

Resource Capital Corp.  
Form 10-K  
March 02, 2015

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K  
(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

OR  
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission File Number: 1-32733

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)  
Maryland  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

20-2287134  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

712 5th Avenue, 12th Floor, New York, New York 10019  
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(212) 506-3870  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class                                   | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---|---|
| Common Stock, \$.001 par value                        | New York Stock Exchange                   |
| 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock  | New York Stock Exchange                   |
| 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock  | New York Stock Exchange                   |
| 8.625% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock | New York Stock Exchange                   |

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. R

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

|                         |                                     |                           |                          |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer         | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer   | <input type="checkbox"/>            | Smaller reporting company | <input type="checkbox"/> |

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes R No

The aggregate market value of the voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing price of such stock on the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter (June 30, 2014) was approximately \$700,019,472.

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock on February 26, 2015 was 134,079,374 shares.

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
INDEX TO ANNUAL REPORT  
ON FORM 10-K

|  | PAGE   |
|--|--|
| <u>Forward-Looking Statement</u>   | <u>3</u>   |
| PART I   |  |
| Item 1: <u>Business</u>  | <u>4</u>   |
| Item 1A: <u>Risk Factors</u>   | <u>17</u>  |
| Item 1B: <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>  | <u>35</u>  |
| Item 2: <u>Properties</u>  | <u>35</u>  |
| Item 3: <u>Legal Proceedings</u>   | <u>35</u>  |
| Item 4: <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>   | <u>35</u>  |
| PART II  |  |
|  | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer</u> |
| Item 5: <u>Purchases of Equity Securities</u>  | <u>36</u>  |
| Item 6: <u>Selected Financial Data</u>   | <u>38</u>  |
| Item 7: <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>           | <u>40</u>  |
| Item 7A: <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>                                     | <u>91</u>  |
| Item 8: <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>   | <u>92</u>  |
| Item 9: <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>            | <u>180</u>   |
| Item 9A: <u>Controls and Procedures</u>  | <u>180</u>   |
| Item 9B: <u>Other Information</u>  | <u>182</u>   |
| PART III   |  |
| Item 10: <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>   | <u>182</u>   |
| Item 11: <u>Executive Compensation</u>   | <u>187</u>   |
| Item 12: <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>193</u>   |
| Item 13: <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transaction and Director Independence</u>                        | <u>195</u>   |
| Item 14: <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>   | <u>200</u>   |
| PART IV  |  |
| ITEM 15: <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>  | <u>201</u>   |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u>  | <u>204</u>   |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains certain forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements relate to expectations, beliefs, projections, future plans and strategies, anticipated events or trends and similar expressions concerning matters that are not historical facts. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as “anticipate”, “believe”, “could”, “estimate”, “expects”, “intend”, “may”, “plan”, “potential”, “project”, “should”, “will” and “would” or the terms or other comparable terminology.

Forward-looking statements contained in this report are based on our beliefs, assumptions and expectations regarding our future performance, taking into account all information currently available to us. These beliefs, assumptions and expectations can change as a result of many possible events or factors, not all of which are known to us or are within our control. If a change occurs, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations may vary materially from those expressed in our forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements we make in this report are subject to various risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to vary from our forward-looking statements, including:

- the factors described in this report, including those set forth under the sections captioned “Risk Factors”, “Business”, and “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations”;
- changes in our industry, interest rates, the debt securities markets, real estate markets or the general economy;
- increased rates of default and/or decreased recovery rates on our investments;
- availability, terms and deployment of capital;
- availability of qualified personnel;
- changes in governmental regulations, tax rates and similar matters;
- changes in our business strategy;
- availability of investment opportunities in commercial real estate-related and commercial finance assets;
- the degree and nature of our competition;
- the adequacy of our cash reserves and working capital; and
- the timing of cash flows, if any, from our investments.

We caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements which speak only as of the date of this report. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. Except to the extent required by applicable law or regulation, we undertake no obligation to update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this filing or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

PART I

ITEM I. BUSINESS

General

We are a diversified real estate finance company that is organized and conducts its operations to qualify as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Our investment strategy focuses on commercial real estate, commercial real estate-related assets and, to a lesser extent, commercial finance assets.

Our investments target the following asset classes:

| Asset Class                            | Principal Investments  |
|--|--|
| Commercial real estate-related assets  | <p>First mortgage loans, which we refer to as whole loans;</p> <p>First priority interests in first mortgage loans, which we refer to as A notes; Subordinated interests in first mortgage loans, which we refer to as B notes; Mezzanine debt related to commercial real estate that is senior to the borrower's equity position but subordinated to other third-party debt; Commercial mortgage-backed securities, which we refer to as CMBS; and Commercial real estate, or CRE, primarily multifamily properties.</p>  |
| Residential real estate-related assets | <p>Residential mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities; and</p> <p>Residential mortgage-backed securities, which we refer to as RMBS, which comprise our available for sale portfolio.</p>   |
| Commercial finance assets              | <p>Senior secured corporate loans, which we refer to as bank loans;</p> <p>Asset-backed securities, which we refer to as ABS, backed by senior secured corporate loans;</p> <p>Debt tranches of collateralized debt obligations and collateralized loan obligations, which we refer to as CDOs and CLOs, respectively, and sometimes, collectively, as CDOs;</p> <p>Structured note investments, which comprise our trading securities portfolio;</p> <p>Middle-market secured corporate loans and preferred equity investments; and</p> <p>Preferred equity investment in a commercial leasing enterprise which originates and holds small- and middle-ticket commercial direct financing leases and notes.</p> |

Our objective is to provide our stockholders with total returns over time, including quarterly distributions and capital appreciation, while seeking to manage the risks associated with our investment strategies. We have financed a substantial portion of our portfolio investments through borrowing strategies seeking to match the maturities and repricing dates of our financings with the maturities and repricing dates of those investments, and have sought to mitigate interest rate risk through derivative instruments.

We are externally managed by Resource Capital Manager, Inc., or the Manager, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, Inc. (NASDAQ: REXI), or Resource America, a specialized asset management company that uses industry specific expertise to evaluate, originate, service and manage investment opportunities through its commercial real estate, financial fund management and commercial finance operating segments. As of September 30, 2014, Resource America managed approximately \$19.4 billion of assets in these sectors. To provide its services, the Manager draws upon Resource America, its management team and their collective investment experience.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Having gained traction in 2013, the economic environment in the United States continued to show moderate growth during 2014, which resulted in several positive operating developments for us. Our ability to access the capital markets continued to improve, as evidenced by our Series C preferred stock offering in June 2014, resulting in net proceeds at issuance to us of \$116.2 million, and by the success of our dividend reinvestment and share purchase program, or DRIP, which raised \$30.3 million in 2014. In addition, we supplemented our common equity issuances with issuances of preferred stock through an at-the-market program which resulted in proceeds of \$56.6 million in 2014. This brought our total net proceeds raised through the capital markets to \$203.1 million in 2014, after underwriting discounts and commissions and other offering expenses. The improved economic environment and increased capital markets access allowed us to substantially increase our funded originations of commercial real estate whole loans from \$344.3 million in 2013 to \$689.4 million in 2014.

We also continued to experience improved credit markets. We closed an additional real estate securitization for \$353.9 million in July 2014. We were able to use the proceeds from this CLO to completely pay down a financing facility and thereby generate additional borrowing capacity. Further, we were able to expand the borrowing base of our CRE financing facility with Wells Fargo Bank from \$250.0 to \$400.0 million and to extend the maturity date to August 27, 2016 with two one-year extension options available to us. We were also successful in extending the maturity date on our CMBS financing facility to January 31, 2016.

Conversely, we continue to see a decline in our commercial finance assets, specifically, our bank loan portfolio, as we liquidated one of our CLOs in 2014 and expected to liquidate another CLO in 2015. The remaining legacy CLO in our portfolio has finished its reinvestment period and, as a result, as it receives proceeds from the collateral assets it will pay down the associated debt. This trend has caused our net interest income from bank loans to decline substantially in 2014 and we expect the declining trend will continue into 2015. We expect to mitigate this trend by continuing to grow our real estate lending platform and, to a lesser extent, by deploying capital into our middle-market lending business, which originates loans that are similar in nature to bank loans. Based on these recent and expected investment and credit market trends and events, we expect to be able to invest a significant portion of our unrestricted and available restricted cash balances and, as a result, grow our net interest income and other revenues modestly in 2015.

#### Our Business Strategy

The core components of our business strategy are:

Investment in real estate and commercial finance assets. We expect to seek portfolio growth primarily through investments in CRE whole loans and, to a lesser extent, B notes, mezzanine debt and CMBS rated below AAA by Standard & Poor's, or S&P. We also expect to invest in commercial finance assets, principally directly-originated middle-market loans, and to a lesser extent, bank loan securitizations and, in other ABS, structured note investments and debt tranches of CDOs and CLOs, subject to the availability of investment funds and financing. Our middle market lending platform provides credit facilities to private middle market companies. Debt solutions offered include first lien, second lien, and unitranche and mezzanine debt. Our equity at December 31, 2014 was invested 67% in CRE assets, 29% in commercial finance assets and 4% in other investments.

Managing our investment portfolio. As of December 31, 2014, we managed \$2.4 billion of assets, including \$1.2 billion of assets which were financed and held in variable interest entities, or VIEs. The core of our management process is credit analysis which our Manager and Resource America uses to actively monitor our existing investments and as a basis for evaluating new investments. Senior management of our Manager and Resource America has extensive experience in underwriting the credit risk associated with our targeted asset classes and conducts detailed due diligence on all credit-sensitive investments, including the use of proprietary credit stratifications and collateral stress analysis. After we make an investment, the Manager and Resource America engage in active monitoring of our investments for early detection of troubled and deteriorating assets. If a default occurs, we will use our senior management team's asset management experience in seeking to mitigate the severity of any losses, and to optimize the recovery from assets collateralizing the investment.

Managing our interest rate and liquidity risk. We generally seek to manage interest rate and liquidity risk so as to reduce the effects of interest rate changes on us. In our long-term financing, we seek to match the maturity and repricing dates of our investments with the maturities and repricing dates of our financing. Historically, we have used

CDO and CLO vehicles structured for us by our Manager to achieve this goal, and as credit markets have reopened, we expect to increase our use of these vehicles in the future. We engage in a number of business activities that are vulnerable to interest and liquidity risk. Our hedging strategy is intended to take advantage of commonly available derivative instruments to reduce, to the extent possible, interest rate and cash flow risks. We use derivative instruments, such as interest rate swaps and interest rate caps in our effort to reduce this risk.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

5

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

We manage our interest rate and liquidity risk on our short-term financing, principally repurchase agreements, by limiting the amount of our financial exposure under the facilities to either a stated investment amount or a fixed guaranty amount. At December 31, 2014 with respect to our existing Wells Fargo CMBS facility, we had \$29.9 million of short-term debt, and \$4.2 million of derivative instruments and pledged collateral of \$36.6 million associated with this debt. Our equity at risk was \$6.7 million, including net interest due on the financings. With respect to our Wells Fargo CRE facility, after paying down the facility with proceeds from our new CRE securitization, we had a balance of \$181.4 million of short-term debt at year end 2014 and pledged collateral of \$259.0 million associated with this debt. Our equity at risk was \$77.6 million, including net interest due. As of December 31, 2014, we also had a balance of \$62.2 million on short-term 30 day repurchase agreements with various counterparties to finance the purchase of CMBS with pledged collateral of \$89.3 million associated with this debt and equity at risk of \$27.1 million, including net interest as of December 31, 2014. These borrowings were made on a floating rate basis, which matched the underlying asset collateral on the same floating rate basis to mitigate interest rate risk. Diversification of investments. We seek to manage our investment risk by maintaining a diversified portfolio of real estate-related and commercial finance assets. As funds become available for investment or reinvestment, we seek to maintain that diversification while allocating our capital to those sectors that we believe are the most economically attractive. The percentage of assets that we may invest in certain of our targeted asset classes is subject to the federal income tax requirements for REIT qualification and the requirements for exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which we refer to as the Investment Company Act.

#### Our Operating Policies

**Investment guidelines.** We have established investment policies, procedures and guidelines that are reviewed and approved by our investment committee and board of directors. The investment committee meets regularly to consider and approve proposed specific investments. The board of directors monitors the execution of our overall investment strategies and targeted asset classes. We acquire our investments primarily for income. We do not have a policy that requires us to focus our investments in one or more particular geographic areas or industries.

**Financing policies.** We have used leverage in order to increase potential returns to our stockholders and for financing our portfolio. We do not speculate on changes in interest rates. While we have identified our leverage targets for each of our targeted asset classes, our investment policies require no minimum or maximum leverage and our investment committee has the discretion, without the need for further approval by our board of directors, to increase the amount of leverage we incur above our targeted range for individual asset classes subject, however, to any leverage constraints that may be imposed by existing financing arrangements.

We have historically used borrowing and securitization strategies, substantially through CDOs, to accomplish our long-term match funding financing strategy. As a result of improving conditions in the credit markets during 2013 and 2014, we expect to increase leverage through new CDO and CLO securitizations, and the continued use of our two Wells Fargo facilities in 2015. We may also seek other credit arrangements to finance new investments where we believe we can achieve attractive risk-adjusted returns, subject to availability.

**Hedging and interest rate management policies.** We use derivative financial instruments to hedge a portion of the interest rate risk associated with our borrowings. Under the federal income tax laws applicable to REITs, we generally will be able to enter into transactions to hedge indebtedness that we may incur, or plan to incur, to acquire or carry real estate assets, provided that our total gross income from such hedges and other non-qualifying sources does not exceed 25% of our total gross income. We generally seek to minimize interest rate risk with a strategy that is expected to result in the least amount of volatility under general accepted accounting principles while still meeting our strategic economic objectives and maintaining adequate liquidity and flexibility. These hedging transactions may include interest rate swaps, collars, caps or floors, puts, calls, options and foreign currency exchange protection.

**Credit and risk management policies.** Our Manager focuses its attention on credit and risk assessment from the earliest stage of the investment selection process. In addition, the Manager screens and monitors all potential investments to determine their impact on maintaining our REIT qualification under federal income tax laws and our exclusion from investment company status under the Investment Company Act. Portfolio risks, including risks related to credit losses, interest rate volatility, liquidity and counterparty credit are generally managed on a portfolio-by-portfolio basis by

each of Resource America's asset management divisions, although there is often interaction and cooperation between divisions in this process.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

6

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## General

The table below summarizes the amortized cost and net carrying amount of RSO's investment portfolio as of December 31, 2014, classified by interest rate and by asset type (in thousands, except percentages):

|   | Amortized<br>cost | Net Carrying<br>Amount | Percent of<br>portfolio | Weighted<br>average coupon |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Loans held for investment:                    |                   |                        |                         |                            |
| Commercial real estate loans <sup>(1)</sup> : |                   |                        |                         |                            |
| Whole loans                                   | \$1,263,592       | \$1,259,834            | 52.26                   | % 5.33%                    |
| B notes                                       | 16,072            | 16,017                 | 0.66                    | % 8.68%                    |
| Mezzanine loans                               | 67,366            | 67,136                 | 2.78                    | % 7.44%                    |
| Bank loans                                    | 330,648           | 330,078                | 13.69                   | % 3.70%                    |
| Middle-market loans                           | 250,113           | 250,113                | 10.38                   | % 8.35%                    |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | 2,802             | 2,802                  | 0.12                    | % 4.57%                    |
| Loans receivable-related party                | 1,277             | 1,277                  | 0.05                    | % 4.62%                    |
|   | 1,931,870         | 1,927,257              | 79.94                   | %                          |
| Loans held for sale <sup>(2)</sup> :          |                   |                        |                         |                            |
| Bank loans                                    | 282               | 282                    | 0.01                    | % 3.76%                    |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | 111,454           | 111,454                | 4.62                    | % 4.04%                    |
|   | 111,736           | 111,736                | 4.63                    | %                          |
| Investments in available-for-sale securities: |                   |                        |                         |                            |
| CMBS-private placement                        | 168,669           | 170,405                | 7.07                    | % 4.78%                    |
| CMBS-linked transactions                      | 14,900            | 15,367                 | 0.64                    | % 5.44%                    |
| RMBS  | 29,814            | 30,751                 | 1.28                    | % 3.17%                    |
| ABS   | 55,617            | 72,157                 | 2.99                    | % N/A <sup>(3)</sup>       |
| Corporate bonds                               | 2,415             | 2,407                  | 0.10                    | % 4.88%                    |
|   | 271,415           | 291,087                | 12.08                   | %                          |
| Investment securities, trading:               |                   |                        |                         |                            |
| Structured notes                              | 23,319            | 20,786                 | 0.86                    | % N/A <sup>(3)</sup>       |
| RMBS  | 1,896             | —                      | —                       | % N/A <sup>(3)</sup>       |
|   | 25,215            | 20,786                 | 0.86                    | %                          |
| Other (non-interest bearing):                 |                   |                        |                         |                            |
| Property available for sale                   | 180               | 180                    | 0.01                    | % N/A                      |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities         | 59,827            | 59,827                 | 2.48                    | % N/A                      |
|   | 60,007            | 60,007                 | 2.49                    | %                          |
| Total Investment Portfolio                    | \$2,400,243       | \$2,410,873            | 100.00                  | %                          |

(1) Net carrying amount includes an allowance for loan losses of \$4.0 million at December 31, 2014, allocated as follows: whole loans \$3.8 million, B notes \$55,000 and mezzanine loans \$231,000.

(2) Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair market value. Amortized cost is equal to fair value.

(3) There is no stated rate associated with these securities.

## Commercial Real Estate-Related Investments

Whole loans. We originate predominantly first mortgage loans, or whole loans, directly to borrowers. The direct origination of whole loans enables us to better control the structure of the loans and to maintain direct lending relationships with the borrowers. We may create senior tranches of a loan we originate, consisting of an A note (described below), B notes (described below), and mezzanine loans or other participations, which we may hold or sell to third parties. We do not obtain ratings on these investments. With respect to our portfolio at December 31, 2014, our whole loan investments have loan to value, or LTV, ratios that typically do not exceed 80%. Typically whole loan mortgages will have terms of three years to five years, and are generally structured with an original term of up to three

years, with one-year extensions that bring the loan to a maximum term of five years. We expect to hold our whole loans to their maturity.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

7

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Senior interests in whole loans (A notes). We invest in senior interests in whole mortgage loans, referred to as A notes, either directly originated or purchased from third parties. We do not obtain ratings on these investments. With respect to our portfolio at December 31, 2014, at the date of investment, our A note investments had LTV ratios not exceeding 70%. We expect to hold our A note investments to their maturity.

Subordinate interests in whole loans (B notes). To a lesser extent we invest in subordinate interests in whole loans, referred to as B notes, which we either directly originate or purchase from third parties. B notes are loans secured by a first mortgage but are subordinated to an A note. The subordination of a B note is generally evidenced by an intercreditor or participation agreement between the holders of the A note and the B note. In some instances, the B note lender may require a security interest in the stock or partnership interests of the borrower as part of the transaction. B note lenders have the same obligations, collateral and borrower as the A note lender, but typically are subordinated in recovery upon a default to the A note lender. B notes share certain credit characteristics with second mortgages in that both are subject to greater credit risk with respect to the underlying mortgage collateral than the corresponding first mortgage or A note. We do not obtain ratings on these investments. With respect to our portfolio at December 31, 2014, at origination, our B note investments had LTV ratios between 55% and 80%. Typical B note investments will have terms of three years to five years, and are generally structured with an original term of up to three years, with one-year extensions that bring the loan to a maximum term of five years. We expect to hold our B note investments to their maturity.

In addition to the interest payable on the B note, we may earn fees charged to the borrower under the note or additional income by receiving principal payments in excess of the discounted price (below par value) we paid to acquire the note. Our ownership of a B note with controlling class rights may, in the event the financing fails to perform according to its terms, cause us to pursue our remedies as owner of the B note, which may include foreclosure on, or modification of, the note. In some cases, the owner of the A note may be able to foreclose or modify the note against our wishes as owner of the B note. As a result, our economic and business interests may diverge from the interests of the owner of the A note.

Mezzanine financing. To a lesser extent we invest in mezzanine loans that are senior to the borrower's equity in, and subordinate to a first mortgage loan on, a property. These loans are secured by pledges of ownership interests, in whole or in part, in entities that directly own the real property. In addition, we may require other collateral to secure mezzanine loans, including letters of credit, personal guarantees of the principals of the borrower, or collateral unrelated to the property. We may structure our mezzanine loans so that we receive a stated fixed or variable interest rate on the loan as well as a percentage of gross revenues and a percentage of the increase in the fair market value of the property securing the loan, payable upon maturity, refinancing or sale of the property. Our mezzanine loans may also have prepayment lockouts, penalties, minimum profit hurdles and other mechanisms to protect and enhance returns in the event of premature repayment. With respect to our portfolio at December 31, 2014, at origination, our mezzanine investments had LTV ratios between 65% and 90%. We expect the stated maturity of our mezzanine financings to range from three to five years. Mezzanine loans typically have maturities that match the maturity of the related mortgage loans but may have shorter or longer terms. We expect to hold these investments to maturity.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following charts describe the loan type, property type and the geographic breakdown of our CRE loan portfolio as of December 31, 2014 (based on par value):

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

9

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

As these charts demonstrate, our portfolio contains a diversified mix of property types with approximately 94% of the portfolio focusing on four types: multifamily – 44%; hotel - 19%; office - 16% and retail – 15%.

Approximately 28% of our portfolio is in California, which we split into Southern (21%) and Northern (7%) regions. Within the Southern California region, we have 94% of our portfolio in whole loans with 74% in four property types: hotel–31%, retail–27%, multifamily–8% and office–8%. Within the Northern California region, we have 100% of our portfolio in whole loans with 90% in two property types: office–53% and retail–37%. We also hold 27% of our portfolio in Texas. Within the state of Texas, we have 98% of our portfolio in whole loans with 88% in two property types: multifamily–79% and office–9%. As noted in these statistics, this portfolio is made up primarily of whole loans where we are able to better control the structure of the loan and maintain a direct lending relationship with the borrower. We view the investment and credit strategy as being adequately diversified across property type and loan type across the Southern and Northern California regions and Texas.

**CMBS.** We invest in CMBS, which are securities that are secured by or evidence interests in a pool of mortgage loans secured by commercial properties. These securities may be senior or subordinate and may be either investment grade or non-investment grade. The majority of our CMBS investments have been rated by at least one nationally recognized rating agency.

The yields on CMBS depend on the timely payment of interest and principal due on the underlying mortgage loans and defaults by the borrowers on such loans may ultimately result in deficiencies and defaults on the CMBS. In the event of a default, the trustee for the benefit of the holders of CMBS has recourse only to the underlying pool of mortgage loans and, if a loan is in default, to the mortgaged property securing such mortgage loan. After the trustee has exercised all of the rights of a lender under a defaulted mortgage loan and the related mortgaged property has been liquidated, no further remedy will be available. However, holders of relatively senior classes of CMBS will be protected to a certain degree by the structural features of the securitization transaction within which such CMBS were issued, such as the subordination of the relatively more junior classes of the CMBS.

**Commercial Real Estate Investments**

In 2011, we began to invest directly in the ownership of commercial real estate, restructuring two real estate loans to take control of properties where we believed we could protect capital and ultimately generate capital appreciation. We later acquired two multi-family real estate assets, one through a joint venture and the other directly wholly-owned by us, as well as a hotel property. We sold the wholly-owned multi-family property at a substantial gain of \$16.6 million in 2013, and divested the remaining commercial real estate assets during 2014 at a gain of \$6.1 million. As of December 31, 2014, we were not directly invested in the ownership of any commercial real estate assets. We primarily used a related party, Resource Real Estate, a subsidiary of Resource America, to manage these assets on our behalf.

**Other Real Estate Investments**

We may invest in joint ventures and other interests that finance the acquisition of distressed commercial properties and mortgage loans on distressed commercial properties. These investments did not constitute a material portion of our assets during 2013 and we divested these interests during 2014. We do not hold any such investments as of December 31, 2014.

**Structured Note Investments and Residential Real Estate-Related Investments, or RMBS**

We invest in structured notes and RMBS as part of our trading portfolio. Structured note investments are investments in structured finance vehicles that are typically among the most junior debt, or are equity securities, issued by the vehicle. The majority of our structured notes have not been rated by any nationally recognized rating agencies. These notes and equity securities typically receive quarterly interest payments or distributions only after the more senior debt securities issued by the vehicle have received all amounts contractually then owed to them. We also invest in RMBS, which are securities that are secured or evidenced by interests in a pool of residential mortgage loans. These securities may be issued by government-sponsored agencies or other entities and may or may not be rated investment grade by rating agencies. We expect that our RMBS will include loan pools with home equity loans (loans that are secured by subordinate liens), residential B or C loans (loans where the borrower's FICO score, a measure used to rate the financial strength of the borrower, is low, generally below 625), “Alt-A” loans (where the borrower's FICO score is between 675 and 725) and “high LTV” loans (loans where the LTV is 95% or greater).

**Residential Mortgage Origination**

Primary Capital Mortgage, LLC, or PCM, (formerly known as Primary Capital Advisors, LLC) is a residential mortgage lender and servicer offering home loans in 35 states as of December 31, 2014 through retail, wholesale and correspondent channels. PCM primarily originates agency mortgage loans for the purpose of selling these loans to the appropriate federal agency. PCM originated \$545.1 million of agency mortgage loans in 2014. In 2014, PCM developed a non-agency mortgage product, specifically to originate and service prime jumbo mortgage loans. During 2014, PCM originated \$82.2 million of prime jumbo mortgage loans financed primarily by a dedicated credit facility. During 2015, PCM expects to continue to expand geographically and increase its non-agency mortgage production. Founded in 1994, PCM has funded more than \$13.0 billion in residential mortgages since inception and, as of December 31, 2014, PCM serviced over \$1.0 billion of residential mortgage loans.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

10

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Commercial Finance Investments

Subject to limitations imposed by REIT qualification standards and requirements for exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act, we may invest in the following commercial finance assets:

Bank loans. Historically, we have acquired senior and subordinated, secured and unsecured loans made by banks or other financial entities. We may, in the future, make similar investments consistent with our business strategy. Bank loans may also include revolving credit facilities, under which the lender is obligated to advance funds to the borrower under the credit facility as requested by the borrower from time to time. Some of these loans may have an interest-only payment schedule, with the principal amount remaining outstanding and at risk until the maturity of the loan. These loans may include restrictive financial and operating covenants. The following chart describes the industry breakdown of our bank loans as of December 31, 2014 (based on par value):

Other is made up of the following industries (by percentage):

|  |     |   |
|--|-----|---|
| Printing and Publishing  | 1.9 | % |
| Beverage, Food and Tobacco   | 1.8 | % |
| Aerospace and Defense  | 1.8 | % |
| Containers, Packaging and Glass  | 1.6 | % |
| Oil and Gas  | 1.6 | % |
| Mining, Steel, Iron and Non-Precious Metals                            | 1.4 | % |
| Insurance  | 1.3 | % |
| Cargo Transport  | 0.9 | % |
| Machinery (Non-Agriculture, Non-Construction, Non-Electronic)          | 0.8 | % |
| Personal and Non Durable Consumer Products (Mfg. Only)                 | 0.8 | % |
| Home and Office Furnishings, Housewares, and Durable Consumer Products | 0.7 | % |
| Diversified/Conglomerate Manufacturing                                 | 0.5 | % |
| Grocery  | 0.4 | % |
| Ecological   | 0.2 | % |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Middle market loans. As a principal part of our business strategy, we make both senior and subordinated, secured and unsecured loans to middle market companies, either through directly originated transactions or purchases from third parties. Our middle market loan portfolio focuses on privately held companies in a broad variety of industries with an EBITDA between \$5.0 million and \$50.0 million and a target investment of \$10.0 million to \$40.0 million. Loans may also include revolving credit facilities, under which the lender is obligated to advance funds to the borrower under the credit facility as requested by the borrower from time to time. We expect that most of these loans will be secured by liens on the assets of, and, to a lesser extent, by mortgages on real properties of the borrowers. Certain loans in our middle market portfolio may have an interest-only payment schedule, with the principal amount remaining outstanding and at risk until the maturity of the loan. Typical middle market loans will have terms between three years and six years. These loans may include restrictive financial and operating covenants. In conjunction with some loans, we may also make minority equity investments. The following chart describes the industry breakdown of our middle market loans as of December 31, 2014 (based on par value):

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

12

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Preferred equity. We have a preferred equity investment in a leasing company that invests in small- and middle-ticket full payout lease receivables. Although previously we had maintained a lease receivable portfolio, we transferred that portfolio to the leasing company in return for the preferred equity interest. We do not expect to invest in a directly-held leasing portfolio for the foreseeable future.

Trust preferred securities and other ABS. We have one investment (less than 0.1% of our total assets) in trust preferred securities. With certain exceptions relating to smaller banking institutions, the Dodd-Frank Act provided for a phase out of the use of trust preferred securities as primary regulatory capital for financial institutions which has resulted in a lack of new issuances. Accordingly, we do not expect to make trust preferred securities investments in the future.

#### Competition

See Item 1A "Risk Factors - Risks Related to Our Investments "We may face competition for suitable investments."

#### Management Agreement

We have a management agreement with the Manager and Resource America under which the Manager provides the day-to-day management of our operations. The agreement has been amended several times over the years. The management agreement requires the Manager to manage our business affairs in conformity with the policies and investment guidelines established by our board of directors. The Manager's role as manager is under the supervision and direction of our board of directors. The Manager is responsible for the selection, purchase and sale of our portfolio investments, our financing activities, and providing us with investment advisory services. The Manager also provides us with a Chairman of the Board, a Chief Financial Officer, several accounting and tax professionals and an investor relations officer (on a shared basis). The Manager receives fees and is reimbursed for its expenses as follows:

A monthly base management fee equal to 1/12th of the amount of our equity multiplied by 1.50%. Under the management agreement, "equity" is equal to the net proceeds from any issuance of shares of common stock less offering-related costs, plus or minus our retained earnings (excluding non-cash equity compensation incurred in current or prior periods) less any amounts we have paid for common stock repurchases. The calculation is adjusted for one-time events due to changes in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which we refer to as GAAP, as well as other non-cash charges, upon approval of our independent directors.

Incentive compensation, calculated as follows: (i) 25% of the dollar amount by which (A) our adjusted operating earnings (before incentive compensation but after the base management fee) for such quarter per common share (based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for such quarter) exceeds (B) an amount equal to (1) the weighted average of the price per share of the common stock in our initial offering and the prices per share of the common stock in any of our subsequent offerings, in each case at the time of issuance thereof, multiplied by (2) the greater of (a) 2.00% and (b) 0.50% plus one-fourth of the Ten Year Treasury Rate for such quarter, multiplied by (ii) the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during such quarter subject to adjustment to exclude events pursuant to changes in GAAP or the application of GAAP, as well as non-recurring or unusual transactions or events, after discussion between the Manager and the independent directors and approval by a majority of the independent directors in the case of non-recurring or unusual transactions or events.

Reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses and certain other costs incurred by the Manager that relate directly to us and our operations.

Reimbursement of the Manager for the expense of the wages, salaries and benefits of our Chairman, our Chief Financial Officer, several accounting and tax professionals and 50% of the salary and benefits of the director of investor relations.

In November 2013, we amended the second amended and restated management agreement to allow an ancillary operating subsidiary to directly incur and pay all of its own operating costs and expenses, including compensation to employees and reimbursement of any compensation costs incurred by the Manager for the personnel principally devoted to such ancillary operating subsidiary.

Incentive compensation is paid quarterly to the Manager to the extent it is earned. Up to seventy-five percent (75%) of the incentive compensation will be paid in cash and at least twenty-five percent (25%) is paid in the form of an award of common stock. The Manager may elect to receive more than 25% of its incentive compensation in common stock.

All shares are fully vested upon issuance. However, the Manager may not sell such shares for one year after the incentive compensation becomes due and payable unless the management agreement is terminated. Shares payable as incentive compensation are valued as follows:

• if such shares are traded on a securities exchange, at the average of the closing prices of the shares on such exchange over the thirty day period ending three days prior to the issuance of such shares;

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

13

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

if such shares are actively traded over-the-counter, at the average of the closing bid or sales price as applicable over the thirty day period ending three days prior to the issuance of such shares; and  
if there is no active market for such shares, at the fair market value as reasonably determined in good faith by our board of directors.

As amended, the management agreement has a current term ending on March 31, 2015. The agreement provides for automatic one year renewals on each March 31 thereafter until terminated. Our board of directors reviews the Manager's performance annually. The management agreement may be terminated annually upon the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of our independent directors, or by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of our common stock, based upon unsatisfactory performance that is materially detrimental to us or a determination by our independent directors that the management fees payable to the Manager are not fair, subject to the Manager's right to prevent such a compensation termination by accepting a mutually acceptable reduction of management fees. Our board of directors must provide 180 days' prior notice of any such termination. If we terminate the management agreement, the Manager is entitled to a termination fee equal to four times the sum of the average annual base management fee and the average annual incentive compensation earned by the Manager during the two 12-month periods immediately preceding the date of termination, calculated as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter before the date of termination.

We may also terminate the management agreement for cause with 30 days' prior written notice from our board of directors. No termination fee is payable with respect to a termination for cause. The management agreement defines cause as:

- the Manager's continued material breach of any provision of the management agreement following a period of 30 days after written notice thereof;
- the Manager's fraud, misappropriation of funds, or embezzlement against us;
- the Manager's gross negligence in the performance of its duties under the management agreement;
- the bankruptcy or insolvency of the Manager, or the filing of a voluntary bankruptcy petition by the Manager;
- the dissolution of the Manager; and

a change of control (as defined in the management agreement) of the Manager if a majority of our independent directors determines, at any point during the 18 months following the change of control, that the change of control was detrimental to the ability of the Manager to perform its duties in substantially the same manner conducted before the change of control.

Cause does not include unsatisfactory performance that is materially detrimental to our business.

The management agreement will terminate at the Manager's option, without payment of the termination fee, if we become regulated as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, with such termination deemed to occur immediately before such event.

**Regulatory Aspects of Our Investment Strategy: Exclusion from Regulation Under the Investment Company Act.**

We operate our business so as to be excluded from regulation under the Investment Company Act. Because we conduct our business through wholly-owned subsidiaries, we must ensure not only that we qualify for an exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act, but also that each of our subsidiaries also qualifies.

We believe that RCC Real Estate, Inc., the subsidiary that as of December 31, 2014, held all of our commercial real estate loan assets, is excluded from Investment Company Act regulation under Sections 3(c)(5)(C) and 3(c)(6), provisions designed for companies that do not issue redeemable securities and are primarily engaged in the business of purchasing or otherwise acquiring mortgages and other liens on and interests in real estate. To qualify for this exclusion, at least 55% of RCC Real Estate's assets must consist of mortgage loans and other assets that are considered the functional equivalent of mortgage loans for purposes of the Investment Company Act, and interests in real properties, which we refer to as "qualifying real estate assets." Moreover, 80% of RCC Real Estate's assets must consist of qualifying real estate assets and other real estate-related assets. RCC Real Estate has not issued, and does not intend to issue, redeemable securities.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

We treat our investments in whole mortgage loans, specific types of B notes and specific types of mezzanine loans as qualifying real estate assets for purposes of determining our eligibility for the exclusion provided by Section 3(c)(5)(C) to the extent such treatment is consistent with guidance provided by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, or its staff. We believe that SEC staff guidance allows us to treat B notes as qualifying real estate assets where we have unilateral rights to instruct the servicer to foreclose upon a defaulted mortgage loan, replace the servicer in the event the servicer, in its discretion, elects not to foreclose on such a loan, and purchase the A note in the event of a default on the mortgage loan. We believe, based upon an analysis of existing SEC staff guidance, that we may treat mezzanine loans as qualifying real estate assets where (i) the borrower is a special purpose bankruptcy-remote entity whose sole purpose is to hold all of the ownership interests in another special purpose entity that owns commercial real property, (ii) both entities are organized as limited liability companies or limited partnerships, (iii) under their organizational documents and the loan documents, neither entity may engage in any other business, (iv) the ownership interests of either entity have no value apart from the underlying real property which is essentially the only asset held by the property-owning entity, (v) the value of the underlying property in excess of the amount of senior obligations is in excess of the amount of the mezzanine loan, (vi) the borrower pledges its entire interest in the property-owning entity to the lender which obtains a perfected security interest in the collateral, and (vii) the relative rights and priorities between the mezzanine lender and the senior lenders with respect to claims on the underlying property is set forth in an intercreditor agreement between the parties which gives the mezzanine lender certain cure and purchase rights in case there is a default on the senior loan. If the SEC staff provides future guidance that these investments are not qualifying real estate assets, we will treat them, for purposes of determining our eligibility for the exclusion provided by Section 3(c)(5)(C), as real estate-related assets or miscellaneous assets, as appropriate. Historically, we have held “whole pool certificates” in mortgage loans, although, at December 31, 2014 and 2013, we had no whole pool certificates in our portfolios. Pursuant to existing SEC staff guidance, we consider whole pool certificates to be qualifying real estate assets. A whole pool certificate is a certificate that represents the entire beneficial interest in an underlying pool of mortgage loans. By contrast, a certificate that represents less than the entire beneficial interest in the underlying mortgage loans is not considered to be a qualifying real estate asset for purposes of the 55% test, but constitutes a real estate-related asset for purposes of the 80% test. We do not expect that investments in CDOs, ABS, bank loans, lease receivables, trust preferred securities and private equity will constitute qualifying real estate assets. Moreover, to the extent that these investments are not backed by mortgage loans or other interests in real estate, they will not constitute real estate-related assets. Instead, they will constitute miscellaneous assets, which can constitute no more than 20% of RCC Real Estate's assets. To the extent RCC Real Estate holds its commercial real estate loan assets through wholly or majority-owned CDO subsidiaries, RCC Real Estate also intends to conduct its operations so that it will not come within the definition of an investment company set forth in Section 3(a)(1)(C) of the Investment Company Act because less than 40% of the value of its total assets (exclusive of government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis will consist of “investment securities,” which we refer to as the 40% test. “Investment securities” exclude U.S. government securities and securities of majority-owned subsidiaries that are not themselves investment companies and are not relying on the exception from the definition of investment company under Section 3(c)(1) or Section 3(c)(7) of the Investment Company Act. Certain of the wholly-owned CDO subsidiaries of RCC Real Estate rely on Section 3(c)(5)(C) for their Investment Company Act exemption, with the result that RCC Real Estate's interests in the CDO subsidiaries do not constitute “investment securities” for the purpose of the 40% test.

Of our other subsidiaries, RCC Commercial, Inc., or RCC Commercial, RCC Commercial II, Inc., or RCC Commercial II, RCC Commercial III, Inc., or RCC Commercial III, Resource TRS, Inc., or Resource TRS, Resource TRS IV, Inc., or Resource TRS IV, RCC Residential Portfolio TRS, Inc., or RCC Resi TRS and Long Term Care Conversion, Inc., or LTCC, do not qualify for the Section 3(c)(5)(C) exclusion. However, we believe they qualify for exclusion under either Section 3(c)(1) or 3(c)(7). As required by these exclusions, we will not allow any of these entities to make, or propose to make, a public offering of its securities. In addition, with respect to those subsidiaries for which we rely upon the Section 3(c)(1) exclusion, and as required thereby, we limit the number of holders of their securities to not more than 100 persons calculated in accordance with the attribution rules of Section 3(c)(1) and, with respect to those subsidiaries for which we rely on the Section 3(c)(7) exclusion, and as required thereby, we limit

ownership of their securities to “qualified purchasers.” If we form other subsidiaries, we must ensure that they qualify for an exemption or exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act. One other subsidiary, Resource TRS II, Inc. is an operating company which accordingly does not own investment securities, and Resource TRS V, Inc., or Resource TRS V, holds a deferred tax asset, which is not an investment security.

Moreover, we must ensure that Resource Capital Corp. itself qualifies for an exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act. We will do so by monitoring the value of our interests in our subsidiaries so that we can ensure that Resource Capital Corp. meets the 40% test. Our interest in RCC Real Estate does not constitute an “investment security” for purposes of the 40% test, but our interests in RCC Commercial, RCC Commercial II, RCC Commercial III, Resource TRS, and Resource TRS IV, RCC Resi TRS and LTCC do. Accordingly, we must monitor the value of our interest in these subsidiaries to ensure that the value of our interests in them never exceeds 40% of the value of our total assets.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

15

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

We have not received, nor have we sought, a no-action letter from the SEC regarding how our investment strategy fits within the exclusions from regulation under the Investment Company Act. To the extent that the SEC provides more specific or different guidance regarding the treatment of assets as qualifying real estate assets or real estate-related assets, we may have to adjust our investment strategy. Any additional guidance from the SEC could further inhibit our ability to pursue our investment strategy.

#### Employees

We have no direct employees, except for those who work for PCM, our residential mortgage origination company acquired in 2013. Under our management agreement, the Manager provides us with all management and support personnel and services necessary for our day-to-day operations, except for PCM's operations. To provide its services, the Manager draws upon the expertise and experience of Resource America. In April 2012, Resource America and its affiliated entities including (with respect to managing our bank loans and related CLO portfolios) formed a joint venture, CVC Credit Partners, in which Resource America has retained a 33% partnership interest. We continue to rely on the expertise of employees of this venture to manage certain of our assets. As of December 31, 2014, Resource America had 737 full-time employees involved in asset management, including 82 asset management professionals and 655 support personnel. Under our management agreement, the Manager also must provide us with our Chairman, our Chief Financial Officer and several accounting and tax professionals, each of whom is exclusively dedicated to our operations, as well as a director of investor relations who is 50% dedicated to our operations. We bear the expense of the wages, salaries and benefits of our Chairman, our Chief Financial Officer and the accounting and tax professionals dedicated to us, and 50% of the salary and benefits of the director of investor relations.

#### Corporate Governance and Internet Address

We emphasize the importance of professional business conduct and ethics through our corporate governance initiatives. Our board of directors consists of a majority of independent directors, as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and relevant New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, rules. The audit, compensation and nominating and governance committees of our board of directors are composed exclusively of independent directors. We have adopted corporate governance guidelines and a code of business conduct and ethics, which delineate our standards for our officers and directors, and the employees of our Manager who provide us services.

Our internet address is [www.resourcecapitalcorp.com](http://www.resourcecapitalcorp.com). We make available, free of charge through a link on our site, all reports filed with the SEC as soon as reasonably practicable after such filing. Our site also contains our code of business conduct and ethics, corporate governance guidelines and the charters of the audit committee, nominating and governance committee and compensation committee of our board of directors. A complete list of our filings is available on the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Any of our filings are also available at the Securities and Exchange Commission's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. The Public Reference Room may be contacted at telephone number (800) 732-0330 for further information.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## ITEM IA. RISK FACTORS

This section describes material risks affecting our business. In connection with the forward-looking statements that appear in this annual report, you should carefully review the factors discussed below and the cautionary statements referred to in "Forward-Looking Statements."

### Impact of Current Economic Conditions

If current economic and market conditions were to deteriorate, our ability to obtain the capital and financing necessary for growth may be limited, which could limit our profitability, ability to make distributions and the market price of our common stock.

We depend upon the availability of adequate debt and equity capital for growth in our operations. Although we have been able to raise both debt and equity capital during 2013 and 2014, if current economic conditions were to deteriorate, our ability to access debt or equity capital on acceptable terms, or at all, could be limited which could limit our profitability, our ability to make distributions and the market price of our common stock. In addition, as a REIT, we must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain, to our stockholders and are therefore not able to retain significant amounts of our earnings for new investments. While we may, through our taxable REIT subsidiaries, or TRSs, retain earnings as new capital, we are subject to REIT qualification requirements which limit the value of TRS stock and securities relative to the other assets owned by a REIT.

We cannot predict the effects on us of actions taken by the U.S. government and governmental agencies in response to economic conditions in the United States

In response to economic and market conditions, U.S. and foreign governments and governmental agencies have established or proposed a number of programs designed to improve the financial system and credit markets, and to stimulate economic growth including in the U.S. "quantitative easing" programs by the Federal Reserve. Many governments, including federal, state and local governments in the U.S., are incurring substantial budget deficits and seeking financing in international and national credit markets as well as proposing or enacting austerity programs that seek to reduce government spending, raise taxes, or both. Many credit providers, including banks, may need to obtain additional capital before they will be able to expand their lending activities. We are unable to evaluate the effects these programs and conditions will have upon our financial condition, income, or ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

### Risks Related to Our Financing

Our portfolio has been financed in material part through the use of leverage, which may reduce the return on our investments and cash available for distribution.

Our portfolio has been financed in material part through the use of leverage and, as credit market conditions permit, we will seek such financing in the future. Using leverage subjects us to risks associated with debt financing, including the risks that:

- the cash provided by our operating activities will not be sufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest,
- the cost of financing may increase relative to the income from the assets financed, reducing the income we have available to pay distributions, and

- our investments may have maturities that differ from the maturities of the related financing and, consequently, the risk that the terms of any refinancing we obtain will not be as favorable as the terms of existing financing.

If we are unable to secure refinancing of our currently outstanding financing, when due, on acceptable terms, we may be forced to dispose of some of our assets at disadvantageous terms or to obtain financing at unfavorable terms, either of which may result in losses to us or reduce the cash flow available to meet our debt service obligations or to pay distributions.

Financing that we may obtain, and financing we have obtained through CDO and CLOs, typically requires, or will require, us to maintain a specified ratio of the amount of the financing to the value of the assets financed. A decrease in the value of these assets may lead to margin calls or calls for the pledge of additional assets which we will have to satisfy. We may not have sufficient funds or unpledged assets to satisfy any such calls, which could result in our loss of distributions from and interests in affected CDOs and CLOs, which would reduce our assets, income and ability to

make distributions.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

17

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Our repurchase agreements, warehouse facilities and other short-term financings have credit risks that could result in losses.

If we accumulate assets for a CDO or CLO on a short-term credit facility and do not complete the CDO financing, or if a default occurs under the facility, the short-term lender will sell the assets and we would be responsible for the amount by which the original purchase price of the assets exceeds their sale price, up to the amount of our investment or guaranty.

We will lose money on our repurchase transactions if the counterparty to the transaction defaults on its obligation to resell the underlying security back to us at the end of the transaction term, or if the value of the underlying security has declined as of the end of the term or if we default on our obligations under the repurchase agreements.

We are exposed to loss if lenders under our repurchase agreements, warehouse facilities, or other short-term lenders liquidate the assets securing those facilities. Moreover, assets acquired by us pursuant to our repurchase agreements, warehouse facilities or other short-term debt may not be suitable for refinancing through long-term arrangements which may require us to liquidate some or all of the related assets.

We have entered into repurchase agreements and warehouse facilities and expect in the future to seek additional debt to finance our growth. Lenders typically have the right to liquidate assets securing or acquired under these facilities upon the occurrence of specified events, such as an event of default. We are exposed to loss if the proceeds received by the lender upon liquidation are insufficient to satisfy our obligation to the lender. We are also subject to the risk that the assets subject to such repurchase agreements, warehouse facilities or other debt might not be suitable for long-term refinancing or securitization transactions. If we are unable to refinance these assets on a long-term basis, or if long-term financing is more expensive than we anticipated at the time of our acquisition of the assets to be financed, we might be required to liquidate assets.

We will incur losses on our repurchase transactions if the counterparty to the transactions defaults on its obligation to resell the underlying assets back to us at the end of the transaction term, or if the value of the underlying assets has declined as of the end of the term or if we default in our obligations to purchase the assets.

When engaged in repurchase transactions, we generally sell assets to the transaction counterparty and receive cash from the counterparty. The counterparty must resell the assets back to us at the end of the term of the transaction. Because the cash we receive from the counterparty when we initially sell the assets is less than the market value of those assets, if the counterparty defaults on its obligation to resell the assets back to us we will incur a loss on the transaction. We will also incur a loss if the value of the underlying assets has declined as of the end of the transaction term, as we will have to repurchase the assets for their initial value but would receive assets worth less than that amount. If we default upon our obligation to repurchase the assets, the counterparty may liquidate them at a loss, which we are obligated to repay. Any losses we incur on our repurchase transactions would reduce our earnings, and thus our cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Financing our REIT qualifying assets with repurchase agreements and warehouse facilities could adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

We have entered into and intend to enter into, sale and repurchase agreements under which we nominally sell certain REIT qualifying assets to a counterparty and simultaneously enter into an agreement to repurchase the sold assets. We believe that we will be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as the owner of the assets that are the subject of any such agreement notwithstanding that we may transfer record ownership of the assets to the counterparty during the term of the agreement. It is possible, however, that the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, could assert that we did not own the assets during the term of the sale and repurchase agreement, in which case our ability to qualify as a REIT would be adversely affected. If any of our REIT qualifying assets are subject to a repurchase agreement and are sold by the counterparty in connection with a margin call, the loss of those assets could impair our ability to qualify as a REIT. Accordingly, unlike other REITs, we may be subject to additional risk regarding our ability to qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT.

Historically, we have financed most of our investments through CDOs and have retained the equity. CDO equity receives distributions from the CDO only if the CDO generates enough income to first pay the holders of its debt securities and its expenses.

Historically, we have financed most of our investments through CDOs (including CLOs) in which we retained the equity interest. Depending on market conditions and credit availability, we intend to use CDOs to finance our investments in the future. The equity interests of a CDO are subordinate in right of payment to all other securities issued by the CDO. The equity is usually entitled to all of the income generated by the CDO after the CDO pays all of the interest due on the debt securities and its other expenses. However, there will be little or no income available to the CDO equity if there are excessive defaults by the issuers of the underlying collateral which would significantly reduce the value of that interest. Reductions in the value of the equity interests we have in a CDO, if we determine that they are other than temporary, will reduce our earnings. In addition, the liquidity of the equity securities of CDOs is constrained and, because they represent a leveraged investment in the CDO's assets, the value of the equity securities will generally have greater fluctuations than the value of the underlying collateral.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

18

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

If our CDO financings fail to meet their performance tests, including over-collateralization requirements, our net income and cash flow from these CDOs will be eliminated.

Our CDOs generally provide that the principal amount of their assets must exceed the principal balance of the related securities issued by them by a certain amount, commonly referred to as “over-collateralization.” If delinquencies and/or losses exceed specified levels, based on the analysis by the rating agencies (or any financial guaranty insurer) of the characteristics of the assets collateralizing the securities issued by the CDO issuer, the required level of over-collateralization may be increased or may be prevented from decreasing as would otherwise be permitted if losses or delinquencies did not exceed those levels. A failure by a CDO to satisfy an over-collateralization test typically results in accelerated distributions to the holders of the senior debt securities issued by the CDO entity, resulting in reduction or elimination of distributions to more junior securities until the over-collateralization requirements have been met or the senior debt securities have been paid in full.

Our equity holdings and, when we acquire debt interests in CDOs, our debt interests, if any, generally are subordinate in right of payment to the other classes of debt securities issued by the CDO entity. Accordingly, if overcollateralization tests are not met, distributions on the subordinated debt and equity we hold in these CDOs will cease, resulting in a substantial reduction in our cash flow. Other tests (based on delinquency levels, interest coverage or other criteria) may restrict our ability to receive cash distributions from assets collateralizing the securities issued by the CDO entity. Although at December 31, 2014, all of our CDOs met their performance tests, we cannot assure you that our CDOs will satisfy the performance tests in the future. For information concerning compliance by our CDOs with their over-collateralization tests, see “Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation - Summary of CDO and CLO Performance Statistics.”

If any of our CDOs fails to meet collateralization or other tests relevant to the most senior debt issued and outstanding by the CDO issuer, an event of default may occur under that CDO. If that occurs, our Manager's ability to manage the CDO likely would be terminated and our ability to attempt to cure any defaults in the CDO would be limited, which would increase the likelihood of a reduction or elimination of cash flow and returns to us in those CDOs for an indefinite time.

If we issue debt securities, the terms may restrict our ability to make cash distributions, require us to obtain approval to sell our assets or otherwise restrict our operations in ways which could make it difficult to execute our investment strategy and achieve our investment objectives.

Any debt securities we may issue in the future will likely be governed by an indenture or other instrument containing covenants restricting our operating flexibility. Holders of senior securities may be granted the right to hold a perfected security interest in certain of our assets, to accelerate payments due under the indenture if we breach financial or other covenants, to restrict distributions, and to require us to obtain their approval to sell assets. These covenants could limit our ability to operate our business or manage our assets effectively. Additionally, any convertible or exchangeable securities that we issue may have rights, preferences and privileges more favorable than those of our common stock. We, and indirectly our stockholders, will bear the cost of issuing and servicing such securities.

Depending upon market conditions, we intend to seek financing through CDOs, which would expose us to risks relating to the accumulation of assets for use in the CDOs.

Historically, we have financed a significant portion of our assets through the use of CDOs and CLOs, and have accumulated assets for these financings through short-term credit facilities, typically repurchase agreements or warehouse facilities. Depending upon market condition, and, consequently, the extent to which such financing is available to us, we expect to seek similar financing arrangements in the future. In addition to risks discussed above, arrangements could expose us to other credit risks, including the following:

An event of default under one short-term facility may constitute a default under other credit facilities we may have, potentially resulting in asset sales and losses to us, as well as increasing our financing costs or reducing the amount of investable funds available to us.

We may be unable to acquire a sufficient amount of eligible assets to maximize the efficiency of a CDO or CLO issuance, which would require us to seek other forms of term financing or liquidate the assets. We may not be able to obtain term financing on acceptable terms, or at all, and liquidation of the assets may be at prices less than those we paid, resulting in losses to us.

Using short-term financing to accumulate assets for a CDO or CLO issuance may require us to obtain new financing as the short-term financing matures. Residual financing may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. Moreover, an increase in short-term interest rates at the time that we seek to enter into new borrowings would reduce the spread between the income on our assets and the cost of our borrowings. This would reduce returns on our assets, which would reduce earnings and, in turn, cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

19

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Our hedging transactions may not completely insulate us from interest rate risk and may result in poorer overall investment performance than if we had not engaged in any hedging transactions.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, we pursue various hedging strategies to seek to reduce our exposure to losses from adverse changes in interest rates. Our interest rate hedging activity varies in scope depending upon market conditions relating to, among other factors, the level and volatility of interest rates and the type of assets we hold. There are practical limitations on our ability to insulate our portfolio from all of the negative consequences associated with changes in short-term interest rates, including:

• Available interest rate hedges may not correspond directly with the interest rate risk against which we seek protection.

• The duration of the hedge may not match the duration of the related liability.

• Interest rate hedging can be expensive, particularly during periods of rising and volatile interest rates. Hedging costs may include structuring and legal fees and fees payable to hedge counterparties to execute the hedge transaction.

• Losses on a hedge position may reduce the cash available to make distributions to stockholders, and may exceed the amounts invested in the hedge position.

• The amount of income that a REIT may earn from hedging transactions, other than through a TRS, is limited by federal tax provisions governing REITs.

• The credit quality of the party owing money on the hedge may be downgraded to such an extent that it impairs our ability to sell or assign our side of the hedging transaction.

• The party owing money in the hedging transaction may default on its obligation to pay.

We have adopted written policies and procedures governing our hedging activities. Under these policies and procedures, our board of directors is responsible for approving the types of hedging instruments we may use, absolute limits on the notional amount and term of a hedging instrument and parameters for the credit-worthiness of hedge counterparties. The senior managers responsible for each of our targeted asset classes are responsible for executing transactions using the services of independent interest rate risk management consultants, documenting the transactions, monitoring the valuation and effectiveness of the hedges, and providing reports concerning our hedging activities and the valuation and effectiveness of our hedges to the audit committee of our board of directors no less often than quarterly. Our guidelines also require us to engage one or more experienced third-party advisors to provide us with assistance in the identification of interest rate risks, the analysis, selection and timing of risk protection strategies, the administration and negotiation of hedge documentation, settlement or disposition of hedges, compliance with hedge accounting requirements and measurement of hedge effectiveness and valuation.

Hedging against a decline in the values of our portfolio positions does not eliminate the possibility of fluctuations in the values of the positions or prevent losses if the values of the positions decline. Hedging transactions may also limit the opportunity for gain if the values of the portfolio positions should increase. Moreover, we may not be able to hedge against an interest rate fluctuation that is generally anticipated by the market.

The success of our hedging transactions will depend on the Manager's ability to correctly predict movements of interest rates. Therefore, unanticipated changes in interest rates may result in poorer overall investment performance than if we had not engaged in any such hedging transactions. In addition, the degree of correlation between price movements of the instruments used in a hedging strategy and price movements in the portfolio positions being hedged may vary. Moreover, for a variety of reasons, we may not seek to establish a perfect correlation between such hedging instruments and the portfolio holdings being hedged. Any such imperfect correlation may prevent us from achieving the intended hedge and expose us to risk of loss.

Hedging instruments often are not traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearing house, or regulated by any U.S. or foreign governmental authorities and involve risks of default by the hedging counterparty and illiquidity.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, part of our investment strategy involves entering into puts and calls on securities or indices of securities, interest rate swaps, caps and collars, including options and forward contracts, and interest rate lock agreements, principally Treasury lock agreements, to seek to hedge against mismatches between the cash flows from our assets and the interest payments on our liabilities. Currently, many hedging instruments are not traded on regulated exchanges, guaranteed by an exchange or its clearing house, or regulated by any U.S. or foreign governmental authorities. Consequently, there may be no applicable requirements



with respect to record keeping, financial responsibility or segregation of customer funds and positions. Furthermore, the enforceability of agreements underlying derivative transactions may depend on compliance with applicable statutory and commodity and other regulatory requirements and, depending on the identity of the counterparty, applicable international requirements. The business failure of a counterparty with whom we enter into a hedging transaction will most likely result in a default. Default by a party with whom we entered into a hedging transaction may result in the loss of unrealized profits and force us to cover our resale commitments, if any, at the then current market price. Although generally we seek to reserve the right to terminate our hedging positions, we may not always be able to dispose of or close out a hedging position without the

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

20

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

consent of the hedging counterparty, and we may not be able to enter into an offsetting contract in order to cover our risk. A liquid secondary market may not exist for hedging instruments purchased or sold, and we may have to maintain a position until exercise or expiration, which could result in losses.

We may enter into hedging instruments that could expose us to unexpected losses in the future.

We have entered and may in the future enter into hedging instruments that require us to fund cash payments under certain circumstances, for example, upon the early termination of the instrument caused by an event of default or other early termination event, or the decision by a counterparty to request additional collateral for margin it is contractually owed under the terms of the instrument. The amount due would be equal to the unrealized loss of the open positions with the counterparty and could also include other fees and charges. These liabilities will be reflected in our consolidated balance sheet, and our ability to fund these obligations will depend on the liquidity of our assets and access to capital at the time, and the need to fund these obligations could adversely impact our financial condition.

Approximately 95% of our hedging arrangements are with a single counterparty and, as a consequence, our hedging strategy may fail if that counterparty defaults in its obligations.

As of December 31, 2014, approximately 97% of our outstanding interest rate hedges, with a notional amount of \$119.8 million, were with Credit Suisse International, or CS. Were CS to default in its obligations under these hedging arrangements, we would lose the hedge protection for which we had contracted which, depending upon market conditions, could result in significant losses to us. We cannot assure you that we could replace the defaulted hedges or that the terms of any replacement hedges we could obtain would be on similar terms, or as to the cost to us of obtaining replacement hedges.

#### Risks Related to Our Operations

We may change our investment strategy without stockholder consent, which may result in riskier investments than those currently targeted.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT and our exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act, we may change our investment strategy, including the percentage of assets that may be invested in each asset class, or in the case of securities, in a single issuer, at any time without the consent of our stockholders, which could result in our making investments that are different from, and possibly riskier than, the investments described in this report. A change in our investment strategy may increase our exposure to interest rate, credit market and real estate market fluctuations, all of which may reduce the market price of our common stock and reduce our ability to make distributions to stockholders. Furthermore, a change in our asset allocation could result in our making investments in asset categories different from those described in this report.

We believe AFFO is an appropriate measure of our operating performance; however, in certain instances AFFO may not be reflective of actual economic results.

We utilize AFFO as a measure of our operating performance and believe that it is useful to analysts, investors and other parties in the evaluations of REITS. We utilize AFFO as a measure of our operating performance, and believe it is also useful to investors because it facilitates an understanding of our operating performance after adjustment for certain non-cash expenses, such as real estate depreciation, share-based compensation and non-cash impairment losses resulting from fair value adjustments on financial instruments, non-cash provisions for loan losses, non-economic income related to variable interest entities, or VIEs, accounting, equity-method investments gains and losses, straight-line rental effects, amortization of various deferred items and intangible assets, gains on debt extinguishment, REIT tax planning adjustments considered non-recurring by management and capital expenditures that are related to our real estate owned. Additionally, we believe that AFFO serves as a good measure of our operating performance because it facilitates evaluation of our company without the effects of selected items required in accordance with GAAP that may not necessarily be indicative of current operating performance and that may not accurately compare our operating performance between periods. Nonetheless, in certain instances, AFFO may not necessarily be reflective of our actual economic results.

Terrorist attacks and other acts of violence or war may affect the market for our common stock, the industry in which we conduct our operations and our profitability.

Terrorist attacks may harm our results of operations and your investment. These attacks and other acts of violence or war may directly impact our assets, properties or other assets underlying our loans or debt securities or the securities

markets in general. Losses resulting from these types of events are generally uninsurable.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

21

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

More generally, any of these events could cause consumer confidence and spending to decrease or result in increased volatility in the United States and worldwide financial markets and economy. Adverse economic conditions could harm the value of some or all of the investments in our portfolio or the securities markets in general which could harm our operating results and revenues and may result in volatile values for assets in our portfolio.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud.

If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal control, fail to correct any flaws in the design or operating effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting and disclosure, or fail to prevent fraud, our stockholders could lose confidence in our financial and other reporting, which could harm our business and the trading price of our common stock.

Many of our investments may be illiquid, which may result in our realizing less than their recorded value should we need to sell such investments quickly.

If we determine to sell one or more of our investments, we may encounter difficulties in finding buyers in a timely manner as real estate debt and other of our investments generally cannot be disposed of quickly, especially when market conditions are poor. Moreover, some of these assets may be subject to legal and other restrictions on resale. If we are required to liquidate all or a portion of our portfolio quickly, we may realize significantly less than the value at which we have previously recorded our investments. In addition, we may face other restrictions on our ability to liquidate an investment in a business entity to the extent that we, the Manager or Resource America has or could be attributed with material non-public information regarding such business entity. These factors may limit our ability to vary our portfolio promptly in response to changes in economic or other conditions and may also limit our ability to use portfolio sales as a source of liquidity, which could limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders or repay debt.

We may have to repurchase assets that we have sold in connection with CDOs and other securitizations.

If any of the assets that we originate or acquire and sell or securitize do not comply with representations and warranties that we make about them, we may have to purchase these assets from the CDO or securitization vehicle, or replace them. In addition, we may have to indemnify purchasers for losses or expenses incurred as a result of a breach of a representation or warranty. Any significant repurchases or indemnification payments could materially reduce our liquidity, earnings and ability to make distributions.

We may be exposed to environmental liabilities with respect to properties to which we take title.

In the course of our business, we have taken title to, and expect we will in the future take title to, real estate through foreclosure on collateral underlying real estate debt investments. When we do take title to any property, we could be subject to environmental liabilities with respect to it. In such a circumstance, we may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation, and clean-up costs they incur as a result of environmental contamination, or may have to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances, or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial and could reduce our income and ability to make distributions.

Our residential mortgage origination subsidiary, PCM, could be adversely affected by weakness in residential housing markets and by the availability to it of warehouse credit facilities.

PCM primarily operates in the residential mortgage markets. A contraction of the U.S. housing market and overall economy, the tightening of credit restrictions, the availability of warehouse lines of credit and government regulations may negatively impact the future operations of PCM. PCM is a party to various warehouse lines of credit that expire at various times. PCM's operations depend upon the renewal of its warehouse lines of credit and continued access to permanent investors to continue to originate and sell residential mortgage loans at its current loan volume. Additionally, because of underwriting and other issues, permanent investors have been more aggressive pursuing indemnification or repurchase from loan originators, which may reduce PCM's ability to generate cash or operate profitably.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

If our allowance for loan losses is not adequate to cover actual future loan and lease losses, our earnings may decline. We maintain an allowance for loan losses to provide for loan defaults and non-performance by borrowers of their obligations. Our allowance for loan losses may not be adequate to cover actual future loan losses and future provisions for loan losses could materially reduce our income. We base our allowance for loan losses on prior experience, as well as an evaluation of risks in the current portfolio. However, losses may exceed our current estimates. The amount of future losses is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions that may be beyond our control, including changes in interest rates, changes in borrowers' creditworthiness and the value of collateral securing loans. Additionally, if we seek to expand our loan portfolios, we may need to make additional provisions for loan losses to ensure that the allowance remains at levels deemed appropriate by our management for the size and quality of our portfolios. While we believe that our allowance for loan and lease losses is adequate to cover our anticipated losses, we cannot assure you that it will not increase in the future. Any increase in our allowance for loan losses will reduce our income and, if sufficiently large, could cause us to incur loss.

Our due diligence may not reveal all of an investment's weaknesses.

Before investing in any asset, we will assess the strength and skills of the asset's management and operations, the value of the asset and, for debt investments, the value of any collateral securing the debt, the ability of the asset or underlying collateral to service the debt and other factors that we believe are material to the performance of the investment. In making the assessment and otherwise conducting customary due diligence, we will rely on the resources available to us and, in some cases, an investigation by third parties. This process is particularly important and subjective with respect to investments in newly-organized entities because there may be little or no information publicly available about the entities or, with respect to debt securities, any underlying collateral. Our due diligence processes, however, may not uncover all facts that may be relevant to an investment decision.

#### Risks Related to Our Investments

Declines in the market values of our investments may reduce periodic reported results, credit availability and our ability to make distributions.

We classify a substantial portion of our assets for accounting purposes as "available-for-sale." As a result, reductions in the market values of those assets are directly charged or credited to accumulated other comprehensive loss and could reduce our stockholders' equity. A decline in these values will reduce the book value of our assets. Moreover, if the decline in value of an available-for-sale asset is other than temporary, we are required by GAAP to record the decline as an asset impairment which will reduce our earnings.

A decline in the market value of our assets may also adversely affect us in instances where we have borrowed money based on the market value of those assets. If the market value of those assets declines, the lender may require us to post additional collateral to support the loan. If we were unable to post the additional collateral, we would have to repay some portion or all of the loan, which may require us to sell assets, which could potentially be under adverse market conditions. As a result, our earnings would be reduced or we could sustain losses, and cash available to make distributions could be reduced or eliminated.

Increases in interest rates and other factors could reduce the value of our investments, result in reduced earnings or losses and reduce our ability to pay distributions.

A significant risk associated with our investment in commercial real estate-related loans, CMBS and other debt instruments is the risk that either or both of long-term and short-term interest rates increase significantly. If long-term rates increase, the market value of our assets would decline. Even if assets underlying investments we may own in the future are guaranteed by one or more persons, including government or government-sponsored agencies, those guarantees do not protect against declines in market value of the related assets caused by interest rate changes. At the same time, with respect to assets that are not match-funded or that have been acquired with variable rate or short-term financing, an increase in short-term interest rates would increase our interest expense, reducing our net interest spread or possibly result in negative cash flow from those assets. This could result in reduced profitability and distributions or losses.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Investing in mezzanine debt and mezzanine or other subordinated tranches of CMBS, bank loans and other ABS involves greater risks of loss than senior secured debt investments.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT and exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act, we invest in mezzanine debt and expect to invest in mezzanine or other subordinated tranches of CMBS, bank loans and other ABS. These types of investments carry a higher degree of risk of loss than senior secured debt investments such as our whole loan investments because, in the event of default and foreclosure, holders of senior liens will be paid in full before mezzanine investors. Depending on the value of the underlying collateral at the time of foreclosure, there may not be sufficient assets to pay all or any part of amounts owed to mezzanine investors. Moreover, our mezzanine and other subordinate debt investments may have higher loan-to-value ratios than conventional senior lien financing, resulting in less equity in the collateral and increasing the risk of loss of principal. If a borrower defaults or declares bankruptcy, we may be subject to agreements restricting or eliminating our rights as a creditor, including rights to call a default, foreclose on collateral, accelerate maturity or control decisions made in bankruptcy proceedings. In addition, the prices of lower credit quality securities are generally less sensitive to interest rate changes than more highly rated investments, but more sensitive to economic downturns or individual issuer developments because the ability of obligors of instruments underlying the securities to make principal and interest payments may be impaired. In such event, existing credit support relating to the securities' structure may not be sufficient to protect us against loss of our principal. For additional risks regarding real estate-related loans, see "Risks Related to Real Estate Investments."

Private equity investments involve a greater risk of loss than traditional debt financing.

On occasion, we have made private equity investments. Typically, these investments are subordinate to debt financing and are not secured. Should the issuer default on our investment, we would only be able to proceed against the entity that issued the private equity in accordance with the terms of the security, and not any property owned by the entity. In the event of bankruptcy or foreclosure, we would only be able to recoup our investment after any lenders to the entity are paid. As a result, we may not recover some or all of our investment, which could reduce our income or result in losses. Moreover, depending upon the existence of a market for the issuer's securities, the length of time we have held the investment and any rights we may have to require registration under the Securities Act, these investments may be highly illiquid so that we may not be able to sell these investments at times we would like to do so or at prices that reflect our cost or the value of the investment on our financial statements.

We record some of our portfolio investments at fair value as estimated by our management and, as a result, there will be uncertainty as to the value of these investments.

We currently hold, and expect that we will hold in the future, portfolio investments that are not publicly traded. The fair value of securities and other investments that are not publicly traded may not be readily determinable. We value these investments quarterly at fair value as determined under policies approved by our board of directors. Because such valuations are inherently uncertain, may fluctuate over short periods of time and may be based on estimates, our determinations of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have obtained if a ready market for them existed. The value of our common stock will likely decrease if our determinations regarding the fair value of these investments are materially higher than the values that we ultimately realize upon their disposal.

Our assets include bank loans and ABS which will carry higher risks of loss than our real estate-related portfolio. Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT and exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act, we invest in bank loans and ABS. Our bank loan investments or our ABS investments, which are principally backed by small business and bank loans, may not be secured by mortgages or other liens on assets or may involve higher loan-to-value ratios than our real estate-related investments. Our bank loan investments, and our ABS backed by loans, involve loans with a par amount of \$580.0 million at December 31, 2014 that have an interest-only payment schedule or a schedule that does not fully amortize principal over the term of the loan, which will make repayment of loans depend upon the borrowers' liquidity or ability to refinance the loans at maturity. Numerous factors affect a borrower's ability to repay or refinance loans at maturity, including national and local economic conditions, a downturn in a borrower's industry, loss of one or more principal customers and conditions in the credit markets. A deterioration in a company's financial condition or prospects may be accompanied by a deterioration in the collateral for the bank loan or any ABS backed by such company's loans.



We may face competition for suitable investments.

There are numerous REITs and other financial investors seeking to invest in the types of assets we target. This competition may cause us to forgo particular investments or to accept economic terms or structural features that we would not otherwise have accepted, and it may cause us to seek investments outside of our currently targeted areas. Competition for investment assets may slow our growth or limit our profitability and ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

24

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

We may not have control over certain of our loans and investments.

Our ability to manage our portfolio of loans and investments may be limited by the form in which they are made. In certain situations, we may:

- acquire investments subject to rights of senior classes and servicers under inter-creditor or servicing agreements;
- acquire only a minority and/or non-controlling participation in an underlying investment;
- co-invest with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, thereby acquiring non-controlling interests; or
- rely on independent third-party management or strategic partners with respect to the management of an asset.

Therefore, we may not be able to exercise control over the loan or investment. Such financial assets may involve risks not present in investments where senior creditors, servicers or third-party controlling investors are not involved. Our rights to control the process following a borrower default may be subject to the rights of senior creditors or servicers whose interests may not be aligned with ours. A third party partner or co-venturer may have financial difficulties resulting in a negative impact on such asset, may have economic or business interest or goals which are inconsistent with ours, or may be in a position to take action contrary to our investment objectives. In addition, we may, in certain circumstances, be liable for the actions of our third-party partners or co-venturers.

#### Risks Related to Our Manager

We depend on the Manager and Resource America to develop and operate our business and may not find suitable replacements if the management agreement terminates.

Apart from those employed by PCM, our newly-acquired residential mortgage subsidiary, we have no employees. Our officers, portfolio managers, administrative personnel and support personnel are employees of Resource America. We have no separate facilities and, except for PCM's operations, completely rely on the Manager and, because the Manager has no direct employees, Resource America, which has significant discretion as to the implementation of our operating policies and investment strategies. If our management agreement terminates, we may be unable to find a suitable replacement for the Manager. Moreover, we believe that our success depends to a significant extent upon the experience of the portfolio managers and officers of the Manager and Resource America who provide services to us, whose continued service is not guaranteed. The departure of any such persons could harm our investment performance.

We must pay the Manager the base management fee regardless of the performance of our portfolio.

The Manager is entitled to receive a monthly base management fee equal to 1/12 of our equity, as defined in the management agreement, times 1.50%, regardless of the performance of our portfolio. The Manager's entitlement to substantial non-performance based compensation might reduce its incentive to devote its time and effort to seeking profitable opportunities for our portfolio. This in turn could hurt our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. The incentive fee we pay the Manager may induce it to make riskier investments.

In addition to its base management fee, the Manager is entitled to receive incentive compensation, payable quarterly, equal to 25% of the amount by which our adjusted operating earnings, as defined in the management agreement, exceed the weighted average prices for our common stock in all of our offerings multiplied by the greater of 2.00% or 0.50% plus one-fourth of the average 10-year U.S. Treasury rate for such quarter, multiplied by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the quarter. In evaluating investments and other management strategies, the opportunity to earn incentive compensation based on net income may lead the Manager to place undue emphasis on the maximization of net income at the expense of other criteria, such as preservation of capital, in order to achieve higher incentive compensation. Investments with higher yields generally have higher risk of loss than investments with lower yields.

The Manager manages our portfolio pursuant to very broad investment guidelines and our board does not approve each investment decision, which may result in our making riskier investments.

The Manager is authorized to follow very broad investment guidelines. While our directors periodically review our investment guidelines and our investment portfolio, they do not review all of our proposed investments. In addition, in conducting periodic reviews, the directors may rely primarily on information provided to them by the Manager. Furthermore, the Manager may use complex strategies, and transactions entered into by the Manager may be difficult or impossible to unwind by the time they are reviewed by the directors. The Manager has great latitude within the

broad investment guidelines in determining the types of investments it makes for us. Poor investment decisions could impair our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

25

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Our management agreement was not negotiated at arm's-length and, as a result, may not be as favorable to us as if it had been negotiated with a third-party.

At the time the management agreement was negotiated, our officers and two of our directors, Edward E. Cohen and Jonathan Z. Cohen, were also officers or directors of the Manager or Resource America. As a consequence, our management agreement was not the result of arm's-length negotiations and its terms, including fees payable, may not be as favorable to us as if it had been negotiated with an unaffiliated third-party.

Termination of the management agreement by us without cause is difficult and could be costly.

Termination of our management agreement without cause is difficult and could be costly. We may terminate the management agreement without cause only annually upon the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of our independent directors or by a vote of the holders of at least a majority of our outstanding common stock, based upon unsatisfactory performance by the Manager that is materially detrimental to us or a determination that the management fee payable to the Manager is not fair. Moreover, with respect to a determination that the management fee is not fair, the Manager may prevent termination by accepting a mutually acceptable reduction of management fees. We must give not less than 180 days' prior notice of any termination. Upon any termination without cause, the Manager will be paid a termination fee equal to four times the sum of the average annual base management fee and the average annual incentive compensation earned by it during the two 12-month periods immediately preceding the date of termination, calculated as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal quarter before the date of termination.

The Manager and Resource America may engage in activities that compete with us.

Our management agreement does not prohibit the Manager or Resource America from investing in or managing entities that invest in asset classes that are the same as or similar to our targeted asset classes, except that they may not raise funds for, sponsor or advise any new publicly-traded REIT that invests primarily in mortgage-backed securities, or MBS, in the United States. The Manager's policies regarding resolution of conflicts of interest may be varied by it if economic, market, regulatory or other conditions make their application economically inefficient or otherwise impractical. Moreover, our officers, other than our Chief Financial Officer and several accounting professionals on his staff, and the officers, directors and employees of Resource America who provide services to us are not required to work full time on our affairs, and devote significant time to the affairs of Resource America. As a result, there may be significant conflicts between us, on the one hand, and the Manager and Resource America on the other, regarding allocation of the Manager's and Resource America's resources to the management of our investment portfolio.

We have engaged in transactions with entities affiliated with the Manager. Our policies and procedures may be insufficient to address any conflicts of interest that may arise.

We have established procedures and policies regarding review, approval and ratification of transactions which may give rise to a conflict of interest between us and persons affiliated or associated with the Manager. In the ordinary course of our business, we have ongoing relationships and have engaged in transactions with entities affiliated or associated with the Manager. See Item 13, "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence - Relationships and Related Transactions" in this report. Our procedures may not be sufficient to address any conflicts of interest that arise.

Our Manager's liability is limited under the management agreement, and we have agreed to indemnify our Manager against certain liabilities.

Our Manager does not assume any responsibility other than to render the services called for under the management agreement, and will not be responsible for any action of our board of directors in following or declining to follow its advice or recommendations. Resource America, the Manager, their directors, managers, officers, employees and affiliates will not be liable to us, any subsidiary of ours, our directors, our stockholders or any subsidiary's stockholders for acts performed in accordance with and pursuant to the management agreement, except for acts constituting bad faith, willful misconduct, gross negligence, or reckless disregard of their duties under the management agreement. We have agreed to indemnify the parties for all damages and claims arising from acts not constituting bad faith, willful misconduct, gross negligence, or reckless disregard of duties, performed in good faith in accordance with and pursuant to the management agreement.

We depend upon information systems of our Manager and Resource America to conduct our operations. Systems failures could significantly disrupt our business.

Our business depends on communications and information systems of our Manager and Resource America. Any failure or interruption of their systems could cause delays or other problems in our activities which could harm our operating results, cause the market price of our common stock to decline and reduce our ability to make distributions.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

26

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

#### Risks Related to Real Estate Investments

Our investments in commercial mortgage loans and mezzanine loans will be subject to the risks inherent in the real estate securing or underlying those investments which could result in losses to us.

Commercial mortgage loans are secured by, and mezzanine loans depend on, the performance of the underlying property and are subject to risks of delinquency and foreclosure, and risks of loss, that are greater than similar risks associated with loans made on the security of single-family residential properties. The ability of a borrower to repay a loan secured by or dependent upon an income-producing property typically depends primarily upon the successful operation of the property rather than upon the existence of independent income or assets of the borrower. If the net operating income of the property is reduced, the borrower's ability to repay the loan may be impaired. Net operating income of an income producing property can be affected by, among other things:

- tenant mix, success of tenant businesses, tenant bankruptcies and property management decisions;
- property location and condition;
- competition from comparable types of properties;
- changes in laws that increase operating expenses or limit rents that may be charged;
- any need to address environmental contamination at the property;
- the occurrence of any uninsured casualty at the property;
- changes in national, regional or local economic conditions and/or the conditions of specific industry segments in which our lessees may operate;
- declines in regional or local real estate values;
- declines in regional or local rental or occupancy rates;
- increases in interest rates, real estate tax rates and other operating expenses;
- the availability of debt or equity financing;
- increases in costs of construction material;
- changes in governmental rules, regulations and fiscal policies, including environmental legislation and zoning laws; and
- acts of God, terrorism, social unrest and civil disturbances.

We risk loss of principal on defaulted mortgage loans we hold to the extent of any deficiency between the value we can realize from the sale of the collateral securing the loan upon foreclosure, and the loan's principal and accrued interest. Moreover, foreclosure of a mortgage loan can be an expensive and lengthy process which could reduce the net amount we can realize on the foreclosed mortgage loan. In a bankruptcy of a mortgage loan borrower, the mortgage loan will be deemed to be secured only to the extent of the value of the underlying collateral at the time of bankruptcy as determined by the bankruptcy court, and the lien securing the mortgage loan will be subject to the avoidance powers of the bankruptcy trustee or debtor-in-possession to the extent the lien is unenforceable under state law.

For a discussion of additional risks associated with mezzanine loans, see “-Investing in mezzanine debt or mezzanine or other subordinated tranches of CMBS, bank loans and ABS involves greater risks of loss than senior secured debt instruments.”

Our investment portfolio may have material geographic, sector, property-type and sponsor concentrations.

We may have material geographic concentrations related to our direct or indirect investments in real estate loans and properties. We also may have material concentrations in the property types and industry sectors that are in our loan portfolio. Where we have any kind of concentration risk in our investments, we may be affected by sector-specific economic or other problems that are not reflected in the national economy generally or in more diverse portfolios. An adverse development in that area of concentration could reduce the value of our investment and our return on that investment and, if the concentration affects a material amount of our investments, impair our ability to execute our investment strategies successfully, reduce our earnings and reduce our ability to make distributions.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The B notes in which we invest may be subject to additional risks relating to the privately negotiated structure and terms of the transaction, which may result in losses to us.

Historically, we have invested in B notes. A B note is a loan typically secured by a first mortgage on a single large commercial property or group of related properties and subordinated to a senior note secured by the same first mortgage on the same collateral. As a result, if a borrower defaults, there may not be sufficient funds remaining for B note owners after payment to the senior note owners. Since each transaction is privately negotiated, B notes can vary in their structural characteristics and risks. For example, the rights of holders of B notes to control the process following a borrower default may be limited in certain investments. We currently own one B note, with a book value of \$16.1 million, and do not expect that we will make further B note investments during 2015. However, depending upon market and economic conditions, we could resume making B note investments at any time. B notes are less liquid than other forms of commercial real estate debt investments, such as CMBS, and, as a result, we may be able to dispose of underperforming or non-performing B note investments only at a significant discount to book value.

#### Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Our charter and bylaws contain provisions that may inhibit potential acquisition bids that you and other stockholders may consider favorable, and the market price of our common stock may be lower as a result.

Our charter and bylaws contain provisions that may have an anti-takeover effect and inhibit a change in our board of directors. These provisions include the following:

There are ownership limits and restrictions on transferability and ownership in our charter. For purposes of assisting us in maintaining our REIT qualification under the Internal Revenue Code, our charter generally prohibits any person from beneficially or constructively owning more than 9.8% in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of any class or series of our outstanding capital stock. This restriction may:

discourage a tender offer or other transactions or a change in the composition of our board of directors or control that might involve a premium price for our shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our stockholders; or result in shares issued or transferred in violation of such restrictions being automatically transferred to a trust for a charitable beneficiary, resulting in the forfeiture of those shares.

Our charter permits our board of directors to issue stock with terms that may discourage a third-party from acquiring us. Our board of directors may amend our charter without stockholder approval to increase the total number of authorized shares of stock or the number of shares of any class or series and issue common or preferred stock having preferences, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to distributions, qualifications, or terms or conditions of redemption as determined by our board. Thus, our board could authorize the issuance of stock with terms and conditions that could have the effect of discouraging a takeover or other transaction in which holders of some or a majority of our shares might receive a premium for their shares over the then-prevailing market price.

Our charter and bylaws contain other possible anti-takeover provisions. Our charter and bylaws contain other provisions, including advance notice procedures for the introduction of business and the nomination of directors, that may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of us or the removal of existing directors and, as a result, could prevent our stockholders from being paid a premium for their common stock over the then-prevailing market price.

Maryland takeover statutes may prevent a change in control of us, and the market price of our common stock may be lower as a result.

Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. Maryland law provides that “control shares” of a corporation acquired in a “control share acquisition” will have no voting rights except to the extent approved by a vote of two-thirds of the votes eligible to be cast on the matter under the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. The act defines “control shares” as voting shares of stock that, if aggregated with all other shares of stock owned by the acquirer or in respect of which the acquirer is able to exercise or direct the exercise of voting power (except solely by virtue of a revocable proxy), would entitle the acquirer to exercise voting power in electing directors within one of the following ranges of voting power: one-tenth or more but less than one-third, one-third or more but less than a majority, or a majority or more of all voting power. A “control share acquisition” means the acquisition of control shares, subject to specific exceptions. If voting rights or control shares acquired in a control share acquisition are not approved at a stockholders' meeting or if the acquiring person does not deliver an acquiring person statement as required by the Maryland Control Share



Acquisition Act then, subject to specific conditions and limitations, the issuer may redeem any or all of the control shares for fair value. If voting rights of such control shares are approved at a stockholders' meeting and the acquirer becomes entitled to vote a majority of the shares entitled to vote, all other stockholders may exercise appraisal rights.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

28

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Our bylaws contain a provision exempting acquisitions of our shares from the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act. However, our board of directors may amend our bylaws in the future to repeal this exemption.

**Business combinations.** Under Maryland law, “business combinations” between a Maryland corporation and an interested stockholder or an affiliate of an interested stockholder are prohibited for five years after the most recent date on which the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder. These business combinations include a merger, consolidation, share exchange or, in circumstances specified in the statute, an asset transfer or issuance or reclassification of equity securities. An interested stockholder is defined as:

• any person who beneficially owns ten percent or more of the voting power of the corporation's shares; or an affiliate or associate of the corporation who, at any time within the two-year period before the date in question, was the beneficial owner of ten percent or more of the voting power of the then outstanding voting stock of the corporation.

A person is not an interested stockholder under the statute if the board of directors approved in advance the transaction by which such person otherwise would have become an interested stockholder. However, in approving a transaction, the board of directors may provide that its approval is subject to compliance, at or after the time of approval, with any terms and conditions determined by the board.

After the five-year prohibition, any business combination between the Maryland corporation and an interested stockholder generally must be recommended by the board of directors of the corporation and approved by the affirmative vote of at least:

• 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of outstanding shares of voting stock of the corporation; and two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast by holders of voting stock of the corporation other than shares held by the interested stockholder with whom or with whose affiliate the business combination is to be effected or held by an affiliate or associate of the interested stockholder.

These super-majority vote requirements do not apply if the corporation's common stockholders receive a minimum price, as defined under Maryland law, for their shares in the form of cash or other consideration in the same form as previously paid by the interested stockholder for its shares.

The statute permits exemptions from its provisions, including business combinations that are exempted by the board of directors before the time that the interested stockholder becomes an interested stockholder.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited, which could limit your recourse in the event of actions not in your best interests.

Our charter limits the liability of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

• actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or

- a final judgment based upon a finding of active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was material to the cause of action adjudicated.

In addition, our charter authorizes us to indemnify our present and former directors and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Our bylaws require us to indemnify each present or former director or officer, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service to us. In addition, we may be obligated to fund the defense costs incurred by our directors and officers.

Our right to take action against the Manager is limited.

The obligation of the Manager under the management agreement is to render its services in good faith. It will not be responsible for any action taken by our board of directors or investment committee in following or declining to follow its advice and recommendations. Furthermore, as discussed above under “– Risks Related to Our Manager,” it will be difficult and costly for us to terminate the management agreement without cause. In addition, we will indemnify the Manager, Resource America and their officers and affiliates for any actions taken by them in good faith.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

We have not established a minimum distribution payment level and we cannot assure you of our ability to make distributions in the future. We may in the future use uninvested offering proceeds or borrowed funds to make distributions.

We expect to make quarterly distributions to our stockholders in amounts such that we distribute all or substantially all of our taxable income in each year, subject to certain adjustments. We have not established a minimum distribution payment level, and our ability to make distributions may be impaired by the risk factors described in this report. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings, our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT qualification and other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. We may not be able to make distributions in the future. In addition, some of our distributions may include a return of capital. To the extent that we decide to make distributions in excess of our current and accumulated taxable earnings and profits, such distributions would generally be considered a return of capital for federal income tax purposes. A return of capital is not taxable, but it has the effect of reducing the holder's tax basis in its investment. Although we currently do not expect that we will do so, we have in the past and may in the future also use proceeds from any offering of our securities that we have not invested or borrowed funds to make distributions. If we use uninvested offering proceeds to pay distributions in the future, we will have less funds available for investment and, as a result, our earnings and cash available for distribution would be less than we might otherwise have realized had such funds been invested. Similarly, if we borrow to fund distributions, our future interest costs would increase, thereby reducing our future earnings and cash available for distribution from what they otherwise would have been.

Loss of our exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act would require significant changes in our operations and could reduce the market price of our common stock and our ability to make distributions.

We rely on an exclusion from registration as an investment company afforded by Section 3(a)(1)(C) of the Investment Company Act. To qualify for this exclusion, we do not engage in the business of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding, or trading securities and we do not own "investment securities" with a value that exceeds 40% of the value of our total assets (exclusive of government securities and cash items) on an unconsolidated basis. We may not be able to maintain such a mix of assets in the future, and attempts to maintain such an asset mix may impair our ability to pursue otherwise attractive investments. In addition, these rules are subject to change and such changes may have an adverse impact on us. We may need to avail ourselves of alternative exclusions and exemptions which may require a change in the organizational structure of our business.

Furthermore, as it relates to our investment in our real estate subsidiary, RCC Real Estate, we rely on an exclusion from registration as an investment company afforded by Section 3(c)(5)(C) of the Investment Company Act. Given the material size of RCC Real Estate relative to our 3(a)(1)(C) exclusion, were RCC Real Estate to be deemed to be an investment company (other than by application of the Section 3(c)(1) exemption for closely held companies and the Section 3(c)(7) exemption for companies owned by "qualified purchasers"), we would not qualify for our 3(a)(1)(C) exclusion. Under the Section 3(c)(5)(C) exclusion, RCC Real Estate is required to maintain, on the basis of positions taken by the SEC staff in interpretive and no-action letters, a minimum of 55% of the value of the total assets of its portfolio in "mortgages and other liens on and interests in real estate," which we refer to as Qualifying Interests, and a minimum of 80% in Qualifying Interests and real estate-related assets, with the remainder permitted to be miscellaneous assets. Because registration as an investment company would significantly affect RCC Real Estate's ability to engage in certain transactions or to organize itself in the manner it is currently organized, we intend to maintain its qualification for this exclusion from registration.

We treat our investments in CMBS, B Notes and mezzanine loans as Qualifying Interests for purposes of determining our eligibility for the exclusion provided by Section 3(c)(5) to the extent such treatment is consistent with guidance provided by the SEC or its staff. In the absence of specific guidance or guidance that otherwise supports the treatment of these investments as Qualifying Interests, we will treat them, for purposes of determining our eligibility for the exclusion provided by Section 3(c)(5)(C), as real estate-related assets or miscellaneous assets, as appropriate.

The SEC staff has commenced an advance notice rulemaking initiative, indicating that it is reconsidering its interpretive policy under Section 3(c)(5)(C) and whether to propose rules to define the basis for the exclusion. We cannot predict the outcome or timing of this reconsideration or potential rulemaking initiative and its impact on our ability to rely on the exclusion.

If RCC Real Estate's portfolio does not comply with the requirements of the exclusion we rely upon, it could be forced to alter its portfolio by selling or otherwise disposing of a substantial portion of the assets that are not Qualifying Interests or by acquiring a significant position in assets that are Qualifying Interests. Altering its portfolio in this manner may have an adverse effect on its investments if it is forced to dispose of or acquire assets in an unfavorable market, and may adversely affect our stock price.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

30

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

If it were established that we were an unregistered investment company, there would be a risk that we would be subject to monetary penalties and injunctive relief in an action brought by the SEC, that we would be unable to enforce contracts with third parties, that third parties could seek to obtain rescission of transactions undertaken during the period it was established that we were an unregistered investment company, and that we would be subject to limitations on corporate leverage that would have an adverse impact on our investment returns.

Rapid changes in the values of our real-estate related investments may make it more difficult for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT or exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act.

If the market value or income potential of our real estate-related investments declines as a result of economic conditions, increased interest rates, prepayment rates or other factors, we may need to increase our real estate-related investments and income and/or liquidate our non-qualifying assets in order to maintain our REIT qualification or exclusion from registration under the Investment Company Act. If the decline in real estate asset values and/or income occurs quickly, this may be especially difficult to accomplish. This difficulty may be exacerbated by the illiquid nature of many of our non-real estate assets. We may have to make investment decisions that we otherwise would not make absent REIT qualification and Investment Company Act considerations.

#### Tax Risks

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forgo otherwise attractive opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy various tests regarding the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our common stock. In order to meet these tests, we may be required to forgo investments we might otherwise make. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our investment performance.

In particular, at least 75% of our assets at the end of each calendar quarter must consist of real estate assets, government securities, cash and cash items. For this purpose, "real estate assets" generally include interests in real property, such as land, buildings, leasehold interests in real property, stock of other entities that qualify as REITs, interests in mortgage loans secured by real property, investments in stock or debt instruments during the one-year period following the receipt of new capital and regular or residual interests in a real estate mortgage investment conduit, or REMIC. In addition, the amount of securities of a single issuer, other than a TRS, that we hold must generally not exceed either 5% of the value of our gross assets or 10% of the vote or value of such issuer's outstanding securities.

Certain of the assets that we hold or intend to hold, including interests in CDOs or corporate leveraged loans, are not qualified and will not be qualified real estate assets for purposes of the REIT asset tests. ABS-RMBS and CMBS securities should generally qualify as real estate assets. However, to the extent that we own non-REMIC collateralized mortgage obligations or other debt instruments secured by mortgage loans (rather than by real property) or secured by non-real estate assets, or debt securities that are not secured by mortgages on real property, those securities are likely not qualifying real estate assets for purposes of the REIT asset test, and will not produce qualifying real estate income. Further, whether securities held by warehouse lenders or financed using repurchase agreements are treated as qualifying assets or as generating qualifying real estate income for purposes of the REIT asset and income tests depends on the terms of the warehouse or repurchase financing arrangement.

We generally will be treated as the owner of any assets that collateralize CDO transactions to the extent that we retain all of the equity of the securitization vehicle and do not make an election to treat such securitization vehicle as a TRS, as described in further detail below. It may be possible to reduce the impact of the REIT asset and gross income requirements by holding certain assets through our TRSs, subject to certain limitations as described below.

Our qualification as a REIT and exemption from U.S. federal income tax with respect to certain assets may depend on the accuracy of legal opinions or advice rendered or given or statements by the issuers of securities in which we invest, and the inaccuracy of any such opinions, advice or statements may adversely affect our REIT qualification and result in significant corporate level tax.

When purchasing securities, we have relied and may rely on opinions or advice of counsel for the issuer of such securities, or statements, made in related offering documents, for purposes of determining whether such securities represent debt or equity securities for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and also to what extent those securities constitute REIT real estate assets for purposes of the REIT asset tests and produce income which qualifies under the

75% REIT gross income test. In addition, when purchasing CDO equity, we have relied and may rely on opinions or advice of counsel regarding the qualification of interests in the debt of such CDOs for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The inaccuracy of any such opinions, advice or statements may adversely affect our REIT qualification and result in significant corporate-level tax.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

31

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

We may realize excess inclusion income that would increase our tax liability and that of our stockholders. If we realize excess inclusion income and allocate it to stockholders, this income cannot be offset by net operating losses of the stockholders. If the stockholder is a tax-exempt entity, then this income would be fully taxable as unrelated business taxable income under Section 512 of the Internal Revenue Code. If the stockholder is a foreign person, it would be subject to federal income tax withholding on this income without reduction or exemption pursuant to any otherwise applicable income tax treaty.

Excess inclusion income could result if we hold a residual interest in a REMIC. Excess inclusion income also could be generated if we issue debt obligations, such as certain CDOs, with two or more maturities and the terms of the payments on these obligations bore a relationship to the payments that we received on our mortgage related securities securing those debt obligations, i.e., if we were to own an interest in a taxable mortgage pool. While we do not expect to acquire significant amounts of residual interests in REMICs, we do own residual interests in taxable mortgage pools, which means that we will likely generate significant amounts of excess inclusion income.

If we realize excess inclusion income, we will be taxed at the highest corporate income tax rate on a portion of such income that is allocable to the percentage of our stock held in record name by “disqualified organizations,” which are generally cooperatives, governmental entities and tax-exempt organizations that are exempt from unrelated business taxable income. To the extent that our stock owned by “disqualified organizations” is held in record name by a broker-dealer or other nominee, the broker/dealer or other nominee would be liable for the corporate level tax on the portion of our excess inclusion income allocable to the stock held by the broker-dealer or other nominee on behalf of “disqualified organizations.” We expect that disqualified organizations will own our stock. Because this tax would be imposed on us, all of our investors, including investors that are not disqualified organizations, would bear a portion of the tax cost associated with the classification of us or a portion of our assets as a taxable mortgage pool. A regulated investment company or other pass through entity owning stock in record name will be subject to tax at the highest corporate rate on any excess inclusion income allocated to its owners that are disqualified organizations. Finally, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, our taxable mortgage pool securitizations will be treated as separate corporations, for federal income tax purposes that cannot be included in any consolidated corporate tax return.

Failure to qualify as a REIT would subject us to federal income tax, which would reduce the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

We believe that we have been organized and operated in a manner that has enabled us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ended on December 31, 2005. However, the federal income tax laws governing REITs are extremely complex, and interpretations of the federal income tax laws governing qualification as a REIT are limited. Qualifying as a REIT requires us to meet various tests regarding the nature of our assets and our income, the ownership of our outstanding stock, and the amount of our distributions on an ongoing basis.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any calendar year and we do not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, we will be subject to federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax on our taxable income, at regular corporate rates. Distributions to stockholders would not be deductible in computing our taxable income. Corporate tax liability would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders. Under some circumstances, we might need to borrow money or sell assets in order to pay that tax. Furthermore, if we fail to maintain our qualification as a REIT and we do not qualify for the statutory relief provisions, we no longer would be required to distribute substantially all of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and not including net capital gains, to our stockholders. Unless our failure to qualify as a REIT was excused under federal tax laws, we could not re-elect to qualify as a REIT until the fifth calendar year following the year in which we failed to qualify. In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, our taxable mortgage pool securitizations will be treated as separate corporations for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Failure to make required distributions would subject us to tax, which would reduce the cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

In order to qualify as a REIT, in each calendar year we must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding net capital gain. To the extent that we satisfy the 90% distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will

be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed income. In addition, we will incur a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which our distributions in any calendar year are less than the sum of:

85% of our ordinary income for that year;

95% of our capital gain net income for that year; and

100% our undistributed taxable income from prior years.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

32

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

We intend to make distributions to our stockholders in a manner intended to satisfy the 90% distribution requirement and to distribute all or substantially all of our net taxable income to avoid both corporate income tax and the 4% nondeductible excise tax. There is no requirement that a domestic TRS distribute its after-tax net income to its parent REIT or their stockholders and our U.S. TRSs may determine not to make any distributions to us. However, non-U.S. TRSs, such as Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII, Whitney CLO I, Harvest CLO VII, Moselle CLO, Harvest CLO VIII, Harvest X Investor and Harvest X CLO which we discuss in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations," will generally be deemed to distribute their earnings to us on an annual basis for federal income tax purposes, regardless of whether such TRSs actually distribute their earnings.

Our taxable income may substantially exceed our net income as determined by GAAP because, for example, realized capital losses will be deducted in determining our GAAP net income but may not be deductible in computing our taxable income. In addition, we may invest in assets that generate taxable income in excess of economic income or in advance of the corresponding cash flow from the assets, referred to as phantom income. Although some types of phantom income are excluded to the extent they exceed 5% of our REIT taxable income in determining the 90% distribution requirement, we will incur corporate income tax and the 4% nondeductible excise tax with respect to any phantom income items if we do not distribute those items on an annual basis. As a result, we may generate less cash flow than taxable income in a particular year. In that event, we may be required to use cash reserves, incur debt, or liquidate non-cash assets at rates or times that we regard as unfavorable in order to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% nondeductible excise tax in that year.

If we make distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, they will be treated as a return of capital, which will reduce the adjusted basis of your stock. To the extent such distributions exceed your adjusted basis, you may recognize a capital gain.

Unless you are a tax-exempt entity, distributions that we make to you generally will be subject to tax as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits as determined for federal income tax purposes. If the amount we distribute to you exceeds your allocable share of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, the excess will be treated as a return of capital to the extent of your adjusted basis in your stock, which will reduce your basis in your stock but will not be subject to tax. To the extent the amount we distribute to you exceeds both your allocable share of our current and accumulated earnings and profits and your adjusted basis, this excess amount will be treated as a gain from the sale or exchange of a capital asset. For risks related to the use of uninvested offering proceeds or borrowings to fund distributions to stockholders, see "– Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure – We have not established a minimum distribution payment level and we cannot assure you of our ability to make distributions in the future."

Our ownership of and relationship with our TRSs will be limited and a failure to comply with the limits would jeopardize our REIT qualification and may result in the application of a 100% excise tax.

A REIT may own up to 100% of the securities of one or more TRSs. A TRS may earn specified types of income or hold specified assets that would not be qualifying income or assets if earned or held directly by the parent REIT. Both the subsidiary and the REIT must jointly elect to treat the subsidiary as a TRS. A corporation of which a TRS directly or indirectly owns more than 35% of the voting power or value of the stock will automatically be treated as a TRS. Overall, no more than 25% (20% for our 2009 and prior taxable years) of the value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more TRSs. A TRS will pay federal, state and local income tax at regular corporate rates on any income that it earns, whether or not it distributes that income to us. In addition, the TRS rules limit the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by a TRS to its parent REIT to assure that the TRS is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation. The rules also impose a 100% excise tax on certain transactions between a TRS and its parent REIT that are not conducted on an arm's-length basis.

Resource TRS, Resource TRS II, Resource TRS III, Resource TRS IV, Resource TRS V, LTCC, Resource Residential, Inc., and RCC Resi TRS each will pay federal, state and local income tax on its taxable income, and its after-tax net income is available for distribution to us but is not required to be distributed to us. Income that is not distributed to us by our U.S. TRSs will not be subject to the REIT 90% distribution requirement and therefore will not

be available for distributions to our stockholders. We anticipate that the aggregate value of the securities we hold in our TRSs will be less than 25% of the value of our total assets, including our TRS securities. We will monitor the compliance of our investments in TRSs with the rules relating to value of assets and transactions not on an arm's-length basis. We cannot assure you, however, that we will be able to comply with such rules.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

33

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively.

The REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code substantially limit our ability to hedge MBS and related borrowings. Under these provisions, our annual gross income from qualifying and non-qualifying hedges of our borrowings, together with any other income not generated from qualifying real estate assets, cannot exceed 25% of our gross income. In addition, our aggregate gross income from non-qualifying hedges, fees and certain other non-qualifying sources cannot exceed 5% of our annual gross income determined without regard to income from qualifying hedges. As a result, we might have to limit our use of advantageous hedging techniques or implement those hedges through Resource TRS. This could increase the cost of our hedging activities or expose us to greater risks associated with changes in interest rates than we would otherwise want to bear.

The tax on prohibited transactions will limit our ability to engage in transactions, including certain methods of securitizing mortgage loans, that would be treated as sales for federal income tax purposes.

A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, but including mortgage loans, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. We might be subject to this tax if we were able to sell or securitize loans in a manner that was treated as a sale of the loans for federal income tax purposes. Therefore, in order to avoid the prohibited transactions tax, we may choose not to engage in certain sales of loans and may limit the structures we utilize for our securitization transactions even though such sales or structures might otherwise be beneficial to us.

Tax law changes could depress the market price of our common stock.

The federal income tax laws governing REITs or the administrative interpretations of those laws may be amended at any time. We cannot predict when or if any new federal income tax law or administrative interpretation, or any amendment to any existing federal income tax law or administrative interpretation, will become effective and any such law or interpretation may take effect retroactively. Tax law changes could depress our stock price or restrict our operations.

Dividends paid by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates provided for under current law.

Dividends paid by REITs are generally not eligible for the reduced 15% maximum tax rate for dividends paid to individuals (20% for those with taxable income above \$400,000 (if single) or \$450,000 (if married and filing jointly) under current law. The more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate dividends could cause stockholders who are individuals to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stock of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends to which more favorable rates apply, which could reduce the value of the stocks of REITs. Dividends from REITs as well as regular corporate dividends will also be subject to a 3.8% Medicare surtax for taxpayers with modified adjusted gross income above \$200,000 (if single) or \$250,000 (if married and filing jointly).

We may lose our REIT qualification or be subject to a penalty tax if the Internal Revenue Service successfully challenges our characterization of income inclusions from our foreign TRSs.

We likely will be required to include in our income, even without the receipt of actual distributions, earnings from our foreign TRSs, including from our current and contemplated equity investments in CDOs, such as our investment in Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII, Whitney CLO, Harvest CLO VII, Moselle CLO, Harvest CLO VIII, Harvest X Investors and Harvest X CLO. We intend to treat certain of these income inclusions as qualifying income for purposes of the 95% gross income test applicable to REITs but not for purposes of the REIT 75% gross income test. The provisions that set forth what income is qualifying income for purposes of the 95% gross income test provide that gross income derived from dividends, interest and other enumerated classes of passive income qualify for purposes of the 95% gross income test. Income inclusions from equity investments in our foreign TRSs are technically neither dividends nor any of the other enumerated categories of income specified in the 95% gross income test for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and there is no clear precedent with respect to the qualification of such income for purposes of the REIT gross income tests. However, based on advice of counsel, we intend to treat such income inclusions, to the extent distributed by a foreign TRS in the year accrued, as qualifying income for purposes of the 95% gross income test. In addition, in 2011, the IRS issued a private letter ruling to a REIT reaching a result consistent with our treatment. Nevertheless, because this income does not meet the literal

requirements of the REIT provisions, it is possible that the IRS could successfully take the position that it is not qualifying income. In the event that it was determined not to qualify for the 95% gross income test, we would be subject to a penalty tax with respect to the income to the extent it and other nonqualifying income exceeds 5% of our gross income and/or we could fail to qualify as a REIT. See “Federal Income Tax Consequences of Our Qualification as a REIT.” In addition, if such income was determined not to qualify for the 95% gross income test, we would need to invest in sufficient qualifying assets, or sell some of our interests in our foreign TRSs to ensure that the income recognized by us from our foreign TRSs or such other corporations does not exceed 5% of our gross income, or cease to qualify as a REIT.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

34

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

We may lose our REIT qualification or be subject to a penalty tax if we modify mortgage loans or acquired distressed debt in a way that causes us to fail our REIT gross income or asset tests.

Many of the terms of our mortgage loans, mezzanine loans and B notes and the loans supporting our MBS have been modified and may in the future be modified to avoid foreclosure actions and for other reasons. If the terms of the loan are modified in a manner constituting a “significant modification,” such modification triggers a deemed exchange for tax purposes of the original loan for the modified loan. Under existing Treasury Regulations, if a loan is secured by real property and other property and the highest principal amount of the loan outstanding during a taxable year exceeds the fair market value of the real property securing the loan as of (1) the date we agreed to acquire or originate the loan or (2) in the event of certain significant modifications, the date we modified the loan, then a portion of the interest income from such a loan will not be qualifying income for purposes of the 75% gross income test, but will be qualifying income for purposes of the 95% gross income test. Although the law is not entirely clear, a portion of the loan may not be treated as a qualifying “real estate asset” for purposes of the 75% asset test. The non-qualifying portion of such a loan would be subject to, among other requirements, the 10% value test.

Revenue Procedure 2011-16, as modified and superseded by Revenue Procedure 2014-51, provides a safe harbor pursuant to which we will not be required to redetermine the fair market value of the real property securing a loan for purposes of the REIT gross income and asset tests in connection with a loan modification that is: (1) occasioned by a borrower default; or (2) made at a time when we reasonably believe that the modification to the loan will substantially reduce a significant risk of default on the original loan. We cannot assure you that all of our loan modifications have qualified or will qualify for the safe harbor in Revenue Procedure 2011-16, as modified and superseded by Revenue Procedure 2014-51. To the extent we significantly modify loans in a manner that does not qualify for that safe harbor, we will be required to redetermine the value of the real property securing the loan at the time it was significantly modified. In determining the value of the real property securing such a loan, we generally will not obtain third party appraisals, but rather will rely on internal valuations. No assurance can be provided that the IRS will not successfully challenge our internal valuations. If the terms of our mortgage loans, mezzanine loans and B notes and loans supporting our mortgage backed securities are significantly modified in a manner that does not qualify for the safe harbor in Revenue Procedure 2011-16, as modified and superseded by Revenue Procedure 2014-51 and the fair market value of the real property securing such loans has decreased significantly, we could fail the 75% gross income test, the 75% asset test and/or the 10% value test. Unless we qualified for relief under certain cure provisions in the Code, such failures could cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT.

We and our subsidiaries have and may invest in future acquire distressed debt, including distressed mortgage loans, mezzanine loans, B notes and MBS. Revenue Procedure 2011-16, as modified and superseded by Revenue Procedure 2014-51, provides that the IRS will treat a distressed mortgage loan acquired by a REIT that is secured by real property and other property as producing in part non-qualifying income for the 75% gross income test. Specifically, Revenue Procedure 2011-16, as modified and superseded by Revenue Procedure 2014-51, indicates that interest income on a loan will be treated as qualifying income based on the ratio of (1) the fair market value of the real property securing the loan determined as of the date the REIT committed to acquire the loan and (2) the face amount of the loan (and not the purchase price or current value of the loan). The face amount of a distressed mortgage loan and other distressed debt will typically exceed the fair market value of the real property securing the debt on the date the REIT commits to acquire the debt. We believe that we will continue to invest in distressed debt in a manner consistent with complying with the 75% gross income test and maintaining our qualification as a REIT.

The failure of a loan subject to a repurchase agreement or a mezzanine loan to qualify as a real estate asset would adversely affect our ability to qualify as a REIT.

We have entered into and we intend to continue to enter into sale and repurchase agreements under which we nominally sell certain of our loan assets to a counterparty and simultaneously enter into an agreement to repurchase the sold assets. We believe that we have been and will be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as the owner of the loan assets that are the subject of any such agreement notwithstanding that the agreement may transfer record ownership of the assets to the counterparty during the term of the agreement. It is possible, however, that the IRS could assert that we did not own the loan assets during the term of the sale and repurchase agreement, in which case we could fail to qualify as a REIT.

In addition, we have acquired and will continue to acquire mezzanine loans, which are loans secured by equity interest in a partnership or limited liability company that directly or indirectly owns real property. In Revenue Procedure 2003-65, the IRS provided a safe harbor pursuant to which a mezzanine loan, if it meets each of the requirements contained in the Revenue Procedure, will be treated by the IRS as a real estate asset for purposes of the REIT asset tests, and interest derived from the mezzanine loan will be treated as qualifying mortgage interest for purposes of the REIT 75% income test. Although the Revenue Procedure provides a safe harbor on which taxpayers may rely, it does not prescribe rules of substantive tax law. We have acquired and will continue to acquire mezzanine loans that may not meet all of the requirements for reliance on this safe harbor. In the event we own a mezzanine loan that does not meet the safe harbor, the IRS could challenge the loan's treatment as a real estate asset for purposes of the REIT asset and income tests, and if the challenge were sustained, we could fail to qualify as a REIT.

**ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None.

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania:

We maintain offices through our Manager. Our Manager and Resource America maintains executive and corporate offices at One Crescent Drive in the Philadelphia Navy Yard, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, under a lease for 13,484 square feet that expires in May 2019.

In addition, in October 2012 and amended in May 2013, Resource America signed a ten-year lease which commenced in August 2013 for 34,476 square feet of office space at 1845 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, an office building in which Resource America owns a 7% equity interest. The lease expires in September 2023.

New York, New York:

Resource America maintains additional executive offices in a 12,930 square foot location at 712 5th Avenue, New York, New York under a lease agreement that expires in July 2020. A portion of this office space is sublet to The Bancorp, Inc., an affiliated entity of Resource America.

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are not a party to any material legal proceedings.

**ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES**

Not applicable.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

35

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## PART II

ITEM 5 MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND  
ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

## Market Information

Our common stock has been listed on the NYSE under the symbol "RSO" since our initial public offering in February 2006. The following table sets forth for the indicated periods the high and low prices for our common stock, as reported on the NYSE, and the dividends declared and paid during our past two fiscal years:

|                   | High   | Low    | Dividends<br>Declared |
|-------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|
| December 31, 2014 |        |        |                       |
| Fourth Quarter    | \$5.63 | \$4.79 | \$0.20 (1)            |
| Third Quarter     | \$5.63 | \$4.87 | \$0.20                |
| Second Quarter    | \$5.90 | \$5.36 | \$0.20                |
| First Quarter     | \$6.08 | \$5.52 | \$0.20                |
| December 31, 2013 |        |        |                       |
| Fourth Quarter    | \$6.23 | \$5.77 | \$0.20                |
| Third Quarter     | \$6.64 | \$5.42 | \$0.20                |
| Second Quarter    | \$6.72 | \$6.06 | \$0.20                |
| First Quarter     | \$6.87 | \$5.81 | \$0.20                |

(1) We distributed a regular dividend of \$0.20 on January 28, 2015, to stockholders of record as of December 31, 2014.

We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a REIT, which requires that we distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income. Therefore, we intend to continue to declare quarterly distributions on our common stock. No assurance, however, can be given as to the amounts or timing of future distributions as such distributions are subject to our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements and such other factors as our board of directors deems relevant.

As of February 26, 2015, there were 134,079,374 common shares outstanding held by 505 persons of record. See Item 12 - "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters" for information relating to securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans.

Our 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, or Series A Preferred Stock, are listed on the NYSE and traded under the symbol "RSOPrA." The Series A Preferred shares were first issued in the second quarter of 2012. We declared a dividend per share of \$0.27153 on the Series A Preferred Stock for the second quarter of 2012, representing the pro ration of the specified dividend for the quarter for the period during which the Series A Preferred Stock were outstanding in the quarter. In each subsequent quarter, we have declared and paid the specified dividend per share of \$0.53125. No dividends are currently in arrears on the Series A Preferred Stock.

Our 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, or Series B Preferred Stock, are listed on the NYSE and traded under the symbol "RSOPrB." The Series B Preferred shares were first issued in the third quarter of 2012. We declared a dividend per share of \$0.16042 on the Series B Preferred Stock for the third quarter of 2012, representing the pro ration of the specified dividend for the quarter for the period during which the Series B Preferred Stock were outstanding in the quarter. In each subsequent quarter, we have declared and paid the specified dividend per share of \$0.515625. No dividends are currently in arrears on the Series B Preferred Stock.

Our Series C 8.625% Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, or Series C Preferred Stock, are listed on the NYSE and traded under the symbol "RSOPrC." The Series C Preferred shares were first issued in the second quarter of 2014,

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

We declared a dividend per share of \$0.299479 on the Series C Preferred Stock for the second quarter of 2014, representing the pro ration of the specified dividend for the quarter for the period during which the Series C Preferred Stock were outstanding in the quarter. In each subsequent quarter, we have declared and paid the specified dividend per share of \$0.5390625. No dividends are currently in arrears on the Series C Preferred Stock.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

36

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

#### Performance Graph

The following line graph presentation compares cumulative total shareholder returns of our common stock with the Russell 2000 Index and the NAREIT All REIT Index for the period from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2014. The graph and table assume that \$100 was invested in each of our common stock, the Russell 2000 Index and the NAREIT All REIT Index on December 31, 2009, and that all dividends were reinvested. This data as furnished by the Research Data Group.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

37

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## ITEM 6 . SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF  
RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

The following selected financial and operating information should be read in conjunction with Item 7 - "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our financial statements, including the notes, included elsewhere herein (in thousands, except share data).

|  | As of and for the Years Ended December 31, |            |            |            |            |
|--|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|  | 2014                                       | 2013       | 2012       | 2011       | 2010       |
| Consolidated Income Statement Data:  |  |            |            |            |            |
| REVENUES:  |  |            |            |            |            |
| Interest income  | \$ 126,907                                 | \$ 117,976 | \$ 133,330 | \$ 109,874 | \$ 103,911 |
| Interest expense   | 45,473                                     | 61,010     | 42,792     | 32,186     | 36,466     |
| Net interest income  | 81,434                                     | 56,966     | 90,538     | 77,688     | 67,445     |
| Other revenues   | 9,571                                      | 6,094      | 7,137      | 10,834     | 99         |
| Rental income  | 8,441                                      | 19,923     | 11,463     | 3,656      | 35         |
| Total revenues   | 99,446                                     | 82,983     | 109,138    | 92,178     | 67,579     |
| OPERATING EXPENSES   | 62,783                                     | 59,958     | 78,452     | 62,139     | 102,733    |
|  | 36,663                                     | 23,025     | 30,686     | 30,039     | (35,154 )  |
| OTHER REVENUE (EXPENSE)  |  |            |            |            |            |
| Equity in Earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries                       | 4,767                                      | 949        | (2,709 )   | 112        | 231        |
| Net realized gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale and loans | 15,283                                     | 9,637      | 4,106      | 2,643      | 4,821      |
| Net realized and unrealized (loss) gain on investment securities, trading        | (2,818 )                                   | (324 )     | 12,435     | 837        | 14,791     |
| (Loss) on reissuance/gain on the extinguishment of debt                          | (4,442 )                                   | —          | 16,699     | 3,875      | 34,610     |
| Unrealized gain (loss) and net interest income on linked transactions, net       | 7,850                                      | (3,841 )   | 728        | 216        |            |
| Gain on sale of real estate  | 6,127                                      | 16,616     | —          | —          | —          |
| Other (expense) income   | (1,262 )                                   | 391        | 2,498      | (6 )       | 148        |
| Total other revenue  | 25,505                                     | 23,428     | 33,757     | 7,677      | 54,601     |
| NET INCOME   | 62,168                                     | 46,453     | 64,443     | 37,716     | 19,447     |
| Net income allocated to preferred shares   | (17,176 )                                  | (7,221 )   | (1,244 )   | —          | —          |
| Net income allocable to non-controlling interest, net of taxes                   | (965 )                                     | —          | —          | —          | —          |
| NET INCOME ALLOCABLE TO COMMON SHARES  | \$ 44,027                                  | \$ 39,232  | \$ 63,199  | \$ 37,716  | \$ 19,447  |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

|  | As of and for the Years Ended December 31, |             |            |            |            |
|--|--|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
|  | 2014                                       | 2013        | 2012       | 2011       | 2010       |
| <b>Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:</b>  |  |             |            |            |            |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$79,905                                   | \$262,270   | \$85,278   | \$43,116   | \$29,488   |
| Restricted cash  | 122,138                                    | 63,309      | 94,112     | 142,806    | 168,192    |
| Investment securities, trading   | 20,786                                     | 11,558      | 24,843     | 38,673     | 17,723     |
| Investment securities available-for-sale, pledged as collateral, at fair value   | 197,800                                    | 162,608     | 195,200    | 136,188    | 57,998     |
| Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value  | 77,920                                     | 52,598      | 36,390     | 4,678      | 5,962      |
| Investment securities held-to-maturity, pledged as collateral  | —  | —           | —          | —          | 29,036     |
| Investment in real estate  | —  | 29,778      | 75,386     | 48,027     | —          |
| Loans, pledged as collateral and net of allowances of \$4.6 million, \$13.8 million, \$17.7 million, \$27.5 million and \$34.2 million | 1,925,980                                  | 1,369,526   | 1,793,780  | 1,772,063  | 1,443,271  |
| Loans held for sale  | 111,736                                    | 21,916      | 48,894     | 3,154      | 28,593     |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities   | 59,827                                     | 52,598      | 45,413     | 47,899     | 6,791      |
| Intangible assets  | 9,736                                      | 11,822      | 13,192     | 19,813     | —          |
| Total assets   | 2,729,139                                  | 2,151,427   | 2,478,251  | 2,284,724  | 1,934,200  |
| Borrowings   | 1,716,871                                  | 1,319,810   | 1,785,600  | 1,794,083  | 1,543,251  |
| Total liabilities  | 1,777,028                                  | 1,377,503   | 1,864,906  | 1,855,034  | 1,585,874  |
| Total stockholders' equity   | 935,523                                    | 773,924     | 613,345    | 429,690    | 348,326    |
| Non-controlling interests  | 16,588                                     | —           | —          | —          | —          |
| Total equity   | 952,111                                    | 773,924     | 613,345    | 429,690    | 348,326    |
|  | As of and for the Years Ended December 31, |             |            |            |            |
|  | 2014                                       | 2013        | 2012       | 2011       | 2010       |
| <b>Per Share Data:</b>   |  |             |            |            |            |
| Dividends declared per common share  | \$0.80                                     | \$0.80      | \$0.80     | \$1.00     | \$1.00     |
| Net income per share - basic   | \$0.34                                     | \$0.33      | \$0.71     | \$0.54     | \$0.41     |
| Net income per share – diluted   | \$0.34                                     | \$0.33      | \$0.71     | \$0.53     | \$0.41     |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding - basic  | 128,031,064                                | 118,478,672 | 88,410,272 | 70,410,131 | 47,715,082 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding - diluted  | 129,259,386                                | 120,038,973 | 89,284,488 | 70,809,088 | 47,907,281 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion provides information to assist you in understanding our financial condition and results of operations. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements. Actual results could differ materially from those expressed in or implied by those forward-looking statements. Please see "Forward-Looking Statements" and "Risk Factors" in this report for a discussion of certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions associated with those statements.

We are a diversified real estate investment trust that is primarily focused on originating, holding and managing commercial mortgage loans and other commercial real estate-related debt and equity investments. We also make other commercial finance investments. We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a REIT, under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Our objective is to provide our stockholders with total returns over time, including quarterly distributions and capital appreciation, while seeking to manage the risks associated with our investment strategies. We invest in a combination of real estate-related assets and, to a lesser extent, higher-yielding commercial finance assets. We have financed a substantial portion of our portfolio investments through borrowing strategies seeking to match the maturities and repricing dates of our financings with the maturities and repricing dates of those investments, and have sought to mitigate interest rate risk through derivative instruments. We are externally managed by Resource Capital Manager, Inc., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, a specialized asset management company that uses industry-specific expertise to evaluate, originate, service and manage investment opportunities through its commercial real estate, financial fund management and commercial finance operating segments. As of September 30, 2014, Resource America managed approximately \$19.4 billion of assets in these sectors. To provide its services, the Manager draws upon Resource America, its management team and their collective investment experience.

We generate our income primarily from the spread between the revenues we receive from our assets and the cost to finance the purchase of those assets, from management of assets and from hedging interest rate risks. We generate revenues primarily from the interest and fees we earn on our whole loans, A notes, B notes, mezzanine debt securities, CMBS, bank loans, middle market loans, other ABS, and structured note investments. We also generate revenues from the rental and other income from real properties we own, from management of externally originated bank loans, from our residential mortgage origination business, and from our investment in an equipment leasing business. Historically, we have used a substantial amount of leverage to enhance our returns and we have financed each of our different asset classes with different degrees of leverage. The cost of borrowings to finance our investments is a significant part of our expenses. Our net income depends on our ability to control these expenses relative to our revenue. In our bank loan, middle market loan, residential mortgage loan, CMBS and ABS portfolios, we historically have used warehouse facilities as a short-term financing source as well as CDOs and CLOs and, to a lesser extent, other term financing as long-term financing sources. In our commercial real estate loan portfolio, we historically have used repurchase agreements as a short-term financing source, and CDOs commercial real estate securitizations and, to a lesser extent, other term financing as long-term financing sources. Our other term financing has consisted of long-term, match-funded financing provided through long-term bank financing and asset-backed financing programs, depending upon market conditions and credit availability.

Having gained traction in 2013, the economic environment in the United States continued to show moderate growth during 2014, which resulted in several positive operating developments for us. Our ability to access the capital markets continued to improve, as evidenced by our Series C preferred stock offering in June 2014, resulting in net proceeds at issuance to us of \$116.2 million, and by the success of our dividend reinvestment and share purchase program, or DRIP, which raised \$30.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2014. In addition, we supplemented our common equity issuances with issuances of preferred stock through an at-the-market program which resulted in proceeds of \$56.6 million in 2014. This brought our total net proceeds raised through the capital markets to \$203.1 million in 2014, after underwriting discounts and commissions and other offering expenses. This improved environment and increased capital markets access has allowed us to substantially increase our funded

originations of commercial real estate loans from \$344.3 million in 2013 to \$689.4 million in 2014. We also continued to experience improved securitization markets, closing an additional real estate securitization for \$353.9 million in July 2014. We were able to use the proceeds from this CLO to completely pay down a financing facility and thereby generate additional borrowing capacity. Further, we were able to modify and expand our CRE financing facility with Wells Fargo Bank by increasing the facility from \$250.0 to \$400.0 million and extend the maturity date to August 27, 2016 with two one-year extension options available to us. We were also successful in extending the maturity date on our CMBS financing facility to January 31, 2016. We continue to engage in discussions with potential financing sources about providing or expanding commercial real estate term financing to augment and cautiously grow our loan and security portfolios. We have expanded our borrowings with the use of term and additional repurchase agreements and are using them primarily to finance newly underwritten

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

40

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

commercial real estate loans and to purchase highly-rated CMBS. We anticipate replacing these short-term borrowings with longer-term financing in the form of securitization borrowings as we did in July 2014 and December 2013 with the closings of \$353.9 million and \$307.8 million, respectively, of commercial real estate, or CRE, securitizations. On February 24, 2015 we closed our third securitization in the last 14 months with the close of Resource Capital Corp. 2015-CRE3, a \$346.2 million CLO backed by self-originated commercial mortgages, which got us to just in excess of \$1.0 billion of CRE loans financed by these securitizations during that time frame. We believe this indicates a marketplace recognition of our ability to originate and structure high-quality transitional commercial real estate loans. However, we caution investors that even as financing through the credit markets becomes more available, we may not be able to obtain economically favorable terms.

Although economic conditions in the United States have improved, previous conditions in real estate and credit markets continue to affect both us and a number of our commercial real estate borrowers. Over a period of several years, we entered into loan modifications with respect to 14 of our remaining outstanding commercial real estate loans. During the past 21 months, we have adjusted our provision for loan losses to reflect the effect of these conditions on our borrowers as well as, where necessary, market-related temporary adjustments to the market valuations of both CMBS and ABS in our investment portfolio. However, during 2013 and continuing through December 31, 2014, the improved economic conditions led to a stabilization in the credit quality of our portfolio and, as a result, our provision for loan losses has decreased significantly in 2014. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we have a net recovery in the provision for loan losses of \$1.8 million, primarily due to the successful refinancing of a commercial real estate loan position on which we had previously established a significant reserve for credit loss. Also, other comprehensive income saw an increase of \$20.1 million at December 31, 2014. While we believe we have appropriately valued the assets in our investment portfolio at December 31, 2014, we cannot assure you that further impairments will not occur or that our assets will otherwise not be adversely affected by market conditions.

With respect to our investments and investment portfolio growth, we continued to see increased opportunities to deploy our capital. During 2013 and through December 31, 2014, we have underwritten 61 new CRE loans for a total commitment of \$1.1 billion. These loans were in part financed through our CRE term facilities, our legacy CRE CDOs, and our new CRE securitizations. We also purchased 22 newly underwritten CMBS for \$59.2 million during the same time period all of which were financed through our Wells Fargo facility. In addition, we purchased 26 CMBS bonds for \$123.8 million that were financed by short-term repurchase agreements and also purchased seven CMBS bonds for \$43.1 million where no debt financing sources were utilized. We intend to use the existing capacity in our CMBS and CRE term credit facilities with Wells Fargo of \$70.1 million and \$218.7 million, respectively, and with Deutsche Bank of \$173.8 million, as of December 31, 2014, to help finance new CRE loans and CMBS investments. As of February 24, 2015, and concurrently with the closing of our third CRE securitization in the last 14 months, Resource Capital Corp. 2015-CRE3, we have substantially repaid the balances on these facilities.

On October 31, 2013, we, through RCC Residential, Inc., a taxable REIT subsidiary, acquired a residential mortgage origination company, Primary Capital Mortgage LLC, or PCM, an Atlanta-based firm. Our acquisition of PCM represents a return to the residential mortgage investment market, by providing us with our first residential mortgage origination platform. On June 30, 2014, we also closed a residential jumbo loan-backed securitization where we retained approximately \$30.0 million of the structure's mezzanine securities. PCM is now licensed in 35 states, up from 7 states when we acquired the business. We intend to cautiously expand this business over the next 12 to 18 months while adding infrastructure, staff and new technology.

In the past, we also had at our disposal the use of recycled capital in our bank loan CLO structures to make new investments. As of December 31, 2014, both of our remaining bank loan CLOs and our two earlier vintage real estate CDOs have ended their reinvestment periods. Additionally, our three most recent securitizations were structured as static deals, as such, they were not structured with reinvestment periods. As such, principal and interest received by these vehicles will be used to paydown their note balances and to provide distributions in accordance with their respective indentures. As these vehicles liquidate, we expect to use the returned capital to invest in strategic opportunities as they arise.

We continue to see a decline in our commercial finance assets, specifically, our bank loan portfolio, as two of our bank loan CLOs were substantially liquidated in 2013. Two of our CLOs were liquidated in 2014 and another is

expected to liquidate in 2015. The remaining legacy CLO in our portfolio has finished its reinvestment period and, as a result, as the collateral assets repay the proceeds are used to pay down the associated debt. This trend has caused our net interest income from bank loans to decline substantially in 2014 and the declining trend will continue into 2015. We began to mitigate this trend by investing in new CLOs and European structured notes in late 2013 and in 2014. We also expect to mitigate this trend by continuing to grow our real estate lending platform and, to a lesser extent, by deploying capital into our middle-market lending business, which loans are similar in nature to bank loans.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

41

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

In the latter half of 2013, we provided a middle market lending operation with funds to invest on our behalf. These funds were derived from proceeds of sales from a partial liquidation of our trading portfolio. Our first investments were in bank loans purchased in the secondary market; however, in December 2013, we closed on a self-originated loan. We originated and purchased new middle market loan investments of \$268.6 million during 2014. We expect the increasing trend in the investment in our middle market portfolio to continue through 2015. In September, we closed on a syndicated financing facility for our middle market loan portfolio comprised of direct originations and syndicated loans with a capacity of \$125.0 million. We had \$113.5 million outstanding as of December 31, 2014 on this facility. We expect to expand the financing capacity of our new facility and to continue to grow this business in 2015.

Due to these developments, we expect to continue to modestly increase our net interest income for 2015. However, because we believe that economic conditions in the United States are fragile, and could be significantly harmed by occurrences over which we have no control, we cannot assure you that we will be able to meet our expectations or that we will not experience net interest income reductions.

As of December 31, 2014, we had allocated our invested equity capital among our targeted asset classes as follows: 67% in CRE assets, 29% in commercial finance assets and 4% in other investments. As of December 31, 2013, we had allocated our invested equity capital among our targeted asset classes as follows: 83% of our portfolio in CRE assets, 15% in commercial finance assets and 2% in other investments.

#### Results of Operations

Our net income allocable to common shares for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$44.0 million, or \$0.34 per share (basic and diluted) as compared to net income allocable to common shares of \$39.2 million, or \$0.33 per share (basic and diluted) for the year ended December 31, 2013 and net income allocable to common shares of \$63.2 million, or \$0.71 per share (basic and diluted) for the year ended December 31, 2012.

#### Interest Income

The following tables set forth information relating to our interest income recognized for the periods presented (in thousands, except percentages):

|   | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2014 |             | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2013 |           | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2012 |             |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-------------|
|   | Weighted Average<br>Yield       | Balance     | Weighted Average<br>Yield       | Balance   | Weighted Average<br>Yield       | Balance     |
| Interest income:                        |                                 |             |                                 |           |                                 |             |
| Interest income from loans:             |                                 |             |                                 |           |                                 |             |
| Bank loans                              | 4.69%                           | \$514,939   | 5.54%                           | \$945,599 | 5.94%                           | \$1,189,898 |
| Middle market loans                     | 8.95%                           | \$129,271   | 7.64%                           | \$7,080   | —%                              | \$—         |
| Commercial real estate loans            | 6.00%                           | \$1,088,880 | 5.81%                           | \$767,287 | 5.25%                           | \$701,836   |
| Interest income from securities:        |                                 |             |                                 |           |                                 |             |
| CMBS-private placement                  | 6.53%                           | \$186,732   | 4.93%                           | \$229,272 | 5.22%                           | \$216,460   |
| ABS                                     | 8.89%                           | \$45,609    | 5.06%                           | \$27,399  | 4.80%                           | \$32,087    |
| Corporate bonds                         | 6.98%                           | \$2,685     | 3.91%                           | \$20,220  | 4.29%                           | \$8,237     |
| RMBS                                    | 7.80%                           | \$9,228     | 5.55%                           | \$12,348  | 3.10%                           | \$34,396    |
| Preference payments on structured notes | 31.34%                          | \$20,918    | 10.10%                          | \$38,778  | 19.07%                          | \$51,239    |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)





[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following tables summarize interest income for the years indicated (in thousands, except percentages):

| Type of Security                        | Coupon Interest | Unamortized (Discount) Premium | Net Amortization/ Accretion | Interest Income | Fee Income | Total     |
|---|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2014:           |                 |                                |                             |                 |            |           |
| Bank loans                              | 3.84            | % \$(1,240                     | ) \$2,136                   | \$21,595        | \$849      | \$24,580  |
| Middle market loans                     | —               | % \$(304                       | ) 48                        | 11,688          | 142        | 11,878    |
| Commercial real estate loans            | 5.51            | % \$(7,656                     | ) 39                        | 63,688          | 2,672      | 66,399    |
| Total interest income from loans        |                 |                                | 2,223                       | 96,971          | 3,663      | 102,857   |
| CMBS-private placement                  | 4.29            | % \$(2,980                     | ) 2,803                     | 9,442           | —          | 12,245    |
| RMBS                                    | —               | % \$(18                        | ) —                         | 720             | —          | 720       |
| ABS                                     | 6.56            | % \$(2,153                     | ) 720                       | 3,393           | —          | 4,113     |
| Corporate bonds                         | 5.94            | % \$(40                        | ) 27                        | 160             | —          | 187       |
| Total interest income from securities   |                 |                                | 3,550                       | 13,715          | —          | 17,265    |
| Preference payments on structured notes |                 |                                | —                           | 6,555           | —          | 6,555     |
| Other                                   |                 |                                | —                           | 230             | —          | 230       |
| Total interest income - other           |                 |                                | —                           | 6,785           | —          | 6,785     |
| Total interest income                   |                 |                                | \$5,773                     | \$117,471       | \$3,663    | \$126,907 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2013:           |                 |                                |                             |                 |            |           |
| Bank loans                              | 4.23            | % \$(3,592                     | ) \$9,472                   | \$41,337        | \$2,727    | \$53,536  |
| Middle market loans                     | —               | % \$(84                        | ) 13                        | 595             | (1         | ) 607     |
| Commercial real estate loans            | 5.57            | % \$(92                        | ) 35                        | 43,926          | 1,351      | 45,312    |
| Total interest income from loans        |                 |                                | 9,520                       | 85,858          | 4,077      | 99,455    |
| CMBS-private placement                  | 3.74            | % \$(6,583                     | ) 2,050                     | 9,361           | —          | 11,411    |
| RMBS                                    | —               | % \$—                          | —                           | 685             | —          | 685       |
| ABS                                     | 2.06            | % \$(2,394                     | ) 681                       | 718             | —          | 1,399     |
| Corporate bonds                         | 4.13            | % \$(68                        | ) (18                       | ) 832           | —          | 814       |
| Total interest income from securities   |                 |                                | 2,713                       | 11,596          | —          | 14,309    |
| Preference payments on structured notes |                 |                                | —                           | 3,918           | —          | 3,918     |
| Other                                   |                 |                                | —                           | 294             | —          | 294       |
| Total interest income - other           |                 |                                | —                           | 4,212           | —          | 4,212     |
| Total interest income                   |                 |                                | \$12,233                    | \$101,666       | \$4,077    | \$117,976 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2012:           |                 |                                |                             |                 |            |           |
| Bank loans                              | 4.25            | % \$(24,465                    | ) \$17,784                  | \$51,580        | \$2,147    | \$71,511  |
| Middle market loans                     | —               | % \$—                          | —                           | —               | —          | —         |
| Commercial real estate loans            | 5.05            | % \$(127                       | ) 33                        | 35,759          | 1,727      | 37,519    |
| Total interest income from loans        |                 |                                | 17,817                      | 87,339          | 3,874      | 109,030   |
| CMBS-private placement                  | 3.60            | % \$(8,011                     | ) 2,635                     | 8,723           | —          | 11,358    |
| RMBS                                    | —               | % \$—                          | —                           | 1,067           | —          | 1,067     |
| ABS                                     | 2.41            | % \$(3,145                     | ) 718                       | 785             | —          | 1,503     |
| Corporate bonds                         | 3.69            | % \$479                        | (26                         | ) 394           | —          | 368       |
| Total interest income from securities   |                 |                                | 3,327                       | 10,969          | —          | 14,296    |
| Preference payments on structured notes |                 |                                | —                           | 9,773           | —          | 9,773     |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|                               |          |           |         |           |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Other                         | —        | 231       | —       | 231       |
| Total interest income - other | —        | 10,004    | —       | 10,004    |
| Total interest income         | \$21,144 | \$108,312 | \$3,874 | \$133,330 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

43

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |           | Year to Year | Year to Year |   |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|---|
|  | 2014                     | 2013      | Dollar       | Percent      |   |
|  |                          |           | Change       | Change       |   |
| Interest income from loans:                              |                          |           |              |              |   |
| Bank loans   | \$24,580                 | \$53,536  | \$(28,956)   | (54)         | % |
| Middle market loans                                      | 11,878                   | 607       | 11,271       | 1,857        | % |
| Commercial real estate loans                             | 66,399                   | 45,312    | 21,087       | 47           | % |
| Total interest income from loans                         | 102,857                  | 99,455    | 3,402        | 3            | % |
| Interest income from securities:                         |                          |           |              |              |   |
| CMBS-private placement                                   | 12,245                   | 11,411    | 834          | 7            | % |
| ABS  | 4,113                    | 1,399     | 2,714        | 194          | % |
| Corporate bonds  | 187                      | 814       | (627)        | (77)         | % |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities, or RMBS          | 720                      | 685       | 35           | 5            | % |
| Total interest income from securities                    | 17,265                   | 14,309    | 2,956        | 21           | % |
| Interest income - other:                                 |                          |           |              |              |   |
| Preference payments on structured notes                  | 6,555                    | 3,918     | 2,637        | 67           | % |
| Temporary investment in over-night repurchase agreements | 230                      | 294       | (64)         | (22)         | % |
| Total interest income - other                            | 6,785                    | 4,212     | 2,573        | 61           | % |
| Total interest income                                    | \$126,907                | \$117,976 | \$8,931      | 8            | % |

Year Ended December 31, 2014 as compared to Year Ended December 31, 2013

Aggregate interest income increased \$8.9 million (8%) to \$126.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to \$118.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. We attribute this increase to the following:

#### Interest Income from Loans

**Bank loans.** The weighted average loan balance of our bank loan portfolio decreased by \$430.7 million to \$514.9 million principally due to four of our CLOs, Apidos CLO I, Moselle CLO, Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO I, liquidating in October 2014, November 2014, September 2013 and October 2013, respectively. Additionally, all remaining CLOs, except for Moselle, had matured and reached the end of their reinvestment periods either in prior years or relatively early in 2014, and, as a result, any principal collected was used to pay down notes instead of being reinvested in new assets. The decrease in the weighted average yield from 5.54% to 4.69% was primarily the result of the recognition of significant discount accretion in 2013 upon the liquidation of Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO.

**Middle market loans.** Through focused efforts to increase the investment in our middle market lending business, the portfolio grew from a weighted average balance of \$7.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 to a weighted average balance of \$129.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Concurrent with this growth and changes in the general lending market, the weighted average yield on investments increased from 7.64% at December 31, 2013 to 8.95% as of December 31, 2014.

**Commercial real estate loans.** Interest income on commercial real estate loans increased by \$21.1 million to \$66.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 due primarily to an increase in the weighted average balance of loans from \$767.3 million to \$1,088.9 million and, to a lesser extent, to an increase in the weighted average yield from 5.81% to 6.00%. The increase in the weighted average balance of loans is due to our origination of loans for inclusion in our CRE securitizations that closed in December 2013, July 2014, and February 2015. The increase in the weighted average yield is primarily the result of the recognition of a \$1.6 million exit fee on a legacy loan that paid off in 2014.

#### Interest Income from Securities

**Asset-Backed Securities, or ABS.** Interest income from ABS increased \$2.7 million to \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. This increase is primarily due to the acquisitions of structured asset-backed securities by our consolidated variable interest entities, RCM Global, LLC, or RCM Global, and Moselle CLO, which significantly

contributed to the increase in the weighted average balance of the ABS portfolio from \$27.4 million to \$45.6 million. These purchases also increased the weighted average yield of our ABS portfolio from 5.06% to 8.89% during 2014, as these securities are higher-yielding, foreign-currency denominated CLO mezzanine and equity debt securities purchased at significant discounts to par.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

44

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Interest income - other. Interest income - other increased \$2.6 million to \$6.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Substantially all of this increase relates to incremental interest income provided by our investments in European structured notes at the end of 2013 and during 2014.

|   | Years Ended December 31, |           | Year to Year<br>Dollar<br>Change | Year to Year<br>Percent<br>Change |    |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----|
|   | 2013                     | 2012      |                                  |                                   |    |
| Interest income from loans:                                 |                          |           |                                  |                                   |    |
| Bank loans  | \$53,536                 | \$71,511  | \$(17,975)                       | (25)                              | )% |
| Middle market loans   | 607                      | —         | 607                              | 100                               | %  |
| Commercial real estate loans                                | 45,312                   | 37,519    | 7,793                            | 21                                | %  |
| Total interest income from loans                            | 99,455                   | 109,030   | (9,575)                          | (9)                               | )% |
| Interest income from securities:                            |                          |           |                                  |                                   |    |
| CMBS-private placement                                      | 11,411                   | 11,358    | 53                               | —                                 | %  |
| ABS   | 1,399                    | 1,503     | (104)                            | (7)                               | )% |
| Corporate bonds   | 814                      | 368       | 446                              | 121                               | %  |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities, or<br>RMBS          | 685                      | 1,067     | (382)                            | (36)                              | )% |
| Total interest income from securities                       | 14,309                   | 14,296    | 13                               | —                                 | %  |
| Interest income - other:                                    |                          |           |                                  |                                   |    |
| Preference payments on structured notes                     | 3,918                    | 9,773     | (5,855)                          | (60)                              | )% |
| Temporary investment in over-night repurchase<br>agreements | 294                      | 231       | 63                               | 27                                | %  |
| Total interest income - other                               | 4,212                    | 10,004    | (5,792)                          | (58)                              | )% |
| Total interest income                                       | \$117,976                | \$133,330 | \$(15,354)                       | (12)                              | )% |

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Aggregate interest income decreased \$15.4 million to \$118.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. We attribute this decrease to the following:

Interest Income from Loans. Aggregate interest income from loans decreased \$9.6 million to \$99.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Interest income on bank loans decreased \$18.0 million to \$53.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease for the year ended December 31, 2013 resulted primarily from the following:

a decrease in the weighted average loan balance of \$244.3 million to \$945.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$1.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012, principally due to two of our CLOs, Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO I, liquidating in September 2013 and October 2013, respectively. In addition, two of our remaining CLOs (Apidos CLO I and Apidos CLO III) had reached the end of their reinvestment periods in prior years and, as a result, any principal collected is used to pay down notes instead of being reinvested in new assets. For the year ended December 31, 2013, Apidos CLO I and Apidos CLO III paid down a total of \$173.2 million par value of loans; and a decrease in the weighted average yield to 5.54% for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to 5.94% for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily as a result of the decrease in accretion income from Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO I as a result of their liquidation, as well as a decrease in accretion income from Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III resulting from decreasing asset and discount balances as both securitizations reached the end of their reinvestment periods.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Interest income on CRE loans increased \$7.8 million to \$45.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase is a result of the following combination of factors:

an increase in the weighted average yield to 5.81% during the year ended December 31, 2013 from 5.25% during the year ended December 31, 2012 as a result of newly originated real estate loans with higher stated interest rates than our legacy portfolio and as a result of exit fees from seven loans that paid off during the year ended December 31, 2013; and

an increase of \$65.5 million in the weighted average loan balance to \$767.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$701.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as we reinvested proceeds from payoffs and paydowns, classified as restricted CDO cash on our balance sheet, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2011, with the majority of these proceeds being reinvested during the second and third quarters of 2012. In addition, we began to originate new loans financed by our Wells Fargo CRE credit facility coupled with new equity raised in 2012.

Interest Income from Securities. Aggregate interest income from securities increased \$13,000 to \$14.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in interest income from securities resulted principally from the following:

Interest income on CMBS-private placement increased \$53,000 to \$11.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase resulted from an increase in the weighted average balance of assets of \$12.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$229.3 million from \$216.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily as a result of the purchase of assets on our Wells Fargo CMBS facility beginning in February 2011 and purchases using three short-term repurchase agreements as well as proceeds from our common and preferred stock offerings. This was partially offset by the reclassification of assets to linked transactions when certain assets were financed.

The increase in interest income on CMBS-private placement as a result of the increase in the weighted average balance was almost completely offset by a decrease in the weighted average yield of assets to 4.93% for the year ended December 31, 2013 from 5.22% for the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily as a result of the decrease in accretion income caused by higher purchase prices on newer securities. The new assets financed by our Wells facility were typically purchased at a premium. Our legacy CMBS assets had previously been purchased at a discount. Interest income from ABS decreased \$104,000 (7%) to \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 as a result of a decrease of \$4.7 million in the weighted average loan balance to \$27.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, from \$32.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, as a result of \$6.8 million in paydowns from October 2012 through December 2013. The decrease in the weighted average balance was partially offset by an increase in the weighted average yield during the year ended December 31, 2013 to 5.06% from 4.80% during the year ended December 31, 2012 as a result of the paydowns during 2013, which accelerated accretion income recognition.

Interest income from corporate bonds increased \$446,000 to \$814,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013 and was the result of our acquisition in October 2012 and in May 2013 of 66.6% and 1.7%, respectively, of the equity in Whitney CLO I which resulted in us consolidating this entity that held some corporate bonds. Whitney CLO I was subsequently liquidated in October 2013.

Interest income on RMBS decreased \$382,000 to \$685,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease is almost entirely the result of the sale of four positions during the year ended December 31, 2012 and two positions during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Interest Income - Other. Aggregate interest income-other decreased \$5.8 million to \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and is primarily related to the divestiture of a large portion of our trading securities investment program with Resource Capital Markets, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, that invested \$13.0 million of our funds under an investment management agreement. The payments vary from period to period and are based on cash flows from the underlying securities rather than on a contractual interest rate. The decrease of the weighted average balance of assets of \$12.5 million to \$38.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$51.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 and was primarily related to the sale of 12 securities in September 2012, which has significantly reduced the balance of investments held in trading securities. The remaining portfolio has decreased substantially as there were eight positions at December 31, 2013 and 26 positions at

December 31, 2012, and as a result, there are fewer available distributions from the positions to recognize.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

46

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Interest Expense

The following tables set forth information relating to our interest expense incurred for the periods presented by asset class (in thousands, except percentages):

|                              | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2014 |             | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2013 |             | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2012 |               |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
|                              | Weighted Average<br>Yield       | Balance     | Weighted Average<br>Yield       | Balance     | Weighted Average<br>Yield       | Balance       |
| Interest expense:            |                                 |             |                                 |             |                                 |               |
| Bank loans                   | 1.33                            | % \$530,088 | 3.54                            | % \$961,742 | 1.83                            | % \$1,174,495 |
| Middle market loans          | 4.49                            | % \$16,250  | —                               | % \$—       | —                               | % \$—         |
| Commercial real estate loans | 2.38                            | % \$685,324 | 2.15                            | % \$416,513 | 1.62                            | % \$458,032   |
| CMBS-private placement       | 1.39                            | % \$49,757  | 1.72                            | % \$48,953  | 2.09                            | % \$47,533    |
| RMBS                         | 1.50                            | % \$11,510  | N/A                             | N/A         | N/A                             | N/A           |
| Hedging instruments          | 5.32                            | % \$121,306 | 5.35                            | % \$123,999 | 5.13                            | % \$138,581   |
| Securitized borrowings       | 15.29                           | % \$5,626   | 30.02                           | % \$18,568  | 8.79                            | % \$21,399    |
| Convertible senior notes     | 7.66                            | % \$115,000 | 6.61                            | % 22,685    | N/A                             | N/A           |
| General                      | 6.88                            | % \$51,548  | 4.65                            | % \$61,720  | 4.75                            | % \$65,148    |

| Type of Security                 | Coupon<br>Interest | Unamortized<br>Deferred Debt<br>Expense | Net<br>Amortization | Interest<br>Expense | Other | Total    |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|-------|----------|
| Year Ended December 31,<br>2014: |                    |   |                     |                     |       |          |
| Bank loans                       | 0.96               | % \$22                                  | \$770               | \$6,564             | \$—   | \$7,334  |
| Middle market loans              | 2.75               | % \$—                                   | —                   | 806                 | —     | 806      |
| Commercial real estate loans     | 1.78               | % \$4,490                               | 4,063               | 12,631              | —     | 16,694   |
| CMBS-private placement           | 1.37               | % \$—                                   | 12                  | 697                 | —     | 709      |
| RMBS                             | 1.15               | % \$—                                   | —                   | 173                 | —     | 173      |
| Hedging                          | 5.08               | % \$22                                  | —                   | 6,555               | —     | 6,555    |
| Securitized borrowings           | —                  | % \$—                                   | —                   | 849                 | —     | 849      |
| Convertible senior notes         | 6.00               | % \$—                                   | 1,880               | 6,900               | —     | 8,780    |
| General                          | 4.18               | % \$343                                 | 200                 | 3,373               | —     | 3,573    |
| Total interest expense           |                    |   | \$6,925             | \$38,548            | \$—   | \$45,473 |

|                                  |       |           |         |          |     |          |
|----------------------------------|-------|-----------|---------|----------|-----|----------|
| Year Ended December 31,<br>2013: |       |           |         |          |     |          |
| Bank loans                       | 1.34  | % \$171   | \$6,131 | \$28,332 | \$— | \$34,463 |
| Middle market loans              | —     | % \$—     | —       | —        | —   | —        |
| Commercial real estate loans     | 1.55  | % \$2,554 | 2,209   | 6,834    | —   | 9,043    |
| CMBS-private placement           | 1.41  | % \$12    | 151     | 680      | —   | 831      |
| RMBS                             | —     | % \$—     | —       | —        | —   | —        |
| Hedging                          | 5.03  | % \$171   | —       | 6,751    | —   | 6,751    |
| Securitized borrowings           | 30.02 | % \$—     | —       | 5,531    | —   | 5,531    |
| Convertible Senior Notes         | 4.21  | % \$—     | 138     | 1,342    | —   | 1,480    |
| General                          | —     | % \$543   | 192     | 2,719    | —   | 2,911    |
| Total interest expense           |       |           | \$8,821 | \$52,189 | \$— | \$61,010 |

|                                  |      |           |         |          |     |          |
|----------------------------------|------|-----------|---------|----------|-----|----------|
| Year Ended December 31,<br>2012: |      |           |         |          |     |          |
| Bank loans                       | 1.36 | % \$7,102 | \$2,846 | \$18,935 | \$— | \$21,781 |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|                              |      |         |         |          |            |          |
|------------------------------|------|---------|---------|----------|------------|----------|
| Middle market loans          | —    | % \$—   | —       | —        | —          | —        |
| Commercial real estate loans | 1.08 | % \$610 | 2,292   | 5,274    | —          | 7,566    |
| CMBS-private placement       | 1.52 | % \$23  | 271     | 753      | —          | 1,024    |
| RMBS                         | —    | % \$—   | —       | —        | —          | —        |
| Hedging                      | 4.97 | % \$932 | —       | 7,266    | —          | 7,266    |
| Securitized borrowings       | 14.4 | % \$—   | —       | 3,195    | (1,202 )   | 1,993    |
| Convertible Senior Notes     | —    | % \$—   | —       | —        | —          | —        |
| General                      | 4.43 | % \$734 | 65      | 3,097    | —          | 3,162    |
| Total interest expense       |      |         | \$5,474 | \$38,520 | \$(1,202 ) | \$42,792 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

47

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

|                              | Years Ended December 31, |          | Year to Year | Year to Year |    |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|----|
|                              | 2014                     | 2013     | Dollar       | Percent      |    |
|                              |                          |          | Change       | Change       |    |
| Interest expense:            |                          |          |              |              |    |
| Bank loans                   | \$7,334                  | \$34,463 | \$(27,129)   | (79)         | )% |
| Middle market loans          | 806                      | —        | 806          | 100          | %  |
| Commercial real estate loans | 16,694                   | 9,043    | 7,651        | 85           | %  |
| CMBS-private placement       | 709                      | 831      | (122)        | (15)         | )% |
| RMBS                         | 173                      | —        | 173          | 100          | %  |
| Hedging instruments          | 6,555                    | 6,751    | (196)        | (3)          | )% |
| Securitized borrowings       | 849                      | 5,531    | (4,682)      | (85)         | )% |
| Convertible senior notes     | 8,780                    | 1,480    | 7,300        | 493          | %  |
| General                      | 3,573                    | 2,911    | 662          | 23           | %  |
| Total interest expense       | \$45,473                 | \$61,010 | \$(15,537)   | (25)         | )% |

Year Ended December 31, 2014 as compared to Year Ended December 31, 2013

Aggregate interest expense decreased \$15.5 million to \$45.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. We attribute this increase to the following:

**Bank loans.** Interest expense on bank loans declined \$27.1 million to \$7.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. This was primarily due to a decrease in the weighted average note balance outstanding from \$961.7 million to \$530.1 million in our bank loan CLOs due to the call and liquidation of Apidos CDO I in October 2014 and Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO in September 2013 and October 2013, respectively, which resulted in the paydown of all outstanding notes. In addition, Apidos CDO III and Apidos Cinco CDO reached the ends of their reinvestment periods; and, as a result, cash received from their collateral is being used to pay down the principal amounts of the CLOs' notes. The decrease from 3.54% to 1.33% in the weighted average cost of funds is attributable to the liquidations of both Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO I in 2013, as these vehicles had higher costs of funds.

**Middle market loans.** In September 2014, we closed on a syndicated financing facility for our new middle market loan portfolio comprised of direct originations and syndicated loans. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$113.5 million outstanding on this facility. As we continue to focus on the growth of the middle market platform and its lending capabilities, we expect to expand the financing capacity of this facility in 2015.

**Commercial real estate loans.** Interest expense on commercial real estate loans increased \$7.7 million to \$16.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. This was primarily as a result of the consolidation of RCC CRE Notes 2013, a securitization that closed in December 2013, as well as the consolidation of RCC CRE 2014, a securitization that closed in July 2014 and the warehousing of originated loans to be sold into securitization in February 2015. These increases were partially offset by principal payoffs in Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1, or RREF CDO 2006-1, Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1, or RREF CDO 2007-1, and RCC CRE Notes 2013 as the underlying collateral paid down or paid off.

**Securitized borrowings.** Securitized borrowings expense decreased \$4.7 million to \$849,000 for the year ended December 31, 2014. This interest expense was related to our subordinated investments in Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO I, which were liquidated in 2013. The current year's interest expense is primarily related to Moselle CLO, which was consolidated in 2014 and substantially liquidated in December 2014.

**Convertible senior notes.** Interest expense on convertible senior notes increased \$7.3 million to \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The current year interest expense represents the first full year of interest expense taken on our 6% convertible notes, as the convertible notes were originally issued in October 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

|                              | Years Ended December 31, |          | Year to Year<br>Dollar<br>Change | Year to Year<br>Percent<br>Change |    |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----|
|                              | 2013                     | 2012     |                                  |                                   |    |
| Interest expense:            |                          |          |                                  |                                   |    |
| Bank loans                   | \$34,463                 | \$21,781 | \$12,682                         | 58                                | %  |
| Commercial real estate loans | 9,043                    | 7,566    | 1,477                            | 20                                | %  |
| CMBS-private placement       | 831                      | 1,024    | (193)                            | (19)                              | )% |
| Hedging instruments          | 6,751                    | 7,266    | (515)                            | (7)                               | )% |
| Securitized borrowings       | 5,531                    | 1,993    | 3,538                            | 178                               | %  |
| Convertible senior notes     | 1,480                    | —        | 1,480                            | 100                               | %  |
| General                      | 2,911                    | 3,162    | (251)                            | (8)                               | )% |
| Total interest expense       | \$61,010                 | \$42,792 | \$18,218                         | 43                                | %  |

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Aggregate interest expense increased \$18.2 million to \$61.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. We attribute this decrease to the following:

Interest expense on bank loans was \$34.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$21.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of \$12.7 million. This increase resulted primarily from the increase in the weighted average yield to 3.54% for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to 1.83% for the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily due to an increase in expense related to Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO I which was liquidated in September 2013 and October 2013, respectively. This accelerated the original issue discount and deferred issuance costs recorded when these CLOs were initially consolidated.

The increase in interest expense resulting from the increase in the weighted average yield was partially offset by a decrease in the weighted average balance of the related financings of \$212.8 million to \$961.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$1.2 billion for the year ended December 31, 2012 due to the call and liquidation of Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO I in September 2013 and October 2013, respectively, which resulted in the paydown of all outstanding notes. In addition, Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III reached the end of their reinvestment periods in prior years. During the year ended December 31, 2013, Apidos CDO I paid down \$116.1 million in principal amount of its CDO notes and Apidos CDO III paid down \$75.9 million in principal amount of its CDO notes.

Interest expense on CRE loans was \$9.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to \$7.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of \$1.5 million as a result of increase in the weighted average yield to 2.15% for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to 1.62% for the year ended December 31, 2012 which was due primarily to note paydowns which increased the weighted average cost of these borrowings as the lower yield debt was repaid as required in the indenture agreements.

The increase in interest rate on commercial real estate loans was partially offset during the year ended December 31, 2013 by a decrease in the weighted average balance of debt of \$41.5 million to \$416.5 million from \$458.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily as a result of the debt amortization of Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1, or RREF CDO 2006-1, and Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1, or RREF CDO 2007-1, as they reached the end of their reinvestment periods in prior years. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the CDOs paid down a total of \$129.2 million of notes.

Hedge expense decreased \$515,000 to \$6.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in the hedging expense was primarily due to the scheduled amortization on swaps and, to a lesser extent, changes in LIBOR. Securitized borrowings expense increased \$3.5 million to \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This interest expense is related to our subordinated investments in Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO I. The interest expense is imputed using an estimated internal rate of return based on expected cash flows over the life of each CLO. The increase for the year ended December 31, 2013 was due to acceleration of expense as a result of the liquidation of these CLOs.

Interest expense on convertible senior notes was \$1.5 million. In October 2013, we closed and issued \$115.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 6.00% convertible senior notes due 2018.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

49

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Revenue

The following table sets forth information relating to our other revenue incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

|                 | Years Ended December 31, |          | Year to Year<br>Dollar Change | Year to Year<br>Percent<br>Change |   |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
|                 | 2014                     | 2013     |                               |                                   |   |
| Revenue:        |                          |          |                               |                                   |   |
| Rental income   | \$8,441                  | \$19,923 | \$(11,482 )                   | (58 )                             | % |
| Dividend income | 186                      | 273      | (87 )                         | (32 )                             | % |
| Fee income      | 9,385                    | 5,821    | 3,564                         | 61                                | % |
| Total revenue   | \$18,012                 | \$26,017 | \$(8,005 )                    | (31 )                             | % |

Year Ended December 31, 2014 as compared to Year Ended December 31, 2013

Rental income. Rental income decreased \$11.5 million to \$8.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. This decrease was primarily related to the sale of a hotel property we owned in April 2014. We did not own any rental properties as of December 31, 2014, as we sold our last two remaining real estate properties--a multi-family property in Tennessee and an office property in California--in November 2014.

Fee income. Fee income increased \$3.6 million to \$9.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase is primarily due to increased revenues and fees earned at PCM related to residential mortgage loan originations as that operation expanded.

The following table sets forth information relating to our other revenue incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

|                 | Years Ended December 31, |          | Year to Year<br>Dollar Change | Year to Year<br>Percent<br>Change |   |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
|                 | 2013                     | 2012     |                               |                                   |   |
| Revenue:        |                          |          |                               |                                   |   |
| Rental income   | \$19,923                 | \$11,463 | \$8,460                       | 74                                | % |
| Dividend income | 273                      | 69       | 204                           | 296                               | % |
| Fee income      | 5,821                    | 7,068    | (1,247 )                      | (18 )                             | % |
| Total revenue   | \$26,017                 | \$18,600 | \$7,417                       | 40                                | % |

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Rental income. Rental income increased \$8.5 million to \$19.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase is related to the acquisition of two properties in 2012, a multi-family property in Tennessee and a hotel property in Florida (a full year of whose results were reflected in 2012).

Fee income. Fee income decreased \$1.2 million to \$5.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This decrease is primarily related to the consolidation of Whitney CLO I in October 2012 due to our acquisition of a controlling interest. As a result of consolidation, the related fee income eliminates in consolidation.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Operating Expenses

The following table sets forth information relating to operating expenses we incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

|  | Years Ended December 31, |          | Year to Year  | Year to Year   |
|--|--------------------------|----------|---------------|----------------|
|  | 2014                     | 2013     | Dollar Change | Percent Change |
| Operating expenses:                          |                          |          |               |                |
| Management fees – related party              | \$13,584                 | \$14,220 | \$(636)       | (4)%           |
| Equity compensation – related party          | 6,566                    | 10,472   | (3,906)       | (37)%          |
| Rental operating expense                     | 5,443                    | 14,062   | (8,619)       | (61)%          |
| General and administrative - Corporate       | 15,263                   | 12,304   | 2,959         | 24%            |
| General and administrative - PCM             | 19,598                   | 2,203    | 17,395        | 790%           |
| Depreciation and amortization                | 2,737                    | 3,855    | (1,118)       | (29)%          |
| Income tax benefit                           | (2,212)                  | (1,041)  | (1,171)       | 112%           |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings | —                        | 863      | (863)         | (100)%         |
| Provision for loan losses                    | 1,804                    | 3,020    | (1,216)       | (40)%          |
| Total operating expenses                     | \$62,783                 | \$59,958 | \$2,825       | 5%             |

Year Ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2013

Management fees – related party. Management fee-related party decreased \$636,000 to \$13.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. This expense represents compensation in the form of base management fees and incentive management fees pursuant to our management agreement as well as fees to the manager of our structured note portfolio. The changes are described below:

Incentive management fees to our Manager, which are based upon the excess of adjusted operating earnings, as defined in the management agreement, over a variable base rate, decreased \$1.9 million (100%) for the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in this fee was primarily the result of realized losses on the charge-off of assets in our CRE and Apidos portfolios. The incentive fee is calculated for each quarter and the calculation in any quarter is not affected by the results of any other quarter.

Base management fees increased by \$1.5 million (13%) for the year ended December 31, 2014. This increase was due to increased stockholders' equity, a component in the formula by which base management fees are calculated, primarily as a result of the receipt of \$49.5 million of proceeds from sales of common stock through our Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, or DRIP, from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2014 as well as the receipt of \$114.5 million from the proceeds of our April 2013 secondary common stock offering. In addition, we issued approximately 393,000 shares, 6.7 million shares and 4.8 million shares of Series A preferred stock, Series B preferred stock, and Series C preferred stock respectively, from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2014, for which we received \$229.6 million of proceeds.

Equity compensation - related party. Equity compensation - related party decreased \$3.9 million to \$6.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. These expenses relate to the amortization of annual grants of restricted common stock to our non-employee independent directors, and annual and discretionary grants of restricted stock to employees of Resource America who provide investment management services to us through our Manager as well as employees through our recently acquired residential mortgage company subsidiary. The decrease in expense was primarily the result of vestings of restricted stock as well as a decrease in our stock price and its impact on our quarterly remeasurement of the value of unvested stock of non-employees during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Rental operating expense. Rental operating expense decreased \$8.6 million to \$5.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. This decrease is primarily related to the sale in April 2014 of our hotel property and the sale in September 2013 of a multi-family apartment building owned by us.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

51

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

General and administrative expense - Corporate. General and administrative expense - Corporate increased \$3.0 million to \$15.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. This increase is primarily the result of the following: an increase of administrative expenses due to the sale of our hotel property in April 2014, an increase in headcount and a corresponding increase in payroll reimbursed to our manager, and an increase of additional professional services being incurred.

General and administrative expense - PCM. General and administrative expense - PCM increased \$17.4 million to \$19.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase principally reflects our ownerships of PCM for a full year in 2014 as compared to two months in 2013. Additionally, the business incurred increased compensation costs to support its strategic growth plan.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$1.1 million to \$2.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease was primarily the result of the sale of a multi-family property in September 2013 and the reclassification of a hotel property as of December 31, 2014 and a multifamily property as of March 31, 2014, to property held-for-sale. At the time a property is reclassified from an investment in real estate to a property held-for-sale, we cease depreciation of the asset.

Income tax benefit. Income tax benefit increased \$1.2 million to a benefit of \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase in income tax benefit is primarily attributable to accumulated operating losses in our new residential mortgage origination business for the year and, to a lesser extent due, to mark downs on the valuations of securities in our trading portfolio.

Provision for loan losses. Provision for loan losses decreased \$1.2 million to \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The following table summarizes the information relating our loan losses for the periods presented (in thousands):

|                                 | Years Ended December 31, |         | Year to Year  | Year to Year |        |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------------|--------------|--------|
|                                 | 2014                     | 2013    | Dollar Change | Percent      | Change |
| CRE loan portfolio              | \$(3,758)                | \$2,686 | \$(6,444)     | (240)        | )%     |
| Bank loan portfolio             | 4,173                    | 312     | 3,861         | 1,238        | %      |
| Middle market loan portfolio    | 92                       | 22      | 70            | 318          | %      |
| Loan receivable related party   | 1,297                    | —       | 1,297         | 100          | %      |
| Total provision for loan losses | \$1,804                  | \$3,020 | \$(1,216)     | (40)         | )%     |

CRE loan portfolio provision - The principal reason for the increase in recoveries during the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 was that we reversed \$4.5 million of allowance on a previously reserved position because the mezzanine loan was paid in full.

Bank loan portfolio provision - The bank loan provision increased by \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 to \$4.2 million. The principal reason for the increased provision was due to the recognition of losses on positions that were subsequently sold for credit reasons as well as losses recognized due to the liquidation of Apidos CLO I. We record all such losses as an adjustment to the allowance for loan and lease losses, effectively increasing the provision for loan and lease losses.

Loan receivable related party provision - The loan receivable - related party provision increased by \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 to \$1.3 million due to the recognition of \$936,000 of losses directly related to the leases that serve as collateral for this loan and an additional impairment of \$361,000 recognized upon assumption of the lease collateral as payment in full of the loan on December 31, 2014. The additional impairment of \$361,000 represents the difference between the fair value of the net lease assets assumed and the cost basis of the related-party loan recorded on our books as of December 31, 2014.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

52

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table sets forth information relating to our operating expenses incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

|  | Years Ended December 31, |          | Year to Year  | Year to Year   |
|--|--------------------------|----------|---------------|----------------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012     | Dollar Change | Percent Change |
| Operating expenses:                          |                          |          |               |                |
| Management fees – related party              | \$14,220                 | \$18,512 | \$(4,292)     | (23)%          |
| Equity compensation – related party          | 10,472                   | 4,636    | 5,836         | 126%           |
| Rental operating expense                     | 14,062                   | 8,046    | 6,016         | 75%            |
| General and administrative - Corporate       | 12,304                   | 9,773    | 2,531         | 26%            |
| General and administrative - PCM             | 2,203                    | —        | 2,203         | 100%           |
| Depreciation and amortization                | 3,855                    | 5,885    | (2,030)       | (34)%          |
| Income tax (benefit) expense                 | (1,041)                  | 14,602   | (15,643)      | (107)%         |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings | 863                      | 180      | 683           | 379%           |
| Provision for loan losses                    | 3,020                    | 16,818   | (13,798)      | (82)%          |
| Total operating expenses                     | \$59,958                 | \$78,452 | \$(18,494)    | (24)%          |

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the Year Ended December 31, 2012

Management fees - related party. Management fees - related party decreased \$4.3 million to \$14.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. These expenses represent compensation in the form of base management fees and incentive management fees pursuant to our management agreement as well as fees to the manager of our structured note portfolio. The changes are described below:

Incentive management fees to our Manager, which are based upon the excess of adjusted operating earnings, as defined in the management agreement, over a variable base rate, decreased \$4.0 million (68%) to \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$6.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in this fee was primarily the result of realized losses on the charge-off of assets in our CRE and Apidos portfolios. The incentive fee is calculated for each quarter and the calculation in any quarter is not affected by the results of any other quarter.

Base management fees increased by \$3.2 million to \$11.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$8.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. This increase was due to increased stockholders' equity, a component in the formula by which base management fees are calculated, primarily as a result of the receipt of \$92.2 million of proceeds from the sales of common stock through our Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan, or DRIP, from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013 as well as the receipt of \$55.6 million from the proceeds from our September 2012 secondary common stock offering and the receipt of \$114.5 million from the proceeds of our April 2013 secondary common stock offering. In addition, we had two issuances of preferred stock. First, in June 2012 we sold \$6.0 million 8.5% Series A cumulative preferred stock, or Series A preferred stock. Then in October 2012, we issued \$24.2 million of 8.25% Series B cumulative preferred stock, or Series B preferred stock. We also entered into at-the-market sales agreements and sold \$9.9 million of Series A and \$35.6 million of Series B preferred stock through December 31, 2013, respectively.

Incentive management fees related to our structured finance manager decreased by \$4.1 million (96%) to \$158,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to \$4.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in fees is primarily related to the sale of 12 securities in September 2012, resulting in fewer assets earning subordinated payments as well as the decrease in the remaining market value on these securities due to a downturn in the market for these types of assets during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012.

Equity compensation - related party. Equity compensation - related party increased \$5.8 million to \$10.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. These expenses relate to the amortization of annual grants of restricted common stock to our non-employee independent directors, and annual and discretionary grants of restricted stock to employees

of Resource America who provide investment management services to us through our Manager as well as employees through our recently acquired residential mortgage company subsidiary. The increase in expense was primarily the result of the issuance of new grants during 2013 and

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

53

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

2012 as well as the increase in our stock price and its impact on our quarterly remeasurement of the value of unvested stock of non-employees.

Rental operating expense. Rental operating expense increased \$6.0 million to \$14.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 and is primarily related to having, in 2013, a full year operations of a hotel property we acquired by conversion of a loan to equity in September 2012. This hotel is held for sale at December 31, 2013.

General and administrative expense - Corporate. General and administrative expense - Corporate increased \$2.5 million to \$12.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase is primarily the result of the following combination of factors:

- an increase of \$660,000 related to the reimbursement of office overhead, travel costs and hiring costs for loan origination efforts based in various locations;

- an increase of \$304,000 primarily related to the payment of fees to the investment committee of our board of directors for their services. We resumed paying these fees in April 2012. In addition, two additional board members were added in March 2013 and June 2013; and

- an increase of \$400,000 in payroll expense due to the hiring of additional accounting personnel.

General and administrative expense - PCM. General and administrative expense - PCA was \$2.2 million and is related to the acquisition of PCA, a mortgage origination business in October 2013.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization decreased \$2.0 million to \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease was the result of the reclassification as held-for-sale of one property in the third quarter of 2013. At the time of the reclassification, we ceased depreciation of the asset. In addition, amortization on our intangible assets decreased as a result of the liquidation of one of our related CLOs in January 2013 for which the majority of expense was recognized in December 2012 and as a result of the consolidation of a CLO which caused the amortization of the related intangible asset to be accelerated into the fourth quarter of 2012.

Income tax expense (benefit). Income tax expense (benefit) decreased \$15.6 million to a benefit of \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in income tax expense is primarily attributable to the liquidation of Apidos CLO VIII and Whitney CLO I beginning in September 2013 and October 2013, respectively. The liquidation caused acceleration of note discount and deferred debt amortization as well as accelerated interest expense on subordinated notes. In addition, we had fewer realized gains on sales in our trading portfolio during the year ended December 31, 2013 after selling 12 securities in September 2012 and realizing gains then.

Provision for loan losses. Our provision for loan and lease losses decreased \$13.8 million to \$3.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The following table summarizes the information relating our loan losses for the periods presented (in thousands):

|                                 | Years Ended December 31, |          | Year to Year<br>Dollar Change | Year to Year<br>Percent<br>Change |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                 | 2013                     | 2012     |                               |                                   |
| CRE loan portfolio              | \$2,686                  | \$5,225  | \$(2,539)                     | (49)%                             |
| Bank loan portfolio             | 312                      | 11,593   | (11,281)                      | (97)%                             |
| Total provision for loan losses | \$2,998                  | \$16,818 | \$(13,820)                    | (82)%                             |

CRE Loan Portfolio - The CRE loan provision decreased \$2.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$2.7 million. The principal reason for the decrease was related to three positions for which we took provisions during the year ended December 31, 2012. The positions had a total par value of \$41.8 million and were written down to \$37.3 million for a weighted average write down percentage of 10.9% of par. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we only took a provision on one previously impaired loan due to further credit deterioration of the borrower.

Bank Loan Portfolio - The bank loan provision decreased by \$11.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 to \$312,000 as compared to \$11.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. The principal reason for the decrease for the year ended December 31, 2013 was due to improved credit conditions as well as the sales and payoffs of five loans in the general reserve and two impaired loans that were sold and written off during the year ended December 31, 2013. All five loans had been reserved in prior periods.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

54

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Other Revenue (Expense)

The following table sets forth information relating to our other income (expense) incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

|  | Years Ended December 31, |          | Year to Year  | Year to Year |        |
|--|--------------------------|----------|---------------|--------------|--------|
|  | 2014                     | 2013     | Dollar Change | Percent      | Change |
| Other Revenue (Expense):   |                          |          |               |              |        |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries                                | \$4,767                  | \$949    | \$3,818       | 402          | %      |
| Net realized gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale and loans | 15,283                   | 9,637    | 5,646         | 59           | %      |
| Net realized and unrealized (loss) gain on investment securities, trading        | (2,818)                  | (324)    | (2,494)       | 770          | %      |
| Unrealized gain (loss) and net interest income on linked transactions, net       | 7,850                    | (3,841)  | 11,691        | (304)        | %      |
| Loss on reissuance of debt   | (4,442)                  | —        | (4,442)       | 100          | %      |
| Gain on sale of real estate  | 6,127                    | 16,616   | (10,489)      | (63)         | %      |
| Other income (expense)   | (1,262)                  | 391      | (1,653)       | (423)        | %      |
| Total other revenue  | \$25,505                 | \$23,428 | \$2,077       | 9            | %      |

Year Ended December 31, 2014 as compared to Year Ended December 31, 2013

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries. Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries increased \$3.8 million to \$4.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. This increase in earnings was primarily related to \$3.5 million of gains on the sale of properties in which we owned equity interests in a real estate joint venture, and we also recognized \$2.0 million of income related to our investment in CVC Global Opportunities Fund, L.P. as compared to \$1.2 million for 2013.

Net realized gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale and loans. Net realized gains on investment securities available-for-sale and loans increased \$5.6 million to \$15.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The current year balance is comprised of gains of \$2.9 million related to the liquidation of Apidos CLO I; gains of \$4.2 million recognized on the sale of available-for-sale security positions in our newly acquired investment security portfolio held by our consolidated subsidiary RCM Global, LLC; net gains on sales of loans and servicing income at our residential mortgage loan originator of \$9.3 million and net gains of \$1.3 million on our foreign exchange currency contracts. These gains are partially offset by a realized loss on a TBA (to-be-announced security) hedge contract on a portfolio of jumbo loans held in one of our qualified REIT subsidiaries, realized losses on sales of CMBS securities during the year of \$1.6 million and realized losses on our investments in European structured securities of \$2.2 million.

Net realized and unrealized (loss) gain on investment securities, trading. Net realized and unrealized (loss) gain on investment securities, trading decreased \$2.5 million to a loss of \$2.8 million during the year ended December 31, 2014. The current year balance is comprised of a net loss of \$3.4 million in our trading portfolio offset by a net gain of \$600,000 from Pelium Capital, our consolidated subsidiary that invests in structured securities classified as trading securities.

Unrealized gain (loss) and net interest income on linked transactions, net. Unrealized gain (loss) and net interest income on linked transactions, net, increased \$11.7 million to a loss of \$7.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The amounts are related to our CMBS securities that are purchased with repurchase agreements with the same counterparty from whom the securities were purchased. These transactions are entered into contemporaneously or in contemplation of each other and are presumed not to meet sale accounting criteria. We account for these transactions on a net basis and record a forward purchase commitment to purchase securities (each, a "linked transaction") at fair value.

Loss on reissuance of debt. Loss on reissuance of debt was \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The transactions that give rise to the recognition of a loss on the reissuance of debt resulted from the reissuance of previously repurchased senior and junior notes in our consolidated variable interest entities in the open market. These

senior and junior notes were originally repurchased at discounts to par and represent an opportunity to provide us strategic financing at beneficial rates upon reissuance. At the date these notes were repurchased, a gain, representative of the difference between the repurchase price and the par value of the note, was recognized. Because these same notes were reissued during the year ended December 31, 2014, at a price less than par, an unrealized loss equal to the difference between the reissued price and the par value of the note was recognized in current earnings.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

55

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Gain on sale of real estate. The gain on the sale of real estate decreased \$10.5 million to \$6.1 million and is related to the sale of our remaining three properties for a combined gain of \$6.1 million as compared to a gain of \$16.6 million from the sale of a multi-family apartment building in 2013.

Other income (expense). Other income (expense) decreased \$1.7 million to a loss of \$1.3 million primarily related to the consolidation of LCF as a result of our additional investment in and acquisition of a controlling financial interest in the company during the first quarter of 2014.

The following table sets forth information relating to our other income (expense) incurred for the periods presented (in thousands):

|  | Years Ended December 31, |           | Year to Year  | Year to Year |        |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------|
|  | 2013                     | 2012      | Dollar Change | Percent      | Change |
| Other Revenue (Expense):   |                          |           |               |              |        |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries                       | \$949                    | \$(2,709) | \$3,658       | (135)        | %      |
| Net realized gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale and loans | 9,637                    | 4,106     | 5,531         | 135          | %      |
| Net realized and unrealized (loss) gain on investment securities, trading        | (324)                    | 12,435    | (12,759)      | (103)        | %      |
| Unrealized (loss) gain and net interest income on linked transactions, net       | (3,841)                  | 728       | (4,569)       | (628)        | %      |
| Gain on extinguishment of debt   | —                        | 16,699    | (16,699)      | (100)        | %      |
| Gain on sale of real estate  | 16,616                   | —         | 16,616        | 100          | %      |
| Other income   | 391                      | 2,498     | (2,107)       | (84)         | %      |
| Total other revenue  | \$23,428                 | \$33,757  | \$(10,329)    | (31)         | %      |

Year Ended December 31, 2013 as compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries. Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries increased \$3.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase in earnings was primarily related to our investment in LCC for which we realized a loss of \$183,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to a loss of \$3.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012. In addition, we recognized \$1.2 million of income related to our investment in CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund, L.P. There was no such investment during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Net realized gains on investment securities available-for-sale and loans. Net realized gains on investment securities available-for-sale and loans increased \$5.5 million to \$9.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase for the year ended December 31, 2013 is primarily due to gains of \$5.0 million as a result of the liquidation of Apidos CLO VIII in October 2013 as well as gains of \$2.2 million on the sales of residential mortgage loans, a business we acquired in October 2013.

Net realized and unrealized gain on investment securities, trading. Net realized and unrealized gain on investment securities, trading decreased \$12.8 million to a loss of \$324,000 during the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily as a result of a sale of nine securities in 2013 and 12 securities in September 2012, which has significantly reduced the balance of investments held in trading securities. The remaining portfolio has decreased substantially as we held eight positions and 13 positions at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and as a result, there is less opportunity to realize gains. In addition, marks decreased at December 31, 2013 as a result of a downturn in the market for these types of securities.

Unrealized (loss) gain and net interest income on linked transactions, net. Unrealized (loss) gain and net interest income on linked transactions, net increased \$4.6 million to a loss of \$3.8 million. The increase in expense for the year ended December 31, 2013 resulted from the change in market value of our linked transactions with longer duration to maturity at December 31, 2013 as compared to December 31, 2012.

Gain on sale of real estate. Gain on sale of real estate was \$16.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as a result of the sale of a multi-family apartment building. During the three months ended June 30, 2013, we entered into a listing agreement for this property. The sale settled on September 30, 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

56

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Gain on extinguishment of debt. There was no gain on the extinguishment of debt during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to a gain of \$16.7 million recognized during the year ended December 31, 2012 resulting from the repurchase of a portion of the debt issued by RREF CDO 2006-1, RREF CDO 2007-1 and Apidos CDO I at discounts during the period. The notes, issued at par, were bought back as an investment by us at a weighted average price of 88.7%.

Other income. Other income decreased \$2.1 million to \$391,000 as a result of recognizing a gain on consolidation of \$2.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2012 related to the consolidation of Whitney CLO I as a result of our acquisition of a controlling financial interest where the net fair value of the assets acquired exceeded our purchase price.

## Summary.

Our total assets at December 31, 2014 were \$2.7 billion as compared to \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2013. The increase in total assets was principally due to continued expansion of our loan portfolio, slightly offset by sale of our investments in real estate and liquidation of Apidos CLO I and Moselle CLO.

## Investment Portfolio

The table below summarizes the amortized cost and net carrying amount of RSO's investment portfolio as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 classified by interest rate and by asset type (in thousands, except percentages):

|   | Amortized<br>cost | Net Carrying<br>Amount | Percent of<br>portfolio | Weighted<br>average<br>coupon |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014                       |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Loans Held for Investment:                    |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Commercial real estate loans <sup>(1)</sup> : |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Whole loans                                   | \$1,263,592       | \$1,259,834            | 52.26                   | % 5.33%                       |
| B notes                                       | 16,072            | 16,017                 | 0.66                    | % 8.68%                       |
| Mezzanine loans                               | 67,366            | 67,136                 | 2.78                    | % 7.44%                       |
| Bank loans                                    | 330,648           | 330,078                | 13.69                   | % 3.70%                       |
| Middle market loans                           | 250,113           | 250,113                | 10.38                   | % 8.35%                       |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | 2,802             | 2,802                  | 0.12                    | % 4.57%                       |
| Loans receivable-related party                | 1,277             | 1,277                  | 0.05                    | % 4.62%                       |
|   | 1,931,870         | 1,927,257              | 79.94                   | %                             |
| Loans held for sale <sup>(2)</sup> :          |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Bank loans                                    | 282               | 282                    | 0.01                    | % 3.76%                       |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | 111,454           | 111,454                | 4.62                    | % 4.04%                       |
|   | 111,736           | 111,736                | 4.63                    | %                             |
| Investments in Available-for-Sale Securities: |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| CMBS-private placement                        | 168,669           | 170,405                | 7.07                    | % 4.78%                       |
| CMBS-linked transactions                      | 14,900            | 15,367                 | 0.64                    | % 5.44%                       |
| RMBS  | 29,814            | 30,751                 | 1.28                    | % 3.17%                       |
| ABS   | 55,617            | 72,157                 | 2.99                    | % N/A <sup>(3)</sup>          |
| Corporate Bonds                               | 2,415             | 2,407                  | 0.10                    | % 4.88%                       |
|   | 271,415           | 291,087                | 12.08                   | %                             |
| Investment Securities-Trading:                |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Structured notes                              | 23,319            | 20,786                 | 0.86                    | % N/A <sup>(3)</sup>          |
| RMBS  | 1,896             | —                      | —                       | % N/A <sup>(3)</sup>          |
|   | 25,215            | 20,786                 | 0.86                    | %                             |
| Other (non-interest bearing):                 |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Property available for sale                   | 180               | 180                    | 0.01                    | % N/A                         |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities         | 59,827            | 59,827                 | 2.48                    | % N/A                         |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|                            |             |             |        |   |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|---|
| Total Investment Portfolio | 60,007      | 60,007      | 2.49   | % |
|                            | \$2,400,243 | \$2,410,873 | 100.00 | % |

(Back to Index)

57

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

|   | Amortized<br>cost | Net Carrying<br>Amount | Percent of<br>portfolio | Weighted<br>average<br>coupon |
|---|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2013                       |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Loans Held for Investment:                    |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Commercial real estate loans <sup>(1)</sup> : |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Whole loans                                   | \$745,789         | \$746,440              | 42.01                   | % 5.47%                       |
| B notes                                       | 16,205            | 16,031                 | 0.90                    | % 8.68%                       |
| Mezzanine loans                               | 64,317            | 50,611                 | 2.85                    | % 6.70%                       |
| Bank loans                                    | 515,393           | 512,002                | 28.82                   | % 3.85%                       |
| Middle market loans                           | 39,780            | 39,780                 | 2.24                    | % 8.37%                       |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | 1,849             | 1,849                  | 0.10                    | % 4.19%                       |
| Loans receivable-related party                | 6,966             | 6,966                  | 0.39                    | % 8.35%                       |
|   | 1,390,299         | 1,373,679              | 77.31                   | %                             |
| Loans held for sale <sup>(2)</sup> :          |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Bank loans                                    | 2,377             | 2,377                  | 0.13                    | % 6.43%                       |
| Middle market loans                           | 4,473             | 4,473                  | 0.25                    | % 7.75%                       |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | 15,066            | 15,066                 | 0.85                    | % 4.19%                       |
|   | 21,916            | 21,916                 | 1.23                    | %                             |
| Investments in Available-for-Sale Securities: |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| CMBS-private placement                        | 185,178           | 180,718                | 10.17                   | % 4.89%                       |
| CMBS-linked transactions                      | 35,736            | 30,066                 | 1.69                    | % 3.93%                       |
| ABS   | 25,406            | 26,656                 | 1.50                    | % N/A <sup>(3)</sup>          |
| Corporate Bonds                               | 2,517             | 2,463                  | 0.14                    | % 8.05%                       |
|   | 248,837           | 239,903                | 13.50                   | %                             |
| Investment Securities-Trading:                |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Structured notes                              | 8,057             | 11,107                 | 0.63                    | % N/A <sup>(3)</sup>          |
| RMBS  | 1,919             | 451                    | 0.03                    | % N/A <sup>(3)</sup>          |
|   | 9,976             | 11,558                 | 0.66                    | %                             |
| Other (non-interest bearing):                 |                   |                        |                         |                               |
| Property available for sale                   | 25,346            | 25,346                 | 1.43                    | % —%                          |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities         | 29,778            | 29,778                 | 1.68                    | % —%                          |
| Investment in Real Estate                     | 74,438            | 74,438                 | 4.19                    | % —%                          |
|   | 129,562           | 129,562                | 7.30                    | %                             |
| Total Investment Portfolio                    | \$1,800,590       | \$1,776,618            | 100.00                  | %                             |

Net carrying amount includes an allowance for loan losses of \$4.0 million at December 31, 2014, allocated as follows: whole loans \$3.8 million, B notes \$55,000 and mezzanine loans \$231,000. Net carrying amount includes an allowance for loan losses of \$10.4 million at December 31, 2013, allocated as follows: whole loans \$9.7 million, B notes \$174,000 and mezzanine loans \$559,000

(2) Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair market value. Amortized cost is equal to fair value.

(3) There is no stated rate associated with these securities.

Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities-Private Placement. In the aggregate, we purchased our CMBS-private placement portfolio at a net discount. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the remaining discount to be accreted into income over the remaining lives of the securities was \$3.6 million and \$7.2 million, respectively. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the remaining premium to be amortized into income over the remaining lives of the securities was \$619,000 and \$645,000, respectively. These securities are classified as available-for-sale and, as a result, are carried at their fair value.

During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 we recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses of \$0, \$328,000 and \$42,000, respectively, on positions that supported our CMBS investments. Securities classified as available-for-sale have decreased on a net basis as of December 31, 2014 as compared to December 31, 2013 primarily due to paydowns in 2014. We perform an on-going review of third-party reports and updated financial data on the underlying property financial information to analyze current and projected loan performance. Rating agency downgrades are considered with respect to our income approach when determining other-than-temporary impairment and, when inputs are stressed, the resulting projected cash flows reflect a full recovery of principal.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

58

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table summarizes our CMBS-private placement at fair value (in thousands, except percentages):

|                                  | Fair Value at<br>December 31,<br>2013 | Net<br>Purchases | Upgrades/Downgrades | Paydowns     | MTM Change<br>on Same<br>Ratings | Fair Value at<br>December 31,<br>2014 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Moody's Ratings Category:</b> |                                       |                  |                     |              |                                  |                                       |
| Aaa                              | \$49,837                              | \$7,575          | \$ (2,328 )         | \$ (26,706 ) | \$2,257                          | \$30,635                              |
| Aa1 through Aa3                  | 5,356                                 | —                | 3,223               | (4,554 )     | 1,648                            | 5,673                                 |
| A1 through A3                    | 14,611                                | —                | (723 )              | (677 )       | (2,270 )                         | 10,941                                |
| Baa1 through Baa3                | 38,711                                | (8,689 )         | (2,500 )            | (1,537 )     | (2,537 )                         | 23,448                                |
| Ba1 through Ba3                  | 13,738                                | 10,889           | (4,721 )            | —            | (1,535 )                         | 18,371                                |
| B1 through B3                    | 13,381                                | 8,936            | 8,573               | —            | 2,599                            | 33,489                                |
| Caa1 through Caa3                | 14,744                                | 3,678            | (4,631 )            | —            | 1,792                            | 15,583                                |
| Ca through C                     | 8,614                                 | (3,678 )         | 779                 | (213 )       | 6,176                            | 11,678                                |
| Non-Rated                        | 21,726                                | 838              | 2,328               | (803 )       | (3,502 )                         | 20,587                                |
| Total                            | \$180,718                             | \$19,549         | \$ —                | \$ (34,490 ) | \$4,628                          | \$170,405                             |

**S&P Ratings Category:**

|                   |           |          |          |              |             |           |
|-------------------|-----------|----------|----------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| AAA               | \$53,239  | \$754    | \$ —     | \$ (29,109 ) | \$ (2,781 ) | \$22,103  |
| A+ through A-     | 7,999     | —        | —        | —            | (137 )      | 7,862     |
| BBB+ through BBB- | 14,303    | —        | 3,485    | (803 )       | 934         | 17,919    |
| BB+ through BB-   | 32,795    | 2,491    | 2,458    | —            | (1,358 )    | 36,386    |
| B+ through B-     | 33,162    | 3,850    | (5,943 ) | —            | 1,639       | 32,708    |
| CCC+ through CCC- | 12,176    | 9,989    | (1,161 ) | —            | 3,297       | 24,301    |
| D                 | 1,980     | —        | 1,161    | (213 )       | 3,145       | 6,073     |
| Non-Rated         | 25,064    | 2,465    | —        | (4,365 )     | (111 )      | 23,053    |
| Total             | \$180,718 | \$19,549 | \$ —     | \$ (34,490 ) | \$4,628     | \$170,405 |

Investment Securities, Trading. The following table summarizes our structured notes and RMBS securities, which are classified as investment securities, trading, and are carried at fair value (in thousands):

|                          | Amortized<br>Cost | Unrealized<br>Gains | Unrealized<br>Losses | Fair<br>Value |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |                   |                     |                      |               |
| Structured notes         | \$22,876          | \$1,098             | \$(3,188 )           | \$20,786      |
| RMBS                     | 1,896             | —                   | (1,896 )             | —             |
| Total                    | \$24,772          | \$1,098             | \$(5,084 )           | \$20,786      |

## As of December 31, 2013:

|                  |         |         |            |          |
|------------------|---------|---------|------------|----------|
| Structured notes | \$8,057 | \$4,050 | \$(1,000 ) | \$11,107 |
| RMBS             | 1,919   | —       | (1,468 )   | 451      |
| Total            | \$9,976 | \$4,050 | \$(2,468 ) | \$11,558 |

We purchased 38 securities and sold nine securities during the year ended December 31, 2014, for a net realized gain of \$3.0 million. We held 37 and eight investment securities, trading as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Real Estate Loans. The following table is a summary of the loans in our commercial real estate loan portfolio at the dates indicated (in thousands):

| Description                                       | Quantity | Amortized Cost | Contracted Interest Rates             | Maturity Dates <sup>(3)</sup>    |
|---|----------|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                          |          |                |                                       |                                  |
| Whole loans, floating rate <sup>(1) (4) (6)</sup> | 73       | \$1,263,592    | LIBOR plus 1.75% to LIBOR plus 15.00% | May 2015 to February 2019        |
| B notes, fixed rate                               | 1        | 16,072         | 8.68%                                 | April 2016                       |
| Mezzanine loans, floating rate                    | 1        | 12,558         | LIBOR plus 15.32%                     | April 2016                       |
| Mezzanine loans, fixed rate <sup>(7)</sup>        | 3        | 54,808         | 0.50% to 18.71%                       | January 2016 to September 2019   |
| Total <sup>(2)</sup>                              | 78       | \$1,347,030    |                                       |                                  |
| As of December 31, 2013:                          |          |                |                                       |                                  |
| Whole loans, floating rate <sup>(1) (5) (6)</sup> | 51       | \$745,789      | LIBOR plus 2.68% to LIBOR plus 12.14% | March 2014 to February 2019      |
| B notes, fixed rate                               | 1        | 16,205         | 8.68%                                 | April 2016                       |
| Mezzanine loans, floating rate                    | 1        | 12,455         | LIBOR plus 15.32%                     | April 2016                       |
| Mezzanine loans, fixed rate <sup>(7)</sup>        | 3        | 51,862         | 0.50% to 18.72%                       | September 2014 to September 2019 |
| Total <sup>(2)</sup>                              | 56       | \$826,311      |                                       |                                  |

Whole loans had \$105.1 million and \$13.7 million in unfunded loan commitments as of December 31, 2014 and (1) 2013, respectively. These unfunded commitments are advanced as the borrowers formally request additional funding as permitted under the loan agreement and any necessary approvals have been obtained.

(2) The total does not include an allowance for loan loss of \$4.0 million and \$10.4 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(3) Maturity dates do not include possible extension options that may be available to the borrowers.

Floating rate whole loans include a combined \$12.0 million mezzanine component of two whole loans, which have (4) a fixed rate of 12.0%, and a \$4.2 million mezzanine component of two whole loans that have a fixed rate of 15% at December 31, 2014.

(5) Floating rate whole loans include a combined \$11.4 million mezzanine component of two whole loans, which have a fixed rate of 12.0% as of December 31, 2013.

(6) Floating rate whole loans include a \$799,000 junior mezzanine tranche of a whole loan that has a fixed rate of 10.0% as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Fixed rate mezzanine loans include a mezzanine loan that was modified into two tranches, which both currently (7) pay interest at 0.50%. In addition, the subordinate tranche accrues interest at LIBOR plus 18.50% which is deferred until maturity.

Bank Loans. At December 31, 2014, our consolidated securitizations, Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III and Apidos Cinco CDO held a total of \$323.0 million of bank loans at fair value. The bank loans held by the securitizations secure the CDO notes they issued and are not available to satisfy the claims of our creditors. The aggregate fair value of bank loans held decreased by \$195.0 million over their holdings at December 31, 2013. This decrease was primarily due to the liquidation of Apidos CDO I during the quarter and year ended December 31, 2014.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table summarizes our bank loan investments (in thousands):

|                                | December 31, 2014 |                           | December 31, 2013 |                           |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
|                                | Amortized cost    | Fair Value <sup>(1)</sup> | Amortized cost    | Fair Value <sup>(1)</sup> |
| Moody's ratings category:      |                   |                           |                   |                           |
| Baa1 through Baa3              | \$16,205          | \$16,056                  | \$10,885          | \$10,936                  |
| Ba1 through Ba3                | 173,118           | 169,207                   | 263,589           | 265,945                   |
| B1 through B3                  | 129,863           | 126,774                   | 205,243           | 205,490                   |
| Caa1 through Caa3              | 5,234             | 4,915                     | 16,360            | 14,799                    |
| Ca                             | —                 | —                         | 667               | 332                       |
| No rating provided             | 6,510             | 6,256                     | 21,026            | 20,600                    |
| Total                          | \$330,930         | \$323,208                 | \$517,770         | \$518,102                 |
| S&P ratings category:          |                   |                           |                   |                           |
| BBB+ through BBB-              | \$48,582          | \$48,110                  | \$46,201          | \$46,562                  |
| BB+ through BB-                | 139,544           | 134,434                   | 222,270           | 222,432                   |
| B+ through B-                  | 132,732           | 131,105                   | 214,505           | 216,680                   |
| CCC+ through CCC-              | 3,105             | 3,096                     | 11,622            | 11,372                    |
| CC+ through CC-                | —                 | —                         | —                 | —                         |
| C+ through C-                  | —                 | —                         | —                 | —                         |
| D                              | 459               | 208                       | 2,251             | 723                       |
| No rating provided             | 6,508             | 6,255                     | 20,921            | 20,333                    |
| Total                          | \$330,930         | \$323,208                 | \$517,770         | \$518,102                 |
| Weighted average rating factor | 1,786             |                           | 1,917             |                           |

(1) The bank loan portfolio's fair value is determined using dealer quotes.

Middle Market Loans. At December 31, 2014, Northport our middle market lending platform, held a total of \$247.8 million of middle market loans at fair value. The middle market loans held by Northport TRS, LLC serve to collateralize its senior secured revolving credit agreement. The aggregate fair value of bank loans held increased by \$202.9 million over their holdings at December 31, 2013. This increase was primarily due to increased originations and purchase of production in our middle market lending platform.

The following table summarizes our middle market loans (in thousands):

|                           | December 31, 2014 |                           | December 31, 2013 |                           |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
|                           | Amortized cost    | Fair Value <sup>(1)</sup> | Amortized cost    | Fair Value <sup>(1)</sup> |
| Moody's ratings category: |                   |                           |                   |                           |
| Baa1 through Baa3         | \$—               | \$—                       | \$—               | \$—                       |
| Ba1 through Ba3           | —                 | —                         | —                 | —                         |
| B1 through B3             | —                 | —                         | 11,751            | 12,027                    |
| Caa1 through Caa3         | 62,053            | 60,126                    | 7,863             | 7,903                     |
| Ca                        | —                 | —                         | —                 | —                         |
| No rating provided        | 188,060           | 187,655                   | 24,639            | 24,928                    |
| Total                     | \$250,113         | \$247,781                 | \$44,253          | \$44,858                  |
| S&P ratings category:     |                   |                           |                   |                           |
| BBB+ through BBB-         | \$—               | \$—                       | \$—               | \$—                       |
| BB+ through BB-           | —                 | —                         | 1,976             | 2,010                     |
| B+ through B-             | 4,959             | 3,798                     | 14,202            | 14,455                    |
| CCC+ through CCC-         | 49,665            | 48,988                    | 3,437             | 3,466                     |
| CC+ through CC-           | —                 | —                         | —                 | —                         |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|                                |           |           |          |          |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| C+ through C-                  | —         | —         | —        | —        |
| D                              | —         | —         | —        | —        |
| No rating provided             | 195,489   | 194,995   | 24,638   | 24,927   |
| Total                          | \$250,113 | \$247,781 | \$44,253 | \$44,858 |
| Weighted average rating factor | 6,500     |           | 1,707    |          |

(Back to Index)

61

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

(1) The middle market loan portfolio's fair value is determined using dealer quotes.

The following table provides information as to the lien position and status of our bank and middle market loans, which we consolidate (in thousands):

|  | Amortized Cost |            |              |               |                              | Total     |
|--|----------------|------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------------|-----------|
|  | Apidos I       | Apidos III | Apidos Cinco | Whitney CLO I | Northport LLC <sup>(1)</sup> |           |
| As of December 31, 2014:                     |                |            |              |               |                              |           |
| Loans held for investment:                   |                |            |              |               |                              |           |
| First lien loans                             | \$153          | \$80,196   | \$245,377    | \$—           | \$149,287                    | \$475,013 |
| Second lien loans                            | —              | —          | 3,572        | —             | 100,826                      | 104,398   |
| Third lien loans                             | —              | —          | —            | —             | —                            | —         |
| Defaulted first lien loans                   | —              | —          | —            | —             | —                            | —         |
| Defaulted second lien loans                  | —              | 971        | 379          | —             | —                            | 1,350     |
| Total  | 153            | 81,167     | 249,328      | —             | 250,113                      | 580,761   |
| First lien loans held for sale at fair value | —              | —          | 282          | —             | —                            | 282       |
| Total  | \$153          | \$81,167   | \$249,610    | \$—           | \$250,113                    | \$581,043 |
| As of December 31, 2013:                     |                |            |              |               |                              |           |
| Loans held for investment:                   |                |            |              |               |                              |           |
| First lien loans                             | \$79,483       | \$126,890  | \$296,368    | \$72          | \$31,974                     | \$534,787 |
| Second lien loans                            | —              | —          | 1,139        | —             | 7,805                        | 8,944     |
| Third lien loans                             | 3,020          | 2,475      | 2,463        | —             | —                            | 7,958     |
| Defaulted first lien loans                   | 1,206          | 1,124      | 486          | —             | —                            | 2,816     |
| Defaulted second lien loans                  | 334            | 334        | —            | —             | —                            | 668       |
| Total  | 84,043         | 130,823    | 300,456      | 72            | 39,779                       | 555,173   |
| First lien loans held for sale at fair value | 537            | 651        | 1,189        | —             | 4,473                        | 6,850     |
| Total  | \$84,580       | \$131,474  | \$301,645    | \$72          | \$44,252                     | \$562,023 |

In September 2014 Resource TRS LLC and RCC Commercial contributed their interests in certain directly (1) originated and syndicated bank loans to form Northport LLC. At December 31, 2013 Resource TRS LLC and RCC Commercial held a total of \$34.0 million and \$10.3 million of bank loans, respectively at amortized cost. Asset-backed securities. At December 31, 2014, we held a total of \$11.8 million of ABS at fair value through Apidos CDO III and Apidos Cinco CDO, all of which secure the debt issued by these entities. At December 31, 2013, we held a total of \$26.7 million fair value of ABS through Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III and Apidos Cinco CDO, all of which secured the debt issued by these entities. The decrease in total ABS during 2014 was substantially due to the liquidation of Apidos CDO I.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table summarizes our ABS at fair value (in thousands):

|                           | December 31, 2014 |            | December 31, 2013 |            |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
|                           | Amortized<br>Cost | Fair Value | Amortized<br>Cost | Fair Value |
| Moody's ratings category: |                   |            |                   |            |
| Aaa                       | \$6,084           | \$6,638    | \$4,650           | \$5,058    |
| Aa1 through Aa3           | 3,748             | 4,168      | 8,097             | 7,469      |
| A1 through A3             | —                 | —          | 1,263             | 3,801      |
| Baa1 through Baa3         | 243               | 232        | 2,737             | 2,736      |
| Ba1 through Ba3           | 774               | 727        | 8,021             | 6,981      |
| B1 through B3             | —                 | —          | 638               | 611        |
| Caa1 through Caa3         | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| No rating provided        | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| Total                     | \$10,849          | \$11,765   | \$25,406          | \$26,656   |
| S&P ratings category:     |                   |            |                   |            |
| AAA                       | \$5,169           | \$5,640    | \$—               | \$—        |
| AA+ through AA-           | 3,748             | 4,168      | 8,030             | 7,259      |
| A+ through A-             | —                 | —          | 5,107             | 8,094      |
| BBB+ through BBB-         | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| BB+ through BB-           | 774               | 727        | 4,868             | 4,019      |
| B+ through B-             | 243               | 232        | 1,577             | 1,578      |
| CCC+ through CCC-         | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| No rating provided        | 915               | 998        | 5,824             | 5,706      |
| Total                     | \$10,849          | \$11,765   | \$25,406          | \$26,656   |

Weighted average rating factor 99 416

Corporate bonds. At December 31, 2014, our consolidated securitization, Apidos Cinco CDO, held a total of \$2.4 million of corporate bonds at fair value, which secure the debt issued by this entity. These investments are held at fair value with any unrealized gain or loss reported in the stockholder's equity section of the balance sheet. The aggregate fair value of corporate bonds held decreased by \$56,000 over those held at December 31, 2013. This decrease was primarily due to the sale of two bonds in Apidos Cinco CDO, partially offset by a purchase in Apidos Cinco CDO.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table summarizes our corporate bonds at fair value (in thousands):

|                                | December 31, 2014 |            | December 31, 2013 |            |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
|                                | Amortized Cost    | Fair Value | Amortized Cost    | Fair Value |
| Moody's ratings category:      |                   |            |                   |            |
| Aaa                            | \$—               | \$—        | \$—               | \$—        |
| Aa1 through Aa3                | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| A1 through A3                  | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| Baa1 through Baa3              | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| Ba1 through Ba3                | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| B1 through B3                  | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| Caa1 through Caa3              | 957               | 960        | 1,582             | 1,598      |
| Ca                             | 1,458             | 1,447      | —                 | —          |
| No rating provided             | —                 | —          | 935               | 865        |
| Total                          | \$2,415           | \$2,407    | \$2,517           | \$2,463    |
| S&P ratings category:          |                   |            |                   |            |
| AAA                            | \$—               | \$—        | \$—               | \$—        |
| AA+ through AA-                | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| A+ through A-                  | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| BBB+ through BBB-              | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| BB+ through BB-                | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| B+ through B-                  | 868               | 870        | 869               | 873        |
| CCC+ through CCC-              | 1,547             | 1,537      | 1,648             | 1,590      |
| No rating provided             | —                 | —          | —                 | —          |
| Total                          | \$2,415           | \$2,407    | \$2,517           | \$2,463    |
| Weighted average rating factor | 4,770             |            | 6,500             |            |

Investments in Unconsolidated Entities

The following table shows our investments in unconsolidated entities as of 2014 and 2013 and equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

|  | Ownership % | Balance as of     |                   | Years Ended December 31, |         |         |
|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
|  |             | December 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 | 2014                     | 2013    | 2012    |
| Varde Investment Partners, L.P.                    | 7.5%        | \$654             | \$674             | \$(20)                   | \$148   | \$(135) |
| RRE VIP Borrower, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>               |             | —                 | —                 | 3,473                    | 277     | 682     |
| Investment in LCC Preferred Stock                  | 28.4%       | 39,416            | 41,016            | (1,555)                  | (183)   | (3,256) |
| Investment in CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund | 27.7%       | 18,209            | 16,177            | 2,032                    | 1,177   | —       |
| Investment in Life Care Funding <sup>(2)</sup>     | 50.2%       | —                 | 1,530             | (75)                     | (470)   | —       |
| Investment in School Lane House <sup>(1)</sup>     |             | —                 | 975               | 912                      | —       | —       |
|  |             | 58,279            | 60,372            | 4,767                    | 949     | (2,709) |
| Investment in RCT I and II <sup>(3)</sup>          | 3%          | 1,548             | 1,548             | 2,387                    | 2,401   | 2,494   |
| Investment in Preferred Equity <sup>(1) (4)</sup>  |             | —                 | 7,149             | 410                      | 992     | 705     |
|  |             | \$59,827          | \$69,069          | \$7,564                  | \$4,342 | \$490   |

- (1) Investment in School Lane House, Investment in RRE VIP Borrower and the Investments in preferred equity were sold as of December 31, 2014.
- (2) We began consolidating this investment during the first quarter of 2014. Ownership % represents ownership after consolidation.
- (3) For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 these amounts are recorded in interest expense on our consolidated statements of income.
- (4) For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 these amounts are recorded in interest income on loans on our consolidated statements of income.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

64

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

In January 2013, Long-Term Care Conversion, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, or LTCC, invested \$2.0 million into Life Care Funding, LLC, a joint-venture established for the purpose of originating and acquiring life settlement contracts in which we own 50.2%, or LCF. In February 2014, we invested an additional \$1.4 million, which resulted in the consolidation of LCF during the first quarter of 2014.

#### Financing Receivables

The following tables show the allowance for loan losses and recorded investments in loans for the years indicated (in thousands):

|   | Commercial<br>Real Estate<br>Loans | Bank<br>Loans | Middle<br>Market<br>Loans | Residential<br>Mortgage<br>Loans | Loans<br>Receivable-Related<br>Party | Total       |
|---|------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                        |                                    |               |                           |                                  |                                      |             |
| Allowance for Loan Losses:                      |                                    |               |                           |                                  |                                      |             |
| Allowance for losses at January 1, 2014         | \$10,416                           | \$3,391       | \$—                       | \$—                              | \$ —                                 | \$13,807    |
| Provision (recovery) for loan loss              | (3,758)                            | 4,173         | 92                        | —                                | 1,297                                | 1,804       |
| Loans charged-off                               | (2,615)                            | (6,994)       | (92)                      | —                                | (1,297)                              | (10,998)    |
| Allowance for losses at December 31, 2014       | \$4,043                            | \$570         | \$—                       | \$—                              | \$ —                                 | \$4,613     |
| Ending balance: <sup>(1)</sup>                  |                                    |               |                           |                                  |                                      |             |
| Individually evaluated for impairment           | \$—                                | \$570         | \$—                       | \$—                              | \$ —                                 | \$570       |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment           | \$4,043                            | \$—           | \$—                       | \$—                              | \$ —                                 | \$4,043     |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$—                                | \$—           | \$—                       | \$—                              | \$ —                                 | \$—         |
| Loans:  |                                    |               |                           |                                  |                                      |             |
| Ending balance:                                 |                                    |               |                           |                                  |                                      |             |
| Individually evaluated for impairment           | \$166,180                          | \$1,350       | \$250,113                 | \$—                              | \$ 1,277                             | \$418,920   |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment           | \$1,180,850                        | \$329,580     | \$—                       | \$2,802                          | \$ —                                 | \$1,513,232 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$—                                | \$—           | \$—                       | \$—                              | \$ —                                 | \$—         |
| As of December 31, 2013:                        |                                    |               |                           |                                  |                                      |             |
| Allowance for Loan Losses:                      |                                    |               |                           |                                  |                                      |             |
| Allowance for losses at January 1, 2013         | \$7,986                            | \$9,705       | \$—                       | \$—                              | \$ —                                 | \$17,691    |
| Provision for loan loss                         | 2,686                              | 312           | 22                        | —                                | —                                    | 3,020       |
| Loans charged-off                               | (256)                              | (6,626)       | (22)                      | —                                | —                                    | (6,904)     |
| Allowance for losses at December 31, 2013       | \$10,416                           | \$3,391       | \$—                       | \$—                              | \$ —                                 | \$13,807    |
| Ending balance:                                 |                                    |               |                           |                                  |                                      |             |
| Individually evaluated for impairment           | \$4,572                            | \$2,621       | \$—                       | \$—                              | \$ —                                 | \$7,193     |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment           | \$5,844                            | \$770         | \$—                       | \$—                              | \$ —                                 | \$6,614     |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$—                                | \$—           | \$—                       | \$—                              | \$ —                                 | \$—         |

Loans:

Ending balance: <sup>(1)</sup>

|   |            |                          |     |          |          |             |
|---|------------|--------------------------|-----|----------|----------|-------------|
| Individually evaluated for impairment           | \$ 194,403 | \$ 3,554                 | \$— | \$—      | \$ 6,966 | \$204,923   |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment           | \$631,908  | \$558,469 <sup>(2)</sup> | \$— | \$16,915 | \$ —     | \$1,207,292 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$—        | \$—                      | \$— | \$—      | \$ —     | \$—         |

(1) Loan balances as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 include loans held for sale.

(2) Contains \$44.3 million of middle market loans at December 31, 2013.

(Back to Index)

65

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Credit quality indicators

## Bank Loans

We use a risk grading matrix to assign grades to bank loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-5 with 1 representing our highest rating and 5 representing its lowest rating. We also designate loans that are sold after the period end as held for sale at the lower of their fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. We consider factors such as performance of the underlying company, liquidity, collectability of interest, enterprise valuation, default probability, ratings from rating agencies and industry dynamics in grading our bank loans.

Credit risk profiles of bank and middle market loans were as follows (in thousands):

|                          | Rating 1  | Rating 2 | Rating 3 | Rating 4 | Rating 5 | Held for Sale | Total     |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |           |          |          |          |          |               |           |
| Bank loans               | \$291,214 | \$32,660 | \$5,424  | \$—      | \$1,350  | \$282         | \$330,930 |

As of December 31, 2013:

|            |           |          |          |         |         |         |           |
|------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Bank loans | \$448,224 | \$42,476 | \$18,806 | \$2,333 | \$3,554 | \$2,377 | \$517,770 |
|------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|

All of our bank loans were performing with the exception of two loans with an amortized cost of \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2014, one of which defaulted as of March 31, 2014 and the other defaulted as of September 30, 2014.

As of December 31, 2013, all of our bank loans were performing with the exception of three loans with an amortized cost of \$3.6 million, one of which defaulted in 2012, one of which defaulted as of March 31, 2013 and one of which defaulted as of June 30, 2013.

## Middle Market Loans

We use a risk grading matrix to assign grades to middle market loans. At inception, all middle market loans are graded at a 2 and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-5 with 1 representing our highest rating and 5 representing its lowest rating. A loan with a rating of a 2 is considered performing within expectations. We consider metrics such as performance of the underlying company, liquidity, collectability of interest and principal payments, enterprise valuation, default probability, and industry dynamics in grading its middle market loans.

Credit risk profiles of bank and middle market loans were as follows (in thousands):

|                          | Rating 1 | Rating 2  | Rating 3 | Rating 4 | Rating 5 | Held for Sale | Total     |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |          |           |          |          |          |               |           |
| Middle market loans      | \$—      | \$240,245 | \$9,868  | \$—      | \$—      | \$—           | \$250,113 |

As of December 31, 2013:

|                     |     |          |     |     |     |         |          |
|---------------------|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|---------|----------|
| Middle market loans | \$— | \$39,780 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$4,473 | \$44,253 |
|---------------------|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|---------|----------|

All of our middle market loans were performing as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

## Commercial Real Estate Loans

We use a risk grading matrix to assign grades to commercial real estate loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-4 with 1 representing our highest rating and 4 representing our lowest rating. We value loans that are sold after the period end at the lower of our fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. In addition to the underlying performance of the loan collateral, we consider such things as the strength of underlying sponsorship, payment history, collectability of interest, structural credit enhancements, market trends and loan terms

in grading our commercial real estate loans.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

66

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Credit risk profiles of commercial real estate loans were as follows (in thousands):

|                          | Rating 1     | Rating 2  | Rating 3  | Rating 4 | Held for Sale | Total        |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------|--------------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |              |           |           |          |               |              |
| Whole loans              | \$ 1,231,092 | \$ 32,500 | \$—       | \$—      | \$—           | \$ 1,263,592 |
| B notes                  | 16,072       | —         | —         | —        | —             | 16,072       |
| Mezzanine loans          | 45,432       | 21,934    | —         | —        | —             | 67,366       |
|                          | \$ 1,292,596 | \$ 54,434 | \$—       | \$—      | \$—           | \$ 1,347,030 |
| As of December 31, 2013: |              |           |           |          |               |              |
| Whole loans              | \$ 680,718   | \$ 32,500 | \$ 32,571 | \$—      | \$—           | \$ 745,789   |
| B notes                  | 16,205       | —         | —         | —        | —             | 16,205       |
| Mezzanine loans          | 51,862       | 12,455    | —         | —        | —             | 64,317       |
|                          | \$ 748,785   | \$ 44,955 | \$ 32,571 | \$—      | \$—           | \$ 826,311   |

All of our commercial real estate loans were performing as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

#### Residential Mortgage Loans

We review residential mortgage loans periodically for collectability in light of historical experience, the nature and amount of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and prevailing underlying conditions. We also designate loans that are sold after period end but before the financial statements are issued as held for sale at the lower of their fair market value or cost.

#### Loans Receivable - Related Party

In December 2014, we accepted net lease assets with a value of \$1.9 million in lieu of cash in order to satisfy the outstanding balance of a related party loan with Lease Equity Appreciation Fund II, L.P, an equipment leasing partnership sponsored by LEAF Financial, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, and of which a LEAF Financial subsidiary is the general partner. As a result of this transfer of assets and other impairments taken on the underlying lease portfolio during the year, we recorded a total provision for loan losses in the amount of \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. We took ownership of the lease portfolio to reduce the operating costs of managing the portfolio, which we believe, in turn, maximizes the return of expected future cash flows on our investment.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Loan Portfolios Aging Analysis

The following table shows the loan portfolio aging analysis for the years indicated at cost basis (in thousands):

|  | 30-59<br>Days | 60-89<br>Days | Greater<br>than 90<br>Days | Total Past<br>Due | Current     | Total Loans<br>Receivable | Total Loans<br>> 90 Days<br>and<br>Accruing |
|--|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---|
| As of December 31, 2014:                     |               |               |                            |                   |             |                           |   |
| Whole loans                                  | \$—           | \$—           | \$—                        | \$—               | \$1,263,592 | \$1,263,592               | \$—   |
| B notes                                      | —             | —             | —                          | —                 | 16,072      | 16,072                    | —   |
| Mezzanine loans                              | —             | —             | —                          | —                 | 67,366      | 67,366                    | —   |
| Bank loans <sup>(1)</sup>                    | —             | —             | 1,350                      | 1,350             | 329,580     | 330,930                   | —   |
| Middle market loans <sup>(3)</sup>           | —             | —             | —                          | —                 | 250,113     | 250,113                   | —   |
| Residential mortgage loans<br><sup>(2)</sup> | 443           | 82            | 119                        | 644               | 113,612     | 114,256                   | —   |
| Loans receivable- related<br>party           | —             | —             | —                          | —                 | 1,277       | 1,277                     | —   |
| Total loans                                  | \$443         | \$82          | \$1,469                    | \$1,994           | \$2,041,612 | \$2,043,606               | \$—   |
| As of December 31, 2013:                     |               |               |                            |                   |             |                           |   |
| Whole loans                                  | \$—           | \$—           | \$—                        | \$—               | \$745,789   | \$745,789                 | \$—   |
| B notes                                      | —             | —             | —                          | —                 | 16,205      | 16,205                    | —   |
| Mezzanine loans                              | —             | —             | —                          | —                 | 64,317      | 64,317                    | —   |
| Bank loans <sup>(1)</sup>                    | —             | —             | 3,554                      | 3,554             | 514,216     | 517,770                   | —   |
| Middle market loans <sup>(3)</sup>           | —             | —             | —                          | —                 | 44,253      | 44,253                    | —   |
| Residential mortgage loans<br><sup>(2)</sup> | 234           | 91            | 268                        | 593               | 16,322      | 16,915                    | —   |
| Loans receivable- related<br>party           | —             | —             | —                          | —                 | 6,966       | 6,966                     | —   |
| Total loans                                  | \$234         | \$91          | \$3,822                    | \$4,147           | \$1,408,068 | \$1,412,215               | \$—   |

(1) Contains \$282,000 and \$2.4 million of bank loans held for sale at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(2) Contains \$111.5 million and \$15.1 million of residential mortgage loans held for sale at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(3) Contains \$0 and \$4.5 million of middle market loans held for sale at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Impaired Loans

The following tables show impaired loans indicated (in thousands):

|   | Recorded<br>Balance | Unpaid<br>Principal<br>Balance | Specific<br>Allowance | Average<br>Investment in<br>Impaired Loans | Interest<br>Income<br>Recognized |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                      |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Loans without a specific valuation allowance: |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Whole loans                                   | \$128,108           | \$128,108                      | \$—                   | \$130,445                                  | \$12,679                         |
| B notes                                       | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Mezzanine loans                               | \$38,072            | \$38,072                       | \$—                   | \$38,072                                   | \$2,859                          |
| Bank loans                                    | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Middle market loans                           | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | \$2,082             | \$2,082                        | \$—                   | \$2,082                                    | \$148                            |
| Loans receivable - related party              | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Loans with a specific valuation allowance:    |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Whole loans                                   | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| B notes                                       | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Mezzanine loans                               | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Bank loans                                    | \$1,350             | \$1,350                        | \$(570)               | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Middle market loans                           | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Loans receivable - related party              | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Total:  |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Whole loans                                   | \$128,108           | \$128,108                      | \$—                   | \$130,445                                  | \$12,679                         |
| B notes                                       | —                   | —                              | —                     | —  | —                                |
| Mezzanine loans                               | 38,072              | 38,072                         | —                     | 38,072                                     | 2,859                            |
| Bank loans                                    | 1,350               | 1,350                          | (570)                 | —  | —                                |
| Middle market loans                           | —                   | —                              | —                     | —  | —                                |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | 2,082               | 2,082                          | —                     | 2,082                                      | 148                              |
| Loans receivable - related party              | —                   | —                              | —                     | —  | —                                |
|   | \$169,612           | \$169,612                      | \$(570)               | \$170,599                                  | \$15,686                         |
| As of December 31, 2013:                      |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Loans without a specific valuation allowance: |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Whole loans                                   | \$130,759           | \$130,759                      | \$—                   | \$123,495                                  | \$8,439                          |
| B notes                                       | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Mezzanine loans                               | \$38,072            | \$38,072                       | \$—                   | \$38,072                                   | \$1,615                          |
| Bank loans                                    | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Middle market loans                           | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | \$315               | \$268                          | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Loans receivable - related party              | \$5,733             | \$5,733                        | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Loans with a specific valuation allowance:    |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Whole loans                                   | \$25,572            | \$25,572                       | \$(4,572)             | \$24,748                                   | \$1,622                          |
| B notes                                       | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Mezzanine loans                               | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|                                  |           |           |           |             |          |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| Bank loans                       | \$3,554   | \$3,554   | \$(2,621) | ) \$—       | \$—      |
| Middle market loans              | \$—       | \$—       | \$—       | \$—         | \$—      |
| Loans receivable - related party | \$—       | \$—       | \$—       | \$—         | \$—      |
| Total:                           |           |           |           |             |          |
| Whole loans                      | \$156,331 | \$156,331 | \$(4,572) | ) \$148,243 | \$10,061 |
| B notes                          | —         | —         | —         | —           | —        |
| Mezzanine loans                  | 38,072    | 38,072    | —         | 38,072      | 1,615    |
| Bank loans                       | 3,554     | 3,554     | (2,621)   | ) —         | —        |
| Middle market loans              | —         | —         | —         | —           | —        |
| Residential mortgage loans       | 315       | 268       | —         | —           | —        |
| Loans receivable - related party | 5,733     | 5,733     | —         | —           | —        |
|                                  | \$204,005 | \$203,958 | \$(7,193) | ) \$186,315 | \$11,676 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

69

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Troubled-Debt Restructurings

The following tables show troubled-debt restructurings in our loan portfolio (in thousands):

|                                  | Number of<br>Loans | Pre-Modification<br>Outstanding<br>Recorded Balance | Post-Modification<br>Outstanding<br>Recorded Balance |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| Year Ended December 31, 2014:    |                    |   |  |
| Whole loans                      | 3                  | \$99,739  | \$99,739   |
| B notes                          | —                  | —   | —  |
| Mezzanine loans                  | 1                  | 38,072  | 38,072   |
| Bank loans                       | —                  | —   | —  |
| Middle market loans              | —                  | —   | —  |
| Residential mortgage loans       | —                  | —   | —  |
| Loans receivable - related party | —                  | —   | —  |
| Total loans                      | 4                  | \$137,811   | \$137,811  |
| Year Ended December 31, 2013:    |                    |   |  |
| Whole loans                      | 5                  | \$143,484   | \$147,826  |
| B notes                          | —                  | —   | —  |
| Mezzanine loans                  | —                  | —   | —  |
| Bank loans                       | —                  | —   | —  |
| Middle market loans              | —                  | —   | —  |
| Loans receivable - related party | 1                  | 6,592   | 6,592  |
| Total loans                      | 6                  | \$150,076   | \$154,418  |

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, there were no commercial real estate loan troubled-debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted. On December 31, 2014, the loan receivable - related party was extinguished and the underlying collateral was assigned to usivity. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded \$1.3 million in total write-downs on the loan.

## Investments in Real Estate

The table below summarizes our investments in real estate (in thousands, except number of properties):

|                                | December 31, 2013 |                      |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|                                | Book Value        | Number of Properties |
| Multi-family property          | \$22,107          | 1                    |
| Office property                | 10,273            | 1                    |
| Subtotal                       | 32,380            |                      |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (2,602            | )                    |
| Investments in real estate     | \$29,778          |                      |

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we made no acquisitions and sold our remaining three properties for a combined gain of \$6.1 million, which is recorded on the consolidated statements of income in gain on sale of real estate. One of the properties was reclassified to property available-for-sale on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2013.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we made no acquisitions and sold one of our multi-family properties for a gain of \$16.6 million, which was recorded in gain on sale of real estate on our consolidated statements of income.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)**Restricted cash.**

At December 31, 2014, we had restricted cash of \$122.1 million, which consisted of \$121.2 million of restricted cash within our ten securitizations and \$891,000 held in various reserve accounts. At December 31, 2013, we had restricted cash of \$63.3 million, which consisted of \$61.4 million of restricted cash on our seven securitizations, \$771,000 held in a margin account related to our swap portfolio, \$848,000 held in restricted accounts at our investment properties and \$318,000 held primarily in a pledged account at our subsidiary, PCM. The increase of \$58.8 million is primarily related to new loan settlements in our CDOs, which were a result of the use of restricted cash available for reinvestment prior to the expiration of the reinvestment periods.

**Interest Receivable.**

At December 31, 2014, we had interest receivable of \$16.3 million, which consisted of \$16.3 million of interest on our securities and loans and \$6,000 of interest earned on escrow and sweep accounts. At December 31, 2013, we had interest receivable of \$9.0 million, which consisted of \$9.0 million of interest on our securities and loans and \$6,000 of interest earned on escrow and sweep accounts. The increase resulted from an increase in interest receivable on mezzanine loans of \$3.6 million, an increase in interest receivable on bank loans of \$244,000, an increase in interest receivable on ABS of \$1.7 million and an increase in interest receivable on middle market loans \$1.5 million, partially offset by a decrease of 133,600 in interest receivable on CMBS.

**Prepaid Expenses.**

The following table summarizes our prepaid expenses as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

|                        | December 31, |         |
|------------------------|--------------|---------|
|                        | 2014         | 2013    |
| Prepaid taxes          | \$2,622      | \$2,004 |
| Prepaid insurance      | 191          | 281     |
| Other prepaid expenses | 1,383        | 586     |
| Total                  | \$4,196      | \$2,871 |

Prepaid expenses increased \$1.3 million to \$4.2 million as of December 31, 2014 from \$2.9 million as of December 31, 2013. The increase resulted primarily from a increase of \$618,000 in prepaid taxes and by an increase of \$797,000 in other prepaid expenses, partially offset by a decrease of \$90,000 in prepaid insurance.

**Other Assets.**

The following table summarizes our other assets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

|   | Years Ended<br>December 31, |          |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|
|   | 2014                        | 2013     |
| Mortgage Servicing Rights               | \$9,374                     | \$—      |
| Other assets                            | 6,989                       | 6,515    |
| Investment in life settlement contracts | 3,361                       | 1,107    |
| Fixed assets - non real estate          | 1,901                       | 1,069    |
| Management fees receivable              | 1,076                       | 970      |
| Other receivables                       | 1,696                       | 858      |
| Preferred stock proceeds receivable     | 207                         | 207      |
| Total                                   | \$24,604                    | \$10,726 |

Other assets increased \$13.9 million to \$24.6 million as of December 31, 2014 from \$10.7 million as of December 31, 2013. This increase resulted primarily from the acquisition of PCM which included \$9.4 million in mortgage servicing rights and a receivable of \$3.7 million for middle market loans sold prior to year end. In addition, we had an increase of \$2.3 million in life settlement contracts due to our investment in LCF.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Hedging Instruments.

The following tables present the fair value of our derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on our consolidated balance sheets and on the consolidated statements of income for the years presented:

## Fair Value of Derivative Instruments as of December 31, 2014

(in thousands)

|  | Asset Derivatives     |                                      |            |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
|  | Notional Amount       | Balance Sheet Location               | Fair Value |
| Interest rate lock agreements                    | \$59,467              | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$970      |
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage lending | \$5,000               | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$7        |
| Forward contracts - RMBS securities              | \$42,614              | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$1,297    |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency, hedging    | \$54,948              | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$3,377    |
| Options - U.S. Treasury futures                  | \$90                  | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$52       |
| Total return swap                                | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$—        |
| Warrants   | \$492                 | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$898      |
|  | Liability Derivatives |                                      |            |
|  | Notional Amount       | Balance Sheet Location               | Fair Value |
| Interest rate swap contracts                     | \$124,017             | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$8,680    |
| Interest rate lock agreements                    | \$798                 | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$10       |
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage lending | \$154,692             | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$1,036    |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency, hedging    | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$—        |
| Forward contracts - TBA securities               | \$15,000              | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$47       |
| Interest rate swap contracts                     | \$124,017             | Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$8,680    |

## Fair Value of Derivative Instruments as of December 31, 2013

(in thousands)

|  | Asset Derivatives     |                            |            |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------|
|  | Notional Amount       | Balance Sheet Location     | Fair Value |
| Interest rate lock agreements                    | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value | —          |
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage lending | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value | —          |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency, hedging    | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value | —          |
| Total return swap                                | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value | —          |
|  | Liability Derivatives |                            |            |
|  | Notional Amount       | Balance Sheet Location     | Fair Value |
| Interest rate swap contracts                     | \$129,497             | Derivatives, at fair value | \$10,586   |
| Interest rate lock agreements                    | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value | \$—        |
|  | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value | \$—        |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|  |           |                                      |          |
|--|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage lending |           |                                      |          |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency, hedging    | \$—       | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$—      |
| Forward contracts - TBA securities               | \$—       | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$—      |
| Interest rate swap contracts                     | \$129,497 | Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$10,586 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

72

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statements of Income for the  
Year Ended December 31, 2014  
(in thousands)

|   | Derivatives<br>Notional<br>Amount | Statement of Income Location   | Unrealized<br>Gains (Loss) <sup>(1)</sup> |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Interest rate swap contracts                        | \$124,017                         | Interest expense   | \$6,555                                   |
| Interest rate lock agreements                       | \$60,265                          | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$960                                     |
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage<br>lending | \$159,692                         | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$(1,029 )                                |
| Forward contracts - RMBS securities                 | \$42,614                          | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$1,297                                   |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency,<br>hedging    | \$54,948                          | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$3,377                                   |
| Options - U.S. Treasury futures                     | \$90                              | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$(28 )                                   |
| Forward contracts - TBA securities                  | \$15,000                          | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$(47 )                                   |
| Warrants  |                                   | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$898                                     |

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statements of Income for the  
Year Ended December 31, 2013  
(in thousands)

|   | Derivatives<br>Notional<br>Amount | Statement of Income Location   | Unrealized Loss<br>(1) |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Interest rate swap contracts                        | \$129,497                         | Interest expense   | \$6,751                |
| Interest rate lock agreements                       | \$—                               | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$—                    |
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage<br>lending | \$—                               | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$—                    |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency,<br>hedging    | \$—                               | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$—                    |
| Forward contracts - TBA securities                  | \$—                               | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$—                    |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statements of Income for the  
Year Ended December 31, 2012  
(in thousands)

|   | Derivatives<br>Notional<br>Amount | Statement of Income Location   | Unrealized Loss<br>(1) |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Interest rate swap contracts                        | \$135,241                         | Interest expense   | \$7,266                |
| Interest rate lock agreements                       | \$—                               | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$—                    |
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage<br>lending | \$—                               | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$—                    |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency,<br>hedging    | \$—                               | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$—                    |
| Forward contracts - TBA securities                  | \$—                               | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$—                    |

(1) Negative values indicate a decrease to the associated balance sheets or consolidated statements of income line items.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

73

---

(Back to Index)

Our hedges at December 31, 2014 and 2013 were fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements whereby we swapped the floating rate of interest on the liabilities we hedged for a fixed rate of interest. Current interest rates remain comparatively low and the forward curve is projecting increasing rates in the future. This, combined with the scheduled maturity of one hedge and the continued amortization of our macro notional interest rate swaps, leads us to expect that the fair value of our hedges will modestly improve in 2015. We intend to continue to seek such hedges for our floating rate debt in the future. Our hedges at December 31, 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

|                           | Benchmark rate | Notional value | Strike rate | Effective date | Maturity date | Fair value |   |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|------------|---|
| <b>CRE Swaps</b>          |                |                |             |                |               |            |   |
| Interest rate swap        | 1 month LIBOR  | \$28,191       | 4.13%       | 01/10/08       | 05/25/16      | \$(864     | ) |
| Interest rate swap        | 1 month LIBOR  | 1,681          | 5.72%       | 07/12/07       | 10/01/16      | (146       | ) |
| Interest rate swap        | 1 month LIBOR  | 1,880          | 5.68%       | 07/13/07       | 03/12/17      | (199       | ) |
| Interest rate swap        | 1 month LIBOR  | 78,419         | 5.58%       | 06/26/07       | 04/25/17      | (6,344     | ) |
| Interest rate swap        | 1 month LIBOR  | 1,726          | 5.65%       | 07/05/07       | 07/15/17      | (200       | ) |
| Interest rate swap        | 1 month LIBOR  | 3,850          | 5.65%       | 07/26/07       | 07/15/17      | (444       | ) |
| Interest rate swap        | 1 month LIBOR  | 4,023          | 5.41%       | 08/10/07       | 07/25/17      | (440       | ) |
| Total CRE Swaps           |                | 119,770        |             |                |               | (8,637     | ) |
| <b>CMBS Swaps</b>         |                |                |             |                |               |            |   |
| Interest rate swap        | 1 month LIBOR  | 2,216          | 1.93%       | 02/14/2011     | 05/01/2015    | (13        | ) |
| Interest rate swap        | 1 month LIBOR  | 380            | 1.30%       | 07/19/2011     | 03/18/2016    | (3         | ) |
| Interest rate swap        | 1 month LIBOR  | 1,651          | 1.95%       | 04/11/2011     | 03/18/2016    | (27        | ) |
| Total CMBS Swaps          |                | 4,247          |             |                |               | (43        | ) |
| Total Interest Rate Swaps |                | \$124,017      | 5.12%       |                |               | \$(8,680   | ) |

Repurchase and Mortgage Finance Facilities.

Borrowings under our repurchase agreement facilities were guaranteed by us or one of our subsidiaries. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the our borrowings at December 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in thousands):

|  | December 31, 2014      |                     |                                   | December 31, 2013      |                     |                                   | Number of Positions as Collateral | Weighted Average Interest Rate |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|  | Outstanding Borrowings | Value of Collateral | Number of Positions as Collateral | Outstanding Borrowings | Value of Collateral | Number of Positions as Collateral |                                   |                                |
| <b>CMBS Term Repurchase Facility</b>           |                        |                     |                                   |                        |                     |                                   |                                   |                                |
| Wells Fargo Bank <sup>(1)</sup>                | \$24,967               | \$30,180            | 33                                | 1.35%                  | \$47,601            | \$56,949                          | 44                                | 1.38%                          |
| <b>CRE Term Repurchase Facilities</b>          |                        |                     |                                   |                        |                     |                                   |                                   |                                |
| Wells Fargo Bank <sup>(2)</sup>                | 179,762                | 258,223             | 15                                | 2.38%                  | 30,003              | 48,186                            | 3                                 | 2.67%                          |
| Deutsche Bank AG <sup>(3)</sup>                | 25,920                 | 39,348              | 2                                 | 2.78%                  | (300 )              | —                                 | —                                 | —%                             |
| <b>Short-Term Repurchase Agreements - CMBS</b> |                        |                     |                                   |                        |                     |                                   |                                   |                                |
|  | 10,442                 | 17,695              | 1                                 | 1.66%                  | —                   | —                                 | —                                 | —%                             |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|   |           |           |     |       |          |           |    |       |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----|-------|----------|-----------|----|-------|
| Wells Fargo Securities, LLC               |           |           |     |       |          |           |    |       |
| Deutsche Bank Securities, LLC             | 33,783    | 44,751    | 8   | 1.62% | —        | —         | —  | —%    |
| RMBS Term Repurchase Facility             |           |           |     |       |          |           |    |       |
| Wells Fargo Bank (4)                      | 22,212    | 27,885    | 6   | 1.16% |          |           |    |       |
| Residential Mortgage Financing Agreements |           |           |     |       |          |           |    |       |
| New Century Bank                          | 41,387    | 51,961    | 158 | 2.82% | 11,916   | 13,089    | 74 | 4.17% |
| ViewPoint Bank, NA                        | —         | —         | —   | —%    | 2,711    | 3,398     | 17 | 4.58% |
| Wells Fargo Bank                          | 61,189    | 95,511    | 104 | 2.75% | —        | —         | —  | —%    |
| Totals                                    | \$399,662 | \$565,554 |     |       | \$91,931 | \$121,622 |    |       |

(Back to Index)

74

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

- (1) The Wells Fargo CMBS term repurchase facility includes \$0 and \$12,000, of deferred debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
- (2) The Wells Fargo CRE term repurchase facility includes \$1.7 million and \$732,000 of deferred debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
- (3) The Deutsche Bank term repurchase facility includes \$268,000 and \$300,000 of deferred debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
- (4) The Wells Fargo RMBS term repurchase facility includes \$36,000 of deferred debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2014.

The assets in the following table are accounted for as linked transactions. These linked repurchase agreements are not included in borrowings on our consolidated balance sheets (See Item 8, "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data - Note 23 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements").

|  | December 31, 2014                        |   |   |   | December 31, 2013                        |   |   |   |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|
|  | Borrowings Under Linked Transactions (1) | Value of Collateral Under Linked Transactions | Number of Positions as Collateral Under Linked Transactions | Weighted Average Interest Rate of Linked Transactions | Borrowings Under Linked Transactions (1) | Value of Collateral Under Linked Transactions | Number of Positions as Collateral Under Linked Transactions | Weighted Average Interest Rate of Linked Transactions |
| CMBS Term Repurchase Facility Wells Fargo Bank | \$4,941                                  | \$ 6,371                                      | 7   | 1.67%   | \$6,506                                  | \$ 8,345                                      | 7   | 1.65%   |
| Short-Term Repurchase Agreements - CMBS        |  |   |   |   |  |   |   |   |
| JP Morgan Securities, LLC                      | —  | —   | —   | —%  | 17,020                                   | 24,814  | 4   | 0.99%   |
| Wells Fargo Securities, LLC                    | 4,108                                    | 6,233   | 2   | 1.37%   | 21,969                                   | 30,803  | 9   | 1.19%   |
| Deutsche Bank Securities, LLC                  | 24,348                                   | 36,001  | 10  | 1.57%   | 18,599                                   | 29,861  | 9   | 1.43%   |
| Totals   | \$33,397                                 | \$ 48,605                                     |   |   | \$64,094                                 | \$ 93,823                                     |   |   |

RMBS – Term Repurchase Facility

In June 2014, one of our wholly owned subsidiaries, RCC Residential Portfolio Inc., entered into a master repurchase and securities contract with Wells Fargo Bank, or Wells Fargo, in order to finance the purchase of residential mortgage backed securities and other approved assets. The maximum amount of this Facility is \$285.0 million which has an original one year term with a one year extension option, and a maximum interest rate of 1.45%. The facility's current maturity date is June 22, 2015. The weighted averaging borrowing rate at December 31, 2014 was 1.16%.

CMBS – Term Repurchase Facility

In February 2011, our wholly-owned subsidiaries, RCC Real Estate and RCC Commercial, entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Wells Fargo to be used as a term repurchase facility to finance the purchase of highly-rated CMBS. The maximum amount of the facility is \$100.0 million and it originally featured an initial two year term and an interest rate equal to LIBOR plus 1.00%. In April 2014, we agreed to a third amendment of this facility, which extended its current termination date to January 31, 2016. The facility's weighted average borrowing rate at December 31, 2014 was 1.35%.

**CRE – Term Repurchase Facilities**

On February 27, 2012, RCC Real Estate's wholly-owned subsidiary, RCC Real Estate SPE 4 LLC, or SPE 4, entered into a master repurchase agreement with Wells Fargo to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. The facility had an original maximum amount of \$150.0 million and an initial 18 month term. In April 2013, we paid a structuring fee of \$101,000 and an extension fee of \$938,000 and entered into an amendment which increased the size of the facility to \$250.0 million and extended the maturity date to February 2015. The amendment also provided us with two one-year extension options at our discretion. On October 31, 2014, SPE 4 agreed to a modification of the terms of the facility which increased the maximum borrowing amount to \$400.0 million and extended the maturity date to August 27, 2016. The amendment also provided us with two one-year extension options at our discretion. The modification also increased the facility's maximum single asset concentration limit, reduced the minimum portfolio debt yield test requirements, and decreased pricing spreads on select portfolio assets. We paid a structuring fee of \$1.6 million to Wells Fargo upon the closing of the modification. At December 31, 2014, the weighted average borrowing rate of the facility was 2.38%.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

75

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

On July 19, 2013, RCC Real Estate's wholly-owned subsidiary, RCC Real Estate SPE 5 LLC, or SPE 5, entered into a master repurchase agreement with Deutsche Bank AG, Cayman Islands Branch to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. The facility has a maximum amount of \$200.0 million and an initial 12 month term. In July 2014, we paid an extension fee of 0.25% of the maximum facility amount to exercise the first of two one-year extension options and subject further to the right of SPE 5 to repurchase the assets held in the facility earlier. The facility's weighted average borrowing rate at the year ended December 31, 2014 was 2.78%. The facility's current maturity date is July 19, 2015.

#### Short-Term Repurchase Agreements - CMBS

On March 8, 2005, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase agreement with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans and the purchase of CMBS. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has no stated maturity date with monthly resets of interest rates. The facility's weighted average borrowing rate at December 31, 2014 was 1.62%.

On February 14, 2012, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC to finance the purchase of CMBS. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has no stated maturity date with monthly resets of interest rates. The facility's weighted average borrowing rate at December 31, 2014 was 1.66%.

On November 6, 2012, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase with JP Morgan Securities LLC to finance the purchase of CMBS. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has no stated maturity. Interest rates reset monthly. At December 31, 2014, we did not have any borrowings outstanding on this line.

#### Residential Mortgage Financing Agreements

RCC Residential's wholly owned subsidiary, PCM, has a master repurchase agreement with New Century Bank d/b/a Customer's Bank ("New Century") to finance the acquisition of residential mortgage loans. The facility has a maximum amount of \$30.0 million and a termination date of August 30, 2015, which was amended from the original terms over the course of seven amendments. The facility bears interest at a rate of one month LIBOR plus an applicable rate between 2.63% and 4.875%. At December 31, 2014, the facility's weighted average borrowing cost was 2.82%.

On November 30, 2014, we received a waiver from New Century on the facility's minimum liquidity covenant. The waiver removed all existing defaults and waived the required covenants from December 1, 2014 through January 9, 2015. We were in compliance with all other covenants under the agreement as of December 31, 2014.

PCM had a loan participation agreement with ViewPoint Bank, NA to finance the acquisition of residential mortgage loans. The facility had a maximum amount of \$15.0 million and a termination date of December 30, 2014, which was amended from the original terms over the course of five amendments. In November 2014, we terminated our agreement with ViewPoint and there were no outstanding borrowings on this facility at year end.

In July 2014, PCM entered into a master repurchase agreement with Wells Fargo to finance the acquisition of residential mortgage loans. The facility has a maximum amount of \$75.0 million, a termination date of July 2, 2015, and bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus an applicable loan margin (either 2.50% or 3.00%). This facility's weighted average cost of funds at December 31, 2014 was 2.75%.

The Wells Fargo facility contains events of default (subject to certain materiality thresholds and grace periods) customary for this type of financing arrangement, including but not limited to: payment defaults; bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings; a change in the nature of PCM's business as a mortgage banker as presently conducted; breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties; performance defaults by PCM; and a judgment in an amount greater than \$250,000 against PCM. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of the principal amount outstanding under the Wells Fargo facility and the liquidation by Wells Fargo of assets then subject to the Wells Fargo facility.

On November 30, 2014, we received a waiver from Wells Fargo on the facility's minimum liquidity requirement. The waiver removed all existing defaults and waived the required covenants from December 1, 2014 through January 9, 2015. PCM is in compliance with all other covenants under the agreement as of December 31, 2014.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

76

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

### Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility

On September 18, 2014, the our wholly-owned subsidiary, Northport LLC, closed a \$110.0 million syndicated senior secured revolving credit facility ("Credit Facility") with JP Morgan as the agent bank to finance the origination of middle market and syndicated loans. On September 30, 2014, the accordion feature of the Credit Facility was exercised to bring the facility capacity to \$225.0 million and concurrently an additional \$15.0 million was secured through the addition of a new lender to the syndicate, bringing the effective commitment to \$125.0 million. We have access to draws on the Credit Facility until its commitment date of September 18, 2017, and all outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility must be repaid by the maturity date of September 18, 2018.

The Credit Facility bears interest rates, at our election, on a per annum basis equal to (i) the applicable LIBOR rate plus 2.50% or (ii) the applicable base rate (prime rate of 3.25% as of December 31, 2014) plus 1.50%. During the six month ramp up period, we incur a commitment fee on any unused balance of 0.375% per annum if the unused balance is greater than 35% of the total commitment or 0.50% per annum if it is less than 35% of the total commitment. After the ramp up period, the commitment fee on any unused balance is 0.375% per annum if the unused balance is greater than 35% of the total commitment or 1.00% per annum if it is less than 35% of the total commitment. The weighted average borrowing rate at December 31, 2014 was 2.66%.

Amounts available to borrow under the Credit Facility are subject to compliance with a borrowing base computation that applies different advance rates to different types of assets held by Northport LLC that are pledged as collateral. Under the Credit Facility, we have made certain customary representations and warranties and is required to comply with various covenants, including leverage restrictions, reporting requirements and other customary requirements for similar credit facilities. At December 31, 2014, we are in compliance with all covenants under the agreement. We guarantee Northport LLC's performance of its obligations under this Credit Facility.

### Securitizations

As of December 31, 2014, we had executed eight and retained equity in ten securitizations as follows:

In July 2014, we closed RCC CRE 2014, a \$353.9 million CRE securitization transaction that provided financing for transitional commercial real estate loans. RCC CRE 2014 issued a total of \$253.3 million of senior notes at par to unrelated investors. RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the Class C senior notes (rated B2:Moody's) for \$17.7 million. In addition, RREF 2014-CRE2 Investor, LLC a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$100.9 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At closing, the senior notes issued to investors by RCC CRE 2014 consisted of the following classes: (i) \$196.4 million of Class A notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.05%; (ii) \$38.9 million of Class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.5%; and (iii) \$17.7 million of Class C notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 4.25%. There is no reinvestment period for RCC CRE 2014 and all of the notes issued mature in April 2032, although we have the right to call the notes anytime after July 2016 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes issued to outside investors was 1.45% at December 31, 2014.

In February 2014, we purchased 100% of the Class 1 Subordinated Notes and 67.9% of the Class 2 Subordinated Notes, which represented 88.6% of the outstanding subordinated notes in the European securitization Moselle CLO S.A. Due to our economic interest combined with our contractual, unilateral kick-out rights acquired upon our purchase of a majority of the subordinate notes, we determined that we had a controlling financial interest and consolidated Moselle CLO. The notes we purchased are subordinated in right of payment to all other notes issued by Moselle CLO. The balances of the senior notes issued to investors when we acquired a controlling interest in Moselle CLO were as follows: (i) €24.9 million of Class A-1E notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.25%; (ii) \$24.9 million of Class A-1L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.25%; (iii) €10.3 million of Class A-1LE notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.31%; (iv) \$10.3 million of Class A-1LE USD notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.31%; (v) €13.8 million of Class A-2E notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.40%; (vi) \$13.8 million of Class A-2L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.40%; (vii) €6.8 million of Class A-3E notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.70%; (viii) \$6.8 million of Class A-3L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.75%; (ix) €16 million of Class B-1E notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 1.80%; and (x) \$16.0 million of Class B-1L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 1.85%. We

have the right to call the notes anytime after January 6, 2010 until maturity. Total paydowns on the senior notes were \$100.3 million and the weighted average interest rate on all notes was 1.49% at December 31, 2014.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

77

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

In December 2013, we closed RCC CRE Notes 2013, a \$307.8 million CRE securitization transaction that provided financing for transitional CRE loans. The investments held by RCC CRE Notes 2013 collateralized \$260.8 million of senior notes issued by the securitization, of which RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the Class D senior notes, Class E senior notes, and Class F senior notes for \$30.0 million at closing. In addition, RCC CRE Notes 2013 Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$16.9 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At December 31, 2014, the notes issued to outside investors, had a weighted average borrowing rate of 2.11%. There is no reinvestment period for RCC CRE Notes 2013, which will result in the sequential pay down of notes as underlying collateral matures and pays down. As of December 31, 2014, \$34.0 million of the Class A senior notes have been paid down.

In June 2007, we closed RREF CDO 2007-1, a \$500.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans. The investments held by RREF CDO 2007-1 collateralized \$458.8 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle, of which RCC Real Estate, a subsidiary of ours, purchased 100% of the class H senior notes, class K senior notes, class L senior notes and class M senior notes for \$68.0 million at closing, \$5.0 million of the Class J senior notes in February 2008, an additional \$2.5 million of the Class J senior notes in November 2009, and \$11.9 million of the Class E senior notes, \$11.9 million of the Class F senior notes and \$7.3 million of the Class G senior notes in December 2009, \$250,000 of the Class J senior notes in January 2010, \$5.0 million of the Class A-2 senior notes in August 2011, \$5.0 million of the Class A-2 senior notes in September 2011 and \$50.0 million of the A1-R notes in June 2012. In addition, RREF 2007-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$41.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At December 31, 2014, the notes issued to outside investors, net of repurchased notes, had a weighted average borrowing rate of 1.19%. The reinvestment period expired in June 2012 and the CDO has begun paying down the senior notes as principal is collected. Through December 31, 2014, \$151.7 million of the Class A-1 and \$50.0 million of the Class A-1R senior notes had been paid down.

In May 2007, we closed Apidos Cinco CDO, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos Cinco CDO collateralized \$322.0 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Commercial II, a subsidiary of ours, holds a \$28.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At December 31, 2014, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 0.81%, and \$9.6 million of Class A-1 and \$56.7 million of Class A-2A notes had been paid down.

In August 2006, we closed RREF CDO 2006-1, a \$345.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans. The investments held by RREF CDO 2006-1 collateralized \$308.7 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the class J senior notes and class K senior notes for \$43.1 million at closing and \$7.5 million of the Class F senior notes in September 2009, \$3.5 million of the Class E senior notes and \$4.0 million of the Class F senior notes in September 2009, \$20.0 million of the Class A-1 senior notes in February 2010, \$4.3 million of the Class A-1 senior notes in May 2012 and \$4.0 million of the Class C senior notes in May 2012. In addition, RREF 2006-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$36.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At December 31, 2014, the notes issued to outside investors, net of repurchased notes, had a weighted average borrowing rate of 2.12%. The reinvestment period expired in September 2011 and the CDO has begun paying down the senior notes as principal is collected. Through December 31, 2014, \$129.4 million of the Class A-1 senior notes, \$5.0 million of Class A-2(FX) senior notes, \$17.4 million of Class A-2 (FL) senior notes, \$13.0 million of Class C senior notes, and \$787,000 of Class D senior notes had been paid down.

In May 2006, we closed Apidos CDO III, a \$285.5 million CDO transaction that provided financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO III collateralized \$262.5 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Commercial purchased a \$23.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. At December 31, 2014, the notes issued to outside investors had a weighted average borrowing rate of 1.18%. The reinvestment period expired in June 2012 and the CDO has begun paying down the senior notes as principal is collected. Through December 31, 2014, \$187.9 million of the Class A-1 senior notes had been paid down.

In August 2005, we closed Apidos CDO I, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO I collateralize \$321.5 million of senior notes issued by the CDO vehicle. RCC Commercial originally purchased a \$28.5 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares and during the three months ended June 30, 2012 sold 10% or \$2.85 million to our subsidiary RSO Equity Co, LLC in connection with the sale of CVC Credit Partners, formerly Apidos Capital Management, by the Manager. Our subsidiary, RCC Commercial II, repurchased \$2.0 million of the Class B notes in May 2012. In October 2014, Apidos CLO I was called and liquidated and, as a result, all of the assets were sold. Total proceeds

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

78

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

from the sale of these assets, plus proceeds from previous sales and paydowns in the CLO were used to pay down the notes in full.

#### 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes

On October 21, 2013, we issued and sold in a public offering \$115.0 million aggregate in principal amount of our 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes due 2018. After deducting the underwriting discount and the estimated offering costs, we received approximately \$111.1 million of net proceeds. The discount of \$4.9 million on the 6.0% Convertible Senior notes reflects the difference between the stated value of the debt and the fair value of the notes as if they were issued without a conversion feature and at a higher rate of interest that we estimated would have been applicable without the conversion feature. The discount will be amortized on a straight-line basis as additional interest expense through maturity on December 1, 2018. Interest on the 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes is paid semi-annually. Prior to December 1, 2018, the 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes are not redeemable at our option, except to preserve our status as a REIT. On or after December 1, 2018, we may redeem all or a portion of the 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes may require us to repurchase all or a portion of the 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes at a purchase price equal to the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest on December 1, 2018, or upon the occurrence of certain defined fundamental changes. The 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes are convertible at the option of the holder at a current conversion rate of 150.1502 common shares per \$1,000 principal amount of 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes (equivalent to a current conversion price of \$6.66 per common share). Upon conversion of 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes by a holder, the holder will receive cash, our common shares or a combination of cash and our common shares, at our election.

#### Trust Preferred Securities

In May 2006 and September 2006, we formed RCT I and RCT II, respectively, for the sole purpose of issuing and selling capital securities representing preferred beneficial interests. Although we own \$774,000 of the common securities of RCT I and RCT II, RCT I and RCT II are not consolidated into our consolidated financial statements because we do not deem it to be the primary beneficiary of these entities. In connection with the issuance and sale of the capital securities, we issued junior subordinated debentures to RCT I and RCT II of \$25.8 million each, representing our maximum exposure to loss. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II are included in borrowings and are being amortized into interest expense in the consolidated statements of income using the effective yield method over a ten year period.

The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for Resource Capital Trust I, or RCT, and RCC Trust II, or RCT II, at December 31, 2014 were \$160,000 and \$183,000, respectively. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at December 31, 2013, were \$261,000 and \$282,000, respectively. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at December 31, 2014, were 4.21% and 4.18%, respectively. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at December 31, 2013, were 4.20% and 4.19%, respectively.

The rights of holders of common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subordinate to the rights of the holders of capital securities only in the event of a default; otherwise, the common securities' economic and voting rights are pari passu with the capital securities. The capital and common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subject to mandatory redemption upon the maturity or call of the junior subordinated debentures held by each. Unless earlier dissolved, RCT I will dissolve on May 25, 2041 and RCT II will dissolve on September 29, 2041. The junior subordinated debentures are the sole assets of RCT I and RCT II, mature on September 30, 2036 and October 30, 2036, respectively, and may be called at par by us at any time after September 30, 2011 and October 30, 2011, respectively. We record our investments in RCT I and RCT II's common securities of \$774,000 each as investments in unconsolidated trusts and records dividend income upon declaration by RCT I and RCT II.

#### Equity

Total equity at December 31, 2014 was \$952.1 million and gave effect to \$9.0 million of unrealized losses on our cash flow hedges and \$15.4 million of net unrealized gains on our available-for-sale portfolio, shown as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Equity at December 31, 2013 was \$773.9 million and gave effect to \$11.2 million of unrealized losses on cash flow hedges and \$3.1 million of unrealized losses on our available-for-sale portfolio, shown as a component of accumulated other comprehensive loss. The increase in equity during the year

ended December 31, 2014 was principally due to the proceeds from the initial issuance of Series C 8.625% Preferred Stock, proceeds from sales of our common stock through our DRIP, as well as sales of Series A 8.50% Preferred Stock and Series B 8.25% Preferred Stock through our at-the market program.

#### Funds from Operations

We evaluate our performance based on several performance measures, including funds from operations, or FFO, and adjusted funds from operations, or AFFO, in addition to net income. We compute FFO in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains or losses on the sale of depreciable real estate, the cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, real estate-related depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated/uncombined partnerships and joint ventures.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

79

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

AFFO is a computation made by analysts and investors to measure a real estate company's operating performance. We calculate AFFO by adding or subtracting from FFO the impact of non-cash accounting items as well as the effects of items that we deem to be non-recurring in nature. We deem transactions to be non-recurring if a similar transaction has not occurred in the past two years, and if we do not expect a similar transaction to occur in the next two years. We adjust for these non-cash and nonrecurring items to analyze our ability to produce cash flow from on-going operations, which we use to pay dividends to our shareholders. Non-cash adjustments to FFO include the following: impairment losses resulting from fair value adjustments on financial instruments; provisions for loan losses; equity investment gains and losses; straight-line rental effects; share based compensation expense; amortization of various deferred items and intangible assets; gains on sales of property that are wholly owned or owned through a joint venture; the cash impact of capital expenditures that are related to our real estate owned; and REIT tax planning adjustments, which primarily relate to accruals for owned properties for which we made a foreclosure election and adjustments to tax estimates with respect to the final resolution of foreclosed property when it is listed for sale. In addition, we calculate AFFO by adding and subtracting from FFO the realized cash impacts of the following: extinguishments of debt, reissuances of debt, sales of property and capital expenditures.

Management believes that FFO and AFFO are appropriate measures of our operating performance in that they are frequently used by analysts, investors and other parties in the evaluation of REITs. Management uses FFO and AFFO as measures of its operating performance, and believes they are also useful to investors, because they facilitate an understanding of our operating performance after adjustment for certain non-cash items, such as real estate depreciation, share-based compensation and various other items required by GAAP, and capital expenditures, that may not necessarily be indicative of current operating performance and that may not accurately compare to our operating performance between periods.

While our calculations of AFFO may differ from the methodology used for calculating AFFO by other REITs and our AFFO may not be comparable to AFFO reported by other REITs, we also believe that FFO and AFFO may provide us and our investors with an additional useful measure to compare its performance with some other REITs. Neither FFO nor AFFO is equivalent to net income or cash generated from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP. Furthermore, FFO and AFFO do not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations or other commitments or uncertainties. Neither FFO nor AFFO should be considered as an alternative to GAAP net income as an indicator of our operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities as a measure of its liquidity.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

80

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table reconciles GAAP net income to FFO and AFFO for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share data):

|  | Years Ended  |                | Years Ended  |                | Years Ended  |                |
|--|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
|  | December 31, |                | December 31, |                | December 31, |                |
|  | 2014         | Per Share Data | 2013         | Per Share Data | 2012         | Per Share Data |
| Net income allocable to common shares - GAAP                           | \$44,027     | \$0.34         | \$39,232     | \$0.33         | \$63,199     | \$0.71         |
| Adjustments:   |              |                |              |                |              |                |
| Real estate depreciation and amortization                              | 506          | —              | 2,122        | 0.02           | 2,686        | 0.03           |
| Gains on sales of property (1)   | (8,990)      | (0.07)         | (14,588)     | (0.12)         | (1,664)      | (0.02)         |
| Gains on sale of preferred equity                                      | (912)        | (0.01)         | —            | —              | —            | —              |
| FFO  | 34,631       | 0.26           | 26,766       | 0.23           | 64,221       | 0.72           |
| Adjustments:   |              |                |              |                |              |                |
| Non-cash items:  |              |                |              |                |              |                |
| Adjust for impact of imputed interest on VIE accounting                | —            | —              | 899          | —              | (3,049)      | (0.03)         |
| (Recovery) provision for loan losses                                   | 820          | —              | (3,325)      | (0.03)         | 12,408       | 0.14           |
| Amortization of deferred costs (non real estate) and intangible assets | 10,188       | 0.08           | 6,060        | 0.05           | 8,896        | 0.10           |
| Equity investment losses (gains)                                       | 2,243        | 0.02           | 183          | —              | 3,256        | 0.04           |
| Share-based compensation   | 6,566        | 0.05           | 10,472       | 0.09           | 4,636        | 0.05           |
| Impairment losses  | —            | —              | 863          | 0.01           | 180          | —              |
| Unrealized losses (gains) on CMBS marks - linked transactions          | (1,894)      | (0.01)         | 6,018        | 0.05           | —            | —              |
| Unrealized losses on trading portfolio                                 | 2,567        | 0.02           | —            | —              | —            | —              |
| Unrealized losses (gains) on derivatives                               | 1,982        | 0.02           | —            | —              | —            | —              |
| Loss on resale of debt   | 4,442        | 0.03           | —            | —              | (13,070)     | (0.15)         |
| Add-back interest related to Whitney note discount amortization        | —            | —              | 2,549        | 0.02           | —            | —              |
| Loss on liquidation and deconsolidation of Apidos VIII                 | —            | —              | 16,036       | 0.13           | —            | —              |
| PCM expenses and provisions on mortgage servicing rights, net of tax   | 664          | —              | —            | —              | —            | —              |
| Incentive management fee adjustment related to extinguishment of debt  | —            | —              | —            | —              | 2,614        | 0.03           |
| Other adjustments  | 2            | —              | (12)         | —              | 15           | —              |
| REIT tax planning adjustments  | 1,403        | 0.01           | 890          | 0.01           | 6,810        | 0.08           |
| Cash items:  |              |                |              |                |              |                |
| Gains on sales of property (1)   | 8,990        | 0.07           | 14,588       | 0.12           | 1,664        | 0.02           |
| Gain on sale preferred equity  | 912          | 0.01           | —            | —              | —            | —              |
| Gain on resale of debt   | 21,469       | 0.17           | 7,810        | 0.07           | 670          | —              |
| Capital expenditures   | (38)         | —              | (1,149)      | (0.01)         | (3,081)      | (0.03)         |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|                                   |          |        |          |        |          |        |
|-----------------------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| AFFO                              | \$94,947 | \$0.73 | \$88,648 | \$0.74 | \$86,170 | \$0.97 |
| Weighted average shares – diluted | 129,259  |        | 120,039  |        | 89,284   |        |
| AFFO per share – diluted          | \$0.73   |        | \$0.74   |        | \$0.97   |        |

(1) Amount represents gains/losses on sales of owned real estate as well as sales of a joint venture real estate interest that were recorded by us on an equity basis.

(Back to Index)

81

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

For the year ended December 31, 2014, our principal sources of liquidity were net proceeds from the June offering of our 8.625% Series C Preferred Stock of \$116.2 million, \$56.6 million net proceeds from the sale of our 8.25% Series B Preferred Stock and 8.50% Series A Preferred Stock through our ATM program. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we also received \$30.3 million of sale proceeds from our common stock DRIP. We ended the year with \$79.9 million of unrestricted cash on hand, availability of \$392.6 million on our CRE term facilities and availability of \$70.1 million on our CMBS term facility as of December 31, 2014. On February 25, 2015 at the close of our new securitization, Resource Capital Corp. 2015-CRE3, we repaid \$214.1 million, which resulted in us having \$512 million available on our CRE term facilities.

As of December 31, 2013, our principal sources of current liquidity were proceeds from the sale of common stock through our DRIP, proceeds from our offerings of our 8.25% Series B Preferred Stock as well as funds available in existing CDO financings of \$35.1 million and cash flow from operations. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we received \$114.5 million of net proceeds from our common stock offering, \$19.2 million of DRIP proceeds and \$56.8 million of preferred stock sales proceeds, the remainder of which are included in our \$262.3 million of unrestricted cash at December 31, 2013. In October 2013, we closed and issued \$115.0 million aggregate principal amount of our 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes due 2018. We received net proceeds of approximately \$111.1 million after payment of underwriting discounts and commissions and other offering expenses. In addition, we had capital available through our two CRE term facilities combined of \$419.3 million and a CMBS term facility to help finance the purchase of CMBS securities of \$52.4 million as of December 31, 2013.

Our on-going liquidity needs consist principally of funds to make investments, make debt repurchases, make distributions to our stockholders and pay our operating expenses, including our management fees. Our ability to meet our on-going liquidity needs will be subject to our ability to generate cash from operations and, with respect to our investments, our ability to maintain and/or obtain additional debt financing and equity capital together with the funds referred to above.

Most recently, we have begun to issue commercial real estate securitizations. In February 2015, we closed a \$346.2 million CRE securitization, our third in the trailing 14 months, which brings our total to just in excess of \$1 billion of mortgage loans financed during that time frame. We will derive substantial operating cash from our equity investments in the securitizations, which do not have the same tests that our CDOs require us to maintain. These CRE securitizations do not have a reinvestment period but they do allow for existing collateral within the securitizations to purchase future funding participations held outside of the securitization within a permitted funded companion participation acquisition period, which typically is within two years after the close of the securitization. This will allow us to recycle some early repaid principal and convert the designated principal for funded companion participation acquisition cash which would otherwise be used to pay down the most senior notes in the securitization into unrestricted cash.

Historically, we have financed a substantial portion of our portfolio investments through CDOs that essentially match the maturity and repricing dates of these financing vehicles with the maturities and repricing dates of our investments. We derive substantial operating cash from our equity investments in our CDOs which, if the CDOs fail to meet certain tests, will cease. Through December 31, 2014, we have not experienced difficulty in maintaining our existing CDO financing and have passed all of the critical tests required by these financings. However, we cannot assure you that we will continue to meet all such critical tests in the future. If we are unable to renew, replace or expand our sources of existing financing on substantially similar terms, we may be unable to implement our investment strategies successfully and may be required to liquidate portfolio investments. If required, a sale of portfolio investments could be at prices lower than the carrying value of such assets, which would result in losses and reduced income.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

82

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table sets forth the distributions made and coverage test summaries for each of our securitizations for the periods presented (in thousands):

| Name                               | Cash Distributions   |                                 | Annualized<br>Interest<br>Coverage<br>Cushion    | Overcollateralization<br>Cushion | As of Initial<br>Measurement<br>Date |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                                    | Years Ended<br>December 31,<br>2014 <sup>(1)</sup><br>(actual) | 2013 <sup>(1)</sup><br>(actual) | As of<br>December 31,<br>2014 <sup>(2) (3)</sup> | 2014 <sup>(4)</sup>              |                                      |
| Apidos CDO I <sup>(5)</sup>        | \$16,322   | \$4,615                         | N/A  | N/A                              | \$17,136                             |
| Apidos CDO III <sup>(6)</sup>      | \$3,551  | \$6,495                         | \$2,504  | \$9,473                          | \$11,269                             |
| Apidos Cinco CDO <sup>(7)</sup>    | \$9,757  | \$12,058                        | \$8,756  | \$20,630                         | \$17,774                             |
| RREF 2006-1 <sup>(8)</sup>         | \$10,172   | \$36,828                        | \$4,033  | \$54,289                         | \$24,941                             |
| RREF 2007-1 <sup>(9)</sup>         | \$7,630  | \$10,880                        | \$3,960  | \$58,690                         | \$26,032                             |
| RCC CRE Notes 2013 <sup>(10)</sup> | \$11,860   | N/A                             | N/A  | N/A                              | N/A                                  |
| RCC CRE Notes 2014 <sup>(11)</sup> | \$5,463  | N/A                             | N/A  | N/A                              | N/A                                  |
| Moselle CLO S.A. <sup>(12)</sup>   | \$2,891  | N/A                             | N/A  | N/A                              | N/A                                  |

\* The above table does not include Apidos CLO VIII or Whitney CLO I, as these CLOs were previously called and were substantially liquidated.

(1) Distributions on retained equity interests in securitizations (comprised of note investments and preference share ownership) and principal paydowns on notes owned; RREF CDO 2006-1 includes \$4.2 million and \$28.1 million of paydowns during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively; Apidos CDO I includes \$15.0 million of paydowns during the year ended December 31, 2014.

(2) Interest coverage includes annualized amounts based on the most recent trustee statements.

(3) Interest coverage cushion represents the amount by which annualized interest income expected exceeds the annualized amount payable on all classes of CDO notes senior to our preference shares.

(4) Overcollateralization cushion represents the amount by which the collateral held by the CDO issuer exceeds the maximum amount required.

Apidos CDO I's reinvestment period expired in July 2011. Apidos CDO I was called and substantially liquidated as of October 27, 2014; consequently, there are no overcollateralization or interest coverage test requirements as of December 31, 2014.

(6) Apidos CDO III's reinvestment period expired in June 2012.

(7) Apidos Cinco CDO's reinvestment period expired in May 2014.

(8) RREF CDO 2006-1's reinvestment period expired in September 2011.

(9) RREF CDO 2007-1's reinvestment period expired in June 2012.

Resource Capital Corp. CRE Notes 2013 ("RCC CRE Notes 2013") closed on December 23, 2013; the first (10) distribution was in January 2014. There is no reinvestment period for the securitization. Additionally, the indenture contains no coverage tests.

Resource Capital Corp. 2014-CRE2 ("RCC CRE 2014") closed on July 30, 2014; the first distribution was in (11) August 2014. There is no reinvestment period for the securitization. Additionally, the indenture contains no coverage tests.

Moselle CLO S.A. was acquired on February 24, 2014; the first distribution we were entitled to receive was in (12) April 2014. The reinvestment period for this securitization expired prior to the acquisition of this securitization.

As of December 31, 2014, Moselle CLO S.A. was called and its assets were substantially liquidated.

At January 31, 2015, after paying our fourth quarter 2014 common and preferred stock dividends, our liquidity is derived from three primary sources:

unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of \$188.5 million, restricted cash of \$680,000 in margin call accounts and \$203,000 in the form of real estate escrows, reserves and deposits; capital available for reinvestment in one of our CRE CDO's of \$250,000 and one of our CRE securitizations of \$2.7 million, all of which is designated to finance future funding commitments on CRE loans; and loan principal repayments of \$33.1 million that will pay down outstanding CLO note balances as well as interest collections of \$3.2 million.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

83

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

In addition, we have funds available through two term financing facilities to finance the origination of CRE loans of \$134.3 million and \$173.8 million, and funds available through a term financing facility to finance the purchase of CMBS of \$74.5 million. We also have funds available through a middle market syndicate facility to finance the direct origination of middle market loans and purchase of syndicated bank loans of \$11.5 million.

Our leverage ratio may vary as a result of the various funding strategies we use. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, our leverage ratio was 1.8 and 1.7 times equity, respectively. This leverage ratio increase was driven primarily by the issuance of our second commercial real estate securitization, RCC CRE 2014, in July, increased advances under our Wells Fargo CRE term financing facility and borrowings under our senior secured revolving credit facility with J.P. Morgan. These debt increases were offset by repayments of our existing CDO notes, the liquidation of Apidos CDO I, as well as an increase in our equity base as a result of proceeds received from our Series C preferred stock offering, sales through our preferred stock ATM program, and our common stock DRIP.

#### Distributions

In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT and to avoid corporate-level income tax on the income we distribute to our stockholders, we intend to make regular quarterly distributions of all or substantially all of our net taxable income to holders of our common stock. This requirement can impact our liquidity and capital resources.

The following tables presents dividends declared (on a per share basis) for the years ended December 31,:

#### Common Stock

|              | Date Paid        | Total Dividend Paid<br>(in thousands) | Dividend Per Share |
|--------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 2014         |                  |                                       |                    |
| March 31     | April 28         | \$25,663                              | \$0.20             |
| June 30      | July 28          | \$26,179                              | \$0.20             |
| September 30 | October 28       | \$26,629                              | \$0.20             |
| December 31  | January 28, 2015 | \$26,563                              | \$0.20             |
| 2013         |                  |                                       |                    |
| March 31     | April 26         | \$21,634                              | \$0.20             |
| June 30      | July 26          | \$25,399                              | \$0.20             |
| September 30 | October 28       | \$25,447                              | \$0.20             |
| December 31  | January 28, 2014 | \$25,536                              | \$0.20             |
| 2012         |                  |                                       |                    |
| March 31     | April 27         | \$16,921                              | \$0.20             |
| June 30      | July 26          | \$17,253                              | \$0.20             |
| September 30 | October 26       | \$19,897                              | \$0.20             |
| December 31  | January 28, 2013 | \$21,024                              | \$0.20             |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Preferred Stock

| Series A     |                  |                                       |                    | Series B         |                                       |                    | Series C         |                                       |                    |
|--------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
|              | Date Paid        | Total Dividend Paid<br>(in thousands) | Dividend Per Share | Date Paid        | Total Dividend Paid<br>(in thousands) | Dividend Per Share | Date Paid        | Total Dividend Paid<br>(in thousands) | Dividend Per Share |
| 2014         |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |
| March 31     | April 30         | 463                                   | \$0.53125          | April 30         | \$2,057                               | \$0.515625         |                  |                                       |                    |
| June 30      | July 30          | 537                                   | \$0.53125          | July 30          | \$2,378                               | \$0.515625         | July 30          | \$1,437                               | \$0.0299479        |
| September 30 | October 30       | 537                                   | \$0.53125          | October 30       | \$2,430                               | \$0.515625         | October 30       | \$2,588                               | \$0.5390625        |
| December 31  | January 30, 2015 | 568                                   | \$0.53125          | January 30, 2015 | \$2,888                               | \$0.515625         | January 30, 2015 | \$2,588                               | \$0.5390625        |
| 2013         |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |
| March 31     | April 30         | \$359                                 | \$0.53125          | April 30         | \$1,152                               | \$0.515625         |                  |                                       |                    |
| June 30      | July 30          | \$359                                 | \$0.53125          | July 30          | \$1,584                               | \$0.515625         |                  |                                       |                    |
| September 30 | October 30       | \$362                                 | \$0.53125          | October 30       | \$1,662                               | \$0.515625         |                  |                                       |                    |
| December 31  | January 30, 2014 | \$362                                 | \$0.53125          | January 30, 2014 | \$1,797                               | \$0.515625         |                  |                                       |                    |
| 2012         |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |
| March 31     | —                | \$—                                   | \$—                | —                | \$—                                   | \$—                |                  |                                       |                    |
| June 30      | July 30          | \$93                                  | \$0.27153          | —                | \$—                                   | \$—                |                  |                                       |                    |
| September 30 | October 30       | \$359                                 | \$0.53125          | October 30       | \$160                                 | \$0.16042          |                  |                                       |                    |
| December 31  | January 30, 2013 | \$359                                 | \$0.53125          | January 30, 2013 | \$576                                 | \$0.515625         |                  |                                       |                    |

## Contractual Obligations and Commitments

|  | Contractual Commitments<br>(dollars in thousands) |                     |             |             |                      |
|--|---|---------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
|  | Payments due by Period                            |                     |             |             |                      |
|  | Total   | Less than<br>1 year | 1 – 3 years | 3 – 5 years | More than<br>5 years |
| CDOs <sup>(1)</sup>  | \$590,679   | \$—                 | \$—         | \$—         | \$590,679            |
| CRE Securitization   | 455,814   | —                   | —           | —           | 455,814              |
| Repurchase Agreements <sup>(2)</sup>                       | 399,662   | 194,933             | 204,729     | —           | —                    |
| Unsecured junior subordinated debentures <sup>(3)</sup>    | 51,205  | —                   | —           | —           | 51,205               |
| 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes <sup>(4)</sup>               | 108,374   | —                   | —           | 108,374     | —                    |
| Unfunded commitments on CRE loans <sup>(6)</sup>           | 105,153   | —                   | 105,153     | —           | —                    |
| Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility                   | 111,137   | —                   | —           | 111,137     | —                    |
| Revolver draws available on originated middle market loans | 10,801  | 1,927               | 5,924       | 2,950       | —                    |
| Base management fees <sup>(7)</sup>                        | 14,020  | 14,020              | —           | —           | —                    |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|       |             |           |           |           |             |
|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Total | \$1,846,845 | \$210,880 | \$315,806 | \$222,461 | \$1,097,698 |
|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|

Contractual commitments does not include \$1.2 million, \$442,000, \$2.8 million, and \$6.2 million of interest  
(1) expense payable through the stated maturity dates of May 2015, May 2015, August 2016, and June 2017,  
respectively, on Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CDO III, RREF 2006-1, and RREF 2007-1. The maturity date  
represents the time at which the CDO assets can be sold, resulting in repayment of the CDO notes.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

85

---

(Back to Index)

(2) Contractual commitments include \$261,000 of interest expense payable through the maturity date of January 2017 on our repurchase agreements.

(3) Contractual commitments do not include \$43.5 million and \$44.4 million of estimated interest expense payable through the maturity dates of June 2036 and October 2036, respectively, on our trust preferred securities.

(4) Contractual commitments do not include \$28.0 million of interest expense payable through the maturity date of December 1, 2018 on our 6.0% convertible senior notes.

Unfunded commitments on CRE loans generally fall into two categories: (1) pre-approved capital improvement projects; and (2) new or additional construction costs subject, in each case, to the borrower meeting specified criteria. Upon completion of the improvements or construction, we would receive additional interest income on the advanced amount.

The financing or credit agreements on our originated middle market loans, in some cases, allow for subsequent advances. All advances require compliance with the contractual criteria and terms as specifically described in the (6) individual financing or credit agreement, and therefore are subject to the approval of the appropriate portfolio manager. Loans earn income, typically in the form of interest and fees, as specifically outlined in the documentation of each loan.

Calculated only for the next 12 months based on our current equity, as defined in our management agreement. Our (7) management agreement also provides for an incentive fee arrangement that is based on operating performance. Because the incentive fee is not a fixed and determinable amount, it is not included in this table.

At December 31, 2014, we had 10 interest rate swap contracts with a notional value of \$124.0 million. These contracts are fixed-for-floating interest rate swap agreements under which we contracted to pay a fixed rate of interest for the term of the hedge and will receive a floating rate of interest. As of December 31, 2014, the average fixed pay rate of our interest rate hedges was 5.12% and our receive rate was one-month LIBOR, or 0.17%.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

##### General

As of December 31, 2014, we did not maintain any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships that were established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or contractually narrow or limited purposes, although we do have interests in unconsolidated entities not established for those purposes. Except as set forth below, as of December 31, 2014, we had not guaranteed obligations of any such unconsolidated entities or entered into any commitment or letter of intent to provide additional funding to any such entities.

##### Unfunded Commercial Real Estate Loan Commitments

In the ordinary course of business, we make commitments to borrowers whose loans are in our commercial real estate loan portfolio to provide additional loan funding in the future. These commitments generally fall into two categories: (1) pre-approved capital improvement projects; and (2) new or additional construction costs. Disbursement of funds pursuant to these commitments is subject to the borrower meeting pre-specified criteria. Upon disbursement of funds, we receive loan interest income on any such advanced funds. As of December 31, 2014, we had 36 loans with unfunded commitments totaling \$105.2 million of which \$2.7 million will be funded by restricted cash in RCC CRE Notes 2013 and \$250,000 will be funded by restricted cash in RREF CDO 2007-1; we intend to fund the remaining \$102.1 million through cash flow from normal operating activities and principal repayments on other loans in our portfolio. These commitments are subject to the same underwriting requirements and ongoing portfolio maintenance as are the on-balance sheet financial instruments that we hold. Since these commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

##### Unfunded Middle Market Loan Commitments

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we began originating middle-market loans in RCC Commercial, Inc and Resource TRS, LLC. In December 31, 2014, RCC Commercial and Resource TRS, LLC transferred all loans to a newly formed entity, Northport LLC. Resource America is paid origination fees in connection with our middle-market lending operations, where fees may not exceed 2% of the loan balance for any loan originated. The executed agreements between us and borrowers within our portfolio contain commitments to provide additional loan funding in the future. These commitments generally fall into two categories: (1) revolving credit facility; and (2) additional notes commitments. Disbursement of funds pursuant to these commitments are subject to the borrower meeting

pre-specified criteria and in some instances at our discretion. Upon disbursement of funds, we receive loan interest income on any such advanced funds. As of December 31, 2014, we had four loans with unfunded commitments totaling \$10.8 million, all of which would be funded by Northport LLC. We intend to fund these commitments through cash flow from normal operating activities. Since these commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

86

---

#### Guarantees and Indemnifications

In the ordinary course of business, we may provide guarantees and indemnifications that contingently obligate us to make payments to the guaranteed or indemnified party based on changes in the value of an asset, liability or equity security of the guaranteed or indemnified party. As such, we may be obligated to make payments to a guaranteed party based on another entity's failure to perform or achieve specified performance criteria, or we may have an indirect guarantee of the indebtedness of others.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared by management in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires that we make estimates and assumptions that may affect the value of our assets or liabilities and our financial results. We believe that certain of our policies are critical because they require us to make difficult, subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain. The critical policies summarized below relate to valuation of investment securities, accounting for derivative financial instruments and hedging activities, income taxes, allowance for loan and lease losses and variable interest entities. We have reviewed these accounting policies with our board of directors and believe that all of the decisions and assessments upon which our financial statements are based were reasonable at the time made based upon information available to us at the time. We rely on the Manager's experience and analysis of historical and current market data in order to arrive at what we believe to be reasonable estimates.

#### Valuation of Investment Securities

We classify our investment portfolio as either available-for-sale investments or trading investments. For a discussion of the basis of fair value analysis, and of the determination of whether an asset's valuation should be characterized as Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3, see Note 22, "Fair Value of Financial Instruments" in the notes to consolidated financial statements.

We report securities available-for-sale at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity. We also report investment securities, trading at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported on the statement of income as net realized and unrealized gain on investment securities, trading. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, we had aggregate unrealized gains on our available-for-sale securities of \$15.4 million and unrealized losses of \$3.1 million, respectively. To determine fair value, we use an independent third-party valuation firm. These valuations are validated using a quote from a dealer, which typically will be the dealer who sold us the security. If there is a material difference between the value indicated by the third-party valuation firm and the dealer quote, we will evaluate the difference which could result in an updated valuation from the third-party firm or a revised dealer quote. Based on the market color available for each position, we categorize these investments as either 2, or 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

We are required to determine when an investment is considered impaired (i.e., decline in fair value below its amortized cost), evaluate whether the impairment is other than temporary (i.e., the investment value will not be recovered over its remaining life), and, if the impairment is other than temporary, recognize an impairment loss equal to the difference between the investment's cost and its fair value.

We record investment securities transactions on the trade date. We record purchases of newly issued securities when all significant uncertainties regarding the characteristics of the securities are removed, generally shortly before settlement date. We determine realized gains and losses on investment securities on the specific identification method.

#### Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities

Our policies permit us to enter into derivative contracts, including interest rate swaps and interest rate caps, as a means of mitigating our interest rate risk on forecasted interest expense associated with the benchmark rate on forecasted rollover/reissuance of repurchase agreements or the interest rate repricing of repurchase agreements, or other similar hedged items, for a specified future time period.

As of December 31, 2014, we had engaged in 10 interest rate swaps with a notional value of \$124.0 million and a fair value of \$8.7 million to seek to mitigate our interest rate risk for specified future time periods as defined in the terms of the hedge contracts. As of December 31, 2013, we had engaged in 12 interest rate swaps with a notional value of \$129.5 million and a fair value of \$10.6 million to seek to mitigate our interest rate risk for specified future time periods as defined in the terms of the hedge contracts. The contracts we have entered into have been designated as

cash flow hedges and are evaluated at inception and on an ongoing basis in order to determine whether they qualify for hedge accounting. The hedge instrument must be highly effective in achieving offsetting changes in the hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged in order to qualify for hedge accounting. A hedge instrument is highly effective if changes in the fair value of the derivative provide an offset to at least 80% and not more than 125% of the changes in fair value or cash flows of the hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged. The interest rate swap contracts are carried on our consolidated balance sheets at fair value. Any ineffectiveness which arises during

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

87

---

the hedging relationship must be recognized in interest expense or income during the period in which it arises. Before the end of the specified hedge time period, the effective portion of all contract gain and losses (whether realized or unrealized) is recorded in other comprehensive income or loss. Realized gains and losses on the interest rate hedges are reclassified into earnings as an adjustment to interest expense during the period after the swap repricing date through the remaining maturity of the swap. For taxable income purposes, realized gains and losses on interest rate cap and swap contracts are reclassified into earnings over the term of the hedged transactions as designated for tax. We are not required to account for derivative contracts using hedge accounting as described above. If we decided not to designate the derivative contracts as hedges and to monitor their effectiveness as hedges, or if we entered into other types of financial instruments that did not meet the criteria to be designated as hedges, changes in the fair values of these instruments would be recorded in our statement of income, potentially resulting in increased volatility in our earnings. We had no interest rate cap agreements at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

We may also enter into forward contracts for the sale of mortgage-backed securities for the purpose of hedging our closed residential mortgage loans held for sale and our pipeline of residential mortgage loans expected to close. As residential mortgage loans are closed, they are typically sold at prices specified in the forward contracts. Gains or losses may arise if the yields of the loans delivered vary from those specified in the forward contracts. Derivative mortgage loan commitments, or interest rate locks, may also be utilized and relate to the origination of a mortgage that will be held for sale upon funding.

#### Income Taxes

The objectives of accounting for income taxes are to recognize the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our consolidated financial statements or tax returns.

The tax rates we use to determine deferred tax assets or liabilities are the enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which we expect the differences to reverse. We recognize effects of tax rate changes on deferred tax liabilities and deferred tax assets, as well as other changes in income tax laws in net earnings in the period during which such changes are enacted. The future realization of deferred tax assets depends upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. We continually evaluate our ability to realize the tax benefits associated with deferred tax assets by analyzing forecasted taxable income using both historical and projected future operating results, the reversal of existing temporary differences, taxable income in prior carryback years (if permitted) and the availability of tax planning strategies. We must establish a valuation allowance unless we determine that it is more likely than not that we will ultimately realize the tax benefit associated with a deferred tax asset.

We account for taxes assessed by a governmental authority that is directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction (e.g., sales, use, value added) on a net (excluded from revenue) basis.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

We maintain an allowance for loan losses. Loans held for investment are first individually evaluated for impairment, and then evaluated as a homogeneous pool as loans with substantially similar characteristics for impairment. We perform the reviews at least quarterly.

We consider an individual loan to be impaired when, based on current information and events, management believes it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. When a loan is impaired, we increase the allowance for loan losses by the amount of the excess of the amortized cost basis of the loan over its fair value. Fair value may be determined based on the present value of estimated cash flows; on market price, if available; or the fair value of the collateral less estimated disposition costs. When we consider a loan, or a portion thereof, uncollectible and pursuit of the collection is not warranted, we will record a charge-off or write-down of the loan against the allowance for credit losses.

#### Variable Interest Entities

We consolidate entities that are variable interest entities, or VIEs where we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary of such entities. Once it is determined that we hold a variable interest in a VIE, management performs a qualitative analysis to determine (i) if we have the power to direct the matters that most significantly impact the VIE's financial performance; and (ii) if we have the obligation to absorb the losses of the VIE that could potentially be

significant to the VIE or the right to receive the benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. If our variable interest possesses both of these characteristics, we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary and would be required to consolidate the VIE. This assessment must be done on an ongoing basis. As of December 31, 2014, we determined that Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII, RREF CDO 2006-1, RREF CDO 2007-1, Whitney CLO I, RCC CRE Notes 2013, RCC CRE 2014, Moselle CLO and RCM Global, LLC are VIEs and that we are the primary beneficiary.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

88

---



### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance that requires an entity to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities. All legal entities are subject to reevaluation under the revised consolidation model. Specifically, the amendments: (1) Modify the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are variable interest entities (VIEs) or voting interest entities; (2) Eliminate the presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership; (3) Affect the consolidation analysis of reporting entities that are involved with VIEs, particularly those that have fee arrangements and related party relationships; (4) Provide a scope exception from consolidation guidance for reporting entities with interests in legal entities that are required to comply with or operate in accordance with requirements that are similar to those in Rule 2a-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for registered money market funds. This guidance is effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after after December 15, 2015. Early application is permitted. We do not expect adoption will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2014, the FASB issued guidance for determining whether and at what threshold an acquired entity can reflect the acquirer's accounting and reporting basis (pushdown accounting) in its separate financial statements. In accordance with this guidance, management may elect the option to apply pushdown accounting in the reporting period in which the change-in-control event occurs. An acquired entity should determine whether to elect to apply pushdown accounting for each individual change-in-control event in which an acquirer obtains control of the acquired entity. If pushdown accounting is not applied in the reporting period in which the change-in-control event occurs, an acquired entity will have the option to elect to apply pushdown accounting in a subsequent reporting period to the acquired entity's most recent change-in-control event. An election to apply pushdown accounting in a reporting period after the reporting period in which the change-in-control event occurred should be considered a change in accounting principle in accordance with ASC Topic 250, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. If pushdown accounting is applied to an individual change-in-control event, that election is irrevocable. If an acquired entity elects the option to apply pushdown accounting in its separate financial statements, it should disclose information in the current reporting period that enables users of financial statements to evaluate the effect of pushdown accounting. This guidance was effective after November 18, 2014. We are currently evaluating the effect of adoption but do not expect adoption will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2014, the FASB issued guidance to eliminate the use of different methods in practice and thereby reduce existing diversity under GAAP in the accounting for hybrid financial instruments issued in the form of a share. An entity that issues or invests in a hybrid financial instrument is required to separate an embedded derivative feature from the host contract (for example, an underlying share) and account for the feature as a derivative according to ASC Subtopic 815-10 on derivatives and hedging if certain criteria are met. This guidance is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015 and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the effect of adoption.

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance that clarifies the disclosures management must make in its interim and annual financial statement footnotes when management has determined that conditions exist that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date the financial statements are issued (or within one year after the date the financial statements are available to be issued when applicable). In accordance with this guidance, management's assessment is required to be made each reporting period and should be based on relevant conditions and events that are known and reasonably knowable at the date the financial statements are issued. In all cases, to the extent that substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern is determined to be probable, management must disclose the principal conditions or events that gave rise to the substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, management's evaluation of the significance of those conditions or events in relation to the entity's ability to meet its obligations, and management's plans that either alleviated or are intended to mitigate the conditions or events that gave rise to the substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. Additionally, to the extent substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern is not alleviated by management's plans, management must indicate in the footnotes that there is substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. This guidance is effective for the annual

period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early adoption is permitted. We do not expect adoption will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements. In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance that provides for the election of a measurement alternative when a reporting entity determines that it is the primary beneficiary of a collateralized financing entity and, hence, is required to consolidate that collateralized financing entity. The measurement alternative allows a qualifying, consolidated collateralized financing entity to use the more observable of the fair value the financial assets or the fair value of financial liabilities adjusted by the carrying amount of non-financial assets, the fair value of any beneficial interests retained by the reporting entity (including those beneficial interest that represent compensation for services). Alternatively, if the measurement alternative is not elected for a qualifying, consolidated collateralized financing entity, this guidance requires that the financial assets and financial liabilities be measured in accordance

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

89

---

with ACI Topic 820, and any difference in the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities would be reflected in earnings and attributed to the reporting entity in the consolidated statement of income (loss). This guidance is effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of an annual period. We are currently evaluating the effect of adoption but do not expect adoption will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued guidance that clarifies when a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan. Furthermore, the guidance requires interim and annual disclosure of the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. This guidance is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. We are currently evaluating the effect of adoption, but do not expect adoption will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued guidance which permits the Federal Funds Effective Swap Rate (OIS) to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes. This guidance is effective prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. Adoption did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2013, the FASB issued guidance which clarifies the characteristics of an investment company, provides comprehensive guidance for assessing whether an entity is an investment company and requires an investment company to measure non-controlling ownership interests in other investment companies at fair value rather than using the equity method of accounting. The guidance also requires additional disclosure. This guidance is effective for an entity's interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years that begin after December 15, 2013. Earlier application is prohibited. We are currently evaluating the effect of adoption, but do not expect adoption will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance which amends required information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, an entity is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. The amendments in this guidance were effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. We provided the enhanced footnote disclosure required by this guidance in our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2013, the FASB issued guidance which clarifies the scope of accounting for certain derivatives including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The amendments in this guidance were effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and will be applied retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. We provided the enhanced footnote disclosure required by this guidance in our consolidated financial statements.

#### **Inflation**

Virtually all of our assets and liabilities are interest rate sensitive in nature. As a result, interest rates and other factors influence our performance far more than does inflation. Changes in interest rates do not necessarily correlate with inflation rates or changes in inflation rates. Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP and our distributions are determined by our board of directors based primarily on adjusted funds from operations, a non-GAAP measure; in each case, our activities and balance sheet are measured with reference to historical cost and/or fair market value without considering inflation.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



ITEM 7A . QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As of December 31, 2014, the primary component of our market risk was interest rate risk, as described below. While we do not seek to avoid risk completely, we do seek to assume risk that can be quantified from historical experience, to actively manage that risk, to earn sufficient compensation to justify assuming that risk and to maintain capital levels consistent with the risk we undertake or to which we are exposed.

Effect on Fair Value

A component of interest rate risk is the effect changes in interest rates will have on the fair value of our assets. We face the risk that the fair value of our assets will increase or decrease at different rates than that of our liabilities, including our hedging instruments.

We primarily assess our interest rate risk by estimating the duration of our assets and the duration of our liabilities. Duration essentially measures the market price volatility of financial instruments as interest rates change. We generally calculate duration using various financial models and empirical data. Different models and methodologies can produce different duration numbers for the same securities.

The following sensitivity analysis tables show, at December 31, 2014, the estimated impact on the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive investments and liabilities of changes in interest rates, assuming rates instantaneously fall 100 basis points and raise 100 basis points (dollars in thousands):

|   | December 31, 2014                          |             |  |
|---|--|-------------|--|
|   | Interest rates<br>fall 100<br>basis points | Unchanged   | Interest rates<br>rise 100<br>basis points |
| CMBS – private placement <sup>(1)</sup> : |  |             |  |
| Fair value                                | \$ 192,146                                 | \$ 194,823  | \$ 197,580                                 |
| Change in fair value                      | (2,677                                     | ) —         | 2,757                                      |
| Change as a percent of fair value         | 1.37                                       | % —         | % 1.42                                     |
|   |  |             | %  |
| Hedging instruments:                      |  |             |  |
| Fair value                                | \$ (9,883                                  | ) \$ (8,680 | ) \$ (6,847                                |
| Change in fair value                      | (1,203                                     | ) —         | 1,833                                      |
| Change as a percent of fair value         | 13.86                                      | % —         | % 21.12                                    |
|   |  |             | %  |

(1)Includes the fair value of available-for-sale investments that are sensitive to interest rate change.

For purposes of the table, we have excluded our investments with variable interest rates that are indexed to LIBOR. Because the variable rates on these instruments are short-term in nature, we are not subject to material exposure to movements in fair value as a result of changes in interest rates.

It is important to note that the impact of changing interest rates on fair value can change significantly when interest rates change beyond 100 basis points from current levels. Therefore, the volatility in the fair value of our assets could increase significantly when interest rates change beyond 100 basis points from current levels. In addition, other factors impact the fair value of our interest rate-sensitive investments and hedging instruments, such as the shape of the yield curve, market expectations as to future interest rate changes and other market conditions. Accordingly, in the event of changes in actual interest rates, the change in the fair value of our assets would likely differ from that shown above and such difference might be material and adverse to our stockholders.

Risk Management

To the extent consistent with maintaining our status as a REIT, we seek to manage our interest rate risk exposure to protect our portfolio of fixed-rate commercial real estate mortgages and CMBS and related debt against the effects of major interest rate changes. We generally seek to manage our interest rate risk by:

- monitoring and adjusting, if necessary, the reset index and interest rate related to our mortgage-backed securities and our borrowings;
- attempting to structure our borrowing agreements for our CMBS to have a range of different maturities, terms, amortizations and interest rate adjustment periods; and

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

91

---

using derivatives, financial futures, swaps, options, caps, floors and forward sales, to adjust the interest rate sensitivity of our fixed-rate commercial real estate mortgages and CMBS and our borrowing which we discuss in “Financial Condition-Hedging Instruments.”

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

92

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders  
Resource Capital Corp.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Resource Capital Corp. (a Maryland corporation) and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income (loss), changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014. Our audits of the basic consolidated financial statements included the financial statement schedules listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Resource Capital Corp. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control -Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 2, 2015, expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania  
March 2, 2015

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

93

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | December 31, |             |
|--|--------------|-------------|
|  | 2014         | 2013        |
| <b>ASSETS <sup>(1)</sup></b>   |              |             |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$79,905     | \$262,270   |
| Restricted cash  | 122,138      | 63,309      |
| Investment securities, trading   | 20,786       | 11,558      |
| Investment securities available-for-sale, pledged as collateral, at fair value   | 197,800      | 162,608     |
| Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value  | 77,920       | 52,598      |
| Linked transactions, net at fair value   | 15,367       | 30,066      |
| Loans held for sale, at fair value   | 111,736      | 21,916      |
| Property available-for-sale  | 180          | 25,346      |
| Investment in real estate  | —            | 29,778      |
| Loans, pledged as collateral and net of allowances of \$4.6 million and \$13.8 million   | 1,925,980    | 1,369,526   |
| Loans receivable—related party   | 1,277        | 6,966       |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities   | 59,827       | 69,069      |
| Derivatives, at fair value   | 5,304        | —           |
| Interest receivable  | 16,260       | 8,965       |
| Deferred tax asset   | 13,094       | 5,212       |
| Principal paydown receivable   | 40,920       | 6,821       |
| Direct financing leases  | 2,109        | —           |
| Intangible assets  | 9,736        | 11,822      |
| Prepaid expenses   | 4,196        | 2,871       |
| Other assets   | 24,604       | 10,726      |
| Total assets   | \$2,729,139  | \$2,151,427 |
| <b>LIABILITIES <sup>(2)</sup></b>  |              |             |
| Borrowings   | \$1,716,871  | \$1,319,810 |
| Distribution payable   | 30,592       | 27,023      |
| Accrued interest expense   | 2,123        | 1,693       |
| Derivatives, at fair value   | 8,476        | 10,586      |
| Accrued tax liability  | 9,219        | 1,629       |
| Deferred tax liability   | 460          | 4,112       |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities   | 9,287        | 12,650      |
| Total liabilities  | 1,777,028    | 1,377,503   |
| <b>EQUITY</b>  |              |             |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.001: 10,000,000 shares authorized 8.50% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred shares, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share, 1,069,016 and 680,952 shares issued and outstanding  | 1            | 1           |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.001: 10,000,000 shares authorized 8.25% Series B cumulative redeemable preferred shares, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share 5,601,146 and 3,485,078 shares issued and outstanding | 6            | 3           |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.001: 10,000,000 shares authorized 8.625% Series C cumulative redeemable preferred shares, liquidation preference \$25.00 per share 4,800,000 and 0 shares issued and outstanding        | 5            | —           |
|  | 133          | 128         |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

Common stock, par value \$0.001: 500,000,000 shares authorized; 132,975,177 and 127,918,927 shares issued and outstanding (including 2,023,639 and 3,112,595 unvested restricted shares)

|   |             |             |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Additional paid-in capital                    | 1,245,245   | 1,042,480   |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 6,043       | (14,043 )   |
| Distributions in excess of earnings           | (315,910 )  | (254,645 )  |
| Total stockholders' equity                    | 935,523     | 773,924     |
| Non-controlling interests                     | 16,588      | —           |
| Total equity                                  | 952,111     | 773,924     |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY                  | \$2,729,139 | \$2,151,427 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

94

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS - (Continued)  
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

|   | December 31, |             |
|---|--------------|-------------|
|   | 2014         | 2013        |
| (1) Assets of consolidated VIEs included in the total assets above:                   |              |             |
| Cash and cash equivalents   | \$25         | \$—         |
| Restricted cash   | 121,247      | 61,372      |
| Investments securities available-for-sale, pledged as collateral, at fair value       | 119,203      | 105,846     |
| Loans, pledged as collateral and net of allowances of \$3.3 million and \$8.8 million | 1,261,137    | 1,219,569   |
| Loans held for sale   | 282          | 2,376       |
| Interest receivable   | 8,941        | 5,627       |
| Prepaid expenses  | 221          | 247         |
| Principal receivable  | 25,767       | 6,821       |
| Other assets  | (12          | ) —         |
| Total assets of consolidated VIEs   | \$1,536,811  | \$1,401,858 |
| (2) Liabilities of consolidated VIEs included in the total liabilities above:         |              |             |
| Borrowings  | \$1,046,494  | \$1,070,339 |
| Accrued interest expense  | 1,000        | 918         |
| Derivatives, at fair value  | 8,439        | 10,191      |
| Unsettled loan purchases  | (529         | ) —         |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities  | (386         | ) 1,604     |
| Total liabilities of consolidated VIEs  | \$1,055,018  | \$1,083,052 |

|                                     | Years Ended December 31, |          |           |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
|                                     | 2014                     | 2013     | 2012      |
| REVENUES                            |                          |          |           |
| Interest income:                    |                          |          |           |
| Loans                               | \$102,857                | \$99,455 | \$109,030 |
| Securities                          | 17,265                   | 14,309   | 14,296    |
| Interest income – other             | 6,785                    | 4,212    | 10,004    |
| Total interest income               | 126,907                  | 117,976  | 133,330   |
| Interest expense                    | 45,473                   | 61,010   | 42,792    |
| Net interest income                 | 81,434                   | 56,966   | 90,538    |
| Rental income                       | 8,441                    | 19,923   | 11,463    |
| Dividend income                     | 186                      | 273      | 69        |
| Fee income                          | 9,385                    | 5,821    | 7,068     |
| Total revenues                      | 99,446                   | 82,983   | 109,138   |
| OPERATING EXPENSES                  |                          |          |           |
| Management fees – related party     | 13,584                   | 14,220   | 18,512    |
| Equity compensation – related party | 6,566                    | 10,472   | 4,636     |
| Rental operating expense            | 5,443                    | 14,062   | 8,046     |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|  |                    |                    |                   |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| General and administrative - Corporate   | 15,263             | 12,304             | 9,773             |
| General and administrative - PCM   | 19,598             | 2,203              | —                 |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 2,737              | 3,855              | 5,885             |
| Income tax (benefit) expense   | (2,212             | ) (1,041           | ) 14,602          |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings                                     | —                  | 863                | 180               |
| Provision for loan losses  | 1,804              | 3,020              | 16,818            |
| Total operating expenses   | 62,783             | 59,958             | 78,452            |
|  | 36,663             | 23,025             | 30,686            |
| <b>OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)</b>  |                    |                    |                   |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries                       | 4,767              | 949                | (2,709            |
| Net realized gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale and loans | 15,283             | 9,637              | 4,106             |
| Net realized and unrealized (loss) gain on investment securities, trading        | (2,818             | ) (324             | ) 12,435          |
| Unrealized gain (loss) and net interest income on linked transactions, net       | 7,850              | (3,841             | ) 728             |
| (Loss) on reissuance/gain on extinguishment of debt                              | (4,442             | ) —                | 16,699            |
| Gain on sale of real estate  | 6,127              | 16,616             | —                 |
| Other (expense) income   | (1,262             | ) 391              | 2,498             |
| Total other revenue  | 25,505             | 23,428             | 33,757            |
| <b>NET INCOME</b>  | <b>62,168</b>      | <b>46,453</b>      | <b>64,443</b>     |
| Net income allocated to preferred shares   | (17,176            | ) (7,221           | ) (1,244          |
| Net income allocable to non-controlling interest, net of taxes                   | (965               | ) —                | —                 |
| <b>NET INCOME ALLOCABLE TO COMMON SHARES</b>                                     | <b>\$44,027</b>    | <b>\$39,232</b>    | <b>\$63,199</b>   |
| <b>NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE – BASIC</b>                                       | <b>\$0.34</b>      | <b>\$0.33</b>      | <b>\$0.71</b>     |
| <b>NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE – DILUTED</b>                                     | <b>\$0.34</b>      | <b>\$0.33</b>      | <b>\$0.71</b>     |
| <b>WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING – BASIC</b>              | <b>128,031,064</b> | <b>118,478,672</b> | <b>88,410,272</b> |
| <b>WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING – DILUTED</b>            | <b>129,259,386</b> | <b>120,038,973</b> | <b>89,284,488</b> |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)  
(in thousands)

|   | Years Ended December 31, |           |           |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 2014                     | 2013      | 2012      |
| Net income  | \$62,168                 | \$46,453  | \$64,443  |
| Other comprehensive income:   |                          |           |           |
| Reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) included in net income   | 9,051                    | (2,459)   | ) 1,728   |
| Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities, net  | 13,937                   | 10,858    | 18,770    |
| Reclassification adjustments associated with unrealized losses from interest rate hedges included in net income | 282                      | 395       | 227       |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives, net   | 1,906                    | 4,045     | (1,476)   |
| Foreign currency translation  | (608)                    | ) 196     | —         |
| Total other comprehensive income  | 24,568                   | 13,035    | 19,249    |
| Comprehensive income before allocation to non-controlling interests and preferred shares                        | 86,736                   | 59,488    | 83,692    |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities allocable to non-controlling interests               | (4,482)                  | ) —       | —         |
| Allocation to non-controlling interests, net of taxes   | (965)                    | ) —       | —         |
| Allocation to preferred shares  | (17,176)                 | ) (7,221) | ) (1,244) |
| Comprehensive income allocable to common shares   | \$64,113                 | \$52,267  | \$82,448  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED 2014, 2013 AND 2012  
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

|   | Common Stock |        | Preferred   |             |             | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>(Loss)/Income | Retained<br>Earnings | Distributions<br>in Excess<br>of Earnings | Total<br>Stockholders'<br>Equity | Non-Controlling<br>Interests | Total<br>Equity |           |
|---|--------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
|   | Shares       | Amount | Series<br>A | Series<br>B | Series<br>C |  |                      |   |                                  |                              |                 |           |
| Balance, January 1, 2012                                    | 79,877,516   | \$ 80  | \$—         | \$—         | \$—         | \$659,700  | \$ (46,327 )         | \$—                                       | \$(183,763)                      | \$ 429,690                   | \$—             | \$429,690 |
| Proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan | 13,130,333   | 13     | —           | —           | —           | 73,031   | —                    | —   | —                                | 73,044                       | —               | 73,044    |
| Proceeds from common stock                                  | 9,775,000    | 10     | —           | —           | —           | 57,663   | —                    | —   | —                                | 57,673                       | —               | 57,673    |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock                   | —            | —      | 1           | 1           | —           | 44,356   | —                    | —   | —                                | 44,358                       | —               | 44,358    |
| Offering costs  | —            | —      | —           | —           | —           | (4,147 )   | —                    | —   | —                                | (4,147 )                     | —               | (4,147 )  |
| Stock based compensation                                    | 2,335,244    | 2      | —           | —           | —           | 814  | —                    | —   | —                                | 816                          | —               | 816       |
| Amortization of stock based compensation                    | —            | —      | —           | —           | —           | 4,636  | —                    | —   | —                                | 4,636                        | —               | 4,636     |
| Net income  | —            | —      | —           | —           | —           | —  | —                    | 64,443                                    | —                                | 64,443                       | —               | 64,443    |
| Preferred dividends   | —            | —      | —           | —           | —           | —  | —                    | (1,244)                                   | —                                | (1,244 )                     | —               | (1,244 )  |
| Securities available-for-sale, fair value adjustment, net   | —            | —      | —           | —           | —           | —  | 20,498               | —   | —                                | 20,498                       | —               | 20,498    |
| Designated derivatives, fair value adjustment               | —            | —      | —           | —           | —           | —  | (1,249 )             | —   | —                                | (1,249 )                     | —               | (1,249 )  |
| Distributions on common stock                               | —            | —      | —           | —           | —           | —  | —                    | (63,199)                                  | (974 )                           | (75,173 )                    | —               | (75,173 ) |
| December 31, 2012   | 105,118,093  | 105    | 1           | 1           | —           | 836,053  | (27,078 )            | —   | (195,737 )                       | 613,345                      | —               | 613,345   |
| Proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan | 3,411,528    | 3      | —           | —           | —           | 19,208   | —                    | —   | —                                | 19,211                       | —               | 19,211    |
| Proceeds from issuance of                                   | 18,687,500   | 19     | —           | —           | —           | 118,259  | —                    | —   | —                                | 118,278                      | —               | 118,278   |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|                     |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
|---------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|--------|-------|--------|---|--------|---|--------|--------|---|
| common stock        |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| Proceeds from       |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| issuance of         | —       | — | — | 2 | — | 58,010 | —     | —      | — | 58,012 | — | 58,012 |        |   |
| preferred stock     |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| Offering costs      | —       |   |   |   |   | (5,510 | )     | —      | — | (5,510 | ) | —      | (5,510 | ) |
| Discount on 6%      |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| convertible senior  | —       |   |   |   |   | 4,851  | —     | —      | — | 4,851  | — | 4,851  |        |   |
| notes               |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| Stock based         | 701,806 | 1 |   |   |   | 1,137  | —     | —      | — | 1,138  | — | 1,138  |        |   |
| compensation        |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| Amortization of     |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| stock based         | —       |   |   |   |   | 10,472 | —     | —      | — | 10,472 | — | 10,472 |        |   |
| compensation        |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| Net income          | —       |   |   |   |   |        | —     | 46,453 |   | 46,453 | — | 46,453 |        |   |
| Preferred           |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| dividends           | —       |   |   |   |   |        | —     | (7,221 | ) | (7,221 | ) | —      | (7,221 | ) |
| Securities          |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| available-for-sale, | —       |   |   |   |   |        | 8,399 | —      | — | 8,399  | — | 8,399  |        |   |
| fair value          |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| adjustment, net     |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| Designated          |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| derivatives, fair   | —       |   |   |   |   |        | 4,440 | —      | — | 4,440  | — | 4,440  |        |   |
| value adjustment    |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| Cumulative          |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |
| translation         | —       |   |   |   |   |        | 196   | —      | — | 196    | — | 196    |        |   |
| adjustment          |         |   |   |   |   |        |       |        |   |        |   |        |        |   |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY  
FOR THE YEARS ENDED 2014, 2013 AND 2012 - (Continued)  
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

|  | Common Stock |        |   | Preferred Shares - Series A | Preferred Shares - Series B | Preferred Shares - Series C | Additional Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss)/Income | Retained Earnings | Distributions in Excess of Earnings | Total Stockholders' Equity | Non-Controlling Interests | Total Equity |
|--|--------------|--------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
|  | Shares       | Amount |   |                             |                             |                             |                            |   |                   |                                     |                            |                           |              |
| Distributions on common stock                                      | —            | —      | — | —                           | —                           | —                           | —                          | —   | (39,582)          | 2908                                | (98,140)                   | —                         | (98,140)     |
| December 31, 2013  | 127,918,927  | 128    | 1 | 3                           | —                           | 1,042,480                   | (14,043)                   | —   | (254,645)         | —                                   | 773,924                    | —                         | 773,924      |
| Proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan        | 5,531,903    | 5      | — | —                           | —                           | 30,292                      | —                          | —   | —                 | —                                   | 30,297                     | —                         | 30,297       |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock                             | —            | —      | — | —                           | —                           | —                           | —                          | —   | —                 | —                                   | —                          | —                         | —            |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock                          | —            | —      | — | 3                           | 5                           | 174,151                     | —                          | —   | —                 | —                                   | 174,159                    | —                         | 174,159      |
| Offering costs   | —            | —      | — | —                           | —                           | (1,418)                     | —                          | —   | —                 | —                                   | (1,418)                    | —                         | (1,418)      |
| Stock based compensation   | 889,931      | 1      | — | —                           | —                           | —                           | —                          | —   | —                 | —                                   | 1                          | —                         | 1            |
| Amortization of stock based compensation                           | —            | —      | — | —                           | —                           | 6,566                       | —                          | —   | —                 | —                                   | 6,566                      | —                         | 6,566        |
| Purchase and retirement of shares                                  | (1,365,584)  | (1)    | — | —                           | —                           | (6,826)                     | —                          | —   | —                 | —                                   | (6,827)                    | —                         | (6,827)      |
| Contributions, net of distributions from non-controlling interests | —            | —      | — | —                           | —                           | —                           | —                          | —   | —                 | —                                   | —                          | 11,141                    | 11,141       |
| Net Income   | —            | —      | — | —                           | —                           | —                           | —                          | 61,203  | —                 | —                                   | 61,203                     | 965                       | 62,168       |
| Preferred dividends  | —            | —      | — | —                           | —                           | —                           | —                          | (17,176)                                      | —                 | —                                   | (17,176)                   | —                         | (17,176)     |
| Securities available-for-sale, fair value adjustment, net          | —            | —      | — | —                           | —                           | —                           | 18,506                     | —   | —                 | —                                   | 18,506                     | 4,482                     | 22,988       |



Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|   |             |       |     |     |     |             |         |              |             |           |          |            |
|---|-------------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Designated derivatives, fair value adjustment | —           | —     | —   | —   | —   | —           | 2,188   | —            | —           | 2,188     | —        | 2,188      |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment       | —           | —     | —   | —   | —   | —           | (608 )  | —            | —           | (608 )    | —        | (608 )     |
| Distributions on common stock                 | —           | —     | —   | —   | —   | —           | —       | (4,027,265 ) | (105,292 )  | —         | —        | (105,292 ) |
| December 31, 2014                             | 132,975,177 | \$133 | \$1 | \$6 | \$5 | \$1,245,245 | \$6,043 | \$—          | \$(315,910) | \$935,523 | \$16,588 | \$952,111  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

98

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(in thousands)

|   | Years Ended December 31, |            |            |
|---|--------------------------|------------|------------|
|   | 2014                     | 2013       | 2012       |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>  |                          |            |            |
| Net income  | \$62,168                 | \$46,453   | \$64,443   |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: |                          |            |            |
| Provision for loan losses   | 1,804                    | 3,020      | 16,818     |
| Depreciation of investments in real estate and other  | 690                      | 1,946      | 1,838      |
| Amortization of intangible assets   | 2,059                    | 1,970      | 4,047      |
| Amortization of term facilities   | 2,508                    | 1,395      | 957        |
| Accretion of net discounts on loans held for investment                                     | (2,223)                  | ) (9,521)  | ) (17,817) |
| Accretion of net discounts on securities available-for-sale                                 | (3,941)                  | ) (2,712)  | ) (3,177)  |
| Amortization of discount on notes of securitizations  | 1,228                    | 14,524     | 2,470      |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs on notes of securitizations                             | 3,601                    | 7,426      | 4,700      |
| Amortization of stock-based compensation  | 6,566                    | 10,472     | 4,636      |
| Amortization of terminated derivative instruments   | 282                      | 339        | 227        |
| Accretion of interest-only available-for-sales securities                                   | (790)                    | ) (1,005)  | ) (719)    |
| Non-cash incentive compensation to the Manager  | —                        | 484        | 1,468      |
| Deferred income tax (benefit) expense   | (11,536)                 | ) (6,710)  | ) 2,329    |
| Purchase of mortgage loans held for sale, net   | (96,536)                 | ) (146)    | ) —        |
| Purchase of securities, trading   | (20,190)                 | ) (11,044) | ) (8,348)  |
| Principal payments on securities, trading   | 1,928                    | 4,309      | 1,027      |
| Proceeds from sales of securities, trading  | 1,747                    | 19,696     | 33,579     |
| Net realized and unrealized loss (gain) on investment securities, trading                   | 2,818                    | 324        | (12,435)   |
| Net realized gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale and loans            | (15,283)                 | ) (10,986) | ) (4,106)  |
| Loss (gain) on the reissuance / (extinguishment) of debt                                    | 4,442                    | —          | (16,699)   |
| Gain on sale of real estate   | (6,127)                  | ) (16,616) | ) —        |
| Settlement of derivative instruments - investing  | (4,142)                  | ) —        | ) —        |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings  | —                        | 855        | 180        |
| Linked Transactions fair value adjustments  | (5,615)                  | ) 6,018    | (168)      |
| Equity in net (earnings) losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries                              | (4,767)                  | ) (949)    | ) 2,709    |
| Adjust for impact of imputed interest on VIE accounting                                     | —                        | —          | 1,879      |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions                            |                          |            |            |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash  | 5,204                    | 8,445      | (2,062)    |
| (Increase) decrease in interest receivable, net of purchased interest                       | (7,295)                  | ) (1,108)  | ) 987      |
| Increase (decrease) in management fee payable   | 171                      | (6,357)    | ) 3,929    |
| Increase (decrease) in security deposits  | 4,696                    | (337)      | ) 25       |
| (Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities                             | (3,363)                  | ) (16,327) | ) 6,329    |
| Increase (decrease) in accrued interest expense   | 430                      | (1,445)    | ) (193)    |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets   | 9,873                    | 7,259      | (22,505)   |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities   | (69,593)                 | ) 49,672   | 66,348     |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:

|   |          |            |            |   |
|---|----------|------------|------------|---|
| (Increase) decrease in restricted cash                        | (23,568  | ) 22,248   | 50,756     |   |
| Acquisition of controlling interest in Moselle CLO S.A.       | (30,433  | ) —        | —          |   |
| Acquisition of Primary Capital Mortgage                       | —        | (7,613     | ) —        |   |
| Purchase of securities available-for-sale                     | (180,990 | ) (136,282 | ) (119,779 | ) |
| Principal payments on securities available-for-sale           | 56,053   | 52,812     | 47,284     |   |
| Proceeds from sale of securities available-for-sale           | 147,171  | 11,893     | 28,652     |   |
| Return of investment in (investment in) unconsolidated entity | 9,557    | (28,034    | ) 474      |   |
| Equity contribution to VIE                                    | —        | —          | (710       | ) |
| Improvement of real estate held-for-sale                      | —        | (404       | ) (138     | ) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

99

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS – (Continued)  
(in thousands)

|  | Years Ended December 31, |            |              |
|--|--------------------------|------------|--------------|
|  | 2014                     | 2013       | 2012         |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate held-for-sale  | 65,753                   | 37,001     | 2,886        |
| Purchase of loans  | (1,019,721               | ) (725,657 | ) (649,983   |
| Principal payments received on loans   | 376,219                  | 590,663    | 544,811      |
| Proceeds from sale of loans  | 209,707                  | 674,977    | 173,378      |
| Distributions from investments in real estate  | —                        | 1,094      | 1,152        |
| Improvements in investments in real estate   | (221                     | ) (365     | ) (3,878     |
| Purchase of furniture and fixtures   | (69                      | ) (133     | ) —          |
| Acquisition of property and equipment  | (865                     | ) (373     | ) —          |
| Investment in loans - related parties  | (1,572                   | ) (1,241   | ) —          |
| Principal payments received on loans – related parties   | 3,848                    | 1,685      | 1,251        |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities  | (389,131                 | ) 492,271  | 76,156       |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>   |                          |            |              |
| Net proceeds from issuances of common stock (net of offering costs of \$0, \$3,837 and \$2,165)                            | —                        | 114,454    | 55,502       |
| Net proceeds from dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan (net of offering costs of \$0, \$0 and \$19)               | 30,297                   | 19,211     | 73,044       |
| Proceeds from issuance of 8.50% Series A redeemable preferred shares (net of offering costs of \$260, \$3 and \$781)       | 8,984                    | 112        | 16,411       |
| Proceeds from issuance of 8.25% Series B redeemable preferred shares (net of offering costs of \$858, \$1,670 and \$1,201) | 47,481                   | 56,214     | 26,099       |
| Proceeds from issuance of 8.625% Series C redeemable preferred shares (net of offering costs of \$300, \$0 and \$0)        | 116,268                  | —          | —            |
| Repurchase of common stock   | (6,832                   | ) —        | —            |
| Proceeds from borrowings:  |                          |            |              |
| Repurchase agreements, net of repayments   | 277,875                  | 15,226     | 71,121       |
| CRE Securitizations  | 235,344                  | 260,840    | —            |
| 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes  | —                        | 115,000    | —            |
| Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility   | 113,500                  | —          | —            |
| Reissuance of debt   | 52,663                   | —          | —            |
| Payments on borrowings:  |                          |            |              |
| Collateralized debt obligations  | (451,991                 | ) (797,573 | ) (243,539   |
| CRE Securitizations  | (34,000                  | ) —        | —            |
| Mortgage Payable   | —                        | (13,600    | ) —          |
| Retirement of debt   | —                        | —          | (20,365      |
| Settlement of derivative instruments   | 3,052                    | —          | —            |
| Payment of debt issuance costs   | (8,939                   | ) (9,786   | ) (586       |
| Cash distributions to non-controlling interests  | (2,323                   | ) (30,709  | ) (3,480     |
| Proceeds received from non-controlling interests   | 14,213                   | 5,531      | 114          |
| Distributions paid on preferred stock  | (15,008                  | ) (6,413   | ) (613       |
| Distributions paid on common stock   | (104,225                 | ) (93,458  | ) (74,050    |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities  | \$276,359                | \$(364,951 | ) \$(100,342 |
|  | (182,365                 | ) 176,992  | 42,162       |

NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH  
EQUIVALENTS

|  |          |           |          |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 262,270  | 85,278    | 43,116   |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD       | \$79,905 | \$262,270 | \$85,278 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE:                         |          |           |          |
| Interest expense paid in cash                    | \$35,690 | \$41,453  | 41,369   |
| Income taxes paid in cash                        | \$3,305  | \$10,710  | 22,758   |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

100

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Resource Capital Corp. and subsidiaries' (collectively the "Company") principal business activity is to purchase and manage a diversified portfolio of commercial real estate-related assets and commercial finance assets. The Company's investment activities are managed by Resource Capital Manager, Inc. ("Manager") pursuant to a management agreement (the "Management Agreement"). The Manager is a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of Resource America, Inc. ("Resource America") (NASDAQ: REXI). In September 2013, it was determined that the Company is a variable interest entity ("VIE") and that Resource America is the primary beneficiary of the Company. Therefore, the Company's financial statements are consolidated into Resource America's financial statements. The following subsidiaries are consolidated in the Company's financial statements:

RCC Real Estate, Inc. ("RCC Real Estate") holds real estate investments, including commercial real estate loans, commercial real estate-related securities and investments in real estate. RCC Real Estate owns 100% of the equity of the following VIEs:

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1, Ltd. ("RREF CDO 2006-1"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and qualified real estate investment trust ("REIT") subsidiary ("QRS"). RREF CDO 2006-1 was established to complete a collateralized debt obligation ("CDO") issuance secured by a portfolio of commercial real estate ("CRE") loans and commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS").

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1, Ltd. ("RREF CDO 2007-1"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and QRS. RREF CDO 2007-1 was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of CRE loans and CMBS.

Resource Capital Corp. CRE Notes 2013, Ltd. ("RCC CRE Notes 2013"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and QRS. RCC CRE Notes 2013 was established to complete a CRE securitization issuance secured by a portfolio of CRE loans.

Resource Capital Corp. 2014-CRE2, Ltd. ("RCC CRE 2014"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and QRS. RCC CRE 2014 was established to complete a CRE securitization issuance secured by a portfolio of CRE loans.

RCC Commercial, Inc. ("RCC Commercial") holds an investment in Northport TRS, LLC ("Northport LLC") and owns 100% of the equity of the following VIE:

Apidos CDO III, Ltd. ("Apidos CDO III"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"). Apidos CDO III was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and asset-backed securities ("ABS").

RCC Commercial II, Inc. ("Commercial II") holds structured notes, available-for-sale and investments in the subordinated notes of foreign syndicated bank loan collateralized loan obligations ("CLO"). Commercial II owns 100%, 68.3%, and 88.6%, respectively, of the equity of the following VIEs:

Apidos Cinco CDO, Ltd. ("Apidos Cinco CDO"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos Cinco CDO was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans, ABS and corporate bonds.

Whitney CLO I, Ltd. ("Whitney CLO I"), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. In September 2013, the Company liquidated Whitney CLO I and, as a result, all of the assets were sold.

Moselle CLO S.A. ("Moselle CLO"), incorporated in Luxembourg, is a CLO issuer whose assets consist of European senior secured loans, U.S. senior secured loans, U.S. senior unsecured loans, U.S. second lien loans, European mezzanine loans, and a limited amount of synthetic securities and other eligible debt obligations. During the fourth quarter of 2014, the CLO began the liquidation process and substantially all assets were sold as of December 31, 2014.

•

RCC Commercial III, Inc. (“Commercial III”) holds bank loan investments. Commercial III owned 90% of the equity of the following VIE:

Apidos CDO I, Ltd. (“Apidos CDO I”), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. Apidos CDO I was established to complete a CDO issuance secured by a portfolio of bank loans and ABS. In October 2014, the Company liquidated Apidos CLO I, and as a result, all of the assets were sold.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

101

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

Resource TRS, Inc. (“Resource TRS”), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company’s equity investment in a leasing company and holds all of its investment securities, trading. Resource TRS also owns equity in the following:

Resource TRS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, which holds an investment in Northport LLC.

Northport LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, which holds bank loan investments and the Company's self-originated middle market loans. The remainder of the equity is owned by RCC Commercial.

Pelium Capital Partners, L.P., (“Pelium Capital”) a Delaware limited partnership, which holds investment securities, trading. Resource TRS owns 74.1% of the equity in Pelium Capital as of December 31, 2014.

Resource TRS II, Inc. (“Resource TRS II”), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company’s management rights in bank loan CLOs not originated by the Company. Resource TRS II owns 100% of the equity of the following VIE:

Resource Capital Asset Management (“RCAM”), a domestic limited liability company, which is entitled to collect senior, subordinated, and incentive fees related to three CLO issuers to which it provides management services through CVC Credit Partners, LLC, formerly Apidos Capital Management (“ACM”), a subsidiary of CVC Capital Partners SICAV-FIS, S.A., a private equity firm (“CVC”). Resource America owns a 33% interest in CVC Credit Partners, LLC, (“CVC Credit Partners”).

Resource TRS III, Inc. (“Resource TRS III”), a TRS directly owned by the Company, holds the Company’s interests in a bank loan CDO originated by the Company. Resource TRS III owned 33% of the equity of the following VIE:

Apidos CLO VIII, Ltd (“Apidos CLO VIII”), a Cayman Islands limited liability company and TRS. In October 2013, the Company liquidated Apidos CLO VIII, and as a result, all of the assets were sold.

Resource TRS IV, Inc. (“Resource TRS IV”), a TRS directly owned by the Company, held the Company's equity investment in hotel condominium units acquired in conjunction with a loan foreclosure. The hotel condominium units were sold in April 2014.

Resource TRS V, Inc. (“Resource TRS V”), a TRS directly owned by the Company, held the Company's equity investment in a held for sale condominium complex. All of the condominiums were sold as of December 31, 2013.

RSO EquityCo, LLC owns 10% of the equity of Apidos CDO I and 10% of the equity of Apidos CLO VIII.

Long Term Care Conversion, Inc. (“LTCC”), a TRS directly owned by the Company, is a Delaware corporation which owns 100% of the following entity:

Long Term Care Conversion, Funding (“LTCC Funding”), a New York limited liability company, which owns a 50.2% equity interest in Life Care Funding, LLC (“LCF”) and provides funding through a financing facility to fund the acquisition of life settlement contracts. LCF, a New York limited liability company, is a joint venture between LTCC and Life Care Funding Group Partners and was established for the purpose of originating and acquiring life settlement contracts.

RCC Residential, Inc., (“RCC Residential”) a TRS directly owned by the Company, is a Delaware corporation, which owns 100% of the following entity:

Primary Capital Mortgage, LLC (“PCM”), (formerly known as Primary Capital Advisors, LLC), a limited liability company that originates and services residential mortgage loans.

RCM Global Manager, LLC (“RCM Global Manager”), a Delaware limited liability company, which owns 63.8% of the following entity:

RCM Global, LLC (“RCM Global”), a Delaware limited liability company, which holds a portfolio of investment securities, available-for-sale.

RCC Residential Portfolio, Inc. (“RCC Resi Portfolio”), a Delaware corporation directly owned by the Company, invests in residential mortgage-backed securities (“RMBS”).

RCC Residential Portfolio TRS, Inc. (“RCC Resi TRS”), a TRS directly owned by the Company, is a Delaware corporation which intends to hold strategic residential positions which cannot be held by RCC Resi Portfolio.



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

102

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company.

A VIE is defined as an entity in which equity investors (i) do not have a controlling financial interest and/or (ii) do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional financial support from other parties. A VIE is required to be consolidated by its primary beneficiary, which is defined as the party that (a) has the power to control the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (b) has the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

The Company considers the following criteria in determining whether an entity is a VIE:

1. The equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support provided by any parties, including the equity holders.
2. The equity investors lack one or more of the following essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest.
  - a. The direct ability to make decisions about the entity's activities through voting rights or similar rights.
  - b. The obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity.
  - c. The right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity.
3. The equity investors have voting rights that are not proportionate to their economic interests, and the activities of the entity involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor with a disproportionately small voting interest.

In determining whether the Company is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, the Company reviews governing contracts, formation documents and any other contractual arrangements for any relevant terms and determines the activities that have the most significant impact on the VIE and who has the power to direct those activities. The Company also looks for kick-out rights, protective rights and participating rights as well as any financial or other support provided to the VIE and the reason for that support, and the terms of any explicit or implicit arrangements that may require the Company to provide future support. The Company then makes a determination based on its power to direct the most significant activities of the VIE and/or a financial interest that is potentially significant. The Company continually reassesses whether it should be deemed to be the primary beneficiary of its VIEs.

All inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Estimates affecting the accompanying consolidated financial statements include the net realizable and fair values of the Company's investments and derivatives, the estimated life used on investments to calculate depreciation, amortization, and accretion of premiums and discounts, respectively, provisions for loan losses, valuation of servicing asset and the disclosure of contingent liabilities.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand and all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase. Cash, including amounts restricted, may at times exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation deposit insurance limit of \$250,000 per institution. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, this included \$31.8 million and \$22.5 million, respectively, held in a prime brokerage and custody accounts, \$32.6 million and \$156.6 million, respectively, held in money market accounts, \$15.4 million and \$81.1 million, respectively, held in checking accounts, and \$100,000 and \$2.1 million, respectively, held in accounts at the Company's investment properties.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

103

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

Investment Securities

The Company classifies its investment portfolio as trading or available-for-sale. The Company, from time to time, may sell any of its investments due to changes in market conditions or in accordance with its investment strategy. The Company's investment securities, trading and investment securities, available-for-sale are reported at fair value. To determine fair value, the Company uses an independent third-party valuation firm utilizing data available in the market as well as appropriate prepayment, default, and recovery rates. These valuations are validated utilizing dealer quotes or bids. If there is a material difference between the value indicated by the third-party valuation firm and the dealer quote or bid, the Company will evaluate the difference, which could result in an updated valuation from the third-party or a revised dealer quote. Based on a prioritization of inputs used in valuation of each position, the Company categorizes these investments as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Any changes in fair value to the Company's investment securities, trading are recorded in the Company's consolidated statements of income as net realized and unrealized (loss) gain on investment securities, trading. Any changes in fair value to the Company's investment securities available-for-sale are recorded in the Company's consolidated balance sheets as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity.

On a quarterly basis, the Company evaluates its available-for-sale investments for other-than-temporary impairment. An available-for-sale investment is impaired when its fair value has declined below its amortized cost basis. An impairment is considered other-than-temporary when the amortized cost basis of the investment or some portion thereof will not be recovered.

The determination of other-than-temporary impairment is a subjective process, and different judgments and assumptions could affect the timing of loss realization. The Company reviews its portfolios and makes other-than-temporary impairment determinations at least quarterly. The Company considers the following factors when determining if there is an other-than-temporary impairment on a security:

- the length of time the market value has been less than amortized cost;
- the severity of the impairment;
- the expected loss of the security as generated by a third-party valuation model;
- original and current credit ratings from the rating agencies;
- underlying credit fundamentals of the collateral backing the securities;
- whether, based upon the Company's intent, it is more likely than not that the Company will sell the security before the recovery of the amortized cost basis; and
- third-party support for default, for recovery, prepayment speed and reinvestment price assumptions.

Where credit quality is believed to be the cause of the other-than-temporary impairment, that component of the impairment is recognized as an impairment loss in the consolidated statements of income. Where other market components are believed to be the cause of the impairment, that component of the impairment is recognized as other comprehensive loss.

The Company performs an on-going review of third-party reports and updated financial data on the underlying properties in order to analyze current and projected security performance. Rating agency downgrades are considered with respect to the Company's income approach when determining other-than temporary impairment and, when inputs are subjected to testing for economic changes within possible ranges, the resulting projected cash flows reflect a full recovery of principal and interest indicating no impairment.

Investment security transactions are recorded on the trade date. Realized gains and losses on investment securities are determined on the specific identification method.

Investment Interest Income Recognition

Interest income on the Company's mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities is accrued using the effective yield method based on the actual coupon rate and the outstanding principal amount of the underlying mortgages or other assets. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted into interest income over the lives of the securities

also using the effective yield method, adjusted for the effects of estimated prepayments. For an investment purchased at par, the effective yield is the contractual interest rate on the investment. If the investment is purchased at a discount or at a premium, the effective yield is computed based on the contractual interest rate increased for the accretion of a purchase discount or decreased for the amortization of a purchase premium. The effective yield method requires the Company to make estimates of future prepayment rates for its investments that

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

104

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

can be contractually prepaid before their contractual maturity date so that the purchase discount can be accreted, or the purchase premium can be amortized, over the estimated remaining life of the investment. The prepayment estimates that the Company uses directly impact the estimated remaining lives of its investments. Actual prepayment estimates are reviewed as of each quarter end or more frequently if the Company becomes aware of any material information that would lead it to believe that an adjustment is necessary. If prepayment estimates are incorrect, the amortization or accretion of premiums and discounts may have to be adjusted, which would have an impact on future income. To the extent that the Company invests in securities qualifying as beneficial interests in securitized financial assets, the Company will recognize the excess of all cash flows attributable to the beneficial interest estimated at the acquisition/transaction date over the initial investment (the accretable yield) as interest income over the life of the beneficial interest using the effective yield method.

#### Loans

The Company acquires loans through direct origination, through the acquisition of participations in commercial real estate loans and corporate leveraged loans in the secondary market and through syndications of newly originated loans. Loans are held for investment; therefore, the Company initially records them at their acquisition price, and subsequently, accounts for them based on their outstanding principal plus or minus unamortized premiums or discounts. The Company may sell a loan held for investment where the credit fundamentals underlying a particular loan have changed in such a manner that the Company's expected return on investment may decrease. Once the determination has been made by the Company that it no longer will hold the loan for investment, the Company identifies these loans as "Loans held for sale" and will account for them at the lower of amortized cost or fair value.

#### Loan Interest Income Recognition

Interest income on loans includes interest at stated rates adjusted for amortization or accretion of premiums and discounts. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted into income using the effective yield method. If a loan with a premium or discount is prepaid, the Company immediately recognizes the unamortized portion as a decrease or increase to interest income. In addition, the Company defers loan origination fees and loan origination costs and recognizes them over the life of the related loan against interest income using the effective yield method.

#### Residential Loan Origination

The Company originates residential loans to be funded by permanent investors. The Company originates loans in 35 states with a focus on the Southeast. The Company may sell or retain the right to service the loans. Servicing fees are recognized as income when the related mortgage payments are collected based on the outstanding balance of the related mortgage loans or on an agreed upon rate. Servicing fee income is reduced by amortization of capitalized servicing rights.

The fair value option has been elected for all residential mortgage loans held for sale. As such, residential mortgage loans held for sale are valued at fair value, determined on an individual-loan basis. Additionally, due to such election, origination fees and direct origination costs are immediately recognized in earnings. Market value for conforming, agency loans is determined using sales commitments to permanent investors or on current market rates for loans of similar quality and type (generally Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy). Market value for non-agency, jumbo loans is determined using sales commitments to permanent investors, current market rates for loans of similar quality, or through the use of cash flow models (generally Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy). Mortgage loans are included as loans held for sale in the consolidated balance sheets. Conforming, agency loans are generally sold within 15 to 45 days of origination. Non-agency, jumbo loans may either be sold to private investors or held for securitization. Residential real estate properties acquired through foreclosure to be sold are initially recorded at fair value less selling costs at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Any write down to fair value at the time of foreclosure is charged to the allowance for loan losses. After foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the real estate is carried at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. Costs related to holding foreclosed real estate and subsequent adjustments to value are expensed. The fair value of real estate owned is

determined using unobservable inputs including estimates of selling costs and marketability of the property (Level 3). The unpaid principal balances of loans serviced by the Company for others are not included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

105

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

#### Mortgage Servicing Rights

A mortgage servicing right is the right to receive a portion of the interest coupon and fees collected from the mortgagor for performing specified mortgage servicing activities, which consist of collecting loan payments, remitting principal and interest payments to investors, managing escrow funds for the payment of mortgage-related expenses such as taxes and insurance and otherwise administering the mortgage loan servicing portfolio. Mortgage servicing rights are created through either the direct purchase of servicing from a third party or through the sale of an originated mortgage loan. The servicing rights relate to a single class of residential mortgage loans.

The fair value of residential servicing rights included in the consolidated balance sheets was determined using an estimated current market value at the date of loan origination and other assumptions. Capitalized servicing rights are amortized over the life of the loan, assuming certain prepayment and other assumptions.

#### Allowance for Loan Loss

The Company maintains an allowance for loan loss. For the Company's bank and CRE loan portfolios, loans held for investment are first individually evaluated for impairment to determine whether a specific reserve is required. Loans that are not determined to be impaired individually are then evaluated for impairment as a homogeneous pool of loans with substantially similar characteristics so that a general reserve can be established, if needed. The reviews are performed at least quarterly.

The Company considers a loan to be impaired if one of two conditions exists. The first condition is if, based on current information and events, management believes it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The second condition is if the loan is deemed to be a troubled-debt restructuring ("TDR") where a concession has been given to a borrower in financial difficulty. These TDRs may not have an associated specific loan loss allowance if the principal and interest amount is considered recoverable based on current market conditions, expected collateral performance and/or guarantees made by the borrowers.

When a loan is impaired under either of these two conditions, the allowance for loan losses is increased by the amount of the excess of the amortized cost basis of the loan over its fair value. Fair value may be determined based on the present value of estimated cash flows; on market price, if available; or on the fair value of the collateral less estimated disposition costs. When a loan, or a portion thereof, is considered uncollectible and pursuit of collection is not warranted, the Company will record a charge-off or write-down of the loan against the allowance for loan losses.

An impaired loan may remain on accrual status during the period in which the Company is pursuing repayment of the loan; however, the loan would be placed on non-accrual status at such time as (i) management believes that scheduled debt service payments will not be met within the coming 12 months; (ii) the loan becomes 90 days delinquent; (iii) management determines the borrower is incapable of, or has ceased efforts toward, curing the cause of the impairment; or (iv) the net realizable value of the loan's underlying collateral approximates the Company's carrying value for such loan. While on non-accrual status, the Company recognizes interest income only when an actual payment is received. When a loan is placed on non-accrual, previously accrued interest is reversed from interest income.

For the Company's residential mortgage loans, the allowance is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and amount of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available. The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as doubtful, substandard, or special mention. For such loans that are also identified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan are lower than the carrying value of that loan. A general component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The general component



of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

106

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impaired loans are carried at fair value and are measured on a nonrecurring basis. The fair value is determined using unobservable inputs including estimates of selling costs (Level 3).

Investments in Real Estate

Investments in real estate are carried net of accumulated depreciation. Costs directly related to the acquisition are expensed as incurred. Ordinary repairs and maintenance which are not reimbursed by the tenants are expensed as incurred. Costs related to the improvement of the real property are capitalized and depreciated over their useful lives. Acquisitions of real estate assets and any related intangible assets are recorded initially at fair value under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 805, "Business Combinations". The Company allocates the purchase price of its investments in real estate to land, building, site improvements, the value of in-place leases and the value of above or below market leases. The value allocated to above or below market leases is amortized over the remaining lease term as an adjustment to rental income. The Company amortizes the value allocated to in-place leases over the weighted average remaining lease term to depreciation and amortization expense. The Company depreciates real property using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

| Category          | Term   |
|-------------------|--|
| Building          | 25 – 40 years  |
| Site improvements | Lesser of the remaining life of building or useful lives |

Long-Lived and Intangible Assets

Long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles to be held and used are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The review of recoverability is based on an estimate of the future undiscounted cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the long-lived asset's use and eventual disposition. If impairment has occurred, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over the fair value of the asset.

There were no impairment charges recorded with respect to the Company's investment in real estate or intangible assets during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) for the Company includes net income and the change in net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, derivative instruments used to hedge exposure to interest rate fluctuations and protect against declines in the market value of assets resulting from general market trends as well as translation of currency as a result of the Company's investment in the equity of foreign CDOs.

Income Taxes

The Company operates in such a manner as to qualify as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"); therefore, applicable REIT taxable income is included in the taxable income of its shareholders, to the extent distributed by the Company. To maintain REIT status for federal income tax purposes, the Company is generally required to distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its shareholders as well as comply with certain other qualification requirements as defined under the Code. As a REIT, the Company is not subject to federal corporate income tax to the extent that it distributes 100% of its REIT taxable income each year.

Taxable income, from non-REIT activities managed through the Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries, is subject to federal, state and local income taxes. The Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries' income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Under the asset and liability method, deferred income taxes are recognized for the

temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and tax basis of assets and liabilities.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

107

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII, Whitney CLO I, Harvest CLO VII, Moselle CLO, Harvest CLO VIII, Harvest X Investor, and Harvest CLO X, the Company's foreign TRSs, are organized as exempted companies incorporated with limited liability under the laws of the Cayman Islands and, with respect to Moselle CLO, Luxembourg and, with respect to Harvest CLO VII, Harvest CLO VIII, and Harvest CLO X, Ireland, and are generally exempt from federal and state income tax at the corporate level because their activities in the United States are limited to trading in stock and securities for their own account. Therefore, despite their status as TRSs, they generally will not be subject to corporate tax on their earnings and no provision for income taxes is required; however, because they are "controlled foreign corporations," the Company will generally be required to include Apidos CDO I's, Apidos CDO III's, Apidos Cinco CDO's, Apidos CLO VIII's, Whitney CLO I's, Harvest CLO VII's, Moselle CLO's, Harvest CLO VIII's, Harvest X Investor's, and Harvest X CLO's current taxable income in its calculation of REIT taxable income.

On October 27, 2011 the Company reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III. As a result, the earnings from Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax. On January 24, 2012, the Company again reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III. As a result, for the period January 1, 2012 through January 23, 2012, the earnings from Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax. For the period January 24, 2012 through December 31, 2012 the earnings from Apidos CDO I are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

On December 11, 2012, the Company further reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos CDO III. As a result, for the period from January 24, 2012 through December 10, 2012 the earnings from Apidos CDO III are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income. Also as a result of the reorganization on December 11, 2012, for the period December 11, 2012 through December 31, 2012, the earnings from Apidos CDO III are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax.

On November 12, 2012, the Company reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos Cinco CDO and Whitney CLO I. As a result, for the period November 12, 2012 through December 31, 2012, the earnings from Apidos Cinco CDO and Whitney CLO I are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax. Accordingly, a provision for income taxes on the earnings from November 12, 2012 through December 31, 2012 was recorded.

On February 13, 2013, the Company reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos Cinco CDO and Whitney CLO I. As a result, for the period January 1, 2013 through February 12, 2013, the earnings from Apidos Cinco CDO and Whitney CLO I are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax. Accordingly, a provision for income taxes on the earnings from January 1, 2013 through February 12, 2013 has been recorded. Also as a result of the reorganization on February 13, 2013, for the period February 13, 2013 and ending December 31, 2013 the earnings from Apidos Cinco CDO and Whitney CLO I are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

On March 8, 2013 the Company reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos CDO III. As a result, the earnings from Apidos CDO III for the period January 1, 2013 through March 7, 2013 are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax. Accordingly, a provision for income taxes on the earnings from January 1, 2013 through March 7, 2013 has been recorded. Also as a result of the reorganization on March 8, 2013, for the period March 8, 2013 and ending December 31, 2013 the earnings from Apidos CDO III are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

On September 10, 2013, the Company acquired approximately 9.5% of the equity of Harvest CLO VII, which is a foreign TRS, organized as an exempt company incorporated with limited liability under the laws of Ireland. This equity is directly owned by a domestic QRS (Qualified REIT Subsidiary) of the Company; therefore, its earnings are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

On February 24, 2014, the Company acquired approximately 88.6% of the equity of Moselle CLO S.A., which is a foreign TRS, incorporated in Luxembourg. This equity is directly owned by a domestic qualified REIT subsidiary of the Company and, accordingly, its earnings are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income. On March 27, 2014, the Company acquired approximately 12.6% of the equity of Harvest CLO VIII, which is a foreign TRS, organized as an exempt company incorporated with limited liability under the laws of Ireland. This equity is directly owned by a domestic qualified REIT subsidiary of the Company and, accordingly, its earnings are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

108

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

On July 3, 2014, the Company acquired approximately 55% of the equity of Harvest X Investor, which is a foreign TRS, organized as an exempt company incorporated with limited liability under the laws of the Cayman Islands. As of November 6, 2014, the Company's investment was returned and the Company no longer has an active ownership interest in Harvest X Investor. For the period July 3, 2014 through November 6, 2014 the equity was directly owned by a domestic qualified REIT subsidiary of the Company and, accordingly, its earnings are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

On November 6, 2014, the Company acquired approximately 32.1% of the equity of Harvest CLO X, which is a foreign TRS, organized as an exempt company incorporated with limited liability under the laws of Ireland. This equity is directly owned by a domestic qualified REIT subsidiary of the Company and, accordingly, its earnings are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

The Company accounts for taxes assessed by a governmental authority that is directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction (e.g., sales, use, value added) on a net (excluded from revenue) basis.

#### Stock Based Compensation

Issuances of restricted stock and options are accounted for using the fair value based methodology whereby the fair value of the award is measured on the grant date and expensed monthly to equity compensation expense-related party on the consolidated statements of income with a corresponding entry to additional paid-in capital. For issuances to the Company's Manager and to non-employees, the unvested stock and options are adjusted quarterly to reflect changes in fair value as performance under the agreement is completed. For issuances to the Company's seven non-employee directors or to any direct employees of the Company's subsidiaries, the amount is not remeasured under the fair value-based method. The compensation for each of these issuances is amortized over the service period and included in equity compensation expense.

#### Net Income Per Share

The Company calculates basic income per share by dividing net income for the period by the weighted-average number of shares of its common stock, including vested restricted stock and participating securities, outstanding for that period. Diluted income per share takes into account the effect of dilutive instruments, such as stock options, unvested restricted stock and convertible debt, but uses the average share price for the period in determining the number of incremental shares that are to be added to the weighted-average number of shares outstanding.

#### Derivative Instruments

The Company's policies permit it to enter into derivative contracts, including interest rate swaps and interest rate caps, to add stability to its interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements or other identified risks. The Company has designated these transactions as cash flow hedges. The contracts or hedge instruments are evaluated at inception and at subsequent consolidated balance sheets dates to determine if they qualify for hedge accounting which requires that the Company recognize all derivatives on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value. The Company records changes in the estimated fair value of the derivative in other comprehensive income to the extent that it is effective. Any ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings. The Company may also enter into forward currency contracts. Forward contracts represent future commitments to either purchase or to deliver loans, securities or a quantity of a currency at a predetermined future date, at a predetermined rate or price and are used to manage interest rate risk on loan commitments and mortgage loans held for sale as well as currency risk with respect to the Company's long positions in foreign currency-denominated investment securities.

The Company may also enter into forward contracts for the sale of mortgage-backed securities for the purpose of hedging its closed residential mortgage loans held for sale and its pipeline of residential mortgage loans expected to close. As residential mortgage loans are closed, they are typically sold at prices specified in the forward contracts. Gains or losses may arise if the yields of the loans delivered vary from those specified in the forward contracts. Derivative mortgage loan commitments, or interest rate locks, may also be utilized and relate to the origination of a

mortgage that will be held for sale upon funding.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

109

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

The Company may also hold warrants. Warrants give the holder the right, but not the obligation, to purchase equity in the Company at a specific price within a specified time period. Typically, the warrant contracts include expiration dates well into the future and an exercise price set above the current fair value of the common stock. With the expiration date set into the future, the warrant's value is impacted by the time value of money. Both this factor and the fluctuation in the underlying stock's price impact the value of the warrant, thereby causing the holder to consider this investment as a derivative.

The Company may also hold options on future contracts. Options are contracts sold by one party to another that give the buyer the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a financial asset at an agreed-upon price during a certain period of time or on a specific date.

Linked Transactions

If the Company finances the purchase of securities with repurchase agreements with the same counterparty from whom the securities are purchased and both transactions are entered into contemporaneously or in contemplation of each other, the transactions are presumed not to meet sale accounting criteria and the Company will account for the purchase of such securities and the repurchase agreement on a net basis and record a forward purchase commitment to purchase securities (each, a "Linked Transaction") at fair value on the Company's consolidated balance sheets in the line item linked transactions, at fair value. Changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities underlying the linked transactions and associated interest income and interest expense are reported as unrealized (loss) gain and net interest income on linked transactions, net on the Company's consolidated statements of income.

Recent Accounting Standards

In February 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance that requires an entity to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities. All legal entities are subject to reevaluation under the revised consolidation model. Specifically, the amendments: (1) Modify the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are variable interest entities (VIEs) or voting interest entities; (2) Eliminate the presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership; (3) Affect the consolidation analysis of reporting entities that are involved with VIEs, particularly those that have fee arrangements and related party relationships; (4) Provide a scope exception from consolidation guidance for reporting entities with interests in legal entities that are required to comply with or operate in accordance with requirements that are similar to those in Rule 2a-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for registered money market funds. This guidance is effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after after December 15, 2015. Early application is permitted. The Company does not expect adoption will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In November 2014, the FASB issued guidance for determining whether and at what threshold an acquired entity can reflect the acquirer's accounting and reporting basis (pushdown accounting) in its separate financial statements. In accordance with this guidance, management may elect the option to apply pushdown accounting in the reporting period in which the change-in-control event occurs. An acquired entity should determine whether to elect to apply pushdown accounting for each individual change-in-control event in which an acquirer obtains control of the acquired entity. If pushdown accounting is not applied in the reporting period in which the change-in-control event occurs, an acquired entity will have the option to elect to apply pushdown accounting in a subsequent reporting period to the acquired entity's most recent change-in-control event. An election to apply pushdown accounting in a reporting period after the reporting period in which the change-in-control event occurred should be considered a change in accounting principle in accordance with ASC Topic 250, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections. If pushdown accounting is applied to an individual change-in-control event, that election is irrevocable. If an acquired entity elects the option to apply pushdown accounting in its separate financial statements, it should disclose information in the current reporting period that enables users of financial statements to evaluate the effect of pushdown accounting. This guidance was effective after November 18, 2014. Adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial



statements.

In November 2014, the FASB issued guidance to eliminate the use of different methods in practice and thereby reduce existing diversity under GAAP in the accounting for hybrid financial instruments issued in the form of a share. An entity that issues or invests in a hybrid financial instrument is required to separate an embedded derivative feature from the host contract (for example, an underlying share) and account for the feature as a derivative according to ASC Subtopic 815-10 on derivatives and hedging if certain criteria are met. This guidance is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015 and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of adoption.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

110

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance that clarifies the disclosures management must make in its interim and annual financial statement footnotes when management has determined that conditions exist that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date the financial statements are issued (or within one year after the date the financial statements are available to be issued when applicable). In accordance with this guidance, management's assessment is required to be made each reporting period and should be based on relevant conditions and events that are known and reasonably knowable at the date the financial statements are issued. In all cases, to the extent that substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern is determined to be probable, management must disclose the principal conditions or events that gave rise to the substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, management's evaluation of the significance of those conditions or events in relation to the entity's ability to meet its obligations, and management's plans that either alleviated or are intended to mitigate the conditions or events that gave rise to the substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. Additionally, to the extent substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern is not alleviated by management's plans, management must indicate in the footnotes that there is substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. This guidance is effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect adoption will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance that provides for the election of a measurement alternative when a reporting entity determines that it is the primary beneficiary of a collateralized financing entity and, hence, is required to consolidate that collateralized financing entity. The measurement alternative allows a qualifying, consolidated collateralized financing entity to use the more observable of the fair value of the financial assets or the fair value of financial liabilities adjusted by the carrying amount of non-financial assets, the fair value of any beneficial interests retained by the reporting entity (including those beneficial interest that represent compensation for services). Alternatively, if the measurement alternative is not elected for a qualifying, consolidated collateralized financing entity, this guidance requires that the financial assets and financial liabilities be measured in accordance with ASC Topic 820, and any difference in the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities would be reflected in earnings and attributed to the reporting entity in the consolidated statement of income (loss). This guidance is effective for public business entities for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of an annual period. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of adoption but does not expect adoption will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued guidance that changes the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions to secured borrowing accounting and requires separate accounting for a transfer of a financial asset executed contemporaneously with a repurchase agreement with the same counterparty, which will result in secured borrowing accounting for the repurchase agreement for repurchase arrangements. This amendment also requires additional disclosure for certain transactions comprising a transfer of a financial asset accounted for as a sale and an agreement with the same transferee entered into in contemplation of the initial transfer that results in the transferor retaining substantially all of the exposure to the economic return on the transferred financial asset throughout the term of the transaction. This guidance is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company expects to show assets, liabilities, income and expense gross on its consolidated financial statements and provide the additional required disclosure.

In April 2014, the FASB issued guidance that changes the requirements for reporting discontinued operations. The amendments in this update require an entity to present, for each comparative period, the assets and liabilities of a disposal group that includes a discontinued operation separately in the asset and liability sections of the statement of financial position. The amendments in this update also require additional disclosures about discontinued operations and new disclosures for disposal transactions of individually significant components of an entity that do not meet the definition of a discontinued operation. Additionally, this guidance both permits and expands the disclosures about an entity's significant continuing involvement with a discontinued operation. This guidance is effective for all disposals or classifications as held for sale of components of an entity that occur within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted for disposals that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for sale. The Company has early adopted the provisions of this guidance. Adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

111

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

In January 2014, the FASB issued guidance that clarifies when a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan. Furthermore, the guidance requires interim and annual disclosure of the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. This guidance is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of adoption, but does not expect adoption will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2013, the FASB issued guidance which clarifies the characteristics of an investment company, provides comprehensive guidance for assessing whether an entity is an investment company and requires an investment company to measure non-controlling ownership interests in other investment companies at fair value rather than using the equity method of accounting. The guidance also requires additional disclosure. This guidance is effective for an entity's interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years that begin after December 15, 2013. Earlier application is prohibited. The Company is currently evaluating the effect of adoption, but does not expect adoption will have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

**Reclassifications**

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2012 and 2013 consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2014 presentation. Previously, certain gains and losses related to the Company's residential mortgage loans were included in general and administrative expenses. These gains and losses are now included in net realized gain on sales of investment securities available-for-sale and loans. In 2013, the Company's middle market loans were reported with bank loans in the consolidated financial statements. In 2014, these loans were presented as a separate loan portfolio in the consolidated financial statements.

Principal paydown receivables represent the portion of the Company's loan portfolio for which indication has been provided through its various servicers, trustees, or its asset management group that a payoff or paydown of a loan has been received but for which, as of period end, the Company has not received and applied such cash to the outstanding loan balance. To better reflect the nature of these activities, the Company has reclassified the net changes in these principal paydown receivables in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows from an operating activity to an investing activity included in principal payments received from loans and has supplemented this reclassification with a disclosure of cash received on principal paydown receivables subsequent to December 31, 2014. The reclassification had the impact of decreasing net cash flows from operations by \$18.7 million and increasing net cash flows from operations by \$25.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively. Conversely, the reclassification had the impact of increasing net cash flows from investing activities by \$18.7 million and decreasing net cash flows from investing activities by \$25.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, and 2012, respectively. This reclassification had no impact on the net changes in cash and cash equivalents or net income for any periods presented.

**NOTE 3 - VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES**

The Company has evaluated its securities, loans, investments in unconsolidated entities, liabilities to subsidiary trusts issuing preferred securities (consisting of unsecured junior subordinated notes) and its securitizations in order to determine if they are variable interest in VIEs. The Company monitors these legal interests and, to the extent it has determined that it has a variable interest, analyzes the entity for potential consolidation. A VIE is required to be consolidated by its primary beneficiary. The Company will continually analyze entities for which it holds a variable interest, including when there is a reconsideration event, to determine whether such entities are VIEs and whether such potential VIEs should be consolidated or deconsolidated. This analysis requires considerable judgment in determining the primary beneficiary of a VIE and could result in the consolidation of an entity that would otherwise not have been consolidated or the non-consolidation of an entity that otherwise would have been consolidated.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

112

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

Consolidated VIEs (the Company is the primary beneficiary)

Based on management's analysis, the Company is the primary beneficiary of eleven VIEs at December 31, 2014: Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII, RREF CDO 2006-1, RREF CDO 2007-1, Whitney CLO I, RCC CRE Notes 2013, RCC CRE 2014, Moselle CLO and RCM Global, LLC. In performing the primary beneficiary analysis for Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII, RREF CDO 2006-1, RREF CDO 2007-1, RCC CRE Notes 2013, RCC CRE 2014 and RCM Global, LLC, it was determined that the parties that have the power to direct the activities that are most significant to each of these VIEs and who have the right to receive benefits and the obligation to absorb losses that could potentially be significant to these VIEs, are a related party group. It was then determined that the Company was the party within that group that is more closely associated to each such VIE considering the design of the VIE, the principal-agency relationship between the Company and other members of the related-party group, and the relationship and significance of the activities of the VIE to the Company compared to the other members of the related-party group.

Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII, RREF CDO 2006-1, RREF CDO 2007-1, RCC CRE Notes 2013, RCC CRE 2014 and RCM Global, LLC were formed on behalf of the Company to invest in real estate-related securities, CMBS, property available-for-sale, bank loans, corporate bonds and asset-backed securities, and were financed by the issuance of debt securities. The Manager and CVC Credit Partners manage these entities on behalf of the Company. By financing these assets with long-term borrowings through the issuance of bonds, the Company seeks to generate attractive risk-adjusted equity returns and to match the term of its assets and liabilities. The primary beneficiary determination for each of these VIEs was made at each VIE's inception and is continually assessed.

Moselle CLO was a European securitization in which the Company purchased a \$30.4 million interest in the form of subordinate notes representing 100% of the Class 1 Subordinated Notes and 67.9% of the Class 2 subordinated Notes in February 2014. The CLO was managed by an independent third-party and such collateral management activities were determined to be the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the CLO. Though neither the Company nor one of its related parties manage the CLO, due to certain unilateral kick-out rights within the collateral management agreement it was determined that the Company had the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of Moselle CLO. Having both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact Moselle CLO and a financial interest that is expected to absorb both positive and negative variability in the CLO that could potentially be significant, the Company was determined to be the primary beneficiary of Moselle CLO and, therefore, consolidated the CLO. During the fourth quarter of 2014, the CLO began the liquidation process and substantially all assets were sold as of December 31, 2014.

Whitney CLO I is a securitization in which the Company acquired rights to manage the collateral assets held by the entity in February 2011. For a discussion on the primary beneficiary analysis for Whitney, see “— Unconsolidated VIEs – Resource Capital Asset Management,” below.

On July 9, 2014, RCC Residential together with Resource America and certain Resource America employees acquired through RCM Global a portfolio of securities from JP Morgan for \$23.5 million. The portfolio is managed by Resource America. RCC Residential contributed \$15.0 million for a 63.8% membership interest. Each of the members of RCM Global will be allocated the revenue/expenses of RCM Global in accordance with its or his membership interest. RCM Global was determined to be a VIE based on the equity holders' inability to direct the activities that are most significant to the entity. The Company was determined to be the primary beneficiary of RCM Global and, therefore, consolidated the entity.

In September 2014, the Company contributed \$17.5 million of capital to Pelium Capital for an 80.4% interest. Pelium Capital is a specialized credit opportunity fund managed by Resource America. The Company will receive 10% of the

carried interest in the partnership for the first five years which can increase to 20% if the Company's capital contributions aggregate \$40.0 million. Pelium Capital was determined not to be a VIE as there was sufficient equity at risk, it does not have disproportionate voting rights and its members have all of the following characteristics (1) the power to direct the activities (2) the obligation to absorb losses and (3) the right to receive residual returns. However, Pelium Capital was consolidated as a result of the Company's majority ownership and the Company's unilateral kick-out rights. The non-controlling interest in this vehicle is owned by Resource America. The Company's ownership interest in Pelium decreased to 74.1% as of December 31, 2014.

For a discussion of the Company's securitizations, see Note 1, and for a discussion of the debt issued through the securitizations, see Note 14.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

113

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

For consolidated CLOs in which the Company does not own 100% of the subordinated notes, the Company imputes an interest rate using expected cash flows over the life of the CLO and records the third party's share of the cash flows as interest expense on the consolidated statements of income.

The Company has exposure to losses on its securitizations to the extent of its subordinated debt and preferred equity interests in them. The Company is entitled to receive payments of principal and interest on the debt securities it holds and, to the extent revenues exceed debt service requirements and other expenses of the securitizations, distributions with respect to its preferred equity interests. As a result of consolidation, debt and equity interests the Company holds in these securitizations have been eliminated, and the Company's consolidated balance sheets reflects both the assets held and debt issued by the securitizations to third parties and any accrued expense to third parties. The Company's operating results and cash flows include the gross amounts related to the securitizations' assets and liabilities as opposed to the Company's net economic interests in the securitizations. Assets and liabilities related to the securitizations are disclosed, in the aggregate, on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

The creditors of the Company's eleven consolidated VIEs have no recourse to the general credit of the Company. However, in its capacity as manager, the Company has voluntarily supported two credits in one of its commercial real estate CDOs as the credits went through a restructuring in order to maximize their future cash flows. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company has provided financial support of \$219,000, \$166,000 and \$156,000, respectively. The Company has provided no other financial support to any other of its VIEs nor does it have any requirement to do so, although it may choose to do so in the future to maximize future cash flows on such investments by the Company. There are no explicit arrangements that obligate the Company to provide financial support to any of its consolidated VIEs.

The following table shows the classification and carrying value of assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

|  | Apidos<br>I | Apidos<br>III | Apidos<br>Cinco | Apidos<br>VIII | Whitney<br>CLO<br>I | RREF<br>2006-1 | RREF<br>2007-1 | RCC<br>CRE<br>Notes<br>2013 | RCC CRE<br>2014 | Moselle | RCM<br>Global,<br>LLC | Total |
|--|-------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------------|-------|
| <b>ASSETS</b>  |             |               |                 |                |                     |                |                |                             |                 |         |                       |       |
| Cash and cash equivalents  | \$—         | \$—           | \$—             | \$—            | \$—                 | \$—            | \$—            | \$—                         | \$—             | \$—     | \$25                  | \$25  |
| Restricted cash <sup>(1)</sup>   | 416         | 3,104         | 20,142          | 5              | 116                 | 20             | 250            | 2,713                       | —               | 94,481  | —                     | 121,2 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale, pledged as collateral, at fair value | —           | 3,057         | 11,115          | —              | —                   | 11,274         | 64,858         | —                           | —               | —       | 28,899                | 119,2 |
| Loans, pledged as collateral   | 153         | 80,761        | 249,164         | —              | —                   | 128,398        | 206,207        | 248,662                     | 347,792         | —       | —                     | 1,261 |
| Loans held for sale  | —           | —             | 282             | —              | —                   | —              | —              | —                           | —               | —       | —                     | 282   |
| Interest receivable  | —           | 327           | 858             | —              | —                   | 2,293          | 1,979          | 1,279                       | 1,396           | —       | 809                   | 8,941 |
| Prepaid assets   | 2           | 21            | 19              | —              | —                   | 78             | 64             | 37                          | —               | —       | —                     | 221   |
| Principal paydown receivable   | —           | —             | —               | —              | —                   | —              | —              | 20,500                      | 2,207           | 3,060   | —                     | 25,76 |
| Other assets   | —           | —             | —               | —              | —                   | —              | —              | —                           | (12             | )       | —                     | (12   |



Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|  |       |          |           |     |       |           |           |           |           |          |          |        |
|--|-------|----------|-----------|-----|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Total assets <sup>(2)</sup>            | \$571 | \$87,270 | \$281,580 | \$5 | \$116 | \$142,063 | \$273,358 | \$273,191 | \$351,383 | \$97,541 | \$29,733 | \$1,53 |
| <b>LIABILITIES</b>                     |       |          |           |     |       |           |           |           |           |          |          |        |
| Borrowings                             | \$—   | \$74,646 | \$255,463 | \$— | \$—   | \$61,424  | \$130,207 | \$224,157 | \$231,657 | \$68,940 | \$—      | \$1,04 |
| Accrued interest expense               | —     | 46       | 270       | —   | —     | 36        | 97        | 186       | 133       | 232      | —        | 1,000  |
| Derivatives, at fair value             | —     | —        | —         | —   | —     | 864       | 7,575     | —         | —         | —        | —        | 8,439  |
| Unsettled loan purchases               | —     | —        | —         | —   | —     | —         | —         | —         | —         | —        | (529)    | (529)  |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 8     | 40       | 17        | —   | —     | (1)       | 1         | —         | —         | (453)    | 2        | (386)  |
| Total liabilities                      | \$8   | \$74,732 | \$255,750 | \$— | \$—   | \$62,323  | \$137,880 | \$224,343 | \$231,790 | \$68,719 | \$(527)  | \$1,05 |

(1) Includes \$3.0 million available for reinvestment in certain of the securitizations.

(2) Assets of each of the consolidated VIEs may only be used to settle the obligations of each respective VIE.

(Back to Index)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

Unconsolidated VIEs (the Company is not the primary beneficiary, but has a variable interest)

Based on management's analysis, the Company is not the primary beneficiary of the VIEs discussed below since it does not have both (i) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (ii) the obligation to absorb the losses of the VIE or the right to receive the benefits from the VIE, which could be significant to the VIE. Accordingly, the following VIEs are not consolidated in the Company's financial statements as of December 31, 2014. The Company's maximum exposure to risk for each of these unconsolidated VIEs is set forth in the "Maximum Exposure to Loss" column in the table below.

LEAF Commercial Capital, Inc.

On November 16, 2011, the Company together with LEAF Financial, Inc. ("LEAF Financial"), a subsidiary of Resource America, and LEAF Commercial Capital, Inc. ("LCC"), another subsidiary of Resource America, entered into a stock purchase agreement and related agreements (collectively the "SPA") with Eos Partners, L.P., a private investment firm, and its affiliates ("Eos"). In exchange for its prior interests in its lease related investments, the Company received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock (the "Series A Preferred Stock"), 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series B Preferred Stock") and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock (the "Series D Preferred Stock"), collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis assuming conversion, a 26.7% interest in LCC. The Company's investment in LCC was valued at \$36.3 million based on a third-party valuation at that time. During 2013, the Company entered into a third stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,682 shares of newly issued Series A-1 Preferred Stock (the "Series A-1 Preferred Stock") for \$3.7 million and 4,445 shares of newly issued Series E Preferred Stock (the "Series E Preferred Stock") for \$4.4 million. The Series E Preferred Stock has priority over all other classes of preferred stock. The Company's fully-diluted interest in LCC assuming conversion is 28.4%. The Company's investment in LCC was recorded at \$39.4 million and \$41.0 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The Company determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of LCC because it does not participate in any management or portfolio decisions, holds only two of six board positions, and only controls 28.4% of the voting rights in the entity. Furthermore, Eos holds consent rights with respect to significant LCC actions, including incurrence of indebtedness, consummation of a sale of the entity, liquidation or initiating a public offering.

Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures

The Company has a 100% interest in the common shares of Resource Capital Trust I ("RCT I") and RCC Trust II ("RCT II"), valued at \$1.5 million in the aggregate (or 3% of each trust). RCT I and RCT II were formed for the purposes of providing debt financing to the Company, as described below. The Company completed a qualitative analysis to determine whether or not it is the primary beneficiary of each of the trusts and determined that it was not the primary beneficiary of either trust because it does not have the power to direct the activities most significant to the trusts, which include the collection of principal and interest and protection of collateral through servicing rights.

Accordingly, neither trust is consolidated into the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II's common shares as investments in unconsolidated trusts using the cost method and records dividend income when declared by RCT I and RCT II. The trusts each hold subordinated debentures for which the Company is the obligor in the amount of \$25.8 million for RCT I and \$25.8 million for RCT II. The debentures were funded by the issuance of trust preferred securities of RCT I and RCT II. The Company will continuously reassess whether it should be deemed to be the primary beneficiary of the trusts.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

Resource Capital Asset Management CLOs

In February 2011, the Company purchased a company that managed bank loan assets through five CLOs. As a result, the Company became entitled to collect senior, subordinated and incentive management fees from these CLOs. The purchase price of \$22.5 million resulted in an intangible asset that was allocated to each of the five CLOs and is amortized over the expected life of each CLO. The unamortized balance of the intangible asset was \$9.4 million and \$11.2 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company recognized fee income of \$5.1 million, \$5.3 million and \$7.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. With respect to four of these CLOs, the Company determined that it does not hold a controlling interest and, therefore, is not the primary beneficiary. One of the CLOs was liquidated in February 2013. With respect to the fifth CLO, Whitney CLO I, in October 2012, the Company purchased 66.6% of its preferred equity, which resulted in consolidation. Based upon that purchase, the Company determined that it had an obligation to absorb losses and/or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to Whitney CLO I and that a related party had the power to direct the activities that are most significant to the VIE. As a result, together with the related party, the Company had both the power to direct and the right to receive benefits and the obligation to absorb losses. It was then determined that, between the Company and the related party, the Company was the party within that group that was more closely associated with Whitney CLO I because of its preferred equity interest in Whitney CLO I. The Company, therefore, consolidated Whitney CLO I. In May 2013, the Company purchased additional equity in this CLO which increased its equity ownership to 68.3% of the outstanding preferred equity of Whitney CLO I. In September 2013, the Company liquidated Whitney CLO I, and, as a result, all of the assets were sold.

The following table shows the classification, carrying value and maximum exposure to loss with respect to the Company's unconsolidated VIEs as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

|                                       | Unconsolidated Variable Interest Entities |  |  | Total    | Maximum Exposure to Loss |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|----------|--------------------------|
|                                       | LCC                                       | Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures | Resource Capital Asset Management CDOs |          |                          |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities | \$39,417                                  | \$1,548                                  | \$—                                    | \$40,965 | \$40,965                 |
| Intangible assets                     | —   | —  | 9,434                                  | 9,434    | 9,434                    |
| Total assets                          | 39,417                                    | 1,548                                    | 9,434                                  | 50,399   |                          |
| Borrowings                            | —   | 51,205                                   | —                                      | 51,205   | N/A                      |
| Total liabilities                     | —   | 51,205                                   | —                                      | 51,205   | N/A                      |
| Net asset (liability)                 | \$39,417                                  | \$(49,657)                               | \$9,434                                | \$(806)  | N/A                      |

As of December 31, 2014, there were no explicit arrangements or implicit variable interests that could require the Company to provide financial support to any of its unconsolidated VIEs.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

## NOTE 4 - SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information (in thousands):

|  | Years Ended December 31, |          |              |
|--|--------------------------|----------|--------------|
|  | 2014                     | 2013     | 2012         |
| Non-cash investing activities include the following:                   |                          |          |              |
| Assumption of direct financing leases and other assets <sup>(1)</sup>  | \$2,385                  | \$—      | \$—          |
| Acquisition of real estate investments                                 | \$—                      | \$—      | \$(21,661 )  |
| Conversion of loans to investment in real estate                       | \$—                      | \$—      | \$21,661     |
| Acquisition of loans, pledged as collateral                            | \$—                      | \$—      | \$(230,152 ) |
| Non-cash financing activities include the following:                   |                          |          |              |
| Distributions on common stock declared but not paid                    | \$26,563                 | \$25,536 | \$21,024     |
| Distribution on preferred stock declared but not paid                  | \$6,044                  | \$2,159  | \$1,244      |
| Issuance of restricted stock   | \$890                    | \$823    | \$2,189      |
| Contribution of security deposits and other liabilities <sup>(1)</sup> | \$457                    | \$—      | \$—          |
| Subscription receivable  | \$—                      | \$—      | \$1,248      |
| Assumption of collateralized debt obligations                          | \$—                      | \$—      | \$206,408    |

<sup>(1)</sup> On December 31, 2014, the Company assumed direct financing leases and related assets and liabilities in satisfaction of a loan receivable - related party.

## NOTE 5 - RESTRICTED CASH

The following summarizes the Company's restricted cash (in thousands):

|   | December 31, |          |
|---|--------------|----------|
|   | 2014         | 2013     |
| Restricted cash:  |              |          |
| Consolidated securitizations                                      | \$121,247    | \$61,372 |
| Restricted account at investment properties                       | —            | 848      |
| Restricted cash pledged with minimum reserve balance requirements | 209          | 318      |
| Cash collateralizing outstanding margin calls on cash flow hedges | 500          | 500      |
| Cash collateralizing outstanding margin calls on borrowings       | —            | 271      |
| Cash collateralizing margin posted on forward/short positions     | 182          | —        |
|   | \$122,138    | \$63,309 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

## NOTE 6 - INVESTMENT SECURITIES, TRADING

The following table summarizes the Company's structured notes and RMBS which are classified as investment securities, trading and carried at fair value (in thousands):

|                          | Amortized<br>Cost | Unrealized<br>Gains | Unrealized<br>Losses | Fair Value |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |                   |                     |                      |            |
| Structured notes         | \$22,876          | \$1,098             | \$(3,188)            | ) \$20,786 |
| RMBS                     | 1,896             | —                   | (1,896)              | ) —        |
| Total                    | \$24,772          | \$1,098             | \$(5,084)            | ) \$20,786 |
| As of December 31, 2013: |                   |                     |                      |            |
| Structured notes         | \$8,057           | \$4,050             | \$(1,000)            | ) \$11,107 |
| RMBS                     | 1,919             | —                   | (1,468)              | ) 451      |
| Total                    | \$9,976           | \$4,050             | \$(2,468)            | ) \$11,558 |

The Company purchased 38 securities and sold nine securities during the year ended December 31, 2014, for a net realized gain of \$3.0 million. The Company held 37 and eight investment securities, trading as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

## NOTE 7 - INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE

The Company pledges a portion of its CMBS as collateral against its borrowings under repurchase agreements and derivatives. CMBS that are accounted for as components of linked transactions are not reflected in the tables set forth in this note, as they are accounted for as derivatives.

The following table summarizes the Company's investment securities, including those pledged as collateral and classified as available-for-sale, which are carried at fair value (in thousands):

|                          | Amortized<br>Cost <sup>(1)</sup> | Unrealized<br>Gains | Unrealized<br>Losses | Fair Value  |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |                                  |                     |                      |             |
| CMBS                     | \$168,669                        | \$4,938             | \$(3,202)            | ) \$170,405 |
| RMBS                     | 29,814                           | 937                 | —                    | ) 30,751    |
| ABS                      | 55,617                           | 16,876              | (336)                | ) 72,157    |
| Corporate Bonds          | 2,415                            | 10                  | (18)                 | ) 2,407     |
| Total                    | \$256,515                        | \$22,761            | \$(3,556)            | ) \$275,720 |
| As of December 31, 2013: |                                  |                     |                      |             |
| CMBS                     | \$185,178                        | \$7,570             | \$(12,030)           | ) \$180,718 |
| ABS                      | 30,775                           | 1,644               | (394)                | ) 32,025    |
| Corporate Bonds          | 2,517                            | 16                  | (70)                 | ) 2,463     |
| Total                    | \$218,470                        | \$9,230             | \$(12,494)           | ) \$215,206 |

<sup>(1)</sup> As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, \$197.8 million and \$162.6 million, respectively, of securities were pledged as collateral security under related financings.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following table summarizes the estimated maturities of the Company's CMBS, RMBS, ABS and corporate bonds according to their estimated weighted average life classifications (in thousands, except percentages):

| Weighted Average Life                           | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Weighted Average Coupon |
|---|------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                        |            |                |                         |
| Less than one year                              | \$78,095   | (1) \$ 79,649  | 4.13%                   |
| Greater than one year and less than five years  | 115,302    | 100,909        | 4.64%                   |
| Greater than five years and less than ten years | 20,177     | 17,516         | 16.45%                  |
| Greater than ten years                          | 62,146     | 58,441         | 7.86%                   |
| Total   | \$275,720  | \$ 256,515     | 6.08%                   |
| As of December 31, 2013:                        |            |                |                         |
| Less than one year                              | \$39,256   | (1) \$ 40,931  | 5.25%                   |
| Greater than one year and less than five years  | 139,700    | 141,760        | 4.69%                   |
| Greater than five years and less than ten years | 26,526     | 25,707         | 1.10%                   |
| Greater than ten years                          | 9,724      | 10,072         | 7.90%                   |
| Total   | \$215,206  | \$ 218,470     | 4.49%                   |

(1) The Company expects that the maturity date of these CMBS will either be extended or the CMBS will be paid in full.

The contractual maturities of the CMBS investment securities available-for-sale range from January 2015 to December 2022. The contractual maturity date of the RMBS investment securities available-for-sale is June 2029. The contractual maturities of the ABS investment securities available-for-sale range from October 2016 to October 2050. The contractual maturities of the corporate bond investment securities available-for-sale range from May 2016 to December 2019.

The following table shows the fair value, gross unrealized losses and number of securities aggregated by investment category and length of time, that individual investment securities available-for-sale have been in a continuous unrealized loss position during the periods specified (in thousands, except number of securities):

|                                       | Less than 12 Months |                   |                      | More than 12 Months |                   |                      | Total      |                   |                      |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|                                       | Fair Value          | Unrealized Losses | Number of Securities | Fair Value          | Unrealized Losses | Number of Securities | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Number of Securities |
| As of December 31, 2014:              |                     |                   |                      |                     |                   |                      |            |                   |                      |
| CMBS                                  | \$35,860            | \$(555)           | ) 22                 | \$25,583            | \$(2,647)         | ) 13                 | \$61,443   | \$(3,202)         | ) 35                 |
| ABS                                   | 1,000               | (278)             | ) 8                  | 958                 | (58)              | ) 3                  | 1,958      | (336)             | ) 11                 |
| Corporate bonds                       | 1,447               | (18)              | ) 1                  | —                   | —                 | —                    | 1,447      | (18)              | ) 1                  |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$38,307            | \$(851)           | ) 31                 | \$26,541            | \$(2,705)         | ) 16                 | \$64,848   | \$(3,556)         | ) 47                 |
| As of December 31, 2013:              |                     |                   |                      |                     |                   |                      |            |                   |                      |
| CMBS                                  | \$52,012            | \$(7,496)         | ) 34                 | \$14,159            | \$(4,534)         | ) 10                 | \$66,171   | \$(12,030)        | ) 44                 |
| ABS                                   | 143                 | (1)               | ) 1                  | 6,692               | (393)             | ) 9                  | 6,835      | (394)             | ) 10                 |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|                                       |          |            |    |          |            |    |          |             |    |
|---------------------------------------|----------|------------|----|----------|------------|----|----------|-------------|----|
| Corporate bonds                       | 865      | (70 )      | 1  | —        | —          | —  | 865      | (70 )       | 1  |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$53,020 | \$(7,567 ) | 36 | \$20,851 | \$(4,927 ) | 19 | \$73,871 | \$(12,494 ) | 55 |

The unrealized losses in the above table are considered to be temporary impairments due to market factors and are not reflective of credit deterioration.

During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized other-than-temporary impairment losses of zero and \$328,000, respectively, on positions that supported the Company's CMBS investments.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

119

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following table summarizes the Company's sales of investment securities available-for-sale during the period indicated (in thousands, except number of securities):

|                                       | Positions Sold | Par Amount Sold | Realized Gain (Loss) |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| For the Year Ended December 31, 2014: |                |                 |                      |
| CMBS position                         | 5              | \$27,370        | \$573                |
| ABS                                   | 8              | \$11,574        | \$2,922              |
| For the Year Ended December 31, 2013: |                |                 |                      |
| CMBS position                         | 4              | \$14,500        | \$466                |
| Corporate bond position               | 35             | \$34,253        | \$(474)              |

The amounts above do not include redemptions. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company had two corporate bond positions redeemed with a total par of \$1.6 million, and recognized a gain of \$48,000. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company had three corporate bond positions redeemed with a total par of \$4.3 million, and recognized a loss of \$11,000.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company had one ABS position redeemed with a total par of \$2.5 million, and recognized a gain of \$25,500. During the year ended December 31, 2013 the Company had no ABS positions redeemed. The Company also had one ABS position with a total par value of \$3.0 million liquidated during the year ended December 31, 2014, which resulted in a gain of \$570,000.

NOTE 8 - INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE

The table below summarizes the Company's investments in real estate (in thousands, except number of properties):

|                                | As of December 31, 2013 |                      |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
|                                | Book Value              | Number of Properties |
| Multi-family property          | \$22,107                | 1                    |
| Office property                | 10,273                  | 1                    |
| Subtotal                       | 32,380                  |                      |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation | (2,602)                 | )                    |
| Investments in real estate     | \$29,778                |                      |

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company made no acquisitions and sold its remaining three properties for a combined gain of \$6.1 million, which is recorded on the consolidated statements of income in gain on sale of real estate. One of the properties was reclassified to property available-for-sale on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2013.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company made no acquisitions and sold one of its multi-family properties for a gain of \$16.6 million, which was recorded in gain on sale of real estate on the Company's consolidated statements of income.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

## NOTE 9 - LOANS HELD FOR INVESTMENT

The following is a summary of the Company's loans (in thousands):

| Loan Description   | Principal   | Unamortized<br>(Discount)<br>Premium <sup>(1)</sup> | Carrying<br>Value <sup>(2)</sup> |
|--|-------------|---|----------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:   |             |   |                                  |
| Commercial real estate loans:  |             |   |                                  |
| Whole loans  | \$1,271,121 | \$(7,529)   | ) \$1,263,592                    |
| B notes  | 16,120      | (48)  | ) 16,072                         |
| Mezzanine loans  | 67,446      | (80)  | ) 67,366                         |
| Total commercial real estate loans                                     | 1,354,687   | (7,657)   | ) 1,347,030                      |
| Bank loans   | 332,058     | (1,410)   | ) 330,648                        |
| Middle market loans  | 250,859     | (746)   | ) 250,113                        |
| Residential mortgage loans, held for investment                        | 2,802       | —   | 2,802                            |
| Subtotal loans before allowances                                       | 1,940,406   | (9,813)   | ) 1,930,593                      |
| Allowance for loan loss  | (4,613)     | ) —   | (4,613)                          |
| Total loans net of allowances  | 1,935,793   | (9,813)   | ) 1,925,980                      |
| Bank loans held for sale   | 282         | —   | 282                              |
| Residential mortgage loans held for sale, at fair value <sup>(3)</sup> | 111,454     | —   | 111,454                          |
| Total loans held for sale  | 111,736     | —   | 111,736                          |
| Total loans, net of allowance  | \$2,047,529 | \$(9,813)   | ) \$2,037,716                    |
| As of December 31, 2013:   |             |   |                                  |
| Commercial real estate loans:  |             |   |                                  |
| Whole loans  | \$749,083   | \$(3,294)   | ) \$745,789                      |
| B notes  | 16,288      | (83)  | ) 16,205                         |
| Mezzanine loans  | 64,417      | (100)   | ) 64,317                         |
| Total commercial real estate loans                                     | 829,788     | (3,477)   | ) 826,311                        |
| Bank loans   | 519,343     | (3,950)   | ) 515,393                        |
| Middle market loans  | 39,864      | (84)  | ) 39,780                         |
| Residential mortgage loans, held for investment                        | 1,849       | —   | 1,849                            |
| Subtotal loans before allowances                                       | 1,390,844   | (7,511)   | ) 1,383,333                      |
| Allowance for loan loss  | (13,807)    | ) —   | (13,807)                         |
| Total loans net of allowances  | 1,377,037   | (7,511)   | ) 1,369,526                      |
| Bank loans held for sale   | 2,377       | —   | 2,377                            |
| Middle market loans held for sale                                      | 4,473       | —   | 4,473                            |
| Residential mortgage loans held for sale, at fair value <sup>(3)</sup> | 15,066      | —   | 15,066                           |
| Total loans held for sale  | 21,916      | —   | 21,916                           |
| Total loans, net of allowances   | \$1,398,953 | \$(7,511)   | ) \$1,391,442                    |

Amounts include deferred amendment fees of \$88,000 and \$216,000 and deferred upfront fees of \$82,000 and \$141,000 being amortized over the life of the bank loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013,

(1) respectively. Amounts include loan origination fees of \$7.6 million and \$3.3 million and loan extension fees of \$0 and \$73,000 being amortized over the life of the commercial real estate loans as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(2) Substantially all loans are pledged as collateral under various borrowings at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(Back to Index)

121

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

Residential mortgage loans held for sale, at fair value was comprised of \$28.9 million and \$82.6 million of agency-conforming and jumbo mortgage loans, respectively, as of December 31, 2014. The portfolio consisted of (3) \$15.1 million and \$0 million of agency-conforming and jumbo mortgage loans, respectively, as of December 31, 2013.

The following is a summary of the Company's commercial real estate loans held for investment (in thousands):

| Description                                       | Quantity | Amortized Cost | Contracted Interest Rates             | Maturity Dates <sup>(3)</sup>    |
|---|----------|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                          |          |                |                                       |                                  |
| Whole loans, floating rate <sup>(1) (4) (6)</sup> | 73       | \$1,263,592    | LIBOR plus 1.75% to LIBOR plus 15.00% | May 2015 to February 2019        |
| B notes, fixed rate                               | 1        | 16,072         | 8.68%                                 | April 2016                       |
| Mezzanine loans, floating rate                    | 1        | 12,558         | LIBOR plus 15.32%                     | April 2016                       |
| Mezzanine loans, fixed rate <sup>(7)</sup>        | 3        | 54,808         | 0.50% to 18.71%                       | January 2016 to September 2019   |
| Total <sup>(2)</sup>                              | 78       | \$1,347,030    |                                       |                                  |
| As of December 31, 2013:                          |          |                |                                       |                                  |
| Whole loans, floating rate <sup>(1) (5) (6)</sup> | 51       | \$745,789      | LIBOR plus 2.68% to LIBOR plus 12.14% | March 2014 to February 2019      |
| B notes, fixed rate                               | 1        | 16,205         | 8.68%                                 | April 2016                       |
| Mezzanine loans, floating rate                    | 1        | 12,455         | LIBOR plus 15.32%                     | April 2016                       |
| Mezzanine loans, fixed rate <sup>(7)</sup>        | 3        | 51,862         | 0.50% to 18.72%                       | September 2014 to September 2019 |
| Total <sup>(2)</sup>                              | 56       | \$826,311      |                                       |                                  |

Whole loans had \$105.1 million and \$13.7 million in unfunded loan commitments as of December 31, 2014 and (1)2013, respectively. These unfunded commitments are advanced as the borrowers formally request additional funding as permitted under the loan agreement and any necessary approvals have been obtained.

(2) The total does not include an allowance for loan loss of \$4.0 million and \$10.4 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(3) Maturity dates do not include possible extension options that may be available to the borrowers.

Floating rate whole loans include a combined \$12.0 million mezzanine component of two whole loans, which have (4) a fixed rate of 12.0%, and a \$4.2 million mezzanine component of two whole loans that have a fixed rate of 15.0% at December 31, 2014.

(5) Floating rate whole loans include a combined \$11.4 million mezzanine component of two whole loans, which have a fixed rate of 12.0% as of December 31, 2013.

(6) Floating rate whole loans include a \$799,000 junior mezzanine tranche of a whole loan that has a fixed rate of 10.0% as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Fixed rate mezzanine loans include a mezzanine loan that was modified into two tranches, which both currently (7) pay interest at 0.50%. In addition, the subordinate tranche accrues interest at LIBOR plus 18.50% which is deferred until maturity.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following is a summary of the weighted average life of the Company's commercial real estate loans, at amortized cost (in thousands):

| Description              | 2015    | 2016     | 2017 and<br>Thereafter | Total       |
|--------------------------|---------|----------|------------------------|-------------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |         |          |                        |             |
| B notes                  | \$—     | \$16,072 | \$—                    | \$16,072    |
| Mezzanine loans          | —       | 16,736   | 50,630                 | 67,366      |
| Whole loans              | —       | 27,665   | 1,235,927              | 1,263,592   |
| Total <sup>(1)</sup>     | \$—     | \$60,473 | \$1,286,557            | \$1,347,030 |
| As of December 31, 2013: |         |          |                        |             |
| B notes                  | \$—     | \$—      | \$16,205               | \$16,205    |
| Mezzanine loans          | 5,711   | —        | 58,606                 | 64,317      |
| Whole loans              | —       | 17,949   | 727,840                | 745,789     |
| Total <sup>(1)</sup>     | \$5,711 | \$17,949 | \$802,651              | \$826,311   |

(1) Weighted average life of commercial real estate loans assumes full exercise of extension options available to borrowers.

At December 31, 2014, the Company's bank loan portfolio consisted of \$330.4 million (net of allowance of \$570,000) of floating rate loans, which bear interest ranging between the three month London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 1.25% and the three month LIBOR plus 8.75% with maturity dates ranging from January 2015 to February 2024.

At December 31, 2013, the Company's bank loan portfolio consisted of \$514.4 million (net of allowance of \$3.4 million) of floating rate loans, which bear interest ranging between the three month LIBOR plus 1.5%, and the three month LIBOR plus 10.5% with maturity dates ranging from January 2014 to December 2021.

The following is a summary of the weighted average life of the Company's bank loans loans, at amortized cost (in thousands):

|  | December 31, |           |
|--|--------------|-----------|
|  | 2014         | 2013      |
| Less than one year                             | \$7,829      | \$36,985  |
| Greater than one year and less than five years | 274,332      | 366,848   |
| Five years or greater                          | 48,769       | 113,937   |
|  | \$330,930    | \$517,770 |

At December 31, 2014, the Company's middle market loan portfolio consisted of \$250.1 million of floating rate loans, which bear interest ranging between the one or three month LIBOR plus 5.5% and the one or three month LIBOR plus 9.25% with maturity dates ranging from December 2016 to November 2022.

At December 31, 2013, the Company's middle market loan portfolio consisted of \$44.3 million of floating rate loans, which bear interest ranging between the one or three month LIBOR plus 3.75%, and the one or three month LIBOR plus 10.5% with maturity dates ranging from October 2018 to December 2021.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following is a summary of the weighted average life of the Company's middle market loans, at amortized cost (in thousands):

|  | December 31, |          |
|--|--------------|----------|
|  | 2014         | 2013     |
| Less than one year                             | \$—          | \$—      |
| Greater than one year and less than five years | 132,353      | 13,027   |
| Five years or greater                          | 117,760      | 31,226   |
|  | \$250,113    | \$44,253 |

The following is a summary of the allocation of the allowance for loan loss with respect to the Company's commercial real estate and bank loans (in thousands, except percentages) by asset class:

| Description              | Allowance for<br>Loan Loss | Percentage of Total<br>Allowance |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |                            |                                  |
| B notes                  | \$55                       | 1.19%                            |
| Mezzanine loans          | 230                        | 4.99%                            |
| Whole loans              | 3,758                      | 81.47%                           |
| Bank loans               | 570                        | 12.36%                           |
| Total                    | \$4,613                    |                                  |
| As of December 31, 2013: |                            |                                  |
| B notes                  | \$174                      | 1.26%                            |
| Mezzanine loans          | 559                        | 4.05%                            |
| Whole loans              | 9,683                      | 70.13%                           |
| Bank loans               | 3,391                      | 24.56%                           |
| Total                    | \$13,807                   |                                  |

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had recorded an allowance for loan losses of \$4.6 million consisting of a \$570,000 allowance on the Company's bank loan portfolio and a \$4.0 million allowance on the Company's commercial real estate portfolio. There was no allowance on the Company's middle market loan portfolio. The fair value option was elected for the Company's residential mortgage loan portfolio and therefore, no allowance for loan losses is required.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had recorded an allowance for loan losses of \$13.8 million consisting of a \$3.4 million allowance on the Company's bank loan portfolio, a \$10.4 million allowance on the Company's commercial real estate portfolio. There was no allowance on the Company's middle market loan portfolio.

Principal paydown receivables represent the portion of the Company's loan portfolio for which indication has been provided through its various servicers, trustees, or its asset management group that a payoff or paydown of a loan has been received but for which, as of period end, the Company has not received and applied such cash to the outstanding loan balance. At December 31, 2014, principal paydown receivables totaled \$40.9 million, the entirety of which the Company received in cash during January 2015.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, approximately 27.4% and 39.0%, respectively, of the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio was concentrated in commercial real estate loans located in California; approximately 7.3% and 6.4%, respectively, in Arizona, and approximately 27.3% and 14.6%, respectively, in Texas. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, approximately 17.5% and 15.8%, of the Company's bank loan portfolio was concentrated in the collective industry grouping of healthcare, education and childcare. At December 31, 2014 approximately 13.7% of the Company's middle market loan portfolio was concentrated in the collective industry grouping of personal, food and

miscellaneous service. At December 31, 2013 approximately 23.1% of the Company's middle market loan portfolio was concentrated in hotels, motels, inns, and gaming. During the year ended December 31, 2014, approximately 56.0% of the Company's residential mortgage loans were originated in Georgia, 8.0% in Utah, 7.0% in Virginia, 5.0% in Alabama, and 4.0% in Tennessee. During the year ended December 31, 2013, approximately 66.0% of the

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

124

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

Company's residential mortgage loans were originated in Georgia, 9.0% in North Carolina, 7.0% in Tennessee and Virginia and 6.0% in Alabama.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

125

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

## NOTE 10 - INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

The following table shows the Company's investments in unconsolidated entities as of 2014 and 2013 and equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

|  | Ownership % | Balance as of     |                   | Years Ended December 31, |         |          |
|--|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|
|  |             | December 31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 | 2014                     | 2013    | 2012     |
| Varde Investment Partners, L.P                     | 7.5%        | \$654             | \$674             | \$(20 )                  | \$148   | \$(135 ) |
| RRE VIP Borrower, LLC <sup>(1)</sup>               |             | —                 | —                 | 3,473                    | 277     | 682      |
| Investment in LCC Preferred Stock                  | 28.4%       | 39,416            | 41,016            | (1,555 )                 | (183 )  | (3,256 ) |
| Investment in CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund | 27.7%       | 18,209            | 16,177            | 2,032                    | 1,177   | —        |
| Investment in Life Care Funding <sup>(2)</sup>     | 50.2%       | —                 | 1,530             | (75 )                    | (470 )  | —        |
| Investment in School Lane House <sup>(1)</sup>     |             | —                 | 975               | 912                      | —       | —        |
|  |             | 58,279            | 60,372            | 4,767                    | 949     | (2,709 ) |
| Investment in RCT I and II <sup>(3)</sup>          | 3%          | 1,548             | 1,548             | 2,387                    | 2,401   | 2,494    |
| Investment in Preferred Equity <sup>(1) (4)</sup>  |             | —                 | 7,149             | 410                      | 992     | 705      |
|  |             | \$59,827          | \$69,069          | \$7,564                  | \$4,342 | \$490    |

(1) Investment in School Lane House, Investment in RRE VIP Borrower and the Investments in preferred equity were sold as of December 31, 2014.

(2) The Company began consolidating this investment during the first quarter of 2014. Ownership % represents ownership after consolidation.

(3) For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 these amounts are recorded in interest expense on the Company's consolidated statements of income.

(4) For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 these amounts are recorded in interest income on loans on the Company's consolidated statements of income.

In January 2013, LTCC invested \$2.0 million into LCF for the purpose of originating and acquiring life settlement contracts. In February 2014, the Company invested an additional \$1.4 million which resulted in the consolidation of LCF during the first quarter of 2014.

## NOTE 11 - FINANCING RECEIVABLES

The following tables show the allowance for loan losses and recorded investments in loans for the years indicated (in thousands):

|   | Commercial Real Estate Loans | Bank Loans | Middle Market Loans | Residential Mortgage Loans | Loans Receivable-Related Party | Total     |
|---|------------------------------|------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                |                              |            |                     |                            |                                |           |
| Allowance for Loan Losses:              |                              |            |                     |                            |                                |           |
| Allowance for losses at January 1, 2014 | \$10,416                     | \$3,391    | \$—                 | \$—                        | \$ —                           | \$13,807  |
| Provision (recovery) for loan loss      | (3,758 )                     | 4,173      | 92                  | —                          | 1,297                          | 1,804     |
| Loans charged-off                       | (2,615 )                     | (6,994 )   | (92 )               | —                          | (1,297 )                       | (10,998 ) |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|   |             |                          |           |          |          |             |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Allowance for losses at December 31, 2014       | \$4,043     | \$570                    | \$—       | \$—      | \$ —     | \$4,613     |
| Ending balance:                                 |             |                          |           |          |          |             |
| Individually evaluated for impairment           | \$—         | \$570                    | \$—       | \$—      | \$ —     | \$570       |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment           | \$4,043     | \$—                      | \$—       | \$—      | \$ —     | \$4,043     |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$—         | \$—                      | \$—       | \$—      | \$ —     | \$—         |
| Loans:  |             |                          |           |          |          |             |
| Ending balance: <sup>(1)</sup>                  |             |                          |           |          |          |             |
| Individually evaluated for impairment           | \$166,180   | \$1,350                  | \$250,113 | \$—      | \$ 1,277 | \$418,920   |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment           | \$1,180,850 | \$329,580                | \$—       | \$2,802  | \$ —     | \$1,513,232 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$—         | \$—                      | \$—       | \$—      | \$ —     | \$—         |
| As of December 31, 2013:                        |             |                          |           |          |          |             |
| Allowance for Loan Losses:                      |             |                          |           |          |          |             |
| Allowance for losses at January 1, 2013         | \$7,986     | \$9,705                  | \$—       | \$—      | \$ —     | \$17,691    |
| Provision for loan loss                         | 2,686       | 312                      | 22        | —        | —        | 3,020       |
| Loans charged-off                               | (256 )      | (6,626 )                 | (22 )     | —        | —        | (6,904 )    |
| Allowance for losses at December 31, 2013       | \$10,416    | \$3,391                  | \$—       | \$—      | \$ —     | \$13,807    |
| Ending balance:                                 |             |                          |           |          |          |             |
| Individually evaluated for impairment           | \$4,572     | \$2,621                  | \$—       | \$—      | \$ —     | \$7,193     |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment           | \$5,844     | \$770                    | \$—       | \$—      | \$ —     | \$6,614     |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$—         | \$—                      | \$—       | \$—      | \$ —     | \$—         |
| Loans:  |             |                          |           |          |          |             |
| Ending balance: <sup>(1)</sup>                  |             |                          |           |          |          |             |
| Individually evaluated for impairment           | \$194,403   | \$3,554                  | \$—       | \$—      | \$ 6,966 | \$204,923   |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment           | \$631,908   | \$558,469 <sup>(2)</sup> | \$—       | \$16,915 | \$ —     | \$1,207,292 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality | \$—         | \$—                      | \$—       | \$—      | \$ —     | \$—         |

(1) Loan balances as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 include loans held for sale.

(2) Includes \$44.3 million of middle market loans at December 31, 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

## Credit quality indicators

## Bank Loans

The Company uses a risk grading matrix to assign grades to bank loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-5 with 1 representing the Company's highest rating and 5 representing its lowest rating. The Company also designates loans that are sold after the period end as held for sale at the lower of their fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. The Company considers metrics such as performance of the underlying company, liquidity, collectability of interest, enterprise valuation, default probability, ratings from rating agencies and industry dynamics in grading its bank loans.

Credit risk profiles of bank and middle market loans were as follows (in thousands):

|                          | Rating 1  | Rating 2 | Rating 3 | Rating 4 | Rating 5 | Held for Sale | Total     |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |           |          |          |          |          |               |           |
| Bank loans               | \$291,214 | \$32,660 | \$5,424  | \$—      | \$1,350  | \$282         | \$330,930 |

As of December 31, 2013:

|            |           |          |          |         |         |         |           |
|------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|
| Bank loans | \$448,224 | \$42,476 | \$18,806 | \$2,333 | \$3,554 | \$2,377 | \$517,770 |
|------------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|

All of the Company's bank loans were performing with the exception of two loans with an amortized cost of \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2014, one of which defaulted as of March 31, 2014 and the other defaulted as of September 30, 2014. As of December 31, 2013, all of the Company's bank loans were performing with the exception of three loans with an amortized cost of \$3.6 million, one of which defaulted in 2012, one of which defaulted as of March 31, 2013 and one of which defaulted as of June 30, 2013.

## Middle Market Loans

The Company uses a risk grading matrix to assign grades to middle market loans. At inception, all middle market loans are graded at a 2 and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-5 with 1 representing the Company's highest rating and 5 representing its lowest rating. A loan with a rating of a 2 is considered performing within expectations. The Company considers metrics such as performance of the underlying company, liquidity, collectability of interest and principal payments, enterprise valuation, default probability, and industry dynamics in grading its middle market loans.

Credit risk profiles of bank and middle market loans were as follows (in thousands):

|                          | Rating 1 | Rating 2  | Rating 3 | Rating 4 | Rating 5 | Held for Sale | Total     |
|--------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |          |           |          |          |          |               |           |
| Middle market loans      | \$—      | \$240,245 | \$9,868  | \$—      | \$—      | \$—           | \$250,113 |

As of December 31, 2013:

|                     |     |          |     |     |     |         |          |
|---------------------|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|---------|----------|
| Middle market loans | \$— | \$39,780 | \$— | \$— | \$— | \$4,473 | \$44,253 |
|---------------------|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|---------|----------|

All of the Company's middle market loans were performing as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

## Commercial Real Estate Loans

The Company uses a risk grading matrix to assign grades to commercial real estate loans. Loans are graded at inception and updates to assigned grades are made continually as new information is received. Loans are graded on a scale of 1-4 with 1 representing the Company's highest rating and 4 representing its lowest rating. The Company also designates loans that are sold after the period ends at the lower of their fair market value or cost, net of any allowances and costs associated with the loan sales. In addition to the underlying performance of the loan collateral, the Company considers metrics such as the strength of underlying sponsorship, payment history, collectability of interest,

structural credit enhancements, market trends and loan terms in grading its commercial real estate loans.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

127

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

Credit risk profiles of commercial real estate loans were as follows (in thousands):

|                          | Rating 1    | Rating 2 | Rating 3 | Rating 4 | Held for Sale | Total       |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |             |          |          |          |               |             |
| Whole loans              | \$1,231,092 | \$32,500 | \$—      | \$—      | \$—           | \$1,263,592 |
| B notes                  | 16,072      | —        | —        | —        | —             | 16,072      |
| Mezzanine loans          | 45,432      | 21,934   | —        | —        | —             | 67,366      |
|                          | \$1,292,596 | \$54,434 | \$—      | \$—      | \$—           | \$1,347,030 |
| As of December 31, 2013: |             |          |          |          |               |             |
| Whole loans              | \$680,718   | \$32,500 | \$32,571 | \$—      | \$—           | \$745,789   |
| B notes                  | 16,205      | —        | —        | —        | —             | 16,205      |
| Mezzanine loans          | 51,862      | 12,455   | —        | —        | —             | 64,317      |
|                          | \$748,785   | \$44,955 | \$32,571 | \$—      | \$—           | \$826,311   |

All of the Company's commercial real estate loans were performing as of December 31, 2014 and 2013.

#### Residential Mortgage Loans

Residential mortgage loans are reviewed periodically for collectability in light of historical experience, the nature and amount of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and prevailing underlying conditions.

#### Loans Receivable - Related Party

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recorded a provision for loan losses on one related party loan of \$1.3 million before extinguishing the loan and bringing direct financing leases in the amount of \$2.1 million on the Company's books in lieu of the loan receivable.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

## Loan Portfolios Aging Analysis

The following table shows the loan portfolio aging analysis as of the dates indicated at amortized cost (in thousands):

|   | 30-59 Days | 60-89 Days | Greater than 90 Days | Total Past Due | Current     | Total Loans Receivable | Total Loans > 90 Days and Accruing |
|---|------------|------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                  |            |            |                      |                |             |                        |                                    |
| Whole loans                               | \$—        | \$—        | \$—                  | \$—            | \$1,263,592 | \$1,263,592            | \$—                                |
| B notes                                   | —          | —          | —                    | —              | 16,072      | 16,072                 | —                                  |
| Mezzanine loans                           | —          | —          | —                    | —              | 67,366      | 67,366                 | —                                  |
| Bank loans <sup>(1)</sup>                 | —          | —          | 1,350                | 1,350          | 329,580     | 330,930                | —                                  |
| Middle market loans <sup>(3)</sup>        | —          | —          | —                    | —              | 250,113     | 250,113                | —                                  |
| Residential mortgage loans <sup>(2)</sup> | 443        | 82         | 119                  | 644            | 113,612     | 114,256                | —                                  |
| Loans receivable-related party            | —          | —          | —                    | —              | 1,277       | 1,277                  | —                                  |
| Total loans                               | \$443      | \$82       | \$1,469              | \$1,994        | \$2,041,612 | \$2,043,606            | \$—                                |
| As of December 31, 2013:                  |            |            |                      |                |             |                        |                                    |
| Whole loans                               | \$—        | \$—        | \$—                  | \$—            | \$745,789   | \$745,789              | \$—                                |
| B notes                                   | —          | —          | —                    | —              | 16,205      | 16,205                 | —                                  |
| Mezzanine loans                           | —          | —          | —                    | —              | 64,317      | 64,317                 | —                                  |
| Bank loans <sup>(1)</sup>                 | —          | —          | 3,554                | 3,554          | 514,216     | 517,770                | —                                  |
| Middle market loans <sup>(3)</sup>        | —          | —          | —                    | —              | 44,253      | 44,253                 | —                                  |
| Residential mortgage loans <sup>(2)</sup> | 234        | 91         | 268                  | 593            | 16,322      | 16,915                 | —                                  |
| Loans receivable-related party            | —          | —          | —                    | —              | 6,966       | 6,966                  | —                                  |
| Total loans                               | \$234      | \$91       | \$3,822              | \$4,147        | \$1,408,068 | \$1,412,215            | \$—                                |

(1) Contains \$282,000 and \$2.4 million of bank loans held for sale at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(2) Contains \$111.5 million and \$15.1 million of residential mortgage loans held for sale at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(3) Contains \$0 and \$4.5 million of middle market loans held for sale at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

## Impaired Loans

The following tables show impaired loans as of the dates indicated (in thousands):

|   | Recorded<br>Balance | Unpaid<br>Principal<br>Balance | Specific<br>Allowance | Average<br>Investment in<br>Impaired Loans | Interest<br>Income<br>Recognized |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                      |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Loans without a specific valuation allowance: |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Whole loans                                   | \$ 128,108          | \$ 128,108                     | \$—                   | \$ 130,445                                 | \$ 12,679                        |
| B notes                                       | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Mezzanine loans                               | \$ 38,072           | \$ 38,072                      | \$—                   | \$ 38,072                                  | \$ 2,859                         |
| Bank loans                                    | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Middle market loans                           |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | \$ 2,082            | \$ 2,082                       | \$—                   | \$ 2,082                                   | \$ 148                           |
| Loans receivable - related party              | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Loans with a specific valuation allowance:    |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Whole loans                                   | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| B notes                                       | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Mezzanine loans                               | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Bank loans                                    | \$ 1,350            | \$ 1,350                       | \$(570)               | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Middle market loans                           | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Loans receivable - related party              | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Total:  |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Whole loans                                   | \$ 128,108          | \$ 128,108                     | \$—                   | \$ 130,445                                 | \$ 12,679                        |
| B notes                                       | —                   | —                              | —                     | —  | —                                |
| Mezzanine loans                               | 38,072              | 38,072                         | —                     | 38,072                                     | 2,859                            |
| Bank loans                                    | 1,350               | 1,350                          | (570)                 | —  | —                                |
| Middle market loans                           | —                   | —                              | —                     | —  | —                                |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | 2,082               | 2,082                          | —                     | 2,082                                      | 148                              |
| Loans receivable - related party              | —                   | —                              | —                     | —  | —                                |
|   | \$ 169,612          | \$ 169,612                     | \$(570)               | \$ 170,599                                 | \$ 15,686                        |
| As of December 31, 2013:                      |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Loans without a specific valuation allowance: |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |
| Whole loans                                   | \$ 130,759          | \$ 130,759                     | \$—                   | \$ 123,495                                 | \$ 8,439                         |
| B notes                                       | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Mezzanine loans                               | \$ 38,072           | \$ 38,072                      | \$—                   | \$ 38,072                                  | \$ 1,615                         |
| Bank loans                                    | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Middle market loans                           | \$—                 | \$—                            | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Residential mortgage loans                    | \$ 315              | \$ 268                         | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Loans receivable - related party              | \$ 5,733            | \$ 5,733                       | \$—                   | \$—  | \$—                              |
| Loans with a specific valuation allowance:    |                     |                                |                       |  |                                  |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|                                  |           |           |            |           |          |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|----------|
| Whole loans                      | \$25,572  | \$25,572  | \$(4,572 ) | \$24,748  | \$1,622  |
| B notes                          | \$—       | \$—       | \$—        | \$—       | \$—      |
| Mezzanine loans                  | \$—       | \$—       | \$—        | \$—       | \$—      |
| Bank loans                       | \$3,554   | \$3,554   | \$(2,621 ) | \$—       | \$—      |
| Middle market loans              | \$—       | \$—       | \$—        | \$—       | \$—      |
| Residential mortgage loans       | \$—       | \$—       | \$—        | \$—       | \$—      |
| Loans receivable - related party | \$—       | \$—       | \$—        | \$—       | \$—      |
| Total:                           |           |           |            |           |          |
| Whole loans                      | \$156,331 | \$156,331 | \$(4,572 ) | \$148,243 | \$10,061 |
| B notes                          | —         | —         | —          | —         | —        |
| Mezzanine loans                  | 38,072    | 38,072    | —          | 38,072    | 1,615    |
| Bank loans                       | 3,554     | 3,554     | (2,621 )   | —         | —        |
| Middle market loans              | —         | —         | —          | —         | —        |
| Residential mortgage loans       | 315       | 268       | —          | —         | —        |
| Loans receivable - related party | 5,733     | 5,733     | —          | —         | —        |
|                                  | \$204,005 | \$203,958 | \$(7,193 ) | \$186,315 | \$11,676 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

130

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

Troubled- Debt Restructurings

The following tables show troubled-debt restructurings in the Company's loan portfolio (in thousands):

|                                  | Number of Loans | Pre-Modification<br>Outstanding<br>Recorded Balance | Post-Modification<br>Outstanding Recorded<br>Balance |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| Year Ended December 31, 2014:    |                 |   |  |
| Whole loans                      | 3               | \$99,739  | \$99,739   |
| B notes                          | —               | —   | —  |
| Mezzanine loans                  | 1               | 38,072  | 38,072   |
| Bank loans                       | —               | —   | —  |
| Middle market loans              | —               | —   | —  |
| Residential mortgage loans       | —               | —   | —  |
| Loans receivable - related party | —               | —   | —  |
| Total loans                      | 4               | \$137,811   | \$137,811  |
| Year Ended December 31, 2013:    |                 |   |  |
| Whole loans                      | 5               | \$143,484   | \$147,826  |
| B notes                          | —               | —   | —  |
| Mezzanine loans                  | —               | —   | —  |
| Bank loans                       | —               | —   | —  |
| Middle market loans              | —               | —   | —  |
| Loans receivable - related party | 1               | 6,592   | 6,592  |
| Total loans                      | 6               | \$150,076   | \$154,418  |

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, there were no commercial real estate loan troubled-debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted. On December 31, 2014, the loan receivable - related party was extinguished and the underlying collateral was assigned to the Company. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recorded \$1.3 million in total write-downs on the loan.

NOTE 12 - BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

On October 31, 2013, the Company, through its TRS, RCC Residential, completed a business combination whereby it acquired the assets of PCM, an Atlanta based company that originates and services residential mortgage loans for approximately \$7.6 million in cash. As part of this transaction, a key employee of PCM was granted approximately \$800,000 of the Company's restricted stock. Any grants for employees of PCM are accounted for as compensation and amortized to equity compensation expense over the vesting period. Dividends declared on the stock while unvested are recorded as a general and administrative expense. Dividends declared after the stock vests are recorded as a distribution. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, \$634,000 and \$106,000 of amortization of the stock grants were recorded to equity compensation expense on the Company's consolidated statements of income and \$189,000 and \$48,000 of expense related to dividends on unvested shares was recorded to general and administrative expenses on the Company's consolidated statements of income.

Upon acquisition of PCM, the Company recognized an intangible asset of \$600,000 related to its wholesale-correspondent relationships, which have a finite life of approximately two years.

The purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon the Company's best estimate of fair value with any shortage under the net tangible and intangible assets acquired allocated to gain on bargain purchase.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following table sets forth the allocation of the purchase price (in thousands):

|   |         |
|---|---------|
| Assets acquired:                          |         |
| Cash and cash equivalents                 | \$1,233 |
| Loans held for sale                       | 15,021  |
| Loans held for investment                 | 2,071   |
| Wholesale and correspondent relationships | 600     |
| Other assets                              | 5,828   |
| Total assets                              | 24,753  |
| Less: Liabilities assumed:                |         |
| Borrowings                                | 14,584  |
| Other liabilities                         | 2,165   |
| Total liabilities                         | 16,749  |
| Gain on bargain purchase                  | 391     |
| Total cash purchase price                 | \$7,613 |

On February 27, 2014, the Company made an additional capital contribution to LCF which gave the Company majority ownership at 50.2%. As a result, the Company began consolidating the LCF joint venture. The joint venture was established for the purpose of originating and acquiring life settlement contracts through a financing facility. Although we do not anticipate further material purchase price adjustments for LCF, the Company has not yet completed the process of estimating the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed on this investment. Accordingly, the Company's preliminary estimates and the allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed may change as the Company completes the process. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 805, changes, if any, to the preliminary estimates and allocation will be reported in the Company's consolidated financial statements, retrospectively.

NOTE 13 - INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The Company recorded amortization expense on intangible assets of \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, and expects to record amortization expense on intangible assets of approximately \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, \$1.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2017, \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, and \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2019. The weighted average amortization period was 6.6 years and 7.7 years at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following table summarizes intangible assets at December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands).

|  | Beginning<br>Balance | Accumulated<br>Amortization | Net Asset  |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                 |                      |                             |            |
| Investment in RCAM                       | \$21,213             | \$(11,779)                  | ) \$9,434  |
| Investments in PCM:                      |                      |                             |            |
| Wholesale or correspondent relationships | 600                  | (298)                       | ) 302      |
| Total intangible assets                  | \$21,813             | \$(12,077)                  | ) \$9,736  |
| As of December 31, 2013:                 |                      |                             |            |
| Investment in RCAM                       | \$21,213             | \$(9,980)                   | ) \$11,233 |
| Investments in real estate:              |                      |                             |            |
| In-place leases                          | 2,461                | (2,430)                     | ) 31       |
| Above (below) market leases              | 29                   | (29)                        | ) —        |
| Investments in PCM:                      |                      |                             |            |
| Wholesale or correspondent relationships | 600                  | (42)                        | ) 558      |
| Total intangible assets                  | \$24,303             | \$(12,481)                  | ) \$11,822 |

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, the Company recognized \$5.1 million, \$5.3 million, and \$7.0 million, respectively, of fee income related to the investment in RCAM.

#### Mortgage Servicing Rights

Through the Company's wholly-owned residential mortgage originator PCM, residential mortgage loans are sold through one of the following methods: (i) sales to or pursuant to programs sponsored by the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and Government National Mortgage Association, or (ii) sales to private investors. The Company may have continuing involvement in mortgage loans sold by retaining servicing rights and servicing obligations.

The total servicing portfolio consists of loans associated with capitalized mortgage servicing rights (“MSRs”) and loans held for sale. The total servicing portfolio was \$894.8 million as of December 31, 2014. MSRs recorded in the Company's consolidated balance sheets are related to the capitalized servicing portfolio and are created through the sale of originated loans.

The activity in the loan servicing portfolio associated with capitalized servicing rights consisted of (in thousands):

|                                 | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2014 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Balance, beginning of Period    | \$433,153                       |
| Additions                       | 519,915                         |
| Payoffs, sales and curtailments | (58,301)                        |
| Balance, end of period          | \$894,767                       |

The activity in capitalized MSRs is recorded in other assets and consists of the followings (in thousands):

|                              | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2014 |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Balance, beginning of Period | \$4,885                         |
| Additions                    | 6,446                           |
| Sales                        | —                               |
| Balance, end of period       | \$11,331                        |
| Accumulated amortization     | (2,457)                         |
| Balance, end of period       | \$8,874                         |

For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recognized \$1.6 million in amortization. The Company expects to recognize \$2.0 million for the year ended 2015, \$2.0 million for the year ended 2016, \$1.8 million for the year ended December

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

133

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

31, 2017, \$1.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, and \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2019, in amortization related to its mortgage servicing rights portfolio.

The value of MSRs is driven by the net positive, or in some cases net negative, cash flows associated with servicing activities. These cash flows include contractually specified servicing fees, late fees and other ancillary servicing revenue and were recorded within Other income as follows (in thousands):

|   | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2014 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Servicing fees from capitalized portfolio | \$ 1,649                        |
| Late Fees                                 | \$ 81                           |
| Other ancillary servicing revenue         | \$ 6                            |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

## NOTE 14 - BORROWINGS

The Company historically has financed the acquisition of its investments, including investment securities, loan and lease receivables, through the use of secured and unsecured borrowings in the form of CDOs, securitized notes, repurchase agreements, secured term facilities, warehouse facilities and trust preferred securities issuances. Certain information with respect to the Company's borrowings at December 31, 2014 and 2013 is summarized in the following table (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | Principal<br>Outstanding | Unamortized<br>Issuance Costs<br>and Discounts | Outstanding<br>Borrowings | Weighted<br>Average<br>Borrowing<br>Rate | Weighted<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Maturity | Value of<br>Collateral |
|--|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|--|------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:   |                          |  |                           |  |  |                        |
| RREF CDO 2006-1 Senior Notes                                     | \$ 61,423                | \$—  | \$ 61,423                 | 2.12%                                    | 31.6 years                                   | \$ 139,242             |
| RREF CDO 2007-1 Senior Notes                                     | 130,340                  | 133  | 130,207                   | 1.19%                                    | 31.8 years                                   | 271,423                |
| RCC CRE Notes 2013 Senior Notes                                  | 226,840                  | 2,683  | 224,157                   | 2.11%                                    | 14.0 years                                   | 249,983                |
| RCC CRE 2014 Senior Notes  | 235,344                  | 3,687  | 231,657                   | 1.45%                                    | 17.3 years                                   | 346,585                |
| Apidos CDO III Senior Notes                                      | 74,646                   | —  | 74,646                    | 1.18%                                    | 5.7 years                                    | 85,553                 |
| Apidos Cinco CDO Senior Notes                                    | 255,664                  | 201  | 255,463                   | 0.81%                                    | 5.4 years                                    | 272,512                |
| Moselle CLO Senior Notes, at fair value <sup>(7)</sup>           | 63,321                   | —  | 63,321                    | 1.49%                                    | 5.0 years                                    | 93,576                 |
| Moselle CLO Securitized Borrowings, at fair value <sup>(1)</sup> | 5,619                    | —  | 5,619                     | 1.49%                                    | 5.0 years                                    | —                      |
| Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures <sup>(2)</sup>          | 51,548                   | 343  | 51,205                    | 4.19%                                    | 21.8 years                                   | —                      |
| 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes                                    | 115,000                  | 6,626  | 108,374                   | 6.00%                                    | 3.9 years                                    | —                      |
| CRE - Term Repurchase Facilities <sup>(3)</sup>                  | 207,640                  | 1,958  | 205,682                   | 2.43%                                    | 20 days                                      | 297,571                |
| CMBS - Term Repurchase Facility <sup>(4)</sup>                   | 24,967                   | —  | 24,967                    | 1.35%                                    | 20 days                                      | 30,180                 |
| RMBS - Term Repurchase Facility <sup>(6)</sup>                   | 22,248                   | 36   | 22,212                    | 1.16%                                    | 1 day  | 27,885                 |
| Residential Mortgage Financing Agreements                        | 102,576                  | —  | 102,576                   | 2.78%                                    | 207 days                                     | 147,472                |
| CMBS - Short Term Repurchase Agreements <sup>(5)</sup>           | 44,225                   | —  | 44,225                    | 1.63%                                    | 17 days                                      | 62,446                 |
| Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement <sup>(8)</sup>         | 113,500                  | 2,363  | 111,137                   | 2.66%                                    | 2.7 years                                    | 262,687                |
| Total  | \$ 1,734,901             | \$ 18,030                                      | \$ 1,716,871              | 2.09%                                    | 10.0 years                                   | \$ 2,287,115           |
|  | Principal<br>Outstanding | Unamortized<br>Issuance Costs<br>and Discounts | Outstanding<br>Borrowings | Weighted<br>Average<br>Borrowing<br>Rate | Weighted<br>Average<br>Remaining<br>Maturity | Value of<br>Collateral |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2013:

|   |             |          |             |       |            |             |
|---|-------------|----------|-------------|-------|------------|-------------|
| RREF CDO 2006-1 Senior Notes                            | \$94,209    | \$205    | \$94,004    | 1.87% | 32.6 years | \$169,115   |
| RREF CDO 2007-1 Senior Notes                            | 178,556     | 719      | 177,837     | 0.84% | 32.8 years | 318,933     |
| RCC CRE Notes 2013 Senior Notes                         | 260,840     | 4,269    | 256,571     | 2.03% | 15.0 years | 305,586     |
| Apidos CDO I Senior Notes                               | 87,131      | —        | 87,131      | 1.68% | 3.6 years  | 103,736     |
| Apidos CDO III Senior Notes                             | 133,326     | 117      | 133,209     | 0.88% | 6.7 years  | 145,930     |
| Apidos Cinco CDO Senior Notes                           | 322,000     | 853      | 321,147     | 0.74% | 6.4 years  | 342,796     |
| Whitney CLO I Securitized Borrowings <sup>(1)</sup>     | 440         | —        | 440         | —%    | N/A        | 885         |
| Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures <sup>(2)</sup> | 51,548      | 543      | 51,005      | 4.19% | 22.8 years | —           |
| 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes                           | 115,000     | 8,465    | 106,535     | 6.00% | 4.9 years  | —           |
| CRE - Term Repurchase Facilities <sup>(3)</sup>         | 30,736      | 1,033    | 29,703      | 2.67% | 21 days    | 48,186      |
| CMBS - Term Repurchase Facility <sup>(4)</sup>          | 47,613      | 12       | 47,601      | 1.38% | 21 days    | 56,949      |
| Residential Mortgage Financing Agreements               | 14,627      | —        | 14,627      | 4.24% | 56 days    | 16,487      |
| Total   | \$1,336,026 | \$16,216 | \$1,319,810 | 1.87% | 13.1 years | \$1,508,603 |

(1) The securitized borrowings were collateralized by the same assets as the Moselle CLO Senior Notes and the Whitney CLO I Senior Notes.

(2) Amount represents junior subordinated debentures issued to RCT I and RCT II in May 2006 and September 2006, respectively.

(Back to Index)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

(3) Amount also includes accrued interest expense of \$198,000 and \$26,000 related to CRE repurchase facilities as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Amounts also include accrued interest expense of \$12,000 and \$22,000 related to CMBS repurchase facilities as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Amount does not reflect CMBS repurchase agreement borrowings that are components of linked transactions.

(5) Amount also includes accrued interest expense of \$31,000 related to CMBS short term repurchase facilities as of December 31, 2014.

(6) Amount also includes accrued interest expense of \$20,000 related to RMBS repurchase facilities as of December 31, 2014.

The fair value option has been elected for the borrowings associated with Moselle CLO. As such, the outstanding borrowings and principal outstanding amounts are stated at fair value. The unpaid principal amounts of these borrowings were \$63.3 million at December 31, 2014. Unpaid principal does not include subordinated notes with a fair value of \$27.8 million that are owned by the Company and eliminate in consolidation.

(8) Value of collateral includes \$14.9 million of principal receivable at December 31, 2014.

## Securitizations

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the Company's securitizations:

| Securitization                          | Closing Date  | Maturity Dates | Reinvestment Period End | Total Note Paydowns as of December 31, 2014 (in millions) |
|---|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|---|
| RREF CDO 2006-1 Senior Notes            | August 2006   | August 2046    | September 2011          | \$165.6   |
| RREF CDO 2007-1 Senior Notes            | June 2007     | September 2046 | June 2012               | \$151.7   |
| RCC CRE Notes 2013 Senior Notes         | December 2013 | December 2028  | N/A                     | \$34.0  |
| RCC CRE 2014 Senior Notes               | July 2014     | April 2032     | N/A                     | \$—   |
| Apidos CDO III Senior Notes             | May 2006      | September 2020 | June 2012               | \$187.9   |
| Apidos Cinco CDO Senior Notes           | May 2007      | May 2020       | May 2014                | \$66.3  |
| Moselle CLO S.A. Senior Notes           | October 2005  | January 2020   | January 2012            | \$100.3   |
| Moselle CLO S.A. Securitized Borrowings | October 2005  | January 2020   | January 2012            | \$—   |

In October 2014, Apidos CDO I was called and substantially all of the assets were sold. Total proceeds from the sale of these assets, plus proceeds from previous sales and paydowns in the CLO were used to pay down the remaining \$321.5 million of the senior notes.

During the fourth quarter of 2014, Moselle CLO S.A. was called and liquidated, and as a result, all of the assets were sold.

The investments held by the Company's securitizations have collateralized the debt issued by the securitizations and, as a result, are not available to the Company, its creditors, or stockholders. All senior notes purchased and retained by the Company as of December 31, 2014 eliminate in consolidation.

## RCC CRE Notes 2013

In December 2013, the Company closed RCC CRE Notes 2013, a \$307.8 million CRE securitization transaction that provided financing for transitional commercial real estate loans. RCC CRE Notes 2013 issued a total of \$260.8 million of senior notes at par to unrelated investors. RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the Class D senior notes (rated BBB:DBRS), class E senior notes (rated BB:DBRS) and class F senior notes (rated B:DBRS) for \$30.0 million. In addition, Resource Real Estate Funding 2013 Notes Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$16.9 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RCC CRE

Notes 2013 but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RCC CRE Notes 2013.

At closing, the senior notes issued to investors by RCC CRE Notes 2013 consisted of the following classes: (i) \$136.9 million of Class A notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%; (ii) \$78.5 million of Class A-S notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.15%; (iii) \$30.8 million of Class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.85%; (iv) \$14.6 million of Class C notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.50%; (v) \$13.8 million of Class D notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 4.50%; (vi) \$9.2 million of Class E notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 5.50%; (vii) and \$6.9 million of Class F notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 6.50%. All of the notes issued mature in December 2028, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after January 2016 until maturity.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

136

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

## RCC CRE 2014

In July 2014, the Company closed RCC CRE 2014, a \$353.9 million CRE securitization transaction that provided financing for transitional commercial real estate loans. RCC CRE 2014 issued a total of \$253.3 million of senior notes at par to unrelated investors. RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the Class C senior notes (rated B2:Moody's) for \$17.7 million. In addition, RREF 2014-CRE2 Investor, LLC a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$100.9 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RCC CRE 2014, but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RCC CRE 2014.

At closing, the senior notes issued to investors by RCC CRE 2014 consisted of the following classes: (i) \$196.4 million of Class A notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.05%; (ii) \$38.9 million of Class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.5%; and (iii) \$17.7 million of Class C notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 4.25%. All of the notes issued mature in April 2032, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after July 2016 until maturity. The weighted average interest rate on all notes issued to outside investors was 1.45% at December 31, 2014.

## Moselle CLO S.A.

In February 2014, the Company purchased 100% of the Class 1 Subordinated Notes and 67.9% of the Class 2 Subordinated Notes, which represented 88.6% of the outstanding subordinated notes in the European securitization Moselle CLO S.A. Due to the Company's economic interest combined with its contractual, unilateral kick-out rights acquired upon its purchase of a majority of the subordinate notes, the Company determined that it had a controlling financial interest and consolidated Moselle CLO (see Note 3). The notes purchased by the Company are subordinated in right of payment to all other notes issued by Moselle CLO.

The balances of the senior notes issued to investors when the Company acquired a controlling financial interest in February 2014 were as follows: (i) €24.9 million of Class A-1E notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.25%; (ii) \$24.9 million of Class A-1L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.25%; (iii) €10.3 million of Class A-1LE notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.31%; (iv) \$10.3 million of Class A-1LE notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.31%; (v) €13.8 million of Class A-2E notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.40%; (vi) \$13.8 million of Class A-2L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.40%; (vii) €6.8 million of Class A-3E notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.70%; (viii) \$6.8 million of Class A-3L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.75%; (ix) €16 million of Class B-1E notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 1.80%; and (x) \$16.0 million of Class B-1L notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 1.85%. The Company had the right to call the notes anytime after January 6, 2010 until maturity and in November 2014, the Company exercised this right and substantially liquidated the securitization's assets.

## Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2007-1

In June 2007, the Company closed RREF CDO 2007-1, a \$500.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans and commercial mortgage-backed securities. RREF CDO 2007-1 issued a total of \$265.6 million of senior notes at par to unrelated investors. RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the Class H senior notes (rated BBB+:Fitch), Class K senior notes (rated BBB -:Fitch), Class L senior notes (rated BB:Fitch) and Class M senior notes (rated B:Fitch) for \$68.0 million. In addition, Resource Real Estate Funding 2007-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$41.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RREF CDO 2007-1 but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RREF CDO 2007-1.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

At closing, the senior notes issued to investors by RREF CDO 2007-1 consisted of the following classes: (i) \$180.0 million of Class A-1 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.28%; (ii) \$50.0 million of unissued Class A-1R notes, which allowed the CDO to fund future funding obligations under the existing whole loan participations that had future funding commitments; the undrawn balance of the Class A-1R notes accrued a commitment fee at a rate per annum equal to 0.18%, the drawn balance bore interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.32%; (iii) \$57.5 million of Class A-2 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.46%; (iv) \$22.5 million of Class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%; (v) \$7.0 million of Class C notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.423%; (vi) \$26.8 million of Class D notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.95%; (vii) \$11.9 million of Class E notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.15%; (viii) \$11.9 million of Class F notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%; (ix) \$11.3 million of Class G notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.55%; (x) \$11.3 million of Class H notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.30%; (xi) \$11.3 million of Class J notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 2.95%; (xii) \$10.0 million of Class K notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.25%; (xiii) \$18.8 million of Class L notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 7.50% and (xiv) \$28.8 million of Class M notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 8.50%. The Company has the right to call the notes anytime after July 2017 until maturity.

During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company did not repurchase any notes. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company repurchased and redeemed \$50.0 million of the Class A-1R notes and \$26.8 million of the Class D notes in RREF CDO 2007-1 at a weighted average price of 78.85% to par which, after fees paid to an investment bank to finance the transaction and related expenses, resulting in a \$14.9 million gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income.

During the the year ended December 31, 2014 the company reissued \$25.0 million of Class A-1 notes at a price of 92.53% to par, and \$15.0 million of Class D notes at a weighted average price of 86.85% to par, which resulted in a \$3.8 million loss on the reissuance of debt in the consolidated statements of income.

Resource Real Estate Funding CDO 2006-1

In August 2006, the Company closed RREF CDO 2006-1, a \$345.0 million CDO transaction that provided financing for commercial real estate loans. RREF CDO 2006-1 issued a total of \$308.7 million of senior notes at par to investors of which RCC Real Estate purchased 100% of the Class J senior notes (rated BB: Fitch) and Class K senior notes (rated B:Fitch) for \$43.1 million. In addition, Resource Real Estate Funding 2006-1 CDO Investor, LLC, a subsidiary of RCC Real Estate, purchased a \$36.3 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The senior notes purchased by RCC Real Estate are subordinated in right of payment to all other senior notes issued by RREF CDO 2006-1 but are senior in right of payment to the preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by RREF CDO 2006-1. As of December 31, 2013, \$110.0 million of Class A-1 notes have been paid down.

At closing, the senior notes issued to investors by RREF CDO 2006-1 consisted of the following classes: (i) \$129.4 million of Class A-1 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.32%; (ii) \$17.4 million of Class A-2 notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.35%; (iii) \$5.0 million of Class A-2 notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 5.842%; (iv) \$6.9 million of Class B notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.40%; (v) \$20.7 million of Class C notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.62%; (vi) \$15.5 million of Class D notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.80%; (vii) \$20.7 million of Class E notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.30%; (viii) \$19.8 million of Class F notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.60%; (ix) \$17.3 million of Class G notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 1.90%; (x) \$12.9 million of Class H notes bearing interest at one-month LIBOR plus 3.75%, (xi) \$14.7 million of Class J notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.00% and (xii) \$28.4 million of Class K notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 6.00%. All of the notes issued mature in August 2046, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after August 2016 until maturity.

During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company did not repurchase any notes. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company repurchased \$4.3 million of the Class A-1 notes and \$4.0 million of the Class C notes in RREF CDO 2006-1 at a weighted average price of 81.63% to par which resulted in a \$1.5 million gain reported as a gain on the extinguishment of debt in the consolidated statements of income.

During the the year ended December 31, 2014 the company reissued \$6.7 million of Class A-1 notes at a price of 98.94% to par, and \$12.0 million of Class A-2 notes at a price of 95.56% to par, which resulted in a \$604,000 loss on the reissuance of debt in the consolidated statements of income.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

138

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

#### Whitney CLO I

In February 2011, the Company acquired the rights to manage the assets held by Whitney CLO I. In October 2012, the Company purchased a \$20.9 million preferred equity interest at a discount of 42.5% which represented 66.6% of the outstanding preference shares in Whitney CLO I. In May 2013 the Company purchased an additional \$550,000 equity interest in Whitney CLO I and as of December 31, 2013 held 68.3% of the outstanding preference shares. Based upon those purchases, the Company determined that it had a controlling interest and consolidated Whitney CLO I. The preferred equity interest was subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Whitney CLO I. In 2013, the Company liquidated Whitney CLO I, and as a result all of the assets were sold.

#### Apidos CLO VIII

In October 2011, the Company closed Apidos CLO VIII, a \$350.0 million CLO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. Apidos CLO VIII issued a total of \$317.6 million of senior notes at a discount of 4.4% to investors and Resource TRS III purchased a \$15.0 million interest representing 43% of the outstanding subordinated debt. The remaining 57% of subordinated debt was owned by unrelated third parties. The subordinated debt interest was subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CLO VIII. In October 2013, Apidos CLO VIII was called and liquidated and, as a result, all of the assets were sold. Total proceeds from the sale of these assets, plus proceeds from previous sales and paydowns in the CLO were used to pay down the notes in full.

#### Apidos Cinco CDO

In May 2007, the Company closed Apidos Cinco CDO, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. Apidos Cinco CDO issued a total of \$322.0 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC commercial purchased a \$28.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos Cinco CDO.

The senior notes issued to investors by Apidos Cinco CDO consist of the following classes: (i) \$37.5 million of Class A-1 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.24%; (ii) \$200.0 million of Class A-2a notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.23%; (iii) \$22.5 million of Class A-2b notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.32%; (iv) \$19.0 million of Class A-3 notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.42%; (v) \$18.0 million of Class B notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 0.80%; (vi) \$14.0 million of Class C notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 2.25% and (vii) \$11.0 million of Class D notes bearing interest at LIBOR plus 4.25%. The Company has the right to call the notes anytime after May 14, 2011 until maturity.

#### Apidos CDO III

In May 2006, the Company closed Apidos CDO III, a \$285.5 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. Apidos CDO III issued a total of \$262.5 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$23.0 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The equity interest is subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued by Apidos CDO III.

At closing, the senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CDO III consist of the following classes: (i) \$212.0 million of Class A-1 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.26%; (ii) \$19.0 million of Class A-2 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.45%; (iii) \$15.0 million of Class B notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.75%; (iv) \$10.5 million of Class C notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 1.75%; and (v) \$6.0 million of Class D notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 4.25%. All of the notes issued mature on September 12, 2020, although the Company has the right to call the notes anytime after September 12, 2011 until maturity.

#### Apidos CDO I

In August 2005, the Company closed Apidos CDO I, a \$350.0 million CDO transaction that provides financing for bank loans. The investments held by Apidos CDO I collateralize the debt it issued and, as a result, the investments are not available to the Company, its creditors or stockholders. Apidos CDO I issued a total of \$321.5 million of senior notes at par to investors and RCC Commercial purchased a \$28.5 million equity interest representing 100% of the outstanding preference shares. The equity interest was subordinated in right of payment to all other securities issued

by Apidos CDO I.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

139

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

At closing, the senior notes issued to investors by Apidos CDO I consisted of the following classes: (i) \$259.5 million of Class A-1 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.26%; (ii) \$15.0 million of Class A-2 notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.42%; (iii) \$20.5 million of Class B notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 0.75%; (iv) \$13.0 million of Class C notes bearing interest at 3-month LIBOR plus 1.85%; and (v) \$8.0 million of Class D notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 9.25%. All of the notes issued matured on July 27, 2017, although the Company had the right to call the notes anytime after July 27, 2010 until maturity.

In October 2014, Apidos CLO I was called and substantially all of the assets were sold. Total proceeds from the sale of these assets, plus proceeds from previous sales and paydowns in the CLO were used to pay down the remaining notes.

#### 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes

On October 21, 2013, the Company issued and sold in a public offering \$115.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes due 2018, ("6.0% Convertible Senior Notes"). After deducting the underwriting discount and the estimated offering costs, the Company received approximately \$111.1 million of net proceeds. The discount of \$4.9 million on the 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes reflects the difference between the stated value of the debt and the fair value of the notes as if they were issued without a conversion feature and at a higher rate of interest that the Company estimated would have been applicable without the conversion feature. The discount will be amortized on a straight-line basis as additional interest expense through maturity on December 1, 2018. Interest on the 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes is paid semi-annually and the 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes mature on December 1, 2018. Prior to December 1, 2018, the 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes are not redeemable at the Company's option, except to preserve the Company's status as a REIT. On or after December 1, 2018, the Company may redeem all or a portion of the 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest. Holders of 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes may require the Company to repurchase all or a portion of the 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes at a purchase price equal to the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest on December 1, 2018, or upon the occurrence of certain defined fundamental changes. The 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes are convertible at the option of the holder at a current conversion rate of 150.1502 common shares per \$1,000 principal amount of 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes (equivalent to a current conversion price of \$6.66 per common share). Upon conversion of 6.0% Convertible Senior Notes by a holder, the holder will receive cash, common shares or a combination of cash and common shares, at the Company's election.

#### Unsecured Junior Subordinated Debentures

In May 2006 and September 2006, the Company formed RCT I and RCT II, respectively, for the sole purpose of issuing and selling capital securities representing preferred beneficial interests. Although the Company owns \$774,000 of the common securities of RCT I and RCT II, RCT I and RCT II are not consolidated into the Company's consolidated financial statements because the Company is not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of these entities. In connection with the issuance and sale of the capital securities, the Company issued junior subordinated debentures to RCT I and RCT II of \$25.8 million each, representing the Company's maximum exposure to loss. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II are included in borrowings and are being amortized into interest expense in the consolidated statements of income using the effective yield method over a ten year period.

The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at December 31, 2014 were \$160,000 and \$183,000, respectively. The debt issuance costs associated with the junior subordinated debentures for RCT I and RCT II at December 31, 2013 were \$261,000 and \$282,000, respectively. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at December 31, 2014, were 4.21% and 4.18%, respectively. The rates for RCT I and RCT II, at December 31, 2013, were 4.20% and 4.19%, respectively.

The rights of holders of common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subordinate to the rights of the holders of capital securities only in the event of a default; otherwise, the common securities' economic and voting rights are pari passu

with the capital securities. The capital and common securities of RCT I and RCT II are subject to mandatory redemption upon the maturity or call of the junior subordinated debentures held by each. Unless earlier dissolved, RCT I will dissolve on May 25, 2041 and RCT II will dissolve on September 29, 2041. The junior subordinated debentures are the sole assets of RCT I and RCT II, mature on September 30, 2036 and October 30, 2036, respectively, and may be called at par by the Company any time after September 30, 2011 and October 30, 2011, respectively. The Company records its investments in RCT I and RCT II's common securities of \$774,000 each as investments in unconsolidated entities and records dividend income upon declaration by RCT I and RCT II.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

140

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

## Repurchase and Credit Facilities

Borrowings under the repurchase agreements were guaranteed by the Company or one of its subsidiaries. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the Company's borrowings at December 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in thousands):

|                                 | December 31, 2014                  |                        |     | Number<br>of<br>Positions<br>as<br>Collateral | Weighted<br>Average<br>Interest<br>Rate | December 31, 2013         |                        |   |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----|---|---|---------------------------|------------------------|---|
|                                 | Outstanding Value of<br>Borrowings | Value of<br>Collateral |     |   |   | Outstanding<br>Borrowings | Value of<br>Collateral | Number<br>of<br>Positions<br>as<br>Collateral |
| <b>CMBS Term</b>                |                                    |                        |     |   |   |                           |                        |   |
| Repurchase Facility             |                                    |                        |     |   |   |                           |                        |   |
| Wells Fargo Bank <sup>(1)</sup> | \$24,967                           | \$30,180               | 33  | 1.35%   | \$47,601                                | \$56,949                  | 44                     | 1.38%   |
| <b>CRE Term</b>                 |                                    |                        |     |   |   |                           |                        |   |
| Repurchase Facilities           |                                    |                        |     |   |   |                           |                        |   |
| Wells Fargo Bank <sup>(2)</sup> | 179,762                            | 258,223                | 15  | 2.38%   | 30,003                                  | 48,186                    | 3                      | 2.67%   |
| Deutsche Bank AG <sup>(3)</sup> | 25,920                             | 39,348                 | 2   | 2.78%   | (300 )                                  | —                         | —                      | —%  |
| <b>Short-Term Repurchase</b>    |                                    |                        |     |   |   |                           |                        |   |
| Agreements - CMBS               |                                    |                        |     |   |   |                           |                        |   |
| Wells Fargo Securities, LLC     | 10,442                             | 17,695                 | 1   | 1.66%   | —                                       | —                         | —                      | —%  |
| Deutsche Bank Securities, LLC   | 33,783                             | 44,751                 | 8   | 1.62%   | —                                       | —                         | —                      | —%  |
| <b>RMBS Term</b>                |                                    |                        |     |   |   |                           |                        |   |
| Repurchase Facility             |                                    |                        |     |   |   |                           |                        |   |
| Wells Fargo Bank <sup>(4)</sup> | 22,212                             | 27,885                 | 6   | 1.16%   |   |                           |                        |   |
| <b>Residential Mortgage</b>     |                                    |                        |     |   |   |                           |                        |   |
| Financing Agreements            |                                    |                        |     |   |   |                           |                        |   |
| New Century Bank                | 41,387                             | 51,961                 | 158 | 2.82%   | 11,916                                  | 13,089                    | 74                     | 4.17%   |
| ViewPoint Bank, NA              | —                                  | —                      | —   | —%  | 2,711                                   | 3,398                     | 17                     | 4.58%   |
| Wells Fargo Bank                | 61,189                             | 95,511                 | 104 | 2.75%   |   |                           |                        |   |
| Totals                          | \$399,662                          | \$565,554              |     |   | \$91,931                                | \$121,622                 |                        |   |

(1) The Wells Fargo CMBS term facility borrowing includes \$0 and \$12,000, of deferred debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(2) The Wells Fargo CRE term repurchase facility borrowing includes \$1.7 million and \$732,000 of deferred debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(3) The Deutsche Bank term repurchase facility includes \$268,000 and \$300,000 of deferred debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(4) The Wells Fargo RMBS term repurchase facility includes \$36,000 of deferred debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2014.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

141

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The assets in the following table are accounted for as linked transactions. These linked repurchase agreements are not included in borrowings on the Company's consolidated balance sheets (see Note 23).

|   | December 31, 2014                                   |  |   |   | December 31, 2013                                   |  |   |   |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|
|   | Borrowings Under Linked Transactions <sup>(1)</sup> | Value of Collateral Under Linked Transactions <sup>(2)</sup> | Number of Positions as Collateral Under Linked Transactions | Weighted Average Interest Rate of Linked Transactions | Borrowings Under Linked Transactions <sup>(1)</sup> | Value of Collateral Under Linked Transactions <sup>(2)</sup> | Number of Positions as Collateral Under Linked Transactions | Weighted Average Interest Rate of Linked Transactions |
| CMBS Term Repurchase Facility           |   |  |   |   |   |  |   |   |
| Wells Fargo Bank                        | \$4,941   | \$6,371  | 7   | 1.67%   | \$6,506   | \$8,345  | 7   | 1.65%   |
| Short-Term Repurchase Agreements - CMBS |   |  |   |   |   |  |   |   |
| JP Morgan Securities, LLC               | —   | —  | —   | —%  | 17,020  | 24,814   | 4   | 0.99%   |
| Wells Fargo Securities, LLC             | 4,108   | 6,233  | 2   | 1.37%   | 21,969  | 30,803   | 9   | 1.19%   |
| Deutsche Bank Securities, LLC           | 24,348  | 36,001   | 10  | 1.57%   | 18,599  | 29,861   | 9   | 1.43%   |
| Totals                                  | \$33,397  | \$48,605   |   |   | \$64,094  | \$93,823   |   |   |

(1) Equal to linked CMBS repurchase value plus accrued interest expenses totaling \$20,000 and \$38,000 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(2) Does not include linked CMBS accrued interest receivable totaling \$159,000 and \$337,000 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following table shows information about the amount at risk under the repurchase facilities (dollars in thousands):

|   | Amount at Risk <sup>(1)</sup> | Weighted Average Maturity in Days | Weighted Average Interest Rate |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                  |                               |                                   |                                |
| CMBS Term Repurchase Facility             |                               |                                   |                                |
| Wells Fargo Bank, National Association    | \$6,486                       | 20                                | 1.35%                          |
| RMBS Term Repurchase Facility             |                               |                                   |                                |
| Wells Fargo Bank, National Association    | \$5,017                       | 1                                 | 1.16%                          |
| CRE Term Repurchase Facilities            |                               |                                   |                                |
| Wells Fargo Bank, National Association    | \$76,148                      | 20                                | 2.38%                          |
| Deutsche Bank Securities, LLC             | \$13,017                      | 19                                | 2.78%                          |
| Short-Term Repurchase Agreements - CMBS   |                               |                                   |                                |
| JP Morgan Securities, LLC                 | \$—                           | 0                                 | —%                             |
| Wells Fargo Securities, LLC               | \$2,127                       | 9                                 | 1.66%                          |
| Deutsche Bank Securities, LLC             | \$11,810                      | 20                                | 1.62%                          |
| Residential Mortgage Financing Agreements |                               |                                   |                                |
| New Century Bank                          | \$853                         | 242                               | 2.82%                          |
| Wells Fargo Bank                          | \$6,902                       | 183                               | 2.75%                          |
| As of December 31, 2013:                  |                               |                                   |                                |
| CMBS Term Repurchase Facility             |                               |                                   |                                |
| Wells Fargo Bank, National Association    | \$10,796                      | 21                                | 1.38%                          |
| CRE Term Repurchase Facilities            |                               |                                   |                                |
| Wells Fargo Bank, National Association    | \$20,718                      | 21                                | 2.67%                          |
| Short-Term Repurchase Agreements - CMBS   |                               |                                   |                                |
| JP Morgan Securities, LLC                 | \$7,882                       | 11                                | 0.99%                          |
| Wells Fargo Securities, LLC               | \$8,925                       | 2                                 | 1.19%                          |
| Deutsche Bank Securities, LLC             | \$11,418                      | 22                                | 1.43%                          |

(1) Equal to the estimated fair value of securities or loans sold, plus accrued interest income, minus the sum of repurchase agreement liabilities plus accrued interest expense.

RMBS – Term Repurchase Facility

In June 2014, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries, RCC Resi Portfolio and RCC Resi TRS (the “Sellers”) entered into a master repurchase and securities contract (the “2014 Facility”) with Wells Fargo Bank, NA (“Wells Fargo”). Under the 2014 Facility, from time to time, the parties may enter into transactions in which the Sellers and Wells Fargo agree to transfer from the Sellers to Wells Fargo all of their right, title and interest to certain residential mortgage backed securities and other assets against the transfer of funds by Wells Fargo to the Sellers, with a simultaneous agreement by Wells Fargo to transfer back to the Sellers such assets at a date certain or on demand, against the transfer of funds from the Sellers to Wells Fargo. The maximum amount of the 2014 Facility is \$285.0

million which has an original one year term with a one year extension option, and a maximum interest rate of 1.45%. The 2014 Facility has a current maturity date of June 22, 2015.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

143

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

The 2014 Facility contains customary events of default, including payment defaults, breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties, cross-defaults, guarantor defaults, and the institution of bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings that remain unstayed. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of all obligations of the Sellers to repay the purchase price for purchased assets.

The 2014 Facility also contains margin call provisions relating to a decline in the market value of a security. Under these circumstances, Wells Fargo may require the Sellers to transfer cash in an amount sufficient to eliminate any margin deficit resulting from such a decline.

Under the terms of the 2014 Facility and pursuant to a guarantee agreement dated June 20, 2014 (the “2014 Guaranty”), the Company guaranteed the payment and performance of (a) all payment obligations owing by the Sellers to Wells Fargo under or in connection with the 2014 Facility and any other governing agreements and any and all extensions, renewals, modifications, amendments or substitutions of the foregoing; (b) all expenses, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and disbursements, that are incurred by Wells Fargo in the enforcement of any of the foregoing or any obligation of the registrant; and (c) any other obligations of the Sellers with respect to Wells Fargo under each of the governing documents. The 2014 Guaranty includes covenants that, among other things, limit the Company's leverage and debt service ratios and require maintenance of certain levels of cash and net worth. Sellers and the Company were in compliance with all financial debt covenants under the 2014 Facility and 2014 Guaranty as of December 31, 2014.

CMBS – Term Repurchase Facility

In February 2011, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries, RCC Commercial Inc. and RCC Real Estate, Inc. (collectively, the “RCC Subsidiaries”), entered into a master repurchase and securities contract (the “2011 Facility”) with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (“Wells Fargo”). Under the 2011 Facility, from time to time, the parties may enter into transactions in which the RCC Subsidiaries and Wells Fargo agree to transfer from the RCC Subsidiaries to Wells Fargo all of their right, title and interest to certain commercial mortgage backed securities and other assets (the “Assets”) against the transfer of funds by Wells Fargo to the RCC Subsidiaries, with a simultaneous agreement by Wells Fargo to transfer back to the RCC Subsidiaries such Assets at a date certain or on demand, against the transfer of funds from the RCC Subsidiaries to Wells Fargo. The maximum amount of the Facility is \$100.0 million which had an original two year term with a one year option to extend, and an interest rate equal to the one-month LIBOR plus 1.00% plus a .25% initial structuring fee and a .25% extension fee upon exercise. In April 2014, the Company agreed to a third amendment of the facility, which extended the termination date to January 31, 2016. The RCC Subsidiaries may enter into interest rate swaps and cap agreements for securities whose average life exceeds two years to mitigate interest rate risk under the 2011 Facility.

The 2011 Facility contains customary events of default, including payment defaults, breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties, cross-defaults, guarantor defaults, and the institution of bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings that remain unstayed. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of all obligations of the RCC Subsidiaries to repay the purchase price for purchased assets.

The 2011 Facility also contains margin call provisions relating to a decline in the market value of a security. Under these circumstances, Wells Fargo may require the RCC Subsidiaries to transfer cash in an amount sufficient to eliminate any margin deficit resulting from such a decline.

Under the terms of the 2011 Facility and pursuant to a guarantee agreement dated February 1, 2011 (the “2011 Guaranty”), the Company guaranteed the payment and performance of (a) all payment obligations owing by the RCC Subsidiaries to Wells Fargo under or in connection with the Facility and any other governing agreements and any and



all extensions, renewals, modifications, amendments or substitutions of the foregoing; (b) all expenses, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and disbursements, that are incurred by Wells Fargo in the enforcement of any of the foregoing or any obligation of the registrant; and (c) any other obligations of the RCC Subsidiaries with respect to Wells Fargo under each of the governing documents. The 2011 Guaranty includes covenants that, among other things, limit the Company's leverage and debt service ratios and require maintenance of certain levels of cash and net worth. RCC Real Estate and RCC Commercial were in compliance with all financial debt covenants as of December 31, 2014.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

144

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

CRE – Term Repurchase Facilities

On February 27, 2012, the RCC Real Estate's wholly-owned subsidiary, RCC Real Estate SPE 4 LLC ("SPE 4"), entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement (the "2012 Facility") with Wells Fargo to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. The 2012 facility had an original maximum amount of \$150.0 million and an initial 18 month term. The Company paid an origination fee of 37.5 basis points (0.375%). On April 2, 2013, the Company entered into an amendment which increased the size to \$250.0 million and extended the current term of the 2012 Facility to February 27, 2015. The amendment also provides two additional one year extension options at the Company's discretion. The Company paid an additional structuring fee of \$101,000 and an extension fee of \$938,000 in connection with the amendment and will amortize the additional fees over the term of the extension.

On October 31, 2014, the Company agreed to a modification of the terms of the 2012 Facility. The modification increases the facility maximum by \$150.0 million to \$400.0 million and extends the facility's maturity date to August 27, 2016. The modification also increased the facility's maximum single asset concentration limit, reduced the minimum portfolio debt yield tests requirement, and decreased pricing spreads on select portfolio assets. The Company also provides for two additional one year extension options at the Company's discretion. The Company paid a structuring fee of \$1.6 million upon the closing of the modification.

This 2012 Facility contains customary events of default, including payment defaults, breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties, cross-defaults, guarantor defaults, and the institution of bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings that remain unstayed. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of all obligations of the Company to repay the purchase price for purchased assets.

The 2012 Facility also contains margin call provisions relating to a decline in the market value of a security. Under these circumstances, Wells Fargo may require the Company to transfer cash in an amount sufficient to eliminate any margin deficit resulting from such a decline.

Under the terms of the 2012 Facility and pursuant to a guarantee agreement dated February 27, 2012 (the "2012 Guaranty"), the Company guaranteed the payment and performance of (a) all payment obligations owing by the Company to Wells Fargo under or in connection with the 2012 Facility and any other governing agreements and any and all extensions, renewals, modifications, amendments or substitutions of the foregoing; (b) all expenses, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and disbursements, that are incurred by Wells Fargo in the enforcement of any of the foregoing or any obligation of the registrant; and (c) any other obligations of the Company with respect to Wells Fargo under each of the governing documents. The 2012 Guaranty includes covenants that, among other things, limit the the Company's leverage and debt service ratios and require maintenance of certain levels of cash and net worth. SPE 4 was in compliance with all financial covenants as of December 31, 2014 and the Company was in compliance with all financial covenants under the 2012 Guaranty as of December 31, 2014.

On July 19, 2013, RCC Real Estate's wholly-owned subsidiary, RCC Real Estate SPE 5 ("SPE 5"), entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement (the "DB Facility") with Deutsche Bank AG, Cayman Islands Branch ("DB") to finance the origination of commercial real estate loans. The Company paid a structuring fee of 0.25% of the maximum facility amount, as well as other reasonable closing costs. The DB Facility had a maximum amount of \$200.0 million and an initial 12 month term that ended on July 19, 2014. The Company paid an extension fee of 0.25% of the maximum facility amount to exercise the first of two one-year extensions at the option of SPE 5 and subject further to the right of SPE 5 to repurchase the assets held in the facility earlier. The Company guaranteed SPE 5's performance of its obligations under the DB Facility.

The facility contains provisions that provide DB with certain rights if certain credit events have occurred with respect to one or more assets financed on the DB Facility to either repay a portion of the advance on such asset(s) or repay such advance in full (by repurchase of such asset(s)). Depending on the nature of the credit event, such repayment may be required notwithstanding the availability of interest and principal payments from assets financed on the DB

Facility, or may only be required to the extent of the availability of such payments.

The DB Facility contains events of default (subject to certain materiality thresholds and grace periods) customary for this type of financing arrangement, including but not limited to: payment defaults; bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings; a change of control of SPE 5 or the Company; breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties; performance defaults by the Company; a judgment in an amount greater than \$100,000 against SPE 5 or \$5.0 million in the aggregate against the Company; or a default involving the failure to pay or acceleration of a monetary obligation in excess of \$100,000 of SPE 5 or \$5.0 million of the Company. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of the principal amount outstanding under the DB Facility and the liquidation by DB of assets then subject to the DB Facility. The Company and SPE 5 were in compliance with all financial covenants under the terms of the guarantee as of December 31, 2014.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

145

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

Short-Term Repurchase Agreements - CMBS

On November 6, 2012, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with JP Morgan Securities LLC to finance the purchase of CMBS. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has no stated maturity. Interest rates reset monthly.

On February 14, 2012, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC to finance the purchase of CMBS. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement has no stated maturity date, interest rates reset monthly. The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement.

On March 8, 2005, RCC Real Estate entered into a master repurchase and securities agreement with Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. to finance the purchase of CMBS and the origination commercial real estate loans. There is no stated maximum amount of the facility and the repurchase agreement had an initial 12 month term. The Company guaranteed RCC Real Estate's performance of its obligations under the repurchase agreement.

Residential Mortgage Financing Agreements

PCM has a master repurchase agreement with New Century Bank d/b/a Customer's Bank ("New Century") to finance the acquisition of residential mortgage loans. The facility has a maximum amount of \$30.0 million and a termination date of August 30, 2015, which was amended from the original terms over the course of seven amendments. The facility bears interest at one month LIBOR plus an applicable rate between 2.63% and 4.875%.

The New Century facility contains provisions that provide New Century with certain rights if certain credit events have occurred with respect to one or more assets financed on the New Century facility to either require PCM to repay a portion of the advance on such asset(s) or repay such advance in full (by repurchase of such asset(s)). Depending on the nature of the credit event, such repayment may be required notwithstanding the availability of interest and principal payments from assets financed on the New Century facility, or may only be required to the extent of the availability of such payments.

The New Century facility contains events of default (subject to certain materiality thresholds and grace periods) customary for this type of financing arrangement, including but not limited to: payment defaults; bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings; a change in the nature of PCM's business as a mortgage banker as presently conducted or a change in senior management, including the employment of two senior members of PCM's management staff; breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties; performance defaults by PCM; a judgment in an amount greater than \$10,000 against PCM or \$50,000 in the aggregate against PCM. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of the principal amount outstanding under the New Century facility and the liquidation by New Century of assets then subject to the New Century facility. The agreement requires PCM to maintain a minimum maintenance balance account at all times of \$1.5 million and PCM was in compliance as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

On November 30, 2014, PCM received a waiver from New Century on the facility's minimum liquidity covenant. The waiver removed all existing defaults and waived the required covenants from December 1, 2014 through January 9, 2015. PCM was in compliance with all other covenants under the agreement as of December 31, 2014.

PCM had a loan participation agreement with ViewPoint Bank, NA ("ViewPoint") to finance the acquisition of residential mortgage loans. The facility had a maximum amount of \$15.0 million and a termination date of December 30, 2014, which was amended from the original terms over the course of five amendments. The facility bore interest at one month LIBOR with a 4.00% floor. In November 2014, PCM terminated its agreement with Viewpoint and there were no borrowings outstanding under this facility as of December 31, 2014.

In July 2014, PCM entered into a master repurchase agreement with Wells Fargo to finance the acquisition of residential mortgage loans. The Wells Fargo facility contains provisions that provide Wells Fargo with certain rights if certain credit events have occurred with respect to one or more assets financed on the Wells Fargo facility to either require PCM to repay a portion of the advance on such asset(s) or repay such advance in full (by repurchase of such

asset(s)). Depending on the nature of the credit event, such repayment may be required notwithstanding the availability of interest and principal payments from assets financed on the Wells Fargo facility, or may only be required to the extent of the availability of such payments. The facility has a maximum amount of \$75.0 million, a termination date of July 2, 2015, and bears interest at a rate of LIBOR plus an applicable loan margin. The loan margin for jumbo loans that have been purchased and held by Wells Fargo for over 180 days is 3.00%; the loan margin for all other assets financed is 2.50%.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

146

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

The Wells Fargo facility contains events of default (subject to certain materiality thresholds and grace periods) customary for this type of financing arrangement, including but not limited to: payment defaults; bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings; a change in the nature of PCM's business as a mortgage banker as presently conducted; breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties; performance defaults by PCM; and a judgment in an amount greater than \$250,000 against PCM. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of the principal amount outstanding under the Wells Fargo facility and the liquidation by Wells Fargo of assets then subject to the Wells Fargo facility.

On November 30, 2014, PCM received a waiver from Wells Fargo on the facility's minimum liquidity requirement. The waiver removed all existing defaults and waived the required covenants from December 1, 2014 through January 9, 2015. PCM was in compliance with all other covenants under the agreement as of December 31, 2014.

Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility

On September 18, 2014, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Northport LLC, closed a \$110.0 million syndicated senior secured revolving credit facility ("Northport Credit Facility") with JP Morgan as the agent bank to finance the origination of middle market and syndicated loans. On September 30, 2014, the accordion feature of the Credit Facility was exercised to bring the facility capacity to \$225.0 million and concurrently an additional \$15.0 million was secured through the addition of a new lender to the syndicate, bringing the effective commitment to \$125.0 million. The Company has access to draws on the Northport Credit Facility until September 18, 2017, all outstanding borrowings under the Northport Credit Facility must be repaid by the maturity date of September 18, 2018.

The Northport Credit Facility bears interest rates, at the Company's election, on a per annum basis equal to (i) the applicable LIBOR rate plus 2.50% or (ii) the applicable base rate (prime rate of 3.25% as of December 31, 2014) plus 1.50%. During the six month period following September 18, 2014, the Company is charged a commitment fee on any unused balance of 0.375% per annum if the unused balance is greater than 35% of the total commitment or 0.50% per annum if it is less than 35% of the total commitment. After the six month period, the commitment fee on any unused balance is 0.375% per annum if the unused balance is greater than 35% of the total commitment or 1.00% per annum if the unused balance is 35% or less of the total commitment. At December 31, 2014, there was an unused balance of \$11.5 million on the facility.

Amounts available to borrow under the Credit Facility are subject to compliance with a borrowing base computation that applies different advance rates to different types of assets held by Northport LLC that are pledged as collateral. Under the Credit Facility, the Company has made certain customary representations and warranties and is required to comply with various covenants, including leverage restrictions, reporting requirements and other customary requirements for similar credit facilities. At December 31, 2014, the Company is in compliance with all covenants under the agreement. The Company guarantees Northport LLC's performance of its obligations under this Credit Facility.

NOTE 15 - STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS AND SHARE ISSUANCE AND REPURCHASE

Upon formation of the Company, the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2005 Plan") was adopted for the purpose of attracting and retaining executive officers, employees, directors and other persons and entities that provide services to the Company. The 2005 Plan authorizes the issuance of up to 1,533,333 shares of common stock in the form of options to purchase common stock, stock awards, performance shares and stock appreciation rights.

In July 2007, the Company's shareholders approved the 2007 Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan (the "2007 Plan"). The 2007 Plan authorizes the issuance of up to 2,000,000 shares of common stock in the form of options to purchase common stock, stock awards, performance shares and stock appreciation rights. On June 23, 2011, the 2007 Plan was amended to: (i) increase the number of shares authorized for issuance under the Plan from 2,000,000 shares to 5,400,000 shares; (ii) extend the expiration date of the Plan to June 23, 2021; (iii) provide that the Administrator making certain determinations after a change of control, as defined in the 2007 Plan, will be comprised of the same

persons who constitute the Administrator immediately before the change of control; and (iv) make other clarifying and updating amendments to the Plan.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

147

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following table summarizes the Company's preferred stock:

|                                 | Year ended December 31, 2014 |                                 | Total Outstanding |                                 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                 | Number of Shares             | Weighted Average Offering Price | Number of Shares  | Weighted Average Offering Price |
| 8.50% Series A Preferred Stock  | 388,064                      | \$23.82                         | 1,069,016         | \$24.05                         |
| 8.25% Series B Preferred Stock  | 2,116,068                    | \$23.02                         | 5,601,146         | \$23.86                         |
| 8.625% Series C Preferred Stock | 4,800,000                    | \$24.21                         | 4,800,000         | \$24.21                         |

On or after June 14, 2017, the Company may, at its option, redeem the Series A preferred stock, in whole or part, at any time and from time to time, for cash at \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to the redemption date.

On or after October 2, 2017, the Company may, at its option, redeem the Series B preferred stock, in whole or part, at any time and from time to time, for cash at \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to the redemption date.

On or after July 30, 2024, the Company may, at its option, redeem the Series C preferred stock, in whole or part, at any time and from time to time, for cash at \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends, if any, to the redemption date.

Under a dividend reinvestment plan authorized by the board of directors on March 21, 2013, the Company is authorized to issue up to 20,000,000 shares of common stock. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company sold approximately 5.5 million shares of common stock through this program, resulting in proceeds of \$30.3 million.

#### NOTE 16 - SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes the Company's restricted common stock transactions:

|   | Non-Employee Directors | Non-Employees | Employees | Total       |
|---|------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|
| Unvested shares as of January 1, 2014   | 38,704                 | 2,835,523     | 238,368   | 3,112,595   |
| Issued                                  | 43,718                 | 823,895       | 22,318    | 889,931     |
| Vested                                  | (33,219)               | (1,846,565)   | (99,103)  | (1,978,887) |
| Forfeited                               | —                      | —             | —         | —           |
| Unvested shares as of December 31, 2014 | 49,203                 | 1,812,853     | 161,583   | 2,023,639   |

The Company is required to value any unvested shares of restricted common stock granted to non-employees at the current market price. The estimated fair value of the unvested shares of restricted stock granted during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, including the grant date fair value of shares issued to the Company's seven non-employee directors, was \$5.0 million, \$5.1 million, and \$12.9 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes the restricted common stock grants during the year ended December 31, 2014:

| Date               | Shares  | Vesting/Year    | Date(s)  |
|--------------------|---------|-----------------|--|
| January 30, 2014   | 459,307 | 33.3%           | 1/30/15, 1/30/16, 1/30/17                                    |
| January 30, 2014   | 22,318  | 33.3%           | 1/30/15, 1/30/16, 1/30/17                                    |
| February 3, 2014   | 5,972   | 100%            | 2/3/15   |
| March 11, 2014     | 25,770  | 100%            | 3/11/15  |
| March 12, 2014     | 6,044   | 100%            | 3/12/15  |
| March 31, 2014     | 112,000 | 1/6 per quarter | 3/31/14, 6/30/14, 9/30/14, 12/31/14, 3/31/15, 6/30/15<br>(1) |
| March 31, 2014     | 8,976   | 25%             | 3/31/15, 3/31/16, 3/31/17, 3/31/18                           |
| June 6, 2014       | 5,932   | 100%            | 6/6/15   |
| September 24, 2014 | 165,028 | 50%             | 7/1/16, 7/1/17   |



Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|                    |         |      |         |
|--------------------|---------|------|---------|
| September 24, 2014 | 78,584  | 100% | 5/15/17 |
| Total shares       | 889,931 |      |         |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

148

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

In connection with a grant of restricted common stock made on August 25, 2011, the Company agreed to issue up to 336,000 additional shares of common stock if certain loan origination performance thresholds were achieved by personnel from the Company's loan origination team. The performance criteria were measured at the end of three annual measurement periods beginning April 1, 2011. The agreement also provided dividend equivalent rights (1) pursuant to which the dividends that would have been paid on the shares had they been issued on the date of grant were paid at the end of each annual measurement period if the performance criteria were met. If the performance criteria were not met, the accrued dividends were forfeited. As a consequence, the Company did not record the dividend equivalent rights until earned. On March 31, 2014, the third annual measurement period ended and 112,000 shares were earned. In addition, \$258,000 of accrued dividends equivalents rights were earned.

In connection with a grant of restricted common stock made on September 24, 2014, the Company agreed to issue up to 70,728 additional shares of common stock if certain loan origination performance thresholds are achieved by personnel from the Company's loan origination team. The performance criteria are measured at the end of two annual measurement periods beginning March 31, 2015. The agreement also provides dividend equivalent rights pursuant to which the dividends that would have been paid on the shares had they been issued on the date of grant will be paid at the end of each annual measurement period if the performance criteria are met. If the performance criteria are not met, the accrued dividends will be forfeited. As a consequence, the Company will not record the dividend equivalent rights until earned. At December 31, 2014, there were \$21,000 of dividends payable upon achievement of performance criteria. If earned, the performance shares will vest over the subsequent 12 months at a rate of 25% per quarter.

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's unvested stock options as of December 31, 2014:

| Unvested Options              | Options  | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|-------------------------------|----------|--|
| Unvested at January 1, 2014   | 13,334   | \$0.01                                 |
| Granted                       | —        | —                                      |
| Vested                        | (13,334) | ) 0.01                                 |
| Forfeited                     | —        | —                                      |
| Unvested at December 31, 2014 | —        | \$—                                    |

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's vested stock options as of December 31, 2014:

| Vested Options                 | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Vested as of January 1, 2014   | 627,332           | \$ 14.62                        |  |  |
| Vested                         | 13,334            | \$6.40                          |  |  |
| Exercised                      | —                 | \$—                             |  |  |
| Forfeited                      | —                 | \$—                             |  |  |
| Vested as of December 31, 2014 | 640,666           | \$ 14.45                        | 0.80   | \$—                                      |

There were no options granted during the years ended December 31, 2014, and 2013. The outstanding stock options have a weighted average remaining contractual term of three years.

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, the components of equity compensation expense were as follows:

December 31,

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|   | 2014  | 2013   | 2012  |
|---|-------|--------|-------|
| Options granted to Manager and non-employees        | (2    | ) 6    | 2     |
| Restricted shares granted to non-employees          | 5,679 | 10,142 | 4,522 |
| Restricted shares granted to employees              | 633   | 106    | —     |
| Restricted shares granted to non-employee directors | 256   | 218    | 112   |
| Total equity compensation expense                   | 6,566 | 10,472 | 4,636 |

(Back to Index)

149

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

There were no incentive fees owed to the Manager for the year ended December 31, 2014. During the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Manager received 190,828, and 146,534 shares as incentive compensation valued at \$1.1 million, and \$814,000, respectively pursuant to the Management Agreement. The incentive management fee is paid one quarter in arrears.

Apart from incentive compensation payable under the Management Agreement, the Company has established no formal criteria for equity awards as of December 31, 2014. All awards are discretionary in nature and subject to approval by the Compensation Committee of the Company's board of directors.

NOTE 17 - EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table presents a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods presented as follows (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

|   | Years Ended December 31, |             |            |
|---|--------------------------|-------------|------------|
|   | 2014                     | 2013        | 2012       |
| Basic:  |                          |             |            |
| Net income allocable to common shares                               | \$44,027                 | \$39,232    | \$63,199   |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding                       | 128,031,064              | 118,478,672 | 88,410,272 |
| Basic net income per share  | \$0.34                   | \$0.33      | \$0.71     |
| Diluted:  |                          |             |            |
| Net income allocable to common shares                               | \$44,027                 | \$39,232    | \$63,199   |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding                       | 128,031,064              | 118,478,672 | 88,410,272 |
| Additional shares due to assumed conversion of dilutive instruments | 1,228,322                | 1,560,301   | 874,216    |
| Adjusted weighted-average number of common shares outstanding       | 129,259,386              | 120,038,973 | 89,284,488 |
| Diluted net income per share  | \$0.34                   | \$0.33      | \$0.71     |

Potentially dilutive shares relating to 17,267,273 shares issuable in connection with the Company's 6% Convertible Senior Notes (see Note 14) for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 3,999,505 and 641,666 shares for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 respectively, were not included in the calculation of diluted net income per share because the effect was anti-dilutive.

NOTE 18 - ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table, which is presented gross of tax, presents the changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

|   | Net unrealized<br>(loss) gain on<br>derivatives | Net unrealized<br>(loss) gain on<br>securities,<br>available-for-sale | Foreign<br>currency<br>translation | Net unrealized<br>(loss) gain |
|---|---|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| January 1, 2014   | \$(11,155 )                                     | \$(3,084 )  | \$196                              | \$(14,043 )                   |
| Other comprehensive gain (loss) before reclassifications  | 1,906   | 13,937  | (608 )                             | 15,235                        |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income                                  | 282   | 9,051   | —                                  | 9,333                         |
| Net current-period other comprehensive income   | 2,188   | 22,988  | (608 )                             | 24,568                        |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities allocable to non-controlling interests | —   | (4,482 )  | —                                  | (4,482 )                      |
| December 31, 2014   | \$(8,967 )                                      | \$15,422  | \$(412 )                           | \$6,043                       |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

150

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

NOTE 19 - THE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

On March 8, 2005, the Company entered into a Management Agreement with the Manager and Resource America pursuant to which the Manager provides the Company investment management, administrative and related services. The agreement has been amended several times over the years. Under the amended and restated agreement, the Manager receives fees and is reimbursed for its expenses as follows:

A monthly base management fee equal to 1/12th of the amount of the Company's equity multiplied by 1.50%. Under the management agreement, "equity" is equal to the net proceeds from any issuance of shares of capital stock less offering related costs, plus or minus the Company's retained earnings (excluding non-cash equity compensation incurred in current or prior periods) less any amounts the Company has paid for common stock repurchases. The calculation is adjusted for one-time events due to changes in GAAP, as well as other non-cash charges, upon approval of the independent directors of the Company.

Incentive compensation is calculated as follows: (i) twenty-five percent (25%) of the dollar amount by which (A) the Company's adjusted operating earnings (before incentive compensation but after the base management fee) for such quarter per common share (based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for such quarter) exceeds (B) an amount equal to (1) the weighted average of the price per share of the common shares in the initial offering by the Company and the prices per share of the Common Shares in any subsequent offerings by the Company, in each case at the time of issuance thereof, multiplied by (2) the greater of (a) 2.0% and (b) 0.50% plus one-fourth of the Ten Year Treasury Rate for such quarter, multiplied by (ii) the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during such quarter, subject to adjustment, to exclude events pursuant to changes in GAAP or the application of GAAP, as well as non-recurring or unusual transactions or events, after discussion between the Manager and the Independent Directors and approval by a majority of the independent directors in the case of non-recurring or unusual transactions or events. The fees paid by a taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company to employees, agents or affiliates of the Manager with respect to profits of such taxable REIT subsidiary (or any subsidiary thereof) are deducted from the Company's quarterly calculation of incentive compensation payable to the Manager. Additionally, any income taxes payable by a taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company will be excluded from the Company's calculation of operating earnings.

Reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses and certain other costs incurred by the Manager that relate directly to the Company and its operations.

Incentive compensation is paid quarterly. Up to 75% of the incentive compensation is paid in cash and at least 25% is paid in the form of an award of common stock. The Manager may elect to receive more than 25% in incentive compensation in common stock. All shares are fully vested upon issuance. However, the Manager may not sell such shares for one year after the incentive compensation becomes due and payable. Shares payable as incentive compensation are valued as follows:

- if such shares are traded on a securities exchange, at the average of the closing prices of the shares on such exchange over the thirty day period ending three days prior to the issuance of such shares;
- if such shares are actively traded over-the-counter, at the average of the closing bid or sales price as applicable over the thirty day period ending three days prior to the issuance of such shares; and
- if there is no active market for such shares, the value is the fair market value thereof, as reasonably determined in good faith by the board of directors of the Company.

On February 24, 2011, the Company entered into an amendment to the Management Agreement in where, the Company agreed to pay CVC Credit Partners, LLC, formerly Apidos Capital Management ("ACM") such fees as are set forth in a Services Agreement dated as of February 24, 2011 among a subsidiary of the Company, RCAM and CVC. The Services Agreement provides that 10% of all base collateral management fees and additional collateral management fees paid to RCAM and 50% of all incentive collateral management fees will be paid by RCAM to CVC. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, RCAM paid CVC \$1.3 million, \$643,000 and \$800,000

respectively in fees.

The Manager provides the Company with a Chairman, a Chief Financial Officer, a Chief Accounting Officer and several accounting and tax professionals, each of whom is exclusively dedicated to the Company's operations. The Manager also provides the Company with a director of investor relations who is 50% dedicated to the Company's operations. The Company bears the expense of the wages, salaries and benefits of the Chief Financial Officer and a sufficient amount of additional accounting and tax professionals, and bears 50% of the salary and benefits of the director of investor relations.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

151

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

In November 2013, the Company amended the second amended and restated management agreement to allow an ancillary operating subsidiary (PCM), that is an operating entity principally engaged in the evaluation, underwriting, origination, servicing, holding, trading and financing of loans, securities, investments and credit products other than commercial real estate loans to directly incur and pay all of its own operating costs and expenses, including compensation of employees and reimbursement of any compensation costs incurred by the Manager for personnel principally devoted to such ancillary operating subsidiary.

As amended, the Management Agreement's initial term ended March 31, 2013, with automatic annual one-year renewals unless at the end of the initial term or any renewal term at least two-thirds of the independent directors or a majority of the outstanding common shares agreed not to renew the Management Agreement. With a two-thirds vote of the independent directors, the independent directors may elect to terminate the Management Agreement because of the following:

• unsatisfactory performance; and/or

• unfair compensation payable to the Manager where fair compensation cannot be agreed upon by the Company (pursuant to a vote of two-thirds of the independent directors) and the Manager.

If the Management Agreement is terminated based on the above provisions, the Company must pay the Manager a termination fee equal to four times the sum of the average annual base management fee and the average annual incentive during the two 12-month periods immediately preceding the date of such termination. The Company is also entitled to terminate the Management Agreement for cause (as defined therein) without payment of any termination fee.

The base management fee for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$13.0 million, \$11.6 million and \$8.3 million, respectively. There was no incentive management fee earned during the year ended December 31, 2014. The Manager earned an incentive management fee of \$2.1 million of which \$1.5 million was paid in cash, which also included \$123,000 related to the Company's investment management agreement with a subsidiary of the Manager, and \$484,000 paid in stock (80,189 shares) for the period from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2013. The Manager earned an incentive management fee of \$9.6 million of which \$8.2 million was paid in cash, which also included \$3.7 million related to the Company's investment management agreement with a subsidiary of the Manager, and \$1.4 million was paid in stock (257,173 shares) for the period from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012.

At December 31, 2014, the Company was indebted to the Manager for base management fees of \$1.2 million, \$63,000 of fees payable to CVC from RCAM, and expense reimbursements of \$121,000. At December 31, 2013, the Company was indebted to the Manager for base management fees of \$1.0 million, incentive management fees to a subsidiary of the Manager under the Company's investment management agreement of \$123,000, \$85,000 of fees payable to CVC from RCAM, and expense reimbursements of \$166,000.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

152

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

NOTE 20 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Relationship with Resource America and Certain of its Subsidiaries

Relationship with Resource America. On September 19, 2013, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Resource America concluded that Resource America should consolidate the financial statements of the Company, which was previously treated as an unconsolidated variable interest entity. The Audit Committee reached this conclusion after consultations with the Office of the Chief Accountant of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) following comments received from the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance of the Commission and the Audit Committee's discussion with the Company's management and its independent registered public accounting firm. Resource America's Audit Committee noted that consolidation of the Company was not expected to materially affect Resource America's previously reported net income attributable to common shareholders. At December 31, 2014, Resource America owned 2,861,592 shares, or 2.2%, of the Company's outstanding common stock. In addition, Resource America held 2,166 options to purchase restricted stock.

The Company is managed by the Manager, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, pursuant to a Management Agreement that provides for both base and incentive management fees. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, the Manager earned base management fees of approximately \$13.0 million, \$11.6 million and \$8.3 million, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Manager earned incentive management fees of \$1.9 million and \$6.0 million, respectively. No fees were paid for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Company also reimburses the Manager and Resource America for expenses, including the expense of employees of Resource America who perform legal, accounting, due diligence and other services that outside professionals or consultants would otherwise perform, and for the wages, salaries and benefits of several Resource America personnel dedicated to the Company's operations. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, the Company paid the Manager \$5.0 million, \$3.8 million and \$3.6 million, respectively, as expense reimbursements.

On November 24, 2010, the Company entered into an Investment Management Agreement with Resource Capital Markets, Inc. (“RCM”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America. The initial agreement provided that: (a) RCM may invest up to \$5.0 million of the Company's funds, with the investable amount being adjusted by portfolio gains (losses) and collections, and offset by expenses, taxes and realized management fees, and (b) RCM can earn a management fee in any year that the net profits earned exceed a preferred return. On June 17, 2011, the Company entered into a revised Investment Management Agreement with RCM which provided an additional \$8.0 million of the Company's funds. The management fee is 20% of the amount by which the net profits exceed the preferred return. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, RCM earned \$0, \$123,000 and \$3.7 million in management fees, respectively. The portfolio began a partial liquidation during the year ended December 31, 2013 that has resulted in the outstanding portfolio balance being significantly decreased. The Company has reinvested gains from its activity and holds \$3.4 million in fair market value of trading securities as of December 31, 2014, a decrease of \$8.2 million from \$11.6 million at fair market value as of December 31, 2013. During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, RCM earned \$0, \$35,000 and \$560,000, respectively, as its share of the net profits as defined in the Investment Management Agreement. The Company also reimburses RCM for expenses paid on the Company's behalf. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company paid RCM \$164,000, \$258,000 and \$167,000, respectively, as expense reimbursements.

At December 31, 2014, the Company was indebted to the Manager for \$1.6 million, comprised of base management fees of \$1.2 million and expense reimbursements of \$480,000. At December 31, 2013, the Company was indebted to the Manager for \$1.6 million, comprised of base management fees of \$997,000 and expense reimbursements of \$572,000. At December 31, 2014, the Company was indebted to RCM, under the Company's Investment Management Agreement for \$121,000, comprised entirely of expense reimbursements. At December 31, 2013, the Company was indebted to RCM for \$289,000, comprised of \$123,000 of incentive management fees and \$166,000 of expense

reimbursements.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company, through one of its subsidiaries, began originating middle-market loans, on which Resource America is paid origination fees. These fees may not exceed 2% of the loan balance for any loan originated.

On November 7, 2013, the Company, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, purchased all of the membership interests in Elevation Home Loans, LLC, a start-up residential mortgage company, from an employee of Resource America for \$830,000, paid in the form of 136,659 shares of restricted Company common stock. The restricted stock cliff vests in full on November 7, 2016, and includes dividend equivalent rights.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

153

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

The Company had executed eight and seven securitizations as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, which were structured for the Company by the Manager. Under the Management Agreement, the Manager was not separately compensated by the Company for executing these transactions and is not separately compensated for managing the securitization's entities and their assets. The Company liquidated one of these securitizations in October 2013 and another in October 2014.

**Relationship with LEAF Commercial Capital.** Leaf Commercial Capital ("LCC"), formerly LEAF Financial, originated and managed equipment leases and notes on behalf of the Company. On March 5, 2010, the Company entered into agreements with Lease Equity Appreciation Fund II, L.P. ("LEAF II") (an equipment leasing partnership sponsored by LEAF Financial and of which a LEAF Financial subsidiary is the general partner), pursuant to which the Company provided and funded an \$8.0 million credit facility to LEAF II. The credit facility initially had a one year term at with interest at 12% per year, payable quarterly, and was secured by all the assets of LEAF II, including its entire ownership interest in LEAF II Receivables Funding. The Company received a 1% origination fee in connection with establishing the facility. The facility originally matured on March 3, 2011 and was extended until September 3, 2011 with a 1% extension fee paid on the outstanding loan balance. On June 3, 2011, the Company entered into an amendment to extend the maturity to February 15, 2012 and to decrease the interest rate from 12% to 10% per annum resulting in a troubled-debt restructuring under current accounting guidance. On February 15, 2012, the credit facility was further amended to extend the maturity to February 15, 2013 with a 1% extension fee accrued and added to the amount outstanding. On January 11, 2013, the Company entered into another amendment to extend the maturity to February 15, 2014 with an additional 1% extension fee accrued and added to the amount outstanding. On December 17, 2013, the Company entered into another amendment to extend the maturity to February 15, 2015. During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recorded a provision for loan loss on this loan of \$1.3 million before extinguishing the loan and bringing direct financing leases in the amount of \$2.1 million on the Company's books in lieu of the loan receivable.

On November 16, 2011, the Company together with LEAF Financial and LCC entered into the SPA with Eos (see Note 3). The Company's resulting interest is accounted for under the equity method. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded losses of \$1.6 million, \$183,000 and \$3.3 million, respectively, which were recorded in equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The Company's investment in LCC was valued at \$39.4 million and \$41.0 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

**Relationship with CVC Credit Partners.** On April 17, 2012, ACM, a former subsidiary of Resource America, was sold to CVC Credit Partners, a joint venture entity in which Resource America owns a 33% interest. CVC Credit Partners manages internally and externally originated bank loan assets on the Company's behalf. On February 24, 2011, a subsidiary of the Company purchased 100% of the ownership interests in Churchill Pacific Asset Management LLC ("CPAM") from Churchill Financial Holdings LLC for \$22.5 million. CPAM subsequently changed its name to RCAM. Through RCAM, the Company is entitled to collect senior, subordinated and incentive fees related to five CLOs holding approximately \$1.9 billion in assets managed by RCAM. RCAM is assisted by CVC Credit Partners in managing these CLOs. CVC Credit Partners is entitled to 10% of all subordinated fees and 50% of the incentive fees received by RCAM. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, CVC Credit Partners earned subordinated fees of \$1.3 million, \$643,000 and \$800,000, respectively. In October 2012, the Company purchased 66.6% of the preferred equity in one of the RCAM CLOs. In May 2013, the Company purchased additional equity in this CLO, increasing its ownership percentage to 68.3%. In September 2013, this CLO was called and the notes were paid down in full. Another RCAM-managed CLO also elected to redeem its outstanding notes in whole in February 2013.

In May, June and July 2013, the Company invested a total of \$15.0 million in CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund which generally invests in assets through the Master Fund (see Note 3). The fund will pay the investment manager a

quarterly management fee in advance calculated at the rate of 1.5% annually based on the balance of each limited partner's capital account. The Company's management fee was waived upon entering the agreement given that the Company is a related party of CVC Credit Partners. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded earnings of \$2.0 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, which was recorded in equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The Company's investment balance of \$18.2 million and \$16.2 million, respectively, is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets using the equity method.

**Relationship with Resource Real Estate.** Resource Real Estate, a subsidiary of Resource America, originates, finances and manages the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio, including whole loans, B notes, mezzanine loans, and investments in real estate. The Company reimburses Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs associated with all loans originated. The Company had a receivable of \$100,000 due from Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs in connection with the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio as of December 31, 2014. The Company had no indebtedness to Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs in connection with the Company's commercial real estate loan portfolio as of December 31, 2013.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

154

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

On August 9, 2006, the Company, through its subsidiary, RCC Real Estate, originated a loan to Lynnfield Place, a multi-family apartment property, in the amount of \$22.4 million. The loan was then purchased by RREF CDO 2006-1. The loan, which was set to mature on May 9, 2018, carried an interest rate of LIBOR plus a spread of 3.50% with a LIBOR floor of 2.50%. On June 14, 2011, RCC Real Estate converted this loan collateralized by a multi-family building, to equity. The loan was kept outstanding and was used as collateral in RREF CDO 2006-1. RREM was appointed as the asset manager as of August 1, 2011. RREM performed lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and/or entitlements and permitting, as applicable. RREM was also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM was entitled to a monthly asset management fee equal to 4.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM in the amounts of \$127,000, \$136,000 and \$136,000 during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The property was sold during the last quarter of 2014 for a gain of \$1.9 million.

On December 1, 2009, the Company purchased a membership interest in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC (an unconsolidated VIE that held an interest in a real estate joint venture) from Resource America for \$2.1 million, its book value (see Note 3). RREM was asset manager of the venture and received a monthly asset management fee equal to 1.0% of the combined investment calculated as of the last calendar day of the month. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company paid RREM management fees of \$6,000, \$28,000 and \$45,000, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded income from RRE VIP Borrower of \$3.5 million, \$278,000 and \$683,000, respectively, which was recorded in equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The last property associated with the joint venture was sold in July 2014.

On January 15, 2010, the Company loaned \$2.0 million to Resource Capital Partners, Inc. (“RCP”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, so that it could acquire a 5.0% limited partnership interest in Resource Real Estate Opportunity Fund, L.P. (“RRE Opportunity Fund”). RCP is the general partner of the RRE Opportunity Fund. The loan is secured by RCP’s partnership interest in the RRE Opportunity Fund. The promissory note bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance. In the event of default, interest will accrue and be payable at a rate of 5.0% in excess of the fixed rate. Interest is payable quarterly. Mandatory principal payments must also be made to the extent distributable cash or other proceeds from the partnership represent a return of RCP’s capital. The loan was set to mature on January 14, 2015, and RCP elected to extend the loan until January 14, 2016. RCP has one additional option to extend for another 12-month period after this maturity. Principal payments of \$391,000 and \$621,000 were made during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. The loan balance was \$558,000 and \$950,000 at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

On June 21, 2011, the Company entered into a joint venture with an unaffiliated third party to form CR SLH Partners, L.P. (“SLH Partners”) to purchase a defaulted promissory note secured by a mortgage on a multi-family apartment building. The Company purchased a 10% equity interest in the venture and also loaned SLH Partners \$7.0 million to finance the project secured by a first mortgage lien on the property. The loan had a maturity date of September 21, 2012 and bore interest at a fixed rate of 10.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance, payable monthly. The Company received a commitment fee equal to 1.0% of the loan amount at the origination of the loan and received a \$70,000 exit fee upon repayment. On May 23, 2012, SLH Partners repaid the \$7.0 million loan in its entirety. RREM was appointed as the asset manager of the venture. RREM performed lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM was also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM received an annual asset management fee equal to 2.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property. The Company held a \$975,000 preferred equity investment in SLH Partners as of December 31, 2013. The investment was sold in 2014 for a \$912,000 gain which is recorded on the Company's statement of income

in equity of earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries.

On August 1, 2011, the Company, through RCC Real Estate, entered into an agreement to purchase WhisperTree Apartments, a multi-family apartment building, for \$18.1 million. RREM was appointed as asset manager. RREM performed lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM was also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM was entitled to a monthly asset management fee equal to the greater of 4.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property or \$12,600. The Company incurred fees payable to RREM in the amounts of \$151,000 and \$167,000 during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. No fees were paid for the year ended December 31, 2014 as the property was sold on September 30, 2013 for a gain of \$16.6 million, which was recorded in gain on sale of real estate on the consolidated statements of income.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

155

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

On June 19, 2012, the Company entered into a joint venture with Värde Investment Partners, LP acting as lender, to purchase two condominium developments. RREM acted as asset manager and was responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM received an annual asset management fee equal to 1% of outstanding contributions. No management fees were paid for the year ended December 31, 2014, as all condominiums were sold as of December 31, 2013. The Company paid RREM management fees of \$38,000 and \$39,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company recorded a loss of \$20,000, income of \$148,000 and a loss of \$135,000, respectively, which were recorded in equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income.

In December 2013, the Company closed RCC CRE Notes 2013, a \$307.8 million real estate securitization that provides financing for commercial real estate loans. Resource Real Estate serves as special servicer. With respect to each Specialty Service Mortgage Loan, Resource Real Estate receives an amount equal to the product of (a) the Special Servicing Fee Rate, 0.25% per annum, and (b) the outstanding principal balance of such Specialty Service Mortgage Loan. The servicing fee is payable monthly, on an asset-by-asset basis. The Company utilizes the brokerage services of Resource Securities Inc. ("Resource Securities"), a wholly-owned broker-dealer subsidiary of Resource America, on a limited basis to conduct some of its asset trades. The Company paid Resource Securities a \$205,000 placement agent fee in connection with this transaction.

On July 30, 2014, the Company closed RCC CRE 2014, a \$353.9 million real estate securitization that provides financing for commercial real estate loans. Resource Real Estate serves as special servicer. With respect to each Specialty Service Mortgage Loan, Resource Real Estate receives an amount equal to the product of (a) the Special Servicing Fee Rate, 0.25% per annum, and (b) the outstanding principal balance of such Specialty Service Mortgage Loan. The servicing fee is payable monthly, on an asset by asset basis. The Company paid Resource Securities a \$175,000 placement agent fee in connection with this transaction.

In July 2014, the Company formed RCM Global Manager to invest in RCM Global, an entity formed to hold a portfolio of structured product securities. The Company contributed \$15.0 million for a 63.8% membership interest in RCM Global. A five member board manages RCM Global, and all actions, including purchases and sales, must be approved by no less than three of the five members of the board. The portion of RCM Global that the Company does not own is presented as non-controlling interests as of the dates and for the periods presented in the Company's consolidated financial statements. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In September 2014, the Company contributed \$17.5 million to Pelium Capital for an initial ownership interest of 80.4%. Pelium Capital is a specialized credit opportunity fund managed by Resource America. The Company has committed to contributing an additional \$2.5 million into the fund. The Company will receive 10% of the carried interest in the partnership for the first five years which can increase its interest to 20% if the Company's capital contributions aggregate \$40.0 million. Resource America contributed securities of \$2.8 million to the formation of Pelium Capital. The portion of the fund that the Company does not own is presented as non-controlling interests as of the dates and for the periods presented in the Company's consolidated financial statements. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. As of December 31, 2014, the Company held a 74.1% interest in Pelium Capital.

Relationship with Law Firm. Until 1996, Edward E. Cohen, a director who was the Company's Chairman from its inception until November 2009, was of counsel to Ledgewood, P.C., a law firm. In addition, one of the Company's executive officers, Jeffrey F. Brotman, was employed by Ledgewood until 2007. Mr. E. Cohen receives certain debt service payments from Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with Ledgewood and its redemption of his interest in the firm. Mr. Brotman also receives certain debt service payments from Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with the firm. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company paid Ledgewood \$280,000, \$360,000 and \$438,000, respectively, in connection with legal services rendered to the

Company.

NOTE 21 - DISTRIBUTIONS

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company has declared and paid \$0.80, \$0.80, and \$0.80 dividends per common share, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

156

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

In order to qualify as a REIT, the Company must currently distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income. In addition, the Company must distribute 100% of its taxable income in order not to be subject to corporate federal income taxes on retained income. The Company anticipates it will distribute substantially all of its taxable income to its stockholders. Because taxable income differs from cash flow from operations due to non-cash revenues or expenses (such as provisions for loan and lease losses and depreciation), in certain circumstances, the Company may generate operating cash flow in excess of its distributions or, alternatively, may be required to borrow to make sufficient distribution payments.

The Company's 2015 dividends will be determined by the Company's board of directors which will also consider the composition of any dividends declared, including the option of paying a portion in cash and the balance in additional common shares.

The following tables presents dividends declared (on a per share basis) for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012:

## Common Stock

|              | Date Paid        | Total<br>Dividend Paid<br>(in thousands) | Dividend<br>Per Share |
|--------------|------------------|--|-----------------------|
| 2014         |                  |  |                       |
| March 31     | April 28         | \$25,663                                 | \$0.20                |
| June 30      | July 28          | \$26,179                                 | \$0.20                |
| September 30 | October 28       | \$26,629                                 | \$0.20                |
| December 31  | January 28, 2015 | \$26,563                                 | \$0.20                |
| 2013         |                  |  |                       |
| March 31     | April 26         | \$21,634                                 | \$0.20                |
| June 30      | July 26          | \$25,399                                 | \$0.20                |
| September 30 | October 28       | \$25,447                                 | \$0.20                |
| December 31  | January 28, 2014 | \$25,536                                 | \$0.20                |
| 2012         |                  |  |                       |
| March 31     | April 27         | \$16,921                                 | \$0.20                |
| June 30      | July 26          | \$17,253                                 | \$0.20                |
| September 30 | October 26       | \$19,897                                 | \$0.20                |
| December 31  | January 28, 2013 | \$21,024                                 | \$0.20                |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

## Preferred Stock

| Series A     |                  |                                       |                    | Series B         |                                       |                    | Series C         |                                       |                    |
|--------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
|              | Date Paid        | Total Dividend Paid<br>(in thousands) | Dividend Per Share | Date Paid        | Total Dividend Paid<br>(in thousands) | Dividend Per Share | Date Paid        | Total Dividend Paid<br>(in thousands) | Dividend Per Share |
| 2014         |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |
| March 31     | April 30         | \$ 463                                | \$0.53125          | April 30         | \$ 2,057                              | \$0.515625         | April 30         | \$ —                                  | \$ —               |
| June 30      | July 30          | \$ 537                                | \$0.53125          | July 30          | \$ 2,378                              | \$0.515625         | July 30          | \$ 1,437                              | \$0.0299479        |
| September 30 | October 30       | \$ 537                                | \$0.53125          | October 30       | \$ 2,430                              | \$0.515625         | October 30       | \$ 2,588                              | \$0.5390625        |
| December 31  | January 30, 2015 | \$ 568                                | \$0.53125          | January 30, 2015 | \$ 2,888                              | \$0.515625         | January 30, 2015 | 2,588                                 | \$0.5390625        |
| 2013         |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |
| March 31     | April 30         | \$ 359                                | \$0.53125          | April 30         | \$ 1,152                              | \$0.515625         |                  |                                       |                    |
| June 30      | July 30          | \$ 359                                | \$0.53125          | July 30          | \$ 1,584                              | \$0.515625         |                  |                                       |                    |
| September 30 | October 30       | \$ 362                                | \$0.53125          | October 30       | \$ 1,662                              | \$0.515625         |                  |                                       |                    |
| December 31  | January 30, 2014 | \$ 362                                | \$0.53125          | January 30, 2014 | \$ 1,797                              | \$0.515625         |                  |                                       |                    |
| 2012         |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |                  |                                       |                    |
| March 31     | —                | \$ —                                  | \$ —               | —                | \$ —                                  | \$ —               |                  |                                       |                    |
| June 30      | July 30          | \$ 93                                 | \$0.27153          | —                | \$ —                                  | \$ —               |                  |                                       |                    |
| September 30 | October 30       | \$ 359                                | \$0.53125          | October 30       | \$ 160                                | \$0.160420         |                  |                                       |                    |
| December 31  | January 30, 2013 | \$ 359                                | \$0.53125          | January 30, 2013 | \$ 576                                | \$0.515625         |                  |                                       |                    |

## NOTE 22 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In analyzing the fair value of its investments accounted for on a fair value basis, the Company uses the fair value hierarchy, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The Company determines fair value based on quoted prices when available or, if quoted prices are not available, through the use of alternative approaches, such as discounting the expected cash flows using market interest rates commensurate with the credit quality and duration of the investment. The hierarchy followed defines three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 - Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset and liability or can be corroborated with observable market data for substantially the entire contractual term of the asset or liability.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs that reflect the entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in the pricing of the asset or liability and are consequently not based on market activity, but rather through particular valuation techniques.

The determination of where an asset or liability falls in the hierarchy requires significant judgment. The Company evaluates its hierarchy disclosures each quarter; depending on various factors, it is possible that an asset or liability may be classified differently from quarter to quarter. However, the Company expects that changes in classifications between levels will be rare.

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The following is a discussion of these assets and liabilities as well as the valuation techniques applied to each for fair value measurement.

The Company reports its investment securities, available-for-sale at fair value. To determine fair value, the Company uses an independent third-party valuation firm utilizing data available in the market as well as appropriate prepayment, default, and recovery rates. These valuations are validated utilizing dealer quotes or bids. If there is a material difference between the value indicated by the third-party valuation firm and the dealer quote or bid, the Company will evaluate the difference, which could result in an updated valuation from the third party or a revised dealer quote. Any changes in the fair value of investment securities, available-for-sale are recorded in other comprehensive income. Based on a prioritization of inputs used in the valuation of each position, the Company categorizes these investments as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

The Company reports its investment securities, trading at fair value, based on an independent third-party valuation. The Company evaluates the reasonableness of the valuation it receives by using a dealer quote. If there is a material difference between the value indicated by the third party and a quote the Company receives, the Company will evaluate the difference, which could result in an updated valuation from the third party or a revised dealer quote.

Any changes in fair value are recorded in the Company's results of operations as net unrealized (loss) gain on investment securities, trading. The Company's investments securities, trading are generally classified as Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

The CMBS underlying the Company's linked transactions are valued using the same techniques as those used for the Company's other investment securities, available-for-sale. The value of the underlying CMBS is then netted against the carrying amount of the corresponding repurchase agreement obligation at the valuation date (which approximates its fair value). The fair value of linked transactions also includes accrued interest receivable on the CMBS and accrued interest payable on the underlying repurchase agreement obligations. The Company's linked transactions are generally classified as Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Derivatives (interest rate swaps and interest rate caps), both assets and liabilities, are reported at fair value, and are valued by a third-party pricing agent using an income approach with models that use, as their primary inputs, readily observable market parameters. This valuation process considers factors including interest rate yield curves, time value, credit factors and volatility factors. Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties. The Company assesses the significance of the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions and, if material, categorizes those derivatives within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The following table presents information about the Company's assets (including derivatives that are presented net) measured at fair value on a recurring basis and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized

by the Company to determine such fair value as follows (in thousands):

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

159

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

|  | Level 1 | Level 2  | Level 3   | Total     |
|--|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                 |         |          |           |           |
| Assets:                                  |         |          |           |           |
| Investment securities, trading           | \$—     | \$—      | \$20,786  | \$20,786  |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | —       | 33,158   | 242,562   | 275,720   |
| CMBS - linked transactions               | —       | —        | 15,367    | 15,367    |
| Derivatives (net)                        | 3,429   | 7        | 1,868     | 5,304     |
| Total assets at fair value               | \$3,429 | \$33,165 | \$280,583 | \$317,177 |
| Liabilities:                             |         |          |           |           |
| Moselle CLO Notes                        | \$—     | \$—      | \$68,940  | \$68,940  |
| Derivatives (net)                        | —       | —        | 8,476     | 8,476     |
| Total liabilities at fair value          | \$—     | \$—      | \$77,416  | \$77,416  |
| As of December 31, 2013:                 |         |          |           |           |
| Assets:                                  |         |          |           |           |
| Investment securities, trading           | \$—     | \$—      | \$11,558  | \$11,558  |
| Investment securities available-for-sale | 2,370   | 92       | 207,375   | 209,837   |
| CMBS - linked transactions               | —       | —        | 30,066    | 30,066    |
| Total assets at fair value               | \$2,370 | \$92     | \$248,999 | \$251,461 |
| Liabilities:                             |         |          |           |           |
| Derivatives (net)                        | \$—     | \$395    | \$10,191  | \$10,586  |
| Total liabilities at fair value          | \$—     | \$395    | \$10,191  | \$10,586  |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

160

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following table presents additional information about assets which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

|                            | CMBS including<br>Linked Transactions | ABS       | RMBS    | Structured<br>Finance | Total      |   |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------------|------------|---|
| Balance, January 1, 2014   | 210,785                               | 26,656    | 451     | 11,107                | 248,999    |   |
| Included in earnings       | 235                                   | 3,740     | (36     | ) (3,638              | ) 301      |   |
| Purchases                  | 123,671                               | 79,289    | 31,058  | 19,359                | 253,377    |   |
| Sales                      | (110,825                              | ) (38,262 | ) —     | (2,396                | ) (151,483 | ) |
| Paydowns                   | (43,891                               | ) (11,928 | ) (825  | ) (2,165              | ) (58,809  | ) |
| Issuances                  | —                                     | —         | —       | —                     | —          |   |
| Settlements                | —                                     | —         | —       | —                     | —          |   |
| Included in OCI            | 5,797                                 | 12,662    | 897     | (1,481                | ) 17,875   |   |
| Transfers into Level 2     | —                                     | —         | (31,545 | ) —                   | (31,545    | ) |
| Transfers into Level 3     | —                                     | —         | —       | —                     | —          |   |
| Balance, December 31, 2014 | 185,772                               | 72,157    | —       | 20,786                | 278,715    |   |

The Company's Level 3 assets also included \$898,000 related to the fair market value of warrants received as consideration as part of the origination of a middle market loan and approximately \$970,000 related to the fair value of interest rate locks in the Company's residential mortgage loan origination business.

The Company began using a third-party valuation firm to determine fair value of its remaining RMBS portfolio, which is comprised of new issue mezzanine and interest-only strips in a fixed-pool securitization collateralized by residential jumbo loans. As a result, \$31.5 million of RMBS were reclassified to Level 2 during the year ended December 31, 2014.

The Company had \$0, \$328,000 and \$42,000 of losses included in earnings due to the other-than-temporary impairment charges during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. With respect to the charge noted in December 31, 2013, the Company recorded a final charge-off adjustments on assets that had previous principal losses. For the year ended December 31, 2012, there was other-than-temporary impairment taken on one asset. These losses were included in the consolidated statements of income as net impairment losses recognized in earnings.

The following table presents additional information about liabilities which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs (in thousands):

|  | Level 3  |
|--|----------|
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2013                                     | \$14,077 |
| Unrealized losses – included in accumulated other comprehensive income | (3,886   |
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2014                                     | 10,191   |
| Unrealized losses – included in accumulated other comprehensive income | (1,715   |
| Ending balance, December 31, 2014                                      | \$8,476  |

For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company both acquired and liquidated the assets in Moselle CLO. As of December 31, 2014, all that remained of the Company's investment in Moselle CLO were cash, receivables related to the liquidation of Moselle CLO's assets, and the notes of the securitization (See Footnote 14 for further discussion of Moselle CLO's notes). At acquisition, the Company recorded \$176.9 million as the fair value of the notes (including the fair value of the securitized borrowing described in Footnote 14). During the year ended December 31, 2014, paydowns of \$100.3 million were received, and net fair value and foreign currency adjustments of \$7.5 million were recognized through earnings, resulting in a combined fair value of \$68.9 million (\$63.3 million of which was attributable to Moselle CLO's senior notes and \$5.6 million was attributable to Moselle CLO's securitized borrowings).

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

161

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

Loans held for sale consist of bank loans and CRE loans identified for sale due to credit concerns. Interest on loans held for sale is recognized according to the contractual terms of the loan and included in interest income on loans. The fair value of bank loans held for sale and impaired bank loans is based on what secondary markets are currently offering for these loans. As such, the Company classifies these loans as nonrecurring Level 2. For the Company's CRE loans where there is no primary market, fair value is measured using discounted cash flow analysis and other valuation techniques and these loans are classified as nonrecurring Level 3. The amount of nonrecurring fair value losses for impaired loans for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$1.3 million, \$3.1 million and \$7.8 million, respectively, and is included in the consolidated statements of income as provision for loan and lease losses.

The following table summarizes financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value as follows (in thousands):

|                            | Level 1 | Level 2  | Level 3   | Total     |
|----------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| As of December 31, 2014:   |         |          |           |           |
| Assets:                    |         |          |           |           |
| Loans held for sale        | \$—     | \$36,956 | \$—       | \$36,956  |
| Impaired loans             | —       | 1,678    | 137,811   | 139,489   |
| Total assets at fair value | \$—     | \$38,634 | \$137,811 | \$176,445 |

As of December 31, 2013:

|                            |     |         |          |          |
|----------------------------|-----|---------|----------|----------|
| Assets:                    |     |         |          |          |
| Loans held for sale        | \$— | \$6,850 | \$15,066 | \$21,916 |
| Impaired loans             | —   | 225     | —        | 225      |
| Total assets at fair value | \$— | \$7,075 | \$15,066 | \$22,141 |

For Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2014, the significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurements were as follows (in thousands):

|                                  | Fair Value at<br>December 31,<br>2014 | Valuation Technique  | Significant Unobservable Inputs | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Input Value |   |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Interest rate swap<br>agreements | \$8,476                               | Discounted cash flow | Weighted average credit spreads | 5.12                                       | % |

The Company is required to disclose the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value. The fair value of short-term financial instruments such as cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, principal paydown receivable, interest receivable, distribution payable and accrued interest expense approximates their carrying value on the consolidated balance sheets. The fair value of the Company's investment securities, trading is reported in see Note 6. The fair value of the Company's investment securities available-for-sale is reported in see Note 7. The fair value of the Company's derivative instruments and linked transactions is reported in this Note 21.

Loans held-for-investment: The fair value of the Company's Level 2 Loans held-for-investment was primarily measured using a third-party pricing service. The fair value of the Company's Level 3 Loans held-for-investment was measured by discounting the expected future cash flows using the current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Loans receivable-related party are estimated by discounting the expected future cash flows using the current interest rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.



CDO notes are valued using the dealer quotes, typically the dealer who underwrote the CDO in which the notes are held.

Junior subordinated notes are estimated by obtaining quoted prices for similar assets in active markets.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

162

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The fair values of the Company's remaining financial instruments that are not reported at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets are reported below (in thousands):

|   | Carrying Amount | Fair Value Measurements |   |   |   |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|
|   |                 | Fair Value              | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets of Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| As of December 31, 2014:                  |                 |                         |   |   |   |
| Loans held-for-investment                 | \$ 1,925,980    | \$ 1,909,019            | \$—   | \$ 570,071                                    | \$ 1,338,948                              |
| Loans receivable-related party            | \$ 1,277        | \$ 1,277                | \$—   | \$—   | \$ 1,277                                  |
| CDO notes                                 | \$ 1,046,493    | \$ 975,762              | \$—   | \$—   | \$ 975,762                                |
| Junior subordinated notes                 | \$ 51,205       | \$ 17,699               | \$—   | \$—   | \$ 17,699                                 |
| Repurchase agreements                     | \$ 399,662      | \$ 399,662              | \$—   | \$—   | \$ 399,662                                |
| Senior secured revolving credit agreement | \$ 111,137      | \$ 111,137              | \$—   | \$—   | \$ 111,137                                |
| As of December 31, 2013:                  |                 |                         |   |   |   |
| Loans held-for-investment                 | \$ 1,369,526    | \$ 1,358,434            | \$—   | \$ 545,352                                    | \$ 813,082                                |
| Loans receivable-related party            | \$ 6,966        | \$ 6,966                | \$—   | \$—   | \$ 6,966                                  |
| CDO notes                                 | \$ 1,070,339    | \$ 653,617              | \$—   | \$ 653,617                                    | \$—                                       |
| Junior subordinated notes                 | \$ 51,005       | \$ 17,499               | \$—   | \$—   | \$ 17,499                                 |
| Repurchase agreements                     | \$ 77,304       | \$ 77,304               | \$—   | \$—   | \$ 77,304                                 |

**NOTE 23 - MARKET RISK AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS**

The Company is directly and indirectly affected by changes in certain market conditions. These changes in market conditions may adversely impact the Company's financial performance and are referred to as "market risks." When deemed appropriate, the Company uses derivatives as a risk management tool to mitigate the potential impact of certain market risks. The primary market risks managed by the Company through the use of derivative instruments are interest rate risk and foreign currency exchange rate risk.

The Company may hold various derivatives in the ordinary course of business, including warrants, interest rate swaps, forward contracts, options, and interest rate lock commitments. Warrants are securities that give the holder the right, but not the obligation, to purchase securities from an issuer at a specific price within a specified time period. Options are contracts sold by one party to another that give the buyer the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a financial asset at an agreed-upon price during a certain period of time or on a specific date. Interest rate swap agreements are contracts between two parties to exchange cash flows based on specified underlying notional amounts, assets and/or indices. Forward contracts represent future commitments to either purchase or to deliver loans, securities or a quantity of a currency at a predetermined future date, at a predetermined rate or price and are used to manage interest rate risk on loan commitments and mortgage loans held for sale as well as currency risk with respect to the Company's long positions in foreign currency-denominated investment securities. Rate lock commitments represent commitments to fund loans at a specific rate and by a specified time and are used to mitigate risk of changes in interest rate in the Company's residential mortgage loan portfolio.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

A significant market risk to the Company is interest rate risk. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond the Company's control. Changes in the general level of interest rates can affect net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income earned on interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred in connection with the interest-bearing liabilities, by affecting the spread between the interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Changes in the level of interest rates also can affect the value of the Company's interest-earning assets and the Company's ability to realize gains from the sale of these assets. A decline in the value of the Company's interest-earning assets pledged as collateral for borrowings could result in the counterparties demanding additional collateral pledges or liquidation of some of the existing collateral to reduce borrowing levels. The Company seeks to manage the extent to which net income changes as a function of changes in interest rates by matching adjustable-rate assets with variable-rate borrowings. During periods of changing interest rates, interest rate mismatches could negatively impact the Company's consolidated financial condition, consolidated results of operations and consolidated cash flows. In addition, the Company mitigates the potential impact on net income of periodic and lifetime coupon adjustment restrictions in its investment portfolio by entering into interest rate hedging agreements such as interest rate caps and interest rate swaps.

At December 31, 2014, the Company had 10 interest rate swap contracts outstanding whereby the Company paid an average fixed rate of 5.12% and received a variable rate equal to one-month LIBOR. The aggregate notional amount of these contracts was \$124.0 million at December 31, 2014. The counterparties for the Company's designated interest rate hedge contracts at such date were Credit Suisse International and Wells Fargo, with which the Company had master netting agreements.

At December 31, 2013, the Company had 12 interest rate swap contracts outstanding whereby the Company paid an average fixed rate of 5.03% and received a variable rate equal to one-month LIBOR. The aggregate notional amount of these contracts was \$129.5 million at December 31, 2013. The counterparties for the Company's designated interest rate hedge contracts are Credit Suisse International and Wells Fargo with which the Company has master netting agreements.

The estimated fair value of the Company's liability related to interest rate swaps was \$8.7 million and \$10.6 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company had aggregate unrealized losses of \$9.0 million and \$10.8 million on the interest rate swap agreements as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, which is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss. In connection with the August 2006 close of RREF CDO 2006-1, the Company realized a swap termination loss of \$119,000, which is being amortized over the term of RREF CDO 2006-1. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the June 2007 close of RREF CDO 2007-1, the Company realized a swap termination gain of \$2.6 million, which is being amortized over the term of RREF CDO 2007-1. The accretion is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the termination of a \$53.6 million swap related to RREF CDO 2006-1 during the nine months ended September 30, 2008, the Company realized a swap termination loss of \$4.2 million, which is being amortized over the term of a new \$45.0 million swap. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income. In connection with the payoff of a fixed-rate commercial real estate loan during the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company terminated a \$12.7 million swap and realized a \$574,000 swap termination loss, which is being amortized over the original term of the terminated swap. The amortization is reflected in interest expense in the Company's consolidated statements of income.

The Company is also exposed to currency exchange risk, a form of risk that arises from the change in price of one currency against another. Substantially all of the Company's revenues are transacted in U.S. dollars; however, a significant amount of the Company's capital is exposed to other currencies, primarily the Euro and the pound sterling. To address this market risk, the Company generally hedges foreign currency-denominated exposures (typically

investments in debt instruments, including forecasted principal and interest payments) with currency forward contracts. The Company classifies these hedges as fair value hedges, which are hedges that eliminate the risk of changes in the fair values of assets, liabilities, and certain types of firm commitments. The Company records changes in fair value of derivatives designated and effective as fair value hedges in earnings, offset by corresponding changes in the fair values of the hedges items.

Forward contracts also contain an element of risk in that the counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of such agreements. In the event the parties to deliver commitments are unable to fulfill their obligations, the Company could potentially incur significant additional costs by replacing the positions at then current market rates. The Company manages its risk of exposure by limiting counterparties to those banks and institutions deemed appropriate by management. The Company does not expect any counterparty to default on its obligations and, therefore, the Company does not expect to incur any cost related to counterparty default.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

164

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

In the next twelve months, the Company expects to reclassify \$273,000 from accumulated other comprehensive loss to earnings. The amount relates to the termination of 18 hedges during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2007 and 2008 and the requirement for the remaining gains and losses to be amortized over the life of the remaining debt. In addition, in the next twelve months, the Company expects to pay \$5.7 million in net interest expense for its hedges.

During the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company recognized expense of \$282,000, \$288,000 and \$279,000, respectively, into earnings related to the amortization of gains and losses on 18 terminated hedges.

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk on loans held for sale and interest rate lock commitments. As market interest rates increase or decrease, the fair value of mortgage loans held for sale and rate lock commitments will decline or increase accordingly. To offset this interest rate risk, the Company may enter into derivatives such as forward contracts to sell loans. The fair value of these forward sales contracts will change as market interest rates change, and the change in the value of these instruments is expected to largely, though not entirely, offset the change in fair value of loans held for sale and rate lock commitments. The objective of this activity is to minimize the exposure to losses on rate lock commitments and loans held for sale due to market interest rate fluctuations. The net effect of derivatives on earnings will depend on risk management activities and a variety of other factors, including market interest rate volatility, the amount of interest rate lock commitments that close, the ability to fill the forward contracts before expiration, and the time period required to close and sell loans.

During the warehousing phase of the Company's investments in structured vehicles, the Company may enter into total return swaps to finance the Company's exposure to assets that will ultimately be securitized. A total return swap is a swap agreement in which one party makes payments based on a set rate, while the other party makes payments based on the return of an underlying asset. Traditionally, the Company pays either an indexed or fixed interest payment to the warehousing lender and receives the net interest income and realized capital gains of the referenced portfolio of assets, generally loans, to be securitized that are owned and held by the warehousing lender. Upon the close of the warehousing period, the Company's invested equity plus net interest and any capital gains realized during the warehousing period are returned to the Company. Additionally, upon the close of the securitization, the Company may purchase beneficial interests in the securitization at fair value.

In March 2014, the Company was issued warrants in connection with the funding of a middle market loan. The warrants give the Company the right, but not the obligation, to purchase up to 1.3% of the total fully diluted common stock of Constellation Health LLC. As amended in September 2014, the warrants have an exercise price equal to the lesser of the Constellation Health's trailing twelve month earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization times a multiplier of 6, or \$50.0 million. The warrants also feature a seven-year term, allowances for either cash-based or cashless exercise, standard adjustments for stock splits, full-ratchet anti-dilution adjustments, and beneficial ownership limitations. The value of the warrants was calculated by performing a Black-Scholes analysis. In December 2014, the Company, through its subsidiary, Pelium, purchased call options on U.S. Treasury futures to act as a hedge against interest rate risk. The options gave the Company the right, but not the obligation, to purchase futures contracts on March 2015 U.S. Treasury notes. The options have strike prices ranging from \$127.0 to \$128.50 and expired on February 20, 2015.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following tables present the fair value of the Company's derivative financial instruments as well as their classification on the Company's consolidated balance sheets and on the consolidated statements of income for the years presented:

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments as of December 31, 2014  
 (in thousands)

|  | Asset Derivatives     |                                      |            |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
|  | Notional Amount       | Balance Sheet Location               | Fair Value |
| Interest rate lock agreements                    | \$59,467              | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$970      |
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage lending | \$5,000               | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$7        |
| Forward contracts - RMBS securities              | \$42,614              | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$1,297    |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency, hedging    | \$54,948              | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$3,377    |
| Options - U.S. Treasury futures                  | \$90                  | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$52       |
| Warrants   | \$492                 | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$898      |
|  | Liability Derivatives |                                      |            |
|  | Notional Amount       | Balance Sheet Location               | Fair Value |
| Interest rate swap contracts                     | \$124,017             | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$8,680    |
| Interest rate lock agreements                    | \$798                 | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$10       |
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage lending | \$154,692             | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$1,036    |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency, hedging    | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$—        |
| Forward contracts - TBA securities               | \$15,000              | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$47       |
| Interest rate swap contracts                     | \$124,017             | Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$8,680    |

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments as of December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

|  | Asset Derivatives     |                            |            |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------|
|  | Notional Amount       | Balance Sheet Location     | Fair Value |
| Interest rate lock agreements                    | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value | —          |
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage lending | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value | —          |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency, hedging    | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value | —          |
| Total return swap                                | \$—                   | Derivatives, at fair value | —          |
|  | Liability Derivatives |                            |            |
|  | Notional Amount       | Balance Sheet Location     | Fair Value |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|  |            |                                      |           |
|--|------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Interest rate swap contracts                     | \$ 129,497 | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$ 10,586 |
| Interest rate lock agreements                    | \$—        | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$—       |
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage lending | \$—        | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$—       |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency, hedging    | \$—        | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$—       |
| Forward contracts - TBA securities               | \$—        | Derivatives, at fair value           | \$—       |
| Interest rate swap contracts                     | \$ 129,497 | Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$ 10,586 |

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statements of Income for the

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

166

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

Year Ended December 31, 2014  
 (in thousands)

|   | Derivatives<br>Notional<br>Amount | Statement of Income Location   | Unrealized<br>Gains (Loss) <sup>(1)</sup> |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| Interest rate swap contracts                        | \$124,017                         | Interest expense   | \$6,555                                   |
| Interest rate lock agreements                       | \$60,265                          | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$960                                     |
| Forward contracts - residential mortgage<br>lending | \$159,692                         | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$(1,029 )                                |
| Forward contracts - RMBS securities                 | \$42,614                          | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$1,297                                   |
| Forward contracts - foreign currency,<br>hedging    | \$54,948                          | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$3,377                                   |
| Options - U.S. Treasury futures                     | \$90                              | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$(28 )                                   |
| Forward contracts - TBA securities                  | \$15,000                          | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$(47 )                                   |
| Warrants  | \$492                             | Net realized gain on sales of<br>investment securities<br>available-for-sale and loans | \$898                                     |

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statements of Income for the  
 Year Ended December 31, 2013  
 (in thousands)

|                              | Derivatives<br>Notional<br>Amount | Statement of Income Location | Unrealized Loss<br><sup>(1)</sup> |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$129,497                         | Interest expense             | \$6,751                           |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statements of Income for the  
 Year Ended December 31, 2012  
 (in thousands)

|                              | Derivatives<br>Notional<br>Amount | Statement of Income Location | Unrealized Loss<br>(1) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Interest rate swap contracts | \$ 135,241                        | Interest expense             | \$ 7,266               |

(1) Negative values indicate a decrease to the associated balance sheets or consolidated statements of income line items.

Linked Transactions

The Company's linked transactions are evaluated on a combined basis, reported as forward (derivative) instruments and presented as assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheets at fair value. The fair value of linked transactions reflect the value of the underlying CMBS, linked repurchase agreement borrowings and accrued interest payable on such instruments. The Company's linked transactions are not designated as hedging instruments and, as a result, the change in the fair value and net interest income from linked transactions is reported in other income on the Company's consolidated statements of income.

The following tables present certain information about the CMBS and repurchase agreements underlying the Company's linked transactions at December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments  
 (in thousands)

|                                   | Asset Derivatives<br>Designation | Balance Sheet Location                 | Fair Value <sup>(1)</sup> |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014           |                                  |  |                           |
| Linked transactions at fair value | Non-Hedging                      | Linked transactions, net at fair value | \$ 15,367                 |
| As of December 31, 2013           |                                  |  |                           |
| Linked transactions at fair value | Non-Hedging                      | Linked transactions, net at fair value | \$ 30,066                 |

(1) Fair value equals the sum of the cost basis, mark to market adjustments, and accrued interest receivable less repurchase agreement debt at fair value and accrued interest expense.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Income for the  
 Years Ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012  
 (in thousands)

|   | Asset Derivatives |   | Income<br>(Expense) <sup>(1)</sup> |
|---|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|
|   | Designation       | Statement of Income Location  |                                    |
| Linked transactions at fair value, 2014 | Non-Hedging       | Unrealized gain and net interest income on linked transactions, net   | \$7,850                            |
| Linked transactions at fair value, 2013 | Non-Hedging       | Unrealized (loss) and net interest income on linked transactions, net | \$(3,841 )                         |
| Linked transactions at fair value, 2012 | Non-Hedging       | Unrealized gain and net interest income on linked transactions, net   | \$728                              |

The following table presents certain information about the components of the unrealized (losses) gains and net interest income from linked transactions, net, included in the Company's consolidated statements of income for the years ended 2014, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

|  | December 31, |            |        |
|--|--------------|------------|--------|
|  | 2014         | 2013       | 2012   |
| Components of Unrealized Net (Losses) Gains and Net Interest Income                                    |              |            |        |
| Income from Linked Transactions  |              |            |        |
| Interest income attributable to CMBS underlying linked transactions                                    | \$2,879      | \$2,912    | \$802  |
| Interest expense attributable to linked repurchase agreement borrowings underlying linked transactions | (644 )       | (735 )     | (242 ) |
| Change in fair value of linked transactions included in earnings                                       | 5,615        | (6,018 )   | 168    |
| Unrealized net (losses) gains and net interest income from linked transactions                         | \$7,850      | \$(3,841 ) | \$728  |

The following table summarizes the Company's investment securities, underlying linked transactions, which are carried at fair value (in thousands):

|                          | Amortized<br>Cost | Unrealized<br>Gains | Unrealized<br>Losses | Fair<br>Value <sup>(1)</sup> |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014: |                   |                     |                      |                              |
| CMBS linked transactions | \$48,138          | \$539               | \$(72 )              | \$48,605                     |
| As of December 31, 2013: |                   |                     |                      |                              |
| CMBS linked transactions | \$99,493          | \$446               | \$(6,116 )           | \$93,823                     |

<sup>(1)</sup> Does not include linked CMBS accrued interest receivable totaling \$159,000 and \$337,000 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following table summarizes the estimated maturities of the Company's CMBS linked transactions according to their estimated weighted average life classifications (in thousands, except percentages):

| Weighted Average Life                           | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Weighted Average Coupon |
|---|------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                        |            |                |                         |
| Less than one year                              | \$7,834    | \$7,775        | 5.36%                   |
| Greater than one year and less than five years  | 36,587     | 36,274         | 4.65%                   |
| Greater than five years and less than ten years | 4,184      | 4,089          | 4.52%                   |
| Greater than ten years                          | —          | —              | —%                      |
| Total   | \$48,605   | \$48,138       | 4.66%                   |
| As of December 31, 2013:                        |            |                |                         |
| Less than one year                              | \$540      | \$540          | 5.58%                   |
| Greater than one year and less than five years  | 26,120     | 26,516         | 5.32%                   |
| Greater than five years and less than ten years | 53,688     | 57,282         | 3.35%                   |
| Greater than ten years                          | \$13,475   | \$15,155       | 3.34%                   |
| Total   | \$93,823   | \$99,493       | 3.84%                   |

The following table shows the fair value, gross unrealized losses and the length of time the investment securities available-for-sale have been in a continuous unrealized loss position during the periods specified (in thousands):

|                          | Less than 12 Months |                         | More than 12 Months |                         | Total      |                         |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
|                          | Fair Value          | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value          | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses |
| As of December 31, 2014: |                     |                         |                     |                         |            |                         |
| CMBS linked transactions | \$7,609             | \$(57)                  | ) \$777             | \$(15)                  | ) \$8,386  | \$(72)                  |
| As of December 31, 2013: |                     |                         |                     |                         |            |                         |
| CMBS linked transactions | \$70,727            | \$(5,198)               | ) \$9,318           | \$(918)                 | ) \$80,045 | \$(6,116)               |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The following table summarizes the Company's CMBS linked transactions at fair value (in thousands, except percentages):

|                                  | December 31,<br>2013 | Net<br>Purchases | Upgrades/Downgrades | Paydowns | MTM<br>Change on<br>Same<br>Ratings | December 31,<br>2014 |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|
| <b>Moody's Ratings</b>           |                      |                  |                     |          |                                     |                      |
| Category:                        |                      |                  |                     |          |                                     |                      |
| Aaa                              | \$26,682             | \$(18,704 )      | \$ —                | \$(498 ) | \$(332 )                            | \$7,148              |
| Aa1 through Aa3                  | 8,919                | (9,589 )         | —                   | —        | 670                                 | —                    |
| A1 through A3                    | —                    | —                | —                   | —        | —                                   | —                    |
| Baa1 through Baa3                | 6,473                | —                | —                   | —        | 17                                  | 6,490                |
| Ba1 through Ba3                  | 10,310               | (10,768 )        | —                   | —        | 458                                 | —                    |
| B1 through B3                    | 12,155               | 8,258            | —                   | —        | 763                                 | 21,176               |
| Non-Rated                        | 29,284               | (16,358 )        | —                   | —        | 865                                 | 13,791               |
| Total                            | \$93,823             | \$(47,161 )      | \$ —                | \$(498 ) | \$2,441                             | \$48,605             |
| <b>S&amp;P Ratings Category:</b> |                      |                  |                     |          |                                     |                      |
| AAA                              | \$17,642             | \$(9,773 )       | \$ —                | \$(498 ) | \$(1,000 )                          | 6,371                |
| BBB+ through BBB-                | 9,953                | —                | 1,034               | —        | 123                                 | 11,110               |
| BB+ through BB-                  | 2,865                | 102              | 4,422               | —        | 254                                 | 7,643                |
| B+ through B-                    | 19,619               | 5,065            | (5,456 )            | —        | 708                                 | 19,936               |
| CCC+ through CCC-                | —                    | 2,769            | —                   | —        | —                                   | 2,769                |
| Non-Rated                        | 43,744               | (45,324 )        | —                   | —        | 2,356                               | 776                  |
| Total                            | \$93,823             | \$(47,161 )      | \$ —                | \$(498 ) | \$2,441                             | \$48,605             |

The following table summarizes the Company's CMBS linked repurchase agreements (in thousands, except percentages):

| Maturity or Repricing | As of<br>December 31, 2014 |                                      | As of<br>December 31, 2013 |                                      |   |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
|                       | Balance <sup>(1)</sup>     | Weighted<br>Average Interest<br>Rate | Balance <sup>(1)</sup>     | Weighted<br>Average Interest<br>Rate |   |
| Within 30 days        | \$33,397                   | 1.56 %                               | \$64,094                   | 1.25 %                               | % |
| >30 days to 90 days   | —                          | — %                                  | —                          | —                                    | % |
| Total                 | \$33,397                   | 1.56 %                               | \$64,094                   | 1.25 %                               | % |

<sup>(1)</sup> Equal to linked CMBS repurchase value plus accrued interest expenses totaling \$20,000 and \$38,000 as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

## NOTE 24 - OFFSETTING OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

The following table presents a summary of the Company's offsetting of derivative assets, presented(in thousands):

|   | (i)<br>Gross<br>Amounts of<br>Recognized<br>Assets | (ii)<br>Gross<br>Amounts<br>Offset in the<br>Consolidated<br>Sheet | (iii) = (i) - (ii)<br>Net Amounts<br>of Assets<br>Presented in<br>the<br>Consolidated<br>Balance Sheet | (iv)<br>Gross Amounts Not Offset<br>in<br>the Consolidated Balance<br>Sheet<br>Financial<br>Instruments | Cash<br>Collateral<br>Pledged | (v) = (iii) - (iv)<br>Net Amount |
|---|--|--|--|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| As of December 31, 2014:                            |  |  |  |   |                               |                                  |
| Derivative hedging<br>instruments,<br>at fair value | \$4,334  | \$—  | \$4,334  | \$—   | \$—                           | \$4,334                          |
| Linked transactions                                 | \$48,764   | \$33,397   | \$15,367   | \$—   | \$—                           | \$15,367                         |
| Total   | \$53,098   | \$33,397   | \$19,701   | \$—   | \$—                           | \$19,701                         |
| As of December 31, 2013:                            |  |  |  |   |                               |                                  |
| Derivative hedging<br>instruments,<br>at fair value | \$—  | \$—  | \$—  | \$—   | \$—                           | \$—                              |
| Linked transactions                                 | \$94,160   | \$64,094   | \$30,066   | \$—   | \$—                           | \$30,066                         |
| Total   | \$94,160   | \$64,094   | \$30,066   | \$—   | \$—                           | \$30,066                         |

The following table presents a summary of the Company's offsetting of financial liabilities and derivative liabilities for the periods presented as follows (in thousands):

|  | (i)<br>Gross<br>Amounts of<br>Recognized<br>Liabilities | (ii)<br>Gross<br>Amounts<br>Offset in the<br>Consolidated<br>Sheets | (iii) = (i) - (ii)<br>Net Amounts<br>of Liabilities<br>Presented in<br>the<br>Consolidated<br>Balance Sheets | (iv)<br>Gross Amounts Not Offset in<br>the Consolidated Balance<br>Sheets<br>Financial<br>Instruments <sup>(1)</sup> | Cash<br>Collateral<br>Pledged <sup>(2)</sup> | (v) = (iii) - (iv)<br>Net Amount |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|----------------------------------|
| As of December 31,<br>2014:  |   |   |  |  |  |                                  |
| Derivative hedging<br>instruments,<br>at fair value <sup>(3)</sup> | \$8,466   | \$—   | \$8,466  | \$—  | \$500  | \$7,966                          |
| Repurchase agreements<br><sup>(4)</sup>                            | 399,662   | —   | 399,662  | 399,662  | —  | —                                |
| Linked transactions  | 33,397  | 33,397  | —  | —  | —  | —                                |
| Total  | \$441,525   | \$33,397  | \$408,128  | \$399,662  | \$500  | \$7,966                          |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

As of December 31,  
2013:

|  |            |           |            |           |        |           |
|--|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| Derivative hedging instruments, at fair value <sup>(3)</sup> | \$ 10,586  | \$ —      | \$ 10,586  | \$ —      | \$ 500 | \$ 10,086 |
| Repurchase agreements <sup>(4)</sup>                         | 91,931     | —         | 91,931     | 91,931    | —      | —         |
| Linked transactions  | 64,094     | 64,094    | —          | —         | —      | —         |
| Total  | \$ 166,611 | \$ 64,094 | \$ 102,517 | \$ 91,931 | \$ 500 | \$ 10,086 |

(Back to Index)

172

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

- (1) Amounts represent collateral pledged that is available to be offset against liability balances associated with repurchase agreement and derivative transactions.
- (2) Amounts represent amounts pledged as collateral against derivative transactions.
- (3) The fair value of securities pledged against the Company's swaps was \$2.6 million and \$3.5 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.
- (4) The fair value of securities pledged against the Company's repurchase agreements was \$565.6 million and \$121.6 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

In the Company's consolidated balance sheets, all balances associated with repurchase agreement and derivatives transactions are presented on a gross basis.

Certain of the Company's repurchase agreement and derivative transactions are governed by underlying agreements that generally provide for a right of offset in the event of default or in the event of a bankruptcy of either party to the transaction.

## NOTE 25 - INCOME TAXES

The Company operates in such a manner as to qualify as a REIT, under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"); therefore, applicable REIT taxable income is included in the taxable income of its shareholders, to the extent distributed by the Company. To maintain REIT status for federal income tax purposes, the Company is generally required to distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its shareholders as well as comply with certain other qualification requirements as defined under the Code. As a REIT, the Company is not subject to federal corporate income tax to extent that it distributes 100% of its REIT taxable income each year. Taxable income from non-REIT activities managed through the Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries is subject to federal, state and local income taxes. The Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries' income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Under the asset and liability method, deferred income taxes are recognized for the temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and tax basis of assets and liabilities.

The following table details the components of income taxes (in thousands):

|                                       | Years Ended December 31, |            |            |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------|
|                                       | 2014                     | 2013       | 2012       |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes: |                          |            |            |
| Current:                              |                          |            |            |
| Federal                               | \$6,819                  | \$4,601    | \$11,497   |
| State                                 | 2,505                    | 1,068      | 776        |
| Total current                         | 9,324                    | 5,669      | 12,273     |
| Deferred:                             |                          |            |            |
| Federal                               | (9,450                   | ) (5,116   | ) 1,769    |
| State                                 | (2,086                   | ) (1,594   | ) 560      |
| Total deferred                        | (11,536                  | ) (6,710   | ) 2,329    |
| Income tax provision (benefit)        | \$(2,212                 | ) \$(1,041 | ) \$14,602 |

A reconciliation of the income tax benefit (provision) based upon the statutory tax rate to the effective income tax rate is as follows (in thousands):

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

|   | Years Ended December 31, |              |             |
|---|--------------------------|--------------|-------------|
|   | 2014                     | 2013         | 2012        |
| Statutory tax                                 | \$ (2,232)               | ) \$ (588)   | ) \$ 9,518  |
| State and local taxes, net of federal benefit | (375)                    | ) (728)      | ) 225       |
| Permanent adjustments                         | 41                       | 2            | 32          |
| Subpart F income                              | —                        | —            | 3,458       |
| Basis difference in LCC investment            | —                        | —            | —           |
| True-up of prior period tax expense           | 353                      | 253          | —           |
| Other items                                   | 1                        | 20           | 1,369       |
|   | \$ (2,212)               | ) \$ (1,041) | ) \$ 14,602 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

The components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

|   | December 31,<br>2014 | 2013       |   |
|---|----------------------|------------|---|
| Deferred tax assets related to:             |                      |            |   |
| Investment in securities                    | \$1,030              | \$ 118     |   |
| Intangible assets basis difference          | 2,533                | 2,725      |   |
| Federal, state and local loss carryforwards | 7,848                | 941        |   |
| Subpart F income                            | —                    | 1,359      |   |
| Partnership investment                      | —                    | 2          |   |
| Deferred revenue                            | 207                  | 23         |   |
| Accrued expenses                            | 56                   | 44         |   |
| Amortization of intangibles                 | 766                  | —          |   |
| Unrealized gains/losses                     | 1,799                | —          |   |
| Mark to market adjustment                   | 188                  | —          |   |
| Charitable contribution carryforwards       | 6                    | —          |   |
| Equity compensation                         | 167                  | —          |   |
| Gain (loss) on sale of investments          | 116                  | —          |   |
| Partnership investment                      | (1,622               | ) —        |   |
| Total deferred tax assets                   | 13,094               | 5,212      |   |
| Valuation allowance                         | —                    | —          |   |
| Total deferred tax assets                   | \$13,094             | \$5,212    |   |
| Deferred tax liabilities related to:        |                      |            |   |
| Unrealized loss on investments              | \$(366               | ) \$(3,764 | ) |
| Equity investments                          | —                    | (153       | ) |
| Basis difference in LCC investment          | —                    | (195       | ) |
| Depreciation                                | (1                   | ) —        |   |
| Accrued expenses                            | (3                   | ) —        |   |
| Partnership investment                      | (90                  | ) —        |   |
| Total deferred tax liabilities              | \$(460               | ) \$(4,112 | ) |
| Deferred tax assets, net <sup>(1)</sup>     | \$12,634             | \$1,100    |   |

(1) Deferred tax asset, net agrees to the Deferred tax assets less Deferred tax liability presented on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014.

Apidos CDO I, Apidos CDO III, Apidos Cinco CDO, Apidos CLO VIII, Whitney CLO I, Harvest CLO VII, Moselle CLO, Harvest CLO VIII, Harvest X Investor, and Harvest CLO X, the Company's foreign TRSs, are organized as exempted companies incorporated with limited liability under the laws of the Cayman Islands and, with respect to Moselle CLO, Luxembourg and, with respect to Harvest CLO VII, Harvest CLO VIII, and Harvest CLO X, Ireland, and are generally exempt from federal and state income tax at the corporate level because their activities in the United States are limited to trading in stock and securities for their own account. Therefore, despite their status as TRSs, they generally will not be subject to corporate tax on their earnings and no provision for income taxes is required; however, because they are "controlled foreign corporations," the Company will generally be required to include Apidos CDO I's, Apidos CDO III's, Apidos Cinco CDO's, Apidos CLO VIII's, Whitney CLO I's, Harvest CLO VII's, Moselle CLO's, Harvest CLO VIII's, Harvest X Investor's, and Harvest X CLO's current taxable income in its calculation of REIT

taxable income.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

175

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)

DECEMBER 31, 2014

On October 27, 2011 the Company reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III. As a result, the earnings from Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax. On January 24, 2012, the Company again reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III. As a result, for the period January 1, 2012 through January 23, 2012, the earnings from Apidos CDO I and Apidos CDO III are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax. For the period January 24, 2012 through December 31, 2012 the earnings from Apidos CDO I are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income. On December 11, 2012, the Company further reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos CDO III. As a result, for the period from January 24, 2012 through December 10, 2012 the earnings from Apidos CDO III are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income. Also as a result of the reorganization on December 11, 2012, for the period December 11, 2012 through December 31, 2012, the earnings from Apidos CDO III are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax.

On November 12, 2012, the Company reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos Cinco CDO and Whitney CLO I. As a result, for the period November 12, 2012 through December 31, 2012, the earnings from Apidos Cinco CDO and Whitney CLO I are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax. Accordingly, a provision for income taxes on the earnings from November 12, 2012 through December 31, 2012 has been recorded.

On February 13, 2013, the Company reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos Cinco CDO and Whitney CLO I. As a result, for the period January 1, 2013 through February 12, 2013, the earnings from Apidos Cinco CDO and Whitney CLO I are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax. Accordingly, a provision for income taxes on the earnings from January 1, 2013 through February 12, 2013 has been recorded. Also as a result of the reorganization on February 13, 2013, for the period February 13, 2013 and ending December 31, 2013 the earnings from Apidos Cinco CDO and Whitney CLO I are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

On March 8, 2013 the Company reorganized the ownership structure of Apidos CDO III. As a result, the earnings from Apidos CDO III for the period January 1, 2013 through March 7, 2013 are excluded from the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income and are subject to corporate tax. Accordingly, a provision for income taxes on the earnings from January 1, 2013 through March 7, 2013 has been recorded. Also as a result of the reorganization on March 8, 2013, for the period March 8, 2013 and ending December 31, 2013 the earnings from Apidos CDO III are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

On September 10, 2013, the Company acquired approximately 9.5% of the equity of Harvest CLO VII, which is a foreign TRS, organized as an exempt company incorporated with limited liability under the laws of Ireland. This equity is directly owned by a domestic qualified REIT subsidiary of the Company and, accordingly, its earnings are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

On February 24, 2014, the Company acquired approximately 88.6% of the equity of Moselle CLO S.A., which is a foreign TRS, incorporated in Luxembourg. This equity is directly owned by a domestic qualified REIT subsidiary of the Company and, accordingly, its earnings are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

On March 27, 2014, the Company acquired approximately 12.6% of the equity of Harvest CLO VIII, which is a foreign TRS, organized as an exempt company incorporated with limited liability under the laws of Ireland. This equity is directly owned by a domestic qualified REIT subsidiary of the Company and, accordingly, its earnings are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

On July 3, 2014, the Company acquired approximately 55% of the equity of Harvest X Investor, which is a foreign TRS, organized as an exempt company incorporated with limited liability under the laws of the Cayman Islands. As of November 6, 2014, the Company's investment was returned and the Company no longer has an active ownership interest in Harvest X Investor. For the period July 3, 2014 through November 6, 2014 the equity was directly owned

by a domestic qualified REIT subsidiary of the Company and, accordingly, its earnings are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

On November 6, 2014, the Company acquired approximately 32.1% of the equity of Harvest CLO X, which is a foreign TRS, organized as an exempt company incorporated with limited liability under the laws of Ireland. This equity is directly owned by a domestic qualified REIT subsidiary of the Company and, accordingly, its earnings are included in the Company's calculation of REIT taxable income.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

176

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB's guidance for uncertain tax positions. This implementation did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated balance sheets or consolidated statements of income. The guidance prescribes that a tax position should only be recognized if it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority. A tax position that meets this threshold is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. The Company is required to disclose its accounting policy for classifying interest and penalties, the amount of interest and penalties charged to expense each period as well as the cumulative amounts recorded in the consolidated balance sheets. The Company will continue to classify any tax penalties as other operating expenses and any interest as interest expense. The Company does not have any unrecognized tax benefits that would affect the Company's financial position.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had gross federal \$15.5 million and gross state and local \$18.2 million net operating tax loss carryforwards ("NOLs") of \$33.8 million (deferred tax asset of \$6.1 million) that will begin to expire in 2032. Management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will be able to utilize all of these NOLs during the respective loss carry forward periods based on tax planning strategies that will generate future taxable income. As such, a valuation allowance has not been established against these deferred tax assets.

Management will continue to assess the need for a valuation allowance in future periods

As of December 31, 2014, income tax returns for the calendar years 2011 - 2014 remain subject to examination by IRS and/or any state or local taxing jurisdiction. The Company has not executed any agreements with the IRS or any state and/or local taxing jurisdiction to extend a statute of limitations in relation to any previous year.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

177

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
 DECEMBER 31, 2014

## NOTE 26 - QUARTERLY RESULTS

The following is a presentation of the quarterly results of operations:

|                                       | March 31<br>(unaudited)<br>(in thousands, except per share data) | June 30<br>(unaudited)<br>(in thousands, except per share data) | September 30<br>(unaudited)<br>(in thousands, except per share data) | December 31<br>(unaudited)<br>(in thousands, except per share data) |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Year Ended December 31, 2014:         |  |   |  |   |
| Interest income                       | \$27,085   | \$30,592  | \$33,841   | \$35,389  |
| Interest expense <sup>(1)</sup>       | 9,627  | 10,610  | 11,510   | 13,726  |
| Net interest income                   | \$17,458   | \$19,982  | \$22,331   | \$21,663  |
| Net income allocable to common shares | \$15,116   | \$14,677  | \$7,328  | \$6,906   |
| Net income per share – basic          | \$0.12   | \$0.12  | \$0.06   | \$0.05  |
| Net income per share – diluted        | \$0.12   | \$0.11  | \$0.06   | \$0.05  |
| Year Ended December 31, 2013:         |  |   |  |   |
| Interest income                       | \$33,320   | \$30,715  | \$28,464   | \$25,477  |
| Interest expense                      | 11,165   | 11,134  | 11,762   | 26,949  |
| Net interest income                   | \$22,155   | \$19,581  | \$16,702   | \$(1,472)   |
| Net income                            | \$11,526   | \$6,533   | \$22,121   | \$(948)   |
| Net income per share – basic          | \$0.11   | \$0.05  | \$0.18   | \$(0.01)  |
| Net income per share – diluted        | \$0.11   | \$0.05  | \$0.18   | \$(0.01)  |

(1) Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2014 consolidated financial statements.

## NOTE 27 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

From time to time, the Company may become involved in litigation on various matters, including disputes arising out of loans in the Company's portfolio and agreements to purchase or sell assets. Given the nature of the Company's business activities, the Company considers these to be routine in the conduct of its business. The resolution of these various matters may result in adverse judgments, fines, penalties, injunctions and other relief against the Company as well as monetary payments or other agreements and obligations. Alternately, the Company may engage in settlement discussions on certain matters in order to avoid the additional costs of engaging in litigation.

The Company is unaware of any contingencies arising from such routine litigation that would require accrual or additional disclosure in the consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2014.

## NOTE 28 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the filing of this report and determined that there have not been any events that have occurred that would require adjustments to or disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, except the following:

The Company received \$3.0 million in proceeds from the issuance of 139,333 shares of Series B preferred stock through the Company's dividend reinvestment plan during January and February 2015.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

178

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (Continued)  
DECEMBER 31, 2014

On January 13, 2015, the Company issued and sold in a public offering \$100.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 8.0% Convertible Senior Notes due 2020. After deducting the underwriting discount and the estimated offering costs, the Company received approximately \$97.0 million of net proceeds.

On January 23, 2015, the Company received \$23.0 million from the 2014 fourth quarter liquidation of Moselle CLO S.A. after using the sales proceeds to pay down the securitization's remaining notes in full.

On February 24, 2015, the Company closed RCC 2015-CRE3, a \$346.2 million CRE securitization transaction that provided financing for transitional commercial real estate loans. RCC 2015-CRE3 issued a total of \$282.1 million of senior notes at par to outside investors. The Company also purchased 100% of the Class E and Class F senior notes for a total of \$36.3 million. The Company also purchased a \$27.7 million subordinated interest in the CLO, representing 100% of the preference shares. The weighted average cost of funds on the securitization at closing was LIBOR plus 190 basis points.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

179

---

ITEM 9. CHANGES AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Securities Exchange Act of 1934 reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth in the 2013 version of the Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based upon this assessment, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting is effective as of December 31, 2014.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Grant Thornton LLP, audited our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. Their report, dated March 2, 2015, expressed an unqualified opinion on our internal control over financial reporting. This report is included in this Item 9A.

Changes in Internal Control of Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders

Resource Capital Corp.

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Resource Capital Corp. (a Maryland Corporation) and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in the 2013 Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and our report dated March 2, 2015, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ Grant Thornton LLP

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

March 2, 2015

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

181

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

All members of the board of directors are elected for a term of one year or until their successors are elected and qualified. Information is set forth below regarding the principal occupation of each of our directors. There are no family relationships among the directors and executive officers except that Jonathan Z. Cohen, our Chief Executive Officer, President and a director, is a son of Edward E. Cohen, a director and, until November 2009, our Chairman of the Board.

Names of Directors, Principal Occupation and Other Information

Walter T. Beach, age 48, has been a director since March 2005. Mr. Beach has been Managing Director of Beach Investment Counsel, Inc., an investment management firm, since 1997. From 1993 to 1997, Mr. Beach was a Senior Analyst and Director of Research at Widmann, Siff and Co., Inc., an investment management firm where, beginning in 1994, he was responsible for the firm's investment decisions for its principal equity product. Before that he was an associate and financial analyst at Essex Financial Group, a consulting and merchant banking firm, and an analyst at Industry Analysis Group, an industry and economic consulting firm. Mr. Beach has served as a director of The Bancorp, Inc., a publicly-traded (NASDAQ: TBBK) bank holding company, and its subsidiary bank, The Bancorp Bank, since 1999. Mr. Beach also served as a director of Institutional Financial Markets, Inc. and its predecessor, Cohen & Company, a publicly-traded (NYSE MKT: IFMI) financial services company specializing in credit related fixed income investments, from December 2009 to 2013.

Edward E. Cohen, age 76, has been a director since March 2005 and was our Chairman from March 2005 to November 2009. Mr. Cohen is Chairman of Resource America, the corporate parent of our Manager, a position he has held since 1990. He was Resource America's Chief Executive Officer from 1988 to 2004 and its President from 2000 to 2003. He has been the Chief Executive Officer of Atlas Energy GP, LLC, the general partner of Atlas Energy, L.P. (formerly known as Atlas Pipeline Holdings, L.P.), a publicly-traded (NYSE:ATLS) energy limited partnership, from its formation in December 2005 until February 2009, Chief Executive Officer and President since February 2011 and Chairman from December 2005 through February 2011. He was also the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Atlas America, Inc., a publicly-traded energy company, from its formation in 2000 until its sale in February 2011, and was President from September 2000 to October 2009. He has also been the Chairman of the Managing Board of Atlas Pipeline Partners GP, LLC, the general partner of Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., a publicly-traded (NYSE: APL) midstream natural gas gathering and processing limited partnership, since its formation in 1999, and was Chief Executive Officer from 1999 to January 2009. Upon consummation of a transaction with Targa Resources Corp., or the Targa Transaction, Mr. Cohen will become the Chief Executive Officer and President of Atlas Energy Group, LLC, which will hold all of Atlas Energy, L.P.'s assets and business other than those related to its Atlas Pipeline Partners segment. He has also been the Chairman of the Managing Board and Chief Executive Officer of Atlas Resource Partners GP, LLC, the general partner of Atlas Resource Partners, L.P., a publicly-traded (NYSE: ARP) oil and gas exploration and production limited partnership, since February 2012. He has also been the Chairman of the Board of Brandywine Construction and Management, Inc. (a property management company) since 1994.

Jonathan Z. Cohen, age 44, has been our Chief Executive Officer, President and a director since March 2005. Mr. Cohen has been President since 2003, Chief Executive Officer since 2004 and a director since 2002 of Resource America. He was Chief Operating Officer of Resource America from 2002 to 2004, Executive Vice President from 2001 to 2003, and a Senior Vice President from 1999 to 2001. He has been the Executive Chairman of Atlas Energy GP, LLC since January 2012, was Chairman from February 2011 to January 2012 and Vice Chairman from its formation in December 2005 to February 2011. He was also the Vice Chairman of Atlas America, Inc. from its formation in 2000 to its sale in February 2011. He has also been the Vice Chairman of the Managing Board of Atlas Pipeline Partners GP, LLC since its formation in 1999 and Vice Chairman of the Managing Board of Atlas Resource Partners, GP, LLC since February 2012. Upon consummation of the Targa Transaction, Mr. Cohen will become Executive Chairman of Atlas Energy Group, LLC.

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

Richard L. Fore, age 69, has been a director since March 2013. Mr Fore has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Fore Property Company, a national real estate development company that builds, owns and manages multi-family residences throughout the United States, since 1994. Prior to founding Fore Property Company, he was a partner at Lincoln Property Company. Mr. Fore co-founded the National Multi Housing Council, the leading trade association in the apartment industry, in 1978. He was also appointed to the Presidential Housing Commission by President Reagan. Mr. Fore also served in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development during the Nixon and Ford administrations.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

182

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

William B. Hart, age 71, has been a director since March 2005. Mr. Hart was Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the National Trust for Historic Preservation from 1999 to 2004. He was also a director of Anthem, Inc. (now Wellpoint, Inc.), a publicly-traded (NYSE: WLP) health insurance company, from 2000 to 2004. Mr. Hart was Director of SIS Bancorp from 1995 to 2000. From 1988 to 1999, Mr. Hart served in various positions with Blue Cross/Blue Shield of New Hampshire, ending as Chairman of the Audit Committee and Chairman of the Board of Directors from 1996 to 1999. He also served as President of the Foundation for the National Capital Region, Washington, DC, from 1993 to 1996 and President of The Dunfey Group, a private investment firm, from 1986 to 1998. From 1986 to 1994 he was a director of First NH Banks where he was Chairman of the Audit Committee from 1992 to 1994.

Gary Ickowicz, age 59, has been a director since February 2007. Mr. Ickowicz has been the Managing Partner of IR Capital LLC, a real estate company that owns and operates real estate assets in the New York Metropolitan area since 2008. He was a Managing Principal of Lazard Freres Real Estate Investors, a manager of funds invested in debt and equity securities of North American real estate assets and enterprises, from 2001 to 2011. He was a director of Lazard Freres's real estate investment banking unit from 1989 through 2001. Since 2000 he has been a director of Grant Street Settlement, and since 2002 he has been a director of NCC/Neumann, both not-for-profit developers of senior housing. From 2001 to 2011, he was a director of Commonwealth Atlantic Properties, Inc., a privately-held REIT. From 2001 to 2006 he was a director of Kimsouth, Inc., a joint venture with Kimco Realty Corporation, a publicly-traded (NYSE: KIM) REIT.

Steven J. Kessler, age 71, has been our Chairman since November 2009 and was our Senior Vice President-Finance from September 2005 to November 2009 and, before that, served as our Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and Treasurer from March 2005 to September 2005. Mr. Kessler has been Executive Vice President of Resource America since 2005 and was Chief Financial Officer from 1997 to December 2009 and Senior Vice President from 1997 to 2005. He was a Trustee of GMH Communities Trust, a then publicly-traded specialty housing REIT, from 2004 to 2008 when it was sold. He was Vice President-Finance and Acquisitions at Kravco Company, a shopping center developer and operator, from 1994 to 1997. From 1983 to 1993 he was employed by Strouse Greenberg & Co., a regional full service real estate company, ending as Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer. Before that, he was a partner at Touche Ross & Co. (now Deloitte & Touche LLP), independent public accountants.

Murray S. Levin, age 72, has been a director since March 2005. Mr. Levin is a senior litigation partner at Pepper Hamilton LLP, a law firm with which he has been associated since 1970. Mr. Levin served as the first American president of the Association Internationale des Jeunes Avocats (Young Lawyers International Association), headquartered in Western Europe. He is a past president of the American Chapter and a member of the board of governors of the Union Internationale des Avocats (International Association of Lawyers), a Paris-based organization that is the world's oldest international lawyers association.

P. Sherrill Neff, age 63, has been a director since March 2005. Mr. Neff is a founding partner of Quaker Partners, a health care venture and growth equity fund manager, since 2002. From 1994 to 2002 he was President and Chief Financial Officer, and from 1994 to 2003, a director of Neose Technologies, Inc., a then publicly-traded life sciences company. Mr. Neff was also a director of The Bancorp, Inc., a publicly traded (NASDAQ: TBBK) bank holding company, from its formation in 1999 until 2002, and a director of Resource America from 1998 to 2005. Mr. Neff is on the boards of directors of five Quaker Partners' portfolio companies, including Cempra, Inc., a publicly-traded (NASDAQ:CEMP) pharmaceutical company, since 2011, Regado BioSciences, Inc. (NASDAQ: RGDO), a publicly traded biopharmaceutical company, since 2005, and three other private portfolio companies. Mr. Neff was also a director of Amicus Therapeutics, a publicly-traded (NASDAQ: FOLD) biopharmaceutical company, from 2005 to 2011. Until 2013, he was a member of the board of directors of the National Venture Capital Association.

Stephanie H. Wiggins, age 49, has been a director since June 2013. Ms. Wiggins has been Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer for the AFL-CIO Housing Investment Trust since 2000. From 1997 to 2000 she served in various positions at Prudential Mortgage Capital Company (formerly WMF Group), culminating as Director and Senior Investment Officer. She previously served as a Senior Underwriter and Review Appraiser at Green Park Financial (currently d/b/a Walker & Dunlop). Ms. Wiggins is a member of the Urban Land Institute Council, National

Housing Conference and Mortgage Bankers Association.

The board of directors has not adopted specific minimum qualifications for service on our board, but rather seeks a mixture of skills that are relevant to our business as an externally-managed REIT that focuses primarily upon investments in commercial real estate and commercial finance assets, principally loans and interests in loans. The following presents a brief summary of the attributes of each director that led to the conclusion that he or she should serve as such:

Mr. Beach has extensive experience in finance and investment management and a strong financial background.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

183

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Mr. E. Cohen has lengthy experience in real estate and real estate finance (a principal business of Resource America), corporate finance (through the formation and funding of public companies such as Atlas Energy, Atlas America, Atlas Pipeline, and Resource America) and operations of both public and private companies, and is affiliated with the Manager.

Mr. J. Cohen has significant real estate, real estate finance and operational experience as an officer (currently Chief Executive Officer and President) and director of Resource America, and is affiliated with the Manager.

Mr. Fore has extensive experience in, and significant knowledge of, the real estate industry as a founder and principal of a national real estate company. Mr. Fore also has significant government experience, having served in government positions with three Presidential administrations.

Mr. Hart has extensive experience in finance and investment management, both as an officer and director of banks and insurance companies, as well as an officer of a private investment firm.

Mr. Ickowicz has broad real estate and real estate finance experience as a principal in the real estate operations of an international investment bank, as a director of a REIT and as a director of several real estate ventures.

Mr. Kessler has a significant financial and accounting background in real estate as the former Chief Financial Officer of Resource America and, previously, as a principal financial officer for a major operator of commercial real estate.

Mr. Levin has a lengthy and diverse legal background and has practiced complex litigation for over forty years.

Mr. Neff has significant experience in investments, operations and finance as a principal or officer of a venture fund and a public company and, prior thereto, as an investment banker.

Ms. Wiggins has extensive real estate finance experience, including underwriting, originating and valuing income-producing real estate, as an officer of several real estate companies.

#### Non-Director Executive Officers

Eldron C. Blackwell, age 36, has been our Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer since March 2014. Mr. Blackwell was the Assistant Controller for New Penn Financial, LLC, a residential mortgage lender, from March 2013 to March 2014. From September 2001 to March 2013, he was a Senior Manager in the audit practice of the global accounting firm Grant Thornton LLP. Mr. Blackwell serves on the Board of Directors of Freire Charter School in Philadelphia.

Jeffrey D. Blomstrom, age 46, has been our Senior Vice President since March 2005. Mr. Blomstrom has been President of Resource Financial Fund Management, Inc., an asset management subsidiary of Resource America, since 2003. Mr. Blomstrom serves on the Advisory Committee to CVC Credit Partners L.P. and is a member of the credit committees of Northport Capital, LLC and Resource Real Estate Funding, Inc., Resource America's middle market loan origination and commercial real estate loan origination subsidiaries, respectively. From 2001 to 2003

Mr. Blomstrom was a Managing Director at Cohen and Company, an investment bank specializing in the financial services sector. From 2000 to 2001 he was Senior Vice President of iATMglobal.net, Inc., an ATM software development company. Mr. Blomstrom was, from 1999 to 2000, an associate at Covington & Burling, a law firm, where he focused on mergers and acquisitions and corporate governance.

David E. Bloom, age 50, has been our Senior Vice President-Real Estate Investments since March 2005. Mr. Bloom has been Senior Vice President of Resource America since 2001. He has also been President of Resource Real Estate, Inc., a wholly-owned real estate subsidiary of Resource America, since 2004 and was President of Resource Capital Partners, a wholly-owned real estate subsidiary of Resource America, from 2002 to 2006. From 2001 to 2002 he was President of Resource Properties, a former real estate subsidiary of Resource America. Before that he was Senior Vice President at Colony Capital, LLC, an international real estate opportunity fund, from 1999 to 2001. From 1998 to 1999 he was Director at Sonnenblick-Goldman Company, a real estate investment bank. From 1995 to 1998 he was an attorney at the law firm of Willkie Farr & Gallagher, LLP.

Jeffrey F. Brotman, 51, has been our Executive Vice President since June 2009 and Executive Vice President of Resource America since June 2007. He was co-founder of Ledgewood, P.C. (a Philadelphia-based law firm) and affiliated with the firm from 1992 until June 2007, serving as managing partner from 1995 until March 2006. Mr. Brotman is also a non-active certified public accountant and an Adjunct Professor at the University of Pennsylvania Law School. Mr. Brotman was Chairman of the Board of Directors of TRM Corporation, a then publicly-traded consumer services company, from September 2006 until September 2008 and was its President and Chief Executive



Officer from March 2006 through June 2007.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

184

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

David J. Bryant, age 57, has been our Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since June 2006, and was our Chief Accounting Officer from 2006 to 2014. From 2005 to 2006 Mr. Bryant served as Senior Vice-President, Real Estate Services, at Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust, a publicly-traded (NYSE: PEI) REIT principally engaged in owning, managing, developing and leasing malls and strip centers in the eastern United States. From 2000 to 2005, Mr. Bryant served as PEI's Senior Vice President-Finance and Treasurer, and was its principal accounting officer. From 1994 to 2000, Mr. Bryant was Vice President-Finance and Controller at PEI and its predecessor, The Rubin Organization. Mr. Bryant is also a certified treasury professional and a non-active certified public accountant.

#### Other Significant Employees

The following sets forth certain information regarding other significant employees of the Manager and Resource America who provide services to us:

Anthony Coniglio, age 46, has been the President of Resource Residential Mortgage, Resource America's residential mortgage business, since 2013. Mr. Coniglio was the Chief Executive Officer of Elevation Home Loans, LLC, a start-up residential mortgage company, from 2011 to 2013. From 1997 to 2011, he served in various positions at J.P. Morgan, establishing its asset-based conduit platform, running a structured finance business and culminating as co-leader of the specialty finance investment banking group. Prior thereto, Mr. Coniglio worked in operations, treasury and structured finance positions at Canadian Imperial Bank of Canada, or CIBC, from 1993 to 1997. He began his career as a Staff Accountant at Price Waterhouse from 1990 to 1993.

David M. DeSantis, age 38, has been head of middle market lending and Managing Director of Northport Capital, LLC, Resource America's middle market corporate lending platform, since 2013. From 2007 to 2013, Mr. DeSantis held various roles at Medley Capital, LLC, including Managing Director and leader of the New York based investment team, where he originated, underwrote and managed middle market corporate loans. From 1999 to 2007, he served in various positions at General Electric Capital, culminating as Vice President for GE Global Sponsor Finance, focusing on middle market corporate loan origination, underwriting and asset management.

Thomas C. Elliott, age 41, has been our Senior Vice President-Finance and Operations since September 2006 and, prior to that, was our Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and Treasurer from September 2005 to June 2006. He was our Senior Vice President-Assets and Liabilities Management from June 2005 until September 2005 and, before that, served as our Vice President-Finance from March 2005. Mr. Elliott has been Chief Financial Officer of Resource America since December 2009 and Senior Vice President since 2005. He was Senior Vice President-Finance and Operations of Resource America from 2006 to December 2009; Senior Vice President-Finance from 2005 to 2006 and Vice President-Finance from 2001 to 2005. From 1997 to 2001 Mr. Elliott was a Vice President at Fidelity Leasing, Inc., a former equipment leasing subsidiary of Resource America, where he managed all capital market functions, including the negotiation of all securitizations and credit and banking facilities in the U.S. and Canada. Mr. Elliott also oversaw the financial controls and budgeting departments.

Alan F. Feldman, age 51, has been our Senior Vice President-Real Estate Investments since March 2005. Mr. Feldman has been Chief Executive Officer of Resource Real Estate since 2004 and Senior Vice President of Resource America since 2002. Mr. Feldman was President of Resource Properties from 2002 to 2005. From 1998 to 2002, Mr. Feldman was Vice President at Lazard Freres & Co., an investment banking firm, specializing in real estate mergers and acquisitions, asset and portfolio sales and recapitalization. From 1992 through 1998, Mr. Feldman was Executive Vice President of PREIT-RUBIN, Inc. the management subsidiary of Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust and its predecessor, The Rubin Organization. Before that, from 1990 to 1992, he was a Director at Strouse, Greenberg & Co., a regional full service real estate company.

Kevin M. Finkel, age 43, has been our Vice President-Real Estate Investments since 2006, Senior Vice President of Resource America since 2013 and Vice President of Resource America from 2008 to 2013. He has been President of Resource Capital Partners, Inc., a wholly-owned real estate subsidiary of Resource America, since 2006, and Vice President and Director of Acquisitions from 2004 to 2006. He was also been an Executive Vice President of Resource Real Estate, Inc. since 2008, Director of Acquisitions since 2004 and Vice President from 2004 to 2008. He joined Resource America as a consultant in 2002. Before that, he was an investment banking associate at Barclays Capital from 1998 to 2000 and an investment banking associate and analyst at Deutsche Bank Securities from 1994 to 1998.

Kyle Geoghegan, age 46, has been our Senior Vice President-Loan Originations since 2007. Mr. Geoghegan has been a Managing Director of Resource Real Estate Funding, Inc., a real estate subsidiary of Resource America, since July 2006. Mr. Geoghegan co-manages the whole loan origination platform for Resource Real Estate Funding and is based in Los Angeles. Mr. Geoghegan worked at Bear Stearns from January 1998 to May 2006, serving as a Managing Director who co-managed the Bear Stearns Commercial Mortgage office in Los Angeles. Prior to joining Bear Stearns, Mr. Geoghegan spent four years as a real estate loan officer at PNC Bank in Philadelphia, PA, primarily originating construction and bridge loans.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

185

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

David Jansky, age 44, has been President of Resource Capital Markets, Inc., a subsidiary of Resource America, since April 2008. Mr. Jansky was head of Global ABS CDOs in the Structured Credit Products group at J.P. Morgan from 2005 to 2008. Prior thereto, he was an Executive Director at Credit Suisse First Boston from 2002 to 2005. Mr. Jansky was also responsible for structuring CDOs as a Vice President at Deutsche Bank from 1997 to 2000 and as an Associate at Merrill Lynch from 1996 to 1997.

Darryl Myrose, age 41, has been our Senior Vice President-Loan Originations since 2007. Mr. Myrose has been a Managing Director of Resource Real Estate Funding since July 2006. Mr. Myrose co-manages the whole loan origination platform for Resource Real Estate Funding and is based in Los Angeles. Mr. Myrose worked at Bear Stearns from April 1996 to May 2006, serving as a Managing Director who co-managed the Bear Stearns Commercial Mortgage office in Los Angeles. Prior to joining Bear Stearns, Mr. Myrose was employed with Clarion Advisors (formerly Jones Lang Wootton Realty Advisors) where he was an asset management analyst.

Joan M. Sapinsley, age 62, has been our Senior Vice President-CMBS since 2007. Ms. Sapinsley joined Resource Financial Fund Management, Inc. in February 2007 as Managing Director and manages our CMBS portfolio. Prior to joining Resource Financial Fund Management, Ms. Sapinsley was a Managing Director at Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association (TIAA), where she worked from 1992 through 2006 purchasing CMBS. She was responsible for all single borrower and single asset CMBS, as well as subordinate CMBS and B notes. She also directed TIAA's conduit origination and securitization activities. Before TIAA, Ms. Sapinsley was a Director in the Financial Services Group of Cushman & Wakefield, a global commercial real estate company, and a real estate consultant at Laventhol & Horwath, an accounting firm.

Michael S. Yecies, age 47, has been our Chief Legal Officer and Secretary since March 2005, Senior Vice President since July 2007 and was our Vice President from March 2005 to July 2007. Mr. Yecies has been Senior Vice President of Resource America since 2005, Chief Legal Officer and Secretary since 1998 and was Vice President from 1998 to 2005. He was Chief Legal Officer and Secretary of Atlas Energy, Inc. and its predecessors (a publicly-traded energy company formerly owned by Resource America) from 1998 to 2006 and Chief Legal Officer and Secretary of Atlas Pipeline Partners GP, LLC (a publicly-traded energy company formerly owned by Resource America) from its formation in 1999 to 2006. From 1994 to 1998 he was an attorney at the international law firm of Duane Morris LLP.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our officers, directors and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and to furnish us with copies of all such reports. Based solely on our review of the reports received by us, we believe that, during fiscal 2014, our officers, directors and greater than ten percent shareholders complied with all applicable filing requirements.

#### Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics applicable to all directors, officers and employees. We will provide to any person without charge, upon request, a copy of our code of conduct. Any such request should be directed to us as follows: Resource Capital Corp., 712 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10019, Attention: Secretary. Our code of conduct is also available on our website: [www.resourcecapitalcorp.com](http://www.resourcecapitalcorp.com). We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of this code of conduct by posting such information on our website, unless otherwise required by applicable law or regulation.

#### Information Concerning the Audit Committee

Our Board of Directors has a standing audit committee. The audit committee reviews the scope and effectiveness of audits by the internal and independent accountants, is responsible for the engagement of independent accountants, and reviews the adequacy of our internal financial controls. Members of the committee are Messrs. Neff (Chairman), Beach, Hart and Ms. Wiggins. The Board has determined that each member of the committee meets the independence standards for audit committee members set forth in the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, including those set forth in Rule 10A-3(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and that Messrs. Beach and Neff each qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert" as that term is defined in the rules and regulations thereunder.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE  
COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

We are required to provide information regarding the compensation program in place for our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly-compensated executive officers. In the following discussion, we refer to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the other three most highly-compensated executive officers whose compensation for fiscal 2014 exceeded \$100,000 as our “Named Executive Officers” or “NEOs.”

Objectives of Our Compensation Program

We are externally managed by our Manager pursuant to a management agreement among our Manager, Resource America and us. All of our NEOs are employees of Resource America or our Manager. We have not paid, and do not intend to pay, any cash compensation to our NEOs. We do reimburse Resource America for the wages, salary and benefits paid to our Chairman and Chief Financial Officer. Our Compensation Committee has, from time to time, granted equity awards in the form of restricted stock, stock options or equity-based performance awards to our NEOs pursuant to our Amended and Restated Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. These awards are designed to align the interests of our NEOs with those of our stockholders, by correlating their compensation to the performance of our stock and by allowing them to share in the creation of value for our stockholders through stock appreciation and dividends. These equity awards are subject to time-based vesting requirements designed to promote the retention of management, incentivize long term objectives and to achieve strong performance for us. These awards further provide us flexibility in our ability to enable Resource America to attract, motivate and retain talented individuals for our Manager.

Setting Executive Compensation

Our NEOs are employees of Resource America, which determines the base salary, cash incentive compensation and grants of Resource America equity securities that is paid to our NEOs. Since we pay fees to our Manager pursuant to the management agreement, we believe that an unspecified portion of the base salary and cash incentive compensation paid to our NEOs is derived from such fees paid by us. We do not control how such fees are allocated by Resource America. For a description of our management agreement, see Item 1: “Business-Management Agreement.” We disclose the cash amounts paid by Resource America to our Chief Financial Officer (for which we reimburse Resource America), our only NEO who devotes his full business time to our affairs, in the Summary Compensation Table below.

When Resource America makes its determination of the amount of compensation it will award to one of our NEOs, including in particular the amount of Resource America securities that Resource America will grant as equity incentive compensation, Resource America also considers, but does not determine or control, the amount of our securities we propose to grant as equity incentive compensation to that NEO. Similarly, in determining the amount of equity incentive compensation we grant to one of our NEOs, our Compensation Committee considers, but does not determine, the compensation that Resource America proposes to grant to that NEO, including Resource America's grant of Resource America securities as equity incentive compensation. Our respective Compensation Committees base their analyses and determinations upon recommendations submitted by Jonathan Z. Cohen, who is chief executive officer of both companies, for all of our NEOs other than himself. Resource America's Compensation Committee determines the amount of compensation Resource America will award Mr. J. Cohen, while our Compensation Committee determines the amount of any Resource Capital equity incentive compensation we award to Mr. J. Cohen. These analyses and determinations are not based upon any particular compensation matrix or formula, but instead are based upon qualitative evaluations by Mr. J. Cohen and the Compensation Committee. Our Compensation Committee does not make recommendations to Resource America as to the amount of compensation Resource America grants to our NEOs, nor does Resource America's Compensation Committee make recommendations to us regarding the amount of equity incentive compensation awarded by us to our NEOs.

Our Compensation Committee operates under a written charter adopted by our Board of Directors, a copy of which is available on our website at [www.resourcecapitalcorp.com](http://www.resourcecapitalcorp.com). Our Compensation Committee determines compensation amounts after the end of our and Resource America's fiscal year and makes equity awards near or after our and Resource America's fiscal year end. Awards made after our fiscal year end are reflected in our Summary

Compensation Table but not our Grants of Plan-Based Awards table until our following fiscal year. Our Compensation Committee has the discretion to issue equity awards at other times during our fiscal year.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

187

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

#### Elements of Our Compensation Program

As described above, our NEOs do not receive cash compensation from us, although beginning in October 2009, we agreed to reimburse Resource America for the wages, salary and benefits of our Chief Financial Officer. In addition, we began reimbursing Resource America for the wages, salary and benefits of our Chairman in February 2010. However, our Compensation Committee has, from time to time, granted equity awards in the form of restricted stock, stock options or equity-based performance awards to our NEOs pursuant to our Amended and Restated Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan as follows:

**Stock Options.** Stock options provide value to the executive only if our stock price increases after the grants are made. Stock options typically vest 33.3% per year. No stock options have been granted to any of our NEOs since 2006.

**Restricted Stock.** Restricted stock grants reward stockholder value creation slightly differently than stock options: restricted stock units are impacted by all stock price changes, both increases and decreases. Restricted stock generally vests 33.3% per year and includes a right to receive dividends on unvested shares.

#### How We Determined 2014 Compensation

As discussed above, our Compensation Committee believes that it is important for our NEOs, who are employees of Resource America, to remain significantly aligned with the interests of our shareholders. Accordingly, we have traditionally made grants of restricted stock to such NEOs.

For 2014, such stock awards were similar to stock awards in 2013. The grants will vest 33.33% per year over three years and included dividend equivalent rights. Our Compensation Committee analyzed the management agreement and reviewed how our operating costs compared to other REITs' operating costs. Our Compensation Committee considered our growth, complexity and success, including our stable and substantial dividend payments to our stockholders. The Committee also considered the amount of our restricted stock that had been granted in recent years. In particular, the Compensation Committee desired to continue to build alignment between key employees of the Manager and our stockholders, provide meaningful incentive for the retention of such key employees, reward the performance of such key employees that has resulted in a consistent meaningful cash dividend to stockholders and improved Company performance and ensure that total compensation paid to the Manager and its employees is consistent with similar companies. For 2014, our Compensation Committee approved the awards discussed below, based upon our performance and the individual performance of our NEOs. Among the factors considered by our Compensation Committee were our continued growth, expansion of business units, distributions to our shareholders and our ability to raise and deploy capital. Our Compensation Committee further noted our record commercial real estate (CRE) loan origination, completing a CRE securitization with outstanding execution, building a middle market loan origination platform essentially from scratch, structuring a complex European CLO and positioning us for future growth. Our Compensation Committee considered these stock awards in addition to considering the total compensation that Resource America proposed for our NEOs.

Upon our CEO's recommendation, other than for himself, our Compensation Committee approved the following awards for fiscal 2014:

• Mr. J. Cohen was awarded 410,677 shares of restricted stock for fiscal 2014, as compared to 211,864 shares of restricted stock for fiscal 2013.

• Mr. Blomstrom was awarded 30,800 shares of restricted stock for fiscal 2014, as compared to 25,423 shares of restricted stock for fiscal 2013.

• Mr. Bloom was awarded 30,800 shares of restricted stock for fiscal 2014, as compared to 50,847 shares of restricted stock for fiscal 2013.

• Mr. Brotman was awarded 30,800 shares of restricted stock for fiscal 2014, as compared to 25,423 shares of restricted stock for fiscal 2013.

• Mr. Bryant was awarded 20,533 shares of restricted stock for fiscal 2014, as compared to 8,474 shares of restricted stock for fiscal 2013. Mr. Bryant was not awarded any shares of restricted Resource America stock for fiscal 2014, as compared to 1,446 shares of restricted Resource America stock for fiscal 2013.

#### Compensation and Risks

We believe that the risks material to our business are those that derive from broad-based economic trends and specific trends relating to particular loans, assets securing such loans and properties we hold. We do not believe that these risks



are materially affected by, or materially arise from , our compensation policies, since our compensation is in the form of equity grants which typically vest over time. We believe that this encourages our executives to focus on sustained share price appreciation, rather than short-term results. Moreover, risk behavior is a factor considered in all performance assessments.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

188

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Compensation Committee Report

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and, based on its review and discussions, the compensation committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this filing.

This report has been provided by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of Resource Capital Corp.

Walter T. Beach, Chairman

Murray S. Levin

P. Sherrill Neff

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the compensation earned for fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 for our NEOs:

## SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

| Name and Principal Position  | Year | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$)  | Stock Awards (\$) <sup>(2)</sup> | All Other Compensation (\$) <sup>(3)</sup> | Total (\$) |
|--|------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--|------------|
| Jonathan Z. Cohen<br>Chief Executive Officer,<br>President and Director              | 2014 | —           | —           | 1,999,997                        | —  | 1,999,997  |
|  | 2013 | —           | —           | 1,249,998                        | —  | 1,249,998  |
|  | 2012 | —           | —           | 2,750,000                        | —  | 2,750,000  |
| David J. Bryant<br>Senior Vice President<br>Chief Financial Officer<br>and Treasurer | 2014 | 275,000     | (1) 265,000 | (1) 99,996                       | —  | 639,996    |
|  | 2013 | 275,000     | (1) 287,500 | (1) 49,997                       | 12,493                                     | 624,990    |
|  | 2012 | 275,000     | (1) 200,000 | (1) 399,996                      | 49,994                                     | 924,990    |
| Jeffrey F. Brotman<br>Executive Vice President                                       | 2014 | —           | —           | 149,996                          | —  | 149,996    |
|  | 2013 | —           | —           | 149,996                          | —  | 149,996    |
|  | 2012 | —           | —           | 799,997                          | —  | 799,997    |
| Jeffrey D. Blomstrom<br>Senior Vice President  | 2014 | —           | —           | 149,996                          | —  | 149,996    |
|  | 2013 | —           | —           | 149,996                          | —  | 149,996    |
|  | 2012 | —           | —           | 799,997                          | —  | 799,997    |
| David E. Bloom<br>Senior Vice President—<br>Real Estate Investments                  | 2014 | —           | —           | 149,996                          | —  | 149,996    |
|  | 2013 | —           | —           | 299,997                          | —  | 299,997    |
|  | 2012 | —           | —           | 799,997                          | —  | 799,997    |

Mr. Bryant's salary and bonus were paid by Resource America. We began to reimburse Resource America for Mr. (1) Bryant's salary and bonus in October 2009. Amounts represent salary and bonus earned for the years indicated, but may not have been paid in full in the respective years.

(2) Grant date fair value, valued in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 as the closing price of our common stock on the grant date.

Amounts for Mr. Bryant represent awards of Resource America restricted stock earned during 2013 and 2012.

(3) Awards of Resource America restricted stock are valued at the closing price of Resource America common stock on the date of each grant.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE

During 2014, we made restricted stock awards to our NEOs. There were no stock options granted during 2014. The following table sets forth information with respect to each of these awards on a grant-by-grant basis. Dividends are payable on awards of our stock, which vest 33% per year over a three year period after the date of grant.

| Name                 | Grant date | All other stock awards:<br>number of shares of<br>stock (#) <sup>(1)</sup> | Grant date fair<br>value of stock<br>awards (\$) <sup>(2)</sup> |
|----------------------|------------|--|---|
| Jonathan Cohen       | 1/30/2014  | 211,864  | 1,249,998   |
| David J. Bryant      | 1/30/2014  | 8,474  | 49,997  |
| Jeffrey F. Brotman   | 1/30/2014  | 25,423   | 149,996   |
| Jeffrey D. Blomstrom | 1/30/2014  | 25,423   | 149,996   |
| David E. Bloom       | 1/30/2014  | 50,847   | 299,997   |

Does not include shares of restricted stock granted in 2015 as compensation earned for fiscal 2014 as follows: Mr. (1) J. Cohen - 410,677 shares; Mr. Bryant - 20,533 shares; Mr. Blomstrom - 30,800 shares; Mr. Bloom - 30,800 shares; and Mr. Brotman - 30,800 shares.

(2) Based on the closing price of our stock on the grant date.

## OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END TABLE

The following equity awards granted to our NEOs were outstanding as of the end of fiscal 2014:

• Restricted stock awards;

• Stock options; and

• Resource America restricted stock awards allocable to services performed for us.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table sets forth information with respect to these awards.

| Name                 | Option Awards   |   |                            | Stock Awards           |   |   |
|----------------------|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|---|
|                      | Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) exercisable | Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) unexercisable | Option exercise price (\$) | Option expiration date | Number of shares or units of stock that have not vested (#) | Market value of shares or units of stock that have not vested (\$) <sup>(9)</sup> |
| Jonathan Z. Cohen    | 100,000   | —   | 15.00                      | 3/7/2015               | 20,686  | <sup>(1)</sup> 104,527  |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 158,596   | <sup>(2)</sup> 799,324  |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 152,667   | <sup>(3)</sup> 769,442  |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 211,864   | <sup>(4)</sup> 1,067,795  |
| David J. Bryant      | 10,000  | —   | 15.00                      | 3/7/2015               | 22,637  | <sup>(2)</sup> 114,090  |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 8,474   | <sup>(4)</sup> 42,709   |
| (Resource America)   | 5,000   | —   | 8.14                       | 5/21/2018              | 914   | <sup>(5)</sup> 8,263  |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 3,628   | <sup>(6)</sup> 32,797   |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 1,085   | <sup>(7)</sup> 9,808  |
| Jeffrey F. Brotman   | —   | —   | —                          | —                      | 11,820  | <sup>(1)</sup> 59,573   |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 90,549  | <sup>(2)</sup> 456,367  |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 25,423  | <sup>(4)</sup> 128,132  |
| Jeffrey D. Blomstrom | 10,000  | —   | 15.00                      | 3/7/2015               | 5,818   | <sup>(8)</sup> 29,323   |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 90,549  | <sup>(2)</sup> 456,367  |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 25,423  | <sup>(4)</sup> 128,132  |
| David E. Bloom       | 100,000   | —   | 15.00                      | 3/7/2015               | 7,272   | <sup>(8)</sup> 36,651   |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 45,275  | <sup>(2)</sup> 228,186  |
|                      |   |   |                            |                        | 50,847  | <sup>(4)</sup> 256,269  |

(1) These shares of restricted stock were a part of a grant made on January 6, 2012 which provided for vesting at the rate of 33% per year on each anniversary of the grant date. On October 23, 2014, vesting for the remaining unvested shares was deferred until January 2, 2016 as follows: Mr. Brotman - 11,820 shares; and Mr. J. Cohen 20,686 shares.

(2) These shares of restricted stock were a part of a grant made on December 20, 2012 which provided for vesting at the rate of 33% per year on each anniversary of the grant date. On October 23, 2014, vesting for the remaining unvested shares was deferred until December 20, 2015 as follows: Mr. Blomstrom - 45,274 shares; Mr. Brotman - 45,274 shares; and Mr. J. Cohen - 79,298 shares, and deferred until January 2, 2016 as follows: Mr. Blomstrom - 45,275 shares; Mr. Brotman - 45,275 shares; and Mr. J. Cohen - 79,298 shares.

(3) These shares of restricted stock were a part of a grant made on January 2, 2013 which provided for vesting at the rate of 33% per year on each anniversary of the grant date. On October 23, 2014, vesting for the remaining unvested shares was deferred until January 2, 2016 as follows: Mr. J. Cohen - 152,667 shares.

(4)

## Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

These shares of restricted stock were a part of a grant made on January 30, 2014 which provided for vesting at the rate of 33% per year on each anniversary of the grant date. On October 23, 2014, vesting for the remaining unvested shares was deferred until: January 2, 2016 as follows: Mr. Blomstrom - 8,474 shares; Mr. Brotman - 8,474 shares; and Mr. J. Cohen - 70,621 shares, and deferred until January 30, 2016 as follows: Mr. Blomstrom - 8,475 shares; Mr. Brotman - 8,475 shares; and Mr. J. Cohen - 70,622 shares; and deferred until January 30, 2017 as follows: Mr. Blomstrom - 8,474 shares; Mr. Brotman - 8,474 shares; and Mr. J. Cohen - 70,621 shares.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

191

---

(Back to Index)

- (5) These shares of Resource America restricted stock were a part of a grant made on February 7, 2011 which provided for vesting at the rate of 25% per year on each anniversary of the grant date.
- (6) These shares of Resource America restricted stock were a part of a grant made on December 17, 2012 which provided for vesting at the rate of 25% per year on each anniversary of the grant date.
- (7) These shares of Resource America restricted stock were a part of a grant made on November 7, 2013 which provided for vesting at the rate of 25% per year on each anniversary of the grant date.
- (8) These shares of restricted stock were a part of a grant made on February 10, 2012 which provided for vesting at the rate of 33% per year on each anniversary of the grant date.
- (9) Based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2014 of \$5.04.
- (10) Based on the closing price of Resource America's common stock on December 31, 2014 of \$9.04.

## 2014 OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

The following table sets forth information regarding restricted stock awards that vested during 2014 for our NEOs. There were no stock options exercised by such officers during 2014.

| Name  | Stock Awards                             |   |
|---|--|---|
|   | Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) | Value Realized on Vesting (\$) <sup>(1)</sup> |
| Jonathan Z. Cohen                           | 235,522                                  | 1,385,630                                     |
| David J. Bryant<br>(Resource America stock) | 50,299<br>4,583                          | 287,030<br>42,328                             |
| Jeffrey F. Brotman                          | 94,922                                   | 557,189                                       |
| Jeffrey D. Blomstrom                        | 88,804                                   | 531,936                                       |
| David E. Bloom                              | 80,208                                   | 451,923                                       |

- (1) Represents the per share market value of the respective common stock on the vesting dates multiplied by the number of shares vesting.

## Director Compensation

We provide cash compensation only to independent directors for their services as directors. We also reimburse Resource America for all of the wages, salary and benefits established and paid by Resource America to our Chairman of the Board. In fiscal 2014, we reimbursed Resource America \$346,399 for Mr. Kessler's compensation and related business expenses, since Resource America employs Mr. Kessler; Mr. Kessler, however, is dedicated exclusively to his service as our Chairman. Mr. Kessler had 22,464 shares vest in 2014 and realized \$129,046 upon vesting. In addition, Mr. E. Cohen, the Chairman of the Board of Resource America, had 16,978 shares vest in 2014 and realized \$91,002 upon vesting.

Our 2014 compensation package for independent directors was comprised of cash (annual retainer) and restricted stock awards. The annual pay package is designed to attract and retain highly-qualified, independent professionals to represent our stockholders. Our compensation package is also designed to create alignment between our directors and our stockholders through the use of equity-based grants. For 2014, the Board approved compensation for each independent director consisting of an annual cash retainer of \$65,000 and an annual stock award valued at approximately \$35,000 on the date of grant, which is the anniversary of the date each independent director became a director. In addition, the members of the investment committee, Messrs. Beach, Ickowicz and Fore, received an additional \$100,000 in cash, members of the audit committee, Messrs. Neff, Beach, Hart and Ms. Wiggins received an additional \$10,000 in cash and members of the compensation committee, Messrs. Beach, Levin and Neff received an additional \$5,000 in cash. In addition, the chairmen of the audit committee and compensation committee, Messrs. Neff and Beach, respectively, received an additional stock award each valued at approximately \$5,000.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

192

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The following table sets forth director compensation for each of our independent directors and the Chairman of the Board of Resource America and the Chairman of our Board of Directors for 2014:

| Name <sup>(1)</sup>  | Fees Earned or Paid<br>in Cash (\$) | Stock<br>Awards (\$) <sup>(2)</sup> | Total (\$) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|
| Walter T. Beach      | 180,000                             | 39,995                              | 219,995    |
| Richard L. Fore      | 165,000                             | 34,995                              | 199,995    |
| William B. Hart      | 75,000                              | 34,996                              | 109,996    |
| Murray S. Levin      | 70,000                              | 34,996                              | 104,996    |
| P. Sherrill Neff     | 80,000                              | 39,996                              | 119,996    |
| Gary Ickowicz        | 165,000                             | 34,996                              | 199,996    |
| Stephanie H. Wiggins | 70,000                              | 34,999                              | 104,999    |
| Edward E. Cohen      | —                                   | —                                   | —          |
| Steven J. Kessler    | 346,399                             | —                                   | 346,399    |

(1) Table excludes Mr. J. Cohen, an NEO, whose compensation is set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.

On February 3, 2014, Mr. Ickowicz was granted 5,972 shares valued at \$5.86 per share, the closing price on that day. On March 10, 2014, Messrs. Beach and Neff were each granted 6,872 shares and Messrs. Hart and Levin were (2) each granted 6,013 shares valued at \$5.82 per share, the closing price on that day. On March 12, 2014, Mr. Fore was granted 6,044 shares valued at \$5.79, the closing price on that day. On June 6, 2014, Ms. Wiggins was granted 5,932 shares valued at \$5.90 per share, the closing price on that day.

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee consisted of Messrs. Beach, Levin and Neff during fiscal 2014. None of such persons was an officer or employee of ours or any of our subsidiaries or affiliated companies during fiscal 2014, or was formerly an officer or employee of ours. None of our executive officers was a director or executive officer of any entity of which any member of the Compensation Committee was a director or executive officer during 2014.

#### ITEM SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND 12. RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth the number and percentage of shares of common stock owned, as of February 26, 2015, by (a) each person who, to our knowledge, is the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of common stock, (b) each of our present directors, (c) each of our executive officers and (d) all of our named executive officers and directors as a group. This information is reported in accordance with the beneficial ownership rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission under which a person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power or investment power with respect to such security or has the right to acquire such ownership within 60 days. Shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options or warrants are deemed to be outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage of the person or group holding such options or warrants but are not deemed to be outstanding for purposes of computing the percentage of any other person.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

(Back to Index)

| Executive officers and directors <sup>(2)</sup>              | Shares<br>beneficially owned | Percentage <sup>(1)</sup> |   |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Walter T. Beach <sup>(4) (5)</sup>                           | 480,185                      | *                         |   |
| Edward E. Cohen <sup>(3)</sup>                               | 625,053                      | *                         |   |
| Jonathan Z. Cohen <sup>(3)</sup>                             | 2,124,303                    | 1.58                      | % |
| Richard L. Fore <sup>(5)</sup>                               | 28,275                       | *                         |   |
| William B. Hart <sup>(5)</sup>                               | 49,609                       | *                         |   |
| Gary Ickowicz <sup>(5)</sup>                                 | 39,527                       | *                         |   |
| Steven J. Kessler <sup>(3)</sup>                             | 160,000                      | *                         |   |
| Murray S. Levin <sup>(5)</sup>                               | 48,109                       | *                         |   |
| P. Sherrill Neff <sup>(5)</sup>                              | 19,819                       | *                         |   |
| Stephanie H. Wiggins <sup>(5)</sup>                          | 11,417                       | *                         |   |
| Eldron C. Blackwell <sup>(3)</sup>                           | 3,080                        | *                         |   |
| Jeffrey D. Blomstrom <sup>(3)</sup>                          | 261,722                      | *                         |   |
| David E. Bloom <sup>(3)</sup>                                | 442,351                      | *                         |   |
| Jeffrey F. Brotman <sup>(3)</sup>                            | 292,725                      | *                         |   |
| David J. Bryant <sup>(3)</sup>                               | 202,378                      | *                         |   |
| All executive officers and directors as a group (15 persons) | 4,488,553                    | 3.34                      | % |
| Other owners of more than 5% of outstanding shares           |                              |                           |   |
| Blackrock Inc. <sup>(6)</sup>                                | 10,440,487                   | 7.79                      | % |
| The Vanguard Group <sup>(7)</sup>                            | 7,414,494                    | 5.53                      | % |

\* Less than 1%.

(1) Includes 255,000 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options, which are currently exercisable.

(2) The address for all of our executive officers and directors is c/o Resource Capital Corp., 712 Fifth Avenue, 12th Floor, New York, New York 10019.

Includes unvested restricted stock as follows: Mr. Blackwell - 3,080 shares; Mr. Blomstrom - 146,772 shares; Mr. Bloom - 109,973 shares; Mr. Brotman - 158,592 shares; Mr. Bryant - 48,820 shares; Mr. E. Cohen - 16,978 shares; (3) Mr. J. Cohen - 954,490 shares; and Mr. Kessler - 5,659 shares; all of these shares vest 33.3% per year. Each such person has the right to receive distributions on and vote, but not to transfer, all such shares.

Includes 427,833 shares held by Beach Asset Management, LLC, Beach Investment Counsel, Inc. and/or Beach Investment Management, LLC, investment management firms for which Mr. Beach is a principal for themselves or (4) accounts managed by them and for which Mr. Beach possesses investment and/or voting power. The address for these investment management firms is Five Tower Bridge, 300 Barr Harbor Drive, Suite 220, West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania 19428.

Includes (i) 6,872 shares of restricted stock issued to each of Messrs. Beach and Neff on March 10, 2014, which vest on March 10, 2015; (ii) 7,276 shares of restricted stock issued to Mr. Ickowicz on February 2, 2015, which vest on February 2, 2016; (iii) 6,013 shares of restricted stock issued to each of Messrs. Hart and Levin on March (5) 10, 2014, which vest on March 10, 2015; (iv) 6,044 shares of restricted stock issued to Mr. Fore on March 12, 2014, which vest on March 12, 2015; and (v) 5,932 shares of restricted stock issued to Ms. Wiggins on June 6, 2014, which vest on June 6, 2015. Each non-employee director has the right to receive distributions on and vote, but not to transfer, such shares.

(6) This information is based on Form 13G filed with the SEC on January 23, 2015. Blackrock Inc.'s address is 55 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022.

(7) This information is based on Form 13G filed with the SEC on February 10, 2015. The Vanguard Group's address is 100 Vanguard Boulevard, Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

194

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table summarizes certain information about our 2005 Stock Incentive Plan and Amended and Restated Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan as of December 31, 2014.

| Plan category   | (a)<br>Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (b)<br>Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | (c)<br>Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans excluding securities reflected in column (a) |
|---|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders: |  |  |  |
| Options   | 640,666  | \$14.45  |  |
| Restricted stock  | 2,086,909  | N/A  |  |
| Total   | 2,727,575  |  | 7,582,058 <sup>(1)</sup>   |

We agreed to award certain personnel up to 70,728 shares of restricted stock upon the achievement of certain (1) performance thresholds. The shares, which have been reserved for future issuance under the plans, have not been deducted from the number of securities remaining available for future issuance.

## ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

## Relationships and Related Transactions

Relationship with Resource America and Certain of its Subsidiaries. Resource America, entities affiliated with it and our executive officers and directors collectively beneficially own 6,816,189 shares of common stock, representing approximately 5.12% of our common stock on a fully-diluted basis. Our executive officers are also officers of our Manager and/or of Resource America or its subsidiaries.

We have entered into a management agreement under which the Manager receives substantial fees. We describe these fees in Item 1 – “Business – Management Agreement.” For the year ended December 31, 2014, Resource Capital Manager, or the Manager, earned base management fees of approximately \$13.0 million. There were no incentive management fees earned in 2014. We reimburse the Manager and Resource America for expenses and employees of Resource America who perform legal, accounting, due diligence and other services that outside professionals or consultants would otherwise perform. The Management Agreement, as amended, also provides that the Manager must furnish us with a director of investor relations who will be 50% dedicated to our operations. We bear the expense of the wages, salaries and benefits of our Chairman, Chief Financial Officer and several accounting and tax professionals and 50% of the salary and benefits of the director of investor relations. On November 7, 2013, we entered into another amendment to include the definition of an “Ancillary Operating Subsidiary” which means one or more subsidiaries, including a TRS and its subsidiaries, that is an operating entity principally engaged in the evaluation, underwriting, origination, servicing, holding, trading and financing of loans, securities, investments and credit products other than commercial real estate loans. An Ancillary Operating Subsidiary shall, with the approval of a majority of the Independent Directors, directly incur and pay all of its own operating costs and expenses, including without limitation, compensation of employees of such Ancillary Operating Subsidiary and reimbursement of any compensation costs incurred by the Manager for personnel principally devoted to such Ancillary Operating Subsidiary. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we reimbursed the Manager \$5.0 million.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

On November 24, 2010, we entered into an Investment Management Agreement with Resource Capital Markets, Inc., or RCM, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America. The initial agreement provides that: (a) RCM may invest up to \$5.0 million of our funds, with the investable amount being adjusted by portfolio gains (losses) and collections, and offset by expenses, taxes and realized management fees, and (b) RCM can earn a management fee in any year that the net profits earned exceed a preferred return. On June 17, 2011, we entered into a revised Investment Management Agreement with RCM which provided an additional \$8.0 million of our funds. The management fee is 20% of the amount by which the net profits exceed the preferred return. During the year ended December 31, 2014, RCM did not earn an incentive management fee. The portfolio began a partial liquidation during 2013. We also reimburse RCM for expenses paid on our behalf. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we paid RCM \$164,000 as expense reimbursements.

At December 31, 2014, we were indebted to the Manager for \$1.6 million, comprised of base management fees of \$1.2 million and expense reimbursements of \$480,000. At December 31, 2014, we were indebted to RCM, under our Investment Management Agreement for \$121,000, comprised entirely of expense reimbursements.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, one of our subsidiaries began originating middle market loans, for which Resource America is paid origination fees. These fees may not exceed 2% of the loan balance for any loan originated. On November 7, 2013, a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours purchased all of the membership interests in Elevation Home Loans, LLC, a start-up residential mortgage company, from an employee of Resource America for \$830,000, paid in the form of 136,659 shares of restricted Company common stock. The restricted stock vests in full on November 7, 2016, and includes dividend equivalent rights.

As of December 31, 2014, we had executed eight securitizations, which were structured for us by the Manager. Under the Management Agreement, the Manager was not separately compensated by us for executing these transactions and is not separately compensated for managing the securitization's entities and their assets. We liquidated one of these securitizations in October 2013 and another in October 2014.

**Relationship with LEAF Commercial Capital.** Leaf Commercial Capital, or LCC, formerly LEAF Financial, originated and managed equipment leases and notes on our behalf. On March 5, 2010, we entered into agreements with Lease Equity Appreciation Fund II, L.P., or LEAF II, (an equipment leasing partnership sponsored by LEAF Financial and of which a LEAF Financial subsidiary is the general partner), pursuant to which we provided an \$8.0 million credit facility to LEAF II. The credit facility initially had a one year term with interest at 12% per year, payable quarterly, and was secured by all the assets of LEAF II, including its entire ownership interest in LEAF II Receivables Funding. We received a 1% origination fee in connection with establishing the facility. The facility originally matured on March 3, 2011 and was extended until September 3, 2011 with a 1% extension fee paid on the outstanding loan balance. On June 3, 2011, we entered into an amendment to extend the maturity to February 15, 2012 and to decrease the interest rate from 12% to 10% per annum resulting in a troubled-debt restructuring under current accounting guidance. On February 15, 2012, the credit facility was further amended to extend the maturity to February 15, 2013 with a 1% extension fee accrued and added to the amount outstanding. On January 11, 2013, we entered into another amendment to extend the maturity to February 15, 2014 with an additional 1% extension fee accrued and added to the amount outstanding. On December 17, 2013, we entered into another amendment to extend the maturity to February 15, 2015. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a provision for loan loss on this loan of \$1.3 million before extinguishing the loan and bringing direct financing leases in the amount of \$2.1 million on our books in lieu of the loan receivable.

On November 16, 2011, we, together with LEAF Financial and LCC, subsidiaries of Resource America, entered into the SPA with Eos Partners, L.P., a private investment firm, and its affiliates. In exchange for our prior interest in LCC, we received 31,341 shares of Series A Preferred Stock, 4,872 shares of newly issued 8% Series B Redeemable Preferred Stock and 2,364 shares of newly issued Series D Redeemable Preferred Stock, collectively representing, on a fully-diluted basis, a 27.5% interest in LCC. On January 18, 2013, we entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 3,682 shares of newly issued Series A-1 Preferred Stock for \$3.7 million. During 2013, we entered into another stock purchase agreement with LCC to purchase 4,445 shares of newly issued Series E Preferred Stock for \$4.4 million. The Series E Preferred Stock has priority over all other classes of preferred stock. Our resulting interest is accounted for under the equity method. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded

a loss of \$1.6 million which was recorded in equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. Our investment in LCC was valued at \$39.4 million as of December 31, 2014.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

196

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

Relationship with CVC Credit Partners. On April 17, 2012, Apidos Capital Management (ACM), a former subsidiary of Resource America, was sold to CVC Credit Partners, LLC (CVC Credit Partners), a joint venture entity in which Resource America owns a 33% interest. CVC Credit Partners manages internally and externally originated bank loan assets on our behalf. On February 24, 2011, one of our subsidiaries purchased 100% of the ownership interests in Churchill Pacific Asset Management LLC, or CPAM, from Churchill Financial Holdings LLC for \$22.5 million. CPAM subsequently changed its name to RCAM. Through RCAM, we are entitled to collect senior, subordinated and incentive fees related to five collateralized loan obligation issuers, or CLO, holding approximately \$1.9 billion in assets managed by RCAM. RCAM is assisted by CVC Credit Partners in managing these CLOs. CVC Credit Partners is entitled to 10% of all subordinated fees and 50% of the incentive fees received by RCAM. For the year ended December 31, 2014, CVC Credit Partners earned subordinated fees of \$1.3 million. In October 2012, we purchased 66.6% of the preferred equity in one of the RCAM CDOs. In May 2013, we purchased additional equity in this CLO, increasing our ownership percentage to 68.3%. In September 2013, this CLO was called and the notes were paid down in full. Another RCAM-managed CLO also elected to redeem its outstanding notes in whole earlier this year in February 2013.

In May, June and July 2013, we invested \$15.0 million in CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership which generally invests in assets through a master-feeder fund structure ("the Master Fund"). The General Partner of the Partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Global Credit Opportunities Fund GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company. The investment manager of the partnership and the Master Fund is CVC Credit Partners, LLC. CVC Capital Partners SICAV-FIS, S.A., a Luxembourg company, together with its affiliates, and Resource America, own a majority and a significant minority, respectively, of the investment manager. The fund will pay the investment manager a quarterly management fee in advance calculated at the rate of 1.5% annually based on the balance of each limited partner's capital account. Our management fee was waived upon entering the agreement given that we are a related party of CVC Credit Partners. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded earnings of \$1.2 million, which was recorded in equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. Our investment balance of \$16.2 million is recorded as an investment in unconsolidated entities on the consolidated balance sheets using the equity method.

Relationship with Resource Real Estate. Resource Real Estate, a subsidiary of Resource America, originates, finances and manages our commercial real estate loan portfolio, including whole loans, B notes, mezzanine loans, and investments in real estate. We reimburse Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs associated with all loans originated. We had a receivable of \$100,000 due from Resource Real Estate for loan origination costs in connection with our commercial real estate loan portfolio as of December 31, 2014.

On August 9, 2006, our subsidiary, RCC Real Estate, originated a loan on Lynnfield Place, a multi-family apartment property, in the amount of \$22.4 million. The loan was then purchased by RREF CDO 2006-1. The loan, which was set to mature on May 9, 2018, carried an interest rate of LIBOR plus a spread of 3.50% with a LIBOR floor of 2.50%. On June 14, 2011, RCC Real Estate converted this loan collateralized by a multi-family building, to equity. The loan was kept outstanding and was used as collateral in RREF CDO 2006-1. RREM was appointed as the asset manager as of August 1, 2011. RREM performed lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and/or entitlements and permitting, as applicable. RREM was also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM was entitled to a monthly asset management fee equal to 4.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we incurred management fees payable to RREM in the amount of \$127,000. The property was sold during the last quarter of 2014 for a gain of \$1.9 million.

On December 1, 2009, we purchased a membership interest in RRE VIP Borrower, LLC (an unconsolidated VIE that holds an interest in a real estate joint venture) from Resource America for \$2.1 million, its book value. RREM was asset manager of the venture and received a monthly asset management fee equal to 1.0% of the combined investment calculated as of the last calendar day of the month. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we paid RREM management fees of \$6,000. For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded income from RRE VIP Borrower of \$3.5 million, which was recorded in equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries on the consolidated statement of income. The last property associated with the joint venture was sold in July 2014.

On January 15, 2010, we loaned \$2.0 million to Resource Capital Partners, Inc., or RCP, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Resource America, so that it could acquire a 5.0% limited partnership interest in Resource Real Estate Opportunity Fund, L.P., or RRE Opportunity Fund. RCP is the general partner of the RRE Opportunity Fund. The loan is secured by RCP's partnership interest in the RRE Opportunity Fund. The promissory note bears interest at a fixed rate of 8.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance. In the event of default, interest will accrue and be payable at a rate of 5.0% in excess of the fixed rate. Interest is payable quarterly. Mandatory principal payments must also be made to the extent distributable cash or other proceeds from the partnership represent a return of RCP's capital. The loan was set to mature on January 14, 2015, and RCP elected to extend the loan until January 14, 2016. RCP has one additional option to extend for another 12-month period after this maturity. Principal payments of \$391,000 were made during the year ended December 31, 2014. The loan balance was \$558,000 at December 31, 2014.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

197

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

On June 21, 2011, we entered into a joint venture with an unaffiliated third party to form CR SLH Partners, L.P., or SLH Partners, to purchase a defaulted promissory note secured by a mortgage on a multi-family apartment building. We purchased a 10% preferred equity interest in the venture and also loaned SLH Partners \$7.0 million to finance the project secured by a first mortgage lien on the property. The loan had a maturity date of September 21, 2012 and bore interest at a fixed rate of 10.0% per annum on the unpaid principal balance, payable monthly. On May 23, 2012, SLH Partners repaid the \$7.0 million loan in its entirety. We received a commitment fee equal to 1.0% of the loan amount at the origination of the loan and received a \$70,000 exit fee upon repayment. RREM was appointed as the asset manager of the venture. RREM performed lease review and approval, debt service collection, loan workout, foreclosure, disposition and permitting, as applicable. RREM was also responsible for engaging third parties to perform day-to-day property management, property leasing, rent collection, maintenance, and capital improvements. RREM received an annual asset management fee equal to 2.0% of the gross receipts generated from the property. We held a \$975,000 preferred equity investment in SLH Partners as of December 31, 2013. The investment was sold in 2014 for a \$912,000 gain which is recorded on our income statement in equity of earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries.

In December 2013, we closed RCC CRE Notes 2013, a \$307.8 million real estate securitization that provides financing for commercial real estate loans. Resource Real Estate serves as special servicer. With respect to each Specialty Service Mortgage Loan, Resource Real Estate receives an amount equal to the product of (a) the Special Servicing Fee Rate, 0.25% per annum, and (b) the outstanding principal balance of such Specialty Service Mortgage Loan. The servicing fee shall be payable monthly, on an asset-by-asset basis. We utilize the brokerage services of Resource Securities Inc., or Resource Securities, a wholly-owned broker-dealer subsidiary of Resource America, on a limited basis to conduct some of its asset trades. We paid Resource Securities a \$205,000 placement agent fee in connection with this transaction.

On July 30, 2014, we closed RCC CRE 2014, a \$353.9 million real estate securitization that provides financing for commercial real estate loans. Resource Real Estate serves as special servicer. With respect to each Specialty Service Mortgage Loan, Resource Real Estate receives an amount equal to the product of (a) the Special Servicing Fee Rate, 0.25% per annum, and (b) the outstanding principal balance of such Specialty Service Mortgage Loan. The servicing fee is payable monthly, on an asset-by-asset basis. We paid Resource Securities a \$175,000 placement agent fee in connection with this transaction.

In July 2014, we formed RCM Global Manager to invest in RCM Global, an entity formed to hold a portfolio of structured product securities. We contributed \$15.0 million for a 63.8% membership interest in RCM Global. A five member board manages RCM Global, and all actions, including purchases and sales, must be approved by no less than three of the five members of the board. The portion of RCM Global that we do not own is presented as non-controlling interests as of the dates and for the periods presented in our consolidated financial statements. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

In September 2014, we contributed \$17.5 million to Pelium Capital for an initial ownership interest of 80.4%. Pelium Capital is a specialized credit opportunity fund managed by Resource America. We have committed to contributing an additional \$2.5 million into the fund. We will receive 10% of the carried interest in the partnership for the first five years and can increase our interest to 20% if our capital contributions aggregate \$40.0 million. Resource America contributed securities of \$2.8 million to the formation of Pelium Capital. The portion of the fund that we do not own is presented as non-controlling interests as of the dates and for the periods presented in our consolidated financial statements. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. As of December 31, 2014, we held a 74.1% interest in Pelium Capital.

**Relationship with Law Firm.** Ledgewood is a law firm that has provided legal services to us since our formation. Mr. E. Cohen, who was of counsel to Ledgewood until April 1996, receives certain debt service payments from Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with Ledgewood and its redemption of his interest in the firm. From 1995 until March 2006, Mr. Jeffrey F. Brotman was the managing member of Ledgewood. Mr. Brotman remained of counsel to Ledgewood through June 2007, at which time he became an Executive Vice President of Resource America. In connection with his separation arrangement, Mr. Brotman receives payments from Ledgewood related to the termination of his affiliation with the firm.

Policies and Procedures Regarding Related Transactions

Under our Management Agreement with the Manager and Resource America, we have established written policies regarding the offer of potential investments to us, our acquisition of those investments and the allocation of those investments among other programs managed by the Manager or Resource America. We have also established written policies regarding investing in investment opportunities in which the Manager or Resource America has an interest and regarding investing in any investment fund or CDO structured, co-structured or managed by the Manager or Resource America.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

198

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

The Manager and Resource America must offer us the right to consider all investments they identify that are within the parameters of our investment strategies and policies. If the Manager and Resource America identify an investment that is appropriate both for us and for one or more other investment programs managed by them, but the amount available is less than the amount sought by all of their investment programs, they will allocate the investment among us and such other investment programs in proportion to the relative amounts of the investment sought by each. If the portion of the investment allocable to a particular investment program would be too small for it to be appropriate for that investment program, either because of economic or market inefficiency, regulatory constraints (such as REIT qualification or exclusion from regulation under the Investment Company Act) or otherwise, that portion will be reallocated among the other investment programs. Investment programs that do not receive an allocation will have preference in future investments where investment programs are seeking more of the investment than is available so that, on an overall basis, each investment program is treated equitably.

To equitably allocate investments that the Manager or Resource America has acquired at varying prices, the Manager and Resource America will allocate the investment so that each investment program will pay approximately the same average price.

The Manager and Resource America may make exceptions to these general policies when other circumstances make application of the policies inequitable or uneconomic.

The Manager has also instituted policies designed to mitigate potential conflicts of interest between it and us, including:

We will not be permitted to invest in any investment fund or CDO structured, co-structured or managed by the Manager or Resource America other than those structured, co-structured or managed on our behalf. The Manager and Resource America will not receive duplicate management fees from any such investment fund or CDO to the extent we invest in it.

We will not be permitted to purchase investments from, or sell investments to, the Manager or Resource America, except that we may purchase investments that have been originated by the Manager or Resource America within 60 days before our investment.

Any transactions between entities managed by the Manager or Resource America and us must be approved by a majority of our independent directors.

Except as described above or provided for in our management agreement with the Manager and Resource America, we have not adopted a policy that expressly prohibits transactions between us or any of our directors, officers, employees, security-holders or affiliates. However, our code of business conduct and ethics prohibits any transaction that involves an actual or potential conflict except for transactions permitted under guidelines which may be adopted by our Board of Directors. No such guidelines have been adopted as of the date of this report. In addition, our Board of Directors may approve a waiver of the code of ethics and business conduct for a specific transaction, which must be reported to our stockholders to the extent required by applicable law or NYSE rule.

#### Director Independence

Our common stock is listed on the NYSE under the symbol "RSO" and we are subject to the NYSE's listing standards. The Board has determined that each of Messrs. Beach, Fore, Hart, Ickowicz, Levin, Neff and Ms. Wiggins satisfy the requirement for independence set out in Section 303A.02 of the rules of the NYSE and that each of these directors has no material relationship with us (other than being a director and/or a stockholder). In making its independence determinations, the Board sought to identify and analyze all of the facts and circumstances relating to any relationship between a director, his or her immediate family or affiliates and our company and our affiliates and did not rely on categorical standards other than those contained in the NYSE rules.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

#### ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

**Audit Fees.** The aggregate fees billed by our independent auditors, Grant Thornton LLP, for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (including a review of internal controls for December 31, 2014 and 2013 as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) and for the reviews of the consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q during each of the years then ended were \$860,000 and \$824,000, respectively.

**Audit-Related Fees.** The aggregate fees billed by Grant Thornton for audit-related services, principally including consulting on accounting issues, for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were \$93,000 and \$62,000, respectively.

**Tax Fees.** There were \$70,500 and \$24,000 fees paid to Grant Thornton LLP for professional services related to tax compliance, tax advice or tax planning for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

**All Other Fees.** We did not incur any fees in 2014 and 2013 for other services not included above.

**Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures.** The Audit Committee, on at least an annual basis, reviews audit and non-audit services performed by Grant Thornton LLP as well as the fees charged by Grant Thornton LLP for such services. Our policy is that all audit and non-audit services must be pre-approved by the Audit Committee. All of such services and fees were pre-approved during the year ended December 31, 2014.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

200

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

1. Financial Statements

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for years ended

December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2013

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2. Financial Statement Schedules

3. Exhibits

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|-------------|
|-------------|-------------|

- |        |   |
|--------|---|
| 3.1(a) | Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Resource Capital Corp. (1)   |
| 3.1(b) | Articles Supplementary 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. (16)   |
| 3.1(c) | Articles Supplementary 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. (17)   |
| 3.1(d) | Articles Supplementary 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. (18)   |
| 3.1(e) | Articles Supplementary 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. (22)   |
| 3.1(f) | Articles Supplementary 8.625% Fixed-to-Floating Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. (9)   |
| 3.2    | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Resource Capital Corp. (as Amended January 31, 2014). (12)   |
| 4.1(a) | Form of Certificate for Common Stock for Resource Capital Corp. (1)   |
| 4.1(b) | Form of Certificate for 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. (13)  |
| 4.1(c) | Form of Certificate for 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. (18)  |
| 4.1(d) | Form of Certificate for 8.625% Fixed-to-Floating Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock. (9)  |
| 4.2(a) | Junior Subordinated Indenture between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated May 25, 2006. (2)  |
| 4.2(b) | Amendment to Junior Subordinated Indenture and Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. (6)  |
| 4.3(a) | Amended and Restated Trust Agreement among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Delaware Trust Company and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated May 25, 2006. (2)  |
| 4.3(b) | Amendment to Amended and Restated Trust Agreement and Preferred Securities Certificate among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. (6) |
| 4.4    | Amended Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 in the principal amount of \$25,774,000, dated October 26, 2009. (6)  |
| 4.5(a) | Junior Subordinated Indenture between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated September 29, 2006. (3)  |
| 4.5(b) | Amendment to Junior Subordinated Indenture and Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. (6)  |
| 4.6(a) | Amended and Restated Trust Agreement among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo Delaware Trust Company and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated September 29, 2006. (3)  |

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

- 4.6(b) Amendment to Amended and Restated Trust Agreement and Preferred Securities Certificate among Resource Capital Corp., Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and the Administrative Trustees named therein, dated October 26, 2009 and effective September 30, 2009. (6)
- 4.7 Amended Junior Subordinated Note due 2036 in the principal amount of \$25,774,000, dated October 26, 2009. (6)
- 4.8(a) Senior Indenture between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee, dated October 21, 2013. (25)
- 4.8(b) First Supplemental Indenture between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (including the form of 6.00% Convertible Senior Note due 2018). (25)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

201

---

(Back to Index)

- 4.8(c) Second Supplemental Indenture, dated January 13, 2015, between Resource Capital Corp. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (including the form of 8.00% Convertible Senior Note due 2020). (20)
- 10.1(a) Second Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp, Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of June 13, 2012. (15)
- 10.1(b) Amendment No.1 to Second Amended and Restated Management Agreement between Resource Capital Corp, Resource Capital Manager, Inc. and Resource America, Inc. dated as of November 7, 2013.(4)
- 10.2(a) 2005 Stock Incentive Plan. (1)
- 10.2(b) Form of Stock Award Agreement. (8)
- 10.2(c) Form of Stock Option Agreement. (8)
- 10.3(a) Amended and Restated Omnibus Equity Compensation Plan. (7)
- 10.3(b) Form of Stock Award Agreement. (27)
- 10.3(c) Form of Stock Award Agreement (for employees with Resource America, Inc. employment agreements). (27)
- 10.4 Services Agreement between Resource Capital Asset Management, LLC and Apidos Capital Management, LLC, dated February 24, 2011. (11)
- 10.5 8.50% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 8.25% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 8.625% Fixed-to-Floating Series C Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement, dated November 19, 2014 among the Company, Resource Capital Manager Inc. and MLV & Co., LLC. (26)
- 10.6 Senior Secured Revolving Credit Agreement, dated September 18, 2014, among Northport TRS, LLC, as borrower, Resource Capital Corp., as guarantor, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and the lenders thereto. (19)
- 12.1 Statements re Computation of Ratios.
- 21.1 List of Subsidiaries of Resource Capital Corp.
- 23.1 Consent of Grant Thornton LLP.
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.2 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 99.1(a) Master Repurchase and Securities Contract by and among RCC Commercial, Inc., RCC Real Estate Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated February, 1, 2011. (10)
- 99.1(b) Guarantee Agreement made by Resource Capital Corp. in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated February 1, 2011. (10)
- 99.2(a) Master Repurchase and Securities Contract for \$150,000,000 between RCC Real Estate SPE 4, LLC, as Seller, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Buyer, Dated February 27, 2012. (14)
- 99.2(b) Guaranty made by Resource Capital Corp. as guarantor, in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated February 27, 2012. (14)
- 99.2(c) First Amendment to Master Repurchase and Securities Contract and Other Documents between RCC Real Estate SPE 4, LLC, as seller, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as buyer, dated April 2, 2013. (23)
- 99.3(a) Master Purchase Agreement by and between RCC Real Estate SPE 5, LLC, as, master seller, and Deutsche Bank AG, Cayman Islands Branch, as buyer, dated as of July 19, 2013. (24)
- 99.3(b) Guaranty made by the Company for the benefit of Deutsche Bank AG, Cayman Islands Branch, dated July 19, 2013. (24)
- 99.4(a) Master Repurchase and Securities Contract dated as of June 20, 2014 with Well Fargo Bank, National Association. (5)
- 99.4(b) Guarantee Agreement dated as of June 20, 2014, made by Resource Capital Corp., as guarantor, in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. (5)

|      |   |
|------|---|
| 99.5 | Federal Income Tax Consequences of our Qualification as a REIT.     |
| 99.6 | Corporate Governance Guidelines (as Amended January 31, 2014). (12) |
| 101  | Interactive Data Files  |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

202

---



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

- (1) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form S-11, Registration No. 333-126517.
- (2) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.
- (3) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006.
- (4) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2013.
- (5) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 26, 2014.
- (6) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.
- (7) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Proxy Statement filed on April 16, 2014.
- (8) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-132836).
- (9) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed on June 9, 2014.
- (10) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.
- (11) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 2, 2011.
- (12) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 4, 2014.
- (13) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed on March 18, 2013.
- (14) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 2, 2012.
- (15) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 13, 2012.
- (16) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's registration statement on Form 8-A filed on June 8, 2012.
- (17) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 29, 2012.
- (18) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form 8-A filed on September 28, 2012.
- (19) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 23, 2014.
- (20) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 13, 2015.
- (21) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 1, 2012.
- (22) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2013.
- (23) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 8, 2013.
- (24) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 25, 2013.
- (25) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2013.
- (26) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 20, 2014.
- (27) Filed previously as an exhibit to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2014.

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

RESOURCE CAPITAL CORP. (Registrant)

March 2, 2015

By: /s/ Jonathan Z. Cohen  
Jonathan Z. Cohen  
Chief Executive Officer and President

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

|  |   |               |
|--|---|---------------|
| /s/ Steven J. Kessler<br>STEVEN J. KESSLER       | Chairman of the Board   | March 2, 2015 |
| /s/ Jonathan Z. Cohen<br>JONATHAN Z. COHEN       | Director, President and Chief Executive Officer               | March 2, 2015 |
| /s/ Walter T. Beach<br>WALTER T. BEACH           | Director  | March 2, 2015 |
| /s/ Edward E. Cohen<br>EDWARD E. COHEN           | Director  | March 2, 2015 |
| /s/ Richard Fore<br>RICHARD FORE                 | Director  | March 2, 2015 |
| /s/ William B. Hart<br>WILLIAM B. HART           | Director  | March 2, 2015 |
| /s/ Gary Ickowicz<br>GARY ICKOWICZ               | Director  | March 2, 2015 |
| /s/ Murray S. Levin<br>MURRAY S. LEVIN           | Director  | March 2, 2015 |
| /s/ P. Sherrill Neff<br>P. SHERRILL NEFF         | Director  | March 2, 2015 |
| /s/ Stephanie H. Wiggins<br>STEPHANIE H. WIGGINS | Director  | March 2, 2015 |
| /s/ David J. Bryant<br>DAVID J. BRYANT           | Senior Vice President<br>Chief Financial Officer and Treasure | March 2, 2015 |
| /s/ Eldron C. Blackwell<br>ELDRON C. BLACKWELL   | Chief Accounting Officer                                      | March 2, 2015 |

[\(Back to Index\)](#)



[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## SCHEDULE II

Resource Capital Corp.

Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

(dollars in thousands)

|                                    | Balance at<br>beginning of<br>period | Charge to<br>expense | Write-offs  | Recoveries | Balance at<br>end of period |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| Allowance for loan and lease loss: |                                      |                      |             |            |                             |
| Year ended December 31, 2014       | \$13,807                             | \$1,804              | \$(10,998 ) | \$—        | \$4,613                     |
| Year ended December 31, 2013       | \$17,691                             | \$3,020              | \$(6,904 )  | \$—        | \$13,807                    |
| Year ended December 31, 2012       | \$27,518                             | \$16,818             | \$(26,645 ) | \$—        | \$17,691                    |

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## SCHEDULE III

Resource Capital Corp.

Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

December 31, 2014

(dollars in thousands)

|                              | 2014     | 2013      | 2012     |
|------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|
| Real Estate                  |          |           |          |
| Balance, beginning of year   | \$32,380 | \$77,936  | \$48,726 |
| Additions:                   |          |           |          |
| Acquired through foreclosure | —        | —         | 25,608   |
| Improvements                 | 25       | 268       | 3,645    |
|                              | 25       | 268       | 29,253   |
| Deductions:                  |          |           |          |
| Cost of real estate sold     | (32,405  | ) (20,216 | ) —      |
| Property available-for-sale  | —        | (25,608   | ) —      |
| Other—write-down             | —        | —         | (43      |
| Balance, end of year         | \$—      | \$32,380  | \$77,936 |
| Accumulated Depreciation     |          |           |          |
| Balance, beginning of year   | \$2,602  | \$2,550   | \$699    |
| Additions:                   |          |           |          |
| Depreciation expense         | 433      | 1,049     | 1,851    |
|                              | 433      | 1,049     | 1,851    |
| Deductions:                  |          |           |          |
| Sales                        | (3,035   | ) (997    | ) —      |
| Balance, end of year         | \$—      | \$2,602   | \$2,550  |

---

[\(Back to Index\)](#)

## SCHEDULE IV

Resource Capital Corp.  
Mortgage Loans on Real Estate  
As of December 31, 2014  
(Dollars in thousands)

| Type of Loan/<br>Borrower                                    | Description / Location      | Interest<br>Payment<br>Rates       | Final<br>Maturity<br>Date | Periodic<br>Payment<br>Terms <sup>(1)</sup> | Prior<br>Liens <sup>(2)</sup> | Face<br>Amount of<br>Loans <sup>(3)</sup> | Net<br>Carrying<br>Amount of<br>Loans |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Whole Loans:   |                             |                                    |                           |   |                               |   |                                       |
| Borrower A   | Multi-Family/Houston,<br>TX | LIBOR<br>FLOOR<br>0.25% +<br>4.50% | 7/5/2017                  | I/O   | —                             | \$63,075                                  | \$62,578                              |
| Borrower B   | Multi-Family/Tempe,<br>AZ   | LIBOR<br>0.75% +<br>4.25%          | 4/5/2017                  | I/O   | —                             | 45,750                                    | 45,488                                |
| Borrower C   | Multi-Family/Houston,<br>TX | LIBOR<br>FLOOR<br>0.25% +<br>5.55% | 11/5/2017                 | I/O   | —                             | 43,974                                    | 43,583                                |
| All other Whole<br>Loans<br>individually less<br>than 3%     |                             |                                    |                           |   |                               | \$1,118,323                               | \$1,111,942                           |
| Total Whole<br>Loans   |                             |                                    |                           |   |                               | \$1,271,122                               | \$1,263,591                           |
| Mezzanine Loans:   |                             |                                    |                           |   |                               |   |                                       |
| n/a  | n/a                         | n/a                                | n/a                       | n/a   |                               |   |                                       |
| All Other<br>Mezzanine Loans<br>individually less<br>than 3% |                             |                                    |                           |   |                               | \$67,446                                  | \$67,367                              |
| Total Mezzanine<br>Loans                                     |                             |                                    |                           |   |                               | \$67,446                                  | \$67,367                              |
| B Notes:   |                             |                                    |                           |   |                               |   |                                       |
| n/a  | n/a                         | n/a                                | n/a                       | n/a   |                               |   |                                       |
| All Other B Notes<br>individually less<br>than 3%            |                             |                                    |                           |   |                               | \$16,119                                  | \$16,072                              |
| Total B Notes  |                             |                                    |                           |   |                               | \$16,119                                  | \$16,072                              |
| Total Commercial<br>Real Estate Loans                        |                             |                                    |                           |   |                               | \$1,354,687 <sup>(4)</sup>                | \$1,347,030 <sup>(5)</sup>            |

Residential  
Mortgage Loans:

Edgar Filing: Resource Capital Corp. - Form 10-K

|  |     |     |           |           |
|--|-----|-----|-----------|-----------|
| n/a  | n/a | n/a | n/a       | n/a       |
| All other Residential Mortgage Loans individually less than 3% |     |     |           |           |
| Total Residential Mortgage Loans                               |     |     | \$114,238 | \$114,238 |

Explanatory Notes:

- (1) IO = interest only
- (2) Represents only Third Party Liens.
- (3) Does not include unfunded commitments.
- (4) All loans are current with respect to principal and interest payments.
- (5) The net carrying amount of loans includes an allowance for loan loss of \$4.0 million at December 31, 2014 allocated to as follows: Whole Loans \$3.8 million, Mezzanine Loans \$231,000, and B Notes \$55,000.