

HEALTHCARE SERVICES GROUP INC
Form DEF 14A
April 16, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

HEALTHCARE SERVICES GROUP, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

HEALTHCARE SERVICES GROUP, INC.
3220 Tillman Drive
Suite 300
Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
May 28, 2013

To the Shareholders of
HEALTHCARE SERVICES GROUP, INC.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting (the “Annual Meeting”) of Shareholders of Healthcare Services Group, Inc. (the “Company”) will be held at the Radisson Hotel Philadelphia Northeast, 2400 Old Lincoln Highway, Trevose, Pennsylvania 19053, on May 28, 2013, at 10:00 A.M., for the following purposes:

- 1 To elect nine directors;
- 2 To approve and ratify the selection of Grant Thornton LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for its current fiscal year ending December 31, 2013;
- 3 An advisory vote on a non-binding resolution to approve the compensation of certain of our executive officers disclosed in this proxy statement;
- 4 To consider two shareholder proposals, if properly presented; and
- 5 To consider and act upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement.

Only shareholders of record at the close of business on April 5, 2013 will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of
Proxy Materials for the Shareholders
meeting to be held on May 28, 2013

The proxy statement and annual report to shareholders are available under “2013 Proxy Materials” at www.proxydocs.com/hcsg.

Please sign and promptly mail the enclosed proxy, whether or not you expect to attend the Annual Meeting, in order that your shares may be voted for you. A return envelope is provided for your convenience.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

DANIEL P. MCCARTNEY
Chairman and
Chief Executive Officer

Dated: April 15, 2013
Bensalem, Pennsylvania

HEALTHCARE SERVICES GROUP, INC.
3220 Tillman Drive
Suite 300
Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020

PROXY STATEMENT
FOR
ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
May 28, 2013

This proxy statement contains information related to the annual meeting of shareholders (the “Annual Meeting”) of Healthcare Services Group, Inc. (the “Company”), to be held on Tuesday, May 28, 2013, beginning at 10:00 a.m. (EDT), to be held at the Radisson Hotel Philadelphia Northeast, 2400 Old Lincoln Highway, Trevose, Pennsylvania 19053, and at any postponements or adjournments thereof.

ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING

What is the Purpose of the Annual Meeting

At the Company’s Annual Meeting, shareholders will hear an update on the Company’s operations, have a chance to meet some of its directors and executives and will act on the following matters:

- 1 To elect nine directors to the Company’s Board of Directors to serve for a one year term and until the directors’ successors are elected and qualified (“Proposal No. 1”);
- 2 To approve and ratify the selection of Grant Thornton LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for its current fiscal ending December 31, 2013 (“Proposal No. 2”);
- 3 An advisory vote on a non-binding resolution to approve the compensation of certain of our executive officers disclosed in this proxy statement (“Proposal No. 3”);
- 4 To consider two shareholder proposals, if properly presented at the Annual Meeting (“Shareholder Proposals”); and
- 5 To consider and act upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement.

Who May Vote; Date of Mailing

Only holders of record of our common stock at the close of business on April 5, 2013 (the “Record Date”), are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. On the Record Date, there were issued and outstanding approximately 68,417,000 shares of our common stock. Each share of common stock entitles the holder thereof to one vote. This Proxy Statement is being mailed to shareholders on or about April 26, 2013.

What constitutes a quorum?

In order to carry on the business of the Annual Meeting, we must have a quorum. The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock is required to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting.

How many votes are required to approve each proposal?

Election of Directors

The affirmative vote of a plurality of the shares of common stock present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote is required for the election to our Board of Directors of each of the nominees for director. Shareholders do not have the right to cumulate their votes in the election of directors.

Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, Approval of Executive Compensation and Approval of Shareholder Proposals

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the votes cast at the annual meeting in person or by proxy and entitled to vote is required for approval of Proposal No. 2, Proposal No. 3 and each of the Shareholder Proposals.

How are votes counted?

You may either vote 'FOR' or 'WITHHOLD' authority to vote for each nominee for election to the Board of Directors. You may vote 'FOR' 'AGAINST' or 'ABSTAIN' on Proposal No. 2, Proposal No. 3 and each of the Shareholder Proposals. Abstentions will be counted as present for purposes of determining the existence of a quorum, but will have no effect on the vote of the particular proposal. If you sign and submit a proxy card without voting instructions, your shares will be voted 'FOR' each director nominee, 'FOR' Proposals No. 2 and Proposal No. 3, AGAINST each of the Shareholder Proposals and 'FOR' or 'AGAINST' any other proposal as recommended by the Board of Directors.

What is a broker non-vote?

If shareholders do not give their brokers instructions as to how to vote shares held in street name, the brokers have discretionary authority to vote those shares on 'routine' matters, such as the ratification of independent registered public accounting firm, but not on 'non-routine' proposals, such as the election of directors, the advisory vote regarding executive compensation and the shareholder proposals. As a result, if you hold your shares in street name and do not provide voting instructions to your broker, your shares will not be voted on any proposal on which your broker does not have discretionary authority to vote. Shares held by brokers who do not have discretionary authority to vote on a particular matter and who have not received voting instructions from their customers will be counted as present for the purpose of determining whether there is a quorum at the Annual Meeting, but will not be counted or deemed to be present in person or by proxy for the purpose of determining whether our shareholders have approved that matter.

How to Vote

You may vote in person at the Annual Meeting or by proxy. We recommend that you vote by proxy even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. You can always change your vote at the Annual Meeting.

How Proxies Work

Our Board of Directors is asking for your proxy. Giving us your proxy means you authorize us to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting in the manner you direct. You may vote for or against the proposals or abstain from voting.

Proxies submitted will be voted by the individuals named on the proxy card in the manner you indicate. If you give us your proxy but do not specify how you want your shares voted, they will be voted in accordance with the Board of Directors recommendations.

You may receive more than one proxy or voting card depending on how you hold your shares. If you hold shares through someone else, such as a stockbroker, you may get materials from them asking how you want to vote. The latest proxy card we receive from you will determine how we will vote your shares.

Revoking a Proxy

A proxy may be revoked by delivery of a written statement to the Secretary of the Company stating that the proxy is revoked, by a subsequent proxy executed by the person executing the prior proxy and presented to the Annual Meeting, or by voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

Attending in Person

Only shareholders, their proxy holders, and our invited guests may attend the Annual Meeting. For security purposes, all persons attending the Annual Meeting must bring identification with photo. If you wish to attend the Annual Meeting in person but you hold your shares through someone else, such as a stockbroker, you must bring proof of your ownership to the Annual Meeting. For example, you could bring an account statement showing that you owned shares of the Company as of the Record Date as acceptable proof of ownership.

Expenses; Proxy Solicitation

All expenses in connection with this solicitation will be borne by the Company. It is expected that solicitation will be made primarily by mail, but regular employees or representatives of the Company may also solicit proxies by telephone, telegraph or in person, without additional compensation, except for reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The Company operates within a comprehensive plan of corporate governance for the purpose of defining responsibilities, setting high standards of professional and personal conduct and assuring compliance with such responsibilities and standards. The Company regularly monitors developments in the area of corporate governance. In July 2002, Congress passed the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“Sarbanes-Oxley”) which, among other things, establishes, or provides the basis for, a number of new corporate governance standards and disclosure requirements. In addition, the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC has also implemented changes to its corporate governance and listing requirements. Our corporate governance policies are available on our website at <http://investor.hcsgcorp.com/governance.cfm>.

Director Independence

In accordance with these latest developments and the listing requirements of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC, a majority of the current members of the Company's Board of Directors are independent: namely, John M. Briggs, Diane S. Casey, Robert L. Frome, John J. McFadden, Robert J. Moss and Dino D. Ottaviano. If Messrs. Briggs, Frome, McFadden, Moss and Ottaviano and Ms. Casey are re-elected as members of the Board of Directors, a majority of the members of the Company's Board of Directors will continue to be independent.

Mr. Robert L. Frome, a director of the Company, is a member of the law firm of Olshan Frome Wolosky, LLP, which law firm has been retained by the Company during the last fiscal year. Fees paid by the Company to such firm during the year ended December 31, 2012 were less than \$125,000. Additionally, the fees paid by the Company did not exceed 5% of such firm's total revenues.

Notwithstanding the above mentioned relationship, Mr. Frome is an independent director as such term is defined by NASDAQ Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC listing standards.

Code of Ethics and Business Conduct

We have also adopted a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct for directors, officers and employees of the Company. It is intended to promote honest and ethical conduct, full and accurate reporting and compliance with laws as well as other matters. A copy of the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct is posted on our website at www.hcsgcorp.com.

Board Leadership and Risk Oversight

Daniel P. McCartney, the founder of the Company, has served as our Chief Executive Officer and as the Chairman of our Board of Directors since inception. We believe our Company benefits from the intimate knowledge Mr. McCartney has of our operations and his extensive experience serving as the Chairman of the Board of a public company. In addition, the Company has appointed John M. Briggs as its lead independent director. In such capacity, Mr. Briggs presides at executive sessions of the Board which allows us to maintain the active engagement of our independent directors and helps to ensure the Board's ability to provide independent oversight of management. Mr. Briggs also serves as a liaison between the Chairman and the non-employee directors. We believe that by including a Lead Director in our leadership structure, we have strengthened our corporate governance and compliance. Our lead director responsibilities are very similar to those typically performed by an independent board chair and include: (i) ensuring the Board has full, timely and relevant information to support its decision-making requirements; (ii) advising the Chairman as to the appropriate schedule for Board meetings and provide input on the preparation of agendas for Board meetings; (iii) consulting with the Chairman and the Board on the effectiveness of Board committees; (iv) calling meetings of the Board's non-employee directors; and (v) consulting with the Chairman as to the timeliness of the flow of information from the Company that is necessary for the directors to effectively perform their duties. We believe our current Board leadership structure is optimal for us because it demonstrates to our shareholders,

employees, suppliers, customers, and other stakeholders that we are under strong leadership. Having this leadership structure for the Company and the Board provides consistent direction and eliminates potential duplication of efforts. We believe that the Company has been well-served by this leadership structure as evidenced by our significant growth over the past 36 years.

Our Board conducts an annual evaluation in order to determine whether it and its committees are functioning effectively. As part of this annual self-evaluation, the Board evaluates whether the current leadership structure continues to be optimal for the Company and our shareholders.

Our Board is responsible for overseeing the Company's risk management process. The Board focuses on the Company's general risk management strategy, including the most significant risks facing the Company, and ensures that appropriate risk mitigation strategies are implemented by management. The Board is also apprised of particular risk management matters in connection with its general oversight and approval of corporate matters.

The Board has delegated to the Audit Committee oversight of certain aspects of the Company's risk management process. Among its duties, the Audit Committee oversees the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and the Company's system of disclosure controls and system of internal financial, accounting and legal compliance controls. The Board receives a quarterly update from the Audit Committee, which includes a review of items addressed during prior quarters. Our other Board committees also consider and address risk as they perform their respective committee responsibilities. All committees report to the full Board as appropriate, including when a matter rises to the level of a material risk.

The Company's management is responsible for day-to-day risk management under the direction of John C. Shea, the Company's Chief Financial Officer. Our internal audit department serves as the primary monitoring and testing function for company-wide policies and procedures, and manages the day-to-day oversight of the risk management strategy for the ongoing business of the Company. This oversight includes identifying, evaluating, and addressing potential risks that may exist at the enterprise, strategic, financial, operational, and compliance and reporting levels. The Company conducts an annual review of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, code of ethics and billing and sales compliance. To the extent deemed necessary, the Company revises such procedures and policies.

We believe the division of risk management responsibilities described above is an effective approach for addressing the risks facing the Company and that our Board leadership structure supports this approach.

Meetings of Non-Employee Directors

Non-employee directors meet in executive session, without members of management present, as part of each regularly scheduled Board meeting.

PROPOSAL NO. 1
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

At the Annual Meeting, nine directors of the Company are to be elected, each to hold office for a term of one year. All nominees currently serve as a Director. In addition, in April 2013, Mr. Joseph F. McCartney informed the Board of Directors that he will retire from his position as a Director of the Company after the Annual Meeting and therefore, will not be standing for re-election. Unless authority is specifically withheld, management proxies will be voted FOR the election of the nominees named below to serve as directors until the next annual meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been chosen and qualify. Should any nominee not be a candidate at the time of the Annual Meeting (a situation which is not now anticipated), proxies will be voted in favor of the remaining nominees and may also be voted for substitute nominees. If a quorum is present, the candidate or candidates receiving the highest number of votes will be elected. Brokers that do not receive shareholder instructions are not entitled to vote for the election of directors because an uncontested election is now considered a “non-routine” matter. Hence, shareholders who hold their shares through brokerage accounts and who would like to vote in favor of the director nominees will need to instruct their brokerage firm to vote for the Company’s nominees.

The current directors and nominees are as follows:

Name, Age, Principal Occupations for the past five years and Current Public Directorships or Trusteeships	Director Since
Daniel P. McCartney, 61, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of the Company for more than five years	1977
Joseph F. McCartney, 58, Divisional Vice President of the Company for more than five years; joined the Company in 1977; brother of Daniel P. McCartney	1983 (1)
Robert L. Frome, Esq., 75, Member of the law firm of Olshan Frome Wolosky LLP for more than five years. Mr. Frome previously served as a member of the board of directors of NuCo2, Inc., Continuum Group A, Inc. and Horizon Wimba, Inc.	1983
Robert J. Moss, Esq., 75, Retired. Former President of Moss Associates, a law firm, for more than four years. Mr. Moss served as a Court Officer of First Judicial District of Pennsylvania from 2006 to 2007	1992 (2)(3)
John M. Briggs, CPA, 62, serves as the Company’s lead independent director. Mr. Briggs was the Treasurer of the Philadelphia Affiliate of Susan G. Komen for the Cure from 2005 through 2011. Additionally, he is the founder and formerly a Partner of Briggs, Bunting & Dougherty, LLP, a registered public accounting firm. Mr. Briggs is currently a Board member of the Capstone Group of Regulated Investment Funds	1993 (3)(4)
Dino D. Ottaviano, 65, Principal of D2O Marketing, Inc., a provider of internet productivity tools founded in 2006. Previously employed for 23 years with Transcontinental Direct (successor to Communication Concepts, Inc.), a publicly held outsourcing printer, retiring in 2002 as Vice President of Business Development	2007 (2)(3)
Theodore Wahl, CPA, 39, President & Chief Operating Officer, since April 2012. Mr. Wahl joined the Company in 2004. Prior to his appointment to President & Chief Operating Officer, Mr. Wahl served as Executive Vice President & Chief Operating Officer, Vice President of Finance, Regional Manager, Regional Sales Director, District Manager, Facility Manager, as well as in a corporate financial management position. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Wahl was a Senior Manager with Ernst & Young’s Transaction Advisory Group. Mr. Wahl is the son-in-law of Mr. Daniel P. McCartney	2011
Michael E. McBryan, 48, Executive Vice President, since April 2012. Mr. McBryan joined the Company in 1988. Prior to becoming Executive Vice President, Mr. McBryan served as Senior Vice	2011

Edgar Filing: HEALTHCARE SERVICES GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A

President, Divisional Vice President, Regional Sales Director, District Manager and Facility Manager Diane S. Casey, RN, 59, Clinical Nursing Coordinator (CNC) of Endoscopy at Huntingdon Valley Surgery Center, an AAAHC accredited health care facility, where she has worked for more than five years. Ms. Casey also was employed by Holy Redeemer Health Systems for many years in various surgical nursing and management positions	2011	(2)
John J. McFadden, 51, Principal of Global Circulation Services, a provider of marketing and advertising services to Media and Publishing companies founded in 2008. Mr. McFadden previously worked at The McGraw-Hill Companies (parent company of Standard and Poor's) where he held management positions within their global circulation, sales and outsourcing services departments for approximately 15 years	2012	

(1) Not a Nominee for Director.

(2) Member of Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee.

(3) Member of Audit Committee.

(4) Lead Independent Director.

Board Qualifications

We believe that the collective skills, experiences and qualifications of our directors provides our Board with the expertise and experience necessary to advance the interests of our shareholders. While the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee of our Board has not established any specific, minimum qualifications that must be met by each of our directors, it uses a variety of criteria to evaluate the qualifications and skills necessary for each member of the Board. In addition to the individual attributes of each of our current directors described below, we believe that our directors should have the highest professional and personal ethics and values, consistent with our longstanding values and standards. They should have broad experience in the industry the Company serves at the policy-making level in business, exhibit commitment to enhancing shareholder value and have sufficient time to carry out their duties and to provide insight and practical wisdom based on their past experience.

Each of Messrs. McCartney, Wahl, McBryan, and Ms. Casey has extensive experience in the health care services industry. Each of the aforementioned persons' operational experience, in addition to Mr. Wahl's financial expertise, enables each to provide guidance with respect to our operations. Also, we believe since Ms. Casey has not been an employee of the Company and has served her entire career as a direct patient care provider, she brings a patient care perspective to the Company's operations. For instance, she may become aware of new developments in the healthcare services industry before the Company's management learns of such developments and their impact on patient-related issues.

Each of Messrs. Frome and Moss has extensive legal experience. In addition, Mr. Frome has also served as a member of the board of directors of other public companies and has extensive corporate finance, Securities Exchange Commission ("SEC") compliance and mergers and acquisitions experience, which experience aids his service to the Board. Both Mr. Frome and Mr. Moss also have extensive executive experience as they both have served as managing partners of their respective law firms.

Mr. Briggs' years of experience as a certified public accountant provide him with extensive financial and accounting expertise obtained from over thirty years in public accounting. Mr. Briggs qualifies as an audit committee financial expert under SEC guidelines. Mr. Briggs also brings executive experience to the Board as he served as a partner at his accounting firm.

Mr. Ottaviano, through his experience as a top-level marketing and operations executive for many years for two different companies, one of which was a public company, has a comprehensive understanding of business operations, including business development, as well as the compliance obligations of public companies.

Mr. McFadden has sales and marketing experience both as a Principal of his consulting company and through his experience at The McGraw Hill Companies that provided him with an understanding of a large public company's operations, as well as the compliance obligations of a public company.

The Directors recommend a vote "FOR" all nominees.

If Messrs. Briggs, Moss and Ottaviano are re-elected as Directors of the Company it is anticipated that such individuals will comprise the Audit Committee following the Annual Meeting with Mr. Briggs serving as the chairman of such committee.

If Mr. Ottaviano and Ms. Casey are re-elected as Directors of the Company it is anticipated that such individuals will comprise the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee, along with Mr. McFadden following the Annual Meeting with Mr. Ottaviano serving as the chairman of such committee.

OTHER EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Name, Age, Principal Occupations
for the past five years and Current
Public Directorships or Trusteeships

John C. Shea, MBA, CPA, 41, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary since April 2012. Mr. Shea had previously served as Vice President of Finance & Chief Accounting Officer. Mr. Shea joined the Company in 2009 as the Director of Regulatory Reporting. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Shea was a Senior Manager with Ernst & Young's Transaction Advisory Services.

Bryan D. McCartney, 51, Executive Vice President since April 2012. Mr. McCartney joined the Company in 1983. Prior to becoming Executive Vice President, Mr. McCartney served as Senior Vice President, Divisional Vice President, Regional Manager, District Manager and Facility Manager. Mr. McCartney is the brother of Mr. Daniel P. McCartney.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES

BOARD OF DIRECTORS. The business of the Company is managed under the direction of the Board of Directors (the "Board"). The Board meets on a regularly scheduled basis during the Company's fiscal year to review significant developments affecting the Company and to act on matters requiring Board approval. It also holds special meetings when an important matter requires Board action between scheduled meetings. The Board met six times during the 2012 fiscal year. During 2012, each member of the Board participated in at least 75% of all Board and applicable committee meetings held during the period for which he was a director or committee member. Directors are expected to attend all Board meetings and meetings of committees on which they serve, and each Annual Meeting. In 2012, all of the directors attended the Company's Annual Meeting.

The Board has established an Audit Committee, and a Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee to devote attention to specific subjects and to assist it in the discharge of its responsibilities. The functions of those committees, their current members and the number of meetings held during 2012 with respect to the Audit Committee, and the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee are described below:

AUDIT COMMITTEE. The Audit Committee's primary responsibilities, as described in the Amended and Restated Audit Committee Charter (a copy of which is available on the Company's website, www.hcsgcorp.com) include:

- (a) appointment, compensation and oversight of the Company's Independent Auditors, who report directly to the Audit Committee, including (i) prior review of the Independent Auditors' plan for the annual audit, (ii) pre-approval of both audit and non-audit services to be provided by the Independent Auditors and (iii) annual assessment of the qualifications, performance and independence of the Independent Auditors;
- (b) overseeing and monitoring the Company's accounting and financial reporting processes and internal control system, audits of the Company's financial statements and the quality and integrity of the financial reports and other financial information issued by the Company;
- (c) providing an open avenue of communication among the Independent Auditors and financial and other senior management and the Board;
- (d) reviewing with management and, where applicable, the Independent Auditors, prior to release, required annual, quarterly and interim filings by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the type and presentation of information to be included in earnings press releases;
- (e) reviewing material issues, and any analyses by management or the Independent Auditors, concerning accounting principles, financial statement presentation, certain risk management issues, such as the adequacy of the Company's internal controls and significant financial reporting issues and judgments and the effect of regulatory and accounting initiatives on the Company's financial statements;
- (f) reviewing with the Company's legal counsel any legal matters that could have a significant effect on the Company's financial statements, compliance with applicable laws and regulations and inquiries from regulators or other governmental agencies;
- (g) reviewing and approving all related party transactions between the Company and any director, executive officer, other employee or family member;
- (h) reviewing and overseeing compliance with the Company's Code of Ethics and Business Conduct;

(i) establishing procedures regarding the receipt, retention and treatment of, and the anonymous submission by employees of the Company of, complaints regarding the Company's accounting, internal controls or auditing matters; and

(j) reporting Audit Committee activities to the full Board of Directors and issuing annual reports to be included in the Company's proxy statement. Each of Messrs. Moss, Ottaviano and Briggs are independent Directors as such term is defined by Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC listing standards.

Mr. Briggs has been designated the "audit committee financial expert" and he satisfies the attributes required of "audit committee financial experts" pursuant to Section 407 of Sarbanes-Oxley. The Audit Committee met six times during fiscal year 2012. The report of the Audit Committee for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 is included herein under "Audit Committee Report" below.

NOMINATING, COMPENSATION AND STOCK OPTION COMMITTEE. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee (currently composed of Messrs. Ottaviano and Moss and Ms. Casey) are to assist the Board by:

- (a) developing and recommending to the Board a set of effective corporate governance policies and procedures applicable to the Company;
- (b) identifying, reviewing and evaluating individuals qualified to become Board members and recommending that the Board select director nominees for each annual meeting of the Company's shareholders;
- (c) discharging the Board's responsibilities relating to the compensation of Company executives; and
- (d) administering the Company's stock option plans or other equity-based compensation plans.

Each of Messrs. Ottaviano and Moss and Ms. Casey are Independent Directors as such term is defined by Rule 5605(a)(2) of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC listing standards. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee met once during fiscal year 2012.

The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has not adopted a policy or process by which shareholders may make recommendations to the Committee of candidates to be considered by this Committee for nomination for election as Directors. The Committee has determined that it is not appropriate to have such a policy because such recommendations may be informally submitted to and considered by the Committee under its Charter. Shareholders may make such recommendations by giving written notice to Healthcare Services Group, Inc., 3220 Tillman Drive, Suite 300, Bensalem, PA 1902, Attention: Corporate Secretary either by personal delivery or by United States mail, postage prepaid. The Charter of the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee is provided on the Company's website, www.hcsgcorp.com. The Committee has not established a formal process for identifying and evaluating nominees for Director, although generally the Committee may use multiple sources for identifying and evaluating nominees for Director, including referrals from current Directors and shareholders. The Committee has identified certain qualifications it believes an individual should possess before it recommends such person as a nominee for election to the Board of Directors.

The Committee believes that nominees for Director should possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity, values and judgment and be committed to representing the long-term interests of the Company's shareholders. The Committee does not have a formal policy with respect to considering diversity in identifying nominees for directors. The Committee believes that racial and gender diversity are important factors in assessing potential board members, but not at the expense of particular qualifications and experience required to meet the needs of the board. Furthermore, as part of the Committee's review of board composition, the board considers diversity of experience and background in an effort to ensure that the composition of directors ensures a strong and effective board. The Committee seeks to ensure that the composition of the Board at all times adheres to the independence requirements of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC and reflects a range of talents, skills, and expertise, particularly in the areas of management, leadership, and experience in the Company's and related industries, sufficient to provide sound and prudent guidance with respect to the operations and interests of the Company. See below for the Report of the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee regarding executive compensation.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information as of April 5, 2013, regarding the beneficial ownership of Common Stock by each person or group known by the Company to own: (i) 5% or more of the outstanding shares of Common Stock, (ii) each director of the Company, (iii) the Named Executive Officers as defined in Item 402(a)(3) of Regulation S-K and (iv) all current directors and executive officers of the Company as a group. The persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of Common Stock owned by them, unless otherwise noted.

Name and Beneficial Owner or Group(1)(2)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership		Percent of Class (3)	
Neuberger Berman Group LLC	6,853,455	(4)	10.0	%
BlackRock, Inc.	5,099,480	(5)	7.5	%
The Vanguard Group, Inc.	3,924,859	(6)	5.7	%
Daniel P. McCartney	3,024,472	(7)	4.4	%
Joseph F. McCartney	280,447	(8)	(20)
Theodore Wahl	195,287	(9)	(20)
Bryan D. McCartney	192,257	(10)	(20)
Michael E. McBryan	134,719	(11)	(20)
Robert L. Frome	77,999	(12)	(20)
John M. Briggs	38,378	(13)	(20)
Robert J. Moss	20,003	(14)	(20)
Richard W. Hudson	12,742	(15)	(20)
John C. Shea	5,591	(16)	(20)
Dino D. Ottaviano	4,938	(17)	(20)
Diane S. Casey	1,001	(18)	(20)
John J. McFadden	—		(20)
Directors and Executive Officers as a group (13 persons)	3,989,834	(19)	5.8	%

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of all persons is c/o Healthcare Services Group, Inc., 3220 Tillman Drive, Suite 300, Bensalem, PA 19020.

(2) The address of Neuberger Berman Group LLC is 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158.

The address of Blackrock, Inc. is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022.

The address of The Vanguard Group, Inc. is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355.

(3) Based on 68,417,000 shares of Common Stock outstanding at April 5, 2013.

According to a Schedule 13G filed by Neuberger Berman Group LLC, Neuberger Berman LLC, Neuberger

(4) Berman Management LLC and Neuberger Berman Equity Funds on February 14, 2013, such entities have, in the aggregate, beneficial ownership of 6,853,455 shares.

(5) According to a Schedule 13G filed by BlackRock, Inc. dated February 8, 2013, it has sole dispositive power and sole voting power with respect to the 5,099,480 shares.

(6) According to a Schedule 13G filed by The Vanguard Group, Inc. dated February 11, 2013, it has sole dispositive and sole voting power with respect to 3,924,859 shares.

Includes incentive stock options to purchase 81,237 shares and nonqualified stock options to purchase 234,708

(7) shares all currently exercisable and 68,396 shares credited to Mr. McCartney's account (but unissued) in connection with the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan.

(8)

Includes incentive stock options to purchase 45,391 shares and nonqualified stock options to purchase 30,359 shares, all currently exercisable, 12,357 shares credited to Mr. McCartney's account (but unissued) in connection with the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan and 3,976 shares held in joint custody by Mr. McCartney's wife, an adult child and her mother.

(9) Includes incentive stock options to purchase 21,196 shares, and nonqualified stock options to purchase 3,554 shares, all currently exercisable, and 5,105 shares credited to Mr. Wahl's account (but unissued) in connection with the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan. Additionally, includes 72,938 and 17,710 shares held by Mr. Wahl's wife and minor children, respectively.

(10) Includes incentive stock options to purchase 48,629 shares and nonqualified stock options to purchase 61,621 shares, all currently exercisable, and 17,575 shares credited to Mr. McCartney's account (but unissued) in connection with the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan. Additionally, includes 19,996 shares held by Mr. McCartney's children.

(11) Includes incentive stock options to purchase 38,241 shares and nonqualified stock options to purchase 61,621 shares, all currently exercisable, and 26,686 shares credited to Mr. McBryan's account (but unissued) in connection with the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan.

(12) Includes nonqualified stock options to purchase 27,018 shares, all currently exercisable.

(13) Includes nonqualified stock options to purchase 8,298 shares, all currently exercisable.

(14) Includes nonqualified stock options to purchase 21,490 shares, all currently exercisable.

(15) Includes nonqualified stock options to purchase 3,000 shares, all currently exercisable and 8,330 shares credited to Mr. Hudson's account (but unissued) in connection with the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan.

(16) Includes incentive stock options to purchase 3,050 shares, all currently exercisable and 1,129 shares credited to Mr. Shea's account (but unissued) in connection with the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan.

(17) Includes nonqualified stock options to purchase 4,498 shares, all currently exercisable.

(18) Includes nonqualified stock options to purchase 1,001 shares, all currently exercisable.

(19) Includes 694,912 shares underlying options granted to this group. All options are currently exercisable; also includes 139,578 shares credited to the accounts of certain executive officers (but unissued) in connection with the Company's Deferred Compensation Plan.

(20) Less than 1% of the outstanding shares.

MANAGEMENT COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Compensation Objectives

We refer to our chief executive officer, the chief financial officer, each of our other three most highly compensated executive officers and the former chief financial officer as our Named Executive Officers. As more fully described below (a) the base salary of Mr. Daniel McCartney was primarily based on a minimum base salary plus an additional amount based on the Company's income from operations before income taxes, and (b) in 2012, 2011 and 2010, the salaries and bonuses, where applicable, of Messrs. Wahl, Shea, Hudson, Bryan McCartney and McBryan, were based on their performance and level of responsibility. Our Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee believes that compensation paid to Mr. Daniel McCartney, is consistent with the principle that compensation plans of senior operational officers should be closely aligned with our performance on both a short-term and long-term basis to create value for shareholders, and that such compensation should assist us in attracting and retaining key executives critical to our long-term success.

In establishing compensation for executive officers, the following are the Company's and Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee's objectives:

- Attract and retain individuals of superior ability and managerial talent;
- Ensure officer compensation is aligned with our corporate strategies, business objectives and the long-term interests of our shareholders; and
- Enhance the officers' incentive to maximize shareholder value, as well as promote retention of key people, by providing a portion of total compensation for management in the form of direct ownership in us through stock options and other compensatory stock-based plans.

To achieve these objectives, our overall compensation program aims to pay our Named Executive Officers competitively, consistent with our success and their contribution to that success. To accomplish this we rely on programs that provide compensation in the form of both cash and equity. Although our Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has not adopted any formal guidelines for allocating total compensation between cash and equity, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee considers the balance between providing short-term and long-term incentives which are designed to help align the interests of management with shareholders.

We have not retained a compensation consultant to review our policies and procedures with respect to executive compensation, although the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee may elect to retain such a consultant in the future if it determines that so doing would be helpful in developing, implementing or maintaining compensation plans.

The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee conducts an annual review of the aggregate level of our executive compensation, as well as the mix of elements used to compensate our executive officers. In addition, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has historically taken into account input from other independent members of our board of directors and, to the extent available, publicly available data relating to the compensation practices and policies of other companies within and outside our industry. As part of the review of the Company's compensation, the compensation policies of the following companies have been examined: AMN Healthcare Services, Inc. (a healthcare staffing company), Crothall Services Group (a provider of hospital housekeeping, hospital facilities management, and hospital environmental services) and ARAMARK Corporation (a food, hospitality and facility service company). The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee believes that gathering information about the compensation practices of these companies is an important part of our compensation-related decision-making process. However, since none of these companies are specifically engaged in

the Company's business and the Company is unaware of any other public company which provides housekeeping and food services solely to the health care industry and primarily to the long term care segment of the industry, the Company believes that compensation comparisons with the aforementioned companies is not apt. Accordingly, while the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee is aware of the compensation practices of the companies set forth above, the Committee has not necessarily relied on comparisons with such entities for purposes of making compensation decisions for Company executive officers and the Company does not benchmark compensation against the compensation of such other Companies.

Determination of Compensation Awards

The compensation of the Chief Executive Officer of the Company is determined by the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee. Such Committee's determinations regarding compensation are based on a number of factors including, in order of importance:

- Consideration of the operating and financial performance of the Company, primarily its income before income taxes during the preceding fiscal year, as compared with prior operating periods;
- Attainment of a level of compensation designed to retain a superior executive in a highly competitive environment; and
- Consideration of the individual's overall contribution to the Company.

Compensation for the Named Executive Officers (referred to in the summary compensation table) other than the Chief Executive Officer is determined by the Chief Executive Officer in consultation with the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee, taking into account the same factors considered in determining the Chief Executive Officer's compensation as described above. Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986 limits deductibility of compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers unless this compensation qualifies as "performance-based." Based on the applicable tax regulations, any taxable compensation derived from the exercise of stock options by senior executives under the Company's stock option plans should qualify as performance-based. The Company's 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2012 Plan") contains limits as to how many options may be granted to a recipient in any calendar year. Therefore, compensation received as a result of options granted under the 2012 Plan qualify as "performance-based" for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code. The Company's Named Executive Officers receive stock options. As described under "Executive Compensation - Grant of Plan-Based Awards", options were granted in fiscal year 2012 to certain Named Executive Officers.

The Company applies a consistent approach to compensation for all employees, including senior management. This approach is based on the belief that the achievements of the Company result from the coordinated efforts of all employees working toward common objectives.

Elements of Compensation

Base Salary. Base salaries for our executives are established based on the scope of their responsibilities and individual experience, taking into account competitive market compensation paid by companies in our industry. Base salaries are reviewed annually, and adjusted from time to time to realign salaries with market levels. With respect to certain of our executive officers this adjustment takes into account individual responsibilities, performance and experience.

In 2012, 2011 and 2010, Mr. Daniel McCartney's minimum base salary was approximately \$19,000, with the balance of his base salary derived from the Performance-Based Compensation criteria described in the paragraph below. The annual salary for Mr. John Shea increased from 2010 to 2012 due to the continuing increased responsibilities assumed by Mr. Shea resulting from his promotions from Director of Regulatory Reporting to Vice President of Finance and Chief Accounting Officer in 2010 and to Chief Financial Officer and Secretary in 2012. The annual salary for Mr. Theodore Wahl increased from 2010 to 2012 due to the increased responsibilities assumed by Mr. Wahl resulting from his promotions from Vice President of Finance to Executive Vice President in 2010 and to Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer in 2011 and to President and Chief Operating Officer in 2012. The annual salaries of Mr. Michael McBryan and Mr. Bryan McCartney are approximately \$102,000 with Performance-Based Compensation that is based on the criteria noted below and are reflective of their responsibilities as Executive Vice Presidents. The annual salary for Mr. Richard Hudson increased from 2010 to 2011 due to the continuing increased responsibilities in connection with his serving as Chief Financial Officer, however, in 2012 Mr. Hudson transitioned from Chief Financial Officer to Chief Compliance Officer. Mr. Hudson served as our Chief Compliance Officer until

his retirement in March 2013.

Performance-Based Compensation. We structure our annual incentive program to reward certain executive officers based on our performance and our evaluation of the individual executive's contribution to that performance. This allows executive officers to receive such compensation based on the results that they helped us to achieve in the previous year. The incentive payment, based upon the Company's prior year performance, becomes the major portion of the named executive officer's salary for the following year. Mr. Daniel P. McCartney's payment is based on a rate of 1.7% of the income from operations before income taxes of the Company in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the fiscal year immediately preceding the year for which such annual salary is calculated. In the 2011 and 2010 periods, the Company used rates of 1.85% and 2.0%, respectively, for purposes of calculating Mr. Daniel McCartney's incentive payments. Although, the Company had used the 3% rate for more than 20 years prior to 2006, the Company believes that the current year 1.7% rate provides an appropriate benchmark upon which to build the compensation for the chief executive officer. The 3% figure was initially selected as it was deemed to be representative

13

of performance-based compensation for the chief executive officer and chief operating officer, as well as providing for a compensation level which reflects the performance of the Company. The Company reduced the rate to 2.3% for 2007 and continued such rate in 2008, as it believed that this reduced rate was a fair and appropriate measure by reason of the continued increase in the Company's income before income taxes. Based on the continued increase in the Company's income before income taxes, the Company believed that a further rate reduction from 1.85% to 1.7% in 2012 after the reduction from 2.0% to 1.85% in 2011 was appropriate. Moreover, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has historically established the rate to more align Daniel McCartney's compensation with the compensation of the Company's other managerial employees. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has historically tied the compensation of Mr. Daniel McCartney into the Company's financial performance because he has had responsibility for all key strategic and policy decisions impacting the Company. Mr. Bryan McCartney and Mr. McBryan are also subject to incentive compensation payments which are based on certain financial and non-financial performance measurements including facility growth, profitability, client retention and satisfaction. Their incentive compensation is reflective of their overall responsibility of the management of our operations and the delivery of the expected financial and non-financial performance associated with our services.

Discretionary Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee is responsible for determining the individuals who will be granted options, the number of options each individual will receive, the option price per share, and the exercise period of each option. Guidelines for the number of stock options granted to each executive officer are determined using a procedure approved by the Committee based upon several factors, including the executive officer's salary level, performance and the value of the stock option at the time of grant. We grant options at the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. In January 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee granted options to purchase an aggregate of approximately 107,000, 115,000 and 119,000 shares of common stock, respectively, to our current Named Executive Officers and directors. Additionally, in January 2013, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee granted restricted stock awards of approximately 4,000 shares to our current Named Executive Officers. No restricted stock awards were granted by the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee in January 2012 or 2011. Such awards are detailed for the respective Named Executive Officers in the table reporting on Grant of Plan-Based Awards included in this proxy statement. In making its decision to grant these awards, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee considered the competitive challenges to our business and the commitments of time, energy and expertise our executive officers have expended to meet these challenges and foster the growth and financial position of the Company. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has also granted options to all other levels of Company management and key employees and believes that the grant of the options to the Named Executive Officers is aligned with the grants to such management and key employees and also aligns the interest of management with shareholders. As indicated under "Compensation Objectives" above, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has not adopted any formal guidelines for allocating total compensation between cash and equity.

Deferred Compensation Plan. Since January 1, 2000, we have had a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the "SERP") for certain key executives and employees. The SERP is not qualified under Section 401 of the Internal Revenue Code. Effective in Plan year 2010, the SERP was amended to allow participants to defer up to 25% of their earned income on a pre-tax basis. Prior to the amendment, participants were eligible to defer up to 15% of their earned income on a pre-tax basis. As of the last day of each plan year, each participant will receive a 25% match of up to 15% of their deferral in the form of our Common Stock based on the then current market value. The 2010 amendment increased the deferral amount to 25% of their earned income on a pre-tax basis, but the 25% match is still limited to a maximum of 15% of eligible participants' deferral. SERP participants fully vest in our matching contribution three years from the first day of the initial year of participation. The income deferred and our matching contributions are unsecured and subject to the claims of our general creditors. Under the SERP, we are authorized to issue up to 1,013,000 shares of our common stock to our employees. Pursuant to such authorization, we have 471,000 shares available for future grant at December 31, 2012 (after deducting the 2012 funding of 24,000 shares delivered in

2013 and adding back 9,000 shares forfeited during 2012). In the aggregate, since initiation of the SERP, the Company's 25% match has resulted in 541,000 shares (including the 2012 funding of shares delivered in 2013, net of forfeited shares) being issued to the trustee. At the time of issuance, such shares were accounted for at cost, as treasury stock. At December 31, 2012, approximately 282,000 of such shares are vested and remain in the respective active participants' accounts.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Since January 1, 2000, we have had a non-compensatory ESPP for all eligible employees. All full-time and certain part-time employees who have completed two years of continuous service with us are eligible to participate. The ESPP was implemented through five annual offerings. On January 1, 2000, the first annual offering commenced. On February 12, 2004 (effective January 1, 2004), our Board of Directors extended the ESPP for an additional eight annual offerings. On April 12, 2011, the Board of Directors extended the ESPP for an additional five offerings through 2016. Annual offerings commence and terminate on the respective year's first and last calendar day. Under the ESPP, we are authorized to issue up to 4,050,000 shares of our common stock to our employees. Pursuant to such authorization, we have 2,541,000 shares available for future grant at December 31, 2012. Furthermore, under the terms of the ESPP, eligible employees may contribute through payroll deductions up to \$21,250 (85% of IRS limitation) of their compensation toward the purchase of the Company's common stock. No employee may purchase common stock which exceeds \$25,000 in fair market value (determined on the date of grant) for each calendar year. The price per share is equal to the lower of 85% of the fair market price on the first day of the offering period, or 85% of the fair market price on the day of purchase.

Other Elements of Compensation and Perquisites.

Medical Insurance. We provide to each Named Executive Officer, the named executive officer's spouse and children such health, dental and optical insurance as we may from time to time make available to our other executives of the same level of employment. This insurance requires an employee co-payment of the insurance premium.

Life and Disability Insurance. We provide each Named Executive Officer such disability and/or life insurance as we in our sole discretion may from time to time make available to our other executive employees of the same level of employment.

Automobile Allowance. We provide some Named Executive Officers with an automobile allowance during the term of their employment with us as we in our sole discretion may from time to time make available to our other executive employees of the same level of employment.

Sporting Event Tickets. We obtain season tickets for several Philadelphia sports teams. Although these tickets are intended to be used for entertaining clients, unused tickets are made available to employees, including the Named Executive Officers, for personal use.

Compensation Risks

We believe that risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In addition, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee believes that the mix and design of the elements of executive compensation do not encourage management to assume excessive risks. The Company has structured its compensation program so that certain employees are incentivized primarily on their ability to achieve revenue and profit objectives of the customer accounts under their supervision and generate new business. Additionally, to a lesser extent, incentive compensation is earned on the achievement of certain non-financial objectives such as recruiting and developing future management personnel, reviewing subordinate employees, maintaining good client relations and compliance with company operational reporting requirements. The Company believes that elements of this incentive policy may be subject to abuse. Specifically, the Company recognizes that incentivizing employees for new business generation could result in employees entering into agreements without conducting proper due diligences, including with respect to the creditworthiness of the other party. Similarly, employees may be tempted to rush to hire employees prior to their quarterly review in order to meet their recruitment goals. The Company also recognizes that managers may be tempted to give better performance reviews of their subordinates in order to boost the appearance of their own performance. Also, the Company recognizes that in preparing budgets upon which an employee will be reviewed, an

employee may seek to be conservative in their estimates in order to more easily achieve his or her performance targets. The Company has carefully designed its compensation policies and practices to diminish the potential abuses inherent in such programs so as to avoid unnecessary risks to the Company and its shareholders.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth certain information regarding compensation paid or accrued during the Company's prior three fiscal years to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, the three highest paid executive officers whose total compensation exceeded \$100,000 in 2012 and the Company's former Chief Financial Officer (the "Named Executive Officers").

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(4)	Option Awards (\$)(4)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)(5)	Total (\$)
Daniel P. McCartney Chairman of the Board & Chief Executive Officer	2012	1,005,108	(1) —	—	118,500	37,692	17,805	1,179,105
	2011	1,005,108	(2) —	—	81,495	37,697	17,805	1,142,105
	2010	1,005,108	(3) —	—	149,280	37,698	17,805	1,209,891
John C. Shea Chief Financial Officer & Secretary	2012	330,418	—	11,569	23,700	12,391	976	379,054
	2011	220,038	—	—	13,039	—	3,616	236,693
	2010	171,043	—	—	2,986	4,621	3,776	182,426
Theodore Wahl President & Chief Operating Officer & Director	2012	900,016	—	—	71,100	33,751	8,616	1,013,483
	2011	695,730	—	—	48,897	26,093	8,100	778,820
Michael E. McBryan Executive Vice President & Director	2012	102,492	696,810	8,169	71,100	29,974	13,000	921,545
	2011	102,492	594,856	4,184	48,897	26,164	13,000	789,593
	2010	102,492	570,504	5,216	89,568	25,251	12,375	805,406
Bryan D. McCartney Executive Vice President	2012	102,492	696,810	11,569	71,100	29,974	13,000	924,945
	2011	102,492	594,856	5,929	48,897	26,164	13,000	791,338
Richard W. Hudson Former Chief Financial Officer (6)	2012	337,470	—	11,569	71,100	12,655	12,102	444,896
	2011	466,460	—	5,802	48,897	17,637	3,852	542,648
	2010	446,561	—	7,237	89,568	16,758	4,172	564,296

(1) Represents a base salary of \$19,000 and 1.7% of 2011 reported income before income taxes (\$57,812,000), all of which was paid in 2012.

(2) Represents a base salary of \$19,000 and 1.85% of 2010 reported income before income taxes (\$54,119,000), all of which was paid in 2011.

(3) Represents a base salary of \$19,000 and 2.0% of 2009 reported income before income taxes (\$49,335,000), all of which was paid in 2010.

(4) Amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of option awards granted under either our ESPP or stock option plans computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718. ESPP awards are value at the difference between the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the award date and the respective ESPP purchase price. Options' awards are valued utilizing the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. A more detailed discussion of the assumptions of our ESPP and stock

option plans may be found in Note 7 of the Notes to the Financial Statements in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

- (5) Includes automobile allowance, contributions paid by the Company towards employee's health insurance premiums and personal use of tickets for sporting events.
- (6) Mr. Hudson served as our Chief Financial Officer until April 2012. Subsequent to serving as Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Hudson served as our Chief Compliance Officer until his retirement in March 2013.

Grant of Plan Based Awards

The following table sets forth information concerning grants of plan-based awards made by us during the year ended December 31, 2012, to each of the Named Executive Officers.

Estimated Future Payouts
Under
Equity Incentive Plan Awards

Name	Grant Date	Date Award Approved	Maximum #	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Options Awards (\$/sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
Daniel P. McCartney	1/5/2012	12/21/2011	—	25,000	\$17.50	\$118,500
John C. Shea	1/5/2012	12/21/2011	—	5,000	\$17.50	\$23,700
Theodore Wahl	1/5/2012	12/21/2011	—	15,000	\$17.50	\$71,100
Michael E. McBryan	1/5/2012	12/21/2011	—	15,000	\$17.50	\$71,100
Bryan D. McCartney	1/5/2012	12/21/2011	—	15,000	\$17.50	\$71,100
Richard W. Hudson	1/5/2012	12/21/2011	—	15,000	\$17.50	\$71,100

Narrative Disclosure to Summary Compensation Table Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

The Company has not entered into employment contracts with any of the Named Executive Officers. No previously granted options or other equity-based awards were re-priced or otherwise materially modified during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. As set forth above in the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” the Company believes that part of the compensation for the Named Executive Officers should be in the form of long-term equity grants so as to align the interests of the Named Executive Officers with the Company's shareholders. In accordance with these objectives, Messrs. Daniel McCartney, Shea, Wahl, McBryan, Bryan McCartney and Hudson received options to purchase 25,000, 5,000, 15,000, 15,000, 15,000 and 15,000 shares, respectively. These options vest over five years to incentivize the Named Executive Officers to increase the long-term value of the Company and thereby increase the value of its common stock.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2012

The following table sets forth information concerning the outstanding equity awards of each of the Named Executive Officers as of December 31, 2012:

Option Awards

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
Daniel P. McCartney	126,567	—	\$3.6839	12/26/2013
	84,375	—	\$6.0681	12/27/2014
	37,500	—	\$13.9267	1/3/2018
	30,000	7,500	\$10.3867	1/5/2019
	22,503	14,998	\$14.3067	1/4/2020
	10,000	15,000	\$16.1100	1/6/2021
	5,000	20,000	\$17.5000	1/5/2022
John C. Shea	—	15,000	\$23.5000	1/4/2023
	450	300	\$14.3067	1/4/2020
	1,600	2,400	\$16.1100	1/6/2021
	1,000	4,000	\$17.5000	1/5/2022
Theodore Wahl	—	5,000	\$23.5000	1/4/2023
	3,000	—	\$13.9267	1/3/2018
	6,000	1,500	\$10.3867	1/5/2019
	6,750	4,500	\$14.3067	1/4/2020
	6,000	9,000	\$16.1100	1/6/2021
Michael E. McBryan	3,000	12,000	\$17.5000	1/5/2022
	—	15,000	\$23.5000	1/4/2023
	6,089	—	\$3.6839	12/26/2013
	30,773	—	\$6.0681	12/27/2014
	22,500	—	\$13.9267	1/3/2018
	18,000	4,500	\$10.3867	1/5/2019
	13,500	9,000	\$14.3067	1/4/2020
	6,000	9,000	\$16.1100	1/6/2021
Bryan D. McCartney	3,000	12,000	\$17.5000	1/5/2022
	—	15,000	\$23.5000	1/4/2023
	47,250	—	\$6.0681	12/27/2014
	22,500	—	\$13.9267	1/3/2018
	18,000	4,500	\$10.3867	1/5/2019
	13,500	9,000	\$14.3067	1/4/2020
	6,000	9,000	\$16.1100	1/6/2021
Richard W. Hudson	3,000	12,000	\$17.5000	1/5/2022
	—	15,000	\$23.5000	1/4/2023
	—	4,500	\$10.3867	1/5/2019
	—	9,000	\$14.3067	1/4/2020
	—	9,000	\$16.1100	1/6/2021

— 12,000 \$23.5000 1/4/2023

Option Exercises and Stock Vested for 2012

The following table sets forth information concerning the option exercises and stock vested of each of the Named Executive Officers during the year ended December 31, 2012:

Name	Option Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired On Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)
Daniel P. McCartney	126,567	\$3,017,357
John C. Shea	—	\$—
Theodore Wahl	—	\$—
Michael E. McBryan	37,530	\$763,736
Bryan D. McCartney	70,880	\$1,525,338
Richard W. Hudson	28,502	\$612,152

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The following table sets forth information concerning the non-qualified deferred compensation of each of the Named Executive Officers during the year ended December 31, 2012:

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)
Daniel P. McCartney	150,776	37,692	686,162	3,826,013
John C. Shea	49,563	12,391	2,593	109,537
Theodore Wahl	135,002	33,751	41,206	496,201
Michael E. McBryan	199,826	29,974	310,555	2,044,458
Bryan D. McCartney	199,826	29,974	246,293	1,845,041
Richard W. Hudson	67,494	12,655	111,500	823,834

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Since January 1, 2000, we have had a non-compensatory ESPP for all eligible employees. All full-time and certain part-time employees who have completed two years of continuous service with us are eligible to participate. The ESPP was implemented through five annual offerings. On January 1, 2000, the first annual offering commenced. On February 12, 2004 (effective January 1, 2004), our Board of Directors extended the ESPP for an additional eight annual offerings. On April 12, 2011, the Board of Directors extended the ESPP for an additional five offerings through 2016. Annual offerings commence and terminate on the respective year's first and last calendar day. Under the ESPP, we are authorized to issue up to 4,050,000 shares of our common stock to our employees. Pursuant to such authorization, we have 2,541,000 shares available for future grant at December 31, 2012. Furthermore, under the terms of the ESPP, eligible employees may contribute through payroll deductions up to \$21,250 (85% of IRS limitation) of their compensation toward the purchase of the Company's common stock. No employee may purchase common stock which exceeds \$25,000 in fair market value (determined on the date of grant) for each calendar year. The price per share is equal to the lower of 85% of the fair market price on the first day of the offering period, or 85% of the fair market price on the day of purchase.

Directors' Compensation

Directors who are also our employees are not separately compensated for their service as directors. Our non-employee directors received the following aggregate amounts of compensation for the year ended December 31, 2012:

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Option Awards (\$)(7)(8)	Total (\$)
John Briggs(1)	51,000	23,705	74,705
Robert L. Frome(2)	6,000	23,705	29,705
Robert J. Moss(3)	11,000	23,705	34,705
Dino D. Ottaviano(4)	11,000	23,705	34,705
Diane S. Casey(5)	6,000	23,705	29,705
John J. McFadden(6)	3,000	—	3,000

(1) Mr. Briggs had vested options to purchase 1,803 shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2012.

(2) Mr. Frome had vested options to purchase 20,523 shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2012.

(3) Mr. Moss had vested options to purchase 14,995 shares of common stock outstanding as of December 31, 2012.

(4) Mr. Ottaviano did not have any vested options to purchase shares of common stock as of December 31, 2012.

(5) Ms. Casey did not have any vested options to purchase shares of common stock as of December 31, 2012.

(6) Mr. McFadden did not have any vested options to purchase shares of common stock as of December 31, 2012.

(7) Represents the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the grant date fair value of option grants made to each director during the 2012 fiscal year. The fair value was estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.

(8) All stock option awards granted in 2012 become vested and exercisable ratably over a five year period on each yearly anniversary date of the option grant.

Directors' Fees

The Company pays each director who is not an employee of the Company \$1,000 for each regular or committee meeting of the Board of Directors attended. In addition, Mr. Briggs receives a quarterly retainer of \$10,000 with respect to his chairmanship of the Audit Committee and service as the Audit Committee Financial Expert. Mr. Frome bills the Company at his customary rate for time spent on behalf of the Company (whether as a director or in performance of legal services for the Company) and is reimbursed for expenses incurred in attending directors' meetings.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), requires the Company's Directors, executive officers and 10% shareholders to file with the SEC and the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of the Company's Common Stock. Directors and executive officers are required to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) reports which they file.

To the Company's knowledge, based solely on review of the copies of these reports furnished to the Company and written representations that no other reports were required, during 2012 all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its directors and executive officers were complied with.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act Compliance

Sarbanes-Oxley sets forth various requirements for public companies and directs the SEC to adopt additional rules and regulations.

Currently, the Company believes it is in compliance with all applicable laws, rules and regulations arising from Sarbanes-Oxley. The Company intends to comply with all rules and regulations adopted by the SEC pursuant to Sarbanes-Oxley no later than the time they become applicable to the Company.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The members of the Audit Committee from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012 were Messrs. John M. Briggs, Robert J. Moss and Dino Ottaviano. The Audit Committee met six times during the fiscal year. The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment of the Independent Auditors for each fiscal year, recommending the discharge of the Independent Auditors to the Board and confirming the independence of the Independent Auditors. It is also responsible for: reviewing and approving the scope of the planned audit, the results of the audit and the Independent Auditors' compensation for performing such audit; reviewing the Company's audited financial statements; and reviewing and approving the Company's internal accounting controls and disclosure procedures, and discussing such controls and procedures with the Independent Auditors.

The Audit Committee adopted an Amended and Restated Audit Committee Charter on February 12, 2004, a copy of which is available on the Company's website at www.hcsgcorp.com.

The Company's Independent Auditors are responsible for auditing the financial statements, as well as auditing the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. The activities of the Audit Committee are in no way designed to supersede or alter those traditional responsibilities. The Audit Committee's role does not provide any special assurances with regard to the Company's financial statements, nor does it involve a professional evaluation of the quality of the audits performed by the Independent Auditors.

In connection with the audit of the Company's financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012, the Audit Committee met with representatives from Grant Thornton LLP, the Company's Independent Auditors, and the Company's internal auditor. The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with Grant Thornton LLP and the Company's internal auditor, the Company's financial management and financial structure, as well as the matters relating to the audit required by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Auditing Standard.

The Audit Committee and Grant Thornton LLP also discussed Grant Thornton LLP's independence. In November 2012, the Audit Committee received from Grant Thornton LLP the written disclosures and the letter regarding Grant Thornton LLP's independence required by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Rule 3526.

In addition, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with management the Company's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, as well as management's assessment of internal controls over financial reporting.

Based upon the review and discussions described above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors approved, that the Company's financial statements audited by Grant Thornton LLP, as well as the audit of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

John M. Briggs, Chairman

Robert J. Moss

Dino D. Ottaviano

NOMINATING, COMPENSATION AND STOCK OPTION COMMITTEE REPORT

The compensation of the Chief Executive Officer of the Company is determined by the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee. Such Committee's determinations regarding such compensation are based on a number of factors including, in order of importance:

- Consideration of the operating and financial performance of the Company, primarily its income before income taxes during the preceding fiscal year, as compared with prior operating periods;
- Attainment of a level of compensation designed to retain a superior executive in a highly competitive environment; and
- Consideration of the individual's overall contribution to the Company.

In consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee develops guidelines and reviews the compensation and performance of the other executive officers of the Company, as well as any management fees paid by the Company for executive services, and sets the compensation of the executive officers of the Company and/or any management fees paid by the Company for executives services. In addition, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee makes recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect to incentive-compensation plans and equity-based plans, and establishes criteria for the granting of options in accordance with such criteria; and administers such plans. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee reviews major organizational and staffing matters. In consultation with the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee oversees the development and growth of executive management personnel. With respect to director compensation, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee designs a director compensation package of a reasonable total value based on comparisons with similar firms and aligned with long-term shareholder interests. Finally, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee reviews director compensation levels and practices, and may recommend, from time to time, changes in such compensation levels and practices to the Board of Directors, with equity ownership in the Company encouraged. The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee's charter provides that the Committee shall have the authority to obtain advice and seek assistance from internal and external legal, accounting and other advisors.

The Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

NOMINATING, COMPENSATION AND STOCK OPTION COMMITTEE

Dino D. Ottaviano, Chairman

Diane S. Casey

Robert J. Moss

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No member of the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee was an officer or employee of the Company or any subsidiary of the Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. No member of such Committee was a member of the compensation committees of another entity during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012. None of our executive officers was a member of such Committee, or a director, of another entity during fiscal 2012. There were no transactions between any member of the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee and the Company during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 requiring disclosure pursuant to Item 404 of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Exchange Act.

Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions

The Company's Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving all related party transactions involving the Company and any director, executive officer, other employee or family member thereof. The Audit Committee does not have a formal written policy which sets forth its policies and procedures with respect to reviewing a related party transaction. The Audit Committee, however, will not approve any transaction unless the transaction is on terms comparable to those available to unaffiliated third parties and have terms reasonably expected to benefit the Company.

Joseph F. McCartney, the brother of Daniel McCartney and Bryan McCartney, joined the Company in 1977, became a Director in 1983, and is currently employed by the Company as a Divisional Vice President and Director. Joseph McCartney's compensation earned from the Company during fiscal year 2012 was approximately \$100,000 and consisted of a base salary and an automobile allowance. Additionally, Joseph McCartney earned compensation of approximately \$3,000 and \$4,000, respectively, from the value realized on Deferred Compensation Plan contributions made on his behalf by the Company and his participation in the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan. All of such compensation earned by Joseph McCartney is in accordance with the Company's compensation plan for all management personnel in similar positions.

Kevin P. McCartney, the brother of Daniel McCartney, Bryan McCartney and Joseph McCartney, joined the Company in 1998 and is currently employed by the Company as a Divisional Vice President. Kevin McCartney's compensation earned from the Company during fiscal year 2012 was approximately \$180,000 and consisted of a base salary, incentive compensation and an automobile allowance. Additionally, Kevin McCartney earned compensation of approximately \$3,000 and \$1,000, respectively, from the value realized on Deferred Compensation Plan contributions made on his behalf by the Company and his participation in the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan. All of such compensation earned by Kevin McCartney is in accordance with the Company's compensation plan for all management personnel in similar positions.

Stephen Newns, the brother-in-law of Daniel McCartney, Bryan McCartney and Joseph McCartney, joined the Company in 1995 and is currently employed by the Company as a Divisional Vice President. Mr. Newns' compensation earned from the Company during fiscal year 2012 was approximately \$147,000 and consisted of a base salary, incentive compensation and an automobile allowance. Additionally, Mr. Newns earned compensation of approximately \$3,000 and \$1,000, respectively, from the value realized on Deferred Compensation Plan contributions made on his behalf by the Company and his participation in the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan. All of such compensation earned by Mr. Newns is in accordance with the Company's compensation plan for all management personnel in similar positions.

Matthew J. McKee, MBA, the son-in-law of Daniel McCartney and the brother-in-law of Theodore Wahl joined the Company in 2004 and is currently employed by the Company as Director of Marketing. Mr. McKee's compensation earned from the Company during fiscal year 2012 was approximately \$184,000 and consisted of a base salary, incentive compensation and an automobile allowance. Additionally, Mr. McKee earned compensation of

approximately \$2,000 and \$10,000, respectively, from the value realized on Deferred Compensation Plan contributions made on his behalf by the Company and his participation in the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Management believes that the compensation earned by Mr. McKee is comparable to the compensation the Company would pay to a non-relative employee in a similar position.

James R. Bleming, the brother-in-law of Bryan McCartney, joined the Company in 1992 and is currently employed by the Company as a Divisional Vice President. Mr. Bleming's compensation earned from the Company during fiscal year 2012 was approximately \$154,000 and consisted of a base salary, incentive compensation and an automobile allowance. Additionally, Mr. Bleming earned compensation of approximately \$4,000 and \$3,000, respectively, from the value realized on Deferred Compensation Plan contributions made on his behalf by the Company and his participation in the Company's Employee Stock Purchase Plan. All of such compensation earned by Mr. Bleming is in accordance with the Company's compensation plan for all management personnel in similar positions.

Procedures for Contacting Directors

The Board of Directors has established a process for shareholders to send communications to the Board of Directors. Shareholders may communicate with the Board generally or a specific director at any time by writing to: Healthcare Services Group, Inc., 3220 Tillman Drive, Suite 300, Bensalem, PA 19020, Attention: Investor Relations. The Company reviews all messages received, and forwards any message that reasonably appears to be a communication from a shareholder about a matter of shareholder interest that is intended for communication to the Board of Directors. Communications are sent as soon as practicable to the director to whom they are addressed, or if addressed to the Board of Directors generally, to the chairman of the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee. Because other appropriate avenues of communication exist for matters that are not of shareholder interest, such as general business complaints or employee grievances, communications that do not relate to matters of shareholder interest are not forwarded to the Board of Directors.

PROPOSAL NO. 2

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The accounting firm of Grant Thornton LLP was selected by the Audit Committee of the Board as the Independent Auditors of the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. Said firm has no other relationship to the Company. The Board recommends the ratification of the selection of the firm of Grant Thornton LLP to serve as the Independent Auditors of the Company for the year ending December 31, 2013. A representative of Grant Thornton LLP, which has served as the Company's Independent Auditors since December 1992, will be present at the forthcoming shareholders' meeting with the opportunity to make a statement if he so desires and such representative will be available to respond to appropriate questions. The approval of the proposal to ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by all shareholders represented and entitled to vote thereon. An abstention or withholding of authority to vote, therefore, will not have the same legal effect as an "against" vote and will not be counted in determining whether the proposal has received the required shareholder vote. However, brokers that do not receive instructions on this proposal are entitled to vote for the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm.

Fees billed to Company by Grant Thornton LLP during fiscal years 2012 and 2011:

Audit Fees: Audit fees billed to the Company by Grant Thornton LLP during the Company's 2012 fiscal year and 2011 fiscal year for audit of the Company's annual financial statements, reviews of those financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and auditing of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting totaled approximately \$640,000 and \$650,000, respectively.

Audit Related Fees: Audit related fees billed to the Company by Grant Thornton LLP were approximately \$34,000 in each of the Company's 2012 and 2011 fiscal years, respectively. Such fees were primarily for assurance and related services related to employee benefit plan audits, and special procedures required to meet certain regulatory filings' requirements.

Tax Fees: Tax fees billed by Grant Thornton LLP for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning totaled approximately \$22,000 and \$15,000 for the 2012 and 2011 fiscal years, respectively.

All Other Fees: Other permissible fees billed by Grant Thornton LLP during the 2012 fiscal year were approximately \$7,000 and included reviews of the Company's registration statement on Form S-8 and correspondence with SEC regarding the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. There were no other fees billed to the Company by Grant Thornton LLP in the 2011 fiscal year.

PROPOSAL NO. 3
ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Background

The Board of Directors recognizes the significant interest of shareholders in executive compensation matters. Pursuant to recent amendments to Section 14A of the Exchange Act (which were added by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the “Dodd-Frank Act”)), we are providing our shareholders with an opportunity to cast an advisory vote to approve the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table and other tables and the related narratives, as well as in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement, in accordance with SEC rules.

Summary

We are asking our shareholders to provide advisory approval of the compensation of our Named Executive Officers (which consist of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, our other three highest paid executives), as such compensation is described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the tabular disclosure regarding such compensation and the accompanying narrative disclosure set forth in this Proxy Statement. Our executive compensation programs are designed to enable us to attract, motivate and retain executive talent, who are critical to our success. Our compensation philosophy and framework have resulted in compensation for our Named Executive Officers that is commensurate with both the Company’s financial results and the other performance factors described in the section of this Proxy Statement entitled Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Our executive compensation programs are designed to attract, motivate and retain executives and professionals of the highest level of quality and effectiveness. These programs focus on rewarding the types of performance that increase shareholder value, link executive compensation to the Company’s long-term strategic objectives and align executive officers’ interests with those of our shareholders. The Company believes that its executive compensation programs, which emphasize long-term equity awards and variable compensation, satisfy these goals. A substantial portion of each executive’s total compensation is intended to be variable and delivered on a pay-for-performance basis.

Recommendation

Our Board of Directors believes that the information provided above and within the “Management Compensation” section of this Proxy Statement demonstrates that our executive compensation program was designed appropriately and is working to ensure that management’s interests are aligned with our shareholders’ interests and support long-term value creation.

The Board of Directors recommends that the shareholders vote “FOR” the adoption of the following non-binding resolution:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders of the Company approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company’s Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion set forth in this Proxy Statement.

This say-on-pay vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on the Company, the Nominating, Compensation and Stock Option Committee or our Board of Directors.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

There are two shareholder proposals included in this year's proxy statement for shareholder consideration.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL - MAJORITY VOTE STANDARD FOR ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

A shareholder, the California State Teachers' Retirement System ("CalSTRS"), whose address is 100 Waterfront Place, MS-04, West Sacramento, California 95605-2807, has advised the Company that it plans to introduce the following resolution at the Annual Meeting. We have been notified that CalSTRS is the beneficial owner of more than \$2,000 in market value of the Company's common stock.

"Be It Resolved:

That the shareholders of Healthcare Services Group, Inc., hereby request that the Board of Directors initiate the appropriate process to amend the Company's articles of incorporation and/or bylaws to provide that director nominees shall be elected by the affirmative vote of the majority of votes cast at an annual meeting of shareholders, with a plurality vote standard retained for contested director elections, that is, when the number of director nominees exceeds the number of board seats."

"Supporting Statement:

In order to provide shareholders a meaningful role in director elections, the Company's current director election standard should be changed from a plurality vote standard to a majority vote standard. The majority vote standard is the most appropriate voting standard for director elections where only board nominated candidates are on the ballot, and it will establish a challenging vote standard for board nominees to improve the performance of individual directors and entire boards. Under the Company's current voting system, a nominee for the board can be elected with as little as a single affirmative vote, because "withheld" votes have no legal effect. A majority vote standard would require that a nominee receive a majority of the votes cast in order to be re-elected and continue to serve as a representative for the shareholders.

In response to strong shareholder support a substantial number of the nation's leading companies have adopted a majority vote standard in company bylaws or articles of incorporation. In fact, more than 80% of the companies in the S&P 500 have adopted majority voting for uncontested elections. We believe the Company needs to join the growing list of companies that have already adopted this standard.

CalSTRS is a long-term shareholder of the Company and we believe that accountability is of utmost importance. We believe the plurality vote standard currently in place at the Company completely disenfranchises shareholders and makes the shareholder's role in director elections meaningless. Majority voting in director elections will empower shareholders with the ability to remove poorly performing directors and increase the directors' accountability to the owners of the Company, its shareholders. In addition, those directors who receive the majority support from shareholders will know they have the backing of the very shareholders they represent. We therefore ask you to join us in requesting that the Board of directors promptly adopt the majority vote standard for director elections.

Please vote FOR this proposal."

Company's Statement in Response to Shareholder Proposal

Since the Company's inception and incorporation as a Pennsylvania corporation more than 36 years ago, it has followed the provisions of its by-laws which state that directors are to be elected by a plurality voting standard. Such

plurality voting provision set forth in our bylaws is the default standard under the law of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Our Board's concern is that the adoption of the shareholder proposal, while non-binding, could bring about unintended and undesirable consequences, as well as provide an unnecessary distraction from the well-established process by which directors have been elected. Our shareholders have always had and continue to have available to them, remedies to change Board members before or during a director's term under the Company's existing by-laws. Our Board has carefully considered the pros and cons of the issue, and believes that majority voting is not in the best interests of the Company or our shareholders. Therefore, the Board of Directors recommends that the shareholders vote "AGAINST" this Proposal.

SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL - INDEPENDENT BOARD CHAIRMAN

A shareholder, the UAW Retiree Medical Benefits Trust, whose address is 110 Miller Avenue, Suite 100, Ann Arbor, MI 48104-1305, has advised the Company that it plans to introduce the following resolution at the Annual Meeting. We have been notified that the UAW Retiree Medical Benefits Trust is the beneficial owner of more than \$2,000 in market value of the Company's common stock.

“Resolved:

That stockholders of Healthcare Services Group, Inc. (“HSG” or “Company”) ask the board of directors to adopt a policy that the board's Chairman be an independent director. If the board determines that a Chairman who was independent when he or she was selected is no longer independent, the board shall promptly select a new Chairman who satisfies this independence requirement. Compliance with this requirement may be excused if no director who qualifies as independent is elected by stockholders or if no independent director is willing to serve as Chairman. This policy shall apply prospectively so as not to violate any contractual obligation at the time it is adopted.”

“Supporting Statement:

HSG's CEO Daniel McCartney also serves as Chairman of the Company's board. In our view, an independent board chair promotes greater board effectiveness. The primary duty of a board of directors is to oversee the management of a company on behalf of its stockholders, and we believe that the combined CEO and chair compromises this important oversight function. It also weakens the board's ability to exercise strong independent leadership.

In March 2009, the Chairmen's Forum, a group of over 50 current and former board chairman, directors, chief executives, investors and governance experts hosted by Yale's Millstein Center, endorsed the voluntary adoption of independent, non-executive chairmen of the board, finding that “the independent chair curbs conflicts of interest, promotes oversight of risk, manages the relationship between the board and CEO, serves as a conduit for regular communication with shareowners, and is a logical next step in the development of an independent board.” (Chairing the Board: The Case for Independent Leadership in Corporate North America. Yale Millstein Center, 2009). Independent oversight is especially important at HSG, where less than two-thirds of the board is independent. (HSG 2012 Proxy Statement at 4.) According to standards set by the Council of Institutional Investors (CII), a nonpartisan association of pension and other employee benefit funds, “at least two-thirds of the directors should be independent; their seat on the board should be their only non-trivial professional, familial or financial connection to the corporation....” (CII Corporate Governance Policies at 3.). Moreover, a director who failed to win majority support from shareholders in 2012 and 2011 continues to sit on the board. The director is Mr. McCartney's son-in-law. (2012 Proxy Statement at 27.)

Finally, while a lead director generally is not a suitable replacement for a fully independent chairman including in HSG's case, a strong lead director may provide some degree of independent leadership when the CEO and chairman positions are combined. HSG's lead director role appears relatively weak: It does not appear that the lead director has approval authority over board meeting schedules or agendas, nor is there any indication that he is authorized to call meetings of non-employee directors. We further note that the Company's current lead director, John Briggs, also failed to win majority support from shareholders in 2011.”

Company's Statement in Response to Shareholder Proposal

The Board believes the proposal is not in the best long-term interests of the Company or our shareholders. The Board does not believe that separate roles for the Chairman and CEO should be mandated or that such a separation would, by itself, deliver additional benefit for shareholders.

The Board has already adopted corporate governance measures, including establishing a strong independent Lead Director role, to help assure the Board's ability to provide independent oversight of the CEO and management. Our Lead Director responsibilities are very similar to those typically performed by an independent board chair and include: (i) ensuring the Board has full, timely and relevant information to support its decision-making requirements; (ii) advising the Chairman as to the appropriate schedule for Board meetings and providing input on the preparation of agendas for Board meetings; (iii) consulting with the Chairman and the Board on the effectiveness of Board committees; (iv) calling meetings of the Board's non-employee directors; (v) consulting with the Chairman as to the timeliness of the flow of information from the Company that is necessary for the directors to effectively perform their duties; and (vi) serving as principal liaison between the non-employee directors and the Chairman. In addition, the function of the Board in monitoring the performance of our senior management is fulfilled by the presence of a majority of independent directors and the Lead Director arrangement. These corporate governance measures serve as effective counterbalances to factors commonly cited as reasons to separate the Board Chairman and CEO roles.

The Board believes the current Chairman–CEO arrangement promotes a cohesive, strong and consistent vision and strategy for the Company. The Board believes that combining the roles of Chair and CEO can (i) enhance alignment between the Board and our management in strategic planning and execution as well as operational matters by enabling the CEO to act as a bridge between the Board and executive management, helping both to act with a common purpose; (ii) avoid the confusion over roles, responsibilities and authority that can result from separating the positions; and (iii) streamline the Board process to conserve time for consideration of important matters that the Board needs to address. The Board believes that the current leadership structure provides the optimum benefit of having our CEO, the individual most familiar with the Company's day-to-day operations, chair regular Board meetings as we discuss key business and strategic issues. Coupled with a Lead Independent Director, this structure provides strong, independent oversight of management.

In summary, our overall corporate governance policies and practices combined with the strength of the our independent directors and Lead Director position serve to enable the Board to formulate and direct corporate policies, provide independent oversight and evaluate the performance of our CEO and management. The combined roles of Chairman and CEO have served the Company's shareholders well for many years, and we believe that combining the roles continues to be the appropriate leadership structure for the Company. Therefore, the Board of Directors recommends that the shareholders vote “AGAINST” this Proposal.

OTHER MATTERS

So far as is now known, there is no business other than that described above to be presented for action by the shareholders at the Annual Meeting, but it is intended that the proxies will be exercised upon any other matters and proposals that may legally come before the Annual Meeting, or any adjournment or postponement thereof, in accordance with the discretion of the persons named therein.

DEADLINE FOR SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS

To the extent permitted by law, any shareholder proposal intended for presentation at next year's annual shareholders' meeting must be received in proper form at the Company's principal office no later than December 27, 2013.

In accordance with and to the extent covered by Rule 14a-4(c)(1) of the Exchange Act, if the Company is not notified of a shareholder proposal by March 12, 2014, such proposal will not be included in the proxy statement for the next year's annual shareholders' meeting and the Company will be permitted to use its discretionary authority in respect thereof.

ANNUAL REPORT

The 2012 Annual Report to Shareholders, including financial statements, is being mailed herewith. If you do not receive your copy, please advise the Company and another will be sent to you. Certain information contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, filed on February 21, 2013, is incorporated by reference to this proxy statement.

By Order of the Board of Directors,
DANIEL P. MCCARTNEY
Chairman and
Chief Executive Officer

Dated: April 15, 2013
Bensalem, Pennsylvania

A copy of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, may be obtained without charge by any shareholder of record on the record date upon written request addressed to: Secretary, Healthcare Services Group, Inc., 3220 Tillman Drive, Suite 300, Bensalem, PA 19020 or by visiting the Company's website at www.hcsgcorp.com.

