any of these patents may allow our competitors to copy the inventions that are currently protected.

We dedicate significant resources to protecting our intellectual property, which is important to our business. We have filed numerous patent applications in the U.S. and various other countries seeking protection of inventions originating from our research and development, and we have also obtained rights to various patents and patent applications under licenses with third parties and through acquisitions. Patents have been issued on many of these applications. We have pending patent applications or issued patents in the U.S. and foreign countries directed to PIXUVRI, pacritinib, tosedostat and other product candidates. However, the lives of these patents are limited. Patents for the individual products extend for varying periods according to the date of the patent filing or grant and the legal term of patents in the various countries where patent protection is obtained.

Our U.S. and foreign composition of matter patents for pacritinib expire as follows: US patents expire in 2029 (compound) / 2030 (salt); foreign patents expire in 2026 (compound) / 2029 (salt). Pacritinib has orphan drug designation for myelofibrosis in the U.S. and the E.U.

Our various tosedostat-directed patents expire in 2018. Tosedostat has orphan drug designation for acute myeloid leukemia in the U.S. and the E.U.

Each patent may be eligible for future patent term restoration of up to five years under certain circumstances. Also, regulatory exclusivity tied to the protection of clinical data may be complementary to patent protection. During a period of regulatory exclusivity, competitors generally may not use the original applicant's data as the basis for a generic application. In the U.S., the data protection generally runs for five years from first marketing approval of a new chemical entity, extended to seven years for an orphan drug indication.

In the absence of a patent, we would, to the extent possible, need to rely on unpatented technology, know-how and confidential information. Ultimately, the lack or expiration at any given time of a patent to protect our compounds may allow our competitors to copy the underlying inventions and better compete with us.

If we fail to adequately protect our intellectual property, our competitive position and the potential for long-term success could be harmed.

Development and protection of our intellectual property are critical to our business. If we do not adequately protect our intellectual property, competitors may be able to practice our technologies. Our success depends in part on our ability to:

- obtain and maintain patent protection for our products or processes both in the U.S. and other countries;
- protect trade secrets; and
- prevent others from infringing on our proprietary rights.

The patent position of pharmaceutical and biotechnology firms, including ours, generally is highly uncertain and involves complex legal and factual questions. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office has not established a consistent policy regarding the breadth of claims that it will allow in biotechnology patents. If it allows broad claims, the number

and cost of patent interference proceedings in the U.S. and the risk of infringement litigation may increase. If it allows narrow claims, the risk of infringement may decrease, but the value of our rights under our patents, licenses and patent applications may also decrease. Patent applications in which we have rights may never issue as patents, and the claims of any issued patents may not afford meaningful protection for our technologies or products. In addition, patents issued to us or our licensors may be challenged and subsequently narrowed, invalidated or circumvented. Litigation, interference proceedings or other governmental proceedings that we may become involved in with respect to our proprietary technologies or the proprietary technology of others could result in substantial cost to us.

We also rely upon trade secrets, proprietary know-how and continuing technological innovation to remain competitive. Third parties may independently develop such know-how or otherwise obtain access to our technology. While

we require our employees, consultants and corporate partners with access to proprietary information to enter into confidentiality agreements, these agreements may not be honored.

Patent litigation is widespread in the biotechnology industry, and any patent litigation could harm our business.

Costly litigation might be necessary to protect a patent position or to determine the scope and validity of third-party proprietary rights, and we may not have the required resources to pursue any such litigation or to protect our patent rights. Any adverse outcome in litigation with respect to the infringement or validity of any patents owned by third parties could subject us to significant liabilities to third parties, require disputed rights to be licensed from third parties or require us to cease using a product or technology. With respect to our in-licensed patents, if we attempt to initiate a patent infringement suit against an alleged infringer, it is possible that our applicable licensor will not participate in or assist us with the suit, and as a result, we may not be able to effectively enforce the applicable patents against the alleged infringers.

We may be unable to obtain or protect our intellectual property rights and we may be liable for infringing upon the intellectual property rights of others, which may cause us to engage in costly litigation and, if unsuccessful, could cause us to pay substantial damages and prohibit us from selling our products.

At times, we may monitor patent filings for patents that might be relevant to some of our products and product candidates in an effort to guide the design and development of our products to avoid infringement, but may not have conducted an exhaustive search. We may not be able to successfully challenge the validity of third-party patents and could be required to pay substantial damages, possibly including treble damages, for past infringement and attorneys' fees if it is ultimately determined that our products infringe such patents. Further, we may be prohibited from selling our products before we obtain a license, which, if available at all, may require us to pay substantial royalties.

Moreover, third parties may challenge the patents that have been issued or licensed to us. We do not believe that PIXUVRI, pacritinib or any of the other compounds we are currently developing infringe upon the rights of any third parties nor do we believe that they are materially infringed upon by third parties; however, there can be no assurance that our technology will not be found in the future to infringe upon the rights of others or be infringed upon by others. In such a case, others may assert infringement claims against us, and should we be found to infringe upon their patents, or otherwise impermissibly utilize their intellectual property, we might be forced to pay damages, potentially including treble damages, if we are found to have willfully infringed on such parties' patent rights. In addition to any damages we might have to pay, we may be required to obtain licenses from the holders of this intellectual property, enter into royalty agreements or redesign our compounds so as not to utilize this intellectual property, each of which may prove to be uneconomical or otherwise impossible. Conversely, we may not always be able to successfully pursue our claims against others that infringe upon our technology and the technology exclusively licensed from any third parties. Thus, the proprietary nature of our technology or technology licensed by us may not provide adequate protection against competitors.

Even if infringement claims against us are without merit, or if we challenge the validity of issued patents, lawsuits take significant time, may, even if resolved in our favor, be expensive and divert management attention from other business concerns. Uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of any litigation could limit our ability to continue our operations.

The illegal distribution and sale by third parties of counterfeit versions of a product or stolen product could have a negative impact on our reputation and business.

Third parties might illegally distribute and sell counterfeit or unfit versions of a product that do not meet our rigorous manufacturing and testing standards. A patient who receives a counterfeit or unfit product may be at risk for a number

of dangerous health consequences. Our reputation and business could suffer harm as a result of counterfeit or unfit product sold under our brand name. In addition, thefts of inventory at warehouses, plants or while in-transit, which are not properly stored and which are sold through unauthorized channels, could adversely impact patient safety, our reputation and our business.

We may owe additional amounts for VAT related to our operations in Europe.

Our European operations are subject to the VAT which is usually applied to all goods and services purchased and sold throughout Europe. The VAT receivable was \$4.8 million and \$4.4 million as of December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. On April 14, 2009, December 21, 2009 and June 25, 2010, the ITA issued notices of assessment to CTI (Europe) based on the ITA's audit of CTI (Europe)'s VAT returns for the years 2003, 2005, 2006 and 2007. The ITA audits concluded that CTI (Europe) did not collect and remit VAT on certain invoices issued to non-Italian clients for services

performed by CTI (Europe). The assessments, including interest and penalties, for the years 2003, 2006 and 2007 are €0.5 million, €2.5 million and €0.8 million, respectively. While we are defending ourselves against the assessments both on procedural grounds and on the merits of the case, there can be no assurances that we will be successful in such defense. The 2005 VAT assessment was decided in favor of the Company by the Italian Supreme Court, with no further potential liabilities for the Company. Further information pertaining to these cases can be found in Part I, Item 3, "Legal Proceedings," and is incorporated by reference herein. If the final decision of the Italian Supreme Court is unfavorable to us, or if, in the interim, the ITA were to make a demand for payment and we were to be unsuccessful in suspending collection efforts, we may be requested to pay to the ITA an amount up to €3.9 million or approximately \$4.7 million converted using the currency exchange rate as of December 31, 2017, plus collection fees, notification expenses and additional interest for the period lapsed between the date in which the assessments were issued and the date of effective payment.

We are currently subject to certain regulatory and legal proceedings, and may in the future be subject to additional proceedings and/or allegations of wrong-doing, which could harm our financial condition and operating results.

We are currently, and may in the future be, subject to regulatory matters and legal claims, including possible securities, derivative, consumer protection and other types of proceedings pursued by individuals, entities or regulatory bodies. As described in Part I, Item 3, "Legal Proceedings," we are currently in the process of supplying documents in response to a subpoena from the SEC in connection with an investigation into potential federal securities law violations. Litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and we have had and may in the future have unfavorable rulings and settlements. Adverse outcomes may result in significant monetary damages or injunctive relief against us. It is possible that our financial condition and operating results could be harmed in any period in which the effect of an unfavorable final outcome becomes probable and reasonably estimable. If an unfavorable ruling were to occur in any of the legal proceedings we are or may be subject to, our business, financial condition, operating results and prospects could be harmed. The ultimate outcome of litigation and other claims is subject to inherent uncertainties, and our view of these matters may change in the future.

We cannot predict with certainty the eventual outcome of pending litigation. In addition, negative publicity resulting from any allegations of wrong-doing could harm our business, regardless of whether the allegations are valid or whether there is a finding of liability. Furthermore, we may have to incur substantial time and expense in connection with such lawsuits and management's attention and resources could be diverted from operating our business as we respond to the litigation. Our insurance is subject to high deductibles and there is no guarantee that the insurance will cover any specific claim that we currently face or may face in the future, or that it will be adequate to cover all potential liabilities and damages. In the event of negative publicity resulting from allegations of wrong-doing and/or an adverse outcome under any currently pending or future lawsuit, our business could be materially harmed.

If we fail to maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results, which could adversely effect on investor confidence, our business and the trading prices of our securities.

If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, we may be unable to provide financial information in a timely and reliable manner within the time periods required for our financial reporting under SEC rules and regulations. Internal controls over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements or omissions in our financial statements because of their inherent limitations, including the possibility of human error, the circumvention or overriding of controls or fraud. We have recently implemented a reduction in force, which may result in changes to occur in our internal controls over financial reporting. The changes could relate to different employees performing internal control activities than those who have previously performed those activities or revisions to our actual control activities as we evaluate the appropriate internal control structure after our workforce reduction. A changing internal control environment increases the risk that our system of internal controls is not designed effectively or that internal

control activities will not occur as designed. The occurrence of or failure to remediate a significant deficiency material weakness may adversely affect our reputation and business and the market price of shares of our common stock.

Our net operating losses may not be available to reduce future income tax liability.

We have substantial tax loss carryforwards for U.S. federal income tax purposes, but our ability to use such carryforwards to offset future income or tax liability is limited under section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, as a result of prior changes in the stock ownership of the Company. Moreover, future changes in the ownership of our stock, including those resulting from issuance of shares of our common stock upon exercise of outstanding warrants, may further limit our ability to use our net operating losses.

Due to the fact that we have European branches and subsidiaries conducting operations, together with the fact that we are party to certain contractual arrangements denoting monetary amounts in foreign currencies, we are subject to risk regarding currency exchange rate fluctuations.

We are exposed to risks associated with the translation of euro-denominated financial results and accounts into U.S. dollars for financial reporting purposes. The carrying value of the assets and liabilities, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses, in our European branches and subsidiaries will be affected by fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar as compared to the euro. Any expansion of our commercial operations in Europe (including with regard to sales of PIXUVRI) may increase our exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. In addition, certain of our contractual arrangements, such as the Restated Agreement with Servier, denote monetary amounts in foreign currencies, and consequently, the ultimate financial impact to us from a U.S. dollar perspective is subject to significant uncertainty. Furthermore, the referendum in the United Kingdom in June 2016, in which the majority of voters voted in favor of an exit from the European Union has resulted in increased volatility in the global financial markets and caused severe volatility in global currency exchange rate fluctuations that resulted in the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the euro. Changes in the value of the U.S. dollar as compared to foreign currencies (in particular, the euro) might have an adverse effect on our reported operating results and financial condition.

We may be unable to obtain the raw materials necessary to produce a particular product or product candidate.

We may not be able to purchase the materials necessary to produce a particular product or product candidate in adequate volume and quality. If any raw material required to produce a product or product candidate is insufficient in quantity or quality, if a supplier fails to deliver in a timely fashion or at all or if these relationships terminate, we may not be able to qualify and obtain a sufficient supply from alternate sources on acceptable terms, or at all.

Because there is a risk of product liability associated with our compounds, we face potential difficulties in obtaining insurance, and if product liability lawsuits were to be successfully brought against us, our business may be harmed.

Our business exposes us to potential product liability risks inherent in the testing, manufacturing, marketing and sale of human pharmaceutical products. In particular, as a result of the commercialization of PIXUVRI, our risk with respect to potential product liability has increased. If our insurance covering a compound is not maintained on acceptable terms or at all, we might not have adequate coverage against potential liabilities. Our inability to obtain sufficient insurance coverage at an acceptable cost or otherwise to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or limit the commercialization of any products we develop. A successful product liability claim could also exceed our insurance coverage and could harm our financial condition and operating results.

We may be subject to claims relating to improper handling, storage or disposal of hazardous materials.

Our research and development activities involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, chemicals and various radioactive compounds. We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations, both internationally and domestically, governing the use, manufacture, storage, handlings, treatment, transportation and disposal of such materials and certain waste products and employee safety and health matters. Although we believe that our safety procedures for handling and disposing of such materials comply with applicable law and regulations, the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials cannot be eliminated completely. In the event of such an accident, we could be held liable for any damages that result and any such liability not covered by insurance could exceed our resources. Compliance with environmental, safety and health laws and regulations may be expensive, and current or future environmental regulations may impair our research, development or production efforts.

We depend on sophisticated information technology systems to operate our business and a cyber-attack or other breach of these systems could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We rely on information technology systems to process, transmit and store electronic information in our day-to-day operations. The size and complexity of our information technology systems makes them vulnerable to a cyber-attack, malicious intrusion, breakdown, destruction, loss of data privacy or other significant disruption. Any such successful attacks could result in the theft of intellectual property or other misappropriation of assets, or otherwise compromise our confidential or proprietary information and disrupt our operations. Cyber-attacks are becoming more sophisticated and frequent. We have invested in our systems and the protection of our data to reduce the risk of an intrusion or interruption, and we monitor our systems on an ongoing basis for any current or potential threats. There can be no assurance that these measures and efforts will prevent future interruptions or breakdowns. If we fail to maintain or protect our information

technology systems and data integrity effectively or fail to anticipate, plan for or manage significant disruptions to these systems, we could have difficulty preventing, detecting and controlling fraud, have disputes with customers, physicians and other health care professionals, have regulatory sanctions or penalties imposed, have increases in operating expenses, incur expenses or lose revenues or suffer other adverse consequences, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, prospects and cash flows.

Risks Related to the Securities Markets

Shares of our common stock are subordinate to existing and any future indebtedness and to any preferred stock we may issue.

Shares of our common stock rank junior to our existing indebtedness, including under our senior secured term loan agreement and any future indebtedness we may incur, as well as to all creditor claims and other non-equity claims against us and our assets available to satisfy claims on us, including claims in a bankruptcy or similar proceeding. Our senior secured term loan agreement restricts, and any future indebtedness and preferred stock may restrict, payment of dividends on our common stock. Shares of our common stock will also rank junior to any shares of our preferred stock that we may issue in the future.

Additionally, unlike indebtedness, where principal and interest customarily are payable on specified due dates, in the case of our common stock, (i) dividends are payable only when and if declared by our Board of Directors or a duly authorized committee of our Board of Directors and (ii) as a corporation, we are restricted to making dividend payments and redemption payments out of legally available assets. We have never paid a dividend on our common stock and have no current intention to pay dividends in the future. Furthermore, our common stock places no restrictions on our business or operations or on our ability to incur indebtedness or engage in any transactions, subject only to the voting rights available to our shareholders generally.

The market price of shares of our common stock is extremely volatile, which may affect our ability to raise capital in the future and may subject the value of your investment in our securities to sudden decreases.

The market price for securities of biopharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, including ours, historically has been highly volatile, and the market from time to time has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that are unrelated to the operating performance of such companies. For example, during the 12-month period ended February 28, 2018, our stock price ranged from a low of \$2.45 to a high of \$4.65. Fluctuations in the market price or liquidity of our common stock may harm the value of your investment in our common stock. Factors that may have an impact, which, depending on the circumstances, could be significant, on the market price and marketability of our securities include:

- announcements by us or others of results of clinical trials and regulatory actions, such as the imposition of a clinical trial hold;
- announcements by us or others of serious adverse events that have occurred during administration of our products to patients;
- announcements by us or others relating to our ongoing development and commercialization activities;
- halting or suspension of trading in our common stock on the NASDAQ;
- announcements of technological innovations or new commercial therapeutic products by us, our collaborative partners or our present or potential competitors;

- our issuance of debt or equity securities, which we expect to pursue to generate additional funds to operate our business, or any perception from time to time that we will issue such securities;
- our quarterly operating results;
- liquidity, cash position or financing needs;
- developments or disputes concerning patent or other proprietary rights;
- developments in relationships with collaborative partners;

- acquisitions or divestitures;
- our ability to realize the anticipated benefits of our compounds;
- litigation and government proceedings;
- adverse legislation, including changes in governmental regulation;
- third-party reimbursement policies;
- changes in securities analysts' recommendations;
- short selling of our securities;
- changes in health care policies and practices;
- a failure to achieve previously announced goals and objectives as or when projected; and
- general economic and market conditions.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents, in our shareholder rights agreement, or rights plan, under Delaware law and in other applicable instruments could make removal of incumbent management or an acquisition of us, which may be beneficial to our shareholders, more difficult.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may have the effect of deterring or delaying attempts by our shareholders to remove or replace management, to commence proxy contests or to effect changes in control. These provisions include:

- elimination of cumulative voting in the election of directors;
- procedures for advance notification of shareholder nominations and proposals;
- the ability of our Board of Directors to amend our bylaws without shareholder approval; and
- the ability of our Board of Directors to issue shares of preferred stock without shareholder approval upon the terms and conditions and with the rights, privileges and preferences as our Board of Directors may determine.

Pursuant to our rights plan, an acquisition of 20% or more of our common stock by a person or group, subject to certain exceptions, could result in the exercisability of the preferred stock purchase right accompanying each share of our common stock (except those held by a 20% shareholder, which become null and void), thereby entitling the holder to receive upon exercise, in lieu of a number of units of preferred stock, that number of shares of our common stock having a market value of two times the exercise price of the right. The existence of our rights plan could have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us and may inhibit a change in control that some, or a majority, of our shareholders might believe to be in their best interest or that could give our shareholders the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market prices for their shares.

In addition, as a Delaware corporation, we are subject to Delaware's anti-takeover statute, which imposes restrictions on some transactions between a corporation and certain interested shareholders. Other existing provisions applicable

to us that could have an anti-takeover effect include our executive employment agreements and certain provisions of our outstanding equity-based compensatory awards that allow for acceleration of vesting in the event of a change in control.

The foregoing provisions, alone or together, could have the effect of deterring or delaying changes in incumbent management, proxy contests or changes in control.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

We currently lease approximately 66,000 square feet of space at 3101 Western Avenue in Seattle, Washington. The lease commenced in May 2012 and expires in April 2022. Approximately 44,000 square feet of space at this address has been subleased commencing December 2017 and ending April 2022. We also lease approximately 4,700 square feet of warehouse space in Seattle, Washington under a lease expiring in May 2018. We believe our existing and planned facilities are adequate to meet our present requirements. We anticipate that additional space will be available, when needed, on commercially reasonable terms.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In April 2009, December 2009 and June 2010, the Italian Tax Authority, or the ITA, issued notices of assessment to CTI - Sede Secondaria, or CTI (Europe), based on the ITA's audit of CTI (Europe)'s value added tax, or VAT, returns for the years 2003, 2005, 2006 and 2007. The ITA audits concluded that CTI (Europe) did not collect and remit VAT on certain invoices issued to non-Italian clients for services performed by CTI (Europe). The assessments, including interest and penalties, for the years 2003, 2005, 2006 and 2007 are €0.5 million, €5.5 million, €2.5 million and €0.8 million, respectively. We believe that the services invoiced were non-VAT taxable consultancy services and that the VAT returns are correct as originally filed. We have appealed against all the assessments. As better detailed below, three different procedures have started before the Italian tax courts:

- (i)2003 VAT, currently pending before the Supreme Court;
- (ii)2005 VAT, decided in favor of CTI with no further potential liabilities for the Company; and
- (iii)2006 and 2007 VAT (joined by the judge).

We are defending ourselves against the assessments in the pending procedures (those under (i) and (ii) above) both on procedural grounds and on the merits of the case, although we can make no assurances regarding the ultimate outcome of these cases. If the final decision of the Italian Supreme Court is unfavorable to us, or if, in the interim, the ITA were to make a demand for payment and we were to be unsuccessful in suspending collection efforts, we may be requested to pay the ITA an amount up to €3.9 million or approximately \$4.7 million converted using the currency exchange rate as of December 31, 2017, plus collection fees, notification expenses and additional interest for the period lapsed between the date in which the assessments were issued and the date of effective payment. Following is a summary of the status of the legal proceedings surrounding each respective VAT year return at issue:

•2003 VAT. In September 2011, the Provincial Tax Court issued decision no. 229/3/2011, which (i) fully accepted the merits of our appeal, (ii) declared that no penalties can be imposed against us, and (iii) found the ITA liable to pay us €10,000, as partial refund of the legal expenses we incurred for our appeal. In October 2012, the ITA appealed this decision. In June 2013, the Regional Tax Court issued decision no. 119/50/13, which accepted the appeal of the ITA and reversed the previous decision of the Provincial Tax Court. We believe that such decision has not carefully taken into account our arguments and the documentation we filed, and therefore appealed such decision in front of the Supreme Court both on procedural grounds and on the merits of the case in January 2014. In January 2014 the Company was provided a notice of payment with which the ITA requested the advance payment of €0.4 million of VAT, interest and penalties. We paid such amount in March 2014.

•2005 VAT. In January 2011, the Provincial Tax Court issued decision No. 4/2010 which (i) partially accepted our appeal and declared that no penalties can be imposed against us, (ii) confirmed the right of the ITA to reassess the

VAT (plus interest) in relation to the transactions identified in the 2005 notice of assessment and (iii) repealed the suspension of the notice of deposit payment. Both the ITA and the Company appealed to the higher court against the decision. In October 2012, the Regional Tax Court issued decision no. 127/31/2012, which (i) fully accepted the merits of our appeal and (ii) confirmed that no penalties can be imposed against us. In April 2013, the ITA appealed the decision to the Italian Supreme Court. On January 30, 2018, the Italian Supreme Court issued decision No. 02250/2018 which (i) rejected the appeal of the ITA, (ii) confirmed decision of the Regional Tax Court which ruled fully in our favor, and (iii) due to the novelty of the arguments at stake, compensated the legal expenses incurred by the parties. ITA may not use any ordinary mean of appeal against the Supreme Court decision.

•2006 VAT. In October 2011, the Provincial Tax Court issued decision no. 276/21/2011 (jointly with the 2007 VAT case) in which it (i) fully accepted the merits of our appeal, (ii) declared that no penalties can be imposed against us, and (iii) found that for the 2006 and 2007 VAT cases the ITA was liable to pay us €10,000 as partial refund of the legal expenses incurred for the appeal. In December 2011, the ITA appealed this decision to the Regional Tax Court. On April 16, 2013, the Regional Tax Court issued decision no. 57/35/13 (jointly with the 2007 VAT case) in which it fully rejected the merits of the ITA's appeal, declared that no penalties can be imposed against us, and found the ITA liable to pay us €12,000, as partial refund of the legal expenses we incurred for this appeal. In November 2013, the ITA appealed the decision to the Supreme Court.

•2007 VAT. In October 2011, the Provincial Tax Court issued decision no. 276/21/2011 (jointly with the 2006 VAT case described above) in which the Provincial Tax Court (i) fully accepted the merits of our appeal, (ii) declared that no penalties can be imposed against us, and (iii) found that for the 2006 and 2007 VAT cases the ITA was liable to pay us €10,000 as partial refund of the legal expenses incurred for the appeal. In December 2011, the ITA appealed this decision to the Regional Tax Court. On April 16, 2013, the Regional Tax Court issued decision no. 57/35/13 (jointly with the 2006 VAT case) in which it fully rejected the merits of the ITA's appeal, declared that no penalties can be imposed against us, and found the ITA liable to pay us €12,000, as partial refund of the legal expenses we incurred for this appeal. In November 2013, the ITA appealed the decision to the Supreme Court.

No hearing has been fixed yet for the 2003 and consolidated 2006/2007 VAT cases.

Securities and Exchange Commission Subpoena

We previously disclosed that we had received a subpoena from the SEC in January 2016. We believe that the SEC is seeking to determine whether there have been possible violations of the antifraud and certain other provisions of the federal securities laws related to the Company's disclosures concerning, among other things, the clinical test results of pacritinib. The SEC Staff's letter sent with the subpoena stated that the investigation is a fact-finding inquiry, and the investigation and subpoena do not mean that the SEC has concluded that we or anyone else has violated any law. We are cooperating with this investigation, which is ongoing.

In re CTI BioPharma Corp. Securities Litigation

On February 10, 2016 and February 12, 2016, class action lawsuits entitled Ahrens v. CTI BioPharma Corp. et al., Case No. 1:16-cv-01044 and McGlothlin v. CTI BioPharma Corp. et al., Case No. C16-216, respectively, were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington, respectively, on behalf of shareholders that purchased or acquired the Company's securities pursuant to our September 24, 2015 public offering and/or shareholders who otherwise acquired our stock between March 4, 2014 and February 9, 2016, inclusive. The complaints assert claims against the Company and certain of our current and former directors and officers for violations of the federal securities laws under Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Sections 10 and 20 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. Plaintiffs' Securities Act claims allege that the Company's Registration Statement and Prospectus for the September 24, 2015 public offering contained materially false and misleading statements and failed to disclose certain material adverse facts about the Company's business, operations and prospects, including with respect to the clinical trials and prospects for pacritinib. Plaintiffs' Exchange Act claims allege that the Company's public disclosures were knowingly or recklessly false and misleading or omitted material adverse facts, again with a primary focus on the clinical trials and prospects for pacritinib. On May 2, 2016, the Company filed a motion to transfer the Ahrens case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington. The motion was unopposed and granted by the court on May 19, 2016. On June 3, 2016, the parties filed a joint motion to consolidate the McGlothlin case with the Ahrens case in order to proceed as a single consolidated proceeding. On June 13, 2016, the court granted the motion to consolidate with the action being captioned In re CTI

BioPharma Corp. Securities Litigation, Master File No. 2:16-cv-00216-RSL. On September 2, 2016, the court appointed Lead Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel. On September 28, 2016, the court entered a scheduling order, as revised by order entered December 8, 2016, setting November 8, 2016 as the deadline to file a consolidated class action complaint and deadlines for briefing defendants' motion to dismiss. Briefing concluded on February 22, 2017. The consolidated class action complaint asserts claims similar to those asserted in the initial complaints, although it no longer asserts claims relating to the September 24, 2015 public offering, but adds claims relating to the Company's October 27, 2015 and December 4, 2015 public offerings. On July 26, 2017, we received a written offer for the global resolution and settlement of the consolidated action in exchange for cash payment of \$20.0 million. The Company had insurance coverage related to this matter that covered \$18.0 million of the claim. In August 2017, we agreed in principle to the terms of the settlement and submitted the terms and proposed class notice to the court for its

preliminary approval. On October 24, 2017, the court granted preliminary approval, and on February 1, 2018, the court fully and finally approved the settlement and dismissed all claims against the Company with prejudice.

Wei v. James A. Bianco, et al.; England v. James A. Bianco, et al.; Nahar v. James A. Bianco, et al.; Hill v. James A. Bianco, et al.

On March 14, 2016, a Company shareholder filed the first of four similar derivative lawsuits on behalf of the Company seeking damages for alleged harm to the Company caused by certain current and former officers and directors. The first suit, Wei v. James A. Bianco, et al., 16-2-05818-3, was filed in King County Superior Court, Washington. A second suit, England v. James A. Bianco, et al., 16-2-14422-5, was filed in King County Superior Court, Washington, on June 16, 2016. Two additional derivative suits, Nahar v. James A. Bianco, et al., 2:16-cv-0756, and Hill v. James A. Bianco, et al., 2:16-cv-1250, were filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington on May 24, 2016 and August 9, 2016, respectively. The four suits raise similar allegations and seek similar relief against certain current and former officers and directors, including James A. Bianco, Louis A. Bianco, Jack W. Singer, Bruce J. Seeley, John H. Bauer, Phillip M. Nudelman, Reed V. Tuckson, Karen Ignagni, Richard L. Love, Mary O. Mundinger and Frederick W. Telling. Consistent with the requirements of a derivative action, the Company is named in each suit as a nominal defendant against which no monetary relief is sought. The complaints generally allege claims of: (1) breach of fiduciary duty; (2) abuse of control; (3) gross mismanagement; and (4) waste of corporate assets and (5) unjust enrichment (receiving compensation that was unjust in light of the alleged conduct). Each claim is based on the assertion that the Company made materially false and misleading statements and omitted material information from its disclosures about pacritinib and its safety. Plaintiffs in none of the suits made a pre-suit demand on the current Board to investigate whether to pursue claims against officers or directors, instead claiming demand is excused because the named defendants lack independence, are not disinterested because they lack impartiality, received and want to continue to receive their compensation, have longstanding personal and business relationships, and cannot evaluate a demand since they are facing personal liability. Each of plaintiffs' suits requested the court to award the Company the damages allegedly sustained as a result of the conduct and to direct the Company and the individual defendants to reform and improve the Company's corporate governance to avoid future damages. On March 29, 2017 during mediation, the parties to the derivative suits reached an agreement in principle to settle all four suits subject to Board and court approvals. Subject to the terms and conditions in the settlement agreement and court approval, CTI has agreed to adopt certain corporate governance reforms relating to, among other things, the content of CTI-retained independent data monitoring committee charters; engagement if an independent expert or entity to conduct yearly audits of compliance with Good Clinical Practices; the creation of a risk compliance officer position; certain improvements to CTI's Audit Committee, including the requirement that the Audit Committee review CTI's periodic public reports to facilitate proper disclosure of risks and risk factors; establishment of an internal audit function that will monitor the Company's adherence to its policies and procedures, including those related to identification and disclosure of drug candidate safety issues; continuing-education requirements for members of the Board; and improvements to CTI's nominating committee, compensation committee, and clawback policy. CTI also agreed not to object to an attorneys' fee application by plaintiffs' counsel of up to \$0.8 million collectively, subject to the terms and conditions in the settlement agreement and court approval. There is no admission of liability or any wrongdoing by any of the individual defendants or CTI. On September 25, 2017, the King County Superior Court entered an order substituting Kevin Hammond for former Lead Plaintiff Gang Wei and Mauro Eley for former Lead Plaintiff Michael England, and the two case captions were amended as reflected above. The parties filed settlement-approval papers on October 26, 2017. On November 21, 2017, the Court preliminarily approved the settlement, and on January 31, 2018, the Court fully and finally approved the settlement and dismissed all claims against the Company and the individual defendants with prejudice.

In connection with the securities litigation and four derivative lawsuits described above, after taking into account our existing insurance coverage, we recorded \$2.2 million of settlement expense in Selling, general and administrative expenses in our consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2017.

In addition to the items discussed above, we are from time to time subject to legal proceedings and claims arising in the ordinary course of business.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is currently traded under the symbol "CTIC" on the NASDAQ Capital Market. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low reported sales prices per share of our common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market.

	High	Low
2016		
First Quarter	\$13.20	\$2.51
Second Quarter	\$5.80	\$3.07
Third Quarter	\$4.58	\$3.16
Fourth Quarter	\$5.80	\$3.60
2017		
First Quarter	\$6.48	\$3.87
Second Quarter	\$4.52	\$2.70
Third Quarter	\$3.84	\$3.07
Fourth Quarter	\$3.45	\$2.45

On February 28, 2018, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market was \$4.02 per share. As of February 28, 2018, there were 132 shareholders of record of our common stock.

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our common stock and do not currently anticipate declaring or paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain all of our future earnings, if any, to finance operations. Any future determination relating to our dividend policy will be made at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on a number of factors, including future earnings, capital requirements, financial conditions, future prospects, contractual restrictions and other factors that our Board of Directors may deem relevant.

Sales of Unregistered Securities

Not applicable.

Stock Repurchases in the Fourth Quarter

The following table sets forth information with respect to purchases of our common stock during the three months ended December 31, 2017:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
--------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------	---	--

Edgar Filing: CTI BIOPHARMA CORP - Form 10-K

October 1 – October 31, 2017	6,799	\$ 3.31	—	—
November 1 – November 30, 2017	345	\$ 2.79	—	—
December 1 – December 31, 2017	775	\$ 2.63	—	—
Total	7,919	\$ 3.22	—	—

(1) Represents purchases of shares in connection with satisfying tax withholding obligations on the vesting of restricted stock awards to employees and not pursuant to a publicly announced plan or program.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph sets forth the cumulative total shareholder return of our common stock with the cumulative total return of the NASDAQ Stock Index (U.S.) and the NASDAQ Pharmaceutical Index for the five years ended December 31, 2017. The graph assumes \$100 was invested in our common stock at the close of market on December 31, 2012. Stockholder return over the indicated period should not be considered indicative of future stockholder returns.

The actual returns shown on the graph above are as follows:

	12/31/2012	12/31/2013	12/31/2014	12/31/2015	12/30/2016	12/29/2017
CTI BioPharma Corp.	\$ 100.00	\$ 146.92	\$ 181.54	\$ 94.62	\$ 31.35	\$ 20.62
NASDAQ Stock Index (U.S.)	\$ 100.00	\$ 133.48	\$ 150.12	\$ 150.84	\$ 170.46	\$ 206.91
NASDAQ Pharmaceutical Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 135.68	\$ 165.28	\$ 174.27	\$ 172.37	\$ 205.33

The stock performance graph shall not be deemed soliciting material or to be filed with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, nor shall it be incorporated by reference into any past or future filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent we specifically request that it be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporate it by reference into a filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The data set forth below should be read in conjunction with Part II, Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing at Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Year ended December 31,				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:					
Revenues:					
Product sales, net(1)	\$853	\$4,127	\$3,472	\$6,909	\$2,314
License and contract revenue(2)	24,293	53,278	12,644	53,168	32,364
Total revenues	25,146	57,405	16,116	60,077	34,678
Operating costs and expenses:					
Cost of product sold(1)	364	1,377	1,940	895	137
Research and development	32,866	64,961	76,627	64,596	33,624
Selling, general and administrative	31,435	45,306	53,962	56,241	42,443
Acquired in-process research and development(3)	—	—	—	21,859	—
Other operating (income) expense, net	—	(5,077)	253	2,719	—
Total operating costs and expenses, net	64,665	106,567	132,782	146,310	76,204
Loss from operations	(39,519)	(49,162)	(116,666)	(86,233)	(41,526)
Non-operating income (expense):					
Interest expense	(1,872)	(2,614)	(2,104)	(1,947)	(1,026)
Amortization of debt discount and issuance costs	(163)	(214)	(390)	(729)	(513)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	817	(484)	(703)	(4,435)	61
Other non-operating expense	(94)	(479)	(900)	(885)	(546)
Total non-operating expense, net	(1,312)	(3,791)	(4,097)	(7,996)	(2,024)
Net loss before noncontrolling interest	(40,831)	(52,953)	(120,763)	(94,229)	(43,550)
Noncontrolling interest	161	944	1,341	862	807
Net loss attributable to CTI	(40,670)	(52,009)	(119,422)	(93,367)	(42,743)
Deemed dividends on preferred stock	(4,350)	—	(3,200)	(2,625)	(6,900)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$(45,020)	\$(52,009)	\$(122,622)	\$(95,992)	\$(49,643)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share(4)	\$(1.24)	\$(1.86)	\$(6.51)	\$(6.46)	\$(4.35)
Shares used in calculation of basic and diluted net loss per common share(4)	36,445	27,948	18,837	14,853	11,419

Year ended December 31,
2017 2016 2015 2014 2013
(In thousands)

Consolidated Balance Sheets Data:

Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$43,218	\$44,002	\$128,182	\$70,933	\$71,639
Working capital	27,666	15,178	62,566	44,165	60,446
Total assets	54,886	63,843	144,197	92,122	93,464
Current portion of long-term debt(5)	444	7,949	37,371	9,014	3,155
Long-term debt, less current portion(5)	13,575	11,311	19,124	8,198	9,893
Other liabilities	5,469	3,615	4,141	5,882	5,657
Common stock purchase warrants	—	—	—	1,445	13,461
Accumulated deficit	(2,195,346)	(2,150,326)	(2,098,317)	(1,975,695)	(1,879,703)
Total shareholders' equity	16,090	7,757	47,413	38,478	42,758

(1) The amounts relate to commercial sales of PIXUVRI.

(2) The amounts primarily relate to license and development services revenue recognized in connection with the Pacritinib License Agreement, the Servier Original Agreement and the Servier Restated Agreement as well as payments received from Teva upon achievement of sales-based milestones. See Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 11. Collaboration, Licensing and Milestone Agreements" for additional information.

(3) The amount in 2014 represents the purchase of certain assets from Chroma Therapeutics Limited. These purchased assets had not reached technological feasibility at the time of acquisition and were therefore expensed to Acquired in-process research and development.

(4) The net loss per share calculation, including the number of shares used in basic and diluted net loss per share, has been adjusted to reflect a one-for-ten reverse stock split on January 1, 2017.

(5) These amounts relate to our senior secured term loan agreements. Also included in 2015 is milestone advance received from Baxalta in June 2015 which obligation was satisfied during the first quarter of 2016. See Part II, Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 7. Long-term Debt" for additional information.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on the acquisition, development and commercialization of novel targeted therapies covering a spectrum of blood-related cancers that offer a unique benefit to patients and healthcare providers. Our goal is to build a profitable company by generating income from products we develop and commercialize, either alone or with partners. We are currently concentrating our efforts on treatments that target blood-related cancers where there is an unmet medical need. In particular, we are primarily focused on evaluating pacritinib for the treatment of adult patients with myelofibrosis and the further development of PIXUVRI worldwide, for which our partner, Les Laboratoires Servier and Institut de Recherches Internationales Servier, or collectively Servier, has commercialization rights outside the United States.

Key Highlights

Select fiscal year 2017 highlights include:

Research and Development

In August 2017, enrollment was completed in the PIX306 Phase 3 trial of PIXUVRI® (pixantrone). The PIX306 trial is evaluating PIXUVRI combined with rituximab in comparison to that of rituximab combined with gemcitabine in patients with aggressive B-cell NHL. PIXUVRI has previously been granted conditional marketing authorization from the European Commission for the treatment of adult patients with multiply relapsed or refractory aggressive B-cell NHL. The trial is being conducted as a post-authorization requirement of conditional marketing authorization. If positive, the results from this trial could support broader indications. Top-line results are expected by the end of the first half of 2018.

In July 2017, the first patient was enrolled in PAC203, a Phase 2 clinical trial of pacritinib in patients with primary myelofibrosis who have failed prior ruxolitinib therapy. PAC203 is designed to evaluate the dose response relationship for safety and efficacy (spleen volume reduction at 12 and 24 weeks) of three dose regimens: 100 mg once-daily, 100 mg twice-daily (BID) and 200 mg BID. The 200 mg BID dose regimen was used in the Phase 3 PERSIST-2 trial of pacritinib in patients with myelofibrosis. The trial is expected to enroll up to approximately 105 patients. We expect to complete enrollment by mid-2018. We expect to have interim data from PAC203 by the end of the second quarter of 2018 and topline data in the first quarter of 2019.

In July 2017, the EMA validated the MAA for pacritinib for the treatment of patients with myelofibrosis who have thrombocytopenia (platelet counts less than 100,000 per microliter). Validation confirms that the submission is complete and initiates the centralized review process by the EMA's Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use.

In April 2017, we announced the expansion of the existing license and development collaboration agreement with Servier for PIXUVRI®. Under the expanded agreement, Servier will have rights to PIXUVRI in all markets except in the U.S. where we will retain the commercialization rights. Servier paid us €12.0 million in May 2017 and purchased PIXUVRI drug product for an additional €0.9 million in July 2017. We are eligible to receive up to €75.0 million in additional sales and regulatory milestone payments as well as royalties on net product sales.

Management and Board of Directors

Management

In September 2017, we announced the promotion of David Kirske as Chief Financial Officer, or CFO, of the Company. Mr. Kirske joined the Company earlier in 2017 and served as the Principal Financial and Accounting

Officer prior to being appointed CFO. Mr. Kirske leads CTI BioPharma's finance, accounting and investor relations teams. Prior to his appointment, Mr. Kirske was an independent chief financial officer consultant since January 2013. As a consultant, he has provided financial management services to public and emerging growth private companies primarily in the biotechnology industry, as well as in the technology and manufacturing industries. Mr. Kirske's financial management experience includes overseeing finance, accounting, operations, and capitalization, in both debt and equity. Prior to his time as a consultant, Mr. Kirske served as Vice President and CFO of Helix BioMedix where he managed all financial and administrative activities. Previously, he was the Treasurer and Corporate Controller for F-5 Networks and Redhook Brewery where he managed both corporate and international entities, and was part of the management teams that led and executed each company's successful initial public offerings. Earlier in his career, he held a controllership position at Cray Computer. Mr. Kirske holds a B.A. in Business Administration from the University of Puget Sound.

In September 2017, we announced the promotion of Bruce Seeley to Chief Operating Officer of the Company. Mr. Seeley joined the Company in 2015 and served as the Chief Commercial and Administrative Officer and Secretary prior to being appointed Chief Operating Officer. Mr. Seeley has more than 25 years of global commercial experience and a proven track record of successfully launching products in various markets and regulatory environments. Most recently, Mr. Seeley was Senior Vice President and General Manager of Diagnostics at NanoString Technologies Inc., overseeing the launch of the diagnostic product PROSIGNA® for early stage breast cancer. Previously, he was Executive Vice President of Commercial at Seattle Genetics where he built and led the commercial organization, including marketing, sales and managed markets, and successfully launched Seattle Genetic's first product, ADCETRIS®, a targeted therapy for lymphoma. He also previously held key leadership positions in marketing at Genentech (now a member of the Roche Group), where he led the launch of HERCEPTIN® in adjuvant breast cancer. Earlier in his career he held various commercial roles at Aventis Pharmaceuticals Inc. (a part of Sanofi) and Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. Mr. Seeley received a B.A. in Sociology from the University of California at Los Angeles.

In February 2017, we announced the appointment of Adam Craig, M.D., Ph.D., as President and Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, and member of the Company's Board of Directors effective March 20, 2017. Dr. Craig has over 20 years of experience in hematology, oncology and drug development in both the U.S. and Europe. Dr. Craig worked as an independent consultant providing strategic and operational advice and support to CTI BioPharma and other hematology/oncology biotechnology companies. Prior to consulting, Dr. Craig was Chief Medical Officer, or CMO, and Executive Vice President of Development at Sunesis Pharmaceuticals from 2012 to 2016. From 2008 to 2012, Dr. Craig was CMO and Senior Vice President of Chemgenex Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Dr. Craig is a Member of the Royal College of Physicians in the United Kingdom, or U.K., and undertook Post-Graduate Training in Pediatrics and Pediatric Oncology. Dr. Craig earned his Bachelor's and Medical degrees from Charing Cross and Westminster Medical School, University of London, and holds a Ph.D. in Molecular Oncology from Leeds University in the U.K. and an MBA from the Open Business School in the U.K. Dr. Craig recently served as a Product Development Reviewer for the Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas.

Board of Directors

In July 2017, Laurent Fischer, M.D. was appointed to the Board of Directors, and in September 2017 he was appointed Chairman of the Board. Dr. Fischer has more than 20 years of experience in developing and commercializing novel medicines in the biopharmaceutical industry and currently serves as liver therapeutic area head at Allergan following its acquisition of Tobira Therapeutics in 2016.

In June 2017, David Parkinson, M.D. was appointed to the Board of Directors. Dr. Parkinson has significant experience in oncology clinical development and is currently President and Chief Executive Officer of Essa Pharmaceuticals, Inc. He and has also served as a venture partner at New Enterprise Associates, Inc., or NEA, since 2012, moving into the role of venture advisor to NEA in 2016.

In January 2017, Michael Metzger was appointed to the Board of Directors. Mr. Metzger has extensive experience leading and growing companies in the biopharmaceutical industry over the last 20 years. Mr. Metzger is currently President and Chief Operating Officer of Syndax Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a publicly traded immuno-oncology biopharmaceutical company. He has served in executive and senior management positions at Regado Biosciences, Mersana Therapeutics, Forest Laboratories and Onconova Therapeutics.

Financial summary

Our revenues are generated from a combination of PIXUVRI sales and collaboration and license agreements. Collaboration revenues reflect the earned amount of upfront payments and milestone payments under our product

collaborations. Total revenues were \$25.1 million, \$57.4 million and \$16.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Our loss from operations was \$39.5 million, \$49.2 million and \$116.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Our results of operations may vary substantially from year to year and from quarter to quarter and, as a result, you should not rely on them as being indicative of our future performance.

See Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 11. Collaboration, Licensing and Milestone Agreements" for further information relating to our collaboration agreements.

As of December 31, 2017, we had cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash of \$43.2 million.

Results of Operations

Years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015

Product sales, net. Prior to April 2017 when we entered into an Amended and Restated Exclusive License and Collaboration Agreement, or the Restated Agreement, with Servier, we sold PIXUVRI primarily through a limited number of wholesale distributors. Servier is currently responsible for distribution of PIXUVRI in countries other than the U.S. (and its territories and possessions.) We generally record product sales upon receipt of the product by the health care provider or distributor at which time title and risk of loss pass.

Gross sales is defined as our contracted reimbursement price in each country. Gross sales from PIXUVRI for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 were \$1.0 million, \$4.2 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. Product sales, net represents gross sales, net of provisions for distributor discounts, estimated government-mandated discounts and rebates, trade discounts and estimated product returns. After these provisions, product sales, net from PIXUVRI were \$0.9 million, \$4.1 million and \$3.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The provision for product returns relates to a limited right of return or replacement that we offer to certain customers. Distributor discounts, return and rebates were \$0.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2017. There was no material activity related to distributor discounts, returns and rebates during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and no material balances recorded as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

The decrease in product sales, net for the year ended December 31, 2017 from the same period in 2016 was primarily related to the Restated Agreement with Servier in April 2017 under which Servier assumed commercialization rights to PIXUVRI in all markets except in the U.S. The increase in product sales, net of \$0.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to the year ended December 31, 2015 was primarily due to pricing and volume variances between the periods presented, partially offset by a decline in the average exchange rate of the British pound for our pound-denominated sales.

License and contract revenue. License and contract revenue was as follows (in thousands):

		Years ended December 31,		
		2017	2016	2015
Servier	Milestone and license revenue	\$ 12,665	\$ 7,998	\$ 1,702
	Development services revenue	1,098	639	103
	Royalty revenue	530	204	24
	Total Servier	14,293	8,841	1,829
Teva	Milestones revenue	10,000	—	10,000
	Total Teva	10,000	—	10,000
Baxalta	Milestone and license revenue	—	32,000	—
	Development services revenue	—	12,437	815
	Total Baxalta	—	44,437	815
Total license and contract revenue		\$ 24,293	\$ 53,278	\$ 12,644

Servier

In April 2017, in connection with the execution of the Restated Agreement with Servier, we allocated and recorded \$11.5 million and \$1.3 million of the upfront payment received to license revenue and deferred revenue, respectively. The Restated Agreement with Servier amended and restated in its entirety the Exclusive License and Collaboration Agreement, or the Original Agreement, entered into in September 2014. The remaining deferred revenue balance as of

the date of the Restated Agreement relating to the upfront payment under the Original Agreement was \$0.6 million, which, along with the \$1.3 million of deferred revenue allocated from the Restated Agreement as mentioned above, will be recognized as revenue based upon a proportional performance method.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, we recorded a €1.0 million milestone revenue (or \$1.2 million upon conversion from euros as of the date we achieved the milestone) relating to the attainment of a certain regulatory milestone under the Restated Agreement. During the year ended December 31, 2016, we recorded a €7.5 million milestone revenue (or \$8.0 million upon conversion from euros as of the date we achieved the milestone) relating to the attainment of a certain

enrollment event in connection with our PIX306 study. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we received a €1.5 million milestone payment (or \$1.7 million upon conversion from euros as of the date we received the funds) relating to the attainment of reimbursement approval for PIXUVRI in Spain.

License and contract revenue for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 includes \$0.6 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, of development services revenue recognized from the upfront payments we received in connection with the execution of the Restated Agreement in 2017 and the Original Agreement in September 2014. In addition, we recorded revenue of \$0.4 million for the reimbursement of expenses related to commercialization transition under the Restated Agreement during the year ended December 31, 2017. There were no such revenues during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

In February 2016, we entered into an agreement with one of Servier's affiliates whereby we were to conduct a pharmacokinetic sub-study on behalf of Servier in conjunction with our ongoing clinical trial, PIX-306. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, of expense reimbursements in relation to this study was included in development services revenue. There was no such revenue during the year ended December 31, 2015. We expect to receive no such development services revenue in future periods as the pharmacokinetic sub-study was completed in September 2017.

Teva

For each of the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2015, we received \$10.0 million in milestone payments upon the achievement of worldwide net sales milestones of TRISENOX. We did not receive a milestone payment during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Baxalta

In October 2016, we resumed primary responsibility for the development and commercialization of pacritinib as a result of the termination of the Pacritinib License Agreement. As such, we are no longer eligible to receive cost sharing or milestone payments for pacritinib's development from Baxalta. For additional information relating to the Pacritinib License Agreement, see Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 11. Collaboration, Licensing and Milestone Agreements."

During the year ended December 31, 2016, we recorded milestone revenue of \$32.0 million for achievement of the PERSIST-2 Milestone and the MAA Milestone under the Pacritinib License Agreement. We received the cash advance for these milestone payments in the second quarter of 2015; it was accounted for as long-term debt until the achievement of the associated milestones in the first quarter of 2016. See Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 7. Long-term Debt," for further details. No milestone payments were received during 2015 under the Pacritinib License Agreement.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, we recorded \$11.4 million of development services revenue relating to the reimbursable development costs from Baxalta under the terms of the Pacritinib License Agreement. No such revenue was recorded during the same period in 2015.

The license and contract revenue under the Pacritinib License Agreement for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 also included \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, of development services revenue which was recognized based on a proportional performance method from the allocated upfront payment we received in connection with the execution of the Pacritinib License Agreement in 2013.

Operating costs and expenses

Cost of product sold. Cost of product sold is related to sales of PIXUVRI and includes royalty expenses payable under the agreement with University of Vermont and the Novartis Termination Agreement.

Cost of product sold was \$0.4 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Royalty expenses were \$0.3 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million for the same periods.

The decrease in cost of product sold for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to 2016 was primarily a result of the Restated Agreement we entered into with Servier in April 2017 whereby Servier assumed responsibility for the manufacture and supply of drug products and substances in its respective territories. For additional information, see Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 11. Collaboration, Licensing and Milestone Agreements."

The decrease in cost of product sold by \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to 2015 was primarily due to a reduction in reserve for excess obsolete or unsalable inventory between periods. Based on assessment of shelf lives and net realizable value of the product, reserves of \$0.7 million and \$1.3 million were recorded during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. There was no reserve recorded during the year ended December 31, 2017.

Research and development expenses. Our research and development expenses for compounds under development and preclinical development were as follows (in thousands):

	Years ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Compounds under development:			
PIXUVRI	\$7,419	\$12,009	\$14,465
Pacritinib	13,135	32,150	36,152
Opaxio	(18)	98	626
Tosedostat	(3)	1,587	920
Operating expenses	12,286	18,494	23,212
Research and preclinical development	47	623	1,252
Total research and development expenses	\$32,866	\$64,961	\$76,627

Costs for our compounds include external direct expenses such as principal investigator fees, charges from contract research organizations, or CROs, and contract manufacturing fees incurred for preclinical, clinical, manufacturing and regulatory activities associated with preparing the compounds for submissions of NDAs or similar regulatory filings to the FDA, the EMA or other regulatory agencies outside the U.S. and Europe, as well as upfront license fees for acquired technology. Subsequent to receiving a positive opinion for conditional approval of PIXUVRI in the E.U. from the EMA's CHMP, costs associated with commercial batch production, quality control, stability testing, and certain other manufacturing costs of PIXUVRI were capitalized as inventory. Operating expenses include personnel costs and an allocation of occupancy, depreciation and amortization expenses associated with developing these compounds. Research and preclinical development costs primarily include costs associated with external laboratory services associated with the compound under development by Aequis Biopharma, Inc. We are not able to capture the total cost of each compound because we do not allocate operating expenses to all of our compounds. External direct costs incurred by us as of December 31, 2017 were \$127.8 million for PIXUVRI (excluding costs prior to our 2004 merger with Novuspharma S.p.A, formerly a public pharmaceutical company located in Italy), \$128.3 million for pacritinib (excluding costs for pacritinib prior to our acquisition of certain assets from S*BIO in May 2012 and \$29.1 million of in-process research and development expenses associated with the acquisition of certain assets from S*BIO), \$228.0 million for Opaxio and \$13.9 million for tosedostat (excluding costs for tosedostat prior to our co-development and license agreement with Chroma Therapeutics Limited, or Chroma, in 2011 and \$21.9 million of inprocess research and development expenses associated with the acquisition of certain assets from Chroma).

Research and development expenses decreased to \$32.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$65.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The decrease of \$32.1 million was primarily attributed to a \$19.0 million decrease in pacritinib development costs as a result of the full clinical hold, a \$4.6 million reduction of PIXUVRI trial costs and medical affairs and manufacturing activities in the E.U., a \$1.6 million decrease in tosedostat drug manufacturing and development costs, a \$6.2 million decrease in operating expenses associated with supporting our research and development efforts primarily due to a decline in personnel and a \$0.7 million decrease in other development costs.

Research and development expenses decreased to \$65.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 compared to \$76.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The decrease of \$11.6 million was primarily attributed to a

decrease in pacritinib development costs as a result of the full clinical hold, a reduction of PIXUVRI medical affairs and manufacturing activities in the E.U. and a decrease in operating expenses associated with supporting our research and development efforts primarily due to a decline in personnel.

Regulatory agencies, including the FDA and EMA, regulate many aspects of a product candidate's life cycle, including research and development and preclinical and clinical testing. We will need to commit significant time and resources to develop our current and any future product candidates. Our product candidates pacritinib and tosedostat are currently in clinical development, and our product PIXUVRI, which is currently being commercialized in parts of Europe, is undergoing a post-authorization trial. Many drugs in human clinical trials fail to demonstrate the desired safety and efficacy characteristics. We are unable to provide the nature, timing and estimated costs of the efforts necessary to complete the development of pacritinib

and tosedostat, and to complete the post-authorization PIX306 trial of PIXUVRI, because, among other reasons, we cannot predict with any certainty the pace of patient enrollment of our clinical trials, which is a function of many factors, including the availability and proximity of patients with the relevant condition and the availability of the compounds for use in the applicable trials. We rely on third parties to conduct clinical trials, which may result in delays or failure to complete trials if the third parties fail to perform or meet applicable standards. Even after a clinical trial is enrolled, preclinical and clinical data can be interpreted in different ways, which could delay, limit or preclude regulatory approval and advancement of this compound through the development process. We or regulatory authorities may suspend clinical trials at any time on the basis that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks. For example, on February 8, 2016, the FDA placed a full clinical hold on pacritinib. Even if our drugs progress successfully through initial human testing in clinical trials, they may fail in later stages of development. A number of companies in the pharmaceutical industry, including us, have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials, even after reporting promising results in earlier trials. For these reasons, among others, we cannot estimate the date on which clinical development of our product candidates will be completed, if ever, or when we will generate material net cash inflows from PIXUVRI or be able to begin commercializing pacritinib, tosedostat or Opaxio to generate material net cash inflows. In order to generate revenue from these compounds, our product candidates need to be developed to a stage that will enable us to commercialize, sell or license related marketing rights to third parties.

We also enter into collaboration agreements for the development and commercialization of our product candidates. We cannot control the amount and timing of resources our collaborators devote to product candidates, which may also result in delays in the development or marketing of products. Because of these risks and uncertainties, we cannot accurately predict when or whether we will successfully complete the development of our product candidates or the ultimate product development cost.

The risks and uncertainties associated with completing development on schedule and the consequences to operations, financial position and liquidity if the project is not timely completed are discussed in more detail in our risk factors, which can be found in Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors."

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$31.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to \$45.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 and \$54.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015.

The decrease in 2017 from 2016 was primarily due to decreases of \$11.2 million in personnel costs, \$0.7 million in travel costs, \$0.2 million in professional fees for marketing initiatives related to our drug candidate, pacritinib, \$0.4 million in pacritinib promotional costs previously shared with our collaboration partner, Baxalta, \$0.4 million in other professional services associated with PIXUVRI, \$1.6 million in legal fees, \$0.3 million in general administrative costs and \$0.3 million in other expenses. These decreases were offset by \$1.2 million primarily related to a loss associated with the December 2017 sublease of approximately 44,000 square feet of our office space.

The decrease between 2015 and 2016 was primarily due to decreases in consulting and other professional service costs for PIXUVRI of \$4.8 million, professional fees for marketing initiatives related to our drug candidate, pacritinib, of \$2.2 million, administrative and travel costs of \$2.1 million, primarily due to pacritinib being placed on full clinical hold by the FDA in February 2016, recruiting and other general and administrative consulting fees of \$1.9 million, and personnel costs of \$0.5 million. Offsetting these decreases were \$1.4 million in pacritinib promotional costs previously shared with our collaboration partner, Baxalta, and a \$1.8 million increase in legal fees.

Other operating (income) expense, net. Other net operating income of \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 includes a gain of \$5.9 million on termination of the Pacritinib License Agreement with Baxalta as well as a \$0.8 million expense payable to Novartis as a result of a certain enrollment event achieved in December 2016 under the

Original Agreement with Servier. Other operating expense of \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 relates to payments made to Novartis as a result of the milestone achieved under the same agreement in February 2015 relating to the reimbursement approval for PIXUVRI in Spain. There was no other operating expense or income for the year ended December 31, 2017. Certain payments are required under the Novartis Termination Agreement. See Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 11. Collaboration, Licensing and Milestone Agreements" for further details.

Non-operating income and expenses

Interest expense. Interest expense is primarily related to our senior secured term loans and was \$1.9 million, \$2.6 million and \$2.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Interest expense decreased by \$0.7 million between 2016 and 2017 primarily due to the declining principal balance and refinancing of our senior secured term

loan. Interest expense increased by \$0.5 million between 2016 and 2015 primarily due to the additional principal amounts of our senior secured term loan funded in June 2015 and December 2015. See Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 7. Long-term Debt" for further details.

Amortization of debt discount and issuance costs. Amortization of debt discount and issuance costs for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was primarily related to our senior secured term loans.

Foreign exchange gain (loss). The foreign exchange gain was \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the foreign exchange loss was \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The variances were due to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, primarily related to operations in our European branches and subsidiaries denominated in foreign currencies.

Other non-operating expense. Other non-operating expense of \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 primarily relates to a loss on debt extinguishment in connection with the repayment of senior secured term loan from Hercules. Other non-operating expense of \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 primarily represents the other-than-temporary impairment recognized on our available-for-sale securities during the first quarter of 2016. Other non-operating expense of \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 was primarily related to a \$1.2 million loss on debt extinguishment in connection with our entry into an amendment to our senior secured term loan agreement, partially offset by the fair value adjustment of the warrant liability. See Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 7. Long-term Debt" for further details.

Deemed dividends on preferred stock. Deemed dividends on preferred stock, approximately \$4.4 million and \$3.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2015, respectively, were related to issuances of our preferred stock. There were no deemed dividends on preferred stock for the year ended December 31, 2016. See Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 8. Preferred Stock" for further details.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash. As of December 31, 2017, we had \$43.2 million in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash.

Net cash used in operating activities. Net cash used in operating activities totaled \$39.3 million, \$76.7 million and \$95.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The decrease in net cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 as compared to the same period in 2016 was primarily due to the decrease in research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses, and an increase in license and contract revenue receipts. The decrease in net cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 as compared to the same period in 2015 was primarily due to increased receipts from license and contract revenue and decreases in spending for research and development and selling, general and administrative expenses, as well as timing of cash payments related to operating activities between the two periods.

Net cash used in investing activities. Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$38,000, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively and primarily relates to purchases of property and equipment.

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities. Net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$39.0 million and \$152.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2015, respectively. Net cash used in financing activities

was \$7.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 was primarily due to the issuance of our Series N-3 preferred stock in June 2017 and the proceeds of our senior secured term loan with Silicon Valley Bank, or SVB, partially offset by the repayment of our senior secured term loan with Hercules.

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was primarily due to principal repayments made under the Loan and Security Agreement, or the Loan Agreement, with Hercules as well as the payment of a fee required under the Loan Agreement to Hercules.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 was primarily due to the acceleration of the two milestone payments received in the aggregate amount of \$32.0 million from Baxalta pursuant to the Pacritinib License Amendment discussed above, as well as due to issuances of common stock, preferred stock and long-term debt. We received

\$15.1 million in net proceeds from the issuance of our common stock in September 2015. We received \$46.7 million in net proceeds from the issuance of our Series N-1 preferred stock in October 2015. We received \$52.8 million in net proceeds from the issuance of our Series N-2 preferred stock in December 2015. In June 2015, we entered into the Third Amendment to the Loan Agreement with Hercules, under which we received a total of \$5.8 million. Further, we borrowed an additional \$5.0 million in December 2015 under the Fourth Amendment to the Loan Agreement. These receipts were offset by repayments to Hercules of \$4.7 million made during the six months ended June 30, 2015.

See Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 8. Preferred Stock and Note 7. Long-term Debt", which are incorporated herein by reference, for further details.

In October 2016, we resumed primary responsibility for the development and commercialization of pacritinib as a result of the termination of the Pacritinib License Agreement. We currently have no commitments or arrangements for any additional financing to fund the development and commercial launch of pacritinib, and we may need to seek additional funding. The development and commercialization of a major product candidate like pacritinib without a collaborative partner will require a substantial amount of our time and financial resources, and as a result, we could experience a decrease in our liquidity and a new demand on our capital resources. For additional information relating to the Pacritinib License Agreement, see Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 11. Collaboration, Licensing and Milestone Agreements." which is incorporated herein by reference.

Capital Resources

We have prepared our consolidated financial statements assuming that we will continue as a going concern, which contemplates realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. We believe that our present financial resources, together with payments projected to be received under certain contractual agreements and our ability to control costs, will be sufficient to fund our operations through the first quarter of 2020. However, we have incurred net losses since inception and expect to generate losses for the foreseeable future, primarily due to research and development costs for PIXUVRI, pacritinib, and tosedostat. Because of our reacquisition of worldwide rights for pacritinib, we are no longer eligible to receive cost sharing or milestone payments for pacritinib's development from Baxalta, and losses related to research and development for pacritinib will increase. We have historically funded our operations through equity financings, borrowings and funds obtained under product collaborations, any or all of which may not be available to us in the future.

As of December 31, 2017, our available cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash totaled \$43.2 million. We had an outstanding principal balance under our senior secured term loan agreement of \$16.0 million. In February 2018, we received approximately \$64.2 million in net proceeds from the public offering of common stock as discussed in Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 21. Subsequent Events," which is incorporated herein by reference. In addition, we received a \$10.0 million milestone payment from Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. relating to the achievement of a milestone for FDA approval of TRISENOX for first line treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia.

Financial resource forecasts are subject to change as a result of a variety of risks and uncertainties. Changes in manufacturing, developments in and expenses associated with our clinical trials and the other factors identified under "Capital Requirements" below may consume capital resources earlier than planned. Additionally, we may not receive anticipated milestone payments or achieve projected net sales from PIXUVRI. Due to these and other factors, the foregoing forecast for the period for which we will have sufficient resources to fund our operations may fail.

Capital Requirements

We may need to acquire additional funds in order to develop our business. We may seek to raise such capital through public or private equity financings, partnerships, collaborations, joint ventures, disposition of assets, debt financings or restructurings, bank borrowings or other sources of financing. However, we have a limited number of authorized shares of common stock available for issuance and additional funding may not be available on favorable terms or at all. If additional funds are raised by issuing equity securities, substantial dilution to existing shareholders may result. If we fail to obtain additional capital when needed, our ability to operate as a going concern will be harmed, and we may be required to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and development programs, reduce our selling, general and administrative expenses, be unable to attract and retain highly qualified personnel, be unable to obtain and maintain contracts necessary to continue our operations and at affordable rates with competitive terms, refrain from making our contractually required payments when due (including debt payments) and/or may be forced to cease operations, liquidate our assets and possibly seek bankruptcy protection.

Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- developments in and expenses associated with our research and development activities;
- acquisitions of compounds or other assets;
- changes in manufacturing;
- ability to generate sales of PIXUVRI in the U.S.;
- regulatory approval developments;
- ability to execute appropriate collaborations for development and commercialization activities;
- ability to reach milestones triggering payments under certain of our contractual arrangements;
- litigation and other disputes;
- competitive market developments; and
- other unplanned business developments.

The following table includes information relating to our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Operating leases:					
Facilities (1)	\$11,051	\$2,487	\$5,116	\$3,448	\$ —
Long-term debt (2)	16,000	444	10,667	4,889	—
Interest on long-term debt (2)	2,696	1,039	1,484	173	—
Purchase commitments (3)	3,349	3,349	—	—	—
Other obligations (4)	2,876	1,436	—	1,440	—
	\$35,972	\$8,755	\$17,267	\$9,950	\$ —

- (1) In December 2017, we entered into an agreement to sublease approximately 44,000 square feet of our office space. Rental proceeds under this sublease are excluded from contractual obligations and expected to be \$0.8 million in fiscal year 2018, \$1.4 million in fiscal year 2019, \$1.4 million in fiscal year 2020, \$1.5 million in fiscal year 2021, and \$0.5 million fiscal year 2022.

- (2) Long-term debt includes the principal payable of \$16.0 million under our senior secured term loan. The interest rate on our senior secured term loan floats at a rate per annum equal to the greater of 2.50% above the prime rate and 6.75%. The amounts presented for interest payments in future periods assume a prime rate of 4.50%. See Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 7. Long-term Debt" for further details.

- (3) Purchase commitments include obligations related to manufacturing supply, insurance and other purchase commitments. In addition, we have entered into certain clinical trial contracts that are not reflected in the table above as amounts under these contracts, which could be material, are not readily determinable. We anticipate the timing of payments under these contracts to range from less than one year to more than 3 years.

- (4) Other obligations include \$1.4 million in severance payments and a \$1.4 million back-end fee due to SVB upon repayment of our senior secured term loan.

Certain of our licensing agreements obligate us to pay a royalty on net sales of products utilizing licensed compounds. Such royalties are dependent on future product sales and are not provided for in the table above as they are not estimable. See Part I, Item 1, "Business - License Agreements and Additional Milestone Activities" for additional information.

Additional Milestone Activities

58

In connection with our development and commercialization activities, we have entered into a number of agreements pursuant to which we have agreed to make milestone payments upon certain development, sales-based and other milestone events; assume certain development and other expenses; and pay designated royalties on sales, including the UVM Agreement, the S*^BIO Agreement and the Novartis Termination Agreement. In particular, we pay royalties on PIXUVRI net sales pursuant to each of the UVM Agreement and the Novartis Termination Agreement. These agreements are discussed in more detail in Part I, Item 1, “Business - License Agreements and Additional Milestone Activities .”

Impact of Inflation

In the opinion of management, inflation has not had a material effect on our operations including selling prices, capital expenditures and operating expenses.

Critical Accounting Estimates

Management makes certain judgments and uses certain estimates and assumptions when applying accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. We evaluate our estimates and judgments on an on-going basis and base our estimates on historical experience and on assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Our experience and assumptions form the basis for our judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may vary from what we anticipate and different assumptions or estimates about the future could change our reported results. We believe the following estimates are the most critical to us, in that they are important to the portrayal of our consolidated financial statements and require our subjective or complex judgment in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements

Contingencies

We are currently involved in various claims and legal proceedings. On a quarterly basis, we review the status of each significant matter and assess its potential financial exposure. If the potential loss from any claim, asserted or unasserted, or legal proceeding is considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated, we accrue a liability for the estimated loss. Significant judgment is required in both the determination of probability and the determination as to whether an exposure is reasonably estimable. Because of uncertainties related to these matters, accruals are based only on the best information available at the time. As additional information becomes available, we reassess the potential liability related to pending claims and litigation and may revise our estimates. These revisions in the estimates of the potential liabilities could have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial position.

Revenue Recognition

Our license and collaboration agreements may contain multiple elements as evaluated under ASC 605-25, Revenue Recognition—Multiple-Element Arrangements, including grants of licenses to know-how and patents relating to our product candidates as well as agreements to provide research and development services, regulatory services, manufacturing and commercialization services. Each deliverable under the agreement is evaluated to determine whether it qualifies as a separate unit of accounting based on whether the deliverable has standalone value to the customer. The arrangement’s consideration that is fixed or determinable is then allocated to each separate unit of accounting based on the relative selling price of each deliverable. This evaluation requires subjective determinations and requires us to make judgments about the selling price of the individual elements and whether such elements are separable from the other aspects of the contractual relationship. Upfront payments for licenses are evaluated to determine if the licensee can obtain standalone value from the license separate from the value of the research and

development services and other deliverables in the arrangement to be provided by us. The assessment of multiple element arrangements also requires judgment in order to determine the allocation of revenue to each deliverable and the appropriate point in time, or period of time, that revenue should be recognized. If we determine that the license does not have standalone value separate from the research and development services, the license and the services are combined as one unit of accounting and upfront payments are recorded as deferred revenue in the balance sheet and are recognized as revenue over the estimated performance period that is consistent with the term of performance obligations contained in the collaboration agreement. When standalone value is identified, the related consideration is recorded as revenue in the period in which the license or other intellectual property is delivered.

Our license and collaboration agreements may also contain milestone payments that become due to us upon achievements of certain milestones. Under the milestone method, we recognize revenue that is contingent upon the achievement of a substantive milestone in its entirety in the period in which the milestone is achieved. A milestone is an event (1) that can be achieved in whole or in part on either our performance or on the occurrence of a specific outcome resulting from our performance, (2) for which there is substantive uncertainty at the date the arrangement is entered into that the event will be

achieved and (3) that would result in additional payments being due to us. A milestone payment is considered substantive when the consideration payable to us for each milestone (1) is consistent with our performance necessary to achieve the milestone or the increase in value to the collaboration resulting from our performance, (2) relates solely to our past performance and (3) is reasonable relative to all of the other deliverables and payments within the arrangement. In making this assessment, we consider all facts and circumstances relevant to the arrangement, including factors such as the scientific, regulatory, commercial and other risks that must be overcome to achieve the respective milestone, the level of effort and investment required to achieve the respective milestone and whether any portion of the milestone consideration is related to future performance or deliverables.

Share-based Compensation Expense

Share-based compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors is recognized and measured based on estimated fair values. For option valuations, we have elected to utilize the Black-Scholes valuation method in order to estimate the fair value of options on the date of grant. The risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield currently available for U.S. Treasury securities at maturity with an equivalent term. We have not declared or paid dividends on our common stock and do not currently expect to do so in the future. The expected term of options represents the period that our share-based awards are expected to be outstanding and was determined based on historical weighted average holding periods and projected holding periods for the remaining unexercised options. Consideration was given to the contractual terms of our share-based awards, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior. Expected volatility is based on the annualized daily historical volatility, including consideration of the implied volatility and market prices of traded options for comparable entities within our industry. These assumptions underlying the Black-Scholes valuation model involve management's best estimates.

For more complex awards, such as our long-term performance awards, or the Long-Term Performance Awards, discussed in the Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 12. Share-Based Compensation" contained herein, we employ a Monte Carlo simulation model to calculate estimated grant-date fair value. For the Long-Term Performance Awards, the average present value is calculated based upon the expected date the award will vest, or the event date, the expected stock price on the event date and the expected current shares outstanding on the event date. The event date, stock price and the shares outstanding are estimated using the Monte Carlo simulation model, which is based on assumptions by management, including the likelihood of achieving milestones and potential future financings. These assumptions impact the fair value of the equity-based award and the expense that will be recognized over the life of the award.

Generally accepted accounting principles for share-based compensation also require that we recognize compensation expense for only the portion of awards expected to vest. Therefore, we apply an estimated forfeiture rate that we derive from historical employee termination behavior. If the actual number of forfeitures differs from our estimates, adjustments to compensation expense may be required in future periods. For performance-based awards that do not include market-based conditions, we record share-based compensation expense only when the performance-based milestone is deemed probable of achievement. We utilize both quantitative and qualitative criteria to judge whether milestones are probable of achievement. For awards with market-based performance conditions, we recognize the grant-date fair value of the award over the derived service period regardless of whether the underlying performance condition is met.

Going Concern

Our financial statements are prepared using U.S. GAAP applicable to a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business.

Recently Issued and Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

For a description of recently issued and adopted accounting pronouncements, including the expected effects on our results of operations and financial condition, refer to Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies," which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Foreign Exchange Market Risk

We are exposed to risks associated with the translation of euro-denominated financial results and accounts into U.S. dollars for financial reporting purposes. The carrying value of the assets and liabilities held in our European branches and subsidiaries will be affected by fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar as compared to the euro. In addition, certain of our contractual arrangements, such as the Restated Agreement with Servier, denote monetary amounts in foreign currencies, and consequently, the ultimate financial impact to us from a U.S. dollar perspective is subject to significant uncertainty. Changes in the value of the U.S. dollar as compared to applicable foreign currencies (in particular, the euro) might have an adverse effect on our reported results of operations and financial condition. As the net positions of our unhedged foreign currency transactions fluctuate, our earnings might be negatively affected. As of December 31, 2017, we had a net asset balance, excluding intercompany payables and receivables, in our European branches and subsidiaries denominated in euros. If the euro were to weaken 20 percent against the dollar, our net asset balance would decrease by approximately \$1.5 million as of this date.

Interest Rate Risk

Our senior secured term loan bears interest at variable rates. Based on the outstanding principal balance under such loan at December 31, 2017 of \$16.0 million, a hypothetical increase of 1.0 percent in interest rates would result in additional interest expense of \$0.4 million over the next twelve months. For a detailed discussion of our senior secured term loan, including a discussion of the applicable interest rate, refer to the Part II, Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 7. Long-term Debt."

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Reports of Marcum LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>63</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	<u>66</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>69</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>71</u>

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of
CTI BioPharma Corp.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CTI BioPharma Corp. (the "Company") as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes and financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(ii) (collectively referred to as the "financial statements"). In our opinion, the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB"), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on the criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in 2013 and our report dated March 7, 2018, expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Basis for Opinion

These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ Marcum LLP

Marcum LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2005.

San Francisco, CA
March 7, 2018

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of
CTI BioPharma Corp.

Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited CTI BioPharma Corp.'s (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB"), the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, and the related notes and financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(ii), of the Company and our report dated March 7, 2018 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and financial statement schedule.

Basis for Opinion

The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying "Management Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting". Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally

accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ Marcum LLP

Marcum LLP
San Francisco, CA
March 7, 2018

CTI BIOPHARMA CORP.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In thousands, except share amounts)

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,218	\$ 44,002
Restricted cash	16,000	—
Accounts receivable	4	378
Receivable from collaborative arrangements	1,278	7,778
Inventory, net	550	1,525
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,874	2,141
Total current assets	46,924	55,824
Property and equipment, net	2,365	3,023
Other assets	5,597	4,996
Total assets	\$ 54,886	\$ 63,843
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,588	\$ 7,227
Accrued expenses	13,890	24,765
Current portion of deferred revenue	912	103
Current portion of long-term debt	444	7,949
Other current liabilities	1,424	602
Total current liabilities	19,258	40,646
Deferred revenue, less current portion	494	514
Long-term debt, less current portion	13,575	11,311
Other liabilities	5,469	3,615
Total liabilities	38,796	56,086
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value:		
Authorized shares - 33,333		
Series N-3 Preferred Stock, \$2,000 stated value per share, 22,500 shares designated, 575 and 0 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively	1,090	—
Common stock, no par value:		
Authorized shares - 81,500,000 and 41,500,000 at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively		
Issued and outstanding shares - 42,969,494 and 28,228,602 at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively	2,222,341	2,170,300
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(6,272)	(6,655)
Accumulated deficit	(2,195,346)	(2,150,326)
Total CTI shareholders' equity	21,813	13,319
Noncontrolling interest	(5,723)	(5,562)
Total shareholders' equity	16,090	7,757
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 54,886	\$ 63,843

See accompanying notes.

CTI BIOPHARMA CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Revenues:			
Product sales, net	\$853	\$4,127	\$3,472
License and contract revenue	24,293	53,278	12,644
Total revenues	25,146	57,405	16,116
Operating costs and expenses:			
Cost of product sold	364	1,377	1,940
Research and development	32,866	64,961	76,627
Selling, general and administrative	31,435	45,306	53,962
Other operating (income) expense, net	—	(5,077)	253
Total operating costs and expenses, net	64,665	106,567	132,782
Loss from operations	(39,519)	(49,162)	(116,666)
Non-operating expense:			
Interest expense	(1,872)	(2,614)	(2,104)
Amortization of debt discount and issuance costs	(163)	(214)	(390)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	817	(484)	(703)
Other non-operating expense	(94)	(479)	(900)
Total non-operating expense, net	(1,312)	(3,791)	(4,097)
Net loss before noncontrolling interest	(40,831)	(52,953)	(120,763)
Noncontrolling interest	161	944	1,341
Net loss attributable to CTI	(40,670)	(52,009)	(119,422)
Deemed dividends on preferred stock	(4,350)	—	(3,200)
Net loss attributable to common shareholders	\$(45,020)	\$(52,009)	\$(122,622)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$(1.24)	\$(1.86)	\$(6.51)
Shares used in calculation of basic and diluted net loss per common share	36,445	27,948	18,837

See accompanying notes.

CTI BIOPHARMA CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Net loss before noncontrolling interest	\$(40,831)	\$(52,953)	\$(120,763)
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(3,927)	) 947	2,160
Unrealized foreign exchange gain (loss) on intercompany balance	4,303	(1,162)	) (2,585)
Other-than-temporary impairment on available-for-sale securities	—	520	—
Net unrealized income (loss) on securities available-for-sale	7	(8)	) (28)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	383	297	(453)
Comprehensive loss	(40,448)	) (52,656)	) (121,216)
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	161	944	1,341
Comprehensive loss attributable to CTI	\$(40,287)	\$(51,712)	\$(119,875)

See accompanying notes.

CTI BIOPHARMA CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Accumulated Deficit	Noncontrolling Interest	Total Shareholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Balance at December 31, 2014	—	\$—	17,676	\$2,023,949	\$ (6,499)	\$(1,975,695)	\$ (3,277)	\$ 38,478
Issuance of common stock, net of issuance costs	—	—	1,000	15,147	—	—	—	15,147
Issuance of Series N-1 preferred stock, net of issuance costs	50.0	46,611	—	—	—	—	—	46,611
Conversion of Series N-1 preferred stock to common stock	(50.0)	(46,611)	4,000	46,611	—	—	—	—
Value of beneficial conversion features related to preferred stock	—	—	—	3,200	—	—	—	3,200
Issuance of Series N-2 preferred stock, net of issuance costs	55.0	52,409	—	—	—	—	—	52,409
Conversion of Series N-2 preferred stock to common stock	(55.0)	(52,409)	5,000	52,409	—	—	—	—
Expiry of exercise price provision features related to common stock	—	—	—	150	—	—	—	150
Equity-based compensation	—	—	393	14,828	—	—	—	14,828
Stock option exercises	—	—	8	156	—	—	—	156
Noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1,341)	(1,341)
Expiry of mezzanine equity	—	—	—	1,445	—	—	—	1,445
Other	—	—	(31)	(595)	—	—	—	(595)
Deemed dividends on preferred stock	—	—	—	—	—	(3,200)	—	(3,200)
Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2015	—	—	—	—	—	(119,422)	—	(119,422)
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	(453)	—	—	(453)
Balance at December 31, 2015	—	\$—	28,046	\$2,157,300	\$ (6,952)	\$(2,098,317)	\$ (4,618)	\$ 47,413
Equity-based compensation	—	—	207	13,324	—	—	—	13,324
Noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	—	(944)	(944)

Edgar Filing: CTI BIOPHARMA CORP - Form 10-K

Other	—	—	(24)	(324)	—	—	—	(324)
Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2016	—	—	—	—	—	(52,009)	—	(52,009)
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	297	—	—	297
Balance at December 31, 2016	—	\$—	28,229	\$2,170,300	\$ (6,655)	\$ (2,150,326)	\$ (5,562)	\$ 7,757
Issuance of Series N-3 preferred stock, net of issuance costs	22.5	42,669	—	—	—	—	—	42,669
Conversion of Series N-3 preferred stock to common stock	(21.9)	(41,579)	14,616	41,579	—	—	—	—
Value of beneficial conversion features related to preferred stock	—	—	—	4,350	—	—	—	4,350
Issuance of warrants	—	—	—	470	—	—	—	470
Equity-based compensation	—	—	150	5,746	—	—	—	5,746
Noncontrolling interest	—	—	—	—	—	—	(161)	(161)
Other	—	—	(26)	(104)	—	—	—	(104)
Deemed dividends on preferred stock	—	—	—	—	—	(4,350)	—	(4,350)
Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2017	—	—	—	—	—	(40,670)	—	(40,670)
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	383	—	—	383
Balance at December 31, 2017	0.6	\$1,090	42,969	\$2,222,341	\$ (6,272)	\$ (2,195,346)	\$ (5,723)	\$ 16,090

See accompanying notes.

CTI BIOPHARMA CORP.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Operating activities			
Net loss before noncontrolling interest	\$(40,831)	\$(52,953)	\$(120,763)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Baxalta milestone revenue	—	(32,000)	—
Share-based compensation expense	5,746	13,324	14,828
Depreciation and amortization	717	831	990
Loss on debt extinguishment	163	—	1,211
Loss on sublease	1,584	—	—
Provision for bad debts	—	1,735	—
Reserve for excess, obsolete or unsalable inventory	—	692	1,326
Other-than-temporary impairment on available-for-sale securities	—	520	—
Noncash interest expense	163	214	390
Noncash rent benefit	(648)	(467)	(409)
Change in value of warrant liability	—	—	(232)
Other	(18)	—	—
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	402	(156)	1,555
Receivable from collaborative arrangements	6,579	(9,476)	—
Inventory	1,120	567	(402)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	326	1,609	(402)
Other assets	63	355	826
Accounts payable	(4,730)	(3,025)	4,368
Accrued expenses	(11,096)	2,620	2,426
Deferred revenue	790	(1,071)	(918)
Other liabilities	374	1	3
Total adjustments	1,535	(23,727)	25,560
Net cash used in operating activities	(39,296)	(76,680)	(95,203)
Investing activities			
Purchases of property and equipment	(49)	(137)	(78)
Other	11	—	—
Net cash used in investing activities	(38)	(137)	(78)
Financing activities			
Proceeds from issuance of Series 21 preferred stock, net of issuance costs	—	—	(227)
Proceeds from common stock offering, net of issuance costs	—	—	15,147
Proceeds from issuance of Series N-1 preferred stock, net of issuance costs	—	(37)	46,653
Proceeds from issuance of Series N-2 preferred stock, net of issuance costs	—	(277)	52,800
Proceeds from issuance of Series N-3 preferred stock, net of issuance costs	42,669	—	—
Proceeds from Baxalta milestone advance, net of issuance costs	—	—	31,922
Proceeds from Silicon Valley Bank debt, net of issuance costs	15,971	—	—
Proceeds from Hercules debt, net of issuance costs	—	—	10,820
Repayment of Hercules debt	(19,548)	(5,452)	(4,659)
Payment of a Hercules fee	—	(1,275)	—
Payment of tax withholding obligations related to stock compensation	(87)	(355)	(604)
Other	(26)	30	165

Edgar Filing: CTI BIOPHARMA CORP - Form 10-K

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	38,979	(7,366	) 152,017
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(429	) 3	513
Net (decrease) increase in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	(784	) (84,180	) 57,249
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at beginning of year	44,002	128,182	70,933
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash at end of year	\$43,218	\$44,002	\$128,182
See accompanying notes.			

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS—(Continued)

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information			
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 1,970	\$ 4,446	\$ 2,067
Supplemental disclosure of noncash financing and investing activities			
Conversion of Series N-1 preferred stock to common stock	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 46,611
Conversion of Series N-2 preferred stock to common stock	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 52,409
Conversion of Series N-3 preferred stock to common stock	\$ 41,579	\$ —	\$ —
Repayment and issuance of Hercules debt	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 13,815
Baxalta milestone advance - earned in lieu of repayment	\$ —	\$ 32,000	\$ —
Debt issuance costs included in accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 79	\$ —	\$ —

See accompanying notes.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

CTI BioPharma Corp., together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries, also referred to collectively in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as “we,” “us,” “our,” the “Company” and “CTI”, is a biopharmaceutical company focused on the acquisition, development and commercialization of novel targeted therapies covering a spectrum of blood-related cancers that offer a unique benefit to patients and health care providers. Our goal is to build a profitable company by generating income from products we develop and commercialize, either alone or with partners. We are currently concentrating our efforts on treatments that target blood-related cancers where there is an unmet medical need. In particular, we are primarily focused on evaluating pacritinib for the treatment of adult patients with myelofibrosis and the further development of PIXUVRI worldwide, for which our partners Les Laboratoires Servier and Institut de Recherches Internationales Servier, or collectively Servier, have commercialization rights outside the United States, or the U.S.

We operate in a highly regulated and competitive environment. The manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical products requires approval from, and is subject to, ongoing oversight by the Food and Drug Administration, or the FDA, in the United States, or the U.S., the European Medicines Agency, or the EMA, in the European Union, or the E.U., and comparable agencies in other countries. Obtaining approval for a new therapeutic product is never certain, may take many years and may involve expenditure of substantial resources.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CTI and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, which include CTI Life Sciences Limited, or CTILS. We also retain ownership of our branch, CTI BioPharma Corp.-Sede Secondaria, or CTI (Europe) which has ceased operations. Systems Medicine LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary, was included in the consolidated financial statements until dissolution in December 2017.

As of December 31, 2017, we also had an approximately 60% interest in our majority-owned subsidiary, Aequus Biopharma, Inc., or Aequus. The remaining interest in Aequus not held by CTI is reported as noncontrolling interest in the consolidated financial statements.

All intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

Reverse Stock Split

On January 1, 2017, we effected a one-for-ten reverse stock split, or the Stock Split. Unless otherwise noted, all impacted amounts included in the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto have been retroactively adjusted for the Stock Split. Unless otherwise noted, impacted amounts include shares of common stock authorized and outstanding, share issuances and cancellations, shares underlying warrants and stock options, shares reserved, conversion prices of convertible securities, exercise prices of warrants and options, and loss per share. Additionally, the Stock Split impacted preferred stock authorized (but not outstanding because there were no shares of preferred stock outstanding as of the time of the Stock Split).

Liquidity

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern, which contemplates realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business within one year after the date the consolidated financial statements are issued. In accordance with Financial

Accounting Standards Board, or the FASB, Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-15, Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40), our management evaluates whether there are conditions or events, considered in aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued.

We will need to continue to conduct research, development, testing and regulatory compliance activities with respect to our compounds and ensure the procurement of manufacturing and drug supply services, the costs of which, together with projected general and administrative expenses, is expected to result in operating losses for the foreseeable future. In October 2016, we resumed primary responsibility for the development and commercialization of pacritinib as a result of the termination of the Pacritinib License Agreement with Baxalta Incorporated and its affiliates, or Baxalta, and are no longer eligible to receive cost sharing or milestone payments for pacritinib's development. We have incurred a net operating loss every year since our formation. As of December 31, 2017, we had an accumulated deficit of \$2.2 billion, and we expect to incur net losses for the foreseeable future.

Our available cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash were \$43.2 million as of December 31, 2017. In February 2018, as discussed in Note 21. Subsequent Events, we completed the public offering of common stock and received approximately \$64.2 million in net proceeds after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions and other estimated offering expenses. In addition, we received a \$10.0 million milestone payment from Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. relating to the achievement of a milestone for FDA approval of TRISENOX for first line treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia. We believe that our present financial resources, together with payments projected to be received under certain contractual agreements and our ability to control costs, will be sufficient to fund our operations at least through the next twelve months from the date these financial statements were issued. We previously disclosed that we had substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern, which was primarily due to lack of liquidity. This has since been alleviated as a result of the proceeds we received from the public offering of common stock as well as the milestone payment.

We may need to acquire additional funds in order to develop our business. We may seek to raise such capital through public or private equity financings, partnerships, collaborations, joint ventures, disposition of assets, debt financings or restructurings, bank borrowings or other sources of financing. However, we have a limited number of authorized shares of common stock available for issuance and additional funding may not be available on favorable terms or at all. If additional funds are raised by issuing equity securities, substantial dilution to existing shareholders may result. If we fail to obtain additional capital when needed, our ability to operate as a going concern will be harmed, and we may be required to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all of our research and development programs, reduce our selling, general and administrative expenses, be unable to attract and retain highly-qualified personnel, be unable to obtain and maintain contracts necessary to continue our operations and at affordable rates with competitive terms, refrain from making our contractually required payments when due (including debt payments) and/or may be forced to cease operations, liquidate our assets and possibly seek bankruptcy protection. The accompanying consolidated consolidated financial statements do not include adjustments, if any, that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. For example, estimates include assumptions used in calculating reserves for sales deductions such as rebates and returns of product sold, allowances for credit losses, and excess and obsolete inventory, recording share-based compensation expense, accruals, the allocation of operating expenses, provision for loss contingencies, the fair value of financial instruments, our tax provision and related valuation allowance, and determining the useful lives of fixed assets and potential impairment of long-lived assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain Risks, Uncertainties and Concentrations

Our results of operations are subject to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations primarily due to our activity in Europe. We report the results of our operations in U.S. dollars, while the functional currency of our foreign subsidiaries is the euro. As the net positions of our unhedged foreign currency transactions fluctuate, our earnings might be negatively affected. In addition, the reported carrying value of our euro-denominated assets and liabilities that remain in our European branches and subsidiaries will be affected by fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar as compared to the euro. We review our foreign currency risk periodically along with hedging options to mitigate such risk.

We source our drug products for clinical trials from a concentrated group of third-party contractors. If we are unable to obtain sufficient quantities of source materials, manufacture or distribute our products to customers from existing suppliers and service providers, or obtain the materials or services from other suppliers, manufacturers or distributors, certain research and development and sales activities may be delayed.

Additionally, see Note 15. Customer and Geographic Concentrations for further concentration disclosure.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid debt instruments with maturities of three months or less at the time acquired to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents represent short-term investments consisting of investment-grade corporate and government obligations, carried at cost, which approximates market value. We had no cash equivalents as of December 31, 2017.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash represents a legally restricted deposit held as a compensating balance against our senior secured term loan with Silicon Valley Bank, or SVB. Pursuant to the loan and security agreement entered into with SVB in November 2017, we were required to maintain unrestricted and unencumbered cash in an amount equal to at least \$16.0 million at all times prior to the occurrence of an event relating to the delivery to SVB of duly executed signatures to a control agreement from Bank of America with respect to all of our accounts maintained with Bank of America. In January 2018, we obtained a waiver from SVB for such requirement and as a result, we no longer have restrictions placed on the cash balance. See Note 7. Long-term Debt for further details regarding our senior secured term loan with SVB.

The following table provides reconciliations of cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash reported within the consolidated balance sheets that sum to the total of the same such amounts shown in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,218	\$ 44,002	\$ 128,182
Restricted cash	16,000	—	—
Total cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash shown in the consolidated statements of cash flows	\$ 43,218	\$ 44,002	\$ 128,182

Receivables from Collaborative Arrangements

Our receivables from collaborative arrangements relate to amounts payable or reimbursable to us under the terms of collaborative arrangements with our partners. The receivable balance as of December 31, 2017 relates primarily to the sale of PIXUVRI drug product to Servier. The receivable balance as of December 31, 2016 relates primarily to a milestone receivable from Servier for the attainment of a certain enrollment event in December 2016 in connection with our PIX306 study. Receivables from collaborative arrangements are reviewed for collectability whenever circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the receivable may not be recoverable. During the year ended December 31, 2016, we recorded \$1.7 million in bad debt expense related to disputed invoices under the collaborative arrangement with Baxalta. We had no allowance for doubtful accounts from collaborative arrangements as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Value Added Tax Receivable

Our European operations are subject to a value added tax, or VAT, which is usually applied to all goods and services purchased and sold throughout Europe. The VAT receivable was approximately \$4.8 million and \$4.4 million as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, of which \$4.7 million and \$4.1 million was included in other assets and \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million was included in prepaid expenses and other current assets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The collection period of VAT receivable for our European operations ranges from approximately three months to five years. For our Italian VAT receivable, the collection period is approximately three to five years. As of December 31, 2017, the VAT receivable related to operations in Italy was approximately \$4.8 million. We review our VAT receivable balance for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount might not be recoverable.

Inventory

We carry inventory at the lower of cost or net realizable value. The cost of finished goods and work in process is determined using the standard-cost method, which approximates actual cost based on a first-in, first-out method. Inventory includes the cost of materials, third-party contract manufacturing and overhead costs, quality control costs and shipping costs from the manufacturers to the final distribution warehouse associated with the distribution of

PIXUVRI. Production costs for our other product candidates continue to be charged to research and development expense as incurred prior to regulatory approval or until our estimate for regulatory approval becomes probable. We review our inventories on a quarterly basis for impairment and reserves are established when necessary. Estimates of excess inventory consider our projected sales of the product and the remaining shelf lives of product. In the event we identify excess, obsolete or unsalable inventory, the value is written down to the net realizable value. We had a reserve of \$1.4 million and \$1.5 million related to excess, obsolete or unsalable inventory as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, which was included in Inventory, net. Inventory, net as of December 31, 2017 is comprised of bulk active pharmaceutical ingredient which we expect to be salable to Servier under the terms of the Restated Agreement and to future emerging markets.

Property and Equipment

73

Property and equipment are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation commences at the time assets are placed in service. We calculate depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from three to five years for assets other than leasehold improvements. We amortize leasehold improvements over the lesser of their useful life of 10 years or the term of the applicable lease.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

We review our long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be fully recoverable or that the useful lives of these assets are no longer appropriate. Each impairment test is based on a comparison of the undiscounted future cash flows to the recorded value of the asset. If an impairment is indicated, the asset is written down to its estimated fair value based on fair market values.

Leases

We analyze leases at the inception of each agreement for classification as either an operating or capital lease. Certain of our lease agreement terms include rent holidays, rent escalation clauses and incentives for leasehold improvements. We recognize deferred rent relating to incentives for rent holidays and leasehold improvements and amortize the deferred rent over the term of the leases as a reduction of rent expense. For rent escalation clauses, we recognize rent expense equal to the amount of total minimum lease payments on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. A deferred liability recognized in connection with the December 2017 sublease arrangement is amortized over the term of the sublease as a reduction of rent expense.

Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Fair value measurements are based on a three-tier hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. There are three levels of inputs used to measure fair value with Level 1 having the highest priority and Level 3 having the lowest:

Level 1 – Observable inputs, such as unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 inputs, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, or other inputs that are observable directly or indirectly.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity, requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

If the inputs used to measure the financial assets and liabilities fall within more than one level described above, the categorization is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, the carrying value of financial instruments such as receivables and payables approximated their fair values due to their short-term maturities. The carrying value of our long-term debt approximated its fair value at December 31, 2017 and 2016 based on borrowing rates for similar loans and maturities.

Contingencies

We record liabilities associated with loss contingencies to the extent that we conclude that the occurrence of the contingency is probable and that the amount of the related loss is reasonably estimable. We record income from gain contingencies only upon the realization of assets resulting from the favorable outcome of the contingent event. See Note 11. Collaboration, Licensing and Milestone Agreements and Note 18. Legal Proceedings for further information regarding our current gain and loss contingencies.

Revenue Recognition

We currently have conditional marketing authorization for PIXUVRI in the E.U. Revenue is recognized when there is persuasive evidence of the existence of an agreement, delivery has occurred, prices are fixed or determinable, and collectability is assured. Where the revenue recognition criteria are not met, we defer the recognition of revenue by recording deferred

revenue until such time that all criteria under the provision are met.

Product Sales

PIXUVRI was sold primarily through a limited number of wholesale distributors. We generally record product sales upon receipt of the product by the health care providers and certain distributors at which time title and risk of loss pass. Product sales are recorded net of distributor discounts, estimated government-mandated rebates, trade discounts, and estimated product returns. Reserves are established for these deductions and actual amounts incurred are offset against the applicable reserves. We reflect these reserves as either a reduction in the related account receivable or as an accrued liability depending on the nature of the sales deduction. These estimates are periodically reviewed and adjusted as necessary. As of April 2017, Servier has the exclusive and sublicensable (subject to certain conditions) royalty-bearing license with respect to the development and commercialization of PIXUVRI for use in pharmaceutical products, or Licensed Products, outside of the U.S. (and its territories and possessions). As a result, we no longer have product sales. See Note 11. Collaboration, Licensing and Milestone Agreements for further details.

Collaboration Agreements

We evaluate collaboration agreements to determine whether the multiple elements and associated deliverables can be considered separate units of accounting in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC, 605-25, Revenue Recognition—Multiple-Element Arrangements. If it is determined that the deliverables under the collaboration agreement are a single unit of accounting, all amounts received or due, including any upfront payments, are recognized as revenue over the performance obligation periods of each agreement. Upon the completion of the performance obligation, such amounts will be recognized as revenue when collectability is reasonably assured.

The assessment of multiple element arrangements requires judgment in order to determine the allocation of revenue to each deliverable and the appropriate point in time, or period of time, that revenue should be recognized. In order to account for these agreements, we identify deliverables included within the agreement and evaluate which deliverables represent separate units of accounting based on whether certain criteria are met, including whether the delivered element has standalone value to the collaborator. The consideration received is allocated among the separate units of accounting, and the applicable revenue recognition criteria are applied to each of the separate units.

Milestone payments under collaboration agreements are generally aggregated into three categories for reporting purposes: (1) development milestones, (2) regulatory milestones and (3) sales milestones. Development milestones are typically payable when a product candidate initiates or advances into different clinical trial phases. Regulatory milestones are typically payable (1) upon submission for marketing approval with the FDA or with the regulatory authorities of other countries, and (2) upon receipt of actual marketing approvals for the compound or for additional indications. Sales milestones are typically payable when annual sales reach certain levels.

At the inception of each agreement that includes milestone payments, we evaluate whether each milestone is substantive and at risk to both parties on the basis of the contingent nature of the milestone. This evaluation includes an assessment of whether (1) the consideration is commensurate with either (a) the entity's performance to achieve the milestone, or (b) the enhancement of the value of the delivered item(s) as a result of a specific outcome resulting from the entity's performance to achieve the milestone, (2) the consideration relates solely to past performance and (3) the consideration is reasonable relative to all of the deliverables and payment terms within the arrangement. We evaluate factors such as the scientific, regulatory, commercial and other risks that must be overcome to achieve the respective milestone, the level of effort and investment required to achieve the respective milestone and whether the milestone consideration is reasonable relative to all deliverables and payment terms in the arrangement in making this assessment. Non-refundable development and regulatory milestones that are expected to be achieved as a result of our efforts during the period of substantial involvement are considered substantive and are recognized as revenue upon the

achievement of the milestone, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria are met.

We follow ASC 605-25, Revenue Recognition – Multiple-Element Arrangements and ASC 808, Collaborative Arrangements, if applicable, to determine the accounting for reimbursement arrangements under collaborative research and development and commercialization agreements.

Cost of Product Sold

Cost of product sold includes third-party manufacturing costs, shipping costs, contractual royalties, and other costs of PIXUVRI product sold. Cost of product sold also includes allowances, if any, for excess inventory that may expire and become unsalable.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred in accordance with the FASB ASC 730, Research and Development. Research and development expenses include related salaries and benefits, clinical trial and related manufacturing costs, contract and other outside service fees, and facilities and overhead costs related to our research and development efforts. Research and development expenses also consist of costs incurred for proprietary and collaboration research and development and include activities such as product registries and investigator-sponsored trials. In instances where we enter into agreements with third parties for research and development activities, we may prepay fees for services at the initiation of the contract. We record the prepayment as a prepaid asset and amortize the asset into research and development expense over the period of time the contracted research and development services are performed. Other types of arrangements with third parties may be fixed fee or fee for service, and may include monthly payments or payments upon completion of milestones or receipt of deliverables. We expense upfront license payments related to acquired technologies that have not yet reached technological feasibility and have no alternative future use.

Foreign Currency Translation and Transaction Gains and Losses

We record foreign currency translation adjustments and transaction gains and losses in accordance with ASC 830, Foreign Currency Matters. For our operations that have a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar, gains and losses resulting from the translation of the functional currency into U.S. dollars for financial statement presentation are not included in determining net loss, but are accumulated in the cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment account as a separate component of shareholders' equity, except for intercompany transactions that are of a short-term nature with entities that are consolidated, combined or accounted for by the equity method in our consolidated financial statements. We and our subsidiaries also have transactions in foreign currencies other than the functional currency. We record transaction gains and losses in our consolidated statements of operations related to the recurring measurement and settlement of such transactions.

The intercompany balance due from CTILS is considered to be of a long-term nature. An unrealized foreign exchange gain of \$4.3 million and unrealized foreign exchange losses of \$1.2 million and \$2.6 million were recorded in the cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment account for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the intercompany balance due from CTILS was €26.2 million and €29.7 million, respectively (or \$31.4 million and \$31.2 million upon conversion from euros as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively).

Income Taxes

We record a tax provision for the anticipated tax consequences of our results of operations. The provision for income taxes is computed using the asset and liability method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of assets and liabilities, and for operating losses and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the currently enacted tax rates in effect for the years in which those tax assets and liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. We provide a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized.

Net Loss per Share

Basic net loss per common share is calculated based on the net loss attributable to common shareholders divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. The calculation of diluted net loss per common

share excludes the potential conversion of all dilutive convertible securities, such as convertible debt and convertible preferred stock, using the if-converted method, and the potential exercise or vesting of other dilutive securities, such as options, warrants and restricted stock, using the treasury stock method, as their inclusion would have an anti-dilutive effect.

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In April 2015, the FASB issued a new accounting standard which changes the presentation of debt issuance costs in financial statements. Under the new standard, an entity presents such costs in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset. Amortization of the costs is reported as interest expense. The accounting standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015 and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued new accounting guidance on simplifying the measurement of inventory which requires

that inventory within the scope of the guidance be measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Prior to the issuance of the standard, inventory was measured at the lower of cost or market (where market was defined as replacement cost, with a ceiling of net realizable value and floor of net realizable value less a normal profit margin). The accounting guidance is effective for annual reporting periods (including interim periods within those periods) beginning after December 15, 2016. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2015, the FASB issued new guidance on the balance sheet classification of deferred taxes. To simplify presentation, the new guidance requires that all deferred tax assets and liabilities, along with any related valuation allowance, be classified as noncurrent on the balance sheet. The accounting standard is effective for annual reporting periods (including interim reporting periods within those periods) beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this guidance did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued a new accounting standard which requires management to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern for each annual and interim reporting period and to provide related footnote disclosures in certain circumstances. The accounting standard is effective for annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2016 and interim periods within annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard in the fourth quarter of 2016 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued new accounting guidance for employee share-based payments accounting. The accounting standard primarily affects the accounting for forfeitures, minimum statutory tax withholding requirements, and income tax effects related to share-based payments at settlement (or expiration). The accounting guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 (including interim periods within those periods). We have historically maintained a full valuation allowance against deferred tax assets. The adoption of this standard in the first quarter of 2017 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, and we will continue to estimate expected forfeitures.

In November 2016, the FASB issued accounting guidance which amends ASC 230, Statement of Cash Flows, to add or clarify guidance on the classification and presentation of restricted cash in the statement of cash flows. The amendments require that restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. Consequently, transfers between cash and restricted cash will not be presented as a separate line item in the operating, investing or financing sections of the cash flow statement. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods therein. The early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the FASB issued a comprehensive new standard which amends revenue recognition principles and provides a single set of criteria for revenue recognition among all industries. The new standard provides a five-step framework whereby revenue is recognized when promised goods or services are transferred to a customer at an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The standard also requires enhanced disclosures pertaining to revenue recognition in both interim and annual periods. The standard is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and allows for adoption using a full retrospective method, or a modified retrospective method. We will adopt the new standard in the first quarter 2018 using the modified retrospective method. We have completed the impact on our customer contracts and do not expect the implementation of ASU 2014-09 to have a material quantitative impact on our consolidated financial statements. Under the new standard, such customer arrangements will be accounted for as variable

consideration, which may result in revenue being recognized earlier provided we can reliably estimate the ultimate price expected to be realized from the customer. In addition, we do not expect a material cumulative effect adjustment to Retained earnings upon adoption of the standard on January 1, 2018. Adoption of the new standard will also result in additional revenue-related disclosures in the footnotes to our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued a new accounting guidance on accounting for leases which requires lessees to recognize virtually all of their leases (other than leases that meet the definition of a short-term lease) on the balance sheet. The accounting guidance is effective for annual reporting periods (including interim periods within those periods) beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of this accounting standard on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued an amendment to add or clarify guidance on the classification of certain cash receipts and payments in the statement of cash flows with the objective of reducing diversity in practice regarding eight types of cash flows. The accounting guidance is effective for annual reporting periods (including interim periods within those periods) beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on our statement of cash flows.

Reclassifications

Certain prior year items have been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

2. Inventory

The components of PIXUVRI inventories consisted of the following as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	2017	2016
Finished goods	\$394	\$477
Work-in-process	1,523	2,558
Inventory, gross	\$1,917	\$3,035
Reserve for excess, obsolete or unsalable inventory	\$(1,367)	\$(1,510)
Inventory, net	\$550	\$1,525

3. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are composed of the following as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	2017	2016
Furniture and office equipment	\$4,552	\$6,521
Leasehold improvements	5,168	5,106
Lab equipment	209	201
	9,929	11,828
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(7,564)	(8,805)
Property and equipment, net	\$2,365	\$3,023

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$0.7 million, \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

4. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consisted of the following as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	2017	2016
Clinical and investigator-sponsored trial expenses	\$5,019	\$7,303
Employee compensation and related expenses	4,432	6,364
Manufacturing expenses	2,637	7,616
Legal expenses	537	1,037
Selling expenses	143	136
Insurance financing	575	888
Interest expenses	93	2
Other	454	1,419
Total accrued expenses	\$13,890	\$24,765

5. Leases

Lease Agreements

78

In January 2012, we entered into an operating lease agreement with Selig Holdings Company LLC to lease approximately 66,000 square feet of office space in Seattle, Washington for a term of 120 months, commencing May 1, 2012. We have two five-year options to extend the term of the lease at a market rate determined according to the lease. The initial rent amount was based on \$27.00 per square foot per annum, but no payments were due during the initial five months of the lease term. Rent increases three percent over the prior year's amount for each year thereafter for the duration of the lease. In addition, we were provided an allowance of \$3.3 million for certain tenant improvements made by us.

In December 2017, we entered into an agreement to sublease approximately 44,000 square feet of our Seattle office space. No payments are due through May 2018, after which monthly rent is due through the sublease termination date in April 2022. Monthly sublease rent increases by a minor amount each January 1. In connection with the sublease, we recognized a loss and a deferred liability of \$1.6 million, representing future rental payments plus related expenses in excess of the future sublease payments to be received. The loss was recorded in Selling, general and administrative expense during the year ended December 31, 2017. Other current liabilities and Other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet include \$0.8 million and \$0.6 million, respectively, related to the sublease agreement as of December 31, 2017.

Rent expense, net of sublease-related income of \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017, amounted to \$1.6 million, \$2.0 million and \$2.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Future Minimum Lease Payments

Future minimum lease commitments for non-cancelable operating leases, net of sublease rentals, at December 31, 2017 were as follows (in thousands):

	Operating Sublease		
	Leases	Rentals	Net
2018	\$ 2,487	\$ 771	\$ 1,716
2019	2,532	1,365	1,167
2020	2,584	1,410	1,174
2021	2,580	1,454	1,126
2022	868	499	369
Thereafter	—	—	—
Total minimum lease commitments	\$ 11,051	\$ 5,499	\$ 5,552

6. Other Liabilities

Other liabilities consisted of the following as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 (in thousands):

	2017	2016
Deferred rent, less current portion	\$3,050	\$3,011
Other long-term obligations	2,419	604
Total other liabilities	\$5,469	\$3,615

Deferred rent, less current portion as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 includes amounts related to incentives for rent holidays and leasehold improvements associated with our operating lease for office space. In addition, deferred rent, less current portion as of December 31, 2017 includes \$0.6 million of deferred liability related to the sublease of Seattle office space as discussed in Note 5. Leases.

Other long-term obligations as of December 31, 2017 include a fee in the amount of \$1.4 million payable to Silicon Valley Bank. See Note 7, Long-term Debt, for additional information.

7. Long-term Debt

Silicon Valley Bank

In November 2017, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement with Silicon Valley Bank, or SVB, for a senior secured term loan of up to \$18.0 million. The first \$16.0 million of the term loan was funded in November 2017, and the remaining \$2.0 million is available at our option at any time from the occurrence of the Milestone Event (as defined below) through July 31, 2018, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The loan proceeds were used to repay in full all outstanding indebtedness under the Loan and Security Agreement with Hercules as discussed below and to fund our general business requirements. We were required to maintain unrestricted and unencumbered cash in an amount equal to at least \$16.0 million under the terms of Loan and Security Agreement, and as such, \$16.0 million of our cash was legally restricted as of December 31, 2017 and held as a compensating balance against the term loan. The restriction was subsequently removed in January 2018. See Note 1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for further details regarding restricted cash.

The Milestone Event is defined as our receipt of evidence satisfactory to SVB, in its sole but reasonable discretion, that we have received a positive opinion from the EMA for our pacritinib product.

The term loan is repayable over 36 months after an initial interest-only period of at least 12 months after closing, which will be extended to 18 months upon the occurrence of the Milestone Event. The interest rate on the term loan floats at a rate per annum equal to the greater of 2.5 percent above the prime rate and 6.75 percent. We may elect to prepay some or all of the loan balance at any time subject to a prepayment fee. A fee in the amount of 9 percent of the total principal amount funded to us is payable to SVB on the date on which the term loan is paid or becomes due and payable in full. Such fee in the amount of \$1.4 million was included in Other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2017. The loan obligations are secured by a first priority security interest on substantially all of our personal property except our intellectual property, and subject to certain other exceptions.

In addition, we issued warrants to SVB and Life Science Loans II, LLC, pursuant to a participation arrangement among SVB, Loan Manager II, LLC and Life Science Loans II, LLC, to purchase up to 190,140 shares of our common stock. Warrants underlying 169,014 shares of our common stock are initially exercisable at any time prior to November 28, 2027. Warrants underlying 21,126 shares of our common stock will become exercisable when the remaining \$2.0 million of the term loan is funded to us. The initial exercise price of the warrants is \$2.84 per share of our common stock.

In connection with the Loan and Security Agreement, we recorded debt discount and debt issuance costs of \$1.9 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, of which \$1.9 million and \$0.1 million was unamortized as of December 31, 2017, respectively. The outstanding principal balance on the term loan was \$16.0 million as of December 31, 2017.

Hercules

In March 2013, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement, or the Loan Agreement, with Hercules, providing for a senior secured term loan of up to \$15.0 million. In March 2014, we entered into a First Amendment to the Loan Agreement, which modified certain terms applicable to the loan balance then-outstanding of \$15.0 million and provided us with the option to borrow an additional \$5.0 million.

In June 2015, we entered into a Third Amendment to the Loan Agreement, or the Third Amendment. Under the Third Amendment, Hercules agreed to provide term loans in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$25.0 million, inclusive of the principal balance outstanding immediately prior to closing of the Third Amendment of \$13.8 million, or collectively, the Term Loan Borrowings. We drew \$6.2 million upon closing of the Third Amendment, resulting in a then-outstanding principal balance of \$20.0 million under the Term Loan Borrowings. The remaining \$5.0 million was available for borrowing at our option through June 30, 2016, subject to certain conditions. In connection with the Third Amendment, we paid a commitment fee of \$15,000 and a facility charge of \$0.3 million. The provision under the Loan Agreement requiring us to pay a fee to Hercules of \$1.3 million on the date of repayment of the borrowings

thereunder was amended pursuant to the Third Amendment, such that the fee was paid in October 2016.

In connection with the Third Amendment, we issued a warrant to Hercules to purchase shares of common stock. The warrant is exercisable for five years from the date of issuance for 29,239 shares of common stock at an initial exercise price of \$17.10 per share. The warrant did not meet the considerations necessary for equity classification under the applicable authoritative guidance. As such, we determined that the warrant was a liability instrument with changes in fair value recognized through earnings at each reporting period. The warrant was categorized as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy as the significant inputs used in determining fair value were considered observable market data. As of the issuance date, we estimated the fair value of the warrant to be \$0.4 million. Upon expiry of the exercise price adjustment provision in December 2015, the then-estimated fair value of the warrant of \$0.2 million was reclassified from liability to equity.

The modified terms under the Third Amendment were considered substantially different as compared to the terms of the Loan Agreement immediately prior to the Third Amendment, pursuant to ASC 470-50, Modification and Extinguishment. As such, the Third Amendment was accounted for as a debt extinguishment, resulting in a loss on debt extinguishment of \$1.2 million, which is included in Other non-operating expense for the year ended December 31, 2015.

In December 2015, we entered into a Fourth Amendment to the Loan Agreement, or the Fourth Amendment, pursuant to which Hercules funded the remaining \$5.0 million term loan available under the facility, resulting in a then-outstanding principal balance of \$25.0 million.

In November 2017, we repaid the then-outstanding principal balance of \$14.3 million in full, using the proceeds from the the Loan and Security Agreement with SVB as discussed above. Accordingly, among other things, (1) all obligations under the Loan Agreement and all related documents have been paid, satisfied, released and discharged in full, (2) all unfunded commitments to make credit extensions or financial accommodations to us or any other person under the Loan Agreement have been automatically and irrevocably terminated, and (3) our obligations under the Loan Agreement and all related documents have been automatically and irrevocably terminated (other than with respect to customary provisions and agreements that are expressly specified to survive the termination). Upon full repayment of the principal in November 2017, we wrote-off the then-unamortized debt discount balance of \$0.1 million to a loss on debt extinguishment, which was recorded in Other non-operating expense for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Baxalta

In November 2013, we entered into a Development, Commercialization and License agreement, or the Pacritinib License Agreement, with Baxter International Inc., or Baxter, for the development and commercialization of pacritinib for use in oncology and potentially additional therapeutic areas. Baxalta has been assigned Baxter's rights and obligations under the Pacritinib License Agreement. In June 2015, we entered into the First Amendment to the Pacritinib License Agreement, or the Pacritinib License Amendment, pursuant to which two potential milestone payments in the aggregate amount of \$32.0 million from Baxalta to us were accelerated from the schedule contemplated by the Pacritinib License Agreement relating to the following: the \$12.0 million development milestone payment payable in connection with the regulatory submission of the Marketing Authorization Application, or the MAA, to the EMA with respect to pacritinib, or the MAA Milestone, and the \$20.0 million development milestone payment payable in connection with the first treatment dosing of the 300th patient enrolled per the protocol in PERSIST-2, or the PERSIST-2 Milestone. Under the Pacritinib License Amendment, each of the two milestone advances bore interest at an annual rate of 9% until the earlier of the date of the first occurrence of the respective milestone or the date that the respective advance plus accrued interest was repaid in full. In January 2016 and February 2016, we successfully achieved the \$20.0 million PERSIST-2 Milestone and the \$12.0 million MAA Milestone, respectively, which were recorded in License and contract revenue for the year ended December 31, 2016.

See Note 11. Collaboration, Licensing and Milestone Agreements for further details regarding our agreements with Baxalta.

8. Preferred Stock

Series N-1 Preferred Stock

In October 2015, in an underwritten public offering, we issued 50,000 shares of Series N-1 convertible preferred stock, or Series N-1 Preferred Stock, for gross proceeds of \$50.0 million before deducting underwriting commissions and discounts and other offering costs of approximately \$3.4 million, including \$3.0 million in underwriting

commissions and discounts.

Each share of Series N-1 Preferred Stock was convertible at the option of the holder and was entitled to a liquidation preference equal to the initial stated value of \$1,000 per share of Series N-1 Preferred Stock, plus any declared and unpaid dividends, and any other payments that would have been due on such shares, before any distribution of assets would have been made to holders of capital stock ranking junior to the Series N-1 Preferred Stock. The Series N-1 Preferred Stock was not entitled to dividends except to share in any dividends actually paid on common stock or any pari passu or junior securities. The Series N-1 Preferred Stock had no voting rights, except as otherwise expressly provided in the amended articles or as otherwise required by law.

In October 2015, all 50,000 shares of Series N-1 Preferred Stock were converted into 4.0 million shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$12.50 per share. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized \$3.2 million in deemed dividends on preferred stock related to the beneficial conversion feature on our Series N-1 Preferred Stock.

Series N-2 Preferred Stock

In December 2015, in an underwritten public offering, we issued 55,000 shares of Series N-2 Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$55.0 million before deducting underwriting commissions and discounts and other offering costs of approximately \$2.6 million, including \$2.2 million in underwriting commissions and discounts.

Each share of Series N-2 Preferred Stock was convertible at the option of the holder (subject to a limited exception) and was entitled to a liquidation preference equal to the initial stated value of \$1,000 per share of Series N-2 Preferred Stock, plus any declared and unpaid dividends, and any other payments that would have been due on such shares, before any distribution of assets would have been made to holders of capital stock ranking junior to the Series N-2 Preferred Stock. The Series N-2 Preferred Stock was not entitled to dividends except to share in any dividends actually paid on common stock or any pari passu or junior securities. The Series N-2 Preferred Stock had no voting rights, except as otherwise expressly provided in the amended articles or as otherwise required by law.

In December 2015, all 55,000 shares of Series N-2 Preferred Stock were converted into 5.0 million shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$11.00 per share. There was no beneficial conversion feature on Series N-2 Preferred Stock.

Series N-3 Preferred Stock

In June 2017, in an underwritten public offering, we issued 22,500 shares of Series N-3 Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$45.0 million before deducting underwriting commissions and discounts and other offering costs of approximately \$2.3 million. BVF Partners L.P., or BVF, an existing shareholder of the Company, was one of our investors in this offering. See Note 17. Related Party Transactions for further details.

Each share of Series N-3 Preferred Stock is convertible at the option of the holder (subject to a limited exception) and is entitled to a liquidation preference equal to the initial stated value of \$2,000 per share, plus any declared and unpaid dividends, and any other payments that may be due on such shares, before any distribution of assets may be made to holders of capital stock ranking junior to the Series N-3 Preferred Stock. The Series N-3 Preferred Stock is not entitled to dividends except to share in any dividends actually paid on common stock or any pari passu or junior securities. The Series N-3 Preferred Stock has no voting rights, except as otherwise expressly provided in the articles of amendment to amended and restated articles of incorporation of CTI or as otherwise required by law.

In June 2017, 21,925 shares of Series N-3 Preferred Stock were converted into 14.6 million shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$3.00 per share. For the year ended December 31, 2017, we recognized \$4.4 million in deemed dividends on preferred stock related to the beneficial conversion feature on our Series N-3 Preferred Stock. There were 575 shares of Series N-3 Preferred Stock outstanding as of December 31, 2017.

In February 2018, 575 shares of Series N Preferred Stock owned by certain affiliates of BVF Partners L.P., or collectively, BVF, along with 8.0 million shares of our common stock owned by BVF were exchanged for 12,575 shares of Series O Preferred Stock. See Note 17. Related Party Transactions for further details.

9. Common Stock

Common Stock Authorized

In April 2016, the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation were amended to increase the total number of authorized shares of common stock from 31.5 million to 41.5 million.

In May 2017, the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation were amended to increase the total number of authorized shares of common stock from 41.5 million to 81.5 million.

Common Stock Issued

In September 2015, we entered into a subscription agreement with BVF pursuant to which we issued to BVF an aggregate of 1.0 million shares of common stock at a purchase price per share of \$15.70. The shares of common stock were offered directly to BVF without a placement agent or underwriter. The net proceeds from the offering, after deducting offering expenses, were approximately \$15.1 million.

Common Stock Reserved

A summary of common stock reserved for issuance is as follows as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

Equity incentive plans	5,920
Option agreement with Adam R. Craig	1,120
Common stock purchase warrants	219
Series N-3 convertible preferred stock	383
Employee stock purchase plan	184
Total common stock reserved	7,826

Warrants

Warrants to purchase up to 29,239 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$17.10 per share, issued in connection with the Third Amendment to the Loan Agreement with Hercules in June 2015, were outstanding as of December 31, 2017.

Warrants to purchase up to 190,140 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$2.84 per share, issued in connection with the Loan and Security Agreement with SVB, were outstanding as of December 31, 2017.

See Note 7. Long-term Debt for additional information concerning our warrants.

10. Other Comprehensive Loss

Total accumulated other comprehensive loss consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Net Unrealized Gain (Loss) and Impairment on Available-For-Sale Securities	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Unrealized Foreign Exchange (Loss) Gain on Intercompany Balance	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
December 31, 2016	\$ (6)	\$ (2,902)	\$ (3,747)	\$ (6,655)
Current period other comprehensive income (loss)	7	(3,927)	4,303	383
December 31, 2017	\$ 1	\$ (6,829)	\$ 556	\$ (6,272)

In the first quarter of 2016, we recognized an other-than-temporary impairment on available-for-sale securities of \$0.5 million in our consolidated statement of operations. The value of available-for-sale securities of \$13,500 was included in Prepaid expenses and other current assets as of December 31, 2016. We had no available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2017.

11. Collaboration, Licensing and Milestone Agreements

Servier

In September 2014, we entered into an Exclusive License and Collaboration Agreement, or the Original Agreement, with Les Laboratoires Servier and Institut de Recherches Internationales Servier, or collectively, Servier. In April 2017, we entered into an Amended and Restated Exclusive License and Collaboration Agreement, or the Restated Agreement, with Servier, pursuant to which the Original Agreement was amended and restated in its entirety.

Under the Restated Agreement, we granted Servier an exclusive and sublicensable (subject to certain conditions) royalty-bearing license with respect to the development and commercialization of PIXUVRI for use in pharmaceutical products, or Licensed Products, outside of the U.S. (and its territories and possessions).

In May 2017, we received a non-refundable, non-creditable upfront cash payment of €12.0 million under the terms of the Restated Agreement. This amount included a €2.0 million payment for a milestone relating to EMA approval of an additional third-party manufacturer of PIXUVRI, which was not included in the Original Agreement and was deemed achieved at the time

of the Restated Agreement. Subject to the achievement of certain conditions, the Restated Agreement provides for additional milestone payments of up to €76.0 million: up to €36.0 million in potential regulatory milestone payments (which includes a €1.0 million payment for a regulatory milestone achieved in September 2017 as discussed below), and up to €40.0 million in potential sales-based milestone payments. We have determined that all the regulatory milestones, other than the €2.0 million milestone mentioned above, are substantive due to significant uncertainty involved in each milestone, and will be recognized as revenue upon achievement of the respective milestones, assuming all other revenue recognition criteria are met. We have also determined that the sales-based milestone payments are contingent consideration and will be recognized as revenue in the period in which the respective revenue recognition criteria are met.

We are eligible to receive tiered royalty payments on net sales of products containing PIXUVRI, ranging from a low double-digit percentage up to a percentage in the low twenties, subject to certain reductions of up to mid-double-digit percentages under certain circumstances. Royalties are subject to expiration upon certain events, including upon expiration of exclusivity rights to products containing PIXUVRI in the respective country.

Under the Restated Agreement, with the exception of the conclusion of the PIX306 trial and certain other services, Servier will be responsible for development, commercialization and manufacturing activities within its territory. We entered into a commercialization transition plan whereby we transferred to Servier medical affairs and commercialization activities relating to the Licensed Products in Israel, Turkey, Germany, Austria, the United Kingdom, Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden, or collectively, the Transition Territory. Upon completion of the commercialization transition plan, we terminated or assigned certain distributor and wholesaler contracts to Servier in the Transition Territory. Each party is responsible for the manufacture and supply of drug products and substances in their respective territories. We record reimbursements received from Servier for their portion of operating expenses we pay on their behalf as revenue and the full amount of costs as operating expenses in the statements of operations.

The Restated Agreement will expire on a country-by-country basis upon the expiration of the royalty terms in the countries in the Servier territory, at which time all licenses granted to Servier would become perpetual and royalty-free. Each party may terminate the Restated Agreement in the event of an uncured repudiatory breach of the other party's obligations. Subject to applicable notification periods, Servier may terminate the Restated Agreement on a country-by-country basis upon 30 days' written notice in the event of (1) certain safety or public health issues relating to the Licensed Product, (2) suspension or withdrawal of marketing authorizations and (3) without cause. In the event of a termination prior to the expiration date, rights granted to Servier will terminate, subject to certain exceptions.

Pursuant to accounting guidance under ASC 605-25 Revenue Recognition - Multiple-Element Arrangements, we identified the following non-contingent deliverables with standalone value at the inception of the Restated Agreement:

- a license with respect to the development and commercialization of PIXUVRI
- development services
- joint committee obligations
- regulatory responsibilities
- commercialization responsibilities
- manufacturing and supply responsibilities

The license deliverable has standalone value because it is sublicensable and can be used for its intended purpose without the receipt of the remaining deliverables. The service deliverables have standalone value because these services are not proprietary in nature, and other vendors could provide the same services in order to derive value from the license. Further, there is no general right of return associated with these deliverables. As such, the deliverables meet the criteria for separation and qualify as separate units of accounting.

We determined that the value of the joint committee obligations and the regulatory, commercial, and manufacturing and supply responsibilities were insignificant. These deliverables have been combined with the development services and included as “Other services” in the table below.

We allocated the arrangement consideration of \$12.8 million (€12.0 million converted into U.S. dollars using the currency exchange rate as of the date of the Restated Agreement) based on the percentage of the relative selling price of each

unit of accounting as follows (in thousands):

84

License	\$11,487
Development and other services	1,348
Total upfront payment	\$12,835

We estimated the selling price of the license using the income approach that values the license by discounting direct cash flow expected to be generated over the remaining life of the license, net of cash flow adjustments related to working capital. The estimates and assumptions include, but are not limited to, estimated market opportunity, expected market share, and

contractual royalty rates. We estimated the selling price of the development services deliverable, which includes personnel costs as well as third-party costs for applicable services and supplies, by discounting estimated expenditures for services to the date of the Restated Agreement. We concluded that a change in the key assumptions used to determine the best estimate of the selling price for the license deliverable would not have a significant effect on the allocation of the arrangement consideration.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, we recognized \$11.5 million of consideration allocated to the license as revenue upon delivery of the license and the satisfaction of the remaining revenue recognition criteria. The \$1.3 million consideration allocated to development and other services was recorded as deferred revenue. During the year ended December 31, 2017, \$0.5 million of other services consideration was recognized as revenue; the remaining \$1.4 million is included in deferred revenue in the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2017.

In September 2017, we attained a regulatory milestone under the Restated Agreement and recognized a €1.0 million milestone revenue (or \$1.2 million using the currency exchange rate as of the date the milestone was achieved).

Of the potential milestone payments under the Original Agreement, we received a €1.5 million (or \$1.7 million upon conversion from euros as of the date we received the funds) milestone payment in February 2015 relating to the attainment of reimbursement approval for PIXUVRI in Spain. In December 2016, we recorded €7.5 million in milestone revenue (or \$8.0 million upon conversion from euros as of the date we achieved the milestone) relating to the attainment of a certain enrollment event in connection with our PIX306 study. This was included in Receivable from collaborative arrangements as of December 2016, and we received the funds in January 2017. These milestone revenues were accounted for under the milestone method of accounting since this milestone was determined to be substantive at the inception of the arrangement.

Other operating (income) expense, net for the years ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2016, includes \$0.3 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, for payments made to Novartis International Pharmaceutical Ltd. in connection with the milestone payments received in February 2015 and January 2017, respectively, as discussed above.

In February 2016, we entered into an agreement with one of Servier's affiliates whereby we conduct the pharmacokinetic sub-study on behalf of Servier in conjunction with our ongoing clinical trial, PIX-306. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, of expense reimbursements in relation to this study was included in development services revenue. There was no such revenue during the year ended December 31, 2015. We expect to receive no such development services revenue in future periods as the pharmacokinetic sub-study was completed in September 2017.

Teva

Pursuant to an acquisition agreement entered into with Cephalon, Inc., or Cephalon, in June 2005, we have the right to receive up to \$100.0 million in payments upon achievement of specified sales and development milestones related to TRISENOX. Cephalon was subsequently acquired by Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., or Teva. As of

December 31, 2017, we have received \$40.0 million of such potential milestone payments as a result of having achieved certain sales milestones. For each of the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2015, we received \$10.0 million from Teva upon the achievement of worldwide net sales milestones of TRISENOX, which was included in license and contract revenue. We received no milestone payment from Teva during the year ended December 31, 2016. In February 2018, we received a \$10.0 million milestone payment related to the achievement of a milestone for FDA approval of TRISENOX for first line treatment of acute promyelocytic leukemia. The achievement of the remaining milestones is uncertain at this time.

Baxalta

In