

Metals USA Holdings Corp.
Form S-1
May 19, 2008
Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 19, 2008

Registration No. 333-

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM S-1
REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

5051
(Primary Industrial

Classification Code Number)
One Riverway, Suite 1100

20-3779274
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

Houston, Texas 77056

(713) 965-0990

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

John A. Hageman

Senior Vice President and Chief Legal Officer

One Riverway, Suite 1100

Houston, Texas 77056

(713) 965-0990

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:

Andrew J. Nussbaum

Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz

51 West 52nd Street

New York, New York 10019

(212) 403-1000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As promptly as practicable after the effective date of this registration statement.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, check the following box. "

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer Non-accelerated
filer
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of Each Class of Securities to Be Registered	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price(1)(2)	Amount of Registration Fee(2)(3)
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	\$200,000,000	\$7,860

- (1) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the amount of the registration fee pursuant to Rule 457(o) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, at a rate equal to \$39.30 per \$1,000,000 of the proposed maximum aggregate offering price.
- (2) Includes shares of common stock which may be purchased by the underwriters to cover over-allotments, if any.
- (3) The registrant previously paid a registration fee of \$21,400.00 with a registration statement on Form S-1, File No. 333-134533, initially filed on May 26, 2006. Pursuant to Rule 457(p) of the Securities Act, \$7,860 of the previously paid registration fee is offset against the registration fee otherwise due for this registration statement.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Table of Contents

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. Neither we nor the selling stockholders may sell these securities until the registration statement filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

Subject to completion, dated May 19, 2008.

PROSPECTUS

Shares

Metals USA Holdings Corp.

Common Stock

This is an initial public offering of _____ shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of Metals USA Holdings Corp. We are offering _____ shares of common stock, and the selling stockholders identified in this prospectus are offering _____ shares of common stock. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares by the selling stockholders.

No later than 60 days following our receipt of the proceeds of this offering, we will make an offer to all holders of our senior floating rate toggle notes due 2012, including our affiliates, to repurchase the maximum principal amount of the notes that may be purchased out of the net proceeds of this offering, estimated to be approximately \$ _____ million, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase.

If the net proceeds of this offering are greater than the purchase price of the notes tendered by holders, we will use the balance of the net proceeds, if any, for general corporate purposes. Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock. It is currently estimated that the initial public offering price per share will be between \$ _____ and \$ _____. We intend to apply to list our common stock on The New York Stock Exchange under the symbol MUX.

The underwriters may purchase up to an additional _____ shares of common stock from us and an additional _____ shares of common stock from the selling stockholders to cover over-allotments, if any. We intend to use the net proceeds from any sales of our common stock sold by us pursuant to the underwriters' over-allotment for the uses specified above. If the maximum number of additional shares is purchased from us by the underwriters, the offer to repurchase would be increased by approximately \$ _____ million.

Investing in our common stock involves risks. See Risk Factors on page 16.

	Price to Public	Underwriting Discounts and Commissions	Proceeds to Metals USA Holdings Corp.	Proceeds to Selling Stockholders
Per Share	\$	\$	\$	\$
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$

The underwriters expect to deliver the shares against payment in New York, New York on _____, 2008.

NEITHER THE U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THESE SECURITIES OR DETERMINED IF THIS PROSPECTUS IS TRUTHFUL OR COMPLETE. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

The date of this prospectus is _____, 2008.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>PROSPECTUS SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>SUMMARY HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	10
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	16
<u>CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	28
<u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	29
<u>DIVIDEND POLICY</u>	31
<u>CAPITALIZATION</u>	33
<u>DILUTION</u>	35
<u>SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	37
<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	40
<u>BUSINESS</u>	72
<u>ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE</u>	86
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	88
<u>PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS AND SELLING STOCKHOLDERS</u>	107
<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS</u>	109
<u>DESCRIPTION OF CERTAIN INDEBTEDNESS</u>	112
<u>DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK</u>	118
<u>SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE</u>	123
<u>MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES FOR NON-U.S. HOLDERS</u>	125
<u>UNDERWRITING</u>	128
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	131
<u>EXPERTS</u>	131
<u>AVAILABLE INFORMATION</u>	131
<u>INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	F-1

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus and any free writing prospectus prepared by or on behalf of us or any information to which we have referred you. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with information that is different. This document may only be used where it is legal to sell these securities. The information in this document may only be accurate on the date of this document.

Industry and Market Data

This prospectus includes industry data that we obtained from periodic industry publications and internal company surveys. Industry publications and surveys generally state that the information contained therein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable. In addition, this prospectus includes market share and industry data that we prepared primarily based on our knowledge of the industry and industry data. We have not independently verified any of the data from third-party sources nor have we ascertained the underlying economic assumptions relied upon therein. Statements as to our market position relative to our competitors are approximated and based on the above-mentioned third-party data and internal analysis and estimates and have not been verified by independent sources. Unless otherwise noted, all information regarding our market share is based on the latest available data, which in some cases may be several years old, and all references to market shares refer to both revenue and volume.

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This summary highlights material information appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. Because this is a summary, it may not contain all of the information that you should consider before investing in our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, which we refer to as our common stock, and you should carefully read the entire prospectus, including the financial data and related notes and the information presented under the caption Risk Factors.

Except as otherwise indicated herein or as the context otherwise requires, references in this prospectus to (a) Metals USA Holdings, the Company, we, our, and us refer collectively to (1) Metals USA, Inc. and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis prior to the consummation of the merger of Flag Acquisition Corporation, which we refer to as Flag Acquisition, with and into Metals USA, which we refer to as the Merger (see Organizational Structure Description of the Apollo Transactions), and (2) Metals USA Holdings Corp., which we refer to as Metals USA Holdings, Flag Intermediate Holdings Corporation, which we refer to as Flag Intermediate, Metals USA, Inc. and Metals USA, Inc.'s subsidiaries on a consolidated basis after the consummation of the Merger, and (b) Metals USA refers collectively to Metals USA, Inc. and its subsidiaries. Metals USA prior to the Merger is referred to as the Predecessor Company.

Our Company

As one of the largest metal service center businesses in the United States, we are a leading provider of value-added processed carbon steel, stainless steel, aluminum, red metals and manufactured metal components. We are an important intermediary between primary metal producers that generally sell large volumes in limited sizes and configurations and end-users that generally require more services and smaller quantities of customized products. Our metal service center business consists of a Plates and Shapes Group that sold approximately 826 thousand tons of steel plates and structurals in 2007 and a Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group that sold approximately 614 thousand tons of ferrous and non-ferrous flat rolled products in 2007. We sell our products and services to a diverse customer base and range of end markets, including defense, aerospace, marine, oil and gas, fabrication, and commercial construction, among several others, throughout the United States. In our metal service centers we earn a margin over the cost of metal. Management's strategy, manifested through our organic growth initiatives and acquisitions of Port City and Lynch Metals, each as defined below, focuses on maximizing the margin we earn over the cost of metal by offering additional higher value-added processing services and by diversifying our product mix. We believe this strategy, in combination with management's proven ability to manage metal purchasing and inventories to consistently meet our customers' high expectations for service and reliability, has underpinned our earnings growth over the last several years.

We operate in three segments: our Plates and Shapes Group, our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group, and our Building Products Group.

Plates and Shapes Group (48% of 2007 net sales). We believe we are one of the largest distributors of steel plates and structurals in the United States. In 2007, we sold approximately 826 thousand tons of products through 21 metal service centers located primarily in the southern and eastern regions of the United States. Our Plates and Shapes metal service centers are generally equipped to provide additional value-added processing, and a substantial portion of our volume is processed prior to being delivered to the end-user. These processing services include blasting and painting, tee-splitting, cambering, leveling, cutting, sawing, punching, drilling, beveling, surface grinding, bending, shearing and cutting-to-length. We sell our products to a diversified customer base, including a large number of small customers who purchase products in small order sizes. We generally earn additional margin from

Table of Contents

our customers by providing services such as product marking, item sequencing, just-in-time delivery and kitting. The customers of our Plates and Shapes Group are primarily in the fabrication, commercial construction, machinery and equipment, land and marine transportation, and energy industries. Because our Plates and Shapes metal service centers are generally strategically located in close proximity to our metal suppliers and our customers, we are able to meet our customers' product and service needs reliably and consistently. In May 2006, we completed the acquisition of the Port City Metal Services business, which we refer to as Port City, a higher value-added plate facility located in Tulsa, Oklahoma, which has bolstered our presence in the construction and oil-field services sectors.

Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group (44% of 2007 net sales). Through 13 metal service centers located primarily in the mid-western and southern regions of the United States, the Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group sold approximately 614 thousand tons of products in 2007, including carbon (which we refer to as ferrous) and stainless steel, aluminum, brass and copper (which we refer to as non-ferrous) in a number of alloy grades and sizes. In 2007, we derived approximately 52% and 48% of this division's revenue from ferrous products and non-ferrous products, respectively. Substantially all of the products sold by our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group undergo value-added processing prior to shipping to our customers. These processing services include precision blanking, slitting, shearing, cutting-to-length, punching and leveling. We sell our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group's products and services to customers in the electrical manufacturing, fabrication, furniture, appliance manufacturing, machinery and equipment, transportation and aerospace industries. Many of our large customers purchase through pricing arrangements or contractual agreements that specify the margin over the cost of metal and we generally earn additional margin from these customers by providing services such as product marking and labeling, just-in-time delivery and kitting. We are able to provide these services reliably because our metal service centers are generally located in close proximity to our metal suppliers and our customers. In July 2007, we acquired Lynch Metals, Inc. and Lynch Metals of California, Inc., which we refer to as Lynch Metals, a metal service center business that provides higher value-added, specialized aluminum products to customers who are predominantly manufacturers of air/heat transfer products specifically focused on aerospace, industrial and automotive applications.

Building Products Group (8% of 2007 net sales). The Building Products Group manufactures and sells roofing and patio products. Substantially all of our Building Products Group sales are attributable to the residential remodeling market with the remaining sales attributable to commercial applications. We generally sell our products through a network of independent distributors and home improvement contractors. With facilities located throughout the southern and western regions of the United States and Canada, we believe we are one of only a few suppliers with national scale across our product offering.

Industry Overview

Our operations focus on the metal service center industry and the building products industry.

Metal Service Centers. Metal service centers purchase approximately 30% of all steel products consumed in the U.S. and metal service centers play a critical intermediary role between the production mills and the end-users. Over the last several years primary metals producers have consolidated and focused on core competencies and have increasingly required metal service centers and processors to perform value-added services for end-customers. As a result, most end-users cannot obtain processed products directly from primary metals producers, and therefore, over 300,000 original equipment manufacturers, which we refer to as OEMs, contractors and fabricators nationwide rely on metal service centers for their primary supply of metal products and services. End-users generally buy metal products and services from metal service centers on a margin over the base cost of metal. In addition, value-added metal service centers, including ours, earn an additional premium margin over the cost of

Table of Contents

metal for the value-added processing enhancements they perform on base metal prior to delivering it to the end-user. OEMs and other end-users have also recognized the economic advantages associated with outsourcing their customized metals processing needs. Outsourcing permits end-users to reduce total production costs by shifting the responsibility of pre-production processing to metal service centers, which are more efficient than end-users at performing these value-added and processing services. In addition, outsourcing these processing services has allowed OEMs and end-users to reduce inventories and focus on driving value from additional inventory management measures. As such, customers increasingly demand inventory management and just-in-time delivery services from large value-added metal service centers, who can more efficiently provide these services. These supply-chain services, which are not normally provided by primary metals producers, enable end-users to reduce input costs, decrease capital required for inventory and equipment and save time, labor and other expenses. We believe that growth opportunities for metal service centers will continue to expand as both primary metals producers and end-users increasingly seek to have their metals processing and inventory management requirements met by value-added metal service centers. We believe larger and financially flexible companies, like ours, enjoy significant advantages over smaller companies in areas such as obtaining higher discounts associated with volume purchases, servicing customers with operations in multiple locations, offering a broader range of products and using more sophisticated information systems.

The steel industry, among several other metals industries, is global in nature and is currently undergoing a paradigm shift that we believe will result in significant and substantial profitability for both producers and metal service centers. Since this shift began over six years ago, the steel production and distribution industries have experienced unprecedented revenue and profit expansion as global demand for steel has grown at an extraordinary pace driven largely by industrial development in China, Brazil, Russia and India and to some extent Western economies. Global demand for steel has grown at approximately 9% annually over the last five years and according to the International Iron and Steel Institute, steel use is expected to increase approximately 7% from 2007 to 2008. Projections for 2009 suggest a global growth rate of approximately 6% from 2008. For several years prior to the recent surge in steel demand, steel producers and raw material suppliers had not invested enough capital in expanding raw material capacity. Now, demand growth for steel is expected to outpace the ability for steel producers and raw material suppliers to meet this demand, which has driven the price of the core raw materials, such as iron ore, coke and coal, to record highs recently.

Additionally, transportation costs have also increased over the last several years, and energy is becoming more expensive globally. All of these factors have caused the steel production cost curve to increase substantially. Foreign mills, which pay many of their operating costs in local currencies, have been affected more than U.S. mills as the U.S. dollar has weakened because steel is priced globally in U.S. dollars. However, as the U.S. dollar has weakened, U.S. mills have become some of the world's lowest cost producers, encouraging them to export production for the first time in more than fifteen years. Finally, adding raw material capacity has now become significantly more expensive relative to historic levels. As a result, higher raw material and steel prices will be required to yield acceptable returns on these capital investments. Given the tight market for steel worldwide and especially in the United States, where steel consumption exceeds domestic production, the structural increase in the cost to produce steel, and the increasingly costly expansion projects, we anticipate there will be a growing interest from both domestic mills and foreign producers to purchase metal service centers in order to acquire better visibility of domestic consumer demand and base-load their relatively high fixed-cost production assets. Considering all of these factors together, we believe the industry has undergone and continues to undergo a dynamic paradigm shift that will result in significant and sustained improvements in the financial health and prosperity of North American industry participants.

Table of Contents

Building Products. The residential remodeling industry is currently in a state of transition as recent mortgage market turmoil and weak consumer confidence have been reflected in a general decline in the purchase of home remodeling goods and services. However, we believe that factors including rising disposable incomes, increased rates of home ownership, a low interest rate environment and aging American houses have generated significant pent-up demand for remodeling that will manifest itself when the housing sector rebounds. We believe that these factors support a strong long-term outlook for residential remodeling as a cost-effective alternative to new housing construction.

Our Competitive Strengths

Premium Margins Over Metal. Metal service centers generally earn a margin over the cost of metal, which provides stability to metal service centers' cash flows relative to metal producers through pricing cycles. In addition, by performing certain value added processing to metal before it is shipped to the customer as well as providing inventory management services, we earn a premium margin over the cost of metal. We also sell an enhanced product mix across our metal service center business by supplementing our core carbon offerings with non-ferrous volumes. Non-ferrous volumes are generally higher margin and more stable through economic cycles. Over the last several years, we have invested in our facilities and completed acquisitions to equip our metal service centers with the ability to continue earning premium margins going forward.

Platform for Strong Growth. Over the last several years we spent approximately \$122.4 million in growth initiatives including \$38.5 million to grow our business organically and \$83.9 million of acquisitions. Our growth initiatives have focused on increasing our mix of higher-margin products and services, such as value-added processing, inventory management services and non-ferrous volumes. Our largest recent organic growth project was a \$17.5 million investment in our Plates and Shapes metal service center in Waggaman, Louisiana to capitalize upon the strong marine industry in the gulf coast region. This investment equipped this facility with the ability to provide value-added processing, such as blast, paint, laser and plasma cutting and press brake services. In late 2005, we established and trained a dedicated acquisitions team that is responsible for identifying, evaluating, executing, integrating and monitoring acquisitions. This team has completed two strategic acquisitions for our metal service center business: (1) Port City in our Plates and Shapes Group that increased our plate processing capabilities to customers serving the oil field, construction equipment and refining industries, and (2) Lynch Metals in our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group that provides value-added, specialized aluminum products to customers who are predominantly manufacturers of air/heat transfer products specifically focused on aerospace, industrial and automotive applications.

Skilled Inventory Management. Inventory management is critical to metal service centers' ability to balance investment in working capital, pass on the cost of metal to the customer and meet customer needs often on a just-in-time basis. The Company's purchasing practices follow an inventory management framework set on a weekly basis under the guidance of our Chief Executive Officer. Within this framework, inventory and processing services are tailored to the needs of each metal service center's particular customers. We believe our system of inventory management and our capital structure flexibility have allowed us to react to changing metals prices and customer needs, oftentimes more quickly than most of our competitors. Our information technology systems allow us to share inventory among our facilities, which helps us to maximize returns and satisfy our customers. In addition, our inventory management framework has supported our ability to generate significant earnings during rising metal price environments and generate significant free cash flow in declining metal price environments.

Table of Contents

Strong Relationships with Key Suppliers. We are one of the largest domestic purchasers of steel, and we have established strong relationships with large domestic and international metal suppliers. Because we are a significant customer of our major suppliers, we obtain volume discounts and historically have been able to obtain ample access to feedstock in periods of tight supply. Access to feedstock during these periods enhances our standing relative to our competitors with end-users. Our relationships with our metal suppliers also help us to optimize our inventory management.

Diversified Customer Base, Products and End-Markets. Our business supplies a broad range of products to a large and diversified customer base (over 17,400 customers in 2007) in a wide variety of end-markets and industries. However, we have sought to enhance our position in stable growth industries that demand higher value-added services and reduce our exposure to more cyclical sectors. As a result of our organic growth projects and acquisitions, we have capitalized on growth opportunities with products such as aluminum brazing sheet, armor plate, marine grade aluminum plate, and pressure vessel plate to service the aerospace, marine, defense, and oil and gas industries. Our broad range of high-quality products and customized value-added services offered throughout our metal service centers allow us to offer one-stop shopping to our customers. We believe one-stop shopping provides a significant competitive advantage over smaller metal service centers, which generally stock fewer products than we do.

Experienced and Proven Management Team. Our senior management team has over 20 years on average of metals industry experience and is supported by considerable management talent, including our division presidents and facility operators. Our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, C. Lourenço Gonçalves, has over 25 years of experience in the metals industry, including his terms as Chief Executive Officer of California Steel Industries (the largest U.S. steel slab re-roller, which we refer to as CSI), which had many of the same value chain dynamics as a metal service center, and as managing director, among other positions, of Companhia Siderúrgica Nacional (which we refer to as CSN). Under his leadership since 2003, we have executed a strategy that has significantly improved our cash flow stability, earnings growth, and competitiveness within the industry.

Our Strategy

Expand Value-Added Services. We intend to continue expanding our value-added services, which enhance our relationships with existing customers and help us build new customer relationships. Customers increasingly demand and are willing to pay a premium margin for additional value-added services to facilitate more efficient inventory management and reduce total production costs. Demand for these services generally remains strong through most economic cycles. We intend to continue to identify and invest in capital projects that provide attractive returns to fulfill this growing demand. We believe that our operating expertise, organizational structure, high-quality facilities, size, and our low cost and flexible capital structure enable us to reliably provide a full range of value-added services to our customers relative to our competitors, particularly smaller metal service centers.

Increase Sales of Higher Margin Products and Services. The sale of higher margin products and services, which tend to have higher growth prospects and are more stable, will continue to be one of our core strategies. We intend to continue executing on this strategy by increasing our non-ferrous volumes and our sales of processed products. Focusing on this strategy historically has increased our margins, stabilized our earnings, and optimized our investment in working capital, and we expect this strategy will continue benefiting us in these areas. We anticipate that we will continue investing in and acquiring companies to maintain and expand our state-of-the-art processing facilities, which will enable us to fulfill a greater proportion of our customers' processing requirements relative to our competitors.

Table of Contents

Execute Strategic Acquisitions to Improve Our Business. The North American metal service center industry is highly fragmented, which we believe provides us with opportunities to execute our core strategies through highly synergistic bolt-on acquisitions. We completed two acquisitions, Port City for our Plates and Shapes Group and Lynch Metals for our Flat-Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group, both of which have benefited us financially, operationally and strategically. The combination of our successful track record of acquiring and integrating acquisitions and our internal acquisition team's strong industry relationships has yielded proprietary deal flow for us and has helped us maintain an active pipeline of opportunities. We intend to maintain our disciplined acquisition strategy, and we will generally target one to two bolt-on acquisitions per year that will enhance our metal service center strategy.

Maintain and Strengthen Our Strong Relationships with Suppliers and Customers. As one of the largest metal service center businesses in the United States, we intend to use our relationships to leverage the opportunities presented by the consolidation of steel producers and the changing needs of our customers. Steel producers continue to seek long-term relationships with metal service centers that have access to numerous customers, while customers are seeking relationships with metal service centers that can provide a reliable source of high-quality products combined with value-added services.

Continue Strong Focus on Inventory Management. We will continue managing our inventory to maximize our profitability and cash flow while maintaining sufficient inventory to respond quickly to customer demands. In addition, we intend to further integrate our salespeople and operating employees into the operations of our customers to enhance our visibility into in-process orders and to further improve our just-in-time delivery and customer service. Constant evaluation of our inventory management will allow us to continue supplying our customers reliably, even during periods of tight metal supply. We expect our inventory management framework will continue generating strong earnings during periods of rising metal prices and strong cash flow during periods of declining metal prices.

Maintain High Free Cash Flow Generation and Conversion. Senior management has implemented a focused strategy designed to maximize our profitability and cash flow. We believe that we are a reliable supplier, especially of higher margin products and services, to our customers even in periods of tight supply. We believe that our reliability allows us to generate higher margins and more stable operating income through the business cycle. Moreover, we believe our inventory framework bolstered by our strong relationships with our metals suppliers will stabilize earnings during periods of weakness. Our core business also requires minimal maintenance capital investment. We believe these strengths taken together underscore our ability to generate high levels of free cash flow. We believe that our free cash flow will enable us to reinvest in our business and to achieve other corporate and financial objectives.

Risk Factors

An investment in our common stock involves substantial risks and uncertainties. Metals USA Holdings is a holding company and does not have any material assets or operations other than ownership of the capital stock of Flag Intermediate, a Delaware corporation which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Metals USA Holdings. Flag Intermediate is also a holding company and does not have any material assets or operations other than ownership of the capital stock of Metals USA. Some of the more significant challenges and risks include:

those associated with our susceptibility to conditions in the U.S. economy;

our ability to pass through increases in our costs to our customers;

the cost of energy and raw materials;

Table of Contents

our substantial indebtedness;

our acquisition strategy; and

the highly competitive nature of the industry in which we operate.

See **Risk Factors** for a discussion of the factors you should consider before investing in our common stock.

Principal Stockholders

Our principal stockholders are investment funds affiliated with Apollo Management, L.P., including Apollo Management V, L.P., which we refer to as Apollo Management, and together with its affiliated investment funds, which we refer to as Apollo. Founded in 1990, Apollo is a leading private equity and capital markets investor with 18 years of experience investing across the capital structure of leveraged companies. The firm employs more than 175 professionals and has offices in New York, Los Angeles, London, Frankfurt, Paris and Singapore. Apollo currently manages over \$40 billion of capital across a wide variety of industries both domestically and internationally. Companies owned or controlled by Apollo Management, L.P. or in which Apollo Management, L.P. or its affiliates have a significant equity investment include, among others, Rexnord Holdings, Inc., CEVA Logistics, Verso Paper Holdings LLC, Momentive Performance Materials Inc., Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc., Affinion Group Holdings, Inc. and Noranda Aluminum Holding Corporation.

Metals USA Holdings

Metals USA Holdings was incorporated in Delaware on May 9, 2005. The principal executive offices of Metals USA Holdings are at One Riverway, Suite 1100, Houston, Texas 77056, and the telephone number is (713) 965-0990.

We also maintain an internet site at <http://www.metalsusa.com>. **Our website and the information contained therein or connected thereto will not be deemed to be incorporated into this prospectus or the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part, and you should not rely on any such information in making your decision whether to purchase our securities.**

Metals USA, Inc. was incorporated in Delaware on July 3, 1996, and began operations upon completion of an initial public offering on July 11, 1997. Metals USA Holdings acquired Metals USA on November 30, 2005 in connection with the Merger. See **Organizational Structure** Description of the Apollo Transactions.

Table of Contents

The Offering

Common stock offered by us shares

Common stock offered by the selling stockholders shares

Underwriters' over-allotment option to purchase additional common stock from us and the selling stockholders Up to shares

Shares of our common stock to be outstanding immediately following this offering shares (including shares that will be sold to the underwriters if they exercise their over-allotment option in full)

Use of proceeds We estimate that we will receive net proceeds from this offering of approximately \$ million after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and expenses, assuming the shares are offered at \$ per share, which represents the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus.

As described in Use of Proceeds, no later than 60 days following our receipt of the proceeds of this offering, we will make an offer to all holders of our senior floating rate toggle notes due 2012, which we refer to as the 2007 Notes, to repurchase the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes, of which \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount were outstanding as of March 31, 2008, that may be purchased out of the net proceeds of this offering, estimated to be approximately \$ million, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase.

Our affiliates, including Apollo, that are holders of the 2007 Notes may participate in the repurchase offer. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Repurchase Offer.

If the net proceeds of this offering are greater than the purchase price of the 2007 Notes tendered in the repurchase offer, we will use the balance of the net proceeds, if any, for general corporate purposes, including working capital, the expansion of our production capabilities, research and development, purchases of capital equipment and potential acquisitions of businesses.

We intend to use the net proceeds from any sales of our common stock sold pursuant to the underwriters' over-allotment for the uses specified above. If the maximum number of additional shares is purchased from us by the underwriters, the offer to repurchase would be increased by approximately \$ million. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of our common stock by the selling

Table of Contents

stockholders, including with respect to any shares sold by the selling stockholders pursuant to the underwriters' exercise of their option to purchase additional shares. For sensitivity analyses as to the offering price and other information, see "Use of Proceeds."

This prospectus is not an offer to purchase, a solicitation of an offer to purchase or a solicitation of a consent with respect to our 2007 Notes.

Dividends We do not currently anticipate paying any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. See "Dividend Policy."

Listing We intend to apply to list our common stock on The New York Stock Exchange under the trading symbol MUX.

Other Information About This Prospectus

Except as otherwise indicated, all information in this prospectus:

assumes no exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option;

does not give effect to _____ shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options as of _____, 2008; and

does not give effect to shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the 2005 Plan, and any future issuance under the incentive plan we may adopt prior to this offering.

Table of Contents

SUMMARY HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED AND COMBINED FINANCIAL DATA

Set forth below is summary historical consolidated and combined financial data of our business, as of the dates and for the periods indicated. The summary historical consolidated financial data for the period from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005 for the Predecessor Company discussed below, and for the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005 and as of December 31, 2006 and 2007 and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, respectively, for the Successor Company (as defined below) discussed below have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus. The Successor Company had no assets and conducted no operations from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to November 30, 2005. The selected historical financial data as of December 31, 2005 for the Successor Company has been derived from the Successor Company's audited consolidated financial statements not included in this prospectus.

The summary historical consolidated financial data as of March 31, 2008 and for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2008 have been derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements which are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The March 31, 2007 and 2008 unaudited financial statements have been prepared on a basis consistent with our audited consolidated financial statements and reflect all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations for the periods presented. The results of any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the full fiscal year, and the historical results set forth below do not necessarily indicate results expected for any future period.

After the consummation of the Apollo Transactions (as defined below), Metals USA Holdings, along with its consolidated subsidiaries, is referred to collectively in this prospectus as the Successor Company. Prior to the consummation of the Transactions, Metals USA, along with its consolidated subsidiaries, is referred to collectively in this prospectus as the Predecessor Company. We applied Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, Business Combinations, which we refer to as SFAS 141, on November 30, 2005, or the closing date of the Merger, and as a result, the Merger consideration was allocated to the respective fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed from the Predecessor Company. As a result of the application of purchase accounting, the Successor Company balances and amounts presented in the consolidated financial statements and footnotes are not comparable with those of the Predecessor Company. In addition, we have completed a number of acquisitions that may affect comparability of our financial results.

As a result of purchase accounting for the Apollo Transactions, the Merger consideration was allocated to the respective fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed from the Predecessor Company. The fair value of inventories, property and equipment and intangibles (customer lists) was increased by \$14.9 million, \$118.6 million and \$22.2 million, respectively. For the one-month period ended December 31, 2005, the Successor Company's operating costs and expenses increased by \$5.2 million (\$4.1 million for cost of sales and \$1.1 million of additional depreciation and amortization) as the inventory was sold and additional depreciation and amortization was recorded. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Successor Company's operating costs and expenses increased by \$23.9 million (\$10.8 million for cost of sales and \$13.1 million of additional depreciation and amortization) as the inventory was sold and additional depreciation and amortization was recorded.

The Apollo Transactions collectively refers to:

the Merger;

Flag Acquisition's issuance of \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 11 1/8% senior secured notes due 2015 pursuant to a private placement, which Metals USA assumed pursuant to the Merger. In September 2006, Metals USA exchanged \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 11 1/8% senior secured notes due 2015 that were registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which we refer to as the Securities Act, for an equal principal amount of the senior notes issued in

Table of Contents

connection with the Merger. In this prospectus, we refer to both the notes issued in connection with the Merger and the notes that were registered under the exchange offer as the Metals USA Notes ;

the borrowings under the ABL facility entered into by Metals USA in connection with the Merger, which we refer to as the ABL facility, on the date of the Merger;

the contribution by Apollo and certain members of management of Metals USA of \$140.0 million to Metals USA Holdings, in exchange for common stock of Metals USA Holdings at the effective time of the Merger; and

the other related transactions.

For a more complete description of the Apollo Transactions and the terms of the indebtedness incurred in connection therewith, see

Organizational Structure Ownership and Corporate Structure, Organizational Structure Description of the Apollo Transactions and Description of Certain Indebtedness.

The summary historical consolidated and combined financial data should be read in conjunction with the information about the limitations on comparability of our financial results, including as a result of acquisitions. See Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Risk Factors and our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Table of Contents

	Predecessor Company		Historical Successor Company			
	Period from January 1 to November 30, 2005	Period from May 9 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005	Years Ended December 31, 2006 2007		Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 2008	
	(in millions, except per share data)					
Net sales	\$ 1,522.1	\$ 116.9	\$ 1,802.9	\$ 1,845.3	\$ 462.6	\$ 489.0
Operating costs and expenses:						
Cost of sales (exclusive of operating and delivery, and depreciation and amortization shown below)	1,189.3	92.5	1,371.8	1,418.8	356.1	376.6
Operating and delivery	139.1	12.8	175.5	178.4	45.2	46.5
Selling, general and administrative	108.5	9.3	115.2	112.4	30.4	29.2
Depreciation and amortization(1)	3.1	1.4	21.4	22.1	5.2	5.5
Impairment of property and equipment				0.2	0.2	
Operating income (loss)	82.1	0.9	119.0	113.4	25.5	31.2
Other (income) expense:						
Interest expense	12.0	4.1	54.6	87.0	19.4	25.1
Loss on debt extinguishment				8.4		
Other (income) expense	(0.1)		(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Income (loss) before income taxes	70.2	(3.2)	65.1	18.7	6.2	6.2
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	26.7	(1.2)	25.8	4.8	2.4	2.4
Net income (loss)	\$ 43.5	\$ (2.0)	\$ 39.3	\$ 13.9	\$ 3.8	\$ 3.8
Income (loss) per share:						
Income (loss) per share basic	\$ 2.14	\$ (0.14)	\$ 2.80	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.27
Income (loss) per share diluted	\$ 2.05	\$ (0.14)	\$ 2.79	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.26
Number of common shares used in the per share calculations:						
Basic	20.3	14.0	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1
Diluted	21.2	14.0	14.1	14.4	14.4	14.6
Cash flow data:						
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 170.1	\$ 7.3	\$ (45.7)	\$ 119.2	\$ 8.0	\$ (40.8)
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities	(15.8)	(434.5)	(61.0)	(58.5)	(5.4)	(2.7)
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	(120.7)	438.5	251.2	(202.9)	(146.0)	52.4
Other operating data:						
Shipments (in thousands of tons)	1,332	107	1,505	1,429	377	386
Capital expenditures	\$ 15.9	\$ 4.4	\$ 16.9	\$ 21.5	\$ 5.5	\$ 2.8
Other financial data:						
EBITDA(2)	\$ 85.6	\$ 2.4	\$ 141.6	\$ 137.1	\$ 31.0	\$ 37.8
Adjusted EBITDA(2)	\$ 101.6	\$ 7.0	\$ 156.2	\$ 146.1	\$ 34.7	\$ 40.3
Fixed charge coverage ratio(2)	N/A	N/A	1.51	1.31	1.24	1.52

Table of Contents

	Historical Successor Company			Pro Forma	
	2005	As of December 31, 2006	2007 (in millions)	As of March 31, 2008	As of March 31, 2008(3)
Balance sheet data:					
Cash	\$ 11.3	\$ 155.8	\$ 13.6	\$ 22.5	\$
Total assets	795.3	1,127.0	959.0	1,042.6	
Total debt	473.5	755.4	857.3	910.4	
Total liabilities	662.9	979.4	1,084.6	1,159.0	
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	132.4	147.6	(125.6)	(116.4)	

- (1) Excludes depreciation expense reflected in cost of sales for the Building Products Group.
- (2) Certain covenants contained in the loan and security agreement governing our ABL facility and the indentures governing the Metals USA Notes and the 2007 Notes restrict our ability to take certain actions, such as incurring additional debt and making acquisitions or paying dividends, if we are unable to meet defined fixed charge coverage ratios, which we refer to as FCCR, calculated with reference to adjusted EBITDA (as defined in the loan and security agreement and the indentures). Although we do not expect to violate any of the provisions in the agreements governing our outstanding indebtedness, these covenants can result in limiting our long-term growth prospects by hindering our ability to incur future indebtedness or grow through acquisitions. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business Our substantial leverage exposes us to interest rate risk and could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry and prevent us from meeting our obligations under our indebtedness.

Adjusted EBITDA (as defined by the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility and the indentures governing the Metals USA Notes and the 2007 Notes, which we refer to as adjusted EBITDA) is defined as EBITDA (net income (loss) before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization) further adjusted to exclude certain non-cash, non-recurring and realized (or in case of the indentures, expected) future cost savings directly related to prior acquisitions. EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA and fixed charges are not defined terms under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, which we refer to as GAAP. Neither EBITDA nor adjusted EBITDA should be considered an alternative to operating income or net income as a measure of operating results or an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. Fixed charges should not be considered an alternative to interest expense. Because we are highly leveraged, we believe that the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA applied in presenting adjusted EBITDA are appropriate to provide additional information to investors to demonstrate compliance with the covenants in our debt agreements. There are material limitations associated with making the adjustments to our earnings to calculate EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA and using these non-GAAP financial measures as compared to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures. For instance, EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA do not include:

interest expense, and, because we have borrowed money in order to finance our operations, interest expense is a necessary element of our costs and ability to generate revenue;

depreciation and amortization expense, and, because we use capital assets, depreciation and amortization expense is a necessary element of our costs and ability to generate revenue; and

income tax expense, and, because the payment of taxes is part of our operations, tax expense is a necessary element of our costs and ability to operate.

Under the ABL facility, the FCCR is determined on a rolling four-quarter period, often referred to as a last-twelve month, or LTM, period by dividing (1) the sum of adjusted EBITDA (as defined in the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility) of Metals USA minus income taxes paid in cash minus non-financed capital expenditures by (2) the sum of certain distributions paid in cash, cash interest expense

Table of Contents

and scheduled principal reductions on debt paid by Metals USA. The interest rate in respect of borrowings under the ABL facility is determined in reference to the FCCR, and should borrowing availability under the ABL facility fall below \$45.0 million, we must maintain an FCCR of at least 1.0 to 1.0, measured on a trailing four-quarter basis. As of March 31, 2008, our borrowing availability under the ABL facility was \$135.9 million. In addition, the FCCR also is an important measure of our liquidity and affects our ability to take certain actions, including paying dividends to stockholders and making acquisitions.

Although the indentures governing the Metals USA Notes and the 2007 Notes also contain covenants that restrict our ability to incur indebtedness and pay dividends based on our FCCR, the definition and application of the FCCR contained in the indentures differ from the definition and application of the FCCR in the ABL facility in that the numerator of the FCCR as defined in the indentures does not include cash income taxes or non-financed capital expenditures and the denominator of the FCCR as defined in the indentures does not include the sum of certain distributions paid in cash and scheduled principal reductions on debt, and separate FCCRs are required under certain circumstances. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Result of Operations Financing Activities.

The FCCR is not applicable for the Predecessor Company, which operated under a different revolving credit facility, or for the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005 of the Successor Company because the FCCR is based on a rolling four-quarter period.

Assuming an initial public offering price of \$ _____ per share, which represents the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, and the subsequent purchase of the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes out of the net proceeds of this offering (assuming the exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option in full), the FCCR under our ABL facility on a pro forma basis for the year ended December 31, 2007 and the twelve months ended March 31, 2008 would have been _____ and _____, respectively.

- (3) The pro forma combined balance sheet data reflects the balance sheet data as of March 31, 2008, adjusted for this offering and the use of the proceeds assuming the purchase of the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes out of the net proceeds from this offering, and assuming an initial public offering price of \$ _____ per share. A \$1.00 increase (decrease) in the assumed initial public offering price of \$ _____ per share would decrease (increase) net total debt by approximately \$ _____ million, and increase (decrease) stockholders' equity by \$ _____, assuming the number of shares offered by us, as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, remains the same and after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses payable by us. For every additional 1,000,000 shares sold by us in this offering, including as a result of the exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase additional shares from us, stockholders' equity would increase by \$ _____, assuming an initial public offering price of \$ _____ per share and after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses payable by us.

Table of Contents

Below is a reconciliation of net income (loss) to EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA.

	Predecessor Company		Historical Successor Company			
	Period from January 1 to November 30, 2005	Period from May 9 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005	Year Ended December 31, 2006 2007		Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 2008	
	(dollars in millions)					
Net income (loss)	\$ 43.5	\$ (2.0)	\$ 39.3	\$ 13.9	\$ 3.8	\$ 3.8
Depreciation and amortization(a)	3.5	1.5	22.6	23.7	5.5	6.6
Interest expense	12.0	4.1	54.6	87.0	19.4	25.1
Loss on extinguishment of debt				8.4		
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	26.7	(1.2)	25.8	4.8	2.4	2.4
Other (income) expense	(0.1)		(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.1)	(0.1)
EBITDA	85.6	2.4	141.6	137.1	31.0	37.8
Covenant defined adjustments:						
Inventory purchase adjustments(b)		4.1	10.8			
Stock options and grant expense(c)	15.0	0.4	1.2	4.8	3.4	0.3
Write-off prepaid expenses as result of Merger(d)	0.3					
Facilities closure(e)			1.4	0.7		1.9
Severance costs(f)	0.7					
Pension withdrawal liability(g)				2.0		
Management fees(h)		0.1	1.2	1.5	0.3	0.3
Adjusted EBITDA(i)	\$ 101.6	\$ 7.0	\$ 156.2	\$ 146.1	\$ 34.7	\$ 40.3

(a) Includes depreciation for Building Products that is included in cost of sales.

(b) As a result of management's analysis and evaluation of the replacement cost of inventory at the date of the closing of the Apollo Transactions, a purchase accounting increase in the fair value of inventory of \$14.9 million was recorded as of December 1, 2005, with \$4.1 million of that amount charged to cost of sales in December 2005 and \$10.8 million charged to cost of sales in the first quarter of 2006.

(c) Non-cash stock option and stock grant expense.

(d) These prepaid amounts were written off as a result of the Apollo Transactions.

(e) The amount for 2006 represents charges incurred in connection with the closure of two locations within our metal service center business and three locations within our building products business. The amount for 2007 represents charges in the building products business for the closure of two facilities in the third quarter of 2007 and one in the fourth quarter of 2007. The amount for the three months ended March 31, 2008, represents charges to the building products business for the closure of five locations during such period.

(f) This amount represents severance costs of management personnel that were replaced as part of the Apollo Transactions.

(g) This amount represents accrued expenses incurred in connection with the withdrawal of two of our operating facilities from a multi-employer pension fund.

(h) Includes accrued expenses related to the management agreement we have with Apollo, pursuant to which Apollo provides us with management services. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Apollo Agreements.

(i) As defined by the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility and the indentures governing the Metals Notes and the 2007 Notes.

Table of Contents

RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risk factors set forth below as well as the other information contained in this prospectus before investing in our common stock or deciding whether you will or will not participate in this offering. Any of the following risks could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In such a case, you may lose all or part of your original investment.

Risks Related to Our Business

Our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows are heavily affected by changing metal prices.

Metals costs typically represent approximately 75% of our net sales. Metals costs can be volatile due to numerous factors beyond our control, including domestic and international economic conditions, labor costs, production levels, competition, import duties and tariffs and currency exchange rates. This volatility can significantly affect the availability and cost of raw materials for us and may, therefore, adversely affect our net sales, operating margin and net income. Our metal service centers maintain substantial inventories of metal to accommodate the short lead-times and just-in-time delivery requirements of our customers. Accordingly, using information derived from customers, market conditions, historic usage and industry research, we purchase metal in an effort to maintain our inventory at levels that we believe to be appropriate to satisfy the anticipated needs of our customers. Our commitments for metal purchases are generally at prevailing market prices in effect at the time we place our orders. We have no substantial long-term, fixed-price purchase contracts. When raw material prices rise, we may not be able to pass the price increase on to our customers. When raw material prices decline, customer demands for lower prices could result in lower sale prices and, to the extent we reduce existing inventory quantities, lower margins. There have been historical periods of rapid and significant movements in the prices of metal both upward and downward. Any limitation on our ability to pass through any price increases to our customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Changes in metal prices also affect our liquidity because of the time difference between our payment for our raw materials and our collection of cash from our customers. We sell our products and typically collect our accounts receivable within 45 days after the sale; however, we tend to pay for replacement materials (which are more expensive when metal prices are rising) over a much shorter period, in part to benefit from early-payment discounts. As a result, when metal prices are rising, we tend to draw more on the ABL facility to cover the cash flow cycle from our raw material purchases to cash collection. This cash requirement for working capital is higher in periods when we are increasing inventory quantities. Our liquidity is thus adversely affected by rising metal prices. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Operating and Investing Activities.

Our operating results and liquidity could be negatively affected during economic downturns because the demand for our products is cyclical.

Many of our products are used in businesses that are, to varying degrees, cyclical and have historically experienced periodic downturns due to economic conditions, energy prices, consumer demand and other factors beyond our control. These economic and industry downturns have been characterized by diminished product demand, excess capacity and, in some cases, lower average selling prices for our products. Therefore, any significant downturn in one or more of the markets that we serve, one or more of the end-markets that our customers serve or in economic conditions in general could result in a reduction in demand for our products and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Additionally, as an increasing amount of our customers relocate their manufacturing facilities outside of the United States, we may not be able to maintain our level of sales to those customers. As a result of the depressed

Table of Contents

economic conditions and reduction in construction in the northeastern United States in the years 2000 through the middle of 2002, our customers in such geographic areas had lower demand for our products. Concurrent reduced demand in a number of these markets combined with the foreign relocation of some of our customers could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Our customers sell their products abroad, and some of our suppliers buy feedstock abroad. As a result, our business is affected by general economic conditions and other factors outside the United States, primarily in Europe and Asia. Our suppliers' access to metal, and therefore our access to metal, is additionally affected by such conditions and factors. Similarly, the demand for our customers' products, and therefore our products, is affected by such conditions and factors. These conditions and factors include further increased prices of steel, enhanced imbalances in the world's iron ore, coal and steel industries, a downturn in world economies, increases in interest rates, unfavorable currency fluctuations or a slowdown in the key industries served by our customers. In addition, demand for the products of our Building Products Group has been and is expected to continue to be adversely affected if consumer confidence continues to fall, since the results of that group depend on a strong residential remodeling industry, which in turn has been partially driven by relatively high consumer confidence.

We rely on metal suppliers in our business and purchase a significant amount of metal from a limited number of suppliers and termination of one or more of our relationships with any of them could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We use a variety of metals in our business. Our operations depend upon obtaining adequate supplies of metal on a timely basis. We purchase most of our metal from a limited number of metal suppliers. As of March 31, 2008, the top two metals producers represent a significant portion of our total metal purchasing cost. Termination of our relationship with either of these suppliers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows if we were unable to obtain metal from other sources in a timely manner.

In addition, the domestic metals production industry experienced consolidation in recent years. As of March 31, 2008, the top three metals producers together controlled over 60% of the production of domestic flat rolled steel. Further consolidation could result in a decrease in the number of our major suppliers or a decrease in the number of alternative supply sources available to us, which could make it more likely that termination of one or more of our relationships with major suppliers would result in a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Consolidation could also result in price increases for the metal that we purchase. Such price increases could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows if we were not able to pass these price increases on to our customers.

Intense competition in our fragmented industry could adversely affect our profitability.

We are engaged in a highly fragmented and competitive industry. We compete with a large number of other value-added oriented metals processor/metal service centers on a regional and local basis, some of which may have greater financial resources than we have. We also compete, to a much lesser extent, with primary metals producers, who typically sell to very large customers requiring regular shipments of large volumes of metals. Because price, particularly in the ferrous Flat Rolled business, is a competitive factor we may be required in the future to reduce sales volumes to maintain our level of profitability. Increased competition in any of our businesses could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Our ability to retain our key employees is critical to the success of our business, and failure to do so may adversely affect our revenues and as a result could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We are dependent on the services of our Chief Executive Officer and other members of our senior management team to remain competitive in our industry. We may not be able to retain or replace one or more of

Table of Contents

these key employees, we may suffer an extended interruption in one or more of their services or we may lose the services of one or more of these key employees entirely. Our current key employees are subject to employment conditions or arrangements that permit the employees to terminate their employment without notice. See Management Management Agreements with Metals USA and Related Stock Option Grants from Metals USA Holdings. Other than a life insurance policy maintained by us on our Chief Executive Officer, for which we are the beneficiary, we do not maintain any life insurance policies for our key employees. If any of our key employees were not able to dedicate adequate time to our business, due to personal or other factors, we lose or suffer an extended interruption in the services of any of our key employees or if any of our key employees were to terminate their employment it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, the market for qualified individuals may be highly competitive and we may not be able to attract and retain qualified personnel to replace or succeed members of our senior management or other key employees, should the need arise.

From time to time, there are shortages of qualified operators of metals processing equipment. In addition, during periods of low unemployment, turnover among less-skilled workers can be relatively high. Any failure to retain a sufficient number of such employees in the future could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

We are subject to litigation that could strain our resources and distract management.

From time to time, we are involved in a variety of claims, lawsuits and other disputes arising in the ordinary course of business. These suits concern issues including product liability, contract disputes, employee-related matters and personal injury matters. It is not feasible to predict the outcome of all pending suits and claims, and the ultimate resolution of these matters as well as future lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows or reputation.

Environmental costs could decrease our net cash flow and adversely affect our profitability.

Our operations are subject to extensive regulations governing waste disposal, air and water emissions, the handling of hazardous substances, remediation, workplace exposure and other environmental matters. Some of the properties we own or lease are located in areas with a history of heavy industrial use, and are near sites listed for clean up under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, which we refer to as CERCLA. See Business Government Regulation and Environmental Matters. CERCLA established joint and several responsibility for clean-up without regard to fault for persons who have arranged for disposal of hazardous substances at sites that have become contaminated and for persons who own or operate contaminated facilities. We have a number of properties located in or near industrial or light industrial use areas; accordingly, these properties may have been contaminated by pollutants which would have migrated from neighboring facilities or have been deposited by prior occupants. Some of our properties are affected by contamination from leaks and drips of cutting oils and similar materials, and we are investigating and remediating such known contamination pursuant to applicable environmental laws. The costs of such clean-ups to date have not been material. It is possible that we could be notified of such claims in the future. See Business Government Regulation and Environmental Matters. It is also possible that we could be identified by the Environmental Protection Agency, a state agency or one or more third parties as a potentially responsible party under CERCLA or under analogous state laws. If so, we could incur substantial costs related to such claims.

Adverse developments in our relationship with our unionized employees could adversely affect our business.

As of March 31, 2008, approximately 276 of our employees (approximately 10%) at various sites were members of unions. We are currently a party to eight collective-bargaining agreements. One expires in mid 2008, two in late 2009 and five expire in 2010. Presently we do not anticipate any problems or issues with respect to renewing these agreements upon acceptable terms. However, no assurances can be given that we will succeed in negotiating new collective-bargaining agreements to replace the expiring ones without a strike. Any strikes in the future could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. See Business Employees for a discussion of our previous negotiations of collective-bargaining agreements.

Table of Contents

Our historical financial information is not comparable to our current financial condition, results of operations and cash flows because of our use of purchase accounting in connection with the Merger, the acquisitions of Port City, Lynch Metals and Allmet.

It may be difficult for you to compare both our historical and future results to our results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and the three months ended March 31, 2008. The Merger was accounted for utilizing purchase accounting, which resulted in a new valuation for the assets and liabilities of Metals USA to their fair values. This new basis of accounting began on November 30, 2005. In addition, the acquisition of Port City and Dura-loc Roofing Systems Limited, subsequently renamed Allmet, which we refer to as Allmet (collectively, which we refer to as the 2006 Acquisitions), and the acquisition of Lynch Metals were, and we expect future acquisitions will be, also accounted for using purchase accounting and, therefore, similar limitations regarding comparability of historical and subsequent results could arise. Under the purchase method of accounting, the operating results of each of the acquired businesses, including the 2006 Acquisitions and Lynch Metals, are included in our financial statements only from the date of the acquisitions. As a result, amounts presented in the consolidated financial statements and footnotes may not be comparable with those of prior periods.

We may not successfully implement our acquisition strategy, and acquisitions that we pursue may present unforeseen integration obstacles and costs, increase our leverage and negatively impact our performance.

We may not be able to identify suitable acquisition candidates, and the expense incurred in consummating acquisitions of related businesses, or our failure to integrate such businesses successfully into our existing businesses, could affect our growth or result in our incurring unanticipated expenses and losses. Furthermore, we may not be able to realize any anticipated benefits from acquisitions. We regularly evaluate potential acquisitions and may complete one or more significant acquisitions in the future. To finance an acquisition, we may incur debt or issue equity. The process of integrating acquired operations into our existing operations may result in unforeseen operating difficulties and may require significant financial resources that would otherwise be available for the ongoing development or expansion of existing operations. Some of the risks associated with our acquisition strategy, which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, include:

potential disruption of our ongoing business and distraction of management;

unexpected loss of key employees or customers of the acquired company;

conforming the acquired company's standards, processes, procedures and controls with our operations;

coordinating new product and process development;

hiring additional management and other critical personnel;

encountering unknown contingent liabilities that could be material; and

increasing the scope, geographic diversity and complexity of our operations.

As a result of the foregoing, our acquisition strategy may not be successfully received by customers, and we may not realize any anticipated benefits from acquisitions.

Metals USA Holdings is a holding company and relies on dividends and other payments, advances and transfers of funds from its subsidiaries to meet its dividend and other obligations.

Metals USA Holdings has no direct operations and no significant assets other than ownership of 100% of the stock of Flag Intermediate and its indirect ownership of 100% of Metals USA. Because Metals USA

Table of Contents

Holdings conducts its operations through its subsidiaries, Metals USA Holdings depends on those entities for dividends and other payments to generate the funds necessary to meet its financial obligations, and to pay any dividends with respect to our common stock. Legal and contractual restrictions in the ABL facility, the Metals USA Notes indenture, the 2007 Notes indenture and other agreements governing current and future indebtedness of Metals USA Holdings subsidiaries, as well as the financial condition and operating requirements of Metals USA Holdings subsidiaries, may limit Metals USA Holdings ability to obtain cash from its subsidiaries. The earnings from, or other available assets of, Metals USA Holdings subsidiaries may not be sufficient to pay dividends or make distributions or loans to enable Metals USA Holdings to pay any dividends on our common stock.

We may not be able to retain or expand our customer base if the North American manufacturing industry continues to erode through moving offshore or through acquisition and merger or consolidation activity in our customers industries.

Our customer base, including our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group s customer base, primarily includes manufacturing and industrial firms. Some of these customers operate in industries that are undergoing consolidation through acquisition and merger activity; some are considering or have considered relocating production operations overseas or outsourcing particular functions overseas; and some customers have closed as they were unable to compete successfully with overseas competitors. Our facilities are predominately located in the mid-western and southern United States. To the extent that these customers cease U.S. operations, relocate or move operations overseas to regions in which we do not have a presence, we could lose their business. In addition, acquirers of manufacturing and industrial firms may have suppliers of choice that do not include us, which could affect our customer base and sales.

We may face product liability claims that are costly and create adverse publicity.

If any of the products that we sell cause harm to any of our customers, we could be exposed to product liability lawsuits. If we were found liable under product liability claims, we could be required to pay substantial monetary damages. Further, even if we successfully defended ourselves against this type of claim, we could be forced to spend a substantial amount of money in litigation expenses, our management could be required to spend valuable time to defend against these claims and our reputation could suffer, any of which could harm our business.

We may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness.

Our ability to make payments on our indebtedness depends on our ability to generate cash in the future. The Metals USA Notes, the 2007 Notes, the ABL facility and our other outstanding indebtedness are expected to account for significant cash interest expenses in fiscal 2008. Accordingly, we will have to generate significant cash flows from operations to meet our debt service requirements. If we do not generate sufficient cash flow to meet our debt service and working capital requirements, we may need to seek additional financing; however, this insufficient cash flow may make it more difficult for us to obtain financing on terms that are acceptable to us, or at all. Furthermore, Apollo has no obligation to provide us with debt or equity financing and we therefore may be unable to generate sufficient cash to service all of our indebtedness.

Our substantial leverage exposes us to interest rate risk and could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry and prevent us from meeting our obligations under our indebtedness.

We are highly leveraged. As of March 31, 2008, our total indebtedness was \$910.4 million. We also had an additional \$135.9 million available for borrowing under the ABL facility as of that date. As of March 31, 2008, we had \$907.0 million of senior indebtedness outstanding, consisting of borrowings under the ABL facility, the Metals USA Notes, the 2007 Notes and an Industrial Revenue Bond, which we refer to as IRB, and \$3.4 million of junior indebtedness outstanding. We are required by the terms of the 2007 Notes to make an offer to

Table of Contents

all holders of the 2007 Notes within 60 days of the receipt of the proceeds of this offering to repurchase the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes that may be purchased out of the net proceeds, estimated to be approximately \$ million, or \$ million if the over-allotment option is exercised in full, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase. We cannot assure you that holders of the 2007 Notes will accept our offer and, even if they do, we expect that \$ million of the 2007 Notes will remain outstanding. We will also continue to be subject to the covenants in the indenture governing the 2007 Notes. See Use of Proceeds.

Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences for you, including:

it may limit, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in our indebtedness, among other things, our ability to borrow money, dispose of assets or sell equity for our working capital, capital expenditures, dividend payments, debt service requirements, strategic initiatives or other purposes;

it may limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our operations or business;

we may be more highly leveraged than some of our competitors, which may place us at a competitive disadvantage;

it may make us more vulnerable to downturns in our business or the economy; and

there would be a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows if we were unable to service our indebtedness or obtain additional financing, as needed.

Our debt agreements impose significant operating and financial restrictions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The ABL facility and the indentures governing the Metals USA Notes and the 2007 Notes contain various covenants that limit or prohibit our ability, among other things, to:

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue certain preferred shares;

pay dividends on our capital stock or redeem, repurchase, retire or make distributions in respect of our capital stock or subordinated indebtedness or make other restricted payments;

make certain loans, acquisitions, capital expenditures or investments;

sell certain assets, including stock of our subsidiaries;

enter into sale and leaseback transactions;

create or incur liens;

consolidate, merge, sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets; and

enter into certain transactions with our affiliates.

In addition, under the ABL facility, if Metals USA's borrowing availability falls below a specified threshold, Metals USA is required to satisfy and maintain a minimum FCCR of not less than 1.0 to 1.0. The FCCR is determined by dividing (1) the sum of adjusted EBITDA (as defined by and in accordance with the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility) minus income taxes paid in cash and non-financed capital expenditures by (2) the sum of certain distributions paid in cash, cash interest expense and scheduled principal reductions on debt. Metals USA's ability to meet the required FCCR can be affected by events beyond its control, and we cannot assure you that it will meet this ratio.

A breach of any of these covenants could result in a default under our debt agreements. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financing Activities Covenant Compliance.

Table of Contents

The restrictions contained in the agreements that govern the terms of our debt could:

limit our ability to plan for or react to market conditions or meet capital needs or otherwise restrict our activities or business plans;

adversely affect our ability to finance our operations, to enter into strategic acquisitions, investments or other capital needs or to engage in other business activities that would be in our interest; and

limit our access to the cash generated by our subsidiaries.

Upon the occurrence of an event of default under the ABL facility, the lenders could elect to declare all amounts outstanding under the ABL facility to be immediately due and payable and terminate all commitments to extend further credit. If we were unable to repay those amounts, the lenders under the ABL facility could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure the ABL facility on a first-priority lien basis. If the lenders under the ABL facility accelerate the repayment of borrowings, such acceleration could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, we may not have sufficient assets to repay the 2007 Notes or the Metals USA Notes upon acceleration.

For a more detailed description on the limitations on our ability to incur additional indebtedness, please see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financing Activities and Description of Certain Indebtedness.

Despite our substantial indebtedness, we may still be able to incur significantly more indebtedness which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The terms of the Metals USA Notes indenture, the 2007 Notes indenture and the ABL facility contain restrictions on our ability to incur additional indebtedness. These restrictions are subject to a number of important qualifications and exceptions, and the indebtedness incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. Accordingly, we or our subsidiaries could incur significant additional indebtedness in the future. As of March 31, 2008, we had approximately \$135.9 million available for additional borrowing under the ABL facility, including the subfacility for letters of credit, and the covenants under our debt agreements would allow us to borrow a significant amount of additional indebtedness. In addition, the Metals USA Notes indenture does not limit the amount of indebtedness that may be incurred by Flag Intermediate or Metals USA Holdings. Additional leverage could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations and could increase the risks described in Our substantial leverage exposes us to interest rate risk and could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry and prevent us from meeting our obligations under our indebtedness, Our debt agreements impose significant operating and financial restrictions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows and Because a substantial portion of our indebtedness bears interest at rates that fluctuate with changes in certain prevailing short-term interest rates, we are vulnerable to interest rate increases.

Because a substantial portion of our indebtedness bears interest at rates that fluctuate with changes in certain prevailing short-term interest rates, we are vulnerable to interest rate increases.

A substantial portion of our indebtedness, including the ABL facility and the 2007 Notes, bears interest at rates that fluctuate with changes in certain short-term prevailing interest rates. As of March 31, 2008, we had approximately \$632.0 million of floating rate debt under the 2007 Notes, the ABL facility and the IRB. We also had an additional \$135.9 million available for borrowing under the ABL facility as of March 31, 2008. Assuming a consistent level of debt, a 1% change in the interest rate on our floating rate debt effective from the beginning of the year would increase or decrease our fiscal 2008 interest expense under the 2007 Notes, the ABL facility and the IRB by approximately \$6.3 million. If interest rates increase dramatically, we could be unable to service our debt which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

Cash interest on the 2007 Notes will accrue at a rate per annum, reset quarterly, equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 6.00%, which increases by 0.25% to 6.25% in year 2, by 0.50% to 6.50% in year 3, and by 0.75% to 6.75% in year 4. The spread increases to 6.25% on July 10, 2008. Assuming a LIBOR rate of % and the adjusted spread of 6.25% and assuming \$ million of our 2007 Notes are repurchased with the proceeds of this offering, our annual interest expense would be reduced by \$ million. We cannot assure you, however, that holders of our 2007 Notes will accept our offer to repurchase their notes, and even if they do, we expect that \$ million of the 2007 Notes will remain outstanding. For each \$1.0 million of 2007 Notes that remain outstanding our interest expense related thereto will be \$.

Risks Related to an Investment in Our Common Stock and This Offering

There is no existing market for our common stock and we do not know if one will develop, which could impede your ability to sell your shares and depress the market price of our common stock.

Prior to this offering, there has not been a public market for our common stock. We cannot predict the extent to which investor interest in our company will lead to the development of an active trading market on The New York Stock Exchange or otherwise or how liquid that market might become. If an active trading market does not develop, you may have difficulty selling any of our common stock that you buy. The initial public offering price for the common stock will be determined by negotiations between us and the representatives of the underwriters and may not be indicative of prices that will prevail in the open market following this offering. See Underwriting. Consequently, you may not be able to sell our common stock at prices equal to or greater than the price you paid in this offering.

Apollo controls us and its interests may conflict with or differ from your interests as a stockholder.

After the consummation of this offering, Apollo will beneficially own approximately % of our common stock, assuming the underwriters do not exercise their over-allotment option. If the underwriters exercise in full their over-allotment option, Apollo will beneficially own approximately % of our common stock immediately after the consummation of this offering. As a result, Apollo will continue to have the power to elect all of our directors and will have the ability to prevent any transaction that requires the approval of our board of directors or stockholders, including the approval of significant corporate transactions such as mergers and the sale of substantially all of our assets.

The amended and restated investors rights agreement that we intend to enter into with Apollo and each of our management members, which we refer to as the amended and restated investors rights agreement, will also provide Apollo with certain approval rights as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least % of our outstanding common stock. In addition, the amended and restated investors rights agreement will provide that, except as otherwise required by applicable law, Apollo will have the right to nominate (a) directors as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least % but less than % of our outstanding common stock, (b) directors as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least % but less than % of our outstanding common stock and (c) directors as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least % but less than % of our outstanding common stock. Thus Apollo will continue to be able to significantly influence or effectively control our decisions. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement and Description of Capital Stock Composition of Board of Directors; Election and Removal of Directors.

The interests of Apollo could conflict with or differ from your interests as a holder of our common stock. For example, the concentration of ownership held by Apollo could delay, defer or prevent a change of control of

Table of Contents

our company or impede a merger, takeover or other business combination that you as a stockholder may otherwise view favorably. Apollo is in the business of making or advising on investments in companies and holds, and may from time to time in the future acquire, interests in or provide advice to businesses that directly or indirectly compete with certain portions of our business or are suppliers or customers of ours. They may also pursue acquisitions that may be complementary to our business, and, as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. Further, Apollo will realize substantial benefits from the sale of their shares in this offering. A sale of a substantial number of shares of stock in the future by funds affiliated with Apollo could cause our stock price to decline.

We are a controlled company within the meaning of The New York Stock Exchange rules and, as a result, will qualify for, and intend to rely on, exemptions from certain corporate governance requirements.

Upon the closing of this offering, Apollo will continue to control a majority of our voting common stock. As a result, we are a controlled company within the meaning of The New York Stock Exchange corporate governance standards. Under The New York Stock Exchange rules, a company of which more than 50% of the voting power is held by an individual, group or another company is a controlled company and may elect not to comply with certain New York Stock Exchange corporate governance requirements, including:

the requirement that a majority of the board of directors consists of independent directors;

the requirement that we have a nominating/governance committee that is composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities;

the requirement that we have a compensation committee that is composed entirely of independent directors with a written charter addressing the committee's purpose and responsibilities; and

the requirement for an annual performance evaluation of the nominating/governance and compensation committees.

Following this offering, we intend to utilize the exemptions from The New York Stock Exchange corporate governance requirements, including the foregoing. As a result, we will not have a majority of independent directors nor will our nominating/governance and compensation committees consist entirely of independent directors and we will not be required to have an annual performance evaluation of the nominating/governance and compensation committees. See Management. Accordingly, you will not have the same protections afforded to stockholders of companies that are subject to all of The New York Stock Exchange corporate governance requirements.

The price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Volatility in the market price of our common stock price may prevent you from being able to sell your common stock at or above the price you paid for your common stock. The market price for our common stock could fluctuate significantly for various reasons, including:

our operating and financial performance and prospects;

our quarterly or annual earnings or those of other companies in our industry;

conditions that impact demand for our products and services;

future announcements concerning our business or our competitors' businesses;

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

the public's reaction to our press releases, other public announcements and filings with the SEC;

changes in earnings estimates or recommendations by securities analysts who track our common stock;

market and industry perception of our success, or lack thereof, in pursuing our growth strategy;

Table of Contents

strategic actions by us or our competitors, such as acquisitions or restructurings;

changes in government and environmental regulation;

general market, economic and political conditions;

changes in accounting standards, policies, guidance, interpretations or principles;

arrival and departure of key personnel;

the number of shares to be publicly traded after this offering;

sales of common stock by us, Apollo or members of our management team;

adverse resolution of new or pending litigation against us; and

changes in general market, economic and political conditions in the United States and global economies or financial markets, including those resulting from natural disasters, terrorist attacks, acts of war and responses to such events.

See Risks Related to Our Business.

In addition, in recent years, the stock market has experienced significant price and volume fluctuations. This volatility has had a significant impact on the market price of securities issued by many companies, including companies in our industry. The changes frequently appear to occur without regard to the operating performance of the affected companies. Hence, the price of our common stock could fluctuate based upon factors that have little or nothing to do with our company, and these fluctuations could materially reduce our share price.

We have no plans to pay regular dividends on our common stock, so you may not receive funds without selling your common stock.

We have no plans to pay regular dividends on our common stock. Any payment of future dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on, among other things, our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, level of indebtedness, statutory and contractual restrictions applying to the payment of dividends, and other considerations that our board of directors deems relevant. The ABL facility and the indentures governing the 2007 Notes and the Metals USA Notes also include limitations on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends to us. Accordingly, you may have to sell some or all of your common stock in order to generate cash flow from your investment.

Future sales or the possibility of future sales of a substantial amount of our common stock may depress the price of shares of our common stock.

We may sell additional shares of common stock in subsequent public offerings. Our amended and restated articles of incorporation will authorize us to issue _____ shares of common stock, of which _____ shares will be outstanding upon consummation of this offering. This number includes _____ shares that we are selling in this offering, which may be resold immediately in the public market. Of the remaining _____ shares, _____ or _____ % are restricted from immediate resale under the federal securities laws and the lock-up agreements between our current stockholders and the underwriters described in the Underwriting section of this prospectus, but may be sold into the market in the near future. These shares will become available for sale at various times following the expiration of the lock-up agreements, which, without the prior consent of the representatives of the underwriters, is _____ days after the date of this prospectus (which period could be extended by the underwriters for up to an additional _____ days under certain circumstances). Immediately after the expiration of the _____-day lock-up period, the shares will be eligible for resale under Rule 144 or Rule 701 of the Securities Act subject to volume limitations and applicable holding period requirements. In addition, immediately following this offering, we intend to file a registration statement under the Securities Act registering

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

shares reserved for issuance under the 2005 Plan and shares reserved for issuance under the incentive plan we may adopt prior to this offering (of which shares will not be subject to the -day lock-up).

Table of Contents

We cannot predict the size of future issuances of our common stock or the effect, if any, that future issuances and sales of our common stock will have on the market price of our common stock. Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock (including shares issued in connection with an acquisition), or the perception that such sales could occur, may adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock.

Our organizational documents may impede or discourage a takeover, which could deprive our investors of the opportunity to receive a premium for their shares.

Provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws may make it more difficult for, or prevent a third party from, acquiring control of us without the approval of our board of directors. These provisions include:

a classified board of directors;

the sole power of a majority of the board of directors to fix the number of directors;

limitations on the removal of directors;

the ability of our board of directors to designate one or more series of preferred stock and issue shares of preferred stock without stockholder approval;

the sole power of our board of directors to fill any vacancy on our board, whether such vacancy occurs as a result of an increase in the number of directors or otherwise; and

advance notice requirements for nominating directors or introducing other business to be conducted at stockholder meetings.

The foregoing factors, as well as the significant common stock ownership by Apollo, could impede a merger, takeover or other business combination or discourage a potential investor from making a tender offer for our common stock, which, under certain circumstances, could reduce the market value of our common stock. See Description of Capital Stock.

Because all of the proceeds from this offering of our common stock may be used to repay the 2007 Notes, none or very little of such proceeds may be used to further invest in our business.

The 2007 Notes indenture requires that we make an offer to all holders of the 2007 Notes within 60 days of the receipt of the proceeds of this offering to repurchase the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes that may be purchased out of the net proceeds, estimated to be approximately \$ million, or \$ million if the over-allotment option is exercised in full, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase. As a result, none or very little of such proceeds may be used to further invest in our business. See Use of Proceeds.

You will suffer an immediate and substantial dilution in the net tangible book value of the common stock you purchase.

Prior investors have paid substantially less per share than the price in this offering. The initial offering price is substantially higher than the net tangible book value per share of the outstanding common stock immediately after this offering. Accordingly, based on an assumed initial public offering price of \$ per share (the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus), purchasers of common stock in this offering will experience immediate and substantial dilution of approximately \$ per share in net tangible book value of the common stock. See Dilution, including the discussion of the effects on dilution from a change in the price of this offering.

Table of Contents

Any issuance of preferred stock could make it difficult for another company to acquire us or could otherwise adversely affect holders of our common stock, which could depress the price of our common stock.

Our board of directors has the authority to issue preferred stock and to determine the preferences, limitations and relative rights of shares of preferred stock and to fix the number of shares constituting any series and the designation of such series, without any further vote or action by our stockholders. Our preferred stock could be issued with voting, liquidation, dividend and other rights superior to the rights of our common stock. The potential issuance of preferred stock may delay or prevent a change in control of us, discouraging bids for our common stock at a premium over the market price, and adversely affect the market price and the voting and other rights of the holders of our common stock.

The additional requirements of having a class of publicly traded equity securities may strain our resources and distract management.

After the consummation of this offering, we will be subject to additional reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act, and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which we refer to as the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal control for financial reporting. These requirements may place a strain on our systems and resources. Under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, we are currently required to include a report of management on our internal control over financial reporting in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K. After consummation of this offering, our independent public accountants auditing our financial statements must attest to the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. This requirement will first apply to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ending December 31, 2008. In order to maintain and improve the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, significant resources and management oversight will be required. This may divert management's attention from other business concerns, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. If we are unable to conclude that our disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting are effective, or if our independent public accounting firm is unable to provide us with an unqualified report as to management's assessment of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting in future years, investors may lose confidence in our financial reports and our stock price may decline.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. You can identify forward-looking statements because they contain words such as believes, expects, may, should, seeks, approximately, intends, plans, estimates, or anticipates or similar words that relate to our strategy, plans or intentions. All statements we make relating to our estimated and projected earnings, margins, costs, expenditures, cash flows, growth rates and financial results or to our expectations regarding future industry trends are forward-looking statements. In addition, we, through our senior management, from time to time make forward-looking public statements concerning our expected future operations and performance and other developments. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that may change at any time, and, therefore, our actual results may differ materially from those that we expected. We derive many of our forward-looking statements from our operating budgets and forecasts, which are based upon many detailed assumptions. While we believe that our assumptions are reasonable, we caution that it is very difficult to predict the impact of known factors, and, of course, it is impossible for us to anticipate all factors that could affect our actual results. All forward-looking statements are based upon information available to us on the date of this prospectus.

Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations, which we refer to as cautionary statements, are disclosed under Risk Factors and elsewhere in this prospectus, including, without limitation, in conjunction with the forward-looking statements included in this prospectus. All forward-looking information in this prospectus and subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us, or persons acting on our behalf, are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements. Some of the factors that we believe could affect our results include:

supply, demand, prices and other market conditions for steel and other commodities;

the effects of competition in our business lines;

the condition of the steel and metal markets generally, which will be affected by interest rates, foreign currency fluctuations and general economic conditions;

the timing and extent of changes in commodity prices;

tariffs and other government regulations relating to our products and services;

adverse developments in our relationship with both our key employees and unionized employees;

operational factors affecting the ongoing commercial operations of our facilities, including catastrophic weather-related damage, regulatory approvals, permit issues, unscheduled blackouts, outages or repairs, unanticipated changes in fuel costs or availability of fuel emission credits or workforce issues;

our ability to operate our businesses efficiently, manage capital expenditures and costs (including general and administrative expenses) tightly and generate earnings and cash flow;

our substantial indebtedness described in this prospectus;

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

restrictive covenants in our indebtedness that may adversely affect our operational flexibility;

general political conditions and developments in the United States and in foreign countries whose affairs affect supply, demand and markets for steel, other metals and metal products;

our expectations with respect to our acquisition activity;

our ability to retain key employees; and

the costs of being a public company, including Sarbanes-Oxley compliance.

We caution you that the foregoing list of important factors may not contain all of the material factors that are important to you. In addition, in light of these risks and uncertainties, the matters referred to in the forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus may not in fact occur. Accordingly, investors should not place undue reliance on those statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as otherwise required by law.

Table of Contents

USE OF PROCEEDS

Assuming an initial public offering price of \$ _____ per share, which represents the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, our net proceeds from this offering are estimated to be approximately \$ _____ million after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses that will be paid out of the proceeds of this offering. We currently intend to use the net proceeds from the shares being sold by us in this offering, including any net proceeds from any sales of our common stock sold pursuant to the underwriters' over-allotment, as follows:

approximately \$ _____ million to make an offer to all holders of our 2007 Notes to repurchase the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes that may be purchased out of the net proceeds of this offering, estimated to be approximately \$ _____ million, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase;

approximately \$ _____ million for estimated fees and expenses; and

any remaining net proceeds to be used for general corporate purposes.

Under the terms of the indenture governing the 2007 Notes, we must offer to repurchase all of a holder's 2007 Notes or a pro rata portion thereof. The 2007 Notes will be repurchased no earlier than 30 days nor later than 60 days from the date we commence the repurchase offer, which may be commenced no later than 60 days following our receipt of the proceeds of this offering. Prior to the commencement of the repurchase offer, we must irrevocably deposit the net proceeds of this offering with the trustee, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., and the proceeds must be invested in cash or cash equivalents to be held for the payment of the purchase price of the 2007 Notes. We cannot assure you that holders of the 2007 Notes, of which \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount are outstanding, will accept our offer, and even if they do, we expect that \$ _____ million of the 2007 Notes will remain outstanding. If the amount deposited is greater than the purchase price of the 2007 Notes tendered by holders, the trustee will deliver the excess to us immediately after the expiration of the repurchase offer. We may use the balance of the net proceeds, if any, for general corporate purposes, as described below.

Our affiliates, including Apollo, that are holders of the 2007 Notes may participate in the repurchase offer. See "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions—Related Party Transactions—Repurchase Offer." Assuming the number of shares offered by us, as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, remains the same, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us in connection with the offering, a \$1.00 increase (decrease) in the assumed public offering price of \$ _____ per share would increase (decrease) the amount of proceeds from this offering by \$ _____ million, with a corresponding increase (decrease) in the repurchase offer made to holders of the 2007 Notes.

If the net proceeds of this offering, including any net proceeds from any sales of our common stock sold pursuant to the underwriters' over-allotment, are greater than the purchase price of the 2007 Notes tendered in the repurchase offer, we will use any remaining net proceeds for general corporate purposes. General corporate purposes includes working capital, the expansion of our production capabilities, research and development, purchases of capital equipment and potential acquisitions of businesses that we believe are complementary to our business. We have not determined the specific portion of any net proceeds to be used for these purposes, and the net proceeds from this offering have not been accounted for in our normal budgeting process. Although from time to time we evaluate possible acquisitions of companies and assets, we currently have no definitive commitments or agreements to make any acquisitions, and cannot assure you that we will make any acquisitions in the future. The amounts actually expended for these purposes may vary significantly and will depend on a number of factors, including the amount of cash we generate from future operations, the actual expenses of operating our business, opportunities that may be or become available to us and the other factors described under "Risk Factors." Notwithstanding the uses described above, we will retain broad discretion in the allocation of such net proceeds.

Table of Contents

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of our common stock by the selling stockholders, including with respect to any shares sold by the selling stockholders pursuant to the underwriters' exercise of their option to purchase additional shares. In the aggregate, the selling stockholders will receive approximately \$ _____ million of proceeds from this offering, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses, or approximately \$ _____ million if the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares is exercised in full, assuming the shares are offered at \$ _____ per share, which is the midpoint of the estimated offering price range set forth on the cover of this prospectus.

The first three interest payments on the 2007 Notes were paid and the interest payment on July 1, 2008 is payable solely in cash. For any interest period thereafter, we may elect to pay interest (1) entirely in cash or (2) entirely by increasing the principal amount of the 2007 Notes or issuing new 2007 Notes, which we refer to as PIK Interest, or (3) on 50% of the outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Notes in cash and on 50% of the outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Notes by increasing the principal amount of the outstanding 2007 Notes or by issuing new 2007 Notes, which we refer to as Partial PIK Interest. Cash interest on the 2007 Notes will accrue at a rate per annum, reset quarterly, equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 6.00%, which increases by 0.25% to 6.25% in year 2, by 0.50% to 6.50% in year 3, and by 0.75% to 6.75% in year 4. In the event PIK Interest is paid on the 2007 Notes, the then-applicable margin over LIBOR on 2007 Notes would increase by 0.75% for each period in which PIK Interest is paid. The 2007 Notes, including any notes issued to pay PIK Interest or Partial PIK Interest, mature on July 1, 2012. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Financing Activities The 2007 Notes.

Table of Contents

DIVIDEND POLICY

We do not currently anticipate paying any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. Any future determination as to our dividend policy will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon many factors, including our financial condition, earnings, legal requirements, restrictions in our debt agreements and other factors our board of directors deems relevant. The terms of the indebtedness of Metals USA, our subsidiary, may also restrict it from paying cash dividends to us under some circumstances. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations 2005 Successor Company and Predecessor Company Results Combined Non-GAAP Contractual Obligations, Description of Certain Indebtedness, and Description of Capital Stock Common Stock.

On July 10, 2007, Metals USA Holdings used the net proceeds from the issuance of \$300.0 million initial aggregate principal amount of the 2007 Notes as well as approximately \$8.3 million of additional borrowings under the ABL facility, to redeem the 2006 Notes (for approximately \$150.0 million plus accrued and unpaid interest of approximately \$5.4 million see Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the 2006 Notes redemption), to pay a cash dividend of approximately \$130.3 million to its stockholders, which include Apollo and certain members of management, to pay approximately \$9.2 million to its stock option holders in order to equitably adjust such stock options to reflect such dividend, which include certain members of our management, which we refer to collectively as the July 2007 dividend, and to pay fees and expenses related to the offering of the 2007 Notes.

In connection with the July 2007 dividend, stock option holders were paid approximately \$9.25 per share on outstanding options (an amount equal to the per-share amount of the July 2007 dividend), for a total of approximately \$9.2 million. The cash payment to holders of outstanding options to acquire shares of Metals USA Holdings common stock was made to equitably adjust such option holders by the Metals USA Holdings board of directors pursuant to the exercise of its discretion to preserve the rights of options holders under the 2005 Plan. As a result of the cash payment on outstanding options, we were required to recognize \$0.3 million of non-cash stock-based compensation expense, net of related tax effects, in the third quarter of 2007.

During December 2006, Metals USA Holdings issued \$150.0 million initial aggregate principal amount of the Senior Floating Rate Toggle Notes due 2012, which we refer to as the 2006 Notes. On January 3, 2007, Metals USA Holdings used the net proceeds from the issuance of the 2006 Notes, as well as \$8.2 million of additional borrowings under the ABL facility, to pay a cash dividend of approximately \$144.8 million to its stockholders, which include Apollo and certain members of our management, to make a cash payment (partially in lieu of the cash dividend) of approximately \$4.2 million to its vested stock option holders, which include certain members of our management, and to pay fees and expenses related to the issuance of the 2006 Notes, including a \$1.5 million non-recurring transaction fee to Apollo. We refer to such cash payment and the cash dividend collectively as the January 2007 dividend.

In connection with the January 2007 dividend, the outstanding employee stock options under the 2005 Plan were adjusted a second time. The combination of the reduction of the per share exercise price of the stock options and the cash payment to vested stock option holders was, on a per share basis, approximately equal to the per share amount of the dividend.

Because the payment of the January 2007 dividend resulted in the achievement of certain performance targets with respect to Apollo's investment in Metals USA Holdings, the board of directors exercised its discretion under the 2005 Plan to vest all of the outstanding Tranche B options. In addition, the board of directors exercised its discretion to vest Tranche A options granted to directors affiliated with Apollo.

On January 3, 2007, the board of directors of Metals USA Holdings adopted our 2006 Deferred Compensation Plan. Our 2006 Deferred Compensation Plan was adopted in connection with the January 2007 dividend, and credits to individual accounts established for stock option holders an amount equal to \$6.56 per

Table of Contents

share on certain unvested options, for a total of \$2.3 million. Payment of this liability is subject to continued employment for two years following the adoption date and will be paid in one lump sum upon completion of such period.

On May 23, 2006, we declared a dividend of \$25 million to our stockholders of record as of that date, which we paid on May 24, 2006, which we refer to as the May 2006 dividend.

In connection with the payment of the May 2006 dividend, the outstanding employee stock options under the 2005 Plan were equitably adjusted by decreasing the exercise price of such options in an amount equal to the per share amount of the May 2006 dividend.

Table of Contents**CAPITALIZATION**

The following table sets forth cash and cash equivalents and capitalization as of March 31, 2008:

on a historical basis; and

on a pro forma basis to give effect to:

- (a) the issuance of _____ shares of our common stock to our existing stockholders immediately prior to the consummation of this offering;
- (b) the sale of approximately _____ shares of our common stock in this offering at the initial public offering price of \$ _____ per share, which represents the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, providing net proceeds to us from this offering (after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions) of approximately \$ _____ million; and
- (c) the application of the net proceeds as described in Use of Proceeds.

This table should be read together with Use of Proceeds, Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data, Risk Factors, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the combined financial statements and notes to those statements, in each case, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	As of March 31, 2008	
	Historical	Pro Forma (1)
	(in millions)	
Cash and cash equivalents(2)	\$ 22.5	\$
Total long-term debt:		
ABL facility(3)	\$ 334.0	\$
Metals USA Notes(2)	275.0	
2007 Notes	292.3	
Other long-term debt(4)	7.5	
Total long-term debt	908.8	
Stockholders' deficit:		
Common stock(5)(6)	0.1	
Additional paid-in capital	6.0	
Retained deficit	(123.3)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income	0.8	
Total stockholders' deficit	\$ (116.4)	\$
Total capitalization	\$ 792.4	\$

(1)

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

Assuming the number of shares offered by us, as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, remains the same, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us in connection with the offering, a \$1.00 increase (decrease) in the assumed public offering price of \$ _____ per share would increase (decrease) cash and cash equivalents by \$ _____ million, additional paid-in capital by \$ _____ million, total stockholders' equity by \$ _____ million and total capitalization by \$ _____ million.

- (2) Assuming the number of shares offered by us, as set forth on the cover remains the same, we currently intend to use approximately \$ _____ million of the net proceeds from the shares being sold by us in this offering to make an offer to all holders of our 2007 Notes to repurchase the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes that may be purchased out of the net proceeds of this offering, estimated to be approximately \$ _____ million, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase. We cannot assure you that holders of the 2007 Notes will accept our offer, and even if they do, we expect that \$ _____ million of the 2007 Notes will remain outstanding.

Table of Contents

- (3) The ABL facility provides for up to \$525.0 million of senior secured revolving credit borrowings and letters of credit, subject to a borrowing base determined primarily by the value of our eligible receivables and eligible inventory, subject to certain reserves. As of March 31, 2008, we had eligible collateral of \$485.2 million, \$334.0 million in outstanding advances, and \$15.2 million reserved for letters of credit, and \$135.9 million of borrowing availability.
- (4) Consists of an IRB with \$5.7 million principal amount outstanding as of March 31, 2008, which is payable on May 1, 2016 in one lump sum payment, a note payable in the amount of \$1.5 million recorded in connection with the Lynch Metals acquisition, and \$0.3 million in vendor financing and purchase money notes.
- (5) shares authorized; shares issued and outstanding, pro forma for this offering.
- (6) Upon consummation of this offering, there will be options to purchase shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of options outstanding under the 2005 Plan and any future issuances under the incentive plan we may adopt prior to this offering.

Table of Contents**DILUTION**

Dilution is the amount by which the offering price paid by the purchasers of the common stock to be sold in this offering will exceed the pro forma net tangible book value per share of common stock after this offering. Our net tangible book deficit as of March 31, 2008 was \$ million, or \$ per share of common stock. We have calculated this amount by:

subtracting our total liabilities from our total tangible assets; and

dividing the difference by the number of shares of our common stock outstanding.

If we give effect to the sale of shares of our common stock by us in this offering at the assumed public offering price of \$ per share (the midpoint of the range set forth on the cover of this prospectus), after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us in connection with this offering, our pro forma net tangible book deficit as of March 31, 2008 would have been \$ million, or \$ per share. This amount represents an immediate dilution of \$ per share to new investors. The following table illustrates this dilution per share:

	Per Share
Initial public offering price per share	
Net tangible book deficit as of March 31, 2008	\$
Increase in net tangible book value attributable to this offering(1)	
Pro forma net tangible book deficit after this offering	
Dilution to new investors	\$

(1) Net tangible book deficit is calculated by subtracting goodwill, identifiable intangibles, deferred tax assets and deferred financing costs from total net assets.

If the underwriters exercise their over-allotment option in full, our as adjusted net tangible book value will increase to \$ per share, representing an increase to existing holders of \$ per share, and there will be an immediate dilution of \$ per share to new investors.

Assuming the number of shares offered by us, as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, remains the same, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us in connection with the offering, a \$1.00 increase (decrease) in the assumed public offering price of \$ per share would increase (decrease) the net tangible book value attributable to this offering by \$ per share and the dilution to new investors by \$ per share and decrease (increase) the as adjusted net tangible book deficit after this offering by \$ per share.

The following table summarizes, as of March 31, 2008, as adjusted to give effect to this offering, the difference between the number of shares of our common stock purchased from us, the total consideration paid to us, and the average price per share paid by existing stockholders and by new investors, at the initial public offering price of \$ per share, before deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses payable by us in connection with this offering:

	Shares Purchased		Total Consideration		Average Price per Share
	Number	Percent	Amount (in millions)	Percent	
Existing stockholders					

Existing option holders

New investors

Total

35

Table of Contents

The foregoing table does not reflect proceeds to be realized by selling stockholders in this offering.

Assuming the number of shares offered by us, as set forth on the cover page of this prospectus, remains the same, after deducting the estimated underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us in connection with the offering, a \$1.00 increase (decrease) in the assumed public offering price of \$ per share would increase (decrease) the total consideration paid by new investors by \$ million, the total consideration paid by all stockholders by \$ million and the average price per share by \$ per share.

The number of shares purchased is based on shares of common stock outstanding as of March 31, 2008. The discussion and table above exclude shares of our common stock issuable upon the exercise of options outstanding under the 2005 Plan and shares reserved for issuance under the incentive plan we may adopt prior to this offering. To the extent outstanding options are exercised, new investors will experience further dilution.

Table of Contents

SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

On May 18, 2005, Metals USA Holdings, Flag Acquisition and Metals USA entered into the Agreement and Plan of Merger, which we refer to as the Merger Agreement. On November 30, 2005, Flag Acquisition, then a wholly-owned subsidiary of Flag Intermediate, merged with and into Metals USA, with Metals USA being the surviving corporation. Metals USA Holdings, Flag Intermediate and Flag Acquisition conducted no operations during the period May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to November 30, 2005.

We applied purchase accounting on the closing date of the Merger and, as a result, the merger consideration was allocated to the respective values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed from the Predecessor Company. As a result of the application of purchase accounting, the Successor Company balances and amounts presented in the consolidated financial statements and footnotes are not comparable with those of the Predecessor Company. In addition, we have completed a number of acquisitions that may affect comparability of our financial results. The selected consolidated and combined financial data should be read in conjunction about the limitations on comparability of our financial results, including as a result of acquisitions. See Summary Historical Consolidated Financial Data, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Risk Factors and our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The following table sets forth our selected historical consolidated financial data as of the dates and for the periods indicated. The selected historical consolidated financial data for the period from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005 for the Predecessor Company and for the period from May 9, 2005 to December 31, 2005, and as of December 31, 2006 and for the year ended December 31, 2006, and as of December 31, 2007 and for the year ended December 31, 2007 for the Successor Company have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this prospectus. The selected historical financial data as of December 31, 2005 for the Successor Company has been derived from the Successor Company's audited consolidated financial statements not included in this prospectus. The selected historical consolidated financial data as of December 31, 2003 and 2004, and for each of the two years ended December 31, 2004, presented in this table have been derived from our Predecessor Company's audited consolidated financial statements not included in this prospectus. The historical consolidated financial data for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2008 have been derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. The March 31, 2007 and 2008 financial statements have been prepared on a basis consistent with our audited consolidated financial statements and reflect all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations for the periods presented. The Successor Company had no assets and conducted no operations from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to November 30, 2005. The historical results set forth below do not necessarily indicate results expected for any future period, and should be read in conjunction with, and are qualified by reference to, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Risk Factors and the consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Table of Contents

	Predecessor Company		Successor Company					
	Years Ended		Period	Period	Years Ended		Three Months	
	December 31,	December 31,	from	from	December 31,	December 31,	Ended	
	2003	2004	January 1,	May 9,	2006	2007	March 31,	
			2005	2005			2007	2008
			through					
			November 30,	December 31,				
			2005	2005				
			(in millions, except per share data)					
Net sales	\$ 963.2	\$ 1,509.8	\$ 1,522.1	\$ 116.9	\$ 1,802.9	\$ 1,845.3	\$ 462.6	\$ 489.0
Operating costs and expenses:								
Cost of sales (exclusive of operating and delivery, and depreciation and amortization)	731.6	1,080.1	1,189.3	92.5	1,371.8	1,418.8	356.1	376.6
Operating expenses(1)(2)	215.2	256.0	250.7	23.5	312.1	313.1	81.0	81.2
Operating income	16.4	173.7	82.1	0.9	119.0	113.4	25.5	31.2
Other (income) expense:								
Interest expense	5.7	8.4	12.0	4.1	54.6	87.0	19.4	25.1
Loss on extinguishment of debt						8.4		
Other (income) expense, net	(2.0)	(2.5)	(0.1)		(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Income (loss) before income taxes	12.7	167.8	70.2	(3.2)	65.1	18.7	6.2	6.2
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	5.1	63.3	26.7	(1.2)	25.8	4.8	2.4	2.4
Net income (loss)	7.6	104.5	43.5	(2.0)	39.3	13.9	3.8	3.8
Discontinued operations, net	(0.1)							
Net income (loss)	\$ 7.5	\$ 104.5	\$ 43.5	\$ (2.0)	\$ 39.3	\$ 13.9	\$ 3.8	\$ 3.8
Income (loss) per share:								
Income (loss) per share basic								
From continuing operations	\$ 0.38	\$ 5.17	\$ 2.14	\$ (0.14)	\$ 2.80	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.27
From discontinued operations	(0.01)							
Total	\$ 0.37	\$ 5.17	\$ 2.14	\$ (0.14)	\$ 2.80	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.27
Income (loss) per share diluted								
From continuing operations	\$ 0.37	\$ 5.05	\$ 2.05	\$ (0.14)	\$ 2.79	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.26
From discontinued operations								
Total	\$ 0.37	\$ 5.05	\$ 2.05	\$ (0.14)	\$ 2.79	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.26
Number of common shares used in the per share calculation:								
Basic	20.2	20.2	20.3	14.0	14.1	14.1	14.1	14.1
Diluted	20.3	20.7	21.2	14.0	14.1	14.4	14.4	14.6
Balance sheet data (at end of period):								
Working capital	\$ 303.4	\$ 565.0		\$ 453.7	\$ 713.6	\$ 506.3	\$ 576.9	\$ 569.1
Total assets(3)	407.2	710.0		795.3	1,127.0	959.0	1,009.8	1,042.6
Long-term debt, less current portion	118.2	266.6		472.9	754.9	855.0	758.1	908.8
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	200.6	328.2		132.4	147.6	(125.6)	1.9	(116.4)
Cash flow data:								
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 26.9	\$ (128.6)	\$ 170.1	\$ 7.3	\$ (45.7)	\$ 119.2	\$ 8.0	\$ (40.8)
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities	(11.8)	(16.0)	(15.8)	(434.5)	(61.0)	(58.5)	(5.4)	(2.7)

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	(10.0)	145.8	(120.7)	438.5	251.2	(202.9)	(146.0)	52.4
---	--------	-------	---------	-------	-------	---------	---------	------

Other operating data:

Shipments (in thousands of tons)	1,288	1,502	1,332	107	1,505	1,429	377	386
Capital expenditures	\$ 17.5	\$ 17.4	\$ 15.9	\$ 4.4	\$ 16.9	\$ 21.5	\$ 5.5	\$ 2.8

Table of Contents

- (1) For the one-month period ended December 31, 2005, the Successor Company's operating expenses increased by \$5.2 million (\$4.1 million for cost of sales and \$1.1 million of additional depreciation and amortization) as the inventory was sold and additional depreciation and amortization was recorded. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Successor Company's operating expenses increased by \$23.9 million (\$10.8 million in the first quarter of 2006 for cost of sales as the inventory was sold and \$13.1 million of additional depreciation and amortization). As a result of the application of purchase accounting, the Successor Company balances and amounts presented in the consolidated financial statements are not comparable with those of the Predecessor Company.
- (2) We incurred certain costs related to the Merger that were charged to the Predecessor Company's selling, general and administrative expense during the period from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005. Such expenses of \$15.8 million included \$14.6 million paid by us on the closing date of the Merger to holders of 1,081,270 vested in-the-money options and holders of 45,437 restricted stock grant awards related to the long-term incentive compensation plan of the Predecessor Company. Additionally, we recorded expenses of \$0.8 million related to severance costs and \$0.4 million for other costs associated with the Merger.
- (3) The Merger was accounted for as a purchase, with the Successor Company applying purchase accounting on the closing date of the Merger. As a result, the merger consideration was allocated to the respective fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed from the Predecessor Company. The fair value of inventories, property and equipment and intangibles (customer lists) were increased by \$14.9 million, \$118.6 million, and \$22.2 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Readers should refer to Risk Factors for risk factors that may affect future performance. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data, Summary Historical Consolidated and Combined Financial Data, Consolidated Financial Statements, and related notes included elsewhere in the prospectus.

Overview

We are a leading provider of value-added processed carbon steel, stainless steel, aluminum and metals and manufactured metal components. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, approximately 92% of our revenue was derived from our metal service center and processing activities that are segmented into two groups: Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group and Plates and Shapes Group. The remaining portion of our revenue was derived from our Building Products Group, which principally manufactures and sells aluminum products related to the residential remodeling industry. We purchase metal from primary producers that generally focus on large volume sales of unprocessed metals in limited configurations and sizes. In most cases, we perform the customized, value-added processing services required to meet the specifications provided by end-users. Our Plates and Shapes Group and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group customers are in the electrical and appliance manufacturing, fabrication, furniture, commercial construction, machinery and equipment, land and marine transportation, and energy and aerospace industries. Our Building Products Group customers are primarily independent distributors and contractors engaged in the residential remodeling industry.

Matters Affecting Comparability of Results

Merger with Flag Acquisition

On November 30, 2005, Flag Acquisition, which was an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Flag Intermediate, merged with and into Metals USA, with Metals USA being the surviving corporation. The Merger was consummated pursuant to an agreement and plan of merger by and among Metals USA, Metals USA Holdings and Flag Acquisition. As a result of the Merger, all of the issued and outstanding capital stock of Metals USA is held indirectly by Metals USA Holdings through Flag Intermediate, its wholly-owned subsidiary. Flag Intermediate has no assets other than its investment in Metals USA, conducts no operations and is a guarantor of both the ABL facility and the Metals USA Notes. Immediately prior to the closing date of the Merger, all outstanding shares of our common stock were cancelled in exchange for a cash payment of \$22.00 per share of such common stock. Investment funds associated with Apollo own approximately 97% of the capital stock of Metals USA Holdings (or approximately 90% on a fully diluted basis). The remainder of the capital stock of Metals USA Holdings is held by members of our management.

Although the Merger has not affected our operations, it has significantly affected our results of operations as reported in our financial statements. In 2005, we incurred approximately \$15.8 million of nonrecurring expenses relating primarily to stock option redemptions, severance packages and the amortization of certain prepaid expenses in connection with the closing of the Merger. As a result of the Merger, we have experienced increased non-cash expenses related to purchase price adjustments and increased interest expense resulting from debt comprising a larger component of our capital structure.

As a result of the Merger, the fair values of inventories, property and equipment and intangibles (customer lists) were increased by \$14.9 million, \$118.6 million and \$22.2 million, respectively. For the Successor Company for the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, operating costs and expenses were increased by \$5.2 million (\$4.1 million for cost of sales and \$1.1 million of additional depreciation and amortization) as the inventory was sold and additional depreciation and amortization was recorded. The fair value of deferred taxes and long-term liabilities were increased by \$64.8 million and \$3.1 million.

Table of Contents

million, respectively. Our intangible assets (customer lists) are being amortized over five years using an accelerated amortization method which approximates their useful life and economic value to us. Total acquisition costs were allocated to the acquired assets and assumed liabilities based upon estimates of their respective fair values as of the closing date of the Merger using valuation and other studies.

As a result of the items discussed above, operating income is not comparable for the periods listed below. Operating income includes charges which affect comparability between periods as follows:

	Predecessor Company	Successor Company	
	Period from January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005	Period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005 (in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2006
Charges Included in Operating Income:			
Inventory purchase adjustments(1)	\$	\$ 4.1	\$ 10.8
Stock options and grant expense(2)	15.0	0.4	1.2
Write-off of prepaid expenses as a result of the Merger(3)	0.3		
Severance costs(4)	0.7		
Management fees(5)		0.1	1.2

- (1) As a result of management's analysis and evaluation of the replacement cost of inventory as of the closing of the Merger, a purchase adjustment of \$14.9 million was recorded as of December 1, 2005 with \$4.1 million of that amount charged to cost of sales in December 2005 and \$10.8 million charged to cost of sales in the first quarter of 2006.
- (2) The Predecessor Company paid \$14.6 million on the closing date of the Merger to holders of 1,081,270 vested in-the-money options and holders of 45,437 restricted stock grant awards. Those amounts were recorded as an administrative expense during the period from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005. On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123(R) Share-Based Payments, which we refer to as SFAS 123(R). In accordance with SFAS 123(R), we recognized \$1.2 million of non-cash stock-based compensation expense in 2006.
- (3) These prepaid amounts were written off as a result of the Merger.
- (4) This amount represents severance costs of management personnel that were replaced as part of the Merger.
- (5) Includes accrued expenses related to the management agreement with Apollo.

2006 Acquisitions

On May 17, 2006, Metals USA purchased all of the assets and business operations of Port City, located in Tulsa, Oklahoma, for approximately \$41.3 million, including transaction costs and a \$5.0 million contingent payout provision that may be made in 2009, or earlier, subject to certain performance criteria. The maximum amount payable has been accrued in accordance with SFAS 141. Founded in 1977, Port City is a higher value-added processor of steel plate. Port City uses cutting-edge technologies in laser, plasma and oxyfuel burning, braking and rolling, drilling and machining, and welding to service its customers needs. Port City's range and depth of processing capabilities are highly complementary to the capital investments we have already made in the Plates and Shapes Group and we believe this acquisition positions us to be the pre-eminent plate processor in the southern United States. Port City's customers are predominately manufacturers of cranes and other heavy equipment, heat exchangers, and equipment specifically focused on the oil and gas industry. Port City has traditionally purchased metal from metal service centers and we believe we have realized synergies by consolidating its metal needs into our overall purchasing process. We have also realized benefits by selling Port City's higher value-added products through our sales force to our existing customer base.

Table of Contents

On May 12, 2006, Metals USA purchased all of the assets and operations of Allmet with one manufacturing facility located near Toronto, Ontario, Canada and a sales and distribution facility located in California (which was subsequently closed) for approximately \$10.4 million Canadian dollars (approximately U.S. \$9.4 million). Allmet, then operating as Dura-Loc Roofing Systems Limited, was established in 1984 and is one of the leading stone-coated metal roof manufacturers in North America. Effective June 30, 2007, we changed the trade name from Dura-Loc Roofing Systems Limited to Allmet to better facilitate our marketing objectives. Allmet is also the only manufacturer of such product located in the eastern regions of North America, a region not yet fully developed for the high-end, stone-coated metal products we produce. We believe this acquisition gives us significant additional capacity located in a potentially high growth area. In addition, by transforming Allmet's production processes to our methodologies, we have reduced Allmet's cost of production, further improving the benefits of the acquisition. We believe the addition of Allmet to our stone-coated metal roofing division, Gerard Roofing Technology, provides us with a more economic and efficient means of gaining access to an expanded product mix and leveraging the combined sales force and research and development personnel, thereby solidifying our position as one of the largest stone-coated metal roofing manufacturers in North America.

2007 Acquisition

In July 2007, we purchased the business operations of Lynch Metals, for approximately \$42.4 million. The purchase price was funded by borrowings under the ABL facility, \$38.4 million of which was paid at closing, and approximately \$4.0 million of which is deferred and will be paid in various installments over a period of two years from the closing date. The excess of the aggregate purchase price over the estimated fair value of net assets acquired was approximately \$20.9 million, which was allocated to goodwill. The estimated fair value of accounts receivable, inventories, and property and equipment acquired were \$4.4 million, \$4.2 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The estimated fair value of accounts payable and accrued liabilities assumed was \$2.3 million. The estimated amount of goodwill and related allocation of assets and liabilities acquired and assumed are not final and are subject to change based on final valuations of tangible assets. The results of operations for the Lynch Metals acquisition are included in the Company's consolidated results of operations beginning July 2, 2007.

Lynch Metals is a higher value-added, specialty aluminum metal service center and processor with locations in New Jersey and California. Lynch Metals uses enhanced technologies in slitting, shearing, and cut-to-length to service the just-in-time requirements of its customers, who are predominately manufacturers of air/heat transfer products specifically focused on aerospace, automotive and industrial applications. The acquisition is an important strategic addition to our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group because it supports our continued shift in product mix from ferrous to non-ferrous products and strengthens our non-ferrous presence in the strategic Northeast and Southern California regions. Lynch Metals' product line and processing capabilities are highly complementary to our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous segment, and we expect to expand sales of Lynch Metals' non-ferrous products into our existing geographic base, and to expand sales of non-ferrous and stainless products into Lynch Metals' geographic base.

Selected Operational Information

Net sales. We derive the net sales of our Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups from the processing and sale of metal products to end-users including metal fabrication companies, general contractors and OEMs. Pricing is generally based upon the underlying metal cost as well as a margin associated with customized value-added services specified by the customer. The net sales of our Building Products Group are derived from the sales of finished goods to local distributors and general contractors who are generally engaged in the residential remodeling industry.

Cost of sales. Our Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups follow the normal industry practice which classifies, within cost of sales, the underlying commodity cost of metal purchased in mill form and the cost of inbound freight charges together with third-party processing cost, if any. Generally, the cost of

Table of Contents

metal approximates 75% of net sales for the Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups. Cost of sales with respect to our Building Products Group includes the cost of raw materials, manufacturing labor and overhead costs, together with depreciation and amortization expense associated with property, buildings and equipment used in the manufacturing process. Amounts included within this caption may not be comparable to similarly titled captions reported by other companies.

Operating and delivery expense. Our operating and delivery expense reflects the cost incurred by our Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups for labor and facility costs associated with the value-added metal processing services that we provide. With respect to our Building Products Group, operating costs are associated with the labor and facility costs attributable to the distribution and warehousing of our finished goods at our metal service center facilities. Delivery expense reflects labor, material handling and other third party costs incurred with the delivery of product to customers. Amounts included within this caption may not be comparable to similarly titled captions reported by other companies.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses include sales and marketing expenses, executive officers' compensation, office and administrative salaries, insurance, accounting, legal, computer systems, and professional services and costs not directly associated with the processing, manufacturing, operating or delivery costs of our products. Amounts included within this caption may not be comparable to similarly titled captions reported by other companies.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense represents the costs associated with property, buildings and equipment used throughout the company except for depreciation and amortization expense associated with the manufacturing assets employed by our Building Products Group, which is included within cost of sales. This caption also includes amortization of intangible assets.

Industry Trends

Metal Service Centers

The metals production and distribution industries have experienced unprecedented revenue and profit expansion over the last five years. Global demand for steel and other metals has grown at an extraordinary pace driven largely by new, but sustainable, market development in China, Brazil, Russia and India as well as Europe, in general. Over the last five years global demand has increased approximately 9% per year, on average. This demand growth is expected to continue, and according to the International Iron and Steel Institute, 2008 global steel consumption is expected to increase approximately 7% from 2007 and 2009 consumption is projected to increase approximately 6% from 2008 levels.

Demand growth has outpaced supply inputs creating upward cost pressure on commodity inputs such as ores, energy and transportation. Three producers supply approximately 70% of the global merchant iron ore market and the majority of this material is sold via annual supply contracts. Contract prices in 2008 were established at rates 71% higher than those set for 2007. In fact, over the last six years, the annual contract price for iron ore has increased by approximately 35% per year. Iron ore production has not kept pace with new steel capacity expansions over the last several years. As a result, global supplies were tight throughout 2007 and spot market purchases were transacted at prices substantially higher than established contract prices. Similarly, metallurgical coal and coke prices have also been increasing dramatically. Global coking coal prices are up more than 200% in 2008 when compared to prices transacted in 2007. Scrap, the primary metallic input for mini-mills, has experienced rapid and volatile price increases in recent years. Compounding scrap availability is that domestic scrap generation has declined as a direct result of lower production volumes from traditional steel consumers such as the automotive industry and durable goods manufacturers. During March 2008 the prices for both #1 heavy melt and auto bundle scrap categories were up approximately 60% when compared to March 2007.

Over the past several years, there has been significant consolidation among the major domestic metals producers. The top three steel producers now control over 60% of the domestic flat rolled market, which has

Table of Contents

created a metals pricing environment characterized by a more efficient approach to production and pricing. Mill consolidation has provided mills with a better ability to modify capacity utilization which keeps available supply in balance with demand. Mills today are much more focused on profitability and have generally refused to absorb cost escalations, preferring instead to pass cost increases on to end-users.

Historically, steel in the domestic economy is in a constant state of deficit. Generally speaking, the U.S. consumes approximately 130 million tons of steel annually, and can produce approximately 110 million tons. Imported steel has traditionally filled the gap. However, given the circumstances of a weak U.S. dollar, higher prices in alternative, non-U.S. markets, and the increase in global demand, traditional imports are being redirected to non-U.S. markets. To compound domestic market supply/demand imbalance, domestic mills have identified, and taken advantage of, the opportunity a weak U.S. dollar has given to them for exporting production that would normally remain in the domestic market.

As a result of these industry wide, global pressures, steel prices have been rising consistently since 2004 and prices over the last two quarters have increased approximately 100%. Historically our margins earned over the price of metal have increased during periods of rising steel prices, and we expect this trend to continue.

The timing of the effect that further price trends will have on the domestic steel market is difficult to predict, and any number of political or general economic factors could cause prices to decline.

Building Products

The residential remodeling industry is currently in a state of transition as recent mortgage market turmoil and weak consumer confidence have been reflected in a general decline in the purchase of home remodeling goods and services. However, over the last decade, the residential remodeling industry experienced stable growth due to a number of different macroeconomic and demographic factors including rising disposable incomes, increased rates of home ownership, a low interest rate environment and aging American houses. We believe these factors will support attractive long-term demand fundamentals for home remodeling. The increase in disposable incomes has been a factor in the rise in home ownership to approximately 68% in 2007 from 55% in 1950. The aging of the domestic home supply is also expected to contribute to remodeling sales as the average home in the U.S. is now over 30 years old. As Americans continue to improve and upgrade their homes, we believe an increasing number will turn to remodeling as a cost-effective alternative to new housing construction. The most popular remodeling projects include backyard living items, such as pool enclosures, lattices and patio covers, as well as sunrooms and roofing, all of which we manufacture and distribute.

We have implemented certain initiatives in response to the downturn in the housing and residential remodeling markets primarily directed at systemic cost reduction and reducing manufacturing capacity to better match the addressable market. During 2007 we closed three sales centers and announced the future closure of four additional sales centers as well as our manufacturing facility in Houston, Texas. Consequently, production volumes were re-allocated to our Groveland, Florida and Buena Park, California manufacturing facilities. All closures were completed by April 2008. We continue to evaluate various alternative strategies for our building products business.

Product demand for the Company's Building Products Group may be influenced by numerous factors such as interest rates, general economic conditions, consumer confidence and other factors beyond our control. Declines in existing home sales and remodeling expenditures due to such factors could continue to significantly reduce the segment's performance.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of

Table of Contents

assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Estimates are based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. The result of this process forms the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. We review our estimates and judgments on a regular, ongoing basis. Actual results may differ from these estimates due to changed circumstances and conditions.

The following accounting policies and estimates are considered critical in light of the potentially material impact that the estimates, judgments and uncertainties affecting the application of these policies might have on our reported financial information.

Accounts Receivable. We generally recognize revenue as product is shipped (risk of loss for our products generally passes at time of shipment), net of provisions for estimated returns. Financial instruments, which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of trade accounts and notes receivable. Collections on our accounts receivable are made through several lockboxes maintained by our lenders. Credit risk associated with concentration of cash deposits is low as we have the right of offset with our lenders for the substantial portion of our cash balances. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade accounts receivable are within several industries. Generally, credit is extended once appropriate credit history and references have been obtained. We perform ongoing credit evaluations of customers and set credit limits based upon reviews of customers' current credit information and payment history. We monitor customer payments and maintain a provision for estimated credit losses based on historical experience and specific customer collection issues that we have identified. Provisions to the allowance for doubtful accounts are made monthly and adjustments are made periodically based upon our expected ability to collect all such accounts. Generally we do not require collateral for the extension of credit.

Each month we consider all available information when assessing the adequacy of the provision for allowances, claims and doubtful accounts. Adjustments made with respect to the allowance for doubtful accounts often relate to improved information not previously available. Uncertainties with respect to the allowance for doubtful accounts are inherent in the preparation of financial statements. The rate of future credit losses may not be similar to past experience.

Inventories. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Our inventories are accounted for using a variety of methods including specific identification, average cost and the first-in first-out method of accounting. We regularly review inventory on hand and record provisions for damaged and slow-moving inventory based on historical and current sales trends. Changes in product demand and our customer base may affect the value of inventory on hand which may require higher provisions for damaged and slow-moving inventory.

Adjustments made with respect to the inventory valuation allowance often relate to improved information not previously available. Uncertainties with respect to the inventory valuation allowance are inherent in the preparation of financial statements. The rate of future losses associated with damaged or slow moving inventory may not be similar to past experience.

Table of Contents**Combined and Consolidated Results of Operations**

The following financial information reflects our historical financial statements. The results of operations data for 2005 includes the Predecessor Company results for the period January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005 and the Successor Company results for the period May 9, 2005 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005. See Results of Operations 2005 Successor Company and Predecessor Company Results Combined Non-GAAP below for information on our combined results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, combining the results for the Successor Company from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, and the results for the Predecessor Company from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005.

	2005	Fiscal Years Ended December 31,				Three Months Ended March 31,				
		%	2006	%	2007	%	2007	%	2008	%
	(in millions, except percentages)									
Net sales	\$ 1,639.0	100.0%	\$ 1,802.9	100.0%	\$ 1,845.3	100.0%	\$ 462.6	100.0%	\$ 489.0	100.0%
Cost of sales (exclusive of operating and delivery, and depreciation and amortization shown below)	1,281.8	78.2%	1,371.8	76.1%	1,418.8	76.9%	356.1	77.0%	376.6	77.0%
Operating and delivery	151.9	9.3%	175.5	9.7%	178.4	9.7%	45.2	9.8%	46.5	9.5%
Selling, general and administrative	117.8	7.2%	115.2	6.4%	112.4	6.1%	30.4	6.6%	29.2	6.0%
Depreciation and amortization	4.5	0.3%	21.4	1.2%	22.1	1.2%	5.2	1.1%	5.5	1.1%
Impairment of property and equipment		0.0%		0.0%	0.2	0.0%	0.2	0.0%		0.0%
Operating income	83.0	5.1%	119.0	6.6%	113.4	6.1%	25.5	5.5%	31.2	6.4%
Interest expense	16.1	1.0%	54.6	3.0%	87.0	4.7%	19.4	4.2%	25.1	5.1%
Loss on debt extinguishment		0.0%		0.0%	8.4	0.5%				
Other (income) expense, net	(0.1)	0.0%	(0.7)	0.0%	(0.7)	0.0%	(0.1)	0.0%	(0.1)	0.0%
Income before income taxes	\$ 67.0	4.1%	\$ 65.1	3.6%	\$ 18.7	1.0%	\$ 6.2	1.3%	\$ 6.2	1.3%

Results of Operations Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 Compared to March 31, 2007

Net sales. Net sales increased \$26.4 million, or 5.7%, from \$462.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$489.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The Lynch Metals acquisition, which closed on July 2, 2007, contributed \$9.7 million of incremental net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to the same period of 2007. The remaining net sales increase of \$16.7 million was primarily attributable to a 3.9% increase in average realized prices, in addition to a 1.9% increase in volumes, for our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous and Plates and Shapes Product Groups, partially offset by a net sales decrease for our Building Products Group of \$8.2 million.

Cost of sales. Cost of sales increased \$20.5 million, or 5.8%, from \$356.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007, to \$376.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The acquisition of Lynch Metals contributed \$6.0 million of additional cost of sales for the period. The remaining increase of \$14.5 million was primarily attributable to a 3.7% increase in the average cost per ton, in addition to a 1.9% increase in

Table of Contents

volumes for our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous and Plates and Shapes Product Groups, partially offset by a \$4.0 million decrease in cost of sales for our Building Products Group. Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales remained at 77.0% for the first quarter of 2008 compared to the same period in 2007.

Operating and delivery. Operating and delivery expenses increased \$1.3 million, or 2.9%, from \$45.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$46.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The Lynch Metals acquisition accounted for \$0.3 million of incremental operating and delivery costs during the period. Higher variable costs of \$1.0 million associated with increased shipments also contributed to the period-over-period increase. As a percentage of net sales, operating and delivery expenses decreased from 9.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to 9.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Selling, general and administrative. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$1.2 million, or 3.9%, from \$30.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$29.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The Lynch Metals acquisition accounted for \$0.8 million of increased selling, general and administrative expenses during the period, an amount that was offset by a decrease of \$2.0 million, which was primarily attributable to the absence of higher stock-based compensation expense of \$3.0 million recognized in the first quarter of 2007 due to the accelerated vesting of stock options in connection with the January 2007 Dividend. As a percentage of net sales, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased from 6.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to 6.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$0.3 million, or 5.8%, from \$5.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The acquisition of Lynch Metals accounted for an increase of \$0.9 million for the period, due primarily to the amortization of customer list intangible assets associated with the Lynch Metals acquisition. This increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$0.6 million for the period, which resulted primarily from lower amortization of customer list intangible assets recorded in connection with the acquisitions completed in May 2006 and the Merger.

Operating income. Operating income increased \$5.7 million, or 22.4%, from \$25.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$31.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The Lynch Metals acquisition contributed \$1.7 million of additional operating income for the period. The remaining increase of \$4.0 million was primarily a result of the increase in net sales, in addition to the decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses attributable to the Corporate segment (both of which are discussed above), offset in part by a \$3.8 million increase in the operating loss attributable to our Building Products segment. As a percentage of net sales, operating income increased from 5.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to 6.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased \$5.7 million, or 29.4%, from \$19.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$25.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This increase was primarily a function of higher debt levels for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. In July 2007, we issued \$300.0 million initial aggregate principal amount of the 2007 Notes, a portion of which were used to redeem the \$150.0 million initial aggregate principal amount of the 2006 Notes issued in December 2006. We also recognized a pretax loss of \$2.4 million in earnings (interest expense) during the three months ended March 31, 2008, which was primarily due to the change in fair value of our interest rate swap derivatives for a portion of time prior to their qualification for hedge accounting treatment. Other pretax realized gains and losses from derivatives which were recognized in earnings (interest expense) during the three months ended March 31, 2008, subsequent to qualification for hedge accounting treatment, were not material. These increases were partially offset by lower average borrowings and interest rates on our ABL facility. The weighted average interest rate on our ABL facility for the three months ended March 31, 2008, was 5.63%, compared to a weighted average rate of 7.30% for the same period of 2007. In addition, the weighted average outstanding balance on our ABL facility decreased \$16.4 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 versus the same period of 2007.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to 2006**

Net sales. Net sales increased \$42.4 million, or 2.4%, from \$1,802.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$1,845.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The acquisition of Lynch Metals accounted for \$15.6 million of increased sales for the period. Results of operations for the 2006 Acquisitions, which closed in May 2006, were included for the entire year ended December 31, 2007, and as a result, accounted for \$25.7 million of increased sales for the year ended December 31, 2007 versus the same period of 2006. The remaining increase of \$1.1 million was primarily attributable to a 9.8% increase in average realized prices, partially offset by a 6.7% decrease in volumes for our metal service center businesses and a decline in sales for our building products business of \$37.4 million.

Cost of sales. Cost of sales increased \$47.0 million, or 3.4%, from \$1,371.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, to \$1,418.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The Lynch Metals acquisition accounted for \$9.5 million of additional cost of sales for the period, while the 2006 Acquisitions accounted for \$15.6 million of the increase. The remaining increase of \$21.9 million was primarily attributable to an 11.2% increase in the average cost per ton, offset in part by a 6.7% decrease in volumes for our metal service center businesses, and by a decrease of \$22.6 million in cost of sales for our building products business. Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales increased from 76.1% for the year ended December 31, 2006 to 76.9% for the same period in 2007.

Operating and delivery. Operating and delivery expenses increased \$2.9 million, or 1.7%, from \$175.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$178.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The acquisition of Lynch Metals accounted for \$0.6 million of additional operating and delivery expenses for the period, while the 2006 Acquisitions accounted for a \$6.8 million increase. These increases were partially offset by lower variable costs of \$4.5 million associated with decreased shipments. As a percentage of net sales, operating and delivery expenses for 2007 remained level with 2006 at 9.7%.

Selling, general and administrative. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$2.8 million, or 2.4%, from \$115.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$112.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The acquisition of Lynch Metals accounted for \$1.6 million of increased selling, general and administrative expenses for the period, while the 2006 Acquisitions accounted for a \$0.2 million increase. These acquisition increases were offset by a decrease of \$4.6 million, which was primarily attributable to lower salaries, incentive compensation and advertising expenses at our Building Products segment, in addition to lower bad debt expense at our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group. As a percentage of net sales, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased from 6.4% for the year ended December 31, 2006 to 6.1% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased \$0.7 million, or 3.3%, from \$21.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$22.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The acquisition of Lynch Metals accounted for \$1.7 million of additional depreciation and amortization for the period, while the 2006 Acquisitions accounted for an increase of \$1.3 million for the period. These acquisition increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$2.3 million, which was primarily attributable to lower amortization of customer list intangible assets (which is recognized on an accelerated basis) recorded in connection with the Merger and the 2006 Acquisitions.

Operating income. Operating income decreased \$5.6 million, or 4.7%, from \$119.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$113.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The acquisition of Lynch Metals contributed \$2.2 million of operating income for the period, while the 2006 Acquisitions accounted for a \$1.8 million increase versus the same period of last year. The remaining decrease of \$9.6 million resulted primarily from higher cost of sales, which was driven by an increase in average cost per ton that exceeded the increase in average realized prices for our metal service center businesses, in addition to a decrease in operating income of \$10.0 million for our building products business, which was driven by lower net sales and operating costs that increased year-over-year on a percentage of net sales basis. As a percentage of net sales, operating income decreased from 6.6% for the year ended December 31, 2006 to 6.1% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

Interest expense. Interest expense increased \$32.4 million, or 59.3%, from \$54.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$87.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase was primarily a function of higher debt levels for the year ended December 31, 2007. In July 2007, we issued \$300.0 million initial aggregate principal amount of the 2007 Notes (a portion of the proceeds of which were used to redeem the \$150.0 million initial aggregate principal amount of the 2006 Notes issued in December 2006). In addition, during the year ended December 31, 2007, the average daily balance outstanding on our ABL facility was \$319.3 million, at a weighted average interest rate of 7.06%, compared to \$274.1 million at 7.05% for the comparable period of 2006.

Results of Operations Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to 2005

Net sales. Net sales increased \$163.9 million, or 10.0%, from \$1,639.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$1,802.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The 2006 Acquisitions accounted for \$48.1 million of the increase. The remaining increase of \$115.8 million in sales was primarily attributable to a 5.7% increase in average realized prices and a 3.0% increase in volumes for our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous and Plates and Shapes Product Groups offset by a net sales decrease for our Building Products Group of \$12.3 million (excluding the acquisition of Allmet).

Cost of sales. Cost of sales increased \$90.0 million, or 7.0%, from \$1,281.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005, to \$1,371.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The 2006 Acquisitions accounted for \$25.0 million of the increase and \$10.8 million of the increase related to inventory purchase accounting. The remaining increase in cost of sales was primarily attributable to a 3.3% increase in the average cost per ton in addition to a 3.0% increase in volumes for our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous and Plates and Shapes Product Groups. Cost of sales as a percentage of net sales decreased from 78.2% in 2005 to 76.1% for 2006.

Operating and delivery. Operating and delivery expenses increased \$23.6 million, or 15.5%, from \$151.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$175.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The 2006 Acquisitions accounted for \$9.7 million of the increase. The remaining increase of \$13.9 million was primarily due to higher labor costs and higher freight costs due to rising fuel prices and, to a lesser extent, higher volumes in our Plates and Shapes Group. As a percentage of net sales, operating and delivery expenses increased from 9.3% for the year ended December 31, 2005 to 9.7% for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Selling, general and administrative. Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$2.6 million, or 2.2%, from \$117.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$115.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This decrease was primarily due to the acceleration of payment of stock-based compensation during 2005 totaling \$14.6 million as a result of the Merger. The 2006 Acquisitions accounted for an increase of \$3.3 million during 2006. Other increases during 2006 were primarily due to higher salaries and incentive compensation, \$3.3 million of personnel and advertising-related costs to improve the Building Products Group's sales and service and expand its presence on a national basis, an increase in bad debt expense of \$1.0 million (primarily due to an increase of \$1.9 million at our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group offset by decreases of \$0.9 million at our other operating segments), an increase of \$1.1 million related to management fees and an increase of \$1.2 million in stock-based compensation expense due to the adoption of SFAS 123(R). As a percentage of net sales, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased from 7.2% for the year ended December 31, 2005 to 6.4% for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased \$16.9 million, from \$4.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$21.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. Of this increase, \$13.1 million resulted from the revaluation of our long-lived assets as a result of the Merger, \$1.9 million was due to increased amortization of customer list intangible assets related to the 2006 Acquisitions, \$1.0 million was due to capital investments in facilities and equipment placed in service throughout 2005 and 2006, and \$0.9 million was due to increased depreciation related to the 2006 Acquisitions.

Table of Contents

Operating income. Operating income increased \$36.0 million, from \$83.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$119.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This increase included \$7.3 million of operating income from the 2006 Acquisitions. The remaining increase in operating income resulted from increased volumes and an increase in average realized prices that exceeded the increase in average costs per ton, in addition to lower selling, general and administrative expenses, partially offset by higher operating and delivery costs. As a percentage of net sales, operating income increased from 5.1% for the year ended December 31, 2005 to 6.6% for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased \$38.5 million, or 239.1% from \$16.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$54.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This increase was primarily a function of higher debt levels and, to a lesser extent, higher effective interest rates. Our borrowings were significantly different between the two periods due to the Merger that occurred on November 30, 2005, the 2006 Acquisitions financed from our availability under our ABL facility, the \$25.0 million dividend paid to stockholders on May 24, 2006, and the issuance of the 2006 Notes.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations by Segment**

The results of operations by segment for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 includes the Predecessor Company results for the period January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005 combined with the Successor Company results for the period May 9, 2005 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005. See Results of Operations 2005 Successor Company and Predecessor Company Results Combined Non-GAAP below for information on our combined results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, combining the results for the Successor Company from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, and the results for the Predecessor Company from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005.

	Net Sales		Operating Costs and Expenses		Operating Income (Loss)		Capital Spending	Tons Shipped(1)
	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%		
Three Months Ended March 31,								
(dollars in millions)								
2008:								
Plates and Shapes	\$ 249.6	51.0%	\$ 221.2	48.3%	\$ 28.4	91.0%	\$ 2.1	220
Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous	216.0	44.2%	201.1	43.9%	14.9	47.8%	0.3	168
Building Products	26.1	5.3%	31.8	7.0%	(5.7)	(18.3)%	0.3	
Corporate and other	(2.7)	(0.5)%	3.7	0.8%	(6.4)	(20.5)%	0.1	(2)
Total	\$ 489.0	100.0%	\$ 457.8	100.0%	\$ 31.2	100.0%	\$ 2.8	386
2007:								
Plates and Shapes	\$ 221.0	47.8%	\$ 197.5	45.2%	\$ 23.5	92.2%	\$ 4.1	212
Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous	211.5	45.7%	197.6	45.2%	13.9	54.5%	0.6	168
Building Products	34.3	7.4%	36.2	8.3%	(1.9)	(7.5)%	0.6	
Corporate and other	(4.2)	(0.9)%	5.8	1.3%	(10.0)	(39.2)%	0.2	(3)
Total	\$ 462.6	100.0%	\$ 437.1	100.0%	\$ 25.5	100.0%	\$ 5.5	377
Fiscal Years Ended December 31,								
(dollars in millions)								
2007:								
Plates and Shapes	\$ 889.7	48.2%	\$ 796.9	46.0%	\$ 92.8	81.8%	\$ 16.6	826
Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous	817.7	44.3%	767.6	44.3%	50.1	44.2%	2.9	614
Building Products	152.4	8.3%	152.7	8.8%	(0.3)	(0.3)%	1.6	
Corporate and other	(14.5)	(0.8)%	14.7	0.9%	(29.2)	(25.7)%	0.4	(11)
Total	\$ 1,845.3	100.0%	\$ 1,731.9	100.0%	\$ 113.4	100.0%	\$ 21.5	1,429
2006:								
Plates and Shapes	\$ 856.6	47.5%	\$ 760.7	45.2%	\$ 95.9	80.6%	\$ 11.1	843
Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous	776.0	43.1%	731.7	43.4%	44.3	37.2%	2.8	680
Building Products	189.8	10.5%	180.1	10.7%	9.7	8.2%	2.7	
Corporate and other	(19.5)	(1.1)%	11.4	0.7%	(30.9)	(26.0)%	0.3	(18)
Total	\$ 1,802.9	100.0%	\$ 1,683.9	100.0%	\$ 119.0	100.0%	\$ 16.9	1,505
2005:								
Plates and Shapes	\$ 694.7	42.4%	\$ 626.3	40.2%	\$ 68.4	82.4%	\$ 13.7	740
Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous	770.9	47.0%	735.4	47.3%	35.5	42.8%	2.5	723
Building Products	195.1	11.9%	178.3	11.5%	16.8	20.2%	3.2	
Corporate and other	(21.7)	(1.3)%	16.0	1.0%	(37.7)	(45.4)%	0.9	(24)

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

Total	\$ 1,639.0	100.0%	\$ 1,556.0	100.0%	\$ 83.0	100.0%	\$ 20.3	1,439
-------	------------	--------	------------	--------	---------	--------	---------	-------

(1) Shipments are expressed in thousands of tons and are not an appropriate measure for the Building Products Group.

Table of Contents***Segment Results Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 Compared to March 31, 2007***

Plates and Shapes. Net sales increased \$28.6 million, or 12.9%, from \$221.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$249.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to an 8.8% increase in average realized prices, in addition to a 3.8% increase in shipments for the three months ended March 31, 2008, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Operating costs and expenses increased \$23.7 million, or 12.0%, from \$197.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$221.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to a 9.1% increase in the average cost per ton, in addition to a 3.8% increase in shipments for the three months ended March 31, 2008, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Operating income increased by \$4.9 million, or 20.9%, from \$23.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$28.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The increase primarily resulted from higher net sales which were driven by an increase in average realized prices, in addition to increased shipments, as discussed above. Operating income as a percentage of net sales increased from 10.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to 11.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous. Net sales increased \$4.5 million, or 2.1%, from \$211.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$216.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The Lynch Metals acquisition contributed \$9.7 million of net sales for the quarter ended March 31, 2007. This increase was partially offset by a \$5.2 million decrease in net sales attributable to the remainder of the segment, excluding Lynch Metals, which was driven by a 1.3% decrease in average sales price per ton, in addition to a 1.2% decrease in shipments. Consistent with our pattern for pricing actions in recent years, we elected to reduce sales volume in an effort to maintain our level of profitability in an extremely competitive market. We increased our sales of non-ferrous products in the first quarter of 2008. Sales of non-ferrous products accounted for 46.1% of the segment's sales product mix for the first quarter of 2008, compared to 44.3% for the first quarter of 2007.

Operating costs and expenses increased \$3.5 million, or 1.8%, from \$197.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$201.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The Lynch Metals acquisition added \$8.0 million of operating costs and expenses for the first quarter of 2008. The remaining decrease was attributable to a decrease in the average cost per ton of 1.2%, in addition to a decrease in volumes of 1.2%. Operating costs and expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased from 93.4% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to 93.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Operating income increased by \$1.0 million, or 7.2%, from \$13.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$14.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The Lynch Metals acquisition accounted for \$1.7 million of operating income for the first quarter of 2008. The remaining decrease of \$0.7 million was primarily attributable to the decrease in net sales and the decrease in operating costs and expenses discussed above. Operating income as a percentage of net sales increased from 6.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to 6.9% for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Building Products. Net sales decreased \$8.2 million, or 23.9%, from \$34.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$26.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The sales decrease was driven by lower sales of existing homes and lower housing starts, both of which impact residential remodeling activity. Both of these housing indicators were down for the first quarter of 2008 versus the same period from a year ago. Consumer spending on residential remodeling has decreased dramatically due to the depreciation of homes, lower limits on home equity loans and decreased access to affordable credit for homeowners and residential remodeling contractors. These factors have led to continued softness in the residential remodeling market and negative growth in our Building Products Group.

Table of Contents

Operating costs and expenses decreased \$4.4 million, or 12.2%, from \$36.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$31.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily due to lower sales volume and a decrease in variable costs related to lower market demand. Despite the decrease in sales volume, operating costs and expenses as a percentage of net sales increased from 105.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to 121.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The increase as a percentage of net sales was in part due to \$2.6 million of additional costs incurred during the first quarter of 2008. These costs consisted of \$0.7 million of which was accelerated depreciation related to leasehold improvements on our Houston, Texas manufacturing facility, and the remaining \$1.9 million of which was primarily facility closure and other related charges, to close several underperforming sales center locations, in addition to the Houston manufacturing facility, as management has continued to focus on cost reduction in order to mitigate the impact of lower operating levels resulting from the market downturn.

Operating loss increased by \$3.8 million, or 200.0%, from \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$5.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to the decline in net sales discussed above, which exceeded the rate of decline in operating costs and expenses. Operating loss as a percentage of net sales increased from 5.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to 21.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Corporate and other. This category reflects certain administrative costs and expenses management has not allocated to its industry segments. These costs include compensation for executive officers, insurance, professional fees for audit, tax and legal services and data processing expenses. The negative net sales amount represents the elimination of intercompany sales. The operating loss decreased \$3.6 million, or 36.0%, from \$10.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to \$6.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. The decrease was primarily attributable to the absence of higher stock-based compensation expense of \$3.0 million recognized in the first quarter of 2007 due to the accelerated vesting of stock options in connection with the January 2007 Dividend, in addition to lower employee benefit costs.

Segment Results Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to 2006

Plates and Shapes. Net sales increased \$33.1 million, or 3.9%, from \$856.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$889.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Results of operations for the 2006 Acquisition of Port City, which closed in May 2006, were included for the entire year ended December 31, 2007, and as a result, accounted for \$25.9 million of increased sales for the year ended December 31, 2007 versus the same period of 2006. Apart from the increase attributable to Port City, net sales for the remainder of the segment increased \$7.2 million, or 0.9%, primarily due to a 5.8% increase in average realized prices, partially offset by a 4.6% decrease in shipments, for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006.

Operating costs and expenses increased \$36.2 million, or 4.8%, from \$760.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$796.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The 2006 Acquisition of Port City accounted for \$22.7 million of the increase. In addition, average cost per ton increased by 7.1%, which was partially offset by a 4.6% decrease in shipments for the year ended December 31, 2007. Operating costs and expenses as a percentage of segment net sales increased from 88.8% for the year ended December 31, 2006 to 89.6% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Operating income decreased by \$3.1 million, or 3.2%, from \$95.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$92.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The 2006 Acquisition of Port City accounted for \$3.2 million of increased operating income for the year ended December 31, 2007 versus the same period of 2006. The remaining decrease of \$6.3 million was primarily attributable to the increase in operating costs and expenses discussed above. Operating income as a percentage of segment net sales decreased from 11.2% for the year ended December 31, 2006 to 10.4% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents

Flat Rolled. Net sales increased \$41.7 million, or 5.4%, from \$776.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$817.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The acquisition of Lynch Metals contributed \$15.6 million of additional net sales for the year ended December 31, 2007. The remaining increase of \$26.1 million was primarily due to a 15.0% increase in the average sales price per ton, partially offset by a 10.1% decrease in shipments for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to the year ended December 31, 2006, as we elected to reduce sales volume to maintain our level of profitability. Sales of non-ferrous products accounted for 48% of the segment's sales product mix for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to 39% for the same period of 2006.

Operating costs and expenses increased \$35.9 million, or 4.9%, from \$731.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$767.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The acquisition of Lynch Metals accounted for \$13.4 million of additional operating costs and expenses for the year ended December 31, 2007. The remaining increase of \$22.5 million was mostly attributable to an increase in the cost of raw materials of 16.0%, partially offset by a 10.1% decrease in shipments for the year ended December 31, 2007. Operating costs and expenses as a percentage of segment net sales decreased from 94.3% for the year ended December 31, 2006 to 93.9% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Operating income increased by \$5.8 million, or 13.1%, from \$44.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$50.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The acquisition of Lynch Metals contributed \$2.2 million of operating income for the year ended December 31, 2007. The balance of the increase was primarily attributable to the increase in net sales discussed above, which, despite the decrease in shipments, produced higher margins due to the shift in product mix to more non-ferrous products. Operating income as a percentage of segment net sales increased from 5.7% for the year ended December 31, 2006 to 6.1% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Building Products. Net sales decreased \$37.4 million, or 19.7%, from \$189.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$152.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Results of operations for the 2006 Acquisition of Allmet, which was acquired in May 2006, were included for the entire year ended December 31, 2007, and as a result, accounted for \$0.2 million of decreased sales for the year ended December 31, 2007 versus the same period of 2006. New house production and existing home sales, both of which are primary drivers of residential remodeling activity, were down for the year ended December 31, 2007 versus the same period of 2006. The softness in the residential remodeling market, which was affected by declines in existing home sales and new house production, contributed to the period-over-period net sales decrease for our Building Products Group.

Operating costs and expenses decreased \$27.4 million, or 15.2%, from \$180.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$152.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The 2006 Acquisition of Allmet accounted for \$1.2 million of increased costs, which was offset by lower operating costs and expenses associated with lower sales volumes, in addition to certain initiatives the segment has taken in response to the downturn in the housing and residential remodeling markets, including reductions in square footage under lease, standardization of metal sales center layouts, and manufacturing consolidation. Operating costs and expenses as a percentage of segment net sales increased from 94.9% for the year ended December 31, 2006 to 100.2% for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Operating income decreased by \$10.0 million, or 103.1%, from operating income of \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to an operating loss of \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The 2006 Acquisition of Allmet accounted for \$1.4 million of the year-over-year decrease. The remainder of the decrease was primarily attributable to the reductions in sales volumes discussed above.

Corporate and other. This category reflects certain administrative costs and expenses management has not allocated to its industry segments. These costs include compensation for executive officers, insurance, professional fees for audit, tax and legal services and data processing expenses. The negative net sales amount

Table of Contents

represents the elimination of intercompany sales. The operating loss decreased \$1.7 million, or 5.5%, from \$30.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 to \$29.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This decrease was primarily attributable to lower insurance expense due to favorable trends in workers compensation claims, lower amortization of the customer list intangible asset recorded in connection with the Merger, which decreases over the useful life and economic value of the intangible asset, in addition to lower employee benefit costs and lower incentive compensation. The decrease was partially offset by \$3.6 million of higher stock-based compensation expense due to the accelerated vesting of stock options and partial settlement of existing stock option awards in connection with the January 2007 dividend and July 2007 dividends paid by Metals USA Holdings to its stockholders.

Segment Results Year Ended December 31, 2006 Compared to 2005

Plates and shapes. Net sales increased \$161.9 million, or 23.3%, from \$694.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$856.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The 2006 Acquisition of Port City accounted for \$41.1 million of the increase. The remaining increase of \$120.8 million was primarily due to a 5.9% increase in the average sales price per ton and a 10.8% increase in shipments for the year ended December 31, 2006 compared to the year ended December 31, 2005.

Operating costs and expenses increased \$134.4 million, or 21.5%, from \$626.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$760.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The 2006 Acquisition of Port City accounted for \$35.1 million of the increase. The remaining increase of \$99.3 million was primarily attributable to the higher volumes of 10.8%, higher cost of raw materials of 4.8%, and additional costs of \$6.3 million related to purchase accounting. Operating costs and expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased from 90.1% for the year ended December 31, 2005 to 88.8% for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Operating income increased by \$27.5 million, from \$68.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$95.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The 2006 Acquisition of Port City accounted for \$6.0 million of the increase. Operating income as a percentage of net sales increased from 9.8% for the year ended December 31, 2005 to 11.2% for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Flat rolled and non-ferrous. Net sales increased \$5.1 million, or 0.6%, from \$770.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$776.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This increase was primarily due to a 7.0% increase in the average sales price per ton partially offset by a 5.9% decrease in shipments. Although prices were generally improving throughout the first three quarters of 2006, the ferrous Flat Rolled business remained competitive during the fourth quarter and, as a result, we elected to reduce sales volume to maintain our level of profitability. Sales of non-ferrous products accounted for approximately 39% of the segment's sales product mix for 2006, compared to 32% for 2005.

Operating costs and expenses decreased \$3.7 million, or 0.5%, from \$735.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$731.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This decrease was attributable to a decrease in volumes of 5.9%, offset by an increase in the cost of raw materials of 4.3%, a \$6.2 million cost related to purchase accounting and an increase in bad debt expense of \$1.9 million. Operating costs and expenses as a percentage of net sales decreased from 95.4% for the year ended December 31, 2005 to 94.3% for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Operating income increased by \$8.8 million, from \$35.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$44.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This increase was primarily attributable to larger margins due to an increase in the average selling price per ton. Operating income as a percentage of net sales increased from 4.6% for the year ended December 31, 2005 to 5.7% for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Building products. Net sales decreased \$5.3 million, or 2.7%, from \$195.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$189.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, primarily due to Florida markets that have not returned to normal volumes following a 2005 surge in post-hurricane damage remediation. The decrease was partially offset by the 2006 Acquisition of Allmet, which accounted for \$7.0 million of sales during the period.

Table of Contents

Operating costs and expenses increased \$1.8 million, or 1.0%, from \$178.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$180.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The 2006 Acquisition of Allmet accounted for \$5.7 million of increased costs. This increase was offset by a decrease of \$3.9 million attributable to a decrease in cost of sales due to reduced volumes, and increased margins, offset by a \$3.3 million cost related to purchase accounting and increased costs of \$3.3 million related to personnel and advertising costs to improve the Building Products Group's sales and service and expand its presence on a national basis. Operating costs and expenses as a percentage of net sales increased from 91.4% for the year ended December 31, 2005 to 94.9% for the year ended December 31, 2006 for the reasons discussed above.

Operating income decreased by \$7.1 million, from \$16.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$9.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. The 2006 Acquisition of Allmet accounted for \$1.3 million of operating income. The remaining decrease of \$8.4 million is primarily due to the \$3.3 million cost related to inventory purchase accounting, the additional costs to improve Building Products Group's sales and services and expand its presence on a national basis, in addition to lower volumes. Operating income as a percentage of net sales decreased from 8.6% for the year ended December 31, 2005 to 5.1% for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Corporate and other. This category reflects certain administrative costs and expenses management has not allocated to its industry segments. These costs include compensation for executive officers, insurance, professional fees for audit, tax and legal services and data processing expenses. The negative net sales amount represents the elimination of intercompany sales. The operating loss decreased \$6.8 million, from \$37.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2005 to \$30.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. This decrease was primarily due to the acceleration of payment of stock-based compensation during 2005 totaling \$14.6 million as a result of the Merger. Partially offsetting this decrease were increases during 2006 due to a full year of amortization of customer list intangible assets recorded in 2005 in connection with the Merger, a full year of management fees incurred in connection with the Merger, and increased stock-based compensation expense due to the adoption of SFAS 123(R).

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of liquidity are borrowings under the ABL facility and our cash flow from operations. At March 31, 2008, we had \$334.0 million drawn on the ABL facility, our borrowing availability was \$135.9 million and we had available cash of \$22.5 million. We anticipate that in connection with our offer to repurchase the 2007 Notes, with the net proceeds of this offering, our interest expense will be reduced by approximately \$_____ per year. We, however, cannot assure you that our offer will be accepted. To the extent not accepted, the net proceeds of this offering will be available for general corporate purposes. Our borrowing availability fluctuates daily with changes in eligible accounts receivables and inventory, less outstanding borrowings and letters of credit. See [Financing Activities](#) below.

Operating and Investing Activities

Although we do not produce any metal, our financial performance is affected by changes in metal prices. When metal prices rise, the prices at which we are able to sell our products generally increase over their historical costs; accordingly, our working capital (which consists primarily of accounts receivable and inventory) tends to increase in a rising price environment. Conversely, when metal prices fall, our working capital tends to decrease. Our working capital (current assets less current liabilities) increased from \$506.3 million at December 31, 2007 to \$569.1 million at March 31, 2008.

Changes in metal prices also affect our liquidity because of the time difference between our payment for our raw materials and our collection of cash from our customers. We sell our products and typically collect our accounts receivable within 45 days after the sale; however, we tend to pay for replacement materials (which are

Table of Contents

more expensive when metal prices are rising) over a much shorter period, primarily to benefit from early- payment discounts that are substantially higher than our cost of incremental debt. As a result, when metal prices are rising, we tend to draw more on the ABL facility to cover the cash flow cycle from material purchase to cash collection. When metal prices fall, we can replace our inventory at lower cost and, thus, generally do not need to access the ABL facility as much to cover the cash flow cycle. We believe our cash flow from operations, supplemented with the cash available under the ABL facility, will provide sufficient liquidity to meet the challenges and obligations we face during the current metal price environment. Additionally, we intend to look for value-added businesses that we can acquire at reasonable prices. We intend to use cash flows from operations and excess cash available under the ABL facility to fund future acquisitions.

Cash Flows

The following discussion of the principal sources and uses of cash should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows which are set forth under Consolidated Financial Statements.

The year ended December 31, 2005 includes the combined results for the Successor Company from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, and the Predecessor Company from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005. See Results of Operations 2005 Successor Company and Predecessor Company Results Combined Non-GAAP below for information on our combined results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, combining the results for the Successor Company from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, and the results for the Predecessor Company from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005.

Three Months Ended March 31, 2008

During the three months ended March 31, 2008, net cash used in operating activities was \$40.8 million. This amount represents net income, adjusted for costs that did not involve cash flows for the period, of \$9.9 million, in addition to changes in operating assets and liabilities that resulted in a cash outflow of \$50.7 million for the period, an amount that was primarily attributable to increases in accounts receivable and inventories, partially offset by increases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities. During the three months ended March 31, 2007, net cash provided by operating activities was \$8.0 million. This amount represents net income, adjusted for costs that did not involve cash flows for the period, of \$14.1 million, offset by changes in operating assets and liabilities that resulted in a cash outflow of \$6.1 million for the period, an amount that was primarily attributable to increases in accounts receivable and inventories, partially offset by increases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$2.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, and consisted primarily of \$2.8 million of purchases of assets. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, the most significant internal capital project was the expansion of our Plates and Shapes facility in Waggaman, Louisiana. Net cash used in investing activities was \$5.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007, and consisted primarily of \$5.5 million of purchases of assets. For the three months ended March 31, 2007, the most significant internal capital project was the expansion of our Plates and Shapes facility in Waggaman, Louisiana.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$52.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, and consisted primarily of net borrowings on the ABL facility of \$53.5 million. Net cash used in financing activities was \$146.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007, and consisted primarily of dividends paid of \$148.9 million, partially offset by net borrowings on the ABL facility of \$3.0 million.

Year Ended December 31, 2007

During the year ended December 31, 2007, net cash provided by operating activities was \$119.2 million. This amount represents net income, adjusted for costs that did not involve cash flows for the period, of \$54.2 million, plus changes in operating assets and liabilities that resulted in a cash inflow of \$65.0 million for the period, an amount that was primarily attributable to decreases in inventories and accounts receivable.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in investing activities was \$58.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, and consisted primarily of \$21.5 million of purchases of assets and \$38.2 million for the acquisition of Lynch Metals. For the year ended December 31, 2007, the most significant internal capital project was the expansion of our Plates and Shapes facility in Waggaman, Louisiana.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$202.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, and consisted primarily of dividends paid to our stockholders of \$288.5 million, in addition to repayments of long-term debt of \$150.7 million (\$150.0 million in connection with the repayment of the 2006 Notes) and net repayments on the ABL facility of \$48.5 million, partially offset by \$291.0 million of proceeds received from the issuance of the 2007 Notes.

Year Ended December 31, 2006

During the year ended December 31, 2006, net cash used in operating activities was \$45.7 million. This amount represents net income, adjusted for costs that did not involve cash flows for the period, of \$63.2 million, offset by changes in operating assets and liabilities that resulted in a cash outflow of \$108.9 million for the period, an amount that was primarily attributable to increases in accounts receivable and inventories, partially offset by a decrease in prepaid expenses and increases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$61.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, and consisted of \$1.6 million of proceeds from the sale of assets, offset by \$16.9 million of purchases of assets and the \$45.7 million for the purchase of Port City and Dura-Loc. These purchases were strategic acquisitions in our Plates and Shapes and Building Products segments. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the most significant internal capital projects included the expansion of our non-ferrous Germantown, Wisconsin facility and the installation of new processing equipment in our facility in Waggaman, Louisiana.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$251.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and consisted primarily of \$144.8 million of proceeds from the issuance of the 2006 Notes, in addition to net borrowings on the ABL facility of \$137.6 million, offset by the \$25.0 million payment of a cash dividend to our stockholders.

Combined Year Ended December 31, 2005

The year ended December 31, 2005 includes the combined results for the Successor Company from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, and the Predecessor Company from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, net cash by operating activities was \$177.4 million. We had operating income of \$83.0 million in 2005, and \$116.5 million of cash was provided by the reduction of inventory and collection of accounts receivable.

Net cash used by investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$450.3 million and consisted of Flag Intermediate's acquisition of Metals USA pursuant to the Merger for \$430.1 million and the purchase of assets of \$20.3 million, which was partially offset by the sales of assets of \$0.1 million. The most significant capital investments during the year included an acquisition of new laser cutting equipment at our Plates and Shapes facility in Waggaman, Louisiana, the expansion of our Plates and Shapes facility in Greensboro, North Carolina, and the purchase of the building housing our Plates and Shapes business in Newark, New Jersey.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$317.8 million for year ended December 31, 2005 and consisted primarily of the proceeds from the issuance of the Metals USA Notes, \$191.4 million of borrowings under our ABL facility, and the capital contribution from Flag Intermediate of \$134.0 million. These were partially offset by payments of \$107.7 million under our previous credit facility and the final payment of \$145.3 million to payoff and terminate that facility as a result of the Merger.

Table of Contents

Financing Activities

The ABL Facility

The ABL facility permits us to borrow on a revolving basis through November 30, 2011. Substantially all of our subsidiaries are borrowers under the ABL facility.

On June 8, 2007, we executed an amendment to the ABL facility, which we refer to as the June 2007 amendment, which increased the commitment from \$450.0 million to \$525.0 million, comprised of \$500.0 million of Tranche A Commitments and \$25.0 million of Tranche A-1 Commitments. Additionally, the June 2007 amendment reduced the borrowing cost on the Tranche A facility by 25 basis points, reduced the borrowing cost on the Tranche A-1 facility by 75 basis points and gave us the option to increase the Tranche A Commitments by \$100.0 million. The June 2007 amendment did not have any impact on our covenant compliance. Costs incurred in connection with the June 2007 amendment totaled \$1.6 million, and are being amortized over the existing term of the ABL facility, which expires November 30, 2011.

Borrowing base. The maximum availability under the ABL facility is based on eligible receivables and eligible inventory, subject to certain reserves. Our borrowing availability fluctuates daily with changes in eligible receivables and inventory, less outstanding borrowings and letters of credit. The borrowing base is equal to the lesser of (a) the aggregate amount of the Tranche A Commitments and the Tranche A-1 Commitments and (b) the sum of:

85% of the net amount of eligible accounts receivable;

the lesser of (x) 70% of the lesser of the original cost or market value of eligible inventory and (y) 90% of the net orderly liquidation value of eligible inventory; and

at all times prior to the termination of the Tranche A-1 Commitments, the sum of 5% of the net amount of eligible accounts receivable and 5% of the net orderly liquidation value of eligible inventory.

Initial borrowings under the ABL facility were used to repay the outstanding amounts drawn under our existing revolving credit facility and to fund other costs and expenses related to the Merger. The loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility provides for up to \$15.0 million of swing-line loans and up to \$100.0 million for the issuance of letters of credit. Both the face amount of any outstanding letters of credit and any swing-line loans will reduce borrowing availability under the ABL facility on a dollar-for-dollar basis.

As of March 31, 2008, we had eligible collateral of \$485.2 million, \$334.0 million in outstanding advances, \$15.2 million in open letters of credit and \$135.9 million in additional borrowing capacity.

In May 2006, we used \$36.3 million and \$9.4 million of funds from the ABL facility to acquire the net assets of Port City and Allmet, respectively. Also in May 2006, we paid the May 2006 dividend in the amount of \$25.0 million to our stockholders, which was funded by the ABL facility.

In January 2007, we used the net proceeds from the issuance of the 2006 Notes, as well as \$8.2 million of additional borrowings under the ABL facility, to pay a cash dividend of approximately \$144.8 million to our stockholders, to make a cash payment (partially in lieu of the cash dividend) of \$4.2 million to our vested stock option holders, and to pay fees and expenses related to the issuance of the 2006 Notes, including a \$1.5 million non-recurring transaction fee to Apollo.

In July 2007, we purchased the business operations of Lynch Metals for approximately \$42.4 million. The purchase price was funded by borrowings under the ABL facility, \$38.4 million of which was paid at closing, and approximately \$4.0 million of which is deferred and will be paid in various installments over a period of two years from the closing date.

Table of Contents

Also in July 2007, we issued \$300.0 million initial aggregate principal amount of the 2007 Notes. The net proceeds from the issuance of the 2007 Notes, as well as approximately \$8.3 million of additional borrowings under the ABL facility, were used to redeem the 2006 Notes (for approximately \$150.0 million plus accrued and unpaid interest of approximately \$5.4 million), to pay a cash dividend of approximately \$130.3 million to our stockholders, which include Apollo and certain members of management, to make a cash payment (partially in lieu of the cash dividend) of approximately \$9.2 million to our stock option holders, which include certain members of our management, and to pay fees and expenses related to the offering of the 2007 Notes.

Guarantees and security. Substantially all of our subsidiaries are defined as borrowers under the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility. The obligations under the ABL facility are guaranteed by Flag Intermediate and certain of our domestic subsidiaries and are secured (i) on a first-priority lien basis by our, the other borrowers and the guarantors' accounts, inventory, cash and proceeds and products of the foregoing and certain assets related thereto and (ii) on a second-priority lien basis by substantially all of our, the other borrowers and the guarantors' other assets, subject to certain exceptions and permitted liens.

Interest rate and fees. Interest is calculated based upon a margin over reference rates. The marginal rates vary with our financial performance as measured by the FCCR. The FCCR is determined by dividing (i) the sum of adjusted EBITDA minus income taxes paid in cash minus non-financed capital expenditures by (ii) the sum of certain distributions paid in cash, cash interest expense and scheduled principal reductions on debt.

The interest rates with respect to loans utilizing the Tranche A Commitments are, at our option, (i) the higher of (a) the prime rate of Credit Suisse in effect at its principal office in New York City and (b) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.5%, plus, in each case, an applicable margin ranging between -0.25% and -0.50% as determined in accordance with the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility or (ii) the rate (as adjusted for statutory reserves) for Eurodollar deposits for one, two, three, six or, if agreed to by all lenders under the loan and security agreement, nine or twelve months, as selected by us, determined by reference to the British Bankers' Association Interest Settlement Rates, plus an applicable margin ranging between 1.00% and 1.75% as determined in accordance with the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility.

The interest rates with respect to loans utilizing the Tranche A-1 Commitments are, at our option, (i) the higher of (a) the prime rate of Credit Suisse in effect at its principal office in New York City and (b) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.5%, in each case plus an applicable margin of 0.75% or (ii) the rate (as adjusted for statutory reserves) for Eurodollar deposits for one, two, three, six or, if agreed to by all lenders under the loan and security agreement, nine or twelve months, as selected by us, determined by reference to the British Bankers' Association Interest Settlement Rates, plus an applicable margin of 2.75%.

A commitment fee is payable on any unused commitments under the ABL facility of 0.25% per annum. The applicable base rate and the effective London Interbank Offered Rate, which we refer to as LIBOR, were 5.25% and 2.69%, respectively, at March 31, 2008.

Certain covenants. The ABL facility contains customary representations, warranties and covenants, including limitations on our ability to incur or guarantee additional debt, subject to certain exceptions, pay dividends, or make redemptions and repurchases with respect to capital stock, to repay debt, create or incur certain liens, make certain loans or investments, make acquisitions or investments, engage in mergers, acquisitions, asset sales and sale lease-back transactions, and engage in certain transactions with affiliates. In addition, the ABL facility requires a lock-box arrangement, which, as long as borrowing availability is greater than or equal to \$45.0 million and in the absence of default, is controlled by Metals USA. As long as our borrowing availability is \$45.0 million or greater, we do not have to maintain a minimum FCCR. Should borrowing availability fall below \$45.0 million, we must maintain an FCCR of at least 1.0 to 1.0. In addition, it is a precondition to borrowing under the ABL facility that, at the time of borrowing after giving effect thereto, all other representations and warranties made in the ABL facility loan documents shall be correct in all material respects, no default shall have occurred and be continuing and no material adverse effect shall have occurred or exist.

Table of Contents

Additionally, management and consulting fees paid are limited to the greater of \$3.0 million or 3% of adjusted EBITDA per fiscal year provided borrowing availability exceeds \$25.0 million (plus unpaid amounts for the prior fiscal year) or \$40 million to the extent such payments would exceed \$3 million in any fiscal year. Further, distributions in respect of capital stock are generally limited to the payment of up to \$25.0 million, plus \$5.0 million for each full fiscal quarter (with any amount not used in any fiscal quarter being permitted to be used in succeeding fiscal quarters), plus 50% of cumulative consolidated net income, or if a loss, minus 100% of the amount thereof, plus 100% of the aggregate net proceeds received by us from certain sales and issuances of capital stock or from certain capital contributions, of dividends, provided that borrowing availability is greater than or equal to \$50.0 million, the FCCR is at least 1.0 to 1.0 and no default exists.

The ABL facility contains events of default with respect to default in payment of principal when due, default in the payment of interest, fees or other amounts after a specified grace period, default in the performance of specified covenants, a default under any indebtedness with a principal amount in excess of a specified amount which would cause to be accelerated or permit the holders to accelerate such indebtedness, certain bankruptcy events, invalidity of certain security agreements or guarantees, material judgments or a change of control. If an event of default occurs under the agreement, the lenders may: (1) restrict the amount of or refuse to make revolving loans; (2) cause customer receipts to be applied against borrowings under the ABL facility, causing the Company to suffer a rapid loss of liquidity and the ability to operate on a day-to-day basis; (3) restrict or refuse to provide letters of credit; (4) terminate the commitments and the agreement; and/or (5) declare any or all obligations to be immediately due and payable. Any payment default after final maturity or acceleration under the ABL facility would also result in a default under the Metals USA Notes and 2007 Notes that would provide the holders of the Metals USA Notes and 2007 Notes with the right to demand immediate repayment.

Interest Rate Swaps. In February 2008, \$250.0 million notional amount of outstanding borrowings under the ABL facility were swapped from a floating LIBOR-based rate to a fixed rate. The swaps entitle us to receive quarterly payments of interest at a floating rate indexed to the three-month LIBOR and pay a fixed rate that ranges from 2.686% to 2.997%, converting a portion of the outstanding borrowings on our ABL facility from a floating rate obligation to a fixed rate obligation. We recognized a pretax loss of \$2.4 million in earnings (interest expense) during the three months ended March 31, 2008, which was primarily due to the change in fair value of the interest rate swap derivatives for a portion of time previous to their qualification for hedge accounting treatment. Other pretax realized gains and losses from derivatives which were recognized in earnings (interest expense) during the three months ended March 31, 2008, subsequent to qualification for hedge accounting treatment, were not material. These gains and losses effectively offset changes in the cost of the Company's hedged exposure, and no amount of such gains and losses resulted from hedge ineffectiveness, nor was any component of these gains and losses excluded from the Company's assessment of hedge effectiveness. The fair value of the Company's derivatives is recorded in accrued liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet. The fair value of the interest rate swaps was \$1.7 million at March 31, 2008, which is recorded in accrued liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

See Description of Certain Indebtedness The ABL Facility.

The Metals USA Notes

On the closing date of the Merger, we received approximately \$268.0 million of net cash proceeds from the sale of \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of the Metals USA Notes, after deducting expenses of the offering. Interest on the Metals USA Notes accrues at the rate of 11 1/8% per annum and is payable semiannually in arrears on June 1 and December 1. We may redeem some or all of the Metals USA Notes at any time on or after December 1, 2010, at a predetermined redemption price plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date. In addition, on or prior to December 1, 2008, we may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Metals USA Notes with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings. If we experience a change of control and we do not redeem the Metals USA Notes, we will be required

Table of Contents

to make an offer to repurchase the Metals USA Notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the date of repurchase.

We will pay interest on overdue principal at 1% per annum in excess of the above rate and will pay interest on overdue installments of interest at such higher rate to the extent lawful. The indenture governing the Metals USA Notes contains the covenants described under **Covenant Compliance** below.

The Metals USA Notes indenture contains certain customary events of default, including (subject, in some cases, to customary cure periods thresholds) defaults based on (1) the failure to make payments under the Metals USA Notes indenture when due, (2) breach of covenants, (3) cross-defaults to other material indebtedness, (4) bankruptcy events and (5) material judgments. We were in compliance with all covenants as of March 31, 2008.

See **Description of Certain Indebtedness** **The Metals USA Notes**.

The 2006 Notes

During December 2006, we issued the 2006 Notes. The 2006 Notes were senior unsecured obligations that were not guaranteed by any of Metals USA Holdings' subsidiaries. As such, the 2006 notes were structurally subordinated to all indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of Metals USA Holdings' subsidiaries.

Because Metals USA Holdings' principal asset is its investment in Flag Intermediate, Flag Intermediate provided funds to service the 2006 Notes. On April 16, 2007, Flag Intermediate provided funds to Metals USA Holdings in the amount of \$5.3 million to fund the initial quarterly interest payment on the 2006 Notes, which was paid on April 16, 2007.

In connection with the issuance of the 2007 Notes discussed below, Metals USA Holdings discharged its obligations under the indenture related to the previously issued 2006 Notes by depositing with the trustee for the 2006 Notes (i) an irrevocable notice of redemption of the 2006 Notes and (ii) cash and United States government securities in an amount necessary to yield on August 9, 2007 approximately \$156.0 million, which represented all amounts payable under the indenture relating to the 2006 Notes on the August 9, 2007 redemption date.

The 2007 Notes

On July 10, 2007, we issued \$300.0 million initial aggregate principal amount of the 2007 Notes. The 2007 Notes were issued at an initial issue price of 97% of the principal amount thereof, and the original issue discount is being amortized to interest expense over the life of the 2007 Notes. The 2007 Notes are senior unsecured obligations that are not guaranteed by any of Metals USA Holdings' subsidiaries. As such, the 2007 Notes are structurally subordinated to all indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of Metals USA Holdings' subsidiaries. See **Description of Certain Indebtedness** **The 2007 Notes**.

The initial three interest payments on the 2007 Notes were paid, and the July 1 interest payment is payable solely in cash. For any interest period thereafter, we may elect to pay interest (1) entirely in cash or (2) entirely by increasing the principal amount of the 2007 Notes or issuing new 2007 Notes, which we refer to as **PIK Interest**, or (3) on 50% of the outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Notes in cash and on 50% of the outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Notes by increasing the principal amount of the outstanding 2007 Notes or by issuing new 2007 Notes, which we refer to as **Partial PIK Interest**. Cash interest on the 2007 Notes will accrue at a rate per annum, reset quarterly, equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 6.00%, which increases by 0.25% to 6.25% in year 2, by 0.50% to 6.50% in year 3, and by 0.75% to 6.75% in year 4. In the event **PIK Interest** is paid on the 2007 Notes after the first four interest periods, the then-applicable margin over LIBOR on the 2007 Notes would increase by 0.75% for each period in which **PIK Interest** is paid. If Metals USA Holdings

Table of Contents

elects to pay any PIK Interest, Metals USA Holdings will increase the principal amount on the 2007 Notes or issue new 2007 Notes in an amount equal to the amount of PIK Interest for the applicable interest payment period to holders of the 2007 Notes on the relevant record date. Interest is payable quarterly in arrears on January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1.

Flag Intermediate provided funds to Metals USA Holdings to fund the initial three quarterly interest payments on the 2007 Notes, which were paid on October 1, 2007, January 2, 2008, and April 1, 2008, and totaled \$7.7 million, \$8.4 million, and \$8.1 million, respectively. Flag Intermediate expects to provide funds to Metals USA Holdings to fund the fourth quarterly interest payment on the 2007 Notes in the amount of \$6.7 million due on July 1, 2008.

The terms of the ABL facility, as well as the indenture governing the Metals USA Notes, restrict Flag Intermediate and certain of its subsidiaries from making payments or transferring assets to Metals USA Holdings, including dividends, loans, or distributions. Such restrictions include prohibition of dividends in an event of default and limitations on the total amount of dividends paid to Metals USA Holdings. In the event these agreements do not permit Flag Intermediate to provide Metals USA Holdings with sufficient distributions to fund interest and principal payments on the 2007 Notes when due, Metals USA Holdings may default on the 2007 Notes unless other sources of funding are available. Amounts available under these restricted payment provisions amounted to \$37.1 million under the indenture governing the Metals USA Notes and \$82.2 million under the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility as of March 31, 2008.

On or after January 15, 2008, Metals USA Holdings may redeem some or all of the 2007 Notes at certain redemption prices, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the redemption date. If Metals USA Holdings makes certain public offerings, asset sales or issuances of common stock, and does not redeem the 2007 Notes, it will be required to make an offer to repurchase the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes that may be purchased out of the proceeds thereof, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the date of repurchase.

If Metals USA Holdings experiences a change of control and does not redeem the 2007 Notes, it will be required to make an offer to repurchase the 2007 Notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount, plus accrued interest and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the date of repurchase.

We will pay interest on overdue principal at 1% per annum in excess of the rates discussed above and will pay interest on overdue installments of interest at such higher rate to the extent lawful. The indenture governing the 2007 Notes contains covenants described under **Covenant Compliance** below.

The indenture governing the 2007 Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit Metals USA Holdings' ability and the ability of certain of its subsidiaries to incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue disqualified or preferred stock, repurchase or redeem capital stock or subordinated indebtedness, pay dividends or make distributions to its stockholders, incur restrictions on the ability of its subsidiaries to pay dividends or to make other payments to Metals USA Holdings, transfer or sell assets, create liens, enter into transactions with affiliates, make investments or acquisitions, and merge or consolidate with other companies or transfer all or substantially all of its assets.

Our affiliates, which include Apollo, as well as certain members of our management team, from time to time and depending upon market, pricing and other conditions, have purchased and may in the future purchase or sell a portion of the 2007 Notes in the market. Any such future purchases or sales may be made in the open market, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers or otherwise. As of March 31, 2008, the amounts of outstanding 2007 Notes held by our affiliates was \$91.4 million, \$90.9 million of which were held by Apollo, with the remainder held by certain members of our management team.

On February 26, 2008, we exchanged \$216.0 million aggregate principal amount of the \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount privately placed 2007 Notes for substantially identical 2007 Notes registered under the Securities Act.

Table of Contents

As described in Use of Proceeds, no later than 60 days following our receipt of the proceeds of this offering, we will make an offer to all holders of the 2007 Notes to repurchase the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes, of which \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount were outstanding as of March 31, 2008, that may be purchased out of the net proceeds of this offering, estimated to be approximately \$ million, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase. Our affiliates that are holders of the 2007 Notes may participate in the repurchase offer. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Repurchase Offer. We cannot assure you that holders of the 2007 Notes will accept our offer and, even if they do, we expect that \$ million of the 2007 Notes will remain outstanding. We will also continue to be subject to the covenants in the indenture governing the 2007 Notes.

See Description of Certain Indebtedness The 2007 Notes.

Covenant Compliance

The FCCR, as defined under the ABL facility, is determined on a rolling four-quarter period often referred to as last-twelve month, or LTM, period, by dividing (i) the sum of adjusted EBITDA minus income taxes paid in cash minus non-financed capital expenditures by (ii) the sum of certain distributions paid in cash, cash interest expense and scheduled principal reductions on debt. However, under the indentures governing the Metals USA Notes and the 2007 Notes, the denominator of the FCCR does not include the sum of certain distributions paid in cash and scheduled principal reductions and the numerator does not include cash income taxes or non-financed capital expenditures. Accordingly, we have presented our covenant compliance on the basis of the FCCR in our ABL facility. As of March 31, 2008, our FCCR was 1.52. As of March 31, 2008, we had \$135.9 million of additional borrowing capacity under the ABL facility. Failure to comply with the FCCR covenant of the ABL facility can result in limiting our long-term growth prospects by hindering our ability to incur future indebtedness or grow through acquisitions. The interest rate in respect of borrowings under the ABL facility is determined in reference to the FCCR, and should borrowing availability under the ABL facility fall below \$45.0 million, we must maintain an FCCR of at least 1.0 to 1.0, measured on a trailing four-quarter basis.

The indentures governing the Metals USA Notes and the 2007 Notes contain covenants that restrict our ability to take certain actions, such as incurring additional debt and making certain acquisitions, if we are unable to meet defined adjusted EBITDA to fixed charges and consolidated total debt ratios (each, as defined). The covenants in the indentures require us to have an adjusted EBITDA to fixed charge ratio (measured on a trailing four-quarter basis and calculated differently from the FCCR as defined by the ABL facility) of 2.0 to 1.0 to incur ratio indebtedness and a consolidated total debt ratio of no greater than 4.75 to 1.0 to incur ratio indebtedness in connection with acquisitions. Based on the calculations for the trailing four quarters, we are able to satisfy these covenants and incur additional indebtedness under these ratios, including for acquisition purposes, under our indentures.

Adjusted EBITDA is defined as EBITDA further adjusted to exclude certain non-cash, non-recurring and realized (or in case of the indentures, expected) future cost savings directly related to prior acquisitions. We believe that the inclusion of the supplemental adjustments applied in calculating adjusted EBITDA is appropriate to provide additional information to investors to demonstrate compliance with our financial covenants and assess our ability to incur additional indebtedness in the future. EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA and fixed charges are not defined terms under GAAP. Neither EBITDA nor adjusted EBITDA should be considered an alternative to operating income or net income as a measure of operating results or an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. Fixed charges should not be considered an alternative to interest expense. Because we are highly leveraged, we believe that the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA applied in presenting adjusted EBITDA are appropriate to provide additional information to investors to demonstrate compliance with the covenants in our debt agreements.

As of March 31, 2008, we were in compliance with all of the debt covenants including those of the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility and the indentures governing the Metals USA Notes and the 2007

Table of Contents

Notes. Both the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility and the indentures governing the Metals USA Notes and the 2007 Notes contain restrictions as to the payment of dividends. As of March 31, 2008, under the most restrictive of these covenants, the maximum amount of dividends that could be paid was \$82.2 million under the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility and \$37.1 million under the indenture governing the Metals USA Notes. As of March 31, 2008, Flag Intermediate and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Metals USA, had \$177.6 million of total stockholder's equity.

We believe the cash flow from operations, supplemented by the cash available under the ABL facility, will be sufficient to enable us to meet our debt service and operational obligations as they come due for at least the next twelve months.

	Period from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005	Period from May 9, 2005 (Date of Inception) to December 31, 2005	Years Ended December 31, 2006 2007		Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 2008	
	(dollars in millions)					
Net income	\$ 43.5	\$ (2.0)	\$ 39.3	\$ 13.9	\$ 3.8	\$ 3.8
Depreciation and amortization(1)	3.5	1.5	22.6	23.7	5.5	6.6
Interest expense	12.0	4.1	54.6	87.0	19.4	25.1
Loss on extinguishment of debt				8.4		
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	26.7	(1.2)	25.8	4.8	2.4	2.4
Other (income) expense	(0.1)		(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.1)	(0.1)
EBITDA	\$ 85.6	\$ 2.4	\$ 141.6	\$ 137.1	\$ 31.0	\$ 37.8
Covenant defined adjustments:						
Inventory purchase adjustments(2)		4.1	10.8			
Stock options and grant expense(3)	15.0	0.4	1.2	4.8	3.4	0.3
Write-off of prepaid expenses as result of Merger(4)	0.3					
Facilities closure(5)			1.4	0.7		1.9
Severance costs(6)	0.7					
Pension withdrawal liability(7)				2.0		
Management fees(8)		0.1	1.2	1.5	0.3	0.3
Adjusted EBITDA(9)	\$ 101.6	\$ 7.0	\$ 156.2	\$ 146.1	\$ 34.7	\$ 40.3
Fixed charge coverage ratio(10)	N/A	N/A	1.51	1.31	1.24	1.52

- (1) Includes depreciation for Building Products that is included in cost of sales.
- (2) As a result of management's analysis and evaluation of the replacement cost of inventory as of the closing of the Apollo Transactions, a purchase accounting increase in the fair value of inventory of \$14.9 million was recorded as of December 1, 2005 with \$4.1 million of that amount charged to cost of sales in December 2005 and \$10.8 million charged to cost of sales in the first quarter of 2006.
- (3) Non-cash stock option and stock grant expense.
- (4) These prepaid amounts were written off as a result of the Apollo Transactions.
- (5) The amount for 2006 represents \$1.4 million of charges in connection with the closure of three facilities within the Building Products Group and one facility within each of the Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups, respectively. The amount for 2007 represents charges in the Building Products Group for the closure of two facilities in the third quarter of 2007 and one in the fourth quarter of 2007. The amount for the three months ended March 31, 2008 represents charges to the Building Products Group for the closure of five facilities during such period.
- (6) This amount represents severance costs of management personnel that were replaced as part of the Apollo Transactions.

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

- (7) This amount represents accrued expenses incurred in connection with the withdrawal of two of our operating facilities from a multi-employer pension fund.

Table of Contents

- (8) Includes accrued expenses related to the management agreement we have with Apollo.
- (9) As defined by the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility and the indentures governing the Metals Notes and the 2007 Notes.
- (10) This amount represents the FCCR, as defined by the ABL facility. For more information about the FCCR, see footnote (2) in the Summary Historical Consolidated and Combined Financial Data.

Results of Operations 2005 Successor Company and Predecessor Company Results Combined Non-GAAP

The following tables present our combined results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, combining the results for the Successor Company from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, and the results for the Predecessor Company from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005.

GAAP does not allow for such combination of the Predecessor Company's and the Successor Company's financial results; however, we believe the combined results provide information that is useful in evaluating our financial performance. The combined information is the result of merely adding the two columns and does not include any pro forma assumptions or adjustments. The Successor Company had no assets and conducted no operations from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to November 30, 2005. We believe the Predecessor/Successor split of our results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 would make it difficult for an investor to compare historical and future results. The Merger did not affect the operational activities of Metals USA and combining Predecessor and Successor results puts our operational performance into a meaningful format for comparative purposes.

As a result of the Merger, the fair value of inventories, property and equipment and intangibles (customer lists) were increased by \$14.9 million, \$118.6 million and \$22.2 million, respectively. For the Successor Company for the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, operating costs and expenses were increased by \$5.2 million (\$4.1 million for cost of sales and \$1.1 million of additional depreciation and amortization) as the inventory was sold and additional depreciation and amortization was recorded. The fair value of deferred taxes and long-term liabilities was increased by \$64.8 million and \$3.1 million. Our intangible assets (customer lists) will be amortized over five years using an accelerated amortization method which approximates its useful life and value to us. Total acquisition costs were allocated to the acquired assets and assumed liabilities based upon estimates of their respective fair values as of the closing date of the Merger using valuation and other studies.

	Predecessor Company	Successor Company Period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005 (in millions)	Combined Non-GAAP Year Ended December 31, 2005
	Period from January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005		
Net sales	\$ 1,522.1	\$ 116.9	\$ 1,639.0
Cost of sales(1)	1,189.3	92.5	1,281.8
Operating and delivery	139.1	12.8	151.9
Selling, general and administrative	108.5	9.3	117.8
Depreciation and amortization(1)	3.1	1.4	4.5
Operating income	82.1	0.9	83.0
Interest expense	12.0	4.1	16.1
Other (income) expense, net	(0.1)		(0.1)
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 70.2	\$ (3.2)	\$ 67.0

- (1) As a result of the Merger, the fair value of inventories, property and equipment and intangibles (customer lists) were increased by \$14.9 million, \$118.6 million and \$22.2 million, respectively. For the Successor Company for the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, operating costs and

Table of Contents

expenses were increased by \$5.2 million (\$4.1 million for cost of sales and \$1.1 million of additional depreciation and amortization) as the inventory was sold and additional depreciation and amortization was recorded. On a segment basis, \$5.2 million additional operating cost and expense was allocated as follows: \$1.1 million to Building Products, \$1.9 million to Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous, \$1.6 million to Plates and Shapes, and \$0.6 million to Corporate.

The period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005 includes one month of operations, the month of December, of Metals USA. There is a slight decrease in our business during the winter months because of the impact of inclement weather conditions on the construction industry. This decrease in business, as well as the increase in costs that were associated with purchase accounting of \$5.2 million, resulted in a net loss of \$3.2 million.

	Net Sales		2005 Combined By Segment Operating Income (Loss)		Capital Spending	Tons Shipped(1)
	\$	%	\$	%		
Combined Non-GAAP 2005:						
Plates and Shapes	\$ 694.7	42.4%	\$ 68.4	82.4%	\$ 13.7	740
Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous	770.9	47.0%	35.5	42.8%	2.5	723
Building Products	195.1	11.9%	16.8	20.2%	3.2	
Corporate and other	(21.7)	(1.3)%	(37.7)	(45.4)%	0.9	(24)
Total	\$ 1,639.0	100.0%	\$ 83.0	100.0%	\$ 20.3	1,439
Successor Company:						
Plates and Shapes	\$ 54.5	46.6%	\$ 4.0	444.4%	\$ 4.1	57
Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous	51.0	43.6%	0.6	66.7%	0.2	52
Building Products	13.2	11.3%	(0.7)	(77.8)%	0.1	
Corporate and other	(1.8)	(1.5)%	(3.0)	(333.3)%		(2)
Total	\$ 116.9	100.0%	\$ 0.9	100.0%	\$ 4.4	107
Predecessor Company:						
Plates and Shapes	\$ 640.2	42.0%	\$ 64.4	78.5%	\$ 9.6	683
Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous	719.9	47.3%	34.9	42.5%	2.3	671
Building Products	181.9	12.0%	17.5	21.3%	3.1	
Corporate and other	(19.9)	(1.3)%	(34.7)	(42.3)%	0.9	(22)
Total	\$ 1,522.1	100.0%	\$ 82.1	100.0%	\$ 15.9	1,332

(1) Shipments are expressed in thousands of tons and are not an appropriate measure of volume for the Building Products Group.

	Predecessor Company Period from January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005	Successor Company Period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005	Combined Non-GAAP Year Ended December 31, 2005
Cash flow data:			
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 170.1	\$ 7.3	\$ 177.4

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

Cash flows used in investing activities	(15.8)	(434.5)	(450.3)
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities	(120.7)	438.5	317.8

Table of Contents

New Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133, which we refer to as SFAS 161, which expands the disclosure requirements in SFAS 133 about an entity's derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS 161's disclosure provisions apply to all entities with derivative instruments subject to SFAS 133 and its related interpretations. The provisions also apply to related hedged items, bifurcated derivatives, and nonderivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments. Entities with instruments subject to SFAS 161 must provide more robust qualitative disclosures and expanded quantitative disclosures. Such disclosures, as well as existing SFAS 133 required disclosures, generally will need to be presented for every annual and interim reporting period. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of adopting SFAS 161, if any, on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations*, which we refer to as SFAS 141R, which replaces SFAS 141. SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired in connection with a business combination. The Statement also establishes disclosure requirements that will enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effect of the business combination. SFAS 141R applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 141R on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements* an amendment of ARB No. 51, which we refer to as SFAS 160. SFAS 160 requires that accounting and reporting for minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. SFAS 160 also establishes reporting requirements that provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company has not yet determined the impact, if any, that SFAS 160 will have on its financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115, which we refer to as SFAS 159. SFAS 159 expands the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards which require assets and liabilities to be carried at fair value. Under SFAS 159, a company may elect to use fair value to measure accounts and loans receivable, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, equity method investments, accounts payable, guarantees, issued debt and other eligible financial instruments. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company did not elect to measure additional financial assets and liabilities at fair value.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R), which we refer to as SFAS 158, which requires the recognition of the funded status of benefit plans in the balance sheet. SFAS 158 also requires certain gains and losses that are deferred under current pension accounting rules to be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax effects. These deferred costs (or income) will continue to be recognized as a component of net periodic pension cost, consistent with current recognition rules. For entities with no publicly traded equity securities, the effective date for the recognition of the funded status is for fiscal years ending after June 15, 2007. In addition, the ability to measure the plans' benefit obligations, assets and net period cost at a date prior to the fiscal year-end date is eliminated for fiscal years ending after

Table of Contents

December 15, 2008. The adoption of the recognition element of SFAS 158 had no effect on the Company's financial statements. The adoption of the measurement date element of SFAS 158 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which we refer to as SFAS 157, which enhances existing guidance for measuring assets and liabilities using fair value. SFAS 157 provides a single definition of fair value, together with a framework for measuring it, and requires additional disclosure about the use of fair value to measure assets and liabilities. SFAS 157 also emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, and sets out a fair value hierarchy with the highest priority being quoted market prices in active markets. Under the standard, fair value measurements would be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy. SFAS 157, as it relates to financial assets and liabilities, was effective for the Company as of January 1, 2008. SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements for existing assets and liabilities on the Company's balance sheet as of the date of adoption. Rather, the provisions of SFAS 157 are to be applied prospectively. As such, there was no impact to the Company's financial statements as of the January 1, 2008 adoption date, and for the three months ended March 31, 2008, we have included the Statement's expanded disclosures about the use of fair value to measure assets and liabilities within the Company's financial statements.

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which we refer to as FSB FAS 157-2, which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on at least an annual basis, until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of adopting FSP FAS 157-2, if any, on its consolidated financial statements.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to market risk, primarily from changes in interest rates and the cost of metal we hold in inventory. We continually monitor exposure to market risk and develop appropriate strategies to manage this risk. With respect to our metal purchases, there is no recognized market to purchase derivative financial instruments to reduce the inventory exposure risks. See Liquidity and Capital Resources for a discussion of market risk relative to steel prices.

Our exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to the ABL facility and the 2007 Notes, both of which are subject to variable interest rates as of March 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2008, outstanding borrowings under the ABL facility were \$334.0 million. Based on the weighted average borrowings outstanding on the ABL facility during the three months ended March 31, 2008, a one percent increase or decrease in the weighted average facility rate would have resulted in a change to pretax interest expense of approximately \$0.8 million for the period.

As of March 31, 2008, the outstanding aggregate principal amount of the 2007 Notes was \$300.0 million. Based on this amount, a one percent increase or decrease in the base rate would have resulted in a change to pretax interest expense of \$0.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. At May 9, 2008, the 2007 Notes were traded at 86.5% of face value.

On February 29, 2008, \$250.0 million notional amount of outstanding borrowings under the ABL facility was swapped from a floating LIBOR-based rate to a fixed rate. The swaps entitle us to receive quarterly payments of interest at a floating rate indexed to the three-month LIBOR and pay a fixed rate that ranges from 2.686% to 2.997%, converting a portion of the outstanding borrowings on our ABL facility from a floating rate obligation to a fixed rate obligation. The fair value of the interest rate swaps will fluctuate during the year based on normal changes in interest rates. We recognized a pretax loss of \$2.4 million in earnings (interest expense) during the three months ended March 31, 2008, which was primarily due to the change in fair value of the interest rate swap derivatives for a portion of time previous to their qualification for hedge accounting treatment. Other pretax realized gains and losses from derivatives which were recognized in earnings (interest expense)

Table of Contents

during the three months ended March 31, 2008, subsequent to qualification for hedge accounting treatment, were not material. These gains and losses effectively offset changes in the cost of the Company's hedged exposure. The fair value of the interest rate swaps was \$1.7 million at March 31, 2008, which is recorded in accrued liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

\$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of Metals USA Notes were outstanding as of March 31, 2008, with a fixed interest rate of 11 1/8%. Changes in market interest rates will not impact cash interest payable on the Metals USA Notes. At May 9, 2008, the Metals USA Notes were traded at approximately 103.75% of face value.

Table of Contents**BUSINESS****Company Overview**

Metals USA, Inc. was incorporated in Delaware on July 3, 1996, and began operations upon completion of an initial public offering on July 11, 1997. On May 18, 2005, Metals USA Holdings, Flag Acquisition, and Metals USA entered into the Merger Agreement. On November 30, 2005, Flag Acquisition, which was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Flag Intermediate, merged with and into Metals USA, with Metals USA being the surviving corporation. Flag Intermediate and Flag Acquisition conducted no operations during the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to November 30, 2005. As a result of the Merger, all of Metals USA Inc.'s issued and outstanding common stock is held indirectly by Metals USA Holdings through Flag Intermediate, its wholly owned subsidiary. Metals USA Holdings was formed by Apollo. Investment funds associated with Apollo own approximately 97% of the capital stock of Metals USA Holdings (or approximately 90% on a fully-diluted basis) as of March 31, 2008, and will beneficially own approximately % of our common stock, assuming the underwriters do not exercise their over-allotment option. The remainder of the capital stock of Metals USA Holdings is held by members of our management. See Principal Stockholders and Selling Stockholders.

As one of the largest metal service center businesses in the United States, we are a leading provider of value-added processed carbon steel, stainless steel, aluminum, red metals and manufactured metal components. We are an important intermediary between primary metal producers that generally sell large volumes in limited sizes and configurations and end-users that generally require more services and smaller quantities of customized products. Our metal service center business consists of a Plates and Shapes Group that sold approximately 826 thousand tons of steel plates and structurals in 2007 and a Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group that sold approximately 614 thousand tons of ferrous and non-ferrous flat rolled products in 2007. We sell our products and services to a diverse customer base and range of end markets, including defense, aerospace, marine, oil and gas, fabrication, and commercial construction, among several others, throughout the United States. In our metal service centers we earn a margin over the cost of metal. Management's strategy, manifested through our organic growth initiatives and acquisitions of Port City and Lynch Metals focuses on maximizing the margin we earn over the cost of metal by offering additional higher value-added processing services and by diversifying our product mix. We believe this strategy, in combination with management's proven ability to manage metal purchasing and inventories to consistently meet our customers' high expectations for service and reliability, has underpinned our earnings growth over the last several years.

Our Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups perform customized, value-added processing services to unimproved steel and other metals required to meet specifications provided by our customers in addition to offering inventory management and just-in-time delivery services, among others. These services enable our customers to reduce material costs, decrease capital required for raw materials inventory and processing equipment, and save time, labor, warehouse space and other expenses. The customers of our Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups are in the electrical and appliance manufacturing, fabrication, furniture, commercial construction, machinery and equipment, land and marine transportation, and energy and aerospace industries. Our Building Products Group manufactures high-value finished building products for distributors and contractors engaged in the residential remodeling industry.

Competitive Strengths

Premium Margins Over Metal. Metal service centers generally earn a margin over the cost of metal, which provides stability to metal service centers' cash flows relative to metal producers through pricing cycles. In addition, by performing certain value added processing to metal before it is shipped to the customer as well as providing inventory management services, we earn a premium margin over the cost of metal. We also sell an

Table of Contents

enhanced product mix across our metal service center business by supplementing our core carbon offerings with non-ferrous volumes. Non-ferrous volumes are generally higher margin and more stable through economic cycles. Over the last several years, we have invested in our facilities and completed acquisitions to equip our metal service centers with the ability to continue earning premium margins going forward.

Platform for Strong Growth. Over the last several years we spent approximately \$122.4 million in growth initiatives including \$38.5 million to grow our business organically and \$83.9 million of acquisitions. Our growth initiatives have focused on increasing our mix of higher-margin products and services, such as value-added processing, inventory management services and non-ferrous volumes. Our largest recent organic growth project was a \$17.5 million investment in our Plates and Shapes metal service center in Waggaman, Louisiana to capitalize upon the strong marine industry in the gulf coast region. This investment equipped this facility with the ability to provide value-added processing, such as blast, paint, laser and plasma cutting and press brake services. In late 2005, we established and trained a dedicated acquisitions team that is responsible for identifying, evaluating, executing, integrating and monitoring acquisitions. This team has completed two strategic acquisitions for our metal service center business: (1) Port City in our Plates and Shapes Group that increased our plate processing capabilities to customers serving the oil field, construction equipment and refining industries, and (2) Lynch Metals in our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group that provides value-added, specialized aluminum products, to customers who are predominantly manufacturers of air/heat transfer products specifically focused on aerospace, industrial and automotive applications. See **Risk Factors** **Risks Related to Our Business** We may not successfully implement our acquisition strategy, and acquisitions that we pursue may present unforeseen integration obstacles and costs, increase our leverage and negatively impact our performance.

Skilled Inventory Management. Inventory management is critical to metal service centers' ability to balance investment in working capital, pass on the cost of metal to the customer and meet customer needs often on a just-in-time basis. The Company's purchasing practices follow an inventory management framework set on a weekly basis under the guidance of our Chief Executive Officer. Within this framework, inventory and processing services are tailored to the needs of each metal service center's particular customers. We believe our system of inventory management and our capital structure flexibility have allowed us to react to changing metals prices and customer needs, oftentimes more quickly than most of our competitors. Our information technology systems allow us to share inventory among our facilities, which helps us to maximize returns and satisfy our customers. In addition, our inventory management framework has supported our ability to generate significant earnings during rising metal price environments and generate significant free cash flow in declining metal price environments.

Strong Relationships with Key Suppliers. We are one of the largest domestic purchasers of steel, and we have established strong relationships with large domestic and international metal suppliers. Because we are a significant customer of our major suppliers, we obtain volume discounts and historically have been able to obtain ample access to feedstock in periods of tight supply. Access to feedstock during these periods enhances our standing relative to our competitors with end-users. Our relationships with our metal suppliers also help us to optimize our inventory management.

Diversified Customer Base, Products and End-Markets. Our business supplies a broad range of products to a large and diversified customer base (over 17,400 customers in 2007) in a wide variety of end-markets and industries. However, we have sought to enhance our position in stable growth industries that demand higher value-added services and reduce our exposure to more cyclical sectors. As a result of our organic growth projects and acquisitions, we have capitalized on growth opportunities with products such as aluminum brazing sheet, armor plate, marine grade aluminum plate, and pressure vessel plate to service the aerospace, marine, defense, and oil and gas industries. Our broad range of high-quality products and customized value-added services offered throughout our metal service centers allow us to offer one-stop shopping to our customers. We believe one-stop shopping provides a significant competitive advantage over smaller metal service centers, which generally stock fewer products than we do.

Table of Contents

Experienced and Proven Management Team. Our senior management team has over 20 years on average of metals industry experience and is supported by considerable management talent, including our division presidents and facility operators. Our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, C. Lourenço Gonçalves, has over 25 years of experience in the metals industry, including his terms as Chief Executive Officer of CSI, which had many of the same value chain dynamics as a metal service center, and as managing director, among other positions, of CSN. Under his leadership since 2003, we have executed a strategy that has significantly improved our cash flow stability, earnings growth, and competitiveness within the industry.

Strategy

Expand Value-Added Services. We intend to continue expanding our value-added services, which enhance our relationships with existing customers and help us build new customer relationships. Customers increasingly demand and are willing to pay a premium margin for additional value-added services to facilitate more efficient inventory management and reduce total production costs. Demand for these services generally remains strong through most economic cycles. We intend to continue to identify and invest in capital projects that provide attractive returns to fulfill this growing demand. We believe that our operating expertise, organizational structure, high-quality facilities, size, and our low cost and flexible capital structure enable us to reliably provide a full range of value-added services to our customers relative to our competitors, particularly smaller metal service centers.

Increase Sales of Higher Margin Products and Services. The sale of higher margin products and services, which tend to have higher growth prospects and are more stable, will continue to be one of our core strategies. We intend to continue executing on this strategy by increasing our non-ferrous volumes and our sales of processed products. Focusing on this strategy historically has increased our margins, stabilized our earnings, and optimized our investment in working capital, and we expect this strategy will continue benefiting us in these areas. We anticipate that we will continue investing in and acquiring companies to maintain and expand our state-of-the-art processing facilities, which will enable us to fulfill a greater proportion of our customers' processing requirements relative to our competitors.

Execute Strategic Acquisitions to Improve Our Business. The North American metal service center industry is highly fragmented, which we believe provides us with opportunities to execute our core strategies through highly synergistic bolt-on acquisitions. We completed two acquisitions, Port City for our Plates and Shapes Group and Lynch Metals for our Flat-Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group, both of which have benefited us financially, operationally and strategically. The combination of our successful track record of acquiring and integrating acquisitions and our internal acquisition team's strong industry relationships has yielded proprietary

Table of Contents

deal flow for us and has helped us maintain an active pipeline of opportunities. We intend to maintain our disciplined acquisition strategy, and we will generally target one to two bolt-on acquisitions per year that will enhance our metal service center strategy.

Maintain and Strengthen Our Strong Relationships with Suppliers and Customers. As one of the largest metal service center businesses in the United States, we intend to use our relationships to leverage the opportunities presented by the consolidation of steel producers and the changing needs of our customers. Steel producers continue to seek long-term relationships with metal service centers that have access to numerous customers, while customers are seeking relationships with metal service centers that can provide a reliable source of high-quality products combined with value-added services.

Continue Strong Focus on Inventory Management. We will continue managing our inventory to maximize our profitability and cash flow while maintaining sufficient inventory to respond quickly to customer demands. In addition, we intend to further integrate our salespeople and operating employees into the operations of our customers to enhance our visibility into in-process orders and to further improve our just-in-time delivery and customer service. Constant evaluation of our inventory management will allow us to continue supplying our customers reliably, even during periods of tight metal supply. We expect our inventory management framework will continue generating strong earnings during periods of rising metal prices and strong cash flow during periods of declining metal prices.

Maintain High Free Cash Flow Generation and Conversion. Senior management has implemented a focused strategy designed to maximize our profitability and cash flow. We believe that we are a reliable supplier, especially of higher margin products and services, to our customers even in periods of tight supply. We believe that our reliability allows us to generate higher margins and more stable operating income through the business cycle. Moreover, we believe our inventory framework bolstered by our strong relationships with our metals suppliers will stabilize earnings during periods of weakness. Our core business also requires minimal maintenance capital investment. We believe these strengths taken together underscore our ability to generate high levels of free cash flow. We believe that our free cash flow will enable us to reinvest in our business and to achieve other corporate and financial objectives.

Segment Information

Each of our product groups is led by an experienced executive and is supported by a professional staff in finance, purchasing and sales and marketing. This product-oriented organizational structure facilitates the efficient advancement of our goals and objectives to achieve operational synergies and focused capital investment. For additional industry segment information, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations by Segment and Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007 included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Metal Processing/Metal Service Center Businesses: Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups

Overview. Companies operating in the metals industry can generally be characterized as primary metal producers, metal processors/metal service centers or end-users. Our Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups are metals processors/metal service centers. As such, we purchase carbon steel, stainless steel, aluminum, brass, copper and other metals from producing mills and then sell our metal processing services and the metal to our customers, who are generally end-users. We believe that both primary metals producers and end-users increasingly seek to have their metals processing and inventory management requirement met by value-added oriented metals processors/metal service centers like us.

Metal service centers function as key intermediaries between the primary metals producers that produce and sell larger volumes of metals in a limited number of sizes and configurations and end-users, such as fabricators,

Table of Contents

contractors and OEMs, that require smaller quantities of more customized products delivered on a just-in-time basis. End-users incorporate processed metals into finished products, in some cases with little further modification.

In our Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups, we engage in pre-production processing of carbon steel, stainless steel, red metals and aluminum. We purchase metals from primary producers, maintain an inventory of various metals to allow rapid fulfillment of customer orders and perform customized processing services to the specifications provided by end-users and other customers. By providing these services, as well as offering inventory management and just-in-time delivery services, we enable our customers to reduce overall production costs and decrease capital required for raw materials inventory and metals processing equipment. The Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups contributed approximately 92% of our 2007 net sales and the substantial majority of our 2007 operating income.

Plates and Shapes Group. We believe we are one of the largest distributors of steel plates and structurals in the United States. In 2007, we sold approximately 826 thousand tons of products through 21 metal service centers located primarily in the southern and eastern regions of the United States. Our Plates and Shapes metal service centers are generally equipped to provide additional value-added processing, and a substantial portion of our volume is processed prior to being delivered to the end-user. These processing services include blasting and painting, tee-splitting, cambering, leveling, cutting, sawing, punching, drilling, beveling, surface grinding, bending, shearing and cutting-to-length. We sell our products to a diversified customer base, including a large number of small customers who purchase products in small order sizes. We generally earn additional margin from our customers by providing services such as product marking, item sequencing, just-in-time delivery and kitting. The customers of our Plates and Shapes Group are primarily in the fabrication, commercial construction, machinery and equipment, land and marine transportation, and energy industries. Because our Plates and Shapes metal service centers are generally strategically located in close proximity to our metal suppliers and our customers, we are able to meet our customers' product and service needs reliably and consistently. In May 2006, we completed the acquisition of the Port City, a higher value-added plate facility located in Tulsa, Oklahoma, which has bolstered our presence in the construction and oil-field services sectors. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Matters Affecting Comparability of Results 2006 Acquisitions.

Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group. Through 13 metal service centers located primarily in the mid-western and southern regions of the United States, the Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group sold approximately 614 thousand tons of products in 2007, including ferrous and non-ferrous in a number of alloy grades and sizes. In 2007, we derived approximately 52% and 48% of this division's revenue from ferrous products and non-ferrous products, respectively. Substantially all of the products sold by our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group undergo value-added processing prior to shipping to our customers. These processing services include precision blanking, slitting, shearing, cutting-to-length, punching and leveling. We sell our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group's products and services to customers in the electrical manufacturing, fabrication, furniture, appliance manufacturing, machinery and equipment, transportation and aerospace industries. Many of our large customers purchase through pricing arrangements or contractual agreements that specify the margin over the cost of metal and we generally earn additional margin from these customers by providing services such as product marking and labeling, just-in-time delivery and kitting. We are able to provide these services reliably because our metal service centers are generally located in close proximity to our metal suppliers and our customers. In July 2007, we acquired Lynch Metals, a metal service center business that provides higher value-added, specialized aluminum products to customers who are predominantly manufacturers of air/heat transfer products specifically focused on aerospace, industrial and automotive applications.

Industry Overview. Metal service centers purchase approximately 30% of all steel products consumed in the U.S. and metal service centers play a critical intermediary role between the production mills and the end-users. Over the last several years primary metals producers have consolidated and focused on core competencies and have increasingly required metal service centers and processors to perform value-added services for end-customers. As a result, most end-users cannot obtain processed products directly from primary metals

Table of Contents

producers, and therefore, over 300,000 OEMs contractors and fabricators nationwide rely on metal service centers for their primary supply of metal products and services. End-users generally buy metal products and services from metal service centers on a margin over the base cost of metal. In addition, value-added metal service centers, including ours, earn an additional premium margin over the cost of metal for the value-added processing enhancements they perform on base metal prior to delivering it to the end-user.

OEMs and other end-users have also recognized the economic advantages associated with outsourcing their customized metals processing needs. Outsourcing permits end-users to reduce total production costs by shifting the responsibility of pre-production processing to metal service centers, which are more efficient than end-users at performing these value-added and processing services. In addition, outsourcing these processing services has allowed OEMs and end-users to reduce inventories and focus on driving value from additional inventory management measures. As such, customers increasingly demand inventory management and just-in-time delivery services from large value-added metal service centers, who can more efficiently provide these services. These supply-chain services, which are not normally provided by primary metals producers, enable end-users to reduce input costs, decrease capital required for inventory and equipment and save time, labor and other expenses. We believe that growth opportunities for metal service centers will continue to expand as both primary metals producers and end-users increasingly seek to have their metals processing and inventory management requirements met by value-added metal service centers. We believe larger and financially flexible companies, like ours, enjoy significant advantages over smaller companies in areas such as obtaining higher discounts associated with volume purchases, servicing customers with operations in multiple locations offering a broader range of products and using more sophisticated information systems.

The steel industry, among several other metals industries, is global in nature and is currently undergoing a paradigm shift that we believe will result in significant and substantial profitability for both producers and metal service centers. Since this shift began over six years ago, the steel production and distribution industries have experienced unprecedented revenue and profit expansion as global demand for steel has grown at an extraordinary pace driven largely by industrial development in China, Brazil, Russia and India and to some extent Western economies. Global demand for steel has grown at approximately 9% annually over the last five years and according to the International Iron and Steel Institute, steel use is expected to increase approximately 7% from 2007 to 2008. Projections for 2009 suggest a global growth rate of approximately 6% from 2008. For several years prior to the recent surge in steel demand, steel producers and raw material suppliers had not invested enough capital in expanding raw material capacity. Now, demand growth for steel is expected to outpace the ability for steel producers and raw material suppliers to meet this demand, which has driven the price of the core raw materials, such as iron ore, coke and coal, to record highs recently.

Additionally, transportation costs have also increased over the last several years, and energy is becoming more expensive globally. All of these factors have caused the steel production cost curve to increase substantially. Foreign mills, which pay many of their operating costs in local currencies, have been affected more than U.S. mills as the U.S. dollar has weakened because steel is priced globally in U.S. dollars. However, as the U.S. dollar has weakened, U.S. mills have become some of the world's lowest cost producers, encouraging them to export production for the first time in more than fifteen years. Finally, adding raw material capacity has now become significantly more expensive relative to historic levels. As a result, higher raw material and steel prices will be required to yield acceptable returns on these capital investments. Given the tight market for steel worldwide and especially in the United States, where steel consumption exceeds domestic production, the structural increase in the cost to produce steel, and the increasingly costly expansion projects, we anticipate there will be a growing interest from both domestic mills and foreign producers to purchase metal service centers in order to acquire better visibility of domestic consumer demand and base-load their relatively high fixed-cost production assets. Considering all of these factors together, we believe the industry has undergone and continues to undergo a dynamic paradigm shift that will result in significant and sustained improvements in the financial health and prosperity of North American industry participants.

Products and Services. We purchase our raw materials in anticipation of projected customer requirements based on interaction with and feedback from customers, market conditions, historical usage and industry

Table of Contents

research. Primary producers typically find it more cost effective to focus on large volume production and sale of metals in standard sizes and configurations to large volume purchasers. We process the metals to the precise length, width, shape and surface quality specified by our customers. Our value-added processes include:

Precision blanking the process in which metal is cut into precise two-dimensional shapes.

Flame cutting the cutting of metals to produce various shapes according to customer-supplied drawings.

Laser and plasma cutting the cutting of metals to produce shapes under strict tolerance requirements.

Slitting the cutting of coiled metals to specified widths along the length of the coil.

Blasting and painting the process of cleaning steel plate by shot-blasting, then immediately applying a paint or primer.

Plate forming and rolling the forming and bending of plates to cylindrical or required specifications.

Shearing and cutting to length the cutting of metals into pieces and along the width of a coil to create sheets or plates.

Tee-splitting the cutting of metal beams along the length to form separate pieces.

Cambering the bending of structural shapes to improve load-bearing capabilities.

Sawing the cutting to length of bars, tubular goods and beams.

Leveling the flattening of metals to uniform tolerances for proper machining.

Edge trimming a process that removes a specified portion of the outside edges of coiled metal to produce uniform width and round or smooth edges.

Metallurgy the analysis and testing of the physical and chemical composition of metals.

Our additional capabilities include applications engineering and other value-added processes such as custom machining. Using these capabilities, we use processed metals to manufacture higher-value components.

Once we receive an order, we select the appropriate inventory and schedule it for processing in accordance with the customer's requirements and specified delivery date. Orders are monitored by our computer systems, including, in certain locations, the use of bar coding to aid in and reduce the cost of tracking material. We record the source of all metal shipped to customers. This enables us to identify the source of any metal which may later be shown to not meet industry standards or that fails during or after manufacture. This capability is important to our customers as it allows them to assign responsibility for non-conforming or defective metal to the mill that produced the metal. Many of the products and

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

services we provide can be ordered and tracked through a web-based electronic network that directly connects our computer system to those of our customers.

We cooperate with our customers and tailor our deliveries to support their needs, which in many instances consist of short lead-times and just-in-time delivery requirements. This is accomplished through our inventory management programs, which permit us to deliver processed metals from a sufficient inventory of raw materials to meet the requirements of our customers, which in many instances results in orders filled within 24 to 48 hours.

While we ship products throughout the United States, most of our customers are located within a 250-mile radius of our facilities, thus enabling an efficient delivery system capable of handling a large number of short lead-time orders. We transport most of our products directly to our customers either with our own trucks for short-distance and/or multi-stop deliveries or through common or contract trucking companies.

We have quality control systems to ensure product quality and traceability throughout processing. Quality controls include periodic supplier audits, customer-approved quality standards, inspection criteria and metals source traceability. A number of our facilities have International Standards Organization, or ISO, 9002 certification.

Table of Contents

Building Products Group

Overview. The Building Products Group manufactures and sells roofing and patio products. Substantially all of our Building Products Group sales are attributable to the residential remodeling market with the remaining sales attributable to commercial applications. We generally sell our products through a network of independent distributors and home improvement contractors. With facilities located throughout the southern and western regions of the United States and Canada, we believe we are one of only a few suppliers with national scale across our product offering.

Industry Overview. The residential remodeling industry is currently in a state of transition as recent mortgage market turmoil and weak consumer confidence have been reflected in a general decline in the purchase of home remodeling goods and services. However, we believe that factors including rising disposable incomes, increased rates of home ownership, a low interest rate environment and aging American houses have generated significant pent-up demand for remodeling that will manifest itself when the housing sector rebounds. We believe that these factors support a strong long-term outlook for residential remodeling as a cost-effective alternative to new housing construction.

Raw Materials and Supply

In recent years, steel, aluminum, copper and other metals production in the United States has fluctuated from period to period as mills attempt to match production to projected demand. Periodically, this has resulted in shortages of, or increased ordering lead-times for, some products, as well as fluctuations in price. Typically, metals producers announce price changes with sufficient advance notice to allow us to order additional products prior to the effective date of a price increase, or to defer purchases until a price decrease becomes effective. Our purchasing decisions are based on our forecast of the availability of metal products, ordering lead-times and pricing, as well as our prediction of customer demand for specific products.

We obtain the overwhelming majority of our metals from domestic suppliers, which include Nucor Corp., North American Stainless, AK Steel, Arcelor Mittal, Steel Dynamics, IPSCO Steel and Gerdau Ameristeel. Although we have historically purchased approximately 10% to 15% of our raw material supplies from foreign producers, domestic suppliers have always been, and we believe will continue to be, our principal source of raw material.

Although most forms of steel and aluminum produced by mills can be obtained from a number of integrated mills or mini-mills, both domestically and internationally, there are a few products that are available from only a limited number of producers. Since most metals are shipped free-on-board and the transportation of metals is a significant cost factor, we generally seek to purchase metals, to the extent possible, from the nearest mill.

Ferrous metals producers have been undergoing rapid consolidation over the past four years. U.S. Steel, Nucor Corp. and Mittal Steel NA have acquired several of their domestic competitors, and international integrated producers have merged and consolidated operations. The result of this trend will be fewer integrated producers from which we can purchase our raw materials. We believe that global consolidation of the metals industry is beneficial to the metals industry as a whole by enhancing efficiency.

Sales and Marketing; Customers

We employ a sales force consisting of internal and external salespeople. Internal salespeople are primarily responsible for maintaining customer relationships, receiving and soliciting individual orders and responding to service and other inquiries by customers. Our external sales force is primarily responsible for identifying potential customers and calling on them to explain our services. Our sales force is trained and knowledgeable about the characteristics and applications of various metals, as well as the manufacturing methods employed by our customers.

Table of Contents

Our sales and marketing focus is on the identification of OEMs and other metals end-users that could achieve significant cost savings through the use of our inventory management, value-added processing, just-in-time delivery and other services. We use a variety of methods to identify potential customers, including the use of databases, direct mail and participation in manufacturers' trade shows. Customer referrals and the knowledge of our sales force about regional end-users also result in the identification of potential customers. Once a potential customer is identified, our outside salespeople assume responsibility for visiting the appropriate contact, typically the purchasing manager or manager of operations.

Nearly all sales are on a negotiated price basis. In some cases, sales are the result of a competitive bid process where a customer provides a list of products, along with requirements, to us and several competitors and we submit a bid on each product. We have a diverse customer base, with no single customer accounting for more than 3% of our net sales in each of the last three years. Our ten largest customers represented less than 11% of our net sales in 2007.

Competition

We are engaged in a highly fragmented and competitive industry. Competition is based on reliability, service, quality, timeliness, geographic proximity and price. We compete with a large number of other metal processors/metal service centers on a national, regional and local basis, some of which may have greater financial resources. We also compete to a much lesser extent with primary metal producers, who typically sell directly to very large customers requiring regular shipments of large volumes of metal. A number of smaller metals processors/metal service centers compete with us locally.

Historically, we believe that we have been able to compete effectively because of our high levels of service, broad-based inventory, knowledgeable and trained sales force, integrated computer systems, modern equipment, numerous locations, geographic dispersion, operational economies of scale and combined purchasing volume. Furthermore, we believe our liquidity and overall financial position affords us a good platform with which to compete with our peers in the industry.

Government Regulation and Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to a number of federal, state and local regulations relating to the protection of the environment and to workplace health and safety. In particular, our operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations governing waste disposal, air and water emissions, the handling of hazardous substances, environmental protection, remediation, workplace exposure and other matters. Hazardous materials we use in our operations include general commercial lubricants and cleaning solvents. Among the more significant regulated activities that occur at some of our facilities are: the accumulation of scrap metal, which is sold for recycling; the generation of plant trash and other solid wastes and wastewaters, such as water from burning tables operated at some of our facilities, which wastes are disposed of in accordance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act using third-party commercial waste handlers; and the storage, handling, and use of lubricating and cutting oils and small quantities of maintenance-related products and chemicals, the health hazards of which are communicated to employees pursuant to Occupational Safety and Health Act-prescribed hazard communication efforts and the disposal or recycling of which are performed pursuant to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.

Generally speaking, our facilities' operations do not involve the types of emissions of air pollutants, discharges of pollutants to land or surface water, or treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste which would ordinarily require federal or state environmental permits. Some of our facilities possess authorizations for air emissions from paints and coatings, hazardous materials permits under local fire codes or ordinances for the storage and use of small quantities of combustible materials such as oils or paints, and state or local permits for on-site septic systems. Our cost of obtaining and complying with such permits has not been and is not anticipated to be material. Our operations are such that environmental regulations typically have not required us to make significant capital expenditures for environmental compliance activities.

Table of Contents

We believe that we are in substantial compliance with all applicable environmental and workplace health and safety laws and do not currently anticipate that we will be required to expend any substantial amounts in the foreseeable future in order to meet such requirements. However, some of the properties we own or lease are located in areas with a history of heavy industrial use, and are near sites listed on the CERCLA National Priority List. CERCLA establishes joint and several responsibility for clean-up without regard to fault for persons who have arranged for disposal of hazardous substances at sites that have become contaminated and for persons who own or operate contaminated facilities. We have a number of properties located in or near industrial or light industrial use areas; accordingly, these properties may have been contaminated by pollutants which would have migrated from neighboring facilities or have been deposited by prior occupants. Some of our properties are affected by contamination from leaks and drips of cutting oils and similar materials and we are investigating and remediating such known contamination pursuant to applicable environmental laws. The costs of such clean-ups have not been material. We are not currently subject to any claims or notices with respect to clean-up or remediation under CERCLA or similar laws for contamination at our leased or owned properties or at any off-site location. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that we could be notified of such claims in the future. It is also possible that we could be identified by the Environmental Protection Agency, a state agency or one or more third parties as a potentially responsible party under CERCLA or under analogous state laws.

Management Information Systems

Both the Plates and Shapes Group and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group metal service centers use a system marketed and distributed specifically for the metal service center industry. During 2003, we completed a similar common-platform initiative in the Building Products Group. Some of our subsidiaries currently use electronic data interchange, through which they offer customers a paperless process with respect to order entry, shipment tracking, billing, remittance processing and other routine activities. Additionally, several of our subsidiaries also use computer-aided drafting systems to directly interface with computer-controlled metals processing, resulting in more efficient use of material and time.

We believe investment in uniform management information systems and computer-aided manufacturing technology permits us to respond quickly and proactively to our customers' needs and service expectations. These systems are able to share data regarding inventory status, order backlog, and other critical operational information on a real-time basis.

Employees

As of March 31, 2008, we employed approximately 2,700 persons. As of March 31, 2008, approximately 276, or approximately 10% of our employees, at various sites were members of unions: the United Steelworkers of America; the Sheet Metals Workers Union; the International Association of Bridge, Structural, and Ornamental Ironworkers of America; and the International Brotherhood of Teamsters. Our relationship with these unions generally has been satisfactory. Within the last five years, we have not experienced any work stoppages at any of our facilities. We are currently a party to eight collective-bargaining agreements. One expires in mid 2008, two in late 2009 and five which expire in 2010. Presently, we do not anticipate any problems or issues with respect to renewing these agreements upon acceptable terms. Historically, we have succeeded in negotiating new collective bargaining agreements without a strike and we expect to succeed in negotiating new collective bargaining agreements with respect to the agreements that expire in 2008.

From time to time, there are shortages of qualified operators of metals processing equipment. In addition, during periods of low unemployment, turnover among less-skilled workers can be relatively high. We believe that our relations with our employees are satisfactory.

See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business Our ability to retain our key employees is critical to the success of our business, and failure to do so may adversely affect our revenues and as a result could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business Adverse developments in our relationship with our unionized employees could adversely affect our business.

Table of Contents

Vehicles

We operate a fleet of owned or leased trucks and trailers, as well as forklifts and support vehicles. We believe these vehicles are generally well maintained and adequate for our current operations.

Risk Management and Insurance

The primary risks in our operations are bodily injury, property damage and vehicle liability. We maintain general and vehicle liability insurance and liability insurance for bodily injury and property damage and workers' compensation coverage, which we consider sufficient to protect us against a catastrophic loss due to claims associated with these risks.

Safety

Our goal is to provide an accident-free workplace. We are committed to continuing and improving upon each facility's focus and emphasis on safety in the workplace. Our safety program includes regular weekly or monthly field safety meetings and training sessions to teach proper safe work procedures. A comprehensive best practices safety program which has been implemented throughout our operations ensures that all employees comply with our safety standards, as well as those established by our insurance carriers, and federal, state and local laws and regulations. This program is led by the corporate office, with the assistance of each of our product group presidents, executive officers and industry consultants with expertise in workplace safety. We have experienced improvements in our safety record in each of the past three years. Furthermore, our annual bonus plan for our Chief Executive Officer, officers and managers are tied directly in part to our safety record.

Financial Information about Segments

For information regarding revenues from external customers, measures of profit or loss and total assets for the last three years for each segment, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations by Segment and Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Patents, Trademarks and Other Intellectual Property Rights

We own several U.S. patents, trademarks, service marks and copyrights. Certain of the trademarks and patents are registered with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and, in some cases, with trademark offices of foreign countries. We consider other information owned by us to be trade secrets. We protect our trade secrets by, among other things, entering into confidentiality agreements with our employees and implementing security measures to restrict access to such information. We believe that our safeguards provide adequate protection to our proprietary rights. While we consider all of our intellectual property to be important, we do not consider any single intellectual property right to be essential to our operations as a whole.

Seasonal Aspects, Renegotiation and Backlog

There is a slight decrease in our business during the winter months because of inclement weather conditions and the impact on the construction industry. No material portion of our business is subject to renegotiation of profits or termination of contracts at the election of the government. Because of the just-in-time delivery policy and the short lead-time nature of our business, we do not believe the information on backlog of orders is material to an understanding of our business.

Foreign Operations

We do not derive any material revenue from foreign countries and do not have any material long-term assets or customer relationships outside of the United States. We have no material foreign operations or subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

Research and Development

We do not incur material expenses in research and development activities but do participate in various research and development programs. We address research and development requirements and product enhancement by maintaining a staff of technical support, quality assurance and engineering personnel.

Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we are involved in a variety of claims, lawsuits and other disputes arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe the resolution of these matters and the incurrence of their related costs and expenses should not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, liquidity or cash flows. While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of all pending suits and claims, the ultimate resolution of these matters as well as future lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows or reputation.

Manufacturing and Facilities

Properties

As of March 31, 2008, we operated 21 metal service centers in the Plates and Shapes Group and 14 facilities in the Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group. These facilities use various metals processing and materials handling machinery and equipment. As of the same date, our Building Products Group operated four manufacturing plants where we process metals into various building products and 30 sales centers. During 2004, nine Building Products locations were closed and two locations were merged. During 2005, the operations of two Building Products locations were merged into other operating locations, and one Building Product location converted from processing metal to a sales and distribution center. During 2006, we acquired one location in the Plates and Shapes Group and added four locations in the Building Products Group, two of which were as a result of an acquisition and one of which was subsequently closed. During 2007, we acquired two locations within our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group (Union, New Jersey and Anaheim, California). We also closed two locations within our metal service center business our Greenville, Kentucky Plates and Shapes facility and our Chattanooga, Tennessee Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous facility. We also closed three sales centers within our Building Products Group (Jackson, Mississippi, Ft. Meyers and West Melbourne, Florida). In addition, during December 2007, we announced the closure of our Houston, Texas manufacturing facility, which ceased operations during the first quarter of 2008. We also closed four sales centers (Corona, California, Memphis, Tennessee, Overland, Missouri, and Holly Hill, Florida) during the first quarter of 2008. We continue to serve the marketing areas of the closed facilities with our existing sales force by expanding the responsible territories of our other facilities, and through the use of common carriers for product delivery.

Table of Contents

Many of our facilities are capable of being used at higher capacities, if necessary. We believe that our facilities will be adequate for the expected needs of our existing businesses over the next several years. Our metal service center facilities, Building Products sales centers and manufacturing plants, and administrative offices are located and described as follows:

OPERATING FACILITIES AS OF MARCH 31, 2008

	Location	Square Footage	Owned/Leased
<i>Plates and Shapes Group:</i>			
Northeast Plates and Shapes	Baltimore, Maryland	65,000	Leased
	Seekonk, Massachusetts	115,000	Owned
	Newark, New Jersey	81,000	Owned
	Langhorne, Pennsylvania	235,000	Leased
	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	85,000	Owned
	York, Pennsylvania	109,000	Owned
South Central Plates and Shapes	Enid, Oklahoma	112,000	Owned
	Tulsa, Oklahoma	533,000	Leased
	Muskogee, Oklahoma(1)	229,000	Owned
	Cedar Hill, Texas	150,000	Owned
Mid-Atlantic Plates and Shapes	Oakwood, Georgia	206,000	Owned
	Greensboro, North Carolina	180,000	Owned
	Wilmington, North Carolina	178,000	Leased
Ohio Valley Plates and Shapes	Canton, Ohio	110,000	Owned
	Ambridge, Pennsylvania	200,000	Leased
	Leetsdale, Pennsylvania	114,000	Leased
Southeast Plates and Shapes	Mobile, Alabama	246,000	Owned
	Jacksonville, Florida	60,000	Owned
	Waggaman, Louisiana	371,000	Owned
	Columbus, Mississippi	45,000	Owned
Southwest Plates and Shapes	Hayward, California	64,000	Leased
<i>Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group:</i>			
	Anaheim, California	22,000	Leased
	Madison, Illinois	100,000	Owned
	Northbrook, Illinois	187,000	Owned
	Jeffersonville, Indiana	90,000	Owned
	Wichita, Kansas	43,000	Leased
	Walker, Michigan	50,000	Owned
	Liberty, Missouri	117,000	Leased
	Union, New Jersey	39,000	Leased
	Randleman, North Carolina	154,000	Owned
	Springfield, Ohio	105,000	Owned
	Wooster, Ohio	140,000	Owned
	Mesquite, Texas	200,000	Leased
	Germantown, Wisconsin	102,000	Owned
	Horicon, Wisconsin	120,000	Leased

Table of Contents

	Location	Square Footage	Owned/Leased
<i>Building Products Group:</i>			
Sales Centers	Birmingham, Alabama	12,000	Leased
	Phoenix, Arizona	111,000	Leased
	Tucson, Arizona	9,000	Leased
	Hayward, California	24,000	Leased
	Ontario, California	28,000	Leased
	Rancho Cordova, California	41,000	Leased
	San Diego, California	9,000	Leased
	Clearwater, Florida	20,000	Leased
	Jacksonville, Florida	17,000	Leased
	Lakeland, Florida	24,000	Leased
	Leesburg, Florida	61,000	Leased
	Pensacola, Florida	48,000	Leased
	West Palm Beach, Florida	5,000	Leased
	Stone Mountain, Georgia	14,000	Leased
	Louisville, Kentucky	22,000	Leased
	Kansas City, Missouri	16,000	Leased
	Las Vegas, Nevada	133,000	Leased
	Greensboro, North Carolina	15,000	Leased
	Oklahoma City, Oklahoma	40,000	Leased
	Harrisburg, Pennsylvania	12,000	Leased
	Irmo, South Carolina	38,000	Leased
	Nashville, Tennessee	44,000	Leased
	Dallas, Texas	26,000	Leased
	Houston, Texas	155,000	Leased
	Longview, Texas	15,000	Leased
	Mesquite, Texas	55,000	Leased
	San Antonio, Texas	20,000	Leased
	Weslaco, Texas	21,000	Leased
	Salt Lake City, Utah	23,000	Leased
	Kent, Washington	57,000	Leased
Manufacturing Plants	Brea, California	43,000	Leased
	Buena Park, California	168,000	Leased
	Groveland, Florida	247,000	Leased
	Courtland, Ontario	32,000	Owned
<i>Administrative Locations:</i>			
Corporate Headquarters	Houston, Texas	13,000	Leased
Building Products Group	Houston, Texas	13,000	Leased
i-Solutions	Ft. Washington, Pennsylvania	4,000	Leased

(1) This facility is subject to liens with respect to specific debt obligations, including IRBs.

Table of Contents

ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

Description of the Apollo Transactions

On May 18, 2005, Metals USA Holdings, Flag Acquisition and Metals USA entered into the Merger Agreement. On November 30, 2005, Flag Acquisition, which was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Flag Intermediate, merged with and into Metals USA, with Metals USA being the surviving corporation. Flag Intermediate and Flag Acquisition conducted no operations during the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to November 30, 2005. As a result of the Merger, all of Metals USA Inc.'s issued and outstanding common stock is held indirectly by Metals USA Holdings through Flag Intermediate, its wholly-owned subsidiary. Metals USA Holdings is a holding company and it has no assets, obligations, employees or operations other than those resulting from the Merger, the 2007 Notes and this offering. All of Metals USA Holdings operations are conducted by Metals USA. Metals USA Holdings was formed by Apollo. Investment funds associated with Apollo own approximately 97% of the capital stock of Metals USA Holdings (or approximately 90% on a fully diluted basis) as of March 31, 2008, and will beneficially own approximately % of our common stock, assuming the underwriters do not exercise their over-allotment option. The remainder of the capital stock of Metals USA Holdings is held by members of our management. See Principal Stockholders and Selling Stockholders.

In connection with the Merger, (a) Metals USA entered into the ABL facility and (b) Flag Acquisition completed a private placement of \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of the Metals USA Notes, which Metals USA assumed pursuant to the Merger. In September 2006, Metals USA exchanged \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of the Metals USA Notes that were registered under the Securities Act for an equal principal amount of the Metals USA Notes issued in connection with the Merger.

In addition, at the effective time of the Merger, Apollo and certain members of management of Metals USA contributed \$140.0 million to Metals USA Holdings Corp., in exchange for common stock of Metals USA Holdings Corp. The proceeds from the issuance of the Metals USA Notes, borrowings under the ABL facility and the equity investment by Apollo and our management members were used to pay the merger consideration to the previous equity holders of Metals USA to pay down certain existing debt of Metals USA and to pay transaction expenses related to the Merger, including \$6.0 million of transaction fees paid to Apollo. For a more complete description of the indebtedness see Description of Certain Indebtedness.

Table of Contents

Ownership and Corporate Structure

The following diagram sets forth our ownership and organizational structure as of immediately following the completion of this offering (ownership percentages are given assuming the underwriters do not exercise their option to purchase additional shares). The diagram below does not display all of our subsidiaries or indicate the amounts outstanding under the IRB or the ABL Facility.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT**

The executive officers and directors of Metals USA Holdings as of the date of this prospectus are as follows. Each is a citizen of the United States unless otherwise indicated.

Name	Age	Position
<i>Executive Officers:</i>		
C. Lourenço Gonçalves	50	President and Chief Executive Officer
Robert C. McPherson, III	45	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
John A. Hageman	53	Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Administrative Officer and Secretary
Keith Koci	43	Senior Vice President Business Development
Roger Krohn	55	President of the Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group
David Martens	55	President of the Plates and Shapes Group West
Joe Longo	60	President of the Plates and Shapes Group East
<i>Directors:</i>		
C. Lourenço Gonçalves	50	Director, Chairman of the Board of Directors
Joshua J. Harris	43	Director
M. Ali Rashid	32	Director
Eric L. Press	42	Director
John T. Baldwin	51	Director

C. Lourenço Gonçalves, 50, has been President and Chief Executive Officer and one of Metals USA's directors since February 2003 and President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Metals USA Holdings since May 1, 2006. Mr. Gonçalves served as President and Chief Executive Officer of CSI from March 1998 to February 2003. From 1981 to 1998, he was employed by CSN, where he held positions as a managing director, general superintendent of Volta Redonda Works, hot rolling general manager, cold rolling and coated products general manager, hot strip mill superintendent, continuous casting superintendent and quality control manager. Mr. Gonçalves earned a masters of science degree in metallurgical engineering from the Federal University of Minas Gerais and a bachelor's degree from the Military Institute of Engineering in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

Robert C. McPherson, III, 45, became Senior Vice President of Metals USA on March 31, 2003, Chief Financial Officer of Metals USA on December 1, 2005 and Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Metals USA Holdings on May 1, 2006. From August 2004 through November 2005, Mr. McPherson was President of the Building Products Group of Metals USA and from March 2003 to August 2004, Mr. McPherson was Senior Vice President, Business Development of Metals USA. Prior to joining us, Mr. McPherson was employed at CSI from 1989 until March 2003. Mr. McPherson served in a number of capacities at CSI, most recently having served as Treasurer and Controller from 1996 until 2003, Assistant Treasurer from 1992 until 1996, and as Cash Management Administrator from 1989 until 1992.

John A. Hageman, 53, became Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Administrative Officer and Secretary of Metals USA in April 1997 and of Metals USA Holdings on May 1, 2006. From 1987 through 1997, Mr. Hageman was Senior Vice President of Legal Affairs, General Counsel and Secretary of Physician Corporation of America. From 1981 to 1987, Mr. Hageman was a partner with a law firm in Wichita, Kansas.

Keith Koci, 43, became Senior Vice President of Business Development of Metals USA on December 1, 2005 and of Metals USA Holdings on May 1, 2006. Mr. Koci joined us in August 1998 as a regional controller in the Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group, subsequently served as Corporate Director of Budgeting from August 2003 through May 2004, and then served as Vice President and Corporate Controller from May 2004 through November 2005. Mr. Koci is a certified public accountant licensed in the State of Texas. Prior to joining us, Mr. Koci was CFO and Controller for Optimum Nutrition Inc. from 1996 until 1998.

Table of Contents

Roger Krohn, 55, became President of the Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group of Metals USA in November of 2003 and is responsible for the operations of our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group. Mr. Krohn served as President of Krohn Steel Service Center from 1982 until 1998. After we acquired Krohn Steel Service Center in 1998, Mr. Krohn remained as President and General Manager of Metals USA until becoming President of the Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group in November 2003. After attending college, Mr. Krohn served seven years as a pilot in the U.S. Air Force, commissioned as an officer in 1975.

David A. Martens, 55, became President of the Plates and Shapes Group West of Metals USA in 2005 and is responsible for the operations of our Plates and Shapes Western Region. From 1999 through 2005, Mr. Martens was Vice President of Metals USA's Plates and Shapes South Central Region. Mr. Martens was employed at Singer Steel, Inc. from 1978 until it was acquired by Uni-Steel, Inc. in 1987. Mr. Martens served in a number of capacities at Uni-Steel, a company later purchased by Metals USA, including Executive Vice President from 1992 to 1997, and President from 1997 to 1999.

Joe Longo, 60, became President of the Plates and Shapes Group East of Metals USA in July of 2005 and is responsible for 15 Plates and Shapes operations. Mr. Longo served as Vice President, Plates and Shapes Northeast of Metals USA since January 2001. Mr. Longo began his career with Bethlehem Steel in 1972 and entered the steel service center industry in 1983. Mr. Longo has held various management positions in his career, including Vice President East for Levinson Steel, a company later purchased by Metals USA. Mr. Longo is a graduate of the University of Maryland.

Joshua J. Harris, 43, became a director of Metals USA Holdings on May 9, 2005 and a director of Metals USA on November 30, 2005. Mr. Harris is President of Apollo Global Management, LLC and Founding Partner of Apollo Management, L.P. which was started in 1990. Prior to 1990, Mr. Harris was a member of the Mergers and Acquisitions Group of Drexel Burnham Lambert Incorporated. Mr. Harris currently serves on the boards of directors of AP Alternative Assets, Apollo Global Management LLC, Berry Plastics, Ceva Logistics, Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Noranda Aluminum Holding Corporation, Momentive Performance Materials and Verso Paper Holdings. Mr. Harris has previously served on the boards of directors of Nalco, Allied Waste Industries, Pacer International, General Nutrition Centers, Furniture Brands International, Compass Minerals Group, Alliance Imaging, NRT Inc., Covalence Specialty Materials, United Agri Products, Quality Distribution and Whitmire Distribution. Mr. Harris is actively involved in charitable and political organizations. He is a member and serves on the Corporate Affairs Committee of the Council on Foreign Relations. Mr. Harris serves as a member of the Department of Medicine Advisory Board for The Mount Sinai Medical Center and is a member of The University of Pennsylvania's Wharton Undergraduate Executive Board. Mr. Harris graduated summa cum laude and Beta Gamma Sigma from the University of Pennsylvania's Wharton School of Business with a BS in economics and received his MBA from the Harvard Business School, where he graduated as a Baker and Loeb Scholar.

Eric L. Press, 42, became a director of Metals USA Holdings on May 9, 2005 and a director of Metals USA on November 30, 2005. Mr. Press is a partner of Apollo. Prior to joining Apollo in 1998, Mr. Press was associated with the law firm of Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz, specializing in mergers, acquisitions, restructurings and related financing transactions. From 1987 to 1989, Mr. Press was a consultant with The Boston Consulting Group (BCG), a management consulting firm focused on corporate strategy. Mr. Press has been engaged in all aspects of Apollo's lodging, leisure and entertainment investment activities, as well as Apollo's investments in basic industries and financial services. Mr. Press serves on the boards of directors of Prestige Cruise Holdings, Affinion Group, Harrah's Entertainment, Inc., Innkeepers USA Trust, and Noranda Aluminum Holding Corporation. He also serves on the Board of Trustees of the Rodeph Sholom School in New York City. Mr. Press graduated magna cum laude from Harvard College with an AB in Economics, and from Yale Law School, where he was a Senior Editor of the Yale Law Review.

M. Ali Rashid, 32, became a director of Metals USA Holdings on May 9, 2005 and of Metals USA on November 30, 2005. Mr. Rashid is a partner of Apollo. He has been employed with Apollo since 2000. Prior to that time, Mr. Rashid was employed by the Goldman Sachs Group in the Financial Institutions Group of its

Table of Contents

Investment Banking Division. Mr. Rashid serves on the board of directors of Noranda Aluminum Holdings Corporation, Quality Distribution, Realogy Corporation and Countrywide plc. Mr. Rashid received an MBA from the Stanford Graduate School of Business and graduated Magna Cum Laude and Beta Gamma Sigma from Georgetown University with a BS in Business Administration.

John T. Baldwin, 51, became a director and Chairman of the Audit Committee of Metals USA Holdings on May 1, 2006 and a director of Metals USA on January 18, 2006. Mr. Baldwin served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Graphic Packaging Corporation from September 2003 to August 2005, and as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Worthington Industries, Inc. from December 1998 to September 2003. He joined Worthington, a steel processor, in 1997 as Treasurer. Prior to Worthington, Mr. Baldwin served in various financial capacities at Tenneco Inc. in Greenwich, Connecticut, London, England and Houston, Texas. Mr. Baldwin is a graduate of the University of Houston and the University of Texas School of Law. Mr. Baldwin served on the Board of The Genlyte Group Incorporated, a lighting manufacturer, from March 2003 through January 2008 at which time he resigned from such Board upon the company's purchase by Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V. in January, 2008, and was Chairman of the Audit Committee of The Genlyte Group Incorporated from April 2006 through January 2008.

There are no family relationships between any of our executive officers or directors.

The amended and restated investors rights agreement Metals USA Holdings expects to enter into with Apollo and each of our management members will provide that, except as otherwise required by applicable law, Apollo will have the right to nominate (a) _____ directors as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least _____ % but less than _____ % of our outstanding common stock, (b) _____ directors as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least _____ % but less than _____ % of our outstanding common stock and (c) _____ directors as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least _____ % but less than _____ % of our outstanding common stock. Apollo will also have certain approval rights as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least _____ % of our outstanding common stock. The amended and restated investors rights agreement will provide that, except as otherwise required by applicable law, we and the management members will take all action within their respective power to cause all such nominees to be included in the slate of nominees recommended by the board of directors to our stockholders for election as directors at each annual meeting of our stockholders and will use all reasonable efforts to cause the election of each such nominee, including soliciting proxies in favor of the election of such nominees. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement and Description of Capital Stock Composition of Board of Directors; Election and Removal of Directors.

Code of Ethics

The Board has adopted a code of ethics as defined by the applicable rules of the SEC, which has been posted on our Internet website, <http://www.metalsusa.com>.

Director Independence

The board of directors under current New York Stock Exchange listing standards and taking into account any applicable committee standards, has determined that Mr. Baldwin is an independent director, including as a member of our audit committee. Under current New York Stock Exchange listing standards, Mr. Gonçalves would not be considered independent under any general listing standards or those applicable to any particular committee due to his employment relationship with us, and Messrs Harris, Rashid and Press may not be considered independent under any general listing standards or those applicable to any particular committee, due to their relationship with Apollo, our largest stockholder. Upon completion of this offering Apollo will continue

Table of Contents

to own 50% of more of our common stock. Under New York Stock Exchange listing standards, we would qualify as a controlled company and, accordingly, be exempt from its requirements to have a majority of independent directors and a corporate governance and compensation committee composed of a majority of independent directors.

Audit Committee of the Board of Directors

Upon consummation of this offering, our audit committee will consist of Messrs. Baldwin, _____ and _____. Our board of directors has determined that Mr. Baldwin qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as such term is defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K and that each of Messrs. Baldwin, _____ and _____ are independent as independence is defined in Rule 10A-3 of the Exchange Act and under the New York Stock Exchange listing standards.

The principal duties and responsibilities of our audit committee are to oversee and monitor the following:

our financial reporting process and internal control system;

the integrity of our financial statements;

the independence, qualifications and performance of our independent auditor;

the performance of our internal audit function; and

our compliance with legal, ethical and regulatory matters.

Compensation Committee

The current members of the compensation committee are Messrs. Press and Rashid. The principal duties and responsibilities of the compensation committee are as follows:

to review, evaluate and make recommendations to our full board of directors regarding our compensation policies and establish performance-based incentives that support our long-term goals, objectives and interests;

to review and approve the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, all employees who report directly to our Chief Executive Officer and other members of our senior management;

to review and make recommendations to our board of directors with respect to our incentive compensation plans and equity-based compensation plans;

to set and review the compensation of and reimbursement policies for members of our board of directors;

to provide oversight concerning selection of officers, expense accounts, indemnification and insurance matters, and separation packages; and

to prepare an annual compensation committee report, provide regular reports to our board of directors, and take such other actions as are necessary and consistent with the governing law and our organizational documents.

We intend to avail ourselves of the controlled company exception under The New York Stock Exchange rules which exempts us from the requirement that we have a compensation committee composed entirely of independent directors.

Table of Contents

Nominating/Governance Committee

The members of the nominating/corporate governance committee are Messrs. Rashid and Press. The principal duties and responsibilities of the nominating/corporate governance committee are as follows:

to establish criteria for board and committee membership and recommend to our board of directors proposed nominees for election to the board of directors and for membership on committees of our board of directors; and

to make recommendations to our board of directors regarding board governance matters and practices.

We intend to avail ourselves of the controlled company exception under The New York Stock Exchange rules which exempts us from the requirement that we have a nominating/governance committee composed entirely of independent directors.

Executive Committee

The current members of the executive committee are Messrs. Gonçalves, Harris and Rashid. The principal duties and responsibilities of the executive committee are as follows:

subject to applicable law, to exercise the powers and the duties of the board of directors between board meetings and while the board of directors is not in session; and

to implement the policy decisions of the board of directors.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview of compensation program. Prior to February 9, 2007, including the entire year of 2006, the board of directors of Metals USA Holdings (currently consisting of the directors of Metals USA and Flag Intermediate), excluding Mr. Gonçalves, made all compensation decisions, and as such had the responsibility of establishing and implementing, and monitoring compliance with, the Company's compensation philosophy. On February 9, 2007, the board of directors of Metals USA Holdings established a compensation committee to ensure that the total compensation and benefits paid to or provided to executives is reasonable, fair, and competitive (hereafter, said board of directors, excluding Mr. Gonçalves, and the compensation committee (together with our board of directors where appropriate) are referred to in this prospectus as the Compensation Committee). We have also generally continued the compensation arrangements applicable to our executives that were entered into in connection with the Merger.

Compensation philosophy and objectives. The Compensation Committee believes an effective compensation program should be one that is designed to: attract and retain the best possible executive talent; tie annual and long-term cash and equity incentives to the achievement of measurable corporate, business unit and individual performance objectives; and align executives' incentives with the creation of stockholder value. To achieve these objectives, the Compensation Committee implements, maintains and monitors compensation plans which tie a substantial portion of the executives' overall compensation to the achievement of established objective goals, including profitability, workplace safety and the efficient use of capital.

Role of executive officers in compensation decisions. The Compensation Committee makes all compensation decisions for the Chief Executive Officer and all decisions relating to equity-based compensation awards. The Compensation Committee, together with recommendations and input from the Chief Executive Officer, makes non-equity compensation decisions with respect to the other executives.

On an annual basis, the Compensation Committee reviews the performance of the Chief Executive Officer as compared with the achievement of the Company's objective goals with respect to profitability, workplace safety and the efficient use of capital. The Compensation Committee, together with the Chief Executive Officer, annually reviews the performance of each individual executive as compared with the achievement of Company or

Table of Contents

operating division goals, as the case may be, together with each executive's individual goals. The Compensation Committee can then exercise its discretion in making any adjustments or awards to the executives recommended by the Chief Executive Officer. Since 2006, the objective goals for each of the executive officers have paralleled those of the Chief Executive Officer.

Setting executive compensation. Based on the above objectives and philosophies, the Compensation Committee has established annual and long-term cash and equity compensation components to motivate the executives to achieve, and hopefully exceed, the business goals established by the Company and to fairly reward such executives for achieving such goals. The Compensation Committee has not retained a compensation consultant to review our policies and procedures with respect to executive compensation.

2007 Executive Compensation Components

For fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, the principal components of compensation for our executives are described below:

Base salary. We provide executives and other employees with base salary to compensate them for services rendered during the fiscal year. Base salaries are set to recognize the experience, skills, knowledge and responsibilities required of the executives in their respective roles. Base salaries are reviewed annually and will be adjusted from time to time to realign salaries with market levels after taking into account individual responsibilities, performance and experience, including the terms of any agreements we have in place with such executive officers. Base salaries are established for a given position taking into consideration the factors discussed above, together with available market or comparable salary data. We try to set base salaries at or slightly above the midpoint of the base salary comparable for each position.

Performance-based executive incentive compensation plan. An Executive Incentive Compensation Plan, which we refer to as the EICP, was established by the board of directors of Metals USA Holdings in early 2006. The EICP establishes objectives for the calculation of annual cash bonuses for each executive, subject to Compensation Committee oversight and modification. The EICP provides for annual incentive bonuses which are intended to compensate officers for achieving or exceeding company and/or operating group financial and operational goals and for achieving individual annual performance goals. Target bonuses are 110 percent of base salary for Mr. Gonçalves and 70 percent of base salary for each of Messrs. Hageman, McPherson, Krohn and Martens and each executive could be eligible to receive a bonus from zero to 1.8 times his target bonus. The EICP uses a sliding scale applied to objective performance targets with corresponding achievement levels. These objective targets are reviewed and adjusted annually by the Compensation Committee together with input from the Chief Executive Officer. No bonus is earned unless the minimum targets are achieved. Each component can result in additional bonus being earned should the targets be exceeded. For fiscal year 2006 and 2007, the EICP objectives were primarily based on profitability, safety, and the efficient use of capital. For Messrs. Krohn and Martens, these performance metrics are split between the Company's achievement and that of the operating group which they lead.

Incentives under the EICP are paid in cash and are typically paid in a single installment in the first quarter following the completion of a given fiscal year. The Compensation Committee has reserved the right under the EICP to also pay discretionary bonuses. Such discretionary bonuses are paid if the Compensation Committee determines that a particular executive has exceeded the objectives and/or goals established for such executive or made a unique contribution to the Company during the year.

Equity program; stock options and restricted stock. Under the 2005 Plan, the Compensation Committee may make various types of awards with respect to Metals USA Holdings' common stock. Metals USA Holdings is a privately held company and its common stock, including any stock issued or obtained pursuant to the 2005 Plan, has transfer restrictions. The maximum amount of common stock that can be issued (or in respect of which awards can be issued) under the 2005 Plan is 1.4 million shares. Among other things, the Compensation

Table of Contents

Committee decides which of our executives, employees, directors or, with the consent of the Chief Executive Officer, consultants will receive awards under the 2005 Plan and the type of award made. In the case of stock options granted under the 2005 Plan, the Compensation Committee determines the strike price, vesting terms, and other applicable terms or conditions it may determine, in its sole discretion, provided such terms and conditions are allowed under the 2005 Plan. The 2005 Plan has two tranches of options: Tranche A and Tranche B. Tranche A options vest on a pro rata basis over five years, have a term of ten years from date of grant, and expire if not exercised. Tranche B options, which include both a service and a performance condition, vest on the eighth anniversary of the date of grant or earlier depending on the achievement of certain performance targets, have a term of ten years from date of grant, and expire if not exercised. All of the awards of stock options and restricted stock granted to management under the 2005 Plan were granted on the effective date of the Merger, except for one. A March 17, 2006 award of stock options and restricted stock was received by Mr. Gonçalves in connection with his exercise of certain of his options granted at the effective date of the Merger pursuant to the terms of such options. Awards granted to our board of directors were made in January 2006. Newly elected directors are granted 40,000 non-qualified options to purchase shares of Metals USA Holdings' common stock at fair market value which vest ratably over five years.

We do not have any program, plan or practice in place for selecting grant dates for awards under the 2005 Plan in coordination with the release of material non-public information. The exercise price for the option awards is the fair market value of the stock of Metals USA Holdings on the date of grant, subject to certain adjustments after the grant date to preserve the proportional interests of the awards in the event of a change in capitalization. The fair market value is determined using a combination of discounted cash flows and financial metrics from companies with similar characteristics of Metals USA Holdings. The Compensation Committee is not prohibited from granting awards at times when they are in possession of material non-public information. However, no inside information was taken into account in determining the number of options previously awarded or the exercise price for those awards, and we did not time the release of any material non-public information to affect the value of those awards.

The Compensation Committee believes that the granting of awards under the 2005 Plan promotes, on a short-term and long-term basis, an enhanced personal interest and alignment of interests of those executives receiving equity awards with the goals and strategies of the Company. The Compensation Committee also believes that the equity grants provide not only financial rewards to such executives for achieving Company goals but also provide additional incentives for executives to remain with the Company.

In connection with the May 2006 dividend and pursuant to the 2005 Plan's provisions of rights preservation, the Compensation Committee modified the outstanding employee stock options by reducing the per share exercise price by \$1.78 to \$8.22 (to \$8.89, in the case of Mr. Gonçalves' March 17, 2006 grant) in order to retain the participants' rights proportionate with those prior to the dividend payment. In connection with the dividend paid to Metals USA Holdings' stockholders in January 2007, the outstanding employee stock options under the 2005 Plan were adjusted a second time. The per share exercise price of the options granted on the effective date of the Merger was decreased by \$4.22 to \$4.00 and the per share exercise price of the options granted on March 17, 2006, to Mr. Gonçalves was reduced by \$4.89 to \$4.00. Option holders received an amount equal to the excess of the per share amount of the dividend over the amount of such exercise price reduction as a cash payment with respect to shares underlying vested options and as a contribution to a non-qualified deferred compensation plan with respect to shares underlying unvested options, as more fully described below in the section entitled "Non-qualified deferred compensation plan." Because the payment of the second special dividend discussed above resulted in the 25% achievement of certain other performance targets, the Compensation Committee exercised its discretion under the 2005 Plan to vest all of the outstanding Tranche B options.

In connection with the dividend paid to Metals USA Holdings' stockholders in July 2007, option holders received a cash payment equal to the per share amount of the dividend, approximately \$9.25 per share subject to options, on all vested and unvested options held by the option holders.

Table of Contents

Non-qualified deferred compensation plan. As discussed above in the section entitled "Equity program; stock options and restricted stock," in connection with the January 2007 dividend and vesting of certain Metals USA Holdings options, the reduction in the exercise price for outstanding options was less than the amount of the dividend per share. Accordingly, a deferred compensation plan was created and an amount approximately equal to the excess of the amount of the dividend per share over \$4.22 (in the case of Mr. Gonçalves, \$4.89) was credited to a deferred compensation account under the plan for holders of unvested options in respect of each share underlying such options. Holders of vested options received such amount in cash. Payment of an estimated total of \$2.3 million to our senior management under the deferred compensation plan is subject to continued employment for two years following the modification date (January 3, 2007).

401(k) plan. Our executive officers are eligible to participate in our companywide 401(k) plan for salaried employees. The Company matches the first 2% of annual compensation contributed by the employees.

Perquisites and other personal benefits. We provide the executives, including other employees generally, with perquisites and other personal benefits that we and the Compensation Committee believe are reasonable, competitive and which are consistent with the overall compensation program to enable us to attract and retain qualified employees for key positions. The Compensation Committee periodically reviews the perquisites and other benefits provided to the executives, as well as the other employees.

Tax treatment. We consider the anticipated tax treatment of our executive compensation program when setting levels and types of compensation. Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, which we refer to as the Code, generally disallows a tax deduction to public companies for compensation paid to a company's chief executive officer or any of its other four most highly compensated executive officers in excess of \$1 million in any year, with certain performance-based compensation being specifically exempt from this deduction limit. Compensation received under the EICP is performance-based, and therefore qualifies for the exemption from the deduction limit. In addition, compensation received in connection with stock and stock option awards granted under the 2005 Plan is also performance-based, and qualifies for the exemption from the deduction limit. As such, during 2007, none of our employees subject to this limit received Section 162(m) of the Code compensation in excess of \$1 million. Consequently, the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code should not affect the tax deductions available to us in connection with our senior executive compensation program for 2007.

Conclusion. Our compensation policies are designed to reasonably and fairly motivate, retain and reward our executives for achieving our objectives and goals.

Table of Contents**Compensation Tables****Summary Compensation Table**

The table below summarizes the total compensation paid to or earned by each of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and our three other most highly compensated executive officers (referred to as the named executive officers) for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. Amounts listed under the columns entitled Bonus, Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation, and All Other Compensation were determined and approved by our board of directors.

(a) Name and Principal Position	(b) Fiscal Year	(c) Salary	(d) Bonus (1)	(e) Stock Awards (2)	(f) Option Awards (3)	Non-Equity		(i) Total
						(g) Incentive Plan Compensation (4)	(h) All Other Compensation (5)	
C. Lourenço Gonçalves <i>President and Chief Executive Officer</i>	2007	\$ 600,000	\$	\$	\$ 1,649,989	\$ 540,916	\$ 5,918,878	\$ 8,709,783
	2006	585,000	27,329	36,000	493,169	828,656	105,091	2,075,245
Robert C. McPherson III <i>Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer</i>	2007	300,000		25,208	185,900	178,295	804,514	1,493,917
	2006	300,000		27,500	56,763	262,178	15,507	661,948
John A. Hageman <i>Senior Vice President and Chief Legal Officer</i>	2007	300,000			269,177	178,295	992,073	1,739,545
	2006	290,000			82,191	253,438	37,017	662,646
Roger Krohn <i>President Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group</i>	2007	280,000		22,458	174,228	206,302	746,276	1,429,264
	2006	280,000		24,500	53,199	247,848	15,612	621,159
David A. Martens <i>President Plates and Shapes Group West</i>	2007	260,000		7,333	48,400	213,082	227,227	756,042
	2006	250,000	35,000	8,000	14,779	251,062	14,300	573,141

- (1) The amounts in column (d) reflect the amount attributable to annual bonus paid to the named executive officers based on the discretion of the Compensation Committee, which is discussed further under Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.
- (2) The amounts in column (e) reflect the dollar amount recognized for financial reporting purposes for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, in accordance with SFAS 123(R) of awards pursuant to our 2005 Plan, and thus, include amounts from awards granted in and prior to 2006. See Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 for further discussion of restricted stock awards.
- (3) The amounts in column (f) reflect the dollar amount recognized for financial reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, in accordance with SFAS 123(R) of awards pursuant to our 2005 Plan, and thus, include amounts from awards granted in and prior to 2006. Assumptions used in the calculation of this amount for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 are included in Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (4) The amounts in column (g) reflect the cash awards to the named executive officers under the EICP, which is discussed further under Compensation Discussion and Analysis above.
- (5) The amounts in column (h) reflect the following for each named executive officer for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007:

Name	Total Perquisites and Personal Benefits	Dividends Paid on Stock and Option Awards
C. Lourenço Gonçalves	\$ 36,049	\$ 5,878,329
Robert C. McPherson III	17,864	782,150
John A. Hageman	24,745	962,828
Roger Krohn	14,162	727,614
David A. Martens	15,506	207,221

Perquisites and personal benefits consist of the following:

the amount attributable to Company payments for personal use of automobiles;

the amounts attributable to Company reimbursements for club dues payable by the named executive officer;

Table of Contents

the amount of income taxes paid by the Company on behalf of the named executive officer for automobile allowances and club dues; and

the amounts attributable to Company reimbursements for medical insurance premiums and life insurance premiums.

None of the individual amounts attributable to each such perquisite or benefit exceeds the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of the total amount of perquisites for each named executive officer.

Dividends paid on stock and option awards are the amounts paid to each named executive officer on restricted stock and option awards granted under the 2005 Plan in connection with the January 2007 dividend and July 2007 dividend, each of which are discussed in more detail in Note 10 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
Name	Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units	All Other Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards
		Threshold	Target	Maximum				
C. Lourenço Gonçalves			\$ 660,000	\$ 1,284,000				
Robert C. McPherson III			210,000	402,000				
John A. Hageman			210,000	402,000				
Roger Krohn			196,000	375,200				
David A. Martens			182,000	348,400				

- (1) The amounts shown in column (c) reflect the minimum payment level under the EICP for 2007, which was zero. The EICP did not have a maximum limit with respect to profitability objectives. For purposes of calculating the maximum presented in column (e), a 200% achievement level was assumed. It was not likely that a 200% achievement level would have been met, and for 2007, it was not met. These amounts are based on the individual's current salary and position. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis above for further discussion.

The 2005 Plan

In connection with the Merger, Metals USA Holdings adopted the 2005 Plan, which was amended and restated by Metals USA Holdings on January 18, 2006, under which Messrs. Gonçalves, McPherson, Hageman, Krohn, Martens and other management participants are eligible to receive awards of stock options for common stock of Metals USA Holdings. Pursuant to option agreements entered into that are subject to the terms of the 2005 Plan, Messrs. Gonçalves, McPherson, Hageman, Krohn and Martens have been granted options under the 2005 Plan, effective at the effective time of the Merger, and Mr. Gonçalves was granted additional options under the 2005 Plan on March 17, 2006. The number of options to be granted to each of Messrs. Gonçalves, McPherson, Hageman, Krohn and Martens and the date of vesting and pricing of such options are more fully described below under Management Agreements with Metals USA and Related Stock Option Grants from Metals USA Holdings. Under the 2005 Plan, awards may be granted to employees or directors of, or consultants to, us, or any of our subsidiaries, except that consultants may only receive awards with the consent of our Chief Executive Officer. The 2005 Plan has a term of ten years. The date of grant, vesting and pricing of options granted under the 2005 Plan are subject to the discretion of the Compensation Committee. In addition, Messrs. Gonçalves, McPherson, Hageman, Krohn and Martens and a limited number of other management participants

Table of Contents

have also received awards of restricted shares of common stock of Metals USA Holdings granted under the 2005 Plan. Messrs. Gonçalves, McPherson, Hageman, Krohn and Martens have been granted 39,600, 5,500, 8,000, 4,900 and 1,600 restricted shares, respectively, pursuant to restricted stock agreements entered into at the effective time of the Merger that are subject to the terms of the 2005 Plan, all of which were fully vested as of December 31, 2007.

See Compensation Discussion and Analysis above and Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of the 2005 Plan.

Management Agreements with Metals USA and Related Stock Option Grants from Metals USA Holdings

Each of Messrs. Gonçalves, Hageman and McPherson has an employment agreement and each of Messrs. Krohn and Martens has a severance agreement with Metals USA.

Mr. Gonçalves employment agreement. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Gonçalves serves as Metals USA's President and Chief Executive Officer for an initial term of five years following the effective date of the Merger. The initial term will automatically be renewed for successive one-year periods unless 90 days' prior notice is given by either party. In addition, Mr. Gonçalves is a member of our board of directors. He receives an annual base salary of \$625,000.

In addition, pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Gonçalves received two stock option grants at the effective time of the Merger to purchase shares of Metals USA Holdings' common stock at an exercise price of \$10.00 per share. The first grant was for options to purchase 407,960 shares of Metals USA Holdings' common stock and expires ten years after the grant date. Pursuant to his stock option agreements, the options were classified as Tranche A options or Tranche B options. The Tranche A options cover 203,980 of the shares subject to the options, and 20% of these options vest and become exercisable on each of the first five anniversaries of the grant date, except that vesting will accelerate on the earlier of the one year anniversary of a sale of the Company and a termination without cause, a resignation for good reason (in each case as defined in the employment agreement) or Mr. Gonçalves' death or disability after a sale of the Company. Tranche B includes the remaining 203,980 shares subject to this first grant of options and vests and is currently fully vested. The second grant was for options to purchase 18,800 shares of Metals USA Holdings' common stock and was fully vested as of the grant date and exercisable on or before March 30, 2006. Mr. Gonçalves exercised his options subject to the second grant on March 17, 2006. Pursuant to his option agreement, upon such exercise on March 17, 2006, Mr. Gonçalves received an additional grant of options to purchase 40,790 shares of Metals USA Holdings' common stock at an exercise price of \$10.67 per share. These additional options are allocated equally into Tranches A and B. The Tranche A options are subject to similar vesting specifications as the first grant of Tranche A options to purchase 407,960 shares of Metals USA Holdings discussed above, and the second grant of Tranche B options is fully vested.

In connection with the May 2006 dividend, the exercise price of the employee stock options granted on the effective time of the Merger was reduced to \$8.22 and the exercise price of the options granted on March 17, 2006 was reduced to \$8.89. In connection with the January 2007 dividend, the exercise price of the outstanding employee stock options under the 2005 Plan was adjusted a second time. The per share exercise price of the options granted on the effective time of the Merger was decreased by \$4.22 to \$4.00 and the per share exercise price of the options granted on March 17, 2006, was reduced by \$4.89 to \$4.00. With respect to his vested options, a cash payment was made to Mr. Gonçalves approximating the excess of the dividend amount over the amount of such exercise price reduction (including as to all Tranche B options, which were vested in our board of directors' discretion based on the achievement of the related performance metrics). With respect to his unvested options, an amount approximating the balance of the dividend was credited to a deferred compensation account, distribution of which is subject to continued employment through January 3, 2009. In connection with the July 2007 dividend, Mr. Gonçalves received on all of his vested and unvested options a cash payment of \$9.25 per share underlying such options, an amount equal to the per share amount of the dividend. Further, Mr. Gonçalves

Table of Contents

received a grant of 36,000 restricted shares at the effective time of the Merger and an additional 3,600 upon the exercise of the options to purchase 18,800 shares discussed above, which vested immediately. Under the employment agreement, Mr. Gonçalves is provided employee benefits equal to or greater than those provided to him by us prior to the Merger. Upon Mr. Gonçalves' termination of employment by us without cause or by Mr. Gonçalves for good reason or upon our election not to renew his employment, Mr. Gonçalves will be entitled to receive the following severance payments and benefits: all accrued salary and bonus earned but not yet paid, a pro rata bonus for the year in which the termination occurs, a lump sum payment equal to twelve months of his base salary, monthly payments equal to one-twelfth of his annual base salary beginning with the thirteenth month following the date of his termination of employment, until the twenty-fourth month following his date of termination of employment (or on the earlier date of his material violation of the terms of his employment agreement), and the reimbursement for the cost of COBRA Continuation coverage for a period of up to eighteen months. Additionally, Mr. Gonçalves will be subject to certain restrictions on his ability to compete with us or solicit our customers or employees for two years after his termination. Mr. Gonçalves' employment agreement may also be terminated for cause.

Mr. Hageman's employment agreement. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Hageman serves as our senior vice president and chief legal officer and administrative officer for an initial term of two years following the effective time of the Merger. The initial term will automatically be renewed for successive one-year periods unless 90 days' prior notice is given by either party. Mr. Hageman receives an annual base salary of \$300,000 and is eligible for an annual bonus of 70% of his base salary if we achieve specified performance objectives.

In addition, at the effective time of the Merger, Mr. Hageman received a stock option grant to purchase 73,000 shares of Metals USA Holdings common stock at an exercise price of \$10.00 per share that expires ten years after the grant date. Pursuant to his non-qualified stock option agreement, 36,500 of these options are classified as Tranche A Options and 20% of these options will vest and become exercisable on each of the first five anniversaries of the grant date, except that vesting will accelerate on the earlier of the one year anniversary of our sale and a termination without cause, a resignation for good reason or Mr. Hageman's death or disability after our sale. The remaining 36,500 options are classified as Tranche B Options and are currently fully vested.

In connection with the May 2006 dividend, the exercise price of the employee stock options was reduced to \$8.22. In connection with the January 2007 dividend, the exercise price of the outstanding employee stock options under the 2005 Plan was adjusted a second time. The per share exercise price of the options granted on the effective time of the Merger was decreased by \$4.22 to \$4.00. With respect to his vested options, a cash payment was made to Mr. Hageman approximating the balance of the dividend (including all Tranche B options, which were vested in the Board's discretion based on the achievement of the related performance metrics). With respect to his unvested options, an amount approximating the excess of the amount of the dividend over the amount of such exercise price reduction was credited to a deferred compensation account, distribution of which is subject to continued employment through January 3, 2009. In connection with the July 2007 dividend, Mr. Hageman received on all of his vested and unvested options a cash payment of \$9.25 per share underlying such options, an amount equal to the per share amount of the dividend. Further, Mr. Hageman received a grant of 8,000 restricted shares on the effective date of the Merger, which vested immediately.

Under the employment agreement, Mr. Hageman is provided employee benefits equal to those provided to him by us prior to the Merger. Upon his termination of employment by us without cause or by Mr. Hageman for good reason (each as defined in the employment agreement), or upon our election not to renew his employment, Mr. Hageman will be entitled to the following severance payments and benefits: all accrued salary and bonus earned but not paid, a pro rata bonus for the year in which the termination occurs, his annual base salary for a period of eighteen months following his termination of employment or, at our election, a lump sum payment equal to eighteen months of annual base salary (such payments to cease (or be repaid by Mr. Hageman on a pro rata basis in the case of a lump sum payment) if he violates the terms of his employment agreement prior to such time), and the reimbursement for the cost of COBRA Continuation coverage for a period of up to eighteen months. Additionally, Mr. Hageman will be subject to certain restrictions on his ability to compete with

Table of Contents

us for eighteen months or solicit our customers or employees for two years after his termination of employment. Mr. Hageman's employment agreement may also be terminated for cause.

Mr. McPherson's employment agreement. Under his employment agreement, Mr. McPherson serves as our senior vice president and chief financial officer for an initial term of two years following the effective time of the Merger. The initial term will automatically be renewed for successive one-year periods unless 90 days' prior notice is given by either party. Mr. McPherson receives an annual base salary of \$310,000 and is eligible for an annual bonus of 70% of his base salary if we achieve specified performance objectives.

In addition, at the effective date of the Merger, Mr. McPherson received a stock option grant to purchase 50,415 shares of Metals USA Holdings common stock at an exercise price of \$10.00 per share that expires ten years after the grant date. Pursuant to his non-qualified stock option agreement, 25,207 of these options are classified as Tranche A Options, and 20% of these options will vest and become exercisable on each of the first five anniversaries of the grant date, except that vesting will accelerate on the earlier of the one year anniversary of our sale and a termination without cause, a resignation for good reason or Mr. McPherson's death or disability after our sale. The remaining 25,208 options are classified as Tranche B Options and are currently fully vested.

In connection with the May 2006 dividend, the exercise price of the employee stock options was reduced to \$8.22. In connection with the January 2007 dividend, the exercise price of the outstanding employee stock options under the 2005 Plan was adjusted a second time. The per share exercise price of the options granted on the effective time of the Merger was decreased by \$4.22 to \$4.00. With respect to his vested options, a cash payment was made to Mr. McPherson approximating the excess of the amount of the dividend over the amount of such exercise price reduction (including all Tranche B options, which were vested in the Board's discretion based on the achievement of the related performance metrics). With respect to his unvested options, an amount approximating the balance of the dividend was credited to a deferred compensation account, distribution of which is subject to continued employment through January 3, 2009. In connection with the July 2007 dividend, Mr. McPherson received on all of his vested and unvested options a cash payment of \$9.25 per share underlying such options, an amount equal to the per share amount of the dividend.

Further, on the effective date of the Merger, Mr. McPherson received a grant of 5,500 restricted shares, which shares will vest on the second anniversary of the Merger. Mr. McPherson is provided employee benefits equal to those provided to him by us prior to the Merger.

Upon Mr. McPherson's termination of employment by us without cause or by Mr. McPherson for good reason (each as defined in the employment agreement) or upon our election not to renew his employment, Mr. McPherson will be entitled to receive the same severance payments as set forth in Mr. Hageman's employment agreement and described above. Additionally, Mr. McPherson will be subject to certain restrictions on his ability to compete with us for eighteen months or solicit our customers or employees for two years after his termination of employment. Mr. McPherson's employment agreement may also be terminated for cause.

Mr. Krohn's severance agreement. Under his severance agreement, upon his termination of employment by us without cause or by Mr. Krohn for good reason as those terms are defined in the severance agreement, Mr. Krohn will be entitled to the following severance payments and benefits: his annual base salary for a period of twelve months following his termination of employment (such payments to cease if he violates any material terms of his severance agreement prior to such time) and the reimbursement for the cost of COBRA Continuation coverage for a period of up to twelve months. Additionally, Mr. Krohn will be subject to certain restrictions on his ability to compete with us for one year (two years if his employment is terminated for cause or he resigns without good reason) and to solicit our customers or employees for two years after his termination.

In addition, pursuant to a stock option agreement with Metals USA Holdings, Mr. Krohn received a stock option grant on the effective time of the Merger at the effective time of the Merger, to purchase 47,250 shares of Metals USA Holdings common stock at an exercise price of \$10.00 per share that expires ten years after the

Table of Contents

grant date. Pursuant to his non-qualified stock option agreement, 23,625 of these options are classified as Tranche A Options, 20% of which vest and become exercisable on each of the first five anniversaries of the grant date, except that vesting will accelerate upon our sale. The remaining 23,625 options are classified as Tranche B Options and are currently fully vested.

In connection with the May 2006 dividend, the exercise price of the stock options was reduced to \$8.22. In connection with the January 2007 dividend, the exercise price of the outstanding employee stock options under the 2005 Plan was adjusted a second time. The per share exercise price of the options granted on the effective time of the Merger was decreased by \$4.22 to \$4.00. With respect to his vested options, a cash payment was made to Mr. Krohn approximating the excess of the amount of the dividend over the amount of such exercise price reduction (including all Tranche B options, which were vested in the Board's discretion based on the achievement of the related performance metrics). With respect to his unvested options, an amount approximating the balance of the dividend was credited to a deferred compensation account, distribution of which is subject to continued employment through January 3, 2009. In connection with the July 2007 dividend, Mr. Krohn received on all of his vested and unvested options a cash payment of \$9.25 per share underlying such options, an amount equal to the per share amount of the dividend.

Further, pursuant to a restricted stock agreement with Metals USA Holdings, on the effective date of the Merger, Mr. Krohn received a grant of 4,900 restricted shares, which shares vested on the second anniversary of the Merger.

Mr. Martens severance agreement. Under his severance agreement, upon his termination of employment by us without cause or by Mr. Martens for good reason as those terms are defined in the severance agreement, Mr. Martens will be entitled to the following severance payments and benefits: his annual base salary for a period of twelve months following his termination of employment (such payments to cease if he violates any material terms of his severance agreement prior to such time) and the reimbursement for the cost of COBRA Continuation coverage for a period of up to twelve months. Additionally, Mr. Martens will be subject to certain restrictions on his ability to compete with us for one year (two years if his employment is terminated for cause or he resigns without good reason) and to solicit our customers or employees for two years after his termination.

In addition, pursuant to a stock option agreement with Metals USA Holdings, Mr. Martens received a stock option grant, at the effective time of the Merger, to purchase 13,126 shares of Metals USA Holdings' common stock at an exercise price of \$10.00 per share that will expire ten years after the grant date. Pursuant to his non-qualified stock option agreement, 6,563 of these options are classified as Tranche A Options, 20% of which will vest and become exercisable on each of the first five anniversaries of the grant date, except that vesting will accelerate upon our sale. The remaining 6,563 options are classified as Tranche B Options and will vest and are currently fully vested.

In connection with the May 2006 dividend, the exercise price of the employee stock options was reduced to \$8.22. In connection with the January 2007 dividend, the exercise price of the outstanding employee stock options under the 2005 Plan was adjusted a second time. The per share exercise price of the options granted on the effective time of the Merger was decreased by \$4.22 to \$4.00. With respect to his vested options, a cash payment was made to Mr. Martens approximating the excess of the amount of the dividend over the amount of such exercise price reduction (including all Tranche B options, which were vested in the Board's discretion based on the achievement of the related performance metrics). With respect to his unvested options, an amount approximating the balance of the dividend was credited to a deferred compensation account, distribution of which is subject to continued employment through January 3, 2009. In connection with the July 2007 dividend, Mr. Martens received on all of his vested and unvested options a cash payment of \$9.25 per share underlying such options, an amount equal to the per share amount of the dividend.

Further, pursuant to a restricted stock agreement with Metals USA Holdings, on the effective date of the Merger, Mr. Martens received a grant of 1,600 restricted shares, which shares vested on the second anniversary of the Merger.

Table of Contents**Bonus Plan**

See Compensation Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of our EICP.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Option Awards(1)		
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date
C. Lourenço Gonçalves	310,046	138,704	4.00	11/30/2015
Robert C. McPherson III	35,292	15,123	4.00	11/30/2015
John A. Hageman	51,100	21,900	4.00	11/30/2015
Roger Krohn	33,075	14,175	4.00	11/30/2015
David A. Martens	9,189	3,937	4.00	11/30/2015

- (1) See Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 for a description of Metals USA Holdings share option and restricted stock plans, including the vesting schedule.

Option Exercises and Stock Vesting

(a) Name	(b) Option Awards(1)		(d) Stock Awards(2)	
	(c) Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	(e) Value Realized on Exercise	(d) Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	(e) Value Realized on Vesting
C. Lourenço Gonçalves		\$		\$
Robert C. McPherson III			5,500	55,000
John A. Hageman				
Roger Krohn			4,900	49,000
David A. Martens			1,600	16,000

- (1) There were no stock option exercises by the named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.
- (2) As the common stock of Metals USA Holdings is held by Apollo and members of management, there is no established trading market for the common stock and it is not traded on any stock exchange. Since there is no closing market price at which to establish the market value of the awards reported in column (e), the \$10.00 per share grant value has been used, which was determined on the grant date using a combination of discounted cash flows and financial metrics from companies with similar characteristics to those of Metals USA Holdings.

Table of Contents**Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control**

Our employment and severance agreements are described under the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table above.

If (1) each of our named executive officers terminated his employment for good reason or his employment was terminated other than for cause, death or disability, or (with respect to those executives with employment agreements) if we elected not to renew their employment agreements, or (2) the employment of each of our named executive officers was terminated as a result of death or disability, as of December 31, 2007, our named executive officers would be paid the following amounts, respectively:

	Voluntary Termination for Good Reason or Involuntary without Cause Termination on December 31, 2007	Death or Disability on December 31, 2007
C. Lourenço Gonçalves:		
Compensation		
Accrued Salary	\$ 1,650	\$ 1,650
Accrued Bonus (EICP)	\$ 540,916	\$ 540,916
Lump Sum Salary (12 months)	\$ 600,000	\$ 600,000
Lump Sum Salary Death (24 months)		\$ 1,200,000
Monthly Salary (12 months)	\$ 600,000	
Benefits and Perquisites		
401(k) Savings Plan	\$ 22,174	\$ 22,174
Health and Welfare Benefits	\$ 23,612	\$ 23,612
Disability Income(1)		\$ 1,672,586
Life Insurance Benefits(2)		\$ 400,000
Accrued Vacation Pay	\$ 161,585	\$ 161,585
Robert C. McPherson III:		
Compensation		
Accrued Salary	\$ 824	\$ 824
Accrued Bonus (EICP)	\$ 178,295	\$ 178,295
Lump Sum Salary (12 months)		\$ 300,000
Monthly Salary (18 months)	\$ 450,000	
Benefits and Perquisites		
401(k) Savings Plan	\$ 21,132	\$ 21,132
Health and Welfare Benefits	\$ 23,612	\$ 23,612
Disability Income(1)		\$ 1,911,818
Life Insurance Benefits(2)		\$ 400,000
Accrued Vacation Pay	\$ 24,808	\$ 24,808
John A. Hageman:		
Compensation		
Accrued Salary	\$ 824	\$ 824
Accrued Bonus (EICP)	\$ 178,295	\$ 178,295
Lump Sum Salary (12 months)		\$ 300,000
Monthly Salary (18 months)	\$ 450,000	
Benefits and Perquisites		
401(k) Savings Plan	\$ 35,587	\$ 35,587
Health and Welfare Benefits	\$ 23,612	\$ 23,612
Disability Income(1)		\$ 1,410,827
Life Insurance Benefits(2)		\$ 400,000
Accrued Vacation Pay	\$ 84,253	\$ 84,253

Table of Contents

	Voluntary Termination for Good Reason or Involuntary without Cause Termination on December 31, 2007	Death or Disability on December 31, 2007
Roger Krohn:		
Compensation		
Accrued Salary	\$ 10,183	\$ 10,183
Accrued Bonus (EICP)	\$ 206,302	\$ 206,302
Monthly Salary (12 months)	\$ 280,000	
Benefits and Perquisites		
401(k) Savings Plan	\$ 245,786	\$ 245,786
Health and Welfare Benefits	\$ 15,324	\$ 15,324
Disability Income(1)		\$ 1,244,238
Life Insurance Benefits(2)		\$ 400,000
Accrued Vacation Pay		
David A. Martens:		
Compensation		
Accrued Salary	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000
Accrued Bonus (EICP)	\$ 213,082	\$ 213,082
Monthly Salary (12 months)	\$ 260,000	
Benefits and Perquisites		
401(k) Savings Plan	\$ 343,594	\$ 343,594
Health and Welfare Benefits	\$ 15,324	\$ 15,324
Disability Income(1)		\$ 1,083,128
Life Insurance Benefits(2)		\$ 400,000
Accrued Vacation Pay	\$ 14,932	\$ 14,932

- (1) Reflects the maximum lump-sum present value of all future payments each named executive would be entitled to receive under the Company's disability program. Each named executive officer would be entitled to receive benefits until he reaches age 65.
- (2) Reflects the maximum lump-sum amount of \$200,000 payable to each named executive officer's beneficiaries upon his death plus the maximum lump-sum amount of \$200,000 payable in the event of accidental death under the Company's life insurance program.
- No payments are made under any employment agreement or severance agreement if an executive terminates his employment without good reason or we terminate his employment for cause.

With respect to the employment and severance agreements:

cause generally means (1) the commission of a felony or a crime of moral turpitude; (2) a willful and material act of dishonesty involving Metals USA; (3) a material non-curable breach of the executive's obligations under the agreement; (4) material breaches of Metals USA's policies or procedures; (5) any other willful misconduct which causes material harm to Metals USA or its business reputation; (6) a failure to cure a material breach of the executive's obligations under the agreement, the investors rights agreement among the stockholders of Metals USA Holdings and certain other agreements related to the executive's equity participation in Metals USA Holdings, within 30 days after written notice of such breach; or (7) breaches of any of the executive's representations contained in the agreement; and

good reason generally means (1) a reduction in the executive's annual base salary or bonus potential described in the agreement (but not including any diminution related to a broader compensation reduction that is not limited to any particular employee or executive); (2) a material diminution of the executive's responsibilities or, with respect to Mr. Gonçalves, prior to an initial public offering, the

Table of Contents

failure to re-elect him to the board of directors of Metals USA or Metals USA Holdings; (3) relocation of the executive's primary work place, as assigned to him by Metals USA, beyond a fifty mile radius; or (4) a material breach by the employer of the agreement.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

The table below sets forth, for each named executive officer, such officer's participation levels and account balance in our non-qualified deferred compensation plan for 2007.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
Name	Executive Contributions in Fiscal 2007	Registrant Contributions in Fiscal 2007	Aggregate Earnings in Fiscal 2007	Aggregate Withdrawals/Distributions	Aggregate Balance at 2007 Fiscal Year End
Lourenço Gonçalves	\$ 1,190,614	0	0	0	\$ 1,190,614
Robert McPherson	132,282	0	0	0	132,282
John Hageman	191,552	0	0	0	191,552
Roger Krohn	123,984	0	0	0	123,984
David Martens	34,440	0	0	0	34,440

Director Compensation

The table below summarizes the compensation paid by the Company to non-employee directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
Name(1)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards(2)	All Other Compensation(3)	Total
Joshua J. Harris	\$ 60,500	\$	\$ 182,486	\$ 632,537	\$ 875,523
Marc E. Becker(4)	88,500		179,341	632,537	900,378
Eric L. Press	64,000		182,486	632,537	879,023
M. Ali Rashid	116,000		182,486	632,537	931,023
John T. Baldwin	90,000		46,592	422,617	559,209

- (1) C. Lourenço Gonçalves, the Company's Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, is not included in this table as he is an employee of the Company and thus receives no compensation for his services as a director. The compensation received by Mr. Gonçalves as an employee of the Company is shown in the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (2) Reflects the dollar amount recognized for financial reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, in accordance with SFAS 123(R) of awards pursuant to the 2005 Plan. Assumptions used in the calculation of this amount for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 are included in Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements. As of December 31, 2007, each director had the following number of options outstanding: Joshua J. Harris: 40,000; M. Ali Rashid: 40,000; Eric L. Press: 40,000; John T. Baldwin: 40,000.
- (3) Consists of dividends paid on stock option awards granted under the 2005 Plan in connection with the January 2007 dividend and July 2007 dividend, each of which are discussed in more detail in Note 10 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (4) Marc E. Becker resigned his position as a director of the Company effective December 6, 2007. Amounts reflected in the table above are for the period from January 1, 2007 through December 6, 2007.

Table of Contents

Compensation of Directors

We currently compensate our directors with an annual retainer of \$60,000, paid quarterly in advance of each fiscal quarter of service. Each director also receives a fee of \$2,000 per board meeting attended and \$2,000 for each regularly scheduled committee meeting. The annual fees for the audit committee members are \$10,000, and for the Chairman and member of any other committee, the annual fees are \$7,500 and \$3,000, respectively. The Chairman of the audit committee receives an annual fee of \$12,500. All reasonable out-of-pocket expenses are reimbursed upon submission of support documentation. In addition, each non-employee director of Metals USA received a grant of 40,000 non-qualified options under the 2005 Plan. Such options have a 10-year term, vest ratably over 5 years and have an exercise price of \$10.00. The exercise price was reduced to \$8.22 per share in connection with a special dividend paid to Metals USA Holdings' stockholders on May 12, 2006. In connection with a special dividend paid to Metals USA Holdings' stockholders on January 3, 2007, the exercise price was adjusted a second time and a cash payment was made to vested option holders. Accordingly, the per share exercise price of the options granted at the effective time of the Merger was decreased by \$4.22 to \$4.00. Additionally, the Company has accelerated the vesting of unvested stock options held by non-employee directors other than Mr. Baldwin. In connection with the July 2007 dividend, each director received on his options a cash payment \$9.25 per share subject to an option, an amount equal to the per share amount of the dividend. Newly elected directors will receive the same fees as described above. In addition, upon election, each new director will be awarded 40,000 non-qualified options, with a 10-year term, 5-year vesting and with a strike price equal to the per share fair market value of the stock at the date of grant.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Prior to February 9, 2007, including the entire year of 2006, the board of directors of Metals USA Holdings, excluding Mr. Gonçalves, made all compensation decisions, and as such, had the responsibility for establishing and implementing, and monitoring compliance with, the Company's compensation philosophy. On February 9, 2007, our board of directors established a compensation committee comprised of Messrs. Press and Rashid. Other than Mr. Gonçalves, none of our directors has ever been one of our officers or employees. With the exception of those matters described below under "Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions" pertaining to Mr. Gonçalves with respect to his employment agreement and the investors rights agreement described in that section, none of our directors during 2007 had any relationship that requires disclosure in this prospectus as a transaction with a related person. During 2007, none of our executive officers served as a member of the compensation committee of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on our board of directors, and none of our executive officers served as a director of another entity, one of whose executive officers served on our board of directors.

Table of Contents**PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS AND SELLING STOCKHOLDERS**

The following table sets forth information with respect to the ownership of Metals USA Holdings as of May 16, 2008 for:

each person who owns beneficially more than a 5% equity interest in Metals USA Holdings;

each member of our board of directors;

each of our named executive officers;

all of our executive officers and directors as a group; and

each selling stockholder.

Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each person listed is c/o Metals USA, One Riverway, Suite 1100, Houston, Texas 77056.

Name of Beneficial Owner(1)	Shares Beneficially Owned Before the Offering		Number of Shares to be Sold in the Offering	Shares Beneficially Owned After the Offering		Maximum Number of Shares to be Sold if Over-Allotment Option is Exercised in Full	Shares Beneficially Owned After the Offering if the Over-Allotment Option is Exercised in Full	
	Shares	%		Shares	%		Shares	%
Apollo Management V, L.P.(2)(3)	13,612,900	96.7%						
C. Lourenço Gonçalves	560,525	3.9%						
Robert C. McPherson, III	68,292	*						
John A. Hageman	96,600	*						
Roger Krohn	64,975	*						
Joe Longo	21,625	*						
Keith A. Koci	17,520	*						
David A. Martens	18,289	*						
Joshua J. Harris(3)	40,000	*						
Eric L. Press(3)	40,000	*						
M. Ali Rashid(3)	40,000	*						
John T. Baldwin	16,000	*						
All executive officers and directors as a group (11 persons)	983,826	6.7%						

* Less than 1%

- (1) The amounts and percentages of interests beneficially owned are reported on the basis of regulations of the SEC governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Under the rules of the SEC, a person is deemed to be a beneficial owner of a security if that person has or shares voting power, which includes the power to vote or direct the voting of such security, or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of such security. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities of which that person has a right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Securities that can be so acquired are deemed to

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

be outstanding for purposes of computing such person's ownership percentage, but not for purposes of computing any other person's percentage. Under these rules, more than one person may be deemed beneficial owner of the same securities and a person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of securities as to which such person has no economic interest. Except as otherwise indicated in these footnotes, each of the beneficial owners has, to our knowledge, sole voting and investment power with respect to the indicated ownership interests.

- (2) Represents all equity interest of Metals USA Holdings held of record by affiliates of Apollo Management V, L.P. Apollo Management V, L.P. has the voting and investment power over the shares on behalf of Apollo.

Table of Contents

The general partner of Apollo Management V, L.P. is AIF V Management, Inc. Messrs. Leon Black and John Hannon, are the principal executive officers and directors of AIF V Management, Inc., each of whom disclaim beneficial ownership of these shares, except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. Each of Messrs. Harris, Press and Rashid, who have relationships with Apollo, disclaim beneficial ownership of any shares of Metals USA Holdings that may be deemed beneficially owned by Apollo Management V, L.P., except to the extent of any pecuniary interest therein. Each of Apollo Management V, L.P. and its affiliated investment funds disclaims beneficial ownership of any such shares in which it does not have a pecuniary interest.

- (3) The address of Apollo Management V, L.P. and each of Messrs. Harris, Press and Rashid is c/o Apollo Management, L.P., 9 West 57th Street, New York, New York 10019.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In connection with this offering, we intend to revise our audit committee charter, which will include a delegation from the Board of Directors of Metals USA Holdings to the audit committee of the responsibility and authority to review and approve all related party transactions. See Management Audit Committee of the Board of Directors for a description of our audit committee. The following is a description of certain relationships and related party transactions.

This section of the prospectus includes descriptions of the material terms of the amended and restated investors rights agreement, the investors rights agreement, the Apollo Management Agreements, and the 2007 Notes Indenture and the other relationships and related transactions, but does not purport to describe all of the terms of such agreements or transactions or relationships. The following summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the complete text of the Apollo Management Agreements and the 2007 Notes Indenture, which are attached as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, and the amended and restated investors rights agreement which will be attached as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. We urge you to read the full text of these agreements.

Related Party Transactions

Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement

Metals USA Holdings, Apollo and each of our management members who currently own our common stock expect to enter into an amended and restated investors rights agreement prior to the completion of this offering, which will provide for, among other things, a restriction on the transferability of each management member's equity ownership in Metals USA Holdings, tag-along rights, come-along rights, piggyback registration rights, repurchase rights by Metals USA Holdings and Apollo in certain circumstances, demand registration rights for Apollo and the grant of an irrevocable proxy to Apollo with respect to the voting rights associated with its respective ownership, and certain restrictions on each such person's ability to compete with us or solicit our employees or customers. The amended and restated investors rights agreement will also provide Apollo with certain approval rights as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least % of our outstanding common stock.

In addition, the amended and restated investors rights agreement will provide that, except as otherwise required by applicable law, Apollo will have the right to nominate (a) directors as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least % but less than % of our outstanding common stock, (b) directors as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least % but less than % of our outstanding common stock and (c) directors as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least % but less than % of our outstanding common stock. The amended and restated investors rights agreement will provide that, except as otherwise required by applicable law, we and the management members will take all action within their respective power to cause all such nominees to be included in the slate of nominees recommended by the board of directors to our stockholders for election as directors at each annual meeting of our stockholders and will use all reasonable efforts to cause the election of each such nominee, including soliciting proxies in favor of the election of such nominees.

The amended and restated investors rights agreement will provide that, except as otherwise required by applicable law, Apollo will have the right to designate a replacement to fill a vacancy on Metal USA Holdings' board of directors that was nominated by Apollo, and will provide that, except as otherwise required by applicable law, we and the management holders will take all action within our or their respective power to cause such vacancy to be filled by the replacement so designated. See Description of Capital Stock Composition of

Table of Contents

Board of Directors; Election and Removal of Directors. The amended and restated investors rights agreement was negotiated among management, Metals USA Holdings and Apollo, and Metals USA Holdings believes the amended and restated investors rights agreement is on arm s-length terms.

Investors Rights Agreement

Metals USA Holdings and each of the management participants have entered into an investors rights agreement which provides for, among other things, a restriction on the transferability of each such management member s equity ownership in Metals USA Holdings, tag-along rights, come-along rights, piggyback registration rights, repurchase rights by Metals USA Holdings and Apollo in certain circumstances, and the grant of an irrevocable proxy to Apollo with respect to the voting rights associated with his respective ownership, and certain restrictions on each such person s ability to compete with or solicit our employees or customers. The investors rights agreement was negotiated among management, us and Apollo, and we believe it is on arm s-length terms.

We expect to amend and restate the current investors rights agreement in connection with this offering. See Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement.

Apollo Agreements

Metals USA Holdings entered into a management agreement with Apollo on November 30, 2005, pursuant to which Apollo provides us with management services. Pursuant to such agreement, Apollo receives an annual management fee equal to \$2.0 million, payable on March 15 of every year, starting on March 15, 2006. \$500,000 of this fee has been waived by Apollo, subject to revocation. The management agreement will terminate on December 31, 2012, unless earlier terminated by Apollo. Upon a termination of the management agreement prior to December 31, 2012, Apollo will be entitled to receive the present value of (a) \$14.0 million, less (b) the aggregate amount of management fees that were paid to it under the agreement prior to such termination, and less (c) management fees waived. Finally, Apollo is entitled to receive a transaction fee in connection with certain subsequent financing, acquisition, disposition and change of control transactions with a value of \$25 million or more, equal to 1% of the gross transaction value of any such transaction.

Upon the consummation of this offering, Apollo intends to terminate the management agreement, and as a result will receive \$ million.

In addition, pursuant to a transaction fee agreement between us and Apollo dated as of November 30, 2005, we paid Apollo \$6.0 million at the consummation of the Merger for various services performed by it and its affiliates in connection with the Transactions. As a result of the acquisition of Port City in May 2006 discussed in Note 2 of our Consolidated Financial Statements, Apollo was paid a transaction fee of \$0.4 million. In addition, in connection with Metals USA Holdings issuance of the 2006 Notes discussed in Note 8 of our Consolidated Financial Statements, Apollo was paid a transaction fee of \$1.5 million.

The terms and fees payable to Apollo under the management agreement and the transaction fee agreement were determined through arm s-length negotiations between us and Apollo, and reflect the understanding of Apollo and us of the fair value for such services, based in part on market conditions and what similarly-situated companies have paid for similar services.

Both the management agreement and transaction fee agreement contain customary indemnification provisions in favor of Apollo, as well as expense reimbursement provisions with respect to expenses incurred by Apollo in connection with its performance of services thereunder.

Table of Contents

Repurchase Offer

No later than 60 days following our receipt of the proceeds of this offering, we are required to make an offer to all holders of the 2007 Notes to repurchase the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes that may be purchased out of the net proceeds of this offering, estimated to be approximately \$ million, or \$ million if the overallotment option is exercised in full, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase. Under the terms of the indenture governing the 2007 Notes, we must offer to repurchase all of a tendering holder's 2007 Notes or a pro rata portion thereof. Our affiliates, including Apollo, that are holders of the 2007 Notes may participate in the repurchase offer. As of the date of this prospectus, Apollo and its affiliates held approximately \$ million of the \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 2007 Notes outstanding, Mr. Gonçalves held approximately \$ million and Mr. McPherson held approximately \$ million. However, from time to time, Apollo or its affiliates, depending upon market, pricing and other conditions, may in the future purchase additional 2007 Notes or sell 2007 Notes owned by them in the market. Any such future purchases or sales may be made in the open market, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers or otherwise. At May 9, 2008, the 2007 Notes traded at approximately 86.5% of face value, based on quoted market prices.

Review and Approval of Related Party Transactions

Transactions with our directors, executive officers, principal stockholders or affiliates must be at terms that are no less than favorable to us than those available from third parties and must be approved in advance by a majority of disinterested members of the board of directors.

Table of Contents**DESCRIPTION OF CERTAIN INDEBTEDNESS**

This section of the prospectus includes descriptions of the material terms of the ABL facility, the IRB, the 2007 Notes and the Metals USA Notes but does not purport to describe all of the terms of such agreements or transactions. The following summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the complete text of the loan and security agreement, including the amendments thereto, the 2007 Notes Indenture and the Metals USA Notes Indenture, including the amendments thereto, which are exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. We urge you to read the full text of these agreements. See also Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations Financing Activities.

The ABL Facility

On November 30, 2005 Flag Intermediate and certain of its subsidiaries entered into the ABL facility arranged by Credit Suisse, as sole bookrunner and joint lead arranger, and Banc of America Securities LLC, as joint lead arranger.

The ABL facility is available to us on a revolving basis during the period beginning on November 30, 2005 and ending on November 30, 2011. Substantially all of our subsidiaries are also borrowers under the ABL facility. The maximum availability under the ABL facility is based on eligible receivables and eligible inventory, subject to certain reserves, to be determined in accordance with the loan and security agreement. The commitments under the ABL facility are comprised of \$500.0 million of Tranche A Commitments and \$25.0 million of Tranche A-1 Commitments. While the Tranche A-1 Commitments are outstanding, the borrowing base is subject to greater advance rates than would otherwise be in effect. Subject to certain conditions, the Tranche A-1 Commitments may be reduced or terminated at any time. Subject to certain conditions, upon the reduction or termination of the Tranche A-1 Commitments, the Tranche A Commitments will be increased on a dollar-for-dollar basis in an amount equal to such reduction or termination. Up to \$15.0 million of the ABL facility is available for swingline loans and up to \$100.0 million is available for the issuance of letters of credit. Both the face amount of any outstanding letters of credit and any swingline loans will reduce availability under the ABL facility on a dollar-for-dollar basis.

The interest rates with respect to loans made utilizing the Tranche A Commitments are, at our option, (i) the higher of (a) the prime rate of Credit Suisse in effect at its principal office in New York City and (b) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.5%, in each case plus an applicable margin ranging between -0.25% and -0.50% as determined in accordance with the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility or (ii) the rate (as adjusted for statutory reserves) for Eurodollar deposits for one, two, three, six or, if agreed to by all leaders under the loan and security agreement, nine or twelve months, as selected by us, determined by reference to the British Bankers' Association Interest Settlement Rates, plus an applicable margin ranging between 1.00% and 1.75% as determined in accordance with the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility.

The interest rates with respect to loans utilizing the Tranche A-1 Commitments are, at our option, (i) the higher of (a) the prime rate of Credit Suisse in effect at its principal office in New York City and (b) the federal funds effective rate plus 0.5%, in each case plus an applicable margin of 0.75% or (ii) the rate (as adjusted for statutory reserves) for Eurodollar deposits for one, two, three, six or, if agreed to by all leaders under the loan and security agreement, nine or twelve months, as selected by us, determined by reference to the British Bankers' Association Interest Settlement Rates, plus an applicable margin of 2.75%.

Substantially all of our subsidiaries are defined as borrowers under the loan and security agreement. The obligations of the borrowers under the ABL facility are guaranteed by Flag Intermediate and certain of our domestic subsidiaries whether now owned or hereinafter acquired or organized and are secured (i) on a first-priority lien basis by our, the other borrowers' and the guarantors' accounts, inventory, cash and proceeds and products of the foregoing and certain assets related thereto and (ii) on a second-priority lien basis by substantially all of our, the other borrowers' and the guarantors' other assets, subject to certain exceptions and permitted liens.

Table of Contents***Covenants***

The ABL facility contains customary representations, warranties and covenants, including limitations on our ability to incur or guarantee additional debt, subject to certain exceptions, pay dividends, or make redemptions and repurchases with respect to capital stock, to repay debt, create or incur certain liens, make certain loans or investments, make acquisitions or investments, engage in mergers, acquisitions, asset sales and sale lease-back transactions, and engage in certain transactions with affiliates. In addition, the ABL facility requires a lock-box arrangement, which, as long as borrowing availability is greater than or equal to \$45.0 million and in the absence of default, is controlled by Metals USA. As long as our borrowing availability is \$45.0 million or greater, we do not have to maintain a minimum FCCR. Should borrowing availability fall below \$45.0 million, we must maintain an FCCR of at least 1.0 to 1.0. In addition, it is a precondition to borrowing under the ABL facility that, at the time of borrowing after giving effect thereto, all other representations and warranties made in the ABL facility loan documents shall be correct in all material respects, no default shall have occurred and be continuing and no material adverse effect shall have occurred or exist.

Additionally, management and consulting fees paid with respect to management and consulting fees are limited to the greater of \$3.0 million or 3% of adjusted EBITDA per fiscal year provided borrowing availability exceeds \$25.0 million (plus unpaid amounts for the prior fiscal year) or \$40 million to the extent such payments would exceed \$3 million in any fiscal year. Further, distributions in respect of capital stock are generally limited to the payment of up to \$25.0 million, plus \$5.0 million for each full fiscal quarter (with any amount not used in any fiscal quarter being permitted to be used in succeeding fiscal quarters), plus 50% of cumulative consolidated net income, or if a loss, minus 100% of the amount thereof, plus 100% of the aggregate net proceeds received by us from certain sales and issuances of capital stock or from certain capital contributions, of dividends, provided that borrowing availability is greater than or equal to \$50.0 million, our FCCR is at least 1.0 to 1.0 and no default exists.

Events of Default

The ABL facility contains events of default with respect to: default in payment of principal when due, default in the payment of interest, fees or other amounts after a specified grace period, material breach of the representations or warranties, default in the performance of specified covenants, a default under any indebtedness with a principal amount in excess of a specified amount which would cause such indebtedness to be accelerated or prevent the holders thereof to accelerate such indebtedness, certain bankruptcy events, certain ERISA violations, invalidity of certain security agreements or guarantees, material judgments, or a change of control. If an event of default occurs under the agreement, the lenders may: (i) restrict the amount of or refuse to make revolving loans; (ii) cause customer receipts to be applied against borrowings under the ABL facility causing the Company to suffer a rapid loss of liquidity and the ability to operate on a day-to-day basis; (iii) restrict or refuse to provide letters of credit; (iv) terminate the commitments and the agreement; and/or (v) declare any or all obligations to be immediately due and payable. Any payment default after final maturity or acceleration under the ABL facility would also result in a default under the Metals USA Notes and 2007 Notes that would provide the holders of the Metals USA Notes and the 2007 Notes with the right to demand immediate repayment.

IRB

As of March 31, 2007 and as of March 31, 2008, the aggregate principal amount outstanding under the IRB was \$5.7 million for both periods. The IRB is payable on May 1, 2016 in one lump sum payment. The interest rate assessed on the IRB varies from month to month and was 3.65% and 2.35% at December 31, 2007 and March 31, 2008, respectively. The IRB is secured by a letter of credit under the ABL facility. The IRB places various restrictions on certain of our subsidiaries, including maintenance of required insurance coverage, maintenance of certain financial ratios, limits on capital expenditures and maintenance of tangible net worth and is supported by a letter of credit. We were in compliance with all of the covenants as of March 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

The 2007 Notes

On July 10, 2007, Metals USA Holdings completed a private placement of \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2007 Notes. In February 2008, Metals USA Holdings exchanged \$216.0 million aggregate principal amount of senior floating rate toggle notes due 2012 that were registered under the Securities Act for an equal principal amount of 2007 Notes issued in the private placement.

The initial three interest payments on the 2007 Notes were paid, and the July 1, 2008 interest payment is payable solely in cash. For any interest period thereafter, Metals USA Holdings may elect to pay interest (1) entirely in cash, which we refer to in this prospectus as the Cash Interest, (2) as PIK Interest, which would increase the principal amount of the 2007 Notes or issuing new notes, or (3) as Partial PIK Interest, which is on 50% of the outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Notes in cash and on 50% of the outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Notes by increasing the principal amount of the outstanding 2007 Notes or by issuing new 2007 Notes. Cash interest on the 2007 Notes will accrue at a rate per annum, reset quarterly, equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 6.00%, which increases by 0.25% to 6.25% in year 2, by 0.50% to 6.50% in year 3, and by 0.75% to 6.75% in year 4. In the event PIK Interest is paid on the 2007 Notes after the first four interest periods, the then-applicable margin over LIBOR on the 2007 Notes would increase by 0.75% for each period in which PIK Interest is paid. If we elect to pay any PIK Interest, we will increase the principal amount on the 2007 Notes or issue new 2007 Notes in an amount equal to the amount of PIK Interest for the applicable interest payment period to holders of the 2007 Notes on the relevant record date. Interest is payable quarterly in arrears on January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1.

Offers to Purchase

If Metals USA Holdings makes certain public offerings, asset sales or issuances of common stock, including this offering, and does not redeem the 2007 Notes, it will be required to make an offer to repurchase the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes that may be purchased out of the proceeds thereof, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the date of repurchase. See *Use of Proceeds* for further information about the repurchase offer associated with this offering.

If Metals USA Holdings experiences a change of control and does not redeem the 2007 Notes, it will be required to make an offer to repurchase the 2007 Notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount, plus accrued interest and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the date of repurchase.

Optional Redemption

Metals USA Holdings may redeem some or all of the 2007 Notes at any time on or after January 15, 2008 at a redemption price equal to 102% of the principal amount, declining to 101% on or after January 15, 2009 and declining to par on or after January 15, 2010, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the redemption date.

Guarantees; Ranking; Collateral

The 2007 Notes are senior unsecured obligations that are not guaranteed by any of Metals USA Holdings' subsidiaries. As such, the 2007 Notes are structurally subordinated to all indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of Metals USA Holdings' subsidiaries.

Covenants

The 2007 Notes indenture contains certain limitations and restrictions on Metals USA Holdings and certain of its subsidiaries' ability to, among other things:

incur additional indebtedness;

issue preferred and disqualified stock;

Table of Contents

make certain investments;

limit dividends or other payments or transfer of property by restricted subsidiaries to Metals USA Holdings;

make asset sales;

enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates;

incur liens; and

sell all or substantially all of Metals USA Holdings' assets or merge with or into another company.

The 2007 Notes indenture restricts Metals USA Holdings' ability to pay dividends or make other distributions on its capital stock or repurchase or redeem its capital stock. Specifically, Metals USA Holdings may not make any such payments if a default under the indenture has occurred and is continuing. In addition, the aggregate amount of such payments generally cannot exceed 50% of the cumulative consolidated net income of Metals USA Holdings and its subsidiaries since September 30, 2005 plus 100% of certain cash contributions to Metals USA's Holdings' capital and amounts received from the sale of certain equity interests and investments. As a condition to making such payments, each of Metals USA Holdings and Metals USA must also be able to incur \$1.00 of additional indebtedness under the FCCR test as defined in the indenture. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Metals USA Holdings is permitted to pay dividends to any direct or indirect parent to allow such direct or indirect parent to pay dividends on its common stock, following the first public offering of such direct or indirect parent's common stock, in an amount up to 6.0% per annum of the amount contributed to Metals USA Holdings from the proceeds received by such direct or indirect parent in such offering. The indenture also contains a provision allowing Metals USA Holdings to make up to an aggregate amount of \$20.0 million of restricted payments generally, which it could use to pay dividends.

These covenants are subject to important exceptions and qualifications.

Events of Default

The 2007 Notes indenture contains certain customary events of default, including (subject, in some cases, to customary cure periods) defaults based on (1) the failure to make payments under the 2007 Notes indenture when due, (2) breach of covenants, (3) cross-defaults to other material indebtedness, (4) bankruptcy events and (5) material judgments.

The Metals USA Notes

On November 30, 2005, Flag Acquisition completed a private placement of \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of the Metals USA Notes, and Metals USA subsequently assumed all liabilities of Flag Acquisition pursuant to the Metals USA Notes. In September 2006, Metals USA exchanged \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of 11 1/8% senior secured notes due 2015 that were registered under the Securities Act for an equal principal amount of notes issued in connection with the Merger.

Interest on the Metals USA Notes accrues at a rate of 11 1/8% per annum and is payable semi-annually in arrears on June 1 and December 1.

Optional Redemption

Metals USA may redeem some or all of the Metals USA Notes at any time on or after December 1, 2010 at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus a premium declining ratably to par, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the redemption date.

At any time prior to December 1, 2008, Metals USA may use the proceeds of certain equity offerings to redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Metals USA Notes originally issued under the Metals

Table of Contents

USA indenture and all or a portion of any additional notes issued under such Metals USA Notes indenture after the date of such Metals USA Notes indenture, in each case at a redemption price equal to 111.13% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the redemption date.

In addition, at any time prior to December 1, 2010, Metals USA may redeem some or all of the Metals USA notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus a make-whole premium, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the redemption date.

Guarantees; Ranking; Collateral

The Metals USA Notes are guaranteed on a secured basis by Flag Intermediate and certain of Metals USA's subsidiaries. The Metals USA Notes and the related guarantees are secured obligations of Metals USA and the guarantors and rank pari passu in right of payment with all existing and future senior indebtedness of Metals USA, Flag Intermediate and the subsidiary guarantors, as the case may be, and are and will be secured by the collateral, as described below.

The Metals USA Notes and the related guarantees are secured on a first-priority lien basis by substantially all of the assets (other than accounts, inventory, cash and proceeds and products of the foregoing and certain assets related thereto) of Metals USA and the guarantors and on a second-priority lien basis by the accounts, inventory, cash and proceeds and products of the foregoing and certain assets related thereto of Metals USA and the guarantors.

Covenants

The Metals Notes USA indenture contains certain limitations and restrictions on Metals USA and certain of its subsidiaries' ability to, among other things:

incur additional indebtedness;

issue preferred and disqualified stock;

make certain investments;

limit dividends or other payments or transfer of property by restricted subsidiaries to Metals USA;

make asset sales;

enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates;

incur liens; and

sell all or substantially all of Metals USA's assets or merge with or into another company.

The Metals USA Notes indenture restricts Metals USA's ability to pay dividends or make other distributions on its capital stock or repurchase or redeem its capital stock. Specifically, Metals USA may not make any such payments if a default under the Metals USA Notes indenture has occurred and is continuing. In addition, the aggregate amount of such payments generally cannot exceed 50% of the cumulative consolidated net income of Metals USA and its subsidiaries since September 30, 2005 plus 100% of certain cash contributions to Metals USA's capital and

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

amounts received from the sale of certain equity interests and investments. As a condition to making such payments, Metals USA must also be able to incur \$1.00 of additional indebtedness under the FCCR test as defined in the Metals USA Notes indenture. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Metals USA is permitted to pay dividends to any direct or indirect parent to allow such direct or indirect parent to pay dividends on its common stock, following the first public offering of such direct or indirect parent's common stock, in an amount up to 6.0% per annum of the amount contributed to Metals USA from the proceeds received by such direct or indirect parent in such offering. The Metals USA Notes indenture also contains a provision allowing Metals USA to make up to an aggregate amount of \$20.0 million of restricted payments generally, which it could use to pay dividends.

These covenants are subject to important exceptions and qualifications.

Table of Contents

Events of Default

The Metals USA Notes indenture contains certain customary events of default, including (subject, in some cases, to customary cure periods) defaults based on (1) the failure to make payments under the Metals USA Notes indenture when due, (2) breach of covenants, (3) cross-defaults to other material indebtedness, (4) bankruptcy events and (5) material judgments.

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

This section of the prospectus includes a description of the material terms of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws as each will be in effect as of the consummation of this offering, and of specific provisions of Delaware law. The following summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the complete text of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws, which will be attached as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. We urge you to read the full text of these documents.

The following description is intended as a summary only and is qualified in its entirety by reference to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, our amended and restated bylaws and the Delaware General Corporation Law, which we refer to as the DGCL.

Common Stock

Pursuant to our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, we will be authorized to issue up to _____ shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share. Holders of common stock will be entitled to one vote for each share held on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders and will not have cumulative voting rights. Accordingly, holders of a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote in any election of directors may elect all of the directors standing for election. Holders of common stock are entitled to receive ratably such dividends, if any, as may be declared by our board of directors out of funds legally available therefor, subject to any preferential dividend rights of outstanding preferred stock. Upon our liquidation, dissolution or winding up, the holders of common stock are entitled to receive ratably our net assets available after the payment of all debts and other liabilities and subject to the prior rights of any outstanding preferred stock. Holders of our common stock have no preemptive, subscription, redemption or conversion rights. The outstanding shares of common stock are, and the shares offered by us hereby will be, when issued and paid for, fully paid and nonassessable. If we issue any preferred stock, the rights, preferences and privileges of holders of common stock will be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of our preferred stock. See Preferred Stock.

Preferred Stock

Pursuant to the terms of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation, we will be authorized to issue up to _____ shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share. Our board of directors will be authorized, subject to any limitations prescribed by law, without further stockholder approval, to issue such shares of preferred stock in one or more series. Each such series of preferred stock will have such rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions, including voting rights, dividend rights, conversion rights, redemption privileges and liquidation preferences, as will be determined by our board of directors. The purpose of authorizing our board of directors to issue preferred stock and determine its rights and preferences is to eliminate delays associated with a stockholder vote on specific issuances. The issuance of preferred stock, while providing desirable flexibility in connection with possible acquisitions and other corporate purposes, could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or of discouraging a third party from attempting to acquire, a majority of our outstanding voting stock. The existence of the authorized but undesignated preferred stock may have a depressive effect on the market price of our common stock.

Composition of Board of Directors; Election and Removal of Directors

In accordance with our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws, the number of directors comprising our board of directors will be as determined from time to time by our board of directors, and only a majority of the board of directors may fix the number of directors. We intend to avail ourselves of the _____ controlled company exception under The New York Stock Exchange rules which exempts us from certain of the requirements, including that we have a majority of independent directors on our board of directors and that we have compensation and nominating committees composed entirely of independent directors. We will, however, remain subject to the requirement that we have an audit committee composed

Table of Contents

entirely of independent members. Upon the closing of this offering, it is anticipated that we will have seven directors. Each director is to hold office until his or her successor is duly elected and qualified or until his or her earlier death, resignation or removal. At any meeting of our board of directors, except as otherwise required by law, a majority of the total number of directors then in office will constitute a quorum for all purposes.

The amended and restated investors rights agreement Metals USA Holdings expects to enter into with Apollo and each of our management members will provide that, except as otherwise required by applicable law, Apollo will have the right to nominate (a) _____ directors as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least _____ % but less than _____ % of our outstanding common stock, (b) _____ directors as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least _____ % but less than _____ % of our outstanding common stock and (c) _____ director as long as Apollo owns (including shares of common stock issuable under the terms of any exchangeable securities issued by us) at least _____ % but less than _____ % of our outstanding common stock. The amended and restated investors rights agreement will provide that, except as otherwise required by applicable law, we and the management members will take all action within their respective power to cause all such nominees to be included in the slate of nominees recommended by the board of directors to our stockholders for election as directors at each annual meeting of our stockholders and will use all reasonable efforts to cause the election of each such nominee, including soliciting proxies in favor of the election of such nominees. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement.

Certain Corporate Anti-Takeover Provisions

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws will contain a number of provisions relating to corporate governance and to the rights of stockholders. Certain of these provisions may be deemed to have a potential anti-takeover effect in that such provisions may delay, defer or prevent a change of control of the Company. These provisions include:

Preferred Stock

Our board of directors has authority to issue series of preferred stock with such voting rights and other powers as the board of directors may determine, as described above.

Classified Board

Our board of directors will be classified into three classes. Each director will serve a three year term and will stand for re-election once every three years.

Removal of Directors, Vacancies

Our stockholders will be able to remove directors only for cause and only by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of our capital stock entitled to vote in the election of directors. Vacancies on our board of directors may be filled only by a majority of our board of directors. Except as otherwise required by applicable law, the amended and restated investors rights agreement will provide that Apollo will have the right to designate a replacement to fill a vacancy on Metals USA Holdings board of directors that was designated by Apollo. See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement.

No Cumulative Voting

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will provide that stockholders do not have the right to cumulative votes in the election of directors.

Table of Contents

Calling of Special Meetings of Stockholders

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws will provide that special meetings of our stockholders may be called only by our board of directors or the chairman of our board of directors.

Advance Notice Requirements for Stockholder Proposals and Director Nominations

Our amended and restated bylaws will provide that stockholders seeking to nominate candidates for election as directors or to bring business before an annual meeting of stockholders must provide timely notice of their proposal in writing to the corporate secretary.

Stockholders at an annual meeting may only consider proposals or nominations specified in the notice of meeting or brought before the meeting by or at the direction of our board of directors or by a stockholder of record on the record date for the meeting, who is entitled to vote at the meeting and who has delivered timely written notice in proper form to our secretary of the stockholder's intention to bring such business before the meeting.

Delaware Takeover Statute

Our amended and restated articles of incorporation provides that we are not governed by Section 203 of the General Corporation Law of Delaware which, in the absence of such provisions, would have imposed additional requirements regarding mergers and other business combinations.

All of the foregoing proposed provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws could discourage potential acquisition proposals and could delay or prevent a change in control. These provisions are intended to enhance the likelihood of continuity and stability in the composition of the board of directors and in the policies formulated by the board of directors and to discourage certain types of transactions that may involve an actual or threatened change of control. In addition, these provisions are designed to reduce our vulnerability to an unsolicited acquisition proposal and discourage certain tactics that may be used in proxy fights. The protective nature of these provisions, however, may also delay, deter or prevent a tender offer or takeover attempt that a stockholder might consider to be in its best interest. In addition, such provisions could have the effect of discouraging others from making tender offers for our shares and, as a consequence, they also may inhibit fluctuations in the market price of our common stock that could result from actual or rumored takeover attempts. Such provisions also may have the effect of preventing changes in our management.

Corporate Opportunity

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will provide that no officer or director of us who is also an officer, director, employee, managing director or other affiliate of Apollo will be liable to us or our stockholders for breach of any fiduciary duty by reason of the fact that any such individual directs a corporate opportunity to Apollo instead of us, or does not communicate information regarding a corporate opportunity to us that the officer, director, employee, managing director or other affiliate has directed to Apollo.

Amendment of Our Certificate of Incorporation

Under applicable law, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will provide that it may be amended only with the affirmative vote of a majority of the outstanding stock entitled to vote thereon; provided that Apollo prior written approval is required for any modification, amendment or repeal of the provisions discussed above regarding the ability of Apollo-related directors to direct or communicate corporate opportunities to Apollo. See Corporate Opportunity.

Table of Contents

Amendment of Our Bylaws

Our amended and restated bylaws will provide that they can be amended by the vote of the holders of a majority of the shares then entitled to vote or by the vote of a majority of the board of directors.

Limitation of Liability and Indemnification

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will provide that no director will be personally liable for monetary damages for breach of any fiduciary duty as a director, except with respect to liability:

for any breach of the director's duty of loyalty to us or our stockholders;

for acts or omissions not in good faith or that involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;

under Section 174 of the DGCL (governing distributions to stockholders); or

for any transaction from which the director derived any improper personal benefit.

However, if the DGCL is amended to authorize corporate action further eliminating or limiting the personal liability of directors, then the liability of our directors will be eliminated or limited to the fullest extent permitted by the DGCL, as so amended. The modification or repeal of this provision of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will not adversely affect any right or protection of a director existing at the time of such modification or repeal.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation will provide that we will, to the fullest extent from time to time permitted by law, indemnify our directors and officers against all liabilities and expenses in any suit or proceeding, arising out of their status as an officer or director or their activities in these capacities. We will also indemnify any person who, at our request, is or was serving as a director, officer or employee of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust or other enterprise. We may, by action of our board of directors, provide indemnification to our employees and agents within the same scope and effect as the foregoing indemnification of directors and officers.

The right to be indemnified will include the right of an officer or a director to be paid expenses in advance of the final disposition of any proceeding, provided that, if required by law, we receive an undertaking to repay such amount if it will be determined that he or she is not entitled to be indemnified.

Our board of directors may take such action as it deems necessary to carry out these indemnification provisions, including adopting procedures for determining and enforcing indemnification rights and purchasing insurance policies. Our board of directors may also adopt bylaws, resolutions or contracts implementing indemnification arrangements as may be permitted by law. Neither the amendment or repeal of these indemnification provisions, nor the adoption of any provision of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation inconsistent with these indemnification provisions, will eliminate or reduce any rights to indemnification relating to their status or any activities prior to such amendment, repeal or adoption.

We believe these provisions will assist in attracting and retaining qualified individuals to serve as directors.

Investors Rights Agreement

Metals USA Holdings and each of the management participants have entered into an investors rights agreement which provides for, among other things, a restriction on the transferability of each such management member's equity ownership in Metals USA Holdings, tag-along rights, come-along rights, piggyback registration rights, repurchase rights by Metals USA Holdings and Apollo in certain circumstances, and the grant of an irrevocable proxy to Apollo with respect to the voting rights associated with his respective ownership, and certain restrictions on each such person's ability to compete with or solicit our employees or customers. The investors rights agreement was negotiated among management, us and Apollo and we believe it is on arm's-length terms.

Table of Contents

We expect to amend and restate the current investors rights agreement in connection with this offering.

See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement and Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Investors Rights Agreement.

Transfer Agent and Registrar

The transfer agent and registrar for the common stock will be .

Exchange Listing

We intend to apply for listing of our common stock on The New York Stock Exchange under the symbol MUX.

Table of Contents

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE

Prior to this offering, there has been no public market for our common stock, and no predictions can be made about the effect, if any, that market sales of shares of our common stock or the availability of such shares for sale will have on the market price prevailing from time to time. Nevertheless, the actual sale of, or the perceived potential for the sale of, our common stock in the public market may have an adverse effect on the market price for the common stock and could impair our ability to raise capital through future sales of our securities. See Risk Factors Risks Related to an Investment in Our Common Stock and This Offering Future sales or the possibility of future sales of a substantial amount of our common stock may depress the price of shares of our common stock.

Upon the closing of this offering, we will have outstanding an aggregate of approximately _____ shares of common stock. Of the outstanding shares, the shares sold in this offering will be freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act, except that any shares held by our affiliates, as that term is defined under Rule 144 of the Securities Act, may be sold only in compliance with the limitations described below. The remaining outstanding shares of common stock will be deemed restricted securities as that term is defined under Rule 144. Restricted securities may be sold in the public market only if registered or if they qualify for an exemption from registration under Rule 144 under the Securities Act, which are summarized below.

We expect to grant Apollo, our equity sponsor, demand and incidental registration rights with respect to the shares of our common stock owned by it after this offering, and have granted our management members incidental registration rights with respect to the shares of our common stock owned by them after this offering (_____ shares of our common stock if the underwriters exercise the over-allotment option in full). See Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement.

Rule 144

The availability of Rule 144 will vary depending on whether restricted shares are held by an affiliate or a non-affiliate. Under Rule 144 as in effect on the date of this prospectus an affiliate who has beneficially owned restricted shares of our common stock for at least six months would be entitled to sell within any three-month period a number of shares that does not exceed the greater of either of the following:

1% of the number of shares of common stock then outstanding, which will equal _____ shares immediately after this offering (or if the underwriters over-allotment option is exercised in full); and

the average weekly trading volume of our common stock during the four calendar weeks preceding the filing of a notice on Form 144 with respect to the sale.

Any sales by affiliates under Rule 144 are also limited by manner of sale provisions and notice requirements and the availability of current public information about us.

The volume limitation, manner of sale and notice provisions described above will not apply to sales by non-affiliates. For purposes of Rule 144, a non-affiliate is any person or entity who is not our affiliate at the time of sale and has not been our affiliate during the preceding three months. A non-affiliate who has beneficially owned restricted shares of our common stock for six months may rely on Rule 144 provided that certain public information regarding us is available. A non-affiliate who has beneficially owned the restricted shares proposed to be sold for at least one year will not be subject to any restrictions under Rule 144.

Table of Contents

Rule 701

Under Rule 701, common stock acquired upon the exercise of certain currently outstanding options or pursuant to other rights granted under our stock plans may be resold, to the extent not subject to lock-up agreements, (1) by persons other than affiliates, subject only to the manner-of-sale provisions of Rule 144, and (2) by affiliates, subject to the manner-of-sale, current public information and filing requirements of Rule 144, in each case, without compliance with the one-year holding period requirement of Rule 144.

Form S-8 Registration Statements

We intend to file one or more registration statements on Form S-8 under the Securities Act following this offering to register our shares of common stock that are issuable pursuant to the 2005 Plan and under the incentive plan we may adopt prior to this offering. These registration statements are expected to become effective upon filing. Shares covered by these registration statements will then be eligible for sale in the public markets, subject to any applicable lock-up agreements and to Rule 144 limitations applicable to affiliates.

Lock-Up Agreements

We, our executive officers, directors and certain of our existing security holders will agree with the underwriters not to sell, dispose of or hedge any of their common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares of common stock, during the period from the date of this prospectus continuing through the date days after the date of this prospectus, subject to certain exceptions. See Underwriting for a description of these lock-up provisions.

Table of Contents

MATERIAL UNITED STATES FEDERAL INCOME TAX

CONSEQUENCES FOR NON-U.S. HOLDERS

The following discussion describes material U.S. federal income and estate tax consequences associated with the purchase, ownership, and disposition of our common stock as of the date hereof by Non-U.S. Holders (as defined below). It is assumed in this discussion that a Non-U.S. Holder holds shares of our common stock as a capital asset within the meaning of Section 1221 of the Code (generally, property held for investment). This discussion does not address special situations, such as those of:

dealers in securities or currencies;

financial institutions;

regulated investment companies;

real estate investment trusts;

tax-exempt entities;

current or former owners, directly, indirectly or constructively, of five percent or more of our common stock;

insurance companies;

persons holding common stock as a part of a hedging, integrated, conversion or constructive sale transaction or a straddle;

traders in securities that elect to use a mark-to-market method of accounting for their securities holdings;

persons liable for alternative minimum tax;

persons whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar;

investors in pass-through entities;

entities or arrangements treated as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes; or

persons who acquired our common stock through the exercise of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation.

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

This discussion does not address all aspects of U.S. federal income taxation. Furthermore, the discussion below is based upon the provisions of the Code, the existing and proposed Treasury regulations promulgated thereunder and administrative and judicial interpretations thereof, all as of the date hereof, and such authorities may be repealed, revoked, modified or subject to differing interpretations, possibly with retroactive effect, so as to result in U.S. federal income tax consequences different from those discussed below. This discussion does not discuss any state, local or foreign tax consequences, nor any federal tax consequences, other than federal income and estate tax consequences. Persons considering the purchase, ownership or disposition of our common stock should consult their own tax advisors concerning the U.S. federal tax consequences in light of their particular situations as well as any consequences arising under the laws of any other jurisdiction.

A U.S. Holder of our common stock means a holder that is for U.S. federal income tax purposes:

an individual citizen or resident of the United States;

a corporation (or other entity taxable as a corporation) created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any state thereof or the District of Columbia;

an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source; or

a trust if it (1) is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (2) has a valid election in effect under applicable Treasury regulations to be treated as a U.S. person.

Table of Contents

If a partnership or other entity or arrangement treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes holds our common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner in a partnership purchasing common stock, we urge you to consult your own tax advisor.

A Non-U.S. Holder is a beneficial owner of our common stock, other than an entity or arrangement classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, that is not a U.S. Holder. Special rules may apply to certain Non-U.S. Holders, such as:

U.S. expatriates;

controlled foreign corporations ;

passive foreign investment companies ; and

investors in pass-through entities that are subject to special treatment under the Code.

Non-U.S. Holders are urged to consult their own tax advisors to determine the U.S. federal, state, local and other tax consequences that may be relevant to them.

Dividends. Dividends paid to you, if any, generally will be subject to withholding of U.S. federal income tax at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty. However, dividends that are effectively connected with your conduct of a trade or business within the United States and, if certain tax treaties apply, are attributable to your U.S. permanent establishment, are not subject to the withholding tax, but instead are subject to U.S. federal income tax on a net income basis in the same manner as if you were a U.S. Holder. Special certification and disclosure requirements, including the completion of Internal Revenue Service Form W-8ECI (or any successor form), must be satisfied for effectively connected income to be exempt from withholding. If you are a foreign corporation, any such effectively connected dividends received by you may be subject to an additional branch profits tax at a 30% rate or such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

If you wish to claim the benefit of an applicable treaty for dividends, you will be required to complete Internal Revenue Service Form W-8BEN (or other applicable form) and certify under penalties of perjury that you are not a U.S. person and that you are entitled to the benefits of the applicable treaty.

Special certification and other requirements apply to certain Non-U.S. Holders that are entities rather than individuals.

If you are eligible for a reduced rate of U.S. withholding tax pursuant to an income tax treaty, you may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld by filing an appropriate claim for refund with the Internal Revenue Service.

Sale or Exchange of Common Stock. You generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax with respect to gain recognized on a sale or other disposition of shares of our common stock unless:

the gain is effectively connected with your conduct of a trade or business in the United States, and if certain tax treaties apply, is attributable to your U.S. permanent establishment;

if you are an individual and hold shares of our common stock as a capital asset, you are present in the United States for 183 days or more in the taxable year of the sale or other disposition, and certain other conditions are met; or

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

we are or have been a U.S. real property holding corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes.
If you are an individual and are described in the first bullet above, you will be subject to tax on the net gain derived from the sale under regular graduated U.S. federal income tax rates in the same manner as if you were a

Table of Contents

U.S. Holder. If you are an individual and are described in the second bullet above, you will be subject to a flat 30% tax on the gain derived from the sale, which may be offset by U.S. source capital losses (even though you are not considered a resident of the United States). If you are a foreign corporation and are described in the first bullet above, you will be subject to tax on your gain under regular graduated U.S. federal income tax rates in the same manner as if you were a U.S. Holder and, in addition, may be subject to the branch profits tax on your effectively connected earnings and profits at a rate of 30% or at such lower rate as may be specified by an applicable income tax treaty.

We believe we are not and do not anticipate becoming a U.S. real property holding corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

U.S. Federal Estate Tax. Shares of our common stock owned or treated as owned by an individual who is not a citizen or resident (as specially defined for U.S. federal estate tax purposes) of the United States at the time of death will be includible in the individual's gross estate for U.S. federal estate tax purposes, unless an applicable estate tax treaty provides otherwise, and therefore may be subject to U.S. federal estate tax.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding. Under certain circumstances, Treasury regulations require information reporting and backup withholding on certain payments on common stock.

U.S. backup withholding tax (currently at a rate of 28%) is imposed on certain payments to persons that fail to furnish the information required under the U.S. information reporting requirements. Dividends on common stock paid to a Non-U.S. Holder will generally be exempt from backup withholding, provided the Non-U.S. Holder meets applicable certification requirements, including providing a correct and properly executed Internal Revenue Service Form W-8BEN or otherwise establishes an exemption. We must report annually to the Internal Revenue Service and to each Non-U.S. Holder the amount of dividends paid to that holder and the U.S. federal withholding tax withheld with respect to those dividends, regardless of whether withholding is reduced or eliminated by an applicable tax treaty.

Under United States Treasury Regulations, payments of proceeds from the sale of our common stock effected through a foreign office of a broker to its customer generally are not subject to information reporting or backup withholding. However, if the broker is a U.S. person, a controlled foreign corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, a foreign person 50% or more of whose gross income is effectively connected with a United States trade or business for a specified three-year period or a foreign partnership with significant United States ownership or engaged in a United States trade or business, then information reporting (but not backup withholding) will be required, unless the broker has in its records documentary evidence that the beneficial owner of the payment is a Non-U.S. Holder or is otherwise entitled to an exemption (and the broker has no knowledge or reason to know to the contrary), and other applicable certification requirements are met. Backup withholding will apply if the sale is subject to information reporting and the broker has actual knowledge that you are a United States person. Information reporting and backup withholding generally will apply to payments of proceeds from the sale of our common stock effected through a United States office of any United States or foreign broker, unless the beneficial owner, under penalties of perjury, certifies, among other things, its status as a Non-U.S. Holder or otherwise establishes an exemption.

Backup withholding does not represent an additional income tax. Any amounts withheld from a payment to a holder under the backup withholding rules will be allowed as a credit against the holder's United States federal income tax liability and may entitle the holder to a refund, provided that the required information or returns are timely furnished by the holder to the Internal Revenue Service.

Table of Contents

UNDERWRITING

Metals USA Holdings, the selling stockholders and the underwriters named below have entered into an underwriting agreement with respect to the shares being offered. Subject to certain conditions, each underwriter has severally agreed to purchase the number of shares indicated in the following table. [redacted] will act as joint book-running managers of this offering and will act as the representatives of the underwriters.

Underwriters	Number of Shares
Total	

The underwriters are committed to take and pay for all of the shares being offered, if any are taken, other than the shares covered by the option described below unless and until this option is exercised.

If the underwriters sell more shares than the total number set forth in the table above, the underwriters have an option to buy up to an additional [redacted] shares from us and [redacted] shares from the selling stockholders to cover such sales. They may exercise that option for 30 days. If any shares are purchased pursuant to this option, the underwriters will severally purchase shares in approximately the same proportion as set forth in the table above.

The following table shows the per share and total underwriting discounts and commissions to be paid to the underwriters by us and the selling stockholders. Such amounts are shown assuming both no exercise and full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares.

	Paid by Selling Stockholders		Paid by Metals USA Holdings	
	No Exercise	Full Exercise	No Exercise	Full Exercise
Per Share	\$	\$	\$	\$
Total	\$	\$	\$	\$

Shares sold by the underwriters to the public will initially be offered at the initial public offering price set forth on the cover of this prospectus. Any shares sold by the underwriters to securities dealers may be sold at a discount of up to \$ [redacted] per share from the initial public offering price. Any such securities dealers may resell any shares purchased from the underwriters to certain other brokers or dealers at a discount of up to \$ [redacted] per share from the initial public offering price. If all the shares are not sold at the initial public offering price, the representatives may change the offering price and the other selling terms.

There is no established trading market for the shares and a liquid trading market may not develop.

We and our executive officers, directors, and holders of substantially all of our common stock have agreed with the underwriters, subject to certain exceptions, not to dispose of or hedge any of our common stock or securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares of common stock during the period from the date of this prospectus continuing through the date [redacted] days after the date of this prospectus, except with the prior written consent of [redacted]. This agreement does not apply to any existing employee benefit plans. See Shares Eligible for Future Sale for a discussion of certain transfer restrictions.

The [redacted]-day restricted period described in the preceding paragraph will be automatically extended if: (1) during the last [redacted] days of the [redacted]-day restricted period we issue an earnings release or announce material news or a material event; or (2) prior to the expiration of the [redacted]-day restricted period, we announce that we will release earnings results during the [redacted]-day period following the last day of the [redacted]-day period, in which case the restrictions described in the preceding paragraph will continue to apply until the expiration of the [redacted]-day period beginning on the issuance of the earnings release or the announcement of the material news or material event.

Table of Contents

Prior to the offering, there has been no public market for the shares. The initial public offering price will be negotiated among Metals USA Holdings and the representatives of the underwriters. The primary factors to be considered in determining the initial public offering price of the shares, in addition to prevailing market conditions, will be our historical performance, estimates of our business potential and earnings prospects, an assessment of our management and the consideration of the above factors in relation to market valuation of companies in related businesses.

We intend to apply to list the common stock on The New York Stock Exchange under the symbol MUX. In order to meet one of the requirements for listing the common stock on The New York Stock Exchange, the underwriters have undertaken to sell lots of 100 or more shares to a minimum of 2,000 beneficial holders.

In connection with the offering, the underwriters may purchase and sell shares of common stock in the open market. These transactions may include short sales, stabilizing transactions and purchases to cover positions created by short sales. Short sales involve the sale by the underwriters of a greater number of shares than they are required to purchase in the offering. Covered short sales are sales made in an amount not greater than the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares from us in the offering. The underwriters may close out any covered short position by either exercising their option to purchase additional shares or purchasing shares in the open market. In determining the source of shares to close out the covered short position, the underwriters will consider, among other things, the price of shares available for purchase in the open market as compared to the price at which they may purchase additional shares pursuant to the option granted to them. Naked short sales are any sales in excess of such option. The underwriters must close out any naked short position by purchasing shares in the open market. A naked short position is more likely to be created if the underwriters are concerned that there may be downward pressure on the price of the common stock in the open market after pricing that could adversely affect investors who purchase in the offering. Stabilizing transactions consist of various bids for or purchases of common stock made by the underwriters in the open market prior to the completion of the offering.

The underwriters may also impose a penalty bid. This occurs when a particular underwriter repays to the underwriters a portion of the underwriting discount received by it because the representatives have repurchased shares sold by or for the account of such underwriter in stabilizing or short covering transactions.

Purchases to cover a short position and stabilizing transactions, as well as other purchases by the underwriters for their own accounts, may have the effect of preventing or retarding a decline in the market price of the common stock, and together with the imposition of the penalty bid, may stabilize, maintain or otherwise affect the market price of the common stock. As a result, the price of the common stock may be higher than the price that otherwise might exist in the open market. If these activities are commenced, they may be discontinued at any time. These transactions may be effected on The New York Stock Exchange, in the over-the-counter market or otherwise.

We currently anticipate that we will undertake a directed share program pursuant to which we will request that the underwriters reserve up to 5% of the shares of our common stock offered for sale in this offering, at the initial public offering price, to be sold to certain directors, officers, employees, persons having relationships with us, or other persons designated by us through a directed share program. The number of shares of common stock available for sale to the general public will be reduced to the extent such persons purchase such reserved shares in this offering. Any reserved shares which are not orally confirmed for purchase by 9:00 a.m. Eastern Time on the first day of trading will be sold by the underwriters to the general public on the same terms as the other shares offered in this offering.

The underwriters do not expect sales to discretionary accounts to exceed five percent of the total number of shares offered.

We estimate that our share of the total expenses of the offering, excluding underwriting discounts and commissions, will be approximately \$.

Table of Contents

We have agreed to indemnify the several underwriters against certain liabilities, including liabilities under the Securities Act.

Certain of the underwriters and their respective affiliates have, from time to time, performed, and may in the future perform, various financial advisory and investment banking services for us, for which they received or will receive customary fees and expenses.

Table of Contents

LEGAL MATTERS

Wachtell, Lipton, Rosen & Katz will pass upon for us the validity of the shares of our common stock offered hereby. The underwriters have been represented by

EXPERTS

The consolidated balance sheets of Metals USA Holdings Corp. and subsidiaries, which we refer to in this prospectus as the Successor Company, as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, and for the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005 and the consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows of Metals USA, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Predecessor Company) for the period from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005, included in this prospectus and the related financial statement schedule included elsewhere in the registration statement have been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP, independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their reports appearing herein and elsewhere in the registration statement, which reports express an unqualified opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule and include an explanatory paragraph referring to the Successor Company's adoption of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48 Accounting for the Uncertainty in Income Taxes and have been so included in reliance upon the reports of such firm given upon their authority as experts in accounting and auditing.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act relating to this offering. This prospectus, which is part of the registration statement, does not contain all of the information set forth in the registration statement and the exhibits to the registration statement. For further information with respect to us and this offering, you should refer to the registration statement and the exhibits filed as a part of the registration statement. If we have made references in this prospectus to any contracts, agreements or other documents and also filed any of those contracts, agreements or other documents as exhibits to the registration statement, you should read the relevant exhibit for a more complete understanding of the document or the matter involved.

Both Metals USA Holdings Corp. and Metals USA are subject to the informational requirements of the Exchange Act. We fulfill our obligations with respect to such requirements by filing periodic reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. We intend to furnish our stockholders with annual reports containing consolidated financial statements certified by an independent public accounting firm. **The other information we file with the SEC is not part of the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part. Our reports and other information that we have filed, or may in the future file, with the SEC are not incorporated by reference into and do not constitute part of this prospectus.**

You may read and copy any document we have or will file with the SEC at the SEC's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov>) or at the Public Reference Room of the SEC located at Room 1580, 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of such materials, including copies of all or any portion of the registration statement, can be obtained from the Public Reference Room of the SEC at prescribed rates. You can call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 to obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room.

Table of Contents

You may obtain copies of this information, including the documents referenced in this prospectus and filed as exhibits to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, at no charge by writing or telephoning us at the following address and telephone numbers:

Investor Relations

Metals USA Holdings Corp.

One Riverway, Suite 1100

Houston, Texas 77506

(713) 965-0990

(888) 871-8701

We also maintain an internet site at <http://www.metalsusa.com>. **Our website and the information contained therein or connected thereto will not be deemed to be incorporated into this prospectus or the registration statement of which this prospectus forms a part, and you should not rely on any such information in making your decision whether to purchase our securities.**

Table of Contents

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Consolidated Financial Statements

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2007 and 2006</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations For the Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005 (Successor Company) and the period from January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005 (Predecessor Company)</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) For the Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005 (Successor Company) and the period from January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005 (Predecessor Company)</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005 (Successor Company) and the period from January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005 (Predecessor Company)</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-7

Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007</u>	F-36
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 and 2007</u>	F-37
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 and 2007</u>	F-38
<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-39

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Metals USA Holdings Corp.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Metals USA Holdings Corp. and subsidiaries (the Successor Company) as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, and for the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005. We have also audited the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income (loss), and cash flows of Metals USA, Inc. and subsidiaries (the Predecessor Company) for the period from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 16. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Successor Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, and the results of the Predecessor Company operations and its cash flows for the period from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 9 to the consolidated financial statements, the Successor Company adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48 Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes on January 1, 2007 and as a result, recognized a decrease of \$3.1 million to the beginning balance of retained earnings.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Houston, Texas

February 20, 2008

Table of Contents**METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in millions, except share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2007	2006
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash	\$ 13.6	\$ 155.8
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$8.3 and \$8.4, respectively	196.8	211.6
Inventories	409.8	447.3
Deferred income tax asset	19.7	15.0
Prepayments and other	7.5	14.9
Total current assets	647.4	844.6
Property and equipment, net	202.1	194.6
Intangible assets, net	23.3	19.9
Goodwill	60.2	47.1
Other assets	26.0	20.8
Total assets	\$ 959.0	\$ 1,127.0
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity (Deficit)		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 75.5	\$ 71.2
Accrued liabilities	63.3	59.3
Current portion of long-term debt	2.3	0.5
Total current liabilities	141.1	131.0
Long-term debt, less current portion	855.0	754.9
Deferred income tax liability	67.4	67.6
Other long-term liabilities	21.1	25.9
Total liabilities	1,084.6	979.4
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders Equity (Deficit):		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 30,000,000 shares authorized, 14,077,500 and 14,066,400 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively	0.1	0.1
Additional paid-in capital	0.7	118.1
Retained earnings (deficit)	(127.1)	30.0
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	0.7	(0.6)
Total stockholders equity (deficit)	(125.6)	147.6
Total liabilities and stockholders equity (deficit)	\$ 959.0	\$ 1,127.0

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(in millions, except per share amounts)

	Successor Company			Predecessor Company
	Years Ended December 31,		Period from May 9 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005	Period from January 1 through November 30, 2005
	2007	2006		
Net Sales	\$ 1,845.3	\$ 1,802.9	\$ 116.9	\$ 1,522.1
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales (exclusive of operating and delivery, and depreciation and amortization shown below)	1,418.8	1,371.8	92.5	1,189.3
Operating and delivery	178.4	175.5	12.8	139.1
Selling, general and administrative	112.4	115.2	9.3	108.5
Depreciation and amortization	22.1	21.4	1.4	3.1
Impairment of property and equipment	0.2			
Operating income	113.4	119.0	0.9	82.1
Other (income) expense:				
Interest expense	87.0	54.6	4.1	12.0
Loss on extinguishment of debt	8.4			
Other (income) expense, net	(0.7)	(0.7)		(0.1)
Income (loss) before income taxes	18.7	65.1	(3.2)	70.2
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	4.8	25.8	(1.2)	26.7
Net income (loss)	\$ 13.9	\$ 39.3	\$ (2.0)	\$ 43.5
Income (loss) per share:				
Income (loss) per share basic	\$ 0.99	\$ 2.80	\$ (0.14)	\$ 2.14
Income (loss) per share diluted	\$ 0.96	\$ 2.79	\$ (0.14)	\$ 2.05
Number of common shares used in the per share calculation:				
Basic	14.1	14.1	14.0	20.3
Diluted	14.4	14.1	14.0	21.2

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY
AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(in millions)

	Successor Company		Period from May 9 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005	Predecessor Company
	Years Ended December 31, 2007	2006		Period from January 1 through November 30, 2005
Common Stock (\$.01 Par)				
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$	\$ 0.2
Capital contribution, net			0.1	
Balance at end of period	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.2
Additional Paid-In Capital				
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 118.1	\$ 134.4	\$	\$ 219.5
Tax benefit realized from tax attribute carryforwards				2.4
Stock option exercises, grants and other adjustments			0.5	0.6
Capital contribution			133.9	
Stock-based compensation	4.1	1.2		
Deferred compensation	(0.9)			
Dividends paid	(120.6)	(17.7)		
Other		0.2		
Balance at end of period	\$ 0.7	\$ 118.1	\$ 134.4	\$ 222.5
Deferred Compensation				
Balance at beginning of period	\$	\$ (0.1)	\$	\$ (0.2)
Stock option exercises, grants and other adjustments		0.1	(0.1)	0.2
Balance at end of period	\$	\$	\$ (0.1)	\$
Retained Earnings (Deficit)				
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 30.0	\$ (2.0)	\$	\$ 108.7
Net income (loss)	13.9	39.3	(2.0)	43.5
Dividends paid	(167.9)	(7.3)		
Cumulative effect of adoption of new accounting principle	(3.1)			
Balance at end of period	\$ (127.1)	\$ 30.0	\$ (2.0)	\$ 152.2
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$ 1.3	\$ (0.6)	\$	\$
Other comprehensive income loss	1.3	(0.6)		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss at beginning of period	(0.6)			

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

Accumulated other comprehensive income at end of period	\$ 0.7	\$ (0.6)	\$	\$
Comprehensive Income (Loss)				
Net income (loss)	\$ 13.9	\$ 39.3	\$ (2.0)	\$ 43.5
Other comprehensive income (loss)	1.3	(0.6)		
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 15.2	\$ 38.7	\$ (2.0)	\$ 43.5

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

Table of Contents**METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(in millions)

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company	
	Years Ended		Period from	
	December 31,		May 9 (date	
	2007	2006	of inception)	
			through	
			December 31,	
			2005	
			Predecessor Company	
			Period from	
			January 1,	
			2005	
			through	
			November 30,	
			2005	
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income (loss)	\$ 13.9	\$ 39.3	\$ (2.0)	\$ 43.5
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:				
(Gain) loss on sale of property and equipment	0.1	0.1		(0.1)
Impairment of property and equipment	0.2			
Provision for bad debts	1.7	4.1	8.5	2.9
Depreciation and amortization	23.8	22.6	1.5	3.5
Loss on extinguishment of debt	8.4			
Amortization of bond discounts and debt issuance costs	5.0	2.5		
Deferred income taxes	(3.7)	(6.6)	(1.6)	
Stock-based compensation	4.8	1.2		
Adjustment to Predecessor Company tax attribute valuation allowance				2.4
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:				
Accounts receivable	17.9	(35.0)	8.1	(18.8)
Inventories	41.7	(91.2)	(13.4)	140.6
Prepayments and other	0.3	9.3	(6.6)	(0.7)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	2.1	11.6	12.2	(2.3)
Other	3.0	(3.6)	0.6	(0.9)
Net cash provided by (used in) operations	119.2	(45.7)	7.3	170.1
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Sale of assets	1.2	1.6		0.1
Purchases of assets	(21.5)	(16.9)	(4.4)	(15.9)
Acquisition costs, net of cash acquired	(38.2)	(45.7)	(430.1)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(58.5)	(61.0)	(434.5)	(15.8)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Borrowings on credit facility				1,426.0
Repayments on credit facility			(145.3)	(1,533.7)
Borrowings on ABL facility	574.5	441.6	247.4	
Repayments on ABL facility	(623.0)	(304.0)	(56.0)	
Issuances of long-term debt	291.0	144.8	275.0	
Repayments of long-term debt	(150.7)	(0.5)		(10.4)
Capital contributions, net			134.0	
Deferred financing costs	(6.2)	(5.9)	(16.6)	(2.7)
Issuance of common stock		0.2		0.1
Dividends paid	(288.5)	(25.0)		

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(202.9)	251.2	438.5	(120.7)
Net increase (decrease) in cash	(142.2)	144.5	11.3	33.6
Cash, beginning of period	155.8	11.3		12.6
Cash, end of period	\$ 13.6	\$ 155.8	\$ 11.3	\$ 46.2
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:				
Cash paid for interest	\$ 54.5	\$ 49.8	\$ 0.5	\$ 10.5
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 21.9	\$ 23.2	\$ 7.0	\$ 27.6

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in millions, except share amounts)

1. Organization and Significant Accounting Policies

Description of the Business

On May 18, 2005, Metals USA Holdings Corporation (formerly Flag Holding Corporations), a Delaware corporation (Metals USA Holdings) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Flag Acquisition Corporation, a Delaware corporation (Flag Acquisition), entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the Merger Agreement) with Metals USA, Inc. On November 30, 2005, Flag Acquisition, then a wholly-owned subsidiary of Flag Intermediate Holdings Corporation (Flag Intermediate), merged with and into Metals USA, Inc. (Metals USA) with Metals USA being the surviving corporation (the Merger). Metals USA Holdings, Flag Intermediate and Flag Acquisition conducted no operations during the period May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to November 30, 2005. See Note 2 for additional information regarding the Merger.

Metals USA Holdings and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Flag Intermediate and Metals USA, are referred to collectively herein as the Company or Successor Company and Metals USA prior to the Merger is referred to as the Predecessor Company. The Company applied Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, Business Combinations (SFAS 141) on the Merger date and, as a result, the Merger consideration was allocated to the respective values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed from the Predecessor Company (see Note 2). As a result of the application of purchase accounting, the Successor Company balances and amounts presented in the consolidated financial statements and footnotes are not comparable with those of the Predecessor Company.

We are a leading provider of value-added processed steel, stainless steel, aluminum and specialty metals, as well as manufactured metal components. Our operations are organized into three product group segments. Over the last twelve months ended December 31, 2007, approximately 92% of our revenue was derived from our metals service center and processing activities that are segmented into two groups: Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group and Plates and Shapes Group. The remaining portion of our revenue was derived from our Building Products Group, which principally manufactures and sells aluminum products related to the residential and commercial construction and improvement industry. We purchase metal from primary producers who generally focus on large volume sales of unprocessed metals in standard configurations and sizes. In most cases, we perform customized, value-added processing services required to meet specifications provided by end-use customers. The Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group and Plates and Shapes Group customers are in businesses such as machining, furniture, transportation equipment, power and process equipment, industrial/commercial, construction and fabrication, consumer durables, electrical equipment industries, and machinery and equipment manufacturers. The Building Products Group customers are primarily contractors engaged in residential and commercial building products. See Note 12, Segment and Related Information.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Metals USA Holdings, Flag Intermediate and Metals USA and its subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidated financial statements.

Use of Estimates and Assumptions The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect (i) the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, (ii) the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities known to exist as of the date the financial statements are published, and (iii) the reported amount of net sales and expenses recognized during the periods presented. Adjustments made with respect to the use of estimates often relate to improved information not previously available. Uncertainties with respect to such estimates and assumptions are inherent in the preparation of financial statements; accordingly, actual results could differ from these estimates.

Table of Contents**METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(in millions, except share amounts)

Concentration of credit risk Financial instruments, which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of cash deposits, trade accounts and notes receivable. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade accounts are within several industries. Generally, credit is extended once appropriate credit history and references have been obtained. Provisions to the allowance for doubtful accounts are made monthly and adjustments are made periodically based upon our expected ability to collect all such accounts. Additionally, we periodically review the credit history of our customers and generally do not require collateral for the extension of credit.

Inventories Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Our inventories are accounted for using a variety of methods including specific identification, average cost and the first-in first-out method of accounting. Inventory quantities are regularly reviewed and provisions for excess or obsolete inventory are recorded primarily based on our forecast of future demand and market conditions.

Valuation and qualifying accounts We provide reserves for accounts receivable and inventory. The reserves for these accounts for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 are summarized below:

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Amount Charged to Expense	Utilization of Reserve	Balance at End of Period
Successor Company				
Year Ended December 31, 2007:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 8.4	\$ 1.7	\$ (1.8)	\$ 8.3
Inventory valuation allowance	5.9	1.0	(0.9)	\$ 6.0
Year Ended December 31, 2006:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 7.8	\$ 4.1	\$ (3.5)	\$ 8.4
Inventory valuation allowance	6.9	1.3	(2.3)	\$ 5.9
The Month Ended December 31, 2005:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$	\$ 8.5	\$ (0.7)	\$ 7.8
Inventory valuation allowance		7.7	(0.8)	\$ 6.9
Predecessor Company				
Eleven Months Ended November 30, 2005:				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 7.7	\$ 2.9	\$ (2.3)	\$ 8.3
Inventory valuation allowance	5.3	3.1	(0.7)	\$ 7.7

Property and equipment Property and equipment is stated at cost, and depreciation is computed using the straight-line method, net of estimated salvage values, over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to expense when incurred. Expenditures which extend the useful lives of existing equipment are capitalized and depreciated. Upon retirement or disposition of property and equipment, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is recognized. Leasehold improvements are capitalized and amortized over the lesser of the life of the lease or the estimated useful life of the asset.

Impairment of long-lived assets Long-lived assets are comprised principally of property and equipment. We review long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Impairment losses are recorded on assets used in operations when indicators of impairment are present and the undiscounted cash flows to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying amount.

Table of Contents

METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(in millions, except share amounts)

Goodwill Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price paid over the fair value of the net assets acquired in connection with business acquisitions plus cost of acquisition. We test for the impairment of goodwill on at least an annual basis. Our goodwill impairment test involves a comparison of the fair value of each reporting unit with its carrying amount. If the fair value is less than the carrying amount, goodwill is considered impaired. Based on the results of our December 31, 2007 review of goodwill, no impairment loss was recognized.

Intangible Assets We evaluate the recoverability of identifiable intangible assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that an intangible asset's carrying amount may not be recoverable. Such circumstances could include, but are not limited to: (1) a significant decrease in the market value of an asset, (2) a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used, or (3) an accumulation of costs significantly in excess of the amount originally expected for the acquisition of an asset. We measure the carrying amount of the asset against the estimated undiscounted future cash flows associated with it. Should the sum of the expected future net cash flows be less than the carrying value of the asset being evaluated, an impairment loss would be recognized. The impairment loss would be calculated as the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its fair value. The estimate of fair value is based on various valuation techniques, including the discounted value of estimated future cash flows over the life of the asset being evaluated. These assumptions require significant judgment and actual results may differ from assumed and estimated amounts.

Debt issuance costs We defer certain expenses incurred to obtain debt financing and amortize these costs to interest expense over the term of the respective agreements.

Fair value of financial instruments Our receivables, payables, prepayments and accrued liabilities are current assets and obligations and on normal terms and, accordingly, the recorded values are believed by management to approximate fair value. The amount outstanding under the Company's Senior Secured Asset-Based Revolving Credit Facility (the ABL facility) approximates its fair value. Our Senior Floating Rate Toggle Notes due 2015 (the 2007 Notes) and our 11 1/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (the Metals USA Notes) are thinly traded public debt instruments; accordingly, their market prices at any balance sheet date may not be representative of the prices which would be derived from a more active market. The fair value of publicly traded debt is determined based on quoted market prices. The fair value of debt which is not publicly traded is estimated using cash flows discounted at current borrowing rates. The estimated fair value of current and long-term debt at December 31, 2007 and 2006 was \$809.2 and \$779.6, respectively.

Foreign Currency Translation The functional currency for our Canadian subsidiary, Allmet (formerly Dura-Loc Roofing Systems Limited see Note 2, Acquisitions), is the Canadian dollar. We translate the functional currency into U.S. dollars based on the current exchange rate at the end of the period for the balance sheet and a weighted average rate for the period on the statement of operations. The resulting translation adjustments are recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), a component of Stockholders' Equity.

Revenue recognition We recognize revenues generally when products are shipped and our significant obligations have been satisfied. Shipping and handling costs billed to our customers are accounted for as revenues. Risk of loss for products shipped generally passes at the time of shipment. Provisions are made currently for estimated returns.

Cost of sales Our Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups classify, within cost of sales, the underlying commodity cost of metal purchased in mill form, the cost of inbound freight charges together with third-party processing cost, if any.

Table of Contents

METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(in millions, except share amounts)

Cost of sales with respect to our Building Products Group includes the cost of raw materials, manufacturing labor and overhead costs, together with depreciation and amortization expense associated with property, buildings and equipment used in the manufacturing process.

Operating and delivery expenses Our operating and delivery expense reflects the cost incurred by our Plates and Shapes and Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Groups for labor and facility costs associated with the value-added metal processing services that we provide. With respect to our Building Products Group, operating costs are associated with the labor and facility costs attributable to the warehousing of our finished goods at our service center facilities. Delivery expense reflects labor, material handling and other third-party costs incurred with the delivery of product to customers.

Delivery expense totaled \$46.8, \$48.1, and \$3.3 for the Successor Company for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, respectively, and \$39.3 for the Predecessor Company for the period from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005.

Selling, general and administrative expenses Selling, general and administrative expenses include sales and marketing expenses, executive officers' compensation, office and administrative salaries, insurance, accounting, legal, computer systems, and professional services costs not directly associated with the processing, manufacturing, operating or delivery costs of our products.

Depreciation and amortization Depreciation and amortization expense represents the costs associated with property, buildings and equipment used throughout the Company except for depreciation and amortization expense associated with the manufacturing assets employed by our Building Products Group, which is included within cost of sales. This caption also includes amortization of intangible assets.

Income taxes Deferred income taxes are recognized for the future tax consequences of differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. We consider future taxable income and ongoing tax planning strategies in assessing the need for a valuation allowance.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157), which enhances existing guidance for measuring assets and liabilities using fair value. SFAS 157 provides a single definition of fair value, together with a framework for measuring it, and requires additional disclosure about the use of fair value to measure assets and liabilities. SFAS 157 also emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement, and sets out a fair value hierarchy with the highest priority being quoted market prices in active markets. Under the standard, fair value measurements would be separately disclosed by level within the fair value hierarchy. SFAS 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements for existing assets and liabilities on the Company's balance sheet as of the date of adoption. As such, the Company does not expect any impact to its financial statements as of the January 1, 2008 adoption date.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans* an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (SFAS 158), which requires the recognition of the funded status of benefit plans in the balance sheet. SFAS 158 also requires

Table of Contents**METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued****(in millions, except share amounts)**

certain gains and losses that are deferred under current pension accounting rules to be recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax effects. These deferred costs (or income) will continue to be recognized as a component of net periodic pension cost, consistent with current recognition rules. For entities with no publicly traded equity securities, the effective date for the recognition of the funded status is for fiscal years ending after June 15, 2007. In addition, the ability to measure the plans' benefit obligations, assets and net period cost at a date prior to the fiscal year-end date is eliminated for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. The adoption of the recognition element of SFAS 158 had no effect on the Company's financial statements. The adoption of the measurement date element of SFAS 158 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (SFAS 159)*. SFAS 159 expands the use of fair value accounting but does not affect existing standards which require assets and liabilities to be carried at fair value. Under SFAS 159, a company may elect to use fair value to measure accounts and loans receivable, available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities, equity method investments, accounts payable, guarantees, issued debt and other eligible financial instruments. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company has elected not to adopt SFAS 159.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations (SFAS 141R)*, which replaces SFAS 141. SFAS 141R establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any non-controlling interest in the acquiree and the goodwill acquired in connection with a business combination. The Statement also establishes disclosure requirements that will enable users to evaluate the nature and financial effect of the business combination. SFAS 141R applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS 141R on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements an amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS 160)*. SFAS 160 requires that accounting and reporting for minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. SFAS 160 also establishes reporting requirements that provide sufficient disclosures that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company has not yet determined the impact, if any, that SFAS 160 will have on its financial statements.

2. Acquisitions***2007 Acquisition***

On July 2, 2007, we purchased the business operations of Lynch (Lynch), for approximately \$42.4. The purchase price was funded by borrowings under the ABL facility, \$38.4 of which was paid at closing, and approximately \$4.0 of which is deferred and will be paid in various installments over the next two years. The excess of the aggregate purchase price over the estimated fair value of net assets acquired was approximately \$34.3, which was allocated to goodwill. In connection with the completion of the valuation of the trade name and customer list intangibles in the fourth quarter of 2007, \$13.4 was reclassified from goodwill to intangible assets. The estimated fair value of accounts receivable, inventories, and property and equipment acquired were \$4.4, \$4.2 and \$1.4, respectively. The estimated fair value of accounts payable and accrued liabilities assumed was \$2.3. The estimated amount of goodwill and related allocation of assets and liabilities acquired and assumed are

Table of Contents

METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS *Continued*

(in millions, except share amounts)

not final and are subject to change based on final valuations of tangible assets. The results of operations for the Lynch acquisition are included in the Company's consolidated results of operations beginning July 2, 2007.

Lynch is a value-added, specialty aluminum service center and processor with locations in New Jersey and California. Lynch uses enhanced technologies in slitting, shearing, and cut-to-length to service the just-in-time requirements of its customers, who are predominately manufacturers of air/heat transfer products specifically focused on aerospace, automotive and industrial applications. The acquisition is an important strategic addition to our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous Group because it supports our continued shift in product mix from ferrous products to non-ferrous and stainless products and strengthens our non-ferrous presence in the strategic Northeast and Southern California regions. Lynch's product line and processing capabilities are highly complementary to our Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous segment, and we expect to expand sales of Lynch's non-ferrous products into our existing geographic base, as well as expand sales of non-ferrous and stainless products into Lynch's geographic base.

2006 Acquisitions

In May 2006, the Company completed two acquisitions for an aggregate purchase price of \$50.7 (referred to collectively as the 2006 Acquisitions). The excess of aggregate purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired of \$19.5 was allocated to goodwill. In addition, the fair values of intangible assets, inventories and property and equipment were increased by \$9.4, \$5.7 and \$18.1, respectively. These acquisitions are described in more detail below.

On May 17, 2006, we purchased all of the assets and business operations of Port City Metal Services (Port City), located in Tulsa, Oklahoma, for approximately \$41.3, which includes a \$5.0 contingent payout provision that may be made in 2009 or earlier, subject to certain performance criteria.

The maximum amount payable under the performance criteria has been accrued in accordance with SFAS No. 141.

On May 12, 2006, we purchased all of the assets and operations of Dura-Loc Roofing Systems Limited (effective June 30, 2007, we changed the trade name from Dura-Loc Roofing Systems Limited to Allmet to better facilitate our marketing objectives) (Allmet) for approximately \$10.4 Canadian dollars (approximately U.S. \$9.4). Allmet has one manufacturing facility located near Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

The results of operations of the 2006 Acquisitions are included in the Company's consolidated results of operations beginning May 1, 2006 (Port City) and May 13, 2006 (Allmet).

2005 Acquisition

On November 30, 2005, Flag Acquisition, then a wholly-owned subsidiary of Flag Intermediate, merged with and into Metals USA with Metals USA being the surviving corporation. The Merger was consummated pursuant to the Merger Agreement by and among Metals USA, Flag Acquisition, and Metals USA Holdings. Metals USA Holdings was formed by Apollo Management V L.P. (Apollo Management) and together with its affiliated investment entities (Apollo). As a result of and immediately following the Merger, Metals USA became and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Flag Intermediate. Flag Intermediate has no assets other than its investment in Metals USA, conducts no operations and is a guarantor of both the ABL facility and the Metals USA Notes.

Table of Contents**METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(in millions, except share amounts)

The Merger was accounted for as a purchase by Flag Acquisition of Metals USA in accordance with SFAS 141. Total Merger consideration was \$648.0, including \$458.7 for common stock and warrants, \$152.5 for the assumption of debt and revolving credit loans, \$16.6 for debt issuance costs and \$20.2 for direct merger costs (including \$2.6 paid by Metals USA prior to closing of the Merger). Merger consideration does not include \$14.6 paid by Metals USA at the closing of the Merger to holders of 1,081,270 vested in-the-money options and holders of 45,437 restricted stock grant awards (recorded as compensation expense in November 2005). Total Merger consideration reconciles to the net acquisition costs as follows:

Total Merger consideration	\$ 648.0
Less:	
Assumption of debt and revolving credit loans	(152.5)
Debt issuance costs	(16.6)
Use of cash on hand at closing	(46.2)
Merger costs paid by Metals USA	(2.6)
Acquisition costs, net of cash acquired	\$ 430.1

Consistent with SFAS 141, the total acquisition costs were allocated to the acquired assets and assumed liabilities based upon estimates of their respective fair values as of the closing date of the Merger using valuation and other studies. Based on these studies, the fair value of inventories, property and equipment and intangibles (customer lists) were increased by \$14.9, \$118.6 and \$22.2, respectively. The fair value of deferred taxes and long-term liabilities were increased by \$64.8 and \$3.1, respectively. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

Total Assets acquired	\$ 735.2
Total Liabilities assumed	321.2
Net Assets Acquired	\$ 414.0

The excess of aggregate purchase price over the fair value of net assets acquired of \$16.1 was recorded as goodwill as of December 1, 2005.

The Merger was financed through cash contributions to Metals USA Holdings of \$140.0, less \$6.0 of transaction fees paid to Apollo and accounted for as a reduction in capital, proceeds from the sale of \$275.0 of the Metals USA Notes and borrowings under the ABL facility.

As a result of the Merger, the results of operations for Metals USA are included in the historical results for the Predecessor Company for 2005 and the Successor Company beginning December 1, 2005.

Pro Forma Results

The following unaudited pro forma information presents the Company's consolidated results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 as if the Lynch acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2006, and the 2006 Acquisitions and the Merger had occurred on January 1, 2005:

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Revenues	\$ 1,860.9	\$ 1,857.4	\$ 1,689.1
Net income	14.4	73.9	23.9

F-13

Table of Contents**METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(in millions, except share amounts)

3. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2007	2006
Raw materials		
Plates and Shapes	\$ 222.9	\$ 225.4
Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous	117.0	136.2
Building Products	13.6	15.5
Total raw materials	353.5	377.1
Work-in-process and finished goods		
Plates and Shapes		
Flat Rolled and Non-Ferrous	35.8	36.5
Building Products	20.5	33.7
Total work-in-process and finished goods	56.3	70.2
Total inventories	\$ 409.8	\$ 447.3

4. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of the following:

	Estimated Useful Lives	December 31,	
		2007	2006
Land		\$ 11.5	\$ 11.4
Buildings and improvements	5-40 years	71.4	64.6
Machinery and equipment	7-25 years	136.8	122.9
Automobiles and trucks	3-10 years	3.0	2.3
Construction in progress		4.4	5.4
Total property and equipment		227.1	206.6
Less: Accumulated depreciation		(25.0)	(12.0)
Total property and equipment, net		\$ 202.1	\$ 194.6

Depreciation expense for the Successor Company for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005 was \$11.7, \$11.2 and \$0.8, respectively. Depreciation expense for the Predecessor Company for the period from January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005 was \$3.5.

5. Intangible Assets

The fair values of identifiable intangibles recorded as a result of the Merger, the 2006 Acquisitions and the Lynch acquisition were determined using valuation techniques and other studies. We are amortizing customer lists over five years using an accelerated amortization method which approximates their estimated useful lives. We are amortizing the Lynch trade name on a straight-line basis over fifteen years.

Table of Contents**METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(in millions, except share amounts)

The carrying amounts of the Company's intangible assets are as follows:

	December 31,	
	2007	2006
Customer lists	\$ 41.6	\$ 31.6
Effect of foreign currency	0.5	
Less: Accumulated amortization	(22.2)	(12.0)
	\$ 19.9	\$ 19.6
Trade name	\$ 3.3	\$
Less: Accumulated amortization	(0.1)	
	\$ 3.2	\$
Patents	\$ 0.6	\$ 0.6
Less: Accumulated amortization	(0.4)	(0.3)
	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.3

Aggregate amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, and for the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005 was \$10.4, \$11.3, and \$0.7, respectively.

The following table represents the total estimated amortization of customer list intangible assets, excluding the effect of foreign currency, for the five succeeding years:

For the Year Ending	Estimated Amortization Expense
2008	\$ 8.3
2009	\$ 5.4
2010	\$ 3.6
2011	\$ 1.6
2012	\$ 0.5

6. Other Assets

Other assets consist of the following:

December 31,
2007 2006

Edgar Filing: Metals USA Holdings Corp. - Form S-1

Deferred financing costs	\$ 7.9	\$ 8.1
Deferred debt offering costs	11.3	11.9
Other	6.8	0.8
Total other assets	\$ 26.0	\$ 20.8

Debt issuance costs incurred by the Successor Company for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, and for the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, and for the Predecessor Company for the period from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005, were \$6.2, \$5.9, \$16.6, and \$0.3, respectively. Amortization of debt issuance costs recorded by the Successor Company for the years ended December 31, 2007

Table of Contents**METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(in millions, except share amounts)

and 2006, and the period from May 9, 2005 (date of inception) to December 31, 2005, and for the Predecessor Company for the period from January 1, 2005 to November 30, 2005, were \$7.4, \$2.5, \$0.2, and \$2.4, respectively.

7. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2007	2006
Accrued salaries and employee benefits	\$ 15.2	\$ 17.0
Accrued income taxes		3.3
Accrued taxes, other than income	4.4	4.7
Accrued interest	13.8	5.1
Accrued insurance	5.1	3.4
Accrued audit and tax fees	0.6	1.5
Accrued warranty liability	0.5	1.1
Accrued lease terminations	0.5	0.9
Accrued management fees	6.6	7.7
Accrued Merger consideration Predecessor common shares outstanding	8.1	8.5
Other	8.5	6.1
Total accrued liabilities	\$ 63.3	\$ 59.3

8. Debt

Debt consists of the following:

	December 31,	
	2007	2006
Senior Secured Asset-Based Revolving Credit Facility (ABL facility)	\$ 280.5	\$ 329.0
11 1/8% Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (Metals USA Notes)	275.0	275.0
Senior Floating Rate Toggle Notes due 2012 (2007 Notes)	291.9	
Senior Floating Rate Toggle Notes due 2012 (2006 Notes)		144.8
Industrial Revenue Bond	5.7	5.7
Other	4.2	0.9
Total debt	857.3	755.4
Less current portion of debt	2.3	0.5
Total long-term portion of debt	\$ 855.0	\$ 754.9

The weighted average interest rate under the ABL facility for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 was 7.06% and 7.05%, respectively.

Senior Secured Asset-Based Revolving Credit Facility

The ABL facility permits us to borrow on a revolving basis through November 30, 2011. Substantially all of our subsidiaries are borrowers under the ABL facility.

Table of Contents

METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(in millions, except share amounts)

On June 8, 2007, we executed an amendment to the ABL facility (the June 2007 amendment), which increased the commitment from \$450.0 to \$525.0, comprised of \$500.0 of Tranche A Commitments and \$25.0 of Tranche A-1 Commitments. Additionally, the June 2007 amendment reduced the borrowing cost on the Tranche A facility by 25 basis points, reduced the borrowing cost on the Tranche A-1 facility by 75 basis points and gave us the option to increase the Tranche A Commitments by \$100.0. The June 2007 amendment did not have any impact on our covenant compliance. Costs incurred in connection with the June 2007 amendment totaled \$1.6, and are being amortized over the existing term of the ABL facility, which expires November 30, 2011.

Prior to June 8, 2007, the ABL facility provided for borrowings, subject to a borrowing base calculation, of up to \$450.0, which was comprised of \$425.0 of Tranche A Commitments and \$25.0 of the Tranche A-1 Commitments. While the Tranche A-1 Commitments are outstanding, the borrowing base is subject to greater advance rates than would be otherwise in effect.

The maximum availability under the ABL facility is based on eligible receivables and eligible inventory, subject to certain reserves. As of December 31, 2007, we had eligible collateral of \$416.2, \$280.5 in outstanding advances, \$15.4 in open letters of credit and \$120.3 of additional borrowing capacity.

The obligations under the ABL facility are guaranteed by the Company and certain of our domestic subsidiaries and are secured (i) on a first-priority lien basis by accounts receivable and inventory and (ii) on a second-priority lien basis by other assets, subject to certain exceptions and permitted liens.

The ABL facility bears interest with respect to loans utilizing the Tranche A Commitments at the bank's base rate or the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR), at our option, plus an applicable margin ranging between 1.00% and 1.75% as determined in accordance with the amended loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility. The ABL facility bears interest with respect to the Tranche A-1 Commitments at the bank's base rate or LIBOR, at our option, plus an applicable margin of 2.75% under the June 2007 amendment. The marginal rates related to the Tranche A Commitments will vary with our financial performance as measured by the fixed charge coverage ratio (FCCR). The FCCR is determined by dividing (i) the sum of adjusted EBITDA (as defined by the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility) minus income taxes paid in cash minus non-financed capital expenditures by (ii) the sum of certain distributions paid in cash, cash interest expense and scheduled principal reductions on debt. As long as our borrowing availability is \$45.0 or greater, we do not have to maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. Should borrowing availability fall below \$45.0, we must maintain a fixed charge coverage ratio of at least 1.0 to 1.0. As of December 31, 2007, our FCCR was 1.31.

Interest on base rate loans is payable on the last day of each quarter. Interest on LIBOR loans is payable on maturity of the LIBOR loan or on the last day of the quarter if the term of the LIBOR loan exceeds 90 days. A commitment fee of 0.25% per annum is payable on any unused commitments under the ABL facility. The applicable base rate and the effective LIBOR rate for the Tranche A Commitments and Tranche A-1 Commitments were 7.25% and 4.70%, respectively, as of December 31, 2007.

The loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility requires us to comply with limited affirmative, negative and subjective covenants, the most significant of which are: (i) the maintenance of a borrowing base availability of at least \$45.0, or, if such required borrowing base availability is not maintained, the maintenance of the FCCR, (ii) restrictions on additional indebtedness and (iii) restrictions on liens, guarantees and quarterly dividends. There are no limitations with respect to capital expenditures.

The loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility provides for up to \$15.0 of swingline loans and up to \$100.0 for the issuance of letters of credit. Both the face amount of any outstanding letters of credit and any swingline loans will reduce borrowing availability under the ABL facility on a dollar-for-dollar basis.

Table of Contents

METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(in millions, except share amounts)

The ABL facility contains customary representations, warranties and covenants as a precondition to lending, which includes a material adverse change in the business, limitations on our ability to incur or guarantee additional debt, subject to certain exceptions, pay dividends, or make redemptions and repurchases with respect to capital stock, create or incur certain liens, make certain loans or investments, make acquisitions or investments, engage in mergers, acquisitions, asset sales and sale lease-back transactions, and engage in certain transactions with affiliates. In addition, the ABL facility requires a lock-box arrangement, which in the absence of default, is controlled by the Company.

The ABL facility contains events of default with respect to: default in payment of principal when due, default in the payment of interest, fees or other amounts after a specified grace period, material breach of the representations or warranties, default in the performance of specified covenants, failure to make any payment when due under any indebtedness with a principal amount in excess of a specified amount, certain bankruptcy events, certain ERISA violations, invalidity of certain security agreements or guarantees, material judgments or a change of control. In the event of default the agreement may permit the lenders to: (i) restrict the account or refuse to make revolving loans; (ii) cause customer receipts to be applied against borrowings under the ABL facility causing the Company to suffer a rapid loss of liquidity and the ability to operate on a day-to-day basis; (iii) restrict or refuse to provide letters of credit; or ultimately: (iv) terminate the commitments and the agreement; or (v) declare any or all obligations to be immediately due and payable if such default is not cured in the specified period required. Any payment default or acceleration under the ABL facility would also result in a default under the Metals USA Notes that would provide the holders of the Metals USA Notes with the right to demand immediate repayment. We are in compliance with all covenants as of December 31, 2007.

Costs related to the establishment of the ABL facility, in addition to subsequent amendments to the ABL facility, were capitalized and are being charged to interest expense over the life of the ABL facility. Unamortized issuance costs of \$7.9 as of December 31, 2007, are included in other non-current assets.

Senior Floating Rate Toggle Notes due 2012

During December 2006, Metals USA Holdings issued \$150.0 initial aggregate principal amount of Senior Floating Rate Toggle Notes due 2012 (the 2006 Notes). The 2006 Notes were senior unsecured obligations that were not guaranteed by any of Metals USA Holdings subsidiaries. As such, the 2006 Notes were structurally subordinated to all indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of Metals USA Holdings subsidiaries.

Because Metals USA Holdings principal asset is its investment in Flag Intermediate, Flag Intermediate provided funds to Metals USA Holdings to service the 2006 Notes. In April 2007, Flag Intermediate provided funds to Metals USA Holdings in the amount of \$5.3 to fund the initial quarterly interest payment on the 2006 Notes, which was paid on April 16, 2007.

On July 10, 2007, and in connection with the issuance of the 2007 Notes discussed below, Metals USA Holdings discharged its obligations under the indenture related to the previously issued 2006 Notes by depositing with the trustee for the 2006 Notes (i) an irrevocable notice of redemption of the 2006 Notes and (ii) cash and United States government securities in an amount necessary to yield on August 9, 2007 approximately \$156.0, which represented all amounts payable under the indenture relating the 2006 Notes on the August 9, 2007 redemption date. On August 9, 2007, the 2006 Notes were redeemed pursuant to the terms of the 2006 Notes indenture for approximately \$150.0 plus accrued and unpaid interest of approximately \$5.4.

Table of Contents

METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(in millions, except share amounts)

On July 10, 2007, Metals USA Holdings issued \$300.0 initial aggregate principal amount of Senior Floating Rate Toggle Notes due 2012 (the 2007 Notes). The 2007 Notes were issued at an initial issue price of 97% of the principal amount thereof, and the original issue discount is being amortized to interest expense over the life of the 2007 Notes. The 2007 Notes are senior unsecured obligations that are not guaranteed by any of Metals USA Holdings subsidiaries. As such, the 2007 Notes are structurally subordinated to all indebtedness and other liabilities (including trade payables) of Metals USA Holdings subsidiaries.

The initial four interest payments on the 2007 Notes are payable solely in cash. For any interest period thereafter, Metals USA Holdings may elect to pay interest (1) entirely in cash or (2) entirely by increasing the principal amount of the 2007 Notes or issuing new 2007 Notes (PIK Interest), or (3) on 50% of the outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Notes in cash and on 50% of the outstanding principal amount of the 2007 Notes by increasing the principal amount of the outstanding 2007 Notes or by issuing new 2007 Notes (Partial PIK Interest). Cash interest on the 2007 Notes will accrue at a rate per annum, reset quarterly, equal to LIBOR plus a spread of 6.00%, which increases by 0.25% to 6.25% in year 2, by 0.50% to 6.50% in year 3, and by 0.75% to 6.75% in year 4. In the event PIK Interest is paid on the 2007 Notes after the first four interest periods, the then-applicable margin over LIBOR on the 2007 Notes would increase by 0.75% for each period in which PIK Interest is paid. If Metals USA Holdings elects to pay any PIK Interest, Metals USA Holdings will increase the principal amount on the 2007 Notes or issue new 2007 Notes in an amount equal to the amount of PIK Interest for the applicable interest payment period to holders of the 2007 Notes on the relevant record date.

Flag Intermediate provided funds to Metals USA Holdings in the amount of \$7.7 to fund the initial quarterly interest payment on the 2007 Notes, which was paid on October 1, 2007. Flag Intermediate also provided funds to Metals USA Holdings to fund the second quarterly interest payment on the 2007 Notes in the amount of \$8.4, which was paid on January 2, 2008. Flag Intermediate expects to provide funds to Metals USA Holdings to fund the third quarterly interest payment on the 2007 Notes in the amount of \$8.5 due on April 1, 2008.

The terms of the ABL facility, as well as the indenture governing the Metals USA Notes, restrict Flag Intermediate and certain of its subsidiaries from making payments or transferring assets to Metals USA Holdings, including dividends, loans, or distributions. Such restrictions include prohibition of dividends in an event of default and limitations on the total amount of dividends paid to Metals USA Holdings. In the event these agreements do not permit Flag Intermediate to provide Metals USA Holdings with sufficient distributions to fund interest and principal payments on the 2007 Notes when due, Metals USA Holdings may default on its notes unless other sources of funding are available. Amounts available under these restricted payment provisions amounted to \$34.8 under the indenture governing the Metals USA Notes and \$74.9 under the loan and security agreement governing the ABL facility as of December 31, 2007.

On or after January 15, 2008, Metals USA Holdings may redeem some or all of the 2007 Notes at certain redemption prices, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the redemption date. If Metals USA Holdings makes certain public offerings, sales or issuances of common stock, and does not redeem the 2007 Notes, it will be required to make an offer to repurchase the maximum principal amount of the 2007 Notes that may be purchased out of the proceeds thereof, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the date of repurchase.

The indenture governing the 2007 Notes contains covenants that, among other things, limit Metals USA Holdings ability and the ability of certain of its subsidiaries to incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue disqualified or preferred stock, repurchase or redeem capital stock or subordinated indebtedness, pay dividends or make distributions to its stockholders, incur restrictions on the ability of its subsidiaries to pay dividends or to make other payments to Metals USA Holdings, transfer or sell assets, create liens, enter into

Table of Contents

METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued

(in millions, except share amounts)

transactions with affiliates, make investments or acquisitions, and merge or consolidate with other companies or transfer all or substantially all of its assets.

From time to time, depending upon market, pricing and other conditions, as well on our cash balances and liquidity, we may seek to repurchase a portion of the 2007 Notes in the market. Additionally, our affiliates, which include Apollo, from time to time and depending upon market, pricing and other conditions, have purchased and may in the future purchase a portion of the 2007 Notes in the market. Any such future purchases may be made in the open market, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers or otherwise.

On February 26, 2008, we completed an exchange offer to exchange the privately placed 2007 Notes for substantially identical Notes registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

Costs of approximately \$4.9 related to the establishment of the 2007 Notes were capitalized and are being charged to interest expense over the life of the 2007 Notes.

11 1/8% Senior Secured Notes Due 2015

On November 30, 2005, Flag Acquisition sold \$275.0 million aggregate principal amount of the Metals USA Notes. The Metals USA Notes bear interest at a rate per annum equal to 11 1/8%, payable semi-annually in arrears, on June 1 and December 1 of each year, commencing on June 1, 2006. The Metals USA Notes will mature on December 1, 2015. We may redeem some or all of the Metals USA Notes at any time on or after December 1, 2010 at a predetermined redemption price plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date. In addition, on or prior to December 1, 2008, we may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Metals USA Notes with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings. If we experience a change of control and we do not redeem the Metals USA Notes, we will be required to make an offer to repurchase the Metals USA Notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest, if any, to the date of repurchase.

As a result of the Merger, Metals USA assumed the obligations of Flag Acquisition including the Metals USA Notes. All domestic operating subsidiaries of Metals USA have agreed, jointly and severally with Flag Intermediate (Guarantors), to unconditionally and irrevocably guarantee Metals USA's obligations under the Metals USA Notes and Indenture Agreement dated as of November 30, 2005. Additionally, Flag Intermediate has unconditionally guaranteed to be a primary obligor of the due and punctual payment and performance of the obligations under the Indenture.

Metals USA Holdings is not a guarantor of the Metals USA Notes. There is a limitation on the amount of funds which can be transferred by the Guarantors to Metals USA Holdings in the form of dividends. The amount of dividends available for distribution to Metals USA Holdings was \$34.8 as of December 31, 2007. Such amount available for distribution shall be increased by an amount equal to 50% of Consolidated Net Income, as defined, or reduced by an amount equal to 100% of Consolidated Net Loss, as defined.

The indebtedness evidenced by the Metals USA Notes and the guarantees ranks: equally with all of our and the Guarantors' existing and future senior indebtedness; junior in priority as to collateral that secures the ABL facility on a first-priority lien basis with respect to our and the Guarantors' obligations under the ABL facility, any other debt incurred after December 1, 2005 that has a priority security interest relative to the Metals USA Notes in the collateral that secures the ABL facility, any hedging obligations related to the foregoing debt and all cash management obligations incurred with any lender under the ABL facility; equal in priority as to collateral that secures the Metals USA Notes and the guarantees on a first-priority lien basis with respect to our and the

Table of Contents**METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(in millions, except share amounts)

Guarantors' obligations under any other equivalent priority lien obligations incurred after December 1, 2005; and senior to all of our and the Guarantors' existing and future subordinated indebtedness. The Metals USA Notes will also be effectively junior to the liabilities of the non-guarantor subsidiaries.

The Metals USA Notes contain representations, warranties and covenants that are customary for debt instruments, including limitations on our or the guarantors' ability to incur or guarantee additional debt, subject to certain exceptions, pay dividends, or make redemptions and repurchases with respect to capital stock, create or incur certain liens, make certain loans or investments, make acquisitions or investments, engage in mergers, acquisitions, asset sales and sale lease-back transactions, and engage in certain transactions with affiliates.

The Metals USA Notes contain events of default with respect to: default in payment of principal when due, default in the payment of interest, fees or other amounts after a specified grace period, material breach of the representations or warranties, default in the performance of specified covenants, failure to make any payment when due under any indebtedness with a principal amount in excess of a specified amount, certain bankruptcy events, certain ERISA violations, invalidity of certain security agreements or guarantees, material judgments, or a change of control. We were in compliance with all covenants as of December 31, 2007.

Costs related to the establishment of the Metals USA Notes were capitalized and are being charged to interest expense over the life of the Metals USA Notes. Unamortized issuance costs of \$6.9 as of December 31, 2007, are included in other non-current assets.

Industrial Revenue Bond

The Industrial Revenue Bond (IRB) is payable on May 1, 2016 in one lump sum payment. The interest rate assessed on the IRB varies from month to month and was 3.65% at December 31, 2007. The IRB is secured by real estate and equipment acquired with proceeds from the IRB. The IRB places various restrictions on certain of our subsidiaries, including but not limited to, maintenance of required insurance coverage, maintenance of certain financial ratios, limits on capital expenditures and maintenance of tangible net worth and is supported by a letter of credit. We were in compliance with all covenants as of December 31, 2007.

Maturities

Scheduled maturities of long-term debt outstanding at December 31, 2007, are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Beyond
	(in millions)					
ABL facility	\$	\$	\$	\$ 280.5	\$	\$
11 1/8% Senior Secured Notes Due 2015 (Metals USA Notes)						275.0
Senior Floating Rate Toggle Notes Due 2012 (2007 Notes)					300.0	
IRB						5.7
Other obligations	2.3	1.6	0.1	0.1	0.1	
Total	\$ 2.3	\$ 1.6	\$ 0.1	\$ 280.6	\$ 300.1	\$ 280.7

Table of Contents**METALS USA HOLDINGS CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS Continued**

(in millions, except share amounts)

9. Income Taxes

The components of the provision (benefit) for income taxes are as follows:

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company
	Years Ended December 31,	Period from May 9 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005	
	2007	2006	Period from January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005
Current provision:			
Federal	\$ 9.5	\$ 27.6	\$ 0.3
State	(0.6)	4.9	0.1
Foreign	(0.4)	(0.1)	
	\$ 8.5	\$ 32.4	\$ 0.4
Deferred provision (benefit):			
Federal	(3.2)	(6.1)	(1.4)
State	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.2)
	(3.7)	(6.6)	(1.6)
Total provision (benefit)	\$ 4.8	\$ 25.8	\$ (1.2)

The components of earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes were as follows:

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company
	Years Ended December 31,	Period from May 9 (date of inception) through December 31, 2005	
	2007	2006	Period from January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005
United States	\$ 19.9	\$ 65.3	\$ (3.2)
Foreign	(1.2)	(0.2)	
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ 18.7	\$ 65.1	\$ (3.2)

The provision (benefit) differs from an amount computed at the statutory rates as follows:

	Successor Company		Predecessor Company
	Years Ended December 31,		Period from January 1, 2005 through November 30, 2005
	2007	2006	
Federal income tax at statutory rates	\$ 6.5&n		