

AGREE REALTY CORP
Form 10-K
March 13, 2009

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008

Commission File Number 1-12928

AGREE REALTY CORPORATION
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

38-3148187
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

31850 Northwestern Highway
Farmington Hills, Michigan
(Address of principal executive offices)

48334
(Zip code)

(248) 737-4190

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
Common Stock, \$.0001 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting

company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the Registrant’s shares of common stock held by non-affiliates was approximately \$173,435,000 as of June 30, 2008, based on the closing price of \$22.05 on the NYSE on that date.

At February 27, 2009, there were 7,931,030 shares of common stock, \$.0001 par value per share, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant’s proxy statement for the annual stockholder meeting to be held in 2009 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I		
Item 1.	Business	2
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	4
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	9
Item 2.	Properties	10
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	16
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	17
Part II		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	17
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	18
Item 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	19
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	26
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	27
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	27
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures	27
Item 9B.	Other Information	29
Part III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	30
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	30
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	30
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	30
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	30
Part IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	31
	Signatures	34

Part I

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Management has included herein certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act). These forward-looking statements represent our expectations, plans or beliefs concerning future events and may be identified by terminology such as “anticipate,” “estimate,” “should,” “expect,” “believe,” “intend” and similar expressions. Although the forward-looking statements made in this report are based on good faith beliefs, reasonable assumptions and our best judgment reflecting current information, certain factors could cause actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements, including but not limited to: the ongoing U.S. recession, the existing global credit and financial crisis and other changes in general economic, financial and real estate market conditions; risks that our acquisition and development projects will fail to perform as expected; financing risks, such as the inability to obtain debt or equity financing on favorable terms or at all; the level and volatility of interest rates; loss or bankruptcy of one or more of our major retail tenants; a failure of our properties to generate additional income to offset increases in operating expenses; and other factors discussed in Item 1A. “Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this report and in subsequent filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available in the future.

Item 1. BUSINESS

General

Agree Realty Corporation, a Maryland corporation, is a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT). The terms “Registrant”, “Company”, “we”, “our” or “us” refer to Agree Realty Corporation and/or its majority owned operating partnership, Agree Limited Partnership (Operating Partnership), and/or its majority owned and controlled subsidiaries, including its qualified taxable REIT subsidiary (TRS), as the context may require. Our assets are held by and all of our operations are conducted through, directly or indirectly, the Operating Partnership, of which we are the sole general partner and in which we held a 92.85% interest as of December 31, 2008. Under the partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership, we, as the sole general partner, have exclusive responsibility and discretion in the management and control of the Operating Partnership. Our headquarters are located at 31850 Northwestern Highway, Farmington Hills, MI 48334 and our telephone number is (248) 737-4190.

The Company is focused primarily on the ownership, development, acquisition and management of retail properties net leased to national tenants. We were formed in December 1993 to continue and expand the business founded in 1971 by our current President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, Richard Agree. We specialize in developing retail properties for national tenants who have executed long-term net leases prior to the commencement of construction. As of December 31, 2008, approximately 89% of our annualized base rent was derived from national tenants. All of our freestanding property tenants and the majority of our community shopping center tenants have triple-net leases, which require the tenant to be responsible for property operating expenses including property taxes, insurance and maintenance. We believe this strategy provides a generally consistent source of income and cash for distributions.

At December 31, 2008, our portfolio consisted of 68 properties, located in 16 states containing an aggregate of approximately 3.4 million square feet of gross leasable area (GLA). As of December 31, 2008, our portfolio included 56 freestanding net leased properties and 12 community shopping centers that were 99.2% leased with a weighted average lease term of approximately 10.6 years remaining. As of December 31, 2008, approximately 68% of our annualized base rent was derived from our top three tenants: Borders Group, Inc. (Borders) – 30%, Walgreen Co. (Walgreen) – 26% and Kmart Corporation (Kmart) - 12%. See Item 2. “Properties” for a summary of our developments

and acquisitions in 2008, as well as other information regarding our tenants, leases and properties as of December 31, 2008.

We expect to continue to grow our asset base primarily through the development of retail properties that are pre-leased on a long-term basis to national tenants. We focus on development because we believe, based on our historical returns we have been able to achieve, it generally provides us a higher return on investment than the acquisition of similarly located properties and does not entail the risk associated with speculative development. Since our initial public offering in 1994, we have developed 55 of our 68 properties, including 43 of our 56 freestanding properties and all 12 of our community shopping centers. As of December 31, 2008, the properties that we developed accounted for approximately 85% of our annualized base rent. We expect to continue to expand our tenant relationships and diversify our tenant base to include other quality national tenants.

Growth Strategy

Development. Our growth strategy is to develop retail properties pre-leased on a long-term basis to national tenants. We believe that this strategy produces superior risk adjusted returns. Our development process commences with the identification of a land parcel we believe is situated in an attractive retail location. The location must be in a concentrated retail corridor and have high traffic counts, good visibility and demographics compatible with the needs of a particular retail tenant. After assessing the feasibility of development, we propose to the tenants that we execute long-term net leases for the finished development on that site.

Upon the execution of the leases, we purchase the land and pursue all the necessary approvals to begin development. We direct all aspects of the development, including construction, design, leasing and management. Property management and the majority of the leasing activities are handled directly by our personnel. We believe that this approach enhances our ability to maximize the long-term value of our properties and results in an efficient use of our capital resources.

Acquisitions. We selectively acquire single tenant properties when we have determined that a potential acquisition meets our return on investment criteria and such acquisition will diversify our rental income.

Financing Strategy

The majority of our mortgage indebtedness is fixed rate, non-recourse and long-term in nature. Whenever feasible, we enter into long-term financing for our properties to match the underlying long-term leases. As of December 31, 2008, the average weighted maturity of our long-term debt was 8.5 years. We intend to limit our floating rate debt to borrowings under our credit facilities, which are primarily used to finance new development and acquisitions. Once development of a project is completed, we typically consider refinancing this floating rate debt with fixed rate, non-recourse debt. As of December 31, 2008, our total debt was approximately \$100.6 million, consisting of approximately \$43.0 million of fixed rate debt with a weighted average interest rate of 6.64%, and \$66.6 million of floating rate debt, consisting of a mortgage in the amount of \$24.6 million that bears interest at 150 basis points over LIBOR (2.695% as of December 31, 2008) and the credit facilities, at a weighted average interest rate of 2.82%. We intend to maintain a ratio of total indebtedness (including construction and acquisition financing) to market capitalization of 65% or less. At December 31, 2008, our ratio of indebtedness to market capitalization assuming the conversion of our operating partnership units, was approximately 65.4%. The increase in our ratio of indebtedness to market capitalization from 2007 to 2008 was primarily the result of a significant decline in the market price of our common stock.

We are evaluating our borrowing policies on an on-going basis in light of current economic conditions, relative costs of debt and equity capital, market value of properties, growth and acquisition opportunities and other factors. There is no contractual limit or any limit in our organizational documents on our ratio of total indebtedness to total market capitalization, and accordingly, we may modify our borrowing policy and may increase or decrease our ratio of debt to market capitalization without stockholder approval.

Property Management

We maintain a proactive leasing and capital improvement program that, combined with the quality and locations of our properties, has made our properties attractive to tenants. We intend to continue to hold our properties for long-term investment and, accordingly, place a strong emphasis on quality construction and an on-going program of regular maintenance. Our properties are designed and built to require minimal capital improvements other than renovations or expansions paid for by tenants. At our 12 community shopping centers properties, we sub-contract on-site functions such as maintenance, landscaping, snow removal and sweeping and the cost of these functions is generally reimbursed by our tenants. Personnel from our corporate headquarters conduct regular inspections of each property and maintain regular contact with major tenants.

2

We have a management information system designed to provide management with the operating data necessary to make informed business decisions on a timely basis. This computer system provides us rapid access to store availability, lease data, tenants' sales history, cash flow budgets and forecasts, and enables us to maximize cash flow from operations and closely monitor corporate expenses.

Major Tenants

As of December 31, 2008, approximately 68% of our gross leasable area was leased to Borders, Walgreen, and Kmart and approximately 68% of our total annualized base rents was attributable to these tenants. At December 31, 2008, Borders occupied approximately 28% of our gross leasable area and accounted for approximately 30% of the annualized base rent. At December 31, 2008, Walgreen occupied approximately 10% of our gross leasable area and accounted for approximately 26% of the annualized base rent. At December 31, 2008, Kmart occupied approximately 29% of our gross leasable area and accounted for approximately 12% of the annualized base rent. No other tenant accounted for more than 10% of gross leasable area or annualized base rent in 2008. The loss of any of these anchor tenants or a significant number of their stores, or the inability of any of them to pay rent, would have a material adverse effect on our business.

Tax Status

We have operated and intend to operate in a manner to qualify as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code. In order to maintain qualification as a REIT, we must, among other things, distribute at least 90% of our REIT income and meet certain other asset and income tests. Additionally, our charter limits ownership of the Company, directly or constructively, by any single person to 9.8% of the total number of outstanding shares, subject to certain exceptions. As a REIT, we are not subject to federal income tax with respect to that portion of our income that meets certain criteria and is distributed annually to the stockholders.

In October 2007, we established a taxable REIT subsidiary (the "TRS") pursuant to the provisions of the REIT Modernization Act. Our TRS is able to engage in activities resulting in income that previously would have been disqualified from being eligible REIT income under the federal income tax regulations. As a result, certain activities of the Company which occur within its TRS entity are subject to federal and state income taxes.

Competition

The United States commercial real estate investment market continues to be highly competitive. We actively compete with many other entities engaged in the development, acquisition and operation of commercial properties. As such, we compete for a limited supply of properties and financing for these properties. Investors include large institutional investors, insurance companies, credit companies, pension funds, private individuals, investment companies and other REITs, many of which have greater financial and other resources than we do. There can be no assurance that we will be able to compete successfully with such entities in our development, acquisition and leasing activities in the future.

Potential Environmental Risks

Investments in real property create a potential for environmental liability on the part of the owner or operator of such real property. If hazardous substances are discovered on or emanating from a property, the owner or operator of the property may be held strictly liable for all costs and liabilities relating to such hazardous substances. We have obtained a Phase I environmental study (which involves inspection without soil sampling or ground water analysis) conducted on each of our properties by independent environmental consultants. Furthermore, we have adopted a policy of conducting a Phase I environmental study on each property we acquire and if necessary conducting additional investigation as warranted.

We conducted Phase I environmental studies on the properties we developed in 2008. The results of these Phase I studies indicated that no further action was required on any of the properties, including no further soil sampling or ground water analysis. In addition, we have no knowledge of any hazardous substances existing on any of our properties in violation of any applicable laws; however, no assurance can be given that such substances are not located on any of the properties. We carry no insurance coverage for the types of environmental risks described above.

We believe that we are in compliance, in all material respects, with all federal, state and local ordinances and regulations regarding hazardous or toxic substances. Furthermore, we have not been notified by any governmental authority of any noncompliance, liability or other claim in connection with any of the properties.

Employees

As of February 27, 2009, we employed eleven persons. Employee responsibilities include accounting, construction, leasing, property coordination and administrative functions for the properties. Our employees are not covered by a collective bargaining agreement, and we consider our employee relations to be satisfactory.

Financial Information About Industry Segments

We are in the business of development, acquisition and management of freestanding net leased properties and community shopping centers. We consider our activities to consist of a single industry segment. See the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Available Information

Our headquarters are located at 31850 Northwestern Highway, Farmington Hills, MI 48334 and our telephone number is (248) 737-4190. Our web site address is www.agreerealty.com. Agree Realty Corporation's reports electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC can be accessed through this site, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file or furnish such reports. These filings are also available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

ITEM 1A.

RISK FACTORS

General

We rely significantly on three major tenants. As of December 31, 2008, we derived approximately 68% of our annualized base rent from three major tenants, Borders, Walgreen and Kmart. In the event of a default by any of these tenants under their leases, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as lessor and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment. In addition, a significant portion of our 2008 development projects were for Walgreen. The bankruptcy or insolvency of any of the major tenants would likely have a material adverse effect on the properties affected and the income produced by those properties and correspondingly our ability to make distributions.

We could be adversely affected by a tenant's bankruptcy. If a tenant becomes bankrupt or insolvent, that could diminish the income we receive from that tenant's leases. We may not be able to evict a tenant solely because of its bankruptcy. On the other hand, a bankruptcy court might authorize the tenant to terminate its leases with us. If that happens, our claim against the bankrupt tenant for unpaid future rent would be an unsecured prepetition claim subject to statutory limitations, and therefore such amounts received in bankruptcy are likely to be substantially less than the remaining rent we otherwise were owed under the leases. In addition, any claim we have for unpaid past rent could be substantially less than the amount owed. Circuit City, a tenant who occupies one location in our portfolio filed for bankruptcy protection in December 2008 and is in the process of liquidation.

Risks involved in single tenant leases. We focus our development activities on net leased real estate or interests therein. Because our properties are generally leased to single tenants, the financial failure of or other default by a tenant resulting in the termination of a lease is likely to cause a significant reduction in our operating cash flow and might decrease the value of the property leased to such tenant. We may also experience difficulty and/or a significant delay in re-leasing such property.

Risks associated with borrowing, including loss of properties in the event of a foreclosure. At December 31, 2008, our ratio of indebtedness to market capitalization (assuming conversion of Operating Partnership units) was approximately 65.4%. This ratio increased from 32.5% in 2007 as a result of a significant decline in the market value of our common stock. The use of leverage presents an additional element of risk in the event that (1) the cash flow from lease payments on our properties is insufficient to meet debt obligations, (2) we are unable to refinance our debt obligations as necessary or on as favorable terms or (3) there is an increase in interest rates. If a property is mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness and we are unable to meet mortgage payments, the property could be foreclosed upon with a consequent loss of income and asset value to us. Under the "cross-default" provisions contained in mortgages encumbering some of our properties, our default under a mortgage with a lender would result in our default under mortgages held by the same lender on other properties resulting in multiple foreclosures.

We intend to maintain a ratio of total indebtedness (including construction or acquisition financing) to market capitalization of 65% or less. Nevertheless, we may operate with debt levels which are in excess of 65% of market capitalization for extended periods of time. Our organization documents contain no limitation on the amount or percentage of indebtedness which we may incur. Therefore, our board of directors, without a vote of the stockholders, could alter the general policy on borrowings at any time. If our debt capitalization policy were changed, we could become more highly leveraged, resulting in an increase in debt service that could adversely affect our operating cash flow and our ability to make expected distributions to stockholders, and could result in an increased risk of default on our obligations.

Risks associated with our development and acquisition activities. We intend to continue development of new properties and to consider possible acquisitions of existing properties. We anticipate that our new developments will be financed under lines of credit or other forms of construction financing that will result in a risk that permanent financing on newly developed projects might not be available or would be available only on disadvantageous terms. In addition, new project development is subject to a number of risks, including risks of construction delays or cost overruns that may increase project costs, risks that the properties will not achieve anticipated occupancy levels or sustain anticipated rent levels, and new project commencement risks such as receipt of zoning, occupancy and other required governmental permits and authorizations and the incurrence of development costs in connection with projects that are not pursued to completion. If permanent debt or equity financing are not available on acceptable terms to refinance new development or acquisitions undertaken without permanent financing, further development activities or acquisitions might be curtailed or cash available for distribution might be adversely affected. Acquisitions entail risks that investments will fail to perform in accordance with expectations and that judgments with respect to the costs of improvements to bring an acquired property up to standards established for the market position intended for that property will prove inaccurate, as well as general investment risks associated with any new real estate investment.

The recent global economic and financial market crisis has had and may continue to have a negative effect on our business and operations. The recent global economic and financial market crisis has caused, among other things, a general tightening in the credit markets, lower levels of liquidity, increases in the rates of default and bankruptcy, lower consumer and business spending, and lower consumer confidence and net worth, all of which has had and may continue to have a negative effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. Many of our tenants have been affected by the current economic turmoil. Current or potential tenants may delay or postpone entering into long-term net leases with us which could continue to lead to reduced demand for commercial real estate. We are also limited in our ability to reduce costs to offset the results of a prolonged or severe economic downturn given certain fixed costs and commitments associated with our operations.

The timing and nature of any recovery in the credit and financial markets remains uncertain, and there can be no assurance that market conditions will improve in the near future or that our results will not continue to be materially and adversely affected. Such conditions make it very difficult to forecast operating results, make business decisions and identify and address material business risks. The foregoing conditions may also impact the valuation of certain long-lived or intangible assets that are subject to impairment testing, potentially resulting in impairment charges which may be material to our financial condition or results of operations.

Capital markets are currently experiencing a period of dislocation and instability, which has had and could continue to have a negative impact on the availability and cost of capital. The general disruption in the U.S. capital markets has impacted the broader worldwide financial and credit markets and reduced the availability of debt and equity capital for the market as a whole. These conditions could persist for a prolonged period of time or worsen in the future. Our ability to access the capital markets may be restricted at a time when we would like, or need, to access those markets, which could have an impact on our flexibility to react to changing economic and business conditions. The resulting lack of available credit, lack of confidence in the financial sector, increased volatility in the financial markets and reduced business activity could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and our ability to obtain and manage our liquidity. In addition, the cost of debt financing and the proceeds of equity financing may be materially adversely impacted by these market conditions.

Credit market developments may reduce availability under our credit agreements. Due to the current volatile state of the credit markets, there is risk that lenders, even those with strong balance sheets and sound lending practices, could fail or refuse to honor their legal commitments and obligations under existing credit commitments, including but not limited to: extending credit up to the maximum permitted by a credit facility, allowing access to additional credit features and/or honoring loan commitments. If our lender(s) fail to honor their legal commitments under our credit facilities, it could be difficult in the current environment to replace our credit facilities on similar terms. Although we believe that our operating cash flow and existing credit facilities will give us the ability to satisfy our liquidity needs for at least the next 12 months, the failure of any of the lenders under our credit facility may impact our ability to finance our operating or investing activities.

Our portfolio has limited geographic diversification. Our properties are located primarily in the Midwestern United States and in particular, Michigan (with 39 properties). The concentration of our properties in a limited number of geographic regions creates the risk that, should these regions experience an economic difficulties relative to other regions, our operations may be adversely affected in comparison to other real estate companies with properties in such other regions.

Certain of our tenants at our community shopping centers have the right to terminate their leases if other tenants cease to occupy a property. In the event that certain tenants cease to occupy a property, although under most circumstances such a tenant would remain liable for its lease payments, such an action may result in certain other tenants at our community shopping centers having the right to terminate their leases at the affected property, which could adversely affect the future income from that property. As of December 31, 2008, each of our 12 community shopping centers had tenants with those provisions in their leases.

Dependence on key personnel. We are dependent on the efforts of our executive officers. The loss of one or more of our executive officers would likely have a material adverse effect on our future development or acquisition operations, which could adversely affect the market price of our common stock. We do not presently have key-man life insurance for any of our employees.

We can change our investment and financing policies without stockholder approval. Our investment and financing policies, and our policies with respect to certain other activities, including our growth, debt capitalization, distributions, REIT status and investment and operating policies, are determined by our board of directors. Although we have no present intention to do so, these policies may be amended or revised from time to time at the discretion of our board of directors without a vote of our stockholders.

Competition. We face competition in seeking properties for acquisition and tenants who will lease space in these properties from insurance companies, credit companies, pension funds, private individuals, investment companies and other REITs, many of which have greater financial and other resources than we do. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to successfully compete with such entities in its development, acquisition and leasing activities in the future.

Risks Associated With Investment in Real Estate

There are risks associated with owning and leasing real estate. Although our lease terms obligate the tenants to bear substantially all of the costs of operating our properties, investing in real estate involves a number of risks, including:

- The risk that tenants will not perform under their leases, reducing our income from the leases or requiring us to assume the cost of performing obligations (such as taxes, insurance and maintenance) that are the tenant's responsibility under the lease.
- The risk that changes in economic conditions or real estate markets may adversely affect the value of our properties.
- The risk that local conditions (such as oversupply of similar properties) could adversely affect the value of our properties.
 - The risk that we may not always be able to lease properties at favorable rental rates.
 - The risk that we may not always be able to sell a property when we desire to do so at a favorable price.
 - The risk of changes in tax, zoning or other laws could make properties less attractive or less profitable.

The current global economic and financial market crisis has exacerbated many of the foregoing risks. If a tenant fails to perform on its lease covenants, that would not excuse us from meeting any mortgage debt obligation secured by the property and could require us to fund reserves in favor of our mortgage lenders, thereby reducing funds available for payment of cash dividends on our shares of common stock.

Uncertainties relating to lease renewals and re-letting of space. We are subject to the risks that, upon expiration of leases for space located in our properties, the premises may not be re-let or the terms of re-letting (including the cost of concessions to tenants) may be less favorable than current lease terms. If a tenant does not renew its lease or if a tenant defaults on its lease obligations, there is no assurance we could obtain a substitute tenant on acceptable terms. If we cannot obtain another tenant with comparable structural needs, we may be required to modify the property for a different use, which may involve a significant capital expenditure and a delay in re-leasing the property. Further, if we are unable to re-let promptly all or a substantial portion of our retail space or if the rental rates upon such re-letting were significantly lower than expected rates, our net income and ability to make expected distributions to stockholders would be adversely affected. There can be no assurance that we will be able to retain tenants in any of our properties upon the expiration of their leases.

Some potential losses are not covered by insurance. Our leases require the tenants to carry comprehensive liability, casualty, workers' compensation, extended coverage and rental loss insurance on our properties. However, there are some types of losses, such as environmental liabilities, terrorist acts or catastrophic acts of nature, for which we or our tenants cannot obtain insurance at an acceptable cost. If there is an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insurance limits, we could lose both the revenues generated by the affected property and the capital we have invested in the property. We believe the required coverage is of the type, and amount, customarily obtained by an owner of similar properties. We believe all of our properties are adequately insured. In the event of a substantial unreimbursed loss, we would, nevertheless, remain obligated to repay any mortgage indebtedness or other obligations related to the property.

Potential liability for environmental contamination could result in substantial costs. Under federal, state and local environmental laws, we may be required to investigate and clean up any release of hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum products at our properties, regardless of our knowledge or actual responsibility, simply because of our current or past ownership or operation of the real estate. If unidentified environmental problems arise, we may have

to make substantial payments, which could adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. This potential liability results from the following:

7

- As owner we may have to pay for property damage and for investigation and clean-up costs incurred in connection with the contamination.
- The law may impose clean-up responsibility and liability regardless of whether the owner or operator knew of or caused the contamination.
- Even if more than one person is responsible for the contamination, each person who shares legal liability under environmental laws may be held responsible for all of the clean-up costs.
- Governmental entities and third parties may sue the owner or operator of a contaminated site for damages and costs.

These costs could be substantial and in extreme cases could exceed the value of the contaminated property. The presence of hazardous substances or petroleum products or the failure to properly remediate contamination may adversely affect our ability to borrow against, sell or lease an affected property. In addition, some environmental laws create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs in connection with a contamination.

Our leases require our tenants to operate the properties in compliance with environmental laws and to indemnify us against environmental liability arising from the operation of the properties. However, we could be subject to strict liability under environmental laws because we own the properties. There is also a risk that tenants may not satisfy their environmental compliance and indemnification obligations under the leases. Any of these events could substantially increase our cost of operations, require us to fund environmental indemnities in favor of our secured lenders and reduce our ability to service our secured debt and pay dividends to stockholders and any debt security interest payments. Environmental problems at any properties could also put us in default under loans secured by those properties, as well as loans secured by unaffected properties.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid. We may desire to sell a property in the future because of changes in market conditions or poor tenant performance or to avail ourselves of other opportunities. We may also be required to sell a property in the future to meet secured debt obligations or to avoid a secured debt loan default. Real estate projects cannot always be sold quickly, and we cannot assure you that we could always obtain a favorable price, especially in light of the current global economic and financial market crisis. We may be required to invest in the restoration or modification of a property before we can sell it.

Tax Risks

We will be subject to increased taxation if we fail to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. Although we believe that we are organized and operate in such a manner so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), no assurance can be given that we will remain so qualified. Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Code provisions for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations. The complexity of these provisions and applicable Treasury Regulations is also increased in the context of a REIT that holds its assets in partnership form. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. A REIT generally is not taxed at the corporate level on income it distributes to its stockholders, as long as it distributes annually at least 100% of its taxable income to its stockholders. We have not requested and do not plan to request a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service that we qualify as a REIT.

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will face tax consequences that will substantially reduce the funds available for payment of cash dividends:

-

We would not be allowed a deduction for dividends paid to stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates.

- We could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes.
- Unless we are entitled to relief under statutory provisions, we could not elect to be treated as a REIT for four taxable years following the year in which we were disqualified.

In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will no longer be required to pay dividends (other than any mandatory dividends on any preferred shares we may offer). As a result of these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT could adversely effect the market price for our common stock.

Excessive non-real estate asset values may jeopardize our REIT status. In order to qualify as a REIT, at least 75% of the value of our assets must consist of investments in real estate, investments in other REITs, cash and cash equivalents, and government securities. Therefore, the value of any property that is not considered a real estate asset for federal income tax purposes must represent in the aggregate less than 25% of our total assets. In addition, under federal income tax law, we may not own securities in any one company (other than a REIT, a qualified REIT subsidiary or a taxable REIT subsidiary) which represent in excess of 10% of the voting securities or 10% of the value of all securities of any one company, or which have, in the aggregate, a value in excess of 5% of our total assets, and we may not own securities of one or more taxable REIT subsidiaries which have, in the aggregate, a value in excess of 20% of our total assets. We may invest in securities of another REIT, and our investment may represent in excess of 10% of the voting securities or 10% of the value of the securities of the other REIT. If the other REIT were to lose its REIT status during a taxable year in which our investment represented in excess of 10% of the voting securities or 10% of the value of the securities of the other REIT as of the close of a calendar quarter, we will lose our REIT status.

The 25%, 20%, 10% and 5% tests are determined at the end of each calendar quarter. Subject to certain mitigation provisions, if we fail to meet any such test at the end of any calendar quarter, we will cease to qualify as a REIT.

We may have to borrow funds or sell assets to meet our distribution requirements. Subject to some adjustments that are unique to REITs, a REIT generally must distribute 90% of its taxable income. For the purpose of determining taxable income, we may be required to accrue interest, rent and other items treated as earned for tax purposes but that we have not yet received. In addition, we may be required not to accrue as expenses for tax purposes some items which actually have been paid, including, for example, payments of principal on our debt, or some of our deductions might be disallowed by the Internal Revenue Service. As a result, we could have taxable income in excess of cash available for distribution. If this occurs, we may have to borrow funds or liquidate some of our assets in order to meet the distribution requirement applicable to a REIT.

We may be subject to other tax liabilities. Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may be subject to some federal, state and local taxes on our income and property that could reduce operating cash flow.

Changes in tax laws may prevent us from qualifying as a REIT. As we have previously described, we intend to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. However, this intended qualification is based on the tax laws that are currently in effect. We are unable to predict any future changes in the tax laws that would adversely affect our status as a REIT. If there is a change in the tax laws that prevents us from qualifying as a REIT or that requires REITs generally to pay corporate level income taxes, we may not be able to make the same level of distributions to our stockholders.

ITEM 1B.

UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

ITEM 2.

PROPERTIES

Our properties consist of 56 freestanding net leased properties and 12 community shopping centers, that as of December 31, 2008 were 99.2% leased, with a weighted average lease term of 10.6 years. Approximately 89% of our annualized base rent was attributable to national retailers. Among these retailers are Borders, Walgreen and Kmart which, at December 31, 2008, collectively represented approximately 68% of our annualized base rent. A majority of our properties were built for or are leased to national tenants who require a high quality location with strong retail characteristics. We developed 43 of our 56 freestanding properties and all 12 of our community shopping centers. Five of our freestanding properties were acquired as part of our relationship with Borders. Properties we have developed (including our community shopping centers) account for approximately 85% of our annualized base rent as of December 31, 2008. Our 56 freestanding properties are comprised of 55 retail locations and Borders' corporate headquarters. See Notes 3 and 4 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for information regarding mortgage debt and other debt related to our properties.

A substantial portion of our income consists of rent received under net leases. Most of the leases provide for the payment of fixed base rentals monthly in advance and for the payment by tenants of a pro rata share of the real estate taxes, insurance, utilities and common area maintenance of the shopping center as well as payment to us of a percentage of the tenant's sales. We received percentage rents of \$15,396, \$37,111 and \$53,550 for the fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and these amounts represented 0.1%, 0.1% and 0.2%, respectively, of our total revenue for these periods. Included in those amounts were percentage rents from Kmart of \$-0-, \$10,221 and \$13,695 for fiscal years 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Leases with Borders do not contain percentage rent provisions. Leases with Walgreen do contain percentage rent provisions; however, no percentage rent was received from Walgreen during these periods. Some of our leases require us to make roof and structural repairs, as needed.

Development and Acquisition Summary

During 2008, we completed the following developments and redevelopments:

Tenant(s)	Location	Cost
Walgreen (drug store)/Chase (retail bank)	Macomb Township, Michigan	\$6.1 million
Walgreen (drug store)	Ypsilanti, Michigan	\$4.9 million
Walgreen (drug store)	Shelby Township, Michigan	\$2.6 million
Walgreen (drug store)	Marion County, Florida	\$3.1 million
MC Sporting Goods and Peebles (redevelopment)	Big Rapids, Michigan	\$1.8 million

During 2008, we commenced the following developments:

Tenant(s)	Location	Budgeted Cost	Anticipated Completion
Walgreen (drug store)	Marion County, Florida	\$4.8 million	First quarter 2009
Walgreen (drug store)	Brighton, Michigan	\$4.1 million	First quarter 2009
Walgreen (drug store)	Brevard County, Florida	\$4.8 million	Second quarter 2009
Walgreen (drug store)	Lowell, Michigan	\$3.6 million	Third quarter 2009

We did not complete or commence any acquisitions in 2008. We did not sell any properties in 2008.

Major Tenants

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our major tenants:

	Number of Leases	Annualized Base Rent as of December 31, 2008	Percent of Total Annualized Base Rent as of December 31, 2008
Borders	18	\$ 9,957,608	30%
Walgreen	24	8,774,599	26
Kmart	12	3,847,911	12
Total	54	\$ 22,580,118	68%

10

Borders Group, Inc. trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol “BGP”. Borders is the second largest operator of book, music and movie superstores and the largest operator of mall-based bookstores in the world based upon both sales and number of stores. At February 2, 2008, the Company operated 541 superstores under the Borders name, including 509 in the United States. The Company also operated 490 mall-based and other bookstores, including stores operated under the Waldenbooks, Borders Express and Borders Outlet names as well as Borders-branded airport stores. Borders employed approximately 29,500 people worldwide as of such date. Borders has reported that its annual revenues for its 2007 fiscal year ended February 2, 2008 were approximately \$3.8 billion, its annual net (loss) for 2007 was approximately (\$157 million) and its total stockholders’ equity at fiscal year end 2007 was approximately of \$477 million.

Walgreen is a leader of the U.S. chain drugstore industry and trades on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol “WAG”. Walgreen operated 6,934 locations in 49 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and Guam and had total assets of approximately \$22.4 billion as of August 31, 2008. As of January 21, 2009, Walgreen’s long-term debt had a Standard and Poor’s rating of A+ and a Moody’s rating of A2. For its fiscal year ended August 31, 2008, Walgreen reported that its annual net sales were \$59.0 billion, its annual net income was \$2.2 billion and it had shareholders’ equity of \$12.9 billion.

Kmart is a mass merchandising company that offers customers quality products through a portfolio of brands and labels. As of November 1, 2008, Kmart operated approximately 1,400 stores across 49 states, Guam, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Kmart is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sears Holdings Corporation (Sears), which trades on the Nasdaq stock market under the symbol “SHLD”. Sears is a broadline retailer with approximately 2,300 full-line and 1,200 specialty retail stores in the United States operating through Kmart and Sears and approximately 380 full-line and specialty retail stores in Canada operating through Sears Canada, Inc. (Sears Canada), a 72%-owned subsidiary. As of November 1, 2008, Sears had total assets of \$28.0 billion, total liabilities of \$18.1 billion and shareholders equity of \$9.9 billion. All of our Kmart properties are in the traditional Kmart format and these Kmart properties average 85,000 square feet per property.

The financial information set forth above with respect to Borders, Walgreen and Kmart was derived from the annual reports on Form 10-K filed by Borders and Walgreen with the SEC with respect to their 2007 fiscal years and the quarterly report on form 10-Q filed by Sears Holdings Corporation with the SEC with respect to the third quarter of 2008. Additional information regarding Borders, Walgreen or Kmart may be found in their respective public filings. These filings can be accessed at www.sec.gov. We are unable to confirm, and make no representations with respect to, the accuracy of these reports and therefore you should not place undue reliance on such information as it pertains to the Company’s operations.

Location of Properties in the Portfolio

State	Number of Properties	Total Gross Leasable Area (Sq. feet)	Percent of GLA Leased on December 31, 2008
California	1	38,015	100%
Florida	5	273,613	100
Georgia	1	14,820	100
Illinois	1	20,000	100
Indiana	2	15,844	100
Kansas	2	45,000	100
Kentucky	1	116,212	100
Maryland	2	53,000	100
Michigan	39	2,097,984	99

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Nebraska	2	55,000	100
New Jersey	1	10,118	100
New York	2	27,626	100

11

State	Number of Properties	Total Gross Leasable Area (Sq. feet)	Percent of GLA Leased on December 31, 2008
Ohio	1	21,000	100
Oklahoma	4	99,282	100
Pennsylvania	1	28,604	100
Wisconsin	3	523,036	99
Total/Average	68	3,439,154	99%

Lease Expirations

The following table shows lease expirations for our community shopping centers and wholly-owned freestanding properties, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal options.

Expiration Year	December 31, 2008					
	Number of Leases Expiring	Gross Leasable Area		Annualized Base Rent		
		Square Footage	Percent Of Total	Amount	Percent Of Total	
2009	14	203,685	6.0%	\$ 908,058	2.7%	
2010	22	312,757	9.2%	1,869,226	5.6%	
2011	28	235,834	6.9%	1,739,358	5.3%	
2012	15	78,660	2.3%	626,599	1.9%	
2013	19	316,613	9.3%	1,726,197	5.2%	
2014	5	179,358	5.3%	873,006	2.6%	
2015	12	653,042	19.1%	4,681,462	14.1%	
2016	5	80,945	2.4%	1,664,513	5.0%	
2017	3	22,844	0.7%	312,807	0.9%	
2018	13	240,032	7.0%	4,371,681	13.2%	
Thereafter	39	1,088,011	31.8%	14,351,860	43.5%	
Total	175	3,411,781	100.0%	\$ 33,124,767	100.0%	

We have made preliminary contact with the 14 tenants whose leases expire in 2009. Of those tenants, seven tenants, at their option, have the right to extend their lease term and seven tenants have leases expiring in 2009. We expect two tenants, including our only Circuit City store, to terminate their leases in 2009 and 12 tenants to extend their leases or enter into lease extensions.

Annualized Base Rent of our Properties

The following is a breakdown of base rents in place at December 31, 2008 for each type of retail tenant:

Type of Tenant	Annualized Base Rent	Percent of Annualized Base Rent
National(1)	\$ 29,358,646	89%
Regional(2)	2,640,792	8
Local	1,125,329	3
Total	\$ 33,124,767	100%

(1)Includes the following national tenants: Borders, Walgreen, Kmart, Wal-Mart, Eckerd Drugs, Fashion Bug, Rite Aid, JC Penney, Avco Financial, GNC Group, Radio Shack, Super Value, Maurices, Payless Shoes, Blockbuster Video, Family Dollar, H&R Block, Sally Beauty, Jo Ann Fabrics, Staples, Best Buy, Dollar Tree, TGI Friday's, Circuit City and Pier 1 Imports.

(2)Includes the following regional tenants: Roundy's Foods, Dunham's Sports, Christopher Banks and Beall's Department Stores.

Freestanding Properties

56 of our properties are freestanding properties which at December 31, 2008 were leased to Walgreen (23), Borders (18), Rite Aid (5), Kmart (2), Eckerd Drugs (2), Circuit City Stores (1), Fajita Factory (1), Citizens Bank (1), Lake Lansing RA Associates, LLC (1), Meijer (1) and Wal-Mart (Sam's Club) (1). Our freestanding properties provided \$22,839,459, or approximately 68.9%, of our annualized base rent as of December 31, 2008, at an average base rent per square foot of \$14.50. These properties contain, in the aggregate, 1,576,498 square feet of gross leasable area or approximately 46.0% of our total gross leasable area as of December 31, 2008. Our freestanding properties tend to have high traffic counts, are generally located in densely populated areas and are leased to a single tenant on a long term basis. Forty-three (43) of our 56 freestanding properties were developed by us. Five of our 56 freestanding properties, although not developed by us, were acquired as part of our relationship with Borders. As of December 31, 2008, our freestanding properties have a weighted average lease term of 13.1 years.

Our freestanding properties range in size from 4,426 to 458,729 square feet of gross leasable area and are located in the following states: California (1), Florida (4), Georgia (1), Indiana (2), Kansas (2), Maryland (2), Michigan (33), Nebraska (2), New Jersey (1), New York (2), Ohio (1), Oklahoma (4) and Pennsylvania (1).

Freestanding Properties

Tenant/Location	Year Completed/ Expanded	Total GLA	Lease Expiration(2) (Option expiration)
Borders, Aventura, FL (1)	1996	30,000	Jan 31, 2016 (2036)
Borders, Columbus, OH	1996	21,000	Jan 23, 2016 (2036)
Borders, Monroeville, PA	1996	37,004	Nov 8, 2016 (2036)
Borders, Norman, OK	1996	24,641	Sep 20, 2016 (2036)
Borders, Omaha, NE	1995	30,000	Nov 3, 2015 (2035)
Borders, Santa Barbara, CA	1995	38,015	Nov 17, 2015 (2035)
Borders, Wichita, KS	1995	25,000	Nov 10, 2015 (2035)
Borders, Lawrence, KS	1997	20,000	Oct 16, 2022 (2042)

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Borders, Tulsa, OK	1998	25,000	Sep 30, 2018 (2038)
Borders, Oklahoma City, OK	2002	24,641	Nov 17, 2017 (2037)
Borders, Omaha, NE	2002	25,000	Nov 17, 2017 (2037)
Borders, Indianapolis, IN	2002	15,844	Nov 17, 2017 (2037)

13

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Tenant/Location	Year Completed/ Expanded	Total GLA	Lease Expiration(2) (Option expiration)
Borders, Columbia, MD	1999	28,000	Oct 16, 2022 (2042)
Borders, Germantown, MD	2000	25,000	Oct 16, 2022 (2042)
Borders Headquarters, Ann Arbor, MI	1996/1998	458,729	Jan 29, 2023 (2043)
Borders, Tulsa, OK	1996	25,000	Sep 30, 2018 (2038)
Borders, Boynton Beach, FL	1996	25,000	July 20, 2024 (2044)
Borders, Ann Arbor, MI	1996	110,000	July 20, 2024 (2044)
Circuit City, Boynton Beach, FL	1996	32,459	Apr 30, 2009
Citizens Bank, Flint, MI	2003	4,426	Apr 15, 2023
Eckerd Drugs, Webster, NY	2004	13,813	Feb 24, 2024 (2044)
Eckerd Drugs, Albion, NY	2004	13,813	Oct 12, 2024 (2044)
Fajita Factory, Lansing, MI	2004	Note	(3) Aug 31, 2014 (2032)
Lake Lansing RA Associates, LLC, East Lansing, MI	2004	Note	(4) Dec 31, 2028 (2078)
Kmart, Grayling, MI	1984	52,320	Sep 30, 2009 (2059)
Kmart, Oscoda, MI	1984/1990	90,470	Sep 30, 2009 (2059)
Meijer, Plainfield, IN	2007	Note	(5) Nov 5, 2027 (2047)
Rite Aid, Canton Twp, MI	2003	11,180	Oct 31, 2019 (2049)
Rite Aid, Roseville, MI	2005	11,060	June 30, 2025 (2050)
Rite Aid, Mt Pleasant, MI	2005	11,095	Nov 30, 2025 (2065)
Rite Aid, N Cape May, NJ	2005	10,118	Nov 30, 2025 (2065)
Rite Aid, Summit Twp, MI	2006	11,060	Oct 31, 2019 (2039)
Sam's Club, Roseville, MI	2002	Note	(6) Aug 4, 2022 (2082)
Walgreen, Waterford, MI	1997	13,905	Feb 28, 2018 (2058)
Walgreen, Chesterfield, MI	1998	13,686	July 31, 2018 (2058)
Walgreen, Pontiac, MI	1998	13,905	Oct 31, 2018 (2058)
Walgreen, Grand Blanc, MI	1998	13,905	Feb 28, 2019 (2059)
Walgreen, Rochester, MI	1998	13,905	June 30, 2019 (2059)
Walgreen, Ypsilanti, MI	1999	15,120	Dec 31, 2019 (2059)
Walgreen, Petoskey, MI (1)	2000	13,905	Apr 30, 2020 (2060)
Walgreen, Flint, MI	2000	14,490	Dec 31, 2020 (2060)
Walgreen, Flint, MI	2001	15,120	Feb 28, 2021 (2061)
Walgreen, N Baltimore, MI	2001	14,490	Aug 31, 2021 (2061)
Walgreen, Flint, MI	2002	14,490	Apr 30, 2027 (2077)
Walgreen, Big Rapids, MI	2003	13,560	Apr 30, 2028 (2078)
Walgreen, Flint, MI	2004	14,560	Feb 28, 2029 (2079)
Walgreen, Flint, MI	2004	13,650	Oct 31, 2029 (2079)
Walgreen, Midland, MI	2005	14,820	July 31, 2030 (2080)
Walgreen, Grand Rapids, MI	2005	14,820	Aug 30, 2030 (2080)
Walgreen, Delta Township, MI	2005	14,559	Nov 30, 2030 (2080)
Walgreen and Retail space Livonia, MI	2007	19,390	June 30, 2032 (2082)
Walgreen, Barnesville, GA	2007	14,820	Nov 30, 2032 (2082)
Walgreen and Chase Bank, Macomb Township, MI	2008	14,820	Mar 31, 2033 (2083)
Walgreen, Ypsilanti, MI	2008	13,650	Mar 31, 2032 (2082)

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Tenant/Location	Year Completed/ Expanded	Total GLA	Lease Expiration(2) (Option expiration)
Walgreen, Marion County, FL	2008	14,820	Apr 30, 2032 (2082)
Walgreen, Shelby Township, MI (1)	2008	14,820	Jul 31, 2033 (2083)
Total		1,584,898	

(1) These properties are subject to long-term ground leases where a third party owns the underlying land and has leased the land to us to construct or operate freestanding properties. We pay rent for the use of the land and we are generally responsible for all costs and expenses associated with the building and improvements. At the end of the lease terms, as extended (Aventura, FL 2036, Petoskey, MI 2074 and Shelby Township, MI 2084), the land together with all improvements revert to the land owner. We have an option to purchase the Petoskey property after August 7, 2019 and the Shelby property after July 5, 2018.

(2) At the expiration of tenant's initial lease term, each tenant (except Citizens Bank) has an option, subject to certain requirements, to extend its lease for an additional period of time.

(3) This 2.03 acre property is leased from us by Fajita Factory, LLC pursuant to a ground lease. The tenant occupies a 5,448 square foot building.

(4) This 11.3 acre property is leased from us by Lake Lansing RA Associates, LLC pursuant to a ground lease. The land owner has constructed a 14,564 square foot building.

(5) This 32.5 acre property is leased from us by Meijer pursuant to a ground lease. Meijer expects to construct an estimated 210,000 square foot super center.

(6) This 12.68 acre property is leased from us by Wal-Mart pursuant to a ground lease. Wal-Mart has constructed a Sam's Club retail building containing approximately 132,332 square feet.

Community Shopping Centers

12 of our properties are community shopping centers ranging in size from 20,000 to 241,458 square feet of gross leaseable area. The community shopping centers are located in five states as follows: Florida (1), Illinois (1), Kentucky (1), Michigan (6) and Wisconsin (3). Our community shopping centers tend to be located in high traffic, market dominant centers in which customers of our tenants purchase day-to-day necessities. Our community shopping centers are anchored by national tenants.

The location, general character and primary occupancy information with respect to the community shopping centers as of December 31, 2008 are set forth below:

Property Location	Year Completed/ Expanded	Gross Leasable Area Sq. Ft.	Annualized Base Rent (2)	Average Base Rent per Sq. Ft.(3)	Percent Occupied at December 31, 2008	Percent Leased at December 31, 2008 (4)	Anchor Tenants (Lease expiration/Option period expiration) (5)
Capital Plaza,(1) Frankfort, KY	1978/ 2006	116,212	\$ 563,000	\$ 4.84	100%	100%	Kmart(2013/2053) Walgreen (2031/2052)

						Fashion Bug (2010/2025)
Charlevoix Commons Charlevoix, MI	1991	137,375	686,495	5.00	100%	100% Kmart (2015/2065) Roundy's (2011/2031)
Chippewa Commons Chippewa Falls, WI	1991	168,311	962,756	5.72	100%	100% Kmart (2014/2064) Roundy's (2011/2031) Fashion Bug (2011/2021)
Ironwood Commons Ironwood, MI	1991	185,535	940,418	5.07	100%	100% Kmart (2015/2065) Super Value (2011/2036) Fashion Bug (2012/2022)

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Property Location	Year Completed/Expanded	Gross Leasable Area Sq. Ft.	Annualized Base Rent (2)	Average Base Rent per Sq. Ft.(3)	Percent Occupied at December 31, 2008	Percent Leased at December 31, 2008 (4)	Anchor Tenants (Lease expiration/Option period expiration) (5)
Marshall Plaza Marshall, MI	1990	119,279	670,959	5.72	98%	98%	Kmart (2015/2065)
Mt. Pleasant Shopping Center Mt. Pleasant, MI	1973/ 1997	241,458	1,072,582	4.54	98%	98%	Kmart (2008/2048) J.C. Penney Co. (2010/2020) Staples, Inc. (2010/2025) Fashion Bug (2010/2025)
North Lakeland Plaza Lakeland, FL	1987	171,334	1,297,243	7.65	99%	99%	Best Buy (2013/2028) Beall's (2015/2025)
Petoskey Town Center Petoskey, MI	1990	174,870	1,093,873	6.26	100%	100%	Kmart (2015/2065) Roundy's (2010/2030) Fashion Bug (2012/2022)
Plymouth Commons Plymouth, WI	1990	162,031	856,369	5.51	96%	96%	Kmart (2015/2065) Roundy's (2010/2030) Fashion Bug (2010/2020)
Rapids Associates Big Rapids, MI	1990	173,557	982,411	5.97	95%	95%	Kmart (2015/2065) MC Sports (2018/2033) Fashion Bug (2011/2021)
Shawano Plaza Shawano, WI	1990	192,694	1,013,202	5.26	100%	100%	Kmart (2014/2064) Roundy's (2010/2030) J.C. Penney Co. (2010/2025) Fashion Bug (2010/2021)
West Frankfort Plaza	1982	20,000	146,000	7.30	100%	100%	Fashion Bug (2012)

West Frankfort,
IL

Total/Average	1,862,656	\$ 10,285,308	\$ 5.60	99%	99%
---------------	-----------	---------------	---------	-----	-----

-
- (1) All community shopping centers except Capital Plaza (which is subject to a long-term ground lease expiring in 2053 from a third party) are wholly-owned by us.
- (2) Total annualized base rents of the Company as of December 31, 2008.
- (3) Calculated as total annualized base rents, divided by gross leaseable area actually leased as of December 31, 2008.
- (4) Roundy's has sub-leased the space it leases at Charlevoix Commons (35,896 square feet, rented at a rate of \$5.97 per square foot). The Charlevoix lease expires in 2011 (assuming it is not extended by Roundy's).
- (5) The option to extend the lease beyond its initial term is only at the option of the tenant.

ITEM 3.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not presently involved in any litigation nor, to our knowledge, is any other litigation threatened against us, except for routine litigation arising in the ordinary course of business which is expected to be covered by our liability insurance.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matter was submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2008.

Part II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "ADC". The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices of our common stock, as reported on the New York Stock Exchange, and the dividends declared per share of Common Stock by us for each calendar quarter in the last two fiscal years. Dividends were paid in the periods immediately subsequent to the periods in which such dividends were declared.

Quarter Ended	High	Low	Dividends Declared Per Common Share
March 31, 2008	\$ 31.02	\$ 26.74	\$ 0.50
June 30, 2008	\$ 29.14	\$ 21.48	\$ 0.50
September 30, 2008	\$ 29.25	\$ 23.05	\$ 0.50
December 31, 2008	\$ 27.49	\$ 9.48	\$ 0.50
March 31, 2007	\$ 36.00	\$ 32.30	\$ 0.49
June 30, 2007	\$ 35.04	\$ 30.12	\$ 0.49
September 30, 2007	\$ 33.95	\$ 27.29	\$ 0.49
December 31, 2007	\$ 34.00	\$ 28.32	\$ 0.50

At February 27, 2009, there were 7,931,030 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding which were held by approximately 200 stockholders of record. The number of stockholders of record does not reflect persons or entities who held their shares in nominee or "street" name. In addition, at December 31, 2008 there were 605,413 Operating Partnership units outstanding held by limited partners other than the Company. The units are exchangeable into common stock on a one for one basis. We intend to continue to declare quarterly dividends to our stockholders. However, our distributions are determined by our board of directors and will depend on a number of factors, including the amount of our funds from operations, the financial and other condition of our properties, our capital requirements, restrictions in our debt instruments, our annual distribution requirements under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to REITs and such other factors as our board of directors deems relevant. We have historically paid cash dividends, although we may choose to pay a portion in stock dividends in the future. To qualify as a REIT, we must distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income prior to net capital gains to our stockholders, as well as meet certain other requirements. We must pay these distributions in the taxable year the income is recognized, or in the following taxable year if they are declared during the last three months of the taxable year, payable to stockholders of record on a specified date during such period and paid during January of the following year. Such distributions are treated as paid by us and received by our stockholders on December 31 of the year in which they are declared. In addition, at our election, a distribution for a taxable year may be declared in the following taxable year if it is declared before we timely file our tax return for such year and if paid on or before the first regular dividend payment after such declaration. These distributions qualify as dividends paid for the 90% REIT distribution test for the previous year and are taxable to holders of our capital stock in the year in which paid.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we did not sell any unregistered securities. During the fourth quarter of 2008, we did not repurchase any of our equity securities.

ITEM 6.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth our selected financial information on a historical basis and should be read in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and all of the financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Certain amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation of discontinued operations. The balance sheet for the periods ending December 31, 2004 through 2008 and operating data for each of the periods presented were derived from our audited financial statements.

Selected Financial Data
(in thousands, except per share, number of properties, and percentage leased information)

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
Operating Data					
Total Revenue	\$ 35,654	\$ 34,468	\$ 32,908	\$ 31,579	\$ 28,940
Expenses					
Property Expense (1)	4,448	4,310	4,219	4,545	4,220
General and Administrative	4,361	4,462	4,019	4,191	2,849
Interest	5,179	4,896	4,625	4,159	4,507
Depreciation and Amortization	5,384	5,017	4,851	4,637	4,249
Total Expenses	19,372	18,685	17,714	17,532	15,825
Other Income (2)	-	1,044	-	6	217
Income Before Minority Interest and Discontinued Operations	16,282	16,827	15,194	14,053	13,332
Minority Interest	1,265	1,345	1,220	1,145	1,257
Income Before Discontinued Operations	15,017	15,482	13,974	12,908	12,075
Gain on Sale of Asset From Discontinued Operations	-	-	-	2,654	523
Income From Discontinued Operations	-	-	-	486	525
Net Income	\$ 15,017	\$ 15,482	\$ 13,974	\$ 16,048	\$ 13,123
Number of Properties	68	64	60	59	54
Number of Square Feet	3,439	3,385	3,355	3,363	3,463
Percentage Leased	99%	99%	99%	99%	99%
Per Share Data – Diluted					
Income Before Discontinued Operations	\$ 1.95	\$ 2.01	\$ 1.83	\$ 1.72	\$ 1.87
Discontinued Operations	-	-	-	.42	.16

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Net Income	\$ 1.95	\$ 2.01	\$ 1.83	\$ 2.14	\$ 2.03
Weighted Average of Common Shares Outstanding – Diluted	7,718	7,716	7,651	7,491	6,475
Cash Dividends	\$ 2.00	\$ 1.97	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.95
Balance Sheet Data					
Real Estate (before accumulated depreciation)	\$ 311,343	\$ 289,074	\$ 268,248	\$ 258,232	\$ 252,427
Total Assets	\$ 256,897	\$ 239,348	\$ 223,515	\$ 223,460	\$ 214,837
Total Debt, including accrued interest	\$ 101,069	\$ 82,889	\$ 69,031	\$ 68,504	\$ 92,441

- (1) Property expense includes real estate taxes, property maintenance, insurance, utilities and land lease expense.
- (2) Other income is composed of development fee income, gain on land sales, and equity in net income of unconsolidated entities.
- (3) Net income per share has been computed by dividing the net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding and the effect of dilutive securities outstanding. The per share amounts are presented in accordance with SFAS No. 128 “Earnings Per Share.”

ITEM 7.MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

We were established to continue to operate and expand the retail property business of our predecessor. We commenced our operations in April 1994. Our assets are held by and all operations are conducted through, directly or indirectly, Agree Limited Partnership (Operating Partnership), of which Agree Realty Corporation is the sole general partner and held a 92.85% interest as of December 31, 2008. We are operating so as to qualify as a real estate investment trust (REIT) for federal income tax purposes.

The following should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of Agree Realty Corporation, including the respective notes thereto, which are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (“SFAS No. 157”). This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies to accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, except for share-based compensation transactions under FASB Statement No. 123 (Revised) “Share Based Payment.” This Statement was effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, except for non-financial assets and liabilities for which this Statement will be effective for years beginning after November 15, 2008. The deferral to this Statement applies to all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities including but not limited to initial measurements of fair value of: nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities in a business combination or other new basis event, asset retirement obligations, and nonfinancial liabilities for exit or disposal activities, as well as impairment assessments of nonfinancial long lived assets and goodwill. This Statement does not require any new fair value measurements or remeasurements of previously reported fair values. The Company will account for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities under SFAS No. 157 beginning on January 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements” (“SFAS No. 160”), an amendment to Accounting Research Board No. 51. SFAS No. 160’s objective is to improve the relevance, comparability and transparency of financial information that a reporting entity provides in its consolidated financial statements. The key aspects of SFAS No. 160 are (i) the minority interests in subsidiaries should be presented in the consolidated balance sheet within equity of the consolidated group, separate from the parent’s shareholders’ equity, (ii) acquisitions or dispositions of noncontrolling interests in a subsidiary that do not result in a change of control should be accounted for as equity transactions, (iii) a parent recognizes a gain or loss in net income when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, measured using the fair value of the non-controlling equity investment, (iv) the acquirer should attribute net income and each component of other comprehensive income between controlling and noncontrolling interests based on any contractual arrangements or relative ownership interests, and (v) a reconciliation of beginning to ending total equity is required for both controlling and noncontrolling interests. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and should be applied prospectively. We expect SFAS No. 160 will require the disclosure of minority interest as a separate item in the equity section of our balance sheet, once adopted. We do not expect SFAS No. 160 to have a material effect on our financial statements. The Company will account for minority interest under SFAS No.160 beginning on January 1, 2009. In March 2008, the SEC announced revisions to Topic No. D-98 “Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities” that provide interpretive guidance on the interaction on the interaction between Topic D-98 and Statement No. 160.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), “Business Combinations” (“SFAS No. 141”). SFAS No. 141(R) will significantly change the accounting for business combinations. Under SFAS No. 141(R), an acquiring entity will be required to recognize all the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a transaction at the acquisition-date fair value with limited exceptions. SFAS No. 141(R) will change the accounting treatment for certain specific acquisition related items including: (1) expensing acquisition related costs as incurred; (2) valuing noncontrolling interests at fair value at the acquisition date; and (3) expensing restructuring costs associated with an acquired business. SFAS No. 141(R) also includes a substantial number of new disclosure requirements. SFAS No. 141(R) is to be applied prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009. The Company will account for business combinations under this Statement beginning on January 1, 2009.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS No. 161"). SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities. It clarifies (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company will disclose hedging activities under SFAS No. 161 beginning on January 1, 2009 and do not expect SFAS No. 161 to have a material effect on our financial statements since it pertains to disclosure only.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles," ("SFAS No. 162"). The current hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles is set forth in the American Institute of Certified Accountants (AICPA) Statement of Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 69, "The meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles." SFAS No. 162 is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework or hierarchy for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for nongovernmental entities. This Statement is effective November 15, 2008. The Company will adopt this Statement effective January 1, 2009 but does not anticipate that the Statement will have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position, as the Statement does not directly impact the accounting principles applied in the preparation of the Company's financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified FASB Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-01 "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities" ("FSP EITF 03-6-01"). FSP EITF 03-6-01 addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share ("EPS") under the two-class method of SFAS 128. It clarifies that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable right to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. FSP EITF 03-6-01 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company will account for instruments granted in share-based payment transactions under this Statement beginning on January 1, 2009, however we do not expect FSP EITF 06-6-01 to have a material impact on our computation of EPS.

In November 2008, the FASB ratified Emerging Issue Task Force Issue No. 08-6, "Equity Method Investment Accounting Considerations." EITF 08-6 addresses certain issues that arise from a company's application of the equity method under Opinion 18 due to a change in accounting for business combinations and consolidated subsidiaries resulting from the issuance of Statement 141(R) and Statement 160. EITF 08-6 addresses issues regarding the initial carrying value of an equity method investment, tests of impairment performed by the investor over an investee's underlying assets, changes in ownership resulting from the issuance of shares by an investee, and changes in an investment from the equity method to the cost method. This Issue is effective and will be applied on a prospective basis in fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years, consistent with the effective dates of Statement 141(R) and Statement 160. The Company will adopt this Statement effective January 1, 2009, however we do not expect Issue No. 08-6 to have a material impact on our financial statements.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those that are both significant to the overall presentation of our financial condition and results of operations and require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments. For example, significant estimates and assumptions have been made with respect to revenue recognition, capitalization of costs related to real estate investments, potential impairment of real estate investments, operating cost reimbursements, and taxable income.

Minimum rental income attributable to leases is recorded when due from tenants. Certain leases provide for additional percentage rents based on tenants' sales volumes. These percentage rents are recognized when determinable by us. In addition, leases for certain tenants contain rent escalations and/or free rent during the first several months of the lease term; however such amounts are not material.

Real estate assets are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. All costs related to planning, development and construction of buildings prior to the date they become operational, including interest and real estate taxes during the construction period, are capitalized for financial reporting purposes and recorded as property under development until construction has been completed. The viability of all projects under construction or development are regularly evaluated under applicable accounting requirements, including requirements relating to abandonment of assets or changes in use. To the extent a project, or individual components of the project, are no longer considered to have value, the related capitalized costs are charged against operations. Subsequent to completion of construction, expenditures for property maintenance are charged to operations as incurred, while significant renovations are capitalized. Depreciation of the buildings is recorded on the straight-line method using an estimated useful life of forty years.

We evaluate real estate for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable through the estimated undiscounted future cash flows from the use of these assets. When any such impairment exists, the related assets will be written down to fair value and such excess carrying value is charged to income. The expected cash flows of a project are dependent on estimates and other factors subject to change, including (1) changes in the national, regional, and/or local economic climates, (2) competition from other shopping centers, stores, clubs, mailings, and the internet, (3) increases in operating costs, (4) bankruptcy and/or other changes in the condition of third parties, including tenants, (5) expected holding period, and (6) availability of credit. These factors could cause our expected future cash flows from a project to change, and, as a result, an impairment could be considered to have occurred.

Substantially all of our leases contain provisions requiring tenants to pay as additional rent a proportionate share of operating expenses ("operating cost reimbursements") such as real estate taxes, repairs and maintenance, insurance, etc. The related revenue from tenant billings is recognized in the same period the expense is recorded.

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code, commencing with our 1994 tax year. As a result, we are not subject to federal income taxes to the extent that we distribute annually at least 90% of our taxable income to our shareholders and satisfy certain other requirements defined in the Code.

In October 2007, we established a taxable REIT subsidiary pursuant to the provisions of the REIT Modernization Act. Our TRS is able to engage in activities resulting in income that previously would have been disqualified from being eligible REIT income under the federal income tax regulations. As a result, certain activities of the Company which occur within its TRS entity are subject to federal and state income taxes. As of December 31, 2008 the Company had accrued a deferred income tax amount of \$705,000 which was netted against the gain on sale.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2008 to Year Ended December 31, 2007

Minimum rental income increased \$1,214,000, or 4%, to \$32,850,000 in 2008, compared to \$31,636,000 in 2007. The increase was the result of the development of a Walgreen drug store in Livonia, Michigan in June 2007, the development of a Walgreen drug store in Barnesville, Georgia in October 2007, the development of a parcel of land located in East Lansing, Michigan in November 2007, the development of a parcel of land located in Plainfield, Indiana in November 2007, the development of a Walgreens drug store and a bank land lease in Macomb Township, Michigan in March 2008, the development of a Walgreens drug store located in Ypsilanti, Michigan in May 2008, the development of a Walgreens drug store in Ocala, Florida in June 2008 and the development of a Walgreens drug store

in Shelby Township, Michigan in July 2008. Our revenue increases from these developments amounted to \$2,010,000. Our increase in rental income was partially offset by a lease termination payment related to our Big Rapids, Michigan shopping center that was received in 2007 of (\$608,000), a reduction in rental of income related to our redevelopment of our Big Rapids, Michigan shopping center of (\$143,000) and a reduction of rent at our only Circuit City store of (\$56,000).

Percentage rents decreased \$22,000, or 59%, to \$15,000 in 2008, compared to \$37,000 in 2007. The decrease was primarily the result of decreased tenant sales.

Operating cost reimbursements increased \$25,000, or 1%, to \$2,784,000 in 2008, compared to \$2,759,000 in 2007. Operating cost reimbursements increased due to the increase in property operating expenses as explained below.

Other income decreased \$31,000 to \$4,000 in 2008, compared to \$35,000 in 2007.

Real estate taxes increased \$18,000, or 1%, to \$1,867,000 in 2008 compared to \$1,849,000 in 2007. The increase is the result of general assessment increases on the properties.

Property operating expenses (shopping center maintenance, snow removal, insurance and utilities) increased \$28,000, or 2%, to \$1,813,000 in 2008 compared to \$1,785,000 in 2007. The increase was the result of a decrease in shopping center maintenance expenses of (\$64,000); increased snow removal costs of \$68,000; increased utility costs of \$29,000; and decreased insurance costs of (\$5,000) in 2008 versus 2007.

Land lease payments increased \$91,000, or 14%, to \$767,000 in 2008 compared to \$676,000 for 2007. The increase is the result of our leasing of land for our Shelby Township, Michigan development.

General and administrative expenses decreased \$101,000, or 2%, to \$4,361,000 in 2008 compared to \$4,462,000 in 2007. The decrease was the result of an increase in compensation related expenses of \$58,000; decreased contracted services to investigate development opportunities of (\$165,000) and increased property management related expenses of \$6,000. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of rental income decreased from 14.1% for 2007 to 13.3% for 2008.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$368,000, or 7%, to \$5,385,000 in 2008 compared to \$5,017,000 in 2007. The increase was the result the development and acquisition of four properties in 2008 and four properties in 2007.

Interest expense increased \$283,000, or 6%, to \$5,179,000 in 2008, from \$4,896,000 in 2007. The increase in interest expense was the result of increased borrowings to fund the development and acquisition of four properties in 2008 and four properties in 2007.

In October 2007, we completed the sale of our interest in two contracts to acquire a 14.9 acre parcel of land to a national home improvement superstore. The transaction resulted in a gain of \$1,044,000 net of deferred income taxes of \$705,000. We established a taxable REIT subsidiary to facilitate this transaction. We elected to defer the recognition of the gain from the transaction for income tax purposes by making an election under Section 1031 of the Code. There were no gains from property sales in 2008.

The Company's income before minority interest decreased \$545,000, or 3%, to \$16,282,000 in 2008, from \$16,827,000 in 2007 as a result of the foregoing factors.

Comparison of Year Ended December 31, 2007 to Year Ended December 31, 2006

Minimum rental income increased \$1,673,000, or 6%, to \$31,636,000 in 2007, compared to \$29,963,000 in 2006. The increase was the result of the development of a Walgreen drug store at our Capital Plaza shopping center in December 2006, the acquisition of a Rite Aid drug store in Summit Township, Michigan in September 2006, the development of a Walgreen drug store in Livonia, Michigan in June 2007, the development of a Walgreen drug store in Barnesville,

Georgia in October 2007, the development of a parcel of land located in East Lansing, Michigan and the development of a parcel of land located in Plainfield, Indiana in November 2007. Our revenue increase from these acquisitions and developments amounted to \$850,000. In addition we received a lease termination payment related to our Big Rapids, Michigan shopping center of \$608,000 and increased revenue from existing tenants of \$215,000.

Percentage rents decreased \$17,000, or 31%, to \$37,000 in 2007, compared to \$54,000 in 2006. The decrease was primarily the result of decreased tenant sales.

Operating cost reimbursements decreased \$88,000, or 3%, to \$2,759,000 in 2007, compared to \$2,847,000 in 2006. Operating cost reimbursements increased \$62,000 due to the increase in property operating expenses as explained below, however this increase was offset by an adjustment for insurance billed to one of our tenants in the amount of \$150,000.

Other income decreased \$9,000 to \$35,000 in 2007, compared to \$44,000 in 2006.

Real estate taxes increased \$28,000, or 2%, to \$1,849,000 in 2007 compared to \$1,821,000 in 2006. The increase is the result of general assessment increases on the properties.

Property operating expenses (shopping center maintenance, snow removal, insurance and utilities) increased \$148,000, or 9%, to \$1,785,000 in 2007 compared to \$1,637,000 in 2006. The increase was the result of an increase in shopping center maintenance expenses of \$30,000; increased snow removal costs of \$129,000; increased utility costs of \$11,000; and decreased insurance costs of (\$22,000) in 2007 versus 2006.

Land lease payments decreased \$84,000, or 11%, to \$676,000 in 2007 compared to \$760,000 for 2006. The decrease is the result of our purchase of the fee interest in the land located at our Lawrence, Kansas property previously leased.

General and administrative expenses increased \$443,000, or 11%, to \$4,462,000 in 2007 compared to \$4,019,000 in 2006. The increase was the result of an increase in compensation related expenses of \$595,000; increased contracted services to investigate development opportunities of \$86,000 and increased property management related expenses of \$27,000. These increases were offset by a decrease in general business taxes of \$90,000 and decreased professional fees of \$175,000. General and administrative expenses as a percentage of rental income increased from 13.4% for 2006 to 14.1% for 2007. The increase in compensation related expenses was primarily the result of employee bonus payments of \$346,000 and the hiring of two additional employees in 2007.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$166,000, or 3%, to \$5,017,000 in 2007 compared to \$4,851,000 in 2006. The increase was the result the development and acquisition of four properties in 2007 and two properties in 2006.

Interest expense increased \$271,000, or 6%, to \$4,896,000 in 2007, from \$4,625,000 in 2006. The increase in interest expense was the result of increased borrowings to fund the development and acquisition of four properties in 2007 and two properties in 2006, as well as overall interest rate increases.

In October 2007, we completed the sale of our interest in two contracts to acquire a 14.9 acre parcel of land to a national home improvement superstore. The transaction resulted in a gain of \$1,044,000 net of deferred income taxes of \$705,000. We established a taxable REIT subsidiary to facilitate this transaction. We expect to elect to defer the recognition of the gain from the transaction for income tax purposes by making an election under Section 1031 of the Code. There were no gains from property sales in 2006.

The Company's income before minority interest and discontinued operations increased \$1,633,000, or 11%, to \$16,827,000 in 2007, from \$15,194,000 in 2006 as a result of the foregoing factors.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal demands for liquidity are operations, distributions to our stockholders, debt repayment, development of new properties, redevelopment of existing properties and future property acquisitions. We intend to meet our short-term liquidity requirements, including capital expenditures related to the leasing and improvement of the properties, through cash flow provided by operations and the Line of Credit and the Credit Facility. We believe that adequate cash flow will be available to fund our operations and pay dividends in accordance with REIT requirements for at least the next 12 months. We may obtain additional funds for future development or acquisitions through other borrowings or the issuance of additional shares of common stock, although current market conditions have severely limited the availability of new sources of financing and capital, which will likely have an impact on our ability to obtain construction financing for planned new development projects in the near term. We intend to incur additional debt in a manner consistent with our policy of maintaining a ratio of total debt (including construction and acquisition financing) to total market capitalization of 65% or less. As of December 31, 2008, our ratio of indebtedness to market capitalization was approximately 65.4%. We believe that these financing sources will enable us to generate funds sufficient to meet both our short-term and long-term capital needs.

During the quarter ended December 31, 2008, we declared a quarterly dividend of \$.50 per share. The cash dividend was paid on January 6, 2009 to holders of record on December 22, 2008.

Our cash flows from operations increased \$293,000 to \$21,930,000 in 2008, compared to \$21,637,000 in 2007. Cash used in investing activities increased \$3,411,000 to \$21,419,000 in 2008, compared to \$18,008,000 in 2007. Cash used in financing activities decreased \$3,162,000 to \$387,000 in 2008, compared to \$3,549,000 in 2007. Our cash and cash equivalents increased by \$124,000 to \$669,000 as of December 31, 2008 as a result of the foregoing factors.

As of December 31, 2008, we had total mortgage indebtedness of \$67,623,697. Of this total mortgage indebtedness, \$43,010,397 is fixed rate, self-amortizing debt with a weighted average interest rate of 6.64% and the remaining mortgage debt of \$24,613,300 has a maturity date of July 14, 2013, can be extended at our option for two additional years and bears interest at 150 basis points over LIBOR (or 2.695% as of December 31, 2008). The proceeds from this mortgage loan were used to reduce amounts outstanding under our Credit Facility. In February 2009 the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement that will fix the interest rate during the initial term of the mortgage at 3.744%.

In addition, the Operating Partnership has in place a \$55 million credit facility (the "Credit Facility") with Bank of America, as the agent, which is guaranteed by the Company. The Credit Facility matures in November 2011. Advances under the Credit Facility bear interest within a range of one-month to twelve-month LIBOR plus 100 basis points to 150 basis points or the lender's prime rate, at our option, based on certain factors such as the ratio of our indebtedness to the capital value of our properties. The Credit Facility generally is used to fund property acquisitions and development activities. As of February 16, 2009, \$34,500,000 was outstanding under the Credit Facility bearing a weighted average interest rate of 1.46%.

We also have in place a \$5 million line of credit (the "Line of Credit"), which matures in November 2009, and can be extended at our option subject to specified conditions for two additional one year periods. The Line of Credit bears interest at the lender's prime rate less 75 basis points or 150 basis points in excess of the one-month to twelve month LIBOR rate, at our option. The purpose of the Line of Credit is to generally provide working capital and fund land options and start-up costs associated with new projects. As of February 16, 2009, \$300,000 was outstanding under the Line of Credit bearing a weighted average interest rate of 2.50%.

The following table outlines our contractual obligations (in thousands) as of December 31, 2008:

	Total	Yr 1	2-3 Yrs	4-5 Yrs	Over 5 Yrs
Mortgages Payable	\$ 67,624	\$ 3,397	\$ 7,493	\$ 30,554	\$ 26,180
Notes Payable	32,945	2,445	30,500	-	-
Land Lease Obligations	13,928	859	1,797	1,813	9,459
Other Long-Term Liabilities	-	-	-	-	-
Estimated Interest Payments on Mortgages and Notes Payable	21,843	3,854	6,878	5,287	5,824
Total	\$ 136,340	\$ 10,555	46,668	\$ 37,654	\$ 41,463

We have four development projects under construction that will add an additional 57,570 square feet to our portfolio. The projects are expected to be completed during the first three quarters of 2009. Additional funding required to complete the projects is estimated to be \$4,555,000, which is not reflected in the table above, and is expected to be provided by the Credit Facility.

We plan to begin construction of additional pre-leased developments and may acquire additional properties, which will initially be financed by the Credit Facility and Line of Credit. We will periodically refinance short-term construction and acquisition financing with long-term debt, medium term debt and/or equity.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not engage in any off-balance sheet arrangements with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as structured finance or special purpose entities, that have or are reasonably likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditure or capital resources.

Inflation

Our leases generally contain provisions designed to mitigate the adverse impact of inflation on net income. These provisions include clauses enabling us to pass through to our tenants certain operating costs, including real estate taxes, common area maintenance, utilities and insurance, thereby reducing our exposure to cost increases and operating expenses resulting from inflation. Certain of our leases contain clauses enabling us to receive percentage rents based on tenants' gross sales, which generally increase as prices rise, and, in certain cases, escalation clauses, which generally increase rental rates during the term of the leases. In addition, expiring tenant leases permit us to seek increased rents upon re-lease at market rates if rents are below the then existing market rates.

Funds from Operations

Funds From Operations ("FFO") is defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, Inc. ("NAREIT") to mean net income computed in accordance with GAAP, excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Management uses FFO as a supplemental measure to conduct and evaluate our business because there are certain limitations associated with using GAAP net income by itself as the primary measure of our operating performance. Historical cost accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values instead have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, management believes that the presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting is insufficient by itself.

FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income as the primary indicator of our operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow as a measure of liquidity. Further, while we adhere to the NAREIT definition of FFO, our presentation of FFO is not necessarily comparable to similarly titled measures of other REITs due to the fact that not all REITs use the same definition.

The following table provides a reconciliation of FFO and net income for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2008	2007	2006
Net income	\$ 15,017,427	\$ 15,482,274	\$ 13,974,168
Depreciation of real estate assets	5,257,391	4,905,361	4,745,319
Amortization of leasing costs	58,771	50,868	44,423
Minority interest	1,264,611	1,344,475	1,220,113
Gain on sale of assets	-	(1,043,675)	-
Funds from Operations	\$ 21,598,200	\$ 20,739,303	\$ 19,984,023
Weighted average shares and OP Units outstanding			
Basic	8,364,366	8,328,418	8,254,391
Diluted	8,376,259	8,389,426	8,324,973

ITEM 7A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to interest rate risk primarily through our borrowing activities. There is inherent roll-over risk for borrowings as they mature and are renewed at current market rates. The extent of this risk is not quantifiable or predictable because of the variability of future interest rates and our future financing requirements.

Our interest rate risk is monitored using a variety of techniques. The table below presents the principal payments (in thousands) and the weighted average interest rates on outstanding debt, by year of expected maturity, to evaluate the expected cash flows and sensitivity to interest rate changes.

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	Thereafter	Total
Fixed rate debt	\$ 2,938	\$ 3,138	\$ 3,351	\$ 3,580	\$ 3,824	\$ 26,180	\$ 43,011
Average interest rate	6.64%	6.64%	6.64%	6.64%	6.64%	6.64%	-
Variable rate debt	\$ 2,904	\$ 487	\$ 31,017	\$ 548	\$ 22,602	-	\$ 57,558
Average interest rate	3.12%	3.74%	3.12%	3.74%	3.74%	-	-

The fair value (in thousands) is estimated at \$43,949 and \$57,558 for fixed rate debt and variable rate debt, respectively, as of December 31, 2008.

The table above incorporates those exposures that exist as of December 31, 2008; it does not consider those exposures or positions, which could arise after that date. As a result, our ultimate realized gain or loss with respect to interest rate fluctuations will depend on the exposures that arise during the period and interest rates.

In February 2009 the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement in an effort to manage our interest rate exposure on a \$24.6 million floating rate mortgage. The interest rate swap agreement has a term of approximately 4.5 years and bears interest at 3.744%.

As of December 31, 2008, a 100 basis point increase in interest rates on the portion of our debt bearing interest at variable rates would result in an increase in interest expense of approximately \$576,000.

ITEM 8

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The financial statements and supplementary data are listed in the Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules appearing on Page F-1 of this Form 10-K and are included in this Form 10-K following page F-1.

ITEM 9 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

ITEM 9A

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this report (the "Evaluation Date"). Because of its inherent limitations, our disclosure controls and procedures may not prevent or detect misstatements. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issued and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected.

Based on this evaluation, and due to the material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting (as described below in "Report of Management on Agree Realty Corporation's Internal Control over Financial Reporting"), our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that as of December 31, 2008, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our audit committee has engaged an independent third party consultant to perform periodic reviews of our financial reporting process to help mitigate the material weakness in our internal controls described in "Report of Management on Agree Realty Corporation's Internal Control over Financial Reporting".

Report of Management on Agree Realty Corporation's Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We, as members of management of Agree Realty Corporation, are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f). Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements and financial information for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, our internal controls and procedures may not prevent or detect misstatements. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

The following material weakness has been identified and reported to the audit committee:

- We lack segregation of duties in the period-end financial reporting process. Our chief financial officer and director of finance are the only employees with any significant knowledge of generally accepted accounting principles. The chief financial officer and the director of finance are the only employees in charge of the general ledger (including the preparation of routine and non-routine journal entries and journal entries involving accounting estimates), the preparation of accounting reconciliations, the selection of accounting principles, and the preparation of interim and annual financial statements (including report combinations, consolidation entries and footnote disclosures) in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

We, under the supervision of and with the participation of our management, including the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in “Internal Control – Integrated Framework” issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As a result of the material weakness described above, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2008.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting has been audited by Virchow, Krause & Company, our independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its report that is included herein.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Agree Realty Corporation’s Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

To the Shareholders, Audit Committee and Board of Directors
Agree Realty Corporation
Farmington Hills, Michigan

We have audited Agree Realty Corporation’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the “COSO Criteria”). Agree Realty Corporation’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management on Agree Realty Corporation’s Internal Control Over Financial Reporting included in Item 9A Controls and Procedures. Our responsibility is to express an opinion the effectiveness of Agree Realty Corporation’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management’s assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we

considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements and financial information for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of Agree Realty Corporation; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of Agree Realty Corporation are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of Agree Realty Corporation; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of Agree Realty Corporation's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. The following material weakness has been identified and included in management's assessment:

- The Company lacks segregation of duties in the period-end financial reporting process. Our chief financial officer and director of finance are the only employees with any significant knowledge of generally accepted accounting principles. The chief financial officer and the director of finance are the only employees in charge of the general ledger (including the preparation of routine and non-routine journal entries and journal entries involving accounting estimates), the preparation of accounting reconciliations, the selection of accounting principles, and the preparation of interim and annual financial statements (including report combinations, consolidation entries and footnote disclosures) in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The material weakness was considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2008 financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated March 3, 2009 on those financial statements.

In our opinion, because of the effect of the material weaknesses described above on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, Agree Realty Corporation has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the COSO Criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Agree Realty Corporation as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the three-year period ended December 31, 2008 and our report dated March 3, 2009, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Virchow, Krause & Company

Chicago, Illinois
March 3, 2009

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B

OTHER INFORMATION

Not applicable.

29

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement with respect to our 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement with respect to our 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table summarizes the equity compensation plan under which the Company's common stock may be issued as of December 31, 2008.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders			805,416(1)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total			805,416

(1)Relates to various stock-based awards available for issuance under the Company's 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, including incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, deferred stock awards, restricted stock awards, unrestricted stock awards, and dividend equivalent rights.

Additional information is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement with respect to our 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement with respect to our 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement with respect to our 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

15(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

- (1) (2) The financial statements and supplementary data are listed in the Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules appearing on Page F-1 of this Form 10-K.
- (3) Exhibits
 - 3.1 Articles of Incorporation and Articles of Amendment of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11 (Registration Statement No. 33-73858, as amended)
 - 3.2 Articles Supplementary, establishing the terms of the Series A Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 9, 2008)
 - 3.3 Articles Supplementary, classifying additional shares of Common Stock and Excess Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 9, 2008)
 - 3.4 Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006)
 - 4.1 Second Amendment to Rights Agreement, dated as of December 8, 2008, by and between Agree Realty Corporation, a Maryland corporation, and Computershare Trust Company, N.A., f/k/a EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., a national banking association, as successor rights agent to BankBoston, N.A., a national banking association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed on December 9, 2008)
 - 4.2 Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated July 8, 1994 by and among the Company, Richard Agree, Edward Rosenberg and Joel Weiner (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1994)
 - 4.3 Amended and Restated \$50 million Line of Credit agreement dated November 5, 2003, among Agree Realty Corporation, Standard Federal Bank and Bank One (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003)
 - 4.4 Third Amended and Restated Line of Credit Agreement by and between the Company, and LaSalle Bank Midwest National Association Individually and as Agent for the Lenders and together with Fifth Third Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006)
 - 4.5 Loan Agreement dated as of July 14, 2008 by and between Agree Limited Partnership, as Borrower, and The Financial Institutions party thereto, as Co-Lenders, and LaSalle Bank Midwest National Association, as Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008)
 - 4.6

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Commercial Mortgage dated as of July 14, 2008 executed by Agree Limited Partnership to and for the benefit of LaSalle Bank Midwest National Association and Raymond James Bank, FSB (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008)

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

- 4.7 Continuing Unconditional Guaranty dated as of July 14, 2008 by Agree Realty Corporation for the benefit of La Salle Bank Midwest National Association (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008)
- 10.1 First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Agree Limited Partnership, dated as of April 22, 1994, by and among the Company, Richard Agree, Edward Rosenberg and Joel Weiner (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996)
- 10.2 Contribution Agreement, dated as of April 21, 1994, by and among the Company, Richard Agree, Edward Rosenberg and the co-partnerships named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996)
- 10.3+ Agree Realty Corporation Profit Sharing Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996)
- 10.4+ Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2004, by and between the Company and Richard Agree (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004)
- 10.5+ Employment Agreement, dated July 1, 2004, by and between the Company, and Kenneth R. Howe (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004)
- 10.6+ Employment Agreement, dated January 10, 2000, by and between the Company, and David J. Prueter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2000)
- 10.7+ The Company's 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004)
- 10.8+ Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)
- 10.9+ Summary of Director Compensation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007)
- 21* Subsidiaries of Agree Realty Corporation
- 23* Consent of Virchow, Krause & Company, LLP
- 31.1* Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Richard Agree, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors
- 31.2* Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Kenneth R. Howe, Vice President, Finance
- 32.1* Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Richard Agree, President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors

32.2* Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Kenneth R. Howe, Vice President, Finance

32

* Filed herewith

+ Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K, the registrant has not filed debt instruments relating to long-term debt that is not registered and for which the total amount of securities authorized thereunder does not exceed 10% of total assets of the registrant and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis as of December 31, 2008. The registrant agrees to furnish a copy of such agreements to the SEC upon request.

15(b) The Exhibits listed in Item 15(a)(3) are hereby filed with this Report.

15(c) The financial statement schedule listed at Item 15(a)(2) is hereby filed with this Report.

SIGNATURES

PURSUANT to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

AGREE REALTY CORPORATION

By: /s/ Richard Agree
Name: Richard Agree
President, Chief Executive
Officer and Chairman of
the Board of Directors
Date: March 13, 2009

PURSUANT to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 13th day of March 2009.

By: /s/ Richard Agree
Richard Agree
President, Chief Executive Officer
and Chairman of the Board of
Directors
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Farris G. Kalil
Farris G. Kalil
Director

By: /s/ Michael Rotchford
Michael Rotchford
Director

By: /s/ Kenneth R. Howe
Kenneth R. Howe
Vice President, Finance and
Secretary
(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)

By: /s/ William S. Rubenfaer
William S. Rubenfaer
Director

By: /s/ Gene Silverman
Gene Silverman
Director

By: /s/ Leon M. Schurgin
Leon M. Schurgin
Director

	Page
Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Income	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9
Schedule III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	F-26

F-1

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Stockholders, Audit Committee and Board of Directors
Agree Realty Corporation
Farmington Hills, MI

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Agree Realty Corporation as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Agree Realty Corporation as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 and the results of their operations, their stockholders' equity and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Our audits were made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic 2008, 2007 and 2006 consolidated financial statements of the Company taken as a whole. The consolidated supplemental schedule III is presented for purposes of complying the Securities Exchange Commission's rules and is not a part of the basic consolidated financial statements. This schedule has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in our audits of the 2008, 2007 and 2006 basic consolidated financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all materials respects in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Agree Realty Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in "Internal Control - Integrated Framework", issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) and our report dated March 3, 2009 expressed an adverse opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Virchow, Krause & Company, LLP

Chicago, Illinois
March 3, 2009

Agree Realty Corporation

Consolidated Balance Sheets

December 31,	2008	2007
Assets		
Real Estate Investments (Notes 3 and 4)		
Land	\$ 87,309,289	\$ 87,233,715
Buildings	210,650,491	197,033,867
Property under development	13,383,102	4,806,114
	311,342,882	289,073,696
Less accumulated depreciation	(58,502,384)	(53,250,564)
Net Real Estate Investments	252,840,498	235,823,132
Cash and Cash Equivalents	668,677	544,639
Accounts Receivable - Tenants, net of allowance of \$195,000 and \$20,000 for possible losses at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively	964,802	770,365
Unamortized Deferred Expenses		
Financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$4,838,098 and \$4,665,144 at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively	951,745	837,033
Leasing costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$775,450 and \$716,679 at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively	484,781	424,002
Other Assets	986,332	948,335
	\$ 256,896,835	\$ 239,347,506

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Agree Realty Corporation

Consolidated Balance Sheets

December 31,	2008	2007
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Mortgages Payable (Note 3)	\$ 67,623,697	\$ 45,760,168
Notes Payable (Note 4)	32,945,000	36,800,000
Dividends and Distributions Payable (Note 5)	4,233,232	4,211,827
Deferred Revenue (Note 14)	10,724,854	11,414,404
Accrued Interest Payable	500,796	329,171
Accounts Payable		
Capital expenditures	850,225	1,069,734
Operating	1,261,810	1,483,127
Deferred Income Taxes (Note 6)	705,000	705,000
Tenant Deposits	70,077	64,085
Total Liabilities	118,914,691	101,837,516
Minority Interest (Note 7)	5,346,741	5,896,180
Stockholders' Equity (Note 5)		
Common stock, \$.0001 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 7,863,930 and 7,754,246 shares issued and outstanding	786	775
Additional paid-in capital	143,892,158	142,260,659
Deficit	(11,257,541)	(10,647,624)
Total Stockholders' Equity	132,635,403	131,613,810
	\$ 256,896,835	\$ 239,347,506

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Agree Realty Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Income

Year Ended December 31,	2008	2007	2006
Revenues			
Minimum rents	\$ 32,850,345	\$ 31,636,497	\$ 29,963,363
Percentage rents	15,396	37,111	53,550
Operating cost reimbursement	2,783,938	2,759,365	2,846,775
Other income	3,849	34,979	43,938
Total Revenues	35,653,529	34,467,952	32,907,626
Operating Expenses			
Real estate taxes	1,866,551	1,848,949	1,821,372
Property operating expenses	1,812,522	1,785,323	1,637,192
Land lease payments	766,848	675,700	759,831
General and administrative	4,361,419	4,462,423	4,018,836
Depreciation and amortization	5,384,737	5,016,718	4,851,343
Total Operating Expenses	14,192,077	13,789,113	13,088,574
Income From Continuing Operations	21,461,452	20,678,839	19,819,052
Other Income (Expense)			
Interest expense, net	(5,179,415)	(4,895,765)	(4,624,771)
Gain on sale of asset, net of tax of \$705,000	-	1,043,675	-
Total Other Expense	(5,179,415)	(3,852,090)	(4,624,771)
Income Before Minority Interest	16,282,037	16,826,749	15,194,281
Minority Interest	1,264,611	1,344,475	1,220,113
Net Income	\$ 15,017,427	\$ 15,482,274	\$ 13,974,168
Basic Earnings Per Share (Note 2)	\$ 1.95	\$ 2.02	\$ 1.84
Dilutive Earnings Per Share (Note 2)	\$ 1.95	\$ 2.01	\$ 1.83
Dividend Declared Per Common Share	\$ 2.00	\$ 1.97	\$ 1.96

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Agree Realty Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

	Common Stock		Additional	Deficit	Unearned
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In		Compensation - Restricted Stock
Balance, January 1, 2006	7,706,846	\$ 772	\$ 143,138,497	\$ (9,717,471)	\$ (2,794,738)
Reclassify unearned compensation	-	-	(2,794,738)		2,794,738
Issuance of shares under the Equity Incentive Plan	43,650	3	95,547	-	-
Vesting of restricted stock	-	-	837,457	-	-
Dividends declared, \$1.96 per share	-	-	-	(15,115,635)	-
Net income	-	-	-	13,974,168	-
Balance, December 31, 2006	7,750,496	775	141,276,763	(10,858,938)	-
Issuance of shares under the Equity Incentive Plan	3,750	-	-	-	-
Vesting of restricted stock	-	-	983,896	-	-
Dividends declared, \$1.97 per share	-	-	-	(15,270,960)	-
Net income	-	-	-	15,482,274	-
Balance, December 31, 2007	7,754,246	775	142,260,659	(10,647,624)	-
Issuance of shares under the Equity Incentive Plan	46,350	4	-	-	-
Forfeiture of Shares	(4,800)				
Conversion of OP Units	68,134	7	501,025	-	-
Vesting of restricted stock	-	-	1,130,474	-	-
Dividends declared, \$2.00 per share	-	-	-	(15,627,334)	-
Net income	-	-	-	15,017,427	-
Balance, December 31, 2008	7,863,930	\$ 786	\$ 143,892,158	\$ (11,257,541)	\$ -

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Agree Realty Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Year Ended December 31,	2008	2007	2006
Cash Flows From Operating Activities			
Net income	\$ 15,017,427	\$ 15,482,274	\$ 13,974,168
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities			
Depreciation	5,320,394	4,958,300	4,799,370
Amortization	237,297	241,290	190,001
Stock-based compensation	1,130,474	983,896	837,457
Gain on sale of assets	-	(1,043,675)	-
Minority interests	1,264,611	1,344,475	1,220,113
(Increase) in accounts receivable	(194,437)	(38,224)	(1,535)
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(112,144)	(33,734)	160,596
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable	(221,317)	342,510	(159,799)
Decrease in deferred revenue	(689,550)	(689,550)	(689,550)
Increase (decrease) in accrued interest	171,625	89,853	(42,762)
Increase in tenant deposits	5,992	-	10,023
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	21,930,372	21,637,415	20,298,082
Cash Flows From Investing Activities			
Acquisition of real estate investments (including capitalized interest of \$557,645 in 2008, \$556,000 in 2007 and \$198,000 in 2006)	(21,418,961)	(19,756,255)	(9,305,661)
Net proceeds from sale of assets, less amounts held in escrow	-	1,748,675	-
Net Cash Used In Investing Activities	(21,418,961)	(18,007,580)	(9,305,661)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Agree Realty Corporation

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Year Ended December 31,	2008	2007	2006
Cash Flows From Financing Activities			
Mortgage proceeds	24,800,000	-	-
Line-of-credit net (payments) borrowings	(3,855,000)	16,300,000	3,000,000
Dividends and limited partners' distributions paid	(16,918,952)	(16,497,828)	(16,413,226)
Payments of mortgages payable	(2,936,471)	(2,531,079)	(2,430,673)
Payments of payables for capital expenditures	(1,069,734)	(766,378)	(112,687)
Payments for financing costs	(287,666)	-	(305,897)
Payments of leasing costs	(119,550)	(53,641)	(76,298)
Exercise of stock options	-	-	95,550
Net Cash Used In Financing Activities	(387,373)	(3,548,926)	(16,243,231)
Net Increase (Decrease) In Cash and Cash Equivalents	124,038	80,909	(5,250,810)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, beginning of year	544,639	463,730	5,714,540
Cash and Cash Equivalents, end of year	\$ 668,677	\$ 544,639	\$ 463,730
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information			
Cash paid for interest (net of amounts capitalized)	\$ 4,835,277	\$ 4,629,948	\$ 4,530,740
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Transactions			
Dividends and limited partners' distributions Declared and unpaid	\$ 4,233,232	\$ 4,211,827	\$ 4,111,807
Shares issued under Stock Incentive Plan	\$ 1,364,459	\$ 116,688	\$ 1,310,766
Real estate investments financed with accounts payable	\$ 850,225	\$ 1,069,734	\$ 766,378

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. The Company

Agree Realty Corporation (the Company) is a self-administered, self-managed real estate investment trust (REIT), which develops, acquires, owns and operates properties, which are primarily leased to national and regional retail companies under net leases. At December 31, 2008, the Company's properties are comprised of 56 single tenant retail facilities and 12 community shopping centers located in 16 states. During the year ended December 31, 2008, approximately 97% of the Company's annual base rental revenues was received from national and regional tenants under long-term leases, including approximately 30% from Borders Group, Inc., 26% from Walgreen Co., and 12% from Kmart Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sears Holdings Corporation.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements of Agree Realty Corporation include the accounts of the Company, its majority-owned partnership, Agree Limited Partnership (the Operating Partnership), and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. The Company controlled, as the sole general partner, 92.85% and 92.01% of the Operating Partnership as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. All material intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of (1) assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements, and (2) revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS No. 157"), which provides a framework for measuring, reporting and disclosing fair value under generally accepted accounting principles. SFAS No. 157 applies to all assets and liabilities that are measured, reported and/or disclosed on a fair value basis.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

As defined in SFAS No. 157, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In determining fair value, the Company uses various valuation methods including the market, income and cost approaches. The assumptions used in the application of these valuation methods are developed from the perspective of market participants, pricing the asset or liability. Inputs used in the valuation methods can be either readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable inputs. Whenever possible the Company attempts to utilize valuation methods that maximize the uses of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs. Based on the operability of the inputs used in the valuation methods the Company is required to provide the following information according to the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy ranks the quality and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. Assets and liabilities measured, reported and/or disclosed at fair value will be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1 – Quoted Market prices in active markets for identical assets of liabilities.

Level 2 – Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

The carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments, which consist of cash, cash equivalents, receivables, and accounts payable approximate their fair values. The fair value of the Company's mortgages and notes payable is estimated at \$68,562 and \$32,945 as of December 31, 2008. To determine fair value the Company calculates the present value of future mortgage and note payments based on estimated current market interest rates, which are considered Level 2 inputs. The carrying value of these liabilities as of December 31, 2008 is \$67,624 and \$32,945 respectively.

Investments in Real Estate – Carrying Value of Assets

Real estate assets are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. All costs related to planning, development and construction of buildings prior to the date they become operational, including interest and real estate taxes during the construction period, are capitalized for financial reporting purposes and recorded as "Property under development" until construction has been completed.

The Company allocates the cost of an acquisition based upon the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired. The Company also estimates the fair value of intangibles related to its acquisitions. The valuation of the fair value of the intangibles primarily involves estimates related to market conditions, probability of lease renewals and the current market value of leases.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Subsequent to completion of construction, expenditures for property maintenance are charged to operations as incurred, while significant renovations are capitalized.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation expense is computed using a straight-line method and estimated useful lives for buildings and improvements of twenty to forty years and equipment and fixtures of five to ten years.

Investment in Real Estate – Impairment evaluation

Real estate investments are evaluated for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable through the estimated undiscounted future cash flows from the use and eventual disposition of these assets. When any such impairment exists, the related assets will be written down to fair value. No impairment loss recognition has been required as of December 31, 2008.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents at a financial institution. The account balances periodically exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) insurance coverage, and as a result, there is a concentration of credit risk related to amounts on deposit in excess of FDIC insurance coverage.

Accounts Receivable – Tenants

Accounts receivable from tenants are unsecured and reflect primarily reimbursement of specified common area expenses. The Company determines its allowance for uncollectible accounts based on historical trends, existing economic conditions, and known financial position of its tenants. Tenant accounts receivable are written-off by the Company in the year when receipt is determined to be remote.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Unamortized Deferred Expenses

Deferred expenses are stated net of total accumulated amortization. The nature and treatment of these capitalized costs are as follows: (1) financing costs, consisting of expenditures incurred to obtain long-term financing, are being amortized using the interest method over the term of the related loan, and (2) leasing costs, which are amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the related lease. The Company incurred expenses of \$231,725, \$233,740 and \$182,451 for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Other Assets

The Company records prepaid expenses, deposits, vehicles, furniture and fixtures, leasehold improvements, acquisition advances and miscellaneous receivables as other assets in the accompanying balance sheets.

Accounts Payable - Capital Expenditures

Included in accounts payable are amounts related to the construction of buildings. Due to the nature of these expenditures, they are reflected in the statements of cash flows as a non-cash financing activity.

Minority Interest

This amount represents the limited partners' interest (OP Units) of 7.15% and 7.99% (convertible into 605,413 and 673,547 shares) in the Operating Partnership as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Revenue Recognition

Minimum rental income attributable to leases is recorded when due from tenants. Certain leases provide for additional percentage rents based on tenants' sales volume. These percentage rents are recognized when determinable by the Company. In addition, leases for certain tenants contain rent escalations and/or free rent during the first several months of the lease term; however, such amounts are not material.

Taxes Collected and Remitted to Governmental Authorities

The Company reports taxes, collected from tenants that are to be remitted to governmental authorities, on a net basis and therefore does not include the taxes in revenue.

Operating Cost Reimbursement

Substantially all of the Company's leases contain provisions requiring tenants to pay as additional rent a proportionate share of operating expenses such as real estate taxes, repairs and maintenance, insurance, etc. The related revenue from tenant billings is recognized as operating cost reimbursement in the same period the expense is recorded.

F-12

Income Taxes

The Company has made an election to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and related regulations. The Company generally will not be subject to federal income taxes on amounts distributed to stockholders, providing it distributes 100 percent of its real estate investment trust taxable income and meets certain other requirements for qualifying as a REIT. For each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2008, the Company believes it has qualified as a REIT. Notwithstanding the Company's qualification for taxation as a REIT, the Company is subject to certain state taxes on its income and real estate.

The Company and its taxable REIT subsidiary have made a timely TRS election pursuant to the provisions of the REIT Modernization Act. A TRS is able to engage in activities resulting in income that previously would have been disqualified from being eligible REIT income under the federal income tax regulations. As a result, certain activities of the Company which occur within its TRS entity are subject to federal and state income taxes (See Note 6). All provisions for federal income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are attributable to the Company's taxable REIT subsidiary.

Dividends

The Company declared dividends of \$2.00, \$1.97 and \$1.96 per share during the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006; the dividends have been reflected for federal income tax purposes as follows:

December 31,	2008	2007	2006
Ordinary income	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.93	\$ 1.80
Return of capital	.04	.04	.16
Total	\$ 2.00	\$ 1.97	\$ 1.96

The aggregate federal income tax basis of Real Estate Investments is approximately \$22.2 million less than the financial statement basis.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share have been computed by dividing the net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The per-share amounts reflected in the consolidated statements of income are presented in accordance with SFAS No. 128 "Earnings Per Share". Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average common and potential dilutive common shares outstanding in accordance with the treasury stock method.

The following is a reconciliation of the denominator of the basic net earnings per common share computation to the denominator of the diluted net earnings per common share computation for each of the periods presented:

Year Ended December 31,	2008	2007	2006
Weighted Average number of common Shares outstanding	7,810,692	7,751,321	7,711,964
Unvested restricted stock	104,050	96,450	131,120
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in basic earnings per share	7,706,642	7,654,871	7,580,844
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in basic earnings per share	7,706,642	7,654,871	7,580,844
Effect of dilutive securities			
Restricted stock	11,893	61,160	70,582
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in diluted earnings per share	7,718,535	7,716,031	7,651,426

Stock Based Compensation

On January 1, 2006, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123R, Shares-Based Payments (SFAS 123R), under the modified prospective method. Under the modified prospective method, compensation cost is recognized for all awards, granted after the adoption of this standard and for the unvested portion of previously granted awards that are

outstanding as of that date. In accordance with SFAS 123R, we will estimate fair value of restricted stock and stock option grants at the date of grant and amortize those amounts into expense on a straight-line basis or amount vested, if greater, over the appropriate vesting period. No stock options were issued or vested during 2008, 2007 or 2006.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS No. 157"). This Statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This Statement applies to accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, except for share-based compensation transactions under FASB Statement No. 123 (Revised) "Share Based Payment." This Statement was effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, except for non-financial assets and liabilities for which this Statement will be effective for years beginning after November 15, 2008. The deferral to this Statement applies to all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities including but not limited to initial measurements of fair value of: nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities in a business combination or other new basis event, asset retirement obligations, and nonfinancial liabilities for exit or disposal activities, as well as impairment assessments of nonfinancial long lived assets and goodwill. This Statement does not require any new fair value measurements or remeasurements of previously reported fair values. The Company will account for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities under SFAS No. 157 beginning on January 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements" ("SFAS No. 160"), an amendment to Accounting Research Board No. 51. SFAS No. 160's objective is to improve the relevance, comparability and transparency of financial information that a reporting entity provides in its consolidated financial statements. The key aspects of SFAS No. 160 are (i) the minority interests in subsidiaries should be presented in the consolidated balance sheet within equity of the consolidated group, separate from the parent's shareholders' equity, (ii) acquisitions or dispositions of noncontrolling interests in a subsidiary that do not result in a change of control should be accounted for as equity transactions, (iii) a parent recognizes a gain or loss in net income when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, measured using the fair value of the non-controlling equity investment, (iv) the acquirer should attribute net income and each component of other comprehensive income between controlling and noncontrolling interests based on any contractual arrangements or relative ownership interests, and (v) a reconciliation of beginning to ending total equity is required for both controlling and noncontrolling interests. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008 and should be applied prospectively. We expect SFAS No. 160 will require the disclosure of

minority interest as a separate item in the equity section of our balance sheet, once adopted. We do not expect SFAS No. 160 to have a material effect on our financial statements. The Company will account for minority interest under SFAS No. 160 beginning on January 1, 2009. In March 2008, the SEC announced revisions to Topic No. D-98 "Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities" that provide interpretive guidance on the interaction on the interaction between Topic D-98 and Statement No. 160.

F-15

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), “Business Combinations” (“SFAS No. 141”). SFAS No. 141(R) will significantly change the accounting for business combinations. Under SFAS No. 141(R), an acquiring entity will be required to recognize all the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a transaction at the acquisition-date fair value with limited exceptions. SFAS No. 141(R) will change the accounting treatment for certain specific acquisition related items including: (1) expensing acquisition related costs as incurred; (2) valuing noncontrolling interests at fair value at the acquisition date; and (3) expensing restructuring costs associated with an acquired business. SFAS No. 141(R) also includes a substantial number of new disclosure requirements. SFAS No. 141(R) is to be applied prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after January 1, 2009. The Company will account for business combinations under this Statement beginning on January 1, 2009.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, “Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities” (“SFAS No. 161”). SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity’s derivative and hedging activities. It clarifies (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity’s financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company will disclose hedging activities under SFAS No. 161 beginning on January 1, 2009 and do not expect SFAS No. 161 to have a material effect on our financial statements since it pertains to disclosure only.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles," ("SFAS No. 162"). The current hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles is set forth in the American Institute of Certified Accountants (AICPA) Statement of Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 69, "The meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. SFAS No. 162 is intended to improve financial reporting by identifying a consistent framework or hierarchy for selecting accounting principles to be used in preparing financial statements that are presented in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for nongovernmental entities. This Statement is effective November 15, 2008. The Company will adopt this Statement effective January 1, 2009 but does not anticipate that the Statement will have a material effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position, as the Statement does not directly impact the accounting principles applied in the preparation of the Company's financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified FASB Staff Position No. EITF 03-6-01 "Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities" ("FSP EITF 03-6-01"). FSP EITF 03-6-01 addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share ("EPS") under the two-class method of SFAS 128. It clarifies that unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable right to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of EPS pursuant to the two-class method. FSP EITF 03-6-01 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company will account for instruments granted in share-based payment transactions under this Statement beginning on January 1, 2009, however we do not expect FSP EITF 06-6-01 to have a material impact on our computation of EPS.

In November 2008, the FASB ratified Emerging Issue Task Force Issue No. 08-6, "Equity Method Investment Accounting Considerations." EITF 08-6 addresses certain issues that arise from a company's application of the equity method under Opinion 18 due to a change in accounting for business combinations and consolidated subsidiaries resulting from the issuance of Statement 141(R) and Statement 160. EITF 08-6 addresses issues regarding the initial carrying value of an equity method investment, tests of impairment performed by the investor over an investee's underlying assets, changes in ownership resulting from the issuance of shares by an

investee, and changes in an investment from the equity method to the cost method. This Issue is effective and will be applied on a prospective basis in fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years, consistent with the effective dates of Statement 141(R) and Statement 160. The Company will adopt this Statement effective January 1, 2009, however we do not expect Issue No. 08-6 to have a material impact on our financial statements.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

3. Mortgages Payable

Mortgages payable consisted of the following:

December 31,	2008	2007
Note payable in monthly installments of \$37,340 plus interest at 150 basis points over LIBOR (2.695% at December 31, 2008). A final balloon payment in the amount of \$22,318,478 is due on July 1, 2013 unless extended for a two year period at the option of the Company	\$ 24,613,300	\$ -
Note payable in monthly installments of \$153,838 including interest at 6.90% per annum, with the final monthly payment due January 2020; collateralized by related real estate and tenants' leases	14,274,218	15,104,016
Note payable in monthly installments of \$128,205 including interest at 6.20% per annum, with a final monthly payment due November 2018; collateralized by related real estate and tenants' leases	11,374,994	12,180,878
Note payable in monthly installments of \$99,598 including interest at 6.63% per annum, with the final monthly payment due February 2017; collateralized by related real estate and tenants' leases	7,521,293	8,193,427
Note payable in monthly installments of \$57,403 including interest at 6.50% per annum, with the final monthly payment due February 2023; collateralized by related real estate and tenant lease	6,367,177	6,632,703

Note payable in monthly installments of \$25,631 including interest at 7.50% per annum, with the final monthly payment due May 2022; collateralized by related real estate and tenant lease	2,597,032	2,705,377
Note payable in monthly installments of \$12,453 including interest at 6.95% per annum, with the final monthly payment due December 2017; collateralized by related real estate and tenant lease	875,683	943,767
Total	\$ 67,623,697	\$ 45,760,168

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Future scheduled annual maturities of mortgages payable for years ending December 31 are as follows: 2009 - \$3,396,566; 2010 - \$3,624,642; 2011 - \$3,868,220; 2012 - \$4,128,165; 2013 - \$26,426,271 and \$26,179,833 thereafter. The weighted average interest rate at December 31, 2008 and 2007 was 5.59% and 6.64%, respectively.

4. Notes Payable

The Operating Partnership has in place a \$55 million line-of-credit agreement, which is guaranteed by the Company up to the maximum amount and for the full term. The agreement expires in November 2011. Advances under the Credit Facility bear interest within a range of one-month to twelve-month LIBOR plus 100 basis points to 150 basis points or the bank's prime rate, at the option of the Company, based on certain factors such as the ratio of our indebtedness to the capital value of our properties. In addition, we must maintain certain leverage and debt service coverage ratios, maintain our adjusted net worth at a minimum level, maintain our tax status as a REIT, and distribute no more than 95% of our adjusted funds from operations. The facility also requires that we pay a non-use fee of .125% of the unfunded balance if our outstanding balance is greater than \$25 million or .20% of the unfunded balance if our outstanding balance is less than \$25 million. The Credit Facility is used to fund property acquisitions and development activities. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, \$30,500,000 and \$36,000,000, respectively, was outstanding under this facility with a weighted average interest rate of 2.32% and 5.63%, respectively. The Credit Facility's covenants were all complied with through December 31, 2008.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

In addition, the Company maintains a \$5,000,000 line-of-credit agreement that matures in November 2009 and can be extended at our option subject to specified conditions for two additional one year periods. Monthly interest payments are required, either at the bank's prime rate less 75 basis points, or 150 basis points in excess of the one-month to twelve month LIBOR rate, at the option of the Company. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, \$2,445,000 and \$800,000, respectively, was outstanding under this agreement with a weighted average interest rate of 2.50% and 6.50%, respectively.

5. Dividends and Distributions Payable

On December 8, 2008 the Company declared a dividend of \$.50 per share for the quarter ended December 31, 2008. The holders of OP Units were entitled to an equal distribution per OP Unit held as of December 31, 2008. The dividends and distributions payable are recorded as liabilities in the Company's consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2008. The dividend has been reflected as a reduction of stockholders' equity and the distribution has been reflected as a reduction of the limited partners' minority interest. These amounts were paid on January 6, 2009.

6. Income Taxes

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48 which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in a company's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." The interpretation prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The interpretation also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

The Company is subject to the provisions of FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007, and has analyzed its various federal and state filing positions. The Company believes that its income tax filing positions and deductions are documented and supported. Additionally the Company believes that its accruals for tax liabilities are adequate. Therefore, no reserves for uncertain income tax positions have been recorded pursuant to FIN 48. In addition, the Company did not record a cumulative effect adjustment related to the adoption of FIN 48. Our Federal income tax returns are open for examination by taxing authorities for all tax years after December 31, 2004.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

For income tax purposes, the Company has a taxable REIT subsidiary (TRS) that was established in October 2007 and which certain real estate activities are conducted.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company has a deferred income tax liability in the amount of \$705,000. This balance represents the federal and state tax effect of deferring income tax in 2007 on the sale of an asset under section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code. This transaction accrued within the TRS described above.

7. Minority Interest

The following summarizes the changes in minority interest since January 1, 2006:

Minority Interest at January 1, 2006	\$ 5,978,635
Minority interests' share of income for the year	1,220,113
Distributions for the year	(1,320,155)
Minority Interest at December 31, 2006	5,878,593
Minority interests' share of income for the year	1,344,475
Distributions for the year	(1,326,888)
Minority Interest at December 31, 2007	5,896,180
Minority interests' share of income for the year	1,264,611
Distributions for the year	(1,313,025)
Conversion of OP Units	(501,025)
Minority Interest at December 31, 2008	\$ 5,346,741

8. Stock Incentive Plan

The Company established a stock incentive plan in 1994 (the 1994 Plan) under which options were granted. The options, had an exercise price equal to the initial public offering price (\$19.50/share), could be exercised in increments of 25% on each anniversary of the date of the grant, and expire upon employment termination. All options granted under the 1994 Plan have been exercised. In 2005, our stockholders approved the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2005 Plan), which replaced the 1994 Plan. The 2005 Plan authorizes the issuance of a maximum of one million shares of common stock. No options were granted during 2008, 2007 or 2006.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

9. Stock Based Compensation

As part of the Company's 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, restricted common shares are granted to certain employees. As of December 31, 2008, there was \$2,597,669 of total unrecognized compensation costs related to the outstanding restricted shares, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.1 years. We used 0% for both the discount factor and forfeiture rate for determining the fair value of restricted stock. The forfeiture rate was based on historical results and trends and we do not consider discount rates to be material. Pursuant to SFAS 123R, the Company reversed the previously recorded deferred compensation of \$2,794,738 at December 31, 2005 during the year ended December 31, 2006. The impact did not change stockholders' equity or reported net income.

The holder of a restricted share award is generally entitled at all times on and after the date of issuance of the restricted shares to exercise the rights of a shareholder of the Company, including the right to vote the shares and the right to receive dividends on the shares. We granted 46,350 shares of restricted stock in 2008 to employees under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. The restricted shares vest over a 5 year period based on continued service to the Company. Restricted share activity is summarized as follows:

	Shares Outstanding	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Non-vested restricted shares at January 1, 2006	131,120	\$ 24.92
Restricted shares granted	3,750	\$ 31.12
Restricted shares vested	(38,420)	\$ 25.61
Restricted shares forfeited	-	-
Non-vested restricted shares at December 31, 2007	96,450	\$ 24.89
Restricted shares granted	46,350	\$ 29.44
Restricted shares vested	(33,950)	\$ 28.57
Restricted shares forfeited	(4,800)	\$ 31.03
Non-vested restricted shares at December 31, 2008	104,050	\$ 30.57

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

10. Profit-Sharing Plan

The Company has a discretionary profit-sharing plan whereby it contributes to the plan such amounts as the Board of Directors of the Company determines. The participants in the plan cannot make any contributions to the plan. Contributions to the plan are allocated to the employees based on their percentage of compensation to the total compensation of all employees for the plan year. Participants in the plan become fully vested after six years of service. No contributions were made to the plan in 2008, 2007 or 2006.

11. Rental Income

The Company leases premises in its properties to tenants pursuant to lease agreements, which provide for terms ranging generally from 5 to 25 years. The majority of leases provide for additional rents based on tenants' sales volume. The weighted average remaining lease term is 10.6 years.

As of December 31, 2008, the future minimum rentals for the next five years from rental property under the terms of all noncancellable tenant leases, assuming no new or renegotiated leases are executed for such premises, are as follows (in thousands):

2009	\$ 33,006
2010	31,917
2011	29,852
2012	28,278
2013	26,971
Thereafter	203,953
Total	\$ 353,977

Of these future minimum rentals, approximately 43% of the total is attributable to Walgreen, approximately 28% of the total is attributable to Borders Group, Inc. and approximately 9% is attributable to Kmart Corporation a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sears Holdings Corporation. Walgreen operates in the national drugstore chain industry, Borders is a major operator of book superstores in the United States and Kmart's principal business is general merchandise retailing through a chain of discount department stores. The loss of any of these anchor tenants or the inability of any of them to pay rent could have an adverse effect on the Company's business.

Our properties are located primarily in the Midwestern United States and in particular Michigan. 39 of our 68 properties are located in Michigan.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

12. Lease Commitments

The Company has entered into certain land lease agreements for four of its properties. As of December 31, 2008, future annual lease commitments under these agreements are as follows:

For the Year ending December 31,

2009	\$	859,200
2010		890,600
2011		906,300
2012		906,300
2013		906,300
Thereafter		9,459,222
Total	\$	13,927,922

The Company leases its executive offices from a limited liability company controlled by our Chief Executive Officer's children. Under the terms of the lease, which expires December 31, 2009, the Company is required to pay an annual rental of \$90,000 and is responsible for the payment of real estate taxes, insurance and maintenance expenses relating to the building.

13. Interim Results (Unaudited)

The following summary represents the unaudited results of operations of the Company, expressed in thousands except per share amounts, for the periods from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008. Certain amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation of discontinued operations:

2008	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
Revenues	\$ 8,768	\$ 8,789	\$ 9,029	\$ 9,068
Net Income	\$ 3,579	\$ 3,766	\$ 3,849	\$ 3,823
Earnings Per Share – Diluted	\$.47	\$.49	\$.50	\$.49

2007	Three Months Ended			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
Revenues	\$ 8,463	\$ 8,378	\$ 8,450	\$ 9,177
Income before discontinued	\$ 3,605	\$ 3,603	\$ 3,613	\$ 4,661

operations

Earnings Per Share –

Diluted	\$.47	\$.47	\$.47	\$.60
---------	----	-----	----	-----	----	-----	----	-----

F-24

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

14. Deferred Revenue

In July 2004, our tenant in two joint venture properties located in Ann Arbor, MI and Boynton Beach, FL repaid \$13.8 million that had been contributed by our joint venture partner. As a result of this repayment the Company became the sole member of the limited liability companies holding the properties. Total assets of the two properties were approximately \$13.8 million. We have treated the \$13.8 million repayment of the capital contribution as deferred revenue and accordingly, will recognize rental income over the term of the related leases.

15. Subsequent Events

In January 2009, the Company granted 67,100 shares of restricted stock to employees and associates under the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. The restricted shares vest over a five year period based on continued service to the Company.

In February 2009, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement in an effort to manage our interest rate exposure on a \$24.6 million floating rate mortgage. The interest rate swap agreement has a term of approximately 4.5 years and bears interest at 3.744%.

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation
December 31, 2008

Agree Realty Corporation

Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D	Column E	Column F	Column G	Column H	Column I	Column J	
	Encumbrance	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	Gross Amount at Which Carried At Close of Period Buildings and Improvements	Total	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Construction	Life on Depreciated Latest Fiscal Year Statement is Completed
Completed until 2008										
Club,	\$ 997,680	\$ 550,000	\$ 562,404	\$ 1,087,596	\$ 550,000	\$ 1,650,000	\$ 2,200,000	\$ 1,370,525	1977	40 Year
at all										
Y	-	7,379	2,240,607	3,336,764	7,379	5,577,371	5,584,750	2,038,663	1978	40 Year
voix on,										
	-	305,000	5,152,992	106,718	305,000	5,259,710	5,564,710	2,372,761	1991	40 Year
rewards,										
	-	1,197,150	6,367,560	439,818	1,197,150	6,807,378	8,004,528	3,055,418	1990	40 Year
ing										
II	-	200,000	1,778,657	-	200,000	1,778,657	1,978,657	1,103,985	1984	40 Year
ood										
ons,										
	-	167,500	8,181,306	332,545	167,500	8,513,851	8,681,351	3,688,034	1991	40 Year
all										
two,										
	-	-	4,662,230	115,294	-	4,777,524	4,777,524	2,102,942	1990	40 Year
t h										
and										
L	4,434,416	1,641,879	6,364,379	1,772,138	1,641,879	8,136,517	9,778,396	3,841,849	1987	40 Year
d a										
II	-	183,295	1,872,854	-	183,295	1,872,854	2,056,149	1,158,478	1984	40 Year
key										
vn										
MI	-	875,000	8,895,289	314,411	875,000	9,209,700	10,084,700	4,044,545	1990	40 Year
uth										
ons,										
	-	535,460	5,667,504	282,915	535,460	5,950,419	6,485,879	2,674,624	1990	40 Year
d s										
ates,										
	-	705,000	6,854,790	1,869,223	705,000	8,724,013	9,429,013	3,165,144	1990	40 Year
ano										
VI	-	190,000	9,133,934	253,763	190,000	9,387,697	9,577,697	4,286,617	1990	40 Year
	-	8,002	784,077	143,258	8,002	927,335	935,337	557,848	1982	40 Year

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Fort										
NE	1,705,755	1,705,619	2,053,615	2,152	1,705,619	2,055,767	3,761,386	674,540	1995	40 Year
KS	1,249,144	1,039,195	1,690,644	24,666	1,039,195	1,715,310	2,754,505	562,762	1995	40 Year
CA	2,538,929	2,355,423	3,240,557	2,650	2,355,423	3,243,207	5,598,630	1,064,167	1995	40 Year
ville,	-	6,332,158	2,249,724	-	6,332,158	2,249,724	8,581,882	681,694	1996	40 Year
an,	-	879,562	1,626,501	-	879,562	1,626,501	2,506,063	497,927	1996	40 Year
bus,	-	826,000	2,336,791	-	826,000	2,336,791	3,162,791	754,587	1996	40 Year
ura,	-	-	3,173,121	-	-	3,173,121	3,173,121	1,008,127	1996	40 Year
on										
FL	1,832,637	1,534,942	2,043,122	-	1,534,942	2,043,122	3,578,064	617,005	1996	40 Year
nce,										
	2,597,030	981,331	3,000,000	349,127	981,331	3,349,127	4,330,458	908,232	1997	40 Year
ord,										
	1,811,127	971,009	1,562,869	135,390	971,009	1,698,259	2,669,268	465,986	1997	40 Year
field										
hip,										
	1,988,630	1,350,590	1,757,830	(46,164)	1,350,590	1,711,666	3,062,256	449,893	1998	40 Year
n d										
MI	1,899,879	1,104,285	1,998,919	13,968	1,104,285	2,012,887	3,117,172	503,567	1998	40 Year
MI	1,821,657	1,144,190	1,808,955	(113,506)	1,144,190	1,695,449	2,839,639	436,166	1998	40 Year
asant										
ing										
MI	-	907,600	8,081,968	579,287	907,600	8,661,255	9,568,855	2,891,816	1973	40 Year
OK	-	1,100,000	2,394,512	-	1,100,000	2,394,512	3,494,512	637,010	1998	40 Year
bia,										
	2,669,710	1,545,509	2,093,700	286,589	1,545,509	2,380,289	3,925,798	562,013	1999	40 Year
ter,										
	2,860,555	2,438,740	2,188,050	1,949	2,438,740	2,189,999	4,628,739	520,101	1999	40 Year

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Agree Realty Corporation

Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation
December 31, 2008

Column B	Column C		Column D		Column E			Column F	Column G	Column H
Encumbrance	Land	Initial Cost	Costs	Land	Gross Amount at Which Carried		Accumulated	Date of	Lif	
		Buildings and Improvements	Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		Buildings and Improvements	Total				Depreciation
	2,583,635	2,050,000	2,222,097	29,624	2,050,000	2,251,721	4,301,721	506,681	1999	40
	2,510,460	1,400,000	2,288,890	45,000	1,400,000	2,333,890	3,733,890	531,169	2000	40
	1,797,125	-	2,332,473	(1,721)	-	2,330,752	2,330,752	505,796	2000	40
	2,710,676	2,026,625	1,879,700	(1,201)	2,026,625	1,878,499	3,905,124	375,704	2000	40
	2,332,409	1,477,680	2,241,293	-	1,477,680	2,241,293	3,718,973	441,252	2001	40
	1,989,827	1,250,000	2,285,781	(16,502)	1,250,000	2,269,279	3,519,279	418,573	2001	40
	1,600,190	1,729,851	1,798,091	660	1,729,851	1,798,751	3,528,602	301,628	2002	40
	3,230,497	1,914,859	2,057,034	-	1,914,859	2,057,034	3,971,893	323,657	2002	40
	2,964,322	1,530,000	2,237,702	-	1,530,000	2,237,702	3,767,702	352,062	2002	40
	875,683	180,000	1,117,617	-	180,000	1,117,617	1,297,617	175,894	2002	40
	1,457,422	1,201,675	2,014,107	(2,000)	1,201,675	2,012,107	3,213,782	289,280	2003	40
	-	-	471,272	(201,809)	-	269,463	269,463	44,910	2003	20
	6,367,177	1,727,590	6,009,488	-	1,727,590	6,009,488	7,737,078	918,309	2003	40
	-	2,000,000	2,740,507	-	2,000,000	2,740,507	4,740,507	371,862	2003	40
	1,680,237	1,550,000	2,132,096	23,020	1,550,000	2,155,116	3,705,116	273,830	2003	40
	1,586,799	1,537,400	1,961,674	-	1,537,400	1,961,674	3,499,074	237,119	2004	40
	1,831,551	1,600,000	2,438,781	-	1,600,000	2,438,781	4,038,781	292,148	2004	40
	2,239,277	1,900,000	3,037,864	-	1,900,000	3,037,864	4,937,864	313,281	2004	40
	1,445,638	1,029,000	2,165,463	(6,666)	1,029,000	2,158,797	3,187,797	222,585	2004	40
	-	785,000	348,501	3,045	785,000	351,546	1,136,546	39,512	2004	40
	1,622,620	1,569,000	2,363,524	108,651	1,569,000	2,472,175	4,041,175	270,222	2004	40
	4,606,950	1,700,000	8,308,854	150,000	1,700,000	8,458,854	10,158,854	1,084,904	2004	4
	2,115,755	2,350,000	2,313,413	2,070	2,350,000	2,315,483	4,665,483	200,117	2005	40
	3,439,147	1,450,000	2,646,591	-	1,450,000	2,646,591	4,096,591	220,550	2005	40
	3,876,865	2,075,000	2,535,971	7,015	2,075,000	2,542,986	4,617,986	201,375	2005	40
	3,440,373	1,771,000	2,327,052	-	1,771,000	2,327,052	4,098,052	181,800	2005	40

Edgar Filing: AGREE REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

-	1,075,000	1,432,390	4,787	1,075,000	1,437,177	2,512,177	110,771	2005	40
-	1,075,000	1,430,092	495	1,075,000	1,430,587	2,505,587	110,270	2005	40
1,960,112	998,460	1,336,357	-	998,460	1,336,357	2,334,817	76,539	2006	40
4,580,781	1,200,000	3,441,694	814,772	1,200,000	4,256,466	5,456,466	144,310	2007	40
-	932,500	2,091,514	5,490	932,500	2,097,004	3,029,504	63,318	2007	40
-	1,450,000	1,002,192	183,764	1,450,000	1,185,956	2,635,956	33,576	2007	40
-	4,549,757	-	574	4,550,331	-	4,550,331	-	2007	40
5,125,833	2,621,500	3,484,212	-	2,621,500	3,484,212	6,105,712	72,588	2007	40
-	1,850,000	3,034,335	-	1,850,000	3,034,335	4,884,335	44,251	2007	40
-	815,000	2,329,487	-	815,000	2,329,487	3,144,487	29,127	2007	40
2,190,187	75,000	2,533,876	-	75,000	2,533,876	2,608,876	26,388	2007	40
100,568,697	87,228,715	198,211,446	12,439,619	87,229,289	210,650,491	297,879,780	58,502,384		

F-27

Agree Realty Corporation

Schedule III – Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation
December 31, 2008

Column A	Column B		Column C		Column D		Column E		Column F	Column G
Description	Encumbrance	Land	Initial Cost Buildings and Improvements	Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Land	Gross Amount at Which Carried at Close of Period Buildings and Improvements	Total	Accumulated Depreciation	Date of Const	
Properties under development										
Spring FL	-	-	4,169,979	-	-	4,169,979	4,169,979	-	N/A	
John,	-	-	3,725,468	-	-	3,725,468	3,725,468	-	N/A	
n, MI	-	-	3,815,381	-	-	3,815,381	3,815,381	-	N/A	
	-	80,000	1,672,274	-	80,000	1,672,274	1,752,274	-	N/A	
	-	80,000	13,383,102	-	80,000	13,383,102	13,463,102	-		
	\$ 100,568,697	\$ 87,308,715	\$ 211,594,548	\$ 12,439,619	\$ 87,309,289	\$ 224,033,593	\$ 311,342,882	\$ 58,502,384		

December 31, 2008

1) Reconciliation of Real Estate Properties

The following table reconciles the Real Estate Properties from January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2008:

	2008	2007	2006
Balance at January 1	\$ 289,073,696	\$ 268,247,707	\$ 258,332,265
Construction and acquisition costs	22,269,186	20,825,989	9,915,442
Balance at December 31	\$ 311,342,882	\$ 289,073,696	\$ 268,247,707

2) Reconciliation of Accumulated Depreciation

The following table reconciles the accumulated depreciation from January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2008:

	2008	2007	2006
Balance at January 1	\$ 53,250,564	\$ 43,352,753	\$ 43,771,581
Current year depreciation expense	5,251,820	4,897,811	4,581,172
Balance at December 31	\$ 58,502,384	\$ 53,250,564	\$ 48,352,753

3) Tax Basis of Buildings and Improvements

The aggregate cost of Building and Improvements for federal income tax purposes is approximately \$22,219,000 less than the cost basis used for financial statement purpose.

F-29