

TERADATA CORP /DE/
Form 10-K
February 26, 2016

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 001-33458

TERADATA CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 75-3236470
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

10000 Innovation Drive
Dayton, Ohio 45342
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (866) 548-8348
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15 (d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2015, was approximately \$5.2 billion.

At January 29, 2016, there were approximately 129.4 million shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement, to be filed with
Part III: the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after registrant's
fiscal year end of December 31, 2015 are incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	Description	Page
	<u>PART I</u>	
1.	<u>Business</u>	<u>4</u>
1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>14</u>
1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>26</u>
2.	<u>Properties</u>	<u>26</u>
3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>26</u>
4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>26</u>
	<u>PART II</u>	
5.	<u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>27</u>
6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>29</u>
7.	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>30</u>
7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>43</u>
8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>45</u>
9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>82</u>
9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>82</u>
9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	<u>83</u>
	<u>PART III</u>	
10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>83</u>
11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	<u>83</u>
12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>83</u>
13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	<u>83</u>
14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	<u>83</u>
	<u>PART IV</u>	
15.	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	<u>84</u>

This report contains trademarks, service marks, and registered marks of Teradata Corporation and its subsidiaries, and other companies, as indicated.

Table of Contents

PART I

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Forward-looking statements in our public filings or other public statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements or other public statements. These forward-looking statements were based on various facts and were derived utilizing numerous important assumptions and other important factors, and changes in such facts, assumptions or factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include the information concerning our future financial performance, business strategy, projected plans and objectives. Statements preceded by, followed by or that otherwise include the words “believes,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “intends,” “projects,” “estimates,” “plans,” “may increase,” “may fluctuate,” and similar expression or future or conditional verbs such as “will,” “should,” “would,” “may” and “could” are generally forward-looking in nature and not historical facts. You should understand that the factors described under “Risk Factors” and the following important factors could affect our future results and could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in such forward-looking statements:

- our ability to timely and effectively implement our business transformation plan and complete the sale of the marketing applications business, while mitigating business disruption;
- the rapidly changing and intensely competitive nature of the information technology (“IT”) industry and the software applications and analytic data platform businesses, including the ongoing consolidation activity, threats from new and emerging analytic data technologies and competitors, and pressure on achieving continued price/performance gains for analytic data solutions;
- fluctuations in our operating results, timing of transactions, unanticipated delays or accelerations in our sales cycles and the difficulty of accurately estimating revenues;
- our ability to successfully leverage acquisitions;
- the impact of global economic fluctuations on the markets in general or on the ability of our suppliers and customers to meet their commitments to us, or the timing of purchases by our current and potential customers; and
- risks inherent in operating in foreign countries, including the impact of foreign currency fluctuations, economic, political, legal, regulatory, compliance, cultural and other conditions abroad.

Other factors not identified above, including the risk factors described in the section entitled “Risk Factors” included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Annual Report”), may also cause actual results to differ materially from those projected by our forward-looking statements. Most of these factors are difficult to anticipate and are generally beyond our reasonable control. We undertake no obligation to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements, to report events or to report the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Item 1. BUSINESS

Overview. Teradata Corporation (“we,” “us,” “Teradata,” or the “Company”) is a global leader in analytic data solutions and related services. Our analytic data solutions comprise software, hardware, and related business consulting and support services for analytics across a company’s entire analytical ecosystem, which includes components such as data warehousing, big data, discovery tools, integration tools, and business intelligence tools as well as the services to architect, manage, and integrate this complex and ever changing ecosystem. We help customers access and manage data and extract business value and insight from their data. We also provide marketing and analytic applications that are designed to leverage data to help customers discover and exploit new insights such as:

- improving organizations’ effectiveness in marketing to their customers,
- determining and maximizing customer and product profitability,

Table of Contents

more accurately forecasting consumer demand, and
creating more predictable and less costly supply chains.

Our consulting services allow customers to maximize the use of and obtain great value from their analytics and marketing investments. Our services include a broad range of offerings including consulting to help organizations design, optimize and manage their analytic and big data environments, either on-premises or in the cloud. Our value-added consulting services provide expertise in: data architecture services, cloud ("software as a service", "analytics as a service"), private cloud, managed services, and related installation services. In addition to our consulting services, we offer a comprehensive set of support services. We serve customers around the world across a broad set of industries, including communications, ecommerce, financial services, government, gaming, healthcare, insurance, manufacturing, media and entertainment, oil and gas, retail, travel and transportation, and utilities - with offerings ranging from small departmental implementations to many of the world's largest analytic data platforms and marketing applications. To meet evolving trends in information management, we provide our offerings on-premises or in the cloud (as a service).

Teradata operates from numerous locations within the United States with the primary locations being Dayton, Ohio; Johns Creek (Atlanta), Georgia; and Rancho Bernardo (San Diego), California. In addition, we have sales, services, research and development and administrative offices located in 44 countries.

For the full year ended December 31, 2015, we had a net loss of \$(214) million and total revenues of \$2.530 billion, of which approximately 92% was derived from the data and analytics segment and 8% from the marketing applications segment (which included impairment charges of \$478 million for goodwill and acquired intangible assets). For financial information about these segments and geographic information, see "Note 11—Segment, Other Supplemental Information and Concentrations" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this Annual Report.

History and Development. Teradata was formed in 1979 as a Delaware corporation. Teradata established a relational database management system on a proprietary platform in 1984. In 1990, Teradata partnered with NCR Corporation ("NCR") to jointly develop next-generation database systems. In 1991, AT&T Corp. ("AT&T") acquired NCR and, later that year, NCR purchased Teradata.

In 1996, AT&T spun off NCR (including Teradata) to form the independent, publicly-traded NCR Corporation. In 1999, NCR consolidated its Teradata data warehousing operations and product offerings into a separate operating division. On September 30, 2007, the independent, publicly-traded Teradata Corporation (NYSE: TDC) was created when NCR was effectively separated into two independent, publicly-traded companies through the distribution of 100% of its Teradata data warehousing business to shareholders of NCR.

Since that time, we have increased our investments and focus to extend the scope of our business to include a full range of analytic data platforms and marketing applications. Teradata completed its acquisitions of Aprimo, Inc. ("Aprimo"), a global provider of cloud-based integrated marketing software, and Aster Data Systems, Inc. ("Aster Data"), a technology leader in big data analytics, in 2011. Additionally, Teradata has completed a number of smaller acquisitions to complement and extend our data warehousing, big data analytics, marketing applications and consulting businesses.

As we have reviewed our business opportunities, we have concluded that it is in the best interest of the Company and our shareholders to focus Teradata on our core data and analytics business and to exit most of our marketing applications business. We are currently in the process of exiting this business. The portion of the business to be retained will be managed under the data and analytics operating segment.

Industry Overview

Our revenues are primarily generated from data and analytics, and we expect that the need for analytic data solutions will continue to grow as organizations increasingly rely on data and analytics to compete and achieve a differentiated advantage. The multi-billion dollar addressable markets in which our solutions compete include data warehousing and big data analytics software and hardware, as well as consulting and maintenance services.

The need for analytic data solutions is further driven by the convergence of the following key market dynamics we have observed:

Table of Contents

Significant data growth is being driven by new types of data, such as big data and the Internet of Things; examples include machine-generated data, sensor data, data from connected devices, social network data, internet text and search indexing, call detail records, genomics, biological research, medical records, seismic/exploration data, photography and video archives, and large scale e-commerce data;

Analytics are growing in importance as global businesses seek new means to drive business value from the ever-increasing amounts and types of data;

Globalization, mobility, consolidation, security concerns, fraud prevention, and increased government regulations are creating the need for enhanced visibility across the entire enterprise at all times;

Intense global competition is driving companies to increasingly adopt analytic data technologies to address the vast complexity inherent in their markets and businesses;

Business representatives, rather than IT or procurement personnel, now have increased relevance in analytical ecosystem purchase decisions;

An evolving IT landscape and purchasing activity is being driven by increased usage of open-source software, cloud-based offerings, software-only analytic solutions and commodity hardware;

Analytic environments are becoming more complex to design and manage, as there are increasing types of analytic tools and techniques, multiple data management systems, varying service level requirements, and the growth and volume of data;

Improved analytic data platform affordability due to price/performance gains on server, disk, and memory hardware as well as open source software, and more consumption-based usage and pricing, such as cloud deployment and subscription pricing; and

Demand for value-added services is growing as customers seek help with evolving their analytic architectures, rapidly deploying their analytic architectural solutions, and increasingly look to purchase analytic capabilities “as a service”.

Our Solutions

Teradata Unified Data Architecture™ is a comprehensive framework that enables organizations to address all types of data and analytics. This architecture combines the complementary value of technologies from Teradata, Teradata Aster, and a range of open source and non-Teradata technologies into a cohesive and orchestrated analytical ecosystem that accelerates our customers’ ability to use and derive value from all of their data.

Data and Analytics solutions to capture, integrate, store, manage, and analyze all types of data to answer business questions and exploit data for insight and value. Our solutions cover what the industry refers to as data warehousing and big data analytics and related services. Customers use our technologies and related services to acquire data from multiple sources, define the relationship between the data elements, manage and analyze this data both historically and in real-time to gain business insights and drive operational actions to front line users, customers and partners. Big data analytics describe analytic environments with significant data volume, variety, velocity and complexity. We help companies manage and analyze big data that typically has unknown relationships where fast analytic iteration and new analytic tools are required to discover new insights for the business.

We also offer a suite of analytic applications that deliver value by analyzing data and allowing it to be used across an enterprise. Some of the areas covered by these applications include demand forecasting, finance and performance management, profitability analytics, and data mining.

Marketing Applications. Through our marketing applications business, we offer fully integrated marketing management solutions to help organizations drive business impact and win customer loyalty. Our integrated marketing applications are offered in the cloud as software as a service or on-premises. These offerings are designed to help customers implement their data-driven marketing strategies, including solutions for marketing operations, campaign management, digital marketing, marketing analytics, and customer data management. However, as previously noted, we are exiting most of this business to focus on our core data and analytics business.

Our Products and Services. We are a single-source provider of analytic data platforms with a fully integrated business that includes market-leading technologies and customer-focused professionals. Our products are optimized and integrated specifically for data analytics and analytic applications, including our database and application software, workload-specific hardware platforms, and related consulting and support services.

Table of Contents

Our software and hardware products include:

Teradata Database Software-Our Teradata® Database software combined with our massively parallel processing hardware architecture provides the foundation for our unique ability to support and manage a wide range of mixed workloads and analytic data functions. Our Teradata Database software is designed to deliver near real-time intelligence for our customers with capabilities and features such as: support of short running operational queries and long running strategic queries (mixed workloads), the ability to handle thousands of concurrent queries from thousands of concurrent users, simple to very complex queries, robust workload management, high system availability, system health monitoring, automatic support escalation and easy integration into the enterprise. Our database software includes Teradata Intelligent Memory, which keeps the most frequently used data in memory for cost-effective, rapid query processing. We also offer license subscriptions that provide our customers with when-and-if-available upgrades and enhancements to our database software. We also offer industry-specific logical data models which are pre-defined, easy-to-follow blueprints that reflect data relationships that are tailored to the specific needs of a particular industry.

Teradata Workload-Specific Platforms-Our platform products are purpose-built to provide an analytic data platform that is used for all types of data-capturing, staging, storing, archiving, and refining - and all types of uses - from fully-integrated warehouses, departmental data marts, discovery, archiving and disaster recovery. Key software products include the Teradata Database, Teradata Aster database and Hadoop® (leveraging the Hortonworks and Cloudera Hadoop distributions). For the hardware component of our solutions, Teradata integrates and optimizes industry standard hardware components to create highly-available, massively-scalable solution stacks. We utilize industry-standard Intel® multi-core processors, along with industry-standard storage offerings, that are designed to provide seamless, transparent scalability. Each platform comes ready to run for a distinct need, from basic analysis to integrated big data analytics, to data warehousing. Teradata's workload-specific platforms are designed to allow customers to meet their specific workload needs at various and most appropriate price points. These platforms are available both on-premises or via a cloud delivery model.

Teradata Aster Analytics Discovery Platform-Our big data analytics discovery platform is pre-configured with our powerful Teradata Aster Database, which features our patented SQL-MapReduce® framework and fully-supported Teradata hardware. It includes the Teradata Aster SQL-GR™ analytic engine for graph analysis across big data sets. Our discovery platform is designed for rapid exploration and discovery from a wide variety of data types and analytic functions to generate differentiated business value.

Teradata Portfolio for Hadoop-Teradata supports a suite of products, tools and services for our customers to deploy Hadoop into their analytical environment. The portfolio includes an enterprise Hadoop distribution, fully-integrated appliances, tools for system management, tools for data wrangling, lineage and archiving, and consulting and support services.

Teradata QueryGrid-Intelligent orchestration layer software that provides seamless and transparent access to analytics across diverse processing engines in an analytical ecosystem. It provides access to data and analytics to any user in the company, using existing tools, without requiring specialized skills and tools. Orchestrating and balancing processes across the ecosystem minimizes data movement and data duplication, helping companies get maximum value from their IT assets.

Teradata Marketing Applications-Our fully-integrated marketing applications help organizations manage marketing workflows, budget allocation, leads, analytics, and digital assets. Designed to automate the planning and creation of timely, relevant and individualized campaigns for end-users, the applications are offered on-premises and as software as a service in the cloud.

Our service offerings include:

Teradata Business Consulting Services-We offer services to identify and prioritize customer's analytic needs to support their corporate strategy. Our business consultants are trusted advisors of our customers, have extensive industry expertise and hands-on experience to help our customers quickly recognize business value. Our consultants provide analytic data platform business impact modeling, analytic architecture roadmaps, analytic maturity assessments, solution planning and services to optimize all of a customer's data. These

Table of Contents

business consultants work closely with our technology and implementation services to help plan and implement a complete project for the customer.

Teradata Technology and Implementation Services-We offer services to deliver a solution that drives business value via a disciplined analysis of conceptual, logical and physical architectural design choices. Our consultants provide architecture services, migration, upgrade, implementation, optimization, and expansion services for the full enterprise analytical ecosystem with deep expertise in data integration and data management, business intelligence, open source analytic platforms and Teradata. We provide both onshore and offshore consulting resources to address customer requirements. Our Global Delivery Centers around the world are staffed with professionals trained in our proven solutions methodologies, and supplement local consulting teams by utilizing the accumulated wealth of our global knowledge base.

Teradata Cloud Services-We offer our products as cloud services to our customers, including our marketing applications, Teradata Database, Teradata Aster Database, and Hadoop. Our cloud services provide customers the flexibility to access Teradata solutions on demand in a secure environment with pay-as-you go pricing options.

Teradata Managed Services-We offer our customers the option of using skilled Teradata personnel for data integration, data warehouse, discovery, Hadoop and business intelligence environments from a single source. These services range from monitoring and managing day-to-day analytics operations to helping improve processes for greater productivity and efficiency.

Teradata Customer Support Services-Our customer services organization provides an experienced, single point of contact and delivery for the deployment, support and ongoing management of Teradata analytic data platforms and applications around the world. Our customer support service offers both proactive and reactive services, including installation, maintenance, monitoring, back-up, and recovery services to allow customers to maximize availability and better leverage the value of their investments in Teradata solutions. They assist customers 24x7x365 for both on-site and remote support.

Training Services-To enhance the value of their investment, we provide our customers with training to help them get the most value from their Teradata analytic data platforms and marketing and analytical applications. These are offered via a variety of delivery formats from web-based to hands-on classroom course to suit customers' needs.

Teradata Marketing Services -We have consultants with extensive marketing applications knowledge to design and implement our marketing applications. We also offer services to help customers develop their marketing strategy and to professionally manage customers' communications and execute marketing campaigns with their customers.

Our Strategy. Teradata empowers data-driven companies to achieve competitive advantage and win in their markets by exploiting data for insight and value. With Teradata's industry-leading analytic data solutions, marketing applications and proven consulting experience and expertise, companies can become more competitive by leveraging insights from data to, among other things, increase revenue, improve marketing effectiveness, drive innovation, enhance customer relationships, improve business processes, and reduce costs.

Our strategy is centered on providing the world's best data solutions to drive competitive advantage for our customers, by focusing on these following critical areas:

Deliver our solutions on-premises or via the cloud (as a service), offering customers choice in how they deploy a Teradata analytics environment and leverage the power of our solutions. These flexible delivery options are designed to extend our market opportunity.

Expand our analytical ecosystem offerings, including our big data portfolio, that helps organizations architect, integrate data into, and manage their analytic environment. We also focus on enhancing and extending value-added services, including big data consulting, ecosystem architecture consulting and managed services across customers' complex analytical ecosystems.

Table of Contents

Building analytic solutions and providing consulting that creates actionable insights and/or automates decisions that deliver high impact return on investment, by packaging replicable analytical use cases, based on learnings from our top customers, many of whom are the leaders and innovators in analytics.

• Optimize our go-to-market approach to improve effectiveness in demand creation and address new and expanded market opportunities, such as with our cloud offerings.

• Continue investing in partnerships to increase the number of solutions available on Teradata platforms, maximize customer value, and increase our market coverage.

We believe that these strategic areas will best position us to be our customers' trusted advisor and partner of choice for architecting, implementing, and managing their analytic solutions.

Customers. We focus our sales efforts on both business and technology buyers at leading companies across a broad set of industries, including banking/financial services, communications (including telecommunications, e-business, and media and entertainment), energy, government, insurance and healthcare, manufacturing, oil and gas, retail, travel and transportation logistics, and utilities. These industries provide a good fit for our analytic data solutions, as they tend to have large and growing data volumes, and expanding sources of data, complex data management requirements, or large and varied groups of users. We also have many marketing applications customers of various sizes in many industries. Many of these customers leverage our software-as-a-service offerings.

The extent to which any given customer contributes to our revenues generally varies significantly from year to year and quarter to quarter. Therefore, a customer with a large order in one quarter is likely to generate additional revenue for Teradata in subsequent periods as we provide support services and additional capacity as their analytical ecosystem evolves and grows to meet their business requirements. For the year ended December 31, 2015, our top ten customers collectively accounted for approximately 14% of our total revenues. Moreover, Teradata's revenue can vary considerably from period to period given the different growth and purchasing patterns of our existing customers' data warehouse systems, discovery platforms and data management platforms as well as the variable timing of new customer orders. Due to the size and complexity of these transactions (purchases), the sales cycle for a new analytic data platform is often fairly long (typically more than a year). Our results in any particular quarter have generally been dependent on our ability to generate a relatively small number of large orders for that quarter. We believe that our market and revenue generation potential remain strong, as existing customers continue to invest in and grow the size and scope of their analytical ecosystems due to growth in the number of users, amounts of data, new types of data including big data, and types and complexity of workloads, queries and analytics.

Partners, Marketing and Distribution Channels

Strategic Partnerships. We seek to leverage our sales and marketing reach by partnering with leading global and regional systems integrators, independent software vendors, Hadoop distributors, and consultants, which we believe complement our analytic data platforms and marketing applications.

Alliance Partners-Strategic partnerships are a key factor in our ability to leverage the value and expand the scope of our analytic data platforms and marketing applications in the marketplace. Our partner program is focused on working collaboratively with independent software vendors in several areas critical to our analytic data platforms, including tools, data and application integration solutions, data mining, analytics, business intelligence, specific horizontal and industry solutions, and those that help integrate digital marketing across multiple solutions and vendors. Our goal is to provide choices to our customers with partner offerings that are optimized and certified with our solutions, and fit within the customer's environment. Our strategic alliance partners include many leaders in the business intelligence, data acquisition, advanced analytics, big data, Hadoop and marketing applications markets.

Systems Integrators-Teradata works with a range of consultants and systems integrators that engage in the design, implementation and integration of analytic data platforms and marketing and analytic applications for our joint clients. Our strategic partnerships with select global consulting and systems integration firms provide broad industry and technology expertise in the design of business solutions that leverage Teradata technology to enable enterprise analytics and operational intelligence. In general, these partners are trusted advisors who assist in vision and strategy development with our customers while objectively assessing and meeting their needs. Our strategic global consulting and systems integration partners include Accenture, Capgemini, Cognizant Technology Solutions, Deloitte, IBM Global Business Services, and Wipro Limited.

Table of Contents

Sales and Marketing. We primarily sell and market our data and analytics and marketing applications solutions through a direct sales force. We believe our quota-carrying sales force increases our visibility and penetration in the marketplace and fosters long-term customer relationships and additional product sales. We have approximately 80% of our employees in customer-facing and/or revenue driving roles (including sales, consulting and customer service, and product engineering).

We support our sales force with marketing and training programs which are designed to grow awareness, highlighting our technology leadership and differentiation in the market, create demand for our products and services, educate the sales force to build skills and knowledge, as well as provide a robust set of tools for use by our direct sales force. In support of growing awareness of the need for analytic data solutions and integrated marketing applications, and Teradata solutions specifically, we employ a broad range of marketing strategies including programs to inform and educate customers and prospects, the media, industry analysts, academics and other third-party influencers. These strategies include targeted direct marketing, our global website, webinars, trade shows and conferences, public and media relations and social media.

We believe that promoting customer success and return on investment is an important element of our success. As a result, we have developed an active program to support and leverage customer references and testimonials/success stories.

Resellers and Distributors. Although the majority of our sales are direct, in limited situations to extend our sales coverage, we also market and sell our products through third-party channels, including resellers and distributors. We have a small number of licensed resellers, and have license and distribution agreements with independent distributors in several countries worldwide. The distribution agreements generally provide for the right to offer our products within a territory. Our distributors generally maintain sales and services personnel dedicated to our products.

Sources of Materials. Our hardware components are assembled and configured by Flextronics International Ltd. (“Flextronics”). Our platform line is designed to leverage the components from industry leaders. Our data storage devices and memory components utilize industry-standard technologies, but are selected and configured to work optimally with our software and hardware platform. Flextronics also procures a wide variety of components used in the assembly process on our behalf. Although many of these components are available from multiple sources, Teradata utilizes preferred supplier relationships to better ensure more consistent quality, cost and delivery. Typically, these preferred suppliers maintain alternative processes and/or facilities to ensure business continuity of supply. Given our strategy to outsource product assembly activities to Flextronics and to source certain components from single suppliers, a disruption in production at Flextronics or at a supplier, or a global shortage of components, could impact the timing or profitability of customer shipments.

Competition. We compete in a large and growing market that is attractive to both legacy and new competitors. Our competition includes new analytics services companies, cloud vendors and open-source providers, as well as large traditional competitors, such as IBM and Oracle. We believe our proven architecture for both structured and unstructured data, integrated solutions with high-performing and scalable technology, deep and broad consulting and support services capabilities, strong customer relationships, and our successful track record will collectively enable us to continue to compete successfully. We also compete successfully in the marketplace with our Unified Data Architecture, bringing together our leading software, hardware and related services with open source offerings. For more information, see Item 1A, Risk Factors, elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Competitors take different technical and integration approaches to addressing data analytic needs, and therefore they often recommend a different architecture than we do. We believe that our customers recognize the advantages of our technologies and our approach as described above.

Our Data Warehouse platform technology is designed to scale with customer growth needs and support the coexistence of multiple generations of nodes in a single environment, thus protecting the customer’s prior investments. Because our Teradata workload-specific platform family also includes data warehousing and big data discovery appliances, we believe we have the right platform for any analytical architecture need and any data type, both structured and unstructured, which makes our analytic data platforms and solutions particularly attractive to customers and enables us to successfully compete in the marketplace.

Table of Contents

Key factors used to evaluate competitors in these markets include: data analytics experience; customer references; technology leadership; product quality; performance, scalability, availability and manageability; support and consulting services capabilities; management of technologies in a complex analytical ecosystem; industry knowledge; and total cost of ownership. We believe we have a competitive advantage in providing complete, integrated, and optimized analytic data platforms and analytic services that address customers' business, technical and architecture requirements. Our differentiation is especially strong with customers that have mission critical, complex, large scale environments.

Many companies participate in adjacent areas of the analytics market, such as enterprise analytic and business intelligence application software. The status of our business relationships with these companies can influence our ability to compete. Our products also complement offerings of some of our competitors, with whom we have formed partnerships to work with their business intelligence and application software businesses. Examples of these companies include both IBM and Oracle, due to their acquisitions of other business intelligence, consulting and application software companies in recent years. In the marketing applications marketplace, we also see competition from companies like IBM, Adobe, Oracle, Salesforce.com and SAS, along with a variety of niche providers whose offerings overlap to a greater or lesser degree with our own.

Seasonality. Historically our sales are somewhat seasonal, in line with capital spending patterns of our customers, with lower revenue typically in the first quarter and higher revenue generally in the fourth quarter of each year. Such seasonality causes our working capital cash flow requirements to vary from quarter to quarter depending on the variability in the volume, timing and mix of product sales. Typically, cash provided by operating activities is higher in the first half of the year due to the higher receivable balances at December 31 and the increase in deferred revenue resulting from the timing of annual renewals of our postcontract customer support agreements. In addition, revenue in the third month of each quarter has historically been significantly higher than in the first and second months. These factors, among others as more fully described in Item 1A, Risk Factors, elsewhere in this Annual Report, make forecasting more difficult and may adversely affect our ability to accurately predict financial results.

Research and Development ("R&D"). We remain focused on designing and developing products, services and solutions for analytic data platforms that anticipate our customers' evolving technological and delivery needs. As we seek improvements in our products and services, we also consider our customers' current needs as we design our new technology so that new generations of the Teradata database software and operating platforms are compatible with prior generations of our technology. We believe our extensive R&D workforce is one of our core strengths. The global R&D team is located in multiple facilities around the world to take advantage of global engineering talent. We anticipate that we will continue to have significant R&D expenditures, which may include complementary strategic acquisitions, in order to help support the flow of innovative, high-quality products, services and applications, which is vital to our leading competitive position. For information regarding the accounting and costs included in R&D activities see "Note 1-Description of Business, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Intellectual Property and Technology. The Company owns 700 patents in the United States, one of which is part of the marketing applications business, and 48 patents in foreign countries. The foreign patents are generally counterparts of the Company's U.S. patents. Many of the patents that we own are licensed to others, and we are licensed to use certain patents owned by others. While our portfolio of patents and patent applications in aggregate is of significant value to our Company, we do not believe that any particular individual patent is by itself of material importance to our business as a whole.

In addition, the Company owns copyrights and trade secrets in its vast code base which makes up all of the Teradata software products including analytic data platforms and marketing applications. Teradata's software products reflect the investment of hundreds of person-years of development work.

The source code versions of our products are protected as trade secrets and, in all major markets, as unpublished copyright works. We also protect our rights in all of our software products and related intellectual property; however, there can be no assurance that these measures will be successful. The Company owns the Teradata®, Aprimo®, and Aster® trademarks, which are registered in the United States and in many foreign countries, as well as other trade names, service marks, and trademarks.

Table of Contents

Employees. As of December 31, 2015, we had approximately 11,300 employees globally. We believe that our future success will depend, in part, on our ability to continue to attract, hire and retain skilled and experienced personnel. Properties and Facilities. Our corporate headquarters is located in Dayton, Ohio, although our Johns Creek (Atlanta), Georgia; and Rancho Bernardo (San Diego), California facilities are also primary locations (within the United States). As of December 31, 2015, we operated 121 facilities in 44 countries throughout the world. We own our Rancho Bernardo research and development complex, while all of our other facilities are leased.

Executive Officers of the Registrant. The following table and biographies sets forth information as of February 26, 2016 regarding the individuals who are serving as our executive officers.

Name	Age	Position(s)
Michael Koehler	63	President and Chief Executive Officer
Saundra Davis	52	Chief Human Resource Officer
John Dinning	48	Chief Transformation Officer
Robert Fair	53	Chief Operating Officer
Dan Harrington	52	Executive Vice President, International Region and Global Services
Rick Morton	58	President, Americas Region
Laura Nyquist	62	General Counsel and Secretary
Oliver Ratzesberger	45	President, Teradata Labs
Stephen Scheppmann	60	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Michael Koehler. Mr. Koehler is the President and Chief Executive Officer of Teradata and has served in this role since 2007. Previously, Mr. Koehler served as Senior Vice President, Teradata Division of NCR from 2003 to 2007. From 2002 until 2003, he was the Interim Teradata Division Leader, Teradata Division. From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Koehler was Vice President, Global Field Operations, Teradata Division, and held management positions of increasingly greater responsibility at NCR prior to that time. He joined our board in August 2007 and also serves as a director of Hertz Global Holdings, Inc., a publicly-traded company, and its subsidiary, Hertz Corporation, a leading rental car and equipment provider.

Saundra Davis. Saundra Davis is Teradata's Chief Human Resource Officer and has served in this role since joining Teradata in 2007. Ms. Davis served as Vice President, Human Resources, Teradata Division of NCR from 2004 to 2007. Prior to this position, Ms. Davis served as Vice President, Human Resources, Corporate Infrastructure, at NCR in 2003. Ms. Davis joined NCR in 1985 and held a number of positions of increasing responsibility in human resources during her career there.

John Dinning. As of February 2016, John Dinning is the Company's Chief Transformation Officer with responsibility for leading Teradata's business transformation team. Since 2012, he has led the Company's data and analytics product management and product marketing functions, adding responsibility for cloud operations in June 2015. In his previous role as vice president of corporate development, from 2007 to 2012, Mr. Dinning was responsible for Teradata's overall corporate strategy and mergers and acquisitions. Prior to that, he was vice president, horizontal solutions and global alliances from 2005 to 2007, which included the marketing and business development around Teradata's customer management, finance and performance management, and demand and supply chain solutions, as well as, leadership of Teradata's global alliances organization. Dinning joined Teradata in 2000 as a result of the acquisition of campaign management start-up Ceres Integration Solutions where he was responsible for marketing, product management, and software quality engineering.

Robert Fair. Robert Fair is the Chief Operating Officer of the Company and from February 2015 to December 2015, was Co-President of Teradata and head of the Teradata Marketing Applications Division, with responsibility for the marketing applications business, including its planned divestiture, as well as Corporate Marketing, Corporate Operations, and IT Services. Prior to that time, since December 2012, Mr. Fair was Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing and Information Officer for Teradata. He served as Executive Vice President, Global Field Operations of Teradata from 2007 to 2012. From April 2003 to September 2007, Mr. Fair served as Vice President, Business Development and Global Marketing, Teradata Division of NCR. From 2000 to 2003, he was Vice

Table of Contents

President, Americas Communications Industry, Teradata Division. Mr. Fair began his career at NCR in 1984 and held a number of positions of increasing responsibility in the areas of sales, consulting services and marketing before joining Teradata.

Dan Harrington. Mr. Harrington is the Executive Vice President, International Region and Global Services, and has served as head of Teradata's entire global services organization including both consulting and support services since 2012 with added responsibility for the International sales region since June 2015. Previously, Mr. Harrington served as Executive Vice President, Technology and Support Services of Teradata from 2007 to 2012. He served as Vice President, Customer Services, Teradata Division of NCR, from 2005 until 2007. From 1999 to 2004, he was Vice President, Northern Europe, Teradata Division with responsibility for Europe sales. Mr. Harrington joined NCR in 1985 and held a number of positions of increasing responsibility in the areas of sales, marketing and product management before he joined Teradata.

Rick Morton. Rick Morton is President, Americas Region for Teradata, a position he has held since November 2015. From 2013 until that time, he held a global sales operations role in which he assisted with various Teradata strategic sales force initiatives. From 2006 to 2012, he served as Vice President of the Financial Services industry organization. Prior to 2006, Mr. Morton was a Solution Vice President where he was responsible for the sales and services business in the central region of the United States. He was appointed as the Vice President of the National Accounts Division for the Teradata Division of NCR in 2002. Mr. Morton began his career with NCR in 1983 as a project manager and progressed through a number of professional services and sales management roles during his tenure with the company.

Laura Nyquist. Laura Nyquist is the General Counsel and Secretary of Teradata and has served in this role since joining Teradata in 2007. Ms. Nyquist served as Deputy General Counsel and Chief Counsel, Business Counsel Group, NCR, from 2006 to 2007. Prior to this position, Ms. Nyquist was Chief Counsel, Financial Solutions Division from 2004 to 2006, and was Vice President, Corporate Affairs, and Secretary to the Board of Directors of NCR from 1999 to 2004. Ms. Nyquist joined NCR in 1986 and held a number of positions of increasing responsibility at NCR prior to joining Teradata.

Oliver Ratzesberger. Oliver Ratzesberger was appointed President, Teradata Labs in June 2015 with responsibility for the research and development of the Company's data and analytics solutions. Prior to that time, he led the software teams for Teradata Labs. Before joining Teradata in 2013, he spent seven years at eBay Inc. where he was responsible for its data warehouse and big data platforms. Before joining eBay, Mr. Ratzesberger worked for startups in software development and information technology, and from 1996 to 2004, he held various positions in data warehousing and professional services for NCR.

Stephen Scheppmann. Stephen Scheppmann has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Teradata since September 2007. He served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Per-Se Technologies, Inc., a leading provider of administrative healthcare industry services, from 2006 until 2007, following the completion of that company's acquisition. From 2000 to 2006, Mr. Scheppmann served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer for NOVA Information Systems, Inc., and, from 1988 to 2000, he was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Larson-Juhl, Inc. From January 2006 until June 2012, Mr. Scheppmann served as a member of the Board of Directors of eResearch Technology, Inc. and as chairman of its Audit Committee from April 2006 until June 2012.

There are no family relationships between any of the executive officers or directors of Teradata.

There are no contractual obligations regarding the election of our executive officers or directors.

Information. Teradata makes available through its website, free of charge, its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to such reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after these reports are electronically filed or furnished to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). These reports and other information are also available, free of charge, at www.sec.gov. Alternatively, the public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Teradata will furnish, without charge to a security holder upon written request,

Table of Contents

the Notice of Meeting and Proxy Statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Teradata will furnish the Code of Conduct and any other exhibit at cost (the Code of Conduct is also available through Teradata's website at <http://www.teradata.com/code-of-conduct/>). Document requests are available by calling or writing to:

Teradata - Shareholder Relations

10000 Innovation Drive

Dayton, OH 45342

Phone: 937-242-4878

Website: <http://www.teradata.com>

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider each of the following risk factors and all of the other information set forth in this Annual Report. Based on the information currently known to us, we believe that the following information identifies the most significant risk factors affecting our company in each of these categories of risks. However, the risks and uncertainties our company faces are not limited to those set forth in the risk factors described below. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we currently believe to be immaterial may also adversely affect our business.

In addition, past financial performance may not be a reliable indicator of future performance, and historical trends should not be used to anticipate results or trends in future periods.

If any of the following risks and uncertainties develops into actual events, these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. In such case, the trading price of our common stock could decline.

The failure to realize the anticipated benefits of our business transformation plan - including transition to new business focus areas and delivery models, sales structures, talent management, divestitures, restructuring and cost rationalization initiatives could adversely impact our business and financial results.

The successful implementation of our business transformation plan to evolve with the market and expand our market opportunity, including the ability to successfully exit the portion of our marketing applications business that we anticipate divesting, presents significant organizational and infrastructure challenges. As a result, we may not be able to implement and realize the anticipated benefits from our plan. Events and circumstances, such as financial or unforeseen difficulties, delays and unexpected costs, may occur that could result in our not realizing desired outcomes. Any failure to implement the plan in accordance with our expectations could have a material adverse effect on our financial results. Even if the anticipated benefits and savings are substantially realized, there may be consequences, internal control issues, or business impacts that were not expected. Additionally, as a result of our restructuring efforts in connection with our business transformation plan, we may experience a loss of continuity, loss of accumulated knowledge or loss of efficiency during transitional periods. Reorganization and restructuring can require a significant amount of management and other employees' time and focus, which may divert attention from operating activities and growing our business. If we fail to achieve some or all of the expected benefits of these activities, it could have a material adverse effect on our competitive position, business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. From time to time, the Company exits businesses or attempts to sell assets that are no longer central to its strategic objectives, such as the plan to exit most of the marketing applications business. Any such disposition or attempted disposition is subject to risks, including risks related to the terms and timing of such disposition, risks related to obtaining necessary government or regulatory approvals, risks related to retained liabilities not subject to the company's control, and risks related to the need to provide transition services to the disposed business, which may result in the diversion of resources and focus.

As part of our transformation strategy, the Company will release new offerings and employ new product and services delivery methods, such as software-only, software as a service, and cloud offerings. It is uncertain whether these strategies will prove successful or whether we will be able to develop the necessary infrastructure and business models more quickly than our competitors. Market acceptance of new product and service offerings will be dependent in part on our ability to include functionality and usability that address customer requirements, and

Table of Contents

optimally price our products and services to meet customer demand and cover our costs. Our go-to-market strategy also must adjust to customers' changing buying preferences and our new products and delivery options. New product and service offerings may increase our risk of liability and cause us to incur significant technical, legal or other costs. For example, with our cloud-based offerings, market acceptance of such services is affected by a variety of factors, including information security, reliability, performance, the sufficiency of technological infrastructure to support our products and services in certain geographies, customer concerns with entrusting a third party to store and manage its data and access this data once a subscription has expired, and consumer concerns regarding data privacy and the enactment of laws or regulations that restrict our ability to provide such services to customers. If we are unable to respond to these threats, our business could be harmed.

Economic Pressures and Uncertainty-Our business is affected by the global economies in which we operate and the economic climate of the industries we serve.

Our business and results of operations are affected by international, national and regional economic conditions. In particular, the IT industry in which we operate is susceptible to significant changes in the strength of the economy and the financial health of companies and governmental entities that make capital commitments for new technologies. Since mid-2012, we have seen a shift in the market and in customers' buying patterns, with respect to large capital investments and related services. Accordingly, downturns or uncertainty in the global or regional economies in which we operate or certain economic sectors (such as retail, manufacturing, financial services or government) may adversely impact our business. For example, adverse changes to the economy could impact the timing of purchases by our current and potential customers or the ability of our customers to fulfill their obligations to us. In addition, decreased or more closely scrutinized capital spending in our customers' businesses and in the industries we also serve may adversely impact our business. Uncertainty about future economic conditions makes it difficult for us to forecast operating results and to make decisions about future investments. Accordingly, global economic and market conditions may cause material impacts on our results of operations, prospects and financial condition. The Company's success in periods of economic uncertainty is also dependent, in part, on our ability to reduce costs in response to changes in demand and other activity.

Competition-The IT industry is intensely competitive and evolving, and competitive pressures could adversely affect our pricing practices or demand for our products and services.

We operate in the intensely competitive IT industry, which is characterized by rapidly changing technology, evolving industry standards and models for consuming and delivering business and IT services, frequent new product introductions, and price and cost reductions. In general, as a participant in the analytic data solutions market, we face:

- Changes in customer IT spending preferences and other shifts in market demands, which drive changes in the Company's competition;
- Continued pressure on price/performance for analytic data platform solutions due to constant technology improvements in processor capacity and speed;
- Changes in pricing, marketing and product strategies, such as potential aggressive price discounting and the use of different pricing models by our competitors or other factors;
- Rapid changes in computing technology and capabilities that challenge our ability to maintain differentiation at the lower range of business intelligence analytic functions;
- New and emerging analytic data technologies, competitors, and business models;
 - Continued emergence of open source software that often attempts to rival current technology offerings at a much lower cost despite its limited functionality;
- Rapid changes in product delivery models, such as on-premises solutions versus cloud solutions;
- Changing competitive requirements and deliverables in developing and emerging markets; and
- Continuing trend toward consolidation of companies which could adversely affect our ability to compete, including if our key partners merge or partner with our competitors.

To compete successfully in this environment, we must rapidly and continually design, develop and market solutions and related products and services that are valued in the marketplace. To do this, we must react on a timely basis to shifts in market demands. Our market position depends on our ability to continually improve the price/performance of our solutions, while maintaining efficient operations to sustain our competitive operating margins. We must also

Table of Contents

maintain the quality of our products and services throughout these shifts in market demand. If we are unable to react quickly when and as needed to improve the value of our product offerings our operating results could be negatively impacted.

Our competitors include certain larger companies, such as IBM and Oracle, which are well-capitalized companies with widespread distribution, brand recognition and penetration of platforms and service offerings. The significant purchasing and market power of these larger competitors, which have greater financial resources than we do, could allow them to surpass our market penetration and marketing efforts to promote and sell their products and services. In addition, many other companies participate in specific areas of our business, such as enterprise applications, analytic data platforms and business intelligence software. In some cases we may partner with a company in one area of our business and compete with them in another. The status of our business relationships with these companies can influence our ability to compete for analytic data solutions opportunities in such areas. We also expect additional competition from both established and emerging companies. Failure to compete successfully with new or existing competitors in these and other areas could have a material adverse impact on our ability to generate additional revenues or sustain existing revenue levels.

Analytic Data Solutions Market-If the overall analytic data solutions market declines or does not grow, we may sell fewer products and services, and our business may not be able to sustain and/or grow its current level of operations. As the market trends toward more limited IT spending, there could be fewer customer transactions, or smaller transactions, or customers delaying investments in, our products and services. In the past, we have seen periodic breaks in the buying patterns from some of our larger customers, which indicate a level of maturation of their current data warehouse implementation or a shifting of IT priorities when these customers are still leveraging the investments they have made in their core data warehousing infrastructures during past years. In addition, reduced prices and improvements in analytic data solutions may increase pressure on our product revenues and margins, as well as on the annuity streams we receive from our maintenance business. If the growth rates for the analytic data solutions market decline for any reason, there could be a decrease in demand for our products and services, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Renewal Rates and Support Services-If our existing customers fail to renew their support agreements, if customers do not license updated software products on terms favorable to us, or if customers do not renew their term license arrangements with us, our revenues could be adversely affected.

We currently derive a significant portion of our overall revenues from maintenance services and software subscriptions (unspecified when-and-if-available upgrades), and we depend on our installed customer base for future revenue from maintenance services and software subscriptions and licenses of updated products. The terms of our standard maintenance services and software subscription arrangements generally provide for the payment of license fees and prepayment of first-year support fees and are generally renewable on an annual basis. The IT industry generally has been experiencing increasing pricing pressure from customers when purchasing or renewing support agreements. Mergers and acquisitions in certain industries that we serve could result in a reduction of the software and hardware being serviced and put pressure on our maintenance terms with customers who have merged. Given this environment, there can be no assurance that our current customers will renew their maintenance agreements or agree to the same terms when they renew, which could result in our reducing or losing maintenance fees.

If our existing customers fail to renew their maintenance agreements, or if we are unable to generate additional maintenance fees through the license of updated products to existing or new customers, our business and future operating results could be adversely affected.

Replacements of older Teradata systems often result in less hardware maintenance revenue since Teradata's newer hardware is designed to be more powerful, use less energy and require less floor space. As a result, less hardware is needed for the same workload, and therefore less maintenance may be required on the newer generation system. However, it is been common that when a customer replaces an older platform, they often have also expanded the size and scope of their Teradata system, resulting in an increase in maintenance revenue, though not at the same rate of increase as product revenue.

Table of Contents

Additionally, Teradata's software application offerings have been expanded to include term licenses, hosting arrangements and software as a service, which can change the timing of when revenues are recognized. Additionally, future revenue streams could be adversely affected if customers do not renew their term licenses, hosting arrangements or software as a service arrangements.

Operating Result Fluctuations-Our financial results are subject to fluctuations caused by many factors that could result in our failing to achieve anticipated financial results.

Our quarterly and annual financial results have varied in the past and are likely to continue to vary in the future due to a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. In particular, if transactions that we expect to close by the end of a quarter are not closed until a later date, our revenue and/or net income for that quarter could be substantially below expectations, especially given the large size of our transactions. These and any one or more of the factors listed below or other factors could cause us not to achieve our revenue or profitability expectations. The resulting failure to meet market expectations could cause a decrease in our stock price. These factors include the risks discussed elsewhere in this section and the following:

• Downturns in our customers' businesses, in the domestic economy or in international economies where our customers do substantial business;

• Changes in demand for our products and services, including changes in growth rates in the analytic data solutions market;

• The size, timing and contractual terms of large orders for our products and services, which may impact in particular our quarterly operating results (either positively or negatively);

• Possible delays in our ability to recognize revenue as the result of contract terms;

• The budgeting cycles of our customers and potential customers;

• Changes in pricing policies resulting from competitive pressures, such as aggressive price discounting by our competitors, new pricing strategies, or other factors;

• Changes in how customers prefer to purchase analytical solutions;

• Our ability to develop and introduce on a timely basis new or enhanced versions of our products and services;

• Changes in the mix of pre-tax earnings attributable to domestic versus international sales;

• Seasonal fluctuations in buying patterns;

• Future acquisitions and divestitures of technologies, products and businesses;

• Unexpected needs for capital expenditures or other unanticipated expenses; and

• Changes in certain assumptions, estimates and judgments of management (which are required in connection with the preparation of the Company's financial statements) that could affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, costs, expenses and the related disclosure of contingent liabilities.

Acquisitions and Alliances-Our ability to successfully integrate acquisitions and effectively manage acquisitions may be an important element of future growth.

We are continually evaluating the most effective ways to extend Teradata's core technology and expand our family of compatible analytic platforms and software applications to address multiple market segments and solution offerings.

From time to time, this includes acquisitions, equity investments or joint ventures. Such transactions entail various risks, including risks associated with:

• Assimilating and integrating different business operations, corporate cultures, personnel, infrastructure and technologies or products acquired or licensed;

• Retaining key employees and maintaining relationships with employees, customers, clients or suppliers of the acquired companies,

• Recurring revenue of the acquired company may decline or fail to be renewed;

• The potential for unknown liabilities, as well as undetected internal control, compliance or quality issues within the acquired or combined business or additional costs not anticipated at the time of acquisition;

• Disruptions of our ongoing business or inability to successfully incorporate acquired products, services or technologies into our solutions and maintain quality;

• Failure to achieve the projected synergies after integration of acquired companies or a decline in value of the acquired business and related impairments;

Table of Contents

Funding acquisition activities, whether through the use of existing cash reserves, or through the use of debt, and the related impact on our liquidity and financial condition; and

Failure to realize all the economic benefits from these acquisitions, equity investments or joint ventures could result in an impairment of goodwill, intangible assets or other assets, which could result in a significant adverse impact to our results of operations.

Our operating results may fluctuate as a result of acquisitions and related integration activities, as well as other strategic growth transactions, and there is a risk that our financial results may be adversely affected.

Changing Tax Rates-A change in our effective tax rate can have a significant adverse impact on our business. A number of factors may adversely impact our future effective tax rates, such as the jurisdictions in which our profits are determined to be earned and taxed; the resolution of issues arising from tax audits with various tax authorities; changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities; adjustments to estimated taxes upon finalization of various tax returns; changes in available tax credits, especially surrounding tax credits in the United States for our research and development activities; and the repatriation of non-U.S. earnings for which we have not previously provided for U.S. taxes. Tax authorities may disagree with certain positions we have taken and assess additional taxes. We regularly assess the likely outcomes of these audits in order to determine the appropriateness of our tax provision, however, there can be no assurance that we will accurately predict the outcomes of these audits, and the actual outcomes of these audits could have a material impact on our net income or financial condition. Changes in tax laws or tax rulings could materially impact our effective tax rate. For example, proposals for fundamental international tax reform, both in the U.S. and internationally, could have a significant adverse impact on our future results of operations.

Sales Cycle Variations-Unanticipated delays or accelerations in our sales cycles make accurate estimation of our revenues difficult and could result in significant fluctuations in our quarterly operating results.

The size and timing of large orders for our products and services varies considerably, which can impact results from quarter to quarter. The process we use to forecast sales and trends in our business relies heavily on estimates of closure on a transaction-specific basis. It is very difficult to predict sales in a particular quarter or over a longer period of time. Unanticipated delays or accelerations in our sales cycles make accurate estimation of our revenues difficult and could result in significant fluctuations in our quarterly operating results.

The length of our sales cycle varies depending on a number of factors over which we may have little or no control, including the size and complexity of a potential transaction, the level of competition that we encounter in our selling activities and our current and potential customers' internal budgeting and approval process, as well as overall macro-economic conditions. As a result of a generally long sales cycle, we may expend significant effort over a long period of time in an attempt to obtain an order, but ultimately not complete the sale, or the order ultimately received may be smaller than anticipated. Our revenue from different customers varies from quarter to quarter, and a customer with a large order in one quarter may generate significantly lower revenue in subsequent periods. Our results in any particular quarter have generally been dependent on the timing of a relatively small number of large transactions. Due to resulting fluctuations, we believe that quarter-to-quarter comparisons of our revenue, margins, and operating results may not be meaningful, and that these comparisons may not be an accurate indicator of our future performance. In addition, the budgeting and IT capital spending cycles of our customers and potential customers make forecasting more difficult and may adversely affect our ability to accurately predict financial results. Spending may be particularly heavy in our fourth quarter because of large enterprise customers placing orders before the expiration of IT budgets tied to that calendar year.

Our operating expense budgets (including such categories as headcount, real estate, and technology resources) are based on projected annual and quarterly revenue levels and are generally incurred ratably throughout each quarter. Since our operating expenses are relatively fixed in the short term, failure to generate projected revenues for a specified period could adversely impact our operating results, reducing net income or causing an operating loss for

Table of Contents

that period. The deferral or non-occurrence of such sales revenues could adversely affect our operating results for that quarter and could negatively impact our business in future periods.

Seasonal Variability-Seasonal trends in sales of our products and services could adversely affect our quarterly operating results.

In general, we see fluctuations in buying patterns with lower revenue in the first quarter and higher revenue in the fourth quarter of each year. Such seasonality also causes our working capital cash flow requirements to vary from quarter to quarter depending on the variability in the volume, timing and mix of product sales. In addition, revenue in the third month of each quarter has historically been significantly higher than in the first and second months, which further impacts our ability to predict financial results accurately and enhances the enterprise risks inherent in our business. These and other factors make forecasting more difficult and may adversely affect our ability to predict financial results accurately.

Revenue Mix Variability-Our revenue is variable depending on the mix of products and services in any given period, and changes in the mix of products and services that we sell could materially adversely affect our operating results. Our business model is based on our anticipated mix of products and services and the corresponding profit margins for such products and services. Unfavorable shifts in such mix could adversely impact our results of operations and require changes to our business model. Consulting services margins are generally lower than the other elements of our analytic data solutions. In addition, when we use third parties to supplement some consulting services we provide to customers, this generally results in lower margin rates. As a result, increases in consulting services revenues as a percentage of our total revenues may decrease overall margins.

We also realize different average selling prices and margins on different versions of our analytic data platforms, as well as certain components we re-sell as part of our solutions, and the mix of such hardware and software varies from quarter to quarter depending on customer requirements. In addition, changes in the price and performance of our analytic data platforms, particularly for certain hardware components, could negatively impact maintenance and support services, and software subscription revenues. Additionally, as we implement part of our business transformation plan and shift from upfront perpetual licenses to recurring subscription models for both on-premises and cloud offerings, our revenue mix may be impacted.

Advancement of Our Solutions-The solutions we sell are advanced, and we need to rapidly and successfully develop and introduce new solutions in a competitive, demanding and rapidly changing environment.

To succeed in the intensely competitive IT industry, we must continually improve, refresh and expand our product and service offerings to include newer features, functionality, delivery options or solutions, and keep pace with price-to-performance gains in the IT industry. Shortened product life cycles due to customer demands and competitive pressures impact the pace at which we must introduce and implement new technology. This requires a high level of innovation by both our software developers and the suppliers of the third-party software components included in our systems. In addition, bringing new solutions to the market entails a costly and lengthy process, and requires us to accurately anticipate customer needs and technology trends. We must continue to respond to market demands, develop leading technologies and maintain leadership in analytic data solutions performance and scalability, or our business operations may be adversely affected.

We must also anticipate and respond to customer demands regarding the compatibility of our current and prior offerings. These demands could hinder the pace of introducing and implementing new technology. Our future results may be affected if our products cannot effectively interface and perform well with software products of other companies and with our customers' existing IT infrastructures, or if we are unsuccessful in our efforts to enter into agreements allowing integration of third-party technology with the Teradata database and software platforms. Our efforts to develop the interoperability of our products may require significant investments of capital and employee resources. In addition, many of our principal products are used with products offered by third parties and, in the future, some vendors of non-Teradata products may become less willing to provide us with access to their products, technical information and marketing and sales support.

Table of Contents

As a result of these and other factors, our ability to introduce new or improved solutions could be adversely impacted. There can be no assurance that our innovations will be profitable, and if we cannot successfully market and sell both existing and newly developed solutions, our business and operating results could be impacted. If we were to lose our significant technology advantage, our market share and growth could be adversely affected. In addition, if we are unable to deliver products, features, and functionality as projected, we may be unable to meet our commitments to customers, which could have an adverse effect on our reputation and business.

Highly Advanced Products-Our products include highly advanced technology, and as we develop new products with greater capacity and performance capabilities, the increased difficulty and complexity associated with producing these products increases the likelihood of reliability, quality or operability problems.

Despite rigorous testing prior to their release and well-designed quality processes, our software and hardware products may contain undetected errors or security flaws, which may be found after the products are introduced and shipped.

This risk is enhanced when products are first introduced or when new versions are released, as well as when we develop products with more advanced technology, since the increased difficulty and complexity associated with producing these products increases the likelihood of reliability, quality or operability problems. The correction and detection of errors may cause delays, lost revenues and incremental costs. Errors in our software products could also affect the ability of our products to work with other hardware or software products, could delay the development or release of new products or new versions of products, and could adversely affect market acceptance of our products. While we attempt to remedy errors that we believe would be considered critical by our customers prior to shipment, we may not be able to detect or remedy all such errors.

Our customers who rely on our solutions for business-critical applications are more sensitive to product errors, which could expose us to product liability, performance and warranty claims, as well as harm our reputation. These and other risks associated with new product and service offerings may have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and future performance.

Product introductions and certain enhancements of existing products by us in future periods may also reduce demand for our existing products or could delay purchases by customers awaiting arrival of our new products. As new or enhanced products are introduced, we must successfully manage the transition from older products.

In the ordinary course of business, we continually evaluate opportunities for new product and service offerings, new markets and new geographic sectors, and development of such opportunities could entail certain business risks which could affect our financial condition. In addition, due to the complexity of many of our offerings, we may not be able to meet customer requirements with respect to consulting services without incurring costs greater than expected levels. **Information Systems and Security**-A breach of security, disruption or failure of our information systems or those of our third party providers could adversely impact our business and financial results.

Our operations are dependent on our ability to protect our computer equipment and the information stored in our databases (and the computer equipment and database information of certain suppliers and other third parties) from damage by, among other things, earthquake, fire, natural disaster, cyber-attacks, power loss, telecommunications failures, unauthorized intrusions, malicious or unintended insider actions that cause loss of data or loss of systems, and other events. Despite our contingency planning, events of this nature may still result in system failures and other interruptions in our operations, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We generally operate pursuant to a business-to-business model, such that our customers buy or lease hardware systems used in connection with our solutions and the customers deploy and operate those solutions. With respect to these kinds of customer on-premises solutions, the customer, directly or through its selected services providers, manages all aspects of the data controls and security with respect to any confidential, private or otherwise sensitive information stored or processed through these solutions, including any personally identifiable data or information - such as non-public data regarding our customers' employees, customer's customers, consumers, data subjects, individuals' identities, individual financial accounts and health information regulated by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996. However, some of our services, including our software as a service or cloud offerings, may require us to deploy or operate solutions for our customers, directly or through the use of third

Table of Contents

party services providers, either on-premises at customer-selected data center facilities or at third-party-hosted data center facilities selected by us. With respect to these kinds of cloud and non-traditional solution deployments and operations, we and such service providers have increased roles, responsibilities and risk exposures regarding some or all aspects of the data controls and security with respect to any confidential, private or otherwise sensitive information stored or processed through these solutions on our systems or those of selected third-party providers. If unauthorized access to or use of such information or systems occurs, despite data security measures and third party commitments to protect them, our results of operation, reputation, and relationships with our customers could be adversely impacted. Additionally, experienced computer programmers, Nation State Sponsored Advanced Persistent Code (“NSSAPC”) attacks (from countries such as Iran, China and certain European Eastern Bloc countries) and hackers may be able to penetrate our network security or that of our third party providers and misappropriate or compromise our intellectual property or other confidential information or that of our customers, create system disruptions or cause shutdowns. Computer programmers and hackers also may be able to develop and deploy viruses, worms, and other malicious software programs that attack our products or otherwise exploit any security vulnerabilities of our products. We have reason to believe that at least one attempted NSSAPC cyber-attack occurred against our systems in 2012, although we do not believe that it was successful or that there was any adverse impact to the Company in connection with the incident. Despite the fact that our preventative and remediation tools and actions may have mitigated or preempted this attack, we have since taken additional steps designed to further improve the security of our networks and computer systems; however, there can be no assurance that our defensive measures will be adequate to prevent them in the future. Also, like many other companies, our workstations are regularly subject to penetration attempts and malicious threats by hackers and, despite our defensive measures, we may not always be able to detect, mitigate or preempt them all. Breaches of security and disruptions of our information systems have not historically had a material impact on our results of operations and we have no reason to believe that attempts by hackers such as those described above have negatively impacted our IT infrastructure, operations, confidential information or intellectual property. However, there is risk that these types of activities will recur and persist, that one or more of them may be successful in the future, that one or more of them may have been or will be successful but not detected, prevented, remediated or mitigated by us, and the costs to us to eliminate, detect, prevent, remediate, mitigate or alleviate cyber or other security problems, viruses, worms, malicious software programs and security vulnerabilities could be significant, and our efforts to address these problems may not be successful and could adversely impact our future results of operations.

Reliance on Third Parties—Our future results depend in part on our relationships with key suppliers, strategic partners and other third parties.

Our development, marketing and distribution strategies depend in part on our ability to form strategic alliances with third parties that have complementary products, software, services and skills. Our strategic partners include consultants and system integrators, software and technology providers, and indirect channel distributors in certain countries. These relationships create risks beyond our control of our partners changing their business focus, entering into strategic alliance with other companies, being acquired by our competitors, failing to meet performance criteria or improperly using our confidential information. If we fail to maintain or expand our relationships with strategic partners, our business may be adversely affected.

Third-party vendors provide important elements to our solutions; if we do not maintain our relationships with these vendors or if these vendors cease to be going concerns, interruptions in the supply of our products may result. There are some components of our solutions that we purchase from single sources due to price, quality, technology or other reasons. For example, we have relied on Flextronics as a key single source contract manufacturer for our hardware systems for the last several years. In addition, we buy silicon computer chips and microprocessors from Intel Corporation, and storage disk systems from NetApp, Inc. Some components supplied by third parties may be critical to our solutions, and several of our suppliers may terminate their agreements with us without cause with 180 days notice. If we were unable to purchase necessary services, parts, components or products from a particular vendor and had to find an alternative supplier, our shipments and deliveries could be delayed. Also, disruption in our supply chain or the need to find alternative suppliers could impact the costs and/or timing associated with procuring necessary products, components and services. In either case, our operations could be adversely impacted. Similarly, our

suppliers' products and services have certain dependencies with respect to their own supply chain networks, and supply issues among our suppliers' suppliers may also adversely impact our business.

21

Table of Contents

In addition, smaller suppliers have operating risks that could impact our business. These risks could create product time delays, inventory and invoicing problems, staging delays, and other operational difficulties. We could also be impacted by their inability to provide high-quality products or services that conform to required specifications or contractual arrangements, which could negatively impact our business and operating results.

Reliance on the Intellectual Property of Third Parties-The loss of our rights to use software licensed to us by third parties could harm our business.

We have an active partner program that offers rights to sublicense third party software as part of a complete suite of solutions for our customers. This offering, as well as our reliance on third party software and licenses in our operating system software and business, creates risks that are not present when developing software in-house. For example, the viability, reliability and quality of such partners' businesses, as well as their ability to fulfill their obligations to us, are factors that come into play and could adversely affect our financial condition. Our operations could also be impacted if we are forced to seek alternative technology, or technology for new solutions, that may not be available on commercially reasonable terms. Also, many of our offerings are complemented by technologies developed by others, and if we are unable to continue to obtain licenses for such technologies at competitive prices, our business could be impacted.

Intellectual Property-If we are unable to develop, preserve and protect our intellectual property assets, our operating results may be adversely affected.

As a technology company, our intellectual property portfolio is crucial to our continuing ability to be a leading analytic data and applications solutions provider. We strive to protect and enhance our proprietary intellectual property rights through patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, as well as through technological safeguards. These efforts include protection of the products and application, diagnostic and other software we develop. To the extent we are not successful our business could be materially adversely impacted. We may be unable to prevent third parties from using our technology without our authorization or independently developing technology that is similar to ours, particularly in those countries where the laws do not protect our proprietary rights as fully as in the United States (such as Iran, China and certain European Eastern Bloc countries who may use NSSAPC to advance their own industries). With respect to our pending patent applications, we may not be successful in securing patents for these claims, and our competitors may already have applied for patents that, once issued, will prevail over our patent rights or otherwise limit our ability to sell our products.

While we take steps to provide for confidentiality obligations of employees and third parties with whom we do business (including customers, suppliers and strategic partners), there is a risk that such parties will breach such obligations and jeopardize our intellectual property rights. Many customers have outsourced the administration and management of their data warehouses to third parties, including some of our competitors, who then have access to our confidential information. Although we have agreements in place to mitigate this risk, there can be no assurance that such protections will be sufficient. In addition, our ability to capture and re-use field-based developed intellectual property is important to future business opportunities and margins.

We are actively engaged in efforts to protect the value of our intellectual property and to prevent others from infringing our intellectual property rights. However, due to the complex and technical nature of such efforts and the potentially high stakes involved, such enforcement activity can be expensive and time consuming, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in these efforts.

Research and Development-We make significant investments in research and development and cannot assure that these investments will be profitable.

As part of our business strategy, we must continue to dedicate a significant amount of resources to our research and development efforts in order to maintain and advance our competitive position, including our initiatives to provide our offerings for cloud environments. However, we may not expect to receive significant revenues from these investments for several years, if at all. Research and development expenses represent a significant portion of our discretionary fixed costs. We believe these new technologies could significantly improve our products and services

Table of Contents

over the long-term. However, if we have invested inappropriately, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Intellectual Property Infringement Claims by Third Parties-Claims by others that we infringe their intellectual property rights could harm our business and financial condition.

We have seen a trend towards aggressive enforcement of intellectual property rights as the functionality of products in our industry increasingly overlaps and the volume of issued software patents continues to grow. As a result, there is a risk that we could be subject to infringement claims which, regardless of their validity, could:

- Be expensive, time consuming and divert management attention away from normal business operations;
- Require us to pay monetary damages or enter into non-standard royalty and licensing agreements;
- Require us to modify our product sales and development plans; or
- Require us to satisfy indemnification obligations to our customers.

Regardless of whether these claims have any merit, they can be burdensome to defend or settle and can harm our business and reputation.

Open Source Software-The growing market acceptance of open source software and lower cost alternatives present benefits and challenges for our industry.

We have developed a version of the Teradata database software to operate on open source and alternative platforms and have incorporated other types of open source software into our products, allowing us to enhance certain solutions without incurring substantial additional research and development costs and expand our solution offerings. "Open source" software is made widely available by its authors and is licensed for a nominal fee or, in some cases, at no charge.

Open source licenses typically mandate that proprietary software, when combined in specific ways with open source software, becomes subject to the open source license. We take steps to ensure that our proprietary software is not combined with, or does not incorporate, open source software in ways that would require our proprietary software to be subject to an open source license. However, few courts have interpreted the open source licenses, and the manner in which these licenses may be interpreted and enforced is therefore subject to uncertainty.

Additionally, there are certain open source software applications in the data analytics market that are being offered free of charge or for a nominal fee. Open source software offerings available in the marketplace such as Hadoop and others, can place additional competitive pressure on Teradata, even though we believe our offerings are unique and add value through software enhancements and services, and we may have difficulty in marketing our products to certain customers against available open source options.

International Operations-Generating substantial revenues from our multinational operations helps us to meet our strategic goals, but poses a number of risks.

In 2015, the percentage of our total revenues from outside of the United States was 44%. We believe that our geographic diversity may help to mitigate some risks associated with geographic concentrations of operations (e.g., adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates and deteriorating economic environments or business disruptions due to economic or political uncertainties). However, our ability to sell our solutions internationally is subject to the following risks, among others:

• General economic and political conditions in each country that could adversely affect demand for our solutions in these markets;

• Currency exchange rate fluctuations that could result in lower demand for our products as well as generate currency translation losses;

• The impact of civil and political unrest (relating to war, terrorist activity or other turmoil) on the economy or markets in general, or on our ability, or that of our suppliers, to meet commitments, which may occur in other countries where we have significant operations;

• Changes to and compliance with a variety of local laws and regulations that may increase our cost of doing business in these markets or otherwise prevent us from effectively competing in these markets;

Table of Contents

• Cultural and management challenges with managing new and growing consulting services and engineering functions overseas in developing countries;

• Difficulties in staffing and managing our foreign offices and the increased travel, infrastructure and legal and compliance costs associated with multiple international locations;

• Longer payment cycles for sales in foreign countries and difficulties in enforcing contracts and collecting accounts receivable;

• Tariffs or other restrictions on foreign trade or investment;

• Costs and delays associated with developing products in multiple languages;

The impact of catastrophic weather or other negative effects of climate change on our facilities, operations and/or workforce, as well as those of our customers, supply chains and distribution channels, throughout the world, particularly those in coastal areas; and

• Changing competitive requirements and deliverables in developing and emerging markets.

Our products are subject to U.S. export controls and, when exported from the United States, or re-exported to another country, must be authorized under applicable U.S. export regulations. Changes in our products or changes in export regulations may create delays in the introduction of our products in international markets, prevent our customers with international operations from deploying our products throughout their global systems or, in some cases, prevent the export of our products to certain countries or customers altogether. Any change in export regulations or related legislation, shift in approach to the enforcement or scope of existing regulations, or change in the countries, persons or technologies targeted by these regulations could result in decreased use of our products by, or in our decreased ability to export or sell our products to, existing or potential customers with international operations.

Foreign Currency-Our revenue and operating income are subject to variability due to the effects of foreign currency fluctuations against the U.S. dollar.

We have exposure to more than 30 functional currencies. The primary foreign currencies to which we are exposed include the euro, British pound, Japanese yen, the Australian dollar, the Canadian dollar and other Asian and South American currencies. A significant portion of our revenue and operating income is generated outside the United States, and therefore our financial results may fluctuate due to the effects of such foreign currency fluctuations, which are difficult to predict. For example, in the event that one or more European countries were to replace the euro with another currency, Teradata sales into such countries, or into Europe generally, would likely be adversely affected until stable exchange rates are established. In addition, currency variations can affect margins on sales of our products in countries outside of the United States and margins on sales of products that include components obtained from suppliers located outside of the United States.

Dependence on Key Employees-We depend on key employees and face competition in hiring and retaining qualified employees.

Our employees are critical to our success. Our future success depends on our ability to attract and retain the services of senior management and key personnel in all functional areas of our company, including engineering and development, marketing and sales professionals, and consultants. Competition for highly skilled personnel in the IT industry is intense. No assurance can be made that key personnel will remain with us, and it may be difficult and costly to replace such employees. Our failure to hire, retain and replace our key personnel could have a material adverse impact on our business operations.

Internal Controls-Inadequate internal control over financial reporting and accounting practices could lead to errors, which could adversely impact our ability to assure timely and accurate financial reporting.

Internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control objectives will be met. These inherent limitations include system errors, the potential for human error and unauthorized actions of employees or contractors, inadequacy of controls, temporary lapses in controls due to shortfalls in transition planning and oversight or resources, and other factors. Consequently, such controls may not prevent or detect misstatements in our reported financial results as required under SEC and New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) rules, which could increase our operating costs or impair our ability to operate our business. Controls may also become inadequate due to changes in circumstances, and it is necessary to replace, upgrade or modify our internal information systems from time to time. In addition, unforeseen risks may

Table of Contents

arise in connection with financial reporting systems due to inefficient business processes or business process reengineering projects.

If management is not successful in maintaining a strong internal control environment, material weaknesses could occur, causing investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information. This could lead to a decline in our stock price, limit our ability to access the capital markets in the future, and require us to incur additional costs to improve our internal control systems and procedures.

Legal Contingencies and Regulatory Matters-Like other technology companies, we face uncertainties with regard to lawsuits, regulations and other related matters.

In the normal course of business, we are subject to proceedings, lawsuits, claims and other matters, including those that relate to the environment, health and safety, employee benefits, export compliance, intellectual property, and other regulatory compliance and general matters. See “Note 8—Commitments and Contingencies” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this Annual Report. Because such matters are subject to many uncertainties, their outcomes are not predictable. While we believe that amounts provided in our consolidated financial statements are currently adequate in light of the probable and estimable liabilities, there can be no assurances that the amounts required to satisfy alleged liabilities from such matters will not impact future operating results.

In addition, we are subject to diverse and complex laws and regulations, including those relating to corporate governance, public disclosure and reporting-which are rapidly changing and subject to many possible changes in the future. From time to time, we may conduct internal investigations in connection with our efforts to ensure compliance with such laws and regulations, the costs or results of which could impact our financial results. In addition, we may be subject to unexpected costs in connection with new public disclosure or other regulatory requirements that are issued from time to time, such as those adopted by the SEC regarding the use of conflict minerals. Laws and regulations impacting our customers, such as those relating to privacy, data protection and digital marketing, could also impact our future business. Because we do business in the government sector, we are generally subject to audits and investigations which could result in various civil or criminal fines, penalties or administrative sanctions, including debarment from future government business, which could negatively impact the Company’s results of operations or financial condition.

In addition, our facilities and operations, including former facilities and former operations for which we may have liabilities, are subject to a wide range of environmental protection laws. There have not been any known actual material effects that compliance with environmental provisions has had upon the capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position of the Company or its subsidiaries, and there are no material estimated capital expenditures for environmental remediation or liabilities planned. However, we do expect to incur some costs in connection with compliance with these matters and given the uncertainties inherent in such activities, there can be no assurances that the costs required to comply with applicable environmental laws will not adversely impact future operating results. There is an increase in enforcement activities and focus by the SEC and other governmental authorities on the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (“FCPA”), the U.K. Bribery Act of 2010 (the “Bribery Act”) and similar anti-bribery, anti-corruption laws in other countries. Given the breadth and scope of our international operations, we may not be able to detect improper or unlawful conduct by our international partners and employees, despite our high ethics, governance and compliance standards, which could put the Company at risk regarding possible violations of such laws, including the FCPA or the Bribery Act.

Management time and resources are spent to understand and comply with changing laws, regulations and standards relating to such matters as corporate governance, accounting principles, public disclosure (including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002), SEC regulations, Basel III and the rules of the NYSE where our shares are listed. Although we do not believe that recent regulatory and legal initiatives will result in significant changes to our internal practices or our operations, rapid changes in accounting standards, and federal securities laws and regulations, among others, may substantially increase costs to our organization, challenge our ability to timely comply with all of them and could have an impact on our future operating results.

Table of Contents

Our indebtedness could adversely affect our financial condition and limit our financial flexibility.

The Company's indebtedness could:

- expose us to interest rate risk since our indebtedness is at variable rates;
- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- limit our ability to obtain additional financing or refinancing at attractive rates;
 - require the dedication of a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to the payment of principal of, and interest on, our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of such cash flow to fund our growth strategy, working capital, capital expenditures, share repurchases and other general corporate purposes;
- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry; and
- place us at a competitive disadvantage relative to our competitors with less debt.

Further, our outstanding indebtedness is subject to financial and other covenants, which may be affected by changes in economic or business conditions or other events that are beyond our control. If we fail to comply with the covenants under any of our indebtedness, we may be in default under the loan, which may entitle the lenders to accelerate the debt obligations. In order to avoid defaulting on our indebtedness, we may be required to take actions such as reducing or delaying capital expenditures, reducing or eliminating stock repurchases, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing all or part of our existing debt, or seeking additional equity capital, any of which may not be available on terms that are favorable to us, if at all.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Item 2. PROPERTIES

As of December 31, 2015, Teradata operated 121 facilities in 44 countries consisting of approximately 1.8 million square feet throughout the world. Approximately 26% of this square footage is owned and the rest is leased. Within the total facility portfolio, Teradata operates 15 research and development facilities totaling approximately 700 thousand square feet, approximately 60% is owned. The remaining approximately 1.1 million square feet of space includes office, repair, warehouse and other miscellaneous sites, and is 100% leased. Teradata believes its facilities are suitable and adequate to meet its current needs. Teradata's corporate headquarters is located in Dayton, Ohio.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Information regarding legal proceedings is included in Item 8 of Part II of this Annual Report as part of "Note 8—Commitments and Contingencies" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

N/A.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Teradata common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "TDC." There were approximately 62,800 registered holders of Teradata common stock as of February 4, 2016. The following table presents the high and low closing per share prices of Teradata common stock traded on the New York Stock Exchange during the calendar quarter indicated.

	Common Stock Closing Market Price	
	High	Low
2015		
Fourth quarter	\$30.63	\$25.58
Third quarter	\$37.11	\$27.70
Second quarter	\$45.89	\$36.95
First quarter	\$46.98	\$41.63
2014		
Fourth quarter	\$45.22	\$39.87
Third quarter	\$45.84	\$39.99
Second quarter	\$49.18	\$39.54
First quarter	\$49.19	\$40.00

Teradata has not paid cash dividends and does not anticipate the payment of cash dividends to holders of Teradata common stock in the immediate future. The declaration of dividends in the future would be subject to the discretion of Teradata's Board of Directors.

The information under the heading "Equity Compensation Plan Information" in Part III Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is also incorporated by reference in this section.

Table of Contents

The following graph compares the relative performance of Teradata stock, the Standard & Poor's ("S&P") 500 Stock Index and the S&P Information Technology Index. This graph covers the five-year period from December 31, 2010 to December 31, 2015.

Company/Index	As of December 31,					
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Teradata Corporation	\$100	\$118	\$150	\$111	\$106	\$64
S&P 500 Index	\$100	\$102	\$118	\$157	\$178	\$181
S&P Information Technology Index	\$100	\$102	\$118	\$151	\$181	\$192

In each case, assumes a \$100 investment on December 31, 2010, and reinvestment of all dividends, if any.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchases

For the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company executed purchases for approximately 19 million shares of its common stock at an average price per share of \$34.15 under the two share repurchase programs authorized by our Board of Directors in 2008. The first program (the "dilution offset program") authorizes the Company to repurchase Teradata common stock to the extent of cash received from the exercise of stock options and the Teradata Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") to offset dilution from shares issued pursuant to these plans. On February 6, 2012, the board approved a new \$300 million share repurchase authorization to replace a prior \$300 million authorization under the Company's second share repurchase program (the "general share repurchase program"), that was to expire on February 10, 2012. Since February 2012 Teradata's board of directors has approved, in \$300 million increments, additional share repurchase authorizations for a total of \$2.0 billion under the Company's general share repurchase program on December 10, 2012, October 14, 2013, May 5, 2014, December 18, 2014 and May 4, 2015. Additional share repurchases of \$500 million was authorized in August 20, 2015. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had \$573 million of authorization remaining under the general share repurchase program to repurchase outstanding shares of Teradata common stock. Share repurchases made by the Company are reported on a trade date basis.

In addition to the share repurchase programs, Section 16 officers occasionally transfer vested shares of restricted stock to the Company at the current market price to cover their withholding taxes. For the year ended December 31, 2015, the total of these purchases was 39,505 shares at an average price of \$34.75 per share.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information relating to the Company's repurchase of common stock for the year ended December 31, 2015:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Dilution Offset Program	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced General Share Repurchase Program	Maximum Dollar Value that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Dilution Offset Program	Maximum Dollar Value that May Yet Be Purchased Under the General Share Repurchase Program
First quarter total	6,303,494	\$43.34	259,000	6,044,494	\$524,114	\$131,483,901
Second quarter total	656,771	\$38.73	156,771	500,000	\$2,238,246	\$412,109,831
Third quarter total	8,499,389	\$29.39	171,082	8,328,307	\$1,487,691	\$667,198,339
October 2015	50,000	\$28.24	50,000	—	\$1,684,298	\$667,198,339
November 2015	2,740,404	\$28.21	100,000	2,640,404	\$1,141,785	\$592,846,540
December 2015	700,000	\$28.68	—	700,000	\$2,509,749	\$572,769,950
Fourth quarter total	3,490,404	\$28.31	150,000	3,340,404	\$2,509,749	\$572,769,950
2015 Full year total	18,950,058	\$34.15	736,853	18,213,205	\$2,509,749	\$572,769,950

In addition, from January 1, 2016 through February 25, 2016, the Company purchased approximately 2 million shares for approximately \$47 million. As of February 25, 2016 the Company had approximately \$528 million of share repurchase authorization remaining.

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

In millions, except per share and employee amounts	For the Year Ended December 31				
	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2014 ⁽²⁾	2013 ⁽³⁾	2012 ⁽⁴⁾	2011 ⁽⁵⁾
Revenue	\$2,530	\$2,732	\$2,692	\$2,665	\$2,362
(Loss) income from operations	\$(195)	\$503	\$532	\$580	\$456
Other income (expense), net	\$51	\$(9)	\$(24)	\$(2)	\$25
Income tax expense	\$70	\$127	\$131	\$159	\$128
Net (loss) income	\$(214)	\$367	\$377	\$419	\$353
Net (loss) income per common share					
Basic	\$(1.53)	\$2.36	\$2.31	\$2.49	\$2.10
Diluted	\$(1.53)	\$2.33	\$2.27	\$2.44	\$2.05
	At December 31				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Total assets	\$2,530	\$3,132	\$3,096	\$3,066	\$2,616
Debt, including current portion	\$780	\$468	\$274	\$289	\$300
Total stockholders' equity	\$849	\$1,707	\$1,857	\$1,779	\$1,494
Number of employees	11,300	11,500	10,800	10,200	8,600

Table of Contents

(1) Includes \$31 million (\$20 million after-tax) for acquisition-related transaction, integration and reorganization costs and expenses, \$39 million (\$25 million after-tax) for amortization of acquired intangible assets, \$478 million (\$457 million after-tax) for impairment of goodwill and acquired intangibles, offset by \$57 million (\$35 million after-tax) gain on equity investments.

(2) Includes \$22 million (\$14 million after-tax) for acquisition-related transaction, integration and reorganization costs and expenses, \$47 million (\$31 million after-tax) for amortization of acquired intangible assets, and \$8 million (\$6 million after-tax) for expenses related to a net loss on equity investments.

(3) Includes \$17 million (\$11 million after-tax) for acquisition-related transaction, integration and reorganization costs and expenses, \$43 million (\$28 million after-tax) for amortization of acquired intangible assets, \$22 million (\$14 million after-tax) for expenses related to a net loss on equity investments, offset by a \$4 million tax credit due to the 2012 U.S. R&D tax credit not being enacted until 2013.

(4) Includes \$17 million (\$13 million after-tax) for acquisition-related transaction, integration and reorganization costs and expenses, \$36 million (\$23 million after-tax) for amortization of acquired intangible assets, and \$4 million of additional tax expense due to the 2012 U.S. R&D tax credit not being enacted until 2013.

(5) Includes \$25 million (\$20 million after-tax) for acquisition-related transaction, integration and reorganization costs and expenses, \$24 million (\$15 million after-tax) for amortization of acquired intangible assets, offset by a \$28 million (\$22 million after-tax) gain on equity investments.

Item 7. **MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (“MD&A”)**

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Annual Report”). This Annual Report contains certain statements that are forward-looking within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Certain statements contained in the MD&A are forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The forward-looking statements are not historical facts, but rather are based on current expectations, estimates, assumptions and projections about our industry, business and future financial results. Our actual results could differ materially from the results contemplated by these forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those discussed in other sections of this Annual Report. See “Risk Factors” and “Forward-looking Statements.”

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Teradata is a global leader in analytic data solutions and related services, as well as marketing and analytic applications. Our analytic data solutions comprise software, hardware, and related business consulting and support services for analytics across a company’s entire analytical ecosystem. We help customers access and manage data and extract business value and insight from their data. Our applications are designed to leverage data to help customers discover and exploit new insights such as:

- determining and maximizing customer and product profitability,
- more accurately forecasting consumer demand,
- creating more predictable and less costly supply chains, and
- improving organizations’ effectiveness in marketing to their customers.

Our consulting services allow customers to maximize use and obtain great value from their analytics and marketing investments. Our services include a broad range of offerings including consulting to help organizations design, optimize and manage their analytic and big data environments, either on-premises or in the cloud. Our value-added consulting services provide expertise in: data architecture services, cloud ("software as a service", "analytics as a service"), private cloud, managed services, and related installation services. In addition to our consulting services we offer a comprehensive set of support services. We serve customers around the world across a broad set of industries, including communications, ecommerce, financial services, government, gaming, healthcare, insurance, manufacturing, media and entertainment, oil and gas, retail, travel and transportation, and utilities - with offerings ranging from small departmental implementations to many of the world’s largest analytic data platforms and marketing applications. To meet evolving trends in information management, we provide our offerings on-premises or in the cloud (as a service).

2015 FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

As more fully discussed in later sections of this MD&A, the following are the financial highlights for 2015:

Table of Contents

Revenue decreased 7% in 2015 from 2014 to \$2,530 million. The year-over-year revenue comparison was negatively impacted by 5 percentage points from foreign currency fluctuations.

Gross margin was 50.4% in 2015, down from 54.1% in 2014, which was largely due to foreign exchange impact as well as adverse product and deal mix.

Operating loss was \$(195) million in 2015, down from operating income of \$503 million in 2014. The year-over-year decline was largely due to impairments of goodwill and acquired intangibles, as well as by lower revenues, gross margins, and an increase in operating expenses.

Net loss of \$(214) million in 2015 versus \$367 million of net income in 2014. Net loss per common share was \$(1.53) in 2015 compared to net income per common (diluted) share of \$2.33 in 2014. Net loss for 2015 includes a \$457 million after-tax impairment loss for goodwill and acquired intangibles, approximately \$45 million in after-tax impacts of acquisition-related transaction, integration and reorganization expenses, and amortization of acquired intangible assets, compared to \$45 million of such costs and expenses in 2014. Additionally, 2015 net loss includes a \$35 million after-tax gain on sale of equity investments and 2014 includes a \$6 million after tax loss on equity investments.

STRATEGY OVERVIEW

Teradata empowers data-driven companies to achieve competitive advantage and win in their markets by exploiting data for insight and value. With Teradata's industry-leading analytic data solutions, marketing applications and proven consulting experience and expertise, companies can become more competitive by leveraging insights from data to, among other things, increase revenue and profitability, improve marketing effectiveness, drive innovation, enhance customer relationships, improve business processes and reduce costs.

Our strategy is centered on providing the world's best data solutions to drive competitive advantage for our customers, by focusing on these critical areas:

Deliver our solutions on-premises or via the cloud (as a service), offering customers choice in how they deploy a Teradata analytics environment and leverage the power of our solutions. These flexible delivery options are designed to extend our market opportunity.

Expand our analytical ecosystem offerings, including our big data portfolio, that helps organizations architect, integrate data into, and manage their analytic environment. We also focus on enhancing and extending value-added services, including big data consulting, ecosystem architecture consulting and managed services across customers' complex analytical ecosystems.

Building analytic solutions and providing consulting that creates actionable insights and/or automates decisions that deliver high impact return on investment, by packaging replicable analytical use cases, based on learnings from our top customers, many of which are the leaders and innovators in analytics.

Optimize our go-to-market approach to improve effectiveness in demand creation and address new and expanded market opportunities, such as with our cloud offerings.

Continue investing in partnerships to increase the number of solutions available on Teradata platforms, maximize customer value, and increase our market coverage.

We believe that these strategic areas will best position us to be our customers' trusted advisor and partner of choice for architecting, implementing, and managing their analytic solutions.

FUTURE TRENDS

We believe that future demand for our analytic data platforms will increase due to high levels of data growth being driven by new types of data, such as big data and the internet of things, including: machine-generated data, sensor data, data from connected devices, social network data, internet text and search indexing, call detail records, genomics, biological research, medical records, seismic/exploration data, photography and video archives, and large scale e-commerce data.

Analytic environments are becoming more complex to design and manage as there are increasing types of analytic tools and techniques, multiple data management systems both on-premises and in the cloud, varying service level requirements, and the growth and volume of data. This complexity drives the need for an overall architecture to manage such environments. Demand for value-added services is growing as customers seek help with evolving their

Table of Contents

analytic architectures, rapidly deploying their analytic architectural solutions, and increasingly look to purchase analytic capabilities “as a service”.

Overall, analytics are growing in importance as global businesses seek new means to drive business value from the ever-increasing amounts and types of data and as a result, we expect Teradata’s leadership position and investments in strategic areas of focus to position us for future growth.

This growth, however, is not expected to be without its challenges from general economic conditions, competitive pressures, alternative technologies, and other risks and uncertainties. Since mid-2012, we have seen a shift in the market and in customers’ buying patterns, with respect to large capital investments and related services. Currently, we believe that the greatest challenge for future revenue growth relates to pressures on large capital expenditures.

We believe that a number of factors have contributed to the pressures on large capital expenditures and the resulting slowdown in our revenue growth, including: the evolving information technology market, as customers focus investments in their analytical ecosystems which have lower average selling prices than traditional on-premises integrated data warehouse (“IDW”) environments and changing customer behavior as buying decisions are shifting from IT to business users.

Overall, we believe that the IDW will remain a critical part of companies’ analytical ecosystems and Teradata’s technology is highly differentiated with our ability to handle the concurrency and service level agreements of hundreds to thousands of mission-critical users and applications. Further, we believe the Company has the opportunity for continued revenue growth from both the expansion of our existing customers’ analytical ecosystems (through growth in IDW, Teradata private and public cloud, Teradata big data analytics, and our value-added services and solutions) as well as the addition of new customers. Teradata has expanded our offerings as well as our pricing options to make it easier and to provide more flexibility for customers to buy and expand with Teradata including cloud offerings and subscription pricing.

There is risk that pricing and competitive pressures on our solutions could occur in the future as major customers evaluate and rationalize their analytics infrastructure, particularly to the extent that cost becomes a top focus and lower-cost alternatives are more seriously and frequently considered. However, such alternatives generally do not enable companies to perform mission-critical, complex business analytic workloads or provide a Unified Data Architecture to address mission-critical analytics, discovery analytics, and data management such as those enabled by Teradata’s offerings. As the market continues to evolve, we could be challenged to generate revenue growth shorter term as customers purchase in smaller deal sizes, and we potentially shift from upfront perpetual licenses to recurring subscription models for both on-premises and cloud offerings.

As described above, we continue to believe that analytics will remain a high priority for companies and longer term will drive growth for Teradata’s leading solutions. Moreover, we continue to be committed to new product development and achieving a positive yield from our research and development spending and resources, which are intended to drive future demand. In addition, we will continue to optimize our go-to-market structure and rationalize our cost structure as we work to broaden our product and services portfolios and market reach.

As a portion of the Company’s operations and revenue occur outside the United States, and in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, the Company is exposed to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. In 2016, Teradata is expecting approximately two percentage points of adverse impact from currency translation on our reported revenue growth rate and a corresponding currency impact on operating income, based on currency rates as of January 30, 2016.

Table of Contents

BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION

During 2015, the Company announced a plan to realign Teradata's business by reducing its cost structure and focusing on the Company's core data and analytics business. In addition to exiting the majority of our marketing applications business, this plan is intended to lead to a comprehensive transformation of the Company that will place it on a trajectory of meaningful revenue growth, by building on our strengths in high performance data analytics and consulting services, advancing our technology leadership positions, and expanding our market opportunities. This transformation plan addresses the challenges of the analytical ecosystem, cloud, software-only, and open source. It also addresses customers' changing behaviors in how they buy, consume, and deploy analytic solutions. Our broad-reaching transformation is intended to drive change in four key revenue generating areas and create a more diversified, stable, and predictable revenue stream:

On premises data warehouse - We plan to make it easier to buy, expand, and seamlessly upgrade data warehouses - through pricing options, software-only, and Teradata Labs innovation. We believe that we have a solid opportunity 1. for revenue growth in this market by growing the portion of IT budgets our existing customers spend on Teradata solutions, and by penetrating the more than 75% of the Global 5000 that do not use Teradata. We expect that our software-only version of Teradata will also allow us to expand with both new and existing customers.

Cloud - We plan to make our data warehouse available on managed and public cloud, which is intended to expand our data warehouse market opportunity in the Global 5000. We currently expect to deliver our initial software-only 2. version of Teradata on a public cloud during the first part of 2016, and to make our fully scalable version of Teradata available on public clouds in 2017. We are building new services for cloud migration as well as for design and implementation.

Analytical ecosystem - We plan to continue to add to our software and service offerings that focus on the analytical 3. ecosystem such as with Unity, QueryGrid, and Listener. These offerings help connect and manage the ecosystem and Aster, which helps to extract value from the data.

Value-added services and solutions - We plan to provide replicable analytical solutions including packaged service offers, use cases, and intellectual property. We believe we have a strong foundation for building repeatable solutions 4. with our logical data models, business improvement opportunities, and use cases. We intend to expand our investments to systematically capture more of these use cases and intellectual property from engagements around the world and to package them for ease of delivery and implementation.

We expect the mix of our revenues to shift toward cloud, analytical ecosystem, and value added services over time, as these are fast growing markets. We believe this shift also will help increase our recurring revenue.

Another central and critical element of Teradata's transformation plan is modifying our go-to-market approach to integrate with our four revenue generating initiatives. We have already begun taking steps to optimize our go-to-market approach for on-premises data warehouse and analytical ecosystem, and we are also exploring new ways to generate additional demand within the Global 5000.

The Company is also engaged in a thorough review of its costs and is taking significant steps to rationalize its expense structure. This broad-based cost rationalization effort includes reducing Teradata's infrastructure costs as it transitions its focus solely to its data and analytics business, optimizes its go-to-market resources and approach, and prioritizes research and development projects based on revenue generation and profitability.

Although we believe the demand for our marketing applications solutions is likely to increase as marketing continues to be transformed by the increased usage of data and analytics, Teradata has concluded it is in the long-term best interests of the Company and its shareholders to exit the marketing applications business in order to exclusively focus on its data and analytics business.

Table of Contents

RESULTS FROM OPERATIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015, 2014 AND 2013

Revenue

In millions	2015	% of Revenue	2014	% of Revenue	2013	% of Revenue
Product revenue	\$1,057	41.8	% \$1,227	44.9	% \$1,230	45.7
Service revenue	1,473	58.2	% 1,505	55.1	% 1,462	54.3
Total revenue	\$2,530	100	% \$2,732	100	% \$2,692	100

Total revenue decreased 7% in 2015 as compared to 2014. The 7% reported revenue decrease included a 5% adverse impact from foreign currency fluctuations. The revenue decline was due primarily to constrained information technology budgets, extended sales cycles, and a reduction in large customer orders, as well as the impact currency translation had on reported revenue growth. Product revenue decreased 14% in 2015 from 2014 primarily due to a decrease in customers' large capital expenditures and foreign currency fluctuations, which had a 5% adverse impact on product revenue. Service revenue decreased 2% in 2015 from 2014, with an underlying 5% decrease in consulting services revenue and 1% increase in maintenance services revenue compared to 2014. Services revenue declined due to foreign currency fluctuations, which had a 6% adverse impact on services revenue.

In 2014, total revenue increased 1% in 2014 as compared to 2013. The 1% reported revenue increase included a 2% adverse impact from foreign currency fluctuations. The general lack of meaningful revenue growth was due primarily to tightly constrained information technology budgets, extended sales cycles, a reduction in large customer orders, as well as the impact currency translation had on reported revenue growth. Product revenue was flat in 2014 from 2013. Service revenue increased 3% in 2014 from 2013, with an underlying 7% increase in maintenance services revenue, as compared to 2013. Consulting services revenue was flat in 2014 compared to 2013.

Gross Margin

The Company often uses specific terms/definitions to describe variances in gross margin. The terms and definitions most often used are as follows:

• **Revenue Mix** - The proportion of products and services that generates the total revenue of the Company. Changes in revenue mix can have an impact on gross margin even if total revenue remains unchanged.

• **Services Mix** - The proportion of higher-margin maintenance revenue versus lower-margin consulting revenue that generates the total services revenue of the Company.

• **Product Mix** - The proportion of various products that generate the total product revenue of the Company. For example, a higher mix of IDW products versus departmental data mart, Aster, our Extreme Data Appliance or Hadoop products would have a positive impact on product gross margins. This definition also includes the mix of Company sourced and third party products.

• **Deal Mix** - Refers to the type of transactions closed within the period and includes such transactions as capacity on demand ("COD"), floor sweeps versus capacity additions, enterprise license agreements ("ELA"), hardware versus software, and discounting (new customers versus existing customers, large customers versus smaller customers). COD is a common offering used by Teradata and other information technology vendors that allows the customer to purchase extra capacity in the future, which is already delivered and integrated into their existing systems, typically within 12-18 months. COD enables customers to "activate" or add capacity quickly. Product cost is recognized upon delivery with no corresponding revenue. When customers activate the COD, we record and recognize the revenue associated with the added capacity and the gross margin is recovered.

Table of Contents

Floor sweeps take place when an existing customer replaces their older Teradata platform with a new Teradata platform, which can result in a large revenue transaction, but typically also results in a higher mix of lower-margin hardware revenue versus higher-margin software revenue.

ELA transactions allow customers to purchase as much software as needed for current production use for a period of time in exchange for a fixed fee that is typically recognized as revenue upfront or as payments become due if the terms of the payments are extended. Additional capacity during the term results in lower-margin hardware revenue versus higher-margin software revenue.

Gross margin for the following years ended December 31 was as follows:

In millions	2015	% of Revenue	2014	% of Revenue	2013	% of Revenue	
Gross margin							
Product gross margin	\$617	58.4	% \$784	63.9	% \$797	64.8	%
Service gross margin	659	44.7	% 695	46.2	% 676	46.2	%
Total gross margin	\$1,276	50.4	% \$1,479	54.1	% \$1,473	54.7	%

In 2015, product margin declined 5.5 percentage points due to deal mix (including a higher mix of hardware versus software deals as well as pricing programs that are intended to make it easier to buy Teradata), foreign currency, a lower mix of integrated data warehousing products and a reduction in product volume. Service gross margin declined 1.5 percentage points due to investments in our big data consulting capabilities, the marketing applications business prior to the decision to exit this business, and our Teradata cloud capabilities.

In 2014, product gross margin decreased primarily due to increased amortization of previously capitalized software. Additionally, product gross margins were adversely impacted by product mix and were partially offset by improved deal mix.

Operating Expenses

In millions	2015	% of Revenue	2014	% of Revenue	2013	% of Revenue	
Operating expenses							
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$765	30.2	% \$770	28.2	% \$757	28.1	%
Research and development expenses	228	9.0	% 206	7.5	% 184	6.8	%
Impairment of goodwill and acquired intangibles	478	18.9	% —	—	% —	—	%
Total operating expenses	\$1,471	58.1	% \$976	35.7	% \$941	35.0	%

In 2015, selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expense decreased by \$5 million or 1% compared to 2014. The decrease is a result of the change in foreign currency exchange rates, partially offset by increased investments in demand creation resulting from our strategic investment initiatives. Research and development ("R&D") expenses increased \$22 million or 11% in 2015 due to additional investments in big data analytics, cloud and marketing applications, which includes incremental expenses for our recent acquisitions. The increase in R&D expense was also caused by a decrease in capitalized software of \$7 million compared to 2014.

In 2014, SG&A increased by \$13 million or 2% compared to 2013. R&D expenses increased \$22 million in 2014 from 2013 due to expenses related to a voluntary early-retirement program during the first quarter of 2014, incremental headcount for our complementary technology acquisitions, and our internal planned investments on future releases.

During the second quarter of 2015, the Company determined that indicators were present in the marketing applications reporting units which would suggest the fair value of the reporting units may have declined below the carrying value. These indicators were primarily due to lower than forecasted revenue and profitability levels for 2015 and future periods. The lower projected operating results reflected a review of the marketing applications business performed by new leadership announced at the end of the first quarter of 2015. The interim goodwill

Table of Contents

impairment analysis performed in the second quarter of 2015 resulted in a revision of the Company's previous estimates performed in the fourth quarter of 2014 and first quarter of 2015 (in connection with the change in segment reporting), which resulted in a goodwill impairment of \$340 million in the second quarter of 2015.

The annual goodwill impairment analysis, which the Company performed during the fourth quarter of 2015, did not result in an impairment charge for the data and analytics segment. However, because our marketing applications business was held for sale as of December 31, 2015, an additional impairment of \$97 million for goodwill and \$41 million for acquired intangible assets was recorded since the fair value less cost to sell was lower than the carrying amount. The fair value of this business was based on a review of the term sheets and indications of interest that were received from third parties in addition to ongoing negotiations with potential buyers. At the time the Company announced its earnings in early February 2016, our preliminary analysis indicated an impairment of \$34 million for goodwill and no impairment for acquired intangible assets based on the fair value of our marketing applications business. This analysis was subsequently updated to reflect the current fair value as of the date of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Other Income (Expense), net

In millions	2015	2014	2013
Gain (loss) on Securities	\$57	\$(9)	\$(22)
Interest income	5	5	4
Interest expense	(9)	(3)	(4)
Other	(2)	(2)	(2)
Total Other Income (Expense), net	\$51	\$(9)	\$(24)

In 2015, other income primarily included a gain of \$57 million from sale of equity investments. This was partially offset by an increase in interest expense due to an increase in debt. In 2014, other expense primarily included a loss of \$9 million on an equity investment arising from an impairment of carrying value. In 2013, other expense included a loss of \$25 million on an equity investment arising from an impairment of carrying value, partially offset by a \$3 million gain on sale.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate for the following years ended December 31 was as follows:

	2015	2014	2013
Effective Tax Rate	(48.6 %)	25.7 %	25.8 %

The 2015 effective tax rate was impacted by the \$437 million of goodwill impairment charges recorded for 2015, of which \$414 million was treated as a permanent non-deductible tax item. This resulted in full-year income tax expense in 2015 of \$70 million, on a pre-tax net loss of \$(144) million, causing a negative tax rate of (48.6%). There were no material discrete tax items impacting the effective tax rate for full year 2014. The tax rate for 2013 included a \$4 million discrete tax benefit for the 2012 U.S. Federal Research and Development Tax Credit (the "R&D tax credit") that was recognized in January of 2013 when the tax credit was retroactively reinstated.

We currently estimate our full-year effective tax rate for 2016 to be approximately 26%. This estimate takes into consideration, among other items, the forecasted earnings mix by tax jurisdiction for 2016. This effective tax rate estimate excludes the tax impact from the pending disposal of our marketing applications business, a portion of which will be taxable in the United States and Germany, causing a discrete tax impact for GAAP reporting purposes in the quarter of disposition.

Revenue and Gross Margin by Operating Segment

Effective January 1, 2015, Teradata implemented an organizational change in which Teradata currently manages its business in two divisions, which are also the Company's operating segments: (1) data and analytics, and (2)

Table of Contents

marketing applications. This change was meant to enable each division to be more sharply focused in rapidly addressing the dynamics of each market, and in bringing the best solutions to our customers. For purposes of discussing results by segment, management excludes the impact of certain items, consistent with the manner by which management evaluates the performance of each segment. This format is useful to investors because it allows analysis and comparability of operating trends. It also includes the same information that is used by Teradata management to make decisions regarding the segments and to assess financial performance. The chief operating decision maker evaluates the performance of the segments based on revenue and multiple profit measures, including segment gross margin. For management reporting purposes assets are not allocated to the segments. Our segment results are reconciled to total Company results reported under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("GAAP") in Note 11 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Prior period segment information has been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

The following table presents revenue and operating performance by segment for the years ended December 31:

In millions	2015	% of Revenue	2014	% of Revenue	2013	% of Revenue	
Segment revenue							
Data and Analytics	\$2,337	92.4	% \$2,523	92.3	% \$2,478	92.1	%
Marketing Applications	193	7.6	% 209	7.7	% 214	7.9	%
Total revenue	\$2,530	100	% \$2,732	100	% \$2,692	100	%
Segment gross margin							
Data and Analytics	\$1,237	52.9	% \$1,422	56.4	% \$1,399	56.5	%
Marketing Applications	79	40.9	% 94	45.0	% 109	50.9	%
Total segment gross margin	\$1,316	52.0	% \$1,516	55.5	% \$1,508	56.0	%

Data and Analytics: Revenue decreased \$186 million or 7%, in 2015 from 2014, with an underlying 4% decrease in the Americas region coupled with a 12% decrease in the International region. The revenue decrease included a 5% adverse impact from foreign currency fluctuations. The Americas revenue was down primarily driven by a decrease in customer large capital expenditure transactions. International revenue was down primarily due to foreign currency fluctuations, which impacted revenue by 11%. Gross margins were down in 2015 compared to 2014 due to the high mix of hardware versus software deals and the impact of foreign currency exchange rates.

In 2014, revenue increased \$45 million or 2% from 2013, with an underlying 1% decrease in the Americas region offset by a 6% increase in the International region. The overall revenue decrease included a 1% adverse impact from foreign currency fluctuations.

Marketing Applications: Revenue decreased \$16 million or 8% in 2015 from 2014. The revenue decrease included a 7% adverse impact from foreign currency fluctuations. The overall gross margin decrease in 2015 from 2014 is primarily driven by investments in the cloud business as well as lower professional services margins, which were impacted by investments to help better position the Company to go broader in the market and drive long-term growth in this business.

In 2014, revenue decreased \$5 million or 2% from 2013. There was no impact due to foreign currency fluctuations. The decrease in gross margin in 2014 from 2013 was primarily due to investments to drive long-term growth in this business.

Changes in segment reporting. Beginning January 2016, the Company will change its operating segments and report future results under three separate segments: (a) Americas Data and Analytics (b) International Data and Analytics, and (c) Marketing Applications until such time as the portion of the marketing applications business to be divested is sold. The remainder of the marketing applications business that will be retained will be reported under the data and analytics operating segments.

Table of Contents

FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Teradata ended 2015 with \$839 million in cash and cash equivalents, a \$5 million increase from the December 31, 2014 balance, after using approximately \$657 million for repurchases of Company common stock, and approximately \$17 million for acquisitions and investment activities which were completed during the year. Cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$279 million to \$401 million in 2015. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to lower net income and due to a higher level of collections of accounts receivables in the fourth quarter of 2014 as compared to 2015.

Teradata's management uses a non-GAAP measure called "free cash flow," which is not a measure defined under GAAP. We define free cash flow as net cash provided by operating activities less capital expenditures for property and equipment, and additions to capitalized software, as one measure of assessing the financial performance of the Company, and this may differ from the definition used by other companies. The components that are used to calculate free cash flow are GAAP measures taken directly from the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. We believe that free cash flow information is useful for investors because it relates the operating cash flow of the Company to the capital that is spent to continue and improve business operations. In particular, free cash flow indicates the amount of cash available after capital expenditures for, among other things, investments in the Company's existing businesses, strategic acquisitions and repurchase of Teradata common stock. Free cash flow does not represent the residual cash flow available for discretionary expenditures since there may be other non-discretionary expenditures that are not deducted from the measure. This non-GAAP measure should not be considered a substitute for, or superior to, cash flows from operating activities under GAAP.

The table below shows net cash provided by operating activities and capital expenditures for the following periods:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$401	\$680	\$510
Less:			
Expenditures for property and equipment	(52)	(54)	(60)
Additions to capitalized software	(68)	(75)	(78)
Free cash flow	\$281	\$551	\$372

Financing activities and certain other investing activities are not included in our calculation of free cash flow. In 2015 and 2014, these other investing activities primarily consisted of immaterial complementary business acquisitions and equity investment activities that were closed during these years.

Teradata's financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2015 primarily consisted of cash outflows of \$657 million for share repurchases, proceeds from Credit Facility (as defined below) borrowings of \$180 million, repayments of credit facility borrowings of \$220 million, and proceeds from a new term loan of \$600 million and repayment of existing term loan of \$247 million, as discussed below. Teradata's financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 primarily consisted of cash outflows for share repurchases, with proceeds from a prior credit facility of \$220 million in 2014. The Company purchased 19 million shares of its common stock at an average price per share of \$34.15 in 2015, 13 million shares at an average price per share of \$43.09 in 2014, and 7.8 million shares at an average price per share of \$48.53 in 2013.

Share repurchases were made under two share repurchase programs initially authorized by our Board of Directors in 2008. The first program (the "dilution offset program") authorizes the Company to repurchase Teradata common stock to the extent of cash received from the exercise of stock options and the Teradata Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") to offset dilution from shares issued pursuant to these plans. On February 6, 2012, the board approved a new \$300 million share repurchase authorization to replace a prior \$300 million authorization (the "general share repurchase program"), that was to expire on February 10, 2012. Since February 2012, Teradata's Board of Directors has approved, in \$300 million increments, additional share repurchase authorizations for a total of \$2.0 billion under the Company's general share repurchase program on December 10, 2012, October 14, 2013, May 5, 2014, December 18, 2014 and May 4, 2015. Additional share repurchase of \$500 million was authorized in August 20, 2015. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had \$573 million of authorization remaining under the

Table of Contents

general share repurchase program to repurchase outstanding shares of Teradata common stock. Share repurchases made by the Company are reported on a trade date basis. Our share repurchase activity depends on factors such as our working capital needs, our cash requirements for capital investments, our stock price, and economic and market conditions, as well as merger and acquisition opportunities. Proceeds from the ESPP and the exercise of stock options were \$24 million in 2015, \$29 million in 2014, and \$28 million in 2013. These proceeds are included in other financing activities, net in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Our total cash and cash equivalents held outside the United States in various foreign subsidiaries was \$819 million as of December 31, 2015 and \$785 million as of December 31, 2014. The remaining balance held in the United States was \$20 million as of December 31, 2015 and \$49 million as of December 31, 2014. Under current tax laws and regulations, if cash and cash equivalents held outside the United States are distributed to the United States in the form of dividends or otherwise, we would be subject to additional U.S. income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits) and potential foreign withholding taxes. As of December 31, 2015, we have not provided for the U.S. federal tax liability on approximately \$1.2 billion of foreign earnings that are considered permanently reinvested outside of the United States.

On March 25, 2015, Teradata replaced its existing five-year, \$300 million revolving credit facility with a new \$400 million revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility ends on March 25, 2020 at which point any remaining outstanding borrowings would be due for repayment unless extended by agreement of the parties for up to two additional one-year periods. The interest rate charged on borrowings pursuant to the Credit Facility can vary depending on the interest rate option the Company chooses to utilize and the Company's leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing. In 2015, Teradata chose a floating rate based on the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). The Credit Facility is unsecured and contains certain representations and warranties, conditions, affirmative, negative and financial covenants, and events of default customary for such facilities. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had \$180 million of outstanding borrowings on the Credit Facility at an interest rate of 1.623%, leaving \$220 million in additional borrowing capacity available. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of December 31, 2015.

Also on March 25, 2015, Teradata closed on a new senior unsecured \$600 million five-year term loan, the proceeds of which were used to pay off the remaining \$247 million of principal on its existing term loan, pay off the \$220 million outstanding balance on the prior credit facility, and fund share repurchases. The \$600 million term loan is payable in quarterly installments, which will commence on March 31, 2016, with all remaining principal due in March 2020. The outstanding principal amount under the term loan agreement bears interest at a floating rate based upon a negotiated base rate or a Eurodollar rate plus in each case a margin based on the leverage ratio of the Company. As of December 31, 2015, the term loan principal outstanding was \$600 million and carried an interest rate of 1.8125%. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of December 31, 2015.

Management believes current cash, cash flows from operations and the \$220 million available under the Credit Facility will be sufficient to satisfy future working capital, research and development activities, capital expenditures, pension contributions, severance benefits and other financing requirements for at least the next twelve months. The Company principally holds its cash and cash equivalents in bank deposits and highly-rated money market funds. The Company's ability to generate positive cash flows from operations is dependent on general economic conditions, competitive pressures, and other business and risk factors described elsewhere in this Annual Report. If the Company is unable to generate sufficient cash flows from operations, or otherwise to comply with the terms of the credit facility and term loan agreement, the Company may be required to seek additional financing alternatives.

Table of Contents

Contractual and Other Commercial Commitments. In the normal course of business, we enter into various contractual obligations that impact, or could impact, our liquidity. The following table and discussion outlines our material obligations at December 31, 2015, with projected cash payments in the periods shown:

In millions	Total Amounts	2016	2017- 2018	2019- 2020	2021 and Thereafter
Principal payments on long-term debt	\$600	\$30	\$90	\$480	\$—
Interest payments on long-term debt	41	11	20	10	—
Principal payments on short-term debt	180	180	—	—	—
Interest payments on short-term debt	3	3	—	—	—
Lease obligations	81	24	35	12	10
Purchase obligations	18	8	10	—	—
Total debt, lease and purchase obligations	\$923	\$256	\$155	\$502	\$10

Our principal payments on short-term and long-term debt represent the expected cash payments on our \$600 million term loan and our \$400 million credit facility and do not include any fair value adjustments or discounts and premiums. Our interest payments on long-term debt represent the estimated cash interest payments based on the prevailing interest rate on our \$600 million term loan as of December 31, 2015. Our principal payments on short-term debt represent the expected cash payment on our \$400 million Credit Facility, of which \$180 million is currently outstanding and \$220 million is available. Our lease obligations in the above table include Company facilities in various domestic and international locations. Purchase obligations are committed purchase orders and other contractual commitments for goods and services, and include non-cancelable contractual payments for fixed or minimum amounts to be purchased in relation to service agreements with various vendors for ongoing telecommunications, information technology, hosting and other services.

Additionally, the Company has \$20 million in total uncertain tax positions recorded as non-current liabilities and \$3 million recorded as current liabilities on its balance sheet as of December 31, 2015. These items are not included in the table of obligations shown above. The settlement period for the non-current income tax liabilities cannot be reasonably estimated as the timing and the amount of the payments, if any, will depend on possible future tax examinations with the various tax authorities; however, it is not expected that any payments will be due within the next 12 months.

We also have product warranties and guarantees to third parties, as well as postemployment and international pension obligations that may affect future cash flow. These items are not included in the table of obligations shown above. Product warranties and third-party guarantees are described in detail in “Note 8—Commitments and Contingencies” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Postemployment and pension obligations are described in detail in “Note 6—Employee Benefit Plans” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. We do not participate in transactions that generate relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or for other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. In connection with the preparation of these financial statements, we are required to make assumptions, estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and the related disclosure of contingent liabilities. These assumptions, estimates and judgments are based on historical experience and assumptions that are believed to be reasonable at the time. However, because future events and their effects cannot be determined with certainty, the determination of estimates requires the exercise of judgment. Our critical accounting policies are those that require assumptions to be made about matters that are highly uncertain. Different estimates could have a material impact on our financial results. Judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of these policies and estimates may result in materially different amounts being reported under different conditions or circumstances. Our management

Table of Contents

periodically reviews these estimates and assumptions to ensure that our financial statements are presented fairly and are materially correct.

In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by GAAP and does not require significant management judgment in its application. There are also areas in which management's judgment in selecting among available alternatives would not produce a materially different result. The significant accounting policies and estimates that we believe are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results are discussed in the paragraphs below. Teradata's senior management has reviewed these critical accounting policies and related disclosures with the Audit Committee of Teradata's Board of Directors. For additional information regarding our accounting policies and other disclosures required by GAAP, see "Note 1—Description of Business, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue recognition for complex contractual arrangements requires judgment, including a review of specific contracts, past experience, creditworthiness of customers, international laws and other factors. Specifically, complex arrangements with nonstandard terms and conditions may require significant contract interpretation to determine the appropriate accounting. We must also apply judgment in determining all deliverables of the arrangement, and in determining the relative selling price of each deliverable, considering the price charged for each product when sold on a standalone basis, and applicable renewal rates for services. Changes in judgments about these factors could impact the timing and amount of revenue recognized between periods.

The Company reviews the relative selling price on a periodic basis and updates it, when appropriate, to ensure that the practices employed reflect the Company's recent pricing experience. The Company maintains internal controls over the establishment and updates of these estimates, which includes review and approval by the Company's management. For the year ended December 31, 2015 there was no material impact to revenue resulting from changes in the relative selling price, nor does the Company expect a material impact from such changes in the near term.

Capitalized Software

Costs incurred internally in researching and developing a computer software product is charged to expense until technological feasibility has been established. Technological feasibility is established when planning, designing and initial coding activities that are necessary to establish that the product can be produced to meet its design specifications are complete. In the absence of a detailed program design, a working model is used to establish technological feasibility. Once technological feasibility is established, all development costs are capitalized until the product is available for general release to customers. Judgment is required in determining when technological feasibility of a product is established. The timing of when various research and development projects become technologically feasible or ready for release can cause fluctuation in the amount of research and development costs that are expensed or capitalized in any given period, thus impacting our reported profitability for that period.

Income Taxes

In accounting for income taxes, we recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities. The deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the enacted tax rates expected to apply in the periods in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be settled or realized.

The Company's intention is to permanently reinvest its foreign earnings outside of the United States. As a result, the effective tax rates are largely based upon the pre-tax earnings mix and allocation of certain expenses in various taxing jurisdictions where the Company conducts its business. These jurisdictions apply a broad range of statutory income tax rates; the U.S. statutory corporate income tax rate is currently 35% as compared to the overall statutory effective tax rate of our various foreign jurisdictions of approximately 13%. As of December 31, 2015, the Company has not provided for federal income taxes on earnings of approximately \$1.2 billion from its foreign subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

We account for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing thresholds and attributes for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. We may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. We record any interest and/or penalties related to uncertain tax positions in the income tax expense line on our Consolidated Statements of Income. As of December 31, 2015, the Company has a total of \$38 million of unrecognized tax benefits, of which \$20 million is included in the other liabilities section of the Company's consolidated balance sheet as a non-current liability and \$3 million is recorded in current income taxes payable as the Company expects to settle this uncertain tax position within the next twelve months. The remaining balance of \$15 million of uncertain tax positions relates to certain tax attributes both generated by the Company and acquired in various acquisitions, which are netted against the underlying deferred tax assets recorded on the balance sheet.

We regularly review our deferred tax assets for recoverability and establish a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized. We recorded \$25 million in 2015 and \$20 million in 2014 for valuation allowances. Due to a change in tax law enacted in the state of California in the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company established a valuation allowance to partially offset its California Research & Development tax credit carryforward deferred tax asset, as the Company expects to continue to generate excess California Research & Development tax credits into the foreseeable future.

Stock-based Compensation

We measure compensation cost for stock awards at fair value and recognize compensation expense over the service period for which awards are expected to vest. We utilize pricing models, including the Black-Scholes option pricing model and Monte Carlo simulation model, to estimate the fair value of stock-based compensation at the date of grant. These valuation models require the input of subjective assumptions, including expected volatility and expected term. Further, we estimate forfeitures for options granted which are not expected to vest. The estimation of stock awards that will ultimately vest requires judgment, and to the extent that actual results or updated estimates differ from our current estimates, such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period in which estimates are revised. We consider many factors when estimating expected forfeitures including types of awards and historical experience. Actual results and future changes in estimates may differ substantially from our current estimates. In addition, we issue performance-based awards that vest only if specific performance conditions are satisfied. The number of shares that will be earned can vary based on actual performance. No shares will vest if the threshold objectives are not met. In the event the objectives are exceeded, additional shares will vest up to a maximum payout. The cost of these awards is expensed over the performance period based upon management's estimate and analysis of the probability of meeting the performance criteria. Because the actual number of shares to be awarded is not known until the end of the performance period, the actual compensation expense related to these awards could differ from our current expectations.

Goodwill and Acquired Intangible Assets

The company reviews goodwill for impairment annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable. The guidance on goodwill impairment requires the company to perform a two-step impairment test. In the first step, the company compares the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value. The company typically determines the fair value of its reporting units using a weighting of fair values derived from the income and market approaches. Under the income approach, the company calculates the fair value of a reporting unit based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. The market approach estimates fair value based on market multiples of revenue and earnings derived from comparable companies with similar operating and investment characteristics as the reporting unit. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to that unit, goodwill is not impaired. If the carrying value of the net assets assigned to the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, then the second step of the impairment test is performed in order to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. The implied fair value of the goodwill in step two analysis is determined by the acquisition method of accounting for business combinations

Table of Contents

which requires the company to estimate the fair value of assets and liabilities and to allocate the fair value between net assets and goodwill. If the carrying value of a reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then the company records an impairment loss equal to the difference. Teradata reviewed four reporting units in its 2015 goodwill impairment assessment, as each operating segment consisted of separate reporting units for the Americas and International regions. See "Note 3—Goodwill and Acquired Intangible Assets" for additional information.

The acquisition method of accounting for business combinations requires the Company to estimate the fair value of assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree to properly allocate any excess purchase price consideration between net assets and goodwill. Impairment testing for assets, other than goodwill, requires the allocation of cash flows to those assets or group of assets and if required, an estimate of fair value for the assets or group of assets.

Determining the fair value of goodwill and acquired intangibles is judgmental in nature and involves the use of significant estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions include revenue growth rates and operating margins used to calculate projected future cash flows, discount rates and future economic and market conditions. The company's estimates are based upon assumptions believed to be reasonable, but which are inherently uncertain and unpredictable. These valuations require the use of management's assumptions, which may not reflect unanticipated events and circumstances that may occur.

Pension and Postemployment Benefits

We measure pension and postemployment benefit costs and credits using actuarial valuations. Actuarial assumptions attempt to anticipate future events and are used in calculating the expense and liability relating to these plans. These factors include assumptions we make about interest rates, expected investment return on plan assets, total and involuntary turnover rates, and rates of future compensation increases. In addition, our actuarial consultants also use subjective factors such as withdrawal rates and mortality rates to develop our valuations. We review and update these assumptions on an annual basis at the beginning of each fiscal year. We are required to consider current market conditions, including changes in interest rates, in making these assumptions. The actuarial assumptions that we use may differ materially from actual results due to changing market and economic conditions, higher or lower withdrawal rates, or longer or shorter life spans of participants. These differences may result in a significant impact to the measurement of our pension and postemployment benefit obligations, and to the amount of pension and postemployment benefits expense we have recorded or may record. For example, as of December 31, 2015, a one-half percent increase/decrease in the discount rate would change the projected benefit obligation of our pension plans by approximately \$7 million, and a one-half percent increase/decrease in our involuntary turnover assumption would change our postemployment benefit obligation by approximately \$12 million.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

A discussion of recently issued accounting pronouncements is described in "Note 1—Description of Business, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this Annual Report, and we incorporate such discussion by reference.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company employs a foreign currency hedging strategy to limit potential losses in earnings or cash flows from adverse foreign currency exchange rate movements. Foreign currency exposures arise from transactions denominated in a currency other than the Company's functional currency and from foreign denominated revenue and profit translated into U.S. dollars. The primary currencies to which the Company is exposed include the euro, the British pound, the Japanese yen, the Australian dollar, the Canadian dollar and other Asian and South American currencies. Exposures are hedged with foreign currency forward contracts with maturity dates of twelve months or less. The potential loss in fair value at December 31, 2015, for such contracts resulting from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in all foreign currency exchange rates is approximately \$2 million. This loss would be mitigated by corresponding gains on the underlying exposures. For additional information regarding the Company's foreign

Table of Contents

currency hedging strategy, see “Note 7— Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities” in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements elsewhere in this Annual Report.

44

Table of Contents

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Teradata Corporation:

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of (loss) income, comprehensive (loss) income, stockholders' equity and cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Teradata Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedule, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedule, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it classifies deferred tax assets and liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet in 2015.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Table of Contents

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Atlanta, GA
February 26, 2016

46

Table of Contents

TERADATA CORPORATION
 Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income
 In millions, except per share amounts

	For the Year Ended December 31			
	2015	2014	2013	
Revenue				
Product revenue	\$1,057	\$1,227	\$1,230	
Service revenue	1,473	1,505	1,462	
Total revenue	2,530	2,732	2,692	
Costs and operating expenses				
Cost of products	440	443	433	
Cost of services	814	810	786	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	765	770	757	
Research and development expenses	228	206	184	
Impairment of goodwill and acquired intangibles	478	—	—	
Total costs and operating expenses	2,725	2,229	2,160	
(Loss) income from operations	(195) 503	532	
Other income (expense), net				
Interest expense	(9) (3) (4)
Other income (expense), net	60	(6) (20)
Total other income (expense), net	51	(9) (24)
(Loss) income before income taxes	(144) 494	508	
Income tax expense	70	127	131	
Net (loss) income	\$(214) \$367	\$377	
Net (loss) income per common share				
Basic	\$(1.53) \$2.36	\$2.31	
Diluted	\$(1.53) \$2.33	\$2.27	
Weighted average common shares outstanding				
Basic	139.6	155.3	163.4	
Diluted	139.6	157.8	166.4	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

TERADATA CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income

In millions

	For the Year Ended December 31		
	2015	2014	2013
Net (loss) income	\$(214) \$367	\$377
Other comprehensive (loss) income:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(36) (47) 2
Securities:			
Reclassification of gain to net income	(26) —	—
Unrealized (loss) gain on securities, before tax	(7) 50	—
Tax impact on securities	2	(19) —
Net change in securities	(31) 31	—
Defined benefit plans:			
Reclassification of loss to net income	3	1	2
Defined benefit plan adjustment, before tax	(9) (29) —
Defined benefit plan adjustment, tax portion	1	7	—
Defined benefit plan adjustment, net of tax	(5) (21) 2
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(72) (37) 4
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$(286) \$330	\$381

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

TERADATA CORPORATION

Consolidated Balance Sheets

In millions, except per share amounts

	At December 31		
	2015	2014	
Assets			
Current Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$839	\$834	
Accounts receivable, net	580	619	
Inventories	49	38	
Assets held for sale	214	—	
Other current assets	52	81	
Total current assets	1,734	1,572	
Property and equipment, net	143	159	
Capitalized software, net	190	199	
Goodwill	380	948	
Acquired intangible assets, net	22	136	
Deferred income taxes	41	20	
Other assets	20	98	
Total assets	\$2,530	\$3,132	
Liabilities and stockholders' equity			
Current liabilities			
Current portion of long-term debt	\$30	\$53	
Short-term borrowings	180	220	
Accounts payable	96	126	
Payroll and benefits liabilities	120	125	
Deferred revenue	367	370	
Liabilities held for sale	58	—	
Other current liabilities	102	101	
Total current liabilities	953	995	
Long-term debt	570	195	
Pension and other postemployment plan liabilities	89	99	
Long-term deferred revenue	15	18	
Deferred tax liabilities	28	86	
Other liabilities	26	32	
Total liabilities	1,681	1,425	
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)			
Stockholders' equity			
Preferred stock: par value \$0.01 per share, 100.0 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively	—	—	
Common stock: par value \$0.01 per share, 500.0 shares authorized, 130.7 and 147.9 shares issued at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively	1	1	
Paid-in capital	1,128	1,054	
(Accumulated deficit) retained earnings	(204) 656	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(76) (4)
Total stockholders' equity	849	1,707	
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$2,530	\$3,132	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.			

Table of Contents

TERADATA CORPORATION
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
In millions

	For the Year Ended December 31		
	2015	2014	2013
Operating activities			
Net (loss) income	\$(214) \$367	\$377
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	170	169	147
Stock-based compensation expense	56	50	49
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	(2) (2) (7
Deferred income taxes	(39) (2) 18
(Gain) loss on investments	(57) 9	25
Impairment of goodwill and acquired intangibles	478	—	—
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:			
Receivables	1	101	(46
Inventories	(11) 18	(9
Current payables and accrued expenses	(8) (23) (63
Deferred revenue	24	(28) 9
Other assets and liabilities	3	21	10
Net cash provided by operating activities	401	680	510
Investing activities			
Expenditures for property and equipment	(52) (54) (60
Additions to capitalized software	(68) (75) (78
Proceeds from disposition of investments	85	—	—
Business acquisitions and other investing activities, net	(17) (69) (36
Net cash used in investing activities	(52) (198) (174
Financing activities			
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	600	—	—
Repayments of long-term borrowings	(247) (26) (15
Proceeds from credit facility borrowings	180	220	—
Repayments of credit-facility borrowings	(220) —	—
Repurchases of common stock	(657) (551) (382
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	2	2	7
Other financing activities, net	18	29	28
Net cash used in financing activities	(324) (326) (362
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(20) (17) (8
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	5	139	(34
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	834	695	729
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$839	\$834	\$695
Supplemental data			
Cash paid during the year for:			
Income taxes	\$98	\$133	\$124
Interest	\$8	\$3	\$4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

TERADATA CORPORATION

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

In millions

	Common Stock		Treasury Stock		Paid-in	Retained	Accumulated	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Capital	Earnings	Other	
						(Accumulated	Comprehensive	
						Deficit)	Income (Loss)	Total
December 31, 2012	190	\$2	(24)	\$(806)	\$898	\$1,656	\$29	\$1,779
Net income						377		377
Employee stock compensation,								
employee stock purchase 1 programs and option exercises					68			68
Income tax benefit from stock compensation plans					7			7
Purchases of treasury stock, not retired			(8)	(378)				(378)
Pension and postemployment benefit plans, net of tax							2	2
Currency translation adjustment							2	2
December 31, 2013	191	\$2	(32)	\$(1,184)	\$973	\$2,033	\$33	\$1,857
Net income						367		367
Employee stock compensation,								
employee stock purchase 2 programs and option exercises		(1)			78			77
Income tax benefit from stock compensation plans					3			3
Retirement of common stock previously held as treasury	(32)		32	1,184		(1,184)		—
Repurchases of Company common stock, retired	(13)					(560)		(560)
Pension and postemployment benefit plans, net of tax							(21)	(21)
Unrealized gain on securities							31	31
Currency translation adjustment							(47)	(47)
December 31, 2014	148	\$1	—	\$—	\$1,054	\$656	\$(4)	\$1,707
Net loss						(214)		(214)

Employee stock compensation,											
employee stock purchase 2 programs and option exercises				78					78		
Income tax expense for stock compensation plans				(4)				(4)	
Repurchases of Company common stock, retired	(19)				(646)			(646)
Pension and postemployment benefit plans, net of tax						(5)	(5)		
Securities, net of tax						(31)	(31)		
Currency translation adjustment						(36)	(36)		
December 31, 2015	131	\$1	—	\$—	\$1,128	\$(204)	\$(76)	\$849	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 Description of Business, Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Description of the Business. Teradata Corporation (“Teradata” or the “Company”) is a global leader in analytic data platforms, marketing and analytic applications, and related services. The Company’s analytic data platforms are comprised of software, hardware, and related business consulting and support services for data warehousing and big data analytics.

Basis of Presentation. The financial statements are presented on a consolidated basis and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”).

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the period reported. On an ongoing basis, management evaluates these estimates and judgments, including those related to allowances for doubtful accounts, the valuation of inventory to net realizable value, impairments of goodwill and other intangibles, stock-based compensation, pension and other postemployment benefits, and income taxes and any changes will be accounted for on a prospective basis. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue Recognition. Teradata’s solution offerings typically include software, software subscriptions (unspecified when-and-if-available upgrades), hardware, maintenance support services, and other consulting, implementation and installation-related (“consulting”) services. Teradata records revenue when it is realized, or realizable, and earned.

Teradata considers these requirements met when:

• Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists

• The products or services have been delivered to the customer

• The sales price is fixed or determinable and free of contingencies or significant uncertainties

• Collectibility is reasonably assured

Teradata reports revenue net of any taxes assessed by governmental authorities that are imposed on and concurrent with specific revenue-producing transactions. The Company assesses whether fees are fixed or determinable at the time of sale. Standard payment terms may vary based on the country in which the agreement is executed, but are generally between 30 days and 90 days. Payments that are due within six months are generally deemed to be fixed or determinable based on a successful collection history on such arrangements, and thereby satisfy the required criteria for revenue recognition. Teradata delivers its solutions primarily through direct sales channels, as well as through alliances with system integrators, other independent software vendors and distributors, and value-added resellers (collectively referred to as “resellers”). In assessing whether the sales price to a reseller is fixed or determinable, the Company considers, among other things, past business practices with the reseller, the reseller’s operating history, payment terms, return rights and the financial wherewithal of the reseller. When Teradata determines that the contract fee to a reseller is not fixed or determinable, that transaction is deferred and recognized upon sell-through to the end customer.

The Company’s deliverables often involve delivery or performance at different periods of time. Revenue for software is generally recognized upon delivery with the hardware once title and risk of loss have been transferred. Revenue for software subscriptions, which provide for unspecified upgrades or enhancements on a when-and-if-available basis, is recognized straight-line over the term of the subscription arrangement. Revenue for maintenance support services is also recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the contract. Revenue for other consulting, implementation and installation services is recognized as services are provided. In certain instances, acceptance of the product or service is specified by the customer. In such cases, revenue is deferred until the acceptance criteria have been met. Delivery and acceptance generally occur in the same reporting period. The Company’s arrangements generally do not include any customer negotiated provisions for cancellation, termination or refunds that would significantly impact recognized revenue.

Table of Contents

The Company evaluates all deliverables in an arrangement to determine whether they represent separate units of accounting. A deliverable constitutes a separate unit of accounting when it has standalone value, and if the contract includes a general right of return relative to the delivered item, delivery or performance of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of Teradata. Most of the Company's products and services qualify as separate units of accounting and are recognized upon meeting the criteria as described above.

For multiple deliverable arrangements that contain non-software related deliverables, the Company allocates revenue to each deliverable based upon the relative selling price hierarchy and if software and software-related deliverables are also included in the arrangement, to those deliverables as a group based on the best estimate of selling price ("BESP") for the group. The selling price for a deliverable is based on its vendor-specific objective evidence of selling price ("VSOE") if available, third-party evidence of selling price ("TPE") if VSOE is not available, or BESP if neither VSOE nor TPE is available. The Company then recognizes revenue when the remaining revenue recognition criteria are met for each deliverable. For the software group or arrangements that contain only software and software-related deliverables, the revenue is allocated utilizing the residual or fair value method. Under the residual method, the VSOE of the undelivered elements is deferred and accounted for under the applicable revenue recognition guidance, and the remaining portion of the software arrangement fee is allocated to the delivered elements and is recognized as revenue. The fair value method is similar to the relative selling price method used for non-software deliverables except that the allocation of each deliverable is based on VSOE. For software groups or arrangements that contain only software and software-related deliverables in which VSOE does not exist for each deliverable (fair value method) or does not exist for each undelivered element (residual method), revenue for the entire software arrangement or group is deferred and not recognized until delivery of all elements without VSOE has occurred, unless the only undelivered element is postcontract customer support ("PCS") in which case the entire software arrangement or group is recognized ratably over the PCS period.

Teradata's analytic database software and hardware products are sold and delivered together in the form of a "Node" of capacity as an integrated technology solution. Because both the analytic database software and hardware platform are necessary to deliver the analytic data platform's essential functionality, the database software and hardware (Node) are excluded from the software rules and considered a non-software related deliverable. Teradata software applications and related support are considered software-related deliverables. Additionally, the amount of revenue allocated to the delivered items utilizing the relative selling price or fair value method is limited to the amount that is not contingent upon the delivery of additional items or meeting other specified performance conditions (the non-contingent amount). VSOE is based upon the normal pricing and discounting practices for those products and services when sold separately. Teradata uses the stated renewal rate approach in establishing VSOE for maintenance and subscriptions (collectively referred to as PCS). Under this approach, the Company assesses whether the contractually stated renewal rates are substantive and consistent with the Company's normal pricing practices. Renewal rates greater than the lower level of our targeted pricing ranges are considered to be substantive and, therefore, meet the requirements to support VSOE. In instances where there is not a substantive renewal rate in the arrangement, the Company allocates revenue based upon BESP, using the minimum established pricing targets as supported by the renewal rates for similar customers utilizing the bell-curve method. Teradata also offers consulting and installation-related services to its customers, which are considered non-software deliverables if they relate to the nodes. These services are rarely considered essential to the functionality of the data warehouse solution deliverable and there is never software customization of the proprietary database software. VSOE for consulting services is based on the hourly rates for standalone consulting services projects by geographic region and are indicative of the Company's customary pricing practices. Pricing in each market is structured to obtain a reasonable margin based on input costs.

In nearly all multiple-deliverable arrangements, the Company is unable to establish VSOE for all deliverables in the arrangement. This is due to infrequently selling each deliverable separately (such is the case with our nodes), not pricing products or services within a narrow range, or only having a limited sales history. When VSOE cannot be established, attempts are made to establish TPE of the selling price for each deliverable. TPE is determined based on competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately. However, Teradata's offerings contain significant differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality cannot typically be obtained. This is because Teradata's products contain a significant amount of proprietary technology and its solutions offer

substantially different features and functionality than other available products. As Teradata's products are

53

Table of Contents

significantly different from those of its competitors, the Company is unable to establish TPE for the vast majority of its products.

When the Company is unable to establish selling prices using VSOE or TPE, the Company uses BESP in its allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service was sold on a standalone basis. The Company determines BESP for a product or service by considering multiple factors including, but not limited to, geographies, market conditions, product life cycles, competitive landscape, internal costs, gross margin objectives, purchase volumes and pricing practices.

The primary consideration in developing BESP for the Company's nodes is the bell-curve method based on historical transactions. The BESP analysis is at the geography level in order to align it with the way in which the Company goes to market and establishes pricing for its products. The Company has established discount ranges off of published list prices for different geographies based on strategy and maturity of Teradata's presence in the respective geography.

There are distinctions in each geography and product group which support the use of geographies and markets for the determination of BESP. For example, the Company's U.S. market is relatively mature and most of the large transactions are captured in this market, whereas the International markets are less mature with generally smaller deal size. Additionally, the prices and margins for the Company's products vary by geography and by product class. BESP is analyzed on a semi-annual basis using data from the 4 previous quarters, which the Company believes best reflects most recent pricing practices in a changing marketplace.

The Company reviews VSOE, TPE and its determination of BESP on a periodic basis and updates it, when appropriate, to ensure that the practices employed reflect the Company's recent pricing experience. The Company maintains internal controls over the establishment and updates of these estimates, which includes review and approval by the Company's management. For the year ended December 31, 2015 there was no material impact to revenue resulting from changes in VSOE, TPE or BESP, nor does the Company expect a material impact from such changes in the near term.

Perpetual licenses, term licenses, hosting arrangements and software as a service. Teradata's application offerings include perpetual licenses, term licenses, hosting arrangements and software as a service. For software arrangements that include a perpetual license, the residual method is typically used because the Company does not have VSOE for its perpetual licenses. This is because the perpetual license is never sold standalone. If the license is of limited life and does not require the Company to host the software for the customer, the software is considered a term license.

Teradata's term licenses are typically offered for application software and include a right-to-use license, PCS and consulting services. The revenue for these arrangements are typically recognized ratably over the contract term. The term of these arrangements varies between one and five years and may or may not include hosting services. In most arrangements the pricing is bundled to the customer. If the term license is hosted, the customer has the right to take possession of the software at any time during the hosting period. The customer's rights to the software in these circumstances are not dependent on additional software payments or significant penalties, and the customer can feasibly run the software on its own hardware or contract with another party to host the software. If these criteria are not met, the hosting arrangement is accounted for outside the software rules as a software as a service arrangement. Under a software as a service arrangement, the license, PCS and hosting fee are recognized ratably over the term of the contract.

Shipping and Handling. Product shipping and handling costs are included in cost of products in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. All short-term, highly-liquid investments having original maturities of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. Teradata establishes provisions for doubtful accounts using both percentages of accounts receivable balances to reflect historical average credit losses and specific provisions for known issues.

Inventories. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost of service parts is determined using the average cost method. Finished goods inventory is determined using actual cost.

Table of Contents

Available-for-sale Securities. Available-for-sale securities are reported at fair value. Unrealized holding gains and losses are excluded from earnings and reported in other comprehensive income. Realized gains and losses are included in other income and expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Long-Lived Assets

Property and Equipment. Property and equipment, leasehold improvements and rental equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed over the estimated useful lives of the related assets primarily on a straight-line basis. Equipment is depreciated over 3 to 20 years and buildings over 25 to 45 years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the life of the lease or the asset, whichever is shorter. Total depreciation expense on the Company's property and equipment for December 31 was as follows:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
Depreciation expense	\$53	\$51	\$48

Capitalized Software. Direct development costs associated with internal-use software are capitalized and amortized over the estimated useful lives of the resulting software. The costs are capitalized when both the preliminary project stage is completed and it is probable that computer software being developed will be completed and placed in service. Teradata typically amortizes capitalized internal-use software on a straight-line basis over three years beginning when the asset is substantially ready for use.

Costs incurred for the development of big data, marketing and analytic applications are expensed as incurred based on the frequency and agile nature of development. Costs incurred for the development of data warehousing software that will be sold, leased or otherwise marketed are capitalized when technological feasibility has been established.

Technological feasibility is established when planning, designing and initial coding activities that are necessary to establish the product can be produced to meet its design specifications. In the absence of a program design, a working model is used to establish technological feasibility. These costs are included within capitalized software and are amortized over the estimated useful lives of four years using the greater of the ratio that current gross revenues for a product bear to the total of current and anticipated future gross revenues for that product or the straight-line method over the remaining estimated economic life of the product beginning when the product is available for general release. Costs capitalized include direct labor and related overhead costs. Costs incurred prior to technological feasibility and after general release are expensed as incurred. The following table identifies the activity relating to capitalized software:

In millions	Internal-use Software			External-use Software		
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013
Beginning balance at January 1	\$13	\$12	\$12	\$186	\$183	\$161
Capitalized	6	7	6	61	68	72
Amortization	(6) (6) (6) (70) (65) (50
Ending balance at December 31	\$13	\$13	\$12	\$177	\$186	\$183

The aggregate amortization expense (actual and estimated) for internal-use and external-use software for the following periods is:

In millions	Actual	For the year ended (estimated)				
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Internal-use software amortization expense	\$6	\$6	\$4	\$3	\$—	\$—
External-use software amortization expense	\$70	\$66	\$56	\$36	\$19	\$—

Estimated expense, which is recorded to cost of sales for external use software, is based on capitalized software at December 31, 2015 and does not include any new capitalization for future periods.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets. Long-lived assets such as property and equipment, acquired intangible assets and internal capitalized software are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. An impairment loss would be recognized when estimated future undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition are less than the carrying amount.

Table of Contents

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price in a business combination over the fair value of net tangible and intangible assets acquired. Goodwill amounts are not amortized, but rather are tested for impairment annually or upon occurrence of an event or change in circumstances that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. See Note 3- Goodwill and Acquired Intangibles for additional information.

Assets and Liabilities Held for Sale. The Company classifies assets and liabilities (disposal groups) to be sold as held for sale in the period in which all of the following criteria are met:

• Management, having the authority to approve the action, commits to a plan to sell the disposal group;

• The disposal group is available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such disposal groups;

• An active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the disposal group have been initiated;

• The sale of the disposal group is probable, and transfer of the disposal group is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale, within one year, except if events or circumstances beyond the Company's control extend the period of time required to sell the disposal group beyond one year;

• The disposal group is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value; and

• Actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

The Company initially measures a disposal group that is classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. Any loss resulting from this measurement is recognized in the period in which the held for sale criteria are met. Conversely, gains are not recognized until the date of sale. The Company assesses the fair value of a disposal group less costs to sell each reporting period it remains classified as held for sale and reports any subsequent changes as an adjustment to the carrying value of the disposal group, as long as the new carrying value does not exceed the carrying value of the disposal group at the time it was initially classified as held for sale.

Upon determining that a disposal group meets the criteria to be classified as held for sale, the Company reports the assets and liabilities of the disposal group under Assets held for sale and Liabilities held for sale in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Refer to Note 15 for further information on the Company's assets and liabilities held for sale.

Warranty. Provisions for product warranties are recorded in the period in which the related revenue is recognized. The Company accrues warranty reserves using percentages of revenue to reflect the Company's historical average warranty claims.

Research and Development Costs. Research and development costs are expensed as incurred (with the exception of the capitalized software development costs discussed above). Research and development costs primarily include labor-related costs, contractor fees, and overhead expenses directly related to research and development support.

Pension and Postemployment Benefits. The Company accounts for its pension and postemployment benefit obligations using actuarial models. The measurement of plan obligations was made as of December 31, 2015.

Liabilities are computed using the projected unit credit method. The objective under this method is to expense each participant's benefits under the plan as they accrue, taking into consideration salary increases and the plan's benefit allocation formula. Thus, the total pension or postemployment benefit to which each participant is expected to become entitled is broken down into units, each associated with a year of past or future credited service.

The Company recognizes the funded status of its pension and postemployment plan obligations in its consolidated balance sheet and records in other comprehensive income certain gains and losses that arise during the period, but are deferred under pension accounting rules.

Foreign Currency. Assets and liabilities of non-U.S. subsidiaries that operate in a local currency environment are translated into U.S. dollars at period-end exchange rates. Income and expense accounts are translated at daily exchange rates prevailing during the period. Adjustments arising from the translation are included in accumulated other comprehensive income, a separate component of stockholders' equity. Gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are included in determining net income.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes. Income tax expense is provided based on income before income taxes in the various jurisdictions in which the Company conducts its business. Deferred income taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between assets and liabilities recognized for financial reporting purposes and such amounts recognized for tax purposes. These deferred taxes are determined based on the enacted tax rates expected to apply in the periods in which the deferred assets or liabilities are expected to be settled or realized. Teradata recognizes tax benefits from uncertain tax positions only if it is more likely than not the tax position will be sustained on examination by taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company records valuation allowances related to its deferred income tax assets when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred income tax assets will not be realized.

Stock-based Compensation. Stock-based payments to employees, including grants of stock options, restricted shares and restricted share units, are recognized in the financial statements based on their fair value. The fair value of each stock option award on the grant date is estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions: expected dividend yield, expected stock price volatility, weighted-average risk-free interest rate and weighted average expected term of the options. The Company's expected volatility assumption used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model is based on Teradata's historical volatility. Prior to 2014, because the Company did not have a sufficient trading history as a standalone public company, the volatility was based on a blend of peer group volatility and Teradata volatility. The expected term for options granted is based upon historical observation of actual time elapsed between date of grant and exercise of options for all employees. Prior to 2015, the expected term assumption was based on the simplified method under GAAP, which is based on the vesting period and contractual term for each vesting tranche of awards. The mid-point between the vesting date and the expiration date was used as the expected term under this method. The risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes model is based on the implied yield curve available on U.S. Treasury issues at the date of grant with a remaining term equal to the Company's expected term assumption. The Company has never declared or paid a cash dividend.

Treasury Stock. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2014 when all treasury stock shares were retired, shares of the Company's common stock repurchased through the share repurchase programs were held as treasury stock. Treasury stock was accounted for using the cost method. Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2014, stock repurchased through the share repurchase programs will be retired upon repurchase. The excess repurchase price over the par value is charged to retained earnings.

Earnings Per Share. Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the reported period. The calculation of diluted earnings per share is similar to basic earnings per share, except that the weighted-average number of shares outstanding includes the dilution from potential shares added from stock options, restricted share awards and other stock awards. Refer to Note 5 for share information on the Company's stock compensation plans.

The components of basic and diluted earnings per share are as follows:

In millions, except earnings per share	For the year ended December 31		
	2015	2014	2013
Net (loss) income attributable to common stockholders	\$(214)	\$367	\$377
Weighted average outstanding shares of common stock	139.6	155.3	163.4
Dilutive effect of employee stock options, restricted shares and other stock awards	—	2.5	3.0
Common stock and common stock equivalents	139.6	157.8	166.4
(Loss) earnings per share:			
Basic	\$(1.53)	\$2.36	\$2.31
Diluted	\$(1.53)	\$2.33	\$2.27

For 2015, due to the net loss attributable to Teradata common stockholders, largely due to the goodwill and acquired intangibles impairment charges, potential common shares that would cause dilution, such as employee stock options, restricted shares and other stock awards, have been excluded from the diluted share count because their effect would have been anti-dilutive. For 2015, the fully diluted shares would have been 141.9 million.

Table of Contents

Options to purchase 4.5 million in 2015, 2.4 million shares in 2014 and 0.9 million shares in 2013 of common stock, were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because their exercise prices were greater than the average market price of the common shares and, therefore, the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Revenue Recognition. In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new guidance that affects any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards. The new guidance will supersede the revenue recognition requirements in the current revenue recognition guidance, and most industry-specific guidance. In addition, the existing requirements for the recognition of a gain or loss on the transfer of nonfinancial assets that are not in a contract with a customer are amended to be consistent with the guidance on recognition and measurement in this update. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, the FASB defines a five step process which includes the following: (1) identify the contract with a customer, (2) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (3) determine the transaction price, (4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (5) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation.

In July 2015, the FASB issued a one-year delay in the effective date of the new standard. Under this guidance, the new revenue standard will be effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early application permitted. The standard allows entities to apply the standard retrospectively for all periods presented or alternatively an entity is permitted to recognize the cumulative effect of initially applying the guidance as an opening balance sheet adjustment to retained earnings in the period of initial application. The Company is currently evaluating the impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows, as well as the method of transition that will be used in adopting the standard.

Accounting for Share-based Payments with Performance Targets. In June 2014, the FASB issued new guidance that requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. As such, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the periods for which the requisite service has already been rendered. The amendments in this update are effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. This amendment is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concept of Extraordinary Items. This update eliminates from GAAP the concept of extraordinary items. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. A reporting entity may apply the amendments prospectively. A reporting entity also may apply the amendments retrospectively to all prior periods presented in the financial statements. Early adoption is permitted provided that the guidance is applied from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. This amendment is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's results of operations.

Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. To simplify presentation of debt issuance costs, the amendments in this update require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs are not affected by the amendments in this update. For public business entities, the amendments in this update are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years and shall be applied on a retrospective basis. Debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2015 were \$3 million. The Company plans to adopt this amendment when effective. This amendment is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position.

Table of Contents

Intangibles, Goodwill and Other Internal-Use Software. The amendments in this update provide guidance to customers about whether a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license. If a cloud computing arrangement includes a software license, then the customer should account for the software license element of the arrangement consistent with the acquisition of other software licenses. If a cloud computing arrangement does not include a software license, the customer should account for the arrangement as a service contract. The guidance will not change current guidance for a customer's accounting for service contracts. This amendment will be effective for annual periods, including interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Business Combinations. In September 2015, the FASB issued new guidance that eliminates the requirement that an acquirer in a business combination account for measurement-period adjustments retrospectively. An acquirer will recognize a measurement-period adjustment during the period in which it determines the amount of the adjustment. The amendments in this update are effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect this guidance to have significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Financial Instruments. In January 2016, the FASB issued new guidance which enhances the reporting model for financial instruments and related disclosures. This update requires equity securities to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized through net income and will eliminate the cost method for equity securities without readily determinable fair values. The provisions are effective for public entities with fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted, in certain circumstances. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this guidance on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Recently Adopted Guidance

Income Taxes. In November 2015, the FASB issued new guidance to simplify the presentation of deferred income taxes. The amendments in this update require that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as noncurrent in a classified statement of financial position. For public business entities, the amendments in this update are effective for financial statements issued for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. The Company has early adopted the new guidance prospectively as of December 31, 2015. As such, we did not restate our December 31, 2014 Balance Sheet. As a result of this adoption, no deferred tax amounts were recorded in other current assets and other current liabilities in 2015, as compared to \$28 million recorded in other current assets and \$1 million recorded in other current liabilities in 2014.

Table of Contents

Note 2 Supplemental Financial Information

In millions	At December 31	
	2015	2014
Accounts receivable		
Trade	\$591	\$635
Other	6	3
Accounts receivable, gross	597	638
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	(17) (19
Total accounts receivable, net	\$580	\$619
Inventories		
Finished goods	\$32	\$21
Service parts	17	17
Total inventories	\$49	\$38
Other current assets		
Current deferred tax assets	\$—	\$28
Other	52	53
Total other current assets	\$52	\$81
Property and equipment		
Land	\$8	\$8
Buildings and improvements	78	77
Machinery and other equipment	336	341
Property and equipment, gross	422	426
Less: accumulated depreciation	(279) (267
Total property and equipment, net	\$143	\$159
Other assets		
Available-for-sale securities	\$—	\$78
Other	20	20
Total other assets	\$20	\$98
Other current liabilities		
Sales and value-added taxes	\$35	\$40
Other	67	61
Total other current liabilities	\$102	\$101
Deferred revenue		
Deferred revenue, current	\$367	\$370
Long-term deferred revenue	15	18
Total deferred revenue	\$382	\$388

Above amounts exclude assets and liabilities held for sale. Refer to Note 15 for further information on the Company's assets and liabilities held for sale.

Table of Contents

Note 3 Goodwill and Acquired Intangible Assets

The following table identifies the activity relating to goodwill by operating segment:

In millions	Balance December 31, 2014	Additions	Currency Translation Adjustments	Impairment	Transfers to Held for Sale	Balance December 31, 2015
Goodwill						
Data and Analytics	\$351	\$4	\$(4) \$—	\$—	\$351
Marketing Applications	597	—	(18) (437) (113) 29
Total goodwill	\$948	\$4	\$(22) \$(437) \$(113) \$380

The changes to goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2015 were due to an impairment of goodwill discussed below, the transfer of goodwill to held for sale (See Note 15), an immaterial complementary acquisition, and changes in foreign currency exchange rates.

The Company reviews goodwill for impairment annually in the fourth quarter and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The guidance on goodwill impairment requires the Company to perform a step one impairment test, which may result in a second step if the fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying value of the net assets. In the first step, the Company compares the fair value of each reporting unit to its carrying value. The Company's reporting units are at one level below the operating segment level, which include the Americas and International reporting units for the data and analytics and marketing applications operating segments. The Company typically determines the fair value of its reporting units using a weighting of fair values derived from the income approach and the market approach. Under the income approach, the Company calculates the fair value of a reporting unit based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. The market approach estimates fair value based on market multiples of revenue and earnings derived from comparable companies with similar operating and investment characteristics as the reporting unit. If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the carrying value of the net assets assigned to that unit, goodwill is not impaired. If the carrying value of the net assets assigned to the reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, then the second step of the impairment test is performed in order to determine the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill in the same manner as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the carrying value of a reporting unit's goodwill exceeds its implied fair value, then the Company records an impairment loss equal to the difference.

During the second quarter of 2015, the Company determined that indicators were present in the marketing applications reporting units which would suggest the fair value of the reporting units may have declined below the carrying value. The indicators were primarily due to lower than forecasted revenue and profitability levels for 2015 and future periods. The lower projected operating results reflect further review and analysis of the marketing applications business performed following the creation of the business as a separate business unit as was announced at the end of the first quarter of 2015. Based on our analysis, the implied fair value of goodwill was substantially lower than the carrying value of goodwill for the Americas and International marketing applications reporting units. As a result, the Company recorded an impairment charge of \$241 million for the Americas marketing applications reporting unit and \$99 million for the International marketing applications reporting unit during the second quarter of 2015.

For the impairment analysis performed in the second quarter of 2015, the Company utilized a combination of income and market approaches evenly weighted to estimate the fair value of the reporting units for step one. The income approach was determined based on the present value of estimated future cash flows, discounted at a risk-adjusted market rate, including a growth rate to calculate the terminal value. The Company's forecasted future cash flows, which incorporate anticipated future revenue growth and related expenses to support the growth, were used to calculate fair value. These cash flow projections are based on management's most current estimates of revenue growth rates and operating margins, taking into consideration historical, industry and market conditions. The discount rate used represents the weighted average cost of capital for the marketing applications reporting units considering the risks and uncertainty inherent in the cash flows of the reporting units and in the internally developed forecasts. In applying the market approach, valuation multiples were derived from historical and projected operating data of selected peer companies and applied to the appropriate historical and projected operating data to arrive at a

Table of Contents

fair value. The implied fair value of the goodwill in step two was determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting units to all of the assets and liabilities as if the reporting units had been acquired in a business combination and its fair value was the purchase price paid to be acquired. The use of these unobservable inputs resulted in the fair value estimate being classified as a Level 3 measurement.

In the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company committed to a plan to exit the majority of the marketing applications business, which met the criteria for held for sale and will continue to be reported under continuing operations. The Company will retain a portion of the marketing applications business, which was allocated \$29 million of goodwill based on the relative fair values of the business to be disposed of and the portion that will be retained. The Company then performed a goodwill impairment analysis on the business to be disposed of along with the remainder reporting units. As a result of this analysis, the Company recognized an additional impairment of \$97 million in the fourth quarter of 2015. The fair value of the marketing applications business that will be disposed of was based on a review of indications of interest received from potential buyers and determined based on the best estimate of what the actual sales price will be. The Company believes that this is a better indication of fair value than the income and market approaches used in the interim analysis performed in the second quarter of 2015. The remaining goodwill of \$113 million was then transferred to assets held for sale in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. There was no goodwill impairment for the remaining reporting units as the fair value of each reporting unit exceeded their respective carrying amounts.

The determination of whether or not goodwill is impaired involves a significant level of judgment in the assumptions underlying the approaches used to determine the estimated fair value of our reporting units. The Company believes that the assumptions and rates used in the impairment assessment are reasonable. However, these assumptions are judgmental and variations in any assumptions could result in materially different calculations of fair value. The Company will continue to evaluate goodwill on an annual basis as of the beginning of its fourth quarter, and whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as significant adverse changes in operating results, market conditions or changes in management's business strategy, indicate that there may be a probability of impairment. It is possible that the assumptions used by management related to the evaluation may change or that actual results may vary significantly from management's estimates. As a result, additional impairment charges may occur in the future.

Acquired intangible assets were specifically identified when acquired, and are deemed to have finite lives. The gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization for Teradata's acquired intangible assets were as follows:

In millions	Amortization Life (in Years)	December 31, 2015		December 31, 2014	
		Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization and Currency Translation Adjustments	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization and Currency Translation Adjustments
Acquired intangible assets					
Intellectual					
property/developed technology	1 to 7	\$83	\$(63)	\$186	\$(95)
Customer relationships	3 to 10	3	(3)	77	(35)
Trademarks/trade names	5	1	(1)	1	(1)
In-process research and development	5	5	(3)	5	(2)
Total		\$92	\$(70)	\$269	\$(133)

The decrease in gross carrying amount of acquired intangible assets was primarily due to a transfer of intangibles to held for sale of \$190 million (\$85 million net), partially offset by \$10 million for newly acquired intangible assets associated with an immaterial acquisition. The \$85 million transferred to held for sale was then reduced by an additional \$41 million to adjust the carrying amount of the net assets and liabilities down to their fair value less cost to sell (See Note 15).

Table of Contents

The aggregate amortization expense (actual and estimated) for acquired intangible assets for the following periods is:

In millions	Actual		For the year ended (estimated)					
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Amortization expense	\$44	\$47	\$40	\$10	\$7	\$3	\$2	\$—

Note 4 Income Taxes

For the years ended December 31, (loss) income before income taxes consisted of the following:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
(Loss) income before income taxes			
United States	\$(88)) \$301	\$362
Foreign	(56)) 193	146
Total (loss) income before income taxes	\$(144)) \$494	\$508

For the years ended December 31, income tax expense consisted of the following:

In millions	2015	2014	2013	
Income tax expense				
Current				
Federal	\$74	\$94	\$78	
State and local	9	8	10	
Foreign	26	27	26	
Deferred				
Federal	(19)) 1	18	
State and local	(3)) —	2	
Foreign	(17)) (3)) (3)	
Total income tax expense	\$70	\$127	\$131	
Effective tax rate	(48.6	%) 25.7	%) 25.8	%)

The following table presents the principal components of the difference between the effective tax rate and the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate for the years ended December 31:

	2015	2014	2013
Income tax expense at the U.S. federal tax rate	35.0	% 35.0	% 35.0
Foreign income tax differential	14.0	% (9.0	%) (7.3
State and local income taxes	0.5	% 0.5	% 0.4
U.S. permanent book/tax differences	3.1	% 0.4	% 0.5
U.S. manufacturing deduction	5.5	% (2.1	%) (2.1
Impairment of goodwill and acquired intangibles	(100.1	%) —	% —
Other, net	(6.6	%) 0.9	% (0.7
Effective tax rate	(48.6	%) 25.7	%) 25.8

The 2015 effective tax rate includes a discrete tax expense of \$145 million resulting from the \$437 million goodwill impairment, of which \$414 million is related to non-deductible goodwill. In addition to the goodwill impairment, the higher 2015 effective tax rate as compared to 2014 was also driven by the lower foreign earnings mix in 2015 versus 2014. The 2014 effective tax had no material discrete tax items impacting the effective tax rate.

Table of Contents

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities included in the balance sheets at December 31 were as follows:

In millions	2015	2014
Deferred income tax assets		
Employee pensions and other liabilities	\$62	\$61
Other balance sheet reserves and allowances	23	22
Tax loss and credit carryforwards	62	59
Deferred revenue	3	—
Total deferred income tax assets	150	142
Valuation allowance	(25) (20
Net deferred income tax assets	125	122
Deferred income tax liabilities		
Intangibles and capitalized software	81	102
Property and equipment	30	29
Deferred revenue	—	17
Other	1	12
Total deferred income tax liabilities	112	160
Total net deferred income tax assets (liabilities)	\$13	\$(38

As of December 31, 2015, Teradata has net operating loss ("NOL") and tax credit carryforwards totaling \$73 million (tax effected and before any valuation allowance offset and application of recognition criteria for uncertain tax positions). Of the total tax carryforwards, \$26 million are NOL's in the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions, a small portion of which will begin to expire in 2016; \$36 million are R&D tax credits, of which almost 90 percent are California R&D tax credits that have an indefinite carryforward period (which has a \$25 million valuation allowance offset recorded); and the remaining \$11 million are tax attributes that were acquired from various acquisitions and were not recorded for financial reporting purposes as they did not meet the recognition criteria for uncertain tax positions.

The Company's intention is to permanently reinvest its foreign earnings outside of the U.S. As a result, the effective tax rates in the periods presented are largely based upon the pre-tax earnings mix and allocation of certain expenses in various taxing jurisdictions where the Company conducts its business; these jurisdictions apply a broad range of statutory income tax rates. At December 31, 2015, the Company had not provided for federal income taxes on earnings of approximately \$1.2 billion from its foreign subsidiaries. Should these earnings be distributed in the form of dividends or otherwise, the Company would be subject to U.S. income taxes and potential withholding taxes in various international jurisdictions. The U.S. taxes would be partially offset by U.S. foreign tax credits. Determination of the amount of unrecognized deferred U.S. tax liability is not practical because of the complexities associated with this hypothetical calculation.

The Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company reflects any interest and penalties recorded in connection with its uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company's uncertain tax positions totaled approximately \$38 million, of which \$20 million is reflected in the other liabilities section of the Company's balance sheet as a non-current liability, and \$3 million is recorded in current income taxes payable as the Company expects to settle this uncertain tax position within the next twelve months. The remaining balance of \$15 million of uncertain tax positions relates to certain tax attributes both generated by the Company and acquired in various acquisitions, which are netted against the underlying deferred tax assets recorded on the balance sheet. The entire balance of \$38 million in uncertain tax positions would cause a decrease in the effective income tax rate upon recognition. Teradata has recorded \$2 million of interest accruals related to its uncertain tax liabilities as of December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Below is a rollforward of the Company's liability related to uncertain tax positions at December 31:

In millions	2015	2014	
Balance at January 1	\$36	\$34	
Gross increases for prior period tax positions	—	4	
Gross decreases for prior period tax positions	—	(3)
Gross increases for current period tax positions	6	4	
Decreases due to the lapse of applicable statute of limitations	(1) (3)
Decreases relating to settlements with taxing authorities	(3) —	
Balance at December 31	\$38	\$36	

The Company and its subsidiaries file income tax returns in the U.S. federal and various state jurisdictions, as well as numerous foreign jurisdictions. As of December 31, 2015, the Company has ongoing tax audits in a limited number of state and foreign jurisdictions; however, no material adjustments have been proposed or made in any of these examinations to date which would result in any incremental income tax expense in future periods to the company. In addition, the Internal Revenue Service audit of the Company's United States Federal tax filing for tax year 2011 was finalized in July of 2014 and resulted in a no change audit.

Note 5 Employee Stock-based Compensation Plans

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense for the years ended December 31 as follows:

In millions	2015	2014	2013	
Stock options	\$12	\$13	\$14	
Restricted shares	41	33	32	
Employee share repurchase program	3	4	3	
Total stock-based compensation before income taxes	56	50	49	
Tax benefit	(17) (16) (16)
Total stock-based compensation, net of tax	\$39	\$34	\$33	

The Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2007 SIP"), as amended, and the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2012 SIP") provide for the grant of several different forms of stock-based compensation. The 2012 SIP was adopted and approved by stockholders in April 2012 and no further awards may be made under the 2007 SIP after that time. A total of approximately 16.4 million shares were authorized to be issued under the 2012 SIP. New shares of the Company's common stock are issued as a result of the vesting of restricted share units and stock option exercises, and at the time of grant for restricted shares, for awards under both plans.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company's primary types of stock-based compensation were stock options, restricted shares, restricted share units and the employee stock purchase program (the "ESPP").

Stock Options

The Compensation and Human Resource Committee of Teradata's Board of Directors has discretion to determine the material terms and conditions of option awards under both the 2007 SIP and the 2012 SIP (collectively, the "Teradata SIP"), provided that (i) the exercise price must be no less than the fair market value of Teradata common stock (as defined in both plans) on the date of grant, and (ii) the term must be no longer than ten years. Option grants generally have a four-year vesting period.

The weighted-average fair value of options granted for Teradata equity awards was \$11.37 in 2015, \$17.67 in 2014 and \$18.02 in 2013. The fair value of each option award on the grant date was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following assumptions:

	2015	2014	2013	
Dividend yield	—	% —	% —	%
Risk-free interest rate	1.76	% 1.73	% 1.77	%
Expected volatility	34.4	% 37.8	% 37.6	%
Expected term (years)	6.3	6.3	6.3	

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2015:

Shares in thousands	Shares Under Option	Weighted-Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Outstanding at January 1, 2015	7,237	\$35.50	6.1	\$78
Granted	1,185	\$30.63		
Exercised	(428)) \$20.66		
Canceled	(134)) \$46.18		
Forfeited	(286)) \$48.12		
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	7,574	\$34.91	5.7	\$20
Fully vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2015	7,476	\$34.89	5.6	\$20
Exercisable at December 31, 2015	5,299	\$33.43	4.2	\$20

The following table summarizes the total intrinsic value of options exercised and the cash received by the Company from option exercises under all share-based payment arrangements at December 31:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
Intrinsic value of options exercised	\$8	\$14	\$19
Cash received from option exercises	\$9	\$11	\$9
Tax benefit realized from option exercises	\$3	\$5	\$6

As of December 31, 2015, there was \$30 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock option grants. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.0 years.

Restricted Shares and Restricted Share Units

The Teradata SIP provides for the issuance of restricted shares, as well as restricted share units. These grants consist of both service-based and performance-based awards. Service-based awards typically vest over a three year period beginning on the effective date of grant. These grants are not subject to future performance measures. The cost of these awards, determined to be the fair market value at the date of grant, is expensed ratably over the vesting period. For substantially all restricted share grants, at the date of grant, the recipient has all rights of a stockholder, subject to certain restrictions on transferability and a risk of forfeiture. A recipient of restricted share units does not have the rights of a stockholder and is subject to restrictions on transferability and risk of forfeiture. For both restricted share grants and restricted share units, any potential dividend rights would be subject to the same vesting requirements as the underlying equity award. As a result, such rights are considered a contingent transfer of value and consequently these equity awards are not considered participating securities. Performance-based grants are subject to future performance measurements over a one-to four-year period. All performance-based shares that are earned in respect of an award will become vested at the end of the performance and/or service period provided the employee is continuously employed by the Company and applicable performance measures and other vesting conditions are met. The fair value of each performance-based award is determined on the grant date, based on the Company's stock price, and assumes that performance targets will be achieved. Over the performance period, the number of shares of stock that will be issued is adjusted upward or downward based upon management's assessment of the probability of achievement of performance targets. The ultimate number of shares issued and the related compensation cost recognized as expense will be based on a comparison of the final achievement of performance metrics to the specified targets.

Table of Contents

The following table reports restricted shares and restricted share unit activity during the year ended December 31, 2015:

Shares in thousands	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value per Share
Unvested shares at January 1, 2015	3,252	\$47.24
Granted	2,118	\$32.82
Vested	(832)) \$54.60
Forfeited/canceled	(392)) \$45.34
Unvested shares at December 31, 2015	4,146	\$38.58

The following table summarizes the weighted-average fair value of restricted share units granted for Teradata equity awards and the total fair value of shares vested at December 31:

	2015	2014	2013
Weighted-average fair value of restricted share units granted	\$32.82	\$44.39	\$48.24
Total fair value of shares vested (in millions)	\$45	\$27	\$30

As of December 31, 2015, there was \$96 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested restricted share grants. The unrecognized compensation cost is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average period of 2.4 years.

The following table represents the composition of Teradata restricted share unit grants in 2015:

Shares in thousands	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Service-based shares	2,055	\$32.68
Performance-based shares	63	\$37.36
Total stock grants	2,118	\$32.82

In 2012, approximately 0.3 million shares of the performance awards issued included challenging or “stretch” financial goals through 2016 based on a GAAP revenue and/or non-GAAP earnings per share targets in 2016. Each recipient’s opportunity to earn the award is based on performance over a four-year period ending in 2016. There was no compensation expense related to these awards recorded in 2015 based on management’s determination that at December 31, 2015 it was not probable that performance targets for these awards would be achieved.

In February of 2016, restricted share units granted as part of our 2015-16 long-term incentive program for certain corporate officers and key executives will be earned based on Teradata’s total shareholder return (“TSR”) over a three-year performance period relative to the other companies in the S&P 1500 Technology Index. The number of shares actually issued, as a percentage of the amount subject to the performance share award, could range from 0% to 200%. The grant date fair value of the non-vested performance-based awards was determined through the use of a Monte Carlo simulation model, which utilized multiple input variables that determined the probability of satisfying the market condition requirements applicable to each award. The compensation expense for the award will be recognized as long as the requisite service is rendered, regardless of whether the market conditions are achieved. Modifications In connection with the plan to exit most of the marketing applications business, the Company modified its awards for certain impacted employees to accelerate the vesting of any unvested awards at the date of sale. This modification resulted in a Type III modification (improbable to probable). In addition, a modification to extend the exercise period of all vested options from 59 days to one year resulted in a Type I modification (probable to probable). Related to the awards that were modified, the Company recognized a net reduction in compensation expense of \$1 million in the fourth quarter of 2015.

Table of Contents

Employee Stock Purchase Program

The Company's ESPP, effective on October 1, 2007, and as amended effective as of January 1, 2013, provides eligible employees of Teradata and its designated subsidiaries an opportunity to purchase the Company's common stock at a discount to the average of the highest and lowest sale prices on the last trading day of each month. As of January 1, 2013, the ESPP discount was 15% of the average market price and considered compensatory. Prior to 2013, the ESPP discount was 5% of the average market price and this plan was considered non-compensatory.

Employees may authorize payroll deductions of up to 10% of eligible compensation for common stock purchases. A total of 4 million shares were authorized to be issued under the ESPP, with approximately 1.4 million shares remaining under that authorization at December 31, 2015. The shares of Teradata common stock purchased by a participant on an exercise date (the last day of each month), for all purposes, are deemed to have been issued and sold at the close of business on such exercise date. Prior to that time, none of the rights or privileges of a stockholder exists with respect to such shares. Employee purchases and aggregate cost were as follows at December 31:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
Employee share purchases	0.5	0.4	0.4
Aggregate cost	\$17	\$18	\$20

Note 6 Employee Benefit Plans

Pension and Postemployment Plans. Teradata currently sponsors defined benefit pension plans for certain of its international employees. For those international pension plans for which the Company holds asset balances, those assets are primarily invested in common/collective trust funds (which include publicly traded common stocks, corporate and government debt securities, real estate indirect investments, cash or cash equivalents) and insurance contracts.

Postemployment obligations relate to benefits provided to involuntarily terminated employees and certain inactive employees after employment but before retirement. These benefits are paid in accordance with various foreign statutory laws and regulations, and Teradata's established postemployment benefit practices and policies.

Postemployment benefits may include disability benefits, supplemental unemployment benefits, severance, workers' compensation benefits, continuation of health care benefits and life insurance coverage, and are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis.

During the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company amended its U.S. separation plan to eliminate the accumulation of postemployment benefits based on service, resulting in an immaterial curtailment benefit. As a result of this change, postemployment benefits for the U.S. will no longer be accounted for using actuarial models.

Pension and postemployment benefit costs for the years ended December 31 were as follows:

In millions	2015		2014		2013	
	Pension	Postemployment	Pension	Postemployment	Pension	Postemployment
Service cost	\$8	\$6	\$9	\$4	\$8	\$3
Interest cost	3	1	4	1	4	1
Expected return on plan assets	(2)) —	(2)) —	(2)) —
Settlement charge	1	—	1	—	1	—
Amortization of actuarial loss (gain)	2	—	2	(1)	2	(1)
Amortization of prior service credit	—	—	(1)) —	—	—
Total costs	\$12	\$7	\$13	\$4	\$13	\$3

Table of Contents

The underfunded amount of pension and postemployment obligations is recorded as a liability in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. The following tables present the changes in benefit obligations, plan assets, funded status and the reconciliation of the funded status to amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets and in accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31:

In millions	Pension		Postemployment	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Change in benefit obligation				
Benefit obligation at January 1	\$ 130	\$ 129	\$ 39	\$ 27
Service cost	8	9	6	4
Interest cost	3	4	1	1
Plan participant contributions	1	1	—	—
Actuarial (gain) loss	(9) 18	20	19
Benefits paid	(9) (19) (15) (11
Currency translation adjustments	(9) (15) (2) (1
New plans	—	3	—	—
Benefit obligation at December 31	115	130	49	39
Change in plan assets				
Fair value of plan assets at January 1	\$ 67	\$ 76	\$ —	\$ —
Actual return on plan assets	1	7	—	—
Company contributions	5	8	—	—
Benefits paid	(9) (19) —	—
Currency translation adjustments	(2) (7) —	—
Plan participant contribution	1	1	—	—
New plans	—	1	—	—
Fair value of plan assets at December 31	63	67	—	—
Funded status (underfunded)	\$(52) \$(63) \$(49) \$(39
Amounts Recognized in the Balance Sheet				
Non-current assets	\$ 5	\$ 4	\$ —	\$ —
Current liabilities	(1) (1) (16) (5
Non-current liabilities	(56) (66) (33) (34
Net amounts recognized	\$(52) \$(63) \$(49) \$(39
Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income				
Unrecognized Net actuarial loss	\$ 19	\$ 33	\$ 23	\$ 6
Unrecognized Prior service (credit) cost	(1) —	2	1
Total	\$ 18	\$ 33	\$ 25	\$ 7

The following table presents the accumulated pension benefit obligation at December 31:

In millions	2015	2014
Accumulated pension benefit obligation	\$ 106	\$ 118

The following table presents pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets at December 31:

In millions	2015	2014
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 58	\$ 69
Accumulated benefit obligation	\$ 50	\$ 61
Fair value of plan assets	\$ —	\$ 3

Table of Contents

The following table presents the pre-tax net changes in projected benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income during 2015 and 2014:

In millions	Pension		Postemployment	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Actuarial (gain) loss arising during the year	\$ (9)	\$ 14	\$ 18	\$ 18
Amortization of (loss) gain included in net periodic benefit cost	(2)	(2)	—	1
Prior service cost arising during the year	—	1	—	—
Recognition of loss due to settlement	(1)	(1)	—	—
Foreign currency exchange	—	(3)	—	—
Total recognized in other comprehensive (loss) income	\$(12)	\$ 9	\$ 18	\$ 19

The following table presents the amounts in accumulated other comprehensive income expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost during 2016:

In millions	Pension	Postemployment
Net loss to be recognized in other comprehensive income	\$ 3	\$ —

The weighted-average rates and assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31, and net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31, were as follows:

	Pension Benefit Obligations		Pension Benefit Cost		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2013
Discount rate	2.4%	2.3%	2.3%	3.0%	3.0%
Rate of compensation increase	3.2%	3.3%	3.3%	3.2%	3.3%
Expected return on plan assets	N/A	N/A	3.3%	3.4%	3.4%
	Postemployment Benefit Obligations		Postemployment Benefit Cost		
	2015	2014	2015	2014	2013
Discount rate	3.6%	3.5%	3.5%	3.8%	3.4%
Rate of compensation increase	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.7%	3.8%
Involuntary turnover rate	1.8%	1.3%	1.3%	1.0%	1.0%

The Company determines the expected return on assets based on individual plan asset allocations, historical capital market returns, and long-term interest rate assumptions, with input from its actuaries, investment managers, and independent investment advisors. The company emphasizes long-term expectations in its evaluation of return factors, discounting or ignoring short-term market fluctuations. Expected asset returns are reviewed annually, but are generally modified only when asset allocation strategies change or long-term economic trends are identified. The discount rate used to determine year-end 2015 U.S. benefit obligations was derived by matching the plans' expected future cash flows to the corresponding yields from the Citigroup Pension Liability Index. This yield curve has been constructed to represent the available yields on high-quality fixed-income investments across a broad range of future maturities. International discount rates were determined by examining interest rate levels and trends within each country, particularly yields on high-quality long-term corporate bonds, relative to our future expected cash flows. Gains and losses have resulted from changes in actuarial assumptions and from differences between assumed and actual experience, including, among other items, changes in discount rates and differences between actual and assumed asset returns. These gains and losses (except those differences being amortized to the market-related value) are only amortized to the extent that they exceed 10% of the higher of the market-related value of plan assets or the projected benefit obligation of each respective plan.

Table of Contents

Plan Assets. The weighted-average asset allocations at December 31, by asset category are as follows:

	Actual Asset Allocation		Target Asset Allocation	
	As of December 31			
	2015	2014		
Equity securities	31	% 32	% 31	%
Debt securities	43	% 41	% 47	%
Insurance (annuity) contracts	16	% 17	% 16	%
Real estate	6	% 5	% 3	%
Other	4	% 5	% 3	%
Total	100	% 100	% 100	%

Fair Value. Fair value measurements are established utilizing a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers are more fully described in Note 9.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for pension assets as of December 31, 2015.

Common/collective trust funds (which include money market funds, equity funds, bond funds, real-estate indirect investments, etc.): Valued at the net asset value (“NAV”) of shares held by the Plan at year end, as reported to the Plan by the trustee, which represents the fair value of shares held by the Plan. Because the NAV of the shares held in the common/collective trust funds are derived by the value of the underlying investments, the Company has classified these underlying investments as Level 2 fair value measurements.

Insurance contracts: Valued by discounting the related future benefit payments using a current year-end market discount rate, which represents the fair value of the insurance contract. The Company has classified these contracts as Level 3 assets for fair value measurement purposes.

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the pension plan assets at fair value as of December 31, 2015:

In millions	December 31, 2015	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Money market funds	\$3	\$—	\$3	\$—
Equity funds	19	—	19	—
Bond/fixed-income funds	27	—	27	—
Real-estate indirect investments	4	—	4	—
Insurance contracts	10	—	—	10
Total Assets at fair value	\$63	\$—	\$53	\$10

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the pension plan level 3 assets for the year ended December 31, 2015:

In millions	Insurance Contracts
Balance as of January 1, 2015	\$11
Purchases, sales and settlements, net	(1)
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$10

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the pension plan assets at fair value as of December 31, 2014:

In millions	December 31, 2014	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Money market funds	\$2	\$—	\$2	\$—
Equity funds	21	—	21	—
Bond/fixed-income funds	28	—	28	—
Real-estate indirect investments	4	—	4	—
Commodities/Other	1	—	1	—
Insurance contracts	11	—	—	11
Total Assets at fair value	\$67	\$—	\$56	\$11

The table below sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the pension plan level 3 assets for the year ended December 31, 2014:

In millions	Insurance Contracts
Balance as of January 1, 2014	\$10
Purchases, sales and settlements, net	1
Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$11

Investment Strategy. Teradata employs a number of investment strategies across its various international pension plans. In some countries, particularly where Teradata does not have a large employee base, the Company may use insurance (annuity) contracts to satisfy its future pension payment obligations, whereby the Company makes pension plan contributions to an insurance company in exchange for which the pension plan benefits will be paid when the members reach a specified retirement age or on earlier exit of members from the plan. In other countries, the Company may employ local asset managers to manage investment portfolios according to the investment policies and guidelines established by the Company, and with consideration to individual plan liability structure and local market environment and risk tolerances. The Company's investment policies and guidelines primarily emphasize diversification across and within asset classes to maximize long-term returns subject to prudent levels of risk, with the overall objective of enabling the plans to meet their future obligations. The investment portfolios contain a diversified blend of equity and fixed-income investments. Furthermore, equity investments are diversified across domestic and international stocks, small and large capitalization stocks, and growth and value stocks. Fixed-income assets are diversified across government and corporate bonds. Where applicable, real estate investments are made through real estate securities, partnership interests or direct investment, and are diversified by property type and location.

Cash Flows Related to Employee Benefit Plans

Cash Contributions. The Company expects to contribute approximately \$5 million to the international pension plans, in 2016.

Table of Contents

Estimated Future Benefit Payments. The Company expects to make the following benefit payments reflecting past and future service from its pension and postemployment plans:

In millions	Pension Benefits	Postemployment Benefits
Year		
2016	\$4	\$16
2017	\$5	\$5
2018	\$5	\$5
2019	\$4	\$5
2020	\$4	\$5
2021-2025	\$27	\$23

Savings Plans. U.S. employees and many international employees participate in defined contribution savings plans. These plans generally provide either a specified percent of pay or a matching contribution on participating employees' voluntary elections. The Company's matching contributions typically are subject to a maximum percentage or level of compensation. Employee contributions can be made pre-tax, after-tax or a combination thereof. The following table identifies the expense for the U.S and International subsidiary savings plans for the years ended December 31:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
U.S. savings plan	\$22	\$23	\$23
International subsidiary savings plans	\$18	\$18	\$17

Note 7 Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

As a portion of the Company's operations and revenue occur outside the United States and in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, the Company is exposed to potential gains and losses from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. In an attempt to mitigate the impact of currency fluctuations, the Company uses foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge transactional exposures resulting predominantly from foreign currency denominated inter-company receivables and payables. The forward contracts are designated as fair value hedges of specified foreign currency denominated inter-company receivables and payables and generally mature in three months or less. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes, nor does it hold or issue leveraged derivative instruments. By using derivative financial instruments to hedge exposures to changes in exchange rates, the Company exposes itself to credit risk. The Company manages exposure to counterparty credit risk by entering into derivative financial instruments with highly rated institutions that can be expected to fully perform under the terms of the applicable contracts.

All derivatives are recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at their fair value. The fair values of foreign exchange contracts are based on market spot and forward exchange rates and represent estimates of possible value that may not be realized in the future. Changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments, along with the loss or gain on the hedged asset or liability, are recorded in current period earnings. The notional amounts represent agreed-upon amounts on which calculations of dollars to be exchanged are based, and are an indication of the extent of Teradata's involvement in such instruments. These notional amounts do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, therefore, are not a measure of the instruments. Across its portfolio of contracts, Teradata has both long and short positions relative to the U.S. dollar. As a result, Teradata's net involvement is less than the total contract notional amount of the Company's foreign exchange forward contracts.

Table of Contents

The following table identifies the contract notional amount of the Company's foreign exchange forward contracts at December 31:

In millions	2015	2014
Contract notional amount of foreign exchange forward contracts	\$138	\$116
Net contract notional amount of foreign exchange forward contracts	\$25	\$17

The fair value derivative assets and liabilities recorded in other current assets and accrued liabilities at December 31, 2015 and 2014, were not material.

Gains and losses from the Company's fair value hedges (foreign currency forward contracts and related hedged items) were immaterial for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013. Gains and losses from foreign exchange forward contracts are fully recognized each period and reported along with the offsetting gain or loss of the related hedged item, either in cost of products or in other income, depending on the nature of the related hedged item.

Note 8 Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to proceedings, lawsuits, governmental investigations, claims and other matters, including those that relate to the environment, health and safety, employee benefits, export compliance, intellectual property, tax matters, and other regulatory compliance and general matters.

Guarantees and Product Warranties.

Guarantees associated with the Company's business activities are reviewed for appropriateness and impact to the Company's financial statements. Periodically, the Company's customers enter into various leasing arrangements coordinated with a leasing company. In some instances, the Company guarantees the leasing company a minimum value at the end of the lease term on the leased equipment. As of December 31, 2015, the maximum future payment obligation of this guaranteed value and the associated liability balance was \$3 million.

The Company provides its customers a standard manufacturer's warranty and records, at the time of the sale, a corresponding estimated liability for potential warranty costs. Estimated future obligations due to warranty claims are based upon historical factors such as labor rates, average repair time, travel time, number of service calls and cost of replacement parts. For each consummated sale, the Company recognizes the total customer revenue and records the associated warranty liability using pre-established warranty percentages for that product class.

The following table identifies the activity relating to the warranty reserve liability for the years ended December 31:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
Beginning balance at January 1	\$7	\$8	\$8
Accruals for warranties issued	9	16	15
Settlements (in cash or kind)	(10)	(17)	(15)
Balance at end of period	\$6	\$7	\$8

The Company also offers extended and/or enhanced coverage to its customers in the form of maintenance contracts. The Company accounts for these contracts by deferring the related maintenance revenue over the extended and/or enhanced coverage period. Costs associated with maintenance support are expensed as incurred. Amounts associated with these maintenance contracts are not included in the table above.

In addition, the Company provides its customers with certain indemnification rights. In general, the Company agrees to indemnify the customer if a third party asserts patent or other infringement on the part of the customer for its use of the Company's products. The Company has indemnification obligations under its charter and bylaws to its officers and directors, and has entered into indemnification agreements with the officers and directors of its subsidiaries. From time to time, the Company also enters into agreements in connection with its acquisition and divestiture activities that include indemnification obligations by the Company. The fair value of these indemnification obligations is not readily determinable due to the conditional nature of the Company's potential obligations and the specific facts and circumstances involved with each particular agreement, and as such the Company has not recorded a liability in connection with these indemnification arrangements. Historically, payments made by the

Table of Contents

Company under these types of agreements have not had a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Leases. Teradata conducts certain of its sales and administrative operations using leased facilities, the initial lease terms of which vary in length. Many of the leases contain renewal options and escalation clauses that are not material to the overall lease portfolio. Future minimum operating lease payments and committed subleases under non-cancelable leases as of December 31, 2015, for the following fiscal years were:

In millions	Total Amounts	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Operating lease obligations	\$70	\$24	\$19	\$15	\$7	\$5
Sublease rentals	(5)	(3)	(2)	—	—	—
Total committed operating leases less sublease rentals	\$65	\$21	\$17	\$15	\$7	\$5

The following table represents the Company's actual rental expense and sublease rental income for the years ended December 31:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
Rental expense	\$26	\$26	\$26
Sublease rental income	\$3	\$3	\$3

The Company had no contingent rentals for these periods.

Concentrations of Risk. The Company is potentially subject to concentrations of credit risk on accounts receivable and financial instruments such as hedging instruments, and cash and cash equivalents. Credit risk includes the risk of nonperformance by counterparties. The maximum potential loss may exceed the amount recognized on the balance sheet. Exposure to credit risk is managed through credit approvals, credit limits, selecting major international financial institutions (as counterparties to hedging transactions) and monitoring procedures. Teradata's business often involves large transactions with customers, and if one or more of those customers were to default in its obligations under applicable contractual arrangements, the Company could be exposed to potentially significant losses. However, management believes that the reserves for potential losses were adequate at December 31, 2015 and 2014.

The Company is also potentially subject to concentrations of supplier risk. Our hardware components are assembled exclusively by Flextronics International Ltd. ("Flextronics"). Flextronics procures a wide variety of components used in the manufacturing process on our behalf. Although many of these components are available from multiple sources, Teradata utilizes preferred supplier relationships to better ensure more consistent quality, cost and delivery. Typically, these preferred suppliers maintain alternative processes and/or facilities to ensure continuity of supply. Given the Company's strategy to outsource its manufacturing activities to Flextronics and to source certain components from single suppliers, a disruption in production at Flextronics or at a supplier could impact the timing of customer shipments and/or Teradata's operating results.

Note 9 Fair Value Measurements

Fair value measurements are established utilizing a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities; Level 2, defined as significant other observable inputs, such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, or quoted prices in less-active markets for identical assets; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include money market funds, available-for-sale securities and foreign currency exchange contracts. A portion of the Company's excess cash reserves are held in money market funds which generate interest income based on the prevailing market rates. Money market funds are included in cash and cash equivalents in the Company's balance sheet. Money market fund

Table of Contents

holdings are measured at fair value using quoted market prices and are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Available-for-sale securities include equity securities that are traded in active markets, such as the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations Systems ("NASDAQ"), and are therefore included in Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Available-for-sale securities are included in other assets in the Company's balance sheet. Unrealized holding gains and losses are excluded from earnings and reported in other comprehensive income until realized.

When deemed appropriate, the Company minimizes its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates through the use of derivative financial instruments, specifically, forward foreign exchange contracts. The fair value of these contracts are measured at the end of each interim reporting period using observable inputs other than quoted prices, specifically market spot and forward exchange rates. As such, these derivative instruments are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Fair value gains for open contracts are recognized as assets and fair value losses are recognized as liabilities. The fair value derivative assets and liabilities recorded in other current assets and accrued liabilities at December 31, 2015 and 2014, were not material. Any realized gains or losses would be mitigated by corresponding gains or losses on the underlying exposures. Further information on the Company's use of forward foreign exchange contracts is included in Note 7.

The Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and subject to fair value disclosure requirements at December 31, were as follows:

In millions	December 31, 2015	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets				
Money market funds	\$351	\$351	\$—	\$—
In millions	December 31, 2014	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets				
Money market funds	\$393	\$393	\$—	\$—
Available-for-sale securities	78	78	—	—
Total assets at fair value	\$471	\$471	\$—	\$—

Note 10 Debt

On March 25, 2015, Teradata replaced its existing five-year, \$300 million revolving credit facility with a new \$400 million revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility ends on March 25, 2020 at which point any remaining outstanding borrowings would be due for repayment unless extended by agreement of the parties for up to two additional one-year periods. The interest rate charged on borrowings pursuant to the Credit Facility can vary depending on the interest rate option the Company chooses to utilize and the Company's leverage ratio at the time of the borrowing. During 2015, Teradata chose a floating rate based on the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR"). The Credit Facility is unsecured and contains certain representations and warranties, conditions, affirmative, negative and financial covenants, and events of default customary for such facilities.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had \$180 million outstanding under the Credit Facility and carried an interest rate of 1.623%, leaving \$220 million in additional borrowing capacity available. The new revolving credit-facility was accounted for as a modification. Unamortized deferred costs on the original credit facility and new

Table of Contents

lender fees of approximately \$1 million are being amortized over the five-year term of the credit facility. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of December 31, 2015.

Also on March 25, 2015, Teradata closed on a new senior unsecured \$600 million five-year term loan, the proceeds of which were used to pay off the remaining \$247 million of principal on its existing term loan, pay off the \$220 million outstanding balance on the prior credit facility, and to fund share repurchases. The \$600 million term loan is payable in quarterly installments, which will commence on March 31, 2016, with all remaining principal due in March 2020.

The outstanding principal amount under the term loan agreement bears interest at a floating rate based upon a negotiated base rate or a Eurodollar rate plus a margin based on the leverage ratio of the Company. As of December 31, 2015, the term loan principal outstanding was \$600 million and carried an interest rate of 1.8125%. The payment of the existing term loan with proceeds for the new term loan was accounted for as a modification.

Unamortized deferred issuance costs on the original term loan and new lender fees of approximately \$2 million are being amortized over the five-year term of the loan. The Company was in compliance with all covenants as of December 31, 2015.

Annual contractual maturities of principal on term loan outstanding at December 31, 2015, are as follows:

In millions

2016	\$30
2017	30
2018	60
2019	67
2020	413
Total	\$600

The following table presents interest expense on borrowings for the years ended December 31:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
Interest expense	\$9	\$3	\$4

Teradata's term loan is recognized on the Company's balance sheet at its unpaid principal balance, and is not subject to fair value measurement. However, given that the loan carries a variable rate, the Company estimates that the unpaid principal balance of the term loan would approximate its fair value. If measured at fair value in the financial statements, the Company's term loan would be classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Note 11 Segment, Other Supplemental Information and Concentrations

Effective January 1, 2015, Teradata implemented an organizational change in which Teradata now manages its business in two divisions, which are also the Company's operating segments: (1) data and analytics, and (2) marketing applications. This change was intended to enable each division to be more sharply focused in rapidly addressing the dynamics of each market, and in bringing the best solutions to our customers. For purposes of discussing results by segment, management excludes the impact of certain items, consistent with the manner by which management evaluates the performance of each segment. This format is useful to investors because it allows analysis and comparability of operating trends. It also includes the same information that is used by Teradata management to make decisions regarding the segments and to assess financial performance. The chief operating decision maker evaluates the performance of the segments based on revenue and multiple profit measures, including segment gross margin. For management reporting purposes assets are not allocated to the segments. Prior period segment information has been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Table of Contents

The following table presents segment revenue and segment gross margin for the Company for the years ended December 31:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
Segment revenue			
Data and Analytics	\$2,337	\$2,523	\$2,478
Marketing Applications	193	209	214
Total revenue	2,530	2,732	2,692
Segment gross margin			
Data and Analytics	1,237	1,422	1,399
Marketing Application	79	94	109
Total segment gross margin	1,316	1,516	1,508
Stock-based compensation expense	13	11	7
Amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets	19	21	24
Acquisition, integration and reorganization-related costs	8	5	4
Total gross margin	1,276	1,479	1,473
Selling, general and administrative expenses	765	770	757
Research and development expenses	228	206	184
Impairment of goodwill and acquired intangibles	478	—	—
Total (loss) income from operations	\$(195)) \$503	\$532

The following table presents revenue by product and services revenue for the Company for the years ended December 31:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
Products (software and hardware) ⁽¹⁾	\$1,057	\$1,227	\$1,230
Consulting services	780	817	818
Maintenance services	693	688	644
Total services	1,473	1,505	1,462
Total revenue	\$2,530	\$2,732	\$2,692

Our analytic database software and hardware products are often sold and delivered together in the form of a “node” (1) of capacity as an integrated technology solution. Accordingly, it is impracticable to provide the breakdown of revenue from various types of software and hardware products.

The following table presents revenues by geographic area at December 31:

In millions	2015	2014	2013
United States	\$1,428	\$1,458	\$1,511
Americas (excluding United States)	125	161	122
International	977	1,113	1,059
Total revenue	\$2,530	\$2,732	\$2,692

The following table presents property and equipment by geographic area at December 31:

In millions	2015 ⁽¹⁾	2014
United States	\$129	\$130
Americas (excluding United States)	3	4
International	23	25
Property and equipment, net	\$155	\$159

(1) 2015 amounts include property and equipment held for sale for \$12 million.

Table of Contents

Concentrations. No single customer accounts for more than 10% of the Company's revenue. As of December 31, 2015, the Company is not aware of any significant concentration of business transacted with a particular customer that could, if suddenly eliminated, have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations. The Company's hardware components are assembled exclusively by Flextronics. In addition, the Company utilizes preferred supplier relationships to better ensure more consistent quality, cost and delivery. There can be no assurances that a disruption in production at Flextronics or at a supplier would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations.

Changes in segment reporting. Beginning January 2016, the Company will change its operating segments and report future results under three separate segments: (a) Americas Data and Analytics (b) International Data and Analytics, and (c) Marketing Applications until such time as the portion of the marketing applications business to be divested is sold. The remainder of the marketing applications business that will be retained will be reported under the data and analytics operating segments.

Note 12 Business Combinations and Other Investment Activities

During 2015, the Company completed two immaterial business acquisitions for \$17 million, which complement and strengthen the Company's global portfolio. One of the acquisitions pertains to the marketing applications business, which the Company plans to exit and therefore has been classified in the assets held for sale (see Note 15). In addition, the Company sold two equity investments for \$85 million and recognized a gain of \$57 million.

During 2014, the Company completed six immaterial business acquisitions and other equity investments for \$69 million. These acquisitions complement and strengthen the Company's global portfolio. Two of these acquisitions pertained to the marketing applications business, which the Company plans to exit and therefore have been classified in the assets held for sale (see Note 15). In addition, the Company recognized a loss of \$9 million in an equity investment arising from an impairment of carrying value.

During 2013, the Company completed two immaterial business acquisitions and two other equity investments for \$36 million. In addition, the Company recognized a loss of \$25 million on an equity investment arising from an impairment of carrying value, partially offset by a \$3 million gain on sale. The gains and losses for these transactions were recorded in other income (expense) in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Note 13 Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income

The following table provides information on changes in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"), net of tax, for the three years ended December 31:

In millions	Available-for-sale securities	Defined benefit plans	Foreign currency translation adjustments	Total AOCI
Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$ —	\$(5)	\$34	\$29
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	—	—	2	2
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	—	2	—	2
Net other comprehensive income	—	2	2	4
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$ —	\$(3)	\$36	\$33
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	31	(22)	(47)	(38)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	—	1	—	1
Net other comprehensive income (loss)	31	(21)	(47)	(37)
Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$ 31	\$(24)	\$(11)	\$(4)
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	(5)	(8)	(36)	(49)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI	(26)	3	—	(23)
Net other comprehensive loss	(31)	(5)	(36)	(72)
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$ —	\$(29)	\$(47)	\$(76)

Table of Contents

The following table presents the impact and respective location of AOCI reclassifications in the Consolidated Statements of Income:

In millions		For the year ended December 31		
AOCI Component	Location	2015	2014	2013
Defined benefit plans	Cost of services	\$ (2)	\$ (1)	\$ (2)
Defined benefit plans	Selling, general and administrative expenses	(1)	—	(1)
Defined benefit plans	Research and development expenses	—	—	1
Available for sale securities	Other income	42	—	—
Tax portion	Income tax expense	(16)	—	—
Total reclassifications	Net income (loss)	\$ 23	\$ (1)	\$ (2)

Further information on the Company's defined benefit plans is included in Note 6.

Note 14 Reorganization and Business Transformation

In the fourth quarter of 2015 the Company announced a plan to realign Teradata's business by reducing its cost structure and focusing on the Company's core data and analytics business. This business transformation includes exiting the marketing applications business (see Note 15), rationalizing costs, and modifying the Company's go-to-market approach. The Company incurred the following costs in 2015 related to these actions:

\$4 million for employee severance and other employee-related costs,

\$140 million charge for asset write-downs, and

\$8 million for professional services, legal and other associated costs.

From the amounts incurred above, \$140 million was for non-cash write-downs of goodwill, acquired intangibles and other assets (see Note 3). In addition to the costs and charges incurred above, the Company made cash payments of \$14 million for employee severance that did not have a material impact on its Statement of Operations due to Teradata accounting for its postemployment benefits under Accounting Standards Codification 712, Compensation - Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits ("ASC 712"), which uses actuarial estimates and defers the immediate recognition of gains or losses. Because the Company accounts for postemployment benefits under ASC 712, it did not record any liability associated with ASC 420, Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations.

Note 15 Assets and Liabilities Held for Sale

In the fourth quarter of 2015, the Company committed to a plan to exit the majority of the marketing applications business. The Company determined that it was in the long-term best interests of the Company and its shareholders to realign Teradata's business by focusing on the Company's core data and analytics business. The assets and liabilities for this business, which are included within our marketing applications segment, were classified as held for sale in the fourth quarter of 2015 and, therefore, the corresponding depreciation and amortization expense was ceased at that time. The anticipated divestiture is not presented as discontinued operations in our consolidated financial statements, because it does not have a major effect on the Company's operations and financial results.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, we generated revenue from these assets of \$152 million. Additionally, for the year ended December 31, 2015 we recognized operating loss from these assets of \$561 million (includes loss from impairment of goodwill and acquired intangibles of \$478 million).

When an asset is classified as held for sale, the asset's carrying amount is evaluated and adjusted to the lower of its carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. As discussed in Note 3, the Company recorded a goodwill impairment of \$97 million in the fourth quarter of 2015. In addition, acquired intangible assets were reduced by \$41 million to adjust the carrying amount of the disposal group's net assets and liabilities down to its fair value less cost to sell.

A summary of the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities held for sale on our consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2015 related to the anticipated divestiture discussed above is detailed below:

Table of Contents

In millions	As of December 31, 2015
Current Assets	
Accounts receivable, net	\$41
Other current assets	3
Total current assets	44
Property and equipment, net	12
Goodwill	113
Acquired intangibles, net	44
Other Assets	1
Total assets held for sale	\$214
Current Liabilities	
Accounts payable	10
Payroll and benefits liabilities	12
Deferred Revenue	30
Other current liabilities	5
Total current liabilities	57
Other liabilities	1
Total liabilities held for sale	\$58

The final sale of the business described above will be subject to the ability to negotiate acceptable terms and conditions and approval of the Company's Board of Directors, as applicable.

Note 16 Quarterly Information (unaudited)

In millions, except per share amounts	First	Second ⁽¹⁾	Third	Fourth ⁽²⁾
2015				
Total revenues	\$582	\$623	\$606	\$719
Gross margin	\$277	\$327	\$307	\$365
Operating income (loss)	\$30	\$(262)	\$77	\$(40)
Net income (loss)	\$22	\$(265)	\$78	\$(49)
Net income (loss) per share:				
Basic	\$0.15	\$(1.87)	\$0.56	\$(0.37)
Diluted	\$0.15	\$(1.87)	\$0.55	\$(0.37)
2014				
Total revenues	\$628	\$676	\$667	\$761
Gross margin	\$333	\$371	\$350	\$425
Operating income	\$89	\$133	\$123	\$158
Net income	\$59	\$96	\$94	\$118
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$0.37	\$0.61	\$0.61	\$0.78
Diluted	\$0.37	\$0.60	\$0.60	\$0.77

(1) Loss from operations for the three months ended June 30, 2015 includes a goodwill impairment charge of \$340 million for the marketing applications segment.

(2) Loss from operations for the three months ended December 31, 2015 includes goodwill and acquired intangibles impairment charges of \$138 million for the marketing applications business.

Table of Contents

Note 17 Subsequent Events

From January 1, 2016 through February 26, 2016, the Company purchased approximately 2 million shares for approximately \$47 million. As of February 26, 2016 the Company had approximately \$528 million of share repurchase authorization remaining.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Teradata maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”)) that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in its reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including, as appropriate, the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance as of December 31, 2015.

Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Teradata’s internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree or compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of Teradata’s internal control over financial reporting as of the end of the period covered by this report. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013). Based on our assessment and those criteria, management concluded that Teradata’s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2015.

Teradata’s independent registered public accounting firm has issued their report on the effectiveness of Teradata’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, which appears in this Annual Report.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the last fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Information required to be included in Part III Item 10 is set forth under the captions “Election of Directors” and “Additional Information Concerning the Board of Directors” in Teradata’s Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of our fiscal 2015 year (the “2016 Proxy Statement”) and is incorporated herein by reference. The information under the heading “Executive Officers of the Registrant” in Part I Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is also incorporated by reference in this section.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Information required to be included in Part III Item 11 is set forth under the captions “Director Compensation,” “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” “Compensation Tables,” “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control,” “Compensation and Human Resource Committee” and “Board Compensation and Human Resource Committee Report on Executive Compensation” in Teradata’s 2016 Proxy Statement and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Information required to be included in Part III Item 12 is set forth under the captions “Stock Ownership” in Teradata’s 2016 Proxy Statement and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Information required to be included in Part III Item 13 is set forth under the captions “Related Person Transactions” and “Board Independence and Related Transactions” in Teradata’s 2016 Proxy Statement and incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Information required to be included in Part III Item 14 is set forth under the caption “Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm” in Teradata’s 2016 Proxy Statement and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Index

1. Financial Statements: The consolidated financial statements of the Company and the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm as set forth in Part II, Item 8 of this Annual Report:

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>52</u>

2. Financial Statement Schedule: Financial Statement Schedule II – Valuation and Qualifying Accounts is included in this Annual Report on page 90. All other schedules are not required under the related instructions or are not applicable.

Exhibits: See Index of Exhibits below for a listing of all exhibits to this Annual Report.

(b) Exhibits identified in parentheses below, on file with the SEC, are incorporated herein by reference as exhibits hereto.

Reference

Number per Item
601 of
Regulation S-K

Description

2.1	Form of Separation and Distribution Agreement between Teradata Corporation and NCR Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 11, 2007 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Teradata Corporation as amended and restated on September 24, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 25, 2007 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws of Teradata Corporation, as amended and restated on April 29, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 5, 2014).
4.1	Common Stock Certificate of Teradata Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated November 13, 2007 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
10.1	Form of Tax Sharing Agreement between Teradata Corporation and NCR Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (SEC file number 001-00395) filed by NCR Corporation on September 25, 2007).
10.2	Form of Technology Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registration Statement on Form 10).

Table of Contents

- 10.3* Teradata Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended and restated on January 31, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated August 3, 2012).
- 10.4* Teradata Corporation Management Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registration Statement on Form 10).
- 10.5* Teradata Change in Control Severance Plan, as amended and restated on July 24, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 27, 2012).
- 10.6* Amended and Restated Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, dated February 3, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
- 10.7* Form of Stock Option Agreement under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan for awards granted in 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registration Statement on Form 10).
- 10.7.1* Form of 2008 Stock Option Agreement under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, approved November 26, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 30, 2007 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
- 10.7.2* Form of 2012 Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Agreement under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on November 28, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.9 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 29, 2012).
- 10.7.3* Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 2, 2008 and November 30, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.8 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 2, 2009 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
- 10.7.4* Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on November 29, 2010 and November 28, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.10 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 1, 2011).
- 10.7.5* Form of 2007 Director Option Grant Statement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.6 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated March 3, 2008 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
- 10.7.6* Form of 2008 Director Option Grant Statement under the Teradata Corporation 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated May 15, 2008 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
- 10.7.7* Teradata Corporation Director Compensation Program, Amended and Restated, effective on April 26, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated August 8, 2011 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
- 10.8*

Edgar Filing: TERADATA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, dated April 20, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Appendix A to the Proxy Statement of Teradata Corporation dated March 1, 2012).

10.9* Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on April 19, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2012).

10.9.1* Form of Restricted Share Unit Agreement Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on April 19, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2012).

85

Table of Contents

- 10.9.2* Form of Performance-Based Restricted Share Unit Agreement Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on April 19, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 26, 2012).
- 10.9.3* Form of Director Restricted Share Unit Grant Statement under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated August 3, 2012).
- 10.9.4* Form of Special 2016 Performance-Based Restricted Share Unit Agreement under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on February 26, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12.4 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 28, 2013).
- 10.9.5* Form of Special Long-Term Strategic Performance-Based Restricted Share Unit Agreement under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on February 26, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12.5 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 28, 2013).
- 10.9.6* Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 2, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.6 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2014).
- 10.9.7* Form of Stock Option Agreement For Non-U.S. Employees Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 2, 2013. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.7 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2014).
- 10.9.8* Form of Restricted Share Unit Agreement Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 2, 2013. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.8 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2014).
- 10.9.9* Form of Restricted Share Unit Agreement For Non-U.S. Employees Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 2, 2013. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.9 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2014).
- 10.9.10* Form of Performance-Based Restricted Share Unit Agreement Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 2, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.10 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2014).
- 10.9.11* Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.11 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2015).
- 10.9.12* Form of Stock Option Agreement For Non-U.S. Employees Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.12 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2015).
- 10.9.13* Form of Restricted Share Unit Agreements Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.13 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2015).
- 10.9.14*

Edgar Filing: TERADATA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Form of Restricted Share Unit Agreements For Non-U.S. Employees Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.14 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2015).

- 10.9.15* Form of Performance-Based Restricted Share Unit Agreement Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.15 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2015).
- 10.9.16* Form of Stock Option Agreement Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2015.

Table of Contents

10.9.17*	Form of Stock Option Agreement For Non-U.S. Employees Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2015.
10.9.18*	Form of Restricted Share Unit Agreements Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2015.
10.9.19*	Form of Restricted Share Unit Agreements For Non-U.S. Employees Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2015.
10.9.20*	Form of Performance-Based Restricted Share Unit Agreement Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2014.
10.9.21*	Form of Relative TSR Performance-Based Restricted Share Unit Agreement Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on February 7, 2016.
10.9.22*	Form of Restricted Share Unit Agreement (Marketing Applications Division) Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2014.
10.9.23*	Form of Restricted Share Unit Agreement for Non-U.S. Employees (Marketing Applications Division) Under the Teradata 2012 Stock Incentive Plan, approved on December 1, 2014.
10.10	Purchase and Manufacturing Services Agreement, effective April 27, 1998, by and between NCR Corporation and Solectron Corporation, now known as Flextronics International Ltd. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to NCR Corporation's Form 10-Q (SEC File No. 001-00395) for the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.10.1	Amendment No. 1 to Purchase and Manufacturing Services Agreement, dated January 29, 2000, between NCR Corporation and Solectron Corporation, now known as Flextronics International Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registration Statement on Form 10).
10.11*	Offer Letter to Michael Koehler (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registration Statement on Form 10).
10.11.1*	Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Michael Koehler, dated October 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 14, 2008 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
10.12*	Offer Letter to Stephen Scheppmann (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registration Statement on Form 10).
10.12.1*	Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Stephen Scheppmann, dated October 7, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 14, 2008 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
10.13*	Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Robert Fair dated September 20, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
10.13.1*	

Edgar Filing: TERADATA CORP /DE/ - Form 10-K

Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Robert Fair effective December 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009 (SEC file number 001-33458)).

10.14*

Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Daniel Harrington dated September 20, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009 (SEC file number 001-33458)).

87

Table of Contents

10.14.1*	Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Daniel Harrington effective December 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 9, 2009 (SEC file number 001-33458)).
10.15*	Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Darryl McDonald dated September 20, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 28, 2013).
10.15.1*	Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Darryl McDonald effective December 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21.1 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 28, 2013).
10.16*	Employment contract with Hermann Wimmer, effective as of January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 28, 2013).
10.16.1*	Amendment Agreement to the Employment Contract with Hermann Wimmer, effective as of February 27, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16.1 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K dated February 27, 2015).
10.16.2*	Amendment Letter Agreement to the Employment Contract with Hermann Wimmer, effective as of May 6, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q dated May 8, 2015).
10.17*	Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Sandra Davis dated September 20, 2007.
10.17.1*	Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Sandra Davis effective December 31, 2008.
10.18*	Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Laura Nyquist dated September 20, 2007.
10.18.1*	Amendment to the Offer Letter from Teradata Corporation to Laura Nyquist effective December 31, 2008.
10.19	Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of March 25, 2015 among Teradata Corporation, Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agent, Citibank, N.A., HSBC Bank USA, N.A., The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Co-Documentation Agents, and the other lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 31, 2015).
10.19.1	Second Amendment to the Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of January 22, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 28, 2016).
10.19.2	Third Amendment to the Revolving Credit Agreement dated as of January 22, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 28, 2016).

10.20

Term Loan Agreement dated as of March 25, 2015 among Teradata Corporation, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A., as Syndication Agent, Citibank, N.A., HSBC Bank USA, N.A., The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. and U.S. Bank National Association, as Co-Documentation Agent, and the other lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.2 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 31, 2015).

88

Table of Contents

10.20.1	Second Amendment to the Term Loan Agreement dated as of January 22, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 28, 2016).
10.20.2	Third Amendment to the Term Loan Agreement dated as of January 22, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 28, 2016).
21	Subsidiaries of Teradata Corporation.
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31.1	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) dated February 26, 2016.
31.2	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) dated February 26, 2016.
32	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 dated February, 26, 2016.
101	Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Income for the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, (ii) the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive (Loss) Income for the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, (iii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2015 and 2014, (iv) the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, (v) the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the twelve month periods ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, (vi) Financial Statement Schedule II, and (vii) the notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
*	Management contracts or compensatory plans, contracts or arrangements.

Table of Contents

TERADATA CORPORATION
 SCHEDULE II—VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
 (In millions)

Column A Description	Column B Balance at Beginning of Period	Column C Additions Charged to Costs & Expenses	Charged to Other Accounts	Column D Deductions	Column E Balance at End of Period
Allowance for doubtful accounts					
Year ended December 31, 2015**	\$19	\$5	\$—	\$2	\$22
Year ended December 31, 2014	\$18	\$3	\$—	\$2	\$19
Year ended December 31, 2013	\$18	\$1	\$—	\$1	\$18
Deferred tax valuation allowance					
Year ended December 31, 2015	\$20	\$5	\$—	\$—	\$25
Year ended December 31, 2014	\$13	\$7	\$—	\$—	\$20
Year ended December 31, 2013	\$9	\$4	\$—	\$—	\$13

** Above amounts include allowances classified as held for sale.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

TERADATA CORPORATION

Date: February 26, 2016

By:

/s/ Stephen M. Scheppmann
Stephen M. Scheppmann
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Table of Contents

Signature	Title
Michael F. Koehler	Director and Chief Executive Officer
Stephen M. Scheppmann	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)
/s/ James M. Ringler James M. Ringler	Chairman of the Board of Directors
/s/ Lisa R. Bacus Lisa R. Bacus	Director
/s/ Edward P. Boykin Edward P. Boykin	Director
/s/ Nancy E. Cooper Nancy E. Cooper	Director
/s/ Cary T. Fu Cary T. Fu	Director
/s/ Michael P. Gianoni Michael P. Gianoni	Director
/s/ David E. Kepler David E. Kepler	Director
/s/ Victor L. Lund Victor L. Lund	Director
/s/ John G. Schwarz John G. Schwarz	Director
/s/ William S. Stavropoulos William S. Stavropoulos Date: February 26, 2016	Director