TIDEWATER INC Form 10-Q February 02, 2010

## **UNITED STATES**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

## x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

## THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended December 31, 2009

OR

## " TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

## THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 1-6311

# **Tidewater Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

72-0487776 (I.R.S. employer

incorporation or organization) 601 Poydras St., Suite 1900

identification no.)

 $New\ Orleans, Louisiana\ 70130$ 

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(504) 568-1010

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or of such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer "Non-accelerated filer "Smaller reporting company "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

51,716,924 shares of Tidewater Inc. common stock \$.10 par value per share were outstanding on January 22, 2010. Registrant has no other class of common stock outstanding.

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## TIDEWATER INC.

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share and par value data)

ASSETS		December 31, 2009	March 31, 2009
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	336,573	250,793
Trade and other receivables, net		296,798	328,566
Marine operating supplies		46,105	48,727
Other current assets		12,415	6,365
One: Current assets		12,113	0,505
Total current assets		691,891	634,451
Investments in, at equity, and advances to unconsolidated companies		37,914	37,221
Properties and equipment:			
Vessels and related equipment		3,324,728	3,238,674
Other properties and equipment		82,015	81,689
		,	,
		3,406,743	3,320,363
Large accumulated deposition and amortization			
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		1,262,342	1,307,038
Net properties and equipment		2,144,401	2,013,325
Goodwill		328,754	328,754
Other assets		98,760	60,053
Total assets	\$	3,301,720	3,073,804
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY			
Current liabilities:			
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$	25,000	
Accounts payable	Ψ	39,674	51,530
Accrued expenses		144,294	111,153
Accrued property and liability losses		5,651	5,521
Other current liabilities		45,766	35,146
Outer current nationales		45,700	33,140
Total current liabilities		260,385	203,350
Long-term debt		275,000	300,000
Deferred income taxes		209,431	201,200
Accrued property and liability losses		12,886	8,035
Other liabilities and deferred credits		125,428	116,541
		123,120	110,511
Commitment and contingencies (Note 6)			
Stockholders equity:			
Common stock of \$.10 par value, 125,000,000 shares authorized, issued 51,706,924 shares at December and			
51,696,245 shares at March		5,170	5,169
Additional paid-in capital		82,046	79,333
Retained earnings		2,358,619	2,194,842
Deferred compensation restricted stock		(10,144)	(14,953)
Zototto componentia. Tourious stock		(10,111)	(11,755)

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(17,101)	(19,713)
Total stockholders equity	2,418,590	2,244,678
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 3,301,720	3,073,804

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

			Quarter Ended December 31,		ths Ended ber 31,
		2009	2008	2009	2008
Revenues:					
Vessel revenues	\$	274,507	349,181	879,506	1,022,189
Other marine revenues		11,998	13,154	29,132	27,029
		286,505	362,335	908,638	1,049,218
Costs and expenses:					
Vessel operating costs		148,188	161,320	459,365	513,419
Costs of other marine revenues		10,565	11,347	26,147	23,091
Depreciation and amortization		32,734	32,173	96,643	93,451
General and administrative		33,676	31,669	105,750	102,092
Provision for Venezuelan operations		22,0.0	2 2,002	49,070	202,022
Gain on asset dispositions, net		(5,151)	(4,760)	(23,063)	(20,998)
our dispositions, not		(0,101)	(1,700)	(20,000)	(20,550)
		220,012	231,749	713,912	711,055
Operating income		66,493	130,586	194,726	338,163
Other income (expenses):		00,173	130,300	171,720	330,103
Foreign exchange gain (loss)		161	3,396	(4,677)	4,693
Equity in net earnings of unconsolidated companies		3,732	4,079	14,704	12,073
Interest income and other, net		978	1,372	4,648	4,696
Interest and other debt costs		(583)	(77)	(1,110)	(505)
interest and other debt costs		(303)	(77)	(1,110)	(303)
		4.200	9.770	12.565	20.057
		4,288	8,770	13,565	20,957
Earnings before income taxes		70,781	139,356	208,291	359,120
Income tax expense		10,885	22,391	5,728	61,948
Net earnings	\$	59,896	116,965	202,563	297,172
	Ф	1.17	2.20	2.04	5.70
Basic earnings per common share	\$	1.17	2.28	3.94	5.79
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	1.16	2.28	3.93	5.76
Drided carnings per common share	Ψ	1.10	2.20	3.93	3.70
Weighted average common shares outstanding		51,373,290	51,242,848	51,369,519	51,344,835
Incremental common shares from stock options		280,962	74,288	238,133	202,993
incremental common states from stock options		200,702	7 1,200	230,133	202,773
A divisted availabled avariage common shore-		51 654 050	51 217 126	51 607 650	51 547 999
Adjusted weighted average common shares		51,654,252	51,317,136	51,607,652	51,547,828
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$	0.25	0.25	0.75	0.75
F	Y	0.25	0.20	05	05

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

		nths Ended aber 31,
	2009	2008
Operating activities:		
Net earnings	\$ 202,563	297,172
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:	,	ĺ
Depreciation and amortization	96,643	93,451
Provision (benefit) for deferred income taxes	(15,172)	7,876
Reversal of liabilities for uncertain tax positions	(34,284)	
Gain on asset dispositions, net	(23,063)	(20,998)
Provision for Venezuelan operations	49,070	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated companies, net of dividends	(694)	(6,004)
Compensation expense - stock-based	7,328	8,410
Excess tax liability (benefit) on stock options exercised	(161)	843
Changes in assets and liabilities, net:	, ,	
Trade and other receivables	(4,824)	(19,746)
Marine operating supplies	2,622	(4,735)
Other current assets	(6,050)	(3,190)
Accounts payable	(11,856)	(12,903)
Accrued expenses	31,406	10,215
Accrued property and liability losses	130	(304)
Other current liabilities	7,755	11,470
Other liabilities and deferred credits	(2,117)	3,851
Other, net	54	897
Net cash provided by operating activities	299,350	366,305
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Proceeds from sales of assets	34,063	30,459
Proceeds from sales/leaseback of assets	101,755	
Additions to properties and equipment	(304,013)	(368,706)
Other		260
Net cash used in investing activities	(168,195)	(337,987)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Principal payments on capitalized lease obligations		(10,059)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	962	6,547
Cash dividends	(38,786)	(38,636)
Stock repurchases	, , ,	(53,634)
Excess tax benefit (liability) on stock options exercised	161	(843)
Debt issuance costs	(7,712)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(45,375)	(96,625)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	85,780	(68,307)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	250,793	270,205
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 336,573	201,898

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 7,222	7,194
Income taxes	\$ 42,413	44,389

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (1) Interim Financial Statements

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the interim periods presented herein have been prepared in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles and, in the opinion of management, include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of the condensed consolidated balance sheets and the condensed consolidated statements of earnings and cash flows at the dates and for the periods indicated as required by Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the respective full years. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto in the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on May 14, 2009.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Tidewater Inc. and its subsidiaries. Intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation. The company uses the equity method to account for equity investments over which the company exercises significant influence but does not exercise control and is not the primary beneficiary. All per share information included in this document is on a diluted earnings per share basis.

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to the December 31, 2009 presentation.

# (2) Stockholders Equity <u>Common Stock Repurchase Program</u>

In July 2009, the company s Board of Directors authorized the company to spend up to \$200.0 million to repurchase shares of its common stock in open-market or privately-negotiated transactions. The company will use its available cash and, when considered advantageous, borrowings under its revolving credit facility, or other borrowings, to fund any share repurchases. The repurchase program will end on the earlier of the date that all authorized funds have been expended or June 30, 2010, unless modified by the Board of Directors. No amounts were expended from inception of the July 2009 authorized program through December 31, 2009.

The company s Board of Directors had previously authorized the company in July 2008 to repurchase up to \$200.0 million in shares of its common stock in open-market or privately-negotiated transactions. The Board of Directors authorization for this repurchase program expired on June 30, 2009. Given the credit markets volatility over the past year, the company focused on preserving cash. As a result, no amounts were expended from inception of the July 2008 authorized program through its conclusion on June 30, 2009.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2008, the company expended \$53.6 million to repurchase and cancel 915,900 common shares, or an average price paid per common share of \$58.56 pursuant to a repurchase program authorized by the Board of Directors in July 2007.

#### **Dividend Program**

The Board of Directors declared dividends of \$12.9 million and \$38.8 million, or \$0.25 and \$0.75 per share, for the quarter and the nine-month period ended December 31, 2009, respectively. The Board of Directors declared dividends of \$12.8 million and \$38.6 million, or \$0.25 and \$0.75 per share, for the quarter and the nine-month period ended December 31, 2008, respectively. The declaration of dividends is at the discretion of the company s Board of Directors.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (3) Income Taxes

Income tax expense for interim periods is based on estimates of the effective tax rate for the entire fiscal year. The effective tax rate applicable to pre-tax earnings for the quarter and the nine-month period ended December 31, 2009, was 15.48% and 2.75%, respectively. The effective tax rate applicable to pre-tax earnings for the quarter and the nine-month period ended December 31, 2008 was 16.1% and 17.3%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, is a result of the company s greater proportion of profitability from international operations, where statutory income tax rates are generally lower than those applicable to United States operations. The decrease in the effective tax rate during the nine-month period ended December 31, 2009, as compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, is primarily attributable to the successful resolution by the company of a tax dispute with the Internal Revenue Service as described below.

The company s balance sheet at December 31, 2009 reflects \$17.9 million of tax liabilities for uncertain tax positions in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 740, *Income Taxes*. The liabilities are attributable to a permanent establishment issue related to a foreign joint venture and a tax audit of a foreign subsidiary. In addition, the company has \$12.1 million of unrecognized tax benefits related to a state tax issue, including interest of \$1.2 million. The unrecognized tax benefits would lower the effective tax rate if realized. Penalties and interest related to income tax liabilities are included in income tax expense.

In January 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana issued its final ruling in the company s favor with respect to a motion for summary judgment concerning the IRS disallowance of the company s tax deduction for foreign sales corporation commissions for fiscal years 1999 and 2000. In April 2009, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the District Court s judgment. The IRS did not appeal the Court of Appeals ruling, resulting in final resolution of the issue in the company s favor in July 2009. The tax benefit related to the issue is approximately \$34.3 million, or \$0.66 per common share, for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, which primarily includes a reversal of previously recorded liabilities for uncertain tax positions and interest income on the judgment.

With limited exceptions, the company is no longer subject to tax audits by state, local or foreign taxing authorities for years prior to 2002. The company has ongoing examinations by various state and foreign tax authorities and does not believe that the results of these examinations will have a material adverse effect on the company s financial position or results of operations.

Included in other current liabilities at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009 are taxes payable (primarily income) of \$32.8 million and \$24.8 million, respectively.

#### (4) Employee Benefit Plans

The company has a defined benefit pension plan that covers certain U.S. citizen employees and employees who are permanent residents of the United States. Benefits are based on years of service and employee compensation. The company contributed \$4.7 million to the defined benefit pension plan during the nine-month period ended December 31, 2009. No amounts were contributed to the plan during the quarter ended December 31, 2009, and the company does not expect to contribute to the plan during the remainder of the current fiscal year. The company contributed \$0.4 million and \$4.0 million to the defined benefit pension plan during the quarter and the nine-month period ended December 31, 2008, respectively.

In December 2009, the company s management announced that effective December 31, 2010, the accrual of benefits under the company s defined pension plan would be discontinued. On that date, previously accrued pension benefits under the defined benefit pension plan will be frozen for the approximately 70 active employees who participate in the plan. This change will not affect benefits earned by participants prior to January 1, 2011. Because future benefit accruals under the defined benefit plan will be eliminated, the active employees who are participants of the defined benefit plan will become participants of the company s defined contribution retirement plan effective January 1, 2011. These changes will provide the company more predictable retirement plan costs and cash flows. By freezing the benefits, the company s future

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

benefit obligations and requirements for cash contributions for the frozen defined benefit plan will be reduced. Any gains or losses associated with the curtailment of the defined benefit pension plan were immaterial.

The company also offers a supplemental retirement plan (supplemental plan) that provides pension benefits to certain employees in excess of those allowed under the company s tax-qualified pension plan. The supplemental plan was amended in December 2008 to allow participants the option to elect a lump sum benefit in lieu of other payment options currently provided by the plan. As a result of the amendment, certain participants received a lump sum distribution in July 2009 in settlement of the supplemental plan obligation. The aggregate payment to those participants electing the lump sum distribution in July 2009 was \$8.7 million. A settlement loss of \$3.6 million was recorded in general and administrative expenses during the quarter ended September 30, 2009.

Included in other assets at December 31, 2009, are \$16.1 million of investments held in a Rabbi Trust for the benefit of participants in the supplemental plan. The trust assets are recorded at fair value as of December 31, 2009, with unrealized gains or losses included in other comprehensive income. The carrying value of the trust assets at December 31, 2009 is after the effect of \$0.8 million of after-tax unrealized losses (\$1.3 million pre-tax), which are included in accumulated other comprehensive income (other stockholders equity). To the extent that trust assets are liquidated to fund benefit payments, gains or losses, if any, will be recognized at that time.

Qualified retired employees currently are covered by a program that provides limited health care and life insurance benefits. Costs of the program are based on actuarially determined amounts and are accrued over the period from the date of hire to the full eligibility date of employees who are expected to qualify for these benefits.

The net periodic benefit cost for the company s U.S. defined benefit pension plan and the supplemental plan (referred to collectively as Pension Benefits ) and the postretirement health care and life insurance plan (referred to collectively as Other Benefits ) is comprised of the following components:

	Quarter Ended		Nine Mont		
	Decemb	er 31,	Decem	ber 31,	
(In thousands)	2009	2008	2009	2008	
Pension Benefits:					
Service cost	\$ 229	265	669	795	
Interest cost	1,133	1,150	3,567	3,450	
Expected return on plan assets	(576)	(635)	(1,728)	(1,905)	
Amortization of prior service cost	10	3	30	9	
Recognized actuarial loss	325	400	1,025	1,200	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 1,121	1,183	3,563	3,549	
•	ŕ	ŕ	ŕ	ĺ	
Other Benefits:					
Service cost	\$ 251	281	753	843	
Interest cost	537	514	1,611	1,542	
Amortization of prior service cost	(502)	(496)	(1,506)	(1,488)	
Recognized actuarial loss	114	268	342	804	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 400	567	1,200	1,701	

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (5) Debt

#### **Revolving Credit Agreement**

In July 2009, the company amended its revolving credit facility, increasing its size to \$450.0 million and extending its maturity date to July 2012. Borrowings under the amended revolving credit facility bear interest at the company s option at the greater of (i) prime or the federal funds rate plus 2.0 to 3.0%, or (ii) Eurodollar rates plus margins ranging from 3.0 to 4.0%, based on the company s consolidated funded debt to total capitalization ratio. Commitment fees on the unused portion of this facility are in the range of 0.50 to 0.75% based on the company s funded debt to total capitalization ratio. The amended facility provides for a maximum ratio of consolidated debt to consolidated total capitalization of 0.45 as compared to a maximum ratio of consolidated debt to total capitalization of 0.55 with the prior agreement. All other terms, including the financial and negative covenants, are customary for facilities of its type and consistent with the prior agreement in all material respects.

At December 31, 2009, the entire amount of the company s \$450.0 million revolving credit facility was available for future financing needs.

#### Senior Debt Notes

The company had \$300.0 million outstanding of senior unsecured notes at December 31, 2009. The multiple series of notes were originally issued with maturities ranging from seven years to 12 years and had a weighted average remaining life of 3.10 years as of December 31, 2009. These notes can be retired in whole or in part prior to maturity for a redemption price equal to the principal amount of the notes redeemed plus a make-whole premium. The weighted average interest rate on the notes is 4.35%. The fair value of this debt at December 31, 2009 was estimated to be \$305.7 million.

#### Debt Costs

The company capitalizes a portion of its interest costs incurred on borrowed funds used to construct vessels. Interest and debt costs incurred, net of interest capitalized, for the quarter and nine-month period ended December 31, 2009, were approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. Interest costs capitalized, for the quarter and nine-month period ended December 31, 2009, were approximately \$4.0 million and \$11.7 million, respectively.

Interest and debt costs incurred, net of interest capitalized, for the quarter and the nine-month period ended December 31, 2008, were approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. Interest costs capitalized for the quarter and nine-month period ended December 31, 2008, were approximately \$3.4 million and \$10.3 million, respectively.

## (6) Commitments and Contingencies <u>Vessel Commitments</u>

At December 31, 2009, the company had commitments to acquire three vessels and build 33 vessels at a total cost of approximately \$831.1 million. The total commitment cost to build 33 vessels, including contract costs and other incidental costs, was \$788.6 million at December 31, 2009. The company is committed to the construction of 13 anchor handling towing supply vessels ranging between 5,150 to 13,600 brake horsepower (BHP), 17 platform supply vessels, two crewboats and one multi-purpose ROV supply vessel. Scheduled delivery for these vessels began in January 2010 with delivery of the final vessel expected in July 2012. The company also had commitments to purchase three anchor handling towing supply vessels for a total cost of approximately \$42.5 million at December 31, 2009. In January 2010, the company took possession of two of the anchor handling towing supply vessels in the early part of January 2010, and will take possession of the third anchor handling towing supply vessel in February 2010. At December 31, 2009, the company had invested \$304.1 million in the construction of the 33 vessels under construction and the purchase of three anchor handling towing supply vessels, with \$527.0 million of remaining expenditures

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

necessary to complete construction of the 33 vessels currently under construction at the contract price and to fund the acquisition of the three anchor handling towing supply vessels.

The company s vessel construction and acquisition program has been designed to replace over time the older vessels of the company s fleet with fewer, larger and more efficient vessels, while also opportunistically enhancing the size and capabilities of the company s fleet. The majority of the company s older vessels, its supply and towing-supply vessels, were constructed between 1976 and 1983. As such, most vessels of this class exceed 25 years of age and could require replacement within the next several years, depending on the strength of the market during this time frame. In addition to age, market conditions also help determine when a vessel is no longer economically viable. The company anticipates using future operating cash flows, existing borrowing capacity, new borrowings or lease arrangements to fund this fleet renewal and modernization program over the next several years.

The company has experienced occasional delays in the delivery of equipment for vessels currently under construction (as has the offshore supply vessel industry in general). While the frequency of these equipment delays has abated, similar delays in the future are possible. Currently, the company is experiencing more pronounced delays in vessel construction progress at shipyards in Brazil (two crewboat vessels under construction) and India (two platform supply vessels under construction). The company continues to work diligently to ensure as timely delivery as possible of these vessels, but further delay is possible. Management currently believes, however, that the vessels where construction has been delayed in Brazilian and Indian shipyards will ultimately be completed and delivered. The shipyard in India has previously completed and delivered two vessels for the company.

The company generally requires shipyards to provide third party credit support in the event that vessels are not ultimately completed and delivered. That third party credit support typically guarantees the return of amounts paid by the company, and generally takes the form of refundment guarantees issued by major financial institutions located in the country of the shipyard. While the company endeavors to reduce its shipyard credit risk by requiring these instruments, the ultimate return of amounts paid by the company in the event of shipyard default is still subject to the creditworthiness of the shipyard and the provider of the credit support, as well as the company s ability to successfully pursue legal action to compel payment of these instruments. When third party credit support is not available or cost effective, the company endeavors to limit its credit risk through payment and other contract terms with the shipyard and other counterparties.

From time to time, certain of the company s vessels under construction are committed to work under customer contracts that provide for the payment of liquidated damages by the company or its subsidiaries in certain cases of late delivery. Late delivery of any of these vessels would result in penalties being imposed by our customers. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these penalties, would not have a material adverse effect on the company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

## Venezuelan Operations

The company has previously reported that in May 2009 a Venezuelan law was enacted directing the government of Venezuela to take possession of certain assets of oil service companies doing business in Venezuela, and that, pursuant to that legislation, Petróleos de Venezuela, S.A. (PDVSA), the Venezuelan national oil company, had taken possession of (a) 11 of the company s vessels that were then supporting PDVSA operations in the Lake Maracaibo region, (b) the company s shore-based facility adjacent to Lake Maracaibo and (c) certain other related assets. The company has also previously reported that Petrosucre, S.A. (Petrosucre), a subsidiary of PDVSA, took control of four additional company vessels in July 2009. As a consequence of these measures, the company (i) no longer has possession or control of those assets, (ii) no longer operates them or provides support for their operations, and (iii) no longer has any other vessels or vessel operations in Venezuela.

As a result of the May 2009 seizure of the 11 vessels and other assets discussed above, the company recorded a charge of \$3.75 million (\$2.9 million after tax, or \$0.06 per common share), during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, to write off the net book value of the assets seized. As a result of the July 2009

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

vessel seizures, the company recorded a charge of \$0.5 million (\$0.4 million after tax, or \$0.01 per common share) during the quarter ended September 30, 2009. Both of these charges are included in the provision for Venezuelan operations in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of earnings.

As a result of the asset seizures referred to above, the lack of further vessel operations in Venezuela, and the continuing uncertainty about the timing and amount of the compensation that the company may collect in the future, the company recorded a \$44.8 million (\$44.8 million after tax, or \$0.87 per common share) provision during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, to fully reserve accounts receivable payable by PDVSA and Petrosucre.

The company continues its attempts to engage PDVSA and the government of Venezuela to discuss compensation for the seized assets and business, but there is no material progress to report based on these attempts. The company also continues to evaluate its other alternatives to obtain appropriate compensation for the assets and business seized (including the outstanding receivables from PDVSA and Petrosucre).

#### **Internal Investigation**

The company has previously reported that special counsel engaged by the company s Audit Committee had completed an internal investigation into certain FCPA matters and reported its findings to the Audit Committee. The substantive areas of the internal investigation have been reported publicly by the company in prior filings.

Special counsel has reported to the Department of Justice and the Securities and Exchange Commission the results of the investigation, and has engaged in a series of cooperative discussions with the two federal agencies as to the potential legal ramifications of those findings. As part of its continuing cooperation with these agencies, the company entered into separate agreements with both the Department of Justice and the Securities and Exchange Commission effective as of January 10, 2008 to toll certain statutes of limitations. These agreements, as amended, toll these statutes of limitations through March 15, 2010. The agreements with both agencies expressly provide that they do not constitute an admission by the company of any facts or of any wrongdoing. Based on recent discussions with the two agencies regarding the possible disposition of this matter, it appears likely that any negotiated disposition would involve charges and sanctions imposed by one or both agencies, although the company is unable to predict at this time the scope or magnitude of such charges and sanctions and who they would be imposed upon. The time frame for resolution of these matters is also uncertain. Given these uncertainties, the company is unable at this time to estimate the range of any monetary exposure associated with potential charges and sanctions.

From time to time, these agencies have requested certain documents and information from the company related to several of the matters covered by the internal investigation. The company has voluntarily cooperated with those requests. Special counsel expects to have additional meetings with the agencies as appropriate in connection with the resolution of this matter.

Based on the findings of the investigation reported to the company and the Audit Committee to date, as well as to the government authorities, the company has not concluded that any potential liability that may result from an investigation or enforcement action by the Department of Justice or the Securities and Exchange Commission is both probable and reasonably estimable, and, thus, no accrual has been recorded as of December 31, 2009. Should additional information be obtained that any potential liability is probable and reasonably estimable the company will record such liability at that time. While uncertain, ultimate resolution with one or both of these agencies could have a material adverse effect on the company s results of operations or cash flows.

## Merchant Navy Officers Pension Fund

Certain current and former subsidiaries of the company are, or have been, participating employers in an industry-wide multi-employer retirement fund in the United Kingdom, the Merchant Navy Officers Pension Fund (MNOPF). The company has been informed of a fund deficit that will require contributions from the participating employers. The amount and timing of the company s share of the fund s deficit will depend

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ultimately on a number of factors, including updated calculations of the total fund deficit, theories of contribution imposed as determined by and within the scope of the Trustee's authority, the number of then participating solvent employers, and the final method used in allocating the required contribution among such participating employers. No additional liabilities were recorded during the quarter ended December 31, 2009. The company recorded an additional liability of \$1.2 million during the quarter ended December 31, 2008. At December 31, 2009, \$4.7 million remains payable to MNOPF based on current assessments, all of which has been fully accrued. In the future, the fund s trustee will likely claim that the company owes additional amounts for various reasons, including negative fund investment returns in a depressed global market as reflected in a preliminary future actuarial valuation, and the inability of other assessed parties to contribute their share of respective allocations, failing which, the company and other solvent participating employers will be asked for additional contributions.

#### **Sonatide**

The company has previously reported in its periodic filings that it was in discussions with Sonangol, the national oil company of Angola, regarding a Sonangol proposal to increase its control over Sonatide Marine Services Ltd., an Angolan joint venture between Sonangol and a Tidewater subsidiary. The company has an indirect 49% ownership interest in Sonatide. The company also previously reported that it had reached agreement with Sonangol on certain amendments to the joint venture agreement that would increase Sonangol s control over the operations of Sonatide. Thereafter, Sonangol and the company continued to have dialogue regarding additional changes proposed by Sonangol to Sonatide s practices and procedures that, if adopted, would further Sonangol s control over Sonatide s day-to-day operations, including treasury functions. Recently, Sonangol notified Tidewater that the existing joint venture agreement, which is scheduled to expire on July 31, 2010 unless renewed, would not be renewed by Sonangol, although Sonangol has advised that it is willing to discuss a new joint venture arrangement. Failing to either extend the existing Sonatide joint venture agreement or reach a new joint venture agreement with Sonangol could impair the company s ability to continue to compete effectively for business in Angola in the future. More Tidewater vessels are deployed in Angola than in any of Tidewater s other countries of operation, and a significant portion of revenues derived from the company s largest customer, Chevron, are derived through the company s operations in Angola.

#### **Legal Proceedings**

Various legal proceedings and claims are outstanding which arose in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the amount of ultimate liability, if any, with respect to these actions, will not have a material adverse effect on the company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

#### (7) Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

The company follows the provisions of the ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, for financial assets and liabilities that are measured and reported at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC 820 establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value. The fair value are to be calculated based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing assets and liabilities and not on assumptions specific to the entity. The statement requires that each asset and liability carried at fair value be classified into one of the following categories:

- Level 1: Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2: Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The company measures on a recurring basis and records at fair value investments held by participants in a supplemental executive retirement plan, a deferred supplemental savings plan and a multinational savings plan. These investments are valued based on quoted market prices (Level 1) and were carried at \$27.0 million and \$19.7 million at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009, respectively.

#### Other Financial Instruments

The company s primary financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, trade receivables and trade payables whose book values are considered to be representative of their respective fair values. The company periodically utilizes derivative financial instruments to hedge against foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities, currency commitments, or to lock in desired interest rates. These transactions are generally spot or forward currency contracts or interest rate swaps that are entered into with major financial institutions. Derivative financial instruments are intended to reduce the company s exposure to foreign currency exchange risk and interest rate risk. The company enters into derivative instruments only to the extent considered necessary to meet its risk management objectives and does not use derivative contracts for speculative purposes. The derivative instruments are recorded at fair value using quoted prices and quotes obtainable from the counterparties to the derivative instruments.

**Spot Derivatives**. Spot derivative financial instruments are short-term in nature and generally settle within two business days. The fair value approximates the carrying value due to the short-term nature of this instrument, and as a result, no gains or losses are recognized.

The company had no spot derivative financial instruments outstanding at December 31, 2009 or March 31, 2009.

**Forward Derivatives**. Forward derivative financial instruments are generally longer-term in nature but generally do not exceed one year. The accounting for gains or losses on forward contracts is dependent on the nature of the risk being hedged and the effectiveness of the hedge.

The company had no forward contracts outstanding at December 31, 2009 or March 31, 2009.

### Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

#### **Asset Impairments**

The company accounts for long-lived assets in accordance with ASC 360-10-35, Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets, and reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events occur or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable. In such evaluation, considered Level 3, the estimated future undiscounted cash flows generated by an asset group are compared with the carrying amount of the asset group to determine if a write-down may be required. The company estimates cash flows based upon historical data adjusted for the company s best estimate of future market performance that is based on industry trends. The company uses the discounted cash flow method to determine estimated fair value of each asset group and compares such fair value to the carrying value of each asset group in order to determine if impairment exists. If impairment exists, the carrying value of the asset group is reduced to its estimated fair value. Vessels with similar operating and marketing characteristics are grouped for asset impairment testing.

#### (8) Sale/Leaseback Arrangements

In June 2009, the company sold five vessels to four unrelated third-party companies, and simultaneously entered into bareboat charter arrangements with the respective companies. In July 2009, the company sold an additional vessel to an unrelated third-party company, and simultaneously entered into bareboat charter arrangement with the respective company.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The sale/leaseback transactions resulted in proceeds of approximately \$101.8 million and a deferred gain of \$39.6 million. The carrying value of the six vessels was \$62.2 million at the dates of sale. The leases on the five vessels sold in June 2009 will expire on June 30, 2014 and the lease on the vessel sold in July 2009 will expire on July 30, 2014. The company is accounting for the transactions as sale/leaseback transactions with operating lease treatment and will expense periodic lease payments over the five year charter hire operating lease terms.

Under the sale/leaseback agreements, the company has the option to purchase the six vessels at 75% of the original sales price or to cause the owners to sell the vessels whereby the company guarantees approximately 84% of the original lease value to the third-party companies. The company may repurchase the vessels prior to the end of the charter term with penalties of up to 5% assessed if purchased in years one and two of the five year lease. The company will recognize the deferred gain as income if it does not exercise its option to purchase the six vessels at the end of the operating lease term. If the company exercises its option to purchase these vessels, the deferred gain will reduce the vessel s stated cost after exercising the purchase option.

As of December 31, 2009, the future minimum lease payments for these six vessels under the operating lease terms are as follows:

		Amount
Fiscal year ending	(In	thousands)
Remaining three months of 2010	\$	2,676
2011		10,702
2012		10,702
2013		10,703
2014		10,703
Thereafter		2,836
Total future lease payments	\$	48,322

## (9) Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities and Deferred Credits

A summary of accrued expenses at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009 are as follows:

(In thousands)	December 31, 2009	March 31, 2009
Payroll and related payables	\$ 35,542	36,769
Commissions payable	22,542	16,364
Accrued vessel major repairs and maintenance costs	6,698	4,755
Other accrued vessel expenses	49,215	31,169
Accrued fuel expense	10,746	9,571
Incentive plans	5,100	9,892
Accrued interest expense	6,022	2,177
Other accrued expenses	8,429	456
	\$ 144,294	111,153

A summary of other liabilities and deferred credits at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009 are as follows:

	December 31,	March 31,
(In thousands)	2009	2009
Postretirement benefits liability	\$ 29,270	28,540
Pension liability	31,152	37,497
Deferred gain on vessel sales	39,568	
Income taxes	7,114	35,474
Other	18,324	15,030
	\$ 125,428	116,541

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (10) Goodwill

The company tests goodwill impairment annually at the reporting unit level using carrying amounts as of December 31. The company considers its reporting units to be its U.S. and international operations. The company performed its annual impairment test as of December 31, 2009, and the test determined there was no goodwill impairment. Interim testing will be performed when events occur or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of goodwill may be impaired. Goodwill as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 is \$328.8 million.

#### (11) Accounting Pronouncements

From time to time, new accounting pronouncements are issued by the FASB that are adopted by the company as of the specified effective date. Unless otherwise discussed, management believes that the impact of recently issued standards, which are not yet effective, will not have a material impact on the company s consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

In June 2009, the FASB revised ASC 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), to establish a hierarchy of GAAP to identify the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with GAAP in the United States. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. All guidance contained in the codification carries an equal level of authority. The amended provisions of ASC 105 are effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The company adopted the provisions of ASC 105 effective September 30, 2009, and it did not have a material impact on the company s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows; however, the company is disclosing codification citations in place of corresponding references to legacy accounting pronouncements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued ASC 855, Subsequent Events, which establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. ASC 855 requires disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date, and is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The company adopted the provisions of ASC 855 effective June 30, 2009, and it did not have a material impact on the company s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2009, the FASB revised ASC 825-10-65, Financial Instruments, to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim financial statements. ASC 825-10-65 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009 with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009. The company adopted the disclosure requirements of ASC 825-10-65 on June 30, 2009. The adoption of ASC 825-10-65 resulted in increased disclosures in our interim periods and had no impact on the company s consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2008, the FASB issued additional guidance on disclosure of the fair value of plan assets held in an employer s defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan (included within ASC 715, Compensation Retirement Benefits). The guidance requires more detailed disclosures about the assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan including, how investment allocation decisions are made, inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value, significant concentrations of risk within plan assets and major categories of plan assets. The disclosure guidance is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. Since the guidance only requires enhanced disclosures, the adoption of the application will not have a financial impact on the company s consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB revised ASC 810, Consolidation, to establish new accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest (formerly minority interest) in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. Specifically, ASC 810 requires the recognition of a noncontrolling interest

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

as equity in the consolidated financial statements and separate from the parent sequity. The amount of net income attributable to the noncontrolling interest will be included in consolidated net income on the face of the income statement. ASC 810 also includes expanded disclosure requirements regarding the interests of the parent and its noncontrolling interest. The company adopted the provisions of ASC 810 effective April 1, 2009, and it did not have a material impact on the company s results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

In December 2007, the FASB revised ASC 805, Business Combinations, to establish principles and requirements for the reporting entity in a business combination, including recognition and measurement in the financial statements of the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. This topic also established disclosure requirements to enable financial statement users to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. In April 2009, the FASB revised ASC 805-20 to establish a model to account for certain pre-acquisition contingencies. Under the revised ASC 805-20, an acquirer is required to recognize at fair value an asset acquired or a liability assumed in a business combination that arises from a contingency if the acquisition-date fair value of that asset or liability can be determined during the measurement period. If the acquisition-date fair value cannot be determined, then the acquirer should follow the recognition criteria in the Contingencies Topic, ASC 450. The company adopted the revised provisions of ASC 805 effective April 1, 2009, and it did not have a material impact on the company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows. The company adopted the provisions of ASC 805-20 effective July 1, 2009, which applies prospectively to business combinations completed on or after that date. The impact of the adoption ASC 805-20 will depend on the nature of acquisitions completed after the date of adoption.

#### (12) Subsequent Events

The company has updated these financial statements for any subsequent events through the issuance of this report on February 2, 2010.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## (13) Segment and Geographic Distribution of Operations

The company follows the disclosure requirements of *Segment Reporting Topic*, ASC 280-10-50, and operates in two business segments: International and United States. The following table provides a comparison of revenues, operating profit, depreciation and amortization, and additions to properties and equipment for the quarters and the nine-month periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. Vessel revenues and operating profits relate to vessels owned and operated by the company while other marine revenues relate to the activities of the company's shipyards, brokered vessels and other miscellaneous marine-related businesses.

		Quarter Ended December 31,		Decen	Ionths Ended ember 31,	
(In thousands)		2009	2008	2009	2008	
Davanuasi						
Revenues: Vessel revenues:						
International	\$	254,586	312,069	812,472	904,973	
United States	Ψ.	19,921	37,112	67,034	117,216	
		- )-	,	,	.,	
		274,507	349,181	879,506	1,022,189	
Other marine revenues		11,998	13,154	29,132	27,029	
		,	-, -	-, -	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	\$	286,505	362,335	908,638	1,049,218	
	Ψ	200,505	302,333	700,030	1,01,210	
Marine operating profit:						
Vessel activity:						
International	\$	66,893	121,621	195,375	314,137	
United States		1,527	10,505	5,370	28,995	
		68,420	132,126	200,745	343,132	
Corporate expenses		(8,289)	(7,834)	(31,232)	(29,227)	
Gain on asset dispositions, net		5,151	4,760	23,063	20,998	
Other marine services		1,211	1,534	2,150	3,260	
Operating income	\$	66,493	130,586	194,726	338,163	
Foreign exchange gain (loss)		161	3,396	(4,677)	4,693	
Equity in net earnings of unconsolidated companies		3,732	4,079	14,704	12,073	
Interest income and other, net		978	1,372	4,648	4,696	
Interest and other debt costs		(583)	(77)	(1,110)	(505)	
Earnings before income taxes	\$	70,781	139,356	208,291	359,120	
Depreciation and amortization:						
Marine:						
International	\$	29,942	28,110	87,780	80,055	
United States		2,516	3,715	7,948	12,345	
General corporate depreciation		276	348	915	1,051	

	\$ 32,734	32,173	96,643	93,451
Additions to properties and equipment:				
Marine:				
International	\$ 79,465	100,453	272,086	345,600
United States	11,756	8,402	31,224	22,976
General corporate	260	6	703	130
	\$ 91,481	108,861	304,013	368,706

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table provides a comparison of total assets at December 31, 2009 and March 31, 2009:

(In thousands)	D	ecember 31, 2009	March 31, 2009
Total assets:			
Marine:			
International	\$	2,533,250	2,322,205
United States		535,144	610,340
		3,068,394	2,932,545
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated Marine companies		37,914	37,221
		3,106,308	2,969,766
General corporate		195,412	104,038
	\$	3,301,720	3,073,804

The following table discloses the amount of revenue for the company s International and United States segments, and in total for the worldwide fleet, along with the respective percentage of total vessel revenue for the quarters and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008:

		-	er Ended nber 31,		N	Nine Months Ended December 31,				
(In thousands)	2009	%	2008	%	2009	%	2008	%		
REVENUES BY VESSEL CLASS:										
International-based fleet:										
Deepwater vessels	\$ 77,455	28%	69,320	20%	214,868	24%	195,246	19%		
Towing-supply/supply	148,715	54%	200,000	57%	500,763	57%	578,374	57%		
Crew/utility	19,812	7%	25,627	7%	67,775	8%	79,705	8%		
Offshore tugs	8,604	3%	15,467	4%	28,499	3%	46,286	5%		
Other			1,655	<1%	567	<1%	5,362	1%		
Total	\$ 254,586	93%	312,069	89%	812,472	92%	904,973	89%		
<u>United States-based fleet:</u>										
Deepwater vessels	\$ 12,554	5%	12,795	4%	40,565	5%	45,826	4%		
Towing-supply/supply	6,931	3%	19,945	6%	23,788	3%	56,264	6%		
Crew/utility	436	<1%	4,372	1%	2,681	<1%	15,126	1%		
Total	\$ 19,921	7%	37,112	11%	67,034	8%	117,216	11%		
Worldwide fleet:										
Deepwater vessels	\$ 90,009	33%	82,115	24%	255,433	29%	241,072	24%		
Towing-supply/supply	155,646	57%	219,945	63%	524,551	60%	634,638	62%		
Crew/utility	20,248	7%	29,999	9%	70,456	8%	94,831	9%		
Offshore tugs	8,604	3%	15,467	4%	28,499	3%	46,286	5%		
Other			1,655	<1%	567	<1%	5,362	1%		
Total	\$ 274,507	100%	349,181	100%	879,506	100%	1,022,189	100%		

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Tidewater Inc.:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Tidewater Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2009, and the related condensed consolidated statements of earnings for the three-month and nine-month periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Tidewater Inc. and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, stockholders equity and other comprehensive income, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated May 14, 2009, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2009 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New Orleans, Louisiana

February 2, 2010

#### ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### Forward Looking Information and Cautionary Statement

In accordance with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the company notes that this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the information incorporated herein by reference contain certain forward-looking statements which reflect the company s current view with respect to future events and financial performance. Any such forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties, and the company s future results of operations could differ materially from its historical results or current expectations. Some of these risks are discussed in this report and include, without limitation, fluctuations in worldwide energy demand and oil and gas prices; fleet additions by competitors and industry overcapacity; changes in capital spending by customers in the energy industry for offshore exploration, development and production; changing customer demands for vessel specifications, which may make some of our older vessels technologically obsolete for certain customer projects or in certain markets; instability of global financial markets and difficulty in accessing credit or capital; acts of terrorism and piracy; significant weather conditions; unsettled political conditions, war, civil unrest and governmental actions, such as expropriation, especially in higher risk countries of operations; foreign currency fluctuations; labor influences proposed by international conventions; and enforcement of laws related to the environment, labor and foreign corrupt practices.

Forward-looking statements, which can generally be identified by the use of such terminology as may, expect, anticipate, estimate, forect believe, think, could, continue, intend, seek, plan, and similar expressions contained in this report, are predictions and not guarantee performance or events. Any forward-looking statements are based on current industry, financial and economic information, which the company has assessed but which by its nature is dynamic and subject to rapid and possibly abrupt changes. The company s actual results could differ materially from those stated or implied by such forward-looking statements due to risks and uncertainties associated with our business. While management believes that these forward-looking statements are reasonable as and when made, there can be no assurance that future developments that affect us will be those that we anticipate and have identified. The forward-looking statements should be considered in the context of the risk factors listed above and discussed in Items 1, 1A, 2 and 7 included in the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2009, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on May 14, 2009 and elsewhere in the Form 10-Q. Investors and prospective investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements. Management disclaims any obligation to update or revise the forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect new information, future events or developments.

In addition, in certain places in this report, we refer to reports published by third parties that purport to describe trends or developments in energy production and drilling and exploration activity. The company does so for the convenience of our stockholders and in an effort to provide information available in the market that will assist the company s investors in a better understanding of the market environment in which the company operates. However, the company specifically disclaims any responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of such information and undertakes no obligation to update such information.

The following information contained in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 1 of this Quarterly Report and related disclosures and the company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on May 14, 2009.

#### **Our Business**

#### **Our Core Services**

The company provides offshore service vessels and equipment to the global offshore energy industry through the operation of a diversified fleet of marine service vessels. Tidewater has one of the broadest

international geographic reaches in the offshore energy industry with over five decades of international experience and, at December 31, 2009, had 394 vessels (including joint-venture vessels and vessels withdrawn from service) servicing the energy industry. The company s revenues, net earnings and cash flows from operations are dependent upon the activity level of the vessel fleet. Like other energy service companies, the level of the company s business activity is driven by the level of drilling and exploration activity by our customers. Our customer's activity, in turn, is dependent on crude oil and natural gas prices, which fluctuate depending on respective levels of supply and demand for crude oil and natural gas.

#### **Principal Factors That Drive Revenues**

The company s revenues are driven primarily by the company s fleet size, vessel utilization and day rates. Because a sizeable portion of the company s operating costs and its depreciation does not change proportionally with changes in revenue, the company s operating profit is largely dependent on revenue levels. Operating costs consist primarily of crew costs, repair and maintenance, insurance and loss reserves, fuel, lube oil and supplies and vessel operating lease expense.

#### Principal Factors That Drive Our Costs

Fleet size, fleet composition, geographic areas of operation and the supply and demand for marine personnel are the major factors which affect overall crew costs. In addition, the company s newer, more technologically sophisticated anchor handling towing supply vessels and platform supply vessels generally require a greater number of specially trained fleet personnel than the company s older, smaller vessels. The company believes that competition for skilled crew personnel may again intensify, particularly in international markets, as, according to ODS-Petrodata, approximately 520 new-build support vessels (anchor handling towing supply vessels and platform supply vessels only) are currently under construction, although the number and timing of delivery of new-build support vessels is currently in question given the global recession and tight financial markets, which may influence the ultimate number of vessels built and delivered. If competition for personnel intensifies, the company s crew costs will likely increase.

The timing and amount of repair and maintenance costs are influenced by customer demand, vessel age and safety and inspection drydockings mandated by regulatory agencies. A certain number of drydockings are required within a given period to meet regulatory requirements. Drydocking costs are incurred only if the company believes a drydocking can be justified economically, taking into consideration the vessel s age, physical condition and future marketability. If the company elects to forego a required drydocking, the company will either stack or sell the vessel, as it is not permitted to work without currently valid regulatory certifications. When the company drydocks a productive vessel, the company not only foregoes vessel revenues and incurs drydocking cost, but also continues to incur vessel operating and vessel depreciation costs. In any given period, downtime associated with drydockings and major repairs and maintenance can have a significant effect on the company s revenues and operating costs.

At times, drydockings take on an increased importance to the company and its financial performance. The company s older vessels require more frequent and more expensive repair and drydockings, while some of its vessels built after 2000 are now experiencing their first or second required regulatory drydockings. Conversely, when the company stacks vessels, the number of drydockings in any period could decline. The combination of these factors can affect the company s expenditures for drydockings and can incrementally increase the volatility of the company s operating revenues and operating costs, thus making period-to-period comparisons more difficult. Although the company attempts to efficiently manage its fleet drydocking schedule to minimize any disruptive effect on its revenues and costs, inflationary pressures on shipyard pricing experienced in recent years, and the heavy workloads at the shipyards, resulted in increased drydocking costs and increased days off hire at shipyards (thereby, increasing the company s loss of revenue on the drydocked vessel). The company cannot predict if the drydocking situation will improve in the foreseeable future. If there is no improvement, the company expects that the timing of drydockings in the future will result in continued quarterly volatility in repair and maintenance costs and loss in revenue. Fuel and lube costs can also fluctuate in any given period depending on the number and distance of vessel mobilizations that occur.

Insurance and loss reserves costs are dependent on a variety of factors, including the company s safety record and the cost of insurance, and can fluctuate from time to time. The company s vessels are generally insured for their estimated market value against damage or loss resulting from marine casualties, adverse weather conditions, mechanical failure, collisions, and property losses to the vessel.

The company also incurs vessel operating costs which are aggregated under the brokers commissions, training costs and other miscellaneous costs. Brokers commission costs are incurred primarily in the company s international operations where brokers assist in obtaining work for the company s vessels. Brokers generally are paid a percentage of day rates and, accordingly, commissions paid to brokers generally fluctuate in accordance with vessel revenue. Other costs include, but are not limited to, satellite communication fees, agent fees, port fees, canal transit fees, vessel certification fees and temporary vessel importation fees.

#### Challenges We Confront as an International Offshore Vessel Company

The company operates in many challenging operating environments around the world that present varying degrees of political, social, economic and other uncertainties. We operate in markets where the possibility exists of expropriation, confiscation or nationalization of our vessels or other assets, terrorism, piracy, civil unrest, changing foreign currency exchange rates and controls, and changing political conditions that may adversely affect our operations. Although the company takes prudent measures to safeguard its property and personnel against these risks to the extent practicable, it cannot be assured that the company will escape any of the aforementioned events, although the wide geographic dispersion of the company s vessels helps substantially mitigate the impact of these risks.

The company has previously reported in its periodic filings that it was in discussions with Sonangol, the national oil company of Angola, regarding a Sonangol proposal to increase its control over Sonatide Marine Services Ltd., an Angolan joint venture between Sonangol and a Tidewater subsidiary. The company has an indirect 49% ownership interest in Sonatide. The company also previously reported that it had reached agreement with Sonangol on certain amendments to the joint venture agreement that would increase Sonangol s control over the operations of Sonatide. Thereafter, Sonangol and the company continued to have dialogue regarding additional changes proposed by Sonangol to Sonatide s practices and procedures that, if adopted, would further Sonangol s control over Sonatide s day-to-day operations, including treasury functions. Recently, Sonangol notified Tidewater that the existing joint venture agreement, which is scheduled to expire on July 31, 2010 unless renewed, would not be renewed by Sonangol, although Sonangol has advised that it is willing to discuss a new joint venture arrangement. Failing to either extend the existing Sonatide joint venture agreement or reach a new joint venture agreement with Sonangol could impair the company s ability to continue to compete effectively for business in Angola in the future. More Tidewater vessels are deployed in Angola than in any of Tidewater s other countries of operation, and a significant portion of revenues derived from the company s largest customer, Chevron, are derived through the company s operations in Angola.

The International Labour Organization s Maritime Labour Convention, 2006 (the Convention) seeks to mandate globally, among other things, seafarer working conditions, ship accommodations, wages, conditions of employment, health and other benefits for all ships (and the seafarers on that ship) that are engaged in commercial activities. To date, this Convention has been ratified by five countries, namely, the Bahamas, Liberia, Marshall Islands, Norway and Panama, representing 33% of the world s tonnage. If adopted by an additional 25 countries, then within 12 months thereof, the Convention shall become law. Some believe that this Convention could become law in 2011. The Company believes that the labor influences proposed by this Convention are unnecessary in light of existing international labor laws that already govern many of these issues. The Company is working with industry representatives to object to ratification of this Convention. Should this Convention become law, the company and its customers operations may be impacted during the period of compliance.

#### Macroeconomic Environment and Outlook

The prices of crude oil and natural gas are critical factors in exploration and production (E&P) companies decisions to retain their drilling rigs in the U.S. GOM market or mobilize their rigs to international markets. The company s United States results of operations are primarily dependent on the supply and demand relationship for natural gas, while the company s international results of operations are primarily dependent on the supply and demand relationship of crude oil. Prices for crude oil and natural gas have fallen dramatically from their respective peaks achieved in calendar year 2008 due to a global recession that has caused a precipitous drop in worldwide demand for oil and gas.

Worldwide demand for oil and gas dropped precipitously and energy prices sharply declined during the last half of calendar 2008 as a result of a global economic recession. Over one year later, there are some early signs that economic improvement is underway; however, the pace of recovery and demand for energy has been slow. The company is evaluating the current trends in the global economy to determine how these trends are affecting the development plans of E&P companies and global demand for its offshore vessels. The company also continues to evaluate the impact that the developments over the last 18 months and the global outlook is having on the ability of shipyards to meet their scheduled deliveries of new vessels or the ability of the company to renew its fleet through new vessel construction or acquisitions. Assessing the current situation is challenging given the tenuous state of the global economy and the financial and commodity markets. During the nine months ended December 31, 2009, the global recession resulted in a decrease in demand for offshore support vessel services, particularly in the United States (U.S.) Gulf of Mexico (GOM). Reduced demand for offshore support vessel services has lead to an industry-wide reduction in charter rates and utilization rates on vessels. Even though there are signs that the recession is ending, the current trends in exploration, development and production activity continue to be difficult to predict, and customers are actively seeking pricing concessions from the company.

OPEC cut production of crude oil by 4.2 million barrels per day (a nearly 5% cut in global oil supplies) as of January 1, 2009 in an effort to stabilize falling crude oil prices in the latter part of calendar year 2008. OPEC s production curtailments during calendar 2009 (an approximate 6% cut in production over the last 18 months) appear to have stabilized crude oil prices, which were trading in the range of \$65 to \$80 per barrel during the quarter ended December 31, 2009. Although this price range is far below its all time closing high of approximately \$147 per barrel in mid-July 2008, it is significantly higher than the low \$30 s price levels experienced during the first quarter of calendar 2009. At the OPEC meeting held in December 2009, OPEC officials stated that the current level of OPEC production will remain unchanged because crude oil market demand fundamentals are still weak and inventories for the resource are oversupplied. Given the weak supply/demand fundamentals of crude oil, it is unknown whether crude oil prices will remain at current price levels or whether these price levels will support significant amounts of exploration and production spending by oil and gas companies. Due to the uncertainty of the direction of oil pricing and demand, management is unable to predict what the company s actual experience will be; however, given the historically strong correlation between commodity prices, drilling and exploration activity and demand for the company s vessels in the various international markets, the company expects that utilization and day rates for its international-based vessels will weaken if crude oil prices decrease and/or remain at levels that do not support increases in capital spending by E&P companies. The company s international customers, including some of our more significant clients, are actively seeking pricing concessions from the company, which the company is addressing on a case-by-case basis. In response to the weaker crude oil price and its effect on E&P spending, the company began stacking and removing from its active international-based fleet those vessels that cannot find attractive charter hire contracts.

The number of operating drilling rigs in the U.S. offshore market is generally the primary driver of the company s expected activity levels and future profitability in the U.S. market. The offshore rig count in the U.S. GOM remains at historically low levels, in part because the strength of the international drilling market has attracted numerous offshore drilling rigs from the U.S. to various international markets over the past few years. Even before the global economic crisis occurred, exploration and development activity in the U.S. GOM had fallen off significantly, particularly in non-deepwater areas. As a consequence, the demand for offshore marine vessels in the shallow water U.S. GOM diminished over the past few years and declined further due to the deterioration in the global business environment and economy, the significant reduction in

commodity prices (particularly natural gas pricing) and relative illiquidity in the credit and capital markets. At December 31, 2009, total mobile offshore rig utilization in the U.S. GOM was approximately 55%, the lowest of any major offshore rig market. Over the longer term, the company s U.S.-based fleet should be affected more by the active offshore rig count in the United States than by any other single outside influence. In addition, consolidation could result in the absorption of an oil and gas company with which the company has a strong commercial relationship into another company with which the company does not have such a relationship.

Given the historically strong correlation among commodity prices, drilling and exploration activity and demand for the company s vessels in the U.S. GOM, the company expects utilization rates and day rates for its vessels in the U.S. GOM market to remain weak, particularly if natural gas prices remain weak or deteriorate from current levels. As such, management anticipates the company s U.S.-based results of operations during the remainder of fiscal 2010 will compare unfavorably with fiscal 2008 and 2009. In response to the deterioration of the U.S. GOM market, the company began to stack and remove from its active fleet those vessels that cannot find attractive charter hire contracts. In recent months, drydockings associated with stacked vessels have been deferred. In addition, as a result of the reduced number of active vessels in the U.S. GOM, crew personnel reductions have taken place, and effective June 1, 2009, wages on the remaining crew personnel in the U.S. GOM were reduced by approximately 15%.

The company s assets are highly mobile. Historically, when the U.S. market weakened, the company redeployed some of its vessels to international markets where, market conditions permitting, the vessels could benefit from stronger demand and average day rates and from statutory income tax rates that are generally lower than statutory income tax rates in the United States. Given the current challenges in international markets, the company s ability to mitigate the effects of a weakened U.S. GOM market by redeploying vessels to other markets has been reduced significantly. The company continues to assess the demand for vessels in the U.S. GOM and in the various international markets and may relocate additional vessels to international areas. The cost of mobilizing vessels to a different market is sometimes for the account of the company and sometimes for the account of a contracting customer.

The deepwater offshore energy market is a growing segment of the energy market and is one sector of the global energy market that has yet to experience any significant negative effects from the global economic recession. During the past few years, worldwide rig construction escalated as rig owners capitalized on the high worldwide demand for drilling. Reports published during the most recently completed quarter suggest that over the next four years, the worldwide moveable drilling rig count (currently estimated at approximately 775 movable rigs worldwide, approximately thirty percent of which are designed to operate in deeper waters) will increase as approximately 145 new-build rigs that are currently on order and under construction are delivered. It is further estimated that approximately fifty percent of these new build rigs are intended to operate in deeper waters, suggesting that the number of rigs designed to operate in deeper waters could grow over the next couple of years by approximately one third. Investment is also being made in the floating production market, in which approximately 45 new floating production units are currently under construction and are expected to be delivered over the next four years to supplement the current approximately 325 floating production units worldwide. However, analysts have reported that several drilling rigs currently on order have been cancelled and/or delayed due to the uncertain economic outlook, which may reduce the number of rigs ultimately built and delivered. Moreover, to the extent the rigs are built and delivered, it is believed that the new build rigs will largely target international regions rather than the U.S. GOM due to longer contract durations, generally lower operating costs and higher drilling day rates available in the international markets.

Approximately 520 new-build support vessels (platform supply vessels and anchor handlers only) are currently estimated to be under construction and are expected to be delivered to the worldwide offshore vessel market over the next four years according to ODS-Petrodata. The current worldwide fleet of these classes of vessels is estimated at approximately 2,400 vessels. An increase in vessel capacity could have the effect of lowering charter rates, particularly in the context of declining levels of exploration, development and production activity. However, the worldwide offshore marine vessel industry has a large number of aging vessels, including approximately 840 that are at least 25 years old, that are nearing or exceeding original expectations of their estimated economic lives. These older vessels could potentially be removed

from the market within the next few years if the cost of extending the vessels lives is not economically justifiable. Although the future attrition rate of these aging vessels cannot be accurately predicted, the company believes that the retirement of a portion of these aging vessels would likely mitigate the potential combined negative effects of these new-build vessels on vessel utilization and vessel pricing. Additional vessel demand could also be created with the addition of new drilling rigs and floating production units over the next few years that is referenced above, which should help minimize the negative effects of up to approximately 520 new-build support vessels (platform supply vessels and anchor handlers only) being added to the offshore support vessel fleet. It is unknown at this time the full extent to which current uncertainty about the economic outlook or the timing of the recovery will influence the utilization of equipment currently in existence or the ultimate timing of delivery and placing into service of new drilling rigs, floating production units and vessels currently under construction. Analysts have reported some offshore vessel construction contract cancellations as a result of the foregoing factors, which may reduce the ultimate number of vessels built and delivered.

Oil and gas industry analysts are reporting in their 2010 E&P expenditures (both land-based and offshore) surveys that global capital expenditures budgets for E&P are forecast to increase by approximately 11% over calendar year 2009 levels. The surveys forecast that international capital spending budgets will increase approximately 11% while North American capital spending budgets are forecast to increase approximately 12%. It is anticipated that the North American capital budget increases will primarily be spent onshore rather than offshore. These budgets were based on an approximate \$70 average price per barrel of oil and an approximate \$5.20 per mcf average natural gas price for calendar 2010.

#### Fiscal 2010 Business Highlights

During fiscal 2010, the company continues to focus on maintaining its competitive advantages, increasing its presence in international markets, continuing to modernize its vessel fleet to generate future earnings capacity while removing from active service certain traditional vessels that are no longer providing adequate returns given the current market environment. A key element of the company strategy continues to be the preservation of its strong liquidity position to support the expansion of the industry stargest fleet of new vessels.

The company s consolidated net earnings, during the nine months ended December 31, 2009, was \$202.6 million as compared to \$297.2 million during the same period of fiscal 2009, a decrease of approximately 32%, or \$94.6 million, due to a 13% decrease in total revenues during the nine months ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period during fiscal 2009, and to a \$49.1 million provision for Venezuelan operations as disclosed in Note 6 of Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, partially offset by approximately 11% lower vessel operating costs and the reversal of \$34.3 million income tax liabilities as disclosed in Note 3 of Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements, are included in Part I, Item 1 of this report.

The company recorded \$908.6 million in revenue during the nine months ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the \$1.0 billion earned during the same period of fiscal 2009, a decrease of approximately 13%, or \$140.6 million, due to the loss of revenue from the company s Venezuelan operations and to an approximate seven percentage point reduction in total worldwide utilization. During the nine months ended December 31, 2009, the company s Venezuelan operations contributed \$11.3 million of revenues as compared to \$45.6 million of revenues contributed during the same period of fiscal 2009. The company s international-based vessel revenues decreased approximately 10%, or \$92.5 million, during the nine months ended December 31, 2009 as compared to the same period in fiscal 2009, while the U.S. vessel revenues decreased approximately \$50.2 million, or 43%, during the same comparative periods. Other marine revenues increased approximately \$2.1 million, or 8%, during the same comparative periods. International-based vessel operating costs decreased approximately 7%, or \$29.9 million, while the company s U.S.-based vessel operating costs decreased approximately 37%, or \$24.2 million, during the same comparative periods. Costs of other marine revenues increased approximately \$3.1 million, or 13%, during the same comparative periods. A significant portion of the company s operations continue to be conducted internationally, and the company s international vessel operations continue to be the primary driver of its earnings. Revenues generated from international vessel operations as a percentage of the company s total

vessel revenues were 92% during the nine months ended December 31, 2009 as compared to 89% during the same period in fiscal 2009.

At December 31, 2009, the company had 377 owned or chartered vessels (excluding joint-venture vessels and vessels withdrawn from service) in its fleet with an average age of 17.2 years. The average age of 163 newer vessels that have been acquired or constructed since calendar year 2000 as part of the company s new build and acquisition program is 4.6 years. The remaining 214 vessels have an average age of 26.9 years. During the nine months ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the company's newer vessels generated \$574.5 million and \$528.0 million, respectively, of consolidated revenues and accounted for 74% and 58%, respectively, of total vessel margin (vessel revenues less vessel operating expenses less vessel depreciation), while the traditional vessels generated \$305.0 million and \$494.2 million of the consolidated revenues during the same comparative periods, respectively, and accounted for the remaining 26% and 42% of total vessel margin, respectively.

#### **Results of Operations**

The following table compares revenues and operating expenses (excluding general and administrative expense, depreciation expense, provision for Venezuelan operations, and gain on asset dispositions) for the company s vessel fleet and the related percentage of total revenue for the quarters and the nine-month periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2009. Vessel revenues and operating costs relate to vessels owned and operated by the company, while other marine revenues relate to third-party activities of the company s shipyards, brokered vessels and other miscellaneous marine-related activities.

			•	er Ended aber 31,		Λ	Nine Mo	Quarter Ended September 30,			
(In thousands)		2009	%	2008	%	2009	%	2008	%	2009	%
Revenues:											
Vessel revenues:											
International	\$	254,586	89%	312,069	86%	812,472	89%	904,973	86%	271,898	92%
United States		19,921	7%	37,112	10%	67,034	7%	117,216	11%	22,665	8%
		274,507	96%	349,181	96%	879,506	97%	1,022,189	97%	294,563	100%
Other marine revenues		11,998	4%	13,154	4%	29,132	3%	27,029	3%	961	<1%
	\$	286,505	100%	362,335	100%	908,638	100%	1,049,218	100%	295,524	100%
	Ψ	200,505	10070	302,333	10070	700,030	10070	1,019,210	100%	275,521	10070
Operating costs:											
Vessel operating costs:											
Crew costs	\$	79,458	28%	89,226	25%	240,947	27%	274,464	26%	78,737	27%
Repair and maintenance		24,386	9%	28,988	8%	81,472	9%	97,538	9%	31,452	11%
Insurance and loss reserves		1,735	1%	889	<1%	9,794	1%	11,970	1%	3,383	1%
Fuel, lube and supplies		13,210	5%	16,341	5%	41,265	5%	50,116	5%	15,213	5%
Vessel operating leases		4,494	2%	1,749	<1%	10,564	1%	5,247	1%	4,321	1%
Other		24,905	9%	24,127	7%	75,323	8%	74,084	7%	24,420	8%
		140 100	500	161 222	4501	450.265	510	512 410	100	157.504	5201
		148,188	52%	161,320	45%	459,365	51%	513,419	49%	157,526	53%
Costs of other marine revenues		10,565	4%	11,347	3%	26,147	3%	23,091	2%	882	<1%
	\$	158,753	55%	172,667	48%	485,512	53%	536,510	51%	158,408	54%

The following table subdivides vessel operating costs and the related percentage of total revenue presented above by the company s International and United States segments for the quarters and the nine-month periods ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.

(In thousands)		Quarter Decem %	Ended ber 31, 2008	Nir 2009	End	Quarter Ended September 30, 2009 %				
Vessel operating costs:										
International:										
Crew costs	\$ 71,944	25%	75,037	21%	215,437	24%	230,430	22%	70,479	24%
Repair and maintenance	21,693	8%	25,937	7%	73,667	8%	87,158	8%	28,254	10%
Insurance and loss reserves	1,740	1%	1,038	<1%	7,314	1%	8,501	1%	2,328	1%
Fuel, lube and supplies	12,772	4%	15,732	4%	39,349	4%	48,018	5%	14,445	5%
Vessel operating leases	3,707	1%	963	<1%	8,204	1%	2,887	<1%	3,535	1%
Other	24,520	9%	23,194	6%	73,784	8%	70,647	7%	23,797	8%
	136,376	48%	141,901	39%	417,755	46%	447,641	43%	142,838	48%
United States:										
Crew costs	\$ 7,514	3%	14,189	4%	25,510	3%	44,034	4%	8,258	3%
Repair and maintenance	2,693	1%	3,051	1%	7,805	1%	10,380	1%	3,198	1%
Insurance and loss reserves	(5)	<1%	(149)	<1%	2,480	<1%	3,469	<1%	1,055	<1%
Fuel, lube and supplies	438	<1%	609	<1%	1,916	<1%	2,098	<1%	768	<1%
Vessel operating leases	787	<1%	786	<1%	2,360	<1%	2,360	<1%	786	<1%
Other	385	<1%	933	<1%	1,539			<1%	623	<1%
	11,812	4%	19,419	5%	41,610	5%	65,778	6%	14,688	