EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND II Form N-CSR November 25, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21217

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

Date of Fiscal Year End

September 30, 2014

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Municipal Bond Funds

Annual Report

September 30, 2014

Municipal II (EIV) California II (EIA) Massachusetts (MAB) Michigan (MIW) New Jersey (EMJ) New York II (NYH)

Ohio (EIO) Pennsylvania (EIP) Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration. Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term—commodity pool operator—under the Commodity Exchange Act. Accordingly, neither the Funds nor the adviser with respect to the operation of the Funds is subject to CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund—s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report September 30, 2014

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

Table of Contents

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund II	4
California Municipal Bond Fund II	5
Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund	6
Michigan Municipal Bond Fund	7
New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund	8
New York Municipal Bond Fund II	9
Ohio Municipal Bond Fund	10
Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund	11
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	12
Financial Statements	13
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	74
Federal Tax Information	75
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	76
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	77
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	79
Management and Organization	82
Important Notices	85

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2014

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

Economic and Market Conditions

As the fiscal year began on October 1, 2013, the municipal market was at the tail end of a significant selloff that started in May 2013, after then-U.S. Federal Reserve Board (the Fed) Chairman Ben Bernanke surprised the markets by indicating that the Fed s \$85 billion in monthly asset purchases could be tapered sooner than most investors had expected. Investors rushed to sell fixed-income assets in anticipation of rising rates, causing nearly every fixed-income asset class to decline in value.

Even after the Fed tried to temper its comments and calm the markets, heavy selling in municipals continued through the summer of 2013. Although selling of municipals abated somewhat in September, the municipal market continued to experience outflows from the beginning of the period on October 1 through December 2013.

But as 2014 began, municipals turned a corner. From January 1 through September 30, 2014, municipals rallied back from 2013 lows. Contrary to what many investors had expected, Treasury rates declined and municipal rates followed. A principal driver was a global flight to quality, as investors sought the relative safety of Treasurys in the face of increased geopolitical and economic risks overseas. In addition, strong demand for municipals, coupled with tight supply, created a favorable supply-demand imbalance that helped drive prices up and yields down. As investors searched for yield in a low-interest-rate environment, longer dated and lower credit quality bonds were the best performers. For the one-year period as a whole, long-term municipal rates declined while short-term rates were essentially flat.

Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, all of the Funds shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 12.88% return of the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index² (the Index).

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher-quality bonds (rated Åor higher) with maturities of 10 years or more, in order to capture their typically higher yields and a greater income stream compared with shorter-maturity issues.

In managing the Funds, management employs leverage through Residual Interest Bond (RIB) financing and Auction

Preferred Shares (APS)⁶ to seek to enhance the Funds tax-exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market, and thus magnifying a Fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down market environments. During this period of falling rates and strong performance by municipal bonds, the use of leverage was the largest single contributor to performance versus the Index which does not employ leverage for all eight Funds.

By using Treasury futures and/or interest-rate swaps, management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility caused by the use of leverage and investing in bonds at the long end of the yield curve. As a risk management tactic within the Funds overall strategy, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period of strong performance by municipal bonds, the Funds Treasury futures hedge mitigated some of the upside and thus detracted modestly from performance relative to the unhedged Index for all Funds except the Michigan and Ohio Funds, which made minimal use of hedging during the period.

Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund II shares at NAV returned 22.61%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. Significant contributors to relative results versus the Index included leverage, as noted above, and an overweight and security selection in zero coupon bonds, which were the best-performing coupon structure during the period. In addition, relative performance was aided by a positive credit development regarding the Fund s position in Ambac-insured bonds issued by the Las Vegas Monorail Company, an issuer that filed for bankruptcy protection in 2010. The main detractors from performance relative to the Index were the Fund s hedging strategy, an overweight in pre-refunded, or escrowed, bonds, security selection and an overweight in BBB-rated bonds, and security selection and an overweight in bonds with 30 or more years remaining to maturity.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund II shares at NAV returned 20.12%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. Leverage contributed to performance versus the Index, as did an overweight in local general obligation bonds and an overweight in zero coupon bonds. Detractors from results versus the Index included the Fund shedging

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2014

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance continued

strategy, security selection and an underweight in the health care and transportation sectors, and security selection and an underweight in BBB-rated and A-rated bonds.

Eaton Vance Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 18.82%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. Key contributors to performance relative to the Index included leverage, an overweight and security selection in Puerto Rico bonds, and an overweight and security selection in the education sector. In contrast, the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight and security selection in BBB-rated bonds, an underweight and security selection in the transportation sector, and an underweight in bonds with 30 or more years remaining to maturity all detracted from performance versus the Index.

Eaton Vance Michigan Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 19.57%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. Leverage, security selection in A-rated bonds, and security selection in Puerto Rico bonds all contributed to results versus the Index, along with security selection in water and sewer bonds. In particular, performance benefited from positions in Detroit water and sewer issues, which were treated favorably in the city s bankruptcy proceedings; the City of Detroit had filed for bankruptcy in July 2013. In contrast, detractors from Fund performance versus the Index included an overweight in pre-refunded bonds, security selection in zero coupon bonds, and an underweight in the transportation sector.

Eaton Vance New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 16.77%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. Contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, security selection in Puerto Rico bonds, and an overweight in zero

coupon bonds. Detractors from results relative to the Index included the Fund s hedging strategy, security selection in BBB-rated bonds, an underweight and security selection in bonds with 30 or more years remaining to maturity, and an underweight and security selection in the transportation sector.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund II shares at NAV returned 15.23%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. Key contributors to results versus the Index included leverage, an overweight and security selection in AAA-rated bonds, and security selection in the special tax sector.

In contrast, performance versus the Index was hurt by the Fund s hedging strategy, security selection in the health care sector, and security selection in BBB-rated bonds.

Eaton Vance Ohio Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 19.45%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. Significant contributors to results versus the Index included leverage, security selection in Puerto Rico bonds, and an overweight in zero coupon bonds. An overweight in pre-refunded bonds and an underweight in the transportation sector detracted from performance relative to the Index.

Eaton Vance Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 18.84%, outperforming the 12.88% return of the Index. Leverage was a key contributor to performance versus the Index, as were security selection in Puerto Rico bonds and an overweight in zero coupon bonds. In contrast, the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight in BBB-rated bonds, and an underweight in the transportation sector all detracted from performance relative to the Index during the period.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2014

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten	Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	22.61%	8.30%		5.85%
Fund at Market Price		19.62	5.79		5.15
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		12.88%	5.97%		5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴					
70 Fremium/Discount to NAV					7.71%
					7.7170
Distributions ⁵					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.757
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.56%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					9.82%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					6.03%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					10.65%
•					
% Total Leverage ⁶					
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)					20.51%
Residual Interest Bond (RIB) Financing					16.91
Fund Profile					

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

4

California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2014

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	20.12%	7.48%	5.61%
Fund at Market Price		14.22	6.19	4.56
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		12.88%	5.97%	5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				
				9.04%

Distributions	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.731
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.50%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	11.21%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	6.05%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	12.33%

% Total Leverage ⁶	
APS	29.48%
RIB Financing	11.34
Fund Profile	

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index	Inception Date 11/29/2002	One Year 18.82% 23.19 12.88%	Five Years 6.69% 4.96 5.97%	Ten Years 5.91% 4.98 5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				4.14%
Distributions ⁵ Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				\$ 0.760 5.00% 9.32% 5.22% 9.73%
% Total Leverage ⁶ APS RIB Financing Fund Profile				31.01% 7.61

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so

that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Michigan Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Thomas M. Metzold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index	Inception Date 11/29/2002	One Year 19.57% 16.89 12.88%	Five Years 6.47% 4.89 5.97%	Ten Years 6.02% 4.18 5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				12.42%
Distributions ⁵ Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				\$ 0.734 5.31% 9.80% 6.07% 11.20%
% Total Leverage ⁶ APS Fund Profile				37.20%

Credit Quality (% of total investments)⁷

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the

stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

New Jersey Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Adam A. Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index	Inception Date 11/29/2002	One Year 16.77% 13.11 12.88%	Five Years 6.04% 2.87 5.97%	Ten Years 5.93% 4.08 5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				13.40%
Distributions ⁵ Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				\$ 0.704 5.18% 10.05% 5.98% 11.61%
% Total Leverage ⁶ APS RIB Financing Fund Profile				34.02% 0.39

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so

that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

New York Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2014

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns Fund at NAV Fund at Market Price Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index	Inception Date 11/29/2002	One Year 15.23% 12.85 12.88%	Five Years 6.09% 3.42 5.97%	Ten Years 5.36% 4.34 5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴				12.04%
Distributions ⁵ Total Distributions per share for the period Distribution Rate at NAV Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV Distribution Rate at Market Price Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price				\$ 0.687 5.10% 9.88% 5.80% 11.24%
% Total Leverage ⁶ APS RIB Financing Fund Profile				23.20% 16.29

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so

that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Ohio Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Thomas M. Metzold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Тог	ı Years
•	11/29/2002			1 61	
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	19.45%	7.34%		5.10%
Fund at Market Price		16.44	4.85		3.70
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		12.88%	5.97%		5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴					
					9.22%
Distributions ⁵					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.702
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.10%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					9.52%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					5.62%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					10.49%
% Total Leverage ⁶					
APS					31.44%
RIB Financing					3.92
Fund Profile					3.72
Tunu Home					

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so

that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Pennsylvania Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Adam A. Weigold, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ter	Years
Fund at NAV	11/29/2002	18.84%	6.99%		6.41%
Fund at Market Price		17.93	3.85		4.95
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		12.88%	5.97%		5.42%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ⁴					
					9.51%
Distributions ⁵					
Total Distributions per share for the period				\$	0.773
Distribution Rate at NAV					5.44%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV					9.92%
Distribution Rate at Market Price					6.02%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price					10.97%
% Total Leverage ⁶					
APS					32.35%
RIB Financing					5.05
Fund Profile					

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2014

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- ² Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- ³ Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- ⁴ The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- ⁵ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, qualified and non-qualified ordinary dividends, capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund s webpage available at www.eatonvance.com. The Fund s distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund s long-term return potential. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- ⁶ Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments and APS provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s APS outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund may be required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its leverage and may be required to reduce its leverage at an inopportune time. Floating Rate Notes in both calculations reflect the effect of RIBs purchased in secondary market transactions, if applicable.
- ⁷ Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. If securities are rated differently by the ratings agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment-grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the ratings agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a

reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national ratings agencies stated above.

8 The chart includes the municipal bonds held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds, consistent with the Portfolio of Investments.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 156.8%

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Education 10.3% Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (St. John s School), 5.25%, 9/1/33 Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Northeastern University), 5.25%, 3/1/37 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 ⁽¹⁾ North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ University of Virginia, 5.00%, 6/1/40	\$	2,200 565 1,745 1,650 2,710 1,500 500 1,500	\$ 2,497,968 622,788 1,989,091 1,876,413 3,171,323 1,703,040 571,300 1,671,570
			\$ 14,103,493
Electric Utilities 3.5% Energy Northwest, WA, (Columbia Generating Station), 5.00%, 7/1/40 Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 5.25%, 10/1/40 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36 Utility Debt Securitization Authority, NY, 5.00%, 12/15/35	\$	330 1,300 1,420 685 500	\$ 377,774 1,409,252 1,620,675 746,540 581,445 \$ 4,735,686
Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.9% Tennessee School Bond Authority, Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.50%, 5/1/38	\$	1,000	\$ 1,166,540
			\$ 1,166,540
General Obligations 15.6% California, 5.00%, 12/1/30 California, 5.00%, 10/1/33 ⁽²⁾ Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Clark County, NV, 5.00%, 7/1/33 Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/29 Hawaii, 5.00%, 12/1/30 Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	\$	610 2,150 1,680 500 2,500 2,500 1,000 1,725	\$ 710,064 2,494,021 1,828,478 562,290 3,050,325 2,942,825 1,173,590 1,967,863

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
General Obligations (continued) New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ New York, NY, 5.00%, 8/1/31 Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36	\$ 2,750 2,000 1,000		3,138,658 2,287,160 1,124,390 21,279,664
Camden County Improvement Authority, NJ, (Cooper Health System), 5.00%, 2/15/35 Camden County Improvement Authority, NJ, (Cooper Health System), 5.25%, 2/15/27 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/39 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/35 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.00%, 1/1/32	\$ 870 545 360 1,285 1,120 3,000 615 1,550		878,108 551,720 409,493 1,379,923 321,227 817,680 699,981 1,750,105 6,808,237
Industrial Development Revenue 0.5% Maricopa County Pollution Control Corp., AZ, (El Paso Electric Co.), 4.50%, 8/1/42	\$ 595	\$ \$	609,780 609,780
Insured Education 4.8% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), (XLCA), 6.00%, 5/15/59 Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31 University of South Alabama, (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/1/38	\$ 455 1,555 3,900		562,107 1,684,811 4,326,309 6,573,227
Insured Electric Utilities 8.1% American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), 5.75%, 2/15/39 Chelan County, WA, Public Utility District No. 1, (Columbia River), (NPFG), 0.00%, 6/1/23 Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 6/1/38 Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41	\$ 1,000 6,335 735 2,205		1,096,660 5,030,497 829,550 2,249,585

13

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Electric Utilities (continued) South Carolina Public Service Authority, (Santee Cooper), (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$	1,595	\$	1,827,424
			\$	11,033,716
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.9% Centre County, PA, Hospital Authority, (Mount Nittany Medical Center), (AGC), Prerefunded to 11/15/14, 6.25%, 11/15/44	\$	450	\$	453,442
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), Prerefunded to 12/15/18, 5.50%, 12/15/34 Philadelphia, PA, (AGC), Prerefunded to 7/15/16, 7.00%, 7/15/28		565 1,250		670,401 1,398,500
			\$	2,522,343
Insured General Obligations 6.7% Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30 Coast Community College District, CA, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/33 Goodyear, AZ, (NPFG), 3.00%, 7/1/26 Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33	\$	750 12,000 510 2,750	\$	945,593 4,525,920 512,336 3,129,692 9,113,541
Insured Hospital 18.8% Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 ⁽¹⁾ Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41 ⁽¹⁾ Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (BHAC), 5.25%, 11/15/36 ⁽¹⁾ Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), 5.00%, 11/15/35 Illinois Finance Authority, (Children s Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/47) Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41 ⁽¹⁾ Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41 Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32	\$ (000	1,750 1,500 2,200 3,000 1,490 2,500 750 1,750 2,090 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$	1,906,450 1,603,425 2,333,034 3,229,470 1,520,754 2,676,900 799,973 1,866,602 2,290,138 Value
Insured Hospital (continued) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38	\$	500 2,245	\$	532,020 2,494,397

Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), 6.00%, 8/15/39 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33	1,545 2,300		1,775,993 2,597,275
		\$ 2	25,626,431
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39	\$ 1,340	\$ \$	1,528,243 1,528,243
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 5.1% Essex County Improvement Authority, NJ, (NPFG), 5.50%, 10/1/30 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,000 310 3,250 1,500	\$ \$	1,301,720 352,625 3,624,205 1,647,420 6,925,970
Insured Other Revenue 1.6% Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, TX, (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$ 2,540 1,000	\$ \$	912,216 1,221,080 2,133,296
Insured Solid Waste 1.0% Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26	\$ 740 425	\$ \$	855,647 487,904 1,343,551
Insured Special Tax Revenue 4.8% Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, (AGM), 5.25%, 7/15/30	\$ 3,000 2,540	\$	2,888,460 3,084,271

14

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Special Tax Revenue (continued) Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	4,440	\$ 621,378
			\$ 6,594,109
Insured Student Loan 1.0% Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27	\$	1,260	\$ 1,409,625
			\$ 1,409,625
Insured Transportation 24.4% Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/29 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/31 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32 Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22 Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/41(1) Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29 Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Las Vegas Monorail -1st Tier), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/20(3) New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39 North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48	\$	260 400 180 100 1,585 7,800 1,305 10,000 535 13,885 1,040 255 290 1,750	\$ 292,991 452,804 202,723 113,172 1,770,936 6,302,478 1,402,197 11,142,500 1,152,660 611,896 6,071,911 1,197,050 289,922 329,231 1,978,953
			\$ 33,311,424
Insured Water and Sewer 8.7% Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/26 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/27 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.50%, 10/1/38 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38(4) Security	\$ (000	670 420 660 1,635 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$ 783,746 491,303 778,516 1,813,477 Value

Insured Water and Sewer (continued) Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/34 District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 ⁽¹⁾ Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/35 ⁽⁴⁾ Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/32 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/33 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 Pearland, TX, Waterworks and Sewer Systems, (NPFG), 3.50%, 9/1/31	\$ 205 1,250 435 1,000 230 195 240 195 2,205 1,220	\$	204,986 1,388,475 482,363 1,273,670 251,618 212,499 260,117 210,036 2,531,384 1,229,931 11,912,121
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 6.0% New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.75%, 10/1/31 North Carolina, Capital Improvement Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30	\$ 2,235 5,000	\$	2,554,806 5,684,650 8,239,456
Other Revenue 1.3% Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30 Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corp. III, Gas Supply Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/30	\$ 1,300 260	\$ \$	1,530,828 281,809 1,812,637
Senior Living / Life Care 0.2% Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 235	\$ \$	261,955 261,955
Special Tax Revenue 7.4% Homewood, AL, City Board of Education, 5.00%, 4/1/32 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/33 New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34	\$ 1,880 750 465	\$	2,110,225 953,857 530,472

15

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/35 New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁵⁾	\$	1,710 3,800	\$	1,952,136 4,523,596
			\$	10,070,286
Transportation 12.2% Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/30 Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/31 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/32 Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38 Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41 New York Liberty Development Corp., (4 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 11/15/31 Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/35 Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/40 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 12/1/34(1) South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank, 5.25%, 10/1/40 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/37	\$	640 990 1,715 465 620 865 2,095 1,070 420 375 2,040 1,000 2,500	\$ \$	746,835 1,150,162 1,888,095 546,594 728,202 976,853 2,267,942 1,189,284 463,478 410,914 2,362,218 1,123,760 2,817,750
Water and Sewer 5.9% Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39 Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/36	\$	1,000 1,555 380 300 4,000	\$ \$	1,084,650 1,658,221 406,273 317,883 4,587,600 8,054,627
Total Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 156.8% (identified cost \$196,790,733)			\$	213,842,045

Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.0%)

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Transportation 0.0%) Las Vegas Monorail Co., Jr. Subordinated Notes, 3.00% to 12/31/15, 5.50%, 7/15/55 ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾⁽⁹⁾ Las Vegas Monorail Co., Sr. Secured Notes, 5.50%, 7/15/19 ⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾	\$ 47 171	\$	6,257 30,810
Total Corporate Bonds & Notes 0.0% (identified cost \$15,228)		\$	37,067
Total Investments 156.8% (identified cost \$196,805,961)		\$ 21.	3,879,112
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (32.8)%		\$ (4	4,700,537)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (24.0)%		\$ (3:	2,780,770)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$ 13	6,397,805

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBACAMBAC Financial Group, Inc. BHACBerkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp. **FGIC** Financial Guaranty Insurance Company NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

At September 30, 2014, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

New York 14.6%85.4%

Others, representing less than 10% individually

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2014, 56.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.4% to 19.6% of total investments.

16

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Bond Fund II

Portfolio of Investments continued

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).	
(2) When-issued security.	

- (3) Defaulted security. Issuer has defaulted on the payment of interest or has filed for bankruptcy.
- (4) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.
- (5) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$1,673,596.
- (6) Amount is less than 0.05%.
- (7) For fair value measurement disclosure purposes, security is categorized as Level 3 (see Note 10).
- (8) Represents a payment-in-kind security which may pay interest in additional principal at the issuer s discretion.
- (9) Security is in default and making only partial interest payments.

17

See Notes to Financial Statements.

California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 168.4%

Security	incipal mount omitted)	Value
Education 16.6% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/22 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 2/1/29 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28 University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39	\$ 1,370 395 160 70 380 890 115 1,200 330 210 145 405 425 450 1,000	\$ 1,539,346 453,168 181,022 82,148 424,110 989,894 139,496 1,365,168 368,382 232,367 158,734 461,963 482,983 508,217 1,159,510
		\$ 8,546,508
Electric Utilities 6.6% Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, 5.25%, 7/1/32 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/30 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 5.00%, 8/15/31 Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/30 Vernon, Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$ 420 125 1,000 675	\$ 848,466 486,650 144,167 1,167,320 763,162 \$ 3,409,765
General Obligations 23.9% California, 5.00%, 10/1/31 ⁽¹⁾ California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 Palo Alto, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/40 Security	1,300 1,850 ncipal nount	\$ 662,293 1,516,892 2,065,765 Value

General Obligations (continued) San Bernardino Community College District, 4.00%, 8/1/30 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002), 5.00%, 8/1/32 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31 San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35 San Mateo Union High School District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 9/1/27 San Mateo Union High School District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 9/1/28 Torrance Unified School District, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/35 Ventura County Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/29	\$ 1,510 720 455 410 685 1,170 1,125 1,000	\$	1,590,981 821,268 520,734 464,268 827,528 1,407,194 1,276,493 1,188,200
		\$	12,341,616
Hospital 15.7% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.625%, 7/1/32 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/32 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/35 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Memorial Health Services), 5.00%, 10/1/27 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Cottage Health System), 5.25%, 11/1/30 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29	\$ 1,330 1,400 335 475 750 600 280 1,000 555 750		1,371,589 1,525,734 376,557 530,684 858,600 682,584 314,896 1,115,450 570,756 752,063
Insured Education 7.2% California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/32 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Pepperdine University), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 12/1/35 California State University, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽²⁾	\$ 420 1,000 2,000	\$ \$	438,358 1,043,710 2,234,720 3,716,788

18

See Notes to Financial Statements.

California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000)	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Electric Utilities 7.3% Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Electric System Revenue, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/26 ⁽²⁾ Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27	\$	1,500 1,035 1,000	\$ 1,662,540 993,145 1,134,910
		!	\$ 3,790,595
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 13.4% California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/33 California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank, (Bay Area Toll Bridges), (AMBAC), Prerefunded to 1/1/28, 5.00%, 7/1/36 Calleguas Las Virgines Public Financing Authority, (Municipal Water District), (BHAC), (FGIC), Prerefunded to 7/1/16,	\$	1,150 525	\$ 1,497,564 683,671
Carleguas Las Virgines Public Financing Authority, (Municipal Water District), (FGIC), Fretefunded to 7/1716, 4.75%, 7/1/37 Clovis Unified School District, (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/1/20 Orange County Water District, Certificates of Participation, (NPFG), Prerefunded to 8/15/32, 5.00%, 8/15/34		1,235 3,130 395	1,331,342 2,899,225 508,879 \$ 6,920,681
Insured General Obligations 26.2% Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/39 Arcadia Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/38 Arcadia Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/40 Carlsbad Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (NPFG), 5.25%, 8/1/32 Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/35 El Camino Hospital District, (NPFG), 4.45%, 8/1/36 Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33 Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/32 Union Elementary School District, (Election of 1999), (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22	\$	7,125 2,525 1,500 6,675 575 1,250 1,040 3,200	\$ 805,294 2,287,054 730,407 1,666,125 2,247,139 585,568 1,422,587 1,146,434 2,620,896 \$ 13,511,504
Insured Hospital 6.2% California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 ⁽²⁾ Security	\$	1,250 Principal Amount 0 s omitted)	\$ 1,310,775 Value

Insured Hospital (continued) California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,750	\$ 1,870,663
		\$ 3,181,438
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 3.8% San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38(2)	\$ 1,750	\$ 1,951,495
		\$ 1,951,495
Insured Special Tax Revenue 9.1% Cathedral City Public Financing Authority, (Housing Redevelopment), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/1/33 Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 4/1/32	\$ 1,400 1,535 2,675 1,225	\$ 1,403,794 1,558,240 374,366 1,336,745
		\$ 4,673,145
Insured Transportation 4.4% San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/27 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/33	\$ 3,520 330	\$ 1,936,774 343,834
		\$ 2,280,608
Insured Water and Sewer 5.8% East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.00%, 6/1/32 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (NPFG), 5.00%, 6/1/32 ⁽²⁾ Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38 Santa Clara Valley Water District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28	\$ 100 1,600 445 615	\$ 110,710 1,771,360 501,960 625,965 \$ 3,009,995
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.0%		
California Public Works Board, 5.00%, 11/1/38	\$ 480	\$ 537,000 \$ 537,000
		φ <i>551</i> ,000
Special Tax Revenue 7.3% Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/31 San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/28 ⁽³⁾	\$ 1,490 1,300	\$ 1,671,765 1,507,064

19

See Notes to Financial Statements.

California Municipal Bond Fund II

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) Successor Agency to La Quinta Redevelopment Agency, 5.00%, 9/1/29	\$	500	\$	579,420
			\$	3,758,249
Transportation 11.6% Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.25%, 4/1/29 Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27 Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ^{(2) (4)} Los Angeles Harbor Department, 5.00%, 8/1/25 San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35 San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/20	\$	1,190 540 1,060 1,250 635 500	\$	1,384,481 610,616 1,199,708 1,499,225 691,166 586,690 5,971,886
Water and Sewer 2.3% Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, 5.00%, 1/1/39	\$	1,050	\$ \$	1,186,710 1,186,710
Total Tax-Exempt Investments 168.4% (identified cost \$78,987,401)			\$	86,886,896
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (49.8)%			\$	(25,700,370)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (18.6)%			\$	(9,605,971)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%			\$	51,580,555

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

	•		
AGC	Assured Guaranty Corp.		
AGM	Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.		
AMBAC	AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.		
BHAC	Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.		
FGIC	Financial Guaranty Insurance Company		
NPFG	National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.		
XLCA	XL Capital Assurance, Inc.		
	1 ,		rs of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be
•	nomic developments in a specific industry or m	1 2	1 '
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	bond insurance of various financial institu	utions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The
aggregate perce	entage insured by an		
individual finar	ncial institution or financial guaranty assurance	agency ranged from 1.6% to 19.2% of total	al investments.
(1) When-issued	d security.		
(2) Security rep	resents the municipal bond held by a trust that i	issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1F	I).
(3) Security (or	a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover	payable for when-issued securities.	
(4) Security (or	a portion thereof) has been pledged as collatera	al for residual interest bond transactions. T	The aggregate value of such collateral is \$404,708.
		20	See Notes to Financial Stateme

Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 158.3%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Bond Bank 5.9% Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/33 Massachusetts Water Pollution Abatement Trust, 5.25%, 8/1/34	\$ 585 640	\$ 756,071 833,210 \$ 1,589,281
Education 23.6% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Milton Academy), 5.00%, 9/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Northeastern University), 5.00%, 10/1/35 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Tufts University), 5.375%, 8/15/38 University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39	\$ 750 2,000 870 1,025 1,000	\$ 854,535 2,236,380 963,673 1,168,684 1,125,430 \$ 6,348,702
Escrowed / Prerefunded 4.4% Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), Prerefunded to 7/1/18, 5.50%, 7/1/36	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,171,990 \$ 1,171,990
General Obligations 12.1% Boston, 4.00%, 4/1/24 Cambridge, 4.00%, 2/15/21 Danvers, 5.25%, 7/1/36 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/26 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/31 Plymouth, 5.00%, 5/1/32 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/33 Wayland, 5.00%, 2/1/36 Winchester, 5.00%, 4/15/36	\$ 200 395 565 250 225 205 340 510 160	\$ 222,440 453,239 652,428 286,890 253,352 230,178 386,842 575,816 181,264

Hospital 17.8% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Children's Hospital), 5.00%, 10/1/31 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Dana-Farber Cancer Institute), 5.00%, 12/1/37 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Lahey Clinic Medical Center), 5.25%, 8/15/28 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/34 Security	\$ (000	525 775 400 500 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$ 616,397 839,248 436,816 569,385 Value
Hospital (continued) Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Partners Healthcare System), 5.00%, 7/1/39 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (South Shore Hospital), 5.75%, 7/1/29 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Southcoast Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/29	\$	750 370 1,000	\$ 848,243 371,561 1,097,460 \$ 4,779,110
Insured Education 15.0% Massachusetts College Building Authority, (XLCA), 5.50%, 5/1/39 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston College), (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/38 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), (XLCA), 6.00%, 5/15/59 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$	700 750 1,105 750	\$ 898,919 819,982 1,365,117 942,555 \$ 4,026,573
Insured Electric Utilities 4.1% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/23	\$	1,095	\$ 1,111,020 \$ 1,111,020
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 11.0% Massachusetts College Building Authority, (NPFG), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 5/1/26 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Massachusetts College of Pharmacy), (AGC), Prerefunded to 7/1/15, 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$	2,900 750	\$ 2,171,375 777,502 \$ 2,948,877
Insured General Obligations 13.3% Massachusetts, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 8/1/30 Revere, (AGC), 5.00%, 4/1/39	\$	1,900 1,000	\$ 2,502,281 1,066,930 \$ 3,569,211
Insured Hospital 0.9% Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Cape Cod Healthcare), (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/25	\$	220	\$ 246,255 \$ 246,255
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.6% Puerto Rico Public Finance Corp., (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 5.50%, 8/1/27	\$	1,000	\$ 1,244,110 \$ 1,244,110

Massachusetts Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2014

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Insured Other Revenue 1.9% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (WGBH Educational Foundation), (AMBAC), 5.75%, 1/1/42	\$		\$ 515,579 \$ 515,579
Insured Special Tax Revenue 15.1% Martha s Vineyard Land Bank, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 5/1/32 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (NPFG), 5.50%, 7/1/28 Massachusetts School Building Authority, Dedicated Sales Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/37(1) Massachusetts, Special Obligation, Dedicated Tax Revenue, (NPFG), 5.50%, 1/1/29 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	400 1,160 750 770	\$ 1,229,459 520,420 1,271,928 939,772 107,762 \$ 4,069,341
Insured Water and Sewer 4.5% Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/36	\$		\$ 1,222,637 \$ 1,222,637
Other Revenue 3.4% Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/25 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum), 5.00%, 5/1/29	\$	490	\$ 364,838 558,463
Senior Living / Life Care 2.8% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Berkshire Retirement Community, Inc.), 5.15%, 7/1/31	\$	745	\$ 923,301 \$ 746,863 746,863
Special Tax Revenue 5.5% Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 7/1/34 Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Special Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/35	\$	95 S 1,210	\$ 108,832 1,378,432

\$ 1,487,264

Transportation 9.1%			
Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (Metropolitan Highway System), 5.00%, 1/1/32	\$	1,000	\$ 1,102,870
Massachusetts Department of Transportation, (Metropolitan Highway System), 5.00%, 1/1/37		500	546,335
Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/28		250	290,340
		Principal	
		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Transportation (continued)			
Massachusetts Port Authority, 5.00%, 7/1/34	\$	435	\$ 492,694
			\$ 2,432,239
Water and Sewer 3.3%			
Boston Water & Sewer Commission, 5.00%, 11/1/27	\$	750	\$ 876,848