DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC Form 10-K March 15, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-K x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the Year Ended December 31, 2012

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share (Title of Class) Preferred Stock Purchase Rights (Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasonal issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES o NO x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. YES o NO x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.YES xNO o

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES xNO o

Indicate by checkmark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this

Form 10-K. x

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). LARGE ACCELERATED FILER 0 ACCELERATED FILER x NON-ACCELERATED FILER 0 SMALLER REPORTING COMPANY 0

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act): Yes o No x

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2012 was approximately \$382 million based upon the \$13.29 closing price on the NASDAQ National Market for a share of the registrant's common stock on June 30, 2012.

As of March 12, 2013, there were 35,871,939 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement to be distributed on behalf of the Board of Directors of Registrant in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 23, 2013 and any adjournment thereof, are incorporated by reference in Part III.

F-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. Business	
General	F-3
Market Area and Competition	F-4
Lending Activities	F-4
Asset Quality	F-12
Allowance for Loan Losses	F-17
Investment Activities	F-19
Sources of Funds	F-22
Subsidiary Activities	F-25
Personnel	F-25
Federal, State and Local Taxation	F-25
Federal Taxation	F-25
State and Local Taxation	F-26
Regulation	F-26
General	F-26
Regulation of New York State Chartered Savings Banks	F-27
Regulation of Holding Company	F-37
Federal Securities Laws	F-38
Delaware Corporation Law	F-38
Item 1A. Risk Factors	F-39
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	F-48
Item 2. Properties	F-48
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	F-48
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	F-48
PART II	
Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	⁷ F-48
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	F-51
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	F-53
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	F-64
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	F-67
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	F-67
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	F-67
Item 9B. Other Information	F-68
PART III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	F-68
Item 11. Executive Compensation	F-68
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	F-68
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	F-68
Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	F-68
PART IV	
Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules	F-68
Signatures	F-69

F-2

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains a number of forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). These statements may be identified by use of words such as "anticipate," "believe," "could," "estimate," "expect," "intend," "seek," "may," "outlook," "plan," "potential," "predict," "project," "should," "will," "would" and similar terms and phrases, including references to assumptions.

Forward-looking statements are based upon various assumptions and analyses made by Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. (the "Holding Company," and together with its direct and indirect subsidiaries, the "Company") in light of management's experience and its perception of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments, as well as other factors it believes appropriate under the circumstances. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors (many of which are beyond the Company's control) that could cause actual conditions or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These factors include, without limitation, the following:

the timing and occurrence or non-occurrence of events may be subject to circumstances beyond the Company's control;

• there may be increases in competitive pressure among financial institutions or from non-financial institutions; • changes in the interest rate environment may reduce interest margins;

changes in deposit flows, loan demand or real estate values may adversely affect the business of The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh (the "Bank");

changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines may cause the Company's financial condition to be perceived differently;

changes in corporate and/or individual income tax laws may adversely affect the Company's business or financial condition;

general economic conditions, either nationally or locally in some or all areas in which the Company conducts

·business, or conditions in the securities markets or the banking industry may be less favorable than the Company currently anticipates;

·legislation or regulatory changes may adversely affect the Company's business;

·technological changes may be more difficult or expensive than the Company anticipates;

success or consummation of new business initiatives may be more difficult or expensive than the Company anticipates;

litigation or other matters before regulatory agencies, whether currently existing or commencing in the future, may delay the occurrence or non-occurrence of events longer than the Company anticipates; and

•The risks referred to in the section entitled "Risk Factors."

The Company has no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document.

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

The Holding Company is a Delaware corporation and parent company of the Bank, a New York State chartered savings bank. The Bank maintains its headquarters in the Williamsburg section of the borough of Brooklyn, New York and operates twenty-six full-service retail banking offices located in the New York City ("NYC") boroughs of Brooklyn, Queens, and the Bronx, and in Nassau County, New York.

The Bank's principal business has been, and continues to be, gathering deposits from customers within its market area, and investing them primarily in multifamily residential mortgage, commercial real estate, one- to four-family

residential mortgage, construction and land acquisition, and consumer loans, mortgage-backed securities ("MBS"), obligations of the U.S. Government and Government Sponsored Entities ("GSEs"), and corporate debt and equity securities. The Bank's revenues are derived principally from interest on its loan and securities portfolios and other short-term investments. The Bank's primary sources of funds are, in general, deposits; loan amortization, prepayments and l-align:bottom;padding-left:2px;padding-top:2px;padding-bottom:2px;padding-right:2px;">

3	
Consolidated Statement of Operations	
<u>5</u>	
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	
<u>6</u>	
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	7
SCHEDULES	
Schedule 1: Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet	<u>15</u>
Schedule 2: Consolidating Statement of Operations	<u>17</u>
Schedule 3: Total Disbursements by Filed Legal Entity	<u>18</u>
Schedule 4: Schedule of Federal, State and Local Taxes Collected, Received, Due or Withheld	<u>19</u>

Schedule 5: Total Disbursements to Retained Professionals

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2012 (Unaudited) (In millions)

Assets	
Current Assets	
Cash	\$480
Short-term investments	3,412
Restricted cash and short-term investments	850
Receivables, net	1,124
Inventories, net	580
Fuel derivative contracts	65
Other current assets	561
Total current assets	7,072
Equipment and Property	
Flight equipment, net	10,310
Other equipment and property, net	2,099
Purchase deposits for flight equipment	710
	13,119
Equipment and Dresserts Under Conital Lasses	
Equipment and Property Under Capital Leases	222
Flight equipment, net	
Other equipment and property, net	61
	283
International slots and route authorities	708
Domestic slots and airport operating and gate lease rights, less accumulated amortization, net	161
Other assets	2,167
	\$23,510
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.	·

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2012 (Unaudited) (In millions)

Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$1,244	
Accrued liabilities	2,117	
Air traffic liability	4,524	
Current maturities of long-term debt	1,388	
Current obligations under capital leases	31	
Total current liabilities	9,304	
Long-term debt, less current maturities	6,735	
Obligations under capital leases, less current obligations	381	
Pension and postretirement benefits	6,780	
Other liabilities, deferred gains and deferred credits	1,692	
Liabilities Subject to Compromise (Note 2)	6,592	
Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Preferred stock	_	
Common stock	341	
Additional paid-in capital	4,481	
Treasury stock	(367)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(2,966)
Accumulated deficit	(9,463)
	(7,974)
	\$23,510	
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS MONTH ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 (Unaudited) (In millions, except per share amounts)

Revenues	
Passenger - American Airlines	\$1,553
- Regional Affiliates	226
Cargo	55
Other revenues	217
Total operating revenues	2,051
Expenses	
Aircraft fuel	729
Wages, salaries and benefits	516
Other rentals and landing fees	91
Maintenance, materials and repairs	118
Depreciation and amortization	74
Commissions, booking fees and credit card expense	83
Aircraft rentals	47
Food service	49
Special charges	58
Other operating expenses	244
Total operating expenses	2,009
Operating Income	42
Other Income (Expense)	
Interest income	1
Interest expense	(50
Interest capitalized	4
Miscellaneous - net ¹	276
	231
Income Before Reorganization Items	273
Reorganization Items, Net (Note 2)	(69
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	204
Income tax	569
Net Income (Loss)	\$773
	ψ115
Earnings (Loss) Per Share	
Basic	\$2.31
Diluted	\$2.03

)

)

Weighted Average Shares Used in Computation Basic	335,292,238
Diluted ^{1/} Results include \$280 million from a settlement of a commercial dispute. The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.	381,765,864

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS MONTH ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 (Unaudited) (In millions)

Net Cash Provided by (Used for) Operating Activities	\$(179)
Cash Flow from Investing Activities:		
Capital expenditures, including aircraft lease deposits	(363)
Disposal of equipment and property	63	
Net (increase) decrease in short-term investments	105	
Net cash used for investing activities	(195)
Cash Flow from Financing Activities:		
Payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(54)
Proceeds from:		
Issuance of debt		
Sale leaseback transactions	412	
Net cash provided by financing activities	358	
Net increase (decrease) in cash	(16)
Cash at beginning of period	496	,
Cash at end of period The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.	\$480	

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1.Summary of Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

On November 29, 2011 (the "Petition Date"), AMR Corporation (the "Company") and certain of the Company's direct and indirect domestic subsidiaries (collectively, the "Debtors") filed voluntary petitions for relief (the "Chapter 11 Cases") under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Bankruptcy Court"). The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered under the caption "In re AMR Corporation, et al., Case No. 11-15463-SHL."

The Company and the other Debtors are operating as "debtors in possession" under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. In general, as debtors in possession under the Bankruptcy Code, we are authorized to continue to operate as an ongoing business but may not engage in transactions outside the ordinary course of business without the prior approval of the Bankruptcy Court. The Bankruptcy Code enables the Company to continue to operate its business without interruption, and the Bankruptcy Court has granted additional relief covering, among other things, obligations to (i) employees, (ii) taxing authorities, (iii) insurance providers, (iv) independent contractors for improvement projects, (v) foreign vendors, (vi) other airlines pursuant to certain interline agreements, and (vii) certain vendors deemed critical to the Debtors' operations.

While operating as debtors in possession under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors may sell or otherwise dispose of or liquidate assets or settle liabilities, subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court or otherwise as permitted in the ordinary course of business. The Debtors have not yet prepared or filed with the Bankruptcy Court a plan of reorganization. The Debtors have the exclusive right to file a plan of reorganization through and including March 11, 2013, subject to the ability of third parties to file motions to terminate the Debtors' exclusivity period. If the Debtors file a plan of reorganization on or prior to such date, the Debtors will have an exclusive period to solicit and obtain acceptances for such plan through and including May 10, 2013. On January 11, 2013, the Debtors filed a joint motion with the Creditors' Committee seeking to further extend such exclusivity periods to April 1, 2013 and May 31, 2013, respectively. There can be no assurance that the Bankruptcy Court will approve the joint motion. The Debtors have the right to seek further extensions of such exclusivity periods, subject to a statutory limit of 18 months from the Petition Date in the case of filing a plan of reorganization, and 20 months from the Petition Date in the case of soliciting and obtaining acceptances. The ultimate plan of reorganization, which would be subject to acceptance by the requisite majorities of empowered creditors under the Bankruptcy Code and approved by the Bankruptcy Court, could materially change the amounts and classifications in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

This Monthly Operating Report ("MOR") was prepared on a consolidated basis for the Company and its direct and indirect subsidiaries, including the subsidiary Debtors and other subsidiaries that did not file voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11. This MOR is unaudited, is limited in scope, covers a limited time period, and has been prepared solely for the purpose of complying with the monthly reporting requirements for Chapter 11 debtors as required by the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York (the "U.S. Trustee") and the Bankruptcy Court. This MOR does not include all the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for complete financial statements. Therefore, there can be no assurance that the

consolidated financial information presented herein is complete and readers are strongly cautioned not to place undue reliance on this MOR. This MOR should be read in conjunction with the Debtors' previously filed MORs and the financial statements and accompanying notes in the Company's annual and quarterly reports that are filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").

In accordance with GAAP, the Debtors have applied ASC 852 "Reorganizations" ("ASC 852") in preparing the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. ASC 852 requires that the financial statements, for periods subsequent to the Chapter 11 Cases, distinguish transactions and events that are directly associated with the reorganization from the ongoing operations of the business. Accordingly, certain revenues, expenses (including professional fees), realized gains and losses and provisions for losses that are realized or incurred in the Chapter 11 Cases are recorded in reorganization items, net on the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations. In addition, prepetition obligations that may be impacted by the Chapter 11 reorganization process have been classified on the Condensed

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Consolidated Balance Sheet in liabilities subject to compromise. These liabilities are reported at the amounts expected to be allowed by the Bankruptcy Court, even if they may be settled for lesser amounts (see Note 2).

These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have also been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates continuity of operations, realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. Accordingly, the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability of assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Debtors be unable to continue as a going concern.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements do not purport to reflect or provide for the consequences of the Chapter 11 Cases, other than as set forth under "liabilities subject to compromise" on the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and "income (loss) before reorganization items" and "reorganization items, net" on the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations (see Note 2). In particular, the financial statements do not purport to show (1) as to assets, their realizable value on a liquidation basis or their availability to satisfy liabilities; (2) as to prepetition liabilities, the amounts that may be allowed for claims or contingencies, or the status and priority thereof; (3) as to shareowners' equity accounts, the effect of any changes that may be made to the Debtors' capitalization; or (4) as to operations, the effect of any changes that may be made to the Debtors' business.

Results of operations for the periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the entire year. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Company and its direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries (both Debtor and non-Debtor), including (i) its principal subsidiary American Airlines, Inc. ("American") and (ii) its regional airline subsidiary, AMR Eagle Holding Corporation and its primary subsidiaries, American Eagle Airlines, Inc. and Executive Airlines, Inc. (collectively, "AMR Eagle"). The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements also include the accounts of variable interest entities for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 15, 2012 ("2011 Form 10-K"), including the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies which appears as Note 2 in the 2011 Form 10-K. No assurance can be given as to the value, if any, that may be ascribed to the Debtors' various prepetition liabilities and other securities. The Company cannot predict what the ultimate value of any of its or the other Debtors' securities may be. Accordingly, the Debtors urge that caution be exercised with respect to existing and future investments in any of these securities (including the Company's common stock) or other Debtor claims. Trading in the Company's common stock and certain debt securities on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) was suspended on January 5, 2012, and the Company's common stock and such debt securities were delisted by the SEC from the NYSE on January 30, 2012. On January 5, 2012, the Company's common stock began trading under the symbol "AAMRQ" on the OTCQB marketplace, operated by OTC Markets Group (www.otcmarkets.com).

Additional information about the Chapter 11 Cases is available on the Internet at aa.com/restructuring. Court filings and claims information are available at amrcaseinfo.com.

2. Chapter 11 Proceedings and Reorganization Update for the Reporting Period

General Information

Notices to Creditors; Effect of Automatic Stay. The Debtors have notified all known current or potential creditors that the Chapter 11 Cases were filed. Subject to certain exceptions under the Bankruptcy Code, the filing of the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases automatically enjoined, or stayed, the continuation of most judicial or administrative proceedings or filing of other actions against the Debtors or their property to recover on, collect or secure a claim arising prior to the Petition Date. Thus, for example, most creditor actions to obtain possession of property from the Debtors, or to create, perfect or enforce any lien against the property of the Debtors, or to collect on monies owed or otherwise exercise rights or remedies with respect to a prepetition claim, are enjoined unless and until the Bankruptcy Court lifts the automatic stay as to any such claim. Vendors are being paid for goods furnished and services provided after the Petition Date in the ordinary course of business.

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Appointment of Creditors' Committee. On December 5, 2011, the U.S. Trustee appointed the Creditors' Committee for the Chapter 11 Cases.

Retiree Medical and Life Insurance Benefits. On September 14, 2012, the Company notified active employees of its plans to modify its subsidized retiree medical coverage on November 1, 2012. Those who initiate retiree medical coverage on or after November 1, 2012 will go into a new retiree medical program. For those who retire before age 65, two medical options will be available, but the Company will not be subsidizing them. Those who retire at age 65 and over may purchase a guaranteed-issue Medicare supplement plan. Flight attendants and TWU-represented employees will receive a refund of their prefunding contributions within 120 days of November 1, 2012.

On March 23, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered a Stipulation and Order providing for the appointment of a committee of retired independent and unionized AMR employees (the "Retiree Committee"), and on May 3, 2012 appointed five members to the Retiree Committee. On August 15, 2012, the Company filed a proceeding in the Bankruptcy Court seeking a determination on the issue of vesting for former employees who retired and initiated retiree medical coverage before November 1, 2012. The Court held a hearing on January 23, 2013 and has not ruled on this matter as of the date of this report. On September 20, 2012, the Company opened negotiations with the Retiree Committee, seeking a consensual agreement to terminate subsidized retiree medical coverage and life insurance coverage. Those negotiations are continuing.

Rejection of Executory Contracts. Under Section 365 and other relevant sections of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors may assume, assume and assign, or reject certain executory contracts and unexpired leases, including, without limitation, agreements relating to aircraft and aircraft engines (collectively, "Aircraft Property") and leases of real property, subject to the approval of the Bankruptcy Court and certain other conditions. As of December 31, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court had entered orders granting the Debtors' motions to assume 526 and reject 10 unexpired leases of non-residential real property and had entered various orders extending, by the Debtors' agreement with certain landlords, the date by which the Debtors must assume or reject an additional 37 unexpired leases of non-residential real property. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had also entered into stipulations or agreed orders providing that the claims with respect to special facility revenue bonds at Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport, Fort Worth Alliance Airport and Luis Muñoz Marín International Airport in San Juan, Puerto Rico were general unsecured claims.

In general, rejection of an executory contract or unexpired lease is treated as a prepetition breach of the executory contract or unexpired lease in question and, subject to certain exceptions, relieves the Debtors from performing their future obligations under such executory contract or unexpired lease but entitles the contract counterparty or lessor to a prepetition general unsecured claim for damages caused by such deemed breach. Counterparties to such rejected contracts or leases have the right to file claims against the Debtors' estate for such damages. Generally, the assumption of an executory contract or unexpired lease requires the Debtors to cure existing defaults under such executory contract or unexpired lease.

Any description of an executory contract or unexpired lease elsewhere in these Notes or in the report to which these Notes are attached, including where applicable the Debtors' express termination rights or a quantification of their obligations, must be read in conjunction with, and is qualified by, any rights the Debtors or counterparties have under Section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The Debtors expect that liabilities subject to compromise and resolution in the Chapter 11 Cases will arise in the future as a result of damage claims created by the Debtors' rejection of various executory contracts and unexpired

leases. Due to the uncertain nature of many of the potential rejection claims, the magnitude of such claims is not reasonably estimable at this time. Such claims may be material (see "Liabilities Subject to Compromise" in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements).

Special Protection Applicable to Leases and Secured Financing of Aircraft and Aircraft Equipment. Notwithstanding the general discussion above of the impact of the automatic stay, under Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code, beginning 60 days after filing a petition under Chapter 11, certain secured parties, lessors and conditional sales vendors may have a right to take possession of certain qualifying Aircraft Property that is leased or subject to a security interest or conditional sale contract, unless the Debtors, subject to approval by the Bankruptcy Court, agree to perform under the applicable agreement, and cure any defaults as provided in Section 1110 (other than defaults of a kind specified in Section 365(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code). Taking such action does not preclude the Debtors from later rejecting the

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

applicable lease or abandoning the Aircraft Property subject to the related security agreement, or from later seeking to renegotiate the terms of the related financing.

The Debtors may extend the 60-day period by agreement of the relevant financing party, with Bankruptcy Court approval. In the absence of an agreement or cure as described above or such an extension, the financing party may take possession of the Aircraft Property and enforce its contractual rights or remedies to sell, lease or otherwise retain or dispose of such equipment.

The 60-day period under Section 1110 in the Chapter 11 Cases expired on January 27, 2012. In accordance with the Bankruptcy Court's Order Authorizing the Debtors to (i) Enter into Agreements Under Section 1110(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, (ii) Enter into Stipulations to Extend the Time to Comply with Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code and (iii) File Redacted Section 1110(b) Stipulations, dated December 23, 2011, the Debtors have entered into agreements to extend the automatic stay or agreed to perform and cure defaults under financing agreements with respect to certain aircraft in their fleet and other Aircraft Property. With respect to certain Aircraft Property, the Debtors have reached agreements on, or agreements on key aspects of, renegotiated terms of the related financings, and the Debtors are continuing to negotiate terms with respect to certain of their other Aircraft Property financings. The ultimate outcome of these negotiations cannot be predicted with certainty. To the extent the Debtors are unable to reach definitive agreements with Aircraft Property financing parties, those parties may seek to repossess the subject Aircraft Property. The loss of a significant number of aircraft could result in a material adverse effect on the Debtors' financial and operating performance.

In accordance with Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code, as of December 31, 2012, the Company had (i) rejected 40 leases relating to 21 MD-80 aircraft, four Fokker 100 aircraft, seven Boeing 757-200 aircraft and eight spare engines; (ii) relinquished one Airbus A300-600R aircraft that was subject to a mortgage; and (iii) made elections under Section 1110(a) of the Bankruptcy Code to retain 340 aircraft and 87 spare engines, including Boeing 737-800, Boeing 757-200, Boeing 767-300ER, Boeing 777-200ER, Bombardier CRJ-700, and McDonnell Douglas MD-80 aircraft, on the terms provided in the related financing documents. In addition, as of December 31, 2012, the Company had reached agreement on revised economic terms of the financings of 155 aircraft, comprising 83 MD-80 aircraft, nine Boeing 737-800 aircraft (which agreements are subject to reaching agreement on definitive documentation). Those 155 aircraft are substantially all of the mainline aircraft in the Company's fleet for which it expects to negotiate revised economic terms in the Chapter 11 Cases. In addition, the Company reached an agreement with the lessor to modify the leases of 39 Super ATR aircraft. As of December 31, 2012, 30 of the Super ATR aircraft are expected to be returned to the lessor in 2013.

Lastly, the Company entered into a series of agreements with the lender with respect to its 216 Embraer RJ aircraft and certain other interested parties pursuant to which the Company (i) surrendered 18 Embraer RJ 135 aircraft on June 22, 2012, (ii) subject to certain conditions, restructured the mortgage debt encumbering 59 Embraer 140 aircraft and 68 Embraer 145 aircraft and (iii) will transfer and lease back its remaining 21 Embraer RJ 135 aircraft. The debt encumbering 50 Embraer 145 aircraft will not be reduced. These agreements were approved by the Bankruptcy Court on November 8, 2012.

Magnitude of Potential Claims. On February 27, 2012, the Debtors filed with the Bankruptcy Court schedules and statements of financial affairs setting forth, among other things, the assets and liabilities of the Debtors, subject to the assumptions filed in connection therewith. All of the schedules are subject to further amendment or modification.

Bankruptcy Rule 3003(c)(3) requires the Bankruptcy Court to fix the time within which proofs of claim must be filed in a Chapter 11 case pursuant to Section 501 of the Bankruptcy Code. This Bankruptcy Rule also provides that any creditor who asserts a claim against the Debtors that arose prior to the Petition Date and whose claim (i) is not listed on the Debtors' schedules or (ii) is listed on the schedules as disputed, contingent, or unliquidated, must file a proof of claim. On May 4, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order that established July 16, 2012 at 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) as the deadline to file proofs of claim against any Debtor. More information regarding the filing of proofs of claim can be obtained at www.amrcaseinfo.com.

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Differences between amounts scheduled by the Debtors and claims by creditors will be investigated and resolved in connection with the claims resolution process. In light of the expected number of creditors, the claims resolution process may take considerable time to complete. Accordingly, the ultimate number and amount of allowed claims is not presently known, nor can the ultimate recovery with respect to allowed claims be presently ascertained. Collective Bargaining Agreements. The Bankruptcy Code provides a process for the modification and/or rejection of collective bargaining agreements (CBAs). In particular, Section 1113(c) of the Bankruptcy Code permits a debtor to reject its CBAs if the debtor satisfies a number of statutorily prescribed substantive and procedural prerequisites and obtains the Bankruptcy Court's approval to reject the CBAs. The Section 1113(c) process requires that a debtor must make proposals to its unions to modify existing CBAs based on the most complete and reliable information available at the time the proposals are made. The proposed modifications must be necessary to permit the reorganization of the debtor and must assure that all the affected parties are treated fairly and equitably. The debtor must provide the unions with all information necessary to evaluate the proposals, and meet at reasonable times and confer in good faith with the unions in an effort to reach mutually agreeable modifications to the CBAs. American Airlines, Inc. (American) commenced the Section 1113(c) process with its unions (APA, APFA and TWU) on February 1, 2012, and was negotiating in good faith with the unions for consensual agreements that achieve the necessary level of labor cost savings. Because consensual agreements had not been reached, and given American's need to restructure its labor costs expeditiously, the Debtors filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court on March 27, 2012 requesting approval to reject the CBAs. Rejection of the CBAs is appropriate if the Bankruptcy Court finds the Debtors' proposals are necessary for their reorganization, are fair and equitable, and that the unions refused to agree to the proposals without good cause.

The Court hearing on the Debtors' request to reject the CBAs began on April 23, 2012 with the presentation of the Debtors' case and concluded the week of May 21, 2012. After the filing of its request to reject its CBAs, American and the unions continued to negotiate in good faith toward consensual agreements. Those negotiations resulted in ratified agreements with all seven TWU-represented groups (Fleet Service Clerks, Dispatchers, Ground School Instructors, Maintenance Control Technicians, Simulator Technicians, Mechanics and Related (M&R), and Stores), all of which have been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. On July 20, 2012, APFA sent American's proposed Last Best and Final Offer (LBFO) to its membership for a ratification vote, and on August 19, 2012 APFA announced the LBFO was ratified by the membership. This new agreement was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on September 12, 2012. Having secured Court approval of the APFA and all seven TWU agreements, American has begun implementing the terms of all of its new agreements.

On June 27, 2012, the APA Board of Directors voted in favor of sending a tentative agreement it reached with American to its membership for a ratification vote; however, APA announced on August 8, 2012 that its membership did not ratify that agreement. Subsequently, on August 15, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court issued its decision on the Debtors' request to reject its pilot CBA. The Bankruptcy Court denied the request, but found that all but two of the numerous 1113 term sheet proposals met all of the standards for contract rejection. The Bankruptcy Court invited the Debtors to modify the two proposals and renew its motion. A renewed 1113 motion was filed on August 17, 2012, and a hearing was held on September 4, 2012. The Bankruptcy Court granted the renewed motion and entered an order on September 5, 2012 authorizing American to reject the pilot CBA. In accordance with the Bankruptcy Court's ruling, American began implementing certain terms and conditions of employment for pilots that the Bankruptcy Court determined were fair and necessary for the Debtors' successful restructuring. American and APA continued to negotiate in good faith toward a new pilot agreement, and on November 9, 2012, the parties reached a tentative agreement on a new comprehensive CBA. On November 16, 2012, the APA Board of Directors voted to send the

tentative agreement to the pilot membership for a ratification vote. On December 7, 2012 the APA announced the tentative agreement was ratified. The new CBA was approved by the Bankruptcy Court on December 19, 2012. The APA was appealing the Bankruptcy Court's order authorizing American to reject the pilot CBA, and was also appealing a prior decision by the Court rejecting APA's claim that American cannot use the 1113 CBA rejection process to make changes to pilot working conditions because, according to APA, its CBA with American expired by its terms in May 2008. Relatedly, APA filed a request with the Bankruptcy Court to stay its decision authorizing American to reject the CBA pending the outcome of its appeals, and American objected to that request. However, based on the December 7, 2012 ratification of the tentative agreement, and pursuant to a proposed order and an "APA Settlement Letter", the APA was to take all steps necessary to withdraw and dismiss these appeals within 5 days after "Effective Date." The Effective Date was conditioned upon the occurrence of each of the following; (i) the tentative agreement

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

be ratified by the APA pilot membership and (ii) the new CBA and the APA Settlement Letter be approved by an order of the Bankruptcy Court which order had not been stayed. Each of these conditions has occurred and the APA's appeals have been dismissed. American has begun implementing the terms of its new agreement with the APA. American Eagle Airlines, Inc. (together with Executive Airlines, Inc., AMR Eagle) commenced the Section 1113(c) process with its unions on March 21, 2012. On July 27, 2012, AMR Eagle reached a tentative agreement with the Association of Flight Attendants. That tentative agreement was ratified by the flight attendants on September 7, 2012. On August 8, 2012, AMR Eagle reached an agreement-in-principle with the Air Line Pilots Association ("ALPA"). The ALPA Master Executive Council accepted the terms of the agreement-in-principle on September 12, 2012 and the tentative agreement was ratified by the pilot group on October 8, 2012. On July 20, 2012, AMR Eagle and the TWU reached a tentative agreement with the Mechanics and Related and Fleet Service Clerks groups. On August 24, 2012, the TWU announced that the Fleet Service Clerks group ratified its tentative agreement and that the Mechanics and Related group did not ratify its tentative agreement. Because consensual agreements had not been reached with the TWU represented Mechanics, Ground School Instructors and Dispatchers, AMR Eagle filed a motion with the Bankruptcy Court on September 7, 2012 requesting approval to reject those CBAs. AMR Eagle continued to negotiate in good faith with the TWU with respect to each of those work groups. On October 3, 2012, AMR Eagle and the TWU reached tentative agreements with the Mechanics and Related and the Ground School Instructors groups. On October 8, 2012, AMR Eagle reached a tentative agreement with the TWU represented Dispatch group. The tentative agreements for Mechanics, Ground School Instructors and Dispatchers were sent to those work groups for a ratification vote. On October 26, 2012, AMR Eagle learned that the Mechanics and Ground School Instructors voted to ratify their tentative agreements, and that the Dispatchers' tentative agreement did not ratify. A hearing on AMR Eagle's Section 1113 motion to reject the Dispatchers CBA, originally scheduled to begin on November 14, 2012, was continued until December 10, 2012. On December 3, 2012, AMR Eagle reached a second tentative agreement with the TWU represented Dispatch group and the membership ratified the tentative agreement on December 18, 2012. All of the new AMR Eagle CBAs were approved by the Bankruptcy Court on December 21, 2012. AMR Eagle has begun implementing the terms of the new CBAs.

Availability and Utilization of Net Operating Losses. The availability and utilization of net operating losses (and utilization of alternative minimum tax credits) after the Debtors' emergence from Chapter 11 is uncertain at this time and will be highly influenced by the composition of the plan of reorganization that is ultimately pursued. On January 27, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court issued a Final Order Establishing Notification Procedures for Substantial Claimholders and Equityholders and Approving Restrictions on Certain Transfers of Interests in the Debtors' Estates, which restricts trading in the Company's common stock and claims. The order is intended to prevent certain transfers of the Company's common stock and certain transfers of claims against the Debtors that could impair the ability of one or more of the Debtors' estates to use their net operating loss carryovers and certain other tax attributes currently or on a reorganized basis. Any acquisition, disposition, or other transfer of equity or claims on or after November 29, 2011 in violation of the restrictions set forth in the order will be null and void ab initio and/or subject to sanctions as an act in violation of the automatic stay under Sections 105(a) and 362 of the Bankruptcy Code. The order applies to (i) "Substantial Equityholders," i.e., persons who are, or as a result of a transaction would become, the beneficial owner of approximately 4.5 percent of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock and (ii) "Substantial Claimholders," i.e., persons who are, or as a result of a transaction become, the beneficial owner of unsecured claims in excess of a threshold amount of unsecured claims (initially \$190 million of unsecured claims, but which may be subsequently increased or decreased under certain circumstances in connection with the Debtors' filing of a Chapter 11 plan). In the case of Substantial Equityholders, the order imposes current restrictions with respect to the acquisition or

disposition of the Company's stock, and certain notifications may be required. In the case of Substantial Claimholders, the order imposes a procedure pursuant to which, under certain circumstances, the claims acquired during the Chapter 11 Cases may have to be resold, and certain notifications may be required. Liabilities Subject to Compromise

The following table summarizes the components of liabilities subject to compromise included on the Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2012:

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

(in millions)	
Long-term debt	\$1,198
Estimated allowed claims on aircraft lease and debt obligations and facility lease and	3,716
bond obligations	5,710
Pension and postretirement benefits	1,236
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	442
Total liabilities subject to compromise	\$6,592

Liabilities subject to compromise refers to prepetition obligations which may be impacted by the Chapter 11 reorganization process. These amounts represent the Debtors' current estimate of known or potential prepetition obligations to be resolved in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases.

In accordance with ASC 852, substantially all of the Company's unsecured debt has been classified as liabilities subject to compromise. Additionally, certain of the Company's undersecured debt instruments have also been classified as liabilities subject to compromise.

Differences between liabilities the Debtors have estimated and the claims filed, or to be filed, will be investigated and resolved in connection with the claims resolution process. The Company will continue to evaluate these liabilities throughout the Chapter 11 Cases and adjust amounts as necessary. Such adjustments may be material. In light of the expected number of creditors, the claims resolution process may take considerable time to complete. Accordingly, the ultimate number and amount of allowed claims is not presently known.

Reorganization Items, net

Reorganization items refer to revenues, expenses (including professional fees), realized gains and losses and provisions for losses that are realized or incurred in the Chapter 11 Cases. The following table summarizes the components included in reorganization items, net on the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the month ended December 31, 2012: (in millions)

(III IIIIIIOIIS)	
Aircraft and facility financing renegotiations and rejections (1) (2)	15
Professional fees	18
Other	36
Total reorganization items, net	\$69

Amounts include allowed claims (claims approved by the Bankruptcy Court) and estimated allowed claims relating to the rejection or modification of financings related to aircraft. The Debtors record an estimated claim associated (1) with the rejection or modification of a financing when the motion is filed with the Bankruptcy Court to reject or modify such financing and the Debtors believe that it is probable the motion will be approved by all parties, and there is sufficient information to estimate the claim. See above, "Special Protection Applicable to Leases and Secured Financing of Aircraft and Aircraft Equipment," for further information.

(2) Amounts include allowed claims (claims approved by the Bankruptcy Court) and estimated allowed claims relating to entry of orders treating as unsecured claims with respect to facility agreements supporting certain issuances of special facility revenue bonds. The Debtors record an estimated claim associated with the treatment of claims with respect to facility agreements when the applicable motion is filed with the Bankruptcy Court and the Debtors

believe that it is probable that the motion will be approved, and there is sufficient information to estimate the claim. See above, "Rejection of Executory Contracts," for further information.

Claims related to reorganization items are reflected in liabilities subject to compromise on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2012.

Interest Expense

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

In accordance with ASC 852, the Debtors record interest expense only to the extent (1) interest will be paid during the Chapter 11 Cases or (2) it is probable that the Bankruptcy Court will allow a claim in respect of such interest. Interest expense recorded on the Consolidated Statements of Operations totaled \$50 million for the month ended December 31, 2012. Contractual interest expense (including interest expense that is associated with obligations in liabilities subject to compromise) during this period totaled \$58 million.

Insurance

Premiums to date for all insurance policies, including workers' compensation and disability insurance, have been paid in accordance with each respective policy's payment terms. No payments are past due.

Restricted Cash and Short-term Investments

The Company has restricted cash and short-term investments related primarily to collateral held to support projected workers' compensation obligations and funds held for certain tax obligations.

Retirement Benefit Plans

On March 7, 2012, the Company announced that, in working with Creditors' Committee and the Pension Benefit Guarantee Corporation (PBGC), it developed a solution that would allow the Company to pursue a freeze of its defined benefit pension plans for non-pilot employees instead of seeking termination. On September 14, 2012, the Company sent formal legal notice to all defined benefit plan participants and beneficiaries announcing that it was freezing each of the defined benefit pension plans. The freeze of these plans became effective as scheduled on November 1, 2012. Eligible non-pilot employees began to receive a replacement benefit under the \$uper \$aver 401(k) Plan on November 1, 2012, with the Company matching employee contributions up to 5.5 percent of eligible earnings. With the ratification of a new collective bargaining agreement by pilots on December 7, 2012, pilots began to receive a replacement benefit in the form of a Company contribution to the \$uper \$aver 401(k) Plan of 14% of eligible earnings for work performed beginning on November 1, 2012.

Effective November 8, 2012, the U.S. Treasury Department and the Internal Revenue Service published a Final Regulation establishing the process by which the Company could seek to amend certain structural aspects of the Pilot A Plan, a defined benefit plan. Under that process, the Company applied to the PBGC for a determination that it met the standard to amend the Plan to remove the lump-sum benefit feature and other similar optional forms of benefit. On December 13, 2012, the PBGC issued its determination that the Company has met the standard for amendment set forth in the Final Regulation. On November 23, 2012, the Company filed a motion in the Bankruptcy Court seeking approval of the A Plan amendment. After a hearing on December 19, 2012, the Court granted the motion. On December 21, 2012, the Company amended the A Plan to remove the lump-sum and other similar optional forms of benefit for commencements after December 31, 2012.

The Company also terminated the Pilot B Plan, a defined contribution plan, on November 30, 2012. Plan B assets will be distributed to pilots in mid-2013.

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. Schedule 1 DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2012 (Unaudited) (In millions)

	Debtors	Non-Debtors	Eliminations & Adjustments	Consolidated
Assets				
Current Assets				
Cash	\$477	\$3	\$—	\$480
Short-term investments	3,409	3	—	3,412
Restricted cash and short-term investments	850		—	850
Receivables, net	1,122	16	(14) 1,124
Inventories, net	580	—	—	580
Fuel derivative contracts	65	—	—	65
Other current assets	560	1	—	561
Total current assets	7,063	23	(14)7,072
Equipment and Property				
Flight equipment, net	10,310	—	—	10,310
Other equipment and property, net	2,098	1	—	2,099
Purchase deposits for flight equipment	710	—	—	710
	13,118	1	—	13,119
Equipment and Property Under Capital Lease	S			
Flight equipment, net	222	—	—	222
Other equipment and property, net	61		—	61
	283		_	283
International slots and route authorities Domestic slots and airport operating and gate	708	_	_	708
lease rights, less accumulated amortization, net	161	—	—	161
Other assets	2,442 \$23,775	106 \$130	(381 \$(395)2,167)\$23,510

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. Schedule 1 (Continued) DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET DECEMBER 31, 2012 (Unaudited) (In millions)

	Debtors	Non-Debtors	Eliminations & Adjustments	^z Consolidated
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)				
Current Liability				
Accounts payable	\$1,403	\$(144)\$(15)\$1,244
Accrued liabilities	2,117	—		2,117
Air traffic liability	4,524	—		4,524
Current maturities of long-term debt	1,388	—		1,388
Current obligations under capital leases	31	—		31
Total current liabilities	9,463	(144)(15)9,304
Long-term debt, less current maturities	6,841	_	(106) 6,735
Obligations under capital leases, less current	381			381
obligations	301			381
Pensions and postretirement benefits	6,780	—		6,780
Other liabilities, deferred gains and deferred credits	1,692	—		1,692
	15,694	—	(106) 15,588
Liabilities Subject to Compromise	6,592	_	_	6,592
Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) Preferred stock				
	341	 1	(1)341
	4,481	259	(1) (259))4,481
· ·	(367)	(239	(367)
•	(2,966)		(2,966)
-	(9,463)14	(14)(9,463)
	\$23,775	\$130	\$(395)\$23,510

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. Schedule 2 DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS MONTH ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 (Unaudited)

	Debtors	Non-Debtors	Eliminations & Adjustments	k Consolidated
Revenues			-	
Passenger - American Airlines	\$1,553	\$—	\$—	\$1,553
- Regional Affiliates	226			226
Cargo	55			55
Other revenues	217	1	(1)217
Total operating revenues	2,051	1	(1)2,051
Expenses				
Aircraft fuel	729			729
Wages, salaries and benefits	516			516
Other rentals and landing fees	91			91
Maintenance, materials and repairs	118			118
Depreciation and amortization	74			74
Commissions, booking fees and credit card	02			02
expense	83			83
Aircraft rentals	47			47
Food service	49			49
Special charges	58			58
Other operating expenses	244	1	(1)244
Total operating expenses	2,009	1	(1)2,009
Operating Income	42	_	_	42
Other Income (Expense)				
Interest income	1		—	1
Interest expense	(50)—		(50)
Interest capitalized	4			4
Miscellaneous - net	276			276
	231	—	_	231
Income Before Reorganization Items	273	—		273
Reorganization Items, Net	(69)—	_	(69)
Income Before Income Taxes	204		_	204
Income tax	569			569
Net Income	\$773	\$—	\$—	\$773

Schedule 3

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS BY FILED LEGAL ENTITY MONTH ENDED DECEMBER 31 2012 (Unaudited) (In thousands)

Legal Entity	Case Number	Disbursements
American Airlines Realty (NYC) Holdings, Inc.	11-15462	\$28
AMR Corporation	11-15463	329
American Airlines, Inc.	11-15464	2,392,531
AMR Eagle Holding Corporation	11-15465	_
Americas Ground Services, Inc.	11-15466	268
PMA Investment Subsidiary, Inc.	11-15467	_
SC Investment, Inc.	11-15468	_
American Eagle Airlines, Inc.	11-15469	87,469
Executive Airlines, Inc.	11-15470	10,375
Executive Ground Services, Inc.	11-15471	_
Eagle Aviation Services, Inc.	11-15472	1,735
Admirals Club, Inc.	11-15473	_
Business Express Airlines, Inc.	11-15474	_
Reno Air, Inc.	11-15475	_
AA Real Estate Holding GP LLC	11-15476	_
AA Real Estate Holding L.P.	11-15477	_
American Airlines Marketing Services LLC	11-15478	20
American Airlines Vacations LLC	11-15479	23
American Aviation Supply LLC	11-15480	3,973
American Airlines IP Licensing Holding, LLC	11-15481	_

\$2,496,751

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. Schedule 4 DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION SCHEDULE OF FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL TAXES COLLECTED, RECEIVED, DUE OR WITHHELD MONTH ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 (Unaudited) (In millions)

Payroll Taxes Gross wages and salaries paid or incurred ⁽³⁾ Payroll taxes withheld employee Payroll taxes withheld employer Total payroll taxes withheld Amount of payroll tax remitted to tax authorities Date(s) remitted to tax authorities	\$394.3 75.0 23.5 98.5 97.2 Various
Sales & Use Taxes Sales & use tax collected and incurred Amount of sales & use tax remitted to tax authorities ⁽¹⁾ Date(s) remitted to tax authorities	5.5 4.8 Various
Federal Transportation Tax Federal transportation tax collected Amount of federal transportation tax remitted to Internal Revenue Service ⁽¹⁾ Date(s) remitted to tax authorities	85.8 132.9 12/10 & 12/24
Passenger Facility Charges Passenger facility charges collected Amount of passenger facility charges remitted to airport authorities ⁽¹⁾ Date(s) remitted to airport authorities	20.5 28.5 12/30
U.S. Security Fees U.S. Security Fees collected Amount of U.S. Security Fees remitted to Transportation Security Administration ⁽¹⁾ Date(s) remitted to Transportation Security Administration	14.2 19.1 12/28
Customs User Fees Customs user fees collected Amount of customs user fees remitted to Customs and Border Protection Agency ⁽²⁾ Date(s) remitted to Customs and Border Protection Agency	4.1 — n/a
Immigration User Fees Immigration user fees collected Amount of immigration user fees remitted to Customs and Border Protection Agency ⁽²⁾ Date(s) remitted to Customs and Border Protection Agency	5.3 n/a
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) Fees APHIS user fees collected Amount of user fees remitted to U.S. Department of Agriculture ⁽²⁾ Date(s) remitted to U.S. Department of Agriculture	3.9 — n/a

Property taxes paid

2.8

(1) Tax is remitted one month in arrears of collection month. Amounts noted reflect actual collections and remittances during the month ended December 31, 2012.

(2) Tax is remitted quarterly. Amounts noted reflect actual collections and remittances during the month ended December 31, 2012.

(3) Payroll tax remittance does not equal taxes withheld because of tax entity payment timing requirements.

AMR CORPORATION, ET AL. Schedule 5 DEBTORS AND DEBTORS IN POSSESSION TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO RETAINED PROFESSIONALS MONTH ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 (Unaudited) (In thousands)

Retained Professionals	Disbursements ⁽¹⁾
Debtors' Advisors and Notice and Claims Agent:	
Airport & Aviation Professionals Inc.	\$114
Bernstein Shur Sawyer & Nelson	281
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione	78
Cooley LLP	252
Debevoise & Plimpton	1,442
Deloitte Financial	732
Ernst & Young LLP	276
Ford & Harrison	616
Global Tax Associates Group	80
Groom Law Group	1,076
Harris Finley & Bogle	369
Haynes and Boone LLP	38
Jenner & Block LLP	219
Kelly Hart & Hallman LLP	636
KPMG LLP	318
McKinsey Recovery & Transformation	684
Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy	421
Morgan Lewis & Bockius	128
Paul Hastings LLP	122
Rothschild Inc.	320
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP	282
Skyworks Capital LLC	726
The Garden City Group Inc.	113
Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP	8,048
Winstead Sechrest & Minick PC	377
Yetter Coleman LLP	1,078
Zolfo Cooper LLC	141
	18,967
Advisors to Unsecured Creditors' Committee:	
	9
Epiq Bankruptcy Solutions LLC Mesirow Financial Consulting LLC	606
	196
Moelis & Company Holding LP Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP and Affiliates	2,284
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP and Affiliates	
	3,095
Total Disbursements to Retained Professionals	\$22,062
	·

(1)

The Debtors have retained certain legal and financial professionals to advise them in the Chapter 11 Cases. The Creditors' Committee also retained certain legal and financial professionals in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases. For the month of December 2012, estimated based on the Debtors' books and records, the Debtors accrued \$18 million of professional fees relating to such professionals, as indicated in Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Any payments to such professionals will be made in accordance with applicable orders of the Bankruptcy Court.

20 pt">74.67 13,797
71.35
11,999
69.66
10,583
68.03
Commercial real estate
4,750
19.08
2,893
19.67
2,945
22.53
3,774
23.49
4,695
25.63
One-to four- family and cooperative apartment

195

2.36

303			
2.82			
404			
3.32			
1,040			
3.78			
401			
4.31			

Construction and land acquisition

-	
-	
-	
0.09	
106	
0.14	
308	
0.87	
680	
1.61	
Consumer	
27	
0.07	
26	
0.07	
34	
0.08	

30
0.09
39
0.07
Total
\$ 20,550
100.00 %
\$ 20,254
100.00 %
\$ 19,166
100.00 %
\$ 21,505
100.00 %
\$ 17,454
 100.00 % (1) Total loans represent gross loans less Federal Housing Authority and Veteran's Administration guaranteed loans.

Reserve Liability on the First Loss Position

The Bank has recourse exposure under the First Loss Position associated with multifamily loans that it sold to FNMA between December 2002 and February 2009, and maintains an actual reserve liability related to this contingent First Loss Position. The reserve liability reflects estimated probable losses on this loan pool at each period end. For performing loans within the FNMA serviced pool, the reserve recognized is based upon the historical loss experience on this loan pool. For problem loans within the pool, the estimated losses are determined in a manner consistent with impaired loans within the Bank's loan portfolio.

The following is a summary of the aggregate balance of multifamily loans serviced for FNMA, the period-end First Loss Position associated with these loans, and activity in the related reserve liability:

	At or for the December	he Year End	led	
	2012	2011	2010	
	(Dollars in	Thousands)	
Outstanding balance of multifamily loans serviced for FNMA at period end	\$256,731	\$308,104	\$371,887	
Total First Loss Position at end of period	15,428	16,356	16,789	
Reserve Liability on the First Loss Position				
Balance at beginning of period	\$2,993	\$2,993	\$4,373	
Transfer of specific reserve for serviced loans re-acquired by the Bank	-	-	(1,123)	
Credit to reduce the liability for the First Loss Position ⁽¹⁾	(1,268)	-		
Charge-offs and other net reductions in balance	(342)	-	(257)	
Balance at period end	\$1,383	\$2,993	\$2,993	
1 A manual manual and a second and for a first second se	ار م ا			

¹ Amount recognized as a portion of mortgage banking income during the period.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Bank was contractually permitted to reduce the total First Loss Position by \$928,000 due to the satisfaction of certain loans within the FNMA pool. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Bank received approval from FNMA to reduce the total First Loss Position by \$433,000 and \$3.5 million, respectively, for losses incurred. No such approval was received during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Reserve for Loan Commitments

At December 31, 2012, the Bank maintained a reserve of \$103,000 associated with unfunded loan commitments accepted by the borrower at December 31, 2012. This reserve is determined based upon the historical loss experience of similar loans owned by the Bank at each period end. Any increases or reductions in this reserve are recognized in periodic non-interest expense.

Investment Activities

Investment strategies are implemented by the Asset and Liability Committee ("ALCO"), which is comprised of the Chief Financial Officer, Chief Risk Officer, Treasurer and other senior officers. The strategies take into account the overall composition of the Bank's balance sheet, including loans and deposits, and are intended to protect and enhance the Bank's earnings and market value, and effectively manage both interest rate risk and liquidity. The strategies are reviewed periodically by the ALCO and reported to the Board of Directors.

Investment Policy of the Bank. The investment policy of the Bank, which is adopted by its Board of Directors, is designed to help achieve the Bank's overall asset/liability management objectives while complying with applicable federal regulations. Generally, when selecting investments for the Bank's portfolio, the policy emphasizes principal preservation, liquidity, diversification, short maturities and/or repricing terms, and a favorable return on investment. The policy permits investments in various types of liquid assets, including obligations of the U.S. Treasury and federal agencies, investment grade corporate debt, various types of MBS, commercial paper, certificates of deposit ("CDs") and overnight federal funds sold to financial institutions. The Bank's Board of Directors periodically approves all financial institutions to which the Bank sells federal funds.

The Bank's investment policy limits a combined investment in securities issued by any one entity, with the exception of obligations of the U.S. Federal Government, federal agencies and GSEs, to an amount not exceeding the lesser of either 2% of its total assets or 15% of its total tangible capital (20% of core capital in the event all securities of the obligor maintain a "AAA" credit rating). The Bank was in compliance with this policy limit at both December 31, 2012 and 2011. The Bank may, with Board approval, engage in hedging transactions utilizing derivative instruments. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank did not hold held any derivative instruments or embedded derivative instruments that required bifurcation.

Federal Agency Obligations. Federal agency obligations purchased during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 possessed contractual maturities ranging between two and five years from the date of acquisition, and all featured call dates ranging between 3 and 12 months from their date of acquisition. As a result of these call features, the average duration of these investments has typically been less than 12 months. These securities provide the Bank a favorable yield in comparison to overnight investments, possess sound credit ratings, and had been readily accepted as collateral for the Bank's REPOS prior to their full repayment in October 2012. Federal agency obligation investments totaled \$29.9 million at December 31, 2012.

MBS. The Bank's investment policy calls for the purchase of only priority tranches when investing in MBS. MBS provide the portfolio with investments offering desirable repricing, cash flow and credit quality characteristics. MBS yield less than the loans that underlie the securities as a result of the cost of payment guarantees and credit enhancements which reduce credit risk to the investor. Although MBS guaranteed by federally sponsored agencies carry a reduced credit risk compared to whole loans, such securities remain subject to the risk that fluctuating interest rates, along with other factors such as the geographic distribution of the underlying mortgage loans, may alter the prepayment rate of such loans and thus affect the value of such securities. MBS, however, are more liquid than individual mortgage loans and may readily be used to collateralize borrowings. MBS also provide the Company with important interest rate risk management features, as the entire portfolio provides monthly cash flow for re-investment at current market interest rates. At both December 31, 2012 and 2011, all MBS owned by the Company possessed the highest credit rating from at least one nationally recognized rating agency, with the exception of one privately issued

MBS in the Bank's portfolio with book and market values at December 31, 2012 totaling \$961,000 and \$954,000, respectively. This security was downgraded to sub-investment grade by the rating agencies during 2009 due to deteriorating conditions in the national real estate market. Current credit ratings on this security range from CC to Caa1. Despite the downgrade, this security continues to perform in accordance with its contractual terms.

The Company's consolidated investment in MBS totaled \$49.0 million, or 1.3% of total assets, at December 31, 2012, the great majority of which was owned by the Bank. Approximately 91.1% of the MBS portfolio at December 31, 2012 was comprised of pass-through securities guaranteed by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC"), Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA") or FNMA. The average duration of these securities was estimated to be 1.4 years as of December 31, 2012 and 1.7 years at December 31, 2011.

At December 31, 2012, included in the MBS portfolio were \$3.4 million in Collateralized Mortgage Obligations ("CMOs") and Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduits ("REMICs") owned by the Bank. All of the CMOs and REMICs were U.S agency guaranteed obligations, with the exception of one CMO issued by a highly rated private financial institution, and were rated in the highest rating category by at least one nationally recognized rating agency. None of the CMOs or REMICs had stripped principal and interest components and all occupied priority tranches within their respective issues.

The Company typically classifies MBS as available-for-sale in recognition of the prepayment uncertainty associated with these securities, and carries them at fair market value. The fair value of MBS available-for-sale (including CMOs and REMICs) was \$1.6 million above their amortized cost at December 31, 2012. Within this total, the aggregate fair value of the agency guaranteed CMOs and REMICs exceeded their cost basis by \$25,000 and the fair value of the private financial institution-issued CMO exceeded its cost basis by approximately \$18,000.

The following table sets forth activity in the MBS portfolio for the periods indicated:

	For the Ye 31,	ear Ended D	ecember
	2012	2011	2010
	Dollars in	Thousands	
Amortized cost at beginning of period	\$89,149	\$138,283	\$217,076
Purchases, net	1,318		
Principal repayments	(42,822)	(48,911)	(78,389)
Premium amortization, net	(197)	(223)	(404)
Amortized cost at end of period	\$47,448	\$89,149	\$138,283

Corporate Debt Obligations. The Bank may invest in investment-grade debt obligations of various corporations. The Bank's investment policy limits new investments in corporate debt obligations to companies rated single "A" or better by one of the nationally recognized rating agencies at the time of purchase. As mentioned previously, with certain exceptions, the Bank's investment policy also limits a combined investment in corporate securities issued by any one entity to an amount not exceeding the lesser of either 2% of its total assets or 15% of its total tangible capital (20% of core capital in the event all securities of the obligor maintain a "AAA" credit rating).

As of December 31, 2012, the Bank's investment in corporate debt obligations was comprised solely of seven TRUPS with an aggregate remaining amortized cost of \$16.8 million (based upon their purchase cost basis) that were secured primarily by the preferred debt obligations of pools of U.S. banks (with a small portion secured by debt obligations of insurance companies). All seven securities were designated as held-to-maturity at December 31, 2012.

At December 31, 2012, in management's judgment, the credit quality of the collateral pool underlying five of the seven securities had deteriorated to the point that full recovery of the Bank's initial investment was considered uncertain, resulting in recognition of other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") charges. The aggregate OTTI charge recognized on these securities was \$9.6 million at December 31, 2012, of which \$8.9 million was determined to be attributable to credit related factors and \$633,000 was determined to be attributable to non-credit related factors. At December 31, 2012, these five securities had credit ratings ranging from "D" to "Caa3." The remaining two securities, which were not subject to OTTI charges as of December 31, 2012, had credit ratings ranging from "CC" to "Ba1" on that date. During the year ended December 31, 2012, credit related OTTI declined by \$29,000 primarily as a result of the satisfaction of one security for which OTTI had been recognized and non-credit related OTTI declined by \$296,000 reflecting improvement in the estimated fair value of the five securities for which OTTI had previously been recognized.

At December 31, 2012, the remaining aggregate amortized cost of TRUPS that could be subject to future OTTI charges through earnings was \$7.2 million. Of this total, unrealized losses of \$1.9 million have already been

recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss.

Investment Strategies of the Holding Company. The Holding Company's investment policy generally calls for investments in relatively short-term, liquid securities similar to those permitted by the securities investment policy of the Bank. Holding Company investments are generally intended primarily to provide future liquidity which may be utilized for general business activities. These may include, but are not limited to: (1) purchases of the Holding Company's common stock into treasury; (2) repayment of principal and interest on the Holding Company's \$70.7 million trust preferred securities debt; (3) subject to applicable restrictions, the payment of dividends on the Holding Company's common stock; and/or (4) investments in the equity securities of other financial institutions and other investments not permitted to the Bank.

The investment policy of the Holding Company calls for the purchase of only priority tranches when investing in MBS, limits new investments in corporate debt obligations to companies rated single "A" or better by one of the nationally recognized rating agencies at the time of purchase, and limits investments in any one corporate entity to the lesser of 1% of total assets or 5% of the Company's total consolidated capital. The Holding Company may, with Board approval, engage in hedging transactions utilizing derivative instruments. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Holding Company did not hold any derivative instruments or embedded derivative instruments that required bifurcation.

The Holding Company cannot assure that it will engage in these investment activities in the future. At December 31, 2012, the Holding Company's principal asset was its \$428.8 million investment in the Bank's common stock. This investment in subsidiary is not actively managed and falls outside of the Holding Company investment policy and strategy discussed above.

Equity Investments. The Holding Company's investment in mutual funds (primarily equity mutual funds) totaled \$7.9 million at December 31, 2012, of which \$3.0 million was classified as available for sale, and \$4.9 million was classified as trading. At December 31, 2012, the aggregate fair value of the available for sale mutual fund investments was \$449,000 above their cost basis, and the aggregate fair value of mutual fund investments classified as trading was \$131,000 above their cost basis. As of December 31, 2012, an aggregate OTTI charge of \$348,000 remained on five actively-managed equity mutual fund investments. This OTTI charge, which was recognized during 2009, reflected both the significant deterioration in the U.S. and international equity markets at that time, as well as the extended duration of the decline.

The following table sets forth the amortized/historical cost and fair value of the total portfolio of investment securities and MBS by accounting classification and type of security, that were owned by either the Bank or Holding Company at the dates indicated:

MBS	At December 31, 2012 Amortized/ Historical Fair Cost (1) Value Dollars in Thousand		2011 Amortized/ Historical Fair Cost (1) Value ds		2010 Amortized/ Historical Fair Cost (1) Value	
Available-for-Sale:						
FHLMC pass through certificates	\$32,218	\$33,063	\$53,662	\$57,048	\$77,020	\$81,068
FNMA pass through certificates	10,233	10,899	16,583	17,727	22,994	24,158
GNMA pass through certificates	691	716	763	787	833	857
Private issuer MBS	962	955	1,613	1,504	2,363	2,298
Agency issued CMOs and REMICs	2,436	2,462	15,128	15,389	32,953	33,965
Private issuer CMOs and REMICs	908	926	1,400	1,422	2,122	2,172
Total MBS available-for-sale	47,448	49,021	89,149	93,877	138,285	144,518
INVESTMENT SECURITIES						
TRUPS Held-to-Maturity:	7,828	6,267	8,910	4,924	10,760	4,408
Total investment securities held-to-maturity	7,828	6,267	8,910	4,924	10,760	4,408
Available-for-Sale:						
Federal agency obligations	29,820	29,945	170,362	170,309	81,388	81,152
Mutual funds	2,556	3,005	3,624	4,559	3,537	4,490
Total investment securities Available-for-Sale	32,376	32,950	173,986	174,888	84,925	85,642
Trading:						
Mutual funds	4,743	4,874	1,736	1,774	1,425	1,490
Total trading securities	4,743	4,874	1,736	1,774	1,425	1,490

TOTAL INVESTMENT SECURITIES AND MBS \$92,395 \$93,112 \$184,632 \$181,586 \$97,110 \$91,540 (1) Amount is net of cumulative credit related OTTI totaling \$9.0 million on TRUPS held-to-maturity and \$348,000 on mutual funds available-for-sale at December 31, 2012, \$9.0 million on TRUPS held-to-maturity and \$1.4 million on mutual funds available-for-sale at December 31, 2011, and \$8.2 million on TRUPS held-to-maturity and \$1.4 million on mutual funds available-for-sale at December 31, 2010.

The following table presents the amortized cost, fair value and weighted average yield of the Company's consolidated available-for-sale investment securities and MBS (exclusive of equity investments) at December 31, 2012, categorized by remaining period to contractual maturity.

			Weighted	
	Amortize	dFair	Average Tax	
	Cost	Value	EquivalentYie	eld
	(Dollars	in Thousar	nds)	
MBS:				
Due within 1 year	\$	\$		
Due after 1 year but within 5 years	568	594	3.99	%
Due after 5 years but within 10 years	12,159	12,885	4.64	
Due after ten years	34,721	35,542	4.70	
Total	47,448	49,021	4.68	
Federal Agency obligations: Due within 1 year Due after 1 year but within 5 years Due after 5 years but within 10 years Due after ten years Total	29,820 29,820	29,945 29,945	0.76 - 0.76	
Total: Due within 1 year				
Due after 1 year but within 5 years	30,388	30,539	0.82	
Due after 5 years but within 10 years	12,159	-		
Due after ten years	34,721	-		
Total	\$77,268		3.19	%

All of the federal agency obligations have call dates occurring between January 2013 and March 2014. Based upon current interest rates, a significant portion of these securities may be called prior to contractual maturity. In the event such securities are not called, the contractual maturities on the great majority of such securities occur during the year ending December 31, 2015, and, as of December 31, 2012, are readily disposable based upon their credit rating and fair value. With respect to MBS, the entire carrying amount of each security at December 31, 2012 is reflected in the above table in the maturity period that includes the final security payment date and, accordingly, no effect has been given to periodic repayments or possible prepayments. As mentioned previously, the investment policies of both the Holding Company and the Bank call for the purchase of only priority tranches when investing in MBS. As a result, the weighted average duration of the Company's MBS approximated 1.4 years as of December 31, 2012 when giving consideration to anticipated repayments or possible prepayments, which is significantly less than their weighted average maturity.

GAAP requires that investments in debt securities be classified in one of the following three categories and accounted for accordingly: trading securities, securities available-for-sale or securities held-to-maturity. GAAP requires investments in equity securities that have readily determinable fair values be classified as either trading securities or securities available-for-sale. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity referred to as accumulated other comprehensive income, net of deferred taxes. At December 31, 2012, the Company owned, on a consolidated basis, \$82.0 million of securities classified as available-for-sale, which represented 2.1% of total assets. Based upon the size of the available-for-sale portfolio, future variations in the market value of the available-for-sale portfolio could result in fluctuations in the Company's consolidated stockholders' equity.

Sources of Funds

General. The Bank's primary sources of funding for its lending and investment activities include deposits, loan and MBS payments, investment security maturities, advances from the FHLBNY, and, from time to time, REPOS entered into with various financial institutions, including the FHLBNY. The Bank may also sell selected multifamily residential, mixed use and one- to four-family residential real estate loans to private sector secondary market purchasers and has in the past sold such loans to FNMA. The Company may additionally issue debt under appropriate circumstances. Although maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and investments are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and prepayments on mortgage loans and MBS are influenced by interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

Deposits. The Bank offers a variety of deposit accounts possessing a range of interest rates and terms. At December 31, 2012, the Bank offered, and presently offers, savings, money market, interest bearing and non-interest bearing checking

accounts, and CDs. The flow of deposits is influenced significantly by general economic conditions, changes in prevailing interest rates, and competition from other financial institutions and investment products. Traditionally, the Bank has relied upon direct and general marketing, customer service, convenience and long-standing relationships with customers to generate deposits. The communities in which the Bank maintains branch offices have historically provided the great majority of its deposits. At December 31, 2012, the Bank had deposit liabilities of \$2.48 billion, up \$135.7 million from December 31, 2011 (See "Part II - Item 7 – Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources"). Within total deposits at December 31, 2012, individual Retirement Accounts totaled \$274.2 million, or 11.1%.

The Bank is also eligible to participate in the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service, through which it can either purchase or sell CDs. Purchases of CDs through this program are limited by Bank policy to an aggregate of 10% of the Bank's average interest earning assets. As of December 31, 2012, deposits taken through this program totaled \$1.7 million.

The Bank is authorized to accept brokered deposits up to an aggregate limit of \$120.0 million. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, total brokered deposits remained significantly below this limit.

The following table presents the deposit activity of the Bank for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,				
DEPOSIT ACTIVITY	2012	2011 2010			
	(Dollars in 7	Thousands)			
Deposits	\$3,955,317	\$3,561,590 \$2,539,00	2		
Withdrawals	3,841,368	3,594,601 2,435,24	8		
Deposits greater than Withdrawals (Withdrawals greater than Deposits)	\$113,949	\$(33,011) \$103,754			
Interest credited	21,779	26,131 29,991			
Total increase (decrease) in deposits	\$135,728	\$(6,880) \$133,745			

At December 31, 2012, the Bank had \$371.7 million in CDs with a minimum denomination of one-hundred thousand dollars as follows:

		Weighte Average	
Maturity Date	Amount	Rate	
(Dollars in Thousands)			
Within three months	\$57,265	0.93	%
After three but within six months	65,383	1.43	
After six but within twelve months	83,511	1.54	
After 12 months	165,538	2.56	
Total	\$371,697	1.88	%

The following table sets forth the distribution of the Bank's deposit accounts and the related weighted average interest rates at the dates indicated:

	At December	er 31, 2012		At Decemb	ber 31, 2011 At Decer		At Decemb	er 31, 2010	
		Percent	Weighted	b	Percent	Weighte	ed	Percent	Weighted
		of Total	Average		of Total	Average	;	of Total	Average
	Amount	Deposits	Rate	Amount	Deposits	Rate	Amount	Deposits	Rate
	(Dollars in '	Thousands)							
Savings									
accounts	\$371,792	15.00 %	0.15 %	\$353,708	15.09 %	0.21 %	\$329,182	14.00 %	0.26 %

	Edgar Filing: DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K										
CDs Money	891,975	35.98	1.66	977,551	41.71	1.85	1,059,652	45.08	2.01		
market accounts Interest	961,359	38.77	0.57	772,055	32.94	0.63	727,939	30.97	0.71		
bearing checking accounts Non-interest bearing	95,159	3.84	0.16	99,308	4.24	0.23	108,078	4.60	0.51		
checking accounts Totals	159,144 \$2,479,429	6.42 100.00 %	0.86 %	141,079 \$2,343,701	6.02 100.00 %	1.02 %	125,730 \$2,350,581	5.35 100.00 %	1.18 %		

The weighted average maturity of the Bank's CDs at December 31, 2012 was 17.3 months, compared to 17.0 months at December 31, 2011. The following table presents, by interest rate ranges, the dollar amount of CDs outstanding at the dates indicated and the period to maturity of the CDs outstanding at December 31, 2012:

Period to Maturity at December 31, 2012							
			Over				
		Over One	er One Three		Total at	Total at	Total at
		Year to	Years to	Over	December	December	December
	One Year	Three	Five	Five	31,	31,	31,
Interest Rate Range	or Less	Years	Years	Years	2012	2011	2010
(Dollars in Thousand	ds)						
2.00% and below	\$458,294	\$85,892	\$12,517	\$3,554	\$560,257	\$565,991	\$543,198
2.01% to 3.00%	17,403	28,027	58,186	28,075	131,691	206,906	298,816
3.01% to 4.00%	13,062	133,290	1,462	15,344	163,158	165,208	178,104
4.01% to 5.00%	36,869	-	-	-	36,869	39,353	39,446
5.01% and above	-	-	-	-	-	93	88
Total	\$525,628	\$247,209	\$72,165	\$46,973	\$891,975	\$977,551	\$1,059,652

Period to Maturity at December 31, 2012

Borrowings. The Bank has been a member and shareholder of the FHLBNY since 1980. One of the privileges offered to FHLBNY shareholders is the ability to secure advances from the FHLBNY under various lending programs at competitive interest rates. The Bank's total borrowing line equaled at least \$1.36 billion at December 31, 2012.

The Bank had \$842.5 million and \$939.8 million of FHLBNY advances outstanding at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The Bank maintained sufficient collateral, as defined by the FHLBNY (principally in the form of real estate loans), to secure such advances.

The Bank had outstanding REPOS totaling \$195.0 million at December 31, 2011. REPOS involve the delivery of securities to broker-dealers as collateral for borrowing transactions. The securities remain registered in the name of the Bank, and are returned upon the maturities of the agreements. Under the interest rate environment in effect during 2012 (which the Company expected to continue through the year ending December 31, 2015), the yield on the securities required to collateralize these borrowings had fallen significantly below the average cost of the borrowed funds, prompting the Company's election to prepay the REPOS during 2012. The Company incurred \$28.8 million in additional interest expense in 2012 related to the prepayment of the REPOS.

Presented below is information concerning REPOS and FHLBNY advances for the periods presented:

REPOS:

	At or for the Year Ended December					
	31,					
	2012	2011	2010			
	(Dollars in The	ousands)				
Balance outstanding at end of period	\$-	\$195,000	\$195,000			
Average interest cost at end of period	- %	4.33 %	4.33 %			
Average balance outstanding during the period	\$132,910	\$195,000	\$203,055			
Average interest cost during the period	26.24 %(1)	4.33 %	4.33 %			
Estimated fair value of underlying collateral	\$-	\$214,446	\$214,539			
Maximum balance outstanding at month end during the year	\$195,000	\$195,000	\$230,000			
(1) Evaluating propagation of $$28.8$ million incurred the	avorago cost w	ould have bee	n 1 33% during the year			

(1) Excluding prepayment costs of \$28.8 million incurred, the average cost would have been 4.33% during the year ended December 31, 2012.

FHLBNY Advances:

	At or for the Year Ended December 31,					
	2012	2011	2010			
	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Balance outstanding at end of period	\$842,500	\$939,775	\$990,525			
Average interest cost at end of period	2.68 %	2.88 %	3.26 %			
Weighted average balance outstanding during the period	\$826,176	\$945,614	\$991,063			
Average interest cost during the period	2.96 %	3.17 %	3.51 %			
Maximum balance outstanding at month end during period	\$939,775	\$990,525	\$1,064,675			

Subsidiary Activities

In addition to the Bank, the Holding Company's direct and indirect subsidiaries consist of eight wholly-owned corporations, two of which are directly owned by the Holding Company and six of which are directly owned by the Bank. The following table presents an overview of the Holding Company's subsidiaries, other than the Bank, as of December 31, 2012:

	Year/ State of					
Subsidiary	Incorporation	Primary Business Activities				
Direct Subsidiaries of the Holding						
Company:						
842 Manhattan Ayanya Com	1995/ New York	Management and ownership of real estate.				
842 Manhattan Avenue Corp.	1995/ New TOIK	Currently inactive.				
Dime Community Capital Trust I	2004/ Delaware	Statutory Trust (1)				
Direct Subsidiaries of the Bank:						
Boulevard Funding Corp.	1981 / New York	Management and ownership of real estate				
Dime Insurance Agency Inc. (f/k/a	1997 / New York	Sala of non EDIC incurred investment anodusts				
Havemeyer Investments, Inc.)	19977 New TOIK	Sale of non-FDIC insured investment products				
		Real Estate Investment Trust investing in				
DSBW Preferred Funding Corp.	1998 / Delaware	multifamily residential and commercial real				
		estate loans				
DSDW Desidential Destamed Funding Com	1009 / Dalawara	Real Estate Investment Trust investing in one-				
DSBW Residential Preferred Funding Corp.	1998 / Delaware	to four-family real estate loans				
Dima Dainwastment Composition	2004 / Dalamana	Community Development Entity. Currently				
Dime Reinvestment Corporation	2004 / Delaware	inactive.				
195 Havemeyer Corp.	2008 / New York	Management and ownership of real estate				
(1) Dime Community Capital Trust I was established for the exclusive purpose of issuing and selling capital						

(1) Dime Community Capital Trust I was established for the exclusive purpose of issuing and selling capital securities and using the proceeds to acquire approximately \$70 million of junior subordinated debt securities issued by the Holding Company. The junior subordinated debt securities (referred to in this Annual Report as "trust preferred securities payable"), bear an interest rate of 7.0%, mature on April 14, 2034 and are the sole assets of Dime Community Capital Trust I. In accordance with revised interpretation No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51," Dime Community Capital Trust I is not consolidated with the Holding Company for financial reporting purposes.

Personnel

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had 359 full-time and 62 part-time employees. The employees are not represented by a collective bargaining unit, and the Holding Company and all of its subsidiaries consider their relationships with their employees to be good.

Federal, State and Local Taxation

The following is a general description of material tax matters and does not purport to be a comprehensive review of the tax rules applicable to the Company.

Federal Taxation

General. For federal income tax purposes, the Company files a consolidated income tax return on a December 31st fiscal year basis using the accrual method of accounting and is subject to federal income taxation in the same manner as other corporations with some exceptions, including, particularly, the Bank's tax reserve for bad debts, discussed below.

Tax Bad Debt Reserves. The Bank, as a "large bank" under IRS classifications (i.e., one with assets having an adjusted basis in excess of \$500 million), is: (i) unable to make additions to its tax bad debt reserve, (ii) permitted to deduct bad debts only as they occur, and (iii) required to recapture (i.e., take into income) over a multi-year period a portion of the balance of its tax bad debt reserves as of June 30, 1996. At the time of enactment of the recapture requirement, the Bank had already provided a deferred income tax liability for the post 1987 increase to the tax bad debt reserve for financial reporting purposes. There was thus no adverse impact to the Bank's financial condition or results of operations as a result of the legislation.

Distributions. Capital distributions to the Bank's shareholder are considered distributions from the Bank's "base year tax bad debt reserve" (i.e., its reserve as of December 31, 1987, to the extent thereof), and then from its supplemental reserve for losses on loans. Capital distributions include distributions: (i) in excess of the Bank's current and accumulated earnings and profits, as calculated for federal income tax purposes; (ii) for redemption of stock; and (iii) for partial or complete liquidation.

An amount based on the total capital distributions paid will be included in the Bank's taxable income in the year of distribution. The amount of additional taxable income created from a capital distribution is the amount that, when reduced by the amount of the tax attributable to this income, is equal to the amount of the distribution. Thus, assuming a 35% federal corporate

income tax rate, approximately one and one-half times the amount of such distribution (but not in excess of the amount of the above-mentioned reserves) would be includable in income for federal income tax purposes. The Bank does not currently intend to make distributions that would result in a recapture of any portion of its base year tax bad debt reserves. Dividends paid out of current or accumulated earnings and profits will not be included in the Bank's income. (See "Part I - Item 1 – Business - Regulation - Regulation of New York State Chartered Savings Banks - Limitation on Capital Distributions," for a discussion of limits on capital distributions by the Bank to its shareholder).

Corporate Alternative Minimum Tax. The Bank's federal tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2012 was 35% of taxable income. The Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") imposes a tax on alternative minimum taxable income ("AMTI") at a rate of 20%. AMTI is adjusted by determining the tax treatment of certain items in a manner that negates the deferral or deduction of income resulting from the customary tax treatment of those items. Thus, the Bank's AMTI is increased by 75% of the amount by which the Bank's adjusted current earnings exceed its AMTI (determined without regard to this adjustment and prior to reduction for net operating losses).

State and Local Taxation

State of New York. The Company is subject to New York State ("NYS") franchise tax based on one of several alternative methods, whichever results in the greatest tax. These methods are as follows: 1) entire net income, which is federal taxable income with adjustments; 2) .01% of assets; or 3) the alternative minimum tax of 3% (after the exclusion of certain preferential items).

Until 2010, NYS permitted deductions, within specified formula limits, for additions to the Bank's tax bad debt reserves for purposes of computing its entire net income. During 2010, NYS enacted a change in tax law that no longer permits the Bank to avail itself of this deduction.

In general, the Holding Company is not required to pay NYS tax on dividends and interest received from the Bank.

The statutory NYS tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2012 approximated 8.63% of taxable income. This rate included a metropolitan commuter transportation district surcharge of 17% of the tax amount.

NYC. The Holding Company and the Bank are both subject to a NYC banking corporation tax based on one of several methods, whichever results in the greatest tax. These methods are as follows: 1) 9.0% of entire net income allocated to NYC, which is federal taxable income with adjustments; 2) .01% of assets; or 3) the alternative minimum tax of 3% (after the exclusion of certain preferential items).

NYC generally conforms its tax law to NYS tax law in the determination of taxable income (including the laws relating to tax bad debt reserves). NYC tax law, however, did not allow a deduction for the carryover of a net operating loss of a banking company. However, as a result of a change to the NYC tax law, net operating losses incurred in tax years after 2008 may be carried over.

State of Delaware. As a Delaware holding company not earning income in Delaware, the Holding Company is exempt from Delaware corporate income tax, however, it is required to file an annual report and pay an annual franchise tax to the State of Delaware.

Regulation

General

The Bank's conversion from a federally-chartered stock savings bank to a New York State-chartered stock savings bank (the "Charter Conversion") became effective as of the close of business on June 29, 2012. As a result of the Charter Conversion, the New York State Department of Financial Services ("NYSDFS") has become the Bank's

primary regulator and the Bank is no longer regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") as a federal savings association. The Bank remains subject to regulation and examination by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") as the federal safety and soundness regulator for state-chartered banks that are not members of the Federal Reserve System ("State Nonmember Banks"). The FDIC also administers laws and regulations applicable to all FDIC-insured depository institutions. The Holding Company continues to be subject to regulation and examination by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("FRB") and, more specifically, the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia. Te Bank elected to be treated as a "savings association" under Section 10(1) of the Home Owners' Loan Act, as amended ("HOLA"), for purposes of the regulation of the Holding Company. The Holding Company will thus continue to be regulated as a savings and loan holding company by the FRB

as long as the Bank continues to satisfy the requirements to remain a "qualified thrift lender" ("QTL") under HOLA. If the Bank fails to remain a QTL, the Holding Company must register with the FRB, and be treated as, a bank holding company. The Holding Company does not expect that regulation as a bank holding company rather than a savings and loan holding company would be a significant change. The Charter Conversion has not had, nor does the Company expect it to have, a material effect on its business or operations.

The Bank's deposit accounts are insured up to applicable limits by the FDIC under the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF"). The Bank is required to file reports with both the NYSDFS and the FDIC concerning its activities and financial condition, and to obtain regulatory approval prior to entering into certain transactions, such as mergers with, or acquisitions of, other depository institutions. Both the NYSDFS and the FDIC conduct periodic examinations to assess the Bank's safety and soundness and compliance with various regulatory requirements. This regulation and supervision establishes a comprehensive framework of activities in which a state-chartered savings bank may engage and is intended primarily for the protection of the DIF and depositors. As a publicly-held unitary savings bank holding company, the Holding Company is also required to file certain reports with, and otherwise comply with the rules and regulations of, both the SEC, under the federal securities laws, and the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia.

The NYSDFS and the FDIC possess significant discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities and examination policies, including policies with respect to the classification of assets and the establishment of adequate loan loss reserves for regulatory purposes. Any change in such policies, whether by the NYSDFS, the FDIC or through legislation, could have a material adverse impact on the operations of the Company.

The following discussion is intended to be a summary of the material statutes and regulations applicable to New York State chartered savings banks and savings and loan holding companies, and does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all such statutes and regulations. For a description of the material statutes and regulations that were applicable to the Bank and the Holding Company prior to the Charter Conversion, see the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Regulation of New York State Chartered Savings Banks

Business Activities. The Bank derives its lending, investment, and other authority primarily from the New York Banking Law ("NYBL") and the regulations of the NYSDFS, subject to limitations under applicable FDIC laws and regulations. Pursuant to the NYBL, the Bank may invest in mortgage loans secured by residential and commercial real estate, commercial and consumer loans, certain types of debt securities (including certain corporate debt securities and obligations of federal, state, and local governments and agencies), and certain other assets. The lending powers of New York State-chartered savings banks and commercial banks are not generally subject to percentage-of-assets or capital limitations, although there are limits applicable to loans to individual borrowers. (See "Part I - Item 1 – Business - Regulation - Regulation of New York State-Chartered Savings Banks – Loans to One Borrower"). The Bank may also establish service corporations that may engage in activities not otherwise permissible for the Bank, including certain real estate equity investments and securities and insurance brokerage activities.

Recent Financial Regulatory Reforms. On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Reform Act"), intended to address perceived weaknesses in the U.S. financial regulatory system and prevent future economic and financial crises. Through December 31, 2012, the Reform Act did not have a material impact on the Company's core operations. Many provisions of the Reform Act remain to be implemented within specified time frames following the effective date of the Reform Act, creating a risk of uncertainty as to the ultimate effect of such provisions. The Company believes that the following provisions of the Reform Act, when fully implemented, may have an impact on the Company:

The Reform Act created the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB"). With respect to insured depository institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets, such as the Bank, the CFPB has rulemaking, but not enforcement, authority for federal consumer protection laws, such as the Truth in Lending Act, the Equal Credit Opportunity Act,

the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, and the Truth in Savings Act, among others, and may participate in examinations conducted by the federal bank regulatory agencies to determine compliance with consumer protection laws and regulations. As a new independent Bureau within the FRB, it is possible that the CFPB will focus more attention on consumers and may impose requirements more severe than the previous bank regulatory agencies.

The Reform Act creates minimum standards for the origination of mortgages, and in January, 2013, the CFPB issued final regulations governing consumer mortgage lending (including mortgage servicing, certain mortgage origination standards and "qualified mortgages"), some of which become effective in June,2013 and most of which become effective in January,2014. Management is evaluating these regulations to determine their potential impact on the Bank.

The Reform Act contains provisions that restrict proprietary trading and the sponsorship of, and investment in, hedge and private equity funds by banking entities. Such provisions are being finalized. The Company is unable to determine the impact of these provisions until final implementing rules are promulgated and other regulatory guidance is provided interpreting the provisions.

In addition, as required by the Reform Act, the FRB has adopted a rule restricting on interchange fees applicable to debit card transactions. Effective October 1, 2011, interchange fees on debit card transactions are limited to a maximum of 21 cents per transaction plus 5 basis points of the transaction amount. A debit card issuer may recover an additional one cent per transaction for fraud prevention purposes if the issuer complies with certain fraud-related requirements prescribed by the FRB. The fee restrictions do not apply to debit card issuers that, together with their affiliates, have assets of less than \$10 billion.

The Reform Act also significantly repealed the federal preemption of state consumer protection laws that had been provided to federal savings associations and national banks by: (i) requiring that a state consumer financial law prevent or significantly interfere with the exercise of a federal savings association's or national bank's powers before it can be preempted, (ii) mandating that any preemption decision be made on a case by case basis rather than a blanket rule, and (iii) eliminating the applicability of preemption to subsidiaries and affiliates of national banks and federal savings associations. The Reform Act provides that the same standards for federal preemption of laws apply to both national banks and federal savings associations. The significant repeal of federal preemption was one of several factors considered by the Company in determining to conduct the Charter Conversion. As a New York State-chartered savings bank, the Bank will not benefit from federal preemption of state consumer protection laws. However, had the Bank had remained a federal savings association, the benefits of federal preemption would have been significantly reduced. Since the Company performs the great majority of its business within one state, the Bank's loss of federal preemption as a result of the Charter Conversion is not currently expected to significantly impact its operations.

Basel III. On June 7, 2012, the FDIC, FRB and OCC issued a series of proposed rules that would revise their risk-based and leverage capital requirements and their method for calculating risk-weighted assets to make them consistent with the agreements reached by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision in "Basel III: A Global Regulatory Framework for More Resilient Banks and Banking Systems" and certain provisions of the Reform Act. The proposed rules would apply to depository institutions and ultimate parent savings and loan holding companies, such as the Bank and Holding Company, respectively. The proposed rules indicated that the final rules would become effective January 1, 2013, and that changes in the final rules would be phased in from January 1, 2013 through January 1, 2019. On November 9, 2012, however, the agencies stated that, due to the volume of public comments, the final rules would not take effect on January 1, 2013. The enactment of the Basel III rules could increase the required capital levels of the Bank, and the Holding Company will become subject to consolidated capital rules.

Interagency Guidance on Nontraditional Mortgage Product Risks. On October 4, 2006, the federal bank regulatory authorities (collectively the "Agencies") published the Interagency Guidance on Nontraditional Mortgage Product Risks (the "Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance"). The Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance describes sound practices for managing risk, as well as marketing, originating and servicing nontraditional mortgage products, which include, among others, interest only loans. The Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance sets forth supervisory expectations with respect to loan terms and underwriting standards, portfolio and risk management practices and consumer protection. For example, the Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance indicates that originating interest only loans with reduced documentation is considered a layering of risk and that institutions are expected to demonstrate mitigating factors to support their underwriting decision and the borrower's repayment capacity. Specifically, the Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance indicates that a lender may accept a borrower's statement as to its income without obtaining verification only if there are mitigating factors that clearly minimize the need for direct verification of repayment capacity and that, for many borrowers, institutions should be able to readily document income.

Statement on Subprime Lending. On June 29, 2007, the Agencies issued a final Statement on Subprime Mortgage Lending (the "Subprime Mortgage Statement") to address growing concerns regarding the subprime mortgage market, particularly with respect to rapidly rising subprime default rates. In particular, the Subprime Mortgage Statement indicated concern that many subprime borrowers were not prepared for "payment shock" and that subprime lending practices compounded the risk for financial institutions. The Subprime Mortgage Statement described the prudent safety and soundness and consumer protection standards that financial institutions should adopt to ensure borrowers obtain loans that they can afford to repay. These standards include a fully indexed, fully amortized qualification for borrowers and cautions on risk-layering features, including an expectation that stated income and reduced documentation should be accepted only if there are documented mitigating factors that clearly minimize the need for verification of a borrower's repayment capacity. Consumer protection standards include clear and balanced product disclosures to customers and limits on prepayment penalties that allow a reasonable period of time, typically at least 60 days, for borrowers to refinance prior to expiration of the initial fixed interest rate period without penalty. The Subprime Mortgage Statement also reinforced the April 17, 2007 Interagency Statement on Working with Mortgage Borrowers, in which the Agencies encouraged institutions to work constructively with residential borrowers who are financially unable or reasonably expected to be unable to satisfy the contractual payment obligations on their home loans.

The Company has never originated subprime loans. The Company has evaluated the Nontraditional Mortgage Product Guidance and the Subprime Mortgage Statement and determined its risk management practices, underwriting guidelines and consumer protection standards to be in compliance.

Loans to One Borrower. Under the NYBL, New York State-chartered savings banks are generally subject to limits on loans to one borrower. Generally, pursuant to these limits, a New York State-chartered savings bank may not make loans or extend credit for commercial, corporate or business purposes (including lease financing) to a single borrower, the aggregate amount of which would exceed of 15% of the bank's capital stock, surplus fund and undivided profits. Additional amounts may be advanced, not in excess of 10% of unimpaired capital stock, surplus fund and undivided profits, if such loans or extensions of credit are secured by collateral having an ascertained market value at least equal to the excess of such loans over 15% of the bank's capital stock, surplus fund and undivided profits. At December 31, 2012, the Bank's limit on loans to one borrower was \$58.5 million. The Bank's largest aggregate amount of loans to one borrower on that date was \$38.7 million and the second largest borrower had an aggregate loan balance of \$38.0 million.

QTL Test. In order for the Holding Company to be regulated by the FRB as a savings and loan holding company rather than a bank holding company, the Bank must remain a QTL. To satisfy this requirement, the Bank must maintain at least 65% of its "portfolio assets" in certain "qualified thrift investments" during at least nine of the most recent twelve months. "Portfolio assets" mean, in general, the Bank's total assets less the sum of: (i) specified liquid assets up to 20% of total assets, (ii) certain intangibles, including goodwill, credit card relationships and purchased MSR, and (iii) the value of property used to conduct the Bank's business. "Qualified thrift investments" include various types of loans made for residential and housing purposes; investments related to such purposes, including certain mortgage-backed and related securities; and small business, education, and credit card loans. The Bank may additionally satisfy the QTL test by qualifying as a "domestic building and loan association" as defined in the Code. At December 31, 2012, the Bank maintained 75.2% of its portfolio assets in qualified thrift investments. The Bank also satisfied the QTL test in each month during 2012, and, therefore, was a QTL. If the Bank fails to remain a QTL, the Holding Company must register with the FRB as a bank holding company.

A savings association that fails the QTL test will generally be prohibited from (i) engaging in any new activity not permissible for a national bank, (ii) paying dividends, unless the payment would be permissible for a national bank, is necessary to meet obligations of a company that controls the savings bank, and is specifically approved by the FDIC and the FRB, and (iii) establishing any new branch office in a location not permissible for a national bank in the association's home state. A savings association that fails to satisfy the QTL test may be subject to FDIC enforcement action. In addition, within one year of the date a savings association ceases to satisfy the QTL test, any company controlling the association must register under, and become subject to the requirements of, the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended ("BHCA"). A savings association that has failed the QTL test may requalify under the QTL test within three years after failing the QTL test, it will be required to terminate any activity, and dispose of any investment, not permissible for a national bank. These provisions remain in effect under the Reform Act.

Capital Requirements. FDIC regulations require State Nonmember Banks, such as the Bank, to satisfy three minimum capital standards: (i) a minimum Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4%, (ii) a total risk-based capital ratio of 8%, and (iii) a leverage capital ratio of 4%. For depository institutions that have been assigned a composite rating of one (the highest rating of the FDIC under the Uniform Financial Institutions Rating System), the minimum required leverage capital ratio is 3%. For any other depository institution, the minimum required leverage capital ratio is 4%, unless a higher leverage capital ratio is warranted by the particular circumstances or risk profile of the depository institution. In assessing an institution's capital adequacy, in addition to these numeric factors, the FDIC considers qualitative factors, and possesses the authority to establish increased capital requirements for individual institutions when necessary.

Under the Reform Act federal bank regulatory agencies are required to establish consolidated risk-based and leverage capital requirements for insured depository institutions, depository institution holding companies and systemically important nonbank financial companies. These requirements must be no less than those to which insured depository institutions are currently subject. The new requirements eliminated the use of trust preferred securities issued after May 19, 2010 as a component of Tier 1 capital for depository institution holding companies of the Holding Company's size. However, since the Holding Company had less than \$15 billion of consolidated assets as of December 31, 2009, it is permitted to include any trust preferred securities issued before May 19, 2010 as an element of Tier 1 capital. As a result of the foregoing, in July 2015, the Holding Company would become subject to consolidated capital requirements to which it has not been previously subject, and the Holding Company will not be permitted to include any trust preferred securities issued after May 19, 2010 as a component of Tier 1 capital. However, Basel III proposes to treat trust preferred securities differently than the Reform Act, and it is possible that when the Basel III regulations are finalized, the Holding Company will not be permitted to include any trust preferred securities differently than the Reform Act, and it is possible that when the Basel III regulations are finalized, the Holding Company will not be permitted to include any trust preferred securities differently than the Reform Act, and it is possible that when the Basel III regulations are finalized, the Holding Company will not be permitted to include any trust preferred

securities, including those issued before May 19, 2010, as a component of Tier 1 capital. (See "Part I - Item 1 – Business - Regulation - Regulation of the Holding Company – Basel Committee on Banking Supervision Capital Rules").

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 ("FDICIA") requires that the Agencies revise their risk-based capital standards, with appropriate transition rules, to ensure that they take into account interest rate risk ("IRR"), concentration of risk and the risks of non-traditional activities. Current FDIC regulations do not include a specific IRR component of the risk-based capital requirement; however, the FDIC monitors the IRR of individual institutions through a variety of methods which are discussed on the following page. The FDIC, through its general oversight of the safety and soundness of insured depository institutions, retains the right to impose minimum capital requirements on individual institutions to the extent they are not in compliance with certain written FDIC guidelines regarding IRR compliance analysis. The FDIC has not imposed any such requirements on the Bank.

The table below presents the Bank's regulatory capital compared to FDIC regulatory capital requirements:

					To Be	
			For Capita	1	Categorize	ed as
			Adequacy		"Well	
	Actual		Purposes		Capitalized	d"
As of December 31, 2012	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Tangible capital	\$383,042	9.98 %	\$153,493	4.0 %	\$191,866	5.00 %
Leverage capital	383,042	9.98	153,493	4.0	191,866	5.00
Tier I risk-based capital (to risk weighted assets)	383,042	12.98	114,191	4.0	171,286	6.00
Total risk-based capital (to risk weighted assets)	405,077	13.72	228,232	8.0	285,477	10.00

The following is a reconciliation of stockholders' equity to regulatory capital for the Bank:

	At December 31, 2012				
		Leverage			
		and Tier			
		1 Risk	Total		
	Tangible	Based	Risk-Based		
	Capital	Capital	Capital		
	(Dollars in)			
Stockholders' equity	\$428,892	\$428,892	\$ 428,892		
Non-allowable assets:					
MSR	(111)	(111)	(111)		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	9,899	9,899	9,899		
Goodwill	(55,638)	(55,638)	(55,638)		
Tier 1 risk-based capital	383,042	383,042	383,042		
General regulatory valuation allowance	-	-	22,035		
Total (Tier 2) risk based capital	383,042	383,042	405,077		
Minimum capital requirement	153,493	153,493	228,232		
Regulatory capital excess	\$229,549	\$229,549	\$ 176,845		

Advisory on Interest Rate Risk Management. In January 2010, the Agencies released an Advisory on Interest Rate Risk Management (the "IRR Advisory") to remind institutions of the supervisory expectations regarding sound practices for managing IRR. While some degree of IRR is inherent in the business of banking, the Agencies expect institutions to have sound risk management practices in place to measure, monitor and control IRR exposures, and IRR management should be an integral component of an institution's risk management infrastructure. The Agencies expect all institutions to manage their IRR exposures using processes and systems commensurate with their earnings and capital levels, complexity, business model, risk profile and scope of operations, and the IRR Advisory reiterates

the importance of effective corporate governance, policies and procedures, risk measuring and monitoring systems, stress testing, and internal controls related to the IRR exposures of institutions.

The IRR Advisory encourages institutions to use a variety of techniques to measure IRR exposure, which include simple maturity gap analysis, income measurement and valuation measurement for assessing the impact of changes in market rates as well as simulation modeling to measure IRR exposure. Institutions are encouraged to use the full complement of analytical capabilities of their IRR simulation models. The IRR Advisory also reminds institutions that stress testing, which includes both scenario and sensitivity analysis, is an integral component of IRR management. The IRR Advisory indicates that institutions

should regularly assess IRR exposures beyond typical industry conventions, including changes in rates of greater magnitude (e.g., up and down 300 and 400 basis points as compared to the generally used up and down 200 basis points) across different tenors to reflect changing slopes and twists of the yield curve.

The IRR Advisory emphasizes that effective IRR management not only involves the identification and measurement of IRR, but also provides for appropriate actions to control the risk. The adequacy and effectiveness of an institution's IRR management process and the level of its IRR exposure are critical factors in the Agencies' evaluation of an institution's sensitivity to changes in interest rates and capital adequacy.

Limitation on Capital Distributions. The NYBL and the New York banking regulations, as well as FDIC and FRB regulations impose limitations upon capital distributions by state-chartered savings banks, such as cash dividends, payments to purchase or otherwise acquire its shares, payments to shareholders of another institution in a cash-out merger, and other distributions charged against capital.

Under the NYBL and the New York banking regulations, New York State-chartered stock savings banks may declare and pay dividends out of net profits, unless there is an impairment of capital, however, approval of the New York State Superintendent of Financial Services ("Superintendent") is required if the total of all dividends declared by the bank in a calendar year would exceed the total of its net profits for that year combined with its retained net profits for the preceding two years less prior dividends paid.

As the subsidiary of a savings and loan holding company, the Bank is required to file a notice with the FRB at least 30 days prior to each capital distribution. The FRB can prohibit a proposed capital distribution if it determines that the bank would be "undercapitalized", as defined in the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended ("FDIA"), following the distribution or that a proposed distribution would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice. Further, under FDIC prompt corrective action regulations, the Bank would be prohibited from making a capital distribution if, after the distribution, the Bank would fail to satisfy its minimum capital requirements, as described above (See "Part I - Item 1 – Business - Regulation - Regulation of New York State Chartered Savings Banks - Prompt Corrective Regulatory Action"). In addition, pursuant to the FDIA, an insured depository institution such as the Bank is prohibited from making capital distributions, including the payment of dividends, if, after making such distribution, the institution would become "undercapitalized" as defined in the FDIA.

Liquidity. Pursuant to FDIC regulations, the Bank is required to maintain sufficient liquidity to ensure its safe and sound operation (See "Part II - Item 7 – Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" for further discussion). At December 31, 2012, the Bank satisfied all such liquidity requirements.

Assessments. New York State-chartered savings banks are required by the NYBL to pay annual assessments to the NYSDFS in connection with its regulation and supervision (including examination) of the Bank. This annual assessment is based primarily on the asset size of the Bank, among other factors determined by the NYSDFS. The Bank is not required to pay additional assessments to the FDIC for its regulation and supervision (including examination) of the Bank as a state nonmember bank, however, the Bank is required to pay assessments to the FDIC as an insured depository institution. (See "Part I - Item 1 – Business - Regulation - Regulation of New York State Chartered Savings Banks – Insurance of Deposit Accounts").

Branching. Subject to certain limitations, NYBL and FDIC regulations permit New York State chartered savings banks to establish branches in any state of the United States. Federal law allows the FDIC, and the NYBL allows the Superintendent, to approve an application by a state banking institution to acquire interstate branches by merger, unless, in the case of the FDIC, the state of the target institution has opted out of interstate branching. The NYBL authorizes New York State-chartered savings banks to open and occupy de novo branches outside the State of New York. Pursuant to the Reform Act, the FDIC is authorized to approve the establishment by a state bank of a de novo interstate branch if the intended host state allows de novo branching by banks chartered by that state.

Community Reinvestment. Under the Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA"), as implemented by FDIC regulations, an insured depository institution possesses a continuing and affirmative obligation, consistent with its safe and sound operation, to help satisfy the credit needs of its entire community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. The CRA does not establish specific lending requirements or programs for financial institutions nor does it limit an institution's discretion to develop the types of products and services it believes are most appropriate to its particular community. The CRA requires the FDIC, in connection with its examination of a State Nonmember Bank, to assess the bank's record of satisfying the credit needs of its community and consider such record in its evaluation of certain applications by the bank. The assessment is composed of three tests: (i) a lending test, to evaluate the institution's record of investing in community development projects, affordable housing, and programs benefiting low or

moderate income individuals and businesses; and (iii) a service test, to evaluate the institution's delivery of services through its branches, automated teller machines ("ATMs") and other offices. The CRA also requires all institutions to make public disclosure of their CRA ratings. The Bank received an "Outstanding" CRA rating in its most recent examination. Regulations additionally require that the Bank publicly disclose certain agreements that are in fulfillment of the CRA. The Bank has no such agreements.

The Bank is also subject to provisions of the NYBL that impose continuing and affirmative obligations upon a New York State-chartered savings bank to serve the credit needs of its local community (the "NYCRA"). Such obligations are substantially similar to those imposed by the CRA. The NYCRA requires the NYSDFS to make a periodic written assessment of an institution's compliance with the NYCRA, utilizing a four-tiered rating system, and to make such assessment available to the public. The NYCRA also requires the Superintendent to consider the NYCRA rating when reviewing an application to engage in certain transactions, including mergers, asset purchases and the establishment of branch offices or ATMs, and provides that such assessment may serve as a basis for the denial of any such application. The Bank became subject to the NYCRA at the Charter Conversion, and has not yet received an NYCRA rating.

Transactions with Related Parties. The Bank's authority to engage in transactions with its "affiliates" is limited by FDIC regulations, Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act ("FRA"), and Regulation W issued by the FRB. FDIC regulations regarding transactions with affiliates generally conform to Regulation W. These provisions, among other matters, prohibit, limit or place restrictions upon a depository institution extending credit to, or entering into certain transactions with, its affiliates, which, for the Bank, would include the Holding Company and any subsidiary of the Bank or the Holding Company. The FRB and the FDIC require each depository institution that is subject to Sections 23A and 23B to implement policies and procedures to ensure compliance with Regulation W and the FDIC regulations regarding transactions with affiliates.

As a "savings association" under Section 10(1) of the HOLA, the Bank is additionally subject to the rules governing transactions with affiliates for savings associations under HOLA Section 11. These rules include provisions prohibiting a savings association from: (i) advancing a loan to an affiliate engaged in non-bank holding company activities; and (ii) purchasing or investing in securities issued by an affiliate that is not a subsidiary. The rules also include certain exemptions from these prohibitions.

Section 402 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 ("Sarbanes-Oxley") prohibits the extension of personal loans to directors and executive officers of issuers (as defined in Sarbanes-Oxley). The prohibition, however, does not apply to any loan by an insured depository institution, such as the Bank, if the loan is subject to the insider lending restrictions of Section 22(h) of the FRA, as implemented by Regulation O (12 CFR 215).

The Bank's authority to extend credit to its directors, executive officers, and stockholders owning 10% or more of the Holding Company's outstanding common stock, as well as to entities controlled by such persons, is additionally governed by the requirements of Sections 22(g) and 22(h) of the FRA and Regulation O of the FRB enacted thereunder. Among other matters, these provisions require that extensions of credit to insiders: (i) be made on terms substantially the same as, and follow credit underwriting procedures not less stringent than, those prevailing for comparable transactions with unaffiliated persons and that do not involve more than the normal risk of repayment or present other unfavorable features; and (ii) not exceed certain amount limitations individually and in the aggregate, which limits are based, in part, on the amount of the bank's capital. Regulation O additionally requires that extensions of credit in excess of certain limits be approved in advance by the bank's board of directors.

New York banking regulations impose certain limits and requirements on various transactions with "insiders," as defined in the New York banking regulations to include certain executive officers, directors and principal stockholders.

The Reform Act imposes further restrictions on transactions with affiliates and extensions of credit to executive officers, directors and principal stockholders, by, among other practices, expanding covered transactions to include

securities lending, repurchase agreements and derivatives activities with affiliates. These changes were effective July 21, 2012.

The Holding Company and Bank both presently prohibit loans to Directors and executive management

Enforcement. Under the NYBL, the Superintendent possesses enforcement power over New York State-chartered savings banks. The NYBL gives the Superintendent authority to order a New York State-chartered savings bank to appear and explain an apparent violation of law, to discontinue unauthorized or unsafe practices and to maintain prescribed books and accounts. Upon a finding by the Superintendent that a director, trustee or officer of a savings bank has violated any law, or has continued unauthorized or unsafe practices in conducting its business after having been notified by the Superintendent to discontinue such practices, such director, trustee, or officer may be removed from office after notice and an opportunity to be heard.

The Superintendent also has authority to appoint a conservator or receiver, such as the FDIC, for a savings bank under certain circumstances.

Under FDICIA, the FDIC possesses enforcement authority for FDIC insured depository institutions and has the authority to bring enforcement action against all "institution-affiliated parties," including any controlling stockholder or any shareholder, attorney, appraiser or accountant who knowingly or recklessly participates in any violation of applicable law or regulation, breach of fiduciary duty or certain other wrongful actions that cause, or are likely to cause, more than minimal loss to or other significant adverse effect on an insured depository institution. Civil penalties cover a wide series of violations and actions and range from \$5,000 for each day during which violations of law, regulations, orders, and certain written agreements and conditions continue, up to \$1 million per day if the "institution-affiliated party" obtained a substantial pecuniary gain as a result of such violation or knowingly or recklessly caused a substantial loss to the institution. Criminal penalties for certain financial institution crimes include fines of up to \$1 million and imprisonment for up to 30 years. In addition, regulators possess substantial discretion to take enforcement action against an institution that fails to comply with regulatory structure, particularly with respect to capital requirements. Possible enforcement actions range from the imposition of a capital plan and capital directive to receivership, conservatorship, or the termination of deposit insurance. Under FDICIA, the FDIC has the authority to recommend that enforcement action be taken with respect to a particular insured depository institution.

Standards for Safety and Soundness. Pursuant to FDICIA, as amended by the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994, the FDIC, together with the other federal bank regulatory agencies, has adopted guidelines prescribing safety and soundness standards relating to internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings and compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require, among other features, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risks and exposures specified in the guidelines. The guidelines prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director or principal shareholder. In addition, the FDIC has adopted regulations pursuant to FDICIA that authorize, but do not require, the FDIC to order an institution that has been given notice by the FDIC that it is not satisfying any of such safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan. If, after being so ordered, an institution fails to submit an acceptable compliance plan or fails in any material respect to implement an accepted compliance plan, the FDIC must issue an order directing action to correct the deficiency and may issue an order directing other actions of the types to which an undercapitalized bank is subject under the "prompt corrective action" provisions of FDICIA (See "Part I - Item 1 – Business - Regulation - Regulation of New York State Chartered Savings Banks – Prompt Corrective Regulatory Action"). If an institution fails to comply with such an order, the FDIC may seek enforcement in judicial proceedings and the imposition of civil money penalties.

Real Estate Lending Standards. On October 30, 2009, the Agencies adopted a policy statement supporting prudent commercial real estate loan workouts (the "Policy Statement"). The Policy Statement provides guidance for examiners, and for financial institutions that are working with commercial real estate borrowers experiencing diminished operating cash flows, depreciated collateral values, or prolonged delays in selling or renting commercial properties. The Policy Statement details risk-management practices for loan workouts that support prudent and pragmatic credit and business decision-making within the framework of financial accuracy, transparency, and timely loss recognition. Financial institutions that implement prudent loan workout arrangements after performing comprehensive reviews of borrowers' financial conditions will not be subject to criticism for engaging in these efforts, even if the restructured loans have weaknesses that result in adverse credit classifications. In addition, performing loans, including those renewed or restructured on reasonable modified terms, made to creditworthy borrowers, will not be subject to adverse classification solely because the value of the underlying collateral declined. The Policy Statement reiterates existing guidance that examiners are expected to take a balanced approach in assessing an institution's risk-management practices for loan workout activities.

Prompt Corrective Regulatory Action. Under the FDIC prompt corrective action regulations, the FDIC is required to take certain, and authorized to take other, supervisory actions against undercapitalized savings insured depository

institutions. For this purpose, a savings depository institution is placed in one of five categories based on its capital: "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized," and "critically undercapitalized." Generally, a capital restoration plan must be filed with the FDIC within 45 days of the date a bank receives notice that it is "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized" or "critically undercapitalized," and the plan must be guaranteed by any parent holding company. In addition, the institution becomes subject to various mandatory supervisory actions, including restrictions on growth of assets and other forms of expansion. Generally, under the FDIC regulations, a federally chartered savings depository institution is treated as well capitalized if its total risk-based capital ratio is 10% or greater, its Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio is 6% or greater, its leverage ratio is 5% or greater, and it is not subject to any order or directive by the FDIC to meet a specific capital level. As of December 31, 2012, the Bank satisfied all criteria necessary to be categorized "well capitalized" under the prompt corrective action regulatory framework.

When appropriate, the FDIC can require corrective action by a savings and loan holding company under the "prompt corrective action" provisions of FDICIA.

Insurance of Deposit Accounts. As a result of the Reform Act, the standard maximum deposit insurance amount has been permanently increased to \$250,000 per depositor. The FDIC adopted final rules conforming its regulations to the provisions of the Reform Act relating to the new permanent standard maximum deposit insurance amount. In accordance with the Reform Act, the FDIC adopted rules which provided for temporary unlimited insurance coverage of certain non-interest bearing transaction accounts. Such coverage began on December 31, 2010 and terminated on December 31, 2012. Beginning January 1, 2013, such accounts were insured under the general deposit insurance coverage rules of the FDIC.

Insured depository institutions are required to pay quarterly deposit insurance assessments to the DIF. The amount of the assessment is determined based upon a risk-based assessment system. Under this system, the FDIC assigns an institution to one of four risk categories entitled Risk Category I, II, III and IV, with Risk Category I considered most favorable and Risk Category IV considered least favorable. Risk Category I contains all well capitalized institutions with capital adequacy, asset quality, management, earnings, and liquidity component ratings ("CAMELS Component Ratings") of either 1 or 2. Risk Category II contains all institutions that are adequately capitalized and possess CAMELS Component Ratings of either 1, 2 or 3. Risk Category III contains undercapitalized institutions that have CAMELS Composite Ratings of 1, 2 or 3 andadequately capitalized institutions that have CAMELS Composite Ratings of 4 or 5. Risk Category IV contains all institutions that are undercapitalized and have CAMELS Composite Ratings of 4 or 5. The Bank currently falls within Risk Category I. Base assessment rates for institutions within Risk Category I range from 12 to 16 basis points, depending upon a combination of the institution's CAMELS Component Ratings and financial ratios. The base assessment rates are fixed at 22 basis points, 32 basis points and 45 basis points for institutions within Risk Categories II, III and IV, respectively. Total base assessment rates, after applying all possible adjustments, as described below, currently range from 7 to 77.5 basis points of deposits.

As a result of the recent failures of a number of banks and thrifts, there has been a significant increase in the loss provisions of the DIF. This resulted in a decline in the DIF reserve ratio during 2008 below the then minimum designated reserve ratio of 1.15%. As a result, the FDIC was required to establish a restoration plan in October, 2008 to restore the reserve ratio to 1.15% within five years, which was subsequently extended to 8 years. In order to restore the reserve ratio to 1.15%, on February 27, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule which established the initial base assessment rates beginning April 1, 2009 and provided for the following adjustments to an institution's assessment rate: (i) a decrease for long-term unsecured debt, including most senior and subordinated debt (specifically, an institution's base assessment rate will be reduced from the initial rate using the institution's ratio of long-term unsecured debt to domestic deposits, although any such decrease will be limited to 5 basis points); (ii) an increase for secured liabilities above a threshold amount (specifically, if an institution's ratio of secured liabilities to domestic deposits is greater than 25 percent, the institution's assessment rate will increase, but the resulting base assessment rate will be no more than 50 percent greater than it was before the adjustment); and (iii) for non-Risk Category I institutions, an increase for brokered deposits above a threshold amount (specifically, if an institution's assessment rate will be increased, although never by more than 10 basis points).

The FDIC is authorized to change the assessment rates as necessary, subject to the previously discussed limitations, to maintain the designated reserve ratio.

On November 17, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule that required insured depository institutions to prepay their estimated quarterly deposit insurance assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012 on December 30, 2009, together with their regular deposit insurance assessment for the third quarter of 2009. The Bank's payment on December 30, 2009 totaled approximately \$13.4 million. Since actual assessments during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2011 and 2012 fell below the amount estimated at the time of prepayment, a prepaid balance of \$5.4 million remained at December 31, 2012 which will be applied towards future assessments.

In accordance with the Reform Act, the FDIC adopted a final rule that redefines the assessment base for deposit insurance assessments as average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity, rather than on deposit bases, and adopts a new assessment rate schedule, as well as alternative rate schedules that become effective when the reserve ratio reaches certain levels. The final rule also makes conforming changes to the unsecured debt and brokered deposit adjustments to assessment rates, eliminates the secured liability adjustment and creates a new assessment rate adjustment for unsecured debt held that is issued by another insured depository institution. The depository institution debt adjustment equals fifty basis points of each dollar of long-term, unsecured debt held as an asset by an insured depository institution, to the extent that all such debt exceeds three percent of the institution's Tier 1 capital.

The new rate schedule and other revisions to the assessment rules became effective April 1, 2011 and were used to calculate the Bank's assessments commencing with the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011. As revised by the final rule, for depository institutions with less than \$10 billion in assets, such as the Bank, the initial base assessment rates range from five to nine basis points for Risk Category I institutions and are fourteen basis points for Risk Category II institutions, twenty-three basis F-34

points for Risk Category III institutions and thirty-five basis points for Risk Category IV institutions. Total base assessment rates, after applying the unsecured debt and brokered deposit adjustments, will range from two and one-half to forty-five basis points. This new assessment rate schedule has resulted, and is expected to continue to result, in reduced deposit insurance expenses for the Company.

The Reform Act also increased the minimum designated reserve ratio for the DIF from 1.15% to 1.35% of insured deposits, which must be achieved by September 30, 2020, and provides that in establishing the assessments necessary to satisfy the new requirement, the FDIC shall offset the effect of this provision on insured depository institutions with total consolidated assets of less than \$10 billion, so that the cost of increasing the reserve ratio will be borne in greater proportion by institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets. On October 19, 2010, the Board of Directors of the FDIC adopted a new Restoration Plan (the "Restoration Plan") to ensure that the DIF reserve ratio reaches 1.35% by September 30, 2020, as required by the Reform Act. Among other matters, the Restoration Plan provided that the FDIC forego the uniform three basis point increase in initial assessment rates that was previously scheduled to take effect on January 1, 2011. The FDIC intends to pursue further rulemaking regarding the method that will be used to achieve the reserve ratio of 1.35% in a manner that places a greater proportion of the cost of the increase on institutions with more than \$10 billion in assets.

The Deposit Insurance Funds Act of 1996 amended the FDIA to recapitalize the Savings Association Insurance Fund ("SAIF") [which was merged with the Bank Insurance Fund ("BIF") into the newly-formed DIF on March 31, 2006] and expand the assessment base for the payment of Financing Corporation ("FICO") bonds. FICO bonds were sold by the federal government in order to finance the recapitalization of the SAIF and BIF that was necessitated following payments from the funds to compensate depositors of federally-insured depository institutions that experienced bankruptcy and dissolution during the 1980's and 1990's. The Bank's total expense in 2012 for the FICO bond assessment was \$255,000. These payments will continue until the FICO bonds mature in 2017 through 2019.

In November 2008, the FDIC adopted the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program ("TLGP"), pursuant to its authority to prevent "systemic risk" in the U.S. banking system, which included a debt guarantee program and a transaction account guarantee program. The Company elected not to participate in either program under the TLGP, and both of such programs have now expired. In place of the transaction account guarantee program, which expired on December 31, 2010, and in accordance with certain provisions of the Reform Act, the FDIC adopted further rules in November and December 2010 which provide for temporary unlimited insurance coverage of certain non-interest bearing transaction accounts. Such coverage began on December 31, 2010 and terminated on December 31, 2012. Beginning January 1, 2013, such accounts became insured under the general deposit insurance coverage rules of the FDIC.

Privacy and Security Protection. The FDIC has adopted regulations implementing the privacy protection provisions of The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 ("Gramm-Leach"). The regulations require financial institutions to adopt procedures to protect customers and their "non-public personal information." The regulations require the Bank to disclose its privacy policy, including identifying with whom it shares "non-public personal information," to customers at the time of establishing the customer relationship and annually thereafter. In addition, the Bank is required to provide its customers the ability to "opt-out" of: (1) the sharing of their personal information with unaffiliated third parties if the sharing of such information does not satisfy any of the permitted exceptions; and (2) the receipt of marketing solicitations from Bank affiliates.

The Bank is additionally subject to regulatory guidelines establishing standards for safeguarding customer information. The guidelines describe the federal banking agencies' expectations for the creation, implementation and maintenance of an information security program, including administrative, technical and physical safeguards appropriate to the size and complexity of the institution and the nature and scope of its activities. The standards set forth in the guidelines are intended to insure the security and confidentiality of customer records and information, and protect against anticipated threats or hazards to the security or integrity of such records and unauthorized access to or use of such records or information that could result in substantial customer harm or inconvenience.

Gramm-Leach additionally permits each state to enact legislation that is more protective of consumers' personal information. Currently, there are a number of privacy bills pending in the New York legislature. Management of the Company cannot predict the impact, if any, of these bills if enacted.

Consumer Protection and Compliance Provisions. The Bank is subject to various consumer protection laws and regulations. The Bank may be subject to potential liability for material violations of these laws and regulations, in the form of litigation by governmental and consumer groups, the FDIC and other federal regulatory agencies including the Department of Justice. Moreover, the CFPB has broad rule-making authority for a wide range of consumer protection laws that apply to all depository institutions, as well as the authority to prohibit "unfair, deceptive or abusive" acts and practices.

Internet Banking. Technological developments are dramatically altering the methods by which most companies, including financial institutions, conduct their business. The growth of the Internet is prompting banks to reconsider business strategies and adopt alternative distribution and marketing systems. The federal banking regulatory agencies have conducted seminars and published materials targeted at various aspects of Internet banking and have indicated their intention to re-evaluate their regulations to ensure they encourage bank efficiency and competitiveness consistent with safe and sound banking practices. The Company cannot assure that New York State or federal bank regulatory agencies will not adopt new regulations that will materially affect or restrict the Bank's Internet operations.

Insurance Activities. As a New York State chartered savings bank, the Bank is generally permitted to engage in certain insurance activities: (i) directly in places where the population does not exceed 5,000 persons, or (ii) in places with larger populations through subsidiaries if certain conditions are satisfied. Federal agency regulations prohibit depository institutions from conditioning the extension of credit to individuals upon either the purchase of an insurance product or annuity or an agreement by the consumer not to purchase an insurance product or annuity from an entity not affiliated with the depository institution. The regulations additionally require prior disclosure of this prohibition if such products are offered to credit applicants.

Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") System. The Bank is a member of the FHLBNY, which is one of the twelve regional FHLBs composing the FHLB System. Each FHLB provides a central credit facility primarily for its member institutions. Any advances from the FHLBNY must be secured by specified types of collateral, and long-term advances may be obtained only for the purpose of providing funds for residential housing finance. The Bank, as a member of the FHLBNY, is currently required to acquire and hold shares of FHLBNY Class B stock. The Class B stock has a par value of \$100 per share and is redeemable upon five years notice, subject to certain conditions. The Class B stock has two subclasses, one for membership stock purchase requirements and the other for activity-based stock purchase requirements. The minimum stock investment requirement in the FHLBNY Class B stock is the sum of the membership stock purchase requirement, determined on an annual basis at the end of each calendar year, and the activity-based stock purchase requirement, determined on a daily basis. For the Bank, the membership stock purchase requirement is 0.2% of "mortgage-related assets," as defined by the FHLBNY, which consist primarily of residential mortgage loans and MBS held by the Bank. The activity-based stock purchase requirement for the Bank is equal to the sum of: (i) 4.5% of outstanding borrowings from the FHLBNY; (ii) 4.5% of the outstanding principal balance of the "acquired member assets," as defined by the FHLBNY, and delivery commitments for acquired member assets; (iii) a specified dollar amount related to certain off-balance sheet items, which for the Bank is zero; and (iv) a specific percentage range from 0% to 5% of the carrying value on the FHLBNY's balance sheet of derivative contracts between the FHLBNY and its members, which is also zero for the Bank. The Bank was in compliance with these requirements with an investment in FHLBNY Class B stock of \$45.0 million at December 31, 2012. The FHLBNY can adjust the specific percentages and dollar amount periodically within the ranges established by the FHLBNY capital plan.

Federal Reserve System. The Bank is subject to FRA and FRB regulations requiring state-chartered depository institutions are required to maintain cash reserves against their transaction accounts (primarily NOW and regular checking accounts). FRB regulations generally require that reserves be maintained in the amount of 3% of the aggregate of transaction accounts in excess of \$12.4 million through \$79.5 million (subject to adjustment by the FRB) plus a reserve of 10% against the portion of total transaction accounts in excess of \$79.5 million. The initial \$12.4 million of otherwise reservable balances are currently exempt from the reserve requirements, however, the exemption is adjusted by the FRB at the end of each year. The Bank is in compliance with the foregoing reserve requirements.

Because required reserves must be maintained in the form of vault cash, a low-interest-bearing account at a Federal Reserve Bank, or a pass-through account as defined by the FRB, the effect of this reserve requirement is to reduce the Bank's interest-earning assets. The balances maintained to satisfy the FRB reserve requirements may be used to satisfy liquidity requirements imposed by the FDIC.

Pursuant to the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008, the Federal Reserve Banks pay interest on depository institutions' required and excess reserve balances. The interest rate paid on required reserve balances and excess balances is currently 0.25 percent.

Depository institutions are additionally authorized to borrow from the Federal Reserve "discount window," however, FRB regulations require such institutions to hold reserves in the form of vault cash or deposits with Federal Reserve Banks in order to borrow.

Anti-Money Laundering and Customer Identification. The Company is subject to Bank Secrecy Act amendments and specific federal agency guidance in relation to implementing the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 ("PATRIOT Act"). The PATRIOT Act provides the federal government with powers to address terrorist threats through enhanced domestic security measures, expanded surveillance F-36

powers, increased information sharing and broadened anti-money laundering requirements. By way of amendments to the Bank Secrecy Act, Title III of the PATRIOT Act enacted measures intended to encourage information sharing among bank regulatory and law enforcement agencies. In addition, certain provisions of Title III and the FDIC guidance impose affirmative obligations on a broad range of financial institutions, including banks and thrifts. Title III imposes the following requirements, among others, with respect to financial institutions: (i) establishment of anti-money laundering programs; (ii) establishment of procedures for obtaining identifying information from customers opening new accounts, including verifying their identity within a reasonable period of time; (iii) establishment of enhanced due diligence policies, procedures and controls designed to detect and report money laundering; and (iv) prohibition on correspondent accounts for foreign shell banks and compliance with recordkeeping obligations with respect to correspondent accounts of foreign banks.

In addition, bank regulators are directed to consider a holding company's effectiveness in preventing money laundering when ruling on FRA and Bank Merger Act applications.

Regulation of the Holding Company

The Bank has made an election under Section 10(1) of the HOLA to be treated as a "savings association" for purposes of regulation of the Holding Company. As a result, the Holding Company continues, after the Charter Conversion, to be registered with the FRB as a non-diversified unitary savings and loan holding company within the meaning of the HOLA. The Holding Company is currently subject to FRB regulations, examination, enforcement and supervision, as well as reporting requirements applicable to savings and loan holding companies. Among other things, this authority permits the FRB to restrict or prohibit activities that are determined to be a serious risk to the safety, soundness or stability of a subsidiary depository institution. In addition, the FRB has enforcement authority over the Holding Company must change its status with the FRB as a savings and loan holding company and register as a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended ("BHCA"). (See "Part I - Item 1 – Business - Regulation - Regulation of New York State-Chartered Savings Banks – QTL Test").

HOLA prohibits a savings and loan holding company, directly or indirectly, or through one or more subsidiaries, from acquiring another savings association or holding company thereof, without prior written approval of the FRB; acquiring or retaining, with certain exceptions, more than 5% of a non-subsidiary savings association, non-subsidiary holding company, or non-subsidiary company engaged in activities other than those permitted by HOLA; or acquiring or retaining control of a depository institution that is not insured by the FDIC. In evaluating an application by a holding company to acquire a savings association, the FRB must consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company and savings association involved, the effect of the acquisition on the risk to the insurance funds, the convenience and needs of the community, and competitive factors.

Gramm-Leach additionally restricts the powers of new unitary savings and loan holding companies. A unitary savings and loan holding company that is "grandfathered," i.e., became a unitary savings and loan holding company pursuant to an application filed with the Office of Thrift Supervision (the regulator of savings and loan holding companies prior to the FRB) prior to May 4, 1999, such as the Holding Company, retains the authority it possessed under the law in existence as of May 4, 1999. All other savings and loan holding companies are limited to financially related activities permissible for bank holding companies, as defined under Gramm-Leach. Gramm-Leach also prohibits non-financial companies from acquiring grandfathered savings and loan holding companies.

Upon any non-supervisory acquisition by the Holding Company of another savings association or a savings bank that satisfies the QTL test and is deemed to be a savings association and that will be held as a separate subsidiary, the Holding Company will become a multiple savings and loan holding company and will be subject to limitations on the types of business activities in which it may engage. HOLA currently limits the activities of a multiple savings and loan holding company and its non-insured subsidiaries primarily to activities permissible under Section 4(c)(8) of the BHCA, subject to prior approval of the FRB, and to other activities authorized by federal agency regulations.

Effective in April 2008, however, all savings and loan holding companies became permitted, with the prior approval of the appropriate regulatory agency, to engage in all activities in which bank holding companies may engage under any regulation the FRB has promulgated under Section 4(c) of the BHCA.

Federal agency regulations prohibit regulatory approval of any acquisition that would result in a multiple savings and loan holding company controlling savings associations in more than one state, subject to two exceptions: an acquisition of a savings association in another state (i) in a supervisory transaction, or (ii) pursuant to authority under the laws of the state of the association to be acquired that specifically permit such acquisitions. The conditions imposed upon interstate acquisitions by those states that have enacted authorizing legislation vary.

The Bank must file a notice with the FRB prior to the payment of any dividends or other capital distributions to the Holding Company (See "Part I - Item 1 – Business - Regulation - Regulation of New York State Chartered Savings Banks - Limitation on Capital Distributions"). The FRB has the authority to deny such payment request.

Restrictions on the Acquisition of the Holding Company. Under the Federal Change in Bank Control Act ("CIBCA") and implementing regulations, a notice must be submitted to the FRB if any person (including a company), or group acting in concert, seeks to acquire 10% or more of the Holding Company's shares of outstanding common stock, unless the FRB has found that the acquisition will not result in a change in control of the Holding Company. Under the CIBCA and implementing regulations, the FRB generally has 60 days within which to act on such notices, taking into consideration certain factors, including the financial and managerial resources of the acquirer; the convenience and needs of the communities served by the Holding Company, the Bank; and the anti-trust effects of the acquisition. Under HOLA, any company would be required to obtain approval from the FRB before it may obtain "control" of the Holding Company within the meaning of HOLA. Control is generally defined to mean the ownership or power to vote 25% or more of any class of voting securities of the Holding Company or the ability to control in any manner the election of a majority of the Holding Company's directors, although a person or entity may also be determined to "control" the Holding Company without satisfying these requirements if it is determined that he, she or it directly or indirectly exercises a controlling influence over the management or policies of the Holding Company. In addition, an existing bank holding company or savings and loan holding company would, under federal banking laws and regulations, generally be required to obtain FRB approval before acquiring more than 5% of the Holding Company's voting stock.

In addition to the applicable federal laws and regulations, New York State Banking Law generally requires prior approval of the New York State Superintendent of Financial Services before any action is taken that causes any company to acquire direct or indirect control of a banking institution organized in New York.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision Capital Rules. In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, an international forum for cooperation on banking supervisory matters, announced the "Basel III" capital rules, which established new capital requirements for banking organizations. On June 7, 2012, the FDIC, FRB and OCC requested comment on three proposed rules that, taken together, would establish an integrated regulatory capital framework implementing the Basel III regulatory capital reforms in the United States. As proposed, the U.S. implementation of Basel III would lead to significantly higher capital requirements and more restrictive leverage and liquidity ratios than those currently in effect. Once adopted, these new capital requirements would be phased in over time. The proposed rules would apply to depository institutions and ultimate parent savings and loan holding companies, such as the Bank and Holding Company, respectively. Additionally, the U.S. implementation of Basel III capital would be phased out over a ten-year period. This differs from the treatment afforded to trust preferred securities under the Reform Act for institutions of the Holding Company's size, and the Company is thus currently unable to predict whether its trust preferred securities will be considered Tier 1 capital in the future. (See "Part I - Item 1 – Business - Regulation - Regulation of New York State-Chartered Savings Banks – Capital Requirements").

The proposed rules indicated that the final rules would become effective January 1, 2013, and that changes in the final rules would be phased in from January 1, 2013 through January 1, 2019. On November 9, 2012, however, the agencies stated that, due to the volume of public comments, the final rules would not take effect on January 1, 2013. The enactment of the Basel III rules could increase the required capital levels of the Bank, and the Holding Company will become subject to consolidated capital rules. Management is currently unable to determine the ultimate effect that any final regulations, if enacted, would have upon the Company's earnings or financial position. In addition, significant questions remain unanswered regarding the manner in which the numerous capital and liquidity mandates of the Reform Act will be integrated with the requirements of Basel III. Based upon the Company's current understanding of the proposals, however, management believes that the Company's capital, balance sheet and business

model should not be materially adversely impacted by the U.S. implementation of Basel III.

Federal Securities Laws

The Holding Company's common stock is registered with the SEC under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act. It is subject to the periodic reporting, proxy solicitation, insider trading restrictions and other requirements under the Exchange Act.

Delaware Corporation Law

The Holding Company is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, and, therefore, is subject to regulation by the State of Delaware, and the rights of its shareholders are governed by the Delaware General Corporation Law.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The Company's business may be adversely affected by conditions in the financial markets and economic conditions generally.

The United States economy has undergone a severe recession and remains in a period of limited growth and historically high unemployment. Business activity across a wide range of industries and regions has been challenged and individuals, local governments and many businesses are experiencing financial difficulties.

The Company's financial performance generally, and in particular the ability of borrowers to pay interest on and repay principal of outstanding loans and the value of collateral securing those loans, is highly dependent upon the business environment in the markets where the Company operates, in the New York metropolitan area and in the United States as a whole. Conditions in the marketplace for the Bank's property collateral types (mainly multifamily and commercial real estate) remained stronger than most other parts of the country throughout the years of the financial crisis, and in fact have recently rebounded to healthy pre-crisis levels. Nevertheless, given the precarious nature of financial and economic conditions both nationally and globally, this status is always subject to change, which could adversely affect the credit quality of the Bank's loans, results of operations and financial condition.

The Bank's commercial real estate lending may subject it to greater risk of an adverse impact on operations from a decline in the economy.

The credit quality of the Bank's portfolio can have a significant impact on the Company's earnings, results of operations and financial condition. As part of the Company's strategic plan, it originates loans secured by commercial real estate that are generally viewed as exposing lenders to a greater risk of loss than both one- to four-family and multifamily residential mortgage loans. Because payments on loans secured by commercial real estate are often dependent upon successful operation or management of the collateral properties, as well as the success of the business and retail tenants occupying the properties, repayment of such loans are generally more vulnerable to weak economic conditions. Further, the collateral securing such loans may depreciate over time, be difficult to appraise, or fluctuate in value based upon the rentability, among other commercial factors.

The performance of Bank's multifamily and mixed-use loans could be adversely impacted by regulation or a weakened economy.

Multifamily and mixed use loans involve a greater risk than one- to four- family residential mortgage loans because government regulations such as rent control and rent stabilization laws, which are outside the control of the borrower or the Bank, could impair the value of the security for the loan or the future cash flow of such properties. As a result, rental income might not rise sufficiently over time to satisfy increases in the loan rate at repricing or increases in overhead expenses (e.g., utilities, taxes, etc.). Impaired loans are thus difficult to identify before they become problematic. In addition, if the cash flow from a collateral property is reduced (e.g., if leases are not obtained or renewed), the borrower's ability to repay the loan and the value of the security for the loan may be impaired.

Extension of credit on multifamily, mixed-use or commercial real estate loans may result from reliance upon inaccurate or misleading information received from the borrower.

In deciding whether to extend credit on multifamily, mixed-use or commercial real estate loans, the Bank may rely on information furnished by or on behalf of a customer and counterparties, including financial statements, credit reports and other financial information. In the event such information is inaccurate or misleading, reliance on it could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business and, in turn, its financial condition and results of operations.

Geographic and borrower concentrations could adversely impact financial performance.

The Company's financial performance generally, and in particular the ability of borrowers to pay interest on and repay principal of outstanding loans, as well as the value of collateral securing those loans, is highly dependent upon business and economic conditions in the United States, particularly in the local New York metropolitan area where the Company conducts substantially all of its business. Conditions in these marketplaces have begun to rebound in recent months after several years of deterioration. Should such conditions fail to continue to improve, they may adversely affect the credit quality of the Bank's loans, its results of operations and its financial condition.

Conditions in the real estate markets in which the collateral for the Bank's mortgage loans are located strongly influence the level of the Bank's non-performing loans and the value of its collateral. Real estate values are affected by, among other items, fluctuations in general or local economic conditions, supply and demand, changes in governmental rules or policies, the

availability of loans to potential purchasers and acts of nature. Declines in real estate markets have in the past, and may in the future, negatively impact the Company's results of operations, cash flows, business, financial condition and prospects. In addition, at December 31, 2012 the Bank had three borrowers for which its total lending exposure equaled or exceeded 10% of its Tier 1 risk-based capital (its lowest capital measure). Total default by these borrowers could adversely impact the Bank's financial condition and results of operations.

The Bank's allowance for loan losses may be insufficient.

The Bank's allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate by management to absorb losses inherent in its loan portfolio. The amount of inherent loan losses which could be ultimately realized is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions, including changes in interest rates, that could be beyond the Bank's control. Such losses could exceed current estimates. Although management believes that the Bank's allowance for loan losses is adequate, there can be no assurance that the allowance will be sufficient to satisfy actual loan losses should such losses be realized. Any increases in the allowance for loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and capital, and may have a material adverse effect on the Bank's financial condition and results of operations.

Increases in interest rates may reduce the Company's profitability.

The Bank's primary source of income is its net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on its interest earning assets and the interest expense incurred on its interest bearing liabilities. The Bank's one-year interest rate sensitivity gap is the difference between interest rate sensitive assets maturing or repricing within one year and its interest rate sensitive liabilities maturing or repricing within one year, expressed as both a total amount and as a percentage of total assets. At December 31, 2012, the Bank's one year interest rate gap was negative 11%, indicating that the overall level of its interest rate sensitive liabilities maturing or repricing within one year exceeded that of its interest rate sensitive assets maturing or repricing within one year. In a rising interest rate environment, an institution with a negative gap would generally be expected, absent the effects of other factors, to experience a greater increase in its cost of liabilities relative to its yield on assets, and thus a decline in net interest income from its existing investments and funding sources.

Based upon historical experience, if interest rates were to rise, the Bank would expect the demand for multifamily loans to decline. Decreased loan origination volume would likely negatively impact the Bank's interest income. In addition, if interest rates were to rise rapidly and result in an economic decline, the Bank would expect its level of non-performing loans to increase. Such an increase in non-performing loans may result in an increase to the provision/allowance for loan losses and possible increased charge-offs, which would negatively impact the Company's net income.

Further, the actual amount of time before mortgage loans and MBS are repaid can be significantly impacted by changes in mortgage redemption rates and market interest rates. Mortgage prepayment, satisfaction and refinancing rates will vary due to several factors, including the regional economy in the area where the underlying mortgages were originated, seasonal factors, and other demographic variables. However, the most significant factors affecting prepayment, satisfaction and refinancing rates are prevailing interest rates, related mortgage refinancing opportunities and competition. The level of mortgage and MBS prepayment, satisfaction and refinancing activity impacts the Company's earnings due to its effect on fee income earned on prepayment and refinancing activities, along with liquidity levels the Company will experience to fund new investments or ongoing operations.

As a New York State chartered savings bank, the Bank is required to monitor changes in its Economic Value of Equity ("EVE"), which is the difference between the estimated market value of its assets and liabilities. In addition, the Bank monitors its EVE ratio, which is the EVE divided by the estimated market value of total assets and net interest income. To monitor its overall sensitivity to changes in interest rates, the Bank simulates the effect of instantaneous changes in net interest income of up to 400 basis points on its assets and liabilities. Interest rates do and will continue to fluctuate, and the Bank cannot predict future FOMC actions or other factors that will cause interest

rates to vary.

The Company operates in a highly regulated industry and is subject to uncertain risks related to changes in laws, government regulation and monetary policy.

The Holding Company and the Bank are subject to extensive supervision, regulation and examination by the NYSDFS (the Bank's primary regulator), the FRB (the Holding Company's primary regulator) and the FDIC, as its deposit insurer. Such regulation limits the manner in which the Holding Company and Bank conduct business, undertake new investments and activities and obtain financing. This regulation is designed primarily for the protection of the deposit insurance funds and the Bank's depositors, and not to benefit the Bank or its creditors. The regulatory structure also provides the regulatory authorities extensive discretion in connection with their supervisory and enforcement activities and examination policies.

with respect to capital levels, the classification of assets and the establishment of adequate loan loss reserves for regulatory purposes. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations could subject the Holding Company and Bank to regulatory enforcement action that could result in the assessment of significant civil money penalties against the Holding Company and Bank. For further information regarding the laws and regulations that affect the Holding Company and the Bank, see "Item 1. Business - Regulation - Regulation of New York State Chartered Savings Banks," and "Item 1. Business - Regulation - Regulation of Holding Company."

The fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government and its agencies could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System regulates the supply of money and credit in the United States. Its policies determine in significant part the cost of funds for lending and investing and the return earned on those loans and investments, both of which affect the Company's net interest margin. Government action can materially decrease the value of the Company's financial assets, such as debt securities, mortgages and MSR. Governmental policies can also adversely affect borrowers, potentially increasing the risk that they may fail to repay their loans. Changes in Federal Reserve Board or governmental policies are beyond the Company's control and difficult to predict; consequently, the impact of these changes on the Company's activities and results of operations is difficult to predict.

Financial institution regulation has been the subject of significant legislation in recent years, and may be the subject of further significant legislation in the future, none of which is within the control of the Holding Company or the Bank. Significant new laws or changes in, or repeals of, existing laws may cause the Company's results of operations to differ materially. Further, federal monetary policy significantly affects credit conditions for the Company, primarily through open market operations in United States government securities, the discount rate for bank borrowings and reserve requirements for liquid assets. A material change in any of these conditions would have a material impact on the Bank, and therefore, on the Company's results of operations.

In addition, the Company expects to face increased regulation and supervision of the Bank's industry as a result of the financial crisis in the banking and financial markets, and there will be additional requirements and conditions imposed to the extent that it participates in any of the programs established or to be established by the U.S. Department of the Treasury ("Treasury") or by the federal bank regulatory agencies. Such additional regulation and supervision may increase costs and limit the Company's ability to pursue business opportunities.

Competition from other financial institutions in originating loans and attracting deposits may adversely affect profitability.

The Bank operates in a highly competitive industry that could become even more competitive as a result of legislative, regulatory and technological changes, and continued consolidation.

The Bank's retail banking and a significant portion of its lending business are concentrated in the NYC metropolitan area. The NYC banking environment is extremely competitive. The Bank's competition for loans exists principally from savings banks, commercial banks, mortgage banks and insurance companies. The Bank has faced sustained competition for the origination of multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans. Management anticipates that the current level of competition for multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans will continue for the foreseeable future, and this competition may inhibit the Bank's ability to maintain its current level and pricing of such loans.

Clients could pursue alternatives to the Bank's deposits, causing the Bank to lose a historically less expensive source of funding. The Bank gathers deposits in direct competition with comercial banks, savings banks and brokerage firms, many among the largest in the nation. In addition, it must also compete for deposit monies against the stock markets, mutual funds, and other securities. Over the previous decade, consolidation in the financial services industry, coupled with the emergence of Internet banking, has altered the deposit gathering landscape and may increase competitive pressures on the Bank.

The Bank may not be able to meet the cash flow requirements of its depositors and borrowers or meet its operating cash needs.

Liquidity is the ability to meet cash flow needs on a timely basis at a reasonable cost. The liquidity of the Bank is used to make loans and repay deposit liabilities as they become due or are demanded by customers. Liquidity policies and limits are established by the board of directors. The Holding Company's overall liquidity position and the liquidity position of the Bank are regularly monitored to ensure that various alternative strategies exist to cover unanticipated events that could affect liquidity. Funding sources include deposits, repayments of loans and MBS, investment security maturities and redemptions, advances from the FHLBNY and REPOS. The Bank maintains a portfolio of securities that can be used as a secondary source of liquidity. The Bank also can borrow through the Federal Reserve Bank's discount window. If the Bank was unable to access any of these funding sources when needed, it might be unable to meet customers' needs, which could adversely impact the Company's financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and level of regulatory capital.

The soundness of other financial institutions could adversely affect the Company.

The Company's ability to engage in routine funding transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. The Company has exposure to many different industries and counterparties. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services institutions, or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to losses or defaults by the Company or by other institutions. There is no assurance that any such losses would not materially and adversely affect the Company's results of operations.

Negative public opinion could damage the Company's reputation and adversely impact its business and revenues.

As a financial institution, the Bank's earnings and capital are subject to risks associated with negative public opinion. Negative public opinion could result from the Company's actual or alleged conduct in any number of activities, including lending practices, the failure of any product or service sold by the Bank to meet customers' expectations or applicable regulatory requirements, corporate governance and acquisitions, or from actions taken by government regulators and community organizations in response to those activities. Negative public opinion can adversely affect the Company's ability to attract and/or retain clients and can expose the Company to litigation and regulatory action. Actual or alleged conduct by one of the Company's businesses can result in negative public opinion about its other businesses. Negative public opinion could also affect the Company's credit ratings, which are important to its access to unsecured wholesale borrowings. Significant changes in these ratings could change the cost and availability of these sources of funding.

The impact of recently enacted and proposed legislation and government programs to stabilize the financial markets may adversely impact the Company.

During 2008 and 2009, there was unprecedented government intervention in response to the financial crises affecting the banking system and financial markets, including:

- The enactment of the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 ("EESA") in October 2008, which gave the Treasury the authority, among others, to purchase up to \$700 billion of troubled assets from financial institutions; The announcements shortly thereafter by the Treasury, the FDIC and the FRB, respectively, of (i) the Capital Purchase Program ("CPP"), a \$250 billion voluntary capital purchase program under which qualifying financial institutions were given the ability to sell preferred shares to the Treasury, (ii) the TLGP, and (iii) further details of the Commercial Paper Funding Facility ("CPFF"), which provides a broad backstop for the commercial paper market; The announcement by the Treasury in February 2009 of the Capital Assistance Program ("CAP") under which •qualifying financial institutions were provided access to contingent common equity provided by the U.S. government as a bridge to private capital in the future;
- The announcement by the federal banking regulators of the Supervisory Capital Assessment Program, under which the federal banking regulators measured the amount of additional capital, if any, each of the 19 largest U.S. bank •holding companies would require in order to ensure that it would comfortably exceed minimum regulatory capital requirements at December 31, 2010 (as a result of which many of the nineteen institutions underwent capital raising or restructuring transactions to improve their capital base); and
- The March 2009 announcement by the Treasury, in conjunction with the FDIC and the FRB, of the Public-Private Investment Program ("PPIP"), which consists of two discrete components: (1) the Legacy Loan Program, which was designed to facilitate the sale of commercial and residential whole loans and "other assets" currently held by U.S. banks, and (2) the Legacy Securities Program, which was designed to facilitate the sale of legacy residential MBS and commercial MBS initially rated AAA and currently held by Financial Institutions (as defined under the EESA).

The Company did not participate in the TLGP, CPP, CPFF or CAP, and has not participated in either PPIP program.

Although it appears that there has been some stabilization of the U.S. financial markets as a result of the foregoing programs and other actions taken by the U.S. government, there can be no assurance as to the actual impact that such programs or any other governmental program will have on the financial markets and the economy in the future. The financial market and economic conditions that existed during the years 2008 through 2012 had, and to the extent that such conditions continue or worsen, will continue to have, an adverse affect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations, and could also materially and adversely affect the Company's business, access to credit or the trading price of the Holding Company's common stock. In addition, the Company expects to face increased regulation and supervision of the Bank's industry as a result of the financial crisis in the banking and financial markets, and there will be additional requirements and conditions imposed to the extent that it participates in any of the programs established or to be established by the Treasury or by the federal

bank regulatory agencies. Such additional regulation and supervision may increase costs and limit the Company's ability to pursue business opportunities.

The FDIC's restoration plan and the related increased assessment rate schedule may have a further material effect on the Company's results of operations.

On October 19, 2010, the Board of Directors of the FDIC adopted the Restoration Plan to ensure that the DIF reserve ratio reaches 1.35% by September 30, 2020, as required by the Reform Act. Among other matters, the Restoration Plan provides that the FDIC will forego the uniform nine basis point increase in initial assessment rates that was previously scheduled to take effect on January 1, 2011 and will maintain the current assessment rate schedule for all insured depository institutions until the reserve ratio reaches 1.15%.

On February 7, 2011, the FDIC adopted a final rule that re-defines the assessment base for deposit insurance assessments as average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity, rather than on deposit bases, as required by the Reform Act, and revises the risk-based assessment system for all large insured depository institutions by introducing a scoring system. This system involves the FDIC establishing a score for each such institution which then translates into an assessment rate. See "Part 1. Item 1- Business Regulation - Regulation of New York State Chartered Savings Banks - Insurance of Deposit Accounts" for a further discussion of the impact of this final rule.

On April 12, 2011, the FDIC Board of Directors authorized publication of proposed guidelines describing the process that the FDIC would follow to determine whether to make an adjustment to the score used to calculate the assessment rate for a large or highly complex institution, the size of any such adjustment, and the procedure the FDIC would follow to notify an institution of an adjustment. Pursuant to the proposed guidelines, the FDIC can make a limited adjustment, either upward or downward, to an institution's total score based upon risks or risk mitigating factors that are not adequately captured in the institution's scorecard. In addition, an institution can make written request to the FDIC for such an adjustment. In either case, the FDIC would consult with an institution's total score. Any adjustment to an institution's score must be approved by the FDIC and there is no assurance that a request for an adjustment will result in a downward adjustment.

The recent adoption of regulatory reform legislation has created uncertainty and may have a material effect on the Company's operations and capital requirements.

The Reform Act includes provisions that create minimum standards for the origination of mortgage loans. Pursuant to the Reform Act, on April 19, 2011, the FRB requested public comment on a proposed rule under Regulation Z that would impose extensive regulations governing an institution's obligation to evaluate a borrower's ability to repay a mortgage loan. The rule would apply to all consumer mortgages (except home equity lines of credit, timeshare plans, reverse mortgages or temporary loans). Consistent with the Reform Act, the proposal provides four options for complying with the ability-to-repay requirement. The proposal would also implement the Reform Act's limits on prepayment fees. This rulemaking will be finalized by the Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection. It is possible this rule may require the Bank to modify its underwriting practices and may cause an increase in compliance costs.

Congress and various federal regulators also may significantly impact the financial services industry and the Company's business. For example, the Federal Reserve Bank has proposed guidance on incentive compensation at the banking organizations it regulates, and the U.S. Department of the Treasury and the federal banking regulators have issued statements calling for higher capital and liquidity requirements for banks. Complying with any new legislative or regulatory requirements, and any programs established thereunder by federal and state governments to address the continuing economic weakness, could have an adverse impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations, its ability to fill positions with the most qualified candidates available, and the Holding Company's ability to maintain its dividend.

Furthermore, the Federal Government has announced plans to dramatically transform the role of government in the U.S. housing market, including by winding down FNMA and FHLMC, and by reducing other government support to such markets. Congressional leaders have voiced similar plans for future legislation. It is too early to determine the nature and scope of any legislation that may develop along these lines, or the roles FNMA and FHLMC or the private sector will play in future housing markets. However, it is possible that legislation will be proposed over the near term that would considerably limit the nature of GSE guarantees relative to historical measurements, which could have broad adverse implications for the market and significant implications for the Company's business.

As a result of the Reform Act and other proposed changes, the Bank may become subject to more stringent capital requirements.

The Reform Act requires the federal banking agencies to establish consolidated risk-based and leverage capital requirements for insured depository institutions, depository institution holding companies and systemically important nonbank financial companies. These requirements must be no less than those to which insured depository institutions are currently subject, and the new requirements will effectively eliminate the use of trust preferred securities as a component of Tier 1 capital for depository institution holding companies of \$15 billion or greater. As a result, in July 2015, the Company will become subject to consolidated capital requirements to which it has not been previously subject. In addition, in December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision announced the new "Basel III" capital rules, which set new standards for common equity, Tier 1 and total capital, determined on a risk-weighted basis. It is not yet known how these standards, which will be phased in over a period of years, will be implemented by U.S. regulators generally or the manner in which they will be applied to financial institutions of the Company's size.

Pursuant to the Reform Act, the FRB became responsible for the supervision of savings and loan holding companies on July 21, 2011. In accordance with this authority, on April 15, 2011, the FRB requested comment on proposed supervisory guidance pursuant to which the FRB is seeking to apply certain elements of its consolidated supervisory program for bank holding companies, including consolidated capital requirements, to savings and loan holding companies. Pursuant to the proposed supervisory guidance, the FRB is considering applying to savings and loan holding companies the same consolidated risk-based and leverage capital requirements currently applicable to bank holding companies. The FRB, together with the other federal banking agencies, expects to issue a notice of proposed rulemaking that will outline how Basel III-based requirements will be implemented for all institutions, including savings and loan holding companies. The FRB expects that final rules establishing Basel III-based capital requirements would be finalized in 2012 and implementation would start in 2013.

The FRB's rule to repeal the prohibition against payment of interest on demand deposits may increase competition for such deposits and ultimately increase interest expense.

Effective July 21, 2011, the FRB issued a final rule to repeal Regulation Q, which prohibits the payment of interest on demand deposits by institutions that are member banks of the Federal Reserve System. The rule implements Section 627 of the Reform Act, which repeals Section 19(i) of the Federal Reserve Act in its entirety. As a result, banks and thrifts are now permitted to offer interest-bearing demand deposit accounts to commercial customers, which were previously forbidden under Regulation Q. The repeal of Regulation Q may gradually cause increased competition from other financial institutions for these deposits. If the Bank decides to pay interest on demand accounts, it would expect interest expense to increase.

As a result of the Proposed Basel Committee on Banking Supervision Capital Rules, the Company may become subject to more stringent capital requirements.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, an international forum for cooperation on banking supervisory matters, announced the "Basel III" capital rules, which established new capital requirements for banking organizations. On June 7, 2012, the Federal Reserve Board offered three proposed rules that, taken together, would establish an integrated regulatory capital framework implementing the Basel III regulatory capital reforms in the United States. As proposed, the U.S. implementation of Basel III would lead to significantly higher capital requirements and more restrictive leverage and liquidity ratios than those currently in effect. Once adopted, these new capital requirements would be phased in over time. Additionally, the U.S. implementation of Basel III contemplates that, for banking organizations with less than \$15 billion in assets, the ability to treat trust preferred securities as tier 1 capital would be phased out over a ten-year period. Management is currently unable to determine the ultimate effect that any final regulations, if enacted, would have upon the Company's earnings or financial position. In addition, significant questions remain unanswered regarding the manner in which the numerous capital and liquidity mandates of the Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act will be integrated with the requirements of Basel III. Based upon the Company's current understanding of the proposals, however, management believes that the

Company's capital, balance sheet and business model should not be materially adversely impacted by the U.S. implementation of Basel III.

Downgrades of the current "AAA" credit rating assigned to the U.S. Government could adversely affect the Bank and/or Holding Company.

On August 5, 2011, Standard & Poor's lowered the long-term sovereign credit rating assigned to the United States from "AAA" to "AA+" with a negative outlook, indicating a further rating downgrade is possible in the future. On August 2, 2011, Moody's Investors Service confirmed its "Aaa" rating for the United States, however, issued a negative outlook. On August 16, 2011, Fitch Ratings confirmed its AAA rating for the United States.

On August 5, 2011, the FDIC, Federal Reserve, OCC and National Credit Union Administration issued a joint press release stating that for risk-based capital purposes, the risk weights assigned to securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Government, its agencies and U.S. Government-sponsored entities will not change. However, a downgrade of the U.S. Government's sovereign credit rating below "AA" could cause a higher risk weight to be assigned to securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S Government or its agencies that the Company holds in its portfolio and increase the Bank's and/or the Holding Company's risk-based capital requirements. In addition, a ratings downgrade of securities issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Government or its agencies held in the Company's portfolio could adversely affect the carrying value of such securities. At this time, the Company cannot assess the likelihood or severity of such a downgrade or the potential consequences it may have on either the capital position or investment portfolio of the Bank and/or Holding Company.

The Company's accounting estimates and risk management processes rely on analytical and forecasting models.

The processes the Company uses to estimate its probable loan losses and to measure the fair value of some financial instruments, as well as the processes used to estimate the effects of changing interest rates and other market measures on the Company's financial condition and results of operations, depends upon the use of analytical and forecasting models. These models reflect assumptions that may not be accurate, particularly in times of market stress or other unforeseen circumstances. Even if these assumptions are adequate, the models may prove to be inadequate or inaccurate because of other flaws in their design or their implementation. If the models the Company uses for interest rate risk and asset-liability management are inadequate, the Company may incur increased or unexpected losses upon changes in market interest rates or other market measures. If the models the Company uses for determining its probable loan losses are inadequate, the allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to support future charge-offs. If the models the Company uses to measure the fair value financial instruments is inadequate, the fair value of such financial instruments may fluctuate unexpectedly or may not accurately reflect what the Company could realize upon sale or settlement of such financial instruments. Any such failure in the Company's analytical or forecasting models could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The value of the Company's goodwill and other intangible assets may decline in the future.

As of December 31, 2012, the Company had \$55.6 million of goodwill and other intangible assets. A significant decline in the Company's expected future cash flows, a significant adverse change in the business climate, slower growth rates or a significant and sustained decline in the price of the Holding Company's common stock may necessitate taking charges in the future related to the impairment of the Company's goodwill and other intangible assets. If the Company were to conclude that a future write-down of goodwill and other intangible assets is necessary, the Company would record the appropriate charge, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's controls and procedures may fail or be circumvented.

The Company's internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures are based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are satisfied. Any failure or circumvention of the Company's controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's risk management practices may not be effective in mitigating the risks to which it is subject or in reducing the potential for losses in connection with such risks.

As a financial institution, the Company is subject to a number of risks, including credit, interest rate, liquidity, market, operational, legal/compliance, loss sharing compliance, reputational, and strategic. The Company's risk management

framework is designed to minimize the risks to which it is subject, as well as any losses resulting from such risks. Although the Company seeks to identify, measure, monitor, report, and control the Company's exposure to such risks, and employ a broad and diversified set of risk monitoring and mitigation techniques in the process, those techniques are inherently limited because they cannot anticipate the existence or development of risks that are currently unknown and unanticipated.

For example, recent economic conditions, heightened legislative and regulatory scrutiny of the financial services industry, and increases in the overall complexity of the Company's operations, among other developments, have resulted in the creation of a variety of risks that were previously unknown and unanticipated, highlighting the intrinsic limitations of the Company's risk monitoring and mitigation techniques. As a result, the further development of previously unknown or unanticipated risks may result in the Company incurring losses in the future that could adversely impact its financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's operations rely on certain external vendors.

The Company relies on certain external vendors to provide products and services necessary to maintain its day-to-day operations. Accordingly, the Company's operations are exposed to risk that these vendors will not perform in accordance with the contracted arrangements. The failure of an external vendor to perform in accordance with the contracted arrangements because of changes in the vendor's organizational structure, financial condition, support for existing products and services, or strategic focus, or for any other reason, could be disruptive to the Company's operations, which could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business and, in turn, the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The Company is subject to environmental liability risk associated with lending activities.

A significant portion of the Company's loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, the Company may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, the Company may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require the Company to incur substantial expenses and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit the Company's ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase the Company's exposure to environmental liability. Environmental reviews of real property before initiating foreclosure may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other external events could significantly impact the Company's business.

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on the Company's ability to conduct business. In addition, such events could affect the stability of the Company's deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause the Company to incur additional expenses. The occurrence of any such event in the future could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Credit risk stemming from held-for-investment lending activities may adversely impact on the Company's consolidated net income.

The loans originated by the Bank for investment are primarily multi-family residential loans and, to a lesser extent, commercial real estate loans. Such loans are generally larger, and have higher risk-adjusted returns and shorter maturities, than one-to four-family mortgage loans. Credit risk would ordinarily be expected to increase with the growth of these loan portfolios.

Payments on multi-family residential and commercial real estate loans generally depend on the income produced by the underlying properties, which, in turn, depend on their successful operation and management. Accordingly, the ability of the Bank's borrowers to repay these loans may be impacted by adverse conditions in the local real estate market and the local economy. While the Bank seeks to minimize these risks through its underwriting policies, which generally require that such loans be qualified on the basis of the collateral property's cash flows, appraised value, and debt service coverage ratio, among other factors, there can be no assurance that the Bank's underwriting policies will protect it from credit-related losses or delinquencies.

Although the Bank's losses have been comparatively limited, despite the economic weakness in its market, it cannot guarantee that this record will be maintained in future periods. The ability of the Bank's borrowers to repay their loans could be adversely impacted by a further decline in real estate values and/or an increase in unemployment, which not only could result in an increase in charge-offs and/or the provision for loan losses. Either of these events would have an adverse impact on the Company's consolidated net income.

Security measures may not be sufficient to mitigate the risk of a cyber attack.

Communications and information systems are essential to the conduct of the Company's business, as it uses such systems to manage its customer relationships, general ledger, deposits, and loans. The Company's operations rely on the secure processing, storage, and transmission of confidential and other information in its computer systems and networks. Although the Company takes protective measures and endeavors to modify them as circumstances warrant, the security of its computer

systems, software, and networks may be vulnerable to breaches, unauthorized access, misuse, computer viruses, or other malicious code and cyber attacks that could have a security impact.

In addition, breaches of security may occur through intentional or unintentional acts by those having authorized or unauthorized access to the Company's confidential or other information or the confidential or other information of its customers, clients, or counterparties. If one or more of such events were to occur, the confidential and other information processed and stored in, and transmitted through, the Company's computer systems and networks could potentially be jeopardized, or the operations of the Company or its customers, clients, or counterparties could otherwise experience interruptions or malfunctions. This could cause the Company significant reputational damage or result in significant losses.

Furthermore, the Company may be required to expend significant additional resources to modify its protective measures or investigate and remediate vulnerabilities or other exposures arising from operational and security risks. Also, the Company may be subject to wholly or partially uninsured litigation and financial losses.

In addition, the Company routinely transmits and receives personal, confidential, and proprietary information by e-mail and other electronic means. The Company has discussed and worked with its appropriate customers and counterparties to develop secure transmission capabilities, however, it does not have, and may be unable to install, secure capabilities with all of these constituents, and may be unable to ensure that these third parties have appropriate controls in place to protect the confidentiality of such information. Any interception, misuse, or mishandling of personal, confidential, or proprietary information transmitted to or received from a customer or counterparty could result in legal liability, regulatory action, and reputational harm, and could have a significant adverse effect on the Company's competitive position, financial condition, and results of operations.

Security measures may not protect the company from systems failures or interruptions.

While the Company has established policies and procedures to prevent or limit the impact of systems failures and interruptions, there can be no assurance that such events will not occur or that they will be adequately addressed if they do. In addition, the Company outsources certain aspects of its data processing to third-party providers. If the third-party providers encounter difficulties, or if difficulty in communicating with them occurs, the Company's ability to adequately process and account for customer transactions could be affected, and its business operations could be adversely impacted. Threats to information security also exist in the processing of customer information through various other vendors and their personnel.

Any systems failure or interruption could damage the Company's reputation and result in a loss of customers and business, could subject the Company to additional regulatory scrutiny, or could expose the Company to civil litigation and possible financial liability. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

The trading volume in the Holding Company's common stock is less than that of other larger financial services companies.

Although the Holding Company's common stock is listed for trading on the Nasdaq National Exchange, the trading volume in its common stock is less than that of other, larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of the Holding Company's common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which the Holding Company has no control. Given the lower trading volume of the Holding Company's common stock, significant sales of the Holding Company's stock price to exhibit weakness unrelated to financial performance.

The Holding Company may reduce or eliminate dividends on its common stock in the future.

Holders of the Holding Company's common stock are entitled to receive only such dividends as its Board of Directors may declare out of funds legally available for such payments. Although the Holding Company has historically declared cash dividends on its common stock, it is not required to do so and may reduce or eliminate its common stock dividend in the future. This could adversely affect the market price of the Holding Company's common stock. In addition, the Holding Company is a savings and loan holding company, and its ability to declare and pay dividends is dependent on certain federal regulatory considerations, including the guidelines of the Federal Reserve Board regarding capital adequacy and dividends.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

The headquarters of both the Holding Company and the Bank are located at 209 Havemeyer Street, Brooklyn, New York 11211. The headquarters building is fully owned by the Bank. The Bank conducts its business through twenty-six full-service retail banking offices located throughout Brooklyn, Queens, the Bronx and Nassau County, New York.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is routinely named as a defendant in or party to various pending or threatened legal actions or proceedings. Certain of these matters may seek substantial monetary damages. In the opinion of management, the Company is involved in no actions or proceedings that will have a material adverse impact on its consolidated financial condition and results of operations.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Holding Company's common stock is traded on the Nasdaq National Market and quoted under the symbol "DCOM." Prior to June 15, 1998, the Holding Company's common stock was quoted under the symbol "DIME."

The following table indicates the high and low sales price for the Holding Company's common stock, and dividends declared, during the periods indicated. The Holding Company's common stock began trading on June 26, 1996, the date of the initial public offering.

	Twelve Months Ended			Twelve Months Ended				
	December 31, 2012			December 31, 2011				
	High Lov		Low		Low			
	Dividen Stales		Sales	Dividen Stales		Sales		
Quarter Ended	Declare Price		Price	Declare Brice		Price		
March 31st	\$0.14	\$15.01	\$12.75	\$0.14	\$15.89	\$14.02		
June 30 th	0.14	14.92	12.56	0.14	15.60	13.00		
September 30 th	0.14	14.88	13.29	0.14	15.17	9.61		
December 31st	0.14	14.98	12.86	0.14	13.28	9.70		

On December 31, 2012, the final trading date in the fiscal year, the Holding Company's common stock closed at \$13.89.

Management estimates that the Holding Company had approximately 7,900 stockholders of record as of March 1, 2013, including persons or entities holding stock in nominee or street name through various brokers and banks. There were 35,714,269 shares of Holding Company common stock outstanding at December 31, 2012. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Holding Company paid cash dividends totaling \$19.2 million, representing \$0.56 per outstanding common share. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Holding Company

paid cash dividends totaling \$18.9 million, representing \$0.56 per outstanding common share.

On January 25, 2013, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.14 per common share to all stockholders of record as of February 6, 2013. This dividend was paid on February 13, 2013.

The Holding Company is subject to the requirements of Delaware law, which generally limits dividends to an amount equal to the excess of net assets (i.e., the amount by which total assets exceed total liabilities) over statutory capital, or if no such excess exists, to net profits for the current and/or immediately preceding fiscal year.

As the principal asset of the Holding Company, the Bank could be called upon to provide funds for the Holding Company's payment of dividends (See "Item 1 – Business - Regulation – Regulation of New York State Chartered Savings Banks – Limitation on Capital Distributions"). (See also Note 2 to the Company's Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of limitations on distributions from the Bank to the Holding Company). In March 2004, the Holding Company issued \$72.2 million in trust preferred debt, with a stated annual coupon rate of 7.0%. The Holding Company re-acquired and retired \$1.5 million of this outstanding debt during 2009. Pursuant to the provisions of the debt, the Holding Company is required to first satisfy the interest obligation on the debt, which currently approximates \$4.9 million annually, prior to the authorization and payment of common stock cash dividends. Management of the Holding Company does not presently believe that this requirement will materially affect its ability to pay dividends to its common stockholders.

The Holding Company did not purchase any shares of its common stock into treasury during the three months ended December 31, 2012.

A summary of the shares repurchased by month is as follows:

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Programs (1)	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Programs (1)
October 2012	2			1,124,549
November				
2012				1,124,549
December				
2012				1,124,549
(1) No existin	ng repurchase prog	rams expired during	g the three months ended December 31, 2012, nor did	the Company
terminate any	y repurchase progra	ams prior to expirat	ion during the quarter. The 1,124,549 shares that rem	ained eligible
for repurchas	e at December 31	2012 are available	under the Company's twelfth stock repurchase progra	m which was

terminate any repurchase programs prior to expiration during the quarter. The 1,124,549 shares that remained eligible for repurchase at December 31, 2012 are available under the Company's twelfth stock repurchase program, which was publicly announced in June 2007. The twelfth stock repurchase program authorized the purchase of up to 1,787,665 shares of the Holding Company's common stock, and has no expiration.

Performance Graph

Pursuant to regulations of the SEC, the graph below compares the Holding Company's stock performance with that of the total return for the U.S. Nasdaq Stock Market and an index of all thrift stocks as reported by SNL Securities L.C. from January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2012. The graph assumes the reinvestment of dividends in additional shares of the same class of equity securities as those listed below.

	Period Ending December 31,							
Index	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012		
Dime Community Bancshares, Inc.	100.00	107.81	100.38	130.14	117.06	134.31		
NASDAQ Composite	100.00	60.02	87.24	103.08	102.26	120.42		
SNL Thrift	100.00	63.64	59.35	62.01	52.17	63.45		
F-50								

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Financial Highlights

(Dollars in Thousands, except per share data)

The consolidated financial and other data of the Company as of and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008 set forth below is derived in part from, and should be read in conjunction with, the Company's audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto. Certain amounts as of and for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 have been reclassified to conform to the December 31, 2012 presentation. These reclassifications were not material.

	At or for the Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
Selected Financial Condition Data:					
Total assets	\$3,905,399	\$4,021,180	\$4,040,295	\$3,952,274	\$4,055,598
Loans and loans held for sale (net of deferred costs					
or fees					
and the allowance for loan losses)	3,485,818	3,443,633	3,454,326	3,374,170	3,274,051
MBS	49,021	93,877	144,518	224,773	301,351
Investment securities (including FHLBNY capital					
stock)	88,762	232,642	145,491	104,485	80,898
Federal funds sold and other short-term					
investments	-	951	4,536	3,785	
Goodwill	55,638	55,638	55,638	55,638	55,638
Deposits	2,479,429	2,343,701	2,350,581	2,216,836	2,260,051
Borrowings	913,180	1,205,455	1,256,205	1,335,355	1,346,840
Stockholders' equity	391,574	361,034	328,734	294,773	276,964
Selected Operating Data:					
Interest income	\$195,954	\$209,216	\$214,794	\$209,168	\$202,654
Interest expense	86,112	69,714	79,413	97,685	111,302
Net interest income	109,842	139,502	135,381	111,483	91,352
Provision for loan losses	3,921	6,846	11,209	13,152	2,006
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	105,921	132,656	124,172	98,331	89,346
Non-interest (loss) income	23,849	7,929	8,055	(745)	2,814
Non-interest expense	62,572	61,688	61,977	57,310	49,973
Income before income tax	67,198	78,897	70,250	40,276	42,187
Income tax expense	26,890	31,588	28,861	14,087	14,159
Net income	\$40,308	\$47,309	\$41,389	\$26,189	\$28,028

	At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 2011 2010 2009 2008			2008	
SELECTED FINANCIAL RATIOS AND OTHER DATA	2012	2011	2010	2007	2000
(1):					
Return on average assets	1.02 %	1.16 %	1.01 %	0.66 %	0.76 %
Return on average stockholders' equity	10.73	13.65	13.15	9.20	10.29
Stockholders' equity to total assets at end of period	10.03	8.98	8.14	7.46	6.83
Loans to deposits at end of period	141.42	147.80	147.77	153.18	145.64
Loans to interest-earning assets at end of period	94.41	91.36	92.18	91.07	89.60
Net interest spread (2)	2.58	3.38	3.34	2.73	2.34
Net interest margin (3)	2.92	3.60	3.53	2.96	2.60
Average interest-earning assets to average					
interest-bearing liabilities	114.83	112.07	109.32	108.99	108.35
Non-interest expense to average assets	1.59	1.51	1.52	1.44	1.35
Efficiency ratio (4)	52.58	41.64	42.74	48.65	51.25
Effective tax rate	40.02	40.04	41.08	34.98	33.56
Dividend payout ratio	47.86	30.00	45.16	70.89	65.88
Per Share Data:					
Diluted earnings per share	\$1.17	\$1.40	\$1.24	\$0.79	\$0.85
Cash dividends paid per share	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56
Book value per share (5)	10.96	10.28	9.50	8.57	8.10
Asset Quality Ratios and Other Data(1):					
Net charge-offs	\$3,707	\$5,925	\$13,821	\$8,993	\$583
Total non-performing loans (6)	8,888	28,973	20,168	11,294	7,402
OREO	-	-		755	300
Non-performing TRUPS	892	1,012	564	688	
Total non-performing assets	9,780	29,985	20,732	12,737	7,702
Non-performing loans to total loans	0.25 %	0.84 %	0.58 %	0.33 %	0.22 %
Non-performing assets to total assets	0.25	0.75	0.51	0.32	0.19
Allowance for Loan Losses to:					
Non-performing loans	231.21%	78.04 %	95.03 %	190.41%	235.80%
Total loans (7)	0.59	0.58	0.55	0.63	0.53
Regulatory Capital Ratios: (Bank only) (1)					
Tangible capital	9.98 %	9.11 %	8.23 %	7.60 %	7.63 %
Leverage Capital	9.98	9.11	8.23	7.60	7.63
Total risk-based capital	13.72	12.24	11.95	11.22	11.43
Earnings to Fixed Charges Ratios (8) (9):					
Including interest on deposits	1.77 x	2.12 x	1.87 x	1.41 x	1.38 x
Excluding interest on deposits	2.95	2.78	3.24	1.72	1.80
Full Service Branches	26	26	25	23	23

(1) With the exception of end of period ratios, all ratios are based on average daily balances during the indicated periods. Asset Quality Ratios and Regulatory Capital Ratios are end of period ratios.

(2) The net interest spread represents the difference between the weighted-average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted-average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

(3) The net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

(4) The efficiency ratio represents non-interest expense as a percentage of the sum of net interest income and non-interest income, excluding any gains or losses on sales of assets.

(5) Book value per share equals total stockholders' equity divided by shares outstanding at each period end.

(6) Includes non-performing loans designated as held for sale at period end.

(7) Total loans represent loans and loans held for sale, net of deferred fees and costs, and excluding (thus not reducing the aggregate balance by) the allowance for loan losses.

(8) For purposes of computing the ratios of earnings to fixed charges, earnings represent income before taxes,

extraordinary items and the cumulative effect of accounting changes plus fixed charges. Fixed charges represent total interest expense, including and excluding interest on deposits.

(9) Interest on unrecognized tax benefits totaling \$677, \$555 and \$480 is included in the calculation of fixed charges for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Executive Summary

The Holding Company's primary business is the ownership of the Bank. The Company's consolidated results of operations are dependent primarily on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest-earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. The Bank additionally generates non-interest income such as service charges and other fees, mortgage banking related income, and income associated with Bank Owned Life Insurance. Non-interest expense primarily consists of employee compensation and benefits, federal deposit insurance premiums, data processing costs, and occupancy and equipment, marketing and other operating expenses. The Company's consolidated results of operations are also significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions (particularly fluctuations in market interest rates), government policies, changes in accounting standards and actions of regulatory agencies.

The Bank's primary strategy is generally to seek to increase its product and service utilization for each individual depositor, and increase its household and deposit market shares in the communities that it serves. In addition, the Bank's primary strategy includes the origination of, and investment in, mortgage loans, with an emphasis on NYC multifamily residential and mixed-use real estate loans. In late 2008, the Company began limiting growth based upon the desire to retain capital levels sufficient to accommodate potential credit quality problems resulting from the downturn in the economy and the local real estate market. This strategy continued throughout 2009, 2010 and 2011. Late in 2012, the Company transitioned from a period of no-growth to more of a measured-growth strategy, with an expectation that both credit costs would remain at or near exiting levels, and the FOMC would adhere to its stated policy position for maintaining low short-term interest rates while the national unemployment rate remains above 6.5% and certain inflationary measures remain within established benchmarks.

The Company believes that multifamily residential and mixed-use loans in and around NYC provide advantages as investment assets. Initially, they offer a higher yield than investment securities of comparable maturities or terms to repricing. In addition, origination and processing costs for the Bank's multifamily residential and mixed use loans are lower per thousand dollars of originations than comparable one-to four-family loan costs. Further, the Bank's market area has generally provided a stable flow of new and refinanced multifamily residential and mixed-use loan originations. In order to address the credit risk associated with multifamily residential and mixed use lending, the Bank has developed underwriting standards that it believes are reliable in order to maintain consistent credit quality for its loans.

The Bank also strives to provide a stable source of liquidity and earnings through the purchase of investment grade securities; seeks to maintain the asset quality of its loans and other investments; and uses appropriate portfolio and asset/liability management techniques in an effort to manage the effects of interest rate volatility on its profitability and capital.

The years ended December 31, 2010 through 2012 featured a global real estate and economic recession fueled by significant weakness and/or failure in many of the world's largest financial institutions, coupled with ongoing economic and political turmoil in various parts of the world. These events led to historically high dislocations in credit markets, creating favorable origination spreads from the benchmark origination interest rates during the period. This increase, coupled with the continuation of historically low benchmark short-term interest rates by the FOMC (which greatly impact the pricing of the Bank's retail deposits), benefited both the net interest spread and net interest margin during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, thus favorably impacting the Company's consolidated earnings. Partially offsetting this benefit were credit costs on Bank-owned loans during 2010 and 2011. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the FOMC prolonged its monetary policy actions aimed at maintaining both short-term and long-term interest rates at historically low levels. This continued to benefit the Company's funding costs during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, while adversely impacting the average yield on its

interest earning assets.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company experienced an increased level of prepayment and satisfaction activity, as management elected not to pursue loans that were either: 1) unfavorable from an interest rate repricing standpoint; or 2) required underwriting criteria in excess of management's risk tolerance. Should such levels of prepayment and satisfaction continue, they will likely reduce the average yield (exclusive of contractual prepayment charges) of the Bank's loan portfolio, which would likely adversely impact the Company's net interest margin in future periods.

Credit costs, which had run significantly higher during the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2011 than the levels experienced prior to 2009, began to return toward the pre- 2009 levels during 2012.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company elected to prepay its entire \$195.0 million of REPO borrowings with a weighted average cost of 4.3% and a weighted term to maturity exceeding 4.0 years, incurring a prepayment

cost of \$28.8 million as a component of interest expense during the year ended December 31, 2012. The short-term securities that were pledged against these borrowings had yields that had steadily declined over the past several years, and approximated 0.5% throughout 2012. This prepayment transaction has a breakeven of 3.5 years, and is expected to contribute positively to net interest margin commencing during the year ending December 31, 2013. In late 2012, the Bank sold three owned real estate properties, recognizing a gain of \$13.7 million on the sales, offsetting a portion of the \$28.8 million borrowing prepayment cost. The three parcels were comprised of vacant land in Williamsburg, Brooklyn, and two multi-tenant commercial real estate properties, each containing a retail branch of the Bank. The Bank entered into lease tenant agreements with the respective purchasers of both commercial real estate properties, and, as of December 31, 2012, continued to occupy the same retail office space that it occupied prior to the property sales.

Critical Accounting Policies

Various elements of the Company's accounting policies are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. The Company's policies with respect to the methodologies it uses to determine the allowance for loan losses (including reserves for loan commitments), the liability for the First Loss Position, asset impairments (including the assessment of impairment of goodwill and other than temporary declines in the valuation of securities), the recognition of deferred tax assets and unrecognized tax positions, the recognition of loan income, the valuation of financial instruments, and accounting for defined benefit plans are its most critical accounting policies because they are important to the presentation of the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations, involve a significant degree of complexity and require management to make difficult and subjective judgments which often necessitate assumptions or estimates about highly uncertain matters. The use of different judgments, assumptions or estimates could result in material variations in the Company's consolidated results of operations.

The following are descriptions of the Company's critical accounting policies and explanations of the methods and assumptions underlying their application.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The Bank's methods and assumptions utilized to periodically determine its allowance for loan losses are summarized in Note 6 to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Reserve for Loan Commitments. The reserve for loan commitments is determined based upon the historical loss experience of similar loans owned by the Bank at each period end. Any increases or decreases in this reserve are recognized as an increase or reduction in periodic non-interest expense.

Reserve Liability for the First Loss Position. The Bank's methods and assumptions utilized to periodically determine its reserve liability for the First Loss Position are summarized in Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements.

Asset Impairment Adjustments. Certain assets are carried in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition at fair value or at the lower of cost or fair value:

(i) Goodwill Impairment Analysis. Goodwill is accounted for in accordance with ASC 805-10. ASC 805-10 requires performance of an annual impairment test at the reporting unit level. Management annually performs analyses to test for impairment of goodwill. In the event an impairment of goodwill is determined to exist, it is recognized as a charge to earnings.

The Company identified a single reporting unit for purposes of its goodwill impairment testing, and thus performs its impairment test on a consolidated basis. The impairment test has two potential stages. In the initial stage, the Holding Company's market capitalization (reporting unit fair value) is compared to its outstanding equity (reporting unit carrying value). The Company utilizes closing price data for the Holding Company's common stock as reported on the Nasdaq National Market in order to compute market capitalization. The Company has designated the last day of its

fiscal year as the annual date for impairment testing. The Company performed its impairment testing quarterly during the year ended December 31, 2012, and concluded from each quarterly test that no potential impairment of goodwill existed since the fair value of the Company's reporting unit exceeded its carrying value. No events or circumstances have occurred subsequent to December 31, 2012 that would reduce the fair value of the Company's reporting unit below its carrying value. Such events or circumstances would require the immediate performance of an impairment test in accordance with ASC 805-10.

(ii) Valuation of Financial Instruments and Analysis of OTTI Related to Investment Securities and MBS. Debt securities are classified as held-to-maturity, and carried at amortized cost, only if the Company has a positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity.

At December 31, 2012, the Company owned seven TRUPS classified as held-to-maturity. Late in 2008, the market for these securities became highly illiquid, and continued to be deemed as such as of December 31, 2012. As a result, at both December 31, 2012 and 2011, their estimated fair value was obtained utilizing a blended valuation approach (Level 3 pricing as described in Note 17 to the Company's consolidated financial statements).

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had an investment in nine mutual funds totaling \$4.9 million that were classified as trading. All changes in valuation of these securities are recognized in the Company's results of operations.

Debt securities that are not classified as either held-to-maturity or trading are classified as available-for-sale.

Available-for-sale debt and equity securities that have readily determinable fair values are carried at fair value. All of the Company's available-for-sale securities at December 31, 2012 and 2011 had readily determinable fair values, which were based on published or securities dealers' market values.

The Company conducts a periodic review and evaluation of its securities portfolio, taking into account the severity and duration of each unrealized loss, as well as management's intent and ability to hold the security until the unrealized loss is substantially eliminated, in order to determine if a decline in fair value of any security below its carrying value is either temporary or other than temporary. Unrealized losses on held-to-maturity securities that are deemed temporary are disclosed but not recognized. Unrealized losses on debt or equity securities available-for-sale that are deemed temporary are excluded from net income and reported net of deferred taxes as other comprehensive income or loss. All unrealized losses that are deemed other than temporary on either available-for-sale or held-to-maturity securities are recognized immediately as a reduction of the carrying amount of the security, with a corresponding decline in either net income or accumulated other comprehensive income or loss in accordance with ASC 320-10-65. See Note 3 to the Company's consolidated financial statements for a reconciliation of OTTI on securities during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Recognition of Deferred Tax Assets. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount deemed more likely than not to be realized.

Unrecognized Tax Positions. Under current accounting rules, all tax positions adopted are subjected to two levels of evaluation. Initially, a determination is made, based on the technical merits of the position, as to whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes. In conducting this evaluation, management is required to presume that the position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority possessing full knowledge of all relevant information. The second level of evaluation is the measurement of a tax position that satisfies the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold. This measurement is performed in order to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. The Company had no material uncertain tax positions as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. As of December 31, 2010, uncertain tax positions totaled \$1.4 million, which were eliminated during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Loan Income Recognition. Interest income on loans is recorded using the level yield method. Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and amortized as yield adjustments over the contractual loan terms.

Please refer to "Part 1 - Item 1 – Business - Asset Quality - Monitoring and Collection of Delinquent Loans" for a discussion of management's policies for determining whether a loan is maintained on accrual or non-accrual status.

Accounting for Defined Benefit Plans. Defined benefit plans are accounted for in accordance with ASC 715, which requires an employer sponsoring a single employer defined benefit plan to recognize the funded status of such benefit plan in its statements of financial condition, measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value (with limited exceptions) and the benefit obligation. The Company utilizes the services of trained actuaries employed at an independent benefits plan administration entity in order to assist in measuring the funded status of its defined benefit plans.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Board of Directors of the Bank has approved a liquidity policy that it reviews and updates at least annually. Senior management is responsible for implementing the policy. The Bank's ALCO is responsible for general oversight and strategic implementation of the policy, and management of the appropriate departments are designated responsibility for implementing

any strategies established by ALCO. On a daily basis, senior management receives a current cash position report and one-week forecast to ensure that all short-term obligations are timely satisfied and that adequate liquidity exists to fund future activities. On a monthly basis, reports detailing the Bank's liquidity reserves and forecasted cash flows are presented to both senior management and the Board of Directors. In addition, on a monthly basis, a twelve-month liquidity forecast is presented to ALCO in order to assess potential future liquidity concerns. A forecast of cash flow data for the upcoming 12 months is presented to the Board of Directors on an annual basis.

The Bank's primary sources of funding for its lending and investment activities include deposits, loan and MBS payments, investment security principal and interest payments, advances from the FHLBNY, and REPOS entered into with various financial institutions, including the FHLBNY. The Bank may also sell selected multifamily residential, mixed use and one- to four-family residential real estate loans to private sector secondary market purchasers and has in the past sold such loans to FNMA. The Company may additionally issue debt under appropriate circumstances. Although maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and investments are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and prepayments on mortgage loans and MBS are influenced by interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

The Bank gathers deposits in direct competition with commercial banks, savings banks and brokerage firms, many among the largest in the nation. It must additionally compete for deposit monies against the stock and bond markets, especially during periods of strong performance in those arenas. The Bank's deposit flows are affected primarily by the pricing and marketing of its deposit products compared to its competitors, as well as the market performance of depositor investment alternatives such as the U.S. bond or equity markets. To the extent that the Bank is responsive to general market increases or declines in interest rates, its deposit flows should not be materially impacted. However, favorable performance of the equity or bond markets could adversely impact the Bank's deposit flows.

Retail branch and Internet banking deposits increased \$135.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to a reduction of \$6.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. Within deposits, core deposits (i.e., non-CDs) increased \$221.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$75.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$75.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$75.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$75.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 and \$82.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily as a result of the attrition of maturing CDs from prior period promotional activities. Except for promotional activities associated with a new branch opened during the year ended December 31, 2011, there was no significant change in deposit pricing strategies or promotional activities implemented during the years ended December 31, 2012 or 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Bank prepaid \$195.0 million of borrowings secured by REPOS and \$55.0 million of FHLBNY advances, removing a negative carrying cost on these \$250.0 million of funding liabilities. The Bank also elected not to replace \$42.3 million of additional FHLBNY advances that matured during the year ended December 31, 2012. Cash flows from loan repayments and deposit inflows experienced during 2012 provided sufficient liquidity to the Company to permit it to reduce its borrowings during the period. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Bank reduced its FHLBNY advances by \$50.8 million, as the Bank elected to both restrict asset growth and reduce year-end cash and due from banks, and thus utilized cash flows from real estate loans and MBS to fund loan originations and operations during 2011. Since cash flows exceeded cash needs during the year ended December 31, 2011, the Bank was able to utilize the excess proceeds to reduce its FHLBNY advances. The Bank coupled the reduction of its FHLBNY advances with a restructuring of a portion of its remaining FHLBNY advances with a restructuring of a portion of its remaining FHLBNY advances of the reduce portfolio by extending its term to maturity and lowering its average interest rate.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, principal repayments totaled \$1.02 billion on real estate loans and \$42.8 million on MBS. During the year ended December 31, 2011, principal repayments totaled \$697.3 million on real estate loans and \$48.9 million on MBS. The increase in principal repayments on real estate loans resulted from increased refinancing activity that was stimulated by lower marketplace offering rates. The decline in principal repayments on MBS resulted from a reduction of \$30.0 million in their average balance from the year ended December 31, 2012.

In the event that the Bank should require funds beyond its ability or desire to generate them internally, an additional source of funds is available through use of its borrowing line at the FHLBNY. At December 31, 2012, the Bank had an additional potential borrowing capacity of \$520.0 million through the FHLBNY, subject to customary minimum common stock ownership requirements imposed by the FHLBNY (i.e., 4.5% of the Bank's outstanding FHLBNY borrowings).

The Bank is subject to minimum regulatory capital requirements imposed by its primary regulators, the NYSDFS and the FDIC, which, as a general matter, are based on the amount and composition of an institution's assets. At December 31, 2012, the Bank was in compliance with all applicable regulatory capital requirements and was considered "well-capitalized" for all regulatory purposes.

The Company generally utilizes its liquidity and capital resources primarily to fund the origination of real estate loans, the purchase of mortgage-backed and other securities, the repurchase of Holding Company common stock into treasury and the payment of quarterly cash dividends to stockholders of the Holding Company's common stock. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, real estate loan originations totaled \$1.10 billion and \$678.8 million, respectively. Purchases of investment securities (excluding trading securities, short-term investments and federal funds sold) were \$103.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to maturities and calls of \$200.0 million on such securities during the period. All of these purchases were agency-issued securities containing the highest possible credit rating. Purchases of investment securities (excluding trading securities, short-term investment to maturities and calls of \$204.0 million on such securities during the period. All of these purchases were limited to medium-term agency notes. The reduction in the aggregate level of investment security purchases from 2011 to 2012 resulted from management's election to deploy a larger portion of its investment funds into real estate loans in order to generate additional interest income and yield.

The Holding Company did not repurchase any shares of its common stock during the years ended December 31, 2012 or 2011. As of December 31, 2012, up to 1,124,549 shares remained available for purchase under authorized share purchase programs. Based upon the \$13.89 per share closing price of its common stock as of December 31, 2012, the Holding Company would utilize \$15.6 million in order to purchase all of the remaining authorized shares. For the Holding Company to complete these share purchases, it would likely require dividend distributions from the Bank.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Holding Company paid \$19.2 million in cash dividends on its common stock, up from \$18.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, reflecting an increase of 605,224 in issued and outstanding shares from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012.

Contractual Obligations

The Bank has outstanding at any time, a significant number of borrowings in the form of FHLBNY advances or REPOS, as well as fixed interest obligations on CDs. The Holding Company also has \$70.7 million of trust preferred borrowings due to mature in April 2034, which are callable at any time after April 2009. The Holding Company currently does not intend to call this debt.

The Bank is obligated under leases for rental payments on certain of its branches and equipment. A summary of CDs, borrowings and lease obligations at December 31, 2012 is as follows:

	Payments Due By Period						
			Over				
		One Year	Three				
		to	Years to	Over			
	Less than	Three	Five	Five			
Contractual Obligations	One Year	Years	Years	Years	Total		
	(Dollars in	thousands)					
CDs	\$525,628	\$247,209	\$72,165	\$46,973	\$891,975		
Weighted average interest rate of CDs	1.14 %	2.41 %	2.30 %	2.67 %	1.66 %(1)		
Borrowings	\$218,500	\$484,000	\$140,000	\$70,680	\$913,180		
Weighted average interest rate of borrowings	1.77 %	2.70 %	4.05 %	7.00 %	3.02 %		
Operating lease obligations	\$2,817	\$5,292	\$5,420	\$18,186	\$31,715		
(1) The weighted average cost of CDs, inclusion	ve of their co	ntractual con	npounding of	interest, wa	s 1.68% at December		
31, 2012.							

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

From December 2002 through February 2009, the Bank originated and sold multifamily residential mortgage loans in the secondary market to FNMA subject to the First Loss Position. See "Item I – Part 1. Business – Asset Quality – Problem Loans Serviced for FNMA Subject to the First Loss Position" for a discussion of the First Loss Position obligation associated with these loans.

In addition, as part of its loan origination business, the Bank generally has outstanding commitments to extend credit to third parties, which are granted pursuant to its regular underwriting standards. Since many of these loan commitments expire prior to funding, in whole or in part, the contract amounts are not estimates of future cash flows.

The following table presents off-balance sheet arrangements as of December 31, 2012:

		One Veer t	Over Three Year	S	
	Less than One Yea	Three Year	to Five Years	Over Five Years	5 Total
	(Dollars in thousar				
Credit Commitments:					
Available lines of credit	\$37,253	\$	\$	\$	\$37,253
Other loan commitments	60,470				60,470
First Loss Position	15,428				15,428
Total Off-Balance Sheet Arrangement	s \$113,151	\$-	\$-	\$	\$113,151

Analysis of Net Interest Income

The Company's profitability, like that of most banking institutions, is dependent primarily upon net interest income, which is the difference between interest income on interest-earnings assets, such as loans and securities, and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits or borrowings. Net interest income depends on the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, and the interest rate earned or paid on them. The following tables set forth certain information relating to the Company's consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, and reflect the average yield on interest-earning assets and average cost of interest-bearing liabilities for the periods indicated. Such yields and costs are derived by dividing interest income or expense by the average balance of interest-earning assets or interest-bearing liabilities, respectively, for the periods indicated. Average balances are derived from daily balances. The yields and costs include fees and charges that are considered adjustments to yields and costs. All material changes in average balances and interest income or expense are discussed in the sections entitled "Interest Income" and "Interest Expense" in the comparisons of operating results commencing on page F-61.

	For the Ye	2012		31,	2011			2010	
	(Dollars in Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/ Cost	Average Balance	Interest	Average Yield/ Cost
Assets: Interest-earning assets: Real estate loans (1) Other loans Investment securities MBS Federal funds sold and other short-term	\$3,400,847 1,991 103,936 81,897 173,336	7\$189,149 104 1,263 3,025 2,413	95.56% 5.22 1.22 3.69 1.39	\$3,445,969 1,066 153,031 111,884 163,853	9\$200,034 97 1,401 5,043 2,641	15.80% 9.10 0.92 4.51 1.61	\$3,454,327 1,332 63,620 176,446 141,282	7\$202,591 123 1,277 7,820 2,983	5.86% 9.23 2.01 4.43 2.11
investments Total interest-earning assets	3,762,007	\$195,954	45.21%	3,875,803	\$209,216	55.40%	3,837,007	\$214,794	5.60%
Non-interest earning assets	185,036			217,605			246,380		
Total assets	\$3,947,043	3		\$4,093,408	3		\$4,083,387	7	
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity: Interest-bearing liabilities:									
Interest bearing checking accounts	\$93,596	\$237	0.25%	\$95,579	\$321	0.34%	\$101,220	\$601	0.59%
Money Market accounts	840,098	4,622	0.55	757,200	5,048	0.67	747,523	5,779	0.77
Savings accounts CDs Borrowed Funds (2)	364,271 947,803 1,030,287	580 16,340 64,333	0.16 1.72 6.24	342,372 1,051,565 1,211,628	-	0.21 1.90 3.60	313,105 1,075,932 1,272,230	-	0.26 2.12 3.88
Total interest-bearing	3,276,055	\$86,112	2.63%	3,458,344	\$69,714	2.02%	3,510,010	\$79,413	2.26%
liabilities Non-interest bearing checking accounts	151,818			141,456			119,221		
Other non-interest-bearing liabilities	143,659			147,087			139,382		
Total liabilities Stockholders' equity	3,571,532 375,511			3,746,887 346,521			3,768,613 314,774		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$3,947,043	3		\$4,093,408	3		\$4,083,387	7	
Net interest spread (3) Net interest income/ net			2.58%			3.38%			3.34%
interest margin (4)		\$109,842	22.92%		\$139,502	23.60%		\$135,381	3.53%
Net interest-earning assets	\$485,952			\$417,459			\$326,997		
Ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities			114.83%			112.07%			109.32%

(1) In computing the average balance of real estate loans, non-performing loans have been included. Interest income on real estate loans includes loan fees. Interest income on real estate loans also includes applicable prepayment fees and late charges totaling \$15.1 million, \$8.1 million and \$2.7 million during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(2) Interest expense on borrowed funds includes \$28.8 million of prepayment charge recognized during the year ended December 31, 2012. Such fees were negligible during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. Absent the prepayment charge, the average cost of borrowings would have been 3.45% during the year ended December 31, 2012.

(3) Net interest spread represents the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. F-59

Rate/Volume Analysis. The following table represents the extent to which variations in interest rates and the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have affected interest income and interest expense during the periods indicated. Information is provided in each category with respect to: (i) variances attributable to fluctuations in volume (change in volume multiplied by prior rate), (ii) variances attributable to rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume), and (iii) the net change. Variances attributable to the combined impact of volume and rate have been allocated proportionately to the changes due to volume and the changes due to rate.

	Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011 Increase/ (Decrease) Due to			Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2010 Increase/ (Decrease) Due to			Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009 Increase/ (Decrease) Due to			
	Volume	Rate	Total	Volume	Rate	Total	Volume	Rate	Total	
Interest-earning assets:	(Dollars i	n Thousar	nds)							
Real Estate Loans	\$(2,617)	\$(8,268)	(10,885)	\$(685)	\$(1,872)	\$(2,557)	\$8,545	\$357	\$8,902	
Other loans	66	(59)	7	(21)	(5)	(26)	(21)	1	(20)	
Investment securities	(1,226)	(792)	(2,018)	(2,514)	(263)	(2,777)	745	(342)	403	
MBS	(523)	385	(138)	995	(871)	124	(3,215)	(513)	(3,728)	
Federal funds sold and										
other short-term	143	(371)	(228)	273	(615)	(342)	(630)	699	69	
investments										
Total	\$(4,157)	\$(9,105)	\$(13,262)	\$(1,952)	\$(3,626)	\$(5,578)	\$5,424	\$202	\$5,626	
Interest-bearing liabilities:										
Interest bearing checking	¢(2)	\$(81)	\$(91)	\$(59)	(222)	\$(280)	\$(105)	¢(274)	\$(470)	
accounts	\$(3)	\$(01)	\$(84)	\$(58)	\$(222)	\$(280)	\$(105)	\$(374)	\$(479)	
Money market accounts	518	(944)	(426)	(58)	(673)	(731)	(261)	(3,496)	(3,757)	
Savings accounts	34	(185)	(151)	48	(125)	(77)	26	(278)	(252)	
CDs	(1,887)	(1,804)	(3,691)	(694)	(2,078)	(2,772)	(516)	(7,797)	(8,313)	
Borrowed funds	(8,880)	29,630	20,750	(2,468)	(3,371)	(5,839)	(1,074)	(4,397)	(5,471)	
Total	\$(10,218)	\$26,616	\$16,398	\$(3,230))\$(6,469)	\$(9,699)	\$(1,930))\$(16,342)	\$(18,272)	
Net change in net interest income	\$6,061	\$(35,721)	\$(29,660)	\$1,278	\$2,843	\$4,121	\$7,354	\$16,544	\$23,898	

Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011

Assets. Assets totaled \$3.91 billion at December 31, 2012, \$115.8 million below the level at December 31, 2011.

Available for sale Investment securities and MBS declined \$141.9 million and \$44.9 million, respectively, and were partially offset by increases of \$45.0 million in real estate loans and \$34.8 million in cash and cash equivalents during the period.

The reduction in investment securities and MBS available for sale and the increase in cash and due from banks and real estate loans reflected several factors. The Company acquired available for sale agency securities during 2011 in order to: 1) provide additional yield on cash balances; 2) provide additional assets that were permissible as collateral for REPO borrowings; 3) maintain favorable credit quality in order to benefit the Bank in its capital adequacy; and 4) maintain investment balances with a short time period to either interest rate adjustment or expected repayment date. In October 2012, the Company elected to prepay its remaining \$155.0 million of REPO borrowings. Upon completing this prepayment transaction, all of its available for sale investment securities and MBS became unencumbered, and the Bank remained well capitalized. Since the yield on a significant portion of available for sale

investment securities and MBS averaged between 0.50% and 0.75%, the Company elected to reduce its balances of these securities prior to December 31, 2012. A significant majority of these investment funds were deployed into real estate loans (as the Company originated over \$1.0 billion of real estate loans during the year ended December 31, 2012), while a portion was retained in cash and due from banks as of December 31, 2012 (thus generating the increase in cash and due from banks from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012).

Liabilities. Total liabilities decreased \$146.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily as a result of reductions of \$195.0 million in REPO borrowings and \$97.3 million in FHLBNY advances. See "Part II - Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a discussion of the decline in borrowings during the period. Partially offsetting these declines, were an increase of \$10.9 million in mortgagor escrow and other deposit funds held during 2012 and an increase of \$135.7 million in retail deposits. See "Part II - Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a discussion of retail deposit funds held during 2012 and an increase of \$135.7 million in retail deposits. See "Part II - Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a discussion of retail deposit activity during the period.

Stockholders' Equity. Stockholders' equity increased \$30.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, due primarily to net income of \$40.3 million, \$5.6 million of common stock issued for the exercise of stock options, and a \$3.6 million aggregate increase

related to either expense amortization or income tax benefits associated with stock benefit plans that added to the cumulative balance of stockholders' equity. Partially offsetting these items were \$19.2 million in cash dividends paid during the period.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2012 and 2011

General. Net income was \$40.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, \$7.0 million below net income of \$47.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2011. During the comparative period, net interest income decreased \$29.7 million, the provision for loan losses declined \$2.9 million, non-interest income increased \$15.9 million and non-interest expense increased \$884,000, resulting in a reduction in pre-tax income of \$11.7 million. Income tax expense declined \$4.7 million during the comparative period due to the reduction in pre-tax earnings.

Net Interest Income. The discussion of net interest income for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 presented below should be read in conjunction with the tables presented on pages F-59 and F-60, which set forth certain information related to the consolidated statements of operations for those periods, and which also present the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. The average yields and costs were derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of their related assets or liabilities during the periods represented. Average balances were derived from average daily balances. The yields include fees that are considered adjustments to yields.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company prepaid \$195.0 million of REPOS, incurring \$28.8 million of additional interest expense on this prepayment. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, the Company recognized \$14.6 million and \$7.7 million of interest income related to prepayment of its real estate loans. The levels of both interest expense on prepayment of borrowings and interest income from loan prepayments are higher than typically experienced by the Company. The net impact of this prepayment activity adversely impacted net interest income and net interest margin for the year ended December 31, 2012.

In addition, during the period January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2012, FOMC monetary policies resulted in the maintenance of the overnight federal funds rate in a range of 0.0% to 0.25%. The Company, absent prepayment costs on its borrowings, has thus experienced historically low levels of both deposit and borrowing costs throughout both 2012 and 2011, while similarly experiencing historically low yields on its investment securities and real estate loans (excluding the impact of prepayment fee income) during the same period.

Interest Income. Interest income was \$196.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, \$13.3 million below the level recognized during the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily reflecting declines in interest income of \$10.9 million on real estate loans and \$2.0 million on MBS. The reduction in interest income on real estate loans resulted from a decline of \$45.1 million in their average balance during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, as well as a decline of 24 basis points in their average yield. While the aggregate balance of real estate loans as of December 31, 2012 exceeded its balance as of December 31, 2011, the average balance for the year ended December 31, 2012 fell below the average balance for the year ended December 31, 2012 fell below the average balance for the low interest rate environment resulted in reductions in real estate loans that exceeded new origination volume throughout the majority of the year ended December 31, 2012. The high volumes of prepayment and refinancing on real estate loans during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, although the reduction in this average yield would have been even greater except for an additional \$6.9 million in prepayment fee income that was recognized as a component of the yield on real estate loans during the year ended December 31, 2011.

The reduction in interest income on MBS resulted from declines of \$30.0 million in their average balance and 82 basis points in their average yield during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. During 2012, purchases of MBS were limited and were exceeded by principal repayments of existing MBS. In

addition, high volumes of prepayment and refinancing on real estate loans similarly served to reduce the average yield on MBS during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011.

Interest Expense. Interest expense increased \$16.4 million, to \$86.1 million, during the year ended December 31, 2012, from \$69.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, reflecting \$20.8 million of higher interest expense on borrowed funds. As discussed previously, the increase in interest expense on borrowed funds resulted from \$28.8 million of costs recognized on the prepayment of REPO borrowings. Otherwise, interest expense on borrowed funds would have declined by \$8.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Interest expense on deposits declined \$4.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, reflecting the historically low deposit offering rates during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was \$3.9 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, a reduction of \$2.9 million from the provision of \$6.8 million recorded during the year ended December 31, 2011. This decline primarily reflected a reduction of \$2.2 million in net charge-offs recognized during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, resulting in less required provision to replenish these reductions to the allowance for loan losses, as well as a reduction of \$17.3 million in impaired loans from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012, resulting in less reserves determined to be warranted on impaired loans.

Non-Interest Income. Total non-interest income increased \$15.9 million from the year ended December 31, 2011 to the year ended December 31, 2012, due primarily to non-recurring pre-tax gains of \$13.7 million on the sales of three real estate parcels and \$887,000 on the sale of an equity mutual fund investment that were recorded during the year ended December 31, 2012. In addition, mortgage banking income increased \$1.2 million and OTTI charges recognized on TRUPS (which are recognized as a reduction component of non-interest income) fell by \$546,000 during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The growth in mortgage banking income resulted from a reduction of \$1.3 million in the liability for the First Loss Position on loans sold to FNMA with recourse that was recognized during the year ended December 31, 2012. This reduction, which served to increase mortgage banking income, was deemed warranted due to both ongoing amortization and stabilization of problem loans within the portfolio of loans sold to FNMA with recourse. The reduction in the OTTI recognized on the TRUPS reflected stabilization in the credit condition of these investment securities. Partially offsetting these items that benefited total non-interest income during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 compared and the trace of the trace o

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expense was \$62.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of \$884,000 from \$61.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$951,000 during the comparative period due to both additional staffing and ongoing increases to existing salaries and benefits. Other expenses increased \$587,000 primarily as a result of higher expense associated with non-recurring legal expenses. FDIC insurance costs decreased \$561,000 primarily as a result of higher assessment rates effective in the first quarter of 2011 (a transitional quarter between recapitalization plans).

Non-interest expense was 1.59% of average assets during the year ended December 31, 2012, compared to 1.51% during the year ended December 31, 2011, reflecting both the \$884,000 increase in non-interest expense, and a reduction of \$146.4 million in average assets during the comparative period.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense decreased \$4.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, due primarily to the reduction of \$11.7 million in pre-tax earnings. The Company's consolidated effective tax rate approximated 40% during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010

General. Net income was \$47.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, an increase of \$5.9 million from net income of \$41.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2010. During the comparative period, net interest income increased \$4.1 million, the provision for loan losses declined \$4.4 million, non-interest income declined \$126,000 and non-interest expense decreased \$289,000, resulting in an increase in pre-tax income of \$8.6 million. Income tax expense increased \$2.7 million during the comparative period due to the increase in pre-tax earnings.

Net Interest Income. The discussion of net interest income for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 presented below should be read in conjunction with the tables presented on pages F-59 and F-60, which set forth certain information related to the consolidated statements of operations for those periods, and which also present the average yield on assets and average cost of liabilities for the periods indicated. The average yields and costs were

derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of their related assets or liabilities during the periods represented. Average balances were derived from average daily balances. The yields include fees that are considered adjustments to yields.

During the period January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2011, FOMC monetary policies resulted in the maintenance of the overnight federal funds rate in a range of 0.0% to 0.25%. As a result, beginning in early 2009, the Company was able to commence an orderly reduction of both its deposit and borrowing costs that continued through December 2011, which favorably impacted the Company's net interest margin during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. In addition, the Company experienced a higher level of prepayment fee income on its real estate loans during the year ended December 31, 2011

than the year ended December 31, 2010. Since this income is recognized as a component of interest income, it favorably impacted the net interest margin during the comparative period.

Interest Income. Interest income was \$209.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, \$5.6 million below the level recognized during the year ended December 31, 2010, primarily reflecting declines in interest income of \$2.8 million on MBS and \$2.6 million on real estate loans. The reduction in interest income on MBS resulted from a decline of \$64.6 million in their average balance during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company has not purchased MBS for several quarters, thus their average balance continues to decline as principal payments on the underlying mortgages are passed through monthly. The reduction in interest income on real estate loans resulted from a decline of \$8.4 million in their average balance during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, as well as a decline of 6 basis points in their average yield due to both the historically low level of interest rates and heightened marketplace competition on loan origination rates. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company experienced heightened levels of prepayment and refinance activity on its real estate loans, while it remained selective in its new lending, seeking to keep its loan portfolio balance relatively constant. As a result, a decline of less than 1% in the average balance of real estate loans occurred from the year ended December 31, 2010 to the year ended December 31, 2011.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$9.7 million, to \$69.7 million, during the year ended December 31, 2011, from \$79.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2010. The decline resulted primarily from reductions in interest expense of \$280,000 on interest bearing checking accounts, \$781,000 on money market accounts, \$2.8 million on CDs and \$5.8 million on borrowed funds.

The decrease in interest expense on interest bearing checking and money market accounts and CDs resulted from declines of 25 basis points, 10 basis points and 22 basis points, respectively, in their average cost, as a result of the Company's orderly reduction in offering rates on all deposit accounts throughout the year ended December 31, 2011. The reduction in expense on CDs further reflected a decline of \$24.4 million in their average balance during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, as the Company did not compete aggressively for new CDs and permitted higher cost promotional CDs to mature without being renewed. In addition, the Company was able to re-finance both maturing and portfolio borrowings at lower average costs throughout 2011, creating a reduction of 28 basis points in its average borrowing costs from the year ended December 31, 2010 to the year ended December 31, 2011. The average balance of borrowed funds also declined \$60.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, reflecting a reduction of \$104.9 million in the aggregate balance of FHLBNY advances and REPOs from January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011. See "Part II - Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources" for a discussion of borrowing activities during the year ended December 31, 2011.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was \$6.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, a reduction of \$4.4 million from the provision of \$11.2 million recorded during the year ended December 31, 2010. This decline primarily reflected a reduction of \$7.9 million in net charge-offs recognized during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, resulting in less required provision to replenish these reductions to the allowance for loan losses.

Non-Interest Income. Total non-interest income decreased \$126,000 from the year ended December 31, 2010 to the year ended December 31, 2011. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recognized aggregate net gains of \$916,000 on investment securities and other assets, primarily reflecting gains of \$608,000 on sales and \$242,000 on the transfer from available-for-sale into trading of some equity mutual fund holdings. The Company also experienced a reduction of \$500,000 in mortgage banking income during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, reflecting lower gains on loans sold (which fluctuate between quarters based upon the aggregate level and underlying characteristics of the loans being sold). The Company also recognized additional rental income approximating \$400,000 during the year ended December 31, 2010 as a result of the modification of the income recognition method on its leased properties. These non-recurring items were not experienced during the December 2011 quarter. Service charges and other fees declined \$329,000 from the year

ended December 31, 2010 to the year ended December 31, 2011, due primarily to a reduction in depositor low balance and overdraft fees. Income from bank owned life insurance also declined \$183,000 during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 due primarily to the historically low interest rates persisting during the period. Partially offsetting these reduction items was a decline of \$1.8 million in OTTI charges recognized on TRUPS during the year ended December 31, 2011 (which is recognized as a reduction component of non-interest income), reflecting a stabilization in the credit condition of these investment securities.

Non-Interest Expense. Non-interest expense was \$61.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011, a reduction of \$289,000 from \$62.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$1.5 million during the comparative period due to both ongoing salary and benefits increases and additional charges associated with the restructuring of the Company's Benefit Maintenance Plan benefits. Occupancy and

equipment expense increased \$757,000, primarily as a result of the acceleration of depreciation on some leasehold fixed assets that occurred during the first three months of 2011, as well as increased depreciation and maintenance associated with recently implemented automated banking equipment. FDIC insurance costs decreased \$1.5 million as a result of the new capitalization plan implemented by the FDIC effective April 1, 2011, which, given the Company's asset size and regulatory risk profile, benefited the Company. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded a provision for losses on OREO of \$422,000 for the write-down of two OREO properties to their likely disposal value. No such provision was recorded during the year ended December 31, 2011. Other expenses declined \$456,000 during the comparative period, primarily as a result of lower marketing and legal costs. Non-interest expense was 1.51% of average assets during the year ended December 31, 2011, compared to 1.52% during the year ended December 31, 2010, reflecting the \$289,000 decrease in non-interest expense.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense increased \$2.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010, due primarily to an increase of \$8.6 million in pre-tax earnings. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company concluded that a reserve for an uncertain tax position was no longer warranted, resulting in a \$1.1 million reduction in income tax expense. This served to reduce the effective tax rate from 41.1% during the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company's consolidated effective tax rate approximated its customary 41% during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The consolidated financial statements and notes thereto presented herein have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, which requires the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering the changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. The impact of inflation is reflected in the increased costs of operations. Unlike industrial companies, nearly all of the Company's consolidated assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a greater impact on the Company's consolidated performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily fluctuate in the same direction or to the same extent as the price of goods and services.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

For a discussion of the impact of recently issued accounting standards, please see Note 1 to the Company's consolidated financial statements that commence on page F-70.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

As a depository financial institution, the Bank's primary source of market risk is interest rate volatility. Fluctuations in interest rates will ultimately impact the level of interest income recorded on, and the market value of, a significant portion of the Bank's assets. Fluctuations in interest rates will also ultimately impact the level of interest expense recorded on, and the market value of, a significant portion of the Bank's liabilities. In addition, the Bank's real estate loan portfolio, concentrated primarily within the NYC metropolitan area, is subject to risks associated with the local economy.

Real estate loans, the largest component of the Bank's interest earning assets, traditionally derive their interest rates primarily from either the five- or seven-year constant maturity Treasury index. As a result, the Bank's interest earning assets are most sensitive to these benchmark interest rates. Since the majority of the Bank's interest bearing liabilities mature within one year, its interest bearing liabilities are most sensitive to fluctuations in short-term interest rates.

Neither the Holding Company nor the Bank is subject to foreign currency exchange or commodity price risk. In addition, the Company engaged in no hedging transactions utilizing derivative instruments (such as interest rate swaps and caps) or embedded derivative instruments that required bifurcation during the years ended December 31, 2012 or

2011. In the future, the Company may, with appropriate Board approval, engage in hedging transactions utilizing derivative instruments. Trading securities owned by the Company were nominal at December 31, 2012 and 2011. Since a majority of the Company's consolidated interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities are located at the Bank, virtually all of the interest rate risk exposure exists at the Bank level. As a result, all of the significant interest rate risk management procedures are performed at the Bank level. The Bank's interest rate risk management strategy is designed to limit the volatility of net interest income and preserve capital over a broad range of interest rate movements and has the following three primary components:

Assets. The Bank's largest single asset type is the adjustable-rate multifamily residential loan. Multifamily residential loans typically carry shorter average terms to maturity than one- to four-family residential loans, thus significantly reducing the overall level of interest

rate risk. Approximately 90% of multifamily residential loans originated by the Bank during the years ended both December 31, 2012 and 2011 were adjustable rate, with repricing typically occurring after five or seven years. In addition, the Bank has sought to include in its portfolio various types of adjustable-rate one- to four-family loans and adjustable and floating-rate investment securities, with repricing terms generally of three years or less. At December 31, 2012, adjustable-rate real estate and consumer loans totaled \$2.51 billion, or 64.4% of total assets, and adjustable-rate investment securities (CMOs, REMICs, MBS issued by GSEs and other securities) totaled \$50.7 million, or 1.3% of total assets. At December 31, 2011, adjustable-rate real estate and consumer loans totaled \$2.62 billion, or 65.3% of total assets, and adjustable-rate investment securities (CMOs, REMICs, MBS issued by GSEs and other securities) totaled \$2.62 billion, or 65.3% of total assets, and adjustable-rate investment securities (CMOs, REMICs, MBS issued by GSEs and other securities) totaled \$2.62 billion, or 65.3% of total assets, and adjustable-rate investment securities (CMOs, REMICs, MBS issued by GSEs and other securities) totaled \$50.7 million, or 1.3% of total assets.

Deposit Liabilities. As a traditional community-based savings bank, the Bank is largely dependent upon its base of competitively priced core deposits to provide stability on the liability side of the balance sheet. The Bank has retained many loyal customers over the years through a combination of quality service, convenience, and a stable and experienced staff. Core deposits at December 31, 2012 were \$1.59 billion, or 64.0% of total deposits. The balance of CDs as of December 31, 2012 was \$892.0 million, or 36.0% of total deposits, of which \$525.6 million, or 58.9%, were to mature within one year. The weighted average maturity of the Bank's CDs at December 31, 2012 was 17.3 months, compared to 17.0 months at December 31, 2011. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Bank generally priced its CDs in an effort to encourage the extension of the average maturities of deposit liabilities beyond one year.

Wholesale Funds. The Bank is a member of the FHLBNY, which provided the Bank with a borrowing line of up to \$1.36 billion at December 31, 2012. The Bank borrows from the FHLBNY for various purposes. At December 31, 2012, the Bank had outstanding advances of \$842.5 million from the FHLBNY, all of which were secured by a blanket lien on the Bank's loan portfolio. Wholesale funding provides the Bank opportunities to extend the overall duration of its interest bearing liabilities, thus helping manage interest rate risk.

At December 31, 2012, the Company had \$180.0 million of callable borrowings outstanding, with a weighted average maturity of 3.8 years. Since the weighted average cost of these \$180.0 million of borrowings was 3.86% as of December 31, 2012 (significantly above current market rates), they are not anticipated to be called in the near term.

The Bank is also eligible to participate in the Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service, through which it can either purchase or sell CDs. Purchases of CDs through this program are limited to an aggregate of 10% of the Bank's average interest earning assets. As of December 31, 2012, deposits taken through this program totaled \$1.7 million.

The Bank is authorized to accept brokered deposits up to an aggregate limit of \$120.0 million. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, total brokered deposits remained significantly below this limit.

Interest Rate Risk Exposure Analysis

Economic Value of Equity ("EVE") Analysis. In accordance with agency regulatory guidelines, the Bank simulates the impact of interest rate volatility upon EVE, formerly referred to as the Net Portfolio Value, using several interest rate scenarios. EVE is the difference between the present value of the expected future cash flows of the Bank's assets and liabilities, plus the present value of net expected cash flows from either commitments to originate or sell loans or purchase securities.

Traditionally, the fair value of fixed-rate instruments fluctuates inversely with changes in interest rates. Increases in interest rates thus result in decreases in the fair value of interest-earning assets, which could adversely affect the Company's consolidated results of operations in the event they were to be sold, or, in the case of interest-earning assets classified as available-for-sale, reduce the Company's consolidated stockholders' equity, if retained. The changes in the value of assets and liabilities due to fluctuations in interest rates reflect the interest rate sensitivity of those assets and liabilities.

In order to measure the Bank's sensitivity to changes in interest rates, EVE is calculated under market interest rates prevailing at a given quarter-end ("Pre-Shock Scenario"), and under various other interest rate scenarios ("Rate Shock Scenarios") representing immediate, permanent, parallel shifts in the term structure of interest rates from the actual term structure observed in the Pre-Shock Scenario. The changes in EVE between the Pre-Shock Scenario and various Rate Shock Scenarios due to fluctuations in interest rates reflect the interest rate sensitivity of the Bank's assets, liabilities, and commitments to either originate or sell loans and/or purchase or sell securities that are included in the EVE. The EVE ratio under any interest rate scenario is defined as the EVE in that scenario divided by the present value of the assets in the same scenario (the "EVE Ratio"). An increase in the EVE Ratio is considered favorable, while a decline is considered unfavorable.

An interest rate risk compliance report is presented to the Bank's Board of Directors on a quarterly basis. The report compares the Bank's estimated Pre-Shock Scenario EVE to the estimated EVEs calculated under the various Rate Shock Scenarios. The calculated

estimates of the resulting EVE Ratios are compared to current limits established by management and approved by the Board of Directors

The EVEs presented below incorporate some asset and liability values derived from the Bank's valuation model, such as those for mortgage loans and time deposits, and some asset and liability values provided by reputable independent sources, such as values for the Bank's MBS and CMO portfolios, as well as its putable borrowings. The Bank's valuation model makes various estimates regarding cash flows from principal repayments on loans and deposit decay rates at each level of interest rate change. The Bank's estimates for loan repayment levels are influenced by the recent history of prepayment activity in its loan portfolio as well as the interest rate composition of the existing portfolio, especially vis-à-vis the existing interest rate environment. In addition, the Bank considers the amount of fee protection inherent in the loan portfolio when estimating future repayment cash flows. Regarding deposit decay rates, the Bank tracks and analyzes the decay rate of its deposits over time and over various interest rate scenarios and then makes estimates of its deposit decay rate for use in the valuation model. No matter the care and precision with which the estimates are derived, however, actual cash flows could differ significantly from the Bank's estimates, resulting in significantly different EVE calculations.

The Bank also generates a series of spot discount rates that are integral to the valuation of the projected monthly cash flows of its assets and liabilities. The Bank's valuation model employs discount rates that it considers representative of prevailing market rates of interest, with appropriate adjustments it believes are suited to the heterogeneous characteristics of the Bank's various asset and liability portfolios.

		nber 31, 2 c Value of					At Decer	nber 31,	2011	
					Basis Point	Board Approved	EVE		Basis Point	Board Approved
	Dollar Amount	Dollar Change	Percentage Change	EVE Ratio	Change in EVE Ratio	EVE Ratio Minimum Value	Dollar Amount	EVE Ratio	Change in EVE Ratio	EVE Ratio Minimum Value
	(Dollars i	in Thousa	nds)		Rutio	vulue			Tutto	v ulue
Rate Shock Scenario										
+ 200 Basis Points	\$431,123	\$(12,897))-2.90%	11.06%	(14)	6.0%	\$432,018	10.69%	29	6.0%
Pre-Shock Scenario	444,020		-	11.20		8.0	429,193	10.40		8.0
- 100 Basis Points	⁸ 438,099	(5,921)	-1.33	11.01	(19)	8.0	431,863	10.34	(6)	8.0

The Pre-Shock Scenario EVE increased from \$429.2 million at December 31, 2011 to \$444.0 million at December 31, 2012. The EVE Ratio at December 31, 2012 was 11.20% in the Pre-Shock Scenario, compared to 10.40% at December 31, 2011. The increase in the Pre-Shock Scenario EVE resulted primarily from both growth in the Bank's stockholders' equity and a more favorable valuation of borrowed funds that resulted from the prepayment of higher cost, fixed rate borrowings during 2012.

The Bank's +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario EVE as of December 31, 2012 remained relatively constant from its \$432.0 million balance at December 31, 2011.

The EVE Ratio was 11.06% in the +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario at December 31, 2012, an increase from the EVE Ratio of 10.69% in the +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario at December 31, 2011. The increase reflected both the higher Pre-Shock Scenario EVE balance, attributable primarily to the favorable valuation of borrowings, and a reduction in total assets (the denominator component of the EVE Ratio) from December 31, 2011 to December 31,

2012.

At December 31, 2012, the interest rate sensitivity in the +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario was negative 14 basis points, compared to interest rate sensitivity of positive 29 basis points in the +200 basis point Rate Shock Scenario at December 31, 2011. This change was due primarily to a less favorable sensitivity result for real estate loans at December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011, reflecting a change in their composition relationship to market interest rates during the comparative period.

Income Simulation Analysis. As of the end of each quarterly period, the Bank also monitors the impact of interest rate changes through a net interest income simulation model. This model monitors the impact of interest rate changes on the Bank's net interest income over forward-looking periods typically not exceeding 24 months (a considerably shorter period than measured through the EVE analysis). The following table reports the estimated changes to the Bank's net interest income over the 12-month period ending December 31, 2013 assuming instantaneous changes in interest rates for the given Rate Shock Scenarios:

	Percentag Change i Net	-
	Interest	
Instantaneous Change in Interest rate of:	Income	
+ 200 Basis Points	(7.0)%
+ 100 Basis Points	(3.3)
-100 Basis Points	2.2	

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

For the Company's consolidated financial statements, see index on page F-70.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management of the Company, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness as of December 31, 2012, of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012 in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management of the Company as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, such controls.

Management's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements.

Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. In addition, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may

become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, utilizing the criteria established by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in "Internal Controls – Integrated Framework." Based upon its assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2012, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Crowe Horwath LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements included in the Annual Report, has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, which is included on page F-71.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information regarding directors and executive officers of the Company is presented under the headings, "Proposal 1 - Election of Directors," "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and "Executive Officers" in the Holding Company's definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 23, 2013 (the "Proxy Statement") which will be filed with the SEC within 120 days of December 31, 2012, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information regarding the audit committee of the Holding Company's Board of Directors, including information regarding audit committee financial experts serving on the audit committee, is presented under the headings, "Meetings and Committees of the Company's Board of Directors," and "Report of the Audit Committee" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

The Holding Company has adopted a written Code of Business Ethics that applies to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. The Code of Business Ethics is published on the Company's website, <u>www.dime.com</u>. The Company will provide to any person, without charge, upon request, a copy of such Code of Business Ethics. Such request should be made in writing to: Dime Community Bancshares, Inc., 209 Havemeyer Street, Brooklyn, New York 11211, attention Investor Relations.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information regarding executive and director compensation and the Compensation Committee of the Holding Company's Board of Directors is presented under the headings, "Directors' Compensation," "Compensation -Executive Compensation," "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation," and "Compensation Committee Report" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is included under the heading "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information as of December 31, 2012 with respect to compensation plans under which equity securities of the Holding Company are authorized for issuance is included under the heading, "Proposal 2 – Approval of the 2013 Stock Incentive Plan," in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information regarding certain relationships and related transactions is included under the heading, "Transactions with Certain Related Persons" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference. Information regarding director independence is included under the heading, "Information as to Nominees and Continuing Directors" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information regarding principal accounting fees and services, as well as the Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures, is included under the heading, "Proposal 3 – Ratification of Appointment of Independent Auditors," in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) (1) Financial Statements

See index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page F-70.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable or not required or the required information is shown in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto under "Part II - Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data."

(3) Exhibits Required by Item 601 of SEC Regulation S-K

See Index of Exhibits on pages F-123 and F-123.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on March 15, 2013.

DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC.

By: <u>/s/ VINCENT F. PALAGIANO</u> Vincent F. Palagiano Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below on March 15, 2013 by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

Name	Title
/s/ VINCENT F. PALAGIANO Vincent F. Palagiano	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ MICHAEL P. DEVINE Michael P. Devine	President and Chief Operating Officer and Director
/s/ KENNETH J. MAHON Kenneth J. Mahon	Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and Director (Principal Financial Officer)
/s/ MICHAEL PUCELLA	Executive Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer
Michael Pucella /s/ ANTHONY BERGAMO	(Principal Accounting Officer)
Anthony Bergamo	Director
/s/ GEORGE L. CLARK, JR George L. Clark, Jr.	Director
/s/ STEVEN D. COHN Steven D. Cohn	Director
/s/ PATRICK E. CURTIN Patrick E. Curtin	Director
/s/ ROBERT C. GOLDEN Robert C. Golden	Director
/s/ KATHLEEN M.	
NELSON Kathleen M. Nelson	Director
/s/ JOSEPH J. PERRY Joseph J. Perry	Director
/s/ OMER S.J. WILLIAMS Omer S.J. Williams	Director
F-69	

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-71
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at December 31, 2012 and 2011	F-72
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31,	
2012, 2011 and 2010	F-73
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010	F-74
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010	F-75
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F76-F121

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Audit Committee, Board of Directors, and Stockholders Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries Brooklyn, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting located in Item 9A of Form 10-K. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements attements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent, or detect and correct misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting

principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ Crowe Horwath LLP

New York, New York March 15, 2013 F-71

DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

(Dollars in thousands except share amounts)

December December 31. 31, 2012 2011 ASSETS: \$79,076 Cash and due from banks \$43,309 Federal funds sold and other short-term investments 951 Total cash and cash equivalents 44,260 79,076 Investment securities held-to-maturity (estimated fair value of \$6,267 and \$4,924 at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively) (Fully unencumbered) 5,927 6,511 Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value Encumbered 124,282 Unencumbered 32,950 50,586 32,950 174,868 Mortgage-backed securities available-for-sale, at fair value Encumbered 90,164 Unencumbered 49,021 3,713 49,021 93,877 Trading securities 4,874 1,774 Loans: 3,458,416 Real estate, net 3,503,385 Consumer loans 2,423 2.449 Less allowance for loan losses (20,550) (20,254) Total loans, net 3,485,258 3,440,611 3.022 Loans held for sale 560 Premises and fixed assets, net 30,518 32,646 Federal Home Loan Bank of New York ("FHLBNY") capital stock 45,011 49,489 Goodwill 55,638 55.638 Other assets 116,566 118,484 \$3,905,399 \$4,021,180 **Total Assets** LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY Liabilities: Due to depositors: Interest bearing deposits \$2,320,285 \$2,202,622 Non-interest bearing deposits 159,144 141,079 Total deposits 2,479,429 2,343,701 Escrow and other deposits 82,753 71,812 Securities sold under agreements to repurchase 195,000 FHLBNY advances 842,500 939,775 Trust Preferred securities payable 70,680 70,680 Other liabilities 38,463 39.178 **Total Liabilities** \$3,513,825 \$3,660,146 **Commitments and Contingencies** Stockholders' Equity: Preferred stock (\$0.01 par, 9,000,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011) Common stock (\$0.01 par, 125,000,000 shares authorized, 52,021,149 shares and 520 516 51,566,098 shares issued at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively,

and 35,714,269 shares and 35,109,045 shares outstanding at December 31, 2012 and		
December 31, 2011, respectively)		
Additional paid-in capital	239,041	231,521
Retained earnings	379,166	358,079
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of deferred taxes	(9,640)	(9,709)
Unallocated common stock of Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP")	(3,007)	(3,239)
Unearned Restricted Stock Award common stock	(3,122)	(3,037)
Common stock held by Benefit Maintenance Plan ("BMP")	(8,800)	(8,655)
Treasury stock, at cost (16,306,880 shares and 16,457,053 shares at December 31, 2012		
and December 31, 2011, respectively)	(202,584)	(204,442)
Total Stockholders' Equity	\$391,574	\$361,034
Total Liabilities And Stockholders' Equity	\$3,905,399	\$4,021,180
See notes to consolidated financial statements.		

DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in thousands except per share amounts)

(Donars in mousands except per snare amounts)	Year End 2012	led Decem 2011	ber 31, 2010
Interest income:			
Loans secured by real estate			\$202,591
Other loans	104	97	123
Mortgage-backed securities	3,025	5,043	7,820
Investment securities	1,263	1,401	1,277
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments	2,413	2,641	2,983
Total interest income	195,954	209,216	214,794
Interest expense:			
Deposits and escrow	21,779	26,131	29,991
Borrowed funds	64,333	43,583	49,422
Total interest expense	86,112	69,714	79,413
Net interest income	109,842	139,502	135,381
Provision for loan losses	3,921	6,846	11,209
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	105,921	132,656	124,172
Non-interest income:)-	- ,	, -
Total other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses	(187)	(752)	(2,757)
Less: Non-credit portion of OTTI recorded in other comprehensive income (before			
taxes)	6	25	282
Net OTTI recognized in earnings	(181)	(727)	(2,475)
Service charges and other fees	3,445	3,662	3,913
Mortgage banking income	1,768	569	1,069
Net gain on securities (1)	1,135	2	926
Net gain (loss) on the disposal of other assets	13,726	-	(10)
Income from Bank Owned Life Insurance ("BOLI")	1,689	- 1,758	1,941
Other			
	2,267	2,665	2,691
Total non-interest income	23,849	7,929	8,055
Non-interest expense:	22 005	22.054	21 220
Salaries and employee benefits	33,805	32,854	31,329
Stock benefit plan compensation expense	3,842	3,746	3,895
Occupancy and equipment	10,052	10,129	9,372
Data processing costs	3,026	2,982	3,048
Advertising and marketing	1,554	1,710	2,271
Federal deposit insurance premiums	2,057	2,618	4,096
Provision for losses on Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO")	-	-	422
Other	8,236	7,649	7,544
Total non-interest expense	62,572	61,688	61,977
Income before income taxes	67,198	78,897	70,250
Income tax expense	26,890	31,588	28,861
Net income	\$40,308	\$47,309	\$41,389
	ψτ0,500	ψτ1,309	ψτ1,302
Earnings per Share:			
Basic	\$1.18	\$1.40	\$1.24
Diluted	\$1.17	\$1.40	\$1.24
(1) Amount includes periodic valuation gains or losses on trading securities.	- ,		•

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME			
Net Income	\$40,308	\$47,309	\$41,389
Change in pension and other postretirement obligations, net of deferred (taxes) benefit of			
\$(1,395), \$2,933 and \$1,273 during the	1,696	(3,564)	(1,547)
years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively			
Amortization and reversal of net unrealized loss on securities transferred from			
available-for-sale to held-to-maturity,	111	245	101
net of tax of \$(91), \$(201) and \$(83) during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011	111	273	101
and 2010, respectively			
Non-credit component of OTTI charge recognized during the period, net of tax benefits of			
\$3, \$11 and \$127 during the years ended	(3)	(14)	(156)
December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively			
Reduction in non-credit component of OTTI, net of taxes of (137) , (585) and $(1,130)$			
during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and	165	714	1,374
2010, respectively			
Reclassification adjustment for securities sold during the period, net of benefit (taxes) of			
\$461, \$14 and \$384 during the years ended	(561)	(18)	(467)
December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively			
Net unrealized securities loss arising during the period, net of tax benefit of \$1,983, \$615			
and \$474 during the	(1,339)	(720)	(575)
years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively	,	. ,	. ,
Comprehensive Income	\$40,377	\$43,952	\$40,119
See notes to consolidated financial statements.			
F-73			

DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Dollars in thousands)

	Year End					
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS'	2012		2011		2010	
EQUITY						
Common Stock:						
Balance at beginning of period	\$516		\$512		\$511	
Shares issued in exercise of options (455,051 shares, 346,489 shares and 87,825						
shares during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively)	4		4		1	
Balance at end of period	520		516		512	
Additional Paid-in Capital:						
Balance at beginning of period	231,521		225,585		214,654	ŀ
Stock options exercised	5,604		3,665		1,011	
Excess tax benefit of stock benefit plans	389		431		112	
Amortization of excess fair value over cost – ESOP stock and stock option						
expense	1,168		1,337		1,688	
BMP award distribution	-		-		(28)
BMP reclassification	-		-		8,007	
Release from treasury stock for restricted stock award and BMP award shares,						
net of return of shares to treasury for forfeited shares (150,173 shares, 169,376						
shares and 109,824 shares during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and						
2010, respectively)	359		503		141	
Balance at end of period	239,041		231,521		225,585	5
Retained Earnings:						_
Balance at beginning of period	358,079		329,668		306,787	7
Net income for the period	40,308		47,309		41,389	
BMP reclassification	-	,	-		132	
Cash dividends declared and paid	(19,221		(18,898		(18,640	
Balance at end of period	379,166		358,079)	329,668	5
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Deferred Taxes:	(0.700	`	(6.252	``	(5.000	
Balance at beginning of period	(9,709)	(6,352)	(5,082)
Amortization and reversal of net unrealized loss on securities transferred from	111		245		101	
available-for-sale to held-to-maturity, net of tax	111	`	245	``	101	`
Non-credit component of OTTI charge recognized during the period, net of tax	(3)	(14)	(156)
Reduction in non-credit component of OTTI during the period, net of tax	165		714		1,374	
Decrease in unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities during the period, not of deformed top henefit of $\$1562$, $\$605$ and $\$859$.						
net of deferred tax benefit of \$1,563, \$605 and \$858	(1.000	`	(720	`	(1.042))
during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively Unrecognized (loss) gain of pension and other postretirement obligations, net of	(1,900)	(738)	(1,042)
	1,696		(2 561	`	(1.547))
tax Balance at and of period	(9,640)	(3,564 (9,709	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1,547 (6,352	
Balance at end of period Unallocated Common Stock of ESOP:	(9,040)	(9,709)	(0,552)
Balance at beginning of period	(3,239)	(3,470)	(3,701)
Amortization of earned portion of ESOP stock	232)	231)	231)
Balance at end of period	(3,007)	(3,239)	(3,470)
Unearned Restricted Stock Award Common Stock:	(3,007)	(3,239)	(3,+70)
Balance at beginning of period	(3,037)	(2,684)	(2,505)
Durance at cognining of period	(5,057	,	(2,007	,	(2,505	,

Release from treasury stock for restricted stock award shares, net of return of					
shares to treasury for forfeited shares	(1,927) (1,931)	(1,479)
Amortization of earned portion of restricted stock awards	1,842	1,578		1,300	
Balance at end of period	(3,122	(3,037)	(2,684)
Common Stock Held by BMP:					
Balance at beginning of period	(8,655) (7,979)	(8,007)
Release from treasury stock for BMP award shares	(145) (676)	-	
Award distribution	-	-		28	
Balance at end of period	(8,800) (8,655)	(7,979)
Treasury Stock, at cost:					
Balance at beginning of period	(204,442)) (206,54	6)	(207,88	(4)
Release from treasury stock for restricted stock award and BMP award shares,					
net of return of shares to treasury for forfeited shares	1,858	2,104		1,338	
Balance at end of period	(202,584)) (204,44	2)	(206,54	6)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AT THE END OF PERIOD	\$391,574	\$361,034	4	\$328,734	1
See notes to consolidated financial statements.					

DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in thousands)

(Dollars in thousands)						
	Year Ended December 31,		1,			
	2012		2011		2010	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:						
Net Income	\$40,308		\$47,309		\$41,389	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities						
Net gain on the sales of investment securities and mortgage backed securities						
available-for-sale	(1,022)	(32)	(609)
Net gain recognized on the transfer of securities from available-for-sale into						
trading	-		-		(242)
Net (gain) loss recognized on trading securities	(113)	34		(75)
Net (gain) loss on sale of loans held for sale	(68)	9		(448)
Net (gain) loss on sales of OREO and other assets	(13,726)	-		10	
Loss on debt extinguishment	28,772	,	-		-	
Net depreciation, amortization and accretion	2,880		2,935		2,444	
Stock plan compensation expense (excluding ESOP)	2,164		2,105		2,194	
ESOP compensation expense	1,078		1,041		1,025	
Provision for loan losses	3,921		6,846		11,209	
Provision for losses on OREO	-		-		422	
Credit to reduce the liability for loans sold with recourse	(1,286)	-		-	
Net OTTI recognized in earnings	181	/	727		2,475	
Increase in cash surrender value of BOLI))	(1,941)
Deferred income tax provision (credit)	(2,068)	(1,223)	(737	
Excess tax benefit of stock plans	(389)	(431	Ś	(112	
Changes in assets and liabilities:	(50))	(101)	(112)
Originations of loans held for sale during the period	(32,665)	(5,650)	(24,560))
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	36,755)	8,556)	28,246	
Decrease in other assets	6,009		5,671		5,246	
Increase (Decrease) in other liabilities	3,663)	2,245	
Net cash provided by Operating Activities	72,705		62,587)	68,181	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:	12,105		02,507		00,101	
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held-to-maturity	983		1,152		556	
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities neutro-maturity Proceeds from maturities and calls of investment securities available-for-sale	200,320		204,000		101,51	0
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available-for-sale	22,415		204,000 236		2,519	0
Proceeds from sales of mortgage backed securities available-for-sale	21,949		230		2,317	
Proceeds from sales of trading securities	171		- 136		-	
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(80,153	`	(293,15)	7)	- (147,42	26)
Purchases of mortgage backed securities available-for-sale	(23,186		(295,15	,)	(147,42	20)
Acquisition of trading securities	-)	- (454)	- (24)
Principal collected on mortgage backed securities available-for-sale	42,822)	(434 48,911)	(24 78,389)
Proceeds from the sale of portfolio loans	42,822 30,906		29,772		46,975	
Purchases of loans		`	29,112		40,975	
	(30,425		-	`	-	וסר
Net increase in loans	(50,609)	(28,839)	(141,89	10)
Proceeds from the sale of OREO and real estate investment property owned	17,477	`	-	`	643	``
Purchases of fixed assets Redemption of FHI RNY conital stock	())	())	(3,910)
Redemption of FHLBNY capital stock	4,478		2,229	`	2,365	1 \
Net cash provided by (used in) Investing Activities	149,568		(39,898)	(60,301	L)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	125 700		(6 000	`	122 74	5
Increase (Decrease) in due to depositors	135,728		(6,880)	133,74	5

	10.011		
Increase in escrow and other deposits	10,941	3,270	2,647
Repayments of FHLBNY advances	(172,275)		
Proceeds from FHLBNY advances	75,000	55,000	130,000
Repayments of securities sold under agreements to repurchase ("REPOS")	(195,000)	-	-
Prepayment penalty on debt	(28,772)	-	-
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	5,608	3,669	1,012
Excess tax benefit of stock plans	389	431	112
BMP award distribution	145	-	-
Proceeds disbursed for the repayment of subordinated note	-	-	(25,000)
Cash dividends paid to stockholders and cash disbursed in payment of stock			
dividends	(19,221)	(18,898)	(18,640)
Net cash (used in) provided by Financing Activities	(187,457)		
INCREASE(DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	34,816	(46,469)	
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	44,260	90,729	43,123
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$79,076	\$44,260	\$90,729
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:			
Cash paid for income taxes	\$26,913	\$36,618	\$26,955
Cash paid for interest	87,281	70,041	80,454
Loans transferred to OREO	_	-	320
Loans transferred to held for sale	1,560	2,628	6,130
Amortization of unrealized loss on securities transferred from available-for-sale	,		
to held-to-maturity	202	446	184
Net increase in non-credit component of OTTI	296	25	282
Transfer of REPOS to FHLBNY Advances	-	_	35,000
See notes to consolidated financial statements.			
F-75			

DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Dollars In Thousands except for share amounts)

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations - Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. (the "Holding Company" and together with its direct and indirect subsidiaries, the "Company") is a Delaware corporation organized by The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh (the "Bank") for the purpose of acquiring all of the capital stock of the Bank issued in the Bank's conversion to stock ownership on June 26, 1996. At December 31, 2012, the significant assets of the Holding Company were the capital stock of the Bank, the Holding Company's loan to the ESOP and investments retained by the Holding Company. The liabilities of the Holding Company were comprised primarily of a \$70,680 trust preferred securities payable maturing in 2034, and currently callable. The Company is subject to the financial reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

The Bank was originally founded in 1864 as a New York State-chartered mutual savings bank, and currently operates as a New York State-chartered stock savings bank. The Bank has been a community-oriented financial institution providing financial services and loans for housing within its market areas. The Bank maintains its headquarters in the Williamsburg section of the borough of Brooklyn, New York. The Bank has twenty-six retail banking offices located throughout the boroughs of Brooklyn, Queens, and the Bronx, and in Nassau County, New York.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies – Management believes that the accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The following is a description of the significant policies.

Principles of Consolidation - The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Holding Company, and its subsidiaries (with the exception of its special purpose entity, Dime Community Capital Trust I), and the Bank and its subsidiaries. All inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash and cash equivalents include cash, deposits with other financial institutions with maturities fewer than 90 days, and federal funds sold. Net cash flows are reported for customer loan and deposit transactions, interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions, and repurchase agreements.

Investment Securities and Mortgage-Backed Securities ("MBS") - Debt securities that have readily determinable fair values are carried at fair value unless they are held-to-maturity. Debt securities are classified as held-to-maturity and carried at amortized cost only if the Company has a positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. If not classified as held-to-maturity, such securities are classified as securities available-for-sale or trading. Equity securities and mutual fund investments (fixed income or equity in nature) are classified as either available-for-sale or trading securities and carried at fair value. Unrealized holding gains or losses on securities available-for-sale that are deemed temporary are excluded from net income and reported net of income taxes as other comprehensive income or loss. While the Holding Company had a small portfolio of mutual fund investments designated as trading at both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, neither the Holding Company nor the Bank actively acquires securities for the purpose of engaging in trading activities.

Interest income includes amortization of purchase premium or discount. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized on the level-yield method without anticipating prepayments, except for MBS where prepayments are anticipated. Gains and losses on sales are recorded on the trade date and determined using the specific identification method.

The Company evaluates securities for OTTI at least quarterly, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. In making its evaluation of OTTI for debt securities, the Company initially considers whether: (1) it intends to sell the security, or (2) it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security prior to recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either of these criteria is satisfied, an OTTI charge is recognized in the statement of income equal to the full amount of the decline in fair value below amortized cost. For debt securities, If neither of these criteria are satisfied, however, the Company does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis, an OTTI loss has occurred that must be separated into two categories: (a) the amount related to credit loss, and (b) the amount related to other factors. In assessing the level of OTTI attributable to credit loss, the Company compares the present value of expected cash flows to the amortized cost basis of the security. The portion of OTTI determined to result from credit-related factors is recognized through earnings, while the portion of the OTTI related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. When OTTI is recognized on a debt security, its amortized cost basis is reduced to reflect the credit-related component.

In determining whether OTTI exists on an equity security, the Company considers the following: 1) the duration and severity of the impairment; 2) the Company's ability and intent to hold the security until it recovers in value (as well as the likelihood of such a recovery in the near term); and 3) whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell such security before recovery of its individual amortized cost basis less any unrecognized loss. Should OTTI be determined to have occurred based upon this analysis, it is fully recognized through earnings.

Loans - Loans that the Bank has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff are reported at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned fees or costs and the allowance for loan losses. Interest income on loans is recorded using the level yield method. Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and amortized as yield adjustments over the contractual loan terms. Past due status is based upon the contractual terms of the loan.

Accrual of interest is generally discontinued on a loan that meets any of the following three criteria: (i) full payment of principal or interest is not expected; (ii) principal or interest has been in default for a period of 90 days or more and the loan is not both deemed to be well secured and in the process of collection; or (iii) an election has otherwise been made to maintain the loan on a cash basis due to deterioration in the financial condition of the borrower. Such non-accrual determination practices are applied consistently to all loans regardless of their internal classification or designation. Upon entering non-accrual status, the Bank reverses all outstanding accrued interest receivable.

Management may elect to continue the accrual of interest when a loan that otherwise meets the criteria for non-accrual status is in the process of collection and the estimated fair value and cash flows of the underlying collateral property are sufficient to satisfy the outstanding principal balance (including any outstanding advances related to the loan) and accrued interest. Management may also elect to continue the accrual of interest on a loan that would otherwise meet the criteria for non-accrual status when its delinquency relates solely to principal amounts due, it is well secured and refinancing activities have commenced on the loan. Such elections have not been commonplace.

The Bank generally initiates foreclosure proceedings when a delinquent loan enters non-accrual status, and typically does not accept partial payments once foreclosure proceedings have commenced. At some point during foreclosure proceedings, the Bank procures current appraisal information in order to prepare an estimate of the fair value of the underlying collateral. If a foreclosure action is instituted and the loan is not brought current, paid in full, or refinanced before the foreclosure action is completed, the property securing the loan is transferred to OREO status. The Bank generally utilizes all available remedies, such as note sales in lieu of foreclosure, in an effort to resolve non-accrual loans as quickly and prudently as possible in consideration of market conditions, the physical condition of the property and any other mitigating circumstances. In the event that a non-accrual loan is subsequently brought current, it is returned to accrual status once the doubt concerning collectability has been removed and the borrower has demonstrated performance in accordance with the loan terms and conditions for a period of at least six months.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement.

Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays or shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is typically measured using the difference between the outstanding loan principal balance and either: 1) the likely realizable value of a note sale; 2) the fair value of the underlying collateral, net of likely disposal costs, if repayment is expected solely from the liquidation of the collateral; or 3) the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate.

Allowance for Loan Losses and Reserve for Loan Commitments - The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan loss experience, the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions, and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management's judgment, should be charged off.

For the specific component of the allowance for loan losses, all multifamily residential, mixed use, commercial real estate and construction loans that are deemed to meet the definition of impaired are individually evaluated for impairment. In addition, all cooperative unit and one- to four-family residential loans with balances greater than the Fannie Mae ("FNMA") conforming loan limits for high-cost areas such as the Bank's primary lending area ("FNMA Limits") are individually evaluated for impairment.

Loans for which the terms have been modified in a manner that meets the criteria of a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR") are deemed to be impaired and individually evaluated for impairment. If a TDR is substantially performing in accordance with its restructured terms, management will look to either the present value of the expected cash flows from the debt service or the potential net liquidation proceeds of the underlying collateral property in measuring impairment (whichever is deemed most appropriate under the circumstances). If a TDR has re-defaulted, the likely realizable net proceeds from either a note sale or the liquidation of collateral is generally considered when measuring impairment.

Measured impairment is either charged off immediately or, in limited instances, recognized as an allocated reserve within the allowance for loan losses.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer, cooperative unit and one-to four-family residential real estate loans with balances less than or equal to the FNMA Limits, are collectively evaluated for impairment, and accordingly, not separately identified for impairment disclosures.

In determining both the specific and the general components of the allowance for loan losses, the Company has identified the following portfolio segments: 1) real estate loans; and 2) consumer loans. Consumer loans represent a nominal portion of the Company's loan portfolio. Within these segments, the Bank analyzes the allowance based upon the underlying collateral type.

The underlying methodology utilized to assess the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is summarized in Note 6.

The Bank maintains a separate reserve within other liabilities associated with commitments to fund future loans that have been accepted by the borrower. This reserve is determined based upon the historical loss experience of similar loans owned by the Bank at each period end. Any changes in this reserve amount are recognized through earnings as a component of non-interest expense.

Reserve Liability For the First Loss Position on Multifamily Loans Sold to FNMA. The Bank services a pool of multifamily loans sold to FNMA. Pursuant to the sale agreement with FNMA, the Bank retained an obligation (off-balance sheet contingent liability) to absorb a portion of any losses (as defined in the agreement) incurred by FNMA in connection with the loans sold (the "First Loss Position"). A reserve liability is recorded in other liabilities related to the First Loss Position. For all performing loans within the FNMA serviced pool, the reserve recognized is the present value of the estimated losses calculated based upon the historical loss experience for comparable multifamily loans owned by the Bank. For problem loans within the pool, the estimated losses are determined in a manner consistent with impaired loans within the Bank's loan portfolio.

Loans Held for Sale - Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or estimated fair value. Multifamily residential and mixed-use loans sold are generally sold with servicing rights retained. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank re-classified certain problematic loans for which it had an executed pending note sale agreement as held for sale. Such loans are carried at their expected net realizable proceeds.

OREO - Properties acquired as a result of foreclosure on a mortgage loan or a deed in lieu of foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less costs to sell when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. These assets are subsequently accounted for at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated costs to sell. Declines in the recorded balance subsequent to acquisition by the Company are recorded through expense. Operating costs after acquisition are expensed.

Premises and Fixed Assets, Net - Land is stated at original cost. Buildings and furniture, fixtures and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the properties as follows:

Buildings	2.22% to 2.50% per year
Leasehold improvements	lesser of the useful life of the asset or the remaining non-cancelable terms of the related leases
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	10% per year

Accounting for Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets – An impairment test is required to be performed at least annually for goodwill acquired in a business combination. The Company performs impairment tests of goodwill as of December 31st of each year. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company concluded that no impairment of goodwill existed. As of both December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had goodwill totaling \$55,638.

Mortgage Servicing Rights ("MSR") - The cost of mortgage loans sold with servicing rights retained by the Bank is allocated between the loans and the servicing rights based on their estimated fair values at the time of the loan sale. Servicing assets are carried at the lower of cost or fair value and are amortized in proportion to, and over the period of, anticipated net servicing

income. All separately recognized MSR are required to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. The estimated fair value of loan servicing assets is determined by calculating the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, using assumptions of prepayments, defaults, servicing costs and discount rates derived based upon actual historical results for the Bank, or, in the absence of such data, from historical results for the Bank's peers. Capitalized loan servicing assets are stratified based on predominant risk characteristics of the underlying loans (i.e., collateral, interest rate, servicing spread and maturity) for the purpose of evaluating impairment. A valuation allowance is then established in the event the recorded value of an individual stratum exceeds its fair value. Third party valuations of the loan servicing asset are performed on a quarterly basis, and were performed as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

BOLI – BOLI is carried at the amount that can be realized under the insurance contract at the balance sheet date, which is the cash surrender value adjusted for other charges or amounts due that are probable at settlement. Increases in the contract value are recorded as non-interest income in the consolidated statements of operations and insurance proceeds received are recorded as a reduction of the contract value.

Income Taxes – Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance, if needed, reduces deferred tax assets to the amount deemed more likely than not to be realized.

A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not satisfying the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. The Company recognizes interest and/or penalties related to tax matters in income tax expense.

Employee Benefits – The Bank maintains The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh 401(k) Plan [the "401(k) Plan"] for substantially all of its employees, and the Retirement Plan of The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh (the "Employee Retirement Plan"), both of which are tax qualified under the Internal Revenue Code.

The Bank also maintains the Postretirement Welfare Plan of The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh (the "Postretirement Benefit Plan."), providing additional postretirement benefits to certain employees, which requires accrual of postretirement benefits (such as health care benefits) during the years an employee provides services, a Retirement Plan for its outside Directors, (the "Director Retirement Plan), and the BMP that provides additional benefits to certain of its officers.

As the sponsor of a single employer defined benefit plan, the Company must do the following for the Employee Retirement Plan, a portion of the BMP, the Director Retirement Plan and the Postretirement Benefit Plan: (1) recognize the funded status of the benefit plans in its statements of financial condition, measured as the difference between plan assets at fair value (with limited exceptions) and the benefit obligation. For a pension plan, the benefit obligation is the projected benefit obligation; for any other postretirement benefit plan, such as a retiree health care plan, the benefit obligation is the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation; (2) recognize as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax, the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not recognized as components of net periodic benefit or cost. Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, including the gains or losses, prior service costs or credits, and the transition asset or obligation are adjusted as they are subsequently recognized as components of net periodic benefit of the date of the employer's fiscal year-end statements of financial condition (with limited exceptions); and (4) disclose in the notes to financial statements additional information about certain effects on net periodic benefit cost for the next fiscal year that arise from delayed recognition of the gains or losses, prior service costs or credits.

The Holding Company and Bank maintain the ESOP. Compensation expense related to the ESOP is recorded during the period in which the shares become committed to be released to participants. The compensation expense is measured based upon the average fair market value of the stock during the period, and, to the extent that the fair value of the shares committed to be released differs from the original cost of such shares, the difference is recorded as an adjustment to additional paid-in capital. Cash dividends are paid on all ESOP shares, and reduce retained earnings accordingly.

The Holding Company and Bank maintain the Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. 2001 Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees (the "2001 Stock Option Plan") and the Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees (the "2004 Stock Incentive Plan," and collectively the "Stock Plans"); which are discussed more fully in Note 15. Under the Stock Plans, compensation cost is recognized for stock options and restricted stock awards issued to employees based on the fair value of the awards at the date of grant. A Black-Scholes model is utilized to estimate the fair value of stock options, while the market price of the Holding Company's common stock at the date of grant is used for restricted stock awards.

Compensation cost is recognized over the required service period, generally defined as the vesting period. For awards with graded vesting, compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

Earnings Per Share ("EPS") - Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted EPS is computed using the same method as basic EPS, but reflects the potential dilution that would occur if "in the money" stock options were exercised and converted into common stock. In determining the weighted average shares outstanding for basic and diluted EPS, treasury stock and unallocated ESOP shares are excluded. Vested restricted stock award shares are included in the calculation of the weighted average shares outstanding for basic and diluted EPS. Unvested restricted stock award shares are recognized as a special class of securities under ASC 260.

The following is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of basic EPS and diluted EPS for the periods indicated:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010		
Numerator:					
Net Income per the Consolidated Statements of Operations	\$40,308	\$47,309	\$41,389		
Less: Dividends paid on earnings allocated to participating securities	(184)	(180)	(176)		
Income attributable to common stock	\$40,124	\$47,129	\$41,213		
Weighted average common shares outstanding, including participating					
securities	34,296,288	33,723,102	33,260,652		
Less: weighted average participating securities	(327)	(318)	(304)		
Weighted average common shares outstanding	34,295,961	33,722,784	33,260,348		
Basic earnings per common share	\$1.18	\$1.40	\$1.24		
Income attributable to common stock	\$40,124	\$47,129	\$41,213		
Weighted average common shares outstanding	34,295,961	33,722,784	33,260,348		
Weighted average common equivalent shares outstanding	68,492	78,643	106,214		
Weighted average common and equivalent shares outstanding	34,364,453	33,801,427	33,366,562		
Diluted earnings per common share	\$1.17	\$1.40	\$1.24		

Common stock equivalents resulting from the dilutive effect of "in-the-money" stock options are calculated based upon the excess of the average market value of the Holding Company's common stock over the exercise price of outstanding options.

There were approximately 1,279,708, 2,116,374, and 2,600,100 weighted average options for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively, that were not considered in the calculation of diluted EPS since their exercise prices exceeded the average market price during the relevant period.

Comprehensive Income - Comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 included changes in the unrealized gain or loss on available-for-sale securities, changes in the unfunded status of defined benefit plans, the non-credit component of OTTI, and a transfer loss related to securities transferred from available-for-sale to held-to-maturity. Under GAAP, all of these items bypass net income and are typically reported as components of stockholders' equity. All comprehensive income adjustment items are presented net of applicable tax effect.

The following is a summary of the accumulated other comprehensive loss balance, net of tax:

Balance	Current	Balance
at	Period	at

	December	Change	December
	31, 2011		31, 2012
Non-credit component of OTTI	\$ 510	\$(162) \$348
Unamortized loss on investment securities transferred from available for sale to			
held-to-maturity	806	(111) 695
Unrealized gains on securities available for sale	(3,078)	1,900	(1,178)
Unrealized loss on defined benefit plans	11,471	(1,696) 9,775
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	\$ 9,709	\$(69) \$9,640

Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information - The Company has one reportable segment, "Community Banking." All of the Company's activities are interrelated, and each activity is dependent and assessed based on the manner in which it supports the other activities of the Company. For example, lending is dependent upon the ability of the Bank to fund itself with retail deposits and other borrowings and to manage interest rate and credit risk. Accordingly, all significant operating decisions are based upon analysis of the Company as one operating segment or unit.

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, there was no customer that accounted for more than 10% of the Company's consolidated revenue.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards - In February 2013, The Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-02, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income" ("ASU 2013-02"), which seeks to improve the transparency of reporting reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The provisions of ASU 2013-02 are applicable to all companies that report items of other comprehensive income, such as the Company. ASU 2013-02 requires a presentation (either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes to the financial statements) of the effects on the line items of net income of significant amounts that have been reclassified in their entirety and in accordance with GAAP from accumulated other comprehensive income to net income in the same reporting period. ASU 2013-02 additionally requires a cross-reference to any other disclosures currently required under GAAP related to other reclassification items that, under GAAP, are not required to be reclassified in their entirety from accumulated other comprehensive income to net income in the same reporting period. ASU 2013-02 does not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income in the Company's financial statements. All information required to be presented or cross-referenced under ASU 2013-02 is currently required to be disclosed, in some capacity, in the financial statements under GAAP. The Company adopted ASU 2013-02 effective January 1, 2013. Adoption of ASU 2013-02 had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

In June 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income" ("ASU 2011-05"). ASU 2011-05 permits an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In either option, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. ASU 2011-05 does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or the timing in which an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. ASU 2011-05 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Since the Company's presentation of periodic comprehensive income already complied with the provisions of ASU 2011-05, adoption of ASU 2011-05 did not materially impact the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations or related disclosures.

In May 2011, FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs" ("ASU 2011-04"). ASU 2011-04 was issued concurrently with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") No. 13, "Fair Value Measurements," and these respective standards substantially converge the guidance in GAAP and IFRS on fair value measurements and disclosures. ASU 2011-04 amended several aspects of the fair value measurement guidance in FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 820, "Fair Value Measurement," as follows: 1) application of the concepts of highest and best use and valuation premise; 2) introduction of an option to measure groups of offsetting assets and liabilities on a net basis; 3) incorporation of certain premiums and discounts in fair value measurements; and 4) initiating a requirement to disclose the measurement of the fair value of certain instruments classified in stockholders' equity. ASU 2011-04 additionally included several new fair value disclosure requirements, including, among others, information about valuation techniques and unobservable inputs used in Level 3 fair value measurements and a narrative description of the sensitivity of Level 3 measurements to changes in unobservable inputs. The Company adopted ASU 2011-04 effective January 1, 2012. Adoption of ASU 2011-04 did not have a material impact upon the Company's consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Reclassification – There have been no significant reclassifications to prior year amounts to conform to their current presentation.

2. CONVERSION TO STOCK FORM OF OWNERSHIP

On November 2, 1995, the Board of Directors of the Bank adopted a Plan of Conversion to convert from mutual to stock form of ownership. At the time of conversion, the Bank established a liquidation account in an amount equal to the retained earnings of the Bank as of the date of the most recent financial statements contained in the final conversion prospectus. The liquidation account is reduced annually to the extent that eligible account holders have reduced their qualifying deposits as of each anniversary date. Subsequent increases in deposits do not restore an eligible account holder's interest in the liquidation account. In the event of a complete liquidation, each eligible account holder will be entitled to receive a distribution from the liquidation account in an amount proportionate to the adjusted qualifying balances on the date of liquidation for accounts held at conversion.

The Holding Company acquired Conestoga Bancorp, Inc. on June 26, 1996. The liquidation account previously established by Conestoga's subsidiary, Pioneer Savings Bank, F.S.B., during its initial public offering in March 1993, was assumed by the Company in the acquisition.

The Holding Company acquired Financial Bancorp, Inc. on January 21, 1999. The liquidation account previously established by Financial Bancorp, Inc.'s subsidiary, Financial Federal Savings Bank, during its initial public offering, was assumed by the Company in the acquisition.

The aggregate balance of these liquidation accounts was \$16,179 and \$18,860 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Holding Company may not declare or pay cash dividends on, or repurchase any of, its shares of common stock if the effect thereof would cause stockholders' equity to be reduced below either applicable regulatory capital maintenance requirements, or the amount of the liquidation account, or if such declaration, payment or repurchase would otherwise violate regulatory requirements.

3. INVESTMENT SECURITIES HELD-TO-MATURITY AND AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, there were no holdings of investment securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of stockholders' equity.

The amortized/historical cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair value of investment securities held-to-maturity at December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	Unrealized Gains or Losses Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss								
	Purchase Amortized / Historical Cost	Recorded Amortized/ Historical Cost ⁽¹⁾	Non-Cred	itUnrealized Gains	dUnrealized Losses	Book Value	Other Unrecognized Gain	Fair Value	
December 31, 2012	:								
Pooled bank trust preferred securities	\$16,773	\$7,828	\$(633)		\$(1,268) ⁽²⁾	\$5,927	\$340	\$6,267	
("TRUPS")									
December 31, 2011	:								
TRUPS	\$17,884	\$8,910	\$(929)		$(1,470)^{(2)}$	\$6,511	\$(1,587)	\$4,924	
(1) Amount represents the purchase amortized / historical cost less any credit-related OTTI charges recognized									

through earnings.

(2) Amount represents the remaining unamortized portion of the unrealized loss that was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss on September 1, 2008 (the day on which these securities were transferred from

available-for-sale to held-to-maturity).

There were no sales of investment securities held-to-maturity during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 or 2010.

On September 1, 2008, the Bank transferred eight TRUPS (i.e., investment securities primarily secured by the preferred debt obligations of a pool of U.S. banks with a small portion secured by debt obligations of insurance companies) with an amortized cost of \$19,922 from its available-for-sale portfolio to its held-to-maturity portfolio. Based upon the lack of an orderly market for these securities, management determined that a formal election to hold them to maturity was consistent with its initial investment decision. On the date of transfer, the unrealized loss of

\$8,420 on these securities continued to be recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss within the Company's consolidated stockholders' equity (net of income tax benefit), and was expected to be amortized over the remaining average life of the securities, which approximated 25.7 years on a weighted average basis. Activity related to this transfer loss was as follows: F-82

	For the Year
	Ended
	December 31,
	2012 2011
Cumulative balance at the beginning of the period	\$1,470 \$1,916
Loss upon transfer	
Amortization	(202) (446)
Transfer to credit or non-credit related OTTI	
Cumulative balance at end of the period	\$1,268 \$1,470

At December 31, 2012, the seven remaining TRUPS had an aggregate remaining amortized cost of \$16,773 (based upon acquisition cost). As of December 31, 2012, two of the seven TRUPS continued to make their contractual payments. The remaining five securities are not making their full contractual payments.

At December 31, 2012, impairment of two of the TRUPS, with an amortized cost of \$5,437, was deemed temporary. These securities remained in an unrealized loss for 12 or more consecutive months, and their cumulative unrealized loss was \$1,814 at December 31, 2012, reflecting both illiquidity in the marketplace and concerns over future bank failures. At December 31, 2012, both of these securities had ratings ranging from "CC" to "Ba1." Despite both the significant decline in market value and the duration of their impairment, management believed that the unrealized losses on these securities at December 31, 2012 were temporary, and that the full value of the investments would be realized once the market dislocations have been removed, or as the securities continued to make their contractual payments of principal and interest. In making this determination, management considered the following:

Based upon an internal review of the collateral backing the TRUPS portfolio, which accounted for current and prospective deferrals, the securities could reasonably be expected to continue making all contractual payments. The Company had the intent and ability to hold these securities until they fully recover their impairment, evidenced by the election to reclassify them as held-to-maturity in 2008

There were no cash or working capital requirements nor contractual or regulatory obligations that would compel the Company to sell these securities prior to their forecasted recovery or maturity

·Each security has a pool of underlying issuers comprised primarily of banks

 \cdot Neither of the securities have exposure to real estate investment trust issued debt (which has experienced high default rates)

Each security featured either a mandatory auction or a de-leveraging mechanism that could result in principal repayments to the Bank prior to the stated maturity of the security

·Each security is characterized by some level of over-collateralization

At December 31, 2012, in management's judgment, the credit quality of the collateral pool underlying five of the Company's seven TRUPS had deteriorated to the point that full recovery of the Company's initial investment was considered uncertain, thus resulting in recognition of OTTI charges. At December 31, 2012, these five securities had credit ratings ranging from "D" to "Caa3." The Company applied ASC 320-10-65 to determine the credit related component of OTTI for the five TRUPS by discounting the expected future cash flows applicable to the securities at the effective interest rate implicit in the security at the date of acquisition by the Company.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the pre-tax OTTI charges recognized on the Company's investment securities held-to-maturity:

At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 Credit Non-Credit Total Related OTTI OTTI

	OTTI	Recognized in	Charge		
	Recogni				
	in	Other			
	Earnings Comprehensive				
		Loss			
Cumulative pre-tax balance at the beginning of the period	\$8,974	\$ 930	\$9,904		
OTTI recognized during the period	181	6	187		
Reductions and transfers to credit-related OTTI	-	(181) (181)		
Amortization of previously recognized OTTI	(210)	(121) (331)		
Cumulative pre-tax balance at end of the period	\$8,945	\$ 634	\$9,579		

	At or for the Year Ended				At or for the Year Ended					
	December 31, 2011				December 31, 2010					
		N	on-Credit			Non-Credit				
		O	TTI				0	ITTI		
	Credit	Re	ecognized			Credit	R	ecognized		
	Related in			Related in						
	OTTI Accumulated			OTTI	TI Accumulated					
	Recogni	iz@	ther		Total	Recogni	ize	dher		Total
	in	C	omprehensi	ve	OTTI	in	С	omprehensi	ve	OTTI
	Earning	sLo	OSS		Charge	Earning	sL	OSS		Charge
Cumulative pre-tax balance at the beginning of	•									
the period	\$8,247	\$	2,203		\$10,450	\$5,772	\$	4,425		\$10,197
OTTI recognized during the period	727		25		752	2,475		282		2,757
Reductions and transfers to credit-related										
OTTI	-		(1,271)	(1,271)			(2,369)	(2,369)
Amortization of previously recognized OTTI	-		(27)	(27)			(135)	(135)
Cumulative pre-tax balance at end of the										
period	\$8,974	\$	930		\$9,904	\$8,247	\$	2,203		\$10,450

The amortized/historical cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair value of investment securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	Investment Securities Available-for-Sale								
	Amortized/ Gross			Gros	s	Estimated			
	Historical	Historical Unrealized		Unrealized		Fair			
	Cost (Cost Gains		Cost Gains (Losses)		(Losses)		
December 31, 2012:									
Debt securities:									
Federal agency obligations	\$29,820	\$	125	\$	-	\$ 29,945			
Total debt securities	\$29,820	\$	125	\$	-	\$ 29,945			
Equity securities:									
Mutual fund investments (1)	2,556 (1)		449		-	3,005			
TOTAL	\$32,376	\$	574	\$	-	\$ 32,950			

(1) The amortized/ historical cost amount is net of OTTI charges totaling \$348 at December 31, 2012 on two actively-managed equity mutual funds.

	Investment Securities Available-for-Sale							
	Amortized/ Gross		Gross	Estimated				
	Historical	Unrealized	Unrealized	Fair				
	Cost	Gains	(Losses)	Value				
December 31, 2011:								
Debt securities:								
Federal agency obligations	\$170,362	\$ 37	\$ (90)	\$170,309				
Total debt securities	170,362	37	(90)	170,309				
Equity securities:								
Mutual fund investments(1)	3,624 (1)	935	-	4,559				
TOTAL	\$173,986	\$ 972	\$ (90)	\$174,868				
	1	¢1 105						

(1) Amount is net of OTTI charges totaling \$1,425 at December 31, 2011 on five actively-managed equity mutual funds.

The following table provides a reconciliation of the pre-tax OTTI charges recognized on the Company's investment securities available-for-sale:

	At or For the Year Ended		
	Decemb	er 31,	
	2012	2011	2010
Cumulative balance at the beginning of the period	\$1,425	\$1,425	\$3,063
Reduction of OTTI for securities sold during the period	(1,077)) -	(1,302)
Reduction of OTTI for securities transferred to trading during the period	-	-	(336)
Cumulative balance at end of the period	\$348	\$1,425	\$1,425

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of the debt securities component of investment securities available-for-sale at December 31, 2012 are shown below by contractual maturity. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment fees.

		Estimated
	Amortized	Fair
	Cost	Value
Due after one year through three years	\$ 29,750	\$ 29,874
Due after three years through five years	70	71
TOTAL	\$ 29,820	\$ 29,945

There were no investment securities available-for-sale that possessed an unrealized loss as of December 31, 2012.

The following summarizes the gross unrealized losses and fair value of investment securities available-for-sale that possessed an unrealized loss as of December 31, 2011, aggregated by investment category and the length of time that the securities were in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	Less than 12 Months Consecutive	12 Months or More Consecutive			
	Unrealized Losses	Unrealized Losses	Total		
	Fair Value Gross Unrealized Losses	FairGross UnrealizedValueLoss	Gross Unrealized Losses		
Federal agency obligations	\$114,885 \$90		\$114,885 \$90		
TOTAL	\$114,885 \$90		\$114,885 \$90		

The federal agency obligation investments that possessed unrealized losses at December 31, 2011 maintained credit ratings ranging from AA+ to AAA. Their impairment related solely to changes in market interest rates from their acquisition through December 31, 2011.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, gross proceeds from the sales of investment securities available-for-sale totaled \$22,415. A gross gain of \$941 was recognized on these sales and there were no gross recognized losses. During the year ended December 31, 2011, gross proceeds from the sales of investment securities available-for-sale totaled \$226. A gross gain of \$22 was recognized on these sales. During the year ended December 31, 2010, gross proceeds from the sales of investment securities available-for-sale totaled \$2,519. A gross gain of \$609 was recognized on these sales and there were no gross recognized losses.

4. MBS AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, there were no holdings of MBS of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of stockholders' equity.

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and estimated fair value of MBS available-for-sale at December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

MBS Available-for-Sale Gross Gross Estimated AmortizedUnrealized Unrealized Fair Cost Gains(1) (Losses)(1) Value

December 31, 2012:

Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC") pass-through				
certificates	\$32,218	\$ 870	\$ (25) \$33,063
FNMA pass-through certificates	10,233	666	-	10,899
Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA") pass-through				
certificates	691	25	-	716
Collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs") issued by agencies	2,436	26	-	2,462
Private label MBS and CMOs	1,870	18	(7) 1,881
TOTAL	\$47,448	\$ 1,605	\$ (32) \$49,021
F-85				

	MBS Available-for-Sale					
		Gross	Gross	Estimated		
	Amortize	dUnrealized	Unrealized	Fair		
	Cost	Gains(1)	(Losses)(1)	Value		
December 31, 2011:						
FHLMC pass-through certificates	\$53,662	\$ 3,386	\$ -	\$ 57,048		
FNMA pass-through certificates	16,583	1,144	-	17,727		
GNMA pass-through certificates	763	24	-	787		
CMOs issued by agencies	15,128	261	-	15,389		
Private label MBS and CMOs	3,013	22	(109	2,926		
TOTAL	\$89,149	\$ 4,837	\$ (109	\$ 93,877		

At December 31, 2012, MBS available-for-sale possessed a weighted average contractual maturity of 17.0 years and a weighted average estimated duration of 1.4 years. At December 31, 2011, MBS available-for-sale possessed a weighted average contractual maturity of 17.1 years and a weighted average estimated duration of 1.7 years.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, gross proceeds on the sales of MBS available-for-sale totaled \$21,949. A gross gain of \$81 was recognized on these sales and there were no gross recognized losses. There were no sales of MBS available-for-sale during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

The following summarizes the gross unrealized losses and fair value of MBS available-for-sale at December 31, 2012 and 2011, aggregated by investment category and the length of time that the securities were in a continuous unrealized loss position:

	Less than 12 Months Consecutive Unrealized Losses		Consecu	ths or More ntive zed Losses	Total		
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	
December 31, 2012:							
FHLMC pass-through certificates	\$5,867	\$25	\$-	\$-	\$5,867	\$25	
Private label MBS	-	-	954	7	954	7	
December 31, 2011: Private label MBS	\$-	\$-	\$1,505	\$109	\$1,505	\$109	

At December 31, 2012, the Company owned one private label pass-through MBS that possessed unrealized losses for 12 or more consecutive months, with an amortized cost of \$961 and an unrealized loss of \$7. The Company's investment is in the most senior tranche (or repayment pool) of this security. At December 31, 2012, the Company performed an analysis of likely potential defaults of the real estate loans underlying this security in the then existing economic environment, and determined that it could reasonably be expected to continue making all contractual payments. The Company has no intent to sell this security and it is not likely that the Company will be required to sell this security before the recovery of its remaining amortized cost.

At December 31, 2011, the Company owned one private label pass-through MBS that possessed unrealized losses for 12 or more consecutive months, with an amortized cost of \$1,614 and an unrealized loss of \$109. The Company's investment is in the most senior tranche (or repayment pool) of this security. At December 31, 2011, the Company performed an analysis of likely potential defaults of the real estate loans underlying this security in the then existing economic environment, and determined that it could reasonably be expected to continue making all contractual

payments. The Company has no intent to sell this security and it is not likely that the Company will be required to sell this security before the recovery of its remaining amortized cost.

5. LOANS

The Bank originates both adjustable and fixed interest rate real estate loans (excluding loans held for sale). The adjustable-rate loans are generally indexed to the FHLBNY five-year or seven-year borrowing rate. The contractual terms of adjustable rate multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans provide that their interest rate, upon repricing, cannot fall below their rate at the time of origination. The Bank's one- to four-family residential adjustable-rate loans are subject to periodic and lifetime caps and floors on interest rate changes that typically range between 200 and 650 basis points.

The primary areas of concentration of credit risk within the Bank's loan portfolio at December 31, 2012 were geographical (as the majority of real estate loans on that date were collateralized by properties located in the New York City metropolitan area) and

the proportion of the portfolio comprised of multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans. The Bank had no individual borrowers with aggregate outstanding balances equal to or exceeding regulatory limits for loans to one borrower at either December 31, 2012 or 2011.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank had \$243,784 and \$357,058, respectively, of loans in its portfolio that featured interest only payments. These loans subject the Bank to additional risk since their principal balance will not be reduced prior to contractual maturity.

The Bank's consumer loans were composed of the following:

	December	December
	31,	31,
	2012	2011
Passbook loans (secured by savings and time deposits)	\$ 712	\$ 483
Consumer installment and other loans	1,711	1,966
TOTAL	\$ 2,423	\$ 2,449

Credit Quality Indicators:

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt, such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying them as to credit risk. This analysis includes all non-homogeneous loans, such as multifamily residential, mixed use residential (i.e., loans in which the aggregate rental income of the underlying collateral property is generated from both residential and commercial units, but the majority of such income is generated from the residential units), mixed use commercial (i.e., loans in which the aggregate rental income of the underlying collateral property is generated from both residential and commercial units, but the majority of such income is generated from the commercial units), commercial real estate and construction and land acquisition loans, as well as one-to four family residential and cooperative apartment loans with balances greater than the FNMA Limits. This analysis is performed on a quarterly basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention. Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the Bank's credit position at some future date.

Substandard. Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of then existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

All loans not classified as Special Mention or Substandard were deemed pass loans at both December 31, 2012 and 2011.

The Bank had no loans classified as Doubtful at December 31, 2012 or 2011.

The following is a summary of the credit risk profile of the Bank's real estate loans (including deferred costs) by internally assigned grade as of the date indicated:

	Balance at December 31, 2012							
	One- to							
	Four-Family International Four-Family							
	Resident	ResidentiaResidential						
	and and Mixed Use							
	Cooperat	tivResidential	Commercial	Commercial	l			
Grade	Unit	Mixed Use	Real Estate	Real Estate	C	onstructio	onTotal	
Pass	\$66,415	\$2,665,410	\$ 326,053	\$ 363,299	\$	-	\$3,421,177	
Special Mention	6,333	7,711	5,547	2,639		-	22,230	
Substandard	2,987	3,248	8,533	28,593		476	43,837	
Total real estate loans individually	· · · ·	- , -	-)	-)			- ,	
assigned a credit grade	\$75,735	\$2,676,369	\$ 340,133	\$ 394,531	\$	476	\$3,487,244	
Real estate loans not individually	\$10,100	¢ =,0 / 0,0 0 /	<i><i><i>ϕ 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅</i></i></i>	<i><i>ϕ 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅</i></i>	Ψ		<i>\\\</i> ,, _	
assigned a credit grade ⁽¹⁾	\$16,141						\$16,141	

⁽¹⁾ Amount comprised of fully performing one- to four-family residential and cooperative unit loans with balances equal to or less than the FNMA Limits. The credit quality of these loans was instead evaluated based upon payment activity.

	Balance at December 31, 2011							
	One- to							
	Four-Familyultifamily							
	ResidentiaResidential							
	and and Mixed Use							
	Cooperat	i Residential	Commercial	Commercial				
Grade	Unit	Mixed Use	Real Estate	Real Estate	Construction	n Total		
Pass	\$66,949	\$2,587,573	\$ 320,556	\$ 364,462	\$ -	\$3,339,540		
Special Mention	1,133	7,101	10,562	9,244	2,576	30,616		
Substandard	2,635	8,245	7,152	39,610	623	58,265		
Total real estate loans individually								
assigned a credit grade	\$70,717	\$2,602,919	\$ 338,270	\$413,316	\$ 3,199	\$3,428,421		
Real estate loans not individually								
assigned a credit grade ⁽¹⁾	\$29,995					\$29,995		
⁽¹⁾ Amount comprised of fully performing one- to four-family residential and cooperative unit loans with balances								

⁽¹⁾ Amount comprised of fully performing one- to four-family residential and cooperative unit loans with balances equal to or less than the FNMA Limits. The credit quality of these loans was instead evaluated based upon payment activity.

For consumer loans, the Company evaluates credit quality based on payment activity. Consumer loans that are 90 days or more past due are placed on non-accrual status, while all remaining consumer loans are classified and evaluated as performing.

The following is a summary of the credit risk profile of consumer loans by internally assigned grade:

	Balance at	Balance at
	December	December
Grade	31, 2012	31, 2011
Performing	\$ 2,415	\$ 2,445
Non-accrual	8	4
Total	\$ 2,423	\$ 2,449

The following is a breakdown of the past due status of the Company's investment in loans (excluding accrued interest and loans held for sale) as of the dates indicated:

At December 31, 2012

			Loans				
			90 Days				
	30 to	60 to	or More				
	59	89	Past Due				
	Days	Days	and Still		Total		
	Past	Past	Accruing	Non-accrual	Past		Total
	Due	Due	Interest	(1)	Due	Current	Loans
Real Estate:							
One- to four-family residential and							
cooperative unit	\$336	\$155	\$ -	\$ 938	\$1,429	\$90,447	\$91,876
Multifamily residential and residential							
mixed use	6,451	-	190	507	7,148	2,669,221	2,676,369
Mixed use commercial real estate	-	-	-	1,170	1,170	338,963	340,133
Commercial real estate	207	-	-	6,265	6,472	388,059	394,531
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	476	476
Total real estate	\$6,994	155	\$ 190	\$ 8,880	\$16,219	\$3,487,166	\$3,503,385
Consumer	\$2	\$5	-	\$8	\$15	\$2,408	\$2,423
⁽¹⁾ Includes all loans on non-accrual statu	s regardle	ess of th	ne number o	of days such l	oans were	delinquent a	s of
December 31, 2012.							

At December 31, 2011

			Loans 90				
			Days or				
	30 to	60 to	More				
	59	89	Past Due				
	Days	Days	and Still		Total		
	Past	Past	Accruing	Non-accrual	Past		Total
	Due	Due	Interest	(1)	Due	Current	Loans
Real Estate:							
One- to four-family residential and							
cooperative unit	\$1,221	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,205	\$3,426	\$97,286	\$100,712
Multifamily residential and residential							
mixed use	2,589	-	946	7,069	10,604	2,592,315	2,602,919
Mixed use commercial real estate	4,976	-	-	5,591	10,567	327,703	338,270
Commercial real estate	478	-	2,874	11,083	14,435	398,881	413,316
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	3,199	3,199
Total real estate	\$9,264	-	\$ 3,820	\$ 25,948	\$39,032	\$3,419,384	\$3,458,416
Consumer	\$12	\$ 5	-	\$4	\$21	\$2,428	\$2,449
⁽¹⁾ Includes all loans on non-accrual status regardless of the number of days such loans were delinquent as of							

December 31, 2011.

Accruing Loans 90 Days or More Past Due:

At December 31, 2012, the Bank owned one real estate loan totaling \$190 that was 90 days or more past due on its contractual balloon principal payment and continued to make monthly payments consistent with its initial contractual amortization schedule exclusive of the balloon payment. This loan, which is both well secured and expected to be refinanced during the year ending December 31, 2013, remained on accrual status at December 31, 2012 and was

deemed a performing asset. At December 31, 2011, the Bank owned five real estate loans totaling \$3,820 that were 90 days or more past due on their contractual balloon principal payment that continued to make monthly payments consistent with their initial contractual amortization schedule exclusive of the balloon payment. These loans remained on accrual status at December 31, 2011 and were deemed performing assets. These loans were either fully re-financed or satisfied during the year ended December 31, 2012.

TDRs.

At December 31, 2012, the Bank had twenty-two loans totaling \$51,123 with terms that were modified in a manner that met the criteria for a TDR. Thirteen of these TDRs totaling \$47,493 were commercial real estate loans, five loans totaling \$1,953 were

multifamily residential and residential mixed-use real estate loans, three loans totaling \$948 were mixed use loans with four units or less and the remaining \$729 loan was a mixed-use commercial real estate loan. At December 31, 2011, the Bank had twenty-two loans totaling \$48,753 with terms that were modified in a manner that met the criteria for a TDR. Twelve of these TDRs totaling \$44,458 were commercial real estate loans, three loans totaling \$1,657 were mixed-use commercial real estate loans, five loans totaling \$2,013 were multifamily residential and residential mixed-use real estate loans and the remaining two loans totaling \$625 were mixed use loans with four units or less.

The following table summarizes outstanding TDRs as of the dates indicated:

	As of		As of	
	December		December	
	31, 2012 No. of Loan B alance		31, 2011	
			No.	
			of	
			LoanBalance	
Outstanding principal balance at period end	22	\$51,123	22	\$48,753
TDRs on accrual status at period end	20	44,858	17	40,688
TDRs on non-accrual status at period end		6,265	5	8,065

The Company has not restructured troubled consumer loans, as its consumer loan portfolio has not had any problem issues warranting restructuring. Therefore, all TDRs have been made on real estate loans. The following table summarizes activity related to TDRs for the periods indicated:

	For the Year Ende	ed December 31,	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2012		2011		
	Pre-Modificat	tionPost-Modificat	ion Pre-ModificationPost-Modification		
	Nun Overstanding	Outstanding	NunOutstanding	Outstanding	
	of Recorded	Recorded	of Recorded	Recorded	
	Loalusvestment	Investment	Loainsvestment	Investment	
Loan modifications during the period					
that met the definition of a TDR:					
One- to four-family residential and					
cooperative unit	1 \$ 330	\$ 330	- \$ -	\$ -	
Multifamily residential and residential					
mixed use	1 459	459	2 573	573	
Commercial real estate	2 4,430	4,430	6 30,095	30,095	
TOTAL	4 \$ 5,219	\$ 5,219	8 \$ 30,668	\$ 30,668	

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company made modifications to other existing loans that were deemed both insignificant and sufficiently temporary in nature, thus not warranting the loans being deemed TDRs. Such activity was immaterial during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The Bank's allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2012 reflected \$520 of allocated reserve associated with modifications identified as TDRs. The Bank's allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2011 reflected \$1,851 of allocated reserve associated with modifications identified as TDRs. The reduction in the aggregate balance of allocated reserve associated with TDRs from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 reflected improvement in the underlying conditions of nine TDRs with an aggregate reserve of \$1,096 at December 31, 2011, that resulted in a determination that the allocated reserve was no longer warranted on these TDRs as of December 31, 2012. In addition, \$235 of reserves as of December 31, 2011 were charged-off during the year ended December 31, 2012 or 2011.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank had no loan commitments to borrowers with outstanding TDRs.

A TDR is considered to be in payment default once it is 90 days contractually past due under the modified terms. All TDRs are considered impaired loans and are evaluated individually for measurable impairment, if any.

There were no TDRs for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 (thus no significant impact to the allowance for loan losses during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 related to such loans).

Impaired Loans

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that all contractual amounts due will not be collected in accordance with the terms of the loan. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include

payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays or shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed.

Generally, the Bank considers TDRs and non-accrual multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans, along with non-accrual one- to four-family loans in excess of the FNMA Limits, to be impaired. Non-accrual one-to four-family loans equal to or less than the FNMA Limits, as well as all consumer loans, are considered homogeneous loan pools and are not required to be evaluated individually for impairment unless considered a TDR.

Impairment is typically measured using the difference between the outstanding loan principal balance and either: 1) the likely realizable value of a note sale; 2) the fair value of the underlying collateral, net of likely disposal costs, if repayment is expected solely from liquidation of the collateral; or 3) the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate. If a TDR is substantially performing in accordance with its restructured terms, management will look to either the present value of the expected cash flows from the debt service or the potential net liquidation proceeds of the underlying collateral property in measuring impairment (whichever is deemed most appropriate under the circumstances). If a TDR has re-defaulted, generally the likely realizable net proceeds from either a note sale or the liquidation of the collateral is considered when measuring impairment. Measured impairment is either charged off immediately or, in limited instances, recognized as an allocated reserve within the allowance for loan losses.

Please refer to Note 6 for tabular information related to impaired loans.

Delinquent Serviced Loans Subject to the First Loss Position

As of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Bank serviced a pool of multifamily loans sold to FNMA, and retained the First Loss Position.

Under the terms of its seller/servicer agreement with FNMA, the Bank is obligated to fund FNMA all monthly principal and interest payments under the original terms of the sold loans until the earlier of the following events: (i) the Bank re-acquires the loan from FNMA or it enters OREO status; (ii) the entire pool of loans sold to FNMA have either been fully satisfied or enter OREO status; or (iii) the First Loss Position is fully exhausted.

At December 31, 2012, within the pool of multifamily loans sold to FNMA, there was one \$474 loan 90 days or more delinquent and one \$229 loan delinquent between 30 and 89 days. At December 31, 2011, within the pool of multifamily loans sold to FNMA, one \$1,342 loan was delinquent between 30 and 89 days, and one \$757 loan was 90 days or more delinquent.

6. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES AND RESERVE FOR FIRST LOSS POSITION

As discussed in Note 1, the allowance for loan losses may consist of specific and general components. The Bank's periodic evaluation of its allowance for loan losses (specific or general) is comprised of four primary components: (1) impaired loans; (2) non-impaired substandard loans; (3) non-impaired special mention loans; and (4) pass graded loans. Within these components, the Company has identified the following portfolio segments for purposes of assessing its allowance for loan losses (specific or general): 1) real estate loans; and 2) consumer loans. Within the segments, the Bank analyzes the allowance based upon the underlying collateral type (classes). Consumer loans represent a nominal portion of the Company's loan portfolio, and were thus evaluated in aggregate as of both December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Impaired Loan Component

All multifamily residential, mixed use, commercial real estate and construction loans that are deemed to meet the definition of impaired are individually evaluated for impairment. In addition, all cooperative unit and one- to four-family residential real estate loans in excess of the FNMA Limits are individually evaluated for impairment. Impairment is typically measured using the difference between the outstanding loan principal balance and either: 1) the likely realizable value of a note sale; 2) the fair value of the underlying collateral, net of likely disposal costs, if repayment is expected solely from liquidation of the collateral; or 3) the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's existing rate. For impaired loans on non-accrual status, either of the initial two measurements is utilized.

All TDRs are considered impaired loans and are evaluated individually for measurable impairment, if any. If a TDR is substantially performing in accordance with its restructured terms, management will look to either the present value of the expected cash flows from the debt service or the potential net liquidation proceeds of the underlying collateral property in

measuring impairment (whichever is deemed most appropriate under the circumstances). If a TDR has re-defaulted, the likely realizable net proceeds from either a note sale or the liquidation of the collateral is generally considered when measuring impairment. While measured impairment is charged off immediately, impairment measured from a reduction in the present value of expected cash flows of a performing TDR was reflected as an allocated reserve within the allowance for loan losses at both December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous real estate loans, such as cooperative unit and one-to four-family residential real estate loans with balances equal to or less than the FNMA Limits, are collectively evaluated for impairment, and accordingly, are not separately identified for impairment disclosures.

Non-Impaired Substandard Loan Component

At December 31, 2012, the reserve allocated within the allowance for loan losses associated with loans internally classified as Substandard (excluding impaired loans internally designated as Substandard) reflected expected loss percentages on the Bank's pool of such loans that were derived based upon an analysis of historical losses over a measurement timeframe. This reserve allocation was determined in a manner substantially similar to non-impaired Special Mention loans at December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2011, the total population of non-impaired substandard rated loans was not deemed significant enough to warrant a separate allocated reserve measurement.

The portion of the allowance for loan losses attributable to non-impaired Substandard loans was zero at December 31, 2011, and increased to \$795 at December 31, 2012, due to an increase of \$11,614 in non-impaired Substandard loans from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012 as well as an increase in the estimated loss percentage applied to such loans from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012.

All non-impaired Substandard loans were deemed sufficiently well secured and performing to have remained on accrual status both prior and subsequent to their downgrade to the Substandard internal loan grade.

Non-Impaired Special Mention Loan Component

At both December 31, 2012 and 2011, the reserve allocated within the allowance for loan losses associated with loans internally classified as Special Mention (excluding impaired loans internally designated as Special Mention) reflected an expected loss percentage on the Bank's pool of such loans that was derived based upon an analysis of historical losses over a measurement timeframe. The loss percentage resulting from this analysis was then applied to the aggregate pool of non-impaired Special Mention loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011. Based upon this methodology, increases or decreases in the amount of either non-impaired Special Mention loans or charge-offs associated with such loans, or a change in the measurement timeframe utilized to derive the expected loss percentage, would impact the level of reserves determined on non-impaired Special Mention loans. As a result, the allowance for loan losses associated with non-impaired Special Mention loans is subject to volatility.

The portion of the allowance for loan losses attributable to non-impaired Special Mention loans declined from \$800 at December 31, 2011 to \$145 at December 31, 2012, due primarily to a reduction in the estimated loss percentage determined to be applied to such loans from December 31, 2011 to December 31, 2012.

Pass Graded Loan Component

The Bank initially looks to the underlying collateral type when determining the allowance for loan losses associated with pass graded real estate loans. The following underlying collateral types are analyzed separately: 1) one- to four family residential and cooperative unit; 2) multifamily residential and residential mixed use; 3) mixed use commercial real estate; and 5) construction and land acquisition. Within the analysis of each underlying

collateral type, the following elements are additionally considered and provided weighting in determining the allowance for loan losses for pass graded real estate loans:

(i) Charge-off experience
(ii) Economic conditions
(iii) Underwriting standards or experience
(iv) Loan concentrations
(v) Loan seasoning

The following is a brief synopsis of the manner in which each element is considered:

(i) Charge-off experience – Loans within the pass graded loan portfolio are segmented by significant common characteristics, against which historical loss rates are applied.

(ii) Economic conditions - At both December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank assigned a loss allocation to its entire pass graded real estate loan portfolio based, in part, upon a review of economic conditions affecting the local real estate market. Specifically, the Bank considered both the level of, and recent trends in: 1) the local and national unemployment rate, 2) residential and commercial vacancy rates, 3) real estate sales and pricing, and 4) delinquencies in the Bank's loan portfolio.

(iii) Underwriting standards or experience – Underwriting standards are reviewed to ensure that changes in the Bank's lending policies and practices are adequately evaluated for risk and reflected in its analysis of potential credit losses. Different loss expectations are then incorporated into the methodology. Increased competition and commercial lending during 2012 resulted in a higher loss expectation being applied for this item as of December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011.

(iv) Concentrations of credit – The Bank regularly reviews its loan concentrations (borrower, collateral type and location) in order to ensure that heightened risk has not evolved that has not been captured through other factors. The risk component of loan concentrations is regularly evaluated for reserve adequacy.

(v) Loan Seasoning – The Bank analyzes its charge-off history in order to determine whether loans that are over three years past their origination date (referred to as seasoned loans) have experienced lower loss levels, and would thus warrant a lower expected loss percentage. This element was given minimal consideration in the December 31, 2012 and 2011 evaluations. The minimal consideration resulted from an analysis of the loss experience recognized during the recent recessionary period, which concluded that the age or seasoning of a loan did not inversely correlate to the Bank's loss experience.

Consumer Loans

Due to their small individual balances, the Bank does not evaluate individual consumer loans for impairment. Loss percentages are applied to aggregate consumer loans based upon both their delinquency status and loan type. These loss percentages are derived from a combination of the Company's historical loss experience and/or nationally published loss data on these loans. Consumer loans in excess of 120 days delinquent are typically fully charged off against the allowance for loan losses.

The following table presents data regarding the allowance for loan losses and loans evaluated for impairment by class of loan within the real estate loan segment as well as for the aggregate consumer loan segment:

At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2012

							Consumer	•
	Real Esta	ate Loans					Loans	
	One- to							
	Four							
	Family	Multifamily						
	Resident	iaResidential						
	and	and	Mixed Use					
	Cooperat	tivReesidential	Commercial	Commerci	al	Total Real	_	
	Unit	Mixed Use	Real Estate	Real Estate	e Construc	ctionEstate		
Beginning balance	\$480	\$14,313	\$ 1,528	\$ 3,783	\$ 124	\$20,228	\$ 26	
Charge-offs	(777) (2,478) (821) (521) (3) (4600) (10))
Recoveries	17	829	18	39	-	903	-	
	-	52	5	25	-	82	-	

Transfer from reserve for loan commitments							
Provision (credit) for loan	(0)				() =		
losses	624	1,583	1,744	56	(97) 3,910	11
Ending balance	\$344	\$14,299	\$ 2,474	\$ 3,382	\$ 24	\$20,523	\$ 27
Ending balance – loans individually							
evaluated for impairment	\$1,291	\$2,460	\$ 1,900	\$47,493	\$ -	\$53,144	\$ -
Ending balance – loans collectively							
evaluated for impairment	90,585	2,673,909	338,233	347,038	476	3,450,241	2,423
Allowance balance							
associated with loans individually							
evaluated for impairment	7			513		520	
Allowance balance	1	-	-	515	-	520	-
associated with loans collectivelly							
evaluated							
for impairment	337	14,299	2,474	2,869	24	20,003	27
F-93							

At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2011

At or for the Year Ended De	cember 31,	2011					Consumer
	Real Estat One- to Four						Loans
	Family	Multifamily					
	and	aResidential and	Mixed Use				
		Residential		Commercial		Total Real	
	Unit	Mixed Use	Real Estate	Real Estate	Construction		
Beginning balance	\$409	\$14,226	\$ 1,331		\$ 345	\$19,132	\$ 34
Charge-offs	(129)	()	()) (1,720)	(962)	(-)-)	(29)
Recoveries Transfer from (to) reserve	-	220	48	147		415	
for loan							
Commitments		165	(-)) (13)	30	167	
Provision for loan losses	200	2,505	861	2,548	711	6,825	21
Ending balance	\$480	\$14,313	\$ 1,528	\$ 3,783	\$ 124	\$20,228	\$ 26
Ending balance – loans individually							
evaluated for impairment	\$2,547	\$10,028	\$ 6,739	\$ 51,070	-	\$70,384	\$
Ending balance – loans							
collectively	98,165	2,592,891	221 521	262 246	3 100	3,388,032	2 4 4 0
evaluated for impairment Allowance balance	96,105	2,392,091	331,531	362,246	3,199	5,566,052	2,449
associated with loans individually							
evaluated							
for impairment Allowance balance	130	45	73	1,927	-	2,175	
associated with							
loans collectivelly							
evaluated for							
impairment	350	14,268	1,455	1,856	124	18,053	26
At or for the Year Ended De	cember 31.	2010					
	,						Consumer
		l Estate Loan	S				Loans
	One to F						
		nily Multifa	milv				
		•	tial Mixed U	se			
	and			cial Commercia	al	Total	
		perat Re siden		Real	Constant	Real	
Beginning balance	Unit \$1.0	047 \$ 13,547	Use Estate 7 \$ 1,833	Estate \$ 3,918	Constructi \$ 1,130	\$21,475	\$ 30
Charge-offs		56) (10,06) (1,111) (13,872)	
Recoveries	(55	, (9	, (,	64	
Transfer from (to) reserve for	r		_				
commitments		188	65	(17) 36	272	

Edgar Filing: DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-K						
Provision (credit) for loan losses Ending balance	(372) 10,498 \$409 \$14,226	(98 \$ 1,331) 875 \$ 2,821	290 \$ 345	11,193 \$19,132	17 \$ 34
F-94						

The following table summarizes impaired real estate loans as of and for the periods indicated (by collateral type within the real estate loan segment).

	At Decer Unpaid Principal Balance	nber 31, 2012 Recorded	Reserve Balance Allocated within the Allowance	For the Ye Ended Dec 2012	
	at	Investment	for Loan	Average	Interest
	Period	at Period	Losses at	Recorded]	
	End	End(1)	Period End	Investmen	R ¢dognized
One- to Four Family Residential and Cooperative Unit					
With no allocated reserve	\$1,079	\$ 1,079	\$ -	\$867	\$ 55
With an allocated reserve	258	212	7	452	19
Multifamily Residential and Residential Mixed Use					
With no allocated reserve	2,767	2,460	-	5,434	341
With an allocated reserve	-	-	-	420	-
Mixed Use Commercial Real Estate					
With no allocated reserve	1,900	1,900		2,516	74
With an allocated reserve	-	-	-	192	-
Commercial Real Estate					
With no allocated reserve	33,416	32,217		29,362	1,675
With an allocated reserve	15,276	15,276	513	20,087	746
Construction					
With no allocated reserve	-	-		-	-
With an allocated reserve	-	-		-	-
Total	¢ 20, 1 (0	ф 27 (5(¢	Φ <u>20</u> 170	¢ 0 1 4 5
With no allocated reserve		\$ 37,656	\$ - \$ 520	\$38,179	
With an allocated reserve		\$ 15,488	\$ 520	\$21,151	
(1)The recorded investment excludes accrued interest re F-95	celvable a	nu ioan origin	ation iees, ne	it, due to im	materianty.

	At Decen	nber 31, 2011	Reserve Balance	For the Yea Ended Dece 2011	
	Unpaid		Allocated		
	Principal		within the		
	-	Recorded	Allowance		
	at	Investment	for Loan	Average I	nterest
	Period	at Period	Losses at	Recorded I	
	End	End(1)	Period End	Investmen	(dognized
One- to Four Family Residential and Cooperative Unit					
With no allocated reserve	\$1,136	\$ 1,136	\$ -		38
With an allocated reserve	1,773	1,411	130	565	31
Multifamily Residential and Residential Mixed Use					
With no allocated reserve	10,709	9,338	-	11,194	795
With an allocated reserve	776	690	45	3,040	86
Mixed Use Commercial Real Estate					
With no allocated reserve	5,780	5,780		3,901	191
With an allocated reserve	1,145	959	73	1,893	11
Commercial Real Estate					
With no allocated reserve	14,317	11,812		15,243	407
With an allocated reserve	39,296	39,258	1,927	15,620	868
Construction					
With no allocated reserve	-	-		3,835	227
With an allocated reserve	-	-		-	-
Total					
With no allocated reserve	\$31,942	\$ 28,066	\$ -	\$35,579 \$	5 1,658
With an allocated reserve	\$42,990	\$ 42,318	\$ 2,175	\$21,118 \$	996
(1) The recorded investment excludes accrued interest r	acaivabla	nd loon origin	nation food n	at due to im	motoriolity

(1) The recorded investment excludes accrued interest receivable and loan origination fees, net, due to immateriality.

The following table summarizes the average recorded investment and interest income recognized on impaired loans during the year ended December 31, 2010. For purposes of this table, adjustments between the unpaid principal balance of the loans and their recorded investment (including accrued interest receivable) are deemed to be immaterial:

	For the Year Ended
	Ended December 31,
	2010
	Average Interest
	Recorded Income
	Investmen Rdd ognized
With no allocated reserve	\$8,945 \$ 2,628
With an allocated reserve	22,915
Total	\$31,860 \$ 2,628
(1) The recorded investment	excludes accrued interest receivable and loan origination fees, net, due to immateriality.

Reserve for First Loss Position

At both December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank serviced a pool of loans that were sold to FNMA and were subject to the First Loss Position. The Bank maintains a reserve liability in relation to the First Loss Position that reflects estimated losses on this loan pool at each period end. For performing loans within the FNMA serviced pool, the reserve recognized is based upon the historical loss experience on this loan pool. For problem loans within the pool, the estimated losses are determined in a manner consistent with impaired loans within the Bank's loan portfolio. F-96

The following is a summary of the aggregate balance of multifamily loans serviced for FNMA, the period-end First Loss Position associated with these loans, and activity in the related reserve liability:

	At or for the Year Ended December 31,			
	2012	2011	2010	
Outstanding balance of multifamily loans serviced for FNMA at period end	\$256,731	\$308,104	\$371,887	
Total First Loss Position at end of period	15,428	16,356	16,789	
Reserve Liability on the First Loss Position				
Balance at beginning of period	\$2,993	\$2,993	\$4,373	
Transfer of specific reserve for serviced loans re-acquired by the Bank	-	-	(1,123))
Credit for losses on problem loans ⁽¹⁾	(1,286)) –	-	
Charge-offs and other net reductions in balance	(342)) –	(257))
Balance at period end	\$1,383	\$2,993	\$2,993	
	• •			

¹ Amount recognized as a portion of mortgage banking income during the period.

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Bank received approval from FNMA to reduce the total First Loss Position by \$433 and \$3,457, respectively, for losses incurred. No such approval was received during the year ended December 31, 2012, however, the Bank was contractually permitted to reduce the total First Loss Position by \$928 due to the satisfaction of certain loans within the FNMA pool.

7. MORTGAGE SERVICING ACTIVITIES AND MORTGAGE BANKING INCOME

At December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Bank was servicing loans for others having principal balances outstanding of approximately \$361,820, \$486,514, and \$596,310, respectively. Servicing loans for others generally consists of collecting mortgage payments, maintaining escrow accounts, disbursing payments to investors, paying taxes and insurance, and processing foreclosure. In connection with loans serviced for others, the Bank held borrowers' escrow balances of approximately \$6,758 and \$5,950 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

There are no restrictions on the Company's consolidated assets or liabilities related to loans sold with servicing rights retained. Upon sale of these loans, the Company recorded MSR, and has elected to account for the MSR under the "amortization method" prescribed under GAAP. Activity related to MSR is as follows:

	At or for the Year Ended			
	December 31,			
	2012	2011	2010	
MSR Activity				
Balance at beginning of period	\$1,604	\$2,271	\$2,765	
Additions for loans sold during the period	55	-	284	
Reversal of valuation reserve	-	-	-	
Amortization	(544)	(667)	(778)	
Balance at period end	\$1,115	\$1,604	\$2,271	

Key economic assumptions used to value the MSR and the sensitivity of the current fair value of residual cash flows to immediate 10 and 20 percent adverse changes in those assumptions were as follows: F-97

	At	At	At
	December	December	December
	31, 2012	31, 2011	31, 2010
Net carrying value of the servicing asset	\$ 1,115	\$ 1,604	\$ 2,271
Fair value of the servicing asset	1,511	2,139	2,840
Weighted average life (in years)	5.52	6.30	6.00
Prepayment speed assumptions (annual rate) ("PSA")	150 PSA	150 PSA	150 PSA
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (21)	\$ (30)	\$ (43)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	\$ (41)	\$ (59)	\$ (86)
Expected credit losses (annual rate)	\$ 13	\$ 13	\$ 13
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ -	\$ (1)	\$ -
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	\$ -	\$ (2)	\$ -
Residual cash flows discount rate (annual rate)	13.75 %	13.75 %	5 13.75 %
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	\$ (26)	\$ (39)	\$ (54)
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	\$ (50)	\$ (76)	\$ (106)
Average Interest rate on adjustable rate loans	5.59 %	5.84 %	5.81 %
Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change	-	-	-
Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change	-	-	-

Net mortgage banking income presented in the consolidated statements of operations was comprised of the following items:

	Year Ended December		
	31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Gain on the sale of loans originated for sale	\$68	\$5	\$439
Credit to reduce the liability for the First Loss Position	1,286		
Mortgage banking fees	414	564	630
Reversal of valuation reserve on MSR			
Net mortgage banking income	\$1,768	\$569	\$1,069

8. PREMISES AND FIXED ASSETS, NET

The following is a summary of premises and fixed assets, net:

	December	December
	31, 2012	31, 2011
Land	\$7,067	\$7,237
Buildings	23,332	27,012
Leasehold improvements	10,661	9,689
Furniture, fixtures and equipment(1)	12,793	21,882
	53,853	65,820
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization(1)	(23,335)	(33,174)
TOTAL	\$30,518	\$32,646

(1) During the year ended December 31, 2012, fully depreciated furniture, fixtures and equipment totaling \$10,678 were removed from the general ledger, resulting in an offsetting reduction in the aggregate balance of both furniture, fixtures and equipment and accumulated depreciation.

Depreciation and amortization expense amounted to approximately \$2,828, \$2,851 and \$2,138 during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Proceeds from the sales of premises and fixed assets were \$17,477

during the year ended December 31, 2012. A gain of \$13,726 was recognized on these sales. There were no sales of premises and fixed assets during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

9. FHLBNY CAPITAL STOCK

The Bank is a Savings Bank Member of the FHLBNY. Membership requires the purchase of shares of FHLBNY capital stock at \$100 per share. The Bank owned 450,112 shares and 494,888 shares at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Bank recorded dividends on the FHLBNY capital stock of \$2,124, \$2,347 and \$2,736 during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

10. DUE TO DEPOSITORS

Deposits are summarized as follows:

	At December 31,		At December 31,	
	2012		2011	
	Effectiv	/e	Effectiv	/e
	Cost	Liability	Cost	Liability
Savings accounts	0.15%	\$371,792	0.21%	\$353,708
Certificates of deposit ("CDs")	1.68	891,975	1.85	977,551
Money market accounts	0.57	961,359	0.63	772,055
Interest bearing checking accounts	0.16	95,159	0.23	99,308
Non-interest bearing checking accounts	-	159,144	-	141,079
TOTAL	0.86%	\$2,479,429	1.02%	\$2,343,701

The following table presents a summary of future maturities of CDs outstanding at December 31, 2012:

		Weightee	t
		Average	
	Maturing	Interest	
Year Ending December 31,	Balance	Rate	
2013	\$525,628	1.14	%
2014	148,544	2.35	
2015	98,665	2.49	
2016	30,445	2.24	
2017	41,720	2.34	
2018 and beyond	46,973	2.67	
TOTAL	\$891,975	1.66	%(1)

(1) The weighted average cost of CDs, inclusive of their contractual compounding of interest, was 1.68% at December 31, 2012.

The aggregate amount of CDs with a minimum denomination of one-hundred thousand dollars was approximately \$371,697 and \$401,463 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

11. SECURITIES SOLD UNDER AGREEMENTS TO REPURCHASE ("REPOs")

Presented below is information concerning REPOs:

	At or for the Y	ear Ended December
	31,	
	2012	2011 2010
Balance outstanding at end of period	\$-	\$195,000 \$195,000
Average interest cost at end of period	- %	4.33 % 4.33 %
Average balance outstanding during the period	\$132,910	\$195,000 \$203,055
Average interest cost during the period	26.24 %(a)	4.33 % 4.33 %
Estimated fair value of underlying collateral	\$-	\$214,446 \$214,539
Maximum balance outstanding at month end during the year	\$195,000	\$195,000 \$230,000
(a) Excluding a prepayment charge of \$28,772 included in int	erest expense on	borrowed funds in the consolidated
statements of operations, the average interest cost would have	e been 4.33% du	ring the year ended December 31, 2012.
F-99		

12. FHLBNY ADVANCES

The Bank had borrowings ("Advances") from the FHLBNY totaling \$842,500 and \$939,775 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, all of which were fixed rate. The average interest cost of FHLBNY Advances was 2.96%, 3.17%, and 3.51% during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The average interest rate on outstanding FHLBNY Advances was 2.68% and 2.88% at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In accordance with its Advances, Collateral Pledge and Security Agreement with the FHLBNY, the Bank was eligible to borrow up to \$1,362,495 as of December 31, 2012 and \$1,403,533 as of December 31, 2011, and maintained sufficient qualifying collateral, as defined by the FHLBNY, with the FHLBNY (principally real estate loans), to secure Advances in excess of its borrowing limit at both December 31, 2012 and 2011. Certain of the FHLBNY Advances outstanding at December 31, 2012 contained call features that may be exercised by the FHLBNY. Prepayment penalties were associated with all fixed rate advances outstanding as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

The following table presents a summary of future maturities of FHLBNY Advances outstanding at December 31, 2012:

		Weighte	d
		Average	
	Maturing	Interest	
Year Ending December 31,	Balance	Rate	
2013	\$218,500	1.77	%
2014	199,500	2.92	
2015	284,500	2.55	
2016	50,000	4.36	
2017	90,000	3.87	
2018 and beyond	-	-	
TOTAL	\$842,500	2.68	%

13. SUBORDINATED NOTES PAYABLE AND TRUST PREFERRED SECURITIES PAYABLE

On April 12, 2000, the Holding Company issued subordinated notes in the aggregate amount of \$25,000. The notes were satisfied on May 1, 2010. Interest expense recorded on the notes, inclusive of amortization of related issuance costs, was \$799 during the year ended December 31, 2010.

On March 19, 2004, the Holding Company completed an offering of trust preferred securities through Dime Community Capital Trust I, an unconsolidated special purpose entity formed for the purpose of the offering. The trust preferred securities bear a fixed interest rate of 7.0%, mature on April 14, 2034, and are callable without penalty at any time on or after April 15, 2009. The outstanding balance of the trust preferred securities was \$70,680 at both December 31, 2012 and 2011. The Holding Company currently does not intend to call this debt.

Interest expense recorded on the trust preferred securities totaled \$5,024, \$5,024 and \$5,008 during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

14. INCOME TAXES

The Company's consolidated Federal, State and City income tax provisions were comprised of the following:

Year End	led Dece	mber 31,	Year End	led Dece	mber 31,	Year End	led Dece	mber 31,
2012			2011			2010		
Federal	State and	Total	Federal	State and	Total	Federal	State and	Total

		City			City			City	
Current	\$21,607	\$7,351	\$28,958	\$25,580	\$7,231	\$32,811	\$22,129	\$7,469	\$29,598
Deferred	(1,395)	(673)	(2,068)	(1,145)	(78)	(1,223)	(522)	(215)	(737)
TOTAL	\$20,212	\$6,678	\$26,890	\$24,435	\$7,153	\$31,588	\$21,607	\$7,254	\$28,861

The preceding table excludes tax effects recorded directly to stockholders' equity in connection with unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale (including losses on such securities upon their transfer to held-to-maturity), stock-based compensation plans, and adjustments to other comprehensive income relating to the minimum pension liability, unrecognized gains of pension and other postretirement obligations and changes in the non-credit component of OTTI. These tax effects are

disclosed as part of the presentation of the consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income.

The provision for income taxes differed from that computed at the Federal statutory rate as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,			
	2012	2011	2010	
Tax at Federal statutory rate	\$23,519	\$27,614	\$24,587	
State and local taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	4,341	4,319	4,549	
Benefit plan differences	(114)	(122)	(286)	
Adjustments for prior period tax returns	63	185		
Investment in BOLI	(591)	(615)	(679)	
Adjustment for unrecognized tax (benefits) liabilities	-	(1,026)	79	
Other, net	(328)	1,233	611	
TOTAL	\$26,890	\$31,588	\$28,861	
Effective tax rate	40.02 %	40.04 %	41.08 %	

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for temporary differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities. The components of Federal and State and City deferred income tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

	At Dece	ember 31,		
Deferred tax assets:	2012		2011	
Allowance for loan				
losses	\$	9,902	\$	10,003
Employee benefit				
plans		17,681		17,523
Credit component				
of OTTI		4,052		4,705
Other		1,970		1,275
Total deferred tax				
assets		33,605		33,506
Deferred tax				
liabilities:				
Tax effect of other				
components of				
income on				
investment				
securities and MBS		86		1,467
Difference in book				
and tax carrying				
value of fixed assets		337		519
Tax effect of				
purchase				
accounting fair				
value adjustments		-		161
Other		232		811
Total deferred tax		<		a a s a
liabilities		655		2,958
Net deferred tax				
asset (recorded in	¢	22.050	¢	20 540
other assets)	\$	32,950	\$	30,548

No valuation allowances were recognized on deferred tax assets during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, since, at each period end, it was more likely than not that the deferred tax assets would be fully realized.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank had accumulated bad debt reserves totaling \$15,158 for which no provision for income tax was required to be recorded. These bad debt reserves could be subject to recapture into taxable income under certain circumstances, including a distribution of these bad debt benefits to the Holding Company or the failure of the Bank to qualify as a bank for federal income tax purposes. Should these reserves as of December 31, 2012 be fully recaptured, the Bank would recognize \$6,985 in additional income tax expense.

The Company expects to take no action in the foreseeable future that would require the establishment of a tax liability associated with these tax bad debt reserves.

The Company is subject to regular examination by various tax authorities in jurisdictions in which the Company conducts significant business operations. The Company regularly assesses the likelihood of additional examinations in each of the tax jurisdictions resulting from ongoing assessments.

Under current accounting rules, all tax positions adopted are subjected to two levels of evaluation. Initially, a determination is made, based on the technical merits of the position, as to whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes. In conducting this evaluation, management is required to presume that the position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority possessing full knowledge of all relevant information. The second level of evaluation is the measurement of a tax position that satisfies the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold. This measurement is performed in order to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely to be realized

upon ultimate settlement. The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

The following table reconciles the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefits for the periods indicated:

	Year Endec	l
	December 3	31,
	201 2 011	2010
Gross unrecognized tax benefits at the beginning of the period	- \$1,408	\$1,408
Lapse of statue of limitations		-
Settlement with taxing jurisdictions		-
Gross increases – current period tax positions		-
Gross decreases – current period tax positions		-
Gross increases – prior period tax positions		-
Gross decreases – prior period tax positions	- (1,408) -
Gross unrecognized tax benefits at the end of the period	- \$-	\$1,408

Interest associated with unrecognized tax benefits approximated \$677 at December 31, 2010. The Company recognized interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits and penalties as income tax expense. Related to the unrecognized tax benefits noted above, the Company, at December 31, 2010, had an unrecognized tax liability for interest of \$440, and no unrecognized tax liability for penalties. The liability totaling \$440 for interest was eliminated during the year ended December 31, 2011.

As of December 31, 2012, the tax years ended December 31, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 remained subject to examination by all of the Company's relevant tax jurisdictions. While the Company is currently under audit by certain taxing jurisdictions, no material impact to the financial statements is expected to result from these examinations.

15. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Employee Retirement Plan - The Bank sponsors the Employee Retirement Plan, a tax-qualified, noncontributory, defined-benefit retirement plan. Prior to April 1, 2000, substantially all full-time employees of at least 21 years of age were eligible for participation after one year of service. Effective April 1, 2000, the Bank froze all participant benefits under the Employee Retirement Plan.

The net periodic cost for the Employee Retirement Plan included the following components:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010		
Interest cost	\$921	\$1,012	\$1,072		
Expected return on plan assets	(1,451)	(1,442)	(1,386)		
Actuarial adjustment	-	-	156		
Net amortization and deferral	1,792	1,004	1,005		
Net periodic cost	\$1,262	\$574	\$847		

The funded status of the Employee Retirement Plan was as follows:

	At Decem	ber 31,
	2012	2011
Accumulated benefit obligation at end of period	\$24,640	\$22,907
Reconciliation of Projected benefit obligation:		
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of period	\$22,907	\$19,870
Actuarial adjustment	-	-
Interest cost	921	1,012
Actuarial loss	1,883	3,136
Benefit payments	(1,071)	(1,058)
Settlements	-	(53)
Projected benefit obligation at end of period	24,640	22,907
Plan assets at fair value (investments in trust funds managed by trustee)		
Balance at beginning of period	20,030	18,089
Return on plan assets	1,956	(304)
Contributions	43	3,356
Benefit payments	(1,071)	(1,058)
Settlements	-	(53)
Balance at end of period	20,958	20,030
Funded status:		
Deficiency of plan assets over projected benefit obligation	(3,682)	(2,877)
Unrecognized loss from experience different from that assumed	(3,082) N/ A	
Accrued retirement expense included in other liabilities		\$(2,877)
Accruca remement expense included in other habilities	φ(3,082)	$\phi(2,077)$

The change in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) that resulted from the Employee Retirement Plan is summarized as follows:

	At Decem	ber 31,
	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$(15,193)	\$(11,315)
Adjustment for change in actuarial calculation	-	-
Amortization of loss	1,792	1,004
Loss recognized during the year	(1,379)	(4,882)
Balance at the end of the period	\$(14,780)	\$(15,193)
Period end component of accumulated other comprehensive loss (net of tax)	8,107	8,333

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank used December 31st as its measurement date for the Employee Retirement Plan. The Bank contributed \$43 to the Employee Retirement Plan during the year ended December 31, 2012. The Bank expects to make contributions of \$53 to the Employee Retirement Plan during the year ending December 31, 2013. During the year ending December 31, 2013, \$1,803 in actuarial losses are anticipated to be recognized as a component of net periodic cost.

Major assumptions utilized to determine the net periodic cost (credit) of the benefit obligations were as follows:

At or for the Year Ended December 31, 2012 2011 4.15% 5.26%

Discount rate used for net periodic cost (credit)

Discount rate used to determine benefit obligation at period end	3.67	4.15
Expected long-term return on plan assets used for net periodic cost (credit)	7.50	8.25
Expected long-term return on plan assets used to determine benefit obligation at period end	7.50	7.50

Employee Retirement Plan assets are invested in four common collective investment funds, three of which are equity-based, and one of which is fixed-income based. These common collective investment funds are privately offered, and the Employee Retirement Plan's investment in these common collective investment funds is therefore valued by the fund managers of each respective fund based on the Employee Retirement Plan's proportionate share of units of beneficial interest in the respective funds. All of the common collective investment funds are audited, and the overwhelming majority of assets held in these funds

(which derive the unit value of the common collective investment funds) are actively traded in established marketplaces. The Employee Retirement Plan also owned investments in four registered mutual funds at December 31, 2012. These mutual funds are actively traded on national securities exchanges and are valued at their quoted market prices.

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy a summary of the Employee Retirement Plan's investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2012 (See Note 17 for a discussion of the fair value hierarchy).

	Fair Value Measurements	s Using		
Description	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs(Level 3)	Total
Mutual Funds (all registered and				
publicly traded):				
Domestic Large Cap	\$3,437	-	-	\$3,437
Domestic Small Cap	2,596	-	-	2,596
International Equity	2,414			2,414
Common collective investment funds				
Domestic Large Cap	-	5,022	-	5,022
Fixed Income	-	7,489	-	7,489
Total Plan Assets				\$20,958

The following table sets forth by level within the fair value hierarchy a summary of the Employee Retirement Plan's investments measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2011 (See Note 17 for a discussion of the fair value hierarchy).

	Fair Value Measurement	s Using		
Description	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs(Level 3)	Total
Mutual Funds (all registered and				
publicly traded) :				
Domestic Large Cap	\$1,793	-	-	\$1,793
Domestic Small Cap	2,366	-	-	2,366
Common collective investment funds				
Domestic Large Cap	-	6,045	-	6,045
International Equity	-	2,207	-	2,207
Fixed Income	-	7,619	-	7,619
Total Plan Assets				\$20,030

The long-term investment objective of the Employee Retirement Plan is to be invested 65% in equity mutual funds and 35% in bond mutual funds. If the Employee Retirement Plan is underfunded under its guidelines, the bond fund portion will be temporarily increased to 50% in the manner prescribed under its guidelines, in order to lessen asset value volatility. When the Employee Retirement Plan is no longer underfunded, the bond fund portion will be returned to 35%. Asset rebalancing is performed at least annually, with interim adjustments when the investment mix varies in excess of 10% from the target.

The investment goal is to achieve investment results that will contribute to the proper funding of the Employee Retirement Plan by exceeding the rate of inflation over the long-term. In addition, investment managers for the trust function managing the assets of the Employee Retirement Plan are expected to provide a reasonable return on investment. Performance volatility is also monitored. Risk and volatility are further managed by the distinct investment objectives of each of the trust funds and the diversification within each fund.

The weighted average allocation by asset category of the assets of the Employee Retirement Plan were summarized as follows:

	At	
	December	
	31,	
	2012 2011	
Asset Category		
Equity securities	64 % 62 %	
Debt securities (bond mutual funds)	36 38	
Total	100% 100%	

The allocation percentages in the above table were consistent with future planned allocation percentages as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The expected long-term rate of return assumptions on Employee Retirement Plan assets were established based upon historical returns earned by equities and fixed income securities, adjusted to reflect expectations of future returns as applied to the Employee Retirement Plan's target allocation of asset classes. Equities and fixed income securities were assumed to earn real rates of return in the ranges of 5% to 9% and 2% to 6%, respectively. The long-term inflation rate was estimated to be 3%. When these overall return expectations were applied to the Employee Retirement Plan's target allocation, the expected rate of return was determined to be 7.50% at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Benefit payments, which reflect expected future service (as appropriate), are anticipated to be made as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	
2013	\$1,487
2014	1,469
2015	1,478
2016	1,475
2017	1,449
2018 to 2022	6,996

BMP and Director Retirement Plan - The Holding Company and Bank maintain the BMP, which exists in order to compensate executive officers for any curtailments in benefits due to statutory limitations on benefit plans. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the BMP had investments in the Holding Company's common stock of \$10,951 and \$9,799, respectively. Benefit accruals under the defined benefit portion of the BMP were suspended on April 1, 2000, when they were suspended under the Employee Retirement Plan.

Effective July 1, 1996, the Company established the Director Retirement Plan to provide benefits to each eligible outside director commencing upon the earlier of termination of Board service or at age 75. The Director Retirement Plan was frozen on March 31, 2005, and only outside directors serving prior to that date are eligible for benefits.

The combined net periodic cost for the defined benefit portions of the BMP and the Director Retirement Plan included the following components:

	Year Ended			
	December 31,			
	2012 2011 2010			
Service cost	\$-	\$ -	\$-	
Interest cost	304	346	358	
Actuarial adjustment	-	-	198	

Unrecognized gain	371	242	46
Net periodic cost	\$675	\$588	\$602

The combined funded status of the defined benefit portions of the BMP and the Director Retirement Plan was as follows:

	At Decem	ıber 31,
	2012	2011
Accumulated benefit obligation at end of period	\$8,958	\$8,112
Reconciliation of projected benefit obligation:		
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of period	\$8,112	\$7,186
Adjustment for change in measurement date	-	-
Service cost	-	-
Interest cost	304	346
Benefit payments	(159)	(129)
Actuarial loss	701	709
Projected benefit obligation at end of period	8,958	8,112
Plan assets at fair value:		
Balance at beginning of period	-	-
Contributions	159	129
Benefit payments	(159)	(129)
Balance at end of period	-	-
Funded status:		
Deficiency of plan assets over projected benefit obligation	(8,958)	(8,112)
Contributions by employer	N/ A	N/ A
Unrecognized (gain) loss from experience different from that assumed	N/ A	N/A
Unrecognized net past service liability	N/ A	N/ A
Accrued expense included in other liabilities	\$(8,958)	\$(8,112)

The combined change in accumulated other comprehensive income that resulted from the BMP and Director Retirement Plan is summarized as follows:

	At December 31,
	2012 2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$(1,710) \$(1,242)
Adjustment for change in actuarial calculation	
Amortization of loss	372 242
Loss recognized during the year	(701) (710)
Balance at the end of the period	\$(2,039) \$(1,710)
Period end component of accumulated other comprehensive loss (net of tax)	1,119 938

Major assumptions utilized to determine the net periodic cost and benefit obligations for both the BMP and Director Retirement Plan were as follows:

	At or For the Year	Ended Decemb	er 31,		
	2012		2011		
Discount rate used					
for net periodic cost					
(credit) – BMP	3.77	%		4.82	%
Discount rate used					
for net periodic cost					
(credit) – Director					
Retirement Plan	3.84			4.92	
	3.09			3.77	

Discount rate used to determine BMP benefit obligation at period end Discount rate used to determine Director Retirement Plan benefit obligation at period end 3.30 3.84

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank used December 31st as its measurement date for both the BMP and Director Retirement Plan. Both the BMP and Director Retirement Plan are unfunded non-qualified benefit plans that are not anticipated to ever hold assets for investment. Any contributions made to either the BMP or Director Retirement Plan are expected to be used immediately to pay benefits that accrue.

The Bank expects to contribute \$483 to the BMP and \$186 to the Director Retirement Plan during the year ending December 31, 2013 in order to pay benefits due under the respective plans. During the year ending December 31, 2013, \$546 in aggregate actuarial losses related to the BMP and Director Retirement Plan are anticipated to be recognized as a component of net periodic cost.

Combined benefit payments under the BMP and Director Retirement Plan, which reflect expected future service (as appropriate), are anticipated to be made as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	
2013	\$669
2014	667
2015	662
2016	686
2017	670
2018 to 2022	3,122

There is no defined contribution cost incurred by the Holding Company or Bank under the Director Retirement Plan. Defined contribution costs incurred by the Company related to the BMP were \$1,935, \$1,577 and \$1,539 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

As a result of modifications made to the BMP early in 2010, the Company reclassified \$8,007 from other liabilities to stockholders' equity related to the ESOP benefit component of the BMP during the year ended December 31, 2010.

Postretirement Benefit Plan - The Bank offers the Postretirement Benefit Plan to its retired employees who provided at least five consecutive years of credited service and were active employees prior to April 1, 1991, as follows:

(1) Qualified employees who retired prior to April 1, 1991 receive the full medical coverage in effect at the time of retirement until their death at no cost to such retirees;

(2) Qualified employees retiring on or after April 1, 1991 are eligible for continuation of the medical coverage in effect at the time of retirement until their death. Throughout retirement, the Bank will continue to pay the premiums for the coverage not to exceed the premium amount paid for the first year of retirement coverage. Should the premiums increase, the employee is required to pay the differential to maintain full medical coverage.

Postretirement Benefit Plan benefits are available only to full-time employees who commenced collecting retirement benefits immediately upon termination of service from the Bank. The Bank reserves the right at any time, to the extent permitted by law, to change, terminate or discontinue any of the group benefits, and can exercise the maximum discretion permitted by law in administering, interpreting, modifying or taking any other action with respect to the plan or benefits.

The Postretirement Benefit Plan net periodic cost included the following components:

	Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2012 2011 2010		
Service cost	\$83	\$133	\$114
Interest cost	236	345	316
Unrecognized past service liability	-	-	-
Amortization of unrealized loss	2	116	55
Net periodic cost	\$321	\$594	\$485

Major assumptions utilized to determine the net periodic cost were as follows:

	At or for	r the
	Year En	ided
	Decemb	er 31,
	2012	2011
Discount rate used for net periodic cost (credit)	4.28%	5.48%
Rate of increase in compensation levels used for net periodic cost (credit)	3.50	3.50
Discount rate used to determine benefit obligation at period end	3.72	4.28
Rate of increase in compensation levels used to determine benefit obligation at period end	3.50	3.50

As of December 31, 2012, an escalation in the assumed medical care cost trend rates by 1% in each year would increase the net periodic cost by approximately \$5. A decline in the assumed medical care cost trend rates by 1% in each year would decrease the net periodic cost by approximately \$5.

The funded status of the Postretirement Benefit Plan was as follows:

	At		At	
	December		December	r
	31,		31,	
	2012		2011	
Accumulated benefit obligation at end of period	\$ 6,191		\$ 8,988	
Reconciliation of projected benefit obligation:				
Projected benefit obligation at beginning of period	\$ 8,988		\$ 6,372	
Adjustment for change in measurement date	-		-	
Service cost	83		133	
Interest cost	236		345	
Actuarial (gain) loss	(2,955)	2,316	
Benefit payments	(161)	(178)
Projected benefit obligation at end of period	6,191		8,988	
Plan assets at fair value:				
Balance at beginning of period	-		-	
Contributions	161		178	
Benefit payments	(161)	(178)
Balance at end of period	-		-	
Funded status:				
Deficiency of plan assets over projected benefit obligation	(6,191)	(8,988)
Unrecognized loss from experience different from that assumed	N/	А	N/	А
Unrecognized net past service liability	N/	А	N/	А
Accrued expense included in other liabilities	\$ (6,191)	\$ (8,988)

The change in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) that resulted from the Postretirement Benefit Plan is summarized as follows:

	At Decer	nber 31,
	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of period	\$(4,007)	\$(1,856)
Amortization of loss	2	116
Gain (Loss) recognized during the year	3,005	(2,267)
Balance at the end of the period	\$(1,000)	\$(4,007)
Period end component of accumulated other comprehensive loss (net of tax)	549	2,198

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank used December 31st as its measurement date for the Postretirement Benefit Plan. The assumed medical care cost trend rate used in computing the accumulated Postretirement Benefit Plan obligation was 8.0% in 2012 and was assumed to decrease gradually to 5.00% in 2018 and remain at that level thereafter. An escalation in the assumed medical care cost trend rates by 1% in each year would increase the accumulated Postretirement Benefit Plan obligation by approximately \$106. A decline in the assumed medical care cost trend rates by 1% in each year would reduce the accumulated Postretirement Benefit Plan obligation by approximately \$106.

GAAP provides guidance on both accounting for the effects of the Medicare Prescription Drug Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (the "Act") to employers that sponsor postretirement health care plans which provide prescription drug benefits, and measuring the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation ("APBO") and net periodic postretirement benefit cost, and the

effects of the Act on the APBO. The Company determined that the benefits provided by the Postretirement Benefit Plan are actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D under the Act. The effects of an expected subsidy on payments made under the Postretirement Benefit Plan were treated as an actuarial gain for purposes of calculating the APBO as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. The Company remains in the process of claiming this subsidy from the government, and, as a result, the Bank cannot determine the amount of subsidy it will ultimately receive.

The Postretirement Benefit Plan is an unfunded non-qualified benefit plan that is not anticipated to ever hold assets for investment. Any contributions made to the Postretirement Benefit Plan are expected to be used immediately to pay benefits that accrue.

The Bank expects to contribute \$187 to the Postretirement Benefit Plan during the year ending December 31, 2013 in order to pay benefits due under the plan. During the year ending December 31, 2013, \$49 of actuarial losses are anticipated to be recognized as components of net periodic cost.

Benefit payments under the Postretirement Benefit Plan, which reflect expected future service (as appropriate), are expected to be made as follows:

Year Ending December 31,

2013	\$187
2014	194
2015	202
2016	210
2017	216
2018 to 2022	1,134

401(k) Plan - The Bank also maintains the 401(k) Plan, which covers substantially all of its employees. The Bank made discretionary contributions totaling \$647, \$641 and \$563 to eligible 401(k) Plan participants during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which were recognized as a component of compensation expense.

The 401(k) Plan owned participant investments in the Holding Company's common stock for the accounts of participants totaling \$8,976 and \$8,041 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

ESOP - The Holding Company adopted the ESOP in connection with the Bank's June 26, 1996 conversion to stock ownership. The ESOP borrowed \$11,638 from the Holding Company and used the funds to purchase 3,927,825 shares of the Holding Company's common stock. The loan was originally to be repaid principally from the Bank's discretionary contributions to the ESOP over a period of time not to exceed 10 years from the date of the conversion. Effective July 1, 2000, the loan agreement was amended to extend the repayment period to thirty years from the date of the conversion, with the right of optional prepayment. The loan had an outstanding balance of \$3,567 and \$3,721 at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and a fixed rate of 8.0%.

Shares purchased with the loan proceeds are held in a suspense account for allocation among participants as the loan is repaid. Shares released from the ESOP suspense account are allocated among participants on the basis of compensation, as defined in the plan, in the year of allocation. ESOP distributions vest at a rate of 25% per year of service, beginning after two years, with full vesting after five years or upon attainment of age 65, death, disability, retirement or a "change of control" of the Holding Company as defined in the ESOP. Common stock allocated to participating employees totaled 78,155 shares during each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. The ESOP benefit expense is recorded based upon the fair value of the award shares, and totaled \$1,691, \$1,640 and \$1,700, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. Included in ESOP expense were dividends on unallocated common stock that were paid to participants. These dividends totaled \$613, \$656 and \$700 during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Stock Option Activity

The Company has made stock option grants to outside Directors and certain officers under the Stock Plans. All option shares granted have a ten-year life. The option shares granted to the outside Directors vest over one year, while the option shares granted to officers vest ratably over four years. The exercise cost of each option award was determined based upon the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the respective grant dates. Compensation expense recorded during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was determined based upon the fair value of the option shares on the respective dates of grant, as determined utilizing a recognized option pricing methodology.

The weighted average fair value per option at the date of grant for stock options granted during the years indicated was estimated as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2012	2011	2010			
Estimated fair value on date of grant	\$4.09	\$4.82	\$3.70			
	Black-	Black-	Black-			
Pricing methodology utilized	Scholes	Scholes	Scholes			
Expected life (in years)	6.53	6.80	5.99			
Interest rate	1.21 %	2.59 %	% 2.76 %			
Volatility	45.17	42.35	43.69			
Dividend yield	4.04	3.62	4.39			

Combined stock option activity related to the Stock Plans was as follows:

	At or for the Year Ended December 31,				
Options outstanding – beginning of period	2012 2,893,760				
Options granted	24,440	91,583	97,294		
Weighted average exercise price of grants	\$13.86	\$15.46	\$12.75		
Options exercised	455,051	385,758	87,825		
Weighted average exercise price of exercised options	\$12.32	\$10.93	\$11.53		
Options forfeited	7,012	25,072	63,382		
Weighted average exercise price of forfeited options	\$19.90	\$15.76	\$12.66		
Options outstanding - end of period(1)	2,456,137	2,893,760	3,213,007		
Weighted average exercise price of outstanding					
options - end of period	\$15.63	\$15.13	\$14.63		
Remaining options available for grant	249,230	412,588	623,304		
Vested options at end of period	2,317,799	2,682,156	2,792,434		
Weighted average exercise price of vested					
options – end of period	\$15.78	\$15.30	\$14.92		
Cash received for option exercise cost	5,608	3,669	1,012		
Income tax benefit recognized	319	371	27		
Compensation expense recognized	309	528	967		
Remaining unrecognized compensation expense	335	543	567		
Weighted average remaining years for which					
compensation expense is to be recognized	1.8	2.7	1.7		
Intrinsic value of options exercised during the period	\$871	\$1,209	\$251		
Intrinsic value of outstanding options at period end	722	639	4,003		

Intrinsic value of vested options at period end5313953,132(1) At December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, all outstanding options were ultimately expected to vest.F-110

The range of exercise prices and weighted-average remaining contractual lives of both outstanding and vested options (by option exercise cost) as of December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Outstanding	g Options	Vested Opti	ions
		Weighted	-	Weighted
		Average		Average
		Contractual		Contractual
Exercise		Years		Years
Prices	Amount	Remaining	Amount	Remaining
\$8.34	71,148	6.3	42,532	7.3
\$12.75	78,871	7.3	51,407	8.3
\$13.16	146,708	0.1	146,708	1.1
\$13.74	859,375	4.3	859,375	5.3
\$13.86	24,440	9.3	-	-
\$14.92	34,425	5.2	34,425	6.2
\$15.10	318,492	2.4	318,492	3.4
\$15.46	91,583	8.3	33,765	9.3
\$16.45	76,320	2.1	76,320	3.1
\$16.73	51,943	5.6	51,943	6.6
\$18.18	80,000	5.4	80,000	6.4
\$ 19.90	622,832	1.1	622,832	2.1
Total	2,456,137	3.4	2,317,799	3.1

Restricted Stock Awards

The Company has made restricted stock award grants to outside Directors and certain officers under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. Awards made to the outside Directors vest over a one year, while officer awards vest ratably over four years. All awards were made at the fair value of the Holding Company's common stock on the award date. Compensation expense on all restricted stock awards was thus recorded during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 based upon the fair value of the shares on the respective dates of grant.

The following is a summary of activity related to the restricted stock awards granted under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan:

	At or for the Year Ended				
	December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010		
Unvested allocated shares - beginning of period	324,454	309,783	295,066		
Shares granted	141,289	126,304	143,083		
Shares vested	135,369	109,649	95,107		
Shares forfeited	2,371	1,984	33,259		
Unvested allocated shares - end of period	328,003	324,454	309,783		
Unallocated shares – end of period	-	-			
Compensation recorded to expense	\$1,842	\$1,578	\$1,228		
Income tax benefit recognized	70	60	85		
Fair value of shares vested during the period	\$1,834	\$1,671	\$1,204		
Weighted average remaining years for which					
compensation expense is to be recognized	1.3	1.3	1.3		

Long Term Cash Incentive Payment Plan – During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company made long term incentive awards to certain officers that were payable in cash. During the year ended December 31, 2012, such awards were made to eight executive officers, while, during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, such awards were made to only one executive officer. For each award, a threshold (50% of target), target (100% of target) and maximum (150% of target) payment opportunity is eligible to be earned based on the Company's relative performance on certain measurement goals over a three-year measurement period. Both the measurement goals and the peer group utilized to determine the Company's relative performance are established at the onset of the measurement period and cannot be altered subsequently.

At December 31, 2012, a liability totaling \$1,194 was recorded for expected future payments under the long-term cash incentive payment plan. This liability reflects the expectation of the most likely payment outcome determined for each individual incentive award (based upon both period-to-date actual and estimated future results for each award period). During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, total expense recognized related to long-term cash incentive payment plan awards were \$717, \$595 and \$587, respectively. F-111

16. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Mortgage Loan Commitments and Lines of Credit - At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank had outstanding commitments to make real estate loans that were accepted by the borrower aggregating approximately \$60,470 and \$50,033, respectively. At both December 31, 2012 and 2011, the great majority of these commitments were to originate adjustable-rate real estate loans. Substantially all of the Bank's commitments expire within three months of their acceptance by the prospective borrower. The primary concentration of credit risks associated with these commitments were geographical (as the majority of committed loans were collateralized by properties located in the New York City metropolitan area) and the proportion of the commitments comprised of multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans.

Unused lines of credit available on one- to four-family residential, multifamily residential and commercial real estate loans totaled \$37,253 at December 31, 2012 and \$36,040 at December 31, 2011.

At December 31, 2012, the Bank had an available line of credit with the FHLBNY equal to its excess borrowing capacity. At December 31, 2012, this amount approximated \$520,000.

Lease Commitments - At December 31, 2012, aggregate minimum annual rental commitments on operating leases were as follows:

Lease Year Ending December 31,	Amount
2013	\$3,121
2014	2,784
2015	2,745
2016	2,805
2017	2,851
Thereafter	18,186
Total	\$32,492

Rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 totaled \$3,028, \$2,952, and \$3,336, respectively.

Litigation - The Company is subject to certain pending and threatened legal actions which arise out of the normal course of business. Litigation is inherently unpredictable, particularly in proceedings where claimants seek substantial or indeterminate damages, or which are in their early stages. The Company cannot predict with certainty the actual loss or range of loss related to such legal proceedings, the manner in which they will be resolved, the timing of final resolution or the ultimate settlement. Consequently, the Company cannot estimate losses or ranges of losses related to such legal matters, even in instances where it is reasonably possible that a loss will be incurred. In the opinion of management, after consultation with counsel, the resolution of all ongoing legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition or results of operations of the Company. The Company accounts for potential losses related to litigation in accordance with GAAP.

17. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value hierarchy established under ASC 820-10 is summarized as follows:

Level 1 Inputs – Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs – Significant other observable inputs such as any of the following: (1) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, (2) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, (3) inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (e.g., interest rates and yield curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks, and default rates), or (4) inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means (market-corroborated inputs).

Level 3 Inputs – Significant unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Significant unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). Significant unobservable inputs shall be used to measure fair value to the extent that observable inputs are not available, thereby allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability at the measurement date.

The following tables present the assets that are reported on the consolidated statements of financial condition at fair value as of the date indicated by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis at December 31, 2012

Fair Value Measurements

U	sing	
\mathbf{u}	SILLE	

Description	Total	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	3	vel	for Ene	cember 31	
Trading securities (Registered Mutual Funds):								
Domestic Equity Mutual Funds	\$930	\$930	\$ -	\$	-	\$	89	
International Equity Mutual Funds	129	129	-		-		12	
Fixed Income Mutual Funds	3,815	3,815	-		-		11	
Investment securities available-for-sale:							-	
Agency notes	29,945	-	29,945		-		-	
Registered Mutual Funds:								
Domestic Equity Mutual Funds	1,502	1,502	-		-		-	
International Equity Mutual Funds	358	358	-		-		-	
Fixed Income Mutual Funds	1,145	1,145	-		-		-	
MBS available-for-sale	49,021	-	49,021		-		-	

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis at December 31, 2011

Fair Value Measurements

Using

		Level			vel	for the En	e Year ded	
Description	Total	l Innuta	Level 2	3 In	nita	20	cembei	r 31,
Description Trading Securities (Registered Mutual Funds)	Total	Inputs	Inputs	ш	outs	20	11	
Domestic Equity Mutual Funds	\$780	\$780	\$-	\$	-	\$	(27)
International Equity Mutual Funds	108	108	-		-		(21)
Fixed Income Mutual Funds	886	886	-		-		14	
Investment securities available-for-sale:								
Agency notes	170,309	-	170,309		-		-	
Registered Mutual Funds:								
Domestic Equity Mutual Funds	3,162	3,162	-		-		-	
International Equity Mutual Funds	315	315	-		-		-	
Fixed Income Mutual Funds	1,082	1,082	-		-		-	
MBS available-for-sale	93,877	-	93,877		-		-	

The Company's available-for-sale investment securities and MBS are reported at fair value, which were determined utilizing prices obtained from independent parties. The valuations obtained are based upon market data, and often utilize evaluated pricing models that vary by asset and incorporate available trade, bid and other market information. For securities that do not trade on a daily basis, pricing applications apply available information such as benchmarking and matrix pricing. The market inputs normally sought in the evaluation of securities include benchmark yields, reported trades, broker/dealer quotes (obtained only from market makers or broker/dealers recognized as market

participants), issuer spreads, two-sided markets, benchmark securities, bids, offers and reference data. For certain securities, additional inputs may be used or some market inputs may not be applicable. Prioritization of inputs may vary on any given day based on market conditions.

The Company's available-for-sale investment securities and MBS at December 31, 2012 were categorized as follows:

Description	Valuation Level
Agency notes	Two
Pass Through MBS or CMOs issued by Government Sponsored Entities ("GSEs")	Two
Mutual fund investments	One
Private issuer MBS or CMOs	Two

The Company's available-for-sale investment securities and MBS at December 31, 2011 were categorized as follows:

Description	Valuation Level
Agency notes	Two
Pass Through MBS or CMOs issued by GSEs	Two
Mutual fund investments	One
Private issuer MBS or CMOs	Two

The agency notes owned by the Company possessed the highest possible credit rating published by at least one established credit rating agency as of both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Obtaining market values as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 for these securities utilizing significant observable inputs was not difficult due to their continued marketplace demand. The pass-through MBS and CMOs issued by GSEs all possessed the highest possible credit rating published by at least one established credit rating agency as of both December 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Obtaining market values as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 for these securities utilizing agency as of both December 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Obtaining market values as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 for these securities utilizing significant observable inputs was not difficult due to their considerable demand.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis at December 31, 2012

		Fair Value					
		Measureme	ents				
		Using					
		_		Losses			
				for the			
				Year			
		Level	Level	Ended			
		1 2	3	Decemb	er		
Description	Total	Inp uts puts	Inputs	31, 2012			
Impaired loans:							
Multifamily Residential and Residential							
Mixed Use Real Estate	450		450	2,478	(1)		
Commercial Real Estate	6,472		6,472	521	(1)		
(1) A mount concerns abarra offer concerns	inad on i	man aired loo	na dumina	the week	ndad Da	anahar	.21

(1) Amount represents charge-offs recognized on impaired loans during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis at December 31, 2011

		Fair Value Measureme Using	ents		
				Losses	
				for the	
				Year	
		Levelevel	Level	Ended	
		1 2	3	Decembe	er
Description	Total	Inpu lts puts	Inputs	31, 2011	
TRUPS(1)	\$285	\$- \$-	\$285	\$ 752	
Impaired loans					
One- to Four Family Residential and					
Cooperative Unit	2,013		2,013	-	
Multifamily Residential and Residential					
Mixed Use Real Estate	1,932		1,932	2,803	(2)
Mixed Use Commercial Real Estate	2,687	-	2,687	697	(2)

Commercial Real Estate 8,945 - 5,500 3,445 1,720 (2) (1) Amount represents the fair value of one TRUP that was deemed to have credit-related OTTI at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2011, four additional TRUPS with an aggregate fair value of \$1,427 were not carried at fair value despite previously meeting the OTTI criteria. Under ASC 320-10-65, these held-to-maturity securities are only carried at fair value in the event that they incur additional credit-related impairment at period end, which did not occur at December 31, 2011. Losses for the period represent the total OTTI recognized on three TRUPS (credit or non-credit related) during the period.

(2) Amount represents charge-offs recognized on impaired loans during the year ended December 31, 2011.

TRUPS Held to Maturity – The fair value of all TRUPS held to maturity was determined in the manner documented commencing on page F-116.

Impaired Loans - Loans with certain characteristics are evaluated individually for impairment. A loan is considered impaired under ASC 310-10-35 when, based upon existing information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all amounts due, including principal and interest, according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Bank's impaired loans at December 31, 2012 and 2011 were collateralized by real estate and were thus carried at the lower of the outstanding principal balance or the estimated fair value of the collateral. Fair value is estimated through either a negotiated note sale value (Level 2 input), or, more commonly, a recent real estate appraisal (Level 3 input). These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

An appraisal is generally ordered for all impaired multifamily residential, mixed use or commercial real estate loans for which the most recent appraisal is more than one year old. The Bank never adjusts independent appraisal data upward. Occasionally, management will adjust independent appraisal data downward based upon its own lending expertise and/or experience with the subject property, utilizing such factors as potential note sale values, or a more refined estimate of costs to repair and time to lease the property. Adjustments for potential disposal costs are also considered when determining the final appraised value.

As of December 31, 2012, impaired loans measured for impairment using the estimated fair value of the collateral had an aggregate principal balance of \$8,428, previously recognized principal charge-offs totaling \$1,506, and a net recorded balance totaling \$6,922.

The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements for impaired loans measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at December 31, 2012:

e	f	Weight Averag Value	
ization rate N/A	*	7.5	%
on for planned expedited disposal N/A	*	10	%
*	0 %	3.8	%
*	5 %	7.4	%
ion for planned expedited disposal 10.0%-	25.0%	15.6	%
ble value of a note sale negotiated on terms			
acceptable N/A	*	17	%
	cant Unobservable Input(s)Valuesization rateN/Aion for planned expedited disposalN/Aion to the sales comparison value to reconcile0.0%-6.ices between comparable sales0.0%-6.ization rate (income approach component)7.3%-7.ion for planned expedited disposal10.0%-2.in to unpaid principal balance from likely0.0%-6.	ization rateN/Aion for planned expedited disposalN/Aion to the sales comparison value to reconcileN/Aices between comparable sales0.0%-6.0ization rate (income approach component)7.3%-7.5ion for planned expedited disposal10.0%-25.0%int to unpaid principal balance from likely10.0%-25.0%	Range of ValuesAverag ValuevaluesValuesValueN/A $*$ 7.5ion for planned expedited disposalN/A $*$ 10ion to the sales comparison value to reconcile nces between comparable sales $0.0\%-6.0$ % 3.8ization rate (income approach component) $7.3\%-7.5$ % 7.4ion for planned expedited disposal $10.0\%-25.0\%$ 15.6in to unpaid principal balance from likely $10.0\%-25.0\%$ 15.6

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

		Fair Value at December 31, 2012 Using							
	Carrying	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3			
At December 31, 2012	Amount	Inputs		Inputs		Inputs		Total	
Assets:									
Cash and due from banks	\$79,076	\$79,076		-		-		\$79,076	
Investment securities held to maturity (TRUPS)	5,927	-		-		6,195		6,195	
Loans, net	3,485,258	-		-		3,609,50	5	3,610,06	5
Loans held for sale	560	-		560		-		560	
Accrued interest receivable	13,518	-		359		13,159		13,518	
MSR	1,115	-		1,511		-		1,511	
FHLBNY capital stock	45,011	N/	А	N/	А	N/	А	N/	А
Liabilities:									
Savings, money market and checking accounts	1,587,454	1,587,454	ł	-		-		1,587,45	4
CDs	891,975	-		907,657	7	-		907,657	
Escrow and other deposits	82,753	82,753		-		-		82,753	
FHLBNY Advances	842,500	-		885,774	1	-		885,774	
Trust Preferred securities payable	70,680	-		70,680		-		70,680	
Accrued interest payable	2,528	-		2,827		-		2,827	

Edgar Filing: DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES INC - Form 10-	-K
--	----

Commitments to extend credit	1,238	1,238	-	-	1,238
F-115					

	Carrying	
At December 31, 2011	Amount	Fair Value
Assets:		
Cash and due from banks	\$43,309	\$43,309
Federal funds sold and other short-term investments	951	951
Investment securities held to maturity (TRUPS)	6,511	4,924
Loans, net	3,440,611	3,578,599
Loans held for sale	3,022	3,022
Accrued interest receivable	15,469	15,469
MSR	1,604	2,139
FHLBNY capital stock	49,489	N/ A
Liabilities:		
Savings, money market and checking accounts	1,366,150	1,366,150
CDs	977,551	996,022
Escrow and other deposits	71,812	71,812
REPOS	195,000	223,728
FHLBNY Advances	939,775	991,117
Trust Preferred securities payable	70,680	67,146
Accrued interest payable	3,997	3,997
Commitments to extend credit	917	917

Methods and assumptions used to estimate fair values for financial assets and liabilities other than those previously discussed are summarized as follows:

Cash and Due From Banks - The fair value is assumed to be equal to their carrying value as these amounts are due upon demand (deemed a Level 1 valuation).

Federal Funds Sold and Other Short Term Investments – As a result of their short duration to maturity, the fair value of these assets, principally overnight deposits, is assumed to be equal to their carrying value due (deemed a Level 1 valuation).

TRUPS Held to Maturity – At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company owned eight TRUPS classified as held-to-maturity. Late in 2008, the market for these securities became illiquid, and continued to be deemed illiquid as of December 31, 2012. As a result, at both December 31, 2012 and 2011, their estimated fair value was obtained utilizing a blended valuation approach (Level 3 pricing). Under the blended valuation approach, the Bank utilized the following valuation sources: 1) broker quotations, which were deemed to meet the criteria of "distressed sale" pricing under the guidance of ASC 820-10-65-4, were given a minor 10% weighting (deemed to be a Level 2 valuation); 2) an internally created cash flow valuation model that considered the creditworthiness of each individual issuer underlying the collateral pools, and utilized default, cash flow and discount rate assumptions determined by the Company's management (the "Internal Cash Flow Valuation"), was given a 45% weighting (deemed to be a Level 3 valuation); and 3) a minimum of two of three available independent cash flow valuation models were averaged and given a 45% weighting (deemed to be a Level 3 valuation); each of the significant unobservable inputs utilized by the third party).

The major assumptions utilized in the Internal Cash Flow Valuation (each of which represents a significant unobservable input as defined by ASC 820-10) were as follows:

(i) Discount Rate - Pursuant to ASC 320-10-65, the Company utilized two different discount rates for discounting the cash flows for each of the eight TRUPS, as follows:

Purchase discount rate – the rate used to determine the "credit" based valuation of the security. The purchase

(1)discount rates utilized to compute fair value as of December 31, 2012 ranged from 1.7% to 2.6%, with a weighted average value of 2.3%.

(2) Current discount rate - the current discount rate utilized was derived from the Bloomberg fair market value curve for debt offerings of similar credit rating. In the event that a security had a split credit rating, separate cash flow valuations were made utilizing the appropriate discount rate and were averaged in order to determine the Internal Cash Flow Valuation. In addition, the discount rate was interpolated from the Bloomberg fair market value curve for securities possessing a credit rating below "B." The existing discount rates utilized to compute fair value as of December 31, 2012 ranged from 4.8% to 9.0%, with a weighted average value of 5.8%.

(ii) Defaults – The Company utilized the most recently published Fitch bank scores to estimate potential defaults in the collateral pool of performing issuers underlying the eight securities. Using a rating scale of 1 to 5 (best-to-worst), all underlying issuers with a Fitch bank rating of 5.0 were assumed to default. Underlying issuers with a Fitch bank rating of 3.5 through 4.5 were assumed to default at levels ranging from 5% to 75% based upon both their rating as well as whether they had been granted approval to receive funding under the U.S. Department of Treasury's Troubled Asset Relief Program Capital Purchase Program. Based upon the application of this methodology, the computed default rates utilized in the determination of the fair value of the TRUPS as of December 31, 2012 ranged from 0% to 6.1% of the performing security pool balance, with a weighted average rate of 1.8%. In addition to the defaults derived from the Fitch bank scores, the Company utilized a standard default rate of 1.2% every three years, which was applied uniformly.

(iii) Cash Flows - The expected payments for the tranche of each security owned by the Company, as adjusted to assume that all estimated defaults occur immediately. The cash flows further assumed an estimated recovery rate of 10% per annum to occur one year after initial default, which was applied uniformly.

As discussed above, in addition to the Internal Cash Flow Valuation and broker quotations, the Company utilizes a minimum of two of three additional cash flow valuation models in order to estimate the fair value of TRUPS. Two of the three independent cash flow valuation models utilized a methodology similar to the Internal Cash Flow Valuation, differing only in the underlying assumptions deriving estimated cash flows, individual bank defaults and discount rate. The third independent cash flow valuation model was derived from a different methodology in which the actual cash flow estimate based upon the underlying collateral of the securities (including default estimates) was not considered. Instead, this cash flow valuation model utilized a discount rate determined from the Bloomberg fair market value curve for similar assets that continued to trade actively, with adjustments made for the illiquidity of the TRUPS market. Because of the significant judgment underlying each of the pricing assumptions, management elected to recognize each of the independent valuations and apply a weighting system to all of the valuations, including the Internal Cash Flow Valuation, as all of these valuations were determined utilizing a valid and objective pricing methodology. The Company is not provided detailed information regarding significant unobservable inputs utilized in the independent valuations.

Loans, Net - The fair value of impaired loans that are measured at fair value is determined in the manner described commencing on page F-114. The fair value of all remaining loans receivable is determined by discounting anticipated future cash flows of the loans, net of anticipated prepayments, using a discount rate reflecting current market rates for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. For adjustable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The valuation method used for loans does not necessarily represent an exit price valuation methodology as defined under ASC 820. However, since the valuation methodology is deemed to be akin to a Level 3 valuation methodology, the fair value of loans receivable other than impaired loans measured at fair value, is shown under the Level 3 valuation column.

Loans Held For Sale - The fair value of held-for-sale loans is primarily determined utilizing quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. Changes in the fair value of loans held for sale result primarily from changes in interest rates subsequent to funding but prior to sale, and changes in the fair value of the associated servicing of the loan. Loans held for sale are deemed a Level 2 valuation.

Accrued Interest Receivable - The estimated fair value of accrued interest receivable approximates its carrying amount, and is deemed to be valued at an input level comparable to its underlying financial asset.

MSR - On a quarterly basis, the aggregate balance of the MSR is evaluated for impairment based upon the fair value of the rights as compared to their carrying amount. If the aggregate carrying amount of the MSR exceeds fair value, impairment is recorded on the MSR so that they are carried at fair value. Fair value is determined based on a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. The valuation model utilizes assumptions that market participants would use in estimating future net servicing income and that can be

validated against available market data (Level 2 input).

FHLBNY Capital Stock – It is not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLBNY capital stock due to restrictions placed on transferability.

Deposits - The fair value of savings, money market, and checking accounts is, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amount), which has been deemed a Level 1 valuation. The fair value of CDs is based upon the present value of contractual cash flows using current interest rates for instruments of the same remaining maturity (deemed a Level 2 valuation).

Escrow and Other Deposits – The fair value of escrow and other deposits is, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amount), which has been deemed a Level 1 valuation.

REPOS and FHLBNY Advances – REPOS are accounted for as financing transactions. Their fair value is measured by the discounted anticipated cash flows through contractual maturity or next interest repricing date, or an earlier call date if, as of the valuation date, the borrowing is expected to be called (deemed a Level 2 valuation). The carrying amount of accrued interest payable on REPOS and FHLBNY Advances is its fair value.

Trust Preferred Securities Payable - The fair value of trust preferred securities payable is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements (deemed a Level 2 valuation), and is provided to the Company quarterly independently by a market maker in the underlying security.

Accrued Interest Payable - The estimated fair value of accrued interest payable approximates its carrying amount, and is deemed to be valued at an input level comparable to its underlying financial liability.

Commitments to Extend Credit - The fair value of commitments to extend credit is estimated as the fully refundable fees charged as of the valuation date to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties (deemed a Level 1 valuation). For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current interest rates and the committed rates (deemed a Level 1 valuation).

18. TREASURY STOCK

The Holding Company did not purchase any shares of its common stock into treasury during the years ended December 31, 2012 or 2011.

19. REGULATORY MATTERS

The Bank is subject to regulation, examination, and supervision by the New York State Department of Financial Services ("NYSDFS") and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). The Bank is also governed by numerous federal and state laws and regulations, including the FDIC Improvement Act of 1991, which established five categories of capital adequacy ranging from well capitalized to critically undercapitalized. The FDIC utilizes these categories of capital adequacy to determine various matters, including, but not limited to, prompt corrective action and deposit insurance premium assessment levels. Capital levels and adequacy classifications may also be subject to qualitative judgments by the Bank's regulators regarding, among other factors, the components of capital and risk weighting.

Quantitative measures established to ensure capital adequacy require that banks maintain minimum amounts and ratios of leverage capital to average assets, and of Tier 1 and total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets (as such measures are defined in the regulations). At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank exceeded all minimum capital adequacy requirements to which it was subject. At December 31, 2011, the Bank's primary regulator was the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, whose capital adequacy requirements were the same as those mandated by the NYSDFS and FDIC at December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Bank satisfied all criteria necessary to be categorized as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as "well capitalized," the Bank was required to maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based, and Tier I leverage ratios as set forth in the following tables:

Actual	For Capital	To Be
	Adequacy	Categorized as

			Purposes		"Well	
					Capitalize	d"
As of December 31, 2012	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Tangible capital	\$383,042	9.98 %	\$153,493	4.0 %	\$191,866	5.00 %
Leverage capital	383,042	9.98	153,493	4.0 %	191,866	5.00
Tier I risk-based capital (to risk weighted assets)	383,042	12.98	114,191	4.0 %	171,286	6.00
Total risk-based capital (to risk weighted assets)	405,077	13.72	228,232	8.0 %	285,477	10.00
F-118						

					To Be	
			For Capita	1	Categorize	ed as
			Adequacy		"Well	
	Actual		Purposes		Capitalize	d"
As of December 31, 2011	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Tangible capital	\$359,838	9.11 %	\$118,561	3.0 %	\$197,602	5.00 %
Leverage capital	359,838	9.11	158,082	4.0 %	197,602	5.00
Tier I risk-based capital (to risk weighted assets)	347,822	11.56	120,380	4.0 %	180,570	6.00
Total risk-based capital (to risk weighted assets)	368,317	12.24	240,760	8.0 %	300,950	10.00

The following is a reconciliation of stockholders' equity to regulatory capital for the Bank:

	At December 31, 2012			At December 31, 2011		
			Total			Total
	Tangible	Leverage	Risk-Based	Tangible	Leverage	Risk-Based
	Capital	Capital	Capital	Capital	Capital	Capital
Stockholders' equity	\$428,892	\$428,892	\$ 428,892	\$405,403	\$405,403	\$405,403
Non-allowable assets:						
MSR	(111)	(111)	(111) (162)	(162)	(162)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	9,899	9,899	9,899	10,235	10,235	10,235
Goodwill	(55,638)	(55,638)	(55,638) (55,638)	(55,638)	(55,638)
Tier 1 risk-based capital	383,042	383,042	383,042	359,838	359,838	359,838
General regulatory valuation allowance	-	-	22,035	-	-	8,479
Total (Tier 2) risk based capital	383,042	383,042	405,077	359,838	359,838	368,317
Minimum capital requirement	153,493	153,493	228,232	118,561	158,082	240,760
Regulatory capital excess	\$229,549	\$229,549	\$ 176,845	\$241,277	\$201,756	\$ 127,557

20. UNAUDITED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following represents the unaudited condensed consolidated results of operations for each of the quarters during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

	For the three months ended				
	March				
	31,	June 30,	September	December	
	2012	2012	30, 2012	31, 2012	
Net interest income	\$33,394	\$34,498	\$ 33,398	\$ 8,551 ⁽¹⁾	
Provision for loan losses	1,457	2,275	126	63	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	31,937	32,223	33,272	8,488	
Non-interest income	1,790	2,988	2,574	16,498 ⁽¹⁾	
Non-interest expense	16,408	15,676	15,771	14,717	
Income before income taxes	17,319	19,535	20,075	10,269	
Income tax expense	7,072	8,004	8,280	3,534	
Net income	\$10,247	\$11,531	\$ 11,795	\$ 6,735	
Earnings per share (2):					
Basic	\$0.30	\$0.34	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.19	
Diluted	\$0.30	\$0.34	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.19	

⁽¹⁾ During the three months ended December 31, 2012, a charge of \$25,582 on the prepayment of REPOS was recognized as a reduction to net interest income, and a gain on the sale of premises and fixed assets of \$13,726 was recognized as a component of non-interest income.

⁽²⁾ The quarterly EPS amounts, when added, may not coincide with the full fiscal year EPS reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations due to differences in the computed weighted average shares outstanding as well as rounding differences.

	For the three months ended			
	March			
	31,	June 30,	September	December
	2011	2011	30, 2011	31, 2011
Net interest income	\$35,043	\$36,160	\$ 34,172	\$ 34,127
Provision for loan losses	1,426	1,662	2,217	1,541
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	33,617	34,498	31,955	32,586
Non-interest income	1,910	1,734	2,149	2,136
Non-interest expense	16,860	15,083	14,964	14,781
Income before income taxes	18,667	21,149	19,140	19,941
Income tax expense	7,587	8,811	7,976	7,214
Net income	\$11,080	\$12,338	\$ 11,164	\$ 12,727
Earnings per share (1):				
Basic	\$0.33	\$0.37	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.38
Diluted	\$0.33	\$0.36	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.38

⁽¹⁾ The quarterly EPS amounts, when added, may not coincide with the full fiscal year EPS reported on the Consolidated Statements of Operations due to differences in the computed weighted average shares outstanding as well as rounding differences.

21. CONDENSED HOLDING COMPANY ONLY FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following statements of condition as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related statements of operations and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, reflect the Holding Company's investment in its wholly-owned subsidiaries, the Bank and 842 Manhattan Avenue Corp., and its unconsolidated subsidiary, Dime Community Capital Trust I, using, as deemed appropriate, the equity method of accounting:

DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

	At December 31, 2012	At December 31, 2011
ASSETS:		
Cash and due from banks	\$17,684	\$10,454
Investment securities available-for-sale	3,006	4,559
Trading securities	4,874	1,774
MBS available-for-sale	716	788
ESOP loan to subsidiary	3,567	3,721
Investment in subsidiaries	429,533	406,045
Other assets	3,664	5,530
Total assets	\$463,044	\$432,871
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:		
Trust Preferred securities payable	\$70,680	\$70,680
Other liabilities	790	1,157
Stockholders' equity	391,574	361,034
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$463,044	\$432,871

DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME(1)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net interest loss	\$(4,830)	\$(4,831)	\$(5,651)
Dividends received from Bank	20,000	20,000	24,000
Non-interest income	1,493	453	1,388
Non-interest expense	(635)	(516)	(411)
Income before income taxes and equity in			
undistributed earnings of direct subsidiaries	16,028	15,106	19,326
Income tax credit	1,823	2,277	1,826
Income before equity in undistributed earnings of direct subsidiaries	17,851	17,383	21,152
Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries	22,457	29,926	20,237
Net income	\$40,308	\$47,309	\$41,389

(1) Other comprehensive income for the Holding Company approximated other comprehensive income for the consolidated Company during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

DIME COMMUNITY BANCSHARES, INC. CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Cash flama from One activities	Year Ended Decembe 2012 2011		er 31, 2010	
Cash flows from Operating Activities: Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$40,308	\$47,309	\$41,389	
Equity in undistributed earnings of direct subsidiaries	(22,457)	(29,926)	(20,237)	
Net gain on the sale of investment securities available for sale	(941)	(22)	(609)	
Net gain on the transfer of securities into trading	-	-	(242)	
Net (gain) loss on trading securities	(103)	34	(75)	
Net amortization	-	-	(66)	
Decrease (Increase) in other assets	1,866	(296)	694	
(Decrease) Increase in other liabilities	(149)	71	(268)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	18,524	17,170	20,586	
Cash flows from Investing Activities: Proceeds from sale of investment securities available-for-sale Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale Reimbursement from subsidiary for purchases of investment securities available-for-sale Net purchases of trading securities Principal collected on MBS available-for-sale Principal repayments on ESOP loan Net cash provided by investing activities	2,418 (403) 3,062 (2,997) 72 154 2,306	226 (291) 275 (318) 70 142 104	2,527 (1,433) - (24) 85 132 1,287	
Cash flows from Financing Activities: Common stock issued for exercise of stock options Cash dividends paid to stockholders Proceeds disbursed for the repayment of subordinated note Proceeds disbursed for the re-acquisition of trust preferred securities payable	5,608 (19,208) -	3,669 (18,887) -	1,012 (18,628) (25,000)	

Net cash used in financing activities	(13,600)	(15,218)	(42,616)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and due from banks Cash and due from banks, beginning of period Cash and due from banks, end of period	7,230 10,454 \$17,684	2,056 8,398 \$10,454	(20,743) 29,141 \$8,398
* * * *			

Exhibit Number

- 3(i) Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. (1)
- 3(ii) Amended and Restated Bylaws of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc.
- 4.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. [See Exhibit 3(i) hereto]
- 4.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. [See Exhibit 3(ii) hereto]
- 4.3 Draft Stock Certificate of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. (2) Second Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, dated as of July 29, 2004, by and among Wilmington Trust Company, as Delaware Trustee, Wilmington Trust Company as
- 4.4 Institutional Trustee, Dime Community Bancshares, Inc., as Sponsor, the Administrators of Dime Community Capital Trust I and the holders from time to time of undivided beneficial interests in the assets of Dime Community Capital Trust I (5)
- 4.5 Indenture, dated as of March 19, 2004, between Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Wilmington Trust Company, as trustee (5)
- Series B Guarantee Agreement, dated as of July 29, 2004, executed and delivered by Dime Community Bancshares, Inc., as Guarantor and Wilmington Trust Company, as Guarantee
- 4.6 Trustee, for the benefit of the holders from time to time of the Series B Capital Securities of Dime Community Capital Trust I (5)
- 10.1 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh and Vincent F. Palagiano (12)
- 10.2 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh and Michael P. Devine (12)
- 10.3 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh and Kenneth J. Mahon (12)
- 10.4 Employment Agreement between Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Vincent F. Palagiano (12)
- 10.5 Employment Agreement between Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Michael P. Devine (12)
- 10.6 Employment Agreement between Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Kenneth J. Mahon (12)
- 10.7 Form of Employee Retention Agreement by and among The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh, Dime Community Bancorp, Inc. and certain officers (14)
- 10.8 The Benefit Maintenance Plan of Dime Community Bancorp, Inc. (11)
- 10.9 Severance Pay Plan of The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh (9)
- 10.10 Retirement Plan for Board Members of Dime Community Bancorp, Inc. (9)
- 10.12 Recognition and Retention Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees of Dime Community Bancorp, Inc., as amended by amendments number 1 and 2 (3)
- Form of stock option agreement for Outside Directors under Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. 1996 and 2001 10.13 Stock Option Plans for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees and the
- 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. (3) Form of stock option agreement for officers and employees under Dime Commu
- Form of stock option agreement for officers and employees under Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. 1996 and 10.14 2001 Stock Option Plans for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees
- and the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (3) 10.15 Form of award notice for outside directors under the Recognition and Retention Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees of Dime Community Bancorp, Inc. (3)
- 10.16 Form of award notice for officers and employees under the Recognition and Retention Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees of Dime Community Bancorp, Inc. (3)
- Option Conversion Certificates between Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and each of Messrs. Russo, Segrete, Calamari, Latawiec, O'Gorman, and Ms. Swaya pursuant to Section 1.6
- (b) of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of July 18, 1998 by and between Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Financial Bancorp, Inc. (4)
- 10.20 Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. 2001 Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees (13)

- 10.21 Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan for Outside Directors, Officers and Employees (8)
- 10.22 Waiver executed by Vincent F. Palagiano (7)
- 10.23 Waiver executed by Michael P. Devine (7)
- 10.24 Waiver executed by Kenneth J. Mahon (7)
- 10.25 Form of restricted stock award notice for officers and employees under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (6)
- 10.27 Form of restricted stock award notice for outside directors under the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (6)
- 10.28 Employee Retention Agreement between The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh, Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Daniel Harris (9)
- 10.29 Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. Annual Incentive Plan (9)
- 10.30 The Dime Savings Bank of Williamsburgh 401(K) Savings Plan (Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2010) (10)
- 10.31 Employee Stock Ownership Plan of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Certain Affiliates (9)
- 10.32 Amendment to the Benefit Maintenance Plan (15)
- 10.33 Amendments to the Employee Stock Ownership Plan of Dime Community Bancshares, Inc. and Certain Affiliates
- 12.1 Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges
- 23.1 Consent of Crowe Horwath LLP

- 31(i).1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- 31(i).2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350 Pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T, the following financial information from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2012 is formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) interactive data files: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and
- 101** Reporting Language) interactive data files: (1) the Consolidated Balance Sneets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, Comprehensive Income, Changes in Stockholders' Equity and Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, and (iv) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.
- ** Furnished, not filed, herewith.
- (1) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Transition Report on Form 10-K for the transition period ended December 31, 2002 filed on March 28, 2003.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1998 filed on September 28, 1998.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1997 filed on September 26, 1997, and the Current Reports on Form 8-K filed on March 22, 2004 and March 29, 2005.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2000 filed on September 28, 2000.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Exhibits to the registrant's Registration Statement No. 333-117743 on Form S-4 filed on July 29, 2004.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 22, 2005.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2005 filed on May 10, 2005.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008 filed on August 8, 2008.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed on March 16, 2009.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 filed on May 10, 2010
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 4, 2011.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 filed on May 10, 2011
- (13) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 filed on August 9, 2011
- (14) Incorporated by reference to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 filed on May 9, 2012
- (15)^{Incorporated} by reference to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 filed on November 13, 2012