

FIRST BUSINESS FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.  
Form 10-Q  
October 28, 2016

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016

OR

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
Commission file number 001-34095

FIRST BUSINESS FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)  
Wisconsin

39-1576570

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)  
401 Charmany Drive, Madison, WI 53719

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)  
(608) 238-8008

Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's sole class of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, on October 27, 2016 was 8,706,740 shares.

Table of Contents

FIRST BUSINESS FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.  
INDEX — FORM 10-Q

<u>PART I. Financial Information</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>PART II. Other Information</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>68</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>69</u>

---

Table of Contents

## PART I. Financial Information

## Item 1. Financial Statements

## First Business Financial Services, Inc.

## Consolidated Balance Sheets

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(unaudited)	
	(In Thousands, Except Share Data)	
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 16,694	\$ 14,640
Short-term investments	52,070	98,924
Cash and cash equivalents	68,764	113,564
Securities available-for-sale, at fair value	154,480	140,548
Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost	35,109	37,282
Loans held for sale	2,627	2,702
Loans and leases receivable, net of allowance for loan and lease losses of \$20,067 and \$16,316, respectively	1,438,230	1,414,649
Premises and equipment, net	3,898	3,954
Foreclosed properties	1,527	1,677
Bank-owned life insurance	29,028	28,298
Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock, at cost	2,165	2,843
Goodwill and other intangible assets	12,762	12,493
Accrued interest receivable and other assets	23,848	24,071
Total assets	\$ 1,772,438	\$ 1,782,081
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Deposits	\$ 1,566,199	\$ 1,577,231
Federal Home Loan Bank and other borrowings	29,946	34,740
Junior subordinated notes	10,001	9,990
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	6,361	9,288
Total liabilities	1,612,507	1,631,249
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 2,500,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized, 8,960,083 and 8,922,375 shares issued, 8,717,299 and 8,699,410 shares outstanding, at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively	90	89
Additional paid-in capital	77,544	76,549
Retained earnings	88,255	80,584
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	806	(80 )
Treasury stock, 242,784 and 222,965 shares at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, at cost	(6,764 )	(6,310 )
Total stockholders' equity	159,931	150,832
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 1,772,438	\$ 1,782,081

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.



Table of ContentsFirst Business Financial Services, Inc.  
Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015	
--	--	--	---	--

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

Interest income:				
Loans and leases	\$18,016	\$17,323	\$55,161	\$51,328
Securities income	698	722	2,102	2,246
Short-term investments	184	90	533	297
Total interest income	18,898	18,135	57,796	53,871
Interest expense:				
Deposits	2,870	2,785	8,961	7,947
Notes payable and other borrowings	453	460	1,425	1,364
Junior subordinated notes	280	280	835	832
Total interest expense	3,603	3,525	11,221	10,143
Net interest income	15,295	14,610	46,575	43,728
Provision for loan and lease losses	3,537	287	6,824	1,491
Net interest income after provision for loan and lease losses	11,758	14,323	39,751	42,237
Non-interest income:				
Trust and investment services fee income	1,364	1,251	3,981	3,737
Gain on sale of Small Business Administration loans	347	927	3,854	2,274
Gain on sale of residential mortgage loans	198	244	540	614
Service charges on deposits	772	705	2,247	2,094
Loan fees	506	486	1,791	1,487
Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance	244	243	730	715
Other non-interest income	209	246	914	1,155
Total non-interest income	3,640	4,102	14,057	12,076
Non-interest expense:				
Compensation	7,637	7,320	24,454	21,598
Occupancy	530	486	1,538	1,472
Professional fees	1,065	1,268	2,888	3,772
Data processing	623	587	1,971	1,772
Marketing	528	693	1,710	2,036
Equipment	292	308	913	914
FDIC insurance	444	260	989	693
Collateral liquidation costs	89	22	204	402
Net (gain) loss on foreclosed properties	—	(163)	93	(178)
Impairment of tax credit investments	3,314	—	3,520	—
Other non-interest expense	1,231	1,203	3,630	3,209
Total non-interest expense	15,753	11,984	41,910	35,690
(Loss) income before income tax expense	(355)	6,441	11,898	18,623
Income tax (benefit) expense	(2,895)	2,060	1,095	6,192
Net income	\$2,540	\$4,381	\$10,803	\$12,431
Earnings per common share:				
Basic	\$0.29	\$0.50	\$1.24	\$1.43
Diluted	\$0.29	\$0.50	\$1.24	\$1.43
Dividends declared per share	\$0.12	\$0.11	\$0.36	\$0.33

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

2

---

Table of ContentsFirst Business Financial Services, Inc.  
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(In Thousands)			
Net income	\$2,540	\$4,381	\$10,803	\$12,431
Other comprehensive income, before tax				
Securities available-for-sale:				
Net unrealized securities gains arising during the period	81	443	1,317	298
Securities held-to-maturity:				
Amortization of net unrealized losses transferred from available-for-sale	41	54	124	181
Income tax expense	(47 )	(192 )	(555 )	(185 )
Total other comprehensive income	\$75	\$305	\$886	\$294
Comprehensive income	\$2,615	\$4,686	\$11,689	\$12,725

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

First Business Financial Services, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)

	Common shares outstanding	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Treasury stock	Total
(In Thousands, Except Share Data)							
Balance at December 31, 2014	8,671,854	\$ 45	\$ 74,963	\$ 67,886	\$ 218	\$(5,364)	\$ 137,748
Net income	—	—	—	12,431	—	—	12,431
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	294	—	294
Common stock dividends	—	44	(44 )	—	—	—	—
Exercise of stock options	24,000	—	300	—	—	—	300
Share-based compensation - restricted shares	43,602	—	717	—	—	—	717
Share-based compensation - tax benefits	—	—	253	—	—	—	253
Cash dividends (\$0.33 per share)	—	—	—	(2,859 )	—	—	(2,859 )
Treasury stock purchased	(40,681 )	—	—	—	—	(917 )	(917 )
Balance at September 30, 2015	8,698,775	\$ 89	\$ 76,189	\$ 77,458	\$ 512	\$(6,281)	\$ 147,967

	Common shares outstanding	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	Treasury stock	Total
(In Thousands, Except Share Data)							
Balance at December 31, 2015	8,699,410	\$ 89	\$ 76,549	\$ 80,584	\$ (80 )	\$(6,310)	\$ 150,832
Net income	—	—	—	10,803	—	—	10,803
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	886	—	886
Share-based compensation - restricted shares	37,708	1	857	—	—	—	858
Share-based compensation - tax benefits	—	—	138	—	—	—	138
Cash dividends (\$0.36 per share)	—	—	—	(3,132 )	—	—	(3,132 )
Treasury stock purchased	(19,819 )	—	—	—	—	(454 )	(454 )
Balance at September 30, 2016	8,717,299	\$ 90	\$ 77,544	\$ 88,255	\$ 806	\$(6,764)	\$ 159,931

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.



Table of Contents

First Business Financial Services, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015
	(In Thousands)	
Operating activities		
Net income	\$10,803	\$12,431
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Deferred income taxes, net	(9	) 319
Impairment of tax credit investments	3,520	—
Provision for loan and lease losses	6,824	1,491
Depreciation, amortization and accretion, net	1,403	(379
Share-based compensation	858	717
Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance	(730	) (715
Origination of loans for sale	(54,794	) (52,295
Sale of loans originated for sale	59,263	53,612
Gain on sale of loans originated for sale	(4,394	) (2,887
Net loss (gain) on foreclosed properties, including impairment valuation	93	(178
Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation	(138	) (253
Increase in accrued interest receivable and other assets	(3,106	) (529
(Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	(2,789	) 1,342
Net cash provided by operating activities	16,804	12,676
Investing activities		
Proceeds from maturities, redemptions and paydowns of available-for-sale securities	32,555	32,930
Proceeds from maturities, redemptions and paydowns of held-to-maturity securities	2,906	3,253
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	2,183	—
Purchases of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities	(48,943	) (32,614
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed properties	57	528
Net increase in loans and leases	(29,962	) (96,898
Investment in limited partnerships	(2,238	) (578
Distributions from limited partnerships	791	332
Investment in Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank Stock	(388	) (1,349
Proceeds from sale of Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	1,066	846
Purchases of leasehold improvements and equipment, net	(519	) (498
Net cash used in investing activities	(42,492	) (94,048
Financing activities		
Net (decrease) increase in deposits	(10,924	) 101,529
Repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(3,500	) —
Net (decrease) increase in short-term borrowed funds	(1,240	) 2,500
Excess tax benefit from share-based compensation	138	253
Cash dividends paid	(3,132	) (2,859
Exercise of stock options	—	300
Purchase of treasury stock	(454	) (917
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(19,112	) 100,806
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(44,800	) 19,434
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period	113,564	103,237
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period	\$68,764	\$122,671

Supplementary cash flow information

Cash paid during the period for:

Interest paid on deposits and borrowings	\$11,058	\$9,817
--	----------	---------

Income taxes paid	5,122	3,793
-------------------	-------	-------

Non-cash investing and financing activities:

Transfer of loans from held-to-maturity to held-for-sale	11,504	2,401
--	--------	-------

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

## Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

## Note 1 — Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Nature of Operations. The accounting and reporting practices of First Business Financial Services, Inc. (the “Corporation”), its wholly owned subsidiaries, First Business Bank (“FBB”), First Business Bank – Milwaukee (“FBB – Milwaukee”) and Alterra Bank (“Alterra”), have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”). FBB, FBB – Milwaukee and Alterra are sometimes referred to together as the “Banks.” FBB operates as a commercial banking institution in the Madison, Wisconsin market, consisting primarily of Dane County and the surrounding areas, with loan production offices in Northeast Wisconsin. FBB also offers trust and investment services through First Business Trust & Investments (“FBTI”), a division of FBB. FBB – Milwaukee operates as a commercial banking institution in the Milwaukee, Wisconsin market, consisting primarily of Waukesha County and the surrounding areas, with a loan production office in Kenosha, Wisconsin. Alterra operates as a commercial banking institution in the Kansas City market and the surrounding areas. The Banks provide a full range of financial services to businesses, business owners, executives, professionals and high net worth individuals. The Banks are subject to competition from other financial institutions and service providers and are also subject to state and federal regulations. FBB has the following wholly owned subsidiaries: First Business Capital Corp. (“FBCC”), First Madison Investment Corp. (“FMIC”), First Business Equipment Finance, LLC (“FBEF”), Rimrock Road Investment Fund, LLC (“Rimrock Road”), BOC Investment, LLC (“BOC”) and Mitchell Street Apartments Investments, LLC (“Mitchell Street”). FMIC is located in and was formed under the laws of the state of Nevada. FBB-Milwaukee has one subsidiary, FBB – Milwaukee Real Estate, LLC (“FBBMRE”).

Basis of Presentation. The accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements were prepared in accordance with GAAP and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the Corporation’s Consolidated Financial Statements and footnotes thereto included in the Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. The unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of the Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries. In accordance with the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 810, the Corporation’s ownership interest in FBFS Statutory Trust II (“Trust II”) has not been consolidated into the financial statements. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Management of the Corporation is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements as well as reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. Material estimates that could significantly change in the near-term include the value of lease residuals, property under operating leases, securities, income taxes, goodwill and the level of the allowance for loan and lease losses. The results of operations for the nine month period ended September 30, 2016 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or the entire fiscal year ending December 31, 2016. Certain amounts in prior periods may have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. Subsequent events have been evaluated through the date of the issuance of the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements. No significant subsequent events have occurred through this date requiring adjustment to the financial statements or disclosures.

The Corporation has not changed its significant accounting and reporting policies from those disclosed in the Corporation’s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 except as described further below in this Note 1.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230). The ASU provides guidance on eight specific cash flow issues with the objective of reducing diversity in practice. The ASU is effective for public companies for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. The amendments in this update will be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented. The Corporation intends to adopt the accounting standard during the first quarter of 2018, as required, and is currently evaluating the impact on its results of operations, financial position and liquidity.



Table of Contents

## Note 2 — Earnings Per Common Share

Earnings per common share are computed using the two-class method. Basic earnings per common share are computed by dividing net income allocated to common shares by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the applicable period, excluding outstanding participating securities. Participating securities include unvested restricted shares. Unvested restricted shares are considered participating securities because holders of these securities receive non-forfeitable dividends, or dividend equivalents, at the same rate as holders of the Corporation's common stock. Diluted earnings per share are computed by dividing net income allocated to common shares, adjusted for reallocation of undistributed earnings of unvested restricted shares, by the weighted average number of shares determined for the basic earnings per common share computation plus the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents using the treasury stock method.

There were no anti-dilutive employee share-based awards for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)			
Basic earnings per common share				
Net income	\$2,540	\$ 4,381	\$ 10,803	\$ 12,431
Less: earnings allocated to participating securities	38	68	165	206
Basic earnings allocated to common shareholders	\$2,502	\$ 4,313	\$ 10,638	\$ 12,225
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, excluding participating securities	8,582,836	8,546,563	8,569,613	8,538,219
Basic earnings per common share	\$0.29	\$ 0.50	\$ 1.24	\$ 1.43
Diluted earnings per common share				
Earnings allocated to common shareholders, diluted	\$2,502	\$ 4,313	\$ 10,638	\$ 12,225
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, excluding participating securities	8,582,836	8,546,563	8,569,613	8,538,219
Dilutive effect of share-based awards	—	—	—	1,486
Weighted-average diluted common shares outstanding, excluding participating securities	8,582,836	8,546,563	8,569,613	8,539,705
Diluted earnings per common share	\$0.29	\$ 0.50	\$ 1.24	\$ 1.43

## Note 3 — Share-Based Compensation

The Corporation adopted the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan") during the quarter ended June 30, 2012. The Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Corporation and provides for the grant of equity ownership opportunities through incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options (together, "Stock Options"), restricted stock, restricted stock units, dividend equivalent units and any other type of award permitted by the Plan. As of September 30, 2016, 273,737 shares were available for future grants under the Plan. Shares covered by awards that expire, terminate or lapse will again be available for the grant of awards under the Plan. The Corporation may issue new shares and shares from its treasury stock for shares delivered under the Plan.

## Restricted Stock

Under the Plan, the Corporation may grant restricted stock to plan participants, subject to forfeiture upon the occurrence of certain events until the dates specified in the participant's award agreement. While restricted stock is subject to forfeiture, with the exception of restricted stock units, which do not have voting rights and are provided dividend equivalents, restricted stock participants may exercise full voting rights and will receive all dividends and other distributions paid with respect to the

7

---

Table of Contents

restricted shares. The restricted stock granted under the Plan is typically subject to a vesting period. Compensation expense is recognized over the requisite service period of generally four years for the entire award on a straight-line basis. Upon vesting of restricted share awards, the benefit of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation expense is recognized as a financing cash flow activity.

Restricted stock activity for the year ended December 31, 2015 and the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was as follows:

	Number of Restricted Shares/Units	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Nonvested balance as of December 31, 2014	154,998	\$ 16.97
Granted	53,790	22.52
Vested	(64,874 )	15.23
Forfeited	(8,443 )	15.03
Nonvested balance as of December 31, 2015	135,471	20.13
Granted	50,700	22.98
Vested	(53,000 )	18.73
Forfeited	(12,992 )	18.96
Nonvested balance as of September 30, 2016	120,179	\$ 21.22

As of September 30, 2016, the Corporation had \$2.4 million of deferred unvested compensation expense, which the Corporation expects to recognize over a weighted-average period of approximately 2.80 years.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, share-based compensation expense related to restricted stock included in the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income was as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015
Share-based compensation expense	\$292	\$268	\$858	\$717

(In Thousands)

## Note 4 — Securities

The amortized cost and fair value of securities available-for-sale and the corresponding amounts of gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income were as follows:

	As of September 30, 2016			
	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
	(In Thousands)			
Available-for-sale:				
U.S. Government agency obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	\$6,298	\$ 39	\$ —	\$6,337
Municipal obligations	8,275	17	(15 )	8,277
Asset-backed securities	1,169	—	(39 )	1,130

Edgar Filing: FIRST BUSINESS FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. - Form 10-Q

Collateralized mortgage obligations - government issued	30,588	701	(7	)	31,282
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	106,489	1,057	(92	)	107,454
	\$152,819	\$ 1,814	\$ (153	)	\$154,480

8

---



Table of Contents

	As of December 31, 2015			
	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
	(In Thousands)			
Available-for-sale:				
U.S. Government agency obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	\$8,047	\$ 2	\$ (32)	) \$8,017
Municipal obligations	4,278	12	(7)	) 4,283
Asset-backed securities	1,327	—	(58)	) 1,269
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government issued	43,845	814	(116)	) 44,543
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	82,707	145	(416)	) 82,436
	\$140,204	\$ 973	\$ (629)	) \$140,548

The amortized cost and fair value of securities held-to-maturity and the corresponding amounts of gross unrecognized gains and losses were as follows:

	As of September 30, 2016			
	Amortized cost	Gross unrecognized gains	Gross unrecognized losses	Fair value
	(In Thousands)			
Held-to-maturity:				
U.S. Government agency obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	\$1,497	\$ 8	\$ —	) \$1,505
Municipal obligations	16,712	486	(1)	) 17,197
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government issued	9,803	183	—	) 9,986
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	7,097	179	—	) 7,276
	\$35,109	\$ 856	\$ (1)	) \$35,964

	As of December 31, 2015			
	Amortized cost	Gross unrecognized gains	Gross unrecognized losses	Fair value
	(In Thousands)			
Held-to-maturity:				
U.S. Government agency obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	\$1,495	\$ 1	\$ (11)	) \$1,485
Municipal obligations	16,038	332	(5)	) 16,365
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government issued	11,718	32	(41)	) 11,709
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	8,031	12	(44)	) 7,999
	\$37,282	\$ 377	\$ (101)	) \$37,558

U.S. Government agency obligations - government-sponsored enterprises represent securities issued by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (“FHLMC”) and Federal National Mortgage Association (“FNMA”). Collateralized mortgage obligations - government issued represent securities guaranteed by the Government National Mortgage Association (“GNMA”). Collateralized mortgage obligations - government-sponsored enterprises include securities guaranteed by the FHLMC and the FNMA. Asset-backed securities represent securities issued by the Student Loan

Marketing Association (“SLMA”) which are 97% guaranteed by the U.S. Government. Municipal obligations include securities issued by various

9

---

Table of Contents

municipalities located primarily within the State of Wisconsin and are primarily general obligation bonds that are tax-exempt in nature. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, a gain of \$7,000 was recorded from the sale of three available-for-sale securities. No sales of available-for-sale securities occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, securities with a fair value of \$20.2 million and \$23.0 million, respectively, were pledged to secure interest rate swap contracts, outstanding Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) advances, if any, and additional FHLB availability.

The amortized cost and fair value of securities by contractual maturity at September 30, 2016 are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers have the right to call or prepay certain obligations without call or prepayment penalties.

	As of September 30, 2016			
	Available-for-Sale		Held-to-Maturity	
	Amortized cost	Fair value	Amortized cost	Fair value
	(In Thousands)			
Due in one year or less	\$3,258	\$3,261	\$—	\$—
Due in one year through five years	13,965	14,053	5,953	6,035
Due in five through ten years	78,174	79,485	12,255	12,667
Due in over ten years	57,422	57,681	16,901	17,262
	\$152,819	\$154,480	\$35,109	\$35,964

The tables below show the Corporation’s gross unrealized losses and fair value of available-for-sale investments with unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual investments were in a continuous loss position at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015. At September 30, 2016, the Corporation held 48 available-for-sale securities that were in an unrealized loss position. Such securities have not experienced credit rating downgrades; however, they have primarily declined in value due to the current interest rate environment. At September 30, 2016, the Corporation held eight available-for-sale securities that had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or greater.

The Corporation also has not specifically identified available-for-sale securities in a loss position that it intends to sell in the near term and does not believe that it will be required to sell any such securities. The Corporation reviews its securities on a quarterly basis to monitor its exposure to other-than-temporary impairment. Consideration is given to such factors as the length of time and extent to which the security has been in an unrealized loss position, changes in security ratings and an evaluation of the present value of expected future cash flows, if necessary. Based on the Corporation’s evaluation, it is expected that the Corporation will recover the entire amortized cost basis of each security. Accordingly, no other than temporary impairment was recorded in the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Table of Contents

A summary of unrealized loss information for securities available-for-sale, categorized by security type and length of time for which the security has been in a continuous unrealized loss position follows:

	As of September 30, 2016					
	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses
	(In Thousands)					
Available-for-sale:						
U.S. Government agency obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	\$1,000	\$ —	\$—	\$ —	\$1,000	\$ —
Municipal obligations	4,516	13	409	2	4,925	15
Asset-backed securities	—	—	1,130	39	1,130	39
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government issued	525	—	1,493	7	2,018	7
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	20,374	84	1,992	8	22,366	92
	\$26,415	\$ 97	\$5,024	\$ 56	\$31,439	\$ 153
	As of December 31, 2015					
	Less than 12 months		12 months or longer		Total	
	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses	Fair value	Unrealized losses
	(In Thousands)					
Available-for-sale:						
U.S. Government agency obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	\$3,536	\$ 13	\$1,981	\$ 19	\$5,517	\$ 32
Municipal obligations	2,403	7	—	—	2,403	7
Asset-backed securities	1,269	\$ 58	—	—	1,269	58
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government issued	3,373	19	5,687	97	9,060	116
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	59,992	373	1,717	43	61,709	416
	\$70,573	\$ 470	\$9,385	\$ 159	\$79,958	\$ 629

The tables below show the Corporation's gross unrecognized losses and fair value of held-to-maturity investments, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual investments were in a continuous loss position at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015. At September 30, 2016, the Corporation held one held-to-maturity security that was in an unrecognized loss position. Such security has not experienced credit rating downgrades; however, it has primarily declined in value due to the current interest rate environment. There were no held-to-maturity securities that were in a continuous unrecognized loss position for twelve months or greater as of September 30, 2016. It is expected that the Corporation will recover the entire amortized cost basis of each held-to-maturity security based upon an evaluation of the present value of the expected future cash flows. Accordingly, no other-than-temporary impairment was recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

A summary of unrecognized loss information for securities held-to-maturity, categorized by security type follows:



Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2016

	Less than 12 months	12 months or longer	Total
	Fair value	Unrecognized losses	Fair value
	Unrecognized losses	Fair value	Unrecognized losses

(In Thousands)

Held-to-maturity:

Municipal obligations	263	(1)	—	—	263	(1)
	\$263	\$ (1)	\$ —	\$ —	—\$263	\$ (1)

As of December 31, 2015

	Less than 12 months	12 months or longer	Total
	Fair value	Unrecognized losses	Fair value
	Unrecognized losses	Fair value	Unrecognized losses

(In Thousands)

Held-to-maturity:

U.S. Government agency obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	\$—	\$ —	\$1,000	\$ 11	\$1,000	\$ 11
Municipal obligations	436	4	199	1	635	5
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government issued	6,518	41	—	—	6,518	41
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	5,168	44	—	—	5,168	44
	\$12,122	\$ 89	\$1,199	\$ 12	\$13,321	\$ 101

Table of Contents

## Note 5 — Loan and Lease Receivables, Impaired Loans and Leases and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

Loan and lease receivables consist of the following:

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(In Thousands)	
Commercial real estate		
Commercial real estate — owner occupied	\$ 169,170	\$ 176,322
Commercial real estate — non-owner occupied	483,540	436,901
Land development	60,348	59,779
Construction	110,426	100,625
Multi-family	73,081	80,254
1-4 family	46,341	50,304
Total commercial real estate	942,906	904,185
Commercial and industrial	464,920	472,193
Direct financing leases, net	29,638	31,093
Consumer and other		
Home equity and second mortgages	5,390	8,237
Other	16,610	16,319
Total consumer and other	22,000	24,556
Total gross loans and leases receivable	1,459,464	1,432,027
Less:		
Allowance for loan and lease losses	20,067	16,316
Deferred loan fees	1,167	1,062
Loans and leases receivable, net	\$ 1,438,230	\$ 1,414,649

Loans transferred to third parties consist of the guaranteed portion of Small Business Administration (“SBA”) loans which the Corporation sold in the secondary market, as well as participation interests in other originated loans. The total principal amount of the guaranteed portion of SBA loans sold during the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$3.3 million and \$9.1 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, \$34.3 million and \$19.2 million of the guaranteed portion of SBA loans were sold to third parties, respectively. Each of the transfers of these financial assets met the qualifications for sale accounting, and therefore all of the loans transferred during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 have been derecognized in the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements. The Corporation has a continuing involvement in each of the transferred lending arrangements by way of relationship management, servicing the loans, as well as being subject to normal and customary requirements of the SBA loan program; however, there are no further obligations to the third-party participant required of the Corporation, other than standard representations and warranties related to sold amounts, that would preclude the application of sale accounting treatment. The guaranteed portion of SBA loans were transferred at their fair value and the related gain was recognized upon the transfer as non-interest income in the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements. The total outstanding balance of sold SBA loans at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was \$96.9 million and \$73.4 million, respectively.

In the event of a loss resulting from default and the SBA determines there is a deficiency in the manner in which the loan was originated, funded or serviced by the Corporation, the SBA may require the Corporation to repurchase the loan, deny its liability under the guaranty, reduce the amount of the guaranty, or, if it has already paid under the guaranty, seek recovery of the principal loss related to the deficiency from the Corporation. The Corporation must comply with applicable SBA regulations in order to maintain the guaranty. In addition, the Corporation retains the option to repurchase the sold guaranteed portion of an SBA loan if the loan defaults.

Management has assessed estimated losses inherent in the outstanding guaranteed portion of SBA loans sold in accordance with ASC 450, Contingencies, and determined a reserve based on the probability of future losses for these loans to be \$375,000 at September 30, 2016, which is reported in other liabilities on the Corporation's Consolidated Balance Sheets. No recourse reserve was recorded as of December 31, 2015. To date, the Corporation has not experienced significant historical losses related to the guaranteed portion of SBA loans.



Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the total amount of the Corporation's partial ownership of sold SBA loans on the Corporation's Consolidated Balance Sheets was \$30.0 million and \$24.6 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2016, \$2.3 million of loans in this portfolio were considered impaired as compared to \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2015.

The total principal amount of transferred participation interests in other originated loans during the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$16.7 million and \$18.3 million, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, \$32.1 million and \$54.8 million of these participation interests were transferred to third parties, respectively, all of which were treated as sales and derecognized under the applicable accounting guidance at the time of transfer. No gain or loss was recognized on participation interests in other originated loans as they were transferred at or near the date of loan origination and the payments received for servicing the portion of the loans participated represents adequate compensation. The total outstanding balance of transferred loans at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was \$109.1 million and \$95.8 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the total amount of the Corporation's partial ownership of these transferred loans on the Corporation's Consolidated Balance Sheets was \$109.3 million and \$112.2 million, respectively. No loans in this participation portfolio were considered impaired as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015. The Corporation does not share in the participant's portion of any potential charge-offs. The total amount of loan participations purchased on the Corporation's Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was \$454,000 and \$467,000, respectively.

According to ASC 310-30, Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer, purchased credit-impaired loans exhibit evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination for which it is probable at acquisition that the Corporation will be unable to collect all contractually required payments. Purchased credit-impaired loans are initially recorded at fair value, which is estimated by discounting the cash flows expected to be collected at the acquisition date. Because the estimate of expected cash flows reflects an estimate of future credit losses expected to be incurred over the life of the loans, an allowance for credit losses is not recorded at the acquisition date. The excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the estimated fair value, referred to as the accretible yield, is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the loan on a level-yield basis, contingent on the subsequent evaluation of future expected cash flows. The difference between the contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition is referred to as the nonaccretible difference. A subsequent decrease in the estimate of cash flows expected to be received on purchased credit-impaired loans generally results in the recognition of an allowance for loan and lease losses. Subsequent increases in cash flows result in reversal of any nonaccretible difference (or allowance for loan and lease losses to the extent any has been recorded) with a positive impact on interest income recognized. The measurement of cash flows involves assumptions and judgments for interest rates, prepayments, default rates, loss severity and collateral values. All of these factors are inherently subjective and significant changes in the cash flow estimates over the life of the loan can result.

The following table reflects the contractually required payments receivable and fair value of the Corporation's purchased credit-impaired loans as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(In Thousands)	
Contractually required payments	\$3,806	\$ 5,291
Fair value of purchased credit-impaired loans	1,937	3,250

Table of Contents

The following table presents a rollforward of the Corporation's accretable yield as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

	As of and for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016	As of and for Year Ended December 31, 2015
	(In Thousands)	
Accretable yield, beginning of period	\$414	\$ 676
Accretion recognized in earnings	(100 )	(50 )
Reclassification to nonaccretable difference for loans with changing cash flows <sup>(1)</sup>	(216 )	(60 )
Changes in accretable yield for non-credit related changes in expected cash flows <sup>(2)</sup>	73	(152 )
Accretable yield, end of period	\$171	\$ 414

(1) Represents changes in accretable yield for those loans that are driven primarily by credit performance.

(2) Represents changes in accretable yield for those loans that are driven primarily by changes in actual and estimated payments.

The following information illustrates ending balances of the Corporation's loan and lease portfolio, including impaired loans by class of receivable, and considering certain credit quality indicators as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

As of September 30, 2016	Category				
	I	II	III	IV	Total
	(Dollars in Thousands)				
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial real estate — owner occupied	\$ 139,894	\$ 13,205	\$ 11,468	\$ 4,603	\$ 169,170
Commercial real estate — non-owner occupied	460,093	19,035	2,505	1,907	483,540
Land development	54,194	833	1,647	3,674	60,348
Construction	99,947	5,470	2,056	2,953	110,426
Multi-family	72,856	225	—	—	73,081
1-4 family	39,605	2,632	1,339	2,765	46,341
Total commercial real estate	866,589	41,400	19,015	15,902	942,906
Commercial and industrial	370,580	40,619	43,752	9,969	464,920
Direct financing leases, net	28,733	591	314	—	29,638
Consumer and other:					
Home equity and second mortgages	4,637	557	14	182	5,390
Other	16,132	82	5	391	16,610
Total consumer and other	20,769	639	19	573	22,000
Total gross loans and leases receivable	\$ 1,286,671	\$ 83,249	\$ 63,100	\$ 26,444	\$ 1,459,464
Category as a % of total portfolio	88.17	% 5.70	% 4.32	% 1.81	% 100.00



Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2015	Category				Total
	I	II	III	IV	
	(Dollars in Thousands)				
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial real estate — owner occupied	\$156,379	\$7,654	\$9,311	\$2,978	\$176,322
Commercial real estate — non-owner occupied	410,517	20,662	3,408	2,314	436,901
Land development	52,817	2,241	309	4,412	59,779
Construction	98,693	851	564	517	100,625
Multi-family	79,368	884	—	2	80,254
1-4 family	41,086	3,985	1,865	3,368	50,304
Total commercial real estate	838,860	36,277	15,457	13,591	904,185
Commercial and industrial	430,199	7,139	25,706	9,149	472,193
Direct financing leases, net	29,514	1,013	528	38	31,093
Consumer and other:					
Home equity and second mortgages	7,497	—	141	599	8,237
Other	15,616	48	—	655	16,319
Total consumer and other	23,113	48	141	1,254	24,556
Total gross loans and leases receivable	\$1,321,686	\$44,477	\$41,832	\$24,032	\$1,432,027
Category as a % of total portfolio	92.29	% 3.11	% 2.92	% 1.68	% 100.00

Credit underwriting through a committee process is a key component of the Corporation's operating philosophy. Commercial lenders have relatively low individual lending authority limits, and thus a significant portion of the Corporation's new credit extensions require approval from a loan approval committee regardless of the type of loan or lease, asset quality grade of the credit, amount of the credit or the related complexities of each proposal.

Each credit is evaluated for proper risk rating upon origination, at the time of each subsequent renewal, upon receipt and evaluation of updated financial information from the Corporation's borrowers or as other circumstances dictate. The Corporation uses a nine grade risk rating system to monitor the ongoing credit quality of its loans and leases. The risk rating grades follow a consistent definition and are then applied to specific loan types based on the nature of the loan. Each risk rating is subjective and, depending on the size and nature of the credit, subject to various levels of review and concurrence on the stated risk rating. In addition to its nine grade risk rating system, the Corporation groups loans into four loan and related risk categories which determine the level and nature of review by management. Category I — Loans and leases in this category are performing in accordance with the terms of the contract and generally exhibit no immediate concerns regarding the security and viability of the underlying collateral, financial stability of the borrower, integrity or strength of the borrower's management team or the industry in which the borrower operates. Loans and leases in this category are not subject to additional monitoring procedures above and beyond what is required at the origination or renewal of the loan or lease. The Corporation monitors Category I loans and leases through payment performance, continued maintenance of its personal relationships with such borrowers and continued review of such borrowers' compliance with the terms of their respective agreements.

Category II — Loans and leases in this category are beginning to show signs of deterioration in one or more of the Corporation's core underwriting criteria such as financial stability, management strength, industry trends and collateral values. Management will place credits in this category to allow for proactive monitoring and resolution with the borrower to possibly mitigate the area of concern and prevent further deterioration or risk of loss to the Corporation. Category II loans are considered performing but are monitored frequently by the assigned business development officer and by subcommittees of the Banks' loan committees.



Table of Contents

Category III — Loans and leases in this category are identified by management as warranting special attention. However, the balance in this category is not intended to represent the amount of adversely classified assets held by the Banks. Category III loans and leases generally exhibit undesirable characteristics, such as evidence of adverse financial trends and conditions, managerial problems, deteriorating economic conditions within the related industry or evidence of adverse public filings and may exhibit collateral shortfall positions. Management continues to believe that it will collect all contractual principal and interest in accordance with the original terms of the contracts relating to the loans and leases in this category, and therefore Category III loans are considered performing with no specific reserves established for this category. Category III loans are monitored by management and loan committees of the Banks on a monthly basis and the Banks' Boards of Directors at each of their regularly scheduled meetings.

Category IV — Loans and leases in this category are considered to be impaired. Impaired loans and leases have been placed on non-accrual as management has determined that it is unlikely that the Banks will receive the contractual principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of the agreement. Impaired loans are individually evaluated to assess the need for the establishment of specific reserves or charge-offs. When analyzing the adequacy of collateral, the Corporation obtains external appraisals at least annually for impaired loans and leases. External appraisals are obtained from the Corporation's approved appraiser listing and are independently reviewed to monitor the quality of such appraisals. To the extent a collateral shortfall position is present, a specific reserve or charge-off will be recorded to reflect the magnitude of the impairment. Loans and leases in this category are monitored by management and loan committees of the Banks on a monthly basis and the Banks' Boards of Directors at each of their regularly scheduled meetings.

Utilizing regulatory classification terminology, the Corporation identified \$32.1 million and \$26.8 million of loans and leases as Substandard as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. No loans were considered Special Mention, Doubtful or Loss as of either September 30, 2016 or December 31, 2015. The population of Substandard loans is a subset of Category III and Category IV loans.

Table of Contents

The delinquency aging of the loan and lease portfolio by class of receivable as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 is as follows:

As of September 30, 2016	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans and Leases
(Dollars in Thousands)						
Accruing loans and leases						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$164,632	\$164,632
Non-owner occupied	—	296	—	296	481,337	481,633
Land development	—	—	—	—	56,686	56,686
Construction	286	431	—	717	106,756	107,473
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	73,081	73,081
1-4 family	193	—	—	193	44,029	44,222
Commercial and industrial	200	27	—	227	454,724	454,951
Direct financing leases, net	—	—	—	—	29,638	29,638
Consumer and other:						
Home equity and second mortgages	—	—	—	—	5,217	5,217
Other	—	—	—	—	16,219	16,219
Total	\$679	\$754	\$—	\$1,433	\$1,432,319	\$1,433,752
Non-accruing loans and leases						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	\$—	\$61	\$3,280	\$3,341	\$1,197	\$4,538
Non-owner occupied	—	—	—	—	1,907	1,907
Land development	—	—	—	—	3,662	3,662
Construction	—	122	312	434	2,519	2,953
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	—	—
1-4 family	—	205	693	898	1,221	2,119
Commercial and industrial	27	331	6,111	6,469	3,500	9,969
Direct financing leases, net	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer and other:						
Home equity and second mortgages	—	—	173	173	—	173
Other	—	—	391	391	—	391
Total	\$27	\$719	\$10,960	\$11,706	\$14,006	\$25,712
Total loans and leases						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	\$—	\$61	\$3,280	\$3,341	\$165,829	\$169,170
Non-owner occupied	—	296	—	296	483,244	483,540
Land development	—	—	—	—	60,348	60,348
Construction	286	553	312	1,151	109,275	110,426
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	73,081	73,081
1-4 family	193	205	693	1,091	45,250	46,341
Commercial and industrial	227	358	6,111	6,696	458,224	464,920
Direct financing leases, net	—	—	—	—	29,638	29,638
Consumer and other:						
Home equity and second mortgages	—	—	173	173	5,217	5,390
Other	—	—	391	391	16,219	16,610
Total	\$706	\$1,473	\$10,960	\$13,139	\$1,446,325	\$1,459,464

Percent of portfolio                    0.05 % 0.10    % 0.75     % 0.90     % 99.10     % 100.00    %

18

---



Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2015	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans and Leases
(Dollars in Thousands)						
Accruing loans and leases						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$173,416	\$173,416
Non-owner occupied	—	—	—	—	435,222	435,222
Land development	—	—	—	—	55,386	55,386
Construction	—	—	—	—	100,228	100,228
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	80,252	80,252
1-4 family	78	—	—	78	47,676	47,754
Commercial and industrial	—	—	—	—	463,057	463,057
Direct financing leases, net	—	—	—	—	31,055	31,055
Consumer and other:						
Home equity and second mortgages	—	—	—	—	7,695	7,695
Other	—	—	—	—	15,664	15,664
Total	\$78	\$—	\$—	\$78	\$1,409,651	\$1,409,729
Non-accruing loans and leases						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	\$—	\$473	\$—	\$473	\$2,433	\$2,906
Non-owner occupied	—	—	—	—	1,679	1,679
Land development	—	—	—	—	4,393	4,393
Construction	397	—	—	397	—	397
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	2	2
1-4 family	430	34	895	1,359	1,191	2,550
Commercial and industrial	2,077	—	564	2,641	6,495	9,136
Direct financing leases, net	—	—	—	—	38	38
Consumer and other:						
Home equity and second mortgages	—	—	250	250	292	542
Other	—	—	655	655	—	655
Total	\$2,904	\$507	\$2,364	\$5,775	\$16,523	\$22,298
Total loans and leases						
Commercial real estate:						
Owner occupied	\$—	\$473	\$—	\$473	\$175,849	\$176,322
Non-owner occupied	—	—	—	—	436,901	436,901
Land development	—	—	—	—	59,779	59,779
Construction	397	—	—	397	100,228	100,625
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	80,254	80,254
1-4 family	508	34	895	1,437	48,867	50,304
Commercial and industrial	2,077	—	564	2,641	469,552	472,193
Direct financing leases, net	—	—	—	—	31,093	31,093
Consumer and other:						
Home equity and second mortgages	—	—	250	250	7,987	8,237
Other	—	—	655	655	15,664	16,319
Total	\$2,982	\$507	\$2,364	\$5,853	\$1,426,174	\$1,432,027
Percent of portfolio	0.21	% 0.04	% 0.16	% 0.41	% 99.59	% 100.00



Table of Contents

The Corporation's total impaired assets consisted of the following at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015		September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(Dollars in Thousands)				
Non-accrual loans and leases					
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial real estate — owner occupied	\$4,538	\$ 2,907			
Commercial real estate — non-owner occupied	1,907	1,678			
Land development	3,662	4,393			
Construction	2,953	397			
Multi-family	—	2			
1-4 family	2,119	2,550			
Total non-accrual commercial real estate	15,179	11,927			
Commercial and industrial	9,969	9,136			
Direct financing leases, net	—	38			
Consumer and other:					
Home equity and second mortgages	173	542			
Other	391	655			
Total non-accrual consumer and other loans	564	1,197			
Total non-accrual loans and leases	25,712	22,298			
Foreclosed properties, net	1,527	1,677			
Total non-performing assets	27,239	23,975			
Performing troubled debt restructurings	732	1,735			
Total impaired assets	\$27,971	\$ 25,710			
				September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Total non-accrual loans and leases to gross loans and leases			1.76	%	1.56
Total non-performing assets to total gross loans and leases plus foreclosed properties, net			1.86		1.67
Total non-performing assets to total assets			1.54		1.35
Allowance for loan and lease losses to gross loans and leases			1.37		1.14
Allowance for loan and lease losses to non-accrual loans and leases			78.05		73.17

As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, \$13.2 million and \$16.2 million of the non-accrual loans and leases were considered troubled debt restructurings, respectively. There were no unfunded commitments associated with troubled debt restructured loans and leases as of September 30, 2016.

Table of Contents

The following table provides the number of loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring and the pre- and post-modification recorded investment by class of receivable as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

	As of September 30, 2016			As of December 31, 2015		
	Number of Loans	Pre-Modification Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Recorded Investment	Number of Loans	Pre-Modification Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Recorded Investment
(Dollars in Thousands)						
Troubled debt restructurings:						
Commercial real estate:						
Commercial real estate — owner occupied	4	\$ 1,338	\$ 1,262	3	\$ 1,209	\$ 1,188
Commercial real estate — non-owner occupied	4	445	250	5	1,150	904
Land development	2	5,834	3,675	2	5,853	4,393
Construction	2	331	312	1	181	200
Multi-family	—	—	—	1	184	2
1-4 family	13	1,747	1,581	15	2,035	1,869
Commercial and industrial	8	7,782	6,224	10	7,572	8,330
Consumer and other:						
Home equity and second mortgages	2	308	195	4	461	349
Other	1	2,076	391	1	2,076	655
Total	36	\$ 19,861	\$ 13,890	42	\$ 20,721	\$ 17,890

All loans and leases modified as a troubled debt restructuring are evaluated for impairment. The nature and extent of the impairment of restructured loans, including those which have experienced a default, is considered in the determination of an appropriate level of the allowance for loan and lease losses.

As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Corporation's troubled debt restructurings grouped by type of concession were as follows:

	As of September 30, 2016	As of December 31, 2015
	Number of Recorded Loans	Number of Recorded Loans
	Investment	Investment
	(Dollars in Thousands)	
Commercial real estate		
Extension of term	1 \$ 12	1 \$ 24
Interest rate concession	1 53	1 55
Combination of extension of term and interest rate concession	23 7,015	25 8,477
Commercial and industrial		
Combination of extension of term and interest rate concession	8 6,224	10 8,330
Consumer and other		
Extension of term	1 9	1 655
Combination of extension of term and interest rate concession	2 577	4 349
Total	36 \$ 13,890	42 \$ 17,890

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, two commercial and industrial loans defaulted, both of which had been modified in a troubled debt restructuring during the previous twelve months. The total recorded investment of

the loans was \$5.7 million.

21

---

Table of Contents

The following represents additional information regarding the Corporation's impaired loans and leases by class:

## Impaired Loans and Leases

As of and for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Impairment Reserve	Average Recorded Investment <sup>(1)</sup>	Foregone Interest Income	Interest Income Recognized	Net Foregone Interest Income
(In Thousands)							
With no impairment reserve recorded:							
Commercial real estate:							
Owner occupied	\$4,542	\$4,542	\$ —	\$ 2,857	\$ 178	\$ —	\$ 178
Non-owner occupied	1,907	1,947	—	1,137	61	—	61
Land development	3,674	6,345	—	4,003	82	—	82
Construction	434	434	—	109	24	—	24
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	1	134	(133 )
1-4 family	1,933	1,933	—	2,227	82	94	(12 )
Commercial and industrial	2,766	2,766	—	522	96	18	78
Direct financing leases, net	—	—	—	8	—	—	—
Consumer and other:							
Home equity and second mortgages	182	182	—	375	16	127	(111 )
Other	391	1,057	—	578	56	—	56
Total	\$15,829	\$19,206	\$ —	\$ 11,816	\$ 596	\$ 373	\$ 223
With impairment reserve recorded:							
Commercial real estate:							
Owner occupied	\$61	\$61	\$ 23	\$ 424	\$ 4	\$ —	\$ 4
Non-owner occupied	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Land development	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Construction	2,519	2,519	4,263	404	8	—	8
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1-4 family	832	836	99	848	21	—	21
Commercial and industrial	7,203	7,203	3,251	7,611	430	—	430
Direct financing leases, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer and other:							
Home equity and second mortgages	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$10,615	\$10,619	\$ 4,636	\$ 9,287	\$ 463	\$ —	\$ 463
Total:							
Commercial real estate:							
Owner occupied	\$4,603	\$4,603	\$ 23	\$ 3,281	\$ 182	\$ —	\$ 182
Non-owner occupied	1,907	1,947	—	1,137	61	—	61
Land development	3,674	6,345	—	4,003	82	—	82
Construction	2,953	2,953	1,263	513	32	—	32
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	1	134	(133 )
1-4 family	2,765	2,769	99	3,075	103	94	9
Commercial and industrial	9,969	9,969	3,251	8,133	526	18	508
Direct financing leases, net	—	—	—	8	—	—	—
Consumer and other:							
Home equity and second mortgages	182	182	—	375	16	127	(111 )
Other	391	1,057	—	578	56	—	56

Grand total	\$26,444	\$29,825	\$ 4,636	\$ 21,103	\$ 1,059	\$ 373	\$ 686
-------------	----------	----------	----------	-----------	----------	--------	--------

(1) Average recorded investment is calculated primarily using daily average balances.

Table of Contents

## Impaired Loans and Leases

As of and for Year Ended December 31, 2015

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Impairment Reserve	Average Recorded Investment <sup>(1)</sup>	Foregone Interest Income	Interest Income Recognized	Net Foregone Interest Income
(In Thousands)							
With no impairment reserve recorded:							
Commercial real estate:							
Owner occupied	\$2,164	\$2,164	\$ —	\$ 712	\$ 53	\$ 12	\$ 41
Non-owner occupied	2,314	2,355	—	962	25	—	25
Land development	4,413	7,083	—	4,333	133	—	133
Construction	120	120	—	474	—	—	—
Multi-family	2	369	—	10	27	—	27
1-4 family	2,423	2,486	—	1,604	82	4	78
Commercial and industrial	2,546	2,590	—	544	172	6	166
Direct financing leases, net	38	38	—	4	—	—	—
Consumer and other:							
Home equity and second mortgages	500	500	—	390	23	63	(40 )
Other	655	1,321	—	688	82	—	82
Total	\$15,175	\$19,026	\$ —	\$ 9,721	\$ 597	\$ 85	\$ 512
With impairment reserve recorded:							
Commercial real estate:							
Owner occupied	\$814	\$814	\$ 20	\$ 215	\$ 7	\$ 2	\$ 5
Non-owner occupied	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Land development	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Construction	397	397	48	34	—	—	—
Multi-family	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
1-4 family	945	950	173	605	34	—	34
Commercial and industrial	6,603	6,603	847	810	102	—	102
Direct financing leases, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Consumer and other:							
Home equity and second mortgages	99	99	25	58	10	—	10
Other	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$8,858	\$8,863	\$ 1,113	\$ 1,722	\$ 153	\$ 2	\$ 151
Total:							
Commercial real estate:							
Owner occupied	\$2,978	\$2,978	\$ 20	\$ 927	\$ 60	\$ 14	\$ 46
Non-owner occupied	2,314	2,355	—	962	25	—	25
Land development	4,413	7,083	—	4,333	133	—	133
Construction	517	517	48	508	—	—	—
Multi-family	2	369	—	10	27	—	27
1-4 family	3,368	3,436	173	2,209	116	4	112
Commercial and industrial	9,149	9,193	847	1,354	274	6	268
Direct financing leases, net	38	38	—	4	—	—	—
Consumer and other:							
Home equity and second mortgages	599	599	25	448	33	63	(30 )
Other	655	1,321	—	688	82	—	82



Grand total	\$24,033	\$27,889	\$ 1,113	\$ 11,443	\$ 750	\$ 87	\$ 663
-------------	----------	----------	----------	-----------	--------	-------	--------

(1) Average recorded investment is calculated primarily using daily average balances.

Table of Contents

The difference between the loans and leases recorded investment and the unpaid principal balance of \$3.4 million and \$3.9 million as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, represents partial charge-offs resulting from losses due to the appraised value of the collateral securing the loans and leases being below the carrying values of the loans and leases. Impaired loans and leases also included \$732,000 and \$1.7 million of loans as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 that were performing troubled debt restructurings, and thus, although not on non-accrual, were reported as impaired due to the concession in terms. When a loan is placed on non-accrual, interest accrual is discontinued and previously accrued but uncollected interest is deducted from interest income. Cash payments collected on non-accrual loans are first applied to such loan's principal. Foregone interest represents the interest that was contractually due on the loan but not received or recorded. To the extent the amount of principal on a non-accrual loan is fully collected and additional cash is received, the Corporation will recognize interest income. To determine the level and composition of the allowance for loan and lease losses, the Corporation categorizes the portfolio by segments and risk ratings. First, the Corporation evaluates loans and leases for potential impairment classification. The Corporation analyzes each loan and lease determined to be impaired on an individual basis to determine a specific reserve based upon the estimated value of the underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans, or alternatively, the present value of expected cash flows. The Corporation applies historical trends from established risk factors to each category of loans and leases that has not been individually evaluated for the purpose of establishing the general portion of the allowance.

A summary of the activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses by portfolio segment is as follows:

	As of and for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016			
	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Consumer and Other	Total
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
Allowance for loan and lease losses:				
Beginning balance	\$11,220	\$4,387	\$709	\$16,316
Charge-offs	(1,194 )	(2,048 )	(8 )	(3,250 )
Recoveries	170	2	5	177
Provision	2,619	4,331	(126 )	6,824
Ending balance	\$12,815	\$6,672	\$580	\$20,067
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$1,385	\$3,251	\$—	\$4,636
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$11,430	\$3,421	\$580	\$15,431
Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Loans and lease receivables:				
Ending balance, gross	\$942,906	\$494,558	\$22,000	\$1,459,464
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$13,953	\$9,946	\$413	\$24,312
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$927,017	\$484,589	\$21,414	\$1,433,020
Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$1,936	\$23	\$173	\$2,132
Allowance as % of gross loans and leases	1.36	% 1.35	% 2.64	% 1.37 %

Table of Contents

As of and for Year Ended December 31, 2015

Commercial Real Estate	Commercial and Industrial	Consumer and Other	Total
---------------------------	---------------------------------	-----------------------	-------

(Dollars in Thousands)

Allowance for loan and lease losses:

Beginning balance	\$8,619	\$5,492	\$218	\$14,329
Charge-offs	(793 )	(711 )	(9 )	(1,513 )
Recoveries	104	6	4	114
Provision	3,290	(400 )	496	3,386
Ending balance	\$11,220	\$4,387	\$709	\$16,316
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$240	\$847	\$26	\$1,113
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$10,980	\$3,540	\$683	\$15,203
Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Loans and lease receivables:				
Ending balance, gross	\$904,185	\$503,286	\$24,556	\$1,432,027
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$10,849	\$8,942	\$1,061	\$20,852
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$890,594	\$494,098	\$23,495	\$1,408,187
Ending balance: loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality	\$2,742	\$246	\$193	\$3,181
Allowance as % of gross loans and leases	1.24	% 0.87	% 2.89	% 1.14

## Note 6 — Other Assets

The Corporation is a limited partner in several limited partnership investments. The Corporation is not the general partner, does not have controlling ownership and is not the primary beneficiary in any of these limited partnerships and thus, the variable interest entities have not been consolidated. These investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting and are evaluated for impairment at the end of each reporting period. For historic rehabilitation tax credits, the Corporation begins to evaluate its investments for impairment at the time the credit is earned, which is typically in the year the project is placed in service, through the end of its compliance period. New market tax credits are also evaluated for impairment beginning at the time the tax credits are earned on the project through the seven year compliance period.

## Historic Rehabilitation Tax Credits

In 2015, the Corporation invested in a development entity through BOC Investment, LLC (“BOC”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FBB, to acquire, rehabilitate and operate a historic building in Madison, Wisconsin. At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 the net carrying value of the investment was 169,000 and 578,000, respectively. During the third quarter of 2016, the Corporation recognized \$3.6 million in historic tax credits related to this investment and \$3.2 million in impairment of the underlying investment.

In 2016, the Corporation also invested in a development entity through Mitchell Street Apartments Investment, LLC (“Mitchell Street”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FBB, to rehabilitate a historic building in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. At September 30, 2016, the net carrying value of the investment was \$130,000. The aggregate capital contributions to the project will depend upon the final amount of the certified project costs, but are expected to approximate \$5.5 million. The Corporation is also anticipating the sale of a portion of the state credits associated with the investment to a third party. No historic tax credits were received in 2016 and will be used in the year the project is placed in service and are subject to a five year recapture period.

## New Market Tax Credits

The Corporation invested in a community development entity (“CDE”) through Rimrock Road Investment Fund LLC (“Rimrock”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FBB, to develop and operate a real estate project located in a low-income community. At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, Rimrock had one CDE investment with a net carrying value of \$7.2 million and \$7.5 million respectively. The investment provides federal new market tax credits over a seven-year credit allowance period through 2020. The remaining federal new market tax credit to be utilized over a

maximum of seven years was \$1.9 million as of September 30, 2016. The Corporation's usage of the federal new market tax credit was approximately \$281,000 during both the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Table of Contents

## Other Investments

The Corporation had an equity investment in Aldine Capital Fund, LP, a mezzanine fund, of \$857,000 and \$1.0 million recorded as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The Corporation's equity investment in Aldine Capital Fund II, LP, also a mezzanine fund, totaled \$3.0 million and \$2.2 million as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The Corporation's share of these partnerships' income included in the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 was \$708,000 and \$460,000, respectively.

A summary of accrued interest receivable and other assets is as follows:

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(In Thousands)	
Accrued interest receivable	\$4,448	\$ 4,412
Deferred tax assets, net	2,084	2,633
Investment in limited partnerships	3,881	3,215
Investment in community development entity	7,200	7,500
Investment in historic development entities	300	578
Investment in Trust II	315	315
Fair value of interest rate swaps	1,014	552
Prepaid expenses	1,592	1,364
Other	3,014	3,502
Total accrued interest receivable and other assets	\$23,848	\$ 24,071

## Note 7 — Deposits

The composition of deposits at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 is shown below. Average balances represent year-to-date averages.

	September 30, 2016			December 31, 2015		
	Balance	Average Balance	Weighted Average Rate	Balance	Average Balance	Weighted Average Rate
	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Non-interest-bearing transaction accounts	\$258,423	\$246,238	— %	\$231,199	\$211,945	— %
Interest-bearing transaction accounts	192,482	164,278	0.22	165,921	125,558	0.24
Money market accounts	603,872	650,864	0.50	612,642	602,842	0.55
Certificates of deposit	62,197	67,440	0.88	79,986	106,177	0.78
Wholesale deposits	449,225	478,038	1.61	487,483	450,460	1.43
Total deposits	\$1,566,199	\$1,606,858	0.74	\$1,577,231	\$1,496,982	0.73

Table of Contents

## Note 8 — FHLB Advances, Other Borrowings and Junior Subordinated Notes

The composition of borrowed funds at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 is shown below. Average balances represent year-to-date averages.

	September 30, 2016			December 31, 2015		
	Balance	Average Balance	Weighted Average Rate	Balance	Average Balance	Weighted Average Rate
	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Federal funds purchased	\$—	\$201	0.55 %	\$—	\$237	0.86 %
FHLB advances and other borrowings	7,452	10,447	1.89	9,790	15,457	1.14
Senior line of credit	10	2,657	3.24	2,510	1,619	3.18
Subordinated notes payable	22,484	22,460	7.14	22,440	22,410	7.14
Junior subordinated notes	10,001	9,995	11.14	9,990	9,982	11.14
	\$39,947	\$45,760	6.59	\$44,730	\$49,705	5.94
Short-term borrowings	\$1,010			\$7,010		
Long-term borrowings	38,937			37,720		
	\$39,947			\$44,730		

As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Corporation was in compliance with its debt covenants under its third-party secured senior line of credit. Per the promissory note dated February 19, 2016, the Corporation pays a commitment fee on this senior line of credit. During both the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Corporation incurred interest expense due to this fee of 10,000.

## Note 9 — Fair Value Disclosures

The Corporation determines the fair values of its financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy established in ASC Topic 820, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received in an orderly transaction that is not a forced liquidation or distressed sale at the measurement date and is based on exit prices. Fair value includes assumptions about risk, such as nonperformance risk in liability fair values, and is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value.

Level 1 — Level 1 inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Corporation has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 — Level 2 inputs are inputs, other than quoted prices included with Level 1, that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 — Level 3 inputs are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Corporation's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Table of Contents

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, segregated by fair value hierarchy level, are summarized below:

September 30, 2016	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
	(In Thousands)			
Assets:				
Securities available-for-sale:				
Municipal obligations	\$-\$8,277	\$	-\$8,277	
Asset backed securities	—1,130	—	1,130	
U.S. Government agency obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	—6,337	—	6,337	
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government issued	—31,282	—	31,282	
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	—107,454	—	107,454	
Interest rate swaps	—1,014	—	1,014	
Liabilities:				
Interest rate swaps	\$-\$1,014	\$	-\$1,014	
	Fair Value Measurements Using			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
December 31, 2015	(In Thousands)			
Assets:				
Securities available-for-sale:				
Municipal obligations	\$-\$4,283	\$	-\$4,283	
Asset backed securities	—1,269	—	1,269	
U.S. Government agency obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	—8,017	—	8,017	
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government issued	—44,543	—	44,543	
Collateralized mortgage obligations - government-sponsored enterprises	—82,436	—	82,436	
Interest rate swaps	—552	—	552	
Liabilities:				
Interest rate swaps	\$-\$552	\$	-\$552	

For assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, there were no transfers between the levels during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 or the year ended December 31, 2015 related to the above measurements.





of the valuation inputs, loan servicing rights are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the estimated fair value of the Corporation's loan servicing asset was \$1.9 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Foreclosed properties, upon initial recognition, are re-measured and reported at fair value through a charge-off to the allowance for loan and lease losses, if deemed necessary, based upon the fair value of the foreclosed property. The fair value of a foreclosed property, upon initial recognition, is estimated using a market approach or Level 2 inputs based on observable market data, typically a current appraisal, or Level 3 inputs based upon assumptions specific to the individual property or equipment. Level 3 inputs typically include unobservable inputs such as management-applied discounts used to further reduce values to a net realizable value and may be used in situations when observable inputs become stale. Foreclosed property fair value inputs may transition to Level 1 upon receipt of an accepted offer for the sale of the related foreclosed property.

As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, there were no foreclosed properties supported by a Level 3 valuation. Subsequent impairments of foreclosed properties are recorded as a loss on foreclosed properties. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, \$23,000 of subsequent impairment losses were recognized.

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Corporation is required to disclose estimated fair values for its financial instruments. Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions, consistent with exit price concepts for fair value measurements, are set forth below:

	September 30, 2016				
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value			
		Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(In Thousands)				
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$68,764	\$68,771	\$48,689	\$4,457	\$15,625
Securities available-for-sale	154,480	154,480	—	154,480	—
Securities held-to-maturity	35,109	35,964	—	35,964	—
Loans held for sale	2,627	2,627	—	2,627	—
Loans and lease receivables, net	1,438,230	1,475,215	—	13,627	1,461,588
Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock	2,165	2,165	—	—	2,165
Bank-owned life insurance	29,028	29,028	29,028	—	—
Accrued interest receivable	4,448	4,448	4,448	—	—
Interest rate swaps	1,014	1,014	—	1,014	—
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$1,566,199	\$1,573,273	\$1,054,776	\$518,497	\$—
Federal Home Loan Bank and other borrowings	29,946	30,844	—	30,844	—
Junior subordinated notes	10,001	6,534	—	—	6,534
Interest rate swaps	1,014	1,014	—	1,014	—
Accrued interest payable	1,930	1,930	1,930	—	—
Off-balance-sheet items:					
Standby letters of credit	50	50	—	—	50

Table of Contents

	December 31, 2015				
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value			
		Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	(In Thousands)				
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 113,564	\$ 113,564	\$ 100,063	\$ 4,451	\$ 9,050
Securities available-for-sale	140,548	140,548	—	140,548	—
Securities held-to-maturity	37,282	37,558	—	37,558	—
Loans held for sale	2,702	2,702	—	2,702	—
Loans and lease receivables, net	1,414,649	1,445,773	—	11,518	1,434,255
Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock	2,843	2,843	—	—	2,843
Cash surrender value of life insurance	28,298	28,298	28,298	—	—
Accrued interest receivable	4,412	4,412	4,412	—	—
Interest rate swaps	552	552	—	552	—
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$ 1,577,231	\$ 1,577,838	\$ 1,009,762	\$ 568,076	\$ —
Federal Home Loan Bank and other borrowings	34,740	35,353	—	35,353	—
Junior subordinated notes	9,990	6,614	—	—	6,614
Interest rate swaps	552	552	—	552	—
Accrued interest payable	1,766	1,766	1,766	—	—
Off-balance-sheet items:					
Standby letters of credit	183	183	—	—	183

Disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments, for which it is practicable to estimate that value, is required whether or not recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instruments. Certain financial instruments and all non-financial instruments are excluded from the disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not necessarily represent the underlying value of the Corporation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: The carrying amounts reported for cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits held by the Corporation, accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable approximate fair value because of their immediate availability and because they do not present unanticipated credit concerns. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Corporation held \$15.6 million and \$9.1 million, respectively, of commercial paper. The fair value of commercial paper is classified as a Level 3 input due to the lack of available independent pricing sources. The carrying value of brokered certificates of deposit purchased is equivalent to the purchase price of the instruments as the Corporation has not elected a fair value option for these instruments. The fair value of brokered certificates of deposits purchased is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows using a discount rate reflective of rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. As of both September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Corporation held \$4.5 million of brokered certificates of deposits.

## Table of Contents

**Securities:** The fair value measurements of investment securities are determined by a third-party pricing service which considers observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the securities' terms and conditions, among other things. The fair value measurements are subject to independent verification to another pricing source on a quarterly basis to review for reasonableness. Any significant differences in pricing are reviewed with appropriate members of management who have the relevant technical expertise to assess the results. The Corporation has determined that these valuations are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. When the independent pricing service does not provide a fair value measurement for a particular security, the Corporation will estimate the fair value based on specific information about each security. Fair values derived in this manner are classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

**Loans Held for Sale:** Loans held for sale, which consist of residential real estate mortgage loans and the guaranteed portion of SBA loans, are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. The estimated fair value was based on what secondary markets are currently offering for portfolios with similar characteristics.

**Loans and Lease Receivables, net:** The fair value estimation process for the loan portfolio uses an exit price concept and reflects discounts that the Corporation believes are consistent with liquidity discounts in the market place. Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. The fair value of performing and nonperforming loans is calculated by discounting scheduled and expected cash flows through the estimated maturity using estimated market rates that reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the portfolio of loans and then applying a discount factor based upon the embedded credit risk of the loan and the fair value of collateral securing nonperforming loans when the loan is collateral dependent. The estimate of maturity is based on the Banks' historical experience with repayments for each loan classification, modified, as required, by an estimate of the effect of current economic and lending conditions. Significant unobservable inputs include, but are not limited to, discounts (investor yield premiums) applied to fair value calculations to further determine the exit price value of a portfolio of loans.

**Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank Stock:** The carrying amount of FHLB and FRB stock equals its fair value because the shares may be redeemed by the FHLB and the FRB at their carrying amount of \$100 per share.

**Bank-owned Life Insurance:** The carrying amount of the cash surrender value of life insurance approximates its fair value as the carrying value represents the current settlement amount.

**Deposits:** The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as demand deposits and money market accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The fair value estimates do not include the intangible value that results from the funding provided by deposit liabilities compared to borrowing funds in the market.

**Borrowed Funds:** Market rates currently available to the Corporation and Banks for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate fair value of existing debt.

**Interest Rate Swaps:** The carrying amount and fair value of existing derivative financial instruments are based upon independent valuation models, which use widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative contract. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The Corporation incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Corporation considers the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts and guarantees.

**Financial Instruments with Off-Balance-Sheet Risks:** The fair value of the Corporation's off-balance-sheet instruments is based on quoted market prices and fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the credit standing of the related counterparty. Commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit are generally not marketable. Furthermore, interest rates on any amounts drawn under such commitments would generally be established at market rates at the time of the draw. Fair value would principally

derive from the present value of fees received for those products.

Limitations: Fair value estimates are made at a discrete point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Corporation's entire holding of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Corporation's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss

32

---

Table of Contents

experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and are not considered in the estimates.

## Note 10 — Derivative Financial Instruments

The Corporation offers interest rate swap products directly to qualified commercial borrowers. The Corporation economically hedges client derivative transactions by entering into offsetting interest rate swap contracts executed with a third party. Derivative transactions executed as part of this program are not designated as accounting hedge relationships and are marked to market through earnings each period. The derivative contracts have mirror-image terms, which results in the positions' changes in fair value primarily offsetting through earnings each period. The credit risk and risk of non-performance embedded in the fair value calculations is different between the dealer counterparties and the commercial borrowers, which may result in a difference in the changes in the fair value of the mirror-image swaps. The Corporation incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own non-performance risk and the counterparty's risk in the fair value measurements. When evaluating the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effects of non-performance and credit risk, the Corporation considers the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements such as collateral postings, thresholds and guarantees.

At September 30, 2016, the aggregate amortizing notional value of interest rate swaps with various commercial borrowers was \$20.0 million. The Corporation receives fixed rates and pays floating rates based upon LIBOR on the swaps with commercial borrowers. These interest rate swaps mature in August, 2018 through February, 2023. Commercial borrower swaps are completed independently with each borrower and are not subject to master netting arrangements. These commercial borrower swaps were reported on the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as a derivative asset of \$1.0 million and are included in accrued interest receivable and other assets. In the event of default on a commercial borrower interest rate swap by the counterparty, a right of offset exists to allow the commercial borrower to set off amounts due against the related commercial loan. As of September 30, 2016, no interest rate swaps were in default and therefore all values for the commercial borrower swaps are recorded on a gross basis within the Corporation's financial position.

At September 30, 2016, the aggregate amortizing notional value of interest rate swaps with dealer counterparties was also \$20.0 million. The Corporation pays fixed rates and receives floating rates based upon LIBOR on the swaps with dealer counterparties. These interest rate swaps mature in August, 2018 through February, 2023. Dealer counterparty swaps are subject to master netting agreements among the contracts within each of the Banks and are reported on the unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheets as a net derivative liability of \$1.0 million, included in accrued interest payable and other liabilities as of September 30, 2016. The gross amount of dealer counterparty swaps was also \$1.0 million as no right of offset existed with the dealer counterparty swaps as of September 30, 2016.

The table below provides information about the location and fair value of the Corporation's derivative instruments as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

	Interest Rate Swap Contracts		Liability Derivatives	
	Asset Derivatives	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
	Balance Sheet Location		Balance Sheet Location	
	(In Thousands)			
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments				
September 30, 2016	Accrued interest receivable and other assets	\$1,014	Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	\$1,014

December 31, 2015	Accrued interest receivable and other assets	\$552	Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	\$552
-------------------	---	-------	---	-------

No derivative instruments held by the Corporation for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 were considered hedging instruments. All changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in other non-interest income. Given the mirror-image terms of the outstanding derivative portfolio, the change in fair value for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 had an insignificant impact on the unaudited Consolidated Statements of Income.

#### Note 11 — Regulatory Capital

The Corporation and the Banks are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by Federal, State of Wisconsin and State of Kansas banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can result in certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions on the part of regulators, that if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Banks' assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory practices. The Corporation's and the Banks' capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors. The Corporation regularly reviews and updates when appropriate its Capital and Liquidity Action Plan (the "Capital Plan"), which is designed to help ensure appropriate capital adequacy, to plan for future capital needs and to ensure that the Corporation serves as a source of financial strength to the Banks. The Corporation's and the Banks' Boards of Directors and management teams adhere to the appropriate regulatory guidelines on decisions which affect their respective capital positions, including but not limited to, decisions relating to the payment of dividends and increasing indebtedness.

As a bank holding company, the Corporation's ability to pay dividends is affected by the policies and enforcement powers of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve system (the "Federal Reserve"). Federal Reserve guidance urges companies to strongly consider eliminating, deferring or significantly reducing dividends if: (i) net income available to common shareholders for the past four quarters, net of dividends previously paid during that period, is not sufficient to fully fund the dividend; (ii) the prospective rate of earnings retention is not consistent with the bank holding company's capital needs and overall current prospective financial condition; or (iii) the bank holding company will not meet, or is in danger of not meeting, its minimum regulatory capital ratios. Management intends, when appropriate under regulatory guidelines, to consult with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago and provide it with information on the Corporation's then-current and prospective earnings and capital position in advance of declaring any cash dividends. As a Wisconsin corporation, the Corporation is subject to the limitations of the Wisconsin Business Corporation Law, which prohibit the Corporation from paying dividends if such payment would: (i) render the Corporation unable to pay its debts as they become due in the usual course of business, or (ii) result in the Corporation's assets being less than the sum of its total liabilities plus the amount needed to satisfy the preferential rights upon dissolution of any stockholders with preferential rights superior to those stockholders receiving the dividend.

The Banks are also subject to certain legal, regulatory and other restrictions on their ability to pay dividends to the Corporation. As a bank holding company, the payment of dividends by the Banks to the Corporation is one of the sources of funds the Corporation could use to pay dividends, if any, in the future and to make other payments. Future dividend decisions by the Banks and the Corporation will continue to be subject to compliance with various legal, regulatory and other restrictions as defined from time to time.

Qualitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Corporation and the Banks to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of Total, Common Equity Tier 1 and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets and of Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets. These risk-based capital requirements presently address credit risk related to both recorded and off-balance-sheet commitments and obligations. Management believes, as of September 30, 2016, that the Corporation and the Banks met all applicable capital adequacy requirements.

In July 2013, the FRB and the FDIC approved the final rules implementing the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's ("BCBS") capital guidelines for U.S. banks. These rules are applicable to all financial institutions that are subject to minimum capital requirements, including federal and state banks and savings and loan associations, as well

as bank and savings and loan holding companies other than “small bank holding companies” (generally non-publicly traded bank holding companies with consolidated assets of less than \$1 billion). Under the final rules, minimum requirements will increase for both the quantity and quality of capital held by the Corporation. The rules include a new Common Equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets minimum ratio of 4.5%, raise the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0%, require a minimum ratio of Total Capital to risk-weighted assets of 8.0%, and require a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4.0%. The rules also permit banking organizations with less than \$15 billion to retain, through a one-time election, the existing treatment for accumulated other comprehensive income, which would not affect regulatory capital. The Corporation elected to retain this treatment, which reduces the volatility of regulatory capital ratios. A new capital conservation buffer, comprised of Common Equity Tier 1 capital, is also established above the regulatory minimum capital requirements. This capital conservation buffer



Table of Contents

will be phased in beginning January 1, 2016 at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets and increase each subsequent year by an additional 0.625% until reaching its final level of 2.5% on January 1, 2019.

The phase-in period for the final rules became effective for the Corporation on January 1, 2015, with full compliance with all of the final rules' requirements phased in over a multi-year schedule, to be fully phased-in by January 1, 2019. As of September 30, 2016, both the Corporation's and the Banks' capital levels remained characterized as well capitalized under the new rules.

The following table summarizes both the Corporation's and Banks' capital ratios and the ratios required by their federal regulators at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively:

	Actual		Minimum Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes		Minimum Required to Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Requirements	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(Dollars in Thousands)						
As of September 30, 2016						
Total capital						
(to risk-weighted assets)						
Consolidated	\$200,175	11.44%	\$139,979	8.00%	N/A	N/A
First Business Bank	144,931	11.42	101,529	8.00	\$126,912	10.00%
First Business Bank — Milwaukee	23,437	11.12	16,868	8.00	21,085	10.00
Alterra Bank	30,705	11.57	21,225	8.00	26,531	10.00
Tier 1 capital						
(to risk-weighted assets)						
Consolidated	\$157,876	9.02 %	\$104,984	6.00%	N/A	N/A
First Business Bank	131,964	10.40	76,147	6.00	\$101,529	8.00 %
First Business Bank — Milwaukee	21,357	10.13	12,651	6.00	16,868	8.00
Alterra Bank	27,368	10.32	15,918	6.00	21,225	8.00
Common Equity Tier 1 capital						
(to risk-weighted assets)						
Consolidated	\$147,876	8.45 %	\$78,738	4.50%	N/A	N/A
First Business Bank	131,964	10.40	57,110	4.50	\$82,493	6.50 %
First Business Bank — Milwaukee	21,357	10.13	9,488	4.50	13,705	6.50
Alterra Bank	27,368	10.32	11,939	4.50	17,245	6.50
Tier 1 leverage capital						
(to adjusted assets)						
Consolidated	\$157,876	8.75 %	\$72,204	4.00%	N/A	N/A
First Business Bank	131,964	10.34	51,065	4.00	\$63,831	5.00 %
First Business Bank — Milwaukee	21,357	7.62	11,205	4.00	14,006	5.00
Alterra Bank	27,368	9.60	11,400	4.00	14,250	5.00

Table of Contents

	Actual		Minimum Required for Capital Adequacy Purposes		Minimum Required to Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Requirements	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
(Dollars in Thousands)						
As of December 31, 2015						
Total capital						
(to risk-weighted assets)						
Consolidated	\$ 189,163	11.11 %	\$ 136,208	8.00 %	N/A	N/A
First Business Bank	141,388	11.12	101,754	8.00	\$ 127,193	10.00 %
First Business Bank — Milwaukee	20,931	12.03	13,914	8.00	17,392	10.00
Alterra Bank	30,300	11.39	21,279	8.00	26,598	10.00
Tier 1 capital						
(to risk-weighted assets)						
Consolidated	\$ 149,920	8.81 %	\$ 102,156	6.00 %	N/A	N/A
First Business Bank	128,852	10.13	76,316	6.00	\$ 101,754	8.00 %
First Business Bank — Milwaukee	19,172	11.02	10,435	6.00	13,914	8.00
Alterra Bank	28,278	10.63	15,959	6.00	21,279	8.00
Common Equity Tier 1 capital						
(to risk-weighted assets)						
Consolidated	\$ 139,920	8.22 %	\$ 76,617	4.50 %	N/A	N/A
First Business Bank	128,852	10.13	57,237	4.50	\$ 110,669	6.50 %
First Business Bank — Milwaukee	19,172	11.02	7,826	4.50	82,675	6.50
Alterra Bank	28,278	10.63	11,969	4.50	11,305	6.50
Tier 1 leverage capital						
(to adjusted assets)						
Consolidated	\$ 149,920	8.63 %	\$ 69,466	4.00 %	N/A	N/A
First Business Bank	128,852	10.44	49,359	4.00	\$ 61,698	5.00 %
First Business Bank — Milwaukee	19,172	7.81	9,821	4.00	12,276	5.00
Alterra Bank	28,278	9.89	11,441	4.00	14,301	5.00

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

#### General

Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this Report to the "Corporation," "we," "us," "our," or similar references mean First Business Financial Services, Inc. together with our subsidiaries. "FBB", "FBB - Milwaukee" and "Alterra" are used to refer to our subsidiaries, First Business Bank, First Business Bank - Milwaukee, and Alterra Bank, respectively, and the "Banks" is used to refer to FBB, FBB - Milwaukee, and Alterra together.

#### Forward-Looking Statements

When used in this report the words or phrases "may," "could," "should," "hope," "might," "believe," "expect," "plan," "assume," "estimate," "anticipate," "project," "likely," or similar expressions are intended to identify "forward-looking statements."

Investors should not place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. Such statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, including among other things:

• Competitive pressures among depository and other financial institutions nationally and in our markets.

• Adverse changes in local, national and international economic and business conditions.

• Increases in defaults by borrowers and other delinquencies.

• Our inability to manage growth effectively, including the successful expansion of our client service, administrative infrastructure and internal management information systems.

• Fluctuations in interest rates and market prices.

• The consequences of continued bank acquisitions and mergers in our market areas, resulting in fewer but much larger and financially stronger competitors.

• Changes in legislative or regulatory requirements applicable to us and our subsidiaries.

• Changes in tax requirements, including tax rate changes, new tax laws and revised tax law interpretations.

• Fraud, including client and system failure or breaches of our network security, including with respect to our internet banking activities.

• Failure to comply with applicable SBA regulations in order to maintain the eligibility of the guaranteed portion of SBA loans could lead to significant losses from denial of the guaranty.

These risks could cause actual results to differ materially from what we have anticipated or projected. These risk factors and uncertainties should be carefully considered by our shareholders and potential investors. See Part II, Item 1A — Risk Factors of this Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2016 for discussion relating to risk factors impacting us. The factors could affect our financial performance and could cause actual results for future periods to differ materially from any opinions or statements expressed with respect to future periods.

Where any such forward-looking statement includes a statement of the assumptions or bases underlying such forward-looking statement, we caution that, while our management believes such assumptions or bases are reasonable and are made in good faith, assumed facts or bases can vary from actual results, and the differences between assumed facts or bases and actual results can be material, depending on the circumstances. Where, in any forward-looking statement, an expectation or belief is expressed as to future results, such expectation or belief is expressed in good faith and believed to have a reasonable basis, but there can be no assurance that the statement of expectation or belief will be achieved or accomplished.

We do not intend to, and specifically disclaim any obligation to, update any forward-looking statements.

The following discussion and analysis is intended as a review of significant events and factors affecting our financial condition and results of operations for the periods indicated. The discussion should be read in conjunction with the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto presented in this Form 10-Q.

#### Overview

We are a registered bank holding company incorporated under the laws of the State of Wisconsin and are engaged in the commercial banking business through our wholly-owned banking subsidiaries, FBB, FBB-Milwaukee and Alterra. All of our operations are conducted through the Banks and certain subsidiaries of FBB. We operate with a business banking focus delivering a full line of commercial banking products and services tailored to meet the specific needs of

small and medium sized businesses, business owners, executives, professionals and high net worth individuals. Our commercial banking products and services include commercial lending, SBA lending, asset-based lending, equipment financing, factoring, residential mortgage lending, trust and investment services, treasury management services and a broad range of deposit products. We do

36

---

Table of Contents

not utilize a branch network to attract retail clients. Our operating philosophy incorporates local decision making and local client service from each of our primary banking locations in Madison, Brookfield and Appleton, Wisconsin and Leawood, Kansas combined with the efficiency of centralized administrative operations, such as credit, information technology, marketing, compliance, finance and accounting and human resource functions. We have a niche business banking model and we consistently operate within this model to provide value-added financial solutions to our clients through the high touch service of our experienced staff.

Operational Summary

The acquisition of Alterra introduced new products and markets to the Corporation that have proven to be earnings catalysts, particularly lending under the Small Business Administration (“SBA”) lending program. These strong and immediate benefits have recently been weakened by the identification of credit deterioration at Alterra that we believe is not characteristic of the Corporation’s longstanding record of superior performance. Specifically, in the second and third quarters of 2016, deterioration in both Alterra’s SBA and conventional loan portfolios had a significant impact on the Corporation’s non-performing asset levels and loan and lease loss provision. Non-performing assets at Alterra represented \$14.4 million, or 53%, of the Corporation’s total non-performing assets as of September 30, 2016, while Alterra’s third quarter provision for loan and lease losses of \$3.5 million accounted for 95.3% of the Corporation’s total provision.

In addition, specifically as it relates to our SBA lending program, during the third quarter of 2016 management further evaluated the Corporation’s existing SBA portfolio and related documentation practices to ascertain whether effective processes are in place to help ensure that all originated SBA loans meet the standards for compliance with the SBA program. There are potential additional and uncertain outcomes associated with this evaluation. Therefore, consistent with the Corporation’s longstanding disciplined approach to credit, management concluded it was advisable to temporarily slow SBA production until investments to enhance the infrastructure, capacity and scalability of the business are firmly in place.

Highlights for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 include:

Total assets decreased to \$1.772 billion as of September 30, 2016 compared to \$1.782 billion as of December 31, 2015.

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was \$2.5 million compared to net income of \$4.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$10.8 million compared to net income of \$12.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended September 30, 2016 were \$0.29 compared to diluted earnings per common share of \$0.50 for the three months ended September 30, 2015. Diluted earnings per common share for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 were \$1.24 compared to diluted earnings per common share of \$1.43 for the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Net interest margin decreased by 11 basis points to 3.50% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to 3.61% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. Net interest margin decreased by 11 basis points to 3.56% for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to 3.67% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Top line revenue, the sum of net interest income and non-interest income, increased 1.2% to \$18.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$18.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016, top line revenue increased 8.7% to \$60.6 million as compared to \$55.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Annualized return on average assets (“ROAA”) and return on average equity (“ROAE”) were 0.56% and 6.38%, respectively, for the three month period ended September 30, 2016, compared to 1.02% and 11.93%, respectively, for the same time period in 2015. ROAA and ROAE were 0.79% and 9.14%, respectively, for the nine month period ended September 30, 2016 compared to 0.98% and 11.55%, respectively, for the same time period in 2015.

Our efficiency ratio was 63.63% for the three months end September 30, 2016, compared to 64.82% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 our efficiency ratio was 62.47% compared to 64.18% for the same time period in 2015.

During the third quarter we recognized a \$3.6 million historic tax credit related to a significant commercial lending relationship. In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance, we also recognized a corresponding \$3.2 million

impairment of the underlying tax credit investment, resulting in a net \$430,000 benefit to third quarter 2016 net income. The Corporation expects its 2016 effective tax rate to return to levels commensurate with expected full year taxable income.

Table of Contents

Our provision for loan and lease losses was \$3.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$287,000 for the same period in the prior year. Provision for loan and lease losses was \$6.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$1.5 million for the comparable period in 2015.

• Allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of gross loans and leases was 1.37% at September 30, 2016 compared to 1.14% at December 31, 2015.

• Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets was 1.54% at September 30, 2016 compared to 1.35% at December 31, 2015.

• Non-accrual loans increased by \$3.4 million, or 15.3%, to \$25.7 million at September 30, 2016 from \$22.3 million at December 31, 2015.

Net charge-offs of \$1.6 million represented an annualized 0.44% of average loans and leases for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to an annualized 0.04% for the three months end September 30, 2015. Net charge-offs of \$3.1 million represented an annualized 0.28% of average gross loans and leases for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$461,000 and an annualized 0.05% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

## Results of Operations

## Top Line Revenue

Top line revenue, consisting of net interest income and non-interest income, increased 1.2% and 8.7% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year. The increase for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to loan growth, partially offset by a moderate decrease in average loan yields and management's decision to temporarily slow SBA loan production while investments are made to enhance the policies, processes, controls, training, talent and reporting structures of the SBA platform. The increase for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to the same period in the prior year was primarily due to loan growth, stable net interest margin exclusive of purchase accounting and greater than expected SBA loan production in the first and second quarters of 2016. The increase in net interest income was partially offset by the diminishing impact of purchase accounting accretion/amortization when compared to the same period in the prior year. The components of top line revenue were as follows:

	For the Three Months			For the Nine Months		
	Ended September 30, 2016	2015	Change	Ended September 30, 2016	2015	Change
	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Net interest income	\$ 15,295	\$ 14,610	4.7 %	\$ 46,575	\$ 43,728	6.5 %
Non-interest income	3,640	4,102	(11.3)	14,057	12,076	16.4
Total top line revenue	\$ 18,935	\$ 18,712	1.2	\$ 60,632	\$ 55,804	8.7

Table of Contents

Return on Average Assets and Return on Average Equity

ROAA for the three months ended September 30, 2016 decreased to 0.56% compared to 1.02% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. ROAA for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was 0.79% compared to 0.98% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The decline in ROAA for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was primarily due to an increase in loan loss provision, the establishment of a recourse reserve and management's decision to temporarily slow SBA production beginning in the third quarter, partially offset by positive operating leverage driven by loan growth and a nonrecurring decrease in compensation costs reflecting a reduction to the estimate of the 2016 annual incentive bonus plan. We define operating leverage as the percentage change in operating revenue less the percentage change in operating expenses. The decline in ROAA for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was primarily due to an increase in loan loss provision, partially offset by loan growth and greater than expected SBA loan production earlier in the year. ROAA is a critical metric used by us to measure the profitability of our organization and how efficiently our assets are deployed. It is a measurement that allows us to better benchmark our profitability to our peers without the need to consider different degrees of leverage that can ultimately influence return on equity measures.

ROAE for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was 6.38% compared to 11.93% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. ROAE for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was 9.14% compared to 11.55% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The reasons for the decline in ROAE for both time periods are consistent with the explanations provided for the ROAA results discussed above. We view ROAE to be an important measure of profitability and we continue to focus on improving our return to our shareholders by enhancing the overall profitability of our client relationships, controlling our expenses and seeking to minimize our credit costs.

Efficiency Ratio

Efficiency ratio is a non-GAAP measure representing non-interest expense excluding the effects of losses or gains on foreclosed properties, amortization of other intangible assets and other discrete items unrelated to the Corporation's primary business activities, if any, divided by total operating revenue, which is equal to net interest income plus non-interest income less realized gains or losses on securities, if any.

The efficiency ratio improved to 63.63%, compared to 64.82% for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and 62.47% for the nine months end September 30, 2016, compared to 64.18% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Management expects the efficiency ratio to trend towards the Corporation's long-term operating goal of 58-62%, reflecting ongoing revenue growth and disciplined expense management.

We believe the efficiency ratio allows investors and analysts to better assess the Corporation's operating expenses in relation to its operating revenue by removing the volatility that is associated with certain one-time or discrete items unrelated to its business. The information provided below reconciles the efficiency ratio to its most comparable GAAP measure.



Table of Contents

Please refer to the Non-Interest Expense section below for discussion on the primary drivers of the year-over-year decrease in the efficiency ratio.

	For the Three Months Ended			For the Nine Months Ended		
	September 30, 2016	2015	Change	September 30, 2016	2015	Change
	(Dollars in Thousands)					
Total non-interest expense	\$15,753	\$11,984	31.5 %	\$41,910	\$35,690	17.4 %
Less:						
Net (gain) loss on foreclosed properties	—	(163 )	NM	93	(178 )	NM
Amortization of other intangible assets	16	18	(11.1)	48	55	(12.7)
Recourse reserve	375	—	NM	375	—	NM
Impairment of tax credit investments	3,314	—	NM	3,520	—	NM
Total operating expense	\$12,048	\$12,129	(0.7 )	\$37,874	\$35,813	5.8
Net interest income	\$15,295	\$14,610	4.7	\$46,575	\$43,728	6.5
Total non-interest income	3,640	4,102	(11.3)	14,057	12,076	16.4
Less:						
Gain on sale of securities	—	—	NM	7	—	NM
Total operating revenue	\$18,935	\$18,712	1.2	\$60,625	\$55,804	8.6
Efficiency ratio	63.63 %	64.82 %	(1.19)	62.47 %	64.18 %	(1.71)
NM = Not meaningful						

Table of Contents

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income levels depend on the amount of and yield on interest-earning assets as compared to the amount of and rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is sensitive to changes in market rates of interest and the asset/liability management processes to prepare for and respond to such changes.

The following table provides information with respect to (1) the change in net interest income attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume) and (2) the change in net interest income attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to the same periods in 2015. The change in net interest income attributable to changes in rate and volume (changes in rate multiplied by changes in volume) has been allocated to the rate and volume changes in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

	Increase (Decrease) for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 Compared to 2015			Increase (Decrease) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 Compared to 2015		
	Rate	Volume	Net	Rate	Volume	Net
(In Thousands)						
Interest-earning assets						
Commercial real estate and other mortgage loans <sup>(1)</sup>	\$(368)	\$1,030	\$662	\$(736)	\$3,566	\$2,830
Commercial and industrial loans <sup>(1)</sup>	(173)	83	(90)	(320)	1,180	860
Direct financing leases	(8)	21	13	(29)	16	(13)
Consumer and other loans	108	—	108	111	45	156
Total loans and leases receivable	(441)	1,134	693	(974)	4,807	3,833
Mortgage-related securities	(22)	(13)	(35)	(66)	(109)	(175)
Other investment securities	(3)	14	11	(8)	39	31
FHLB and FRB Stock	7	(8)	(1)	10	(10)	—
Short-term investments	49	46	95	114	122	236
Total net change in income on interest-earning assets	(410)	1,173	763	(923)	4,848	3,925
Interest-bearing liabilities						
Transaction accounts	2	27	29	(10)	78	68
Money market accounts	(132)	61	(71)	(249)	179	(70)
Certificates of deposit	35	(87)	(52)	90	(287)	(197)
Wholesale deposits	183	(4)	179	792	421	1,213
Total deposits	88	(3)	85	623	391	1,014
FHLB advances	18	(30)	(12)	31	(48)	(17)
Other borrowings	(1)	6	5	(52)	130	78
Junior subordinated notes	—	—	—	(7)	10	3
Total net change in expense on interest-bearing liabilities	105	(27)	78	595	483	1,078
Net change in net interest income	\$(515)	\$1,200	\$685	\$(1,518)	\$4,365	\$2,847

(1) Includes loans held for sale.

Table of Contents

The tables below shows our average balances, interest, average yields/rates, net interest margin and the spread between the combined average yields earned on interest-earning assets and average rates on interest-bearing liabilities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015. The average balances are derived from average daily balances.

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,							
	2016	2015	Average	Average	Interest	Average	Interest	Average
	Average	Average	Yield/Rate <sup>(4)</sup>	Yield/Rate <sup>(4)</sup>		Yield/Rate <sup>(4)</sup>		Yield/Rate <sup>(4)</sup>
	Balance	Balance		Balance				
	(Dollars in Thousands)							
Interest-earning assets								
Commercial real estate and other mortgage loans <sup>(1)</sup>	\$947,167	\$856,488	\$10,656	4.50	%	\$9,994	4.67	%
Commercial and industrial loans <sup>(1)</sup>	459,871	454,184	6,651	5.79		6,741	5.94	
Direct financing leases <sup>(1)</sup>	30,231	28,352	341	4.51		328	4.63	
Consumer and other loans <sup>(1)</sup>	23,662	23,647	368	6.22		260	4.40	
Total loans and leases receivable <sup>(1)</sup>	1,460,931	1,362,671	18,016	4.93		17,323	5.09	
Mortgage-related securities <sup>(2)</sup>	149,414	152,763	567	1.52		602	1.57	
Other investment securities <sup>(3)</sup>	34,042	30,431	131	1.54		120	1.58	
FHLB and FRB stock	2,163	3,175	21	3.88		22	2.69	
Short-term investments	103,549	67,716	163	0.63		68	0.41	
Total interest-earning assets	1,750,099	1,616,756	18,898	4.32		18,135	4.49	
Non-interest-earning assets	67,884	100,023						
Total assets	\$1,817,983	\$1,716,779						
Interest-bearing liabilities								
Transaction accounts	\$182,743	\$138,489	113	0.25		84	0.24	
Money market accounts	632,415	587,063	758	0.48		829	0.56	
Certificates of deposit	63,581	102,477	152	0.96		204	0.80	
Wholesale deposits	465,273	466,516	1,847	1.59		1,668	1.43	
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,344,012	1,294,545	2,870	0.85		2,785	0.86	
FHLB advances	4,991	17,503	18	1.44		30	0.67	
Other borrowings	24,976	24,645	435	6.97		430	6.98	
Junior subordinated notes	9,998	9,984	280	11.20		280	11.22	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,383,977	1,346,677	3,603	1.04		3,525	1.05	
Non-interest-bearing demand deposit accounts	263,627	213,712						
Other non-interest-bearing liabilities	11,098	9,520						
Total liabilities	1,658,702	1,569,909						
Stockholders' equity	159,281	146,870						
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,817,983	\$1,716,779						
Net interest income			\$15,295			\$14,610		
Interest rate spread			3.28	%		3.44	%	
Net interest-earning assets	\$366,122	\$270,079						
Net interest margin			3.50	%		3.61	%	
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	126.45	120.06	%			%		
Return on average assets	0.56	1.02						
Return on average equity	6.38	11.93						
Average equity to average assets	8.76	8.55						
Non-interest expense to average assets	3.47	2.79						

The average balances of loans and leases include non-performing loans and leases and loans held for sale. Interest (1) income related to non-performing loans and leases is recognized when collected. Interest income includes net loan fees collected in lieu of interest.

(2) Includes amortized cost basis of assets available-for-sale and held-to-maturity.

(3) Yields on tax-exempt municipal obligations are not presented on a tax-equivalent basis in this table.

(4) Represents annualized yields/rates.

Table of Contents

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,							
	2016	2015	Average	Average	Interest	Average	Interest	Average
	Average	Balance	Yield/Rate <sup>(4)</sup>	Balance	Interest	Yield/Rate <sup>(4)</sup>	Interest	Yield/Rate <sup>(4)</sup>
	(Dollars in Thousands)							
Interest-earning assets								
Commercial real estate and other mortgage loans <sup>(1)</sup>	\$934,615	\$32,366	4.62	%	\$832,042	\$29,535	4.73	%
Commercial and industrial loans <sup>(1)</sup>	466,729	20,833	5.95		440,390	19,973	6.05	
Direct financing leases <sup>(1)</sup>	30,683	1,039	4.51		30,229	1,053	4.64	
Consumer and other loans <sup>(1)</sup>	25,581	923	4.81		24,213	767	4.22	
Total loans and leases receivable <sup>(1)</sup>	1,457,608	55,161	5.04		1,326,874	51,328	5.16	
Mortgage-related securities <sup>(2)</sup>	145,599	1,721	1.58		154,734	1,896	1.63	
Other investment securities <sup>(3)</sup>	32,518	381	1.56		29,213	350	1.60	
FHLB and FRB stock	2,482	61	3.28		2,902	60	2.74	
Short-term investments	107,369	472	0.59		75,469	237	0.42	
Total interest-earning assets	1,745,576	57,796	4.41		1,589,192	53,871	4.52	
Non-interest-earning assets	75,969				96,032			
Total assets	\$1,821,545				\$1,685,224			
Interest-bearing liabilities								
Transaction accounts	\$164,278	273	0.22		\$117,242	205	0.23	
Money market accounts	650,864	2,453	0.50		605,906	2,523	0.56	
Certificates of deposit	67,440	446	0.88		112,602	643	0.76	
Wholesale deposits	478,038	5,789	1.61		439,744	4,576	1.39	
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,360,620	8,961	0.88		1,275,494	7,947	0.83	
FHLB advances	8,941	68	1.01		16,569	85	0.68	
Other borrowings	26,982	1,357	6.71		24,425	1,279	7.08	
Junior subordinated notes	10,101	835	11.02		9,981	832	11.12	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,406,644	11,221	1.06		1,326,469	10,143	1.02	
Non-interest-bearing demand deposit accounts	246,238				206,547			
Other non-interest-bearing liabilities	11,126				8,646			
Total liabilities	1,664,008				1,541,662			
Stockholders' equity	157,537				143,562			
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,821,545				\$1,685,224			
Net interest income		\$46,575				\$43,728		
Interest rate spread			3.35	%			3.50	%
Net interest-earning assets	\$338,932				\$262,723			
Net interest margin			3.56	%			3.67	%
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	124.10	%			119.81	%		
Return on average assets	0.79				0.98			
Return on average equity	9.14				11.55			
Average equity to average assets	8.65				8.52			
Non-interest expense to average assets	3.07				2.82			

The average balances of loans and leases include non-performing loans and leases and loans held for sale. Interest (1) income related to non-performing loans and leases is recognized when collected. Interest income includes net loan fees collected in lieu of interest.

- (2) Includes amortized cost basis of assets available for sale and held to maturity.
- (3) Yields on tax-exempt municipal obligations are not presented on a tax-equivalent basis in this table.
- (4) Represents annualized yields/rates.

Table of Contents

## Comparison of Net Interest Income for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 and 2015

Net interest income increased \$685,000, or 4.7%, during the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015. The increase in net interest income was primarily attributable to a favorable volume variance on interest-earning assets as average loans and leases increased \$98.3 million, or 7.2%, and a positive rate variance on money market accounts, the combination of which more than offset the moderate decline in average loan and lease yields. This overall favorable net interest income variance was partially offset by an increase in the average rate paid on wholesale deposits, which principally consist of brokered certificates of deposit and deposits gathered through internet deposit listing services. The percentage increase in net interest income is less than the percentage increase in average interest earning assets primarily due to the diminishing year over year impact of purchase accounting accretion/amortization. Excluding purchase accounting accretion/amortization, net interest income increased \$931,000, or 6.5%, compared to a 8.2% year over year increase in average interest-earning assets.

The yield on average earning assets for the three months ended September 30, 2016 decreased 17 basis points to 4.32%, compared to 4.49% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. This decrease is primarily due to the diminishing impact of accretion related to purchase accounting adjustments, the extended low rate environment and corresponding competitive loan pricing pressure on both new and existing business, \$14.6 million, or 163.3%, year over year increase in average non-accrual loans and \$34.6 million, or 66.4%, year over year increase in average cash held at the Federal Reserve. Excluding purchase accounting accretion, prepayment fees collected in lieu of interest, non-accrual loans and cash balances held at the Federal Reserve, the yield on average earning assets decreased five basis points year over year to 4.51% from 4.56%.

The overall weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities was 1.04% for the three months ended September 30, 2016, a decrease of one basis point from 1.05% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in the overall rate paid on the interest-bearing liabilities was primarily attributable to an overall favorable variance on in-market deposit accounts, as average money market accounts increased \$45.4 million and the average rate paid decreased eight basis points from 0.56% to 0.48%. This favorable variance was partially offset by an increase in the average rate paid on wholesale deposits, which principally consist of brokered certificates of deposit and deposits gathered through internet deposit listing services.

The weighted average rate paid on our interest-bearing deposits was 0.85% for the three months ended September 30, 2016, a decrease of one basis point from 0.86% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in the weighted average rate paid on our interest-bearing deposits was primarily driven by the replacement of maturing in-market certificates of deposit with in-market non-maturity deposit balances, partially offset by an increase in the weighted average rate paid on wholesale deposits. The weighted average remaining maturity of our wholesale deposit portfolio remains consistent when compared to the same period in 2015, however, market rates have increased moderately throughout 2016 since the Federal Reserve raised rates in December 2015.

Despite an uncertain rate environment, management expects to effectively manage the Corporation's liability structure in both term and rate to deliver a stable net interest margin within our target range. Further, we expect continued success in attracting in-market deposit relationships in our Wisconsin and Kansas-based markets which we believe will contribute to our ability to maintain an appropriate cost of funds. Average in-market client deposits - comprised of all transaction accounts, money market accounts and non-wholesale deposits - increased 9.7% to \$1.142 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from \$1.042 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2015.

Net interest margin decreased 11 basis points to 3.50% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to 3.61% for the three months ended September 30, 2015. Net accretion/amortization on the Alterra purchase accounting adjustments was \$139,000 for the three months end September 30, 2016 and \$385,000 for the same period in 2015. Management acknowledges the net accretion/amortization of purchase accounting adjustments may be a source of volatility in future quarters but generally with a declining effect on net interest margin. Excluding purchase accounting accretion/amortization, net interest margin decreased six basis points to 3.46% from 3.52% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Loan and lease growth at below portfolio average yields, combined with an increase in average non-accrual loans and a temporary increase in excess cash held at the Federal Reserve for the three months ended September 30, 2016, compared to the same period in 2015, drove a 14 basis point decrease in net interest margin. The Corporation's success in attracting in-market deposit balances as of September 30, 2016 and

management's decision to reduce various in-market rates across the franchise, positively affected our net interest margin by approximately six basis points. Other factors, primarily the increase in yield on our short-term investment portfolio driven by the Federal Reserve rate increase in December 2015, positively influenced the net interest margin by two basis points in the aggregate.



Table of Contents

In order to counter the aforementioned asset yield pressure the Corporation continues to take actions, including pursuing non-interest bearing deposit accounts and adjusting deposit rates to manage to our net interest margin goal of 3.50% or better.

Comparison of Net Interest Income for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 and 2015

Net interest income increased \$2.8 million or 6.5%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015. The increase in net interest income was primarily attributable to a favorable volume variance on the loan and the lease portfolio as average loans and leases increased \$130.7 million, or 9.9%. This favorable variance was partially offset by an unfavorable rate and volume variance on wholesale deposits. The percentage increase in net interest income is less than the percentage increase in average interest earning assets primarily due to the diminishing year over year impact of purchase accounting accretion/amortization. Excluding purchase accounting accretion/amortization, net interest income increased \$4.4 million, or 10.5%, compared to a 9.8% year over year increase in average interest-earning assets.

The overall weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities was 1.06% for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, an increase of four basis points from 1.02% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The increase in the overall rate on the interest-bearing liabilities was primarily caused by an increase in the average balance and rate paid on our wholesale deposits, which tend to be longer in duration than in-market deposits. We will continue to use wholesale deposits in specific maturity periods, typically three to five years, needed to effectively mitigate the interest rate risk measured through our asset/liability management process or in shorter time periods if in-market deposit balances decline. This increase was partially offset by an increase in in-market deposits led by strong money market growth at lower rates than the overall weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The weighted average rate paid on our interest-bearing deposits was 0.88% for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, an increase of five basis points from 0.83% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The reasons for this increase are consistent with the explanation provided for the increase in the weighted average rate paid on our interest-bearing liabilities discussed in the previous paragraph. Average in-market client deposits - comprised of all transaction accounts, money market accounts and non-wholesale deposits - increased 8.3% to \$1.129 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 from \$1.042 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, positively impacting the interest-bearing deposit mix and partially offsetting the increase in the average balance and weighted average rate paid on wholesale deposits.

Net interest margin decreased 11 basis points to 3.56% for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to 3.67% for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Net accretion/amortization on the Alterra purchase accounting adjustments was \$647,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and \$2.2 million for the same period in 2015. Management acknowledges the net accretion/amortization of purchase accounting adjustments may be a source of volatility in the future but generally with a declining effect on net interest margin. Excluding purchase accounting accretion/amortization, net interest margin increased two basis points to 3.51% from 3.49%. Average loan and lease growth in excess of the increase in average cash held at the Federal Reserve, combined with loan fees collected in lieu of interest for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 drove a two basis point increase in net interest margin.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

We determine our provision for loan and lease losses based upon credit risk and other subjective factors pursuant to our allowance for loan and lease loss methodology, the magnitude of current and historical net charge-offs recorded in the period and the amount of reserves established for impaired loans that present collateral shortfall positions. During the fourth quarter of 2015, the methodology used to determine the amount of loan and lease loss provision was refined by increasing the look-back period from three years to eight years, estimating the loss emergence period using a more granular approach and further emphasizing the application of quantitative factors to support the inherent risk of the loan and lease portfolio and less emphasis on subjective analysis. The impact of these refinements was not significant. Refer to Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses for further information regarding our refined allowance for loan and lease loss methodology.

We recorded provision expense of \$3.5 million and \$287,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Our provision expense was \$6.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$1.5 million for the comparable period of 2015. Provision for Alterra for the three months ended September 30,

2016 primarily reflected \$3.0 million in specific reserves and net charge-offs related to three loan relationships. Provision for Alterra for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 primarily reflected \$5.2 million in specific reserves and net charge-offs related to the two loan relationships previously disclosed in the second quarter of 2016 and the three loan relationships mentioned above. Management is taking action to enhance policies, processes, controls, training, talent and reporting structures to help ensure our credit culture and discipline are instilled throughout the Corporation. The above increases were tempered by improvements in underlying credit metrics in the remaining loan and lease portfolio as asset quality at FBB and FBB-Milwaukee remains strong.

Table of Contents

The addition of specific reserves on impaired loans represents new specific reserves established on impaired loans for which, although collateral shortfalls are present, we believe we will be able to recover our principal. The release of specific reserves represents the reduction of previously established reserves that are no longer required. A decrease in allowance for loan and lease losses due to subjective factor changes reflects management's evaluation of the level of risk within the portfolio based upon several factors for each portfolio category, including but not limited to: management's ongoing review and grading of the loan and lease portfolios, consideration of delinquency experience, changes in the size of the loan and lease portfolios, existing economic conditions, level of loans and leases subject to more frequent review by management, changes in underlying collateral, concentrations of loans to specific industries and other qualitative factors that could affect credit losses. As overall asset quality metrics improve and the level and trend of the factors improve for a sustainable period of time, the level of general reserve due to these factors may be reduced causing an overall reduction in the level of the required reserve deemed to be appropriate by management. Conversely, increases in the level and trend of these factors may warrant an increase to our overall allowance for loan and lease losses. Charge-offs in excess of previously established specific reserves represent an additional provision for loan and lease losses required to maintain the allowance for loan and lease losses at a level deemed appropriate by management. This amount is net of the release of any specific reserve that may have already been provided. Charge-offs in excess of specific reserves can occur in situations where: (i) a loan has previously been partially written down to its estimated fair value and continues to decline, (ii) rapid deterioration of a credit requires an immediate partial or full charge-off, or (iii) the specific reserve was not adequate to cover the amount of the required charge-off. Change in the inherent risk of the portfolio is primarily influenced by the overall growth in gross loans and leases and an analysis of loans previously charged off, as well as, movement of existing loans and leases in and out of an impaired loan classification where a specific evaluation of a particular credit may be required rather than the application of a general reserve ratio. Refer to Asset Quality for further information regarding the overall credit quality of our loan and lease portfolio.

## Non-Interest Income

## Comparison of Non-Interest Income for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 and 2015

Non-interest income decreased \$462,000, or 11.3%, to \$3.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016, from \$4.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease primarily reflects lower gains from SBA loan sales resulting from the Corporation's decision to temporarily slow production beginning in the third quarter of 2016, partially offset by record trust and investment services fee income.

Gains on sale of SBA loans for the three months ended September 30, 2016 totaled \$347,000, a decrease of \$580,000, or 62.6%, from the same period in 2015. In order to meet market demand and drive high-quality growth in 2017 and beyond, we are working to ensure future growth is achieved in a sustainable manner. Consequently, management has temporarily slowed the SBA production while investments to enhance the infrastructure, processes, capacity and scalability of the SBA platform are completed.

Trust and investment services fee income increased by \$113,000, or 9.0%, to a record \$1.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. This increase was primarily driven by growth in assets under management and administration. At September 30, 2016, we had a record \$935.6 million of trust assets under management compared to \$817.9 million at December 31, 2015 and \$791.2 million at September 30, 2015. Assets under administration were a record \$231.8 million at September 30, 2016 compared to \$203.2 million at December 31, 2015 and \$187.5 million at September 30, 2015.

## Comparison of Non-Interest Income for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 and 2015

Non-interest income increased \$2.0 million, or 16.4%, to \$14.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, from \$12.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The increase was primarily due to greater than expected SBA loan production in the first and second quarters of 2016 and increased fees earned for trust and investment services.

Gains on sale of SBA loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 totaled \$3.9 million, an increase of \$1.6 million, or 69.5%, from the same period in 2015 driven by stronger than expected gains in the first and second quarters of 2016 and offset by the decrease in SBA loan originations in the third quarter. In addition, loan fees related

to the origination and servicing of SBA loans increased \$244,000, or 48.2%.

Trust and investment services fee income increased by \$244,000, or 6.5%, to a record \$4.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 from \$3.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. This increase was primarily driven by higher levels of assets under management and administration.

Table of Contents

Non-Interest Expense

Comparison of Non-Interest Expense for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 and 2015

Non-interest expense increased by \$3.8 million, or 31.5%, to \$15.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from \$12.0 million for the comparable period of 2015. The increase in non-interest expense was primarily due to the impairment of tax credit investments and an increase in compensation expense, partially offset by a decrease in professional fees and marketing costs.

During the third quarter of 2016, in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance, the Corporation recognized \$3.2 million in nonrecurring tax credit investment impairment expense, which corresponded with the \$3.6 million in historic tax credits recognized during the quarter, providing a net benefit to after-tax earnings of \$430,000. Excluding the impairment impact of tax credit investments, third quarter 2016 non-interest expense totaled \$12.4 million, compared to \$12.0 million in the prior year quarter.

Compensation expense increased by \$317,000, or 4.3%, to \$7.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from \$7.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The overall increase reflected growth related to annual merit increases and employee benefit costs on a larger base of employees than in the comparative period of the prior year. Full time equivalent employees as of September 30, 2016 were 263, up 11.4% from 236 at September 30, 2015. We expect to continue to opportunistically invest in talent to support our strategic growth efforts, both in the form of additional business development and operational staff. The growth-related increase was partially offset by an \$811,000 nonrecurring reduction of the accrual for the 2016 annual incentive bonus plan. This reduction in annual incentive compensation was partially offset by severance expense related to Alterra's president's termination in accordance with the previously disclosed employment agreement.

Professional fees expense decreased by \$203,000, or 16.0%, to \$1.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease was consistent with management's expectations as technology platforms introduced in 2015 are now largely in place. Management will evaluate additional technology platforms and expand the capabilities of existing platforms going forward as we continue to strategically focus on scaling the Corporation to efficiently execute our growth strategy.

Marketing costs decreased \$165,000, or 23.8%, to \$528,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from \$693,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The favorable variance is primarily due to the timing of certain advertising initiatives.

At the end of the third quarter of 2016, the Corporation took measures to reduce annual operating costs, including the announcement of the closure of four offices, which reduced compensation expense, and the moderation of certain marketing and professional expenses. These measures are designed to better match expenses to the rate of near-term revenue growth we anticipate from our SBA loan production and slower national and regional growth trends in commercial and industrial lending, with the objective of moving the efficiency ratio back toward the Company's long-term operating goal of 58-62%.

Prudent expense management is a critical component of our strategy and our culture, from our limited branch network and unique funding model to strategic investments in talent and technology. We are diligently managing our operating costs to align with revenue expectations while continuing to make investments that enhance our business and our ability to serve current and prospective clients.

Comparison of Non-Interest Expense for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 and 2015

Non-interest expense increased by \$6.2 million, or 17.4%, to \$41.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 from \$35.7 million for the comparable period of 2015. The reasons for the increase in non-interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 are consistent with the explanations provided for the comparison of non-interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 discussed above.

Excluding the impairment impact of tax credit investments, non-interest expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 increased \$2.7 million, or 7.6%, to \$38.4 million, compared to \$35.7 million for the comparable period of 2015.

Compensation expense increased by \$2.9 million, or 13.2%, to \$24.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 from \$21.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The reasons for the increase in compensation expense are consistent with the explanations provided for the third quarter results discussed above.



## Table of Contents

Professional fees expense decreased by \$884,000, or 23.4%, to \$2.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 from \$3.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. Marketing costs decreased \$326,000, or 16.0%, to \$1.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 from \$2.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The reasons for the decrease in professional fees and marketing costs are consistent with the explanations provided for the third quarter results discussed above.

### Income Taxes

Management routinely evaluates tax strategies to lower its tax liability over time. During the third quarter of 2016, the Corporation recognized \$3.6 million in historic tax credits. Income tax expense was \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, with an effective tax rate of 9.2%, compared to income tax expense of \$6.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015, with an effective tax rate of 33.2%. We expect the 2016 effective tax rate to return to levels commensurate with expected full year taxable income.

Generally, the provision for income taxes is determined by applying an estimated annual effective income tax rate to income before taxes and adjusting for discrete items. Typically, the rate is based on the most recent annualized forecast of pre-tax income, book versus tax differences and tax credits, if any. If we conclude that a reliable estimated annual effective tax rate cannot be determined, the actual effective tax rate for the year-to-date period may be used.

We re-evaluate the income tax rates each quarter. Therefore, the current projected effective tax rate for the entire year may change.

### Financial Condition

#### General

Total assets decreased by \$9.6 million, or 0.5%, to \$1.772 billion as of September 30, 2016 compared to \$1.782 billion at December 31, 2015. The decrease in total assets was primarily driven by a decrease in excess cash held at the Federal Reserve, partially offset by growth in our investment and loan and lease portfolios.

#### Short-Term Investments

Short-term investments decreased by \$46.9 million, or 47.4%, to \$52.1 million at September 30, 2016 from \$98.9 million at December 31, 2015. Our short-term investments primarily consist of interest-bearing deposits held at the FRB. We value the safety and soundness provided by the FRB and therefore we incorporate short-term investments in our on-balance-sheet liquidity program. The decrease in short-term investments primarily reflects a reduction in cash held at the FRB driven by a decrease in wholesale deposits, investments in our commercial paper and our security portfolios and loan and lease growth. As of September 30, 2016, our total investment in commercial paper, which is also considered a short-term investment, was \$15.6 million as compared to \$9.1 million at December 31, 2015, offsetting the decrease in FRB cash. We approach our decisions to purchase commercial paper with similar rigor and underwriting standards as applied to our loan and lease portfolio. The original maturities of the commercial paper are usually 60 days or less and provide an attractive yield in comparison to other short-term alternatives. These investments also assist us in maintaining a shorter duration of our overall investment portfolio which we believe is necessary to take advantage of an anticipated rising-rate environment. In general, the level of our short-term investments will be influenced by the timing of deposit gathering, scheduled maturities of wholesale deposits, funding of loan growth when opportunities are presented and the level of our available-for-sale securities portfolio. Please refer to Liquidity and Capital Resources for further discussion.

#### Securities

Total securities, including available-for-sale and held-to-maturity, increased by \$11.8 million to \$189.6 million at September 30, 2016 compared to \$177.8 million at December 31, 2015. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we recognized unrealized gains of \$1.3 million before income taxes through other comprehensive income. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, our overall securities portfolio, including available-for-sale securities and held-to-maturity securities, had an estimated weighted average expected maturity of 2.79 and 2.94 years, respectively. Generally, our investment philosophy remains as stated in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K. We use a third-party pricing service as our primary source of market prices for our securities portfolio. On a quarterly basis, we validate the reasonableness of prices received from this source through independent verification of a representative sample of the portfolio, data integrity validation through comparison of current price to prior period

prices and an expectation-based analysis of movement in prices based upon the changes in the related yield curves and other market factors. No securities within our portfolio were deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of September 30, 2016.



Table of Contents

We sold approximately \$2.2 million of securities issued by government-sponsored enterprises during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. We recognized gains of approximately \$7,000 on the sale of these securities.

**Loans and Leases Receivable**

Loans and leases receivable, net of allowance for loan and lease losses, increased by \$23.6 million, or 1.7%, to \$1.438 billion at September 30, 2016 from \$1.415 billion at December 31, 2015. As of September 30, 2016, non owner-occupied commercial real estate (“CRE”) loans were the largest contributor to loan growth increasing \$46.6 million, or 10.7%, to \$483.5 million from \$436.9 million at December 31, 2015, while total CRE loans increased \$38.7 million, or 4.3%. While we continue to have a concentration in CRE, as CRE loans represent approximately 65% of our total loans as of September 30, 2016, in general our composition of total loans and leases has remained relatively consistent due to balanced growth across our product offerings. As of September 30, 2016, approximately 18% of the CRE loans were owner-occupied CRE. We consider owner-occupied CRE more characteristic of the Corporation’s commercial and industrial (“C&I”) portfolio as, in general, the client’s primary source of repayment is the cash flow from the operating entity occupying the commercial real estate property.

Our C&I portfolio decreased \$7.3 million, or 1.5%, to \$464.9 million at September 30, 2016 from \$472.2 million at December 31, 2015. Specifically, C&I related asset-based loans decreased \$13.7 million, or 8.6%, to \$145.0 million at September 30, 2016, compared to \$158.7 million at December 31, 2015 as several loans were paid off during the nine months ended September 30, 2016. The countercyclical nature of the asset-based lending business may result in increased payoffs and fees collected in lieu of interest in periods of economic stability, with increased loan fundings and interest income during weaker economic markets. We will continue to emphasize actively pursuing C&I loans across the Corporation as this segment of our loan and lease portfolio provides an attractive yield commensurate with an appropriate level of credit risk and creates opportunities for in-market deposit, treasury management and trust and investment relationships which generate additional fee revenue.

While we continue to experience significant competition as banks operating in our primary geographic areas attempt to deploy liquidity, we remain committed to our underwriting standards and will not deviate from those standards for the sole purpose of growing our loan and lease portfolio. Although the pace of loan growth has slowed in recent quarters, even exclusive of the slowing of SBA loan production, we continue to expect our new loan and lease activity to be adequate to replace normal amortization and to continue to grow in future quarters. The types of loans and leases we originate and the various risks associated with these originations remain consistent with information previously outlined in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K. Additional risks specifically related to our SBA loans are set forth in the Risk Factors section of this Form 10-Q.

Non-performing loans increased \$3.4 million, or 15.3%, to \$25.7 million, compared to \$22.3 million at December 31, 2015. The Corporation’s non-performing loans as a percentage of total gross loans and leases measured 1.76% and 1.56% at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Likewise, the ratio of non-performing assets to total assets increased to 1.54% at September 30, 2016, compared to 1.35% at December 31, 2015. Please refer to Asset Quality for additional information.

**Goodwill**

As of September 30, 2016, the Corporation had goodwill of \$10.7 million recorded in connection with our acquisition of Alterra. Goodwill is not amortized but, instead, is subject to impairment tests on at least an annual basis, and more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. A decline in our stock price, decline in the performance of our acquired operations or the occurrence of another triggering event could, under certain circumstances, result in an impairment charge being recorded. Our most recent annual impairment test conducted as of July 1, 2016, utilizing a qualitative assessment, indicated that the estimated fair value of the reporting unit exceeded the carrying value (including goodwill). Depending on market conditions, economic forecasts, results of operations, additional adverse circumstances specific to Alterra or other factors, the goodwill impairment analysis may require additional review of assumptions and outcomes prior to our next annual impairment testing date of July 2017. In the event that we conclude that all or a portion of our goodwill may be impaired, a non-cash charge for the amount of such impairment would be recorded to earnings. Such a charge would have no impact on tangible capital or regulatory capital.



Table of Contents

Deposits

As of September 30, 2016, deposits decreased by \$11.0 million to \$1.566 billion from \$1.577 billion at December 31, 2015. The decrease in deposits was primarily due to a reduction in the level of in-market certificate of deposits and wholesale deposits, which decreased by \$56.0 million, or 9.9%, to \$511.4 million at September 30, 2016 from \$567.5 million at December 31, 2015. The decrease in time deposits was partially offset by an increase in the level of in-market non-maturity deposits, specifically non-interest-bearing and interest-bearing transaction accounts, which increased by \$53.8 million, or 13.5%, to \$450.9 million at September 30, 2016 from \$397.1 million at December 31, 2015. Deposit ending balances associated with in-market relationships will fluctuate based upon maturity of time deposits, client demands for the use of their cash, our ability to service and maintain client relationships and new client deposit relationships.

Our strategic efforts continue to be focused on adding in-market relationships and related transaction deposit accounts. We measure the success of deposit gathering efforts based on our ability to maintain the average balances of our in-market deposit accounts consistent with our current period mix and recent trends. Our Banks' in-market deposits, consisting of all transaction accounts, money market accounts and non-wholesale deposits, are obtained primarily from the South Central, Northeastern and Southeastern regions of Wisconsin and the greater Kansas City area. Of our total average deposits, approximately \$1.129 billion, or 70.3%, were considered in-market deposits for the nine months ended September 30, 2016. This compares to in-market deposits of \$1.042 billion, or 70.3%, for the same period in 2015.

The Corporation's targeted operating range of wholesale deposits to total deposits is 30%-40%. As of September 30, 2016, the ratio of end of period wholesale deposits to end of period total deposits was 28.7%. Consistent with our unique funding strategy, we will continue to use wholesale deposits in specific maturity periods needed to match-fund fixed rate loans, typically three to five years but extending longer depending on client needs, to effectively mitigate interest rate risk measured through our asset/liability management process and to support asset growth initiatives while taking into consideration our operating goals and desired level of wholesale deposits. Refer to Liquidity and Capital Resources for further information regarding our use and monitoring of wholesale deposits.

FHLB Advances and Other Borrowings

As of September 30, 2016, FHLB advances and other borrowings decreased by \$4.8 million, or 13.8%, to \$29.9 million from \$34.7 million at December 31, 2015.



Table of Contents

A summary of our non-accrual loan and lease activity from December 31, 2015 through September 30, 2016 is as follows:

(In Thousands)

Non-accrual loans and leases as of the beginning of the period	\$22,298
Loans and leases transferred to non-accrual status	12,933
Accretion of the fair value discount on purchased credit impaired loans	100
Non-accrual loans and leases returned to accrual status	(454 )
Non-accrual loans and leases transferred to foreclosed properties	—
Non-accrual loans and leases partially or fully charged-off	(3,250 )
Cash received and applied to principal of non-accrual loans and leases	(5,915 )
Non-accrual loans and leases as of the end of the period	\$25,712

We use a wide variety of available metrics to assess the overall asset quality of the portfolio and no one metric is used independently to make a final conclusion as to the asset quality of the portfolio. As of September 30, 2016, non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets increased to 1.54% from 1.35% at December 31, 2015. In the second and third quarters of 2016, deterioration in particular credits originated at Alterra had an impact on the Corporation's loan loss provision and non-performing asset levels at September 30, 2016. Subsequently, management enhanced policies, processes, controls, training, talent and reporting structures to ensure future lending meets the high standards long established within the First Business franchise.

As of September 30, 2016, the Corporation's direct exposure to the energy sector was \$6.7 million, or 0.46% of total gross loans and leases, with no remaining unfunded commitments. This reflects a decrease of \$3.3 million, or 32.9%, compared to \$10.0 million at December 31, 2015 entirely due to payments received. The associated reserve for loan and lease losses related to this portfolio was increased to 23.31% of carrying value as of September 30, 2016 compared to 8.13% as of December 31, 2015. Of this population of energy sector loans and leases, \$5.7 million was considered non-performing as of September 30, 2016 compared to \$7.8 million as of December 31, 2015.

Management believes the portfolio is adequately collateralized, net of specific reserves, as of September 30, 2016. We also monitor early stage delinquencies to assist in the identification of potential future problems. As of September 30, 2016, we believe the payment performance did not point to any new areas of concern, as approximately 99.1% of the loan and lease portfolio was in a current payment status. This metric can change rapidly, however, if factors unknown to us change. We also monitor our asset quality through our established credit quality indicator categories. As we continue to actively monitor the credit quality of our loan and lease portfolios, we may identify additional loans and leases for which the borrowers or lessees are having difficulties making the required principal and interest payments based upon factors including, but not limited to, the inability to sell the underlying collateral, inadequate cash flow from the operations of the underlying businesses, liquidation events or bankruptcy filings. We work proactively with our impaired loan borrowers to find meaningful solutions to difficult situations that are in the best interests of the Banks.

Table of Contents

The following represents additional information regarding our impaired loans and leases:

	As of and for the Nine Months Ended September 30,		As of and for the Year Ended December 31, 2015
	2016	2015	2015
(In Thousands)			
Impaired loans and leases with no impairment reserves required	\$15,829	\$15,657	\$ 15,175
Impaired loans and leases with impairment reserves required	10,615	1,903	8,858
Total impaired loans and leases	26,444	17,560	24,033
Less:			
Impairment reserve (included in allowance for loan and lease losses)	4,636	560	1,113
Net impaired loans and leases	\$21,808	\$17,000	\$ 22,920
Average impaired loans and leases	\$21,103	\$12,947	\$ 11,443
Foregone interest income attributable to impaired loans and leases	\$1,059	\$453	\$ 750
Less: Interest income recognized on impaired loans and leases	373	12	87
Net foregone interest income on impaired loans and leases	\$686	\$441	\$ 663

Non-performing assets also include foreclosed properties. A summary of our current-period foreclosed properties activity is as follows:

(In Thousands)

Foreclosed properties as of December 31, 2015	\$1,677
Loans transferred to foreclosed properties	—
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed properties	(57 )
Net loss on sale of foreclosed properties	(70 )
Increase in impairment valuation	(23 )
Foreclosed properties as of June 30, 2016	\$1,527

Allowance for loan and lease losses

The allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of gross loans and leases was 1.37% as of September 30, 2016 and 1.14% as of December 31, 2015. During the three months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded net charge-offs on impaired loans and leases of approximately \$1.6 million, or 0.44% of average loans and leases annualized, comprised of \$1.7 million of charge-offs and \$32,000 of recoveries. During the three months ended September 30, 2015, we recorded net charge-offs on impaired loans and leases of approximately \$127,000, comprised of \$138,000 of charge-offs and \$11,000 of recoveries. During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, we recorded net charge-offs on impaired loans and leases of approximately \$3.1 million, or 0.28% of average loans and leases annualized, comprised of \$3.3 million of charge-offs and \$177,000 of recoveries. During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, we recorded net charge-offs on impaired loans and leases of approximately \$461,000, or 0.05% of average loan and leases annualized, comprised of \$138,000 of charge-offs and \$11,000 of recoveries.

We will continue to experience some level of periodic charge-offs in the future as exit strategies are considered and executed. Loans and leases with previously established specific reserves may ultimately result in a charge-off under a variety of scenarios. There have been no substantive changes to our methodology for estimating the appropriate level of allowance for loan and lease loss reserves from what was previously outlined in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based upon this methodology, which includes actively monitoring the asset quality and inherent risks within the loan and lease portfolio, management concluded that an allowance for loan and lease losses of \$20.1 million, or 1.37% of total loans and leases, was appropriate as of September 30, 2016. Given ongoing complexities with current workout situations and the measured pace of improvement in economic conditions, further charge-offs and increased provisions for loan and lease losses may be recorded if additional facts and circumstances lead us to a different conclusion. In addition, various federal and state regulatory agencies review the appropriateness of the

allowance for loan and lease losses. These agencies could require certain loan and lease

53

---

Table of Contents

balances to be classified differently or charged off if their credit evaluations differ from those of management, based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, our allowance for loan and lease losses to total non-accrual loans and leases was 78.05% and 73.17%, respectively. Impaired loans and leases exhibit weaknesses that inhibit repayment in compliance with the original terms of the note or lease. However, the measurement of impairment on loans and leases may not always result in a specific reserve included in the allowance for loan and lease losses. As part of the underwriting process, as well as our ongoing monitoring efforts, we endeavor to have appropriate collateral to protect our interest in the related loan or lease. As a result of this practice, a significant portion of our outstanding balance of non-performing loans or leases either does not require additional specific reserves or requires only a minimal amount of required specific reserve, as we believe the loans and leases are adequately collateralized as of the measurement period. In addition, management is proactive in recording charge-offs to bring loans to their net realizable value in situations where it is determined that we will not recover the entire amount of our principal. This practice may lead to a lower allowance for loan and lease losses to non-accrual loans and leases ratio as compared to our peers or industry expectations. Our allowance for loan and lease losses is measured more through general characteristics, including historical loss experience of our portfolio rather than through specific identification and we therefore expect to see this ratio rise as we continue to grow our loan and lease portfolio. Conversely, if we identify additional impaired loans or leases which are adequately collateralized and therefore require no specific or general reserve, this ratio could fall. Given our business practices and evaluation of our existing loan and lease portfolio, we believe this coverage ratio is appropriate for the probable losses inherent in our loan and lease portfolio as of September 30, 2016.



Table of Contents

A tabular summary of the activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses follows:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016		As of and for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
	(Dollars in Thousands)			
Allowance at beginning of period	\$18,154	\$15,199	\$16,316	\$14,329
Charge-offs:				
Commercial real estate				
Commercial real estate — owner occupied	—	—	(41 )	—
Commercial real estate — non-owner occupied	—	—	—	—
Construction and land development	(250 )	—	(948 )	—
Multi-family	—	—	—	—
1-4 family	(9 )	(3 )	(205 )	(94 )
Commercial and industrial	(1,396 )	(135 )	(2,048 )	(448 )
Direct financing leases	—	—	—	—
Consumer and other	—	—	—	—
Home equity and second mortgages	—	—	—	—
Other	(1 )	—	(8 )	(4 )
Total charge-offs	(1,656 )	(138 )	(3,250 )	(546 )
Recoveries:				
Commercial real estate				
Commercial real estate — owner occupied	—	—	—	—
Commercial real estate — non-owner occupied	1	—	74	—
Construction and land development	28	8	28	69
Multi-family	—	—	—	—
1-4 family	2	2	68	6
Commercial and industrial	—	—	2	6
Direct financing leases	—	—	—	—
Consumer and other	—	—	—	—
Home equity and second mortgages	1	1	3	3
Other	—	—	2	1
Total recoveries	32	11	177	85
Net charge-offs	(1,624 )	(127 )	(3,073 )	(461 )
Provision for loan and lease losses	3,537	287	6,824	1,491
Allowance at end of period	\$20,067	\$15,359	\$20,067	\$15,359
Annualized net charge-offs as a % of average gross loans and leases	(0.44 )%	(0.04 )%	(0.28 )%	(0.05 )%

Table of Contents

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Corporation expects to meet its liquidity needs through existing cash on hand, established cash flow sources, its third party senior line of credit and dividends received from the Banks. While the Banks are subject to certain regulatory limitations regarding their ability to pay dividends to the Corporation, we do not believe that the Corporation will be adversely affected by these dividend limitations. The Corporation's principal liquidity requirements at September 30, 2016 were the interest payments due on subordinated and junior subordinated notes. In July 2016, FBB declared a dividend in the amount of \$6.0 million bringing year-to-date dividend declarations to \$10.5 million through September 30, 2016. During the year ended December 31, 2015, FBB declared and paid dividends totaling \$7.0 million. The capital ratios of the Corporation and its subsidiaries continue to meet all applicable regulatory capital adequacy requirements. The Corporation's and the Banks' respective Boards of Directors and management teams adhere to the appropriate regulatory guidelines on decisions which affect their capital positions, including but not limited to, decisions relating to the payment of dividends and increasing indebtedness. The Banks maintain liquidity by obtaining funds from several sources. The Banks' primary sources of funds are principal and interest repayments on loans receivable and mortgage-related securities, deposits and other borrowings, such as federal funds and FHLB advances. The scheduled payments of loans and mortgage-related securities are generally a predictable source of funds. Deposit flows and loan prepayments, however, are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition.

We view on-balance-sheet liquidity as a critical element to maintaining adequate liquidity to meet our cash and collateral obligations. We define our on-balance-sheet liquidity as the total of our short-term investments, our unencumbered securities' fair value and our unencumbered pledged loans. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, our immediate on-balance-sheet liquidity was \$290.3 million and \$331.5 million, respectively. At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Banks had \$31.0 million and \$84.9 million on deposit with the FRB, respectively. Any excess funds not used for loan funding or satisfying other cash obligations were maintained as part of our on-balance-sheet liquidity in our interest-bearing accounts with the FRB, as we value the safety and soundness provided by the FRB. We plan to utilize excess liquidity to fund loan and lease portfolio growth, pay down maturing debt, allow run-off of maturing brokered certificates of deposit or invest in securities to maintain adequate liquidity at an improved margin.

We had \$449.2 million of outstanding wholesale deposits at September 30, 2016, compared to \$487.5 million of wholesale deposits as of December 31, 2015, which represented 28.7% and 30.9%, respectively, of the ending balance of total deposits. While we are committed to our continued efforts to raise in-market deposits and maintain our overall mix of wholesale deposits and in-market deposits, wholesale deposits continue to be an efficient source of funding for the Banks and allow them to gather funds across a larger geographic base at price levels and maturities that are more attractive than local time deposits when required to raise a similar level of in-market deposits within a short time period. Access to such deposits allows us the flexibility to refrain from pursuing single-service deposit relationships in markets that have experienced unfavorable pricing levels. In addition, the administrative costs associated with wholesale deposits are considerably lower than those that would be incurred to administer a similar level of local deposits with a similar maturity structure. During the time frames necessary to accumulate wholesale deposits in an orderly manner, we will use FHLB short-term advances to meet our temporary funding needs. The FHLB short-term advances will typically have terms of one week to one month to cover the overall expected funding demands. Our in-market relationships remain stable; however, deposit balances associated with those relationships will fluctuate. We expect to establish new client relationships and continue marketing efforts aimed at increasing the balances in existing clients' deposit accounts. Nonetheless, we will continue to use wholesale deposits in specific maturity periods, typically three to five years, needed to effectively mitigate the interest rate risk measured through our asset/liability management process or in shorter time periods if in-market deposit balances decline. In order to provide for ongoing liquidity and funding, all of our wholesale deposits are certificates of deposit which generally do not allow for withdrawal at the option of the depositor before the stated maturity with the exception of deposits accumulated through the internet listing service which have the same early withdrawal privileges and fees as do our other in-market deposits. The Banks limit the percentage of wholesale deposits to total deposits in accordance with liquidity policies approved by their respective Boards of Directors. The Corporation's targeted operating range of

wholesale deposits to total deposits is 30%-40%. The Banks were in compliance with their respective policy limits as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

The Banks were able to access the wholesale deposit market as needed at rates and terms comparable to market standards during the nine month period ended September 30, 2016. In the event there is a disruption in the availability of wholesale deposits at maturity, the Banks have managed the maturity structure, in compliance with our approved liquidity policy, so at least one year of maturities could be funded through on-balance-sheet liquidity. These potential funding sources include deposits with the FRB and borrowings from the FHLB or Federal Reserve Discount Window utilizing currently unencumbered securities and acceptable loans as collateral. As of September 30, 2016, the available liquidity was in excess of

## Table of Contents

the stated policy minimum. We believe the Banks will also have access to the unused federal funds lines, cash flows from borrower repayments and cash flows from security maturities. The Banks also have the ability to raise local market deposits by offering attractive rates to generate the level required to fulfill their liquidity needs.

The Banks are required by federal regulation to maintain sufficient liquidity to ensure safe and sound operations. We believe the Banks have sufficient liquidity to match the balance of net withdrawable deposits and short-term borrowings in light of present economic conditions and deposit flows.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, operating activities resulted in a net cash inflow of \$16.8 million. Operating cash flows included net income of \$10.8 million and \$4.5 million of cash inflow from the sale of SBA and residential mortgage loans, net of loans originated for sale. Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was approximately \$42.5 million which consisted of cash outflows to fund net loan growth and reinvestment of cash flows within purchases of additional securities available-for-sale offset by cash inflows from maturities, redemptions and paydowns of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities. Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 was \$19.1 million primarily from net decreases in deposits, cash dividends paid to shareholders and repayment of maturing FHLB advances. Please refer to the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for further details regarding significant sources of cash flow for the Corporation.

### Contractual Obligations and Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2016, there were no significant changes to our contractual obligations and off-balance-sheet arrangements disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. We continue to believe that we have adequate capital and liquidity available from various sources to fund projected contractual obligations and commitments.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest rate risk, or market risk, arises from exposure of our financial position to changes in interest rates. It is our strategy to reduce the impact of interest rate risk on net interest margin and net interest income by maintaining a favorable match between the maturities and repricing dates of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. This strategy is monitored by the Banks' respective Asset/Liability Management Committees, in accordance with policies approved by the Banks' respective Boards of Directors. These committees meet regularly to review the sensitivity of each Bank's assets and liabilities to changes in interest rates, liquidity needs and sources, and pricing and funding strategies.

We use two techniques to measure interest rate risk. The first is simulation of earnings. In this measurement technique the balance sheet is modeled as an ongoing entity whereby future growth, pricing and funding assumptions are implemented. These assumptions are modeled under different rate scenarios that include a simultaneous, instant and sustained change in interest rates. The second measurement technique used is static gap analysis. Gap analysis involves measurement of the difference in asset and liability repricing on a cumulative basis within a specified time frame. In general, a positive gap indicates that more interest-earning assets than interest-bearing liabilities reprice/mature in a time frame and a negative gap indicates the opposite. In addition to the gap position, other determinants of net interest income are the shape of the yield curve, general rate levels and the corresponding effect of contractual interest rate floors, reinvestment spreads, balance sheet growth and mix and interest rate spreads. We manage the structure of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities by adjusting their mix, yield, maturity and/or repricing characteristics based on market conditions. Currently, we do not employ any derivatives to assist in managing our interest rate risk exposure; however, management has the authorization, as permitted within applicable approved policies, and the ability to utilize such instruments should they be appropriate to manage interest rate exposure.

The process of asset and liability management requires management to make a number of assumptions as to when an asset or liability will reprice or mature. Management believes that its assumptions approximate actual experience and considers these assumptions to be reasonable, although the actual amortization and repayment of assets and liabilities may vary substantially. Our economic sensitivity to changes in interest rates at September 30, 2016 has not changed

materially since December 31, 2015.

57

---

## Table of Contents

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

#### Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Corporation's management, with the participation of the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based upon that evaluation, the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2016.

#### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in the Corporation's internal controls over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2016 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. Other Information

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, the Corporation and its subsidiaries are engaged in legal proceedings in the ordinary course of their respective businesses. Management believes that any liability arising from any such proceedings currently existing or threatened will not have a material adverse effect on the Corporation's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following description of risk factors includes any material changes to, and supersedes the description of, risk factors associated with the Corporation's business previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of the 2015 Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, under the heading "Risk Factors."

You should carefully read and consider the following risks and uncertainties. We may encounter risks in addition to those described below, including risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or those we currently deem to be immaterial. The risks described below, as well as such additional risks and uncertainties, may impair or materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

If we do not effectively manage our credit risk, we may experience increased levels of delinquencies, non-performing loans, and charge-offs, which would require increases in our provision for loan and lease losses.

There are risks inherent in making any loan or lease, including risks inherent in dealing with individual borrowers, risks of nonpayment, risks resulting from uncertainties as to the future value of collateral and cash flows available to service debt and risks resulting from changes in economic and market conditions. We cannot assure you that our credit risk approval and monitoring procedures have identified or will identify all of these credit risks, and they cannot be expected to completely eliminate our credit risks. If the overall economic climate in the United States, generally, or our markets, specifically, deteriorates, or if the financial condition of our borrowers otherwise declines, then our borrowers may experience difficulties in repaying their loans and leases, and the level of non-performing loans and leases, charge-offs and delinquencies could rise and require increases in the provision for loan and lease losses, which may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our allowance for loan and lease losses may not be adequate to cover actual losses.

We establish our allowance for loan and lease losses and maintain it at a level considered appropriate by management based on an analysis of our portfolio and market environment. The allowance for loan and lease losses represents our estimate of probable losses inherent in the portfolio at each balance sheet date and is based upon relevant information available to us. The allowance contains provisions for probable losses that have been identified relating to specific relationships, as well as probable losses inherent in our loan and lease portfolio that are not specifically identified.

Additions to the allowance for loan and lease losses, which are charged to earnings through the provision for loan and

lease losses, are determined based on a variety of factors, including an analysis of our loan and lease portfolio by segment, historical loss experience and an evaluation of current economic conditions in our markets. The actual amount of loan and lease losses is affected by changes in economic, operating and other conditions within our markets, which may be beyond our control, and such losses may exceed current estimates.

58

---

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2016, our allowance for loan and lease losses as a percentage of total loans and leases was 1.37% and as a percentage of total non-performing loans and leases was 78.05%. Although management believes the allowance for loan and lease losses is appropriate as of such date, we may be required to take additional provisions for losses in the future to further supplement the allowance, either due to management's decision, based on credit conditions, or requirements by our banking regulators. In addition, bank regulatory agencies will periodically review our allowance for loan and lease losses and the value attributed to non-performing loans and leases or to properties acquired through foreclosure. Such regulatory agencies may require us to adjust our determination of the value for these items. Any significant increases to the allowance for loan and lease losses may materially decrease our net income, which may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

A significant portion of our loan and lease portfolio is comprised of commercial real estate loans, which involve risks specific to real estate values and the real estate markets in general.

At September 30, 2016 we had \$942.9 million of commercial real estate loans, which represented 64.6% of our total loan and lease portfolio. Because payments on such loans are often dependent on the successful operation or development of the property or business involved, repayment of such loans is often more sensitive than other types of loans to adverse conditions in the real estate market or the general economy, which are outside the borrower's control. In the event that the cash flow from the property is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan could be negatively impacted. The deterioration of one or a few of these loans could cause a material increase in our level of non-performing loans, which would result in a loss of revenue from these loans and could result in an increase in the provision for loan and lease losses and an increase in charge-offs, all of which could have a material adverse impact on our net income. Additionally, many of these loans have real estate as a primary or secondary component of collateral. The market value of real estate can fluctuate significantly in a short period of time as a result of economic conditions. Adverse developments affecting real estate values in one or more of our markets could impact collateral coverage associated with the commercial real estate segment of our portfolio, possibly leading to increased specific reserves or charge-offs, which may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Because of the risks associated with commercial real estate loans, we closely monitor the concentration of such loans in our portfolio. If we or our regulators determine that this concentration is approaching or exceeds appropriate limits, we may need to reduce or cease the origination of additional commercial real estate loans, which could adversely affect our growth plans and profitability. In addition, we may be required to sell existing loans in our portfolio, but there can be no assurances that we would be able to do so at prices that are acceptable to us.

Real estate construction and land development loans are based upon estimates of costs and values associated with the completed project. These estimates may be inaccurate, and we may be exposed to significant losses on loans for these projects.

Real estate construction and land development loans, a subset of commercial real estate loans, comprised approximately 11.7% of our gross loan and lease portfolio as of September 30, 2016. Such lending involves additional risks as these loans are underwritten using the as-completed value of the project, which is uncertain prior to its completion, and costs may exceed realizable values in declining real estate markets. Because of the uncertainties inherent in estimating construction costs and the realizable market value of the completed project, it can be relatively difficult to evaluate accurately the total funds required to complete a project and the related loan-to-value ratio. As a result, construction loans often involve the disbursement of substantial funds with repayment dependent, in part, on the success of the ultimate project and the ability of the borrower to sell or lease the property, rather than the ability of the borrower or guarantor to repay principal and interest. If the appraisal of the completed project's value proves to be overstated or market values decline, we may have inadequate security for the repayment of the loan upon completion of construction of the project. If we are forced to foreclose on a project prior to or at completion due to a default, we may not be able to recover all of the unpaid balance of, and accrued interest on, the loan and may incur related foreclosure and holding costs. In addition, we may be required to fund additional amounts to complete the project and may have to hold the property for an unspecified period of time while we attempt to dispose of it.

A large portion of our loan and lease portfolio is comprised of commercial loans secured by various business assets, the deterioration in value of which could increase our exposure to future probable losses.



At September 30, 2016, approximately \$464.9 million, or 31.9%, of our loan and lease portfolio was comprised of commercial loans to businesses collateralized by general business assets, including accounts receivable, inventory and equipment. Our commercial loans are typically larger in amount than loans to individual consumers and, therefore, have the potential for larger losses on an individual loan basis. Additionally, asset-based borrowers are usually highly leveraged and/or have inconsistent historical earnings. Significant adverse changes in various industries could cause rapid declines in values and collectability associated with those business assets resulting in inadequate collateral coverage that may expose us to future losses. An increase in specific reserves and charge-offs may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

In addition, a significant portion of the commercial loans in our portfolio consist of loans issued pursuant to our SBA program, which exposes us to additional risks. In order for a borrower to be eligible to receive an SBA loan, the lender must establish

Table of Contents

that the borrower would not be able to secure a bank loan without the credit enhancements provided by a guaranty under the SBA program. Accordingly, the SBA loans in our portfolio generally have lesser credit characteristics than the rest of our portfolio, and may be at greater risk of default in the event of deterioration in economic conditions or the borrower's financial condition. Because we generally sell the guaranteed portion of SBA loans that we originate, and retain the unguaranteed portion of such loans, we retain credit risk on the entire portion of the SBA loans on our balance sheet, as well as the guaranteed portion that we have sold in the event the SBA determines not to honor its guarantee as a result of loan documentation issues, or otherwise.

Non-performing assets take significant time to resolve and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition, and could result in further losses in the future.

At September 30, 2016, our non-performing loans totaled \$25.7 million, or 1.76% of our gross loan and lease portfolio, and our non-performing assets (which include non-performing loans and foreclosed properties) totaled \$27.2 million, or 1.86% of total assets. The aggregate amount of our non-performing loans and non-performing assets have increased from \$22.3 million and \$24.0 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2015, due to the deterioration of several loans originated at our Alterra subsidiary. There can be no assurances that we will not experience further deterioration in our loan portfolio.

Our non-performing assets adversely affect our net income in various ways. We do not record interest income on non-accrual loans or foreclosed properties, thereby adversely affecting our net income and returns on assets and equity, increasing our loan administration costs and adversely affecting our efficiency ratio. When we take collateral in foreclosure and similar proceedings, we are required to mark the collateral to its then net realizable value, less estimated selling costs, which may result in a loss. These non-performing loans and foreclosed properties also increase our risk profile and the capital our regulators believe is appropriate in light of such risks. The resolution of non-performing assets requires significant time commitments from management and can be detrimental to the performance of their other responsibilities. If we experience increases in non-performing loans and non-performing assets, our net interest income may be negatively impacted and our loan administration costs could increase, each of which may adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

SBA lending is a significant part of our strategic business plan. The success of our SBA lending program is dependent upon the continued availability of SBA loan programs, our status as a preferred lender under the SBA loan programs and our ability to comply with applicable SBA lending requirements.

As an SBA Preferred Lender, we enable our clients to obtain SBA loans without being subject to the potentially lengthy SBA approval process necessary for lenders that are not SBA Preferred Lenders. The SBA periodically reviews the lending operations of participating lenders to assess, among other things, whether the lender exhibits prudent risk management. When weaknesses are identified, the SBA may request corrective actions or impose other restrictions, including revocation of the lender's Preferred Lender status. If we lose our status as a Preferred Lender, we may lose our ability to compete effectively with other SBA Preferred Lenders, and as a result we would experience a material adverse effect to our financial results. Any changes to the SBA program, including changes to the level of guaranty provided by the federal government on SBA loans or changes to the level of funds appropriated by the federal government to the various SBA programs, may also have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Historically we have sold the guaranteed portion of our SBA 7(a) loans in the secondary market. These sales have resulted in our earning premium income and/or have created a stream of future servicing income. There can be no assurance that we will be able to continue originating these loans, that a secondary market will exist or that we will continue to realize premiums upon the sale of the guaranteed portion of these loans. When we sell the guaranteed portion of our SBA 7(a) loans, we incur credit risk on the retained, non-guaranteed portion of the loans.

In the event of a loss resulting from default and the SBA determines there is a deficiency in the manner in which the loan was originated, funded or serviced by the Corporation, the SBA may require the Corporation to repurchase the loan, deny its liability under the guaranty, reduce the amount of the guaranty, or, if it has already paid under the guaranty, seek recovery of the principal loss related to the deficiency from the Corporation, any of which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. To help ensure that our SBA loans meet applicable requirements, we are, in connection with our integration of Alterra, making investments to enhance the

infrastructure, processes, capacity and scalability of the SBA platform.

Our business may be adversely affected by conditions in the financial markets and economic conditions generally. Our operations and profitability are impacted by general business and economic conditions in the United States and, to some extent, abroad. These conditions include short-term and long-term interest rates, inflation, money supply, political issues, legislative and regulatory changes, fluctuations in both debt and equity markets, broad trends in industry and finance, the strength of the United States economy, and uncertainty in financial markets globally, all of which are beyond our control. A deterioration in economic conditions could result in an increase in loan delinquencies and non-performing assets, decreases in

Table of Contents

loan collateral values, and a decrease in demand for our products and services, among other things, any of which could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our business is concentrated in and largely dependent upon the continued growth and welfare of the general geographical markets in which we operate.

Our operations are heavily concentrated in the South Central region of Wisconsin and to a lesser extent the Southeastern and Northeastern regions of Wisconsin and the greater Kansas City area and, as a result, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows are significantly impacted by changes in the economic conditions in those areas. Our success depends to a significant extent upon the business activity, population, income levels, deposits and real estate activity in these markets. Although our clients' business and financial interests may extend well beyond these markets, adverse economic conditions that affect these markets could reduce our growth rate, affect the ability of our clients to repay their loans to us, affect the value of collateral underlying loans and generally affect our financial condition and results of operations. Because of our geographic concentration, we are less able than other regional or national financial institutions to diversify our credit risks across multiple markets. Although, in general, the economy and real estate market we operate in were not affected as severely as some other areas of the United States during the challenging economic environment of recent years, they are not immune to challenging economic conditions that affect the United States and world economies.

Our financial condition and results of operations could be negatively affected if we fail to effectively execute our strategic plan or manage the growth called for in our strategic plan.

Our strategic plan currently calls for, among other things, maintaining strong asset quality while we continue to grow loans and generate in-market deposits to improve our net interest margin and increasing fee income. Our ability to increase profitability in accordance with this plan will depend on a variety of factors including the identification of desirable business opportunities, competitive responses from financial institutions in our markets and our ability to manage liquidity and funding sources. While we believe we have the management resources and internal systems in place to successfully execute our strategic plan, we cannot guarantee that opportunities will be available and that the strategic plan will be successful or effectively executed.

We recently announced the closing of four offices in an effort to reduce annual operating costs. Although we do not have any current definitive plans to do so, expanding into additional communities or attempt to strengthen our position in our current markets through opportunistic acquisitions of similar or complementary financial services organizations. To the extent that we do so, we may experience higher operating expenses relative to operating income from the new operations, or certain one-time expenses associated with the closure of offices, all of which may have an adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. Other effects of engaging in such strategies may include potential diversion of our management's time and attention and general disruption to our business.

To the extent that we grow through new locations we cannot ensure that we will be able to adequately and profitably manage this growth. Acquiring other banks and businesses will involve similar risks to those commonly associated with branching, but may also involve additional risks, including potential exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities of banks and businesses we acquire and exposure to potential asset quality issues of the acquired bank or related business.

Monetary policies and regulations of the Federal Reserve could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition to being affected by general economic conditions, our earnings and growth are affected by the policies of the Federal Reserve. An important function of the Federal Reserve is to regulate the money supply and credit conditions. Among the instruments used by the Federal Reserve to implement these objectives are open market operations in U.S. government securities, adjustments to the discount rate and changes in reserve requirements against bank deposits. These instruments are used in varying combinations to influence overall economic growth and the distribution of credit, bank loans, investments and deposits. Their use also affects interest rates charged on loans or paid on deposits.

The monetary policies and regulations of the Federal Reserve have had a significant effect on the operating results of commercial banks in the past and are expected to continue to do so in the future. The effects of such policies upon our

business, financial condition and results of operations cannot be predicted.

We operate in a highly regulated industry and the laws and regulations that govern our operations, corporate governance, executive compensation and accounting principles, or changes in them, or our failure to comply with them, may adversely affect us.

We are subject to extensive regulation and supervision that govern almost all aspects of our operations. These laws and regulations, among other matters, prescribe minimum capital requirements, impose limitations on our business activities and compensation practices, limit the dividends or distributions that we can pay, restrict the ability of institutions to guarantee our debt and impose certain specific accounting requirements that may be more restrictive and may result in greater or earlier charges to earnings or reductions in our capital than generally accepted accounting principles. Compliance with laws and regulations can be difficult and costly and changes to laws and regulations often impose additional compliance costs. Further,

Table of Contents

our failure to comply with these laws and regulations, even if the failure was inadvertent or reflects a difference in interpretation, could subject us to restrictions on our business activities, fines and other penalties, any of which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We face a risk of noncompliance and enforcement action with the Bank Secrecy Act and other anti-money laundering statutes and regulations.

The Bank Secrecy Act, the Patriot Act and other laws and regulations require financial institutions, among other duties, to institute and maintain effective anti-money laundering programs and file suspicious activity and currency transaction reports as appropriate. The Financial Crimes Enforcement Network, established by Treasury to administer the Bank Secrecy Act, is authorized to impose significant civil money penalties for violations of those requirements and has recently engaged in coordinated enforcement efforts with the individual federal banking regulators, as well as the U.S. Department of Justice, Drug Enforcement Administration and Internal Revenue Service. There is also increased scrutiny of compliance with the rules enforced by the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. Federal and state bank regulators also focus on compliance with Bank Secrecy Act and anti-money laundering regulations.

If our policies, procedures and systems are deemed deficient or the policies, procedures and systems of the financial institutions that we have already acquired or may acquire in the future are deficient, we would be subject to liability, including fines and regulatory actions such as restrictions on our ability to pay dividends and the necessity to obtain regulatory approvals to proceed with certain aspects of our business plan which would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. Failure to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing could also have serious reputational consequences for us.

We are periodically subject to examination and scrutiny by a number of banking agencies and, depending upon the findings and determinations of these agencies, we may be required to make adjustments to our business that could adversely affect us.

Federal and state banking agencies periodically conduct examinations of our business, including compliance with applicable laws and regulations. If, as a result of an examination, a federal banking agency was to determine that the financial condition, capital resources, asset quality, asset concentration, earnings prospects, management, liquidity, sensitivity to market risk or other aspects of any of our operations has become unsatisfactory, or that we or our management is in violation of any law or regulation, it could take a number of different remedial actions as it deems appropriate. These actions include the power to enjoin “unsafe or unsound” practices, to require affirmative actions to correct any conditions resulting from any violation or practice, to issue an administrative order that can be judicially enforced, to direct an increase in our capital, to restrict our growth, to change the asset composition of our portfolio or balance sheet, to assess civil monetary penalties against our officers or directors, to remove officers and directors and, if it is concluded that such conditions cannot be corrected or there is an imminent risk of loss to depositors, to terminate our deposit insurance. If we become subject to such regulatory actions, our business, results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected.

Liquidity risks could affect operations and jeopardize our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our ability to implement our business strategy will depend on our liquidity and ability to obtain funding for loan originations, working capital and other general purposes. An inability to raise funds through deposits, borrowings, the sale of loans and other sources could have a substantial negative effect on our liquidity. Our preferred source of funds consists of client deposits, which we supplement with other sources such as wholesale deposits made up of brokered deposits and deposits gathered through internet listing services. Such account and deposit balances can decrease when clients perceive alternative investments as providing a better risk/return profile. If clients move money out of bank deposits and into other investments, we may increase our utilization of wholesale deposits, FHLB advances and other wholesale funding sources necessary to fund desired growth levels. Because these funds generally are more sensitive to interest rate changes than our targeted in-market deposits, they are more likely to move to the highest rate available. In addition, the use of brokered deposits without regulatory approval is limited to banks that are “well capitalized” according to regulation. If the Banks are unable to maintain their capital levels at “well capitalized” minimums, we could lose a significant source of funding, which would force us to utilize different wholesale funding or potentially sell assets at a time when pricing may be unfavorable, increasing our funding costs and reducing our net interest income and net income.

Our access to funding sources in amounts adequate to finance or capitalize our activities or on terms that are acceptable to us could be impaired by factors that affect us directly or the financial services industry or economy in general, such as disruptions in the financial markets or negative views and expectations about the prospects for the financial services industry. Regional and community banks generally have less access to the capital markets than do national and super-regional banks because of their smaller size and limited analyst coverage. During periods of economic turmoil or decline, the financial services industry and the credit markets generally may be materially and adversely affected by declines in asset values and by diminished liquidity. Under such circumstances the liquidity issues are often particularly acute for regional and community banks, as larger financial institutions may curtail their lending to regional and community banks to reduce their exposure to the risks of other banks.

Table of Contents

Correspondent lenders may also reduce or even eliminate federal funds lines for their correspondent clients in difficult economic times.

As a result, we rely on our ability to generate deposits and effectively manage the repayment and maturity schedules of our loans and investment securities, respectively, to ensure that we have adequate liquidity to fund our operations. Any decline in available funding could adversely impact our ability to originate loans, invest in securities, meet our expenses, pay dividends to our shareholders or fulfill obligations such as repaying our borrowings or meeting deposit withdrawal demands, any of which could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We rely on our management, and the loss of one or more of those managers may harm our business.

Our success has been and will be greatly influenced by our continuing ability to retain the services of our existing senior management and, if we expand, to attract and retain additional qualified senior and middle management. The unexpected loss of key management personnel or the inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future could have an adverse effect on our business and financial results. In addition, our failure to develop and/or maintain an effective succession plan will impede our ability to quickly and effectively react to unexpected loss of key management and in turn may have an adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Interest rate shifts may reduce net interest income and otherwise negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations.

Shifts in short-term interest rates may reduce net interest income, which is the principal component of our earnings. Net interest income is the difference between the amounts received by us on our interest-earning assets and the interest paid by us on our interest-bearing liabilities. In certain scenarios, when interest rates rise, the rate of interest we pay on our liabilities may rise more quickly than the rate of interest that we receive on our interest-bearing assets, which could cause our profits to decrease. However, the structure of our balance sheet and resultant sensitivity to interest rates in various scenarios may change in the future.

Additionally, interest rate increases often result in larger payment requirements for our borrowers, which increases the potential for default. At the same time, the marketability of underlying collateral may be adversely affected by any reduced demand resulting from higher interest rates. In a declining interest rate environment, there may be an increase in prepayments on certain loans as borrowers refinance at lower rates.

Changes in interest rates also can affect the value of loans. An increase in interest rates that adversely affects the ability of borrowers to pay the principal or interest on loans may lead to an increase in nonperforming assets and a reduction of income recognized, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and cash flows. Further, when we place a loan on non-accrual status, we reverse any accrued but unpaid interest receivable, which decreases interest income. Subsequently, we continue to have a cost to fund the loan, which is reflected as interest expense, without any interest income to offset the associated funding expense. Thus, an increase in the amount of non-performing assets would have an adverse impact on net interest income.

Rising interest rates may also result in a decline in value of our fixed-rate debt securities. The unrealized losses resulting from holding these securities would be recognized in other comprehensive income and reduce total stockholders' equity. If debt securities in an unrealized loss position are sold, such losses become realized and will reduce our regulatory capital ratios.

The risk of net interest margin compression is typically heightened during prolonged periods of low short-term interest rates, such as that which the financial service industry has been experiencing in recent years and is expected to continue to face in the near future. This may have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We could recognize impairment losses on securities held in our securities portfolio, goodwill or other long-lived assets.

As of September 30, 2016, the fair value of our securities portfolio was approximately \$190.4 million. Factors beyond our control can significantly influence the fair value of securities in our portfolio and can cause potential adverse changes to the fair value of these securities. For example, fixed-rate securities acquired by us are generally subject to decreases in market value when interest rates rise. Additional factors include, but are not limited to, rating agency downgrades of the securities, defaults by the issuer or individual mortgagors with respect to the underlying securities,



and instability in the credit markets. Any of the foregoing factors could cause other-than-temporary impairment in future periods and result in realized losses. The process for determining whether impairment is other-than-temporary usually requires difficult, subjective judgments about the future financial performance of the issuer and any collateral underlying the security in order to assess the probability of receiving all contractual principal and interest payments on the security. Because of changing economic and market conditions affecting interest rates, the financial condition of issuers of the securities and the performance of the underlying collateral, we may recognize realized and/or unrealized losses in future periods, which could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

As of September 30, 2016, the Corporation had goodwill of \$10.7 million recorded in connection with our acquisition of Alterra. Goodwill is not amortized but, instead, is subject to impairment tests on at least an annual basis, and more frequently if

Table of Contents

an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. A decline in our stock price, decline in the performance of our acquired operations or the occurrence of another triggering event could, under certain circumstances, result in an impairment charge being recorded. Our most recent annual impairment test conducted as of July 1, 2016, utilizing a qualitative assessment, indicated that the estimated fair value of the reporting unit exceeded the carrying value (including goodwill).

Depending on market conditions, economic forecasts, results of operations, additional adverse circumstances specific to Alterra or other factors, the goodwill impairment analysis may require additional review of assumptions and outcomes prior to our next annual impairment testing date of July 2017. In the event that we conclude that all or a portion of our goodwill may be impaired, a non-cash charge for the amount of such impairment would be recorded to earnings. Such a charge would have no impact on tangible capital or regulatory capital.

The Company is a bank holding company and its sources of funds necessary to meet its obligations are limited.

The Company is a bank holding company, and its operations are primarily conducted by the Banks, which are subject to significant federal and state regulation. Cash available to pay dividends to our shareholders, pay our obligations and meet our debt service requirements is derived primarily from our existing cash flow sources, our third party line of credit, dividends received from the Banks, or a combination thereof. Future dividend payments by the Banks to us will require generation of future earnings by the Banks and are subject to certain regulatory guidelines. If the Banks are unable to pay dividends to us, we may not have the resources or cash flow to pay or meet all of our obligations.

Competition from other financial institutions could adversely affect our profitability.

We encounter heavy competition in attracting commercial loan, equipment finance and deposit clients as well as trust and investment clients. We believe the principal factors that are used to attract quality clients and distinguish one financial institution from another include value-added relationships, interest rates and rates of return, types of accounts, service fees, flexibility and quality of service.

Our competition includes banks, savings institutions, mortgage banking companies, credit unions, finance companies, equipment finance companies, mutual funds, insurance companies, brokerage firms and investment banking firms. We also compete with regional and national financial institutions that have a substantial presence in our market areas, many of which have greater liquidity, higher lending limits, greater access to capital, more established market recognition and more resources and collective experience than we do. In addition, some larger financial institutions that have not historically competed with us directly have substantial excess liquidity and have sought, and may continue to seek, smaller lending relationships in our target markets. Furthermore, tax-exempt credit unions operate in most of our market areas and aggressively price their products and services to a large portion of the market. Finally, technology has also lowered the barriers to entry and made it possible for non-banks to offer products and services we have traditionally offered, such as automatic funds transfer and automatic payment systems. Our profitability depends, in part, upon our ability to successfully maintain and increase market share.

We may be adversely affected by the soundness of other financial institutions.

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties and routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including commercial banks, brokers and dealers, investment banks, and other institutional clients. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of a default by a counterparty or client. Any such losses could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our framework for managing risks may not be effective in mitigating risk and loss to us.

Our risk management framework seeks to mitigate risk and loss to us. We have established processes and procedures intended to identify, measure, monitor, report and analyze the types of risk to which we are subject, including liquidity risk, credit risk, market risk, interest rate risk, operational risk, compensation risk, legal and compliance risk, and reputational risk, among others. However, as with any risk management framework, there are inherent limitations to our risk management strategies as there may exist, or develop in the future, risks that we have not appropriately anticipated or identified. Our ability to successfully identify and manage risks facing us is an important factor that can significantly impact our results. If our risk management framework proves ineffective, we could suffer unexpected losses which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We are subject to certain operational risks, including, but not limited to, clients or employee fraud and data processing system failures and errors.

Employee errors and employee and client misconduct, including the improper disclosure or use of client information, could subject us to financial losses or regulatory sanctions and seriously harm our reputation. Misconduct by our employees could include hiding unauthorized activities from us, improper or unauthorized activities on behalf of our clients or improper use of confidential information. It is not always possible to prevent employee errors and misconduct, and the precautions we take to prevent and detect this activity may not be effective in all cases. Employee errors or misconduct could also subject us to financial claims for negligence.

Table of Contents

We maintain a system of internal controls and insurance coverage to mitigate our operational risks, including data processing system failures and errors and client or employee fraud. If our internal controls fail to prevent or detect an occurrence, or if any resulting loss is not insured or exceeds applicable insurance limits, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we are unable to keep pace with technological advances in our industry, our ability to attract and retain clients could be adversely affected.

The banking industry is constantly subject to technological changes with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. In addition to better serving clients, the effective use of technology increases our efficiency and enables us to reduce costs. Our future success will depend in part on our ability to address the needs of our clients by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy client demands for convenience as well as create additional efficiencies in our operations. A number of our competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements, as well as significant economies of scale. There can be no assurance that we will be able to implement and offer new technology-driven products and services to our clients. If we fail to do so, our ability to attract and retain clients may be adversely affected.

Our information systems may experience an interruption or breach in security and cyber-attacks, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

The Corporation relies heavily on internal and outsourced technologies, communications, and information systems to conduct its business. Additionally, in the normal course of business, the Corporation collects, processes and retains sensitive and confidential information regarding our customers. As our reliance on technology has increased, so have the potential risks of a technology-related operation interruption (such as disruptions in our customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan, or other systems) or the occurrence of a cyber-attack (such as unauthorized access to our systems). These risks have increased for all financial institutions as new technologies, the use of the Internet and telecommunications technologies (including mobile devices) to conduct financial and other business transactions have increased, and the sophistication and activities of organized crime, perpetrators of fraud, hackers, terrorists and others have increased. In addition to cyber-attacks or other security breaches involving the theft of sensitive and confidential information, hackers recently have engaged in attacks against large financial institutions, particularly denial of service attacks, which are designed to disrupt key business services, such as customer-facing web sites. We are not able to anticipate or implement effective preventive measures against all security breaches of these types, especially because the techniques used change frequently and because attacks can originate from a wide variety of sources. However, applying guidance from the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (“FFIEC”), the Corporation has analyzed and will continue to analyze security related to device specific considerations, user access topics, transaction-processing and network integrity.

We rely on our advisors and employees to comply with our policies and procedures to safeguard confidential data. The failure of our advisors and employees to comply with such policies and procedures could result in the loss or wrongful use of their clients’ confidential information or other sensitive information. In addition, even if we and our advisors comply with our policies and procedures, persons who circumvent security measures could wrongfully use our confidential information or clients’ confidential information or cause interruptions or malfunctions in our operations.

The Corporation also faces risks related to cyber-attacks and other security breaches in connection with credit card and debit card transactions that typically involve the transmission of sensitive information regarding our customers through various third parties, including merchant acquiring banks, payment processors, payment card networks and its processors. Some of these parties have in the past been the target of security breaches and cyber-attacks, and because the transactions involve third parties and environments such as the point of sale that the Corporation does not control or secure, future security breaches or cyber-attacks affecting any of these third parties could impact us through no fault of its own, and in some cases it may have exposure and suffer losses for breaches or attacks relating to them. Further cyber-attacks or other breaches in the future, whether affecting us or others, could intensify consumer concern and regulatory focus and result in reduced use of payment cards and increased costs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business. To the extent we are involved in any future cyber-attacks or other breaches, our reputation could be affected, which could also have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition

or results of operations.

We are dependent upon third parties for certain information system, data management and processing services and to provide key components of our business infrastructure.

We outsource certain information system, data management and processing functions to third-party providers. These third-party service providers are sources of operational and informational security risk to us, including risks associated with operational errors, information system interruptions or breaches, and unauthorized disclosures of sensitive or confidential client or customer information. If third-party service providers encounter any of these issues, or if we have difficulty exchanging information with them, we could be exposed to disruption of operations, loss of service or connectivity to customers, reputational damage, and litigation risk that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Third-party vendors provide key components of our business infrastructure such as internet connections, network access and core application processing. While we have selected these third-party vendors carefully, we do not control their actions. Any problems caused by these third parties, including as a result of their not providing us their services for any reason or their performing their services poorly, could adversely affect our ability to deliver products and services to our customers and otherwise to conduct our business. Replacing these third party vendors could also entail significant delay and expense.

Our business continuity plans could prove to be inadequate, resulting in a material interruption in or disruption to, our business and a negative impact on our results of operations.

We rely heavily on communications and information systems to conduct our business, and our operations are dependent on our ability to protect our systems against damage from fire, power loss or telecommunication failure. The computer systems and network infrastructure we use could be vulnerable to unforeseen problems. These problems may arise in both our internally developed systems and the systems of our third-party service providers. Any failure or interruption of these systems, whether due to severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism, criminal activity or other factors, could result in failures or disruptions in general ledger, deposit, loan, client relationship management and other systems. While we have a business continuity plan and other policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of a failure, interruption or security breach of our information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failures or interruptions will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures or interruptions of our information systems could damage our reputation, result in a loss of clients, subject us to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose us to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our trust and investment services operations may be negatively impacted by changes in economic and market conditions.

Our trust and investment services operations may be negatively impacted by changes in general economic conditions and the conditions in the financial and securities markets, including the values of assets held under management. Our management contracts generally provide for fees payable for services based on the market value of assets under management. Because most of our contracts provide for a fee based on market values of securities, declines in securities prices will generally have an adverse effect on our results of operations from this business. Market declines and reductions in the value of our clients' trust and investment services accounts could result in us losing trust and investment services clients, including those who are also banking clients.

We are subject to claims and litigation pertaining to our fiduciary responsibilities.

Some of the services we provide, such as trust and investment services, require us to act as fiduciaries for our clients and others. From time to time, third parties could make claims and take legal action against us pertaining to the performance of our fiduciary responsibilities. If fiduciary investment decisions are not appropriately documented to justify action taken or trades are placed incorrectly, among other possible claims, and if these claims and legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to us, we may be exposed to significant financial liability and/or our reputation could be damaged. Either of these results may adversely impact demand for our products and services or otherwise have an adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Negative publicity could damage our reputation and adversely impact our business and financial results.

Reputation risk, or the risk to our earnings and capital due to negative publicity, is inherent in our business. Negative publicity can result from our actual or alleged conduct in a number of activities, including lending practices, information security, management actions, corporate governance, and actions taken by government regulators and community organizations in response to those activities. Negative publicity can adversely affect our ability to keep and attract clients, and can expose us to litigation and regulatory action, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Potential acquisitions may disrupt our business and dilute stockholder value.

While we remain committed to organic growth, we also may consider additional acquisition opportunities involving complementary financial service organizations if the right situation were to arise. Various risks commonly associated with acquisitions, include, among other things:

- Potential exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities of the target company.
- Exposure to potential asset quality issues of the target company.
- Potential disruption to our business.
- Potential diversion of our management's time and attention.
- Possible loss of key employees and clients of the target company.
- Difficulty in estimating the value of the target company.
- Potential changes in banking or tax laws or regulations that may affect the target company.
- Difficulty in integrating operations, personnel, technologies, services, and products of acquired companies.

## Table of Contents

Acquisitions may involve the payment of a premium over book and market values, and, therefore, some dilution of our tangible book value and net income per common share may occur in connection with any future transaction. Furthermore, failure to realize the expected revenue increases, cost savings, increases in geographic or product presence, and/or other projected benefits from an acquisition could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We are subject to changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines.

Our financial performance is impacted by accounting principles, policies and guidelines. Some of these policies require the use of estimates and assumptions that may affect the value of our assets or liabilities and financial results. Some of our accounting policies are critical because they require management to make difficult, subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and because it is likely that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. If such estimates or assumptions underlying our financial statements are incorrect, we may experience material losses.

From time to time, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the SEC change the financial accounting and reporting standards or the interpretation of those standards that govern the preparation of our financial statements. These changes are beyond our control, can be difficult to predict and could materially impact how we report our financial condition and results of operations. Changes in these standards are continuously occurring, and given recent economic conditions, more drastic changes may occur. The implementation of such changes could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

A new accounting standard may require us to increase our allowance for loan losses and may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board has adopted a new accounting standard that will be effective for the Corporation and the Banks for our first fiscal year after December 15, 2019. This standard, referred to as Current Expected Credit Loss, or CECL, will require financial institutions to determine periodic estimates of lifetime expected credit losses on loans, and recognize the expected credit losses as allowances for loan losses. This will change the current method of providing allowances for loan losses that are probable, which may require us to increase our allowance for loan losses, and to greatly increase the types of data we will need to collect and review to determine the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses. Any increase in our allowance for loan losses or expenses incurred to determine the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our internal controls may be ineffective.

Management regularly reviews and updates its internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the controls are met. In addition, if we continue to grow the Corporation, our controls will also need to be updated to keep up with such growth. Any failure or circumvention of our controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could cause us to report a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting and conclude that our controls and procedures are not effective, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

### Risks Related to Investing in Our Common Stock

Our stock is thinly traded and our stock price can fluctuate.

Although our common stock is listed for trading on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, low volume of trading activity and volatility in the price of our common stock may make it difficult for our shareholders to sell common stock when desired and at prices they find attractive. Our stock price can fluctuate significantly in response to a variety of factors including, among other things:

- actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly results of operations;
- recommendations by securities analysts;
- operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors deem comparable to us;
- news reports relating to trends, concerns and other issues in the financial services industry;



perceptions in the marketplace regarding us or our competitors and other financial services companies;  
new technology used, or services offered, by competitors; and  
changes in government regulations.

General market fluctuations, industry factors and general economic and political conditions and events, such as economic slowdowns or recessions, interest rate changes or credit loss trends, could also cause our stock price to decrease regardless of our operating results.

Table of Contents

To maintain adequate capital levels, we may be required to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed and/or could be dilutive to our existing shareholders.

We are required by regulatory authorities to maintain adequate levels of capital to support our operations. In order to ensure our ability to support the operations of the Banks we may need to limit or terminate cash dividends that can be paid to our shareholders. In addition, we may need to raise capital in the future. Our ability to raise capital, if needed, will depend in part on our financial performance and conditions in the capital markets at that time, and accordingly, we cannot guarantee our ability to raise capital on terms acceptable to us. In addition, if we decide to raise equity capital in the future, the interests of our shareholders could be diluted. Any issuance of common stock would dilute the ownership percentage of our current shareholders and any issuance of common stock at prices below tangible book value would dilute the tangible book value of each existing share of our common stock held by our current shareholders. The market price of our common stock could also decrease as a result of the sale of a large number of shares or similar securities, or the perception that such sales could occur. If we cannot raise capital when needed, our ability to serve as a source of strength to the Banks, pay dividends, maintain adequate capital levels and liquidity, or further expand our operations could be materially impaired.

If equity research analysts publish research or reports about our business with unfavorable commentary or downgrade our common stock, the price and trading volume of our common stock could decline.

The trading market for our common stock could be affected by whether equity research analysts publish research or reports about us and our business and what is included in such research or reports. If equity analysts publish research reports about us containing unfavorable commentary, downgrade our stock or cease publishing reports about our business, the price of our stock could decline. If any analyst electing to cover us downgrades our stock, our stock price could decline rapidly. If any analyst electing to cover us ceases coverage of us, we could lose visibility in the market, which in turn could cause our common stock price or trading volume to decline and our common stock to be less liquid.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) None.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

10.1 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement

31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer

31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer

32 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

101 The following financial information from First Business Financial Services, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, and (vi) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST BUSINESS FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

October 28, 2016 /s/ Corey A. Chambas  
Corey A. Chambas  
Chief Executive Officer

October 28, 2016 /s/ Edward G. Sloane, Jr.  
Edward G. Sloane, Jr.  
Chief Financial Officer  
(principal financial officer)

Table of Contents

FIRST BUSINESS FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.

Exhibit Index to Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q

Exhibit Number

10.1 Form of Restricted Stock Agreement

31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer

31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer

32 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

101 The following financial information from First Business Financial Services, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, and (vi) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements