BOSTON PRIVATE FINANCIAL HOLDINGS INC

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

Form 10-Q August 09, 2007 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 9, 2007

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

	Washington, D.C. 20549
	FORM 10-Q
(Ma	
(Ma	rk One)
X	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For	the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007
	Or
For	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 the transition period from to
	Commission File Number: 0-17089
	BOSTON PRIVATE FINANCIAL HOLDINGS, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Table of Contents 1

04-2976299

(I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

Ten Post Office Square

Boston, Massachusetts 02109 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (888) 666-1363

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by section 13 or 15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One)

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer " Non-accelerated filer "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock as of July 31, 2007:

Common Stock-Par Value \$1.00 (class)

37,281,920 (outstanding)

BOSTON PRIVATE FINANCIAL HOLDINGS, INC.

FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cover Page Index

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations	4
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity	5
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	6
	Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	18
	Executive Summary	18
	Critical Accounting Policies	20
	Financial Condition	22
	<u>Capital Resources</u>	26
	Results of Operations	28
Item 3	Ouantitative and Oualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	36
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	36
	PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	36
Item 1A	Risk Factors and Factors Affecting Forward-Looking Statements	37
Item 2	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	37
Item 3	<u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	37
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	37
Item 5	Other Information	37
Item 6	<u>Exhibits</u>	38
	Signature Page	39
	Certifications	

2

${\bf BOSTON\,PRIVATE\,FINANCIAL\,HOLDINGS, INC.\,AND\,SUBSIDIARIES}$

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
		xcept share data)
Assets:		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 112,091	\$ 115,951
Federal funds sold	23,586	123,445
Cash and cash equivalents	135,677	239,396
Restricted cash	28,510	ĺ
Investment securities:	,	
Available-for-sale (amortized cost of \$518,529 and \$527,190, respectively)	514,077	523,848
Held-to-maturity (fair value of \$13,495 and \$13,819, respectively)	13,745	13,959
Total investment securities	527,822	537,807
Loans held for sale	8,603	5,224
Loans:	,	ĺ
Commercial	2,021,843	1,863,971
Construction	679,697	632,263
Residential mortgage	1,603,529	1,546,965
Home equity and other consumer loans	281,092	268,053
	,	,
Total loans	4,586,161	4,311,252
Less: allowance for loan losses	45,825	43,387
	·	
Net loans	4,540,336	4,267,865
Stock in Federal Home Loan Banks and Banker s Bank	48,315	40,096
Premises and equipment, net	37,678	35,641
Goodwill	311,240	335,633
Intangible assets, net	118,828	125,331
Fees receivable	27,456	28,248
Accrued interest receivable	23,074	22,913
Other assets	131,930	125,390
Total assets	\$ 5,939,469	\$ 5,763,544
T := 1:11:4:		
Liabilities: Deposits	\$ 3,902,432	\$ 4,077,831
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other	\$ 3,902,432 176,612	\$ 4,077,831 77,605
*		77,003
Federal Home Lean Park homewines	36,100	602.002
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings Junior subordinated debentures	809,772 234,021	602,903 234,021
Accrued interest payable	12,725	10,964
Other liabilities	104,112	125,023
Ouici naomues	104,112	123,023
Total liabilities	\$ 5,275,774	\$ 5,128,347
Stockholders equity:		
	37,203	36,590

Common stock, \$1.00 par value; authorized: 70,000,000 shares; issued: 37,202,812 shares at June 30, 2007 and 36,589,727 shares at December 31, 2006		
Additional paid-in capital	441,955	424,787
Retained earnings	187,392	176,111
Accumulated and other comprehensive loss	(2,855)	(2,291)
Total stockholder s equity	663,695	635,197
Total liabilities and stockholder s equity	\$ 5,939,469	\$ 5,763,544

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

BOSTON PRIVATE FINANCIAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Unaudited)

	Three M	Ionths Ended	Six Mon	Six Months Ended				
	Ju 2007	ine 30, 2006	Jun 2007	ne 30, 2006				
		(In thousands,	except share data)					
Interest and dividend income:								
Loans	\$ 79,052		\$ 155,575	\$ 127,386				
Taxable investment securities	3,159	,	5,896	5,481				
Non-taxable investment securities	1,908		3,780	3,119				
Mortgage-backed securities	356		714	833				
Federal funds sold and other	2,670	1,371	5,017	3,643				
Total interest and dividend income	87,145	72,276	170,982	140,462				
Interest expense:								
Deposits	29,773	19,562	58,684	36,085				
Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	8,806		16,496	9,792				
Junior subordinated debentures	3,299	3,286	6,592	6,544				
Other short-term borrowings	1,029	576	1,588	1,071				
Total interest expense	42,907	28,874	83,360	53,492				
Net interest income	44,238	43,402	87,622	86,970				
Provision for loan losses	745	1,704	1,921	2,867				
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	43,493	41,698	85,701	84,103				
Fees and other income:								
Investment management and trust fees	40,298		78,006	59,849				
Wealth advisory fees	7,737	6,692	15,003	13,104				
Earnings in equity investments	582	220	1,265	991				
Deposit account service charges	413	423	839	867				
Gain on sale of loans, net	695	525	1,227	982				
Other	2,607	1,241	4,632	2,625				
Total fees and other income	52,332	40,137	100,972	78,418				
Operating expense:								
Salaries and employee benefits	46,672	40,208	93,272	79,583				
Occupancy and equipment	8,103	6,995	15,978	13,553				
Professional services	4,129	3,727	7,335	6,535				
Marketing and business development	2,834	2,361	5,432	4,697				
Contract services and processing	1,608		3,044	2,503				
Amortization of intangibles	3,508		7,057	6,038				
Impairment of Goodwill	17,852		17,852					
Other	4,789	4,302	9,069	8,820				

Edgar Filing: BOSTON PRIVATE FINANCIAL HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Total operating expense		89,495		62,030		159,039		121,729
Minority interest		106		745		1,020		1,559
Income before income taxes		6,224		19,060		26,614		39,233
Income tax expense		1,448		6,772		8,705		14,118
Net income	\$	4,776	\$	12,288	\$	17,909	\$	25,115
Per share data:								
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.13	\$	0.35	\$	0.49	\$	0.72
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.13	\$	0.33	\$	0.47	\$	0.67
Average basic common shares outstanding	36	,616,124	35	5,199,439	36	5,446,518	34	1,910,310
Average diluted common shares outstanding	38	,103,534	39	,898,762	41	,209,660	39	9,642,587

 $See\ accompanying\ notes\ to\ unaudited\ consolidated\ financial\ statements.$

BOSTON PRIVATE FINANCIAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders Equity

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital (In tho	Retained Earnings usands, excep	Compa Incon	mulated other rehensive ne (Loss)	1	Γotal
Balance at December 31, 2005	\$ 34,800	\$ 374,818	\$ 133,190	\$	(3,460)	\$ 5	39,348
Comprehensive Income:	,	,	, ,				,
Net income			25,115				25,115
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:							
Change in unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale, net of tax					(1,328)		(1,328)
Changes in unrealized loss on cash flow hedges, net of tax					(410)		(410)
Total comprehensive income, net of tax							23,377
Dividends paid to shareholders			(5,615)				(5,615)
Proceeds from issuance of 1,077,376 shares of common stock	1,077	31,630					32,707
Issuance of 103,073 shares of incentive stock grants	103	(103)					
Amortization of incentive stock grants		1,534					1,534
Amortization of stock options and employee stock purchase plan		3,097					3,097
Stock options exercised	365	3,945					4,310
Excess tax savings on stock options exercised		1,589					1,589
Balance at June 30, 2006	\$ 36,345	\$ 416,510	\$ 152,690	\$	(5,198)	\$6	00,347
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 36,590	\$ 424,787	\$ 176,111	\$	(2,291)	\$ 6	35,197
Comprehensive Income:	Ψ υ υ,υ ν υ	ψ . Ξ .,,, σ,	Ψ 170,111	Ψ	(=,=>1)	Ψυ	00,157
Net income			17,909			\$	17,909
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:			- 1,2 - 2				- 1,2 02
Change in unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale, net of tax					(358)	\$	(358)
Change in unrealized loss on pension liability, net of tax					(190)		(190)
Changes in unrealized loss on cash flow hedge, net of tax					(16)	\$	(16)
Total comprehensive income, net of tax						\$	17,345
Dividends paid to shareholders			(6,628)			\$	(6,628)
Proceeds from issuance of 330,611 shares of common stock	331	8,684	, , ,			\$	9,015
Issuance of 54,559 shares of incentive stock grants	55	(55)				\$	
Amortization of incentive stock grants		1,513				\$	1,513
Amortization of stock options and employee stock purchase plan		3,355				\$	3,355
Stock options exercised	227	3,259				\$	3,486
Excess tax savings on stock options exercised		412				\$	412
Balance at June 30, 2007	\$ 37,203	\$ 441,955	\$ 187,392	\$	(2,855)	\$6	63,695

 $See\ accompanying\ notes\ to\ unaudited\ consolidated\ financial\ statements.$

8

BOSTON PRIVATE FINANCIAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Unaudited)

	Six Month June 2007 (In thou	30, 2006
Cash flows from operating activities:	ф. 1 5 000	. 25.115
Net income	\$ 17,909	\$ 25,115
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	21.260	10 205
Depreciation, amortization, and impairment	31,360	12,305
Common shares issued as compensation	154	666
Provision for loan losses	1,921	2,867
Loans originated for sale	(120,938)	(71,431)
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale	118,885	76,917
Net increase in other operating activities	(12,210)	(23,296)
Net cash provided by operating activities	37,081	23,143
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Investment securities available-for-sale:		
Purchases	(463,031)	(155,889)
Sales	59	
Maturities, redemptions, and principal payments	470,985	152,100
Investment securities held-to-maturity:		
Purchases	(2,148)	(20,185)
Maturities and principal payments	2,375	48,739
Distributions in trusts, net of (investments)	3,241	(888)
Purchase of Federal Home Loan Banks stock	(8,219)	(7,593)
Net increase in portfolio loans	(270,910)	(324,582)
Net increase in restricted cash	(28,510)	
Capital expenditures, net of sale proceeds	(6,647)	(4,922)
Cash paid for acquisitions, including deferred acquisition obligations, net of cash acquired	(2,885)	(24,680)
Net cash used in investing activities	(305,690)	(337,900)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net decrease in deposits	(175,399)	(86,722)
Net increase in securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other	99,007	42,438
Net increase in Federal Funds purchased	36,100	
Net (decrease) increase in short-term Federal Home Loan Bank Borrowings	(3,058)	126,244
Net increase in long-term Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings	210,017	15,631
Dividends paid to stockholders	(6,628)	(5,615)
Excess tax savings on stock options exercised	412	1,589
Proceeds from stock option exercises	3,486	4,310
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net	953	540
Net cash provided by financing activities	164,890	98,415
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(103,719)	(216,342)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	239,396	372,316

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 135,677	\$ 155,974
Supplementary schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 81,599	\$ 52,313
Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds received	13,984	17,002
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on securities available-for-sale, net of estimated income taxes	(548)	(1,328)
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges, net of estimated income taxes	(16)	(410)
Non-Cash Transactions		
Equity issued for acquisitions, including deferred acquisition obligations	\$ 7,908	\$ 31,501
See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements		

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

BOSTON PRIVATE FINANCIAL HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

(1) Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The consolidated financial statements of Boston Private Financial Holdings, Inc. (the Company) include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries, which consist of four private banks, and seven registered investment advisers. The Company s four private banks include; Boston Private Bank & Trust Company (Boston Private Bank), a Massachusetts chartered trust company; Borel Private Bank & Trust Company (Borel) and First Private Bank & Trust (FPB), both California state banking corporations; and Gibraltar Private Bank & Trust Company (Gibraltar), a federal savings association. The Company s seven registered investment advisers include: Westfield Capital Management Company, LLC (Westfield), Dalton, Greiner, Hartman, Maher & Co., LLC (DGHM), Boston Private Value Investors, Inc. (BPVI), Sand Hill Advisors, Inc. (Sand Hill), KLS Professional Advisors Group, LLC (KLS), RINET Company LLC (RINET), and Anchor Capital Holdings LLC (Anchor). In addition, the Company holds an approximately 27.5% minority interest in Coldstream Holdings, Inc., (Coldstream Holdings) and a 49.7% minority interest in Bingham, Osborn, & Scarborough, LLC (BOS) at June 30, 2007. Coldstream Holdings is the parent company of Coldstream Capital Management Inc., a registered investment adviser and Coldstream Securities Inc., a registered broker dealer. BOS is a registered investment adviser. The Company conducts substantially all of its business through its wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries, Boston Private Bank, Borel, FPB and Gibraltar, (together, the Banks), Westfield, DGHM, BPVI, Sand Hill, KLS, RINET, and Anchor (together, the Registered Investment Advisers). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The minority investments in Coldstream Holdings and BOS are accounted for using the equity method, and are included in other assets.

The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and include all necessary adjustments of a normal recurring nature, which in the opinion of management, are required for a fair presentation of the results and financial condition of the Company. The interim results of consolidated operations are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire year.

The information in this report should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Certain prior year information has been reclassified to conform to current year presentation.

The Company s significant accounting policies are described in Note 3 in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed with the SEC. For interim reporting purposes, the Company follows the same significant accounting policies.

(2) Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share (EPS) excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the Company. The dilutive effect of convertible securities are reflected in diluted EPS by application of the if-converted method. Under the if-converted method, the interest expense on the convertible securities, net of tax, is added back to net income and the convertible shares are assumed to have been converted at the beginning of the period. The if-converted method is only used if the effect is dilutive.

The convertible trust preferred debt was anti-dilutive in the second quarter of 2007 and therefore excluded from the second quarter diluted earnings per share, but dilutive for the year-to-date computation and therefore included. The separate evaluations for quarterly and year-to-date computations may result in year-to-date earnings per share that do not equal the sum of the quarterly earnings per share.

7

The following table is a reconciliation of the components of basic and diluted EPS computations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

	:	2007	hs Ending June 3 2006 s, except share da				
Calculation of net income for EPS:	ф	1.776	ф	12 200			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS	\$	4,776	\$	12,288			
Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax				765			
Net income for EPS calculation	\$	4,776	\$	13,053			
Calculation of average shares outstanding:							
Average basic common shares outstanding	36	,616,124	35	,199,439			
Dilutive effect of:							
Stock options, stock grants and other	1.	,487,410		,517,431			
Convertible trust preferred securities			3	,181,892			
Dilutive potential common shares	1.	,487,410	4	,699,323			
Average diluted common shares outstanding	38.	,103,534	39	,898,762			
		,,	33,03				
Per Share Data:							
Basic earnings per share	\$	0.13	\$	0.35			
Diluted earnings per share	\$	0.13	\$	0.33			
Colculation of not income for EDC.	:	ix Months En 2007 thousands, ex		2006			
Calculation of net income for EPS: Not income as concerted and for basic EPS	(In t	2007 thousands, ex	xcept sha	2006 are data)			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS	:	2007 thousands, ex 17,909		2006 are data) 25,115			
	(In t	2007 thousands, ex	xcept sha	2006 are data)			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax Net income for EPS calculation using the if-converted method	(In t	2007 thousands, ex 17,909	xcept sha	2006 are data) 25,115			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax Net income for EPS calculation using the if-converted method Calculation of average shares outstanding:	(In t	2007 thousands, ex 17,909 1,500 19,409	\$	2006 are data) 25,115 1,530 26,645			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax Net income for EPS calculation using the if-converted method Calculation of average shares outstanding: Average basic common shares outstanding	(In t	2007 thousands, ex 17,909 1,500	\$	2006 are data) 25,115 1,530			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax Net income for EPS calculation using the if-converted method Calculation of average shares outstanding: Average basic common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of:	(In t	2007 thousands, ex 17,909 1,500 19,409 ,446,518	\$ \$	2006 are data) 25,115 1,530 26,645			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax Net income for EPS calculation using the if-converted method Calculation of average shares outstanding: Average basic common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of: Stock options, stock grants and other	(In t	2007 thousands, ex 17,909 1,500 19,409 ,446,518 ,579,183	\$ \$ 34	2006 are data) 25,115 1,530 26,645 ,910,310 ,550,226			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax Net income for EPS calculation using the if-converted method Calculation of average shares outstanding: Average basic common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of:	(In t	2007 thousands, ex 17,909 1,500 19,409 ,446,518	\$ \$ 34	2006 are data) 25,115 1,530 26,645			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax Net income for EPS calculation using the if-converted method Calculation of average shares outstanding: Average basic common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of: Stock options, stock grants and other	\$ \$ 36.	2007 thousands, ex 17,909 1,500 19,409 ,446,518 ,579,183	\$ \$ 34	2006 are data) 25,115 1,530 26,645 ,910,310 ,550,226			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax Net income for EPS calculation using the if-converted method Calculation of average shares outstanding: Average basic common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of: Stock options, stock grants and other Convertible trust preferred securities	\$ \$ 36.	2007 thousands, ex 17,909 1,500 19,409 ,446,518 ,579,183 ,183,959	\$ \$ 34 4	2006 are data) 25,115 1,530 26,645 ,910,310 ,550,226 ,182,051			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax Net income for EPS calculation using the if-converted method Calculation of average shares outstanding: Average basic common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of: Stock options, stock grants and other Convertible trust preferred securities Dilutive potential common shares	\$ \$ 36.	2007 thousands, es 17,909 1,500 19,409 ,446,518 ,579,183 ,183,959 ,763,142	\$ \$ 34 4	2006 are data) 25,115 1,530 26,645 ,910,310 ,550,226 ,182,051 ,732,277			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax Net income for EPS calculation using the if-converted method Calculation of average shares outstanding: Average basic common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of: Stock options, stock grants and other Convertible trust preferred securities Dilutive potential common shares	\$ \$ 36.	2007 thousands, es 17,909 1,500 19,409 ,446,518 ,579,183 ,183,959 ,763,142	\$ \$ 34 4	2006 are data) 25,115 1,530 26,645 ,910,310 ,550,226 ,182,051 ,732,277			
Net income as reported and for basic EPS Interest on convertible trust preferred securities, net of tax Net income for EPS calculation using the if-converted method Calculation of average shares outstanding: Average basic common shares outstanding Dilutive effect of: Stock options, stock grants and other Convertible trust preferred securities Dilutive potential common shares Average diluted common shares outstanding	\$ \$ 36.	2007 thousands, es 17,909 1,500 19,409 ,446,518 ,579,183 ,183,959 ,763,142	\$ \$ 34 4	2006 are data) 25,115 1,530 26,645 ,910,310 ,550,226 ,182,051 ,732,277			

(3) Business Segments

Management Reporting

The Company has 12 reportable segments: Boston Private Bank, Borel, FPB, Gibraltar, Westfield, DGHM, Sand Hill, BPVI, KLS, RINET, Anchor, and Boston Private Financial Holdings, Inc. (Parent Company only) (HC). The financial performance of the Company is managed and evaluated by business segment. The segments are managed separately as each business is a company with different clients, employees, systems, risks, and marketing strategies. In the first quarter 2007 the Company moved its Sand Hill segment from the Investment Management business line to its Wealth Advisory business line. All prior period revenues have been reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation.

Description of Business Segments

Private Banking

Boston Private Bank pursues a private banking business strategy and is principally engaged in providing banking, investment and fiduciary products to high net worth individuals, their families and businesses in the greater Boston area and New England. Boston Private Bank offers its clients a broad range of deposit and loan products. In addition, it provides investment management and trust services to high net worth individuals and institutional clients. Boston Private Bank specializes in separately managed mid to large cap equity and fixed income portfolios.

Borel serves the financial needs of individuals, their families and their businesses in northern California. Borel conducts a commercial banking business, which includes deposit and lending activities. Additionally, Borel offers trust services and provides a variety of other fiduciary services including investment management, advisory and administrative services to individuals.

FPB provides a range of deposit and loan banking products as well as trust services to its customers. Its primary focus is on small and medium sized businesses and professionals located in the Los Angeles and San Bernardino counties.

Gibraltar provides private banking and wealth management services to professionals, as well as business owners, entrepreneurs, corporate executives and individuals primarily in Miami-Dade, Monroe, Broward, Collier, and Palm Beach counties. In the fourth quarter of 2006 Gibraltar opened a private banking office in New York City.

Investment Management

Westfield serves the investment management needs of pension funds, endowments and foundations, mutual funds and high net worth individuals throughout the United States and abroad. Westfield specializes in separately managed domestic growth equity portfolios in all areas of the capitalization spectrum and acts as the investment manager for several limited partnerships and also serves as a portfolio manager to two wrap programs.

DGHM is a value driven investment manager specializing in smaller capitalization equities. The firm manages investments for institutional clients and high net worth individuals in mid, small, and micro cap portfolios. The firm is headquartered in New York City.

BPVI serves the investment needs of institutions and high net worth individuals managing large capitalization US equities and balanced portfolios with a value orientation.

Anchor is the parent holding company of Anchor Capital and Anchor/Russell. Anchor Capital is a value-oriented investment adviser specializing in active investment management for families, trusts, and institutions, including foundations and endowments. Anchor Capital serves clients through its Discretionary Management Accounts division and its Separately Managed Accounts (Wrap Accounts) division, and offers four core disciplines, which include balanced, all-cap, mid-cap, and small-cap styles. Anchor Capital s sister company, Anchor/Russell, structures diversified investment management programs for clients utilizing a host of sophisticated management solutions including institutional multi-manager, multi-style, multi-asset mutual funds and Separately Managed Accounts programs sponsored by the Frank Russell Company.

Wealth Advisory

KLS is a wealth management firm specializing in investment management, estate and insurance planning, retirement planning, financial decision making and income tax planning services. The firm is headquartered in New York City.

RINET provides fee-only financial planning, tax planning and investment management services to high net worth individuals and their families in the greater Boston area, New England, and other areas of the United States. Its capabilities include tax planning and preparation, asset allocation, estate planning, charitable planning, planning for employment benefits, including 401(k) plans, alternative investment analysis and mutual fund investing. It also offers an independent mutual fund rating service.

9

Table of Contents

Sand Hill provides comprehensive, planning based financial strategies for wealthy individuals, families, charitable organizations and select institutions in northern California.

Measurement of Segment Profit and Assets

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. Revenues, expenses, and assets are recorded by each segment, and management reviews separate financial statements for each segment.

Reconciliation of Reportable Segment Items

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the revenues, profit, assets, and other significant items of reportable segments as of and for the quarters ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Interest expense on junior subordinated debentures are reported at the Holding Company.

10

At and For the Three Months Ended

June 30, 2007

			Sand					Total Registered Investment
(In thousands)	Westfield	DGHM	Hill	BPVI	KLS	RINET	Anchor (1)	Advisers
Income Statement Data:								
Revenue								
Net interest income	\$ 100	\$ 57	\$ 10	\$ 7	\$ 62	\$ 18	\$ 30	\$ 284
Non-interest income	19,069	4,130	1,867	1,797	3,611	2,384	8,771	41,629
Total revenues	\$ 19,169	\$ 4,187	\$ 1,877	\$ 1,804	\$ 3,673	\$ 2,402	\$ 8,801	\$ 41,913
Non-interest expense and minority interest	10,957	20,637	1,535	1,387	2,782	2,077	6,382	45,757
Income taxes	3,435	(6,601)	137	180	408	137	1,024	(1,280)
Segment profit (loss)	\$ 4,777	\$ (9,849)	\$ 205	\$ 237	\$ 483	\$ 188	\$ 1,395	\$ (2,564)
Segment assets	\$ 53,871	\$ 69,950	\$ 17,103	\$ 5,489	\$ 36,014	\$ 5,938	\$ 88,715	\$ 277,080
Amortization of intangibles	\$	\$ 808	\$ 25	\$ 60	\$ 195	\$	\$ 837	\$ 1,925
<u> </u>								ŕ
(In millions)								
Assets under management and advisory	\$ 11,659	\$ 1,806	\$ 1,271	\$ 943	\$ 4,204	\$ 1,347	\$ 7,483	\$ 28,713

		Boston										Total egistered						
(In thousands)]	Private Bank		Borel		FPB	(Gibraltar	Te	otal Banks		vestment Advisers		нс		nter- gment	C	onsolidated Total
Income statement data:		Dank		Dorci		110		Jioi artai		tui Danks	1	iuvisers		пс	БС	ginent		Total
Revenue																		
Net interest income	\$	16,817	\$	11,196	\$	6,937	\$	12,202	\$	47,152	\$	284	\$	(3,257)	\$	59	\$	44,238
Non-interest income		5,472		1,601		807		2,441		10,321		41,629		598		(216)		52,332
Total revenue	\$	22,289	\$	12,797	\$	7,744	\$	14,643	\$	57,473	\$	41,913	\$	(2,659)	\$	(157)	\$	96,570
Provision for loan																		
losses	\$	318	\$	142	\$	123	\$	162	\$	745	\$		\$		\$		\$	745
Non-interest expense																		
and minority interest		14,596		6,654		4,176		12,026		37,452		45,757		6,549		(157)		89,601
Income taxes		1,778		2,312		1,346		1,018		6,454		(1,280)	((3,726)				1,448
Segment profit (loss)	\$	5,597	\$	3,689	\$	2,099	\$	1,437	\$	12,822	\$	(2,564)	\$	(5,482)	\$		\$	4,776
Segment assets	\$ 2	,495,651	\$ 1	,033,818	\$:	555,046	\$	1,546,978	\$:	5,631,493	\$	277,080	\$9	1,579	\$ (60,683)	\$	5,939,469
Amortization of																		
intangibles	\$	4	\$		\$	178	\$	1,311	\$	1,493	\$	1,925	\$	90	\$		\$	3,508
(In millions)																		
Assets under																		
management and																		
advisory	\$	2,600	\$	718	\$	21	\$	959	\$	4,298	\$	28,713	\$		\$	(250)	\$	32,761

At and For the Three Months Ended

June 30, 2006

				Sand									Total egistered vestment
(In thousands)	Westfield	l]	DGHM	Hill	BP	VI	K	LS	RI	NET	An	chor (1)	 dvisers
Income Statement Data:												` ´	
Revenue													
Net interest income	\$ 34	! \$	25	\$ 7	\$	3	\$	40	\$	11	\$	3	\$ 123
Non-interest income	14,020)	7,026	1,681	1,	764	3	,005	2	2,077		2,265	31,838
Total revenues	\$ 14,054	l \$	7,051	\$ 1,688	\$ 1,	767	\$ 3	,045	\$ 2	,088	\$	2,268	\$ 31,961
Non-interest expense and minority interest	8,278	3	5,225	1,501	1,	401	2	,522	1	,856		1,851	22,634
Income taxes	2,416	Ó	832	75		158		239		97		173	3,990
Segment profit	\$ 3,360) \$	994	\$ 112	\$	208	\$	284	\$	135	\$	244	\$ 5,337
Segment assets	\$ 47,549	\$	99,374	\$ 16,609	\$ 5,	423	\$ 35	,382	\$ 5	,462	\$	78,987	\$ 288,786
Amortization of intangibles	\$	\$	885	\$ 25	\$	60	\$	225	\$		\$	286	\$ 1,481
(In millions)													
Assets under management and advisory	\$ 8,890	\$	3,199	\$ 1,120	\$	891	\$ 3	,399	\$ 1	,180	\$	5,430	\$ 24,109

		Boston Private							Total egistered vestment			inter-	C	onsolidated
(In thousands)	_	Private Bank	Borel		FPB	Gibraltar	To	tal Banks	 vestment Advisers	нс		egment	C	Total
Income statement data:												8		
Revenue														
Net interest income	\$	16,059	\$ 10,226	\$	6,675	\$ 13,533	\$	46,493	\$ 123	\$ (3,207)	\$	(7)	\$	43,402
Non-interest income		4,128	1,358		566	2,021		8,073	31,838	397		(171)		40,137
Total revenue	\$	20,187	\$ 11,584	\$	7,241	\$ 15,554	\$	54,566	\$ 31,961	\$ (2,810)	\$	(178)	\$	83,539
Provision for loan losses	\$	838	\$ 207	\$	(1)	\$ 660	\$	1,704	\$ ĺ	\$	\$		\$	1,704
Non-interest expense and														
minority interest		13,176	6,110		3,824	11,014		34,124	22,634	6,195		(178)		62,775
Income taxes		1,538	2,100		1,350	1,572		6,560	3,990	(3,778)				6,772
Segment profit (loss)	\$	4,635	\$ 3,167	\$	2,068	\$ _,	\$	12,178	\$ 5,337	\$ (5,227)	\$		\$	12,288
Segment assets	\$ 2	,206,325	\$ 917,873	\$ 4	462,984	\$ 1,389,588	\$ 4	,976,770	\$ 288,786	\$ 39,314	\$ (25,784)	\$	5,279,086
Amortization of														
intangibles	\$		\$	\$	206	\$ 1,477	\$	1,683	\$ 1,481	\$	\$		\$	3,164
(In millions)														
Assets under management and														
advisory	\$	2,279	\$ 692	\$		\$ 798	\$	3,769	\$ 24,109	\$	\$	(201)	\$	27,677

For the Six Months Ended

June 30, 2007

			Sand					Total Registered Investment
(In thousands)	Westfield	DGHM	Hill	BPVI	KLS	RINET	Anchor (1)	Advisers
Income Statement Data:								
Revenue								
Net interest income	\$ 186	\$ 122	\$ 17	\$ 14	\$ 115	\$ 33	\$ 49	\$ 536
Non-interest income	35,967	8,671	3,728	3,614	6,996	4,510	16,875	80,361
Total revenues	\$ 36,153	\$ 8,793	\$ 3,745	\$ 3,628	\$ 7,111	\$ 4,543	\$ 16,924	\$ 80,897
Non-interest expense and minority interest	20,948	24,577	3,079	2,815	5,452	4,119	12,681	73,671
Income taxes	6,359	(6,172)	268	350	761	178	1,797	3,541
Segment profit (loss)	\$ 8,846	\$ (9,612)	\$ 398	\$ 463	\$ 898	\$ 246	\$ 2,446	\$ 3,685
Amortization of intangibles	\$	\$ 1,615	\$ 51	\$ 119	\$ 391	\$	\$ 1,697	\$ 3,873

	Boston					Total Registered			
(In thousands)	Private Bank	Borel	FPB	Gibraltar	Total Banks	Investment Advisers	нс	Inter- Segment	Consolidated Total
Income statement data:								8	
Revenue									
Net interest income	\$ 32,996	\$ 22,281	\$ 13,742	\$ 24,452	\$ 93,471	\$ 536	\$ (6,503)	\$ 118	\$ 87,622
Non-interest income	10,494	3,245	1,292	4,709	19,740	80,361	1,298	(427)	100,972
Total revenue	\$ 43,490	\$ 25,526	\$ 15,034	\$ 29,161	\$ 113,211	\$ 80,897	\$ (5,205)	\$ (309)	\$ 188,594
Provision for loan losses	\$ 1,031	\$ 142	\$ 331	\$ 417	\$ 1,921	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,921
Non-interest expense and minority									
interest	28,736	13,096	8,712	23,677	74,221	73,671	12,476	(309)	160,059
Income taxes	3,167	4,807	2,354	2,112	12,440	3,541	(7,276)		8,705
Segment profit (loss)	\$ 10,556	\$ 7,481	\$ 3,637	\$ 2,955	\$ 24,629	\$ 3,685	\$ (10,405)	\$	\$ 17,909
Amortization of intangibles	\$ 4	\$	\$ 379	\$ 2,621	\$ 3,004	\$ 3,873	\$ 180	\$	\$ 7,057

For the Six Months Ended

June 30, 2006

					s	Sand									Total egistered vestment
(In thousands)	We	estfield	Γ	OGHM]	Hill	В	PVI	ŀ	KLS	RI	NET	An	chor (1)	dvisers
Income Statement Data:															
Revenue															
Net interest income	\$	55	\$	78	\$	12	\$	8	\$	82	\$	18	\$	3	\$ 256
Non-interest income	2	28,016		14,880	3	3,355		3,436	4	5,884	4	1,017		2,265	61,853
Total revenues	\$ 2	28,071	\$	14,958	\$ 3	3,367	\$:	3,444	\$:	5,966	\$ 4	1,035	\$	2,268	\$ 62,109
Non-interest expense and minority interest	1	16,607		10,492	2	2,955		2,814	4	4,970	3	3,655		1,851	43,344
Income taxes		4,795		2,027		166		276		449		159		173	8,045
Segment profit	\$	6,669	\$	2,439	\$	246	\$	354	\$	547	\$	221	\$	244	\$ 10,720
Amortization of intangibles	\$		\$	1,770	\$	51	\$	119	\$	449	\$		\$	286	\$ 2,675

	Boston Private					Tota Regist Investi	ered	:	Inter-	Consolidated
(In thousands)	Bank	Borel	FPB	Gibraltar	Total Ban	ks Advis	ers I	HC Se	egment	Total
Income statement data:										
Revenue										
Net interest income	\$ 32,119	\$ 20,534	\$ 12,888	\$ 27,493	\$ 93,00	34 \$	256 \$ ((6,315) \$	(5)	\$ 86,970
Non-interest income	8,460	2,641	1,030	3,984	16,1	5 61,	853	816	(366)	78,418
Total revenue	\$ 40,579	\$ 23,175	\$ 13,918	\$ 31,477	\$ 109,14	19 \$ 62,	109 \$ ((5,499) \$	(371)	\$ 165,388
Provision for loan losses	\$ 1,043	\$ 432	\$ 66	\$ 1,326	\$ 2,80	57 \$	\$	\$		\$ 2,867
Non-interest expense and minority										
interest	26,934	11,695	7,442	22,146	68,2	7 43,	344 1	2,098	(371)	123,288
Income taxes	3,182	4,365	2,530	3,254	13,33	81 8,	045 ((7,258)		14,118
Segment profit (loss)	\$ 9,420	\$ 6,683	\$ 3,880	\$ 4,751	\$ 24,7	34 \$ 10 ,	720 \$ (1	0,339) \$		\$ 25,115
Amortization of intangibles	\$	\$	\$ 412	\$ 2,951	\$ 3,30	53 \$ 2,	675 \$	\$		\$ 6,038

⁽¹⁾ Acquired on June 1, 2006.

Boston Private Bank, Borel, FPB and Gibraltar also provide investment advisory and trust services which are included in bank Segment Profit and are not included with the Segment Profit of the Registered Investment Advisers.

(4) Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The following is an analysis of the activity in goodwill for the six months ended June 30, 2007:

(In thousands)	В	alance at			F	Balance at
	De	cember 31,		equisitions	J	une 30,
Goodwill		2006	and	adjustments		2007
Boston Private Bank	\$	2,403	\$		\$	2,403
Sand Hill		13,327				13,327
BPVI		1,189				1,189
DGHM		56,468		(22,974)		33,494
FPB		34,392		(111)		34,281
KLS		22,829				22,829
Gibraltar		154,497		(150)		154,347
Anchor		38,463		(1,158)		37,305
Equity method investments		12,065				12,065
Total	\$	335,633	\$	(24,393)	\$:	311,240

In the second quarter of 2007, the Company recognized an impairment charge of \$17.9 million, pre-tax, at DGHM. DGHM had experienced significant net asset outflows and a loss of key personnel. Annual impairment testing was conducted in the fourth quarter of 2006 with respect to the goodwill attributable to DGHM and the Company concluded that no impairment existed at December 31, 2006. DGHM s assets under management declined during the first quarter in line with results projected in the fourth quarter impairment test; however, DGHM s assets under management continued to decline in the second quarter of 2007, due primarily to several unexpected account resignations. As a result, the Company conducted a test for impairment of goodwill in the second quarter of 2007. In addition, Goodwill was reduced by \$5.1 million at DGHM to reflect lower future estimated contingent consideration payments that were accrued as of the date of acquisition. In the first quarter of 2007, the Company made its 2007 deferred acquisition payment to DGHM pursuant to the terms of the agreement. The payment was approximately \$3.5 million of which 80% was paid in cash and the remaining 20% was paid with the Company s stock.

During the second quarter of 2007, the Goodwill balance of Anchor was reduced by approximately \$1.2 million to reflect the actual deferred contingent stock payment made to Anchor shareholders that was accrued as of December 31, 2006.

For tax purposes, the goodwill relating to Sand Hill, DGHM, KLS and a portion of the goodwill relating to BPVI and FPB, is expected to be deductible.

Intangible assets, net, consisted of the following:

		At Ju	ne 30, 2007	7	At	December 31, 2	006	
(In thousands)								
	Gross Carryin	g Acc	umulated	(Gross Carryin	g Accumulated		
Other Intangibles	Amount	Am	ortization	Net	Amount	Amortization		Net
Advisory contracts	\$ 98,194	\$	22,009	\$ 76,185	\$ 98,194	\$ 17,693	\$	80,501
Core deposit intangibles	47,800		9,925	37,875	47,800	7,497		40,303
Trade names	1,900			1,900	1,900			1,900
Employee agreements and other	3,952		1,084	2,868	3,670	1,043		2,627
Total	\$ 151,846	\$	33,018	\$ 118,828	\$ 151,564	\$ 26,233	\$	125,331

Amortization expense for the quarters ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$3.5 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, an increase of \$344 thousand, or 10.9%. Amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$7.1 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, an increase of \$1.1 million, or 16.9%. The Anchor, BOS, and Coldstream Holdings acquisitions increased amortization by \$1.7 million, and the

reduced amortization at DGHM, KLS, FPB, and Gibraltar, based on the amortization method, decreased amortization by \$600 thousand.

(5) Recent Accounting Developments

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (FAS 157). This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under

15

accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and requires certain disclosures about fair value measurements. FAS 157 provides guidance on how to measure fair value when required under existing accounting standards. The statement establishes a fair value hierarchy of three levels based on the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Required disclosures will focus on the inputs used to measure fair value, fair value measurements, and the effects of the measurements in the financial statements. FAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with earlier application allowed. Management is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this statement on the Company s financial position and results of operations.

In September 2006, the FASB ratified Emerging Issue Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 06-4, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements which requires recognition of a liability for future benefits associated with endorsement split-dollar life insurance arrangements with employees. The consensus is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and should be adopted as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings or through retrospective application to all prior periods. Management is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this consensus on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (FAS 159). FAS 159 permits entities to measure certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value and amended FASB Statement No. 115, *Accounting for Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option is elected will be reported in earnings. FAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. Management is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this statement on the Company s financial position and results of operations.

In March 2007, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 06-10, Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Collateral Assignment Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements. The consensus will require an employer to measure the asset associated with collateral-assignment split-dollar life insurance. The consensus will also require that the employer recognize a liability for a postretirement benefit if the employer has agreed to maintain the policy during the employee s retirement or provide the employee with a death benefit. This consensus is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. Management is currently evaluating the impact of adopting this consensus on the Company s financial position and results of operations.

In March 2007, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 06-11, *Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards*. The consensus will require that the realized income tax benefit arising from the deduction of dividend payments on nonvested equity classified awards be recognized as an increase to additional paid-in capital. These benefits are currently recognized in the income tax provision and lower a company s effective tax rate. The consensus is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2007. Management does not believe the impact of adopting this consensus will have a material impact on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

(6) Income Taxes

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, on January 1, 2007. The Company did not recognize any material adjustments to either its retained earnings or the liability for unrecognized tax benefits as a result of the implementation of Interpretation No. 48. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits follows:

	(In t	thousands)
Balance at January 1, 2007	\$	1,185
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year		162
Balance at June 30, 2007	\$	1.347

The Company expects that during 2007 the additions to the unrecognized tax benefits would be partially offset by a reduction in the unrecognized tax benefits of \$267 thousand. This reduction would be the result of the expiration of the statute of limitations. These tax benefits could affect the effective tax rate in the third quarter of 2007, if recognized. The \$267 thousand reduction in unrecognized tax benefits will have a favorable impact on the Company s effective tax rate.

The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties, if applicable, related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. The Company accrued \$133 thousand and \$106 thousand for the payment of interest and penalties at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

The Company and its subsidiaries file federal income tax returns in the U.S. and file returns in California, Massachusetts, New York, Florida, and several other states. The Company s federal and state tax returns filed for 2002 and earlier are no longer subject to examination by the federal or state jurisdiction.

(7) Subsequent Events

On July 1, 2007, Boston Private completed its acquisition of Charter Financial Corporation (Charter Financial), the holding company of Charter Bank, pursuant to the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger entered into between Boston Private and Charter Financial, dated as of March 3, 2007. Under the terms of the agreement, Charter Bank common shareholders received an aggregate of approximately \$29.4 million in cash (including the value of the stock options of approximately \$1.5 million) and an aggregate of approximately 1.5 million shares of Boston Private common stock. The total transaction value, including the assumed trust preferred debt of \$4.0 million and additional closing costs, will be approximately \$75.1 million.

On July 5, 2007, Boston Private Financial consummated the sale of its 3.00% Contingent Convertible Senior Notes due 2027 in the aggregate principal amount of \$287.5 million (the Notes) to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). This amount reflects the initial purchasers exercise in full of their option to purchase up to an additional \$37.5 million aggregate principal amount of the Notes. The net proceeds from the offering, after deducting the initial purchasers discount and the estimated offering expenses payable by Boston Private, was approximately \$284.3 million.

Simultaneously with the sale of the Notes, Boston Private repurchased shares of its common stock at an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$40 million, and repaid approximately \$30 million outstanding on a line of credit, which financed a portion of the purchase of Charter Financial. The remaining proceeds from the sale of the Notes were used, in part, by the Company s bank affiliates to repay higher rate debt, and to fund future loan and investment growth.

16

On July 31, 2007, Boston Private increased its ownership interest in BOS from 49.7% to approximately 60%. In conjunction with the transaction, BOS s future financial results will be included in the Company s consolidated financial statements. Boston Private has the option to increase its ownership in BOS over the next year up to 70%. BOS is currently accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

17

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

RESULTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2007

The discussions set forth below and elsewhere herein contain certain statements that may be considered forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, including statements regarding our strategy, effectiveness of investment programs, evaluations of future interest rate trends and liquidity, expectations as to growth in assets, deposits and results of operations, success of acquisitions, future operations, market position, financial position, and prospects, plans and objectives of management are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are based on the current assumptions and beliefs of management and are only expectations of future results. Our actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as the result of, among other factors, changes in interest rates, changes in the securities or financial markets, a deterioration in general economic conditions on a national basis or in the local markets in which we operate, including changes which adversely affect borrowers—ability to service and repay our loans, changes in loan defaults and charge-off rates, reduction in deposit levels necessitating increased borrowing to fund loans and investments, the risk that we may not be able to attract and retain investment management and wealth advisory clients at current levels, the risk that difficulties will arise in connection with the integration of the operations of acquired businesses with the operations of our banking or investment management businesses, the risk of impairment of goodwill or asset values, the passing of adverse government regulation, and changes in assumptions used in making such forward looking statements. These forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this report and we do not intend or undertake to update any such forward-looking statement.

Executive Summary

The Company is a wealth management company that offers comprehensive financial services to high net worth individuals, families, businesses, and select institutions through its nine wholly-owned and two majority-owned subsidiaries. The Company offers a full range of wealth management services through three core financial disciplines: private banking, wealth advisory, and investment management. Within the private banking discipline, the operating segments are Boston Private Bank, Borel, FPB and Gibraltar. Within the wealth advisory and investment management disciplines, the operating segments are Westfield, DGHM, Sand Hill, BPVI, KLS, RINET, and Anchor. The Company also owns a minority interest in BOS and Coldstream Holdings. This Executive Summary provides an overview of the most significant aspects of our operating segments and the Company s operations in the second quarter of 2007. Details of the matters addressed in this summary are provided elsewhere in this document and, in particular, in the sections immediately following.

On June 1, 2006, the Company acquired Anchor. The financial results of the acquired entity have had a significant impact on our results of operations for the second quarter of 2007, and should be considered in comparing the Company s results of operations for the second quarter of 2007 to the second quarter of 2006 which include only one month of Anchor s operations. At the time of acquisition, excluding the effects of purchase accounting adjustments, Anchor had \$7.7 million in assets and \$5.4 billion in assets under management. The assets, revenues, expenses, profit and assets under management, as of June 30, 2007, and 2006 are disclosed in Note 3 Business Segments to the Company s financial statements.

At June 30, 2007, Boston Private s consolidated subsidiaries managed or advised approximately \$32.8 billion in client investment assets and had balance sheet assets of approximately \$5.9 billion.

In the second quarter of 2007 the Company conducted a test for impairment relating to the goodwill acquired in its February 2004 acquisition of DGHM. Based on the outcome of the test it was determined that a charge for impairment was required to reduce the goodwill carried at DGHM. The non-cash impairment charge reduced the Company s earnings in the second quarter by \$10.1 million, net of tax and minority interest, or \$0.25 per diluted share.

During the second quarter of 2007, through organic growth, the acquisition of Anchor, and strong equity market conditions, the Company earned revenues of \$96.6 million, an increase of 15.6% over revenues of \$83.5 million for the same period in 2006. Total operating expenses, including the non-cash impairment charge of \$17.9 million, and minority interest, was \$89.6 million for the second quarter of 2007, a 42.7% increase over total operating expenses of \$62.8 million for the same period in 2006. Net income for the second quarter of 2007 was \$4.8 million, or \$0.13 per diluted share, as compared to net income for the second quarter of 2006 of \$12.3 million, or \$0.33 per diluted share.

18

Table of Contents

Other items that impacted the Company s results in the second quarter of 2007 include: the acquisition of Anchor; net interest margin compression driven by the current interest rate environment as well as the Company s strong loan growth which outpaced deposit growth; and increased assets under management.

Anchor had revenues of \$8.8 million in the second quarter of 2007. Total operating expenses and minority interest in the second quarter of 2007 for Anchor was \$6.4 million. Anchor had net income of \$1.4 million in the second quarter of 2007. The change in the Company s statement of operations, excluding the results of operations for Anchor, is discussed in the Company s three and six months ended June 30, 2007 results of operations discussion.

In the second quarter of 2007, the Banks loan growth outpaced deposit growth. Average loans and deposits grew \$114.5 million and \$21.2 million, respectively, from the first quarter of 2007. The shortfall in deposits caused the Banks to fund their loan growth with additional Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings and reduced liquidity. The funding of the new loans with higher priced borrowings, coupled with the competitive pressures on deposit pricing had a negative impact on the Company s net interest margin. Net interest margin, on a fully taxable equivalent basis, decreased 4 basis points from 3.51% in the first quarter of 2007 to 3.47% in the second quarter of 2007. Future net interest margins will be affected by the growth of the Banks interest bearing assets, the related funding, loan quality, deposit mix, and the interest rate yield curve. The Company believes that the primary interest rate risk is a continued flat or inverted yield curve which could have an adverse impact on net interest margin at the Banks.

In the second quarter of 2007, the investment management business continued to benefit from the Company s acquisition of Anchor, in addition to strong equity markets, increased performance fees, and positive organic flows, in spite of the outflows that the Company experienced at DGHM. Assets under management (AUM) from consolidated banks and investment managers increased approximately \$1.6 billion to \$26.2 billion at June 30, 2007, from \$24.6 billion at March 31, 2007. The \$1.6 billion increase in AUM is driven by positive market appreciation slightly offset by negative business outflows.

In the second quarter of 2007, we had negative operating leverage of 0.3%, excluding the current quarter impairment charge, over the same period last year. The Company defines operating leverage as the percent increase in revenue versus the percent increase in operating expenses and minority interest. The negative operating leverage was primarily driven by the compression in the Company s net interest margin while the Company s loans increased by 16.1% and deposits increased 6.6% since June 30, 2006.

The return on average assets decreased 63 basis points to 0.32% for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 compared to 0.95% during the same period in 2006. The decrease is primarily due to the second quarter impairment charge and the Company s net interest margin compression. Average assets increased \$771.7 million, or 15.0%, from \$5.2 billion in the second quarter of 2006 to \$5.9 billion in the second quarter of 2007. The increase in average assets was primarily due to the increase in the Company s loan portfolio.

The return on average equity decreased 565 basis points to 2.97% for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 compared to 8.62% during the same period in 2006. The decrease was primarily due to the decrease in earnings as a result of the second quarter impairment charge and the additional equity issued in connection with the Company s acquisition of Anchor in the second quarter of 2006. Average equity increased \$72.6 million, or 12.7%, from \$570.4 million in the second quarter of 2006 to \$642.9 million in the second quarter of 2007.

The effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2007 was 23.3% and the related income tax expense was \$1.4 million. The effective tax rate for the same period in 2006 was 35.5% and the related income tax expense was \$6.8 million. The decrease in the effective tax rate was due to the non-cash impairment charge. Pretax income for the second quarter was reduced to \$6.2 million after the impairment charge so the \$2.9 million of tax exempt interest income for the second quarter caused almost half of the pretax earnings to be exempt from federal income tax and reduced the effective tax rate. The non-cash impairment charge reduced the effective tax rate and the income tax expense by approximately 13 basis points, and \$7.0 million respectively.

Management continues to focus on identifying attractive acquisition candidates in areas where the Company can build regional platforms from which to serve the targeted client base. The Company will continue to look at acquisition targets with an eye towards further geographic and business line diversification. By diversifying geographically, the Company mitigates the impact of regional economic risks. By diversifying by revenue stream between the three distinct lines of business, the Company expects to achieve more stable revenue and earnings. And lastly, with any acquisition, management will consider the types of assets under management or advisory and the diversification impact on our existing investment management concentrations.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. The Company believes that its most critical accounting policies upon which its financial condition depends and involve the most complex or subjective decisions or assessments are as follows:

Valuation of Goodwill/Intangible Assets and Analysis for Impairment

For acquisitions accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, assets acquired and liabilities assumed are required to be recorded at their fair value. Intangible assets acquired are primarily comprised of investment management advisory contracts and core deposit intangibles. The values of these intangible assets were estimated using valuation techniques based on discounted cash flow analysis. They are amortized over the period the assets are expected to contribute to the cash flows of the Company, which reflect the expected pattern of benefit. These intangible assets are amortized using either an accelerated method or the straight-line method based upon the projected cash flows the Company will receive from the customer relationships during the estimated useful lives of the assets.

These intangible assets are subject to impairment tests in accordance with FASB Statement No. 144 Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets . The carrying value of the investment advisory contracts and core deposit intangibles are reviewed for impairment on an annual basis, or sooner, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be fully recoverable. Assets under management are analyzed to determine if there has been a reduction since acquisition that could indicate possible impairment of the advisory contracts. Deposit levels and interest rate changes are also reviewed for banks with core deposit intangibles to determine if there is potential impairment. Impairment would be recognized if the carrying value exceeded the sum of the undiscounted expected future cash flows from the intangible assets. Impairment would result in a write-down to the estimated fair value based on the anticipated discounted future cash flows.

The Company makes certain estimates and assumptions that affect the determination of the expected future cash flows from the advisory contracts and the core deposit intangibles. These estimates and assumptions include account attrition, market appreciation for assets under management, discount rates and anticipated fee rates, interest rates, projected costs and other factors. Significant changes in these estimates and assumptions could cause a different valuation for the intangible assets. Changes in the original assumptions could change the amount of the intangible recognized and the resulting amortization. Subsequent changes in assumptions could result in recognition of impairment of the intangible assets.

Goodwill is recorded as part of the Company s acquisitions of businesses where the purchase price exceeds the fair market value of the net tangible and identifiable intangible assets. Goodwill is not amortized, but rather is subject to ongoing periodic impairment tests upon the occurrence of significant adverse events such as the loss of key clients or management and at least annually in accordance with FASB Statement No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. See Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2006. Goodwill was reviewed during the fourth quarter of 2006 using discounted cash flow analysis and by reviewing market data for sales of investment management and banking firms. It was determined that the estimated fair value exceeded the carrying value so no impairment was recognized.

The discounted cash flow analysis is based on the projected net cash flows discounted at a rate that reflects both the current return requirements of the market and the risks inherent in the specific entity that is being tested. Significant assumptions used to test goodwill for impairment include estimated discount rates and the timing and amount of projected cash flows. These assumptions are susceptible to change based on changes in economic conditions and other factors. Any change in the estimates which the Company uses to determine the carrying value of the Company s goodwill and identifiable intangible assets, or which otherwise adversely affects their value or estimated lives could adversely affect our results of operations.

In the second quarter of 2007, the Company recognized an impairment charge of \$17.9 million, pre-tax, at DGHM. Annual impairment testing was conducted in the fourth quarter of 2006 with respect to the goodwill attributable to DGHM and the Company concluded that no impairment existed at December 31, 2006. DGHM s assets under management declined during the first quarter in line with results projected in the fourth quarter impairment test; however, DGHM s assets under management continued to decline in the second quarter of 2007, due primarily to several unexpected account resignations. In addition, DGHM lost a key member of their Sales and Marketing team during the second quarter of 2007. As a result, the Company conducted a test for impairment of goodwill in the second quarter of 2007.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through a charge to operations. When management believes that the collection of a loan s principal balance is unlikely, the principal amount is charged against the allowance. Recoveries on loans that have been previously charged-off are credited to the allowance as amounts are received.

The allowance for loan losses is determined using a systematic analysis and procedural discipline based on historical experience, product types, and industry benchmarks. The allowance is segregated into three components: general, specific and unallocated. The general component is determined by applying coverage percentages to groups of loans based on risk. A system of periodic loan reviews is performed to assess the inherent risk and assign risk ratings to each loan individually. Coverage percentages applied are determined based on industry practice and management s judgment. The specific component is established by allocating a portion of the allowance for loan losses to individual classified loans on the basis of specific circumstances and assessments. The unallocated component supplements the first two components based on management s judgment of the effect of current and forecasted economic conditions on borrowers abilities to repay, an evaluation of the allowance for loan losses in relation to the size of the overall loan portfolio, and consideration of the relationship of the allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans, net charge-off trends, and other factors. While this evaluation process utilizes historical and other objective information, the classification of loans and the establishment of the allowance for loan losses rely to a great extent on the judgment and experience of management.

While management evaluates currently available information in establishing the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the evaluations. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review a financial institution s allowance for loan losses. Such agencies may require the financial institution to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company s stock-based compensation plans include stock options, restricted stock and employee stock purchase plans that encourage and enable the officers, employees, non-employee directors and other key persons of the Company to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment* (FAS 123(R)), using the modified retrospective application method.

The fair value of each stock option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model that uses the assumptions noted below. Expected volatility is determined based on historical volatility of the Company s stock, historical volatility of industry peers and other factors. The Company uses historical data to estimate employee option exercise behavior, and post-vesting cancellation for use in determining the expected life assumption. The risk-free rate is determined on the grant date of each award using the yield on a U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issue with a remaining term that approximates the expected term for the award. The dividend yield is based on expectations of future dividends paid by the Company and the market price of the requisite service period of the option. Options issued to retirement eligible employees are expensed on the date of grant. Option expense, related to options granted to employees who will become retirement eligible during the vesting period, are amortized over the period until the employee becomes retirement eligible.

The Company s annual stock option grant took place in the first quarter of 2007 and approximately 700,000 options were issued to employees. Those options were valued at approximately \$9.86 per option, based on an expected term of approximately 6 years, 30% volatility, 4.7% risk free rate and a 1.5% expected dividend yield. Total compensation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2007 from stock options granted in 2007 and previous years as well as the employee stock purchase plan was \$1.5 million and \$3.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Tax estimates

The Company accounts for income taxes by deferring income taxes based on estimated future tax effects of temporary differences between the tax and book basis of assets and liabilities considering enacted tax laws. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included in the Company s consolidated balance sheets. The Company also will assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and establish a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. Management judgment is required in determining the amount and timing of recognition of the resulting deferred tax assets and liabilities, including projections of future taxable income.

Due to the continued historical ability of the Company to generate taxable income, management believes it is more likely than not, that the balance of deferred tax assets at June 30, 2007 is realizable and no valuation allowance is needed. Although the Company has determined that a valuation allowance is not required for deferred tax assets at June 30, 2007, there is no guarantee that these assets will ultimately be realized.

21

Financial Condition

Total Assets. Total assets increased \$175.9 million, or 3.1%, to \$5.9 billion at June 30, 2007 from \$5.8 billion at December 31, 2006. This increase was primarily driven by organic growth in loans which were funded by reduced liquidity, and additional Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings.

Investments. Total investments (consisting of cash and cash equivalents, investment securities, and stock in Federal Home Loan Banks and Banker s Bank) decreased \$105.5 million or 12.9% to \$711.8 million, or 12.0% of total assets, at June 30, 2007, from \$817.3 million, or 14.2% of total assets, at December 31, 2006. The Banks acquire securities for various purposes such as providing a source of income through interest income, or subsequent sale of the securities, liquidity, and to manage interest rate and liquidity risk.

The following table is a summary of investment securities:

Marie 1907 Mar		Amortized	Unr	realized	Market
Available-for-sale securities at fair value: U.S. Government \$16,916 \$1 \$0,00 \$206,807 \$13 \$0,00 \$206,807 \$13 \$0,00 \$206,000 \$16,521 \$16,035 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,355 \$16,000 \$16,000 \$15,362 \$15 \$16,000 \$16,000 \$15,000 \$15,000 \$16,000		Cost			Value
U.S. Government \$16,916 \$1 \$(30) \$16,887 U.S. Agencies 208,591 \$1 \$(90) 207,608 Corporate bonds 16,521 \$(166) 16,355 Municipal bonds 235,225 \$107 \$(2,483) 232,849 Mortgage-backed securities 25,914 \$(731) 25,183 Other \$518,529 \$176 \$(4,628) \$514,077 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Agencies \$1,981 \$(18) \$3,455 U.S. Agencies \$1,981 \$(18) \$1,963 Mortgage-backed securities \$6,931 \$(172) \$6,758 Other \$13,745 \$(250) \$13,495 **Total \$13,745 \$(250) \$13,495 **At December 31, 2006 **Available-for-sale securities at fair value: U.S. Agencies \$18,041 \$3 \$(62) \$17,982 U.S. Agencies \$23,056 \$27,952 \$23,410 Municipal bonds <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
U.S. Agencies 208,591 13 6966 207,608 Corporate bonds 16,521 (166 16,355 Municipal bonds 235,225 107 (2,483) 223,849 Mortgage-backed securities 25,914 (731) 25,183 Other \$518,529 \$176 \$(4,628) \$514,077 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government \$3,246 \$1,981 (18) 1,963 Mortgage-backed securities 6,930 (17) 6,758 Other 6,930 (17) 6,758 Other 1,588 (59) 1,529 Total \$13,745 \$2,500 \$13,495 Other \$1,588 (59) 1,529 Total \$13,745 \$1,580 (59) 1,529 Total \$13,745 \$1,580 (59) 1,549 At December 31, 2006 \$1,580 \$2,500 \$1,498 U.S. Agencies \$18,041 \$3 \$62 \$17,982 </td <td>Available-for-sale securities at fair value:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Available-for-sale securities at fair value:				
Corporate bonds 16,521 (166) 16,352 Municipal bonds 235,225 107 (2,483) 23,848 Mortgage-backed securities 25,914 (731) 25,183 Other 15,362 55 (222) 15,195 Total \$518,529 \$176 \$(4,628) \$514,077 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: Total \$3,245 \$ (1) \$3,245 U.S. Government \$1,981 (18) 1,963 Mortgage-backed securities 6,930 (172) 6,758 Other \$13,745 \$ (250) \$13,952 Total \$13,745 \$ (250) \$13,952 At December 31, 2006 \$ (30) (172) 6,758 Other \$18,041 \$ (250) \$13,952 At December 31, 2006 \$ (250) \$13,952 \$ (250) \$13,952 At December 31, 2006 \$ (250) \$ (250) \$ (250) \$ (250) \$ (250) \$ (250) \$ (250) \$ (250) \$ (250) \$ (250)					. ,
Municipal bonds 235,225 107 (2,483) 232,849 Mortgage-backed securities 25,914 (731) 25,183 Other 15,362 55 (222) 15,195 Total \$518,529 \$176 \$(4,628) \$514,077 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: "Total "Total \$3,246 \$ (1) \$3,245 U.S. Agencies 1,981 (18) 1,963 Mortgage-backed securities 6,930 (172) 6,758 Other 1,588 (59) 1,529 Total \$13,745 \$ (250) \$ 13,495 At December 31, 2006 "Total \$ 18,041 \$ (250) \$ 13,495 At December 31, 2006 "Total \$ 18,041 \$ (250) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies 182,033 22 (1,253) 180,802 Corporate bonds 23,686 (276) 23,410 Municipal bonds 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33			13	(996)	
Mortgage-backed securities 25,914 (731) 25,183 Other 15,362 55 (222) 15,195 Total \$518,529 \$176 \$(4,628) \$514,077 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: \$3,246 \$ (1) \$3,245 U.S. Agencies 1,981 (18) 1,963 Mortgage-backed securities 6,930 (172) 6,758 Other 1,588 (59) 1,529 Total \$13,745 \$ (250) \$13,495 At December 31, 2006 Available-for-sale securities at fair value: \$ 18,041 \$ 3 (62) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies \$ 182,033 \$ 22 (1,253) 180,982 Corporate bonds \$ 23,686 (276) \$ 23,410 Municipal bonds \$ 23,068 588 (1,588) 231,108 Mortgage-backed securities \$ 28,515 11 (72) 27,856 Other \$ 24,847 33 (139) \$ 42,741 Total <t< td=""><td>Corporate bonds</td><td>16,521</td><td></td><td>\ /</td><td></td></t<>	Corporate bonds	16,521		\ /	
Other 15,362 55 (222) 15,195 Total \$518,529 \$176 \$(4,628) \$514,077 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government \$3,246 \$ (1) \$3,245 U.S. Agencies 1,981 (18) 1,963 Mortgage-backed securities 6,930 (172) 6,758 Other 1,588 (59) 1,529 Total \$13,745 \$ (250) \$13,495 AV December 31, 2006 \$ 13,745 \$ (250) \$13,495 Available-for-sale securities at fair value: \$ 18,041 \$ 3 \$ (62) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies 182,033 22 (1,253) 180,802 Corporate bonds 23,686 276 23,410 Municipal bonds 232,068 598 (1,558) 231,08 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 (139) 42,741 Total \$527,190 \$667 \$		235,225	107		
Total \$518,529 \$176 \$(4,628) \$514,077 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government \$3,246 \$ (1) \$3,245 U.S. Agencies 1,981 (18) 1,963 Mortgage-backed securities 6,930 (172) 6,758 Other 1,588 (59) 1,529 Total \$13,745 \$ (250) \$13,495 At December 31, 2006 Available-for-sale securities at fair value: U.S. Government \$ 18,041 \$ 3 \$ (25) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies \$ 18,041 \$ 3 \$ (62) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies \$ 18,041 \$ 3 \$ (62) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies \$ 23,468 (276) \$ 23,410 Mortgage-backed securities 232,686 (276) \$ 23,410 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other \$ 2,745 \$ (4,009) \$ 523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost:	Mortgage-backed securities	25,914		(731)	25,183
Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government	Other	15,362	55	(222)	15,195
U.S. Government \$ 3,246 \$ (1) \$ 3,245 U.S. Agencies 1,981 (18) 1,963 Mortgage-backed securities 6,930 (172) 6,758 Other 1,588 (59) 1,529 Total \$ 13,745 \$ (250) \$ 13,495 At December 31, 2006 Available-for-sale securities at fair value: U.S. Government \$ 18,041 \$ 3 (62) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies 182,033 22 (1,253) 180,802 Corporate bonds 23,686 (276) 23,410 Montgage-backed securities 23,686 (276) 23,410 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 (139) 42,741 Total \$ 527,190 \$ 667 \$ (4,009) \$ 523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: 1 2,745 \$ (6) \$ 2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559 <td>Total</td> <td>\$ 518,529</td> <td>\$ 176</td> <td>\$ (4,628)</td> <td>\$ 514,077</td>	Total	\$ 518,529	\$ 176	\$ (4,628)	\$ 514,077
U.S. Government \$ 3,246 \$ (1) \$ 3,245 U.S. Agencies 1,981 (18) 1,963 Mortgage-backed securities 6,930 (172) 6,758 Other 1,588 (59) 1,529 Total \$ 13,745 \$ (250) \$ 13,495 At December 31, 2006 Available-for-sale securities at fair value: U.S. Government \$ 18,041 \$ 3 (62) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies 182,033 22 (1,253) 180,802 Corporate bonds 23,686 (276) 23,410 Mortgage-backed securities 232,068 598 (1,558) 231,108 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 (139) 42,741 Total \$527,190 \$667 \$ (4,009) \$523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: 1 2,745 \$ (6) \$ 2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) <td>Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost:				
Mortgage-backed securities 6,930 (172) 6,758 Other 1,588 (59) 1,529 Total \$13,745 \$ (250) \$13,495 At December 31, 2006 Available-for-sale securities at fair value: U.S. Government \$18,041 \$ 3 \$ (62) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies 182,033 22 (1,253) 180,802 Corporate bonds 23,066 (276) 23,410 Municipal bonds 232,068 598 (1,558) 231,108 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 (139) 42,741 Total \$527,190 \$667 \$ (4,009) \$523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: \$2,745 \$ (6) \$2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559		\$ 3,246	\$	\$ (1)	\$ 3,245
Other 1,588 (59) 1,529 Total \$13,745 \$ (250) \$13,495 At December 31, 2006 Available-for-sale securities at fair value: U.S. Government \$18,041 \$ 3 \$ (62) \$17,982 U.S. Agencies 182,033 22 \$1,253 180,802 Corporate bonds 23,686 \$276 23,410 Municipal bonds 232,068 598 \$1,558 231,108 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 \$11 \$721 27,805 Other 42,847 33 \$(139) 42,741 Total \$527,190 \$667 \$(4,009) \$523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: \$2,745 \$ (6) \$2,739 U.S. Government \$2,745 \$ (6) \$2,739 U.S. Agencies \$1,970 \$23 \$1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 \$(101) 7,559	U.S. Agencies	1,981		(18)	1,963
Total \$ 13,745 \$ (250) \$ 13,495 At December 31, 2006 Available-for-sale securities at fair value: U.S. Government \$ 18,041 \$ 3 \$ (62) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies 182,033 22 (1,253) 180,802 Corporate bonds 23,686 (276) 23,410 Municipal bonds 232,068 598 (1,558) 231,108 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 (139) 42,741 Total \$527,190 \$667 \$(4,009) \$523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government \$2,745 \$ (6) \$2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	Mortgage-backed securities	6,930		(172)	6,758
At December 31, 2006 Available-for-sale securities at fair value: U.S. Government \$ 18,041 \$ 3 \$ (62) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies 182,033 22 \$ (1,253) 180,802 Corporate bonds 23,686 \$ (276) 23,410 Municipal bonds 232,068 598 \$ (1,558) 231,108 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 \$ (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 \$ (139) 42,741 Total \$ 527,190 \$ 667 \$ (4,009) \$ 523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: \$ 2,745 \$ (6) \$ 2,739 U.S. Government \$ 2,745 \$ (6) \$ 2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	Other	1,588		(59)	1,529
Available-for-sale securities at fair value: U.S. Government \$ 18,041 \$ 3 \$ (62) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies 182,033 22 (1,253) 180,802 Corporate bonds 23,686 (276) 23,410 Municipal bonds 232,068 598 (1,558) 231,108 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 (139) 42,741 Total \$527,190 \$ 667 \$ (4,009) \$ 523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government \$ 2,745 \$ (6) \$ 2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	Total	\$ 13,745	\$	\$ (250)	\$ 13,495
U.S. Government \$ 18,041 \$ 3 \$ (62) \$ 17,982 U.S. Agencies 182,033 22 \$ (1,253) \$ 180,802 Corporate bonds 23,686 \$ (276) 23,410 Municipal bonds 232,068 598 \$ (1,558) 231,108 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 \$ (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 \$ (139) 42,741 Total \$ 527,190 \$ 667 \$ (4,009) \$ 523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government \$ 2,745 \$ (6) \$ 2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	At December 31, 2006				
U.S. Agencies 182,033 22 (1,253) 180,802 Corporate bonds 23,686 (276) 23,410 Municipal bonds 232,068 598 (1,558) 231,108 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 (139) 42,741 Total \$527,190 \$667 \$(4,009) \$523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government \$2,745 \$ (6) \$2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	Available-for-sale securities at fair value:				
Corporate bonds 23,686 (276) 23,410 Municipal bonds 232,068 598 (1,558) 231,108 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 (139) 42,741 Total \$527,190 \$667 \$(4,009) \$523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government \$2,745 \$ (6) \$2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	U.S. Government	\$ 18,041	\$ 3	\$ (62)	\$ 17,982
Municipal bonds 232,068 598 (1,558) 231,108 Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 (139) 42,741 Total \$527,190 \$667 \$(4,009) \$523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government \$2,745 \$ (6) \$2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	U.S. Agencies	182,033	22	(1,253)	180,802
Mortgage-backed securities 28,515 11 (721) 27,805 Other 42,847 33 (139) 42,741 Total \$527,190 \$667 \$(4,009) \$523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government \$2,745 \$ (6) \$2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	Corporate bonds	23,686		(276)	23,410
Other 42,847 33 (139) 42,741 Total \$527,190 \$667 \$(4,009) \$523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: \$2,745 \$ (6) \$2,739 U.S. Government \$2,745 \$ (6) \$2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	Municipal bonds	232,068	598	(1,558)	231,108
Total \$ 527,190 \$ 667 \$ (4,009) \$ 523,848 Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: \$ 2,745 \$ (6) \$ 2,739 U.S. Government \$ 2,745 \$ (6) \$ 2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	Mortgage-backed securities	28,515	11	(721)	27,805
Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost: U.S. Government \$ 2,745 \$ \$ (6) \$ 2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	Other	42,847	33	(139)	42,741
U.S. Government \$ 2,745 \$ \$ (6) \$ 2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	Total	\$ 527,190	\$ 667	\$ (4,009)	\$ 523,848
U.S. Government \$ 2,745 \$ \$ (6) \$ 2,739 U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	Held-to-maturity securities at amortized cost:				
U.S. Agencies 1,970 (23) 1,947 Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	•	\$ 2,745	\$	\$ (6)	\$ 2,739
Mortgage-backed securities 7,660 (101) 7,559	U.S. Agencies	1,970			
		7,660		(101)	7,559
		1,584		(10)	1,574

Total \$ 13,959 \$ \$ (140) \$ 13,819

Loans held for sale. Loans held for sale increased \$3.4 million, or 64.7%, to \$8.6 million at June 30, 2007 from \$5.2 million at December 31, 2006. This increase was primarily the result of the timing of loan sales and the type of residential loans originated at the Banks. The Banks generally sell their fixed rate residential loan originations and hold all variable rate loans to mitigate interest rate risk.

22

Table of Contents

Loans. Total portfolio loans increased \$274.9 million, or 6.4%, to \$4.6 billion, or 77.2% of total assets, at June 30, 2007, from \$4.3 billion, or 74.8% of total assets, at December 31, 2006. This increase was primarily driven by organic growth of commercial (including construction) and residential loans which increased \$205.3 million, or 8.2%, and \$56.6 million, or 3.7%, respectively.

Risk Elements. The Company s non-performing assets include non-accrual loans, other real estate owned (OREO), and repossessed assets. Non-performing assets increased \$6.0 million, or 57.3% to \$16.6 million or 0.28% of total assets, at June 30, 2007, from \$10.5 million, or 0.18% of total assets, at December 31, 2006. The increase in non-performing assets was driven by a \$4.0 million increase in non-performing loans, a \$2.1 million increase in OREO property, partially offset by a \$75 thousand write-down of a repossessed asset. Included in non-performing assets is an impaired loan of \$6.4 million.

At June 30, 2007, loans with an aggregate balance of \$12.9 million, or 0.28% of total loans, were 30-89 days past due, a decrease of \$532 thousand, or 4.0%, as compared to \$13.4 million at December 31, 2006. The Company believes most of these loans are adequately secured and the payment performance of these borrowers varies from month to month.

Non-performing assets and delinquent loans are impacted by factors such as the economic conditions in our Banks locations, interest rates, and seasonality. These factors are generally not within the Company s control.

We discontinue the accrual of interest on a loan when the collectibility of principal or interest is in doubt. In certain instances, loans that have become 90 days past due may remain on accrual status if the Company believes that full principal and interest due on the loan is collectible.

The Banks management adversely classifies certain loans using an internal rating system based on criteria established by federal bank regulatory authorities. These loans evidence weakness or potential weakness related to repayment history, the borrower s financial condition, or other factors. Delinquent loans may or may not be adversely classified depending upon management s judgment with respect to each individual loan. At June 30, 2007, the Company had classified \$39.2 million of loans as substandard, loss, special mention or doubtful based on the rating system adopted by the Company, compared to \$35.1 million at December 31, 2006, an increase of 11.9%.

Allowance for Credit Losses. The allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments when combined are referred to as the allowance for credit losses. The allowance for loan losses is reported as a reduction of outstanding loan balances and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments is included within other liabilities. At June 30, 2007, the allowance for credit losses totaled \$52.0 million and was comprised of the allowance for loan losses of \$45.8 million and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments of \$6.2 million. The allowance for credit losses increased \$3.0 million, or 6.2%, from December 31, 2006. This increase reflects growth in the loan portfolio and increased unfunded loan commitments. An analysis of the risk in the loan portfolio as well as management judgment is used to determine the estimated appropriate amount of the allowance for credit losses.

23

The following table is an analysis of the Company s allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

		At and f	or th	e		At and	for th	e
		Three M	Ionth	s		Six Mo	onths	
		Ended Ju	ine 3	/		Ended J	une 3	*
		2007		2006 (In thou	sands	2007 s)		2006
Ending gross loans	\$4	,586,161	\$3	,950,944	\$4	,586,161	\$ 3	,950,944
Allowance for loan losses, beginning of period		44,555		38,243		43,387		37,607
Provision for loan losses		745		1,704		1,921		2,867
Charge-offs		(140)		(67)		(150)		(626)
Recoveries		665		55		667		87
Allowance for loan losses, end of period	\$	45,825	\$	39,935	\$	45,825	\$	39,935
Reserve for unfunded loan commitments, beginning of period	\$	5,748	\$	5,268	\$	5,585	\$	4,747
Provision for unfunded loan commitments (1)		422		180		585		701
Reserve for unfunded loan commitments, end of period	\$	6,170	\$	5,448	\$	6,170	\$	5,448
Allowance for credit losses, end of period	\$	51,995	\$	45,383	\$	51,995	\$	45,383
Allowance for loan losses to ending gross loans		1.00%		1.01%		1.00%		1.01%
Allowance for credit losses to ending gross loans		1.13%		1.15%		1.13%		1. 15%

⁽¹⁾ Expenses related to off-balance sheet credit risk are included in other expenses.

While management evaluates currently available information in establishing the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the evaluations. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review a financial institution—s allowance for loan losses and carrying amounts of OREO. Such agencies may require the financial institution to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination.

Stock in Federal Home Loan Banks and Bankers Bank. Stock in Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLB) and Bankers Bank increased \$8.2 million, or 20.5%, to \$48.3 million at June 30, 2007 from \$40.1 million at December 31, 2006. The increase was driven by the increased level of advances in FHLB Borrowings to fund portions of the Banks loan growth. As members of the FHLB, the Banks are required to invest in FHLB stock based on a percentage of outstanding advances. The minimum requirements vary depending on the FHLB membership.

Goodwill. Goodwill decreased \$24.4 million, or 7.3% to \$311.2 million at June 30, 2007 from \$335.6 million at December 31, 2006. The decrease was primarily driven by the decrease in the goodwill value related to the February 2004 purchase of DGHM. The Company performed their annual impairment testing in the fourth quarter of 2006 with respect to the goodwill attributable to DGHM and concluded that no impairment existed at December 31, 2006. DGHM s asset under management declined in the first quarter in line with results projected in the fourth quarter s impairment test; however, DGHM s assets under management continued to decline during the second quarter of 2007, due primarily to several unexpected account resignations. As a result, the Company conducted a test for impairment in the second quarter of 2007 and concluded that a charge for impairment was required. The \$17.9 million non-cash goodwill impairment charge was accounted for in the second quarter of 2007 reducing the goodwill carried at DGHM. In addition to reducing the goodwill value at DGHM the Company also reduced the contingent liability due to DGHM by \$5.1 million to \$3.8 million to reflect the lower estimated contingent consideration payment that was accrued as of the date of acquisition.

Intangible Assets. Intangible assets decreased \$6.5 million, or 5.2% to \$118.8 million at June 30, 2007 from \$125.3 million at December 31, 2006. The decrease is due to the amortization recorded in the first six months of 2007, offset by additional intangible assets recorded.

24

Other Assets. Other assets increased \$6.5 million, or 5.2%, to \$131.9 million at June 30, 2007, from \$125.4 million at December 31, 2006. The increase is primarily due to a change in the balance of current and deferred tax assets offset by a decrease in the Company s Rabbi Trust investment. The Company established and funded a Rabbi Trust in 2004 to offset the Company s deferred compensation liability. The Rabbi Trust holds similar assets as the deferred compensation plan and approximately mirrors the activity in the hypothetical mutual funds of the deferred compensation plan. Increases and decreases in the value of the mutual funds in the Rabbi Trust are recognized in other income and are included in other assets.

Deposits. The Company experienced a decrease in total deposits of \$175.4 million, or 4.3%, to \$3.9 billion, or 65.7% of total assets, at June 30, 2007, from \$4.1 billion, or 70.8% of total assets, at December 31, 2006. Although deposits decreased in the second quarter of 2007, the decrease occurred mostly at the end of the quarter. The Company s new private banking offices have continued to grow although strong competition throughout the banking industry has slowed the ability of our Banks to grow deposits. The following table shows the composition of our deposits at June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006:

	June 30,	2007	December	31, 2006
		As a % of		As a % of
	Balance	Total	Balance	Total
		(In thou	sands)	
Demand deposits (non-interest bearing)	\$ 704,799	18.1%	\$ 794,371	19.5%
NOW	408,584	10.5	368,238	9.0
Savings	174,629	4.5	163,310	4.0
Money market	1,685,467	43.2	1,892,164	46.4
Certificates of deposit under \$100,000	158,570	4.1	143,106	3.5
Certificates of deposit \$100,000 or greater	770,383	19.6	716,642	17.6
Total	\$ 3,902,432	100.0%	\$ 4,077,831	100.0%

Borrowings. Total borrowings (consisting of FHLB borrowings, federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements) and other, and junior subordinated debentures) increased \$342.0 million, or 37.4%, to \$1.3 billion at June 30, 2007 from \$914.5 million at December 31, 2006. FHLB Borrowings increased \$206.9 million, or 34.3%. To better manage interest rate risk, Boston Private Bank utilizes FHLB fixed rate borrowings to fund a portion of its loans. Due to the loan growth outpacing the deposit growth during the second quarter of 2007, Boston Private Bank, Borel and Gibraltar used additional FHLB borrowings to fund a portion of loan demand. Repurchase agreements and other increased \$99.0 million, or 127.6%. \$30.0 million, or 30.0% of the increase is attributable to the Company s draw down on its line of credit from an unaffiliated bank. At June 30, 2007 the Company had an outstanding line of credit balance of \$30.0 million and an unused portion of \$45.0 million. The remaining increase of \$69.0 million, or 70% of the total was driven by repurchase agreements. Repurchase agreements are generally used for commercial accounts with an overnight sweep feature.

Other liabilities. Other liabilities decreased \$20.9 million, or 16.7%, to \$104.1 million at June 30, 2007 from \$125.0 million at December 31, 2006. The decrease is primarily due to payments on the 2006 accrued compensation and a reduction in the Company s deferred acquisition obligation to Anchor and DGHM as a result of a payment in the first and second quarter of 2007, respectively, pursuant to the terms of the acquisition agreements for these transactions. In addition, the Company reduced it s contingent liability due to DGHM to reflect lower estimated contingent payments that were previously accrued for as of the date of acquisition.

Liquidity. Liquidity is defined as the ability to meet current and future financial obligations of a short-term nature. The Company further defines liquidity as the ability to respond to the needs of depositors and borrowers as well as to earnings enhancement opportunities in a changing marketplace. Primary sources of liquidity consist of investment management fees, wealth advisory fees, deposit inflows, loan repayments, borrowed funds, and cash flows from investment securities. These sources fund our lending and investment activities.

Management is responsible for establishing and monitoring liquidity targets as well as strategies to meet these targets. In general, the Company believes that it maintains a relatively high degree of liquidity. At June 30, 2007, liquid assets consisting of cash and cash equivalents and investment securities available-for-sale amounted to \$649.8 million, or 10.9% of total assets of the Company. This compares to \$763.2 million, or 13.2% of total assets, at December 31, 2006.

Liquidity of the Company on an unconsolidated basis (which the Company refers to as the Holding Company) should also be considered separately from the consolidated liquidity since there are restrictions on the ability of the banking affiliates to distribute funds to the Holding Company. The Holding Company s primary sources of funds are dividends and distributions from its subsidiaries, proceeds from the issuance of

its common stock, a \$75.0 million committed line of credit

25

with an unaffiliated bank, and access to the money and capital markets. The purpose of the line of credit is to provide short-term working capital to the Holding Company and its subsidiaries, if necessary. The Company is required to maintain various loan covenants in conjunction with the revolving credit agreement. As of June 30, 2007 the Company was in compliance with these covenants and there was an outstanding borrowing of \$30.0 million under this line of credit. In the short-term, management anticipates the cost of borrowing under the line of credit to be lower than the cost of accessing the capital markets to issue additional common stock. However, it may be necessary to raise capital to meet regulatory requirements even though it would be less expensive to borrow the cash needed.

At June 30, 2007, the estimated remaining cash outlay related to the Company s deferred acquisition obligations was approximately \$3.0 million. The timing of these payments varies depending on the specific terms of each business acquisition agreement. Variability exists in these estimated cash flows because certain payments may be based on amounts yet to be determined, such as earn out agreements that may be based on adjusted earnings, revenues or selected AUM. These contingent deferred purchase payments are typically spread out over three to five years. Additionally, the Company, along with DGHM, KLS and Anchor, has put and call options that would require the Company to purchase (and DGHM, KLS and Anchor shareholders to sell) the remaining minority ownership interests in these three companies at the then fair market value. Future payments under these put and call options can not be estimated due to the unpredictability of exercise of those rights and fair market values at future dates.

Upon the acquisition of Anchor, the Company sold profits interests (*i.e.*, LLC points) to certain existing Anchor employees at fair value. Generally, each profits interest holder has the right to put his or her LLC points to the Company and the Company has an obligation to purchase the LLC points at the then fair market value if the points interest holder s employment with the Company is terminated for certain reasons. The Company has the right to call the LLC points of a profits interest holder whose employment is terminated for any reason. Under certain circumstances, but not limited to termination for cause or resignation without the required notice, the exercise price of the put or call is equal to 50% of the then fair value of the LLC points. The profits interest holders can also choose to sell their LLC points to other profits interest holders at the then fair value, subject to certain restrictions.

The Company is required to pay interest quarterly on its trust preferred debt. The estimated cash outlay for the interest payments in 2007 is approximately \$13.2 million. The Company presently plans to pay cash dividends on its common stock on a quarterly basis. Based on the current dividend rate, the Company estimates the amount to be paid out in 2007 for dividends to shareholders will be approximately \$13.4 million.

At June 30, 2007 the Company had \$28.5 million in restricted cash. The restricted cash was held on deposit in escrow with a transfer agent which was used for the acquisition of Charter Bank. The transfer agent paid the Charter shareholders, in accordance with the Charter Bank acquisition agreement, in early July, 2007.

The Company believes that the Holding Company has adequate liquidity to meet its commitments for the foreseeable future. Liquidity at the Holding Company is dependent upon the liquidity of its subsidiaries. The Company believes that the subsidiaries are well capitalized, and the Banks also have access to borrowings from the Federal Reserve Bank and other sources as more fully described in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Capital Resources

The Company s stockholders equity at June 30, 2007 was \$663.7 million, or 11.2% of total assets, compared to \$635.2 million, or 11.0% of total assets at December 31, 2006. The increase was primarily the result of the Company s current year earnings, equity issued in the Anchor acquisition, proceeds from options exercised, including tax benefits, if any, and common stock issued in connection with stock compensation, deferred acquisition payments, and the change in accumulated other comprehensive income. These increases were partially offset by dividends paid to stockholders.

As a bank holding company, the Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by federal agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can result in certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a material effect on the Company s financial statements. For example, under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Banks, which are wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, must each meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of each of the Bank s assets and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting standards. The Bank s respective capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors. Similarly, the Company is also subject to capital requirements administered by the Federal Reserve with respect to certain non-banking activities, including adjustments in connection with off-balance sheet items.

The following table presents actual capital amounts and regulatory capital requirements as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006:

	Actua Amount	al Ratio	For Capital Adequacy Purposes Amount Ratio (In thousands)		To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions Amount Ratio	
As of June 30, 2007:						
Total risk-based capital						
Company	\$ 552,216	12.98%	\$ 340,223	>8.0%	\$ 425,279	>10.0%
Boston Private Bank	190,417	11.28%	135,099	8.0	168,874	10.0
Borel	111,236	11.21%	79,376	8.0	99,220	10.0
FPB	55,066	11.46%	38,424	8.0	48,030	10.0
Gibraltar	111,904	11.00%	81,407	8.0	101,759	10.0
Tier I risk-based						
Company	496,975	11.69%	170,112	4.0	255,167	6.0
Boston Private Bank	169,283	10.02%	67,550	4.0	101,325	6.0
Borel	100,291	10.11%	39,688	4.0	59,532	6.0
FPB	49,061	10.21%	19,212	4.0	28,818	6.0
Gibraltar	100,110	9.84%	40,704	4.0	61,055	6.0
Tier I leverage capital						
Company	496,975	8.98%	221,374	4.0	276,717	5.0
Boston Private Bank	169,283	6.73%	100,566	4.0	125,708	5.0
Borel	100,291	9.73%	41,246	4.0	51,558	5.0
FPB	49,061	9.31%	21,068	4.0	26,335	5.0
Gibraltar	100,110	7.41%	54,063	4.0	67,579	5.0
As of December 31, 2006:						
Total risk-based capital						
Company	\$ 491,325	12.24%	\$ 321,011	>8.0%	\$ 401,264	>10.0%
Boston Private Bank	182,681	11.40	128,223	8.0	160,279	10.0
Borel	102,142	10.89	75,055	8.0	93,818	10.0
FPB	53,691	11.90	36,109	8.0	45,136	10.0
Gibraltar	107,544	11.06	77,791	8.0	97,239	10.0
Tier I risk-based						
Company	429,464	10.70	160,506	4.0	240,759	6.0
Boston Private Bank	162,625	10.15	64,111	4.0	96,167	6.0
Borel	91,423	9.74	37,527	4.0	56,291	6.0
FPB	48,498	10.74	18,054	4.0	27,082	6.0
Gibraltar	96,215	9.89	38,896	4.0	58,343	6.0
Tier I leverage capital						
Company	429,464	8.22	209,035	4.0	261,293	5.0
Boston Private Bank	162,625	6.78	95,879	4.0	119,849	5.0
Borel	91,423	9.60	38,098	4.0	47,622	5.0
FPB	48,498	9.94	19,525	4.0	24,406	5.0
Gibraltar	96,215	7.51	51,241	4.0	64,051	5.0

Table of Contents 40

27

Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 versus June 30, 2006

Net Income. The Company recorded net income of \$4.8 million, or \$0.13 per diluted share, for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 compared to net income of \$12.3 million, or \$0.33 per diluted share, for the quarter ended June 30, 2006. The non-cash impairment charge reduced the Company s earnings in the second quarter by \$10.1 million, net of tax, or \$0.25 per diluted share.

The following table sets forth the change in the Company s statement of operations excluding the results of operations for Anchor for the two month ending May 31, 2007.

		Change from						
			J	une 30,	Anchor		Change	
			2006	to June 30,	June 30, Acquisition		Excluding	
	Three Mon			2007	T	20	A1	
(In thousands)	June 2007	e 30, 2006	2007 \$		June 30, 2007(1)		Anchor \$	
Interest and dividend income	\$ 87,145	\$72,276	\$	14,869	\$	17	\$ 14,852	
Interest expense	42,907	28,874		14,033			14,033	
Net interest income	44,238	43,402		836		17	819	
Provision for loan losses	745	1,704		(959)			(959)	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	43,493	41,698		1,795		17	1,778	
Fees and other income	52,332	40,137		12,195		5,918	6,277	
Operating expense	89,495	62,030		27,465		3,739	23,726	
Minority interest	106	745		(639)		437	(1,076)	
Income before income taxes	6,224	19,060		(12,836)		1,759	(14,595)	
Income tax expense	1,448	6,772		(5,324)		745	(6,069)	
Net income	\$ 4,776	\$ 12,288	\$	(7,512)	\$	1,014	\$ (8,526)	

⁽¹⁾ Anchor was acquired on June 1, 2006, for same affiliate partner comparison the Company excludes the April and May 2007 earnings so that three months ended June 30,2007 earnings only includes one month of Anchor earnings.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income represents the difference between interest earned, primarily on loans and investments, and interest paid on funding sources, primarily deposits and borrowings. Interest rate spread is the difference of the average rate earned on total interest earning assets and the average rate paid on total interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin is the amount of net interest income, on a fully taxable-equivalent (FTE) basis, expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. The average rate earned on earning assets is the amount of annualized taxable equivalent interest income expressed as a percentage of average earnings assets. The average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities is equal to annualized interest expense as a percentage of average interest-bearing liabilities. For the second quarter of 2007, net interest income was \$44.2 million, an increase of \$836 thousand, or 1.9%, over the same period of 2006. The \$836 thousand increase in net interest income is the net result of a \$5.3 million increase from business volumes (change in average balance multiplied by the prior year average rate) and a \$4.5 million decrease from rate changes (change in average interest rate multiplied by the prior year average balance). The Company s net interest margin was 3.47% for the second quarter of 2007, a decrease of 45 basis points compared to the same period of 2006 and a decrease of 4 basis points on a linked quarter basis. The decrease in the second quarter, compared to the same period last year, is primarily attributable to a 74 basis point increase in the cost of funds partially offset by an increased yield on earning assets.

28

The following table sets forth the composition of the Company s net interest margin on a FTE basis for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006.

	Three Months Ended			Three Months Ended			
	June 30, 2007 Interest Average Average			Ju Average	Average		
	Balance	Earned/ Paid(1)	Rate (In thou	Balance sands)	Earned/ Paid(1)	Rate	
Earning assets:							
Taxable investment securities	\$ 269,464	\$ 3,159	4.69%	\$ 271,597	\$ 2,699	3.97%	
Non-taxable investment securities	231,179	2,954	5.11%	225,533	2,401	4.26%	
Mortgage-backed securities	34,539	356	4.10%	41,318	421	4.05%	
Federal funds sold and other	199,864	2,670	5.30%	135,560	1,371	4.01%	
Loans(2)							
Commercial and construction	2,628,288	50,928	7.68%	2,143,288	41,278	7.65%	
Residential mortgage	1,604,611	23,358	5.82%	1,456,485	20,378	5.60%	
Home equity and other consumer	276,672	5,442	7.83%	259,738	5,093	7.61%	
Total loans	4,509,571	79,728	7.03%	3,859,511	66,749	6.88%	
Total earning assets	5,244,617	88,867	6.74%	4,533,519	73,641	6.46%	
Interest-bearing liabilities:							
Deposits	\$ 3,357,940	\$ 29,773	3.56%	\$ 2,881,822	\$ 19,562	2.72%	
Borrowed funds	1,097,778	13,134	4.73%	824,349	9,312	4.47%	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	4,455,718	42,907	3.85%	3,706,171	28,874	3.11%	
Net interest income		\$ 45,960			\$ 44,767		
Interest rate spread			2.89%			3.35%	
Net interest margin			3.47%			3.92%	

⁽¹⁾ Interest income on non-taxable investments and loans is presented on a FTE basis using the federal statutory rate. These adjustments were \$1.7 million and \$1.4 million for 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Interest Income. Interest and dividend income increased \$14.9 million, or 20.6%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of increases in interest income on loans and investments.

Interest income on commercial loans increased \$9.5 million, or 23.3%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of a \$485.0 million, or 22.6%, increase in average balances and a 3 basis point, or 0.4%, increase in the average yield. The increase in the average balance of commercial loans was due to organic growth of the loan portfolios at the Banks.

Interest income on residential mortgage loans increased \$3.0 million, or 14.6%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of a \$148.1 million, or 10.2%, increase in average balances and a 22 basis point, or 3.9%, increase in the average yield. The increase in the average balance of residential loans was due to the organic growth of the loan portfolios at the Banks. The increase in the yield was primarily due to adjustable rate mortgage (ARM) loans repricing or modifying at a higher rate.

Interest income on consumer and other loans increased \$349 thousand, or 6.9%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of \$16.9 million, or 6.5%, increase in average balances and a 22 basis point, or 2.9%, increase in the average yield. The increase in the average balance of consumer and other loans was due to organic growth of the loan portfolios at the Banks. The increase in the yield was primarily due to the two prime rate increases in the second quarter of 2006.

⁽²⁾ Includes loans held for sale.

Investment income increased \$2.1 million, or 34.1%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of a \$61.0 million, or 9.1%, increase in the average balances and an 88 basis point, or 21.6%, increase in the average yield. Investment decisions are made based on anticipated liquidity, loan demand, and asset liability management decisions.

Interest Expense. Interest paid on deposits and borrowings increased \$14.0 million, or 48.6%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of increases in the average rate paid on deposits and borrowings, as well as, increases in average balances outstanding.

Interest paid on deposits increased \$10.2 million, or 52.2%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of a \$476.1 million, or 16.5%, increase in the average balance, and an 84 basis point, or 30.9%, increase in the average rate paid. The increase in the average rate paid was primarily due to the competition in the market for deposits.

Interest paid on borrowings increased \$3.8 million, or 41.0%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of a \$273.4 million, or 33.2%, increase in average balance, and a 26 basis point, or 5.8%, increase in the average rate paid. The increase in the average balance of borrowings was due to the additional FHLB borrowings used by Boston Private Bank, Borel and Gibraltar to fund a portion of their loan portfolios.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses decreased \$959 thousand, or 56.3%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006. Although loan growth was strong in the second quarter 2007, second quarter 2006 loan growth compared to the second quarter 2007 was higher, which resulted in a greater provision in the second quarter 2006 compared to the second quarter 2007. Management evaluates several factors including new loan originations, estimated charge-offs, and risk characteristics of the loan portfolio when determining the provision for loan losses. These factors include the level and mix of loan growth, the level of non-accrual and delinquent loans, and the level of charge-offs and recoveries. See Financial Condition Allowance for Loan Losses. Recoveries, net of charge-offs, were \$525 thousand during the second quarter of 2007 versus charge-offs, net of recoveries of \$12 thousand for the same period in 2006.

Fees and Other Income. Total fees and other income increased \$12.2 million, or 30.4%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006. 48.5%, or \$5.9 million, of the increase was due to the acquisition of Anchor. On a same affiliate partner basis, fees and other income increased \$6.3 million, or 15.6%, as a result of increases in investment management and trust fees, wealth advisory fees, and in other income.

Investment management and trust fees increased \$9.3 million, or 29.8%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter 2006. 63.4%, or \$5.9 million, of the increase was due to the acquisition of Anchor. On a same affiliate partner basis, investment management fees increased \$3.4 million, or 10.9%, as a result of increased performance fees and increased AUM. Although total AUM, excluding the assets from the wealth advisors KLS, RINET, and Sand Hill increased \$4.0 billion, or 18.1%, from the second quarter of 2006 to the second quarter of 2007, AUM at DGHM declined approximately \$1.4 billion for the same time period. Anchor had \$7.5 billion in AUM at June 30, 2007. Management fees for our Banks and investment management affiliates are typically calculated based on a percentage of AUM. Approximately 26% of the Company s second quarter 2007 investment management and trust fees were calculated based on the March 31, 2007 market value ending AUM; the remaining 74% of the Company s investment management and trust fees were calculated based on the June 30, 2007 market value ending AUM.

Wealth advisory fees increased \$1.0 million, or 15.6%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of increases in the number of client relationships and increased fee structure. Assets under advisory managed by the wealth advisors KLS, RINET, and Sand Hill increased \$1.1 billion, or 19.7% from the second quarter 2006 to the second quarter 2007.

Other income increased \$1.4 million, or 110.1%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of the increase in the cash surrender value on Boston Private Bank s investment in BOLI, which was made in the fourth quarter of 2006, and increases in loan prepayment fees.

Operating Expenses and Minority Interest. Total operating expenses including the \$17.9 million non-cash impairment charge, and minority interest increased \$26.8 million, or 42.7%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006. 15.7%, or \$4.2 million, of the increase was due to the acquisition of Anchor. On a same affiliate partner basis, operating expenses including the non-cash impairment charge and minority interest increased \$22.7 million to \$85.4 million for the second quarter of 2007. 78.9%, or \$17.9 million of the same affiliate partner basis increase was attributable to the non-cash impairment charge. Other items increasing operating expenses in the second quarter of 2007 include increases in salaries and benefits, occupancy and equipment as well as other operating expenses resulting from the Company s growth.

Table of Contents

Salaries and benefits, the largest component of operating expense, increased \$6.5 million, or 16.1%, in the second quarter 2007 compared to the second quarter 2006. 42.2%, or \$2.7 million, of the increase was due to the acquisition of Anchor. On a same affiliate partner basis, salaries and benefit expense increased \$3.7 million, or 9.3%, to \$43.9 million for the second quarter of 2007. This increase was primarily due to increases in variable compensation as a result of increased performance from our investment managers, coupled with a 4.0% increase in the number of employees due to growth, as well as normal salary increases, and the related taxes and benefits thereon.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased \$1.1 million, or 15.8%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006. 11.6%, or \$129 thousand, of the increase was due to the acquisition of Anchor. On a same affiliate partner basis, occupancy and equipment expenses increased \$979 thousand, or 14.0%, to \$8.0 million for the second quarter 2007. This increase was primarily due to the opening of new banking offices in both the west and east coast regions as well as increases in office space at some of our wealth managers locations. In June of 2006, Boston Private Bank opened its Hingham, Massachusetts office and also opened its Beverly, Massachusetts in May of 2007. Gibraltar opened a new location in New York City in November 2006. Other drivers include increases in technology hardware and software costs, and an increase in rent expense as a result of growth and expansion.

Professional services include legal fees, consulting fees, and other professional services such as audit and tax preparation. These expenses increased \$402 thousand, or 10.8%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 as a result of increased consulting and legal expenses, offset by the decrease in director fees. The consulting expense increase in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006 is primarily driven by the director and CFO search fees, as well as strategic communications consulting. The legal expenses increase is primarily driven by the increase in the Company s SEC filings and special projects. The director fee decrease is primarily due to the change in the stock compensation vesting period to the current years board retainer fee agreement.

Marketing and business development increased \$473 thousand, or 20.0%, in the second quarter of 2007 compared to the second quarter of 2006. 23.5%, or \$111 thousand, of the increase was due to the acquisition of Anchor. On a same affiliate partner basis, marketing and business development expense increased \$362 thousand, or 15.3%, to \$2.7 million for the second quarter of 2007. This increase was primarily due to increased marketing campaigns in both the west and east coast and increased business relations with clients.

Impairment of goodwill of \$17.9 million was accounted for in the second quarter of 2007. During the second quarter of 2007 management conducted a test for impairment relating to the goodwill acquired in its February 2004 acquisition of DGHM. Based on the outcome of the test it was determined that a charge for impairment was required to reduce the goodwill carried at DGHM to its fair market value.

Income Tax Expense. The Company recorded income tax expense of \$1.4 million for the second quarter of 2007 as compared to \$6.8 million for the same period of 2006. The effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2007 was 23.3% compared to 35.5% for the second quarter of 2006. The decrease in the effective tax rate was due to the non-cash impairment charge. Pretax income for the second quarter was reduced to \$6.2 million after the impairment charge so the \$2.9 million of tax exempt interest income for the second quarter caused almost half of the pretax earnings to be exempt from federal income tax and reduced the effective tax rate. The non-cash impairment charge reduced the effective tax rate and the income tax expense by approximately 13 basis points, and \$7.0 million respectively.

The Company s effective tax rate for the remainder of 2007 will be affected by the income in various states and localities, the relative level of BOLI income and tax-free income as a percentage of pre-tax earnings, and the amount of non-deductible compensation expenses.

31

Results of Operations for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 versus June 30, 2006

Net Income. The Company recorded net income of \$17.9 million, or \$0.47 per diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to net income of \$25.1 million, or \$0.67 per diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The non-cash impairment charge reduced the Company s earnings by \$10.1 million, net of tax, or \$0.24 per diluted share.

The following table sets forth the change in the Company s statement of operations excluding the results of operations for Anchor for the five months ending May 31, 2007.

			Cha	ange from					
			June 30, 2006				Change		
			to June 30, Acquisitio				Excluding		
		hs Ended e 30,		2007	1	une 30,	۸c	quisitions	
	2007	2006	2007		2007 June 30, 2007(1)		requisitions		
			(I	n thousands)		` '			
Interest and dividend income	\$ 170,982	\$ 140,462	\$	30,520	\$	36	\$	30,484	
Interest expense	83,360	53,492		29,868				29,868	
Net interest income	87,622	86,970		652		36		616	
Provision for loan losses	1,921	2,867		(946)				(946)	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	85,701	84,103		1,598		36		1,562	
Fees and other income	100,972	78,418		22,554		14,023		8,531	
Operating expense	159,039	121,729		37,310		9,584		27,726	
Minority interest	1,020	1,559		(539)		891		(1,430)	
Income before income taxes	26,614	39,233		(12,619)		3,584		(16,203)	
Income tax expense	8,705	14,118		(5,413)		1,518		(6,931)	
Net income	\$ 17,909	\$ 25,115	\$	(7,206)	\$	2,066	\$	(9,272)	

⁽¹⁾ Anchor was acquired on June 1, 2006, for same affiliate partner comparison the Company excludes the January through May 2007 earnings so that the six months ended June 30, 2007 earnings only includes the one month of Anchor earnings.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income represents the difference between interest earned, primarily on loans and investments, and interest paid on funding sources, primarily deposits and borrowings. Interest rate spread is the difference of the average rate earned on total interest earning assets and the average rate paid on total interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin is the amount of net interest income, on a fully taxable-equivalent (FTE) basis, expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. The average rate earned on earning assets is the amount of annualized taxable equivalent interest income expressed as a percentage of average earnings assets. The average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities is equal to annualized interest expense as a percentage of average interest-bearing liabilities. For the six months ended June 30, 2007, net interest income was \$87.6 million, an increase of \$652 thousand, or 0.7%, over the same period of 2006. The \$652 thousand increase in net interest income is the net result of an \$11.9 million in increased business volumes (change in average balance multiplied by the prior year average rate) net of an \$11.2 million decrease from rate changes (change in average interest rate multiplied by the prior year average balance). The Company s net interest margin was 3.48% for the six months ended June 30, 2007, a decrease of 48 basis points compared to the same period of 2006. The decrease in the first six months of 2007 is primarily attributable to a 91 basis point increase in the cost of funds partially offset by an increased yield on earning assets.

The following table sets forth the composition of the Company s net interest margin on a FTE basis for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and June 30, 2006.

	Six 1	Months Ende	d	Six 1	Months Ende	d
		une 30, 2007 Interest	•		ine 30, 2006 Interest	•
	Average		Average	Average		Average
	Balance	Earned/ Paid(1)	Rate	Balance	Earned/ Paid(1)	Rate
	Datance	raiu(1)	(In thou		r alu(1)	Kate
Earning assets:				ĺ		
Taxable investment securities	\$ 257,255	\$ 5,896	4.58%	\$ 284,567	\$ 5,481	3.92%
Non-taxable investment securities	231,451	5,842	5.05%	225,506	4,853	4.30%
Mortgage-backed securities	35,033	714	4.06%	41,828	833	3.98%
Federal funds sold and other	190,088	5,017	5.27%	170,093	3,643	4.27%
Loans(2)						
Commercial and construction	2,585,912	100,056	7.70%	2,095,738	79,270	7.54%
Residential mortgage	1,595,097	46,192	5.79%	1,421,231	39,453	5.55%
Home equity and other consumer	271,644	10,623	7.79%	252,041	9,629	7.51%
Total loans	4,452,653	156,871	7.02%	3,769,010	128,352	6.79%
Total earning assets	5,166,480	174,340	6.73%	4,491,004	143,162	6.36%
Interest-bearing liabilities:		,			·	
Deposits	\$ 3,334,997	\$ 58,684	3.55%	\$ 2,887,432	\$ 36,085	2.52%
Borrowed funds	1,036,952	24,676	4.75%	793,280	17,408	4.39%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	4,371,949	83,360	3.83%	3,680,712	53,493	2.92%
Net interest income		\$ 90,980			\$ 89,669	
Interest rate spread			2.90%			3.44%
Net interest margin			3.48%			3.96%

⁽¹⁾ Interest income on non-taxable investments and loans is presented on a FTE basis using the federal statutory rate. These adjustments were \$3.4 million and \$2.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Interest Income. Interest and dividend income increased \$30.5 million, or 21.7%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of increases in interest income on loans and investments.

Interest income on commercial loans increased \$20.5 million, or 26.1%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of a \$490.2 million, or 23.4%, increase in average balances and a 16 basis point, or 2.1%, increase in the average yield. The increase in the average balance of commercial loans was due to organic growth of the loan portfolios at the Banks. The increase in the yield was primarily due to the rising interest rate environment in the first six months of 2006 and the majority of loan rates based on the Prime rate or the London Interbank Offering Rate LIBOR .

Interest income on residential mortgage loans increased \$6.7 million, or 17.1%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of a \$173.9 million, or 12.2%, increase in average balances and a 24 basis point, or 4.3%, increase in the average yield. The increase in the average balance of residential loans of \$173.9 million was due to the organic growth of the loan portfolios at the Banks. The increase in the yield was primarily due to ARM loans repricing or modifying at a higher rate.

Interest income on consumer and other loans increased \$994 thousand, or 10.3%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of a \$19.6 million, or 7.8%, increase in average balances and a 28 basis point, or 3.7%, increase in the average yield. The increase in the average balance of consumer and other loans was due to organic growth of the loan portfolios at the Banks. The increase in the yield was primarily due to the rising interest rate environment in the first six months of 2006.

⁽²⁾ Includes loans held for sale.

Investment income increased \$2.3 million, or 17.8%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of a 76 basis point, or 18.4%, increase in the average yield partially offset by an \$8.2 million, or 1.1%, decrease in the average balance of investments due to decreased liquidity related to new loan growth increasing faster than deposit growth. Investment decisions are made based on anticipated liquidity, loan demand, and asset liability management decisions.

Interest Expense. Interest paid on deposits and borrowings increased \$29.9 million, or 55.8%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of increases in the average rate paid on deposits and borrowings as well as increases in average balances outstanding.

Interest paid on deposits increased \$22.6 million, or 62.6%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of a \$447.6 million, or 15.5%, increase in the average balance, and a 103 basis point, or 40.9%, increase in the average rate paid. The increase in the average rate paid was due to the rising interest rate environment and the competition in the market for deposits.

Interest paid on borrowings increased \$7.3 million, or 41.8%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of a \$243.7 million, or 30.7%, increase in average balance, and a 36 basis point, or 8.2%, increase in the average rate paid. The increase in the average balance of borrowings was due to the additional FHLB borrowings used by Boston Private Bank, Borel and Gibraltar to fund a portion of their loan portfolios.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses decreased \$946 thousand, or 33.0%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. Although loan growth was strong in the first half of 2007, loan growth in the first half of 2006 was higher, which resulted in a greater provision in the first half of 2006 compared to the first half of 2007. Management evaluates several factors including new loan originations, estimated charge-offs, and risk characteristics of the loan portfolio when determining the provision for loan losses. These factors include the level and mix of loan growth, the level of non-accrual and delinquent loans, and the level of charge-offs and recoveries. See Financial Condition Allowance for Loan Losses. Recoveries, net of charge-offs, were \$517 thousand during the six months ended June 30, 2007 versus, Charge-offs, net of recoveries of \$539 thousand for the same period in 2006.

Fees and Other Income. Total fees and other income increased \$22.6 million, or 28.8%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006. 62.2%, or \$14.0 million, of the increase was due to the acquisition of Anchor. On a same affiliate partner basis, fees and other income increased \$8.5 million, or 10.9%, as a result of increases in investment management and trust fees, wealth advisory fees, and in other income.

Investment management and trust fees increased \$18.2 million, or 30.3%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the second quarter 2006. 76.6%, or \$13.9 million, of the increase was due to the acquisition of Anchor. On a same affiliate partner basis, investment management fees increased \$4.3 million, or 7.1%, as a result of increased performance fees and increased AUM. Although total AUM, excluding the assets from the wealth advisors KLS, RINET, and Sand Hill increased \$4.0 billion, or 18.1%, from the same period in 2006 to June 30, 2007, AUM at DGHM declined approximately \$1.4 billion for the same time period. Anchor had \$7.5 billion in AUM at June 30, 2007. Management fees for our Banks and investment management affiliates are typically calculated based on a percentage of AUM. The Company s fees on AUM are affected by the timing of net new business flows, and investment performance.

Wealth advisory fees increased \$1.9 million, or 14.5%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of increases in the number of client relationships and increased fee structure. Assets under advisory managed by the wealth advisors KLS, RINET, and Sand Hill increased \$1.1 billion, or 19.7% from June 30, 2006 to June 30, 2007.

Other income increased \$2.0 million, or 76.5%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of the increases in the cash surrender value on Boston Private Bank s investment in BOLI, which was made in the fourth quarter of 2006, as well as increases in loan prepayment and treasury fees.

Operating Expenses and Minority Interest. Total operating expenses including the \$17.9 million in non-cash impairment and minority interest increased \$36.8 million, or 29.8%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006. 25.5%, or \$10.5 million, of the increase was due to the acquisition of Anchor. On a same affiliate partner basis operating expenses including the non-cash impairment charge and minority interest increased \$26.3 million to \$149.6 million for the six months ended June 20, 2007. 67.9%, or \$17.1 million of the same affiliate partner basis increase was attributable to the non cash impairment charge. Other items that impacted operating expenses include increases in salaries and benefits, occupancy and equipment as well as other operating expenses resulting from the Company s growth.

34

Table of Contents

Salaries and benefits, the largest component of operating expense, increased \$13.7 million, or 17.2%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006. 52.6%, or \$7.2 million, of the increase was due to the acquisition of Anchor. On a same affiliate partner basis, salaries and benefit expense increased \$6.5 million, or 8.2%, to \$86.1 million for the first six months of 2007. This increase was primarily due to increases in variable compensation as a result of increased performance by our investment managers, coupled with a 4.0% increase in the number of employees due to growth, as well as normal salary increases, and the related taxes and benefits thereon.

Occupancy and equipment expense increased \$2.4 million, or 17.9%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006. 12.8%, or \$310 thousand, of the increase was due to the acquisition of Anchor. On a same affiliate partner basis, occupancy and equipment expenses increased \$2.1 million, or 15.6%, to \$15.7 million for the first six months of 2007. This increase was primarily due to the opening of new banking offices in both the west and east coast regions. In June of 2006 Boston Private Bank opened its Hingham, Massachusetts office and opened its Beverly, Massachusetts office in May of 2007. Gibraltar opened its New York City office in November of 2006. Other drivers include increases in technology hardware and software costs, and an increase in rent expense as a result of growth and expansion.

Professional services include legal fees, consulting fees, and other professional services such as audit and tax preparation. These expenses increased \$800 thousand, or 12.2%, during the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to the same period in 2006 as a result of increased consulting and legal expenses, offset by the decreases in director fees. The consulting expense increase during the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to the same period last year is primarily driven by the director and CFO search fees, as well as strategic communications consulting. The legal expenses increase is primarily driven by the increase in the Company s SEC filings and special projects. The director fee decrease is primarily due to the change in the stock compensation vesting period to the current years board retainer fee agreement.

Impairment of goodwill of \$17.9 million was accounted for in the second quarter of 2007. During the second quarter of 2007 management conducted a test for impairment relating to the goodwill acquired in its February 2004 acquisition of DGHM. Based on the outcome of the test it was determined that a charge for impairment was required to reduce the goodwill carried at DGHM to its fair market value.

Income Tax Expense. The Company recorded income tax expense of \$8.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$14.1 million for the same period of 2006. The effective tax rate for the first six months of 2007 was 32.7% compared to 36.0% for the same period in 2006. The effective tax rate was lower in 2007 since the non-cash impairment expense significantly reduced pretax income. The tax savings from \$5.6 million of tax exempt income represent a larger portion of total income taxes for 2007 since taxes are lower in 2007 due to the reduced income. The non-cash impairment charge reduced the effective tax rate for the first six months of 2007 by approximately 3 basis points.

The Company s effective tax rate for the remainder of 2007 will be affected by the income in various states and localities, the relative level of BOLI income and tax-free income as a percentage of pre-tax earnings, and the amount of non-deductible compensation expenses.

35

Item 3. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk

There have been no material changes in the Interest Rate Sensitivity and Market Risk described in Item 7A Interest Rate Sensitivity and Market Risk of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

As required by Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, at the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. In designing and evaluating the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, the Company and its management recognize that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only a reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. In connection with the rules regarding disclosure and control procedures, we intend to continue to review and document our disclosure controls and procedures, including our internal controls and procedures for financial reporting, and may from time to time make changes aimed at enhancing their effectiveness and to ensure that our systems evolve with our business.

(b) Change in internal controls.

There were no changes made in the Company s internal control over financial reporting for the quarter ended June 30, 2007 that have materially affected, or reasonably likely to materially affect the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings A. Investment Management Litigation

On May 3, 2002, the Retirement Board of Allegheny County filed a complaint in Pennsylvania state court against Westfield and Grant D. Kalson & Associates bringing breach of contract and other claims for an alleged opportunity loss, notwithstanding that the Fund administered by the Retirement Board grew substantially under Westfield s and Kalson s management. Westfield and Kalson have defended the claim vigorously and will continue to do so. Discovery was completed on August 1, 2005. The Plaintiff has initiated no activity on the case since the close of discovery, and Westfield intends to file a motion for summary judgment in due course.

B. Trust Litigation

Since 1984, Borel has served as a trustee of a private family trust (Family Trust) that was a joint owner of certain real property known as the Guadalupe Oil Field. The field was leased for many years to Union Oil Company of California (d/b/a UNOCAL) for oil and gas production. Significant environmental contamination resulting from UNOCAL is operations was found on the property in 1994. At that time Borel entered into negotiations to sell the property to UNOCAL, to settle UNOCAL is liabilities to the Family Trust, and to obtain a comprehensive indemnity on the Trust is behalf. Certain beneficiaries of the Family Trust brought a series of actions against Borel claiming that Borel had breached its fiduciary duties in managing the oil and gas leases and in negotiating with UNOCAL for settlement and for sale of the property. In the first lawsuit, the beneficiaries sought to remove Borel as trustee. Borel prevailed at trial and obtained final judgment in its favor, but the beneficiaries continued to pursue related litigation against Borel for many years afterwards. In 2002 Borel concluded a settlement with UNOCAL and sold the property to UNOCAL. In 2005 all of the parties, with one exception noted below, entered into a global settlement whereby UNOCAL agreed to pay the plaintiff beneficiaries certain amounts, and the beneficiaries dismissed all of their pending actions with prejudice, including all actions against Borel, which paid nothing in the settlement.

One beneficiary a contingent remainder beneficiary split with the other plaintiff beneficiaries in 2003, filed parallel actions in the state court against Borel, and refused to participate in the otherwise global settlement in 2005. The state court subsequently dismissed those parallel actions against Borel on the merits. The non-settling beneficiary, acting *pro se*, then

36

filed a new action on June 24, 2005, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. In this action, the non-settling beneficiary makes claims similar to those made in the earlier actions that were dismissed by the state court. He seeks to invalidate the settlement with UNOCAL, to compel the return of the Guadalupe Oil Field to the Family Trust, and to recover damages against Borel and others for alleged mismanagement. The complaint does not specify an amount of damages, but in the trial of the action to remove Borel as trustee in 1998, the then plaintiff beneficiaries submitted expert testimony to the effect that Borel s actions had damaged the Family Trust in the amount of \$102 million. The trial court found this testimony unpersuasive in that context, and Borel and the other defendants prevailed. In the current federal litigation, in November 2005 the court dismissed the entire action as to Borel based on the prior final judgments in the state court and on lack of federal jurisdiction. The non-settling beneficiary appealed from the judgment. The federal court of appeals affirmed the judgment in full. The non-settling beneficiary has filed a motion for rehearing and a purported notice of constitutional question, both of which remain pending at this time.

Borel will continue to litigate these matters vigorously. While the ultimate outcome of these proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, at the present time, Borel s management, based on consultation with legal counsel, believes there is no basis to conclude that liability with respect to this matter is probable or that such liability can be reasonably estimated.

C. Other

The Company is also involved in routine legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, final disposition of these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company.

Item 1A. Risk Factors and Factors Affecting Forward-Looking Statements

There have been no material changes in the Risk Factors described in Item 1A Risk Factors of the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company issued 261,529 shares of common stock in the second quarter of 2007 in connection with a deferred acquisition obligation payment related to Anchor. The total equity consideration for this transaction was \$7.2 million. This issuance of common stock was made in reliance upon the exemption from registration set forth in Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Regulation D promulgated thereunder, for transactions by an issuer not involving a public offering. The Company did not offer or sell the securities by any form of general solicitation or general advertising and informed each purchaser of the securities that the securities had not been registered under the Act and were subject to restrictions on transfer.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of the Security Holders

At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on April 25, 2007, stockholders of the Company approved proposals to:

(1) elect four Class I Directors of the Company to serve until the 2010 annual meeting and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. The votes for such proposal were as follows:

FOR	WITHHELD
Eugene S. Colangelo 30,030,410	3,481,162

Allen L. Sinai	30,959,099	2,552,473
Timothy L. Vaill.	30,967,404	2,544,168
Stephen M. Waters	30,961,799	2,549,773

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

- (a) Exhibits
- * 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- * 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- * 31.3 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- * 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- * 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- * 32.3 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350 As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- * Filed herewith

38

August 9, 2007

August 9, 2007

August 9, 2007

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Boston Private Financial Holdings, Inc.

(Registrant)

/s/ Timothy L. Vaill Timothy L. Vaill

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Walter M. Pressey Walter M. Pressey

President and Interim Chief Financial Officer until July 29, 2007

/s/ David J. Kaye David J. Kaye

39

Chief Financial Officer since July 30, 2007