

SALISBURY BANCORP INC
Form 10-Q
November 14, 2014

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

Commission file number 0-24751

SALISBURY BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| | |
|--|---|
| Connecticut (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | 06-1514263 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) |
| 5 Bissell Street, Lakeville, CT (Address of principal executive offices) | 06039 (Zip code) |

(860) 435-9801

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of November 14, 2014 is 1,713,281.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited): | |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2014 (unaudited) and December 31, 2013 | 3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited) | 4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited) | 5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited) | 5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 (unaudited) | 6 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) | 8 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 26 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 45 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 46 |
| PART II OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 47 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 48 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 48 |
| Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities | 48 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 48 |
| Item 5. Other Information | 48 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 48 |
| Signatures | 49 |

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Salisbury Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (in thousands, except share data) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | (unaudited) | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 5,759 | \$ 5,926 |
| Interest bearing demand deposits with other banks | 43,066 | 6,785 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 48,825 | 12,711 |
| Interest-bearing time deposits with other banks | — | 738 |
| Securities | | |
| Available-for-sale at fair value | 85,445 | 94,491 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston stock at cost | 3,515 | 5,340 |
| Loans held-for-sale | — | 173 |
| Loans receivable, net (allowance for loan losses: \$5,384 and \$4,683) | 461,913 | 438,178 |
| Other real estate owned | 333 | 377 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | 12,899 | 11,611 |
| Goodwill | 9,829 | 9,829 |
| Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization: \$2,160 and \$1,967) | 872 | 576 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 1,834 | 1,760 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance policies | 8,802 | 7,529 |
| Deferred taxes | — | 260 |
| Other assets | 3,822 | 3,536 |
| Total Assets | \$ 638,089 | \$ 587,109 |
| LIABILITIES and SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Deposits | | |
| Demand (non-interest bearing) | \$ 99,843 | \$ 84,677 |
| Demand (interest bearing) | 81,877 | 81,932 |
| Money market | 132,471 | 120,550 |
| Savings and other | 122,836 | 107,171 |
| Certificates of deposit | 85,267 | 83,039 |
| Total deposits | 522,294 | 477,369 |
| Repurchase agreements | 6,500 | 2,554 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston advances | 29,218 | 30,411 |
| Capital lease liability | 424 | 425 |
| Deferred taxes | 643 | — |
| Accrued interest and other liabilities | 3,494 | 3,560 |
| Total Liabilities | 562,573 | 514,319 |
| Commitments and contingencies | — | |
| Shareholders' Equity | | |
| Preferred stock - \$.01 per share par value | 16,000 | 16,000 |
| Authorized: 25,000; Issued: 16,000 (Series B); | | |
| Liquidation preference: \$1,000 per share | | |
| Common stock - \$.10 per share par value | 171 | 171 |
| Authorized: 3,000,000; | | |

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Issued: 1,713,281 and 1,710,121

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Unearned compensation - restricted stock awards | (254) | (335) |
| Paid-in capital | 13,764 | 13,668 |
| Retained earnings | 42,960 | 42,240 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net | 2,875 | 1,046 |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 75,516 | 72,790 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ 638,089 | \$ 587,109 |

3

Salisbury Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (unaudited)

| Periods ended September 30, (in thousands, except per share amounts) | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|---|-----------------------|---------|----------------------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Interest and dividend income | | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$4,656 | \$4,516 | \$13,983 | \$13,415 |
| Interest on debt securities | | | | |
| Taxable | 330 | 418 | 1,075 | 1,359 |
| Tax exempt | 416 | 475 | 1,294 | 1,441 |
| Other interest and dividends | 42 | 22 | 87 | 58 |
| Total interest and dividend income | 5,444 | 5,431 | 16,439 | 16,273 |
| Interest expense | | | | |
| Deposits | 379 | 459 | 1,079 | 1,437 |
| Repurchase agreements | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 |
| Capital lease | 12 | — | 29 | — |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston advances | 296 | 311 | 892 | 935 |
| Total interest expense | 690 | 772 | 2,005 | 2,376 |
| Net interest income | 4,754 | 4,659 | 14,434 | 13,897 |
| Provision for loan losses | 318 | 240 | 969 | 876 |
| Net interest and dividend income after provision for loan losses | 4,436 | 4,419 | 13,465 | \$13,021 |
| Non-interest income | | | | |
| Trust and wealth advisory | 791 | 750 | 2,509 | 2,299 |
| Service charges and fees | 639 | 595 | 1,807 | 1,687 |
| Gains on sales of mortgage loans, net | — | 69 | 43 | 501 |
| Mortgage servicing, net | 41 | (37) | 80 | (3) |
| Other | 82 | 82 | 234 | 251 |
| Total non-interest income | 1,553 | 1,459 | 4,673 | 4,735 |
| Non-interest expense | | | | |
| Salaries | 1,980 | 1,922 | 5,776 | 5,508 |
| Employee benefits | 697 | 693 | 2,176 | 2,140 |
| Premises and equipment | 728 | 622 | 2,102 | 1,789 |
| Data processing | 420 | 358 | 1,254 | 1,145 |
| Professional fees ⁽¹⁾ | 441 | 306 | 1,485 | 996 |
| Collections and OREO | 77 | 74 | 298 | 305 |
| FDIC insurance | 119 | 111 | 340 | 350 |
| Marketing and community support | 115 | 99 | 355 | 326 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 75 | 56 | 193 | 167 |
| Other | 456 | 402 | 1,307 | 1,232 |
| Total non-interest expense | 5,108 | 4,643 | 15,286 | 13,958 |
| Income before income taxes | 881 | 1,235 | 2,852 | 3,798 |
| Income tax provision | 113 | 219 | 567 | 695 |
| Net income | \$768 | \$1,016 | \$2,285 | \$3,103 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$728 | \$976 | \$2,159 | \$2,982 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$0.43 | \$0.57 | \$1.26 | \$1.75 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | 0.43 | 0.57 | 1.26 | 1.75 |
| Common dividends per share | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.84 | 0.84 |

(1) Includes one-time professional fees of \$126,000 and \$464,000 incurred in conjunction with the following strategic initiatives for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2014: (i) the acquisition of the Sharon, CT branch office of another institution and related branch deposits and the consolidation of an existing Salisbury branch office in Sharon, CT with such branch, which was consummated in June of 2014, and (ii) the execution of an agreement to merge Riverside Bank of Poughkeepsie, NY with and into Salisbury Bank, which agreement was announced March 19, 2014, approved by the shareholders of both Salisbury and Riverside Bank on October 29, 2014 and approved by the FDIC and Connecticut Department of Banking on November 3, 2014 and November 5, 2014, respectively.

Salisbury Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (unaudited)**

| Three and nine months ended September 30, (in thousands) | Three months ended | | Nine months ended | |
|--|--------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net income | \$768 | \$1,016 | \$2,285 | \$3,103 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | | |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available-for-sale | 342 | (1,240) | 2,771 | (3,741) |
| Reclassification of net realized gains in net income | — | — | — | — |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on securities available-for-sale | 342 | (1,240) | 2,771 | (3,741) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (116) | 422 | (942) | 1,272 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on securities available-for-sale, net of tax | 226 | (818) | 1,829 | (2,469) |
| Change in unrecognized pension plan costs | — | — | — | — |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | — | — | — | — |
| Pension plan income (loss), net of tax | — | — | — | — |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 226 | (818) | 1,829 | (2,469) |
| Comprehensive income | \$994 | \$198 | \$4,114 | \$634 |

Salisbury Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (unaudited)**

| (dollars in thousands) | Common Stock | | Preferred stock | Paid-in capital | Retained earnings | Unearned compensation-restricted stock awards | Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | Total shareholders' equity |
|--|--------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | | | | | | |
| Balances at December 31, 2012 | 1,689,691 | \$169 | \$16,000 | \$13,158 | \$40,233 | \$— | \$2,437 | \$71,9 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | 3,103 | — | — | 3,103 |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of tax | — | — | — | — | — | — | (2,469) | (2,469) |
| Common stock dividends declared | — | — | — | — | (1,436) | — | — | (1,436) |
| Preferred stock dividends declared | — | — | — | — | (121) | — | — | (121) |
| Issuance of restricted common stock | 19,600 | 2 | — | 488 | — | (490) | — | — |
| Forfeiture of restricted common stock | (500) | — | — | (12) | — | 12 | — | — |
| Stock based compensation-restricted stock awards | — | — | — | — | — | 103 | — | 103 |
| Issuance of common stock for director fees | 1,330 | — | — | 34 | — | — | — | 34 |

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Balances at September 30, 2013 | 1,710,121 | \$ 171 | \$ 16,000 | \$ 13,668 | \$ 41,779 | \$(375 |) \$(32 |) \$71,2 |
| Balances at December 31, 2013 | 1,710,121 | \$ 171 | \$ 16,000 | \$ 13,668 | \$ 42,240 | \$(335 |) \$1,046 | \$72,7 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | 2,285 | — | — | 2,28 |
| Other comprehensive income, net of tax | — | — | — | — | — | — | 1,829 | 1,82 |
| Common stock dividends declared | — | — | — | — | (1,439 |) — | — | (1,4 |
| Preferred stock dividends declared | — | — | — | — | (126 |) — | — | (126 |
| Issuance of restricted common stock | 3,000 | — | — | 81 | — | (81 |) — | — |
| Forfeiture of restricted common stock | (2,000 |) — | — | (50 |) — | 50 | — | — |
| Stock based compensation-restricted stock awards | — | — | — | — | — | 112 | — | 112 |
| Issuance of common stock for director fees | 2,160 | — | — | 65 | — | — | — | 65 |
| Balances at September 30, 2014 | 1,713,281 | \$ 171 | \$ 16,000 | \$ 13,764 | \$ 42,960 | \$(254 |) \$2,875 | \$75,5 |

Salisbury Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

| Nine months ended September 30, (in thousands) unaudited | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$2,285 | \$3,103 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Amortization (accretion) and depreciation | | |
| Securities | 163 | 366 |
| Bank premises and equipment | 737 | 642 |
| Core deposit intangible | 193 | 167 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 220 | 298 |
| Fair value adjustment on loans | 24 | 24 |
| Fair value adjustment on deposits | 53 | — |
| (Gains) and losses | | |
| Gain on sale of loans | (39) | (239) |
| Loss on sale of other real estate owned | 4 | 9 |
| Loss on sale/disposals of premises and equipment | 5 | 34 |
| Provision for loan losses | 969 | 876 |
| Proceeds from loans sold | 3,536 | 15,670 |
| Loans originated for sale | (3,324) | (14,260) |
| Increase in deferred loan origination fees and costs, net | (21) | (117) |
| Mortgage servicing rights originated | (6) | (261) |
| (Increase) decrease in mortgage servicing rights impairment reserve | (14) | 4 |
| Increase in interest receivable | (74) | (5) |
| Deferred tax benefit | (39) | (37) |
| (Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses | (81) | 542 |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance policies | (173) | (185) |
| (Increase) decrease in income tax receivable | (329) | 352 |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | (76) | 63 |
| (Decrease) increase in accrued expenses | (144) | 436 |
| Decrease in interest payable | (5) | (29) |
| Increase in other liabilities | 82 | 76 |
| Issuance of shares for director fees | 65 | 34 |
| Compensation expense - restricted stock | 112 | 103 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 4,123 | 7,666 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Redemption of Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 1,825 | 407 |
| Maturities (purchases) of interest-bearing time deposits with other banks | 738 | (5,220) |
| Proceeds from calls of securities available-for-sale | 4,115 | 1,650 |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities available-for-sale | 7,539 | 20,714 |
| Loan originations and principal collections, net | (24,694) | (34,040) |
| Recoveries of loans previously charged-off | 50 | 20 |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned | 40 | 1,353 |
| Capital expenditures | (1,872) | (409) |
| Premiums paid on bank-owned life insurance | (1,100) | — |
| Cash and cash equivalents acquired from Sharon, CT branch office of another institution | 17,462 | — |
| Net cash provided (utilized) by investing activities | 4,103 | (15,525) |
| Financing Activities | | |

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Increase (decrease) in deposit transaction accounts, net | 24,527 | (1,753) |
| Increase (decrease) in time deposits, net | 2,174 | (9,593) |
| Decrease in capital lease liability | (1) | — |
| Increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase, net | 3,946 | 2,086 |
| Principal payments on Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston advances | (1,193) | (1,179) |
| Common stock dividends paid | (1,439) | (1,436) |
| Preferred stock dividends paid | (126) | (121) |
| Net cash provided (utilized) by financing activities | 27,888 | (11,996) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 36,114 | (19,855) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 12,711 | 43,574 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$48,825 | \$23,719 |

Salisbury Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)**

| Nine months ended September 30, (in thousands) unaudited | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|---------|---------|
| Cash paid during period | | |
| Interest | \$2,010 | \$2,405 |
| Income taxes | 935 | 380 |
| Non-cash transfers | | |
| Transfer from loans to other real estate owned | — | 1,689 |
| Sharon branch acquisition | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents acquired | 17,462 | — |
| Net loans acquired | 63 | — |
| Fixed assets acquired | 158 | — |
| Core deposit intangible | 489 | — |
| Deposits assumed | 18,171 | — |
| Accrued interest payable assumed | 1 | — |

Salisbury Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The interim (unaudited) consolidated financial statements of Salisbury Bancorp, Inc. ("Salisbury") include those of Salisbury and its wholly owned subsidiary, Salisbury Bank and Trust Company (the "Bank"). In the opinion of management, the interim unaudited consolidated financial statements include all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position of Salisbury and the statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for the interim periods presented.

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make extensive use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the balance sheet, and revenues and expenses for the period. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of loans. In connection with the determination of the allowance for loan losses and valuation of real estate, management obtains independent appraisals for significant properties.

Certain financial information, which is normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, but which is not required for interim reporting purposes, has been condensed or omitted. Operating results for the interim period ended September 30, 2014 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2014. The accompanying condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in Salisbury's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013.

The allowance for loan losses is a significant accounting policy and is presented in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and in Management's Discussion and Analysis, which provides information on how significant assets are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined. Based on the valuation techniques used and the sensitivity of financial statement amounts to the methods, assumptions and estimates underlying those amounts, management has identified the determination of the allowance for loan losses to be the accounting area that requires the most subjective judgments, and as such could be most subject to revision as new information becomes available.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements Issued

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-01, "Investments - Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323): Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects." The amendments in this ASU apply to all reporting entities that invest in qualified affordable housing projects through limited liability entities that are flow-through entities for tax purposes as follows:

1. For reporting entities that meet the conditions for and that elect to use the proportional amortization method to account for investments in qualified affordable housing projects, all amendments in this ASU apply.
2. For reporting entities that do not meet the conditions for or that do not elect the proportional amortization method, only the amendments in this ASU that are related to disclosures apply.

The amendments in this ASU permit reporting entities to make an accounting policy election to account for their investments in qualified affordable housing projects using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, an entity amortizes the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognize the net investment performance in the income statement as a component of income tax expense (benefit). For those investments in qualified affordable housing projects not accounted for using the proportional amortization method, the investment should be accounted for as an equity method investment or a cost method investment in accordance with Subtopic 970-323. The amendments in this ASU should be applied retrospectively to all periods presented. A reporting entity that uses the effective yield method to account for its investments in qualified affordable housing projects before the date of adoption may continue to apply the effective yield method for those preexisting investments. The amendments in this ASU are effective for public business entities for annual periods and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this ASU will have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-04, "Receivables-Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure." The objective of the amendments in this ASU is to reduce diversity by clarifying when an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, that is, when a creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan such that the loan receivable should be derecognized and the real estate property recognized. The amendments in this ASU clarify that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs; and a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan, upon either (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of a foreclosure or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. Additionally, the amendments require interim and annual disclosure of both (1) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and (2) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. The amendments in this ASU are effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, "Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant, and Equipment (Topic 360): Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity." This ASU changes the criteria for reporting discontinued operations and modifies related disclosure requirements. The new guidance is effective on a prospective basis for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within those years. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)." The objective of this ASU is to clarify principles for recognizing revenue and to develop a common revenue standard for GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The guidance in this ASU affects any entity that either enters into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services or enters into contracts for the transfer of nonfinancial assets unless those contracts are within the scope of other standards. The core principal of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. For public entities, the amendments in this update are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early application is not permitted. The Company anticipates that the adoption of this ASU will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-11, "Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures." The amendments in this ASU require two accounting changes. First, the amendments in this ASU change the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions to secured borrowing accounting. Second, for repurchase financing arrangements, the amendments require separate accounting for a transfer of a financial asset executed contemporaneously with a repurchase agreement with the same counterparty, which will result in secured borrowing accounting for the repurchase agreement. This ASU also includes new disclosure requirements. The accounting changes in this Update are effective for public business entities for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2014. An entity is required to present changes in accounting for transactions outstanding on the effective date as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. Earlier application for a public business entity is prohibited; however, all other entities may elect to apply the requirements for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company anticipates that the adoption of this ASU will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, “Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period.” The amendments in this ASU require that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. A reporting entity should apply existing guidance in Topic 718 as it relates to awards with performance conditions that affect vesting to account for such awards. This ASU is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015. Earlier adoption is permitted. ASU 2014-12 may be adopted either (a) prospectively to all awards granted or modified after the effective date or (b) retrospectively to all awards with performance targets that are outstanding as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented in the financial statements, and to all new or modified awards thereafter. If retrospective transition is adopted, the cumulative effect of applying this update as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented in the financial statements should be recognized as an adjustment to the opening retained earnings balance at that date. The Company is currently reviewing this ASU to determine if it will have an impact on its consolidated financial statements.

The Company anticipates that the adoption of this ASU will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements. In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-13, "Consolidation (Topic 810): Measuring the Financial Assets and the Financial Liabilities of a Consolidated Collateralized Financing Entity." This ASU applies to entities that meet the following criteria:

1. they are required to consolidate a collateralized entity under the Variable Interest Entities guidance;
2. they measure all of the financial assets and the financial liabilities of that consolidated collateralized financing entity at fair value in the consolidated financial statements based on other FASB rules; and
3. those changes in fair value are reflected in earnings.

Under ASU 2014-13, entities that meet these criteria are provided an alternative under which they can choose to eliminate the difference between the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities of a consolidated collateralized financing entity. If that alternative is not elected, then ASU 2014-13 indicates that the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities of the consolidated collateralized financing entity should be measured in accordance with ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurement" and differences between the fair value of the financial assets and the financial liabilities of that consolidated collateralized financing entity should be reflected in earnings and attributed to the reporting entity in the consolidated statement of income or loss. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company anticipates that the adoption of this ASU will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-14, "Receivables - Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Classification of Certain Government - Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure." The amendments in this ASU require that a mortgage loan be derecognized and that a separate other receivable be recognized upon foreclosure if the following conditions are met:

1. the loan has a government guarantee that is not separable from the loan before foreclosure;
2. at the time of foreclosure, the creditor has the intent to convey the real estate property to the guarantor and make a claim on the guarantee, and the creditor has the ability to recover under that claim; and
3. at the time of foreclosure, any amount of the claim that is determined on the basis of the fair value of the real estate is fixed.

Upon foreclosure, the separate other receivable should be measured based on the amount of the loan balance (principal and interest) expected to be recovered from the guarantor. The amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company anticipates that the adoption of this ASU will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 2 - SECURITIES

The composition of securities is as follows:

| (in thousands) | Amortized cost (1) | Gross un- realized gains | Gross un-realized losses | Fair value |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| September 30, 2014 | | | | |
| Available-for-sale | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury notes | \$ 2,498 | \$ 122 | \$ — | \$ 2,620 |
| U.S. Government Agency notes | 2,501 | 16 | — | 2,517 |
| Municipal bonds | 37,770 | 1,310 | (190) | 38,890 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | 28,412 | 610 | (21) | 29,001 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | 2,849 | 25 | — | 2,874 |
| Non-agency | 6,398 | 537 | (8) | 6,927 |
| SBA bonds | 1,566 | 113 | — | 1,679 |
| Preferred stock | 20 | 917 | — | 937 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | \$ 82,014 | \$ 3,650 | \$ (219) | \$ 85,445 |
| Non-marketable securities | | | | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston stock | \$ 3,515 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 3,515 |

| (in thousands) | Amortized cost (1) | Gross un- realized gains | Gross un-realized losses | Fair value |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Available-for-sale | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury notes | \$ 2,497 | \$ 160 | \$ — | \$ 2,657 |
| U.S. Government Agency notes | 2,507 | 83 | — | 2,590 |
| Municipal bonds | 41,775 | 782 | (2,120) | 40,437 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | 33,522 | 442 | (72) | 33,892 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | | | | |
| U.S. Government Agencies | 3,545 | 35 | — | 3,580 |
| Non-agency | 7,923 | 401 | (16) | 8,308 |
| SBA bonds | 2,042 | 188 | — | 2,230 |
| Preferred stock | 20 | 777 | — | 797 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | \$ 93,831 | \$ 2,868 | \$ (2,208) | \$ 94,491 |
| Non-marketable securities | | | | |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston stock | \$ 5,340 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 5,340 |

(1) Net of other-than-temporary impairment write-downs recognized in earnings.

Salisbury did not sell any securities available-for-sale during the nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes, for all securities in an unrealized loss position, including debt securities for which a portion of other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) has been recognized in other comprehensive income, the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss of securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position as of the date presented:

| (in thousands) | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses |
| September 30, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale | | | | | | |
| Municipal bonds | \$1,040 | \$1 | \$4,044 | \$189 | \$5,084 | \$190 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 557 | 2 | 1,948 | 19 | 2,505 | 21 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | | | | | | |
| Non-agency | 391 | 4 | 169 | 4 | 560 | 8 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | 1,988 | 7 | 6,161 | 212 | 8,149 | 219 |
| Other-than-temporarily impaired securities | | | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | | | | | | |
| Non-agency | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Total temporarily and other-than-temporarily impaired securities | \$1,988 | \$7 | \$6,161 | \$212 | \$8,149 | \$219 |

| (in thousands) | Less than 12 Months | | 12 Months or Longer | | Total | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses | Fair value | Unrealized losses |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Available-for-sale | | | | | | |
| Municipal bonds | \$19,714 | \$1,428 | \$2,323 | \$692 | \$22,037 | \$2,120 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 15,096 | 20 | 2,132 | 52 | 17,228 | 72 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | | | | | | |
| Non-agency | 398 | 2 | 294 | 10 | 692 | 12 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | 35,208 | 1,450 | 4,749 | 754 | 39,957 | 2,204 |
| Other-than-temporarily impaired securities | | | | | | |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | | | | | | |
| Non-agency | 320 | 4 | — | — | 320 | 4 |
| Total temporarily and other-than-temporarily impaired securities | \$35,528 | \$1,454 | \$4,749 | \$754 | \$40,277 | \$2,208 |

Salisbury evaluates securities for OTTI where the fair value of a security is less than its amortized cost basis at the balance sheet date. As part of this process, Salisbury considers whether it has the intent to sell each debt security and whether it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery. If either of these conditions is met, Salisbury recognizes an OTTI charge to earnings equal to the entire difference between the security’s amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. For securities that meet neither of these conditions, an analysis is performed to determine if any of these securities are at risk for OTTI.

The following summarizes, by security type, the basis for evaluating if the applicable securities were OTTI at September 30, 2014.

U.S Government Agency notes, U.S. Government Agency mortgage-backed securities and U.S. Government Agency CMOs: The contractual cash flows are derived from U.S. government agencies and U.S. government-sponsored enterprises. Changes in fair values are a function of changes in investment spreads and interest rate movements and

not changes in credit quality. Management expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities. Furthermore, Salisbury evaluates these securities for strategic fit and may reduce its position in these securities, although it is not more likely than not that Salisbury will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their cost basis, which may be maturity. Therefore, management does not consider these securities to be OTTI at September 30, 2014.

Municipal bonds: Contractual cash flows are performing as expected. Salisbury purchased substantially all of these securities during 2006 to 2008 as bank qualified, insured, AAA rated general obligation or revenue bonds. Salisbury's portfolio is mostly comprised of tax-exempt general obligation bonds or public-purpose revenue bonds for schools, municipal offices, sewer infrastructure and fire houses, for small towns and municipalities across the United States. In the wake of the financial crisis, most monoline bond insurers had their ratings downgraded or withdrawn because of excessive exposure to insurance for collateralized debt obligations. Where appropriate, Salisbury performs credit underwriting reviews to assess default risk of issuers, including some that have had their ratings withdrawn and are insured by insurers that have had their ratings withdrawn. For all completed reviews, pass credit risk ratings have been assigned. Management expects to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities. It is not more likely than not that Salisbury will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their cost basis, which may be maturity. Management does not consider these securities to be OTTI at September 30, 2014.

Non-agency CMOs: Salisbury performed a detailed cash flow analysis of its non-agency CMOs at September 30, 2014, to assess whether any of the securities were OTTI. Salisbury uses first party provided cash flow forecasts for each security based on a variety of market driven assumptions and securitization terms, including prepayment speed, default or delinquency rate, and default severity for losses including interest, legal fees, property repairs, expenses and realtor fees, that, together with the loan amount are subtracted from collateral sales proceeds to determine severity. In 2009, Salisbury determined that five non-agency CMO securities reflected OTTI and recognized losses for deterioration in credit quality of \$1,128,000. Salisbury judged the four remaining securities not to have additional OTTI and all other CMO securities not to be OTTI as of September 30, 2014. It is possible that future loss assumptions could change necessitating Salisbury to recognize future OTTI for further deterioration in credit quality. Salisbury evaluates these securities for strategic fit and depending upon such factor could reduce its position in these securities, although it has no present intention to do so, and it is not more likely than not that Salisbury will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their cost basis.

The following table presents activity related to credit losses recognized into earnings on the non-agency CMOs held by Salisbury for which a portion of an OTTI charge was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income:

| Nine months ended September 30 (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 |
|---|---------|---------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$1,128 | \$1,128 |
| Credit component on debt securities in which OTTI was not previously recognized | — | — |
| Balance, end of period | \$1,128 | \$1,128 |

Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (“FHLBB”): The FHLBB is a cooperative that provides services, including funding in the form of advances, to its member banking institutions. As a requirement of membership, the Bank must own a minimum amount of FHLBB stock, calculated periodically based primarily on its level of borrowings from the FHLBB. No market exists for shares of the FHLBB and therefore, they are carried at par value. FHLBB stock may be redeemed at par value five years following termination of FHLBB membership, subject to limitations which may be imposed by the FHLBB or its regulator, the Federal Housing Finance Board, to maintain capital adequacy of the FHLBB. While the Bank currently has no intentions to terminate its FHLBB membership, the ability to redeem its investment in FHLBB stock would be subject to the conditions imposed by the FHLBB. In 2008, the FHLBB announced to its members that it is focusing on preserving capital in response to ongoing market volatility including the extension of a moratorium on excess stock repurchases and in 2009 announced the suspension of its quarterly dividends. On February 22, 2011, the FHLBB declared a modest cash dividend payable to its members on March 2, 2011. The FHLBB has continued to declare modest cash dividends through 2014. Based on the capital adequacy and the liquidity position of the FHLBB, management believes there is no impairment related to the carrying amount of the Bank’s FHLBB stock as of September 30, 2014. Further deterioration of the FHLBB’s capital levels may require the Bank to deem its restricted investment in FHLBB stock to be OTTI. If evidence of impairment exists in the future, the FHLBB stock would reflect fair value using either observable or unobservable inputs. The Bank will continue to monitor its investment in FHLBB stock.

NOTE 3 - LOANS

The composition of loans receivable and loans held-for-sale is as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Residential 1-4 family | \$242,222 | \$231,113 |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 5,397 | 4,848 |
| Construction of residential 1-4 family | 2,042 | 1,876 |
| Home equity credit | 34,826 | 34,139 |
| Residential real estate | 284,487 | 271,976 |
| Commercial | 96,096 | 91,853 |
| Construction of commercial | 17,865 | 10,948 |
| Commercial real estate | 113,961 | 102,801 |
| Farm land | 3,301 | 3,402 |
| Vacant land | 9,037 | 9,067 |
| Real estate secured | 410,786 | 387,246 |
| Commercial and industrial | 45,100 | 46,292 |
| Municipal | 6,181 | 4,252 |
| Consumer | 4,027 | 3,889 |
| Loans receivable, gross | 466,094 | 441,679 |
| Deferred loan origination fees and costs, net | 1,203 | 1,182 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (5,384) | (4,683) |
| Loans receivable, net | \$461,913 | \$438,178 |
| Loans held-for-sale | | |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$— | \$173 |

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Salisbury's loans consist primarily of residential and commercial real estate loans located principally in northwestern Connecticut and nearby New York and Massachusetts towns, which constitute Salisbury's service area. Salisbury offers a broad range of loan and credit facilities to borrowers in its service area, including residential mortgage loans, commercial real estate loans, construction loans, working capital loans, equipment loans, and a variety of consumer loans, including home equity lines of credit, and installment and collateral loans. All residential and commercial mortgage loans are collateralized by first or second mortgages on real estate. The ability of single family residential and consumer borrowers to honor their repayment commitments is generally dependent on the level of overall economic activity within the market area and real estate values. The ability of commercial borrowers to honor their repayment commitments is dependent on the general economy as well as the health of the real estate economic sector in Salisbury's market area.

Loan Credit Quality

The composition of loans receivable by risk rating grade is as follows:

| (in thousands) | Pass | Special mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss | Total |
|--|-----------|--------------------|-------------|----------|------|-----------|
| September 30, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$221,221 | \$13,755 | \$ 7,152 | \$ 94 | \$ — | \$242,222 |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 3,253 | 1,079 | 1,065 | — | — | 5,397 |
| Construction of residential 1-4 family | 2,042 | — | — | — | — | 2,042 |
| Home equity credit | 32,183 | 1,179 | 1,464 | — | — | 34,826 |
| Residential real estate | 258,699 | 16,013 | 9,681 | 94 | — | 284,487 |
| Commercial | 76,287 | 11,756 | 8,053 | — | — | 96,096 |
| Construction of commercial | 16,721 | 563 | 581 | — | — | 17,865 |
| Commercial real estate | 93,008 | 12,319 | 8,634 | — | — | 113,961 |
| Farm land | 817 | 1,378 | 1,106 | — | — | 3,301 |
| Vacant land | 5,745 | 143 | 3,149 | — | — | 9,037 |
| Real estate secured | 358,269 | 29,853 | 22,570 | 94 | — | 410,786 |
| Commercial and industrial | 39,648 | 4,641 | 811 | — | — | 45,100 |
| Municipal | 6,181 | — | — | — | — | 6,181 |
| Consumer | 3,918 | 81 | 28 | — | — | 4,027 |
| Loans receivable, gross | \$408,016 | \$34,575 | \$ 23,409 | \$ 94 | \$ — | \$466,094 |
| (in thousands) | Pass | Special mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss | Total |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$212,683 | \$12,338 | \$ 5,997 | \$ 95 | \$ — | \$231,113 |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 2,674 | 1,199 | 975 | — | — | 4,848 |
| Construction of residential 1-4 family | 1,876 | — | — | — | — | 1,876 |
| Home equity credit | 31,444 | 1,355 | 1,340 | — | — | 34,139 |
| Residential real estate | 248,677 | 14,892 | 8,312 | 95 | — | 271,976 |
| Commercial | 67,554 | 16,044 | 8,255 | — | — | 91,853 |
| Construction of commercial | 10,257 | 102 | 589 | — | — | 10,948 |
| Commercial real estate | 77,811 | 16,146 | 8,844 | — | — | 102,801 |
| Farm land | 847 | 1,421 | 1,134 | — | — | 3,402 |
| Vacant land | 5,640 | 288 | 3,139 | — | — | 9,067 |
| Real estate secured | 332,975 | 32,747 | 21,429 | 95 | — | 387,246 |
| Commercial and industrial | 37,860 | 7,452 | 980 | — | — | 46,292 |
| Municipal | 4,252 | — | — | — | — | 4,252 |
| Consumer | 3,739 | 113 | 37 | — | — | 3,889 |
| Loans receivable, gross | \$378,826 | \$40,312 | \$ 22,446 | \$ 95 | \$ — | \$441,679 |

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The composition of loans receivable by delinquency status is as follows:

| (in thousands) | Current | Past due | | | | | 180 days and over | 30 days and over | Accruing 90 days and over | Non- accrual |
|--|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | 1-29 days | 30-59 days | 60-89 days | 90-179 days | 90-179 days | | | | |
| September 30, 2014 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$231,727 | \$6,752 | \$71 | \$1,583 | \$1,793 | \$296 | \$3,743 | \$453 | \$2,589 | |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 5,306 | — | 1 | 90 | — | — | 91 | — | 90 | |
| Construction of residential 1-4 family | 2,042 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Home equity credit | 34,222 | 77 | 96 | 15 | 317 | 99 | 527 | — | 630 | |
| Residential real estate | 273,297 | 6,829 | 168 | 1,688 | 2,110 | 395 | 4,361 | 453 | 3,309 | |
| Commercial | 93,383 | 1,153 | 132 | 193 | — | 1,235 | 1,560 | — | 1,427 | |
| Construction of commercial | 17,865 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 131 | |
| Commercial real estate | 111,248 | 1,153 | 132 | 193 | — | 1,235 | 1,560 | — | 1,558 | |
| Farm land | 2,180 | 722 | 15 | — | — | 384 | 399 | — | 384 | |
| Vacant land | 5,957 | 190 | 25 | — | — | 2,865 | 2,890 | — | 2,865 | |
| Real estate secured | 392,682 | 8,894 | 340 | 1,881 | 2,110 | 4,879 | 9,210 | 453 | 8,116 | |
| Commercial and industrial | 44,341 | 696 | 20 | 28 | 15 | — | 63 | — | 20 | |
| Municipal | 6,181 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Consumer | 3,959 | 55 | 6 | 7 | — | — | 13 | — | 22 | |
| Loans receivable, gross | \$447,163 | \$9,645 | \$366 | \$1,916 | \$2,125 | \$4,879 | \$9,286 | \$453 | \$8,158 | |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$222,356 | \$3,853 | \$1,795 | \$2,622 | \$353 | \$134 | \$4,904 | \$— | \$1,525 | |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 4,749 | — | — | 99 | — | — | 99 | — | — | |
| Construction of residential 1-4 family | 1,876 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Home equity credit | 33,391 | 129 | 361 | 125 | — | 133 | 619 | — | 402 | |
| Residential real estate | 262,372 | 3,982 | 2,156 | 2,846 | 353 | 267 | 5,622 | — | 1,927 | |
| Commercial | 89,434 | 566 | 371 | 108 | 235 | 1,139 | 1,853 | — | 1,857 | |
| Construction of commercial | 9,784 | 1,025 | — | 139 | — | — | 139 | — | — | |
| Commercial real estate | 99,218 | 1,591 | 371 | 247 | 235 | 1,139 | 1,992 | — | 1,857 | |
| Farm land | 2,995 | 23 | — | — | — | 384 | 384 | — | 384 | |
| Vacant land | 6,058 | 139 | — | — | — | 2,870 | 2,870 | — | 2,870 | |
| Real estate secured | 370,643 | 5,735 | 2,527 | 3,093 | 588 | 4,660 | 10,868 | — | 7,038 | |
| Commercial and industrial | 45,897 | 262 | 112 | — | — | 21 | 133 | — | 134 | |
| Municipal | 4,252 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Consumer | 3,746 | 113 | 29 | 1 | — | — | 30 | — | — | |
| Loans receivable, gross | \$424,538 | \$6,110 | \$2,668 | \$3,094 | \$588 | \$4,681 | \$11,031 | \$— | \$7,172 | |

Troubled Debt Restructurings

Troubled debt restructurings occurring during the periods are as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, 2014 | | Nine months ended September 30, 2014 | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Quantity | Pre-modification balance | Post-modification balance | Quantity | Pre-modification balance | Post-modification balance |
| Residential real estate | 1 | \$ 207 | \$ 207 | 2 | \$ 237 | \$ 237 |
| Commercial real estate | 1 | 399 | 399 | 3 | 846 | 846 |
| Construction of commercial | 1 | 131 | 131 | 1 | 131 | 131 |
| Home equity credit | — | — | — | 2 | 72 | 72 |
| Troubled debt restructurings | 3 | \$ 737 | \$ 737 | 8 | \$ 1,286 | \$ 1,286 |
| Interest only and term extension | — | \$ — | \$ — | 1 | \$ 48 | \$ 48 |
| Term extension and amortization | 2 | 338 | 338 | 2 | 338 | 338 |
| Debt consolidation and term extension | — | — | — | 2 | 447 | 447 |
| Debt consolidation, rate reduction, term extension | 1 | 399 | 399 | 1 | 399 | 399 |
| Interest only | — | — | — | 2 | 54 | 54 |
| Troubled debt restructurings | 3 | \$ 737 | \$ 737 | 8 | \$ 1,286 | \$ 1,286 |

Three loans were restructured during the quarter ended September 30, 2014 and all were current at September 30, 2014.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Changes in the allowance for loan losses are as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three months ended September 30, 2014 | | | | Nine months ended September 30, 2014 | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|----------------|
| | Beginning balance | Provision (benefit) | Charge-offs | Recoveries | Ending balance | Beginning balance | Provision (benefit) | Charge-offs | Recoveries | Ending balance |
| Residential | \$1,974 | \$357 | \$(46) | \$16 | \$2,301 | \$1,938 | \$494 | \$(149) | \$18 | \$2,301 |
| Commercial | 1,622 | 89 | — | — | 1,711 | 1,385 | 378 | (52) | — | 1,711 |
| Land | 184 | (17) | (6) | — | 161 | 226 | 33 | (98) | — | 161 |
| Real estate | 3,780 | 429 | (52) | 16 | 4,173 | 3,549 | 905 | (299) | 18 | 4,173 |
| Commercial and industrial | 584 | (68) | — | 1 | 517 | 561 | (57) | (1) | 14 | 517 |
| Municipal | 44 | 18 | — | — | 62 | 43 | 19 | — | — | 62 |
| Consumer | 49 | 11 | (3) | 2 | 59 | 105 | (46) | (18) | 18 | 59 |
| Unallocated | 645 | (72) | — | — | 573 | 425 | 148 | — | — | 573 |
| Totals | \$5,102 | \$318 | \$(55) | \$19 | \$5,384 | \$4,683 | \$969 | \$(318) | \$50 | \$5,384 |

The composition of loans receivable and the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

| (in thousands) | Collectively evaluated | | Individually evaluated | | Total portfolio | |
|--|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| | Loans | Allowance | Loans | Allowance | Loans | Allowance |
| September 30, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$235,995 | \$1,302 | \$6,227 | \$499 | \$242,222 | \$1,801 |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 4,370 | 66 | 1,027 | 3 | 5,397 | 69 |
| Construction of residential 1-4 family | 2,042 | 17 | — | — | 2,042 | 17 |
| Home equity credit | 34,148 | 353 | 678 | 61 | 34,826 | 414 |
| Residential real estate | 276,555 | 1,738 | 7,932 | 563 | 284,487 | 2,301 |
| Commercial | 91,393 | 994 | 4,703 | 527 | 96,096 | 1,521 |
| Construction of commercial | 17,734 | 190 | 131 | — | 17,865 | 190 |
| Commercial real estate | 109,127 | 1,184 | 4,834 | 527 | 113,961 | 1,711 |
| Farm land | 2,917 | 59 | 384 | — | 3,301 | 59 |
| Vacant land | 5,955 | 64 | 3,082 | 38 | 9,037 | 102 |
| Real estate secured | 394,554 | 3,045 | 16,232 | 1,128 | 410,786 | 4,173 |
| Commercial and industrial | 44,503 | 490 | 597 | 27 | 45,100 | 517 |
| Municipal | 6,181 | 62 | — | — | 6,181 | 62 |
| Consumer | 3,945 | 37 | 82 | 22 | 4,027 | 59 |
| Unallocated allowance | — | 573 | — | — | — | 573 |
| Totals | \$449,183 | \$4,207 | \$16,911 | \$1,177 | \$466,094 | \$5,384 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| (in thousands) | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$225,419 | \$897 | \$5,694 | \$617 | \$231,113 | \$1,514 |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 3,894 | 20 | 954 | — | 4,848 | 20 |
| Construction of residential 1-4 family | 1,876 | 11 | — | — | 1,876 | 11 |
| Home equity credit | 33,689 | 363 | 450 | 30 | 34,139 | 393 |
| Residential real estate | 264,878 | 1,291 | 7,098 | 647 | 271,976 | 1,938 |

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Commercial | 87,059 | 977 | 4,794 | 282 | 91,853 | 1,259 |
| Construction of commercial | 10,948 | 126 | — | — | 10,948 | 126 |
| Commercial real estate | 98,007 | 1,103 | 4,794 | 282 | 102,801 | 1,385 |
| Farm land | 3,018 | 61 | 384 | — | 3,402 | 61 |
| Vacant land | 5,972 | 64 | 3,095 | 101 | 9,067 | 165 |
| Real estate secured | 371,875 | 2,519 | 15,371 | 1,030 | 387,246 | 3,549 |
| Commercial and industrial | 45,584 | 519 | 708 | 42 | 46,292 | 561 |
| Municipal | 4,252 | 43 | — | — | 4,252 | 43 |
| Consumer | 3,710 | 36 | 179 | 69 | 3,889 | 105 |
| Unallocated allowance | — | 425 | — | — | — | 425 |
| Totals | \$425,421 | \$3,542 | \$16,258 | \$1,141 | \$441,679 | \$4,683 |

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

The credit quality segments of loans receivable and the allowance for loan losses are as follows:

| (in thousands) | Collectively evaluated | | Individually evaluated | | Total portfolio | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| | Loans | Allowance | Loans | Allowance | Loans | Allowance |
| September 30, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Performing loans | \$439,458 | \$3,112 | \$61 | \$21 | \$439,519 | \$3,133 |
| Potential problem loans | 9,725 | 522 | 12 | — | 9,737 | 522 |
| Impaired loans | — | — | 16,838 | 1,156 | 16,838 | 1,156 |
| Unallocated allowance | — | 573 | — | — | — | 573 |
| Totals | \$449,183 | \$4,207 | \$16,911 | \$1,177 | \$466,094 | \$5,384 |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Performing loans | \$416,734 | \$2,835 | \$157 | \$69 | \$416,891 | \$2,904 |
| Potential problem loans | 8,687 | 282 | 429 | 19 | 9,116 | 301 |
| Impaired loans | — | — | 15,672 | 1,053 | 15,672 | 1,053 |
| Unallocated allowance | — | 425 | — | — | — | 425 |
| Totals | \$425,421 | \$3,542 | \$16,258 | \$1,141 | \$441,679 | \$4,683 |

| (in thousands) | Impaired loans with specific allowance | | | | | Impaired loans with no specific allowance | | | |
|----------------------------|--|----------|----------|--------------------|-------------------|---|---------|---------|-------------------|
| | Loan balance Book | Note | Average | Specific allowance | Income recognized | Loan balance Book | Note | Average | Income recognized |
| September 30, 2014 | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential | \$5,107 | \$5,252 | \$4,392 | \$503 | \$100 | \$2,146 | \$2,252 | \$2,779 | \$38 |
| Home equity credit | 179 | 184 | 110 | 61 | — | 499 | 513 | 454 | 4 |
| Residential real estate | 5,286 | 5,436 | 4,502 | 564 | 100 | 2,645 | 2,765 | 3,233 | 42 |
| Commercial | 3,572 | 3,746 | 3,183 | 527 | 74 | 1,131 | 1,325 | 1,529 | 34 |
| Construction of commercial | — | — | — | — | — | 131 | 136 | 121 | 3 |
| Farm land | — | — | — | — | — | 384 | 384 | 384 | — |
| Vacant land | 3,082 | 3,997 | 3,090 | 38 | 10 | — | — | — | — |
| Real estate secured | 11,940 | 13,179 | 10,775 | 1,129 | 184 | 4,291 | 4,610 | 5,267 | 79 |
| Commercial and industrial | 95 | 140 | 107 | 27 | — | 490 | 492 | 528 | 23 |
| Consumer | — | — | — | — | — | 22 | 22 | 22 | — |
| Totals | \$12,035 | \$13,319 | \$10,882 | \$1,156 | \$184 | \$4,803 | \$5,124 | \$5,817 | \$102 |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential | \$4,409 | \$4,516 | \$3,995 | \$598 | \$99 | \$2,073 | \$2,522 | \$2,285 | \$54 |
| Home equity credit | 72 | 72 | 101 | 30 | 2 | 378 | 428 | 251 | 4 |
| Residential real estate | 4,481 | 4,588 | 4,096 | 628 | 101 | 2,451 | 2,950 | 2,536 | 58 |
| Commercial | 2,777 | 2,835 | 2,349 | 282 | 127 | 1,771 | 2,299 | 2,411 | 47 |
| Construction of commercial | — | — | 3 | — | — | — | 20 | 8 | — |
| Farm land | — | — | — | — | — | 384 | 384 | 118 | — |
| Vacant land | 3,095 | 3,889 | 1,853 | 101 | — | — | 100 | 1,430 | — |
| Real estate secured | 10,353 | 11,312 | 8,301 | 1,011 | 228 | 4,606 | 5,753 | 6,503 | 105 |
| Commercial and industrial | 119 | 154 | 233 | 42 | 1 | 573 | 975 | 595 | 36 |
| Consumer | — | — | — | — | — | 22 | 22 | — | — |
| Totals | \$10,472 | \$11,466 | \$8,534 | \$1,053 | \$229 | \$5,201 | \$6,750 | \$7,098 | \$141 |

NOTE 4 - MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| September 30, (in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 |
| Residential mortgage loans serviced for others | \$ 140,893 | \$ 146,726 |
| Fair value of mortgage servicing rights | 1,624 | 1,550 |

Changes in mortgage servicing rights are as follows:

| Periods ended September 30, (in thousands) | Three months | | Nine months | |
|--|--------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Mortgage Servicing Rights | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$838 | \$1,109 | \$980 | \$1,076 |
| Originated | (17) | 31 | 5 | 261 |
| Amortization (1) | (56) | (101) | (220) | (298) |
| Balance, end of period | 765 | 1,039 | 765 | 1,039 |
| Valuation Allowance | | | | |
| Balance, beginning of period | (1) | (4) | (15) | (38) |
| Decrease in impairment reserve (1) | 1 | (38) | 14 | (4) |
| Balance, end of period | — | (42) | (1) | (42) |
| Loan servicing rights, net | \$765 | \$997 | \$765 | \$997 |

(1) Amortization expense and changes in the impairment reserve are recorded in loan servicing fee income.

NOTE 5 - PLEDGED ASSETS

| | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| Securities available-for-sale (at fair value) | \$ 63,767 | \$ 57,623 |
| Loans receivable | 141,681 | 130,574 |
| Total pledged assets | \$ 205,448 | \$ 188,197 |

At September 30, 2014, securities were pledged as follows: \$54.7 million to secure public deposits, \$9.0 million to secure repurchase agreements and \$0.1 million to secure FHLBB advances. Loans receivable were pledged to secure FHLBB advances and credit facilities.

NOTE 6 – EARNINGS PER SHARE

The Company defines unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends as participating securities that are included in computing Earnings Per Share (“EPS”) using the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each share of common stock and participating securities according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Under this method, all earnings (distributed and undistributed) are allocated to common shares and participating securities based on their respective rights to receive dividends. Earnings per common share are calculated by dividing earnings allocated to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Basic EPS excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income allocated to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the entity.

The following table sets forth the computation of earnings per share (basic and diluted) for the periods indicated:

| Periods ended September 30, (in thousands) | Three months | | Nine months | |
|---|--------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net income | \$768 | \$1,016 | \$2,285 | \$3,103 |
| Less: Preferred stock dividends declared | (40) | (40) | (126) | (121) |
| Net income available to common shareholders | 728 | 976 | 2,159 | 2,982 |
| Less: Undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities | (8) | (11) | (26) | (31) |
| Net income allocated to common stock | \$720 | \$965 | \$2,133 | \$2,951 |
| Common shares issued | 1,713 | 1,710 | 1,713 | 1,707 |
| Less: Unvested restricted stock awards | (20) | (19) | (21) | (17) |
| Common shares outstanding used to calculate basic earnings per common share | 1,693 | 1,691 | 1,692 | 1,690 |
| Add: Dilutive effect of unvested restricted stock awards | — | — | — | — |
| Common shares outstanding used to calculate diluted earnings per common share | 1,693 | 1,691 | 1,692 | 1,690 |
| Earnings per common share (basic and diluted) | \$0.43 | \$0.57 | \$1.26 | \$1.75 |

NOTE 7 – SHAREHOLDERS’ EQUITY

Capital Requirements

Salisbury and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional and discretionary actions by the regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on Salisbury and the Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, Salisbury and the Bank must meet specific guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Salisbury and the Bank's capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require Salisbury and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined) and total and Tier 1 capital (as defined) to risk-weighted assets (as defined). Management believes, as of September 30, 2014, that Salisbury and the Bank meet all of their capital adequacy requirements.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee, a group of bank regulatory supervisors from around the world, released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation, now officially identified by the Basel Committee as “Basel III.” Basel III, when fully implemented by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies and fully phased-in, will require bank holding companies and their bank subsidiaries to maintain substantially more capital, with a greater emphasis on common equity.

In July 2013, the Federal Reserve Board, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation approved final rules to implement the Basel III capital framework. The rules will be effective on January 1, 2015 and phased-in over a multiple year period through 2019. The new capital rules call for higher quality capital with higher minimum capital level requirements. Salisbury and the Bank are in the process of assessing the impact from these new regulatory requirements, and while management cannot be certain of the impact, management believes that Salisbury and the Bank will exceed the new requirements of adequately capitalized plus the capital conservation buffer, once they become effective.

The Bank was classified, as of its most recent notification, as "well capitalized." The Bank's actual regulatory capital position and minimum capital requirements as defined "To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions" and "For Capital Adequacy Purposes" are as follows:

| | Actual | | For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | To be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions | |
|--|----------|--------|-------------------------------|-------|--|-------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| (dollars in thousands) | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Salisbury | \$67,769 | 16.27% | \$33,331 | 8.0% | n/a | — |
| Bank | 57,765 | 13.77 | 33,555 | 8.0 | \$41,944 | 10.0% |
| Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Salisbury | 61,941 | 14.87 | 16,666 | 4.0 | n/a | — |
| Bank | 51,937 | 12.38 | 16,777 | 4.0 | 25,167 | 6.0 |
| Tier 1 Capital (to average assets) | | | | | | |
| Salisbury | 61,941 | 9.85 | 25,158 | 4.0 | n/a | — |
| Bank | 51,937 | 8.26 | 25,159 | 4.0 | 31,450 | 5.0 |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Salisbury | \$66,404 | 16.46% | \$32,280 | 8.0% | n/a | — |
| Bank | 56,425 | 13.87 | 32,539 | 8.0 | \$40,674 | 10.0% |
| Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Salisbury | 61,340 | 15.20 | 16,140 | 4.0 | n/a | — |
| Bank | 51,361 | 12.63 | 16,270 | 4.0 | 24,405 | 6.0 |
| Tier 1 Capital (to average assets) | | | | | | |
| Salisbury | 61,340 | 10.65 | 23,035 | 4.0 | n/a | — |
| Bank | 51,361 | 8.96 | 22,938 | 4.0 | 28,673 | 5.0 |

DIVIDENDS

Cash Dividends to Common Shareholders

Salisbury's ability to pay cash dividends is substantially dependent on the Bank's ability to pay cash dividends to Salisbury. There are certain restrictions on the payment of cash dividends and other payments by the Bank to Salisbury. Under Connecticut law, the Bank cannot declare a cash dividend except from net profits, defined as the remainder of all earnings from current operations. The total of all cash dividends declared by the Bank in any calendar year shall not, unless specifically approved by the Banking Commissioner, exceed the total of its net profits of that year combined with its retained net profits of the preceding two years.

FRB Supervisory Letter SR 09-4, February 24, 2009, revised March 27, 2009, notes that, as a general matter, the Board of Directors of a Bank Holding Company ("BHC") should inform the Federal Reserve and should eliminate, defer, or significantly reduce dividends if (1) net income available to shareholders for the past four quarters, net of dividends previously paid during that period, is not sufficient to fully fund the dividends; (2) the prospective rate of earnings retention is not consistent with capital needs and overall current and prospective financial condition; or (3) the BHC will not meet, or is in danger of not meeting, its minimum regulatory capital adequacy ratios. Moreover, a BHC should inform the Federal Reserve reasonably in advance of declaring or paying a dividend that exceeds earnings for the period (e.g., quarter) for which the dividend is being paid or that could result in a material adverse

change to the BHC capital structure.

Preferred Stock

In August 2011, Salisbury issued to the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury (the “Treasury”) \$16,000,000 of its Series B Preferred Stock under the Small Business Lending Fund (the “SBLF”) program. The SBLF program is a \$30 billion fund established under the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 to encourage lending to small businesses by providing Tier 1 capital to qualified community banks with assets of less than \$10 billion. The Preferred Stock qualifies as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes and ranks senior to the Common Stock.

21

The Series B Preferred Stock pays noncumulative dividends. The dividend rate on the Series B Preferred Stock for the initial ten quarterly dividend periods, commencing with the period ended September 30, 2011 and ending with the period ended December 31, 2013, is determined each quarter based on the increase in the Bank's Qualified Small Business Lending over a baseline amount. The dividend rate for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014 was 1.00%. For the eleventh quarterly dividend payment through four and one-half years after its issuance, the dividend rate on the Series B Preferred Stock will be fixed at the rate in effect at the end of the ninth quarterly dividend period and after four and one-half years from its issuance the dividend rate will be fixed at 9 percent per annum. The Series B Preferred Stock is non-voting, other than voting rights on matters that could adversely affect the Series B Preferred Stock. The Series B Preferred Stock is redeemable at any time at one hundred percent of the issue price plus any accrued and unpaid dividends.

NOTE 8 – PENSION AND OTHER BENEFITS

The components of net periodic cost for Salisbury's insured noncontributory defined benefit retirement plan were as follows:

| Periods ended September 30, (in thousands) | Three months | | Nine months | |
|--|--------------|-------|-------------|--------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Service cost | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| Interest cost on benefit obligation | 69 | 66 | 207 | 197 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (74) | (67) | (222) | (202) |
| Amortization of net loss | — | 1 | — | 5 |
| Settlements and curtailments | — | — | — | — |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$(5) | \$— | \$(15) | \$— |

Salisbury's 401(k) Plan expense was \$159,000 and \$183,000, respectively for the three month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and \$495,000 and \$514,000, respectively for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. Other post-retirement benefit obligation expense for endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements was \$13,000 and \$12,000, respectively for the three month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and \$40,000 and \$37,000, respectively for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP)

Salisbury offers an Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP) to eligible employees. Under the Plan, Salisbury may make discretionary contributions to the Plan. Discretionary contributions vest in full upon six years and reflect the following schedule of qualified service:

20% after the second year, 20% per year thereafter, vesting at 100% after six full years of service.

Salisbury's ESOP expense was \$47,000 and \$40,000, respectively, for the three month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, and \$141,000 and \$120,000, respectively, for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

Other Retirement Plans

A Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (the "Plan") was effective January 1, 2013. This Plan was adopted by the Bank for the benefit of certain key employees, ("Executive" or "Executives"), who have been selected and approved by the Bank to participate in this Plan and who have evidenced their participation by execution of a Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan Participation Agreement ("Participation Agreement") in a form provided by the Bank. This Plan is intended to comply with Internal Revenue Code ("Code") Section 409A and any regulatory or other guidance issued under such Section.

In 2013, the Bank awarded six (6) Executives with a discretionary contribution to this account for a total of \$59,590. This was the first year for this benefit. Based on the Executive's date of retirement, the vesting schedule ranges from 10% per year to 50% per year.

Grants of Restricted Stock and Options

On February 8, 2013, Salisbury granted a total of 19,600 shares of restricted stock pursuant to its 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan, which was approved by shareholders at the 2011 Annual Meeting, to 22 employees, including 5,000 shares to one Named Executive Officer, Richard J. Cantele, Jr., President and Chief Executive Officer.

On January 3, 2014, Salisbury granted a total of 3,000 shares of restricted stock, pursuant to its 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan, to two (2) additional employees, including 2,000 shares to one Named Executive Officer, Donald E. White, Chief Financial Officer.

NOTE 9 – ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income are as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale, net of tax | \$ 2,265 | \$ 436 |
| Unrecognized pension plan benefit (expense), net of tax | 610 | 610 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net | \$ 2,875 | \$ 1,046 |

NOTE 10 – FAIR VALUE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

Salisbury uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Securities available-for-sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, from time to time, other assets are recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, such as loans held for sale, collateral dependent impaired loans, property acquired through foreclosure or repossession and mortgage servicing rights. These nonrecurring fair value adjustments typically involve the application of lower-of-cost-or-market accounting or write-downs of individual assets.

ASC 820-10, “Fair Value Measurement - Overall” provides a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles. This guidance permitted Salisbury the irrevocable option to elect fair value for the initial and subsequent measurement for certain financial assets and liabilities on a contract-by-contract basis. Salisbury did not elect fair value treatment for any financial assets or liabilities upon adoption.

In accordance with ASC 820-10, Salisbury groups its financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value.

GAAP specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the types of valuation information (“inputs”) are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect Salisbury’s market assumptions. These two types of inputs have created the following fair value hierarchy.

Level 1. Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in active exchange markets, such as the New York Stock Exchange. Level 1 also includes U.S. Treasury, other U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active markets. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2. Significant other observable inputs. Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in less active dealer or broker markets. Valuations are obtained from third party pricing services for identical or comparable assets or liabilities.

Level 3. Significant unobservable inputs. Valuations for assets and liabilities that are derived from other methodologies, including option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques, are not based on market exchange, dealer, or broker traded transactions. Level 3 valuations incorporate certain assumptions and projections in determining the fair value assigned to such assets and liabilities.

A financial instrument’s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. Salisbury did not have any significant transfers of assets between levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy during the quarter or nine months ended September 30, 2014.

The following is a description of valuation methodologies for assets recorded at fair value, including the general classification of such assets and liabilities pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Securities available-for-sale. Securities available-for-sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Level 1 securities include exchange-traded equity securities. Level 2 securities include debt securities with quoted prices, which are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments, whose value is determined using matrix pricing with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data. This category generally includes obligations of the U.S. Treasury and U.S. government-sponsored enterprises, mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations, municipal bonds, SBA bonds, corporate bonds and certain preferred equities. Level 3 is for positions that are not traded in active markets or are subject to transfer restrictions, valuations are adjusted to reflect illiquidity and/or non-transferability, and such adjustments are generally based on available market evidence. In the absence of such evidence, management's best estimate is used. Subsequent to inception, management only changes Level 3 inputs and assumptions when corroborated by evidence such as transactions in similar instruments, completed or pending third-party transactions in the underlying investment or comparable entities, subsequent rounds of financing, recapitalization and other transactions across the capital structure, offerings in the equity or debt markets, and changes in financial ratios or cash flows.

23

Collateral dependent loans that are deemed to be impaired are valued based upon the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell. Such collateral primarily consists of real estate and, to a lesser extent, other business assets. Management may adjust appraised values to reflect estimated market value declines or apply other discounts to appraised values resulting from its knowledge of the property. Internal valuations are utilized to determine the fair value of other business assets. Collateral dependent impaired loans are categorized as Level 3.

Other real estate owned acquired through foreclosure or repossession is adjusted to fair value less costs to sell upon transfer out of loans. Subsequently, it is carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. Fair value is generally based upon independent market prices or appraised values of the collateral. Management adjusts appraised values to reflect estimated market value declines or apply other discounts to appraised values for unobservable factors resulting from its knowledge of the property, and such property is categorized as Level 3.

Assets measured at fair value are as follows:

| (in thousands) | Fair Value Measurements | | | Assets at fair value |
|--|-------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------|
| | Using Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| September 30, 2014 | | | | |
| Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury notes | \$— | \$2,620 | \$— | \$2,620 |
| U.S. Government agency notes | — | 2,517 | — | 2,517 |
| Municipal bonds | — | 38,890 | — | 38,890 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | — | 29,001 | — | 29,001 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: | | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | — | 2,874 | — | 2,874 |
| Non-agency | — | 6,927 | — | 6,927 |
| SBA bonds | — | 1,679 | — | 1,679 |
| Preferred stock | 937 | — | — | 937 |
| Securities available-for-sale | \$937 | \$84,508 | \$— | \$85,445 |
| Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis | | | | |
| Collateral dependent impaired loans | \$— | \$— | \$10,879 | \$10,879 |
| Other real estate owned | — | — | 333 | 333 |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury notes | \$— | \$2,657 | \$— | \$2,657 |
| U.S. Government agency notes | — | 2,590 | — | 2,590 |
| Municipal bonds | — | 40,437 | — | 40,437 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: | | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | — | 33,892 | — | 33,892 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: | | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | — | 3,580 | — | 3,580 |
| Non-agency | — | 8,308 | — | 8,308 |
| SBA bonds | — | 2,230 | — | 2,230 |
| Preferred stock | 797 | — | — | 797 |
| Securities available-for-sale | \$797 | \$93,694 | \$— | \$94,491 |
| Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis | | | | |
| Collateral dependent impaired loans | \$— | \$— | \$9,782 | \$9,782 |
| Other real estate owned | — | — | 377 | 377 |

Carrying values and estimated fair values of financial instruments are as follows:

| (in thousands) | Carrying value | Estimated fair value | Fair value measurements using | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|----------|
| | | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| September 30, 2014 | | | | | |
| Financial Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$48,825 | \$48,825 | \$48,825 | \$— | \$— |
| Securities available-for-sale | 85,445 | 85,445 | 937 | 84,508 | — |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 3,515 | 3,515 | — | 3,515 | — |
| Loans receivable, net | 461,913 | 459,425 | — | — | 459,425 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 1,834 | 1,834 | — | — | 1,834 |
| Financial Liabilities | | | | | |
| Demand (non-interest-bearing) | \$99,843 | \$99,843 | \$— | \$— | \$99,843 |
| Demand (interest-bearing) | 81,877 | 81,877 | — | — | 81,877 |
| Money market | 132,471 | 132,471 | — | — | 132,471 |
| Savings and other | 122,836 | 122,836 | — | — | 122,836 |
| Certificates of deposit | 85,267 | 85,972 | — | — | 85,972 |
| Deposits | 522,294 | 522,999 | — | — | 522,999 |
| FHLBB advances | 29,218 | 31,191 | — | — | 31,191 |
| Repurchase agreements | 6,500 | 6,500 | — | — | 6,500 |
| Capital lease liability | 424 | 925 | 925 | — | — |
| Accrued interest payable | 136 | 136 | — | — | 136 |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Financial Assets | | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$12,711 | \$12,711 | \$12,711 | \$— | \$— |
| Interest-bearing time deposits with other banks | 738 | 738 | — | — | 738 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 94,491 | 94,491 | 797 | 93,694 | — |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 5,340 | 5,340 | — | 5,340 | — |
| Loans held-for-sale | 173 | 175 | — | — | 175 |
| Loans receivable, net | 438,178 | 430,645 | — | — | 430,645 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 1,760 | 1,760 | — | — | 1,760 |
| Financial Liabilities | | | | | |
| Demand (non-interest-bearing) | \$84,677 | \$84,677 | \$— | \$— | \$84,677 |
| Demand (interest-bearing) | 81,932 | 81,932 | — | — | 81,932 |
| Money market | 120,550 | 120,550 | — | — | 120,550 |
| Savings and other | 107,171 | 107,171 | — | — | 107,171 |
| Certificates of deposit | 83,039 | 83,520 | — | — | 83,520 |
| Deposits | 477,369 | 477,850 | — | — | 477,850 |
| FHLBB advances | 30,411 | 33,034 | — | — | 33,034 |
| Repurchase agreements | 2,554 | 2,554 | — | — | 2,554 |
| Capital lease liability | 425 | 425 | 425 | — | — |
| Accrued interest payable | 140 | 140 | — | — | 140 |

The carrying amounts of financial instruments shown in the above table are included in the consolidated balance sheets under the indicated captions.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Salisbury and its subsidiary should be read in conjunction with Salisbury's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, which Salisbury filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on March 29, 2014. Readers should also review other disclosures Salisbury files from time to time with the SEC.

BUSINESS

Salisbury, a Connecticut corporation, formed in 1998, is the bank holding company for the Bank, a Connecticut-chartered and FDIC insured commercial bank headquartered in Lakeville, Connecticut. Salisbury's principal business consists of the business of the Bank. The Bank, formed in 1848, is engaged in customary banking activities, including general deposit taking and lending activities to both retail and commercial markets, and trust and wealth advisory services. The Bank conducts its banking business from full-service offices in the towns of: Canaan, Lakeville, Salisbury and Sharon, Connecticut; South Egremont, Sheffield, and Great Barrington, Massachusetts; and, Dover Plains and Millerton, New York. The Bank conducts its trust and wealth advisory services from offices in Lakeville, Connecticut.

The Bank opened a new branch in Great Barrington, Massachusetts on May 5, 2014. In addition, on June 6, 2014, the Bank acquired a branch office and related deposits from another institution in Sharon, Connecticut and consolidated its existing Sharon office with such new branch. On March 19, 2014, Salisbury announced the execution of a definitive merger agreement with Riverside Bank of Poughkeepsie, NY through which, following the satisfaction of normal closing conditions, Riverside Bank will merge with and into the Bank. On November 5, 2014 and November 3, 2014, respectively, the Bank received state and federal regulatory approval in connection with such transaction, which is expected to be completed by year-end 2014. In addition, shareholders of both institutions approved the merger on October 29, 2014.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Salisbury's consolidated financial statements follow GAAP as applied to the banking industry in which it operates. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements. These estimates, assumptions and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, the financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions and judgments and as such have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Estimates, assumptions and judgments are necessary when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset not carried at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or valuation reserve to be established, or when an asset or liability needs to be recorded contingent upon a future event.

Salisbury's significant accounting policies are presented in Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and, along with this Management's Discussion and Analysis, provide information on how significant assets are valued in the financial statements and how those values are determined. Management believes that the following accounting estimates are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating Salisbury's reported financial results, and they require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, resulting from the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Management evaluates goodwill and identifiable intangible assets for impairment annually using valuation techniques that involve estimates for discount rates, projected future cash flows and time period calculations, all of which are susceptible to change based on changes in economic conditions and other factors. Future events or changes in the estimates, which are used to determine the carrying value of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, or which otherwise adversely affect their value or estimated lives could have a material adverse impact on the results of operations.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment giving consideration to the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, estimates of future cash flows, delinquencies and default severity, and the intent and ability of Salisbury to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. The consideration of the above factors is subjective and involves estimates and assumptions about matters that are inherently uncertain. Should actual factors and conditions differ materially from those used by management, the actual realization of gains or losses on investment securities could differ materially from the amounts recorded in the financial statements.

The determination of the obligation and expense for pension and other postretirement benefits is dependent on certain assumptions used in calculating such amounts. Key assumptions used in the actuarial valuations include the discount rate, expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and rates of increase in compensation and health care costs. Actual results could differ from the assumptions and market driven rates may fluctuate. Significant differences in actual experience or significant changes in the assumptions may materially affect the future pension and other postretirement obligations and expense.

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting estimate because it requires significant judgment and the use of estimates related to the amount and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, estimated losses on pools of homogeneous loans based on historical loss experience, and consideration of current economic trends and conditions, all of which may be susceptible to significant change. The loan portfolio also represents the largest asset type on the balance sheet.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Overview

As discussed above, during the first nine months of 2014, Salisbury took strategic initiatives to provide for future growth in each of the three states in which it operates. The new branch in Great Barrington, MA opened May 5, 2014. The agreement to acquire a branch office from another institution in Sharon, CT and consolidate the Bank's existing Salisbury branch in Sharon, CT into this facility was consummated June 6, 2014. The merger of Riverside Bank of Poughkeepsie, NY with Salisbury Bank, which was announced on March 19, 2014, will add four (4) new offices to Salisbury's New York footprint upon consummation, which is expected to be completed by year-end 2014. Total assets were \$638.1 million at September 30, 2014, up \$16.6 million from June 30, 2014. Loans receivable, net, were \$461.9 million at September 30, 2014, up \$5.3 million, or 1.2%, from June 30, 2014. Non-performing assets were \$8.9 million at September 30, 2014, up \$0.1 million from \$8.8 million at June 30, 2014. Reserve coverage, as measured by the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to gross loans, was 1.15%, 1.11% and 1.10%, at September 30, 2014, June 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, respectively. Deposits were \$522.3 million, up \$14.9 million from \$507.4 million at June 30, 2014.

At September 30, 2014, book value and tangible book value per common share were \$34.74 and \$28.50, respectively. Salisbury's Tier 1 leverage and total risk-based capital ratios were 9.85% and 16.27%, respectively, and above the "well capitalized" limits as defined by the FRB.

Securities and Short Term Funds

During third quarter 2014, securities decreased \$3.9 million to \$89.0 million. FHLBB stock decreased \$0.4 million, while cash and cash equivalents (non-time interest-bearing deposits with other banks, money market funds and federal funds sold) increased \$13.9 million to \$48.8 million.

Salisbury evaluates securities for OTTI where the fair value of a security is less than its amortized cost basis at the balance sheet date. As part of this process, Salisbury considers its intent to sell each debt security and whether it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery. If either of these conditions is met, Salisbury recognizes an OTTI charge to earnings equal to the entire difference between the security's amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. For securities that meet neither of these conditions, an analysis is performed to determine if any of these securities are at risk for OTTI.

Salisbury evaluates securities for strategic fit and may reduce its position in securities, although it is not more likely than not that Salisbury will be required to sell securities before recovery of their cost basis, which may be maturity.

Therefore, management does not consider any of its securities, other than four non-agency CMO securities reflecting OTTI, to be OTTI at September 30, 2014.

In 2009 Salisbury determined that five non-agency CMO securities reflected OTTI and recognized losses for deterioration in credit quality of \$1,128,000. Salisbury deemed the four remaining securities not to have additional OTTI and all other CMO securities not to be OTTI as of September 30, 2014. It is possible that future loss assumptions could change necessitating Salisbury to recognize future OTTI for further deterioration in credit quality. Salisbury evaluates securities for strategic fit and may reduce its position in securities, although it is not more likely than not that Salisbury will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their cost basis.

Accumulated other comprehensive income at September 30, 2014 included net unrealized holding gains, net of tax, of \$2.3 million, an increase of \$1.9 million over December 31, 2013, and an unrecognized pension plan benefit, net of tax, of \$0.6 million.

Loans

Net loans receivable increased \$23.7 million to \$461.9 million during the first nine months of 2014, compared with \$438.2 million at December 31, 2013.

The composition of loans receivable and loans held-for-sale is as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Residential 1-4 family | \$242,222 | \$231,113 |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 5,397 | 4,848 |
| Construction of residential 1-4 family | 2,042 | 1,876 |
| Home equity credit | 34,826 | 34,139 |
| Residential real estate | 284,487 | 271,976 |
| Commercial | 96,096 | 91,853 |
| Construction of commercial | 17,865 | 10,948 |
| Commercial real estate | 113,961 | 102,801 |
| Farm land | 3,301 | 3,402 |
| Vacant land | 9,037 | 9,067 |
| Real estate secured | 410,786 | 387,246 |
| Commercial and industrial | 45,100 | 46,292 |
| Municipal | 6,181 | 4,252 |
| Consumer | 4,027 | 3,889 |
| Loans receivable, gross | 466,094 | 441,679 |
| Deferred loan origination fees and costs, net | 1,203 | 1,182 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (5,384) | (4,683) |
| Loans receivable, net | \$461,913 | \$438,178 |
| Loans held-for-sale | | |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$— | \$173 |
| Loan Credit Quality | | |

The persistent weakness in the local and regional economies continues to impact the credit quality of Salisbury's loans receivable. During the first nine months of 2014, non-performing assets increased \$1.4 million, and the amount of total impaired and potential problem loans increased \$1.8 million.

The composition of loans receivable by risk rating grade is as follows:

| (in thousands) | Pass | Special mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss | Total |
|--|-----------|--------------------|-------------|----------|------|-----------|
| September 30, 2014 | | | | | | |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$221,221 | \$13,755 | \$7,152 | \$94 | \$— | \$242,222 |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 3,253 | 1,079 | 1,065 | — | — | 5,397 |
| Construction of residential 1-4 family | 2,042 | — | — | — | — | 2,042 |
| Home equity credit | 32,183 | 1,179 | 1,464 | — | — | 34,826 |
| Residential real estate | 258,699 | 16,013 | 9,681 | 94 | — | 284,487 |
| Commercial | 76,287 | 11,756 | 8,053 | — | — | 96,096 |

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|------|-----------|
| Construction of commercial | 16,721 | 563 | 581 | — | — | 17,865 |
| Commercial real estate | 93,008 | 12,319 | 8,634 | — | — | 113,961 |
| Farm land | 817 | 1,378 | 1,106 | — | — | 3,301 |
| Vacant land | 5,745 | 143 | 3,149 | — | — | 9,037 |
| Real estate secured | 358,269 | 29,853 | 22,570 | 94 | — | 410,786 |
| Commercial and industrial | 39,648 | 4,641 | 811 | — | — | 45,100 |
| Municipal | 6,181 | — | — | — | — | 6,181 |
| Consumer | 3,918 | 81 | 28 | — | — | 4,027 |
| Loans receivable, gross | \$408,016 | \$34,575 | \$ 23,409 | \$ 94 | \$ — | \$466,094 |

28

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| (in thousands) | Pass | Special mention | Substandard | Doubtful | Loss | Total |
|--|-----------|-----------------|-------------|----------|------|-----------|
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | |
| Residential 1-4 family | \$212,683 | \$12,338 | \$5,997 | \$95 | \$— | \$231,113 |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 2,674 | 1,199 | 975 | — | — | 4,848 |
| Construction of residential 1-4 family | 1,876 | — | — | — | — | 1,876 |
| Home equity credit | 31,444 | 1,355 | 1,340 | — | — | 34,139 |
| Residential real estate | 248,677 | 14,892 | 8,312 | 95 | — | 271,976 |
| Commercial | 67,554 | 16,044 | 8,255 | — | — | 91,853 |
| Construction of commercial | 10,257 | 102 | 589 | — | — | 10,948 |
| Commercial real estate | 77,811 | 16,146 | 8,844 | — | — | 102,801 |
| Farm land | 847 | 1,421 | 1,134 | — | — | 3,402 |
| Vacant land | 5,640 | 288 | 3,139 | — | — | 9,067 |
| Real estate secured | 332,975 | 32,747 | 21,429 | 95 | — | 387,246 |
| Commercial and industrial | 37,860 | 7,452 | 980 | — | — | 46,292 |
| Municipal | 4,252 | — | — | — | — | 4,252 |
| Consumer | 3,739 | 113 | 37 | — | — | 3,889 |
| Loans receivable, gross | \$378,826 | \$40,312 | \$22,446 | \$95 | \$— | \$441,679 |

Changes in impaired and potential problem loans are as follows:

| Three months ended (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | | | | September 30, 2013 | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------|-------------------------|---------|--------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | Non-accrual | Accruing | Potential problem loans | Total | Non-accrual | Accruing | Potential problem loans | Total |
| Loans placed on non-accrual status | \$409 | \$— | \$(311) | \$98 | \$3,671 | \$(758) | \$(1,517) | \$1,396 |
| Loans restored to accrual status | (518) | 401 | — | (117) | (902) | 385 | 143 | (374) |
| Loan risk rating downgrades to substandard | — | — | 2,261 | 2,261 | — | — | 3,586 | 3,586 |
| Loan risk rating upgrades from substandard | — | — | — | — | — | — | (1,350) | (1,350) |
| Loan repayments | (66) | (422) | (557) | (1,045) | (2,246) | 328 | (2,540) | (4,458) |
| Loan charge-offs | (52) | — | — | (52) | (467) | 19 | (4) | (452) |
| Increase in TDR loans | — | 400 | — | 400 | — | 884 | — | 884 |
| Real estate acquired in settlement of loans | — | — | — | — | (971) | (736) | — | (1,707) |
| Inter-month tax advances | 7 | — | — | 7 | 198 | — | — | 198 |
| (Decrease) increase in loans | \$(220) | \$379 | \$1,393 | \$1,552 | \$(717) | \$122 | \$(1,682) | \$(2,277) |

For third quarter 2014, Salisbury placed \$0.4 million of loans on non-accrual status as a result of deteriorated payment and financial performance and charged-off \$52,000 of loans primarily as a result of collateral deficiencies.

Salisbury has cooperative relationships with the majority of its non-performing loan customers. Substantially all non-performing loans are collateralized with real estate and the repayment of such loans is largely dependent on the return of such loans to performing status or the liquidation of the underlying real estate collateral. Salisbury pursues

the resolution of all non-performing loans through collections, restructures, voluntary liquidation of collateral by the borrower and, where necessary, legal action. When Salisbury's reasonable attempts to work with a customer to return a loan to performing status, including restructuring the loan, are unsuccessful, Salisbury will initiate appropriate legal action seeking to acquire property by deed in lieu of foreclosure or through foreclosure, or to liquidate business assets.

Credit Quality Segments

Salisbury categorizes loans receivable into the following credit quality segments:

Impaired loans consist of all non-accrual loans and troubled debt restructured loans, and represent loans for which it is probable that Salisbury will not be able to collect all principal and interest amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreements.

Non-accrual loans, a sub-set of impaired loans, are loans for which the accrual of interest has been discontinued because, in the opinion of management, full collection of principal or interest is unlikely.

Non-performing loans consist of non-accrual loans, and accruing loans past due 90 days and over that are well collateralized, in the process of collection and where full collection of principal and interest is assured.

Non-performing assets consist of non-performing loans plus real estate acquired in settlement of loans.

Troubled debt restructured loans are loans for which concessions such as reduction of interest rates, other than normal market rate adjustments, or deferral of principal or interest payments, extension of maturity dates, or reduction of principal balance or accrued interest, have been granted due to a borrower's financial condition. Loan restructuring is employed when management believes the granting of a concession will increase the probability of the full or partial collection of principal and interest.

Potential problem loans consist of performing loans that have been assigned a substandard credit risk rating and that are not classified as impaired.

Credit Risk Ratings

Salisbury assigns credit risk ratings to loans receivable in order to manage credit risk and to determine the allowance for loan losses. Credit risk ratings categorize loans by common financial and structural characteristics that measure the credit strength of a borrower. Salisbury's rating model has eight risk rating grades, with each grade corresponding to a progressively greater risk of default. Grades 1 through 4 are pass ratings and 5 through 8 are ratings (special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss) defined by the bank's regulatory agencies, the FDIC and Connecticut Department of Banking ("CTDOB"). Risk ratings are assigned to differentiate risk within the portfolio and are reviewed on an ongoing basis and revised, if needed, to reflect changes in the borrowers' current financial position and outlook, risk profiles and the related collateral and structural positions.

Loans risk rated as "special mention" contain credit deficiencies or potential weaknesses deserving management's close attention that if left uncorrected may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loans at some future date.

Loans risk rated as "substandard" are loans where the Bank's position is clearly not protected adequately by borrower current net worth or payment capacity. These loans have well defined weaknesses based on objective evidence and include loans where future losses to the Bank may result if deficiencies are not corrected, and loans where the primary source of repayment such as income is diminished and the Bank must rely on the sale of collateral or other secondary sources of collection.

Loans risk rated as "doubtful" have the same weaknesses as substandard loans with the added characteristic that the weakness makes collection or liquidation in full, given current facts, conditions, and values, to be highly improbable. The possibility of loss is high, but due to certain important and reasonably specific pending factors, which may work to strengthen the loan, its reclassification as an estimated loss is deferred until its exact status can be determined.

Loans risk rated as "loss" are considered uncollectible and of such little value that continuance as Bank assets is unwarranted. This classification does not mean that the loan has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather, it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this loan even though partial recovery may be made in the future. Management actively reviews and tests its credit risk ratings against actual experience and engages an independent third-party to annually validate its assignment of credit risk ratings. In addition, the Bank's loan portfolio and risk ratings are examined annually on a rotating basis by its two primary regulatory agencies, the FDIC and CTDOB.

Impaired Loans

Loans individually evaluated for impairment (impaired loans) are loans for which Salisbury does not expect to collect all contractual principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan. Impaired loans include all modified loans classified as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) and loans on non-accrual status. The components of impaired loans are as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Non-accrual loans, excluding troubled debt restructured loans | \$ 6,229 | \$ 5,419 |
| Non-accrual troubled debt restructured loans | 1,929 | 1,753 |
| Accruing troubled debt restructured loans | 8,680 | 8,500 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 16,838 | \$ 15,672 |
| Commitments to lend additional amounts to impaired borrowers | \$ — | \$ — |

Non-Performing Assets

Non-performing assets increased \$1.4 million to \$8.9 million, or 1.4% of assets at September 30, 2014, from \$7.5 million, or 1.4% of assets at December 31, 2013, and decreased \$0.8 million from \$9.7 million, or 1.6% of assets at September 30, 2013.

The 2% increase in non-performing assets in third quarter 2014 resulted primarily from \$0.4 million of loans placed on non-accrual status and a \$0.4 million increase in 90+ past due status. This increase was offset in part by \$0.1 million in payoffs and charge offs and \$0.5 million in loans reinstated to accrual status.

The components of non-performing assets are as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 2,589 | \$ 1,525 |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 90 | — |
| Home equity credit | 630 | 402 |
| Commercial | 1,558 | 1,857 |
| Farm land | 384 | 384 |
| Vacant land | 2,865 | 2,870 |
| Real estate secured | 8,116 | 7,038 |
| Commercial and industrial | 20 | 134 |
| Consumer | 22 | — |
| Non-accruing loans | 8,158 | 7,172 |
| Accruing loans past due 90 days and over | 453 | — |
| Non-performing loans | 8,611 | 7,172 |
| Real estate acquired in settlement of loans | 333 | 377 |
| Non-performing assets | \$ 8,944 | \$ 7,549 |

The past due status of non-performing loans is as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Current | \$ 597 | \$ 1,274 |
| Past due 001-029 days | 23 | 241 |
| Past due 030-059 days | 53 | 134 |
| Past due 060-089 days | 934 | 254 |

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| Past due 090-179 days | 2,125 | 588 |
| Past due 180 days and over | 4,879 | 4,681 |
| Total non-performing loans | \$ 8,611 | \$ 7,172 |

At September 30, 2014, 6.93% of non-performing loans were current with respect to loan payments, compared with 17.76% at December 31, 2013. Loans past due 180 days include a \$2.8 million loan secured by vacant land (residential building lots) on which Salisbury has initiated a foreclosure action that is further discussed in Item 1 of Part II, Legal Proceedings. The balance of the allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2014 represented 62.5% of the balance of non-performing loans as compared with 65.3% at December 31, 2013. However, it should be noted that during this period, past due loans decreased \$1.7 million to 2.0% of total loans as compared to 2.5% of total loans at December 31, 2013.

Troubled Debt Restructured Loans

Troubled debt restructured loans increased \$0.3 million during 2014 to \$10.6 million, or 2.28% of gross loans receivable at September 30, 2014, from \$10.3 million, or 2.32% of gross loans receivable at December 31, 2013.

The components of troubled debt restructured loans are as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 4,573 | \$ 4,956 |
| Home equity credit | 48 | 48 |
| Vacant land | 217 | 225 |
| Commercial | 3,276 | 2,691 |
| Real estate secured | 8,114 | 7,920 |
| Personal/Consumer | — | 22 |
| Commercial and industrial | 566 | 558 |
| Accruing troubled debt restructured loans | 8,680 | 8,500 |
| Residential 1-4 family | 1,230 | 999 |
| Home equity credit | 104 | 40 |
| Commercial | 428 | 608 |
| Real estate secured | 1,762 | 1,647 |
| Personal/Consumer | 22 | — |
| Commercial and industrial | 145 | 106 |
| Non-accrual troubled debt restructured loans | 1,929 | 1,753 |
| Troubled debt restructured loans | \$ 10,609 | \$ 10,253 |

The past due status of troubled debt restructured loans is as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Current | \$ 7,606 | \$ 6,559 |
| Past due 1-29 days | 1,074 | 1,490 |
| Past due 30-59 days | — | 95 |
| Past due 60-89 days | — | 356 |
| Accruing troubled debt restructured loans | 8,680 | 8,500 |
| Current | 431 | 999 |
| Past due 1-29 days | 23 | 241 |
| Past due 30-59 days | 39 | 64 |
| Past due 60-89 days | 457 | — |
| Past due 90-179 days | 744 | 449 |
| Past due 180 days and over | 235 | — |
| Non-accrual troubled debt restructured loans | 1,929 | 1,753 |
| Total troubled debt restructured loans | \$ 10,609 | \$ 10,253 |

At September 30, 2014, 75.75% of troubled debt restructured loans were current with respect to loan payments, as compared with 73.72% at December 31, 2013.

Past Due Loans

Loans past due 30 days or more decreased \$1.7 million during 2014 to \$9.3 million, or 1.99% of gross loans receivable at September 30, 2014, compared with \$11.0 million, or 2.50% of gross loans receivable at December 31, 2013.

The components of loans past due 30 days or greater are as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Past due 030-059 days | \$ 313 | \$2,535 |
| Past due 060-089 days | 982 | 2,840 |
| Past due 090-179 days | 453 | — |
| Accruing loans | 1,748 | 5,375 |
| Past due 030-059 days | 53 | 133 |
| Past due 060-089 days | 934 | 254 |
| Past due 090-179 days | 1,672 | 588 |
| Past due 180 days and over | 4,879 | 4,681 |
| Non-accrual loans | 7,538 | 5,656 |
| Total loans past due 30 days or greater | \$ 9,286 | \$ 11,031 |

Potential Problem Loans

Potential problem loans increased \$0.6 million during the first nine months of 2014 to \$9.7 million, or 2.09% of gross loans receivable at September 30, 2014, compared with \$9.1 million, or 2.06% of gross loans receivable at December 31, 2013.

The components of potential problem loans are as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Residential 1-4 family | \$ 2,839 | \$ 1,528 |
| Residential 5+ multifamily | 975 | 975 |
| Construction of residential 1-4 family | — | — |
| Home equity credit | 786 | 890 |
| Residential real estate | 4,600 | 3,393 |
| Commercial | 3,665 | 4,036 |
| Construction of commercial | 450 | 589 |
| Commercial real estate | 4,115 | 4,625 |
| Farm land | 723 | 751 |
| Vacant land | 67 | 44 |
| Real estate secured | 9,505 | 8,813 |
| Commercial and industrial | 226 | 288 |
| Consumer | 6 | 15 |
| Other classified loans receivable | \$ 9,737 | \$ 9,116 |

The past due status of potential problem loans is as follows:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Current | \$ 6,423 | \$ 7,646 |
| Past due 001-029 days | 2,976 | 189 |
| Past due 030-059 days | 122 | 298 |
| Past due 060-089 days | 216 | 983 |
| Past due 090-179 days | — | — |
| Total potential problem loans | \$ 9,737 | \$ 9,116 |

At September 30, 2014, 65.96% of potential problem loans were current with respect to loan payments, as compared with 83.87% at December 31, 2013.

Management cannot predict the extent to which economic or other factors may impact such borrowers' future payment capacity, and there can be no assurance that such loans will not be placed on nonaccrual status, restructured, or require increased provisions for loan losses.

Deposits and Borrowings

Including the acquisition of approximately \$18.2 million in deposits in connection with the Sharon, Connecticut branch acquisition completed in June, 2014, deposits increased \$44.9 million during 2014 to \$522.3 million at September 30, 2014, from \$477.4 million at December 31, 2013, and increased \$42.4 million year-over-year from \$479.9 million at September 30, 2013. Retail repurchase agreements increased \$3.9 million during 2014 to \$6.5 million at September 30, 2014, compared with \$2.6 million at December 31, 2013, and increased \$2.6 million year-over-year compared with \$3.9 million at September 30, 2013.

Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston (FHLBB) advances decreased \$1.2 million during 2014 to \$29.2 million at September 30, 2014, from \$30.4 million at December 31, 2013, and decreased \$1.6 million year-over-year from \$30.8 million at September 30, 2013. The decreases were due to amortizing payments of advances.

Liquidity

Salisbury manages its liquidity position to ensure that there is sufficient funding availability at all times to meet both anticipated and unanticipated deposit withdrawals, loan originations and advances, securities purchases and other operating cash outflows. Salisbury's primary sources of liquidity are principal payments and maturities of securities and loans, short-term borrowings through repurchase agreements and FHLBB advances, net deposit growth and funds provided by operations. Liquidity can also be provided through sales of loans and available-for-sale securities.

Salisbury manages its liquidity in accordance with a liquidity funding policy, and also maintains a contingency funding plan that provides for the prompt and comprehensive response to unexpected demands for liquidity. At September 30, 2014, Salisbury's liquidity ratio, as represented by cash, short term available-for-sale securities and marketable assets to net deposits and short term unsecured liabilities, was 24.96%, up from 16.33% at December 31, 2013. Management believes Salisbury's funding sources will meet anticipated funding needs.

Operating activities for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2014 provided net cash of \$4.1 million. Investing activities provided net cash of \$4.1 million, principally from cash and cash equivalents of \$17.5 million related to the acquisition of the Sharon, CT branch and proceeds from calls and maturities of securities available-for-sale of \$11.7 million, offset by \$24.7 million of net loan originations and principal collections. Financing activities provided net cash of \$27.9 million, principally due to a net increase of \$30.6 million in deposits and repurchase agreements, offset by pay downs of FHLBB advances and common and preferred stock dividends paid.

At September 30, 2014, Salisbury had outstanding commitments to fund new loan originations of \$15.3 million and unused lines of credit of \$67.3 million. Salisbury believes that these commitments can be met in the normal course of business. Salisbury believes that its liquidity sources will continue to provide funding sufficient to support operating activities, loan originations and commitments, and deposit withdrawals. Additional liquidity is being carried on the balance sheet in anticipation of the closing of the previously announced merger with Riverside Bank.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For the three month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013

OVERVIEW

During the third quarter of 2014, Salisbury made significant progress in implementing strategic initiatives to enhance its market presence in New York State:

- During the third quarter of 2014, the bank continued merger related planning.

- On October 29, 2014, shareholders of Riverside Bank and Salisbury voted to approve the merger.

State and federal regulators approved the merger on November 5, 2014 and November 3, 2014, respectively. The merger is expected to close before end of year 2014.

Net income available to common shareholders was \$728,000, or \$0.43 per common share, for the quarter ended September 30, 2014 (third quarter 2014), versus \$926,000, or \$0.54 per common share, for the quarter ended June 30, 2014 (second quarter 2014), and \$976,000, or \$0.57 per common share, for the quarter ended September 30, 2013 (third quarter 2013).

Earnings per common share of \$0.43 decreased \$0.11, or 20.4%, as compared to \$0.54 for the second quarter 2014, and decreased \$0.14, or 24.6%, as compared to third quarter 2013.

Excluding non-recurring third quarter after tax expenses of \$165,000 and similar second quarter non-recurring expenses of \$83,000 (after taxes) substantially related to professional fees which were incurred in conjunction with strategic initiatives during the second and third quarter 2014 respectively (non-GAAP):

- Third quarter 2014 earnings per common share would have been \$0.52, representing a decrease of \$0.07 versus second quarter 2014, and a decrease of \$0.05, versus third quarter 2013.

○ Third quarter 2014 net income available to common shareholders would have decreased \$115,000, or 11%, versus an adjusted second quarter 2014 and would have decreased \$83,000, or 9%, versus third quarter 2013.

○ Third quarter 2014 non-interest expense would have decreased \$67,000, or 2%, versus an adjusted second quarter 2014 and would have increased \$268,000, or 6%, versus third quarter 2013.

34

The net interest margin decreased 35 basis points versus second quarter 2014 and decreased 12 basis points versus third quarter 2013. The decline in net interest margin is attributable to a number of factors including:

Higher balances, \$34 million on average as compared with the prior quarter, of interest bearing demand deposits with other banks is a result of our branch acquisition and seasonal deposit flows. This additional liquidity is being carried on the balance sheet in anticipation of the closing of the previously announced merger with Riverside Bank;

o A change in the timing of the recognition of collected loan origination fees;

o Market rates on newly originated loans being lower than that of the portfolio, a result of the continued overall lower rate and competitive environment.

Net loans receivable increased \$5.3 million during third quarter 2014 to \$461.9 million at September 30, 2014, from \$456.6 million at June 30, 2014, and increased \$41.6 million from \$420.3 million at September 30, 2013.

Provision for loan losses for third quarter 2014 was \$318,000 versus \$314,000 for the second quarter 2014 and \$240,000 for third quarter 2013. Net loan charge-offs were \$36,000, versus \$106,000 for second quarter 2014 and \$215,000 for third quarter 2013.

Tax equivalent net interest and dividend income decreased \$152,000, or 2.9%, versus second quarter 2014, and increased \$108,000, or 2.2%, versus third quarter 2013.

Net Interest Income

Tax equivalent net interest and dividend income for third quarter 2014 decreased \$152,000, or 2.9%, versus second quarter 2014, and increased \$108,000, or 2.2%, versus third quarter 2013. Average total interest bearing deposits increased \$29.2 million as compared with second quarter 2014 and increased \$15.7 million as compared with third quarter 2013. Average earning assets increased \$39.1 million as compared with second quarter 2014 and increased \$31.2 million as compared with third quarter 2013. The net interest margin on a tax equivalent basis decreased from 3.74% at second quarter 2014 to 3.39% and decreased 12 basis points versus third quarter 2013 from 3.51%.

The following table sets forth the components of Salisbury's fully tax-equivalent ("FTE") net interest and dividend income and yields on average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing funds.

| Three months ended September 30, (dollars in thousands) | Average Balance | | Income / Expense | | Average Yield / Rate | |
|--|-----------------|-----------|------------------|---------|----------------------|--------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Loans (a)(d) | \$465,073 | \$424,897 | \$4,768 | \$4,581 | 4.08 % | 4.31 % |
| Securities (c)(d) | 83,793 | 102,361 | 956 | 1,131 | 4.56 | 4.42 |
| FHLBB stock | 3,813 | 5,340 | 17 | 5 | 1.82 | 0.38 |
| Short term funds (b) | 44,483 | 33,345 | 24 | 22 | 0.22 | 0.26 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 597,162 | 565,943 | 5,765 | 5,739 | 3.85 | 4.05 |
| Other assets | 42,489 | 35,989 | | | | |
| Total assets | \$639,651 | \$601,932 | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | \$84,711 | \$83,271 | 66 | 71 | 0.31 | 0.34 |
| Money market accounts | 134,803 | 132,480 | 79 | 84 | 0.23 | 0.25 |
| Savings and other | 121,226 | 107,665 | 56 | 56 | 0.18 | 0.20 |
| Certificates of deposit | 85,464 | 87,088 | 178 | 247 | 0.83 | 1.13 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 426,204 | 410,504 | 379 | 458 | 0.35 | 0.44 |
| Repurchase agreements | 6,291 | 3,943 | 3 | 2 | 0.19 | 0.20 |
| Capital lease | 424 | — | 12 | — | 11.04 | 0.00 |
| FHLBB advances | 29,355 | 30,935 | 296 | 312 | 3.94 | 3.94 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 462,274 | 445,382 | 690 | 772 | 0.59 | 0.69 |

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|---|---------|
| Demand deposits | 97,735 | 82,573 | | |
| Other liabilities | 4,084 | 3,049 | | |
| Shareholders' equity | 75,558 | 70,928 | | |
| Total liabilities & shareholders' equity | \$639,651 | \$601,932 | | |
| Net interest and dividend income | | | \$5,075 | \$4,967 |
| Spread on interest-bearing funds | | | 3.26 | 3.36 |
| Net interest margin (e) | | | 3.39 | 3.51 |
| | (a) | | <i>Includes non-accrual loans.</i> | |
| | (b) | | <i>Includes interest-bearing deposits in other banks and federal funds sold.</i> | |
| | (c) | | <i>Average balances of securities are based on historical cost.</i> | |
| (d) | | | <i>Includes tax exempt income benefit of \$321,000 and \$308,000, respectively for 2014 and 2013 on tax-exempt securities and loans whose income and yields are calculated on a tax-equivalent basis.</i> | |
| | (e) | | <i>Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.</i> | |

The following table sets forth the changes in FTE interest due to volume and rate.

| Three months ended June 30, (in thousands) | 2014 versus 2013 | | |
|--|------------------|---------|-------|
| | Volume | Rate | Net |
| Change in interest due to | | | |
| Interest-earning assets | | | |
| Loans | \$423 | \$(236) | \$187 |
| Securities | (209) | 34 | (175) |
| FHLBB stock | (4) | 16 | 12 |
| Short term funds | 7 | (5) | 2 |
| Total | 217 | (191) | 26 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities | | | |
| Deposits | 5 | (84) | (79) |
| Repurchase agreements | 1 | — | 1 |
| Capital lease | 6 | 6 | 12 |
| FHLBB advances | (16) | — | (16) |
| Total | (4) | (78) | (82) |
| Net change in net interest and dividend income | \$221 | \$(113) | \$108 |

Interest Income

Tax equivalent interest income increased \$108,000 to \$5.1 million for third quarter 2014 as compared with third quarter 2013.

Tax equivalent loan income increased \$187,000, or 4.1%, primarily due to a \$40.2 million, or 9.5%, increase in average loans, partially offset by a 23 basis point decrease in the average loan yield.

Tax equivalent securities income decreased \$175,000, or 15.5%, for third quarter 2014 as compared with third quarter 2013, primarily due to a \$18.6 million, or 18.1%, decrease in average volume due to calls and prepayments of mortgage backed securities, and partially offset by a 14 basis point increase in average yield.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$82,000, or 10.6%, to \$0.7 million for third quarter 2014 as compared with third quarter 2013.

Interest on deposit accounts and retail repurchase agreements decreased \$78,000, or 17.0%, as a result of lower average rates, down 9 basis points on deposits and 1 basis point on repurchase agreements, partially offset by a \$15.7 million, or 3.8%, decrease in the average balance of deposits. The lower average rate resulted from the effect of currently lower market interest rates paid on interest bearing deposits and changes in product mix.

Interest expense on FHLBB borrowings decreased \$16,000 as a result of lower average borrowings, down \$1.6 million as compared with third quarter 2013.

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses was \$318,000 for third quarter 2014, compared with \$240,000 for third quarter 2013. Net loan charge-offs were \$36,000 and \$215,000, for the respective quarters. The following table sets forth changes in the allowance for loan losses:

| Periods ended September 30, (dollars in thousands) | Three months | | Nine months | |
|--|--------------|------|-------------|------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$5,102 | \$4,631 | \$4,683 | \$4,360 |
| Provision for loan losses | 318 | 240 | 969 | 876 |
| Charge-offs | | | | |
| Real estate mortgages | (52) | (201) | (299) | (549) |
| Commercial and industrial | — | — | (1) | (4) |
| Consumer | (3) | (23) | (18) | (47) |
| Total charge-offs | (55) | (224) | (318) | (600) |
| Recoveries | | | | |
| Real estate mortgages | 16 | — | 18 | 6 |
| Commercial and industrial | 1 | — | 14 | — |
| Consumer | 2 | 9 | 18 | 14 |
| Total recoveries | 19 | 9 | 50 | 20 |
| Net charge-offs | (36) | (215) | (268) | (580) |
| Balance, end of period | \$5,384 | \$4,656 | \$5,384 | \$4,656 |
| Loans receivable, gross | | | \$466,094 | \$423,813 |
| Non-performing loans | | | 8,611 | 9,166 |
| Accruing loans past due 30-89 days | | | 1,295 | 5,093 |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses: | | | | |
| to loans receivable, gross | | | 1.15 | % 1.10 |
| to non-performing loans | | | 62.52 | 50.80 |
| Ratio of non-performing loans to loans receivable, gross | | | 1.84 | 2.16 |
| Ratio of accruing loans past due 30-89 days to loans receivable, gross | | | 0.28 | 1.20 |

Reserve coverage, as measured by the ratio of the allowance for loan losses to gross loans, increased to 1.15% at September 30, 2014 compared to 1.10% at September 30, 2013.

During the third quarter of 2014, non-performing loans (non-accrual loans and accruing loans past-due 90 days or more) at \$8.6 million, improved slightly at 1.84% of gross loans receivable at September 30, 2014 compared to 2.16% at September 30, 2013. Accruing loans past due 30-89 days decreased \$3.8 million to \$1.3 million, or 0.28% of gross loans receivable from 1.20% at September 30, 2013. See “Financial Condition – Loan Credit Quality” above for further discussion and analysis.

The credit quality segments of loans receivable and the allowance for loan losses are as follows:

| | Collectively evaluated | | Individually evaluated | | Total portfolio | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| | Loans | Allowance | Loans | Allowance | Loans | Allowance |
| September 30, 2014 (in thousands) | | | | | | |
| Performing loans | \$439,458 | \$3,112 | \$61 | \$21 | \$439,519 | \$3,133 |
| Potential problem loans | 9,725 | 522 | 12 | — | 9,737 | 522 |
| Impaired loans | — | — | 16,838 | 1,156 | 16,838 | 1,156 |
| Unallocated allowance | — | 573 | — | — | — | 573 |
| Totals | \$449,183 | \$4,207 | \$16,911 | \$1,177 | \$466,094 | \$5,384 |
| | | | | | | |
| | Collectively evaluated | | Individually evaluated | | Total portfolio | |
| December 31, 2013 (in thousands) | Loans | Allowance | Loans | Allowance | Loans | Allowance |
| Performing loans | \$416,734 | \$2,835 | \$157 | \$69 | \$416,891 | \$2,904 |
| Potential problem loans | 8,687 | 282 | 429 | 19 | 9,116 | 301 |
| Impaired loans | — | — | 15,672 | 1,053 | 15,672 | 1,053 |
| Unallocated allowance | — | 425 | — | — | — | 425 |
| Totals | \$425,421 | \$3,542 | \$16,258 | \$1,141 | \$441,679 | \$4,683 |

The allowance for loan losses represents management’s estimate of the probable credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the reporting date. The allowance is increased by provisions charged to earnings and by recoveries of amounts previously charged off, and is reduced by loan charge-offs. Loan charge-offs are recognized when management determines a loan, or portion of a loan, to be uncollectible. The allowance for loan losses is computed by segregating the portfolio into three components: (1) loans collectively evaluated for impairment: general loss allocation factors for non-impaired loans are segmented into pools of loans based on similar risk characteristics such as loan product, collateral type and loan-to-value, loan risk rating, historical loss experience, delinquency factors and other similar economic indicators, (2) loans individually evaluated for impairment: individual loss allocations for loans deemed to be impaired based on discounted cash flows or collateral value, and (3) unallocated: general loss allocations for other environmental factors.

Impaired loans and certain potential problem loans, where warranted, are individually evaluated for impairment. Impairment is measured for each individual loan, or for a borrower’s aggregate loan exposure, using either the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent, or the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan’s effective interest rate. An allowance is established when the collateral value or discounted cash flows of the loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan.

The component of the allowance for loan losses for loans collectively evaluated for impairment is estimated by stratifying loans into segments and credit risk ratings and then applying management’s general loss allocation factors. The general loss allocation factors are based on expected loss experience adjusted for historical loss experience and other qualitative factors, including levels or trends in delinquencies; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of changes in risk selection and underwriting standards and other changes in lending policies, procedures and practices; experience/ability/depth of lending management and staff; and national and local economic trends and conditions. The

qualitative factors are determined based on the various risk characteristics of each loan segment. There were no significant changes in Salisbury's policies or methodology pertaining to the general component of the allowance for loan losses during the quarter ended September 30, 2014.

The unallocated component of the allowance is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. It reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating allocated and general reserves in the portfolio.

Determining the adequacy of the allowance at any given period is difficult, particularly during deteriorating or uncertain economic periods, and management must make estimates using assumptions and information that are often subjective and changing rapidly. The review of the loan portfolio is a continuing event in light of a changing economy and the dynamics of the banking and regulatory environment. Should the economic climate deteriorate, borrowers could experience difficulty and the level of non-performing loans, charge-offs and delinquencies could rise and require increased provisions. In management's judgment, Salisbury remains adequately reserved both against total loans and non-performing loans at September 30, 2014.

Management's loan risk rating assignments, loss percentages and specific reserves are subjected annually to an independent credit review by an external firm. In addition, the Bank is examined annually on a rotational basis by one of its two primary regulatory agencies, the FDIC and CTDOB. As an integral part of their examination process, the FDIC and CTDOB review the Bank's credit risk ratings and allowance for loan losses.

Non-Interest Income

The following table details the principal categories of non-interest income.

| Three months ended September 30, (dollars in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 vs. 2013 | |
|---|---------|-------|---------------|----------|
| Trust and wealth advisory fees | \$791 | \$750 | \$41 | 5.47 % |
| Service charges and fees | 639 | 595 | 44 | 7.39 |
| Gains on sales of mortgage loans, net | — | 69 | (69) | (100.00) |
| Mortgage servicing, net | 41 | (37) | 78 | 210.81 |
| Other | 82 | 82 | — | — |
| Total non-interest income | \$1,553 | 1,459 | \$94 | 6.44 % |

Non-interest income increased \$94,000, or 6.4%, versus third quarter 2013. Trust and wealth advisory revenues increased \$41,000 versus third quarter 2013. The year-over-year revenue increase results from growth in managed assets and estate settlements in third quarter 2014. Service charges and fees increased \$44,000 versus third quarter 2013 due to higher volume of interchange fees and loan servicing fees implemented in 2014. Income from sales and servicing of mortgage loans in the third quarter increased \$78,000 as compared to the third quarter 2013 due to fluctuations in the volume of fixed rate residential mortgage loan sales and mortgage servicing valuations. Mortgage loan sales totaled \$1.4 million for third quarter 2014, and \$2.2 million for third quarter 2013. Third quarter 2014 and third quarter 2013 included amortization on mortgage servicing rights of \$55,000 and \$101,000, respectively. Other income includes income from bank owned life insurance and rental income.

Non-Interest Expense

The following table details the principal categories of non-interest expense.

| Three months ended September 30, (dollars in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 vs. 2013 | |
|---|---------|---------|---------------|---------|
| Salaries | \$1,980 | \$1,922 | \$58 | 3.02 % |
| Employee benefits | 697 | 693 | 4 | 0.57 |
| Premises and equipment | 728 | 622 | 106 | 17.04 |
| Data processing | 420 | 358 | 62 | 17.32 |
| Professional fees | 441 | 306 | 135 | 44.12 |
| Collections and OREO | 77 | 74 | 3 | 4.05 |
| FDIC insurance | 119 | 111 | 8 | 7.21 |
| Marketing and community support | 115 | 99 | 16 | 16.16 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 75 | 56 | 19 | 33.93 |
| Other | 456 | 402 | 54 | 13.43 |
| Non-interest expense | \$5,108 | \$4,643 | \$465 | 10.15 % |

Non-interest expense for third quarter 2014 increased \$465,000 versus third quarter 2013. Salaries and employee benefits increased \$62,000 versus third quarter 2013. The year-over-year growth includes annual increases and higher medical insurance expense due to increased employee participation. Premises and equipment increased \$106,000 versus third quarter 2013. The year-over-year increase is mainly due to the opening of the Great Barrington, Massachusetts branch, the acquisition and consolidation of the Sharon branch and related renovation expense. Data processing increased \$62,000 versus third quarter 2013 mainly due to the Sharon, Connecticut branch acquisition conversion and the errors related to communication circuit billings. Professional fees increased \$135,000 versus third quarter 2013. Third quarter 2014 included legal and consulting expenses related to strategic initiatives. Collections and OREO expense increased \$3,000 versus third quarter 2013. Salisbury had \$333,000 in foreclosed property at September 30, 2014. FDIC insurance increased \$8,000 versus third quarter 2013. Remaining operating expenses increased \$89,000 versus third quarter 2013 due primarily to increases in other administrative and operational expenses and expenses related to strategic initiatives.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rates for third quarter 2014 and third quarter 2013 were 12.8% and 17.7%, respectively. Generally, fluctuations in the effective tax rate result from changes in the mix of taxable and tax exempt income. The third quarter 2014 results include certain one-time expenses related to strategic initiatives, which are non-deductible for tax purposes. (Excluding these expenses, the effective tax rate for the quarter would have been 10.5%). Salisbury's effective tax rate is generally less than the 34% federal statutory rate due to holdings of tax-exempt municipal bonds, some tax-exempt loans and bank owned life insurance.

Salisbury did not incur Connecticut income tax in 2014 or 2013, other than minimum state income tax, as a result of its utilization of Connecticut tax legislation that permits banks to shelter certain mortgage income from the Connecticut corporation business tax through the use of a special purpose entity called a Passive Investment Company ("PIC"). In accordance with this legislation, in 2004 the Bank formed a PIC, SBT Mortgage Service Corporation. Salisbury's income tax provision reflects the full impact of the Connecticut legislation. Salisbury does not expect to pay other than minimum state income tax in the foreseeable future unless there is a change in the State of Connecticut corporate tax law.

For the nine month periods ended September 30, 2014 and 2013

Overview

During the first three calendar quarters of 2014, Salisbury made significant progress in implementing strategic initiatives to enhance its market presence in each of the three states in which it operates:

In May 2014, the Bank opened a new branch in Great Barrington, Massachusetts.

In June 2014, the Bank completed the previously announced acquisition of a branch and the related deposits of another bank located in Sharon, Connecticut. Operations of our existing Sharon, Connecticut branch were consolidated into this new location.

During the second quarter of 2014, the Bank filed applications with state and federal bank regulators in connection with its previously announced plans to acquire Riverside Bank of Poughkeepsie, New York. Such acquisition is expected to be completed by year-end 2014.

On October 29, 2014, shareholders of Riverside Bank and Salisbury voted to approve the merger, and state and federal regulators approved the merger on November 5, 2014 and November 3, 2014, respectively. Net income available to common shareholders was \$2,159,000, or \$1.26 per common share, for the nine month period ended September 30, 2014 (nine month period 2014), compared with \$2,982,000, or \$1.75 per common share, for the nine month period ended September 30, 2013 (nine month period 2013).

Earnings per common share of \$1.26 decreased \$0.49, or 28.0%, as compared to \$1.75 for the nine month period 2013.

Earnings per common share for the nine months ended September 30, 2014, excluding non-recurring expenses related to strategic initiatives of \$533,000, (after tax) or \$0.34 per share would have been \$1.57 per share for the nine month period.

Excluding such non-recurring expenses of \$533,000 (after taxes) substantially related to professional fees which were incurred in conjunction with strategic initiatives during the first nine months of 2014 (non-GAAP):

Nine month earnings per common share would have been \$1.57, representing a decrease of \$0.18 versus nine month period 2013.

Nine month net income available to common shareholders would have decreased \$290,000, or 9.7%, versus nine month period 2013.

Nine month 2014 non-interest expense would have increased \$741,000, or 5.3%, versus nine month period 2013.

The net interest margin increased 8 basis points versus nine months 2013.

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

- Net loans receivable increased \$41.6 million, or 9.9%, from September 30, 2013. Provision for loan losses was \$969,000 for the nine month period 2014 and \$876,000 for the nine month period 2013. Net loan charge-offs were \$268,000, versus \$580,000 for nine month periods 2014 and 2013 respectively.
- Tax equivalent net interest and dividend income increased \$595,000, or 4.0%, versus September 30, 2013.

Net Interest Income

Tax equivalent net interest and dividend income for nine month period 2014 increased \$595,000, or 4.0%, versus nine month period 2013. The net interest margin increased 8 basis points to 3.61% from 3.53%.

The following table sets forth the components of Salisbury's fully tax-equivalent ("FTE") net interest and dividend income and yields on average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing funds.

| Nine months ended September 30, (dollars in thousands) | Average Balance | | Income / Expense | | Average Yield / Rate | |
|---|-----------------|-----------|------------------|----------|----------------------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Loans (a) | \$456,120 | \$414,050 | \$14,297 | \$13,600 | 4.17% | 4.38% |
| Securities (c)(d) | 88,146 | 109,857 | 3,028 | 3,514 | 4.58 | 4.26 |
| FHLBB stock | 4,621 | 5,443 | 57 | 16 | 1.65 | 0.39 |
| Short term funds (b) | 19,352 | 30,606 | 30 | 58 | 0.21 | 0.25 |
| Total interest earning assets | 568,239 | 559,956 | 17,412 | 17,188 | 4.08 | 4.09 |
| Other assets | 39,956 | 38,605 | | | | |
| Total assets | \$608,195 | \$598,561 | | | | |
| Interest-bearing demand deposits | \$81,504 | \$73,589 | 199 | 210 | 0.33 | 0.38 |
| Money market accounts | 126,275 | 130,829 | 211 | 260 | 0.22 | 0.27 |
| Savings and other | 114,983 | 106,710 | 153 | 162 | 0.18 | 0.20 |
| Certificates of deposit | 82,886 | 90,081 | 517 | 804 | 0.83 | 1.19 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 405,648 | 401,209 | 1,080 | 1,436 | 0.36 | 0.48 |
| Repurchase agreements | 4,070 | 2,769 | 5 | 4 | 0.17 | 0.20 |
| Capital lease | 425 | — | 29 | — | 9.18 | 0.00 |
| FHLBB advances | 30,640 | 31,318 | 891 | 936 | 3.84 | 3.94 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 440,783 | 435,296 | 2,005 | 2,376 | 0.61 | 0.73 |
| Demand deposits | 88,736 | 88,023 | | | | |
| Other liabilities | 3,885 | 3,198 | | | | |
| Shareholders' equity | 74,791 | 72,044 | | | | |
| Total liabilities & shareholders' equity | \$608,195 | \$598,561 | | | | |
| Net interest and dividend income | | | \$15,407 | \$14,812 | | |
| Spread on interest-bearing funds | | | | | 3.47 | 3.36 |
| Net interest margin (e) | | | | | 3.61 | 3.53 |

(a) *Includes non-accrual loans.*

(b) *Includes interest-bearing deposits in other banks and federal funds sold.*

(c) *Average balances of securities are based on historical cost.*

(d) *Includes tax exempt income benefit of \$973,000 and \$915,000, respectively for 2014 and 2013 on tax-exempt securities whose income and yields are calculated on a tax-equivalent basis.*

(e) *Net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.*

The following table sets forth the changes in FTE interest due to volume and rate.

| Nine months ended September 30, (in thousands) | 2014 versus 2013 | | |
|---|------------------|---------|-------|
| | Volume | Rate | Net |
| Change in interest due to Interest-earning assets | | | |
| Loans | \$1,350 | \$(653) | \$697 |
| Securities | (720) | 234 | (486) |
| FHLBB stock | (6) | 47 | 41 |
| Short term funds | (19) | (9) | (28) |
| Total | 605 | (381) | 224 |

| | | | |
|--|-------|---------|--------|
| Interest-bearing liabilities | | | |
| Deposits | (30) | (326) | (356) |
| Repurchase agreements | 2 | (1) | 1 |
| Capital lease | 15 | 14 | 29 |
| FHLBB advances | (20) | (25) | (45) |
| Total | (33) | (338) | (371) |
| Net change in net interest and dividend income | \$638 | \$(43) | \$595 |

40

Interest Income

Tax equivalent interest income increased \$224,000, or 1.3%, to \$17.4 million for nine month period 2014 versus nine month period 2013.

Tax equivalent loan income increased \$697,000, or 5.1%, primarily due to a \$42.1 million, or 10.2%, increase in average loans, offset in part by a 21 basis points decline in the average loan yield.

Tax equivalent securities income decreased \$486,000, or 13.8%, primarily due to a \$21.7 million, or 19.8%, decrease in average volume, offset in part by a 32 basis points increase in the average yield. Changes in securities yields resulted from the effect of changes in market interest rates on securities purchases, calls of agency bonds and prepayments of mortgage backed-securities.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased \$371,000, or 15.6%, to \$2.0 million for nine month period 2014 versus nine month period 2013.

Interest on deposit accounts and retail repurchase agreements decreased \$355,000, or 24.7%, as a result of lower average rates, down 12 and 3 basis points, respectively. Decreased rates were offset in part by an increase in the average balance of deposits and repurchase agreements of \$4.4 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. The lower average rates resulted from the effect of lower market interest rates on rates paid and changes in product mix.

Interest expense on FHLBB borrowings decreased \$45,000 as a result of lower average borrowings, down \$678,000, and a lower average borrowing rate, down 10 basis points, due to scheduled maturities that were not replaced with new advances.

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses was \$969,000 for the nine month period 2014 and \$876,000 for the nine month period 2013. Net loan charge-offs were \$268,000 and \$580,000, for the respective periods.

Reserve coverage at September 30, 2014, as measured by the ratio of allowance for loan losses to gross loans, remained substantially unchanged at 1.15%, as compared with 1.10% a year ago at September 30, 2013. During the first nine months of 2014, non-performing loans (non-accrual loans and accruing loans past-due 90 days or more) increased \$1.4 million to \$8.6 million. Such amount represents 1.84% of gross loans receivable, a decrease from 2.16% at September 30, 2013. At September 30, 2014 accruing loans past due 30-89 days decreased \$3.8 million to \$1.3 million, or 0.28% of gross loans receivable from 1.20% at September 30, 2013. See "Financial Condition – Loan Credit Quality" for further discussion and analysis.

Non-interest income

The following table details the principal categories of non-interest income.

| Nine months ended September 30, (dollars in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 vs. 2013 | |
|--|---------|---------|---------------|----------|
| Trust and wealth advisory fees | \$2,509 | \$2,299 | \$210 | 9.13 % |
| Service charges and fees | 1,807 | 1,687 | 120 | 7.11 |
| Gains on sales of mortgage loans, net | 43 | 501 | (458) | (91.42) |
| Mortgage servicing, net | 80 | (3) | 83 | 2,766.66 |
| Other | 234 | 251 | (17) | (6.77) |

Total non-interest income \$4,673 \$4,735 \$(62) (1.31)%

Non-interest income for the nine month period 2014 decreased \$62,000 versus nine month period 2013. Trust and Wealth Advisory revenues increased \$210,000 from growth in managed assets, new business, and higher fees collected in 2014. Service charges and fees increased \$120,000 due primarily to higher interchange fees resulting from increased volume and a change in checking and loan service charge fees. Income from sales and servicing of mortgage loans decreased \$458,000 due to interest rate driven fluctuations in the volume of fixed rate residential mortgage loan sales and mortgage servicing valuations. Mortgage loans sales totaled \$3.5 million for nine month period 2014 and \$15.9 million for nine month period 2013. Nine month period 2014 and 2013 included mortgage servicing valuation increases of \$6,000 and \$261,000 respectively. Nine month period 2014 and nine month period 2013 included amortization on mortgage servicing rights of \$220,000 and \$298,000, respectively. Other income includes bank owned life insurance income and rental income.

Non-interest expense

The following table details the principal categories of non-interest expense.

| Nine months ended September 30, (dollars in thousands) | 2014 | 2013 | 2014 vs. 2013 | |
|--|----------|----------|---------------|---------|
| Salaries | \$5,776 | \$5,508 | \$268 | 4.87 % |
| Employee benefits | 2,176 | 2,140 | 36 | 1.68 |
| Premises and equipment | 2,102 | 1,789 | 313 | 17.50 |
| Data processing | 1,254 | 1,145 | 109 | 9.52 |
| Professional fees | 1,485 | 996 | 489 | 49.10 |
| Collections and OREO | 298 | 305 | (7) | (2.30) |
| FDIC insurance | 340 | 350 | (10) | (2.86) |
| Marketing and community support | 355 | 326 | 29 | 8.90 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 193 | 167 | 26 | 15.57 |
| Other | 1,307 | 1,232 | 75 | 6.09 |
| Non-interest expense | \$15,286 | \$13,958 | \$1,328 | 9.51 % |

Non-interest expense for nine month period 2014 increased \$1,328,000 versus nine month period 2013. Salaries and benefits increased \$304,000 primarily due to changes in staffing levels and mix and annual salary increases. Premises and equipment increased \$313,000 due primarily to the opening of the Great Barrington, Massachusetts branch, the acquisition, consolidation and related renovations of the Sharon branch and renovations to the Lakeville branch.

Data processing increased \$109,000 due primarily to conversion expenses related to the acquisition of the Sharon branch. Professional fees increased \$489,000 due primarily to higher legal and consulting fees associated with strategic initiatives in 2014. Collections and OREO expense decreased \$7,000 due primarily to lower appraisal and inspection expenses and lower OREO expenses, offset in part by higher legal collection fees. Salisbury had one foreclosed property at September 30, 2014. FDIC insurance decreased \$10,000. Marketing and community support increased \$29,000 due primarily to the opening of the Great Barrington, Mass. branch and the acquisition of the Sharon branch. Other operating expenses increased \$75,000 due to higher other administrative and operational expenses.

Income taxes

The effective income tax rates for nine month period 2014 and nine month period 2013 were 19.88% and 18.30%, respectively. Fluctuations in the effective tax rate result from changes in the mix of taxable and tax exempt income. Salisbury's effective tax rate is generally less than the 34% federal statutory rate due to holdings of tax-exempt municipal bonds, some tax-exempt loans and bank owned life insurance.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Shareholders' equity was \$75.5 million at September 30, 2014, up \$2.7 million from December 31, 2013. Book value and tangible book value per common share were \$34.74 and \$28.50, respectively, compared with \$33.21 and \$27.12, respectively, at December 31, 2013. Contributing to the increase in shareholders' equity for year-to-date 2014 was net income of \$2.3 million and an increase in other comprehensive income of \$1.8 million, partially offset by common and preferred stock dividends of \$1.6 million and stock issuance of \$0.2 million. Accumulated other comprehensive income as of September 30, 2014 consists of unrealized gains on securities available-for-sale, net of tax, of \$2.3 million and unrecognized pension plan benefits, net of tax, of \$0.6 million.

In August 2011, Salisbury issued to the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury (the "Treasury") \$16.0 million of its Series B Preferred Stock under the Small Business Lending Fund (the "SBLF") program. The SBLF program is a \$30 billion fund established under the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 to encourage lending to small businesses by providing

Tier 1 capital to qualified community banks with assets of less than \$10 billion. The Preferred Stock qualifies as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes and ranks senior to the Common Stock.

The Series B Preferred Stock pays noncumulative dividends. The dividend rate on the Series B Preferred Stock for the initial ten quarterly dividend periods, commencing with the period ended September 30, 2011 and ending with the period ended December 31, 2013, was determined each quarter based on the increase in the Bank's Qualified Small Business Lending over a baseline amount. The dividend rate for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014 was 1.0%. For the eleventh quarterly dividend payment through four and one-half years after its issuance, the dividend rate on the Series B Preferred Stock will be 1.0%. Commencing with the second quarter of 2016, after four and one-half years from its issuance, the dividend rate will be fixed at 9.0% per annum. The Series B Preferred Stock is non-voting, other than voting rights on matters that could adversely affect the Series B Preferred Stock. The Series B Preferred Stock is redeemable at any time at one hundred percent of the issue price plus any accrued and unpaid dividends.

On February 8, 2013, Salisbury granted a total of 19,600 shares of restricted stock pursuant to its 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan, which was approved by shareholders at the 2011 Annual Meeting, to 22 employees, including 5,000 shares to one Named Executive Officer, Richard J. Cantele, Jr., President and Chief Executive Officer.

On January 3, 2014, Salisbury granted a total of 3,000 shares of restricted stock, pursuant to its 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan, to 2 additional employees, including 2,000 shares to one Named Executive Officer, Donald E. White, Chief Financial Officer.

Capital Requirements

Salisbury and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Under current regulatory definitions, Salisbury and the Bank are considered to be “well capitalized” for capital adequacy purposes. As a result, the Bank pays lower federal deposit insurance premiums than banks that are not “well capitalized.” Salisbury’s and the Bank’s regulatory capital ratios are as follows:

| | Well | | September 30, | | December 31, | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---------------|------|--------------|-------|---|--------|
| | capitalized | | 2014 | | 2013 | | | |
| | | | Salisbury | Bank | Salisbury | Bank | | |
| Total Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 10.00 | % | 16.27 | % | 13.77% | 16.46 | % | 13.87% |
| Tier 1 Capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 6.00 | | 14.87 | | 12.38 | 15.20 | | 12.63 |
| Tier 1 Capital (to average assets) | 5.00 | | 9.85 | | 8.26 | 10.65 | | 8.96 |

To be considered a well-capitalized institution, which is the highest capital category for an institution as defined by the Prompt Corrective Action Regulations issued by the FDIC and the FRB, an institution must maintain a Total Risk-Based ratio of 10% or above, a Tier 1 Risk-Based ratio of 6% or above and a Leverage ratio of 5% or above, and must not be subject to any written order, written agreement, capital directive, or prompt corrective action directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level. Maintaining strong capital is essential to Salisbury’s and the Bank’s safety and soundness.

In December 2010, the Basel Committee, a group of bank regulatory supervisors from around the world, released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation, now officially identified by the Basel Committee as “Basel III.” Basel III, when fully implemented by the U.S. bank regulatory agencies and fully phased-in, will require bank holding companies and their bank subsidiaries to maintain substantially more capital, with a greater emphasis on common equity.

In July 2013, the Federal Reserve Board, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation approved final rules to implement the Basel III capital framework. The rules will be effective on January 1, 2015 and phased-in over a multiple year period through 2019. The new capital rules call for higher quality capital with higher minimum capital level requirements. Salisbury and the Bank are in the process of assessing the impact from these new regulatory requirements, and while management cannot be certain of the impact, management believes that Salisbury and the Bank will exceed the new requirements of adequately capitalized plus the capital conservation buffer, once they become effective.

Dividends

During the three month period ended September 30, 2014, Salisbury paid \$40,000 in Series B preferred stock dividends to the U.S. Treasury’s SBLF program, and \$480,000 in common stock dividends.

On October 31, 2014, the Board of Directors of Salisbury declared a common stock dividend of \$0.28 per common share payable on November 28, 2014 to shareholders of record on November 14, 2014. Common stock dividends,

when declared, will generally be paid the last Friday of February, May, August and November, although Salisbury is not obligated to pay dividends on those dates or at any other time.

Salisbury's ability to pay cash dividends is substantially dependent on the Bank's ability to pay cash dividends to Salisbury. There are certain restrictions on the payment of cash dividends and other payments by the Bank to Salisbury. Under Connecticut law, the Bank cannot declare a cash dividend except from net profits, defined as the remainder of all earnings from current operations. The total of all cash dividends declared by the Bank in any calendar year shall not, unless specifically approved by the Commissioner of Banking, exceed the total of its net profits of that year combined with its retained net profits of the preceding two years.

FRB Supervisory Letter SR 09-4, February 24, 2009, revised September 27, 2009, notes that, as a general matter, the Board of Directors of a BHC should inform the FRB and should eliminate, defer, or significantly reduce dividends if (1) net income available to shareholders for the past four quarters, net of dividends previously paid during that period, is not sufficient to fully fund the dividends; (2) the prospective rate of earnings retention is not consistent with capital needs and overall current and prospective financial condition; or (3) the BHC will not meet, or is in danger of not meeting, its minimum regulatory capital adequacy ratios. Moreover, a BHC should inform the FRB reasonably in advance of declaring or paying a dividend that exceeds earnings for the period (e.g., quarter) for which the dividend is being paid or that could result in a material adverse change to the BHC capital structure.

Salisbury believes that the payment of common stock cash dividends is appropriate, provided that such payment considers Salisbury's capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition and does not adversely affect the financial stability of Salisbury or the Bank. The continued payment of common stock cash dividends by Salisbury will be dependent on Salisbury's and the Bank's future core earnings, financial condition and capital needs, regulatory restrictions, and other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors of Salisbury.

IMPACT OF INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES

Salisbury's consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles that require the measurement of financial condition and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money, over time, due to inflation. Unlike most industrial companies, virtually all of the assets and liabilities of Salisbury are monetary and as a result, interest rates have a greater impact on Salisbury's performance than do the effects of general levels of inflation, although interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or with the same magnitude as the prices of goods and services. Although not a material factor in recent years, inflation could impact earnings in future periods.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND WHERE TO FIND IT

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND WHERE TO FIND IT

In connection with the proposed acquisition of Riverside Bank ("proposed transaction"), Salisbury filed with the SEC a Registration Statement on Form S-4 that included a proxy statement of Salisbury and Riverside Bank and a prospectus of Salisbury, as well as other relevant documents concerning the proposed transaction. **SHAREHOLDERS OF SALISBURY AND RIVERSIDE BANK ARE URGED TO READ CAREFULLY THE REGISTRATION STATEMENT AND JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS REGARDING THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION IN ITS ENTIRETY AND ANY OTHER DOCUMENTS FILED WITH THE SEC, AS WELL AS ANY AMENDMENTS OR SUPPLEMENTS TO THOSE DOCUMENTS, BECAUSE THEY CONTAIN IMPORTANT INFORMATION.** Investors and shareholders of Salisbury and Riverside Bank may obtain a free copy of the joint proxy statement/prospectus containing information about Salisbury and Riverside Bank, as well as other filings containing information about Salisbury, at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. The joint proxy statement/prospectus and the other filings may also be obtained free of charge at Salisbury's website at www.salisburybank.com.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q and future filings made by Salisbury with the Securities and Exchange Commission, as well as other filings, reports and press releases made or issued by Salisbury and the Bank, and oral statements made by executive officers of Salisbury and the Bank, may include forward-looking statements relating to such matters as:

- (a) assumptions concerning future economic and business conditions and their effect on the economy in general and on the markets in which Salisbury and the Bank do business; and

(b) expectations for revenues and earnings for Salisbury and the Bank.

Such forward-looking statements are based on assumptions rather than historical or current facts and, therefore, are inherently uncertain and subject to risk. For those statements, Salisbury claims the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Salisbury notes that a variety of factors could cause the actual results or experience to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations described or implied by such forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties that may affect the operation, performance, development and results of Salisbury's and the Bank's business include the following:

- (a) the risk of adverse changes in business conditions in the banking industry generally and in the specific markets in which the Bank operates;
 - (b) changes in the legislative and regulatory environment that negatively impacts Salisbury and the Bank through increased operating expenses;
 - (c) increased competition from other financial and non-financial institutions;
 - (d) the impact of technological advances; and
 - (e) other risks detailed from time to time in Salisbury's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- Such developments could have an adverse impact on Salisbury's and the Bank's financial position and results of operations.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Salisbury manages its exposure to interest rate risk through its Asset/Liability Management Committee ("ALCO") using risk limits and policy guidelines to manage assets and funding liabilities to produce financial results that are consistent with Salisbury's liquidity, capital adequacy, growth, risk and profitability targets. Interest rate risk is the risk of loss to future earnings due to changes in interest rates.

The ALCO manages interest rate risk using income simulation to measure interest rate risk inherent in Salisbury's financial instruments at a given point in time by showing the effect of interest rate shifts on net interest income over a 24-month horizon. In management's September 30, 2014 analysis, all of the simulations incorporate a static growth assumption over the simulation horizons. Additionally, the simulations take into account the specific re-pricing, maturity and prepayment characteristics of differing financial instruments that may vary under different interest rate scenarios.

The ALCO reviews the simulation results to determine whether Salisbury's exposure to change in net interest income remains within established tolerance levels over the simulation horizons and to develop appropriate strategies to manage this exposure. Salisbury's tolerance levels for changes in net interest income in its income simulations vary depending on the magnitude of interest rate changes and level of risk-based capital. All changes are measured in comparison to the projected net interest income that would result from an "unchanged" rate scenario where interest rates remain stable over the forecast horizon. The ALCO also evaluates the directional trends of net interest income, net interest margin and other financial measures over the forecast horizon for consistency with its liquidity, capital adequacy, growth, risk and profitability targets.

The ALCO uses four interest rate scenarios to evaluate interest rate risk exposure and may vary these interest rate scenarios to show the effect of steepening or flattening changes in yield curves as well as parallel changes in interest rates. At September 30, 2014 the ALCO used the following interest rate scenarios: (1) unchanged interest rates; (2) immediately rising interest rates - immediate parallel upward shift in market interest rates ranging from 300 basis points for short term rates to 300 basis points for the 10-year Treasury; (3) immediately falling interest rates - immediate non-parallel downward shift in market interest rates ranging from 25 basis points for short term rates to 118 basis points for the 10-year Treasury; and (4) Static growth with assumption sensitivity stress testing with gradually rising interest rates - gradual non-parallel upward shift in market interest rates ranging from 200 basis points for short term rates to 150 basis points for the 10-year Treasury. Deposit rates are assumed to shift by lesser amounts due to their relative historical insensitivity to market interest rate movements. Further, deposits are assumed to have certain minimum rate levels below which they will not fall. Income simulations do not reflect adjustments in strategy that the ALCO could implement in response to rate shifts.

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

As of September 30, 2014, net interest income simulations indicated that the Bank's exposure to changing interest rates over the simulation horizons remained within its tolerance levels. The following table sets forth the estimated change in net interest income from an unchanged interest rate scenario over the periods indicated for changes in market interest rates using the Bank's financial instruments as of September 30, 2014:

| As of September 30, 2014 | Months 1-12 | Months 13-24 |
|--|----------------|-----------------|
| Immediately rising interest rates (static growth assumptions) | (6.40)% | 2.35 % |
| Immediately falling interest rates (static growth assumptions) | (0.88) | (3.71) |
| Immediately rising interest rates (static growth with assumption sensitivity stress testing) | (9.59) | (0.25) |

The negative exposure of net interest income to immediately and gradually rising rates as compared to the unchanged rate scenario results from a faster projected rise in the cost of funds versus income from earning assets, as relatively rate-sensitive money market and time deposits re-price faster than longer duration earning assets. The negative exposure of net interest income to immediately falling rates as compared to an unchanged rate scenario results from a greater decline in earning asset yields compared to rates paid on funding liabilities, as a result of faster prepayments on existing assets and lower reinvestment rates on future loans originated and securities purchased.

While the ALCO reviews simulation assumptions and back-tests simulation results to ensure that they are reasonable and current, income simulation may not always prove to be an accurate indicator of interest rate risk or future net interest margin. Over time, the re-pricing, maturity and prepayment characteristics of financial instruments and the composition of Salisbury's balance sheet may change to a different degree than estimated. Simulation modeling assumes Salisbury's expectation for future balance sheet growth, which is a function of the business environment and customer behavior. Another significant simulation assumption is the sensitivity of core savings deposits to fluctuations in interest rates. Income simulation results assume that changes in both core savings deposit rates and balances are related to changes in short-term interest rates. The assumed relationship between short-term interest rate changes and core deposit rate and balance changes used in income simulation may differ from the ALCO's estimates. Lastly, mortgage-backed securities and mortgage loans involve a level of risk that unforeseen changes in prepayment speeds may cause related cash flows to vary significantly in differing rate environments. Such changes could affect the level of reinvestment risk associated with cash flow from these instruments, as well as their market value. Changes in prepayment speeds could also increase or decrease the amortization of premium or accretion of discounts related to such instruments, thereby affecting interest income.

Salisbury also monitors the potential change in market value of its available-for-sale debt securities in changing interest rate environments. The purpose is to determine market value exposure that may not be captured by income simulation, but which might result in changes to Salisbury's capital and liquidity position. Results are calculated using industry-standard analytical techniques and securities data. Available-for-sale equity securities are excluded from this analysis because the market value of such securities cannot be directly correlated with changes in interest rates. The following table summarizes the potential change in market value of available-for-sale debt securities resulting from immediate parallel rate shifts:

| As of September 30, 2014 (in thousands) | Rates up 100bp | Rates up 200bp |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| U.S. Treasury notes | \$(51) | \$(101) |
| U.S. Government agency notes | (4) | (55) |
| Municipal bonds | (1,274) | (2,623) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | (930) | (1,936) |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations | (222) | (471) |
| SBA pools | (6) | (11) |
| Total available-for-sale debt securities | \$(2,487) | \$(5,197) |

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Salisbury's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of Salisbury's disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2014. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of September 30, 2014.

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the

“Exchange Act”) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls

In addition, based on an evaluation of its internal controls over financial reporting, no change in Salisbury’s internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Salisbury’s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Bank is involved in various claims and legal proceedings, which are not material, arising in the ordinary course of business.

As previously disclosed, the Bank, individually and in its capacity as a former Co-Trustee of the Erling C. Christophersen Revocable Trust (the "Trust"), was named as a defendant in litigation filed in the Connecticut Complex Litigation Docket in Stamford, captioned John Christophersen v. Erling Christophersen, et al., X08-CV-08-5009597S (the "First Action"). The Bank also was a counterclaim-defendant in related mortgage foreclosure litigation in the Connecticut Complex Litigation Docket in Stamford, captioned Salisbury Bank and Trust Company v. Erling C. Christophersen, et al., X08-CV-10-6005847-S (the "Foreclosure Action," together with the First Action, the "Actions"). The other parties to the Actions were John R. Christophersen; Erling C. Christophersen, individually and as Co-Trustee of the Trust; Bonnie Christophersen and Elena Dreiske, individually and as Co-Trustees of the Mildred B. Blount Testamentary Trust; People's United Bank; Law Offices of Gary Oberst, P.C.; Rhoda Rudnick; and Hinckley Allen & Snyder LLP.

The Actions involved a dispute over title to certain real property located in Westport, Connecticut that was conveyed by Erling Christophersen, as grantor, to the Trust on or about August 8, 2007. Subsequent to this conveyance, the Bank loaned \$3,386,609 to the Trust, which was secured by a commercial mortgage in favor of the Bank on the Westport property. This mortgage is the subject of the Foreclosure Action brought by the Bank.

As previously disclosed, John R. Christophersen claimed an interest in the Westport real property transferred to the Trust and sought to quiet title to the property and to recover money damages from the defendants for the alleged wrongful divestiture of his claimed interest in the property.

On June 25, 2012, the Bank and John R. Christophersen entered into a Settlement Agreement, which resolved all differences between John R. Christophersen and the Bank and resulted in the withdrawal (with prejudice) of the claims made by John R. Christophersen. All claims against the Bank have been withdrawn and the Bank is no longer a defendant or counterclaim defendant in any litigation involving the Actions. As an additional consequence of the Settlement Agreement, Bonnie Christophersen, Elena Dreiske and People's United Bank are no longer parties to any of the litigation referenced above.

On July 27, 2012, Erling Christophersen filed a Motion to Restore the First Action, and on October 15, 2012 filed a Motion to Stay the Foreclosure Action pending resolution of the Motion to Restore. The Bank opposed both motions. On February 1, 2013, the Court issued orders denying both motions. On February 14, 2013, Erling Christophersen appealed the orders denying his Motion to Restore the First Action, and Motion to Stay the Foreclosure Action.

The Appellate Court dismissed the appeal of the Foreclosure Action in May 2013, and later denied Erling Christophersen's motion for reconsideration of its decision.

The Bank proceeded in its Foreclosure Action against Erling Christophersen. Erling Christophersen asserted two special defenses and set-off claims alleging (1) that the Bank failed to defend the title claims against the properties, and (2) that the Bank took certain trustee fees without approval. The Bank moved to strike the special defenses and set off claims. In a decision issued on November 6, 2013, the Court granted the motion to strike as to the second special defense and set off, but denied the motion as to the first special defense and set off. Trial began on February 4, 2014, and concluded on February 14, 2014.

In a decision issued on June 2, 2014, the Court dismissed Erling Christophersen's special defense, and made findings as to the amount of the debt owed by Erling Christophersen and the value of the property, reserving judgment on

whether to order a strict foreclosure or foreclosure by sale pending a hearing on the amount of attorneys' fees accrued, and the debt accrued since the commencement of the trial. That hearing was held on July 29, 2014. On July 25, 2014, Erling Christophersen moved to disqualify the Bank's counsel, seeking, in part, the remedy of a new trial. The Court denied that motion in a decision dated July 30, 2014. On August 5, 2014, the Court issued a Judgment of Strict Foreclosure (the "Judgment") in favor of the Bank and set September 16, 2014 as the Law Day, which is the final date fixed by the Court on which the debtor can pay off the debt or redeem the real property, with subsequent dates for subsequent encumbrances in inverse order of priority.

On September 15, 2014, Christophersen moved to open the Judgment, which motion was denied by order of the Court dated September 30, 2014. On October 3, 2014, Christophersen filed an Appeal of the Judgment and of the denial of his motion to reopen. Salisbury Bank moved to dismiss the Appeal on October 24, 2014, on grounds that Christophersen cannot represent the Trust as he is not an attorney, and that Christophersen in his individual capacity does not have any interest in this appeal. Execution of the Court's Judgment is stayed during the pendency of the Appeal.

There are no other material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the registrant's business, to which Salisbury is a party or of which any of its property is subject.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Not applicable

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

Item 6. EXHIBITS

Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Salisbury Bancorp, Inc., Salisbury Bank and Trust Company and
2.1 Riverside Bank dated March 18, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2014).

3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of Salisbury Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Registrant's 1998 Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed April 23, 1998, File No.: 33-50857).

3.1.1 Amendment to Article Third of Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Registrant's Form 8-K filed March 11, 2009).

3.1.2 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Registrant's Form 8-K filed March 19, 2009).

3.1.3 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation for the Series B Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K filed on August 25, 2011).

3.1.4 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation of Registrant (incorporated by referenced to Exhibit 3.1 of Registrant's Form 8-K filed October 30, 2014).

3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 8-K filed March 19, 2009).

10.2 Securities Purchase Agreement dated August 25, 2011 with the U.S. Treasury Department relating to the Small Business Lending Fund (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Registrant's Form 8-K filed on August 25, 2011).

10.3 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan adopted by the Board on March 25, 2011 and approved by the shareholders at Salisbury's 2011 Annual Meeting (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 19, 2012).

10.4 Amendment Number One to 2011 Long Term Incentive Plan dated as of January 18, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 7, 2013).

Edgar Filing: SALISBURY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

- 10.5 Severance Agreement between Salisbury Bank and Trust and Mr. Richard J. Cantele, Jr. effective as of January 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Registrant's Form 8-K filed February 15, 2013).
- 10.6 Employee Stock Ownership Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 of Form 10-K filed on March 28, 2014).

31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.

31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification.

32. Section 1350 Certifications

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SALISBURY BANCORP,
INC.

November 14, 2014 by: /s/ Richard J. Cantele, Jr.
Richard J. Cantele, Jr.,
President and Chief
Executive Officer

November 14, 2014 by: /s/ Donald E. White
Donald E. White
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial
Officer