

NORTHWEST PIPE CO
Form 10-Q/A
April 27, 2012
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q/A

x Amendment No. 1

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended: June 30, 2011

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 0-27140

NORTHWEST PIPE COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

OREGON
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

93-0557988
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

5721 SE Columbia Way

Suite 200

Vancouver, Washington 98661

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

360-397-6250

(Registrant's telephone number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share
(Class)

9,371,111
(Shares outstanding at April 23, 2012)

Table of Contents

NORTHWEST PIPE COMPANY

FORM 10-Q

INDEX

	Page
<u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. Financial Statements:	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2011 (as Restated) and December 31, 2010 (as Restated)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 (as Restated) and 2010 (as Restated)</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 (as Restated) and 2010(as Restated)</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	23
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	28
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	28
<u>PART II OTHER INFORMATION</u>	30
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	30
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	30
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	31
<u>Signatures</u>	32

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

In this Quarterly Report Amendment No. 1 on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended June 30, 2011 (the June 2011 Form 10-Q/A), Northwest Pipe Company (the Company) is restating its previously reported unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on August 8, 2011 (the Original Filing) in Part I Item 1, Financial Statements to correct material errors identified in such previously issued financial statements. In this filing, the Company is also updating Item 2, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations to reflect the effects of the restatement as it relates to June 30, 2011, and the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010. Except as required to reflect the effects of the restatement for the items above, no additional modifications or updates to the consolidated financial statements or data in this Form 10-Q/A have been made to the consolidated financial statements or data for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 or June 30, 2010 as filed in the Original Filing.

For further detail on the financial statement impacts and the adjustments made as a result of the restatement, see Note 14 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I Item 1, Financial Statements.

Concurrent with the filing of this June 2011 Form 10-Q/A, the Company is filing its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (the 2011 Form 10-K), which contains restated financial statements as of December 31, 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The December 31, 2010 condensed consolidated balance sheet included in this June 2011 Form 10-Q/A reflects the restated information included in our 2011 Form 10-K. The Company is also concurrently filing its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, which includes the restatement of financial statements as of and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and its amended Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended March 31, 2011 which includes the restatement of our financial statements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010.

Ineffectiveness of Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company has determined that certain material weaknesses in our internal controls continued to exist as of June 30, 2011. For a description of the material weaknesses in its internal control over financial reporting and its plan to remediate those material weaknesses, see Part I Item 4, Controls and Procedures of this report. In addition, as a result of the existence of material weaknesses in its internal controls, we have also concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

NORTHWEST PIPE COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	June 30, 2011 As Restated	December 31, 2010 As Restated
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 43	\$ 51
Trade and other receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,242 and \$2,151	93,753	66,474
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	59,811	45,533
Inventories	74,178	80,887
Refundable income taxes		15,099
Deferred income taxes	6,442	6,293
Prepaid expenses and other	1,566	2,163
Total current assets	235,793	216,500
Property and equipment, net	151,979	154,274
Goodwill	20,478	21,451
Other assets	17,213	22,658
Total assets	\$ 425,463	\$ 414,883
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 5,714	\$ 5,714
Note payable to financial institution	72,819	
Current portion of capital lease obligations	3,393	3,257
Accounts payable	19,478	28,463
Accrued liabilities	18,588	11,448
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	18,884	14,808
Total current liabilities	138,876	63,690
Note payable to financial institution		68,000
Long-term debt, less current portion	13,500	17,786
Capital lease obligations, less current portion	13,974	15,705
Deferred income taxes	15,385	14,582
Other long-term liabilities	8,827	8,828
Total liabilities	190,562	188,591
Commitments and contingencies (Note 5)		
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 15,000,000 shares authorized, 9,344,701 and 9,298,156 shares issued and outstanding	93	93
Additional paid-in-capital	108,066	107,578
Retained earnings	128,386	120,477
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,644)	(1,856)

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

Total stockholders' equity	234,901	226,292
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 425,463	\$ 414,883

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NORTHWEST PIPE COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011 As Restated	2010 As Restated	2011 As Restated	2010 As Restated
Net sales	\$ 143,801	\$ 96,125	\$ 255,259	\$ 176,507
Cost of sales	127,130	91,202	223,820	163,395
Gross profit	16,671	4,923	31,439	13,112
Selling, general and administrative expense	5,603	6,885	12,892	13,796
Operating income (loss)	11,068	(1,962)	18,547	(684)
Other expense (income)	284	228	397	(427)
Interest income	(23)	(213)	(23)	(427)
Interest expense	2,575	2,201	5,193	3,771
Income (loss) before income taxes	8,232	(4,178)	12,980	(3,601)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	3,255	(1,040)	5,071	(951)
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,977	\$ (3,138)	\$ 7,909	\$ (2,650)
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.53	\$ (0.34)	\$ 0.85	\$ (0.29)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	\$ 0.53	\$ (0.34)	\$ 0.85	\$ (0.29)
Shares used in per share calculations:				
Basic	9,327	9,278	9,316	9,264
Diluted	9,355	9,278	9,348	9,264

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**NORTHWEST PIPE COMPANY****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010
	As Restated	As Restated
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:		
Net income (loss)	7,909	\$ (2,650)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	7,047	6,655
Amortization of intangible assets	50	126
Allowance on notes receivable	3,171	
Provision for doubtful accounts	(909)	(60)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiary, net of dividends received	394	(506)
Amortization of debt issuance costs	1,021	519
Deferred income taxes	654	(314)
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	292	348
Gain on sale of business	(2,887)	
Stock based compensation expense	449	546
Tax benefit from stock option plans		(123)
Unrealized loss (gain) on foreign currency forward contracts	290	(924)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Trade and other receivables, net	(29,164)	(16,169)
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts, net	(10,202)	(7,082)
Inventories	3,072	(7,067)
Refundable income taxes	15,099	1,372
Prepaid expenses and other assets	904	728
Accounts payable	(9,045)	(2,937)
Accrued and other liabilities	7,061	482
Net cash used in operating activities	(4,794)	(27,056)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:		
Additions to property and equipment	(8,580)	(11,320)
Proceeds from sale of business	13,727	
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	95	18
Issuance of note receivable		(300)
Other investing activities	567	320
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	5,809	(11,282)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	90	
Tax withholdings related to net share settlements of restricted stock awards and performance shares	(51)	(83)
Payments on long-term debt	(4,286)	(4,287)
Borrowings under note payable to financial institution	88,050	105,536
Payments on note payable to financial institution	(83,231)	(62,109)
Borrowings from capital lease obligations		2,146
Payments on capital lease obligations	(1,595)	(1,177)

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

Payments of debt amendment costs		(1,675)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(1,023)		38,351
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(8)		13
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	51		31
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 43	\$	44
Non-cash investing activity:			
Escrow account related to capital lease financing	\$ 2,726	\$	3,445
Accrued property and equipment purchases	1,028		1,660

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**NORTHWEST PIPE COMPANY****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Company is filing this June 2011 Form 10-Q/A concurrently with the filing of its amended quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended March 31, 2011, its quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, and its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (the 2011 Form 10-K). The financial information as of December 31, 2010 is derived from the audited consolidated financial statements presented in the Northwest Pipe Company (the Company) 2011 Form 10-K. Certain information or footnote disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). In the opinion of management, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments necessary (which are of a normal and recurring nature). The condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto together with management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations contained in the Company's 2011 Form 10-K.

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Northwest Pipe Company and its subsidiaries in which the Company exercises control as of the financial statement date. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

On April 1, 2011, the Company sold its interest in Northwest Pipe Asia Pte. Ltd. (NWPA), which was previously accounted for under the equity method of accounting. See Note 12 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information regarding the sale.

Operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire fiscal year ending December 31, 2011.

2. Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market and consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Short-term inventories:		
Raw materials	\$ 54,200	\$ 58,610
Work-in-process	1,449	2,521
Finished goods	16,194	17,566
Supplies	2,335	2,190
	74,178	80,887
Long-term inventories:		
Finished goods	2,527	2,554
Total inventories	\$ 76,705	\$ 83,441

The lower of cost or market adjustment was \$4.0 million at June 30, 2011 and \$4.5 million at December 31, 2010. Long-term inventories are recorded in other assets.

3. Fair Value Measurements

The Company records certain of its financial assets and liabilities at fair value, which is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability, in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability, in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The authoritative guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. These levels are: Level 1 (inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities); Level 2 (inputs are other than quoted prices that are observable, either directly or indirectly through corroboration with observable market data); and Level 3 (inputs are unobservable, with little or no market data that exists, such as internal financial forecasts). The Company is required to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information regarding the Company's financial assets and financial liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (in thousands):

Description	Balance at June 30, 2011	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Financial Assets				
Escrow account	\$ 2,726	\$ 2,726	\$	\$
Deferred compensation plan assets	4,604	4,604		
Total Assets	\$ 7,330	\$ 7,330	\$	\$

Financial Liabilities				
Derivatives	\$ (747)	\$	\$ (747)	\$

Description	Balance at December 31, 2010	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Financial Assets				
Escrow account	\$ 2,726	\$ 2,726	\$	\$
Deferred compensation plan assets	4,560	4,560		
Total Assets	\$ 7,286	\$ 7,286	\$	\$

Financial Liabilities				
Derivatives	\$ (622)	\$	\$ (622)	\$

The escrow account, consisting of a money market mutual fund, is valued using quoted market prices in active markets classified as Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy. The deferred compensation plan assets consists of cash and several publicly traded stock and bond mutual funds, valued using quoted market prices in active markets classified as Level 1 within the fair value hierarchy. The Company's derivatives consist of foreign currency cash flow hedges and are valued using various pricing models or discounted cash flow analyses that incorporate observable market parameters, such as interest rate yield curves and currency rates, and are classified as Level 2 within the valuation hierarchy. Derivative valuations incorporate credit risk adjustments that are necessary to reflect the probability of default by the counterparty or the Company.

The net carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, trade and other receivables, refundable income taxes, accounts payable, accrued liabilities and note payable to financial institution approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments. Similarly, the Company believes the carrying value of its long-term debt also approximates fair value in that the interest rates and scheduled maturities applicable of the outstanding borrowings approximate interest rates and terms available for the same or similar loans.

Financial Assets Measured and Recorded at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis

We measure our financial assets, including notes receivable and non-marketable equity method investments, at fair value on a non-recurring basis when they are determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired. The fair value of these assets is determined using Level 3 unobservable inputs due to the absence of observable market inputs and the valuations requiring management judgment. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, we recognized \$3.2 million of impairment charges on notes receivable. There were no impairment charges taken during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. The impairment charges were included in other expense (income) in the consolidated statement of income. All notes receivable are categorized as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

4. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company conducts business in various foreign countries and, from time to time, settles transactions in foreign currencies. The Company has established a program that utilizes foreign currency forward contracts to offset the risk associated with the effects of certain foreign currency

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

exposures, typically arising from sales contracts denominated in Canadian currency. These derivative contracts are consistent with the Company's strategy for financial risk management. The Company uses cash flow hedge accounting treatment for qualifying foreign currency forward contracts. The Company initially reports any gain or loss on the effective portion of a cash flow hedge as a component of other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassifies any gain or loss to net sales when the underlying hedged revenue is recorded. Instruments that do not qualify for cash flow hedge accounting treatment are re-measured at fair value on each balance sheet date and resulting gains and losses are recognized in net income. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the total notional amount of the derivative contracts not designated as hedges was \$0.7 million (CAD\$0.8 million) and \$1.3 million (CAD\$1.3 million), respectively. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the total notional amount of the derivative contracts designated as hedges was \$18.3 million (CAD\$19.0 million) and \$15.1 million (CAD\$15.0 million), respectively.

Table of Contents

For each derivative contract entered into in which the Company seeks to obtain cash flow hedge accounting treatment, the Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk management objective and strategy for undertaking the hedge transaction, the nature of the risk being hedged, how the hedging instrument's effectiveness in offsetting the hedged risk will be assessed prospectively and retrospectively, and a description of the method of measuring ineffectiveness. This process includes linking all derivatives to specific firm commitments or forecasted transactions and the derivatives are designated as cash flow hedges. The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivative contracts that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items. The effective portion of these hedged items is reflected in other comprehensive income (loss). If it is determined that a derivative contract is not highly effective, or that it has ceased to be a highly effective hedge, the Company will be required to discontinue hedge accounting with respect to that derivative contract prospectively.

Though most Canadian forward contracts have maturities not longer than 12 months at June 30, 2011, two of the Company's contracts at that date with a total notional value of \$3.3 million (CAD\$3.4 million) have maturities greater than 12 months, with the greatest maturity being 15 months.

The balance sheet location and the fair values of derivative instruments are (in thousands):

	June 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Foreign Currency Forward Contracts		
Liabilities		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments		
Accrued liabilities	\$ 149	\$ 317
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		
Accrued liabilities	598	305
 Total liabilities	 \$ 747	 \$ 622

The amounts of the gains and losses related to the Company's derivative contracts designated as hedging instruments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 are (in thousands):

	Pretax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Comprehensive Income (Loss) on Effective Portion of Derivative			
	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships				
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$ (101)	\$ 292	\$ (353)	\$ 158

	<u>Location</u>	Pretax Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Effective Portion of Derivative as a Result of Reclassification from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss			
		Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
		2011	2010	2011	2010
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships					
Foreign currency forward contracts	Net sales	\$ (258)	\$ 91	\$ (506)	\$ (13)

Table of Contents

	Location	Loss on Ineffective Portion of Derivative and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing Recognized in Income			
		Three months ended		Six months ended	
		June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2011	June 30, 2010
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships					
Foreign currency forward contracts	Net sales	\$ (32)	\$ (22)	\$ (57)	\$ (2)

At June 30, 2011, there is \$118,000 of deferred pretax losses on outstanding derivatives accumulated in other comprehensive loss all of which is expected to be reclassified to net sales within the next 12 months as a result of underlying hedged transactions also being recorded in net sales.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, losses from our derivative contracts not designated as hedging instruments recognized in net sales were \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. For the three months ended June 30, 2010, gains from our derivative contracts not designated as hedging instruments recognized in net sales were \$0.4 million, which brought the year-to-date net gains (losses) for these contracts to zero as of June 30, 2010.

5. Commitments and Contingencies

Securities Litigation. On November 20, 2009, a complaint against the Company, captioned *Richard v. Northwest Pipe Co. et al.*, No. C09-5724 RBL (Richard), was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington. The plaintiff is allegedly a purchaser of the Company's stock. In addition to the Company, Brian W. Dunham, the Company's former President and Chief Executive Officer, and Stephanie J. Welty, the Company's former Chief Financial Officer, are named as defendants. The complaint alleges that defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by making false or misleading statements between April 23, 2008 and November 11, 2009. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of persons who purchased the Company's stock during the same period, and seeks damages for losses caused by the alleged wrongdoing.

A similar complaint, captioned *Plumbers and Pipefitters Local Union No. 630 Pension-Annuity Trust Fund v. Northwest Pipe Co. et al.*, No. C09-5791 RBL (Plumbers), was filed against the Company in the same court on December 22, 2009. In addition to the Company, Brian W. Dunham, Stephanie J. Welty and William R. Tagmyer, the Company's current Chairman of the Board, are named as defendants in the *Plumbers* complaint. In the *Plumbers* complaint, as in the *Richard* complaint, the plaintiff is allegedly a purchaser of the Company's stock and asserts that defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by making false or misleading statements between April 23, 2008 and November 11, 2009. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of persons who purchased the Company's stock during that period, and seeks damages for losses caused by the alleged wrongdoing.

The *Richard* action and the *Plumbers* action were consolidated on February 25, 2010. Plumbers and Pipefitters Local No. 630 Pension-Annuity Trust Fund was appointed lead plaintiff in the consolidated action. Defendants and lead plaintiff subsequently agreed that defendants did not need to respond immediately to either of the two outstanding complaints, and that a consolidated amended complaint would be filed within 45 days of us having completed the filing of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2009 and our 2009 Form 10-K with the SEC. A consolidated amended complaint was filed by the plaintiff on December 21, 2010, and our motion to dismiss was filed on February 25, 2011, as were similar motions filed by the individual defendants. Briefing on those motions concluded on May 24, 2011 and a ruling on the pending motion to dismiss is expected between August and October, 2011. We intend to vigorously defend ourselves against these claims. This securities litigation is at an early stage and, at this time, it is not possible to predict its outcome. Therefore, we have not accrued any charges related to this litigation.

On March 3, 2010, the Company was served with a derivative complaint, captioned *Ruggles v. Dunham et al.*, No. C10-5129 RBL (Ruggles), and filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington. The plaintiff in this action is allegedly a current shareholder of the Company. The Company is a nominal defendant in this litigation. Plaintiff seeks to assert, on the Company's behalf, claims against Brian W. Dunham, Stephanie J. Welty, William R. Tagmyer, Keith R. Larson, Wayne B. Kingsley, Richard A. Roman, Michael C. Franson and Neil R. Thornton. The asserted basis of the claims is that defendants breached fiduciary duties to the Company by causing the Company to make improper statements between April 23, 2008 and August 7, 2009. Plaintiff seeks to recover, on the Company's behalf, damages for losses caused by the alleged wrongdoing.

Neither the Company nor the defendants are required to respond to the current complaint. Pursuant to an agreement among the parties, on February 15, 2011 the Court entered an Order staying the *Ruggles* action until after the same Court has ruled on the motions to dismiss the securities class action described above. Any amended complaint in the *Ruggles* action would be due within 45 days after such a ruling. It should

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

also be noted that derivative claims by their nature do not seek to recover damages from us, but purport instead to seek to recover damages for the benefit of us. This litigation is at a very early stage and, at this time, it is not possible to predict its outcome. Therefore, we have not accrued any charges related to this litigation.

Table of Contents

SEC Investigation. On March 8, 2010, the staff of the Enforcement Division of the SEC issued a formal order of investigation and a subpoena for the production of documents. The Company is cooperating with the SEC, but does not know when the inquiry and investigation will be resolved or what, if any, actions the SEC may require as part of that resolution. Any action by the SEC or other governmental agency could result in civil or criminal sanctions against the Company and/or certain of its current or former officers, directors and/or employees. The investigation is at an early stage and, at this time, it is not possible to predict its outcome. Therefore, the Company has not accrued any charges related to this investigation.

Environmental Litigation. On December 1, 2000, a section of the lower Willamette River known as the Portland Harbor was included on the National Priorities List at the request of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the EPA). While the Company's Portland, Oregon manufacturing facility does not border the Willamette River, an outfall from the facility's storm water system drains into a neighboring property's privately owned slip. The Company and approximately 141 other parties have been notified by the EPA and the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (the ODEQ) of potential liability under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA). As of June 2011, approximately 326 entities on and nearby the river have been asked to file information disclosure reports with the EPA. By agreement with the EPA, the ODEQ is responsible with overseeing remedial investigation and source control activities for all upland sites to prevent future contamination to the river. A remedial investigation and feasibility study of the Portland Harbor is currently being directed by a group of potentially responsible parties known as the Lower Willamette Group (the LWG). The Company made a payment of \$175,000 to the LWG in June 2007 as part of an interim settlement, and is under no obligation to make any further payment. A draft remedial investigation report was submitted to the EPA by the LWG in the fall of 2009; the final remedial investigation, including a Human Health Risk Assessment and a Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment, is expected to be complete in 2011. The feasibility study is underway, and a draft is expected to be completed by the LWG in late 2011.

In 2001, groundwater containing elevated organic compounds (VOCs) was identified in one localized area of the Company's property furthest from the river. Assessment work in 2002 and 2003 to further characterize the groundwater is consistent with the initial conclusion that the source of the VOCs is located off of Company-owned property. In February 2005, the Company entered into a Voluntary Agreement for Remedial Investigation and Source Control Measures (Agreement) with the ODEQ. The Company is one of 95 Upland Source Control Sites working with the ODEQ on Source Control and is ranked a medium priority. The Company performed Remedial Investigation work required under the Agreement and submitted a draft Remedial Investigation/Source Control Evaluation Report on December 30, 2005. The conclusions of the report indicated that the VOCs found in the groundwater do not present an unacceptable risk to human or ecological receptors in the Willamette River. The report also indicated there is no evidence at this time showing a connection between detected VOCs in groundwater and Willamette River sediments. In 2009, the ODEQ requested the Company to revise its Remedial Investigation/Source Control Evaluation Report from 2005 to include recent information available related to nearby properties. The Company expects to submit an Expanded Risk Assessment for the Chlorinated Groundwater Plume by the fall of 2011.

Also, based on the remedial investigation and reporting required under the Portland, Oregon manufacturing facility's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit for storm water, the Company and the ODEQ have identified small amounts of polynuclear aromatic compounds and polychlorinated biphenyls and have periodically identified trace amounts of zinc in storm water. Storm water from the Portland, Oregon manufacturing facility site is discharged to a neighboring property's privately owned slip, as is storm water from surrounding industrial properties. The slip was historically used for shipbuilding and subsequently for ship breaking and metal recycling. Studies of the river sediments have revealed concentration of polynuclear aromatic compounds, polychlorinated biphenyls and zinc, which are common constituents in urban storm water discharges. To minimize the zinc traces in its storm water, the Company painted a substantial part of the Portland facility's roofs and made certain paving improvements at the Portland facility. In June 2009, under the ODEQ Agreement, the Company submitted a Final Supplemental Work Plan to evaluate and assess soil and storm water, and further assess groundwater risk. The Company is working with the City of Portland and the ODEQ to facilitate further soil and storm water source control measures. The Company submitted a remediation plan related to soil contamination in May 2010, which ODEQ approved in August 2010. The Company expects to spend approximately \$2.3 million during 2011 to address these issues.

Concurrent with the activities of the EPA and the ODEQ, the Portland Harbor Natural Resources Trustee Council (Trustees) sent some or all of the same parties, including the Company, a notice of intent to perform a Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) for the Portland Harbor Site to determine the nature and extent of natural resource damages under CERCLA section 107. The Trustees for the Portland Harbor Site consist of representatives from several Northwest Indian Tribes, three federal agencies and one state agency. The Trustees act independently of the EPA and the ODEQ, but the Company expects their assessment will be coordinated with the remedial investigation and feasibility study work underway at the Portland Harbor Site. In 2009, the Trustees completed phase one of their three-phase NRDA. Phase one of the NRDA consisted of environmental studies to fill gaps in the information available from the EPA, and development of a framework for evaluating, quantifying and determining the extent of injuries to the natural resource and the resulting damages. Phase two of the NRDA began in 2010 and consists largely of implementing the framework developed in phase one.

Table of Contents

The Trustees have encouraged potentially responsible parties to voluntarily participate in the funding of their injury assessments. In 2009, one of the Tribal Trustees (the Yakima Nation) resigned and has requested funding from the same parties to support its own assessment. The Company has not assumed any payment obligation or liability related to either request. The extent of the Company's obligation with respect to Portland Harbor matters is not known, and no further adjustment to the consolidated financial statements has been recorded as of June 30, 2011.

We operate our facilities under numerous governmental permits and licenses relating to air emissions, storm water run-off, and other environmental matters. Our operations are also governed by many other laws and regulations, including those relating to workplace safety and worker health, principally the Occupational Safety and Health Act and regulations there under which, among other requirements, establish noise and dust standards. We believe we are in material compliance with our permits and licenses and these laws and regulations, and we do not believe that future compliance with such laws and regulations will have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

From time to time, the Company is involved in litigation relating to claims arising out of its operations in the normal course of its business. The Company maintains insurance coverage against potential claims in amounts that are believed to be adequate. The Company believes that it is not presently a party to any other litigation, the outcome of which would have a material effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Guarantees. The Company has entered into certain stand-by letters of credit that total \$11.2 million at June 30, 2011. The stand-by letters of credit relate to workers' compensation insurance and equipment financing.

6. Segment Information

The Company's operations are organized in two reportable segments, the Water Transmission Group and the Tubular Products Group, which are based on the nature of the products and the manufacturing process. The Water Transmission Group manufactures large-diameter, high-pressure steel pipeline systems for use in water infrastructure applications, primarily related to drinking water systems. These products are also used for hydroelectric power systems, wastewater systems and other applications. In addition, the Water Transmission Group makes products for industrial plant piping systems and certain structural applications. The Tubular Products Group manufactures and markets smaller diameter, electric resistance welded steel pipe used in a wide range of applications, including energy, construction, and agricultural systems. The Tubular Products Group also manufactured and marketed welded steel pipe used in traffic signpost applications through June 1, 2011 (see Note 12). These two segments represent distinct business activities, which management evaluates based on segment gross profit and operating income. Transfers between segments in the periods presented were not material.

Table of Contents

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
	As Restated	As Restated	As Restated	As Restated
	(in thousands)		(in thousands)	
Net sales:				
Water Transmission	\$ 74,459	\$ 56,060	\$ 133,104	\$ 108,745
Tubular Products	69,342	40,065	122,155	67,762
Total	\$ 143,801	\$ 96,125	\$ 255,259	\$ 176,507
Gross profit:				
Water Transmission	\$ 11,531	\$ 4,573	\$ 21,425	\$ 10,747
Tubular Products	5,140	350	10,014	2,365
Total	\$ 16,671	\$ 4,923	\$ 31,439	\$ 13,112
Operating income (loss):				
Water Transmission	\$ 9,142	\$ 2,378	\$ 17,403	\$ 6,251
Tubular Products	4,304	(441)	8,153	1,004
Corporate	(2,378)	(3,899)	(7,009)	(7,939)
Total	\$ 11,068	\$ (1,962)	\$ 18,547	\$ (684)

7. Share-based Compensation

The Company has one active stock incentive plan for employees and directors, the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, which provides for awards of stock options to purchase shares of common stock, stock appreciation rights, restricted and unrestricted shares of common stock, restricted stock units and performance awards. In addition, the Company has two inactive stock option plans, the 1995 Stock Option Plan for Nonemployee Directors and the Amended 1995 Stock Incentive Plan, under which previously granted options remain outstanding.

The Company recognizes compensation cost as service is rendered based on the fair value of the awards. The following summarizes share-based compensation expense recorded (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Cost of sales	\$ 4	\$ 8	\$ 9	\$ 35
Selling, general and administrative expenses	227	45	\$ 440	\$ 511
Total	\$ 231	\$ 53	\$ 449	\$ 546

As of June 30, 2011, unrecognized compensation expense related to the unvested portion of the Company's restricted stock units and performance awards was \$247,000, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 0.9 years.

Table of Contents**Stock Option Awards**

A summary of the status of the Company's stock options as of June 30, 2011 and changes during the six months then ended is presented below:

	Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands)
Balance, January 1, 2011	145,209	\$ 17.64		
Options granted				
Options exercised or exchanged	(69,612)	14.12		
Options canceled				
Balance, June 30, 2011	75,597	20.88	4.31	\$ 453
Exercisable, June 30, 2011	75,597	20.88	4.31	\$ 453

The total intrinsic value, defined as the difference between the current market value and the grant price, of options exercised or exchanged during the six months ended June 30, 2011 was \$715,000.

Restricted Stock Units and Performance Awards

A summary of the status of the Company's restricted stock units and performance awards as of June 30, 2011 and changes during the six months then ended is presented below:

	Number of Restricted Stock Units and Performance Awards	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested restricted stock units and performance awards at January 1, 2011	55,843	\$ 37.00
Restricted stock units and performance awards granted	18,000	23.79
Restricted stock units and performance awards vested	(8,443)	25.60
Restricted stock units and performance awards canceled	(21,774)	46.94
Unvested restricted stock units and performance awards at June 30, 2011	43,626	28.78

Restricted stock units (RSU's) and performance stock awards (PSA's) are measured at market value on the date of grant. RSU's are service-based awards and generally vest equally over a three-year period. PSA's are performance and service-based awards. PSA's are awarded at the end of a three-year performance period, if certain performance objectives are met, and vest equally over a two-year period. The Company recognizes compensation expense related to the performance awards based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions.

Stock Awards

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, stock awards of 6,261 and 0 shares, respectively, were granted to non-employee directors, which vested immediately upon issuance. The Company recorded compensation expense based on the fair market value per share of the awards on the grant date of \$25.15 in 2011.

8. Income Taxes

The Company files income tax returns in the United States Federal jurisdiction, in a limited number of foreign jurisdictions, and in many state jurisdictions. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. Federal, state or foreign income tax examinations for years before 2007.

The Company had \$125,000 of unrecognized tax benefits at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The Company does not believe it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will change significantly in the following twelve months; however, actual results could differ from those currently expected.

Table of Contents

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. The Company provided for income taxes at estimated effective tax rates of 39.5% and 39.1%, respectively, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011, and estimated effective tax benefit rates of 24.9% and 26.4%, respectively, for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010.

9. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes all changes in stockholders' equity for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 except those resulting from investments by and distributions to stockholders as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,977	\$ (3,138)	\$ 7,909	\$ (2,650)
Pension liability adjustment, net of tax	44	44	88	88
Unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments, net of tax	105	139	124	109
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 5,126	\$ (2,955)	\$ 8,121	\$ (2,453)

10. Earnings per Share

Earnings (loss) per basic and diluted weighted average common share outstanding was calculated as follows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income (loss) (in thousands)	\$ 4,977	\$ (3,138)	\$ 7,909	\$ (2,650)
Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding	9,326,873	9,278,396	9,315,739	9,263,899
Effect of potentially dilutive common shares ⁽¹⁾	28,508		32,757	
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding	9,355,381	9,278,396	9,348,496	9,263,899
Earnings (loss) per common share:				
Earnings (loss) per basic common share	\$ 0.53	\$ (0.34)	\$ 0.85	\$ (0.29)
Earnings (loss) per diluted common share	\$ 0.53	\$ (0.34)	\$ 0.85	\$ (0.29)
Antidilutive shares not included in diluted common share calculation	34,000	49,307	45,801	25,534

(1) Represents the effect of the assumed exercise of stock options and the vesting of restricted stock units and performance stock awards, based on the treasury stock method

11. Recent Accounting and Reporting Developments

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, which eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the Statement of Stockholders Equity. All changes in components of stockholders' equity must be presented in (1) a single continuous statement of comprehensive income, which presents the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of comprehensive income, or (2) two separate but consecutive statements. Under either presentation method, reclassification adjustments between other comprehensive income and net income are required to be presented on the face of the financial statements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 and will be applied retrospectively. The adoption of this guidance does not

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income and thus will have a presentation only impact.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, which amends the wording used to describe the requirements for measuring fair value and expands fair value disclosure requirements. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows as the new requirements are primarily disclosure related.

Table of Contents

12. Sale of Business

On April 1, 2011, the Company sold its interest in NWPA for \$0.8 million to the controlling owners of the business. A total of \$0.6 million under the terms of the sales agreement has been received by the Company at June 30, 2011. The remaining \$0.2 million is due on or before August 31, 2011.

On June 1, 2011, the Company sold all assets of the traffic system products line of the Tubular Products facility in Houston, Texas. Assets sold as part of this sale included the (i) raw materials, work-in-process, finished goods and related fuel and supplies inventories, (ii) tangible personal property located at the Houston facilities or used by the Company in connection with the traffic business, including machinery, equipment, tooling, operating and maintenance manuals, parts and all other tangible assets used in or related to the traffic business, (iii) receivables, and (iv) other assets. Total consideration of \$13.7 million was received, resulting in a gain of \$2.9 million recognized in other expense (income) during the second quarter of 2011. The calculation of the gain on sale included a write-off of \$1.0 million of goodwill.

13. Subsequent Events Commitments and Contingencies

On August 26, 2011 the Court denied all defendants motions to dismiss in the consolidated Richard action and the Plumbers action, and the Company filed its answer to the consolidated amended complaint on October 24, 2011. The parties have conducted limited discovery and participated in an initial settlement mediation on January 30, 2012, with additional sessions anticipated in the future. By agreement of the parties, no further discovery will take place until after the mediation process is exhausted. The Company intends to vigorously defend itself against these claims.

On September 23, 2011, the Company was served with a derivative complaint, captioned *Grivich v. Dunham, et al.*, No. 11-2-03678-6 (Grivich), and filed in the Superior Court of Washington for Clark County. The plaintiff in this action is allegedly a current shareholder of the Company. The Company is a nominal defendant in this litigation. Plaintiff seeks to assert, on the Company's behalf, claims against Brian W. Dunham, Stephanie J. Welty, William R. Tagmyer, Keith R. Larson, Wayne B. Kingsley, Richard A. Roman, Michael C. Franson and Neil R. Thornton. The asserted basis of the claims is that defendants breached fiduciary duties to the Company between April 2, 2007 and the date of the Complaint. Plaintiff seeks to recover, on the Company's behalf, damages for losses caused by the alleged wrongdoing.

On October 14, 2011, another derivative complaint, captioned *Richard v. Dunham, et al.*, No. 11-2-04080-5 (Richard Deriv.), was filed in the Superior Court of Washington for Clark County. The plaintiff in this action is allegedly a current shareholder of the Company. The Company is a nominal defendant in this litigation. Plaintiff seeks to assert, on the Company's behalf, claims against Brian W. Dunham, Stephanie J. Welty, William R. Tagmyer, Keith R. Larson, Wayne B. Kingsley, Richard A. Roman, Michael C. Franson and Neil R. Thornton. The asserted basis of the claims is that defendants breached fiduciary duties to the Company between April 2, 2007 and the date of the Complaint. Plaintiff seeks to recover, on the Company's behalf, damages for losses caused by the alleged wrongdoing.

An amended complaint in the Ruggles action was filed on November 10, 2011, and the defendant responded to the complaint by filing a motion to dismiss, which motion is still pending. The derivative parties participated in the initial settlement mediation described above and will participate in any follow up sessions. It should also be noted that derivative claims by their nature do not seek to recover damages from the Company, but purport instead to seek to recover damages for the benefit of the Company. These cases are at a very early stage and, at this time, it is not possible to predict their outcome. Therefore, the Company has not accrued any charges related to them.

Amended and Restated Credit Agreement

On March 29, 2012 the Company entered into an amendment to the Company's current Amended and Restated Credit Agreement which is recorded as Note payable to financial institution on the balance sheet. A summary of the amendments is as follows:

Extended the expiration date to April 30, 2013;

Set aggregate commitments of the lenders at \$115 million; and

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

Waived compliance with certain covenants and made certain changes in the definition, method of calculation and amounts of certain covenants.

Table of Contents

14. Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements

Subsequent to the issuance of our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the Company determined that there were errors included in the previously issued condensed consolidated financial statements as described below. As a result, we have restated our condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 to correct errors described below.

The restatement corrects the following errors:

Depreciation

The Company's historical method of systematically and rationally allocating equipment depreciation using the units of production depreciation methodology (the Units of Production Method) requires an estimate of future tons of production over the remaining useful lives of equipment. The estimates of future tons of production over the remaining useful lives of equipment were not properly re-evaluated subsequent to the initial assumptions utilized in the adoption, in 2006, of the Units of Production Method. To appropriately apply the Units of Production Method, the Company should have periodically re-evaluated the assumptions underlying its application of the Units of Production Method and changed the assumptions underlying the accounting estimate beginning January 1, 2009. The effects of correcting this error are a net increase in depreciation expense and a net decrease in loss on disposal, both of which are included in cost of sales, and a net increase in accumulated depreciation.

The Company also corrected its estimate of the remaining lives of non-operating equipment depreciated using the straight-line method of depreciation. The effects of correcting this error are a net decrease in depreciation expense, which is reflected in selling, general and administrative expense, and a net decrease in accumulated depreciation.

Historical salvage value estimates used to systematically and rationally allocate property and equipment depreciation were incorrect as they did not sufficiently consider the estimated disposal value at the end of the property and equipment's useful life. The effects of correcting this error are an increase to depreciation expense, which is reflected in cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expense, and an increase in accumulated depreciation.

Other Errors

A contractual arrangement entered into with Lucid Energy LLC (Lucid Energy) during 2008 was incorrectly accounted for as notes receivable within Other Assets. The contractual arrangement with Lucid Energy represented an interest in a variable interest entity which should have resulted in the entity being consolidated during 2009 and subsequently deconsolidated following the retrospective adoption of the FASB authoritative guidance which changed the method of identifying the primary beneficiary. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Upon deconsolidation, the Company's investment in the entity should have been recorded as research and development expense within selling, general and administrative expense. The adjustments required to correct these errors have resulted in an increase in selling, general, and administrative expense, a decrease in interest income, and a decrease in other assets.

Certain equipment leases historically accounted for as operating leases should have been recorded as capital leases. The correction of this error increases depreciation expense and decreases rental expense, both of which are included in cost of sales, and increases interest expense in each period. The correction of this error also increased property and equipment, net, and capital lease obligations.

Certain costs capitalized upon the relocation of machinery and equipment to our Bossier City facility in 2008 and 2009 should have been expensed. The effects of correcting these errors were a reduction in machinery and equipment of \$2.8 million as of June 30, 2011, and the related tax effects.

Certain previously identified immaterial errors were corrected as part of the restatement.

Table of Contents

The impact on the Company's previously reported condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 are shown in the following tables (in thousands, except per share data):

Three Months Ended June 30, 2011	Restatement Adjustments			As Restated
	As Previously Reported	Depreciation	Other	
Net sales	\$ 143,801	\$	\$	\$ 143,801
Cost of sales	125,872	1,424	(166)	127,130
Gross profit	17,929	(1,424)	166	16,671
Selling, general and administrative	5,381	(28)	250	5,603
Operating income	12,548	(1,396)	(84)	11,068
Other expense	1,604	(1,320)		284
Interest income	(56)		33	(23)
Interest expense	2,344		231	2,575
Income before income taxes	8,656	(76)	(348)	8,232
Provision for income taxes	3,281	(5)	(21)	3,255
Net income	\$ 5,375	\$ (71)	\$ (327)	\$ 4,977
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.58			\$ 0.53
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.57			\$ 0.53

Three Months Ended June 30, 2010	Restatement Adjustments			As Restated
	As Previously Reported	Depreciation	Other	
Net sales	\$ 96,125	\$	\$	\$ 96,125
Cost of sales	90,358	970	(126)	91,202
Gross profit	5,767	(970)	126	4,923
Selling, general and administrative	6,621	(21)	285	6,885
Operating loss	(854)	(949)	(159)	(1,962)
Other expense	228			228
Interest income	(235)		22	(213)
Interest expense	1,934		267	2,201
Loss before income taxes	(2,781)	(949)	(448)	(4,178)
Benefit from income taxes	(1,384)	234	110	(1,040)
Net loss	\$ (1,397)	\$ (1,183)	\$ (558)	\$ (3,138)
Basic loss per share	\$ (0.15)			\$ (0.34)

Diluted loss per share	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.34)
------------------------	-----------	-----------

Table of Contents

	As		Restatement Adjustments	
	Previously Reported	Depreciation	Other	As Restated
Six Months Ended June 30, 2011				
Net sales	\$ 255,259	\$	\$	\$ 255,259
Cost of sales	221,746	2,406	(332)	223,820
Gross profit	33,513	(2,406)	332	31,439
Selling, general and administrative	12,696	(54)	250	12,892
Operating income	20,817	(2,352)	82	18,547
Other expense	1,717	(1,320)		397
Interest income	(89)		66	(23)
Interest expense	4,718		475	5,193
Income before income taxes	14,471	(1,032)	(459)	12,980
Provision for income taxes	5,530	(393)	(66)	5,071
Net income	\$ 8,941	\$ (639)	\$ (393)	\$ 7,909
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.96			\$ 0.85
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.96			\$ 0.85

	As		Restatement Adjustments	
	Previously Reported	Depreciation	Other	As Restated
Six Months Ended June 30, 2010				
Net sales	\$ 176,507	\$	\$	\$ 176,507
Cost of sales	161,643	2,004	(252)	163,395
Gross profit	14,864	(2,004)	252	13,112
Selling, general and administrative	13,266	(40)	570	13,796
Operating income (loss)	1,598	(1,964)	(318)	(684)
Other income	(427)			(427)
Interest income	(466)		39	(427)
Interest expense	3,276		495	3,771
Loss before income taxes	(785)	(1,964)	(852)	(3,601)
Benefit from income taxes	(444)	(374)	(133)	(951)
Net loss	\$ (341)	\$ (1,590)	\$ (719)	\$ (2,650)
Basic loss per share	\$ (0.04)			\$ (0.29)
Diluted loss per share	\$ (0.04)			\$ (0.29)

Table of Contents

The following tables present the impact of the restatement adjustments on the Company's previously reported consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

As of June 30, 2011	As Previously Reported	Restatement Adjustments		As Restated
		Depreciation	Other	
Assets				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 43	\$	\$	\$ 43
Trade and other receivables, net	93,753			93,753
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	59,811			59,811
Inventories	74,178			74,178
Deferred income taxes	6,442			6,442
Prepaid expenses and other	1,566			1,566
Total current assets	235,793			235,793
Property and equipment, net	171,661	(25,277)	5,595	151,979
Goodwill	20,478			20,478
Other assets	20,205	(212)	(2,780)	17,213
Total assets	\$ 448,137	\$ (25,489)	\$ 2,815	\$ 425,463
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity				
Current liabilities:				
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 5,714	\$	\$	\$ 5,714
Note payable to financial institution	72,819			72,819
Current portion of capital lease obligations	1,118		2,275	3,393
Accounts payable	19,478			19,478
Accrued liabilities	18,388		200	18,588
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	18,884			18,884
Total current liabilities	136,401		2,475	138,876
Long-term debt, less current portion	13,500			13,500
Capital lease obligations, less current portion	7,164		6,810	13,974
Deferred income taxes	26,958	(9,724)	(1,849)	15,385
Pension and other long-term liabilities	8,827			8,827
Total liabilities	192,850	(9,724)	7,436	190,562
Commitments and contingencies				
Stockholders' equity:				
Preferred stock				
Common stock	93			93
Additional paid-in-capital	108,066			108,066
Retained earnings	149,435	(15,765)	(5,284)	128,386
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,307)		663	(1,644)
Total stockholders' equity	255,287	(15,765)	(4,621)	234,901
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 448,137	\$ (25,489)	\$ 2,815	\$ 425,463

Table of Contents

	As Previously Reported	Restatement Adjustments		As Restated
		Depreciation	Other	
As of December 31, 2010				
Assets				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 51	\$	\$	\$ 51
Trade and other receivables, net	66,474			66,474
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts	45,533			45,533
Inventories	80,887			80,887
Refundable income taxes	15,299		(200)	15,099
Deferred income taxes	6,293			6,293
Prepaid expenses and other	2,163			2,163
Total current assets	216,700		(200)	216,500
Property and equipment, net	171,766	(24,214)	6,722	154,274
Goodwill	21,451			21,451
Other assets	25,288	(243)	(2,387)	22,658
Total assets	\$ 435,205	\$ (24,457)	\$ 4,135	\$ 414,883
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity				
Current liabilities:				
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 5,714	\$	\$	\$ 5,714
Current portion of capital lease obligations	1,087		2,170	3,257
Accounts payable	28,463			28,463
Accrued liabilities	11,448			11,448
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	14,808			14,808
Total current liabilities	61,520		2,170	63,690
Note payable to financial institution	68,000			68,000
Long-term debt, less current portion	17,786			17,786
Capital lease obligations, less current portion	7,731		7,974	15,705
Deferred income taxes	25,694	(9,331)	(1,781)	14,582
Pension and other long-term liabilities	8,828			8,828
Total liabilities	189,559	(9,331)	8,363	188,591
Stockholders' equity:				
Preferred stock				
Common stock	93			93
Additional paid-in-capital	107,578			107,578
Retained earnings	140,494	(15,126)	(4,891)	120,477
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,519)		663	(1,856)
Total stockholders' equity	245,646	(15,126)	(4,228)	226,292
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 435,205	\$ (24,457)	\$ 4,135	\$ 414,883

Table of Contents

The following tables present the impact of the restatement adjustments on the Company's previously reported condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010. Unrealized gain on foreign currency forward contracts in the amount of \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, has been reclassified within net cash used in operating activities to conform to the current period presentation. This reclassification is presented in the Restatement Adjustments column and had no impact on cash flows from operations, income from operations, net income, or total assets.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011		
	As Previously Reported	Restatement Adjustments	As Restated
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 8,941	\$ (1,032)	\$ 7,909
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	3,465	3,582	7,047
Amortization of intangible assets	50		50
Allowance on notes receivable	3,171		3,171
Provision for doubtful accounts	(909)		(909)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiary, net of dividends received	394		394
Amortization of debt issuance costs	1,021		1,021
Deferred income taxes	1,115	(461)	654
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	364	(72)	292
Gain on sale of business	(1,567)	(1,320)	(2,887)
Stock-based compensation expense	449		449
Unrealized loss on foreign currency forward contracts		290	290
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Trade and other receivables, net	(29,164)		(29,164)
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts, net	(10,202)		(10,202)
Inventories	3,072		3,072
Refundable income taxes	15,299	(200)	15,099
Prepaid expenses and other	792	112	904
Accounts payable	(9,045)		(9,045)
Accrued and other liabilities	7,151	(90)	7,061
Net cash used in operating activities	(5,603)	809	(4,794)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Additions to property and equipment	(8,580)		(8,580)
Proceeds from sale of business	13,727		13,727
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	95		95
Issuance of note receivable	(250)	250	
Other investing activities	567		567
Net cash provided by investing activities	5,559	250	5,809
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	90		90
Tax withholdings related to net share settlements of restricted share awards and performance shares	(51)		(51)
Payments on long-term debt	(4,286)		(4,286)
Borrowings under note payable to financial institutions	88,050		88,050
Payments on note payable to financial institutions	(83,231)		(83,231)
Payments on capital lease obligations	(536)	(1,059)	(1,595)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	36	(1,059)	(1,023)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(8)		(8)

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	51		51
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 43	\$	\$ 43
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Escrow account related to capital lease financing	\$ 2,726	\$	\$ 2,726
Accrued property and equipment purchases	1,028		1,028

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010		
	As Previously Reported	Restatement Adjustments	As Restated
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (341)	\$ (2,309)	\$ (2,650)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	3,448	3,207	6,655
Amortization of intangible assets	60	66	126
Provision for doubtful accounts	(60)		(60)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiary, net of dividends received	(506)		(506)
Amortization of debt issuance costs	519		519
Deferred income taxes	193	(507)	(314)
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	515	(167)	348
Stock-based compensation expense	546		546
Tax benefit from stock option plans	(123)		(123)
Unrealized gain on foreign currency forward contracts		(924)	(924)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Trade and other receivables, net	(16,169)		(16,169)
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings on uncompleted contracts, net	(7,082)		(7,082)
Inventories	(7,067)		(7,067)
Refundable income taxes	1,372		1,372
Prepaid expenses and other	484	244	728
Accounts payable	(2,937)		(2,937)
Accrued and other liabilities	(314)	796	482
Net cash used in operating activities	(27,462)	406	(27,056)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Additions to property and equipment	(11,320)		(11,320)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	18		18
Issuance of note receivable	(870)	570	(300)
Other investing activities	320		320
Net cash used in investing activities	(11,852)	570	(11,282)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Tax withholdings related to net share settlements of restricted share awards and performance shares	(83)		(83)
Payments on long-term debt	(4,287)		(4,287)
Borrowings under note payable to financial institutions	105,536		105,536
Payments on note payable to financial institutions	(62,109)		(62,109)
Borrowings from capital lease obligation	2,146		2,146
Payments on capital lease obligations	(201)	(976)	(1,177)
Payments of debt amendment costs	(1,675)		(1,675)
Net cash provided by financing activities	39,327	(976)	38,351
Change in cash and cash equivalents	13		13
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	31		31
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 44	\$	44
Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Escrow account related to capital lease financing	\$ 3,445	\$	\$ 3,445
Accrued property and equipment purchases	1,660		1,660

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward Looking Statements

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other sections of this Report contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Section 21E of the Exchange Act that are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about our business, management's beliefs, and assumptions made by management. Words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, forecasts, should, could, and variations of such expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in such forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of important factors. While it is impossible to identify all such factors, those that could cause actual results to differ materially from those estimated by us include changes in demand and market prices for our products, product mix, bidding activity, the timing of customer orders and deliveries, production schedules, the price and availability of raw materials, excess or shortage of production capacity, international trade policy and regulations and other risks discussed in our 2011 Form 10-K and from time to time in our other Securities and Exchange Commission filings and reports. Such forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which they are made, and we do not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Report. If we do update or correct one or more forward-looking statements, investors and others should not conclude that we will make additional updates or corrections with respect thereto or with respect to other forward-looking statements.

Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in both tabular and textual form as it relates to the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 has been updated to reflect the effects of the restatement described in Note 14 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I – Item 1, Financial Statements.

Overview

We are a leading North American manufacturer of large-diameter, high-pressure steel pipeline systems for use in water infrastructure applications, primarily related to drinking water systems, and we also manufacture other welded steel pipe products for use in a wide range of applications, including energy, construction, agriculture, and industrial systems. Our pipeline systems are also used for hydroelectric power systems, wastewater systems and other applications, and we also make products for industrial plant piping systems and certain structural applications. These pipeline systems are produced by our Water Transmission Group from seven manufacturing facilities located in Portland, Oregon; Denver, Colorado; Adelanto, California; Parkersburg, West Virginia; Saginaw, Texas; Pleasant Grove, Utah; and Monterrey, Mexico. Our Water Transmission Group accounted for approximately 52.1% of net sales in the first six months of 2011.

Our water infrastructure products are generally sold to installation contractors, who include our products in their bids to municipal agencies or privately-owned water companies for specific projects. Within the total pipeline, our products best fit the larger-diameter, higher-pressure applications. We believe our sales are substantially driven by spending on new water infrastructure with additional spending on water infrastructure upgrades, replacements, and repairs. Pricing of our water infrastructure products is largely determined by the competitive environment in each regional market, and the regional markets generally operate independently of each other. We operate our Water Transmission business with a long-term time horizon. Projects are often planned for many years in advance and are sometimes part of fifty-year build out plans. However, in the near-term, we expect strained municipal budgets will impact the Water Transmission Group.

Our Tubular Products Group manufactures other welded steel products in three facilities: Atchison, Kansas; Houston, Texas; and Bossier City, Louisiana. We produce a range of products used in several different markets. We currently make pipe for a wide variety of uses, including energy, industrial, construction, and agricultural systems, which are sold to distributors and used in many different applications. Our Tubular Products Group's sales volume is typically driven by energy spending, non-residential construction spending, and general economic conditions. We believe the greatest potential for significant sales growth in our Tubular Products Group is through our energy products. Our Tubular Products Group generated approximately 47.9% of net sales in the first six months of 2011.

Purchased steel represents a substantial portion of our cost of sales, and changes in our selling prices often correlate directly to changes in steel costs. This correlation is the greatest in our Tubular Products Group. Tubular Products' margins are highly sensitive to changes in steel costs, although the amounts of margins are also influenced by the current level of demand in the marketplace.

Table of Contents**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We evaluate our estimates on an on-going basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. A description of our critical accounting policies and related judgments and estimates that affect the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, filed concurrently with this report.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 11 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I Item I, Financial Statements for a description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the dates of adoption and estimated effects on financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the period indicated, certain financial information regarding costs and expenses expressed as a percentage of total net sales and net sales of our business segments.

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net sales				
Water Transmission	51.8%	58.3%	52.1%	61.6%
Tubular Products	48.2	41.7	47.9	38.4
Total net sales	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Cost of sales	88.4	94.9	87.7	92.6
Gross profit	11.6	5.1	12.3	7.4
Selling, general and administrative expense	3.9	7.2	5.1	7.8
Operating income (loss)	7.7	(2.1)	7.2	(0.4)
Other (income) expense	0.2	0.2	0.2	(0.2)
Interest income	(0.0)	(0.2)	(0.0)	(0.2)
Interest expense	1.8	2.3	2.0	2.1
Income (loss) before income taxes	5.7	(4.4)	5.0	(2.1)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	2.3	(1.1)	1.9	(0.5)
Net income (loss)	3.4%	(3.3)%	3.1%	(1.6)%
Gross profit as a percentage of segment net sales:				
Water Transmission	15.5%	8.2%	16.1%	9.9%
Tubular Products	7.4	0.9	8.2	3.5

Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

Net sales. Net sales increased 49.6% to \$143.8 million for the second quarter of 2011 compared to \$96.1 million for the second quarter of 2010, and increased 44.6% to \$255.3 million for the first six months of 2011 compared to \$176.5 million in the same period of 2010. One customer in the Tubular Products segment accounted for 13.5% of total net sales in the second quarter of 2011 and 12.3% of total net sales for the first six months of 2011. No single customer accounted for 10% of net sales in the second quarter or first six months of 2010.

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

Water Transmission sales increased by 32.8% to \$74.5 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$56.1 million in the second quarter of 2010 and increased 22.4% to \$133.1 million in the first six months of 2011 from \$108.7 million in the first six months of 2010. The increase in sales in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010 was due to a 16% increase in average selling price per ton and a 14% increase in tons produced. The increase in average selling price per ton in the second quarter of 2011 was driven by a higher priced mix of contracts in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same quarter in 2010 and to a lesser extent by a 3% increase in material cost per ton. The increase in sales in the first six months of 2011 compared to the first six months of 2010 was due to a 20% increase in average selling price per ton and a 2% increase in tons produced. The increase in average selling price per ton in the first six months of 2011 was due to the mix of contracts produced in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period of 2010 and due to a 12% increase in material cost per ton. Higher per ton selling prices for contracts generally correspond to higher costs per ton of material including steel.

Table of Contents

Tubular Products sales increased 73.1% to \$69.3 million in the second quarter of 2011 from \$40.1 million in the second quarter of 2010 and increased 80.3% to \$122.2 million in the first six months of 2011 from \$67.8 million in the same period of 2010. The sales increase in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the second quarter of 2010 was due to a 48% increase in tons sold from 37,635 tons to 55,697 tons and a 17% increase in the average selling price per ton. The sales increase in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 was due to a 55% increase in tons sold from 66,431 tons to 103,229 tons and a 16% increase in the average selling price per ton. For the second quarter and the first six months of 2011 compared to the same periods of 2010, the most significant increase in tons sold was the result of higher demand for energy pipe, driven by increases in natural gas and oil drilling operations. Increases in energy pipe tons sold were 86% in the second quarter compared to the second quarter last year and 99% for the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period of 2010. The higher production volume needed to support these increased sales was enabled by our investment in our Bossier City, Louisiana facility in 2010, which increased our energy pipe manufacturing capacity. The increases in average selling prices reflect higher steel costs. Cost per ton of material including steel increased by 15% in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010 and by 13% in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period of 2010.

Gross profit. Gross profit increased 238.6% to \$16.7 million (11.6% of total net sales) in the second quarter of 2011 from \$4.9 million (5.1% of total net sales) in the second quarter of 2010 and increased 139.8% to \$31.4 million (12.3% of total net sales) in the first six months of 2011 from \$13.1 million (7.4% of total net sales) in the first six months of 2010.

Water Transmission gross profit increased \$7.0 million, or 152.2%, to \$11.5 million (15.5% of segment net sales) in the second quarter of 2011 from \$4.6 million (8.2% of segment net sales) in the second quarter of 2010. Water Transmission gross profit also increased in the first six months of 2011 to \$21.4 million (16.1% of segment net sales) compared to the same period in the prior year when gross profit was \$10.7 million (9.9% of segment net sales.) The increases in gross profit in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the same quarter of 2010 and in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period of the prior year were driven by the increases in tons produced and the increases in average selling price per ton. The increases in gross profit as a percentage of sales in the second quarter and first six months of 2011 compared to the same periods in 2010 were driven by a more favorable mix of contracts and by the favorable impact of higher volume on the fixed portion of our cost of goods sold as a percent of sales. The more favorable mix in the second quarter and the first six months of 2011 is partially the result of the completion of lower margin contracts awarded in 2009, which flowed through the income statement in 2010, and which were replaced by higher margin contracts bid in 2010 and reflected in the 2011 income statement.

Gross profit from Tubular Products increased \$4.8 million, or 1,368.6%, to \$5.1 million (7.4% of segment net sales) in the second quarter of 2011 from \$0.4 million (0.9% of segment net sales) in the second quarter of 2010 and increased 323.4% to \$10.0 million (8.2% of segment net sales) in the first six months of 2011 from \$2.4 million (3.5% of segment net sales) in the first six months of 2010. The increases in volume and selling prices, partially offset by higher steel costs, contributed to the increase in gross profit in the second quarter and first six months of 2011 compared to the same periods of the prior year. As noted above, material costs including steel cost per ton increased 15% and 13% respectively in the second quarter and first six months of 2011 compared to the same periods of 2010. The increases in gross profit as a percent of sales in the second quarter and first six months of 2011 were driven by a more favorable mix, as the gross profit as a percent of sales on energy pipe is higher relative to other Tubular product lines. Energy pipe represented 71% of tons sold in the second quarter and 70% in the first six months of 2011 compared to 56% and 54%, respectively, in the same periods of 2010. The gross profit as a percent of sales in the second quarter and first six months of 2011 also improved relative to the same periods of the prior year due to the favorable impact of higher volume on the fixed portion of our cost of goods sold as a percent of sales.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased to \$5.6 million (3.9% of total net sales) in the second quarter of 2011 from \$6.9 million (7.2% of total net sales) in the second quarter of 2010 and decreased to \$12.9 million (5.1% of total net sales) in the first six months of 2011 from \$13.8 million (7.8% of total net sales) in the same period of 2010. The decrease in the second quarter of 2011 as compared to the second quarter of 2010 was primarily the result of a \$1.5 million net credit driven by insurance proceeds received related to our accounting investigation compared to expense of \$1.7 million in the second quarter of 2010, partially offset by a \$1.5 million increase in bonus and share-based compensation expense, a \$0.1 million increase in wages and benefits, a \$0.1 million increase in commission expense, and other increases associated with higher levels of business activity. The decrease in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period of the prior year was largely driven by a \$0.5 million net credit driven by insurance proceeds received related to our accounting investigation compared to expense of \$3.5 million in the first six months of 2010, partially offset by a \$1.9 million increase in bonus and share-based compensation expense, a \$0.4 million increase in audit fees, a \$0.4 million increase in severance costs related to the departure of our former Chief Financial Officer in January 2011, a \$0.4 million increase in wages and benefits, a \$0.4 million increase in commission expense associated with the higher level of sales in our Tubular Products business, and other increases associated with higher levels of business activity.

Interest expense. Interest expense was \$2.6 million in the second quarter of 2011 and \$2.2 million in the second quarter of 2010 and \$5.2 million in the first six months of 2011 and \$3.8 million in the first six months of 2010. Higher average borrowings and higher average interest rates increased interest expense in the second quarter of 2011 compared to the second quarter of 2010. Average borrowings and average interest

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

rates were also higher in the first six months of 2011 compared to the same period in 2010, which increased interest expense in the first six months of 2011 compared to 2010.

Table of Contents

Income taxes. The tax provision was \$3.3 million in the second quarter of 2011 (an effective tax rate of 39.5%) and \$5.1 million in the first six months of 2011 (an effective tax rate of 39.1%), which exceeds our federal statutory rate of 35% due primarily to state taxes and the relationship of permanent income tax deductions and tax credits to estimated pre-tax income for the year 2011. The tax benefit for the second quarter of 2010 was \$1.0 million (an effective tax rate of 24.9%) and \$1.0 million the first six months of 2010 (an effective tax rate of 26.4%). When pre-tax earnings are minimal or move between loss and income positions, such as during 2010, the effective income tax rate can change significantly depending on the relationship of permanent income tax deductions and tax credits to estimated pre-tax income or loss. Accordingly, the comparison of effective rates between periods is not meaningful in those situations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources and Uses of Cash

Our principal sources of liquidity generally include operating cash flow and our bank credit facility. Our principal uses of liquidity generally include capital expenditures, working capital and debt service. Information regarding our cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2011 is presented in our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows contained in this Form 10-Q, and is further discussed below.

As of June 30, 2011, our working capital (current assets minus current liabilities) was \$96.9 million as compared to \$152.8 million as of December 31, 2010. The primary reason for the reduction in working capital was the movement of our note payable to financial institution from long-term liabilities to current liabilities, partially offset by net increases in current assets.

Net cash used in operating activities in the first six months of 2011 was \$4.8 million. This was primarily the result of the collection of \$14.7 million of federal income tax refunds, partially offset by fluctuations in our working capital accounts, which result from timing differences between production, shipment and invoicing of our products, as well as changes in levels of production and costs of materials. We typically have a relatively large investment in working capital, as we are generally obligated to pay for goods and services early in the life cycle of a Water Transmission segment project while cash is not received until much later in the project. Our revenues in the Water Transmission segment are recognized on a percentage-of-completion method; therefore, there is little correlation between revenue and cash receipts and the elapsed time can be significant. As such, our payment cycle is a significantly shorter interval than our collection cycle, although the effect of this difference in the cycles may vary from period to period.

Net cash provided by investing activities in the first six months of 2011 was \$5.8 million, primarily from proceeds received from the sale of the traffic systems product line of the Houston facility for \$13.7 million, partially offset by capital expenditures for capacity expansion in our Tubular Products plants. Capital expenditures in 2011 are expected to be approximately \$16 million to \$18 million for standard capital replacement and recently announced strategic investment projects. These strategic investment projects include an expansion at our Atchison, Kansas facility that will increase its production capacity by more than 50%, improve productivity and enable the facility to produce product up to 0.375 inch wall. In addition, we are upgrading our Houston, Texas mill to facilitate production of 2-3/8 and 2-7/8 inch tubing with physical properties suitable for heat treating.

Net cash used in financing activities in the first six months of 2011 was \$1.0 million, which resulted primarily from net borrowings of \$88.1 million under our Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (*Credit Agreement*), partially offset by debt payments of \$87.5 million.

We anticipate that our existing cash and cash equivalents, cash flows expected to be generated by operations, and amounts available under our credit agreement will be adequate to fund our working capital and capital requirements for at least the foreseeable future. We also expect to continue to rely on cash generated from operations and other sources of available funds to make required principal payments under our long term debt during 2011. To the extent necessary, we may also satisfy capital requirements through additional bank borrowings, senior notes, term notes, subordinated debt, and capital and operating leases, if such resources are available on satisfactory terms. See the discussion below under

Line of Credit and Long-Term Debt for a discussion of developments in 2010 regarding compliance with the terms of our line of credit and long-term debt agreements. We have from time to time evaluated and continue to evaluate opportunities for acquisitions and expansion. Any such transactions, if consummated, may use a portion of our working capital or necessitate additional bank borrowings or other sources of funding.

Line of Credit and Long-Term Debt

We had the following significant components of debt at June 30, 2011: a \$125.0 million Credit Agreement, under which \$72.8 million was outstanding; \$6.4 million of Series A Term Note, \$4.5 million of Series B Term Notes, \$5.7 million of Series C Term Notes and \$2.6 million of Series D Term Notes.

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

The Credit Agreement expires on April 30, 2012, and bears interest at rates related to LIBOR plus 2.50% to 4.50%, or the lending institution's prime rate, plus 1.50% to 3.50%. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are collateralized by substantially all of our personal property.

Table of Contents

During 2010, we entered into several amendments to our Credit Agreement and our Amended and Restated Note Purchase and Private Shelf Agreement (Note Purchase Agreement). The amendments, among other things, reduced the aggregate availability of our Credit Agreement, increased interest rates charged on outstanding balances and waived compliance with certain covenants in the Agreements for the year ended December 31, 2009 through the quarter ended March 31, 2011. Upon delivery of the March 31, 2011 Compliance Certificate, all restrictions were removed and amounts available under our Credit Agreement were increased to \$125 million. In addition, the amendments changed the definitions, method of application and amounts of certain covenants. At June 30, 2011, we had \$72.8 million outstanding under the Credit Agreement bearing interest at a weighted average rate of 4.47%. At June 30, 2011, we had an additional net borrowing capacity under the credit facility of \$41.0 million.

On March 29, 2012, the Company entered into an amendment to the Company's Credit Agreement which extended the expiration date to April 30, 2013, set aggregate commitments of the lenders at \$115 million, waived compliance with certain covenants, and made certain changes in the definition, method of calculation and amounts of certain covenants.

The Series A Term Note in the principal amount of \$6.4 million matures on February 25, 2014 and requires annual payments in the amount of \$2.1 million plus interest of 10.50% paid quarterly on February 25, May 25, August 25 and November 25. The Series B Term Notes in the principal amount of \$4.5 million mature on June 21, 2014 and require annual payments in the amount of \$1.5 million plus interest of 10.22% paid quarterly on March 21, June 21, September 21 and December 21. The Series C Term Notes in the principal amount of \$5.7 million mature on October 26, 2014 and require annual payments of \$1.4 million plus interest of 9.11% paid quarterly on January 26, April 26, July 26 and October 26. The Series D Term Notes in the principal amount of \$2.6 million mature on January 24, 2015 and require annual payments in the amount of \$645,000 plus interest of 9.07% paid quarterly on January 24, April 24, July 24 and October 24. The Series A Term Note, the Series B Term Notes, the Series C Term Notes, and the Series D Term Notes (together, the Term Notes) are collateralized by accounts receivable, inventory and certain equipment.

We had \$17.4 million of capital lease obligations outstanding at June 30, 2011, under which certain equipment used in the manufacturing process is leased. The interest rate on the capital lease is 7.85%.

The capital lease outstanding as of June 30, 2011 includes an agreement entered into as of September 2009 to finance our Bossier City, Louisiana facility (the Financing Arrangement). As part of the Financing Arrangement, a \$10 million escrow account was provided for the Company by a local government entity through a financial institution and funds are released upon qualifying purchase requisitions. As we purchase equipment for the facility, we enter into a sale-leaseback transaction with the governmental entity as part of the Financing Arrangement. As of June 30, 2011, \$2.7 million was held in the escrow account, which is included in other assets, as a result of proceeds from the Financing Arrangement. The Financing Arrangement requires us to meet certain loan covenants, measured at the end of each fiscal quarter. These loan covenants follow the covenants required by our Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement, the Note Purchase Agreement and certain of our leases place various restrictions on our ability to, among other things, incur certain additional indebtedness, create liens or other encumbrances on assets, and incur additional capital expenditures. The Credit Agreement, Note Purchase Agreement, and certain of our leases require us to be in compliance with certain financial covenants. The results of our financial covenants as of June 30, 2011 are below.

The Consolidated Senior Leverage Ratio must not be greater than 4.75:1.0. Our ratio as of June 30, 2011 is 2.77:1.0.

The Consolidated Total Leverage Ratio must not be greater than 4.75:1.0. Our ratio as of June 30, 2011 is 2.77:1.0.

The Consolidated Tangible Net Worth must be greater than \$197.5 million. Our tangible net worth as of June 30, 2011 is \$214.4 million.

The Asset Coverage Ratio must not be less than 1.0:1.0. Our ratio as of June 30, 2011 is 1.86:1.0.

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

The Consolidated Rental and Operating Lease Expense to Consolidated Revenue Ratio must not be greater than 6.0%. Our ratio as of June 30, 2011 is 0.7%.

The Consolidated Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio must not be less than 1.1:1.0. Our ratio as of June 30, 2011 is 1.89:1.0. As of June 30, 2011, we are in compliance with all financial covenants.

Based on our business plan and forecasts of operations, we believe we will remain in compliance with our amended covenants for the next 12 months.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

For a discussion of the Company's market risk associated with foreign currencies and interest rates, see Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk" in Part II of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, filed concurrently with this report.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Restatement of Previously Issued Financial Statements

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011 (the "June 2011 Form 10-Q/A"), Northwest Pipe Company ("the Company") is restating to reflect the material errors identified in the previously issued unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on August 8, 2011 (the "Original Filing") in Part I Item 1, "Financial Statements". In this filing, the Company is also updating Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" to reflect the effects of the restatement as it relates to June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010.

For further detail on the financial statement impacts and the adjustments made as a result of the restatement, see Note 14 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I Item 1, "Financial Statements".

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

After discovering errors in our consolidated financial statements as of and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, opening retained earnings at December 31, 2008, and the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for each of the quarters in the years then ended and the quarters ended March 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011, that required restatement, our management, with the participation of our CEO and CFO have concluded that errors resulting in the restatement of our consolidated financial statements were the result of previously disclosed and additionally identified material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting as described below. As a result of these material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting, our CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of June 30, 2011, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As a component of remediation efforts described in the "Plans for Remediation of Material Weaknesses" section below, there were changes in internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2011, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting. These changes include:

Implementing various managerial communications and reports to ensure timely internal notification of business transactions and decisions requiring accounting entries.

Hiring additional personnel for critical accounting positions who assisted in the preparation of this June 2011 Form 10-Q/A. We anticipate that the actions described above and resulting improvements in controls will strengthen our internal control over financial reporting and will, over time, address the related material weaknesses that we identified as of December 31, 2010 and which continued in 2011. As part of our 2011 assessment of internal control over financial reporting, our management will test and evaluate these additional controls to assess whether they are operating effectively.

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

As described below under "Plans for Remediation of Material Weaknesses", we are dedicating significant resources to support our efforts to improve the control environment and to remedy the control weaknesses described herein.

Material Weakness in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In connection with management's previous assessment of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, management has identified the following deficiencies that constituted individually, or in the aggregate, material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010:

We did not maintain an effective control environment, which is necessary for effective internal control over financial reporting, as evidenced by: (i) an insufficient number of personnel with an appropriate level of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) knowledge and experience or ongoing training in the application of GAAP commensurate with the Company's financial reporting requirements, and (ii) insufficient number of personnel appropriately qualified to perform an appropriately detailed review of the accounting for nonroutine transactions, which resulted in prior periods in erroneous or unsupported judgments regarding the proper application of GAAP. This control environment weakness also contributed to the additional material weaknesses described below.

Table of Contents

We did not have effective controls to ensure regular validation of management assumptions used in certain of our accounting estimates.

We did not have effective controls over certain spreadsheets. Specifically, the Company did not have sufficient review procedures in place to ensure accurate preparation of spreadsheets used to support the preparation of financial information.

We did not maintain effective controls to ensure timely internal notification of business transactions and decisions requiring accounting entries. Specifically, our sales and human resources teams and plant personnel did not communicate to our accounting staff all of the information necessary to make accurate accounting determinations for certain property and equipment and accrued liability balances.

In connection with the restatement described in Note 14 of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in Part I Item1, Financial Statements , we identified the following additional material weakness that existed as of December 31, 2010:

Control activities related to reviews to periodically assess accounting estimates of useful lives, units of production and salvage values used to systematically and rationally allocate depreciation expense and the existence of our property and equipment were not appropriately designed or operating effectively.

The material weaknesses described above resulted in material misstatements in our annual and interim consolidated financial statements. Because of these material weaknesses, management concluded that we did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2011.

Plans for Remediation of Material Weaknesses

Our Board, the Audit Committee and management are adding resources and developing and implementing new processes, procedures and internal controls to remediate, among other things, the material weaknesses that existed in our internal control over financial reporting, and our disclosure controls and procedures, as of June 30, 2011.

We have developed a remediation plan (the Remediation Plan) to address the material weaknesses for each of the affected areas presented above. The Remediation Plan ensures that each area affected by a material control weakness is put through a comprehensive remediation process. The Remediation Plan entails a thorough analysis which includes the following phases:

Define and assess each control deficiency: ensure a thorough understanding of the as is state, process owners, and procedural or technological gaps causing the deficiency. This work is underway for all identified areas;

Design and evaluate a remediation action for each control deficiency for each affected area: validate or improve the related policy and procedures; evaluate skills of the process owners with regard to the policy and adjust as required. The Remediation Plan will require an assessment of all control failures; we expect that many of the recent improvements will provide an appropriate starting point for the specific action plans;

Implement specific remediation actions: train process owners, allow time for process adoption and adequate transaction volume for next steps;

Test and measure the design and effectiveness of the remediation actions; test and provide feedback on the design and operating effectiveness of the controls, and;

Edgar Filing: NORTHWEST PIPE CO - Form 10-Q/A

Review and acceptance of completion of the remediation effort by management and the Audit Committee. Additionally, we are evaluating and enhancing our entity level controls as part of our Remediation Plan. The following are steps we have taken in this process:

In March 2010, our Board of Directors appointed a new CEO;

In August 2010, we hired a Director of Compliance and Controls to direct our remediation efforts;

In August 2010, our Board of Directors elected a new, independent member to the Board of Directors;

In the third quarter of 2010, we implemented a new sub-certification process with our management group in order to demonstrate a clear commitment to corporate integrity and compliance and a duty to report financial irregularities;

In the third quarter of 2010, we undertook an effort to enhance existing and adopt new, written policies and procedures; specifically, we have focused on our cost-to-cost percentage-of-completion revenue recognition method to describe more clearly our guiding principles related to the accounting for our Water Transmission segment contracts;

In December of 2010, our employees acknowledged, by way of signature, compliance with and understanding of our Code of Conduct;

In January of 2011, our Board of Directors appointed a new CFO;

In the first four months of 2011, the accounting and finance department was reorganized and additional personnel were hired for critical accounting positions;

Table of Contents

In April 2011, our Board of Directors approved a new officer position and hired a General Counsel;

In May 2011, our Board of Directors approved a new officer position and hired a Chief Operating Officer. The Remediation Plan is being administered by our Director of Compliance and Controls and involves key leaders from across the organization, including the CEO and CFO. Each specific area of action within the Remediation Plan has been assigned an owner who coordinates the resources required for timely completion of the remediation activities. The Director of Compliance and Controls reports quarterly and as needed to the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors on the progress made toward completion of the Remediation Plan.

We believe the steps taken to date have improved the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting; however, we have not completed the corrective processes and procedures identified herein. Accordingly, as we continue to monitor the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in the areas affected by the material weaknesses described above, we will perform additional procedures prescribed by management including the use of manual mitigating control procedures and employ any additional tools and resources deemed necessary to ensure that our financial statements are fairly stated in all material respects.

Part II Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information required by this Item 1 is contained in Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Part I Item 1, Financial Statements of this report, under the caption Commitments and Contingencies. The text under such caption is incorporated by reference into this Item 1.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, the factors discussed in Part I Item 1A Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, filed concurrently with this report could materially affect our business, financial condition or operating results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us. There are additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial, that may also materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, or operating results.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Exhibits**

(a) The exhibits filed as part of this Report are listed below:

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	Amended and Restated Executive Employment Agreement between Northwest Pipe Company and Richard A. Roman dated as of April 21, 2011, incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 25, 2011.
10.2	Change in Control Agreement between Northwest Pipe Company and Robin Gantt dated as of April 21, 2011, incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 25, 2011,
10.3	Change in Control Agreement between Northwest Pipe Company and Richard Baum dated as of May 27, 2011, (previously filed).
10.4	Northwest Pipe Company 2011 Salaried Employee Cash Incentive Plan (previously filed).
31.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document*
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document*
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Database*
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document*
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document*

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive data Files on Exhibit 101, submitted electronically herewith, are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 or the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: April 27, 2012

NORTHWEST PIPE COMPANY

By: /s/ RICHARD A. ROMAN
Richard A. Roman
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ ROBIN GANTT
Robin Gantt
Vice President, Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)