SIEBERT FINANCIAL CORP Form 10-K April 01, 2013

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# Form 10-K

(Mark One)

# x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2012

# o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition	period from	to	

Commission file number 0-5703

# **Siebert Financial Corp.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 11-1796714 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

885 Third Avenue, New York, New York (Address of principal executive offices)

10022 (Zip Code)

(212) 644-2400 Registrant s telephone number, including area code

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of each class
COMMON STOCK, PAR VALUE \$.01 PER SHARE

Name of each exchange on which registered THE NASDAQ CAPITAL MARKET

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

# NONE

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES o NO x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES  $o\ NO\ x$ 

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES x NO o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES o NO x

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant (based upon the last sale price of the Common Stock reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market as of the last business day of the registrant s most recently completed second fiscal quarter (June 30, 2012), was \$3,337,308.

The number of shares of the registrant s outstanding Common Stock, as of March 15, 2013, was 22,088,596 shares.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act on or before April 30, 2013 is incorporated by reference into Part III.

#### **Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as oral statements that may be made by the Company or by officers, directors or employees of the Company acting on the Company s behalf, that are not statements of historical or current fact constitute forward looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and known and unknown factors that could cause the actual results of the Company to be materially different from historical results or from any future results expressed or implied by such forward looking statements, including without limitation: changes in general economic and market conditions; changes and prospects for changes in interest rates; fluctuations in volume and prices of securities; demand for brokerage and investment banking services; competition within and without the discount brokerage business, including the offer of broader services; competition from electronic discount brokerage firms offering greater discounts on commissions than the Company; the prevalence of a flat fee environment; decline in participation in corporate or municipal finance underwritings; limited trading opportunities; the method of placing trades by the Company s customers; computer and telephone system failures; the level of spending by the Company on advertising and promotion; trading errors and the possibility of losses from customer non-payment of amounts due; other increases in expenses and changes in net capital or other regulatory requirements. We undertake no obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date when such statements were made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. An investment in us involves various risks, including those mentioned above and those which are detailed from time to time in our Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

#### PART I

## Item 1. BUSINESS General

Siebert Financial Corp. is a holding company that conducts its retail discount brokerage and investment banking business through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Muriel Siebert & Co., Inc., a Delaware corporation. Muriel F. Siebert, the first woman member of the New York Stock Exchange, is our Chairwoman, Chief Executive Officer and President and owns approximately 90% of our outstanding common stock, par value \$.01 per share (the Common Stock ). For purposes of this Annual Report, the terms Siebert, Company, we, us and our refer to Siebe Financial Corp. and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

Our principal offices are located at 885 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10022, and our phone number is (212) 644-2400. Our Internet address is www.siebertnet.com. Our SEC filings are available through our website at <a href="https://www.siebertnet.com">www.siebertnet.com</a>, where you are able to obtain copies of the Company s public filings free of charge. Our Common Stock trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol SIEB.

#### **Business Overview**

Siebert s principal activity is providing online and traditional discount brokerage and related services to retail investors and, through its wholly owned subsidiary, Siebert Women s Financial Network, Inc. (WFN), also pursuing the mission of becoming a one-stop financial resource aggregating content and communities from women s web sites and professional organizations to provide products, services and information devoted to women s financial needs and added-value resources in support of life stage transitions, entrepreneurship, planning and investing. Through its Capital Markets division, Siebert also offers institutional clients equity execution services on an agency basis, as well as equity and fixed income underwriting and investment banking services. We believe that we are the largest Woman-Owned Business Enterprise (WBE) in the capital markets business in the United States. In addition, Siebert, Brandford, Shank & Co., L.L.C. (SBS), a company in which Siebert holds a 49% ownership interest, is the largest Minority and Women s Business Enterprise (MWBE) in the tax-exempt underwriting business in the United States.

#### The Retail Division

**Discount Brokerage and Related Services.** Siebert became a discount broker on May 1, 1975. Siebert believes that it has been in business and a member of The New York Stock Exchange, Inc. (the NYSE) longer than any other discount broker. In 1998, Siebert began to offer its customers access to their accounts through SiebertNet, its Internet website. Siebert is focus in its discount brokerage business is to serve retail clients seeking a wide selection of quality investment services, including trading through a broker on the telephone, through a wireless device or via the Internet, at commissions that are substantially lower than those of full-commission firms. Siebert clears its securities transactions on a fully disclosed basis through National Financial Services Corp. (NFS), a wholly owned subsidiary of Fidelity Investments.

Siebert serves investors who make their own investment decisions. Siebert seeks to assist its customers in their investment decisions by offering a number of value added services, including easy access to account information. Siebert s representatives are available to assist customers with information via toll-free 800 service Monday through Friday between 7:30 a.m. and 7:30 p.m. Eastern Time. Through its SiebertNet, Mobile Broker, inter-active voice recognition and Siebert MarketPhone services, 24-hour access is available to customers.

**Independent Retail Execution Services.** Siebert and our clearing agent monitor order flow in an effort to ensure that we are getting the best possible trade executions for customers. Siebert does not make markets in securities, nor does it take positions against customer orders.

Siebert s equity orders are routed by its clearing agent in a manner intended to afford its customers the opportunity for price improvement on all orders. The firm also offers customers execution services through various electronic communication networks ( ECNs ) for an additional fee. These systems give customers access to numerous ECNs before and after regular market hours. Siebert believes that its over-the counter executions consistently afford its customers the opportunity for price improvement.

Customers may also indicate online interest in buying or selling fixed income securities, including municipal bonds, corporate bonds, mortgage-backed securities, government sponsored enterprises, unit investment trusts or certificates of deposit. These transactions are serviced by registered representatives.

Retail Customer Service. Siebert believes that superior customer service enhances its ability to compete with larger discount brokerage firms and therefore provides retail customers, at no additional charge, with personal service via toll-free access to dedicated customer support personnel for all of its products and services. Customer service personnel are located in each of Siebert s branch offices. Siebert has retail offices in New York, New York; Jersey City, New Jersey; Boca Raton and West Palm Beach, Florida; and Beverly Hills, California. Siebert uses a proprietary Customer Relationship Management System that enables representatives, no

matter where located, to view a customer s service requests and the response thereto. Siebert s telephone system permits the automatic routing of calls to the next available agent having the appropriate skill set.

**Retirement Accounts.** Siebert offers customers a variety of self-directed retirement accounts for which it acts as agent on all transactions. Custodial services are provided through an affiliate of NFS, the firm s clearing agent, which also serves as trustee for such accounts. Each IRA, SEP IRA, ROTH IRA, 401(k) and KEOGH account can be invested in mutual funds, stocks, bonds and other investments in a consolidated account.

**Customer Financing.** Customers margin accounts are carried through Siebert s clearing agent which lends customers a portion of the market value of certain securities held in the customer s account. Margin loans are collateralized by these securities. Customers also may sell securities short in a margin account, subject to minimum equity and applicable margin requirements, and the availability of such securities to be borrowed. In permitting customers to engage in margin, short sale or any other transaction, Siebert assumes the risk of its customers failure to meet their obligations in the event of adverse changes in the market value of the securities positions. Both Siebert and its clearing agents reserve the right to set margin requirements higher than those established by the Federal Reserve Board.

Siebert has established policies with respect to maximum purchase commitments for new customers or customers with inadequate collateral to support a requested purchase. Managers have some flexibility in the allowance of certain transactions. When transactions occur outside normal guidelines, Siebert monitors accounts closely until their payment obligations are completed; if the customer does not meet the commitment, Siebert takes steps to close out the position and minimize any loss. Siebert has not had significant credit losses in the last five years.

**Information and Communications Systems.** Siebert relies heavily on the data technology platform provided by its clearing agent, NFS. This platform offers an interface to NFS main frame computing system where all customer account records are kept and is accessible by Siebert s network. Siebert s systems also utilize browser based access and other types of data communications. Siebert s representatives use NFS systems, by way of Siebert s technology platform, to perform daily operational functions which include trade entry, trade reporting, clearing related activities, risk management and account maintenance.

Siebert s data technology platform offers services used in direct relation to customer related activities as well as support for corporate use. Some of these services include email and messaging, market data systems and third party trading systems, business productivity tools and customer relationship management systems. Siebert s branch offices are connected to the main offices in New York, New York and Jersey City, New Jersey via a virtual private network. Siebert s data network is designed with redundancy in case a significant business disruption occurs.

Siebert s voice network offers a call center feature that can route and queue calls for certain departments within the organization. Additionally, the systems call manager offers reporting and tracking features which enable staff to determine how calls are being managed, such as time on hold, call duration and total calls by agent.

To ensure reliability and to conform to regulatory requirements related to business continuity, Siebert maintains backup systems and backup data. However, in the event of a wide-spread disruption, such as a massive natural disaster, Siebert s ability to satisfy the obligations to customers and other securities firms could be significantly hampered or completely disrupted. For more information regarding Siebert s Business Continuity Plan, please visit our website at <a href="https://www.siebertnet.com">www.siebertnet.com</a> or write to us at Muriel Siebert & Co., Inc., Compliance Department, 885 Third Avenue, Suite 1720, New York, NY 10022.

Our website has design, navigation, and functionality features such as:

Informative trading screens: Customers can stay in touch while trading, double-check balances, positions and order status, see real time quotes, intraday and annual charts and news headlines automatically as they place orders.

Multiple orders: Customers can place as many as 10 orders at one time.

Tax-lot trading: Our online equity order entry screen allows customers to specify tax lots which display with cost basis and current gain/loss on a real-time positions page.

Trailing stop orders: Customers can enter an order that trails the market as a percentage of share price or with a flat dollar value and the system will execute their instructions automatically.

Contingent orders: Customers can place One-Triggers-Two Bracket and One-Cancels-Other Bracket orders.

Options Wizard and Strategy Builder: Customers can review single and complex options combinations and components of each along with profit/risk potential and impact of time. The Strategy Builder presents real-time debit/credit amounts, potential maximum gain/loss and potential breakeven points by strike price.

An easy-to-install desktop security program that may be installed to help protect against certain types of online fraud such as keylogging and phishing.

#### The Capital Markets Division

Siebert s Capital Markets Group ( SCM ) division serves as a co-manager, underwriting syndicate member, or selling group member on a wide spectrum of securities offerings for corporations and Federal agencies. The principal activities of the Capital Markets Division are investment banking and institutional equity execution services. SCM provides high-quality brokerage service to both institutional investors and issuers of equity and fixed-income securities.

#### Siebert, Brandford, Shank & Co., L.L.C.

Muriel Siebert & Co., Inc. (Siebert) owns 49% of Siebert, Brandford, Shank & Co., L.L.C. (SBS). The remaining 51% is owned by Napoleon Brandford III and Suzanne F. Shank. SBS has been serving the public sector and growing the firm since 1996. SBS provides municipal underwriting and financial advisory services to state and local governments across the nation for the funding of education, housing, health services, transportation, utilities, capital facilities, redevelopment and general infrastructure projects, serving important issuers across the nation. SBS has offices across the nation.

Effective April 19, 2005, Siebert Financial Corp. (SFC) entered into an Operating Agreement with Suzanne Shank and Napoleon Brandford III, the two individual principals (the Principals) of SBS Financial Products Company, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (SBSFPC). Pursuant to the terms of the Operating Agreement, SFC and each of the Principals made an initial capital contribution of 33.33% initial interest in SBSFPC. SBSFPC engages in derivatives transactions related to the municipal underwriting business.

Certain risks are involved in the underwriting of securities. Underwriting syndicates agree to purchase securities at a discount from the initial public offering price. An underwriter is exposed to losses on the securities that it has committed to purchase if the securities must be sold below the cost to the syndicate. In the last several years, investment banking firms have increasingly underwritten corporate and municipal offerings with fewer syndicate participants or, in some cases, without an underwriting syndicate. In these cases, the underwriter assumes a larger part or all of the risk of an underwriting transaction. Under Federal securities laws, other laws and court decisions, an underwriter is exposed to substantial potential liability for material misstatements or omissions of fact in the prospectus used to describe the securities being offered.

#### Advertising, Marketing and Promotion

Siebert develops and maintains its retail customer base through printed advertising in financial publications, broadcast commercials over national and local cable TV channels, as well as promotional efforts and public appearances by Ms. Siebert. Additionally, a significant number of the firm s new accounts are developed directly from referrals by satisfied customers.

### Competition

Siebert encounters significant competition from full-commission, online and discount brokerage firms, as well as from financial institutions, mutual fund sponsors and other organizations, many of which are significantly larger and better capitalized than Siebert. Although there has been consolidation in the industry in both the online and traditional brokerage business during recent years, Siebert believes that additional competitors such as banks, insurance companies, providers of online financial and information services and others will continue to be attracted to the online brokerage industry. Many of these competitors are larger, more diversified, have greater capital resources, and offer a wider range of services and financial products than Siebert. Some of these firms are offering their services over the Internet and have devoted more resources to and have more elaborate websites than Siebert. Siebert competes with a wide variety of vendors of financial services for the same customers. Siebert believes that its main competitive advantages are high quality customer service, responsiveness, cost and products offered, the breadth of product line and excellent executions.

## Regulation

The securities industry in the United States is subject to extensive regulation under both Federal and state laws. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is the Federal agency charged with administration of the Federal securities laws. Siebert is registered as a broker-dealer with the SEC, and is a member of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). Much of the regulation of broker-dealers has been delegated to self-regulatory organizations, principally FINRA and national securities exchanges such as the NYSE, which is Siebert s primary regulator with respect to financial and operational compliance. These self-regulatory organizations adopt rules (subject to approval by the SEC) governing the industry and conduct periodic examinations of broker-dealers. Securities firms are also subject to regulation by state securities authorities in the states in which they do business. Siebert is registered as a broker-dealer in 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico.

The principal purpose of regulation and discipline of broker-dealers is the protection of customers and the securities markets, rather than protection of creditors and stockholders of broker-dealers. The regulations to which broker-dealers are subject cover all aspects of the securities business, including training of personnel, sales methods, trading practices among broker-dealers, uses and safekeeping of customers—funds and securities, capital structure of securities firms, record keeping, fee arrangements, disclosure to clients, and the conduct of directors, officers and employees. Additional legislation, changes in rules promulgated by the SEC and by self-regulatory organizations or changes in the interpretation or enforcement of existing laws and rules may directly affect the method of operation and profitability of broker-dealers. The SEC, self-regulatory organizations and state securities authorities may conduct administrative proceedings which can result in censure, fine, cease and desist orders or suspension or expulsion of a broker-dealer, its officers or its employees.

As a registered broker-dealer and FINRA member organization, Siebert is required by Federal law to belong to the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (SIPC) which provides, in the event of the liquidation of a broker-dealer, protection for securities held in customer accounts held by the firm of up to \$500,000 per customer, subject to a limitation of \$250,000 on claims for cash balances. SIPC is funded through assessments on registered broker-dealers. In addition, Siebert, through its clearing agent, has purchased from private insurers additional account protection in the event of liquidation up to the net asset value, as defined, of each account. Stocks, bonds, mutual funds and money market funds are included at net asset value for purposes of SIPC protection and the additional protection. Neither SIPC protection nor the additional protection insures against fluctuations in the market value of securities.

Siebert is also authorized by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (the MSRB) to effect transactions in municipal securities on behalf of its customers and has obtained certain additional registrations with the SEC and state regulatory agencies necessary to permit it to engage in certain other activities incidental to its brokerage business.

Margin lending arranged by Siebert is subject to the margin rules of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the NYSE. Under such rules, broker-dealers are limited in the amount they may lend in connection with certain purchases and short sales of securities and are also required to impose certain maintenance requirements on the amount of securities and cash held in margin accounts. In addition, those rules and rules of the Chicago Board Options Exchange govern the amount of margin customers must provide and maintain in writing uncovered options.

#### **Net Capital Requirements**

As a registered broker-dealer, Siebert is subject to the SEC s Uniform Net Capital Rule (Rule 15c3-1) (the Net Capital Rule ), which has also been adopted by the NYSE. The Net Capital Rule specifies minimum net capital requirements for all registered broker-dealers and is designed to measure financial integrity and liquidity. Failure to maintain the required regulatory net capital may subject a firm to suspension or expulsion by the NYSE and FINRA, certain punitive actions by the SEC and other regulatory bodies and, ultimately, may require a firm s liquidation.

Regulatory net capital is defined as net worth (assets minus liabilities), plus qualifying subordinated borrowings, less certain deductions that result from excluding assets that are not readily convertible into cash and from conservatively valuing certain other assets. These deductions include charges that discount the value of security positions held by Siebert to reflect the possibility of adverse changes in market value prior to disposition.

The Net Capital Rule requires notice of equity capital withdrawals to be provided to the SEC prior to and subsequent to withdrawals exceeding certain sizes. The Net Capital Rule also allows the SEC, under limited circumstances, to restrict a broker-dealer from withdrawing equity capital for up to 20 business days. The Net Capital Rule of the NYSE also provides that equity capital may not be drawn or cash dividends paid if resulting net capital would be less than 5 percent of aggregate debits.

Under applicable regulations, Siebert is required to maintain regulatory net capital of at least \$250,000. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, Siebert had net capital of \$16.9 million and \$17.8 million, respectively. Siebert claims exemption from the reserve requirement under Section 15c3-3(k)(2)(ii).

## **Employees**

As of March 14, 2013, we had approximately 55 full-time employees, six of whom were corporate officers. None of our employees is represented by a union, and we believe that relations with our employees are good.

#### Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

## Securities market volatility and other securities industry risk could adversely affect our business

Most of our revenues are derived from our securities brokerage business. Like other businesses operating in the securities industry, our business is directly affected by volatile trading markets, fluctuations in the volume of market activity, economic and political conditions, upward and downward trends in business and finance at large, legislation and regulation affecting the national and international business and financial communities, currency values, inflation, market conditions, the availability and cost of short-term or long-term funding and capital, the credit capacity or perceived credit-worthiness of the securities industry in the marketplace and the level and volatility of interest rates. We also face risks relating to trading losses, losses resulting from the ownership or underwriting of securities, counterparty failure to meet commitments, customer fraud, employee fraud, issuer fraud, errors and misconduct, failures in connection with the processing of securities transactions and litigation. A reduction in our revenues or a loss resulting from our underwriting or ownership of securities or sales or trading of securities could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, as a result of these risks, our revenues and operating results may be subject to significant fluctuations from quarter to quarter and from year to year.

## Lower price levels in the securities markets may reduce our profitability.

Lower price levels of securities may result in (i) reduced volumes of securities, options and futures transactions, with a consequent reduction in our commission revenues, and (ii) losses from declines in the market value of securities we held in investment and underwriting positions. In periods of low volume, our levels of profitability are further adversely affected because certain of our expenses remain relatively fixed. Sudden sharp declines in market values of securities and the failure of issuers and counterparties to perform their obligations can result in illiquid markets which, in turn, may result in our having difficulty selling securities. Such negative market conditions, if prolonged, may also lower our revenues from investment banking and other activities. A reduction in our revenues from investment banking or other activities could have a material adverse affect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

#### There is intense competition in the brokerage industry.

Siebert encounters significant competition from full-commission, online and other discount brokerage firms, as well as from financial institutions, mutual fund sponsors and other organizations many of which are significantly larger and better capitalized than Siebert. SBS also encounters significant competition from firms engaged in the municipal finance business. Over the past several years, price wars and lower commission rates in the discount brokerage business in general have strengthened our competitors. Siebert believes that such changes in the industry will continue to strengthen existing competitors and attract additional competitors such as banks, insurance companies, providers of online financial and information services, and others. Many of these competitors are larger, more diversified, have greater capital resources, and offer a wider range of services and financial products than Siebert. Siebert competes with a wide variety of vendors of financial services for the same customers. Siebert may not be able to compete effectively with current or future competitors.

Some competitors in the discount brokerage business offer services which we do not, including financial advice and investment management. In addition, some competitors have continued to offer lower flat rate execution fees that are difficult for any conventional discount firm to meet. Industry-wide changes in trading practices are expected to cause continuing pressure on fees earned by discount brokers for the sale of order flow. Many of the flat fee brokers impose charges for services such as mailing, transfers and handling exchanges which Siebert does not and also direct their execution to captive market makers. Continued or increased competition from ultra low cost, flat fee brokers and broader service offerings from other discount brokers could limit our growth or lead to a decline in Siebert s customer base which would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

#### We are subject to extensive government regulation.

Our business is subject to extensive regulation in the United States, at both the Federal and state level. We are also subject to regulation by self regulatory organizations and other regulatory bodies in the United States, such as the SEC, the NYSE, FINRA and the MSRB. We are registered as a broker-dealer in 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. The regulations to which we are subject as a broker-dealer cover all aspects of the securities business including: training of personnel, sales methods, trading practices, uses and safe keeping of customers funds and securities, capital structure, record keeping, fee arrangements, disclosure and the conduct of directors, officers and employees. Failure to comply with any of these laws, rules or regulations, which may be subject to the uncertainties of interpretation, could result in civil penalties, fines, suspension or expulsion and have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

The laws, rules and regulations, as well as governmental policies and accounting principles, governing our business and the financial services and banking industries generally have changed significantly over recent years and are expected to continue to do so. We cannot predict which changes in laws, rules, regulations, governmental policies or accounting principles will be adopted. Any changes in the laws, rules, regulations, governmental policies or accounting principles relating to our business could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

### We are subject to net capital requirements.

The SEC, FINRA, and various other securities and commodities exchanges and other regulatory bodies in the United States have rules with respect to net capital requirements which affect us. These rules have the effect of requiring that at least a substantial portion of a broker-dealer s assets be kept in cash or highly liquid investments. Our compliance with the net capital requirements could limit operations that require intensive use of capital, such as underwriting or trading activities. These rules could also restrict our ability to withdraw our capital, even in circumstances where we have more than the minimum amount of required capital, which, in turn, could limit our ability to implement growth strategies. In addition, a change in such rules, or the imposition of new rules, affecting the scope, coverage, calculation or amount of such net capital requirements, or a significant operating loss or any unusually large charge against net capital, could have similar adverse effects.

#### Our customers may fail to pay us.

A principal credit risk to which we are exposed on a regular basis is that our customers may fail to pay for their purchases or fail to maintain the minimum required collateral for amounts borrowed against securities positions maintained by them. We cannot assure you that the policies and procedures we have established will be adequate to prevent a significant credit loss.

## We face risks relating to our investment banking activities.

Certain risks are involved in the underwriting of securities. Investment banking and underwriting syndicates agree to purchase securities at a discount from the public offering price. If the securities must be sold below the syndicate cost, an underwriter is exposed to losses on the securities that it has committed to purchase. In the last several years, investment banking firms increasingly have underwritten corporate and municipal offerings with fewer syndicate participants or, in some cases, without an underwriting syndicate. In these cases, the underwriter assumes a larger part or all of the risk of an underwriting transaction.

Under Federal securities laws, other laws and court decisions, an underwriter is exposed to substantial potential liability for material misstatements or omissions of fact in the prospectus used to describe the securities being offered. While municipal securities are exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, underwriters of municipal securities are exposed to substantial potential liability for material misstatements or omissions of fact in the offering documents prepared for these offerings.

### An increase in volume on our systems or other events could cause them to malfunction.

During 2012, we received and processed up to 60% of our trade orders electronically. This method of trading is heavily dependent on the integrity of the electronic systems supporting it. While we have never experienced a significant failure of our trading systems, heavy stress placed on our systems during peak trading times could cause our systems to operate at unacceptably low speeds or fail altogether. Any significant degradation or failure of our systems or the systems of third parties involved in the trading process (e.g., online and Internet service providers, record keeping and data processing functions performed by third parties, and third party software), even for a short time, could cause customers to suffer delays in trading. These delays could cause substantial losses for customers and could subject us to claims from these customers for losses. There can be no assurance that our network structure will operate appropriately in the event of a subsystem, component or software failure. In addition, we cannot assure you that we will be able to prevent an extended systems failure in the event of a power or telecommunications failure, an earthquake, terrorist attack, fire or any act of God. Any systems failure that causes interruptions in our operations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

# We rely on information processing and communications systems to process and record our transactions.

Our operations rely heavily on information processing and communications systems. Our system for processing securities transactions is highly automated. Failure of our information processing or communications systems for a significant period of time could limit our ability to process a large volume of transactions accurately and rapidly. This could cause us to be unable to satisfy our obligations to customers and other securities firms, and could result in regulatory violations. External events, such as an earthquake, terrorist attack or power failure, loss of external information feeds, such as security price information, as well as internal malfunctions such as those that could occur during the implementation of system modifications, could render part or all of these systems inoperative.

#### We may not be able to keep up pace with continuing changes in technology.

Our market is characterized by rapidly changing technology. To be successful, we must adapt to this rapidly changing environment by continually improving the performance, features and reliability of our services. We could incur substantial costs if we need to modify our services or infrastructure or adapt our technology to respond to these changes. A delay or failure to address technological advances and developments or an increase in costs resulting from these changes could have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

#### We depend on our ability to attract and retain key personnel.

Our continued success is principally dependent on our founder, Muriel F. Siebert, Chairwoman, Chief Executive Officer and President, and our senior management. In addition, the continued success of SBS may be dependent on the services of Napoleon Brandford III and Suzanne Shank. The loss of the services of any of these individuals could significantly harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

### Our principal shareholder may control many key decisions.

Ms. Muriel F. Siebert currently owns approximately 90% of our outstanding common stock. Ms. Siebert will have the power to elect the entire Board of Directors and, except as otherwise provided by law or our Certificate of Incorporation or by-laws, to approve any action requiring shareholder approval without a shareholders meeting.

#### There may be no public market for our common stock.

Only approximately 2,200,000 shares, or approximately 10% of our shares outstanding, are currently held by the public. Although our common stock is traded in The NASDAQ Capital Market, there can be no assurance that an active public market will continue.

#### Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

## Item 2. PROPERTIES

Siebert currently maintains five retail discount brokerage offices. Customers can visit these offices to obtain market information, place orders, open accounts, deliver and receive checks and securities, and obtain related customer services in person. Nevertheless, most of Siebert s activities are conducted on the Internet or by telephone and mail.

Siebert operates its business out of the following five leased offices:

Location	Approximate Office Area in Square Feet	Expiration Date of Current Lease	Renewal Terms
Corporate Headquarters, Retail and Investment Banking Office 885 Third Ave. (2) New York, NY 10022	8,514	1/31/14	None
Retail Offices			
9701 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1111 Beverly Hills, CA 90212	1,189	10/31/13	None
4400 North Federal Highway Boca Raton, FL 33431	2,438	Month to Month	None
111 Pavonia Avenue <sup>(1)</sup> Jersey City, NJ 07310	8,141	6/30/15	None
1217 South Flagler Drive, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33401	3,000	9/30/13	None

<sup>(1)</sup> Certain of our administrative and back office functions are performed at this location. We believe that our properties are in good condition and are suitable for our operations.

#### Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In a prior year, Siebert was named as one of the defendants in a class action pending in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York. The complaint was brought on behalf of a class of purchasers in a public offering by Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. of \$1,500,000,000 of 6.75% Subordinated Notes due 2017 (the Notes) as to Siebert and certain smaller issuances of other securities. The complaint asserted that Siebert and other underwriters of the Notes violated Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 in that relevant offering materials were false and misleading. Siebert had agreed to purchase \$15 million of the Notes and \$462,953 of the other securities as an underwriter in the offerings. Siebert and the plaintiffs class agreed to resolve all claims against Siebert in consideration of a \$1 million payment by Siebert. The settlement was accrued as of December 31, 2011, paid into an escrow account during the first quarter of 2012 and approved by the court on May 2, 2012. As certain plaintiffs did not agree to a settlement or purchased securities that were not covered by the settlement, additional liability to Siebert is possible. At present, Siebert is unable to determine the potential liability, if any.

Siebert is party to certain other claims, suits and complaints arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, all such claims, suits and complaints are without merit, or involve amounts which would not have a significant effect on the financial position of the Company.

# Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable

<sup>(2)</sup> We are currently negotiating a three year lease extension until 2017.

#### PART II

# Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock traded on the NASDAQ Global Market until June 29, 2011 when our common stock started trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market, under the symbol SIEB . The high and low sales prices of our common stock reported by NASDAQ during the following calendar quarters were:

	Hi	gh	Low
First Quarter - 2011	\$	1.99	\$ 1.70
Second Quarter - 2011	\$	1.94	\$ 1.52
Third Quarter - 2011	\$	1.84	\$ 1.26
Fourth Quarter - 2011	\$	1.69	\$ 1.32
First Quarter 2012	\$	1.94	\$ 1.35
Second Quarter 2012	\$	1.89	\$ 1.55
Third Quarter 2012	\$	1.90	\$ 1.40
Fourth Quarter 2012	\$	1.67	\$ 1.45

On March 14, 2013, the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market was \$1.48 per share. There were 130 holders of record of our common stock and more than 1,500 beneficial owners of our common stock on March 14, 2013.

On January 4, 2011, we received notice from The NASDAQ Stock Market stating that for more than 30 consecutive business days, the market value of publicly held shares closed below the minimum \$5 million required for continued listing on The NASDAQ Global Market under NASDAQ Rule 5450(b)(1)(C). Market value of publicly held shares is calculated by multiplying the publicly held shares, which is total shares outstanding less any shares held by officers, directors, or beneficial owners of more than 10%, by the closing bid price. Ms. Muriel F. Siebert owns approximately 90% of our outstanding common stock. The value of shares beneficially owned by Ms. Siebert, and the value of shares beneficially owned by other officers and directors of the Company, is therefore excluded from the market value of publicly held shares of the Company.

NASDAQ Rule 5810(c)(3)(D) provided the Company a grace period of 180 calendar days, or until July 5, 2011, to regain compliance with The NASDAQ Stock Market requirement. As the market value of publicly held shares did not reach the required value during the grace period, our common stock was transferred to the NASDAQ Capital Market on June 29, 2011.

# **Dividend Policy**

Our Board of Directors periodically considers whether to declare dividends. In considering whether to pay such dividends, our Board of Directors will review our earnings capital requirements, economic forecasts and such other factors as are deemed relevant. Some portion of our earnings will be retained to provide capital for the operation and expansion of our business.

#### **Issuer Purchases Of Equity Securities**

On January 23, 2008, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to 300,000 shares of our common stock. We will purchase shares from time to time, in our discretion, in the open market and in private transactions. We purchased 830 shares at an average price of \$1.62 in the fourth quarter of 2012.

A summary of our repurchase activity for the three months ended December 31, 2012 is as follows:

Of Shares Paid Per as Part of Publicly Period Purchased Share Announced Plans	Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under The Plan
October 2012 \$ 116,041	183,959
November 2012 499 \$ 1.59 116,540	183,460
December 2012 331 \$ 1.66 116,871	183,129
Taral 920 ¢ 172 116 971	192 120
Total 830 \$ 1.62 116,871  Equity Compensation Plan Information	183,129

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2012 with respect to our equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted- average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights		Number of Securities remaining available for issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))	
Equity compensation plans approved by security	(a)		(b)	(c)	
holders <sup>(1)</sup> Total	400,000 400,000	\$ \$	3.33 3.33	1,700,000 1,700,000	

(1) Consists of our 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan.

#### **Our Performance**

The graph below compares our performance from December 31, 2007 through December 31, 2012 against the performance of the NASDAQ Composite Index and a peer group. The peer group consists of A.B. Watley Group Inc., Ameritrade Holding Corporation, E\*Trade Financial Corporation and The Charles Schwab Corporation.

# **COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN\***

Among Siebert Financial Corp., the NASDAQ Composite Index, and a Peer Group

\*\$100 invested on 12/31/2007 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends. Fiscal year ending December 31.

- 14 -

#### Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

(In thousands except share and per share data)

The Following Selected Financial Information Should Be Read In Conjunction With Our Consolidated Financial Statements And The Related Notes Thereto.

		2012		2011		2010		2009		2008
Income statement data:										
Total Revenues	\$	20,983	\$	20,199	\$	20,770	\$	25,390	\$	29,750
Net loss	\$	(171)	\$	(5,379)	\$	(2,640)	\$	(1,183)	\$	(1,760)
Net loss per share of common stock										
Basic	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.24)	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.05)	\$	(0.08)
Diluted	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.24)	\$	(0.12)	\$	(0.05)	\$	(0.08)
Weighted average shares outstanding (basic)	22	2,100,759	22	2,114,121	2	2,167,218	2	2,193,845	2	2,208,372
Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted)	22	2,100,759	22	2,114,121	2	2,167,218	2	2,193,845	2	2,208,372
Statement of financial condition data (at year end):										
Total assets	\$	33,456	\$	34,823	\$	40,103	\$	44,083	\$	45,579
Total liabilities excluding subordinated borrowings	\$	2,416	\$	3,599	\$	3,477	\$	4,695	\$	4,995
Stockholders equity	\$	31,040	\$	31,224	\$	36,626	\$	39,388	\$	40,584
Cash dividends declared on common shares (1)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	466

<sup>(1)</sup> The Chief Executive Officer of the Company waived the right to receive the dividend in excess of the aggregate amount paid to other shareholders

# Item 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS This discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto contained

This discussion should be read in conjunction with our audited Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto contained elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Our working capital is invested primarily in money market funds, so that liquidity has not been materially affected. The recent financial crisis did have the effect of reducing participation in the securities market by our retail and institutional customers, which had an adverse effect on our revenues. However the stock market improved in 2012 and consequently so has our revenues. However we did have one customer account generate commissions that accounted for 12% of the total revenue. Income of our affiliate, SBS, increased in 2012 to \$1.6 million as a result of an increase in the number of offerings by municipalities. As a result, the Company s income from SBS increased in 2012 to \$774,000. The Company s expenses during 2012, 2011 and 2010 include the costs of an arbitration proceeding commenced by a former employee following the termination of his employment, which remains unresolved. The Company believes that the action is without merit, but the costs of defense, which are included as professional expenses, have adversely affected the Company s results of operations and may continue to affect the results of operations until the action is completed. Competition in the brokerage industry remains intense.

The following table sets forth certain metrics as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, which we use in evaluating our business.

	For the Twelve Months ended December 31,					
Retail Customer Activity:	2012	2011	2010			
Total retail trades:	336,412	423,501	414,485			

Average commission per retail trade: \$ 26.59 \$ 20.71 \$ 20.92

## As of December 31,

		2012	2011
Retail customer balances:			
Retail customer net worth (in billions):	\$	6.5	\$ 6.3
Retail customer money market fund value (in billions):	\$	1.1	\$ 1.0
Retail customer margin debit balances (in millions):	\$	190.9	\$ 243.5
Retail customer accounts with positions:		41,572	44,834
•	5 -		

#### Description:

Total retail trades represents retail trades that generate commissions.

Average commission per retail trade represents the average commission generated for all types of retail customer trades.

Retail customer net worth represents the total value of securities and cash in the retail customer accounts before deducting margin debits.

Retail customer money market fund value represents all retail customers accounts invested in money market funds.

Retail customer margin debit balances represents credit extended to our customers to finance their purchases against current positions.

Retail customer accounts with positions represents retail customers with cash and/or securities in their accounts.

We, like other securities firms, are directly affected by general economic and market conditions including fluctuations in volume and prices of securities, changes and the prospect of changes in interest rates, and demand for brokerage and investment banking services, all of which can affect our profitability. In addition, in periods of reduced financial market activity, profitability is likely to be adversely affected because certain expenses remain relatively fixed, including salaries and related costs, portions of communications costs and occupancy expenses. Accordingly, earnings for any period should not be considered representative of earnings to be expected for any other period.

Competition continues to intensify among all types of brokerage firms, including established discount brokers and new firms entering the on-line brokerage business. Electronic trading continues to account for an increasing amount of trading activity, with some firms charging very low trading execution fees that are difficult for any conventional discount firm to meet. Some of these brokers, however, impose asset based charges for services such as mailing, transfers and handling exchanges which we do not currently impose, and also direct their orders to market makers where they have a financial interest. Continued competition could limit our growth or even lead to a decline in our customer base, which would adversely affect our results of operations. Industry-wide changes in trading practices, such as the continued use of Electronic Communications Networks, are expected to put continuing pressure on commissions/fees earned by brokers while increasing volatility.

We are a party to an Operating Agreement (the Operating Agreement ), with Suzanne Shank and Napoleon Brandford III, the two individual principals (the Principals ) of SBSFPC, a Delaware limited liability company. Pursuant to the terms of the Operating Agreement, the Company and each of the Principals made an initial capital contribution of \$400,000 in exchange for a 33.33% initial interest in SBSFPC. SBSFPC engages in derivatives transactions related to the municipal underwriting business. The Operating Agreement provides that profit and loss will be shared 66.66% by the Principals and 33.33% by us. Operations from SBSFPC is considered to be integral to our operations.

On January 23, 2008, our Board of Directors authorized a buy back of up to 300,000 shares of our common stock. Under this program, shares are purchased from time to time, at our discretion, in the open market and in private transactions. During 2012 we repurchased 8,107 shares of common stock for an average price of \$1.67.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

We generally follow accounting policies standard in the brokerage industry and believe that our policies appropriately reflect our financial position and results of operations. Our management makes significant estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities included in the financial statements. The estimates relate primarily to revenue and expense items in the normal course of business as to which we receive no confirmations, invoices, or other documentation, at the time the books are closed for a period. We use our best judgment, based on our knowledge of revenue transactions and expenses incurred, to estimate the amount of such revenue and expenses. We are not aware of any material differences between the estimates used in closing our books for the last five years and the actual amounts of revenue and expenses incurred when we subsequently receive the actual confirmations, invoices or other documentation. Estimates are also used in determining the useful lives of intangibles assets, and the fair market value of intangible assets. Our management believes that its estimates are reasonable.

#### **Results of Operations**

## Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

Revenues. Total revenues for 2012 were \$21.0 million, an increase of \$784,000, or 3.9%, from 2011. Commission and fee income increased \$316,000, or 2.2%, from the prior year to \$14.6 million primarily due to an increase in average commission charged per trade as a result of an increase in retail options trading by one customer, which accounted for approximately 18% of total commission and fees, as well as an increase in our institutional trading commissions and our commission recapture operations offset by a decrease in margin debit rebate as a result of lower daily average debit balances and 12B-1 fees.

Investment banking revenues increased \$116,000, or 3.1%, from the prior year to \$3.9 million in 2012 due to our participation in more new issues in the equity and debt capital markets.

Trading profits increased \$355,000, or 17.7%, from the prior year to \$2.4 million in 2012 primarily due to an overall increase in institutional and retail customer trading volume primarily in the debt markets.

Income from interest and dividends decreased \$3,000, or 3.8%, from the prior year to \$76,000 in 2012 primarily due to lower cash balances.

Expenses. Total expenses for 2012 were \$21.9 million, a decrease of \$3.7 million, or 14.3%, from the prior year.

Employee compensation and benefit costs increased \$52,000, or 0.5%, from the prior year to \$10.0 million in 2012. This increase was due to increases in commissions paid based on production offset by the lower cost of health insurance and FICA as a well as compensation as a result in an across the board reduction in headcount.

Clearing and floor brokerage fees decreased \$100,000, or 3.5%, from the prior year to \$2.7 million in 2012 primarily due to lower retail trading volumes as well as execution charges for institutional equity customers.

Professional fees decreased \$2.0 million, or 38.6% from the prior year to \$3.1 million in 2012 primarily due to a decrease in legal fees relating to a dispute with a former employee offset by increases in consulting fees relating to our Information Technology department and our commission recapture business.

Advertising and promotion expense increased \$16,000, or 4.0%, from the prior year to \$418,000 in 2012 due to an increase in online advertising.

Communications expense decreased \$543,000, or 25.3%, from the prior year to \$1.6 million in 2012 due to a decrease in Bloomberg devices resulting from fewer employees in the Institutional Trading Department and the closing of our Surfside and Naples branches in Florida during the fourth quarter of 2011, as well as the elimination of costs associated with the discontinuance of our website developed and maintained by a software vendor as of June 2012.

Occupancy costs decreased \$188,000, or 17.2%, from the prior year to \$907,000 in 2012 due to the decrease in rents in our New Jersey office and decrease in our utilities costs as well as the closing of our Surfside and Naples branches in Florida during the fourth quarter of 2011.

Impairment of intangibles of \$300,000 in 2012 was the result of the Company writing down the carrying value of its unamortized intangible assets to fair value.

Write off of software development costs of \$433,000 was due to the Company s discontinuation of its relationship with a software vendor on June 30, 2012, which had developed and maintained our website. As a result, the Company wrote off its remaining unamortized carrying value of development costs of \$433,000. Effective July 1, 2012, such services are provided by our clearing broker.

Other general and administrative expenses decreased \$677,000, or 22.2%, from the prior year to \$2.4 million in 2012 due to an accrued reserve relating to any additional loss due to settlement of litigation and a decrease in depreciation, computer updates, data storage and SIPC dues, offset by increases in office expense, travel and entertainment, insurance & equipment repairs.

Income from our equity investment in SBS, an entity in which Siebert holds a 49% equity interest, for 2012 was \$774,000 compared to income of \$8,000 for 2011, an increase of \$766,000, primarily due to SBS participating in more municipal bond offerings as senior- and co-manager. Income from our equity investment in SBSFPC,

an entity in which we hold a 33% equity interest, for 2012 was \$32,000 as compared to income of \$21,000 from the same period in 2011. This increase was principally due to a gain recorded by SBSFPC on termination of swap positions and marked to market of positions. Results of operations of equity investees is considered to be integral to our operations and material to the results of operations.

*Taxes.* The tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$34,000 and \$23,000, respectively. The provision for income taxes for 2012 represents a state assessment of \$34,000 based on income relating to years 2007, 2008 and 2009 based on a tax examination completed by New York State in 2012. The Company has recorded a valuation allowance to fully offset our deferred tax asset at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

#### Year Ended December 31, 2011 Compared To Year Ended December 31, 2010

*Revenues*. Total revenues for 2011 were \$20.2 million, a decrease of \$571,000, or 2.8%, from 2010. Commission and fee income decreased \$2.8 million, or 16.5%, from the prior year to \$14.3 million primarily due to recording \$3 million as commission and fee income as part of our negotiations with our primary clearing firm for a three year Fully Disclosed Clearing Agreement in the second quarter of 2010.

Investment banking revenues increased \$1.6 million, or 69.8%, from the prior year to \$3.8 million in 2011 due to our participation in more new issues in the equity and debt capital markets.

Trading profits increased \$768,000, or 62.1%, from the prior year to \$2.0 million primarily due to an increase in trading volume primarily in the debt markets and the addition of debt sales-traders in the first quarter of 2011.

Income from interest and dividends decreased \$72,000, or 47.7%, from the prior year to \$79,000 primarily due to lower yields on investments in money market funds and lower cash balances and interest earned in 2010 for a subordinated loan that was provided to an affiliate.

Expenses. Total expenses for 2011 were \$25.6 million, a decrease of \$295,000, or 1.1%, from the prior year.

Employee compensation and benefit costs increased \$804,000, or 8.8%, from the prior year to \$10.0 million. This increase was due to increases in commissions paid based on production and the cost of health insurance offset by an across the board reduction in headcount.

Clearing and floor brokerage fees decreased \$297,000, or 9.5%, from the prior year to \$2.8 million primarily due to the execution of a Fully Disclosed Clearing Agreement with our primary clearing firm in the second quarter of 2010 which reduced our fees for clearing costs.

Professional fees decreased \$1.5 million, or 22.4% from the prior year to \$5.1 million in 2011 primarily due to a decrease in legal fees relating to a dispute with a former employee and consulting fees relating to Sarbanes-Oxley compliance, offset by an increase in consulting fees relating to commission recapture business.

Advertising and promotion expense increased \$2,000, or 0.5%, from the prior year to \$402,000 due to an increase in online advertising.

Communications expense decreased \$215,000, or 9.1%, from the prior year to \$2.1 million primarily due to a decrease in hosting and communication costs associated with our website.

Occupancy costs decreased \$179,000, or 14.1%, from the prior year to \$1.1 million due to a decrease in rents in the New York and New Jersey offices and the closing of our Boston office in 2010.

Impairment of intangibles were the result of the Company writing down the carrying value of its unamortized intangible assets to fair value and recording a related impairment loss in 2010.

Other general and administrative expenses increased \$200,000, or 7.0%, from the prior year to \$3.1 million primarily due to a reserve accrued for relating to any additional loss due to settlement of litigation offset by a decrease in office expense, placement fees, depreciation, registration fees, travel and entertainment, supplies and transportation.

Provision for loss related to settlement of litigation for the year ended December 31, 2011 amounted to \$1 million. In a prior year, Siebert had been named as one of the defendants in a class action pending in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York. Among other claims, the third amended complaint in the action asserted on behalf of a class of purchasers in a public offering of \$1,500,000,000, 6.75% Subordinated Notes due 2017 (the Notes), issued by Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc., and certain smaller issuances of other securities that Siebert and other underwriters of the Notes violated Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 and other applicable law in that relevant offering materials were false and misleading. Siebert had purchased \$15 million of the Notes and \$462,953 of other securities as an underwriter in the offerings. Siebert and the other underwriters moved to dismiss the third amended complaint on various grounds. The Court granted in part and denied in part the motion by an order dated July 27, 2011. On November 3, 2011, Siebert and the plaintiffs class agreed to resolve all claims

against Siebert in consideration of a \$1 million payment by Siebert. As of December 31, 2011, the settlement remained subject to court approval and the Company had accrued a \$1 million provision for

loss to reflect the settlement. As certain defendants did not agree to a settlement, additional liability to the Company is possible. At present, we are uncertain as to the potential liability, if any, in connection with the non-settling defendants.

Income from our equity investment in SBS, an entity in which Siebert holds a 49% equity interest, for 2011 was \$8,000 compared to income of \$4.1 million for 2010, a decrease of \$4.0 million, primarily due to SBS participating in fewer municipal bond offerings as senior- and co-manager. This decrease was attributable to a sharp decline in the number of offerings by municipalities due to investor concerns over defaults by municipalities at the state and local level and the expiration of the Build America Bonds program. Income from our equity investment in SBSFPC, an entity in which we hold a 33% equity interest, for 2011 was \$21,000 as compared to a loss of \$24,000 from the same period in 2010. This increase was principally due to a gain recorded by SBSFPC on termination of a swap position. Results of operations of equity investees is considered to be integral to our operations and material to the results of operations.

Taxes. The tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2011and 2010 was \$23,000 and \$1.6 million, respectively. The tax provision for 2011 of \$23,000 principally represents various minimum state taxes based on capital. The Company increased its valuation allowance in 2011 by \$2.2 million to fully offset any tax benefit resulting from the 2011 loss before benefit of \$5.4 million. The tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2010 was incurred due to the recording of a full valuation allowance on deferred taxes of \$2.1 million based on recent losses and the likelihood of realization.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our assets are highly liquid, consisting generally of cash and money market funds. Our total assets at December 31, 2012 were \$33.5 million, of which we regarded \$21.1 million, or 63.0%, as highly liquid.

Siebert is subject to the net capital requirements of the SEC, the NYSE and other regulatory authorities. At December 31, 2012, Siebert s regulatory net capital was \$17.0 million, which was \$16.7 million in excess of its minimum capital requirement of \$250,000.

Siebert has entered into a Secured Demand Note Collateral Agreement with SBS under which it is obligated to loan to SBS up to \$1.2 million on a subordinated basis collateralized by cash equivalents of approximately \$1.5 million as of December 31, 2012. Amounts obligated to be loaned by Siebert under the facility are reflected on our balance sheet as cash equivalents - restricted . SBS pays Siebert interest on this amount at the rate of 4% per annum. The facility expires on August 31, 2014 at which time SBS is obligated to repay to Siebert any amounts borrowed by SBS thereunder.

### **Contractual Obligations**

Below is a table that presents our obligations and commitments at December 31, 2012:

	Payment Due By Period							
		Less Than			More Than			
Contractual Obligations	Total	1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	Five Years			
Operating lease obligations	\$ 1,111,000	\$ 825,000	\$ 286,000	\$ 0	\$ 0			
Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements								

Retail customer transactions are cleared through clearing brokers on a fully disclosed basis. If customers do not fulfill their contractual obligations, the clearing broker may charge Siebert for any loss incurred in connection with the purchase or sale of securities at prevailing market prices to satisfy the customer obligations. Siebert regularly monitors the activity in its customer accounts for compliance with its margin requirements. Siebert is exposed to the risk of loss on unsettled customer transactions if customers and other counterparties are unable to fulfill their contractual obligations. There were no material losses for unsettled customer transactions in 2012.

# Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK Financial Instruments Held For Trading Purposes:

Through Siebert, we maintain inventories in exchange-listed equity securities and municipal securities on both a long and short basis. We did not have any short positions at December 31, 2012. The Company does not directly engage in derivative transactions, has no interest in any special purpose entity and has no liabilities, contingent or otherwise, for the debt of another entity except for Siebert s obligation under its Secured Demand Note Collateral Agreement of \$1.2 million executed in favor of SBS. SBS pays Siebert interest on this amount at the rate of 4% per annum. Siebert earned interest of \$48,000, \$48,000 and \$73,000 in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, from SBS.

## **Financial Instruments Held For Purposes Other Than Trading:**

We generally invest working capital temporarily in dollar denominated money market funds and commercial paper. These investments are not subject to material changes in value due to interest rate movements.

Retail customer transactions are cleared through clearing brokers on a fully disclosed basis. If customers do not fulfill their contractual obligations, the clearing broker may charge Siebert for any loss incurred in connection with the purchase or sale of securities at prevailing market prices to satisfy the customers—obligations. Siebert regularly monitors the activity in its customer accounts for compliance with its margin requirements. Siebert is exposed to the risk of loss on unsettled customer transactions if customers and other counterparties are unable to fulfill their contractual obligations. There were no material losses for unsettled customer transactions in 2012.

#### Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See financial statements and supplementary data required pursuant to this item beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

# Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

#### Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of Securities Exchange of 1934, as amended. Based on that evaluation, our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that the information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and to ensure that information required to be disclosed is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding timely disclosure.

#### Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as that term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)). To evaluate the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, we use the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO Framework). Using the COSO Framework, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2012.

#### **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the most recently completed fiscal quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **Limitation of the Effectiveness of Internal Controls**

None

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None

**PART III** 

## Item 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

(a) Identification of Directors

This information is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed by the Company pursuant to Regulation 14A on or prior to April 30, 2013.

(b) Identification of Executive Officers

Name	Age	Position
Muriel F. Siebert	80	Chairwoman, Chief Executive Officer and President
Ameen Esmail	54	Executive Vice President and Director of Business Development
Joseph M. Ramos, Jr.	54	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Jeanne Rosendale	48	Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Timothy O Leary	50	Executive Vice President
Daniel Iesu	53	Secretary - 20 -

Certain information regarding each executive officer s business experience is set forth below.

Muriel F. Siebert has been Chairwoman, Chief Executive Officer, President and a director of Muriel Siebert & Co., Inc. since 1969 and of Siebert Financial Corp. (the Company) since November 8, 1996. She is a director of the New York State Business Council, and the Greater New York Council of the Boy Scouts of America. On December 28, 1967, Ms. Siebert became the first woman member of the New York Stock Exchange. Ms. Siebert served as Superintendent of Banks of the State of New York from 1977 to 1982. In March 2009, Ms. Siebert was inducted into the U.S. Business Hall of Fame. Ms. Siebert previously served on the executive committee of the Economic Club of New York, of which she is still a member, and formerly served on the New York State Commission on Judicial Nomination, which is involved in the selection of Associate Judges for the Court of Appeals. She is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, Committee of 200 (an international organization of pre-eminent businesswomen), the International Women s Forum and the New York Women s Forum of which she was a founder and former president. Ms. Siebert provides expertise on financial brokerage matters, and is a sought-after speaker on current financial matters and a frequent commentator on the major financial news networks.

Ameen Esmail has been Executive Vice President and Director of Business Development since July 3, 2003. From 1984 to 1996, Mr. Esmail served as an Executive Vice President of Siebert. From 1996 to 2003, Mr. Esmail worked as an independent consultant servicing the financial securities industry. Mr. Esmail earned a MBA from New York University s Stern s Graduate School of Business in 2000.

Joseph M. Ramos, Jr. has been Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Assistant Secretary of Siebert since February 10, 2003 and Chief Financial Officer of Siebert, Brandford, Shank, & Co., L.L.C. since April 20, 2009. From May 1999 to February 2002, Mr. Ramos served as Chief Financial Officer of Internet Financial Services, Inc. From November 1996 to May 1999, Mr. Ramos served as Chief Financial Officer of Nikko Securities International, Inc. From September 1987 to March 1996, Mr. Ramos worked at Cantor Fitzgerald and held various accounting and management positions, the last as Chief Financial Officer of their registered broker-dealer based in Los Angeles. From October 1982 to September 1987, Mr. Ramos was an audit manager for Deloitte & Touche LLP, a public accounting firm. Mr. Ramos is a Certified Public Accountant licensed in the State of New York.

Jeanne M. Rosendale has been Executive Vice President and General Counsel of Siebert since May 3, 2004. From February 2003 to April 2004, Ms. Rosendale served as Global Director Compliance for Knight Equity Markets. From 2001 through the end of 2002, Ms. Rosendale served as Managing Director, General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer for TD Securities (USA) Inc. Ms. Rosendale s background includes senior level legal positions with Citigroup and the law firm Weil, Gotshal & Manges, LLP. Ms. Rosendale received both her B.A. and J.D., with honors, from Fordham University. She is active in various industry groups such as the SIA, the Bond Market Association, the LSTA and ISDA.

Timothy O Leary joined Siebert on June 6, 2007 and was appointed an Executive Vice President in April 2008. Mr. O Leary oversees, Retail and Branch Operations, Marketing and Business Development. From March 2006 to June 2007, Mr. O Leary was a financial consultant with Smith Barney and from January 2003 to January 2006, Mr. O Leary was the President/Owner of Ironvilla Development Corporation, a residual real estate development company. From November 2001 to January 2003, Mr. O Leary was the Senior Vice President at Datek Online, Inc. From October 2000 to November 2001, Mr. O Leary was the Managing Director of Operations at Josephthal & Co., Inc. where he was responsible for all facets of the brokerage operations. From March 1985 to October 2000, Mr. O Leary was with TD Waterhouse, Inc., the last five years as the Senior Vice President of Retail Management.

Daniel Iesu has been Secretary of Siebert since October 1996 and the Company since November 8, 1996. He has been Controller of Siebert since 1989.

(c) Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

This information is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A on or prior to April 30, 2013.

#### (d) Code of Ethics

We have adopted a financial code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and all other employees performing similar functions. This financial code of ethics is posted on our website. The Internet address for our website is http://www.siebertnet.com. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this code of ethics by either filing a Form 8-K or posting such information on our website, at the address and location specified above, within four business days following the date of such amendment or waiver.

The information required by this item not set forth herein is incorporated by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A on or prior to April 30, 2013.

#### Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A on or prior to April 30, 2013.

# Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A on or prior to April 30, 2013.

#### Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A on or prior to April 30, 2013.

#### Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required in this item is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A on or prior to April 30, 2013.

#### **PART IV**

### Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K filed as part of, or incorporated by reference in, this Annual Report are listed in the accompanying Exhibit Index.

- (a) The following documents are filed as part of this report:
- 1. Financial Statements

The consolidated Financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 commence on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

None.

3. Exhibits

The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K filed as part of, or incorporated by reference in, this report are listed in the accompanying Exhibit Index. Exhibit Numbers 10.1, 10.2 and 10.6 are management contracts, compensatory plans or arrangements.

# INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
SIEBERT FINANCIAL CORP.	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition at December 31, 2012 and 2011	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012	F-5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-6
SIEBERT, BRANDFORD, SHANK & CO., L.L.C.	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-15
Statements of Financial Condition at December 31, 2012 and 2011	F-16
Statements of Operations for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012	F-17
Statements of Changes in Members Capital for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012	F-18
Statements of Cash Flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012	F-19
Notes to Financial Statements	F-20

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders Siebert Financial Corp.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of Siebert Financial Corp. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Siebert Financial Corp. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ EisnerAmper LLP

New York, New York March 29, 2013

# SIEBERT FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

		2012		2011
ASSETS				
Cach and each equivalents	•	18 002 000	¢	21 167 000
Cash and cash equivalents Cash equivalents - restricted	Ф	18,902,000 1,532,000	Ф	21,167,000 1,532,000
Receivable from brokers		1,923,000		1,033,000
Securities owned, at fair value		255,000		250,000
Furniture, equipment and leasehold improvements, net		312,000		757,000
Investments in and advances to affiliates		9,304,000		8,619,000
Prepaid expenses and other assets		900,000		827,000
Intangibles, net		328,000		638,000
	\$	33,456,000	\$	34,823,000
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	2,416,000	\$	3,599,000
Commitments and contingent liabilities - Note I				
Stockholders equity:				
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 49,000,000 shares authorized, 23,211,846 shares issued, 22,097,392				
and 22,105,499 shares outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively		232,000		232,000
Additional paid-in capital		19,490,000		19,490,000
Retained earnings		16,059,000		16,230,000
Less: 1,114,454 and 1,106,347 shares of treasury stock, at cost, at December 31, 2012 and 2011,				
respectively		(4,741,000)		(4,728,000)
		31,040,000		31,224,000
	\$	33,456,000	\$	34,823,000

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-2

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

# Year Ended December 31,

		2012		2011		2010	
Revenue:							
Commissions and fees	\$	14,630,000	\$	14,314,000	\$	17,144,000	
Investment banking		3,917,000		3,801,000		2,238,000	
Trading profits		2,360,000		2,005,000		1,237,000	
Interest and dividends		76,000		79,000		151,000	
		20,983,000		20,199,000		20,770,000	
Expenses:							
Employee compensation and benefits		10,045,000		9,993,000		9,189,000	
Clearing fees, including floor brokerage		2,742,000		2,842,000		3,139,000	
Professional fees		3,106,000		5,057,000		6,517,000	
Advertising and promotion		418,000		402,000		400,000	
Communications		1,601,000		2,144,000		2,359,000	
Occupancy		907,000		1,095,000		1,274,000	
Impairment of intangibles		300,000		1,0,2,000		150,000	
Write off of software development costs		433,000				100,000	
Other general and administrative		2,374,000		3,051,000		2,851,000	
Provision for loss related to settlement of litigation		2,57.,000		1,000,000		2,001,000	
		21,926,000		25,584,000		25,879,000	
Income from equity investees		806,000		29,000		4,078,000	
Loss before income taxes		(137,000)		(5,356,000)		(1,031,000)	
Income tax expense		34,000		23,000		1,609,000	
Net loss	\$	(171,000)	\$	(5,379,000)	\$	(2,640,000)	
Net loss per share of common stock basic and diluted	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.24)	\$	(0.12)	
	Ψ	(0.01)	Ψ	(0.27)	Ψ	(0.12)	
Weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted 22,100,759 22,114,121 22,167,215 See notes to consolidated financial statements.							

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

	Common Stock			Treas					
	Number Of Shares	\$.01 Par Value	Additional Paid -In Capital	Retained Earnings	Number Of Shares	Amount	Total		
Balance - January 1, 2010	23,211,846 \$	232,000	\$ 19,474,000	\$ 24,249,000	1,026,521	\$ (4,567,000)	\$ 39,388,000		
Net loss				(2,640,000)			(2,640,000)		
Treasury share purchases					62,647	(132,000)	(132,000)		
Stock based compensation			10,000				10,000		
Balance - December 31, 2010	23,211,846	232,000	19,484,000	21,609,000	1,089,168	(4,699,000)	36,626,000		
Net loss				(5,379,000)			(5,379,000)		
Treasury share purchases					17,179	(29,000)	(29,000)		
Stock based compensation			6,000				6,000		
Balance - December 31, 2011	23,211,846	232,000	19,490,000	16,230,000	1,106,347	(4,728,000)	31,224,000		
Net loss				(171,000)			(171,000)		
Treasury share purchases					8,107	(13,000)	(13,000)		
Balance - December 31, 2012	23,211,846 \$	232,000	\$ 19,490,000	\$ 16,059,000	1,114,454	\$ (4,741,000)	\$ 31,040,000		
See notes to consolidated financial statements.									

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## Year Ended December 31,

Cash Flows From Operating Activities:			2012	2011			2010		
Net loss		\$	(171,000)	\$	(5,379,000)	\$	(2,640,000)		
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used		φ	(171,000)	φ	(3,379,000)	φ	(2,040,000)		
activities:	in operating								
Depreciation and amortization			284,000		520,000		525,000		
Income from equity investees			(806,000)		(29,000)		(4,078,000)		
Distributions from equity investees			97,000		1,185,000		3,346,000		
Write off of software development costs			433,000						
Impairment of intangibles			300,000				150,000		
Deferred taxes							1,323,000		
Stock based compensation					6,000		10,000		
Changes in:									
Securities owned, at fair value			(5,000)		866,000		491,000		
Receivable from brokers			(890,000)		530,000		391,000		
Income tax refund receivable					795,000		279,000		
Prepaid expenses and other assets			(73,000)		(86,000)		309,000		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities			(1,183,000)		122,000		(1,218,000)		
Net cash used in operating activities			(2,014,000)		(1,470,000)		(1,112,000)		
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:									
Purchase of customer list							(50,000)		
Purchase of furniture, equipment and leasehold im-	provements		(262,000)		(21,000)		(200,000)		
Subordinated loan to investee							(10,000,000)		
Repayment of subordinated loan to investee							10,000,000		
Collection (payment) of advances made to equity i	nvestees		24,000		41,000		(44,000)		
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activiti	es		(238,000)		20,000		(294,000)		
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:									
Purchase of treasury shares			(13,000)		(29,000)		(132,000)		
Net cash used in financing activities			(13,000)		(29,000)		(132,000)		
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents			(2,265,000)		(1,479,000)		(1,538,000)		
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year			21,167,000		22,646,000		24,184,000		
			,,		,_,,,,,,,		,,		
Cash and cash equivalents - end of year		\$	18,902,000	\$	21,167,000	\$	22,646,000		
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures: Cash for:									
Income taxes paid (received), net		\$	34,000	\$	(717,000)	\$	16,000		
	ee notes to consolidated	d finan	cial statement	s.					

#### SIEBERT FINANCIAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

### Note A - Summary Of Significant Accounting Policies

### [1] Business and Principles of Consolidation:

Siebert Financial Corp. (Financial), through its wholly owned subsidiary, Muriel Siebert & Co., Inc. (Siebert), engages in the business of providing discount brokerage services for customers, investment banking services for institutional clients and trading securities for its own account, and, through its wholly owned subsidiary, Siebert Women s Financial Network, Inc. (WFN), engages in providing products, services and information devoted to women s financial needs. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Financial and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Financial, Siebert and WFN collectively are referred to herein as the Company.

The municipal bond investment banking business is conducted by Siebert, Brandford, Shank & Co., L.L.C. (SBS), and related derivatives transactions are conducted by SBS Financial Products Company, LLC (SBSFP), investees not controlled or majority-owned, which are accounted for by the equity method of accounting (see Note B). The equity method provides that the Company records its share of the investees earnings or losses in its results of operations with a corresponding adjustment to the carrying value of its investment. In addition, the investment is adjusted for capital contributions to and distributions from the investees. Operations of equity investees are considered integral to Financial s operations.

### [2] Cash Equivalents:

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of 3 months or less. Cash equivalents are carried at fair value and amount to \$18,242,000 and \$18,194,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, consisting of money market funds.

Cash equivalents restricted of \$1,532,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011 representing cash invested in a money market fund which serves as collateral for a secured demand note payable in the amount of \$1,200,000 to SBS (see Note I).

## [3] Securities:

Securities owned are carried at fair value with realized and unrealized gains and losses reflected in trading profits. Siebert clears all its security transactions through unaffiliated clearing firms on a fully disclosed basis. Accordingly, Siebert does not hold funds or securities for, or owe funds or securities to, its customers. Those functions are performed by the clearing firms.

#### [4] Fair value of financial instruments:

Authoritative accounting guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and establishes a fair value hierarchy. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements are not adjusted for transaction costs. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels:

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Inputs other than quoted market prices that are observable, either directly or indirectly, and reasonably available.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs which reflect the assumptions that management develops based on available information about the assumptions market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability.

#### Note A - Summary Of Significant Accounting Policies (CONTINUED)

Financial instruments of the Company are valued at fair value (Level 1) as of December 31, as follows:

	2012	2011
Financial Instrument	Level 1	Level 1
Cash equivalents Securities	\$ 19,774,000 255,000	, ,
	\$ 20,029,000	\$ 19,976,000

At December 31, 2012 and 2011 respectively, securities include common stock of \$255,000 and \$250,000 valued on the last business day of the year at the last available reported sales price on the primary securities exchange.

#### [5] Income Taxes:

The Company accounts for income taxes utilizing the asset and liability approach requiring the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of net operating loss carryforwards and temporary differences between the basis of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and tax purposes.

#### [6] Furniture, Equipment and Leasehold Improvements:

Furniture, equipment and leasehold improvements are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the improvements or period of the lease.

#### [7] Advertising Costs:

Advertising costs are charged to expense as incurred.

### [8] Use of Estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### [9] Per Share Data:

Basic earnings (loss) per share is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average outstanding common shares during the year. Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the number of shares outstanding under the basic calculation and adding all dilutive securities, which consist of options. As the Company incurred a net loss for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, basic and diluted net loss per common share are the same for each year as the effect of stock options is anti-dilutive. In 2012, 2011 and 2010, 400,000, 1,228,200 and 1,503,200 common shares, respectively, issuable upon the exercise of options were not included in the computation.

## [10] Revenue:

Commission revenues and related clearing expenses are recorded on a trade-date basis. Fees, consisting principally of revenue participation with the Company s clearing broker in distribution fees, and interest are recorded as earned.

Investment banking revenue includes gains and fees, net of syndicate expenses, arising from underwriting syndicates in which the Company participates. Investment banking management fees are recorded on the offering date, sales concessions on the settlement date

and underwriting fees at the time the underwriting is completed and the income is reasonably determinable.

Trading profits are also recorded on a trade-date basis and principally represent riskless principal transactions which the Company, after receiving an order, buys or sells securities as principal and at the same time sells or buys the securities with a markup or markdown to satisfy the order.

Interest is recorded on an accrual basis and dividends are recorded on the ex-dividend date.

#### Note A - Summary Of Significant Accounting Policies (CONTINUED)

### [11] Stock-Based Compensation:

Share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, are recognized in the statement of operations as an operating expense, based on their fair values on the grant date. Share-based compensation costs are recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of awards which would normally be the vesting period of the options. Cash flows resulting from the tax benefits of the tax deduction in excess of the compensation cost recognized for these options are classified as financing cash flows.

#### [12] Intangibles:

Purchased intangibles which have finite useful lives are principally being amortized using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of three to five years. Domain names and other intellectual property which are deemed to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized but are tested for impairment annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. The impairment test for indefinite-lived intangibles consists of a comparison of their fair value with their carrying amount (see notes A [14] and D).

#### [13] Valuation of Long-Lived Assets:

The Company evaluates the recoverability of its long-lived assets including amortizable intangibles and recognizes an impairment loss in the event the carrying value of these assets exceeds the estimated future undiscounted cash flows attributable to these assets. The Company assesses potential impairment to its long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that its carrying value may not be recoverable. Should impairment exist, the impairment loss would be measured based on the excess of the carrying value of the assets over their fair value.

#### [14] New Accounting Standards:

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) finalized guidance in determining whether an enterprise has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. This determination identifies the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity as the enterprise that has both the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impacts the entity s economic performance, and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity. This guidance also requires ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary and eliminates the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary. New provisions of this guidance were effective January 1, 2010. The adoption of the new guidance did not have any impact on the Company s financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance to improve transparency about transfers of financial assets and a transferor s continuing involvement, if any, with transferred financial assets. This guidance removes the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity and removes the exception from applying previous guidance to variable interest entities that are qualifying special-purpose entities; limits the circumstances in which a transferor derecognizes a portion or component of a financial asset; defines a participating interest; requires a transferor to recognize and initially measure at fair value all assets obtained and liabilities incurred as a result of a transfer accounted for as a sale; and requires enhanced disclosures. This guidance was adopted by the Company beginning January 1, 2010 and did not have any impact on the Company s financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued guidance that requires some new disclosures and clarifies some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurements which is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009. The guidance was adopted by the Company as of January 1, 2010 and did not have any impact on the Company s disclosures. Additionally, these amended standards require presentation of disaggregated activity within the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) and is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The guidance was adopted by the Company on January 1, 2011 and did not have any impact on its disclosures.

In May 2011, the FASB issued guidance to expand disclosures for Level 3 measurements based on unobservable inputs. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The guidance was adopted by the Company as of January 2012, and did not have any impact on the Company s disclosures.

In July 2012, the FASB issued amendments to the indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment guidance which provides an option for companies to use a qualitative approach to test indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment if certain conditions are met. The amendments are effective for annual and interim indefinite-lived intangible asset impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012 (early adoption is permitted). The implementation of the amended accounting guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial statements.

#### Note B - Investment In Affiliates

Investment in and advances to, equity in income of, and distributions received from, affiliates consist of the following:

December 31, 2012	SBS	SBSFPC		TOTAL
Investment and advances	\$ 8,950,000	\$	354,000	\$ 9,304,000
Income from equity investees	\$ 774,000	\$	32,000	\$ 806,000
Distributions	\$ 95,000	\$	2,000	\$ 97,000
December 31, 2011	SBS		SBSFPC	TOTAL
Investment and advances	\$ 8,295,000	\$	324,000	\$ 8,619,000
Income from equity investees	\$ 8,000	\$	21,000	\$ 29,000
Distributions	\$ 1,185,000	\$		\$ 1,185,000
December 31, 2010	SBS		SBSFPC	TOTAL
Income (loss) from equity investees	\$ 4,102,000	\$	(24,000)	\$ 4,078,000

Siebert and two individuals (the Principals ) formed SBS to succeed to the tax-exempt underwriting business of the Siebert Brandford Shank division of Siebert. The agreements with the Principals provide that profits will be shared 51% to the Principals and 49% to Siebert.

3,344,000

Pursuant to the terms of the Operating Agreement, Financial and each of the Principals own a 33.33% initial interest in SBSFPC which engages in derivatives transactions related to the municipal underwriting business. The Operating Agreement provides that income/(loss) be shared 66.66% by the Principals and 33.33% by Financial.

Summarized financial data of SBS is as follows:

Distributions

	2012	2011	2010
Total assets, including secured demand note of 1,200,000 in each year due from Siebert	\$ 27,752,000	\$ 31,403,000	
Total liabilities, including subordinated liabilities \$1,200,000 in each year due to Siebert	9,555,000	14,592,000	
Total members capital	18,197,000	16,811,000	
Regulatory minimum net capital requirement	250,000	493,000	
Total revenue	28,246,000	26,441,000	\$ 48,769,000
Net income	1,579,000	17,000	8,372,000

During 2012, 2011 and 2010, Siebert charged SBS \$75,000 for each year, respectively, for general and administrative services, which Siebert believes approximates the cost of furnishing such services. In addition, during each of the years 2012, 2011 and 2010, Siebert earned interest income of \$48,000, \$48,000 and \$73,000, respectively, from SBS in connection with subordinated loans available or made to SBS and Siebert paid SBS interest earned on restricted cash equivalents amounted to \$2,900, \$2,500 and \$3,500 in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively (see Note I (5)). Further, on November 1, 2010, Siebert entered into a temporary subordinated loan agreement with SBS in the amount of \$10 million

3,346,000

2,000

bearing interest at 2% and maturing on December 15, 2010. The note was repaid in December 2010 and interest received from SBS amounted to \$25,000.

Siebert s share of undistributed earnings from SBS amounted to \$8,524,000 and \$7,845,000 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Such amounts may not be immediately available for distribution to Siebert for various reasons including the amount of SBS s available cash, the provisions of the agreement between Siebert and the Principals and SBS s continued compliance with its regulatory net capital requirements.

Summarized financial data of SBSFPC is as follows:

		2012	2011	2010
Total assets		\$ 167,841,000	\$ 238,290,000	
Total liabilities		166,775,000	237,317,000	
Total members capital		1,066,000	974,000	
Total revenue		293,000	610,000	\$ 124,000
Net income (loss)		98,000	61,000	(72,000)
	F-9			

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, SBSFPC had cumulative distributions in excess of cumulative earnings in the amount of \$135,000 and \$226,000, respectively, of which Siebert s share was \$45,000 and \$75,000, respectively.

### Note C - Furniture, Equipment And Leasehold Improvements, Net

Furniture, equipment and leasehold improvements consist of the following:

	December 31,			
	2012		2011	
Equipment	\$ 527,000	\$	2,307,000	
Leasehold improvements	22,000		29,000	
Furniture and fixtures	3,000		23,000	
	552,000		2,359,000	
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(240,000)		(1,602,000)	
	\$ 312,000	\$	757,000	

Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 amounted to \$274,000, \$510,000 and \$523,000, respectively.

Due to the Company s discontinuation of its relationship with a software vendor on June 30, 2012, which had developed and maintained Siebert s website, the Company wrote-off remaining related software development costs of \$433,000. The unamortized carrying value of such development costs consisted of \$1,856,000 of cost net of \$1,423,000 of accumulation amortization. Effective July 1, 2012, such services are provided by the Company s clearing broker.

#### Note D - Intangible Assets

In 2000, WFN acquired the stock of WFN Women s Financial Network, Inc. (WFNI) and HerDollar.com, Inc., companies in the development stage which had yet to commence principal operations and had no significant revenue for aggregate consideration of \$2,310,000, including costs. The transactions were accounted for as purchases of assets consisting of domain name, website and content, and a non-compete agreement (the Acquired Intangible Assets). Related deferred tax assets attributable to net operating loss carryforwards of the acquired companies and deferred tax liabilities attributable to the excess of the statement bases of the acquired assets over their tax bases were reflected as an adjustment to the carrying amount of such intangibles (see Note E).

Intangible assets consist of the following:

	December 31, 2012					)11		
		Gross Carrying Amount		ccumulated nortization		Gross Carrying Amount		nortization ecumulated
Amortizable assets:								
Website, content and non-compete	\$	1,850,000	\$	1,850,000	\$	1,850,000	\$	1,850,000
Retail brokerage accounts		2,638,000		2,610,000		2,638,000		2,600,000
	\$	4,488,000	\$	4,460,000	\$	4,488,000	\$	4,450,000
Unamortized intangible assets:								

Domain name/intellectual property \$ 300,000 \$ 600,000

Amortization expense \$ 10,000 \$ 10,000

During 2010 and 2012, the Company recorded impairment charges and wrote down the carrying value of its unamortized intangible assets by \$150,000 and \$300,000, respectively, representing the excess of carrying value over its fair value. Such write downs were due to a continuing decline in the Company s revenue attributable to such intangibles. The Company valued the domain name using the income approach methodology known as the relief from royalty method determined based on significant Level 3 inputs including for 2012 discount rate of 27%, long-term growth rate of 2% and royalty rate of 4%. The premise behind the valuation of these assets is that a buyer would be willing to pay a royalty for the right to use an established or recognized trade name in order to gain market acceptance, which a product or service otherwise might not enjoy.

## Note E - Income Taxes

The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return with its subsidiaries.

Income tax expense (benefit) provision consists of the following:

**		-	
Year	Ended [	l )ecem	her 31.

	1 Ca	Linu	cu December	31,	
	2012		2011		2010
Federal income tax expense (benefit):					
Current Deferred	\$	\$		\$	286,000 731,000
					1,017,000
State and local:					
Current	34,000		23,000		
Deferred					592,000
	34,000		23,000		592,000
Total:					
Current Deferred	34,000		23,000		286,000 1,323,000
	\$ 34,000	\$	23,000	\$	1,609,000

A reconciliation between the income tax benefit and income taxes computed by applying the statutory Federal income tax rate to loss before income taxes is as follows:

### Year Ended December 31,

Ф					
φ	(47,000)	\$	(1,812,000)	\$	(351,000)
	22,000		(406,000)		(67,000)
			2,177,000		1,980,000
	36,000		36,000		47,000
	23,000		28,000		
\$	34,000	\$	23,000	\$	1,609,000
		36,000 23,000	22,000 36,000 23,000	22,000 (406,000) 2,177,000 36,000 36,000 23,000 28,000	22,000 (406,000) 2,177,000 36,000 36,000 23,000 28,000

#### **Note E - Income Taxes (Continued)**

Deferred income taxes reflect the impact of temporary differences between the amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and their tax basis. The principal items giving rise to deferred tax assets (liabilities) are as follows:

	December 31,			31,
		2012		2011
Deferred tax asset:				
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$	3,239,000	\$	3,060,000
Employee stock based compensation		237,000		231,000
Retail brokerage accounts		362,000		430,000
Contribution carryover		345,000		252,000
Furniture, equipment and leasehold improvements		59,000		68,000
Accrued expenses		134,000		400,000
Accrued compensation and other		179,000		59,000
		4,555,000		4,500,000
Valuation allowance		(4,432,000)		(4,260,000)
		123,000		240,000
Deferred tax liability:				
Acquired intangible assets		(123,000)		(240,000)
	\$	0	\$	0

Due to cumulative losses incurred by the Company during the current and prior two years, the Company is unable to conclude that it is more likely than not that it will realize its net deferred tax asset and, accordingly, has recorded a valuation allowance to fully offset its deferred tax asset at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

At December 31, 2012, the Company has state net operating loss carryforwards aggregating \$13.1 million, which expires through 2032 in various states. In addition, the Company has federal net operating loss carryforwards of \$6.2 million at December 31, 2012, which expires through 2032. The Company also has additional federal net operating loss carryforwards of \$775,000 at December 31, 2012 which is attributable to WFN and expires through 2020. Utilization of WFN s federal net operating loss carryforwards is subject to annual limitations under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code.

The Company applied the more-likely-than not recognition threshold to all tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return which resulted in no unrecognized tax benefits reflected in the financial statements as of December 31, 2012. The Company classifies interest and penalties that would accrue according to the provisions of relevant tax law as income taxes.

The provision for income taxes in 2012 represents a state tax assessment of \$34,000 relating to years 2007, 2008 and 2009 based on a tax examination completed by New York state in 2012. For federal and certain state and local jurisdictions, the 2009 through 2012 tax years remain open by the taxing authorities. For other states the 2008 through 2012 tax years remain open for examinations.

### Note F - Stockholders Equity

Siebert is subject to the SEC s Uniform Net Capital Rule (Rule 15c3-1), which requires the maintenance of minimum net capital. Siebert has elected to use the alternative method, permitted by the rule, which requires that Siebert maintain minimum net capital, as defined, equal to the greater of \$250,000 or 2 percent of aggregate debit balances arising from customer transactions, as defined. The Net Capital Rule of the New York Stock Exchange also provides that equity capital may not be withdrawn or cash dividends paid if resulting net capital would be less than 5% of aggregate debits. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, Siebert had net capital of approximately \$16,962,000 and \$17,814,000, respectively, as compared with net capital requirements of \$250,000. Siebert claims exemption from the reserve requirement under Section 15c3-3(k)(2)(ii).

On January 23, 2008, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a buy back of up to 300,000 shares of common stock. Shares will be purchased from time to time in the open market and in private transactions. During 2010, 2011 and 2012, the Company repurchased 62,647, 17,179 and 8,107 shares of common stock at an average price of \$2.10, \$1.68 and \$1.67, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, 116,871 of common shares have been repurchased pursuant to such authorization.

#### Note G - Options

The Company s 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the Plan ) authorizes the grant of options to purchase up to an aggregate of 2,000,000 shares, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. Both non-qualified options and options intended to qualify as Incentive Stock Options under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code may be granted under the Plan. A Stock Option Committee of the Board of Directors administers the Plan. The committee has the authority to determine when options are granted, the term during which an option may be exercised (provided no option has a term exceeding 10 years), the exercise price and the exercise period. The exercise price shall not be less than the fair market value on the date of grant. No option may be granted under the Plan after December 2017. Generally, employee options vest 20% per year for five years and expire ten years from the date of grant. At December 31, 2012, options for 1,700,000 shares of common stock are available for grant under the Plan.

A summary of the Company s stock option transactions for the three years ended December 31, 2012 is presented below:

		2012		2011		2010	
			Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding - beginning of the year		1,228,200 \$	3.88	1,503,200 \$	4.14	1,719,700 \$	4.00
Expired/forfeited		(828,200) \$	4.14	(275,000) \$	5.33	(216,500) \$	2.98
Outstanding - end of year	(a)	400,000 \$	3.33	1,228,200 \$	3.88	1,503,200 \$	4.14
Fully vested and Exercisable at end of							
year	(a)	400,000 \$	3.33	1,228,200 \$	3.88	1,498,200 \$	4.15

(a) Weighted average remaining contractual terms of 4.7 years and no aggregate intrinsic value. For the year ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, no stock options were granted.

As of December 31, 2012, there was no unrecognized compensation cost.

### Note H Clearing Agreement

As part of the negotiations with one of the Company s clearing brokers on a fully disclosed clearing agreement which was entered into on May 5, 2010, the Company resolved at \$3 million the amount due to the Company from the clearing firm on past transactions cleared by the Company. This amount is included in commissions and fees revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010.

#### Note I - Commitments, Contingencies And Other

- (1) Retail customer transactions are cleared through clearing brokers on a fully disclosed basis. If customers do not fulfill their contractual obligations, the clearing broker may charge Siebert for any loss incurred in connection with the purchase or sale of securities at prevailing market prices to satisfy the customer obligations. Siebert regularly monitors the activity in its customer accounts for compliance with its margin requirements. Siebert is exposed to the risk of loss on unsettled customer transactions if customers are unable to fulfill their contractual obligations. There were no material losses for unsettled customer transactions in 2012, 2011 or 2010.
- (2) In a prior year, Siebert was named as one of the defendants in a class action pending in the United States District Court, Southern District of New York. The complaint was brought on behalf of a class of purchasers in a public offering by Lehman Brothers Holdings, Inc. of \$1,500,000,000 of 6.75% Subordinated Notes due 2017 (the Notes) as to Siebert and certain smaller issuances of other securities. The complaint asserted that Siebert and other underwriters of the Notes violated Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 in that relevant offering materials were false and misleading. Siebert had agreed to purchase \$15 million of the Notes and \$462,953 of the other securities as an underwriter in the offerings. Siebert and the plaintiffs class agreed to resolve all claims against Siebert in consideration of a \$1

million payment by Siebert. The settlement was accrued as of December 31, 2011, paid into an escrow account during the first quarter of 2012 and approved by the court on May 2, 2012. As certain plaintiffs did not agree to a settlement or purchased securities that were not covered by the settlement, additional liability to Siebert is possible. At present, Siebert is unable to determine the potential liability, if any.

#### Note I - Commitments, Contingencies And Other (continued)

Siebert is party to certain claims, suits and complaints arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, all such claims, suits and complaints are without merit, or involve amounts which would not have a significant effect on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

(3) The Company rents discount retail brokerage and other office space under long-term operating leases expiring in various periods through 2015. These leases call for base rent plus escalations for taxes and operating expenses.

Future minimum base rental payments under these operating leases are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	Amount
2013	825,000
2014	206,000
2015	80,000

\$1,111,000

Rent expense, including escalations for operating costs, amounted to approximately \$907,000, \$1,095,000 and \$1,274,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Rent is being charged to expense over the entire lease term on a straight-line basis.

- (4) Siebert sponsors a defined contribution retirement plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code that covers substantially all employees. Participant contributions to the plan are voluntary and are subject to certain limitations. Siebert may also make discretionary contributions to the plan. No contributions were made by Siebert in 2012, 2011 and 2010.
- (5) Siebert is party to a Secured Demand Note Collateral Agreement with SBS which obligates Siebert to lend SBS, on a subordinated basis, up to \$1,200,000. The secured demand note payable held by SBS and a related \$1,200,000 receivable due from SBS are included in investments in and advances to equity investees in the accompanying consolidated statement of financial condition. Amounts that Siebert is obligated to lend under this arrangement are collateralized by cash equivalents of \$1,532,000. Any amounts loaned will bear interest at 4% per annum and are repayable on August 31, 2014.

2011

(6) During 2012, commission income earned from one customer accounted for approximately 12% of total revenue.

2012

## Note J - Summarized Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

	2012				2011											
		First uarter		Second Quarter		Third Quarter		Fourth Quarter	Ç	First Juarter	-	econd uarter	•	Third Quarter	_	Fourth Quarter
Revenue	\$ 6,	553,000	\$ :	5,625,000	\$ 4	1,073,000	\$ 4	4,732,000	\$ 5	5,503,000	\$ 4	,498,000	\$	5,857,000	\$ 4	,341,000
Net income (loss)	\$	625,000	\$	447,000	\$	(912,000)	\$	(331,000)	\$ (2	2,004,000)	\$ (1	,790,000)	\$	(591,000)	\$	(994,000)
Earnings (loss) per																
share:																
Basic	\$	0.03	\$	0.02	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.09)	\$	(0.08)	\$	(0.03)	\$	(0.04)
Diluted	\$	0.03	\$	0.02	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.09)	\$	(0.08)	\$	(0.03)	\$	(0.04)
								F-14								

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Managers Siebert, Brandford, Shank & Co., L.L.C. New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying statements of financial condition of Siebert, Brandford, Shank & Co., L.L.C. (the Company) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related statements of operations, changes in members—capital and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company—s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Siebert, Brandford, Shank & Co., L.L.C. as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ EisnerAmper LLP

New York, New York February 22, 2013

## SIEBERT, BRANDFORD, SHANK & CO., L.L.C.

## **Statements of Financial Condition**

	<b>D</b>	December 31,		
	Dece	mber 31,		
	2012	2011		
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,510,002	\$ 27,881,153		
Accounts receivable	958,060	218,522		
Securities owned, at fair value	11,264,998	210,322		
Due from broker	11,201,770	3,125		
Receivable from affiliate	36,309	33,595		
Secured demand note	1,200,000	1,200,000		
Furniture, equipment and leasehold improvements, net	1,024,528	1,227,240		
Other assets	758,007	839,561		
	\$ 27,751,904	\$ 31,403,196		
LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS CAPITAL				
Liabilities:	<b></b>			
Payable to affiliate	\$ 27,644	\$ 52,436		
Due to broker	2,320,760	( (52 001		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	5,375,185	6,652,981		
Deferred rent	631,815	686,663		
	8,355,404	7,392,080		
Subordinated debt	1,200,000	7,200,000		
Subordinated debt	1,200,000	7,200,000		
Total liabilities	9,555,404	14,592,080		
Members capital	18,196,500	16,811,116		

See notes to financial statements

## SIEBERT, BRANDFORD, SHANK & CO., L.L.C.

## **Statements of Operations**

Vears	Ended	December	31
i eais	randed	December	ы.

	2012	2011	2010
Revenues:			
Investment banking	\$ 23,092,819	\$ 20,625,468	\$ 41,275,623
Trading profits	5,149,140	5,811,327	7,488,092
Interest and other	4,389	4,278	5,429
	28,246,348	26,441,073	48,769,144
Expenses:			
Employee compensation and benefits	20,541,452	19,878,202	33,076,985
Clearing fees	129,694	142,648	194,957
Communications	905,970	940,907	880,792
Occupancy	1,052,908	1,065,030	1,020,409
Professional fees	591,175	623,415	680,673
Interest	66,718	59,290	73,000
State and local income tax	78,706	120,907	435,187
General and administrative	3,300,549	3,593,466	4,035,029
	26,667,172	26,423,865	40,397,032
Net income	\$ 1,579,176	\$ 17,208	\$ 8,372,112

See notes to financial statements

## SIEBERT, BRANDFORD, SHANK & CO., L.L.C.

## Statements of Changes in Members Capital

Balance - January 1, 2010	\$ 17,663,219
Distributions to members	(6,824,179)
Net income	8,372,112
Balance - December 31, 2010	19,211,152
Distributions to members	(2,417,244)
Net income	17,208
Balance - December 31, 2011	16,811,116
Distributions to members	(193,792)
Net income	1,579,176
Balance - December 31, 2012	\$ 18,196,500
See notes to financial statements	
F-18	

## SIEBERT, BRANDFORD, SHANK & CO., L.L.C.

## **Statements of Cash Flows**

## Years Ended December 31,

	2012		2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income	\$ 1,57	9,176 \$	17,208	\$ 8,372,112
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) pr	ovided by			
operating activities:	·			
Depreciation and amortization	26	6,093	256,161	237,045
Changes in:				
Accounts receivable		9,538)	(2,260,023)	(142,013)
Due to/from broker	2,32	3,885	(508,730)	1,948,895
Securities owned, at fair value	(11,26	4,998)	11,816,604	(11,816,604)
Other receivable				491,441
Other assets		1,554	102,903	107,658
Payable to (receivable from) affiliates	(2	7,506)	(49,432)	43,429
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1,27	7,796)	(9,336,178)	(623,750)
Deferred rent	(5	4,848)	(54,888)	250,110
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(9,11	3,978)	4,503,671	(1,131,677)
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Purchase of leasehold improvements and equipment	(6	3,381)	(65,053)	(381,046)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Distributions to members	(10	3,792)	(2,417,244)	(6,824,179)
Subordinated borrowings	(1)	3,172)	6,000,000	10,000,000
Subordinated borrowings Subordinated repayments	(6.00	0,000)	0,000,000	(10,000,000)
Subordinated repayments	(0,00	0,000)		(10,000,000)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(6.19	3,792)	3,582,756	(6,824,179)
receasif (asea in) provided by inhancing activities	(0,1)	.,., <u>.</u> ,	3,502,750	(0,021,177)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(15,37	1 151)	8,021,374	(8,336,902)
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year		1,153	19,859,779	28,196,681
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year	27,00	1,133	19,039,119	20,170,001
Cash and cash equivalents - end of year	\$ 12,51	0,002 \$	27,881,153	\$ 19,859,779
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:				
Taxes paid	\$ 10	1,517 \$	154,726	\$ 404,483
Interest paid		6,718 \$	48,000	\$ 73,000
•	notes to financial statements			,

See notes to financial statements

### SIEBERT, BRANDFORD, SHANK & CO., L.L.C.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2012 and 2011

NOTE A - ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## [1] Organization:

Siebert, Brandford, Shank & Co., L.L.C. (SBS or the Company) engages in the business of tax-exempt underwriting and related trading activities. The Company qualifies as a Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise in certain municipalities.

#### [2] Cash equivalents:

Cash equivalents represent short-term, highly liquid investments which are readily convertible to cash and have maturities of three months or less at time of purchase. Cash equivalents, which are valued at fair value, consist of money market funds which amounted to \$12,327,108 and \$27,881,153 at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

#### [3] Investments:

Security transactions are recorded on a trade-date basis. Securities owned are valued at fair value. The resulting realized and unrealized gains and losses are reflected as trading profits.

Dividends are recorded on the ex-dividend date, and interest income is recognized on an accrual basis.

### [4] Fair value:

Authoritative accounting guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and establishes a fair value hierarchy. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value measurements are not adjusted for transaction costs. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three levels:

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Inputs other than quoted market prices that are observable, either directly or indirectly, and reasonably available.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs which reflect the assumptions that the managing members develop based on available information about the assumptions market participants would use in valuing the asset or liability.

The classification of financial instruments valued at fair value as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

	De	December 31, 2012				
	Level 1	Level 2	Total			
Cash equivalents	\$ 12,327,108		\$ 12,327,108			
Municipal Bonds		\$ 11,264,998	\$ 11,264,998			
	\$ 12,327,108	\$ 11,264,998	\$ 23,592,106			

December 31, 2011

Level 1 Level 2 Total

Cash equivalents

\$ 27,881,153

\$ 27,881,153

The fair value of municipal bonds is determined using recently executed transactions, market price quotations and pricing models that factor in, where applicable, interest rates and bond default risk spreads.

#### SIEBERT, BRANDFORD, SHANK & CO., L.L.C.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2012 and 2011

NOTE A - ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### [5] Furniture, equipment and leasehold improvements, net:

Furniture, equipment and leasehold improvements are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the period of the lease.

#### [6] Use of estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### [7] Investment banking revenues:

Investment banking revenues include gains and fees, net of syndicate expenses, arising primarily from municipal bond offerings in which the Company acts as an underwriter or agent. Investment banking management fees are recorded on the offering date, sales concessions on the settlement date, and underwriting fees at the time the underwriting is completed and the income is reasonably determinable.

#### [8] Income taxes:

The Company is not subject to federal income taxes. Instead, the members are required to include in their income tax returns their respective share of the Company s income or loss. The Company is subject to tax in certain state and local jurisdictions. Deferred taxes are not significant.

## NOTE B - SUBORDINATED BORROWINGS AND SECURED DEMAND NOTE RECEIVABLE

The subordinated debt consists of the following:

	Dec	ember	31,
	2012		2011
Payable to member (a)	\$ 1,200,000	\$	1,200,000
Payable to clearing broker (b)			6,000,000
	\$ 1,200,000	\$	7,200,000

- (a) Consists of a Secured Demand Note Collateral Agreement payable to Muriel Siebert & Co., Inc. (Siebert), a member of the Company, in the amount of \$1,200,000 bearing 4% interest and due August 31, 2014. On November 1, 2010, the Company entered into a temporary subordinated loan agreement with Siebert in the amount of \$10,000,000 bearing interest at 2% and maturing on December 15, 2010. The note was repaid in December 2010. Interest expense paid to Siebert for each of the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 amounted to \$48,000, \$48,000 and \$73,000, respectively.
- (b) On December 14, 2011, the Company entered into a temporary subordinated loan agreement with National Financial Services, its clearing broker, in the amount of \$6,000,000, bearing interest at the federal funds rate plus 4% (4.04% at December 31, 2011), which it repaid on January 27, 2012. Interest expense accrued in 2011 amounted to approximately \$11,000.

The subordinated borrowings are available in computing net capital under the Securities and Exchange Commission s (SEC) Uniform Net Capital Rule. To the extent that such borrowing is required for the Company s continued compliance with minimum net capital requirements, it may not be repaid.

The secured demand note receivable of \$1,200,000 is collateralized by cash equivalents of Siebert of approximately \$1,532,000 at both December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Interest earned on the collateral paid by Siebert to SBS amounted to approximately \$2,900, \$2,500 and \$3,500 in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

### SIEBERT, BRANDFORD, SHANK & CO., L.L.C.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2012 and 2011

### Note C - Furniture, Equipment and Leasehold Improvements, Net

Furniture, equipment, and leasehold improvements consist of the following:

	December 31,			
	2012		2011	
Equipment	\$ 855,315	\$	821,463	
Furniture and leasehold improvements	1,653,042		1,623,513	
	2,508,357		2,444,976	
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	1,483,829		1,217,736	
	\$ 1,024,528	\$	1,227,240	

Depreciation and amortization expense for 2012, 2011 and 2010 amounted to \$266,093, \$256,161 and \$237,045, respectively.

### Note D - Net Capital

The Company is subject to the SEC s Uniform Net Capital Rule 15c3-1, which requires the maintenance of minimum net capital and that the ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital, both as defined, shall not exceed 15 to 1. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had net capital of \$20,722,398 and \$21,353,097, respectively, which was \$20,472,398 and \$20,860,291, respectively, in excess of its required net capital and its ratio of aggregate indebtedness to net capital was 0.09 and 0.35 to 1, respectively. The Company claims exemption from the reserve requirements under Section 15c-3-3(k)(2)(ii).

### Note E - Commitments

The Company rents office space under long-term operating leases expiring through 2020. These leases call for base rent plus escalations for property taxes and other operating expenses. Future minimum base rent under these operating leases as of December 31, 2012 are as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	1	Amount
2013	\$	946,000
2014		842,000
2015		735,000
2016		577,000
2017		459,000
Thereafter	1	,038,000
	\$ 4	1,597,000

Rent expense, including taxes and operating expenses for 2012, 2011 and 2010 amounted to \$1,052,908, \$1,065,030 and \$1,020,409, respectively.

In prior years, the Company purchased leasehold improvements of approximately \$620,000 which were reimbursed by the landlord. The Company recorded such reimbursement as a credit to deferred rent liability, which is being recognized as a reduction of rental expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

Rent expense is being charged to operations on a straight-line basis resulting in a deferred rent liability which, together with the deferred rent discussed above, amounted to \$631,815 at December 31, 2012 and \$686,663 at December 31, 2011.

## SIEBERT, BRANDFORD, SHANK & CO., L.L.C.

Notes to Financial Statements December 31, 2012 and 2011

## Note F - Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses

Accounts payable and accrued expenses consist of the following:

Decem	1	21	
Decem	ner	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Ι.

2012 2011

Accounts payable	\$ 98,038	\$ 1,488,400
Accrued bonus and other employee		
compensation	5,011,647	5,037,575
Other accrued expenses	265,500	127,006

**\$ 5,375,185** \$ 6,652,981

## Note G - Other

During each of 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company was charged \$75,000 by Siebert for general and administrative services.

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

## SIEBERT FINANCIAL CORP.

By: /s/ MURIEL F. SIEBERT

Muriel F. Siebert

Chair, Chief Executive Officer and President

Date: April 1, 2013

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ Muriel F. Siebert	Chair, Chief Executive Officer, President and Director	April 1, 2013
Muriel F. Siebert	(principal executive officer)	
/s/ Jeanne Rosendale	Executive Vice President	April 1, 2013
Jeanne Rosendale	and General Counsel	
/s/ Joseph M. Ramos, Jr.	Chief Financial Officer	April 1, 2013
Joseph M. Ramos, Jr.	and Assistant Secretary (principal financial and accounting officer)	
/s/ Patricia L. Francy	Director	April 1, 2013
Patricia L. Francy		
/s/ Leonard M. Leiman	Director	April 1, 2013
Leonard M. Leiman		
/s/ Jane H. Macon	Director	April 1, 2013
Jane H. Macon		
/s/ Robert P. Mazzarella	Director	April 1, 2013
Robert P. Mazzarella		
/s/ Nancy Peterson Hearn	Director	April 1, 2013
Nancy Peterson Hearn		

## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description Of Document
2.1	Plan and Agreement of Merger between J. Michaels, Inc. ( JMI ) and Muriel Siebert Capital Markets Group, Inc. ( MSCMG ), dated as of April 24, 1996 ( Merger Agreement ) (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996)
2.2	Amendment No. 1 to Merger Agreement, dated as of June 28, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996)
2.3	Amendment No. 2 to Merger Agreement, dated as of September 30, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996)
2.4	Amendment No. 3 to Merger Agreement, dated as of November 7, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996)
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of Siebert Financial Corp., formerly known as J. Michaels, Inc. originally filed on April 9, 1934, as amended and restated to date (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997)
3.2	By-laws of Siebert Financial Corp. (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Registration Statement on Form S- 1 (File No. 333-49843) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 10, 1998)
10.1**	Siebert Financial Corp. 1998 Restricted Stock Award Plan (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997)
10.2**	Siebert Financial Corp. 1997 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996)
10.3	Siebert, Brandford, Shank & Co., LLC Operating Agreement, among Siebert, Brandford, Shank & Co., L.L.C., Muriel Siebert & Co., Inc., Napoleon Brandford III and Suzanne F. Shank, dated as of March 10, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996)
10.4	Services Agreement, between Siebert, Brandford, Shank & Co., L.L.C. and Muriel Siebert & Co., Inc., dated as of March 10, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1996)
10.5	Operating Agreement of SBS Financial Products Company, LLC, dated effective as of April 19, 2005, by and among Siebert Financial Corp., Napoleon Brandford III and Suzanne Shank. (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 17, 2005)
10.6**	Siebert Financial Corp. 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-144680) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 18, 2007)
10.7*	Fully Disclosed Clearing Agreement, by and between National Financial Services LLC and Muriel Siebert & Co., Inc. dated May 5, 2010. (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 16, 2010)
21	Subsidiaries of the registrant (incorporated by reference to Siebert Financial Corp. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001)
23	Consent of Independent Auditors
31.1	Certification of Muriel F. Siebert pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Joseph M. Ramos, Jr. pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley act of 2002.

- 32.1 Certification of Muriel F. Siebert of Periodic Financial Report under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Joseph M. Ramos, Jr. of Periodic Financial Report under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- \* Portions of the indicated document have been afforded confidential treatment and have been filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the General Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- \*\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.