

Clean Coal Technologies Inc.  
Form 10-K  
March 29, 2012

---

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-K

---

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the year ended: December 31, 2011

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number: 000-53557

CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

NEVADA  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

26-1079442  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

295 Madison Avenue (12th Floor), New York, NY  
(Address of principal executive offices)

10017  
(Zip Code)

(646) 710-3549  
(Issuer's telephone number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
None	N/A

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Title of class  
Common Stock



Table of Contents

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated	Accelerated	Non-accelerated	Smaller reporting
filer <input type="radio"/>	filer <input type="radio"/>	filer <input type="radio"/>	company <input checked="" type="radio"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
YES  NO

On March 27, 2012, there were 593,784,000 shares of common stock of the Registrant outstanding, and the market value of common stock held by non-affiliates was \$50,750,019 (based upon the closing price of \$0.09 per share of common stock as quoted on the Pink Sheets of the National Quotation Bureau).

Documents Incorporated by Reference

None.

Table of Contents

CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
2011 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I		
ITEM 1.	<u>BUSINESS</u>	1
ITEM 1A.	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	8
ITEM 1B.	<u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	11
ITEM 2.	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	11
ITEM 3.	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	11
ITEM 4.	<u>RESERVED</u>	11
PART II		
ITEM 5.	<u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	12
ITEM 6.	<u>SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	14
ITEM 7.	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	14
ITEM 7A.	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	18
ITEM 8.	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	19
ITEM 9.	<u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	34
ITEM 9A.	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	34
PART III		
ITEM 10.	<u>DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT</u>	35
ITEM 11.	<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	36
ITEM 12.	<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS</u>	39
ITEM 13.	<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	39
ITEM 14.	<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES</u>	41
PART IV		
ITEM 15.	<u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	42

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Forward-Looking and Cautionary Statements

Except for statements of historical fact, certain information in this document contains “forward-looking statements” that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. You can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “could,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “should,” “would,” or similar words. The statements these or similar words should be read carefully because these statements discuss our future expectations, contain projections of our future results of operations, or of our financial position, or state other “forward-looking” information. Clean Coal believes that it is important to communicate our future expectations to our investors. However, there may be events in the future that we are not able to accurately predict or control. Further, we urge you to be cautious of the forward-looking statements that are contained in this Annual Report because they involve risks, uncertainties and other factors affecting our technology, planned operations, market growth, products and licenses. These factors may cause our actual results and achievements, whether expressed or implied, to differ materially from the expectations we describe in our forward-looking statements. The occurrence of any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial position.

Overview

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc. (“We,” “Company” or “Clean Coal”) owns a patented technology that we believe will provide cleaner energy at low cost through the use of the world’s most abundant fossil fuel, coal. Our technology is designed to utilize controlled heat to extract and capture pollutants and moisture from low-rank coal, transforming it into a cleaner-burning, more energy-efficient fuel prior to combustion. Our proprietary coal cleaning process is designed to ensure that the carbon in coal maintains its structural integrity during the heating process while the volatile matter (polluting material) within the coal turns into a gaseous state and is removed from the coal. We have trade-marked the name “PRISTINE™” as a means of differentiating our processed product from the negative connotations generally associated with coal, and its traditional use. PRISTINE™ is applicable for a variety of applications, including coal-fired power stations, chemical byproduct extraction, and as a source fuel for coal-to-gas and coal-to-liquid technologies.

In September 2011, we filed a provisional application for a patent on a new technology known as Pristine M. The new technology is a moisture substitution technology that, owing to the superior quality of the product and attractive economics, is expected to be highly successful in the moisture removal business globally. The attractiveness of the Prisytime-M technology has culminated in our success attracting strategic partnerships, including with the Archean Group and Jindal Steel & Power, discussed below.

Current or Pending Projects. We have dedicated maximum effort to develop a global commercial platform around a series of strategic partnerships. At this filing date, Jindal Steel and Power, Ltd. (“Jindal”) and the Company have agreed to execute a Technology License Agreement (“TLA”) in lieu of the formerly announced Joint Venture Agreement contemplated under a Memorandum of Understanding signed with us on January 27, 2012. The decision to enter into the TLA was arrived at jointly and is beneficial for both companies as it allows Jindal to focus on its core business model in Indonesia of supplying dry, high-quality coal to their steel and power businesses in India while allowing us to focus on the development and marketing of our technology to third parties.

For our ASEAN region joint venture initiative, we have instead agreed to binding terms for a joint venture with the Archean Group (“AGPL”) to develop deploy and market our Pristine M technology throughout the ASEAN region (including Indonesia, the Philippines, Cambodia, Vietnam, Malaysia, Brunei, Thailand, Laos and Myanmar). The joint venture company (“JV”) will be 55% AGPL and 45% Clean Coal. For its 55% holding, AGPL has committed to

contribute US \$4,000,000 to the JV. Of this, US \$2,000,000 will be used to fund the construction of a 1:10-scale pilot plant in Oklahoma. The remaining US \$2,000,000 represents a one-time license fee to be paid to Clean Coal upon successful commissioning of the pilot plant.

Construction of the pilot plant in Oklahoma will commence immediately upon execution of an EPC contract and a down payment to SAIC Energy Environment & Infrastructure (“SAIC”) by the JV. It is expected that the EPC contract will be formalized this in early April and construction of the plant will begin immediately after the contract is signed. Under the binding terms of the agreement, AGPL will pay a US \$1.00 (one dollar) per ton ongoing royalty fee for all coal processed from AGPL majority-owned mines, with a waiver for the first two million tons of coal produced.

For our 45% interest in the joint venture, we will contribute a 25-year exclusive license to develop, market and deploy Pristine M Technology, covering the ASEAN countries including Indonesia, the Philippines, Cambodia, Vietnam, Malaysia, Brunei, Thailand, Laos and Myanmar. We have also committed to cover pilot plant construction costs, if any, above US \$2,000,000.

## Table of Contents

As part of the agreement, AGPL has made an investment of two million US Dollars (\$2,000,000) in Clean Coal. For this investment, we have issued AGPL a convertible debenture which will represent, upon conversion and subject to the availability of authorized capital and the terms of the debenture, 6.7 % of the outstanding shares of the Company as of the effective date of the binding terms agreement. AGPL will also nominate a board member to be appointed to our board of directors. We have also agreed to issue an option to AGPL to purchase an additional equity stake, to increase AGPL's equity stake up to a total of 20% of the Company's outstanding stock, at a discount to the market price at the date of exercise of the option. Both the conversion of the debenture and the exercise of the option are conditioned on Clean Coal having available authorized capital to issue the requisite shares. In order to do so, we intend to schedule a shareholders' meeting and seek to obtain shareholder approval as soon as possible.

China. Pending resolution of legalities surrounding the change in ownership of the Interests of the Chinese partner in the Inner Mongolia joint venture company in which we held a 25% stake, we expect to transition the Company's involvement from full joint venture partner to merely a Licensor. The project has all permits fully approved and is expected to break ground in 2012.

Huamin Senior Fund Holding Group. The current Exclusive Technology License Agreement was cancelled on October 12, 2011 at a meeting in New York with the Huamin Group with the understanding that it would be replaced with a new Non Exclusive Technology License Agreement within the next 90 days. At the end of January, no Agreement had been reached with Huamin. Huamin has yet to present a viable project to us.

The China market holds huge potential for our technology and we will, at this stage, only consider individual Technology Licensing Agreements for specific projects. The Huamin Group has not yet presented a project to CCTI.

Outside of the project in Inner Mongolia, and any potential projects with Huamin, we will be focused on business opportunities in other countries.

Philippines. We continue to advance in our discussions with a large Philippine group that has indicated its desire to move forward once the Company has successfully commissioned a 1/10-scale pilot plant, scheduled for September or October 2012.

Consultant Activities.

MMB has been in direct contact with two world-class coal mining groups in Indonesia and has been a major contributor in assisting us to finalize the agreements with AGPL and Jindal discussed above. In India, MMB has been in preliminary discussions for the potential deployment of the technology in two coal operating divisions of a large power company. MMB continues to look for commercial opportunities in India, China, Indonesia and Mongolia.

MBA, Inc., a Tennessee-based consulting group has contracted with SAIC Energy, Environment & Infrastructure, LLC (SEE&I) to assist in arranging engineering, procurement, construction and maintenance services with a large Tennessee utility. Other initiatives currently underway in Texas include MBA's discussions with several South West utility companies that have expressed interest in deploying our technology, and looking to expand their relationship with us. With the building of the pilot plant at a coal fired utility in Oklahoma it is anticipated that MBA's negotiations will produce successful results in 2012.

History

The Company was originally chartered in the state of Delaware on September 17, 1986 under the name Video Delivery Inc. In September 2007, we changed our domicile to Nevada and changed our name to Clean Coal Technologies, Inc. On November 19, 2007 we completed a share exchange agreement with Clean Coal Systems, Inc, a

Florida corporation (“CCSI”) whereby we exchanged 294,784,480 (as adjusted after stock splits) common shares for all of the outstanding stock of CCSI. The combined companies now operate under the name Clean Coal Technologies, Inc. CCSI was incorporated in Florida on May 7, 2007. Prior to the merger with the Company, CCSI merged with Saudi American Minerals, Inc. (“SAMI”) on September 7, 2007. CCSI did not have any operations prior to the merger with SAMI. SAMI was originally incorporated as Golden Triangle Corporation (“Golden”) on October 20, 1997 in the State of Nevada. In June 1998, Golden merged with Consolidated Energy International, Inc., and in October 1999 changed its name to Saudi American Minerals, Inc.

## Technology

Our coal treating process extracts the volatile matter (solidified gases or pollutant material) from standard low-rank coal by heating coal as it transitions through several disparate heat chambers, causing the volatile matter to turn to gas and escape the coal, leaving behind a clean-burning fuel source. Historically, the primary technological challenge of extracting this volatile matter has been maintaining the structural and chemical integrity of the carbon, while achieving enough heat to turn the volatile matter into a gaseous state. Heating coal to temperatures well in excess of 700° Fahrenheit is necessary to quickly turn volatile matter gaseous. However, heating coal to these temperatures has generally caused the carbon in the coal to disintegrate into an unusable fine powder (coal dusting). Our patented flow process transitions the coal through several atmospherically independent heat chambers controlled at increasingly higher temperatures. These heat chambers are infused with inert gases, primarily carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), preventing the carbon from combusting. We have identified the optimum combination of atmospheres, levels of inert gases, transport speed, and temperatures necessary to quickly extract and capture volatile matter, while maintaining the structural and chemical integrity of the coal. Using our technology, we are able to capture the volatile gases that escape the coal, and to utilize some of these gases to fuel the process, while others are captured in the form of usable byproducts, to potentially provide an ancillary revenue stream. Depending on the characteristics of the coal being cleaned, the flow processing time is expected to be in the range of 12 to 18 minutes.



## Table of Contents

As part of the process to commercialize our technology, on August 21, 2008, we entered into an Umbrella Agreement with our engineering consultant, SAIC Energy, Environment & Infrastructure, LLC, “SEE&I”, (formerly Benham), a division of Science Applications International Corporation (“SAIC”). The contract, last revised on February 14, 2012, designates SEE&I as exclusive or lead EPC contractor for CCTI projects and sets out terms for the engineering design, procurement and construction of CCTI plants anywhere in the world.

SEE&I has produced designs for both the Pristine and the Pristine-M processes. The Pristine design provides for the deployment of standard operational modules, each with annual capacity of 166,000 metric tons, providing the flexibility to be configured in accordance with customers’ individual production capacity requirements. SEE&I’s is confident that our coal cleaning process will typically be energy self-sufficient, relying upon captured methane and other byproducts to fuel the coal cleaning process.

Similarly, the Pristine-M process, sharing some of the scientific principles and engineering components that underpin the Pristine process, is a modular design that includes a section where the coal is partially de-volatized and then coupled to as many drying and stabilization modules as may be required to achieve a client’s desired level of production. Each of the modules is designed to handle 30-tons/hr and, similar to the Pristine process, relies on components that are available off-the-shelf and have already stood the test of time as to their reliability and durability.

Our technology has been tested and proven under laboratory and pilot scale conditions in Pittsburg, PA, and the results studied by SEE&I, SAIC and as well as certain potential strategic partners as part of their due diligence on CCTI and the CCTI technology. To date, testing of about 40 coal types from all over the world has been completed. We have also benchmarked our technology against the Carnegie Mellon simulation model with excellent results. Testing has shown no evidence of coal dusting, self-combustion, moisture re-absorption, or other technical concerns that might hinder commercialization. The building of the 1:10 scale plant in Oklahoma will be followed by construction of the first commercial plant of 1,000,000 tons a year to be built in Indonesia during 2013.

The Pristine technology has three distinct primary applications: the cleaning of coal for direct use as fuel for power stations and other industrial and commercial applications; the extraction of potentially valuable chemical by-products for commercial sale; and the use of processed coal as a feed stock for gasification and liquefaction (CTG & CTL) projects.

While we believe that our Pristine technology offers vast potential for commercialization, our market entry strategy right now is focused on the Pristine M technology which we believe offers an immediate opportunity to monetize our intellectual property. The clean coal industry at the moment is focused almost entirely on the need to produce a dry and stable coal to meet the growing need of coal-fired power plants in Asia. Indonesia is currently the largest supplier of thermal coal to India and China, but Indonesian coal suffers from its high moisture content and low calorific content. Both are problems that we believe will be effectively addressed by the Pristine-M technology.

Our process derivatives are broadly characterized by the following three elements which vary according to the characteristics of the feed coal:

A first stream is predominantly water that is extracted from the coal. Although expected to be 100% pure (water removed from coal is condensed from its vapor state), it may contain some contaminants.

A second stream, produced in the de-volatizing stage of the process, is the condensed light hydrocarbons gases that we call “coal-derived liquids, or CDLs. These could prove to be the most valuable component of the process. It is anticipated that the CDLs will resemble a crude oil (probably sweet crude if the sulfur content of the feed coal is low) resulting in a readily-marketable product. In the Pristine-M process, de-volatization is controlled and optimized to meet the needs of drying and stabilizing the coal, minimizing the production of gas or liquid byproducts.

The third stream is the heavy tar-like liquid potentially marketable to the asphalt and coal tar industry. This stream is entirely absent in the Pristine-M process which is focused only on the task of drying and stabilizing.

#### Business Activities and Strategy

The principal element of our original business strategy was to partner with local utilities, power producers or mine owners to build, own and operate the initial facility utilizing our technology. We have subsequently revised our business model whereby we will license our technology to third parties and exact a license fee, as well as a royalty fee, based on plant production. CCTI's technology, especially the Pristine M, has immediate and important application in the Indian and ASEAN Region, the company is focused on the deployment of the technology throughout this region whilst further validating it through the building of a 1:10 scale plant in Oklahoma which will not only support our overseas initiatives but will also provide a strong basis for deployment into the US domestic market.

## Table of Contents

We also intend to co-locate our facilities with both new and existing coal-burning power plants. The proposed footprint of the facilities is expected to be 15 to 20 acres for small capacity plants, including land for the storage of clean coal. We believe that the majority of the plant components are commercially available, and the only significant custom components are related to our proprietary thermal chambers and control software. This strategy greatly reduces the risks that would be associated with the incorporation of new technologies, or unproven components. The building of the 1:10 scale pilot plant at a domestic coal fired utility will add significant impetus to these initiatives

### Competitive Strengths

We believe our technology and designs represent the only process that can effectively separate and capture pollution-causing chemicals prior to carbon combustion in a commercially viable manner. Our process differs from competing processes through its ability to maintain the structural integrity of coal during the heating process. This is achieved through a unique design that inserts inert gas into the heating chambers, and maintains the inert atmosphere in each chamber. By inserting an inert gas into the chambers, the process allows for rapid heating of the coal and prevents coal combustion and significant coal dusting. Competing technologies have used differing methods of preventing coal combustion and dusting, albeit with limited success. Some of the particular strengths of our process include:

**Pollution reduction:** By heating coal prior to combustion, we are able to extract volatile matter (pollutants in the form of solidified gases) from the coal in a controlled environment, transforming coal with high levels of impurities, contaminants and other polluting elements into a more efficient, cleaner source of high energy, lower polluting fuel. Testing has demonstrated that our process removes a substantial percentage of harmful pollutants, including mercury.

**Lower cost of operation:** We believe that our process will be a relatively low-cost solution to the reduction of pollution at coal-fired power facilities. SEE&I (formerly Benham), our engineering consulting firm, believes that our coal cleaning process will typically not require any external energy and can be fully fueled by the methane and other byproducts that the process captures from raw coal. This effective use of byproducts contrasts markedly with emissions scrubbers that generally use a portion of the generated power and have high initial capital and maintenance costs. In addition, our process may have certain advantages in terms of the pollutants removed that can be utilized in a complementary manner with other processes including scrubbers.

**Increased flexibility in feedstock:** Our process eliminates both the moisture and volatile matter in raw coal, increasing the heat capacity of standard sub-bituminous low-rank raw coal from approximately 8,000 BTUs to an average of 12,500 BTUs. We believe the process can increase heat capacity of lignite raw coal ranging from 4,000-7,000 BTUs to a range of 9,000-10,000 BTUs. As the worldwide supply of high-BTU bituminous coal dwindles, our technology may enable coal-fired plants to effectively utilize the abundance of low-rank coal.

**Favorable price arbitrage:** Low-rank coal with a heat content of 7,000 – 9,000 BTUs currently sells for approximately \$30 per ton in the world market, compared to high-BTU bituminous coal with a heat capacity of 10,000+ BTUs, which sells for well over \$100 per ton, as can be observed in various international price indices, among them, the Baltic Dry Bulk Index. Our process essentially transforms low-grade coal into bituminous coal at a direct cost of an estimated \$7 - \$8 per ton, capturing the value of higher-grade coal prices.

**Potential tax benefits:** We believe clean coal production tax credits may potentially be available for coal processed in facilities utilizing our technology. While these credits expired on January 1, 2009, Congress may consider legislation extending the credits.

### Competition

The competitors to our Pristine M Technology have suffered a number of setbacks in 2011 primarily caused by their inability to scale up from pilot plant stage. CCTI's Pristine M technology faces a much smaller challenges as it is a modular system built around well-known and proven components. Scalability issues are mitigated by the modular nature of the industrial design which, once the basic module is operational, will scale up by simply adding identical modules. We consider it a major competitive advantage that our clients who build large capacity plants will not be building a single processor based on what are likely to be new and innovative components.

From a plant reliability and maintenance perspective, our modular design is a competitive advantage. In a downside scenario in which an unforeseen circumstance caused a shutdown, CCTI's modular design would allow the plant operator to shut down the affected module, rather than the entire plant.

From a planning perspective, mine operators would be able to expand their capacity piecemeal rather than in step-wise fashion by large-scale increments. This mitigates much of the financial risk normally attendant on large-scale plant expansions and, over time, our modular design may prove to be one of the most significant competitive advantages of our process.

## Table of Contents

Their significant competitive advantages include that the CCTI's processes do not require crushing of the coal, thereby minimizing if not entirely eliminating the need for costly briquetting. CCTI's plant economics are compelling as they derive much of the process heat from the feed coal itself, rendering the processes largely energy neutral. The processes require a modest amount of electric power and a small number of operatives. Consequently, our operating costs are very competitive.

The Pristine process not only removes the moisture, but also removes the harmful volatiles and pollutants which we capture as a chemical "soup" that may be further refined by us, or sold directly to chemical manufacturers, or refineries as a complementary revenue source. Additionally, we believe our process is typically self-sufficient in that its energy needs will be provided by the process itself, and requires no external energy provisions. The Pristine process addresses a very different market need than the Pristine M Technology and therefore enables CCTI to offer a more diverse product slate to our potential customers than most, if not all, our existing competitor base.

We consider our most direct competition in the reduction of coal emissions comes from companies offering pre-combustion cleaning designed to remove impurities. However, post-combustion filtering or "scrubbers" designed to filter released gases are a clear alternative for coal-fired power producers. We are not in competition with suppliers of emissions scrubbers, except to the extent that that burning a cleaner fuel is more economical than post-combustion solutions.

The best known competitors in the pre-combustion area include Evergreen Energy, Inc. ("Evergreen"), CoalTek, Inc. ("CoalTek"), GTL Energy ("GTL") and White Energy ("White Energy"), both the latter of which are Australian companies. Evergreen, based in Denver, Colorado, developed a technology primarily focused on reducing the moisture in raw coal to increase its heating capacity. The Evergreen process requires external energy sources and removes less moisture than that of CCTI. CoalTek, based in Tucker, Georgia, claims its patent-pending process uses electromagnetic energy to reduce contaminants and moisture in coal prior to combustion. While public information is limited, we believe the amount of energy necessary to run the electromagnetic process may offset any economic benefits of the upgraded coal. The Australian processes use a combination of heat and compaction to remove moisture from coal. White Energy claims that compaction generates close bonding between the dried coal particles to form a high density, higher energy content briquette. Energy requirements for heating coal and operating a pelletizer are typically large but no basis or explanation is provided for the favorable cost numbers published by White Energy. CoalTek, GTL and White Energy technologies are focused solely on the removal of moisture and do not address the removal of harmful chemicals associated with the burning of coal.

Post-combustion players include companies such as Babcock & Wilcox Company (Lynchburg, Virginia) and Foster-Wheeler (Clinton, New Jersey), as well as various smaller companies that produce various kinds of scrubbers. Scrubbers remove particulates and gases from exhaust streams after coal has combusted. These devices are generally expensive to manufacture and install initially, as well as expensive to operate due to power requirements that consume a significant percentage of power output. They may also require frequent and costly maintain due to the corrosive nature of some of the combustion products, and they can generate large amounts of waste. There are several companies that are developing technologies to capture CO<sub>2</sub>, but at this stage, these technologies do not address all pollutants, nor are they energy neutral.

Indirect competition comes from alternative low-pollution energy sources, including: wind, bio-fuels and solar; all of which need additional technological advancements, cost reduction and universal acceptance to be able to produce power at the scale of coal-fueled plants, which today produce 43% of world's electricity according to U.S. Department of Energy figures published in May 2008.

Patents

Our technology is the subject of U.S. patent #6,447,559, "Treatment of Coal" which was issued in 2002 and expires in 2019. We filed a PCT continuous patent application with this U.S. patent on February 1, 2006, and, in accordance with this, patents have been applied for in China and other targeted market countries. China, like many other countries, maintains a "first-to-file" rule that should provide us with IP protection in advance of the actual patent grant. On February 2, 2011 CCTI was awarded a continuation patent #7,879,117.

On October 14, 2010, the Company filed PCT International Patent applications based on our revised design in India, China, Indonesia, Australia, South Africa, Colombia, Brazil, Chile, and the Republic of Mongolia. These were filed by our patent attorneys Nixon & Vanderhye P.C. at a cost of \$33,000. On October 15, 2010, the Company filed the PCT national phase application for its revised design as contained in PCT/US2008/060364.

Table of Contents

In conjunction with SEE&I's commercialization design of the original patent, we filed for an additional patent on March 31, 2008. We filed a PCT application with this as well, affording it the same protection as noted above. The March 31, 2008 application details the process of using byproducts to power the process, and details a simpler, vertical factory design with proprietary seals that help preserve the atmosphere of each chamber, compared to a horizontal design in the original filing. This application goes into great detail regarding the byproducts of the coal and their capture.

Our patent details a process wherein coal is heated to different temperatures in various chambers with controlled low-oxygen atmospheres. There are seals between these chambers, serving to maintain the heat and gas content in each chamber. The invention notes the controlled de-volatilization and removal of moisture and organic volatiles, while maintaining the structural integrity of the coal and reducing the level of disintegration into powder form. The invention also notes the significantly decreased time in treating coal as compared to alternative approaches, most of which focus on moisture removal as a means of increasing calorific or BTU value.

In September, 2011, the Company filed a provisional patent application that seeks to protect a new invention for the reduction of moisture inherent in coal, and stabilization of the final product. Testing to date indicates that our stabilized product will be resistant to moisture re-absorption and safe to handle, even over long distances. The new invention draws from the scientific knowledge embedded in our existing patent, but it is an entirely new concept that is easily differentiated from the offerings of our competitors. The most novel aspect relates to the stabilization of the end product and to the ability to enhance the heat content of the coal beyond what would be normally achieved by moisture removal alone. The product is banded Pristine-M.

From a commercial perspective, Pristine-M is proving to be attractive to clients not only because of its characteristics, but because the industrial design is simple, elegant and inexpensive. We estimate that operating costs will fall between \$7 and \$8 per ton, including \$2.00 per ton on-going maintenance. The cost of the commercial plant is estimated to be in the order of 60% to 70% of competitive offerings

We expect to file for additional patents as we continue the commercialization of our technology and factory design. We intend to continue to seek worldwide protection for all our technology. The following table provides a summary of our technology to date.

Description of Patent	U.S. or Foreign Patent Application/Serial No.	Issue Date or Date Filed	Brief Description/Purpose
Process for treating coal to enhance its rank.	Issued US 6,447,559	09/10/2002	The process reduces the time, capitalization, and production costs required to produce coal of enhanced rank, thus substantially increasing the cost effectiveness and production rate over prior processes.
Continuation patent application directed to process for treating coal to enhance its rank.	Pending US Application 11/344,179 issued as Patent 7,879,117B2	02/01/2011	Continuation of parent USP 6,447,559 – seeking broader protection
	Pending in China 818174.8	11/02/2000	Counterpart to '559 US patent
	Granted in Canada 2,389,970	11/02/2000	Counterpart to '559 US patent
	Pending in EPO 992027.3	11/02/2000	Counterpart to '559 US patent
		11/02/2000	Counterpart to '559 US patent

Pending in Indonesia  
W-00200201274

	Pending in Hong Kong 3107833.3	10/30/2003	Counterpart to '559 US patent
Coal Enhancement Process	Pending PCT/US2008 International application designating all countries	4/15/2008	Improved process for increasing rank of biomass which reduces the time, capitalization, and production costs required to produce coal of enhanced rank, thus substantially increasing the cost effectiveness and production rate over prior processes.
	Pending: Australia, Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, India, Indonesia, South Africa, Republic of Mongolia.	10/14/2010	Additional PCT international Patent applications filed.
Moisture Reduction/Substitution	U.S. provisional application Serial No. 61/531,791	9/14/2011	Low-cost process for removal of moisture from coal, involving partial de-volatization and unique stabilization of product.

## Governmental Regulations

### Environmental Regulation Affecting our Potential Market

We believe that existing and proposed legislation and regulations could impact fossil fuel-fired, and specifically coal-fired, power generating facilities nationally and internationally. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, power generation emits substantial levels of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, mercury and carbon dioxide into the environment. Regulation of these emissions can affect the potential market for coal processed using our technology by imposing limits and caps on fossil fuel emissions. The most significant, existing national legislation and regulations affecting our potential market include the Clean Air Act, the Clean Air Interstate Rule and the Clean Air Mercury Rule, which are described further below.

State and regional policies may also impact our market. The Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative requires reduction in carbon dioxide emissions from electric generating units, beginning in January 2009 in 10 northeastern states. The state of California has adopted a stringent greenhouse gas policy that will affect coal-fired electricity generated in and imported into the state. And the Western Climate Initiative, a coalition of 7 western states, is working on a regional, economy-wide greenhouse gas reduction program. Additionally, states are implementing emission reduction policies more stringent than national policy, such as, requiring more stringent mercury reduction than the EPA's Clean Air Mercury Rule and Renewable Portfolio Standards requiring robust renewable electricity generation.



## Table of Contents

The following briefly describes the most significant existing national laws and regulations affecting the potential market for coal processed using our technology.

**The Clean Air Act and Acid Rain Program.** The Clean Air Act of 1970, as amended, is currently the primary mechanism for regulating emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide from coal-fired power generating facilities. A key component of the act regulates sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions. Specifically, title IV set a goal of reducing sulfur dioxide emissions by 10 million tons below 1980 levels and imposed a two-phased tightening of restrictions on fossil fuel-fired power plants. Phase I began in 1995 and focused primarily on coal-burning electric utility plants in the East and Midwest. In 2000, Phase II began and this phase tightened the annual emissions' limits on larger higher emitting plants and set restrictions on smaller, cleaner plants fired by coal, oil, and gas. The Acid Rain Program calls for a 2 million ton reduction in nitrogen oxide emission and focuses on one set of sources that emit nitrogen oxide: coal-fired electric utility boilers. Beginning in January 2000, nitrogen oxide emissions are to be reduced 900,000 tons per year beyond the 1.2 million per year reduction set by the EPA in 1995.

**Clean Air Interstate Rule.** The Clean Air Interstate Rule was finalized by the EPA in March 2005. Once fully implemented, this rule will reduce sulfur dioxide emissions in 28 states and the District of Columbia by more than 70% and nitrogen oxide emissions by more than 60% from the 2003 levels. Through the use of a cap-and-trade approach, the rule promises to achieve substantial reduction of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions. Reductions of nitrogen oxide emissions begin in January 2009, followed by reductions of sulfur dioxide emissions in January 2010. The program will be fully implemented by January 2015.

**Clean Air Mercury Rule.** The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, finalized the Clean Air Mercury Rule, or CAMR, on March 15, 2005 to reduce mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants. Phase 1 of CAMR was set to go into effect on January 1, 2010. However, on February 8, 2008, the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia vacated the rule, requiring EPA to draft a new regulation. As a result of this ruling, it is likely that individual coal-fired boilers and power plants will be held to stringent levels of mercury emission reductions instead of averaging mercury emissions across multiple plants and across the country.

### Environmental Regulation Affecting the Construction and Operation of Plants Using our Technology

In the United States, future production plants using our technology will require numerous permits, approvals and certificates from appropriate federal, state and local governmental agencies before construction of each facility can begin and will be required to comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations (including obtaining operating permits) once facilities begin production. The most significant types of permits that are typically required for commercial production facilities include an operating and construction permit under the Clean Air Act, a wastewater discharge permit under the Clean Water Act, and a treatment, storage and disposal permit under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. Some federal programs have delegated regulatory authority to the states and, as a result, facilities may be required to secure state permits. Finally, the construction of new facilities may require review under the National Environmental Policy Act, or a state equivalent, which requires analysis of environmental impacts and, potentially, the implementation of measures to avoid or minimize these environmental impacts.

Any international plants will also be subject to various permitting and operational regulations specific to each country. International initiatives, such as the Kyoto Protocol/Copenhagen Accord, are expected to create increasing pressures on the electric power generation industry on a world-wide basis to reduce emissions of various pollutants, which management expects will create additional demand for our technology.

### Research and Development

CCTI in association with SAIC is continually looking to upgrade our technology and to study and define the next generation of Clean Coal Technology. Whilst our budget does not currently allow us to allocate a specific funding for R and D, CCTI and SAIC are continuing to work on developing new technology and upgrades to our existing technology. During 2011 we invented the new Pristine M technology that is already putting CCTI at the forefront of the Global Moisture Removal Technologies. This was developed on a limited budget.

In the future, CCTI intends to allocate up to \$500,000 for R and D purposes and will continue to evaluate our progress in new and existing technologies and will seek to fund additional needs as they arise.

#### Employees

As of December 31, 2011, we had two full-time executives, and one full-time administrative employee. President and CEO Robin Eves, and Chief Operations Officer, Ignacio Ponce de Leon have written employment agreements. Effective August, 2010, the Board of Directors appointed Dr. Mitch Shapiro to serve as the Company's Secretary for which he will receive no additional compensation, other than that paid as a Director. Our administrative employee is at-will. Messrs. Eves and Ponce de Leon received no compensation for their participation on the Board of Directors.

## Table of Contents

We have an oral consulting agreement with C.J. Douglas, a shareholder who provides services that support our administrative and accounting functions on a month-to-month basis, at \$20,000 per month. Prior to the appointment of Cooper Global Communications as our investor relations firm, Mr. Douglas had also provided this function to the Company.

The terms of the agreements described above were negotiated by and between the individuals and our Board of Directors based on the qualifications and requirements of each individual and the needs of the company; however, the negotiations may not be deemed to have been at arm's length.

In 2011 CCTI employed hired Mr. Al Knapp as senior advisor to the board of directors. Mr. Knapp brings many years of industry experience to the board and will be a valuable resource as we seek to introduce and deploy the Pristine and Pristine M technology into the domestic market.

In September of 2011 CCTI hired Mr. SK Grover as a senior consultant for our Indian, Indonesian and Asian interests. Mr. Grover brings nearly 50 years of experience in the coal and coal technology industry to CCTI. He held senior positions in Coal India and Videocon and is known as an expert on the Indian coal industry throughout the region. He is proving an invaluable resource from both the marketing and technical side and is very instrumental in raising the CCTO profile throughout the region.

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We have no operating revenues yet and we have made no provision for any contingency, unexpected expenses or increases in costs that may arise.

We are a development stage company and have no revenues from operations, neither has the Company generated any funding through any form of private or public offering to use for operating expenses or research and development. Since inception, we have been able to cover our operating losses from debt and equity financing. These sources of funds may not be available to cover future operating losses. If we are not able to obtain adequate sources of funds to operate our business we may not be able to continue as a going concern.

Our business strategy and plans could be adversely affected in the event we need additional financing and are unable to obtain such funding when needed. It is possible that our available funds may not be sufficient to meet our operating expenses, development plans, and capital expenditures for the next twelve months. Insufficient funds may prevent us from implementing our business strategy or may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate certain opportunities for the commercialization of our technology. If we cannot obtain necessary funding, then we may be forced to cease operations.

We may experience delays in resolving unexpected technical issues arising in completing development of new technology that will increase development costs and postpone anticipated sales and revenues.

As we develop, refine and implement our technology, we may have to solve technical, manufacturing and/or equipment-related issues. Some of these issues are ones that we cannot anticipate because the technology we are developing is new. If we must revise existing manufacturing processes or order specialized equipment to address a particular issue, we may not meet our projected timetable for bringing commercial operations on line. Such delays may interfere with our projected operating schedules, delay our receipt of licensing and royalty revenues from operations and decrease royalties from operations.

The market in which we are attempting to sell our technology is highly competitive.

The market for our technology is highly competitive on a global basis, with a number of competitors having significantly greater resources and more established market penetration than us. Because of greater resources and more widely accepted brand names, many of our competitors may be able to adapt more quickly to changes in the markets we have targeted or devote greater resources to the development and sale of new technology products. Our ability to compete is dependent on our emerging technology which may take some time to develop market acceptance. To improve our competitive position, we may need to make significant ongoing investments in service and support, marketing, sales, research and development and intellectual property protection. We may not have sufficient resources to continue to make such investments or to secure a competitive position within the market we target.

Our business depends on the protection of our patents and other intellectual property and may suffer if we are unable to adequately protect such intellectual property.

Our success and ability to compete are substantially dependent upon our intellectual property. We rely on patent laws, trade secret protection and confidentiality or license agreements with our employees, consultants, strategic partners and others to protect our intellectual property rights. However, the steps we take to protect our intellectual property rights may be inadequate. There are events that are outside of our control that pose a threat to our intellectual property rights as well as to our products and services. For example, effective intellectual property protection may not be available in every country in which we license our technology. Also, the efforts we have taken to protect our proprietary rights may not be sufficient or effective. Any impairment of our intellectual property rights could harm our business and our ability to compete. Also, protecting our intellectual property rights is costly and time consuming. Any increase in the unauthorized use of our intellectual property could make it more expensive to do business and harm our operating results. In addition, other parties may independently develop similar or competing technologies designed around any patents that may be issued to us.

Table of Contents

We have been granted one U.S. patent and have several U.S. patent applications pending relating to certain aspects of our technology and we may seek additional patents on future innovations. Our ability to license our technology is substantially dependent on the validity and enforcement of these patents and patents pending. We cannot assure you that our patents will not be invalidated, circumvented or challenged, that patents will be issued for our patents pending, that the rights granted under the patents will provide us competitive advantages or that our current and future patent applications will be granted.

Third parties may invalidate our patents.

Third parties may seek to challenge, invalidate, circumvent or render unenforceable any patents or proprietary rights owned by or licensed to us based on, among other things:

- subsequently discovered prior art;
- lack of entitlement to the priority of an earlier, related application; or
- failure to comply with the written description, best mode, enablement or other applicable requirements.

United States patent law requires that a patent must disclose the “best mode” of creating and using the invention covered by a patent. If the inventor of a patent knows of a better way, or “best mode,” to create the invention and fails to disclose it, that failure could result in the loss of patent rights. Our decision to protect certain elements of our proprietary technologies as trade secrets and to not disclose such technologies in patent applications, may serve as a basis for third parties to challenge and ultimately invalidate certain of our related patents based on a failure to disclose the best mode of creating and using the invention claimed in the applicable patent. If a third party is successful in challenging the validity of our patents, our inability to enforce our intellectual property rights could seriously harm our business.

We may be liable for infringing the intellectual property rights of others.

Our technology may be the subject of claims of intellectual property infringement in the future. Our technology may not be able to withstand any third-party claims or rights against their use. Any intellectual property claims, with or without merit, could be time-consuming, expensive to litigate or settle, could divert resources and attention and could require us to obtain a license to use the intellectual property of third parties. We may be unable to obtain licenses from these third parties on favorable terms, if at all. Even if a license is available, we may have to pay substantial royalties to obtain it. If we cannot defend such claims or obtain necessary licenses on reasonable terms, we may be precluded from offering most or all of technology and our business and results of operations will be adversely affected.

Our ability to execute our business plan would be harmed if we are unable to retain or attract key personnel.

Our technology is being marketed by a small number of the members of our management. Our technology is being developed and refined by a small number of technical consultants. Our future success depends, to a significant extent, upon our ability to retain and attract the services of these and other key personnel. The loss of the services of one or more members of our management team or our technical consultants could hinder our ability to effectively manage our business and implement our growth strategies. Finding suitable replacements could be difficult, and competition for such personnel of similar experience is intense. We do not carry key person insurance for our officers.

Overseas development of our business is subject to international risks, which could adversely affect our ability to license profitable overseas plants.

We believe a significant portion of the growth opportunity for our business lies outside the United States. Doing business in foreign countries may expose us to many risks that are not present domestically. We lack significant experience in dealing with such risks, including political, military, privatization, technology piracy, currency exchange and repatriation risks, and higher credit risks associated with customers. In addition, it may be more difficult for us to enforce legal obligations in foreign countries, and we may be at a disadvantage in any legal proceeding within the local jurisdiction. Local laws may also limit our ability to hold a majority interest in the projects that we develop. The Company has yet to establish any representation offices outside the United States.

We do not know if coal processed using our technology is commercially viable.

We do not yet know whether coal processed using our technology can be produced and sold on a commercial basis in a cost effective manner after taking into account the cost of the feedstock, processing costs, license and royalty fees and the costs of transportation. Because we have not experienced any full scale commercial operations, we have not yet developed a guaranteed efficient cost structure. We are currently using the estimates for anticipated pricing and costs, as well as the qualities of the coal processed in the laboratory setting to make such estimates. We may experience technical problems that could make the processed coal more expensive than anticipated. Failure to address both known and unforeseen technical challenges may materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Table of Contents

We have experienced large net losses, have little liquidity and need to obtain funds for operations or we may not be able to continue.

We have incurred net losses of \$199,600,076 since inception. The net losses to date include large non-cash expenses recorded for share-based compensation for consultants and officer compensation. However, in addition to the non-cash expenses, we had other operating expenses, all funded through loans from existing shareholders. In order to meet our current operating budget and anticipated contractual obligations, we estimate that we will need an additional \$3,500,000 for 2012, based on our current contractual obligations. At December 31, 2011, we had total liabilities of \$3,065,099 and cash of only \$8,342. If we cannot obtain adequate financing from new funding sources, we will be unable to continue operations or meet our contractual obligations.

Our use of equity as an alternative to cash compensation may cause excessive dilution for our current shareholders.

Due to shortage of operating funds and low liquidity, we have issued shares and warrants as compensation for services, including board and officer compensation as well as compensation for outside consultants and other services. This form of compensation has enabled us to obtain services that would not otherwise have been available to us but it has resulted in dilution to our shareholders. Unless we are able to obtain adequate financing in the immediate future, we may be forced to continue to obtain services through the issuance of shares and warrants, resulting in additional dilution to shareholders and potentially adversely affecting any return on investment. The issuance of shares for services has consumed the majority of our previously un-issued shares, and may require us to ask our shareholders to approve an increase of our authorized shares in the near future.

Any negative results from the continuing evaluation of our technology or processed coal produced at future facility sites could have a material adverse effect on the marketability of our technology and future prospects.

We are continuing to evaluate the attributes of coal processed using our technology on a laboratory scale. We do not know if these evaluations will result in positive findings concerning the moisture content, heat value, emission-levels, burn qualities or other aspects of our processed coal. Furthermore, even if current evaluations indicate that our processed coal performs to design specifications, we do not know if later tests or larger scale processing will confirm these current results or that the processed coal will be readily accepted by the market. The process of introducing our technology into the market may be further delayed if these test results are negative or if potential licensees conduct their own tests of the processed coal to determine whether it meets their individual requirements and the results are not acceptable. We have conducted numerous tests of our technology using a variety of feed stocks in our laboratories. The ability to use feed stocks from other locations in the United States or overseas will depend on the results of future tests on different types of coal. If these tests limit the range of viable low-grade coal feed stocks for use in our process, site locations for future plants may be limited and the commercial appeal of the process may be less than anticipated. If this continuing process of evaluation and market introduction results in negative findings concerning our process, it could have a material adverse effect on the marketability of our technology and on our financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

Due to the uncertain commercial acceptance of coal processed using our technology we may not be able to realize significant licensing revenues.

While we believe that a commercial market is developing both domestically and internationally for cleaner coal products such as coal processed using our technology, we may face the following risks due to the developing market for cleaner coal technology:

- limited pricing information;
- changes in the price differential between low- and high-BTU coal;

Edgar Filing: Clean Coal Technologies Inc. - Form 10-K

- unknown costs and methods of transportation to bring processed coal to market;
- alternative fuel supplies available at a lower price;
- the cost and availability of emissions-reducing equipment or competing technologies; failure of governments to implement and enforce new environmental standards; and
- a decline in energy prices which could make processed coal less price competitive.

If we are unable to develop markets for our processed coal, our ability to generate revenues and profits will be negatively impacted.



## Table of Contents

If we are unable to successfully construct and commercialize production plants, our ability to generate profits from our technology will be impaired.

Our future success depends on our ability to secure partners to locate, develop and construct future commercial production plants and operate them at a profit. A number of different variables, risks and uncertainties affect such commercialization including:

- the complex, lengthy and costly regulatory permit and approval process;
- local opposition to development of projects, which can increase cost and delay timelines;
- increases in construction costs such as for contractors, workers and raw materials; - transportation costs and availability of transportation;
- the inability to acquire adequate amounts of low rank feedstock coal at forecasted prices to meet projected goals;
- availability of suitable consumers of chemical by-product produced by our process;
- engineering, operational and technical difficulties; and - possible price fluctuations of low-Btu coal which could impact profitability.

If we are unable to successfully address these risks, our results from operations, financial condition and cash flows may be adversely affected.

Future changes in the law may adversely affect our ability to sell our products and services.

A significant factor in expanding the potential U.S. market for coal processed using our technology is the numerous federal, state and local environmental regulations, which provide various air emission requirements for power generating facilities and industrial coal users. We believe that the use of clean-burning fuel technologies such as ours will help utility companies comply with the air emission regulations and limitations. However, we are unable to predict future regulatory changes and their impact on the demand for our technology. While more stringent laws and regulations, including mercury emission standards, limits on sulfur dioxide emissions and nitrogen oxide emissions, may increase demand for our technology, such regulations may result in reduced coal use and increased reliance on alternative fuel sources. Similarly, amendments to the numerous federal and state environmental regulations that relax emission limitations would have a material adverse effect on our prospects.

## ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

## ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We have leased executive office space at 295 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10017 and, as of this filing; have permanently closed the leased space of our operations office at 12518 W. Atlantic Blvd, Coral Springs, Florida 33071. The New York lease is on a six month basis with an automatic extension option. As a result of our successful contracts in Indonesia and the building of the plant in Oklahoma it is anticipated that we will need larger office space in the North East. We will be adding additional staff during 2012 and will upgrade our office needs accordingly.

## ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc., and our former Senior Managing Director, Douglas Hague, in addition to shareholder Larry Hunt and consultant CJ Douglas, were named as co-defendants in a lawsuit filed by a shareholder in the 15th Judicial Circuit Court in and for West Palm Beach County, Florida, Case No. 50 2010CA 028706XXXX MB on or about November 24, 2010. Plaintiff has not made a specific demand for damages; however, plaintiff has made a

general demand for damages in order to meet the Court's jurisdictional limits, which is a standard disclosure. We vigorously defended this action that the Company and its attorneys regard as absolutely frivolous, baseless and without merit. On January 24, 2011 attorneys for the plaintiff agreed to the entry of an order dismissing their lawsuit, without prejudice, allowing them twenty days to file an Amended Complaint. Attorneys for the plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint on February 22, 2011 under the same case number. We will continue to vigorously defend the action and we do not believe that the action will be materially adverse to the company. Our attorneys have put the plaintiff's counsel on notice of our intent to seek sanctions against both the plaintiff, and the plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Florida Statute Sec.57.105. Further, we have moved to dismiss the action on the basis that the Plaintiff has procedurally, factually, and legally failed to state a cause of action up which relief can be granted. In the event that this case should be advanced, we believe that we have meritorious defenses to all claims; however, an adverse decision would materially and adversely impact us.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

Table of Contents

## PART II

## ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASE OF EQUITY SECURITIES

## Market Information

Our common stock is quoted on the Pink Sheets of the National Quotation Bureau under the symbol CCTC since October 12, 2007. The following table sets forth the high and low bid prices for the Company's common stock for the periods indicated. The prices below reflect inter-dealer quotations, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions and may not represent actual transactions.

Quarter Ended	Low	High
31-Dec-11	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03
30-Sep-11	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.05
30-Jun-11	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.06
31-Mar-11	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.14
31-Dec-10	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03
30-Sep-10	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.05
30-Jun-10	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.06
31-Mar-10	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.14

Prior to the one year anniversary of the Company's Form 10 registration filing on January 14, 2009, our common stock was thinly traded on the Pink Sheets. As of January 14, 2010, CCTI had 1,712,136 free trading shares, and 423,998,140 restricted shares, the latter of which became eligible for resale into the public market through a bona fide sale, which has resulted in increased sales volumes.

The closing price of our common stock as quoted on the Pink Sheets on March 27, 2012 was \$0.09 per share. As of March 27, 2012, there were approximately 1,800 holders of record of our common stock and 593,784,000 shares of common stock outstanding based on information provided by our transfer agent, Worldwide Stock Transfer, LLC.

## Dividends

We have not paid any dividends on our common stock since our inception and do not anticipate paying any dividends in the foreseeable future. Any future determination to pay dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will be dependent upon then-existing conditions, including our financial condition, results of operations, contractual restrictions, capital requirements, business prospects and other factors our Board of Directors deems relevant.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we did not purchase any of our own equity securities.

## Recent Issues and Sales of Unregistered Securities

There has been no sale of securities for the year ending December 31, 2011. Shares issued for services in the years ended December 31, 2011 are detailed below.



Table of Contents

## Issued for Services and Debt

On June 28, 2011 we issued a total of 17,359,078 conversion shares to the beneficiaries of the debenture agreements detailed below. This disbursement represented the balance of the Company's obligation to the beneficiaries and all interest accrued as of that date, the balance of which has a maturity date of June 30, 2011. The debenture conversion price was \$0.07025 at an annual interest rate of 4%.

DATE OF DEBENTURE	NAME	PRINCIPAL AMOUNT	INTEREST AMOUNT	CONVERSION AMOUNT	SHARES @ .07025
6/30/2010	Out of The Chute	\$ 688,423	\$ 10,262	\$ 698,685	9,945,695
6/30/2010	Douglas Hague	382,703	7,591	390,294	5,555,781
6/30/2010	Edward Jennings	23,792	472	24,264	345,393
6/30/2010	Mitchell Shapiro	22,959	455	23,414	333,293
6/30/2010	Stewart Ashton	24,083	478	24,561	349,620
6/30/2010	Richard Young	26,209	519	26,728	380,474
6/30/2010	Jeffrey Miller	5,000	99	5,099	72,586
6/30/2010	Diatom Energy, LLC	19,166	381	19,547	278,245
6/30/2010	Joel Marcus	6,750	133	6,883	97,991
TOTAL		\$ 1,199,085	\$ 20,390	\$ 1,219,475	17,359,078

On January 20, 2011, Clean Coal issued 2,000,000 common shares to Ignacio Ponce De Leon for additional services rendered.

On January 20, 2011, Clean Coal issued 3,500,000 common shares to Out of the Chute for the benefit of C.J. Douglas as a bonus for services in 2010.

On January 20, 2011, Clean Coal issued 300,000 common shares to Davis Management Corp., for Investor Relation services for 2011.

On January 20, 2011, Clean Coal issued 150,000 common shares to American Marketing Services for services rendered.

On February 7, 2011, Clean Coal issued 1,000,000 common shares to Cooper Global Communications, LLC for services per an agreement. On June 8th, 2011 the CGC contract was amended whereby CGC returned 500,000 shares of common stock to the treasury of the company and cancelled the 900,000 common share options that were granted. The company immediately cancelled the 500,000 shares. Under the present contract with CGC there is no option agreement in effect.

On February 24, 2011, Clean Coal issued 60,000 common shares to Russ Schleipman for services rendered.

On May 12, 2011, Clean Coal issued 4,000,000 common shares to Out of the Chute for the benefit of CJ Douglas as a bonus for services rendered.

On May 12, 2011, Clean Coal issued 4,000,000 common shares to Ignacio Ponce De Leon per his employment contract.

On June 16, 2011, Clean Coal issued 1,000,000 common shares to Albert Knapp for services rendered as Advisor Director to the Board of Directors.

On August 5, 2011, Clean Coal issued 10,000,000 common shares to Robin Eves under his employment contract.

On October 3, 2011, Clean Coal issued 4,000,000 common shares to Ignacio Ponce De Leon as a bonus for services rendered.

## Table of Contents

During October 2011, Clean Coal issued an aggregate of 2,000,000 common shares to Robert Lindley and Perry Todd as payment of interest.

On November 4, 2011, Clean Coal issued 1,997,128 common shares to Robin Eves for the conversion of \$30,000 of debt and \$925 of interest.

During December 2011, Clean Coal issued an aggregate of 3,940,000 common shares to Robert Lindley and Steve Hamm with promissory notes issued. The shares were recorded as loan discount.

During 2011, Clean Coal issued an aggregate of 7,000,000 common shares to MMB Global Advisors for services rendered.

The above shares were issued in reliance on the exemption from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the regulations promulgated there under. The transactions were issuances for services performed, the transactions were all privately negotiated and none involved any kind of public solicitation.

## ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We are a “Smaller Reporting Company” as defined under §229.10(f)(1) of Regulation S-K and are not required to provide the information required by this Item.

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements (as referenced in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they do not materialize or prove correct, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, statements concerning: our plans, strategies and objectives for future operations; new products or developments; future economic conditions, performance or outlook; the outcome of contingencies; expected cash flows or capital expenditures; our beliefs or expectations; activities, events or developments that we intend, expect, project, believe or anticipate will or may occur in the future; and assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Forward-looking statements may be identified by their use of forward-looking terminology, such as “believes,” “expects,” “may,” “should,” “would,” “will,” “intends,” “plans,” “estimates,” “anticipates,” “projects” and similar words or expressions. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect our management’s opinions only as of the date of the filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and are not guarantees of future performance or actual results.

### Overview

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc. (“We,” “Company” or “Clean Coal”) owns a patented technology that we believe will provide cleaner energy at low costs through the use of the world’s most abundant fossil fuel, coal. Our technology is designed to utilize controlled heat to extract and capture pollutants and moisture from low-rank coal, transforming it into a cleaner-burning, more energy-efficient fuel prior to combustion. Our proprietary coal cleaning process is designed to ensure that the carbon in coal maintains its structural integrity during the heating process while the volatile matter (polluting material) within the coal turns into a gaseous state and is removed from the coal. We have trade-marked the name “PRISTINE™” as a means of differentiating our processed product from the negative connotations generally

associated with coal, and its traditional use. PRISTINE™ is applicable for a variety of applications, including coal-fired power stations, chemical byproduct extraction, and as a source fuel for coal-to-liquid technologies.

In September 2011, we filed for a second patent on a new technology known as Pristine-M™. The new technology is a moisture substitution technology that, owing to its superior product and economics, is expected to be highly successful in the moisture removal business globally.



## Table of Contents

### Factors Affecting Results of Operations

Our operating expenses include the following:

• Consulting expenses, which consist primarily of amounts paid for technology development and design and engineering services;

• General and administrative expenses, which consist primarily of salaries, commissions and related benefits paid to our employees, as well as office and travel expenses;

• Research and development expenses, which consist primarily of equipment and materials used in the development and testing of our technology; and

• Legal and professional expenses, which consist primarily of amounts paid for audit, disclosure and reporting services.

### Results of Operations

The following information should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes appearing elsewhere in this Report. We are a development stage company and have had no revenues from inception to date. We anticipate that we may not receive any significant revenues from operations until we begin to receive some revenues from our partnership share in our Chinese joint venture which we estimate will be approximately twenty-four months. We are also in preliminary discussions with companies, business groups, consortiums in the USA and Asia to license our technology, which, if successful, could realize limited short term revenue opportunities from the signing of technology licensing agreements.

For the Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

### Operating Expenses

Our operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2011 totaled \$3,160,524, compared to \$14,652,374 for the prior year. The primary component of the operating expenses in both periods was for shares issued for services. The significant decrease in compensation expense for the 2011 fiscal year is due to the differences in share prices and number of shares and warrants issued in each year.

Our CEO and President, Robin Eves has an employment agreement that provides for 14,000,000 restricted shares to be issued at contract signing, with a further 14,000,000 shares to be issued after a period of eighteen months, or following a significant contribution to the Company, as determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 10,000,000 shares of the remaining 14,000,000 shares due to Robin Eves as of August 5, 2011. Along with the accelerated vesting of shares, Mr. Eves' annual salary was raised to \$375,000 for the period August 1, 2011 through July 31, 2012. The Board of Directors also approved the issuance to Mr. Eves of options to purchase 10,000,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$.03 per share. However, the options are contingent on the adoption of a stock option and award plan intended to cover officers, directors, employees and consultants that will require us to seek an increase in our authorized capital. Our Chief Operations Officer, Ignacio Ponce de Leon's employment agreement which was effective April 1, 2011, provides for 4,000,000 restricted shares to be issued at contract signing with a further 2,000,000 restricted shares to be exercised after January 1, 2012, predicated on the future availability of the additional authorized shares required to meet this obligation. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we issued 10,000,000 common shares to Robin Eves resulting in stock-based compensation expense of \$622,296.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, we issued 450,000 shares to Davis Family Investments and American Marketing Services, unrelated third party consultants, for services valued at \$11,655, issued 7,500,000 shares to CJ Douglas, a related business consultant valued at \$194,250 for compensation. We also issued 2,000,000 shares to our

Advisor to the Board of Directors, Ignacio Ponce de Leon, as a bonus valued at \$51,800, issued 500,000 shares to Cooper Global Communications for Investor Relation services valued at \$13,200, issued 60,000 shares to Russ Schleipman for consulting services valued at \$1,920, issued 7,000,000 common shares to MMB Global Advisors for consulting services valued at \$191,867, issued 8,000,000 shares to Ignacio Ponce de Leon for service as our Chief Operating Officer valued at \$201,200 and issued 1,000,000 shares to Al Knapp for consulting services valued at \$17,000.

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2010, we recorded stock-based compensation to our former Chief Operating Officer of \$7,589,898. We also issued 20,000,000 shares to Procyon Group, LLC, an unrelated third party consultant, for consulting services valued at \$3,000,000, issued 350,000 shares to Randall Business Development, our unrelated business consultant, valued at \$44,100, issued 25,000 shares in settlement of a lawsuit valued at \$2,500, issued 16,000,000 shares (including 3,000,000 bonus) per our agreement with MMB Global Advisors, an unrelated third party consulting services firm valued at \$873,000, and issued 2,000,000 shares to Ignacio Ponce De Leon as an advisor to the Board of Directors valued at \$116,000, and issued a further 2,000,000 shares to Ignacio Ponce De Leon as our representative for Latin America valued at \$108,000, and 1,000,000 common shares each to Saurabh Bhargava, KK Shetty, and Zhihong Li for a total of 3,000,000 common shares for various operating consulting services for a value of \$192,000.

## Table of Contents

Effective August 12, 2010, we entered into a 15-month strategic financial and consulting agreement with MMB Global Advisors, an energy-related consulting firm with expertise in various emerging markets. Under the terms of the agreement, MMB will be awarded 12,000,000 restricted shares at time of contract signing, with the balance of 15,000,000 being payable over the term of the contract (1 million shares per month), or following a significant contribution to the Company, as determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors. With resources in India, China, Hong Kong, and the USA, MMB is assisting us in analyzing various corporate restructuring alternatives, and analyzing potential financial transactions according to the terms and conditions of our agreement. In this regard, MMB has undertaken certain activities on our behalf, including the following:

- a) leveraging experience and relationships in the financial community and energy industries for our benefit;
- b) suggesting and analyzing various corporate restructuring alternatives;
- c) analyzing cost/benefit analysis of various strategic partnerships;
- d) analyzing our strategic business model for optimum commercialization of our technology;
- e) counseling us as to strategy and tactics for effecting a potential business combination or business transaction;
- f) advising us as to the most advantageous structure and form of such a possible business combination or business transaction, including the form of any agreements related thereto;
- g) assisting us in obtaining appropriate information and in preparing due diligence presentations related to potential business combinations or business transactions;
- h) assisting us in securing a carbon credit certification, if applicable;
- i) introducing us to strategic partners, clients, government entities, certifying agencies, distributors, and licensees, as may be appropriate;
- j) assisting us in creating financial models and joint venture structures; and
- k) assisting us in negotiations related to a potential business combination or business transaction, as may be appropriate.

Effective June 1, 2011, we agreed with MMB to amend the Advisory Agreement with MMB to reflect a reduction of four million shares. This Amendment reduced the total number of 27,000,000 shares originally contemplated by this Agreement to 23,000,000 shares. The balance of the 2,000,000 unvested shares outstanding at June 1, 2011 was equally apportioned over the remaining months of the Agreement. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we issued an aggregate of 7,000,000 common shares to MMB Global Advisors valued at \$191,867.

For the year ended December 31, 2011, our general and administrative expenses were \$1,127,550 and \$773,732 for the same period in 2010. The increase in general and administrative expenses was due to an increase in Officers' salaries.

## Net Loss

For the year ended December 31, 2011 we incurred net losses of \$3,285,553 compared to net losses of \$14,970,387 for the prior year. For the year ended December 31, 2011, we had interest expense of \$127,979, and in the prior year period, we had interest expense of \$213,753.

We expect that losses from operations will increase during the next twelve months due to anticipated increased payroll expenses as we add necessary staff. We may also experience increases in legal and accounting expenses associated with maintaining a reporting company. We expect that we will continue to have net losses from operations for several years until revenues from operating facilities become sufficient to offset operating expenses, unless we are successful in the sale of license agreements for our technology.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have generated no revenues since inception, nor have we generated any funding through any form of private or public offering. We have obtained cash for operating expenses solely through advances and/or loans from affiliates and stockholders, and a single stock sale totaling \$80,000 in 2010. In 2011, we continued to fund the company through advances and/or loans from affiliates and stockholders.

Net Cash Used in Operating Activities.

Our primary sources of operating cash during the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 was in the form of loans from related and unrelated parties. Loans are in the form of notes which bear a 0% to a 10% interest rate and 12-month maturity and/or on demand. Our primary uses of funds in operations were payments made to our administrative employee, legal and professional fees, as well as travel and office expenses.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in operating activities was \$777,490 for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to net cash used of \$458,328 for fiscal 2010. The increase in cash used in operating activities in 2011 was due primarily to a reduction in shares issued for services. Consequently, more of the Company's 2011 expenses were paid in cash when compared to 2010. Non-cash items in 2011 included shares issued for services valued at \$1,380,912, depreciation expense of \$204, amortization of debt discounts of \$13,312 and interest expense paid in stock of \$39,000. Non-cash items in 2010 included shares issued for services valued at \$13,297,790, depreciation expense of \$204, loss on shares issued for debt of \$35,287, shares issued for settlement of \$2,500, loss on extinguishment of liabilities of \$105,250, beneficial conversion feature on converted debt of \$50,000 and interest expense converted to debt of \$7,996. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we experienced an increase in prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$16,405, an increase in accounts payable of \$121,980, an increase in related party payables of \$319,774 and an increase in accrued liabilities of \$649,286. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we experienced a decrease in accounts payable of \$50,318, an increase in related party payables of \$944,129 and an increase in accrued liabilities of \$119,221. Net cash used in operating activities from inception through December 31, 2011 totaled \$3,105,695.

## Net Cash Used In Investing Activities.

We did not engage in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2011 or 2010. From inception through December 31, 2011, net cash used in investing activities totaled \$11,339 for the purchase of fixed assets and an investment in a joint venture.

## Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities.

Net cash provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2011 totaled \$780,386, consisting of loans from third parties, advances from related parties, loans from related parties, payments made on related party debt and payments made on third party debt. Net cash provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2010 totaled \$453,914, consisting of loans from third parties, advances from related parties, loans from related parties, payments made on related party debt and proceeds from the sale of common stock. From inception through December 31, 2011, net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$3,125,376 consisting of loans and advances from related and third parties as well as proceeds from the sale of common stock.

## Cash Position and Outstanding Indebtedness

Our total indebtedness at December 31, 2011 was \$3,065,099, which consisted of current liabilities of \$3,065,099. Current liabilities consists primarily of accounts payable, accounts payable to related parties, advances from related parties, debt owed to related parties, short-term debt and accrued liabilities. At December 31, 2011, we had current assets of \$24,747 consisting of cash of \$8,342 and prepaid expenses of \$16,405. We had property, plant and equipment (net of accumulated depreciation) of \$331 at December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, we had a working capital deficit of \$3,040,352.

## Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations and other commercial commitments at December 31, 2011.

	Total	Payments due by period			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	After 5 years
Facility lease (1)	\$ 11,766	\$ 11,766	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 11,766	\$ 11,766	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

(1) Our New York lease expired December 31, 2011, and has been extended for a six month period at a 10% increase in the rent.

SAIC Energy Environment & Infrastructure (SEE&I), our engineering consultant has tentatively estimated construction costs for each one million short ton coal complete cleaning facility of approximately \$250 million (excluding land costs) or costs for a similar size Pristine-M-only facility of approximately \$45-50 million (excluding land costs). Under the terms of our consulting agreement with SEE&I, we are obligated to pay to SEE&I a fee representing five percent of all gross revenues received by us from the sale of our technology, the operation of franchised plants utilizing the technology, or revenue received on any other basis that is related to the technology. This fee will remain in effect for a period of 15 years, commencing from the date that we receive our initial revenue stream from operations. All intellectual property rights associated with new art developed by SEE&I remain our property, however SEE&I would have a "right to use" the intellectual property provided they are deployed in non-competitive projects.

## Table of Contents

We expect we will need \$3,500,000 to sustain operations for the next twelve months. Therefore, based on our current operational costs and including the capital requirements for our project deployments, we estimate we will need a total of approximately \$3,500,000 to fund the Company for the fiscal year 2012 and an additional \$5,000,000 to continue for the following fiscal year (2013) or until the initial plant is up and running.

At this filing date, Jindal Steel and Power, Ltd. (“Jindal”) and the Company have agreed to execute a Technology License Agreement (“TLA”) in lieu of the formerly announced Joint Venture Agreement contemplated under the Memorandum of Understanding signed with the Company on January 27, 2012. The decision to enter into the TLA was arrived at jointly and is beneficial for both companies as it allows Jindal to focus on its core business model in Indonesia of supplying dry, high-quality coal to their steel and power businesses in India while allowing the Company to focus on the development and marketing of its technology to third parties.

For its ASEAN region joint venture initiative, the Company has instead agreed to binding terms for a joint venture with the Archean Group (“AGPL”) to develop, deploy and market their Pristine M technology throughout the ASEAN region (including Indonesia, the Philippines, Cambodia, Vietnam, Malaysia, Brunei, Thailand, Laos and Myanmar). The joint venture company (“JV”) will be 55% AGPL and 45% Clean Coal. For its 55% holding, AGPL has committed to contribute US \$4,000,000 to the JV. Of this, US \$2,000,000 will be used to fund the construction of a 1:10-scale pilot plant in Oklahoma. The remaining US \$2,000,000 represents a one-time license fee to be paid to Clean Coal upon successful commissioning of the pilot plant.

Construction of the pilot plant in Oklahoma will commence immediately upon execution of an EPC contract and a down payment to SAIC Energy Environment & Infrastructure (“SAIC”) by the JV. It is expected that the EPC contract will be formalized this month and construction of the plant will begin in April. Under the binding terms of the agreement, AGPL will pay a US \$1.00 (one dollar) per ton ongoing royalty fee for all coal processed from AGPL majority-owned mines, with a waiver for the first two million tons of coal produced.

For its 45% interest in the joint venture, the Company will contribute a 25-year exclusive license to develop, market and deploy Pristine M Technology, covering the ASEAN countries including Indonesia, the Philippines, Cambodia, Vietnam, Malaysia, Brunei, Thailand, Laos and Myanmar. Clean Coal has also committed to cover pilot plant construction costs, if any, above US \$2,000,000.

As part of the agreement, AGPL has made an investment of two million US Dollars (\$2,000,000) in Clean Coal. For this investment, the Company has issued AGPL a convertible debenture which will represent, upon conversion and subject to the availability of authorized capital and the terms of the debenture, 6.7 % of the outstanding shares of the Company as of the effective date of the binding terms agreement. AGPL will also nominate a board member to be appointed to the Company’s board of directors. The Company has also agreed to issue an option to AGPL to purchase an additional equity stake, to increase AGPL’s equity stake up to a total of 20% of the Company’s outstanding stock, at a discount to the market price at the date of exercise of the option. Both the conversion of the debenture and the exercise of the option are conditioned on Clean Coal having available authorized capital to issue the requisite shares. In order to do so, the Company intends to hold a shareholders’ meeting and seek to obtain shareholder approval as soon as possible. We are also actively pursuing technology license and royalty agreements in order to begin construction of other facilities without incurring the capital costs associated with the construction of future plants.

In March, CCTI entered into a Representative Agreement with Cross Border Associates from Singapore, represented by their managing Director Mr. Ravi Gopalan for the specific purpose of concluding the Archean transaction. Cross Border Associates had introduced Archean to CCTI in January and when it became clear that a transaction would transpire we signed the agreed Representative Agreement. The Agreement provides for Cross Border associates to receive a 6% fee on the first USD 6,000,000 received by CCTI / SAIC. CCTI has a right to elect to pay in cash or stock CCTI has elected to pay the fee owed on the first USD 2,000,000 in stock. Cross Borders will receive 1,090910

of restricted stock.

In March of 2012 CCTI agreed to pay Mr. SK Grover, our representative in India a monthly retainer of \$6,000 commencing in April 2012. His agreement was amended accordingly.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not and do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of establishing off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Therefore, we do not believe we are exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

#### ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to changes in prevailing market interest rates affecting the return on our investments but do not consider this interest rate market risk exposure to be material to our financial condition or results of operations. We invest primarily in United States Treasury instruments with short-term (less than one year) maturities. The carrying amount of these investments approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities. Under our current policies, we do not use derivative financial instruments, derivative commodity instruments or other financial instruments to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates or commodity prices.



Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our financial statements required by this item are included on the pages immediately following the Index to Financial Statements appearing below.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS INDEX

	PAGE
<u>Audit Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	20
<u>Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	21
<u>Statements of Expenses for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and from October 20, 1997 (Inception) through December 31, 2011</u>	22
<u>Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Deficit from October 20, 1997 (Inception) through December 31, 2011</u>	23
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and from Inception (October 20, 1997) through December 31, 2011</u>	25
<u>Notes to Financial Statements for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	27

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors of  
Clean Coal Technologies, Inc.  
A Development Stage Company  
New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Clean Coal Technologies, Inc. (a development stage company) (the "Company") as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the related statements of expenses, stockholders' deficit, and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Clean Coal Technologies, Inc. as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 3 to the financial statements, the Company has not generated revenue since its inception, has incurred losses in developing its business, and further losses are anticipated and has a working capital deficiency. The Company requires additional funds to meet its obligations and the costs of its operations. These factors raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding those matters also are described in Note 3. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/MaloneBailey, LLP  
www.malonebailey.com  
Houston, Texas  
March 29, 2012

Table of Contents

Clean Coal Technologies Inc  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Balance Sheets

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets		
Cash	\$8,342	\$5,446
Prepaid expenses	16,405	-
<b>Total Current Assets</b>	<b>24,747</b>	<b>5,446</b>
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$688 and \$484, respectively	331	535
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$25,078</b>	<b>\$5,981</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$427,850	\$305,870
Accounts payable to related parties	613,961	294,187
Advances from related parties	-	171,914
Accrued liabilities	703,172	75,201
Short-term debt, net of unamortized discounts of \$54,919 and \$0, respectively	417,384	73,003
Debt owed to related parties	902,732	379,732
<b>Total Current Liabilities</b>	<b>3,065,099</b>	<b>1,299,907</b>
Long-term debt	-	30,916
Debt owed to related parties	-	1,168,169
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>3,065,099</b>	<b>2,498,992</b>
Stockholders' Deficit		
Common stock, \$0.00001 par value; 600,000,000 shares authorized, 593,784,000 and 531,977,794 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	5,938	5,320
Additional paid-in capital	196,554,117	193,816,192
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(199,600,076)	(196,314,523)
<b>Total Stockholders' Deficit</b>	<b>(3,040,021 )</b>	<b>(2,493,011 )</b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit</b>	<b>\$25,078</b>	<b>\$5,981</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc.  
(A Development Stage Enterprise)  
Statements of Expenses

	Years Ended December 31,		(Unaudited) October 20, 1997 (Inception) Through December 31, 2011
	2011	2010	
Operating Expenses:			
General and administrative	\$1,127,550	\$773,732	\$3,715,884
Research and development	-	-	374,912
Consulting services	2,032,974	13,878,642	174,281,465
<b>Loss from Operations</b>	<b>(3,160,524 )</b>	<b>(14,652,374 )</b>	<b>(178,372,261)</b>
Other Income (Expenses):			
Interest Expense	(127,979 )	(213,753 )	(669,571 )
Other income	2,950	990	7,540
Other expenses	-	-	(18,985 )
Loss on extinguishment of debt	-	(105,250 )	(146,607 )
Gain on change in derivative liability	-	-	7,598,481
<b>Total Other Income (Expenses)</b>	<b>(125,029 )</b>	<b>(318,013 )</b>	<b>6,770,858</b>
<b>Net loss</b>	<b>\$(3,285,553 )</b>	<b>\$(14,970,387 )</b>	<b>\$(171,601,403)</b>
<b>Net loss per share - basic and diluted</b>	<b>\$(0.01 )</b>	<b>\$(0.03 )</b>	
Weighted average common shares outstanding -			
basic and diluted	565,250,440	472,693,849	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Clean Coal Technologies Inc  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Statement of Stockholders' Deficit  
October 20, 1997 (Inception) through December 31, 2011  
with Inception to December 31, 2005 (Unaudited)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional	Deficit	Stockholders'
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	Accumulated During the Development Stage	Deficit
Balances at October 20, 1997 (Inception)	-	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Founder's shares	-	-	41,500,000	415	7,885	-	8,300
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(8,300 )	(8,300 )
Balances at December 31, 1997	-	-	41,500,000	415	7,885	(8,300 )	-
Recapitalization from reverse merger - shares retained by shell owners	-	-	8,500,000	85	(85 )	-	-
Common stock issued for debt	-	-	2,250,000	23	427	-	450
Preferred stock issued for debt	480,837	481	-	-	380,356	-	380,837
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(359,139 )	(359,139 )
Balances at December 31, 1998	480,837	481	52,250,000	523	388,583	(367,439 )	22,148
Common stock issued for services	-	-	6,250,000	62	1,188	-	1,250
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(360,064 )	(360,064 )
Balances at December 31, 1999	480,837	481	58,500,000	585	389,771	(727,503 )	(336,666 )
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(307,568 )	(307,568 )
Balances at December 31, 2000	480,837	481	58,500,000	585	389,771	(1,035,071 )	(644,234 )
Common stock issued for services	-	-	14,400,000	144	2,736	-	2,880
Common stock issued for debt	-	-	1,852,900	19	387,863	-	387,882

Edgar Filing: Clean Coal Technologies Inc. - Form 10-K

Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(330,337 )	(330,337 )
Balances at December 31, 2001	480,837	481	74,752,900	748	780,370	(1,365,408 )	(583,809 )
Common stock issued for services	-	-	14,000,000	140	2,660	-	2,800
Common stock issued for debt	-	-	2,404,185	24	480,813	-	480,837
Preferred stock converted to common stock	(480,837 )	(481 )	10,000,000	100	381	-	-
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(55,585 )	(55,585 )
Balances at December 31, 2002	-	-	101,157,085	1,012	1,264,224	(1,420,993 )	(155,757 )
Common stock issued for services	-	-	2,500,000	25	475	-	500
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(31,377 )	(31,377 )
Balances at December 31, 2003	-	-	103,657,085	1,037	1,264,699	(1,452,370 )	(186,634 )
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(11,970 )	(11,970 )
Balances at December 31, 2004	-	-	103,657,085	1,037	1,264,699	(1,464,340 )	(198,604 )
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(25,551 )	(25,551 )
Balances at December 31, 2005	-	-	103,657,085	1,037	1,264,699	(1,489,891 )	(224,155 )
Common stock issued for services	-	-	46,307,500	463	8,800	-	9,263
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(23,359 )	(23,359 )
Balances at December 31, 2006	-	-	149,964,585	1,500	1,273,499	(1,513,250 )	(238,251 )
Common stock issued for services	-	-	39,281,895	393	29,754,569	-	29,754,962
Accrued stock-based compensation	-	-	-	-	8,445,127	-	8,445,127
Common stock issued to CCSI under reorganization	-	-	114,178,000	1,142	(1,142 )	-	-
Common stock issued for debt and interest	-	-	30,000	-	140,400	-	140,400

Recapitalization from reverse merger with Riverside – shares retained by shell owners	-	-	105,636,500	1,056	(1,056 )	-	-
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(39,132,611)	(39,132,611)
Balances at December 31, 2007	-	\$ -	409,090,980	\$ 4,091	\$ 39,611,397	\$ (40,645,861)	\$ (1,030,373 )

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Clean Coal Technologies Inc  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Statement of Stockholders' Deficit  
October 20, 1997 (Inception) through December 31, 2011  
with Inception to December 31, 2005 (Unaudited) (continued)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional	Deficit	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	Accumulated During the	Stockholders'
						Development	Deficit
						Shares	
Common stock returned to Clean Coal and cancelled	-	\$ -	(400,000 )	\$ (4 )	\$ 4	\$ -	\$ -
Common stock issued for services	-	-	21,998,489	220	80,702,938	-	80,703,158
Warrant expense	-	-	-	-	16,490,517	-	16,490,517
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(98,691,302 )	(98,691,302 )
Balances at December 31, 2008	-	-	430,689,469	4,307	136,804,856	(139,337,163 )	(2,528,000 )
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle - January 1, 2009 reclassification of embedded feature of equity-linked financial instruments to derivative liabilities	-	-	-	-	(16,490,517 )	(27,998,673 )	(44,489,190 )
Derivative liability removed due to warrants exercised	-	-	-	-	36,890,709	-	36,890,709
Common stock issued for services	-	-	5,851,516	59	20,465,716	-	20,465,775
Common stock issued	-	-	8,814,483	88	(88 )	-	-



Edgar Filing: Clean Coal Technologies Inc. - Form 10-K

upon cashless exercise of warrants							
Cancellation of common stock	-	-	(5,000,000 )	(50 )	50	-	-
Forgiveness of related party debt	-	-	-	-	69,553	-	69,553
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(14,008,300 )	(14,008,300 )
Balances at December 31, 2009	-	-	440,355,468	4,404	177,740,279	(181,344,136)	(3,599,453 )
Common stock sold for cash	-	-	2,000,000	20	79,980	-	80,000
Common stock issued for services	-	-	69,468,182	695	13,065,628	-	13,066,323
Accrued stock-based compensation	-	-	-	-	231,467	-	231,467
Common stock returned to the company and cancelled	-	-	(25,000,000 )	(250 )	250	-	-
Common stock issued for debt, liabilities and interest	-	-	45,129,144	451	2,646,088	-	2,646,539
Common stock issued for settlement	-	-	25,000	-	2,500	-	2,500
Beneficial conversion feature on converted debt	-	-	-	-	50,000	-	50,000
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(14,970,387 )	(14,970,387 )
Balances at December 31, 2010	-	-	531,977,794	5,320	193,816,192	(196,314,523)	(2,493,011 )
Common stock issued for services	-	-	36,510,000	365	1,380,547	-	1,380,912
Common stock issued upon conversion of debt and interest	-	-	19,356,206	194	1,250,206	-	1,250,400
	-	-	3,940,000	39	68,192	-	68,231

Common stock issued with debt							
Common stock issued for interest expense	-	-	2,000,000	20	38,980	-	39,000
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(3,285,553 )	(3,285,553 )
Balances at December 31, 2011	-	\$ -	593,784,000	\$ 5,938	\$ 196,554,117	\$ (199,600,076)	\$ (3,040,021 )

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Clean Coal Technologies Inc  
(A Development Stage Enterprise)  
Statements of Cash Flows

	Years Ended December 31,		(Unaudited) October 20, 1997 (Inception) Through December 31, 2011
	2011	2010	
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net loss	\$(3,285,553 )	\$(14,970,387 )	\$(171,601,403)
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation expense	204	204	6,008
Amortization of debt discounts	13,312	-	13,312
Shares issued for settlement of lawsuit	-	2,500	2,500
Shares issued for services	1,380,912	13,297,790	154,072,768
Warrant expense	-	-	16,490,517
Loss on extinguishment of debt	-	105,250	146,607
Loss on shares issued for debt	-	35,287	35,287
Beneficial conversion feature on converted debt	-	50,000	50,000
Interest expense paid in shares	39,000	-	233,332
Interest converted to debt	-	7,996	117,392
Write-off of asset	-	-	16,015
Gain on derivative liability	-	-	(7,598,481 )
Gain on write-off of accounts payable	-	-	(87,404 )
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(16,405 )	-	(27,420 )
Accounts payable	121,980	(50,318 )	543,587
Accounts payable - related party	319,774	944,129	3,775,179
Accrued liabilities	649,286	119,221	706,509
Cash Used In Operating Activities	(777,490 )	(458,328 )	(3,105,695 )
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Purchase of fixed assets	-	-	(6,339 )
Investment in joint venture	-	-	(5,000 )
Cash Used in Investing Activities	-	-	(11,339 )
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Proceeds from the sale of stock	-	80,000	80,000
Advances from related parties	208,086	171,914	696,000
Borrowings on related party debt	173,000	170,000	1,879,576
Payments on related party debt	-	(3,000 )	(3,000 )
Borrowings on debt	449,300	35,000	522,800
Payments on debt	(50,000 )	-	(50,000 )
Cash Provided by Financing Activities	780,386	453,914	3,125,376
<b>NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>2,896</b>	<b>(4,414 )</b>	<b>8,342</b>

Edgar Filing: Clean Coal Technologies Inc. - Form 10-K

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - beginning of period	5,446	9,860	-
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - end of period	\$8,342	\$5,446	\$8,342

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Clean Coal Technologies Inc  
(A Development Stage Enterprise)  
Statements of Cash Flows  
(continued)

	Years Ended December 31,		(Unaudited) October 20, 1997 (Inception) Through December 31, 2011
	2011	2010	
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES:</b>			
Cash paid for interest	\$2,764	\$1,270	\$4,034
Cash paid for income taxes	-	-	-
<b>NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Forgiveness of related party debt and accrued interest	\$-	\$-	\$69,553
Derivative liability removed due to warrants exercised	-	-	36,890,709
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	-	-	44,489,190
Preferred stock issued for related party debt	-	-	380,837
Preferred stock converted to common stock	-	-	481
Accrued interest converted to debt	-	7,508	-
Accrued interest converted to related party debt	-	79,287	-
Payables converted to debt	-	28,333	28,333
Related party payables and advances converted to debt	380,000	1,317,472	3,196,664
Common stock issued for debt, liabilities and accrued interest	31,530	110,973	135,332
Common stock issued for related party debt, liabilities and accrued interest	1,218,870	2,395,029	4,394,899
Common stock issued with debt	68,231	-	68,231

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc.  
(A Development Stage Company)  
Notes to Financial Statements  
With Inception to December 31, 2005 (Unaudited)

NOTE 1: NATURE OF BUSINESS

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc. (“CCTI” or the “Company” or “Clean Coal”), a Nevada corporation, was originally chartered in Delaware on September 17, 1986 under the name of Video Delivery, Inc. In August 2007, Riverside Information Technologies, Inc. (a successor to Video Delivery Inc.) changed its domicile from Delaware to Nevada. On September 6, 2007 Riverside Technologies, Inc., changed its name to Clean Coal Technologies, Inc., (“CCTI”) domiciled in Nevada. CCTI was formed with the intent to acquire, by merger, a separate company that held a patented multi-stage process that transforms coal with high levels of impurities, contaminants and other polluting elements into an exceptionally efficient, clean and inexpensive source of high energy, low polluting fuel.

On November 19, 2007, CCTI completed a share exchange agreement with Clean Coal Systems, Inc, a Florida corporation, (“CCSI”) whereby CCTI exchanged 294,784,480 (as adjusted after stock splits) common shares, which represented approximately 74% of CCTI for all of the outstanding stock of CCSI. CCTI shareholders held 105,636,500 shares of CCTI common stock prior to and after the merger. Control of CCTI was obtained in August 2007 by a shareholder of CCSI in order to complete the merger with CCSI. The combined companies now operate under the name “Clean Coal Technologies, Inc.” CCSI was established in May 7, 2007 and merged with Saudi American Minerals, Inc. (“SAMI”) in September 2007. Because CCTI was non operating and had no assets or liabilities prior to the merger, for accounting purposes, the merger between CCSI and CCTI was treated as a reverse merger and recapitalization with CCSI (formerly SAMI) being the “accounting acquirer”. The historical financial statements and related disclosures presented herein for the period prior to the date of merger (November 19, 2007) are those of CCSI (formerly SAMI). The historical financial statements and related disclosures of CCSI are those of SAMI.

Consolidated Energy International, Inc, was formed on May 8, 1998, and subsequently moved its domicile from Illinois to Nevada. On June 9, 1998, Golden Triangle Development Cooperation, a Nevada corporation, acquired Consolidated Energy International, Inc. On June 16, 1998, Golden Triangle Development Corporation changed its name to Consolidated Energy Inc. On October 20, 1999, Consolidated Energy Inc. changed its name to Saudi American Minerals, Inc., (“SAMI”). Golden Triangle Corporation, a Nevada corporation merged with Consolidated Energy International Inc., whereby Consolidated Energy International received 41,500,000 shares of Golden Triangle for all of the outstanding shares of Consolidated Energy International. Prior to the merger Golden Triangle had 8,500,000 shares outstanding.

In September 2007, CCSI merged with SAMI as both entities were controlled by the same shareholders. SAMI issued the CCSI shareholders 114,178,000 shares of common stock for all of CCSI’s common stock. CCSI did not have any operations prior to the merger with SAMI. The acquisition of SAMI by CCSI was accounted for as a common control merger combining the results of SAMI and CCSI. The combination of SAMI and CCSI operated under the name “Clean Coal Systems, Inc.” SAMI was the operating company and its operations were continued by the combined entities CCSI.

NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure on contingent assets and liabilities

at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### Development Stage Enterprise

Clean Coal has a limited operating history upon which to base an evaluation of the current business and future prospects and has yet to commercialize on its technology. Clean Coal will continue to be considered to be in a development stage until it has begun significant operations and is generating significant revenues. The date of inception is October 20, 1997 (formation of SAMI).

#### Earnings per Common Share

Basic earnings per share are computed on the basis of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each year. Diluted earnings per share are the same as basic earnings per share as common stock equivalent.

## Table of Contents

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Clean Coal considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents for purposes of preparing its Statement of Cash Flows.

### Federal Income Tax

Clean Coal accounts for income taxes pursuant to the provisions of FASB ASC 740 which requires an asset and liability approach to calculating deferred income taxes. The asset and liability approach requires the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities.

### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consists of furniture and fixtures and computer equipment, recorded at cost, depreciated upon placement in service over estimated useful lives ranging from three to five years on a straight-line basis. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, Clean Coal had property and equipment of \$331 and \$535, respectively, net of accumulated depreciation of \$688 and \$484, respectively. Expenditures for normal repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred. Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 totaled \$204 each year.

### Impairment of Long Lived Assets

In the event facts and circumstances indicate the carrying value of a long-lived asset, including associated intangibles, may be impaired, an evaluation of recoverability is performed by comparing the estimated future undiscounted cash flows associated with the asset to the asset's carrying amount to determine if a write-down to market value or discounted cash flow is required. There was no impairment recorded during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

### Research and Development Costs

Research and development expenses include salaries, related employee expenses, research expenses and consulting fees. All costs for research and development activities are expensed as incurred. Clean Coal expenses the costs of licenses of patents and the prosecution of patents until the issuance of such patents and the commercialization of related products is reasonably assured.

### Stock-based Compensation

FASB ASC 718 established financial accounting and reporting standards for stock-based employee compensation plans. It defines a fair value based method of accounting for an employee stock option or similar equity instrument. Clean Coal accounts for compensation cost for stock option plans in accordance with FASB ASC 718. Clean Coal accounts for share based payments to non-employees in accordance with FASB ASC 505-50.

### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

The Company does not expect the adoption of any recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

### NOTE 3: GOING CONCERN



The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis of accounting which contemplates continuity of operations, realization of assets, liabilities, and commitments in the normal course of business. The accompanying financial statements do not reflect any adjustments that might result if the Clean Coal is unable to continue as a going concern. Clean Coal has an accumulated deficit and a working capital deficit as of December 31, 2011 with no revenues anticipated for the near term. Management believes Clean Coal will need to raise capital in order to operate over the next 12 months. As shown in the accompanying financial statements, Clean Coal has also incurred significant losses since inception. Clean Coal's continuation as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to generate sufficient cash flow to meet its obligations on a timely basis and ultimately to attain profitability. Clean Coal has limited capital with which to pursue its business plan. There can be no assurance that Clean Coal's future operations will be significant and profitable, or that Clean Coal will have sufficient resources to meet its objectives. These conditions raise substantial doubt as to Clean Coal's ability to continue as a going concern. Management may pursue either debt or equity financing or a combination of both, in order to raise sufficient capital to meet Clean Coal's financial requirements over the next twelve months and to fund its business plan. There is no assurance that management will be successful in raising additional funds.

Table of Contents

## NOTE 4: RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

## Debt from related parties

On June 30, 2010, Clean Coal converted \$1,317,472 of related party payables and advances, \$79,287 of accrued interest and \$939,576 of previously issued related party debt into long-term related party notes. The modified notes were unsecured, had a maturity date of June 30, 2012 and an interest rate of 10% per annum. The notes contained a conversion feature whereby they become convertible on December 31, 2010 into shares of Clean Coal's common stock at a price of \$0.045 per share. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion options under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined they qualify as beneficial conversion features. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion features on June 30, 2010 was determined to be \$623,023. Due to the conversion options being contingent, the beneficial conversion features were not recognized. On November 30, 2010, Clean Coal modified the terms of these related party notes again. Under the second modification, the interest rate in the notes was reduced to 4% per annum. In addition, the conversion options in the notes were changed whereby the conversion rate was reduced to \$0.07025 and 50% of the notes become convertible on December 31, 2010 and the other 50% become convertible on June 30, 2011. Clean Coal evaluated the new conversion options under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined that they did not qualify as beneficial conversion features. These two modifications occurred within one year. Clean Coal evaluated the modification under FASB ASC 470-50 and determined it qualified as a debt extinguishment due to a substantive conversion option being added. There was no gain or loss as a result of the extinguishment. On December 15, 2010, these note holders converted \$1,168,169 of unpaid principal and \$47,110 of accrued interest into 17,299,360 common shares at the conversion rate of \$0.07025. On June 30, 2011, Clean Coal converted the remaining \$1,168,169 of related party debt and \$19,776 of accrued interest to an aggregate of 16,910,256 common shares.

On July 1, 2011, advances from related parties totaling \$380,000 were converted to a convertible note. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 8% per annum and matures June 30, 2012. This note becomes convertible into Clean Coal common stock at \$0.018 per share on January 1, 2012. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it does not contain a beneficial conversion feature.

On July 22, 2011, Clean Coal borrowed \$143,000 from its President and Chief Executive Officer. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 12.5% per annum and matures October 30, 2011. The note is payable in Clean Coal common stock at \$0.0155 per share. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it does not contain a beneficial conversion feature. As of December 31, 2011, this note was past due and payable on demand.

On August 8, 2011, Clean Coal borrowed \$30,000 from its President and Chief Executive Officer. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 12.5% per annum and matured November 8, 2011. The note was payable in 1,935,484 shares of Clean Coal common stock. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it does not contain a beneficial conversion feature. On November 4, 2011, this \$30,000 note and \$925 of interest were converted into 1,997,128 common shares.

A summary of the debt from related parties outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

Loan Date	Note Holder	Maturity Date	Interest Rate		December 31,	
					2011	2010
June 30, 2008	Equimune Research Corp	June 30, 2010	10.0	%	\$252,641	\$252,641
September 30, 2009	Enviro Fuels Mfg, Inc.	Demand	0.0	%	98,091	98,091
September 30, 2009	Enviro Fuels Mfg, Inc.	Demand	0.0	%	500	500
October 31, 2009	Enviro Fuels Mfg, Inc.	Demand	0.0	%	3,000	3,000

Edgar Filing: Clean Coal Technologies Inc. - Form 10-K

November 30, 2009	Enviro Fuels Mfg, Inc.	Demand	0.0	%	500	500
March 8, 2010	Enviro Fuels Mfg, Inc.	September 8, 2010	10.0	%	25,000	25,000
June 30, 2010	Douglas Hague	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	382,703
June 30, 2010	C.J. Douglas	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	688,424
June 30, 2010	Edward Jennings	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	23,792
June 30, 2010	Mitchell Shapiro	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	22,959
June 30, 2010	Stewart Ashton	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	24,083
June 30, 2010	Richard Young	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	26,208
July 1, 2011	Out of The Chute Ltd.	June 30, 2012	0.0	%	380,000	-
September 30, 2011	Robin Eves	Demand	12.5	%	143,000	-
					\$902,732	\$1,547,901

All of the notes listed above are unsecured. On February 24, 2012, demand for payment was made on the Enviro Fuels Mfg, Inc. notes listed above aggregating \$127,091. The amount is currently past due.

Table of Contents

Advances from related parties

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, unpaid advances from related parties totaled \$0 and \$171,914, respectively. These advances are unsecured, due on demand and bear no interest.

Accounts payable to related parties

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, unpaid services provided by related parties totaled \$613,961 and \$294,187, respectively, which was included in accounts payable from related parties.

NOTE 5: NOTES PAYABLE

Between May and October 2010, loans totaling \$23,500 matured and were immediately converted, along with \$2,367 of accrued interest, into an aggregate of 646,925 common shares. The fair value of the shares issued was \$51,734. Clean Coal recognized additional interest expense of \$25,867 as a result of the conversion.

On February 23, 2010, Clean Coal borrowed \$15,000 from an unrelated third party. The note was unsecured, bore interest at 10% per annum and matured August 23, 2010. As part of the borrowing, the terms of \$15,000 previously borrowed from the party in 2009 were modified whereby the maturity date was extended from June 30, 2010 to August 23, 2010. In addition, as part of the modification, a contingent conversion option was added whereby the loan became convertible upon maturity, contingent upon the note not being repaid prior to maturity, into shares of Clean Coal's common stock at a rate of \$0.038 per share. Clean Coal evaluated the modification under FASB ASC 470-50 and determined it qualified as a debt extinguishment due to a substantive conversion option being added. There was no gain or loss as a result of the extinguishment. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it qualified as a beneficial conversion feature. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature on February 23, 2010 was \$30,000. On August 23, 2010, the entire \$30,000 note and the accrued interest of \$1,929 were converted into 840,231 common shares. As a result of the contingency being resolved, the entire beneficial conversion feature of \$30,000 was recognized as interest expense.

On May 5, 2010, Clean Coal borrowed \$20,000 from an unrelated third party. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 10% per annum and matures November 5, 2010. The note becomes convertible upon maturity, contingent upon the note not being repaid prior to maturity, into shares of Clean Coal's common stock at a rate of \$0.05 per share upon maturity. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it qualified as a beneficial conversion feature. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature on May 5, 2010 was determined to be \$20,000. On November 5, 2010, the note was not yet repaid. Accordingly, the beneficial conversion feature of \$20,000 was recognized as interest expense on that date. On November 9, 2010, the note holder converted the principal of \$20,000 and accrued interest of \$1,014 into 844,787 common shares. The fair value of the shares was determined to be \$50,433 resulting in additional interest expense of \$9,419 being recognized.

On June 30, 2010, Clean Coal modified the terms of previously issued third party notes. As part of the modification, \$33,500 of previously issued debt and \$28,333 of accounts payable was converted into three new notes with a total principal amount of \$61,833. The new notes were unsecured, had a maturity date of June 30, 2012 and an interest rate of 10% per annum. In addition, as part of the modification, contingent conversion options were added whereby the loans were to become convertible upon maturity into shares of Clean Coal's common stock at a rate of \$0.045 per share. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion options under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined they qualified as a beneficial conversion features. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion features on June 30, 2010 was determined to be \$16,489. Due to the conversion options being contingent, the beneficial conversion features was not recognized. On November 30, 2010, Clean Coal modified the terms of these notes again. Under the second modification, the interest rate in the notes was reduced to 4% per annum. In addition, the conversion options in the

notes were changed whereby the conversion rate was reduced to \$0.07025 and 50% of the notes become convertible on December 31, 2010 and the other 50% become convertible on June 30, 2011. Clean Coal evaluated the new conversion options under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined that they did not qualify as beneficial conversion features. These two modifications occurred within one year. Clean Coal evaluated the modification under FASB ASC 470-50 and determined it qualified as a debt extinguishment due to a substantive conversion option being added. There was no gain or loss as a result of the extinguishment. On December 15, 2010, these note holders converted \$30,916 of unpaid principal and \$1,247 of accrued interest into 457,841 common shares at the conversion rate of \$0.07025. On June 28, 2011, these note holders converted the remaining \$30,916 of unpaid principal and \$614 of accrued interest into 448,822 common shares.

During 2011, Clean Coal borrowed an additional \$2,300 and repaid an aggregate of \$50,000 of previously issued notes payable.

On August 25, 2011, Clean Coal borrowed \$25,000. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 10% per annum and matures August 25, 2012. The note becomes convertible into shares of Clean Coal common stock at \$0.013 per share on August 25, 2012. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it does not contain a beneficial conversion feature.

Table of Contents

On August 29, 2011, Clean Coal borrowed \$25,000. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 10% per annum and matures August 29, 2012. The note becomes convertible into shares of Clean Coal common stock at \$0.014 per share on August 29, 2012. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it does not contain a beneficial conversion feature.

On September 13, 2011, Clean Coal borrowed \$50,000 under a note which is unsecured, bears interest at 15% per annum beginning October 13, 2011 and matures October 13, 2011. If the note is repaid prior to maturity, Clean Coal is required to issue the lender 500,000 common shares. If the note is not repaid by maturity, Clean Coal is required to issue the lender 1,000,000 common shares. The note was not repaid as of October 13, 2011 and Clean Coal issued the lender 1,000,000 common shares on that date. The shares were valued at \$22,000 and recorded as interest expense.

On September 15, 2011, Clean Coal borrowed \$50,000 under a note which is unsecured, bears interest at 15% per annum beginning November 1, 2011 and matures November 1, 2011. If the note is repaid prior to maturity, Clean Coal is required to issue the lender 500,000 common shares. If the note is not repaid by maturity, Clean Coal is required to issue the lender 1,000,000 common shares. The note was not repaid as of November 1, 2011 and Clean Coal issued the lender 1,000,000 common shares on that date. The shares were valued at \$17,000 and recorded as interest expense.

On November 10, 2011, Clean Coal borrowed \$100,000 under a note that is unsecured, bears interest at 5% per annum and matures March 9, 2012. If the note is not repaid by maturity, Clean Coal is required to issue the lender 1,000,000 common shares.

During December 2011, Clean Coal borrowed an aggregate of \$197,000 under four notes. The notes are unsecured, bear interest at 10% per annum, beginning March 1, 2012, and mature March 31, 2012. In connection with the notes, Clean Coal issued the lenders an aggregate of 3,940,000 common shares. The relative fair value of the shares was determined to be \$68,231 was recorded as loan discounts. The discounts are being amortized over the life of the loans using the effective interest rate method. During the year ended December 31, 2011, amortization expense of \$13,312 was recorded.

NOTE 6: EQUITY TRANSACTIONS

Common Stock

On August 9, 2010 Clean Coal entered into an employment agreement with Robin Eves as President and Chief Executive Officer.

Under the employment agreement, President and Chief Executive Officer is entitled to a stock bonus of 28,000,000 common shares. Under the terms of the employment agreement, the stock is to be awarded as follows: 50% of the shares to be exercisable at the signing of the agreement, and the balance of 50% exercisable after January 31, 2012, or on the accomplishment of a significant business objective as established by the Board of Directors. Clean Coal calculated the fair value of the award based upon the closing stock price on the grant date of August 9, 2010 and is expensing the award over the award periods. The total fair value of the award was determined to be \$1,736,000. During the year ended December 31, 2010, 10,000,000 shares were issued under this award and a total of \$1,099,467 was expensed. On August 5, 2011, Clean Coal accelerated the vesting of 10,000,000 of the common shares. The vesting of these shares was modified whereby the 10,000,000 shares were fully earned and issued on August 5, 2011. The remaining 4,000,000 common shares will be earned on January 31, 2012. Clean Coal fully expensed the fair value of the award associated with the 10,000,000 shares that were accelerated during 2011. A total of \$622,296 was recognized as share-based compensation under this award for the year ended December 31, 2011. A total of 24,000,000 shares have been issued under the agreement and are shown as outstanding in the Statement of Stockholders' Deficit. The unvested portion of the award of \$56,489 will be recognized over the remaining award

period through January 31, 2012.

On April 1, 2011 Clean Coal entered into an employment agreement with Ignacio Ponce De Leon as Chief Operating Officer. Under the employment agreement, the Chief Operating Officer is entitled to a stock bonus of 2,000,000 common shares. Under the terms of the employment agreement, the stock vests on January 1, 2012. Clean Coal calculated the fair value of the award based upon the closing stock price on the grant date of April 1, 2011 and is expensing the award over the vesting period. The total fair value of the award was determined to be \$76,000. A total of \$75,724 was recognized as share-based compensation under this award for the year ended December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, no shares have been issued under the agreement. The unvested portion of the award of \$276 will be recognized over the remaining vesting period through January 1, 2012.

On August 12, 2010, Clean Coal entered into a 15-month strategic financial and consulting agreement with MMB Global Advisors. Under the terms of the agreement, MMB was to be awarded 12,000,000 restricted shares at the time of the contract signing with 15,000,000 additional shares to be awarded over the term of the contract (1 million shares per month). On June 1, 2010, Clean Coal amended the Advisory Agreement with MMB Global Advisors dated August 12, 2010 to reflect a reduction of four million shares. This Amendment reduced the total number of 27,000,000 shares originally awarded by this agreement, to 23,000,000 shares. As of the date of the amendment, 21,000,000 shares had vested under the agreement. The unvested balance of the remaining 2,000,000 shares was earned over the remaining months of the agreement through November 2011. During the year ended December 31, 2011, a total of 7,000,000 common shares were issued and expensed at \$191,867 under this agreement. During the year ended December 31, 2010, a total of 16,000,000 common shares were issued and expensed at \$873,000 under this agreement.

In addition to the shares described above, during the year ended December 31, 2011, Clean Coal issued an aggregate of 19,510,000 common shares for services valued at \$491,025, issued 19,356,206 common shares for \$1,250,400 of third party and related party debt and accrued interest, issued 3,940,000 common shares in connection with notes payable for which debt discounts of \$68,231 were recorded and issued 2,000,000 common shares as payment of \$39,000 of interest expense.

## Table of Contents

On August 9, 2010, Douglas Hague the prior President and Chief Executive Officer resigned and accepted the position of Chief Operating Officer under the same terms and conditions as stated in his original contract dated of November 1, 2007 wherein the President and Chief Executive Officer is entitled to a stock bonus of 15,404,549 common shares. The stock is to be awarded as follows: 33% on December 31, 2008, 33% on December 31, 2009 and 33% on December 31, 2010 contingent upon employment with Clean Coal on each date. Clean Coal calculated the value of the award based upon the closing stock price on the reporting date December 31, 2007 and is expensing the award over the award periods. A total of 5,168,182 common shares were issued and \$7,589,898 was recognized as share-based compensation under this award for the year ended December 31, 2010. The \$7,589,898 is reflected as common stock issued for services in the Statement of Stockholders' Deficit. As of December, 31, 2011 a total of 15,404,549 shares have been issued under the agreement and are shown as outstanding in the Statement of Stockholders' Deficit.

On July 29, 2010, 25,000,000 common shares previously issued on October 8, 2008 were transferred to a related party, Larry Hunt, to repay debt of \$443,730, accrued interest of \$75,466, and payables of \$660,554 due to Larry Hunt totaling \$1,179,750. The fair value of the shares was determined to be \$1,285,000 resulting in a loss on the extinguishment of liabilities totaling \$105,250.

In addition to the shares listed above, during the year ended December 31, 2010, Clean Coal issued a total of 34,300,000 common shares for services valued at \$3,735,425, issued 25,000 common shares for a settlement valued at \$2,500, issued 20,129,144 common shares for debt and interest valued at \$1,361,539 and issued 2,000,000 common shares for cash proceeds of \$80,000.

### Common Stock Options

On February 7, 2011, Clean Coal entered into an investor relations advisor agreement and granted the advisor 900,000 common stock options. The options are exercisable at \$0.0264 per share, expire on February 7, 2016 and vest 75,000 options per month over one year. Clean Coal estimated the fair value of the options to be \$20,647 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The significant assumptions used in the model included the following: the closing price of Clean Coal's common stock on the date of grant of \$0.026, no expected dividend yield, expected volatility of 180.34%, risk free interest rate of 1.28% and an expected term of 2.77 years. On June, 8, 2011, the investor relations agreement was amended to reflect a lesser number of services, with a corresponding 50% reduction in monthly fees, a one-time share payment, and the elimination of all previous stock option provisions. Due to the stock options being cancelled, there was no expense recorded for these options. The one-time share payment represents 500,000 shares that were issued and valued at \$13,200 and are included in the 19,510,000 shares disclosed above as issued for services during 2011.

On August 5, 2011, Clean Coal approved the issuance to Robin Eves of options to purchase 10,000,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.03 per share. However, the option award is contingent on the Company's adoption of a stock option and award plan intended to cover officers, directors, employees and consultants that will require a shareholders' meeting to approve an increase in Clean Coal's authorized capital. As of December 31, 2011, these options have not been granted.

### Common Stock Warrants

There were no warrants issued during the years ended December 31, 2011 or 2010 and there were no warrants outstanding or exercisable at December 31, 2011 or 2010.

### NOTE 7: INCOME TAXES



Clean Coal uses the liability method, where deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial and income tax reporting purposes. During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, Clean Coal incurred net losses and, therefore, has no tax liability. The net deferred tax asset generated by the loss carry-forward has been fully reserved. The cumulative net operating loss carry-forward is approximately \$6,965,157 at December 31, 2011, and will begin to expire in the year 2025. Section 382 of the Internal Revenue code limits the use of net operating losses where a change of control has occurred. The Company has changed control multiple times since inception resulting in such limitations.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, deferred tax assets consisted of the following:

	2011	2010
Net operating loss carry-forward	\$ 2,437,805	\$ 2,294,602
Valuation allowance	(2,437,805)	(2,294,602)
Net deferred tax asset	\$ -	\$ -

## NOTE 8: OPERATING LEASES

During 2010, Clean Coal entered into two operating leases for its offices in Coral Springs Florida, and its Executive offices in Manhattan, New York. The Coral Springs lease required monthly rent payments of \$3,383 and expired on December 31, 2011. The Coral Springs lease was not renewed. The New York lease requires monthly rent payments of \$1,850 and expired on June 30, 2011 at a monthly rate of \$1,850 per month. The New York lease was renewed on a month-to-month basis with monthly rent amounts of \$2,035.

## NOTE 9: COMMITMENT AND CONTINGENCIES

Clean Coal has a contract with its President and CEO, that if and when Clean Coal sells control through common stock, the individual is entitled to the outstanding balance of the 28,000,000 bonus shares which would be considered as fully vested and payable to the Executive. The total shares remaining to be issued as of December 31, 2011 are 4,000,000.

On November 29, 2010, Clean Coal entered into a sales representation and commission agreement with Morgan Brown Ayres & Company ("Morgan Brown"). The agreement has a term of two years. Under the agreement, Morgan Brown is entitled to 6% of the license fee on any license agreement sold by Morgan Brown plus a royalty over the term of each license agreement sold equal to the greater of 12.5% of the per ton royalty fee paid to Clean Coal or \$0.25 per processed ton of coal.

## Litigation

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc., and our former Senior Managing Director, Douglas Hague, in addition to shareholder Larry Hunt and consultant CJ Douglas, were named as co-defendants in a lawsuit filed by a shareholder in the 15th Judicial Circuit Court in and for West Palm Beach County, Florida, Case No. 50 2010CA 028706XXXX MB on or about November 24, 2010. Plaintiff has not made a specific demand for damages; however, plaintiff has made a general demand for damages in order to meet the Court's jurisdictional limits, which is a standard disclosure. We vigorously defended this action that the Company and its attorneys regard as absolutely frivolous, baseless and without merit. On January 24, 2011 attorneys for the plaintiff agreed to the entry of an order dismissing their lawsuit, without prejudice, allowing them twenty days to file an Amended Complaint. Attorneys for the plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint on February 22, 2011 under the same case number. We will continue to vigorously defend the action and we do not believe that the action will be materially adverse to the company. Our attorneys have put the plaintiff's counsel on notice of our intent to seek sanctions against both the plaintiff, and the plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Florida Statute Sec.57.105. Further, we have moved to dismiss the action on the basis that the Plaintiff has procedurally, factually, and legally failed to state a cause of action up which relief can be granted. In the event that this case should be advanced, we believe that we have meritorious defenses to all claims; however, an adverse decision would materially and adversely impact us.

## NOTE 10: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Between January and March 2012, Clean Coal borrowed an aggregate of \$458,000 from various third parties and authorized the issuance of an aggregate of 7,200,000 common shares with the notes, with the issuances subject to shareholder approval of an increase in the Company's authorized capital. The notes are unsecured and mature between February 29, 2012 and March 31, 2012. The notes bear interest at 10% per annum beginning on the maturity dates of the notes.

On February 27, 2012, the Company authorized bonuses to officers and employees totaling \$802,000 cash plus the issuance of 25.6 million shares of common stock, with the issuances subject to shareholder approval of an increase in the Company's authorized capital. Of the cash awards, \$52,000 has been paid with the remaining amounts accrued.

On March 23, 2012, Clean Coal borrowed \$2,000,000 through the issuance of a convertible promissory note. The note is unsecured, bears no interest and is convertible into common shares of Clean Coal equal to 6.7% of the outstanding stock on the date of conversion subject to shareholder approval of an increase in the Company's authorized capital.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There have been no changes in our independent accountants, MaloneBailey, LLP, or disagreements with them on matters of accounting or financial disclosure.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of December 31, 2011, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (the same person), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our financial disclosure controls and procedures were not effective due to our limited internal resources and lack of ability to have multiple levels of transaction review.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for the preparation and integrity of our published consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP and, accordingly, include amounts based on judgments and estimates made by management. Management also prepared the other information included in the annual report and is responsible for its accuracy and consistency with the consolidated financial statements.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining a system of internal control over financial reporting, which is intended to provide reasonable assurance to our management and Board of Directors regarding the reliability of our consolidated financial statements. The system includes but is not limited to:

- a documented organizational structure and division of responsibility;
- established policies and procedures to foster a strong ethical climate which is communicated throughout the Company;
- regular reviews of our consolidated financial statements by qualified individuals; and
- the careful selection, training and development of our employees and personnel.

There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of internal control, including the possibility of human error and the circumvention or overriding of controls. Also, the effectiveness of an internal control system may change over time. We have implemented a system of internal control that was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP.

Management has assessed our internal control system in relation to criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in "Internal Control-Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations ("COSO") of the Treadway Commission. Based upon these criteria, we believe that, as of December 31, 2011, our system of internal control over financial reporting was not effective due to material weaknesses that were identified. The material weaknesses are caused by our limited internal resources and limited personnel. We presently have only two officers and one employee. The material weaknesses include no segregation of duties within the Company, there is no management oversight, no control documentation being produced, and no one to review control documentation if it was being produced.

### Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in disclosure controls and procedures that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially effect, our disclosure controls and procedures. We do not expect to implement any changes to our disclosure controls and procedures until there is a significant change in our operations or capital resources.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission for smaller reporting companies that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Table of Contents

## PART III

## ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The executive officers and directors of the Company are as follows:

Name	Age	Position	Held Since
Robin T. Eves	61	CEO, President, Director	August 2010
Ignacio Ponce de Leon	61	COO, Director	April 2011
Edward Jennings	74	Chairman of the Board	September, 2007
Mitch Shapiro	61	Director	September, 2007
Douglas Hague (1)	65	COO, Director	September 2007 to June 30, 2011

(1) Mr. Hague resigned as an officer and director effective July 1, 2011.

Certain biographical information with respect to our current officers and directors is set forth below.

Robin Eves brings an extensive background in all aspects of the global energy business, including experience in crude and refined products for power production, including gas and coal, as well as related emissions controls. From February 2009 through the present, he has served as the CEO of Atlantic Energy Group Ltd., a global energy company developing a major storage and pipeline initiative in South Carolina and the build-out of a global trading business in London, Singapore and the rest of Asia. From the period March 2005 to January 2009 he worked with Oil Trade and Transport LLC, working closely with Sempra Energy Trading. He was responsible for business development in Russia, India and the Middle East. Also during the period, from March 2003 to February 2005, Mr. Eves served as Managing Director and global head of crude and refined products for United Bank of Switzerland. From October 2002 to February 2003, Mr. Eves acted as a consultant for Barclays Capital in London, hired to do an extensive due diligence on the Russian/former Soviet Union markets in preparation for Barclays' possible re-entry into those markets. From February 1990 to September 2002, Mr. Eves served as Managing Director for Synergy International SA/Magna Oil and Gas LLC/CCL Oil, where he was responsible for all trading and structured transactions. Prior to that time, from 1987 to 1990, Mr. Eves served as Vice-President and global head of products trading, and from 1976 to 1987, worked in various positions with Cargill.

Ignacio Ponce de Leon was appointed as Chief Operating Officer and a member of the Company's board of directors on April 1, 2011. Mr. Ponce de Leon had been serving as Senior Advisor to the Company's Board of Directors since August 2010. Mr. Ponce de Leon brings over 30 years of professional experience to CCTI, including over 25 years on Wall Street. Since January 2009, he has worked as an independent consultant to companies in the electric power industry and to a New York-based private equity fund. From August 2006 until December 2008, Mr. Ponce de Leon worked for Capital Advisory Partners as an Associate Partner. From April 1995 to January 2005, he worked at JP Morgan where he formed what became Wall Street's leading fixed income research team covering corporations globally in Emerging Markets. From February 1992 to March 1995, he served as Vice President, Equities Research, at CS First Boston, covering the Carlos Slim Group in Mexico, Cemex, and the engineering construction sector. Prior to that, he served in various capacities at Chemical Bank (March 1984 to March 1987) and Bankers Trust Company (March 1987 to February 1992). Mr. Ponce de Leon began his professional life in November 1979 at the National Planning Department, Presidency of the Republic of Colombia where he worked on the \$3 billion Carbocol/Exxon

joint venture to develop Cerrejon, one of the largest coal mines in the world, annually exporting over 35 million tons of thermal coal. Mr. Ponce de Leon was graduated from the London School of Economics (BSc. Econ, 1973) and from the Fletcher School of Law & Diplomacy (Tufts-Harvard, 1979) with an M.A.L.D. He is fluent in Spanish and has working proficiency in Portuguese and French.

Dr. Edward Jennings is currently the Chairman of the Board for the Company. He was previously President Emeritus and Professor of Finance at Ohio State University. For the past five years, Dr. Jennings has managed his own investments and acted as a private business consultant to non-related interests. Dr. Jennings was engaged in several university leadership assignments including President, Ohio State University, 1981-1990; President, University of Wyoming, 1979-1981; and Vice President of Finance and University Studies, University of Iowa, 1976-1979. He has had faculty assignments at the University of Iowa, University of Dar Es Salaam, and the University of Hawaii. Dr. Jennings has been widely published in major academic journals and is the co-author of a basic investment textbook now in its fourth printing. He has traveled extensively in the Far East, Europe, and Africa on various trade missions, and assisted in the development of academic ties with numerous international universities. Education: University of North Carolina, BS in Industrial Management; Case Western Reserve University, MBA in Finance; University of Michigan, Ph.D. in Finance.

Mitchell Shapiro is currently the Managing Partner and CEO of Architecting the Future™, a consulting and private equity firm that serves universities and corporations in implementing significant transformations and building competitive advantage, a position he has held since September 2008. Mr. Shapiro served as Dean of the School of Business at the University of Indianapolis, Indianapolis, Indiana from July 2004 until September 2008. From 1999-2004, Mr. Shapiro served a variety of companies in connection with his leadership of First Insight Value Acceleration Services, Cincinnati, Ohio, a business consulting firm. From 2002 to 2004, he was a board member of OMERIS, Columbus, Ohio, the state-funded entity responsible for enhancing Ohio's global leadership position in life sciences, biotech, medical devices, pharmaceuticals and healthcare. From 1996 to 2004, Mr. Shapiro was a principal and board director for Arexis Pharmaceuticals and OralTech, Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio. From 2000 to 2001, he also served as President and Vice Chairman of Molecular Robotics, Inc., Los Angeles, California, a nanotechnology commercialization company. From 1996 to 1999, he was Managing Director of Generic Trading/Carlin Equities, Cincinnati, Ohio. From 1992 to 1995, he served as a director for Neuromedical Systems, Inc. From 1986 to 1996, he was President of T-Shirt City, Inc., Cincinnati, Ohio, and major wholesale distributor, and from 1984 to 1986, he was President of The Galt Group, Inc. Cincinnati, Ohio. He has held positions as Associate Professor of Management and Director of the Master of Business Administration Program at Northern Kentucky University as well as positions at SUNY Buffalo, New York, and Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Mr. Shapiro earned a Ph. D. in Business Administration (1976) from The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, an M.B.A. from the College of Administrative Science (1975) The Ohio State University, and a B.A. in Political Science (1972) from the University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio

## Table of Contents

All directors will hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders (currently estimated to be held before the end of 2012) and until their successors have been duly elected and qualified. There are no agreements with respect to the election of directors. Vacancies on the Board of Directors during the year may be filled by the majority vote of the directors in office at the time of the vacancy without action by the stockholders.

At this filing date, we have not yet formed board committees. The entire board of directors acts as the audit committee. We have not adopted a Code of Ethics for our officers, directors and employees but one is under consideration and we expect to adopt it in fiscal 2012.

We compensate our non-employee directors for monthly meetings at a rate of \$1,000 for meetings via conference call and \$3,000 for in-person meetings. In addition, each non-employee director is entitled to an annual fee of \$5,000, prorated by the number of monthly meetings attended in that year. In 2011, all meetings were via telephone conference. Directors are also reimbursed for expenses incurred in connection with their board service. To date, all cash fees due to directors are being accrued pending the receipt of additional funding. Effective June 30, 2010, all accrued fees due to current directors were converted to convertible debentures with 50% of the obligation of fees and accrued interest were eligible to convert on December 31, 2010, and the balance with accrued interest will be eligible to convert on June 30, 2011, with the debenture maturing on June 30, 2012. As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, accrued director's fees payable totaled \$95,170 and \$73,918, respectively. Officers are appointed annually by our Board of Directors and each executive officer serves at the discretion of the Board. We do not yet have any standing committees and the entire board acts as the audit committee. The board has one regularly scheduled meeting per month and may schedule additional meetings as necessary.

No director or officer has, within the past ten years, filed any bankruptcy petition, been convicted in or been the subject of any pending criminal proceedings, or is any such person the subject of any order, judgment, or decree involving the violation of any state or federal securities laws.

All of our present non-employee directors, have other employment or sources of income and will routinely devote only such time to the Company necessary to maintain its viability. It is estimated that each director will devote approximately 2 days per month to the Company's corporate activities.

Currently, there is no arrangement, agreement or understanding between the Company's management and non-management stockholders under which non-management stockholders may directly or indirectly participate in or influence the management of the Company's affairs. Present management openly accepts and appreciates any input or suggestions from the Company's stockholders. There are no agreements or understandings for any officer or director of the Company to resign at the request of another person and none of the current officers or directors of the Company are acting on behalf of, or will act at the direction of any other person.

## ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

### Compensation Discussion and Analysis

At this time, we do not have a compensation committee or a fully developed compensation policy. We have only two executive officers, our CEO and president, and our Chief Operations Officer. Their employment agreements were negotiated by the board of directors with the terms based on the board's assessment of their qualifications and requirements.

We anticipate establishing a compensation committee sometime in the next 12 months. The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes prospectively the expected duties, responsibilities and role of our future Compensation Committee as well as the material elements of our planned compensation for our future executive



officers. The information below provides the description of compensation policies that we intend to make applicable to executive officers and other highly compensated individuals under employment and/or consulting arrangements in the future.

#### Planned Objectives of Our Compensation Program

The primary objective of our compensation program, including our executive compensation program, will be to maintain a compensation program that will fairly compensate our executives and employees, attract and retain qualified executives and employees who are able to contribute to our long term success, encourage performance consistent with clearly defined corporate goals and align our executives' long term interests with those of our stockholders. To that end, our future compensation practices will be intended to:

1. Tie total compensation to the Company's performance and individual performance in achieving financial and non-financial objectives; and
2. Align senior management's interests with stockholders' interests through long term equity incentive compensation.

#### Expected Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee, once formed, will determine the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and, in consultation with the Chief Executive Officer, and our other executive officers. In addition, the Compensation Committee will be responsible for adopting, reviewing and administering our compensation policies and programs, including any cash bonus incentive plan or equity incentive plan that we may adopt. We anticipate that our Compensation Committee will adhere to a compensation philosophy that (i) seeks to attract and retain qualified executives who will add to the long term success of the Company, (ii) promotes the achievement of operational and strategic objectives, and (iii) compensates executives commensurate with each executive's level of performance, level of responsibility and overall contribution to the success of the Company.

## Table of Contents

In determining the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and our other executive officers, the Compensation Committee expects to consider the financial condition and operational performance of the Company during the prior year. In determining the compensation for executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer, the Compensation Committee plans to consider the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer.

The Compensation Committee will review the compensation practices of other companies, based in part on market survey data and other statistical data relating to executive compensation obtained through industry publications and other sources. The Compensation Committee does not intend to benchmark the Company's compensation program directly with other publicly traded companies or other companies with which we may compete for potential executives since some of these competitors are privately held companies for which executive compensation information may not be available. However, the Compensation Committee intends to compare our executive compensation program as a whole with the programs of other companies for which survey data is available, and will also compare the pay of individual executives if the jobs are sufficiently similar to make the comparison meaningful. The Compensation Committee plans to use such survey data primarily to ensure that our executive compensation program as a whole will be competitive.

### Components of Future Executive Compensation

We anticipate that our future executive employment agreements will provide that employees will be compensated by salary and bonus, with bonuses potentially including cash and equity components. The specific elements of the future compensation program are not determined but will most likely include base salary, an annual cash performance bonus and long term equity incentives. Our compensation program will be designed to provide our executives with incentives to achieve our short, and long term performance goals and to pay competitive base salaries. Each executive officer's current and prior compensation will be considered in setting future compensation.

In addition, we expect employment agreements with our executive officers to provide for other benefits, including potential payments upon termination of employment. Once established, the compensation committee will consider all of the above components in determining the exact makeup of the total executive compensation package as well as the factors to be applied in establishing each component.

### Perquisites and Other Benefits

At this time, we do not expect to provide perquisites or personal benefits to future executive officers, other than the payment of health insurance premiums and a monthly automotive allowance of \$750.

### Employment Agreements

Our CEO and President, Robin Eves has an employment agreement that provides for 14,000,000 restricted shares to be issued at contract signing, with a further 14,000,000 shares to be issued after a period of eighteen months, or following a significant contribution to the Company, as determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 10,000,000 shares of the remaining 14,000,000 shares due to Mr. Eves as of August 5, 2011. Along with the accelerated vesting of shares, Mr. Eves' annual salary was raised to \$375,000 for the period August 1, 2011 through July 31, 2012. The Board of Directors also approved the issuance to Mr. Eves of options to purchase 10,000,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$.03 per share. However, the options are contingent on the adoption of a stock option and award plan intended to cover officers, directors, employees and consultants that will require us to seek an increase in our authorized capital. Mr. Eves is also entitled to a monthly automobile allowance of \$750, plus healthcare benefits.

Our Chief Operations Officer, Ignacio Ponce de Leon's employment agreement which was effective April 1, 2011, provides for 4,000,000 restricted shares to be issued at contract signing with a further 2,000,000 restricted shares to be exercised after January 1, 2012, predicated on the future availability of the additional authorized shares required to meet this obligation. Mr. Ponce de Leon's annual salary is \$350,000 through March 31, 2012 with provisions for a cost of living increase for extensions of the agreement. Mr. Ponce de Leon is also entitled to a monthly automobile allowance of \$750, plus healthcare benefits.

Neither Mr. Eves, nor Mr. Ponce de Leon is compensated for their contributions to the Board of Directors.

We have not entered into employment agreements with any other officers, directors, or any other persons and no such agreements are anticipated in the immediate future. Compensation to our non-employee directors has been deferred since June 30, 2010, and until such time as business operations provide sufficient cash flow to pay cash compensation.

#### Other Key Employees and Consultants

We have an oral consulting agreement with CJ Douglas, a shareholder who provides services that support our administrative, investor relations, and accounting functions on a month-to-month basis, at \$20,000 per month.

The terms of the agreement described above were negotiated by and between the individual and our board of directors based on the qualifications and requirements of each individual and the needs of the company, however, the negotiations may not be deemed to have been at arm's length.

#### Employee Benefits

When we have adequate financing, we intend to offer employee health insurance benefits coverage to provide our workforce with a reasonable level of financial support in the event of illness or injury. It is our intention to offer health insurance benefits to all full time employees, including executive officers.

Table of Contents

## Accounting Matters

We have adopted the provisions of ACS 718 Compensation – Stock Compensation which requires the fair value of options to be recorded as compensation cost in the consolidated financial statements. In the event that we decide to include options in our future compensation package, the issuance of options will likely result in additional compensation costs being recognized.

## Stock Ownership Requirements

The Board of Directors has encouraged its members to acquire and maintain stock in the Company to link the interests of such persons to the stockholders. However, the Board of Directors has not established stock ownership guidelines for members of the Board of Directors or the executive officers.

We have accrued directors' fees since September 2007. On June 30, 2010, the accrued fees were converted to convertible debentures for our current directors. The related expense for Directors fees included in operating expenses totaled \$21,252 and \$58,418 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Company has not adopted any other bonus, profit sharing, or deferred compensation plan.

The following table sets forth, for the last two years, the dollar value of all cash and non-cash compensation earned by the Company's named executive officers.

## SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Officers Name & Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Robin Eves, Pres and CEO (1)	2011	302,083	-	622,296	-	-	924,379
	2010	-	-	1,099,467	-	-	1,099,467
Ignacio Ponce de Leon, COO from 4/11(2)	2011	262,500	-	328,724	-	-	591,224
	2010	-	-	-	-	-	-
Douglas Hague, COO until 4/11(3) Director until 06/11	2011	62,000	-	-	-	-	62,000
	2010	259,000	50,000	7,589,898	-	-	7,898,898

(1) Per Mr. Eves' employment agreement, Mr. Eves is entitled to a total stock award of 28,000,000 shares. Under his agreement, 14,000,000 shares were awarded on August 16, 2010, with a further 14,000,000 shares to be issued after a period of eighteen months, or following a significant contribution to the Company, as determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors. As of December 31, 2011, 24,000,000 shares have been issued under the award with the remaining 4,000,000 shares to be earned through January 31, 2012.

(2) Mr. Ponce de Leon is entitled to a stock bonus of 2,000,000 common shares. Under the terms of the employment agreement, the stock vests on January 1, 2012. A total of \$75,724 was recognized as share-based compensation under this award for the year ended December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, no shares have been issued under the agreement. The unvested portion of the award of \$276 will be recognized over the remaining vesting period through January 1, 2012. In addition, an aggregate of 10,000,000 common shares valued at \$253,000 were issued to Ignacio Ponce de Leon during 2011.

(3) Mr. Hague has received a total of \$50,000 in cash and had accrued compensation totaling \$739,167 as of June 30, 2010 at which time this obligation was converted to a convertible debenture. Accrued compensation as of December 31, 2010 totaled \$129,500. The Stock award to Mr. Hague was per his employment agreement whereby he was entitled to a stock bonus of common shares. The stock was awarded as follows: 33% on December 31, 2008, 33% on December 31, 2009 and 33% on December 31, 2010 contingent upon continued employment with us on each date. A total of \$7,589,898 was recognized as share-based compensation under this award for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth, for the current year, the dollar value of all cash and non-cash compensation for the Company's directors.

## DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Name	Year	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Non Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Robin Eves	2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ignacio Ponce de Leon(1)	2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ed Jennings	2011	8,501	-	-	-	-	-	8,501
Mitch Shapiro Douglas	2011	12,751	-	-	-	-	-	12,751
Hague(2)	2011	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

(1) Mr. Ponce de Leon was appointed to the Board of Directors in April 2011.

(2) Mr. Hague resigned as a director in July 2011.

## ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth information, to the best of the Company's knowledge, as of March 27, 2012, with respect to each person known by the Company to own beneficially more than 5% of the 593,784,000 shares of our issued and outstanding common stock, as well as the beneficial ownership of each director and officer and all directors and officers as a group. Except as otherwise indicated, each of the stockholders listed below has sole voting and investment power over the shares beneficially owned.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
None(1)		
Officers and Directors	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership(1)	Percent of Class
Robin Eves, President, CEO, Director	11,073,917	1.86%
Ignacio Ponce de Leon, COO, Director	14,000,000	2.36%
Edward Jennings, Director	2,897,727	0.49%
Mitch Shapiro, Director	1,923,254	0.32%
All directors and officers as a group (4 persons)	29,894,898	5.03%

(1) The Company has no documentation that would indicate that any of the shares listed above are pledged as security. None of the above has the right to acquire any additional shares within 60 days through the exercise of options, warrants, rights, conversion privileges or otherwise.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Agreements

We have an oral consulting agreement with CJ Douglas, a shareholder who provides services that support our administrative, investor relations, and accounting functions on a month-to-month basis. Mr. Douglas has acted in a support capacity for us since inception. His services are currently valued at \$20,000 per month.

## Table of Contents

The terms of the consulting agreement described above were negotiated by and between the individuals and our board of directors based on the qualifications and requirements of each individual and the needs of the company, however, the negotiations may not be deemed to have been at arms' length.

Our CEO and President, Robin Eves has an employment agreement that provides for 14,000,000 restricted shares to be issued at contract signing, with a further 14,000,000 shares to be issued after a period of eighteen months, or following a significant contribution to the Company, as determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors authorized the issuance of 10,000,000 shares of the remaining 14,000,000 shares due to Robin Eves as of August 5, 2011. Along with the accelerated vesting of shares, Mr. Eves' annual salary was raised to \$375,000 for the period August 1, 2011 through July 31, 2012. The Board of Directors also approved the issuance to Mr. Eves of options to purchase 10,000,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$.03 per share. However, the options are contingent on the adoption of a stock option and award plan intended to cover officers, directors, employees and consultants that will require us to seek an increase in our authorized capital.

Our Chief Operations Officer, Ignacio Ponce de Leon's employment agreement which was effective April 1, 2011, provides for 4,000,000 restricted shares to be issued at contract signing with a further 2,000,000 restricted shares to be exercised after January 1, 2012, predicated on the future availability of the additional authorized shares required to meet this obligation.

Mr. Eves and Mr. Ponce de Leon are also entitled to a monthly automobile allowance of \$750, plus healthcare benefits.

Neither Mr. Eves, nor Mr. Ponce de Leon is compensated for their contributions to the Board of Directors.

### Share issuances for services

During the year ended December 31, 2011 we issued, for services and the conversion of debt and interest debt, a total of 55,866,206 shares. Of these 46,407,384 were issued to related parties as follows: Douglas Hague 5,555,781, Robin Eves 11,997,128, Ignacio Ponce de Leon 10,000,000, Ed Jennings 345,393, Mitch Shapiro 333,293, Richard Young 380,474, Stewart Ashton 349,620 and CJ Douglas 17,445,695.

### Debt from related parties

On June 30, 2010, Clean Coal converted \$1,317,472 of related party payables and advances, \$79,287 of accrued interest and \$939,576 of previously issued related party debt into long-term related party notes. The modified notes were unsecured, had a maturity date of June 30, 2012 and an interest rate of 10% per annum. The notes contained a conversion feature whereby they become convertible on December 31, 2010 into shares of Clean Coal's common stock at a price of \$0.045 per share. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion options under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined they qualify as beneficial conversion features. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion features on June 30, 2010 was determined to be \$623,023. Due to the conversion options being contingent, the beneficial conversion features were not recognized. On November 30, 2010, Clean Coal modified the terms of these related party notes again. Under the second modification, the interest rate in the notes was reduced to 4% per annum. In addition, the conversion options in the notes were changed whereby the conversion rate was reduced to \$0.07025 and 50% of the notes become convertible on December 31, 2010 and the other 50% become convertible on June 30, 2011. Clean Coal evaluated the new conversion options under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined that they did not qualify as beneficial conversion features. These two modifications occurred within one year. Clean Coal evaluated the modification under FASB ASC 470-50 and determined it qualified as a debt extinguishment due to a substantive conversion option being added. There was no gain or loss as a result of the extinguishment. On December 15, 2010, these note holders converted \$1,168,169 of unpaid principal and \$47,110 of accrued interest into 17,299,360 common shares at the



conversion rate of \$0.07025. On June 30, 2011, Clean Coal converted the remaining \$1,168,169 of related party debt and \$19,776 of accrued interest to an aggregate of 16,910,256 common shares.

On July 1, 2011, advances from related parties totaling \$380,000 were converted to a convertible note. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 8% per annum and matures June 30, 2012. This note becomes convertible into Clean Coals common stock at \$0.018 per share on January 1, 2012. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it does not contain a beneficial conversion feature.

Table of Contents

On July 22, 2011, Clean Coal borrowed \$143,000 from its President and Chief Executive Officer. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 12.5% per annum and matures October 30, 2011. The note is payable in Clean Coal common stock at \$0.0155 per share. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it does not contain a beneficial conversion feature. As of December 31, 2011, this note was past due and it became payable on demand.

On August 8, 2011, Clean Coal borrowed \$30,000 from its President and Chief Executive Officer. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 12.5% per annum and matures November 18, 2011. The note is payable in 1,935,484 shares of Clean Coal common stock. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it does not contain a beneficial conversion feature. On November 4, 2011, this \$30,000 note and \$925 of interest were converted into 1,997,128 common shares.

A summary of the debt from related parties outstanding as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 is as follows:

Loan Date	Note Holder	Maturity Date	Interest Rate		December 31,	
					2011	2010
June 30, 2008	Equimune Research Corp	June 30, 2010	10.0	%	\$252,641	\$252,641
September 30, 2009	Enviro Fuels Mfg, Inc.	Demand	0.0	%	98,091	98,091
September 30, 2009	Enviro Fuels Mfg, Inc.	Demand	0.0	%	500	500
October 31, 2009	Enviro Fuels Mfg, Inc.	Demand	0.0	%	3,000	3,000
November 30, 2009	Enviro Fuels Mfg, Inc.	Demand	0.0	%	500	500
March 8, 2010	Enviro Fuels Mfg, Inc.	September 8, 2010	10.0	%	25,000	25,000
June 30, 2010	Douglas Hague	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	382,703
June 30, 2010	C J Douglas	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	688,424
June 30, 2010	Edward Jennings	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	23,792
June 30, 2010	Mitchell Shapiro	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	22,959
June 30, 2010	Stewart Ashton	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	24,083
June 30, 2010	Richard Young	June 30, 2012	4.0	%	-	26,208
July 1, 2011	Out of The Chute Ltd.	June 30, 2012	0.0	%	380,000	-
September 30, 2011	Robin Eves	Demand	12.5	%	143,000	-
					\$902,732	\$1,547,901

All of the notes listed above are unsecured

#### Advances from related parties

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, unpaid advances from related parties totaled \$0 and \$171,914, respectively. These advances are unsecured, due on demand and bear no interest.

#### Accounts payable from related parties

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, unpaid services provided by related parties totaled \$613,961 and \$294,187, respectively, which was included in accounts payable from related parties

#### Director Independence

Director Edward Jennings and director Mitchell Shapiro are deemed to be independent as that term is defined by the SEC. Robin Eves our CEO and President, and Ignacio Ponce de Leon, our Chief Operations Officer are not

independent.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

Fees billed to the Company by MaloneBailey, LLP

	2011	2010
(1)		
Audit		
Fees	\$ 50,000	\$ 38,885
(2)		
Tax		
Fees	\$ -	\$ -
(3)		
Other		
Fees	\$ -	\$ -

All audit and non-audit services and fees are approved by the Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Documents filed with this report.

1. Financial Statements:

See Index to Financial Statements on page 21.

2. Financial Statement Schedules:

Financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not required or are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits:

The exhibits to this report are listed on the Exhibit Index below.

(b) Description of exhibits

3.1(1)	Articles of Incorporation
3.2(1)	Bylaws
4.1(1)	Specimen stock certificate
31	<u>Certification of Chief Executive and Financial Officer in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1350</u>
32	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1350</u>
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

(1) Filed with Registrant's Form 10, January 14, 2009.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: March 29, 2012

/s/Robin Eves  
Robin Eves  
CEO, President, Principal Executive and Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 28th day of March 2012.

/s/Robin Eves  
Robin Eves, CEO, President and Director

/s/Ignacio Ponce de Leon  
Ignacio Ponce de Leon, COO and Director

/s/Edward Jennings  
Edward Jennings, Director

/s/Mitchell Shapiro  
Mitchell Shapiro, Director

Table of Contents