

NATHANS FAMOUS INC  
Form DEF 14A  
July 22, 2011

## SCHEDULE 14A

### Information Required in Proxy Statement

# Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant  x  
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant  o  
Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o **Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

## Nathan s Famous, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
  - o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
- 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

Edgar Filing: NATHANS FAMOUS INC - Form DEF 14A

5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 240.0-11 and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount Previously Paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**NATHAN S FAMOUS, INC.**

**NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS  
SEPTEMBER 15, 2011**

To our Stockholders:

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of NATHAN S FAMOUS, INC., will be held on Thursday, September 15, 2011, at our offices located at One Jericho Plaza, Second Floor Wing A, Jericho, New York 11753, at 10:00 a.m. At the meeting, you will be asked to consider and vote on:

The election of nine directors to the Board of Directors;

Ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as auditors of Nathan s Famous for fiscal 2012;

A non-binding advisory proposal on the compensation of Nathan s named executive officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, executive compensation tables and accompanying narrative disclosures in this proxy statement (commonly known as say on pay ); and

A non-binding advisory proposal on the frequency of the vote on our executive compensation program (once every year, every two years or every three years) (commonly known as say on frequency ).

Action will also be taken on any other matters that properly come before the meeting. If you are a stockholder of record at the close of business on July 15, 2011, you are entitled to vote at the meeting or at any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. This notice and proxy statement are first being mailed to stockholders on or about July 22, 2011.

Please sign, date and return the enclosed proxy as soon as possible so your shares may be voted as you direct.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Ronald G. DeVos  
Secretary

Jericho, New York  
July 22, 2011

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>ABOUT THE MEETING</u>	1
<u>HOUSEHOLDING OF ANNUAL MEETING MATERIALS</u>	5
<u>PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	6
<u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	9
<u>Board Leadership</u>	9
<u>Code of Ethics</u>	9
<u>Risk Oversight</u>	9
<u>Director Independence</u>	9
<u>Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	10
<u>Board of Directors and Committee Meetings</u>	10
<u>Director Attendance at Annual Meetings</u>	12
<u>Policy For Stockholder Communications</u>	12
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Persons Transactions</u>	12
<u>PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF GRANT THORNTON LLP AS</u>	14
<u>AUDITORS FOR FISCAL 2012</u>	
<u>AUDIT FEES</u>	14
<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	14
<u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval</u>	14
<u>AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT</u>	15
<u>PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	16
<u>PROPOSAL 4 FREQUENCY OF VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	17
<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP</u>	18
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	19
<u>MANAGEMENT</u>	20
<u>Officers of the Company</u>	20
<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	21
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	21
<u>Stock Ownership Guidelines</u>	27
<u>Tax and Accounting Implications</u>	27
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	28
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	28
<u>Compensation of NEOs</u>	28
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	31
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control</u>	31
<u>Risk Considerations in our Compensation Programs</u>	35
<u>Equity Plan Information</u>	35
<u>Non-Employee Director Compensation</u>	36
<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	37

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**NATHAN S FAMOUS, INC.**  
**One Jericho Plaza**  
**Jericho, New York 11753**

**PROXY STATEMENT**

**ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**  
**Thursday, September 15, 2011**

Our annual meeting of stockholders will be held on Thursday, September 15, 2011, at our headquarters at One Jericho Plaza, Second Floor Wing A, Jericho, New York 11753, at 10:00 a.m.

Our Board of Directors is soliciting your proxy to vote your shares of common stock at the annual meeting or any adjournments of that meeting. This proxy statement, which was prepared by our management for the Board, contains information about the matters to be considered at the meeting or any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

All proxies will be voted in accordance with the instructions they contain. If you do not specify your voting instructions on the proxy you submit for the meeting, it will be voted in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Directors or, in the case of the proposal relating to the frequency of our say on pay vote, it will be voted ABSTAIN. You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is exercised at the meeting by giving our Secretary written notice to that effect. This proxy statement and our annual report is first being sent to stockholders on or about July 22, 2011.

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on September 15, 2011.** This proxy statement, the proxy card and annual report are available at <http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=113414&p=proxy>.

**ABOUT THE MEETING**

**What is being considered at the annual meeting?**

You will be voting for

the election of nine directors for a term of one year or until their successors are elected and qualified.

the ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our auditors for fiscal 2012.

a non-binding say on pay vote regarding the compensation of our named executive officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, executive compensation tables and accompanying narrative disclosures in this proxy statement.

a non-binding say on frequency vote regarding the frequency of the vote on our executive compensation program (once every year, every two years or every three years).

In addition, our management will report on our performance during fiscal 2011 and respond to your questions.

The Board of Directors does not intend to present to the meeting any matters not referred to in the form of proxy. If any proposal not set forth in this proxy statement should be presented for action at the meeting, and is a matter which should come before the meeting, it is intended that the shares represented by proxies will be voted with respect to such matters in accordance with the judgment of the persons voting them.

### **How many votes must be present to hold the meeting?**

Your shares are counted as present at the meeting if you attend the meeting and vote in person or if you properly return a proxy by mail. In order for us to conduct our meeting, a majority of our outstanding shares as of July 15, 2011, the record date, must be present at the meeting, in person or by proxy. This is referred to as a quorum. On July 15, 2011, we had 5,032,454 shares issued and outstanding, excluding treasury shares.

### **Who can vote at the meeting?**

You may vote if you owned stock as of the close of business on July 15, 2011. Each share of stock is entitled to one vote.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**What does it mean if I receive more than one proxy card?**

It means that you have multiple accounts with brokers and/or our transfer agent. Please vote all of these shares. We recommend that you contact your broker and/or our transfer agent to consolidate as many accounts as possible under the same name and address. Our transfer agent is American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, 800-937-5449.

**How do I vote?**

If you are a record holder of shares of common stock, you can vote in two ways:

1. By attending the meeting; or
2. By completing, signing and returning the enclosed proxy card.

**Can I vote if my shares are held in street name ?**

If the shares you own are held in street name by a brokerage firm, your brokerage firm, as the record holder of your shares, is required to vote your shares according to your instructions. In order to vote your shares, you will need to follow the directions your brokerage firm provides you. Many brokers also offer the option of voting over the Internet or by telephone, instructions for which would be provided by your brokerage firm on your vote instruction form.

**Will my shares be voted if I do not provide my proxy?**

Under the current rules of the NASDAQ Stock Market, or NASDAQ, if you do not give instructions to your brokerage firm, it will still be able to vote your shares with respect to certain discretionary items, but it will not be allowed to vote your shares with respect to certain non-discretionary items. The ratification of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 2) is considered to be a discretionary item under the NASDAQ rules and your brokerage firm will be able to vote on that item even if it does not receive instructions from you, so long as it holds your shares in its name. The election of directors (Proposal 1) and the say on pay and say on frequency votes (Proposals 3 and 4) are non-discretionary items. If you do not instruct your broker how to vote with respect to these items, your broker may not vote with respect to these proposals and those votes will be counted as broker non-votes. Broker non-votes are shares that are held in street name by a bank or brokerage firm that indicates on its proxy that it does not have or did not exercise discretionary authority to vote on a particular matter. Please see What vote is required to approve each of the matters to be considered at the meeting? for information regarding the vote required to approve the matters being considered at the Annual Meeting and the treatment of broker non-votes.

If you hold your shares directly in your own name, they will not be voted if you do not provide a proxy.

If your shares are held in street name, you must bring an account statement or letter from your bank or brokerage firm showing that you are the beneficial owner of the shares as of the record date (July 15, 2011) in order to be admitted to the meeting on September 15, 2011. To be able to vote your shares held in street name at the meeting, you will need to obtain a proxy card from the holder of record.

**Can I change my mind after I vote?**

Yes, you may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before the polls close at the meeting. You can do this by (1) signing another proxy with a later date and returning it to us prior to the meeting, or (2) voting again at the meeting.

## What if I return my proxy card but do not include voting instructions?

Proxies that are signed and returned but do not include voting instructions will be voted **FOR** the election of the nominee directors, **FOR** the ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP, **FOR** the approval of the Company's compensation of its named executive officers and **ABSTAIN** on the proposal relating to the frequency of our say on pay vote.

2

---



TABLE OF CONTENTS

**What vote is required to approve each of the matters to be considered at the meeting?**

Election of Directors. Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast. Plurality means that the nine individuals who receive the highest number of votes will be elected as directors. Abstentions will have no effect on the voting outcome with respect to the election of directors. You may vote either FOR or WITHHOLD your vote from any one or more of the nominees. Votes that are withheld will not be included in the vote tally for the election of directors. **If you do not instruct your broker how to vote with respect to this item, your broker may not vote your shares with respect to the election of directors.** Any shares not voted by a customer will be treated as broker non-votes, and broker non-votes will have no effect on the results of the election of directors.

Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm. To be approved, this proposal to ratify our selection of an independent registered public accounting firm must receive an affirmative vote from stockholders present in person or represented by proxy at the annual meeting representing a majority of the votes cast on the proposal. Abstentions will have no effect on the results of this vote. For this proposal, brokerage firms have authority to vote shares of their customers that are held in street name. If a broker does not exercise this authority, the resulting broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal.

Say on Pay. Our Board of Directors is seeking a non-binding advisory vote regarding the compensation of our named executive officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, executive compensation tables and accompanying narrative disclosures contained in this proxy statement. Under our bylaws, the affirmative vote of a majority of the total number of votes cast at the meeting is needed to approve this resolution. The vote is advisory and non-binding in nature but our Compensation Committee intends to take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements. **If you do not instruct your broker how to vote with respect to this item, your broker may not vote with respect to this proposal.**

Say on Frequency. Our Board of Directors is seeking a non-binding advisory vote regarding whether stockholders prefer to vote on our compensation program once a year, once every two years or once every three years. Abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome. The vote is advisory and non-binding in nature, but our Board of Directors has decided to adopt the frequency that receives the greatest level of support from our stockholders. **If you do not instruct your broker how to vote with respect to this item, your broker may not vote with respect to this proposal.**

**How will votes be counted?**

Each share of common stock will be counted as one vote according to the instructions contained on a proper proxy card, whether submitted in person, by mail, on a ballot voted in person at the meeting or in accordance with the instructions provided by your broker. With respect to all proposals, shares will not be voted in favor of the matter, and will not be counted as voting on the matter, if they either (1) abstain from voting on a particular matter, or (2) are broker non-votes. Brokers who do not receive instructions with respect to Proposals 1, 3 or 4 will not be allowed to vote these shares, and all such shares will be broker non-votes rather than votes for or against. Accordingly, assuming the presence of a quorum, abstentions and broker non-votes for a particular proposal will not be counted as votes cast to determine the outcome of a particular proposal.

## **Who will count the votes?**

Representatives of American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, the transfer agent for our common stock, will tabulate the votes.

## **Will my vote be kept confidential?**

Yes, your vote will be kept confidential and we will not disclose your vote, unless (1) we are required to do so by law (including in connection with the pursuit or defense of a legal or administrative action or proceeding), or (2) there is a contested election for the Board of Directors. The inspector of elections will forward any written comments that you make on the proxy card to management without providing your name, unless you expressly request disclosure on your proxy card.

3

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**How does the Board of Directors recommend that I vote on the proposals?**

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote:

**FOR** the election of each of the nine nominees to serve as directors on the Board of Directors, each for a term of one year (Proposal 1);

**FOR** the ratification of the selection of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2012 fiscal year (Proposal 2); and

**FOR** the compensation of our named executive officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, executive compensation tables and accompanying narrative disclosures contained in this proxy statement (Proposal 3).

The Board of Directors is not required to make a recommendation with respect to the frequency of a stockholder advisory vote on our executive compensation program (Proposal 4) and has chosen not to make a recommendation related to that proposal.

**Where can I find the voting results?**

We will report the voting results in a Form 8-K within four business days after the end of our annual meeting.

**How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal, including a stockholder nomination for director, for the 2012 annual meeting?**

If you are interested in submitting a proposal for inclusion in our proxy statement for the 2012 annual meeting, you need to follow the procedures outlined in Rule 14a-8 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act. To be eligible for inclusion, we must receive your stockholder proposal for our proxy statement for the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders at our principal corporate offices in Jericho, New York no later than March 26, 2012.

In addition, our bylaws require that we be given advance written notice for nominations for election to our Board of Directors and other matters that stockholders wish to present for action at an annual meeting other than those to be included in our proxy statement under Rule 14a-8.

Any stockholder who wants to nominate a candidate for election to the Board must deliver timely notice to our Secretary at our principal executive offices. Pursuant to our bylaws, in order to be timely, the notice must be delivered

in the case of an annual meeting, not later than 60 days and not earlier than 90 days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting of stockholders, although if we did not hold an annual meeting or the annual meeting is called for a date that is more than 30 days before or more than 60 days after the anniversary date of the prior year's annual meeting, the notice must be received no earlier than 90 days prior to the meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of (i) the 60<sup>th</sup> day prior to the meeting or (ii) the 10<sup>th</sup> day following the day on which we publicly announce the date of the meeting; and

in the case of a special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing directors, the notice must be received not earlier than the close of business on the 90<sup>th</sup> day prior to such special meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 60<sup>th</sup> day prior to such special meeting or the 10<sup>th</sup> day following the day on which public announcement is first made of the date of the special meeting and of the nominees proposed by the board of directors to be elected at such meeting.

Will my vote be kept confidential?

The stockholder's notice to the Secretary must set forth (1) as to each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election as a director (a) his name, age, business address and residence address, (b) his principal occupation and employment, (c) the number of shares of common stock of Nathan's which are owned beneficially or of record by him and (d) any other information relating to the nominee that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings required to be made in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of directors pursuant to Section 14 of the Exchange Act, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder; and (2) as to the stockholder giving the notice (a) his name and record

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

address and (b) the number of shares of Nathan's common stock which are owned beneficially or of record by him. The notice delivered by a stockholder must be accompanied by a written consent of each proposed nominee to being named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected. The stockholder must be a stockholder of record on the date on which he gives the notice described above and on the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting.

Pursuant to our bylaws, if notice of any stockholder proposal is received before June 15, 2012, or after July 15, 2012, then the notice will be considered untimely and we are not required to present such proposal at the 2012 Annual Meeting. Additionally, if the Board of Directors chooses to present a proposal submitted after July 15, 2012, at the 2012 Annual Meeting, then the persons named in proxies solicited by the Board of Directors for the 2012 Annual Meeting may exercise discretionary voting power with respect to such proposal.

These requirements are separate from and in addition to the SEC requirements that a stockholder must meet in order to have a stockholder proposal included in our proxy statement.

Any proposals, nominations or notices should be sent to:

Nathan's Famous, Inc.  
One Jericho Plaza  
Second Floor - Wing A  
Jericho, New York 11573  
Attention: Corporate Secretary

## **What are the costs of soliciting these proxies and who will pay?**

We will bear the costs of solicitation of proxies, which we estimate to be approximately \$35,000. We have engaged MacKenzie Partners to assist us with the solicitation of proxies and expect to pay MacKenzie Partners approximately \$5,000 for their services. In addition to solicitations by mail, MacKenzie Partners and our directors, officers and regular employees may solicit proxies by telephone, email and personal interviews without additional remuneration. We will request brokers, custodians and fiduciaries to forward proxy soliciting material to the owners of shares of our common stock that they hold in their names. We will reimburse banks and brokers for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with the distribution of our proxy materials. To the extent necessary in order to assure sufficient representation, our officers and regular employees may request the return of proxies personally, by telephone or telegram. The extent to which this will be necessary depends entirely upon how promptly proxies are received, and stockholders are urged to send in their proxies without delay.

## **HOUSEHOLDING OF ANNUAL MEETING MATERIALS**

Some banks, brokers and other nominee record holders may be participating in the practice of householding proxy statements and annual reports. This means that only one copy of our proxy statement and annual report to stockholders may have been sent to multiple stockholders in your household unless we have received contrary instructions from one or more stockholders. We will promptly deliver a separate copy of either document to you if you contact us at the following address or telephone number: Nathan's Famous, Inc., One Jericho Plaza, Jericho, New York 11573, telephone: 516-338-8500. If you want to receive separate copies of the proxy statement or annual report to stockholders in the future, or if you are receiving multiple copies and would like to receive only one copy per household, you should contact your bank, broker, or other nominee record holder, or you may contact us at the above address or telephone number.



TABLE OF CONTENTS**PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

Our Certificate of Incorporation presently provides for a Board of Directors consisting of not less than three nor more than twenty-seven directors. Directors are elected to a one-year term at each annual meeting of stockholders. Our Board of Directors now consists of nine directors, as set forth below, each of whom has consented to be nominated and to serve if elected.

Name	Age	Principal Occupation	Director Since
Robert J. Eide <sup>(1)(2)(3)</sup>	58	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Aegis Capital Corp.	1987
Eric Gatoff	42	Chief Executive Officer Nathan s	2005
Brian S. Genson <sup>(1)(2)(3)</sup>	62	President F1Collectors.com	1999
Barry Leistner <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	60	President and Chief Executive Officer Koenig Iron Works, Inc.	1989
Howard M. Lorber	62	President and Chief Executive Officer Vector Group Ltd. Executive Chairman of the Board of Nathan s	1987
Wayne Norbitz	63	President, Chief Operating Officer of Nathan s	1989
Donald L. Perlyn	68	Executive Vice President Nathan s	1999
A. F. Petrocelli <sup>(3)</sup>	67	President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board United Capital Corp.	1993
Charles Raich <sup>(3)</sup>	68	Co-Managing Partner Raich Ende Malter & Co., LLP	2004

(1) Member of the Audit Committee.

(2) Member of the Compensation Committee.

(3) Member of the Nominating Committee.

Unless you indicate otherwise, shares represented by executed proxies will be voted **FOR** the election as directors of the persons listed above. If any of them is unavailable, the shares will be voted for a substitute nominee designated by the Board of Directors. We have no reason to believe that any of the nominees will be unavailable or, if elected, will decline to serve.

If for some reason one of our nominees is unable to serve, the Nominating Committee may recommend, and the Board may propose, a substitute nominee at the annual meeting and the proxies identified in the proxy card will vote to approve the election of the substitute nominee. If substitute nominees are proposed, we will, in full compliance with all applicable state and federal laws and regulations, file an amended proxy statement and proxy card that, as applicable, (1) identifies the substitute nominee(s), (2) discloses that such nominees have consented to being named in the revised proxy statement and to serve if elected and (3) includes the disclosure required by Item 7 of Schedule 14A with respect to such nominees.

## Director Qualifications

Our Board of Directors, acting through our Nominating Committee, is responsible for nominating a slate of director nominees that collectively have the complementary experience, qualifications, skills and attributes to guide the Company and function effectively as a board. We believe that each of our nominees has the necessary professional experience to provide effective oversight of the Company's business. We also believe each of our nominees has other attributes necessary to create an effective board, such as high personal and professional ethics, integrity and values; practical wisdom and judgment; and a commitment to representing the long-term interests of all our stockholders. In addition to these attributes, in each individual's biography



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

set forth below, we have highlighted specific experience, qualifications, and skills that we believe qualify each individual to serve as a director of Nathan's.

All directors standing for election at the 2011 annual meeting have previously been elected by Nathan's stockholders.

### **Director Biographies**

The following is a brief account of our directors' business experience:

**Robert J. Eide** has been the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Aegis Capital Corp., a registered broker-dealer, since 1984. Mr. Eide has been a director of Vector Group Ltd. and VGR Holding, Inc., since November 1993. Mr. Eide also serves as a director of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services, Inc., an investment banking and brokerage firm. The Board determined that Mr. Eide should continue to serve as a director due to his financial literacy and expertise, managerial experience, and the knowledge and experience he has attained through his service as a director of the Company and other publicly-traded corporations.

**Eric Gatoff** has been our Chief Executive Officer since January 2007. Prior to becoming our Chief Executive Officer, he was Vice President and Corporate Counsel from October 2003. Prior to joining us, Mr. Gatoff was a partner at Grubman, Indursky & Schindler, P.C., a law firm specializing in intellectual property, media and entertainment law.

Mr. Gatoff is a member of the New York State Bar Association and holds a B.B.A. in Finance from George Washington University and a J.D. from Fordham University School of Law. The Board determined that Mr. Gatoff should continue to serve as a director due to the knowledge and managerial experience he has attained as serving as our general counsel from 2003 and as our Chief Executive Officer since 2007, as well as his service as a director of the Company.

**Brian S. Genson** has been President of F1Collectors.com, a company engaged in the motor sport business, since 2006. Mr. Genson has also been president of Pole Position Investments, a company engaged in the motor sport business, since 1989. Mr. Genson serves as a managing director of F1Collectors.com and F1 Action located in Buntingford, England, which is engaged in investing in the motor sport industry. Mr. Genson has been a director of Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services, Inc., an investment banking and brokerage firm, since 2004. Mr. Genson was also responsible for introducing Ben and Jerry's Ice Cream Company to the Japanese market. Mr. Genson previously served as a director of Nathan's from 1987 to 1989. The Board determined that Mr. Genson should continue to serve as a director due to his managerial experience and the knowledge and experience he has attained through his service as a director of the Company and other publicly-traded corporations.

**Barry Leistner** has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Koenig Iron Works, Inc., a company engaged in the fabrication and erection of structural steel, since 1979. Mr. Leistner is also engaged in general construction and real estate development in New York. The Board determined that Mr. Leistner should continue to serve as a director due to his managerial experience, his experience in real estate development and construction, which is relevant to the Company's restaurant operations, and the knowledge and experience he has attained through his service as a director of the Company.

**Howard M. Lorber** has been our Executive Chairman of the Board since January 2007 and a director since 1987. Mr. Lorber previously served as our Chairman of the Board from 1990 through December 2006 and as our Chief Executive Officer from 1993 until December 2006. Mr. Lorber has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Vector Group Ltd., a holding company, since January 2006 and a director since January 2001 and was President and Chief Operating Officer from January 2001 to December 2005. Mr. Lorber has been Vice Chairman of the Board of

Ladenburg Thalmann Financial Services, Inc., an investment banking and brokerage firm, since July 2006 and was Chairman from May 2001 to July 2006, a director of Borders Group, Inc., an international bookseller, since May 2010 and a director of United Capital Corp., a manufacturing and real estate company, since May 1991. He is also a trustee of Long Island University. The Board determined that Mr. Lorber should continue to serve as a director due to the knowledge and managerial experience he has attained serving as our Chief Executive Officer from 1993 through 2006 and as Executive Chairman since 2007, which brings historic knowledge and continuity to the Board, as well as the experience he has attained through his service as a officer and director of other publicly-traded corporations.

7

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**Wayne Norbitz** has been an employee since 1975 and has been our President since October 1989. He previously held the positions of Director of Operations, Vice President of Operations, Senior Vice President of Operations and Executive Vice President. Prior to joining us, Mr. Norbitz held the position of Director of Operations of Wetson's Corporation. Mr. Norbitz is also a member of the Board of Directors of the Long Island Community Chest. The Board determined that Mr. Norbitz should continue to serve as a director due to the knowledge and managerial experience he has attained serving as one of our executive officers in various capacities since October 1989, which brings historic knowledge and continuity to the Board.

**Donald L. Perlyn** has been our Executive Vice President since September 2000. Prior to our merger with Miami Subs Corporation, Mr. Perlyn was a member of Miami Subs' board of directors. In July 1998, Mr. Perlyn was appointed President and Chief Operating Officer of Miami Subs and continued to serve in that capacity until our sale of Miami Subs in June 2007. From September 1990 to July 1998, Mr. Perlyn held various other positions at Miami Subs, and between August 1990 and December 1991, he was Senior Vice President of Franchising and Development for QSR, Inc., one of Miami Subs' predecessors and an affiliate. The Board determined that Mr. Perlyn should continue to serve as a director due to the knowledge and managerial experience he has attained serving as one of our executive officers since September 2000, as well as his experience as an officer of other companies in the fast food industry.

**A. F. Petrocelli** has been the Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of United Capital Corp., a company engaged in the ownership and management of real estate and the manufacture and sale of engineered products, since 1981. He was a director of the Boyar Value Fund, Inc., a public mutual fund, from 1997 to 2007. The Board determined that Mr. Petrocelli should continue to serve as a director due to his managerial experience, his experience in the real estate industry, which is relevant to the Company's restaurant operations, and the knowledge and experience he has attained through his service as a director of the Company and as a director of other publicly-traded corporations.

**Charles Raich** has been the Co-Managing Partner since 2010 of Raich Ende Malter & Co., LLP, a registered public accounting firm, which he founded in 1972. Prior to that time, for more than the past five years, Mr. Raich was the Managing Partner of Raich Ende Malter & Co., LLP. His early career included positions at both Lybrand, Ross Brothers and Montgomery and Gruntal & Co. Mr. Raich is a graduate of Hofstra University and is a certified public accountant. The Board determined that Mr. Raich should continue to serve as a director due to his financial literacy and expertise, managerial experience, and the knowledge and experience he has attained through his service as a director of the Company.

## **Board Recommendation**

The Board of Directors recommends a vote **FOR** each of the directors listed above.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

### **Board Leadership**

The Executive Chairman of the Board is Howard Lorber. The Chairman and Chief Executive Officer roles are split, and Eric Gatoff serves as Chief Executive Officer. As the former Chief Executive Officer, the Executive Chairman of the Board provides guidance to the Chief Executive Officer with respect to strategic initiatives. The Executive Chairman also approves the agenda for Board meetings and leads the Board in its discussions. The Chief Executive Officer is responsible for implementing the Company's strategic and operating objectives and day-to-day decision-making related to such implementation. The Board believes that the separation of the offices of Executive Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is appropriate at this time as it allows Mr. Gatoff to focus primarily on his management responsibilities. Unless invited, none of our management directors (consisting of Messrs. Lorber, Gatoff, Norbitz and Perlyn) participates in sessions of non-management directors. Our non-management directors generally meet once or twice annually in a formal executive session without management. Typically, our non-management directors also have informal meetings without management in conjunction with our regular Audit or Board meetings. In addition, we have designated a Lead Independent Director (A.F. Petrocelli), who is expected to lead sessions of, and facilitate communications among, our non-employee directors.

The Board currently has three standing committees (Audit, Compensation and Nominating) that are chaired and composed entirely of directors who are independent under NASDAQ rules. Given the role and scope of authority of these committees, and that a majority of the Board is composed of independent directors, the Board believes that its leadership structure, with the Executive Chairman of the Board leading Board discussions, and the Lead Independent Director leading non-management executive sessions, is appropriate.

Our Board of Directors is our Company's ultimate decision-making body except with respect to those matters reserved to the stockholders. Our Board selects our senior management team, which is charged with the conduct of our business. Having selected our senior management team, our Board acts as an advisor and counselor to senior management and oversees its performance.

### **Code of Ethics**

Our Board of Directors has adopted a Financial Officer Code of Ethics applicable to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer and all other members of the Company's Finance Department. This Code of Ethics is posted on the Company's website, within a broader Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, at [www.nathansfamous.com](http://www.nathansfamous.com) in the Investor Relations section. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 10 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or a waiver from, the provision of our Code of Ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions and that relates to any element of such provision of our Code of Ethics by posting such information on our website within four business days of the date of such amendment or waiver. In the case of a waiver, the nature of the waiver, the name of the person to whom the waiver was granted and the date of the waiver will also be disclosed.

### **Risk Oversight**

As part of its oversight functions, the Board of Directors is responsible for oversight of risk management at the Company. Responsibility for oversight of risk management is delegated from the Board to the Audit Committee. Management has provided the Audit Committee with its assessment of the Company's major risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures. The Compensation Committee, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, separately reviewed the compensation program with respect to incentives for risk-taking by employees.

## Director Independence

The Board of Directors has determined that each of Messrs. Eide, Genson, Leistner, Petrocelli and Raich are independent in accordance with NASDAQ rules. To determine independence, the Board of Directors adopted and applied the categorical standards of independence included in NASDAQ Listing Rule 5605(a)(2), which include a series of objective tests, such as that the director is not an employee of the company and has not engaged in various types of business dealings with the company. The Board considered the Company's

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

relationship with Raich Ende Malter & Co., LLP, an accounting firm that provides tax services to Nathan's and of which Charles Raich is Co-Managing Partner and determined that the relationship is on arms-length terms and is not material to either Nathan's or Mr. Raich.

## **Stock Ownership Guidelines**

We have established stock ownership guidelines for our directors and executive officers. Under our guidelines, each officer and director is required to retain 33 1/3% of the total number of option shares then-exercised. In addition, officers and directors are required to retain 33 1/3% of the shares owned on June 1, 2009 (the date that the stock ownership guidelines were adopted), although the Board may determine to waive the requirement that shares be retained in the event that an officer or director is experiencing an immediate financial need.

## **Board of Directors and Committee Meetings**

There were six meetings of the Board of Directors during the fiscal year ended March 27, 2011. Each director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board of Directors and the committees thereof on which he served.

Our Board of Directors currently has three standing committees: the Audit Committee (established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act), the Compensation Committee and the Nominating Committee. Each director who served on these committees during fiscal 2011 met the criteria for independence, as that term is defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission and NASDAQ Listing Rules. We select independent directors as members of these committees with the expectation that they will be free of relationships that might interfere with their exercise of independent judgment.

## **Audit Committee**

For the fiscal year ended March 27, 2011, there were four meetings of the Audit Committee. Each of the members of the Audit Committee attended all of the meetings. Our Audit Committee is involved in

discussions with our independent public accountants with respect to the scope and results of our year-end audit, our internal accounting controls and the professional services furnished to us by the independent auditors the review of the adequacy and effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting. See Audit Committee Report.

In addition, our Audit Committee focuses on the qualitative aspects of our financial reporting to stockholders and on our processes to manage business and financial risk. Our independent registered public accounting firm reports directly and is held accountable to the Audit Committee in connection with the audit of our annual financial statements and related services. Our Audit Committee has sole authority over the appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of the independent registered public accounting firm, and where appropriate, the replacement of the independent registered public accounting firm.

The members of our Audit Committee are Robert Eide (Chairman), Brian Genson and Barry Leistner. A copy of our Audit Committee Charter is available on our website at [www.nathansfamous.com](http://www.nathansfamous.com).

We currently do not have an audit committee financial expert. Nevertheless, the Audit Committee has available to it the financial education and experience of Charles Raich, an independent director under NASDAQ listing standards, to perform the functions of an audit committee financial expert. Mr. Raich has the financial education and experience

necessary to qualify as an audit committee financial expert ; however, Mr. Raich is ineligible to serve on the Audit Committee because, as the Co-Managing Partner of Raich Ende Malter & Co., LLP, an independent public accounting firm which received fees from Nathan s in respect of tax services (an aggregate of approximately \$140,000 in fiscal 2011), Mr. Raich is deemed to receive indirect compensation from Nathan s. Due to his receipt of indirect compensation, Mr. Raich is not independent for the purposes of Securities Exchange Act Rule 10A-3 and so cannot serve on the Audit Committee.

10

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Compensation Committee

For the fiscal year ended March 27, 2011, there were three meetings of the Compensation Committee. Each of the members of the Compensation Committee attended all of the meetings. Our Compensation Committee

reviews the performance of our executive officers  
reviews compensation programs for our officers and key employees, including cash bonus levels and grants under our stock option and incentive plans. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Compensation Committee Report  
reviews director compensation

Pursuant to its charter, the Compensation Committee has the ability to delegate its authority to subcommittees, although to date it has not done so.

The members of the Compensation Committee are Robert Eide (Chairman), Brian Genson and Barry Leistner. A copy of our Compensation Committee Charter is available on our website at [www.nathansfamous.com](http://www.nathansfamous.com).

## Nominating Committee

For the fiscal year ended March 27, 2011, there were two meetings of the Nominating Committee. Our Nominating Committee is responsible for

reviewing suggestions of candidates for director made by directors, stockholders and management  
making recommendations to the Board regarding the composition of the Board  
nominating individual candidates for election to the Board  
considering nominee recommendations made by stockholders provided that the names of such nominees, accompanied by relevant biographical information, are submitted in accordance with the procedures set forth above under How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal, including a stockholder nomination for director, for the 2012 annual meeting?

In fulfilling its responsibilities for identifying and evaluating nominees for director, the Nominating Committee takes into account the prior experience of director nominees and its application to their responsibilities as a director of Nathan's; however, there are no stated minimum qualifications for director nominees.

The Company does not have a formal policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees, but the Nominating Committee strives to nominate directors with a variety of complementary skills so that, as a group, the Board will possess the appropriate talent, skills, and expertise to oversee the Company's businesses. In addition to considering a candidate's background and accomplishments, candidates are reviewed in the context of the current composition of the Board and the evolving needs of our businesses. The Company's policy is to have at least a majority of directors qualify as independent under the listing requirements of NASDAQ.

In the event of a vacancy on the Board, the Nominating Committee intends to identify and evaluate candidates by making requests of Board members and others for recommendations, requesting input from director search firms for identification and evaluation of candidates, meeting from time to time to evaluate biographical information and background material relating to potential candidates and having members of the Nominating Committee and the Board interview selected candidates. Assuming that appropriate biographical and background material is provided for candidates recommended by stockholders on a timely basis, and that any such nomination accompanied by a written consent of the candidate to being named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected, the Nominating Committee will evaluate director candidates recommended by stockholders by following substantially the same process, and applying substantially the same criteria, as it follows for director candidates submitted by Board members.





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Stockholders also have the right to directly nominate director candidates, without any action or recommendation on the part of the Nominating Committee or the Board, by following the procedures set forth in Nathan's bylaws and described in the response to the question *How and when may I submit a stockholder proposal, including a stockholder nomination for director, for the 2012 annual meeting?* above.

The members of the Nominating Committee are A.F. Petrocelli (Chairman), Brian Genson, Robert Eide and Charles Raich. A copy of our Nominating Committee Charter is available on our website at [www.nathansfamous.com](http://www.nathansfamous.com).

In addition, all of our independent directors met informally in conjunction with each regularly scheduled Board of Directors meeting and also met in a formal executive session twice during our fiscal year ended March 27, 2011.

## **Director Attendance at Annual Meetings**

Our Board of Directors encourages director attendance at our Annual Meetings of Stockholders. Eight of the Company's nine directors attended last year's Annual Meeting.

## **Policy For Stockholder Communications**

Mail can be addressed to directors in care of the Office of the Secretary, Nathan's Famous, Inc., One Jericho Plaza, Second Floor - Wing A, Jericho, New York 11753. At the direction of the Board of Directors, all mail received will be opened and screened for security purposes. The mail will then be logged in. All mail, other than junk mail or obscene items, will be forwarded. Mail addressed to a particular director will be forwarded or delivered to that director. Mail addressed to Outside Directors or Non-Management Directors will be forwarded or delivered to each of the non-employee directors. Mail addressed to the Board of Directors will be forwarded or delivered to the Executive Chairman of the Board.

## **Certain Relationships and Related Persons Transactions**

In July 2007, the Board adopted written Related Person Transaction Policies and Procedures, which were revised in July 2009 (as revised, the Related Person Policy). The Related Person Policy covers transactions with the Company where the Related Person (a term that includes executive officers, directors, director nominees, holders of 5% or more of the Company's stock (a Significant Stockholder) and immediate family members of the foregoing) had, has or will have a direct or indirect material interest and the amount involved meets or exceeds the minimum threshold for disclosure in the Company's proxy statement under relevant Securities and Exchange Commission rules, which is currently \$120,000. Pursuant to the Related Person Policy, all transactions that are subject to the policy must be approved or ratified by our Audit Committee.

The Audit Committee will determine whether the terms of a covered transaction are fair to the Company and no less favorable to the Company than would be generally available absent the relationship with the Related Person, whether there are business reasons for the transaction, whether the transaction impairs the independence of an outside director and whether the transaction is material, among other considerations. Under the Related Person Policy, the Audit Committee has considered and exempted certain transactions from the application of the Related Person Policy, as follows: (1) Interests arising only from the Related Person's position as a director of another corporation or organization that is a party to the transaction; (2) Interests arising only from the direct or indirect ownership by the Related Person and all other Related Persons in the aggregate of less than a 10% equity interest (other than a general partnership interest) in another entity which is a party to the transaction; (3) Interests arising from both the position

and ownership level described in (1) and (2) above; (4) Interests arising solely from the Related Person's position as an executive officer or employee of another entity (whether or not the person is also a director of such entity) that is a participant in the transaction, where (a) the Related Person and all other Related Persons own in the aggregate less than a 10% equity interest in such entity, (b) the Related Person and his or her immediate family members are not involved in the negotiation of the terms of the transaction with the Company and do not receive any special benefits as a result of the transaction and (c) the amount involved in the transaction equals less than the greater of \$200,000 or 5% of the annual consolidated net sales of the recipient; (5) Interests arising solely from the ownership of a class of the Company's equity securities if all holders of that class of equity securities receive the same benefit on a pro rata basis; (6) A transaction that involves compensation to an

12

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

executive officer if the compensation has been approved by the Compensation Committee of the Board or recommended to the Board for approval by the Compensation Committee of the Board and then approved by the Board; (7) A transaction that involves compensation to a director for services as a director of the Company if such compensation will be reported pursuant to Item 402(k) of Regulation S-K; (8) A transaction that is specifically contemplated by provisions of the certificate of incorporation or bylaws of the Company; (9) Interests arising solely from indebtedness of a Significant Stockholder or an immediate family member of a Significant Stockholder to the Company; (10) A transaction where the rates or charges involved in the transaction are determined by competitive bids; (11) A transaction that involves the rendering of services as a common or contract carrier or public utility at rates or charges fixed in conformity with law or governmental authority; or (12) A transaction that involves services as a bank depository of funds, transfer agent registrar, trustee under a trust indenture, or similar services in accordance with certain SEC rules, including the employment of executive officers on terms approved by the Compensation Committee and the payment of compensation to a director if the compensation is required to be reported in the Company's proxy statement under Item 402 of Regulation S-K promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In the event that prior approval is not feasible, the Related Person Policy provides that a transaction may be ratified by the Audit Committee as soon as reasonably practicable following the date of the transaction. On an annual basis, the Audit Committee is required to review all subsequent and previously approved or ratified related-party transactions that remain ongoing to determine whether they should continue.

To identify related-party transactions, each year we require our directors and officers to complete Director and Officer Questionnaires which, among other things, require each of them to identify any transactions with us in which the officer or director or their family members have an interest; we post the Related Person Policy on our website; we will periodically distribute the Related Person Policy to our executive officers, directors and nominees; and we will periodically make internal inquiries regarding Company relationships with known entities that qualify as related parties. In fiscal 2011, Raich Ende Malter & Co., LLP, of which Mr. Raich is Co-Managing Partner, provided tax services resulting in fees of approximately \$140,000. The Audit Committee has ratified the continuing retention of Raich Ende Malter & Co., LLP, as well as the resulting fees incurred during fiscal 2011.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**PROPOSAL 2**  
**RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF GRANT**  
**THORNTON LLP**  
**AS AUDITORS FOR FISCAL 2012**

Our Audit Committee charter provides that the Audit Committee shall appoint annually a firm of independent registered public accountants to serve as auditors. The Audit Committee has appointed Grant Thornton LLP to act as auditors for our fiscal year ending March 25, 2012.

If this proposal is not approved at the annual meeting, our Audit Committee will reconsider the selection of Grant Thornton LLP for the ensuing fiscal year, but may determine that continued retention of Grant Thornton LLP is in our Company's and our stockholders' best interests. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in our Company's and our stockholders' best interests.

We expect representatives of Grant Thornton LLP to be present at the annual meeting. They will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will also be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders.

**Board Recommendation**

Our Board of Directors recommends that our stockholders vote **FOR** ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending March 25, 2012.

**AUDIT FEES**

**Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

The following table presents fees for professional audit services and other services rendered by Grant Thornton LLP in fiscal 2011 and 2010:

	2011	2010
Audit Fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 236,000	\$ 236,000
Audit-Related Fees <sup>(2)</sup>	0	0
Tax Fees <sup>(3)</sup>	0	0
All Other Fees <sup>(4)</sup>	0	0

Audit fees represent fees billed and expected for professional services rendered in connection with: (a) audits and reviews of the fiscal 2011 and 2010 Nathan's Famous, Inc. consolidated financial statements, in accordance with standards of the PCAOB; (b) consultations on accounting matters reflected in the financial statements; and (c) attestation services with respect to securities offerings and SEC filings.

(2)

Grant Thornton did not render, and accordingly did not bill for, any audit-related services in fiscal 2011 or fiscal 2010.

(3) Grant Thornton did not render, and accordingly did not bill for, any tax compliance, tax advice or tax planning services in fiscal 2011 or fiscal 2010.

(4) Grant Thornton did not render, and accordingly did not bill for, any other services in fiscal 2011 or fiscal 2010.

## **Audit Committee Pre-Approval**

The Audit Committee has pre-approved all audit services and permitted non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm, and the compensation, fees and terms for such services. The Committee also has determined not to adopt any blanket pre-approval policy but instead to require that the Committee pre-approve the compensation and terms of service for audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm and any changes in terms and compensation resulting from changes in audit scope, company structure or other matters. The Committee has also determined to require pre-approval by the Audit Committee or its Chairman of the compensation and terms of service for any permitted non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT**

The Audit Committee assists the Company's Board of Directors in overseeing and monitoring the integrity of the Company's financial reporting process, compliance with legal and regulatory requirements related to financial reporting and the quality of internal and external audit processes. The Audit Committee's roles and responsibilities are set forth in a written charter, which is available on the Company's website [www.nathansfamous.com](http://www.nathansfamous.com) under Investor Relations Corporate Governance. Among its duties, the Audit Committee is responsible for recommending to the Company's Board of Directors that the Company's financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K. As a basis for that recommendation, the Audit Committee engaged in the following activities. The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with Nathan's management and discussed with Grant Thornton LLP, Nathan's independent registered public accounting firm, the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T. The Audit Committee also received from Grant Thornton the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Grant Thornton's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with Grant Thornton that firm's independence. The Audit Committee has also reviewed and discussed the fees paid to Grant Thornton during the last fiscal year for audit services, which are set forth above under Audit Fees.

Management of the Company is responsible for the consolidated financial statements and reporting process, including establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a 15(e)); establishing and maintaining internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a 15(f)); evaluating the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures; evaluating the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting; and evaluating any change in internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of these consolidated financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, as well as expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

During fiscal 2011, management tested and evaluated the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting in response to the requirements set forth in Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related regulations. The Audit Committee reviewed the report of management contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 27, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, as well as Grant Thornton's Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K. The latter reports relate to Grant Thornton's audit of (i) the consolidated financial statements and (ii) the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

Based on the discussions with Grant Thornton concerning the audit, the independence discussions, and the discussions with the Company's management and Grant Thornton concerning the financial statement review and discussions, and such other matters deemed relevant and appropriate by the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee recommended to the Company's Board of Directors that the Company's audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 27, 2011, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Audit Committee:

**Robert J. Eide, Chairman**  
**Brian S. Genson**  
**Barry Leistner**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

We are requesting stockholder approval of the compensation of our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and the other three most highly compensated executive officers ( Named Executive Officers or NEOs ) as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K (including in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, or CD&A, compensation tables and accompanying narrative disclosures). Item 402 of Regulation S-K is the SEC regulation that sets forth what companies must include in their CD&A and compensation tables. This vote is required by new rules under Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which were adopted as part of the recent Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and is an advisory vote, which means that this proposal is not binding on us.

As you cast your vote on this Proposal 3, we would like you to consider that we believe our executive compensation and compensation policies and practices are strongly aligned with the interests of our long-term stockholders, assist us in hiring, retaining, and incentivizing our executive officers, and do not incentivize excessive risk-taking. We also believe that our compensation policies and programs and fiscal 2011 compensation decisions, as each is described in this proxy statement, appropriately reward our named executive officers for the Company's performance and for their individual performance. You are strongly encouraged to read the full details of our compensation policies and programs under Executive Compensation below.

However, as you cast your vote on this Proposal 3, please consider the following:

*Elements of our Compensation Program.* Our executives' compensation consists primarily of base salary, short-term cash incentive awards, and long-term equity incentive awards. A significant emphasis is placed on base salaries, which are fixed in order to minimize excessive or inappropriate risk taking. In addition, the discretionary elements of our executive compensation program are substantially performance-based. We retained GK Partners, an independent compensation consultant, to review the structure of our NEO compensation program. Following its review, GK Partners advised us that our compensation program appropriately links pay to performance.

*Pay Practices.* We do not use many common pay practices that are considered to be unfriendly to stockholders. For example, we do not provide extensive perquisites to our executives. Additionally, we have determined to eliminate excess parachute payment tax gross-up provisions from future executive compensation arrangements, except in certain limited circumstances.

*Consultant Independence.* The independent consultant retained to assist in executive compensation matters was retained directly by the Compensation Committee and provided no other services for Nathan's. The future engagement of any compensation consultant will be structured in the same manner.

Accordingly, the following resolution will be submitted for stockholder approval at the annual meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables, and narrative discussion, is hereby approved.

## Board Recommendation

We recommend that you vote **FOR** the resolution approving the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement.

16

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **PROPOSAL 4 ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

We are asking stockholders to advise us as to how frequently they wish to cast an advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers: once every year, once every two years, or once every three years.

This vote is required by new rules under Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which were adopted as part of the recent Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and is an advisory vote, which means that this proposal is not binding on us. The Board of Directors is not required to, nor is it, making a recommendation regarding the frequency that stockholders should approve. Rather, each stockholder will make his or her own choice among a vote once every year, every two years or every three years. You may also abstain from voting on this item. Although the vote is non-binding, the Board will take into account the outcome of the vote when making future decisions about the frequency for holding an advisory vote on executive compensation and currently intends to implement the frequency which receives the greatest level of support from our stockholders.

TABLE OF CONTENTS**SECURITY OWNERSHIP**

The following table sets forth as of July 15, 2011, certain information with regard to ownership of our common stock by: (i) each beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock, based on filings made with the Securities and Exchange Commission and, to the extent received, subsequent completed questionnaires; (ii) each director and executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table and 2011 Director Compensation table below; and (iii) all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

Name and Address <sup>(1)</sup>	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership <sup>(2)</sup>	Percent of Class
<u>NEOs and Directors</u>		
Howard M. Lorber	981,600 <sup>(3)</sup>	19.4 %
A. F. Petrocelli	146,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	2.9 %
Donald L. Perlyn	117,519 <sup>(5)</sup>	2.3 %
Wayne Norbitz	114,476 <sup>(6)</sup>	2.3 %
Robert J. Eide	100,053 <sup>(7)</sup>	2.0 %
Eric Gatoff	85,000 <sup>(8)</sup>	1.7 %
Brian S. Genson	52,634 <sup>(9)</sup>	1.0 %
Ronald G. DeVos	44,300 <sup>(10)</sup>	*
Charles Raich	30,510 <sup>(11)</sup>	*
Barry Leistner	25,000 <sup>(12)</sup>	*
Directors and officers as a group (12 persons) <sup>(13)</sup>	1,669,552	30.3 %
<u>Principal Stockholders</u>		
Mario J. Gabelli <sup>(14)</sup>	459,255 <sup>(15)</sup>	9.1 %
Steel Partners Holdings L.P. <sup>(16)</sup>	445,456	8.9 %

\*

Less than 1%

- (1) The addresses of the principal stockholders in this table are: Mario J. Gabelli, One Corporate Center, Rye, New Jersey 10580 and Steel Partners Holdings L.P., 590 Madison Avenue, 32<sup>nd</sup> Floor, New York, New York 10022.
- (2) Except as otherwise indicated, the beneficial owner has sole voting and investment power.
- (3) Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase an aggregate of 25,000 shares. Also includes 75,000 shares owned by the Howard M. Lorber Irrevocable Trust, as to which Mr. Lorber disclaims beneficial ownership.
- (4) Includes 186,500 shares pledged as collateral to secure certain personal indebtedness including under a personal margin account.
- (5) Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 45,000 shares. Includes 48,500 shares owned by United Capital Corp., as to which shares Mr. Petrocelli shares voting and investment power.
- (6) (5) Consists of options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 40,000 shares.
- (7) Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 55,000 shares. Includes 3,000 shares held in the estates of Mr. Norbitz's parents, for which he serves as executor.
- (8) Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 25,000 shares and 75,000 shares owned by the Howard M. Lorber Irrevocable Trust, for which Mr. Eide is trustee. Includes 53 shares pledged as collateral to secure certain personal indebtedness including under a personal margin account.
- (9) (8) Consists of options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 85,000 shares.

Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 45,000 shares. Includes 1,567 shares owned by each of Jonathan Genson and Melissa Genson.

(10) Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 30,000 shares.

(11) Includes 5,510 shares owned by Raich Ende Malter & Co., LLP, of which Mr. Raich is Co-Managing Partner; Mr. Raich shares voting and investment power over such shares. Includes options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 25,000 shares.

(12) Consists of options exercisable within 60 days to purchase 25,000 shares.

18

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- Consists of 1,234,552 shares beneficially owned by Messrs. Eide, Genson, Lorber, Perlyn, Petrocelli, Raich, Leistner, Norbitz, DeVos, Schedler, Gatoff and Watts without duplication of shares as to which beneficial ownership is shared by more than one member of this group (see notes 3 and 7, above), and 435,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable within 60 days.
- (13)
- (14) Reported jointly with GGCP, Inc., Teton Advisors, Inc., Gabelli Funds, LLC, GAMCO Asset Management Inc. and GAMCO Investors, Inc. (together with Mario J. Gabelli, the Reporting Persons ).
- (15) Includes 23,000 shares as to which the Reporting Persons do not have sole voting power.
- (16) Reported jointly with Steel Partners LLC, SPH Group LLC, SPH Group Holdings LLC and Warren Lichtenstein.

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our officers, directors and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership on Forms 3, 4 and 5 with the SEC and NASDAQ. These officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners are required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of all Forms 3, 4 and 5 they file with the SEC and NASDAQ.

Based solely on our review of the copies of the forms we have received, we believe that all our officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners complied on a timely basis with all filing requirements applicable to them with respect to transactions during fiscal year 2011.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**MANAGEMENT**

**Officers of the Company**

Our executive officers are:

Name	Age	Position with the Company
Eric Gatoff	42	Chief Executive Officer
Ronald G. DeVos	56	Vice President Finance, Chief Financial Officer and Secretary
Howard M. Lorber	62	Executive Chairman of the Board
Wayne Norbitz	63	President and Chief Operating Officer
Donald L. Perlyn	68	Executive Vice President
Donald P. Schedler	58	Vice President Development, Architecture and Construction
Randy K. Watts	55	Vice President Franchise Operations

**Ronald G. DeVos** has been our Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer since January 1995 and our Secretary since April 1995. Prior to January 1995, he was Controller of a large Wendy's franchisee, from June 1993 to December 1994. Mr. DeVos was Vice President Controller of Paragon Steakhouse Restaurants, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kyotaru Company Ltd., from May 1989 to October 1992, and Controller of Paragon Restaurant Group, Inc., and its predecessors, from October 1984 to May 1989. Mr. DeVos holds an M.B.A. from St. John's University and a B.A. from Queens College.

**Donald P. Schedler** has been our Vice President Development, Architecture and Construction since January 2005. Mr. Schedler initially joined us in March 1989 as Director of Architecture and Construction and was made Vice President Architecture and Construction in February 1991 before being promoted to his current position. Prior to March 1989, he was a Director of Construction for The Riese Organization, restaurateurs, from January 1988 to February 1989 and an Associate and Project Architect with Frank Guillot Architects, Ltd. from June 1985 to January 1988. Mr. Schedler is a registered architect in the states of Vermont and New York, and holds a B.A. degree in economics from Susquehanna University and a M.A. degree in architecture from Syracuse University.

**Randy K. Watts** has been our Vice President of Franchise Operations since June 2004. Mr. Watts initially joined us as a District Manager in May of 1993, was promoted to Director of Franchise Operations in September of 1997, and was made Senior Director of Franchise Operations in January of 2000 before being promoted to his current position. Prior to 1993, Mr. Watts was Regional Food Service Manager for McCrory Stores, where he worked from 1975-1993.

For the biographies of Messrs. Gatoff, Lorber, Norbitz and Perlyn, please see Proposal 1 Election of Directors Director Biographies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

### **Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

#### **Executive Summary**

In considering our executive compensation policies and practices, we seek to balance our interest in limiting operating expenses and minimizing stockholder dilution with our interest in using compensation to attract, retain and motivate employees. In reconciling these competing concerns, we strive to act in the long-term best interests of the Company and our stockholders. The elements of our executives' total compensation are base salary, cash incentive awards, stock incentive awards, retirement and other employee benefits and, for certain NEOs, severance protection for certain actual or constructive terminations of employment and other post-termination benefits payable upon retirement, death or disability.

The Compensation Committee has worked directly with an independent compensation consultant from time to time to assist in certain compensation determinations. The Compensation Committee retained GK Partners, an independent compensation consulting firm, to advise it with respect to the compensation of its executive officers. GK Partners has advised the Compensation Committee that the Company's compensation programs appropriately link pay to performance and that the total amount of incentive (bonus) compensation it paid to its NEOs during fiscal 2011 relative to Nathan's revenue and pre-tax income is in line with that paid at other small public companies of similar size and scope. The Compensation Committee may continue to retain outside executive compensation consulting firms (which historically have provided no other services to the Company) to provide general compensation expertise.

#### **Risk Considerations**

The Compensation Committee has considered whether our executive compensation program creates risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company and concluded that it does not. In reaching its conclusion, the Committee considered the Company's strategic goals and operational practices and evaluated the design of its compensation programs to assess whether these programs foster a business environment that might drive inappropriate decision-making or behavior. While a significant portion of certain executives' compensation may be performance-based, the majority of our NEOs cash compensation consists primarily of base salary, which we believe mitigates inappropriate or excessive risk-taking that could harm stockholder value. To the extent that executives receive equity incentive awards, historically such awards have been long-term awards that were intended to align executives' interests with those of our stockholders.

#### **Executive Compensation Program Objectives and Overview**

The Company's current executive compensation programs are intended to achieve three fundamental objectives: (1) attract and retain qualified executives; (2) motivate performance to achieve specific short and long-term strategic and operating objectives of Nathan's; and (3) align executives' interests with the long-term interests of Nathan's stockholders.

We believe that each element of our executive compensation program helps us to achieve one or more of our compensation objectives. The material elements of our executive compensation program consist of

base salary



bonus

equity based compensation (i.e., stock options and restricted stock)

retirement benefits (i.e., 401(k))

perquisites

severance and other benefits payable upon certain termination events

Base salaries, perquisites, retirement benefits and severance and other termination benefits are all primarily intended to attract and retain qualified executives. These are the elements of our current executive compensation program where the value of the benefit in any given year is not dependent on performance (although base salary amounts and benefits determined by reference to base salary may increase from year to

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

year depending on cost-of-living or performance, among other things). We believe that in order to attract and retain top-caliber executives, we need to provide executives with predictable benefit amounts that reward their continued service. Some of the elements, such as base salaries and perquisites, are generally paid out on a short-term or current basis. Retirement or severance benefits are paid out on a longer-term basis upon retirement or other termination of employment. We believe that this mix of long-term and short-term elements allows us to achieve our dual goals of attracting and retaining executives (with the longer-term benefits geared toward retention and the short-term awards focused on recruitment).

Annual bonuses payable to our NEOs are intended to motivate their performance to achieve specific financial, strategic and operating objectives. Each bonus is in an amount determined by the Compensation Committee. We believe the bonuses paid to our NEOs, under their respective employment agreements and otherwise, also help us to attract and retain executives. Each executive's annual bonus is paid out on an annual short-term basis and is designed to reward performance for the applicable fiscal year, taking into consideration historical performance and whether or not the executive has taken steps in that period to achieve Nathan's long-term strategic and operating objectives, including objectives that may not be realized until succeeding fiscal periods.

Our equity-based compensation is primarily intended to align our executives' long-term interests with stockholders' long-term interests. We believe that the way to increase stockholder value is to increase the trading price of our common stock. Through the use of stock awards, an increase in stock price will result in an increase in value for the grantee, thereby aligning the interests of the NEOs and other executives with those of our stockholders. We believe that the foregoing is an effective link between an increase in stockholder value and our executives' compensation.

We also believe equity-based compensation awards help us retain executives, as well as motivate performance to achieve specific strategies and operating objectives. Equity-based compensation is not necessarily awarded each year. Equity-based compensation is earned on a longer-term basis than cash compensation and is designed to reward the achievement of our strategic and operating objectives for the applicable fiscal year, taking into consideration historical performance, and induce the executives to remain in our employ over the vesting period of the award.

The Compensation Committee's general philosophy is that bonus and equity compensation should be consistent with sound corporate governance principles, as well as competitive compensation practices. The Compensation Committee believes incentive compensation fluctuates with the Company's success in achieving financial and other goals, and that Nathan's should continue to use long-term compensation such as periodic grants of stock awards to align stockholder and executives' interests. In June 2011, the Compensation Committee granted options to its NEOs in recognition of their performance during fiscal 2011 and prior years and to incentivize them to remain in our employ during the ensuing four-year option vesting period.

The Company has not established fixed quantitative goals for any of the objectives or metrics evaluated for the purpose of determining the amount of any bonus or equity-based compensation to be awarded.

In addition, the importance of the particular objective or metric to a specific executive's compensation depends on the responsibilities of an executive's position. Further, the specific objectives or metrics considered for a particular executive may be afforded greater or lesser weight in any fiscal year, depending on a variety of factors such as the Company's overall results, the emphasis of any particular component of the Company's business during a particular fiscal year, the Company's performance in each area relative to its prior years' performance, the then-current competitive and economic environment, the implementation of strategic plans whose benefits may not be immediate, any extraordinary event, such as the sale or purchase of a company, brand or line of business, which may impact the Company positively or negatively and taking into account that the Company does not want to incentivize inappropriate or excessive risk taking that could harm stockholder value. As a result, an executive's success or failure

as it relates to any one of the Company's objectives may determine whether or not that executive is awarded a bonus, as well as the amount of any bonus that is awarded. If, following its evaluation of the foregoing, the Compensation Committee determines that an executive has helped the Company achieve, or make progress toward achieving, one or more of the Company's objectives, a bonus and/or grant of equity-based compensation may be awarded.

22

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Committee has not engaged in benchmarking to establish the compensation payable to its executive officers in part because the Company's business model has evolved to become more entrepreneurial and is no longer that of a traditional restaurant and franchise business. A large portion of the Company's revenue and operating profit is generated by its Branded Product and retail licensing programs. Consequently, the Compensation Committee does not believe that traditional restaurant and franchise companies are actual peers for the purpose of performing a benchmark analysis and does not currently intend to engage in benchmarking in the future for the purpose of evaluating or establishing the compensation payable to its NEOs.

Nevertheless, although the Compensation Committee did not engage in benchmarking in establishing the compensation payable to the NEOs, in carrying out its responsibilities, in fiscal 2007, the Compensation Committee sought the advice of GK Partners regarding the compensation of Eric Gatoff and Howard Lorber, both of whom entered into employment agreements on December 15, 2006, effective January 1, 2007. In establishing the base salary to be paid to Mr. Gatoff pursuant to his employment agreement, the Compensation Committee relied on the consulting firm's opinion that Mr. Gatoff's compensation and benefits arrangements, as set forth in his employment agreement, were within the range of Chief Executive Officer compensation, benefit and perquisite practices then found in other public companies. In establishing the base salary to be paid to Mr. Lorber pursuant to his employment agreement, the Compensation Committee relied on the consulting firm's opinion that Mr. Lorber's compensation and benefits arrangements, as set forth in his employment agreement, were within the range of executive compensation, benefit and perquisite practices then found in other public companies. The Compensation Committee then evaluated the amount of the short-term components of our executive compensation program for the other NEOs by determining the compensation payable to the other NEOs and other executives relative to that payable to Messrs. Gatoff and Lorber and taking into consideration that the base salary for certain NEOs is established by contract. In June 2011, the Compensation Committee again retained GK Partners. GK Partners evaluated the Company's compensation program to determine whether the Company adequately links pay to performance and concluded that it does.

### **Current Executive Compensation Program Elements**

We currently have employment agreements in place for four of our NEOs—Eric Gatoff, Howard M. Lorber, Wayne Norbitz and Donald L. Perlyn—each of which is described in further detail below in the context of this section. There is no employment agreement in effect for Ronald G. DeVos.

*Eric Gatoff.* On December 15, 2006, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Eric Gatoff pursuant to which Mr. Gatoff was appointed as Chief Executive Officer effective January 1, 2007. Under the terms of the agreement, Mr. Gatoff agreed to serve as Chief Executive Officer effective from January 1, 2007, until December 31, 2008, which period shall extend for additional one-year periods unless either party delivers notice of non-renewal no less than 180 days prior to the end of the term then in effect. No such non-renewal notice has been delivered to date.

*Howard M. Lorber.* On December 15, 2006, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Howard M. Lorber (the "Lorber Agreement") pursuant to which Mr. Lorber was appointed as Executive Chairman of the Board of the Company effective January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2012. The agreement superseded Mr. Lorber's previous employment agreement.

*Wayne Norbitz.* In December 1992, we entered into an employment agreement with Wayne Norbitz for a term expiring on December 31, 1996. The employment agreement was extended through December 31, 1997, on the original terms and automatically renews for successive one-year periods, unless 180 days' prior written notice is delivered to Mr. Norbitz. No such non-extension notice has been delivered to date.

*Donald L. Perlyn.* On November 16, 2007, the Company and Donald L. Perlyn entered into an employment agreement for a term expiring on September 30, 2008. The term of the agreement automatically extends for successive one-year periods unless 180 days prior written notice is delivered by one party to the other. No such non-extension notice has been delivered to date. The terms of the agreement are the same as the prior agreement between Mr. Perlyn and Miami Subs, which was entered into on September 30, 1999 in connection with our acquisition of Miami Subs.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **Base Salaries**

Salary is one of the main components of cash compensation and fits into our overall compensation objectives by providing a base for attracting and retaining significant contributors to the Company and by establishing a stable level of compensation upon which our executives may rely. Our goal is to pay to our executives a base salary that is sufficient to attract and retain them. The Compensation Committee has determined that structuring the Company's compensation program to place a significant emphasis on base salaries, which are fixed, mitigates the potential for inappropriate or excessive risk-taking by executive officers.

For the four NEOs with employment agreements, base salaries are determined in accordance with the terms of such agreements. The base salaries reflected in the employment agreements for Messrs. Gatoff and Lorber were initially established by the Compensation Committee in consultation with the Company's outside compensation consulting firm. The base salary initially payable to each of Messrs. Norbitz and Perlyn pursuant to his respective employment agreement was the product of arms-length negotiations at the time his employment commenced, which base salary has been increased by the Compensation Committee in consultation with our Executive Chairman and our Chief Executive Officer. The base salary of Mr. DeVos is determined annually by the Compensation Committee in consultation with our Executive Chairman and our Chief Executive Officer, taking into consideration his role and responsibility within our Company, as well as his experience and prior performance. In addition, the base salaries of all of our NEOs are reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee, which may make adjustments for cost-of-living increases and to reward performance.

The base salary that was paid to each NEO in fiscal 2011 is the amount reported for such officer in the designated column of the Summary Compensation Table.

### **Annual Bonuses**

In determining the amount of any annual bonus payable to each of our NEOs, the Compensation Committee evaluates such NEO's performance measured against Nathan's achievement of its financial and operating objectives.

Nathan's financial objectives include:

increasing each of revenues, profits from continuing operations, pre-tax cash flow, net income and earnings per share;  
managing cash balances; and  
controlling corporate general and administrative expenses.

There are also operating objectives that are specific to the Company's individual revenue centers (i.e., Company-owned restaurants, franchising, the Branded Product Program and retail licensing), all of which are designed to increase revenue and profit, as follows:

the level of sales at and cash contribution from Company-owned restaurants;  
the number of new franchised and Branded Menu locations;  
the amount of franchise fees and royalties earned from franchised and Branded Menu locations;  
the level of sales and tonnage of products sold through the Branded Product Program;  
the number of new Branded Product locations;  
controlling the cost of goods sold through the Branded Product Program;  
the amount of license royalties earned through the retail licensing program; and  
the ability to control revenue-center specific overhead expenses.

Pursuant to Mr. Gatoff's employment agreement, as amended, the amount of his bonus is established by the Compensation Committee after considering the recommendation of the Executive Chairman of the Board based on

Mr. Gatoff's performance measured against the Company's financial, strategic and operating

24

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

objectives, including objectives that may not be realized until future periods, as described above. The Compensation Committee also takes into consideration Mr. Gatoff's efforts related to the Company's risk management.

Mr. Lorber's current employment agreement does not provide for a contractually-required bonus. Nevertheless, since Mr. Lorber is eligible for participation in the Company's executive bonus program, the Compensation Committee may award bonuses to Mr. Lorber from time to time as it deems appropriate.

The payment and amount of any bonus paid to the other NEOs is discretionary and is based on a determination of the Compensation Committee following its evaluation of the NEO's performance. In making its determination regarding the amount of the bonus payable to Messrs. Norbitz, Perlyn and DeVos, the Compensation Committee considers the recommendations of our Executive Chairman and our Chief Executive Officer, as well as any factors that it deems to be appropriate. In arriving at the bonuses paid in respect of fiscal 2011, the Compensation Committee took into consideration Nathan's performance in light of the current economic recession. In the case of Mr. Norbitz, the Compensation Committee also considered the performance of the Company-owned restaurants and the amount of franchise fees and royalties earned from franchised locations in arriving at the bonus paid in respect of fiscal 2011. In the case of Mr. Perlyn, the Compensation Committee considered the performance of the Branded Product Program in arriving at the bonus paid in respect of fiscal 2011. In the case of Mr. DeVos, in arriving at the bonus paid in respect of fiscal 2011, in addition to the Company's financial objective of managing general and administrative expense, the Compensation Committee considered Mr. DeVos' efforts related to risk management and the implementation and maintenance of internal controls, including those controls required in connection with the Company's compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX).

GK Partners evaluated the Company's compensation program for fiscal 2011 and concluded that the Company's bonus program adequately links pay to performance.

### **Equity-Based Compensation**

The Compensation Committee has periodically granted equity awards under Nathan's stock incentive and stock option plans. The Compensation Committee does not use constant criteria in the granting of equity compensation. The Compensation Committee makes a subjective determination of the effectiveness of each NEO and the extent of his contributions to our success, taking into consideration each NEO's responsibilities, his performance during prior years and his expected future contribution to Nathan's performance and, based on that determination, may reward an executive through an award of equity compensation. Grants of equity awards are also designed to link an increase in stockholder value to compensation. Through the use of stock awards, an increase in stock price will result in an increase in value for the grantee, which ties an increase in stockholder value to our executives' compensation.

Historically, the form of stock awards available for grant was limited to stock options or restricted stock. However, under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan, employees may receive grants of stock options, restricted stock, or restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights and other stock-based awards. At March 27, 2011, an aggregate 321,000 shares are available for grants of options or stock appreciation rights or 100,310 shares are available for grants of restricted stock or restricted stock units under the Nathan's 2010 Stock Incentive Plan. In addition, in the event that option or restricted stock grants under the 2001 Stock Option Plan or the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan that were outstanding as of July 19, 2010 subsequently expire unexercised, or are otherwise forfeited, Nathan's may also grant awards under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan for up to a maximum 100,000 additional options or 31,250 additional shares of restricted stock or restricted stock units. Restricted stock and restricted stock units will be subject to such restrictions as the Board or Compensation Committee may impose. Under the terms of the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan, the term of any stock options may be no more than five years. The term of an option is determined at the time of grant. The purchase price of the shares of common stock subject to each option granted is not less than 100% of the fair market value of



our common stock at the date of grant.

In addition to the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan, which is the only equity incentive plan under which awards may be issued, the Company currently has three stock option plans under which options are outstanding. All of our stock plans provide that the Compensation Committee may adjust the number of shares under outstanding awards and for which future awards may be granted in the event of reorganization, stock split,

25

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

reverse split, stock dividend, exchange or combination of shares, merger or any other change in capitalization. The participants in these plans are officers, directors and employees of, or consultants to, Nathan's and its subsidiaries or affiliates. See Equity Plan Information.

In reviewing the form of stock compensation granted to any or all eligible participant(s), the Compensation Committee has historically considered a variety of important administrative and technical factors, including, but not limited to: (1) the overall availability of shares under the stock compensation plan; (2) the additional stockholder dilution effects of shares granted under the plan; (3) the number of stock options and restricted shares currently outstanding under the current plans and all previous plans (individually and in the aggregate); (4) the number of options or restricted shares previously vested and/or exercised (individually and in the aggregate); (5) the overall compensation expense and current accounting impact to Nathan's of any past or future grants; and (6) the applicable Company and employee tax implications of any such grant. The Compensation Committee intends to consider the same administrative and technical factors in determining the form of stock compensation (options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock or restricted stock units) to be used for future grants.

During fiscal 2011, the Compensation Committee made no awards to employees of equity compensation, including the NEOs. However, in June 2011, the Compensation Committee awarded an aggregate 112,500 options to its NEOs, both to recognize the NEOs efforts during fiscal 2011 and prior years and to induce the NEOs to remain in the Company's employ during the options' four-year vesting period. The Compensation Committee believes that the use of these awards encourages executives to continue to use their best professional skills and to remain in Nathan's employ.

### **Retirement Benefits and Deferred Compensation Opportunities**

#### **401(k) Savings Plan**

We sponsor a retirement plan intended to be qualified under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Our NEOs participate in this program on the same terms as our other employees. All non-union employees over age 21 who have been employed by us for at least one year are eligible to participate in the plan. Employees may contribute to the plan on a tax-deferred basis up to 20% of their total annual salary, but in no event more than the maximum permitted by the Internal Revenue Code (\$22,000 in calendar 2011, including \$5,500 catch-up contributions for employees 50 and over). Company contributions are discretionary. For the plan year ended December 31, 2010, we elected to make matching contributions at the rate of \$.25 per dollar contributed by each employee on up to 3% of the employee's total salary, vesting at the cumulative rate of 20% per year of service starting one year after commencement of service and, accordingly, after six years of an employee's service with us, matching contributions are fully vested. As of March 27, 2011, approximately 52 employees had elected to participate in the plan. For the fiscal year ended March 27, 2011, we contributed approximately \$30,000 to the 401(k) plan, of which \$1,945 was a matching contribution for Mr. Norbitz and \$1,505 was a matching contribution for Mr. DeVos.

Deferred compensation, through both employer and employee contributions to the Nathan's 401(k) plan, is a tax-advantaged means of providing the NEOs with additional compensation that supplements their base salaries and bonus opportunities.

#### **Perquisites**

In addition to base salaries and annual bonus opportunities, Nathan's provides the NEOs with certain perquisites. We believe that certain perquisites are often a tax-advantaged way to provide the NEOs with additional annual compensation that supplements their base salaries and bonus opportunities. When determining each NEO's base salary, either by contract or otherwise, we take into consideration the value of each NEO's perquisites. Perquisites did not

constitute a material portion of the compensation paid to our NEOs for fiscal 2011. We provide our NEOs with limited perquisites and personal benefits that we and the Compensation Committee believe are consistent with our executive compensation philosophy and objectives.

The Compensation Committee believes the perquisites provided to our NEOs for fiscal 2011 which included auto and gas allowances and insurance, as reported in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table below, and are further described in the All Other Compensation table following the Summary Compensation Table are reasonable and consistent with our past practices.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **Severance and Other Benefits Upon Termination of Employment**

The Company's policy is to provide its NEOs with employment agreements with certain payments in the event of the termination of their employment prior to the end of their current employment term due to death, disability or other than for cause (as defined in their respective employment agreements). Nathan's selected such events because they are standard termination triggers in employment contracts. Nathan's determined that its failure to provide such standard termination provisions would adversely affect its ability to attract and retain those NEOs and achieve its compensation objectives.

Please see the Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control section below for a description of the potential payments that may be made to the NEOs in connection with their termination of employment on a change in control.

### **Stock Ownership Guidelines**

In June 2009, the Board of Directors adopted a Stock Retention Plan. Pursuant to the Stock Retention Plan, at the time that any officer or director exercises a stock option, he will be required to retain 33- 1/3% of the total number of option shares then-exercised. In addition, officers and directors are required to retain 33- 1/3% of the shares owned on the date of adoption of the Stock Retention Plan. Notwithstanding the terms of the Stock Retention Plan, the Board may determine to waive the requirement that shares be retained in the event that an officer or director is experiencing an immediate financial need.

### **Tax and Accounting Implications**

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code limits the deductibility by Nathan's of cash compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to the Chief Executive Officer and the four highest compensated executive officers during any taxable year, unless such compensation meets certain requirements. Base compensation paid to executive officers for fiscal 2011 was within the \$1 million Section 162(m) threshold and should, therefore, also be deductible by Nathan's.

Stock incentives granted under Nathan's existing plans are intended to comply with the rules under Section 162(m) for treatment as performance-based compensation. Nathan's should be allowed to deduct compensation related to options granted under each of its stock incentive plans and the formula portion of the cash bonuses.

The Lorber Agreement has contained an excise tax gross-up provision since 2006, the time that Company first entered into that agreement. However, the Compensation Committee's current policy is that executives should be responsible for the taxes payable by them with respect to their compensation. In unusual circumstances where the Compensation Committee believes that accommodations have to be made to recruit or retain an executive to become or remain employed by the Company, as the case may be, reimbursement for taxes payable may be included in contracts; but even in those circumstances, the gross ups will be limited to payments triggered by both a change in control and termination of employment and will be subject to a three-year sunset provision.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Compensation Committee Report

Nathan's Compensation Committee has certain duties and powers as described in its charter. The Compensation Committee is currently composed of the non-employee directors named at the end of this report, each of whom is independent as defined by the NASDAQ Listing Rule 5605(a)(2). The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the disclosure contained in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth herein. Based upon this review and our discussions, the Nathan's Compensation Committee recommended to its Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference into the Nathan's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Compensation Committee of  
the Board of Directors

*Robert J. Eide, Chairman*

*Brian S. Genson*

*Barry Leistner*

***SEC filings sometimes incorporate information by reference. This means the Company is referring you to information that has previously been filed with the SEC, and that this information should be considered as part of the filing you are reading. However, unless the Company specifically states otherwise in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof, this Compensation Committee Report shall not constitute soliciting material, shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference or otherwise be considered filed under the Securities Act or the Securities Exchange Act, irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.***

## Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During the fiscal year ended March 27, 2011, Messrs. Eide, Genson and Leistner served on the Compensation Committee. No member of the Compensation Committee is or has been a former or current officer or employee of the Company or had any relationships requiring disclosure by the Company under the SEC's rules requiring disclosure of certain relationships and related-party transactions. For the fiscal year ended March 27, 2011, Mr. Lorber, Executive Chairman of the Board of Nathan's, served on the compensation committee of the Board of Directors of United Capital Corp., the chief executive officer of which corporation, A.F. Petrocelli, served as one of the Company's directors. During the fiscal year ended March 27, 2011, there were no reportable transactions between Mr. Petrocelli and the Company.

## Compensation of NEOs

The Summary Compensation Table should be read in connection with the tables and narrative descriptions that follow. The Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal 2011 Year-End table and information under the heading "Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2011" provide further information on the NEOs' potential realizable value and actual value realized with respect to their equity awards.

The discussion of the potential payments due upon a termination of employment or change in control, below, is intended to further explain the potential future payments that are, or may become, payable to our NEOs.

Other than the 401(k) Savings Plan, the Company has not established a plan that provides for payments or other benefits at, following or in connection with the retirement of any employees.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## **SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

Our fiscal year ends on the last Sunday in March, which results in a 52- or 53-week year. Each of the fiscal years (1) ended March 27, 2011, March 28, 2010 and March 29, 2009 were on the basis of a 52-week reporting period.

Salaries reflect the number of weeks in the reporting period.

The amounts set forth in this column represent the dollar amount of compensation paid or accrued in the designated fiscal year by each of our NEOs which is not reported in any of the columns of this Summary Compensation Table (2) to the left of this column. Please see the All Other Compensation Table below for a more detailed explanation of the compensation earned by each NEO in fiscal year 2011 which comprises the aggregate amounts disclosed in this column.

29

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS**All Other Compensation Table**

Name	Company Matched 401(k) Contributions (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Insurance Premiums (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	Mobile Telephone Payments (\$)	Auto Allowance/Expense Reimbursement (\$)	Total All Other Compensation (\$)
Eric Gatoff		\$ 13,566	\$ 2,279	\$ 19,143	\$ 34,988
Ronald G. DeVos	\$ 1,505	\$ 13,554	\$ 910	\$ 15,739	\$ 31,708
Howard M. Lorber		\$ 754		\$ 13,750	\$ 14,504
Wayne Norbitz	\$ 1,945	\$ 30,734	\$ 1,704	\$ 18,870	\$ 53,254
Donald L. Perlyn		\$ 16,858	\$ 3,732	\$ 14,744	\$ 35,334

The amounts set forth in this column represent the dollar amount of Company contributions to each NEO under our (1)401(k) Plan in fiscal year 2011. Additional information regarding our 401(k) Plan is set forth in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement.

The amounts in this column represent Company contributions for premiums for group life, medical, dental, and (2)long-term disability insurance for each of the NEOs during fiscal year 2011. Also includes health care deductibles paid on behalf of NEOs.

**GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS IN FISCAL 2011**

There were no grants of plan-based awards to the NEOs during the fiscal year ended March 27, 2011.

Following the 2011 fiscal year-end, in June 2011, the Compensation Committee granted options to the NEOs as follows:

Name and Title	Number of Shares under Option
Eric Gatoff, <i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	50,000
Ronald G. DeVos, <i>VP Finance and CFO</i>	12,500
Howard M. Lorber, <i>Executive Chairman</i>	25,000
Wayne Norbitz, <i>President and COO</i>	15,000
Donald L. Perlyn, <i>Executive Vice President</i>	10,000



TABLE OF CONTENTS**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL 2011  
YEAR-END**

Name	Option Awards			Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date <sup>(1)</sup>
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable			
Eric Gatoff	25,000 <sup>(2)</sup>			\$ 4.3800	10/19/2013
<i>Chief Executive Officer</i>	12,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	3,000	<sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 13.0800	06/13/2016
Ronald G. DeVos	45,000 <sup>(4)</sup>	15,000	<sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 17.4300	09/16/2012
<i>Vice President Finance and Chief Financial Officer</i>	15,000 <sup>(5)</sup>			\$ 5.6200	06/14/2014
Howard M. Lorber	12,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	3,000	<sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 13.0800	06/13/2016
<i>Executive Chairman of the Board</i>	20,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	5,000	<sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 13.0800	06/13/2016
Wayne Norbitz	30,000 <sup>(5)</sup>			\$ 5.6200	06/14/2014
<i>President and Chief Operating Officer</i>	20,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	5,000	<sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 13.0800	06/13/2016
Donald L. Perlyn	20,000 <sup>(5)</sup>			\$ 5.6200	06/14/2014
<i>Executive Vice President</i>	16,000 <sup>(3)</sup>	4,000	<sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 13.0800	06/13/2016

(1) The expiration date of all option awards is either five or ten years from the date of their respective grants.

(2) The options vested in three equal annual installments commencing on October 19, 2004.

(3) The options vest(ed) in five equal annual installments commencing on June 13, 2007.

(4) The options vest(ed) in four equal annual installments commencing on September 17, 2008.

(5) The options vested in three equal annual installments commencing on June 14, 2005.

**OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN FISCAL  
2011**

No options were exercised by NEOs and no shares of restricted stock granted to NEOs vested during fiscal year 2011.

**Nonqualified Deferred Compensation**

Neither the Company nor any NEO made any contribution, distribution or withdrawal as relates to the deferral of compensation on a basis that is not tax-qualified.

**Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control**

## Severance Provisions of Employment Agreements and Estimation of Benefits

The employment agreements with each of Messrs. Gatoff, Lorber, Norbitz and Perlyn provide that on the termination of his agreement and/or the occurrence of a change-in-control, the NEO is entitled to certain payments as follows:

### **Eric Gatoff**

Termination by the Company without Cause (as defined in the agreement): salary for the remainder of the contract term

Termination by the Company for Cause (as defined in the agreement): salary, reimbursable expenses and benefits through the date of termination

Death: salary and bonus for balance of contract term with the bonus being equal to the amount of bonus paid or payable for the preceding fiscal year, plus reimbursable expenses and benefits through the end of the term

31

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Disability: lump sum equal to his then base salary and bonus with the bonus being equal to the amount of bonus paid or payable for the preceding fiscal year

Change-in-control: if agreement is terminated within one year (by the Company without cause or by the executive for any reason), entitled to lump sum cash payment equal to annual salary plus bonus (paid or payable for the most recently-completed fiscal year)

**Howard M. Lorber**

In the event of any termination other than for Cause (as defined in the agreement), Mr. Lorber is entitled to receive, in addition to the other benefits specified below: salary through the date of termination, unused vacation from prior years, annual bonus for the current fiscal year prorated through the date of termination, any bonus previously awarded but not yet paid, any deferred compensation and other benefits that have vested through the date of termination

Termination by the Company without Cause or by Mr. Lorber for Good Reason (as defined in the agreement): salary and bonus for the remainder of the contract term, which bonus shall be equal to the average of the annual bonuses awarded to him during the three fiscal years preceding the fiscal year of termination, continued participation in benefit plans and perquisites until the end of the term

Termination by the Company for Cause: salary through the date of termination, unused vacation and any bonus previously awarded but not yet paid

Death or disability: annual salary and annual bonuses for a three-year period, which bonus shall be equal to the average of the annual bonuses awarded to him during the three fiscal years preceding the fiscal year of termination

Due to expiration of the agreement or, if earlier, upon termination (i) by mutual agreement, (ii) by Retirement (as defined in the agreement), or (iii) due to a Change in Control, Mr. Lorber becomes a consultant to the Company for a three-year term, during which he will receive compensation of \$200,000 per year

Change-in-control: if agreement is terminated within one year (by the Company without Cause or by the executive for any reason other than Cause, retirement, death or disability), entitled to lump sum cash payment equal to the greater of (A) his annual salary and annual bonuses for the remainder of the employment term (including a prorated bonus for any partial fiscal year), which bonus shall be equal to the average of the annual bonuses awarded to him during the three fiscal years preceding the fiscal year of termination, or (B) 2.99 times his annual salary and annual bonus for the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year of termination, as well as a lump sum cash payment equal to the difference between the exercise price of any exercisable options having an exercise price of less than the then current market price of our common stock and such then current market price, continued participation in benefit plans and perquisites until the end of the term and a tax gross-up payment to cover any excise tax due

**Wayne Norbitz**

Termination without Cause (as defined in the agreement): annual salary and benefits for a six-month period following delivery of the termination notice plus payment of an amount equal to his annual base salary as then in effect

Notice of non-extension of employment agreement: annual salary and benefits for a six-month period following delivery of the termination notice plus payment of an amount equal to his annual base salary as then in effect

Death: salary and benefits through the end of the calendar month in which the death occurred

Disability: salary through the date of termination

Change-in-control: right, exercisable for a six-month period, to terminate the agreement and receive an amount equal to three times his annual base compensation during the most recent fiscal year, less \$100

TABLE OF CONTENTS**Donald L. Perlyn**

Termination by the Company without cause or by Mr. Perlyn for Good Reason (as defined in the agreement): payment of an amount equal to three times his annual base salary as in effect at the time of his termination plus the cost of COBRA coverage for Mr. Perlyn and his dependents for the maximum period permitted under federal law

Resignation by Mr. Perlyn without Good Reason, termination by the Company for Cause (as defined in the agreement): salary, accrued vacation and benefits through the date of termination

Disability: salary, accrued vacation and benefits through the date of termination, monthly payments of salary for a one-year period plus the cost of COBRA coverage for Mr. Perlyn and his dependents for the maximum period permitted under federal law

Death: salary, accrued vacation and benefits through the date of termination

Notice of non-extension of employment agreement: lump-sum payment of an amount equal to his annual base salary as then in effect plus the cost of COBRA coverage for Mr. Perlyn and his dependents for the maximum period permitted under federal law

Change-in-control: an amount equal to three times his annual base salary, together with a pro-rata portion of his bonus through the date of the change in control

**Estimation of Benefits**

The following tables were prepared as though each NEO's employment was terminated on March 27, 2011 (the last business day of fiscal 2011) using the closing price of the Company's common stock as of that day \$17.10. The amounts under the columns which reflect a Change in Control assume that a change in control occurred on March 27, 2011. However, the executives' employment was not terminated on March 27, 2011 and a change in control did not occur on that date. There can be no assurance that a termination of employment, a change in control or both would produce the same or similar results as those described if either or both of them occur on any other date or at any other price, or if any assumption is not correct in fact.

Stock options that become vested due to a change in control are valued based on their spread (i.e., the difference between the stock's fair market value and the exercise price).

	Eric Gatoff				Termination	
	Termination by Company without Cause or by Named Executive Officer with Good Reason	Death	Termination by Company of Named Executive Officer due to Disability	Termination by Company for Cause or Voluntary Termination by Named Executive Officer Without Good Reason	Termination upon a Change in Control	
Cash Severance*	\$ 206,731	\$ 456,731	\$ 456,731	\$ 19,231	\$ 519,231	
Cash Value of Equity Awards					\$ 378,300	
Benefits Continuation		\$ 26,241**				

\* Includes accrued vacation pay of \$19,231 as of March 27, 2011.

\*\* Represents cost of benefits for the nine-month period through the end of the current employment term.

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Howard Lorber		Termination	Termination	Termination
	by	Death	by	by	upon a Change
	Company		Company of	Company for	in Control
	without		Named	Cause or	
	Cause		Executive	Voluntary	
	or by		Officer due	Termination	
	Named		to	by	
	Executive		Disability	Named	
	Officer with			Executive	
	Good			Officer	
	Reason			Without	
				Good Reason	
Cash Severance	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 700,000	\$ 1,796,000
Cash Value of Equity Awards					\$ 100,500
Benefits Continuation	\$ 27,570*	\$ 47,262**	\$ 47,262**		\$ 72,570***
Excise Tax and Gross-up					

\* Represents cost of benefits through the end of the current employment term.

\*\* Represents cost of benefits for a three-year period.

\*\*\* Represents cost of benefits through the end of the current employment term and a three-year consulting period.

	Wayne Norbitz		Termination	Termination	Termination
	by	Death	by	Non-renewal	upon a Change
	Company		Company of	of	in Control
	without		Named	contract by	
	Cause		Executive	the	
	or by		Officer due	Company	
	Named		to		
	Executive		Disability		
	Officer with				
	Good				
	Reason				
Cash Severance*	\$ 455,337	\$ 46,275	\$ 22,212	\$ 455,337	\$ 888,362
Cash Value of Equity Awards					\$ 444,900
Benefits Continuation	\$ 26,627**	\$ 4,438***		\$ 26,627**	

\* Includes accrued vacation pay of \$22,212 as of March 27, 2011.

\*\* Represents cost of benefits for a six-month period.

\*\*\* Represents cost of benefits through month-end.

	Donald Perlyn		Termination	Non-renewal	Termination
	by	Death	by	of	upon a Change

Edgar Filing: NATHANS FAMOUS INC - Form DEF 14A

	Company without Cause or by Named Executive Officer with Good Reason	Company of Named Executive Officer due to Disability	contract by the Company	in Control
Cash Severance	\$ 630,000	\$ 210,000	\$ 315,000	\$ 730,000
Cash Value of Equity Awards				\$ 310,000
Benefits Continuation*	\$ 15,131	\$ 15,131	\$ 15,131	

\* Represents cost of COBRA coverage for an 18-month period.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Ronald DeVos				
	Termination			Termination	
	by			by	
	Company		Termination	Company for	
	without		by	Cause or	
	Cause		Company of	Voluntary	
	or by	Death	Named	Termination	Termination
	Named		Executive	by	upon a Change
	Executive		Officer due	Named	in Control
	Officer		to	Executive	
	with		Disability	Officer	
	Good			Without	
	Reason			Good Reason	
Cash Severance*	\$ 15,923	\$ 15,923	\$ 15,923	\$ 15,923	\$ 15,923
Cash Value of Equity Awards					\$ 232,500
Benefits Continuation					

\* Consists of accrued vacation pay as of March 27, 2011.

## Risk Considerations in our Compensation Programs

We believe that risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. As discussed above in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, our Compensation Committee reviewed our policies and practices for executive officers. The Compensation Discussion and Analysis further identifies the features of our executive compensation program that are designed to mitigate the potential for inappropriate or excessive risk-taking by executive officers. Management also provided an assessment to the Compensation Committee regarding our compensation policies and practices for employees other than our executive officers and noted several features of our compensation program for employees that reduce the likelihood of excessive risk-taking: pay is structured to include both fixed (salary) and variable compensation (bonus and equity), with a primary emphasis on fixed compensation; any increases to base salary are typically related to cost-of-living adjustments; only a small number of employees receive bonuses and, with the exception of the Named Executive Officers (excluding the Executive Chairman), such bonuses are not a significant component of an employee's total compensation; long-term incentives are granted as equity that vests over multiple years; and the existence of our corporate-wide ethics and compliance program.

## Equity Plan Information

The following table sets forth information regarding our equity compensation plans as of March 27, 2011:

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding warrants (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity
---------------	--	---	---



	warrants (a)		compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column a) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	470,000	\$ 11.29	321,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	470,000	\$ 11.29	321,000

35

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The following table sets forth information regarding our equity plans as of March 27, 2011:

Name of Plan	Shares Issuable for Exercisable Options	Average Exercise Prices of Outstanding Options	Shares Available for Grant
1992 Stock Option Plan (expired December 2002)	2,500	\$ 3.2000	
2001 Stock Option Plan	220,000	\$ 11.0686	
2002 Stock Incentive Plan	247,500	\$ 11.5729	
2010 Stock Incentive Plan			321,000*

Does not include additional shares that may become issuable under the 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (up to a maximum \*100,000 additional options or 31,250 restricted stock or restricted stock units) in the event that option grants under the 2001 Stock Option Plan or the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan that were outstanding as of July 19, 2010 subsequently expire unexercised, or are otherwise forfeited.

## Non-Employee Director Compensation

Directors who are not our employees receive an annual fee of \$12,500 and a fee of \$750 for each Board of Directors or committee meeting attended. In addition, members of committees of the Board of Directors also receive an annual fee of \$1,000 for each committee on which they serve.

## 2011 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Option Awards (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value And Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Robert J. Eide	\$ 27,500					\$ 27,500
Brian S. Genson	\$ 28,250					\$ 28,250
Barry Leistner	\$ 25,750					\$ 25,750
A.F. Petrocelli	\$ 21,000					\$ 21,000
Charles Raich	\$ 21,000					\$ 21,000

<sup>(1)</sup> The table below shows the aggregate number of outstanding stock options, both vested and unvested, for each of the non-employee directors as of March 27, 2011.

Name	Outstanding Stock Options*
Robert J. Eide	25,000
Brian S. Genson	45,000
Barry Leistner	25,000
A.F. Petrocelli	45,000
Charles Raich	25,000

\*Does not include options to purchase an additional 10,000 shares that were granted to each non-employee director in June 2011, following the fiscal year end.

36

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A copy of our Annual Report of Stockholders for the fiscal year ended March 27, 2011, has been provided to all stockholders as of July 15, 2011. Stockholders are referred to the report for financial and other information about us, but such report is not incorporated in this proxy statement and is not a part of the proxy soliciting material.

**We will provide without charge to any stockholder, as of the record date, copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, upon written request delivered to Ronald G. DeVos, Secretary, at the Company's offices at One Jericho Plaza, Second Floor Wing A, Jericho, New York 11753.**

By Order of the Board of Directors,

RONALD G. DEVOS

*Secretary*

July 22, 2011

Jericho, New York

TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

TABLE OF CONTENTS

---