LIQUIDITY SERVICES INC Form DEF 14A January 27, 2014

Use these links to rapidly review the document **TABLE OF CONTENTS** TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

	Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.
Filed	by the Registrant ý
Filed	by a Party other than the Registrant o
Chec	k the appropriate box:
0	Preliminary Proxy Statement
o	Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
ý	Definitive Proxy Statement
o	Definitive Additional Materials
o	Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12
	Liquidity Services, Inc.
	(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)
	(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)
Payn	nent of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required. ý

- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on (3) which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(4)

o

(5)	Total fee paid:
Fee 1	paid previously with preliminary materials.
	ek box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

Table of Contents

Fellow Stockholders:

We are pleased to invite you to attend the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Liquidity Services, Inc. to be held on Thursday, February 27, 2014, at 3:00 p.m., Eastern Time, at the offices of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, located at 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Details regarding admission to the Annual Meeting and the business to be conducted are more fully described in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and proxy statement.

Your vote is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we hope you will vote as soon as possible. You may vote over the Internet, by telephone or by mailing a proxy or voting instruction card. Voting over the Internet, by phone or by written proxy will ensure your representation at the Annual Meeting regardless of whether you attend in person. Please review the instructions on the proxy or voting instruction card regarding each of these voting options.

Thank you for your ongoing support and continued interest in Liquidity Services, Inc.

Sincerely,

/s/ WILLIAM P. ANGRICK, III

WILLIAM P. ANGRICK, III Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF LIQUIDITY SERVICES, INC. STOCKHOLDERS

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholders' Meeting to be Held on February 27, 2014: This Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement, Annual Report and Other Proxy Materials are Available at www.envisionreports.com/LQDT.

Time and Date

3:00 p.m., Eastern Time, on February 27, 2014. Place

The offices of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, located at 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Washington,

DC 20036.

Items of Business

Elect the three Class II directors named in the proxy statement to the Board of Directors to hold office until our Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2017 or until their respective successors have been

elected or appointed;

Ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm

for fiscal 2014:

Approve an advisory resolution on executive compensation;

Re-approve the material terms of the performance goals under the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term

Incentive Plan; and

Transact any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or

postponement of the Annual Meeting.

Adjournments and **Postponements**

Any action on the items of business described above may be considered at the Annual Meeting at the time and on the date specified above or at any time and date to which the Annual Meeting may be

properly adjourned or postponed.

Record Date You are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and at any adjournment or

postponement that may take place only if you were a stockholder as of the close of business on

January 14, 2014.

Annual Meeting Admission

You will need an admission ticket or proof of ownership to enter the Annual Meeting. If your shares are held beneficially in the name of a broker, bank or other nominee and you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, you must present proof of your ownership of Liquidity Services stock, such as a bank or brokerage account statement, to be admitted to the Annual Meeting. If you would rather have an admission ticket, you may obtain one in advance by mailing a written request, along with proof of your ownership of Liquidity Services stock, to: Liquidity Services, Inc., Attn: Julie Davis, 1920 L Street, NW, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20036. All stockholders also must present a form of personal identification in order to be admitted to the Annual Meeting. No cameras, recording equipment, electronic devices, large bags, briefcases or packages will be permitted in the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

Voting

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we encourage you to read this proxy statement and submit your proxy or voting instruction card as soon as possible. You may submit your proxy or voting instruction card for the Annual Meeting by completing, signing, dating and returning your proxy or voting instruction card in the pre-addressed envelope provided, or, in most cases, by using the telephone or the Internet. For specific instructions on how to vote your shares, please refer to the section entitled "Questions and Answers" beginning on page 1 of this proxy statement and the instructions on the proxy or voting instruction card. You may revoke a proxy prior to its exercise at the Annual Meeting by following the instructions in the accompanying proxy statement. Any stockholder attending the Annual Meeting may personally vote on all matters that are considered, in which event the signed proxy will be revoked.

This Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, proxy statement, proxy card and voting instructions and our 2013 Annual Report are first being mailed on or about January 27, 2014.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

/s/ JAMES E. WILLIAMS

JAMES E. WILLIAMS

Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY	Page <u>1</u>
	<u>6</u>
COMPENSATION OF NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS	<u>16</u>
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR FISCAL 2013	<u> 17</u>
BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SHARES OF COMMON STOCK	
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	<u>18</u>
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS	<u>20</u>
	<u>21</u>
PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE	<u>21</u>
ITEM 1 Election of Directors	<u>21</u>
BOARD OF DIRECTORS	<u>23</u>
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND MANAGEMENT	
ITEM 2 Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>26</u>
AUDITORS	<u>27</u>
	<u>27</u>
ITEM 3 Approval of an Advisory Resolution on Executive Compensation	<u>29</u>
ITEM 4 Re-Approval of the Material Terms of the Performance Goals under the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan	<u>29</u>
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT	<u>37</u>
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION	<u>63</u>
REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING DEADLINES, FOR SUBMISSION OF PROXY PROPOSALS, NOMINATION OF	<u>63</u>
DIRECTORS AND OTHER BUSINESS OF STOCKHOLDERS	<u>64</u>
ANNUAL REPORT	<u>65</u>
APPENDIX A 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan	66
	<u>00</u>

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY SERVICES, INC.

1920 L STREET, NW, 6th FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20036

PROXY STATEMENT

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Why did I receive these proxy materials?

We are sending you this proxy statement as part of a solicitation by the Board of Directors of Liquidity Services, Inc. for use at our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") and at any adjournment or postponement that may take place. Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms "us," "we," "our" and the "Company" include Liquidity Services, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

You are invited to attend our Annual Meeting on Thursday, February 27, 2014, beginning at 3:00 p.m., Eastern Time. The Annual Meeting will be held at the offices of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, located at 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20036.

This Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, proxy statement, proxy card and voting instructions and our 2013 Annual Report are first being mailed on or about January 27, 2014.

Do I need a ticket to attend the Annual Meeting?

You will need an admission ticket or proof of ownership to enter the Annual Meeting. If you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, please vote your proxy prior to the Annual Meeting but keep the admission ticket and bring it with you to the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are held beneficially in the name of a broker, bank or other nominee and you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, you must present proof of your ownership of Liquidity Services common stock, such as a bank or brokerage account statement, to be admitted to the Annual Meeting. If you would rather have an admission ticket, you may obtain one in advance by mailing a written request, along with proof of your ownership of Liquidity Services stock, to:

Liquidity Services, Inc. Attn: Julie Davis 1920 L Street, NW, 6th Floor Washington, DC 20036

All stockholders also must present a form of personal identification in order to be admitted to the Annual Meeting.

No cameras, recording equipment, electronic devices, large bags, briefcases or packages will be permitted in the Annual Meeting.

Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Holders of Liquidity Services common stock at the close of business on January 14, 2014 (the "Record Date") are entitled to receive this Notice and to vote their shares at the Annual Meeting. As of the Record Date, there were 32,219,772 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote. All holders of common stock shall vote together as a single class on each matter properly brought before the Annual Meeting.

1

Table of Contents

What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

If your shares are registered directly in your name with Liquidity Services' transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., you are considered the "stockholder of record" with respect to those shares. The Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, proxy statement, proxy card and voting instructions and our fiscal 2013 Annual Report have been sent directly to you by Liquidity Services.

If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the "beneficial owner" of shares held in street name. Access to the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, proxy statement, voting instruction card and voting instructions and our fiscal 2013 Annual Report are being provided to you by your bank, broker or other nominee, who is considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or other nominee on how to vote your shares by using the voting instruction card included in the mailing or by following their instructions for voting by telephone or on the Internet (if available).

How do I vote?

You may vote using any of the following methods:

By Mail

Complete, sign and date the proxy card or voting instruction card and return it in the prepaid envelope. If you are a stockholder of record and you return your signed proxy card but do not indicate your voting preferences, the persons named in the proxy card will vote the shares represented by that proxy in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors set forth under "What are the voting requirements for the matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?" below.

If you are a stockholder of record, and the prepaid envelope is missing, please mail your completed proxy card to Liquidity Services, Inc., 1920 L Street, NW, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20036, Attn: Corporate Secretary.

By Telephone or on the Internet

The telephone and Internet voting procedures established by Liquidity Services for stockholders of record are designed to authenticate your identity, allow you to give your voting instructions and confirm that those instructions have been properly recorded.

You may vote by calling the toll-free telephone number on your proxy card. Please have your proxy card in hand when you call. Easy-to-follow voice prompts allow you to vote your shares and confirm that your instructions have been properly recorded. If you are located outside the United States, see your proxy card for additional instructions.

The website for Internet voting is www.envisionreports.com/LQDT for shares directly held with Liquidity Services' transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., and www.edocumentview.com/LQDT for shares held by beneficial owners in street name. Please have your proxy card available when you go online. As with telephone voting, you can confirm that your instructions have been properly recorded. If you vote on the Internet, you also can request electronic delivery of future proxy materials.

Telephone and Internet voting facilities for stockholders of record will be available 24 hours a day, and will close at 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on February 26, 2014.

The availability of telephone and Internet voting for beneficial owners will depend on the voting processes of your broker, bank or other nominee, and we recommend that you follow the voting instructions in the materials you receive from them.

Table of Contents

If you vote by telephone or on the Internet, you do not have to return your proxy card or voting instruction card.

In Person at the Annual Meeting

All stockholders of record may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. You will need an admission ticket or proof of ownership to enter the Annual Meeting. If you are a beneficial owner of shares, you must present proof of your ownership of Liquidity Services stock, such as a bank or brokerage account statement, to be admitted to the Annual Meeting. If you would rather have an admission ticket, you may obtain one in advance by mailing a written request, along with proof of your ownership of Liquidity Services stock, to: Liquidity Services, Inc., Attn: Julie Davis, 1920 L Street, NW, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20036. All stockholders also must present a form of personal identification in order to be admitted to the Annual Meeting. No cameras, recording equipment, electronic devices, large bags, briefcases or packages will be permitted in the Annual Meeting.

You may also be represented by another person at the Annual Meeting by executing a legal proxy designating that person. If you are a beneficial owner of shares, you must obtain a legal proxy from your broker, bank or other nominee and present it to the inspectors of election with your ballot to be able to vote at the Annual Meeting.

What can I do if I change my mind after I vote my shares?

If you are a stockholder of record, you can revoke your proxy before it is exercised by:

sending written notice to the Corporate Secretary of the Company;

delivering a valid, later-dated proxy or a later-dated vote by telephone or on the Internet prior to the Annual Meeting; or

voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

If you are a beneficial owner of shares, you can revoke your proxy before it is exercised by submitting new voting instructions by contacting your broker, bank or other nominee. You may also vote in person at the Annual Meeting if you obtain a legal proxy as described in the answer to the previous question.

All shares represented by properly executed proxies received prior to the Annual Meeting and not revoked will be voted in accordance with the instructions indicated in such proxies. Properly executed proxies that do not contain voting instructions will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors set forth under "What are the voting requirements for the matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?" below.

What shares can I vote?

You can vote all shares that you owned on the Record Date. These shares include (1) shares held directly in your name as the stockholder of record; and (2) shares held for you as the beneficial owner through a broker, bank or other nominee. Each outstanding share of Liquidity Services stock entitles its holder to cast one vote for each director nominee and one vote on each other matter to be voted upon.

What is "householding" and how does it affect me?

We have adopted a procedure approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") called "householding." Under this procedure, stockholders of record who have the same address and last name and do not participate in electronic delivery of proxy materials will receive only one copy of our Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and proxy statement and fiscal 2013 Annual Report,

Table of Contents

unless one or more of these stockholders notifies us that they wish to receive an individual copy. This procedure reduces our printing costs and postage fees and conserves natural resources.

Stockholders who participate in householding will continue to receive separate proxy cards.

If you are eligible for householding, but you and other stockholders of record with whom you share an address currently receive multiple copies of the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and proxy statement and fiscal 2013 Annual Report, or if you hold stock in more than one account, and in either case you wish to receive only a single copy of each of these documents for your household, please contact our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (in writing: P.O. Box 30170, College Station, TX 77842-3170; from within the United States by telephone: (800) 662-7232; from outside the United States by telephone: (781) 575-2879).

If you participate in householding and wish to receive a separate copy of this Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and proxy statement and fiscal 2013 Annual Report, please contact Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as indicated above and, upon written or oral request, a separate copy of these documents will be delivered to you promptly. Additionally, if you do not wish to participate in householding and prefer to receive separate copies of these documents in the future, please contact Computershare Trust Company, N.A., as indicated above.

If you are a beneficial owner of shares, you may request information about householding from your broker, bank or other nominee.

Is there a list of stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

The names of stockholders of record entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be available at the Annual Meeting and for ten days prior to the Annual Meeting for any purpose germane to the Annual Meeting, between the hours of 9:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Eastern Time, at our principal executive offices at 1920 L Street, NW, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20036, by contacting the Corporate Secretary of the Company.

How can I vote on each of the matters?

In the election of directors, you may vote "for" one or more of the nominees, or your vote may be "withheld" with respect to one or more of the nominees. For the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, approval of the advisory resolution on executive compensation and re-approval of the material terms of the performance goals under the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, you may vote "for" or "against," or you may indicate that you wish to "abstain" from voting on the matter.

What is the quorum requirement for the Annual Meeting?

The presence of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, is necessary to constitute a quorum. Abstentions and "broker non-votes" are counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining a quorum. A "broker non-vote" occurs when a broker, bank or other nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because that holder does not have discretionary voting power for that particular item and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

Brokers, banks and other nominees are not permitted to vote without instructions from the beneficial owner in the election of directors, on the advisory resolution on executive compensation or on the material terms of the performance goals under the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan. Therefore, if your shares are held through a broker, bank or other nominee, they will not be voted on these matters unless you affirmatively vote your shares in one of the ways described above. If you are a

Table of Contents

beneficial owner, your broker, bank or other nominee is permitted to vote your shares on the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm even if the broker, bank or other nominee does not receive voting instructions from you.

What are the voting requirements for the matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

A plurality of the votes cast is required for the election of directors. This means that the director nominees with the most "for" votes will be elected. Thus, shares as to which a stockholder withholds voting authority and broker non-votes will not be counted towards any director nominee's achievement of a plurality and will have no effect on the outcome of the election of directors. Stockholders may not cumulate their votes in favor of any one nominee.

A majority of the votes cast by stockholders present, in person or by proxy, at the meeting and entitled to vote on the matter is required to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, to approve the advisory resolution on executive compensation and to re-approve the material terms of the performance goals under the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan. Abstentions and broker non-votes, if any, will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the outcome of these items.

If you are a registered holder and sign your proxy card with no further instructions, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board ("for" all director nominees named in the proxy statement, "for" the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2014, "for" the approval of the advisory resolution on executive compensation and "for" the re-approval of the material terms of the performance goals under the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan).

Could other matters be decided at the Annual Meeting?

As of the date of this proxy statement, we did not know of any matters to be acted on at the Annual Meeting other than those referred to in this proxy statement.

If other matters are properly presented at the Annual Meeting for consideration, the proxy holders named on the proxy card will have the discretion to vote on those matters for you.

Can I access the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and proxy statement on the Internet?

The Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and proxy statement are available under the Investors section of our website at www.liquidityservicesinc.com. Instead of receiving future copies of our proxy statement by mail, most stockholders can elect to receive an e-mail that will include electronic links to our proxy statement. Opting to receive your proxy materials online will save us the cost of producing and mailing documents to your home or business, and also will give you an electronic link to the proxy voting site.

Stockholders of Record: You may enroll in the electronic proxy delivery service at any time in the future by going directly to www.computershare.com/investor and following the enrollment instructions.

Beneficial Owners: If you hold your shares in a brokerage account, you also may have the opportunity to receive copies of these documents electronically. Please check the information provided in the proxy materials mailed to you by your broker, bank or other nominee regarding the availability of this service.

Table of Contents

Who will pay for the cost of this proxy solicitation?

We will pay the cost of soliciting proxies. Proxies may be solicited on our behalf by directors, officers or employees, acting without special compensation, in person or by telephone, electronic transmission or facsimile transmission.

Who will count the vote?

Representatives of our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., will tabulate the votes and act as inspectors of election.

GOVERNANCE OF THE COMPANY

Our Guidelines of Corporate Governance

The Board of Directors has adopted a set of corporate governance guidelines as a framework for the governance of the Company. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reviews the guidelines periodically or more frequently if appropriate and recommends changes to the Board of Directors as appropriate. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, as well as the charters of the Audit, Corporate Governance and Nominating and Compensation Committees, are available on our website, *www.liquidityservicesinc.com*, at "Investors Corporate Governance." Stockholders may request a free copy of any of these documents by sending a written request to our Corporate Secretary at Liquidity Services, Inc., 1920 L Street, NW, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20036.

Among other matters, the Corporate Governance Guidelines contain the following items concerning the Board of Directors:

The Board of Directors, which is elected by the Company's stockholders, oversees the management of the Company and its business. The Board selects the senior management team, which is responsible for operating the Company's business, and monitors the performance of senior management. The Board also reviews the Company's long-term strategic plan and business unit initiatives at least annually.

A majority of the Board is made up of independent directors. An "independent" director is a director who meets, as determined by the Board, the then-current independence requirements of the SEC and the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc., as applicable, for directors.

The Board has three standing committees: Audit, Corporate Governance and Nominating and Compensation. Each of the Audit, Corporate Governance and Nominating and Compensation Committees consists solely of independent directors. In addition, directors who serve on the Audit and Compensation Committees must meet additional, heightened independence criteria applicable to audit and compensation committee members, respectively. All committees report regularly to the full Board with respect to their activities.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee considers and makes recommendations to the Board regarding committee size, structure, composition and functioning. Committee members and chairs are recommended to the Board by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and are appointed by the full Board.

At the invitation of the Board, members of senior management may attend Board and/or committee meetings, or portions of such meetings, for the purpose of presenting matters to the Board and participating in discussions. Directors also have full and free access to other members of management and to employees of the Company.

The Board has the authority to retain such outside counsel, experts and other advisors as it determines appropriate to assist it in the performance of its functions. Each of the Audit,

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance and Nominating and Compensation Committees has similar authority to retain outside advisors as it determines appropriate to assist it in the performance of its functions.

The Company has an orientation process for new Board members that is designed to familiarize them with the Company's business, operations, finances and governance practices and with the responsibilities of serving as a director. In addition, the Board encourages directors to participate in education programs to assist them in performing their responsibilities as directors.

The Board conducts an annual self-evaluation to assess its performance and effectiveness, and each of the Audit, Corporate Governance and Nominating and Compensation Committees annually assesses its own performance. The ability of individual directors to contribute to the Board is considered in connection with the renomination process. The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for developing, administering and overseeing processes for conducting these evaluations.

Governance Information

Board Leadership

Currently, Mr. Angrick serves as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. The Board presently believes that it is in the best interests of the Company for a single leader to serve as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. Combining the roles of Chairman and CEO makes clear that the person serving in these roles has primary responsibility for managing our business, under the oversight and review of the Board. Under this structure, the Chairman and CEO chairs Board meetings during which the Board discusses strategic and business issues. The Board believes that this approach makes sense because the CEO is the individual with primary responsibility for developing our business strategy, directing the work of other officers and leading implementation of our strategic plans as approved by the Board. As a result of this structure, a single leader is directly accountable to the Board and, through the Board, to our stockholders. This structure also enables the CEO to act as the key link between the Board and other members of management. In addition, the Board believes that it is in our best interests at this time to have Mr. Angrick serve as both our Chairman and CEO because of Mr. Angrick's familiarity with our business and his history of outstanding leadership. Mr. Angrick co-founded the Company and has served as Chairman and CEO since 2000.

The Board also believes that strong, independent Board leadership is a critical aspect of effective corporate governance. In this regard, the independent directors meet in executive session without management present at least four times per year, and the Board has established the position of Lead Director. The Lead Director is an independent director elected for a period of at least one year by the independent directors whose responsibility is to chair these executive sessions. The Lead Director also leads and sets the agenda for these executive sessions, provides input to the Chairman and CEO on the agenda for full Board meetings and provides collective feedback from the members of the Board to the Chairman and CEO. Mr. Kramer served as Lead Director until his resignation in August 2013, and Mr. Gross currently serves as the Lead Director. In addition, each of the Audit, Corporate Governance and Nominating and Compensation Committees is composed of and led by independent directors.

The Board believes that a single leader serving as Chairman and CEO, together with an experienced Lead Director, is the most appropriate leadership structure for the Board at this time. The Board reviews the structure of the Board and the Board's leadership as part of the succession planning process, and the Board may in its discretion separate the roles in the future if it deems it advisable and in the Company's best interests to do so.

Table of Contents

Board Oversight of Risk

The Board of Directors has overall responsibility for risk oversight and focuses on the most significant risks facing the Company. In this regard, the Board seeks to understand the material risks we face and to allocate among the full Board and its committees responsibilities for oversight of how management addresses those risks, including the risk management systems and processes management uses for this purpose. Overseeing risk is an ongoing process. Accordingly, the Board considers risks faced by the Company periodically throughout the year and at such other times as the Board considers appropriate with respect to specific proposed actions. The Chairman and CEO is responsible for keeping the Board apprised of material risks facing the Company.

The Board implements its risk oversight function both as a whole and through delegation to various committees. These committees meet regularly and report back to the full Board. The following committees play important roles in carrying out the Board's risk oversight function:

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee: The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for overseeing the Company's risk management systems and processes throughout the year. In this regard, the Chairman and CEO updates the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee on material risks facing the Company and how management is addressing such risks and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee discusses these risks at each of its regularly scheduled meetings and more frequently as necessary.

The Audit Committee: The Audit Committee oversees our processes for assessing financial-related risks and the effectiveness of our system of internal controls. In performing this function, the Audit Committee reviews and discusses with our independent registered public accounting firm and management the Company's significant financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures.

The Compensation Committee: The Compensation Committee oversees the assessment of risks related to the Company's compensation structure and assesses whether the Company's compensation structure establishes appropriate incentives for management and employees.

The Board believes that our leadership structure, discussed under "Board Leadership" above, supports the risk oversight function of the Board. We have a combined Chairman and CEO who keeps the Board informed about the risks we face. We also have a Lead Director to provide strong, independent Board leadership. In addition, independent directors chair and serve on the various committees involved with risk oversight. We also encourage open communication between senior management and directors.

Risk Considerations in Our Compensation Program. The Company's management has conducted an assessment of the risk associated with the Company's current compensation programs covering its employees, including executives. Management's risk assessment considered the following:

The Company's compensation programs appropriately balance fixed compensation with short-term and long-term variable compensation and cash-based compensation with equity-based compensation such that no one pay element would motivate employees to engage in excessive risk taking.

The design of the Company's annual incentive program does not lend itself to excessive risk taking because we:

fund annual incentive awards based on a variety of pre-established performance conditions, thus diversifying the risk associated with any single indicator of performance;

establish performance targets that are objectively determined with verifiable results;

Table of Contents

incorporate pre-established caps in any awards; and

retain discretion to decrease bonus payouts.

The Company's long-term incentive program encourages employees to focus on the long-term success of the Company by providing a mix of stock options, which only reward employees if the Company's stock price increases, and restricted stock, which decline in value if our stock price declines, reducing the motivation employees may have to take excessive risks.

Communications with Directors

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate with the Board of Directors by writing c/o the Corporate Secretary, Liquidity Services, Inc., 1920 L Street, NW, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20036. Communications intended for a specific director or directors should be addressed to the attention of the relevant individual(s) c/o the Corporate Secretary at the same address.

Our Corporate Secretary will review all correspondence intended for the Board and will regularly forward to the Board a summary of such correspondence and a copy of correspondence that, in the opinion of the Corporate Secretary, is of significant importance to the functions of the Board or otherwise requires the Board's attention. Directors may at any time review a log of all correspondence received by the Corporate Secretary that is intended for the Board and request copies of any such correspondence.

In addition, the Audit Committee has established a procedure for parties to submit concerns regarding what they believe to be questionable accounting, internal accounting controls and auditing matters. Concerns may be reported through our Compliance Hotline at (888) 475-8376. Concerns may be submitted anonymously and confidentially.

Director Independence

The Board makes an affirmative determination regarding the independence of each director annually, based upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Under the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. listing standards, an independent director is a person that the Board of Directors determines to be free of any relationship with Liquidity Services that, in the opinion of the Board, would interfere with the exercise of such person's independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director, and to meet the then-current objective standards for "director independence" set forth in the listing standards. The Board has not established categorical standards or guidelines to use in making these independence determinations but considers all relevant facts and circumstances. In addition to the Board-level standards for director independence, the directors who serve on the Audit Committee each must satisfy standards established by the SEC, which provide that to qualify as "independent" for purposes of membership on that committee, members may not accept, directly or indirectly, any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee from Liquidity Services other than their director compensation or fixed payments under a retirement plan for prior service. Similarly in addition to the Board-level standards for director independence, the directors who serve on the Compensation Committee each must satisfy standards established by the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc., which provide that to qualify as "independent" for purposes of membership on that committee, the Board must consider the source of compensation of the member, including any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee from Liquidity Services other than their director compensation or fixed payments under a retirement plan for prior service, and the Board must consider whether the member is affiliated with Liquidity Services, any of its subsidiaries or any affiliate of its subsidiaries.

The Board of Directors has determined that each of our directors other than Mr. Angrick, our Chairman and CEO, and Mr. Mateus-Tique, our former President and Chief Operating Officer,

Table of Contents

qualifies as "independent" in accordance with the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. listing standards, as follows: Mr. Clough, Mr. Ellis, Mr. Gross, and Mr. Perdue.

Code of Conduct

Our Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Conduct (the "Code") applicable to all of our directors, officers and employees in order to protect and promote organization-wide integrity and to enhance Liquidity Services' ability to achieve its mission.

The Code embodies general principles such as compliance with laws, acting with honesty and integrity, avoidance of conflicts of interest, maintenance of accurate and timely financial and business records, use of the Company's assets for legitimate business purposes only, provision and acceptance of gifts to or from customers, suppliers and governments in compliance with law, protecting the Company's information and dealing fairly with other companies.

All directors, officers, and employees are obligated to report violations and suspected violations of the Code and any concerns they may have pertaining to non-compliance with the Code by following certain procedures described in the Code. All reports of suspected Code violations will be forwarded to the General Counsel or Compliance Officer, except for complaints and concerns involving accounting or auditing matters, which will be handled in accordance with procedures established by the Audit Committee.

The Code is available on our website, www.liquidityservicesinc.com, at "Investors Corporate Governance." A free printed copy is available to any stockholder who requests it by writing to us at the address on page 1 of this proxy statement. We intend to disclose future amendments to certain provisions of the Code, or waivers of such provisions granted to executive officers and directors, on our website within four business days following the date of such amendment or waiver.

Board and Committee Membership

Our bylaws provide that our Board of Directors shall consist of at least three members. The exact number of members of our Board of Directors will be determined from time to time by resolution of our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors currently is composed of seven directors, divided into three classes: Class I, Class II and Class III. The term for each class of directors expires at successive annual meetings. The Class I directors are William P. Angrick, III and David A. Perdue, Jr., the Class II directors are Phillip A. Clough, George H. Ellis and Jaime Mateus-Tique, and the Class III director is Patrick W. Gross. Mr. Kramer, a former Class III director, resigned from the Board effective August 28, 2013 and will not be standing for reelection. The Company and its Board of Directors would like to thank Mr. Kramer for his years of service and contributions to the Board. As of the date hereof, one Class III vacancy exists on the Board following Mr. Kramer's resignation from the Board. The Company is conducting a search to find an independent director to fill the vacancy on the Board.

The Board of Directors met six times during fiscal 2013. Each of our directors attended 75% or more of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors held while he was a director and of each standing committee on which he served during the period in which the director served as a member of that committee. Our Board has adopted a policy that our directors are encouraged to attend each Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Two members of our Board of Directors attended the 2013 Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

The table below provides membership information for the Board of Directors and for each standing committee of the Board as of the date of this proxy statement.

		Year Current Term		Compensation Committee	Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee
Name(1)	Position	Expires	Member	Member	Member
Mr. Angrick	Class I director	2016			
Mr. Clough	Class II director	2014	X	X	X*
Mr. Ellis	Class II director	2014	X*		
	Class III				
Mr. Gross	director	2015	X	X*	X
Mr. Mateus-Tique	Class II director	2014			
Mr. Perdue	Class I director	2016		X	X

Chair

(1)
Prior to his resignation in August 2013, Mr. Kramer served as a member of the Audit and Compensation Committees and Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee.

The Audit Committee

Under the terms of its Charter, the Audit Committee meets at least four times per fiscal year, including periodic meetings in executive session with Liquidity Services' management and Liquidity Services' independent registered public accounting firm, and reports regularly to the full Board of Directors with respect to its activities. The Audit Committee represents and assists the Board of Directors in overseeing Liquidity Services' accounting and financial reporting processes and the audits of Liquidity Services' financial statements, including the integrity of the financial statements, Liquidity Services' compliance with legal and regulatory authority requirements, the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, the performance of Liquidity Services' independent registered public accounting firm, and in overseeing the preparation of a report of the Audit Committee to be included in Liquidity Services' annual proxy statement. Specifically, the Audit Committee is responsible for:

Directly appointing, retaining, compensating, evaluating and overseeing the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, which reports directly to the Committee;

Reviewing and pre-approving all audit and permissible non-audit services to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm, and establishing policies and procedures for the pre-approval of audit and permissible non-audit services to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm;

At least annually, obtaining and reviewing a report by the independent registered public accounting firm describing: (a) the auditors' internal quality-control procedures; and (b) any material issues raised by the most recent internal quality-control review, or peer review, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities, within the preceding five years, with respect to one or more independent audits carried out by the independent registered public accounting firm, and any steps taken to deal with any such issues;

At least annually, reviewing the qualifications, independence and performance of the independent registered public accounting firm, and discussing with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence. As part of such annual review, the Committee will obtain and review a report by the independent registered public accounting firm describing all relationships between the independent registered public accounting firm and the Company, consistent with professional standards applicable to independent registered public accounting

Table of Contents

firms, and any other relationships that may impact the independent registered public accounting firm's independence;

Upon completion of the annual audit, reviewing and discussing with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by the independent registered public accounting firm under Auditing Standard No. 16, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and amended from time to time;

Meeting to review and discuss with corporate management and the independent registered public accounting firm the annual audited financial statements, and the unaudited quarterly financial statements, including reviewing the Company's specific disclosures under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in Annual and Quarterly Reports the Company files with the SEC;

Reviewing and approving related party transactions;

Reviewing and discussing earnings press releases, corporate practices with respect to earnings press releases and financial information and earnings guidance provided to analysts and ratings agencies;

Overseeing the Company's processes for assessing financial-related risks, and reviewing and discussing with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the Company's major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures;

Reviewing the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's internal control procedures and internal controls over financial reporting, and any programs instituted to correct deficiencies;

Reviewing and discussing the adequacy and effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures;

Overseeing the Company's compliance systems with respect to legal and regulatory requirements and reviewing the Company's Code of Conduct and programs to monitor compliance with such Code;

Establishing procedures for the submission of complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls, auditing and federal securities law matters. These procedures address the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company and the confidential, anonymous submission of employee concerns about questionable accounting or auditing matters, and federal securities law matters;

Investigating or referring matters brought to its attention, as appropriate, with full access to all books, records, facilities and personnel of the Company;

Reviewing the application of significant regulatory, accounting and auditing initiatives, including new pronouncements;

Establishing policies for the hiring of employees and former employees of the independent registered public accounting firm;

Annually reviewing and reassessing the adequacy of the Audit Committee Charter and evaluating the performance of the Committee, and recommending changes to the Board as appropriate; and

Performing such other functions as assigned by law, the Company's certificate of incorporation or bylaws or the Board of Directors.

The Audit Committee met five times during fiscal 2013.

12

Table of Contents

The members of the Audit Committee as of the date of this proxy statement are Messrs. Ellis (Chair), Clough and Gross. The Board of Directors has determined that each is independent, as defined by the Company's director independence standards and the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. and the SEC, and that Mr. Ellis is an "audit committee financial expert" for purposes of the rules of the SEC.

Under the rules of the SEC and the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc., members of the Audit Committee must meet heightened independence standards. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Ellis, Clough and Gross meets these heightened independence standards.

See "Audit Committee Report" below for more information on the Audit Committee.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

Under the terms of its Charter, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for identifying individuals qualified to become Board members, recommending director candidates to the Board, developing and recommending amendments to the Corporate Governance Principles to the Board and undertaking a leadership role in shaping corporate governance. Specifically, the committee is responsible for:

Developing and recommending to the Board criteria for identifying and evaluating director candidates;

Identifying, reviewing the qualifications of and recruiting candidates for election to the Board;

Assessing the contributions and independence of incumbent directors in determining whether to recommend them for reelection to the Board:

Reviewing and recommending changes to the Company's policies on stockholder recommendations of director candidates;

Recommending to the Board candidates for election or reelection to the Board at each annual stockholders' meeting;

Recommending to the Board candidates to be elected by the Board as necessary to fill vacancies and newly created directorships;

Reviewing, evaluating and recommending to the Board a set of Corporate Governance Guidelines and reviewing and recommending changes to these guidelines, as necessary;

Making recommendations to the Board concerning the structure, composition and functioning of the Board and its committees;

Recommending to the Board candidates for appointment to Board committees;

Reviewing the Company's succession plans relating to the Chief Executive Officer and other senior officers;

Overseeing the annual evaluation of the Board, its committees and directors; and

Annually evaluating the performance of the Committee and the adequacy of the Committee's Charter and recommending changes to the Board as appropriate.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is also responsible for overseeing risk management at the Company throughout the year.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee met four times during fiscal 2013.

The members of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee as of the date of this proxy statement are Messrs. Clough (Chair), Gross and Perdue. The Board of Directors has determined

13

Table of Contents

that each of the members of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is independent, as defined by the Company's director independence standards and the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for recommending candidates for election to the Board and believes that candidates for director should have certain minimum qualifications, including the highest level of personal and professional ethics and integrity, sound judgment, the ability to make independent analytical inquiries, the willingness to devote adequate time and resources to diligently perform Board duties and appropriate and relevant business experience and acumen. The Committee also considers the number of other boards of public companies on which the candidate serves. The Committee believes that the Board should also include members who have specific industry experience and familiarity with general issues affecting our business, as discussed in more detail under "Item 1 Election of Directors" below.

The Committee evaluates candidates for the Board on the basis of the standards and qualifications set forth above, and seeks to achieve a diversity of strengths and backgrounds on the Board, particularly in the areas described below. The Committee's review of the skills and experience it seeks in the Board as a whole, and in individual directors, in connection with its review of the Board's composition, enables it to assess the effectiveness of its goal of achieving a Board whose members have a diversity of experiences. The Committee considers these criteria when evaluating director nominees in accordance with the procedures set forth below.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee uses a variety of methods to identify and evaluate candidates for director. Candidates may come to the attention of the Committee through current Board members, the CEO or Chairman, professional search firms (to whom we pay a fee), stockholders or other persons. The Company has also sought to identify potential candidates through professional associations such as the National Association of Corporate Directors and The Boston Club, initiatives such as George Washington University's On the Board and Stanford Women on Boards, and executive education programs such as Stanford's Directors' College. The Committee did not use a professional search firm in fiscal 2013.

The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines contain a policy addressing the consideration of candidates for director suggested by our stockholders. Pursuant to this policy, the Committee will consider candidates for director suggested by our stockholders, provided that the recommendations are made in accordance with the procedures required under our bylaws and described in this proxy statement under the heading "Requirements, Including Deadlines, for Submission of Proxy Proposals, Nomination of Directors and Other Business of Stockholders." Director candidates recommended by stockholders in accordance with these procedures and who meet the criteria outlined above, in the Committee's Charter and in our Corporate Governance Guidelines will be evaluated by the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee in the same manner as other director candidates.

The Compensation Committee

Under the terms of its Charter, the Compensation Committee is responsible for assisting the Board of Directors in discharging its responsibilities relating to compensation of Liquidity Services' executive officers and overseeing the preparation of the annual report on executive compensation to be included in Liquidity Services' annual proxy statement. Specifically, the Compensation Committee is responsible for:

Overseeing the Company's overall compensation structure, policies and programs, and assessing whether the Company's compensation structure establishes appropriate incentives for management and employees;

Table of Contents

Overseeing the assessment of risks associated with the Company's compensation programs for management and employees, and reviewing and discussing this risk assessment;

Administering and implementing the Company's incentive compensation and equity-based compensation plans;

Reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the CEO and other executive officers, evaluating the CEO's performance in light of those goals and objectives and approving the CEO's compensation;

Overseeing the evaluation of other executive officers and setting their compensation based upon the recommendations of the CEO;

Approving stock option and other stock incentive awards for all employees;

Reviewing and approving employment and severance arrangements for executive officers, including change-in-control provisions, plans or agreements;

Reviewing the compensation of outside directors for service on the Board and its committees and recommending changes in compensation to the Board;

Assessing the independence of any consultants and advisors that provide advice to the Committee, in accordance with the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc. listing standards;

Annually evaluating the performance of the Committee and the adequacy of the Committee's Charter and recommending changes to the Board as appropriate;

Assessing the results of the Company's most recent advisory vote on executive compensation; and

Performing such other duties and responsibilities as are consistent with the purpose of the Committee and as the Board or the Committee deems appropriate.

The Compensation Committee met seven times in fiscal 2013.

The members of the Compensation Committee as of the date of this proxy statement are Messrs. Gross (Chair), Clough and Perdue. The Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Compensation Committee is independent, as defined by the Company's director independence standards and the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc.

Under the rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc., members of the Compensation Committee must meet heightened independence standards as of the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Gross, Clough and Perdue meets these heightened independence standards.

For additional information about the Compensation Committee's policies and procedures, please see "Compensation Discussion & Analysis" below.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION OF NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS

Our non-employee directors receive a combination of equity and cash compensation for service on our Board of Directors. Directors who are employed by the Company (including Mr. Angrick) do not receive any compensation for their service as directors. The Compensation Committee, in consultation with Towers Watson, its independent compensation consultant, periodically reviews non-employee director compensation and recommends changes based on competitive market data. In order to remain aligned with the Company's peer group and general industry practices, the Compensation Committee consulted with Towers Watson and, in April 2013, as a result of such consultation, recommended a slight increase in the non-employee director compensation program for fiscal 2013, as discussed below, and the Board of Directors approved this recommendation.

For fiscal 2013, consistent with fiscal 2012, each of our non-employee directors received an annual cash retainer of \$30,000. Committee chairs received an additional annual retainer as follows: \$15,000 for the Audit Committee and \$7,500 (an increase of \$2,500 as compared to fiscal 2012) for each of the Compensation Committee and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Beginning in fiscal 2013, at the recommendation of Towers Watson, we decided to provide our Lead Director with an additional cash retainer of \$7,500. All amounts paid to our non-employee directors are paid quarterly in arrears, unless an election was made otherwise, except that retainers for committee chair service and the Lead Director are paid in advance. Our non-employee directors have the opportunity to receive payment of their cash retainers in the form of grants of restricted stock by making an irrevocable one-time annual election. Restricted stock grants received pursuant to this election vest on February 1, 2014.

In addition to a cash retainer, non-employee directors also receive equity-based compensation. Annual non-employee director equity awards are generally granted in February and vest on the one-year anniversary of the grant date, subject to the director's continued service with the Company through that date. Stock options granted to non-employee directors expire ten years from the date of grant. Annual cash retainers and equity compensation for new non-employee directors are pro-rated based on when they join the Board during the fiscal year.

For fiscal 2013, following consultation with Towers Watson in April, each non-employee director received an annual equity award with an aggregate value of \$95,000 (an increase of \$5,000 as compared to fiscal 2012) granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan. Such awards will vest on February 1, 2014 subject to continued service with the Company through such date. Sixty percent of the annual equity award was provided in the form of stock options with a grant date fair value of \$57,000, and forty percent of the annual equity award was provided in the form of restricted stock having a grant date fair value of \$38,000, which resulted in grants, on June 3, 2013, to each of our non-employee directors, other than Mr. Perdue, of options to purchase 5,954 shares of our common stock with an exercise price per share of \$40.11 and 947 shares of restricted stock. We allow our directors to elect to receive the entire equity component in the form of restricted stock. Mr. Perdue made such an election and on June 3, 2013, we granted to him 2,368 shares of restricted stock. The determination of the number of stock options to be granted was made using the Black-Scholes model. The number of shares of restricted stock to be granted was determined by dividing the value of the award by the closing price of our common stock on the grant date.

Table of Contents

The non-employee director compensation described above is summarized in the following table:

Annual Compensation Element for Role	Board Compensation		
General Board Service Cash Retainer	\$	30,000	
Committee Chair Service Cash Retainer			
Audit	\$	15,000	
Compensation	\$	7,500	
Corporate Governance and Nominating	\$	7,500	
Lead Director Cash Retainer	\$	7,500	
General Board Service Equity(1)			
Stock Option Value (60%)	\$	57,000	
Restricted Stock Value (40%)	\$	38,000	
Vesting Schedule		Stock options	
		and restricted	
		stock will	
		vest on	
		February 1,	
		2014	

(1)

Non-employee directors can receive payment of their cash retainers in the form of grants of restricted stock by making an irrevocable one-time annual election.

In addition to the compensation described above, our non-employee directors are reimbursed for expenses they incur in attending meetings of the Board of Directors or Board committees.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR FISCAL 2013

The following table sets forth the total cash and equity compensation paid to our non-employee directors for their service on the Board of Directors and committees of the Board of Directors during fiscal 2013:

	р	iner fees aid in	Stock Awards	Option Awards		
Name	cas	h (\$)(1)	(\$)(2)(3)	(\$)(2)(4)	']	Γotal (\$)
Phillip A. Clough	\$	30,000	38,000	57,000	\$	125,000
George H. Ellis		45,000	38,000	57,000		140,000
Patrick W. Gross		37,500	38,000	57,000		132,500
Franklin D. Kramer(5)		37,500	38,000	57,000		132,500
Jaime Mateus-Tique		30,000	38,000	57,000		125,000
David A. Perdue		30,000	95,000	0		125,000

(1)

Retainer fees, at the election of each director, may be paid in cash or in the form of restricted stock. For fiscal 2013, all of our directors received retainer fees in the form of cash.

The amounts reported in these columns reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of grants of stock options and restricted stock awards to each of the non-employee directors, computed in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, disregarding estimates of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. For additional information about the assumptions used in these calculations, see Note 2 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. As described above, in fiscal 2013, each nonemployee director (other than Mr. Perdue) was granted options to purchase 5,954 shares of our common stock with a grant date fair value of approximately \$57,000. Each non-employee director (other than Mr. Perdue) also was granted 947 shares of restricted stock

Table of Contents

with a grant date fair value of approximately \$38,000. For fiscal 2013, due to his election to receive the entire equity component in the form of restricted stock, Mr. Perdue was granted 2,368 shares of restricted stock with a grant date fair value of approximately \$95,000. We calculate the grant date fair value of a restricted stock award by multiplying the closing price of our common shares on the grant date by the number of shares subject to such award.

- (3)
 At September 30, 2013, our non-employee directors held the following shares of unvested restricted stock: Phillip A. Clough, 947 shares; George H. Ellis, 947 shares; Patrick W. Gross, 947 shares; Franklin D. Kramer, 0 shares; Jaime Mateus-Tique, 947 shares; and David A. Perdue, 2,368 shares.
- (4)
 At September 30, 2013, our non-employee directors held the following stock option awards, some of which were not fully vested:
 Phillip A. Clough, 34,924 options; George H. Ellis, 11,282 options; Patrick W. Gross, 111,992 options; Franklin D. Kramer, 22,617 options; Jaime Mateus-Tique, 190,906 options; and David A. Perdue, 38,952 options. As a result of his resignation, Mr. Kramer forfeited his stock options.
- (5)

 Effective August 28, 2013, Mr. Kramer resigned from the Board. As a result of his resignation, Mr. Kramer forfeited the stock option and restricted stock awards received during fiscal 2013 and set forth in the table above and the fourth quarterly installment of his cash retainer.

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SHARES OF COMMON STOCK

The following table sets forth information regarding ownership of our common stock as of January 14, 2014, other than as set forth below, by each of our directors and executive officers, all of our directors and executive officers as a group and the holders of 5% or more of our common stock known to us. The information in this table is based on our records, information filed with the SEC and information provided to us. To our knowledge, except as disclosed in the table below, none of our stockholders hold 5% or more of our common stock. Except as otherwise indicated, (1) each person has sole voting and investment power (or shares such powers with his or her spouse) with respect to the shares set forth in the following table and (2) the business address of each person shown below is

Table of Contents

1920 L Street, NW, 6th Floor, Washington, DC 20036, other than for BlackRock, Inc., Capital Research Global Investors and Maverick Capital, Ltd.

	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Shares Outstanding(1)
5% Stockholders:		
BlackRock, Inc.(2)	1,758,160	5.5%
40 East 52nd Street		
New York, NY 10022		
Capital Research Global Investors(3)		
	2,771,185	8.6%
333 South Hope Street		
Los Angeles, CA 90071		
Maverick Capital, Ltd.(4)		
	2,548,964	7.9%
300 Crescent Court, 18 th Floor		
Dallas, TX 75201		
Executive Officers and Directors:		
William P. Angrick, III(5)	5,252,942	16.3%
James M. Rallo(6)	14,067	*
Thomas B. Burton(7)	81,546	*
G. Cayce Roy(8)	243,834	*
Phillip A. Clough(9)	47,825	*
George H. Ellis(10)	18,333	*
Patrick W. Gross(11)	112,843	*
Leoncio S. Casusol	7,500	*
Jaime Mateus-Tique(12)	438,814	1.4%
David A. Perdue, Jr.(13)	51,295	*
James E. Williams(14)	6,700	*
% All executive officers and directors as a group (11 individuals)(15)	6,275,699	19.5%

Less than 1% of the outstanding shares of our common stock.

- (1) The percentages are calculated based on 32,219,772 shares of common stock outstanding as of the Record Date.
- (2)
 Based on a review of a Schedule 13G/A filed on February 11, 2013, BlackRock, Inc. beneficially owned, and had sole voting and investment power with respect to, 1,758,160 shares.
- Based on a review of a Schedule 13G filed on February 13, 2013, Capital Research Global Investors beneficially owned, and had sole voting and investment power with respect to, 2,771,185 shares. As disclosed in the Schedule 13G, Capital Research Global Investors is a division of Capital Research Management Company and is deemed to be the beneficial owner of these shares as a result of Capital Research Management Company acting as investment adviser to various investment companies registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940.
- Based on a review of a Schedule 13G filed on February 14, 2013, Maverick Capital, Ltd. beneficially owned 2,548,964 shares. As disclosed in the Schedule 13G, Maverick Capital, Ltd. is an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and, as such, may be deemed to have beneficial ownership of these shares through the investment discretion it exercises over its clients' accounts. Maverick Capital Management, LLC is the General Partner of Maverick Capital, Ltd. Mr. Ainslie is the manager of Maverick Capital

Table of Contents

Management, LLC and is granted sole investment discretion pursuant to Maverick Capital Management, LLC's regulations.

- Includes 3,655,842 shares of common stock held by the William P. Angrick, III Revocable Trust, 873,379 shares of common stock held by the William P. Angrick III 2005 Irrevocable Trust, 114,699 shares of common stock held by the Stephanie S. Angrick Revocable Trust and 575,513 shares of common stock held by the Stephanie S. Angrick 2005 Irrevocable Trust. Mr. Angrick disclaims beneficial ownership of these securities. This amount also includes 33,509 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options held by Mr. Angrick that are exercisable as of January 14, 2014 or within 60 days of such date.
- (6) Includes 14,067 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options held by Mr. Rallo that are exercisable as of January 14, 2014 or within 60 days of such date.
- (7) Includes 81,546 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options held by Mr. Burton that are exercisable as of January 14, 2014 or within 60 days of such date.
- (8) Includes 243,424 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options held by Mr. Roy that are exercisable as of January 14, 2014 or within 60 days of such date.
- (9) Includes 26,364 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options held by Mr. Clough that are exercisable as of January 14, 2014 or within 60 days of such date.
- (10)
 Includes 1,160 shares of common stock held by the George H. Ellis Individual Retirement Account and 11,282 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options held by Mr. Ellis that are exercisable as of January 14, 2014 or within 60 days of such date.
- (11) Includes 111,992 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options held by Mr. Gross that are exercisable as of January 14, 2014 or within 60 days of such date.
- Includes 163,208 shares of common stock held by the Jaime Mateus-Tique 2005 Irrevocable Trust, 53,262 shares of common stock held by the Em El 2007 Irrevocable Trust, and 168,406 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options held by Mr. Mateus-Tique that are exercisable as of January 14, 2014 or within 60 days of such date.
- (13) Includes 38,952 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options held by Mr. Perdue that are exercisable as of January 14, 2014 or within 60 days of such date.
- (14) Includes 6,000 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options held by Mr. Williams that are exercisable as of January 14, 2014 or within 60 days of such date.
- Includes 735,542 shares of common stock issuable pursuant to options held by all executive officers and directors as a group that are exercisable as of January 14, 2014 or within 60 days of such date.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), requires our directors, executive officers and beneficial owners of greater than ten percent of our common stock to file reports of holdings and transactions in Liquidity Services' common stock with the SEC. Based solely on these records, we believe that in fiscal 2013 all persons satisfied these filing requirements on a timely basis, except that, unless otherwise noted, each of the following individuals filed a single Form 4 late. The names of the individual and the number of transactions that were not timely filed are as follows: William P. Angrick, III (4); and James M. Rallo (4). In addition, the following individuals filed single amendments to a Form 4: Thomas B. Burton (4); G. Cayce Roy (4); James M. Rallo (4); and James E. Williams (4).

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company did not participate in or review any potential related party transactions during fiscal 2013 and there currently are no proposed related party transactions. To be considered a related party transaction under current SEC rules, a transaction must include the Company as a participant, and one of our officers, directors or greater than 5% stockholders or a family member of such person must have a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction. To date, we have not participated in any related party transactions requiring disclosure as such under the SEC disclosure requirements. Should we consider participating in a related party transaction in the future, such transaction would be reviewed and subject to approval by the Audit Committee, in accordance with our written Audit Committee Charter. We have not adopted specific standards that would govern such review.

As a general matter, our written Code of Conduct prohibits conflicts of interest. We consider a conflict of interest to exist when a person's private interest interferes in any way with the interests of our Company, including: (i) a conflict that makes it difficult for an employee, officer or director to perform his or her work objectively and effectively; (ii) when an employee, officer or director, or any member of his or her family, receives improper personal benefits as a result of his or her position in or with our Company; or (iii) when an employee, officer or director is engaged in a business or business activity that is in competition with or injurious to us. The Code of Conduct requires that the General Counsel be consulted with any questions about conflicts of interest in addition to requiring that our directors and officers consult with the General Counsel before engaging in any potential conflict of interest transactions.

PROPOSALS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE

ITEM 1 Election of Directors

Our Board of Directors currently is composed of seven directors, divided into three classes: Class I, Class II and Class III. Our Class I directors, elected at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2013, are William P. Angrick, III and David A. Perdue, Jr., and their terms end at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2016. Our Class II directors, elected at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2011, are Phillip A. Clough, George H. Ellis and Jaime Mateus-Tique, and their terms end at this Annual Meeting of Stockholders upon the election and qualification of their successors. Our Class III director, elected at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2012, is Patrick W. Gross, and his term ends at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2015. As of the date hereof, one Class III vacancy exists on the Board following Mr. Kramer's resignation from the Board in August 2013. The Company is conducting a search to find an independent director to fill the vacancy on the Board. With respect to the Class II directors to be elected at the Annual Meeting, each nominee for director will, if elected, continue in office until our Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2017 or until the director's successor has been duly elected and qualified, or until the earlier of the director's death, resignation or retirement.

If you are a stockholder of record, the proxy holders named on the proxy card intend to vote your proxy for the election of each of these nominees, unless you indicate on the proxy card that your vote should be withheld from any or all of the nominees. Brokers, banks and other nominees are not permitted to vote in the election of directors without instructions from the beneficial owner. Therefore, if your shares are held through a broker, bank or other nominee, they will not be voted in the election of directors unless you affirmatively vote your shares.

Each nominee has consented to be named as a nominee in this proxy statement, and we expect each nominee for election as a director to be able to serve if elected. If any nominee is unable to serve, proxies will be voted in favor of the other nominees and may be voted for substitute nominees selected by the Board, unless the Board chooses to reduce the number of directors serving on the Board.

Table of Contents

In evaluating director candidates, and considering incumbent directors for renomination, the Board and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee consider a variety of factors as discussed above under "The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee." Among other things, the Board has determined that it is important to have individuals with the following skills and experiences on the Board:

Industry experience and Company knowledge. We believe that it is important for our directors to have knowledge of the Company and the online auction marketplace industry, which is relevant to understanding the Company's business, operations and strategy.

Senior leadership experience. We believe that it is important for our directors to have served in senior leadership roles at other organizations, which demonstrates strong abilities to motivate and manage others and to identify and develop leadership qualities in others.

High-growth company experience. As a high-growth company, it is important for our directors to have experience with other companies that have undergone periods of significant growth because they can provide insight on the challenges faced by companies in these situations, including how to balance strategic acquisitions with organic growth, manage expectations about the scope, speed and success of our growth strategy and leverage operational infrastructure to support expansion.

Public company board experience. Directors who have served on other public company boards can offer advice and perspective with respect to Board dynamics and operations; the relationship between the Board and Company management; and other matters, including corporate governance, executive compensation and oversight of strategic, operational and compliance-related matters.

Media and technology experience. As a provider of online marketplaces, it is important for our directors to have media and technology experience, especially as this experience relates to the Internet.

Financial and accounting experience. We believe that it is important for our directors to have knowledge of finance and financial reporting processes, which is relevant to understanding and evaluating the Company's capital structure and overseeing the preparation of its financial statements.

Legal experience. Directors who have legal experience can assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities related to the oversight of the Company's legal and regulatory compliance efforts, and engagement with regulatory authorities.

Government experience. Directors who have served in positions with government contractors, in government positions or have other experience working with federal, state, local or foreign governments can provide experience and insight into bidding for and obtaining government contracts and working constructively with governments around the world.

The specific qualifications and experience of the individual directors and nominees and certain other information are set forth on the following pages. For more information on the director nomination process, refer to "The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee" above.

Your Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the election of Phillip A. Clough, George H. Ellis and Jaime Mateus-Tique as directors.

Table of Contents

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Name and Age as of January 27, 2014 William P. Angrick, III Age 46

Biographical Information and Director Qualifications and Experience

Mr. Angrick is a co-founder of Liquidity Services who has served as the Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of LSI since January 2000. Prior to co-founding Liquidity Services, Mr. Angrick was at Deutsche Bank Alex Brown from 1995 to 1999, where he served as Vice President of the Consumer and Business Services Investment Banking Group after serving as an Associate. Mr. Angrick holds an M.B.A. from the Kellogg Graduate School of Management at Northwestern University and a B.B.A. with honors from the University of Notre Dame. Mr. Angrick earned his CPA certificate in 1990.

As a co-founder and Chairman and CEO of the Company, Mr. Angrick has extensive industry experience and knowledge of the Company. Mr. Angrick also brings to the Board senior leadership experience and financial and accounting experience.

Mr. Mateus-Tique is a co-founder of Liquidity Services who has served as a director of LSI since April 2000. Mr. Mateus-Tique served as LSI's President and Chief Operating Officer from April 2000 until his retirement in September 2009. Prior to co-founding Liquidity Services, Mr. Mateus-Tique served as a senior engagement manager at McKinsey & Co., a management consulting firm, from September 1995 to March 2000. Mr. Mateus-Tique holds an M.B.A. from the Kellogg Graduate School of Management at Northwestern University and a master's degree from Ecole des Hautes Etudes Commerciales in Paris.

As a co-founder and former President and COO of the Company, Mr. Mateus-Tique has extensive industry experience and knowledge of the Company. Mr. Mateus-Tique also brings to the Board senior leadership experience and media and technology experience.

Mr. Clough has served as a director of Liquidity Services since September 2004 and currently serves as the Chairman of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and a member of the Audit and Compensation Committees. Since January 2007, Mr. Clough has been a Managing General Partner of ABS Capital Partners ("ABS"), a growth equity firm focused on investments in growth companies in the technology, business and education services, media and communications and health care industries. From September 2001 to January 2007, Mr. Clough was a General Partner of ABS. Prior to joining ABS, Mr. Clough was President and Chief Executive Officer of Sitel Corporation, a global provider of outsourced customer support services, from May 1998 to March 2001. In addition to serving as a director of Liquidity Services, Mr. Clough currently serves on the boards of directors of Rosetta Stone Inc., a provider of technology-based language learning solutions, and various private companies. Mr. Clough previously served on the board of directors of American Public Education, Inc., a provider of exclusively online post-secondary education, from August 2002 to 2010.

Jaime Mateus-Tique Age 47

Phillip A. Clough Age 52

Table of Contents

Name and Age as of January 27, 2014

George H. Ellis Age 64

Biographical Information and Director Qualifications and Experience

As a Managing General Partner of a private equity firm, Mr. Clough has senior leadership experience and financial experience. Mr. Clough also brings to the Board high-growth company experience, media and technology experience and public company board experience.

Mr. Ellis has served as a director of Liquidity Services since May 2010 and currently serves as the Chairman of the Audit Committee. Mr. Ellis has been the Chief Financial Officer of Studer Group, a private equity-backed healthcare consulting firm, since September 2011. From July 2006 to August 2011, Mr. Ellis served as the Chief Financial Officer of Global 360, Inc., a software development company. Mr. Ellis has also served in several capacities at Softbrands, Inc., a software developer and provider of related professional services that has been acquired by Golden Gate Capital, serving as a member of its board of directors from October 2001 to August 2009, as Chairman from October 2001 to June 2006, and as Chief Executive Officer from October 2001 to January 2006. Mr. Ellis is also a director of Blackbaud, Inc., a supplier of software for non-profit companies, where he is Chairman of the audit committee. Mr. Ellis served on the board of directors of NEON Systems, Inc., from January 2000 to December 2005 and PeopleSupport, Inc., from October 2004 to October 2008. He also served as a director of AremisSoft Corp. from April 1999 until February 2001 and as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of AremisSoft from October 2001 to July 2002. AremisSoft confirmed its plan of reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Federal Bankruptcy Code in August 2002. Previously, Mr. Ellis served as Chief Financial Officer of Sterling Software, Inc., Chief Financial Officer and founder of Sterling Commerce, Inc., a spin-off of Sterling Software, and Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Communities Foundation of Texas. Mr. Ellis is a Certified Public Accountant and is admitted to the State Bar of Texas.

As a CFO and former Chairman and CEO of several companies and an audit committee member, Mr. Ellis has senior leadership experience and financial and accounting experience. Mr. Ellis also brings to the Board high-growth company experience, media and technology experience and public company board experience.

24

Table of Contents

Name and Age as of January 27, 2014 Patrick W. Gross Age 69

David A. Perdue, Jr. Age 64

Biographical Information and Director Qualifications and Experience

Mr. Gross has served as a director of LSI since February 2001 and currently serves as the Chairman of the Compensation Committee and a member of the Audit and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committees. Mr. Gross has served as Chairman of The Lovell Group, a private business and technology advisory and investment firm, since October 2002. Mr. Gross is a founder of, and served as a principal executive officer from 1970 to September 2002 at, American Management Systems, Inc., a publicly traded information technology consulting, software development and systems integration firm. Mr. Gross is also a director of Capital One Financial Corporation, a publicly traded financial services company, Career Education Corporation, a publicly traded provider of post-secondary educational services, Rosetta Stone Inc., a provider of technology-based language learning solutions, and Waste Management, Inc., a publicly traded provider of integrated waste services. Mr. Gross previously served on the board of directors of Taleo Corporation, a publicly traded provider of talent management solutions, from August 2006 until April 2012 when Taleo Corporation was acquired by Oracle Corporation. Mr. Gross also currently serves on the boards of directors of various private companies.

As the Chairman of a business and technology advisory and investment firm, Mr. Gross has senior leadership experience and media and technology experience. Mr. Gross also brings to the Board industry experience, high-growth company experience and public company board experience. Mr. Perdue has served as a director of LSI since December 2009 and currently serves as a member of the Corporate Governance and Nominating and Compensation Committees. Mr. Perdue served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Dollar General Corporation, a retail organization, from June 2003 until his retirement in July 2007, and as Chief Executive Officer of Dollar General Corporation from April 2003 until June 2003. From July 2002 to March 2003, Mr. Perdue served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Pillowtex Corporation, a textile manufacturing company. Pillowtex filed for bankruptcy in July 2003 after emerging from a previous bankruptcy in May 2002. Prior to 2003, Mr. Perdue held senior management positions with Reebok International Ltd., Haggar Corporation and Sara Lee Corporation. Mr. Perdue has served on the board of directors of Alliant Energy Corporation, a public utility holding company, since 2001, Graphic Packaging Holding Company, a provider of packaging solutions, since 2011, and Jo-Ann Stores, Inc., a specialty retailer of fabrics and crafts, from 2008 to 2011. Currently, Mr. Perdue is a partner in Perdue Partners, an international trading company.

As a former Chairman and CEO of several retail and manufacturing companies, Mr. Perdue has senior leadership experience and experience in sales and marketing. Mr. Perdue also brings to the Board public company board experience.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND MANAGEMENT

Below you can find information, including biographical information, about our executive officers (other than Mr. Angrick, whose biographical information appears above):

Name	Age	Position
Thomas B. Burton	55	Executive Vice President and President, Capital Assets Group
Leoncio S. Casusol	41	Chief Information Officer
James M. Rallo	48	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
G. Cayce Roy	49	Executive Vice President and President of the Retail Supply Chain Group
James E. Williams	46	Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Thomas B. Burton serves as our Executive Vice President and President of the Capital Assets Group. Previously, Mr. Burton served as President and Chief Operating Officer of DOD Surplus, LLC, our wholly-owned subsidiary which is now part of the Capital Assets Group, since June 2001. Mr. Burton served as LSI's Director of Government Surplus from September 2000 through May 2001. Prior to joining our Company in September 2000, Mr. Burton served as the Western Region Director of EG&G Technical Services, a government contractor, from August 1990 to September 2000. Mr. Burton holds a B.S. from Cameron University.

Leoncio S. Casusol has served as our Chief Information Officer since February 2013. Prior to joining our Company, Mr. Casusol served as Chief Information Officer of Terremark, a Verizon company that provides advanced information technology infrastructure and managed services. Before that, Mr. Casusol spent seven years at Quadrem, where he helped establish and grow one of the first and largest B2B e-commerce marketplaces in the mining industry. Mr. Casusol holds a B.S. from Santa Maria Catholic University of Arequipa and a master's in Economics and Management from San Agustin University of Arequipa.

James M. Rallo has served as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of LSI since February 2005. Prior to joining our Company, Mr. Rallo served as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Sleep Services of America, Inc. from July 1999 to February 2005. Mr. Rallo served as Vice President of Deutsche Banc Alex Brown's Healthcare Investment Banking Group from June 1995 to July 1999. Mr. Rallo holds an M.B.A. from the Smith School of Business at the University of Maryland and a B.S. from Washington and Lee University. Mr. Rallo is a Certified Public Accountant.

G. Cayce Roy has served as our Executive Vice President and President of the Retail Supply Chain Group, formerly known as the Asset Recovery Group, since August 2008. From 2000 to 2007, Mr. Roy held a number of management positions at Amazon.com, Inc., an online retailer. Most recently, from June 2004 to January 2007, Mr. Roy served as Vice President and General Manager of Amazon Services, LLC. Prior to that, from August 2001 to June 2004, Mr. Roy led Amazon's North American fulfillment operations. Prior to his employment at Amazon, Mr. Roy served with TNT Post Group in Europe. Mr. Roy holds a B.S. from Lehigh University.

James E. Williams has served as our Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since November 2005. Prior to joining our Company, Mr. Williams served as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary for Acterna Corporation, a telecommunications equipment manufacturer that was acquired in late 2005 by JDS Uniphase Corporation. Previously, Mr. Williams served as Assistant General Counsel for PathNet Telecommunications, formerly a wholesale telecommunications provider. Prior to that, Mr. Williams was a corporate associate at the law firms of Kirkland & Ellis LLP and Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati. He received his B.A. from Brown University and his J.D. from the University of Chicago Law School.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee has selected Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2014.

We are asking our stockholders to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. Although ratification is not required by our bylaws or otherwise, we are submitting the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to our stockholders for ratification because we value our stockholders' views on the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and as a matter of good corporate practice. In the event that our stockholders fail to ratify the selection, the Audit Committee will review its future selection of the independent registered public accounting firm. Even if this selection is ratified, pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of our independent registered public accounting firm and may determine to change the firm selected at such time and based on such factors as it determines to be appropriate.

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting to answer appropriate questions. They also will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so.

Your Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2014.

AUDITORS

Audit and Non-Audit Fees

The following table presents fees for professional audit services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2013, and September 30, 2012, and for fees billed for other services rendered by Ernst & Young LLP during those periods.

	F	iscal 2013	F	iscal 2012
Audit fees(1)	\$	1,092,000	\$	836,440
Audit-related fees(2)	\$	134,600	\$	73,000
Tax fees(3)	\$	322,600	\$	159,700
All other fees(4)	\$	102,355	\$	324,310
Total fees	\$	1,651,555	\$	1,393,450
Total ICCs	Ψ	1,051,555	Ψ	1,373,130

- Audit fees consisted principally of work performed in connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements and the review of our unaudited quarterly financial statements. This amount includes \$79,000 in costs during fiscal 2013 and \$14,000 in costs during fiscal 2012, related to the statutory audits of our foreign subsidiaries and other related services.
- (2) Audit-related fees consisted principally of fees incurred in connection with our employee benefit plans.
- (3) Tax fees consisted principally of tax return preparation, planning and compliance work.
- (4) All other fees consisted principally of fees related to due diligence reviews.

27

Table of Contents

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Pursuant to its Charter, Audit Committee policy and applicable law, the Audit Committee pre-approves all audit and permissible non-audit services to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. The pre-approval policy applies to audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. The Audit Committee has delegated authority to the Chair of the Audit Committee in some cases to pre-approve the provision of services by our independent registered public accounting firm, which pre-approvals the Chair then communicates to the full Audit Committee. To avoid potential conflicts of interest, the law prohibits a publicly traded company from obtaining certain non-audit services from its independent registered public accounting firm. We obtain these services from other service providers as needed.

Audit Committee Report

Liquidity Services' management is responsible for Liquidity Services' financial statements, internal controls and financial reporting process. Liquidity Services' independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, is responsible for auditing the financial statements and for expressing an opinion as to whether those audited financial statements fairly present, in all material respects, the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Audit Committee was established for the purpose of representing and assisting the Board of Directors in overseeing Liquidity Services' accounting and financial reporting processes and audits of Liquidity Services' annual financial statements, including the integrity of Liquidity Services' financial statements, Liquidity Services' compliance with legal and regulatory authority requirements, the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence and the performance of Liquidity Services' independent registered public accounting firm. The members of the Audit Committee are not professional accountants or auditors, and their functions are not intended to duplicate or to certify the activities of management and the independent registered public accounting firm.

In this context, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management. The Audit Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") Ethics and Independence Rule 3526, "Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence" and the matters required to be discussed by PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 16. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the audit committee concerning independence, and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence.

Based upon the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2013 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Board of Directors approved including the audited financial statements in the Company's Annual Report.

The Audit Committee:

George H. Ellis, Chair Phillip A. Clough Patrick W. Gross

The Audit Committee Report does not constitute soliciting material, and shall not be deemed to be filed or incorporated by reference into any other filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as

28

Table of Contents

amended, or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate the Audit Committee Report by reference therein.

ITEM 3 Approval of an Advisory Resolution on Executive Compensation

We are asking stockholders to approve an advisory resolution on the Company's executive compensation as reported in this proxy statement. As described below in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this proxy statement, the Compensation Committee's goals in setting executive compensation are to support the attainment of our short- and long-term financial and strategic objectives, reward executives for continuous growth in earnings and stockholder value, and align executives' interests with those of our stockholders. To achieve these goals, our executive compensation structure emphasizes performance-based compensation, including annual incentive compensation and stock-based awards.

We urge stockholders to read the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis," beginning on page 37 of this proxy statement, which describes in more detail how our executive compensation policies and procedures operate and are designed to achieve our compensation objectives, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and other related compensation tables and narrative, appearing on pages 49 through 62, which provide detailed information on the compensation of our named executive officers. The Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee believe that the policies and procedures articulated in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" are effective in achieving our goals and that the compensation of our named executive officers reported in this proxy statement reflects and supports these compensation policies and procedures.

In accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act, and as a matter of good corporate governance, stockholders will be asked at the Annual Meeting to approve the following advisory resolution:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Liquidity Services, Inc. (the "Company") approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table and the related compensation tables, notes and narrative in the Proxy Statement for the Company's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

This advisory resolution, commonly referred to as a "say-on-pay" resolution, is non-binding on the Board of Directors. Although non-binding, the Board and the Compensation Committee will review and consider the voting results when making future decisions regarding our executive compensation program.

The Board of Directors has adopted a policy providing for annual "say-on-pay" advisory votes. Unless the Board of Directors modifies its policy on the frequency of holding "say-on-pay" advisory votes, the next "say-on-pay" advisory vote will occur in 2015.

Your Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the advisory resolution on executive compensation.

ITEM 4 Re-Approval of the Material Terms of the Performance Goals under the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan

Overview

The Company maintains the Liquidity Services Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). An amendment to the Plan was most recently approved by stockholders in 2009 to increase the number of shares available for issuance under the Plan. The Plan is intended to enhance the Company's ability to attract and retain highly qualified officers, directors, key employees, and other

Table of Contents

persons, and to motivate such officers, directors, key employees, and other persons to serve the Company and to expend maximum effort to improve the business results and earnings of the Company, by providing to such persons an opportunity to acquire or increase a direct proprietary interest in the operations and future success of the Company. To this end, the Plan provides for the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, stock units, unrestricted stock, dividend equivalent rights and cash awards.

In order to allow for awards under the Plan to qualify as tax-deductible performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code"), the Company is asking stockholders to re-approve the material terms of the performance goals under the Plan as described in more detail below. These material terms are the same as those that the stockholders previously approved. Stockholders are not being asked to approve any changes or amendment to the Plan or to approve the Plan itself. Importantly, approval of this Item 4 will not increase the number of shares available for issuance under the Plan or otherwise increase the potential dilution to stockholders as a result of the Plan.

Why You Should Vote to Approve the Performance Goals Under the Plan

The Board of Directors recommends that the Company's stockholders approve the performance goals under the Plan because it believes the Company's ability to grant equity-based awards that are intended to qualify as performance-based under Section 162(m) of the Code is important to enhancing stockholder value.

Section 162(m) of the Code

The Board of Directors believes that it is in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders to continue to provide for an equity incentive plan under which compensation awards can be made to the Company's executive officers that are intended to qualify for deductibility by the Company for federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, the Plan has been structured in a manner such that awards granted under it can satisfy the requirements for "performance-based" compensation within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code, however, there can be no guarantee that amounts payable under the Plan will be treated as qualified "performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m). In general, under Section 162(m), in order for the Company to be able to deduct compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 paid in any one year to the Company's chief executive officer or any of the Company's three other most highly compensated executive officers (other than the Company's chief financial officer), such compensation must qualify as "performance-based." One of the requirements of "performance-based" compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) is that the material terms of the performance goals under which compensation may be paid be disclosed to and approved by the Company's stockholders at least once every five years. For purposes of Section 162(m), the material terms include (i) the employees eligible to receive compensation, (ii) a description of the business criteria on which the performance goal is based and (iii) the maximum amount of compensation that can be paid to an employee under the performance goal. With respect to the various types of awards under the Plan, each of these aspects is discussed below, and, as noted above, stockholders are being asked under this proposal to approve each of these aspects of the Plan for purposes of the approval requirements of Section 162(m).

If our stockholders do not approve the material terms of the performance goals under the Plan, there will be no impact on the terms of the Plan. The Plan will continue to remain in existence and awards may continue to be made in accordance with the terms of the Plan.

Table of Contents

Plan Summary

The following summary of the material terms of the Plan are qualified in their entirety by reference to the full text of the Plan, which is set forth in Appendix A to this Proxy Statement.

Administration

The Plan is administered by the Board of Directors, the Compensation Committee or other such committees as the Board of Directors may from time to time delegate. The Board of Directors or its designated committee has the authority to designate grantees, determine the type(s) of awards to be made to a grantee, determine the number of shares to be subject to an award, establish the terms and conditions of each award, prescribe the form of each award agreement and amend, modify or supplement the terms of each outstanding award, provided that no amendment, modification or supplement shall, without the consent of the grantee, impair the grantee's rights under such award or amend or modify an award such that it would be treated as a repricing without approval of the Company's stockholders.

Eligibility

Awards may be granted under the Plan to employees, officers, directors, consultants, advisers and outside directors of the Company. As of January 14, 2014, approximately 490 individuals were eligible to participate in the Plan, including our 6 executive officers and 5 non-employee directors.

Shares Subject to the Plan

The Plan currently authorizes the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of Liquidity Services, Inc. common stock. The aggregate number of shares which cumulatively may be available for issuance pursuant to awards other than options or SARs shall not exceed 3,500,000 and the number of shares that may be issued as incentive stock options ("ISOs") shall not exceed 10,000,000. Stock issued or to be issued under the Plan shall be authorized but unissued shares; or, to the extent permitted by applicable law, issued shares that have been reacquired by the Company. If any shares covered by an award are not purchased or are forfeited, or if an award otherwise terminates without delivery of any stock subject thereto, then the number of shares of stock counted against the aggregate number of shares available under the Plan with respect to such award shall, to the extent of any such forfeiture or termination, again be available for making awards under the Plan. The Board of Directors shall have the right to substitute or assume awards in connection with mergers, reorganizations, separations and certain other transactions.

Several types of stock grants can be made under the Plan. A summary of these grants is set forth below.

Stock Options

Stock options granted under the Plan can be either ISOs or nonqualified stock options. An option may constitute an ISO only (i) if the grantee of such option is an employee of the Company or any subsidiary of the Company; (ii) to the extent specifically provided in the related award agreement; and (iii) to the extent that the aggregate fair market value (determined at the time the option is granted) of the shares of stock with respect to which all ISOs held by such grantee become exercisable for the first time during any calendar year (under the Plan and all other plans of the grantee's employer and its affiliates) does not exceed \$100,000. This limitation is applied by taking options into account in the order in which they were granted.

The exercise price of stock options is at least the fair market value on the date of grant; provided, however, that in the event a grantee is a 10 percent stockholder, the option price of an option that is

Table of Contents

intended to be an ISO may not be less than 110 percent of the fair market value on the date of grant. Options become exercisable at such times and under such conditions as are determined by the Board of Directors. Each option terminates ten years from the date of grant, or as set forth in the Plan or fixed by the Board of Directors; provided, however, that in the event that the grantee is a 10 percent stockholder, an option that is intended to be an ISO cannot be exercisable after five years from the date of grant. An option that is exercisable may be exercised by the grantee's delivery to the Company of a written notice of exercise. Such notice must be accompanied by payment in full of the option price of the shares for which the option is being exercised plus the amount (if any) of federal and/or other taxes which the Company may, in its judgment, be required to withhold with respect to an Award, or by "cashless exercise." Each award agreement sets forth the extent to which the grantee has the right to exercise the option following termination of the grantee's service.

Stock Appreciation Rights

A stock appreciation right ("SAR") confers on the grantee, upon exercise thereof, the excess of (A) the fair market value of one share of stock on the date of exercise over (B) the grant price of the SAR as determined by the Board of Directors. The award agreement for a SAR specifies the grant price of the SAR, which must be at least the fair market value of a share of stock on the date of grant. SARs may be granted in conjunction with all or part of an option granted under the Plan or at any subsequent time during the term of such option, in conjunction with all or part of any other award or without regard to any option or other award; provided that a SAR that is granted subsequent to the date of grant of a related option must have a grant price that is no less than the fair market value of one share of stock on the date of grant. The Board of Directors determines the time or times at which and the circumstances under which a SAR may be exercised in whole or in part (including based on achievement of performance goals and/or future service requirements), the time or times at which SARs cease to be or become exercisable following termination of service or upon other conditions, the method of exercise, method of settlement, form of consideration payable in settlement, method by or forms in which stock will be delivered or deemed to be delivered to grantees, whether or not a SAR is granted in tandem or in combination with any other award, and any other terms and conditions of any SAR.

Restricted Stock and Stock Units

Awards of restricted stock or stock units may be made for no consideration. At the time a grant of restricted stock or stock units is made, the Board of Directors may, in its sole discretion, establish a restricted period applicable to such restricted stock or stock units. Each award of restricted stock or stock units may be subject to a different restricted period. The Board of Directors may, in its sole discretion, at the time a grant of restricted stock or stock units is made, prescribe restrictions in addition to or other than the expiration of the restricted period, including the satisfaction of corporate or individual performance objectives, which may be applicable to all or any portion of the restricted stock or stock units. Neither restricted stock nor stock units may be sold, transferred, assigned, pledged or otherwise encumbered or disposed of during the restricted period or prior to the satisfaction of any other restrictions prescribed by the Board of Directors.

Unless the Board of Directors otherwise provides, holders of restricted stock have the right to vote such stock and the right to receive any dividends declared or paid with respect to such stock. The Board of Directors may provide that any dividends paid on restricted stock be reinvested in shares of stock, which may or may not be subject to the same vesting conditions and restrictions applicable to such restricted stock. All distributions, if any, received by a grantee with respect to restricted stock as a result of any stock split, stock dividend, combination of shares, or other similar transaction are subject to the restrictions applicable to the original grant. Holders of stock units have no rights as stockholders of the Company. The Board of Directors may provide that the holder of such stock units be entitled to

Table of Contents

receive, upon the Company's payment of a cash dividend on its outstanding stock, a cash payment for each stock unit held equal to the per-share dividend paid on the stock. The Board of Directors may also provide that such cash payment will be deemed reinvested in additional stock units at a price per unit equal to the fair market value of a share of stock on the date that such dividend is paid. Unless the Board of Directors otherwise provides, upon the termination of a grantee's service, any restricted stock or stock units held by such grantee that have not vested, or with respect to which all applicable restrictions and conditions have not lapsed, shall immediately be deemed forfeited.

Unrestricted Stock Awards

The Board of Directors may, in its sole discretion, grant (or sell at par value or such other higher purchase price determined by the Board of Directors) an unrestricted stock award to any grantee pursuant to which such grantee may receive shares of stock free of any restrictions under the Plan. Unrestricted stock awards may be granted or sold as described in the preceding sentence in respect of past services and other valid consideration, or in lieu of, or in addition to, any cash compensation due to such grantee.

Dividend Equivalent Rights

A dividend equivalent right is an award entitling the recipient to receive credits based on cash distributions that would have been paid on the shares of stock specified in the dividend equivalent right (or other award to which it relates) if such shares had been issued to and held by the recipient. The terms and conditions of dividend equivalent rights shall be specified in the grant. Dividend equivalents credited to the holder of a dividend equivalent right may be paid currently or may be deemed to be reinvested in additional shares of stock, which may thereafter accrue additional equivalents. Any such reinvestment is made at fair market value on the date of reinvestment. Dividend equivalent rights may be settled in cash or stock or a combination thereof, in a single installment or installments, all determined in the sole discretion of the Board of Directors. A dividend equivalent right granted as a component of another award may provide that such dividend equivalent right shall be settled upon exercise, settlement, or payment of, or lapse of restrictions on, such other award, and that such dividend equivalent right will expire or be forfeited or annulled under the same conditions as such other award. A dividend equivalent right granted as a component of another award may also contain terms and conditions different from such other award. Except as may otherwise be provided by the Board of Directors, a grantee's rights in all dividend equivalent rights or interest equivalents shall automatically terminate upon the grantee's termination of service for any reason.

Performance Goals

The right of a grantee to exercise or receive a grant or settlement of any award, and the timing thereof, may be subject to such performance conditions as may be specified by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee. If and to the extent that the Compensation Committee determines that an award to be granted to a grantee who is designated by the Compensation Committee as likely to be a covered employee within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code should qualify as "performance-based compensation" for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code, the grant, exercise and/or settlement of such award shall be contingent upon achievement of pre-established performance goals. For purposes thereof, the performance goals may consist of one or more of the following business criteria for the Company, on a consolidated basis, and/or specified subsidiaries or business units of the Company (except with respect to the total stockholder return and earnings per share criteria), shall be used exclusively by the Compensation Committee in establishing performance goals for such awards: (1) total stockholder return; (2) such total stockholder return as compared to total return (on a comparable basis) of a publicly available index such as, but not limited to, the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index; (3) net income; (4) pretax earnings; (5) earnings before interest expense, taxes,

Table of Contents

depreciation and amortization; (6) pretax operating earnings after interest expense and before bonuses, service fees, and extraordinary or special items; (7) operating margin; (8) earnings per share; (9) return on equity; (10) return on capital; (11) return on investment; (12) operating earnings; (13) working capital; (14) ratio of debt to stockholders' equity; (15) revenue; and (16) gross merchandise value. Business criteria may be measured on an absolute basis or on a relative basis (i.e., performance relative to peer companies) and on a GAAP or non-GAAP basis. Such performance goals shall be established not later than 90 days after the beginning of any performance period applicable to such awards, or at such other date as may be required or permitted for "performance-based compensation" under Code Section 162(m). The maximum amount that may be earned under a cash award in any calendar year by any one grantee shall be \$3,000,000 and the maximum amount that may be earned as a cash award in respect of a performance period by any one grantee shall be \$5,000,000.

Corporate Transactions

Upon the occurrence of (i) the dissolution or liquidation of the Company or a merger, consolidation, or reorganization of the Company with one or more other entities in which the Company is not the surviving entity, (ii) a sale of substantially all of the assets of the Company to another person or entity, or (iii) any transaction (including without limitation a merger or reorganization in which the Company is the surviving entity) which results in any person or entity (other than persons who are stockholders or affiliates immediately prior to the transaction) owning 50% or more of the combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Company:

- (a) all outstanding shares of restricted stock shall be deemed to have vested, and all stock units shall be deemed to have vested and the shares of stock subject thereto shall be delivered, immediately prior to the occurrence of such corporate transaction; and
 - (b) either of the following two actions shall be taken:
 - (1) fifteen days prior to the scheduled consummation of a corporate transaction, all options and SARs outstanding under the Plan shall become immediately exercisable and shall remain exercisable for a period of fifteen days; or
 - (2) the Board of Directors may elect, in its sole discretion, to cancel any outstanding awards of options, restricted stock, stock units, and/or SARs and pay or deliver, or cause to be paid or delivered, to the holder thereof an amount in cash or securities having a value (as determined by the Board of Directors acting in good faith), in the case of restricted stock or stock units, equal to the formula or fixed price per share paid to holders of shares of stock and, in the case of options or SARs, equal to the product of the number of shares of stock subject to the option or SAR (the "Award Shares") multiplied by the amount, if any, by which (I) the formula or fixed price per share paid to holders of shares of stock pursuant to such transaction exceeds (II) the option price or SAR exercise price applicable to such Award Shares.

With respect to the Company's establishment of an exercise window, (i) any exercise of an option or SAR during such fifteen-day period will be conditioned upon the consummation of the event and will be effective only immediately before the consummation of the event, and (ii) upon consummation of any corporate transaction, the Plan, and all outstanding but unexercised options and SARs shall terminate. The corporate transaction provisions do not apply to any corporate transaction to the extent that provision is made in writing in connection with such corporate transaction for the assumption or continuation of the options, SARs, stock units and restricted stock theretofore granted, or for the substitution for such options, SARs, stock units and restricted stock relating to the stock of a successor entity, or a parent or subsidiary thereof, with appropriate adjustments as to the number of shares (disregarding any consideration that is not common stock) and option and stock appreciation

Table of Contents

right exercise prices, in which event the Plan, options, SARs, stock units and restricted stock theretofore granted will continue in the manner and under the terms so provided.

Amendment

The Board of Directors may, at any time and from time to time, amend, suspend, or terminate the Plan as to any shares of stock as to which awards have not been made. An amendment shall be contingent on approval of the Company's stockholders to the extent stated by the Board of Directors, required by applicable law or required by applicable stock exchange or market listing requirements. No amendment, suspension, or termination of the Plan may, without the consent of the grantee, impair rights or obligations under any award theretofore awarded under the Plan.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following is a summary of the material U.S. federal income tax consequences to the Company and to recipients of stock options and stock appreciation rights under the Plan. The summary is based on the United States Internal Revenue Code and the U.S. Treasury regulations promulgated under the Code in effect as of the date of this proxy statement, all of which are subject to change with retroactive effect. The summary is not intended to be a complete analysis or discussion of all potential tax consequences that may be important to recipients of awards under the Plan. The laws governing the tax aspects of these awards are highly technical, and such laws are subject to change. Different tax rules may apply to specific participants and transactions under the Plan, particularly in jurisdictions outside the United States.

Nonqualified Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights

The recipient will not have any income at the time a nonqualified stock option or a SAR is granted nor will the Company be entitled to a deduction at that time. When a nonqualified option is exercised, the optionee generally will recognize ordinary income (whether the option price is paid in cash or by delivery or surrender of shares of common stock), in an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares to which the option exercise pertains over the option exercise price. When an SAR is exercised, the holder will recognize ordinary income equal to the sum of (a) the gross cash proceeds payable and (b) the fair market value on the exercise date of any shares received. The Company will be entitled to a corresponding deduction with respect to a nonqualified stock option or SAR equal to the ordinary income recognized by the optionee or holder of the SAR, provided that the deduction is not disallowed by Section 162(m) or otherwise limited by the Code.

ISOs

A recipient will not have any income at the time an ISO is granted or have regular taxable income at the time the ISO is exercised. However, the excess of the fair market value of the shares at the time of exercise over the option exercise price will be a preference item that could create an alternative minimum tax liability for the optionee. Such alternative minimum tax may be payable even though the optionee receives no cash upon the exercise of the ISO with which to pay such tax. If the optionee disposes of the shares acquired on exercise of an ISO after the later of two years after the grant of the ISO and one year after exercise of the ISO, the gain recognized by the optionee (i.e., the excess of the proceeds received over the option exercise price), if any, will be long-term capital gain eligible for favorable tax rates under the Code. Conversely, if the optionee disposes of the shares within two years of the grant of the ISO or within one year of exercise of the ISO, the disposition will generally be a "disqualifying disposition," and the optionee will recognize ordinary income in the year of the disqualifying disposition equal to the lesser of (i) the excess of the fair market value of the stock on the date of exercise over the option exercise price and (ii) the excess of the amount received for the shares over the option exercise price. The balance of the gain or loss, if any, will be long-term or short-term

Table of Contents

capital gain, depending on how long the shares were held. The Company is not entitled to a deduction as the result of the grant or exercise of an ISO. However, if the optionee recognizes ordinary income as a result of a disqualifying disposition, the Company will be entitled to a corresponding deduction equal to the amount of ordinary income recognized by the optionee, provided that the deduction is not disallowed by Section 162(m) or otherwise limited by the Code. We intend that awards granted under the Plan comply with, or are otherwise exempt from, Section 409A of the Code.

Section 162(m) Awards

The right of a grantee to exercise or receive a grant or settlement of any award, and the timing thereof, may be subject to such performance conditions as may be specified by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors may use such business criteria and other measures of performance as it may deem appropriate in establishing any performance conditions, and may exercise its discretion to reduce the amounts payable under any award subject to performance conditions, except as limited by the Plan in the case of a performance award or annual incentive award intended to qualify under Code Section 162(m), any power or authority relating to a performance award or annual incentive award intended to qualify under Code Section 162(m), shall be exercised by the Compensation Committee and not the Board of Directors.

It is the intent of the Company that performance awards and annual incentive awards granted to persons who are designated by the Compensation Committee as likely to be covered employees within the meaning of Code Section 162(m) and regulations thereunder (generally including the chief executive officer and the three most other highly-compensated executive officers other than the chief financial officer) shall, if so designated by the Compensation Committee, constitute "qualified performance-based compensation" within the meaning of Code Section 162(m) and regulations thereunder. The rules and regulations promulgated under Section 162(m), however, are complicated and subject to change from time to time, sometimes with retroactive effect. In addition, a number of requirements must be met in order for particular compensation to so qualify. As such, there can be no assurance that any compensation awarded or paid under the Plan will be deductible under all circumstances.

New Plan Benefits

The benefits that will be awarded or paid in the future under the Plan are not currently determinable. Such awards are within the discretion of the Compensation Committee, and the Compensation Committee has not determined future awards or who might receive them. Information about awards granted in fiscal year 2013 under the Plan to the Company's named executive officers can be found in the table under the heading "Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal 2013" on page 53 of this proxy statement. As of January 14, 2014, the closing price of a share of the Company's common stock was \$21.60.

Existing Plan Benefits

Pursuant to SEC rules, the following table sets forth information with respect to the number of shares underlying grants of all types of awards under the Plan that have been granted through

Table of Contents

January 14, 2014 that count against the Plan's maximum share authorization. These share numbers do not take into account the effect of options that have been canceled or expired unexercised.

	Total Number of Shares
Name and Position	Underlying Award Grants
William P. Angrick, III	262,105
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	
James M. Rallo	203,102
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	
Thomas B. Burton	153,366
Executive Vice President and President, Capital Assets Group	
G. Cayce Roy	397,237
Executive Vice President and President, Retail Supply Chain Group	
Leoncio Casusol	109,205
Chief Information Officer	
James E. Williams	47,409
Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	
All current executive officers as a group	1,172,424
All non-employee directors as a group	363,152
All employees as a group (excluding executive officers)	1,946,619

Your Board of Directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the re-approval of the material terms of the performance goals under the 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion & Analysis

This section describes our compensation strategy, programs and practices for the executive officers listed in the Summary Compensation Table that follows this discussion. In this proxy statement, we refer to these individuals as our named executive officers.

Executive Summary

Our executive compensation philosophy and the elements of our executive compensation program with regard to fiscal 2013 are summarized below:

The main objectives of our executive compensation program are to support the attainment of our short- and long-term financial and strategic objectives, reward executives for continuous growth in earnings and stockholder value, and align executives' interests with those of our stockholders.

Our executive compensation program emphasizes performance-based compensation, including annual incentive compensation and stock-based awards, including stock options and restricted stock.

Our Compensation Committee is responsible for evaluating and setting the compensation levels of our named executive officers. In setting compensation levels for executives, the Committee solicits the input and recommendations of our Chairman and CEO. The Compensation Committee periodically engages an independent compensation consultant to conduct market reviews of our competitive market for executive talent. In fiscal 2012, the Committee engaged Towers Watson to conduct a review of new market data for use in determining fiscal 2013 compensation levels.

Table of Contents

Compensation for our named executive officers during fiscal 2013 generally was lower than target levels as a result of Company financial performance. As discussed below, the Company's fiscal 2013 performance led to below-target annual incentive compensation for our named executive officers because we did not achieve all of our target goals for company financial performance under our annual incentive bonus plan.

To support the retention and incentive purposes of our executive compensation program, in fiscal 2013 each of our named executive officers received time-based and performance-based stock option and restricted stock awards.

General Compensation Philosophy

Liquidity Services' executive compensation programs are designed to support the attainment of our short- and long-term financial and strategic objectives, reward executives for continuous growth in earnings and stockholder value, and align executives' interests with those of our stockholders. The goal of Liquidity Services' compensation programs is to attract, retain and motivate key executives, and to encourage a long-term commitment to Liquidity Services. To achieve these objectives, the Compensation Committee uses a variety of compensation elements, including: base salary, annual cash incentive compensation, long-term incentive compensation and certain other compensation and benefits.

Factors Considered When Determining Compensation. The Compensation Committee seeks to set executive compensation at competitive levels that the Compensation Committee considers appropriate for a company of our size and stage of growth. On an annual basis, the Compensation Committee determines and approves the total compensation level of each of our named executive officers based on its evaluation of external market conditions, Company performance and each named executive officer's individual performance relative to pre-established performance goals and objectives. The Compensation Committee also considers each executive's level of experience, unique skills and abilities critical to the Company, and the executive's tenure, position and responsibilities with the Company. The Compensation Committee considers recommendations from the Chairman and CEO regarding levels for base salary, annual incentive awards and long-term incentive awards for named executive officers. The Chairman and CEO annually provides to the Compensation Committee historical and prospective breakdowns of the total direct compensation components for each named executive officer. The Chairman and CEO also recommends financial and non-financial performance goals for each named executive officer under the annual cash incentive compensation plan.

Market Data. Periodically, the Compensation Committee has engaged a leading industry compensation consultant to assess the market competitiveness of our executive compensation program so that our program attracts and retains executive talent essential to achieve our business plans. During fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee engaged Towers Watson to assess the market competitiveness of our executive compensation program for purposes of evaluating and setting fiscal 2013 executive compensation. After considering the following six factors with respect to Towers Watson: (i) the provision of other services to us by Towers Watson; (ii) the amount of fees received from us by Towers Watson, as a percentage of the total revenue of Towers Watson; (iii) the policies and procedures of Towers Watson that are designed to prevent conflicts of interest; (iv) any business or personal relationship of the Towers Watson consultant with a member of the Compensation Committee; (v) any of our stock owned by the Towers Watson consultants; and (vi) any business or personal relationship of the Towers Watson consultant or Towers Watson with any of our executive officers, our Compensation Committee has concluded that no conflict of interest exists with Towers Watson. The scope of Towers Watson's work included a review of the Company's executive compensation practices, assistance with development of an appropriate peer group, and presentation to the Compensation Committee of a report regarding executive compensation trends for similarly sized companies and the market competitiveness of our executive compensation program. During fiscal 2012, Towers Watson was

Table of Contents

engaged directly by the Compensation Committee and did not provide any services to the Company other than the executive and director compensation consulting services described above.

To assist the Compensation Committee in its market review for fiscal 2013, the Committee's compensation consultant prepared an analysis of the market competitiveness of the aggregate value of total direct compensation (base salary, annual incentive bonus and long-term incentives) as well as the market competitiveness of each element of compensation for each named executive officer (except with respect to Mr. Casusol, who joined the Company in February 2013). The market review was based upon two different sources of compensation data provided by Towers Watson published surveys and a selected peer group of e-commerce companies. The survey sources relied upon for the market review were national surveys and contained compensation data for both high-technology sector companies as well as similarly sized general industry companies. These survey sources were the 2011 Towers Watson General Industry Executive Compensation Survey Report, 2011 Towers Watson General Industry Top Management Compensation Survey and 2011 Towers Watson Long-Term Incentive Plan Report. The survey data was used as a market reference to assess how the Company's compensation practices for top executives compare to market practices and to confirm that the overall compensation mix is reasonably aligned with the marketplace.

The peer companies utilized in Towers Watson's review were approved by the Compensation Committee and contained six new companies and eliminated five companies (three based on acquisitions and two based on various size factors) as compared to the Company's historical peer group. The updated peer group was developed using several criteria as a guide (*e.g.*, company size, net income, growth, location, internet presence and technology focus) and includes 19 companies, with revenues ranging from \$155 million to \$817 million. The peer group companies for the fiscal 2013 review were:

comScore, Inc.	Deltek Inc.
1-800-FLOWERS.COM Inc.	TeleCommunication Systems Inc.
Richie Bros. Auctioneers Incorporated	CoStar Group Inc.
Corporate Executive Board Co.	Rosetta Stone Inc.
VistaPrint Ltd.	Shutterfly, Inc.
NeuStar Inc.	U.S. Auto Parts Network Inc.
TNS Inc.	Online Resources Corp.
WebMD Health Corp.	GeoEye, Inc.
Blue Nile Inc.	LinkedIn Corporation

Digital River Inc.

The compensation decisions specific to each component of total direct compensation for the named executive officers are discussed below.

Pay Mix. Because our named executive officers are in a position to directly influence the Company's performance, a significant portion of their compensation is delivered in the form of annual cash incentive bonus and long-term incentive compensation. We rely on a mix of compensation components intended to reward short-term results (in the form of annual cash incentive bonuses) and motivate long-term

performance (in the form of option and restricted stock grants that vest over several years). We do not have a specific allocation target between cash and equity-based compensation or between annual and long-term incentive compensation. Instead, we retain the flexibility when determining the compensation mix to react to our evolving business environment and our specific hiring

Table of Contents

and retention requirements. In fiscal 2013, approximately 59% or more of each of our named executive officer's target total direct compensation, including approximately 76% of the target total direct compensation for the Chairman and CEO, was performance-based and/or tied directly to the performance of our stock (in the form of target annual cash incentive bonuses and stock options and restricted stock awards), consistent with the Company's compensation philosophy to link executive compensation with stockholder returns and achievement of strategic business objectives.

Base Salary

Purpose. Salaries for named executive officers are designed to be competitive when compared with prevailing market rates and are based on a variety of factors, including level of responsibility, performance and the recommendations of the Chairman and CEO. Base salaries are reviewed annually or at the time of promotion or other changes in responsibilities. In determining whether to award base salary increases, the Compensation Committee considers the Company's overall business outlook, the Company's budget, the executive's individual performance, historical compensation, market compensation levels for comparable positions, internal pay equity and other factors, including any retention concerns. Under the terms of the employment agreements in place with our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee may not adjust the salary of a named executive officer downward unless the named executive officer consents to a reduction.

Fiscal 2013 Decisions. The Compensation Committee approved base salary increases for each of the named executive officers in fiscal 2013 (other than Mr. Casusol, who joined the Company in February 2013). These increases primarily reflected a cost of living adjustment over the prior year's base salary and an adjustment to set base salaries to levels comparable to the 50th percentile of the peer group. Prior to this increase, Mr. Angrick's base salary fell more than 27% below the survey market median. Effective October 1, 2012, the Compensation Committee approved base salaries in the following amounts for our named executive officers:

	2013	2012	Percentage
Named Executive Officer	Salary	Salary	Increase
William P. Angrick, III	\$ 600,000	\$ 450,000	33%
James M. Rallo	320,800	311,456	3%
Thomas B. Burton	306,300	297,413	3%
G. Cayce Roy	300,900	289,327	4%
Leoncio Casusol(1)	300,000	n/a	n/a

(1) Mr. Casusol joined the Company effective February 4, 2013.

The Compensation Committee utilizes a report of market compensation levels prepared by its independent compensation consultant in order to evaluate the executives' base salaries. The Compensation Committee generally seeks to set base salaries at the 50th percentile of the peer group, adjusting for experience and other factors such as tenure, individual performance and responsibilities.

Annual Incentive Compensation

Purpose. Annual incentive compensation is an "at risk" performance-based cash bonus that is designed to motivate our named executive officers to achieve pre-established corporate financial and individual performance objectives that are consistent with the Company's strategic plan. Bonuses under the plan are payable if, and only to the extent that, these pre-established objectives are achieved. The Compensation Committee retains the discretion to increase or decrease payouts under the bonus plan in connection with its review of the Company's and the executive's performance during the year. The Compensation Committee did not exercise this discretion with respect to fiscal 2013 bonuses payable to the named executive officers. Compensation paid under the plan has varied significantly from year to

Table of Contents

year. For example, over the last five years, the bonus of our Chairman and CEO has ranged from 0 to 205% of his base salary.

The annual incentive bonus plan is also designed to attract and retain key employees by providing our named executive officers with a significant opportunity to earn additional annual cash compensation. As noted below, the target opportunities of our named executive officers range from 60% to 100% of base salary, with a maximum opportunity of between 100% and 200% of the base salary. The Committee did not set a maximum bonus opportunity for Mr. Casusol for fiscal 2013. The Committee strives to set the annual incentive plan target opportunity at the median of the peer group with potential for upper quartile pay based on superior performance of the Company and the individual.

Fiscal 2013 Target Bonus Opportunities. At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Committee establishes the performance goals and target and maximum cash bonus awards for each named executive officer. Each target and maximum cash bonus award is set as a percentage of each named executive officer's base salary. The amount of the cash bonus ultimately awarded depends on the achievement of performance goals. The "Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal 2013" table below shows the range of possible payments to each of our named executive officers under the annual incentive bonus plan in fiscal 2013.

For fiscal 2013, the annual incentive cash award target and maximum bonus of our named executive officers were:

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal 2013 Target Bonus Percentage of Base Salary	Fiscal 2013 Annual Incentive Target	Fiscal 2013 Maximum Bonus Percentage of Base Salary
	•		•
William P. Angrick, III	100% 5	600,000	200%
James M. Rallo	60%	192,480	120%
Thomas B. Burton	80%	245,040	120%
G. Cayce Roy	60%	180,540	100%
Leoncio Casusol	60%	180,000	60%

The Committee established these target and maximum cash bonus award opportunities based upon (1) the relative scope and responsibility of the named executive officer's position and his respective impact on overall Company performance and (2) comparative compensation data based on the Committee's review of the competitive market conducted for fiscal 2013. For fiscal 2013, the target bonus opportunity for each of our named executive officers other than Mr. Casusol remained the same as for fiscal 2012. The Compensation Committee determined that the target bonus opportunities of our named executive officers (other than Mr. Burton) were at or near the 75th percentile of the competitive market data reviewed for fiscal 2013. The Committee determined that it was appropriate for Mr. Burton's target bonus opportunity to be above the 75th percentile because of the significance to the Company of his role as head of Capital Assets Group and to incentivize participation in company-wide growth initiatives.

Fiscal 2013 Performance Goals. At the beginning of the fiscal year, the Compensation Committee established performance goals for the plan based on recommendations from management. For fiscal 2013, the Committee determined that awards under the plan for our named executive officers other than Messrs. Burton and Roy would be based on the achievement of two corporate performance goals (Gross Merchandise Volume ("GMV") with respect to all commercial and municipal marketplaces other than the DOD Surplus marketplace ("Commercial GMV") and Adjusted EBITDA), business integration objectives and achievement of certain individual management objectives. For Messrs. Burton and Roy, however, the Committee determined that the portion of their bonuses tied to financial performance would be based primarily on marketplace-specific performance goals (the Capital Assets

Table of Contents

Group marketplace with respect to Mr. Burton and the Retail Supply Chain Group marketplace with respect to Mr. Roy) rather than Company-wide performance metrics because of their leadership of the respective marketplaces, with the remainder of their bonuses based on the achievement of the business integration objectives and certain individual management objectives, a portion of which were tied to company-wide growth initiatives. Mr. Casusol was eligible for a pro-rated bonus tied to the achievement of individual management objectives tied to the Company's IT strategic plan. The performance goals carry different weights for our named executive officers based on their position and responsibilities. The relative weights assigned to corporate, marketplace and individual goals for fiscal 2013 are as follows:

Name and Principal Position	Commercial GMV	Adjusted LSI EBITDA	Business Integration	Individual Performance
William P. Angrick, III	25%	25%	25%	25%
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer				
James M. Rallo	25%	25%	20%	30%
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer				
Thomas B. Burton	10%	0%	10%	80%
Executive Vice President and President, Capital Assets Group				
G. Cayce Roy	10%	0%	10%	80%
Executive Vice President and President, Retail Supply Chain Group	10,0	0,0	10,0	00 /c
Leoncio Casusol Chief Information Officer	0%	0%	0%	100%

Similar to fiscal 2012, the Committee's evaluation of the Company's financial performance under the plan for fiscal 2013 was based on two Company-wide goals. Commercial GMV and Adjusted EBITDA. Commercial GMV measures the total sales volume of all commercial and municipal merchandise sold through the Company's marketplaces during a given period. Adjusted EBITDA is based on the measurement of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as adjusted for non-cash stock compensation expense. The Committee selected these metrics as the corporate performance measures because they continue to be key metrics used by management to measure the Company's business performance and the basis upon which we communicate forward-looking financial information to the investment community. The target Commercial GMV goal for fiscal 2013 was \$891 million, approximately 35% greater than fiscal 2012 results. If the Company had achieved a Commercial GMV of less than \$660.0 million, then no bonus would have been earned with respect to this goal. The target Adjusted EBITDA goal established for fiscal 2013 was \$130.5 million, reflecting an increase of approximately 19% over fiscal 2012 results. Because the Company achieved an Adjusted EBITDA of less than \$121.0 million, no bonus was earned with respect to this goal.

For the named executive officers other than Mr. Casusol, the business integration objectives included developing marketing strategy for our seller- and buyer-facing brands and further penetrating and cross-selling to existing strategic accounts. The Committee determined that 100% of the business integration goals were met with respect to fiscal 2013.

The individual performance goals established for each of our named executive officers varied based on his relative job responsibilities and emphasized improvement in metrics or operational objectives within the control of each named executive officer. Each of our named executive officers had three to six individual management objectives designed to further each of the following two Company strategic initiatives of market leadership and of collaboration, integration and transformation. Each individual

Table of Contents

management objective is weighted differently as noted below. To the extent that an objective was determined to be critical to the Company's strategy and business plan, it may have served as an individual objective of more than one named executive officer.

Our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer's individual performance was evaluated based on the following five individual objectives (which comprised 25% of his total annual bonus opportunity):

Enhance our competitive position and grow our customer bases by advancing our partnership programs

Enhance our competitive position and diversify our business model by advancing our M&A program

Enhance our profile within the marketplace and cross-sell our services

Integrate our Capital Assets Group organization by leveraging our marketplace platform, our economies of scale and core competencies

Enhance our ability to manage growth by strengthening our human capital development across the Company

Mr. Rallo's individual performance was evaluated based on the following four individual objectives (which comprised 30% of his total annual bonus opportunity):

Enhance our investor relations program and host investor day

Strengthen our leadership and develop human capital by evaluating and attracting talent to fulfill outstanding needs in the global finance organization

Integrate the Capital Assets Group organization by implementing common policies and procedures

Support our global compliance programs by implementing training and updating procedures

Mr. Casusol's individual performance was evaluated based on the following five individual objectives (which comprised 100% of his total annual bonus opportunity):

Partner with the Company's leadership team to align the Company's overall technology strategy with the Company's business plan in order to support rapid growth and geographical expansion

Develop and communicate an IT organization business plan

Develop new technology services to support global operations and enable new premium value added services

Focus the IT organization on process optimization and automation by formalizing and standardizing all operational processes

Implement procedures for infrastructure consolidation in order to reduce licensing and maintenance costs

Mr. Burton's individual performance was evaluated based on the following three individual objectives (which together comprised 80% of his total annual bonus opportunity, and was further divided such that 80% was related to EBITDA of the Capital Assets Group and 20% was related to personal management objectives):

Complete the Capital Assets Group integration projects

Support growth in targeted large corporate accounts

43

Table of Contents

Support LSI corporate initiatives with respect to the Company's branding, sales force integration and the strategic road map

EBITDA of each of government and commercial portions of the Capital Assets Group, respectively. Because we believe disclosure of the EBITDA results for the Capital Assets Group marketplace and its subcomponents would cause the Company competitive harm by publishing sensitive information that would not otherwise be disclosed, the Company is not disclosing this target. The Committee cannot specify the degree of difficulty required to meet the EBITDA target, but believes that achievement of the target goal would have required substantial and sustained performance by the marketplace. The target EBITDA goal was consistent with the Company's annual business plan and strategic objectives, and achievement of the target goal required extensive business development efforts, a significant increase in inventory velocity and continued improvement in service levels.

Mr. Roy's individual performance was evaluated based on the following four individual objectives (which comprised 80% of his total annual bonus opportunity, and was further divided such that 50% was related to contribution margin of the Retail Supply Chain Group, 20% was related to the addition of new strategic accounts and 10% was related to personal management objectives):

Complete the Retail Supply Chain Group's information technology roadmap projects

Develop and grow buyer channels

Add new strategic accounts

Contribution margin of the Retail Supply Chain Group (which means margin contributed from an individual marketplace before allocation of certain expenses), which is based on the measurement of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as adjusted for non-cash stock compensation expense. Because we believe disclosure of the contribution margin results for the Retail Supply Chain Group marketplace would cause the Company competitive harm by publishing sensitive information that would not otherwise be disclosed, the Company is not disclosing this target. The Committee cannot specify the degree of difficulty required to meet the contribution margin target, but believes that achievement of the target goal would have required substantial and sustained performance by the marketplace. The target contribution margin goal was consistent with the Company's annual business plan and strategic objectives, and achievement of the target goal required extensive business development efforts, a significant increase in inventory velocity and continued improvement in service levels.

Fiscal 2013 Results and Payouts. At the end of the performance year, our Chairman and CEO assessed the achievement of the Company and individual performance goals and made a recommendation to the Committee regarding the annual bonus payouts. The target cash bonus of each of our named executive officers is shown in the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal 2013" table, and the actual amounts earned by our named executive officers are shown in the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table, which amounts were paid to the executives after the end of the fiscal year.

In determining the amount of the fiscal 2013 awards, the Committee assessed the Company's and each named executive officer's performance measured against the previously described corporate, business integration and individual management objectives. For fiscal 2013, the Company achieved Commercial GMV that exceeded threshold but fell short of the target performance level, and Adjusted EBITDA of \$104.6 million, which fell short of the threshold level, resulting in payouts for only the Commercial GMV component equal to 24% of target. The Committee determined that 100% of the business integration objectives were achieved resulting in payout for that component at target.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee awarded Mr. Angrick an annual cash incentive bonus of 43% of his target bonus, resulting in a bonus payment of \$255,990, based on our fiscal 2013 corporate performance, achievement of 100% of the business integration objectives and achievement of 47% of his individual objectives. Mr. Rallo was awarded 56% of his target bonus, resulting in a bonus payment of \$107,789, based on our fiscal 2013 corporate performance, achievement of 100% of the business integration objectives and achievement of 100% of his individual objectives. Mr. Casusol was awarded 100% of his target bonus, resulting in a bonus payment of \$180,000, based on achievement of 100% of his individual objectives.

Mr. Burton was awarded 53% of his target bonus, resulting in a bonus payment of \$130,614, based on our fiscal 2013 Commercial GMV corporate performance, achievement of 100% of the business integration objectives, his achievement of 100% of his personal management objectives, the Capital Assets Group marketplace's achievement of 104% of EBITDA targets with respect to the government portion of the Capital Assets Group. The commercial portion of Capital Assets Group did not achieve EBITDA at the threshold level, resulting in no payout for this metric.

Mr. Roy was awarded 46% of his target bonus, resulting in a bonus payment of \$83,771, based on our fiscal 2013 Commercial GMV corporate performance, achievement of 100% of the business integration objectives, his achievement of 100% of his personal management objectives. The Retail Supply Chain Group marketplace did not achieve the contribution margin at the threshold level resulting in no payout for this metric.

Fiscal 2014 Bonus Plan. At its November 2013 meeting, the Compensation Committee determined that the corporate financial measures for our fiscal 2014 annual incentive compensation plan will be Commercial GMV and commercial contribution margin growth from all marketplaces other than the DOD Surplus marketplace. The Compensation Committee decided to include business integration objectives, including integrating sales automation platforms, marketplaces and the buyer experience and developing aligned global seller facing brands. The relative weight assigned to corporate, business integration and individual goals for fiscal 2014 is as follows:

Name and Principal Position	Commercial GMV	Commercial Cntr. Margin Growth	LSI Business Integration	Individual Performance
William P. Angrick, III	25%	25%	25%	25%
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer				
James M. Rallo				
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	25%	25%	20%	30%
Thomas B. Burton				
Executive Vice President and President, Capital Assets Group	0%	0%	7%	93%
G. Cayce Roy				
Executive Vice President and President, Retail Supply Chain Group	10%	0%	10%	80%
Leoncio Casusol				
Chief Information Officer	10%	10%	10%	70%

Long-Term Incentive Compensation

Purpose. We grant equity-based compensation to our named executive officers in order to attract, retain and reward our executives and strengthen the mutuality of interests between our named executive officers and Liquidity Services' stockholders. The Compensation Committee annually

Table of Contents

determines whether to grant stock options or other equity-based incentives to executives. In making its determinations, the Compensation Committee considers factors such as market data, the executive's and the Company's performance in the last year and the results achieved by the executive, the executive's base salary and the Compensation Committee's view regarding the future potential of long-term contributions of the executive. Recommendations of the Chairman and CEO are also taken into consideration.

The Compensation Committee historically had granted our named executive officers long-term incentive awards in the form of stock options. As in fiscal 2012, our long-term incentive compensation program in fiscal 2013 provided grants of stock options and restricted stock under our 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, which has been approved by our stockholders.

The Compensation Committee has historically granted annual equity awards with respect to each fiscal year after financial results are available for the prior fiscal year at a regularly scheduled meeting. As the Compensation Committee's meeting schedule is established prior to the start of each fiscal year, the proximity of any award grants to earnings announcements or other market events is coincidental. For annual awards, the Compensation Committee's policy is to grant options and restricted stock awards on the date it approves them. The option exercise price is determined in accordance with the terms of the plan under which the award is granted (generally, the closing price on the date of grant) and cannot be less than the fair market value of our Common Stock as of that date. In addition to annual options awards, our named executive officers may receive stock options in connection with the commencement of employment or upon promotion. In these cases, the exercise price is typically the closing price of our common stock on the date the executive begins employment or the effective date of the promotion.

Fiscal 2013 Annual Awards. In fiscal 2013, the Compensation Committee granted a mix of time-based stock options and restricted stock awards and performance-based stock options and restricted stock awards to each of our named executive officers other than Mr. Casusol as part of the annual grant for fiscal 2013. Approximately 40% of the equity award value was in the form of stock options (with 50% subject to performance-based vesting terms and 50% subject to time-based vesting terms over four years), and 60% was in the form of restricted stock (with 50% subject to performance-based vesting terms and 50% subject to time-based vesting terms over four years, except with respect to Mr. Burton who received 81% of his restricted stock in the form of performance-based restricted stock due to his receiving a special one-time grant of 10,000 shares of restricted stock to incentivize achievement of certain Capital Assets Group Contribution Margin performance goals). We granted a portion of our annual equity awards in the form of performance-based stock options and restricted stock awards in order to incentivize the named executive officers to increase cross-company growth, particularly with respect to the marketplaces other than the DOD Surplus marketplace. These awards will vest in installments at the end of each fiscal year over a four-year period based on our compounded annual growth rate ("CAGR").

Special Equity Grant to Mr. Rallo. In July of 2013, we made an additional grant of both time-based and performance-based stock option and restricted stock awards to Mr. Rallo in recognition of the expanded scope and operational impact of his role in connection with the international expansion of our business, his critical role in achieving the ongoing operational and financial integration of acquired business, to retain his talent in the coming years and to facilitate and encourage ownership of our common stock. The grant consisted of 60% restricted stock and 40% stock options and 70% of the grant had performance-based vesting conditions and 30% had time-based vesting conditions. The Committee reviewed the market data provided by Towers Watson in connection with making this mid-year grant. The performance-vesting conditions are the same as those indicated above for the 2013 annual grants.

Table of Contents

New Hire Grant to Mr. Casusol. Mr. Casusol joined the Company effective February 4, 2013. In connection with his appointment as Chief Information Officer, he received a grant of both time-based and performance-based restricted stock awards. The performance-based restricted stock is subject to the same vesting conditions described above for the 2013 annual grants. In determining the size of Mr. Casusol's grant, the compensation committee considered internal pay equity concerns, the compensation of the Company's former Chief Information Officer and the market data provided by Towers Watson.

In determining the size of the annual grants to our named executive officers, the Compensation Committee considered the size of equity awards granted in fiscal 2012, the scope of job responsibilities, experience, individual performance and recommendations of the Chairman and CEO. Generally, the Committee seeks to target named executive officers' annual long-term incentive award values at a level between the 50th and 75th percentile of the Company's peer group based on a target value as a percentage of base salary. The grant date fair values of options and restricted stock awarded to our named executive officers in fiscal 2013 were within or just below this range.

The grant date fair value of each of our named executive officer's stock options and restricted stock awards as a percentage of base salary is as shown in the table below.

Named Executive Officer	Grant Date Fair Value of Annual Award as a Percentage of Base Salary*
William P. Angrick, III	192%
James M. Rallo	148%
Thomas B. Burton	248%
G. Cayce Roy	101%
Leoncio Casusol	n/a

We calculated the grant date fair value of stock options by multiplying the Black-Scholes value per option by the number of options awarded and of restricted stock by multiplying the closing price of our common shares on the grant date times the number of restricted shares awarded. This table does not include the value of Mr. Rallo's special one-time equity grant or Mr. Casusol's new hire grant.

The number of stock options and restricted shares granted to our named executive officers in fiscal 2013 is included in the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal 2013" table. The terms and conditions of the grants are more fully described in the footnotes and narrative following that table.

Fiscal 2014 Equity Awards. At its November 2013 meeting, the Compensation Committee granted each of our named executive officers a mix of stock options and time-based and performance-based restricted stock awards for fiscal 2014. Approximately 40% of the equity award value was in the form of stock options, and 60% was in the form of restricted stock. With respect to both stock options and restricted stock, 50% vest over four years and 50% vest based upon the achievement of performance conditions.

Other Compensation and Benefit Programs

Our named executive officers are eligible to participate in benefit plans that are available to substantially all of our employees, including participation in the Liquidity Services, Inc. 401(k) Profit Sharing and Trust Plan, medical insurance, dental insurance, life insurance and disability insurance programs.

Table of Contents

Except with respect to Mr. Burton, we do not provide our named executive officers with any additional benefits or perquisites not available to all other employees. In fiscal 2013, Mr. Burton was provided the use of a Company-owned car. The value of these benefits is described in the footnotes to the "Summary Compensation Table" below.

Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements with each of our named executive officers that provide for, among other things, specified payments in the event of termination of employment in certain circumstances. The terms of these agreements are described under "Employment Agreements" below. The Committee believes it is important to provide our named executive officers with some measure of financial security in the event that their employment with the Company is terminated without cause or in connection with certain unforeseen circumstances. The Committee believes that these arrangements encourage an executive to comply with post-termination restrictive non-competition covenants and to cooperate with the Company both before and after his employment is terminated. The Committee believes that these arrangements are reasonable and that it is beneficial to have agreements in place that specify the exact terms and benefits an executive receives if the Company elects to terminate a named executive officer's employment.

2013 "Say-on-Pay" Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

The Company provided stockholders a "say-on-pay" advisory vote on its executive compensation in 2013 under recently adopted Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. At the Company's 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, stockholders expressed substantial support for the compensation of our named executive officers, with approximately 86% of the votes cast for approval of the "say-on-pay" advisory vote. The Committee carefully evaluated the results of the 2013 advisory vote at its April meeting. The Committee also considers many other factors in evaluating the Company's executive compensation programs as discussed in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, including the Committee's assessment of the interaction of our compensation programs with our corporate business objectives, evaluations of our programs by external consultants, and review of peer group data, each of which is evaluated in the context of the Committee's fiduciary duty to act as the directors determine to be in stockholders' best interests. While each of these factors bore on the Committee's decisions regarding our named executive officers' compensation, the Committee did not make any changes to our executive compensation program and policies as a result of the 2013 "say-on-pay" advisory vote.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Internal Revenue Code), limits publicly-held companies to an annual deduction for federal income tax purposes of \$1 million for compensation paid to a company's chief executive officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers (not including the chief financial officer) determined at the end of each year. This limitation does not apply to compensation that meets the requirements under Section 162(m) for "qualifying performance-based" compensation. For fiscal 2013, payments of annual bonuses and the grants of stock options were intended to qualify as performance-based compensation.

Table of Contents

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the compensation of our named executive officers, which includes our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and our three other most highly compensated executive officers.

				Non-Equity		
		Stock	Ontion		All Other	
	Salary	Awards	Awards			Total
Year	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	(\$)(2)	(\$)(3)	(\$)(4)	(\$)
2013	600,000	720,036	432,722	255,990	11,875	2,020,623
2012	450,000	607,516	478,568	922,500	11,512	2,470,096
2011	395,000	986,615	217,606	743,588	7,570	2,350,379
2013						
	320,800	1,581,117	1,031,735	107,789	12,477	3,053,918
2012	311,456	233,581	178,596	370,062	11,652	1,105,347
2011	296,625	412,817	190,654	319,109	6,675	1,219,212
2013						
	306,300	623,534	135,564	130,614	21,292	1,217,304
2012	297,413	223,072	170,546	333,410	19,375	1,043,816
2011	283,250	441,264	97,094	347,639	14,061	1,183,308
2013						
	300,900	243,090	60,361	83,771	10,913	699,035
2012	289,327	147,564	112,811	290,079	28,329	868,110
2011	272,950	521,339	99,414	133,947	70,000	1,097,650
2013						
	271,233	3,254,227	0	180,000	7,996	3,713,456
	2013 2012 2011 2013 2012 2011 2013 2012 2011 2013 2012 2011	Year (\$)(1) 2013 600,000 2012 450,000 2011 395,000 2013 320,800 2012 311,456 2011 296,625 2013 306,300 2012 297,413 2011 283,250 2013 300,900 2012 289,327 2011 272,950	Year (\$)(1) (\$)(2) 2013 600,000 720,036 2012 450,000 607,516 2011 395,000 986,615 2013 320,800 1,581,117 2012 311,456 233,581 2011 296,625 412,817 2013 306,300 623,534 2012 297,413 223,072 2011 283,250 441,264 2013 300,900 243,090 2012 289,327 147,564 2011 272,950 521,339 2013	Year (\$)(1) (\$)(2) (\$)(2) 2013 600,000 720,036 432,722 2012 450,000 607,516 478,568 2011 395,000 986,615 217,606 2013 320,800 1,581,117 1,031,735 2012 311,456 233,581 178,596 2011 296,625 412,817 190,654 2013 306,300 623,534 135,564 2012 297,413 223,072 170,546 2011 283,250 441,264 97,094 2013 300,900 243,090 60,361 2012 289,327 147,564 112,811 2011 272,950 521,339 99,414	Year (\$)(1) Awards (\$)(2) Option (\$)(2) Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)(3) 2013 600,000 720,036 432,722 255,990 2012 450,000 607,516 478,568 922,500 2011 395,000 986,615 217,606 743,588 2013 320,800 1,581,117 1,031,735 107,789 2012 311,456 233,581 178,596 370,062 2011 296,625 412,817 190,654 319,109 2013 306,300 623,534 135,564 130,614 2012 297,413 223,072 170,546 333,410 2011 283,250 441,264 97,094 347,639 2013 300,900 243,090 60,361 83,771 2012 289,327 147,564 112,811 290,079 2011 272,950 521,339 99,414 133,947	Year (\$)(1) Awards (\$)(2) Option (\$)(2) Incentive Plan (\$)(3) All Other Compensation Compensation (\$)(2) 2013 600,000 720,036 432,722 255,990 11,875 2012 450,000 607,516 478,568 922,500 11,512 2011 395,000 986,615 217,606 743,588 7,570 2013 320,800 1,581,117 1,031,735 107,789 12,477 2012 311,456 233,581 178,596 370,062 11,652 2011 296,625 412,817 190,654 319,109 6,675 2013 306,300 623,534 135,564 130,614 21,292 2012 297,413 223,072 170,546 333,410 19,375 2011 283,250 441,264 97,094 347,639 14,061 2013 300,900 243,090 60,361 83,771 10,913 2012 289,327 147,564 112,811 290,079 28,329

- (1) Each of the named executive officers contributed a portion of his salary to the Liquidity Services, Inc. 401(k) Profit Sharing and Trust Plan.
- The amounts reported in these columns reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of grants of stock options and time-based and performance-based restricted stock awards to each of the named executive officers in the years shown, computed in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, disregarding estimates of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. The amounts reported for performance-based option and restricted stock awards were calculated assuming that all applicable performance goals would be achieved. For additional information about these calculations, see the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal 2013" table included in this proxy statement. For additional information about the assumptions used in these calculations, see Note 2 to our audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013. No stock or option awards granted to our named executive officers were forfeited during fiscal 2013, 2012 or 2011.
- (3)

 The amounts in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column represent the annual cash incentive bonuses described under the section of this proxy statement entitled "Annual Incentive Compensation." These annual cash bonuses were paid in fiscal 2014 for performance in fiscal 2013.

Table of Contents

(4)

The payments listed for fiscal 2013 in the "All Other Compensation" column above reflect the following amounts and, unless noted below, are based upon the actual cost expended by the Company:

For Mr. Angrick, the amount shown includes: \$10,014 for 401(k) matching contributions, \$832 for short- and long-term disability insurance premium payments and \$1,029 for group term life insurance premium payments.

For Mr. Rallo, the amount shown includes: \$10,280 for 401(k) matching contributions, \$832 for short- and long-term disability insurance premium payments, and \$1,365 for group term life insurance payments.

For Mr. Burton, the amount shown includes: \$5,951 for 401(k) matching contributions, \$858 for short- and long-term disability insurance premium payments, \$727 for group term life insurance payments, \$13,682 in aggregate incremental cost to the Company of providing him with a car and \$74 pursuant to fringe benefits.

For Mr. Roy, the amount shown includes: \$9,052 for 401(k) matching contributions, \$832 for short- and long-term disability insurance premium payments and \$1,029 for group term life insurance premium payments.

For Mr. Casusol, the amount shown includes: \$7,019 for 401(k) matching contributions, \$555 for short- and long-term disability insurance premium payments and \$422 for group term life insurance premium payments.

(5) Mr. Casusol joined the Company effective February 4, 2013.

Employment Agreements

We have entered into employment agreements with all of our named executive officers that provide for, among other things, the term of employment, compensation and benefits payable during the term of the agreement and certain compensation payable when an executive's employment is terminated under certain conditions.

We also have confidentiality, non-competition and intellectual property agreements with the named executive officers. These agreements typically provide that the employee may not disclose or transfer any of our confidential information to any person, business entity or other organization without authorization from us, and that the employee may not, during his or her employment with us and for 24 months thereafter, hire or solicit any of our employees for employment with another person or entity or in any way interfere with the relationship we have with any of our employees, clients or other business relationships. Further, these agreements also typically provide that the employee may not, during his employment with us and for up to 24 months thereafter, compete with us. These agreements typically also provide that all ideas, designs, works and inventions made by the employee in the course of his or her employment with us are our exclusive property, and that the copyrights of all writings produced by the employee during the course of his or her work for us are the property of our Company.

Summary of Employment Agreement with William P. Angrick, III

We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Angrick effective as of January 1, 2004. The agreement provides that Mr. Angrick will be employed as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and that his employment will continue until terminated by either party pursuant to the terms of the agreement. The agreement provided for an initial annual base salary of \$210,000, which may be increased but not decreased. During fiscal 2013, Mr. Angrick received a salary of \$600,000, which was approved by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Angrick is also eligible for a target annual incentive

Table of Contents

bonus under a sliding scale as approved by the Compensation Committee that is equal to up to 100% of his base salary based upon the achievement of our financial budget each year. In addition, he is eligible to receive an additional bonus amount for the completion of projects that increase stockholder value, at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. If Mr. Angrick's employment is terminated as a result of his death, his estate will receive his base salary through the next full calendar month and all other unpaid amounts. If Mr. Angrick's employment is terminated because of disability, he is entitled to his base salary through the third full calendar month after termination and all other unpaid amounts, provided that his base salary will be reduced by any amounts received under any disability insurance provided by the Company.

The agreement also provides that if his employment with the Company is terminated by us other than for cause, disability or death, or is terminated by Mr. Angrick for good reason, Mr. Angrick is entitled to receive: (1) his base salary through the date of termination and all other unpaid amounts owed under the employment agreement and (2) a lump-sum severance package equal to six months of the sum of his base salary plus an amount equal to six months of his average annual bonus for the previous two fiscal years. All severance payments made by us to Mr. Angrick will be payable within 30 days of notice of termination. Mr. Angrick's employment agreement was amended effective January 9, 2007 to extend the term from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2009. Mr. Angrick's employment agreement was extended until December 31, 2010, after which date the agreement shall be renewed automatically for a term of one year unless either party terminates the agreement.

Summary of Employment Agreement with James M. Rallo

We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Rallo effective as of February 21, 2005. The agreement provided for an initial annual base salary of \$200,000. During fiscal 2013, Mr. Rallo received a salary of \$320,800, which was approved by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Rallo is also eligible for a target annual incentive bonus of up to 60% of his salary and it must be at least \$50,000, subject to the achievement of certain deliverables and milestones; for fiscal 2013, the annual bonus target was 60% of his base salary.

If Mr. Rallo's employment is terminated as a result of his death, his estate will receive his base salary through the next full calendar month and all other unpaid amounts owed under the employment agreement. If Mr. Rallo's employment is terminated because of disability, he is entitled to receive his base salary through the third full calendar month after termination and all other unpaid amounts, provided that his base salary will be reduced by any amounts received under any disability insurance provided by the Company. This agreement also provides that if his employment with our Company is terminated by us other than for cause, disability or death, or because we elect not to extend the term of the agreement, or if his employment is terminated by Mr. Rallo for good reason, Mr. Rallo is entitled to receive: (1) his base salary through the date of termination and all other unpaid amounts; and (2) a lump-sum severance package equal to the sum of twelve months of his base salary plus an amount equal to his average annual bonus for the previous two fiscal years. All severance payments made by us to Mr. Rallo will be payable within 30 days of notice of termination. Mr. Rallo's employment agreement was amended effective February 23, 2012 to extend the term from February 20, 2012 to February 20, 2013, after which date the agreement shall be renewed automatically for a term of one year unless either party terminates the agreement. Pursuant to this amendment, if during the twelve months following a corporate transaction Mr. Rallo is terminated by us other than for cause, death or disability, or because we elect not to extend the term of the agreement, or if his employment is terminated by Mr. Rallo for good reason, Mr. Rallo is entitled to receive: (1) his base salary through the date of termination and all other unpaid amounts; and (2) a lump-sum severance package equal to the sum of twenty-four months of the sum of his base salary plus an amount equal to his average annual bonus for the previous two fiscal years.

Table of Contents

Summary of Employment Agreement with Thomas B. Burton

We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Burton effective as of June 15, 2001, with a one-year term with automatic one year renewals. The agreement provides that Mr. Burton will be employed as President of DOD Surplus, LLC, our subsidiary, and that his employment will continue until terminated by either party pursuant to the terms of the agreement. The agreement provided for an initial annual base salary under the agreement of \$175,000. During fiscal 2013, Mr. Burton received a salary of \$306,300, which was approved by the Compensation Committee. In addition, Mr. Burton is eligible to receive a bonus upon the attainment of certain performance milestones; for fiscal 2013, the annual bonus target was 80% of his base salary.

If Mr. Burton's employment is terminated as a result of his death, his estate will receive his base salary through the last day of the calendar month of the date of termination and all other unpaid amounts owed under the employment agreement. This agreement also provides that if his employment with our Company is terminated by us other than for cause or Mr. Burton's disability or death, Mr. Burton is entitled to receive: (1) his base salary through the date of termination; and (2) a lump-sum severance package equal to six months of the sum of his base salary plus healthcare benefits. All severance payments made by us to Mr. Burton will be conditioned upon Mr. Burton's execution of a release of all claims against us, our affiliates, officers, directors and employees.

Summary of Employment Agreement with G. Cayce Roy

We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Roy, effective as of August 25, 2008. The agreement provides that Mr. Roy will be employed as our Executive Vice President and President of the Asset Recovery division and that his employment will continue until August 25, 2012, or until terminated by either party pursuant to the terms of the agreement. We are currently negotiating an extension to this agreement. We plan to extend the term of Mr. Roy's employment for automatically renewable one year terms. The agreement provides for an initial annual base salary of \$250,000, which may be increased but not decreased. During fiscal 2013, Mr. Roy received a salary of \$300,900, which was approved by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Roy is also eligible for an annual incentive bonus; for fiscal 2013, the annual bonus target was 60% of his base salary, based upon the achievement of certain deliverables or goals agreed upon by Mr. Roy and the Company. The agreement also set forth the terms of an initial hire option award, subject to approval of the Compensation Committee. Mr. Roy was granted an option to purchase 280,000 shares of our common stock, which vested over a four-year period, and an option to purchase 320,000 shares of our common stock, which will vest based on the Retail Supply Chain Group marketplace's achievement of certain financial milestones. The options were granted at a per share exercise price equal to \$10.82, which was the fair value of our common stock on the date of grant.

If Mr. Roy's employment is terminated as a result of his death, his estate will receive his base salary through the next full calendar month and all other unpaid amounts owed under the employment agreement. If Mr. Roy's employment is terminated because of disability, he is entitled to receive his base salary through the third full calendar month after termination and all other unpaid amounts, provided that his base salary will be reduced by any amounts received under any disability insurance provided by the Company. This agreement also provides that if his employment with our Company is terminated by us other than for cause, disability or death, or is terminated by Mr. Roy for good reason, Mr. Roy is entitled to receive: (1) his base salary through the date of termination and all other unpaid amounts; and (2) a lump-sum severance package equal to six months of his base salary plus an amount equal to six months of the average bonus for the previous two fiscal years. All severance payments made by us to Mr. Roy will be payable within 30 days of notice of termination.

Table of Contents

Summary of Employment Agreement with Leoncio Casusol

We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Casusol effective as of February 4, 2013, with a one year initial term that will automatically renew each year for additional one-year terms. The agreement provides that Mr. Casusol will be employed as Chief Information Officer, and that his employment will continue during the term of employment until terminated by either party pursuant to the terms of the agreement. The agreement provided for an initial annual base salary under the agreement of \$300,000. During fiscal 2013, Mr. Casusol received a salary of \$300,000, prorated for the number of days employed during the year, which was approved by the Compensation Committee. In addition, Mr. Casusol is eligible to receive an annual incentive bonus upon the attainment of certain performance milestones; for fiscal 2013, the annual incentive bonus target was 60% of his base salary.

If Mr. Casusol's employment is terminated as a result of his death, his estate will receive his base salary through the next full calendar month following the date of termination and all other unpaid amounts owed under the employment agreement. If Mr. Casusol's employment is terminated as a result of his disability, he will receive his base salary through the third full calendar month following the date of termination and all other unpaid amounts owed under the employment agreement, provided that his base salary will be reduced by any amounts received under any disability insurance provided by the Company. This agreement also provides that if his employment with our Company is terminated by him with good reason or by us other than for cause or Mr. Casusol's disability or death, Mr. Casusol is entitled to receive: (1) his base salary through the date of termination and all other unpaid amounts owed under the employment agreement; and (2) if such a termination occurs prior to February 4, 2014, a lump-sum severance package equal to twelve months of his base salary plus a pro-rated target annual bonus; and if such termination occurs after February 4, 2014, a lump-sum severance package equal to six months of his base salary plus an amount equal to six months of the average annual bonus earned by the executive during the prior two fiscal years. All severance payments made by us to Mr. Casusol will be payable within 30 days of notice of termination.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal 2013

The following table provides additional information about plan-based awards granted to our named executive officers in fiscal 2013. Our named executive officers received up to five types of plan-based awards: annual cash bonuses (referred to as the "Incentive Cash Award"), stock options (referred to as the "2013 Stock Options"), time-based restricted stock awards (referred to as the "2013 Restricted

Table of Contents

Stock"), performance-based stock options (referred to as the "2013 Performance Options") and performance-based restricted stock awards (referred to as the "2013 Performance Stock").

		Und In	d Possible er Non-Eo centive Pl Awards(1	quity an	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities	Exercise or Base Price of	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock &
Name	Equity Award Grant Date	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Target	Stock or Units (#)	Underlying Options (#) (5	Option Awards 5/Share)(8)	Option Awards (\$)(9)
William P. Angrick, III		\','	',	(,,	` ′	` ′			.,,,,
Incentive Cash Award 2013 Stock Options	11/27/2012	240,000	600,000	1,200,000			14,695(6)	46.72	192,721
2013 Restricted Stock	11/27/2012					8,477(3)		360,018
2013 Performance Options	11/27/2012				14,695			46.72	240,001
2013 Performance Stock	11/27/2012				8,477				360,018
James M. Rallo Incentive Cash Award 2013 Stock Options	11/16/2012	76,992	192,480	384,960			3,335(6)	38.09	42,151
2013 Restricted	7/18/2013						24,871(7)	29.47	239,929
Stock	11/16/2012 7/18/2013					5,003(3 12,216(4	*		190,564 360,006
2013 Performance Options	11/16/2012 7/18/2013				3,335 58,031			38.09 29.47	52,492 697,163
2013 Performance Stock	11/16/2012 7/18/2013				5,003 28,503				190,564 839,983
Thomas B. Burton Incentive Cash Award	7710/2013	98,016	245,040	367,560	20,503				637,763
2013 Stock Options 2013 Restricted	11/16/2012						4,777(6)	38.09	60,376
Stock 2013 Performance	11/16/2012					3,185(3)		121,317
Options 2013 Performance	11/16/2012				4,777			38.09	75,188
Stock	11/16/2012				13,185				502,217
G. Cayce Roy Incentive Cash Award	11/1/5/2010	72,216	180,540	300,900			2.427(6)	20.00	24,002
2013 Stock Options 2013 Restricted	11/16/2012						2,127(6)	38.09	26,883
Stock 2013 Performance	11/16/2012					3,191(3)		121,546
Options 2013 Performance	11/16/2012				2,127			38.09	33,478
Stock	11/16/2012				3,191				121,546
Leoncio Casusol			180,000						

Incentive Cash			
Award			
2013 Restricted	l		
Stock	2/4/2013	49,113(5)	1,627,114
2013 Performa	nce		
Stock	2/4/2013	49,113	1,627,114

- Amounts shown represent the threshold, target and maximum awards that could be earned by the named executive officer under our annual incentive bonus plan for fiscal 2013. With respect to Mr. Casusol, only the target amount is shown as his bonus amount was based entirely on the achievement of individual management objectives. Beginning with fiscal year 2014, Mr. Casusol will be subject to a threshold and maximum bonus amount. Actual bonuses paid for fiscal 2013 are shown in the Summary Compensation Table in the "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column. For a discussion of this plan, see "Executive Compensation Annual Incentive Compensation."
- Amounts shown represent the number of performance-based restricted shares or performance-based stock options that could be earned by the named executive officer if the performance goal described under "Executive Compensation Long-Term Incentive Compensation" is achieved in full. The performance-based restricted shares and performance-based stock options reported in this column were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan.
- (3)
 The time-based restricted stock was granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, with 25% vesting on October 1, 2013 and 25% vesting on each of the first three anniversaries of October 1, 2013.
- (4)
 The time-based restricted stock was granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, with 25% vesting on July 1, 2014 and 25% vesting on each of the first three anniversaries of July 1, 2014.
- (5)
 The time-based restricted stock was granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, with 25% vesting on January 1, 2014 and 25% vesting on each of the first three anniversaries of January 1, 2014.
- (6)
 The time-based stock options were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, with 25% vesting on October 1, 2013 and 2.083% vesting each month thereafter for 36 months.
- (7) The time-based stock options were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, with 25% vesting on July 18, 2014 and 2.083% vesting each month thereafter for 36 months. The options have a term of 10 years.
- (8)

 The stock options have an exercise price equal to the closing price of our common stock on the grant date, except that the stock options granted to Mr. Angrick have an exercise price equal to 110% of the closing price of our common stock on the grant date.

54

Table of Contents

(9)

The amounts reported in this column for awards represent the full grant date fair value of the awards calculated in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The value of the time-based and performance-based restricted stock as of the grant date is calculated by multiplying the closing price of our common shares on the grant date times the number of restricted shares awarded. For performance-based restricted stock, this value is calculated assuming the maximum performance levels are attained. The value of the option awards as of the grant date is calculated by multiplying the Black-Scholes value by the number of options awarded, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. For performance-based stock options, this value is calculated assuming the maximum performance levels are attained. For additional information about the assumptions used in these calculations, see Note 2 to the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013.

The following is a description of material factors necessary to understand the information regarding the awards reflected in the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal 2013" table.

For information regarding the incentive compensation plan, please see "Annual Incentive Compensation" above. Awards under this plan are paid in cash.

Stock option awards granted in fiscal 2013 were granted under our 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan. The 2006 plan provides that the option price of each option shall be at least the fair market value on the grant date of a share of our common stock; provided, however, that if the grantee is a 10% stockholder, the option price of an option granted to such person will be at least 110% of the fair market value on the grant date. Under the plan, the fair market value of a share of common stock is generally the closing price of our common stock on the grant date.

The option awards reflected in the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal 2013" table under "2013 Stock Options" and "2013 Performance Options" are qualified and non-qualified stock options to purchase shares of our common stock which were approved by the Compensation Committee and granted to the named executive officers as a part of our 2013 annual grant of long-term incentive awards, a special equity grant made to Mr. Rallo in July 2013 and a new hire grant made to Mr. Casusol, all as described above under "Executive Compensation Long-Term Incentive Compensation." The options may vest earlier than as set forth in the footnotes above upon a change of control of the Company if the options are not assumed or substituted by the surviving corporation. Unvested options will also vest if the executive is involuntarily terminated by the Company within one year following a change of control. The option term may not exceed 10 years and may be shortened in the event of death, disability or termination of service.

The stock awards reflected in the "Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal 2013" table under "2013 Restricted Stock" and "2013 Performance Stock" are time-based and performance-based restricted stock awards, respectively, which were approved by the Compensation Committee and granted to the named executive officers as a part of our 2013 annual grant of long-term incentive awards, a special equity grant made to Mr. Rallo in July 2013 and a new hire grant made to Mr. Casusol, all as described above under "Executive Compensation Long-Term Incentive Compensation." The restricted shares can accrue dividend equivalents that would be payable upon the lapse of the restrictions applicable to such shares. The restricted stock may vest earlier upon a change of control of the Company if the awards are not assumed, continued or substituted by the surviving corporation.

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2013 Fiscal Year-End

The following table provides information on the current holdings of stock options of each named executive officer at September 30, 2013.

N.	Grant	Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Awards Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options	Option Exercise	Option Expiration
Name William P.	Date	Exercisable	Unexercisable	(#)	Price(\$)(1)	Date
	12/1/00/2)	2 202	2 205		0.06	10/1/2010
Angrick, III	12/1/09(2)	2,283 617	2,285		9.96 17.02	10/1/2019 10/1/2020
	11/30/10(3)	15,399	8,024 16,740		37.72	10/1/2020
	12/02/11(4) 11/27/12(5)	13,399	14,695		46.72	10/1/2021
	11/27/12(5)		14,093	14,695	46.72	10/1/2022
James M. Rallo	12/29/08(7)		2,338	14,093	7.48	10/1/2022
James W. Kano	11/30/10(3)		6,678		15.47	10/1/2018
	11/22/11(4)	5,921	6,437		31.37	10/1/2020
	11/16/12(5)	3,921	3,335		38.09	10/1/2021
	11/16/12(6)		3,333	3,335	38.09	10/1/2022
	7/18/13(8)		24,871	3,333	29.47	7/18/2023
	7/18/13(6)		21,071	58,031	29.47	7/18/2023
Thomas B. Burton	12/4/07(9)	17,999		20,021	10.93	10/1/2017
	12/29/08(7)	41,999	2,004		7.48	10/1/2018
	11/30/10(3)	9,155	3,401		15.47	10/1/2020
	11/22/11(4)	5,654	6,147		31.37	10/1/2021
	11/16/12(5)		4,777		38.09	10/1/2022
	11/16/12(6)			4,777	38.09	10/1/2022
G. Cayce Roy	7/30/08(10)	32,611			10.82	7/30/2018
	7/30/08(6)	195,920	94,080		10.82	7/30/2018
	12/1/09(2)	2,828	615		9.05	10/1/2019
	11/30/10(3)	4,374	3,482		15.47	10/1/2020
	11/22/11(4)	3,740	4,066		31.37	10/1/2021
	11/16/12(5)		2,127		38.09	10/1/2022
	11/16/12(6)			2,127	38.09	10/1/2022
Leoncio Casusol						

⁽¹⁾The closing price of our common stock on the grant date is the exercise price for stock options, except stock options granted to Mr. Angrick. The exercise price for Mr. Angrick's outstanding stock options is 110% of the closing price of our common stock on the grant date.

⁽²⁾ These stock options were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan and vest over a four-year period and vested 25% on October 1, 2010 and 2.083% per month vesting thereafter for the following 36 months.

⁽³⁾ These stock options were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan and vest over a four-year period and vested 25% on October 1, 2011 and 2.083% per month vesting thereafter for the following 36 months.

Table of Contents

- (4) These stock options were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan and vested 25% on October 1, 2012 and 2.083% per month vesting thereafter for the following 36 months.
- (5)
 These stock options were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, with 25% vesting on October 1, 2013 and 2.083% per month vesting thereafter for the following 36 months.
- (6)
 These stock options were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan and vest based on the achievement of certain financial milestones. These awards will vest in installments at the end of each fiscal year as and when we achieve certain pre-established goals related to compound annual growth rate.
- (7) These stock options were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan and vest over a five-year period, with 20% vesting on October 1, 2009 and 1.67% per month vesting thereafter for the following 48 months.
- (8) These stock options were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan and vest over a four-year period, with 25% vesting on July 18, 2014 and 2.083% per month vesting thereafter for the following 36 months.
- (9) These stock options were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan and became fully vested on October 1, 2011.
- (10)

 These stock options were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan and became fully vested on August 25, 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information on the current holdings of stock awards of each named executive officer at September 30, 2013.

			Stock Awards		
N	G. A.D.	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested
Name William P. Angrick,	Grant Date	Vested (#)(1)	(\$)	Vested(#)(2)	(\$)
III	12/1/09	8,778	294,063		
111	11/30/10	21,888	733,248		
	11/30/10	21,000	755,240	11,053	370,276
	12/2/11	13,288	445,148	11,033	370,270
	11/27/12	8,477	283,980		
	11/27/12	0,477	263,960	8,477	283,980
James M. Rallo	11/2//12	8,343	279,491	0,477	203,900
James W. Kano	11/30/10	0,545	279,491	5,527	185,155
	11/22/11	5,585	187,098	3,321	165,155
	11/16/12	5,003	167,601		
	11/16/12	3,003	107,001	5,003	167,601
	7/18/13	12,216	409,236	3,003	107,001
	7/18/13	12,210	107,230	28,503	954,851
Thomas B. Burton	11/30/10	4,429	142,342	20,303	75 1,051
Thomas B. Burton	11/30/10	1,127	1 12,3 12	11,053	370,276
	11/22/11	5,334	178,689	11,033	370,270
	11/16/12	3,185	106,698		
	11/16/12	2,102	100,000	13,185	441,698
G. Cayce Roy	12/1/09	2,356	78,926	10,100	,
ar any ar array	11/30/10	4,350	145,725		
	11/30/10	.,		13,816	462,836
	11/22/11	3,528	118,188	,-10	,
	11/16/12	3,191	106,899		
	11/16/12	,-,-	,>	3,191	106,899
Leoncio Casusol	2/4/13	49,113	1,645,286	-,	,
	2/4/13	,	, , , ,	49,113	1,645,286

⁽¹⁾These amounts refer to time-based restricted stock awards that were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus
Long-Term Incentive Plan, which vest over a four-year period, with 25% vesting on the first day of the next fiscal year following the fiscal year of grant and 25% on each of the first three anniversaries of such date.

These amounts refer to performance-based restricted stock awards that were granted under the Liquidity Services, Inc. 2006 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan, which vest, if at all, based on the Company's achievement of certain financial performance goals. These awards will vest in installments at the end of each fiscal year as and when we achieve certain pre-established goals related to CAGR.

Table of Contents

Option Exercises and Stock Vested During Fiscal 2013

The following table shows the stock options that were exercised, and the restrictions on restricted stock that lapsed, during fiscal 2013 for each of our named executive officers. The values shown below are before payment of any applicable withholding tax and/or broker commissions.

	Option	Awards	Stock Awards		
	Number of Shares Acquired on	Value Realized upon Exercise	Number of Shares Acquired on	Value Realized on Vesting	
Name	Exercise (#)	(\$)(1)	Vesting(#)	(\$)(2)	
William P. Angrick, III	33,571	637,474			