

PREFORMED LINE PRODUCTS CO  
 Form 4  
 August 28, 2012

**FORM 4**

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
 Washington, D.C. 20549

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287  
 Expires: January 31, 2015  
 Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

**STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES**

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person \*  
 McKenna Dennis F

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol  
 PREFORMED LINE PRODUCTS CO [PLPC]

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer  
 (Check all applicable)

(Last) (First) (Middle)  
 P.O. BOX 91129  
 (Street)

3. Date of Earliest Transaction (Month/Day/Year)  
 08/28/2012

\_\_\_\_ Director \_\_\_\_ 10% Owner  
 Officer (give title below) \_\_\_\_ Other (specify below)  
 V.P. Global Business Dev.

CLEVELAND, OH 44101

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)  
 Form filed by One Reporting Person  
 Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

(City) (State) (Zip)

**Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned**

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Ownership (Instr. 4)
				(A) or (D)	Price		
Common shares, \$2 par value	08/28/2012		M	4,100	A \$ 22.1	27,142	D
Common shares, \$2 par value	08/28/2012		S	4,100	D \$ 55.91	23,042	D
Common shares, \$2 par value						7,459	I by trust

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474  
(9-02)

**Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned**  
(e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)	Amount or Number of Shares
Employee stock option (right to buy)	\$ 22.1	08/28/2012		M	4,100	07/28/2005 <sup>(1)</sup> 07/27/2014	Common shares, \$2 par value	4,100

## Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
McKenna Dennis F P.O. BOX 91129 CLEVELAND, OH 44101			V.P. Global Business Dev.	

## Signatures

/s/ Eric R. Graef, by power of attorney  
08/28/2012

\_\_Signature of Reporting Person Date

## Explanation of Responses:

- \* If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
- \*\* Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- (1) Subject shares may be purchased in the amounts and subject to the vesting schedule set forth below: Vesting date: 07/28/2005 - 2,500 shares Vesting date: 07/28/2006 - 1,250 shares Vesting date: 07/28/2007 - 1,250 shares

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. line; FONT-FAMILY: times new roman; FONT-SIZE: 10pt">- 68 8,582 8,650 Commercial business

63 - 80 - 143 14,050 14,193

Total

\$3,821 \$940 \$1,720 \$81 \$6,562 \$322,739 \$329,301

Nonperforming Loans. Loans are considered nonperforming when they are placed on nonaccrual and/or when they are considered to be nonperforming troubled debt restructurings (“TDRs”). A TDR is a loan to a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty that has been modified from its original terms and conditions in such a way that the Company is granting the borrower a concession of some kind. Nonperforming TDRs include TDRs that do not have sufficient payment history (typically greater than six months) to be considered performing or TDRs that have become 31 or more days past due.

The following table represents the credit risk profile based on payment activity as of September 30, 2013 by type of loan (in thousands):

	One- to four- family	Home equity	Commercial and multifamily	Construction and land	Manufactured homes	Other consumer	Commercial business	Total
Performing	\$ 115,611	\$ 34,695	\$ 148,515	\$ 43,780	\$ 13,918	\$ 9,393	\$ 14,842	\$ 380,754
Nonperforming	1,005	622	230	-	65	-	-	1,922
Total	\$ 116,616	\$ 35,317	\$ 148,745	\$ 43,780	\$ 13,983	\$ 9,393	\$ 14,842	\$ 382,676

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table represents the credit risk profile based on payment activity as of December 31, 2012 by type of loan (in thousands):

	One- to four- family	Home equity	Commercial and multifamily	Construction and land	Manufactured homes	Other consumer	Commercial business	Total
Performing	\$94,641	\$34,647	\$ 132,273	\$ 24,987	\$ 16,203	\$ 8,642	\$ 13,996	\$325,389
Nonperforming	1,143	717	1,347	471	29	8	197	3,912
Total	\$95,784	\$35,364	\$ 133,620	\$ 25,458	\$ 16,232	\$ 8,650	\$ 14,193	\$329,301

Impaired Loans. A loan is considered impaired when we have determined that we may be unable to collect payments of principal or interest when due under the terms of the loan. In the process of identifying loans as impaired, we take into consideration factors which include payment history and status, collateral value, financial condition of the borrower, and the probability of collecting scheduled payments in the future. Minor payment delays and insignificant payment shortfalls typically do not result in a loan being classified as impaired. The significance of payment delays and shortfalls is considered on a case by case basis, after taking into consideration the totality of circumstances surrounding the loans and the borrowers, including payment history and amounts of any payment shortfall, length and reason for delay, and likelihood of return to stable performance. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for all loans in the portfolio. All TDRs are also classified as impaired loans and are included in the loans individually evaluated for impairment in the calculation of the allowance for loan losses.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment as of September 30, 2013 by type of loan (in thousands):

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
With no related allowance recorded:			
One-to four- family	\$343	\$539	\$-
Home equity	361	465	-
Commercial and multifamily	1,724	1,724	-
Construction and land	562	562	-
Manufactured homes	67	67	-
Other consumer	12	12	-
Commercial business	427	427	-
Total	\$3,496	\$3,796	\$-
With an allowance recorded:			
One-to four- family	\$4,402	\$4,462	\$407
Home equity	1,533	1,533	186
Commercial and multifamily	1,730	1,730	1
Construction and land	178	178	17
Manufactured homes	604	604	128
Other consumer	34	34	2
Commercial business	138	138	2

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: PREFORMED LINE PRODUCTS CO - Form 4

Total	\$8,619	\$8,679	\$743
Totals:			
One-to-four family	\$4,745	\$5,001	407
Home equity	1,894	1,998	186
Commercial and multifamily	3,454	3,454	1
Construction and land	740	740	17
Manufactured homes	671	671	128
Other consumer	46	46	2
Commercial business	565	565	2
Total	\$12,115	\$12,475	\$743

17

---

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment as of December 31, 2012 by type of loan (in thousands):

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
With no related allowance recorded:			
One-to four- family	\$2,521	\$2,826	\$-
Home equity	949	1,132	-
Commercial and multifamily	1,883	1,883	-
Construction and land	495	608	-
Manufactured homes	67	67	-
Other consumer	9	49	-
Commercial business	682	682	-
Total	\$6,606	\$7,247	\$-
With an allowance recorded:			
One-to four- family	\$3,495	\$3,651	\$392
Home equity	782	782	247
Commercial and multifamily	244	244	70
Construction and land	76	76	25
Manufactured homes	587	587	117
Other consumer	46	46	22
Commercial business	157	196	145
Total	\$5,387	\$5,582	\$1,018
Totals:			
One-to four- family	\$6,016	\$6,477	\$392
Home equity	1,731	1,914	247
Commercial and multifamily	2,127	2,127	70
Construction and land	571	684	25
Manufactured homes	654	654	117
Other consumer	55	95	22
Commercial business	839	878	145
Total	\$11,993	\$12,829	\$1,018

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 by type of loan in thousands):

	Three Months Ended			
	September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:				
One-to four- family	\$425	\$ 2	\$1,908	\$ 28
Home equity	332	4	783	9
Commercial and multifamily	2,269	-	1,938	26
Construction and land	563	-	574	-
Manufactured homes	67	1	70	1
Other consumer	16	-	8	1
Commercial business	238	8	847	3
Total	\$3,907	\$ 15	\$6,126	\$ 68
With an allowance recorded:				
One-to four- family	\$4,421	\$ 55	\$5,132	\$ 37
Home equity	1,517	14	1,141	9
Commercial and multifamily	866	79	245	4
Construction and land	179	2	161	1
Manufactured homes	588	11	648	10
Other consumer	34	1	127	2
Commercial business	126	-	255	4
Total	\$7,729	\$ 162	\$7,708	\$ 67
Totals:				
One-to four- family	\$4,845	\$ 57	\$7,040	\$ 65
Home equity	1,848	18	1,923	18
Commercial and multifamily	3,135	79	2,183	30
Construction and land	741	2	735	1
Manufactured homes	654	12	718	11
Other consumer	50	1	134	3
Commercial business	364	8	1,101	7
Total	\$11,636	\$ 177	\$13,833	\$ 135

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment as of September 30, 2013 and 2012 by type of loan (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended			
	September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded:				
One-to four- family	\$1,383	\$ 16	\$2,694	\$ 84
Home equity	603	9	749	32
Commercial and multifamily	1,798	47	1,874	60
Construction and land	411	1	663	21
Manufactured homes	75	3	62	4
Other consumer	12	1	14	2
Commercial business	453	18	688	13
Total	\$4,734	\$ 95	\$6,743	\$ 216
With an allowance recorded:				
One-to four- family	\$4,120	\$ 157	\$5,268	\$ 129
Home equity	1,191	46	1,146	28
Commercial and multifamily	555	79	284	7
Construction and land	127	9	131	4
Manufactured homes	571	33	554	32
Other consumer	40	2	101	5
Commercial business	196	5	217	16
Total	\$6,800	\$ 331	\$7,700	\$ 221
Totals:				
One-to four- family	\$5,503	\$ 173	\$7,962	\$ 213
Home equity	1,794	55	1,895	60
Commercial and multifamily	2,353	126	2,157	67
Construction and land	538	10	794	25
Manufactured homes	646	36	615	36
Other consumer	52	3	115	7
Commercial business	648	23	905	29
Total	\$11,533	\$ 426	\$14,443	\$ 437

Forgone interest on nonaccrual loans was \$108,000 and \$191,000 at September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There were no commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers whose loans were classified as nonaccrual, TDR or impaired at September 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

Troubled debt restructurings. Loans classified as TDRs totaled \$6.5 million and \$7.7 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and are included in impaired loans. The Company has granted in its TDRs a variety of concessions to borrowers in the form of loan modifications. The modifications granted can generally be described in the following categories:

Explanation of Responses:



Rate Modification: A modification in which the interest rate is changed.

Term Modification: A modification in which the maturity date, timing of payments, or frequency of payments is changed.

Payment Modification: A modification in which the dollar amount of the payment is changed. Interest only modifications in which a loan is converted to interest only payments for a period of time are included in this category.

Combination Modification: Any other type of modification, including the use of multiple categories above.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

There were no new TDRs that occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

The following table presents new TDRs by type of modification that occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Nine months ended September 30, 2013						
	Number of Contracts	Rate Modifications	Term Modifications	Payment Modifications	Combination Modifications	Total Modifications
One-to four- family	3	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 878	\$ 878
Home equity	1	-	-	-	99	99
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 977</b>	<b>\$ 977</b>

The following table presents new TDRs by type of modification that occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2012 (in thousands):

Three months ended September 30, 2012						
	Number of Contracts	Rate Modifications	Term Modifications	Payment Modifications	Combination Modifications	Total Modifications
One-to four- family	1	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 197	\$ 197
Home equity	1	-	-	-	117	117
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 314</b>	<b>\$ 314</b>

The following table presents new TDRs by type of modification that occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 (in thousands):

Nine months ended September 30, 2012						
	Number of Contracts	Rate Modifications	Term Modifications	Payment Modifications	Combination Modifications	Total Modifications
One-to four- family	5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 561	\$ 561
Home equity	2	-	-	-	166	166
Commercial and multifamily	2	-	-	-	426	426
Construction and land	2	-	-	-	26	26
Other consumer	2	-	-	-	14	14
Commercial business	3	\$ 121	-	-	186	307
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>\$ 121</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,379</b>	<b>\$ 1,500</b>

There were no post-modification changes for the recorded investment in loans that were recorded as a result of the TDRs for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table represents financing receivables modified as TDRs within the previous 12 months for which there was a payment default during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively (in thousands):

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
One-to four- family	\$-	\$1,246	\$-	\$1,246
Home equity	99	215	99	215
Commercial and multifamily	-	1,366	-	1,366
Manufactured homes	-	390	-	471
Other consumer	-	27	-	27
Commercial business	-	540	-	540
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$99</b>	<b>\$3,784</b>	<b>\$99</b>	<b>\$3,865</b>

For the preceding tables, a loan is considered in default when a payment is 31 days past due. No TDRs modified within the previous 12 months were three months past due as of September 30, 2013. One commercial real estate TDR was three months past due as of September 30, 2012 and therefore on nonaccrual status.

The Company had no commitments to extend additional credit to borrowers owing receivables whose terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

## Note 6 – Fair Value Measurements

The following tables present information about the level in the fair value hierarchy for the Company's financial assets and liabilities, whether or not recognized or recorded at fair value as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Fair Value at September 30, 2013			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<b>FINANCIAL ASSETS:</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 13,961	\$ 13,961	\$-	\$-
Available for sale securities	16,639	-	14,150	2,489
FHLB stock	2,336	-	-	2,336
Loans held for sale	1,664	-	1,664	-
Loans, net	378,160	-	-	378,160
Accrued interest receivable	1,313	1,313	-	-
Mortgage servicing rights	2,843	-	-	2,843
<b>FINANCIAL LIABILITIES:</b>				
Non-maturity deposits	185,000	-	185,000	-
Time deposits	157,551	-	157,551	-
Borrowings	40,360	-	40,360	-
Accrued interest payable	76	-	76	-

	Fair Value at December 31, 2012			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<b>FINANCIAL ASSETS:</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,727	\$ 12,727	\$-	\$-
Available for sale securities	22,900	-	20,127	2,773
FHLB Stock	2,401	-	-	2,401
Loans held for sale	1,725	-	1,725	-
Loans, net	327,078	-	-	327,078
Accrued interest receivable	1,280	1,280	-	-
Mortgage servicing rights	2,306	-	-	2,306
<b>FINANCIAL LIABILITIES:</b>				
Non-maturity deposits	177,097	-	177,097	-
Time deposits	134,007	-	134,007	-
Borrowings	21,708	-	21,708	-
Accrued interest payable	83	-	83	-

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The following table presents the balance of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Fair Value at September 30, 2013			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Municipal bonds	\$1,948	\$-	\$1,948	\$-
Agency mortgage-backed securities	12,202	-	12,202	-
Non-agency mortgage-backed securities	2,489	-	-	2,489
Mortgage servicing rights	2,843	-	-	2,843

	Fair Value at December 31, 2012			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Agency mortgage-backed securities	\$20,127	\$-	\$20,127	\$-
Non-agency mortgage-backed securities	2,773	-	-	2,773
Mortgage servicing rights	2,306	-	-	2,306

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 there were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 nor between Level 2 and Level 3.

The following table provides a description of the valuation technique, unobservable input, and qualitative information about the unobservable inputs for the Company's assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 and measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2013:

Financial Instrument	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input(s)	Range (Weighted Average)
Mortgage Servicing Rights	Discounted cash flow	Prepayment speed assumption	219-550% (310%)
		Discount rate	8-12% (10%)
Non-agency mortgage-backed securities	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	(8%)

Generally, any significant increases in the constant prepayment rate and discount rate utilized in the fair value measurement of the mortgage servicing rights will result in a negative fair value adjustment (and decrease in the fair value measurement). Conversely, a decrease in the constant prepayment rate and discount rate will result in a positive fair value adjustment (and increase in the fair value measurement). An increase in the weighted average life assumptions will result in a decrease in the constant prepayment rate and conversely, a decrease in the weighted average life will result in an increase of the constant prepayment rate.

The following table provides a reconciliation of assets and liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) on a recurring basis during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 (in

thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
Beginning balance, at fair value	\$2,773	\$2,933
OTTI impairment losses	(30 )	(156 )
Sales and principal payments	(477 )	(135 )
Change in unrealized loss	223	186
Ending balance, at fair value	\$2,489	\$2,828

24

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Mortgage servicing rights are measured at fair value using significant unobservable input (Level 3) on a recurring basis and a reconciliation of this asset can be found in Note 7 – Mortgage Servicing Rights.

The following table presents the balance of assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at the dates indicated (in thousands):

	Fair Value at September 30, 2013			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Impaired loans:				
One- to four- family	\$4,745	\$-	\$-	\$4,745
Home equity	1,894	-	-	1,894
Commercial and multifamily	3,454	-	-	3,454
Construction and land	740	-	-	740
Manufactured homes	671	-	-	671
Other consumer	46	-	-	46
Commercial business	565	-	-	565
OREO and repossessed assets	981	-	-	981

	Fair Value at December 31, 2012			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Impaired loans:				
One- to four- family	\$6,016	\$-	\$-	\$6,016
Home equity	1,731	-	-	1,731
Commercial and multifamily	2,127	-	-	2,127
Construction and land	571	-	-	571
Manufactured homes	654	-	-	654
Other consumer	55	-	-	55
Commercial business	839	-	-	839
OREO and repossessed assets	2,503	-	-	2,503

The following tables present the total losses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 resulting from fair value adjustments (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012
OREO and repossessed assets	\$80	\$145	\$855	\$314
Impaired loans	484	1,197	1,357	4,048

There were no liabilities carried at fair value, measured on a recurring or nonrecurring basis, at September 30, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

The following table provides a description of the valuation technique, observable input, and qualitative information about the unobservable inputs for the Company's assets and liabilities classified as Level 3 and measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at September 30, 2013:

Explanation of Responses:

Financial Instrument	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Input(s)	Range (Weighted Average)
OREO	Market approach	Adjustment for differences between comparable sales	1.9-43% (13%)
Impaired loans	Market approach	Adjustment for differences between comparable sales	0-100% (7%)

A description of the valuation methodologies used for impaired loans and OREO is as follows:

Impaired Loans - The fair value of collateral dependent loans is based on the current appraised value of the collateral or internally developed models utilizing a calculation of expected discounted cash flows which contain management's assumptions.



SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

OREO and Repossessed Assets – The fair value of OREO and repossessed assets is based on the current appraised value of the collateral.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of other financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents, accrued interest receivable and payable, and advance payments from borrowers for taxes and insurance - The estimated fair value is equal to the carrying amount.

AFS Securities – AFS securities are recorded at fair value based on quoted market prices, if available. If quoted market prices are not available, management utilizes third-party pricing services or broker quotations from dealers in the specific instruments. Level 2 securities include those traded on an active exchange, as well as U.S. government and its agencies securities. Level 3 securities include private label mortgage-backed securities.

Loans Held for Sale - Residential mortgage loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of cost or fair value. The fair value of fixed-rate residential loans is based on whole loan forward prices obtained from government sponsored enterprises. At September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, loans held for sale were carried at cost.

Loans - The estimated fair value for all fixed rate loans is determined by discounting the estimated cash flows using the current rate at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and maturities. The estimated fair value for variable rate loans is the carrying amount. The fair value for all loans also takes into account projected loan losses as a part of the estimate.

Mortgage Servicing Rights –The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is determined through a discounted cash flow analysis, which uses interest rates, prepayment speeds, discount rates, and delinquency rate assumptions as inputs.

FHLB stock - The estimated fair value is equal to the par value of the stock, which approximates fair value.

Bank-owned Life Insurance - The estimated fair value is equal to the cash surrender value of policies, net of surrender charges.

Deposits - The estimated fair value of deposit accounts (savings, demand deposit, and money market accounts) is the carrying amount. The fair values of fixed-maturity time certificates of deposit are estimated by discounting the estimated cash flows using the current rate at which similar certificates would be issued.

Borrowings - The fair value of borrowings are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, based on the Company's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Off-balance-sheet financial instruments - The fair value for the Company's off-balance-sheet loan commitments are estimated based on fees charged to others to enter into similar agreements taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and credit standing of the Company's customers. The estimated fair value of these commitments is not significant.

We assume interest rate risk (the risk that general interest rate levels will change) as a result of our normal operations. As a result, the fair values of our financial instruments will change when interest rate levels change, which may be

favorable or unfavorable to us. Management attempts to match maturities of assets and liabilities to the extent necessary or possible to minimize interest rate risk. However, borrowers with fixed-rate obligations are less likely to prepay in a rising rate environment and more likely to prepay in a falling rate environment. Conversely, depositors who are receiving fixed rates are more likely to withdraw funds before maturity in a rising rate environment and less likely to do so in a falling rate environment. Management monitors rates and maturities of assets and liabilities and attempts to minimize interest rate risk by establishing early withdrawal penalties for certificates of deposit, creating interest rate floors for certain variable rate loans, adjusting terms of new loans and deposits, by borrowing at fixed rates for fixed terms and investing in securities with terms that mitigate our overall interest rate risk.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

Note 7 – Mortgage Servicing Rights

The unpaid principal balances of loans serviced for Federal National Mortgage Association at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, totaled approximately \$361.9 million and \$365.7 million, respectively and were not included in the Company's financial statements.

A summary of the change in the balance of mortgage servicing rights during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

	Three months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Beginning balance, at fair value	\$2,670	\$2,558	\$2,306	\$2,437
Servicing rights that result from transfers of financial assets	160	226	655	554
Changes in fair value:				
Due to changes in model inputs or assumptions(1)	271	(259 )	656	97
Other(2)	(258 )	(211 )	(774 )	(774 )
Ending balance, at fair value	\$2,843	\$2,314	\$2,843	\$2,314

(1) Represents changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions, which are primarily affected by changes in interest rates

(2) Represents changes due to collection or realization of expected cash flows over time.

The key economic assumptions used in determining the fair value of mortgage servicing rights at the dates indicated are as follows:

	At September 30,			
	2013	%	2012	%
Prepayment speed (Public Securities Association "PSA" model)	219	%	372	%
Weighted-average life (years)	5.98		3.86	
Yield to maturity discount rate	10.01	%	10.00	%

The amount of contractually specified servicing, late and ancillary fees earned, recorded in mortgage servicing income on the Consolidated Statements of Income was \$78,000 and \$387,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, and \$148,000 and \$346,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

Note 8 – Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of operations, the Company engages in a variety of financial transactions that are not recorded in our financial statements. These transactions involve varying degrees of off-balance sheet credit, interest rate and liquidity risks. These transactions are used primarily to manage customers' requests for funding and take the form of

Explanation of Responses:

loan commitments and lines of credit.

Note 9 – Borrowings

The Company utilizes a loan agreement with the FHLB of Seattle. The terms of the agreement call for a blanket pledge of a portion of the Company's mortgage and commercial and multifamily portfolio based on the outstanding balance. At September 30, 2013, the amount available to borrow under this agreement was approximately 35% of the Bank's total assets, or up to \$151.1 million, subject to the availability of eligible collateral. Based on eligible collateral, the total amount available under this agreement as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$106.5 million and \$90.7 million, respectively. The Company had outstanding borrowings under this arrangement of \$40.4 million and \$21.9 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Additionally, the Company had outstanding letters of credit from the FHLB with a notional amount of \$25.0 million and \$31.5 million at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, to secure public deposits. The net remaining amount available as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, was \$41.1 million and \$37.3 million, respectively.

27

---

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

The Company participates in the Federal Reserve Bank Borrower-in-Custody program, which gives the Company access to the discount window. The terms of the program call for a pledge of specific assets. The Company had unused borrowing capacity of \$15.8 million and \$11.8 and no outstanding borrowings under this program at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The Company has access to an unsecured line of credit from the Pacific Coast Banker's Bank. The line has a two-year term maturing on June 30, 2014 and is renewable biannually. At September 30, 2013, the amount available under this line of credit was \$2.0 million. There was no balance on this line of credit as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

#### Note 10 – Earnings Per Common Share

Non-vested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and are included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared (or accumulated) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Certain of the Company's non-vested restricted stock awards qualify as participating securities.

Net earnings, less any preferred dividends accumulated for the period (whether or not declared), is allocated between the common stock and participating securities pursuant to the two-class method. Basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing net earnings available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, excluding participating non-vested restricted shares.

Diluted earnings per common share is computed in a similar manner, except that first the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if potentially dilutive common shares, excluding the participating securities, were issued using the treasury stock method. For all periods presented, stock options, certain restricted stock awards and restricted stock units are the only potentially dilutive non-participating instruments issued by the Company. Next, we determine and include in diluted earnings per common share calculation the more dilutive effect of the participating securities using the treasury stock method or the two-class method. Undistributed losses are not allocated to the non-vested share-based payment awards (the participating securities) under the two-class method as the holders are not contractually obligated to share in the losses of the Company.

ESOP shares are considered outstanding for basic and diluted earnings per share when the shares are committed to be released.

Earnings per common share are summarized for the periods presented in the following table (in thousands, except share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net income	\$994	\$612	\$2,934	\$1,752

Edgar Filing: PREFORMED LINE PRODUCTS CO - Form 4

Less net income attributable to participating securities(1)	22	7	59	19
Net income available to common shareholders	\$972	\$605	\$2,875	\$1,733
Weighted average number of shares outstanding, basic	2,576,995	2,587,669	2,583,588	2,585,694
Effect of potentially dilutive common shares(2)	57,092	40,151	51,976	30,376
Weighted average number of shares outstanding, diluted	2,634,087	2,627,820	2,635,564	2,616,070
Earnings per share, basic	\$0.39	\$0.24	\$1.14	\$0.68
Earnings per share, diluted	\$0.38	\$0.23	\$1.11	\$0.67

(1) Represents dividends paid and undistributed earnings allocated to non-vested restricted stock awards.

(2) Represents the effect of the assumed exercise of warrants, assumed exercise of stock options, vesting of non-participating restricted shares, and vesting of restricted stock units, based on the treasury stock method.

There were no shares considered anti-dilutive for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 or 2012.

SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

## Note 11 – Stock-based Compensation

## Stock Options and Restricted Stock

In 2008, the Board of Directors adopted and stockholders approved an Equity Incentive Plan (the “Plan”) which was assumed by the Company in connection with the Conversion. The Plan permits the grant of restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock options, and stock appreciation rights. Under the Plan, 126,287 shares of common stock were approved for awards for stock options and stock appreciation rights and 50,514 shares of common stock were approved for awards for restricted stock and restricted stock units, in each case, as adjusted for the Conversion exchange ratio.

As of September 30, 2013, on an adjusted basis, awards for stock options totaling 107,456 shares and awards for restricted stock totaling 49,771 shares of Company common stock have been granted, net of any forfeitures, to participants in the Plan. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, share-based compensation expense totaled \$42,000 and \$125,000, respectively. All of the awards vest in 20 percent annual increments commencing one year from the grant date. The options are exercisable for a period of 10 years from the date of grant, subject to vesting.

The following is a summary of the Company’s stock option plan awards during the period ended September 30, 2013:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term In Years	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at the beginning of the year	115,936	\$8.93	6.78	\$170,426
Granted	-	-		
Exercised	-	-		
Forfeited	(8,480 )	\$9.07		
Expired	-	-		
Outstanding at September 30, 2013	107,456	\$8.92	6.09	\$665,153
Exercisable	70,115	\$9.02	5.56	\$427,000
Expected to vest, assuming a 0% forfeiture rate over the vesting term	107,456	\$8.92	6.09	\$665,153

As of September 30, 2013, there was \$66,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted under the Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over the remaining weighted-average vesting period of 5.1 years.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes model that uses the assumptions noted in the table below. The dividend yield is based on the current quarterly dividend in effect at the time of the grant.

The Company (including the predecessor entity) became a publicly held company in January 2008, so the amount of historical stock price information available is limited. As a result, the Company elected to use a weighted-average of its peers' historical stock prices, as well as the Company's own historical stock prices to estimate volatility. The Company bases the risk-free interest rate on the U.S. Treasury Constant Maturity Indices in effect on the date of the grant. The Company elected to use the Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 110, "Share-Based Payments" permitted by the Securities and Exchange Commission to calculate the expected term. This simplified method uses the vesting term of an option along with the contractual term, setting the expected life at a midpoint in between.



SOUND FINANCIAL BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

### Restricted Stock Awards

The fair value of the restricted stock awards is equal to the fair value of the Company's stock at the date of grant. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period that the awards are based. Shares awarded as restricted stock vest ratably over a five-year period beginning at the grant date with 20% vesting on the anniversary date of each grant date.

The following is a summary of the Company's non-vested restricted stock awards during the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share	Aggregate Intrinsic Value Per Share
Non-vested Shares:			
Non-vested at January 1, 2013	24,747	\$ 8.44	
Granted	-		
Vested	(9,487 )		
Forfeited	(735 )		
Expired	-		
Non-vested at September 30, 2013	14,525	\$ 8.44	\$15.11
Expected to vest assuming a 0% forfeiture rate over the vesting term	14,525	\$ 8.44	\$15.11

The aggregate intrinsic value of the non-vested restricted stock options as of September 30, 2013 was \$219,000.

As of September 30, 2013, there was \$43,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock granted under the Plan remaining. The cost is expected to be recognized over the weighted-average vesting period of 2.0 years.

### Employee Stock Ownership Plan

In January 2008, the ESOP borrowed \$1.2 million from the Company to purchase common stock of the Company. In August 2012, in conjunction with the Conversion, the ESOP borrowed an additional \$1.1 million from the Company to purchase common stock of the Company. Both loans are being repaid principally by the Bank through contributions to the ESOP over a period of ten years. The interest rate on the loans is fixed at 4.0% and 2.25%, per annum, respectively. At September 30, 2013, the remaining balances of the ESOP loans were \$638,000 and \$1.0 million, respectively.

Neither the loan balances nor the related interest expense are reflected on the condensed consolidated financial statements.

At September 30, 2013, the ESOP was committed to release 22,900 shares of the Company's common stock to participants and held 151,115 unallocated shares remaining to be released in future years. The fair value of the 202,755 restricted shares held by the ESOP trust was \$3.1 million at September 30, 2013. ESOP compensation expense included in salaries and benefits was \$76,000 and \$249,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively. ESOP compensation expense included in salaries and benefits was \$61,000 and \$114,000 for

the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Note 12 – Subsequent Event

On October 29, 2013 the Company declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.05 per common share, payable on November 27, 2013 to shareholders of record at the close of business November 13, 2013.

30

---

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF  
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Certain matters discussed in this Form 10-Q constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements relate to our financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance or business. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical fact, are based on certain assumptions and are generally identified by use of the words "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "forecasts," "intends," "plans," "targets," "potentially," "probably," "projects," "outlook" or similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as "may," "will," "should," "would" and "could." Forward-looking statements include statements with respect to our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, assumptions and statements about, among other things, expectations of the business environment in which we operate, projections of future performance or financial items, perceived opportunities in the market, potential future credit experience, and statements regarding our mission and vision. These forward-looking statements are based upon current management expectations and may, therefore, involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results, performance, or achievements may differ materially from those suggested, expressed, or implied by forward-looking statements as a result of a wide variety or range of factors including, but not limited to:

- changes in economic conditions, either nationally or in our market area;
  - fluctuations in interest rates;
- the risks of lending and investing activities, including changes in the level and direction of loan delinquencies and write-offs and changes in estimates of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses;
  - the possibility of other-than-temporary impairments of securities held in our securities portfolio;
    - our ability to access cost-effective funding;
- fluctuations in the demand for loans, the number of unsold homes, land and other properties, and fluctuations in real estate values and both residential and commercial and multifamily real estate market conditions in our market area;
  - secondary market conditions for loans and our ability to sell loans in the secondary market;
    - our ability to attract and retain deposits;
- our ability to successfully integrate any assets, liabilities, customers, systems, and management personnel we may acquire into our operations and our ability to realize related revenue synergies and expected cost savings and other benefits within the anticipated time frames or at all;
- legislative or regulatory changes such as the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and its implementing regulations that adversely affect our business, as well as changes in regulatory policies and principles, or the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules including changes related to Basel III;
-

monetary and fiscal policies of the Federal Reserve and the U.S. Government and other governmental initiatives affecting the financial services industry;

- results of examinations of Sound Financial Bancorp and Sound Community Bank by their regulators, including the possibility that the regulators may, among other things, require us to increase our allowance for loan losses or to write-down assets, change Sound Community Bank’s regulatory capital position or affect our ability to borrow funds or maintain or increase deposits, which could adversely affect our liquidity and earnings;
  - increases in premiums for deposit insurance;
  - our ability to control operating costs and expenses;
- the use of estimates in determining fair value of certain of our assets, which estimates may prove to be incorrect and result in significant declines in valuation;
  - difficulties in reducing risks associated with the loans on our balance sheet;
- staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our workforce and potential associated charges;
  - computer systems on which we depend could fail or experience a security breach;
    - our ability to retain key members of our senior management team;
    - costs and effects of litigation, including settlements and judgments;
      - our ability to implement our business strategies;
    - increased competitive pressures among financial services companies;
      - changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits;
- the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules, or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions;
  - our ability to pay dividends on our common stock;
    - adverse changes in the securities markets;
  - the inability of key third-party providers to perform their obligations to us;
- changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the financial institution regulatory agencies or the Financial Accounting Standards Board, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods; and
- other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory, and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services and the other risks described from time to time in this Form 10-Q and our other filings with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

We wish to advise readers not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements and that the factors listed above could materially affect our financial performance and could cause our actual results for future periods to differ materially from any such forward-looking statements expressed with respect to future periods and could negatively

affect our stock price performance.

We do not undertake and specifically decline any obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions which may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

References in this document to Sound Financial Bancorp or the (“Company”) refer to Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. and its predecessor, Sound Financial, Inc., a federal corporation, and references to the “Bank” refer to Sound Community Bank. References to “we,” “us,” and “our” means Sound Financial Bancorp and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Sound Community Bank, unless the context otherwise requires.

## General

Sound Financial Bancorp, a Maryland corporation, is a full stock holding company for its wholly owned subsidiary, Sound Community Bank (the "Bank"). On August 22, 2012, Sound Financial Bancorp completed a public offering and share exchange as part of the Bank's conversion from the mutual holding company structure and the elimination of Sound Financial, Inc. and Sound Community MHC (the "Conversion"). Please see Note 3 Conversion and Stock Issuance of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 1 of this report for more information. All share and per share information in this report for periods prior to the Conversion has been adjusted to reflect the 0.87423:1 exchange ratio on publicly traded shares.

Substantially all of Sound Financial Bancorp's business is conducted through Sound Community Bank, which until December 28, 2012, was a federal savings bank subject to extensive regulation by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. During October 2012, the Bank filed an application to convert from a federally chartered savings bank to a Washington state-chartered commercial bank. The charter change was completed on December 28, 2012. As a Washington commercial bank, the Bank's regulators are the Washington State Department of Financial Institutions ("WDFI") and the FDIC. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve") remains the primary federal regulator for the Company. The charter change primarily was undertaken to reduce regulatory examination costs and to move oversight of the Bank to the WDFI, which is focused on local community banks and financial institutions.

Sound Community Bank's deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the FDIC. At September 30, 2013, Sound Financial Bancorp had total consolidated assets of \$431.7 million, net loans of \$375.7 million, deposits of \$341.3 million and stockholders' equity of \$45.9 million. The shares of Sound Financial Bancorp are traded on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "SFBC." Our executive offices are located at 2005 5th Avenue, Suite 200, Seattle, Washington, 98121.

Our principal business consists of attracting retail deposits from the general public and investing those funds, along with borrowed funds, in loans secured by first and second mortgages on one- to four-family residences (including home equity loans and lines of credit), commercial and multifamily, consumer and commercial business loans and construction and land loans. We offer a wide variety of secured and unsecured consumer loan products, including manufactured home loans, automobile loans, boat loans and recreational vehicle loans. As part of our business, we focus on residential mortgage loan originations, many of which we sell to Fannie Mae. We sell these loans with servicing retained to maintain the direct customer relationship and to continue providing strong customer service.

Our operating revenues are derived principally from earnings on interest earning assets, service charges and fees, and gains on the sale of loans. Our primary sources of funds are deposits, Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances and other borrowings, and payments received on loans and securities. We offer a variety of deposit accounts that provide a wide range of interest rates and terms, generally including savings, money market, term certificate and demand accounts.

Our noninterest expenses consist primarily of salaries and employee benefits, expenses for occupancy, marketing and data processing and FDIC deposit insurance premiums. Salaries and benefits consist primarily of the salaries and wages paid to our employees, payroll taxes, expenses for retirement and other employee benefits. Occupancy expenses, which are the fixed and variable costs of buildings and equipment, consist primarily of lease payments, property taxes, depreciation charges, maintenance and the cost of utilities.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Certain of our accounting policies are important to an understanding of our financial condition, since they require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments, which may relate to matters that are inherently uncertain. Estimates associated with these policies are susceptible to material changes as a result of changes in facts and circumstances. Facts and circumstances that could affect these judgments include, but are not limited to, changes in interest rates, changes in the performance of the economy and changes in the financial condition of borrowers. Management believes that its critical accounting policies include determining the allowance for loan losses, accounting for other-than-temporary impairment of securities, accounting for mortgage servicing rights, accounting for other real estate owned and accounting for deferred income taxes. Our methodologies for analyzing the allowance for loan losses, mortgage servicing rights, other real estate owned and deferred tax asset accounts are described in our 2012 Form 10-K. There have been no significant changes in the Company's application of accounting policies since December 31, 2012.

## Comparison of Financial Condition at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012

General. Total assets increased by \$50.7 million, or 13.3% to \$431.7 million at September 30, 2013 from \$381.0 million at December 31, 2012. This increase was primarily the result of a \$53.2 million, or 16.5% increase in our net loan portfolio and a \$3.7 million, or 51.7%, increase in the cash surrender value of BOLI partially offset by a \$6.3 million, or 27.3%, decrease in available for sale securities and a \$1.5 million, or 60.8%, decrease in OREO and other repossessed assets. Asset growth was funded by a \$29.3 million increase in deposits, an \$18.5 million increase in FHLB advances and a \$2.5 million increase in shareholders' equity, primarily as a result of net income.

Cash and Securities. Cash, cash equivalents and our available-for-sale securities in the aggregate decreased by \$5.0 million, or 17.6%, to \$30.6 million at September 30, 2013. Cash and cash equivalents increased by \$1.2 million, or 9.7%, to \$14.0 million at September 30, 2013. Available-for-sale securities, which consist primarily of agency mortgage-backed securities, decreased by \$6.3 million, or 27.3%, from \$22.9 million at December 31, 2012 to \$16.6 million at September 30, 2013 as a result of normal pay-downs and maturities, with the net proceeds being reinvested into loans.

At September 30, 2013, our available-for-sale securities portfolio consisted of \$2.8 million of non-agency mortgage-backed securities. These securities present a higher credit risk than agency mortgage-backed securities or municipal bonds, of which we had \$12.4 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, at September 30, 2013. In order to monitor the increased risk, management receives and reviews a credit surveillance report from a third party quarterly, which evaluates these securities based on a number of factors, including its credit scores, loan-to-value ratios, geographic locations, delinquencies and loss histories of the underlying mortgage loans. This analysis is prepared in order to project future losses based on various home price depreciation scenarios over a three-year horizon. Based on these reports, management ascertains the appropriate value for these securities and, during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, recorded an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$30,000 on one of these non-agency securities. Please see Note 4 – Investments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Item 1 of this report. The current market environment significantly limits our ability to mitigate our exposure to value changes in these more risky securities by selling them, and we do not anticipate these conditions to change significantly throughout the year. Accordingly, if the market and economic environment impacting the loans supporting these securities continues to deteriorate, we could determine that an other-than-temporary impairment must be recorded on these securities, as well as on any other securities in our portfolio. As a result, our future earnings, equity, regulatory capital and ongoing operations could be materially adversely affected.

Loans. Our total loan portfolio, including loans held for sale, increased \$53.4 million, or 16.2%, from \$329.3 million at December 31, 2012 to \$382.7 million at September 30, 2013. Loans held for sale decreased \$61,000 from \$1.7 million at December 31, 2012 to \$1.7 million at September 30, 2013.

The following table reflects the changes in the types of loans in our portfolio at September 30, 2013, as compared to December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	Amount Change	Percent Change	
One-to-four-family	\$ 116,616	\$ 95,784	\$ 20,832	21.7	%
Home equity	35,317	35,364	(47 )	(0.1	)
Commercial and multifamily	148,745	133,620	15,125	11.3	
Construction and land	43,780	25,458	18,322	72.0	
Manufactured homes	13,983	16,232	(2,249 )	(13.9	)
Other consumer	9,393	8,650	743	8.6	
Commercial business	14,842	14,193	649	4.6	

Explanation of Responses:



Total loans	\$382,676	\$329,301	\$53,375	16.2	%
-------------	-----------	-----------	----------	------	---

The most significant change in our loan portfolio was a result of increases in one- to four- family mortgage loans which was primarily a result of increases in higher yielding jumbo mortgage and other portfolio one-to four- family mortgage loans. Construction and land loans increased primarily a result of increased demand for new homes, reflecting the improvement in the housing market in the communities we serve. We work with a small number of well-established single family home builders in our market areas. Management monitors our exposure on construction loans closely and a third party evaluates each project's percentage of completion before any draw is allowed. Commercial and multifamily loans increased primarily as a result of continued efforts to expand and diversify our lending portfolio. Manufactured homes decreased as a result of a lack of demand for these types of loans by well-qualified borrowers. The loan portfolio remains well-diversified with commercial and multifamily real estate loans accounting for 38.9% of the portfolio, of which 27.9% were owner-occupied. Residential real estate loans accounted for 30.5% of the portfolio. Home equity, manufactured and other consumer loans accounted for 15.3% of the portfolio. Construction and land accounted for 11.4% of the portfolio and commercial business loans accounted for the remaining 3.9% of total loans at September 30, 2013.

**Mortgage Servicing Rights.** At September 30, 2013, we had \$2.8 million in mortgage servicing rights recorded at fair value compared to \$2.3 million at December 31, 2012. The increase was the result of a 18 basis point increase in the estimated market value of the portfolio during the nine month period from 63 basis points at December 31, 2012 to 81 basis points at September 30, 2013 primarily as a result of the increase in long-term mortgage rates slowing prepayment speeds. We record mortgage servicing rights on loans sold to Fannie Mae with servicing retained and upon acquisition of a servicing portfolio. We stratify our capitalized mortgage servicing rights based on the type, term and interest rates of the underlying loans. Mortgage servicing rights are carried at fair value. If the fair value of our mortgage servicing rights fluctuates significantly, our financial results could be materially impacted.

**Nonperforming Assets.** At September 30, 2013, our nonperforming assets totaled \$2.9 million, or 0.67% of total assets, compared to \$6.4 million, or 1.68% of total assets at December 31, 2012.

The table below sets forth the amounts and categories of nonperforming assets in our loan portfolio at the dates indicated (dollars in thousands):

	Nonperforming Assets			
	At September 30, 2013	At December 31, 2012	Amount Change	Percent Change
Nonaccrual loans	\$1,161	\$3,003	\$(1,842 )	(61.3 )%
Accruing loans 90 days or more delinquent	-	81	(81 )	(100.0 )
Nonperforming restructured loans	761	828	(67 )	(8.1 )
OREO and repossessed assets	981	2,503	(1,522 )	(60.8 )
Total nonperforming assets	\$2,903	\$6,415	\$(3,512 )	(54.7 )%

Nonperforming loans to total loans decreased to 0.50% of total gross loans at September 30, 2013 from 1.19% at December 31, 2012. This decrease reflects a \$2.0 million decrease in nonperforming loans during the nine month period ended September 30, 2013. Our largest nonperforming loans at September 30, 2013 consisted of a \$248,000 home equity loan secured by property located in Clallam County, Washington, a \$238,000 commercial real estate loan secured by property located in Clallam County, Washington and a \$230,000 commercial real estate loan secured by property located in Pierce County, Washington.

OREO and repossessed assets decreased during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 primarily due to improving economic conditions in our market and our continued focus on credit administration. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we repossessed 11 personal residences, one commercial land development and

eight manufactured homes. We sold 13 personal residences, two commercial land developments and 10 manufactured homes at an aggregate loss of \$855,000. Our largest OREO at September 30, 2013, consisted of a one- to four- family home with a recorded value of \$180,000 located in Mason County, Washington. Our next largest OREO properties were a \$179,000 one- to four- family home located in Columbia County, Washington and a \$131,000 one- to four- family home located in Snohomish County, Washington.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is maintained to cover losses that are probable and can be estimated on the date of evaluation in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. It is our best estimate of probable incurred credit losses in our loan portfolio.

Our allowance for loan losses at September 30, 2013 was \$4.1 million, or 1.08% of total loans receivable, compared to \$4.2 million, or 1.30% of total loans receivable at December 31, 2012. The \$133,000, or 3.1% decrease in the allowance for loan losses reflects the \$1.1 million provision for loan losses established during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as a result of decreasing loan charge-offs and nonperforming loans during this period.

The following table reflects the adjustments in our allowance during the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013		2012	
Balance at beginning of period	\$4,248		\$4,455	
Charge-offs	(1,357	)	(4,048	)
Recoveries:	74		251	
Net charge-offs	(1,283	)	(3,797	)
Provisions charged to operations	1,150		3,675	
Balance at end of period	\$4,115		\$4,333	
Ratio of net charge-offs during the period to average loans outstanding during the period	0.52	%	1.67	%
Allowance as a percentage of nonperforming loans	206.58	%	99.75	%
Allowance as a percentage of total loans (end of period)	1.08	%	1.40	%

Specific loan loss reserves decreased \$787,000 at September 30, 2013 compared to September 30, 2012 while general loan loss reserves increased \$567,000 at September 30, 2013, compared to September 30, 2012. Net charge-offs for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were \$1.3 million, or 0.52% of average loans on an annualized basis, compared to \$3.8 million, or 1.67% of average loans for the same period in 2012. The decrease in net charge-offs was primarily due to improving economic conditions in our market area and continued efforts in credit administration and collections. As of September 30, 2013, the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans receivable and nonperforming loans was 1.08% and 206.58%, respectively, compared to 1.45% and 99.75%, respectively, at December 31, 2012. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans receivable decreased primarily as a result improved credit metrics related to both specific and general reserves. This includes a decrease in expected losses on loans individually evaluated for impairment as a percentage of these loans and a decrease in expected losses on loans collectively evaluated for impairment. The decrease in loans individually evaluated is due to lower past due and impaired loans as a percentage of the overall loan portfolio and improving values for real estate in the markets where we lend. The decrease in loans collectively evaluated is due to a lower historical charge-off ratio as of September 30, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of nonperforming loans increased due to the decrease in nonperforming loans from \$3.0 million as of December 31, 2012 to \$1.2 million as of September 30, 2013.

Deposits. Total deposits increased by \$29.3 million, or 9.4%, to \$341.3 million at September 30, 2013 from \$312.1 million at December 31, 2012, primarily as a result of a \$27.6 million increase in demand accounts and a \$21.4 million, or 15.8%, increase in certificate of deposit accounts. This increase was partially offset by an \$18.5 million, or 21.4%, decrease in money market accounts, a \$746,000, or 2.7%, decrease in savings accounts and a \$443,000, or 11.6% decrease in escrow accounts. The increases were primarily a result of various marketing efforts during the period as we continued our emphasis on attracting relatively low-cost core deposit accounts. The decrease in money market and saving accounts was primarily of result of customers placing these funds in higher yielding certificate or interest-bearing demand accounts or other higher yielding investments, while the decrease in escrow accounts was due to the timing of tax and insurance payments.

A summary of deposit accounts with the corresponding weighted average cost of funds is presented below:

As of September 30, 2013	As of December 31, 2012
-----------------------------	-------------------------

Edgar Filing: PREFORMED LINE PRODUCTS CO - Form 4

	Amount	Wtd. Avg. Rate		Amount	Wtd. Avg. Rate	
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$31,211	0.00	%	\$31,427	0.00	%
Interest-bearing demand	56,320	0.30		28,540	0.10	
Savings	26,428	0.13		27,174	0.08	
Money market	67,677	0.30		86,149	0.32	
Certificates	156,342	1.15		134,986	1.33	
Escrow	3,364	0.00		3,807	0.00	
Total deposits	\$341,342	0.63	%	\$312,083	0.69	%

Borrowings. FHLB advances increased \$18.5 million, or 84.7%, to \$40.4 million at September 30, 2013, with a weighted-average cost of 0.60%, from \$21.9 million at December 31, 2012, with a weighted-average cost of 1.12%. We rely on FHLB advances to fund interest-earning assets when deposits alone cannot fully fund interest-earning asset growth. This reliance on borrowings, rather than deposits, may increase our overall cost of funds.

Stockholders' Equity. Total stockholders' equity increased \$2.5 million, or 5.7%, to \$45.9 million at September 30, 2013. This increase primarily reflects \$2.9 million in net income.

Comparison of Results of Operation for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

General. Net income increased \$382,000 to \$994,000, or \$0.38 per diluted common share, for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$612,000, or \$0.23 per diluted common share, for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Net income increased \$1.2 million to \$2.9 million, or \$1.11 per diluted common share, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$1.8 million, or \$0.67 per diluted common share, for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The primary reasons for the improvement in comparative periods were a decrease in the provision for loan losses and increased net interest income partially offset by lower gain on sale of loans and higher noninterest expense.

Interest Income. Interest income increased by \$443,000, or 9.8%, to \$5.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, from \$4.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Interest income increased \$804,000, or 5.9%, to \$14.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, from \$13.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in interest income for both periods primarily reflected the increase in the average balance of interest-earning assets, in particular our average balance of loans receivable which outpaced the decline in the weighted average yield on our interest-earning assets during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period last year.

Our weighted average yield on interest-earning assets was 5.15% and 5.18% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to 5.66% and 5.89% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The weighted average yield on loans decreased to 5.43% and 5.46% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, from 5.77% and 5.92% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012. The average balance of gross loans receivable increased \$55.6 million, or 18.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period last year. The average balance of gross loans receivable increased \$45.2 million, or 14.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period last year. The weighted average yield on available-for-sale securities (including OTTI) was 1.42% and 1.48% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to 1.81% and 1.75% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, reflecting higher average balances of agency mortgage-backed securities and municipal bonds, which produce a lower yield than non-agency mortgage-backed securities. The average balance of available-for-sale securities increased \$3.6 million or 27.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period last year. The average balance of available-for-sale securities increased \$12.1 million, or 179.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period last year.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$18,000, or 3.0%, to \$578,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013, from \$596,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Interest expense decreased \$93,000, or 5.2%, to \$1.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, from \$1.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. These decreases reflect overall lower interest rates paid on deposits and FHLB advances notwithstanding an increase in the average balances of deposits and FHLB advances during the periods. Our weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities was 0.71% for each of the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to 0.83% and 0.84% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

Interest paid on deposits decreased \$12,000, or 2.2%, to \$528,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013, from \$540,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Interest paid on deposits decreased \$90,000, or 5.6%, to \$1.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, from \$1.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. These decreases resulted from lower weighted average cost of deposits over the periods, which were partially offset by increases in the average balances of deposits outstanding in the periods. We experienced a five and seven basis point decrease in the average rate paid on deposits during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively compared to the same period in 2012. This decrease in average rates was primarily a result of the re-pricing of matured certificates of deposit, most of which we were able to retain at lower rates.

Interest expense on borrowings decreased \$6,000, or 10.7%, to \$50,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 from \$56,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was a result of a 217 basis point decrease in our cost of borrowings to 0.60% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 from 2.77% during the three months ended September 30, 2012. This decrease was partially offset by a \$25.3 million, or 313.7%, increase in the average balance of borrowings outstanding for the period.

Interest expense on borrowings decreased \$3,000, or 1.8%, to \$164,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, from \$167,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was a result of a 200 basis point decrease in our cost of borrowings to 0.70% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from 2.70% during the

nine months ended September 30, 2013. This decrease was partially offset by a \$23.1 million, or 280.2%, increase in the average balance of borrowings outstanding for the period.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased \$461,000, or 11.7% to \$4.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, from \$3.9 for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Net interest income increased \$897,000, or 7.5% to \$12.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, from \$11.9 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increases for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 primarily resulted from increased interest income due to higher average loan balances and to a lesser extent, lower rates paid on deposits and borrowings during these periods as compared to the same periods last year. Our average yield on loans receivable decreased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same periods last year as new loan originations and repricing adjustable rate loans reflect the continued low rate environment. Our net interest margin was 4.55% and 4.58% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 4.91% and 5.12% the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012.

**Provision for Loan Losses.** We establish provisions for loan losses, which are charged to earnings, at a level required to reflect management's best estimate of the probable incurred credit losses in the loan portfolio. In evaluating the level of the allowance for loan losses, management considers historical loss experience, the types of loans and the amount of loans in the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect borrowers' ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, peer group data, prevailing economic conditions, and current factors. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as one-to four-family, small commercial and multifamily, home equity and consumer loans, are evaluated in the aggregate using historical loss factors adjusted for current economic conditions and other relevant data. Loans for which management has concerns about the borrowers' ability to repay, are evaluated individually, and specific loss allocations are provided for these loans when necessary.

A provision of \$450,000 and \$1.2 million was made during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, respectively, compared to a provision of \$1.1 million and \$3.7 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The reduced provision primarily reflects declines in loan charge-offs and nonperforming loans. Although the amount of our nonperforming assets and loan charge-offs have declined significantly over the last year, we believe that higher than historical levels of nonperforming assets and charge-offs will continue until the housing market, unemployment, and general economic market conditions further recover in our market area.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the annualized percentage of net charge-offs to average loans decreased 102 basis points to 0.53% from 1.55% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the annualized percentage of net charge-offs to average loans decreased 115 basis points to 0.52% from 1.67% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The ratio of nonperforming loans to total loans decreased to 0.50% at September 30, 2013 from 1.41% at September 30, 2012.

**Noninterest Income.** Noninterest income decreased \$181,000, or 15.0% to \$1.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 as reflected below (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended			
	September 30,		Amount	Percent
	2013	2012	Change	Change
Service charges and fee income	\$564	\$574	\$(10 )	(1.7 )%
Earnings on cash surrender value of BOLI	78	60	18	30.0
Mortgage servicing income	76	148	(72 )	(48.6 )
Fair value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights	271	(211 )	482	(228.4 )
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	-	(32 )	32	(100.0 )
Net gain on sale of loans	37	668	(631 )	(94.5 )
Total noninterest income	\$1,026	\$1,207	\$(181 )	(15.0 )%

The fair value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights and increase in mortgage servicing income was positively impacted primarily due to slower prepayment speeds and growth in the mortgage servicing portfolio during the three months ended September 30, 2013. We sold \$16.5 million and \$24.2 million of loans to Fannie Mae during the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The gain on sale of loans decreased as a result of declines in the loan sale margin and amount of loans sold to Fannie Mae during the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period last year primarily due to the recent increase in mortgage interest rates. The decrease in OTTI was a result of improving credit trends in the Company's non-agency mortgage-backed securities. The increase in earnings on cash surrender value of BOLI was primarily a result of earnings on an additional \$3.5 million of BOLI purchased in the first quarter of 2013.

Edgar Filing: PREFORMED LINE PRODUCTS CO - Form 4

Noninterest income increased \$421,000, or 12.6% to \$3.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, as compared to \$3.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as reflected below (dollars in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended		Amount Change	Percent Change	
	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012			
Service charges and fee income	\$1,713	\$1,638	\$75	4.6	%
Earnings on cash surrender value of BOLI	231	179	52	29.1	
Mortgage servicing income	387	346	41	11.8	
Fair value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights	656	97	559	576.3	
Other-than-temporary impairment losses	(30 )	(156 )	126	(80.8 )	)
Net gain on sale of loans	794	1,226	(432 )	(35.2 )	)
Total noninterest income	\$3,751	\$3,330	\$421	12.6	%



The fair value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights and increase in mortgage servicing income was positively impacted primarily due to slower prepayment speeds and growth in the mortgage servicing portfolio during the current nine month period. The gain on sale of loans decreased as a result of declines in the loan sale margin for loans sold to Fannie Mae during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to the same period last year. We sold \$63.8 million and \$56.6 million of loans to Fannie Mae during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Refinancing activity was particularly significant in 2012 and in the first six months of 2013, however, the rise in mortgage interest rates in the second quarter of 2013 resulted in lower refinancing activity and gain on sale of loans. The decrease in OTTI was a result of improving credit trends in the Company's non-agency mortgage-backed securities. The increase in earnings on cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance was primarily a result of additional earnings as a result of a \$3.5 million purchase of BOLI in the first quarter of 2013.

Noninterest Expense. Noninterest expense increased \$381,000, or 12.0%, to \$3.6 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to \$3.2 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012, as reflected below (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Amount Change	Percent Change	
	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012			
Salaries and benefits	\$1,858	\$1,537	\$321	20.9	%
Operations	825	697	128	18.4	
Regulatory assessments	57	108	(51 )	(47.2 )	
Occupancy	353	314	39	12.4	
Data processing	348	264	84	31.8	
Losses and expenses on OREO and repossessed assets	125	265	(140 )	(52.8 )	
Total noninterest expense	\$3,566	\$3,185	\$381	12.0	%

Salaries and benefits expense increased during the three months ended September 30, 2013 primarily due to increased loan production by commission-based loan officers and from a modest increase in full time equivalent employees ("FTEs"). Operations expense increased primarily due to higher loan administration expenses and higher education and training expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2013 in an effort to expand our investment in knowledge and core competencies of our employees. Regulatory assessments were lower due to a decrease in FDIC insurance assessments and the Bank's change from a national to state charter resulting in the WDFI as the Bank's primary regulator. Losses and expenses on OREO and repossessed assets decreased during the three months ended September 30, 2013 primarily due to lower levels of OREO and other repossessed assets during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

Noninterest expense increased \$2.1 million, or 23.6%, to \$11.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as compared to \$9.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as reflected below:

	Nine Months Ended		Amount Change	Percent Change	
	September 30, 2013	September 30, 2012			
Salaries and benefits	\$5,224	\$4,242	\$982	23.1	%
Operations	2,809	2,007	802	40.0	
Regulatory assessments	239	329	(90 )	(27.4 )	
Occupancy	961	918	43	4.7	
Data processing	954	769	185	24.1	
Losses and expenses on OREO and repossessed assets	963	757	206	27.2	

Total noninterest expense	\$ 11,150	\$ 9,022	\$ 2,128	23.6	%
---------------------------	-----------	----------	----------	------	---

Salaries and benefits expense increased during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 primarily due to increased loan production by commission-based loan officers and from a modest increase in full time equivalent employees (“FTEs”). Operations expense increased primarily due to higher loan administration expenses, higher education and training expenses and a \$412,000 recourse provision for loans sold during the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Although our loans are generally sold without recourse, other than standard representations and warranties, we may have to repurchase a loan sold to Fannie Mae if it is determined that the loan does not meet their credit requirements, or if one of the parties involved in the loan misrepresented pertinent facts, committed fraud, or if the loan were 90 days past due within 120 days of the loan funding date. In light of our increased loan sales during 2012 and 2013, a recourse provision was initially established during the first quarter of 2013 to provide for estimated loan repurchases. There was no recourse provision in 2012. Regulatory assessments were lower due to a decrease in FDIC insurance assessments and the Bank’s change from a national to state charter resulting in the WDFI as the Bank’s primary regulator. Losses and expenses on OREO and repossessed assets increased during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 primarily due to the sale of two commercial land developments during the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

**Income Tax Expense.** For the three months ended September 30, 2013, we had income tax expense of \$423,000 on our pre-tax income as compared to \$281,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The effective tax rates for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were 29.9% and 31.5%, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we had income tax expense of \$1.3 million on our pre-tax income as compared to \$800,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The effective tax rates for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were 31.2% and 31.3%, respectively.

## Liquidity

The Management Discussion and Analysis in Item 7 of the Company's 2012 Form 10-K contains an overview of the Company's and the Bank's liquidity management, sources of liquidity and cash flows. This discussion updates that disclosure for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

The Bank's primary sources of funds are deposits, principal and interest payments on loans and borrowings. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans are a predictable source of funds, deposit flows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by general interest rates, economic conditions and competition. The Bank's primary investing activity is loan originations. The Bank maintains liquidity levels it believes to be adequate to fund loan commitments, investment opportunities, deposit withdrawals and other financial commitments. At September 30, 2013, the Bank had \$30.6 million in cash and investment securities available for sale and \$1.7 million in loans held for sale generally available for its cash needs. Also, based on existing collateral pledged, the Bank had the ability to borrow an additional \$41.1 million in Federal Home Loan Bank advances, \$15.8 million through the Federal Reserve's Discount Window and \$2.0 million through Pacific Coast Banker's Bank. The Bank uses these sources of funds primarily to meet ongoing commitments, pay maturing deposits and fund withdrawals, and to fund loan commitments. At September 30, 2013, outstanding loan commitments, including unused lines and letters of credit totaled \$65.0 million. Certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in one year or less at September 30, 2013, totaled \$84.0 million. Based on our competitive pricing, we believe that a majority of maturing deposits will remain with the Bank.

As disclosed in our Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, cash and cash equivalents increased \$1.3 million to \$14.0 million as of September 30, 2013, from \$12.7 million as of December 31, 2012. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$5.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Net cash of \$51.2 million was used in investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and consisted principally of loan originations, net of principal repayments and purchases of BOLI and municipal bonds. The \$47.2 million of cash provided by financing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 primarily consisted of a \$29.3 million net increase in deposits and an \$18.5 million net increase in FHLB advances.

As a separate legal entity from the Bank, the Company must provide for its own liquidity. At September 30, 2013, the Company, on an unconsolidated basis, had \$2.3 million in cash, noninterest-bearing deposits and liquid investments generally available for its cash needs. The Company's principal source of liquidity is dividends from the Bank.

Except as set forth above, management is not aware of any trends, events, or uncertainties that will have, or that are reasonably likely to have a material impact on liquidity, capital resources or operations.

## Off-Balance Sheet Activities

In the normal course of operations, we engage in a variety of financial transactions that are not recorded in our financial statements. These transactions involve varying degrees of off-balance sheet credit, interest rate and liquidity risks. These transactions are used primarily to manage customers' requests for funding and take the form of loan commitments and lines of credit. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we engaged in no off-balance sheet transactions likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

A summary of our off-balance sheet loan commitments at September 30, 2013, is as follows (in thousands):

	At September 30, 2013
Off-balance sheet loan commitments:	
Residential mortgage commitments	\$5,382
Undisbursed portion of loans closed	28,647
Unused lines of credit	30,450
Irrevocable letters of credit	513
Total loan commitments	\$64,992

### Capital

Sound Community Bank is subject to minimum capital requirements imposed by regulations of the FDIC. Based on its capital levels at September 30, 2013, Sound Community Bank exceeded these requirements as of that date. Consistent with our goals to operate a sound and profitable organization, our policy is for Sound Community Bank to maintain a “well-capitalized” status under the regulatory capital categories of the FDIC. Based on capital levels at September 30, 2013, Sound Community Bank was considered to be well-capitalized under applicable regulatory requirements. Management monitors the capital levels to provide for current and future business opportunities and to maintain Sound Community Bank’s “well-capitalized” status.

The actual regulatory capital amounts and ratios calculated for Sound Community Bank at September 30, 2013 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of September 30, 2013						
Tier 1 Capital to average assets	\$ 42,522	10.32%	\$ 16,487	≥ 4.0%	\$ 20,609	≥ 5.0%
Tier 1 Capital to risk-weighted assets	\$ 42,522	13.03%	\$ 13,050	≥ 4.0%	\$ 19,575	≥ 6.0%
Total Capital to risk-weighted assets	\$ 46,584	14.28%	\$ 26,100	≥ 8.0%	\$ 32,624	≥ 10.0%

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company provided information about market risk in Item 7A of its 2012 Form 10-K. There have been no material changes in our market risk since our 2012 Form 10-K.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

#### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

An evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a -15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")), as of September 30, 2013, was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and several other members of the Company's senior management. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of September 30, 2013, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Act is: (i) accumulated and communicated to the Company's management (including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

We intend to continually review and evaluate the design and effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and to improve the Company's controls and procedures over time and to correct any deficiencies that we may discover in the future. The goal is to ensure that senior management has timely access to all material financial and non-financial information concerning the Company's business. While we believe the present design of the disclosure controls and procedures is effective to achieve this goal, future events affecting our business may cause the Company to modify its disclosure controls and procedures.

The Company does not expect that its disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. A control procedure, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control procedure are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control procedures, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any control procedure is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control procedure, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

#### (b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a - 15(f) under the Act) that occurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.



## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1 Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, the Company occasionally becomes involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, any liability from such proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the business or financial condition of the Company.

## Item 1A Risk Factors

Not required; the Company is a smaller reporting company.

## Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and use of Proceeds

The following table sets forth information for the three months ended September 30, 2012 with respect to our repurchases of our outstanding common shares:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
July 1, 2013 – July 31, 2013	---	---	---	---
August 1, 2013 – August 31, 2013	16,600	\$ 15.00	16,600	112,740
September 1, 2013 – September 30, 2013	19,400	\$ 15.21	36,000	93,340
Total	36,000	\$ 15.11	36,000	

On August 23, 2013, the Company announced that its board of directors authorized the Company to purchase up to 129,340 shares of common stock in the open market or privately negotiated transaction from time to time over a twelve month period subject to market conditions and other factors. The stock repurchase program will expire on August 22, 2014 unless completed sooner or otherwise extended.

## Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Nothing to report.

## Item 4 Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

## Item 5. Other Information

Nothing to report.

Explanation of Responses:





EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibits:

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC on March 27, 2012 (File No. 333-180385))
- 3.2 Bylaws of Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC on March 27, 2012 (File No. 333-180385))
- 4.0 Form of Common Stock Certificate of Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the SEC on March 27, 2012 (File No. 333-180385))
- 10.1 Employment Agreement by and between Sound Community Bank and Laura Lee Stewart (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed with the SEC on September 20, 2007 (File No. 333-146196))
- 10.2 Executive Long Term Compensation Agreement effective August 14, 2007 by and between Sound Community Bank and Laura Lee Stewart (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed with the SEC on September 20, 2007 (File No. 333-146196))
- 10.3 Amendment to Freeze Benefit Accruals Under the Executive Long Term Compensation Agreement effective August 14, 2007, by and between Sound Community Bank (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 5, 2012 (File No. 000-52889))
- 10.4 Supplemental Executive Long Term Compensation Agreement effective December 31, 2011 by and between Sound Community Bank and Laura Lee Stewart (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 5, 2012 (File No. 000-52889))
- 10.5 Confidentiality, Non-Competition and Non-Solicitation Agreement by and between Sound Community Bank and Laura Lee Stewart (incorporated herein by reference to the Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 5, 2012 (File No. 000-52889))
- 10.6 Employment Agreement by and between Sound Community Bank and Matthew Deines (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 5, 2009 (File No. 000-52889))
- 10.7 Employment Agreement by and between Sound Community Bank and Matthew Moran (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 5, 2009 (File No. 000-52889))
- 10.8 Addendums to the Employment Agreements by and between Sound Community Bank and each of Matthew Deines and Matthew Moran (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 3, 2012 (File No. 000-52889))
- 10.9 Summary of Director Board Fee Arrangements (incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 31, 2011 (File No. 000-52889))
- 10.10 Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 31, 2009 (File No. 000-52889))
- 10.11 Forms of Incentive Stock Option Agreement, Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement and Restricted Stock Agreements under the 2008 Equity

10.12	Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on January 29, 2009 (File No. 000-52889)) Summary of Annual Bonus Plan (incorporated herein by reference to the Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed with the SEC on September 20, 2007 (File No. 333-146196))
10.13	Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc. 2013 Equity Incentive Plan
10.14	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement, Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement and Restricted Stock Agreements under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan
10.15	Change of Control Agreement dated October 30, 2013, by and among Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc., Sound Community Bank and Matthew P. Deines (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 1, 2013 (File No. 001-35633))
10.16	Change of Control Agreement dated October 30, 2013, by and among Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc., Sound Community Bank and Matthew F. Moran (incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 1, 2013 (File No. 001-35633))
11	Statement re computation of per share earnings (See Note 10 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.)
31.1	Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification (Chief Executive Officer)
31.2	Rule 13(a)-14(a) Certification (Chief Financial Officer)
32	Section 1350 Certification
101	Interactive Data Files*

- In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are not deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those section.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Sound Financial Bancorp, Inc.

Date: November 13, 2013

By: /s/ Laura Lee Stewart  
Laura Lee Stewart  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 13, 2013

By: /s/ Matthew P. Deines  
Matthew P. Deines  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer