

LEGACY RESERVES LP  
Form DEF 14A  
March 31, 2014

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**SCHEDULE 14A**

**PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (Amendment No. )**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for use of the Commission only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

Legacy Reserves LP  
(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

**Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):**

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:

- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:

Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
  - (3) Filing Party:
  - (4) Date Filed:
-

**303 W. Wall, Suite 1800  
Midland, Texas 79701**

April 4, 2014

To Our Limited Partners:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2014 Annual Meeting of Unitholders of Legacy Reserves LP to be held on May 15, 2014 commencing at 10:30 a.m. local time at the Midland Petroleum Club located at 501 W. Wall, Midland, Texas 79701. Proxy materials, which include a Notice of the Meeting, proxy statement and proxy card, are enclosed with this letter. The attached proxy statement is first being mailed to unitholders of Legacy Reserves LP on or about April 4, 2014. We have also enclosed our 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

The board of directors of our general partner has called this Annual Meeting for you to consider and act upon:

- (1) The election of seven directors nominated to our general partner's board of directors to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Unitholders;
- (2) An advisory vote on executive compensation; and
- (3) The ratification of the appointment of our selection of BDO USA, LLP as independent registered public accounting firm of the Partnership for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

The current board of directors of our general partner unanimously recommends that you approve the election of the directors nominated to our general partner's board of directors, approve the compensation of our named executive officers and ratify the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.

**Your vote is important to us and our business.** Even if you plan to attend the meeting, you are requested to sign, date and return the proxy card in the enclosed envelope or vote on the internet or by telephone as instructed. If you attend the meeting after having returned the enclosed proxy card (or voted by internet or telephone), you may revoke your proxy, if you wish, and vote in person. A proxy may also be revoked at any time before it is exercised by giving written notice to, or filing a duly exercised proxy bearing a later date with, our Secretary. If you would like to attend and your units are not registered in your own name, please ask the broker, trust, bank or other nominee that holds the units to provide you with evidence of your unit ownership.

We look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

Cary D. Brown  
Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer  
Legacy Reserves GP, LLC, general partner of  
Legacy Reserves LP

---

303 W. Wall, Suite 1800  
Midland, Texas 79701

---

**NOTICE OF THE 2014  
ANNUAL MEETING OF UNITHOLDERS**

---

The Annual Meeting of the Unitholders of Legacy Reserves LP, or the Partnership, will be held on Thursday, May 15, 2014, at 10:30 a.m. local time at the Midland Petroleum Club located at 501 W. Wall, Midland, Texas 79701 for the following purposes:

1. To elect seven (7) directors to the board of directors of our general partner, each to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Unitholders;
2. An advisory vote on executive compensation;
3. To ratify the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as independent registered public accountants of the Partnership for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014; and
4. To transact any other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof, including, without limitation, the adjournment of the annual meeting in order to solicit additional votes from unitholders in favor of adopting the foregoing proposals.

Only unitholders of record at the close of business on March 27, 2014, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. A list of such unitholders will be open to examination, during regular business hours, by any unitholder for at least ten days prior to the Annual Meeting, at our offices at 303 W. Wall, Suite 1800, Midland, Texas 79701. Unitholders holding a majority of the outstanding units representing limited partner interests are required to be present or represented by proxy at the meeting to constitute a quorum.

**YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT**

Your broker cannot vote your units on your behalf until it receives your voting instructions. For your convenience, internet and telephone voting are available. The instructions for voting by internet or telephone are set forth on your proxy card. If you prefer, you may vote by mail by completing your proxy card and returning it in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. If you do attend the meeting and prefer to vote in person, you may do so.

Please note that space limitations make it necessary to limit attendance at the meeting to unitholders, though each unitholder may be accompanied by one guest. Admission to the meeting will be on a first-come, first-served basis. Registration will begin at 9:30 a.m. Each unitholder may be asked to present valid picture identification, such as a driver's license or passport. Unitholders holding units in brokerage accounts must bring a copy of a brokerage statement reflecting unit ownership as of the record date. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,  
Cary D. Brown  
Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer  
Legacy Reserves GP, LLC, general partner of  
Legacy Reserves LP

Midland, Texas  
April 4, 2014

---

**Proxy Statement for the  
Annual Meeting of Unitholders of  
LEGACY RESERVES LP  
To Be Held on Thursday, May 15, 2014**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING</b>	<b>1</b>
The Annual Meeting	1
Voting and Proxy Procedures	2
Quorum and Required Votes	3
Additional Questions and Information	4
<b>PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</b>	<b>5</b>
Board of Directors	5
Voting	5
Recommendation and Proxies	5
Nominees for Election	5
<b>PROPOSAL 2 ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</b>	<b>14</b>
Management of Legacy Reserves LP	14
Board of Directors	14
Director Independence	14
Leadership Structure of the Board	14
Risk Oversight	15
Code of Ethics	19
<b>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>20</b>
Introduction	20
Executive Summary	20
Corporate Governance	24
Executive Officer Compensation Strategy and Philosophy	26
Components of Compensation	26
Amended and Restated Legacy Reserves LP Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP)	39
<b>COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</b>	<b>43</b>
Summary Compensation Table	43
Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2013	45
Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End	45
Employment Agreements	46
Option Exercises and Units Vested in 2013	51
Equity Compensation Plan Information	52
<b>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</b>	<b>53</b>
Director Compensation for the 2013 Fiscal Year	53
<b>MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>54</b>

Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

Executive Officers	54
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	56
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	57
Distributions and Payments to Our General Partner and Its Affiliates	57
Transactions with Related Persons	58
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	59
PROPOSAL 3 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	60
Vote Required for Approval	61
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013	62
OTHER MATTERS	63
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	63
Unitholder Proposals	63
Communications with Directors or the Board of Directors	63
Availability of Annual Report	63

**Legacy Reserves LP  
303 W. Wall, Suite 1800  
Midland, Texas 79701**

---

**PROXY STATEMENT  
FOR THE 2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF UNITHOLDERS  
TO BE HELD ON MAY 15, 2014**

---

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING**

**The Annual Meeting**

**Definitions:**

Unless otherwise indicated, the terms Partnership, Legacy, we, our, and us are used in this proxy statement to refer to Legacy Reserves LP together with our subsidiaries. The terms Board and Board of Directors refer to our general partner's board of directors.

**What is a proxy statement and why is it important?**

We hold a meeting of unitholders annually. This year's meeting will be held on May 15, 2014. Our Board of Directors is seeking your proxy to vote at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Unitholders (Annual Meeting). This proxy statement contains important information about the Partnership and each of the matters to be voted on at the meeting. We are mailing this proxy statement to unitholders on or about April 4, 2014. Please read these materials carefully so that you have the information you need to make informed decisions.

You do not need to attend the Annual Meeting to vote. Instead, you may simply complete, sign and return the enclosed proxy card or vote on the internet or by telephone as provided on your proxy card.

**When and where is the Annual Meeting?**

The 2014 Annual Meeting of Unitholders of Legacy Reserves LP will be held on Thursday, May 15, 2014, at 10:30 a.m., local time, at the Midland Petroleum Club located at 501 W. Wall, Midland, Texas 79701.

The Petroleum Club of Midland is located on the southwest corner of Wall Street and Marienfeld in downtown Midland. From the Midland International Airport, exit the airport on the south side and cross over and merge onto Business 20 East, which turns into Wall Street. The Petroleum Club is 10 miles east of the airport and is a white, two story building. There is parking behind the building. For your convenience, the Petroleum Club phone number is (432) 682-2557.

**What am I being asked to vote upon?**

You are being asked to (1) approve the election of the directors nominated to our Board of Directors to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Unitholders; (2) approve, by a non-binding advisory vote, executive compensation; (3) ratify the appointment of the firm of BDO USA, LLP as independent registered public accountants of the Partnership for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014; and (4) consider and vote upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

## Voting and Proxy Procedures

### Who may vote at the Annual Meeting?

Only unitholders of record at the close of business on March 27, 2014, the record date for the Annual Meeting, are entitled to receive notice of and to participate in the Annual Meeting. If you were a unitholder of record on that date, you will be entitled to vote all of the units representing limited partner interests of Legacy Reserves LP, each referred to as a unit, that you held on that date at the Annual Meeting, or any postponements or adjournments of the Annual Meeting.

It is critical that you instruct your broker how you wish to vote your units on Proposal 1. Absent instructions from you, the bank or broker may not vote your units on this proposal and your units will be considered broker non-votes, which will have no effect on the outcome of the proposal for consideration at the annual meeting.

### What are the voting rights of the holders of units?

Each unit is entitled to one vote on all matters. Your proxy card indicates the number of units that you owned as of the record date.

### Who is soliciting my proxy?

Our Board of Directors on behalf of the Partnership is soliciting proxies to be voted at the Annual Meeting.

### What different methods can I use to vote?

*By Written Proxy.* Regardless of whether you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we urge you to complete, sign and date the enclosed proxy card and return it promptly in the envelope provided. Returning the proxy card will not affect your right to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person.

*By Internet.* Go to the website set forth on the proxy card and follow the on-screen instructions. You will need the control number contained on your proxy card. Voting by internet is the fastest and lowest cost medium of voting your proxy.

*By Telephone.* Please dial the toll-free telephone number set forth on the proxy card and follow the audio instructions. You will need the control number contained on your proxy card.

If you properly follow the instructions above in time to vote, your proxy (Micah C. Foster or James Daniel Westcott are the individuals named as proxies on your proxy card) will vote your units as you have directed. Unless otherwise directed by you, your proxy will vote your units:

- **For** the election of the seven (7) director nominees proposed by our Board of Directors;
- **For** the approval of the compensation of the named executive officers; and
- **For** the ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered accounting firm.

If any other matter is presented, it is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy card to vote proxies held by them in accordance with their best judgment. At the time this proxy statement was first mailed to unitholders, we knew of no matters that needed to be acted on at the Annual Meeting other than those discussed in this proxy statement.

*In Person.* All unitholders of record may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person, we will give you a ballot when you arrive. However, if your units are held in the



name of your broker, bank or other nominee, you must bring an account statement or letter from the nominee indicating that you were the beneficial owner of the units on the record date.

### **How may I revoke my signed proxy card?**

You may revoke your proxy card or change your vote at any time before your proxy is voted at the Annual Meeting. You can do this in one of three ways:

- You can send a written notice in advance of the meeting to our Secretary at 303 W. Wall, Suite 1800, Midland, Texas 79701, stating that you would like to revoke your proxy;
- You can complete and submit a later-dated proxy card; or
- You can attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person. Your attendance at the Annual Meeting will not alone revoke your proxy unless you vote at the meeting as described below.

If you have instructed a broker to vote your units, you must follow directions received from your broker to change those instructions.

You may change your internet vote as often as you wish by following the procedures for internet voting. The last known vote in the internet voting system as of 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on May 14, 2014 will be counted.

You may change your telephone vote as often as you wish by following the procedures for telephone voting. The last known vote in the telephone voting system as of 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on May 14, 2014 will be counted.

### **What does it mean if I get more than one proxy card?**

It indicates that your units are held in more than one account, such as two brokerage accounts registered in different names. You should complete each of the proxy cards to ensure that all of your units are voted. We encourage you to register all of your brokerage accounts in the same name and address for better service. You should contact your broker, bank or nominee for more information. Additionally, our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., can assist you if you want to consolidate multiple accounts registered in your name by contacting our transfer agent at P.O. Box 30170, College Station, TX 77842-3170, Telephone: (781) 575-4238.

## **Quorum and Required Votes**

### **How many votes are needed to hold the meeting?**

A majority of the outstanding units as of the record date must be represented at the meeting in order to hold the meeting and conduct business. This is called a quorum. As of March 27, 2014, the record date, there were 57,567,126 units outstanding held by approximately 108 holders of record. Unitholders are entitled to one vote, exercisable in person or by proxy, for each unit held by such Unitholder on the record date. Our partnership agreement does not provide for cumulative voting.

Units are counted as present at the Annual Meeting if:

- the unitholder is present and votes in person at the meeting;
- the unitholder has properly submitted a proxy card; or
- under certain circumstances, the unitholder's broker votes the units.

### **Who will count the vote?**

Representatives of Computershare Trust Company, N.A., our transfer agent, will tabulate the votes.



**How many votes are required to approve the proposals?**

The affirmative vote of holders of a plurality of the votes cast with respect to the election of a director is required to elect that director. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be taken into account in determining the outcome of the election of directors (Proposal 1).

The affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the units entitled to vote and present in person or by proxy at the meeting is required for approval of the non-binding resolution on executive compensation (Proposal 2) and for the ratification of our appointment of the independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014 (Proposal 3) and any other matters that properly come before the meeting.

**How are abstentions and broker non-votes counted?**

Abstentions and broker non-votes are included in determining whether a quorum is present.

Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be taken into account in determining the outcome of the election of directors.

For the purpose of determining whether a proposal other than the election of directors has received a majority vote, abstentions are included in the vote totals with the result that an abstention will have the same effect as a vote against such proposal. Brokers do not have discretionary authority to vote on the advisory vote on executive compensation; consequently, broker non-votes will have the same effect as a vote against such proposal.

With respect to the ratification of the appointment of our auditors, brokers have the discretionary authority to vote on this proposal.

**How are proxies solicited?**

Proxies may be solicited by mail, telephone or other means by our general partner's officers and directors and our employees. No additional compensation will be paid to these individuals in connection with proxy solicitations. We will pay for distributing and soliciting proxies and will reimburse banks, brokers and other custodians their reasonable fees and expenses for forwarding proxy materials to unitholders.

**Additional Questions and Information**

If you would like additional copies of this proxy statement (which copies will be provided to you without charge) or if you have questions, including with respect to the procedures for voting your units, you should contact:

Legacy Reserves LP  
303 W. Wall, Suite 1800  
Midland, Texas 79701  
Attention: Dan G. LeRoy  
Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR  
THE ANNUAL MEETING OF UNITHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 15, 2014.**

The Notice of the 2014 Annual Meeting of Unitholders and Proxy Statement are available at <http://ir.legacylp.com/proxy.cfm> and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 is available at <http://ir.legacylp.com/annuals.cfm>.

**PROPOSAL 1**

**ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

**Board of Directors**

The Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of our general partner provides that our Board of Directors will consist of a number of directors as determined from time to time by resolution adopted by a majority of directors then in office, but shall not be less than seven nor more than nine. Currently, our Board of Directors has seven directors. Each of the nominees for election to the Board of Directors is currently a director of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC. If elected at the annual meeting, each of the nominees will be elected to hold office for a one-year term and thereafter until his successor has been elected and qualified, or until his earlier death, resignation or removal.

**Voting**

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the annual meeting. Units represented by executed proxies will be voted, if authority to do so is not withheld, for the election of the nominees named below. In the event that any nominee should be unavailable for election as a result of an unexpected occurrence, such units will be voted for the election of such substitute nominee as may be nominated by our Board of Directors. Each person nominated for election has agreed to serve if elected, and we have no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable to serve.

**Recommendation and Proxies**

*The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR each of the nominees named below.*

The persons named as proxies in the enclosed proxy card will vote all units over which they have discretionary authority FOR the election of the nominees named below. Although our Board of Directors does not anticipate that any of the nominees will be unable to serve, if such a situation should arise prior to the meeting, the appointed persons will use their discretionary authority pursuant to the proxy and vote in accordance with their best judgment.

Set forth below is biographical information regarding each director nominee and information regarding the specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that qualify the nominees to serve on the Board of Directors. Each of the director nominees is an existing director standing for re-election for a one-year term expiring at the 2015 Annual Meeting.

**Nominees for Election**

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Director Since
Cary D. Brown	Mr. Brown is Chairman of our Board of Directors and President and Chief Executive Officer of our general partner and has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since our founding in October 2005. Mr. Brown was appointed President effective March 16, 2012. Prior to October 2005, Mr. Brown co-founded two businesses, Moriah Resources, Inc. and Petroleum Strategies, Inc. Moriah Resources, Inc. was formed in 1992 to acquire oil and natural gas reserves. Petroleum Strategies, Inc. was formed in 1991 to serve as a qualified intermediary in connection with the execution of Section 1031 transactions for major oil companies, public independents and private oil and natural gas companies. Mr. Brown has served as Executive Vice President of Petroleum	47	October 2005

Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Director Since
	<p>Strategies, Inc. since its inception in 1991. Mr. Brown served as an auditor for Grant Thornton in Midland, Texas from January 1991 to June 1991 and for Touche Ross in Houston, Texas from June 1989 to December 1990. Mr. Brown has a Bachelor of Business Administration degree, with honors, from Abilene Christian University.</p> <p>The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Brown should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: the knowledge and experience attained through 24 years of experience in the oil and natural gas industry and 22 years of experience in the Permian Basin.</p>		

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Director Since
<p>Kyle A. McGraw</p>	<p>Mr. McGraw is a member of the Board of Directors and also serves as the Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer of our general partner. Mr. McGraw was appointed as Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer effective March 16, 2012, and has served as a director since our founding in October 2005. Previously, Mr. McGraw served as Executive Vice President of Business Development and Land of our general partner from our founding in October 2005 to March 2012. Mr. McGraw joined Brothers Production Company in 1983, and has served as its General Manager since 1991 and became President in 2003. During his 23-year tenure at Brothers Production Company, Mr. McGraw served in numerous capacities including reservoir and production engineering, acquisition evaluation and land management. Mr. McGraw has a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum Engineering from Texas Tech University. Mr. McGraw has 31 years of experience in the oil and natural gas industry in the Permian Basin.</p> <p>The Board of Directors determined that Mr. McGraw should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: the knowledge and experience attained through 31 years of experience in the oil and natural gas industry in the Permian Basin, experience as a petroleum engineer and managerial and executive experience attained through his service with Brothers Production Company where he has served in numerous capacities, including reservoir and production engineering, acquisition evaluation and land management.</p>	<p>54</p>	<p>October 2005</p>

Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Director Since
Dale A. Brown	<p>Mr. Brown is a member of our Board of Directors and has served in such capacity since our founding in October 2005. Mr. Brown has been President of Moriah Resources, Inc. since its inception in 1992 and President of Petroleum Strategies, Inc. since he co-founded it in 1991 with his son, Cary D. Brown. Since 2005, Mr. Brown has been a principal in the Moriah Group, including Managing General Partner of Moriah Investment Partners. The Moriah Group invests in real estate and non-oil and gas production business ventures. Mr. Brown is a retired certified public accountant. Mr. Brown has a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Pepperdine University.</p> <p>The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Brown should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: financial literacy and experience as a Certified Public Accountant (retired at age 65) since 1967; the knowledge and experience attained through his service in the petroleum industry since 1972 and managerial experience attained through his service with Moriah Resources, Inc. prior to the contribution of its assets as part of the formation transactions of Legacy in connection with its private equity offering in 2006.</p>	71	October 2005

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Director Since
G. Larry Lawrence	<p>Mr. Lawrence has been a member of our Board of Directors since May 1, 2006. Mr. Lawrence is Chief Financial Officer and Vice President - Finance of Natural Gas Services Group (NGSG), a public company that provides small to medium horsepower compression equipment to the natural gas industry, and has served in this position since July 2011. Previously, Mr. Lawrence served as Controller of NGSG from September 2010 to January 2011 before being promoted to Treasurer, Manager of Accounting and Principal Accounting Officer of NGSG in January 2011. From June 2006 to September 2010, Mr. Lawrence was self-employed as a management consultant doing business as Crescent Consulting. From September 2006 to August 2009, Mr. Lawrence served as Chief Financial Officer on a contract basis for Lynx Operating Company, a private company engaged in oil and gas operations with a primary business focus on gas processing. From May 2004 through April 2006, Mr. Lawrence served as Controller of Pure Resources, an exploration and production company and a wholly owned subsidiary of Unocal Corporation which was acquired by Chevron Corporation. From June 2000 through May 2004, Mr. Lawrence was a practice manager of the Parson Group, LLC, a financial management consulting firm whose services included Sarbanes Oxley engagements with oil and natural gas industry clients. From 1973 through May 2000, Mr. Lawrence was employed by Atlantic Richfield Company, a public oil and gas company (ARCO) where he most recently (from 1993 through 2000) served as Controller of ARCO Permian. Mr. Lawrence has a Bachelor of Arts degree in Accounting, with honors, from Dillard University.</p> <p>The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Lawrence should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: financial expertise and experience as a chief financial officer and controller, Sarbanes Oxley consulting expertise, and financial reporting expertise and the knowledge and experience attained through his years of service in the preparation of publicly audited financial statements.</p>	62	May 2006



Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Director Since
William D. (Bill) Sullivan	<p>Mr. Sullivan was appointed to our Board of Directors upon completion of our private equity offering on March 15, 2006. Since May 2004, Mr. Sullivan has served as a director and since May 2009 as a non-executive Chairman of the board of directors of SM Energy Company, a publicly traded exploration and production company (formerly known as St. Mary Land &amp; Exploration Company). Mr. Sullivan has served as director of Targa Resources GP LLC (the general partner of Targa Resources Partners LP) since February 14, 2007. Targa is principally in the gas and gas liquids gathering, processing and logistics services business. Mr. Sullivan has served as a director of TETRA Technologies, Inc. since August 2007. TETRA is principally in the oilfield services business. Mr. Sullivan has served as a director of Compressco Partners GP Inc., the general partner of Compressco Partners, L.P., since Compressco Partners completed its initial public offering in June 2011. Compressco Partners is a provider of wellhead compression-based production enhancement services and is a majority owned subsidiary of TETRA. From 1981 through August 2003, Mr. Sullivan was employed in various capacities by Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, most recently as Executive Vice President, Exploration and Production. Mr. Sullivan has been retired for the past seven years. Mr. Sullivan has a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering, with high honors, from Texas A&amp;M University.</p> <p>The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Sullivan should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his significant management experience in midstream oil and natural gas operations and in the exploration and production of oil and natural gas. Mr. Sullivan also has substantial experience in executive compensation matters and in serving on the boards of publicly held corporations and publicly traded limited partnerships operating in the oil and natural gas industry.</p>	57	March 2006

Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Director Since
William R. Granberry	<p>Mr. Granberry was appointed to our Board of Directors on January 23, 2008. Mr. Granberry has been a member of the board of directors of The Williams Companies, Inc. (an integrated gas company with exploration and production, midstream, and gas pipeline operations) from November 2005 to December 2011. In January 2012, Mr. Granberry began serving an initial three-year term as a member of the board of directors of WPX Energy, Inc., which was spun off (the exploration and production operations) from The Williams Companies Inc. Mr. Granberry was a member of Compass Operating Company, LLC, a small, private oil and gas exploration, development and producing company with properties in West Texas and Southeast New Mexico from October 2004 through December 2013. From 1999 through September 2004, Mr. Granberry managed investments and consulted with oil and gas companies. Mr. Granberry invested in and became a board member of Just4Biz.com, a start-up internet company engaged in online office supply, in 1999 and served as Interim CEO for brief periods in 2000 and 2001. Just4Biz.com filed for bankruptcy in May 2001. From January 1996 to May 1999, Mr. Granberry was President and Chief Operating Officer of Tom Brown, Inc., a public oil and gas company with exploration, development, acquisition and production activities throughout the central United States. Mr. Granberry earned Bachelor of Science and Master of Science degrees in Petroleum Engineering from the University of Texas and upon graduation, worked for Amoco Production Company for 16 years.</p> <p>The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Granberry should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: expertise in the oil and gas industry that was attained through his 48 years of service in engineering and service in executive positions with companies ranging from a large global energy company to small independents.</p>	71	January 2008

Name	Principal Occupation	Age	Director Since
<p>Kyle D. Vann</p>	<p>Mr. Vann was appointed to the Board of Directors upon completion of our private equity offering on March 15, 2006. From 1970 through 1979, Mr. Vann was employed in the refining division of Exxon Company USA, and from 1979 through January 2001, Mr. Vann was employed by Koch Industries. From February 2001 through December 2004, Mr. Vann served as Chief Executive Officer of Entergy Koch, LP, an energy trading and transportation company. Mr. Vann continues to serve Entergy as a consultant and serves on the board and consults with Texon, LP, a private petroleum transportation company. On May 8, 2006, Mr. Vann was appointed to the board of directors of Crosstex Energy, L.P., a publicly traded midstream master limited partnership. From January 2009 through June 2010, Mr. Vann served as an advisory board member for Enexus, LLC, which is a subsidiary of Entergy Corporation. In October 2012, Mr. Vann joined CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC, a private equity firm, as an Executive Advisor. In November 2012, Mr. Vann joined the board of Chaparral Energy, Inc., a privately held company that is partially owned by CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC. Mr. Vann has a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemical Engineering, with honors, from the University of Kansas. Mr. Vann serves on the Board of Advisors for the School of Engineering at the University of Kansas, which selected him to receive its Distinguished Engineering Service Award in 2012.</p> <p>The Board of Directors determined that Mr. Vann should be nominated to our Board of Directors due to his pertinent experience, qualifications, attributes and skills, which include: the knowledge and experience attained through 43 years of service in the commodity trading business and his background and expertise in risk assessment and leadership in the energy sector.</p>	<p>66</p>	<p>March 2006</p>

**PROPOSAL 2**

**ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

In accordance with the requirements of Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ( Exchange Act ), and the related rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ), we are including in these proxy materials a non-binding resolution seeking unitholder approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as described in this proxy statement.

Our executive officer compensation strategy is designed to align the compensation of the executive officers with unitholder return. We provide financial incentives to our executive officers for performance, achievement of goals and enhancement of unitholder value. Our compensation philosophy is to drive and support the long-term goal of sustainable growth in unit distributions and total unitholder return by paying for performance and not rewarding underperformance. In meeting the goal of sustainable growth, we intend to invest in our long-term opportunities while meeting our short-term commitments.

As all our executive officers hold units in the Partnership, we focus on the growth of our business and distributions. Through this approach, our executives receive salaries and incentive pay opportunities consistent with the market value of their services, and their performance is further rewarded through the distributions they receive on their holdings of our units, which creates alignment of interests with our unitholders.

The text of the advisory (non-binding) resolution with respect to this Proposal 2 is as follows:

**RESOLVED, that the unitholders of Legacy Reserves LP approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the individuals identified in the Summary Compensation Table, as disclosed in the Legacy Reserves LP proxy statement with respect to Legacy Reserves LP s 2014 Annual Meeting pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the compensation discussion and analysis, the compensation tables and any related material disclosed in this proxy statement.**

In considering their vote, unitholders may wish to review with care the information on the Partnership s compensation policies and decisions regarding executive compensation as presented in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis on pages 20 to 42 in this proxy statement and the compensation tables and related narrative disclosure on pages 43 to 52 in this proxy statement.

Although the unitholder vote on this advisory resolution is non-binding, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors value unitholder opinions and will consider the outcome of the vote when making future decisions regarding our executive compensation program.

**THE BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR  
THE APPROVAL OF THE ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) RESOLUTION ON  
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.**

## CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

### Management of Legacy Reserves LP

The directors and officers of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC, as our general partner, manage our operations and activities. Our general partner is not elected by our unitholders and will not be subject to re-election on a regular basis in the future. Other than through their ability to elect directors of our general partner as described below, unitholders will not be entitled to directly or indirectly participate in our management or operation.

Our general partner owes a fiduciary duty to our unitholders. Our general partner will be liable, as general partner, for all of our debts (to the extent not paid from our assets), except for indebtedness or other obligations that are made specifically nonrecourse to it. Our general partner therefore may cause us to incur indebtedness or other obligations that are nonrecourse to it.

The limited liability company agreement of our general partner provides for a board of directors of not less than seven and not more than nine members.

Our unitholders, including affiliates of our general partner, are entitled to elect all of the directors of our general partner annually. Directors of our general partner hold office until the earlier of their death, resignation, removal or disqualification or until their successors have been elected and qualified.

### Board of Directors

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, our Board of Directors held ten meetings. It is the policy of our Board of Directors to encourage directors to attend each meeting of unitholders. All of our seven directors attended the Annual Meeting held in 2013.

### Director Independence

The Board of Directors includes four individuals who meet the independence and experience standards established by the NASDAQ Global Select Market, or NASDAQ, and the Exchange Act: Messrs. Granberry, Lawrence, Sullivan and Vann.

The Board annually reviews all relevant business relationships any director may have with Legacy and the independence standards established by the NASDAQ. Although not required under NASDAQ listing standards, we currently have a majority of independent directors on our Board of Directors.

The audit committee met five times, the compensation committee met three times, and the nominating, governance and conflicts committee met three times during 2013.

### Leadership Structure of the Board

As prescribed by the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of our general partner, the Chairman of the Board of Directors has the power to preside at all meetings of the Board. Mr. C. Brown serves as Chairman of our Board and as our Chief Executive Officer. The Board believes that the combination of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer roles is appropriate in the current circumstances; however, such approach is not established as a policy and the nominating, governance and conflicts committee will re-evaluate this approach periodically.

The nominating, governance and conflicts committee believes that Mr. C. Brown's history as one of the Partnership's founders and his strategic experience make him the appropriate leader of the Board. The committee has discussed and considered the appointment of an independent lead director and determined not to appoint one. It is the nominating, governance and conflicts committee's view that Mr. C. Brown in his dual capacity as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer will serve as an effective communication link between the Board and

management and there is no need for an independent lead director at this time. The nominating, governance and conflicts committee will re-evaluate its view on the Board's leadership structure periodically.

### **Risk Oversight**

While it is the job of management to assess and manage our risk, the Board and its audit committee (each where applicable) discuss the guidelines and policies that govern the process by which risk assessment and management is undertaken and evaluate reports from various functions with the management team on risk assessment and management. The Board interfaces regularly with management and receives periodic reports that include updates on operational, financial, legal and risk management matters. The audit committee assists the Board in oversight of the integrity of our financial statements and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, including those related to the health, safety and environmental performance of Legacy. The audit committee also reviews and assesses the performance of our internal audit function and our independent auditors. The Board receives regular reports from the audit committee. We do not believe that the Board's role in risk oversight has an effect on the Board's leadership structure.

#### *Evaluation of Compensation Risk*

Our compensation committee has reviewed our employee compensation programs and overall compensation structure and internal controls. There are several design features of our compensation policy that reduce the likelihood of excessive risk-taking:

- Annual cash incentive opportunities are contingent upon several carefully designed objective operational and financial measures (50% at target levels), as well as the compensation committee's discretion as to whether and in what amount to award additional cash incentive compensation (also 50% at target levels);
- Our compensation policy is designed to provide a balanced mix of cash and equity and short- and long-term incentives;
- The potential payouts pursuant to our annual cash incentives are subject to reasonable maximum limits; and
- Internal controls are in place to assure that payments and awards are consistent with actions approved by the compensation committee.

Taking into consideration the factors above, the compensation committee does not believe that there is a reasonable likelihood that Legacy's compensation policy could have a material adverse effect on Legacy.

#### *Audit Committee*

##### *Membership*

The audit committee has been established in accordance with Rule 10A-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act. The Board of Directors has appointed Messrs. Lawrence, Sullivan, and Granberry as members of the audit committee. Mr. Lawrence serves as the chairman of the committee. Each of the members of the audit committee has been determined by the Board of Directors to be independent under NASDAQ's standards for audit committee members to serve on its audit committee. In addition, the Board of Directors has determined that at least one member of the audit committee (Mr. Lawrence) has such accounting or related financial management expertise sufficient to qualify such person as the audit committee financial expert in accordance with Item 407 of Regulation S-K and NASDAQ requirements.

##### *Responsibilities*

The audit committee assists the Board of Directors in overseeing:

- our accounting and financial reporting processes;
- the integrity of our financial statements;
- our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- the qualifications and independence of our independent auditors; and
- the performance of our internal audit function and our independent auditors.

The audit committee is also charged with making regular reports to the Board of Directors and preparing any reports that may be required under NASDAQ-listing standards or SEC rules.

#### *Charter*

The Board of Directors has adopted a charter for the audit committee, a copy of which is available on our website at [www.legacylp.com](http://www.legacylp.com). Please note that the preceding Internet address is for information purposes only and is not intended to be a hyperlink. Accordingly, no information found or provided at that Internet address or at our website in general is intended or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein.

#### *Compensation Committee*

##### *Membership*

The compensation committee consists of three members of the Board of Directors, Messrs. Vann, Granberry and Sullivan, all of whom have been determined by the Board of Directors to be independent under NASDAQ-listing standards. In addition, each member of the compensation committee qualifies as a non employee director within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act, and as an outside director within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Internal Revenue Code ). Mr. Vann is the chairman of the compensation committee.

##### *Responsibilities*

The committee's responsibilities under its charter are to:

- evaluate and/or develop the compensation policies applicable to the executive officers of our general partner, which are required to include guidance regarding the specific relationship of performance to executive compensation;
- review and approve, on an annual basis, the corporate goals and objectives with respect to compensation for the Chief Executive Officer;
- evaluate at least once a year the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of established goals and objectives;
- determine and approve the Chief Executive Officer's compensation;
- make recommendations to the Board with respect to the compensation to be paid to the general partner's other executive officers based on the committee's review and analysis of the Chief Executive Officer's report and recommendation;
- periodically review the compensation paid to non-employee directors (including Board and committee chairpersons) and make recommendations to the Board regarding any adjustments;





- review and make recommendations to the Board with respect to our incentive compensation and other unit-based plans;
- assist the full Board with respect to the administration of the incentive compensation and other unit-based plans; and
- prepare and publish an annual executive compensation report.

#### *Charter*

The Board of Directors has adopted a charter for the compensation committee, a copy of which is available on our website at [www.legacylp.com](http://www.legacylp.com). Please note that the preceding Internet address is for information purposes only and is not intended to be a hyperlink. Accordingly, no information found or provided at that Internet address or at our website in general is intended or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein.

#### *Nominating, Governance and Conflicts Committee*

##### *Membership*

The nominating, governance and conflicts committee consists of Messrs. Granberry, Lawrence, Sullivan and Vann. Mr. Granberry serves as the chairman of the committee. The Board of Directors has determined that all members of the nominating and governance committee are independent under NASDAQ-listing standards. The purpose of the committee is to:

- identify, recruit, evaluate and recommend individuals for election to the Board and the committees thereof as well as to fill any vacancies, consistent with criteria approved by the Board;
- develop and oversee the general partner's policies and procedures regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations relating to the honest and ethical conduct of the general partner's directors, officers and employees, and senior financial officers (as well as the sole responsibility for granting any waivers thereunder); and
- oversee the evaluations of the Board and the committees of the Board.

##### *Responsibilities*

In addition to the purposes of the committee listed above, the duties of the nominating, governance and conflicts committee are to:

- develop a process to be used by the committee in identifying and evaluating candidates for membership on the Board and its committees;
- annually present to the Board a list of nominees recommended for election to the Board at the annual meeting of unitholders;
- evaluate any director candidates recommended by unitholders of the Partnership pursuant to the procedures set forth in the amended and restated agreement of limited partnership of the Partnership to be followed by unitholders in making such recommendations;
- adopt a process for unitholders of the Partnership to send communications to the Board; and
- recommend general matters for consideration by the Board, including but not limited to: (i) the structure of Board meetings; (ii) director retirement policies; (iii) director and officer insurance policy requirements; (iv) policies regarding the number of boards on which a director may serve; (v) director

orientation and training; and (vi) the role of the general partner's executive officers and the outside directorships of such directors.

Further, the nominating, governance and conflicts committee, at the request of the Board of Directors, will review specific matters that the Board of Directors believes may involve a conflict of interest. The committee will determine if the resolution of the conflict of interest is fair and reasonable to the unitholders. Any matters approved by the committee will be conclusively deemed to be fair and reasonable to us, approved by all of our partners and not a breach by our general partner of any duties it may owe us or our unitholders.

#### *Director Nominations*

Under our amended and restated agreement of limited partnership, unitholders desiring to suggest a Board nominee must give prior written notice to our Secretary regarding the persons to be nominated. The notice must be received at our principal executive offices at the address shown on the cover page within the specified period and must be accompanied by the information and documents specified in the amended and restated agreement of limited partnership. A copy of the amended and restated agreement of limited partnership may be obtained by writing to our Secretary at the address shown on the cover page of this proxy statement.

Recommendations by unitholders for directors to be nominated at the 2015 annual meeting of unitholders must be in writing and include sufficient biographical and other relevant information such that an informed judgment as to the proposed nominee's qualifications can be made and the name, address and the class and number of units owned by such unitholder. Recommendations must be accompanied by a notarized statement executed by the proposed nominee consenting to be named in the proxy statement, if nominated, and to serve as a director, if elected. Notice and the accompanying information must be received at our principal executive office at the address shown on the cover page of this proxy statement no later than January 14, 2015 and no earlier than December 30, 2014.

The amended and restated agreement of limited partnership does not affect any unitholder's right to request inclusion of proposals in our proxy statement pursuant to Rule 14a-8 promulgated under the Exchange Act. Rule 14a-8 specifies what constitutes timely submission for a unitholder proposal to be included in our proxy statement. Under the SEC's proxy solicitation rules, in order to be considered for inclusion in the proxy materials for the 2015 annual meeting of unitholders, proposals must be received by our Secretary at our principal offices in Midland, Texas by December 6, 2014. Unitholders are urged to review all applicable rules and consult legal counsel before submitting a nomination or proposal to us.

#### *Nomination Criteria*

The nominating, governance and conflicts committee is responsible for assessing the skills and characteristics that candidates for election to our Board of Directors should possess, as well as the composition of our Board of Directors as a whole. The assessments include qualifications under applicable independence standards and other standards applicable to our Board of Directors and its committees as well as consideration of skills and experience in the context of the needs of our Board of Directors. Each candidate must meet certain minimum qualifications including:

- the ability to dedicate sufficient time, energy and attention to the performance of her or his duties, taking into consideration the nominee's service on other public company boards; and
- skills and expertise complementary to the skills and expertise of the existing members of our Board of Directors (in this regard, the Board of Directors will consider its need for individuals with skills and expertise in operational, managerial, financial or governmental affairs or other relevant expertise).

The nominating, governance and conflicts committee may also consider the ability of a prospective candidate to work with the then-existing interpersonal dynamics of our Board of Directors and the candidate's ability to contribute to the collaborative culture among the members of the Board of Directors.

The nominating, governance and conflicts committee will also evaluate each nominee based upon a consideration of diversity, age, skills and experience in the context of the needs of the Board of Directors. The committee does not have a policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees. Diversity, including diversity of experience, professional expertise, gender, race and age, is one factor considered in evaluating a nominee.

Based on this initial evaluation, the nominating, governance and conflicts committee will determine whether to interview the candidate and, if warranted, will recommend that one or more of its members, other members of our Board of Directors or senior management, as appropriate, interview the candidate in person or by telephone. After completing this evaluation and interview process, the committee ultimately determines its list of nominees and submits it to the full Board of Directors for consideration and approval.

#### *Charter*

Our Board of Directors has adopted a charter for the nominating, governance and conflicts committee, a copy of which is available on our website at [www.legacylp.com](http://www.legacylp.com). Please note that the preceding Internet address is for information purposes only and is not intended to be a hyperlink. Accordingly, no information found or provided at that Internet address or at our website in general is intended or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein.

#### **Code of Ethics**

The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct applicable to officers and directors of our general partner and our employees, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and controller, or those persons performing similar functions, of our general partner. The Code of Ethics and Business Conduct is available on our website at [www.legacylp.com](http://www.legacylp.com) and in print to any unitholder who requests it. Amendments to or waivers from the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct will also be available on our website and reported as may be required under SEC rules; however, any technical, administrative or other non-substantive amendments to the Code of Ethics and Business Conduct may not be posted. Please note that the preceding Internet address is for information purposes only and is not intended to be a hyperlink. Accordingly, no information found or provided at that Internet address or at our website in general is intended or deemed to be incorporated by reference herein.

## COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

*The following discussion and analysis of compensation arrangements of the named executive officers of our general partner, Legacy Reserves GP, LLC, should be read together with the compensation tables and related disclosures set forth below.*

### Introduction

Our general partner manages our operations and activities through its Board of Directors. Under our amended and restated agreement of limited partnership, we reimburse our general partner for direct and indirect general and administrative expenses incurred on our behalf, including the compensation of our general partner's executive officers. Our general partner has not incurred any reimbursable expenses related to the compensation of our general partner's executive officers for their management of us. Currently, our general partner's executive officers are employed by our wholly owned subsidiary, Legacy Reserves Services, Inc., and are directly compensated for their management of us pursuant to their employment agreements. The compensation amounts disclosed in this section and under Executive Compensation reflect the total compensation paid to the executive officers of our general partner. Please read Executive Compensation Employment Agreements.

### Executive Summary

We are a master limited partnership headquartered in Midland, Texas, focused on the acquisition and development of oil and natural gas properties primarily located in the Permian Basin, Mid-Continent and Rocky Mountain regions of the United States. Our compensation policy, as adopted by the compensation committee and approved by the Board of Directors in March 2013 (the Compensation Policy), is designed to make our executive officers' total compensation comparable to that of similarly-sized publicly traded limited partnerships and exploration and production companies. The goals of our Compensation Policy are to:

- align the compensation of the executive officers with unitholder return;
- provide financial incentives to our executive officers for performance, achievement of goals and enhancement of unitholder value;
- drive and support the long-term goal of sustainable growth in unit distributions and total unitholder return by paying for performance; and
- enable the Partnership to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers.

In meeting the goal of sustainable growth, we intend to invest in our long-term opportunities while meeting our short-term commitments.

To achieve these goals, our total compensation to our executive officers is comprised of base salary, cash incentive compensation (cash bonus) and equity-based incentive compensation, as shown in the charts below that illustrate the allocation of compensation opportunities between salary, annual cash bonuses and annual grants of equity to our Chief Executive Officer and other named executive officers (NEOs) with respect to fiscal year 2013. Base salaries in the charts below are represented by annualized base salaries that were effective from March 1, 2013 through February 28, 2014.

## Chief Executive Officer

## Average Other NEOs

Our Compensation Policy replaced and superseded our prior compensation policy that was adopted and approved in September 2009 and amended in February 2010 and March 2012 (the 2010 Compensation Policy). The total compensation provided to our executive officers in fiscal year 2013 as shown in Executive Compensation - Summary Compensation Table (the Summary Compensation Table) is comprised of compensation elements attributable to both compensation policies. The equity-based incentive compensation provided for fiscal year 2013 in the Summary Compensation Table is attributable to our 2010 Compensation Policy, which reflects grant date fair values of equity grants made in 2013 attributable to performance in 2012. In contrast, the cash incentive compensation (cash bonus) for fiscal year 2013 in the Summary Compensation Table is attributable to our Compensation Policy, as cash incentive compensation in this table reflects the performance period during which the compensation is earned, not when it is paid. When compared to the 2010 Compensation Policy, our Compensation Policy revised the vesting and performance measurement periods for the objective and subjective components of the equity-based incentive compensation portion of the policy from the vesting of 1/3 tranches every year over the course of three years in the 2010 Compensation Policy to a three-year cliff vesting and measurement period. In addition, the target equity awards are now weighted 60% according to subjective criteria determined by the compensation committee and 40% according to objective criteria. Under the 2010 Compensation Policy, the subjective criteria were weighted at 40% and the objective criteria were weighted at 60%. Finally, our Compensation Policy allows the compensation committee and Board of Directors discretion to award up to 150% (cash portion) and 200% (equity-based portion) of subjective target incentive compensation, and allows NEOs to earn up 150% (cash portion) and 200% (equity-based portion) of objective target incentive compensation. In contrast, the 2010 Compensation Policy had maximum limits of 100% on subjective and objective cash and equity-based incentive compensation.

**Cash Incentive Compensation.** With respect to cash incentive compensation earned in fiscal year 2013 under the Compensation Policy, the target total annual cash bonus (subjective plus objective component) expressed as a percentage of annual salary for each named executive officer was as follows: Mr. C. Brown: 110%; Mr. Horne: 80%; Mr. McGraw: 80%; Mr. Westcott: 80%; and Mr. LeRoy: 40%.

**Subjective Component of Cash Incentive Compensation.** In determining cash incentive awards earned in fiscal year 2013, our compensation committee conducted a subjective evaluation of individual officer and Partnership performance attributable to fiscal year 2013 for 50% of target annual cash incentive compensation. Under the Compensation Policy, the compensation committee and Board of Directors have the discretion to award up to 150% of the subjective component of target annual cash incentive compensation.

**Objective Component of Cash Incentive Compensation.** The remaining 50% of target annual cash incentive compensation earned in fiscal year 2013 was objectively determined in accordance with the objective criteria set forth in our Compensation Policy, which are based on our results and the achievement of operational and financial goals and objectives during fiscal year 2013 and are designed to align the incentive compensation of each executive officer with unitholder return by rewarding performance that maintains or grows distributions and exceeds the specified target levels for EBITDA (which is defined to mean Adjusted EBITDA as described in the Partnership s

Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

annual report on Form 10-K). The respective target levels, for purposes of the determination of annual cash incentive compensation only, will be set by the compensation committee at the beginning of each year after considering management's recommendation

Set forth below are the target levels for EBITDA and cash distribution growth targets used to determine the objective component of each executive officer's cash bonus that may be earned with respect to fiscal year 2013. Achievement of less than 85% of Target EBITDA or failure to maintain the prior cash distribution level, respectively, will result in no cash bonus awarded with respect to that particular performance measure.

Performance Measure	Weight	Performance Level/Percent Earned			
EBITDA	50%	< 85% of Target	85% of Target	100% of Target	115% of Target
		0%	50%	100%	150%
Growth in Cash Distributions Per Unit	50%	< 0% Growth	0% Growth	7.5% Growth	15% Growth
		0%	50%	100%	150%

Set forth in the table below is a summary of the target cash incentive award amounts attributable to performance during 2013 of each named executive officer pursuant to the Compensation Policy, expressed as a percentage of each of such executive officer's applicable base salary.

Named Executive Officer	Target Cash Bonus Opportunity as a Percentage of 2013 Annual Salary(1)		
	Subjective	Objective	Total
Cary D. Brown <i>Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer</i>	55%	55%	110%
James Daniel Westcott <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	40%	40%	80%
Paul T. Horne <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer</i>	40%	40%	80%
Kyle A. McGraw <i>Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer</i>	40%	40%	80%
Dan G. LeRoy <i>Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary</i>	20%	20%	40%

(1) Salaries effective March 1, 2013.

**Equity-Based Incentive Compensation.** We believe meaningful equity participation by each named executive officer to be a strong motivating factor that will result in significant increases in value and in growth. Grants of equity-based compensation to our executive officers during fiscal year 2014 were made in accordance with the Compensation Policy based on performance during fiscal year 2013. Grants of equity-based compensation to our executive officers during fiscal year 2013 were made in accordance with the 2010 Compensation Policy based on performance during fiscal year 2012.

The subjective or service-based component of equity-based incentive compensation is determined by a subjective evaluation of prior fiscal year performance by the compensation committee. The objective or performance-based component of equity-based incentive compensation, awarded as phantom units and associated DERs, is designed to reward our executive officers for their long-term performance and to align their interests with those of our unitholders.

With respect to grants made during fiscal year 2014, under the Compensation Policy the target total annual equity-based incentive compensation expressed as a percentage of annual salary for each named executive officer was as follows: Mr. C. Brown: 290%; Mr. Horne: 175%; Mr. McGraw: 175%; Mr. Westcott 175%; and Mr. LeRoy: 75%.



## Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

With respect to grants made during fiscal year 2013, under the 2010 Compensation Policy the maximum total annual equity-based incentive compensation expressed as a percentage of annual salary for each named executive officer was as follows: Mr. C. Brown: 275%; Mr. Horne: 175%; Mr. McGraw: 175%; Mr. Westcott 150%; and Mr. LeRoy: 75%.

*Subjective Component of Equity-Based Incentive Compensation under the Compensation Policy (60% of target).* Under the Compensation Policy, equity-based incentive compensation awarded under this component and associated distribution equivalent rights ( DERs ) cliff vest after a three-year period and are not subject to any performance criteria. The DERs entitle the recipient of the award to a payment equivalent to the amount of the per unit distribution payable to unitholders over the vesting period. The compensation committee and Board of Directors have the discretion to award up to 200% of the subjective component of target equity-based incentive compensation.

*Objective Component of Equity-Based Incentive Compensation under the Compensation Policy (40% of target).* Under the Compensation Policy, the objective component is granted at 200% of the target amount each year but is subject to cliff vesting after a three-year period in accordance with an objective performance-related formula (as set forth under *Calculation of Vesting of Objective Component of Equity-Based Compensation under the Compensation Policy* below) based on our objective average annual total unitholder return and the following: 1) our total unitholder return compared to the total unitholder returns of a group of our peers, and 2) our total unitholder return compared to the total unitholder returns of a broader group of MLPs. All total unitholder returns are measured during the cumulative three-year measurement period prior to the vesting date. If none or only a portion of phantom units vest as a result of target levels not being met, the unvested portion of phantom units will be forfeited.

Set forth in the table below is a summary of the target equity-based incentive award amounts attributable to performance during 2013 (and granted during fiscal year 2014) of each named executive officer pursuant to the Compensation Policy, expressed as a percentage of each of such executive officer's applicable base salary.

Named Executive Officer	Target Value of Phantom Units as a Percentage of 2013 Annual Salary(1)		
	Subjective	Objective	Total
Cary D. Brown <i>Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer</i>	175%	115%	290%
James Daniel Westcott <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	105%	70%	175%
Paul T. Horne <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer</i>	105%	70%	175%
Kyle A. McGraw <i>Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer</i>	105%	70%	175%
Dan G. LeRoy <i>Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary</i>	45%	30%	75%

(1) Salaries effective March 1, 2013.

*Subjective Component of Equity-Based Incentive Compensation under the 2010 Compensation Policy (40% of maximum).* Under the 2010 Compensation Policy, equity-based incentive compensation, including associated DERs, awarded under this component vest ratably in 1/3 tranches over a three-year period and are not subject to any performance criteria. The compensation committee and Board of Directors had the discretion to award up to the maximum (100%) of the subjective component of target equity-based incentive compensation.



## Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

*Objective Component of Equity-Based Incentive Compensation under the 2010 Compensation Policy (60% of maximum).* Under the 2010 Compensation Policy, the objective component was granted at the maximum (100%) amount each year but is subject to 1/3 vesting each year in accordance with an objective performance-related formula (as set forth under *Calculation of Vesting of Objective Component of Equity-Based Compensation under the 2010 Compensation Policy* below) based on our absolute total unitholder return each year and our total unitholder return each year compared to the total unitholder returns of a group of our peers as well as the total unitholder returns of a broader group of MLPs. If none or only a portion of phantom units vest as a result of target levels not being met, the unvested portion of phantom units will be forfeited.

Set forth in the table below is a summary of the maximum equity-based incentive award amounts attributable to performance during 2012 (and granted during fiscal year 2013) of each named executive officer pursuant to the 2010 Compensation Policy, expressed as a percentage of each of such executive officer's applicable base salary.

Named Executive Officer	Maximum Value of Phantom Units as a Percentage of 2012 Annual Salary(1)		
	Subjective	Objective	Total
Cary D. Brown <i>Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer</i>	110%	165%	275%
James Daniel Westcott <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	60%	90%	150%
Paul T. Horne <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer</i>	70%	105%	175%
Kyle A. McGraw <i>Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer</i>	70%	105%	175%
Dan G. LeRoy <i>Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary</i>	30%	45%	75%

(1) Salaries effective March 1, 2012.

### Corporate Governance

#### *Compensation Committee Authority*

Executive officer compensation is administered by the compensation committee of the Board of Directors, which is currently composed of three members, Messrs. Vann, Granberry and Sullivan. The Board of Directors appoints the compensation committee members and delegates to the compensation committee the direct responsibility for, among other things, determining and approving the Chief Executive Officer's compensation, recommending compensation for the general partner's other named executive officers, establishing equity and non-equity incentive plans, and administering our long-term incentive plan (LTIP).

The Board of Directors has determined that each committee member is independent under NASDAQ-listing standards, SEC rules and the relevant securities laws, and that each member qualifies as a non-employee director within the meaning of Rule 16-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act, and as an outside director as defined in Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

#### *Role of Compensation Experts in Determining Executive Officer Compensation*

The compensation committee is authorized to obtain, at the Partnership's expense, compensation surveys, reports on the design and implementation of compensation programs for directors, officers and employees and other data and documentation as the compensation committee considers appropriate. In addition, the compensation



committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate any outside counsel or other experts or consultants engaged to assist it in the evaluation of compensation of our directors and executive officers, including the sole authority to approve such consultants' fees and other retention terms.

The compensation committee retained BDO USA, LLP ( BDO ) as a compensation consultant for performance years 2013 and 2012. BDO was engaged to provide a study of compensation programs related to named executive officers and outside directors offered by a broad peer group of exploration and production companies and publicly traded limited partnerships. The compensation committee charged BDO with undertaking this study to ascertain how the members of this peer group structure their compensation and to assist the compensation committee in establishing and maintaining an appropriate compensation program to better enable the Partnership to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers and to further align the interests of our executive officers with those of our unitholders.

BDO served as the Partnership's independent registered public accountants during 2013. In appointing BDO's compensation consulting group, the compensation committee considered whether such appointment would represent a conflict of interest. In particular, the compensation committee reviewed the structure of the consulting engagement which complies with SEC and Public Company Accounting Oversight Board independence rules and the relatively small amount of fees paid by the Partnership to BDO for compensation consulting services. The committee also evaluated its prior experience with BDO as its consultant and concluded that the advice received was, in its opinion, independent, that the relationship represented no conflict of interest, and that the compensation committee benefitted from the BDO consultant's unique experience in consulting for publicly-traded partnerships.

#### *Selection of Compensation Comparative Data*

As discussed in greater detail below, central to our compensation philosophy is the alignment of the interests of our named executive officers with the interests of our unitholders. It is the goal of our compensation philosophy to provide financial incentives to our executive officers to focus on business strategies designed to increase the distributions we pay to our unitholders. In addition to comparing compensation packages of our named executive officers and outside directors with the compensation of their counterparts within our peer group of exploration and production companies and publicly traded limited partnerships, other specific performance levels or benchmarks, as described in the Compensation Policy, were used in 2013 to establish the compensation packages of our named executive officers and outside directors.

When determining incentive compensation related to 2013 performance, our peer group included Atlas Energy, L.P.; Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P.; Breitburn Energy Partners L.P.; Carrizo Oil & Gas, Inc.; Clayton Williams Energy, Inc.; Crestwood Midstream Partners LP; Crosstex Energy, L.P.; Eagle Rock Energy Partners, L.P.; EV Energy Partners, L.P.; Forest Oil Corporation; Gulfport Energy Corporation; Halcón Resources Corporation; LRR Energy, L.P.; Memorial Production Partners LP; Mid-Con Energy Partners, LP; Niska Gas Storage Partners LLC; PVR Partners, L.P.; QR Energy, LP; Resolute Energy Corporation; Rosetta Resources Inc.; Swift Energy Company; and Vanguard Natural Resources, LLC.

When determining incentive compensation related to 2012 performance, our peer group included Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P.; Breitburn Energy Partners L.P.; Carrizo Oil & Gas, Inc.; Clayton Williams Energy, Inc.; Crestwood Midstream Partners LP; Copano Energy, L.L.C.; Crosstex Energy, L.P.; Eagle Rock Energy Partners, L.P.; EV Energy Partners, L.P.; Forest Oil Corporation; GeoResources, Inc. (acquired by Halcón Resources Corporation during 2012); Gulfport Energy Corporation; PVR Partners, L.P.; Resolute Energy Corporation; Rosetta Resources Inc.; Swift Energy Company and Vanguard Natural Resources, LLC.

Our peer group is determined by the compensation committee at the beginning of each fiscal year to ensure that the peer group's size and composition produces relevant information for the compensation committee's consideration. If any company in the peer group ceases to be publicly traded during any performance period, the compensation committee will adjust the composition of the peer group as it deems appropriate.

### ***Decision-Making Process and Role of Executive Officers***

Compensation decisions for executive officers involve both objective and subjective criteria. For performance years 2013 and 2012, the compensation committee consultant first provided information to the compensation committee regarding competitive market data. The second component of the decision-making process was our Chief Executive Officer providing a written overview of performance by the Partnership, including an overview of the performance by each named executive officer other than himself, in light of established operational and financial goals and objectives. After reviewing this written overview, the compensation committee met with the Chief Executive Officer in order to ask questions regarding the information set forth in the written overview and to gather any additional information needed in order to make recommendations to the Board of Directors regarding the compensation of the named executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer.

In determining the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer, the compensation committee took into account the information provided by the compensation committee consultant. The compensation committee then evaluated the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of established operational and financial goals and objectives and determined as a committee, together with any other independent directors participating in the process, the Chief Executive Officer's compensation.

### **Executive Officer Compensation Strategy and Philosophy**

Our Compensation Policy is, and our 2010 Compensation Policy was, designed to make our executive officers total compensation comparable to that of similarly sized publicly traded limited partnerships and exploration and production companies.

Our executive officer compensation strategy is designed to:

- align the compensation of the executive officers with unitholder return;
- provide financial incentives to our executive officers for performance, achievement of goals and enhancement of unitholder value;
- drive and support the long-term goal of sustainable growth in unit distributions and total unitholder return by paying for performance; and
- enable the Partnership to attract and retain highly qualified executive officers.

In meeting the goal of sustainable growth, we intend to invest in our long-term opportunities while meeting our short-term commitments. As all our executive officers hold units in the Partnership, we have attempted to maintain competitive levels of compensation while focusing on the growth of our business and distributions. Through this approach, our executives receive cash and equity compensation for the market value of their services and their performance is further rewarded through the distributions they receive on their holdings of our units, which creates alignment of interests with our unitholders.

At our named executive officers' 2013 compensation levels and due to our organizational structure, we did not believe that Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) would be applicable and accordingly, did not consider it in setting compensation levels.

### **Components of Compensation**

#### ***Named Executive Officer Compensation***

Total compensation to our executive officers is comprised of base salary, cash incentive compensation (cash bonus) and equity-based incentive compensation.

***2013 Performance Goals and Objectives***

For the 2013 performance year, the operational and financial goals and objectives were as follows:

- Grow cash distributions to \$0.59 per unit attributable to the fourth quarter of 2013 with a coverage ratio of 1.14 times for the year after deducting estimated maintenance capital expenditures;
- Acquire \$200.0 million of producing properties at targeted cash flow metrics;
- Generate \$272.0 million of EBITDA with \$90 million of total development capital expenditures;
- Provide the capital needed to execute the business plan while maintaining a total debt to EBITDA ratio of around 3 times; and
- Experience zero lost-time accidents.

These goals and objectives, as supplemented by more detailed supporting goals and objectives put forth by our named executive officers, provided a framework for the compensation committee to assess our 2013 performance and to determine named executive officers compensation. Relative weight is not assigned to any or all of these goals and objectives. Additionally, the various financial goals were based on various assumptions, with the understanding that our actual financial performance would be assessed based on factors considered relevant by the compensation committee at the time compensation for the named executive officers was reviewed and determined.

***2013 Performance Assessment for Determination of Incentive Compensation under the Compensation Policy***

The compensation committee assessed the 2013 performance of executive officers for purposes of the determination (as set forth in the Compensation Policy) of the subjective components of cash incentive compensation earned with respect to fiscal year 2013 and equity-based incentive compensation granted during fiscal year 2014 based on the attainment of the foregoing goals and objectives and the performance-related factors that it considered to be relevant.

Among other relevant considerations, the compensation committee considered the following achievements by the Partnership and the executive officers during 2013:

- Paid distributions per unit of \$0.575, \$0.58, \$0.585, and \$0.59 attributable to the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2013, respectively, with a coverage ratio of 1.12 times for the year after deducting \$69.6 million of estimated maintenance capital expenditures in 2013;
- Acquired \$105.1 million of producing properties in 14 individually immaterial acquisition transactions at better than targeted cash flow metrics and also acquired \$3.3 million of undeveloped prospective acreage in the Permian Basin;
- Generated EBITDA of \$272.7 million with \$94.0 million of total development capital expenditures, as Legacy successfully integrated its \$502.6 million acquisition from Concho Resources Inc. that closed in December 2012 and is the largest acquisition in the Partnership's history;
- Closed a \$250 million offering of 6.625% senior notes due 2021 that closed in May 2013, maintained a borrowing base of \$800 million as redetermined during October 2013, and ended the year with a debt to 2013 EBITDA ratio of approximately 3.3 times; and
- Experienced zero lost-time accidents.

Primarily based on these achievements, the compensation committee awarded to Mr. Brown 115% of the target subjective component of the cash bonus and 150% of the target subjective component of equity-based incentive compensation and recommended to the Board that the executive officers other than Mr. Brown be awarded

as follows: 150% for Mr. Westcott, 100% for Mr. Horne, 90% for Mr. LeRoy and 85% for Mr. McGraw of the subjective component of the cash bonus; and 175% for Mr. Westcott, 150% for Mr. Horne and 140% for Messrs. McGraw and LeRoy of the subjective component of equity-based incentive compensation of the target individual levels set forth in subsequent sections. The Board approved such awards.

### ***2012 Performance Goals and Objectives***

For the 2012 performance year, the operational and financial goals and objectives were as follows:

- Grow cash distributions to \$0.57 per unit attributable to the fourth quarter of 2012 with a coverage ratio of 1.08 times for the year (after deducting \$62 million of total development capital expenditures in 2012);
- Acquire \$200.0 million of producing properties at targeted cash flow metrics;
- Generate \$206.0 million of EBITDA with \$62 million of total development capital expenditures;
- Improve organizational depth and capabilities;
- Provide the capital needed to execute the business plan, including continuing the at-the-market equity issuance and access debt in a favorable manner while maintaining a total debt to EBITDA ratio below 3 times; and
- Experience zero lost-time accidents.

These goals and objectives, as supplemented by more detailed supporting goals and objectives put forth by our named executive officers, provided a framework for the compensation committee to assess our 2012 performance. Relative weight is not assigned to any or all of these goals and objectives. Additionally, the various financial goals were based on various assumptions, with the understanding that our actual financial performance would be assessed based on factors considered relevant by the compensation committee at the time compensation for the named executive officers was reviewed and determined.

### ***2012 Performance Assessment for Determination of Incentive Compensation under the 2010 Compensation Policy***

The compensation committee assessed the 2012 performance of executive officers for purposes of the determination (as set forth in the 2010 Compensation Policy) of the subjective components of cash incentive compensation earned with respect to fiscal year 2012 and equity-based incentive compensation granted during fiscal year 2013 based on the attainment of the foregoing goals and objectives and the performance-related factors that it considered to be relevant.

Among other relevant considerations, the compensation committee considered the following achievements by the Partnership and the executive officers during 2012:

- Paid distributions per unit of \$0.555, \$0.56, \$0.565, and \$0.57 attributable to the first, second, third and fourth quarters of 2012, respectively, with a coverage ratio of 0.95 for the year (after deducting \$68.2 million of total development capital expenditures in 2012);
- Acquired \$635 million (before impairment) of producing properties in 19 transactions, including our \$502.6 million COG 2012 Acquisition that closed on December 20, 2012, at slightly better than targeted cash flow metrics and also acquired \$7.2 million of undeveloped prospective acreage in the Permian Basin;
- Generated EBITDA of \$197.6 million with \$68.2 million of development capital expenditures;

- Improved our organizational depth and capabilities throughout the partnership (including our operations, land and accounting departments), including the net addition of 43 full-time employees during 2012 that included a significant number of hires in November and December related to the COG 2012 Acquisition;
- Closed our largest equity offering with a follow-on offering in November 2012 providing \$218.0 million in net proceeds, gained first-time access to the high yield market through our \$300 million 8% senior notes offering that closed in December 2012, increased our borrowing base for a third time in 2012 to \$800 million in December 2012 with an expanded 20-member bank group, and ended fiscal year 2012 with a debt to estimated pro forma 2012 EBITDA ratio of approximately 2.9 times; and
- Experienced one lost-time accident.

Primarily based on these achievements, the compensation committee awarded to Mr. Brown 85% of the subjective component of the cash bonus attributable to fiscal year 2012 and 100% of the subjective component of equity-based incentive compensation granted during fiscal year 2013 and recommended to the Board that the executive officers other than Mr. Brown be awarded 90% (Messrs. McGraw and Westcott) and 85% (Messrs. Horne and LeRoy) of the subjective component of the cash bonus attributable to fiscal year 2012 and 100% of the subjective component of equity-based incentive compensation granted during fiscal year 2013 at the individual levels set forth in subsequent sections and the Board approved such rewards.

### ***Base Salaries***

#### *Overview*

We pay base salary to attract talented executives and provide a fixed base of cash compensation. The compensation committee determines and approves the Chief Executive Officer's compensation including salary based on a review of the Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of established corporate goals and objectives. The compensation committee, with the assistance of the compensation committee consultant and input of the Chief Executive Officer, also makes recommendations to the Board of Directors as a whole with respect to the compensation, including base salary, to be paid to the other executive officers of our general partner.

It is the intent of the compensation committee to have the base salaries of our named executive officers reviewed on an annual basis as well as at the time of a promotion or other material change in responsibilities.

#### *2013 Base Salary Determinations*

Effective March 1, 2013, base salaries were increased to the following: Mr. Brown: \$490,000; Mr. Westcott: \$300,000; Mr. Horne: \$350,000; Mr. McGraw: \$350,000; and Mr. LeRoy: \$250,000.

### ***Cash Incentive Compensation (Cash Bonus) under the Compensation Policy***

#### *Overview*

As a component of total compensation, the compensation committee chooses to pay annual incentives to drive the achievement of key results and to recognize individuals based on their contributions to those results. The compensation committee recognizes that short-term results contribute to achieving long-term goals. The amount of annual incentives is based upon our results and the achievement of operational and financial goals and objectives. The range and target amounts are recommended to the compensation committee by our Chief Executive Officer.

In determining cash incentive awards earned during a fiscal year, a subjective evaluation of the individual officer and Partnership performance (subjective criteria) for the fiscal year such awards are to be earned and our results and the achievement of operational and financial goals and objectives during such fiscal year (objective criteria) are considered.

## Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

The objective and subjective components of the cash incentive compensation each comprise 50% of the target bonus available expressed as a percentage of annual salary for each executive officer, as set forth in the following table for fiscal year 2013.

Named Executive Officer	Title	Target Cash Bonus Opportunity as a Percentage of Annual Salary		
		Subjective	Objective	Total
Cary D. Brown	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	55%	55%	110%
James Daniel Westcott	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	40%	40%	80%
Paul T. Horne	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	40%	40%	80%
Kyle A. McGraw	Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer	40%	40%	80%
Dan G. LeRoy	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	20%	20%	40%

### *Objective Component of Cash Bonus*

The objective component (up to 50% of the annual target cash incentive compensation) is based on two measures of equal weight:

- EBITDA (which is defined to mean Adjusted EBITDA as defined in our 2013 Form 10-K); and
- Growth in cash distributions per unit.

The percentage levels that may be earned each year are based on the ranges of performance levels with respect to each target as set forth in the following table, as determined by straight-line interpolation. Our executive officers will not receive a cash bonus (with respect to either of the two performance measures) under this objective component unless the Partnership maintains its cash distribution per unit or achieves EBITDA that is at least 85% of the target EBITDA for the year.

Performance Measure	Weight	Performance Level/Percent Earned			
		< 85% of Target	85% of Target	100% of Target	115% of Target
EBITDA	50%	0%	50%	100%	150%
Growth in Cash Distributions Per Unit	50%	< 0% Growth	0% Growth	7.5% Growth	15% Growth
		0%	50%	100%	150%

These objective measures are intended to align the cash incentive compensation of each executive officer with unitholder return by rewarding performance that maintains or grows distributions and increases EBITDA. The respective target levels of EBITDA and growth in cash distributions per unit, respectively, for purposes of the annual cash bonus determination only, will be set by the compensation committee at the beginning of each year after considering management's recommendation.

During 2013, the Partnership achieved EBITDA of \$272.7 million, or 100.3% of the \$272.0 million target EBITDA, resulting in a Percentage Earned (pursuant to the table above) of 100.9% (weighted at 50% or 50.4%) and distribution growth in 2013 was 3.5% resulting in a Percentage Earned of 73.4% (weighted at 50% or 36.7%), resulting in bonus amounts at 87.1% of the Objective Factor (as set forth in the table below).

### *Subjective Cash Award*

Each executive officer was awarded the cash bonuses in the amounts determined by the percentage of target levels available, as set forth under % of Subjective Factor Earned in the table below, and the potential target level of the subjective component of cash incentive compensation for 2013 (the Subjective Factor as set forth





below). Under the Compensation Policy, the compensation committee and Board of Directors have the discretion to award up to 150% of the subjective target cash bonus.

Based on Legacy's and the individual executive officers' accomplishments and performances as set forth above, under the caption 2013 Performance Assessment, the Board, based on the compensation committee's recommendation, set the subjective portion of the cash bonus as shown in the following table.

The chart below illustrates the cash incentive award earned during 2013 for each named executive officer in accordance with the performance level/percentage earned calculation set forth in the Compensation Policy:

Named Executive Officer	2013 Salary	Subjective Factor	Subjective	Bonus Amount	Objective	Objective	Cash Incentive Amount(a)	Total Cash Incentive
			% of Subjective Factor Earned		% of Objective Factor Earned			
Cary D. Brown	\$490,000	55%	115%	\$309,925	55%	87.13%	\$234,815	\$544,740
James Daniel Westcott	\$300,000	40%	150%	\$180,000	40%	87.13%	\$104,556	\$284,556
Paul T. Horne	\$350,000	40%	100%	\$140,000	40%	87.13%	\$121,982	\$261,982
Kyle A. McGraw	\$350,000	40%	85%	\$119,000	40%	87.13%	\$121,982	\$240,982
Dan G. LeRoy	\$250,000	20%	90%	\$45,000	20%	87.13%	\$43,565	\$88,565

- (a) The amounts are determined by using a weighted earned percentage of 87.13% of the Objective Factor as determined in accordance with the formula set forth in the Compensation Policy. See Cash Incentive Compensation (Cash Bonus) Objective Component of Cash Bonus above.

**Equity-Based Incentive Compensation - Overview**

We provide performance-based equity-based incentive compensation opportunities to our executive officers as part of the compensation program because we believe that this element of compensation ties the interests of our executive officers directly to the interests of our unitholders. We also believe that equity-based incentive compensation serves as an important retention tool.

More specifically, the equity-based incentive compensation program adopted by the Board of Directors and compensation committee of our general partner is designed to reward our named executive officers for their long-term performance by aligning grants of phantom units with associated DERs with the growth of distributions to unitholders.

We consider equity-based incentive compensation to be an important element of our compensation program for named executive officers. We believe meaningful equity participation by each named executive officer to be a strong motivating factor that will result in significant increases in value and in growth. This belief is reflected in the aggregate awards of phantom units that have been made to named executive officers that did not already have a significant interest in our units. Our award structure for long-term equity-based incentives employs a mix of subjective and objective measures as set forth below.

**Equity-Based Incentive Compensation under the Compensation Policy**

*Subjective or Service-Based Component.* The subjective or service-based component is determined by a subjective evaluation of prior fiscal year performance and, with respect to each executive officer, may be awarded up to 200% of the specified percentage of annual salary as set forth in the tables below. Once granted, the only condition to vesting will be that the executive officer remain in the service of the Partnership until the end of the respective 3-year cliff vesting period. The vesting of service-based equity-based awards including associated DERs, once granted, is not subject to the attainment of any performance criteria.

*Objective or Performance-Based Component under the Compensation Policy.* The objective component is granted each year at 200% of the targeted percentage listed in the table below, but the amount vested at the end of the three-year period is determined on the vesting date in accordance with an objective performance-related formula



## Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

(as set forth under *Calculation of Vesting of Objective Component of Equity-Based Compensation under the Compensation Policy* below) based on the objective average annual total unitholder return and our total unitholder return each year compared to the total unitholder returns of a group of our peers as well as the total unitholder returns of a broader group of MLPs achieved during the cumulative three-year measurement period prior to the vesting date. If none or only a portion of phantom units vest as a result of target levels not being met, the unvested portion of phantom units will be forfeited.

All equity-based incentive compensation awards are phantom units, with associated DERs, up to 200% of the specified percentage of annual salary as set forth in the following table.

Named Executive Officer	Title	Target Value of Phantom Units as a Percentage of 2013 Annual Salary		
		Subjective	Objective	Total
Cary D. Brown	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	175%	115%	290%
James Daniel Westcott	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	105%	70%	175%
Paul T. Horne	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	105%	70%	175%
Kyle A. McGraw	Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer	105%	70%	175%
Dan G. LeRoy	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	45%	30%	75%

A phantom unit is a notional unit that entitles the holder upon vesting to receive the same number of Partnership units. Under the Compensation Policy, the number of phantom units granted was determined by dividing the dollar amount of the intended grant value by the average closing price of Partnership units over the 20 trading days ended the last trading day prior to January 1st in the year of the grant. All phantom unit grants cliff vest on the third anniversary of the initial grant date or such date as determined by the compensation committee.

### *Calculation of Vesting of Objective Component of Equity-Based Compensation under the Compensation Policy*

The objective-based phantom units granted at 200% of the target level each year are subject to a three-year measurement and vesting period. At the three-year vesting date of the objective or performance-based component of equity-based compensation, the number of phantom units to vest is determined based on the following three-step process, with the total vested amount to be determined by adding the values arrived at in Step 1 and Step 2.

**Step 1:** 50% of the performance-based award will be a function of the average annual Total Unitholder Return for the Partnership ( Legacy TUR ) and the percentile rank of the Legacy TUR among the Total Unitholder Return ( TUR ) for such upstream master limited partnership ( MLP ) peer companies as determined by the compensation committee (such peer companies, the Peer Group ). The average annual Legacy TUR or the average annual TUR for any entity in the Peer Group for any performance period means the percentage increase in the value of a \$100 investment in a unit or common unit purchased at the average closing price of such a unit or common unit over the 20 trading days prior to January 1 of the year with respect to which the grant is made, assuming such investment is liquidated on the January 1 immediately prior to the vesting date, at a price that is the average price of the unit or common unit over the 20 trading days prior to the liquidation, plus any cash distributions paid in the three-year period from the grant date to the vesting date. The following matrix will be used to determine the Legacy TUR vs. Peer Group TUR portion of the award. The total value to vest is the sum of the results derived from each of the two grids shown below.

## Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

<b>&gt; = 90<sup>th</sup> %ile</b>	0%	125%	150%	175%	200%
<b>75<sup>th</sup> %ile</b>	0%	100%	125%	150%	175%
<b>50<sup>th</sup> %ile</b>	0%	75%	100%	125%	150%
<b>25<sup>th</sup> %ile</b>	0%	50%	75%	100%	125%
<b>&lt; = 10<sup>th</sup> %ile</b>	0%	25%	50%	75%	100%

**< = 0%**    **8%**        **12%**        **20%**        **> = 25%**  
 Average Annual Legacy TUR

\* For the 2013 performance period, the Peer Group consisted of Atlas Resource Partners, L.P.; Breitburn Energy Partners L.P.; Eagle Rock Energy Partners, L.P.; EV Energy Partners, L.P.; Linn Energy, LLC; LRR Energy, L.P.; Memorial Production Partners LP; Mid-Con Energy Partners, LP; QR Energy, LP and Vanguard Natural Resources, LLC. If any company in the Peer Group ceases to be publicly traded during any performance period, the compensation committee will adjust the composition of the Peer Group as it deems appropriate.

To determine the performance-based awards earned for this Legacy TUR vs. Peer Group component, the percentage determined in accordance with the performance grid (using straight-line interpolation between the percentages given above) is multiplied by 50% and multiplied by the target number of phantom units available for vesting.

Step 2: 50% of the performance-based award will be a function of the Legacy TUR and the percentile rank of the Partnership among a group of MLPs included in the Alerian MLP Index (such group of MLPs as determined by the compensation committee, excluding publicly traded general partners of MLPs and shipping companies) (the Adjusted Alerian Index ) based on such entities' average annual TUR. The following matrix will be used to determine the Legacy TUR vs. Adjusted Alerian Index portion of the award.

<b>&gt; = 90<sup>th</sup> %ile</b>	0%	125%	150%	175%	200%
<b>75<sup>th</sup> %ile</b>	0%	100%	125%	150%	175%
<b>50<sup>th</sup> %ile</b>	0%	75%	100%	125%	150%
<b>25<sup>th</sup> %ile</b>	0%	50%	75%	100%	125%
<b>&lt; = 10<sup>th</sup> %ile</b>	0%	25%	50%	75%	100%

**< = 0%**    **8%**        **12%**        **20%**        **> = 25%**  
 Legacy TUR

\*\* Adjusted Alerian Index means a subset of companies included in the Alerian MLP Index as determined by the compensation committee and excludes publicly traded general partners of MLPs and shipping companies, as of the beginning of each fiscal year. The calculation of the Adjusted Alerian Index along with calculation of percentile results and the Legacy TUR percentile ranking is subject to third-party review.

To determine the performance-based awards earned on this Legacy TUR vs. Adjusted Alerian Index component, the percentage earned in accordance with the above matrix (using straight-line interpolation between the percentages set forth in the matrix) is multiplied by 50% and multiplied by the target number of phantom units available for vesting.

Step 3: The respective award values arrived at by performing the calculations set forth in Step 1 and Step 2 above will be added to determine the total vested portion of the performance-based equity award with respect to a particular three-year performance period.

### ***2014 Phantom Unit Grants under the Compensation Policy***

On March 6, 2014, in accordance with the Compensation Policy, the compensation committee approved the following phantom unit awards and associated DERs for Mr. C. Brown. With respect to the remaining named executive officers, the compensation committee recommended the following phantom unit awards and associated DERs to the Board and the Board, on March 6, 2014, approved such awards:



Named Executive Officer	2014 Phantom Unit Grants (and associated DERs)					
	2013 Salary	Subjective Grant (Based on 2013 Performance)			Objective Performance-Based Grant	
		Subjective Factor(a)	Subjective Award	Phantom Units(b)	Objective Factor(a)	Maximum Phantom Units(c)
Cary D. Brown	\$490,000	175%	150%	47,170	115%	41,330
James Daniel Westcott	\$300,000	105%	175%	20,216	70%	15,402
Paul T. Horne	\$350,000	105%	150%	20,216	70%	17,970
Kyle A. McGraw	\$350,000	105%	140%	18,868	70%	17,970
Dan G. LeRoy	\$250,000	45%	140%	5,776	30%	5,500

- (a) Represents percentage of 2013 salary effective March 1, 2013.
- (b) Based on the 20-day average closing price of Partnership units prior to January 1, 2014, or \$27.27. Subjective grant phantom units vest on February 18, 2017. These phantom units are service-based and are not subject to any performance criteria.
- (c) Based on the 20-day average closing price of Partnership units prior to January 1, 2014, or \$27.27. Represents maximum number of phantom units available to vest on February 18, 2017, pending attainment of specified performance criteria. Unvested phantom units and associated DERs will be forfeited.

*Subjective or Service-Based Component.* Based upon the rationale set forth in 2013 Performance Assessment above, the potential subjective component of equity-based incentive compensation with respect to 2013 was awarded to each executive officer as follows: 150% for Messrs. C. Brown and Horne; 175% for Mr. Westcott; and 140% for Messrs. McGraw and LeRoy. The number of subjective phantom units granted are based on the 20-day trading average prior to January 1, 2014, or \$27.27. The only condition to vesting will be that the award recipient remains in the service of the Partnership until the end of the respective vesting period. The vesting of service-based equity-based awards, once granted, is not subject to the attainment of any performance criteria.

*Objective Equity Compensation.* The number of phantom units for the objective component of equity-based incentive compensation was granted as prescribed by the Compensation Policy at the maximum level, in an amount based on the average closing price of Partnership units for the 20 trading day period ended the last trading day prior to January 1, 2014, or \$27.27. As set forth in the Compensation Policy, the objective or performance-based component is granted each year at the maximum percentage listed in the table above but the amount vested at the end of the three-year vesting period will be determined in accordance with a formula based on the objective total unitholder return measures achieved during the three-year period prior to the applicable vesting date. If none or only a portion of phantom units vest as a result of target levels not being met, such number of phantom units will be forfeited.

#### *Equity-Based Incentive Compensation under the 2010 Compensation Policy*

*Subjective or Service-Based Component.* The subjective or service-based component is determined by a subjective evaluation of prior fiscal year performance and, with respect to each executive officer, may be awarded up to the maximum percentage of annual salary as set forth in the table below. Once granted, the only condition to vesting will be that the executive officer remain in the service of the Partnership until the end of the respective vesting period. Under the 2010 Compensation Policy, equity-based incentive compensation awarded under this component and associated DERs vest ratably in 1/3 tranches over a three-year period and are not subject to the attainment of any performance criteria.

*Objective or Performance-Based Component under the 2010 Compensation Policy.* The objective component was granted each year at the maximum percentage amount listed in the table below, but is subject to 1/3 vesting each year in accordance with an objective performance-related formula (as set forth under *Calculation of Vesting of Objective Component of Equity-Based Compensation under the 2010 Compensation Policy* below) based on our absolute total unitholder return each year and our total unitholder return each year compared to the total unitholder returns of a group of our peers as well as the total unitholder returns of a broader group of MLPs. If none or only a portion of phantom units vest as a result of target levels not being met, the unvested portion of phantom units will be forfeited.

## Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

All equity-based incentive compensation awards are phantom units, with associated DERs, up to the specified percentage of annual salary as set forth in the following table.

Named Executive Officer	Title	Maximum Value of Phantom Units as a Percentage of 2012 Annual Salary		
		Subjective	Objective	Total
Cary D. Brown	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer	110%	165%	275%
James Daniel Westcott	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	60%	90%	150%
Paul T. Horne	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	70%	105%	175%
Kyle A. McGraw	Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer	70%	105%	175%
Dan G. LeRoy	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	30%	45%	75%

Under the 2010 Compensation Policy, the number of phantom units granted was determined by dividing the dollar amount of the intended grant value by the average closing price of Partnership units over the 20 trading days preceding the date of grant in the case of the subjective unit grant. In the case of the objective unit grant, the average closing price of Partnership units was calculated for the 20 trading day period ended the last trading day prior to January 1st in the year of the grant. All phantom unit grants vest in three equal tranches over a three-year period, with each tranche to vest on or about the first, second and third anniversary of the initial grant date or such date as determined by the compensation committee.

Under each compensation policy, with respect to the objective component only, the actual number vested will be determined based on the three-step formula set forth below.

With respect to all phantom unit grants, DERs accumulate and accrue based on the assumed 100% vesting. With respect to the objective component only, the actual amounts payable are based solely on the number of vested underlying phantom units.

### *Calculation of Vesting of Objective Component of Equity-Based Compensation under the 2010 Compensation Policy*

At the vesting date of each one-third tranche of the objective or performance-based component of equity-based compensation, the number of phantom units to vest each year is determined based on the following three-step process, with the total vested amount for each year to be determined by adding the values arrived at in Step 1 and Step 2.

Step 1: 50% of the performance-based award will be a function of the Total Unitholder Return for the Partnership ( Legacy TUR ) and the percentile rank of the Legacy TUR among such upstream master limited partnership ( MLP ) peer companies as determined by the compensation committee at the beginning of each fiscal year ( Peer Group ). The Legacy TUR or the Total Unitholder Return for any entity in the Peer Group for any performance period means the percentage increase in the value of a \$100 investment in a unit or common unit purchased at the average closing price of such a unit or common unit over the 20 trading days prior to January 1 of the fiscal year immediately preceding the year in which vesting occurs, assuming such investment is liquidated on the January 1 of the fiscal year in which vesting occurs, at a price that is the average price of the unit or common unit over the 20 trading days prior to the liquidation, plus any cash distributions paid during the fiscal year prior to which vesting occurs. The following matrix will be used to determine the Legacy TUR vs. Peer Group portion of the award. The total value to vest each year is the sum of the results derived from each of the two grids shown below.



Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

75 <sup>th</sup> - 100 <sup>th</sup> %ile	25%	40%	60%	75%	85%	100%
50 <sup>th</sup> - <75 <sup>th</sup> %ile	15%	35%	50%	60%	75%	85%
25 <sup>th</sup> - <50 <sup>th</sup> %ile	0%	15%	35%	50%	60%	75%
<25 <sup>th</sup> %ile	0%	0%	15%	25%	40%	50%
	< 8.0%	8.0%	12.0%	15.0%	17.5%	20%+
	Legacy TUR					

\* For the 2012 performance period, the Peer Group consisted of Breitburn Energy Partners L.P.; EV Energy Partners, L.P.; Linn Energy, LLC; LRR Energy, L.P.; Memorial Production Partners LP; Mid-Con Energy Partners, LP; QR Energy, LP; Pioneer Southwest Energy Partners L.P. and Vanguard Natural Resources, LLC. If any company in the Peer Group ceases to be publicly traded during any performance period, the compensation committee will adjust the composition of the Peer Group as it deems appropriate.

To determine the performance-based awards earned for this Legacy TUR vs. Peer Group component, the percentage determined in accordance with the performance grid (using straight-line interpolation between the percentages given above) is multiplied by 50% and multiplied by the maximum number of phantom units available for vesting that particular year.

Step 2: 50% of the performance-based award will be a function of the Legacy TUR and the percentile rank of the Partnership among a group of MLPs included in the Alerian MLP Index (such group of MLPs as determined by the compensation committee, excluding publicly traded general partners of MLPs and shipping companies) (the Adjusted Alerian Index). The following matrix will be used to determine the Legacy TUR vs. Adjusted Alerian Index portion of the award.

90 <sup>th</sup> %ile	30%	50%	60%	75%	85%	100%
75 <sup>th</sup> %ile	15%	40%	50%	60%	75%	85%
50 <sup>th</sup> %ile	0%	25%	40%	50%	60%	75%
25 <sup>th</sup> %ile	0%	15%	25%	40%	50%	60%
<25 <sup>th</sup> %ile	0%	0%	0%	15%	30%	50%
	< 8.0%	8.0%	12.0%	15.0%	17.5%	20%+
	Legacy TUR					

\*\* Adjusted Alerian Index means a subset of companies included in the Alerian MLP Index as determined by the compensation committee and excludes publicly traded general partners of MLPs and shipping companies, as of the beginning of each fiscal year. The calculation of the Adjusted Alerian Index along with calculation of percentile results and the Legacy TUR percentile ranking is subject to third-party review.

To determine the performance-based awards earned on this Legacy TUR vs. Adjusted Alerian Index component, the percentage earned in accordance with the above matrix (using straight-line interpolation between the percentages set forth in the matrix) is multiplied by 50% and multiplied by the maximum number of phantom units available for vesting that particular year.

Step 3: The respective award values arrived at by performing the calculations set forth in Step 1 and Step 2 above will be added to determine the total vested portion of the performance-based equity award with respect to a particular fiscal year.

**2013 Phantom Unit Grants under the 2010 Compensation Policy**

On March 7, 2013, in accordance with the 2010 Compensation Policy, the compensation committee approved the following phantom unit awards and associated DERs for Mr. C. Brown, and, with respect to the

remaining named executive officers, recommended the following phantom unit awards and associated DERs to the Board and the Board approved such awards:

Named Executive Officer	2012 Salary	2013 Phantom Unit Grants (and associated DERs)				
		Subjective Grant (Based on 2012 Performance)			Objective Performance-Based Grant	
		Subjective Factor(a)	Subjective Award	Phantom Units(b)	Objective Factor(a)	Maximum Phantom Units(c)
Cary D. Brown	\$450,000	110%	100%	19,105	165%	31,569
James Daniel Westcott	\$275,000	60%	100%	6,368	90%	10,523
Paul T. Horne	\$300,000	70%	100%	8,105	105%	13,393
Kyle A. McGraw	\$300,000	70%	100%	8,105	105%	13,393
Dan G. LeRoy	\$230,000	30%	100%	2,663	45%	4,401

- (a) Represents percentage of 2012 salary effective March 1, 2012 or the dates of hire for Messrs. Westcott and LeRoy.
- (b) Based on the 20-day average closing price of Partnership units ended on the last trading day prior to March 7, 2013, or \$25.91. Subjective grant phantom units vest in one-third tranches over three years. The first one-third tranche vested on February 18, 2014. These phantom units are service-based and are not subject to any performance criteria.
- (c) Based on the 20-day average closing price of Partnership units prior to January 1, 2013, or \$23.52. Represents maximum number of phantom units available to vest in one-third tranches over three years, pending attainment of specified performance criteria. Unvested phantom units and associated DERs will be forfeited. In accordance with the performance criteria, 87.72% of the first one-third tranche of these phantom units vested on February 18, 2014, and the remaining phantom units of the first one-third tranche were forfeited.

**2012 Phantom Unit Grants under the 2010 Compensation Policy**

On February 1, 2012, in accordance with the 2010 Compensation Policy, the compensation committee approved the following phantom unit awards and associated DERs for Mr. C. Brown, and, with respect to the remaining named executive officers, recommended the following phantom unit awards to the Board and the Board approved such awards on February 2, 2012.

Named Executive Officer	2011 Salary	2012 Phantom Unit Grants (and associated DERs)				
		Subjective Grant (Based on 2011 Performance)			Objective Performance-Based Grant	
		Subjective Factor(a)	Subjective Award	Phantom Units(b)	Objective Factor(a)	Maximum Phantom Units(c)
Cary D. Brown	\$400,000	100%	85%	11,872	150%	22,043
Paul T. Horne	\$269,000	60%	85%	4,797	90%	8,894
Kyle A. McGraw	\$252,000	50%	85%	3,745	75%	6,943

- (a) Represents percentage of 2011 salary effective March 1, 2011.
- (b) Subjective phantom units vest in one-third tranches over three years. The second one-third tranche vested on February 18, 2014. These phantom units are service-based and are not subject to performance criteria.
- (c) Represents maximum number of phantom units available to vest in one-third tranches over three years, pending attainment of specified performance criteria. Unvested phantom units and associated DERs will be forfeited. In accordance with the performance criteria, 87.72% of the second one-third tranche of these phantom units vested on February 18, 2014, and the remaining phantom units of the second one-third tranche were forfeited. None of the first one-third tranche of these phantom units granted vested on February 18, 2013, and all phantom units of the first one-third tranche were forfeited.

**2012 Restricted and Unrestricted Unit Grants**

In accordance with his employment agreement, Mr. Westcott was granted 81,000 service-based restricted units on September 24, 2012, none of which are subject to performance criteria. 7,000 of these restricted units vested on September 24, 2013, 14,000 of these restricted units are scheduled to vest in two equal tranches on the second and third anniversaries of the grant date, and 60,000 of these restricted units are scheduled to vest on the fifth anniversary of the grant date.

In accordance with his employment agreement, Mr. LeRoy was granted 10,000 service-based restricted units, none of which are subject to performance criteria, as well as 2,500 unrestricted units on May 9, 2012. 2,000 of these restricted units vested of May 19, 2013, 2,000 of these restricted units are scheduled to vest on May 19, 2014, and 6,000 of these restricted units are scheduled to vest on May 19, 2017.

**2011 Phantom Unit Grants under the 2010 Compensation Policy**

On February 18, 2011, in accordance with the 2010 Compensation Policy the compensation committee approved the following phantom unit awards for Mr. C. Brown, and, with respect to the remaining named executive officers, recommended the following phantom unit awards to the Board and the Board approved such awards:

Named Executive Officer	2010 Salary	2011 Phantom Unit Grants (and associated DERs)				
		Subjective Grant (Based on 2010 Performance)			Objective Performance-Based Grant	
		Subjective Factor(a)	Subjective Award	Phantom Units(b)	Objective Factor(a)	Maximum Phantom Units(c)
Cary D. Brown	\$364,000	100%	100%	12,385	150%	20,192
Paul T. Horne	\$258,000	60%	100%	5,267	90%	8,587
Kyle A. McGraw	\$242,000	50%	100%	4,117	75%	6,712

- (a) Represents percentage of 2010 salary effective March 1, 2010.
- (b) Subjective phantom units vest in one-third tranches over three years. The third one-third tranche of these phantom unit grants vested on February 18, 2014. These phantom units were service-based and were not subject to performance criteria.
- (c) Represents maximum number of phantom units that were available to vest in one-third tranches over three years, pending attainment of specified performance criteria. Unvested phantom units and associated DERs were forfeited. In accordance with such performance criteria, 87.72% of the third one-third tranche of these phantom units vested on February 18, 2014, and the remaining phantom units of the third one-third tranche were forfeited. None of the second one-third tranche of these phantom units granted vested on February 18, 2013, and all phantom units of the second one-third tranche were forfeited. 32.27% of the first one-third tranche of these phantom unit grants vested on February 18, 2012, and the remaining phantom units of the first one-third tranche were forfeited.

**2014 Objective Phantom Unit Vesting under the 2010 Compensation Policy**

In accordance with the calculation of the objective component of equity compensation as set forth in the 2010 Compensation Policy, the first tranche of phantom units granted to each executive officer on March 7, 2013, the second tranche of phantom units granted to each executive officer on February 1 and 2, 2012 and the third tranche of phantom units granted to each executive officer on February 18, 2011 vested on February 18, 2014 in the amounts set forth below.

The Performance Factor is determined based on the Partnership's performance during 2013 as measured by the Partnership's TUR, the Partnership's TUR compared to the TUR of an index of other MLPs, and the Partnership's TUR ranking among its peer group.

Executive Officer	Objective Grant		
	Maximum Phantom Units	Performance Factor(2)	Phantom Units Vested
	Subject to Vesting(1)		
Cary D. Brown	24,601	87.72%	21,581
James Daniel Westcott	3,508	87.72%	3,077
Paul T. Horne	10,292	87.72%	9,029
Kyle A. McGraw	9,016	87.72%	7,909
Dan G. LeRoy	1,467	87.72%	1,287

- (1) Represents one-third of the total phantom units granted pursuant to the objective component of the 2010 Compensation Policy.
- (2) Based on the Partnership's TUR for 2013 of 25.8%, Legacy ranks in the 80.0 percentile among its Peer Group (as defined in the 2010 Compensation Policy) in TUR and in the 51.1 percentile rank among the Adjusted Alerian MLP Index (as defined in the 2010 Compensation Policy) in TUR.

#### Amended and Restated Legacy Reserves LP Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP)

Long-term incentive compensation awards are administered through our LTIP adopted in March 2006 and amended and restated on August 17, 2007. The plan is administered by the compensation committee and permits the grant of awards resulting in the issuance of an aggregate of 2,000,000 units. The purpose of the plan is to promote the interests of our unitholders by encouraging our employees, directors and other service providers to acquire or increase their equity interest in us, thereby giving them the added incentive to work toward our continued growth and success. The plan permits awards of unit grants, restricted units, phantom units, unit options, unit appreciation rights, performance based units and other forms of equity compensation.

As of December 31, 2013, grants of awards, net of forfeitures, covering 1,638,158 units have been made, including 437,720 restricted units, 266,014 unit options, 627,043 unit appreciation rights, 195,143 phantom units and 112,238 units primarily issued to directors. We selected these types of awards because of the expectation by most of our employees as well as our directors that part of their compensation would be derived from the growth in value of Partnership units.

Our Board of Directors, or its compensation committee, in its discretion may terminate, suspend or discontinue the LTIP at any time with respect to any award that has not yet been granted. Our Board of Directors, or its compensation committee, also has the right to alter or amend the LTIP or any part of the plan from time to time, including increasing the number of units that may be granted, subject to unitholder approval as required by the exchange upon which the units are listed at that time. However, no change in any outstanding grant may be made that would materially impair the rights of the participant without the consent of the participant.

#### Unit Grants

The LTIP permits the grant of units. A unit grant is a grant of units that vests immediately upon issuance.

#### Restricted Units and Phantom Units

A restricted unit is a unit that is subject to forfeiture prior to the vesting of the award. A phantom unit is a notional unit that entitles the grantee to receive a unit upon the vesting of the phantom unit. The compensation committee may make grants under the plan of restricted units and phantom units to employees, consultants and directors containing such terms, consistent with the plan, as the compensation committee shall determine. The compensation committee will determine the period over which the restricted units and phantom units granted to employees, consultants and directors will vest. The compensation committee may base vesting upon the achievement of specified financial objectives or on the grantee's completion of a period of service. In addition, the restricted units and phantom units will vest upon a change of control of the Partnership or our general partner, unless provided otherwise by the compensation committee in the award agreement.

If the grantee's employment, service relationship or membership on the Board of Directors terminates for any reason, the grantee's restricted units and phantom units will be automatically forfeited unless, and to the extent, the compensation committee provides otherwise in the award agreement or waives (in whole or in part) any such forfeiture. Units to be delivered in connection with the grant of restricted units or upon the vesting of phantom units may be units acquired by us on the open market, or from any other person, or we may issue new units, or any combination of the foregoing. Our general partner is entitled to reimbursement by us for the cost incurred in acquiring units. Thus, the cost of the restricted units and the delivery of units upon the vesting of phantom units will be borne by us. If we issue new units in connection with the grant of restricted units or upon vesting of the phantom units, the total number of units outstanding will increase. The compensation committee, in its discretion, may provide for tandem distribution rights with respect to restricted units and grant tandem DERs with respect to phantom units that entitle the holder to receive cash equal to any cash distributions made on units prior to the vesting of a restricted or phantom unit, however, any such cash distributions will accumulate and accrue based on the assumed 100% vesting of any such restricted or phantom units but will not be payable until such vesting occurs. The actual amounts payable pursuant to such tandem distribution rights or tandem DERs will be based solely on the number of underlying restricted or phantom units that actually vest.

#### *Unit Options and Unit Appreciation Rights*

The LTIP permits the grant of options covering units and the grant of unit appreciation rights. A unit appreciation right is an award that, upon exercise, entitles the participant to receive the excess of the fair market value of a unit on the exercise date over the exercise price established for the unit appreciation right. Such excess may be paid in units, cash, or a combination thereof, as determined by the compensation committee in its discretion. The compensation committee will be able to make grants of unit options and unit appreciation rights under the plan to employees, consultants and directors containing such terms as the committee shall determine consistent with the plan. Unit options and unit appreciation rights may not have an exercise price that is less than the fair market value of the units on the date of grant. In general, unit options and unit appreciation rights granted will become exercisable over a period determined by the compensation committee. In addition, the unit options and unit appreciation rights will become exercisable upon a change in control of the Partnership or our general partner, unless provided otherwise by the committee in the award agreement. The compensation committee, in its discretion may grant tandem DERs with respect to unit options and unit appreciation rights.

Upon exercise of a unit option (or a unit appreciation right settled in units), we will acquire units on the open market or from any other person or we may issue new units, or any combination of the foregoing. If we issue new units upon exercise of the unit options (or a unit appreciation right settled in units), the total number of units outstanding will increase, and our general partner will pay us the proceeds it receives from an optionee upon exercise of a unit option. The availability of unit options and unit appreciation rights is intended to furnish additional compensation to employees, consultants and directors and to align their economic interests with those of unitholders.

#### *Unit Option Practices*

Although our LTIP permits us to award options under a variety of circumstances, we have not granted unit options since early 2007 and do not anticipate granting any further unit options. We have not back-dated any option awards. The option grants we have made to date had an exercise price that corresponded with the offering price to purchasers of our units in a private offering we conducted in March 2006, the price at which our units traded on the Portal Market, the price to the public of our units in our January 2007 initial public offering, or the market value of our units at the close of trading on the date of the grant. Any option grants we may make in the future will have an exercise price equal to the market value of our units at the close of trading on the date of the grant. We have chosen to replace the use of unit options in the future with unit appreciation rights to reduce the administrative costs associated with unit options.

#### *Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits*

We maintain a 401(k) plan. The plan permits eligible full-time employees, including named executive officers, to make voluntary, pre-tax contributions to the plan up to a specified percentage of compensation, subject to applicable tax limitations. We may make a discretionary matching contribution to the plan for each eligible employee equal to 6.0% of an employee's annual compensation not in excess of \$245,000 for 2011, \$250,000 for 2012 and \$255,000 for 2013, subject to applicable tax limitations. Eligible employees who elect to participate in the

plan are generally vested in any matching contribution after commencement of employment with the company. The plan is intended to be qualified under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code so that contributions to the plan, and income earned on plan contributions, are not taxable to employees until withdrawn from the plan, and so that contributions, if any, will be deductible when made.

We maintain an employee benefit plan that provides our employees with the opportunity to enroll in our health, dental and life insurance plans. We pay all of our employees' health and life insurance premiums. Our dental plan requires the employee to pay a portion of the premium, and we pay the remainder. We provide these benefits so that we will remain competitive in the employment market and offer the benefits to all employees on the same basis.

*Unit Ownership Guidelines*

On November 19, 2013, the nominating, governance and conflicts committee recommended and the Board of Directors approved a policy of unit ownership by executive officers and directors. Target ownership, which is based on market values, is in excess of the following thresholds: 5 times annual cash retainers for directors, 6 times base salary for the Chief Executive Officer, and 3 times base salary for all other executive officers. To accumulate this target ownership, executive officers and directors are not required to purchase units in the open market, but are generally required to retain at least 50% of each vesting of units or grant of unrestricted units, net of reductions for taxes due, until such target ownership is achieved.

As of December 31, 2013, our named executive officers as a group beneficially owned 6,619,733 units. Our named executive officers beneficially own approximately 11.5% of our 57,514,735 issued and outstanding units.

**COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT**

The compensation committee of the board of directors of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC held three meetings during fiscal year 2013. The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based upon such review, the related discussions and such other matters deemed relevant and appropriate by the compensation committee, the compensation committee has recommended to the board of directors of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

Members of the compensation committee of the board of directors of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC:

Kyle D. Vann (Chairman)

William R. Granberry

William D. Sullivan

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

## Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the aggregate compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to our named executive officers for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 and reflects the total compensation paid to the executive officers of our general partner.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Unit Awards	Option Awards	All Other Compensation		Total
		\$(a)	(\$)	\$(b)	(\$)	(\$)		(\$)
Cary D. Brown <i>Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer</i>	2013	\$ 483,333	\$ 544,740	\$ 804,765	\$	\$ 101,357	(c)	\$ 1,934,195
	2012	\$ 441,803	\$ 431,174	\$ 625,110	\$	\$ 81,616	(c)	\$ 1,579,703
	2011	\$ 394,000	\$ 341,528	\$ 536,988	\$	\$ 71,826	(c)	\$ 1,344,342
James Daniel Westcott(h) <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	2013	\$ 295,833	\$ 284,556	\$ 268,248	\$	\$ 222,085	(d)	\$ 1,070,722
	2012	\$ 74,385	\$ 209,564	\$ 2,338,470	\$	\$ 51,132	(d)	\$ 2,673,551
	2011	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		\$
Kyle A. McGraw <i>Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer</i>	2013	\$ 341,667	\$ 240,982	\$ 341,415	\$	\$ 61,252	(e)	\$ 985,316
	2012	\$ 292,131	\$ 182,892	\$ 197,026	\$	\$ 53,051	(e)	\$ 725,100
	2011	\$ 250,333	\$ 136,922	\$ 178,503	\$	\$ 51,606	(e)	\$ 617,364
Paul T. Horne <i>Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer</i>	2013	\$ 341,667	\$ 261,982	\$ 341,415	\$	\$ 63,976	(f)	\$ 1,009,040
	2012	\$ 294,918	\$ 176,892	\$ 252,383	\$	\$ 57,787	(f)	\$ 781,980
	2011	\$ 267,167	\$ 172,418	\$ 228,365	\$	\$ 54,982	(f)	\$ 722,932
Dan G. LeRoy(i) <i>Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary</i>	2013	\$ 246,667	\$ 88,565	\$ 112,181	\$	\$ 59,574	(g)	\$ 506,987
	2012	\$ 153,962	\$ 101,713	\$ 354,200	\$	\$ 36,960	(g)	\$ 646,835
	2011	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		\$

(a) For Messrs. C. Brown, Westcott, McGraw, Horne and LeRoy, annual salary increases (where applicable) for 2013, 2012 and 2011 became effective on March 1, 2013, March 1, 2012 and March 1, 2011, respectively. The salaries for Messrs. Westcott and LeRoy became effective on their first days of employment, which were September 24, 2012 and May 1, 2012, respectively.

(b) Phantom units were granted to officers on March 7, 2013, February 1 and 2, 2012 and February 18, 2011. The amount shown reflects the grant date fair value of these awards based upon the Financial Accounting Standards board's authoritative guidance relating to stock compensation. The assumptions used in calculating these amounts are incorporated by reference to Note 13 Unit Based Compensation to the financial statements in our annual report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 21, 2014. In the prior years Summary Compensation Table, based on then prevailing rules, the value of these awards reflected the grant date fair value of the amounts expensed each year, for financial reporting purposes. On December 16, 2009, the SEC adopted a final rule that requires reporting all stock and option awards granted during the fiscal year at the full grant date fair value. The value for each of the three years in this Summary Compensation Table reflects the full grant date fair value. Assuming all performance and service conditions are met at the maximum possible level, the grant date fair value of the unit awards granted in 2013 pursuant to the 2010 Compensation Policy for each named executive officer is as follows: Mr. C. Brown: \$1,322,591; Mr. Westcott: \$440,855; Mr. McGraw: \$561,098; Mr. Horne: \$561,098; and Mr. LeRoy: \$184,370. This table also reflects the grant date fair values of 81,000 restricted units granted to Mr. Westcott on September 24, 2012; and 10,000 restricted units and 2,500 unrestricted units granted to Mr. LeRoy on May 9, 2012.



- (c) Reflects for 2013: \$15,300 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$23,846 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$62,211 of unit distributions received by Mr. Brown on his vested phantom units. Reflects for 2012: \$15,000 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$18,218 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$48,398 of unit distributions received by Mr. Brown on his vested phantom units. Reflects for 2011: \$14,700 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$18,342 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$38,784 of unit distributions received by Mr. Brown on his phantom units.

- (d) Reflects for 2013: \$15,300 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$23,770 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$183,015 of unit distributions received by Mr. Westcott on his unvested restricted units. Reflects for 2012: \$5,367 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$45,765 of unit distributions received by Mr. Westcott on his unvested restricted units.
- (e) Reflects for 2013: \$15,300 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$24,409 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$21,543 of unit distributions received by Mr. McGraw on his vested phantom units. Reflects for 2012: \$15,000 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$20,948 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$17,103 of unit distributions received by Mr. McGraw on his vested phantom units. Reflects for 2011: \$14,700 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$18,370 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$18,536 of unit distributions received by Mr. McGraw on his phantom units.
- (f) Reflects for 2013: \$15,300 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$21,136 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$27,540 of unit distributions received by Mr. Horne on his vested phantom units. Reflects for 2012: \$15,000 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$20,947 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$21,840 of unit distributions received by Mr. Horne on his vested phantom units. Reflects for 2011: \$14,700 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$18,825 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$21,457 of unit distributions received by Mr. Horne on his phantom units.
- (g) Reflects for 2013: \$15,300 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$23,504 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$20,770 of unit distributions received by Mr. LeRoy on his unvested restricted units. Reflects for 2012: \$14,125 of 401(k) employer matching contributions, \$11,585 of health, life and disability insurance premiums and \$11,250 of unit distributions received by Mr. LeRoy on his unvested restricted units.
- (h) Mr. Westcott was appointed as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, effective September 24, 2012.
- (i) Mr. LeRoy was appointed as Vice President and General Counsel, effective May 1, 2012, and was also appointed as Secretary, effective May 9, 2012.

**Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2013**

The following table sets forth the payments that may be made under the 2010 Compensation Policy in our LTIP.

Name	Grant Date(a)	Date Taken(b)	Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards (in Units)			All Other Awards: Number of Units(c)	All Other Awards: Securities Underlying Options	Exercise or Base Price of Awards (\$/Unit)	Grant Date Fair Value of Unit and Option Awards
			Threshold	Target	Maximum				
Cary D. Brown	03/07/13	03/07/13		15,785	31,569	19,105	\$	\$	\$804,765
James Daniel Westcott	03/07/13	03/07/13		5,262	10,523	6,368	\$	\$	\$268,248
Kyle A. McGraw	03/07/13	03/07/13		6,697	13,393	8,105	\$	\$	\$341,415
Paul T. Horne	03/07/13	03/07/13		6,697	13,393	8,105	\$	\$	\$341,415
Dan G. LeRoy	03/07/13	03/07/13		2,201	4,401	2,663	\$	\$	\$112,181

- (a) Reflects grants made in fiscal year 2013.
- (b) Reflects the date on which the compensation committee or Board of Directors was deemed to take action in making a grant of phantom, restricted or other units.
- (c) Phantom units for Messrs. Brown, Westcott, McGraw, Horne and LeRoy vest annually in one-third increments beginning on the first anniversary of their respective grant dates or other such date as determined by the compensation committee, and are payable in units. For 2013, the numbers granted reflects the subjective portion under the 2010 Compensation Policy.

**Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End**

The following table reflects all of the outstanding equity awards held by our named executive officers as of December 31, 2013.

Name	Equity Incentive Plan Awards	
	Number of Unearned Units That Have Not Vested (#)(a)(b)	Market Value of Unearned Units That Have Not Vested \$(c)
Cary D. Brown	84,141	\$ 2,369,411
James Daniel Westcott	90,891	\$ 2,559,491
Kyle A. McGraw	32,231	\$907,625
Paul T. Horne	35,242	\$992,415
Dan G. LeRoy	15,064	\$424,202

- (a) Includes 65,209 phantom units that were granted on February 18, 2011, February 1 and 2, 2012 and March 7, 2013 to Messrs. C. Brown, McGraw and Horne, as well as phantom units that were granted on March 7, 2013 to Messrs. Westcott and LeRoy, which vest annually in one-third increments, beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date or other such date as determined by the compensation committee, over a three-year period. Also includes 110,360 phantom units that were granted to Messrs. C. Brown, McGraw and Horne, on February 18, 2011, February 1 and 2, 2012 and March 7, 2013, as well as phantom units that were granted on March 7, 2013 to Messrs. Westcott and LeRoy, which represent the maximum number of phantom units available to vest in one-third tranches over the next three years, pending attainment of specified performance criteria. Unvested phantom units will be forfeited. In accordance with such performance criteria, 32.27% of the first one-third tranche of the 2011 phantom unit grants vested on February 18, 2012, and none of the first one-third tranche of the 2012 phantom unit grants and the second one-third tranche of the 2011 phantom unit grants vested on

February 18, 2013.

- (b) Includes 74,000 restricted units granted to Mr. Westcott on September 24, 2012, and 8,000 restricted units granted to Mr. LeRoy on May 9, 2012.
- (c) Reflects the value of phantom and restricted units based on the closing price of our units on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on December 31, 2013 of \$28.16.

### **Employment Agreements**

Through our wholly owned subsidiary Legacy Reserves Services, Inc., we have employment agreements with Messrs. C. Brown, Horne, McGraw, Westcott and LeRoy. These agreements establish that the executive officers are employed by Legacy Reserves Services, Inc. The agreements with Messrs. C. Brown, Horne and McGraw became effective upon the completion of our private placement on March 15, 2006, and Mr. LeRoy's employment agreement became effective May 1, 2012. Mr. Westcott's employment agreement became effective September 24, 2012. All agreements are terminable either by the executive or by us at any time.

#### ***Base Salaries***

2010-2011. On January 11, 2010, the compensation committee of our general partner approved an increased salary for Mr. C. Brown of \$364,000 effective March 1, 2010. On February 18, 2010, at the recommendation of the compensation committee, the Board of Directors approved increased salaries for each of the other named executive officers effective March 1, 2010, as follows: Mr. McGraw, \$242,000 and Mr. Horne, \$258,000.

2011-2012. On February 15, 2011, the compensation committee of our general partner approved an increased salary for Mr. C. Brown of \$400,000 effective March 1, 2011. On February 18, 2011, upon the recommendation of the compensation committee, the Board of Directors approved the following increased salaries for each of our named executive officers effective March 1, 2011, as follows: Mr. Horne, \$269,000 and Mr. McGraw, \$252,000.

2012-2013. On February 1, 2012, the compensation committee of our general partner approved an increased salary for Mr. C. Brown of \$425,000 effective March 1, 2012. On February 2, 2012, upon the recommendation of the compensation committee, the Board of Directors approved salaries for each of the other named executive officers effective March 1, 2012 as follows: Mr. Horne, \$300,000 and Mr. McGraw, \$280,000. On March 1, 2012, the Board appointed Micah C. Foster as Chief Accounting Officer effective April 1, 2012. Also, the compensation committee increased Mr. C. Brown's salary to \$450,000 effective March 1, 2012 and the Board of Directors, upon recommendation of the compensation committee, increased Mr. McGraw's salary to \$300,000 effective March 1, 2012. The Board appointed Mr. LeRoy as Vice President and General Counsel effective May 1, 2012, and also appointed him as Secretary effective May 9, 2012 with a base salary of \$230,000. The Board appointed Mr. Westcott as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer effective September 24, 2012 with a base salary of \$275,000.

2013-2014. On March 7, 2013, the compensation committee of our general partner approved an increased salary for Mr. C. Brown of \$490,000 effective March 1, 2013. On March 7, 2013, upon the recommendation of the compensation committee, the Board of Directors approved salaries for each of the other named executive officers effective March 1, 2013 as follows: Mr. Westcott, \$300,000; Mr. Horne, \$350,000; Mr. McGraw, \$350,000; and Mr. LeRoy, \$250,000.

The employment agreements provide that each executive officer is entitled to participate in equity and non-equity incentive programs that we may establish from time to time and incentive compensation will be paid at the discretion of the Board of Directors. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Components of Compensation Named Executive Officer Compensation.

#### ***Intellectual Property and Non-Compete Clauses***

The employment agreements with each of our named executive officers require that the executive officer must promptly disclose and assign any individual rights that he may have in any intellectual property and business opportunities to us. For purposes of the employment agreements, intellectual property includes inventions,

discoveries, processes, designs, methods, substances, articles, computer programs, or improvements and business opportunities include business ideas, prospects, proposals or other opportunities pertaining to the lease, acquisition, exploration, production, gathering or marketing of hydrocarbons and related products and the exploration potential of geographical areas on which hydrocarbon exploration prospects are located. Under the non-compete provisions of these agreements, the executive officers are prohibited from engaging or participating, with any person or entity, in any activity pertaining to the leasing, acquiring, exploring, producing, gathering or marketing of hydrocarbons during the term of the executive officer's employment and the executive officer may not invest in any other such business unless prior approval is granted in writing by our Board of Directors. The non-compete provisions limit the executives' right to engage in these activities for a period of 90 days after termination of employment in counties where we do business, 90 days in adjacent counties, and limit investment to \$500,000 in publicly traded companies engaged in similar businesses for a period of one year after termination unless such competitive activity is approved in writing by a majority of the independent directors of our Board of Directors. The employment agreements also prohibit the executive officer from soliciting any of our employees or customers for two years following termination.

The employment agreements prohibit the executive officers from engaging in or participating in any publicly traded partnership or limited liability company or privately held company contemplating an initial public offering as a limited partnership or a limited liability company that is in direct competition with us for one year following the termination of employment.

The non-compete provisions contained in the employment agreements will not apply to investments by the executive officers made prior to the effective date of their respective employment agreements, provided that the investments were identified in the employment agreement. In addition, the non-compete provisions will not apply if we terminate the executive officer's employment within one year following a change of control.

#### ***Severance and Change in Control Payments***

Pursuant to the terms of the employment agreements, we may be obligated to make severance payments to our named executive officers following the termination of their employment. These benefits are described below under **Benefits Payable Upon Termination or Change in Control**.

In the event that any payments to which any named executive officer is entitled become subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, then the Board shall provide for the payment of, or otherwise reimburse the executive for the amount of the excise tax. These gross-up payments will be in an amount such that, after payment by the named executive officer of all taxes, including any income tax or excise tax imposed on the gross-up payments, the named executive officer retains an amount equal to the payment before any excise tax is imposed. The gross-up payments, if applicable, will be in addition to any payments made below under **Severance Benefits** or **Change in Control Benefits**. Additionally, to the extent any payments to which any named executive officer is entitled is deemed to constitute non-qualified deferred compensation subject to Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, then we will have the discretion to adjust the terms of such payment or benefit as we deem necessary to comply with the requirements of Section 409A to avoid the imposition of any additional tax or other penalty or interest with respect to such payment or benefit under Section 409A.

#### ***Benefits Payable Upon Termination or Change in Control***

The following table presents, for each named executive officer, the potential post-employment payments and payments upon a change in control as of December 31, 2013. Set forth below the table is a description of certain post-employment arrangements with our named executive officers, including the severance benefits and change in control benefits to which they are entitled under their employment agreements.

Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

Named Executive Officer	Benefit	Before	After Change
		Change in Control w/o Cause or for Good Reason	in Control w/o Cause or for Good Reason
Cary D. Brown	Severance(a)	\$ 980,000	\$ 1,470,000
	Bonus(b)	\$ 975,914	\$ 1,463,871
	Benefits(c)	\$ 44,160	\$ 66,240
	Unit Options(d)	\$	\$
	Phantom Units(e)	\$ 2,369,411	\$ 2,369,411
	Estimated Tax Gross-Ups(f)(g)	\$ 1,097,863	\$ 1,437,147
James Daniel Westcott	Severance(a)	\$ 600,000	\$ 900,000
	Bonus(b)	\$ 494,120	\$ 741,180
	Benefits(c)	\$ 44,160	\$ 66,240
	Unit Options	\$	\$
	Phantom and Restricted Units(h)	\$ 2,559,491	\$ 2,559,491
	Estimated Tax Gross-Ups(f)(g)	\$ 1,030,550	\$ 1,223,643
Kyle A. McGraw	Severance(a)	\$ 700,000	\$ 1,050,000
	Bonus(b)	\$ 423,874	\$ 635,811
	Benefits(c)	\$ 45,456	\$ 68,184
	Unit Options(d)	\$	\$
	Phantom Units(e)	\$ 907,625	\$ 907,625
	Estimated Tax Gross-Ups(f)(g)	\$ 516,432	\$ 714,792
Paul T. Horne	Severance(a)	\$ 700,000	\$ 1,050,000
	Bonus(b)	\$ 438,874	\$ 658,311
	Benefits(c)	\$ 34,608	\$ 51,912
	Unit Options(d)	\$	\$
	Phantom Units(e)	\$ 992,415	\$ 992,415
	Estimated Tax Gross-Ups(f)(g)	\$ 525,542	\$ 742,606
Dan G. LeRoy	Severance(a)	\$ 500,000	\$ 750,000
	Bonus(b)	\$ 190,278	\$ 285,417
	Benefits(c)	\$ 44,160	\$ 66,240
	Unit Options	\$	\$
	Phantom and Restricted Units(h)	\$ 424,202	\$ 424,202
	Estimated Tax Gross-Ups(f)(g)	\$	\$ 383,458

- (a) If terminated without cause, or executive terminates with good reason, executive is entitled to an amount equal to two years' annual salary payable in 24 monthly payments, or three years' annual salary if termination occurs within one year of a change of control.
- (b) Executives are entitled to an average of bonus paid over past two years plus the pro-rata bonus earned in the year of termination but unpaid at the time of termination.
- (c) Executives are entitled to COBRA benefits for the shorter of the severance period or the time at which executive receives substantially similar benefits from a subsequent employer.
- (d) Messrs. C. Brown, McGraw and Horne exercised all of their outstanding options on March 11, 2010.
- (e) Reflects the market value on December 31, 2013 of the unvested phantom units granted on February 18, 2011, February 1 and 2, 2012, and March 7, 2013.
- (f) Assumes a federal income tax rate of 35%, an excise tax rate under Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code of 20% and a Medicare tax rate of 1.45% and that no payments will constitute reasonable compensation under Section 280G(b)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code.
- (g) Assumes that the executive is entitled to a full reimbursement by the Partnership of (i) any excise taxes that are imposed upon the executive as a result of a change in control,

(ii) any income and excise taxes that are imposed upon the executive as a result of reimbursement of the excise tax amount and (iii) any additional income and excise taxes that are imposed upon executive as a result of the reimbursement to the executive of any excise or income taxes.

(h)

Reflects the market value on December 31, 2013 of the unvested restricted units granted to Mr. Westcott on September 24, 2012 and to Mr. LeRoy on May 9, 2012, as well as unvested phantom units granted on March 7, 2013.

48

---



***Severance Benefits***

Under the employment agreements, we may be obligated to make severance payments following the termination of each executive officer's employment if we terminate him without cause or he terminates his employment for good reason, subject to certain cure periods. Cause is defined under each employment agreement as:

- the executive officer's conviction of or plea of nolo contendere to any felony or crime or offense causing substantial harm to the Partnership, general partner, or its direct or indirect subsidiaries, or involving acts of theft, fraud, embezzlement, moral turpitude or similar conducts;
- the executive officer's repeated intoxication by alcohol or drugs during the performance of his duties;
- the executive officer's malfeasance in the conduct of the executive's duties including, but not limited to, willful and intentional misuse or diversion of any funds, embezzlement or fraudulent or willful material misrepresentations or concealments on any written reports;
- the executive officer's material failure to perform the duties of his employment consistent with his position, expressly including the provisions of the employment agreements or material failure to follow or comply with the reasonable and lawful written directives of the Board;
- a material breach of the employment agreement; or
- a material breach by the executive officer of written policies of the Partnership, the general partner, or any of our direct or indirect subsidiaries.

Each named executive officer will have a 15-day cure period prior to termination for cause under these agreements.

Good reason is defined under each employment agreement as:

- a reduction in the executive officer's base salary;
- the relocation of the executive officer's primary place of employment to a location more than 20 miles from Midland, Texas; or
- any material reduction in the executive officer's title, authority or responsibilities.

If the employment of any named executive officer is terminated by us for cause or by the executive officer without good reason, we are not obligated to make any severance payments to the executive officer. The amount that an executive officer is entitled to receive upon a termination of his employment by us without cause or by the executive officer with good reason is based on the executive officer's salary and his incentive compensation. Under the severance provisions of each executive officer's employment agreement, they are each entitled to severance pay in the amount of two years' of annual base salary payable monthly at the highest rate in effect at any time during the 36 month period prior to termination, a lump sum payment equal to the average annual bonus of the two years preceding the termination and an amount equal to the executive's pro-rata bonus for the fiscal year in which the termination occurs, such pro-rata bonus amount to be paid in a lump sum within 30 days following the date of termination. In addition, the executive officers are entitled to the full costs of the executive's COBRA continuation coverage for the shorter of the severance period or the time when the executive receives substantially similar benefits from a subsequent employer. In addition, Messrs. C. Brown and McGraw would have the right to exercise one demand registration right each.

***Change in Control Benefits***

Pursuant to the employment agreements, we may be required to make payments to named executive officers upon a change in control, which occurs upon any of the following (provided that, with respect to Messrs.



## Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

Westcott and LeRoy, any such change in control qualifies as a change in ownership, change in effective control or change in ownership of a substantial portion of assets of the Partnership within the meaning of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended):

- the acquisition by any individual, entity or group (within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) or Section 14(d)(2) of the Exchange Act) ( Person ) of beneficial ownership (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act) of 35% or more of either (i) the then-outstanding equity interests of the Partnership (the Outstanding Legacy Equity ) or (ii) the combined voting power of the then-outstanding voting securities of the Partnership entitled to vote generally in the election of directors (the Outstanding Legacy Voting Securities ), provided that the following will not constitute a change of control: (A) any acquisition directly from the Partnership; (B) with respect to Messrs. C. Brown, McGraw and Horne only, any acquisition by the Partnership; (C) any acquisition by any employee benefit plan (or related trust) sponsored or maintained by the Partnership or any affiliated company; (D) any acquisition by any corporation or other entity pursuant to a transaction that complies with clauses (i), (ii) and (iii) below ; or (E) any acquisition of units from the Partnership arising out of or in connection with an initial public offering or private placement of the Partnership s securities;
- any time at which individuals who, as of the date of the agreement, constitute the Board (the Incumbent Board ) cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the Board, provided, however, that any individual becoming a director subsequent to the date of the agreement whose election, or nomination for election by the unitholders of the Partnership, was approved by a vote of at least a majority of the directors then comprising the Incumbent Board will be considered as though such individual were a member of the Incumbent Board, but excluding, for this purpose, any such individual whose initial assumption of office occurs as a result of an actual or threatened election contest with respect to the election or removal of directors or other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of a Person other than the Board;
- consummation of a reorganization, merger, statutory share exchange or consolidation or similar corporate transaction involving the Partnership or any of its subsidiaries, or, solely with respect to Messrs. C. Brown, McGraw and Horne, a sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Partnership or the acquisition of assets or equity interests of another entity by the Partnership or any of its Subsidiaries, or, solely with respect to Messrs. Westcott and LeRoy, a sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Partnership or any of its subsidiaries, in each case unless, following such transaction, (i) all or substantially all of the individuals and entities that were the beneficial owners of the Outstanding Legacy Equity and the Outstanding Legacy Voting Securities immediately prior to such transaction beneficially own, directly or indirectly, more than 50% of the then-outstanding equity interests and the combined voting power of the then-outstanding voting securities entitled to vote generally in the election of directors, as the case may be, of the corporation, resulting from such transaction (including, without limitation, a corporation or other entity that, as a result of such transaction, owns the Partnership or all or substantially all of the Partnership s assets either directly or through one or more subsidiaries) in substantially the same proportions as their ownership immediately prior to such transaction of the Outstanding Legacy Equity and the Outstanding Legacy Voting Securities, as the case may be, (ii) no Person (excluding any corporation resulting from such transaction or any employee benefit plan (or related trust) of the Partnership or such corporation or other entity resulting from such transaction) beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, 35% or more of, respectively, the then-outstanding equity interests of the corporation or other entity resulting from such transaction or the combined voting power of the then-outstanding voting securities of such corporation or other entity, except to the extent that such ownership existed prior to such transaction, and (iii) at least a majority of the members of the board of directors of the corporation or equivalent body of any other entity resulting from such transaction were members of the Incumbent Board at the time of the execution of the initial agreement or of the action of the Board providing for such transaction; or
- solely with respect to Messrs. C. Brown, McGraw and Horne, consummation of a complete liquidation or dissolution of the Partnership.

If a termination without cause or by the executive officer with good reason occurs within one year following a change in control, the executive officer will be entitled to a lump-sum payment in an amount equal to 36 months of his annual base salary (where each monthly amount equals one-twelfth of such executive's annual base salary), determined at the highest rate in effect at any time during the 36-month period prior to the termination. Such lump-sum payment shall be payable, with respect to Messrs. C. Brown, McGraw and Horne, within 30 days of the date of termination, and with respect to Messrs. Westcott and LeRoy, within 60 days following the date of termination, provided that if the 60-day period begins and ends in two distinct taxable years, any such payment shall not be made until the second taxable year. In addition, the executive will be entitled to receive an amount equal to the average annual bonus of the two years preceding the termination, an amount equal to the executive's accrued but unpaid base salary and other amounts reimbursable by the employer to the executive pursuant to the agreement (any such accrued but unpaid base salary or other reimbursable amount to be paid in a lump sum within 30 days following the date of termination), an amount equal to any accrued but unpaid bonus and a cash amount equal to the executive's pro-rata bonus for the fiscal year in which the date of termination occurs, in each case, payable at such time as bonuses of other executive officers are paid such bonuses, and the full costs of the executive's COBRA continuation coverage for the shorter of the severance period or the time when the executive receives substantially similar benefits from a subsequent employer.

### Option Exercises and Units Vested in 2013

None of our executive officers exercised options during 2013. On February 18, 2013, pursuant to the achievement of certain objective criteria, none of the objective phantom units vested which were granted to Messrs. C. Brown, McGraw and Horne on February 18, 2010, February 18, 2011, February 1, 2012 and February 2, 2012. On February 18, 2013, three one-third tranches of subjective phantom units vested which were granted to Messrs. C. Brown, McGraw and Horne on February 18, 2010, February 18, 2011, February 1, 2012 and February 2, 2012. In addition, 7,000 restricted units granted to Mr. Westcott on September 24, 2012, vested on September 24, 2013. Finally, 2,000 restricted units granted to Mr. LeRoy on May 9, 2012, vested on May 19, 2013.

Name	Unit Awards	
	Number of Units	
	Acquired On Vesting	Value Realized On Vesting
	(#)	\$(a)
Cary D. Brown	13,483	\$ 351,502
James Daniel Westcott	7,000	\$ 189,910
Kyle A. McGraw	4,572	\$ 119,192
Paul T. Horne	5,847	\$ 152,431
Dan G. LeRoy	2,000	\$ 54,220
	32,902	\$ 867,255

- (a) Represents the cash payments made to Messrs. C. Brown, McGraw and Horne upon vesting of the third tranche of the February 18, 2010 phantom unit grant, the second tranche of the February 18, 2011 phantom unit grant, the first tranche of the February 2, 2012 phantom unit grant for Messrs. McGraw and Horne and the first tranche of the February 1, 2012 phantom unit grant for Mr. C. Brown, as well as the value of the restricted units owned by Messrs. Westcott and LeRoy. The values of these phantom and restricted units were calculated using units vested times the closing market price of our units on the date of vesting or, if our units were not traded on the date of vesting, the closing market price of our units on the last trading date prior to vesting.

**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2013 with respect to the units that may be issued under our existing equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights(b)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available For Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plan
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders			
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders(a)	822,186	\$ 19.82	340,342
<b>Total</b>	<b>822,186</b>	<b>\$ 19.82</b>	<b>340,342</b>

(a) Please read Compensation Discussion and Analysis Components of Compensation Equity-Based Incentive Compensation for a description of the material features of the plan, including the awards that may be granted under the plan. This plan did not require approval by our limited partners since it was adopted prior to our initial public offering.

(b) Includes phantom units, unit options and unit appreciation rights. These phantom units will be settled in cash unless the compensation committee determines that they should be settled in units. These unit appreciation rights will be settled in cash or, at the discretion of the compensation committee, in units.

**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

Officers or employees of our general partner and its affiliates who also serve as directors of our general partner did not receive additional compensation for their Board service in 2013. In accordance with this policy, neither Cary D. Brown nor Kyle A. McGraw received any compensation for their service as a director in 2013. Each non-employee director and independent director was entitled to receive an annual retainer of \$40,000 and \$1,000 for each Board of Directors and committee meeting lasting less than one hour and \$1,500 for each Board of Directors and committee meeting lasting one hour or more for each meeting in excess of the four quarterly meetings scheduled each year.

Each non-employee director receives an annual grant of units valued at \$100,000, generally corresponding to the service period between each annual election of the Board members. In accordance with this policy, Messrs. D. Brown, Granberry, Lawrence, Sullivan, and Vann each received grants of 3,715 units on May 14, 2013.

In 2013, in addition to the annual retainer and units paid to Board members, the chairmen of our audit, conflicts, compensation, and nominating and governance committees each received an annual retainer for their additional service. Effective March 8, 2011, the Board of Directors combined the nominating and governance and conflicts committees. For 2013, Mr. Lawrence received \$25,000 as chairman of the audit committee, Mr. Granberry received \$10,000 as chairman of the nominating, governance and conflicts committee and Mr. Vann received \$15,000 as chairman of the compensation committee.

Our general partner's directors are eligible to receive awards under the LTIP but do not participate in any non-equity incentive plan, pension plan or deferred compensation plan. Each non-employee director and independent director is reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses in connection with attending meetings of the Board of Directors or committees. Each director will be indemnified by us for actions associated with being a director to the fullest extent permitted under Delaware law.

The following table sets forth the aggregate compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to our general partner's non-employee and independent directors during 2013.

**Director Compensation for the 2013 Fiscal Year**

		Fees Earned	Unit Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total (\$)
	Year	\$(a)(b)	\$(c)	(\$)	(\$)	Earnings	(\$)	
Dale A. Brown	2013	\$48,500	\$100,000					\$148,500
William R. Granberry	2013	\$68,500	\$100,000					\$168,500
G. Larry Lawrence	2013	\$82,500	\$100,000					\$182,500
William D. Sullivan	2013	\$59,000	\$100,000					\$159,000
Kyle D. Vann	2013	\$69,000	\$100,000					\$169,000

- (a) For 2013, Mr. Lawrence received \$25,000 as chairman of the audit committee, Mr. Granberry received \$10,000 as chairman of the nominating, governance and conflicts committee, and Mr. Vann received \$15,000 as chairman of the compensation committee.
- (b) Fees paid in cash to each of our general partner's non-employee and independent directors during 2013 are as follows: Mr. D. Brown: \$48,500; Mr. Granberry: \$70,000; Mr. Lawrence: \$82,500; Mr. Sullivan: \$60,500; and Mr. Vann: \$70,500.
- (c) On May 14, 2013, each non-employee director was awarded a unit grant valued at \$100,000, or 3,715 units.

## MANAGEMENT

## Executive Officers

The following table shows information for the executive officers of our general partner.

Name	Age	Position with Legacy Reserves GP, LLC
Cary D. Brown	47	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
James Daniel Westcott	33	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Kyle A. McGraw	54	Director, Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer
Paul T. Horne	52	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
Dan G. LeRoy	52	Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary
Micah C. Foster	34	Chief Accounting Officer and Controller

Officers of our general partner serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors. None of our executive officers and directors are related except for Dale A. Brown and Cary D. Brown, who are father and son, respectively.

*Cary D. Brown* is Chairman of the Board of Directors and President and Chief Executive Officer of our general partner. Mr. Brown has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since our founding in October 2005, and was appointed as President effective March 16, 2012. Prior to October 2005, Mr. Brown co-founded two businesses, Moriah Resources, Inc. and Petroleum Strategies, Inc. Moriah Resources, Inc. was formed in 1992 to acquire oil and natural gas reserves. Petroleum Strategies, Inc. was formed in 1991 to serve as a qualified intermediary in connection with the execution of Section 1031 transactions for major oil companies, public independents and private oil and natural gas companies. Mr. Brown has served as Executive Vice President of Petroleum Strategies, Inc. since its inception in 1991. Mr. Brown served as an auditor for Grant Thornton in Midland, Texas from January 1991 to June 1991 and for Touche Ross in Houston, Texas from June 1989 to December 1990. Mr. Brown has a Bachelor of Business Administration degree, with honors, from Abilene Christian University. Mr. Brown has 24 years of experience in the oil and natural gas industry with 22 years of experience in the Permian Basin.

*James Daniel Westcott* was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of our general partner effective September 24, 2012. From July 2006 to his appointment at the Partnership, Mr. Westcott served as a Principal at GSO Capital Partners LP, a division of The Blackstone Group L.P., where he was involved in the sourcing, structuring, evaluation and management of debt and equity investments for public and private companies in the energy and power industries. From August 2004 to July 2006, Mr. Westcott worked as an investment banker at J.P. Morgan's Global Energy Group. Mr. Westcott is currently a Director of Peace Gospel International, a non-profit organization with charitable programs in Asia and Africa. Mr. Westcott received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Science Technology & Society and a Master of Science degree in Management Science, both from Stanford University.

*Kyle A. McGraw* is a member of the Board of Directors and also serves as Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer of our general partner. Mr. McGraw was appointed as Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer effective March 16, 2012, and has served as a director since our founding in October 2005. Previously, Mr. McGraw served as Executive Vice President of Business Development and Land of our general partner from our founding in October 2005 to March 2012. Mr. McGraw joined Brothers Production Company in 1983, and has served as its General Manager since 1991 and became President in 2003. During his 23-year tenure at Brothers Production Company, Mr. McGraw has served in numerous capacities including reservoir and production engineering, acquisition evaluation and land management. Mr. McGraw has a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum Engineering from Texas Tech University. Mr. McGraw has 31 years of experience in the oil and natural gas industry in the Permian Basin.

*Paul T. Horne* is Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of our general partner and was appointed to this position effective March 16, 2012. Previously, Mr. Horne served as Executive Vice President of Operations of our general partner from our founding in October 2005 to March 2012. From January 2000 to October 2005, Mr. Horne served as Operations Manager of Moriah Resources, Inc. From January 1985 to January 2000, Mr.

Horne worked for Mobil E&P U.S. Inc. in a variety of petroleum engineering and operations management roles primarily in the Permian Basin. Mr. Horne has a Bachelor of Science degree in Petroleum Engineering from Texas A&M University. Mr. Horne has 30 years of experience in the oil and natural gas industry with 28 years of experience in the Permian Basin.

*Dan G. LeRoy* is Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of our general partner, and was appointed to these roles in May 2012. Prior to joining Legacy, Mr. LeRoy was a Shareholder and President of the board of directors of Cotton, Bledsoe, Tighe & Dawson, PC, a Midland, Texas-based law firm, where he specialized in energy-related finance, securities, and acquisition transactions for 25 years. He joined Cotton Bledsoe in August 1987 and became a Shareholder with the firm in 1994, serving on the firm's board of directors and as its President for multiple terms. Mr. LeRoy has a Bachelor of Arts degree, with honors, from Kansas State University and graduated with a Juris Doctorate degree from Notre Dame Law School.

*Micah C. Foster* is Chief Accounting Officer and Controller of our general partner. Mr. Foster was appointed Chief Accounting Officer effective April 1, 2012. Mr. Foster joined Legacy's predecessor in January 2006 and served as Financial Accountant from March 2006 to July 2008, Financial Reporting Manager from July 2008 to July 2010, and Assistant Controller from July 2010 to October 2011. In October 2011, Mr. Foster was promoted to Controller. Prior to joining Legacy, Mr. Foster worked as staff auditor and then senior auditor at Ernst & Young, LLP from July 2003 to January 2006. Mr. Foster holds a BBA in Accounting and Finance from Abilene Christian University and is a Certified Public Accountant.



### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of our units as of March 27, 2014 for:

- each person known by us to be a beneficial owner of 5% or more of our outstanding units;
- each of the directors of our general partner;
- each named executive officer of our general partner; and
- all directors and executive officers of our general partner as a group.

The amounts and percentage of units beneficially owned are reported on the basis of regulations of the SEC governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Under the rules of the SEC, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power, which includes the power to vote or to direct the voting of such security, or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of such security. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities of which that person has a right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days of March 27, 2014. Under these rules, more than one person may be deemed a beneficial owner of the same securities, and a person may be deemed a beneficial owner of securities as to which he has no economic interest.

Except as indicated by footnote, to our knowledge the persons named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all units shown as beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws where applicable. Percentage of total units beneficially owned is based on 57,567,126 units outstanding as of March 27, 2014. The business address for the beneficial owners listed below is 303 W. Wall, Suite 1800, Midland, Texas 79701.

	Units Beneficially Owned	
	Number	Percentage
<b>Directors and Officers</b>		
Cary D. Brown(a)(b)	5,405,444	9.4%
Dale A. Brown(c)	4,465,667	7.8%
Kyle A. McGraw(a)(d)(e)	1,029,557	1.8%
Paul T. Horne(a)(f)	139,585	*
James Daniel Westcott(a)(g)	84,286	*
Kyle D. Vann	40,681	*
William R. Granberry	30,814	*
William D. Sullivan	30,181	*
Micah C. Foster(a)(g)	15,146	*
Dan G. LeRoy(a)(g)	13,965	*
G. Larry Lawrence	13,681	*
All directors and executive officers as a group (11 persons)	6,998,253	12.2%

\* Percentage of units beneficially owned does not exceed 1%.

(a) Does not include grants of 112,921 phantom units to Cary D. Brown, grants of 45,746 phantom units to Kyle A. McGraw, grants of 48,095 phantom units to Paul T. Horne, grants of 39,177 phantom units to James Daniel Westcott, grants of 13,235 phantom units to Dan G. LeRoy and grants of 10,836 phantom units to Micah C. Foster.

(b) Mr. Cary D. Brown is deemed to beneficially own 33,672 units owned by Moriah Resources, Inc.; 2,701,408 units held by Moriah Properties, Ltd.; 90,000 units held by Moriah Realty Partners, LLC; 800,000 units held by Brown Heirs 2012 Trust, which is the sole limited partner of DAB Family Properties, Ltd.; and 800,000 units held by Cary Brown Family LP. Mr. Dale A. Brown and Mr. Cary D. Brown share voting and investment power with respect to the units held by Moriah Properties, Ltd., Moriah Resources, Inc. and Moriah Realty Partners, LLC. Mr. Cary D. Brown directly owns

980,364 units.

## Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

- (c) Mr. Dale A. Brown is deemed to beneficially own 33,672 units owned by Moriah Resources, Inc.; 2,701,408 units held by Moriah Properties, Ltd.; 90,000 units held by Moriah Realty Partners, LLC; 800,000 units held by DAB Family Properties, Ltd.; and 542,281 units held by DAB Resources, Ltd. Mr. Dale A. Brown and Mr. Cary D. Brown share voting and investment power with respect to the units held by Moriah Properties, Ltd., Moriah Resources, Inc. and Moriah Realty Partners, LLC. Mr. Dale A. Brown directly owns 298,306 units.
- (d) Mr. McGraw is deemed to beneficially own the 374,386 units held by Kyle A. McGraw Family Holdings, Ltd.
- (e) Includes the 645,674 units related to Mr. McGraw's indirect pecuniary interest in Brothers Production Properties, Ltd., Brothers Production Company, Inc. and Brothers Operating Company, Inc.
- (f) Mr. Horne is deemed to beneficially own the 121,684 units held by H2K Holdings, Ltd.
- (g) Includes the 74,000 unvested restricted units granted to Mr. Westcott, the 8,000 unvested restricted units granted to Mr. LeRoy and the 9,333 unvested restricted units granted to Mr. Foster.

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of equity interests of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC:

Name of Beneficial Owner	Equity Interest
Dale A. Brown(a)	63.1%
Cary D. Brown(b)	57.9%
Kyle A. McGraw	
William R. Granberry	
Kyle D. Vann	
William D. Sullivan	
G. Larry Lawrence	
Paul T. Horne(c)	0.5%
All directors and executive officers as a group (8 persons)	63.6%

- (a) Includes a 57.9% equity interest held by Moriah Properties, Ltd. and a 5.2% equity interest held by DAB Resources, Ltd.
- (b) Held by Moriah Properties, Ltd.
- (c) Held by H2K Holdings, Ltd.

### CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Our founding investors, including members of our general partner's management team and directors, own an aggregate of 10,172,836 units, which represents a 17.7% limited partner interest in us. In addition, our general partner owns an approximate 0.03% general partner interest in us.

#### Distributions and Payments to Our General Partner and Its Affiliates

The following table summarizes the distributions and payments made or to be made by us to our general partner and our founding investors in connection with our formation, ongoing operation and any liquidation of the Partnership. These distributions and payments were determined by and among affiliated entities and, consequently, are not the result of arm's-length negotiations.

Distributions of available cash to our general partner and our founding investors	We will generally make cash distributions of approximately 99.9% to the unitholders pro rata, including our founding investors and members of our general partner's management team and directors, as the holders of an aggregate of 10,172,836 units, and approximately 0.03% to our general partner. Assuming we have sufficient available cash to pay the full amount of our current quarterly distribution on all of our outstanding units for four quarters, our general partner would receive an annual distribution of
---	---

approximately \$43,214 on its approximate 0.03% general partner interest, and our founding investors, including members of our general partner's management team and directors, would receive approximately \$24.0 million on their units.

Payments to our general partner

Our general partner is entitled to reimbursement for all expenses it incurs on our behalf. Our partnership agreement provides that our general partner will determine the expenses that are allocable to us in good faith.

Withdrawal or removal of our general partner

If our general partner withdraws or is removed, its general partner interest will either be sold to the new general partner for cash or converted into units, for an amount equal to the fair market value of that interest.

*Distribution Upon Liquidation*

Liquidation

Upon our liquidation, the partners, including our general partner, will be entitled to receive liquidating distributions according to their respective capital account balances.

**Transactions with Related Persons**

*Office Leases*

TCTB Partners, a limited partnership in which Dale A. Brown, Cary D. Brown and Kyle A. McGraw are limited partners with a combined, non-controlling 4.12% ownership interest, owns the office building in which our principal office is located. On October 27, 2010, we entered into a lease agreement with an affiliate of TCTB Partners, which provides for an initial five year term with a five year renewal option. Under this lease agreement, we currently rent 66,701 square feet of office space with a monthly rental payable of \$58,995, without respect to property taxes, operating expenses and insurance.

*Other*

Travis McGraw, the brother of Kyle A. McGraw, Executive Vice President and Chief Development Officer and a member of the Board of Directors, is an employee of the Partnership serving as our Marketing, Revenue, and Regulatory Reporting Coordinator. We paid Travis McGraw \$201,738 in total compensation for his services during the year ended December 31, 2013. Travis McGraw's current annual salary is \$136,000 plus a discretionary, non-guaranteed bonus and restricted units under our LTIP.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, Legacy acquired a 5% working interest in prospective Cline Shale acreage from FireWheel Energy, LLC ( FireWheel ), the operator of the properties, for \$7.2 million. During the year ended December 31, 2013, Legacy acquired a 5% working interest in additional acreage from FireWheel for \$1.2 million. FireWheel is a private-equity funded oil and natural gas exploration company in which Alan Brown, son of Dale A. Brown, a director of Legacy, and brother of Cary D. Brown, Legacy's Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, is a principal. The interests acquired by Legacy were marketed to numerous industry participants and are governed by an industry standard Participation Agreement and Joint Operating Agreement. Legacy's results for the year ended December 31, 2013 include three non-operated wells drilled by FireWheel. Legacy's portion of the capital expenditures associated with these wells was \$1.1 million.

***Review, Approval and Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons***

Our partnership agreement contains specific provisions that address potential conflicts of interest between our general partner and its affiliates, on one hand, and us and our subsidiaries, on the other hand. Whenever such a conflict of interest arises, our general partner will resolve the conflict. Our general partner may, but is not required

to, seek the approval of such resolution from the conflicts committee of the Board of Directors, which is comprised of independent directors. Our partnership agreement provides that our general partner will not be in breach of its obligations under the partnership agreement or its duties to us or to our unitholders if the resolution of the conflict is:

- approved by the nominating, governance and conflicts committee;
- approved by the vote of a majority of the outstanding common units, excluding any common units owned by our general partner or any of its affiliates;
- on terms no less favorable to us than those generally being provided to or available from unrelated third parties; or
- fair and reasonable to us, taking into account the totality of the relationships between the parties involved, including other transactions that may be particularly favorable or advantageous to us.

If our general partner does not seek approval from the nominating, governance and conflicts committee and the Board of Directors determines that the resolution or course of action taken with respect to the conflict of interest satisfies either of the standards set forth in the third and fourth bullet points above, then it will be presumed that, in making its decision, the Board of Directors acted in good faith, and in any proceeding brought by or on behalf of any limited partner or the Partnership, the person bringing or prosecuting such proceeding will have the burden of overcoming such presumption. Unless the resolution of a conflict is specifically provided for in our partnership agreement, our general partner or the nominating, governance and conflicts committee may consider any factors it determines in good faith to consider when resolving a conflict. When our partnership agreement requires someone to act in good faith, it requires that person to reasonably believe that he is acting in the best interests of the Partnership, unless the context otherwise requires.

In addition, our code of ethics requires that all employees, including employees, officers and members of the Board of Directors, avoid or disclose any activity that may interfere, or have the appearance of interfering, with their responsibilities to us and our unitholders.

#### **COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION**

No current executive officer served as a member of the Board of Directors or compensation committee of any other entity (other than our subsidiaries) that has or has had one or more executive officers serving as a member of the Board of Directors or the compensation committee of our general partner.

**PROPOSAL 3****RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF  
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The audit committee has selected BDO USA, LLP as independent registered public accountants of the Partnership to audit the Partnership's consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014 and the Board of Directors has determined that it would be desirable to request that the unitholders ratify such appointment. BDO USA, LLP was our independent registered public accounting firm for our 2013 audit.

The audit committee's policy is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, and other services. Pre-approval is detailed as to the specific service or category of service and is subject to a specific approval.

Before selecting BDO USA, LLP, the audit committee considered the firm's qualifications as independent registered public accountants and concluded that, based on BDO USA, LLP's prior performance and its reputation for integrity and competence, it was qualified. The audit committee also considered whether any non-audit services performed for the Partnership by BDO USA, LLP would impair BDO USA, LLP's independence and concluded that they did not. Even if the selection is ratified, the audit committee, in its sole discretion, may change the appointment at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Partnership and its unitholders.

A representative of BDO USA, LLP will attend our annual meeting. The representative will have the opportunity to make a statement if he or she desires to do so and to respond to appropriate questions.

The aggregate fees for professional services rendered by our principal accountants, BDO USA, LLP, for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 were:

	<b>Year Ended December 31,</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 683,260	\$ 659,843
Audit-Related Fees(1)	\$ 38,030	\$ 34,925
Tax Fees	\$	\$
All Other Fees (Executive compensation studies)(2)	\$ 19,570	\$ 85,588
Total	\$ 740,860	\$ 780,356

(1) In the above table, Audit Fees are fees we paid for professional services for the audit of our consolidated financial statements included in our annual report on Form 10-K or for services that are normally provided by our principal accountants in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements and fees for Sarbanes-Oxley 404 audit work. Audit-Related Fees are fees billed for assurance and related services in connection with acquisition transactions and related regulatory filings.

(2) All Other Fees (Executive compensation studies) are fees billed for compensation consulting services in connection with a study of compensation programs related to named executive officers and outside directors of a broad peer group of exploration and production companies and publicly traded limited partnerships.

In regard to executive compensation services, as required by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, all services are approved in advance by the audit committee. All compensation consulting services are provided under the terms of a separate engagement letter that describes the approved services and the company's acceptance of its responsibilities. Under the terms of the engagement, BDO USA, LLP does not perform management functions or make any management decisions. The company must designate an individual with suitable skill, knowledge and experience to oversee the consulting engagement, evaluate the adequacy and results of the services performed, accept responsibility for the results of the services and establish and maintain internal controls and monitor ongoing activities.

**Vote Required for Approval**

Unitholder ratification is not required for making such appointment for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014 because the Audit Committee has responsibility for the appointment of our independent registered public accountants. The appointment is being submitted for ratification with a view toward soliciting the opinion of unitholders, which opinion will be taken into consideration in future deliberations. No determination has been made as to what action the Board of Directors or the audit committee would take if unitholders do not approve the appointment.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE  
APPOINTMENT OF BDO USA, LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT**

**AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013**

The audit committee is responsible for overseeing the Partnership's financial reporting process, reviewing the financial information that will be provided to unitholders and others, monitoring internal accounting controls, selecting our independent registered public accountants and providing to the board of directors of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC such additional information and materials as we may deem necessary to make the board of directors of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC aware of significant financial matters. We operate under a written audit committee charter adopted by the board of directors of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC.

We have reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements of the Partnership for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 with management and BDO USA, LLP, our independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. In addition, we have received from and discussed with BDO USA, LLP the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ( PCAOB ) Auditing Standard No. 16 (Communications with Audit Committees). We also have received the written disclosures and the letter from BDO USA, LLP, as required by the PCAOB Rule 3526 regarding the independent accountant's communications with the audit committee concerning independence and we have discussed the independence of BDO USA, LLP with that firm.

We, the members of the audit committee, are not professionally engaged in the practice of auditing or accounting nor are we experts in the fields of accounting or auditing, including determination of auditor independence. We rely, without independent verification, on the information provided to us and on the representations made by management and the independent registered public accountants. Accordingly, our oversight does not provide an independent basis to determine that management has maintained appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or appropriate internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, our considerations and discussions referred to above do not assure that the audit of our financial statements has been carried out in accordance with the auditing standards of the PCAOB, or that the financial statements are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Based upon the discussions referred to above, the audit committee recommended to the Board of Directors that our audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Members of the audit committee of the board of  
directors of Legacy Reserves GP, LLC

G. Larry Lawrence (Chairman)  
William D. Sullivan  
William R. Granberry



## OTHER MATTERS

### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, directors, certain officers, and beneficial owners of 10% or more of any class of the Partnership's units ( Reporting Persons ) are required from time to time to file with the SEC and NASDAQ reports of ownership and changes of ownership. Reporting Persons are required to furnish the Partnership with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. Based solely on its review of forms and written representations received from Reporting Persons by it with respect to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, the Partnership believes that all filing requirements applicable to the general partner's officers and directors and the Partnership's greater than 10% unitholders have been met.

### Unitholder Proposals

Any unitholder who wishes to submit a proposal for action to be included in the proxy statement and form of proxy relating to the 2015 annual meeting of unitholders must submit the proposal to us on or before December 6, 2014. Any such proposals should be timely sent to our Secretary at 303 W. Wall, Suite 1800, Midland, Texas 79701. Such proposal must meet all of the requirements of the SEC to be eligible for inclusion in our 2015 proxy materials. Furthermore, proposals by unitholders may be considered untimely if we have not received notice of the proposal within the deadline set under the SEC rules. In no event are limited partners allowed to vote on matters that would cause the limited partners to be deemed to be taking part in the management and control of our business and affairs so as to jeopardize the limited partners' limited liability under the Delaware limited partnership act or the law of any other state in which we are qualified to do business.

### Communications with Directors or the Board of Directors

Unitholders wishing to communicate with the Board of Directors should send any communication to our Secretary at 303 W. Wall, Suite 1800, Midland, Texas 79701. Any such communication should state the number of units beneficially owned by the unitholder making the communication. Communications received are distributed to the Board or to any individual director or directors as appropriate, depending upon the directions and the facts and circumstances outlined in the communication. The Board of Directors has directed the Secretary to forward such communication to the full Board of Directors or to any individual director or directors to whom the communication is directed, excluding only any communication that does not relate to the business or affairs of the Company or the function or duties of the Board of Directors or any of its committees, or is a job inquiry or an advertisement or other commercial solicitation or communication.

### Availability of Annual Report

The Annual Report to Unitholders of the Partnership for the year ended December 31, 2013, including audited financial statements, is enclosed with this proxy statement but does not constitute a part of the proxy soliciting material. The Partnership will furnish a copy of its Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2013, without exhibits, free of charge to each person who forwards a written request to our Secretary at 303 W. Wall, Suite 1800, Midland, Texas 79701.

IMPORTANT ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION

Electronic Voting Instructions

Available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week!

Instead of mailing your proxy, you may choose one of the voting methods outlined below to vote your proxy.

VALIDATION DETAILS ARE LOCATED BELOW IN THE TITLE BAR.

Proxies submitted by the Internet or telephone must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on May 14, 2014.

Vote by Internet

- Go to [www.investorvote.com/LGCY](http://www.investorvote.com/LGCY) or scan the QR code with your smartphone
- Follow the steps outlined on the secure website

Vote by telephone

- Call toll free 1-800-652-VOTE (8683) within the US, US territories & Canada on a touch tone telephone
- Follow the instructions provided by the recorded message

Using a **black ink** pen, mark your votes with an **X** as shown in this example. Please do not write outside the designated areas.

**Annual Meeting Proxy Card**

**1234 5678 9012 345**

**IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET OR TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION,  
DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. "**

Edgar Filing: LEGACY RESERVES LP - Form DEF 14A

To vote **FOR** all of the Board of Directors recommendations, do not check any of the boxes, date and sign below and return the form in the postage paid envelope.

**A Proposals** The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** all the nominees listed and **FOR** Proposals 2 and 3.

1. Nominees to serve a one year term:

	<b>For</b>	<b>Withhold</b>		<b>For</b>	<b>Withhold</b>		<b>For</b>	<b>Withhold</b>
01 Cary D. Brown	..	..	02 Kyle A. McGraw	..	..	03 Dale A. Brown	..	..
04 G. Larry Lawrence	..	..	05 William D. Sullivan	..	..	06 William R. Granberry	..	..
07 Kyle D. Vann	..	..						

2. Advisory resolution approving executive compensation.

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
..	..	..

3. Ratification of the appointment of BDO USA, LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

<b>For</b>	<b>Against</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
..	..	..

**B Non-Voting Items**  
Change of Address - Please print new address below.

**C Authorized Signatures** This section must be completed for your vote to be counted. **Date and Sign Below**  
Please sign exactly as name(s) appears hereon. Joint owners should each sign. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, corporate officer, trustee, guardian, or custodian, please give full title. If none of the boxes above are checked, your signature below authorizes the proxies to vote **FOR** all Board of Directors recommendations.

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) Please print date below. Signature 1 Please keep signature within the box. Signature 2 Please keep signature within the box.

/ /

“ IF YOU HAVE NOT VOTED VIA THE INTERNET **OR** TELEPHONE, FOLD ALONG THE PERFORATION, DETACH AND RETURN THE BOTTOM PORTION IN THE ENCLOSED ENVELOPE. ”

**Proxy Legacy Reserves LP**

303 W. Wall, Suite 1800  
Midland, Texas 79701

**THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF LEGACY RESERVES GP, LLC FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF UNITHOLDERS OF LEGACY RESERVES LP TO BE HELD ON May 15, 2014.**

The undersigned hereby appoints Micah C. Foster and James Daniel Westcott, each of them, any one of whom may act without joinder of the other, with full power of substitution, resubstitution and ratification, attorneys and proxies of the undersigned to vote all units representing limited partnership interests of Legacy Reserves LP that the undersigned is entitled to vote at the annual meeting of unitholders to be held at the Midland Petroleum Club located at 501 W. Wall, Midland, Texas 79701, on Thursday, May 15, 2014 at 10:30 a.m., local time, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof, in the manner stated herein as to the matters set forth in the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement, and in their discretion on any other matter that may properly come before the meeting.

**You are encouraged to specify your choices by marking the appropriate boxes, but you need not mark any boxes if you wish to vote in accordance with the Board of Directors' recommendations:**

**THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS MADE HEREON. IF NO CONTRARY SPECIFICATION IS MADE, THEN THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF THE DIRECTOR NOMINEES NAMED IN ITEM 1, FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE ADVISORY RESOLUTION ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION, FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF OUR SELECTION OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM, AND, IN THE DISCRETION OF THE PROXIES, WITH RESPECT TO SUCH OTHER BUSINESS AS MAY PROPERLY COME BEFORE THE MEETING.**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF LEGACY RESERVES GP, LLC RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR, A VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE ADVISORY RESOLUTION ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND A VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF OUR SELECTION OF THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.**

**THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGES RECEIPT OF THE NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF UNITHOLDERS AND THE PROXY STATEMENT FURNISHED HERewith. PLEASE DATE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS PROXY PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED, PRE-ADDRESSED STAMPED ENVELOPE.**

(To be Voted and Signed on Reverse Side)

---