

ICEWEB INC
Form 10-K
December 29, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 000-27865

IceWEB, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization

13-2640971
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

22900 Shaw Road, Suite 111, Sterling, VA
(Address of principal executive offices)

20166
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (571) 287-2388

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of each class
None

Name of each exchange on which registered
None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$0.001 Par Value
(Title of class)

Indicate by checkmark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by checkmark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Act

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes " No "

Indicate by checkmark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained in this form, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. "

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a small reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer "

Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer "

Smaller reporting company x

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold, or the average of the bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter. The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold on March 31, 2011, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter was \$ 20,398,134.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date. The number of common shares issued and outstanding as of December 17, 2011 was 157,959,066 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

List hereunder the following documents if incorporated by reference and the part of the Form 10-K (e.g. Part I, Part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated: (1) Any annual report to security holders; (2) Any proxy or information statement; and (3) Any prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) or (c) of the Securities Act of 1933. The listed documents should be clearly described for identification purposes (e.g., annual report to security holders for fiscal year ended December 24, 1980). None

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I	3
ITEM 1. BUSINESS	3
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	8
ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	14
ITEM 2: PROPERTIES	14
ITEM 3: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	14
ITEM 4: (REMOVED AND RESERVED)	14
PART II	15
ITEM 5: MARKET FOR REGISTRANT’S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	15
ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.	15
ITEM 7: MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	16
ITEM 7A: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	20
ITEM 8: FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	20
ITEM 9: CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	40
ITEM 9A: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	40
ITEM 9B: OTHER INFORMATION	40
PART III	41
ITEM 10: DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	41
ITEM 11: EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	45
ITEM 12: SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	49
ITEM 13: CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	51
ITEM 14: PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	52
PART IV	53
ITEM 15: EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	53

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains statements that are considered forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements give our current expectations and forecasts of future events. All statements other than statements of current or historical fact contained in this annual report, including statements regarding our future financial position, business strategy, budgets, projected costs and plans and objectives of management for future operations, are forward-looking statements. The words “anticipate”, “believe”, “continue”, “estimate”, “expect”, “intend”, “ma”, “plan”, and similar expressions, as they relate to the Company, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements are based on our current plans, and our actual future activities and results of operations may be materially different from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the statements made. Any or all of the forward-looking statements in this annual report may turn out to be inaccurate. We have based these forward-looking statements largely on its current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that it believes may affect its financial condition, results of operations, business strategy and financial needs. The forward-looking statements can be affected by inaccurate assumptions or by known or unknown risks, uncertainties and assumptions. We undertake no obligation to publicly revise these forward-looking statements to reflect events occurring after the date hereof. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or

persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained in this annual report.

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION

When used in this report, the terms the "Company", "IceWEB", "we", "our", and "us" refers to IceWEB, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and our subsidiaries. When used in this report, "fiscal year 2011" means the year ended September 30, 2011 and "fiscal year 2010" means the year ended September 30, 2010. The information which appears on our Web sites is not part of this report.

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Headquartered just outside of Washington, D.C., we manufacture and market purpose-built appliances, network and cloud-attached storage solutions and deliver on-line cloud computing application services. Our customer base includes U.S. government agencies, enterprise companies, and small to medium sized businesses (SMB). We have three key product offerings:

- Iceweb Unified Data Network Storage line of products
 - Purpose Built Network/Data Appliances
 - Cloud Computing Products/Services

Iceweb, the Iceweb logo and other trademarks or service marks of Iceweb are the property of Iceweb.

IceWEB Unified Data Storage line of products

IceWEB high performance Unified Data Storage solutions make it possible to operate and manage files and applications from a single device. File-based and block-based access data are consolidated in a single storage platform which supports Fibre Channel SAN, IP-based SAN (iSCSI), and NAS (network attached storage).

IceWEB's unified storage system simultaneously enables storage of file data and handles the block-based I/O (input/output) of enterprise applications. One advantage of unified storage is reduced hardware requirements. Instead of separate storage platforms, like NAS for file-based storage and a RAID disk array for block-based storage, unified storage combines both in a single device. Alternatively, a single device could be deployed for either file or block storage.

In addition to reduced capital expenditures for the enterprise, unified storage systems can also be simpler to manage than separate products since one IceWEB Storage System offers one platform for file and block data of all kinds. Whether it's Microsoft Exchange, SQL Server or Oracle databases, virtualized environments, scanned images, files, video, pictures, graphics, or voice data, IceWEB maximizes the efficiency of storage by centralizing all data on one platform secured with strong data protection capabilities.

The all-inclusive IceWEB Storage System includes de-duplication; unlimited snapshots; thin provisioning; local or remote, real-time or scheduled replication; capacity and utilization reporting, and integration with virtual server environments. Unified storage systems enjoy the same level of reliability as dedicated file or block storage systems.

We believe our product offerings have broad appeal in the enterprise and federal marketplaces, and are used as core building blocks (enabling technologies) of business critical storage infrastructure for a diverse group of data intensive key vertical market segments such as geospatial information systems, entertainment, security and defense, higher education, Internet Service Providers, Managed Service Providers, Oil and Gas, and Health Care. Our innovative storage systems deliver levels of performance, scalability, versatility and simplicity that exceed existing network storage alternatives. Our Unified Network Storage offering is deployed as storage operating system software on our network attached storage (NAS), and storage area network (SAN) hardware products. This Unified Network Storage environment empowers companies to:

- Quickly and easily deploy large complex data storage infrastructure environments
- Reduce administrative costs for managing their storage by making complex technical tasks far more simple to accomplish

- Reduce hardware and capital expenditure costs by more effectively using the storage within the system and repurposing older legacy hardware
 - Protect their business critical data by leveraging IceWEB's built-in data replication features
- Integrate with emerging server virtualization software (VMWare, Citrix Xen and Microsoft's Hyper V) to better manage those solutions

IceWEB's file management system replaces complex and performance-limited competitive products with high performance, scalable and easy to use systems capable of handling the most data-intensive applications and environments. We believe that our solution delivers three key benefits:

Performance- which equals or exceeds all competitive products

Management- which requires less expertise and provides ease of use for overburdened technical staffers

Cost- our solutions typically can be deployed costing far less than those of our competitors while delivering feature-rich performance with comprehensive, yet easy-to-use management.

Competitive Landscape

IceWEB competes with other storage vendors such as Compellent Technologies, Inc., Isilon Systems, Inc., and HP LeftHand Networks. In addition, we find ourselves becoming an alternative in our customers' eyes to purchasing additional equipment from large and expensive legacy storage providers such as EMC Corporation, IBM, Network Appliance and Hitachi Data Systems.

With the demand for data storage growing exponentially within all organizations, budgetary and common-sense decision making is creating a second tier storage marketplace where our IceWEB 3000/5000 products are perceived as compelling data storage solutions. Customers are recoiling from the high costs and fork-lift upgrades often required by the larger Tier 1 storage providers, who push expensive upgrades to satisfy to meet their billion\$ revenue growth commitments. Instead, their customers are opting to deploy our products with their versatile and feature rich capabilities. Because our storage capacity can sometimes be purchased two to three times more cheaply than the legacy alternatives, these customers are actually able to purchase ahead of their perceived data growth rate.

Purpose Built Network and Data Appliances

IceWEB has been building Purpose Built Network and Data Appliances for several years. Purpose Built Network and Data Appliances are devices which provide computing resources (processors and memory), data storage, and specific software for specific applications. The primary appliance products that IceWEB has built have historically been centered on a single large business partner, ESRI Corporation. IceWEB and ESRI have collaborated to create ultra-high performance IceWEB/ESRI GIS (Geographical Information Systems) systems that allow customers to access massive amounts of data with unprecedented speed. ESRI Corporation takes full responsibility for marketing to their customers and business partners, via their worldwide sales and consultancy organization.

In an effort to capitalize on what has been a successful model built within the Geographical Information System space with ESRI, IceWEB has expanded its marketing of appliance design, manufacturing and support capabilities to additional prospective partners. In October 2010 IceWEB, Spot Image (a large satellite GIS data provider based in France), and Google Corporation agreed that IceWEB would build an appliance to deliver GIS imagery from Spot Image satellite data, powered by Google Earth Enterprise. This Google Earth Engine appliance will be marketed worldwide through existing Spot Image and Google business partners. IceWEB has also recently introduced a Cloud Storage Appliance, a device which allows organizations and/or service providers to rapidly and easily deploy cloud based storage services to their constituents and customers. We are aggressively pursuing other Purpose-Built Appliance opportunities and anticipate that this strategy will begin to contribute significantly to our business ramping over the next six months. Our goal is that the Appliance business segment grows to contribute approximately 20% of overall business revenue by the end of Fiscal Year 2012. We expect to achieve this through our ongoing sales, marketing and research and development efforts, funded by operations.

Cloud Computing Products and Services

Cloud Computing Services

In December 2005, IceWEB launched IceMAIL™ a packaged software service that provides network –hosted groupware, email, calendaring and collaboration functionality. Customers are typically organizations wishing to use Microsoft Exchange and Outlook without having to procure, maintain and manage their own equipment and software. Online services were subsequently expanded to include IcePORTAL™ which provides customers with a complete Intranet portal and IceSECURE™ a hosted email encryption service.

Originally such hosted services were referred to with the acronym ‘SaaS’, which stands for Software-as-a-Service. Such services, hosted across the internet are today commonly referred to as Cloud Computing. The benefits of cloud computing are many. First, adoption of an application, infrastructure, or storage environment which is available on-demand, with no capital expenditures for the user company represents an attractive proposition from the financial perspective. Secondly, such models greatly reduce the need for highly paid internal technical staff, freeing critical resources to work on more core business related functions. Thirdly, the application software, hardware, and infrastructure needs of organizations are constantly growing and evolving – Cloud Computing allows ad-hoc allocation of resources, cost free software upgrades, and freedom from hardware/infrastructure obsolescence.

Cloud Storage Appliances (CSA)

IceWEB has focused our engineering and research and development efforts on crafting our products to perform as scalable ‘building blocks’ for those companies or service providers wishing to rapidly deploy high performance infrastructure to enable delivery of Cloud based services. In September 2009 IceWEB introduced a line of devices called “Cloud Storage Appliances” (CSA). A cloud storage appliance is a purpose built storage device configured for either branch office or central site deployment which allows the housing and delivery of customer data across not only their internal networking infrastructure, but also to make that data available to employees or business partners securely via the internet (often called the cloud). The CSA line has been built to address concerns within the enterprise marketplace which revolve around hesitation to entrust corporate data to third party providers such as Amazon S3, Mozy, Nirvanix, and others. The CSA line also addresses additional concerns about data access latency and performance.

By implementing our CSA devices, companies can gain all of the benefits of cloud computing, while mitigating vendor lock-in issues, and reducing the potential for security breaches. High performance data transfers are maintained by back-hauling the data (and replicating it) from remote branch offices across existing wide area network links to the corporate IT infrastructure. An additional obvious benefit derived from the deployment of private or hybrid storage clouds on the CSA products is that companies do not have to pay per-megabyte or per-gigabyte transfer and storage fees to third party service companies.

Customers

Our products have been sold to customers in the U.S., Canada and Europe across a broad range of industries, including GIS; oil and gas; state, local and federal government; and healthcare. We believe that our customers have a high level of satisfaction with our products and services. During the year ended September 30, 2011 one customer accounted for 10% or more of our consolidated revenue.

Sales and Marketing Plans

We intend to sell all of our products via full “channel-based” model. In a Channel Based sales model, companies with products or services build partnerships with Systems Integrators, other manufacturers, vertical companies (such as ESRI and Spot Image), and distributors and leverage the sales resources of those groups to drive sales of products/services. The value of a Channel Based sales model is twofold. First it allows IceWEB to grow total sales volume significantly while keeping sales staff (and hence SG&A) low. Rather than building a significant worldwide sales force of our own, this model allows us to build a small Channel Organization responsible for identification, training and support of partner organizations to ensure their success and productivity. The second value of the Channel Based model is that partners bring their own knowledge of key accounts and have relationships already in place – this compresses the sales cycle, increases the close ratio on new business, and funnels more sales into IceWEB products and services.

As of September 30, 2011 we have 61 value added resellers, including ESRI, Videobank, Google, Utilipath, LLC, Spot Image, James River Technical, Inc., and a distribution agreement with Promark Technology, Inc.

We continue to aggressively pursue partner agreements to increase our sales and market exposure and footprint. Such partner agreements typically take between three and six months to develop prior to materially increasing sales revenues.

Manufacturing

Manufacturing is conducted at company headquarters in Sterling, VA. Utilizing chassis from premium manufacturers such as AIC Corporation, Intel, SuperMicro, and others, all systems are built, burned-in, and tested at this facility by our in-house engineering and production staff. We manufacture data appliances, Modular Lightweight Portable enterprise servers (MLP), workgroup servers, data storage management platforms, as well as an array of database and customized appliances. We use best-of-breed, readily available, commercial off-the-shelf products sourced from various resellers and suppliers in our manufacturing process.

Competition

The market for IceWEB storage is highly competitive and likely to become even more competitive in the future. Established companies have historically dominated the storage market, including EMC, Network Appliance, Dell, Hewlett-Packard, Sun Microsystems, Hitachi Data Systems and IBM.

In addition, there is additional competition from smaller companies such as Compellent Technologies and Isilon. In the future, new competitors will emerge as well as increased competition, both domestically and internationally, from other established storage companies. The principal competitive market factors are:

- Industry credibility
- Product scalability, performance and reliability
- Ease of installation and management
- Software functionality
- Total cost of ownership
- Customer support
- Market presence

IceWEB competes effectively across all of these factors. In particular, our product architecture provides significant competitive advantages in terms of performance, scalability, ease of management and low total cost of ownership. OEM partners provide us with a significant number of reference accounts which address credibility and helps marketing to new customers.

Many of the competitors have longer operating histories, better name recognition, larger customer bases and significantly greater financial, technical, sales and marketing resources than we have. Competitors may also be able to devote greater resources to the development, promotion, sale and support of their products. Competitors may also have more extensive customer bases and broader customer relationships than we do, including relationships with potential IceWEB customers.

Research and development

Research and development expenses consist primarily of personnel-related expenses, costs of prototype equipment, costs of using contractors, allocated facility and IT overhead expenses and depreciation of equipment used in research and development activities. We expense research and development costs as incurred. We intend to continue to invest significantly in our research and development efforts, which we believe are essential to maintaining our competitive position. As a result, we expect research and development expenses to increase in absolute dollars, although we expect these expenses to decrease as a percentage of revenue.

Intellectual Property

Success in our technological markets depends, in part, upon our ability to obtain and maintain proprietary protection for its products, technology and know-how. This must be accomplished without infringing the proprietary rights of others and while simultaneously preventing others from infringing upon our proprietary rights.

IceWEB seeks to protect its proprietary positions by, among other methods, filing patent applications. Patent efforts are focused in the United States and, when justified by cost and strategic importance, we plan to file related foreign patent applications in jurisdictions such as the European Union and Japan. The company has retained an Intellectual Property Law firm and is in the process of preparing filings for two or more provisional patents (Cloud Storage Appliance and WISCSI technologies respectively).

Pending patent applications relate to various software development projects and to the rapid ingestion of massive amounts of video and other data and other network storage concepts. It is unknown if any of the patent applications will issue as patents. The patent applications may be opposed, contested, circumvented, designed around by a third-party, or found to be invalid or unenforceable.

Copyright law, trademarks and trade secret agreements are also used to protect and maintain proprietary positions. Our proprietary information is protected by internal and external controls, including contractual agreements with employees, end-users and channel partners. There is no assurance that these parties will abide by the terms of their agreements.

Trademarks are used on some of the IceWEB products and these distinctive marks may be an important factor in marketing the products. Inline® and Inline logo trademarks have been registered in the United States.

Purchase of Interest in VOIS Inc.

As described later in this section, in November 2009 we purchased 800,000 common shares of VOIS Inc. (OTCBB: VOIS), a development stage company that operates a social commerce website where people can find and do business with buyers and sellers of on-demand work or manufacturing around the world for \$48,000. The shares represent approximately a 4% interest in VOIS as of September 30, 2011.

Our History

We were originally formed under the laws of the State of Delaware in February 1969. For many years, we were a wholesaler of custom one, two, three and four-color processed commercial printing, as well as disposable and durable office equipment including stock paper, fax paper, fax and copy machines, computers, file cabinets and safes. We conducted our business throughout the United States of America and Puerto Rico from our headquarters in New York.

In March 1999, we changed the focus of our business and closed a transaction by which we acquired 100% of the outstanding capital stock of North Orlando Sports Promotions, Inc., a privately held Florida corporation. From 1999 until July 2001, we operated a variety of Internet-related services; however, we were unable to generate positive cash flow from these Internet-related businesses.

In May 2001, we executed an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization and Stock Purchase Agreement with Disease S.I., Inc. Under the terms of the agreement, we acquired 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Disease S.I., Inc. in exchange for 750,000 shares of our common stock. The transaction was accounted for as a reverse acquisition under the purchase method for business combinations. Accordingly, the combination of the two companies was recorded as a recapitalization of Disease S.I., Inc., pursuant to which Disease S.I., Inc. was treated as the continuing entity. Disease S.I., Inc. was a developmental stage biopharmaceutical clinical diagnostics company planning to employ a broad array of technologies to detect, identify and quantify substances in blood or other bodily fluids and tissues. It intended to derive revenues from patent sub-licensing fees, royalties from pharmaceutical sales, appropriate milestone payments and research and development contracts.

Following completion of the acquisition of Disease S.I., Inc., it became apparent that it would be in our best long-term interest that the Internet operations be conducted apart from the biopharmaceutical clinical diagnostics operations. On July 24, 2001, we sold a former officer and director 100% of our subsidiary North Orlando Sports Promotions, Inc., in exchange for the assumption of all liabilities related to North Orlando Sports Promotions, Inc. and its operations estimated at approximately \$112,000, and which included the forgiveness of \$91,500 in accrued compensation. Included in the sale along with the capital stock of North Orlando Sports Promotions, Inc. were fixed assets, rights to several domain names and various contractual rights and obligations.

On November 27, 2001, we acquired 9,050,833 shares of the common stock of Healthspan Sciences, Inc., a privately held California corporation in exchange for 5,000 shares of our common stock in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on Section 4(2) of that act. This agreement was rescinded on March 21, 2002. Pursuant to the rescission, Healthspan Sciences, Inc. returned all 5,000 shares of our common stock issued in the exchange and we returned all 9,050,833 shares of Healthspan Sciences, Inc. which we had received.

On March 21, 2002, we executed an Agreement and Plan of Merger with IceWEB Communications, Inc., a Delaware corporation and its stockholders. Founded in 2000, IceWEB Communications, Inc. enabled interactive communications and education on the web. In June 2001, it had acquired the assets in bankruptcy of Learning Stream, Inc., a provider of streaming services. Pursuant to the agreement, each of the 22,720,500 shares of common stock of IceWEB Communications, Inc. issued and outstanding immediately prior to the merger were converted into the right to receive 0.13375 shares of our common stock, for an aggregate of 303,888 shares of common stock. Each of the warrants to purchase an aggregate of 680,125 shares of IceWEB Communications, Inc. common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the merger were converted into the right to receive one warrant to purchase 0.13375 shares of our common stock upon exercise of said warrant.

In June 2003, we acquired 100% of the capital stock of Interlan Communications, Inc., a privately held corporation, in exchange for 25,000 shares of our common stock. In June 2003, we also acquired 100% of the capital stock of Seven Corporation in exchange for 37,500 shares of our common stock and cash consideration of \$123,000. As described

later in this section, we sold Seven Corporation company in February 2007.

In October 2003, we acquired 19% of the capital stock of Iplicity, Inc. of Virginia, together with substantially all of its assets including software licenses, source code, potential patents and trademarks for a combined stock and cash value of approximately \$632,000 which included the issuance of 191,381 shares of our common stock and cash consideration of \$65,500.

In May 2004, we acquired substantially all of the assets of DevElements, Inc. of Virginia, including software licenses, source code, potential patents and trademarks, cash, hardware, and equipment. As consideration for the purchase of the assets, we paid DevElements \$100,000 and agreed to the assumption of liabilities up to an aggregate of \$150,000. In exchange for the 19% interest in DevElements, we issued to the stockholders of DevElements 187,500 shares of our common stock and options to purchase 187,500 shares of common stock exercisable at a price of \$27.20 per share and expiring May 13, 2010. We issued to the stockholders options to purchase 6,250 shares, which were contingently exercisable upon the satisfaction of certain performance criteria. The performance criteria, which required contracts, task orders and other work assignments involving billing of at least \$840,000 during the six-month period ending November 13, 2004, was not met and the options were cancelled.

On October 18, 2004, we entered into a non-binding letter of intent to acquire 100% of the issued and outstanding stock of Plan Graphics, Inc. The transaction was subject to approval by the Plan Graphics, Inc. stockholders, and certain terms and conditions, including terms and conditions which are customary to this type of transaction. On April 29, 2005 the letter of intent expired without a definitive agreement having been executed or all conditions precedent to the closing having been completed.

In March 2006 we acquired PatriotNet, Inc., an Internet service provider, for total consideration of \$290,000 of which \$190,000 was paid in cash and \$100,000 was paid through the issuance of 100,000 shares of our common stock. We granted Patriot Computer Group, Inc., the seller in the transaction, certain piggyback registration rights for the 100,000 shares of our common stock issued as partial consideration in the transaction. At the time of the acquisition, the purchase price exceeded the fair value of the assets acquired by \$390,600 which we treated as goodwill for accounting purposes. From the date of acquisition through September 30, 2007 sales from PatriotNet were approximately \$316,000 and represented approximately 6% of our consolidated sales. On December 1, 2006 we sold PatriotNet to Leros Online, Inc., a third party, for \$150,000 in cash and the assumption of \$60,000 in liabilities. At September 30, 2007 we recorded goodwill impairment of \$180,000 related to this transaction.

On December 1, 2006 we sold 100% of the capital stock of our wholly-owned subsidiary, Integrated Power Solutions, Inc. to Mr. John Younts, our Vice President of Integrated Power Solutions and a key employee, for the assumption of approximately \$180,000 in liabilities and the payment of \$12,000 we owed him. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006, sales for Integrated Power Solutions were approximately \$457,000, or approximately, 9.5%, of our total sales.

On November 15, 2006, we acquired certain of the assets of True North Solutions related to its governmental customer business for \$350,000 of which \$250,000 was paid in cash and the balance was paid through the delivery of a \$100,000 principal amount promissory note secured by collateral pledge of the assets, payable immediately upon accomplishment of the novation of the GSA Schedule. Under the terms of the agreement, we acquired the customers, forecast, contract renewals, and GSA schedule of True North Solutions. We permitted True North Solutions to use the purchased assets until December 31, 2006 pursuant to which we acted as the seller's subcontractor until the novation of the GSA Schedule was complete. The novation of the GSA schedule was completed in March, 2009. The assets acquired in this transaction became the basis for our IceWEB Virginia, Inc. subsidiary.

On February 16, 2007 we sold 100% of the outstanding stock of our subsidiary, The Seven Corporation of Virginia, Inc., to PC NET in exchange for the waiver of approximately \$11,000 we owed PC NET. Under the terms of the agreement we may not engage in any staffing services businesses as The Seven Corporation had conducted for a period of at least two years. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2006 sales from The Seven Corporation were \$360,000, or approximately 7.5%, of our total sales.

On December 22, 2007, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Inline Corporation, (now known as IceWEB Storage Corporation) for \$1,925,128 in cash, plus 503,356 shares of our common stock valued at \$276,846, the fair market value on the date of acquisition. The purchase of Inline Corporation included the acquisition of assets of \$2,688,795, and liabilities of \$614,668.

In March, 2009, we sold 100% of the capital stock of our wholly-owned subsidiary, IceWEB Virginia, Inc. to an unrelated party. We exchanged our GSA schedule and 1,000,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$80,000 for the assumption of approximately \$3.2 million in liabilities. In fiscal 2008, sales for IceWEB Virginia, Inc. accounted for approximately \$14,887,587 or 91% of our total sales

On November 3, 2009 we purchased 800,000 shares of common stock from VOIS Inc. for \$48,000 in a private transaction. Immediately prior to the transaction, on October 30, 2010 Mr. Mark B. Lucky, our Chief Financial

Officer, joined the Board of VOIS, and on November 2, 2009 Mr. John R. Signorello, our Chief Executive Officer, purchased 1,125,000 shares of VOIS' common stock, which then represented approximately 27% of its outstanding common stock, from a former executive officer and director of our company for nominal consideration. Mr. Lucky resigned his positions with VOIS on October 25, 2010.

ITEM 1A.RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a significant degree of risk. You should not invest in our common stock unless you can afford to lose your entire investment. You should consider carefully the following risk factors and other information in this annual report before deciding to invest in our common stock. If any of the following risks and uncertainties were to develop into actual events, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected and you could lose your entire investment in our company.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMPANY

WE HAVE AN ACCUMULATED DEFICIT AND WE ANTICIPATE CONTINUING LOSSES THAT WILL RESULT IN SIGNIFICANT LIQUIDITY AND CASH FLOW PROBLEMS ABSENT A MATERIAL INCREASE IN OUR REVENUES.

We have an accumulated deficit of approximately \$34.3 million at September 30, 2011. For the Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we had a net loss of approximately \$4.7 million and approximately \$6.96 million, respectively. In fiscal year 2011, cash used in operations was approximately \$2.29 million and we had approximately \$4,120 of cash on hand at September 30, 2011 and \$1,171,520 in subscription receivable from the sale of our stock at September 30, 2011. The report of our independent registered public accounting firm on our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 contains an explanatory paragraph expressing substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern as a result of our net losses and cash used in operations. We reported a decrease in our sales from continuing operations for fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010 of approximately 20.1% which is primarily related to a slowdown in sales due to the economic downturn and budget constraints within the Federal government during fiscal 2011. We cannot assure you that our sales will increase in future periods, nor can we assure you that they will not further decrease. As long as our cash flow from operations remains insufficient to fund our operations, we will continue depleting our cash and other financial resources, as well as issue additional equity to raise capital. Our failure to achieve profitable operations in future periods will adversely affect our ability to continue as a going concern. In this event, you could lose all of your investment in our company.

WE WILL NEED ADDITIONAL FINANCING WHICH WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO OBTAIN ON ACCEPTABLE TERMS. IF WE CANNOT RAISE ADDITIONAL CAPITAL AS NEEDED, OUR ABILITY TO EXECUTE OUR GROWTH STRATEGY AND FUND OUR ONGOING OPERATIONS WILL BE IN JEOPARDY.

Historically, our operations have been financed primarily through the issuance of equity and short-term loans. Capital is typically needed not only to fund our ongoing operations and to pay our existing obligations, but is also necessary if we wish to acquire additional assets or companies and for the effective integration, operation and expansion of these businesses. Our future capital requirements, however, depend on a number of factors, including our ability to internally grow our sales, manage our business and control our expenses. At September 30, 2011, we had a working capital deficit of approximately \$1,713,000.

OUR TARGET MARKETS ARE HIGHLY COMPETITIVE AND DOMINATED BY LARGER COMPANIES AND WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE EFFECTIVELY.

The market for our products is highly competitive and we expect competition to intensify in the future. This competition could result in increased pricing pressure, reduced gross margins, increased sales and marketing expenses or our failure to increase, or our loss of, market share, any of which could seriously harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

Currently, we face competition from a number of established companies, including EMC Corporation, or EMC, Hewlett-Packard Company, or HP, Hitachi Limited, International Business Machines Corporation, or IBM, and Network Appliance, Inc., or NetApp. We also face competition from a large number of private companies and recent public company market entrants, such as Isilon Systems, Inc. Many of our current competitors have, and some of our potential competitors could have, longer operating histories, greater name recognition, larger customer bases and significantly greater financial, technical, sales, marketing and other resources than we have. Potential customers may prefer to purchase from their existing suppliers rather than a new supplier regardless of product performance or features.

NetApp is our primary competition in the high performance unified network storage system market. They have a significantly greater share of this market than we do. In addition, they are a substantially larger company with more resources than we have.

Our ability to compete effectively in our target markets depends on a number of factors, including:

- our products' scalability, performance, ease of use and cost effectiveness relative to that of our competitors' products;
- aggressive business tactics by our competitors, including selling at a discount or asserting intellectual property rights irrespective of the validity of the claims;
- our success in utilizing new and proprietary technologies to offer products and features previously not available in the marketplace;
 - our success in identifying new markets, applications and technologies;
 - our ability to attract and retain value-added resellers and OEMs;
 - our name recognition and reputation;
- our ability to recruit development engineers and sales and marketing personnel; and
 - our ability to protect our intellectual property.

We expect increased competition from other established and emerging companies, including companies such as networking infrastructure and storage management companies that provide complementary technology and functionality. Some of our competitors, including EMC, HP and NetApp, have made acquisitions of businesses that allow them to offer more directly competitive and comprehensive solutions than they had previously offered. Our current and potential competitors may also establish cooperative relationships among themselves or with third parties. If so, new competitors or alliances that include our competitors may emerge that could acquire significant market share.

WE ARE SUBSTANTIALLY DEPENDENT ON CUSTOMERS IN A LIMITED NUMBER OF INDUSTRIES. DOWNTURNS IMPACTING CERTAIN INDUSTRIES MAY RESULT IN REDUCED SALES FOR US.

In fiscal year 2011, a substantial amount of our net revenue was generated from Geographic Information Systems (“GIS”), state, local, and federal government, oil and gas companies, and our primary distributor, Promark Technology, Inc. If, however, economic conditions change for these industries, or if we are unable to continue to attract significant numbers of customers in other industries, our prospects for growth could be reduced.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO CONTINUE TO DEVELOP AND INTRODUCE NEW PRODUCTS AND RESPOND TO TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGES, OUR REVENUE COULD BE REDUCED.

Our future growth depends on the successful development and introduction of new systems and software products. Due to the complexity of network storage systems, these products are subject to significant technical risks that may impact our ability to introduce these products successfully. Our new products also may not achieve market acceptance. In addition, our new products must respond to technological changes and evolving industry standards. If we are unable for technological or other reasons to develop and introduce new products in a timely manner in response to changing market conditions or customer requirements, or if these products do not achieve market acceptance, our revenue could be reduced.

IMPROVEMENTS IN ALTERNATIVE MEANS TO ACCELERATE STORAGE PERFORMANCE OR REDUCE STORAGE COSTS COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS AS THE DEMAND FOR OUR SYSTEMS MAY BE REDUCED.

Our products are designed to improve the performance of many applications, including applications that are based on Microsoft Corporation’s, or Microsoft, protocols. Accordingly, improvements to Microsoft application protocols to accelerate storage performance or reduce storage costs may reduce the need for our products, adversely affecting our business, operating results and financial condition. Improvement in other application protocols or in the Transmission Control Protocol could have a similar effect.

OUR OPERATING RESULTS MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY UNCERTAIN ECONOMIC AND MARKET CONDITIONS.

We are subject to the effects of general global economic and market conditions. Challenging economic conditions worldwide or in certain geographic regions, such as the continuing fiscal challenges in the U.S. and Europe, have from time to time contributed to slowdowns in the computer, storage, and networking industries at large, as well as the information technology (IT) market, resulting in:

- Reduced demand for our products as a result of constraints on IT-related spending by our customers;
- Risk of supply constraints, particularly the recent flooding in Thailand;
- Increased price competition for our products from competitors;

Deferment of purchases and orders by customers due to budgetary constraints or changes in current or planned utilization of our systems;

- Higher overhead costs as a percentage of revenues;
- Negative impacts from increased financial pressures on customers, distributors and resellers;
- Negative impacts from increased financial pressures on key suppliers or contract manufacturers; and
- Potential discontinuance of product lines or businesses and related asset impairments.

Any of the above-mentioned factors could have a material and adverse effect on our business and financial performance.

IF WE ARE UNABLE TO CONTINUE TO CREATE VALUABLE INNOVATIONS IN SOFTWARE AND HARDWARE, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO GENERATE ADDITIONAL HIGH-MARGIN REVENUE THAT WILL ENABLE US TO MAINTAIN OR INCREASE OUR GROSS MARGINS, WHICH COULD REDUCE OUR REVENUE.

Our industry has a history of declining network storage hardware prices as measured on a “dollar per gigabyte of storage capacity” basis. To maintain or increase our gross margins, we will need to continue to create valuable software that is included with our network storage systems and/or sold separately as a licensed software application. Any new feature or application that we develop or acquire may not be introduced in a timely or cost-effective manner and may not achieve the broad market acceptance necessary to help increase our overall gross margin. If we are unable to successfully develop or acquire and then market and sell additional software and hardware functionality, our revenue could be reduced.

OUR ABILITY TO SELL OUR PRODUCTS IS HIGHLY DEPENDENT ON THE QUALITY OF OUR SUPPORT SERVICES, AND ANY FAILURE TO OFFER HIGH-QUALITY SUPPORT SERVICES COULD REDUCE OUR PRODUCT SALES AND REVENUE.

After our products are deployed within our customers’ networks, our customers depend on our support services organization to resolve issues relating to our products and how they perform within our customer’s environment. High-quality support services are therefore critical for the successful marketing and sale of our products. If we do not succeed in helping our customers to quickly resolve post-deployment issues and provide ongoing support if our partners do not effectively assist our customers in deploying our products, it would adversely affect our ability to sell our products to existing customers and could harm our prospects with potential customers. In addition, as we expand our operations internationally, our support services organization will face additional challenges, which we expect to include those issues that are associated with delivering support, training and documentation in languages other than English. As a result, our failure to maintain high-quality support services could reduce our product sales and revenue.

OUR BUSINESS COULD BE MATERIALLY AND ADVERSELY AFFECTED AS A RESULT OF A NATURAL DISASTER, TERRORIST ACTS OR OTHER CATASTROPHIC EVENTS.

We depend on the ability of our personnel, raw materials, equipment and products to move reasonably unimpeded around the world. Any political, military, terrorism, global trade, world health or other issue that hinders this movement or restricts the import or export of materials could lead to significant business disruptions. Furthermore, any strike, economic failure or other material disruption caused by fire, floods, hurricanes, earthquakes, volcanoes, power loss, power shortages, environmental disasters, telecommunications or business information systems failures, break-ins and similar events, such as the recent flooding in Thailand, could also adversely affect our ability to conduct business. If such disruptions result in cancellations of customer orders or contribute to a general decrease in economic activity or corporate spending on information technology, or directly impact our marketing, manufacturing, financial and logistics functions, or impair our ability to meet our customer demands, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

OUR PRODUCTS ARE HIGHLY TECHNICAL AND MAY CONTAIN UNDETECTED SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE DEFECTS, WHICH COULD CAUSE DATA UNAVAILABILITY, LOSS OR CORRUPTION THAT MIGHT, IN TURN, RESULT IN LIABILITY TO OUR CUSTOMERS, HARM TO OUR REPUTATION AND A REDUCTION OF PRODUCT SALES AND REVENUE.

Our network storage products are highly technical and complex and are often used to store information critical to our customers' business operations. Our products have contained and may contain undetected errors, defects or security vulnerabilities that could result in data unavailability, loss or corruption or other harm to our customers. Some errors in our products may only be discovered after they have been installed and used by customers. Any errors, defects or security vulnerabilities discovered in our products after commercial release, as well as any computer virus or human error on the part of our customer support or other personnel resulting in a customer's data unavailability, loss or corruption could result in a loss of customers or increased support and warranty costs, any of which may adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. In addition, we could face claims for product liability, tort or breach of warranty, including claims relating to changes to our products made by our partners. Our contracts with customers contain provisions relating to warranty disclaimers and liability limitations, which may be difficult to enforce. Defending a lawsuit, regardless of its merit, could be costly and might divert management's attention and adversely affect the market's perception of us and our products. In addition, if our business liability insurance coverage proves inadequate for a claim, or future coverage is unavailable on acceptable terms or at all, our product sales and revenue could be reduced.

OUR FACTORING AGREEMENT WITH SAND HILL FINANCE, LLC CONTAINS CERTAIN TERMS WHICH MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR ABILITY TO RAISE CAPITAL IN FUTURE PERIODS.

In December 2005 and as amended during fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2009, we entered into a Finance Agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC for a \$2.75 million accounts receivable factoring line. Under the terms of this agreement we agreed not to take certain actions including undertaking a transaction which would result in a change of control of our company or the transfer of more than 20% of our securities and incurring any indebtedness other than trade credit in the ordinary course of business. These restrictions may limit our ability to raise working capital as needed.

WE DO NOT HAVE A DISASTER RECOVERY PLAN AND WE DO NOT CARRY BUSINESS INTERRUPTION INSURANCE.

Our systems and operations are vulnerable to damage or interruption from fire, flood, power loss, telecommunications failure, break-ins and similar events. Our headquarters are physically located in Fairfax County, Virginia, a Washington, DC suburb, in close proximity to the US Capitol, White House, Pentagon, CIA, and numerous other

agencies within the intelligence community. All these government installations are considered potential targets of any future terrorist attacks. We do not currently have a disaster recovery plan, nor do we carry business interruption insurance to compensate our company for losses that may occur. We are also vulnerable to computer viruses and/or physical disruptions, which could lead to interruptions, delays, loss of data or the inability to accept orders. The occurrence of any of the foregoing events could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

OUR MANAGEMENT MAY BE UNABLE TO EFFECTIVELY INTEGRATE OUR ACQUISITIONS AND TO MANAGE OUR GROWTH AND WE MAY BE UNABLE TO FULLY REALIZE ANY ANTICIPATED BENEFITS OF THESE ACQUISITIONS.

Our business strategy includes growth through acquisition and internal development. We are subject to various risks associated with our growth strategy, including the risk that we will be unable to identify and recruit suitable acquisition candidates in the future or to integrate and manage the acquired companies. Acquired companies' histories, geographical locations, business models and business cultures can be different from ours in many respects. Our directors and senior management face a significant challenge in their efforts to integrate our businesses and the business of the acquired companies or assets, and to effectively manage our continued growth. There can be no assurance that our efforts to integrate the operations of any acquired assets or companies acquired in the future will be successful, that we can manage our growth or that the anticipated benefits of these proposed acquisitions will be fully realized. The dedication of management resources to these efforts may detract attention from our day-to-day business. There can be no assurance that there will not be substantial costs associated with these activities or of the success of our integration efforts, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

WE HAVE NOT VOLUNTARILY IMPLEMENTED VARIOUS CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MEASURES, IN THE ABSENCE OF WHICH, STOCKHOLDERS MAY HAVE MORE LIMITED PROTECTIONS AGAINST INTERESTED DIRECTOR TRANSACTIONS, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND SIMILAR MATTERS.

Recent Federal legislation, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, has resulted in the adoption of various corporate governance measures designed to promote the integrity of the corporate management and the securities markets. Some of these measures have been adopted in response to legal requirements. Others have been adopted by companies in response to the requirements of national securities exchanges, such as the NYSE or The Nasdaq Stock Market, on which their securities are listed. Among the corporate governance measures that are required under the rules of national securities exchanges are those that address board of directors' independence, audit committee oversight, and the adoption of a code of ethics. Because our stock is not listed on an exchange we are not required to adopt these corporate governance standards. While our board of directors has adopted a Code of Ethics and Business Conduct and our Board has established Audit and Compensation Committees, we have not adopted all of the corporate governance measures which we might otherwise have been required to adopt if our securities were listed on a national securities exchange. It is possible that if we were to adopt all of these corporate governance measures, stockholders would benefit from somewhat greater assurances that internal corporate decisions were being made by disinterested directors and that policies had been implemented to define responsible conduct. Prospective investors should bear in mind our current lack of corporate governance measures in formulating their investment decisions.

THE EXERCISE OF WARRANTS AND OPTIONS AND THE CONVERSION OF SHARES OF OUR SERIES B CONVERTIBLE PREFERRED STOCK WILL BE DILUTIVE TO OUR EXISTING STOCKHOLDERS.

At September 30, 2011 we had outstanding:

- 157,959,066 shares of our common stock,
- 626,667 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock owned by our Chief Executive Officer which is convertible into 626,667 shares of our common stock,
- common stock purchase warrants to purchase a total of 11,528,938 shares of our common stock with exercise prices ranging from \$0.15 to \$0.50 per share, and
- Stock options granted under our 2000 Management and Director Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan which are exercisable into 4,104,487 shares of our common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.375 per share.

CERTAIN OF OUR OUTSTANDING WARRANTS CONTAIN CASHLESS EXERCISE PROVISIONS WHICH MEANS WE WILL NOT RECEIVE ANY CASH PROCEEDS UPON THEIR EXERCISE.

In December 2005, we issued a seven year common stock purchase warrant to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share in connection with our accounts receivable financing agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC.

These warrants were exercisable on a cashless basis which means that the holders, rather than paying the exercise price in cash, may surrender a number of warrants equal to the exercise price of the warrants being exercised. The utilization of this cashless exercise feature deprived us of additional capital which might otherwise be obtained if the warrants did not contain a cashless feature.

PROVISIONS OF OUR CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION AND BYLAWS MAY DELAY OR PREVENT A TAKE-OVER WHICH MAY NOT BE IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF OUR STOCKHOLDERS.

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and bylaws may be deemed to have anti-takeover effects, which include when and by whom special meetings of our stockholders may be called, and may delay, defer or prevent a takeover

attempt. In addition, certain provisions of the Delaware General Corporations Law also may be deemed to have certain anti-takeover effects which include that control of shares acquired in excess of certain specified thresholds will not possess any voting rights unless these voting rights are approved by a majority of a corporation's disinterested stockholders.

In addition, our certificate of incorporation authorizes the issuance of up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock with such rights and preferences as may be determined from time to time by our Board of Directors. We presently have outstanding 626,667 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. Our Board of Directors may, without stockholder approval, issue additional series of preferred stock with dividends, liquidation, conversion, voting or other rights that could adversely affect the voting power or other rights of the holders of our common stock.

OUR COMMON STOCK COULD BE REMOVED FROM QUOTATION ON THE OTCBB IF WE FAIL TO TIMELY FILE OUR ANNUAL OR QUARTERLY REPORTS. IF OUR COMMON STOCK WAS NO LONGER ELIGIBLE FOR QUOTATION ON THE OTCBB, THE LIQUIDITY OF OUR STOCK MAY BE FURTHER ADVERSELY IMPACTED.

Under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission we are required to file our quarterly reports within 45 days from the end of the fiscal quarter and our annual report within 90 days from the end of our fiscal year. Under rules adopted by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) in 2005 which is informally known as the “Three Strikes Rule”, a FINRA member is prohibited from quoting securities of an OTCBB issuer such as our company if the issuer either fails to timely file these reports or is otherwise delinquent in the filing requirements three times in the prior two year period or if the issuer’s common stock has been removed from quotation on the OTCBB twice in that two year period. If we were to fail to file three reports on a timely basis our stock would be removed from quotation on the OTCBB and would in all likelihood then be quoted on the Pink Sheets Electronic Quotation Service. Pink Sheets offers a quotation service to companies that are unable to list their securities on the OTCBB or an exchange. The requirements for listing on the Pink Sheets are considerably lower and less regulated than those of the OTCBB an exchange. If our common stock were to be quoted on the Pink Sheets, it is possible that even fewer brokers or dealers would be interested in making a market in our common stock which would further adversely impact its liquidity.

THE APPLICATION OF THE “PENNY STOCK” RULES TO OUR COMMON STOCK COULD LIMIT THE TRADING AND LIQUIDITY OF OUR COMMON STOCK, ADVERSELY AFFECT THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK AND INCREASE STOCKHOLDER TRANSACTION COSTS TO SELL THOSE SHARES.

As long as the trading price of our common stock is below \$5.00 per share, the open-market trading of our common stock will be subject to the “penny stock” rules, unless we otherwise qualify for an exemption from the “penny stock” definition. The “penny stock” rules impose additional sales practice requirements on certain broker-dealers who sell securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors (generally those with assets in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 together with their spouse). These regulations, if they apply, require the delivery, prior to any transaction involving a penny stock, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the associated risks. Under these regulations, certain brokers who recommend such securities to persons other than established customers or certain accredited investors must make a special written suitability determination regarding such a purchaser and receive such purchaser’s written agreement to a transaction prior to sale. These regulations may have the effect of limiting the trading activity of our common stock, reducing the liquidity of an investment in our common stock and increasing the transaction costs for sales and purchases of our common stock as compared to other securities.

THE MARKET PRICE FOR OUR COMMON STOCK MAY BE PARTICULARLY VOLATILE GIVEN OUR STATUS AS A RELATIVELY UNKNOWN COMPANY WITH A LACK OF PROFITS, WHICH COULD LEAD TO WIDE FLUCTUATIONS IN OUR SHARE PRICE. THE PRICE AT WHICH STOCKHOLDERS PURCHASE SHARES OF OUR COMMON STOCK MAY NOT BE INDICATIVE OF THE PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK THAT WILL PREVAIL IN THE TRADING MARKET.

The market for our common stock has been characterized by significant price volatility when compared to seasoned issuers, and we expect that our stock price could continue to be more volatile than a seasoned issuer for the indefinite future. The potential volatility in our share price is attributable to a number of factors. First, there has been limited trading in our common stock. As a consequence of this lack of liquidity, any future trading of shares by our stockholders may disproportionately influence the price of those shares in either direction. Second, we are a speculative or “risky” investment due to our limited operating history and lack of profits to date, and uncertainty of

future market acceptance for our potential products. As a consequence of this enhanced risk, more risk averse investors may, under the fear of losing all or most of their investment in the event of negative news or lack of progress, be more inclined to sell their shares on the market more quickly and at greater discounts than would be the case with the stock of a seasoned issuer. Many of these factors will be beyond our control and may decrease the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. We cannot make any predictions or projections as to what the prevailing market price for our common stock will be at any time or as to what effect that the sale of shares or the availability of shares for sale at any time will have on the prevailing market price.

In addition, the market price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to:

- quarterly variations in our sales and operating expenses;
- announcements of new products or services by us;
- fluctuations in interest rates;
- significant sales of our common stock;
- the operating and stock price performance of other companies that investors may deem comparable to us; and
- news reports relating to trends in our markets or general economic conditions.

SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT THE MARKET.

From time to time, certain of our stockholders may be eligible to sell all or some of their shares of common stock by means of ordinary brokerage transactions in the open market pursuant to Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, subject to certain limitations. In general, pursuant to Rule 144, a stockholder (or stockholders whose shares are aggregated) who is not an affiliate of our company and who has satisfied a six month holding period may, as long as we are current in our required filings with the SEC, sell securities without further limitation. Rule 144 also permits, under certain circumstances, the sale of securities, without any limitations, by a non-affiliate of our company who has satisfied a one-year holding period. Affiliates of our company who have satisfied a six month holding period may sell securities subject to volume limitations. Any substantial sale of our common stock pursuant to Rule 144 or pursuant to any resale prospectus may have an adverse effect on the market price of our securities. Currently, almost all of our securities are either free trading or subject to the release of trading restrictions under the six month or one year holding periods of Rule 144.

COMPLIANCE WITH THE RULES ESTABLISHED BY THE SEC PURSUANT TO SECTION 404 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 WILL BE COMPLEX. FAILURE TO COMPLY IN A TIMELY MANNER COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT INVESTOR CONFIDENCE AND OUR STOCK PRICE.

Rules adopted by the SEC pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 require us to perform an annual assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting and certify the effectiveness of those controls. The standards that must be met for management to assess the internal controls over financial reporting as now in effect are complex, and require significant documentation, testing and possible remediation to meet the detailed standards. We may encounter problems or delays in completing activities necessary to make an assessment of our internal controls over financial reporting.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

Not applicable to a smaller reporting company.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

In February 2009, we entered into a two year lease for approximately 6,978 square feet of office space in which our principal executive offices are located for annual base rental of approximately \$74,400. We are currently renting our office location on a month-to-month basis, but anticipate renewing our lease for an additional year.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

At September 30, 2011 we are the subject of, or party to, four known, pending or threatened, legal actions. As of the date of this report on Form 10-K, three of the legal proceedings have been resolved. Following is a discussion of each:

1. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by Immixtechnology, Inc. (the plaintiff) in the Fairfax County Circuit Court, Fairfax, Virginia. The plaintiff asserts that Icweb failed to pay for certain computer components purchased from plaintiff.
2. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by FedEx Customer Information Services, Inc. (the plaintiff) in the Circuit Court of Fairfax County, Virginia. The plaintiff asserts that Icweb failed to pay for delivery of services provided by plaintiff. This case has been settled.

3. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by FedEx Customer Information Services, Inc. (the plaintiff) in the Circuit Court of Fairfax County, Virginia. The plaintiff asserts that Iceweb failed to pay for delivery of services provided by plaintiff. This case has been settled.

4. We were named as the defendant in a legal proceeding brought by Computerlinks of North America, Inc. (the plaintiff) in the Circuit Court of Travis County, Texas. The plaintiff asserts that Iceweb failed to pay for delivery of services provided by plaintiff. The plaintiff received a Summary Judgment for \$141,144.22 as of November 5, 2010. This case has been settled.

From time to time, we may become involved in various lawsuits and legal proceedings, which arise in the ordinary course of business. However, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties, and an adverse result in these or other matters may arise from time to time that may harm our business.

ITEM 4. (REMOVED AND RESERVED)

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

Our common stock is quoted on the OTCBB under the symbol IWEB. The reported high and low bid prices for the common stock as reported on the OTCBB are shown below for the periods indicated. The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

	High	Low
Fiscal 2010		
First quarter ended December 31, 2009	\$ 0.235	\$ 0.07
Second quarter ended March 31, 2010	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.075
Third quarter ended June 30, 2010	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.135
Fourth quarter ended September 30, 2010	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.105
Fiscal 2011		
First quarter ended December 31, 2010	\$ 0.235	\$ 0.07
Second quarter ended March 31, 2011	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.075
Third quarter ended June 30, 2011	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.135
Fourth quarter ended September 30, 2011	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.105

As of December 28, 2011 the last sale price of our common shares as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board was \$0.155 per share. As of December 28, 2011, there were approximately 4,275 record owners of our common stock.

Dividend Policy

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. Under Delaware law, we may declare and pay dividends on our capital stock either out of our surplus, as defined in the relevant Delaware statutes, or if there is no such surplus, out of our net profits for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or the preceding fiscal year. If, however, the capital of our company, computed in accordance with the relevant Delaware statutes, has been diminished by depreciation in the value of our property, or by losses, or otherwise, to an amount less than the aggregate amount of the capital represented by the issued and outstanding stock of all classes having a preference upon the distribution of assets, we are prohibited from declaring and paying out of such net profits any dividends upon any shares of our capital stock until the deficiency in the amount of capital represented by the issued and outstanding stock of all classes having a preference upon the distribution of assets shall have been repaired.

We do not anticipate that any cash dividends will be declared or paid on our common stock in the foreseeable future.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On September 30, 2011 we sold approximately 9,762,671 restricted stock units at \$0.12/unit. Each unit consists of one share of common stock and a warrant exercisable for one share of common stock. The warrants have a five year life, an exercise price of \$0.15/share, and are callable if the Company's common stock trades over \$0.25/share for ten consecutive trading days.

Anderson and Strudwick, Inc. acted as the placement agent in connection with the sale the securities and as compensation received a cash fee of approximately \$114,127, and warrants to purchase approximately 976,267 shares of the Company's common stock at a price per share of \$0.15.

The sale of the Shares and the Warrants was made pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act for transactions not involving a public offering and/or Regulation D, as promulgated by the SEC under the Securities Act, and in reliance upon exemptions from registration under applicable state securities laws.

During February, 2011 we sold 2,000,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$200,000 to an accredited investor, and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During March, 2011 we sold 250,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$25,000 to an accredited investor, and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During May, 2011 we sold 1,609,600 shares of common stock, valued at \$184,464 to two accredited investors, and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During July, 2011 we sold 416,667 shares of common stock, valued at \$91,541 to an accredited investor, and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

None.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not applicable for a smaller reporting company.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. In addition to historical financial information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs and are subject to a number of known and unknown risks and external factors that in addition to general, economic, competitive and other business conditions, could cause actual results, performance and achievements to differ materially from those described or implied in the forward-looking statements, as more fully discussed below and elsewhere in this filing.

OVERVIEW

IceWEB is a provider of high performance Unified Data Storage solutions. Our storage systems make it possible to run and manage files and applications from a single device and consolidate file-based and block-based access in a single storage platform which supports Fibre Channel SAN, IP-based SAN (iSCSI), and NAS (network attached storage).

A unified storage system simultaneously enables storage of file data and handles the block-based I/O (input/output) of enterprise applications. One advantage of unified storage is reduced hardware requirements. Instead of separate storage platforms, like NAS for file-based storage and a RAID disk array for block-based storage, unified storage combines both modes in a single device. Alternatively, a single device could be deployed for either file or block storage as required.

In addition to lower capital expenditures for the enterprise, unified storage systems can also be simpler to manage than separate products. The IceWEB Storage System offers one platform for file and block data of all kinds. Whether it's Microsoft Exchange, SQL Server or Oracle databases, virtualized environments, scanned images, files, video, pictures, graphics, or voice data, IceWEB maximizes the efficiency of storage by centralizing all data on one platform secured with strong data protection capabilities.

The IceWEB Storage System is an all-inclusive storage management system which includes de-duplication; unlimited snapshots; thin provisioning; local or remote, real-time or scheduled replication; capacity and utilization reporting, and integration with virtual server environments. Unified storage systems enjoy the same level of reliability as dedicated file or block storage systems.

We believe our business model is highly differentiated and provides us with several competitive advantages. We sell our products through a two-tier channel sales model designed to enable us to quickly scale and cost effectively increase sales. We have built a strong internal sales team, which is spread geographically by region throughout the United States to assist our channel partners with sales calls, service and support offerings, product matter expertise, configuration and pricing, and product demonstration.

On December 22, 2007, we acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of Inline Corporation, (now known as IceWEB Storage Corporation) for \$1,925,128 in cash, plus 503,356 shares of our common stock valued at \$276,846, the fair market value on the date of acquisition. The purchase of Inline Corporation included the acquisition of assets of \$2,688,795, and liabilities of \$614,668.

In March, 2009, we sold 100% of the capital stock of our wholly-owned subsidiary, IceWEB Virginia, Inc. to an unrelated party. We exchanged our GSA schedule and 1,000,000 shares of our common stock valued at \$80,000 for the assumption of approximately \$3.2 million in liabilities. In fiscal 2008, sales for IceWEB Virginia, Inc. accounted

for approximately \$14,887,587 or 91% of our total sales

On November 3, 2009 we purchased 800,000 shares of common stock from VOIS Inc. for \$48,000 in a private transaction. Immediately prior to the transaction, on October 30, 2010 Mr. Mark B. Lucky, our Chief Financial Officer, joined the Board of VOIS, and on November 2, 2009 Mr. John R. Signorello, our Chief Executive Officer, purchased 1,125,000 shares of VOIS' common stock, which then represented approximately 27% of its outstanding common stock, from a former executive officer and director of our company for nominal consideration. Mr. Lucky resigned his positions with VOIS on October 25, 2010.

2011 Financing Activities

On September 30, 2011 we sold approximately 9,762,671 restricted stock units at \$0.12/unit. Each unit consists of one share of common stock and a warrant exercisable for one share of common stock. The warrants have a five year life, an exercise price of \$0.15/share, and are callable if the Company's common stock trades over \$0.25/share for ten consecutive trading days.

Anderson and Strudwick, Inc. acted as the placement agent in connection with the sale the securities and as compensation received a cash fee of approximately \$114,127, and warrants to purchase approximately 976,267 shares of the Company's common stock at a price per share of \$0.15.

The sale of the Shares and the Warrants was made pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act for transactions not involving a public offering and/or Regulation D, as promulgated by the SEC under the Securities Act, and in reliance upon exemptions from registration under applicable state securities laws.

Results of Operations

FISCAL YEAR 2011 AS COMPARED TO FISCAL YEAR 2010

The following table provides an overview of certain key factors of our results of operations for fiscal year 2011 as compared to fiscal year 2010:

	Fiscal Year ended September 30, \$			%	
	2011	2010	Change	Change	
Net Revenues	\$ 2,678,346	\$ 3,353,286	\$(674,940)	(20.1)	%
Cost of sales	1,751,640	1,742,110	9,530	0.5	%
Operating Expenses:					
Sales and marketing	975,282	1,690,684	715,402	42.3	%
Depreciation and amortization	545,890	662,003	116,113	17.5	%
Research and development	790,048	547,364	(242,684)	(44.3)	%
General and administrative	2,605,999	5,312,247	2,706,249	50.9	%
Loss on impairment of intangible assets	303,859	-	(303,859)	N/A	
Total operating expenses	5,221,078	8,212,298	2,991,220	36.2	%
Loss from operation	(4,294,372)	(6,601,122)	(2,306,750)	34.9	%
Total other expense	(410,919)	(363,111)	47,808	(13.2)	%
Net loss	\$ (4,705,291)	\$ (6,964,233)	\$(2,258,942)	32.4	%

Other Key Indicators:

	Fiscal		Fiscal	
	2011		2010	
Cost of sales as a percentage of revenues	65.40	%	51.95	%
Gross profit margin	34.60	%	48.05	%
General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues	97.30	%	158.42	%
Total operating expenses as a percentage of revenues	194.94	%	244.90	%

Sales

Our sales decreased approximately 20.1% in fiscal year 2011 from fiscal year 2010. Of our total net sales for fiscal 2011, approximately \$2,520,535 is attributable to our sale of storage products, and approximately \$157,811 is attributable to sales from our online products and services. Of our total net sales for fiscal 2010, approximately \$3,152,346 is attributable to our sale of storage products, and approximately \$200,940 is attributable to sales from our online products and services.

The decrease in fiscal 2011 net sales from fiscal 2010 is primarily due to the impact of economic uncertainty on our customers' budgets and IT spending capacity. We anticipate revenues for fiscal 2012 will increase due to the introduction of new products and services, including sales of our Unified Network Storage Solutions and other data storage products.

Cost of Sales and Gross Profit

Our cost of sales consists primarily of products purchased to manufacture our storage products. For fiscal 2011, cost of sales was approximately 65% of sales, as compared to approximately 52% of sales, for fiscal 2010. The increase in costs of sales as a percentage of revenue and the corresponding decrease in our gross profit margin for fiscal 2011 as

compared to fiscal 2010 was the result of an increased competition and the increase in the cost of certain components that go into our systems in fiscal 2011. We anticipate that our cost of sales as a percentage of revenue will return to the 50% to 55% range in fiscal 2012, as we introduce new higher margin products and solutions to augment our storage business.

Total Operating Expenses

Our total operating expenses decreased approximately 36.4% for fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010. The decrease is primarily due to decreased headcount in sales and marketing, and lower costs associated with launching our channel sales distribution model, offset by increased investment in research and development, and the loss on the impairment of intangible assets. The changes include:

- **Sales and Marketing.** Sales and marketing expense includes salaries, commission, occupancy, telephone, travel, and entertainment expenses for direct sales personnel. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, sales and marketing costs were \$975,282 as compared to \$1,690,684 for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, a decrease of \$715,402 or approximately 42%. The decrease was due primarily to reduced sales and marketing headcount during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, as we established and relied upon a two-tier channel distribution model.

- **Depreciation and amortization expense.** For fiscal 2011, depreciation and amortization expense decreased approximately 18% from fiscal 2010, as many of our assets became fully depreciated during the fiscal year.

Amortization expense is related to the customer relationships and manufacturing GSA schedule which are intangible assets that we generated through our acquisition of Inline Corporation. The GSA schedule is being amortized on a straight-line basis over three years. Amortization expense was \$243,093 for fiscal 2011 and \$243,090 for fiscal 2010.

- **Research and development expense.** For fiscal 2011, research and development expenses increased approximately 44% from fiscal 2010. This increase is related to increased research and development efforts related to our storage products. We anticipate the spending on research and development in fiscal 2011 will be approximately \$200,000 per quarter related to developing and enhancing our storage solutions and pursuing intellectual property patents when we believe it is warranted.

- **Loss on impairment of intangible assets.** In fiscal 2011, management determined that the value of certain of our intangible assets had been impaired due to changes in our sales and marketing approach, and as a result we expensed the remaining unamortized balance of these assets, totaling \$303,859.

- **General and administrative expense.** For fiscal 2011, general and administrative expenses decreased approximately 51% from fiscal 2010. This decrease is primarily attributable to lower stock-based compensation, lower legal and professional fees, and lower bad debt expense, offset by higher investor relations expense. For fiscal 2011 and 2010, general and administrative expenses consisted of the following:

	Fiscal 2011	Fiscal 2010
Occupancy	\$ 50,208	\$ 49,085
Consulting	87,238	133,784
Employee compensation	1,117,737	3,458,814
Professional fees	325,105	671,548
Internet/Phone	20,479	14,834
Travel/Entertainment	34,002	49,016
Investor Relations	676,915	358,780
Insurance	35,203	46,304
Other	259,112	530,082
	\$ 2,605,999	\$ 5,312,247

The principal changes in fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010 include:

For fiscal 2011, salaries and related taxes and benefits decreased \$2,341,077, or approximately 68% from fiscal 2010. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in stock based compensation, and expense recorded in accordance with ASC Topic 718, “Compensation – Stock Compensation (Formerly SFAS No. 123 (R), “Share-Based Payments”), for fiscal 2011 of \$2,459,103, a decrease of 83%.

- For fiscal 2011, occupancy expense increased approximately 2% from fiscal 2010.

For fiscal 2011, professional fees decreased \$346,443, or approximately 52% from fiscal 2010. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in legal fees incurred and the settlement of lawsuits against us in fiscal 2010 versus 2011.

For fiscal 2011, other expense decreased approximately 51% from fiscal 2010. The decrease is primarily due to a decrease in bad debt expense of \$235,877, and a decrease in hosting fees of \$23,022.

For fiscal 2011, consulting expense decreased by approximately 35% from fiscal 2010. The decrease was primarily due to non-recurring consulting fees related to our capital raising activities, and human resources recruiting fees incurred in 2010 but not in fiscal 2011.

For fiscal 2011, investor relations expense increased approximately 89% from fiscal 2010. The increase was attributable to an increase in general investor relations activity versus fiscal 2010. We expect that in fiscal 2012 our investor relations activity and related expense should decrease to fiscal 2010 levels.

- For fiscal 2011, internet and telephone expense increased approximately 38%. The increase was attributable to non-recurring costs incurred during the fiscal year 2011.

For fiscal 2011, travel and entertainment expense decreased approximately 31%. The decrease was attributable to a decrease in general business, and travel-related investor relations activity.

- For fiscal 2011, insurance expense decreased approximately 24% from fiscal 2010. The decrease was attributable to lower premiums paid for general business and directors and officer's insurance.

LOSS FROM OPERATIONS

Our loss from operations decreased approximately 40% in fiscal year 2011 as compared to fiscal year 2010. This decrease is primarily the result of reduced headcount, offset by our increased research and development efforts, and our investment in our channel marketing sales programs.

TOTAL OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES)

Interest Expense. For fiscal 2011, interest expense decreased approximately 25.7%. The decrease in interest expense is primarily attributable to lower average outstanding note balances during fiscal 2011.

NET LOSS

Our net loss was \$4,705,291 for fiscal 2011 compared to \$6,964,233 for fiscal 2010, a decrease of \$2,258,942 or approximately 32%.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity is the ability of a company to generate adequate amounts of cash to meet its needs for cash.

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010	\$ Change	% Change
Working Capital	\$ (1,713,336)	\$ (1,250,033)	\$ (463,302)	(37.1)%
Cash	4,120	540,156	(536,036)	(99.2)%
Subscription receivable	1,171,520	-	1,171,520	N/A
Accounts receivable, net	1,182,060	1,466,483	(284,423)	(19.4)%
Inventory	55,981	62,197	(6,216)	(10.0)%
Total current assets	2,450,806	2,106,941	343,865	16.3 %
Property and equipment, net	252,835	418,873	(166,038)	(39.6)%
Marketable Securities	115,200	524,800	(409,600)	(78.0)%
Intangibles, net	-	546,952	(546,952)	(100.0)%
Total assets	\$ 2,832,161	\$ 3,610,886	\$ (778,725)	(21.6)%
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	2,186,691	1,648,252	538,439	32.7 %
Notes payable-current	1,972,544	1,649,140	323,404	19.6 %
Deferred revenue	4,904	59,582	(54,678)	(91.8)%
Total liabilities	4,164,139	3,356,972	807,167	24.0 %
Accumulated deficit	(34,328,080)	(29,622,792)	(4,705,288)	15.9 %
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	(1,331,978)	253,913	(1,585,891)	(624.6)%

At September 30, 2011, we had a working capital deficit of \$1,713,336 compared to a working capital deficit of \$1,250,033 at September 30, 2010, an increase of \$463,302. The increase in the deficit is primarily attributable to the increases in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$538,439, and the increase in our current notes payable of \$323,404, offset by the decrease in accounts receivable of \$284,423. Also contributing was the decrease in inventory of \$6,216 and the decrease in deferred revenue of \$54,678.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$2,285,893 for fiscal 2011 as compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$4,128,415 for fiscal 2010, a decrease of \$1,842,522. For fiscal 2011, our cash used in operations of \$2,285,893 consisted of a net loss of \$4,705,291 offset by non-cash items totaling \$2,419,397 including items such as depreciation and amortization of \$545,890, stock based compensation of \$369,385, the amortization of deferred compensation of \$131,680 and other non-cash items of \$1,068,583. Additionally, during fiscal 2011 we had a decrease in operating liabilities and an increase in operating assets which incremented our net loss. This change in operating assets and liabilities primarily consisted of a decrease in accounts receivable of \$284,423, and an increase in prepaid expenses of \$982, offset by a decrease in net inventory of \$6,216, an increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$538,439 and a decrease in deferred revenue of \$54,678.

For fiscal 2010, our cash used in operations of \$4,128,415 consisted of a net loss of \$6,964,233, offset by non-cash items totaling \$2,835,818 including items such as depreciation and amortization of \$662,003, stock based compensation of \$867,365, the amortization of deferred compensation of \$1,627,919, and other non-cash items of \$938,282. Additionally, during fiscal 2010 we had a decrease in operating liabilities and an increase in operating assets which incremented our net loss. This change in operating assets and liabilities primarily consisted of an increase in accounts receivable of \$1,104,934 attributable to an increase in annual sales, and an increase in prepaid expenses of \$33,545, offset by a decrease in net inventory of \$89,164, a decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of

\$259,757 and an increase in deferred revenue of \$49,321.

Net cash used in investing activities for fiscal 2011 was \$136,759 as compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$133,624 for fiscal 2010. During fiscal 2011 we used cash of \$136,759 for property and equipment purchases. During fiscal 2011 we used cash of \$85,624 for property and equipment purchases, and \$48,000 to invest in marketable securities.

Net cash provided by financing activities for fiscal 2011 was \$1,886,617 as compared to \$4,738,885 for fiscal 2010, a decrease of \$2,852,268. The primary reason for the decrease was due to the proceeds from the sale of common stock of \$2,380,630 in June 2010, and the exercise of common stock options of \$2,591,626 in fiscal 2010 versus \$1,275,102 in fiscal 2011. In addition we made payments on notes payable of \$512,620, and borrowed \$836,024 under our financing line.

At September 30, 2011 we had an accumulated deficit of \$34,328,080 and the report from our independent registered public accounting firm on our audited financial statements at September 30, 2011 contained an explanatory paragraph regarding doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern as a result of our net losses in operations. In spite of our sales, there is no assurance that we will be able to maintain or increase our sales in fiscal 2011 or that we will report net income in any future periods.

We do not have any working capital commitments nor do we not presently have any external sources of working capital. Historically, our sales have not been sufficient to fund our operations and we have relied on capital provided through the sale of equity securities, and various financing arrangements and loans from related parties. At September 30, 2011 we had cash on hand of \$4,120 and subscription receivable of \$1,171,520. In addition to the cash necessary to fund our operating losses, research and development, marketing and general growth, we will need cash to satisfy certain obligations. In fiscal 2006, we entered into a receivable factoring agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC under which we can sell certain accounts receivable to the lender on a full recourse basis at 80% of the face amount of the receivable up to an aggregate of \$1.8 million. This financing agreement was amended in fiscal 2009 to increase the line amount to \$2,750,000. As of September 30, 2011, we had \$777,456 available under the line of credit facility.

Our working capital needs in future periods depend primarily on the rate at which we can increase our sales while controlling our expenses and decreasing the use of cash to fund operations. Additional capital may be needed to fund acquisitions of additional companies or assets, although we are not a party to any pending agreements at this time and, accordingly, cannot estimate the amount of capital which may be necessary, if any, for acquisitions.

As long as our cash flow from operations remains insufficient to completely fund operations, we will continue depleting our financial resources and seeking additional capital through equity and/or debt financing. Under the terms of the financing agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC we agreed not to incur any additional indebtedness other than trade credit in the ordinary course of business. These covenants may also limit our ability to raise capital in future periods. There can be no assurance that acceptable financing can be obtained on suitable terms, if at all. Our ability to continue our existing operations and to fund our working capital needs will suffer if we are unable to raise the additional funds on acceptable terms which will have the effect of adversely affecting our ongoing operations and limiting our ability to increase our sales and maintain profitable operations in the future. If we are unable to secure the necessary additional working capital as needed, we may be forced to curtail some or all of our operations.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements.

None.

Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued authoritative guidance related to fair value measurements. The updated guidance results in a consistent definition of fair value and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure about fair value between accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The updated guidance is effective for us for our second quarter of 2012. We do not expect the adoption of this pronouncement will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB updated the disclosure requirements for comprehensive income. The updated guidance requires companies to disclose the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The updated guidance does not affect how earnings per share is calculated or presented. The updated guidance is effective for us for our fiscal year 2013. We do not expect the adoption of this pronouncement will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not applicable to a smaller reporting company.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONTENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	25
Consolidated Financial Statements:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	26
Consolidated Statements of Operations	27
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Deficit	28
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	29
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	30 - 51

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors
IceWEB, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of September 30, 2011 and 2010 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purposes of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries, as of September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company had net losses of \$4,705,291 and \$6,964,233 respectively, for the years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regards to these matters are also described in Note 2. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Sherb & Co., LLP
Certified Public Accountants

Boca Raton, Florida
December 22, 2011

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash	\$ 4,120	\$ 540,156
Subscription receivable	1,171,520	-
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$409,000	1,182,060	1,466,483
Inventory, net	55,981	62,197
Other current assets	6,877	6,875
Prepaid expenses	30,248	31,230
	2,450,806	2,106,941
OTHER ASSETS:		
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,483,440 and \$2,180,643, respectively	252,835	418,873
Deposits	13,320	13,320
Marketable Securities, net	115,200	524,800
Intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$911,591 and \$668,498, respectively	-	546,952
Total Assets	\$ 2,832,161	\$ 3,610,886
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 2,186,691	\$ 1,648,252
Notes payable	1,972,544	1,649,140
Deferred revenue	4,904	59,582
Total Current Liabilities	4,164,139	3,356,974
Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)		
Series B convertible preferred stock (\$.001 par value; 626,667 shares issued and outstanding)	626	626
Common stock (\$.001 par value; 1,000,000,000 shares authorized; 157,959,066 shares issued and 134,443,725 shares outstanding, respectively)	157,961	134,445
Additional paid in capital	32,866,315	29,360,833
Accumulated deficit	(34,328,080)	(29,622,792)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	67,200	476,800
Subscription receivable	(83,000)	(83,000)
Treasury stock, at cost, (162,500 shares)	(13,000)	(13,000)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	(1,331,978)	253,912
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)	\$ 2,832,161	\$ 3,610,886

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the Year Ended
September 30,
2011 2010

Sales	\$2,678,346	\$3,353,286
Cost of sales	1,751,640	1,742,110
Gross profit	926,706	1,611,176
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing expense	975,282	1,690,684
Depreciation and amortization expense	545,890	662,003
Research and development	790,048	547,364
General and administrative	2,605,999	5,312,247
Loss on impairment of intangible assets	303,859	-
Total operating expenses	5,221,078	8,212,298
Loss From Operations	(4,294,372)	(6,601,122)
Other income (expenses):		
Gain on extinguishment of debt	-	190,136
Interest expense	(410,919)	(553,247)
Total other income (expenses):	(410,919)	(363,111)
Net loss	\$(4,705,291)	\$(6,964,233)
Net loss per common share - basic and diluted	\$(0.03)	\$(0.07)
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	142,344,070	101,379,729

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)
For the years ended September 30, 2011 and 2009

	Series B Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Compre- hensive Income	Subscription Receivable	Treasu- ry Share
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount					
Balance at September 30, 2009	626,667	626	68,469,617	68,471	20,065,001	(22,658,556)	—	—	(162,500)
Amortization of deferred compensation	—	—	—	—	1,627,919	—	—	—	—
Issuance of common stock for cash	—	—	15,580,000	15,580	2,365,050	—	—	—	—
Issuance of common stock to settle litigation	—	—	2,678,571	2,679	399,104	—	—	—	—
Common stock issued for exercise of options	—	—	30,570,600	30,571	2,561,055	—	—	—	—
Common stock issued in connection with subscription receivable	—	—	2,000,000	2,000	81,000	—	—	(83,000)	—
Common stock issued for services	—	—	2,800,000	2,800	506,684	—	—	—	—
Common stock issued to employees	—	—	9,344,937	9,345	858,020	—	—	—	—
Common stock issued in connection with conversion of convertible	—	—	3,000,000	3,000	897,000	—	—	—	—

Balance at September 30, 2011	626,667	\$ 626	157,959,066	\$ 157,960	\$ 32,866,315	\$ (34,328,080)	\$ 67,200	\$ (83,000)	(162,500)
-------------------------------------	---------	--------	-------------	------------	---------------	-----------------	-----------	-------------	-----------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	For the Year Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Net loss	\$ (4,705,291)	\$ (6,964,233)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	545,890	662,003
Loss on impairment of intangible assets	303,859	—
Share-based compensation	369,385	867,365
Amortization of deferred compensation	131,680	1,627,919
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	—	—
Common stock issued for services rendered	293,199	509,484
Common stock issued for settlement	—	401,783
Amortization of deferred finance costs	27,500	27,015
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in:		
Accounts receivable	284,423	(1,104,934)
Prepaid expense	982	(33,545)
Other	(27,499)	
Inventory	6,216	89,164
Deposits	—	—
Increase (decrease) in:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	538,441	(259,757)
Deferred revenue	(54,678)	49,321
NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(2,285,893)	(4,128,415)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchase of property and equipment	(136,759)	(85,624)
Investment in marketable securities	—	(48,000)
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(136,759)	(133,624)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from notes payable	836,024	1,602,024
Payments on notes payable	(512,621)	(1,835,395)
Proceeds from sale of common stock	288,111	2,380,630
Proceeds from exercise of common stock options	1,275,102	2,591,626
NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	1,886,616	4,738,885
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	(536,036)	476,846
CASH - beginning of period	540,156	63,310
CASH - end of period	\$ 4,120	\$ 540,156

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid for:

Interest	\$ 383,419	\$ 526,232
Income taxes	\$ —	\$ —

NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Common stock issued for debt and interest	\$ —	\$ —
Common stock issued in connection with convertible debenture	\$ —	\$ 1,090,136
Common stock issued in connection with acquisition/disposition	\$ —	\$ —

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION

IceWEB, Inc. (the “Company”) began trading publicly in April 2002. Utilizing resources gained through acquisitions, we have developed two lines of business, IceWEB Storage products, and IceMAIL which is a hosted Microsoft Exchange application service. We currently have two wholly owned operating subsidiaries: IceWEB Storage Corporation (formerly known as Inline Corporation), and IceWEB Online, Inc.

BUSINESS OF ICEWEB

Since 2005, the Company has been focused on serving the commercial and federal markets with network security products and proprietary on-line software solutions. In 2008, the Company narrowed its focus and expanded its capabilities by acquiring INLINE Corporation, a data storage manufacturing company.

In March, 2009, the Company sold its wholly owned subsidiary, IceWEB Virginia, Inc. to an unrelated third party, and in the process exited its low-margin IT re-seller business products business to further focus on the higher margin data storage manufacturing business.

At the close of fiscal year 2011, the Company has three key product offerings:

- Unified Network Storage Solutions
- Purpose Built Network/Data Appliances
- Cloud Computing Products/Services

NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to previously reported amounts to conform to 2010 amounts. The reclassifications had no impact on previously reported results of operations or shareholders’ deficit.

Going Concern

Our auditors stated in their report on the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 that we have had losses since inception that raise doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. In addition and as discussed further in Note 6, we are not in compliance with debt covenants under our Financing Agreements with Sand Hill Finance LLC. For the year ended September 30, 2011 we incurred a net loss of \$4,705,291. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments related to the recovery and classification of recorded assets, or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary in the event we cannot continue in existence.

Management has established plans intended to increase the sales of our products and services. Management intends to seek new capital from new equity securities offerings to provide funds needed to increase liquidity, fund growth, and implement its business plan. However, no assurances can be given that we will be able to raise any additional funds.

Fair value of financial instruments

The carrying amounts of financial instruments, including cash, accounts receivable, prepaid expenses, and other current assets, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and deposits approximated fair value as of September 30, 2011 and 2010, because of the relatively short-term maturity of these instruments and their market interest rates.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(continued)

Marketable Securities

IceWEB accounts for the purchase of marketable equity securities in accordance with ASC 320, "Investment – Debt and Equity Securities" with any unrealized gains and losses included as a net amount as a separate component of stockholders' equity. However, those securities may not have the trading volume to support the stock price if the Company were to sell all their shares in the open market at once, so the Company may have a loss on the sale of marketable securities even though they record marketable equity securities at the current market value.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the balance sheets and the reported amounts of sales and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates in 2011 and 2010 include the allowance for doubtful accounts, the valuation of stock-based compensation, the allowance for inventory obsolescence and the useful life of property and equipment and intangible assets, and litigation reserves.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consists of normal trade receivables. We recorded a bad debt allowance of \$409,000 as of September 30, 2011. Management performs ongoing evaluations of its accounts receivable. Management believes that all remaining receivables are fully collectable. Bad debt expense amounted to \$102,076 and \$336,568 for the Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Inventory

Inventory is valued at the lower of cost or market, on an average cost basis.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Depreciation expense is recorded by using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets, net consists of the cost of acquired customer relationships. We capitalize and amortize the cost of acquired intangible assets over their estimated useful lives on a straight-line basis. The Company periodically

reevaluates the carrying value of its intangible assets for events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. As part of this reevaluation, the Company estimates the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset. If the sum of the expected future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized to reduce the carrying value of the intangible asset to the estimated fair value of the asset.

Long-lived Assets

In accordance with ASC Topic 360, "Property, Plant, and Equipment" (formerly SFAS 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets"), we review the carrying value of intangibles and other long-lived assets for impairment at least annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of long-lived assets is measured by comparison of its carrying amount to the undiscounted cash flows that the asset or asset group is expected to generate. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the property, if any, exceeds its fair market value.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 2 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES
(continued)

Advertising

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and amounted to \$238,482 in fiscal 2011 and \$162,862 in fiscal 2010.

Revenue Recognition

We follow the guidance of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 605, "Revenue Recognition" (formerly Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, "Revenue Recognition") for revenue recognition. In general, we record revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered or product delivery has occurred, the sales price to the customer is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. The following policies reflect specific criteria for our various revenues streams:

Revenues from sales of products are generally recognized when products are shipped unless the Company has obligations remaining under sales or licensing agreements, in which case revenue is either deferred until all obligations are satisfied or recognized ratably over the term of the contract.

Revenue from services is recorded as it is earned. Commissions earned on third party sales are recorded in the month in which contracts are awarded. Customers are generally billed every two weeks based on the units of production for the project. Each project has an estimated total which is based on the estimated units of production and agreed upon billing rates. Amounts billed in advance of services being provided are recorded as deferred revenues and recognized in the consolidated statement of operations as services are provided.

Earnings per Share

We compute earnings per share in accordance with ASC Topic 260, "Earnings Per Share" (formerly SFAS No. 128, "Earnings per Share") Under the provisions of ASC Topic 260, basic earnings per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common and potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive common shares consist of the common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and warrants (using the treasury stock method) and upon the conversion of convertible preferred stock (using the if-converted method). Potentially dilutive common shares are excluded from the calculation if their effect is antidilutive. At September 30, 2011, there were options and warrants to purchase 15,633,425 shares of common stock, 626,667 shares issuable upon conversion of Series B preferred stock, and no shares of Series C preferred stock outstanding which could potentially dilute future earnings per share.

Stock-Based Compensation

As more fully described in Note 12, we have a stock option plan that provides for non-qualified and incentive stock options to be issued to directors, officers, employees and consultants (the 2000 Management and Director Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan (the "Plan")).

Prior to October 1, 2005, we accounted for stock options issued under the Plan under the recognition and measurement provisions of APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations, as permitted by ASC Topic 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation (Formerly SFAS No. 123 (R)), "Share-Based Payments. No stock-based compensation cost related to employee stock options was recognized in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended September 30, 2005 as all options granted under the Plan had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

Effective October 1, 2005, we adopted the fair value recognition provisions of ASC Topic 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation (Formerly SFAS No. 123 (R)), "Share-Based Payments using the modified-prospective-transition method. Under that transition method, compensation cost recognized in the year ended September 30, 2006 includes: (a) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested as of September 30, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of Statement 123, and (b) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted subsequent to October 1, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of Statement 123(R). Financial results for the year ended September 30, 2005 have not been restated.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 3 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

At September 30, property and equipment consisted of the following:

	Estimated Life	2011	2010
Office equipment	5 years	\$ 836,041	\$ 699,282
Computer software	3 years	612,379	612,379
Furniture and fixtures	5 years	261,385	261,385
Leasehold improvements	5 years	1,026,470	1,026,470
		2,736,275	2,599,516
Less: accumulated depreciation		(2,483,440)	(2,180,643)
		\$ 252,835	\$ 418,873

Depreciation expense for the years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$302,797 and \$418,913 respectively.

NOTE 4 - INTANGIBLE ASSETS

At September 30, intangible assets consist of the following:

	2011	2010
Manufacturing GSA Schedule	\$ -	\$ 750,000
Customer relationships intangible	-	465,452
	-	1,215,452
Less: accumulated amortization	-	(668,500)
	\$ -	\$ 546,952

Amortization expense amounted to \$243,093 for the year ended September 30, 2011, and \$243,090 for the year ended September 30, 2010.

Amortization expense subsequent to the year ended September 30, 2011 is \$0.

The Company periodically reevaluates the carrying value of its intangible assets for events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. As part of this reevaluation, the Company estimates the future cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset. If the sum of the expected future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset, an impairment loss is recognized to reduce the carrying value of the intangible asset to the estimated fair value of the asset.

At September 30, 2011, the Company determined that its sales model in future periods would not substantially benefit from the customer relations or manufacturing GSA schedules that we own. Accordingly, management deemed these intangible assets to be fully impaired at September 30, 2011 and reduced their carrying values to zero. A loss on impairment of \$303,859 has been included in operating expenses.

NOTE 5 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During October, 2009, we sold 2,000,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.042, valued at \$83,000 to Florence Signorello, an accredited investor who is the mother of John Signorello, our chief executive officer. The fair market value of our common stock on the date of the transaction was \$0.145 per share. As of September 30, 2011 we had not received the proceeds from the investor and as a result we recorded the subscription receivable as a contra equity account on our balance sheet.

We and certain of our affiliates have entered into a series of transactions involving VOIS Inc. (OTCBB: VOIS), a public company which had developed and launched a social commerce website. On November 3, 2009 we purchased 800,000 shares of the common stock of VOIS Inc., which represented approximately 16% of that company, for \$48,000 in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act resulting in gross proceeds to us of \$48,000. At the time of our investment, Mr. Mark Lucky, our Chief Financial Officer, was a member of VOIS' board of directors, having been elected in October 2009. Mr. Lucky resigned his positions with VOIS in September 2010.

Prior to our investment in VOIS, both Mr. John R. Signorello had a personal relationship with the founders of VOIS.

In an unrelated transaction in November 2009 Mr. Signorello, a member of our board of directors and our CEO, purchased 1,125,000 shares of VOIS' common stock from a former officer and director of VOIS for nominal consideration in a private transaction. The shares of common stock purchased by Mr. Signorello represented approximately 27% of VOIS' outstanding common stock at the time of the purchase of the shares by us.

While five out of the 6 board members qualify as unrelated and independent, as they are independent from management and free from any interest, function, business or other relationship that could, or could reasonably be perceived to, materially interfere with the Director's ability to act in the our best interest, we do not have any policies or procedures for the review, approval or ratification of any related party transactions and no review or ratification of any of the foregoing related party truncations by our board has occurred.

NOTE 6 - NOTES PAYABLE

Sand Hill Finance, LLC

On December 19, 2005, we entered into a Financing Agreement with Sand Hill Finance, LLC pursuant to which, together with related amendments, we may borrow up to 80% on our accounts receivable balances up to a maximum of \$1,800,000. In conjunction with the acquisition of Inline Corporation in December, 2007, the lending limit on the credit facility was increased to \$2,750,000.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 6 - NOTES PAYABLE (continued)

In addition, in November, 2008 we and Sand Hill Finance, LLC entered into a 36 month term convertible debenture agreement in the amount of \$1,000,000. On September 7, 2010, Sand Hill Finance, LLC converted the remaining balance of \$1,090,136 of this convertible debenture in exchange for three million shares of our common stock. The debenture was converted at a price of \$0.36338 per share. The conversion price was subject to a floor of \$0.30 per share resulting in a gain on conversion of \$190,136.

Amounts borrowed under the Financing Agreement are secured by a first security interest in substantially all of our assets. At September 30, 2011, the principal amount due under the Financing Agreement amounted to \$1,972,544. This amount is included in the note payable balance of \$1,972,544 on the balance sheet at September 30, 2011.

Interest is payable under the Financing Agreement at a rate of 1.75% per month on the average loan balance outstanding during the year, equal to an annual interest of approximately 21% per year. We also agreed to pay an upfront commitment fee of 1% of the credit line upon signing the Financing Agreement, half of which was due and paid upon signing (amounting to \$9,000) and half of which is due on the first anniversary of the Financing Agreement. In addition, we are obligated to pay a commitment fee of 1% of the credit limit annually, such amounts are payable on the anniversary of the agreement.

In connection with the Financing Agreement, we issued Sand Hill Finance, LLC, a seven-year common stock purchase warrant to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share. The warrant contains a cashless exercise provision which means that at the option of the holder, the warrant is convertible into a number of shares of our common stock as determined by dividing the aggregate fair market value of our common stock minus the aggregate exercise price of the warrant by the fair market value of one share of common stock. The number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant and the exercise price are subject to adjustment in the event of stock dividends, stock splits and reclassifications. The fair value of the warrant of \$16,250 has been recorded as an addition to paid-in capital and interest expense during the year ended September 30, 2007.

In connection with the term loan, we issued Sand Hill Finance, LLC a seven-year common stock purchase warrant to purchase 120,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise prices \$0.50 per share. The warrant contains a cashless exercise provision which means that at the option of the holder, the warrant is convertible into a number of shares of our common stock as determined by dividing the aggregate fair market value of our common stock minus the aggregate exercise price of the warrant by the fair market value of one share of common stock. The number of shares issuable upon the exercise of the warrant and the exercise price are subject to adjustment in the event of stock dividends, stock splits and reclassifications. The fair value of the warrant of \$13,587 has been recorded as an addition to paid-in capital and deferred finance costs during the year ended September 30, 2010.

The Financing Agreement has a term of one year, subject to mutual extension by both parties. As a result, the balance due to Sand Hill Finance, LLC is classified as a current liability on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

The terms of the Financing Agreement also restricts us from undertaking certain transactions without the written consent of the creditor including (i) permit or suffer a change in control involving 20% of its securities, (ii) acquire assets, except in the ordinary course of business, involving payment of \$100,000 or more, (iii) sell, lease, or transfer any of its property except for sales of inventory and equipment in the ordinary course of business, (iv) transfer, sell or license any intellectual property, (v) declare or pay a dividend on stock, except payable in the form of stock dividends

(vi) incur any indebtedness other than trade credit in the ordinary course of business and (vii) permit any lien or security interest to attach to any collateral. Sand Hill Finance provided a waiver with respect to our disposition of IceWEB, Virginia, Inc. in March, 2010, as discussed herein. In addition, we have been in default under the terms of the Financing Agreement, however Sand Hill Finance has provided a waiver and forbearance agreement that provides that no obligations under the financing agreement shall become due and payable until the 91st day after the obligations under the convertible notes (as discussed in Note 17 - Subsequent Events) have been satisfied. Sand Hill Finance agreed that no remedies available to them under the Financing Agreement (including foreclosure) will be pursued until after such date.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 7 - INVENTORY

Inventory consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2011	September 30, 2010
Raw materials	\$ 44,785	\$ 49,757
Work in progress	8,397	9,330
Finished goods	2,799	3,110
	55,981	62,197
Less: reserve for obsolescence	—	—
	\$ 55,981	\$ 62,197

NOTE 8 - COMMITMENTS

We lease office space in Sterling, Virginia under a two-year operating lease that expired on March 31, 2011. We are currently leasing our space on a month-to-month basis but plan to renew the lease for another year. The office lease agreement has certain escalation clauses and renewal options. Additionally, we have lease agreements for computer equipment and an office copier/fax machine. We have no future minimum rental payments required.

Rent expense was \$76,397 and \$78,076 for the years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

NOTE 9 - INCOME TAXES

We account for income taxes under the provisions of ASC 740-10-25. ASC 740-10-25 prescribes a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Benefits from tax positions should be recognized in the financial statements only when it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authority that would have full knowledge of all the relevant information. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Tax positions that previously failed to meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be recognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is met. Previously recognized tax positions that no longer meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold should be derecognized in the first subsequent financial reporting period in which that threshold is no longer met. ASC 740-10-25 also provides guidance on the accounting for and disclosure of unrecognized tax benefits, interest, and penalties. ASC 740-10-25 requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for both the expected impact of differences between the financial statements and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, and for the expected future tax benefit to be derived from tax losses and tax credit carryforwards. ASC 740-10-25 additionally requires the establishment of a valuation allowance to reflect the likelihood of realization of deferred tax assets. At September 30, 2011 and 2009 the Company has no unrecognized tax benefits, interest, or penalties.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 9 - INCOME TAXES (continued)

A summary of our deferred tax is as follows:

	2011	2010
Deferred Tax Assets:		
Tax benefit of net operating loss carry forward	\$ 4,324,000	\$ 5,360,000
Unpaid accrued salaries	24,000	17,000
Allowance for doubtful accounts	113,000	113,000
Reserve for legal settlement	-	353,000
Amortization of leasehold improvements	271,000	182,000
Amortization of intangibles	-	302,000
	4,732,000	6,327,000
Less: valuation allowance	(4,732,000)	(6,327,000)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ —	\$ —

As of September 30, 2011 we had unused net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$11,500,000 available to reduce our future federal taxable income. Net operating loss carryforwards expire through fiscal years ending 2031. Internal Revenue Code Section 382 places a limitation on the amount of taxable income that can be offset by carryforwards after a change in control (generally a greater than 50% change in ownership).

The valuation allowance at September 30, 2011 was \$4,732,000. The decrease during fiscal 2011 was approximately \$1,595,000.

Net operating loss carryforwards and the associated deferred tax asset were reduced during fiscal September 30, 2011 to reflect reversals of certain temporary differences and management's re-assessment of its net operating loss carryforwards for tax purposes.

The table below summarizes the differences between our effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate as follows for fiscal 2011 and 2010. The effective tax rate is 34% Federal and 3.6% State after Federal tax benefit:

	2011		2010	
Computed "expected" tax benefit	(34.0)%	(34.0)%
State income taxes	(3.6)%	(3.6)%
Other permanent differences	10.8	%	1.0	%
Change in valuation allowance	26.8	%	36.6	%
Effective tax rate	0.0	%	0.0	%

NOTE 10 - CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

Bank Balances

The Company is subject to concentrations of credit risk primarily from cash. At September 30, 2011, the FDIC insured deposits up to \$250,000. At September 30, 2011, the Company's bank balances did not exceed the FDIC insurance limit. While the Company periodically evaluates the credit quality of the financial institutions in which it holds deposits, it cannot reasonably alleviate the risk associated with the sudden possible failure of such financial institutions.

Major Customers

Sales to 5 customers represented approximately 90% of total sales for the year ended September 30, 2011. As of September 30, 2011 approximately 84% of our accounts receivable was due from one customer. Sales to eight customers represented approximately 89% in 2010.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 11 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

Preferred Stock

Our authorized capital includes 10,000,000 shares of blank check preferred stock, par value \$0.001 per share, of which 1,666,667 shares have previously been designated as Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. Our Board of Directors, without further stockholder approval, may issue our preferred stock in one or more series from time to time and fix or alter the designations, relative rights, priorities, preferences, qualifications, limitations and restrictions of the shares of each series. In September 2005, Our Board of Directors authorized a series of 833,334 shares of blank check preferred stock be designated as Series B Convertible Preferred Stock and on September 28, 2005, we filed a Certificate of Designations of Preferences, Rights and Limitations of Series B Preferred with the Secretary of State of Delaware. On December 29, 2005, we filed an Amended and Restated Certificate of Designations of Preferences, Rights and Limitations of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock increasing the number of shares authorized under this series to 1,833,334 shares.

A) Series A Convertible Preferred Stock

All shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock were converted into shares of our common stock in fiscal 2008. As of September 30, 2011 there are no Series A Convertible Preferred shares outstanding. The warrants issued in conjunction with the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock transaction were fully converted into shares of our common stock in fiscal 2008. There are no outstanding warrants related to the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock transaction at September 30, 2011.

On March 30, 2005, we entered into a Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement and related agreements with Barron Partners LP. Under the terms of this agreement, we sold Barron Partners LP, an accredited investor, 1,666,667 shares of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock and issued the purchaser the Common Stock Purchase Warrants "A", "B" and "C" to purchase an aggregate of 4,500,000 shares of our common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$2.00 to \$9.60 per share for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,000,000. We received net proceeds of \$900,000 after payment of expenses of \$35,000 and a finder's fee to Liberty Company LLC of \$65,000. We also issued Liberty Company LLC, a broker-dealer, a Common Stock Purchase Warrant "A" exercisable into 175,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$0.70 per share as additional compensation for its services. We used these proceeds for general working capital and acquisitions. The transaction was exempt from registration under the Securities Act in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

B) Series B Convertible Preferred Stock

The designations, rights and preferences of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock provide:

no dividends are payable on the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. So long as these shares are outstanding, we cannot pay dividends on our common stock nor can it redeem any shares of its common stock, the shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock do not have any voting rights, except as may be provided under Delaware law,

so long as the shares are outstanding, we cannot change the designations of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, create a class of securities that in the instance of payment of dividends or distribution of assets upon our liquidation ranks senior to or pari passu with the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock or increase the number of authorized

shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, the shares carry a liquidation preference of \$0.2727 per share,

- each share of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock is convertible at the option of the holder into one share of our common stock based upon an initial conversion value of \$0.2727 per share. The conversion ratio is subject to adjustment in the event of stock dividends, stock splits or reclassification of our common stock. The conversion ratio is also subject to adjustment in the event we should sell any shares of its common stock or securities convertible into common stock at an effective price less than the conversion ratio then in effect, in which case the conversion ratio would be reduced to the lesser price. No conversion of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock may occur if a conversion would result in the holder, and any of its affiliates beneficially owning more than 4.9% of our outstanding common shares following such conversion. This provision may be waived or amended only with the consent of the holders of all of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock and the consent of the holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock who are not affiliates,

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 11 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) (continued)

so long as the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock is outstanding, we have agreed not to issue any rights, options or warrants to holders of its common stock entitling the holders to purchase shares of its common stock at less than the conversion ratio without the consent of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. If we should elect to undertake such an issuance and the Series B holders consent, the conversion ratio would be reduced. Further, if we should make a distribution of any evidence of indebtedness or assets or rights or warrants to subscribe for any security to our common stockholders, the conversion value would be readjusted,

the shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock automatically convert into shares of our common stock in the event of change of control of the Company, and

so long as the shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock are outstanding, we cannot sell or issue any common stock, rights to subscribe for shares of common stock or securities which are convertible or exercisable into shares of common stock at an effective purchase price of less than the then conversion value of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock.

Common Stock

Fiscal 2011 Transactions

On September 30, 2011 we sold approximately 9,762,671 restricted stock units at \$0.12/unit. Each unit consists of one share of common stock and a warrant exercisable for one share of common stock. The warrants have a five year life, an exercise price of \$0.15/share, and are callable if the Company's common stock trades over \$0.25/share for ten consecutive trading days.

Anderson and Strudwick, Inc. acted as the placement agent in connection with the sale of the securities and as compensation received a cash fee of approximately \$114,127, and warrants to purchase approximately 976,267 shares of the Company's common stock at a price per share of \$0.15.

The sale of the Shares and the Warrants was made pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act for transactions not involving a public offering and/or Regulation D, as promulgated by the SEC under the Securities Act, and in reliance upon exemptions from registration under applicable state securities laws.

During February, 2011 we sold 2,000,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$200,000 to an accredited investor, and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During March, 2011 we sold 250,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$25,000 to an accredited investor, and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During May, 2011 we sold 1,609,600 shares of common stock, valued at \$184,464 to two accredited investors, and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During July, 2011 we sold 416,667 shares of common stock, valued at \$91,541 to an accredited investor, and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

Fiscal 2010 Transactions

During fiscal 2010, we issued 18,715,000 of our common stock in connection with the exercise of options under our stock option plan.

During November, 2009, we sold 1,000,000 shares of common stock, valued at \$130,000 to a Director for \$40,000, and recognized stock based compensation expense of \$90,000. The purchaser was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During November, 2009, we sold 1,500,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.10, valued at \$150,000 to an accredited investor, and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During March, 2010, we sold 3,000,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.10, valued at \$300,000 to four accredited investors. The issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on exemptions provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During March, 2010, we issued 1,000,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.17, valued at \$170,000 to an accredited investor for services rendered. The issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During February, 2010 we issued 8,800,000 shares of restricted common stock at a per share price of \$0.086, valued at \$756,800, in lieu of pay to five of our employees, including two executive officers. The recipients were accredited investors and the issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on exemptions provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During April and May, 2010 we sold 10,080,000 units of our securities to 35 accredited investors in a private placement exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act and Regulation D, with each unit consisting of one share of our common stock and one 12 month common stock purchase warrants. We received gross proceeds of \$2,316,000 in this offering. Jesup & Lamont Securities Incorporated, a broker-dealer and member of FINRA, acted as finder for us in the offering and we paid Jesup & Lamont Securities Incorporated a fee of \$162,120 and issued them one-year common stock purchase warrants to purchase an aggregate of 877,100 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.40 per share. In addition, we paid Jesup & Lamont Securities Incorporated legal expenses totaling \$25,000 incurred in the preparation of the various transactional documents. We are using the net proceeds of this offering for general working capital.

In July 2010, we issued 2,678,571 shares of common stock valued at \$401,786 to Optimus Capital Partners, LLC as consideration in the settlement of certain litigation. The recipient was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Section Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

In September 2010, we issued 3,000,000 shares of our common stock in full satisfaction of \$1,090,136 of principal and interest due under a convertible debenture. The recipient was an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Section Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During fiscal 2010, in conjunction with certain employment agreements, we issued 494,937 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$97,065, in lieu of pay to non-executive employees. The recipients were accredited investors and the issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on exemptions provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During May, 2010, we issued 200,000 shares of common stock at a per share price of \$0.30, valued at \$60,000 to an accredited investor for services rendered. The issuance was exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

During fiscal 2010, in conjunction with a consulting agreement, we issued 250,000 shares of restricted common stock valued at \$56,234, in lieu of pay to non-executive employees. The recipients were accredited investors and the issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on exemptions provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 11 - STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT) (continued)

In June 2010, we issued 1,300,000 shares of common stock valued at \$210,000 as partial consideration in the settlement of certain litigation. The recipients were an accredited investor and the issuance was exempt from registration under the Section Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act.

Common Stock Warrants

A summary of the status of our outstanding common stock warrants as of September 30, 2011 and 2010 and changes during the period ending on that date is as follows:

	Year Ended September 30, 2011		Year Ended September 30, 2010	
	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Common Stock Warrants				
Balance at beginning of year	8,287,100	\$ 0.40	225,000	\$ 1.78
Granted	11,238,938	0.16	8,137,100	0.40
Exercised	—	—	—	—
Forfeited	(7,997,100)	0.40	(75,000)	6.00
Balance at end of year	11,528,938	\$ 0.17	8,287,100	\$ 0.40
Warrants exercisable at end of year	11,528,938	\$ 0.17	8,287,100	
Weighted average fair value of warrants granted or re-priced during the year		\$ —		

The following table summarizes information about common stock warrants outstanding at September 30, 2011:

Range of Exercise Price	Warrants Outstanding			Warrants Exercisable		
	Number Outstanding at September 30, 2011	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable at September 30, 2011	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
\$ 0.15	10,738,938	5.00 Years	\$ 0.15	10,738,938	\$ 0.15	
\$ 0.40	500,000	0.53 Years	\$ 0.40	500,000	\$ 0.40	
\$ 0.50	290,000	2.03 Years	\$ 0.50	290,000	\$ 0.50	
	11,528,938		\$ 0.17	11,528,938	\$ 0.17	

NOTE 12 - STOCK OPTION PLAN

In August 2000, the Board of Directors adopted the 2000 Management and Director Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan (the "Plan") for directors, officers and employees that provides for non-qualified and incentive stock

options to be issued enabling holders thereof to purchase common shares of our stock at exercise prices determined by our Board of Directors. The Plan was approved by our stockholders in August 2001.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 12 - STOCK OPTION PLAN (continued)

The purpose of the Plan is to advance our interests and those of its stockholders by providing a means of attracting and retaining key employees, directors and consultants. In order to serve this purpose, we believe the Plan encourages and enables key employees, directors and consultants to participate in its future prosperity and growth by providing them with incentives and compensation based on its performance, development and financial success. Participants in the Plan may include our officers, directors, other key employees and consultants who have responsibilities affecting our management, development or financial success.

Awards may be made under the Plan in the form of Plan options, shares of our common stock subject to a vesting schedule based upon certain performance objectives (“Performance Shares”) and shares subject to a vesting schedule based on the recipient’s continued employment (“restricted shares”). Plan options may either be options qualifying as incentive stock options under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended or options that do not so qualify. Any incentive stock option granted under the Plan must provide for an exercise price of not less than 100% of the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of such grant, but the exercise price of any incentive option granted to an eligible employee owning more than 10% of our common stock must be at least 110% of such fair market value as determined on the date of the grant. Only persons who are officers or other key employees are eligible to receive incentive stock options and performance share grants. Any non-qualified stock option granted under the Plan must provide for an exercise price of not less than 50% of the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of such grant.

As amended in fiscal 2011, the Plan permits the grant of options and shares for up to 60,000,000 shares of our common stock. The Plan terminates 10 years from the date of the Plan’s adoption by our stockholders.

The term of each Plan option and the manner in which it may be exercised is determined by the Board of Directors, provided that no Plan option may be exercisable more than three years after the date of its grant and, in the case of an incentive option granted to an eligible employee owning more than 10% of our common stock, no more than five years after the date of the grant. The exercise price of the stock options may be paid in either cash, or delivery of unrestricted shares of common stock having a fair market value on the date of delivery equal to the exercise price, or surrender of shares of common stock subject to the stock option which has a fair market value equal to the total exercise price at the time of exercise, or a combination of the foregoing methods.

The fair value of stock options granted was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes options pricing model. We used the following assumptions for determining the fair value of options granted under the Black-Scholes option pricing model:

	Year Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Expected volatility	129% - 325%	129% - 325%
Expected term	1 - 5 Years	1 - 5 Years
Risk-free interest rate	0.03% - 0.48%	0.03% - 0.48%
Forfeiture Rate	0% - 45%	0% - 45%
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%

Edgar Filing: ICEWEB INC - Form 10-K

The expected volatility was determined with reference to the historical volatility of our stock. We use historical data to estimate option exercise, employee termination, and forfeiture rate within the valuation model. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury rate in effect at the time of grant.

For the year ended September 30, 2011, total stock-based compensation charged to operations for option-based arrangements amounted to \$131,680. At September 30, 2011, there was approximately \$42,608 of total unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested option-based compensation arrangements under the Plan.

A summary of the status of our outstanding stock options as of September 30, 2011 and changes during the period ending on that date is as follows:

	Year Ended September 30, 2011			Year Ended September 30, 2010		
		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value		Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Stock options						
Balance at beginning of year	11,604,404	\$0.27		10,944,483	\$0.27	\$
Granted	-	-		32,410,000	\$0.09	-
Exercised	(6,791,361)	\$0.19		(30,570,600)	\$0.09	-
Forfeited	(708,556)	\$0.50		(1,179,479)	\$0.16	
Balance at end of year	4,104,487	\$0.37	\$184,493	11,604,404	\$0.27	\$1,351,502
Options exercisable at end of year	3,647,720	\$0.40	\$155,252	9,691,237	\$0.30	\$1,037,335
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year		\$-			\$0.078	

The following table summarizes information about employee stock options outstanding at September 30, 2011:

Range of Exercise Price	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Number Outstanding at September 30, 2011	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable at September 30, 2011	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
\$ 0.001-0.25	1,739,367	2.59 Years	\$ 0.06	1,358,000	\$ 0.06	
0.30-0.48	100,400	4.83 Years	0.28	25,000	0.37	
0.54-0.60	1,764,720	1.1 Years	0.59	1,764,720	0.59	
0.61-0.80	500,000	0.65 Years	0.70	500,000	0.70	
	4,104,487		\$ 0.37	3,647,720	\$ 0.40	

NOTE 13 - INVESTMENTS

(a) Summary of Investments

Marketable Equity Securities:

In November, 2009 we acquired 800,000 shares of VOIS Inc. common stock for \$48,000. The Company was able to negotiate a purchase price less than the then trading price of VOIS' common stock based upon the illiquid nature of the investment and the lack of any other willing purchasers for VOIS securities. Due to the illiquid nature of the VOIS Inc. common stock we applied a discount factor of 20% to the fair value of the marketable security.

As of September 30, 2011, the Company's investments in marketable equity securities are based on the September 30, 2011 stock price as reflected on the OTCBB stock exchange, reduced by a discount factor if those shares have selling restrictions. These marketable equity securities are summarized as follows:

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 13 - INVESTMENTS (continued)

SEPTEMBER 30, 2011	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Publicly traded equity securities	\$ 48,000	\$ 67,200	\$ —	115,200
Total	\$ 48,000	\$ 67,200	\$ —	115,200

The unrealized gains are presented in comprehensive income in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income.

(b) Gains and Losses on Investments

The following table summarizes the realized net gains (losses) associated with the Company's investments:

	Fiscal Year Ended September 30	
	2011	2010
Net gains/(loss) on investments in publicly traded equity securities	\$ 67,200	\$ 476,800
Net gains on investments	\$ 67,200	\$ 476,800

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted ASC 820, which, among other things, defines fair value, establishes a consistent framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure for each major asset and liability category measured at fair value on either a recurring or nonrecurring basis. The Company did not adopt the ASC 820 fair value framework for nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements at least annually. ASC 820 clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would either be received to sell an asset or be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, ASC 820 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1. Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2. Inputs, other than the quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and

Level 3. Unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

Investment Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis:

	Fair Value Measurements Using:		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Marketable Equity Securities, net of discount for effect of restriction	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 115,200

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 13 - INVESTMENTS (continued)

We categorize the securities as investments in marketable securities available for sale. These securities are quoted either on an exchange or inter-dealer quotation (pink sheet) system. The securities are restricted and cannot be readily resold by us absent a registration of those securities under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") or the availabilities of an exemption from the registration requirements under the Securities Act. As these securities are often restricted, we are unable to liquidate them until the restriction is removed. Unrealized gains or losses on marketable securities available for sale are recognized as an element of comprehensive income based on changes in the fair value of the security. Once liquidated, realized gains or losses on the sale of marketable securities available for sale are reflected in our net income for the period in which the security was liquidated.

Under the guidance of ASC 320, "Investments", we periodically evaluate other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) of securities to determine whether a decline in their value is other than temporary. Management utilizes criteria such as the magnitude and duration of the decline, in addition to the reasons underlying the decline, to determine whether the loss in value is other than temporary. The term "other-than-temporary" is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent. It indicates that the prospects for a near term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support fair values equal to, or greater than, the carrying value of the investment. Once a decline in value is determined to be other than temporary, the value of the security is reduced and a corresponding impairment charge to earnings is recognized. In the assessment of OTTI for various securities at September 30, 2011 the guidance in ASC 320, "the Investment-Debt and Equity Securities," is carefully followed.

There were no impairment charges on investments in publicly traded equity securities for the year ended September 30, 2011 or for the year ended September 30, 2010.

The Company has evaluated its publicly traded equity securities as of September 30, 2011, and has determined that there were no unrealized losses that indicate an other-than-temporary impairment. This determination was based on several factors, which include the length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than the cost basis and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and the Company's intent and ability to hold the publicly traded equity securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value.

NOTE 14 - COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Comprehensive income is comprised of net income and other comprehensive income or loss. Other comprehensive income or loss refers to revenue, expenses, gains and losses that under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States are included in comprehensive income but excluded from net income as these amounts are recorded directly as an adjustment to stockholders' equity.

Our other comprehensive income consists of unrealized gains on marketable securities available for sale of \$67,200.

NOTE 15 - SEGMENT REPORTING

Although the Company has a number of operating divisions, separate segment data has not been presented as they meet the criteria for aggregation as permitted by ASC Topic 280, "Segment Reporting" (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, "Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information").

Our chief operating decision-maker is considered to be our Chief Executive Officer (CEO). The CEO reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. The financial information reviewed by the CEO is identical to the information presented in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Therefore, the Company has determined that it operates in a single operating segment, specifically, web communications services. For the periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 all material assets and revenues of the Company were in the United States.

IceWEB, Inc. and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010

NOTE 16 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

We are a party to litigation which arises primarily in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of such litigation should not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 17 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On November 23, 2011, IceWEB, Inc. (the "Company") entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with three accredited investors pursuant to which the Company sold \$2,012,500 in principal amount of Senior Convertible Notes (the "Notes") and issued the investors Series O, Series P and Series Q Warrants (collectively, the "Warrants") to purchase up to an aggregate of 35,514,708 shares of the Company's common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$1,750,000 in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") in reliance on an exemption from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) and Regulation D of the Securities Act. The Company issued the Notes at an original issue discount of 13%. We also entered into a Registration Rights Agreement with investors in which we agreed to register the shares underlying the Notes and the Warrants.

We paid Rodman & Renshaw, LLC, a broker-dealer and member of FINRA who acted as the exclusive placement agent for us in the offering, a cash commission of \$155,000, issued it warrants to purchase an aggregate of 911,765 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$0.17 per share which are identical to the Series O Warrants, and reimbursed it for legal expenses of \$20,000. We reimbursed Iroquois Master Fund Ltd., an investor in the offering, \$60,000 for its non-accountable expenses related to the investment. We are using the net proceeds from this offering for general working capital.

The Purchase Agreement contains customary covenants on our part that are typical for transactions of this type, as well as the following additional covenants:

- we agreed not to file any registration statement, other than the registration statement we are required to file under the Registration Rights Agreement described below or a registration statement on Form S-4 or S-8, until the first date on which the resale by the investors of all shares of common stock underlying the securities sold in the offering is either covered by one or more effective registration statements or may be resold pursuant to Rule 144 under the Securities Act without the need for current public information required by Rule 144 (the "Applicable Date");
- we agreed not conduct any other securities offerings until 30th trading day after the Applicable Date, except for certain excluded securities, and while the Notes and Warrants are outstanding, we agreed not to enter into any variable rate transactions;
- we agreed to offer to the investors, until the first anniversary of the closing date, the opportunity to participate in any subsequent securities offerings by our company;
- so long as the Notes or Warrants are outstanding, we agreed not to issue any securities other than for cash or in connection with options which may be granted to our officers, directors and employees; and
- we are required to enter into an amendment with Sand Hill Finance, LLC for our factoring agreement to extend the maturity date of the agreement to at least 91 days after all obligations under the Notes have been satisfied, among other modifications.

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the filing date of this Form 10-K, and determined that no subsequent events have occurred that would require recognition in the financial statements or disclosure in the notes thereto other than as discussed in the accompanying notes.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we have conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded as of the evaluation date that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective such that the information relating to our company, required to be disclosed in our Securities and Exchange Commission reports (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets, (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors, and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of the unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management assessed our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2011, the end of our fiscal year. Management based its assessment on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Management's assessment included an evaluation of such elements as the design and operating effectiveness of key financial reporting controls, process documentation, accounting policies, and our overall control environment.

Based on its assessment, our management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2011 to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. As described elsewhere herein, we have changed the presentation of discontinued operations in our 2009 financial statements in response to comments from the staff of the SEC. As this change did not result in a restatement of our 2009 financial statements, our management concluded that the change in presentation was not a weakness in internal control over financial reporting based upon their assessment of our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended September 30, 2011.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of Rules 13a-15 or 15d-15 under the Exchange Act that occurred during the Registrant's last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

40

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The following individuals serve as our executive officers and members of our Board of Directors:

Name	Age	Positions
John R. Signorello	45	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Mark B. Lucky	53	Chief Financial Officer
Harold F. Compton (1)(2)	64	Director
Raymond H. Pirtle (2)	68	Director
Joseph L. Druzak (1)	57	Director
Jack Bush(1)	74	Director
Harry E. Soyster	74	Director

(1) Member of the Compensation Committee

(2) Member of the Audit Committee

John R. Signorello . Mr. Signorello has served as Chairman of the Board and CEO since March 2000. From 1991 until September 1997, Mr. Signorello served as the Chief Executive Officer of STMS - "Solutions That Make Sense" - a private technology company he founded that specialized in computer networks, systems integration and information technology. In 1996, STMS was ranked the 17th fastest growing technology company in America by The National Technology Council's "The Fast Five Hundred". In September 1997, they were acquired by Steelcloud (Nasdaq: SCLD), and Mr. Signorello remained as Vice President of Sales and Marketing until November 1998. Mr. Signorello is an accomplished musician, and serves as a principal in New York City Lights Entertainment. Mr. Signorello received a B.B.A. in Marketing from Radford University in 1989.

We believe that as a result of his years of managerial and operational experience, Mr. Signorello brings to the board of directors a demonstrated management ability at senior levels. In addition, his experience with a variety of technology companies brings valuable insight to his role as CEO and to our board of directors. These experiences, qualifications and attributes have led to our conclusion that Mr. Signorello should be serving as a member of our Board of Directors in light of our business and structure.

Mark B. Lucky. Mark B. Lucky has served as our Chief Financial Officer since March 2007. Since October 30, 2010 he has also served as a member of the Board of Directors of VOIS Inc. (OTCBB: VOIS), an entity in which we purchased an interest as described elsewhere herein. He has over 20 years professional experience in high growth/start-up ventures and established companies with multi-industry experience including financial services, technology, software, real estate, biotech and entertainment and media. Prior to joining IceWEB, he consulted at Bearing Point on their financial restatement project. From 2004 to 2005 he was Vice President of Finance and Administration at Galt Associates, Inc., a Sterling, Virginia informatics/ technology and medical research services company and from 2001 to 2004 he was Vice President of Finance and Administration of MindShare Design, Inc., a San Francisco, California based internet technology company. While at both Galt Associates, Inc. and MindShare Design, Inc. Mr. Lucky was the senior financial officer for the Company, providing strategic and tactical analysis and managing day to day finance, accounting, cash management, reporting and human resource responsibilities. During his career Mr. Lucky has also been employed by Axy's Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a NASDAQ-listed South San Francisco, California-based early stage drug discovery biotech company (acting CFO and Senior Director of Finance), PriceWaterhouseCoopers, LLC, COMPASS Management and Leasing, Inc. (Vice President - Finance 1997 to 1998), Mindscape, Inc. (Director of Financial Planning and Analysis 1995 to 1996), The Walt Disney Company (Manager, Operations Planning & Analysis, Manager of Corporate Planning 1991 to 1995), and KPMG. Mr. Lucky is a member

of the Board of Directors of VOIS Inc. and HASCO Medical, Inc. Mr. Lucky is a CPA and received his B.A., Economics, from the University of California at Los Angeles.

Harold F. Compton. Mr. Compton has been a member of our Board of Directors since May 2005. Mr. Compton has been a retailer for more than 30 years. Mr. Compton joined CompUSA Inc. in 1994 as Executive Vice President-Operations, becoming Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer in 1995, President of CompUSA Stores in 1996 and Chief Executive Officer of CompUSA Inc. in 2000, a position he held until his retirement in 2004. Prior to joining CompUSA, Inc., from 1993 until 1994 he served as President and COO of Central Electric Inc., Executive Vice President Operations and Human Resources, and Director of Stores for HomeBase (1989 to 1993), Senior Vice President Operations and Director of Stores for Roses Discount Department Stores (1986 to 1989), and held various management positions including Store Manager, District Manager, Regional Vice President and Zone Vice President for Zayre Corporation from 1965 to 1986. Since 1998 Mr. Compton was a member of the Board of Directors of Linens 'N Things, Inc., is currently a member of the Board of Directors of Maidenform Brands, Inc. and is a member of its Compensation Committee and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors of that company. Mr. Compton also serves as Chairman of the Board of HASCO Medical, Inc.

We believe that as a result of his years of managerial and operational experience, Mr. Compton brings to the board of directors demonstrated management ability at senior levels. In addition, his experience as a director of a variety of companies, and his more than 30 years of experience as a retailer brings valuable insight to our board of directors. These experiences, qualifications and attributes have led to our conclusion that Mr. Compton should be serving as a member of our Board of Directors in light of our business and structure.

Raymond Pirtle, Jr. Mr. Pirtle has been a member of our Board of Directors since June 2005. Mr. Pirtle is a veteran of the financial services industry, having spent the past three decades in a variety of senior roles in corporate finance, institutional sales, investment banking, and equity research. From 1966 until 1989 he was employed by J.C. Bradford & Co., a large regional investment banking and brokerage, departing as a general partner. From 1989 until 2001 he was a Director and co-head of institutional sales of Equitable Securities Corp., a banking and institutional brokerage firm later known as SunTrust Equitable. In 2001 he was one of the founding partners of Avondale Partners, LLC, an institutional equity research and investment banking firm focusing on small companies generally with a market cap in the range of \$200 million to \$2 billion. In March 2005 Mr. Pirtle founded Clairidge Company, LLC., a consulting firm that represents micro-cap to small-cap companies with a public equity valuation under \$200 million or larger companies that are seeking to attract broad attention from institutional portfolio managers, research analysts or investment bankers. Since 1985 Mr. Pirtle has been serving on the board of both public and private companies. He currently serves on the board of Premier Global Services, Inc. (NYSE: PGI), a provider of business communications services and business process solutions that enable enterprise customers to automate and simplify components of their critical business processes and to communicate more effectively with their constituents.

Mr. Pirtle is a veteran of the financial services industry, having spent the past three decades in a variety of senior roles in corporate finance, institutional sales, investment banking, and equity research. These experiences, qualifications and attributes have led to our conclusion that Mr. Pirtle should be serving as a member of our Board of Directors in light of our business and structure.

Joseph L. Druzak. Mr. Druzak has been a member of our Board of Directors since June 2005. Since 1985 Mr. Druzak has served President and CEO of Kreher Steel Company, LLC., a large, privately-held specialty steel distribution company serving such diverse markets as automotive, rail, construction, oil and gas, aerospace and defense.

With his years of managerial and operational experience, Mr. Druzak brings to the board of directors demonstrated management ability at senior levels and his insight and direction will assist the company in achieving its objectives. We believe that these experiences, qualifications and attributes have led to our conclusion that Mr. Druzak should be serving as a member of our Board of Directors in light of our business and structure.

Jack Bush. Mr. Bush has been a member of our Board of Directors since August 2005. Mr. Bush has served as the President of Raintree Partners, Inc., a management consulting company, since September 1995. He is also currently Chairman and Director of IdeaForest.com (Joann.com), and Vice Chairman and Director of FPE Corporation (Framed Picture Enterprises). From 1995 to 1999 he served as Chairman of Aaron Brothers Holding Company and of Carolina Art & Frame Co. He was a founder, Chief Concept Officer and Director of Artistree Art, Frame & Design Company. During this time he was also a Director of Cyberplay, New York Coffee & Bagels, Bradlees Stores, Stage Stores, Telequip and Jumbo Sports Company. He served on the board of Bradlees during a successful reorganization and served as special assistant to the board of Stage Stores during a successful reorganization. From 1997 to 1999 he served as Chairman, CEO and President of Jumbo Sports Co. From 1991 to August 1995, he was President and Director of Michaels Stores, Inc. and was Chairman of Michaels of Canada. The Company grew from 136 to 530 stores and became the largest arts and crafts retailer in the world. Upon leaving the NASDAQ-listed company, sales reached \$1.5 billion and had 22,000 associates. From 1990 to 1991 he served as Executive Vice President, Director of Operations and Stores for Ames Department Stores. From 1985 to 1990 Mr. Bush was President and Director of Roses stores, a NASDAQ-listed company. During his tenure the Company grew to 226 stores with \$1.6 billion in

sales and 25,000 associates. From 1980 to 1985 He served as Vice President of Zayre Corporation, an NYSE-listed company responsible for 105 stores and \$750 million in sales. From 1958 to 1980 he served in a variety of positions with J.C. Penney Company, an NYSE-listed company. Mr. Bush was a U.S. Air Force Reserve officer and holds a Bachelor of Science from the University of Missouri.

We believe that Mr. Bush's extensive senior management, operational, and board experience bring valuable knowledge to our board of directors and that these experiences, qualifications and attributes have led to our conclusion that Mr. Bush should be serving as a member of our Board of Directors in light of our business and structure.

Harry E. Soyster. General Soyster has been a member of our Board of Directors since March 2009. General Soyster served as Director, Defense Intelligence Agency during Desert Shield/Storm. He also served as Deputy Assistant Chief of Staff for Intelligence, Department of the Army; Commanding General, U.S. Army Intelligence and Security Command; and in the Joint Reconnaissance Center, Joint Chiefs of Staff. In Vietnam, he was a field artillery battalion operations officer, and was twice decorated for valor and wounded in action. Upon retirement, General Soyster was Vice President for International Operations with Military Professional

Resources Incorporated where he helped pioneer the concept of providing retired military expertise to support emerging democracies in Eastern Europe and Africa. In 2006, he served as Special Assistant to the SEC Army for World War II 60th Anniversary Commemorations. Currently, he serves as consultant to numerous corporations and participates in studies by the Center for Strategic and International Studies and the National Institute for Public Policy. In 1957, General Soyster graduated from the United States Military Academy with a Bachelor of Science degree in Engineering. He also holds a Masters of Science degree in Chemistry from Pennsylvania State University in Chemistry and a Masters of Science degree in Management from the University of Southern California. His military education includes completion of the Field Artillery School, Basic and Advanced Courses; the U.S. Army Command and General Staff College; and the National War College. General Soyster has an active TS/SCI (Top Secret/Sensitive Compartmented Information) clearance.

General Soyster provides our board with extensive knowledge, experience, and relationships with agencies in the federal government. He has significant organizational, operational, and managerial experience and we believe he brings valuable insight to growing our company and assist us in meeting our business objectives. We believe that these experiences, qualifications and attributes have led to our conclusion that General Soyster should be serving as a member of our Board of Directors in light of our business and structure.

There are no family relationships between any of the executive officers and directors. Directors are elected at our annual meeting of stockholders and hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until his or her resignation, removal, or death.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors has created both an Audit Committee and a Compensation Committee. We do not have a Nominating Committee or any committee performing a similar function. The functions that such a committee would undertake are being undertaken by the entire board as a whole. We do not have a policy regarding the consideration of any director candidates which may be recommended by our stockholders, including the minimum qualifications for director candidates, nor has our Board of Directors established a process for identifying and evaluating director nominees. We have not adopted a policy regarding the handling of any potential recommendation of director candidates by our stockholders, including the procedures to be followed. Our Board has not considered or adopted any of these policies as we have never received a recommendation from any stockholder for any candidate to serve on our Board of Directors or any inquiry as to what the procedures may be if a stockholder wished to make such a recommendation. Since 2009 the Board has been developing a nominating and approval process and policy to guide the handling of potential recommendations of board candidates. While there have been no nominations of additional directors proposed, in the event such a proposal is made, all members of our Board will participate in the consideration of director nominees.

Audit Committee. The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors was formed to assist the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities for the integrity of our consolidated financial statements, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, and the performance of our internal audit function and independent auditors. The Audit Committee will also prepare the report that SEC rules require be included in our annual proxy statement. The Audit Committee has adopted a charter which sets forth the parameters of its authority. The Audit Committee Charter provides that the Audit Committee is empowered to:

- Appoint, compensate, and oversee the work of the independent registered public accounting firm employed by our company to conduct the annual audit. This firm will report directly to the audit committee;
- Resolve any disagreements between management and the auditor regarding financial reporting;
- Pre-approve all auditing and permitted non-audit services performed by our external audit firm;

- Retain independent counsel, accountants, or others to advise the committee or assist in the conduct of an investigation;
- Seek any information it requires from employees - all of whom are directed to cooperate with the committee's requests - or external parties;
 - Meet with our officers, external auditors, or outside counsel, as necessary; and
- The committee may delegate authority to subcommittees, including the authority to pre-approve all auditing and permitted non-audit services, provided that such decisions are presented to the full committee at its next scheduled meeting.

Each Audit Committee member is required to:

- satisfy the independence requirements of Section 10A(m)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and all rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC as well as the rules imposed by the stock exchange or other marketplace on which our securities may be listed from time to time, and
- meet the definitions of "non-employee director" for purposes of SEC Rule 16b-3 and "outside director" for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Each committee member is required to be financially literate and at least one member is to be designated as the “financial expert,” as defined by applicable legislation and regulation. No committee member is permitted to simultaneously serve on the audit committees of more than two other public companies. Mr. Pirtle is considered an “audit committee financial expert” under the definition under Item 407 of Regulation S-K. As we expand our Board of Directors with additional independent directors the number of directors serving on the Audit Committee will also increase.

A copy of the Audit Committee Charter is available on our website at www.iceweb.com under the “Investor Relations” tab.

Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee was appointed by the Board to discharge the Board’s responsibilities relating to:

- compensation of our executives, equity-based compensation plans, including, without limitation, stock option and restricted stock plans, in which officers or employees may participate, and arrangements with executive officers relating to their employment relationships with our company, including employment agreements, severance agreements, supplemental pension or savings arrangements, change in control agreements and restrictive covenants.

The Compensation Committee has adopted a charter. The Compensation Committee charter provides that the Compensation Committee has overall responsibility for approving and evaluating executive officer compensation plans, policies and programs of our company, as well as all equity-based compensation plans and policies. In addition, the Compensation Committee oversees, reviews and approves all of our ERISA and other employee benefit plans which we may establish from time to time. The Compensation Committee is also responsible for producing an annual report on executive compensation for inclusion in our proxy statement and assisting in the preparation of certain information to be included in other periodic reports filed with the SEC.

Each Compensation Committee member is required to:

- satisfy the independence requirements of Section 10A(m)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and all rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC as well as the rules imposed by the stock exchange or other marketplace on which our securities may be listed from time to time, and
- meet the definitions of “non-employee director” for purposes of SEC Rule 16b-3 and “outside director” for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Pursuant to our Compensation Committee Charter, the Compensation Committee is charged with evaluating and recommending for approval by the Board of Directors the compensation of our executive officers. In addition, the Compensation Committee also evaluates and makes recommendations to the entire Board of Directors regarding grants of options which may be made as director compensation. The Compensation Committee does not delegate these authorities to any other persons nor does it use the services of any compensation consultants.

Messrs. Compton, Druzak and Bush are the members of our Compensation Committee. As we expand our Board of Directors with additional independent directors the number of directors serving on the Compensation Committee will also increase. A copy of the Compensation Committee Charter is available on our website at www.iceweb.com under the “Investor Relations” tab.

Code of Ethics

In May 2005, we adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to our Chief Executive Officer, principal financial and accounting officers and persons performing similar functions. A Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is a written standard designed to deter wrongdoing and to promote:

- honest and ethical conduct,
- full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in regulatory filings and public statements,
- compliance with applicable laws, rules and regulations,
- the prompt reporting violation of the code, and
- accountability for adherence to the Code.

A copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is filed as an exhibit to this annual report. We will provide a copy, without charge, to any person desiring a copy of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, by written request to us at our principal offices to the attention of Corporate Secretary.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Reporting Compliance

Based solely upon a review of Forms 3 and 4 and amendments thereto furnished to us under Rule 16a-3(d) of the Securities Exchange Act during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 and Forms 5 and amendments thereto furnished to us with respect to the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, as well as any written representation from a reporting person that no Form 5 is required, we are aware that the following Board members and officers failed to file on a timely basis, as disclosed in the aforementioned Forms, reports required by Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, except as set forth below:

- Mr. Signorello failed to file 1 report covering 1 transaction,
 - Mr. Lucky failed to file 1 report covering 1 transaction, and
- Mr. Pirtle failed to file 2 reports covering 2 transactions

All such Form 4s have subsequently been filed by the reporting person.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes all compensation recorded by us in each of the last two completed fiscal years for our principal executive officer, each other executive officer serving as such whose annual compensation exceeded \$100,000 and up to two additional individuals for whom disclosure would have been made in this table but for the fact that the individual was not serving as an executive officer of our company at September 30, 2011. The value attributable to any option awards is computed in accordance with accordance with ASC Topic 718, "Compensation – Stock Compensation (Formerly SFAS No. 123 (R)), "Share-Based Payments. The assumptions made in the valuations of the option awards are included in Note 12 of the Notes to our Financial Statements for fiscal 2011 appearing later in this report.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and principal position (a)	Year (b)	Salary (\$) (c)	Bonus (\$) (d)	Stock Awards (\$) (e)	Option Awards (\$) (f)	Nonqualified Non-Equity		All Other Compensation (\$) (i)	Total (\$) (j)
						Incentive Compensation (\$) (g)	Deferred Earnings (\$) (h)		
John Signorello (1)	2011	205,889	—	76,192	—	—	—	34,038	316,119
	2010	239,559	—	430,000	—	—	—	8,914	678,476
Mark B. Lucky (2)	2011	156,417	—	76,192	—	—	—	10,041	242,650
	2010	201,424	—	197,800	—	—	—	8,923	408,147

(1) Mr. Signorello is our Chief Executive Officer. All other compensation in fiscal 2011 includes \$10,038 which represents the value of health insurance premiums and includes \$24,000 which represents the value of life insurance premiums we pay for Mr. Signorello. In fiscal 2011, we granted him 468,727 shares of our restricted common stock, valued at \$76,192. All other compensation in fiscal 2010 includes \$8,914 which represents the value of health insurance premiums we pay for Mr. Signorello. In fiscal 2010, we granted him 5,000,000 shares of our restricted common stock, valued at \$430,000. The compensation table above excludes the compensation provided to Mr.

Signorello as a member of the Board of Directors.

(2) Mr. Lucky is our Chief Financial Officer. All other compensation in fiscal 2011 and 2010 represents the value of health insurance premiums we pay for Mr. Lucky. In fiscal 2011, we granted him 468,727 shares of our restricted common stock, valued at \$76,192. In fiscal 2010, we granted him 2,300,000 shares of our restricted common stock, valued at \$197,800.

45

How Mr. Signorello's compensation is determined

Mr. Signorello, who has served as our CEO since March 2000, is not a party to an employment agreement with our company. His compensation is determined by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee considered a number of factors in determining Mr. Signorello's compensation including the scope of his duties and responsibilities to our company and the time he devotes to our business. The Compensation Committee did not consult with any experts or other third parties in fixing the amount of Mr. Signorello's compensation. During fiscal 2011 Mr. Signorello's compensation package included a base salary of \$250,000 and company provided health care benefits. Mr. Signorello's compensation excludes option grants he received as a member of the Board of Directors.

How Mr. Lucky's compensation is determined

Mr. Lucky, who has served as our CFO since March 2007, is not a party to an employment agreement with our company. His compensation is determined by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors. The Compensation Committee considered a number of factors in determining Mr. Lucky's compensation including the scope of his duties and responsibilities to our company and the time he devotes to our business. The Compensation Committee did not consult with any experts or other third parties in fixing the amount of Mr. Lucky's compensation. During fiscal 2011 Mr. Lucky's compensation package included a base salary of \$200,000 and company provided health care benefits. Mr. Lucky did not receive any stock option grants during this fiscal year. The amount of compensation payable to Mr. Lucky can be increased at any time upon the determination of the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors.

Director Compensation

We have not established standard compensation arrangements for our directors and the compensation payable to each individual for their service on our Board is determined from time to time by our Board of Directors based upon the amount of time expended by each of the directors on our behalf. The following table provides information concerning the compensation of our directors for their services as members of our Board of Directors for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Harold Compton	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Jack Bush	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
John R. Signorello	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Raymond Pirtle	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Harry E. Soyster	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Joseph Druzak	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table provides information concerning unexercised options, stock that has not vested and equity incentive plan awards for each named executive officer outstanding as of September 30, 2011:

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END

Name (a)	OPTION AWARDS				STOCK AWARDS				
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable (b)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable (c)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) (d)	Option Exercise Price (\$) (e)	Option Expiration Date (f)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (g)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) (h)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (i)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (#) (j)
John R. Signorello	100,000	—		\$ 0.70	04/29/2012				
	500,000	—		\$ 0.58	05/06/2015				
	250,000	—		\$ 0.60	09/06/2012				
	250,000	—		\$ 0.10	03/09/2014				
Mark Lucky	100,000	—		\$ 0.58	05/06/2012				
	150,000	—		\$ 0.55	06/14/2012				
	150,000	—		\$ 0.60	09/06/2012				
	29,167	20,833		\$ 0.001	03/18/2013				

STOCK OPTION PLAN

In August 2000, our Board of Directors adopted our 2000 Management and Director Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan was approved by our stockholders in August 2001. As amended in May 2006, June, 2007, February, 2010, and October, 2010, we have reserved an aggregate of 60,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the Plan. At December 1, 2011 we have granted options to purchase 4,104,487 shares of our common stock under the Plan. Our Board of Directors (or at their discretion a committee of our Board members) administers the Plan including, without limitation, the selection of recipients of awards under the Plan, the granting of stock options, restricted shares or performance shares, the determination of the terms and conditions of any such awards, the interpretation of the Plan and any other action they deem appropriate in connection with the administration of the Plan.

The purpose of the Plan is to advance our interests and those of our stockholders by providing a means of attracting and retaining key employees, directors and consultants. In order to serve this purpose, we believe the Plan encourages and enables key employees, directors and consultants to participate in our future prosperity and growth by providing them with incentives and compensation based on our performance, development and financial success. Participants in the Plan may include our officers, directors, other key employees and consultants who have responsibilities affecting

our management, development or financial success.

Awards may be made under the Plan in the form of Plan options, shares of our common stock subject to a vesting schedule based upon certain performance objectives (“performance shares”) and shares subject to a vesting schedule based on the recipient’s continued employment (“restricted shares”). Plan options may either be options qualifying as incentive stock options under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended or options that do not so qualify. Any incentive stock option granted under our Plan must provide for an exercise price of not less than 100% of the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of such grant, but the exercise price of any incentive option granted to an eligible employee owning more than 10% of our common stock must be at least 110% of such fair market value as determined on the date of the grant. Only persons who are our officers or other key employees are eligible to receive incentive stock options and performance share grants. Any non-qualified stock option granted under our Plan must provide for an exercise price of not less than 50% of the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of such grant.

The term of each Plan option and the manner in which it may be exercised is determined by the Board of Directors, provided that no Plan option may be exercisable more than three years after the date of its grant and, in the case of an incentive option granted to an eligible employee owning more than 10% of our common stock, no more than five years after the date of the grant. The exercise price of the stock options may be paid in either:

- cash, or
- delivery of unrestricted shares of our common stock having a fair market value on the date of delivery equal to the exercise price, or
- surrender of shares of our common stock subject to the stock option which has a fair market value equal to the total exercise price at the time of exercise, or
- a combination of the foregoing methods.

All Plan options are nonassignable and nontransferable, except by will or by the laws of descent and distribution and, during the lifetime of the optionee, may be exercised only by such optionee. At the discretion of the Board of Directors, it may approve the irrevocable transfer, without payment, of non-qualified options to the option holder's spouse, children, grandchildren, nieces or nephews, or to the trustee of a trust for the principal benefit of one or more such persons, or to a partnership whose partners are one or more of such persons. If an optionee's employment is terminated for any reason, other than due to his or her death, disability or termination for cause, or if an optionee is not our employee but is a member of our Board of Directors and his or her service as a director is terminated for any reason, other than due to his or her death or disability, the Plan option granted may be exercised on the earlier of the expiration date or 90 days following the date of termination. If the optionee dies during the term of his or her employment, the Plan option granted to him or her shall lapse to the extent unexercised on the earlier of the expiration date of the Plan option or the date one year following the date of the optionee's death. If the optionee's employment, membership on the Board of Directors or engagement as a consultant terminates by reason of the optionee's retirement, then the Plan option granted may be exercised until the earlier of 90 days following the date of termination or the expiration date. If the optionee is permanently and totally disabled within the meaning of Section 22(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Plan option granted to him or her lapses to the extent unexercised on the earlier of the expiration date of the option or one year following the date of such disability.

At the time of the restricted share grant, the Board of Directors may determine the vesting schedule of such shares and that after vesting, such shares may be further restricted as to transferability or be subject to repurchase by us or forfeiture upon the occurrence of certain events. Awards of restricted shares must be accepted by the participant within 30 days of the grant.

At the time of the award of performance shares, the Board of Directors shall establish a range of performance goals to be achieved during the performance period, including, without limitation, earnings, return on capital, or any performance goal approved by our stockholders in accordance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Attainment of the highest performance goal for the performance period will earn 100% of the performance shares awarded for the performance period; failure to attain the lowest performance goal will result in the participant earning no performance shares. Attainment of the performance goals will be calculated from our financial statements, excluding changes in federal income tax rates and the effect of non-recurring and extraordinary items. The performance goals may vary for different performance periods and need not be the same for each participant receiving an award during a performance period.

If the participant's employment by us, membership on our Board of Directors, or engagement by us as a consultant is terminated before the end of any performance period, or upon the participant's death, retirement or disability, the Board of Directors, taking into consideration the performance of such participant and our performance over the performance period, may authorize the issuance to the participant or his or her legal representative or designated beneficiary all or a portion of the performance shares which would have been issued to him or her had the participant's

employment, Board membership or consulting engagement continued to the end of the performance period. If the participant's employment, Board membership or consulting engagement terminates before the end of the performance period for any other reason, all performance shares are forfeited.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, but subject to any stockholder approval or other requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the Board of Directors in its discretion and as determined at the time of award of the performance shares, may provide the participant with the option of receiving cash in lieu of the performance shares in an amount determined at the time of award including, without limitation, by one or more of the following methods:

- the fair market value of the number of shares subject to the performance shares agreement on the date of award, or
 - part or all of any increase in the fair market value since such date, or
- part or all of any dividends paid or payable on the number of shares subject to the performance share agreement, or
- any other amounts which in the Board's sole discretion are reasonably related to the achievement of the applicable performance goals, or
 - any combination of the foregoing.

The purchase price for restricted shares or performance shares granted under the Plan shall be set by the Board of Directors but may not be less than par value. Payment of the purchase price for the restricted shares or performance share may be made in either,

- cash, or
- by delivery of unrestricted shares of our common stock having a fair market value on the date of such delivery equal to the total purchase price, or
- a combination of either of these methods.

The restricted stock awards, performance stock awards and stock options are subject to accelerated vesting in the event of our change of control. We may, at our option, terminate all unexercised stock options 30 days after a change in control and pay to the participant holding these unexercised options cash in an amount equal to the difference between fair market value and the exercise price of the stock option. If the fair market value is less than the exercise price, we may terminate the options without payment to the holder. The per share purchase price of shares subject to Plan options granted under the Plan or related to performance share awards or restricted share awards may be adjusted in the event of certain changes in our capitalization, but any such adjustment shall not change the total purchase price payable upon the exercise in full of such option or award. No participant in our Plan has any rights as a stockholder until the shares subject to the Plan options or stock awards have been duly issued and delivered to him or her.

We have an option to purchase any shares of our common stock which have been issued to Plan participants pursuant to restricted stock awards, performance stock awards or stock options if the participant ceases to be our employee, a member of our Board of Directors or a consultant to us for any reason. We must exercise our repurchase right at the time of termination. The purchase price for any shares we repurchase will be equal to the fair market value of the our total stockholders' equity divided by the total outstanding shares of our common stock on the last day of that calendar month, calculated on a fully-diluted basis. If we exercise our repurchase right, we must close the transaction within 20 days from the termination date. At closing, we are entitled to delivery of a one-year promissory note as payment for the purchase price or, at our option, we may pay same in cash at closing.

We also have a right of first refusal to meet the offer if the holder of any shares of our common stock awarded or issued pursuant to our Plan desires to sell such shares to a third party.

The Board of Directors may amend, suspend or terminate our Plan at any time, except that no amendment shall be made which:

- affects outstanding Plan options or any exercise right thereunder, or
- extends the term of any Plan option beyond 10 years, or
- extends the termination date of the Plan.

Unless the Plan shall be earlier suspended or terminated, the Plan shall terminate 10 years from the date of the Plan's adoption by our stockholders. Any such termination of our Plan shall not affect the validity of any Plan options previously granted there under.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

At December 22, 2011, there were 157,959,066 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding. Our common stock is the only outstanding class of our voting securities. The following table sets forth, as of December 22, 2011, information known to us relating to the beneficial ownership of these shares by:

- each person who is the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of common stock;
 - each director;
 - each executive officer; and
 - all executive officers and directors as a group.

Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each beneficial owner in the table set forth below is care of 22900 Shaw Road, Suite 111, Sterling, Virginia 20166.

We believe that all persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of beneficially owned by them. Under securities laws, a person is considered to be the beneficial owner of securities he owns and that can be acquired by him within 60 days from December 22, 2011 upon the exercise of options, warrants, convertible securities or other understandings. We determine a beneficial owner's percentage ownership by assuming that options, warrants or convertible securities that are held by him, but not those held by any other person and which are exercisable within 60 days of December 22, 2011, have been exercised or converted. Unless otherwise noted, the address of each of these principal stockholders is our principal executive offices.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percentage of Class
John R. Signorello (1)	17,134,785	10.77%
Hal Compton (2)	2,205,000	1.39%
Raymond H. Pirtle (3)	255,000	0.16%
Joseph L. Druzak (4)	1,607,126	1.01%
Mark B. Lucky (5)	6,578,400	4.15%
Ed Soyster (6)	59,000	0.04%
Jack Bush (7)	1,640,000	1.03%
All executive officers and as a group (seven persons)	29,479,311	18.56%

(1) The number of shares beneficially owned by Mr. Signorello includes options to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.70 per share, options to purchase 500,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.58 per share, options to purchase 250,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.60 per share, and options to purchase 250,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.10 per share. The number of shares beneficially owned by Mr. Signorello excludes 626,667 shares of our common stock issuable upon the conversion of 626,667 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock. Under the designations, rights and preferences of such security, the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock is not convertible by the holder if such conversion would result in the holder becoming the beneficial owner of in excess of 4.99% of our common stock. This provision may be waived or amended only with the consent of the holders of all of the Series B Convertible Preferred Stock and the consent of the holders of a majority of our outstanding shares of common stock who are not our affiliates.

(2) The number of shares beneficially owned by Mr. Compton includes options to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.70, options to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.60 per share, options to purchase 230,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.001 per share, and options to purchase 250,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.10 per share.

(3) The number of shares beneficially owned by Mr. Pirtle includes options to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.70 per share, options to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.60 per share, and options to purchase 5,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.001 per share.

(4) The number of shares beneficially owned by Mr. Druzak includes options to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.70 per share, options to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.60 per share, and options to purchase 54,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.001 per share.

(5) The number of shares beneficially owned by Mr. Lucky includes options to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.58 per share, options to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.55 per share, options to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.60 per share, and options to purchase 40,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.001 per share.

(6) The number of shares beneficially owned by Mr. Soyster includes options to purchase 25,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.37 per share, and options to purchase 34,000 shares of our common stock at

an exercise price of \$0.001 per share.

(7) The number of shares beneficially owned by Mr. Bush includes options to purchase 100,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.70 per share, options to purchase 150,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.60 per share, options to purchase 140,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.001 per share, and options to purchase 250,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.10 per share.

50

Securities Authorized For Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans, including individual compensation arrangements, by us under our 2000 Management and Director Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan and any compensation plans not previously approved by our stockholders as of September 30, 2011.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted average price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Plans approved by our stockholders:			
2000 Management and Director Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan	4,104,487	\$ 0.375	-
Plans not approved by stockholders:			
None	0	n/a	n/a

A description of each of these plans is contained earlier in this report under Part III Item 10. Executive Compensation – Stock Option Plan.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

From time to time we have borrowed operating funds from our Mr. John Signorello, our Chief Executive Officer, for working capital. The advances were payable upon demand and were interest free. During fiscal 2010 Mr. Signorello advanced \$66,300 to us, and we repaid \$66,300. The highest amount that we owed Mr. Signorello during fiscal 2010 was \$25,000. At each of the last four fiscal year ends, 2008, 2009, 2010, and 2011, the amount owed to Mr. Signorello was \$0. As of December 21, 2011, the amount owed to Mr. Signorello was \$0.

We and certain of our affiliates have entered into a series of transactions involving VOIS Inc. (OTCBB: VOIS), a public company which had developed and launched a social commerce website. On November 3, 2009 we purchased 160,000,000 shares of the common stock of VOIS Inc., which represented approximately 16% of that company, for \$48,000 in a private transaction exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on an exemption provided by Section 4(2) of that act resulting in gross proceeds to us of \$48,000. At the time of our investment, Mr. Mark Lucky, our Chief Financial Officer, was a member of VOIS' board of directors, having been elected in October 2009. In exchange for this strategic interest, VOIS received non-exclusive access to distribute IceMAIL, IcePORTAL and IceSECURE to their existing and prospective new user base, and our cloud storage network. Mr. Lucky resigned his positions with VOIS in September 2010. As of the date hereof, VOIS has not integrated this access within its business and we have had no subsequent business relationship with it, other than as set forth herein.

Prior to our investment in VOIS, Mr. John R. Signorello had a personal relationship with the founders of VOIS. In an unrelated transaction in November 2009 Mr. Signorello, a member of our board of directors and our CEO, purchased 225,000,000 shares of VOIS' common stock from a former officer and director of VOIS for nominal consideration in a private transaction. The shares of common stock purchased by Mr. Signorello represented approximately 27% of VOIS' outstanding common stock at the time of the purchase of the shares by us.

Director Independence

Messrs. Compton, Pirtle, Druzak, Soyster, and Bush, members of our Board of Directors, are "independent" within the meaning of Rule 5605 of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

AUDIT FEES

The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by our principal accountant for the audit of our annual financial statements, review of our financial statements included in our quarterly reports and other fees that are normally provided by our accountant in connection with our audits and reviews for the fiscal Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 is \$66,000 and \$66,000, respectively.

AUDIT-RELATED FEES

This category consists of assurance and related services by the independent auditors that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and are not reported above under "Audit Fees." The services for the fees disclosed under this category include consultation regarding our correspondence with the SEC and other accounting consulting. Our audit-related and review fees for the fiscal Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 were \$0 and \$0.

TAX FEES

The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by our principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advices and tax planning for the fiscal Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 is \$0 and \$0, respectively.

ALL OTHER FEES

There were no other fees billed by our principal accountant for the fiscal Years ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, except as provided above.

Our Board of Directors has adopted a procedure for pre-approval of all fees charged by our independent auditors. Under the procedure, the Board approves the engagement letter with respect to audit, tax and review services. Other fees are subject to pre-approval by the Board, or, in the period between meetings, by a designated member of Board. Any such approval by the designated member is disclosed to the entire Board at the next meeting. The audit and tax fees paid to the auditors with respect to fiscal year 2010 were pre-approved by the entire Board of Directors.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS

- 2.1 Agreement and Plan of Reorganization and Stock Purchase Agreement with Disease S.I. Inc.(4)
- 2.2 Agreement and Plan of Merger with IceWEB Communications, Inc. (8)
- 2.3 Agreement and Plan of Merger with Seven Corporation (9)
- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation (1)
- 3.2 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation (1)
- 3.3 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation (1)
- 3.4 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation (1)
- 3.5 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation (2)
- 3.6 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation (3)
- 3.7 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation (11)
- 3.8 Certificate of Designations of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (12)
- 3.9 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation (13)
- 3.10 Bylaws (1)
- 3.11 Certificate of Designations of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (17)
- 4.1 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant "A" (12)
- 4.2 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant "B" (12)
- 4.3 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant "C" (12)
- 4.4 Form of Series H Common Stock Purchase Warrant (16)
- 4.5 Form of Series I Common Stock Purchase Warrant (16)
- 4.6 Form of \$0.70 Common Stock Purchase Warrant "A" (16)
- 4.7 Form of Comerica Bank warrant (16)
- 4.8 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant "D" (17)
- 4.9 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant "E" (17)
- 4.10 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant "F" (17)
- 4.11 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant "G" (18)
- 4.12 Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant for Sand Hill Finance LLC (18)
- 4.13 Secured Convertible Debenture for Sand Hill Finance LLC (25)
- 4.14 Warrant Amendment Agreement with Sand Hill Finance LLC (25)
- 4.15 Form of Series Common Stock Purchase Warrant "N" (26)
- 4.16 Subscription Agreement (26)
- 4.17 Form of Senior Convertible Note (27)
- 4.18 Form of Series Common Stock Purchase Warrant "O" (27)
- 4.19 Form of Series Common Stock Purchase Warrant "P" (27)
- 4.20 Form of Series Common Stock Purchase Warrant "Q" (27)
- 10.1 Acquisition Agreement with North Orlando Sports Promotions, Inc. (1)
- 10.2 Asset Purchase Agreement with Raymond J. Hotaling (5)
- 10.3 2000 Management and Director Equity Incentive and Compensation Plan (6)
- 10.4 Stock Purchase Agreement with Health Span Sciences, Inc. (7)
- 10.4 Stock Purchase Agreement with Health Span Sciences, Inc. (7)
- 10.5 Stock Purchase and Exchange Agreement with Interlan Communications (9)
- 10.6 Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement dated March 30, 2005 (12)
- 10.7 Registration Rights Agreement with Barron Partners LP (12)
- 10.8 Asset and Stock Purchase Agreement for iPlicity, Inc.(16)
- 10.9 Asset and Stock Purchase Agreement for DevElements, Inc. of Virginia (15)

- 10.10 Form of Loan and Security Agreement with Comerica Bank (16)
- 10.11 Forbearance Agreement (16)
- 10.12 Sublease Agreement for principal executive offices (16)
- 10.13 Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement dated September 8, 2005 (18)
- 10.14 Registration Rights Agreement with Barron Partners LP (18)
- 10.15 Financing Agreement with Sand Hill Finance LLC (18)
- 10.16 Lease Agreement for principal executive offices (19)
- 10.17 Retailer Marketing Agreement with CompUSA (20)
- 10.18 Stock Purchase Agreement with Inline Corporation (21)
- 10.19 First Amendment to Stock Purchase Agreement with Inline Corporation (21)
- 10.20 Convertible Debenture with Sand Hill Finance LLC (22)
- 10.21 Stock Purchase Agreement for Sale of IceWEB Virginia, Inc. (23)
- 10.22 Series C Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement (24)
- 14.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (16)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the registrant (16)
- 23.1 Consent of Sherb & Co., LLP *

- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer *
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer *
- 32.1 Section 906 Certification of Chief Executive Officer *
- 32.2 Section 906 Certification of Chief Financial Officer *

* filed herewith

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Form 10-SB, file number 000-27865, filed with on October 28, 1999, as amended.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the definitive Information Statement on Schedule 14C as filed on June 18, 2001.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the definitive Information Statement on Schedule 14C as filed on June 26, 2001.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on June 6, 2001.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on July 26, 2001.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the definitive Information Statement on Schedule 14C as filed on July 23, 2001.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on December 4, 2001.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on April 4, 2002.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on August 1, 2003.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K/A as filed on February 20, 2004.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the definitive Information Statement on Schedule 14C as filed on August 20, 2004.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on April 5, 2005.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to the definitive Information Statement on Schedule 14C as filed on April 4, 2005.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to Amendment No. 1 to the Report on Form 8-K/A as filed on February 20, 2004.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on July 23, 2004.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to the registration statement on Form SB-2, SEC file number 333-126898, as amended.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB as filed on January 18, 2006.
- (18) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on January 30, 2006.
- (19) Incorporated by reference to the registration statement on Form SB-2/A, SEC file number 333-126898 filed on January 30, 2006.
- (20) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on June 22, 2006.
- (21) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on January 3, 2009.
- (22) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on December 1, 2009.
- (23) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on April 15, 2010.
- (24) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on July 31, 2010.
- (25) Incorporated by reference to the registration statement on Form S-1, SEC file number 333-167501, as amended.
- (26) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2011.
- (27) Incorporated by reference to the Report on Form 8-K as filed on November 23, 2011.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant had duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ICEWEB, INC.

December 29, 2011

By: /s/ John R. Signorello
 John R. Signorello, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer,
 principal executive officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ John R. Signorello John R. Signorello	Chairman of the Board and CEO, principal executive officer	December 29, 2011
/s/ Mark B. Lucky Mark B. Lucky	Chief Financial Officer, principal financial and accounting officer	December 29, 2011
/s/ Hal Compton Hal Compton	Director	December 29, 2011
/s/ Raymond H. Pirtle, Jr. Raymond H. Pirtle, Jr.	Director	December 29, 2011
/s/ Joseph Druzak Joseph Druzak	Director	December 29, 2011
/s/ Jack Bush Jack Bush	Director	December 29, 2011
/s/ Harry E. Soyster Harry E. Soyster	Director	December 29, 2011